



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	21-1985	Version:	1	Name:	
Type:	Agenda Item	Status:		Agenda Ready	
File created:	1/14/2021	In control:		Board of Architects	
On agenda:	4/1/2021	Final action:			
Enactment date:		Enactment #:			

Title: CASE FILE AB20115960
5125 Orduna Drive, Coral Gables, FL; generally described as a portion of Lots 22 and 23, Block 42, Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 3, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 28, Page 44, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida. A lengthy legal description is on file; Folio# 03-4119-004-0020

The application requests Preliminary Design review and approval for interior/ exterior alterations, the construction of an addition (approximately 710 sq ft) and improvements to the site \$78,000

This application was previously reviewed and rejected by the Board of Architects on January 21, 2021. Motion to reject: The architectural style of the proposed is not cohesive and the fenestration not appropriate.

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. 01-21-2021 Preliminary Zoning Observation Report, 2. 04-01-2021 Preliminary Zoning Observation Report, 3. 01-21-2021 Application and Letter, 4. 01-21-2021 Location Map, 5. 01-21-2021 Preliminary Submittal Drawings, 6. 04-01-2021 Preliminary Submittal Drawings

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
4/1/2021	1	Board of Architects	Discussed and Deferred	Pass
1/21/2021	1	Board of Architects	Denied	Pass

CASE FILE AB20115960
5125 Orduna Drive, Coral Gables, FL; generally described as a portion of Lots 22 and 23, Block 42, Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 3, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 28, Page 44, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida. A lengthy legal description is on file; Folio# 03-4119-004-0020

The application requests Preliminary Design review and approval for interior/ exterior alterations, the construction of an addition (approximately 710 sq ft) and improvements to the site \$78,000

This application was previously reviewed and rejected by the Board of Architects on January 21, 2021. Motion to reject: The architectural style of the proposed is not cohesive and the fenestration not appropriate.

[Replace with BRIEF HISTORY/SUMMARY]