	Page 1		Page 2
	CITY OF CORAL GABLES	1	THEREUPON:
	PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD MEETING	2	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: I'd like to call the
	VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 11, 2021, COMMENCING AT 6:04 P.M.	3	meeting to order.
	WEDNESDAT, ACCOUNT, 2021, COMMENCACINO AT M.	4	Good evening. This Board is comprised of seven
		5	members. Four Members of the Board four Members
	Board Members Present at Commission Chamber:	6	of the Board shall constitute a quorum and the
	Eibi Aizenstat, Chairman	7	affirmative vote of four Members shall be necessary
	Robert Behar	8	for the adoption of any motion. If only four Members
	Alexander Bucelo	9	on the Board are present, an Applicant may request
	Claudia Miro Luis Revuelta	10	and be entitled to a continuance to the next
	Venny Torre	11	regularly scheduled meeting of the Board.
	Wayne "Chip" Withers	12	If a matter is continued due to lack of quorum,
		13	the Chairperson or Secretary of the Board may set a
	City Staff and Consultants:	14	Special Meeting to consider such a matter. In the
	Ramon Trias, Assistant Director of Planning	15	event that four votes are not obtained, an Applicant
	Jennifer Garcia, City Planner Jill Menendez, Administrative Assistant, Board Secretary	16	may request a continuance or allow the application to
	Hermes Diaz, Public Works Director	17	proceed to the City Commission without a
	Melissa DeZayas, Public Works Sr. Transportation Engineer	18	recommendation.
	Craig Coller, Special Counsel	19	Pursuant to Resolution No. 2021-11A, the City of
		20	Coral Gables has returned to traditional in-person
		21	meetings. Accordingly, any individual wishing to
		22	provide sworn testimony shall be present physically
		23	in the City Commission Chambers. However, the
		24	Planning and Zoning Board has established the ability
		25	for the public to provide comments, which are
		23	for the public to provide confinents, which are
	Page 3		Page 4
1			
	non-sworn and without evidentiary value. This is	1	Venny Torre?
2	non-sworn and without evidentiary value. This is virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to	1 2	Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Here.
	•		•
2	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to	2	MR. TORRE: Here.
2	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any	2 3 4 5	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
2 3 4	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of	2 3 4	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here.
2 3 4 5	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board which requires Board members to disclose all ex parte
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees and/or City Commission. A copy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board which requires Board members to disclose all ex parte communication and site visits. An ex parte
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees and/or City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board which requires Board members to disclose all ex parte communication and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees and/or City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board which requires Board members to disclose all ex parte communication and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees and/or City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board which requires Board members to disclose all ex parte communication and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees and/or City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the Board.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board which requires Board members to disclose all ex parte communication and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside the public hearing between a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees and/or City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the Board. As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board which requires Board members to disclose all ex parte communication and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of a quasi-judicial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees and/or City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the Board. As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of August	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board which requires Board members to disclose all ex parte communication and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of a quasi-judicial board regarding matters to be heard by the Board.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees and/or City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the Board. As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of August 11th, 2021 to order. The time is 6:04.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board which requires Board members to disclose all ex parte communication and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of a quasi-judicial board regarding matters to be heard by the Board. If anyone made any contact with a Board Member
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees and/or City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the Board. As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of August 11th, 2021 to order. The time is 6:04. Jill, if you'll please call the roll.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board which requires Board members to disclose all ex parte communication and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of a quasi-judicial board regarding matters to be heard by the Board. If anyone made any contact with a Board Member regarding an issue before the Board, the Board Member
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees and/or City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the Board. As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of August 11th, 2021 to order. The time is 6:04. Jill, if you'll please call the roll. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board which requires Board members to disclose all ex parte communication and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of a quasi-judicial board regarding matters to be heard by the Board. If anyone made any contact with a Board Member regarding an issue before the Board, the Board Member must state on the record the existence of the ex
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees and/or City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the Board. As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of August 11th, 2021 to order. The time is 6:04. Jill, if you'll please call the roll. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board which requires Board members to disclose all ex parte communication and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of a quasi-judicial board regarding matters to be heard by the Board. If anyone made any contact with a Board Member regarding an issue before the Board, the Board Member must state on the record the existence of the ex parte communication and the party who originated the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees and/or City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the Board. As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of August 11th, 2021 to order. The time is 6:04. Jill, if you'll please call the roll. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Here. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board which requires Board members to disclose all ex parte communication and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of a quasi-judicial board regarding matters to be heard by the Board. If anyone made any contact with a Board Member regarding an issue before the Board, the Board Member must state on the record the existence of the ex parte communication and the party who originated the communication. Also, if a Board Member conducted a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees and/or City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the Board. As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of August 11th, 2021 to order. The time is 6:04. Jill, if you'll please call the roll. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Here. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board which requires Board members to disclose all ex parte communication and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of a quasi-judicial board regarding matters to be heard by the Board. If anyone made any contact with a Board Member regarding an issue before the Board, the Board Member must state on the record the existence of the ex parte communication and the party who originated the communication. Also, if a Board Member conducted a site visit specifically related to the case before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees and/or City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the Board. As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of August 11th, 2021 to order. The time is 6:04. Jill, if you'll please call the roll. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Here. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Here. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board which requires Board members to disclose all ex parte communication and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of a quasi-judicial board regarding matters to be heard by the Board. If anyone made any contact with a Board Member regarding an issue before the Board, the Board Member must state on the record the existence of the ex parte communication and the party who originated the communication. Also, if a Board Member conducted a site visit specifically related to the case before the Board, the Board Member must also disclose such
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees and/or City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the Board. As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of August 11th, 2021 to order. The time is 6:04. Jill, if you'll please call the roll. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Here. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Here. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board which requires Board members to disclose all ex parte communication and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of a quasi-judicial board regarding matters to be heard by the Board. If anyone made any contact with a Board Member regarding an issue before the Board, the Board Member must state on the record the existence of the ex parte communication and the party who originated the communication. Also, if a Board Member conducted a site visit specifically related to the case before the Board, the Board Member must also disclose such visit. In either case, the Board Member must state
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees and/or City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the Board. As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of August 11th, 2021 to order. The time is 6:04. Jill, if you'll please call the roll. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Here. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Here. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TORRE: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice of Ex Parte Communications: Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board which requires Board members to disclose all ex parte communication and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of a quasi-judicial board regarding matters to be heard by the Board. If anyone made any contact with a Board Member regarding an issue before the Board, the Board Member must state on the record the existence of the ex parte communication and the party who originated the communication. Also, if a Board Member conducted a site visit specifically related to the case before the Board, the Board Member must also disclose such

	Page 5		Page 6
1	and/or site visit will affect the Board Member's	1	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
2	ability to impartially consider the evidence to be	2	Zoom Platform Participants: I will ask any
3	presented regarding the matter. The Board Member	3	person wishing to speak on tonight's agenda item to
4	should also state that his or her decision will be	4	please open your chat and send a direct message to
5	based on substantial competent evidence and	5	Jill Menendez stating that you would like to speak
6	testimony presented on the record today.	6	before the Board, and include your full name. Jill
7	Does any Board Member have such a communication	7	will call you when it's your turn. Depending on the
8	and/or site visit to disclose at this time?	8	number of speakers I ask you to be concise, for the
9	MR. BEHAR: None for me.	9	interest of time, and try to limit for two to three
10	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Having heard none, I'd	10	minutes.
11	like to go ahead and Swearing In: Everyone who	11	Phone Platform Participants: After the Zoom
12	will be speaking this evening must complete the	12	participants are done I will ask phone participants
13	roster on the podium. We ask that you print clearly	13	to comment on tonight's agenda. I also ask you to be
14	so the official records of your name and address will	14	concise for the interest of time. To communicate
15	be correct.	15	with Jill it's star 9.
16	Now, with the exception of attorneys, all persons	16	Now, we have received three emails that every
17	physically in the City Commission Chambers who will	17	Board Member has in front of them. Those three
18	be speaking on the agenda items before us this	18	emails will be entered into the record for tonight's
19	evening, please rise so you can be sworn in,	19	meeting.
20	including City staff.	20	Before we go ahead and begin, I'd like to take
21	THE COURT REPORTER: Raise your right hand,	21	this time to first thank two Board members that are
22	everybody.	22	not on the Board anymore, Rene Murai and Maria Velez.
23	Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth,	23	I'd like to thank them, on behalf of the Board, for
24	and nothing but the truth?	24	their dedication and hard work, and time that they
25	(All participants sworn in responded "I do.")	25	put in and really helped us. Thank you, very much.
	Page 7		Page 8
1	Also, at this time, we have two new Board member	1	forward to continue serving with all of you here
2	appointees, Alexander Bucelo and Claudia Miro.	2	today. So, thank you.
3	Welcome to our Board. If you don't mind, I'd	3	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. And on behalf
4	like to ask each of you to just tell us a little bit	4	of the Board, welcome to both of you.
5	about yourself.	5	MR. BEHAR: Welcome.
6	Claudia, would you go first?	6	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Did everybody get a
7	MS. MIRO: Absolutely.	7	chance to review the minutes of the May 12th, 2021
8	Good evening, everyone. I'm very happy to be	8	meeting?
9	here and to participate in this process. My name is	9	MR. BEHAR: I did and I make a motion to
10	Claudia Miro. I've been a Gables resident for about	10	approve.
11	sixteen years. I recently ran for office in the City	11	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: We have a motion.
12	and I'm grateful to continue to be a part of the	12	Is there a second?
13	process and and have a voice. I've been working	13	MR. TORRE: Second.
14	in the public sector for the last 25 years and I	14	THE COURT: We have a second. Any discussion?
15	believe that the work that we do here is very	15	No?
16	important, and important for the future of our	16	Call the roll, please.
17	City.	17	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
18 19	Thank you. CHAIDDEDSON AIZENSTAT: Thonk you. Welcome	18 19	MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Welcome. Alexander?	20	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS_MIRO: Vac
20		21	MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Vanny Torra?
21	MR. BUCELO: Good evening, everyone. My name is	21	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
22 23	Alex Bucelo. I've been a life-long resident as well in the City. I, myself as well, ran for City	23	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chin Withers
23	Commission this last time. I've been very much	24	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers. MR. WITHERS: Yes.
24	Commission and tast time. I we deen very fluch	44	MIX. WITHERD. 168.
25		25	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
25	involved in the City in numerous Boards and I look	25	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?

THE SECRETARY: Eish Aircestar? THE SECRETARY: Eish Aircestar? THE SECRETARY: Eish Aircestar? THE SECRETARY: Eish Aircestar? THE MERPESON AIZENSTAT: Yes. THE WEll go sheed and open up. MR. WINSER: Coald I just — up. name is David Winser and I represent the intervent, and we were going to have time to present on behalf of Proceedings of the intervent, and we were going to have time to present on behalf of Proceedings of the intervent, and the wester going to have time to present on behalf of Proceedings of the intervent, and we were going to have time to present on behalf of Proceedings of the intervent, and the wester going to have time to present on behalf of Proceedings of the intervent, and we were going to have time to present on behalf of Proceedings of the intervent, and the wester going to have time to present on behalf of Proceedings of the intervent, and the wester going to have time to present on behalf of Proceedings of the intervent, and the wester going to have time to present on behalf of Proceedings of the intervent, and the wester going to have time to present on behalf of Proceedings of the wester in present on behalf of Proceedings of the wester in present on behalf of Proceedings on his presentation to the position of the presentation and the presentation of the presentation and the presentation of the presentation and the presentation		Page 9		Page 10
THE SECRETARY: Elik Abzenstat? THE SECRETARY: Elik Will Abzenstat? THE SECRETARY: Elik Will Sex the Secretary abyes the shall open up of the percent in the inter to receive the theory. The shall be fore time to the receive the theory. The shall be fore time to the receive the theory. The shall be fore time to the receive the theory. The shall be fore the shall be public comment. Well have a Board discussion. THE SECRETARY: Elik Abzenstat? THE SECRETARY: Excise the Shall abzenstation by the did do that? THE SECRETARY: Excise the Shall be abzenstated the shal	1	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	1	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, very much.
CHARPERSON AZENSTAT: Yes. 3 MR. WINKER: Coald I just — my name is David 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			2	
Till like to go over a little bit about the procedure for treight's meeting. First well have the procedure for treight's meeting. First well have the procedure for treight's meeting. First well have the presentation by the the interinctation of the agenda ten'n by Mr. Coller. Then well go abend and have the presentation by the Applicant at this time to limit their presentation to twenty minutes. They already did a presentation 10 Mr. Coller? Mr. Coller? Mr. Coller: M	3		3	
procedure for tonight's meeting. First we'll have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller. Then we'll go ahead and have the presentation by the Applicant or the Applicant's agent. I will ask the Applicant or the Applicant's agent. I will ask the Applicant or the Applicant's agent. I will ask the Applicant or the Applicant's agent. I will ask the Applicant or the Applicant's agent. I will ask the Applicant or the Applicant's agent. I will ask the Applicant or the Applicant's agent. I will ask the Applicant or the Applicant's agent. I will ask the Applicant or the Applicant's agent. I will ask the Applicant or the Applicant's agent. I will ask the Applicant or the Applicant's agent. I will ask the Applicant or the Applicant's agent. I will ask the Applicant or the Applicant's agent and their or the Applicant's agent and the Applicant's agent and the Applicant's agent and then the Applicant's agent agen	4		4	· ·
the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller. Then we'll go ahead and have the presentation by the Applicant or the Applicant agent. I will ask the Applicant at this time to limit their presentation to twenty minutes. They already did a presentation the fore I read in the items. CHAIRPERSON AZENSTAT: Thank you. Mr. COLLER: This was previously head and then deferred. The two new members, Pledieve, have did do that? Mr. COLLER: This was previously head and then deferred. The two new members, Pledieve, have deferred. The two new members, Pledieve, have did do that? Mr. COLLER: This was previously head and then deferred. The two new members, Pledieve, have did do that? Mr. COLLER: This was previously head and then deferred. The two new members pledieve, have did do that? Mr. C	5		5	•
Then well go ahead and have the presentation by the Applicant or the Applicant or the Applicant at this time to limit their presentation to toventy minutes. They already did a presentation to toventy minutes. They already did a presentation to twenty minutes. They already did a presentation to twenty minutes. They already did a presentation which was about two bours and a half. Afterwards well go ahead and have the presentation made by Suff, at which time after I'll go ahead and open in typ to the Zoom platform and the phone line popple which are in the room. Then I'll go ahead and open in typ to the Zoom platform and the phone line platform. Afterwards till go ahead and close the public comment. Well have a Board discussion, motion, and a second of a motion, if any. Then well public comment. Well have a Board discussion, motion, and a second of a motion, if any. Then well have a Board stand comments and a vote. Yes? MR. BEHAR: Excuse me. Mr. Revuelta is good and some platform and sumebody to open the door for him, please? Sorry to interrupt, but he is— Page 11 we - we hold a public hearing on all the items and the we were on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AZENSTAT: Thank you. Page 12 we - we hold a public hearing on all the items and the items we wate on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us for items and the meeting. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us for items. The should be read a little while I would be courteous to the people here would have to sit and wait. That's going to take a little while would be courteous to the people here would have to sit and wait. That's going to take a little while would be courteous to the people here would have to sit and wait. That's going to take a little while would be courteous to the people here would have to sit and wait. That's going to take a little while would be courteous to the people here would have to sit and wa			6	* *
Applicant or the Applicant's agent. I will ask the Applicant at this time to limit their presentation to toverly minutes. They already did a presentation which was about two hours and a half. Afterwards well go ahead and have the Afterwards well go ahead and have the people which are in the room. Then III go ahead and to go and the people which are in the room. Then III go ahead and people which are in the room. Then III go ahead and people which are in the room. Then III go ahead and people which are in the room. Then III go ahead and people which are in the room. Then III go ahead and people which are in the room. Then III go ahead and people which are in the room. Then III go ahead and people which are in the room. Then III go ahead and people which are in the room. Then III go ahead and people which are in the room. Then III go ahead and the open it up to the Zoom platform and the phone line platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and the cannot go and discussion, more of the received the transcripts and have reviewed them. Codd they indicate for the record that yes, they did do that? MR. BUCLLO: Yes, I have. MR. BURE: Thank you. After Stown Augustion MR. BURE: Thank you. Mr. Coller. MR. COLLER: Yes, just a boucked then MR. TOLLER: This was preciously then the we we hold a public hearing on all the items and the cannot get into the building. Can a were well of a public hearing on all the items and the cannot get into the building. Can a were well as a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. We we we hold a public hearing on all	7	-	7	· ·
Applicant at this time to limit their presentation to twenty minutes. They already did a presentation to twenty minutes. They already did a presentation to which was about two bours and a half. Afterwards we'll go ahead and have the presentation made by Staff, at which time after I'll go ahead and open it up for public comment, first to people which are in the room. Then I'll go ahead and open it up to the Zoom platform and the phone line people which are in the room. Then I'll go ahead and open it up to the Zoom platform and the phone line people which are in the room. Then I'll go ahead and open it up to the Zoom platform and the phone line people which are in the room. Then I'll go ahead and open it up to the Zoom platform and the phone line people which are in the room. Then I'll go ahead and open it up to the Zoom platform and the phone line people which are in the room. Then I'll go ahead and open it up to the Zoom platform and the phone line people which are in the room. Then I'll go ahead and open it up to the Zoom platform and the phone line people which are in the room. Then I'll go ahead and open it up to the Zoom platform and the phone line people which are in the room. Then I'll go ahead open it up to the Zoom platform and the phone line people which are in the room. Then I'll go ahead then we look and platform and the phone line Page 11 Page 11 Page 11 Page 12 Page 14 We - we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: Lhave a suggestion - Hand Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. BEHAR: Lhave a suggestion - CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: See frow do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelch has joined us in the meeting. Thanks you. MR. BEHAR: Lhave a suggestion. The last tiern, MR. BEHAR: Lhave a suggest	8		8	·
to wenty minutes. They already did a presentation which was about two hours and a half. Afterwards well go ahead and have the presentation made by Staff, at which time after III go ahead and have the presentation made by Staff, at which time after III go ahead and open it up for public comment, first to platform. Afterwards I go ahead and open it up to the Zzom platform and the phone line platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and open it up to the Zzom platform and the phone line platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and open it up to the Zzom platform and the phone line platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the form of the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the form of the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the form of the platform and the platform and the the form of the platform and the platform and the text of the platform and the text of the platform and the text of the blatform and the text of the platform and the text of the blatform and the text of th	9		9	•
Afterwards we'll go ahead and have the presentation made by Staff, at which time after I'll go ahead and open it up for public comment, first to 14 MR. COLLER: This was previously heard and then deferred. The two new members, I believe, have received the transcripts and have reviewed them. 16 people which are in the room. Then I'll go ahead and 15 deferred. The two new members, I believe, have received the transcripts and have reviewed them. 17 platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the 17 platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the 18 public comment. We'll have a Board discussion, 18 did do that? 19 motion, and a second of a motion, if any. Then we'll 19 have Board's final comments and a vote. 20 MS. MIRO: Yes, I have. 20 MR. BEHAR: Excuse me. Mr. Revuclta is downstairs and he cannot get into the building. Can somethody open—send somethody to pen the door for 24 somethody open—send somethody to pen the door for 24 somethody open—send somethody to pen the door for 24 him, please? Sorry to interrupt, but he is — Page 11 10 we — we hold a public hearing on all the items and 25 then we vote on them separately. 11 we — we hold a public hearing on all the items and 25 then we vote on them separately. 12 TAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd 16 like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us 16 like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us 16 like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us 17 like to 27 like the roce of the suggestion — 4 like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us 18 like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us 19 like low one of the same to be one that is — has less — and we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that 11 which seems to be one that is — has less — and we 19 like horsely to be prophe here would have to sit and we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that 11 like to read the items into the agenda, Please? 17 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, 10 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you like the review of the	10	-	10	Mr. Coller?
presentation made by Staff, at which time after I'll go ahead and open it up for public comment, first to people which are in the room. Then I'll go ahead and open it up to the Zoom platform and the phone line platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the public comment. We'll have a Board discussion, motion, and a second of a motion, if any. Then we'll have Board's final comments and a vote. MR. BEHAR: Excuse me. Mr. Revuelta is downstairs and he cannot get into the building. Can downstairs and he cannot get building. Can downstairs and the cannot get into the building. Can downstairs and the cannot get into the building. Can downstairs and the cannot get into the building. Can downstairs and the cannot get under the door for downstairs and the cannot get under the door for downstairs and the cannot get under the door for going to take did then that the cannot get under the got got go	11	which was about two hours and a half.	11	MR. COLLER: Yes, just a housekeeping measure
go ahead and open it up for public comment, first to people which are in the room. Then I'll go ahead and open it up to the Zoom platform and the phone line open it up to the Zoom platform and the phone line platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the public comment. Well have a Board discussion, motion, and a second of a motion, if any. Then well passes are public comment. Well have a Board discussion, and a second of a motion, if any. Then well was public comments and a vote. 20 have Board's final comments and a vote. 21 Yes? 22 MR. BEHAR: Excuse me. Mr. Revuelta is workstairs and the cannot get into the building. Can somebody open — send somebody to open the door for him, please? Sorry to interrupt, but he is — 23 downstairs and the cannot get into the building. Can somebody open — send somebody to open the door for him, please? Sorry to interrupt, but he is — 24 we — we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vate on them separately. 25 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. 26 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion — 27 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. 28 MR. REVUELTA: Good aftermoon. 29 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? 20 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion — which seems to be one that is — has less — and we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the items, make a switch in the — in the order — the should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that the we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that the we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that the we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that the plant and up in lieu of knowing that the plant and up in lieu of knowing that the plant and up in lieu of knowing that the plant and up in lieu of knowing that the plant and up in lieu of knowing that the plant and up in lieu of knowing that the plant and up in lieu of knowing that the plant and up in lieu of knowing that the plant and up in	12	Afterwards we'll go ahead and have the	12	before I read in the items.
go ahead and open it up for public comment, first to people which are in the room. Then I'll go ahead and open it up to the Zoom platform and the phone line open it up to the Zoom platform and the phone line platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the public comment. Well have a Board discussion, motion, and a second of a motion, if any. Then well passes are public comment. Well have a Board discussion, and a second of a motion, if any. Then well was public comments and a vote. 20 have Board's final comments and a vote. 21 Yes? 22 MR. BEHAR: Excuse me. Mr. Revuelta is workstairs and the cannot get into the building. Can somebody open — send somebody to open the door for him, please? Sorry to interrupt, but he is — 23 downstairs and the cannot get into the building. Can somebody open — send somebody to open the door for him, please? Sorry to interrupt, but he is — 24 we — we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vate on them separately. 25 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. 26 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion — 27 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. 28 MR. REVUELTA: Good aftermoon. 29 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? 20 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion — which seems to be one that is — has less — and we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the items, make a switch in the — in the order — the should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that the we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that the we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that the we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that the plant and up in lieu of knowing that the plant and up in lieu of knowing that the plant and up in lieu of knowing that the plant and up in lieu of knowing that the plant and up in lieu of knowing that the plant and up in lieu of knowing that the plant and up in lieu of knowing that the plant and up in lieu of knowing that the plant and up in	13		13	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir.
15 people which are in the room. Then I'll go ahead and open it up to the Zoom platform and the phone line open it up to the Zoom platform and the phone line platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the platform. Afterwards I will go and the will do that? 19	14		14	MR. COLLER: This was previously heard and then
open it up to the Zoom platform and the phone line platform. Afterwards I will go ahead and close the public comment. We'll have a Board discussion. In motion, and a second of a motion, if any. Then we'll public comment. We'll have a Board discussion. In motion, and a second of a motion, if any. Then we'll public comments and a vote. In We'll have Board's final comments and a vote. In We'll BEHAR: Excuse me. Mr. Revuelta is provided by the search of the se	15		15	• •
platform. Afterwards will go ahead and close the public comment. We'll have a Board discussion, and a second of a motion, if any. Then we'll a motion, and a second of a motion, if any. Then we'll a motion, and a second of a motion, if any. Then we'll a MR. BUCLO: Yes, I have. MR. BEHAR: Excuse me. Mr. Revuelta is 22 MR. BEHAR: Excuse me. Mr. Revuelta is 23 downstairs and he cannot get into the building. Can 24 somebody open—send somebody to open the door for 24 items. Im going to read all of them in, and I recommend to the Chair, as our usual procedure, that 25 him, please? Sorry to interrupt, but he is — 25 more hold a public hearing on all the items and 25 more of them we vote on them separately. 26 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. 37 How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. 48 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion — 49 How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. 49 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion — 40 MR. DEHAR: I have a suggestion — 40 MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take 40 like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us 40 feel and wait. That's my opinion. 40 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. 40 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. 41 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. 42 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. 43 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. 44 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. 45 MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take 45 like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us 46 a little while I would be courteous to the people 47 bere and get them out first, otherwise they'll be 48 waiting — a lot of people here would have to sit and 48 waiting and to of people here would have to sit and 48 waiting — a lot of people here would have to sit and 48 waiting — a lot of people here would have to sit and 48 waiting — a lot of people here would have to sit and 48 waiting — a lot of people here would have to sit and 48 waiting — a lot of people here would have to sit and 48 waiting — a lot of people here would have to sit and 48 waiting — a lot of people here would have to sit an	16		16	
motion, and a second of a motion, if any. Then we'll have a Board's final comments and a vote. Name BUCELO: Yes, I have as well. Yes? MR. BEHAR: Excuse me. Mr. Revuelta is downstairs and he cannot get into the building. Can downstairs and he cannot get and the flex build be courteous to the people down downstairs and the flex building that the flex build be courteous to the people down downstairs down downstairs down down down down down down down down	17		17	<u>*</u>
motion, and a second of a motion, if any. Then we'll have Board's final comments and a vote. Yes? MR. BEHAR: Excuse me. Mr. Revuelta is downstairs and he cannot get into the building. Can downstairs and he cannot get into the building. Can him, please? Sorry to interrupt, but he is — Page 11 Page 12 MR. COLLER: Chank you. MR. COLLER: Okay. So we have seven related items. In going to read all of them in, and I recommend to the Chair, as our usual procedure, that Page 12 MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: State City. Okay. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion — MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Sefore we do that, Id like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us for in the meeting. Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Ses Robert? MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is — has less — and we CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Everybody else okay with that? Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion in the last item, maybe MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last	18		18	
have Board's final comments and a vote. Yes? MR. BEHAR: Excuse me. Mr. Revuelta is downstairs and he cannot get into the building. Can somebody open – send somebody to open the door for him, please? Sorry to interrupt, but he is – Page 11 we – we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who – is there anybody MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. — CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Which seems to be one that is – has less – and we there so going to be a lot of speakers for one of the CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors MR. BEHAR: Line — in the agenda. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors MR. BEHAR: Line — in the agenda. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeb, is there anybody MR. BEHAR: Line — in the bodier — CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Indicate — CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeb, is there anybody AIR. COLLER: Chay. So we have seven related items. Wind. COLLER: Chay. So we have seven related items. The going to the Visual project depth in the Coller. So we have seven related items. The going to the Chair, so our usual procedure, that items. In add I them in, and I recommend to the Chair, as our usual procedure, that items. In add I them in, and I recommend to the Chair, as our usual procedure, that items. In add I them in, and I recommend to the Chair, as our usual procedure, that items. In add I them in, and I recommend to the Chair, as our usual procedure, that items. In add I them in, and I recommend to the Chair, as our usual procedure, that items. In add I them in, and I recommend to the Chair, as our usual procedure, that items. In add I them in, and I recommend to the Chair, as our usual procedure, that items. In add I t	19	-	19	MR. BUCELO: Yes, I have.
MR. BEHAR: Excuse me. Mr. Revuelta is downstairs and he cannot get into the building. Can somebody open — send somebody to open the door for him, please? Sorry to interrupt, but he is — Page 11 Page 12 MR. COLLER: Okay. So we have seven related items. Im going to read all of them in, and I recommend to the Chair, as our usual procedure, that Page 12 MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the City. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion — 4 If the me word on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, Id like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: He last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going to the alot of speakers for one of the items, make a switch in the — in the order — MR. BEHAR: — in the agenda. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items I through 7 first? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items I MR. COLLER: Okay. Item FI.: An ordinance of find out who's here for the Doctors Hospital item. MR. EBHAR: Yes, I mean I — we may want to MR. BEHAR: Yes, I mean I — we may want to MR. EHAR: Yes, I mean I — we may want to MR. EHAR: Yes, I mean I — we may want to MR. EHAR: Yes, I mean I — we ma	20		20	MS. MIRO: Yes, I have as well.
downstairs and he cannot get into the building. Can somebody open – send somebody to open the door for him, please? Sorry to interrupt, but he is – Page 11 Page 11 Page 12 MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion – MR. REVUELTA: Good aftermon. MR. REVUELTA: Good aftermon. MR. REVUELTA: Thave a suggestion. The last item, and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. REVUELTA: Good aftermon. MR. REVUELTA: Good aftermon. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion The last item, and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: That item, and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. REVUELTA: Good aftermon. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion The last item, and the here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Leverybody else okay with that? Thank you. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the last item, maybe MR. BEHAR: — in the agenda. MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Okay. Item FI.: An ordinance of find out who's here for the Doctors Hospital item. MR. BEHAR: Yes. Imean I — we may want to MR. BEHAR: Yes. Imean I — we may want to MR. BEHAR: Yes. Imean I — we may want to MR. BEHAR: Yes. Imean I — we may want to MR. BEHAR: Yes. Imean I — we may want to MR. BEHAR: Yes. Imean I — we may want to MR. BEHAR: Yes. Imean I — we may want to MR. BEHAR: Yes. Imean I — we may want to MR. BEHAR: Yes. Imean I — we may want to MR. BEHAR: Yes. Imean I — we may want to MR. BEHAR: Yes. Imean I — we may want to MR. BEHAR: Yes. Imean I — we may want to MR. BEHAR: Yes. Imean I — we may want to MR. BEHAR: Yes. Imean I —	21	Yes?	21	MR. COLLER: Thank you.
somebody open – send somebody to open the door for him, please? Sorry to interrupt, but he is – Page 11 we – we hold a public hearing on all the items and 1 then we vote on them separately. 2 then we vote on them separately. 3 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. 3 How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. 4 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion – 4 filke to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us 6 in the meeting. Thank you. 7 MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. 8 MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. 8 MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. 8 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, 10 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. 11 which seems to be one that is – has less – and we 11 should find out who is here for the last item, maybe 12 should find out who is here for the last item, maybe 13 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? 16 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? 16 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors 18 MR. BEHAR: Up – 20 MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I – we may want to 23 find out who is here for the Doctors Hospital item. 23 find out who is here for the Doctors Hospital item. 23 find out who is here for the Doctors Hospital item. 24 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who – is there anybody 24 items. Image into the Chair, as our usual procedure, that 12 recommend to the Chair, as our usual procedure, that 12 MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: is the City. Okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who – is there anybody 24 items. Image our usual procedure, that 12 the CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who – is there anybody 24 items. Image our usual procedure, that 12 Thank you. 1 Page 12 MR. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who – is there anybody 24 items. Image our usual procedure, that 12 Thank pou. 1 Page 12 LHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who – is there anybody 24 items. Image our usual procedure, that 13 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who – is there anybody 24 The CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Male and 14 Lie to Chairperson of Coral Gabbes, Florida approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	22	MR. BEHAR: Excuse me. Mr. Revuelta is	22	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, Mr. Coller.
somebody open — send somebody to open the door for him, please? Sorry to interrupt, but he is — Page 11 Page 12 MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. MR. BEHAR: Have a suggestion — MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is — has less — and we lize should find out who is here for the last item, maybe lize should find out who is here for the genda? MR. BEHAR: — in the agenda? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors MR. BEHAR: Up — CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To ordinance of the CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To ordinance of the CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Was may want to CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who — is there anybody 24 approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	23	downstairs and he cannot get into the building. Can	23	MR. COLLER: Okay. So we have seven related
Page 11 we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion tine the meeting. Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion tine the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good aftermoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. REVUELTA: Good aftermoon. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. REVUELTA: Good aftermoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: He for the last item, maybe the which seems to be one that is has less and we there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the tiems, make a switch in the in the order tiems, make a switch in the in the order MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors MR. BEHAR: Up CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, items there anybody MR. BEHAR: Yes, I mean I we may want to AR. COLLER: Okay, We're going with items of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	24		24	items. I'm going to read all of them in, and I
1 we we hold a public hearing on all the items and 2 then we vote on them separately. 2 then we vote on them separately. 3 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. 4 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion 5 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd 6 like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us 6 like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us 7 here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be 8 MR. REVUELTA: Good aftermoon. 9 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? 10 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, 11 which seems to be one that is - has less and we 12 should find out who is here for the last item, maybe 13 we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that 14 there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the 15 items, make a switch in the in the order 16 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? 17 MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. 18 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors 19 MR. BEHAR: Up 20 MR. BEHAR: Yes, I mean I we may want to 21 MR. COLLER: Okay. Item FI.: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida 24 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody 24 approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	25	him, please? Sorry to interrupt, but he is	25	recommend to the Chair, as our usual procedure, that
1 we we hold a public hearing on all the items and 2 then we vote on them separately. 2 then we vote on them separately. 3 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. 4 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion 5 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd 6 like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us 6 like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us 7 here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be 8 MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. 9 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? 10 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, 11 which seems to be one that is - has less and we 12 should find out who is here for the last item, maybe 13 we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that 14 there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the 15 items, make a switch in the in the order 16 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? 17 MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. 18 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors 19 MR. BEHAR: Up 20 MR. BEHAR: Yes, I mean I we may want to 21 MR. COLLER: Okay. Item FI.: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida 24 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody 24 approving the vacation of public street pursuant to				
then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion — CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd kike to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us kike to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us kike to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us kike to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us kike to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us kike to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us kike to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us kike to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us kike to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us kike to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us kike to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us kike to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us kike to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us kait That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Leverybody else okay with that? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Leverybody else okay with that? Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you like to read the items into the agenda, please? KHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 through 7 first? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yesh, because there weren't any individuals for the second item here CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody CHAIRPERSON of public street pursuant to				
CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be make a suggestion. The last item, MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion of the last item, maybe MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items I MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items I CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. MR. BEHAR: Up MR. BEHAR: Up CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yea, because there Weren't any individuals for the second item here Except for City Staff. MR. COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody MR. COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the vacation of public street pursuant to		Page 11		Page 12
4 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion 5 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd 6 like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us 6 like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us 7 here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be 8 MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. 9 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? 10 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, 11 which seems to be one that is has less and we 12 should find out who is here for the last item, maybe 13 we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that 14 there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the 15 items, make a switch in the in the order 16 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? 17 MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. 18 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors 19 Hospital 20 MR. BEHAR: Up 21 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first? 22 MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I we may want to 23 find out who's here for the Doctors Hospital item. 24 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody 24 approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	1		1	
CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us like to read the item into the agenda, please? litems, make a switch in the — in the order — litems, make a switch in the — in the agenda? litems, make a switch in the — in the agenda? litems, make a switch in the — in the agenda? litems, make a switch in the — in the agenda? litems, make a switch in the — in the order — litems, make a switch in the — in the order — litems, make a switch in the — in the order — litems, make a switch in the — in the order — litems, make a switch in the — in the order — litems, make a switch in the — in the agenda? litems, make a switch in the — in the order — litems, make a switch in the agenda. litems, make a switch in the second items laters are laters are laters. litems, make a switch in the agenda. litems, make a switch in the second items laters are laters. litems, make a switch in the agenda. litems, make a switch in the agenda? litems, make a switch in the agenda. litems, make a switch in the agenda. litems, make a switch in the agenda. litems, make a switch in the agenda as litems, maybe like to read the items into the agenda, please? litems, make a switch in the agenda as litems, maybe like to read the items into the agenda, please? litems, make a switch in the agenda as litems, maybe like to read the items into the agenda as litems. litems, make a switch in the — in the order — litems, make a switch in the — in the order — litems, make a switch in the — in the order — litems, make a switch in the — in the order — litems, make a switc		we we hold a public hearing on all the items and		MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay.
like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is has less and we should find out who is here for the last item, maybe there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the items, make a switch in the in the order CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. BEHAR: Up CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. LHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you like to read the items into the agenda, please? MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items I through 7 first? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there weren't any individuals for the second item here except for City Staff. MR. COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	2	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately.	2	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay.
in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is — has less — and we should find out who is here for the last item, maybe we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the titems, make a switch in the — in the order — CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you there's going to be alot of speakers for one of the CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? Thomk you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? Thomk you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? Thomk you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the through 7 first? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 through 7 first? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there weren't any individuals for the second item here cecept for City Staff. MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I — we may want to Thompson AIZENSTAT: Who — is there anybody AND COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida AND COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who — is there anybody	2	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion	2 3	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board.
MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is has less and we should find out who is here for the last item, maybe should find out who is here for the last item, maybe there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the titems, make a switch in the in the order CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the titems, make a switch in the in the order CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the through 7 first? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 through 7 first? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there weren't any individuals for the second item here chairperson AIZENSTAT: first? MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I we may want to find out who's here for the Doctors Hospital item. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody Approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	2 3 4 5	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd	2 3 4	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take
9 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? 9 wait. That's my opinion. 10 MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, 11 which seems to be one that is – has less and we 12 should find out who is here for the last item, maybe 13 we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that 14 there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the 15 items, make a switch in the in the order 16 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? 17 MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. 18 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors 19 Hospital 20 MR. BEHAR: Up 21 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first? 21 MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I we may want to 23 find out who's here for the Doctors Hospital item. 24 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody 24 approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us	2 3 4 5	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people
MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is has less and we should find out who is here for the last item, maybe we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the items, make a switch in the in the order tiems, make a switch in the in the order MR. BEHAR: in the agenda? MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 through 7 first? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there Weren't any individuals for the second item here CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first? MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I we may want to find out who's here for the Doctors Hospital item. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody ACHAIRPERSON of public street pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6 7	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be
which seems to be one that is has less and we should find out who is here for the last item, maybe there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the items, make a switch in the in the order items, make a switch in the in the agenda? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there MR. BEHAR: Up 20 weren't any individuals for the second item here CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first? MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I we may want to 21 find out who's here for the Doctors Hospital item. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody Leverybody else okay with that? Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you like to read the items into the agenda, please? MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 through 7 first? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there weren't any individuals for the second item here except for City Staff. MR. COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida Approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be waiting — a lot of people here would have to sit and
should find out who is here for the last item, maybe 12 Thank you. 13 We should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that 14 there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the 15 items, make a switch in the in the order 16 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? 17 MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. 18 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors 19 Hospital 10 MR. BEHAR: Up 20 Weren't any individuals for the second item here 21 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first? 22 MR. BEHAR: Yes, I mean I we may want to 23 find out who's here for the Doctors Hospital item. 24 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody 12 Thank you. 13 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you like to read the items into the agenda, please? 14 like to read the items into the agenda, please? 15 MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 16 through 7 first? 17 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. 18 MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. 19 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there 20 weren't any individuals for the second item here 21 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first? 22 except for City Staff. 23 MR. COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida 24 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody 24 approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and wait. That's my opinion.
we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the items, make a switch in the in the order CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 through 7 first? MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there MR. BEHAR: Up CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first? MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I we may want to find out who's here for the Doctors Hospital item. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you Item to read the items into the agenda, please? MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there weren't any individuals for the second item here except for City Staff. MR. COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed.
there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the items, make a switch in the in the order CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 through 7 first? MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there MR. BEHAR: Up CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first? MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I we may want to find out who's here for the Doctors Hospital item. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody ARD COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is has less and we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be waiting — a lot of people here would have to sit and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Everybody else okay with that?
items, make a switch in the in the order CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 through 7 first? MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there MR. BEHAR: Up MR. BEHAR: Up CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first? MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there weren't any individuals for the second item here except for City Staff. MR. COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody Approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is has less and we should find out who is here for the last item, maybe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be waiting — a lot of people here would have to sit and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Everybody else okay with that? Thank you.
16 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? 17 MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. 18 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors 18 MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. 19 Hospital 20 MR. BEHAR: Up 21 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first? 22 MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I we may want to 23 find out who's here for the Doctors Hospital item. 24 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody 16 through 7 first? 17 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. 19 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there 20 weren't any individuals for the second item here 21 except for City Staff. 22 MR. COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of 23 the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida 24 approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is has less and we should find out who is here for the last item, maybe we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Everybody else okay with that? Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you
MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. Hospital MR. BEHAR: Up CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there MR. BEHAR: Up CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first? MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I we may want to MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I we may want to CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there weren't any individuals for the second item here except for City Staff. MR. COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody Approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is has less and we should find out who is here for the last item, maybe we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Everybody else okay with that? Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you like to read the items into the agenda, please?
CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors 18 MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. 19 Hospital 19 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there 20 MR. BEHAR: Up 21 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first? 21 except for City Staff. 22 MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I we may want to 23 find out who's here for the Doctors Hospital item. 24 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody 25 MR. COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of 26 the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida 27 approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is has less and we should find out who is here for the last item, maybe we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the items, make a switch in the in the order	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be waiting — a lot of people here would have to sit and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Everybody else okay with that? Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you like to read the items into the agenda, please? MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1
19 Hospital 20 MR. BEHAR: Up 21 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there 22 except for City Staff. 22 MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I we may want to 23 find out who's here for the Doctors Hospital item. 24 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody 25 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there 26 weren't any individuals for the second item here 27 except for City Staff. 28 MR. COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of 29 the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida 20 approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is has less and we should find out who is here for the last item, maybe we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the items, make a switch in the in the order CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be waiting — a lot of people here would have to sit and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Everybody else okay with that? Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you like to read the items into the agenda, please? MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 through 7 first?
MR. BEHAR: Up CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first? MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I we may want to find out who's here for the Doctors Hospital item. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody Weren't any individuals for the second item here except for City Staff. MR. COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is has less and we should find out who is here for the last item, maybe we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the items, make a switch in the in the order CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? MR. BEHAR: in the agenda.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Everybody else okay with that? Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you like to read the items into the agenda, please? MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 through 7 first? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first? 21 except for City Staff. 22 MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I we may want to 23 find out who's here for the Doctors Hospital item. 24 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody 25 except for City Staff. 26 MR. COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida 27 approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is has less and we should find out who is here for the last item, maybe we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the items, make a switch in the in the order CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Everybody else okay with that? Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you like to read the items into the agenda, please? MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 through 7 first? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay.
MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I we may want to MR. COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody Approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is has less and we should find out who is here for the last item, maybe we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the items, make a switch in the in the order CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors Hospital	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Everybody else okay with that? Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you like to read the items into the agenda, please? MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 through 7 first? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there
find out who's here for the Doctors Hospital item. 23 the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida 24 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody 24 approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is has less and we should find out who is here for the last item, maybe we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the items, make a switch in the in the order CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors Hospital MR. BEHAR: Up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Everybody else okay with that? Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you like to read the items into the agenda, please? MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 through 7 first? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there weren't any individuals for the second item here
24 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody 24 approving the vacation of public street pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is has less and we should find out who is here for the last item, maybe we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the items, make a switch in the in the order CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors Hospital MR. BEHAR: Up CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be waiting — a lot of people here would have to sit and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Everybody else okay with that? Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you like to read the items into the agenda, please? MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 through 7 first? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there weren't any individuals for the second item here except for City Staff.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is has less and we should find out who is here for the last item, maybe we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the items, make a switch in the in the order CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors Hospital MR. BEHAR: Up CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first? MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I we may want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be waiting — a lot of people here would have to sit and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Everybody else okay with that? Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you like to read the items into the agenda, please? MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 through 7 first? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there weren't any individuals for the second item here except for City Staff. MR. COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is has less and we should find out who is here for the last item, maybe we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the items, make a switch in the in the order CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors Hospital MR. BEHAR: Up CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first? MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I we may want to find out who's here for the Doctors Hospital item.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Everybody else okay with that? Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you like to read the items into the agenda, please? MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 through 7 first? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there weren't any individuals for the second item here except for City Staff. MR. COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	we we hold a public hearing on all the items and then we vote on them separately. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, I'd like to recognize that Mr. Revuelta has joined us in the meeting. Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, Robert? MR. BEHAR: I have a suggestion. The last item, which seems to be one that is has less and we should find out who is here for the last item, maybe we should bring that one up in lieu of knowing that there's going to be a lot of speakers for one of the items, make a switch in the in the order CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: In the agenda? MR. BEHAR: in the agenda. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: To bring the Doctors Hospital MR. BEHAR: Up CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: first? MR. BEHAR: Yes. I mean I we may want to find out who's here for the Doctors Hospital item. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who is there anybody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The Applicant is the City. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is the City. Okay. How does the Board feel about that? I'd like to poll the Board. MR. TORRE: I'm thinking if it's going to take a little while I would be courteous to the people here and get them out first, otherwise they'll be waiting a lot of people here would have to sit and wait. That's my opinion. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Agreed. Everybody else okay with that? Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you like to read the items into the agenda, please? MR. COLLER: Okay. We're going with items 1 through 7 first? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: Yeah, okay. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah, because there weren't any individuals for the second item here except for City Staff. MR. COLLER: Okay. Item F1.: An ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the vacation of public street pursuant to

	Page 13		Page 14
1	"Abandonment and Vacations: and City Code Chapter 62,	1	Item F3.: An Ordinance of the City Commission
2	Article 8, "Vacation, Abandonment and Closure of	2	of Coral Gables, Florida amending the Future Land Use
3	Streets, Easements and and Alleys by Private	3	Map of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan
4	Owners and the City; Application Process," providing	4	pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process,"
5	for the vacation of that portion of University Drive	5	Section 14-213, "Comprehensive Plan Text and Map
6	north of Malaga Avenue right-of-way and east of Ponce	6	Amendments," and Small Scale Small Scale amendment
7	de Leon Boulevard right-of-way which is approximately	7	procedures from "Commercial Low-Rise intensity" to
8	13,145 square feet in the area abutting Block 29,	8	"Commercial High-Rise Intensity" for Lots 8 through
9	Crafts Section, Coral Gables, Florida; providing for	9	21, less the West 1/2 of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts
10	a repealer provision, severability clause, and	10	Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot
11	providing for an effective date.	11	platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said
12	Item F2.: An Ordinance of the City Commission	12	Block 29, together with that portion of University
13	of Coral Gables, Florida, approving the vacation of a	13	Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue
14	public alleyway pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14,	14	right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard
15	"Process," Section 14-211, "Abandonment and	15	right-of-way, 3000 Ponce de Leon Boulevard, 216 and
16	Vacations" and City Code Chapter 62, Article 8,	16	224 Catalonia Avenue, 203 University Drive, and 225
17	"Vacation, Abandonment and Closure of Streets,	17	Malaga, Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a
18	Easements and Alleys by Private Owners and the City;	18	repealer provision, severability clause, and
19	Application Process," providing for the vacation of	19	providing for an effective date.
20	the twenty foot wide alley which is approximately one	20	Item F4.: An Ordinance of the City Commission
21	hundred and fifty-five feet in length lying between	21	of Coral Gables, Florida approving a Development
22	Lots 12 thru 18 and Lots 11 and 19 in Block 29,	22	Agreement pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14,
23	Crafts Section, Coral Gables, Florida; providing for	23	"Process," Section 14-217, "Development Agreements,"
24	a repealer provision, severability clause, and	24	for a proposed mixed-use development referred to as
25	providing for an effective date.	25	"Ponce Park Residences" related to the construction
	providing for all effective date.		Tonce I am residences remove to the constitution
	Page 15		Page 16
1	of a project consisting of a mix of uses including	1	Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida;
2	commercial and residential, on the property legally	2	including required conditions; providing for a
3	described as lots 8 through 21, less the West 1/2	3	
4		1	repealer provision, severability clause, and
4	of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with	4	repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date.
5	of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east		
		4	providing for an effective date.
5	that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east	4 5	providing for an effective date. F6.: A Resolution of the City Commission of
5 6	that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with	4 5 6	providing for an effective date. F6.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan
5 6 7	that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of	4 5 6 7	providing for an effective date. F6.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code
5 6 7 8	that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce	4 5 6 7 8	providing for an effective date. F6.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional
5 6 7 8 9	that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables,	4 5 6 7 8 9	providing for an effective date. F6.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses," for a proposed Mixed-Use project referred to
5 6 7 8 9	that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision,	4 5 6 7 8 9	providing for an effective date. F6.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses," for a proposed Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on the property legally
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	providing for an effective date. F6.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses," for a proposed Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West 1/2 of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	providing for an effective date. F6.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses," for a proposed Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West 1/2 of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date. F5.: A Resolution of the City Commission of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	providing for an effective date. F6.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses," for a proposed Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West 1/2 of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date. F5.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving receipt of Transfer	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	providing for an effective date. F6.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses," for a proposed Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West 1/2 of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date. F5.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving receipt of Transfer of Development Rights pursuant to Zoning Code Article	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	providing for an effective date. F6.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses," for a proposed Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West 1/2 of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date. F5.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving receipt of Transfer of Development Rights pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-204.6, "Review and approval	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	providing for an effective date. F6.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses," for a proposed Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West 1/2 of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date. F5.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving receipt of Transfer of Development Rights pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-204.6, "Review and approval of use of TDRs on receiver sites," for the receipt	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	providing for an effective date. F6.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses," for a proposed Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West 1/2 of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida;
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date. F5.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving receipt of Transfer of Development Rights pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-204.6, "Review and approval of use of TDRs on receiver sites," for the receipt and use of TDRs for a Mixed-Use project referred to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	providing for an effective date. F6.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses," for a proposed Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West 1/2 of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date. F5.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving receipt of Transfer of Development Rights pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-204.6, "Review and approval of use of TDRs on receiver sites," for the receipt and use of TDRs for a Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on property legally	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	providing for an effective date. F6.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses," for a proposed Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West 1/2 of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date. F5.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving receipt of Transfer of Development Rights pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-204.6, "Review and approval of use of TDRs on receiver sites," for the receipt and use of TDRs for a Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the 1 – West	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	providing for an effective date. F6.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses," for a proposed Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West 1/2 of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date. F5.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving receipt of Transfer of Development Rights pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-204.6, "Review and approval of use of TDRs on receiver sites," for the receipt and use of TDRs for a Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the 1 – West 1/2 of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	providing for an effective date. F6.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses," for a proposed Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West 1/2 of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Last one, F7.: A Resolution of the City
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date. F5.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving receipt of Transfer of Development Rights pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-204.6, "Review and approval of use of TDRs on receiver sites," for the receipt and use of TDRs for a Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the 1 – West 1/2 of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	providing for an effective date. F6.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses," for a proposed Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West 1/2 of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Last one, F7.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the

	Page 17		Page 18
1	re-plat of 56,095 feet into two tracts of land on the	1	matter come to the Commission, there should be a
2	property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less	2	change, it may be necessary for the matter to come
3	the West 1/2 of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section,	3	back to the Planning and Zoning Board, if it gets
4	together with that portion of the 20-foot platted	4	changed. So that would be determined at a subsequent
5	alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29,	5	date. But for now you're to treat it as if the
6	together with that portion of University Drive that	6	moratorium is not applicable to your recommendation
7	runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west	7	to the Board.
8	of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; Coral	8	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you for the
9	Gables, Florida; including required conditions;	9	clarification.
10	providing for a repealer provision, severability	10	MR. TORRE: Mr. Chair, I have a question.
11	clause, and providing an effective date.	11	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir.
12	Items F1. through F7. Public Hearing.	12	MR. TORRE: Just to make our work a little easier
13	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, Mr. Coller.	13	today. Some of us have seen this presentation in
14	Before I ask the Applicant to make their presentation	14	length. It was a very lengthy presentation.
15	•	15	
	I'd like to ask you a question.		I wanted to ask Staff if there's any variations
16	The City Commission went ahead and put a, under	16	or changes that we're going to be looking at today or
17	Discussion of Moratorium on Mediterranean Bonuses, as	17	are we going to see something close to what we saw
18	such, can you elaborate as to how this project falls	18	before? I know two, maybe three of you didn't see
19	within that and what the status would be?	19	that, but it was very lengthy. Is there a change?
20	MR. COLLER: Yes. At the time that was done it	20	And second question, to refer my or refresh my
21	was specifically contemplated and the question arose,	21	memory, what was the reason this was either deferred
22	how would the Planning and Zoning Board treat it?	22	or not voted on on that date?
23	And since you're recommending body, you would be	23	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias?
24	treating it as if, at this point, the Mediterranean	24	MR. TRIAS: I believe there are some very minor
25	is as is. However, should between now and this	25	changes that the Applicant wants to have an
	Page 19		Page 20
1	opportunity to speak. I think that they don't intend	1	want to apologize for the lengthy presentation that
2	to speak for more than ten or fifteen minutes, in any	2	was made on the prior occurrence. Zoom is a very
3	case. It may be a good idea to allow that given the	3	sterile environment that doesn't allow for the
4	fact that we have so many neighbors here today, that	4	typical Q&A and interaction, and so I apologize for
5	they may not have seen the video as you saw it	5	that. In my effort to be diligent and cover every
6	before.	6	single item in detail we went on a lengthy process to
7	As far as the reason that it was we we	7	do that. And so I will be succinct today in my
8	just ran out of time at that meeting.	8	efforts.
9	MR. BEHAR: Venny, if you remember, it was like,	9	I just have a short presentation, if they can
10	almost 9:00 o'clock, and the the attorney for the	10	bring that up.
11	Appl you know, the Applicant finished, so there	11	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Jill, do we have somebody
12	was not enough time for the public or for us to be	12	that will bring up the presentation?
13	able to to look at it.	13	Thank you.
14	MR. TORRE: Yeah, I'd forgotten. It was a	14	Go ahead, please.
15	it's a lengthy project that presentation. I just	15	MR. DE YURRE: Thank you. Is there a can I
13			
16	lost track of it.	16	use this controller here? Okay, great.
		16 17	use this controller here? Okay, great. So I was reminded about something and where we
16	lost track of it.		• •
16 17	lost track of it. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	17	So I was reminded about something and where we
16 17 18	lost track of it. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Thank you.	17 18	So I was reminded about something and where we are in our political climate, that all of you are
16 17 18 19	lost track of it. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: At this time I'd like to	17 18 19	So I was reminded about something and where we are in our political climate, that all of you are tasked with a dispassionate application of the law
16 17 18 19 20	lost track of it. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: At this time I'd like to go ahead and ask the Applicant, please, to come up.	17 18 19 20	So I was reminded about something and where we are in our political climate, that all of you are tasked with a dispassionate application of the law in an overly polarized and overly passionate world,
16 17 18 19 20 21	lost track of it. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: At this time I'd like to go ahead and ask the Applicant, please, to come up. MR. DE YURRE: Good evening. Anthony De Yurre,	17 18 19 20 21	So I was reminded about something and where we are in our political climate, that all of you are tasked with a dispassionate application of the law in an overly polarized and overly passionate world, and so I there have been some misinformation, even
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	lost track of it. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: At this time I'd like to go ahead and ask the Applicant, please, to come up. MR. DE YURRE: Good evening. Anthony De Yurre, 1450 Brickell Avenue, on behalf of the Applicant	17 18 19 20 21 22	So I was reminded about something and where we are in our political climate, that all of you are tasked with a dispassionate application of the law in an overly polarized and overly passionate world, and so I there have been some misinformation, even as of late, in regards to the project, even this
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	lost track of it. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: At this time I'd like to go ahead and ask the Applicant, please, to come up. MR. DE YURRE: Good evening. Anthony De Yurre, 1450 Brickell Avenue, on behalf of the Applicant — CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So I was reminded about something and where we are in our political climate, that all of you are tasked with a dispassionate application of the law in an overly polarized and overly passionate world, and so I there have been some misinformation, even as of late, in regards to the project, even this week, about free land being gifted to Mr. Allen

Page 21 Page 22 1 1 that did not exist, that we needed the vacation for is context, and it will be discussed. These are the 2 2 our height, which is not the case, and references to towers that are in the area. We're not the first 3 3 the park space as smaller than it actually is. And tallest tower, second, third, fourth or fifth tallest 4 4 tower in the area. We would be number six. so we're going to briefly just highlight a couple of 5 those points and, again, just hit some of these 5 Originally, we proposed the project at 190 feet 6 6 process questions and facts. six inches to max -- to match the context and 7 7 character that is currently there. We reduced it to This is not a free gift of land. The City is 8 8 keeping the land. Right now it is a -- held in 179 and reduced floors, and we reduced units. 9 9 Again, we are not even the top five tallest trust, as we have a reversionary interest over it as 10 10 a reversionary owner should it be vacated, and that's properties in the neighborhood. And even a property 11 what we're adding -- asking for. The City will get 11 that's south of us, okay, could be an application to 12 12 the land back in Fee Simple Title, which is greater go to 190 feet 6 inches. 13 title than they have today. And it will be 13 This is what ultimately looks like in terms of 14 maintained in perpetuity by the Applicant, and 14 the -- the yellow is what you're going to see is the 15 15 therefore the City will gain a greater interest in residential area in context. Everything else is the 16 16 current commercial uses in the area. So you'll see the land and, in fact, we're giving the City a 17 17 portion of our property that will be transferred over the entire block. Everything adjacent to us is 18 18 to the Gables. commercial in terms of zoning. 19 Again, quickly, the proposed project was actually 19 There are 200 pages of accidents, and I was told 20 a brain child of the City in its beautification of 20 in hearings that these are lies, that it's not true. 21 21 Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but budgets are And we've had many meetings with -- with the 22 constricting. We had to be careful about property 22 neighborhood. Many residents have been reasonable. 23 23 taxes and where they're spent. But this was the I want to be deferential to them. Some don't believe 24 genesis of -- of the idea for the park. 24 it's true, or that they did not occur at the 25 What's interesting here and what's very important 25 intersection that we're discussing creating the park Page 23 Page 24 1 at. There are 200 pages there and I will not revisit 1 Again, same thing in terms of proportion and 2 it. I will just show you, these are accidents 2 scale with the Biltmore in term of our windows and 3 3 specific at that intersection. Every single one is a openings. And again, the ratio of tower to podium 4 different -- a new accident that occurred at that 4 in the building. What's important is yes, we have to 5 5 intersection with the short-cut lane at University. accommodate for vehicles now. The Biltmore didn't 6 I'll save you the majority of them because it's 6 have to do that. But you'll notice, you can't tell 7 7 hundred of pages of -- of records. where our vehicles are. Why? Because it's the 8 8 This is the overall open space that we're quality of architecture that's there. I know many 9 9 planning to create. In total, it is larger than of you are architects. It's not an easy thing to 10 10 Merrick Park which is across the street here where accomplish, but yet, we were able to do so, and then 11 everybody's familiar with the Christmas events that 11 wrap the entire building in the same material as --12 go on there. So it is not, by any comparison, a 12 as City Hall. 13 small area, and in an urban setting it is rather 13 This is just a cross section about the level of large and we hope to create something very unique 14 14 expense and effort we went through to hide the 15 here on the level of 1111 Lincoln Road that is an 15 parking and beautify the building for the -- for the 16 attraction and incredible place making for visitors 16 immediate area. 17 from all over South Florida. 17 Again, copying the balcony areas here from the 18 18 Again, the inspiration for this, you can't tell City Hall. 19 in this imagery, but the building is proposed to be 19 And in terms of height, what you're talking about 20 clad with the same materials you see here on the dais 20 is an 80-foot wide area on only the upper floors. 21 and the outside of this building. And in -- in terms 21 This is the one that, again, is going to go to the 22 of scope and dimension of the Paseo -- excuse me, The 22 taller heights. Below this we're at, I believe, 80 23 Colonnade that we'll have at the project, they almost 23 24 match it and mimic exactly The Colonnade on Miracle 24 I'm going as quickly as possible here. 25 Mile and the annexed portion of the Biltmore. 25 As you can tell from this, it is not just plainly

	Page 25		Page 26
1	a concrete plaza. There are a number of green areas	1	in the area. And the upper towers, again, are very
2	and fountains and when you pop in the actual coverage	2	thin and only 80 feet, which respects and is
3	and foliage, it is incredibly canopied.	3	deferential to the requirements for step-backs in the
4	This is a real life rendering of what you would	4	City at the upper floors.
5	hope to see there at end of the day, again, from the	5	Thank you, very much, for your consideration, and
6	entry feature on the corner of Ponce.	6	I'll be available for comments and reserve some time
7	And I thank you very much. That would conclude	7	at the end.
8	the portion of our presentation. I know you were	8	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.
9	you were timing me, and there are going to be a	9	MR. DE YURRE: The only other the only other
10	lot of comments made today and I want to reserve some	10	comment I wanted to make is you know what, I'll
11	time to address them at the conclusion because we	11	save it. Thank you very much.
12	really have we've gone, and Mr. Morris himself has	12	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you for being
13	had individual meetings with folks in the community	13	concise.
14	to try to get past a lot of the the chatter that's	14	MR. DE YURRE: Thank you.
15	gone on about the project. And in addition to that,	15	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Appreciate it.
16	we've had multiple public hearing public meetings	16	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, may may I have the
17	in a Zoom environment, in person at the property.	17	PowerPoint, please?
18	And what's interesting is that I always start	18	Thank you. As as the Applicant and the City
19	with the same and I'll close today with that, is that	19	Attorney explained, we have seven requests. It's a
20	this property was once a Royal Castle, it was once a	20	very, very complex application. And the seven
21	Chevron station. It has been many, many things, and	21	requests are related and I'm going to try to do my
22	today we have an opportunity to create something	22	best to explain what that means and and what the
23	unique and special there within the context and	23	consequences of each of those decisions would be.
24	character of the area that is not the tallest, the	24	The site is shown in yellow. As you can see, if
25	second tallest, third, fourth, fifth tallest building	25	the Applicant were to abandon the right-of-way, that
23	second tanest, unid, fourth, murtanest building	25	the Applicant were to abandon the right-or-way, that
	Page 27		Page 28
1	is the whole site which is right fronting on Ponce de	1	we did, so I don't think that's a very significant
2	Leon all the way to Catalonia. As you can see, it's	2	issue. But that those are the facts.
3	a very sizable parcel.	3	Now, the seven requests are very, very
4	Now, the site, as existing, I would describe it	4	interesting because I tried to I tried to map them
5	as four different components. One of them is the	5	originally in the presentation with the goal of
6	right-of-way, which the Applicant has requested,	6	clarifying things and then I realized that this
7	which is about 13,000 square feet, which is in the	7	graphic actually, instead of making it more easy to
8	gray area in the front. There's an alley going	8	understand, it made it more complicated. But I kept
9	through the middle of that block, and then there are	9	it in the presentation for one reason, which is that
10	two separate parcels on either side of the alley.	10	this is the Code that we've had in this City for at
11	One of them is 14,000 square feet and the other one	10	least 40 years, more or less, which is the time when
11 12	One of them is 14,000 square feet and the other one is 25,000 square feet. And I say all this because	11 12	least 40 years, more or less, which is the time when the Med Bonus was originally approved, and so this is
11 12 13	One of them is 14,000 square feet and the other one is 25,000 square feet. And I say all this because each of these elements has some significance as	11 12 13	least 40 years, more or less, which is the time when the Med Bonus was originally approved, and so this is the kind of Code we have. We have a Code that is
11 12 13 14	One of them is 14,000 square feet and the other one is 25,000 square feet. And I say all this because each of these elements has some significance as related to the request.	11 12 13 14	least 40 years, more or less, which is the time when the Med Bonus was originally approved, and so this is the kind of Code we have. We have a Code that is extremely complex. It's very hard to predict the —
11 12 13 14 15	One of them is 14,000 square feet and the other one is 25,000 square feet. And I say all this because each of these elements has some significance as related to the request. In the the current request, as as the	11 12 13 14 15	least 40 years, more or less, which is the time when the Med Bonus was originally approved, and so this is the kind of Code we have. We have a Code that is extremely complex. It's very hard to predict the the outcomes at the very beginning, and when a one
11 12 13 14 15 16	One of them is 14,000 square feet and the other one is 25,000 square feet. And I say all this because each of these elements has some significance as related to the request. In the — the current request, as — as the Applicant has shown, is 179 feet tall, the FAR of the	11 12 13 14 15 16	least 40 years, more or less, which is the time when the Med Bonus was originally approved, and so this is the kind of Code we have. We have a Code that is extremely complex. It's very hard to predict the — the outcomes at the very beginning, and when a — one of our wonderful citizens and neighbors asked me
11 12 13 14 15 16	One of them is 14,000 square feet and the other one is 25,000 square feet. And I say all this because each of these elements has some significance as related to the request. In the — the current request, as — as the Applicant has shown, is 179 feet tall, the FAR of the whole parcel in yellow would be 4.03, and it's going	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	least 40 years, more or less, which is the time when the Med Bonus was originally approved, and so this is the kind of Code we have. We have a Code that is extremely complex. It's very hard to predict the — the outcomes at the very beginning, and when a — one of our wonderful citizens and neighbors asked me things like what is allowed by right, even that —
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	One of them is 14,000 square feet and the other one is 25,000 square feet. And I say all this because each of these elements has some significance as related to the request. In the the current request, as as the Applicant has shown, is 179 feet tall, the FAR of the whole parcel in yellow would be 4.03, and it's going under the 2007 Zoning Code. Those are the requests	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	least 40 years, more or less, which is the time when the Med Bonus was originally approved, and so this is the kind of Code we have. We have a Code that is extremely complex. It's very hard to predict the the outcomes at the very beginning, and when a one of our wonderful citizens and neighbors asked me things like what is allowed by right, even that that answer is complicated because "by right" is not
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	One of them is 14,000 square feet and the other one is 25,000 square feet. And I say all this because each of these elements has some significance as related to the request. In the – the current request, as – as the Applicant has shown, is 179 feet tall, the FAR of the whole parcel in yellow would be 4.03, and it's going under the 2007 Zoning Code. Those are the requests of the Applicant. And that has to do with the fact	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	least 40 years, more or less, which is the time when the Med Bonus was originally approved, and so this is the kind of Code we have. We have a Code that is extremely complex. It's very hard to predict the — the outcomes at the very beginning, and when a — one of our wonderful citizens and neighbors asked me things like what is allowed by right, even that — that answer is complicated because "by right" is not a concept in our Zoning Code.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	One of them is 14,000 square feet and the other one is 25,000 square feet. And I say all this because each of these elements has some significance as related to the request. In the – the current request, as – as the Applicant has shown, is 179 feet tall, the FAR of the whole parcel in yellow would be 4.03, and it's going under the 2007 Zoning Code. Those are the requests of the Applicant. And that has to do with the fact that they got the Med Bonus review approved some	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	least 40 years, more or less, which is the time when the Med Bonus was originally approved, and so this is the kind of Code we have. We have a Code that is extremely complex. It's very hard to predict the — the outcomes at the very beginning, and when a — one of our wonderful citizens and neighbors asked me things like what is allowed by right, even that — that answer is complicated because "by right" is not a concept in our Zoning Code. So I want to say all that as an introduction
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	One of them is 14,000 square feet and the other one is 25,000 square feet. And I say all this because each of these elements has some significance as related to the request. In the the current request, as as the Applicant has shown, is 179 feet tall, the FAR of the whole parcel in yellow would be 4.03, and it's going under the 2007 Zoning Code. Those are the requests of the Applicant. And that has to do with the fact that they got the Med Bonus review approved some months ago and it falls into that that category.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	least 40 years, more or less, which is the time when the Med Bonus was originally approved, and so this is the kind of Code we have. We have a Code that is extremely complex. It's very hard to predict the — the outcomes at the very beginning, and when a — one of our wonderful citizens and neighbors asked me things like what is allowed by right, even that — that answer is complicated because "by right" is not a concept in our Zoning Code. So I want to say all that as an introduction because I have never seen such a complicated
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	One of them is 14,000 square feet and the other one is 25,000 square feet. And I say all this because each of these elements has some significance as related to the request. In the the current request, as as the Applicant has shown, is 179 feet tall, the FAR of the whole parcel in yellow would be 4.03, and it's going under the 2007 Zoning Code. Those are the requests of the Applicant. And that has to do with the fact that they got the Med Bonus review approved some months ago and it falls into that that category. Now, the reality is that there are no no big	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	least 40 years, more or less, which is the time when the Med Bonus was originally approved, and so this is the kind of Code we have. We have a Code that is extremely complex. It's very hard to predict the — the outcomes at the very beginning, and when a — one of our wonderful citizens and neighbors asked me things like what is allowed by right, even that — that answer is complicated because "by right" is not a concept in our Zoning Code. So I want to say all that as an introduction because I have never seen such a complicated application in my many years now working in this
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	One of them is 14,000 square feet and the other one is 25,000 square feet. And I say all this because each of these elements has some significance as related to the request. In the the current request, as as the Applicant has shown, is 179 feet tall, the FAR of the whole parcel in yellow would be 4.03, and it's going under the 2007 Zoning Code. Those are the requests of the Applicant. And that has to do with the fact that they got the Med Bonus review approved some months ago and it falls into that that category. Now, the reality is that there are no no big differences, nothing really changed radically	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	least 40 years, more or less, which is the time when the Med Bonus was originally approved, and so this is the kind of Code we have. We have a Code that is extremely complex. It's very hard to predict the — the outcomes at the very beginning, and when a — one of our wonderful citizens and neighbors asked me things like what is allowed by right, even that — that answer is complicated because "by right" is not a concept in our Zoning Code. So I want to say all that as an introduction because I have never seen such a complicated application in my many years now working in this business.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	One of them is 14,000 square feet and the other one is 25,000 square feet. And I say all this because each of these elements has some significance as related to the request. In the the current request, as as the Applicant has shown, is 179 feet tall, the FAR of the whole parcel in yellow would be 4.03, and it's going under the 2007 Zoning Code. Those are the requests of the Applicant. And that has to do with the fact that they got the Med Bonus review approved some months ago and it falls into that that category. Now, the reality is that there are no no big	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	least 40 years, more or less, which is the time when the Med Bonus was originally approved, and so this is the kind of Code we have. We have a Code that is extremely complex. It's very hard to predict the — the outcomes at the very beginning, and when a — one of our wonderful citizens and neighbors asked me things like what is allowed by right, even that — that answer is complicated because "by right" is not a concept in our Zoning Code. So I want to say all that as an introduction because I have never seen such a complicated application in my many years now working in this

	Page 29		Page 30
1	there's one additional request which is the	1	of land use the vacation of University Drive has
2	amendment of the City Plan that is a historic request	2	been portrayed by the Applicant as the as the
3	that goes to the Historic Preservation Board. So the	3	City's idea, and I don't think that's accurate, and I
4	reality is that the Applicant, in addition to all	4	don't know
5	this, would also have to go to the Historic	5	When was that drawing prepared? Do you know the
6	Preservation Board to request the vacation of the	6	actual date of that drawing that you showed?
7	right-of-way and and probably the alley.	7	MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the can you
8	So the Staff recommendations, and I want it to be	8	speak into the mike because the court reporter's
9	clear at the very beginning because I think that	9	taking this down, so
10	often we get mixed messages and get confused about	10	MR. TRIAS: It was a joint prepare about 20 years
11	about some issues. I read very confusing things	11	ago as as an idea by the Public Works Department,
12	today published in different publications, but the	12	but it's not something 2001. So it it's not
13	the issue is that Staff has recommended denial in	13	something that the Public Works Department is working
14	most of the items, all of them in red. The only ones	14	on, right, that the Director is here or anything like
15	that I the only one that I would consider	15	that. So so at some point maybe somebody prepared
16	recommending approval would be the vacation of the	16	that drawing and maybe they thought it was a good
17	alley. But at this point, without a project, it	17	idea.
18	really by itself doesn't mean anything significant.	18	But in any event, that that drawing does not
19	So so that's just a possibility of some discussion	19	say all of the development rights should go to the
20	if the Applicant chooses to do that.	20	parcel next to it. That was never never the idea.
21	And then the the tentative plat, we're saying	21	The idea is that maybe maybe there's some ways to
22	deferral because it would have to be corrected.	22	enhance the traffic. Perhaps there's a way to get
23	That's really a technical issue.	23	better public space, park space, fine, that's
24	But in terms of the things that are meaningful,	24	that's okay. That was 20 years ago and that's
25	which is the vacation of University Drive, the change	25	something that, at this point, that I would not
	Page 31		Page 32
1	Page 31 recommend vacating that right-of-way.	1	Page 32 several times. There were neighborhood meetings in
1 2	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because	1 2	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to
	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you	2 3	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning
2 3 4	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing	2 3 4	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second
2 3 4 5	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you	2 3 4 5	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you
2 3 4 5	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use.	2 3 4 5 6	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some —
2 3 4 5 6 7	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community	2 3 4 5 6 7	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community and so on we talk about zoning, we talk about other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago. So what happens is that during that time, in my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community and so on we talk about zoning, we talk about other things, but technically, what really is the big	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago. So what happens is that during that time, in my — from my perspective, the project has not changed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community and so on we talk about zoning, we talk about other things, but technically, what really is the big change would be the change of land use.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago. So what happens is that during that time, in my — from my perspective, the project has not changed significantly. The Applicant may say yeah, we made
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community and so on we talk about zoning, we talk about other things, but technically, what really is the big change would be the change of land use. The the TDRs add bulk. There's more square	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago. So what happens is that during that time, in my — from my perspective, the project has not changed significantly. The Applicant may say yeah, we made some changes, and they're right. They made some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community and so on we talk about zoning, we talk about other things, but technically, what really is the big change would be the change of land use. The — the TDRs add bulk. There's more square footage that would be in the project. And then the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago. So what happens is that during that time, in my — from my perspective, the project has not changed significantly. The Applicant may say yeah, we made some changes, and they're right. They made some changes. But in terms of a significant redesign
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community and so on we talk about zoning, we talk about other things, but technically, what really is the big change would be the change of land use. The — the TDRs add bulk. There's more square footage that would be in the project. And then the Development Agreement really is something that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago. So what happens is that during that time, in my — from my perspective, the project has not changed significantly. The Applicant may say yeah, we made some changes, and they're right. They made some changes. But in terms of a significant redesign that would address some of the concerns, I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community and so on we talk about zoning, we talk about other things, but technically, what really is the big change would be the change of land use. The — the TDRs add bulk. There's more square footage that would be in the project. And then the Development Agreement really is something that was negotiated assuming that all of these things would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago. So what happens is that during that time, in my — from my perspective, the project has not changed significantly. The Applicant may say yeah, we made some changes, and they're right. They made some changes. But in terms of a significant redesign that would address some of the concerns, I don't think that that has taken place. So that is why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community and so on we talk about zoning, we talk about other things, but technically, what really is the big change would be the change of land use. The — the TDRs add bulk. There's more square footage that would be in the project. And then the Development Agreement really is something that was negotiated assuming that all of these things would be approved. So really it's not something that we could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago. So what happens is that during that time, in my — from my perspective, the project has not changed significantly. The Applicant may say yeah, we made some changes, and they're right. They made some changes. But in terms of a significant redesign that would address some of the concerns, I don't think that that has taken place. So that is why they're presenting a project that is basically the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community and so on we talk about zoning, we talk about other things, but technically, what really is the big change would be the change of land use. The — the TDRs add bulk. There's more square footage that would be in the project. And then the Development Agreement really is something that was negotiated assuming that all of these things would be approved. So really it's not something that we could support, or that the Applicant would even suggest at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago. So what happens is that during that time, in my — from my perspective, the project has not changed significantly. The Applicant may say yeah, we made some changes, and they're right. They made some changes. But in terms of a significant redesign that would address some of the concerns, I don't think that that has taken place. So that is why they're presenting a project that is basically the same as the project that they presented last time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community and so on we talk about zoning, we talk about other things, but technically, what really is the big change would be the change of land use. The — the TDRs add bulk. There's more square footage that would be in the project. And then the Development Agreement really is something that was negotiated assuming that all of these things would be approved. So really it's not something that we could support, or that the Applicant would even suggest at this point if the project were not to be — if their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago. So what happens is that during that time, in my — from my perspective, the project has not changed significantly. The Applicant may say yeah, we made some changes, and they're right. They made some changes. But in terms of a significant redesign that would address some of the concerns, I don't think that that has taken place. So that is why they're presenting a project that is basically the same as the project that they presented last time. As you know, we take notices very seriously.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community and so on we talk about zoning, we talk about other things, but technically, what really is the big change would be the change of land use. The — the TDRs add bulk. There's more square footage that would be in the project. And then the Development Agreement really is something that was negotiated assuming that all of these things would be approved. So really it's not something that we could support, or that the Applicant would even suggest at this point if the project were not to be — if their request were not to be approved.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago. So what happens is that during that time, in my — from my perspective, the project has not changed significantly. The Applicant may say yeah, we made some changes, and they're right. They made some changes. But in terms of a significant redesign that would address some of the concerns, I don't think that that has taken place. So that is why they're presenting a project that is basically the same as the project that they presented last time. As you know, we take notices very seriously. We send letters to property owners within 1500 feet,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community and so on we talk about zoning, we talk about other things, but technically, what really is the big change would be the change of land use. The — the TDRs add bulk. There's more square footage that would be in the project. And then the Development Agreement really is something that was negotiated assuming that all of these things would be approved. So really it's not something that we could support, or that the Applicant would even suggest at this point if the project were not to be — if their request were not to be approved. And the Mixed-Use Plan is the actual design.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago. So what happens is that during that time, in my — from my perspective, the project has not changed significantly. The Applicant may say yeah, we made some changes, and they're right. They made some changes. But in terms of a significant redesign that would address some of the concerns, I don't think that that has taken place. So that is why they're presenting a project that is basically the same as the project that they presented last time. As you know, we take notices very seriously. We send letters to property owners within 1500 feet, because our Comprehensive Plan really emphasizes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community and so on we talk about zoning, we talk about other things, but technically, what really is the big change would be the change of land use. The — the TDRs add bulk. There's more square footage that would be in the project. And then the Development Agreement really is something that was negotiated assuming that all of these things would be approved. So really it's not something that we could support, or that the Applicant would even suggest at this point if the project were not to be — if their request were not to be approved. And the Mixed-Use Plan is the actual design. The design would have to be consistent with the land	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago. So what happens is that during that time, in my — from my perspective, the project has not changed significantly. The Applicant may say yeah, we made some changes, and they're right. They made some changes. But in terms of a significant redesign that would address some of the concerns, I don't think that that has taken place. So that is why they're presenting a project that is basically the same as the project that they presented last time. As you know, we take notices very seriously. We send letters to property owners within 1500 feet, because our Comprehensive Plan really emphasizes public input. And I think that the last time we were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community and so on we talk about zoning, we talk about other things, but technically, what really is the big change would be the change of land use. The — the TDRs add bulk. There's more square footage that would be in the project. And then the Development Agreement really is something that was negotiated assuming that all of these things would be approved. So really it's not something that we could support, or that the Applicant would even suggest at this point if the project were not to be — if their request were not to be approved. And the Mixed-Use Plan is the actual design. The design would have to be consistent with the land use, consistent with the height and so on, so that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago. So what happens is that during that time, in my — from my perspective, the project has not changed significantly. The Applicant may say yeah, we made some changes, and they're right. They made some changes. But in terms of a significant redesign that would address some of the concerns, I don't think that that has taken place. So that is why they're presenting a project that is basically the same as the project that they presented last time. As you know, we take notices very seriously. We send letters to property owners within 1500 feet, because our Comprehensive Plan really emphasizes public input. And I think that the last time we were here we didn't get a chance to hear from anyone from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community and so on we talk about zoning, we talk about other things, but technically, what really is the big change would be the change of land use. The — the TDRs add bulk. There's more square footage that would be in the project. And then the Development Agreement really is something that was negotiated assuming that all of these things would be approved. So really it's not something that we could support, or that the Applicant would even suggest at this point if the project were not to be — if their request were not to be approved. And the Mixed-Use Plan is the actual design. The design would have to be consistent with the land use, consistent with the height and so on, so that is the reason why all of that is there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago. So what happens is that during that time, in my — from my perspective, the project has not changed significantly. The Applicant may say yeah, we made some changes, and they're right. They made some changes. But in terms of a significant redesign that would address some of the concerns, I don't think that that has taken place. So that is why they're presenting a project that is basically the same as the project that they presented last time. As you know, we take notices very seriously. We send letters to property owners within 1500 feet, because our Comprehensive Plan really emphasizes public input. And I think that the last time we were here we didn't get a chance to hear from anyone from the community. I hope today we can do that because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community and so on we talk about zoning, we talk about other things, but technically, what really is the big change would be the change of land use. The — the TDRs add bulk. There's more square footage that would be in the project. And then the Development Agreement really is something that was negotiated assuming that all of these things would be approved. So really it's not something that we could support, or that the Applicant would even suggest at this point if the project were not to be — if their request were not to be approved. And the Mixed-Use Plan is the actual design. The design would have to be consistent with the land use, consistent with the height and so on, so that is the reason why all of that is there. The time limit of the review, as you can see,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago. So what happens is that during that time, in my — from my perspective, the project has not changed significantly. The Applicant may say yeah, we made some changes, and they're right. They made some changes. But in terms of a significant redesign that would address some of the concerns, I don't think that that has taken place. So that is why they're presenting a project that is basically the same as the project that they presented last time. As you know, we take notices very seriously. We send letters to property owners within 1500 feet, because our Comprehensive Plan really emphasizes public input. And I think that the last time we were here we didn't get a chance to hear from anyone from the community. I hope today we can do that because this is very important.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community and so on we talk about zoning, we talk about other things, but technically, what really is the big change would be the change of land use. The — the TDRs add bulk. There's more square footage that would be in the project. And then the Development Agreement really is something that was negotiated assuming that all of these things would be approved. So really it's not something that we could support, or that the Applicant would even suggest at this point if the project were not to be — if their request were not to be approved. And the Mixed-Use Plan is the actual design. The design would have to be consistent with the land use, consistent with the height and so on, so that is the reason why all of that is there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago. So what happens is that during that time, in my — from my perspective, the project has not changed significantly. The Applicant may say yeah, we made some changes, and they're right. They made some changes. But in terms of a significant redesign that would address some of the concerns, I don't think that that has taken place. So that is why they're presenting a project that is basically the same as the project that they presented last time. As you know, we take notices very seriously. We send letters to property owners within 1500 feet, because our Comprehensive Plan really emphasizes public input. And I think that the last time we were here we didn't get a chance to hear from anyone from the community. I hope today we can do that because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	recommend vacating that right-of-way. The change of land use is significant because the change of land use is what actually gives you the extra height. And I'll explain it with a drawing later on. That is the — the biggest item before you at any given point, a change of land use. Usually, in our discussions with the community and so on we talk about zoning, we talk about other things, but technically, what really is the big change would be the change of land use. The — the TDRs add bulk. There's more square footage that would be in the project. And then the Development Agreement really is something that was negotiated assuming that all of these things would be approved. So really it's not something that we could support, or that the Applicant would even suggest at this point if the project were not to be — if their request were not to be approved. And the Mixed-Use Plan is the actual design. The design would have to be consistent with the land use, consistent with the height and so on, so that is the reason why all of that is there. The time limit of the review, as you can see, goes back a long time. About a year ago or so it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	several times. There were neighborhood meetings in November of 2020. There were some other meetings to discuss the project. And today we have the Planning and Zoning Board Meeting and — and — or the second Planning and Zoning Board Meeting because, as you know, the first time that we saw this was some — some months ago. So what happens is that during that time, in my — from my perspective, the project has not changed significantly. The Applicant may say yeah, we made some changes, and they're right. They made some changes. But in terms of a significant redesign that would address some of the concerns, I don't think that that has taken place. So that is why they're presenting a project that is basically the same as the project that they presented last time. As you know, we take notices very seriously. We send letters to property owners within 1500 feet, because our Comprehensive Plan really emphasizes public input. And I think that the last time we were here we didn't get a chance to hear from anyone from the community. I hope today we can do that because this is very important. The — the public notifications, three letters

	Page 33		Page 34
1	posted, four times we had a website posting, and two	1	Bonus Level 2. So those are the rules. Those are
2	times we had the required newspaper advertisement for	2	the rules.
3	for this meeting. So those are the requirements	3	And the issue is, you set the rules, or the
4	of the Code. As you can see, they are very	4	Commission decides to change the rules or not, and
5	extensive. And there are multiple ways to notice	5	then the Applicant has to provide a project that
6	those people. So I think that's that's really	6	follows those rules. So that that's the that's
7	critical.	7	the University Drive right-of-way. It's over 13,000
8	So what happens is that we prepared a few	8	square feet and it's a pretty significant part of the
9	diagrams that are the same view from basically the	9	City Plan. I mean the City Plan, as you know, was
10	southeast looking at the parcel because it gives you	10	prepared by George Merrick and his team, and it is
11	some good idea of the context.	11	one of the best planning documents in Florida, by
12	And if one were to ask the question what is	12	far, and I think that it has very many, many
13	currently allowed, without any changes, without any	13	interesting aspects to it and this will be a big
14	Med Bonus, without any alley vacations, or anything	14	change.
15	like that, we would be looking at a four story 45	15	Now, the Applicant attempted to reflect that plan
16	feet, two, two buildings, one on one side of the	16	with a design, so they made an effort. But the
17	alley, another one on the other side of the alley.	17	decision to do this as part of the parcel had very
18	I think that what happens is that the the	18	significant effects on the FAR and the just the
19	the request the request is is a big change.	19	overall impacts of the project. So I would recommend
20	And the request would allow to 190. That is not what	20	denial.
21	the Applicant's requesting. The Applicant's	21	The vacation of the alley, in this case, as you
22	requesting 179. But the actual request of the land	22	can see, this is not a typical block. It's kind of
23	use, plus the Med Bonus that applies to that land use	23	like, you know, a triangle. In my view, it was a
24	could reach 190. 150 is the is the Com Plan	24	fairly reasonable request if you own both sides of
25	height without the Med Bonus. 190 is with the Med	25	the alley. So that's the only one that I would say
	Page 35		Page 36
1	Page 35 we could recommend support for. What does that do?	1	Page 36 however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a
1 2		1 2	
	we could recommend support for. What does that do?		however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a
2	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the the alley, if it were to be vacated,	2	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the
2	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it	2 3	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation.
2 3 4	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site	2 3 4	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the — the bulk of
2 3 4 5	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide	2 3 4 5	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the — the bulk of the project, increase the FAR.
2 3 4 5	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide enough along the right-of-way to qualify, but once	2 3 4 5 6	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the the bulk of the project, increase the FAR. So in this case, at this point, given the fact
2 3 4 5 6 7	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide enough along the right-of-way to qualify, but once they are together they can. So at that point is when	2 3 4 5 6 7	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the — the bulk of the project, increase the FAR. So in this case, at this point, given the fact that the project is something that is not something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the — the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide enough along the right-of-way to qualify, but once they are together they can. So at that point is when they could go up to 77 feet, which is another number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the — the bulk of the project, increase the FAR. So in this case, at this point, given the fact that the project is something that is not something that I would — could support, this is also recommended denial at this point. Mixed-Use Site Plan. Site Plan is the project.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide enough along the right-of-way to qualify, but once they are together they can. So at that point is when they could go up to 77 feet, which is another number that has been discussed publicly, if that were to happen. The change in land use, as I said, is the biggest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the the bulk of the project, increase the FAR. So in this case, at this point, given the fact that the project is something that is not something that I would could support, this is also recommended denial at this point. Mixed-Use Site Plan. Site Plan is the project. The project needs to follow the the rules. We're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the — the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide enough along the right-of-way to qualify, but once they are together they can. So at that point is when they could go up to 77 feet, which is another number that has been discussed publicly, if that were to happen. The change in land use, as I said, is the biggest change. If you look at the left image is pink, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the — the bulk of the project, increase the FAR. So in this case, at this point, given the fact that the project is something that is not something that I would — could support, this is also recommended denial at this point. Mixed-Use Site Plan. Site Plan is the project. The project needs to follow the — the rules. We're saying no to those rules. So the project would have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the — the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide enough along the right-of-way to qualify, but once they are together they can. So at that point is when they could go up to 77 feet, which is another number that has been discussed publicly, if that were to happen. The change in land use, as I said, is the biggest change. If you look at the left image is pink, the right image is what's requested, which is the dark	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the — the bulk of the project, increase the FAR. So in this case, at this point, given the fact that the project is something that is not something that I would — could support, this is also recommended denial at this point. Mixed-Use Site Plan. Site Plan is the project. The project needs to follow the — the rules. We're saying no to those rules. So the project would have to be redesigned. So the Mixed-Use Site Plan we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the — the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide enough along the right-of-way to qualify, but once they are together they can. So at that point is when they could go up to 77 feet, which is another number that has been discussed publicly, if that were to happen. The change in land use, as I said, is the biggest change. If you look at the left image is pink, the right image is what's requested, which is the dark red, which is the highest land use that we have. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the — the bulk of the project, increase the FAR. So in this case, at this point, given the fact that the project is something that is not something that I would — could support, this is also recommended denial at this point. Mixed-Use Site Plan. Site Plan is the project. The project needs to follow the — the rules. We're saying no to those rules. So the project would have to be redesigned. So the Mixed-Use Site Plan we're also recommending denial.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the — the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide enough along the right-of-way to qualify, but once they are together they can. So at that point is when they could go up to 77 feet, which is another number that has been discussed publicly, if that were to happen. The change in land use, as I said, is the biggest change. If you look at the left image is pink, the right image is what's requested, which is the dark red, which is the highest land use that we have. The land use gives you the extra height. It's the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the — the bulk of the project, increase the FAR. So in this case, at this point, given the fact that the project is something that is not something that I would — could support, this is also recommended denial at this point. Mixed-Use Site Plan. Site Plan is the project. The project needs to follow the — the rules. We're saying no to those rules. So the project would have to be redesigned. So the Mixed-Use Site Plan we're also recommending denial. And the Tentative Plat, all we're saying that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide enough along the right-of-way to qualify, but once they are together they can. So at that point is when they could go up to 77 feet, which is another number that has been discussed publicly, if that were to happen. The change in land use, as I said, is the biggest change. If you look at the left image is pink, the right image is what's requested, which is the dark red, which is the highest land use that we have. The land use gives you the extra height. It's the same FAR, believe it or not, in the commercial, but the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the — the bulk of the project, increase the FAR. So in this case, at this point, given the fact that the project is something that is not something that I would — could support, this is also recommended denial at this point. Mixed-Use Site Plan. Site Plan is the project. The project needs to follow the — the rules. We're saying no to those rules. So the project would have to be redesigned. So the Mixed-Use Site Plan we're also recommending denial. And the Tentative Plat, all we're saying that it has to be deferred because right now it assumes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide enough along the right-of-way to qualify, but once they are together they can. So at that point is when they could go up to 77 feet, which is another number that has been discussed publicly, if that were to happen. The change in land use, as I said, is the biggest change. If you look at the left image is pink, the right image is what's requested, which is the dark red, which is the highest land use that we have. The land use gives you the extra height. It's the same FAR, believe it or not, in the commercial, but the extra height is what allows, for example, parking to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the the bulk of the project, increase the FAR. So in this case, at this point, given the fact that the project is something that is not something that I would could support, this is also recommended denial at this point. Mixed-Use Site Plan. Site Plan is the project. The project needs to follow the the rules. We're saying no to those rules. So the project would have to be redesigned. So the Mixed-Use Site Plan we're also recommending denial. And the Tentative Plat, all we're saying that it has to be deferred because right now it assumes vacation and that is not being recommended, so so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the — the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide enough along the right-of-way to qualify, but once they are together they can. So at that point is when they could go up to 77 feet, which is another number that has been discussed publicly, if that were to happen. The change in land use, as I said, is the biggest change. If you look at the left image is pink, the right image is what's requested, which is the dark red, which is the highest land use that we have. The land use gives you the extra height. It's the same FAR, believe it or not, in the commercial, but the extra height is what allows, for example, parking to fit, it allows for many of the — of the necessary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the — the bulk of the project, increase the FAR. So in this case, at this point, given the fact that the project is something that is not something that I would — could support, this is also recommended denial at this point. Mixed-Use Site Plan. Site Plan is the project. The project needs to follow the — the rules. We're saying no to those rules. So the project would have to be redesigned. So the Mixed-Use Site Plan we're also recommending denial. And the Tentative Plat, all we're saying that it has to be deferred because right now it assumes vacation and that is not being recommended, so — so the very — if you look at the curvature of the front
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the — the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide enough along the right-of-way to qualify, but once they are together they can. So at that point is when they could go up to 77 feet, which is another number that has been discussed publicly, if that were to happen. The change in land use, as I said, is the biggest change. If you look at the left image is pink, the right image is what's requested, which is the dark red, which is the highest land use that we have. The land use gives you the extra height. It's the same FAR, believe it or not, in the commercial, but the extra height is what allows, for example, parking to fit, it allows for many of the — of the necessary aspects of the project. So recommending denial for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the — the bulk of the project, increase the FAR. So in this case, at this point, given the fact that the project is something that is not something that I would — could support, this is also recommended denial at this point. Mixed-Use Site Plan. Site Plan is the project. The project needs to follow the — the rules. We're saying no to those rules. So the project would have to be redesigned. So the Mixed-Use Site Plan we're also recommending denial. And the Tentative Plat, all we're saying that it has to be deferred because right now it assumes vacation and that is not being recommended, so — so the very — if you look at the curvature of the front of the project you can see it's slightly different.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the — the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide enough along the right-of-way to qualify, but once they are together they can. So at that point is when they could go up to 77 feet, which is another number that has been discussed publicly, if that were to happen. The change in land use, as I said, is the biggest change. If you look at the left image is pink, the right image is what's requested, which is the dark red, which is the highest land use that we have. The land use gives you the extra height. It's the same FAR, believe it or not, in the commercial, but the extra height is what allows, for example, parking to fit, it allows for many of the — of the necessary aspects of the project. So recommending denial for that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the — the bulk of the project, increase the FAR. So in this case, at this point, given the fact that the project is something that is not something that I would — could support, this is also recommended denial at this point. Mixed-Use Site Plan. Site Plan is the project. The project needs to follow the — the rules. We're saying no to those rules. So the project would have to be redesigned. So the Mixed-Use Site Plan we're also recommending denial. And the Tentative Plat, all we're saying that it has to be deferred because right now it assumes vacation and that is not being recommended, so — so the very — if you look at the curvature of the front of the project you can see it's slightly different.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide enough along the right-of-way to qualify, but once they are together they can. So at that point is when they could go up to 77 feet, which is another number that has been discussed publicly, if that were to happen. The change in land use, as I said, is the biggest change. If you look at the left image is pink, the right image is what's requested, which is the dark red, which is the highest land use that we have. The land use gives you the extra height. It's the same FAR, believe it or not, in the commercial, but the extra height is what allows, for example, parking to fit, it allows for many of the of the necessary aspects of the project. So recommending denial for that. The receipt of TDRs, it's also an interesting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the the bulk of the project, increase the FAR. So in this case, at this point, given the fact that the project is something that is not something that I would could support, this is also recommended denial at this point. Mixed-Use Site Plan. Site Plan is the project. The project needs to follow the the rules. We're saying no to those rules. So the project would have to be redesigned. So the Mixed-Use Site Plan we're also recommending denial. And the Tentative Plat, all we're saying that it has to be deferred because right now it assumes vacation and that is not being recommended, so so the very if you look at the curvature of the front of the project you can see it's slightly different. So there would have to be a minor replat anyway for a project like that. So that's something that to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide enough along the right-of-way to qualify, but once they are together they can. So at that point is when they could go up to 77 feet, which is another number that has been discussed publicly, if that were to happen. The change in land use, as I said, is the biggest change. If you look at the left image is pink, the right image is what's requested, which is the dark red, which is the highest land use that we have. The land use gives you the extra height. It's the same FAR, believe it or not, in the commercial, but the extra height is what allows, for example, parking to fit, it allows for many of the of the necessary aspects of the project. So recommending denial for that. The receipt of TDRs, it's also an interesting issue because TDRs are the the receiving areas	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the the bulk of the project, increase the FAR. So in this case, at this point, given the fact that the project is something that is not something that I would could support, this is also recommended denial at this point. Mixed-Use Site Plan. Site Plan is the project. The project needs to follow the the rules. We're saying no to those rules. So the project would have to be redesigned. So the Mixed-Use Site Plan we're also recommending denial. And the Tentative Plat, all we're saying that it has to be deferred because right now it assumes vacation and that is not being recommended, so so the very if you look at the curvature of the front of the project you can see it's slightly different. So there would have to be a minor replat anyway for a project like that. So that's something that to be determined later on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the — the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide enough along the right-of-way to qualify, but once they are together they can. So at that point is when they could go up to 77 feet, which is another number that has been discussed publicly, if that were to happen. The change in land use, as I said, is the biggest change. If you look at the left image is pink, the right image is what's requested, which is the dark red, which is the highest land use that we have. The land use gives you the extra height. It's the same FAR, believe it or not, in the commercial, but the extra height is what allows, for example, parking to fit, it allows for many of the — of the necessary aspects of the project. So recommending denial for that. The receipt of TDRs, it's also an interesting issue because TDRs are — the — the receiving areas in the City are downtown and also Ponce de Leon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the — the bulk of the project, increase the FAR. So in this case, at this point, given the fact that the project is something that is not something that I would — could support, this is also recommended denial at this point. Mixed-Use Site Plan. Site Plan is the project. The project needs to follow the — the rules. We're saying no to those rules. So the project would have to be redesigned. So the Mixed-Use Site Plan we're also recommending denial. And the Tentative Plat, all we're saying that it has to be deferred because right now it assumes vacation and that is not being recommended, so — so the very — if you look at the curvature of the front of the project you can see it's slightly different. So there would have to be a minor replat anyway for a project like that. So that's something that to be determined later on. The Mediterranean Bonus Level 2, which provides
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the — the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide enough along the right-of-way to qualify, but once they are together they can. So at that point is when they could go up to 77 feet, which is another number that has been discussed publicly, if that were to happen. The change in land use, as I said, is the biggest change. If you look at the left image is pink, the right image is what's requested, which is the dark red, which is the highest land use that we have. The land use gives you the extra height. It's the same FAR, believe it or not, in the commercial, but the extra height is what allows, for example, parking to fit, it allows for many of the — of the necessary aspects of the project. So recommending denial for that. The receipt of TDRs, it's also an interesting issue because TDRs are — the — the receiving areas in the City are downtown and also Ponce de Leon Boulevard north of downtown, and this area is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the — the bulk of the project, increase the FAR. So in this case, at this point, given the fact that the project is something that is not something that I would — could support, this is also recommended denial at this point. Mixed-Use Site Plan. Site Plan is the project. The project needs to follow the — the rules. We're saying no to those rules. So the project would have to be redesigned. So the Mixed-Use Site Plan we're also recommending denial. And the Tentative Plat, all we're saying that it has to be deferred because right now it assumes vacation and that is not being recommended, so — so the very — if you look at the curvature of the front of the project you can see it's slightly different. So there would have to be a minor replat anyway for a project like that. So that's something that to be determined later on. The Mediterranean Bonus Level 2, which provides an additional .5 FAR, and three additional stories
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	we could recommend support for. What does that do? Well, the — the alley, if it were to be vacated, then the parcel becomes one parcel, and then it follows all of the requirements of the Mixed-Use Site Plan. Right now, each of the parcels is not wide enough along the right-of-way to qualify, but once they are together they can. So at that point is when they could go up to 77 feet, which is another number that has been discussed publicly, if that were to happen. The change in land use, as I said, is the biggest change. If you look at the left image is pink, the right image is what's requested, which is the dark red, which is the highest land use that we have. The land use gives you the extra height. It's the same FAR, believe it or not, in the commercial, but the extra height is what allows, for example, parking to fit, it allows for many of the — of the necessary aspects of the project. So recommending denial for that. The receipt of TDRs, it's also an interesting issue because TDRs are — the — the receiving areas in the City are downtown and also Ponce de Leon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	however there's a special set of TDRs that allow a different location, at the discretion of the Commission, and of course upon your recommendation. And TDRs, as you know, increase the — the bulk of the project, increase the FAR. So in this case, at this point, given the fact that the project is something that is not something that I would — could support, this is also recommended denial at this point. Mixed-Use Site Plan. Site Plan is the project. The project needs to follow the — the rules. We're saying no to those rules. So the project would have to be redesigned. So the Mixed-Use Site Plan we're also recommending denial. And the Tentative Plat, all we're saying that it has to be deferred because right now it assumes vacation and that is not being recommended, so — so the very — if you look at the curvature of the front of the project you can see it's slightly different. So there would have to be a minor replat anyway for a project like that. So that's something that to be determined later on. The Mediterranean Bonus Level 2, which provides

	Page 37		Page 38
1	Architects upon their review of the criteria. I'm	1	Commission Chambers?
2	providing this for information.	2	THE SECRETARY: I have 15 and a few that
3	And at the end of the day, I think the summary	3	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Say that again, 15
4	gives you a sense of what's allowed and what's	4	THE SECRETARY: I have 15 and a few that did not
5	requested, and I would agree with the Applicant that	5	mark that indicated they want to speak.
6	the existing conditions are not the best in terms of	6	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. So we do have
7	urban design or architecture, but I would hope that	7	quite a few people.
8	something different than was requested, and probably	8	What I'd like to do is, before the Board makes
9	something different, something in between that	9	any comments I'd like to give time and open it up for
10	that original drawing could have been provided prior	10	public comment first and give an opportunity, and
11	to this meeting, but that was not done, so that's	11	then the Board can go ahead and ask questions.
12	where we are today.	12	Chip, is that okay with you? I I see you had
13	So Staff has determined that the application is	13	a comment that you wanted to make right away as
14	not consistent with the Comprehensive Plan Goals,	14	MR. WITHERS: No. Just watching you do a great
15	Objectives and Policies, and as I said, we recommend	15	job.
16	denial for most of it.	16	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thanks, Yeah, he did do
17		17	a nice job.
18	So thank you very much. If you have any	18	Okay. At this time I'd like to go ahead and open
18	questions, we're here to answer.	19	it up for public comment.
20	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Ramon, thank you for a	20	
	nice presentation. Appreciate it.		MR. BEHAR: Should we Mr. Chair, are we going
21	What I'd like to do first is, Jill, how many	21	to put a limit on the speakers because there is
22	people do we have in this room, speakers?	22	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Well, I'd like to give
23	THE SECRETARY: Currently on Zoom we have three	23	them ample time to speak. I think it's only fair.
24	that have provided their names that wish to speak.	24	I would ask to please be concise. I would ask to
25	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. And in the	25	please limit your time to two to three minutes
	Page 39		Page 40
1	because there are 15 people, and at the same time,	1	would be about 300 steps from what would be the
2	not to be repetitive. If you agree with somebody you	2	corner of the front of what would be the Ponce Park
3	just may just want to say "I agree with the comments	3	Residences. So I wanted to point out kind of how
4	made by such individual," or so forth, and that would	4	close our homes are in relation to this development.
5	help, if possible. Thank you.	5	So being that there I think we have yeah,
6	Jill, can you call the first person, please?	6	actually 15 children that live on our block and we've
7	THE SECRETARY: Would you like me to start with	7	had a lot of issues with traffic, and a severe
8	the Zoom?	8	increase in traffic recently. I had an instance
9	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and	9	where two of my kids were playing outside the park,
10	let's go ahead and start with the people which are	10	we have a neighborhood park, and they were almost hit
11	here first, please.	11	by a car that was speeding very fast. And I called
12	THE SECRETARY: Sure.	12	the police, I told them what happened, and basically,
13	Jennifer Davis? Jennifer Davis?	13	you know, there really wasn't a lot they could do,
14	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: If you would, when you	14	but they installed some speedometers.
15	come up to speak, if you'd please state your name and	15	So the speedometers, you know, didn't really
16	address for the record first.	16	create a whole lot of change, but I guess my point of
17	MS. DAVIS: Sure. My name is Jennifer Davis. I	17	the story is, I went to City Staff and talked to them
18	live at 133 San Sebastian Avenue.	18	to see what we could do to try to implement some, you
19	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	19	know, traffic calming in the area, and I guess we
20	MS. DAVIS: I don't have a prepared speech today,	20	didn't meet the qualifications for that so there
21	but I just kind of want to talk about some things	21	wasn't really anything they could do. But they did
22	that we're experiencing in the neighborhood and to	22	find in the speedometer report that we were receiving
23	give you a human side of what we're feeling related	23	400 cars a day in traffic. And that is a lot of cars
24	to the overall development of the Crafts area.	24	on a dead end road where you have 14, one child is
25	I'm a mother of three. I live within, I guess it	25	a baby, of people that regularly play in the streets.

	Page 41		Page 42
1	Because we don't have much backyard space we really	1	intensity commercial property. And having lived
2	play in our streets, we play in the front, we play	2	there nine years I can say that that type of height
3	in the park, and that's where we congregate as a	3	doesn't really affect the residents as long as
4	community.	4	there's proper parking, you know, within the
5	And this excessive overdevelopment really has an	5	facility.
6	impact on our lives and our quality of life, and I	6	We have also noted an increase in illegal
7	just want to speak and give a voice speak for the	7	parking, I wanted to make note of that as well as
8	children, for my children, for the other children	8	with the traffic, since the development has grown,
9	that live on the block, that we have severe concern	9	especially with The Plaza, illegal parking in front
10	about the excessive development that's occurring in	10	of the our neighborhood park, illegal parking
11	the City. It's so close to our homes. And we just	11	in the alleyway, illegal parking adjacent to my
12	want you to consider that.	12	property as well. So I'm regularly, regularly
13	And I guess what we would like to see is a	13	calling Code Enforcement to enforce this illegal
14	transition, a healthy transition, between our	14	parking.
15	commercial area and our residential area.	15	So these are things that we really feel, and I
16	I would like you to consider the Code and what is	16	thank you so much for taking the time to let me
17	currently approved in the Code because I feel that is	17	speak. Thank you.
18	more appropriate than 17, or 16 stories. Frankly,	18	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you for coming.
19	that scares me, so	19	Next, please.
20	We also are very concerned about the land use.	20	THE SECRETARY: Yamira Seralta?
21	We would like to stick to a low-rise commercial	21	MS. SERALTA: Can I speak here?
22	density. I have personal experience in that I live	22	Good evening, all. Good evening, all. My name
23	next to a, I believe it's a four story low intensity	23	is Yamira Seralta. I've been living here in the
24	commercial building. Well, I'm separated by, but we	24	Gables for about ten years.
25	live very harmoniously with that kind of low	25	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please?
	Page 43		
	rage 43		Page 44
1	MS. SERALTA: Hum?	1	Page 44 So I think the prior speaker said everything very
1 2		1 2	
	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue.		So I think the prior speaker said everything very
2 3 4	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	2	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a
2 3 4 5	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the	2	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place
2 3 4 5 6	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners.	2 3 4 5	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big	2 3 4 5	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not recommend that they come. The way that things are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big concern is, like the prior speaker was saying,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big concern is, like the prior speaker was saying, increasing traffic, increasing pollution. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not recommend that they come. The way that things are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big concern is, like the prior speaker was saying, increasing traffic, increasing pollution. I'm terrified of going out with my daughter, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not recommend that they come. The way that things are going I don't see a good future here. I'm worried
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big concern is, like the prior speaker was saying, increasing traffic, increasing pollution. I'm terrified of going out with my daughter, I'm terrified of my husband go running on the street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not recommend that they come. The way that things are going I don't see a good future here. I'm worried about my daughter. I don't see us staying here if things don't change. And I think there's going to be a massive exodus of people that saw this as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big concern is, like the prior speaker was saying, increasing traffic, increasing pollution. I'm terrified of going out with my daughter, I'm terrified of my husband go running on the street where we live by Salcedo and Camilo. This	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not recommend that they come. The way that things are going I don't see a good future here. I'm worried about my daughter. I don't see us staying here if things don't change. And I think there's going to be a massive exodus of people that saw this as a desirable place to live. There's going to be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big concern is, like the prior speaker was saying, increasing traffic, increasing pollution. I'm terrified of going out with my daughter, I'm terrified of my husband go running on the street where we live by Salcedo and Camilo. This overdevelopment is not the reason why we moved to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not recommend that they come. The way that things are going I don't see a good future here. I'm worried about my daughter. I don't see us staying here if things don't change. And I think there's going to be a massive exodus of people that saw this as a desirable place to live. There's going to be a massive exodus and you guys are going to end up with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big concern is, like the prior speaker was saying, increasing traffic, increasing pollution. I'm terrified of going out with my daughter, I'm terrified of my husband go running on the street where we live by Salcedo and Camilo. This overdevelopment is not the reason why we moved to to Coral Gables.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not recommend that they come. The way that things are going I don't see a good future here. I'm worried about my daughter. I don't see us staying here if things don't change. And I think there's going to be a massive exodus of people that saw this as a desirable place to live. There's going to be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big concern is, like the prior speaker was saying, increasing traffic, increasing pollution. I'm terrified of going out with my daughter, I'm terrified of my husband go running on the street where we live by Salcedo and Camilo. This overdevelopment is not the reason why we moved to to Coral Gables. We're both successful professionals. We thought	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not recommend that they come. The way that things are going I don't see a good future here. I'm worried about my daughter. I don't see us staying here if things don't change. And I think there's going to be a massive exodus of people that saw this as a desirable place to live. There's going to be a massive exodus and you guys are going to end up with renters, with AirBnbers and so on. Thank you so much for your time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big concern is, like the prior speaker was saying, increasing traffic, increasing pollution. I'm terrified of going out with my daughter, I'm terrified of my husband go running on the street where we live by Salcedo and Camilo. This overdevelopment is not the reason why we moved to —to Coral Gables. We're both successful professionals. We thought about a place. We could have gone to Pinecrest, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not recommend that they come. The way that things are going I don't see a good future here. I'm worried about my daughter. I don't see us staying here if things don't change. And I think there's going to be a massive exodus of people that saw this as a desirable place to live. There's going to be a massive exodus and you guys are going to end up with renters, with AirBnbers and so on. Thank you so much for your time. Thank you, everybody, for showing up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big concern is, like the prior speaker was saying, increasing traffic, increasing pollution. I'm terrified of going out with my daughter, I'm terrified of my husband go running on the street where we live by Salcedo and Camilo. This overdevelopment is not the reason why we moved to to Coral Gables. We're both successful professionals. We thought about a place. We could have gone to Pinecrest, we could have gone to Coconut Grove, we could have gone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not recommend that they come. The way that things are going I don't see a good future here. I'm worried about my daughter. I don't see us staying here if things don't change. And I think there's going to be a massive exodus of people that saw this as a desirable place to live. There's going to be a massive exodus and you guys are going to end up with renters, with AirBnbers and so on. Thank you so much for your time. Thank you, everybody, for showing up. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big concern is, like the prior speaker was saying, increasing traffic, increasing pollution. I'm terrified of going out with my daughter, I'm terrified of my husband go running on the street where we live by Salcedo and Camilo. This overdevelopment is not the reason why we moved to to Coral Gables. We're both successful professionals. We thought about a place. We could have gone to Pinecrest, we could have gone to Coconut Grove, we could have gone to many places. We chose Coral Gables because we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not recommend that they come. The way that things are going I don't see a good future here. I'm worried about my daughter. I don't see us staying here if things don't change. And I think there's going to be a massive exodus of people that saw this as a desirable place to live. There's going to be a massive exodus and you guys are going to end up with renters, with AirBnbers and so on. Thank you so much for your time. Thank you, everybody, for showing up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big concern is, like the prior speaker was saying, increasing traffic, increasing pollution. I'm terrified of going out with my daughter, I'm terrified of my husband go running on the street where we live by Salcedo and Camilo. This overdevelopment is not the reason why we moved to to Coral Gables. We're both successful professionals. We thought about a place. We could have gone to Pinecrest, we could have gone to Coconut Grove, we could have gone to many places. We chose Coral Gables because we never in a million years imagined that our government	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not recommend that they come. The way that things are going I don't see a good future here. I'm worried about my daughter. I don't see us staying here if things don't change. And I think there's going to be a massive exodus of people that saw this as a desirable place to live. There's going to be a massive exodus and you guys are going to end up with renters, with AirBnbers and so on. Thank you so much for your time. Thank you, everybody, for showing up. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. If you would, please, just hold your clapping down, thank you, as a courtesy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big concern is, like the prior speaker was saying, increasing traffic, increasing pollution. I'm terrified of going out with my daughter, I'm terrified of my husband go running on the street where we live by Salcedo and Camilo. This overdevelopment is not the reason why we moved to to Coral Gables. We're both successful professionals. We thought about a place. We could have gone to Pinecrest, we could have gone to Coconut Grove, we could have gone to many places. We chose Coral Gables because we never in a million years imagined that our government would allow this kind of encroachment on our quality	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not recommend that they come. The way that things are going I don't see a good future here. I'm worried about my daughter. I don't see us staying here if things don't change. And I think there's going to be a massive exodus of people that saw this as a desirable place to live. There's going to be a massive exodus and you guys are going to end up with renters, with AirBnbers and so on. Thank you so much for your time. Thank you, everybody, for showing up. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. If you would, please, just hold your clapping down, thank you, as a courtesy. MR. GONZALEZ HERNANDEZ: Also, thank you for your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big concern is, like the prior speaker was saying, increasing traffic, increasing pollution. I'm terrified of going out with my daughter, I'm terrified of my husband go running on the street where we live by Salcedo and Camilo. This overdevelopment is not the reason why we moved to to Coral Gables. We're both successful professionals. We thought about a place. We could have gone to Pinecrest, we could have gone to Coconut Grove, we could have gone to many places. We chose Coral Gables because we never in a million years imagined that our government would allow this kind of encroachment on our quality of life. A 17-story building. Why, who is it going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not recommend that they come. The way that things are going I don't see a good future here. I'm worried about my daughter. I don't see us staying here if things don't change. And I think there's going to be a massive exodus of people that saw this as a desirable place to live. There's going to be a massive exodus and you guys are going to end up with renters, with AirBnbers and so on. Thank you so much for your time. Thank you, everybody, for showing up. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. If you would, please, just hold your clapping down, thank you, as a courtesy. MR. GONZALEZ HERNANDEZ: Also, thank you for your time. Thanks for everybody. I think this is a very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big concern is, like the prior speaker was saying, increasing traffic, increasing pollution. I'm terrified of going out with my daughter, I'm terrified of my husband go running on the street where we live by Salcedo and Camilo. This overdevelopment is not the reason why we moved to —to Coral Gables. We're both successful professionals. We thought about a place. We could have gone to Pinecrest, we could have gone to Coconut Grove, we could have gone to many places. We chose Coral Gables because we never in a million years imagined that our government would allow this kind of encroachment on our quality of life. A 17-story building. Why, who is it going to benefit? Who is that benefiting? It's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not recommend that they come. The way that things are going I don't see a good future here. I'm worried about my daughter. I don't see us staying here if things don't change. And I think there's going to be a massive exodus of people that saw this as a desirable place to live. There's going to be a massive exodus and you guys are going to end up with renters, with AirBnbers and so on. Thank you so much for your time. Thank you, everybody, for showing up. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. If you would, please, just hold your clapping down, thank you, as a courtesy. MR. GONZALEZ HERNANDEZ: Also, thank you for your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big concern is, like the prior speaker was saying, increasing traffic, increasing pollution. I'm terrified of going out with my daughter, I'm terrified of my husband go running on the street where we live by Salcedo and Camilo. This overdevelopment is not the reason why we moved to to Coral Gables. We're both successful professionals. We thought about a place. We could have gone to Pinecrest, we could have gone to Coconut Grove, we could have gone to many places. We chose Coral Gables because we never in a million years imagined that our government would allow this kind of encroachment on our quality of life. A 17-story building. Why, who is it going to benefit? Who is that benefiting? It's not benefiting the residents. There's been a huge outcry	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not recommend that they come. The way that things are going I don't see a good future here. I'm worried about my daughter. I don't see us staying here if things don't change. And I think there's going to be a massive exodus of people that saw this as a desirable place to live. There's going to be a massive exodus and you guys are going to end up with renters, with AirBnbers and so on. Thank you so much for your time. Thank you, everybody, for showing up. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. If you would, please, just hold your clapping down, thank you, as a courtesy. MR. GONZALEZ HERNANDEZ: Also, thank you for your time. Thanks for everybody. I think this is a very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big concern is, like the prior speaker was saying, increasing traffic, increasing pollution. I'm terrified of going out with my daughter, I'm terrified of my husband go running on the street where we live by Salcedo and Camilo. This overdevelopment is not the reason why we moved to to Coral Gables. We're both successful professionals. We thought about a place. We could have gone to Pinecrest, we could have gone to Coconut Grove, we could have gone to many places. We chose Coral Gables because we never in a million years imagined that our government would allow this kind of encroachment on our quality of life. A 17-story building. Why, who is it going to benefit? Who is that benefiting? It's not benefiting the residents. There's been a huge outcry of the residents living in this area, why is nobody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not recommend that they come. The way that things are going I don't see a good future here. I'm worried about my daughter. I don't see us staying here if things don't change. And I think there's going to be a massive exodus of people that saw this as a desirable place to live. There's going to be a massive exodus and you guys are going to end up with renters, with AirBnbers and so on. Thank you so much for your time. Thank you, everybody, for showing up. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. If you would, please, just hold your clapping down, thank you, as a courtesy. MR. GONZALEZ HERNANDEZ: Also, thank you for your time. Thanks for everybody. I think this is a very important issue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Could you state your name and address, please?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. SERALTA: Hum? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your address, please. MS. SERALTA: 243 Camilo Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. SERALTA: I've been residing there for the past three years. We're property owners. I bring my daughter today because our big concern is, like the prior speaker was saying, increasing traffic, increasing pollution. I'm terrified of going out with my daughter, I'm terrified of my husband go running on the street where we live by Salcedo and Camilo. This overdevelopment is not the reason why we moved to to Coral Gables. We're both successful professionals. We thought about a place. We could have gone to Pinecrest, we could have gone to Coconut Grove, we could have gone to many places. We chose Coral Gables because we never in a million years imagined that our government would allow this kind of encroachment on our quality of life. A 17-story building. Why, who is it going to benefit? Who is that benefiting? It's not benefiting the residents. There's been a huge outcry	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So I think the prior speaker said everything very concisely, very nicely, and I would like to go with that. As it stands right now, if somebody asks me, a professional, somebody who's looking for a nice place to live, would you move to Coral Gables? I would not recommend that they come. The way that things are going I don't see a good future here. I'm worried about my daughter. I don't see us staying here if things don't change. And I think there's going to be a massive exodus of people that saw this as a desirable place to live. There's going to be a massive exodus and you guys are going to end up with renters, with AirBnbers and so on. Thank you so much for your time. Thank you, everybody, for showing up. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. If you would, please, just hold your clapping down, thank you, as a courtesy. MR. GONZALEZ HERNANDEZ: Also, thank you for your time. Thanks for everybody. I think this is a very important issue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Could you state your name

	Page 45		Page 46
1	Eduardo Gonzalez Hernandez. I live on 243 Camilo	1	very special, I would say. Something very special is
2	Avenue. I'm a surgeon. I've been here for 20	2	the Gables, the community we have, the people we
3	years. First place I moved into was the Gables,	3	know, our neighbors. We talk to our neighbors every
4	a small hispanic in Madrid, which I renovated, and it	4	day. Children running on in the middle of the
5	was difficult zoning. It was incredibly difficult.	5	street. You expect that that's going to be safe?
6	It took me a year and a half to redo it, but I did	6	That drawing that you you referred to the
7	it, and it was maintaining the beautiful idea, the	7	the many accidents that happened in the University
8	notion of Merrick.	8	right-of-way area will be accidents of people getting
9	And this is not a Mediterranean plan. This is	9	run over and children getting run over if we permit
10	not a decent one. This is an indecent proposal.	10	this.
11	This is indecent. Anybody voting in the Commission	11	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: If you would please
12	in favor of this is indecent.	12	direct your comments to the Board, I would appreciate
13	I can tell you who's in favor of the citizens,	13	•
14	the residents, and who's in favor of development,		it. Thank you.
15	who has gotten money or who hasn't. We really	14	MR. GONZALEZ HERNANDEZ: Thank you so very much.
16	question who's getting money under the table. This	15	I have nothing else to say other than this should
17	is not reasonable, a huge building.	16	not be allowed in under no circumstances. Stay
18	We walk the street and we worry about being run	17	stick to the to to the regulations, please.
19	over, people coming from all sorts of we don't	18	Thank you so very much, everybody.
20	even know who who's who's with their dogs,	19	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
21	we don't know people, we don't know who's parking	20	Next, please.
22	where, violating our our lawn.	21	THE SECRETARY: Oscar Sosa.
23	The big thing is the traffic. Main thing is our	22	MR. SOSA: Good evening. My name is Oscar Sosa.
24	own security. Residents, you're creating something	23	I live on 116 San Sebastian Avenue. I'm here to ask
25	very special, you said. You're destroying something	24	the Board not to approve this project. We feel that
23	very special, you said. Four destroying something	25	it's going to encroach in our lifestyle.
	Page 47		Page 48
			=J = 10
1	The City already, we think, committed an error	1	our street.
1 2	The City already, we think, committed an error when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's	1 2	
	• •		our street.
2	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's	2	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen
2	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people	2	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut
2 3 4	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza.	2 3 4	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the through all these neighbors, the
2 3 4 5	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza. They're asking here today for what the developer	2 3 4 5	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the through all these neighbors, the neighborhood, and all those kids are the ones who are
2 3 4 5	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza. They're asking here today for what the developer always mentions is others, another six buildings out	2 3 4 5 6	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the through all these neighbors, the neighborhood, and all those kids are the ones who are going to be getting all the traffic. So I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza. They're asking here today for what the developer always mentions is others, another six buildings out there that are bigger than the ones we want. Just	2 3 4 5 6 7	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the — through all these neighbors, the neighborhood, and all those kids are the ones who are going to be getting all the traffic. So I don't think it's a fair statement to say that it's not a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza. They're asking here today for what the developer always mentions is others, another six buildings out there that are bigger than the ones we want. Just because you committed a few mistakes before you don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the — through all these neighbors, the neighborhood, and all those kids are the ones who are going to be getting all the traffic. So I don't think it's a fair statement to say that it's not a gift. We believe it's a gift unless you can prove
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza. They're asking here today for what the developer always mentions is others, another six buildings out there that are bigger than the ones we want. Just because you committed a few mistakes before you don't have to do these over. The same thing that they're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the through all these neighbors, the neighborhood, and all those kids are the ones who are going to be getting all the traffic. So I don't think it's a fair statement to say that it's not a gift. We believe it's a gift unless you can prove otherwise. Just because it's going to be deed to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza. They're asking here today for what the developer always mentions is others, another six buildings out there that are bigger than the ones we want. Just because you committed a few mistakes before you don't have to do these over. The same thing that they're asking for that street, our street.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the through all these neighbors, the neighborhood, and all those kids are the ones who are going to be getting all the traffic. So I don't think it's a fair statement to say that it's not a gift. We believe it's a gift unless you can prove otherwise. Just because it's going to be deed to the City doesn't mean they're not benefiting from this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza. They're asking here today for what the developer always mentions is others, another six buildings out there that are bigger than the ones we want. Just because you committed a few mistakes before you don't have to do these over. The same thing that they're asking for that street, our street. Mr. De Yurre said it's not a gift to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the through all these neighbors, the neighborhood, and all those kids are the ones who are going to be getting all the traffic. So I don't think it's a fair statement to say that it's not a gift. We believe it's a gift unless you can prove otherwise. Just because it's going to be deed to the City doesn't mean they're not benefiting from this. I would ask, okay, you know, you do what you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza. They're asking here today for what the developer always mentions is others, another six buildings out there that are bigger than the ones we want. Just because you committed a few mistakes before you don't have to do these over. The same thing that they're asking for that street, our street. Mr. De Yurre said it's not a gift to the developer. I just don't understand. If it's not a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the — through all these neighbors, the neighborhood, and all those kids are the ones who are going to be getting all the traffic. So I don't think it's a fair statement to say that it's not a gift. We believe it's a gift unless you can prove otherwise. Just because it's going to be deed to the City doesn't mean they're not benefiting from this. I would ask, okay, you know, you do what you have to do, but the FAR will remain the same. They will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza. They're asking here today for what the developer always mentions is others, another six buildings out there that are bigger than the ones we want. Just because you committed a few mistakes before you don't have to do these over. The same thing that they're asking for that street, our street. Mr. De Yurre said it's not a gift to the developer. I just don't understand. If it's not a gift to the developer I need to understand, are they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the through all these neighbors, the neighborhood, and all those kids are the ones who are going to be getting all the traffic. So I don't think it's a fair statement to say that it's not a gift. We believe it's a gift unless you can prove otherwise. Just because it's going to be deed to the City doesn't mean they're not benefiting from this. I would ask, okay, you know, you do what you have to do, but the FAR will remain the same. They will not do that because what they're asking for is for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza. They're asking here today for what the developer always mentions is others, another six buildings out there that are bigger than the ones we want. Just because you committed a few mistakes before you don't have to do these over. The same thing that they're asking for that street, our street. Mr. De Yurre said it's not a gift to the developer. I just don't understand. If it's not a gift to the developer I need to understand, are they — is that going to count for them for the FAR? If	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the through all these neighbors, the neighborhood, and all those kids are the ones who are going to be getting all the traffic. So I don't think it's a fair statement to say that it's not a gift. We believe it's a gift unless you can prove otherwise. Just because it's going to be deed to the City doesn't mean they're not benefiting from this. I would ask, okay, you know, you do what you have to do, but the FAR will remain the same. They will not do that because what they're asking for is for the FAR. Without that street they can't do their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza. They're asking here today for what the developer always mentions is others, another six buildings out there that are bigger than the ones we want. Just because you committed a few mistakes before you don't have to do these over. The same thing that they're asking for that street, our street. Mr. De Yurre said it's not a gift to the developer. I just don't understand. If it's not a gift to the developer I need to understand, are they — is that going to count for them for the FAR? If they sell this building does the City have to come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the through all these neighbors, the neighborhood, and all those kids are the ones who are going to be getting all the traffic. So I don't think it's a fair statement to say that it's not a gift. We believe it's a gift unless you can prove otherwise. Just because it's going to be deed to the City doesn't mean they're not benefiting from this. I would ask, okay, you know, you do what you have to do, but the FAR will remain the same. They will not do that because what they're asking for is for the FAR. Without that street they can't do their building. That's the reason why they want.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza. They're asking here today for what the developer always mentions is others, another six buildings out there that are bigger than the ones we want. Just because you committed a few mistakes before you don't have to do these over. The same thing that they're asking for that street, our street. Mr. De Yurre said it's not a gift to the developer. I just don't understand. If it's not a gift to the developer I need to understand, are they — is that going to count for them for the FAR? If they sell this building does the City have to come back and say, yeah, we own that lot so you can't sell	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the through all these neighbors, the neighborhood, and all those kids are the ones who are going to be getting all the traffic. So I don't think it's a fair statement to say that it's not a gift. We believe it's a gift unless you can prove otherwise. Just because it's going to be deed to the City doesn't mean they're not benefiting from this. I would ask, okay, you know, you do what you have to do, but the FAR will remain the same. They will not do that because what they're asking for is for the FAR. Without that street they can't do their building. That's the reason why they want. We had, according to Mr. De Yurre, individual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza. They're asking here today for what the developer always mentions is others, another six buildings out there that are bigger than the ones we want. Just because you committed a few mistakes before you don't have to do these over. The same thing that they're asking for that street, our street. Mr. De Yurre said it's not a gift to the developer. I just don't understand. If it's not a gift to the developer I need to understand, are they is that going to count for them for the FAR? If they sell this building does the City have to come back and say, yeah, we own that lot so you can't sell it unless we make some money on that land on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the — through all these neighbors, the neighborhood, and all those kids are the ones who are going to be getting all the traffic. So I don't think it's a fair statement to say that it's not a gift. We believe it's a gift unless you can prove otherwise. Just because it's going to be deed to the City doesn't mean they're not benefiting from this. I would ask, okay, you know, you do what you have to do, but the FAR will remain the same. They will not do that because what they're asking for is for the FAR. Without that street they can't do their building. That's the reason why they want. We had, according to Mr. De Yurre, individual meetings with the developer. We have — the meetings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza. They're asking here today for what the developer always mentions is others, another six buildings out there that are bigger than the ones we want. Just because you committed a few mistakes before you don't have to do these over. The same thing that they're asking for that street, our street. Mr. De Yurre said it's not a gift to the developer. I just don't understand. If it's not a gift to the developer I need to understand, are they—is that going to count for them for the FAR? If they sell this building does the City have to come back and say, yeah, we own that lot so you can't sell it unless we make some money on that land on the sale? I don't understand it. I don't understand how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the through all these neighbors, the neighborhood, and all those kids are the ones who are going to be getting all the traffic. So I don't think it's a fair statement to say that it's not a gift. We believe it's a gift unless you can prove otherwise. Just because it's going to be deed to the City doesn't mean they're not benefiting from this. I would ask, okay, you know, you do what you have to do, but the FAR will remain the same. They will not do that because what they're asking for is for the FAR. Without that street they can't do their building. That's the reason why they want. We had, according to Mr. De Yurre, individual meetings with the developer. We have the meetings we had is to inform us what they're doing. They
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza. They're asking here today for what the developer always mentions is others, another six buildings out there that are bigger than the ones we want. Just because you committed a few mistakes before you don't have to do these over. The same thing that they're asking for that street, our street. Mr. De Yurre said it's not a gift to the developer. I just don't understand. If it's not a gift to the developer I need to understand, are they — is that going to count for them for the FAR? If they sell this building does the City have to come back and say, yeah, we own that lot so you can't sell it unless we make some money on that land on the sale? I don't understand it. I don't understand how they can say that it's not a gift to the developer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the — through all these neighbors, the neighborhood, and all those kids are the ones who are going to be getting all the traffic. So I don't think it's a fair statement to say that it's not a gift. We believe it's a gift unless you can prove otherwise. Just because it's going to be deed to the City doesn't mean they're not benefiting from this. I would ask, okay, you know, you do what you have to do, but the FAR will remain the same. They will not do that because what they're asking for is for the FAR. Without that street they can't do their building. That's the reason why they want. We had, according to Mr. De Yurre, individual meetings with the developer. We have — the meetings we had is to inform us what they're doing. They never took in consideration what we want. They say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza. They're asking here today for what the developer always mentions is others, another six buildings out there that are bigger than the ones we want. Just because you committed a few mistakes before you don't have to do these over. The same thing that they're asking for that street, our street. Mr. De Yurre said it's not a gift to the developer. I just don't understand. If it's not a gift to the developer I need to understand, are they—is that going to count for them for the FAR? If they sell this building does the City have to come back and say, yeah, we own that lot so you can't sell it unless we make some money on that land on the sale? I don't understand it. I don't understand how they can say that it's not a gift to the developer. It's going to be the front of their building.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the through all these neighbors, the neighborhood, and all those kids are the ones who are going to be getting all the traffic. So I don't think it's a fair statement to say that it's not a gift. We believe it's a gift unless you can prove otherwise. Just because it's going to be deed to the City doesn't mean they're not benefiting from this. I would ask, okay, you know, you do what you have to do, but the FAR will remain the same. They will not do that because what they're asking for is for the FAR. Without that street they can't do their building. That's the reason why they want. We had, according to Mr. De Yurre, individual meetings with the developer. We have the meetings we had is to inform us what they're doing. They never took in consideration what we want. They say they did, they went from 171 to 161. Still 16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza. They're asking here today for what the developer always mentions is others, another six buildings out there that are bigger than the ones we want. Just because you committed a few mistakes before you don't have to do these over. The same thing that they're asking for that street, our street. Mr. De Yurre said it's not a gift to the developer. I just don't understand. If it's not a gift to the developer I need to understand, are they is that going to count for them for the FAR? If they sell this building does the City have to come back and say, yeah, we own that lot so you can't sell it unless we make some money on that land on the sale? I don't understand it. I don't understand how they can say that it's not a gift to the developer. It's going to be the front of their building. We don't need another park. We have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the — through all these neighbors, the neighborhood, and all those kids are the ones who are going to be getting all the traffic. So I don't think it's a fair statement to say that it's not a gift. We believe it's a gift unless you can prove otherwise. Just because it's going to be deed to the City doesn't mean they're not benefiting from this. I would ask, okay, you know, you do what you have to do, but the FAR will remain the same. They will not do that because what they're asking for is for the FAR. Without that street they can't do their building. That's the reason why they want. We had, according to Mr. De Yurre, individual meetings with the developer. We have — the meetings we had is to inform us what they're doing. They never took in consideration what we want. They say they did, they went from 171 to 161. Still 16 stories, still 265 parkings. It — it — I don't —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	when they approved The Plaza. It's huge and it's creating so many problems for our living, the people that live within a couple blocks of The Plaza. They're asking here today for what the developer always mentions is others, another six buildings out there that are bigger than the ones we want. Just because you committed a few mistakes before you don't have to do these over. The same thing that they're asking for that street, our street. Mr. De Yurre said it's not a gift to the developer. I just don't understand. If it's not a gift to the developer I need to understand, are they—is that going to count for them for the FAR? If they sell this building does the City have to come back and say, yeah, we own that lot so you can't sell it unless we make some money on that land on the sale? I don't understand it. I don't understand how they can say that it's not a gift to the developer. It's going to be the front of their building. We don't need another park. We have—the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	our street. If you close our street, what's going to happen is cars are going to continue to go straight and cut through all the — through all these neighbors, the neighborhood, and all those kids are the ones who are going to be getting all the traffic. So I don't think it's a fair statement to say that it's not a gift. We believe it's a gift unless you can prove otherwise. Just because it's going to be deed to the City doesn't mean they're not benefiting from this. I would ask, okay, you know, you do what you have to do, but the FAR will remain the same. They will not do that because what they're asking for is for the FAR. Without that street they can't do their building. That's the reason why they want. We had, according to Mr. De Yurre, individual meetings with the developer. We have — the meetings we had is to inform us what they're doing. They never took in consideration what we want. They say they did, they went from 171 to 161. Still 16 stories, still 265 parkings. It — it — I don't — I — I think it's been misrepresented that we had

	Page 49		Page 50
1	on that street. The residents, we, requested	1	it is. I appreciate that.
2	every police report to the City of Coral Gables. We	2	I am a senior citizen. However, I live in a home
3	analyzed every report. There's been 42 accidents	3	that's been our family home for 60 years. You may
4	accidents in the last 20 years. Out of those, 17	4	remember Norma Lemberg. She was an active person.
5	accidents, only 17 accidents were in that in the	5	She is my mother-in-law. Now my wife and I are
6	street. Not one accident included a pedestrian. I	6	occupying the house. It's on Fluvia Avenue, 306
7	don't know how is that that is a dangerous street.	7	Fluvia. We're in the battle zone, we're in the two
8	200 pages, we reviewed every page. So it's not like	8	block
9	we're making this up. We did our homework.	9	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: I think I did not hear,
10	And I just want to close telling Mr. De Yurre,	10	I'm sorry, your address, if you don't mind.
11	we moved to the City Beautiful. Coral Gables is the	11	MR. FISHER: 306 Fluvia.
12	City Beautiful. And to compare Coral Gables with	12	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: I'm sorry. Thank you.
13	Downtown, with Wynwood, with Dadeland is an insult to	13	MR. FISHER: We're three blocks north of Bird
14	the residents of not not to put down those	14	between LeJeune and Ponce. We're in the combat zone
15	cities, but it's not Coral Gables. So we don't want	15	two ways. We're in the combat zone to our south with
16	a Wynwood in Coral Gables, we don't want a Brickell	16	what you folks have allowed to happen in the former
17	in Coral Gables.	17	industrial area in the south and what Miami is doing
18	So I ask you, I ask the Board tonight, to deny	18	all around us. You know, every morning I get up and
19	it, to say no to these massive construction that	19	walk, I walk the streets, and I what do I hear and
20	they're trying to put in our neighborhood.	20	see? I hear and see construction everywhere. And I
21	Thank you.	21	totally I don't want to say too much more about
22	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.	22	the families. I totally agree with them. Traffic's
23	THE SECRETARY: John Fisher?	23	an issue. In our area direct pass-through traffic
24	MR. FISHER: Thank you for your service. I	24	isn't an issue yet, but the volumes are clearly
25	appreciate how difficult it is and how complicated	25	growing.
	Page 51		Page 52
1	And I think what's being ignored here is a	1	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Could you please state
2	project that this your group, not you personally	2	your name and address for the record?
3	necessarily, created in what we're calling now The	3	MS. POE-LIU: I'm sorry. My name is Gail
4	Plaza. There is a disaster area. I mean as we all	4	Poe-Liu, P-O-E, L-I-U. I'm in 301 Fluvia and I've
5	know, it really shouldn't exist financially. It went	5	been there since 1983.
6	through, what, three cycles of cancellations? When	6	Again, like John, mine is a family home. It's
7	do you guys ever terminate a permit, by the way?	7	been in the family since 1949 when my grandparents
8	Ever?	8	purchased it and bequeathed it to my sister and I,
9	Anyway, what we've got now, we'll see what we got	9	very luckily, otherwise there was no way I would have
10	now in the next, what, year, when they really get	10	been able to live in Coral Gables, for which I'm
11	going. You will see an amazing, unfortunately,	11	extremely grateful.
12	compounding of traffic issues, and to consider	12	I also agree as far as the job that you guys do.
13	building another building and I'm I'm impressed	13	And I mean guys as in the general sense, no offense.
14	with the architects. You folks are wonderful. They	14	It's very difficult. I was a public servant for
15	can create amazing buildings. I totally agree.	15	over 30 years so I know a lot about the not
16	We've proven that, but enough already.	16	necessarily the Planning and Zoning, but the workings
17	So what I'm saying is, we need a moratorium on	17	of carrying on City business and how difficult it is.
18	construction, and certainly in this whole area, and I	18	Excuse me if I refer to notes, but basically, I
	think the whole Cables I know the first the same	19	did not come prepared, really, to speak, but I did
19	think the whole Gables. I know everybody screams we	20	have a very strong facting to a number of the things
19 20	can't do that. Why not? It's time.	20	have a very strong feeling to a number of the things
19 20 21	can't do that. Why not? It's time. Thank you.	21	that were said during the presentations.
19 20 21 22	can't do that. Why not? It's time. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.	21 22	that were said during the presentations. Bottom line is, I don't think that the people
19 20 21 22 23	can't do that. Why not? It's time. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Gail Poe-Liu?	21 22 23	that were said during the presentations. Bottom line is, I don't think that the people that are going to be brought in through this
19 20 21 22 23 24	can't do that. Why not? It's time. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Gail Poe-Liu? MS. POE-LIU: Good evening. I agree with John as	21 22 23 24	that were said during the presentations. Bottom line is, I don't think that the people that are going to be brought in through this development are going to be pleased, just like the
19 20 21 22 23	can't do that. Why not? It's time. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Gail Poe-Liu?	21 22 23	that were said during the presentations. Bottom line is, I don't think that the people that are going to be brought in through this

	Page 53		Page 54
1	they're going to find the horrible traffic that we've	1	but if we keep making exceptions, then the exception
2	been talking about. I mean you add that many people,	2	becomes the rule and we no longer have a quiet,
3	it's just going to exacerbate whatever problems that	3	quaint, sweet City.
4	we already have, which are really bad.	4	I used to be extremely proud of Coral Gables and
5	Going from Fluvia Avenue, which is three blocks	5	I would recommend it to anybody to move here, you
6	north of Bird, up to my dentist on Alhambra Circle	6	know, whether it's a family or business, whatever.
7	takes me over 20 minutes. I go straight up Ponce.	7	But I feel that we've lost a great deal. We haven't
8	That should not be. That's not why we moved here.	8	even gotten into what kind of City funded
9	We moved here because of the charm, because of	9	infrastructure improvements we're going to have to do
10	the beauty, because of the low scale, because of the	10	as far as water, sewer, or roads, all that kind of
11	family oriented communities, the lack of high speed	11	stuff to support a project of this size, as well as
12	traffic, the lack of congestion, the charm, the lack	12	the upkeep and the maintenance on that
13	of heavy density. And that's why we came here.	13	infrastructure, and the wear and tear on our roads.
14	So not only are we would we be horribly	14	To increase the FAR by 25 percent just seems
15	disappointed, I think that the people that are	15	crazy to me. And the density is just intolerable,
16	brought here who either rent or buy into the	16	and to double from what was 120,000 allowable up to
17	residences and/or businesses that The Plaza may	17	245,000 square feet, that's a huge jump. That's over
18	offer, I think that they're going to be horribly	18	double what is supposedly allowable. And I think a
19	disappointed as well. And I think if it was a	19	lot of people went to a great deal of effort and
20	smaller scale project I think that could work as they	20	time, and experience and expertise to develop the
21	were showing, you know, a four story or something	21	Development Plan. And I think that your Staff has
22	like that better fits in with the feeling of the City	22	done a fabulous job as looking into the possibilities
23	as it has been.	23	and the ramifications of this project, and I don't
24	And, you know, I guess part of the argument is	24	think that that should be, I don't know, thrown
25	that, okay, well, there are others that are worse,	25	away.
	Page 55		
	rage 33		Page 56
1	The the the people that we have chosen to	1	Page 56 My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30
1 2		1 2	
	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against	2 3	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will
2 3 4	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to	2 3 4	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years - 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what
2 3 4 5	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen.	2 3 4 5	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years – 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy – I did not buy next to the
2 3 4 5	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the	2 3 4 5 6	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years – 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy – I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I
2 3 4 5 6 7	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and	2 3 4 5 6 7	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years – 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy – I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and good luck in your decision-making. I know it's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years — 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy — I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings from my backyard. Think of it as your backyard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and good luck in your decision-making. I know it's not an easy one.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years — 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy — I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings from my backyard. Think of it as your backyard because it could happen to you too.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and good luck in your decision-making. I know it's not an easy one. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years – 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings from my backyard. Think of it as your backyard because it could happen to you too. First of all, the audacity, the audacity of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and good luck in your decision-making. I know it's not an easy one. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years – 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy – I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings from my backyard. Think of it as your backyard because it could happen to you too. First of all, the audacity, the audacity of thinking that you could take 39,000 square feet of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and good luck in your decision-making. I know it's not an easy one. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Pardo, welcome back.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years – 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy – I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings from my backyard. Think of it as your backyard because it could happen to you too. First of all, the audacity, the audacity of thinking that you could take 39,000 square feet of parcel and then add, conveniently, for FAR purposes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and good luck in your decision-making. I know it's not an easy one. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Pardo, welcome back. MR. PARDO: Who said that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years — 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy — I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings from my backyard. Think of it as your backyard because it could happen to you too. First of all, the audacity, the audacity of thinking that you could take 39,000 square feet of parcel and then add, conveniently, for FAR purposes and TDR purposes one-third more, 13,000 square feet,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and good luck in your decision-making. I know it's not an easy one. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Pardo, welcome back. MR. PARDO: Who said that? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years – 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy – I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings from my backyard. Think of it as your backyard because it could happen to you too. First of all, the audacity, the audacity of thinking that you could take 39,000 square feet of parcel and then add, conveniently, for FAR purposes and TDR purposes one-third more, 13,000 square feet, that's one-third more of an FAR that is past four
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and good luck in your decision-making. I know it's not an easy one. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Pardo, welcome back. MR. PARDO: Who said that? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Me. MR. PARDO: I'm sorry. I'm going to take my mask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years – 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings from my backyard. Think of it as your backyard because it could happen to you too. First of all, the audacity, the audacity of thinking that you could take 39,000 square feet of parcel and then add, conveniently, for FAR purposes and TDR purposes one-third more, 13,000 square feet, that's one-third more of an FAR that is past four when you throw in Med Bonuses and also TDR. That is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and good luck in your decision-making. I know it's not an easy one. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Pardo, welcome back. MR. PARDO: Who said that? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Me. MR. PARDO: I'm sorry. I'm going to take my mask off just to be a little more audible.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years – 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy — I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings from my backyard. Think of it as your backyard because it could happen to you too. First of all, the audacity, the audacity of thinking that you could take 39,000 square feet of parcel and then add, conveniently, for FAR purposes and TDR purposes one-third more, 13,000 square feet, that's one-third more of an FAR that is past four when you throw in Med Bonuses and also TDR. That is absurd. It is totally incompatible, with one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and good luck in your decision-making. I know it's not an easy one. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Pardo, welcome back. MR. PARDO: Who said that? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Me. MR. PARDO: I'm sorry. I'm going to take my mask off just to be a little more audible. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Of course.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years — 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy — I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings from my backyard. Think of it as your backyard because it could happen to you too. First of all, the audacity, the audacity of thinking that you could take 39,000 square feet of parcel and then add, conveniently, for FAR purposes and TDR purposes one-third more, 13,000 square feet, that's one-third more of an FAR that is past four when you throw in Med Bonuses and also TDR. That is absurd. It is totally incompatible, with one exception. It's compatible with The Plaza, and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and good luck in your decision-making. I know it's not an easy one. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Pardo, welcome back. MR. PARDO: Who said that? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Me. MR. PARDO: I'm sorry. I'm going to take my mask off just to be a little more audible. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Of course. MR. PARDO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years – 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy – I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings from my backyard. Think of it as your backyard because it could happen to you too. First of all, the audacity, the audacity of thinking that you could take 39,000 square feet of parcel and then add, conveniently, for FAR purposes and TDR purposes one-third more, 13,000 square feet, that's one-third more of an FAR that is past four when you throw in Med Bonuses and also TDR. That is absurd. It is totally incompatible, with one exception. It's compatible with The Plaza, and that is a wrong compatibility.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and good luck in your decision-making. I know it's not an easy one. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Pardo, welcome back. MR. PARDO: Who said that? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Me. MR. PARDO: I'm sorry. I'm going to take my mask off just to be a little more audible. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Of course. MR. PARDO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Board members. Thank you for your service.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years – 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy – I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings from my backyard. Think of it as your backyard because it could happen to you too. First of all, the audacity, the audacity of thinking that you could take 39,000 square feet of parcel and then add, conveniently, for FAR purposes and TDR purposes one-third more, 13,000 square feet, that's one-third more of an FAR that is past four when you throw in Med Bonuses and also TDR. That is absurd. It is totally incompatible, with one exception. It's compatible with The Plaza, and that is a wrong compatibility. My neighborhood on the west side of LeJeune Road,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and good luck in your decision-making. I know it's not an easy one. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Pardo, welcome back. MR. PARDO: Who said that? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Me. MR. PARDO: I'm sorry. I'm going to take my mask off just to be a little more audible. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Of course. MR. PARDO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Board members. Thank you for your service. I just wanted to say a few things to make sure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years – 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy — I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings from my backyard. Think of it as your backyard because it could happen to you too. First of all, the audacity, the audacity of thinking that you could take 39,000 square feet of parcel and then add, conveniently, for FAR purposes and TDR purposes one-third more, 13,000 square feet, that's one-third more of an FAR that is past four when you throw in Med Bonuses and also TDR. That is absurd. It is totally incompatible, with one exception. It's compatible with The Plaza, and that is a wrong compatibility. My neighborhood on the west side of LeJeune Road, my neighborhood on the east side of LeJeune Road has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and good luck in your decision-making. I know it's not an easy one. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Pardo, welcome back. MR. PARDO: Who said that? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Me. MR. PARDO: I'm sorry. I'm going to take my mask off just to be a little more audible. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Of course. MR. PARDO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Board members. Thank you for your service. I just wanted to say a few things to make sure that there's clarity in this particular	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years — 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy — I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings from my backyard. Think of it as your backyard because it could happen to you too. First of all, the audacity, the audacity of thinking that you could take 39,000 square feet of parcel and then add, conveniently, for FAR purposes and TDR purposes one-third more, 13,000 square feet, that's one-third more of an FAR that is past four when you throw in Med Bonuses and also TDR. That is absurd. It is totally incompatible, with one exception. It's compatible with The Plaza, and that is a wrong compatibility. My neighborhood on the west side of LeJeune Road, my neighborhood on the east side of LeJeune Road has single family residential, and on LeJeune Road as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and good luck in your decision-making. I know it's not an easy one. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Pardo, welcome back. MR. PARDO: Who said that? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Me. MR. PARDO: I'm sorry. I'm going to take my mask off just to be a little more audible. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Of course. MR. PARDO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Board members. Thank you for your service. I just wanted to say a few things to make sure that there's clarity in this particular recommendation from Staff.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years – 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy — I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings from my backyard. Think of it as your backyard because it could happen to you too. First of all, the audacity, the audacity of thinking that you could take 39,000 square feet of parcel and then add, conveniently, for FAR purposes and TDR purposes one-third more, 13,000 square feet, that's one-third more of an FAR that is past four when you throw in Med Bonuses and also TDR. That is absurd. It is totally incompatible, with one exception. It's compatible with The Plaza, and that is a wrong compatibility. My neighborhood on the west side of LeJeune Road, my neighborhood on the east side of LeJeune Road has single family residential, and on LeJeune Road as a buffer, which is very traditional in planning, has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and good luck in your decision-making. I know it's not an easy one. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Pardo, welcome back. MR. PARDO: Who said that? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Me. MR. PARDO: I'm sorry. I'm going to take my mask off just to be a little more audible. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Of course. MR. PARDO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Board members. Thank you for your service. I just wanted to say a few things to make sure that there's clarity in this particular recommendation from Staff. When you look at the project in whole, this is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years – 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy – I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings from my backyard. Think of it as your backyard because it could happen to you too. First of all, the audacity, the audacity of thinking that you could take 39,000 square feet of parcel and then add, conveniently, for FAR purposes and TDR purposes one-third more, 13,000 square feet, that's one-third more of an FAR that is past four when you throw in Med Bonuses and also TDR. That is absurd. It is totally incompatible, with one exception. It's compatible with The Plaza, and that is a wrong compatibility. My neighborhood on the west side of LeJeune Road, my neighborhood on the east side of LeJeune Road has single family residential, and on LeJeune Road as a buffer, which is very traditional in planning, has duplexes, duplexes to be able to mollify the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and good luck in your decision-making. I know it's not an easy one. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Pardo, welcome back. MR. PARDO: Who said that? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Me. MR. PARDO: I'm sorry. I'm going to take my mask off just to be a little more audible. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Of course. MR. PARDO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Board members. Thank you for your service. I just wanted to say a few things to make sure that there's clarity in this particular recommendation from Staff. When you look at the project in whole, this is a project that is totally incompatible with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years – 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings from my backyard. Think of it as your backyard because it could happen to you too. First of all, the audacity, the audacity of thinking that you could take 39,000 square feet of parcel and then add, conveniently, for FAR purposes and TDR purposes one-third more, 13,000 square feet, that's one-third more of an FAR that is past four when you throw in Med Bonuses and also TDR. That is absurd. It is totally incompatible, with one exception. It's compatible with The Plaza, and that is a wrong compatibility. My neighborhood on the west side of LeJeune Road, my neighborhood on the east side of LeJeune Road has single family residential, and on LeJeune Road as a buffer, which is very traditional in planning, has duplexes, duplexes to be able to mollify the intensity of larger roads, not enormous development.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The the the people that we have chosen to support the City and to guide the City, and to develop our vision and our goals, we're going against what they have said and I think maybe we ought to listen. And I want to thank you very much for the opportunity to speak and to share our concerns, and good luck in your decision-making. I know it's not an easy one. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Pardo, welcome back. MR. PARDO: Who said that? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Me. MR. PARDO: I'm sorry. I'm going to take my mask off just to be a little more audible. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Of course. MR. PARDO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Board members. Thank you for your service. I just wanted to say a few things to make sure that there's clarity in this particular recommendation from Staff. When you look at the project in whole, this is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	My name is Felix Pardo and I have lived for 30 years 31 years at 421 Cadima Avenue. If this project is built the way that it was designed I will be able to see it from my backyard. That is not what I bought. I did not buy I did not buy next to the airport and then complain about the airplanes. I bought where I did not see any commercial buildings from my backyard. Think of it as your backyard because it could happen to you too. First of all, the audacity, the audacity of thinking that you could take 39,000 square feet of parcel and then add, conveniently, for FAR purposes and TDR purposes one-third more, 13,000 square feet, that's one-third more of an FAR that is past four when you throw in Med Bonuses and also TDR. That is absurd. It is totally incompatible, with one exception. It's compatible with The Plaza, and that is a wrong compatibility. My neighborhood on the west side of LeJeune Road, my neighborhood on the east side of LeJeune Road has single family residential, and on LeJeune Road as a buffer, which is very traditional in planning, has duplexes, duplexes to be able to mollify the

	Page 57		Page 58
1	that's one of the largest buildings in the City. And	1	The Plaza traffic, and then you take all the other
2	that's one of the rargest buildings in the City. And the encroachment of this bulk is going southward	2	traffic that is around the circle and you're going
3	toward a critical area of single family neighborhood.	3	southbound, when you take that traffic light and make
4		4	a 90 degree turn, how the hell are you going to
	In my opinion, the first request of taking a	5	
5	historic drive such as University Drive and then	6	negotiate that with the volume of traffic that frows
6	desecrating it by now making a 90 degree turn there		flows freely through that curve onto University
7	is ridiculous. I almost laughed out loud when I saw	7	where people can then make a safe left-hand turn on
8	the report of all the accidents that occurred there.	8	LeJeune Road? Again, you don't have to be a traffic
9	First, the Public Works Director is here in the	9	engineer for that. But I will guarantee you that
10	in the City Hall today. There are 12 parking	10	most of the conflicts that you have on that curve is
11	spaces. You don't have to be a traffic engineer to	11	because those parking spaces should be completely
12	figure out that there are 10 of them, or 9 or 10 that	12	taken out and eliminated because that is just plain
13	back out at a perpendicular off that curve. That's	13	dumb.
14	crazy. And then on top of that, there are three	14	The second point
15	more parking spaces or two more parking spaces	15	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Pardo, I'm going to
16	that are parallel to that curve. Now, what does that	16	ask you if you would please soon wrap up because that
17	curve do in George Merrick's original design? It	17	will give an opportunity to everybody else to speak,
18	became a safety valve, a safety valve for continuous	18	please.
19	traffic going southbound on Ponce to go onto	19	MR. PARDO: Yes, sir.
20	University which, by the way, historically was called	20	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
21	Anastasia.	21	MR. PARDO: Right, I will. Thank you,
22	When you decided to put the University at what is	22	Mr. Chairman.
23	today the Coral Gables Youth Center, then it was	23	When you add the square footage to the FAR, when
24	changed to University. Now, why is that important?	24	you tag on the TDRs, in my opinion, I would not give
25	It's because when you now take The Plaza parking and	25	them the ability to close to to use that
	Page 59		Page 60
1	that alley that they have inside their property	1	recipient sites.
2	because that's the only way you're going to maintain	2	And I've already mentioned that this is a very
3	the scale of that property down to where it should	3	noncompatible project. I mentioned the land use, I
4	be.	4	mentioned all the things that this Board is supposed
5	And by the way, two wrongs don't make a right,	5	to really analyze.
6	neither The Plaza or anything else.	6	I think that Staff has made the right decision to
7	Now, as far as the Comprehensive Land Use Plan,	7	vote against this project. I think Staff has made a
8	to go from mid-rise to high-rise is absurd. The	8	mistake of granting what seems to be a very simple
9	mid-rise, by the way, will not happen unless you give	9	vacating of an alley, but the ramifications of that
10	them the alley inside the property. Keep in mind,	10	decision of vacating that interior alley will give
11	Comprehensive Land Use Plans are ceilings. They're	11	them a height which is not there now in that area.
12	not a guarantee in any way, shape, or form.	12	And keep in mind, we're right down the street from
13	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	13	single family residential.
14	MR. PARDO: Next, the Development Agreement, the	14	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
15	Development Agreement that you that that has	15	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
16	been talked about, the last time I checked, by giving	16	I will please, please
17	them the FAR and then they'll give it back as a park,	17	(Applauding.)
18	that park is only going to serve their development.	18	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Excuse me. I will ask,
19	It's not a park. It's a green space because they	19	please, please don't applaud. Let every speaker
20	slammed everything up against the property line. And	20	speak. Thank you very much.
21	the last time I checked, this is not a joint venture	21	THE SECRETARY: Henry Peraza?
22	between the City of Coral Gables and the developer.	22	MR. PERAZA: I'll be brief.
23	And as far as the TDRs, I think they should be	23	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
Ī	•	24	MR. PERAZA: Good evening. My name is Henry
24	studied very clearry. Tou should also look at the		
24 25	studied very clearly. You should also look at the history of the TDRs and what the limitations were of	25	Peraza and I reside at 4991 University Drive, and I'm

	Page 61		Page 62
1	here to express my concerns this evening over the	1	you, because
2	sale of the parking lot on University Drive to	2	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Ma'am
3	Doctors Hospital.	3	MS. JOLI: you are the one who matters.
4	My duplex is adjacent to the parking lot.	4	My name is Mayra Joli. My address is 700
5	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: We're not on that agenda	5	Jeronimo Drive, Coral Gables, 33146, and we are here
6	item.	6	again doing the same thing we've been doing, fighting
7	MR. PERAZA: We're not?	7	the people with the money to let us just live in
8	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: On the Doctors	8	peace. And we have the people that we selected, but
9	THE SECRETARY: We're still on Ponce Park	9	all of you have been selected by someone who they
10	Residences, sir.	10	selected to serve, and you are being serving, you
11	MR. BEHAR: That's the second	11	only serving the people with the money. They have
12	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: That's the second agenda	12	only one thing that they want. They claim they have
13	item.	13	something unique to give to us, as if we are asking
14	MR. PERAZA: Oh, I'm sorry.	14	for something unique.
15	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: We're just not there yet.	15	We already have something unique that nobody can
16	That's okay.	16	recreate. But they claim that they going to have
17	MR. PERAZA: We'll call you back. Thank you,	17	something that we want, that we need. Since when?
18	sir.	18	Since when do you know what we want? Since you going
19	THE SECRETARY: Mayra Joli?	19	to come here and you going to tell us that we need
20	MS. JOLI: Good evening, everyone. I thank all	20	another monster because there is another monster
21	of you	21	already that we allow to grow in our backyard. So
22	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Could you please state	22	what's the difference? Why are we doing this?
23	your name and address?	23	(Speaking in Spanish.)
24	MS. JOLI: I will.	24	The same thing happening again. Coral Gables
25	I thank all of you for being here today, thank	25	coming here to thank all of you, Your Highness, for
	Page 63		Page 64
1	letting us speak about how we want to live our lives.	1	We don't live in a high-rise. We don't need the
2	Isn't that nice? I mean you guys should be applauded	2	high-rise. We wish there was a way that we could
3	because you really putting us to task. All of you	3	just take away that monstrosity that is The Plaza.
4	are very faithful. Alex, Claudia, you know what	4	Now you going to give us another one, and then from
5	these people don't want. All of you are very	5	that one there's going to be another one and another
6	faithful to them, the developers, the ones who have	6	one because they are never going to be satisfied
7	the money.	7	because there is money in Coral Gables.
8	Morris is not here talking. He's probably having	8	Why they don't go out to the City
9	somebody making dinner for him, but we are not making		
		9	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Ms. Joli, I'm going
10	dinner because we want to make sure we have a	10	to ask you to
10 11	dinner because we want to make sure we have a backyard that we can protect.	10 11	to ask you to MS. JOLI: They can they can go to Immokalee.
10 11 12	dinner because we want to make sure we have a backyard that we can protect. From my backyard I could be in my pool and I can	10 11 12	to ask you to MS. JOLI: They can they can go to Immokalee. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Ms. Joli, I'm going to
10 11 12 13	dinner because we want to make sure we have a backyard that we can protect. From my backyard I could be in my pool and I can see the monster that I don't even know how far that	10 11 12 13	to ask you to MS. JOLI: They can they can go to Immokalee. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Ms. Joli, I'm going to ask you to wrap it up, please, because
10 11 12 13 14	dinner because we want to make sure we have a backyard that we can protect. From my backyard I could be in my pool and I can see the monster that I don't even know how far that thing goes on U.S. 1. What is that? I see it from	10 11 12 13 14	to ask you to MS. JOLI: They can they can go to Immokalee. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Ms. Joli, I'm going to ask you to wrap it up, please, because MS. JOLI: I'm wrapping it up. I'm wrapping it
10 11 12 13 14 15	dinner because we want to make sure we have a backyard that we can protect. From my backyard I could be in my pool and I can see the monster that I don't even know how far that thing goes on U.S. 1. What is that? I see it from everywhere. It blocks the sun, but it's good for me.	10 11 12 13 14 15	to ask you to MS. JOLI: They can they can go to Immokalee. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Ms. Joli, I'm going to ask you to wrap it up, please, because MS. JOLI: I'm wrapping it up. I'm wrapping it up.
10 11 12 13 14 15	dinner because we want to make sure we have a backyard that we can protect. From my backyard I could be in my pool and I can see the monster that I don't even know how far that thing goes on U.S. 1. What is that? I see it from everywhere. It blocks the sun, but it's good for me. It's good for us because that's what we wanted. We	10 11 12 13 14 15	to ask you to MS. JOLI: They can they can go to Immokalee. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Ms. Joli, I'm going to ask you to wrap it up, please, because MS. JOLI: I'm wrapping it up. I'm wrapping it up. If if we going to have a government and a
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	dinner because we want to make sure we have a backyard that we can protect. From my backyard I could be in my pool and I can see the monster that I don't even know how far that thing goes on U.S. 1. What is that? I see it from everywhere. It blocks the sun, but it's good for me. It's good for us because that's what we wanted. We wanted more buildings, didn't didn't wasn't	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to ask you to MS. JOLI: They can they can go to Immokalee. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Ms. Joli, I'm going to ask you to wrap it up, please, because MS. JOLI: I'm wrapping it up. I'm wrapping it up. If if we going to have a government and a Board like we have here that we have to take time out
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	dinner because we want to make sure we have a backyard that we can protect. From my backyard I could be in my pool and I can see the monster that I don't even know how far that thing goes on U.S. 1. What is that? I see it from everywhere. It blocks the sun, but it's good for me. It's good for us because that's what we wanted. We wanted more buildings, didn't — didn't — wasn't that the reason we moved to Coral Gables?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to ask you to MS. JOLI: They can they can go to Immokalee. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Ms. Joli, I'm going to ask you to wrap it up, please, because MS. JOLI: I'm wrapping it up. I'm wrapping it up. If if we going to have a government and a Board like we have here that we have to take time out of our lives just to come and fight for what we
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	dinner because we want to make sure we have a backyard that we can protect. From my backyard I could be in my pool and I can see the monster that I don't even know how far that thing goes on U.S. 1. What is that? I see it from everywhere. It blocks the sun, but it's good for me. It's good for us because that's what we wanted. We wanted more buildings, didn't — didn't — wasn't that the reason we moved to Coral Gables? You've been here for 60 years. You need one more	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to ask you to MS. JOLI: They can they can go to Immokalee. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Ms. Joli, I'm going to ask you to wrap it up, please, because MS. JOLI: I'm wrapping it up. I'm wrapping it up. If if we going to have a government and a Board like we have here that we have to take time out of our lives just to come and fight for what we purchase. This is not a Plan 8. This is money that
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	dinner because we want to make sure we have a backyard that we can protect. From my backyard I could be in my pool and I can see the monster that I don't even know how far that thing goes on U.S. 1. What is that? I see it from everywhere. It blocks the sun, but it's good for me. It's good for us because that's what we wanted. We wanted more buildings, didn't didn't wasn't that the reason we moved to Coral Gables? You've been here for 60 years. You need one more building before we all crack, don't we?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to ask you to MS. JOLI: They can they can go to Immokalee. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Ms. Joli, I'm going to ask you to wrap it up, please, because MS. JOLI: I'm wrapping it up. I'm wrapping it up. If if we going to have a government and a Board like we have here that we have to take time out of our lives just to come and fight for what we purchase. This is not a Plan 8. This is money that we invested in our communities and for not for
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	dinner because we want to make sure we have a backyard that we can protect. From my backyard I could be in my pool and I can see the monster that I don't even know how far that thing goes on U.S. 1. What is that? I see it from everywhere. It blocks the sun, but it's good for me. It's good for us because that's what we wanted. We wanted more buildings, didn't didn't wasn't that the reason we moved to Coral Gables? You've been here for 60 years. You need one more building before we all crack, don't we? You guys should be ashamed of all of you yourself	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to ask you to MS. JOLI: They can they can go to Immokalee. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Ms. Joli, I'm going to ask you to wrap it up, please, because MS. JOLI: I'm wrapping it up. I'm wrapping it up. If if we going to have a government and a Board like we have here that we have to take time out of our lives just to come and fight for what we purchase. This is not a Plan 8. This is money that we invested in our communities and for not for you, to us to ask you that please, we demand, we
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	dinner because we want to make sure we have a backyard that we can protect. From my backyard I could be in my pool and I can see the monster that I don't even know how far that thing goes on U.S. 1. What is that? I see it from everywhere. It blocks the sun, but it's good for me. It's good for us because that's what we wanted. We wanted more buildings, didn't didn't wasn't that the reason we moved to Coral Gables? You've been here for 60 years. You need one more building before we all crack, don't we? You guys should be ashamed of all of you yourself because here you're giving them the time of the day	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to ask you to MS. JOLI: They can they can go to Immokalee. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Ms. Joli, I'm going to ask you to wrap it up, please, because MS. JOLI: I'm wrapping it up. I'm wrapping it up. If if we going to have a government and a Board like we have here that we have to take time out of our lives just to come and fight for what we purchase. This is not a Plan 8. This is money that we invested in our communities and for not for you, to us to ask you that please, we demand, we telling you how we want this, and we want you to do
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	dinner because we want to make sure we have a backyard that we can protect. From my backyard I could be in my pool and I can see the monster that I don't even know how far that thing goes on U.S. 1. What is that? I see it from everywhere. It blocks the sun, but it's good for me. It's good for us because that's what we wanted. We wanted more buildings, didn't — didn't — wasn't that the reason we moved to Coral Gables? You've been here for 60 years. You need one more building before we all crack, don't we? You guys should be ashamed of all of you yourself because here you're giving them the time of the day for them to come and put, we are begging you, please,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. JOLI: They can they can go to Immokalee. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Ms. Joli, I'm going to ask you to wrap it up, please, because MS. JOLI: I'm wrapping it up. I'm wrapping it up. If if we going to have a government and a Board like we have here that we have to take time out of our lives just to come and fight for what we purchase. This is not a Plan 8. This is money that we invested in our communities and for not for you, to us to ask you that please, we demand, we telling you how we want this, and we want you to do the work. And if it's too much work for all of you
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	dinner because we want to make sure we have a backyard that we can protect. From my backyard I could be in my pool and I can see the monster that I don't even know how far that thing goes on U.S. 1. What is that? I see it from everywhere. It blocks the sun, but it's good for me. It's good for us because that's what we wanted. We wanted more buildings, didn't — didn't — wasn't that the reason we moved to Coral Gables? You've been here for 60 years. You need one more building before we all crack, don't we? You guys should be ashamed of all of you yourself because here you're giving them the time of the day for them to come and put, we are begging you, please, please don't allow them to do that to us. How good	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. JOLI: They can they can go to Immokalee. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Ms. Joli, I'm going to ask you to wrap it up, please, because MS. JOLI: I'm wrapping it up. I'm wrapping it up. If if we going to have a government and a Board like we have here that we have to take time out of our lives just to come and fight for what we purchase. This is not a Plan 8. This is money that we invested in our communities and for not for you, to us to ask you that please, we demand, we telling you how we want this, and we want you to do the work. And if it's too much work for all of you guys, so difficult, then maybe we need people who
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	dinner because we want to make sure we have a backyard that we can protect. From my backyard I could be in my pool and I can see the monster that I don't even know how far that thing goes on U.S. 1. What is that? I see it from everywhere. It blocks the sun, but it's good for me. It's good for us because that's what we wanted. We wanted more buildings, didn't — didn't — wasn't that the reason we moved to Coral Gables? You've been here for 60 years. You need one more building before we all crack, don't we? You guys should be ashamed of all of you yourself because here you're giving them the time of the day for them to come and put, we are begging you, please,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. JOLI: They can they can go to Immokalee. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Ms. Joli, I'm going to ask you to wrap it up, please, because MS. JOLI: I'm wrapping it up. I'm wrapping it up. If if we going to have a government and a Board like we have here that we have to take time out of our lives just to come and fight for what we purchase. This is not a Plan 8. This is money that we invested in our communities and for not for you, to us to ask you that please, we demand, we telling you how we want this, and we want you to do the work. And if it's too much work for all of you

	Page 65		Page 66
1	Have a good night.	1	neighborhood, but I think something that Mr. Fisher
2	MR. TORRE: Mr. Chair, I'd like to speak.	2	pointed out is very important, that our neighborhood,
3	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Have a good night.	3	which is our my neighborhood, I should say, is
4	MR. TORRE: Mr. Chair, I'd like to speak.	4	that few block area between LeJeune and Ponce, and I
5	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir.	5	know there's others that are 38th Avenue, I guess,
6	MR. TORRE: I'm sorry, I'd like to clarify that	6	that are we're all affected by The Plaza and would
7	this is offensive to this Board. This Board has not	7	be affected by this development as well. But we're
8	voted, this Board has not made any recommendations.	8	also affected by all of the development that's taken
9	This Board is a voluntary Board here to help the	9	place on the south side of Bird Road.
10	community. We understand that there's frustrations,	10	There's, I'm sure you all know, there's a whole
11	but the tone of that discussion was not appropriate	11	series of buildings that have gone up and that are
12	and I take offense to it, and I put that on the	12	continuing to go up in that area that also impacts
13	record.	13	our neighborhood. So this would just be, as the
14	MR. BEHAR: And I concur with you, Mr. Torre.	14	Applicant said, they're not the first, but they will
15	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: I think we all agree.	15	be the sixth building in this small area that will
16	The next person, please.	16	continue to impact our very small residential area.
17	THE SECRETARY: Barbara Perez?	17	And again, you know, I'm not going to repeat
18	MS. PEREZ: Good evening. My name is Barbara	18	what everybody said, but it is drastically impacting
19	Perez and I live at 210 Romano Avenue, and I'll be	19	the quality of our life.
20	very brief because, as the Chairman said, a lot of	20	Thank you for your time.
21	what's already been said, I'm not going to repeat it,	21	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you for being
22	but I do want I do want to reiterate something	22	concise.
23	that Mr. Fisher pointed out.	23	THE SECRETARY: Rosamaria Bravo?
24	I know a lot of us are focused on The Plaza	24	MS. BRAVO: Good evening. My name is Rosamaria
25	because it is such a large development that's in our	25	Bravo. I live at 227 Velarde Avenue and I've lived
	Page 67		Page 68
1	Page 67 there for about 30 years now.	1	Page 68 beginning of this presentation today did not show all
1 2		1 2	
	there for about 30 years now.		beginning of this presentation today did not show all
2	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board	2	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They
2	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the	2 3 4 5	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the
2 3 4	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should	2 3 4	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because
2 3 4 5	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our	2 3 4 5 6 7	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our neighborhood and impact the quality of our life?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and please do not grant these variances to the Applicant.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our neighborhood and impact the quality of our life? The traffic that's going to be caused by The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and please do not grant these variances to the Applicant. Thank you for your attention.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our neighborhood and impact the quality of our life? The traffic that's going to be caused by The Plaza when it comes online has not been determined	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and please do not grant these variances to the Applicant. Thank you for your attention. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our neighborhood and impact the quality of our life? The traffic that's going to be caused by The Plaza when it comes online has not been determined yet, and the traffic study that they are indicating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and please do not grant these variances to the Applicant. Thank you for your attention. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Sorry, I have a name here, Sara
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our neighborhood and impact the quality of our life? The traffic that's going to be caused by The Plaza when it comes online has not been determined yet, and the traffic study that they are indicating in what they submitted does not take that into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and please do not grant these variances to the Applicant. Thank you for your attention. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Sorry, I have a name here, Sara I'm not sure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our neighborhood and impact the quality of our life? The traffic that's going to be caused by The Plaza when it comes online has not been determined yet, and the traffic study that they are indicating in what they submitted does not take that into account. It was a traffic study that was done during	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and please do not grant these variances to the Applicant. Thank you for your attention. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Sorry, I have a name here, Sara I'm not sure MS. CONDE: Hi. I'm Sara Conde. I'm at 228
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our neighborhood and impact the quality of our life? The traffic that's going to be caused by The Plaza when it comes online has not been determined yet, and the traffic study that they are indicating in what they submitted does not take that into account. It was a traffic study that was done during Covid when there was a lockdown, so you really need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and please do not grant these variances to the Applicant. Thank you for your attention. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Sorry, I have a name here, Sara I'm not sure MS. CONDE: Hi. I'm Sara Conde. I'm at 228 Alesio and I just wanted to agree with what's been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our neighborhood and impact the quality of our life? The traffic that's going to be caused by The Plaza when it comes online has not been determined yet, and the traffic study that they are indicating in what they submitted does not take that into account. It was a traffic study that was done during Covid when there was a lockdown, so you really need to consider the traffic impact in the — of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and please do not grant these variances to the Applicant. Thank you for your attention. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Sorry, I have a name here, Sara I'm not sure MS. CONDE: Hi. I'm Sara Conde. I'm at 228 Alesio and I just wanted to agree with what's been said before.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our neighborhood and impact the quality of our life? The traffic that's going to be caused by The Plaza when it comes online has not been determined yet, and the traffic study that they are indicating in what they submitted does not take that into account. It was a traffic study that was done during Covid when there was a lockdown, so you really need to consider the traffic impact in the — of the variances they're requesting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and please do not grant these variances to the Applicant. Thank you for your attention. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Sorry, I have a name here, Sara I'm not sure MS. CONDE: Hi. I'm Sara Conde. I'm at 228 Alesio and I just wanted to agree with what's been said before. I think that this project is out of scale with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our neighborhood and impact the quality of our life? The traffic that's going to be caused by The Plaza when it comes online has not been determined yet, and the traffic study that they are indicating in what they submitted does not take that into account. It was a traffic study that was done during Covid when there was a lockdown, so you really need to consider the traffic impact in the — of the variances they're requesting. What they're saying is going to be a park is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and please do not grant these variances to the Applicant. Thank you for your attention. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Sorry, I have a name here, Sara I'm not sure MS. CONDE: Hi. I'm Sara Conde. I'm at 228 Alesio and I just wanted to agree with what's been said before. I think that this project is out of scale with our neighborhood. I bought my house 25 years ago and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our neighborhood and impact the quality of our life? The traffic that's going to be caused by The Plaza when it comes online has not been determined yet, and the traffic study that they are indicating in what they submitted does not take that into account. It was a traffic study that was done during Covid when there was a lockdown, so you really need to consider the traffic impact in the — of the variances they're requesting. What they're saying is going to be a park is really just concrete land with some landscaping. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and please do not grant these variances to the Applicant. Thank you for your attention. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Sorry, I have a name here, Sara I'm not sure MS. CONDE: Hi. I'm Sara Conde. I'm at 228 Alesio and I just wanted to agree with what's been said before. I think that this project is out of scale with our neighborhood. I bought my house 25 years ago and I bought into a lovely neighborhood and I was very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our neighborhood and impact the quality of our life? The traffic that's going to be caused by The Plaza when it comes online has not been determined yet, and the traffic study that they are indicating in what they submitted does not take that into account. It was a traffic study that was done during Covid when there was a lockdown, so you really need to consider the traffic impact in the — of the variances they're requesting. What they're saying is going to be a park is really just concrete land with some landscaping. We already have a park, we have the Coral Gables Youth	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and please do not grant these variances to the Applicant. Thank you for your attention. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Sorry, I have a name here, Sara I'm not sure MS. CONDE: Hi. I'm Sara Conde. I'm at 228 Alesio and I just wanted to agree with what's been said before. I think that this project is out of scale with our neighborhood. I bought my house 25 years ago and I bought into a lovely neighborhood and I was very happy to live there, and I still am. But I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our neighborhood and impact the quality of our life? The traffic that's going to be caused by The Plaza when it comes online has not been determined yet, and the traffic study that they are indicating in what they submitted does not take that into account. It was a traffic study that was done during Covid when there was a lockdown, so you really need to consider the traffic impact in the — of the variances they're requesting. What they're saying is going to be a park is really just concrete land with some landscaping. We already have a park, we have the Coral Gables Youth Center a couple blocks away, we have the Ponce	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and please do not grant these variances to the Applicant. Thank you for your attention. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Sorry, I have a name here, Sara I'm not sure MS. CONDE: Hi. I'm Sara Conde. I'm at 228 Alesio and I just wanted to agree with what's been said before. I think that this project is out of scale with our neighborhood. I bought my house 25 years ago and I bought into a lovely neighborhood and I was very happy to live there, and I still am. But I don't want to lose that neighborhood. I want it to I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our neighborhood and impact the quality of our life? The traffic that's going to be caused by The Plaza when it comes online has not been determined yet, and the traffic study that they are indicating in what they submitted does not take that into account. It was a traffic study that was done during Covid when there was a lockdown, so you really need to consider the traffic impact in the — of the variances they're requesting. What they're saying is going to be a park is really just concrete land with some landscaping. We already have a park, we have the Coral Gables Youth Center a couple blocks away, we have the Ponce Circle. That's not really a park.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and please do not grant these variances to the Applicant. Thank you for your attention. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Sorry, I have a name here, Sara I'm not sure MS. CONDE: Hi. I'm Sara Conde. I'm at 228 Alesio and I just wanted to agree with what's been said before. I think that this project is out of scale with our neighborhood. I bought my house 25 years ago and I bought into a lovely neighborhood and I was very happy to live there, and I still am. But I don't want to lose that neighborhood. I want it to I want it to remain the beautiful neighborhood that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our neighborhood and impact the quality of our life? The traffic that's going to be caused by The Plaza when it comes online has not been determined yet, and the traffic study that they are indicating in what they submitted does not take that into account. It was a traffic study that was done during Covid when there was a lockdown, so you really need to consider the traffic impact in the — of the variances they're requesting. What they're saying is going to be a park is really just concrete land with some landscaping. We already have a park, we have the Coral Gables Youth Center a couple blocks away, we have the Ponce Circle. That's not really a park. The size of this building will severely impact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and please do not grant these variances to the Applicant. Thank you for your attention. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Sorry, I have a name here, Sara I'm not sure MS. CONDE: Hi. I'm Sara Conde. I'm at 228 Alesio and I just wanted to agree with what's been said before. I think that this project is out of scale with our neighborhood. I bought my house 25 years ago and I bought into a lovely neighborhood and I was very happy to live there, and I still am. But I don't want to lose that neighborhood. I want it to I want it to remain the beautiful neighborhood that I live in and I do think that this new project is is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our neighborhood and impact the quality of our life? The traffic that's going to be caused by The Plaza when it comes online has not been determined yet, and the traffic study that they are indicating in what they submitted does not take that into account. It was a traffic study that was done during Covid when there was a lockdown, so you really need to consider the traffic impact in the — of the variances they're requesting. What they're saying is going to be a park is really just concrete land with some landscaping. We already have a park, we have the Coral Gables Youth Center a couple blocks away, we have the Ponce Circle. That's not really a park. The size of this building will severely impact our neighborhood and what we all see from our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and please do not grant these variances to the Applicant. Thank you for your attention. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Sorry, I have a name here, Sara—I'm not sure— MS. CONDE: Hi. I'm Sara Conde. I'm at 228 Alesio and I just wanted to agree with what's been said before. I think that this project is out of scale with our neighborhood. I bought my house 25 years ago and I bought into a lovely neighborhood and I was very happy to live there, and I still am. But I don't want to lose that neighborhood. I want it to—I want it to remain the beautiful neighborhood that I live in and I do think that this new project is—is out of scale. I think the height of it is out of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our neighborhood and impact the quality of our life? The traffic that's going to be caused by The Plaza when it comes online has not been determined yet, and the traffic study that they are indicating in what they submitted does not take that into account. It was a traffic study that was done during Covid when there was a lockdown, so you really need to consider the traffic impact in the — of the variances they're requesting. What they're saying is going to be a park is really just concrete land with some landscaping. We already have a park, we have the Coral Gables Youth Center a couple blocks away, we have the Ponce Circle. That's not really a park. The size of this building will severely impact our neighborhood and what we all see from our backyards.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and please do not grant these variances to the Applicant. Thank you for your attention. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Sorry, I have a name here, Sara I'm not sure MS. CONDE: Hi. I'm Sara Conde. I'm at 228 Alesio and I just wanted to agree with what's been said before. I think that this project is out of scale with our neighborhood. I bought my house 25 years ago and I bought into a lovely neighborhood and I was very happy to live there, and I still am. But I don't want to lose that neighborhood. I want it to I want it to remain the beautiful neighborhood that I live in and I do think that this new project is is out of scale. I think the height of it is out of scale. I think The Plaza is out of scale with our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there for about 30 years now. I just want to express my concern to the Board about the variances that are being requested by the Applicant because the current zoning is in place for a reason. It's there to protect us from overdevelopment in our neighborhoods, and why should we allow these higher developments to come into our neighborhood and impact the quality of our life? The traffic that's going to be caused by The Plaza when it comes online has not been determined yet, and the traffic study that they are indicating in what they submitted does not take that into account. It was a traffic study that was done during Covid when there was a lockdown, so you really need to consider the traffic impact in the — of the variances they're requesting. What they're saying is going to be a park is really just concrete land with some landscaping. We already have a park, we have the Coral Gables Youth Center a couple blocks away, we have the Ponce Circle. That's not really a park. The size of this building will severely impact our neighborhood and what we all see from our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	beginning of this presentation today did not show all the neighborhoods that are really around it. They conveniently cut it off right where all the residences started. So I respectfully request that you listen to the neighbors and what we are requesting from you because this is going to directly impact our lives, and please do not grant these variances to the Applicant. Thank you for your attention. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Sorry, I have a name here, Sara—I'm not sure— MS. CONDE: Hi. I'm Sara Conde. I'm at 228 Alesio and I just wanted to agree with what's been said before. I think that this project is out of scale with our neighborhood. I bought my house 25 years ago and I bought into a lovely neighborhood and I was very happy to live there, and I still am. But I don't want to lose that neighborhood. I want it to—I want it to remain the beautiful neighborhood that I live in and I do think that this new project is—is out of scale. I think the height of it is out of

	Page 69		Page 70
1	built there, but there it is. But I would ask not to	1	The second point that I want to touch on is, I
2	continue along that path.	2	don't know where Mr. De Yurre gets his figures as far
3	Thank you so much.	3	as the traffic accidents at University and Ponce and
4	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.	4	Salcedo. I personally have never seen I cannot
5	THE SECRETARY: Aurelio Durana?	5	recall an accident at that curve where University
6	MR. DURANA: Very briefly. Aurelio Durana, 322	6	begins and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, at all. There
7	Alesio Avenue.	7	have been bad accidents at University and LeJeune and
8	I just want to touch on two points. I have lived	8	a couple of close calls and a couple of accidents at
9	in the neighborhood for over 30 years. I've had my	9	University and Salcedo, but I don't know, frankly, I
10	offices on Ponce de Leon Boulevard for at least 35	10	don't know where he gets those figures.
11	years.	11	That's all I have. Thank you very much.
12	Mr. De Yurre has made a big deal about this being	12	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
13	an Allen Morris project and what he first represented	13	MR. COLLER: Can we get the gentleman's address?
14	to the community and all of that.	14	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: He did give his address.
15	-	15	THE SECRETARY: 322 Alesio Avenue.
16	Luckily, we have an example of Allen Morris'	16	Maylen Blardonis?
	workmanship. We've seen the Alhambra Towers	17	•
17	downtown, we've seen that beautiful park with the	18	MS. BLARDONIS: Good evening. My name is Maylen Blardonis. I live on 242 Vizcaya Avenue. I've been
18	fountain at the end that is supposed to be a public		·
19	park, and consistently, every time Mr. Morris gets a	19	a Gables resident for 21 years and one of the things
20	new restaurant tenant on the ground floor that public	20	that I've loved about my neighborhood is that we all
21	park gets closed off and it becomes the outdoor	21	know each other, we all walk and up down Salcedo.
22	dining area for the restaurant, and that is what he's	22	It's a very family oriented. You see when the
23	planning for this area. He's going to put his	23	families move in and they have new kids. You see
24	restaurant down there and that's going to be his	24	them strolling and how the kids grow up. And also,
25	outdoor overflow.	25	you see them walking the dogs.
	Page 71		Page 72
1	You know, one of the good things about Covid, if	1	that area, and I've never seen an accident. I've
2			that area, and I ve he ver seen an accident. I ve
	I can say that is that I've been fortunate to walk my	2	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very
3	I can say that is that I've been fortunate to walk my neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how	2 3	·
3 4	•		heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very
	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how	3	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting
4	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The	3 4	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better
4 5	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you	3 4 5	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area
4 5 6	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you guys are considering of having another building going	3 4 5 6	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area talk about that there's only been, and if I heard
4 5 6 7	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you guys are considering of having another building going up.	3 4 5 6 7	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area talk about that there's only been, and if I heard him correctly, seven accidents in 40 years. Really?
4 5 6 7 8	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you guys are considering of having another building going up. You know, where we're at right now, and that's	3 4 5 6 7 8	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area talk about that there's only been, and if I heard him correctly, seven accidents in 40 years. Really? That's not a dangerous intersection. It can't be a
4 5 6 7 8 9	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you guys are considering of having another building going up. You know, where we're at right now, and that's what John was saying is, my neighborhood is between	3 4 5 6 7 8	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area talk about that there's only been, and if I heard him correctly, seven accidents in 40 years. Really? That's not a dangerous intersection. It can't be a criteria for allowing for allowing a roadway to be
4 5 6 7 8 9	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you guys are considering of having another building going up. You know, where we're at right now, and that's what John was saying is, my neighborhood is between University and Bird Road and then Ponce and LeJeune.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area talk about that there's only been, and if I heard him correctly, seven accidents in 40 years. Really? That's not a dangerous intersection. It can't be a criteria for allowing for allowing a roadway to be granted to an Applicant.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you guys are considering of having another building going up. You know, where we're at right now, and that's what John was saying is, my neighborhood is between University and Bird Road and then Ponce and LeJeune. We're being sandwiched in with all these buildings	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area talk about that there's only been, and if I heard him correctly, seven accidents in 40 years. Really? That's not a dangerous intersection. It can't be a criteria for allowing for allowing a roadway to be granted to an Applicant. But speaking about the Applicant, I understand
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you guys are considering of having another building going up. You know, where we're at right now, and that's what John was saying is, my neighborhood is between University and Bird Road and then Ponce and LeJeune. We're being sandwiched in with all these buildings going up, and my biggest concern is that if you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area talk about that there's only been, and if I heard him correctly, seven accidents in 40 years. Really? That's not a dangerous intersection. It can't be a criteria for allowing for allowing a roadway to be granted to an Applicant. But speaking about the Applicant, I understand that the space that he actually owns is 39,000 square
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you guys are considering of having another building going up. You know, where we're at right now, and that's what John was saying is, my neighborhood is between University and Bird Road and then Ponce and LeJeune. We're being sandwiched in with all these buildings going up, and my biggest concern is that if you approve this building it's going to set precedence,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area talk about that there's only been, and if I heard him correctly, seven accidents in 40 years. Really? That's not a dangerous intersection. It can't be a criteria for allowing for allowing a roadway to be granted to an Applicant. But speaking about the Applicant, I understand that the space that he actually owns is 39,000 square feet. He wants an alleyway that's worth 3,000 square
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you guys are considering of having another building going up. You know, where we're at right now, and that's what John was saying is, my neighborhood is between University and Bird Road and then Ponce and LeJeune. We're being sandwiched in with all these buildings going up, and my biggest concern is that if you approve this building it's going to set precedence, and Ponce de Leon right now is residentials and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area talk about that there's only been, and if I heard him correctly, seven accidents in 40 years. Really? That's not a dangerous intersection. It can't be a criteria for allowing for allowing a roadway to be granted to an Applicant. But speaking about the Applicant, I understand that the space that he actually owns is 39,000 square feet. He wants an alleyway that's worth 3,000 square feet. He wants University Park that's worth 16,000.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you guys are considering of having another building going up. You know, where we're at right now, and that's what John was saying is, my neighborhood is between University and Bird Road and then Ponce and LeJeune. We're being sandwiched in with all these buildings going up, and my biggest concern is that if you approve this building it's going to set precedence, and Ponce de Leon right now is residentials and duplexes, that's going to be an area where the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area talk about that there's only been, and if I heard him correctly, seven accidents in 40 years. Really? That's not a dangerous intersection. It can't be a criteria for allowing for allowing a roadway to be granted to an Applicant. But speaking about the Applicant, I understand that the space that he actually owns is 39,000 square feet. He wants an alleyway that's worth 3,000 square feet. He wants University Park that's worth 16,000. That's free land. And he doesn't think it's free
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you guys are considering of having another building going up. You know, where we're at right now, and that's what John was saying is, my neighborhood is between University and Bird Road and then Ponce and LeJeune. We're being sandwiched in with all these buildings going up, and my biggest concern is that if you approve this building it's going to set precedence, and Ponce de Leon right now is residentials and duplexes, that's going to be an area where the developer's going to come in and attack those areas.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area talk about that there's only been, and if I heard him correctly, seven accidents in 40 years. Really? That's not a dangerous intersection. It can't be a criteria for allowing for allowing a roadway to be granted to an Applicant. But speaking about the Applicant, I understand that the space that he actually owns is 39,000 square feet. He wants an alleyway that's worth 3,000 square feet. He wants University Park that's worth 16,000. That's free land. And he doesn't think it's free land, but everybody else who's a citizen of Coral
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you guys are considering of having another building going up. You know, where we're at right now, and that's what John was saying is, my neighborhood is between University and Bird Road and then Ponce and LeJeune. We're being sandwiched in with all these buildings going up, and my biggest concern is that if you approve this building it's going to set precedence, and Ponce de Leon right now is residentials and duplexes, that's going to be an area where the developer's going to come in and attack those areas. So do please consider that there's a lot of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area talk about that there's only been, and if I heard him correctly, seven accidents in 40 years. Really? That's not a dangerous intersection. It can't be a criteria for allowing for allowing a roadway to be granted to an Applicant. But speaking about the Applicant, I understand that the space that he actually owns is 39,000 square feet. He wants an alleyway that's worth 3,000 square feet. He wants University Park that's worth 16,000. That's free land. And he doesn't think it's free land, but everybody else who's a citizen of Coral Gables thinks it's free land. So he's going to go
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you guys are considering of having another building going up. You know, where we're at right now, and that's what John was saying is, my neighborhood is between University and Bird Road and then Ponce and LeJeune. We're being sandwiched in with all these buildings going up, and my biggest concern is that if you approve this building it's going to set precedence, and Ponce de Leon right now is residentials and duplexes, that's going to be an area where the developer's going to come in and attack those areas. So do please consider that there's a lot of family that live in that particular area of Coral	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area talk about that there's only been, and if I heard him correctly, seven accidents in 40 years. Really? That's not a dangerous intersection. It can't be a criteria for allowing for allowing a roadway to be granted to an Applicant. But speaking about the Applicant, I understand that the space that he actually owns is 39,000 square feet. He wants an alleyway that's worth 3,000 square feet. He wants University Park that's worth 16,000. That's free land. And he doesn't think it's free land, but everybody else who's a citizen of Coral Gables thinks it's free land. So he's going to go from 39,0000 square feet, plus 19,000 square feet.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you guys are considering of having another building going up. You know, where we're at right now, and that's what John was saying is, my neighborhood is between University and Bird Road and then Ponce and LeJeune. We're being sandwiched in with all these buildings going up, and my biggest concern is that if you approve this building it's going to set precedence, and Ponce de Leon right now is residentials and duplexes, that's going to be an area where the developer's going to come in and attack those areas. So do please consider that there's a lot of family that live in that particular area of Coral Gables and you prevent this building from going up.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area talk about that there's only been, and if I heard him correctly, seven accidents in 40 years. Really? That's not a dangerous intersection. It can't be a criteria for allowing for allowing a roadway to be granted to an Applicant. But speaking about the Applicant, I understand that the space that he actually owns is 39,000 square feet. He wants an alleyway that's worth 3,000 square feet. He wants University Park that's worth 16,000. That's free land. And he doesn't think it's free land, but everybody else who's a citizen of Coral Gables thinks it's free land. So he's going to go from 39,0000 square feet, plus 19,000 square feet. 40 percent increase in space for a building. Free
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you guys are considering of having another building going up. You know, where we're at right now, and that's what John was saying is, my neighborhood is between University and Bird Road and then Ponce and LeJeune. We're being sandwiched in with all these buildings going up, and my biggest concern is that if you approve this building it's going to set precedence, and Ponce de Leon right now is residentials and duplexes, that's going to be an area where the developer's going to come in and attack those areas. So do please consider that there's a lot of family that live in that particular area of Coral Gables and you prevent this building from going up. Thank you for your time.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area talk about that there's only been, and if I heard him correctly, seven accidents in 40 years. Really? That's not a dangerous intersection. It can't be a criteria for allowing for allowing a roadway to be granted to an Applicant. But speaking about the Applicant, I understand that the space that he actually owns is 39,000 square feet. He wants an alleyway that's worth 3,000 square feet. He wants University Park that's worth 16,000. That's free land. And he doesn't think it's free land, but everybody else who's a citizen of Coral Gables thinks it's free land. So he's going to go from 39,0000 square feet, plus 19,000 square feet. 40 percent increase in space for a building. Free land. And then he uses the word perpetuity.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you guys are considering of having another building going up. You know, where we're at right now, and that's what John was saying is, my neighborhood is between University and Bird Road and then Ponce and LeJeune. We're being sandwiched in with all these buildings going up, and my biggest concern is that if you approve this building it's going to set precedence, and Ponce de Leon right now is residentials and duplexes, that's going to be an area where the developer's going to come in and attack those areas. So do please consider that there's a lot of family that live in that particular area of Coral Gables and you prevent this building from going up. Thank you for your time. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area talk about that there's only been, and if I heard him correctly, seven accidents in 40 years. Really? That's not a dangerous intersection. It can't be a criteria for allowing for allowing a roadway to be granted to an Applicant. But speaking about the Applicant, I understand that the space that he actually owns is 39,000 square feet. He wants an alleyway that's worth 3,000 square feet. He wants University Park that's worth 16,000. That's free land. And he doesn't think it's free land, but everybody else who's a citizen of Coral Gables thinks it's free land. So he's going to go from 39,0000 square feet, plus 19,000 square feet. 40 percent increase in space for a building. Free land. And then he uses the word perpetuity. Perpetuity should never be used in a contract
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you guys are considering of having another building going up. You know, where we're at right now, and that's what John was saying is, my neighborhood is between University and Bird Road and then Ponce and LeJeune. We're being sandwiched in with all these buildings going up, and my biggest concern is that if you approve this building it's going to set precedence, and Ponce de Leon right now is residentials and duplexes, that's going to be an area where the developer's going to come in and attack those areas. So do please consider that there's a lot of family that live in that particular area of Coral Gables and you prevent this building from going up. Thank you for your time. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Tom O'Malley?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area talk about that there's only been, and if I heard him correctly, seven accidents in 40 years. Really? That's not a dangerous intersection. It can't be a criteria for allowing for allowing a roadway to be granted to an Applicant. But speaking about the Applicant, I understand that the space that he actually owns is 39,000 square feet. He wants an alleyway that's worth 3,000 square feet. He wants University Park that's worth 16,000. That's free land. And he doesn't think it's free land, but everybody else who's a citizen of Coral Gables thinks it's free land. So he's going to go from 39,0000 square feet, plus 19,000 square feet. 40 percent increase in space for a building. Free land. And then he uses the word perpetuity. Perpetuity should never be used in a contract because perpetuity is only good until the next
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	neighborhood, and I walk around and I see how beautiful it is, except for that monstrosity of The Plaza that went up. And I'm saddened that now you guys are considering of having another building going up. You know, where we're at right now, and that's what John was saying is, my neighborhood is between University and Bird Road and then Ponce and LeJeune. We're being sandwiched in with all these buildings going up, and my biggest concern is that if you approve this building it's going to set precedence, and Ponce de Leon right now is residentials and duplexes, that's going to be an area where the developer's going to come in and attack those areas. So do please consider that there's a lot of family that live in that particular area of Coral Gables and you prevent this building from going up. Thank you for your time. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Tom O'Malley? MR. O'MALLEY: Good evening. I'm Tom O'Malley.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	heard the Applicant talk about it's a very, very dangerous intersection, and by the City granting land to the Applicant he's going to make it better for the City, and yet we had somebody from the area talk about that there's only been, and if I heard him correctly, seven accidents in 40 years. Really? That's not a dangerous intersection. It can't be a criteria for allowing for allowing a roadway to be granted to an Applicant. But speaking about the Applicant, I understand that the space that he actually owns is 39,000 square feet. He wants an alleyway that's worth 3,000 square feet. He wants University Park that's worth 16,000. That's free land. And he doesn't think it's free land, but everybody else who's a citizen of Coral Gables thinks it's free land. So he's going to go from 39,0000 square feet, plus 19,000 square feet. 40 percent increase in space for a building. Free land. And then he uses the word perpetuity. Perpetuity should never be used in a contract because perpetuity is only good until the next perpetuity comes along. So that's not fair.

	Page 73		Page 74
1	against, and I think that's wonderful, but I don't	1	I think Mayra Joli was right, that we should do
2	think they've gone far enough. They've said that we	2	that as Board members. And I was originally slated
3	don't want to give the space for University Drive to	3	to speak at the first presentation by the Allen
4	the Applicant. I think that's wonderful. But they	4	
5	•	5	Morris company as the tabbed chair. I have termed
	grant the alley. Well, the alley allows them to	6	off of the tab, but if you don't mind, I'd like to
6	build more. They shouldn't have the alley. Why		just mention a couple things, that while I was
7	should the City give free space to a developer?	7	chairman of the tab we do also, like you, listen to
8	And the developer wants to compare his 17 stories	8	residents, and residents came forward, spoke about
9	to The Plaza 19 stories. Really? He doesn't come	9	this project and we ourselves delved into the traffic
10	up here and compare his 17 stories to the one story	10	issues and the potential or, you know, surmised
11	building he's going to be building up against.	11	problems that Allen Morris said occurred in this
12	That's not fair. It's not right.	12	area.
13	We saw what happened to the people who live	13	So while I was the chairman of the tab we took
14	behind The Plaza. That neighborhood is destroyed.	14	two votes. Based on the residents' opinions we
15	If we allow this development to go forward the	15	motioned to oppose the elimination of the slip lane
16	neighborhood behind The Plaza residence will be	16	for the Ponce Park Tower that will result in traffic
17	destroyed as well. We cannot let this happen. I am	17	backing up and vehicles flowing into residential
18	asking you to vote against this development.	18	streets, and that passed unanimously.
19	Thank you very much.	19	We also motioned to oppose the changes to the
20	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.	20	Zoning Code and the Land Use designation that would
21	THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokolof?	21	allow the developer to build from four to 17 stories
22	MR. SOKOLOF: Hi. I'm Gordon Sokolof, 225 Alesio	22	which would increase the density and result in
23	Avenue.	23	increased traffic, and that motion also passed.
24	I would like to thank this Board for looking at	24	So from our Board, then to your Board I just want
25	the project, but also listening to the residents.	25	you to know that that that should carry some
	Page 75		D 56
	rage , s		Page 76
1	weight.	1	remained constant and consistent throughout, and
1 2		1 2	
	weight.		remained constant and consistent throughout, and
2	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting	2	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate.
2	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board	2	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good
2 3 4	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board — Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate	2 3 4	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow
2 3 4 5	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that.	2 3 4 5	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a
2 3 4 5	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the	2 3 4 5 6	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to
2 3 4 5 6 7	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the founding members of the Ponce Neighbors Association.	2 3 4 5 6 7	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to hear. Projects should follow the rules and that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board — Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the founding members of the Ponce Neighbors Association. We're always worried about the convergence of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to hear. Projects should follow the rules and that's — that's what I've been hoping to see the Boards start
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the founding members of the Ponce Neighbors Association. We're always worried about the convergence of construction on Ponce, and these people, especially	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to hear. Projects should follow the rules and that's —that's what I've been hoping to see the Boards start to do. You know, let the developers have to abide by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the founding members of the Ponce Neighbors Association. We're always worried about the convergence of construction on Ponce, and these people, especially since I've been attending meetings on San Sebastian,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to hear. Projects should follow the rules and that's — that's what I've been hoping to see the Boards start to do. You know, let the developers have to abide by the rules the way we residents do and otherwise we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the founding members of the Ponce Neighbors Association. We're always worried about the convergence of construction on Ponce, and these people, especially since I've been attending meetings on San Sebastian, they have been clobbered by The Plaza. I've gone to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to hear. Projects should follow the rules and that's —that's what I've been hoping to see the Boards start to do. You know, let the developers have to abide by the rules the way we residents do and otherwise we're shown the door.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board — Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the founding members of the Ponce Neighbors Association. We're always worried about the convergence of construction on Ponce, and these people, especially since I've been attending meetings on San Sebastian, they have been clobbered by The Plaza. I've gone to meetings on Sundays when the construction has gone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to hear. Projects should follow the rules and that's—that's what I've been hoping to see the Boards start to do. You know, let the developers have to abide by the rules the way we residents do and otherwise we're shown the door. And lastly, I would just like to mention, go back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the founding members of the Ponce Neighbors Association. We're always worried about the convergence of construction on Ponce, and these people, especially since I've been attending meetings on San Sebastian, they have been clobbered by The Plaza. I've gone to meetings on Sundays when the construction has gone on, they can barely hear themselves. It has been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to hear. Projects should follow the rules and that's — that's what I've been hoping to see the Boards start to do. You know, let the developers have to abide by the rules the way we residents do and otherwise we're shown the door. And lastly, I would just like to mention, go back to the traffic studies. When I was Chairman in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the founding members of the Ponce Neighbors Association. We're always worried about the convergence of construction on Ponce, and these people, especially since I've been attending meetings on San Sebastian, they have been clobbered by The Plaza. I've gone to meetings on Sundays when the construction has gone on, they can barely hear themselves. It has been terrible. And then the parking is taken up by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to hear. Projects should follow the rules and that's — that's what I've been hoping to see the Boards start to do. You know, let the developers have to abide by the rules the way we residents do and otherwise we're shown the door. And lastly, I would just like to mention, go back to the traffic studies. When I was Chairman in the Transportation Board we conferred with Jessica
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the founding members of the Ponce Neighbors Association. We're always worried about the convergence of construction on Ponce, and these people, especially since I've been attending meetings on San Sebastian, they have been clobbered by The Plaza. I've gone to meetings on Sundays when the construction has gone on, they can barely hear themselves. It has been terrible. And then the parking is taken up by contractors all up and down Ponce de Leon.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to hear. Projects should follow the rules and that's — that's what I've been hoping to see the Boards start to do. You know, let the developers have to abide by the rules the way we residents do and otherwise we're shown the door. And lastly, I would just like to mention, go back to the traffic studies. When I was Chairman in the Transportation Board we conferred with Jessica Keller, Douglas Cobb. We looked at the traffic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the founding members of the Ponce Neighbors Association. We're always worried about the convergence of construction on Ponce, and these people, especially since I've been attending meetings on San Sebastian, they have been clobbered by The Plaza. I've gone to meetings on Sundays when the construction has gone on, they can barely hear themselves. It has been terrible. And then the parking is taken up by contractors all up and down Ponce de Leon. So we are vehemently against this project, this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to hear. Projects should follow the rules and that's — that's what I've been hoping to see the Boards start to do. You know, let the developers have to abide by the rules the way we residents do and otherwise we're shown the door. And lastly, I would just like to mention, go back to the traffic studies. When I was Chairman in the Transportation Board we conferred with Jessica Keller, Douglas Cobb. We looked at the traffic studies. There were accidents in the area. They
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the founding members of the Ponce Neighbors Association. We're always worried about the convergence of construction on Ponce, and these people, especially since I've been attending meetings on San Sebastian, they have been clobbered by The Plaza. I've gone to meetings on Sundays when the construction has gone on, they can barely hear themselves. It has been terrible. And then the parking is taken up by contractors all up and down Ponce de Leon. So we are vehemently against this project, this 17 story project or 16 story.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to hear. Projects should follow the rules and that's — that's what I've been hoping to see the Boards start to do. You know, let the developers have to abide by the rules the way we residents do and otherwise we're shown the door. And lastly, I would just like to mention, go back to the traffic studies. When I was Chairman in the Transportation Board we conferred with Jessica Keller, Douglas Cobb. We looked at the traffic studies. There were accidents in the area. They cited 48 in the area, but of those 48 half of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the founding members of the Ponce Neighbors Association. We're always worried about the convergence of construction on Ponce, and these people, especially since I've been attending meetings on San Sebastian, they have been clobbered by The Plaza. I've gone to meetings on Sundays when the construction has gone on, they can barely hear themselves. It has been terrible. And then the parking is taken up by contractors all up and down Ponce de Leon. So we are vehemently against this project, this 17 story project or 16 story. I would like to say that Mr. Morris has indeed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to hear. Projects should follow the rules and that's — that's what I've been hoping to see the Boards start to do. You know, let the developers have to abide by the rules the way we residents do and otherwise we're shown the door. And lastly, I would just like to mention, go back to the traffic studies. When I was Chairman in the Transportation Board we conferred with Jessica Keller, Douglas Cobb. We looked at the traffic studies. There were accidents in the area. They cited 48 in the area, but of those 48 half of those were further up along University where Vecky Bakery
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the founding members of the Ponce Neighbors Association. We're always worried about the convergence of construction on Ponce, and these people, especially since I've been attending meetings on San Sebastian, they have been clobbered by The Plaza. I've gone to meetings on Sundays when the construction has gone on, they can barely hear themselves. It has been terrible. And then the parking is taken up by contractors all up and down Ponce de Leon. So we are vehemently against this project, this 17 story project or 16 story. I would like to say that Mr. Morris has indeed tried to engage the public. I was involved in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to hear. Projects should follow the rules and that's — that's what I've been hoping to see the Boards start to do. You know, let the developers have to abide by the rules the way we residents do and otherwise we're shown the door. And lastly, I would just like to mention, go back to the traffic studies. When I was Chairman in the Transportation Board we conferred with Jessica Keller, Douglas Cobb. We looked at the traffic studies. There were accidents in the area. They cited 48 in the area, but of those 48 half of those were further up along University where Vecky Bakery is. It's very dangerous to cut out of that parking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the founding members of the Ponce Neighbors Association. We're always worried about the convergence of construction on Ponce, and these people, especially since I've been attending meetings on San Sebastian, they have been clobbered by The Plaza. I've gone to meetings on Sundays when the construction has gone on, they can barely hear themselves. It has been terrible. And then the parking is taken up by contractors all up and down Ponce de Leon. So we are vehemently against this project, this 17 story project or 16 story. I would like to say that Mr. Morris has indeed tried to engage the public. I was involved in several of those meetings, but what I found over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to hear. Projects should follow the rules and that's — that's what I've been hoping to see the Boards start to do. You know, let the developers have to abide by the rules the way we residents do and otherwise we're shown the door. And lastly, I would just like to mention, go back to the traffic studies. When I was Chairman in the Transportation Board we conferred with Jessica Keller, Douglas Cobb. We looked at the traffic studies. There were accidents in the area. They cited 48 in the area, but of those 48 half of those were further up along University where Vecky Bakery is. It's very dangerous to cut out of that parking lot. But there is only a — less than 20 accidents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the founding members of the Ponce Neighbors Association. We're always worried about the convergence of construction on Ponce, and these people, especially since I've been attending meetings on San Sebastian, they have been clobbered by The Plaza. I've gone to meetings on Sundays when the construction has gone on, they can barely hear themselves. It has been terrible. And then the parking is taken up by contractors all up and down Ponce de Leon. So we are vehemently against this project, this 17 story project or 16 story. I would like to say that Mr. Morris has indeed tried to engage the public. I was involved in several of those meetings, but what I found over meeting after meeting is he never really conceded	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to hear. Projects should follow the rules and that's — that's what I've been hoping to see the Boards start to do. You know, let the developers have to abide by the rules the way we residents do and otherwise we're shown the door. And lastly, I would just like to mention, go back to the traffic studies. When I was Chairman in the Transportation Board we conferred with Jessica Keller, Douglas Cobb. We looked at the traffic studies. There were accidents in the area. They cited 48 in the area, but of those 48 half of those were further up along University where Vecky Bakery is. It's very dangerous to cut out of that parking lot. But there is only a — less than 20 accidents in 20 years. Never a fatality. It is not a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the founding members of the Ponce Neighbors Association. We're always worried about the convergence of construction on Ponce, and these people, especially since I've been attending meetings on San Sebastian, they have been clobbered by The Plaza. I've gone to meetings on Sundays when the construction has gone on, they can barely hear themselves. It has been terrible. And then the parking is taken up by contractors all up and down Ponce de Leon. So we are vehemently against this project, this 17 story project or 16 story. I would like to say that Mr. Morris has indeed tried to engage the public. I was involved in several of those meetings, but what I found over meeting after meeting is he never really conceded anything. At every one of those meetings I kept	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to hear. Projects should follow the rules and that's — that's what I've been hoping to see the Boards start to do. You know, let the developers have to abide by the rules the way we residents do and otherwise we're shown the door. And lastly, I would just like to mention, go back to the traffic studies. When I was Chairman in the Transportation Board we conferred with Jessica Keller, Douglas Cobb. We looked at the traffic studies. There were accidents in the area. They cited 48 in the area, but of those 48 half of those were further up along University where Vecky Bakery is. It's very dangerous to cut out of that parking lot. But there is only a — less than 20 accidents in 20 years. Never a fatality. It is not a dangerous intersection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the founding members of the Ponce Neighbors Association. We're always worried about the convergence of construction on Ponce, and these people, especially since I've been attending meetings on San Sebastian, they have been clobbered by The Plaza. I've gone to meetings on Sundays when the construction has gone on, they can barely hear themselves. It has been terrible. And then the parking is taken up by contractors all up and down Ponce de Leon. So we are vehemently against this project, this 17 story project or 16 story. I would like to say that Mr. Morris has indeed tried to engage the public. I was involved in several of those meetings, but what I found over meeting after meeting is he never really conceded anything. At every one of those meetings I kept thinking what's he going to, you know, give up,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to hear. Projects should follow the rules and that's — that's what I've been hoping to see the Boards start to do. You know, let the developers have to abide by the rules the way we residents do and otherwise we're shown the door. And lastly, I would just like to mention, go back to the traffic studies. When I was Chairman in the Transportation Board we conferred with Jessica Keller, Douglas Cobb. We looked at the traffic studies. There were accidents in the area. They cited 48 in the area, but of those 48 half of those were further up along University where Vecky Bakery is. It's very dangerous to cut out of that parking lot. But there is only a — less than 20 accidents in 20 years. Never a fatality. It is not a dangerous intersection. Thank you very much. Please reject this project,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	weight. Sue Kawalerski is here. She is the acting Chairman now, the Transportation Board Transportation Advisory Board and she can corroborate that. I'd also like to mention that I was one of the founding members of the Ponce Neighbors Association. We're always worried about the convergence of construction on Ponce, and these people, especially since I've been attending meetings on San Sebastian, they have been clobbered by The Plaza. I've gone to meetings on Sundays when the construction has gone on, they can barely hear themselves. It has been terrible. And then the parking is taken up by contractors all up and down Ponce de Leon. So we are vehemently against this project, this 17 story project or 16 story. I would like to say that Mr. Morris has indeed tried to engage the public. I was involved in several of those meetings, but what I found over meeting after meeting is he never really conceded anything. At every one of those meetings I kept thinking what's he going to, you know, give up, because he certainly heard our concerns, and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	remained constant and consistent throughout, and that's unfortunate. Lastly, I just want to say that it was very good to hear Mr. Trias say that the project has to follow the rules and that, you know, when it comes to a developer, that's like one in a row. That's great to hear. Projects should follow the rules and that's — that's what I've been hoping to see the Boards start to do. You know, let the developers have to abide by the rules the way we residents do and otherwise we're shown the door. And lastly, I would just like to mention, go back to the traffic studies. When I was Chairman in the Transportation Board we conferred with Jessica Keller, Douglas Cobb. We looked at the traffic studies. There were accidents in the area. They cited 48 in the area, but of those 48 half of those were further up along University where Vecky Bakery is. It's very dangerous to cut out of that parking lot. But there is only a — less than 20 accidents in 20 years. Never a fatality. It is not a dangerous intersection. Thank you very much. Please reject this project, including the alleyway. Thank you.

	Page 77		Page 78
1	THE SECRETARY: David Fournier?	1	The Plaza which, by the way, is not even in a final
2	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: How many more speakers,	2	stage.
3	Jill, do we have?	3	We cannot allow we cannot allow a street to be
4	THE SECRETARY: Present in the chambers, about	4	taken. The Staff, the developer, the Commission, no
5	three more.	5	one can decide if a street can be taken or not. Only
6	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	6	the resident of Coral Gables can decide on this
7	MR. FORNIER: Ladies and gentlemen, good evening.	7	matter by referendum.
8	My name is David Fornier, 128 San Sebastian Avenue.	8	We choose to live in Coral Gables because we
9	First, I want to thank the Staff of the City who	9	want to live in a safe and peaceful community, as
10	decided to say no, to deny on this project and I'm	10	all you want. Coral Gables brings peace, happiness,
11	asking you to do the same thing. We will agree the	11	beauty. This is the only City where you can really
12	project doesn't belong to the City, 16 floors	12	find some European vibes. Everything is so close
13	Okay. 16 floors, rooftop, 170 rental unit is	13	that you can eventually walk to downtown and it's
14	only adding density and taking away the quality of	14	all about quality of life, not quantity. We want to
15	life of our current residents. As one of the	15	keep the street with low traffic during the weekend.
16	resident living less than 1000 feet away from the	16	We want our kids to play in a safe environment.
17	project we're absolutely against rentals.	17	That's why we choose Coral Gables.
18	We don't want to face issues that to Gables Ponce	18	The reason why Coral Gables is so beautiful is
19	rental building is is causing, young crowd, loud	19	because the resident make the City by make the
20	parties, disrespect for the community. We don't want	20	City beautiful. We are taking care of our houses, we
21	retailers as Ross as tenant in this building as shown	21	are taking care of the yard, the street.
22	in the presentation during the Zoom meeting last	22	Let's continue building Coral Gables, not
23	February.	23	Kendall, not South Miami, not Brickell, not Wynwood.
24	This project will bring density, heavy traffic,	24	But let's keep our Coral Gables DNA. Can you find a
25	in addition to the traffic we are already facing with	25	beautiful building with a Mediterranean architecture
	Page 79		Page 80
1	that was built in less than 20 years? Me, no. The	1	THE SECRETARY: Yes.
2	City is beautiful, but there is no harmony in the	2	MR. WINKER: David Winker. Law Offices at 4720
3	downtown area. It's far away from the Mediterranean	3	South LeJeune Road.
4	architecture. Coral Gables needs to stay committed	4	I'm here on behalf of the intervenor, Ponce
5	to its DNA, a city built with a Mediterranean vision.	5	Neighbors, a neighborhood association south of the
6	Look at Paris, Madrid, just to name a few. They	6	central business district. Many of my clients have
7	stayed with their DNA. The Plaza is a perfect	7	already spoken.
8	example, a master project right in the middle of a	8	I always say that democracy is a verb, and it's
9	residential area.	9	great to see democracy tonight, and it's great to see
10	If developer cannot find architect who can design	10	residents speaking out in defense of their
11	beautiful Mediterranean building here then they	11	neighborhood, defense of their community. Residents
12	should go to find them in Europe like George Merrick	12	have a right to take part in the design and planning
13	did almost hundred years ago. We are not against developer as long as they	13	of their community and their neighborhoods. This is
14 15		14 15	the foundation of building equitable and inclusive communities that respond to the needs of all
16	build what they are supposed to build. Knowing the love Mr. Morris has for the City, I	16	residents.
17	am sure he will build most magnificent building with	17	The Coral Gables Code and the Comprehensive Plan,
18	a beautiful Mediterranean architecture Coral Gables	18	the primary documents that govern the planning of
19	will see.	19	Coral Gables, explicitly include language aimed at
	Thank you very much.	20	ensuring that all residents have a place in the
20	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.	21	future of the City. This language is not purely
20 21			aspirational. It is prescriptive. And yet, these
20 21 22		22	
21	THE SECRETARY: David I'm sorry, I can't read	22	
21 22			directives are too often seen as an impediment to progress.
21 22 23	THE SECRETARY: David I'm sorry, I can't read the last name. David?	23	directives are too often seen as an impediment to

	Page 81		Page 82
1	titled It's Not That Residents Don't Like The	1	I also don't think that, or at least could not
2	Proposed Ponce TowerThey Hate It.' Tonight you're	2	find, that the impact study required by Section
3	hearing some of why.	3	62-328B requires the a study of geographic areas
4	The Applicant desires to change the Comprehensive	4	within 2500 feet that may be impacted by the proposed
5	Plan Use designation from commercial low-rise to	5	action and the manner of impact. Well, a traffic
6	commercial high-rise and build a project	6	study has been submitted. The required impact study
7	significantly higher and more dense than they can	7	on the geographic area within 2500 feet has not been
8	build as current zoned. What are they being given in	8	seen as far as has not been done as far as I can
9		9	tell.
10	return or what are residents being given in return? Answers to questions no one is asking, a	10	
	park no one wants, and a change to University Drive	11	As you have heard from residents, this is a nonexistent list of additional issues that persist
11 12	that's not going to not going to help and no one	12	_
13		13	with this application, glaring inconsistencies with
	wants.		the Comprehensive Plan, a lack of evidence to show
14	I do, as the intervenor, raise a couple issues	14	changing or changed conditions warranting the
15	that I just want to put on the record. I earlier put	15	requested Comp Plan Amendment.
16	in a list of objections with the Clerk, but I do want	16	I would humbly suggest that the change at The
17	to raise a couple issues with Chapter 62 in objection	17	Plaza actually dictates against this project, and
18	to some of the changes.	18	that than an inadequate analysis by the Applicant
19	We applaud the denial. I want to focus for a	19	of why the zoning change is required, why are they
20	second on the alley. Chapter 62 provides for the	20	asking for this?
21	procedure for vacation of alleys and public roadways.	21	One thing I have not heard talked about tonight
22	Section 62-325 provides that letters of consent from	22	is environmental concerns and I would throw that out
23	affected utilities must be on file.	23	to the Board that's something we need to start
24	I reviewed the record. I didn't see that, and I	24	looking at. This is a project, this park. You heard
25	just want to raise that objection.	25	people fighting back. The number one complaint is
	Page 83		Page 84
			rage or
1	concrete park. No one wants a concrete park.	1	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof
1 2	concrete park. No one wants a concrete park. With that, I will thank you for your time and	1 2	
	-		Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof
2	With that, I will thank you for your time and	2	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against
2	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration.	2	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project.
2 3 4	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.	2 3 4	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just
2 3 4 5	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski?	2 3 4 5	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record.
2 3 4 5	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski? MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board, and	2 3 4 5 6	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record. MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes. And I understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski? MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board, and thank you for your service, and welcome new Members.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record. MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes. And I understand. You know, Mr. Pardo had a word. He said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski? MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board, and thank you for your service, and welcome new Members. My name is Sue Kawalerski I'll take this off	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record. MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes. And I understand. You know, Mr. Pardo had a word. He said audacious, and absolutely this developer I think has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski? MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board, and thank you for your service, and welcome new Members. My name is Sue Kawalerski I'll take this off first.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record. MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes. And I understand. You know, Mr. Pardo had a word. He said audacious, and absolutely this developer I think has a certain bit of audacity to ask for a public street
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski? MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board, and thank you for your service, and welcome new Members. My name is Sue Kawalerski I'll take this off first. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record. MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes. And I understand. You know, Mr. Pardo had a word. He said audacious, and absolutely this developer I think has a certain bit of audacity to ask for a public street to be taken away, and a public alleyway to be taken
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski? MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board, and thank you for your service, and welcome new Members. My name is Sue Kawalerski I'll take this off first. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Gratian Street.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record. MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes. And I understand. You know, Mr. Pardo had a word. He said audacious, and absolutely this developer I think has a certain bit of audacity to ask for a public street to be taken away, and a public alleyway to be taken away. Audacious, I think, is a very nice way of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski? MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board, and thank you for your service, and welcome new Members. My name is Sue Kawalerski I'll take this off first. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Gratian Street. I represent 16,000 members of the Coral Gables	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record. MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes. And I understand. You know, Mr. Pardo had a word. He said audacious, and absolutely this developer I think has a certain bit of audacity to ask for a public street to be taken away, and a public alleyway to be taken away. Audacious, I think, is a very nice way of saying what I would have said.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski? MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board, and thank you for your service, and welcome new Members. My name is Sue Kawalerski I'll take this off first. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Gratian Street. I represent 16,000 members of the Coral Gables Neighborhood Association. I'm also the acting Chair	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record. MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes. And I understand. You know, Mr. Pardo had a word. He said audacious, and absolutely this developer I think has a certain bit of audacity to ask for a public street to be taken away, and a public alleyway to be taken away. Audacious, I think, is a very nice way of saying what I would have said. We are totally against this project, if at all,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski? MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board, and thank you for your service, and welcome new Members. My name is Sue Kawalerski I'll take this off first. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Gratian Street. I represent 16,000 members of the Coral Gables Neighborhood Association. I'm also the acting Chair of the City's Transportation Advisory Board. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record. MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes. And I understand. You know, Mr. Pardo had a word. He said audacious, and absolutely this developer I think has a certain bit of audacity to ask for a public street to be taken away, and a public alleyway to be taken away. Audacious, I think, is a very nice way of saying what I would have said. We are totally against this project, if at all, based off the fact that he wants to take my street
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski? MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board, and thank you for your service, and welcome new Members. My name is Sue Kawalerski I'll take this off first. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Gratian Street. I represent 16,000 members of the Coral Gables Neighborhood Association. I'm also the acting Chair of the City's Transportation Advisory Board. And I can confirm what Dr. Sokolof said, that on the Board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record. MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes. And I understand. You know, Mr. Pardo had a word. He said audacious, and absolutely this developer I think has a certain bit of audacity to ask for a public street to be taken away, and a public alleyway to be taken away. Audacious, I think, is a very nice way of saying what I would have said. We are totally against this project, if at all, based off the fact that he wants to take my street away, your street away, your street away.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski? MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board, and thank you for your service, and welcome new Members. My name is Sue Kawalerski I'll take this off first. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Gratian Street. I represent 16,000 members of the Coral Gables Neighborhood Association. I'm also the acting Chair of the City's Transportation Advisory Board. And I can confirm what Dr. Sokolof said, that on the Board we all voted against this project and voted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record. MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes. And I understand. You know, Mr. Pardo had a word. He said audacious, and absolutely this developer I think has a certain bit of audacity to ask for a public street to be taken away, and a public alleyway to be taken away. Audacious, I think, is a very nice way of saying what I would have said. We are totally against this project, if at all, based off the fact that he wants to take my street away, your street away, your street away. I can tell that you we, we then — we meaning Dr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski? MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board, and thank you for your service, and welcome new Members. My name is Sue Kawalerski I'll take this off first. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Gratian Street. I represent 16,000 members of the Coral Gables Neighborhood Association. I'm also the acting Chair of the City's Transportation Advisory Board. And I can confirm what Dr. Sokolof said, that on the Board we all voted against this project and voted specifically against the taking of the slip lane	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record. MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes. And I understand. You know, Mr. Pardo had a word. He said audacious, and absolutely this developer I think has a certain bit of audacity to ask for a public street to be taken away, and a public alleyway to be taken away. Audacious, I think, is a very nice way of saying what I would have said. We are totally against this project, if at all, based off the fact that he wants to take my street away, your street away, your street away. I can tell that you we, we then we meaning Dr. Sokolof and myself, and David Fornier, were asked to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski? MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board, and thank you for your service, and welcome new Members. My name is Sue Kawalerski I'll take this off first. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Gratian Street. I represent 16,000 members of the Coral Gables Neighborhood Association. I'm also the acting Chair of the City's Transportation Advisory Board. And I can confirm what Dr. Sokolof said, that on the Board we all voted against this project and voted specifically against the taking of the slip lane which is a public right-of-way.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record. MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes. And I understand. You know, Mr. Pardo had a word. He said audacious, and absolutely this developer I think has a certain bit of audacity to ask for a public street to be taken away, and a public alleyway to be taken away. Audacious, I think, is a very nice way of saying what I would have said. We are totally against this project, if at all, based off the fact that he wants to take my street away, your street away, your street away. I can tell that you we, we then — we meaning Dr. Sokolof and myself, and David Fornier, were asked to meet with Spencer Morris, the son of the developer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski? MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board, and thank you for your service, and welcome new Members. My name is Sue Kawalerski I'll take this off first. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Gratian Street. I represent 16,000 members of the Coral Gables Neighborhood Association. I'm also the acting Chair of the City's Transportation Advisory Board. And I can confirm what Dr. Sokolof said, that on the Board we all voted against this project and voted specifically against the taking of the slip lane which is a public right-of-way. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Sue, if I may ask you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record. MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes. And I understand. You know, Mr. Pardo had a word. He said audacious, and absolutely this developer I think has a certain bit of audacity to ask for a public street to be taken away, and a public alleyway to be taken away. Audacious, I think, is a very nice way of saying what I would have said. We are totally against this project, if at all, based off the fact that he wants to take my street away, your street away, your street away. I can tell that you we, we then we meaning Dr. Sokolof and myself, and David Fornier, were asked to meet with Spencer Morris, the son of the developer. And we did. We met with him two weeks ago, and lo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski? MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board, and thank you for your service, and welcome new Members. My name is Sue Kawalerski I'll take this off first. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Gratian Street. I represent 16,000 members of the Coral Gables Neighborhood Association. I'm also the acting Chair of the City's Transportation Advisory Board. And I can confirm what Dr. Sokolof said, that on the Board we all voted against this project and voted specifically against the taking of the slip lane which is a public right-of-way. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Sue, if I may ask you just a question, are you here on behalf of the Board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record. MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes. And I understand. You know, Mr. Pardo had a word. He said audacious, and absolutely this developer I think has a certain bit of audacity to ask for a public street to be taken away, and a public alleyway to be taken away. Audacious, I think, is a very nice way of saying what I would have said. We are totally against this project, if at all, based off the fact that he wants to take my street away, your street away, your street away. I can tell that you we, we then we meaning Dr. Sokolof and myself, and David Fornier, were asked to meet with Spencer Morris, the son of the developer. And we did. We met with him two weeks ago, and lo and behold, Allen Morris showed up, and we expected
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski? MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board, and thank you for your service, and welcome new Members. My name is Sue Kawalerski I'll take this off first. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Gratian Street. I represent 16,000 members of the Coral Gables Neighborhood Association. I'm also the acting Chair of the City's Transportation Advisory Board. And I can confirm what Dr. Sokolof said, that on the Board we all voted against this project and voted specifically against the taking of the slip lane which is a public right-of-way. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Sue, if I may ask you just a question, are you here on behalf of the Board or are you here individually?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record. MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes. And I understand. You know, Mr. Pardo had a word. He said audacious, and absolutely this developer I think has a certain bit of audacity to ask for a public street to be taken away, and a public alleyway to be taken away. Audacious, I think, is a very nice way of saying what I would have said. We are totally against this project, if at all, based off the fact that he wants to take my street away, your street away, your street away. I can tell that you we, we then — we meaning Dr. Sokolof and myself, and David Fornier, were asked to meet with Spencer Morris, the son of the developer. And we did. We met with him two weeks ago, and lo and behold, Allen Morris showed up, and we expected the project to have sub- — substantive changes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski? MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board, and thank you for your service, and welcome new Members. My name is Sue Kawalerski I'll take this off first. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Gratian Street. I represent 16,000 members of the Coral Gables Neighborhood Association. I'm also the acting Chair of the City's Transportation Advisory Board. And I can confirm what Dr. Sokolof said, that on the Board we all voted against this project and voted specifically against the taking of the slip lane which is a public right-of-way. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Sue, if I may ask you just a question, are you here on behalf of the Board or are you here individually? MS. KAWALERSKI: I'm here as a representative of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record. MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes. And I understand. You know, Mr. Pardo had a word. He said audacious, and absolutely this developer I think has a certain bit of audacity to ask for a public street to be taken away, and a public alleyway to be taken away. Audacious, I think, is a very nice way of saying what I would have said. We are totally against this project, if at all, based off the fact that he wants to take my street away, your street away, your street away. I can tell that you we, we then we meaning Dr. Sokolof and myself, and David Fornier, were asked to meet with Spencer Morris, the son of the developer. And we did. We met with him two weeks ago, and lo and behold, Allen Morris showed up, and we expected the project to have sub substantive changes. That's why we spent the time taking off the day to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	With that, I will thank you for your time and consideration. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski? MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board, and thank you for your service, and welcome new Members. My name is Sue Kawalerski I'll take this off first. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Gratian Street. I represent 16,000 members of the Coral Gables Neighborhood Association. I'm also the acting Chair of the City's Transportation Advisory Board. And I can confirm what Dr. Sokolof said, that on the Board we all voted against this project and voted specifically against the taking of the slip lane which is a public right-of-way. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Sue, if I may ask you just a question, are you here on behalf of the Board or are you here individually? MS. KAWALERSKI: I'm here as a representative of the Coral Gables Neighborhood Association, but in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Advisory Board, and I'm confirming what Dr. Sokolof indicated about our vote several months ago against this project. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood. I just wanted to put it on the record. MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes. And I understand. You know, Mr. Pardo had a word. He said audacious, and absolutely this developer I think has a certain bit of audacity to ask for a public street to be taken away, and a public alleyway to be taken away. Audacious, I think, is a very nice way of saying what I would have said. We are totally against this project, if at all, based off the fact that he wants to take my street away, your street away, your street away. I can tell that you we, we then — we meaning Dr. Sokolof and myself, and David Fornier, were asked to meet with Spencer Morris, the son of the developer. And we did. We met with him two weeks ago, and lo and behold, Allen Morris showed up, and we expected the project to have sub- — substantive changes. That's why we spent the time taking off the day to meet with the Morris people. And we were very

	Page 85		Page 86
1	and very little changes.	1	Thank you.
2	I asked Mr. Morris, because I had the opportunity	2	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.
3	for the first time to look at a developer in the eye,	3	THE SECRETARY: Javier Banos?
4	and I asked him, how can a developer like you, what	4	MR. BANOS: Good evening, Members of the Board,
5	are you thinking when you're buying a piece of	5	Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Withers, my former fellow
6	property knowing full well what you can build on the	6	candidates. It's a pleasure to be here tonight. My
7	property and then you come in and you build want	7	name is Javier Banos. I live at 1801 Casilla Street,
8	to build whatever you want? It is total, total	8	Coral Gables, Florida.
9	disrespect for the residents of Coral Gables to do	9	Perhaps a little point that no one has given you
10	that.	10	here, the folks that are behind me, the 72 folks that
11	I can't tell you how many hours these people in	11	are on Zoom, are very are a very small sample of
12	back of me and on Zoom, and others that couldn't be	12	the folks that don't want this project, that don't
13	here tonight, have spent trying to fight a developer	13	care for this project. Those two those two
14	who think's he's giving us a gift. This kind of	14	members that are there and I knocked on every door in
15	this kind of thing has to stop here and I hope it	15	these neighborhoods and the adjacent neighborhoods.
16	stops with this Board. I hope you realize that there	16	I can tell you to a person, everyone finds that
17	are a lot of people out there that are not only	17	The Plaza project is in it's not consistent with
18	against this project, but all the overdevelopment	18	their neighborhoods, that has it's been a
19	that's happening in Coral Gables, you are ruining,	19	detriment to their quality of life and the quality of
20	and I don't mean you, but I'm talking to developers	20	the neighborhoods, and that this project would
21	who are ruining and some of you are allowing them	21	further erode their quality of lives and the ability
22	to ruin wonderful residential neighborhoods and the	22	to be there. It is an aggressive project to the
23	character of the City. When is it going to stop?	23	point of being grotesque.
24	We hope it stops tonight with a no vote from the	24	I think I agree with Mr. Trias for once in his
25	Planning and Zoning Board and this goes no further.	25	decision to go ahead and deny the the application
	1 maning and Zoung Zoure and any good no related		
	Page 87		Page 88
1	and except for the one about the the alley, which	1	the arches of the building. Okay? That's not a
2	I also think you should deny.	2	green space. Significant it's an urban park.
3	I think it to respect every single neighbor	3	It's not all supposed to be greenery, but it has a
4	that is here and everyone that serves in this	4	disproportionate amount of of of concrete, at
5	volunteer Board should be commended. It's a	5	least from my for my taste.
6	difficult job and a lot of time for a volunteer basis	6	And you're taking away a street that we all
7	to be out there. I've done it for many years. But	7	utilize, you're taking away something that is
8	what you have in yours hands is a group of citizens,	8	valuable to the residents, and you're encroaching and
9	group of residents, your fellow man, who's telling	9	you're furthering the issues that all the residents
10	you very clearly, this is creating a quality of life	10	have with a massive project. So this is not in a
11	issue for everyone who lives in this neighborhood,	11	vacuum. It's creating a further issue for everyone.
12	we're not getting what we were promised.	12	I would encourage you to vote against the
	As very competent counsel, who has been very	13	application and to and to serve the residents of
13			
14	eloquent in providing, to some degree, some excuses,	14	Coral Gables in a manner that is consistent with what
14 15	eloquent in providing, to some degree, some excuses, some ideas of why this is a good project for the	15	they believe to be right.
14 15 16	eloquent in providing, to some degree, some excuses, some ideas of why this is a good project for the area, respectfully, it's disingenuous.	15 16	they believe to be right. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
14 15 16 17	eloquent in providing, to some degree, some excuses, some ideas of why this is a good project for the area, respectfully, it's disingenuous. The area that you have in the park, it is the	15 16 17	they believe to be right. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Jill?
14 15 16 17 18	eloquent in providing, to some degree, some excuses, some ideas of why this is a good project for the area, respectfully, it's disingenuous. The area that you have in the park, it is the frontage of their building. It is going to benefit	15 16 17 18	they believe to be right. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Jill? THE SECRETARY: We're going to move on to the
14 15 16 17 18	eloquent in providing, to some degree, some excuses, some ideas of why this is a good project for the area, respectfully, it's disingenuous. The area that you have in the park, it is the frontage of their building. It is going to benefit them exclusively. The fact that the City's going to	15 16 17 18 19	they believe to be right. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Jill? THE SECRETARY: We're going to move on to the Zoom speakers.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	eloquent in providing, to some degree, some excuses, some ideas of why this is a good project for the area, respectfully, it's disingenuous. The area that you have in the park, it is the frontage of their building. It is going to benefit them exclusively. The fact that the City's going to retain title to it is of no consequence because	15 16 17 18 19 20	they believe to be right. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Jill? THE SECRETARY: We're going to move on to the Zoom speakers. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. I'd like to limit
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	eloquent in providing, to some degree, some excuses, some ideas of why this is a good project for the area, respectfully, it's disingenuous. The area that you have in the park, it is the frontage of their building. It is going to benefit them exclusively. The fact that the City's going to retain title to it is of no consequence because ultimately most of the folks that are going to	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they believe to be right. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Jill? THE SECRETARY: We're going to move on to the Zoom speakers. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. I'd like to limit them to between two to three minutes of their
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	eloquent in providing, to some degree, some excuses, some ideas of why this is a good project for the area, respectfully, it's disingenuous. The area that you have in the park, it is the frontage of their building. It is going to benefit them exclusively. The fact that the City's going to retain title to it is of no consequence because ultimately most of the folks that are going to utilize it are the folks that are going to live in	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they believe to be right. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Jill? THE SECRETARY: We're going to move on to the Zoom speakers. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. I'd like to limit them to between two to three minutes of their testimony since their testimony is not going to be
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	eloquent in providing, to some degree, some excuses, some ideas of why this is a good project for the area, respectfully, it's disingenuous. The area that you have in the park, it is the frontage of their building. It is going to benefit them exclusively. The fact that the City's going to retain title to it is of no consequence because ultimately most of the folks that are going to utilize it are the folks that are going to live in that building. Okay?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	they believe to be right. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Jill? THE SECRETARY: We're going to move on to the Zoom speakers. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. I'd like to limit them to between two to three minutes of their testimony since their testimony is not going to be sworn.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	eloquent in providing, to some degree, some excuses, some ideas of why this is a good project for the area, respectfully, it's disingenuous. The area that you have in the park, it is the frontage of their building. It is going to benefit them exclusively. The fact that the City's going to retain title to it is of no consequence because ultimately most of the folks that are going to utilize it are the folks that are going to live in	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they believe to be right. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Jill? THE SECRETARY: We're going to move on to the Zoom speakers. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. I'd like to limit them to between two to three minutes of their testimony since their testimony is not going to be

	Page 89		Page 90
1	Want me to move on to the next speaker?	1	building and they'll be very grateful to have such
2	Maria Cruz? Ms. Cruz, can you open your mike?	2	a beautiful building.
3	MS. CRUZ: Okay. Maria Cruz, 1447 Miller Road.	3	In Coral Gables we still think that our City does
4	I do not live in the neighborhood, but I do live	4	not have room for this monstrosity and, please, we
5	in Coral Gables. Since 1976 we chose this City	5	are asking all of you who sit in that in this
6	because of the way the City is. In some places, not	6	Board to consider our way of life, our future, and
7	everywhere, I think it's absolutely an insult to our	7	say no to everything, including the alley, so that
8	residents, our taxpayers, to try to convince us that	8	they cannot build anymore than the four stories that
9	they're doing us a favor if we give them the land.	9	they can build, according to Mr. Trias.
10	And, I'm sorry, the City does never has never	10	Thank you very much.
11	given me an inch of land. I could claim half a roof,	11	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.
12	but I don't think they will give it to me.	12	THE SECRETARY: I'll be calling Debra Register
13	So we will give them the land, the City will give	13	again.
14	them the land, the City will give a street, the City	14	MS. REGISTER: Thank you. I couldn't unmute last
15	will give an alley and they'll be so generous that in	15	time.
		16	
16	exchange for that they will give us a park while		My name is Debra Register. I live at 1240
17	they're building extra height and extra density	17	Pasades Avenue, Coral Gables, Florida, and I have
18	because we gave them the land. This is absolutely		lived in the area since 1984.
19	ridiculous. It's an insult.	19	I'm not located near this building, the present,
20	I still maintain that anyone that comes to buy	20	but I did have my offices in the downtown area from
21	property in Coral Gables needs to check it, make sure	21	1984, 1988 to 2013.
22	that what they want to build fits in the property	22	(Interruption.)
23	that they're buying or not to buy it. This is not a	23	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Can we ask somebody to
24	free-for-all place. They are plenty of places in	24	mute their mikes, please?
25	Dade County where they could take that proposed	25	MS. REGISTER: Okay. And we saw what this area
	Page 91		Page 92
1	was going to turn into, a mass of developments,	1	Hello?
2	traffic jams, not able to expand your roadways.	2	THE SECRETARY: Yes, Ms. Carbonell.
3	Developers came in and built one building because	3	MS. CARBONELL: Yes, am I on?
4	they were allowed, and the next person bought the	4	THE SECRETARY: Yes, you are.
5	land next to it and said well, you gave it to that	5	MS. CARBONELL: Okay. Thank you.
6	person, now I need to have my area rezoned.	6	Hello, everyone. I am here representing the
7	I am adamantly against this project, basically	7	Historic Preservation Association.
8	for everybody else's reason, the gifting or the	8	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Could you please state
9	use of the land for them to gain height and density.	9	your name and address for the record?
10	I'm also against it for another reason.	10	MS. CARBONELL: Yes, it's Karelia Martinez
11	We're so controlled or we're so concerned	11	Carbonell, 532 Altera Avenue.
12	about climate change, but we keep taking land and	12	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
13	putting bigger buildings, more people, more concrete.	13	MS. CARBONELL: I I am representing on behalf
14	We have no where for this the rain to to absorb	14	of the Historic Preservation Association of Coral
15	into our ground.	15	Gables. We did I did submit a letter, but I want
16	So I am adamantly against, and I hope that you as	16	to read it into the record and
17	a Board and a Committee will vote against this	17	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: If your
18	project.	18	MS. CARBONELL: and that way I can
19	Thank you very much.	19	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: ma'am, if your letter
20	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	20	was submitted by email it was distributed to the
21	THE SECRETARY: Ms. Carbonell? Can you please	21	Board and put in the record.
22	open your mike?	22	Is that correct, Jill?
23	We'll go to the next speaker.	23	Yes?
	Kenneth Muller?	24	MR. TRIAS: That was received at 6:18 today so
24	Remieur Maner.		
24 25	MS. CARBONELL: Hello? Hello? Hello, I'm here.	25	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay.

	Page 93		Page 94
1	MR. TRIAS: you you don't have before you.	1	roadways, alleys, open space, parks, swales,
2	I did forward it to Jill.	2	reservations, sidewalks and waterways. And it will
3	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay.	3	adversely affect the historical, architectural and
4	Please read your letter then. Thank you.	4	aesthetic character of the historic area as per the
5	MS. CARBONELL: Okay. So this is on the agenda	5	Secretary of the Interior Standards and National
6	items F.1. through F.7., the proposed 17 story Park	6	Historic Preservation Act Guidelines.
7	Ponce Park Residences.	7	The following historically designated properties
8	On behalf of the Historic Preservation	8	are within 500 feet of the proposed development:
9	Association of Coral Gables, please accept this	9	Number one, 2901 Ponce de Leon Boulevard. Number
10	letter to oppose Staff's recommendation for the	10	two, 247 Malaga Avenue. And number three, 205 Malaga
11	approval of the request to vacate a public alley. As	11	Avenue which is where the rare 1926 white weight
12	per the Coral Gables City Plan, a historic landmark	12	street light stands.
13	in one of several protective historic resources	13	The Historic Preservation Association of Coral
14	presently the Zoning Code allows seven stories. Now	14	Gables promotes the understanding and importance of
15	that I I now believe that has you know,	15	historic resources in Coral Gables and we ask the
16	differs, but the proposed Ponce Park Residences is	16	Planning and Zoning Board to reject the proposed
17	more than twice the zoning height at 17 stories.	17	17-story Ponce Park Residences in its entirety due to
18	Additionally, the project encroaches on public	18	its irreversible effect on the integrity of the Coral
19	historic property as per Merrick City Plan and	19	Gables City Plan officially recognized as a local
20	several landmark resources in the Crafts Section	20	landmark by the Historic Preservation Board in 2018.
21	including a rare endangered 1926 white weight street	21	Respectfully submitted, Karelia Martinez
22	light commissioned by George Merrick.	22	Carbonell, President of the Historic Preservation
23	Ponce Residences will encroach on Merrick's	23	Association of Coral Gables.
24	Historic City Plans which protects, and this is a	24	Thank you for letting me read this letter into
25	quote from the Plan, right right-of-way parkways,	25	the record. I appreciate it.
23	quote from the Flan, fight fight-of-way parkways,	23	the record. I appreciate it.
	D 0F		
	Page 95		Page 96
1	Page 95 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.	1	Page 96 for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City
1 2		1 2	
	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.		for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City
2	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller?	2	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that
2	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak.	2 3	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen.
2 3 4	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief.	2 3 4	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you.
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who	2 3 4 5	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
2 3 4 5	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who have come before me. I think that Mr. Pardo's	2 3 4 5 6	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir?
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who have come before me. I think that Mr. Pardo's comments were particularly salient.	2 3 4 5 6 7	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir? MR. WEIR: Hi. Good evening. I'd like to show
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who have come before me. I think that Mr. Pardo's comments were particularly salient. I wanted to talk only really about the thing that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir? MR. WEIR: Hi. Good evening. I'd like to show my appreciation for taking the time to hear my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who have come before me. I think that Mr. Pardo's comments were particularly salient. I wanted to talk only really about the thing that most directly affects me which is that I take that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir? MR. WEIR: Hi. Good evening. I'd like to show my appreciation for taking the time to hear my comments this evening.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who have come before me. I think that Mr. Pardo's comments were particularly salient. I wanted to talk only really about the thing that most directly affects me which is that I take that pathway along University that blends with Malaga and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir? MR. WEIR: Hi. Good evening. I'd like to show my appreciation for taking the time to hear my comments this evening. I recently moved to the area, Coral Gables, just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who have come before me. I think that Mr. Pardo's comments were particularly salient. I wanted to talk only really about the thing that most directly affects me which is that I take that pathway along University that blends with Malaga and which has been cited as being accident prone, although I haven't seen an accident there or even encountered a close near accident myself, but it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir? MR. WEIR: Hi. Good evening. I'd like to show my appreciation for taking the time to hear my comments this evening. I recently moved to the area, Coral Gables, just over nine months ago now. We were very fortunate to to purchase an original 1925 property within Coral Gables. My residence, I've been held very much to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who have come before me. I think that Mr. Pardo's comments were particularly salient. I wanted to talk only really about the thing that most directly affects me which is that I take that pathway along University that blends with Malaga and which has been cited as being accident prone, although I haven't seen an accident there or even encountered a close near accident myself, but it seemed to me that the traffic people in Coral Gables	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir? MR. WEIR: Hi. Good evening. I'd like to show my appreciation for taking the time to hear my comments this evening. I recently moved to the area, Coral Gables, just over nine months ago now. We were very fortunate to to purchase an original 1925 property within Coral Gables. My residence, I've been held very much to the rule to the Board and I would ask why developers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who have come before me. I think that Mr. Pardo's comments were particularly salient. I wanted to talk only really about the thing that most directly affects me which is that I take that pathway along University that blends with Malaga and which has been cited as being accident prone, although I haven't seen an accident there or even encountered a close near accident myself, but it seemed to me that the traffic people in Coral Gables could easily put a stop sign there if that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir? MR. WEIR: Hi. Good evening. I'd like to show my appreciation for taking the time to hear my comments this evening. I recently moved to the area, Coral Gables, just over nine months ago now. We were very fortunate to to purchase an original 1925 property within Coral Gables. My residence, I've been held very much to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who have come before me. I think that Mr. Pardo's comments were particularly salient. I wanted to talk only really about the thing that most directly affects me which is that I take that pathway along University that blends with Malaga and which has been cited as being accident prone, although I haven't seen an accident there or even encountered a close near accident myself, but it seemed to me that the traffic people in Coral Gables could easily put a stop sign there if that were really an overriding issue, or what would be better	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir? MR. WEIR: Hi. Good evening. I'd like to show my appreciation for taking the time to hear my comments this evening. I recently moved to the area, Coral Gables, just over nine months ago now. We were very fortunate to to purchase an original 1925 property within Coral Gables. My residence, I've been held very much to the rule to the Board and I would ask why developers would not be held to the same accountability as myself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who have come before me. I think that Mr. Pardo's comments were particularly salient. I wanted to talk only really about the thing that most directly affects me which is that I take that pathway along University that blends with Malaga and which has been cited as being accident prone, although I haven't seen an accident there or even encountered a close near accident myself, but it seemed to me that the traffic people in Coral Gables could easily put a stop sign there if that were really an overriding issue, or what would be better for those of us who travel along that route, if there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir? MR. WEIR: Hi. Good evening. I'd like to show my appreciation for taking the time to hear my comments this evening. I recently moved to the area, Coral Gables, just over nine months ago now. We were very fortunate to to purchase an original 1925 property within Coral Gables. My residence, I've been held very much to the rule to the Board and I would ask why developers would not be held to the same accountability as myself. I came here with my beautiful wife, my child, my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who have come before me. I think that Mr. Pardo's comments were particularly salient. I wanted to talk only really about the thing that most directly affects me which is that I take that pathway along University that blends with Malaga and which has been cited as being accident prone, although I haven't seen an accident there or even encountered a close near accident myself, but it seemed to me that the traffic people in Coral Gables could easily put a stop sign there if that were really an overriding issue, or what would be better for those of us who travel along that route, if there could be a light that turned red when Malaga itself	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir? MR. WEIR: Hi. Good evening. I'd like to show my appreciation for taking the time to hear my comments this evening. I recently moved to the area, Coral Gables, just over nine months ago now. We were very fortunate to to purchase an original 1925 property within Coral Gables. My residence, I've been held very much to the rule to the Board and I would ask why developers would not be held to the same accountability as myself. I came here with my beautiful wife, my child, my dog. We frequently walk twice a day from Salcedo and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who have come before me. I think that Mr. Pardo's comments were particularly salient. I wanted to talk only really about the thing that most directly affects me which is that I take that pathway along University that blends with Malaga and which has been cited as being accident prone, although I haven't seen an accident there or even encountered a close near accident myself, but it seemed to me that the traffic people in Coral Gables could easily put a stop sign there if that were really an overriding issue, or what would be better for those of us who travel along that route, if there could be a light that turned red when Malaga itself was green so that there wouldn't be any chance of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir? MR. WEIR: Hi. Good evening. I'd like to show my appreciation for taking the time to hear my comments this evening. I recently moved to the area, Coral Gables, just over nine months ago now. We were very fortunate to — to purchase an original 1925 property within Coral Gables. My residence, I've been held very much to the rule to the Board and I would ask why developers would not be held to the same accountability as myself. I came here with my beautiful wife, my child, my dog. We frequently walk twice a day from Salcedo and I just believe there the traffic would severely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who have come before me. I think that Mr. Pardo's comments were particularly salient. I wanted to talk only really about the thing that most directly affects me which is that I take that pathway along University that blends with Malaga and which has been cited as being accident prone, although I haven't seen an accident there or even encountered a close near accident myself, but it seemed to me that the traffic people in Coral Gables could easily put a stop sign there if that were really an overriding issue, or what would be better for those of us who travel along that route, if there could be a light that turned red when Malaga itself was green so that there wouldn't be any chance of encountering another car coming the other way.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir? MR. WEIR: Hi. Good evening. I'd like to show my appreciation for taking the time to hear my comments this evening. I recently moved to the area, Coral Gables, just over nine months ago now. We were very fortunate to — to purchase an original 1925 property within Coral Gables. My residence, I've been held very much to the rule to the Board and I would ask why developers would not be held to the same accountability as myself. I came here with my beautiful wife, my child, my dog. We frequently walk twice a day from Salcedo and I just believe there the traffic would severely damage the area for what it is, as — as the City
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who have come before me. I think that Mr. Pardo's comments were particularly salient. I wanted to talk only really about the thing that most directly affects me which is that I take that pathway along University that blends with Malaga and which has been cited as being accident prone, although I haven't seen an accident there or even encountered a close near accident myself, but it seemed to me that the traffic people in Coral Gables could easily put a stop sign there if that were really an overriding issue, or what would be better for those of us who travel along that route, if there could be a light that turned red when Malaga itself was green so that there wouldn't be any chance of encountering another car coming the other way. So this surely has to be the least significant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir? MR. WEIR: Hi. Good evening. I'd like to show my appreciation for taking the time to hear my comments this evening. I recently moved to the area, Coral Gables, just over nine months ago now. We were very fortunate to to purchase an original 1925 property within Coral Gables. My residence, I've been held very much to the rule to the Board and I would ask why developers would not be held to the same accountability as myself. I came here with my beautiful wife, my child, my dog. We frequently walk twice a day from Salcedo and I just believe there the traffic would severely damage the area for what it is, as as the City Beautiful which is one of the reasons why we moved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who have come before me. I think that Mr. Pardo's comments were particularly salient. I wanted to talk only really about the thing that most directly affects me which is that I take that pathway along University that blends with Malaga and which has been cited as being accident prone, although I haven't seen an accident there or even encountered a close near accident myself, but it seemed to me that the traffic people in Coral Gables could easily put a stop sign there if that were really an overriding issue, or what would be better for those of us who travel along that route, if there could be a light that turned red when Malaga itself was green so that there wouldn't be any chance of encountering another car coming the other way. So this surely has to be the least significant aspect of what is otherwise a horrendous imposition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir? MR. WEIR: Hi. Good evening. I'd like to show my appreciation for taking the time to hear my comments this evening. I recently moved to the area, Coral Gables, just over nine months ago now. We were very fortunate to to purchase an original 1925 property within Coral Gables. My residence, I've been held very much to the rule to the Board and I would ask why developers would not be held to the same accountability as myself. I came here with my beautiful wife, my child, my dog. We frequently walk twice a day from Salcedo and I just believe there the traffic would severely damage the area for what it is, as as the City Beautiful which is one of the reasons why we moved here. I have big concerns of the overdevelopments of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who have come before me. I think that Mr. Pardo's comments were particularly salient. I wanted to talk only really about the thing that most directly affects me which is that I take that pathway along University that blends with Malaga and which has been cited as being accident prone, although I haven't seen an accident there or even encountered a close near accident myself, but it seemed to me that the traffic people in Coral Gables could easily put a stop sign there if that were really an overriding issue, or what would be better for those of us who travel along that route, if there could be a light that turned red when Malaga itself was green so that there wouldn't be any chance of encountering another car coming the other way. So this surely has to be the least significant aspect of what is otherwise a horrendous imposition on us residents of Coral Gables.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir? MR. WEIR: Hi. Good evening. I'd like to show my appreciation for taking the time to hear my comments this evening. I recently moved to the area, Coral Gables, just over nine months ago now. We were very fortunate to — to purchase an original 1925 property within Coral Gables. My residence, I've been held very much to the rule to the Board and I would ask why developers would not be held to the same accountability as myself. I came here with my beautiful wife, my child, my dog. We frequently walk twice a day from Salcedo and I just believe there the traffic would severely damage the area for what it is, as — as the City Beautiful which is one of the reasons why we moved here. I have big concerns of the overdevelopments of the City Beautiful and how it affects our lives and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who have come before me. I think that Mr. Pardo's comments were particularly salient. I wanted to talk only really about the thing that most directly affects me which is that I take that pathway along University that blends with Malaga and which has been cited as being accident prone, although I haven't seen an accident there or even encountered a close near accident myself, but it seemed to me that the traffic people in Coral Gables could easily put a stop sign there if that were really an overriding issue, or what would be better for those of us who travel along that route, if there could be a light that turned red when Malaga itself was green so that there wouldn't be any chance of encountering another car coming the other way. So this surely has to be the least significant aspect of what is otherwise a horrendous imposition on us residents of Coral Gables. I live at 3910 Harlano Street, which is not in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir? MR. WEIR: Hi. Good evening. I'd like to show my appreciation for taking the time to hear my comments this evening. I recently moved to the area, Coral Gables, just over nine months ago now. We were very fortunate to to purchase an original 1925 property within Coral Gables. My residence, I've been held very much to the rule to the Board and I would ask why developers would not be held to the same accountability as myself. I came here with my beautiful wife, my child, my dog. We frequently walk twice a day from Salcedo and I just believe there the traffic would severely damage the area for what it is, as as the City Beautiful which is one of the reasons why we moved here. I have big concerns of the overdevelopments of the City Beautiful and how it affects our lives and our community. So I very much oppose the the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Muller? MR. MULLER: Hi. Thank for letting me speak. I will try to make this brief. I agree completely with most of the speakers who have come before me. I think that Mr. Pardo's comments were particularly salient. I wanted to talk only really about the thing that most directly affects me which is that I take that pathway along University that blends with Malaga and which has been cited as being accident prone, although I haven't seen an accident there or even encountered a close near accident myself, but it seemed to me that the traffic people in Coral Gables could easily put a stop sign there if that were really an overriding issue, or what would be better for those of us who travel along that route, if there could be a light that turned red when Malaga itself was green so that there wouldn't be any chance of encountering another car coming the other way. So this surely has to be the least significant aspect of what is otherwise a horrendous imposition on us residents of Coral Gables.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for nearly 40 years I've seen changes in the City and would view this as one of the worst things that could happen. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir? MR. WEIR: Hi. Good evening. I'd like to show my appreciation for taking the time to hear my comments this evening. I recently moved to the area, Coral Gables, just over nine months ago now. We were very fortunate to — to purchase an original 1925 property within Coral Gables. My residence, I've been held very much to the rule to the Board and I would ask why developers would not be held to the same accountability as myself. I came here with my beautiful wife, my child, my dog. We frequently walk twice a day from Salcedo and I just believe there the traffic would severely damage the area for what it is, as — as the City Beautiful which is one of the reasons why we moved here. I have big concerns of the overdevelopments of the City Beautiful and how it affects our lives and

	Page 97		Page 98
1	Thank you.	1	me?
2	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.	2	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir.
3	Is that it for	3	MR. PEREZ: Thank you, very much, for giving us
4	THE SECRETARY: No. We have one more speaker.	4	the opportunity to speak. I represent the Coral
5	Let me just try to find them. One second.	5	Gables
6	MS. MIRO: Excuse me, Mr. Chair, did we get an	6	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Please state your name
7	address for Mr. Weir?	7	and address, please, for the record.
8	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Say that again, please.	8	MR. PEREZ: Yes, sir. I was getting to that.
9	MR. MIRO: An address for the last speaker?	9	My name is David Perez. I represent the Coral
10	MR. COLLER: Yeah, she's looking up the last	10	Gables Professional Firefighters International
11	speaker.	11	Association of Firefighters Local 1210 with offices
12	MR. BEHAR: No, if we got an address from the	12	at 303 Alhambra Plaza.
13	last speaker.	13	Today we've heard many comments regarding this
14	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Actually, I don't did	14	proposed project, comments as far as line of sight
15	we?	15	issues, the building imposing on views, on neighbors.
		16	We've heard about issues of parking, of traffic, of
16 17	THE SECRETARY: Stephen Weir, can you please provide your address?	17	kids playing in the streets and what that could do
18		18	
19	MR. WEIR: Certainly. It's 244 Fluvia Avenue. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.	19	for the quality of life issues for Gables residents. One issue that has not been addressed is a
	•		
20	MR. WEIR: You're very welcome. Have a good	20	public safety issue. And again, that is the reason
21	evening.	21	that we have decided to speak on this issue tonight.
22	THE SECRETARY: Okay. The next speaker is David	22	This project, however it is approved or not approved,
23	Perez.	23	but if it does move forward with approval we would
24	MR. PEREZ: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, Members	24	like to see the issue of public safety addressed.
25	of the Planning and Zoning Board. Can you all hear	25	All you need to do is turn around and look at
	Page 99		Page 100
1	the project directly across the street, the Agave	1	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Let me just find out, if
2	project. That has almost every possible zoning	2	I may, is there any other speakers at all?
3	classification within the scope. You have	3	THE SECRETARY: Someone else put their hand up.
4	residential, you have commercial, you have a hotel,	4	I'm trying to reach them to see if they want to
5	you have mixed-use. There are a number of different	5	obviously want to speak, but
6	classifications and it is a mega project that takes	6	MR. COLLER: Also, we need to get the rebuttal
7	up an entire City block.	7	from the
8	We we rise and decided to speak on this	8	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. That's why I want
9	particular issue because there has not been a single	9	to go ahead and close it.
10	increase in firefighter staffing since 1983 in the	10	MR. TORRE: Can I start?
11	City of Coral Gables and we continue to see these	11	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. Go ahead.
12	developments grow and pop up out of the ground	12	MR. TORRE: There was a comment that I think we
13	vertically and it is time that the issue be	13	should address, that this is not a vote to pass or
14	addressed.	14	not to pass. This is a recommending vote. All we
15	We hope that this Zoning Board, in its	15	are doing is recommendations, so the vote just
16	consideration, in its comments moving forward on this	16	becomes a recommendation or not to the Commission,
17	project, take into consideration the need of	17	and there was statement there that I think some
18	additional public safety, specifically firefighters	18	may not be clear on and I think that's something we
19	within the City of Coral Gables.	19	should be putting
20	We thank you for the opportunity to speak, and	20	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
21	best wishes to all.	21	Do we have the last speaker?
22	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.	22	THE SECRETARY: Dayanna? Can you please open
23	MR. TORRE: Can I can I interject for a second	23	your mike?
24	before the gentleman makes his closing statement?	24	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Are they coming on?
25	There was a comment there that	25	THE SECRETARY: They unmuted themselves so I'm

	Page 101		Page 102
1	just waiting to see if	1	about, absolutely zero, which is The Plaza. Okay?
2	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. If not, I'll go	2	Now, there are other items that rank as follows,
3	ahead and close it for public comment.	3	the traffic and there are comments about this is
4	THE SECRETARY: Dayanna, will you be speaking?	4	there's not a danger here, but there is a lot of
5	MR. BEHAR: We should just close it up then.	5	traffic. There's no danger in particular at this
6	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Jill, at this time I'm	6	intersection with accidents irrespective of the
7	going to go ahead and close it for public comment.	7	record, but there is traffic in the area. And so we
8	If you have a rebuttal, sir, I would ask you to	8	get that comment about traffic.
9	be brief on it.	9	The the next comment was about the the
10	MR. DE YURRE: Sure.	10	gift.
11	Well, first of all, a lot's been said so I and	11	The next highest comment was about the FAR. And
12	the project has a lot of components so I wanted to	12	then lastly, comments about views and what they would
13	take an opportunity to see if there were, I imagine,	13	see in terms of of the height of the building.
14	questions in regards to any particulars of the	14	You know, we don't want to disincentivize a
15	project that I can address for you, I'll will be	15	public comment. This is why we're here, to get these
16	happy to do that at this time as well.	16	comments on the record, in addition, to the fact that
17	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: I guess when we go ahead	17	we've had the public meetings and followed up
18	and start our Board discussion, if any members have	18	individually with specific people that were
19	any questions based on that we'll go ahead and ask	19	attended those meetings.
20	you, but I didn't know if you had any closing	20	Now, I can't do, like I said, anything about The
21	comments that you'd like to make.	21	Plaza, but we can deal with the traffic, this comment
22	MR. DE YURRE: I mean, look, we've we've heard	22	about the gift, the FAR, and and the height.
23	the issues in order of an of of being	23	And so if the direction is that based on public
24	mentioned, let's just say, in order of importance.	24	comment today and the review of the project from the
25	The first one, there's nothing that I can do	25	Board, and again, I still open it to questions from
	Page 103		Page 104
1			D 1 0 71111 711 11 11
	you, but if it's that this project does not work	1	Robert? I'd like I'd really like to get views
2	because of that issue of the additional floor area	2	from both architects.
2	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting,	2 3	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first.
2 3 4	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR	2 3 4	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to
2 3 4 5	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is	2 3 4 5	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find
2 3 4 5 6	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's	2 3 4 5 6	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting.
2 3 4 5 6 7	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's representative here today, and Mr. Morris as well,	2 3 4 5 6 7	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting. One of the speakers that left already, I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's representative here today, and Mr. Morris as well, that if that is the direction then we can address the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting. One of the speakers that left already, I am totally blown away by even her comments which she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's representative here today, and Mr. Morris as well, that if that is the direction then we can address the project without that element in it. And it seems to	2 3 4 5 6 7	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting. One of the speakers that left already, I am totally blown away by even her comments which she made about this Board.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's representative here today, and Mr. Morris as well, that if that is the direction then we can address the project without that element in it. And it seems to be, again, after we have the comment put on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting. One of the speakers that left already, I am totally blown away by even her comments which she made about this Board. And there was another doctor who made a comment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's representative here today, and Mr. Morris as well, that if that is the direction then we can address the project without that element in it. And it seems to be, again, after we have the comment put on the record today, that that is very high in terms of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting. One of the speakers that left already, I am totally blown away by even her comments which she made about this Board. And there was another doctor who made a comment that, and I heard "money under the table." I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's representative here today, and Mr. Morris as well, that if that is the direction then we can address the project without that element in it. And it seems to be, again, after we have the comment put on the record today, that that is very high in terms of the issues for the residents in this area.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting. One of the speakers that left already, I am totally blown away by even her comments which she made about this Board. And there was another doctor who made a comment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's representative here today, and Mr. Morris as well, that if that is the direction then we can address the project without that element in it. And it seems to be, again, after we have the comment put on the record today, that that is very high in terms of the issues for the residents in this area. Number one, The Plaza I can't do anything about,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting. One of the speakers that left already, I am totally blown away by even her comments which she made about this Board. And there was another doctor who made a comment that, and I heard "money under the table." I'm insulted to even hear those comments, as Mr. Torre's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's representative here today, and Mr. Morris as well, that if that is the direction then we can address the project without that element in it. And it seems to be, again, after we have the comment put on the record today, that that is very high in terms of the issues for the residents in this area. Number one, The Plaza I can't do anything about, but there is definitely opportunity here that if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting. One of the speakers that left already, I am totally blown away by even her comments which she made about this Board. And there was another doctor who made a comment that, and I heard "money under the table." I'm insulted to even hear those comments, as Mr. Torre's mentioned.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's representative here today, and Mr. Morris as well, that if that is the direction then we can address the project without that element in it. And it seems to be, again, after we have the comment put on the record today, that that is very high in terms of the issues for the residents in this area. Number one, The Plaza I can't do anything about, but there is definitely opportunity here that if—if the direction is not to have that public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting. One of the speakers that left already, I am totally blown away by even her comments which she made about this Board. And there was another doctor who made a comment that, and I heard "money under the table." I'm insulted to even hear those comments, as Mr. Torre's mentioned. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for over 30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's representative here today, and Mr. Morris as well, that if that is the direction then we can address the project without that element in it. And it seems to be, again, after we have the comment put on the record today, that that is very high in terms of the issues for the residents in this area. Number one, The Plaza I can't do anything about, but there is definitely opportunity here that if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting. One of the speakers that left already, I am totally blown away by even her comments which she made about this Board. And there was another doctor who made a comment that, and I heard "money under the table." I'm insulted to even hear those comments, as Mr. Torre's mentioned. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for over 30 years. I have been a business owner in Coral Gables
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's representative here today, and Mr. Morris as well, that if that is the direction then we can address the project without that element in it. And it seems to be, again, after we have the comment put on the record today, that that is very high in terms of the issues for the residents in this area. Number one, The Plaza I can't do anything about, but there is definitely opportunity here that if—if the direction is not to have that public right-of-way, that we will pivot and move the project	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting. One of the speakers that left already, I am totally blown away by even her comments which she made about this Board. And there was another doctor who made a comment that, and I heard "money under the table." I'm insulted to even hear those comments, as Mr. Torre's mentioned. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for over 30 years. I have been a business owner in Coral Gables for over 35 years. My very first time I opened my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's representative here today, and Mr. Morris as well, that if that is the direction then we can address the project without that element in it. And it seems to be, again, after we have the comment put on the record today, that that is very high in terms of the issues for the residents in this area. Number one, The Plaza I can't do anything about, but there is definitely opportunity here that if—if the direction is not to have that public right-of-way, that we will pivot and move the project away from the use of that area because we want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting. One of the speakers that left already, I am totally blown away by even her comments which she made about this Board. And there was another doctor who made a comment that, and I heard "money under the table." I'm insulted to even hear those comments, as Mr. Torre's mentioned. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for over 30 years. I have been a business owner in Coral Gables for over 35 years. My very first time I opened my office, I'm an architect, I practice in Coral Gables,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's representative here today, and Mr. Morris as well, that if that is the direction then we can address the project without that element in it. And it seems to be, again, after we have the comment put on the record today, that that is very high in terms of the issues for the residents in this area. Number one, The Plaza I can't do anything about, but there is definitely opportunity here that if—if the direction is not to have that public right-of-way, that we will pivot and move the project away from the use of that area because we want to hear—we wanted to hear on the record—we didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting. One of the speakers that left already, I am totally blown away by even her comments which she made about this Board. And there was another doctor who made a comment that, and I heard "money under the table." I'm insulted to even hear those comments, as Mr. Torre's mentioned. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for over 30 years. I have been a business owner in Coral Gables for over 35 years. My very first time I opened my office, I'm an architect, I practice in Coral Gables, I opened in Coral Gables. So I do care for my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's representative here today, and Mr. Morris as well, that if that is the direction then we can address the project without that element in it. And it seems to be, again, after we have the comment put on the record today, that that is very high in terms of the issues for the residents in this area. Number one, The Plaza I can't do anything about, but there is definitely opportunity here that if if the direction is not to have that public right-of-way, that we will pivot and move the project away from the use of that area because we want to hear we wanted to hear on the record we didn't want to come and say oh, the the the residents	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting. One of the speakers that left already, I am totally blown away by even her comments which she made about this Board. And there was another doctor who made a comment that, and I heard "money under the table." I'm insulted to even hear those comments, as Mr. Torre's mentioned. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for over 30 years. I have been a business owner in Coral Gables for over 35 years. My very first time I opened my office, I'm an architect, I practice in Coral Gables, I opened in Coral Gables. So I do care for my community and I, again, I'm totally insulted by those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's representative here today, and Mr. Morris as well, that if that is the direction then we can address the project without that element in it. And it seems to be, again, after we have the comment put on the record today, that that is very high in terms of the issues for the residents in this area. Number one, The Plaza I can't do anything about, but there is definitely opportunity here that if if the direction is not to have that public right-of-way, that we will pivot and move the project away from the use of that area because we want to hear we wanted to hear on the record we didn't want to come and say oh, the the the residents weren't heard on the record. But if that's what it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting. One of the speakers that left already, I am totally blown away by even her comments which she made about this Board. And there was another doctor who made a comment that, and I heard "money under the table." I'm insulted to even hear those comments, as Mr. Torre's mentioned. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for over 30 years. I have been a business owner in Coral Gables for over 35 years. My very first time I opened my office, I'm an architect, I practice in Coral Gables, I opened in Coral Gables. So I do care for my community and I, again, I'm totally insulted by those comments.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's representative here today, and Mr. Morris as well, that if that is the direction then we can address the project without that element in it. And it seems to be, again, after we have the comment put on the record today, that that is very high in terms of the issues for the residents in this area. Number one, The Plaza I can't do anything about, but there is definitely opportunity here that if if the direction is not to have that public right-of-way, that we will pivot and move the project away from the use of that area because we want to hear we wanted to hear on the record we didn't want to come and say oh, the the the residents weren't heard on the record. But if that's what it is, then we want to be respectful and accommodating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting. One of the speakers that left already, I am totally blown away by even her comments which she made about this Board. And there was another doctor who made a comment that, and I heard "money under the table." I'm insulted to even hear those comments, as Mr. Torre's mentioned. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for over 30 years. I have been a business owner in Coral Gables for over 35 years. My very first time I opened my office, I'm an architect, I practice in Coral Gables, I opened in Coral Gables. So I do care for my community and I, again, I'm totally insulted by those comments. I — at first I was in agreement with Mr. Trias
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's representative here today, and Mr. Morris as well, that if that is the direction then we can address the project without that element in it. And it seems to be, again, after we have the comment put on the record today, that that is very high in terms of the issues for the residents in this area. Number one, The Plaza I can't do anything about, but there is definitely opportunity here that if—if the direction is not to have that public right-of-way, that we will pivot and move the project away from the use of that area because we want to hear—we wanted to hear on the record—we didn't want to come and say oh, the—the—the residents weren't heard on the record. But if that's what it is, then we want to be respectful and accommodating and move in that direction.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting. One of the speakers that left already, I am totally blown away by even her comments which she made about this Board. And there was another doctor who made a comment that, and I heard "money under the table." I'm insulted to even hear those comments, as Mr. Torre's mentioned. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for over 30 years. I have been a business owner in Coral Gables for over 35 years. My very first time I opened my office, I'm an architect, I practice in Coral Gables, I opened in Coral Gables. So I do care for my community and I, again, I'm totally insulted by those comments. I — at first I was in agreement with Mr. Trias as far as this is — this is a very complicated and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	because of that issue of the additional floor area and the gift, I don't want to call it the gifting, but the creation of the park space and additional FAR creating additional mass in the project, if that is the direction, then I'm consulted with my client's representative here today, and Mr. Morris as well, that if that is the direction then we can address the project without that element in it. And it seems to be, again, after we have the comment put on the record today, that that is very high in terms of the issues for the residents in this area. Number one, The Plaza I can't do anything about, but there is definitely opportunity here that if—if the direction is not to have that public right-of-way, that we will pivot and move the project away from the use of that area because we want to hear—we wanted to hear on the record—we didn't want to come and say oh, the—the—the residents weren't heard on the record. But if that's what it is, then we want to be respectful and accommodating and move in that direction. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from both architects. MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll go first. First, I would like to take an opportunity to address some of the comments made today which I find extremely appalling and insulting. One of the speakers that left already, I am totally blown away by even her comments which she made about this Board. And there was another doctor who made a comment that, and I heard "money under the table." I'm insulted to even hear those comments, as Mr. Torre's mentioned. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for over 30 years. I have been a business owner in Coral Gables for over 35 years. My very first time I opened my office, I'm an architect, I practice in Coral Gables, I opened in Coral Gables. So I do care for my community and I, again, I'm totally insulted by those comments. I at first I was in agreement with Mr. Trias as far as this is this is a very complicated and confusing application. It is not. This application

	Page 105		Page 106
1	to the City.	1	planner, any benefit other than, to this project,
2	I could support, and let me let me say, I	2	taking that land, closing University and taking that
3	could support a project that is more in keeping with	3	land to benefit anybody else but this project.
4	the scale and character of a transitional project in	4	The reason for the asking of the vacation of the
5	that area, a mixed projected, which I do believe in	5	alley is to allow to have a 200-foot and, Mr. Trias,
6	a mixed-use developments where you have some	6	this is something that you could confirm for me, that
7	commercial and you have some residential that would	7	that would be the only reason, the only way they
8	add for the quality of life. I cannot, I will not	8	could get a frontage of 200 feet that would allow
9	support a project of this magnitude that is totally	9	them to go more than the 45 feet.
10	out of scale.	10	Is that correct, Mr. Trias, by them vacating the
11	I am I will agree with Mr. Trias on some of	11	alley they'll get the frontage of more than 200
12	the denials. I cannot, any day of the week, agree	12	feet?
13	with vacating University Drive. Absolutely, I would	13	MR. TRIAS: Precisely. That's the key. That's
14	never support that.	14	the key component and that allows for the mixed-use
15		15	and that allows for the additional height to 17 feet,
16	I look at the I look at the FAR. This project is asking for a total of 245,416 square feet FAR	16	so on, yes, sir.
17	•	17	MR. BEHAR: Okay. And I, I mean again, I have
	where the existing without any vacation whatsoever is	18	
18	39,948. That's an FAR of 6.14. This is twice,		tremendous concern with this application. I would
19	almost twice as what would be permitted. I cannot	19 20	not, and I would let all of my colleagues to speak,
20	justify any reason to allot for that increase.		but I would not be able to support this application.
21	I do do projects, and sometimes we do add for the	21	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
22	TDRs when it's appropriate in the location. This is	22	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
23	not one of them. I find this project to be, again,	23	Mr. Revuelta?
24	like I mentioned before, totally out of scale.	24	MR. REVUELTA: Good afternoon. And thank you for
25	There is no way that I see, as an architect, as a	25	all the neighbors for coming up today.
	Page 107		Page 108
1	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Could you speak into the	1	a chance. You don't have we don't have to do it
2	microphone, please?	2	now.
3	MR. REVUELTA: I am not going to support the	3	I think the beautification of a park on Ponce and
4	application, but I'll start I'll point out six	4	and University Drive and Malaga is a positive
5	points that I wrote down that are items that I don't	5	thing.
6	have a problem with and could be able to be looked	6	I think this there is sensitivity in the
7	at.	7	architecture, without question. And I've never had a
8	The project is a mixed-use project then, and	8	problem with height. I think the biggest problem
9	whenever you have a mixed-use project of residential	9	with projects is not the height. It's the density
10	and office	10	and it's the massiveness and the width of a project
11	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second, Luis.	11	which the, I hate to bring it up, but The Plaza is
12	His microphone is on, but you guys don't hear	12	the perfect storm, the the most horrible example
13	it?	13	that anybody can point to us.
14	MR. REVUELTA: When you have a mixed-use project	14	And this property has to the east, to the north,
15	I think that's good for a municipality because then	15	and to the south commercial personification. The
16	you have people that live there and they do not come	16	east is a mixed 3, no question. To my surprise, and
17	in the morning and go out.	17	this is a point that I like to go back later on, the
18	I don't have a problem with vacating the alley	18	property immediately to the south is a mixed-3, the
19	because I think vacating alleys, which I like to have	19	parking lot from the courthouse is a mixed-3.
20	a question from Staff, I in some municipalities	20	Given this property, the intensity and density
21	half of the alley belongs to an adjacent property and	21	that it's requesting through TDRs, through vacation
22	the other half too to an adjacent property, so I	22	of University Drive, and there is a little park
23	don't believe the alleys, at least in some	23	already there. There is already a park there that
24	municipalities are public property, but I would like	24	this application will be taken advantage for FAR,
25	to know the answer to that after whenever you get	25	which one speaker said, granted, the City will get

	Page 109		Page 110
1	to use that as a public space, but the reality is	1	amassing and width of this property, it's going to
2	that that that those square feet are going to	2	just to continue to promulgate a big mistake already
3	allow an increasing FAR, an increasing density	3	that was made in The Plaza. The project is extremely
4	intensity which granted it will be a profit to the	4	wide.
5	developer and the City will never see any financial	5	I don't have a problem with height. I do have a
6	benefit from that. So I don't believe that this is a	6	problem with width. The width the width of this
7	quid pro quo situation in which the City's getting an	7	project will develop, if approved, thanks to the
8	equal part of the bargain. I think the City's	8	TDRs, vacation of the alley, vacation of the
9	getting a really bad part of the bargain by allowing a	9	University Drive, and obtaining the FAR of the little
10	public right-of-way and public park, little as it	10	park, it's just allowing this tremendous width that I
11	may be, to become part of an FAR intensity and	11	think is the major detriment and is not, in my
12	density for a project.	12	opinion as an architect, not really the height.
13	So and but I think the Zoning	13	The City already owns a park. I had mentioned
14	classification merits a look at because I believe	14	that.
15	that the zoning that right now this property has is	15	The closing of University Drive for a park is
16	inconsistent.	16	13,000 square feet. Again, that translated to FAR,
17	I am actually surprised at people that live in	17	translated to money. Nobody needs to be a
18	Malaga and Santander are not here in hoards. I heard	18	neurosurgeon or a high level math mathematician
19	people that live away from Malaga and Santander, and	19	to calculate that.
20	Malaga and Santander are going to be directly	20	The short term that will be created in Ponce and
21	impacted by shadows and by traffic much more than the	21	Malaga, it's not a good idea. I do believe that
22	other streets as you go south.	22	there's already a stop when you're sweeping on
23	To me, the six points that I wrote down as	23	University Drive, so you have to stop there if the
24	negatives which totally outweigh the six points that	24	green light on Malaga and Ponce, it's on. And I I
25	I just finished and that could be positives, is the	25	I frankly am not a traffic engineer, but I don't
	- J		,
	Page 111		
	Page III		Page 112
1	like the fact that people are going to be turning	1	Page 112 anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a
1 2		1 2	
	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on		anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a
2	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your seems	2	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to
2 3 4 5	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your — seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan.	2 3 4 5	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending
2 3 4	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake	2 3 4 5	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the
2 3 4 5	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your — seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made	2 3 4 5 6 7	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the City Commission will listen to us, this is a
2 3 4 5 6	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your — seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made on The Plaza, it's also a major negative by allowing	2 3 4 5	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your — seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made on The Plaza, it's also a major negative by allowing the width and intensity and density on this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the City Commission will listen to us, this is a perfect opportunity to try to figure out how to create a major transition buffer with the parking lot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your — seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made on The Plaza, it's also a major negative by allowing the width and intensity and density on this application.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the City Commission will listen to us, this is a perfect opportunity to try to figure out how to create a major transition buffer with the parking lot and the the lot 44 which is the the courthouse,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your — seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made on The Plaza, it's also a major negative by allowing the width and intensity and density on this application. And last, going back to what I said before, to me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the City Commission will listen to us, this is a perfect opportunity to try to figure out how to create a major transition buffer with the parking lot and the the lot 44 which is the the courthouse, and then give you guys something in height, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your — seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made on The Plaza, it's also a major negative by allowing the width and intensity and density on this application. And last, going back to what I said before, to me a major compounded mistake is that the parking lot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the City Commission will listen to us, this is a perfect opportunity to try to figure out how to create a major transition buffer with the parking lot and the the lot 44 which is the the courthouse, and then give you guys something in height, and you you guys are already getting a TDR. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your — seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made on The Plaza, it's also a major negative by allowing the width and intensity and density on this application. And last, going back to what I said before, to me a major compounded mistake is that the parking lot for the courthouse is so high density and extreme,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the City Commission will listen to us, this is a perfect opportunity to try to figure out how to create a major transition buffer with the parking lot and the the lot 44 which is the the courthouse, and then give you guys something in height, and you you guys are already getting a TDR. I don't have a problem with the vacation of the alley.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your — seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made on The Plaza, it's also a major negative by allowing the width and intensity and density on this application. And last, going back to what I said before, to me a major compounded mistake is that the parking lot for the courthouse is so high density and extreme, and to me that should never — nobody has mentioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the City Commission will listen to us, this is a perfect opportunity to try to figure out how to create a major transition buffer with the parking lot and the the lot 44 which is the the courthouse, and then give you guys something in height, and you you guys are already getting a TDR. I don't have a problem with the vacation of the alley. But as Robert said, and I imagine a lot of people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your — seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made on The Plaza, it's also a major negative by allowing the width and intensity and density on this application. And last, going back to what I said before, to me a major compounded mistake is that the parking lot for the courthouse is so high density and extreme, and to me that should never — nobody has mentioned that and I'm saying, well, that cannot be. If —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the City Commission will listen to us, this is a perfect opportunity to try to figure out how to create a major transition buffer with the parking lot and the the lot 44 which is the the courthouse, and then give you guys something in height, and you you guys are already getting a TDR. I don't have a problem with the vacation of the alley. But as Robert said, and I imagine a lot of people are going to agree with that, just giving up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made on The Plaza, it's also a major negative by allowing the width and intensity and density on this application. And last, going back to what I said before, to me a major compounded mistake is that the parking lot for the courthouse is so high density and extreme, and to me that should never nobody has mentioned that and I'm saying, well, that cannot be. If if there is a property that should be allowed some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the City Commission will listen to us, this is a perfect opportunity to try to figure out how to create a major transition buffer with the parking lot and the the lot 44 which is the the courthouse, and then give you guys something in height, and you you guys are already getting a TDR. I don't have a problem with the vacation of the alley. But as Robert said, and I imagine a lot of people are going to agree with that, just giving up University Drive and the park that is basically to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your — seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made on The Plaza, it's also a major negative by allowing the width and intensity and density on this application. And last, going back to what I said before, to me a major compounded mistake is that the parking lot for the courthouse is so high density and extreme, and to me that should never — nobody has mentioned that and I'm saying, well, that cannot be. If — if there is a property that should be allowed some height and some density, actually is this property.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the City Commission will listen to us, this is a perfect opportunity to try to figure out how to create a major transition buffer with the parking lot and the the lot 44 which is the the courthouse, and then give you guys something in height, and you you guys are already getting a TDR. I don't have a problem with the vacation of the alley. But as Robert said, and I imagine a lot of people are going to agree with that, just giving up University Drive and the park that is basically to the southeast of University Drive for additional FAR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your — seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made on The Plaza, it's also a major negative by allowing the width and intensity and density on this application. And last, going back to what I said before, to me a major compounded mistake is that the parking lot for the courthouse is so high density and extreme, and to me that should never — nobody has mentioned that and I'm saying, well, that cannot be. If — if there is a property that should be allowed some height and some density, actually is this property. But I cannot believe that, and I don't know who owns	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the City Commission will listen to us, this is a perfect opportunity to try to figure out how to create a major transition buffer with the parking lot and the the lot 44 which is the the courthouse, and then give you guys something in height, and you you guys are already getting a TDR. I don't have a problem with the vacation of the alley. But as Robert said, and I imagine a lot of people are going to agree with that, just giving up University Drive and the park that is basically to the southeast of University Drive for additional FAR intensity and density that is causing this building
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your — seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made on The Plaza, it's also a major negative by allowing the width and intensity and density on this application. And last, going back to what I said before, to me a major compounded mistake is that the parking lot for the courthouse is so high density and extreme, and to me that should never — nobody has mentioned that and I'm saying, well, that cannot be. If — if there is a property that should be allowed some height and some density, actually is this property. But I cannot believe that, and I don't know who owns the courthouse, I don't know if this is private	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the City Commission will listen to us, this is a perfect opportunity to try to figure out how to create a major transition buffer with the parking lot and the the lot 44 which is the the courthouse, and then give you guys something in height, and you you guys are already getting a TDR. I don't have a problem with the vacation of the alley. But as Robert said, and I imagine a lot of people are going to agree with that, just giving up University Drive and the park that is basically to the southeast of University Drive for additional FAR intensity and density that is causing this building to be this wide, it's a major mistake.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your — seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made on The Plaza, it's also a major negative by allowing the width and intensity and density on this application. And last, going back to what I said before, to me a major compounded mistake is that the parking lot for the courthouse is so high density and extreme, and to me that should never — nobody has mentioned that and I'm saying, well, that cannot be. If — if there is a property that should be allowed some height and some density, actually is this property. But I cannot believe that, and I don't know who owns the courthouse, I don't know if this is private property. The parking lot, if you look at the Master	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the City Commission will listen to us, this is a perfect opportunity to try to figure out how to create a major transition buffer with the parking lot and the the lot 44 which is the the courthouse, and then give you guys something in height, and you you guys are already getting a TDR. I don't have a problem with the vacation of the alley. But as Robert said, and I imagine a lot of people are going to agree with that, just giving up University Drive and the park that is basically to the southeast of University Drive for additional FAR intensity and density that is causing this building to be this wide, it's a major mistake. So for that reason, as I said before, I will not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your — seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made on The Plaza, it's also a major negative by allowing the width and intensity and density on this application. And last, going back to what I said before, to me a major compounded mistake is that the parking lot for the courthouse is so high density and extreme, and to me that should never — nobody has mentioned that and I'm saying, well, that cannot be. If — if there is a property that should be allowed some height and some density, actually is this property. But I cannot believe that, and I don't know who owns the courthouse, I don't know if this is private property. The parking lot, if you look at the Master Plan, is zoned high density MX-3. That means that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the City Commission will listen to us, this is a perfect opportunity to try to figure out how to create a major transition buffer with the parking lot and the the lot 44 which is the the courthouse, and then give you guys something in height, and you you guys are already getting a TDR. I don't have a problem with the vacation of the alley. But as Robert said, and I imagine a lot of people are going to agree with that, just giving up University Drive and the park that is basically to the southeast of University Drive for additional FAR intensity and density that is causing this building to be this wide, it's a major mistake. So for that reason, as I said before, I will not be supporting the application and I am mostly in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made on The Plaza, it's also a major negative by allowing the width and intensity and density on this application. And last, going back to what I said before, to me a major compounded mistake is that the parking lot for the courthouse is so high density and extreme, and to me that should never nobody has mentioned that and I'm saying, well, that cannot be. If if there is a property that should be allowed some height and some density, actually is this property. But I cannot believe that, and I don't know who owns the courthouse, I don't know if this is private property. The parking lot, if you look at the Master Plan, is zoned high density MX-3. That means that the people in Santander, I believe it's Santander,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the City Commission will listen to us, this is a perfect opportunity to try to figure out how to create a major transition buffer with the parking lot and the the lot 44 which is the the courthouse, and then give you guys something in height, and you you guys are already getting a TDR. I don't have a problem with the vacation of the alley. But as Robert said, and I imagine a lot of people are going to agree with that, just giving up University Drive and the park that is basically to the southeast of University Drive for additional FAR intensity and density that is causing this building to be this wide, it's a major mistake. So for that reason, as I said before, I will not be supporting the application and I am mostly in agreement with the Staff report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your — seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made on The Plaza, it's also a major negative by allowing the width and intensity and density on this application. And last, going back to what I said before, to me a major compounded mistake is that the parking lot for the courthouse is so high density and extreme, and to me that should never — nobody has mentioned that and I'm saying, well, that cannot be. If — if there is a property that should be allowed some height and some density, actually is this property. But I cannot believe that, and I don't know who owns the courthouse, I don't know if this is private property. The parking lot, if you look at the Master Plan, is zoned high density MX-3. That means that the people in Santander, I believe it's Santander, the ones immediately to the south eventually could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the City Commission will listen to us, this is a perfect opportunity to try to figure out how to create a major transition buffer with the parking lot and the the lot 44 which is the the courthouse, and then give you guys something in height, and you you guys are already getting a TDR. I don't have a problem with the vacation of the alley. But as Robert said, and I imagine a lot of people are going to agree with that, just giving up University Drive and the park that is basically to the southeast of University Drive for additional FAR intensity and density that is causing this building to be this wide, it's a major mistake. So for that reason, as I said before, I will not be supporting the application and I am mostly in agreement with the Staff report. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your — seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made on The Plaza, it's also a major negative by allowing the width and intensity and density on this application. And last, going back to what I said before, to me a major compounded mistake is that the parking lot for the courthouse is so high density and extreme, and to me that should never — nobody has mentioned that and I'm saying, well, that cannot be. If — if there is a property that should be allowed some height and some density, actually is this property. But I cannot believe that, and I don't know who owns the courthouse, I don't know if this is private property. The parking lot, if you look at the Master Plan, is zoned high density MX-3. That means that the people in Santander, I believe it's Santander, the ones immediately to the south eventually could suffer the same fate as the people in Malaga with The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the City Commission will listen to us, this is a perfect opportunity to try to figure out how to create a major transition buffer with the parking lot and the the lot 44 which is the the courthouse, and then give you guys something in height, and you you guys are already getting a TDR. I don't have a problem with the vacation of the alley. But as Robert said, and I imagine a lot of people are going to agree with that, just giving up University Drive and the park that is basically to the southeast of University Drive for additional FAR intensity and density that is causing this building to be this wide, it's a major mistake. So for that reason, as I said before, I will not be supporting the application and I am mostly in agreement with the Staff report. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much. Claudia?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	like the fact that people are going to be turning a sharp right on Malaga. I know that your — seems to be widening it, but I think the sweeping on University Drive really, really makes sense from the original Master Plan. I think the precedence of promulgating a mistake that was a huge mistake that, in my opinion, was made on The Plaza, it's also a major negative by allowing the width and intensity and density on this application. And last, going back to what I said before, to me a major compounded mistake is that the parking lot for the courthouse is so high density and extreme, and to me that should never — nobody has mentioned that and I'm saying, well, that cannot be. If — if there is a property that should be allowed some height and some density, actually is this property. But I cannot believe that, and I don't know who owns the courthouse, I don't know if this is private property. The parking lot, if you look at the Master Plan, is zoned high density MX-3. That means that the people in Santander, I believe it's Santander, the ones immediately to the south eventually could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	anybody in the City, I'm just actually raising a point that is of concern and I would like to talk to the Staff about I think this is a perfect opportunity for the City to tell this Applicant, if I'm going to give you anything, of course we're a recommending Board, as Venny said, so, you know, hopefully the City Commission will listen to us, this is a perfect opportunity to try to figure out how to create a major transition buffer with the parking lot and the the lot 44 which is the the courthouse, and then give you guys something in height, and you you guys are already getting a TDR. I don't have a problem with the vacation of the alley. But as Robert said, and I imagine a lot of people are going to agree with that, just giving up University Drive and the park that is basically to the southeast of University Drive for additional FAR intensity and density that is causing this building to be this wide, it's a major mistake. So for that reason, as I said before, I will not be supporting the application and I am mostly in agreement with the Staff report. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.

Page 113 Page 114 1 1 had on the neighbors. in this Board I was able to listen and really hear, 2 2 and that's what I'm here to do, is to listen and to I heard Mr. -- oh, my goodness, Ramon, your last 3 3 try and understand, not just to listen to the name escapes me. 4 MR. TRIAS: Trias. residents that spoke, but also to listen to the 4 5 Applicant and the -- the points that he brought up, 5 MS. MIRO: Trias -- Mr. Trias' comments at the 6 and not just tonight, but also in the last meeting. 6 beginning saying that we had done some outreach and 7 I do want to say that I did see the illustrations 7 advising 1500 square feet, a radius, around the 8 that were put up on the screen for us and I think 8 property advising the neighbors of this project, and 9 that the building is a beautiful building. I think 9 the letters and the advertising, and everything else, 10 that -- I appreciate that they showed us the arches, 10 and I would say that -- I understand there's about 11 how they were similar to the Biltmore and other 11 70 some-odd on Zoom right now and we had a dozen or 12 properties. But that being said, I think that it's 12 so speakers, I would have liked to see, as a 13 a beautiful building. I just personally don't see 13 resident I would like to see considering that there 14 that building here, that it has a place here in Coral 14 are so many outraged at Plaza project, I think I 15 Gables and especially at that property, and I want to 15 would like to see more people here, not just against, 16 16 but for. 17 I don't think that I will go step by step as my 17 So who's for this project? Where are those 18 colleagues before me have done as to what part I'm 18 people? I think that you mentioned some names. I 19 opposed to, but I do have to say that I listened to 19 haven't seen -- you know, I would like to see -- you 20 some of the concerns. And as a 16 year resident know, I'm -- we're here to hear both sides and I 20 21 myself, I think that we need to listen to what 21 didn't hear the other side. 22 the residents are saying, and what the residents are 22 That being said, Mr. De Yurre also was talking 23 saying is that they're still having a hard them, for 23 about, you know, the -- that he had heard the same 24 lack of a better word, stomaching the project, the 24 concerns that we heard and he was trying to address 25 Agave project, The Plaza, and the effect that it has 25 them and he said, well, you know, The Plaza, there's Page 115 Page 116 1 nothing we can do about The Plaza. And I think 1 you think is going to happen when you start adding 2 that's true. But I think something to consider is 2 200 some-odd residents coming through that? And 3 3 maybe not proposing projects that are similar to the we're talking about this building. 4 Plaza that are going to appall the residents and are 4 I think that we still haven't left the effects --5 5 going to terrify them into thinking that their obviously, we have to wait a year or so until The 6 quality of life is going to cease to exist. 6 Plaza plays out and see what the effects of that is 7 7 I heard the first speaker, Ms. Davis, and how she going to be already before we start adding something 8 8 talked about her children almost being run over and of this density. 9 how she talked about that, you know, the yards are 9 And so I'm opposed because the density, the 10 10 small and how we congregate in the street as height, I don't think that it is to scale with the 11 neighbors to walk, to run, to exercise, to whatever 11 area. While the design may have really tried to do 12 it is, and that is the reason why Coral Gables is 12 that, I think that the size, the mere size of it... 13 Coral Gables, because we can do that, because we were 13 Then there's the issue of the public able to do that without having to have the fear of 14 14 right-of-way and the gifting side. That also doesn't $400\ cars$ passing by there. So I did listen to that 15 15 sit well with me. 16 and that is -- that is part of the reason why I'm 16 I heard one of the present- -- or speakers also 17 voting for that. 17 say, you know, I just -- I think he's -- he'd only I have to keep reading my notes for today, and 18 18 been living here for nine months and he said, hey, 19 now I need glasses. 19 I -- you know, I bought a 1925 house, and if you're a 20 The other thing that I heard about, there was 20 Coral Gables resident you know that we have a lot of some back and forth about whether the traffic -- the 21 21 rules. I personally like that we have these rules 22 accident report, whether it was 50 over the last 22 because they protect us. I think that they're in 23 decade, or it was 42, or if it was 17. Well, the 23 place to make sure that we don't turn into some other 24 only thing I can think about is with 200 some-odd 24 municipalities which I will not name on record. But 25 parking spaces that means 200 some-odd cars, what do 25 if we have to keep those rules, well, definitely the

	Page 117		Page 118
1	developers that are coming before us have to keep the	1	MS. MIRO: Chevron. So those things haven't
2	rules and the things that are in place.	2	survived. They didn't survive here, you know, and I
3	So what else was it that I wrote down?	3	would almost be as bold to say that based on some of
4	Somebody else wrote something that, you know,	4	the comments that I heard tonight neighbors would
5	very so we're destroying something very special.	5	rather see a Chevron there again than see a big
6	And from what I hear, a lot of people just want to	6	monstrosity, you know, come, you know, that
7	preserve.	7	something similar that we already have.
8	One of the speakers, I think her name was Janine,	8	So again, on this one I I have to side with
9	I think she was the wife of the surgeon who spoke,	9	the evidence, with the things that I've seen, with
10	she had said that, you know, she wouldn't recommend	10	the comments made by my colleagues that are seasoned
11	living in the Gables and that, you know, she probably	11	and that are professionals, those are those are
12	won't live here anymore. I don't think that the	12	things that have also helped me make my decision from
13	right direction for any of us is to leave the City,	13	what I've heard this evening and what I've heard in
14	but to stay and and speak and and be present,	14	the in the from the previous meeting.
15	just as so many have done this evening.	15	Thank you.
16	So once again, while I am not against	16	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
17	development, I think that we do have to make sure	17	Venny?
18	that anything that that property turns into, and I	18	MR. TORRE: Thank you.
19	also liked that Mr. De Yurre pointed out that it had	19	I think the City's having a big discussion, has
20	been a, you know, burger joint, Royal, I think,	20	been going on for a while. This is a continuation of
21	Castle or	21	that big discussion and I appreciate it's come to the
22	MR. DE YURRE: White White Castle	22	Planning and Zoning Board. It's the rightful place
23	MS. MIRO: White Castle, those little	23	to have that continuing discussion to happen.
24	sandwiches	24	I think this project in itself is a is an
25	MR. DE YURRE: Circle K, Chevron.	25	example of what's going on and why we're here, and I
	Page 119		Page 120
1	think, I may be guessing here, but I don't think	1	You guys are sticks. You're providing the developer
2	this project's going to go forward. I think it's	2	with the stick.
3	not going to to pass here, and I don't think we're	3	And what we're not doing as a City is providing
4	in favor of it. I'm personally not. And I think	4	the right path forward and providing the incentives
	it's an avample of what the problems seem to be	1 -	
5	it's an example of what the problems seem to be	5	for great stuff to happen. And I think the challenge
6	here.	6	for the City is to start saying what is it we really
	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I		for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's what's going on here, and provide
6 7 8	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I respect Mr. Morris. I think he's an incredible man.	6 7 8	for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's what's going on here, and provide that roadmap for the better proposal.
6 7 8 9	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I respect Mr. Morris. I think he's an incredible man. I think he's an upstanding citizen and a great	6 7 8 9	for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's what's going on here, and provide that roadmap for the better proposal. It's happening with the Mediterranean Bonus
6 7 8 9 10	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I respect Mr. Morris. I think he's an incredible man. I think he's an upstanding citizen and a great developer. He does great work, can do great work,	6 7 8 9	for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's what's going on here, and provide that roadmap for the better proposal. It's happening with the Mediterranean Bonus discussion, what that, you know, bonus seems to be
6 7 8 9 10 11	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I respect Mr. Morris. I think he's an incredible man. I think he's an upstanding citizen and a great developer. He does great work, can do great work, and he has the potential to do excellent work. I	6 7 8 9 10 11	for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's what's going on here, and provide that roadmap for the better proposal. It's happening with the Mediterranean Bonus discussion, what that, you know, bonus seems to be like, what is it, is it right, is it wrong? Do we
6 7 8 9 10 11	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I respect Mr. Morris. I think he's an incredible man. I think he's an upstanding citizen and a great developer. He does great work, can do great work, and he has the potential to do excellent work. I think in this case we can do better and he can do	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's what's going on here, and provide that roadmap for the better proposal. It's happening with the Mediterranean Bonus discussion, what that, you know, bonus seems to be like, what is it, is it right, is it wrong? Do we want Mediterranean Bonus, do we want modernism, do
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I respect Mr. Morris. I think he's an incredible man. I think he's an upstanding citizen and a great developer. He does great work, can do great work, and he has the potential to do excellent work. I think in this case we can do better and he can do better. So I I put a challenge on to him to come	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's — what's going on here, and provide that roadmap for the better proposal. It's happening with the Mediterranean Bonus discussion, what that, you know, bonus seems to be like, what is it, is it right, is it wrong? Do we want Mediterranean Bonus, do we want modernism, do we not want modernism? I mean you talk about let's
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I respect Mr. Morris. I think he's an incredible man. I think he's an upstanding citizen and a great developer. He does great work, can do great work, and he has the potential to do excellent work. I think in this case we can do better and he can do better. So I I put a challenge on to him to come back with something that's going to be more palatable	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's what's going on here, and provide that roadmap for the better proposal. It's happening with the Mediterranean Bonus discussion, what that, you know, bonus seems to be like, what is it, is it right, is it wrong? Do we want Mediterranean Bonus, do we want modernism, do we not want modernism? I mean you talk about let's cap it at four stories. Would you rather have a four
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I respect Mr. Morris. I think he's an incredible man. I think he's an upstanding citizen and a great developer. He does great work, can do great work, and he has the potential to do excellent work. I think in this case we can do better and he can do better. So I I put a challenge on to him to come back with something that's going to be more palatable for us and for the community.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's what's going on here, and provide that roadmap for the better proposal. It's happening with the Mediterranean Bonus discussion, what that, you know, bonus seems to be like, what is it, is it right, is it wrong? Do we want Mediterranean Bonus, do we want modernism, do we not want modernism? I mean you talk about let's cap it at four stories. Would you rather have a four story box? Would you rather have an eight story
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I respect Mr. Morris. I think he's an incredible man. I think he's an upstanding citizen and a great developer. He does great work, can do great work, and he has the potential to do excellent work. I think in this case we can do better and he can do better. So I I put a challenge on to him to come back with something that's going to be more palatable for us and for the community. I think some of this stuff relates to some of the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's what's going on here, and provide that roadmap for the better proposal. It's happening with the Mediterranean Bonus discussion, what that, you know, bonus seems to be like, what is it, is it right, is it wrong? Do we want Mediterranean Bonus, do we want modernism, do we not want modernism? I mean you talk about let's cap it at four stories. Would you rather have a four story box? Would you rather have an eight story beautiful building? It may be more than the Code
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I respect Mr. Morris. I think he's an incredible man. I think he's an upstanding citizen and a great developer. He does great work, can do great work, and he has the potential to do excellent work. I think in this case we can do better and he can do better. So I I put a challenge on to him to come back with something that's going to be more palatable for us and for the community. I think some of this stuff relates to some of the issues that Mr. Trias was talking about which relate	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's — what's going on here, and provide that roadmap for the better proposal. It's happening with the Mediterranean Bonus discussion, what that, you know, bonus seems to be like, what is it, is it right, is it wrong? Do we want Mediterranean Bonus, do we want modernism, do we not want modernism? I mean you talk about let's cap it at four stories. Would you rather have a four story box? Would you rather have an eight story beautiful building? It may be more than the Code allows, but maybe that eight story building is green
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I respect Mr. Morris. I think he's an incredible man. I think he's an upstanding citizen and a great developer. He does great work, can do great work, and he has the potential to do excellent work. I think in this case we can do better and he can do better. So I I put a challenge on to him to come back with something that's going to be more palatable for us and for the community. I think some of this stuff relates to some of the issues that Mr. Trias was talking about which relate to the to the Zoning Code itself.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's — what's going on here, and provide that roadmap for the better proposal. It's happening with the Mediterranean Bonus discussion, what that, you know, bonus seems to be like, what is it, is it right, is it wrong? Do we want Mediterranean Bonus, do we want modernism, do we not want modernism? I mean you talk about let's cap it at four stories. Would you rather have a four story box? Would you rather have an eight story beautiful building? It may be more than the Code allows, but maybe that eight story building is green space and it's got great parking ability and all the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I respect Mr. Morris. I think he's an incredible man. I think he's an upstanding citizen and a great developer. He does great work, can do great work, and he has the potential to do excellent work. I think in this case we can do better and he can do better. So I I put a challenge on to him to come back with something that's going to be more palatable for us and for the community. I think some of this stuff relates to some of the issues that Mr. Trias was talking about which relate to the to the Zoning Code itself. The Zoning Code has a lot of intricacies that are	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's what's going on here, and provide that roadmap for the better proposal. It's happening with the Mediterranean Bonus discussion, what that, you know, bonus seems to be like, what is it, is it right, is it wrong? Do we want Mediterranean Bonus, do we want modernism, do we not want modernism? I mean you talk about let's cap it at four stories. Would you rather have a four story box? Would you rather have an eight story beautiful building? It may be more than the Code allows, but maybe that eight story building is green space and it's got great parking ability and all the other things.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I respect Mr. Morris. I think he's an incredible man. I think he's an upstanding citizen and a great developer. He does great work, can do great work, and he has the potential to do excellent work. I think in this case we can do better and he can do better. So I I put a challenge on to him to come back with something that's going to be more palatable for us and for the community. I think some of this stuff relates to some of the issues that Mr. Trias was talking about which relate to the to the Zoning Code itself. The Zoning Code has a lot of intricacies that are are problematic and a lot of people do not	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's — what's going on here, and provide that roadmap for the better proposal. It's happening with the Mediterranean Bonus discussion, what that, you know, bonus seems to be like, what is it, is it right, is it wrong? Do we want Mediterranean Bonus, do we want modernism, do we not want modernism? I mean you talk about let's cap it at four stories. Would you rather have a four story box? Would you rather have an eight story beautiful building? It may be more than the Code allows, but maybe that eight story building is green space and it's got great parking ability and all the other things. The Commission hasn't in my many, many instances
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I respect Mr. Morris. I think he's an incredible man. I think he's an upstanding citizen and a great developer. He does great work, can do great work, and he has the potential to do excellent work. I think in this case we can do better and he can do better. So I I put a challenge on to him to come back with something that's going to be more palatable for us and for the community. I think some of this stuff relates to some of the issues that Mr. Trias was talking about which relate to the to the Zoning Code itself. The Zoning Code has a lot of intricacies that are are problematic and a lot of people do not understand the Code, but a lot of this stuff is	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's what's going on here, and provide that roadmap for the better proposal. It's happening with the Mediterranean Bonus discussion, what that, you know, bonus seems to be like, what is it, is it right, is it wrong? Do we want Mediterranean Bonus, do we want modernism, do we not want modernism? I mean you talk about let's cap it at four stories. Would you rather have a four story box? Would you rather have an eight story beautiful building? It may be more than the Code allows, but maybe that eight story building is green space and it's got great parking ability and all the other things. The Commission hasn't in my many, many instances ruled maybe things that don't the community
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I respect Mr. Morris. I think he's an incredible man. I think he's an upstanding citizen and a great developer. He does great work, can do great work, and he has the potential to do excellent work. I think in this case we can do better and he can do better. So I I put a challenge on to him to come back with something that's going to be more palatable for us and for the community. I think some of this stuff relates to some of the issues that Mr. Trias was talking about which relate to the to the Zoning Code itself. The Zoning Code has a lot of intricacies that are are problematic and a lot of people do not understand the Code, but a lot of things there	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's what's going on here, and provide that roadmap for the better proposal. It's happening with the Mediterranean Bonus discussion, what that, you know, bonus seems to be like, what is it, is it right, is it wrong? Do we want Mediterranean Bonus, do we want modernism, do we not want modernism? I mean you talk about let's cap it at four stories. Would you rather have a four story box? Would you rather have an eight story beautiful building? It may be more than the Code allows, but maybe that eight story building is green space and it's got great parking ability and all the other things. The Commission hasn't in my many, many instances ruled maybe things that don't the community doesn't like. There's a few examples brought up
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I respect Mr. Morris. I think he's an incredible man. I think he's an upstanding citizen and a great developer. He does great work, can do great work, and he has the potential to do excellent work. I think in this case we can do better and he can do better. So I I put a challenge on to him to come back with something that's going to be more palatable for us and for the community. I think some of this stuff relates to some of the issues that Mr. Trias was talking about which relate to the to the Zoning Code itself. The Zoning Code has a lot of intricacies that are are problematic and a lot of people do not understand the Code, but a lot of things there that, in my view, provide for things that you you	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's — what's going on here, and provide that roadmap for the better proposal. It's happening with the Mediterranean Bonus discussion, what that, you know, bonus seems to be like, what is it, is it right, is it wrong? Do we want Mediterranean Bonus, do we want modernism, do we not want modernism? I mean you talk about let's cap it at four stories. Would you rather have a four story box? Would you rather have an eight story beautiful building? It may be more than the Code allows, but maybe that eight story building is green space and it's got great parking ability and all the other things. The Commission hasn't in my many, many instances ruled maybe things that don't — the community doesn't like. There's a few examples brought up today. Every project goes through this method. This
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I respect Mr. Morris. I think he's an incredible man. I think he's an upstanding citizen and a great developer. He does great work, can do great work, and he has the potential to do excellent work. I think in this case we can do better and he can do better. So I I put a challenge on to him to come back with something that's going to be more palatable for us and for the community. I think some of this stuff relates to some of the issues that Mr. Trias was talking about which relate to the to the Zoning Code itself. The Zoning Code has a lot of intricacies that are are problematic and a lot of people do not understand the Code, but a lot of this stuff is inherent in the Code. There's a lot of things there that, in my view, provide for things that you you should be providing for carrots and right now it's	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's — what's going on here, and provide that roadmap for the better proposal. It's happening with the Mediterranean Bonus discussion, what that, you know, bonus seems to be like, what is it, is it right, is it wrong? Do we want Mediterranean Bonus, do we want modernism, do we not want modernism? I mean you talk about let's cap it at four stories. Would you rather have a four story box? Would you rather have an eight story beautiful building? It may be more than the Code allows, but maybe that eight story building is green space and it's got great parking ability and all the other things. The Commission hasn't in my many, many instances ruled maybe things that don't — the community doesn't like. There's a few examples brought up today. Every project goes through this method. This is the way the City was created and every project
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	here. In my view and first, let me say this, I respect Mr. Morris. I think he's an incredible man. I think he's an upstanding citizen and a great developer. He does great work, can do great work, and he has the potential to do excellent work. I think in this case we can do better and he can do better. So I I put a challenge on to him to come back with something that's going to be more palatable for us and for the community. I think some of this stuff relates to some of the issues that Mr. Trias was talking about which relate to the to the Zoning Code itself. The Zoning Code has a lot of intricacies that are are problematic and a lot of people do not understand the Code, but a lot of things there that, in my view, provide for things that you you	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for the City is to start saying what is it we really want, what's — what's going on here, and provide that roadmap for the better proposal. It's happening with the Mediterranean Bonus discussion, what that, you know, bonus seems to be like, what is it, is it right, is it wrong? Do we want Mediterranean Bonus, do we want modernism, do we not want modernism? I mean you talk about let's cap it at four stories. Would you rather have a four story box? Would you rather have an eight story beautiful building? It may be more than the Code allows, but maybe that eight story building is green space and it's got great parking ability and all the other things. The Commission hasn't in my many, many instances ruled maybe things that don't — the community doesn't like. There's a few examples brought up today. Every project goes through this method. This

1	Dago 121		Dago 122
	Page 121		Page 122
	this discussion. Some of them went the wrong way.	1	probably heard him say it, you know, why don't they
2	But the way that this code is written, it provides	2	stop? It's just, you know, it's just the nature of
3	that anything of this magnitude has to come through	3	the beast.
4	this Board and two readings of the Commission.	4	The other problem that I particularly think is a
5	Now, I'll challenge you further. This is a Land	5	problem is this 20,000 square foot lot size, and if
6	Use Map. There's all sorts of problems around this	6	you have 190 you don't have the same rights as as
7	area, a myriad of problems. You will not stop coming	7	the 200. And 175 doesn't give you rights to 200.
8	here because there's pink, red across yellow, across	8	So everybody wants to gather 200 feet to be able to
9	more pink. This is the situation that we face each	9	do these buildings and then they want to go further
10	other every time we come here.	10	and further.
11	There's a change of use because this is an	11	There's some problems here that I think we're all
12	inconsistent plan that has lost its way for a long	13	going to be challenged with, but I think the
13	time. There's exactly right now, across the street,		frustration really is around these things and I
14	north side of The Plaza, residential lots, yellow.	14 15	understand what they are. I also think that there's
15	They're still there. So somebody is going to come	16	a lot of negativity and we need to get to a more
16	and say I want to do something else.	17	positive place. And I think we need to look at what's right and look at the the positives and go
17	So so there's a series of problems here that I	18	forward thinking what should we try to do better. So
18	think require a bigger discussion, and that is	19	I think we need to turn the conversation around, at
19	whether these things should be changed or they're	20	least this Board. I would like to do that.
20	going to continue to come and we're going to continue	21	For this project in particular, I think the
21	to have these same discussions. It's the way we've	22	closing of University Drive is not in any way, shape
22	been doing it for years.	23	
23	I've heard this man say they keep coming and	24	or form a good idea. It's it's a terrible idea. And the giving of this much incentives or bonuses to
24	asking for more. It's the nature of the beast.	25	the developer I feel is inadequate and it should
25	You'd said it many times. You've you've all	25	the developer Freer is madequate and it should
	Page 123		Page 124
1	never have should never be done.	1	to see that engagement among the residents.
2	So I'm in favor of declining this. I would like	2	And Mr. De Yurre made a point which I wanted to
3	to see the a nice project come back.	3	touch upon, was the fact that there have been several
4	In terms of the alleyway, just to put that on the		* ·
		4	meetings with Allen Morris. Other than the two Board
5	record for me, the vacation of the alley should come	5	meetings with Allen Morris. Other than the two Board meetings here at the the one here and the one
5 6	record for me, the vacation of the alley should come with a project. We've done that before.		· ·
	•	5	meetings here at the the one here and the one
6	with a project. We've done that before.	5	meetings here at the the one here and the one prior in which there was resident involvement with
6 7	with a project. We've done that before. When we came to the Mercedes Benz project they	5 6 7	meetings here at the the one here and the one prior in which there was resident involvement with Mr. Morris, how many meetings took place, what
6 7 8	with a project. We've done that before. When we came to the Mercedes Benz project they tried to put it up front as a unification of the	5 6 7 8	meetings here at the the one here and the one prior in which there was resident involvement with Mr. Morris, how many meetings took place, what happened? What engagement did Mr. Morris entertain
6 7 8 9	with a project. We've done that before. When we came to the Mercedes Benz project they tried to put it up front as a unification of the lots. We said well, we don't know what you're going	5 6 7 8 9	meetings here at the the one here and the one prior in which there was resident involvement with Mr. Morris, how many meetings took place, what happened? What engagement did Mr. Morris entertain with the residents in regards to the building?
6 7 8 9	with a project. We've done that before. When we came to the Mercedes Benz project they tried to put it up front as a unification of the lots. We said well, we don't know what you're going to bring back, why are we giving you this, you know,	5 6 7 8 9	meetings here at the the one here and the one prior in which there was resident involvement with Mr. Morris, how many meetings took place, what happened? What engagement did Mr. Morris entertain with the residents in regards to the building? MR. DE YURRE: Well, in addition to the number of
6 7 8 9 10 11	with a project. We've done that before. When we came to the Mercedes Benz project they tried to put it up front as a unification of the lots. We said well, we don't know what you're going to bring back, why are we giving you this, you know, alley unification?	5 6 7 8 9 10	meetings here at the the one here and the one prior in which there was resident involvement with Mr. Morris, how many meetings took place, what happened? What engagement did Mr. Morris entertain with the residents in regards to the building? MR. DE YURRE: Well, in addition to the number of public hearings and public meetings that were had,
6 7 8 9 10 11	with a project. We've done that before. When we came to the Mercedes Benz project they tried to put it up front as a unification of the lots. We said well, we don't know what you're going to bring back, why are we giving you this, you know, alley unification? I think when you come back and you provide what	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	meetings here at the — the one here and the one prior in which there was resident involvement with Mr. Morris, how many meetings took place, what happened? What engagement did Mr. Morris entertain with the residents in regards to the building? MR. DE YURRE: Well, in addition to the number of public hearings and public meetings that were had, and through the regular process which were TRC, three
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	with a project. We've done that before. When we came to the Mercedes Benz project they tried to put it up front as a unification of the lots. We said well, we don't know what you're going to bring back, why are we giving you this, you know, alley unification? I think when you come back and you provide what the project is going to look like and you said, well,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	meetings here at the the one here and the one prior in which there was resident involvement with Mr. Morris, how many meetings took place, what happened? What engagement did Mr. Morris entertain with the residents in regards to the building? MR. DE YURRE: Well, in addition to the number of public hearings and public meetings that were had, and through the regular process which were TRC, three different BOA meetings, as well as the community
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with a project. We've done that before. When we came to the Mercedes Benz project they tried to put it up front as a unification of the lots. We said well, we don't know what you're going to bring back, why are we giving you this, you know, alley unification? I think when you come back and you provide what the project is going to look like and you said, well, that requires the alley, but then we can look at it.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	meetings here at the the one here and the one prior in which there was resident involvement with Mr. Morris, how many meetings took place, what happened? What engagement did Mr. Morris entertain with the residents in regards to the building? MR. DE YURRE: Well, in addition to the number of public hearings and public meetings that were had, and through the regular process which were TRC, three different BOA meetings, as well as the community meeting in November of last year, and then we had a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with a project. We've done that before. When we came to the Mercedes Benz project they tried to put it up front as a unification of the lots. We said well, we don't know what you're going to bring back, why are we giving you this, you know, alley unification? I think when you come back and you provide what the project is going to look like and you said, well, that requires the alley, but then we can look at it. I think putting the cart before the horse is not	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	meetings here at the the one here and the one prior in which there was resident involvement with Mr. Morris, how many meetings took place, what happened? What engagement did Mr. Morris entertain with the residents in regards to the building? MR. DE YURRE: Well, in addition to the number of public hearings and public meetings that were had, and through the regular process which were TRC, three different BOA meetings, as well as the community meeting in November of last year, and then we had a meeting at the site approximately May, the last week
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with a project. We've done that before. When we came to the Mercedes Benz project they tried to put it up front as a unification of the lots. We said well, we don't know what you're going to bring back, why are we giving you this, you know, alley unification? I think when you come back and you provide what the project is going to look like and you said, well, that requires the alley, but then we can look at it. I think putting the cart before the horse is not correct. And those are my comments.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	meetings here at the — the one here and the one prior in which there was resident involvement with Mr. Morris, how many meetings took place, what happened? What engagement did Mr. Morris entertain with the residents in regards to the building? MR. DE YURRE: Well, in addition to the number of public hearings and public meetings that were had, and through the regular process which were TRC, three different BOA meetings, as well as the community meeting in November of last year, and then we had a meeting at the site approximately May, the last week of May. Mr. Morris did his own outreach and was
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with a project. We've done that before. When we came to the Mercedes Benz project they tried to put it up front as a unification of the lots. We said well, we don't know what you're going to bring back, why are we giving you this, you know, alley unification? I think when you come back and you provide what the project is going to look like and you said, well, that requires the alley, but then we can look at it. I think putting the cart before the horse is not correct. And those are my comments. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	meetings here at the — the one here and the one prior in which there was resident involvement with Mr. Morris, how many meetings took place, what happened? What engagement did Mr. Morris entertain with the residents in regards to the building? MR. DE YURRE: Well, in addition to the number of public hearings and public meetings that were had, and through the regular process which were TRC, three different BOA meetings, as well as the community meeting in November of last year, and then we had a meeting at the site approximately May, the last week of May. Mr. Morris did his own outreach and was directed to — to speak to certain individuals who
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with a project. We've done that before. When we came to the Mercedes Benz project they tried to put it up front as a unification of the lots. We said well, we don't know what you're going to bring back, why are we giving you this, you know, alley unification? I think when you come back and you provide what the project is going to look like and you said, well, that requires the alley, but then we can look at it. I think putting the cart before the horse is not correct. And those are my comments. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much. MR. TORRE: You're welcome.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	meetings here at the — the one here and the one prior in which there was resident involvement with Mr. Morris, how many meetings took place, what happened? What engagement did Mr. Morris entertain with the residents in regards to the building? MR. DE YURRE: Well, in addition to the number of public hearings and public meetings that were had, and through the regular process which were TRC, three different BOA meetings, as well as the community meeting in November of last year, and then we had a meeting at the site approximately May, the last week of May. Mr. Morris did his own outreach and was directed to — to speak to certain individuals who were seen as representative of — of the community,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with a project. We've done that before. When we came to the Mercedes Benz project they tried to put it up front as a unification of the lots. We said well, we don't know what you're going to bring back, why are we giving you this, you know, alley unification? I think when you come back and you provide what the project is going to look like and you said, well, that requires the alley, but then we can look at it. I think putting the cart before the horse is not correct. And those are my comments. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much. MR. TORRE: You're welcome. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	meetings here at the — the one here and the one prior in which there was resident involvement with Mr. Morris, how many meetings took place, what happened? What engagement did Mr. Morris entertain with the residents in regards to the building? MR. DE YURRE: Well, in addition to the number of public hearings and public meetings that were had, and through the regular process which were TRC, three different BOA meetings, as well as the community meeting in November of last year, and then we had a meeting at the site approximately May, the last week of May. Mr. Morris did his own outreach and was directed to — to speak to certain individuals who were seen as representative of — of the community, some of those being the speakers here today. And I
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with a project. We've done that before. When we came to the Mercedes Benz project they tried to put it up front as a unification of the lots. We said well, we don't know what you're going to bring back, why are we giving you this, you know, alley unification? I think when you come back and you provide what the project is going to look like and you said, well, that requires the alley, but then we can look at it. I think putting the cart before the horse is not correct. And those are my comments. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much. MR. TORRE: You're welcome. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex? MR. BUCELO: Yes, thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	meetings here at the — the one here and the one prior in which there was resident involvement with Mr. Morris, how many meetings took place, what happened? What engagement did Mr. Morris entertain with the residents in regards to the building? MR. DE YURRE: Well, in addition to the number of public hearings and public meetings that were had, and through the regular process which were TRC, three different BOA meetings, as well as the community meeting in November of last year, and then we had a meeting at the site approximately May, the last week of May. Mr. Morris did his own outreach and was directed to — to speak to certain individuals who were seen as representative of — of the community, some of those being the speakers here today. And I have personally made outreach after the meetings and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with a project. We've done that before. When we came to the Mercedes Benz project they tried to put it up front as a unification of the lots. We said well, we don't know what you're going to bring back, why are we giving you this, you know, alley unification? I think when you come back and you provide what the project is going to look like and you said, well, that requires the alley, but then we can look at it. I think putting the cart before the horse is not correct. And those are my comments. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much. MR. TORRE: You're welcome. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex? MR. BUCELO: Yes, thank you. And I completely agree with my fellow colleagues	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	meetings here at the — the one here and the one prior in which there was resident involvement with Mr. Morris, how many meetings took place, what happened? What engagement did Mr. Morris entertain with the residents in regards to the building? MR. DE YURRE: Well, in addition to the number of public hearings and public meetings that were had, and through the regular process which were TRC, three different BOA meetings, as well as the community meeting in November of last year, and then we had a meeting at the site approximately May, the last week of May. Mr. Morris did his own outreach and was directed to — to speak to certain individuals who were seen as representative of — of the community, some of those being the speakers here today. And I have personally made outreach after the meetings and been available for any question and comment.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with a project. We've done that before. When we came to the Mercedes Benz project they tried to put it up front as a unification of the lots. We said well, we don't know what you're going to bring back, why are we giving you this, you know, alley unification? I think when you come back and you provide what the project is going to look like and you said, well, that requires the alley, but then we can look at it. I think putting the cart before the horse is not correct. And those are my comments. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much. MR. TORRE: You're welcome. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex? MR. BUCELO: Yes, thank you. And I completely agree with my fellow colleagues here. And I think Ms. Miro made a good point. Being	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	meetings here at the — the one here and the one prior in which there was resident involvement with Mr. Morris, how many meetings took place, what happened? What engagement did Mr. Morris entertain with the residents in regards to the building? MR. DE YURRE: Well, in addition to the number of public hearings and public meetings that were had, and through the regular process which were TRC, three different BOA meetings, as well as the community meeting in November of last year, and then we had a meeting at the site approximately May, the last week of May. Mr. Morris did his own outreach and was directed to — to speak to certain individuals who were seen as representative of — of the community, some of those being the speakers here today. And I have personally made outreach after the meetings and been available for any question and comment. I haven't gotten good comments back, you know.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with a project. We've done that before. When we came to the Mercedes Benz project they tried to put it up front as a unification of the lots. We said well, we don't know what you're going to bring back, why are we giving you this, you know, alley unification? I think when you come back and you provide what the project is going to look like and you said, well, that requires the alley, but then we can look at it. I think putting the cart before the horse is not correct. And those are my comments. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much. MR. TORRE: You're welcome. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex? MR. BUCELO: Yes, thank you. And I completely agree with my fellow colleagues here. And I think Ms. Miro made a good point. Being our first Board meeting I did a lot of listening here	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	meetings here at the — the one here and the one prior in which there was resident involvement with Mr. Morris, how many meetings took place, what happened? What engagement did Mr. Morris entertain with the residents in regards to the building? MR. DE YURRE: Well, in addition to the number of public hearings and public meetings that were had, and through the regular process which were TRC, three different BOA meetings, as well as the community meeting in November of last year, and then we had a meeting at the site approximately May, the last week of May. Mr. Morris did his own outreach and was directed to — to speak to certain individuals who were seen as representative of — of the community, some of those being the speakers here today. And I have personally made outreach after the meetings and been available for any question and comment. I haven't gotten good comments back, you know. I've gotten very reasonable comments. I would say

	Page 125		Page 126
1	comments. I would say we've gotten this this	1	the record that the closing of University Drive is
2	the comments that we hear today as well.	2	something I'm completely against.
3	And so 90-something percent of the people in	3	I am in favor of mixed-use. I'm not disinfavored
4	Coral Gables are like myself. I've lived here, grew	4	of of development. It has to be smart. I think
5	up here, and we want to make sure our kids are safe,	5	there's an opportunity here, but not something of
6	that our neighborhoods are safe. And in this	6	this magnitude. I think it's, a couple of my
7	particular area we have The Plaza to address, and	7	colleagues mentioned, something transitional. I
8	that's been I mean I I don't want to get into	8	would like to see something like that brought forth.
9	the emails that I've gotten here	9	But as it stands today in this magnitude of a
10	MR. BUCELO: And my and one of my biggest	10	project and all the issues that have been brought up
11	MR. DE YURRE: Yeah, sorry, go ahead.	11	prior, which I don't need to repeat, I think it's
12	MR. BUCELO: One of my biggest concerns was, I	12	concerning, so
13	think one of the residents in the audience stated	13	And I would like the continued community
14	that they had met with Mr. Allen Morris and there was	14	involvement, and the residents who are being here
15	a lot of there was not really much discussion	15	closely affected to be involved in the next the
16	in the sense that he wasn't giving anything. It was	16	next plan, the next the next project that is
17	more this is what we had planned and this is what	17	brought up.
18		18	MR. DE YURRE: Yeah.
	we're going to stick with.	19	MR. BUCELO: But as it stands today I take great
19	MR. DE YURRE: Right. MR. BUCELO: Kind of a take or leave it, and that	20	concern with it.
20		21	MR. DE YURRE: Yeah, as Mr. Torre said, there's
21	brings some concern to me with a project of this	22	rightful places for discussion and we need part of
22	magnitude.	23	it is the professional Board that's here, in addition
23	Again, like my fellow, you know, colleagues	24	to Staff. And, look, it's very clear the direction
24	said, I won't be supporting a project of this	25	in regards to the right-of-way and the FAR. I mean
25	magnitude. I definitely, and I would like put on		,
	Page 127		Page 128
1	we're not agnostic to the comments, but we needed to	1	class act. I think he has a vision for Coral Gables.
		-	class act. I think he has a vision for Coral Gables.
2	we needed to have a weigh-in from this particular	2	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after
2	we needed to have a weigh-in from this particular Board. And again, my apologies for the last time.		
		2	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after
3	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time.	2	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions
3 4	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of	2 3 4	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to
3 4 5	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience.	2 3 4 5	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's
3 4 5 6	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's fair. I think he think he truly loves this City,
3 4 5 6 7	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BUCELO: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's fair. I think he think he truly loves this City, I think he has a beautiful vision. It's just too
3 4 5 6 7 8	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BUCELO: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex, are you done?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's fair. I think he think he truly loves this City, I think he has a beautiful vision. It's just too bad, I think he has it in the wrong place. And, you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BUCELO: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex, are you done? Chip?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's fair. I think he think he truly loves this City, I think he has a beautiful vision. It's just too bad, I think he has it in the wrong place. And, you know, hopefully, you know, you you heard that and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BUCELO: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex, are you done? Chip? MR. WITHERS: Well, this is anticlimactic in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's fair. I think he think he truly loves this City, I think he has a beautiful vision. It's just too bad, I think he has it in the wrong place. And, you know, hopefully, you know, you you heard that and that I'm a little surprised after the knowing
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BUCELO: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex, are you done? Chip? MR. WITHERS: Well, this is anticlimactic in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's fair. I think he think he truly loves this City, I think he has a beautiful vision. It's just too bad, I think he has it in the wrong place. And, you know, hopefully, you know, you you heard that and that I'm a little surprised after the knowing the Staff's seven out of eight no's, or six out of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BUCELO: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex, are you done? Chip? MR. WITHERS: Well, this is anticlimactic in that MR. DE YURRE: Hey, I do like I do like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's fair. I think he think he truly loves this City, I think he has a beautiful vision. It's just too bad, I think he has it in the wrong place. And, you know, hopefully, you know, you you heard that and that I'm a little surprised after the knowing the Staff's seven out of eight no's, or six out of seven no's, that there really wasn't a whole lot of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BUCELO: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex, are you done? Chip? MR. WITHERS: Well, this is anticlimactic in that MR. DE YURRE: Hey, I do like I do like your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's fair. I think he think he truly loves this City, I think he has a beautiful vision. It's just too bad, I think he has it in the wrong place. And, you know, hopefully, you know, you you heard that and that I'm a little surprised after the knowing the Staff's seven out of eight no's, or six out of seven no's, that there really wasn't a whole lot of change coming back to us tonight, but there's others.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BUCELO: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex, are you done? Chip? MR. WITHERS: Well, this is anticlimactic in that MR. DE YURRE: Hey, I do like I do like your MR. WITHERS: I'm not going to ask about a bunch	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's fair. I think he think he truly loves this City, I think he has a beautiful vision. It's just too bad, I think he has it in the wrong place. And, you know, hopefully, you know, you you heard that and that I'm a little surprised after the knowing the Staff's seven out of eight no's, or six out of seven no's, that there really wasn't a whole lot of change coming back to us tonight, but there's others. The other thing I want to do is talk about the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BUCELO: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex, are you done? Chip? MR. WITHERS: Well, this is anticlimactic in that MR. DE YURRE: Hey, I do like I do like your MR. WITHERS: I'm not going to ask about a bunch of questions, I'm not going to, you know, ask you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's fair. I think he think he truly loves this City, I think he has a beautiful vision. It's just too bad, I think he has it in the wrong place. And, you know, hopefully, you know, you you heard that and that I'm a little surprised after the knowing the Staff's seven out of eight no's, or six out of seven no's, that there really wasn't a whole lot of change coming back to us tonight, but there's others. The other thing I want to do is talk about the alley. I cannot support, sorry, the alley is part of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BUCELO: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex, are you done? Chip? MR. WITHERS: Well, this is anticlimactic in that MR. DE YURRE: Hey, I do like I do like your MR. WITHERS: I'm not going to ask about a bunch of questions, I'm not going to, you know, ask you about FAR and how we got 30,000 square feet of TDRs,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's fair. I think he think he truly loves this City, I think he has a beautiful vision. It's just too bad, I think he has it in the wrong place. And, you know, hopefully, you know, you you heard that and that I'm a little surprised after the knowing the Staff's seven out of eight no's, or six out of seven no's, that there really wasn't a whole lot of change coming back to us tonight, but there's others. The other thing I want to do is talk about the alley. I cannot support, sorry, the alley is part of this, and I don't think the the rest of the Board
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BUCELO: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex, are you done? Chip? MR. WITHERS: Well, this is anticlimactic in that MR. DE YURRE: Hey, I do like I do like your MR. WITHERS: I'm not going to ask about a bunch of questions, I'm not going to, you know, ask you about FAR and how we got 30,000 square feet of TDRs, but I want to thank you all for being here, and I do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's fair. I think he think he truly loves this City, I think he has a beautiful vision. It's just too bad, I think he has it in the wrong place. And, you know, hopefully, you know, you you heard that and that I'm a little surprised after the knowing the Staff's seven out of eight no's, or six out of seven no's, that there really wasn't a whole lot of change coming back to us tonight, but there's others. The other thing I want to do is talk about the alley. I cannot support, sorry, the alley is part of this, and I don't think the the rest of the Board will. And I just wanted to say there's a story that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BUCELO: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex, are you done? Chip? MR. WITHERS: Well, this is anticlimactic in that MR. DE YURRE: Hey, I do like I do like your MR. WITHERS: I'm not going to ask about a bunch of questions, I'm not going to, you know, ask you about FAR and how we got 30,000 square feet of TDRs, but I want to thank you all for being here, and I do agree that seeing people Zooming in from different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's fair. I think he think he truly loves this City, I think he has a beautiful vision. It's just too bad, I think he has it in the wrong place. And, you know, hopefully, you know, you you heard that and that I'm a little surprised after the knowing the Staff's seven out of eight no's, or six out of seven no's, that there really wasn't a whole lot of change coming back to us tonight, but there's others. The other thing I want to do is talk about the alley. I cannot support, sorry, the alley is part of this, and I don't think the the rest of the Board will. And I just wanted to say there's a story that will take about one second to tell, and that was the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BUCELO: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex, are you done? Chip? MR. WITHERS: Well, this is anticlimactic in that MR. DE YURRE: Hey, I do like I do like your MR. WITHERS: I'm not going to ask about a bunch of questions, I'm not going to, you know, ask you about FAR and how we got 30,000 square feet of TDRs, but I want to thank you all for being here, and I do agree that seeing people Zooming in from different parts of the City the pendulum on development seems	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's fair. I think he think he truly loves this City, I think he has a beautiful vision. It's just too bad, I think he has it in the wrong place. And, you know, hopefully, you know, you you heard that and that I'm a little surprised after the knowing the Staff's seven out of eight no's, or six out of seven no's, that there really wasn't a whole lot of change coming back to us tonight, but there's others. The other thing I want to do is talk about the alley. I cannot support, sorry, the alley is part of this, and I don't think the the rest of the Board will. And I just wanted to say there's a story that will take about one second to tell, and that was the Publix down on Monza.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BUCELO: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex, are you done? Chip? MR. WITHERS: Well, this is anticlimactic in that MR. DE YURRE: Hey, I do like I do like your MR. WITHERS: I'm not going to ask about a bunch of questions, I'm not going to, you know, ask you about FAR and how we got 30,000 square feet of TDRs, but I want to thank you all for being here, and I do agree that seeing people Zooming in from different parts of the City the pendulum on development seems to be changing in the City. So thank you for being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's fair. I think he think he truly loves this City, I think he has a beautiful vision. It's just too bad, I think he has it in the wrong place. And, you know, hopefully, you know, you you heard that and that I'm a little surprised after the knowing the Staff's seven out of eight no's, or six out of seven no's, that there really wasn't a whole lot of change coming back to us tonight, but there's others. The other thing I want to do is talk about the alley. I cannot support, sorry, the alley is part of this, and I don't think the the rest of the Board will. And I just wanted to say there's a story that will take about one second to tell, and that was the Publix down on Monza. There was a alley between the parking lot and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BUCELO: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex, are you done? Chip? MR. WITHERS: Well, this is anticlimactic in that MR. DE YURRE: Hey, I do like I do like your MR. WITHERS: I'm not going to ask about a bunch of questions, I'm not going to, you know, ask you about FAR and how we got 30,000 square feet of TDRs, but I want to thank you all for being here, and I do agree that seeing people Zooming in from different parts of the City the pendulum on development seems to be changing in the City. So thank you for being here. But I do want to, after Venny read my notes and captured two of my points, I do want to emphasize two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's fair. I think he think he truly loves this City, I think he has a beautiful vision. It's just too bad, I think he has it in the wrong place. And, you know, hopefully, you know, you you heard that and that I'm a little surprised after the knowing the Staff's seven out of eight no's, or six out of seven no's, that there really wasn't a whole lot of change coming back to us tonight, but there's others. The other thing I want to do is talk about the alley. I cannot support, sorry, the alley is part of this, and I don't think the the rest of the Board will. And I just wanted to say there's a story that will take about one second to tell, and that was the Publix down on Monza. There was a alley between the parking lot and Publix, and Publix, as a good citizen, decided to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BUCELO: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex, are you done? Chip? MR. WITHERS: Well, this is anticlimactic in that MR. DE YURRE: Hey, I do like I do like your MR. WITHERS: I'm not going to ask about a bunch of questions, I'm not going to, you know, ask you about FAR and how we got 30,000 square feet of TDRs, but I want to thank you all for being here, and I do agree that seeing people Zooming in from different parts of the City the pendulum on development seems to be changing in the City. So thank you for being here. But I do want to, after Venny read my notes and captured two of my points, I do want to emphasize two points that Venny did say. Number one is, I've known	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's fair. I think he think he truly loves this City, I think he has a beautiful vision. It's just too bad, I think he has it in the wrong place. And, you know, hopefully, you know, you you heard that and that I'm a little surprised after the knowing the Staff's seven out of eight no's, or six out of seven no's, that there really wasn't a whole lot of change coming back to us tonight, but there's others. The other thing I want to do is talk about the alley. I cannot support, sorry, the alley is part of this, and I don't think the the rest of the Board will. And I just wanted to say there's a story that will take about one second to tell, and that was the Publix down on Monza. There was a alley between the parking lot and Publix, and Publix, as a good citizen, decided to give the City a Site Plan before they asked for the alley closures. Now, I don't know of any alley closures that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Board. And again, my apologies for the last time. The Zoom environment doesn't allow for this level of discussion, so I appreciate your patience. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BUCELO: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex, are you done? Chip? MR. WITHERS: Well, this is anticlimactic in that MR. DE YURRE: Hey, I do like I do like your MR. WITHERS: I'm not going to ask about a bunch of questions, I'm not going to, you know, ask you about FAR and how we got 30,000 square feet of TDRs, but I want to thank you all for being here, and I do agree that seeing people Zooming in from different parts of the City the pendulum on development seems to be changing in the City. So thank you for being here. But I do want to, after Venny read my notes and captured two of my points, I do want to emphasize two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I truly think the George Merrick Award is named after him. So I really I really do not want dispersions on Allen Morris as a result of a developer trying to develop something in the City. I don't think it's fair. I think he think he truly loves this City, I think he has a beautiful vision. It's just too bad, I think he has it in the wrong place. And, you know, hopefully, you know, you you heard that and that I'm a little surprised after the knowing the Staff's seven out of eight no's, or six out of seven no's, that there really wasn't a whole lot of change coming back to us tonight, but there's others. The other thing I want to do is talk about the alley. I cannot support, sorry, the alley is part of this, and I don't think the the rest of the Board will. And I just wanted to say there's a story that will take about one second to tell, and that was the Publix down on Monza. There was a alley between the parking lot and Publix, and Publix, as a good citizen, decided to give the City a Site Plan before they asked for the alley closures.

	Page 129		Page 130
1	around I don't think one has been denied because when	1	comments.
2	you own both sides of the of the alleyway the City	2	MR. TRIAS: I would recommend denial of the alley
3	feels that it's yours and you take care of it, you	3	for in isolation doesn't make any sense.
4	maintain the street, the City doesn't have to pay for	4	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Thank you.
5	it and it's your alley.	5	First, it was a little bit disheartening to me to
6	So in the in a Publix case, what's happening	6	hear some individuals make some accusations. It's
7	now is, when alleys are transferred to to private	7	not the place to do that, it's not the time to do
8	people to build we now see the impact of allowing a	8	that. It's a time for unification, for people to
9	larger development. So the City made a pretty wise	9	come together, and that was very disruptive.
10	decision that they said before any alley vacations	10	I echo the comments that were made by Mr.
11	are granted the City wants to know what's being done	11	Behar, of Venny. They were very offensive, and I do
12	with the properties once they're joined together.	12	want to put that on the record.
13	In this case, and the reason I can't support that	13	Regarding Mr. Morris, I I do agree with Chip,
14	alley vacation is, I have no idea what what they	14	you know, he's a he's a class act. I think his
15	plan to do once the alley's closed, if they if	15	intentions are good for the City as far as building a
16	they don't obviously they're not going to get	16	good building. But for me, there is no way that I
17	approved tonight, but if they come back with	17	
18	something else, so whenever anybody makes a motion I	18	would favor vacating University Drive.
19		19	The first question that I ask myself is, as a
20	would certainly hope that the Staff recommendation to		City what am I getting? I'm giving a lot, but what
	close to open the alley or to close the alley	20	am I getting? And in reality, I'm not getting
21	is denied. And that's all I have to say.	21	anything at all.
22	Thank you.	22	As far as the alley, I'm not in favor of it. One
23	MR. TRIAS: So, Mr. Chairman, at this point, if	23	is, we don't know what the use would be for that
24	you want to vote on the issues	24	alley. We've always asked for site plans. That's
25	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: No, I'd like to make some	25	been consistent with the Board, at least in my
	Page 131		Page 132
1	tenure and my time here. So for me to look at that	1	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Robert, if I may, before
2	alley I would have to have a site plan that is	2	you continue, we are time sensitive. Is there a
3	specific for it.	3	motion to extend the time?
4	Venny made some very, very good comments. He not	4	MR. BEHAR: I will make a motion to extend.
5	only put a challenge to the Applicant, but he also	5	MR. TORRE: Second.
6	put a challenge to the City and I think that's	6	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: For how long?
7	important. I echo that. I was going to speak on	7	MR. TORRE: Fifteen minutes. Fifteen minutes.
8	that also. And it's a strong point that the City	8	MR. BEHAR: I think we're going to need a little
9	needs to look at the surrounding properties in what	9	bit more.
10	Venny called colors, and really has to come to the	10	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: But let's you want to
11	table and has to make a determination as what is	11	start with fifteen minutes?
12	best for the City and how and what is best for all	12	MR. BEHAR: Fifteen minutes. Fifteen.
13	the residents.	13	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. Is
14	I myself would not be able to support this	14	there a second?
15	project in any way, shape or form.	15	MR. WITHERS: Second.
16	Now, at this point, if nobody else has any	16	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip seconds.
17	comments, I do welcome any motions.	17	Call the roll, please.
18	I think, Mr. Coller, I think we have to make	18	MR. COLLER: It can be a voice vote. It can be a
19	seven separate motions, if I'm not mistaken?	19	voice vote on this.
20	MR. BEHAR: Before we go there, I think, to the	20	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Voice vote is yes.
21	Applicant, I think you heard us loud and clear.	21	Everybody in favor say aye.
22	MR. DE YURRE: Absolutely.	22	(All Board Members voted aye.)
23	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I think that, at least from my	23	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Anybody against?
24	perspective, as I started, I could support a	24	MR. BEHAR: As I started saying, I I could
25	mixed-use project	25	support a mixed-use project, but nothing of this
25	mixed-use project	25	support a mixed-use project, but nothing of this

	Page 133		Page 134
1	magnitude.	1	MR. TRIAS: If you take action of approval or
2	MR. DE YURRE: Right.	2	deny it will go to Commission. It's not going to
3	MR. BEHAR: So I think, you know, and I I	3	come back to you.
4	agree with Venny, we're going to challenge Mr.	4	MR. REVUELTA: If we defer it they don't go to
5	Morris, which he has done great work in the City.	5	Commission?
6	We'll let him come back with another great project	6	MR. TRIAS: Right. So so those are the two
7	that it will be all of us will be proud of.	7	options that you have. If you want to see it again
8	MR. DE YURRE: I highlighted Mr. Torre's comment	8	you may or or the Applicant may request a
9	and underlined it twice, the word challenge, because	9	deferral.
10	I can go back, and I take it as a challenge myself to	10	MR. DE YURRE: Right, which is which is what I
11	do bring back a better project for you.	11	think we arrived at, a deferral, because I don't want
12	This is the rightful place for this discussion	12	to take away again, this is Mr. Torre says this is
13	though, and I appreciate the time that you guys have	13	the rightful place for discussion and the challenge
14	given us in providing these comments.	14	is to come back here and seek a comment on a project
15	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chair, if the Applicant wants to	15	that does not include in any does not include the
16	return to you with a different project, then the	16	floor area from from the right-of-way.
17	appropriate action will be to defer.	17	MR. BEHAR: But but if we if we decide as a
18	MR. BEHAR: I think, Mr. Chair, I think we have	18	Board to defer this site and you're going to come
19	an item before us and I think maybe for the record we	19	back it has to be a significant change to this
20	should vote on those seven requests. That's my	20	project.
21	opinion.	21	MR. DE YURRE: I understand.
22	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: How does the Board feel?	22	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, what I would say is
23	MR. REVUELTA: If if we deny it, is there a	23	that for many, many months the citizens and Staff has
24	time table that they have that they cannot come back	24	been talking to the Applicant and there hasn't been
25		25	
25	to the City?	25	any change. So I hope that today's input makes them
	Page 135		Page 136
1	Page 135 change the project, as I never had that experience	1	Page 136 MR. COLLER: Right.
1 2		1 2	
	change the project, as I never had that experience		MR. COLLER: Right.
2	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before.	2	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis
2	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I	2 3	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit.
2 3 4	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral,	2 3 4	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that
2 3 4 5	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has	2 3 4 5	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear
2 3 4 5	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has to be significant change.	2 3 4 5	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear what's presented before you. You don't make
2 3 4 5 6 7	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has to be significant change. MR. TORRE: I voice what I said before, let's try	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear what's presented before you. You don't make decisions on what's presented before you. So you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has to be significant change. MR. TORRE: I voice what I said before, let's try to get a positive turn of the of events going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear what's presented before you. You don't make decisions on what's presented before you. So you have to hear based on the process what is brought to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has to be significant change. MR. TORRE: I voice what I said before, let's try to get a positive turn of the of events going here. Let's try not to get back to the Commission	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear what's presented before you. You don't make decisions on what's presented before you. So you have to hear based on the process what is brought to you. So it's not like you have a authority to decide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has to be significant change. MR. TORRE: I voice what I said before, let's try to get a positive turn of the of events going here. Let's try not to get back to the Commission with a set of negativities. Let's let's try	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear what's presented before you. You don't make decisions on what's presented before you. So you have to hear based on the process what is brought to you. So it's not like you have a authority to decide what you're going to hear and what you're not going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has to be significant change. MR. TORRE: I voice what I said before, let's try to get a positive turn of the of events going here. Let's try not to get back to the Commission with a set of negativities. Let's let's try something different. Let's let this body start to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear what's presented before you. You don't make decisions on what's presented before you. So you have to hear based on the process what is brought to you. So it's not like you have a authority to decide what you're going to hear and what you're not going to hear. Everybody has a right to be heard and they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has to be significant change. MR. TORRE: I voice what I said before, let's try to get a positive turn of the of events going here. Let's try not to get back to the Commission with a set of negativities. Let's let's try something different. Let's let this body start to make a change, and maybe this is the place to start.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear what's presented before you. You don't make decisions on what's presented before you. So you have to hear based on the process what is brought to you. So it's not like you have a authority to decide what you're going to hear and what you're not going to hear. Everybody has a right to be heard and they have a right to a recommendation of approval or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has to be significant change. MR. TORRE: I voice what I said before, let's try to get a positive turn of the of events going here. Let's try not to get back to the Commission with a set of negativities. Let's let's try something different. Let's let this body start to make a change, and maybe this is the place to start. Let's let's let it be this way.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear what's presented before you. You don't make decisions on what's presented before you. So you have to hear based on the process what is brought to you. So it's not like you have a authority to decide what you're going to hear and what you're not going to hear. Everybody has a right to be heard and they have a right to a recommendation of approval or denial, or you can, if you want to, defer it. But
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has to be significant change. MR. TORRE: I voice what I said before, let's try to get a positive turn of the of events going here. Let's try not to get back to the Commission with a set of negativities. Let's let's try something different. Let's let this body start to make a change, and maybe this is the place to start. Let's let's let it be this way. MR. TRIAS: The Applicant had told me that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear what's presented before you. You don't make decisions on what's presented before you. So you have to hear based on the process what is brought to you. So it's not like you have a authority to decide what you're going to hear and what you're not going to hear. Everybody has a right to be heard and they have a right to a recommendation of approval or denial, or you can, if you want to, defer it. But there's no guarantee that you're going to get back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has to be significant change. MR. TORRE: I voice what I said before, let's try to get a positive turn of the of events going here. Let's try not to get back to the Commission with a set of negativities. Let's let's try something different. Let's let this body start to make a change, and maybe this is the place to start. Let's let's let it be this way. MR. TRIAS: The Applicant had told me that they were going to request a deferral to be able to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear what's presented before you. You don't make decisions on what's presented before you. So you have to hear based on the process what is brought to you. So it's not like you have a authority to decide what you're going to hear and what you're not going to hear. Everybody has a right to be heard and they have a right to a recommendation of approval or denial, or you can, if you want to, defer it. But there's no guarantee that you're going to get back what you want to see.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has to be significant change. MR. TORRE: I voice what I said before, let's try to get a positive turn of the of events going here. Let's try not to get back to the Commission with a set of negativities. Let's let's try something different. Let's let this body start to make a change, and maybe this is the place to start. Let's let's let it be this way. MR. TRIAS: The Applicant had told me that they were going to request a deferral to be able to do exactly that. So are you going to do that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear what's presented before you. You don't make decisions on what's presented before you. So you have to hear based on the process what is brought to you. So it's not like you have a authority to decide what you're going to hear and what you're not going to hear. Everybody has a right to be heard and they have a right to a recommendation of approval or denial, or you can, if you want to, defer it. But there's no guarantee that you're going to get back what you want to see. MR. DE YURRE: We have been given specific
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has to be significant change. MR. TORRE: I voice what I said before, let's try to get a positive turn of the of events going here. Let's try not to get back to the Commission with a set of negativities. Let's let's try something different. Let's let this body start to make a change, and maybe this is the place to start. Let's let's let it be this way. MR. TRIAS: The Applicant had told me that they were going to request a deferral to be able to do exactly that. So are you going to do that? MR. DE YURRE: That that is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear what's presented before you. You don't make decisions on what's presented before you. So you have to hear based on the process what is brought to you. So it's not like you have a authority to decide what you're going to hear and what you're not going to hear. Everybody has a right to be heard and they have a right to a recommendation of approval or denial, or you can, if you want to, defer it. But there's no guarantee that you're going to get back what you want to see. MR. DE YURRE: We have been given specific direction.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has to be significant change. MR. TORRE: I voice what I said before, let's try to get a positive turn of the of events going here. Let's try not to get back to the Commission with a set of negativities. Let's let's try something different. Let's let this body start to make a change, and maybe this is the place to start. Let's let's let it be this way. MR. TRIAS: The Applicant had told me that they were going to request a deferral to be able to do exactly that. So are you going to do that? MR. DE YURRE: That that is correct. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, if I may ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear what's presented before you. You don't make decisions on what's presented before you. So you have to hear based on the process what is brought to you. So it's not like you have a authority to decide what you're going to hear and what you're not going to hear. Everybody has a right to be heard and they have a right to a recommendation of approval or denial, or you can, if you want to, defer it. But there's no guarantee that you're going to get back what you want to see. MR. DE YURRE: We have been given specific direction. MR. BEHAR: But if we don't get it back when they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has to be significant change. MR. TORRE: I voice what I said before, let's try to get a positive turn of the of events going here. Let's try not to get back to the Commission with a set of negativities. Let's let's try something different. Let's let this body start to make a change, and maybe this is the place to start. Let's let's let it be this way. MR. TRIAS: The Applicant had told me that they were going to request a deferral to be able to do exactly that. So are you going to do that? MR. DE YURRE: That that is correct. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, if I may ask you a question, how do we make sure that if they come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear what's presented before you. You don't make decisions on what's presented before you. So you have to hear based on the process what is brought to you. So it's not like you have a authority to decide what you're going to hear and what you're not going to hear. Everybody has a right to be heard and they have a right to a recommendation of approval or denial, or you can, if you want to, defer it. But there's no guarantee that you're going to get back what you want to see. MR. DE YURRE: We have been given specific direction. MR. BEHAR: But if we don't get it back when they come back, that would be a very quick meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has to be significant change. MR. TORRE: I voice what I said before, let's try to get a positive turn of the of events going here. Let's try not to get back to the Commission with a set of negativities. Let's let's try something different. Let's let this body start to make a change, and maybe this is the place to start. Let's let's let it be this way. MR. TRIAS: The Applicant had told me that they were going to request a deferral to be able to do exactly that. So are you going to do that? MR. DE YURRE: That that is correct. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, if I may ask you a question, how do we make sure that if they come back to us there is what is called a significant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear what's presented before you. You don't make decisions on what's presented before you. So you have to hear based on the process what is brought to you. So it's not like you have a authority to decide what you're going to hear and what you're not going to hear. Everybody has a right to be heard and they have a right to a recommendation of approval or denial, or you can, if you want to, defer it. But there's no guarantee that you're going to get back what you want to see. MR. DE YURRE: We have been given specific direction. MR. BEHAR: But if we don't get it back when they come back, that would be a very quick meeting. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Well, that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has to be significant change. MR. TORRE: I voice what I said before, let's try to get a positive turn of the of events going here. Let's try not to get back to the Commission with a set of negativities. Let's let's try something different. Let's let this body start to make a change, and maybe this is the place to start. Let's let's let it be this way. MR. TRIAS: The Applicant had told me that they were going to request a deferral to be able to do exactly that. So are you going to do that? MR. DE YURRE: That that is correct. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, if I may ask you a question, how do we make sure that if they come back to us there is what is called a significant change?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear what's presented before you. You don't make decisions on what's presented before you. So you have to hear based on the process what is brought to you. So it's not like you have a authority to decide what you're going to hear and what you're not going to hear. Everybody has a right to be heard and they have a right to a recommendation of approval or denial, or you can, if you want to, defer it. But there's no guarantee that you're going to get back what you want to see. MR. DE YURRE: We have been given specific direction. MR. BEHAR: But if we don't get it back when they come back, that would be a very quick meeting. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Well, that was MR. DE YURRE: Our presentation will be shorter,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has to be significant change. MR. TORRE: I voice what I said before, let's try to get a positive turn of the of events going here. Let's try not to get back to the Commission with a set of negativities. Let's let's try something different. Let's let this body start to make a change, and maybe this is the place to start. Let's let's let it be this way. MR. TRIAS: The Applicant had told me that they were going to request a deferral to be able to do exactly that. So are you going to do that? MR. DE YURRE: That that is correct. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, if I may ask you a question, how do we make sure that if they come back to us there is what is called a significant change? MR. COLLER: You can't. I mean they you can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And — and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear what's presented before you. You don't make decisions on what's presented before you. So you have to hear based on the process what is brought to you. So it's not like you have a authority to decide what you're going to hear and what you're not going to hear. Everybody has a right to be heard and they have a right to a recommendation of approval or denial, or you can, if you want to, defer it. But there's no guarantee that you're going to get back what you want to see. MR. DE YURRE: We have been given specific direction. MR. BEHAR: But if we don't get it back when they come back, that would be a very quick meeting. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Well, that was — MR. DE YURRE: Our presentation will be shorter, yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	change the project, as I never had that experience with a developer before. MR. BEHAR: In light of that information, I would consider if the Applicant requested deferral, but under just a condition if they come back it has to be significant change. MR. TORRE: I voice what I said before, let's try to get a positive turn of the of events going here. Let's try not to get back to the Commission with a set of negativities. Let's let's try something different. Let's let this body start to make a change, and maybe this is the place to start. Let's let's let it be this way. MR. TRIAS: The Applicant had told me that they were going to request a deferral to be able to do exactly that. So are you going to do that? MR. DE YURRE: That that is correct. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, if I may ask you a question, how do we make sure that if they come back to us there is what is called a significant change? MR. COLLER: You can't. I mean they you can't guarantee what's going to come back.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. COLLER: Right. MR. TRIAS: And Staff is going to do an analysis and a recommendation based on whatever they submit. MR. COLLER: And and to the comments that were, the unfortunate comments we heard, you hear what's presented before you. You don't make decisions on what's presented before you. So you have to hear based on the process what is brought to you. So it's not like you have a authority to decide what you're going to hear and what you're not going to hear. Everybody has a right to be heard and they have a right to a recommendation of approval or denial, or you can, if you want to, defer it. But there's no guarantee that you're going to get back what you want to see. MR. DE YURRE: We have been given specific direction. MR. BEHAR: But if we don't get it back when they come back, that would be a very quick meeting. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Well, that was MR. DE YURRE: Our presentation will be shorter, yeah. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: That's a question I was

	Page 137		Page 138
1	public comment again?	1	mean if they defer I would say defer for a year, go
2	MR. COLLER: Yes. They have a right to be heard.	2	through all your neighborhood meetings again, go
3	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: I just I'm asking the	3	through the whole thing you just can't you
4	question so we're on the record and we're clear.	4	know, the this train has left the station.
5	MR. COLLER: You have to go through this hearing	5	Nothing was changed. You had a six six out of
6	again.	6	seven no, no, no from the I've never seen Staff be
7	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood.	7	that aggressive against an application since I've
8	MR. COLLER: Okay. And we have to give people an	8	been on this Planning and Zoning Board.
9	opportunity to be to be heard.	9	And from what I understand, nothing, whether we
10	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: I understand. The reason	10	reduce ten feet on the height or or something like
11	I ask that question is because I want the Applicant	11	that, I didn't really see anything significant. And
12	to know that it's not voice silent.	12	for now that you see that you got 7-0 against you
13	MR. DE YURRE: Understood.	13	you're saying, well, change it a little bit and come
14	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: So when you do come back	14	back because and maybe we'll consider it. Send it to
15	we need to see some changes, if we do if the Board	15	the Commission, let the Commission decide, change if
16	decides to defer.	16	or the Commission. If the Commission wants to send
17	Yes, sir?	17	it back to us, send it back to us. But I don't know
18	MR. TORRE: The citizens have spoken loudly.	18	why we're deferring this and having these people,
19	This is a test to see if the citizens are going to be	19	once again, have Staff go through, you know,
20	starting to get heard. And the Board spoke loudly,	20	countless hours
21	and let's see if the Board is going to be heard.	21	No, no, no, no
22	Let's give it a shot. And if it doesn't, then I'm	22	(Applause.)
23	going to swallow my words and	23	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Excuse me. Guys, stop.
24	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip?	24	Please, stop. Please, stop. Please, please
25	MR. WITHERS: I am so much against deferral. I	25	MR. WITHERS: No, that's honestly, that's not
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	Page 139		Page 140
1	Page 139 to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I	1	Page 140 I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the
1 2		1 2	
	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I		I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the
2	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like	2	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our
2	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these	2 3	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's
2 3 4	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was	2 3 4	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out.
2 3 4 5	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after	2 3 4 5	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second.
2 3 4 5 6	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after meetings. They've we had a meeting here before	2 3 4 5 6	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second. First, would the Applicant like to defer?
2 3 4 5 6	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after meetings. They've we had a meeting here before that was deferred.	2 3 4 5 6 7	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second. First, would the Applicant like to defer? MR. DE YURRE: Yes, the Applicant would like to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after meetings. They've we had a meeting here before that was deferred. You got slam-dunked tonight, excuse me, on this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second. First, would the Applicant like to defer? MR. DE YURRE: Yes, the Applicant would like to defer because the process is to come here, seek
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after meetings. They've we had a meeting here before that was deferred. You got slam-dunked tonight, excuse me, on this thing, and now we're going to let them change it and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second. First, would the Applicant like to defer? MR. DE YURRE: Yes, the Applicant would like to defer because the process is to come here, seek comment, and the comment has been a substantially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after meetings. They've we had a meeting here before that was deferred. You got slam-dunked tonight, excuse me, on this thing, and now we're going to let them change it and come back and get another bite of the apple?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second. First, would the Applicant like to defer? MR. DE YURRE: Yes, the Applicant would like to defer because the process is to come here, seek comment, and the comment has been a substantially changes project, which we have heard loud and clear,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after meetings. They've we had a meeting here before that was deferred. You got slam-dunked tonight, excuse me, on this thing, and now we're going to let them change it and come back and get another bite of the apple? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second. First, would the Applicant like to defer? MR. DE YURRE: Yes, the Applicant would like to defer because the process is to come here, seek comment, and the comment has been a substantially changes project, which we have heard loud and clear, regarding the FAR on University Drive. I have not engaged in terms of the changes that were made in the project. This was originally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after meetings. They've we had a meeting here before that was deferred. You got slam-dunked tonight, excuse me, on this thing, and now we're going to let them change it and come back and get another bite of the apple? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip MR. WITHERS: I just think it's it's totally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second. First, would the Applicant like to defer? MR. DE YURRE: Yes, the Applicant would like to defer because the process is to come here, seek comment, and the comment has been a substantially changes project, which we have heard loud and clear, regarding the FAR on University Drive. I have not engaged in terms of the changes that were made in the project. This was originally entirely an office building which would have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after meetings. They've we had a meeting here before that was deferred. You got slam-dunked tonight, excuse me, on this thing, and now we're going to let them change it and come back and get another bite of the apple? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip MR. WITHERS: I just think it's it's totally uncalled for. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip, if I may ask you MR. WITHERS: What?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second. First, would the Applicant like to defer? MR. DE YURRE: Yes, the Applicant would like to defer because the process is to come here, seek comment, and the comment has been a substantially changes project, which we have heard loud and clear, regarding the FAR on University Drive. I have not engaged in terms of the changes that were made in the project. This was originally entirely an office building which would have a traumatic traffic impact in the neighborhood. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after meetings. They've we had a meeting here before that was deferred. You got slam-dunked tonight, excuse me, on this thing, and now we're going to let them change it and come back and get another bite of the apple? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip MR. WITHERS: I just think it's it's totally uncalled for. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip, if I may ask you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second. First, would the Applicant like to defer? MR. DE YURRE: Yes, the Applicant would like to defer because the process is to come here, seek comment, and the comment has been a substantially changes project, which we have heard loud and clear, regarding the FAR on University Drive. I have not engaged in terms of the changes that were made in the project. This was originally entirely an office building which would have a traumatic traffic impact in the neighborhood. The entire building was converted to residential which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after meetings. They've we had a meeting here before that was deferred. You got slam-dunked tonight, excuse me, on this thing, and now we're going to let them change it and come back and get another bite of the apple? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip MR. WITHERS: I just think it's it's totally uncalled for. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip, if I may ask you MR. WITHERS: What? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: would you like to make a motion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second. First, would the Applicant like to defer? MR. DE YURRE: Yes, the Applicant would like to defer because the process is to come here, seek comment, and the comment has been a substantially changes project, which we have heard loud and clear, regarding the FAR on University Drive. I have not engaged in terms of the changes that were made in the project. This was originally entirely an office building which would have a traumatic traffic impact in the neighborhood. The entire building was converted to residential which is no small feat.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after meetings. They've we had a meeting here before that was deferred. You got slam-dunked tonight, excuse me, on this thing, and now we're going to let them change it and come back and get another bite of the apple? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip MR. WITHERS: I just think it's it's totally uncalled for. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip, if I may ask you MR. WITHERS: What? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: would you like to make a motion? MR. WITHERS: Oh, I'll make a motion, but I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second. First, would the Applicant like to defer? MR. DE YURRE: Yes, the Applicant would like to defer because the process is to come here, seek comment, and the comment has been a substantially changes project, which we have heard loud and clear, regarding the FAR on University Drive. I have not engaged in terms of the changes that were made in the project. This was originally entirely an office building which would have a traumatic traffic impact in the neighborhood. The entire building was converted to residential which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after meetings. They've we had a meeting here before that was deferred. You got slam-dunked tonight, excuse me, on this thing, and now we're going to let them change it and come back and get another bite of the apple? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip MR. WITHERS: I just think it's it's totally uncalled for. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip, if I may ask you MR. WITHERS: What? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: would you like to make a motion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second. First, would the Applicant like to defer? MR. DE YURRE: Yes, the Applicant would like to defer because the process is to come here, seek comment, and the comment has been a substantially changes project, which we have heard loud and clear, regarding the FAR on University Drive. I have not engaged in terms of the changes that were made in the project. This was originally entirely an office building which would have a traumatic traffic impact in the neighborhood. The entire building was converted to residential which is no small feat. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. BEHAR: Mr. Trias Mr. Chair, if I could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after meetings. They've we had a meeting here before that was deferred. You got slam-dunked tonight, excuse me, on this thing, and now we're going to let them change it and come back and get another bite of the apple? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip MR. WITHERS: I just think it's it's totally uncalled for. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip, if I may ask you MR. WITHERS: What? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: would you like to make a motion? MR. WITHERS: Oh, I'll make a motion, but I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second. First, would the Applicant like to defer? MR. DE YURRE: Yes, the Applicant would like to defer because the process is to come here, seek comment, and the comment has been a substantially changes project, which we have heard loud and clear, regarding the FAR on University Drive. I have not engaged in terms of the changes that were made in the project. This was originally entirely an office building which would have a traumatic traffic impact in the neighborhood. The entire building was converted to residential which is no small feat. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. BEHAR: Mr. Trias Mr. Chair, if I could ask a question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after meetings. They've we had a meeting here before that was deferred. You got slam-dunked tonight, excuse me, on this thing, and now we're going to let them change it and come back and get another bite of the apple? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip MR. WITHERS: I just think it's it's totally uncalled for. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip, if I may ask you MR. WITHERS: What? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: would you like to make a motion? MR. WITHERS: Oh, I'll make a motion, but I don't know if I'm going to get any support. I mean I'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second. First, would the Applicant like to defer? MR. DE YURRE: Yes, the Applicant would like to defer because the process is to come here, seek comment, and the comment has been a substantially changes project, which we have heard loud and clear, regarding the FAR on University Drive. I have not engaged in terms of the changes that were made in the project. This was originally entirely an office building which would have a traumatic traffic impact in the neighborhood. The entire building was converted to residential which is no small feat. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. BEHAR: Mr. Trias Mr. Chair, if I could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after meetings. They've we had a meeting here before that was deferred. You got slam-dunked tonight, excuse me, on this thing, and now we're going to let them change it and come back and get another bite of the apple? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip MR. WITHERS: I just think it's it's totally uncalled for. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip, if I may ask you MR. WITHERS: What? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: would you like to make a motion? MR. WITHERS: Oh, I'll make a motion, but I don't know if I'm going to get any support. I mean I'll I'll support the Staff's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second. First, would the Applicant like to defer? MR. DE YURRE: Yes, the Applicant would like to defer because the process is to come here, seek comment, and the comment has been a substantially changes project, which we have heard loud and clear, regarding the FAR on University Drive. I have not engaged in terms of the changes that were made in the project. This was originally entirely an office building which would have a traumatic traffic impact in the neighborhood. The entire building was converted to residential which is no small feat. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. BEHAR: Mr. Trias Mr. Chair, if I could ask a question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after meetings. They've we had a meeting here before that was deferred. You got slam-dunked tonight, excuse me, on this thing, and now we're going to let them change it and come back and get another bite of the apple? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip MR. WITHERS: I just think it's it's totally uncalled for. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip, if I may ask you MR. WITHERS: What? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: would you like to make a motion? MR. WITHERS: Oh, I'll make a motion, but I don't know if I'm going to get any support. I mean I'll I'll support the Staff's MR. BEHAR: Chip, I was in favor of denying I mean denying the project from the get-go. I was just you know, tried to maybe do something, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second. First, would the Applicant like to defer? MR. DE YURRE: Yes, the Applicant would like to defer because the process is to come here, seek comment, and the comment has been a substantially changes project, which we have heard loud and clear, regarding the FAR on University Drive. I have not engaged in terms of the changes that were made in the project. This was originally entirely an office building which would have a traumatic traffic impact in the neighborhood. The entire building was converted to residential which is no small feat. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. BEHAR: Mr. Trias Mr. Chair, if I could ask a question. If we deny the project tonight, is the Applicant entitled to come back? After how long they have to wait?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after meetings. They've we had a meeting here before that was deferred. You got slam-dunked tonight, excuse me, on this thing, and now we're going to let them change it and come back and get another bite of the apple? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip MR. WITHERS: I just think it's it's totally uncalled for. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip, if I may ask you MR. WITHERS: What? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: would you like to make a motion? MR. WITHERS: Oh, I'll make a motion, but I don't know if I'm going to get any support. I mean I'll I'll support the Staff's MR. BEHAR: Chip, I was in favor of denying I mean denying the project from the get-go. I was just you know, tried to maybe do something, but I wanted to cover those items one by one.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second. First, would the Applicant like to defer? MR. DE YURRE: Yes, the Applicant would like to defer because the process is to come here, seek comment, and the comment has been a substantially changes project, which we have heard loud and clear, regarding the FAR on University Drive. I have not engaged in terms of the changes that were made in the project. This was originally entirely an office building which would have a traumatic traffic impact in the neighborhood. The entire building was converted to residential which is no small feat. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. BEHAR: Mr. Trias Mr. Chair, if I could ask a question. If we deny the project tonight, is the Applicant entitled to come back? After how long they have to wait? MR. TRIAS: With a new project you mean?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to appease you guys. I mean it's it's just I mean it is, yes, but it's not to, you know like me. It's just that I think that, you know, these folks have been through a year I saw, I think was when yeah, a year, meetings after meetings after meetings. They've we had a meeting here before that was deferred. You got slam-dunked tonight, excuse me, on this thing, and now we're going to let them change it and come back and get another bite of the apple? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip MR. WITHERS: I just think it's it's totally uncalled for. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Chip, if I may ask you MR. WITHERS: What? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: would you like to make a motion? MR. WITHERS: Oh, I'll make a motion, but I don't know if I'm going to get any support. I mean I'll I'll support the Staff's MR. BEHAR: Chip, I was in favor of denying I mean denying the project from the get-go. I was just you know, tried to maybe do something, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I think Venny hit the nail on the head with all the rainbow colors on our on our on our both our Comprehensive Plan and our Land Use Map. I mean it's and the Zoning Map. I mean it's all whacked out. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: One second. First, would the Applicant like to defer? MR. DE YURRE: Yes, the Applicant would like to defer because the process is to come here, seek comment, and the comment has been a substantially changes project, which we have heard loud and clear, regarding the FAR on University Drive. I have not engaged in terms of the changes that were made in the project. This was originally entirely an office building which would have a traumatic traffic impact in the neighborhood. The entire building was converted to residential which is no small feat. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. BEHAR: Mr. Trias Mr. Chair, if I could ask a question. If we deny the project tonight, is the Applicant entitled to come back? After how long they have to wait?

	Page 141		Page 142
1	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Well, it goes straight to	1	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Then we move on and see
2	Commission.	2	if there's a motion on the agenda items?
3	MR. TRIAS: I don't know. I have to check the	3	MR. COLLER: Motion, and then we go through
4	code on that because that hasn't happened, but the	4	each item and we take a motion on each item.
5	immediate action would be up to the Commission. The	5	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood.
6	Commission could approve or deny it.	6	Is there a Board Member that would like to make a
7	MR. BEHAR: I could read between the lines. Look	7	motion to defer this project at this time?
8	at 7-0	8	MR. DE YURRE: I would like to add one comment.
9	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Robert, let me let me	9	My apologies.
10	ask just a question.	10	I can put on the record right now that the
11	Mr. Coller?	11	deferral will allow us to come back with a project
12	MR. COLLER: Yes?	12	that will not include a single square foot of floor
13	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: If the Applicant wants to	13	area from University Drive right-of-way. I think
14	defer do we need to take a vote on that on the	14	that's what we heard loud and clear.
15	record or can	15	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Thank you.
16	MR. COLLER: Yes.	16	Is there a Board Member, again, that would like
17	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: a Board Member make a	17	to make the motion on the deferral?
18	motion?	18	MS. MIRO: Excuse me, Mr. Chair. Before you move
19	MR. COLLER: There needs to be somebody on the	19	forward I would like to make a comment.
20	Board has to make a motion to defer, there needs to	20	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes.
21	be a second, and then we have a vote on the motion to	21	MS. MIRO: I heard Mr. Withers speak and I agree
22	defer. If the motion	22	with what he says. I think that if there's any
23	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: What happens if nobody	23	changes that are going to be made that they should be
24	makes a motion for the Applicant to defer?	24	made before the Commission.
25	MR. COLLER: Then we move on.	25	I think that we heard the neighbors speak that
	Page 143		Page 144
1	they met with with Mr. Morris several times and		
	they met with with Mr. Morns several times and	1	offer things they should be significant so that the
2	that there wasn't a significant change as my	1 2	offer things they should be significant so that the residents feel that they're getting something out of
2	•		
	that there wasn't a significant change as my	2	residents feel that they're getting something out of
3	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will	2	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project.
3 4	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are	2 3 4 5 6	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank
3 4 5	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let	2 3 4 5	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you.
3 4 5 6	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let the Commission hear them, and let the Commission hear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let the Commission hear them, and let the Commission hear what our recommendation is, which what I've heard is a resounding no. Additionally, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, one more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I would just like to follow protocol and I would like to ask straight forward because the Applicant has asked for a deferral, is there anybody on the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let the Commission hear them, and let the Commission hear what our recommendation is, which what I've heard is a resounding no. Additionally, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, one more thing is that I wanted to say to the Applicant is, a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I would just like to follow protocol and I would like to ask straight forward because the Applicant has asked for a deferral, is there anybody on the Board that would like to make a motion
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let the Commission hear them, and let the Commission hear what our recommendation is, which what I've heard is a resounding no. Additionally, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, one more thing is that I wanted to say to the Applicant is, a lot of the things that I heard was about what are we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I would just like to follow protocol and I would like to ask straight forward because the Applicant has asked for a deferral, is there anybody on the Board that would like to make a motion MR. REVUELTA: May I make a comment?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let the Commission hear them, and let the Commission hear what our recommendation is, which what I've heard is a resounding no. Additionally, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, one more thing is that I wanted to say to the Applicant is, a lot of the things that I heard was about what are we getting back, what are the residents getting back,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I would just like to follow protocol and I would like to ask straight forward because the Applicant has asked for a deferral, is there anybody on the Board that would like to make a motion MR. REVUELTA: May I make a comment? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let the Commission hear them, and let the Commission hear what our recommendation is, which what I've heard is a resounding no. Additionally, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, one more thing is that I wanted to say to the Applicant is, a lot of the things that I heard was about what are we getting back, what are the residents getting back, and I think that in this project it was a park of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I would just like to follow protocol and I would like to ask straight forward because the Applicant has asked for a deferral, is there anybody on the Board that would like to make a motion MR. REVUELTA: May I make a comment? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. REVUELTA: I wanted to make a comment.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let the Commission hear them, and let the Commission hear what our recommendation is, which what I've heard is a resounding no. Additionally, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, one more thing is that I wanted to say to the Applicant is, a lot of the things that I heard was about what are we getting back, what are the residents getting back, and I think that in this project it was a park of mostly concrete or right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I would just like to follow protocol and I would like to ask straight forward because the Applicant has asked for a deferral, is there anybody on the Board that would like to make a motion MR. REVUELTA: May I make a comment? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. REVUELTA: I wanted to make a comment. I have been in the similar situations before on
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let the Commission hear them, and let the Commission hear what our recommendation is, which what I've heard is a resounding no. Additionally, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, one more thing is that I wanted to say to the Applicant is, a lot of the things that I heard was about what are we getting back, what are the residents getting back, and I think that in this project it was a park of mostly concrete or right? MR. DE YURRE: There are a lot of there's an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I would just like to follow protocol and I would like to ask straight forward because the Applicant has asked for a deferral, is there anybody on the Board that would like to make a motion MR. REVUELTA: May I make a comment? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. REVUELTA: I wanted to make a comment. I have been in the similar situations before on that side. A lot of times my client have actually
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let the Commission hear them, and let the Commission hear what our recommendation is, which what I've heard is a resounding no. Additionally, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, one more thing is that I wanted to say to the Applicant is, a lot of the things that I heard was about what are we getting back, what are the residents getting back, and I think that in this project it was a park of mostly concrete or right? MR. DE YURRE: There are a lot of there's an there's an entire package that goes along with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I would just like to follow protocol and I would like to ask straight forward because the Applicant has asked for a deferral, is there anybody on the Board that would like to make a motion MR. REVUELTA: May I make a comment? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. REVUELTA: I wanted to make a comment. I have been in the similar situations before on that side. A lot of times my client have actually said just deny us. We just want to go to the City
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let the Commission hear them, and let the Commission hear what our recommendation is, which what I've heard is a resounding no. Additionally, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, one more thing is that I wanted to say to the Applicant is, a lot of the things that I heard was about what are we getting back, what are the residents getting back, and I think that in this project it was a park of mostly concrete or right? MR. DE YURRE: There are a lot of there's an there's an entire package that goes along with that, but I didn't want to go into that level of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I would just like to follow protocol and I would like to ask straight forward because the Applicant has asked for a deferral, is there anybody on the Board that would like to make a motion MR. REVUELTA: May I make a comment? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. REVUELTA: I wanted to make a comment. I have been in the similar situations before on that side. A lot of times my client have actually said just deny us. We just want to go to the City Commission.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let the Commission hear them, and let the Commission hear what our recommendation is, which what I've heard is a resounding no. Additionally, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, one more thing is that I wanted to say to the Applicant is, a lot of the things that I heard was about what are we getting back, what are the residents getting back, and I think that in this project it was a park of mostly concrete or right? MR. DE YURRE: There are a lot of there's an there's an entire package that goes along with that, but I didn't want to go into that level of detail that I already did in the prior meeting, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I would just like to follow protocol and I would like to ask straight forward because the Applicant has asked for a deferral, is there anybody on the Board that would like to make a motion MR. REVUELTA: May I make a comment? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. REVUELTA: I wanted to make a comment. I have been in the similar situations before on that side. A lot of times my client have actually said just deny us. We just want to go to the City Commission. If we're absolutely sure that the City
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let the Commission hear them, and let the Commission hear what our recommendation is, which what I've heard is a resounding no. Additionally, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, one more thing is that I wanted to say to the Applicant is, a lot of the things that I heard was about what are we getting back, what are the residents getting back, and I think that in this project it was a park of mostly concrete or right? MR. DE YURRE: There are a lot of there's an there's an entire package that goes along with that, but I didn't want to go into that level of detail that I already did in the prior meeting, but I'm available to answer any questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I would just like to follow protocol and I would like to ask straight forward because the Applicant has asked for a deferral, is there anybody on the Board that would like to make a motion MR. REVUELTA: May I make a comment? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. REVUELTA: I wanted to make a comment. I have been in the similar situations before on that side. A lot of times my client have actually said just deny us. We just want to go to the City Commission. If we're absolutely sure that the City Commission is going to feel the same way we do and is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let the Commission hear them, and let the Commission hear what our recommendation is, which what I've heard is a resounding no. Additionally, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, one more thing is that I wanted to say to the Applicant is, a lot of the things that I heard was about what are we getting back, what are the residents getting back, and I think that in this project it was a park of mostly concrete or right? MR. DE YURRE: There are a lot of there's an there's an entire package that goes along with that, but I didn't want to go into that level of detail that I already did in the prior meeting, but I'm available to answer any questions. MS. MIRO: Okay. I'm just thinking that maybe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I would just like to follow protocol and I would like to ask straight forward because the Applicant has asked for a deferral, is there anybody on the Board that would like to make a motion MR. REVUELTA: May I make a comment? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. REVUELTA: I wanted to make a comment. I have been in the similar situations before on that side. A lot of times my client have actually said just deny us. We just want to go to the City Commission. If we're absolutely sure that the City Commission is going to feel the same way we do and is going to deny the project, that is the one way to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let the Commission hear them, and let the Commission hear what our recommendation is, which what I've heard is a resounding no. Additionally, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, one more thing is that I wanted to say to the Applicant is, a lot of the things that I heard was about what are we getting back, what are the residents getting back, and I think that in this project it was a park of mostly concrete or right? MR. DE YURRE: There are a lot of there's an there's an entire package that goes along with that, but I didn't want to go into that level of detail that I already did in the prior meeting, but I'm available to answer any questions. MS. MIRO: Okay. I'm just thinking that maybe the residents are looking for something a little bit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I would just like to follow protocol and I would like to ask straight forward because the Applicant has asked for a deferral, is there anybody on the Board that would like to make a motion — MR. REVUELTA: May I make a comment? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. REVUELTA: I wanted to make a comment. I have been in the similar situations before on that side. A lot of times my client have actually said just deny us. We just want to go to the City Commission. If we're absolutely sure that the City Commission is going to feel the same way we do and is going to deny the project, that is the one way to assure that the project will be changed because the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let the Commission hear them, and let the Commission hear what our recommendation is, which what I've heard is a resounding no. Additionally, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, one more thing is that I wanted to say to the Applicant is, a lot of the things that I heard was about what are we getting back, what are the residents getting back, and I think that in this project it was a park of mostly concrete or — right? MR. DE YURRE: There are a lot of — there's an — there's an entire package that goes along with that, but I didn't want to go into that level of detail that I already did in the prior meeting, but I'm available to answer any questions. MS. MIRO: Okay. I'm just thinking that maybe the residents are looking for something a little bit more than a park. We have a lot of parks. Maybe a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I would just like to follow protocol and I would like to ask straight forward because the Applicant has asked for a deferral, is there anybody on the Board that would like to make a motion MR. REVUELTA: May I make a comment? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. REVUELTA: I wanted to make a comment. I have been in the similar situations before on that side. A lot of times my client have actually said just deny us. We just want to go to the City Commission. If we're absolutely sure that the City Commission is going to feel the same way we do and is going to deny the project, that is the one way to assure that the project will be changed because the City Commission is a quasi-judicial Board. We're
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let the Commission hear them, and let the Commission hear what our recommendation is, which what I've heard is a resounding no. Additionally, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, one more thing is that I wanted to say to the Applicant is, a lot of the things that I heard was about what are we getting back, what are the residents getting back, and I think that in this project it was a park of mostly concrete or right? MR. DE YURRE: There are a lot of there's an there's an entire package that goes along with that, but I didn't want to go into that level of detail that I already did in the prior meeting, but I'm available to answer any questions. MS. MIRO: Okay. I'm just thinking that maybe the residents are looking for something a little bit more than a park. We have a lot of parks. Maybe a school, maybe some fire trucks, maybe something a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I would just like to follow protocol and I would like to ask straight forward because the Applicant has asked for a deferral, is there anybody on the Board that would like to make a motion MR. REVUELTA: May I make a comment? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. REVUELTA: I wanted to make a comment. I have been in the similar situations before on that side. A lot of times my client have actually said just deny us. We just want to go to the City Commission. If we're absolutely sure that the City Commission is going to feel the same way we do and is going to deny the project, that is the one way to assure that the project will be changed because the City Commission is a quasi-judicial Board. We're not.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let the Commission hear them, and let the Commission hear what our recommendation is, which what I've heard is a resounding no. Additionally, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, one more thing is that I wanted to say to the Applicant is, a lot of the things that I heard was about what are we getting back, what are the residents getting back, and I think that in this project it was a park of mostly concrete or right? MR. DE YURRE: There are a lot of there's an there's an entire package that goes along with that, but I didn't want to go into that level of detail that I already did in the prior meeting, but I'm available to answer any questions. MS. MIRO: Okay. I'm just thinking that maybe the residents are looking for something a little bit more than a park. We have a lot of parks. Maybe a school, maybe some fire trucks, maybe something a little bit more than just, you know, a park. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I would just like to follow protocol and I would like to ask straight forward because the Applicant has asked for a deferral, is there anybody on the Board that would like to make a motion MR. REVUELTA: May I make a comment? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. REVUELTA: I wanted to make a comment. I have been in the similar situations before on that side. A lot of times my client have actually said just deny us. We just want to go to the City Commission. If we're absolutely sure that the City Commission is going to feel the same way we do and is going to deny the project, that is the one way to assure that the project will be changed because the City Commission is a quasi-judicial Board. We're not. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: We are a quasi-judicial
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that there wasn't a significant change as my colleague, Mr. Bucelo, pointed out. So why now will there be a significant change? If indeed they are really going to make significant changes, well, let the Commission hear them, and let the Commission hear what our recommendation is, which what I've heard is a resounding no. Additionally, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, one more thing is that I wanted to say to the Applicant is, a lot of the things that I heard was about what are we getting back, what are the residents getting back, and I think that in this project it was a park of mostly concrete or right? MR. DE YURRE: There are a lot of there's an there's an entire package that goes along with that, but I didn't want to go into that level of detail that I already did in the prior meeting, but I'm available to answer any questions. MS. MIRO: Okay. I'm just thinking that maybe the residents are looking for something a little bit more than a park. We have a lot of parks. Maybe a school, maybe some fire trucks, maybe something a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	residents feel that they're getting something out of it, out of this project. That's all I wanted to say, Mr. Chair. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I would just like to follow protocol and I would like to ask straight forward because the Applicant has asked for a deferral, is there anybody on the Board that would like to make a motion MR. REVUELTA: May I make a comment? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. REVUELTA: I wanted to make a comment. I have been in the similar situations before on that side. A lot of times my client have actually said just deny us. We just want to go to the City Commission. If we're absolutely sure that the City Commission is going to feel the same way we do and is going to deny the project, that is the one way to assure that the project will be changed because the City Commission is a quasi-judicial Board. We're not.

	Page 145		Page 146
1	MR. REVUELTA: Well, but we're a Recommending	1	see that they hit the brick wall. I believe that
2	Board.	2	they've hit a brick wall. I don't think there's a
3	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Right.	3	doubt that they've hit a brick wall. So I was
4	MR. REVUELTA: So although I may have my legal	4	willing to give them a chance of a deferral, don't go
5	terms incorrectly mixed up, but we are Recommending.	5	to the City Commission.
6	The City Commission is not a Recommending Board.	6	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Would you
7	If there is a chance, and I believe they heard	7	MR. REVUELTA: I don't know what's going to
8	quite clearly the position that we have, and as Venny	8	happen with the City Commission.
9	was saying to start a a this whole thing in a	9	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Would you like to make
10	positive direction, I don't think the deferral is	10	the motion?
11	bad, and I normally agree with Chip on almost	11	MR. REVUELTA: I would make a motion, but I
12	everything, but I think to give them the opportunity	12	wanted to explain my thoughts as to why I was willing
13	to make a substantial change, it's worth it because	13	to do that because I don't want to get punched by
14	they won't go to the City Com they're going to	14	Chip later.
15	lose time to have to prepare what they need to	15	MR. WITHERS: I understand. I respect that.
16	prepare and come back to this Board, knowing that if	16	If they if they don't if they fail tonight
17	they don't do that we're going to deny them in a very	17	and they don't want to take it to the Commission when
18	short meeting, as Robert said, and they're going to	18	can they reapply with a new with a new plan?
19	go to the City Commission and they're going to get	19	MR. REVUELTA: I'll make a motion to make a
20	denied in a probably shorter meeting.	20	deferral
21	So I am willing to give them the benefit of the	21	MR. WITHERS: When can they do that?
22	doubt despite the fact that they had a year to make	22	MR. TRIAS: I'm not sure.
23	those changes and they haven't. But having dealt	23	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Hold on. Mr. Revuelta is
24	with developers, that's in their DNA. They will ask	24	making a motion
25	and try and ask and try until they say until they	25	MR. WITHERS: Oh, I'm sorry.
	Page 147		Page 148
1	MR. BEHAR: But answer the question because	1	this building, it's a problem, and getting,
2	that's a similar question that I had.	2	
_		4	essentially, free FAR that translates into
3	MR. TRIAS: And the reason that I'm not sure is	3	essentially, free FAR that translates into development, square footage, it's it's a problem
3 4	MR. TRIAS: And the reason that I'm not sure is that so much time has happened has taken place		·
		3	development, square footage, it's it's a problem
4	that so much time has happened has taken place	3 4	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't
4 5	that so much time has happened has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even	3 4 5	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it.
4 5 6	that so much time has happened has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even know if that is still valid so I would need to get	3 4 5 6	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it. So for that I think that I that's why I'm
4 5 6 7	that so much time has happened has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even know if that is still valid so I would need to get back to you on that.	3 4 5 6 7	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it. So for that I think that I that's why I'm saying let them come back with something and see what
4 5 6 7 8	that so much time has happened has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even know if that is still valid so I would need to get back to you on that. MR. WITHERS: I mean is it a year, is it six	3 4 5 6 7 8	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it. So for that I think that I that's why I'm saying let them come back with something and see what happens. They're going to have to work with Staff
4 5 6 7 8 9	that so much time has happened has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even know if that is still valid so I would need to get back to you on that. MR. WITHERS: I mean is it a year, is it six months?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it. So for that I think that I that's why I'm saying let them come back with something and see what happens. They're going to have to work with Staff and they'll have to spend, you know, whatever they're going to have to spend before they go to they have to come back here and then they have to go to the
4 5 6 7 8 9	that so much time has happened has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even know if that is still valid so I would need to get back to you on that. MR. WITHERS: I mean is it a year, is it six months? MR. TRIAS: A year will be a good a good guess, yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: And it's just a guess.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it. So for that I think that I that's why I'm saying let them come back with something and see what happens. They're going to have to work with Staff and they'll have to spend, you know, whatever they're going to have to spend before they go to they have
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that so much time has happened — has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even know if that is still valid so I would need to get back to you on that. MR. WITHERS: I mean is it a year, is it six months? MR. TRIAS: A year will be a good — a good guess, yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: And it's just a guess. MR. WITHERS: So can I just say one thing? I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it. So for that I think that I that's why I'm saying let them come back with something and see what happens. They're going to have to work with Staff and they'll have to spend, you know, whatever they're going to have to spend before they go to they have to come back here and then they have to go to the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that so much time has happened has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even know if that is still valid so I would need to get back to you on that. MR. WITHERS: I mean is it a year, is it six months? MR. TRIAS: A year will be a good a good guess, yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: And it's just a guess. MR. WITHERS: So can I just say one thing? I mean, you know, major changes to you is, what, 70	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it. So for that I think that I that's why I'm saying let them come back with something and see what happens. They're going to have to work with Staff and they'll have to spend, you know, whatever they're going to have to spend before they go to they have to come back here and then they have to go to the City Commission. MR. BEHAR: The only reason I would, you know, I would do that is for us to have another look at it
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that so much time has happened — has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even know if that is still valid so I would need to get back to you on that. MR. WITHERS: I mean is it a year, is it six months? MR. TRIAS: A year will be a good — a good guess, yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: And it's just a guess. MR. WITHERS: So can I just say one thing? I mean, you know, major changes to you is, what, 70 feet height, a hundred feet height? Is it 3 — 5.2	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it. So for that I think that I that's why I'm saying let them come back with something and see what happens. They're going to have to work with Staff and they'll have to spend, you know, whatever they're going to have to spend before they go to they have to come back here and then they have to go to the City Commission. MR. BEHAR: The only reason I would, you know, I would do that is for us to have another look at it before it going to Commission. But otherwise, you
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that so much time has happened has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even know if that is still valid so I would need to get back to you on that. MR. WITHERS: I mean is it a year, is it six months? MR. TRIAS: A year will be a good a good guess, yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: And it's just a guess. MR. WITHERS: So can I just say one thing? I mean, you know, major changes to you is, what, 70	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it. So for that I think that I that's why I'm saying let them come back with something and see what happens. They're going to have to work with Staff and they'll have to spend, you know, whatever they're going to have to spend before they go to they have to come back here and then they have to go to the City Commission. MR. BEHAR: The only reason I would, you know, I would do that is for us to have another look at it before it going to Commission. But otherwise, you know, take your chance at Commission and then that's
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that so much time has happened — has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even know if that is still valid so I would need to get back to you on that. MR. WITHERS: I mean is it a year, is it six months? MR. TRIAS: A year will be a good — a good guess, yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: And it's just a guess. MR. WITHERS: So can I just say one thing? I mean, you know, major changes to you is, what, 70 feet height, a hundred feet height? Is it 3 — 5.2 FAR or 4.7 FAR, or what are — what are major changes?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it. So for that I think that I that's why I'm saying let them come back with something and see what happens. They're going to have to work with Staff and they'll have to spend, you know, whatever they're going to have to spend before they go to they have to come back here and then they have to go to the City Commission. MR. BEHAR: The only reason I would, you know, I would do that is for us to have another look at it before it going to Commission. But otherwise, you know, take your chance at Commission and then that's going to be, you know
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that so much time has happened — has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even know if that is still valid so I would need to get back to you on that. MR. WITHERS: I mean is it a year, is it six months? MR. TRIAS: A year will be a good — a good guess, yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: And it's just a guess. MR. WITHERS: So can I just say one thing? I mean, you know, major changes to you is, what, 70 feet height, a hundred feet height? Is it 3 — 5.2 FAR or 4.7 FAR, or what are — what are major changes? MR. REVUELTA: Stick to the FAR and you reduce	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it. So for that I think that I that's why I'm saying let them come back with something and see what happens. They're going to have to work with Staff and they'll have to spend, you know, whatever they're going to have to spend before they go to they have to come back here and then they have to go to the City Commission. MR. BEHAR: The only reason I would, you know, I would do that is for us to have another look at it before it going to Commission. But otherwise, you know, take your chance at Commission and then that's
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that so much time has happened — has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even know if that is still valid so I would need to get back to you on that. MR. WITHERS: I mean is it a year, is it six months? MR. TRIAS: A year will be a good — a good guess, yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: And it's just a guess. MR. WITHERS: So can I just say one thing? I mean, you know, major changes to you is, what, 70 feet height, a hundred feet height? Is it 3 — 5.2 FAR or 4.7 FAR, or what are — what are major changes? MR. REVUELTA: Stick to the FAR and you reduce the width of the building. To me height is not a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it. So for that I think that I that's why I'm saying let them come back with something and see what happens. They're going to have to work with Staff and they'll have to spend, you know, whatever they're going to have to spend before they go to they have to come back here and then they have to go to the City Commission. MR. BEHAR: The only reason I would, you know, I would do that is for us to have another look at it before it going to Commission. But otherwise, you know, take your chance at Commission and then that's going to be, you know MR. WITHERS: You know, the other thing is that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that so much time has happened — has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even know if that is still valid so I would need to get back to you on that. MR. WITHERS: I mean is it a year, is it six months? MR. TRIAS: A year will be a good — a good guess, yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: And it's just a guess. MR. WITHERS: So can I just say one thing? I mean, you know, major changes to you is, what, 70 feet height, a hundred feet height? Is it 3 — 5.2 FAR or 4.7 FAR, or what are — what are major changes? MR. REVUELTA: Stick to the FAR and you reduce the width of the building. To me height is not a problem. Tall and thin is much better than — than	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it. So for that I think that I that's why I'm saying let them come back with something and see what happens. They're going to have to work with Staff and they'll have to spend, you know, whatever they're going to have to spend before they go to they have to come back here and then they have to go to the City Commission. MR. BEHAR: The only reason I would, you know, I would do that is for us to have another look at it before it going to Commission. But otherwise, you know, take your chance at Commission and then that's going to be, you know MR. WITHERS: You know, the other thing is that CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Guys, we need to extend
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that so much time has happened has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even know if that is still valid so I would need to get back to you on that. MR. WITHERS: I mean is it a year, is it six months? MR. TRIAS: A year will be a good a good guess, yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: And it's just a guess. MR. WITHERS: So can I just say one thing? I mean, you know, major changes to you is, what, 70 feet height, a hundred feet height? Is it 3 5.2 FAR or 4.7 FAR, or what are what are major changes? MR. REVUELTA: Stick to the FAR and you reduce the width of the building. To me height is not a problem. Tall and thin is much better than than short and wide because short and wide is much more	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it. So for that I think that I that's why I'm saying let them come back with something and see what happens. They're going to have to work with Staff and they'll have to spend, you know, whatever they're going to have to spend before they go to they have to come back here and then they have to go to the City Commission. MR. BEHAR: The only reason I would, you know, I would do that is for us to have another look at it before it going to Commission. But otherwise, you know, take your chance at Commission and then that's going to be, you know MR. WITHERS: You know, the other thing is that CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Guys, we need to extend the time again before
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that so much time has happened — has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even know if that is still valid so I would need to get back to you on that. MR. WITHERS: I mean is it a year, is it six months? MR. TRIAS: A year will be a good — a good guess, yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: And it's just a guess. MR. WITHERS: So can I just say one thing? I mean, you know, major changes to you is, what, 70 feet height, a hundred feet height? Is it 3 — 5.2 FAR or 4.7 FAR, or what are — what are major changes? MR. REVUELTA: Stick to the FAR and you reduce the width of the building. To me height is not a problem. Tall and thin is much better than — than short and wide because short and wide is much more impacting in the urban environment.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it. So for that I think that I that's why I'm saying let them come back with something and see what happens. They're going to have to work with Staff and they'll have to spend, you know, whatever they're going to have to spend before they go to they have to come back here and then they have to go to the City Commission. MR. BEHAR: The only reason I would, you know, I would do that is for us to have another look at it before it going to Commission. But otherwise, you know, take your chance at Commission and then that's going to be, you know MR. WITHERS: You know, the other thing is that CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Guys, we need to extend the time again before MR. BEHAR: Make a motion to extend for another
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that so much time has happened — has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even know if that is still valid so I would need to get back to you on that. MR. WITHERS: I mean is it a year, is it six months? MR. TRIAS: A year will be a good — a good guess, yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: And it's just a guess. MR. WITHERS: So can I just say one thing? I mean, you know, major changes to you is, what, 70 feet height, a hundred feet height? Is it 3 — 5.2 FAR or 4.7 FAR, or what are — what are major changes? MR. REVUELTA: Stick to the FAR and you reduce the width of the building. To me height is not a problem. Tall and thin is much better than — than short and wide because short and wide is much more impacting in the urban environment. So again, I'm not speaking from a philosophy —	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it. So for that I think that I that's why I'm saying let them come back with something and see what happens. They're going to have to work with Staff and they'll have to spend, you know, whatever they're going to have to spend before they go to they have to come back here and then they have to go to the City Commission. MR. BEHAR: The only reason I would, you know, I would do that is for us to have another look at it before it going to Commission. But otherwise, you know, take your chance at Commission and then that's going to be, you know MR. WITHERS: You know, the other thing is that CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Guys, we need to extend the time again before MR. BEHAR: Make a motion to extend for another fifteen minutes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that so much time has happened — has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even know if that is still valid so I would need to get back to you on that. MR. WITHERS: I mean is it a year, is it six months? MR. TRIAS: A year will be a good — a good guess, yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: And it's just a guess. MR. WITHERS: So can I just say one thing? I mean, you know, major changes to you is, what, 70 feet height, a hundred feet height? Is it 3 — 5.2 FAR or 4.7 FAR, or what are — what are major changes? MR. REVUELTA: Stick to the FAR and you reduce the width of the building. To me height is not a problem. Tall and thin is much better than — than short and wide because short and wide is much more impacting in the urban environment. So again, I'm not speaking from a philosophy — the personal philosophy, but I — the height is not a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it. So for that I think that I that's why I'm saying let them come back with something and see what happens. They're going to have to work with Staff and they'll have to spend, you know, whatever they're going to have to spend before they go to they have to come back here and then they have to go to the City Commission. MR. BEHAR: The only reason I would, you know, I would do that is for us to have another look at it before it going to Commission. But otherwise, you know, take your chance at Commission and then that's going to be, you know MR. WITHERS: You know, the other thing is that CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Guys, we need to extend the time again before MR. BEHAR: Make a motion to extend for another fifteen minutes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Robert made a motion.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that so much time has happened — has taken place since the original Board's approval. I don't even know if that is still valid so I would need to get back to you on that. MR. WITHERS: I mean is it a year, is it six months? MR. TRIAS: A year will be a good — a good guess, yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: And it's just a guess. MR. WITHERS: So can I just say one thing? I mean, you know, major changes to you is, what, 70 feet height, a hundred feet height? Is it 3 — 5.2 FAR or 4.7 FAR, or what are — what are major changes? MR. REVUELTA: Stick to the FAR and you reduce the width of the building. To me height is not a problem. Tall and thin is much better than — than short and wide because short and wide is much more impacting in the urban environment. So again, I'm not speaking from a philosophy —	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	development, square footage, it's it's a problem that I think the City is it's, I don't I don't agree with it. So for that I think that I that's why I'm saying let them come back with something and see what happens. They're going to have to work with Staff and they'll have to spend, you know, whatever they're going to have to spend before they go to they have to come back here and then they have to go to the City Commission. MR. BEHAR: The only reason I would, you know, I would do that is for us to have another look at it before it going to Commission. But otherwise, you know, take your chance at Commission and then that's going to be, you know MR. WITHERS: You know, the other thing is that CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Guys, we need to extend the time again before MR. BEHAR: Make a motion to extend for another fifteen minutes.

	Page 149		Page 150
1	MR. COLLER: Just remember, the Board, we do have	1	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Your motion is to defer
2	another application tonight. So I just want to	2	the project?
3	MR. BEHAR: That's why I wanted to take it first.	3	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
4	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Understood.	4	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is there a second?
5	We have a motion. We have a second for fifteen	5	MR. TORRE: I'll second it.
6	minutes. Everybody in favor say aye.	6	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Venny second it.
7	(All Board Members voted aye.)	7	Any further discussion? No.
8	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Aye.	8	Call the roll, please.
9	Go ahead. Sorry.	9	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
10	MR. WITHERS: We also have that whole Med Bonus	10	MS. MIRO: No.
11	review that's taking place right now. That's going	11	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
12	to take a half a point off the FAR. I mean this	12	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
13	whole thing, we could be looking at a lot tighter	13	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
14	Zoning Code when it does come back to us, just so	14	MR. TORRE: Yes.
15	everybody's aware of that as far as the FAR go, and	15	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
16	that's going to regulate your height anyway if you	16	MR. WITHERS: No.
17	can if you don't give them that, you know, the	17	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
18	free free property and I don't know. I just	18	MR. BEHAR: No.
19	I think the goal posts are going to be tightened a	19	THE SECRETARY: No?
20	little bit as far as what our code is over the next	20	MR. BEHAR: No.
21	time they might come back or so.	21	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
22	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Luis, do you still have a	22	MR. BUCELO: No.
23	motion do you want to make a motion to defer or	23	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
24	not?	24	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: No.
25	MR. REVUELTA: I'll make it again.	25	MR. WITHERS: I'll move that
	Page 151		Page 152
1	THE SECRETARY: That was 5-2.	1	made the motion with Staff's recommendation for
2	MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry?	2	denial. Venny, you second. Is that correct?
3	THE SECRETARY: That was 5-2.	3	THE SECRETARY: Mr. Bucelo second'd.
4	MR. WITHERS: I'll move that the Staff	4	CITAIDDED CONTAITENCE ATT. I'm comm. Alor did
		1 -	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: I'm sorry, Alex did.
5	recommendations on denial on all are you	5	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize.
6	recommendations on denial on all are you reversing your your position now?		I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it.
	·	5	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No.
6 7 8	reversing your your position now? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Everything is denied?	5 6 7 8	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No. Call the roll, please.
6 7 8 9	reversing your your position now? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Everything is denied? MR. TRIAS: Yes.	5 6 7 8 9	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
6 7 8 9	reversing your your position now? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Everything is denied? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Staff denies all. I'll move	5 6 7 8 9	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, agree with Chip's motion.
6 7 8 9 10	reversing your your position now? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Everything is denied? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Staff denies all. I'll move Staff's recommendation.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, agree with Chip's motion. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
6 7 8 9 10 11	reversing your your position now? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Everything is denied? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Staff denies all. I'll move Staff's recommendation. MR. COLLER: Okay. So we we need to do	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, agree with Chip's motion. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	reversing your your position now? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Everything is denied? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Staff denies all. I'll move Staff's recommendation. MR. COLLER: Okay. So we we need to do separate votes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, agree with Chip's motion. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reversing your your position now? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Everything is denied? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Staff denies all. I'll move Staff's recommendation. MR. COLLER: Okay. So we we need to do separate votes. So we'll start on F1. Is there a motion	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, agree with Chip's motion. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reversing your your position now? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Everything is denied? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Staff denies all. I'll move Staff's recommendation. MR. COLLER: Okay. So we we need to do separate votes. So we'll start on F1. Is there a motion to the Department's recommendation on F1., I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, agree with Chip's motion. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	reversing your your position now? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Everything is denied? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Staff denies all. I'll move Staff's recommendation. MR. COLLER: Okay. So we we need to do separate votes. So we'll start on F1. Is there a motion to the Department's recommendation on F1., I believe is denial?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, agree with Chip's motion. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reversing your your position now? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Everything is denied? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Staff denies all. I'll move Staff's recommendation. MR. COLLER: Okay. So we we need to do separate votes. So we'll start on F1. Is there a motion to the Department's recommendation on F1., I believe is denial? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias, is it denial?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, agree with Chip's motion. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reversing your your position now? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Everything is denied? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Staff denies all. I'll move Staff's recommendation. MR. COLLER: Okay. So we we need to do separate votes. So we'll start on F1. Is there a motion to the Department's recommendation on F1., I believe is denial? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias, is it denial? MR. TRIAS: Yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, agree with Chip's motion. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reversing your your position now? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Everything is denied? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Staff denies all. I'll move Staff's recommendation. MR. COLLER: Okay. So we we need to do separate votes. So we'll start on F1. Is there a motion to the Department's recommendation on F1., I believe is denial? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias, is it denial? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Can you declare that for	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, agree with Chip's motion. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reversing your your position now? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Everything is denied? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Staff denies all. I'll move Staff's recommendation. MR. COLLER: Okay. So we we need to do separate votes. So we'll start on F1. Is there a motion to the Department's recommendation on F1., I believe is denial? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias, is it denial? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Can you declare that for the record?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, agree with Chip's motion. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reversing your your position now? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Everything is denied? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Staff denies all. I'll move Staff's recommendation. MR. COLLER: Okay. So we we need to do separate votes. So we'll start on F1. Is there a motion to the Department's recommendation on F1., I believe is denial? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias, is it denial? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Can you declare that for the record? MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, agree with Chip's motion. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reversing your your position now? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Everything is denied? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Staff denies all. I'll move Staff's recommendation. MR. COLLER: Okay. So we we need to do separate votes. So we'll start on F1. Is there a motion to the Department's recommendation on F1., I believe is denial? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias, is it denial? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Can you declare that for the record? MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: So is somebody	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, agree with Chip's motion. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reversing your your position now? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Everything is denied? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Staff denies all. I'll move Staff's recommendation. MR. COLLER: Okay. So we we need to do separate votes. So we'll start on F1. Is there a motion to the Department's recommendation on F1., I believe is denial? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias, is it denial? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Can you declare that for the record? MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: So is somebody MR. WITHERS: I'll move it.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, agree with Chip's motion. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. Is there a motion on item 2?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	reversing your your position now? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Everything is denied? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Staff denies all. I'll move Staff's recommendation. MR. COLLER: Okay. So we we need to do separate votes. So we'll start on F1. Is there a motion to the Department's recommendation on F1., I believe is denial? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias, is it denial? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Can you declare that for the record? MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: So is somebody MR. WITHERS: I'll move it. MR. BUCELO: I'll second it.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, agree with Chip's motion. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. Is there a motion on item 2? MR. COLLER: Now, F2., for the record, I
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reversing your your position now? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Everything is denied? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. WITHERS: Staff denies all. I'll move Staff's recommendation. MR. COLLER: Okay. So we we need to do separate votes. So we'll start on F1. Is there a motion to the Department's recommendation on F1., I believe is denial? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias, is it denial? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Can you declare that for the record? MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. MR. COLLER: So is somebody MR. WITHERS: I'll move it.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I apologize. With the mask it's hard. I apologize. So Alex went ahead and second'd it. Is there any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, agree with Chip's motion. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. Is there a motion on item 2?

1 2 3	Page 153		Page 154
	recommendation to denial	1	THE SECRETARY: Claudio Miro?
3	MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir.	2	MS. MIRO: Yes.
	MR. COLLER: on number 2?	3	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
4	MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir, recommendation of denial	4	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
5	for the alley.	5	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
6	MR. COLLER: So that would be the motion,	6	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes.
7	recommendation of denial in accordance with	7	Item 3, which is
8	Department's recommendation.	8	MR. COLLER: F3., Department's recommendation
9	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion?	9	is denial.
10	MS. MIRO: I'll make the motion.	10	MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir.
11	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Claudia went ahead and	11	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion?
12	made a motion for denial consistent with the City.	12	MR. TRIAS: And that's the Land Use change.
13	Is there a second?	13	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Correct.
14	MR. BUCELO: I'll second.	14	Is there a motion?
15	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex second.	15	MR. WITHERS: I'll move I'll move it. Sorry.
16	Any discussion?	16	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Withers made the
17	Having none, call the roll, please.	17	motion to move to with the denial.
18	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	18	
19	MR. TORRE: Yes.	19	Is there a second? MS. MIRO: I'll second.
20	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	20	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Claudia second.
21	MR. WITHERS: Yes.	21	Any discussion? No.
22	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	22	Call the roll, please.
23	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	23	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
24	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?	24	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
25	MR. BUCELO: Yes.	25	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
	Page 155		Page 156
1	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	1	Any comments?
2	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?	2	Having heard none, call the roll, please.
3	MR. BUCELO: Yes.	3	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
4	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?	4	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
5	MS. MIRO: Yes.	5	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
6	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	6	MR. BUCELO: Yes.
7	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	7	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
8	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	8	MS. MIRO: Yes.
9	MR. TORRE: Yes.	9	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
10	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	10	MR. TORRE: Yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes.	11	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
12	Item 4.	12	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
13	MR. COLLER: Development Agreement, Department	13	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
14	recommendation for denial. We need a motion.	14	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion	15	Item 5.
16	consis	16	MR. COLLER: This is the TDR transfer.
-	MR. TORRE: I'll move.	17	Department's recommendation is denial. We need a
17	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: That is Venny made a	18	motion.
17 18	motion that is consistent with the Department, which	19	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion that is
18	•	20	consistent with the Department's denial?
18 19	is denial.	1	
18 19 20	is denial. MR_TORRE: Yes	21	MR TORRE: Move it
18 19 20 21	MR. TORRE: Yes.	21	MR. TORRE: Move it. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who said that?
18 19 20 21 22	MR. TORRE: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is there a second?	22	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who said that?
18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TORRE: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is there a second? MR. BUCELO: I'll second it.	22 23	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who said that? THE SECRETARY: Venny.
18 19 20 21 22	MR. TORRE: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is there a second?	22	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Who said that?

	Page 157		Page 158
1	MR. REVUELTA: I'll second it.	1	and a specific set of dimensions.
2	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Revuelta second.	2	MR. BEHAR: Gotcha.
3	MR. REVUELTA: Yeah.	3	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion for
4	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Any discussion?	4	denial that is consistent with the City's position?
5	Having heard none, call the roll, please.	5	MR. REVUELTA: I move.
6	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?	6	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Revuelta made the
7	MR. BUCELO: Yes.	7	motion. Is there a second?
8	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?	8	MR. BEHAR: Second.
9	MS. MIRO: Yes.	9	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Robert went ahead and
10	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	10	second. Any discussion?
11	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	11	Having heard none, call the roll, please.
12	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	12	THE SECRETARY: Claudio Miro?
13	MR. TORRE: Yes.	13	MS. MIRO: Yes.
14	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	14	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
15	MR. WITHERS: Yes.	15	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
16	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	16	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
17	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	17	MR. TORRE: Yes.
18	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	18	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
19	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes.	19	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
20	Item 6.	20	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
21	MR. COLLER: 6 is the Site Plan. Recommendation	21	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
22	is for denial.	22	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
23	MR. BEHAR: Can I this is for Mixed-Use on	23	MR. BUCELO: Yes.
24	this property, right?	24	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
25	MR. TRIAS: Mixed-Use requires a specific project	25	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes.
	Page 159		Page 160
1	Item 7.	1	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
2	MR. BEHAR: It's got deferral and he's asking the	2	MS. MIRO: Yes.
3	Staff	3	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
4	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, in this case a denial would be	4	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes.
5	appropriate. Deferral doesn't make sense with that	5	I think those are the items that are related to
6	project, so recommendation is denial.	6	this item because the next item is Doctors
7	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: The recommendation by the	7	Hospital.
8	Staff is to deny item 7. Is there a motion to be	8	Thank you very much.
9	consistent?	9	MR. DE YURRE: Thank you very much.
10	MR. BEHAR: So move.	10	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: We still have some Board
11	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Robert made the motion.	11	business, so if you would
12	Is there a second?	12	MR. DE YURRE: And again, I apologize for the
13	MR. TORRE: Second.	13	CHAIDDEDSON AIZENSTAT, Of course. Thenk you
14	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Venny went ahead and	14	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Of course. Thank you.
15	second.	15 16	Let's take a five minute let's take a five
16	Any discussion?	17	minute recess. MR. BEHAR: We need to make a motion.
17	Call the roll, please.	18	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Hold on a second. Venny,
18	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	19	before Venny
19	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	20	MR. BEHAR: Venny
	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	21	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Before anybody gets up,
20			carried East of the East of the Defore anybody gets up,
21	MR. TORRE: Yes.	22	just so we're not, with time we're not caught on
21 22	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?		just so we're not, with time we're not caught on that, is there a motion to extend the time?
21 22 23	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes.	22	·
21 22	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	22	that, is there a motion to extend the time?

	Page 161		Page 162
1	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by	1	Hospital Use for the abutting property legally
2	Robert. Is there a motion to second it?	2	described as that portion of the un-dug University
3	MR. BUCELO: I'll second it.	3	Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral
4	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex second.	4	Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida;
5		5	
6	Everybody in favor say aye.	6	providing for a repealer provision, severability
7	(All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Let's take a five minute		clause, and providing for an effective date.
		7	Item F9.: An Ordinance of the City Commission
8	break.	8	of Coral Gables, Florida making zoning district
9	(Recess taken 9:18 p.m 9:21 p.m.)	9	boundary changes pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14,
10	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: I'd like to call the	10	"Process", Section 14-212, "Zoning Code Text and Map
11	meeting back to order. Everybody's back.	11	Amendments", for certain properties located at Lots
12	The next items, F8. through F11. regarding	12	19A and 20 Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral
13	Doctors Hospital. Mr. Coller?	13	Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida
14	MR. COLLER: Yes. Item F8.: An Ordinance of	14	from Multi-Family 1 Duple (MF1) District to Special
15	the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida amending	15	Use District; and assigning a Zoning Designation of
16	the Future Land Use Map of the City of Coral Gables	16	same, Special Use District for the abutting property
17	Comprehensive Plan pursuant to Zoning Code Article	17	legally described as that portion of the un-dug
18	14, "Process", Section 14-213, "Comprehensive Plan	18	University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat
19	Text and Map Amendments", and Small-Scale	19	of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables,
20	Comprehensive Plan Amendment procedures, changing the	20	Florida; providing for a repealer provision,
21	land use designation for certain properties located	21	severability clause, and providing for an effective
22	at Lots 19A and 20 Block 56 of the Revised Plat of	22	date.
23	Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables,	23	Item F10.: A Resolution of the City Commission
24	Florida from Multi-Family Duplex Density to Hospital	24	of Coral Gables, Florida approving Conditional Use
25	Use; and assigning a land use designation of same,	25	review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process"
	Page 163		Page 164
1	Page 163 Section 14-203 "Conditional Uses" for a proposed	1	Page 164
1 2	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed	1 2	question, please?
2	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the	2	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes.
2	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and	2	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public
2 3 4	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in	2 3 4	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is.
2 3 4 5	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera	2 3 4 5	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom.
2 3 4 5	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151	2 3 4 5 6	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions;	2 3 4 5 6 7	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the PowerPoint, please?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the PowerPoint, please? The television may I have the PowerPoint?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Item F11.: A Resolution of the City Commission	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the PowerPoint, please? The television may I have the PowerPoint? Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Item F11.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the Tentative Plat	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the PowerPoint, please? The television may I have the PowerPoint? Thank you very much. Mr. Chairman, as you can see, we have four
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Item F11.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the Tentative Plat entitled "Doctors Hospital Annex" pursuant to Zoning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the PowerPoint, please? The television may I have the PowerPoint? Thank you very much. Mr. Chairman, as you can see, we have four requests for a parking lot that is existing and is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Item F11.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the Tentative Plat entitled "Doctors Hospital Annex" pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, Section 14-210,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the PowerPoint, please? The television may I have the PowerPoint? Thank you very much. Mr. Chairman, as you can see, we have four requests for a parking lot that is existing and is going to remain a parking lot. So the item today is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Item F11.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the Tentative Plat entitled "Doctors Hospital Annex" pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, Section 14-210, "Platting/Subdivision," being a re-plat of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the PowerPoint, please? The television may I have the PowerPoint? Thank you very much. Mr. Chairman, as you can see, we have four requests for a parking lot that is existing and is going to remain a parking lot. So the item today is to formalize an existing condition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Item F11.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the Tentative Plat entitled "Doctors Hospital Annex" pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, Section 14-210, "Platting/Subdivision," being a re-plat of approximately 45,635 square feet on the property	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the PowerPoint, please? The television may I have the PowerPoint? Thank you very much. Mr. Chairman, as you can see, we have four requests for a parking lot that is existing and is going to remain a parking lot. So the item today is to formalize an existing condition. Now, in addition to the zoning and land use,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Item F11.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the Tentative Plat entitled "Doctors Hospital Annex" pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, Section 14-210, "Platting/Subdivision," being a re-plat of approximately 45,635 square feet on the property legally as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the PowerPoint, please? The television may I have the PowerPoint? Thank you very much. Mr. Chairman, as you can see, we have four requests for a parking lot that is existing and is going to remain a parking lot. So the item today is to formalize an existing condition. Now, in addition to the zoning and land use, and so on, there have been some discussions by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Item F11.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the Tentative Plat entitled "Doctors Hospital Annex" pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, Section 14-210, "Platting/Subdivision," being a re-plat of approximately 45,635 square feet on the property legally as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the PowerPoint, please? The television may I have the PowerPoint? Thank you very much. Mr. Chairman, as you can see, we have four requests for a parking lot that is existing and is going to remain a parking lot. So the item today is to formalize an existing condition. Now, in addition to the zoning and land use, and so on, there have been some discussions by the Commission of selling the property to the hospital.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Item F11.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the Tentative Plat entitled "Doctors Hospital Annex" pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, Section 14-210, "Platting/Subdivision," being a re-plat of approximately 45,635 square feet on the property legally as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the PowerPoint, please? The television may I have the PowerPoint? Thank you very much. Mr. Chairman, as you can see, we have four requests for a parking lot that is existing and is going to remain a parking lot. So the item today is to formalize an existing condition. Now, in addition to the zoning and land use, and so on, there have been some discussions by the Commission of selling the property to the hospital. That is not before you today. That is not today.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Item F11.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the Tentative Plat entitled "Doctors Hospital Annex" pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, Section 14-210, "Platting/Subdivision," being a re-plat of approximately 45,635 square feet on the property legally as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive, providing for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the PowerPoint, please? The television may I have the PowerPoint? Thank you very much. Mr. Chairman, as you can see, we have four requests for a parking lot that is existing and is going to remain a parking lot. So the item today is to formalize an existing condition. Now, in addition to the zoning and land use, and so on, there have been some discussions by the Commission of selling the property to the hospital. That is not before you today. That is not today. Today is just purely the fact that right now there is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Item F11.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the Tentative Plat entitled "Doctors Hospital Annex" pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, Section 14-210, "Platting/Subdivision," being a re-plat of approximately 45,635 square feet on the property legally as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive, providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the PowerPoint, please? The television may I have the PowerPoint? Thank you very much. Mr. Chairman, as you can see, we have four requests for a parking lot that is existing and is going to remain a parking lot. So the item today is to formalize an existing condition. Now, in addition to the zoning and land use, and so on, there have been some discussions by the Commission of selling the property to the hospital. That is not before you today. That is not today. Today is just purely the fact that right now there is parking happening in an area that is not platted as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Item F11.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the Tentative Plat entitled "Doctors Hospital Annex" pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, Section 14-210, "Platting/Subdivision," being a re-plat of approximately 45,635 square feet on the property legally as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive, providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the PowerPoint, please? The television may I have the PowerPoint? Thank you very much. Mr. Chairman, as you can see, we have four requests for a parking lot that is existing and is going to remain a parking lot. So the item today is to formalize an existing condition. Now, in addition to the zoning and land use, and so on, there have been some discussions by the Commission of selling the property to the hospital. That is not before you today. That is not today. Today is just purely the fact that right now there is parking happening in an area that is not platted as a partial, as you can see, those white lines are kind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Item F11.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the Tentative Plat entitled "Doctors Hospital Annex" pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, Section 14-210, "Platting/Subdivision," being a re-plat of approximately 45,635 square feet on the property legally as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive, providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Items F8. through F11. Public Hearing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the PowerPoint, please? The television may I have the PowerPoint? Thank you very much. Mr. Chairman, as you can see, we have four requests for a parking lot that is existing and is going to remain a parking lot. So the item today is to formalize an existing condition. Now, in addition to the zoning and land use, and so on, there have been some discussions by the Commission of selling the property to the hospital. That is not before you today. That is not today. Today is just purely the fact that right now there is parking happening in an area that is not platted as a partial, as you can see, those white lines are kind of random, and it has a canal and a street on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Item F11.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the Tentative Plat entitled "Doctors Hospital Annex" pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, Section 14-210, "Platting/Subdivision," being a re-plat of approximately 45,635 square feet on the property legally as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive, providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Items F8. through F11. Public Hearing. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the PowerPoint, please? The television may I have the PowerPoint? Thank you very much. Mr. Chairman, as you can see, we have four requests for a parking lot that is existing and is going to remain a parking lot. So the item today is to formalize an existing condition. Now, in addition to the zoning and land use, and so on, there have been some discussions by the Commission of selling the property to the hospital. That is not before you today. That is not today. Today is just purely the fact that right now there is parking happening in an area that is not platted as a partial, as you can see, those white lines are kind of random, and it has a canal and a street on the other side. That is the existing condition. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Item F11.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the Tentative Plat entitled "Doctors Hospital Annex" pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, Section 14-210, "Platting/Subdivision," being a re-plat of approximately 45,635 square feet on the property legally as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive, providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Items F8. through F11. Public Hearing. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Mr. Trias?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the PowerPoint, please? The television may I have the PowerPoint? Thank you very much. Mr. Chairman, as you can see, we have four requests for a parking lot that is existing and is going to remain a parking lot. So the item today is to formalize an existing condition. Now, in addition to the zoning and land use, and so on, there have been some discussions by the Commission of selling the property to the hospital. That is not before you today. That is not today. Today is just purely the fact that right now there is parking happening in an area that is not platted as a partial, as you can see, those white lines are kind of random, and it has a canal and a street on the other side. That is the existing condition. The current zoning is for duplex. So even though it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses" for a proposed Parking as an Accessory Use to a Hospital on the property legally described as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Item F11.: A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the Tentative Plat entitled "Doctors Hospital Annex" pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, Section 14-210, "Platting/Subdivision," being a re-plat of approximately 45,635 square feet on the property legally as Lots 19A and 20 and that portion of the un-dug University Waterway in Block 56 of the Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 4, Coral Gables, Florida, 5151 University Drive, providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. Items F8. through F11. Public Hearing. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	question, please? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I want to know if there's any public to wish to speak. Okay, there is. THE SECRETARY: We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman may I have the PowerPoint, please? The television may I have the PowerPoint? Thank you very much. Mr. Chairman, as you can see, we have four requests for a parking lot that is existing and is going to remain a parking lot. So the item today is to formalize an existing condition. Now, in addition to the zoning and land use, and so on, there have been some discussions by the Commission of selling the property to the hospital. That is not before you today. That is not today. Today is just purely the fact that right now there is parking happening in an area that is not platted as a partial, as you can see, those white lines are kind of random, and it has a canal and a street on the other side. That is the existing condition. The

	Page 165		Page 166
1	property to the north.	1	MR. WITHERS: Right, they're going to
2	So we're doing something that appears	2	build that?
3	complicated, but it's really changing nothing. It	3	MR. TRIAS: There's a wall and additional
4	remains a parking lot. It will be a parking lot in	4	landscaping. It's a significant but that's a
5	the future.	5	project that will come to you actually, it
6	Change of Land Use: Change of Land Use from the	6	probably won't come to you as a project in the
7	duplex land use to the hospital land use. That's in	7	future when if the sale takes place.
8	anticipation of maybe that sale, if that takes place,	8	It follows the Code, and the intent of the plat
9	but that is the condition right now. It's being used	9	is to create that parcel. Right now it's just some
10	as parking for that hospital.	10	random parcel. I'm sorry. We had the neighborhood
11	The Change of Zoning is to Special Use which is	11	meeting, Board of Architects, we're adding Planning
12	the same the same zoning as the hospital.	12	and Zoning, and then it will go to the City
13	Now, it so happens that parking has to be a	13	Commission, which will make the decision. We sent
14	Conditional Use within that zoning. So that's the	14	letters to owners within 1500 feet, which is the
15	reason why that's being requested. So that's the	15	requirement of the Code for the Land Use Change.
16	conceptual design, and that shows you the number of	16	That's why you'll see that in many requests. Two
17	parking spaces and the buffering that will take	17	times we sent letters. One time the property was
18	place.	18	posted, website posting once, and the newspaper
19	That's the conceptual rendering.	19	advertising for tonight's meeting. And Staff
20	MR. WITHERS: Go back a second. There's not a	20	recommends approval as is consistent with the
21	wall there now, is there?	21	Comprehensive Plan.
22	MR. BEHAR: No, there isn't. Not in the existing	22	MR. BEHAR: Question, Mr. Trias. Will there be a
23	condition there's not. They're proposing a wall.	23	covenant that runs with the land that it will be
24	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, this is an enhancement of the	24	always a parking lot?
25	water's edge with the wall, yes.	25	MR. TRIAS: Yes.
	Page 167		Page 168
1	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Thank you.	1	land I don't know for how long. I'm assuming, and I
1 2	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Okay. Thank you. Is that	1 2	land I don't know for how long. I'm assuming, and I want to put this on the record, that adding this
	·		
2	Is that	2	want to put this on the record, that adding this
2	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot?	2	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money
2 3 4	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it.	2 3 4	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this
2 3 4 5	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to	2 3 4 5	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to keep it as a parking lot with a covenant.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using it without having permission is a good idea.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to keep it as a parking lot with a covenant. MR. WITHERS: Is that under discussion? MR. TRIAS: No, no. The covenant is for a parking lot.	2 3 4 5 6 7	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using it without having permission is a good idea. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to keep it as a parking lot with a covenant. MR. WITHERS: Is that under discussion? MR. TRIAS: No, no. The covenant is for a parking lot. MR. BEHAR: Surface parking lot?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using it without having permission is a good idea. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to keep it as a parking lot with a covenant. MR. WITHERS: Is that under discussion? MR. TRIAS: No, no. The covenant is for a parking lot. MR. BEHAR: Surface parking lot? MR. TRIAS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using it without having permission is a good idea. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Anymore speakers? THE SECRETARY: Yes, we have one more. Brett?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to keep it as a parking lot with a covenant. MR. WITHERS: Is that under discussion? MR. TRIAS: No, no. The covenant is for a parking lot. MR. BEHAR: Surface parking lot? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Are you done with your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using it without having permission is a good idea. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Anymore speakers? THE SECRETARY: Yes, we have one more. Brett? MR. GILLIS: Hello?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to keep it as a parking lot with a covenant. MR. WITHERS: Is that under discussion? MR. TRIAS: No, no. The covenant is for a parking lot. MR. BEHAR: Surface parking lot? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Are you done with your presentation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using it without having permission is a good idea. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Anymore speakers? THE SECRETARY: Yes, we have one more. Brett? MR. GILLIS: Hello? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead please. If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to keep it as a parking lot with a covenant. MR. WITHERS: Is that under discussion? MR. TRIAS: No, no. The covenant is for a parking lot. MR. BEHAR: Surface parking lot? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Are you done with your presentation? MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using it without having permission is a good idea. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Anymore speakers? THE SECRETARY: Yes, we have one more. Brett? MR. GILLIS: Hello? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead please. If you would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to keep it as a parking lot with a covenant. MR. WITHERS: Is that under discussion? MR. TRIAS: No, no. The covenant is for a parking lot. MR. BEHAR: Surface parking lot? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Are you done with your presentation? MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Jill, we have one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using it without having permission is a good idea. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Anymore speakers? THE SECRETARY: Yes, we have one more. Brett? MR. GILLIS: Hello? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead please. If you would MR. GILLIS: This is Brett Gillis, 915
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to keep it as a parking lot with a covenant. MR. WITHERS: Is that under discussion? MR. TRIAS: No, no. The covenant is for a parking lot. MR. BEHAR: Surface parking lot? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Are you done with your presentation? MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Jill, we have one speaker. I'd like to open it up to public comment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using it without having permission is a good idea. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Anymore speakers? THE SECRETARY: Yes, we have one more. Brett? MR. GILLIS: Hello? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead please. If you would MR. GILLIS: This is Brett Gillis, 915 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: please state your name
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to keep it as a parking lot with a covenant. MR. WITHERS: Is that under discussion? MR. TRIAS: No, no. The covenant is for a parking lot. MR. BEHAR: Surface parking lot? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Are you done with your presentation? MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Jill, we have one speaker. I'd like to open it up to public comment. THE SECRETARY: Maria Cruz?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using it without having permission is a good idea. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Anymore speakers? THE SECRETARY: Yes, we have one more. Brett? MR. GILLIS: Hello? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead please. If you would MR. GILLIS: This is Brett Gillis, 915 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: please state your name and address for the record?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to keep it as a parking lot with a covenant. MR. WITHERS: Is that under discussion? MR. TRIAS: No, no. The covenant is for a parking lot. MR. BEHAR: Surface parking lot? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Are you done with your presentation? MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Jill, we have one speaker. I'd like to open it up to public comment. THE SECRETARY: Maria Cruz? MS. CRUZ: Good evening.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using it without having permission is a good idea. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Anymore speakers? THE SECRETARY: Yes, we have one more. Brett? MR. GILLIS: Hello? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead please. If you would MR. GILLIS: This is Brett Gillis, 915 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: please state your name and address for the record? MR. GILLIS: Yes, Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to keep it as a parking lot with a covenant. MR. WITHERS: Is that under discussion? MR. TRIAS: No, no. The covenant is for a parking lot. MR. BEHAR: Surface parking lot? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Are you done with your presentation? MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Jill, we have one speaker. I'd like to open it up to public comment. THE SECRETARY: Maria Cruz? MS. CRUZ: Good evening. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Good evening, Ms. Cruz.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using it without having permission is a good idea. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Anymore speakers? THE SECRETARY: Yes, we have one more. Brett? MR. GILLIS: Hello? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead please. If you would MR. GILLIS: This is Brett Gillis, 915 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: please state your name and address for the record? MR. GILLIS: Yes, Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand Street.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to keep it as a parking lot with a covenant. MR. WITHERS: Is that under discussion? MR. TRIAS: No, no. The covenant is for a parking lot. MR. BEHAR: Surface parking lot? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Are you done with your presentation? MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Jill, we have one speaker. I'd like to open it up to public comment. THE SECRETARY: Maria Cruz? MS. CRUZ: Good evening. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Good evening, Ms. Cruz. I'd ask if you could be brief and limit your comments	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using it without having permission is a good idea. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Anymore speakers? THE SECRETARY: Yes, we have one more. Brett? MR. GILLIS: Hello? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead please. If you would MR. GILLIS: This is Brett Gillis, 915 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: please state your name and address for the record? MR. GILLIS: Yes, Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand Street. I had a question. I'm not sure if it was already
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to keep it as a parking lot with a covenant. MR. WITHERS: Is that under discussion? MR. TRIAS: No, no. The covenant is for a parking lot. MR. BEHAR: Surface parking lot? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Are you done with your presentation? MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Jill, we have one speaker. I'd like to open it up to public comment. THE SECRETARY: Maria Cruz? MS. CRUZ: Good evening. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Good evening, Ms. Cruz. I'd ask if you could be brief and limit your comments to two to three minutes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using it without having permission is a good idea. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Anymore speakers? THE SECRETARY: Yes, we have one more. Brett? MR. GILLIS: Hello? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead please. If you would MR. GILLIS: This is Brett Gillis, 915 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: please state your name and address for the record? MR. GILLIS: Yes, Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand Street. I had a question. I'm not sure if it was already answered or not. My concern is that if the zoning is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to keep it as a parking lot with a covenant. MR. WITHERS: Is that under discussion? MR. TRIAS: No, no. The covenant is for a parking lot. MR. BEHAR: Surface parking lot? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Are you done with your presentation? MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Jill, we have one speaker. I'd like to open it up to public comment. THE SECRETARY: Maria Cruz? MS. CRUZ: Good evening. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Good evening, Ms. Cruz. I'd ask if you could be brief and limit your comments to two to three minutes. MS. CRUZ: Very brief.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using it without having permission is a good idea. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Anymore speakers? THE SECRETARY: Yes, we have one more. Brett? MR. GILLIS: Hello? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead please. If you would MR. GILLIS: This is Brett Gillis, 915 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: please state your name and address for the record? MR. GILLIS: Yes, Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand Street. I had a question. I'm not sure if it was already answered or not. My concern is that if the zoning is changed to this what could the hospital or the future
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to keep it as a parking lot with a covenant. MR. WITHERS: Is that under discussion? MR. TRIAS: No, no. The covenant is for a parking lot. MR. BEHAR: Surface parking lot? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Are you done with your presentation? MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Jill, we have one speaker. I'd like to open it up to public comment. THE SECRETARY: Maria Cruz? MS. CRUZ: Good evening. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Good evening, Ms. Cruz. I'd ask if you could be brief and limit your comments to two to three minutes. MS. CRUZ: Very brief. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using it without having permission is a good idea. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Anymore speakers? THE SECRETARY: Yes, we have one more. Brett? MR. GILLIS: Hello? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead please. If you would MR. GILLIS: This is Brett Gillis, 915 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: please state your name and address for the record? MR. GILLIS: Yes, Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand Street. I had a question. I'm not sure if it was already answered or not. My concern is that if the zoning is changed to this what could the hospital or the future potential owner build as of right if this zoning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to keep it as a parking lot with a covenant. MR. WITHERS: Is that under discussion? MR. TRIAS: No, no. The covenant is for a parking lot. MR. BEHAR: Surface parking lot? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Are you done with your presentation? MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Jill, we have one speaker. I'd like to open it up to public comment. THE SECRETARY: Maria Cruz? MS. CRUZ: Good evening. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Good evening, Ms. Cruz. I'd ask if you could be brief and limit your comments to two to three minutes. MS. CRUZ: Very brief. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. CRUZ: It's going to be very brief.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using it without having permission is a good idea. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Anymore speakers? THE SECRETARY: Yes, we have one more. Brett? MR. GILLIS: Hello? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead please. If you would MR. GILLIS: This is Brett Gillis, 915 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: please state your name and address for the record? MR. GILLIS: Yes, Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand Street. I had a question. I'm not sure if it was already answered or not. My concern is that if the zoning is changed to this what could the hospital or the future potential owner build as of right if this zoning were to be recommended to be changed and ultimately
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Is that MR. WITHERS: Is there a parking lot? MR. TRIAS: No, and that will be to negotiate it. That's not finalized, but that's the intent, yes, to keep it as a parking lot with a covenant. MR. WITHERS: Is that under discussion? MR. TRIAS: No, no. The covenant is for a parking lot. MR. BEHAR: Surface parking lot? MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Are you done with your presentation? MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Jill, we have one speaker. I'd like to open it up to public comment. THE SECRETARY: Maria Cruz? MS. CRUZ: Good evening. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Good evening, Ms. Cruz. I'd ask if you could be brief and limit your comments to two to three minutes. MS. CRUZ: Very brief. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	want to put this on the record, that adding this extra land and changing the plat should be more money for us. So as long as we get the benefit of all this and the interest for all the years they've been using it without having permission is a good idea. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Anymore speakers? THE SECRETARY: Yes, we have one more. Brett? MR. GILLIS: Hello? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead please. If you would MR. GILLIS: This is Brett Gillis, 915 CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: please state your name and address for the record? MR. GILLIS: Yes, Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand Street. I had a question. I'm not sure if it was already answered or not. My concern is that if the zoning is changed to this what could the hospital or the future potential owner build as of right if this zoning

	Page 169		Page 170
1	zoning consequence of that I would recommend to this	1	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
2	Board to include a covenant of some sort so that that	2	MR. BEHAR: I'll make it. I'll start. I don't
3	could be prevented. Thank you.	3	have any comments. I'm in favor of it. If there's
4	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Thank you for	4	no other comment I'll make a motion to approve.
5	your comment.	5	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: So we have to go one by
6	Any other	6	one?
7	THE SECRETARY: No. If he could just repeat his	7	MR. BUCELO: Neither do I. I'll move to approve
8	complete name for the court reporter.	8	it as well.
9	MR. TORRE: Brett Gillis, I think.	9	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yeah. Mr. Coller, the
10	MR. GILLIS: Brett Gillis.	10	MR. COLLER: The first one would be so the
11	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: And your address, please?	11	first motion is on F8.
12	MR. GILLIS: 915 Ferdinand Street, Coral Gables,	12	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: That is correct, one by
13	Florida 33134.	13	·
14		14	One.
	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much, sir.	15	MR. COLLER: Department recommends approval.
15	Any other speakers?		We need a motion.
16	THE SECRETARY: No.	16	MR. BEHAR: Make a motion to approve.
17	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: At this time I'll go	17	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Robert made a motion to
18	ahead and close the floor for public comment and open	18	approve.
19	it up for the Board.	19	MR. BUCELO: I'll second it.
20	Ramon, can you answer the question that	20	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex second.
21	MR. TRIAS: Yes. The answer is yes, there will	21	Any discussion? No.
22	be a covenant that will limit the development, yes.	22	Call the roll, please.
23	That's the intent of it.	23	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
24	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: That's the intent?	24	MR. TORRE: Yes.
25	MR. TRIAS: Yes, but that's	25	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
	Page 171		Page 172
1	MR. WITHERS: Yes.	1	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
2	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	2	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
3	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	3	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
4	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?	4	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
5	MR. BUCELO: Yes.	5	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
6	THE SECRETARY: Claudio Miro?	6	MR. BUCELO: Yes.
7	MS. MIRO: Yes.	7	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
8	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	8	MS. MIRO: Yes.
9	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	9	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
10	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	10	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes.	11	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
12	F9.	12	MR. TORRE: Yes.
13	MR. COLLER: F9. is the District boundary	13	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
14	change.	14	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes.
15	MR. BEHAR: Motion to approve.	15	F10.
16	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to	16	MR. COLLER: F10. is the Conditional Use for
17	approve. Is there a second?	17	the parking.
18	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	18	MR. BEHAR: Move it.
19	MR. COLLER: These are all in accordance with	19	MR. BUCELO: Second.
20	Department's recommendations.	20	MR. COLLER: In accordance with Department's
21	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes.	21	recommendations.
22	Mr. Revuelta second.	22	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Behar, and Alex
23	MR. REVUELTA: Um-hum.	23	second.
24	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Any discussion? No.	24	Is there any comment? No.
25	Call the roll, please.	25	Call the roll, please.
40			

	Page 173		Page 174
1	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	1	Any comments? No.
2	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	2	Call the roll, please.
3	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?	3	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
4	MR. BUCELO: Yes.	4	MR. BUCELO: Yes.
5	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?	5	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
6	MS. MIRO: Yes.	6	MS. MIRO: Yes.
7	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	7	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
8	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	8	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
9	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	9	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
10	MR. TORRE: Yes.	10	MR. TORRE: Yes.
11	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	11	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
12	MR. WITHERS: Yes.	12	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
13	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	13	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
14	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes.	14	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
15	And the last item.	15	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
16	MR. COLLER: F11. is the Tentative Plat. The	16	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes.
17	Department recommends approval.	17	There is real quickly, if we can do the
		18	discussion items, we need to go ahead and have the
18 19	MS. MIRO: So move. CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Claudia moved.	19	nomination for the Board as a Whole Member and
		20	election of Chairperson and Vice Chairperson.
20	MR. BUCELO: Second.	21	Robert has been with us and provided invaluable
21	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Alex second.	22	feedback and so forth, and I would personally like to
22	MR. COLLER: In accordance with the Department's	23	make a recommendation to have Robert as the Board
23	recommendations.	24	as Whole Member.
24	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: With the Department's	25	MR. WITHERS: I don't now
25	recommendation.		
	Page 175		Page 176
1	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: We're on a time limit.	1	Chairperson. Is there a motion?
2	MR. WITHERS: If you give us one more of those	2	MR. BEHAR: I personally think, since I'm a
3	fifteen minute extension motions, I don't know, you	3	Member now, that you're doing a great job. I make a
4	know.	4	motion for you to stay Chairperson.
5	MR. BEHAR: Believe me, I	5	MR. WITHERS: I second that motion.
6	MR. WITHERS: Want me to move that, Mr. Chairman?	6	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. Mr. Withers will	7	Chip, no comment?
8	second.	8	MR. WITHERS: Gators
	. 0		MR. WITHERD. Gators
9	Any comments?	9	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Go Gators.
9 10	Any comments? Call the roll, please.	9	
-	•		CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Go Gators.
10	Call the roll, please.	10	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Go Gators. So we have a motion from Robert, we have a second
10 11	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?	10 11	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Go Gators. So we have a motion from Robert, we have a second from Venny. Any discussion? No.
10 11 12	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes.	10 11 12	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Go Gators. So we have a motion from Robert, we have a second from Venny. Any discussion? No. Call the roll, please.
10 11 12 13	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?	10 11 12 13	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Go Gators. So we have a motion from Robert, we have a second from Venny. Any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
10 11 12 13 14	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes.	10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Go Gators. So we have a motion from Robert, we have a second from Venny. Any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes.
10 11 12 13 14 15	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Go Gators. So we have a motion from Robert, we have a second from Venny. Any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
10 11 12 13 14 15	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Go Gators. So we have a motion from Robert, we have a second from Venny. Any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Go Gators. So we have a motion from Robert, we have a second from Venny. Any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: No – just kidding. Yes.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Go Gators. So we have a motion from Robert, we have a second from Venny. Any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: No just kidding. Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Go Gators. So we have a motion from Robert, we have a second from Venny. Any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: No just kidding. Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Go Gators. So we have a motion from Robert, we have a second from Venny. Any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: No just kidding. Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Go Gators. So we have a motion from Robert, we have a second from Venny. Any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Of course, yes.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: No just kidding. Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Go Gators. So we have a motion from Robert, we have a second from Venny. Any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: No just kidding. Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: I can't vote on myself?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Go Gators. So we have a motion from Robert, we have a second from Venny. Any discussion? No. Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Of course, yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?

	Page 177		Page 178
1	MR. BEHAR: The Gator part was a little bit	1	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Yes.
2	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: For Vice Chair. I think	2	MR. BEHAR: Thank you.
3	Robert when I have been here has been great. If I	3	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion oh,
4	I would like to motion for Robert for Vice Chair, if	4	one thing is, the next meeting, quickly, the date
5	you would accept.	5	that we had falls within the Jewish holiday and the
6	MR. BEHAR: Sure.	6	City and Staff has asked us to move it, the next
7	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Now that you're a	7	meeting, to September 9th, which would be a Thursday
8	Member Board Member.	8	as opposed to the Wednesday. Is everybody okay with
9	MR. BEHAR: I accept the nomination. Thank you.	9	that?
10	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Is there a second?	10	MR. BUCELO: What day, Thursday?
11	MR. REVUELTA: Second.	11	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: September 9th, which is a
12	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Revuelta.	12	Thursday.
13	Any discussion? No.	13	MR. REVUELTA: The day after the meeting, yeah.
14	Call the roll, please.	14	MR. BEHAR: Not a problem with me.
15	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?	15	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Instead of the 8th it
16	MR. BUCELO: Yes.	16	would be the 9th. Everybody okay with that?
17	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?	17	(All Board Members say "yes.")
18	MS. MIRO: Yes.	18	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: All right. So we're good
19	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	19	on that. We don't need any motions on that.
20	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	20	Is there a motion to adjourn?
21	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	21	MR. BEHAR: Motion to adjourn.
22	MR. TORRE: Yes.	22	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Behar made a motion.
23		23	Second?
24	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes.	24	MR. REVUELTA: Second.
25	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	25	
45	THE SECRETART: EIDI AIZEIISIAL?	25	CHAIRPERSON AIZENSTAT: Mr. Revuelta.
	Page 179		Page 180
1	All in favor say aye.	1	CERTIFICATE
2	(All Board Members voted aye.)	2	
3	(Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:41	3	STATE OF FLORIDA:
4	p.m.)	4	SS.
5		5	COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:
6		6	
7		7	I, JANINE P. CARROLL, Court Reporter, and a Notary
8		8	Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby
9		9	certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically
10		10 11	report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
11		12	is a riue and complete record of my stenographic notes.
12		13	Dated this 22nd day of August, 2021.
13		14	Zaiou uno Zzno day Of Piuguot, 2021.
14		15	
15		16	
16		17	
17		18	
18			JANINE P. CARROLL
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
l			