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CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with the preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage of the city.

The board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the commission, one by the city manager, and the ninth is selected by the board and confirmed by the commission.

Five members of the board constitute a quorum, and five affirmative votes are necessary for the adoption of any motion.

Lobbyist registration and disclosure; any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance 2006-11 must register with the city clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before city staff, boards, committees and/or the city commission. A copy of the ordinance is available in the office of the city clerk.

Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present
to the Historic Preservation Board on applications under consideration this afternoon.

A lobbyist is defined as an individual, corporation, partnership, or other legal entity employed or retained, whether paid or not, by a principal who seeks to encourage approval, disapproval, adoption, repeal, passage, defeat or modification of any ordinance, resolution, action or decision of any city commissioner, any action, decision, recommendation of the city manager, any city board or committee, including but not limited to quasi-judicial advisory board, trust, authority or council, or any action, decision, or recommendation of city personnel during the time period of the entire decision-making process on the action, decision, or recommendation which foreseeably will be heard or reviewed by the city commission or a city board or committee, including but not limited, to quasi-judicial advisory board, trust, authority or council.

Presentations made to this board are subject to the City's false claims ordinance Chapter 39 of the City of Coral Gables city code.

I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board meeting of September
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15th to order. The time is 4:10 p.m.
Present today are Dona Spain, Peggy Rolando, Cesar Garcia-Pons, John Fullerton, Xavier Durana, Alicia Bache-Wiig, Bruce Ehrenhaft, and myself Albert Menendez.

MR. FULLERTON: And Michael.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: And Michael Maxwell. MR. MAXWELL: Saved the best for last.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: There you go.
Next item is approval of the minutes. The next item of the agenda is approval of the minutes of the meeting held on August 18th.

Are there any changes or corrections?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Mr. Chair, I have noted two minor changes. At page 54, line 15, the words "The Court" should be instead substituted with the words "Chairman Menendez."

And just prior to that in the transcript at page 54, lines 6 to 8 and lines 10 to 14, there are two references to an "unidentified speaker." The speaker is Andrea Porras, $P-O-R-R-A-S$, who is with her husband, Frank Czul Gurdian, the owner of the property that was being addressed at that point in the transcript.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay. So noted.

A motion to approve?
MR. MAXWELL: Move to approve the minutes. CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Do we have a second?

MR. DURANA: I'll second.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Mr. Durana seconds it. THE CLERK: Who made the motion?

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Mr. Maxwell.
THE CLERK: Mr. Garcia-Pons?
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes.
THE CLERK: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Durana?
MR. DURANA: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Menendez?
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Maxwell?
MR. MAXWELL: Yes.
THE CLERK: Ms. Spain?
MS. SPAIN: Yes.
THE CLERK: Ms. Rolando?
MS. ROLANDO: Yes.
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THE CLERK: Motion passes.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay. Notice regarding ex parte communications; please be advised that this board is a quasi-judicial board and the items on the agenda are quasi-judicial in nature which requires board members to disclose all ex parte communications.

An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum, or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside a public hearing between a member of the public and a member of a quasi-judicial board regarding matters to be heard by the quasi-judicial board.

If anyone has made any contact with a board member when the issue comes before the board, the member must state on the record the existence of the ex parte communication, the party who originated the communication, and whether the communication will affect the board member's ability to impartially consider the evidence to be presented regarding the matter.

Swearing in; if any persons in the audience will be testifying today, please rise to be sworn in.

THE COURT REPORTER: Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
(ALL) : Yes.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay. First case is Item No. 1, ad valorem tax relief, an application requesting ad valorem tax relief for the property at 4209 Santa Maria Street, a local historic landmark and contributing resource within Santa Maria Street Historic District, legally described as Lots 11 and 12, Block 96, Coral Gables Country Club Section Part 5, according to the plat thereof as recorded in plat book 23 at page 55 of the records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

The related special certificate of appropriateness, COA (SP) 2015-005, was granted design approval by the Historic Preservation Board on June 18th, 2015.

MS. KAUTZ: Thank you.
This is the location map of the property on Santa Maria Street. It was permitted in 1925 and designed by Robert Law Weed. It is actually one of two Italian country homes that were constructed on Santa Maria in the '20s. It was designated as a local historic landmark individually in November of

Fernandez \& Associates Court Reporters 305-374-8868 service@fernandezcr.com

## Page 8

1981 and designated as a contributing resource within the Santa Maria Street Historic District in November of 2007 .

So the application requesting tax relief is for the renovation of the historic structure and the construction of an addition and alterations to the residence. There are three COA files that are associated with this, one of which you all reviewed, (SP) 2015-05, and two standards that we had reviewed administratively which were 2015-162 and 2016-173.

I want to remind you that only portions of the proposed work will actually apply to the tax exception which is finally determined by the property appraiser's office.

So I'll scroll through some pictures, some before and afters of the property so you all can see the scope of work while $I$ describe what they did.

This is a current picture taken yesterday. These are before pictures and after.

So they got new impact-resistant casement windows to match the original configuration, new barrel tile roof, stucco repair, painting of the house, new mechanical, electrical, and plumbing
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | systems, complete interior configuration, |
| 2 | structural work that was also done to stabilize and |
| 3 | sustain the building. |
| 4 | There's a two story addition that consisted of |
| 5 | expanding the kitchen on the first floor and master |
| 6 | bedroom suites on the second floor. |
| 7 | There was a one story addition to the rear of |
| 8 | the home that you can see in this photograph to |
| 9 | expand and enclose a covered terrace as the great |
| 10 | room. The covered terrace is added, as you see in |
| 11 | this photo, surrounding the new swimming pool and |
| 12 | pool deck area. |
| 13 | Side improvements included the installation of |
| 14 | a new driveway, an auto court, and walkways, |
| 15 | installation of new swimming pool and deck, |
| 16 | installation of new landscaping, and a perimeter |
| 17 | wall and gates. |
| 18 | And I'll finish scrolling through. |
| 19 | That is it. And we are recommending approval |
| 20 | of the ad valorem abatement. |
| 21 | The owners and the architect are here if you |
| 22 | have any questions for them. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Questions? |
| 24 | First of all, let's see, is there anyone in |
| 25 | the audience who would like to speak in favor of |
|  | Fernandez \& Associates Court Reporters 305-374-8868 service@fernandezcr.com |



Okay. Then I'll close out the public hearing portion and open it up.

Dona?
MS. SPAIN: So I purchased that home in 1994. I raised my children there. I had wonderful times there. That back porch we added, so that was not something that you altered. That was original to the house.

I can't tell you how happy I am that you ended up with Ralph Portuondo as the architect because he did such a great job.

And I'm amazed about the roof terrace. That roof -- that window that you all turned into a door was originally a door going out into a tiny little balcony in the 1920 s that wrapped around the house, and when we put that terrace on we turned it into a window. So you're actually bringing that feature back the way it was originally.

And how cool it is that you can step out onto a second floor porch with a sauna overlooking the golf course. Okay. I really like that. I'm just saying.

MR. PORTUONDO: You're invited. You're invited.

MS. SPAIN: Trust me, you don't want me.
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Anyhow, thank you very much for doing such a nice job and have many, many years of happiness there.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Anything from the board members? If not, $I$ will entertain a motion.

MR. FULLERTON: I'd like to move approval. And compliments from this board to the applicant and the job that was done by the architect.

However, I do have some complaints about the plans. I'll talk to you about that sometime in the future, but I think we need to get the word out to architects in general to, you know, organize their plans in a way that makes it a little easier for us to understand.

MS. KAUTZ: Well, these, just so that you know, are a little bit different than what you normally see or should normally see for a project. These are actually the permit drawings that we require to be submitted, you know, they're not the usual format of existing and proposed, they're the actual permit drawings which are a requirement.

MR. FULLERTON: I understand.
MS. KAUTZ: Okay. I just wanted to make sure.
MR. FULLERTON: Understood. That's why I'm not making a big deal out of it right now. In
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THE CLERK: Motion passes.
MS. KAUTZ: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Thank you.
MR. FULLERTON: And we had the pleasure of seeing this house, $I$ don't know how long it was ago, maybe a year ago? Two? And we were amazed at the time. I frankly didn't realize that it was the same house that $I$ was going back to look at today.

MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, guys visited to look at the louvers.

MR. FULLERTON: Yeah.
MS. KAUTZ: Yeah.
MR. PORTUONDO: Thank you for the louvers, by the way.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Mr. Adams, are we going forward with this one?

MR. ADAMS: I believe you should probably read the item out and then we can have the owner up to address before we move forward with it.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: I'm not getting it.
MR. ADAMS: If you just proceed as normal we will get the owner up to -- she wants to address the board before moving forward with it.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay. So you just want me to continue.

MR. ADAMS: Yes. You can read the title into the record and then we'll get the owner up.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay. Item No. 7. Local historic designation, Case file LHD 2021-004, consideration of the local historic designation of the property at 1129 Sevilla Avenue, legally described as Lot 19, Block 15, Coral Gables Section A, according to the plat thereof, as recorded in plat book five at page 102 of the public records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

MS. DUBROVINA: Irene Dubrovina, I'm the owner of the property.

So I would like to have a conversation with the director. I would like ask for an extension. I know that I purchased this property about three months ago, but $I$ had a very serious family tragedy which took all my attention away from all of this, so I've not had any chance to get familiarized with the implications of getting the historic designation for this property, but I am fully back on this. And if $I$ can get an extension, say, for 30 days or until the next meeting I will be fully ready to respond to this.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: I have no problem with it. Any of the other board members have any issues with
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1 it.

MR. MAXWELL: I can't --

MR. FULLERTON: I'm sorry, I didn't --
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: She's asking for a deferment until next month.

MR. ADAMS: Just to clarify, it has also been the understanding that the designation of this property would move ahead. It is in poor condition at the moment. It was one of the subjects at the code enforcement board meeting this morning. We do believe that when it was advertised, one of the websites did state that this property would potentially be designated.

One of the attorneys representing the city has been in touch with the property owners through the entire process because she only purchased the property a few months ago, and so there always has been an understanding that the property will be designated, so, you know, just keep that in mind. And also keep in mind that the code enforcement board this morning did request that the property be secured and wind and watertight immediately to protect it for the future.

So the question really is does the board want to hear this item today or do they want to grant
the deferral.
We were advised by counsel that we should bring it forward and the board should make the determination.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: I don't have an issue with granting a deferral.

Do we need to make a motion? Would somebody like to make a motion.

MR. FULLERTON: Can we ask why? I didn't understand if you explained it before.

MS. DUBROVINA: So I purchased this property I think around three months ago, and two days after I purchased this property my mother was diagnosed with a terminal cancer and she passed away two months later.

MR. FULLERTON: Oh, I'm sorry.
MS. DUBROVINA: So I really literally could not get to this. But I'm now fully on board. I will correct -- I'll make all the corrections that are required by code enforcement. I will get the engineering reports to figure out how structurally sound or not this property is.

I am pretty experienced in this space, just not in Florida, I recently moved to Florida. I don't think the property is in any danger of

1 immediate collapse or anything like that.

That said, $I$ will be engaging a structural engineer immediately to figure out exactly what needs to be done to secure the property. I'll apply for the permit for the fence that's required and waterproof the property. I think it's pretty much waterproof for the most part. But whatever else needs to be done, I will.

And what I really need to understand is the -- my plan is to renovate the property, so I just need to understand how the historic designation is going to impact my ability on what it is that I'm able to do and not able to do. I have no plans to turn this into some modern structure or anything, none whatsoever. I bought it because I love the historic look of it. So $I$ just want a little more time to understand the consequence of this designation and I should be ready to respond one way or the other by the next hearing.

MS. SPAIN: Can I ask you a question? Have you talked with staff at all?

MS. DUBROVINA: I have just got back to, you know, life about a week-and-a-half ago and I've been in daily correspondence with the city attorney
on the code violation things so --
MS. SPAIN: You haven't spoken to the historic department?

MS. DUBROVINA: Not yet. I reached out to someone this morning. I've not had a -- they have not responded to me, although there have been a number of e-mails. So I'm in no way suggesting that they have not been responsive. It's been on me. But $I$ am going to be fully engaged going forward.

MR. ADAMS: And we did have a very brief chance to speak during the code enforcement board meeting today.

MR. MAXWELL: I have a question. Mr. Adams, this would be more for you.

Would a designation at this time assist the property owner? The house is in very severely deteriorated condition and would that assist the owner with dealing with the code enforcement board? I mean, the house needs a roof, I mean, the floors are going to have to be removed, there's structural issues. I mean, it's like interesting.

MR. ADAMS: The designation of the property would certainly help if she went through the ad valorem and tax process because this is a prime

1 candidate for that sort of thing. And it may also assist the property owner with variances should she build an addition and need any variances.

And certainly the code enforcement board this morning, they gave you, I believe, another 30 days, and one of the things that was mentioned was they wanted it to come before the preservation board to move through the historic designation process.

So I'm not sure how, ultimately, that would affect the decision of the code enforcement board this morning.

MS. DUBROVINA: I don't think it would have a great impact. They actually had concerns that if this property were to be designated historic immediately that might slow down the permitting process for the few things that I might have to do. Again, $I$ don't know whether it would or not. I suspect that --

MS. SPAIN: Can $I$ just say something? If it's designated tonight then she can move forward with a permit of like a roof or anything else if it's leaking. But if it's not designated, it puts a stay on all of that. And so $I$ would -- if it's going to be designated, I think it should be designated tonight so that she can go forward with
whatever she needs to do and pull permits for it, because sometimes a month's delay can make a lot of difference.

MR. FULLERTON: By virtue of this report we're going to designate one way or the other.

MR. MAXWELL: Right.
MR. FULLERTON: So it doesn't matter if it's next month or this month, it will be designated.

MR. MAXWELL: Your house is almost 100 percent intact on the exterior. You're down to the original styles and all original windows. I mean, you're really looking at some very interesting work. And my question to Mr. Adams at the beginning was would this help you. Okay. And what Mrs. Spain is saying is saying from what I understand is this will help you.

MS. SPAIN: I think so, unless they've changed the process. I mean, code enforcement, if she's been cited for a problem with the roof, I guess she could pull a permit to mop it in, but she needs to come to the historic department for the type of tile that's required and --

MS. DUBROVINA: So I don't think they're requiring me to repair the roof right now. They need me to produce a report that tells us where the
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1
roof is -- what the issues of the roof are and, and one of the solutions, at least until the full permit is pulled is to put a tarp on it. That's one of the requirements of the proposed order.

So I don't think it's necessary for me to have the historic designation in order to get a permit faster. And also I am working with the City now, so I don't think there would be any delays whatsoever. They want me to get the work done. So I think they actually committed to expediting any permit requests --

MS. SPAIN: I'm saying but to expedite a permit would have to come through the historic department and there's going to be a flag on your property saying "pending historic," you know, whatever.

I'm going to vote to designate it tonight. MS. DUBROVINA: I would just request a bit more time to really understand what the implications -- just if it's possible, I would like a little more time to get a handle on this. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Through the chair, Madam City Attorney, is there anything -MS. SUAREZ: I just wanted to caution the board not to get into the specifics of the -- you
know, the consideration of the designation, just consider her request for a continuance. That's what should be considered at this time. She's requesting a continuance, and so make that determination before you actually consider the designation.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Okay. Chair, I have one question for staff, $I$ think it's a similar question, so for historic preservation staff, we have an action required by the code enforcement. How does the requirements from the code enforcement office to the applicant, in your opinion, do you think they can make the temporary shoring up of the building within 30 day to come back or?

MR. ADAMS: I believe they could. But again, I'm not sure of the actual condition of the actual building. I believe you were advised to get a report --

MS. DUBROVINA: Right. And just so you know, I only just found out about this like literally a week and a half ago. I had no idea about the code violations and that was never disclosed to me at the time of sale. That said, I probably wouldn't have got to it anyway. And about this, I had no idea this was going on.
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I don't think that the property is in any kind of shape that it's going to collapse tomorrow. It certainly needs help, but it is not in danger of imminent collapse, and that said, I will do my best to get an engineer report immediately as soon as I can find one.

I have done a number of historic properties and other renovations. We recently moved here from Washington, D.C. and I deal with historic properties all the time. I've done more than 50 projects in my career. So $I$ kind of do have a good idea if a building is going to collapse or not. This is a strong block structure, including the rear structure that's missing a roof. I've been inside. It's more like a walled garden than anything else. I don't believe it's going anywhere.

But that said, I will do everything that is required to shore it up immediately.

MR. MAXWELL: When did you purchase the property?

MS. DUBROVINA: I'm sorry?
MR. MAXWELL: When did you purchase the property?

MS. DUBROVINA: So I think we closed on June

1st or June 2nd.
MR. MAXWELL: In June?
MS. DUBROVINA: Yeah.
MR. MAXWELL: This year?
MS. DUBROVINA: Yes, like two or three months ago.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Sorry. Who brought the designation before us? Was it the City?

MR. ADAMS: Yes.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Okay.
MR. ADAMS: And I think we also need to be reminded, we are in hurricane season at the moment, which is obviously another consideration.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: If I can have one follow-up, the code enforcement order, can you restate what that is that she was given this morning. What is she required to do?

MR. ADAMS: I don't have a copy of it. She may.

Do you have a copy.
MS. DUBROVINA: I have it right here.
So I need to update the vacant property thing online, which is done.

So it says I need to secure and stabilize the single family and the garage. And I've spoken with

1 the city attorney and he -- they haven't changed the wording on this but from my understanding with him is if required by the engineer's report, so if that's not required, then that doesn't need to be done. So they said they're going to give me ample time to get that done.

All of these things are now if required by an engineering report. If I had known I would have ordered an engineering report.

So there is some things that we need change. The ribbons in the driveway require repair, but that's, again, not something -- that can be done pretty promptly.

They need to.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Ma'am, I just wanted to know what the order was.

MS. DUBROVINA: Right. So secure the property, secure and stabilize the single property and the garage, within seven days clean or pass final inspection on the color pallet approval. I need to get a permit to erect a temporary construction fence, secure all windows and doors by replacing any missing glass or closing them with protective with screens, submit a structural report from a licensed engineer within ten days, fumigate
if needed, within 60 days place a white or tan cover on top of the structures. I'm summarizing them a little bit. Within 90 days apply for all required development approvals to correct the violations that require permits, obtain permits in no more than 30 days for the work that needs to be done, and within 30 days make substantial progress on the permits to the satisfaction of the building official every 30 days thereafter, pass the final inspection within 120 days and no more than 210 days.

The respondent shall consent to maintain the property to avoid any new violations. The City agrees to expedite its review of the applications for the permits, building plans, and inspections.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: So all these things have to be done whether it's designated or not?

MR. ADAMS: Right.
MR. FULLERTON: Have you done any other
historic renovation in Coral Gables?
MS. DUBROVINA: Never in Coral Gables. This will be the first project in Coral Gables.

MR. FULLERTON: Is this part of your hesitation, that you are not sure what it's going

```
Page 28
    1 to mean?
    2 MS. DUBROVINA: Yeah, I'm just not familiar
```

```
to mean?
MS. DUBROVINA: Yeah, I'm just not familiar with the process and implicate the pros and cons. That's all it is. I just -- before I say yes, I'm okay to commit to this, I just don't know the process. That's all it is.
MR. FULLERTON: All right. They'll be very helpful, I'll tell you. They've always been very helpful to people.
Anyway, I'll make --
MS. DUBROVINA: But I have, just so you know, I have dealt with a lot of historic properties in the past.
MR. FULLERTON: I'll make the motion for deferral.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Do I have a second?
MR. DURANA: I'll second.
MS. ROLANDO: Is the motion to defer?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
MR. DURANA: I'll second it.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Mr. Durana seconds it.
MS. SUAREZ: I would ask that the motion
include defer to a date certain which I'm assuming the board would want to be --
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: To the next -- I would say
```

| 2 | MS. SUAREZ: So that would be October 20th, |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | and I want to say it on the record because if we |
| 4 | have a date certain, then there's no requirement to |
| 5 | send out notices again, so October 20th, 2021. |
| 6 | MR. FULLERTON: Call role. |
| 7 | THE CLERK: Ms. Rolando? |
| 8 | MS. ROLANDO: Yes. |
| 9 | THE CLERK: Mr. Garcia-Pons? |
| 10 | MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. |
| 11 | THE CLERK: Mr. Ehrenhaft? |
| 12 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. |
| 13 | THE CLERK: Mr. Maxwell? |
| 14 | MR. MAXWELL: Yes. |
| 15 | THE CLERK: Ms. Spain? |
| 16 | MS. SPAIN: No. |
| 17 | THE CLERK: Mr. Fullerton? |
| 18 | MR. FULLERTON: It won't make any difference, |
| 19 | but I'll say yes. |
| 20 | THE CLERK: Mr. Menendez? |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Yes. |
| 22 | THE CLERK: Mr. Durana? |
| 23 | MR. DURANA: Yes. |
| 24 | THE CLERK: Ms. Bache-Wiig? |
| 25 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. |

THE CLERK: Okay. Motion passes.
MS. DUBROVINA: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Thank you.
MR. MAXWELL: See you next month.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay. Item 1, No. 8, special certificates of the appropriateness, Case File COA (SP) 2021-010, an application for the issuance of a special certificate of appropriateness for the property at 525 Alcazar Avenue, a contributing resource within Alcazar Avenue Historic District legally described as west $1 / 2$ of Lot 18 and Lot 19, Block 13, Coral Gables Section B, according to the plat thereof, as recorded in plat book 5 at page 111 of the public records of Miami-Dade County, Florida. The application requests design approval for the installation of an $S$ tile roof.

MS. KAUTZ: Put the PowerPoint up, please.
Sorry, not this one. We skipped this item. Back to the other one.

Thank you.
This is a location map of the property. It was permitted in December of 1926, designed in the Mediterranean Revival style by architect H. George Fink, who you all are familiar with. This is a

1940s photo of the property.
The application is requesting approval for the replacement of the existing $S$ tile roof with an $S$ tile roof as a replacement. The existing $S$ tile roof was installed in 1994. A 1985 Florida Master Site File Form, which was attached to your report, indicates that the roof at that time in ' 85 was a terra cotta barrel tile painted white.

No variances have been requested with this application, and the city architect administratively reviewed the project and it was deferred with the following comment: To provide a cap and pan clay barrel tile.

Our recommendation is that the tile is a contributing structure in a historic district, it originally had a barrel tile roof, and that is the appropriate roofing material for a structure of this age and type.

So the owner is here, and I believe the roofing contractor is here, if they want to get up and walk you through their PowerPoint.

MR. ASHBAUGH: Good afternoon. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak to you this afternoon.

As Kara had explained, what we were --

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Excuse me, sir. Can we have your name?

MR. ASHBAUGH: Oh, I'm sorry. My apologies. This is the first time I've done this. I haven't spoke publically since the start of the pandemic. I'm Joe Ashbaugh. I'm the owner of the property. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for about 11 years now. I worked for UPS for 30 years and this is the place that it transported me to.

So I've enjoyed living in the community, and I want to help preserve the historic designations and the residence, and so my goal today is to explain why I'd like to maintain the roof as it has been for nearly three decades.

So what we want to do is replace it with Spanish S tile. That's the shape that's currently on the roof, and as Kara had mentioned, it's been there since May of 1994. We also have a sample, if you'd like to see, that we brought in with the roofer.

So this is what we're looking to put back on the roof. It's what's on there today, and it's been in place since May of '94.

So when they put that in in 1994 the area hadn't been designated historic at that time. It
preceded it by about 13, 14 years, and so my goal with this was to maintain what's been there for nearly three decades. Restoring it back to its true nature, it does take a two-piece barrel tile concrete, but using that material it does not have, I guess, a current Miami Dade approval for that type of material.

The third bullet point says there's various residents in Alcazar on my 500 block that do have the $S$ barrel, and I took a stroll count of that, and it looks like about 67 percent of the houses that have a historical designation do have the $S$ barrel tile. The ones that do have the double barrel tile, it only constituted two houses out of that whole total of 18.

And as my roofer instructed me, he says there's not really a visible difference from the street from a layman's perspective, and it functions the same as what the true barrel is what we're asking for, is with the $S$ barrel.

And when you take a look at the house and those pictures that we had, it's basically the cricket, is the only place that that's visible, where you'll see the actual $S$ barrel -- or the double barrel that was installed in that manner.
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Noreen Cunningham, 525 (sic) Alcazar, and a letter of support from Param Singh at 524 Alcazar.

And we have a letter recommending denial of the S tile from Karelia Martinez Carbonell, the president of the Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables basically stating that the barrel tile is a character defining feature of the style. CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Thank you.

Is there anyone else in the audience who would like to speak in opposition of this case?

MR. CRONJE: Good afternoon, everybody. Thank you for taking the time to listen to me. My name is Mr. Conje, I am the vice president and qualifier of Bob Hillson \& Company. We are a roofing contractor that has been in business since 1978. And many of you know probably Bob Hillson, Robert Hillson, Tibor Torok, Mark Zanel. These are just a few people that have been working with our company over the past decades. We've been a very legitimate roofing contractor from the get-go. We installed a lot of tile roofs in this area. And I just want to reiterate that when you look at this tile from a distance for the untrained eye, you would not be able to tell the difference between the two.
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Furthermore, the functionality of these two tiles are just about the same. The water absorption is the same, the drainage is the same. There is no difference.

Also, the color of the tile is the same. In essence, the only thing that's different is the actual finished product, looking at it, is the pan and the cab and is one continuous tile.

All right. Thank you so much.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Thank you.
Anyone else who would like to speak in favor or opposition to this case. If not, I'll close it to the public hearing and I'll open it up for debate here on the board.

Mr. Adams, it seems that we're getting a lot of these cases of the barrel tile.

MR. ADAMS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: The official position of the City as far as these tiles?

MR. ADAMS: As far as the historic preservation office is concerned, it should be the barrel tile that is replaced, which is why the property owner is before the board, and the board has the authority to make their own determination, but going by the Secretary of the Interior's
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CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft.
THE CLERK: Mr. Durana?
MR. DURANA: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Maxwell?
MR. MAXWELL: Yes.
THE CLERK: Ms. Spain?
MS. SPAIN: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
THE CLERK: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
THE CLERK: Ms. Rolando?
MS. ROLANDO: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Menendez?
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Garcia-Pons?
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes.
THE CLERK: Motion to deny passed.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir.
MR. ASHBAUGH: Okay. Thank you.
MR. MAXWELL: Have a good afternoon.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay. Next case, Case File COA (SP) 2021-013, an application for the
issuance of a special certificate of appropriateness for the building currently on the University of Miami Main Campus referred to as Pentland House Building 34, located at 1238 Dickinson Drive, legally described as all of the Apartment Building 34 Pentland as now existing, laid out and in use the same being a portion of Tract 5 of amended plat portion of main campus University of Miami, according to the plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book 46 at page 81 of the public records of Dade County, now Miami-Dade County, Florida.

The application requests design approval for an addition and alterations to the structure.

MR. ADAMS: Built in 1947 the structure on the University of Miami's main campus known as the Pentland House Building 34 was one of 27 structures designed by Robert Law Weed and Marion Manley that were built to house veterans taking advantage of the GI Bill.

Part of the university's rapid growth in the post war years, these structures were styled in a modern and highly efficient manner emblematic of the International Style.

Four of these apartment buildings, each
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1 representing an original building typology were designated as local historic landmarks in November 2010.

In exchange, the university was allowed to demolish the remainder of the existing veteran's housing structures.

Pentland House is designed in the Subtropical Modern Architectural Style which was a local interpretation of the International Style.

The project consists of a two story addition to the historic Pentland House Building to house the teaching and performance spaces of the university's theater arts program. The offices of the theater arts professors and staff will be housed within the reconfigured Pentland House. This was approved under a prior COA.

Although the exterior walls of the addition do not physically touch Pentland, the adjacency to the historic building link them together. In order to accommodate the new construction and original one story service building and brick walls of a drying yard at the west end of Pentland House are proposed to be demolished.

An L-shaped portion of the brick walls of the service building will be retained to delineate a
palm garden.
Atop the brick walls is a decorative aluminum screen rising to the height of the existing third floor steel railing.

In the plan, the proposed black box and courtyard are on axis with the adjacent Pentland Building. The remainder of the building pivots away creating an angular as opposed to linear floor plan.

All of the circulation space within the building is open-air but roofed.

The modern exterior of the proposed addition is clad in stucco with metal skins as prescribed by the Board of Architects in specific locations.

On various facades the stucco is scored to differentiate between planes. The irregularly shaped and placed windows reflect the uses within.

A grill of horizontal aluminum tubes screens the west and south windows of the second floor dance studio. The same screen is shown on the partial east elevation.

No variances are being required with this application. It was reviewed and approved by the Board of Architects on September the 9th, 2021 with the following comments: One, shall use metal
panels for black box; two, shall use concrete for base of black box; three, shall use large format tile or metal panels for yellow stairs and yellow panels between windows; four, changes to these conditions require re-review by the Board of Architects; five, there was a suggestion to study the IT layout with the idea to activate the courtyard.

The suggestion to study the IT hub was in response to a question from the board chair as to whether this was the appropriate location for the facility, encouraging the university to look at alternate locations.

This building is dependent on the demolition of the existing original one story service building.

In September 2010 two of the 12 apartment buildings that remained from the original 27 were designated as a local historic landmark. At the same meeting, the other ten apartment buildings were presented to the historic preservation board for follow up determination of historic significance.

The university offered the designations of Building No. 34B Pentland and No. 35 La Gorce as

| 1 | representative of the original architectural |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | typologies and requested that the remainder be |
| 3 | allowed to be demolished. |
| 4 | The board passed the motions with the |
| 5 | following language: A motion recognizing the |
| 6 | importance of the assembly of the four preserved |
| 7 | buildings, the Brunstetter Building No. 22 is not |
| 8 | individually significant as it is represented |
| 9 | within the assembly of preserved buildings, |
| 10 | therefore, demolition by the University of Miami at |
| 11 | its discretion of this individual building will be |
| 12 | allowed. |
| 13 | When designated as a local historic landmark, |
| 14 | the designation report for Pentland and La Gorce |
| 15 | noted the following: Both Building 35 and Building |
| 16 | 34B retain their auxiliary service buildings. |
| 17 | Intended to be garbage, janitorial and laundry |
| 18 | facilities, these one story elements are original |
| 19 | to the design of the buildings. |
| 20 | Building 34 retains a small perimeter that |
| 21 | would have enclosed a drying yard. It repeats the |
| 22 | horizontal brick work of the stair towers. Both of |
| 23 | these design features should be considered as part |
| 24 | of this application. |
| 25 | Staff does not support the demolition of these |

Fernandez \& Associates Court Reporters 305-374-8868 service@fernandezcr.com

## Page 44

1 structures. The Secretary of the Interior Standards discourages the removal of historic fabric. The demolition of the service building and brick walls of the drying yard have an adverse impact on the historic structure and diminish its architectural integrity.

Furthermore, staff does not support the construction of this building immediately adjacent to the historic structure. The massing, style, and location of the proposed additions are not compatible and have an adverse impact on the historic structure in its setting. Secretary of the Interior Standard 9 acknowledges that additions awaiting or related new construction should be differentiated from the historic resource. However, it also stipulates that the new is to be compatible with massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the integrity of the historic property.

So the staff is recommending a motion to defer the design proposal for the construction of the addition to the historic Pentland House to adequately address the retention of the one story service building, address the Board of Architects' comments and provide a materials sheet with specifications for the selected materials for the subject property.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Do we have someone here from the university?

MR. HERRAN: Yes. Good afternoon, board members and city staff. My name is Ricardo Herran. I'm the campus planning director at the university. Here with us today as part of our team we have Alicia Corral who's a development services director at the university and we have Roney Mateu and Armando Rizo as part of Mateu Architecture.

First of all, it's really nice to see all of you in person. We've been living in a world of Zoom and flat screens, so it's nice to see all of you in three dimensions.

As you know, as you may remember we were in front of you back in April for a certificate of appropriateness for Pentland House. That certificate of appropriateness was for the addition of an elevator tower for ADA compliance, as well as interior renovations, and the replacement of windows.

You granted that COA, and part of the purpose for that project is to house the theater arts staff offices which will be moving into Pentland House.

Fernandez \& Associates Court Reporters 305-374-8868 service@fernandezcr.com

## Page 46

1 We received a permit for that project last week. Construction will start in October, the first week of October, and it should be completed by August of next year for a fall move-in date for the theater arts program.

As you know, this is one of four buildings that was designed and historically designated by the city, designed by Marion Manley and Robert Law Weed. The other three buildings are La Gorce house which is attached to Pentland House, and Building 48 and Building 49 which are part of the school of architect precinct.

And you'll see in an aerial now they're part of -- they're all in the same neighborhood. So we take great pride in these structures. We've made a significant investment in all four of these buildings. We've replaced the windows in Building 48. As I mentioned building -- or Pentland House will start next week, and we will be coming to you in the next few months for a certificate of appropriateness for the windows in Building 49.

The certificate of appropriateness in front of you today is essentially a companion item for the certificate of appropriateness approved in April, and it's for the balance of the theater of arts
program. And this program will house the Black Box Theater, dance studio, acting rooms, and voice studios.

So we look forward to a fruitful conversation with all of you, and we thank you in advance for your time. We'll be here at the end of the presentation to answer any questions, and with that, I'll hand it over to Roney so he can walk you through the project.

MR. MATEU: Good afternoon. Thank you for the privilege of being here and presenting our project in front of you. As I start, I'd like to just say a couple of comments --

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Could both of you state your names for the record.

MR. MATEU: Roney Mateu, FAIA from Mateu Architecture, president.

MR. RIZO: Armando Rizo with the firm of Mateu Architecture, principal and partner.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay.
MR. MATEU: This is not ours.
Okay. So we were excited to be selected by the University of Miami to do another project there. We both graduated from the University of Miami. And it was especially exciting to be able

1 to work on this project, which is not by any means the biggest project that architects are working at at the University of Miami, but we felt it was a special opportunity for us to shine our talents and add to this campus in a meaningful way.

We were excited and are excited that this is a project that the site that we were given is adjacent to the Pentland Building, knowing the history of the people involved, and especially excited that the historic building we are adding next to is of the modern vernacular which we appreciate.

As was stated earlier, the Marion Manley and Robert Weed building is in the spirit of subtropical modern architecture, and we believe that our solution and our addition proposal is in the same vocabulary.

As you can see from these images, the shaded red area is the area that we were given for the location of our project. It's not a large space. It's complicated by a number of things that impact it and limits that surround it, but these images help show the context of where our project is and the surrounding buildings, including the Watsco Center to the south of the Pentland Building, which
is a massive footprint and high, maybe five, six stories in volume, the Hecht Residential Towers to the north of the Pentland Building, which are part of the reason why this project is a project, is because they are -- the theater arts building program is actually at the Hecht Towers, and they are going to be slated for demolition in spring of next year, which also house the IT component for this quadrant of the University of Miami, so therefore why that portion of the program is a part of our building.

MR. RIZO: One note, if $I$ can add, the site is a designated buildable site in the university campus master plans per the agreements between the university and the city of Coral Gables, so it is a designated site of the few they have available remaining, at least in this quadrant of the campus, and been slated by the university now for quite a while for theater arts because of it's -- the imposed displacement that the program is facing due to Centennial Park.

MR. MATEU: So some of these images are showing the context and surrounding areas of the site and their impact on the addition proposal that we are doing next to the Pentland.
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This image shows some photographs of the one story service building at the end of Pentland on the west side. It's in the plan. It's shown in the green area with the L-shaped wall that was the old garden wall that surrounded the drawing area. This building hasn't been used for its original purposes in decades. It's currently used as a storage facility.

One of the things that $I$ wanted to point out as we move forward is that in this image, as you see there where the red arrow shows the service building that we are proposing to demolish, is that all of the buildings that were part of the original scheme and plan of Marion Manley and Mr. Weed were all -- all of them had a service building, such as the one that's there now. The difference between where we are today and the buildings that are left, that as you see all of these $L$-shaped and $S$-shaped buildings all of the service buildings were in knuckles where the three story buildings abutted the knuckle, and then they turn 90 degrees.

So it wasn't -- any of them did not have a condition as it exists today because the Pentland Building had the two buildings that are making the knuckle to the south of it demolished.
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So we feel that the importance and the proportions of the imagery of the existing Pentland Building is compromised from what the original intent was of the rest of these buildings.

So our proposal as we try to match the -- meet the program of the university was we wanted to make a composition that was respectful and harmonious to the Pentland Building. As we said earlier, the Pentland Building currently is getting permitted to -- I think the University's spending over \$5 million for that project, a project we are not involved in, but it is for the offices and the facilities of the theater arts program. So being adjacent to this building makes all the sense in the world.

Having the one story service building at the end of a long axial building solution, we felt that it might be a very good opportunity to create a space that became the glue that connected and separate the two pieces, the old historic Pentland Building from the new piece, and what we propose was to create, instead of building -- demolishing a building and building something on top of it, what we are proposing is demolishing the structure but leaving very important slump brick walls that wrap
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1 around this structure and using that as a place where an outdoor courtyard could take the footprint of the removed storage building, unifying and separating the two pieces as it is always -- as it has been stated that the federal guidelines for additions and/or buildings next to historic buildings show the difference between the old and the new so that the general person is not confused in any way about what is old and what is new.

We feel that the location of the Black Box Theater, which is the sort of iconic space for the program of the theater of arts building made a great ending of the axis started by the Pentland Building, and as such we put it on the front plane, if you will, of the new addition and separated by this courtyard, separated and united, okay, I want to keep using those two interchangeably.

The program also --
MR. RIZO: Roney, if $I$ can interrupt you. Could you go back one slide? I want to point out a very important point of our approach was also to respect --

MR. MAXWELL: Could you please talk more clearly into microphone?

MR. RIZO: Yes, sir.

MR. MAXWELL: Thank you.

MR. RIZO: I think I'll do better without this.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Thank you.
MR. RIZO: One of the things that we took special care to do was to respect the glass facades of the building in both the northeasterly and southeasterly directions and preserve the face of the building. And by the removal of the service building, which is not meeting its intent, other than being tissue or fabric of the original building in a one story, and while we are retaining all of the street brick walls that wrap that building, it was to move the building and contort it in a way where it fronts the street on Dickinson, it uses the hub as the new knuckle of the complex, and does everything possible to respect the facades of the glass windows on either side of the existing building.

MR. MATEU: Thank you for saying what $I$ was going to say.

MR. RIZO: Sorry. Just in case.
MR. MATEU: But that's why we're partners.
But back to the plan. The composition of our new piece is one that uses the idea of the
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subtropical composition where we have open corridors and break up the massing of our program through open covered walkways that are not air conditioned so that the building programs, as presented to us from the university, is broken down into pieces.

The other thing that we wanted to say, and I think Armando alluded to it, is our addition was purposely located in a way where the whole facade of the north and the south side of the Pentland Building are kept free from any obstruction from the south or the north.

And the other thing that is important to note is that these program requirements for the theater arts building have a higher floor-to-floor dimension requirement for technical reasons and for all kinds of other purposes that make the two story piece that we're adding taller than the floor to floor, obviously, of the Pentland Building.

The facade that you see here, the top drawing, shows the totality of the Pentland Building and then our intervention and addition next to it, and we take exception to the comments from staff that the scale and the proportions of our addition are not compatible with the Pentland Building.

In fact, in this elevation on the top right you see the addition that we are prosing, and the portion that goes all the way to the edge on the right side of the Black Box Building are all within the height projection of the existing Pentland Building.

The portion of the building that is behind the planes of the axis of the Pentland Building are the ones that are higher and, therefore, we located all the classrooms and dance halls, et cetera to the south of that so that the frame, if you will, of the higher portion is set back, and what you see as a pedestrian is a perception that addition of the courtyard and the Black Box Building are the things that are on the same axis as the Pentland Building.

We changed the axis of our addition to a different axis, more of a north/south axis, again, to differentiate the addition from the existing historic building.

These are more details of the relationship of the Pentland Building on the north elevation of the courtyard with the yellow stair, and then the Black Box theater.

The other things that are in the gray in the back are on the back side of that, and we can see

1 that in a minute in some of the elevations.
These images that we show here and some of the coming up sketches are showing glass in a green tint for purposes of showing what glass is versus solid. The glass is not -- because that was a comment that was also made by the staff, the glass is slated to be clear, which is why we've taken care to shade and provide shading devices wherever we have glass portions.

So here you get to start to see some of the composition. I'll say this also about the stair, we know that programmatically the staff of the theater arts building are going to be in the Pentland Building, but rather than do a physical stair connection to our building, we actually encourage by necessity the separation where you actually have to leave the Pentland Building to go up to our building. Again, further emphasizing this adjacency, but mixing apples and oranges, showing the historic and the new purposely as part of our design solution.

As stated earlier by staff, we presented this project to the Board of Architects with the conditions that were read. It was voted unanimously where we would bring to them at the
approval -- at the permitting process, the materials that we agreed to do based on our conversations with them, where the Black Box Theater will be clad in a metal skin. The yellow stairs will either be metal or a tile in the yellow, and the yellow panels on these windows on the west side would be decided.

The other thing that $I$ think is important that we have created are outdoor spaces at the ground level, the courtyard in the place, in the footprint with the remnants of the storage building that is now used as a pedestrian circulation and space, and we've added that also along the backside of the Pentland Building so that students and others can sit and enjoy the view and the vistas of the Pentland and the new addition.

We have taken a couple of hints from the Pentland Building and the La Gorce Building. The Pentland Building frames of the windows were originally blue. The frames of the windows of the La Gorce Building were originally yellow. This is where our yellow suggestion comes from.

And, in fact, one of the images that $I$ want to get to here real quick, this image here you can see that in the middle there's that little triangular
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projection that pops out of the wall that separates the higher portion of the building to the south and the lower portion of the building adjacent to Pentland, which is sort of a beauty mark gesture towards the same window treatment.

It's almost like taking one of the elements, one of the window systems of the Pentland Building and introducing it into the student lounge area on the second level.

MR. RIZO: Show the courtyard. Go back and focus on the courtyard there.

MR. MATEU: Okay. Let me get to the courtyard.

So these are renderings of the massing and the relationship between our building and the Pentland Building. The element that is the covered -- that comes down as a wall in the front that has the theater of arts title on it, is sort of representative of the piece where the new elevator and stairs are going to be introduced in the project for the Pentland Building.

So in our attempt to separate and distinguish our buildings so that there's no debate that what is old and what is new, but yet we use elements, and we have used elements and introduced things
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here that are similar in nature and similar in texture to the Pentland Building.

The majority of our building is designed in stucco, mostly white, some gray colors, but it was again a gesture towards the very simple plain white stucco treatment of the Pentland Building.

As you can see in these renderings that the glasses are clear, and again, the composition and the drawings show some of the spaces that we have created in between and around the Pentland Building --

MR. RIZO: If you go back --
MR. MATEU: And then the courtyard where the storage building was becomes a sitting courtyard with palms, and we framed a grill above it for scale purposes that has an abstracted graphics of dancers.

MR. RIZO: There's an interior view of that.
MR. MATEU: And the interior view for that, I thought we had it already, is here. And these walls, again, are the remnants that we have decided to leave of the storage building. The texture is one that matches the texture of the end of the Pentland Building all the way up at the stairs.

And we feel this is a very appropriate
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1 resolution to putting this program together for the university.
Do you have anything else to add?
MR. RIZO: No. I think it's a difficult program for any site, and the university worked very hard to pare down the program that was much larger, actually, for theater arts to bare bones in terms of programmatic space and square footage to allow us some breathing room to make it fit.
It's not a program that works with a three story building, that would probably not be appropriate either in terms of its compatibility given the low floor to floor of the existing Pentland House project for what it was intended, its intended purpose. So the solution reflects their minimum programmatic needs in terms of a college to be able to provide the education that they provide for theater arts.
And we worked very hard to make sure that it worked together as a cohesive complex in terms of given the fact that faculty and administration would be working out of Pentland and educating out of this building.
MR. MATEU: Thank you very much. We'll be here for any questions.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Thank you.

Is there anyone in the audience who would like to speak in favor of this project?

MR. HERNANDEZ: May I take this off? Thank you. I'm not sure -- there's a deferral so I don't know how you speak against or for a deferral.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Please state your name -MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. Yes. Yes. Jorge Hernandez, 337 Palermo Avenue.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay.
MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. So I just wanted to say a couple things before $I$ speak to clarify some things. I am a full professor at the University of Miami, but $I$ am not a member of the administration nor the staff, and the University of Miami, as all American universities, invest a large amount of money in the infrastructure of tenure, which $I$ hold the privilege to possess, and the reason for that is that it grants me autonomy of thought and the ability to express that thought from the position of the administration or staff.

And over the years, I think Dona and Kara can attest to the fact that many times I've been here and advocated alongside the university for some of their projects and other times not so much. So
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1 that's just a -- I don't want to be confused 2 because I'm a UM professor with people that are hired to do this work. That's the reason I'm saying that.

I'm here in favor of the proposal and I really am going to speak to two items by and large. I should also say, for those people who may not know, the school of architecture occupies the buildings just immediately around Pentland, so I have been living there for 34 years in these buildings because that's how long I've been on the faculty. So I know the environment well. I understand the scale and the quality of light and the architect of that environment.

So I do not believe the Board of Architects made the following statement, $I$ think it was a statement by the staff, I do not think these buildings are out of scale to the Pentland or Marion Manley buildings. I think the architects may have done a disservice to themselves when they showed the convocation center, which is the large basketball arena, only in 3D. Had they shown the scale of the convocation center in the elevations we wouldn't see sky in the renderings. I know it's very tempting to color sky in renderings. But that
wall, which sits less than 100 feet from Pentland, is a 60-foot wall, and the face of that wall that faces Pentland is the back of the convocation center. I know, we look onto it. So it's very blank, no openings, no doors.

If anything, the scale of this building which at times is lower and at times is higher than Pentland mediates the presence of the convocation center and certainly blocks the view of the convocation center from Dickinson Drive as it rotates back to the school of architecture away from Lennar.

So I just wanted to say for the record, I think the project is in fact in scale with Pentland and certainly not in scale with the other neighbor, which is the convocation center, which is a good thing.

So the second point I would like to talk to is about the removal of the utility building, and to do that I have to give a kind of history with my involvement with all of this.

Dona, I don't remember if you were the chair of the board or Kara was the interim chair.

MS. SPAIN: Kara was. I came in right after that. I was before and then I wasn't, then I came

1 back.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Okay. So these were designated in 2010, so 12 years ago or 11 years ago. There were three people that were advocating -- Elizabeth Plater-Zyberk was the dean of the school at the time, and Shalala, Donna Shalala, obviously the president of the school at the time, but there was a riff or a gap between the university and the city. Obviously you saw the aerial view from the fire maps. These buildings not only -- I think there were more than 27 , but early on -- you know, some of us that have been here a long time, they extended across U.S. 1, so these buildings that were designed by Marion Manley and Robert Law Weed were built up to U.S. 1 and then east of U.S. 1 near the canal.

So there were many, many more. There were five types when we designated these. As was stated, only four typologies remained. They were replicable, they were serial, they were designed to be repeated, and being a class A university, rightfully so, the university needed to discuss their removal to use the land to educate and do research.

The issue was that there was a gap. The
university wanted to remove more and the city didn't want that. So Arva Parks and Carie Penabad, some of you may know her, she wrote the definitive book on the work of Marion Manley, and I kind of came to be mercurial agents and see if we could reconcile that gap.

And the strategy that was reported earlier in the report, which is that we were able to identify one of each of the remaining types if we took the three buildings that architecture owns and uses and added Pentland to the mix. So there's an important concept in preservation called interpretation, and if you can tell the history of the building with what remains of its fabric, then the associative history is preserved. So that's why the logic that we built, and it was kind of a love fest that night when we finally came here because everybody finally agreed, right.

But the logic that we proposed that night was that we will have one of each kind, kind of like a Noah's Ark strategy because we couldn't keep all of the four remaining kinds, and we could tell the story of Marion Manley and the story of Robert Law Weed.

Most importantly, these buildings were sort of
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1 the largest HUD project immediately after the war. They were done when Bowman Ashe was the president and Harry Truman was the present of the nation. And interesting enough, these buildings were designed after Mies's design for the IIT campus in Chicago. Mies's design for IIT would have been the first International Style buildings built in America, but the construction was delayed.

MS. SPAIN: I was going to say because these are.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yeah, because construction of ITT were delayed, these buildings were built first so these are the first International Style buildings, albeit tropical ones, which I find even more interesting because the International Style is German, so these were the first International Style buildings built in the nation, but thankfully these are built by an American hand, and female hand at that, or designed by an American hand, so they're important and they have national importance.

So let me focus my comments now on the utility building, the removal of the utility building. The strategy -- let me say one other thing first. So this is the report, and I know how hard these people work. I've worked with the staff a lot.

They're amazing and wonderful and we're blessed to have them as a citizen of the city. But the report really focused on Pentland, but the resource is not one building, the resource is a grouping of four buildings, right. So in a way it's a quasi-district or a mini district, and that was the whole point for making the argument of what to save, right. So if you look at removing the utility building from Pentland, yes, it is historic fabric, absolutely. It happens to be not placed in the way it was original placed, and I think the architect mentioned that because they tore a piece of Pentland down before we were able to designate what we designated.

But the point is, there's another identical utility building in La Gorce, so 200 -- no, not even, like 75 feet away you see the utility building, so one can interpret or give a class or give a tour, and there's enough fabric remaining that you can explain the relationship of the utility buildings to the apartment buildings, and you can explain it compositionally and you can explain it socially and culturally because, you know, the students did their own laundry. They were married, returning GIs.
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So, really, I'm here to fill out a little bit of the history in a way and to say that, you know, the Secretary of the Interior Standards, if you read the introduction, it says these standards are neither technical nor prescriptive, that's the very first paragraph. It starts with that sentence, "These standards are neither technical nor prescriptive."

What they can be used for is to set a philosophical strategy, and what $I$ just laid out in my little story, and I'm sorry this is taking so long, is we constructed that philosophical strategy, and I do not believe that the removal of one of the two existing utilities building lessons that philosophical construction one bit.

Anyway, thank you very much.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Thank you.
MR. FULLERTON: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Is there anyone in the audience who would like to speak in opposition of this case?

THE CLERK: We have someone who has raised their hand on Zoom.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay. How do we get them on Zoom then?
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MS. SUAREZ: Because the city has returned to in-person meetings, if somebody from the public wishes to comment via Zoom, we're allowing it but it's not to be considered testimonial evidence since they can't be appropriately sworn given the circumstances. So you can hear it, but it can't be considered evidence for the board to consider.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay.
THE CLERK: Brett, can you hear us.
MR. GILLIS: Yes, I can you hear you. Can you hear me?

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Go ahead.
MR. GILLIS: Hello. My name is Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand Street. This is the first time Im hearing about any demolition requests. I follow historic preservation in the City of Coral Gables. I look at every agenda that I'm aware of. I see no -- I'm looking at the agenda right now. I see no note that there's a request for demolition. So the historic preservation groups have not had a chance to review this or opine on it.

And I'm really stunned the university would go back on their agreement. So many of the buildings were allowed to be demolished and now we hear they're coming back trying to demolish one of the
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```
few remaining pieces of that fabric.
So I have significant concerns about that, and
I hope that you will either defer or deny the request. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay. Thank you.
I'll close the public hearing, and open it up to comments from the board.
Mr. Garcia-Pons?
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
I have a question for staff, two questions for staff. Could you explain a little more what a deferral would yield if we request one?
MR. ADAMS: Well, firstly the Board of Architects have requested that they actually go back there with \(I\) believe it is more information on the materials that they will be using. So there is a question over some of the materials that ultimately will be proposed, and which could be reviewed at staff level should, you know, the board decide to move ahead. So that was the first one.
The second one was is there a possibility to retain the service building on the property? We are guided by the Secretary of the Interior Standards which as was said --
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Can you speak up? I'm sorry.
```

MR. ADAMS: We are guided by the Secretary of the Interior Standards, which was said, you know, are prescriptive. However, the standard that we have to go by is Standard No. 2, which states the removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.

So again, this is obviously why this is in front of the board. Staff are tied by the Secretary of the Interior Standards, so they were the main two reasons that we had recommended deferral and allowed us to bring it to the board for their consideration, allowed for an open discussion, and allowed for various people to put their feelings and thoughts forward.

And so ultimately the deferral would allow it to go back to the Board of Architects to see whether they approve any of the amended materials. It would allow time to see if there was a possibility of retaining the service buildings.

There is a back history to this, and you've actually heard from one person and possibly you'll hear from someone else who was actually involved in that. And really what we wanted to do was allow us to bring it forward and put it to the board for the
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applicant, unless you want to -CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Go ahead.

MR. HERRAN: Can I clarify something just quickly? I'd just like to state that the Board of Architects did approve the project unanimously and they did make comments on the materials. And we have no objection with working with staff on materials that will be compatible --

MS. SPAIN: That was my question.
MR. HERRAN: Yeah, we have no objection at all to working with staff on the suggestions from the Board of Architects.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: And the question for the applicant, would the applicant be amenable to a deferral tonight.

MR. HERRAN: I think we would like to move forward with your thoughts and an approval. We'd like to move forward with an approval. We're on a very tight deadine because of the August fall 2022 moving in of the theater arts program.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: You stated that this is a companion piece to the piece that came to us in April?

MR. HERRAN: Correct.
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CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Why didn't it come to us in April as a total package?

MR. HERRAN: Yes. Our original thinking was we were going to present two certificates of appropriateness applications on that at that meeting. Unfortunately, we were still working on the design and we wanted to get started on the windows for the Pentland House renovation. So we decided to divorce the two applications.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Go ahead, Mr. Maxwell.
MR. MAXWELL: I have a couple of questions about this, and I really agree with Mr. Hernandez about this, but $I$ think the scale is good and it fits really well.

I'm going to tell you that my issues are that the stair running in front of the historic wall and moving that space out sort of breaks it, and while I'm not really crazy about the supporting building, and I agree with Mr. Hernandez's discussion, I think that there should be some, shall we call memory, that's at least placed -- I would move the stair inside that space, and I would create a memory that says what that was.

And I think it's a difficult thing to deal with. The scale is good, and $I$ really like the
fact that it's highly differentiated from the other so that it sets itself up as contemporary, highly contemporary with this really very interesting tropical International Style building.

Those are my comments.
MS. SPAIN: Can $I$ make a comment on that? I really like that stair in the front. I think it's very sculptural, and I love the fact that it's yellow as homage to Jan Hochsteim who did the yellow windows, and that's why we kept them when you were contemplating the -- although we sent scrapings to a laboratory and we know what the original color was because Jan Hochsteim, who was a professor who passed away, because he had done that when it was moved from the engineering building, when the school of architecture was moved to where it is now, he wanted the windows to be yellow. And so I think it's quite nice to have that. MR. HERNANDEZ: I want to say one thing as a matter of history. What's interesting is that when Jan was asked to redo those buildings they were not designated yet, and when we came to -- the color thing was a quandary for us, and I guess we were swayed by our love for Jan who taught until the last day of his life, essentially, and he had brain
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1 cancer

But what was interesting when we looked at it, the buildings had been colored as Jan recast them for exactly the number of years that they were colored as they were previously. So we thought, again talking about interpretation, that it would talk about a guy who was a Holocaust survivor from Poland who remade his life in America, loved modern architecture, got a chance to remodel those buildings, and used the primary Bauhaus colors for the buildings.

And then the Pentland House windows we were able to say, well, this one we'll do with the original blue. So just --

MS. SPAIN: I think he's also a graduate of the University of Miami in the '50s.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Yeah.
MS. SPAIN: That's right.
MR. HERNANDEZ: Sorry.
MS. SPAIN: We digress.
MR. HERNANDEZ: That's what happens when you get too old.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: My concern is that basically these buildings were made historic and now we're knocking down parts of this historic
structure. And I guess they were made historic so that other buildings could be knocked down.

MS. SPAIN: Well, a part of this building already was knocked down.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Before it was designated. MS. SPAIN: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: But it's designated now. MS. SPAIN: I understand. I also don't have an issue with it. I agree with Jorge Hernandez. As long as they're -- and I tried to find whether was a storage building still there.

MR. HERNANDEZ: It's attached to La Gorce.
MS. SPAIN: I'm glad you said that.
MR. HERNANDEZ: Yeah, right where the wood shop is.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Understood. Understood.
But what happens the next time the university wants to build something?

MS. SPAIN: Well, and that's true.
MR. ADAMS: Or what happens should someone else take the same approach that we can knock that side of the house -- that wing of the house down because there's another identical -- you know, I mean, there is this issue with potentially setting a precedent.
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CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: That's the quandary, because we want to allow the university to, you know, expand to have better facilities -MS. SPAIN: I have a question for Rick.
It was mentioned that the university has designated this area or this site as a construction site. I'm not exactly sure that's the term that was used.
MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes.
MS. SPAIN: But what site is that? What are the parameters of the construction site. Is it just where they're building now?
MR. HERNANDEZ: That is exactly where we're building, the parameters that were shown. I don't know if we can pull up the presentation. But it's pretty much where the building footprint that is being proposed sits today. Behind that we have circulation that is required for the Watsco Building for fire and all sorts of other reasons.
MS. SPAIN: But it didn't include the Pentland Building as a potential construction site? MR. HERNANDEZ: No, it does not. MS. SPAIN: Just checking. MR. FULLERTON: I love the building, and I
``` think Mr. Hernandez has explained to us that that one element that is going to be removed has been replaced or it exists in context with the composition of the other ones that remain. So that's maybe a rationale for allowing this exciting element to be put into that area, much as it has been done around that campus.

I remember 50 years ago walking that campus and wondering having spent six years at the University of Florida where there are beautiful old buildings, I couldn't understand why anybody would want to go there. But it has changed so dramatically over these last years that I think this is just one more step in that direction, in that right direction.

And I think you're creating -- I drove around there, I have several times, my wife and I have had to spend a lot of time at Lennar, so while she's there I'm driving around the campus and looking at opportunities there. And this is one place which is devoid, \(I\) think of, other than the Perez Building, which was an addition to a composition of existing similar buildings, and yet it made that space more important.

And, wow, you know, I've been on this board
```
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probably as long as has been around.
MS. SPAIN: You've been on longer, Dear.
MR. FULLERTON: Yeah. But I think it's a beautiful building and beautiful addition to the space. I love the yellow stair. I love the Black Box. I think it's really an inspired kind of a piece of work.
So that's all I have to say. And I would move to approve it.
MS. SPAIN: Was that a motion?
MR. FULLERTON: Well, if it's appropriate.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: If there's room for one bit of discussion before?
MR. FULLERTON: Of course. MR. EHRENHAFT: I still had a couple of questions I might -MS. SPAIN: Can $I$ second it for discussion? I'll just second his motion, then we can have the discussion. MR. FULLERTON: Sure. MR. EHRENHAFT: When I'm looking at the drying room, if you look at the photos that are page D-100, they show in Photo No. 2 at the top left the existing drying room.
MR. FULLERTON: Bruce, can you remove your

```
mask for a moment.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. I'm sorry.
So if one looks at Photograph 2 on Schematic D-100 it shows the exterior of the drying room with the slump brick walls. If you look down to No. 4 at the bottom, then the inside, I guess it's on the parking lot side, I'm not sure, of that same structure, it has no slump brick on the back. It looks like it's just, you know, plain concrete. So one sees that there are two perpendicular walls with the slump brick on it, and at the end where there's a grill for ventilation there's a stepdown in that wall. So if one looks to their palm court schematic, which is showing what the interior of the courtyard would be on Schematic A-706 or drying A-706, one sees slump brick walls there, and the grillwork is on top of slump brick. And I'm wondering whether the two lower arms of that slump brick wall are actually parts of the original structure itself or whether they're proposing to totally demolish it. I see to the right of what could have been the two slump brick walls that one sees in the schematic on \(D-100\) almost look like they could be the two short arms of that slump brick wall in the palm court
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rendering.
And then to the right it appears that they've gone a story or two higher with the higher slump brick wall.
MR. RIZO: I'm sorry. Can you hear me? The slump walls on the right are the slump walls of the end of the three story portion of the Pentland House --
MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, okay.
MR. RIZO: -- which encloses the stairwell. It's the vertical circulation. So it's an existing component and we wanted to emphasize that wall as part of preserving that wall, as well as the stepped wall which faces Dickinson Drive --
MR. EHRENHAFT: So what I'm seeing in that rendering of the palm court include two walls of the drying room and then the adjacent higher slump brick wall at the end of the Pentland Building?
MR. RIZO: That is correct.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. So you are taking down the roof, you're demolishing the --
MR. RIZO: Two other walls.
MR. EHRENHAFT: -- plain concrete that was on the other aspect of the drying building, and actually retaining two walls of the original

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 2 & MR. RIZO: That is correct. \\
\hline 3 & MR. EHRENHAFT: I thought that if the board \\
\hline 4 & was inclined to allow this that Mike's comment was \\
\hline 5 & a good one, which is to have some sort of a -- \\
\hline 6 & MR. RIZO: Recollection. \\
\hline 7 & MR. EHRENHAFT: -- modest exhibit that \\
\hline 8 & explains, you know, in a way that's concise but \\
\hline 9 & explains what went on there -- \\
\hline 10 & MR. RIZO: That's our intent. \\
\hline 11 & MR. EHRENHAFT: -- so that -- I don't know \\
\hline 12 & whether it would be done inside the other structure \\
\hline 13 & in the lobby of the -- you know, the theater or \\
\hline 14 & whether it would be out in the patio, but that \\
\hline 15 & would be open to elements. But that would be of \\
\hline 16 & interest to speak this was an accommodation where \\
\hline 17 & you're still showing the idea of what was there and \\
\hline 18 & why it was there so people can learn. \\
\hline 19 & MR. RIZO: Okay. \\
\hline 20 & MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair, May I? \\
\hline 21 & CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Mr. Maxwell? \\
\hline 22 & MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Rizo, may I ask you a \\
\hline 23 & question, please. \\
\hline 24 & MR. RIZO: Yes, sir. \\
\hline 25 & MR. MAXWELL: The stair, I really like it. I \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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1 think it's really cool, and what you've done is very, very interesting. Would it be possible to move that stair behind the slump wall so that not only that this sort of memory of space occurs, you know, where you can see where the space was, but maybe it's turned into a connection or a knuckle where that stair pulls inside that slump wall and so that now it becomes an entry exit to be celebrated as, well, this is that connection to this rather than being on the outside of it. It's a question.

MR. RIZO: You want to answer that, Roney, or maybe we both will.

MR. MATEU: The reason we put the stair on the exterior portion is because of the -- I think I mentioned earlier that we don't have a physical connection of the Pentland Building circulation, stair at the end, and therefore we put that stair on the outside of the slumped wall.

And, by the way, in the renderings it shows the stair up to the slump brick wall. We would be probably interested in separating it completely so that there's a gap between the stair and the slump wall.

But if we put it on the inside then the
connection of the stairs from the Pentland Building into the theater arts building is lost because we would either have to break through the slump wall, which we don't want to do, to get into it from the inside of the courtyard. This is why we did it on the outside because the circulation from the stair tower at the end goes right in.

If we have it on the inside, then we lose that connectivity for circulation between faculty.

MR. RIZO: There was one additional reason for its location besides the functional practicality of coming out of Pentland as faculty and running up the stairs to your class.

And the other one was out respect out of the footprint of the service building and where it now -- it once sat and now becomes a palm court or a garden, a garden being one of the major themes of the university, known for it's lush landscape, et cetera.

But we thought that would be an imposition on that footprint and we wanted to respect the footprint and just acknowledge its existence with the treatment that we would do on it as a recollection in the garden, and imposing such a harsh modern stair on top of it that wasn't really
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1 jelling with the function of the building, we thought was not the best course and that's why it resulted in the image that you see here.

MR. MAXWELL: It's a service building, and a stair is a service, okay, and stair towers are services, you know, and while I think the architecture is very good, I mean, I think that the point that staff has is very good too.

And if you can make this work as a service kind of thing, I mean, by essentially eliminating the roof, you know, we're trying to make a compromise here. So I understand what you're saying, but \(I\) also as an architect also can see it, you know, in multiple different ways like you.

So that's my comment. Thank you. MR. FULLERTON: In your rendering it indicates a handrail on both sides, so I agree with you're pulling it away from that wall. So I think that's a successful idea, and your rendering indicates kind of that concept. I like that.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: I think this is an exciting design. It creates some beautiful spaces, but I'm hesitant at the precedent that this is going to put forward the next time something -- you know, the next time there's a project and something
needs to be knocked down.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Mr. Chair, I think
Ms. Bache-Wiig wants to say something.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: I just wanted to make a couple comments. I think it's definitely a challenging project. It's almost like an in-fill project, you know, at the end of the day.

I think, first off, in terms of protecting the existing, you know, historical structure, I think the plaza in front is successful, it's quiet, and I think it's a nice, you know, floor plan to the Pentland Building. I think the Black Box pulling it back all the way to the corner is great.

I think in terms of scale, the scale's fine. I mean, \(I\) think there's even nods to the existing Pentland, you know, with your exterior stairs, those proportions, those ribbons, if you will. I think you're, you know, taking that language from Pentland, so I think that's appropriate.

I think your nod, you know, you called it a beauty mark, \(I\) think it's great. I think your materials on that, \(I\) don't know, knife wall, it's like that brick, I guess, pattern is also like, you know, in the spirit of the slump brick but it's not slump brick.
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I think definitely the memory of, you know, the potential memory of that building with a courtyard is also, you know, really appropriate and it's useful. I mean, we need some green space wherever we can.

I can understand a little bit of what staff is saying in terms of, I think when they mean scale, I think maybe it's sometimes the placement of some of the windows or, you know, maybe because you go from horizontal and then vertical, but that's fine.

I think the only thing \(I\) would suggest, and this is to staff's comments about the adjacency and the closeness to Pentland, is just here on number -- hold on -- okay, A-705, exterior plaza area, so here, sorry, I'm pointing here, I think just the glazing, the last section of that glazing is so close to that eyebrow from Pentland, maybe you just don't have that last section of glazing, and it's just blank so that it reads like you're stepping a little bit away from Pentland. You know what \(I\) mean?

So I think that could address their concern about it being so close to the building that there's some -- there's a pause, you know, there's a blankness, and \(I\) think you can achieve it by just
making that last panel maybe solid, I don't know, just because that eyebrow is -- when you look at the plan of the eyebrow, if I'm reading it correctly, hold on, it's close.

MR. MATEU: The eyebrow on that rendering, it actually doesn't go up --

MS. BACHE-WIIG: No, I know it doesn't touch it.

MR. MATEU: -- on this side of the stair.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: No. No.
MR. RIZO: If I interpret what your suggestion is, which is not a bad suggestion, is to provide a white wall buffer at the end of the windows.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yeah.
MR. RIZO: Cut it back so it turns the corner a little cleaner. I think that's a great suggestion.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: I think just there so it's not so -- so you're not running into the building. MR. RIZO: It's well taken. It's a good observation and we're certainly amenable to that, and we don't see any reason not to do it.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Okay. I think it will address the concern of touching.

MR. RIZO: Thank you.
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MR. GARCIA-PONS: Through the chair, I have, if nobody else, I have two things. If i can make a formal amendment to the motion, actually maybe a couple. One is to separate the stair from the slump wall. If the mover accepts that, I would like to make that part of the motion.
Second is to accept the Board of Architects' recommendations and to have them work with staff to approve them.
Third is Ms. Bache-Wiig's comment now about the return of the wall to the window.
Those are the three formal ones. And then I have a question I'd like to ask of the applicants. But if you can sort of -- if the mover wants to accept those first.
MR. FULLERTON: I'll accept the first two as to the wind wall that would come out from that wall. I would let you stay that and bring the eyebrow away from it instead of adding more wall.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: The eyebrow is 30 feet away. MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: But it already is 30 feet away.
MR. FULLERTON: That's fine, yeah.
MR. ADAMS: I would also suggest that if

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 2 & MR. GARCIA-PONS: I'm sorry, Warren. \\
\hline 3 & So are you accepting that third one or no? \\
\hline 4 & MR. FULLERTON: The third one, meaning the \\
\hline 5 & wall -- \\
\hline 6 & MR. GARCIA-PONS: Ms. Bache-Wiig's -- \\
\hline 7 & MR. FULLERTON: -- the glass. I was just \\
\hline 8 & making a suggestion -- \\
\hline 9 & MS. BACHE-WIIG: Mr. Fullerton, all I was \\
\hline 10 & saying was here, you see how that glazing is so \\
\hline 11 & close -- \\
\hline 12 & MR. FULLERTON: Right. And you look at the -- \\
\hline 13 & MS. BACHE-WIIG: -- to the existing. Just \\
\hline 14 & don't go all the way with the glazing. \\
\hline 15 & MR. FULLERTON: No, I understand. And I was \\
\hline 16 & looking at that as you were telling us about that, \\
\hline 17 & and I understand your concern. \\
\hline 18 & I was just thinking that on 705 there's a \\
\hline 19 & similar arrangement or a similar view which shows \\
\hline 20 & the overhang approaching the glass. \\
\hline 21 & MS. BACHE-WIIG: What sheet is that? \\
\hline 22 & MR. GARCIA-PONS: Mr. Fullerton, can you speak \\
\hline 23 & into the microphone, please. \\
\hline 24 & MR. FULLERTON: Oh, sorry. \\
\hline 25 & MS. BACHE-WIIG: What sheet are you on? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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MR. FULLERTON: So I see it in No. 1, exterior plaza area. No. 2 I see it from an aerial view, and it looks like the overhang is dropped away from the glass, pulled back away from the glass. So it appears to me not to be impinging itself on the glass, really, and \(I\) would rather have more glass.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: I think if you look at sheet -- \(I\) saw it in a plan and it looked really close.

MR. FULLERTON: Yeah.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: That's why I mentioned it. I think it's a couple feet away, not more. It's right there.

MR. MATEU: We don't have a problem moving the glass.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: But, you know.
MR. FULLERTON: It's just if you move it over on the second floor, what do you do with it on the first floor? You bring it all the way down? Sorry?

MR. MATEU: The first floor is open. So it's only the student lounge --

MR. FULLERTON: So it looks like something by itself that would just have a frame around it?

MR. MATEU: Yeah.

MR. FULLERTON: Okay. Thank you.
So I accept that.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Thank you, sir.
And then \(I\) have a question for the applicants or the architects. And, of course, I appreciate Professor Hernandez's comments.

The question specifically is the slump wall that's being retained is just the wall itself, and I think Mr. Ehrenhaft was going there and I don't know if -- I thought he was going to go someplace slightly differently.

One of the things that makes this building separate from the other slump wall, which is a few fee away that's going to be demolished that is a wall, is that this was a building, and there is something about that corner, right, that top right corner and/or this sort of horizontal line of the roof line that projects a few inches out that gives it that third plain as opposed to just a remnant of a wall, which is not what it was. It was a building.

So when \(I\) was looking at it \(I\) was lost for a few minutes as to why it looked off, and I realized it was those metal louvers and that little wall that made that proportion of that rectangle, the
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MR. MATEU: We could do that.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: And something on the top, right, that beautiful horizontal line. I don't know what the depth of that would be, right, if it's going to have a few inches or a couple of feet.

And I'm not even advocating that you keep the exact metal louvers because there is something about the transparency between the courtyard and the plaza in front, but at least the proportion of those three elements, and even if they're metal elements --

MR. MATEU: We can do that.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: If that's okay with you,
that would a recommendation again to the movers.
MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair?
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Go ahead, Mr. Maxwell.
MR. MAXWELL: That goes back to my question --
MR. FULLERTON: If the architect is good with it, I'm good with it.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Thank you, sir.
And the second --
MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Rizo, that goes back to my question, okay, about the stair and the location of the stair. You know, by putting the stair in front
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of that wall, you obviate everything that you've just agreed to because now you can't see it. Okay. But you could take the stair and you could turn the stair at a certain point where it could land inside. It could still be outside on the part of the building but you could turn it.

MR. MATEU: Actually, you can see it if you look at --

MR. MAXWELL: I understand, but it blocks that view. Okay. In other words, it's like putting a screen in front of, you know, the building. And so, you know, take a look at how that would be, and when you look at the paving pattern, you know, that's being proposed if this is it, you know, that doesn't give you that memory. You know, it actually breaks it up. It's like broken teeth in your smile.

So I would move to move, at least at some point turn the stair somewhat so it lands inside. It can still go outside, outside that wall, but you're going to hide that wall.

And so if you agree to what Mr. Garcia-Pons is saying, then you've just restored a wall that you're not going to be able to see.

MR. FULLERTON: Doesn't that stair have a
see-through railing? I mean, it's yellow, but is it solid?

MR. MATEU: Again, I don't won't to belabor it, but the stair -- the stair is a piece of sculpture in this design, and you can actually -especially as we proposed to do, also, and agreed to separate it from the wall a foot or two, you know, separate it so it's absolutely separate.

You can see past -- once you get to the landing you're about the height of the wall that we're proposing to now keep with the louvers. So you would be able to see that from walking by from any perspective. From the landing up, you know, it doesn't -- you know, the wall is already below you.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: The floor of the landing would be at the height of -- I see it. What you're saying is the floor of the landing is at the height of the top of that --

MR. MATEU: Exactly. We've got to detail it and figure out, but we can make it so that the landing --

MR. RIZO: We can raise the landing so you see more of the wall. I think turning the stair into an L-shaped stair or some other contortion of the stair probably would dilute the design, the
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strength of the design compared to how it reads right now and the strength it provides.
I for one, and, you know, we're a team here of architects, as you know how we work in offices, there's debate on everything and sooner or later you make a decision and you go with it. I would be -- if we had to reimagine the stair, it probably would be preferable just to move it inside.
MR. MAXWELL: That was what I'm saying, yes.
MR. RIZO: Which is what I think you wanted initially.
MR. MAXWELL: Yes, exactly.
MR. RIZO: Now, that may or may not work in a straight shot anymore because I think --
MR. MAXWELL: No, I'm saying to turn it.
MR. RIZO: -- it would land -- we would be hitting the existing wall of Pentland.
MR. MATEU: (Inaudible.)
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Mr. Mateu, into the microphone, please.
MR. RIZO: Yes, you lose the -- what Mr. Mateu was reminding me, is that you lose the functional relationship of the proximity of the entrance of that stair to the exit of the stair of Pentland and that intercommunication between faculty and the

```
user of the buildings being able to go, hence, from one end to the other very easily, especially if it's slightly raining or inclement weather. You'd make them go a longer way.

And I think we can tweak the stair so that the horizontal element where you see the landing, make sure that that clears the height of the wall we're talking about and you only see --

MR. MAXWELL: You know, this is -- you know, I mean, I understand it's a design element, but you've got a lot of design elements on this and by pivoting that so that it goes on the inside rather than the outside, I mean, I don't really see what the objection would be other than aesthetic.

And, you know, the idea is to try to preserve this and to try to make some kind of compromise. I mean, that's just my own thought. And if you can't turn it, okay, fine. But in the meantime you're agreeing to restore a wall that you're not going to be able to see.

MR. FULLERTON: There's a motion on the floor. Call the question.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Is there a second?
MS. SPAIN: Yes. Yes.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Peggy?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & \\
\hline 1 & MR. GARCIA-PONS: With the four amendments. \\
\hline 2 & MR. FULLERTON: With the four amendments, yes. \\
\hline 3 & MR. ADAMS: Can I ask that you perhaps give \\
\hline 4 & some sort of findings as to why the proposed \\
\hline 5 & demolition is appropriate? I'm not really \\
\hline 6 & comfortable with it being in the record that, well, \\
\hline 7 & there's another one over there so it's okay to take \\
\hline 8 & this one down. \\
\hline 9 & Can you perhaps give of findings, maybe even \\
\hline 10 & what you suggested earlier that had already been \\
\hline 11 & altered? \\
\hline 12 & MR. FULLERTON: Well, there is a remnant in \\
\hline 13 & this composition, isn't there, that replicates the \\
\hline 14 & location and height of that add-on, the slump brick \\
\hline 15 & wall? \\
\hline 16 & MR. ADAMS: And as the existing building had \\
\hline 17 & previously been altered. \\
\hline 18 & MR. FULLERTON: I'm sorry, I didn't \\
\hline 19 & understand. \\
\hline 20 & MR. ADAMS: And as the existing structure was \\
\hline 21 & previously altered? \\
\hline 22 & MR. FULLERTON: Yes. Yes. \\
\hline 23 & MR. ADAMS: Right. So it's not in its \\
\hline 24 & original form. \\
\hline 25 & MR. FULLERTON: Correct. The remnant is there \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
and the impression remains, and I think -- and as I said in my motion, that there is an alternative to look at to see if there's any -- you know, you need to find something specific about what was there. You can look at it.

MR. MAXWELL: So are we going to see this again when it's finalized?

MR. FULLERTON: Huh?
MR. MAXWELL: Are we going to see this again when it's finalized if we vote on your --

MR. FULLERTON: You'll see it. You'll see it. It's going to be separated from the wall, and even if you look at this elevation here, you can still see the wall.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Is that a requirement or part of the motion that it come back here?

MS. SPAIN: I don't think it should be.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: That wasn't the question he was asking, John. He was asking if the project is going to come back before the board.

MR. FULLERTON: If we approve it, then --
MS. ROLANDO: It shouldn't.
MR. FULLERTON: -- the architects' board should review it.

MS. SPAIN: Right.

amendments. One is to separate the stair wall from the slump wall.

Two is to accept the Board of Architects' recommendations and have those be worked out with staff for review and approval.

Third is to accept the return of the wall on the back for the glass separation between the existing building and the new glass piece on the second.

Fourth is to add to the slump wall the entirety of the facade of the existing building in at least its proportion with a horizontal element at the top where the roof would be, and where the metal louvers are to at least have some sort of a metal screening in a proportion of those metal louvers.

Those are the four amendments, plus the information of the reasoning behind it being that the buildings have been recently altered and aren't -- don't function -- don't serve the function as they were intended, and that the original dedication was of a grouping of buildings of which there's already a service -- similar service building as part of that existing grouping of buildings.
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MS. ROLANDO: I have one question. Is the -the walls that bound the landscape, the palm court landscaped area, are they the original walls of the service building?

MR. RIZO: Yes.
MS. ROLANDO: And so the idea would be to retain the finish for the -- like a parapet around those two walls to comparable or the existing?

MR. RIZO: The idea as we understand it is to retain the entirety of the front wall of the service building as it faces Dickinson Drive.

MS. ROLANDO: Okay. Thank you.
MR. EHRENHAFT: May I ask one more question? MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft, go ahead. MR. EHRENHAFT: I forgot to interject earlier, and you're way down the line in the motion, but \(I\) thought if you might make as a friendly suggestion or a number five, behind putting the grillwork where the vents were in the side of the building, if there could be some sort of a demarcation on the floor of the inside of the palm court, perhaps using slump brick or anything else that they could to show where the other two walls that are demolished were lying.
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I don't know. I would leave it up to -MS. ROLANDO: In pavers.

MR. MAXWELL: Of course, you can.
MR. MATEU: Actually, I was sitting here thinking that maybe to extend the remnancy of the building we're proposing to demolish, that maybe as a pattern on the ground where --

MR. EHRENHAFT: Exactly.
MR. MATEU: -- the palm yard is. Maybe even some pieces that are where the old walls were, that maybe some of them actually become benches and seating areas where the complete shape of the historic building may be remembered. I think that's an excellent -MR. FULLERTON: That's a great idea. MR. MAXWELL: So is that a -- Bruce, is that an amendment?

MR. EHRENHAFT: That would be a friendly amendment -MR. FULLERTON: Six, number six. MR. EHRENHAFT: -- for staff to work out some solution that would also show the memory of -without impeding or causing any trip hazards or danger inside, but something to show where the -exactly the length where the other two walls were.
```
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MR. FULLERTON: I think that's a great idea.
And, Roney, I think your thought about some benches
in that shape to recall the overall shape of that building would be terrific.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Staff will follow up,
correct?
MR. FULLERTON: So, Dona, do you agree with the second of that?
MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. FULLERTON: Okay.
THE CLERK: Okay. Mr. Menendez?
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Durana?
MR. DURANA: Yes.
THE CLERK: Ms. Spain?
MS. SPAIN: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
THE CLERK: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
THE CLERK: Ms. Rolando?
MS. ROLANDO: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Garcia-Pons?

```

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Maxwell?
MR. MAXWELL: Yes.
THE CLERK: The motion passes with the amendments.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Thank you.
The next case is Case File COA (SP) 2021-015, an application for the issuance of a special certificate of appropriateness for the property at 534 Menendez Avenue, a contributing resource within the Chinese Village Historic District, legally described as Lots two, three and four, Block 100, Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 2, according to the plat thereof, as recorded in plat book 28 at page 18 of the public records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

The application request design approval for the construction of a freestanding gazebo and sitework.

MR. ADAMS: The Chinese Village exists as a unique assemblage of residences which share distinctive designs generated from the Chinese architectural tradition, transplanted and adapted for the newly developing city of Coral Gables.

The request is for the new construction of \(a\)
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285-square foot gazebo located to the rear of the property between the swimming pool and the rear property line that will be set back from the front end of the property approximately 71 feet and 12 feet, six inches from the rear and measures approximately 18 feet by 16 feet and is roughly 13 feet high. Construction is of four 10-by-10 inch cast in place concrete columns and a flat concrete roof.

Decorative elements include three-inch by three-inch woods posts, ornamental lighting, cast in place recessed corner brackets, wooden brackets, and copper scuppers and decorative parapets.

The concrete structure will be white in color. The corner posts and brackets will be brown, and the decorative parapet will be blue.

No variances have been requested.
The Board of Architects reviewed and deferred this project once, and once by the city architect before ultimately being approved by the city architect with the following comment: Recess stepped brackets from face of concrete columns and beam. This appears to have been done.

And the applicant is requesting approval for this design. Staff is recommending approval with
the condition that the proposed color of the concrete patio and concrete pavers be specified and submitted to staff for review.

MR. MORENO: My name is Mario Moreno from Bellon Architecture.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Can you speak a little more loudly and into the microphone, please.

MR. MORENO: Oh, sure. Sure.
We are requesting approval for the proposed gazebo that is going to be a freestanding gazebo on the patio of this residence.

Basically we have to follow up the details that we do have on the existing house like, you know, brackets, and overhangs, columns, and colors, you can see in the presentation, brackets. Same type of brackets that we have on the main house, right.

Also, we are providing evaluations from the street that, as we say before, the setback is plus or minus 70 something feet. I mean, it's not going to be at any impact -- it's not going to create any impact on the Sansovino Avenue.

So we're looking for approval. As you guys are aware, the Board of Architects approved it. It's still pending some specifications of the
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    1 colors for the driveway, for the concrete slabs.
colors for the driveway, for the concrete slabs.
And that's pretty much the status of this application. All right. If you have any questions...
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Is there anyone in the audience who would like to speak in favor of the project?
MR. SEMERARO: Hi. My name is Mario Semeraro.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Please step up to the microphone.
MR. SEMERARO: Hi. My name is Mario Semeraro. I'm the owner's representative on the project, and we're just trying to seek your approval as far as our design integration with the Chinese Village gazebo project with some landscape upgrades and just simple slabs.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay. Thank you.
Is there anyone in the audience who would like to speak against this project? No.
Okay. I'll open it up to debate here on the board.
MS. ROLANDO: Can you tell me what sheet -- or Photograph no. 3, the third sheet, what this represents? Is that a view from the interior? Is it --

MR. MORENO: I'm sorry, which sheet.
MS. ROLANDO: The third sheet.
MR. MORENO: The third sheet?
MS. ROLANDO: This.
MR. MORENO: Okay. That we brought that --
MS. KAUTZ: I have the PowerPoint presentation.

MR. MORENO: On the first review of the Board of Architects --

MS. ROLANDO: I'm sorry?
MR. MORENO: Can you hear me?
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please, again.
MS. ROLANDO: Please repeat.
MR. MORENO: On the first review that we did with the Board of Architects, one of the questions was from where we took that bracket on the proposed gazebo, and the answer was from the existing patio, covered patio that we have on the back of the house.

MS. ROLANDO: This is an existing condition then?

MR. MORENO: Right. Yes. So --
MS. ROLANDO: From the interior --
MR. MORENO: Yes.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Of a different --
```
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MS. ROLANDO: -- of the main structure?
MR. MORENO: Yes.
MS. ROLANDO: Okay. Thank you.
MR. MORENO: That photo was the answer for
that particular question exactly.
MS. SPAIN: And is that a pitched roof on that
structure that we're looking through?
MR. MORENO: If it's a pitch?
MS. SPAIN: It is a --
MR. MORENO: Yeah, it's a ridge in the middle.
MS. SPAIN: It's a pitch.
MR. MORENO: You're talking about the existing or the one we proposed?
MS. SPAIN: The existing.
MR. MORENO: On the existing. It's a flat roof.
MS. SPAIN: The one that's existing is also a flat roof?
MR. MORENO: Yes. Correct.
MR. FULLERTON: Where is this?
MR. SEMERARO: It's a screened in patio facing the pool.
MR. FULLERTON: Where is this one?
MR. MORENO: That's on the -- I will show you this picture. IN between the pool and the existing

```
residence. If you see SP 1.1 is the existing covered porch, right?

MR. FULLERTON: Where is the proposed one? I see.

MR. MORENO: Right. That's the elements that we're basically replicating, right, on the proposed gazebo. We're trying also to match the colors and, you know, go with the Oriental motifs that the residence has.

MR. FULLERTON: You know, those Chinese Village homes are special, they're beautifully detailed, beautifully drawn, beautifully conceived, beautifully built. I find this to be really kind of like, well, let's just throw something out there. I don't feel that it has any relationship to the intention and the beauty of those homes.

This is big heavy concrete and the homes are -- they're lighter. The whole roof structures are -- they fly. This just kind of --

MR. MORENO: Well, I mean, if you see this structure in a pedestrian way -- I mean, of course if you see like maybe an aerial we can agree with you, but basically on the pedestrian view on the daily basis you will see the replica on the full element that come from the entrance of the house,
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & \\
\hline 1 & which is facing -- \\
\hline 2 & MR. FULLERTON: I went by the house. I took \\
\hline 3 & pictures. And the dryings that you've made here, \\
\hline 4 & the technical dryings makes it look like it's very \\
\hline 5 & heavy -- \\
\hline 6 & MR. MORENO: I was referring to this element \\
\hline 7 & here, that, right -- \\
\hline 8 & MR. FULLERTON: If you look at the proportions \\
\hline 9 & of that, it goes up higher and it's thinner, and \\
\hline 10 & it's not as heavy as these make it look. They're \\
\hline 11 & so much heavier, and I don't understand. \\
\hline 12 & MR. SEMERARO: Well, we are going with a \\
\hline 13 & concrete structure. \\
\hline 14 & MR. FULLERTON: Maybe you don't need my vote, \\
\hline 15 & but I think it deserves a little more attention. I \\
\hline 16 & don't know how anybody else feels about it but \\
\hline 17 & MS. SPAIN: I agree with you. \\
\hline 18 & MR. SEMERARO: So your concern is the massing \\
\hline 19 & of the structure? \\
\hline 20 & MR. FULLERTON: Yeah, the size of that beam, \\
\hline 21 & those two blue beams that just kind of go over and \\
\hline 22 & they kind of grunt their way up. \\
\hline 23 & MR. SEMERARO: Well, the intention from \\
\hline 24 & ownership was to go with a concrete structure and \\
\hline 25 & not with like wood -- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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MR. FULLERTON: I understand that for the longevity. But I think just the proportion is much more elegant in the building than it is in this.

And I think if some of the features of this were, \(I\) don't know, slimmed down --

MR. MORENO: You're more concerned with the radius on the top of that element?

MR. FULLERTON: Perhaps, yeah. And I'm not sure what those -- these elements are here. Are they repeated or replicated on the house?

MR. SEMERARO: Those are the wood out lookers that we're picking up from the existing building.

MR. FULLERTON: Which are intended to be the ends of structural elements that go all the way across the building. Do these do that?

MR. SEMERARO: They do go through.
MR. FULLERTON: These go all the way through?
MR. SEMERARO: Yes, they do.
MR. FULLERTON: Oh, okay. That's not indicated -- I mean, they might have been and maybe I didn't see it. But, anyway, it just feels a little heavy.

MR. SEMERARO: Understood.
MR. FULLERTON: I just wish you could do it a little more gracefully. I'll shut up.
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MS. ROLANDO: Mr. Chair?
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Go ahead.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: I'll have to echo my colleague's sentiments. I'm not sure if this needs to be more like the original building or less like the original building.

MR. FULLERTON: Maybe so.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: It's caught somewhere between and I think that's sort of trying to do the hybrid of this sort of modern interpretation of the Chinese Village. I don't think it quite has the subtlety or grace of the existing building.

I think the drying that speaks to me the most is on A-6 because it's not really two separate things. You're going to see them together. Right? It's going to be part of the composition.

So the attempt to put a Chinese architectural style on top of a concrete gazebo doesn't seem to be working as well as the materials that it would really be made out of.

And I think you're struggling with that, the materiality and the sort of transparency and elegance and almost frailty of the design of the sort of beautifully arched elements, and these are just very thin pieces of wood and the pairings of
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & them and the spacings of them and the vertical \\
\hline 2 & proportions of them with something that is a little \\
\hline 3 & bit more practical. \\
\hline 4 & I think I would agree with my colleague that I \\
\hline 5 & don't know if this is -- if it's quite there yet. \\
\hline 6 & CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Go ahead, Mr. Maxwell. \\
\hline 7 & MR. MAXWELL: Gentlemen, on the 1960s \\
\hline 8 & photograph that's in the staff designation report, \\
\hline 9 & you can see off on the left-hand side the existing \\
\hline 10 & wooden patio which is looking through for that. \\
\hline 11 & You know, perhaps one way to look at solving this \\
\hline 12 & issue in front of the board would be to emulate \\
\hline 13 & more of that structure rather than the gate \\
\hline 14 & entrance, because what you're doing is you're just \\
\hline 15 & creating another gazebo, right? You're not \\
\hline 16 & creating a new gate, and that symbolic Chinese \\
\hline 17 & design is really a gate structure. \\
\hline 18 & Okay. So I would suggest you look at what you \\
\hline 19 & have there, you know, as perhaps a design \\
\hline 20 & motivation. \\
\hline 21 & MR. FULLERTON: I agree with all that. \\
\hline 22 & CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: The new gazebo is not \\
\hline 23 & really complimenting the delicate architecture \\
\hline 24 & that's there. \\
\hline 25 & MR. FULLERTON: It sounds like you understand \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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MR. MORENO: I mean, absolutely, I do
understand completely. But again, it's a matter of
interpretation, and also like, you know, you're
saying is that maybe we can give it more flair to
the gazebo compared to the beauty that we have in
the house. And then again, by changing maybe the
top radius on that element and making the columns a
little bit bigger will create more massive, maybe
more Oriental look.
MR. FULLERTON: I'm not sure that we're
looking for more massive, but I think --
MR. SEMERARO: I think what they're trying to
say, correct me if I'm wrong, is basically just
copy the --
MR. MORENO: No, because --
MR. FULLERTON: Perhaps simplify --
MR. MORENO: But --
MR. SEMERARO: Trying to get your feedback --
MR. MORENO: See they mentioned that the
columns were kind of skinny, and it's a massive
structure with skinny columns and then -- that's
my --
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Can I ask a question?
This view here, so there's some wall to this,

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & right? \\
\hline 2 & MR. SEMERARO: Yes. \\
\hline 3 & MS. BACHE-WIIG: So there's like a knee wall? \\
\hline 4 & MR. SEMERARO: Yeah. Yeah. \\
\hline 5 & MS. BACHE-WIIG: I don't know if what you guys \\
\hline 6 & are getting at is, this is what you want to see \\
\hline 7 & when you're in the gazebo probably looking out. Is \\
\hline 8 & that -- can be -- \\
\hline 9 & MR. FULLERTON: I like that better than what \\
\hline 10 & we're -- \\
\hline 11 & MR. MORENO: Well, we're mimicking that, those \\
\hline 12 & brackets, the step-up brackets, and the columns are \\
\hline 13 & pretty much the same as we have in that existing \\
\hline 14 & covered patio. Yeah. \\
\hline 15 & MS. BACHE-WIIG: Right. I think maybe what \\
\hline 16 & could help is like the knee wall. I know you don't \\
\hline 17 & want to close in the gazebo, but it seems like it's \\
\hline 18 & more of a pavilion than a gazebo because it's such \\
\hline 19 & a beautiful -- \\
\hline 20 & MR. SEMERARO: You want to make any comments? \\
\hline 21 & MS. VALLS: If I may, yes. \\
\hline 22 & MR. SEMERARO: Sure. Of course. \\
\hline 23 & MS. VALLS: I understand what you're saying, \\
\hline 24 & but that point, it's not an open -- \\
\hline 25 & MR. GARCIA-PONS: Your name, please? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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MS. VALLS: Nicole Valls, homeowner of 534 Menendez.

I think, if I'm understanding correctly, we're trying to mimic what you see there except without the walls on the bottom. What we have in the screened porch is the walls, the rest is screened. If we mimic kind of like the columns that you see in that screened porch with more of like just a flat roof detail that you see from that screened porch, would that be more or less what you guys are suggesting?

MR. MAXWELL: What we're sort of talking about here is, you know, what you're proposing is something that's really pretty brutal, and I'm going to use that word in an architectural sense.

We're looking for something that's a little more delicate and has -- like the house, the house is a filigree. I mean, it's a beautiful piece of jewelry, and this too should be a little jewel box in a sense that it kind of picks up on that. It would add tremendous value to what you already have.

MS. SUAREZ: Excuse me, Mr. Chair. Sorry to interrupt.

I'm not sure if Ms. Valls was sworn in.

MS. VALLS: Oh, no, I wasn't.
MS. SUAREZ: So we need to do that.
THE COURT REPORTER: Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MS. VALLS: I do, yes.
MR. MORENO: Yes.
MR. SEMERARO: Yes.
MS. SUAREZ: And just we would like to deem that they will be deemed have been sworn in with all the testimony they have provided today.

MR. FULLERTON: I'm sorry, I did not understand one word of that. Could you repeat it without your mask?

MS. SUAREZ: Sorry. That they will be deemed to have been sworn in for the entirety of this hearing and all testimony provided today.

MR. FULLERTON: Thank you.
MR. MAXWELL: So, ma'am, in other words, you know, I think the suggestion has been made, you know, to take a look at what you have already and celebrate that, okay, rather than trying to do something new and trying to be -- you know, you already have a porch, you know, kind of a gazebo with that addition that's there. You know, I think that that's really inspiration for you.
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MR. GARCIA-PONS: Mr. Chair? CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Go ahead.

MS. ROLANDO: What's proposed here reads to me as a carport. It doesn't have -- it's very utilitarian and it reads as if it's a carport with like Chinese light layered on.

What I think we're looking for is something more subtle, more in keeping with the design of the home. Anybody who's ever on this board loves the Chinese stuff. It's so magical, and I have to say we're very protective of it because it is so special and so unique. So any time you're placing anything within that enclave, this group is really picky.

It's just not a gazebo, it's a part of the historic fabric of Coral Gables. So bear with us --

MS. VALLS: No. No. I understand that and I too love the Chinese Village. I think it's probably the coolest of all the villages.

What we tried to do was kind of make it look like it belonged there. I think the issue is that thing that is over kind of the little gate when you walk into the house makes it look I guess like a carport is what you're suggesting or -- like more
like an entrance instead of --
MS. ROLANDO: Aren't you really looking for an outdoor room?

MS. VALLS: I just need a covered area because I have no covered area besides that little screened in porch which is tiny.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: I think this needs to be studied a little bit more.

MS. VALLS: I just want to make sure I go in the right direction because this whole process has taken a really long time. If I remove that kind of curved thing from the top and have the columns mimic the columns from the screened porch and just do more of like a flat roof, would that be something that would work?

MR. FULLERTON: You know, it's hard to say. You said -- made that kind of description and everyone of us have a different idea of what you just said. I think you have to work with your architect and your builder, or whatever, and come up with a plan that you think works with your house, and, I mean, philosophically, architecturally, artistically, and, you know, with your budget too.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: This was approved by the
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Board of Architects?

MR. ADAMS: Yes. They had similar questions, and we had, you know, discussed the heaviness of how it looks. The issue being that \(I\) think to get the lightness of the original building it needs to be timber. I mean, are they going to be able to achieve anything even close out of concrete? That's what \(I\) don't know. However, they do want a concrete structure for longevity, for hurricanes.

So should they go completely away from the proposal and keep it simple and concrete and obviously a new design, or is the board looking for something which mimics the house even more to incorporate more woods and less concretes?

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: You could always wrap concrete with wood or another material.

MR. ADAMS: You can, but then everything's going to become bigger. I assume there's a minimum size these post supports can be, so obviously this has to meet, you know, hurricane requirements. The design has to be appropriate for the Chinese Village, and has to be identifiable as a later addition and not original.

So I do understand where the homeowner possibly needs some guidance in this because the

Board of Architects said it's okay. We have some concerns about the heaviness of it, which is similar to what you have. It's obviously new construction. The proportions are obviously different from the gate because the decorative parapet is obviously much wider but the same height.

You know, I think for the applicant to go away and just study it and do something different, there's no guarantee that what they bring back is going to be -- I mean, it's not an easy one to do. So I think if they could get some direction that would be helpful.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Can I just go back to what I was mentioning before? I think maybe one of the reasons that there's comments about it is that the first picture that you show has, you know, the verticals very continuous, and then they're grounded by this wall. I know you don't want to do a wall because then you close in the gazebo and that's not the point. You want to make it open and airy.

So maybe if look at the second floor here where it is very delicate up here, you know, you have your -- I think it's about the spacing of
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    1 columns maybe like two together and then there's a
    2 space, and then two together instead of just like
    the four columns.
    And then what happens here is that there's
    a -- it sits on a cap, if you will, I'm going to
    call it a cap, but it's detailed so that the base
    has some, you know, meat to it I guess, and then
    it's slender when it reaches the top.
    So I think if you go in the direction of maybe
    this, it keeps it still open and airy, you see
    here?
    MS. VALLS: I'm sorry, what --
    MR. FULLERTON: What page are you on?
    MS. VALLS: Oh, okay.
    MS. BACHE-WIIG: You know, your second floor,
    and maybe that's -- you know, maybe that will
    appease the feeling of making it --
    MR. SEMERARO: I can see the rhythm of where
    you're going with that, but now you're talking
    about a whole different kind of construction.
    MS. BACHE-WIIG: No, no, but keep it concrete.
    THE CLERK: Can you speak into the mic, sir,
    because the court reporter can't hear.
    MR. SEMERARO: I'm sorry.
    MS. BACHE-WIIG: You can keep it concrete.

MR. SEMERARO: But then we're going to add slender columns.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: You can do steel.
MR. SEMERARO: We can do Lally columns as well, yeah.

MR. FULLERTON: Is there any reason you couldn't go to wood? I mean, do you specialize in concrete, is that the deal?

MR. SEMERARO: No, I'm not. You want to --
MS. VALLS: No, it's just maintenance, honestly. This house is a lot of maintenance, it's a lot of wood. Hurricane purposes also. It's more just --

MR. FULLERTON: Wood is very strong in hurricanes and it's durable. There are hundreds of years old wood structures in Japan and China, hundreds of years old, thousands of years old. So, I mean, you can do it. It's just a matter of the will.

And, you know, the beams, for instance, sitting across, first of all, \(I\) think they should go the other way because you've got these big heavy things on top of them and you want to support them, you got to put your beams the other way. And let them be extensions of the beams that you can.
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It looks like these are just glued on to the outside beam. You see? When you beam. When you illustrate it like that --

MR. SEMERARO: They're strictly ornamental treatments.

MR. FULLERTON: That's what it looks like, and I think that takes away from -- the lightness. If you use those beams and use them as extensions out from under the horizontal work, then it looks like it's being supported by the beams.

And these beams should definitely be going in the opposite way if you're going to be supporting these big -- if you're to actually do that. I'm not sure who would want to do that but, you know. That's why it needs to be thought through.

MR. SEMERARO: The reason was to go with a full concrete structure, and then trim it out to make it --

MR. FULLERTON: Appear like wood, which is crazy when you've got really good wood here.

MR. MORENO: No, no, those --
MR. SEMERARO: We understand where you're going.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Mr. Maxwell?

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Into the microphone, please.

MR. MORENO: They are wood. The brackets are wood.

MR. FULLERTON: Where? These brackets?
MR. MORENO: Yeah, those are wood.
MR. FULLERTON: Yeah, but they're just brackets sticking out from a beam. You say they went all the way through, so that means they stick out from the outside wall and then there's a beam over here, and then they go through this way.

So they're going through a beam?
MR. MORENO: No.
MR. FULLERTON: It's supposed to be supporting the structure.

THE CLERK: Sir, talk into the mic.
MR. SEMERARO: They're decorative treatments.
MR. FULLERTON: That's the lie. That's the
lie to me. That's not carrying architect through to its normal -- that's why it's excessive and it doesn't work.

MR. MAXWELL: I'd like to suggest to you, if I may, the consideration of steel tubing rather than concrete. They both do the same thing. The steel tubing is much lighter, will give you that, and also, you know, I think the comment of our colleague that this looks like a carport really is.
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1 I mean, it needs some other columns in there to break it up to fit into it. And so that it's repetition, and really the scale fits in more with the house. Okay.

I mean, the way that the existing, you know, porch is sort of laddered up, okay, and the rhythm of it, in other words, the bays are much smaller. I mean, you have one big bay rather than a series of bays.

And most of us when we get these things, well, we put our sofas on the outside so we're all facing on the inside so, you know, I understand the dilemma that you're in, but it's really worth a thought to do that. And there are plenty of alternatives that would be cheaper than pouring concrete and give you that same solution.

MR. FULLERTON: And tell me what this -- I think know this is a repetition of the element that you have on the other gazebo, this little piece of wood stuck on to the outside of the column on one side of the column only. There's one here, one here, one here. I mean, that's just decorative --

MS. VALLS: Right.
MR. FULLERTON: That's just junk. I'm sorry for calling it the way \(I\) see it. It's just unnecessary embellishment of something. It doesn't do anything. If you had them on all four sides, maybe.

MS. VALLS: Yeah, that could be removed. That was just added there to mimic kind of what's on the screened porch area.

MR. FULLERTON: I know, but that's part of the thing that makes it unsuccessful to me as an architect, and that house of yours has been thought through by amazing artists, and what this looks is somebody just throwing something out there that, you know, with the right color painted on something it's supposed to look like the building.

And, I'm sorry, I don't mean to be insulting, but it really just -- I say absolutely not.

MR. ADAMS: Although, please be reminded the house was designed by a scholar of Oriental architect, and I think this is possibly where the difficulty is. And as far as I'm aware there's not a scholar of Oriental architecture in here. So I think this is why there's the difficulty and the fine tuning and the fine touches and the lightness and the overall design.

MR. FULLERTON: If I could make a quick suggestion. What \(I\) do, and this is kind of

1 cheating maybe, but go to YouTube and look up Oriental architecture. She could probably find hours of information on it and ideas that you might be able to use and why not use what's been done before.

MS. VALLS: If let's say this whole Oriental thing doesn't pan out, if \(I\) just do something very clean and modern, would that work?

MR. FULLERTON: Well, I think you have to --
MS. VALLS: Because \(I\) feel like we kind of tried this. The architecture board passed it and now we're back here. I just -- I don't want to waste time and \(I\) know you can't give me an answer now because you're not going to know what it looks like. But is the idea maybe to just go with something completely off?

MR. FULLERTON: Maybe so. I mean, you have to come to us with your best thoughts.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: So through the chair, if I may, I think I said this earlier, what's before us today is a hybrid of many, many things. The proportions are off, the materials are -- they don't work in concert with one another.

I think one of my earlier recommendations, you need to go in one direction or another, and that is for you to decide. But no matter what it is, it has to be really beautiful. And I think that's what we would like to see.

If you're going to do something with an open, wider proportion opening with a lower height, that's not this. Right? That's another thing. If you want to do what this looks like, it's completely different propositions, it's completely different materials. So we would encourage you to be honest with what your intent is and let the architect express that, and that would help with the review of the elements.

Now, if this were closer in one direction or another, \(I\) think we'd be able to give you better comments, but since this is right smack dab in the middle, you're hearing all of us giving you our opinions, which \(I\) don't know if it's being helpful or not.

MS. VALLS: No. I mean, it's helpful because I have to make changes. I want to know which direction to go to so, okay. MS. SPAIN: Can \(I\) ask you just a quick question?

MR. FULLERTON: Maybe something with an
overhang instead of just a big square thing.
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MS. SPAIN: Is there a reason that it has to be a flat roof? Is that for cost? I think it's making it more difficult for it to be graceful to have that flat roof, and I don't know what the cost implication is, so I'm not saying that you should not do a flat roof, but it seems to me if you can have a graceful roof on this and little small pavilion out in the garden, it would be more --

MR. MORENO: The problem with that is that, I mean, we can come up with something like a typical, -- you know, like you say, we can go to YouTube and we can find the most beautiful gazebos and go with a regular, you know, upturn eves at the end flair and do that. Perfect.

But the problem with that is sometimes it's very hard to find the same roof tile.

MR. FULLERTON: That roof tile is quite --
MS. SPAIN: It's Ludowici.

MR. FULLERTON: They're doing a whole roof right next to you.

MR. MORENO: That's why we didn't decide to go that route.

MR. FULLERTON: Well, that roof is being replaced -- a roof like yours is being replaced on a house right next door.

MR. MORENO: They never match, and --
MS. SPAIN: Well, \(I\) don't think it has to match.

MR. FULLERTON: This is a gazebo that's what, 50 feet from the house?

MR. MORENO: I would say --
MR. FULLERTON: But you put a blue --
MR. MORENO: About 35 feet.
MR. FULLERTON: Okay. If you put a blue, shiny till on that roof, and it doesn't have to curve maybe, but it should be a low profile going out to an overhang so you're getting better rain protection under it, and with that blue ceramic tile roof tile, you're just going to -- it's going to be a remainder of what the house is and probably more --

MR. MORENO: Like Nicole was mentioning, maybe Option B will be to go with something completely different and make a contrast.

MS. SPAIN: I think you could do something entirely different on the tile. It doesn't have to match, because if you're concerned about it matching exactly, then just make sure that whatever you do is intentional, you know, and that it reads as intentional.
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1

MR. MORENO: That would be a good approach. It's not going to be intentional, it's going to be something completely different.

MR. FULLERTON: Right.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: I think if you go in the direction of more like the style of the house, I would just look at this top piece, because then you have it, I mean, it's there, you just have to massage it to the proportions of that pavilion, you know. Obviously we're talking about a bigger, you know, roof so you have to massage it.

But \(I\) think that's a clearer direction if you're going to go that route, because we're talking, you know, not a flat roof. We're talking about a pitched roof, a hip roof, if you will. So I think if it's that direction, it should work. You know, it's almost like a mini-me of the house.

MR. ADAMS: Would another option be to
recreate the gate at the front, not enclosed, not with gates, not with anything, but you would have a recreation of the front entrance to the house at the front of the gazebo with the columns and then the other two columns to the side, so you're actually effectively mimicking the gate in the front, forgetting the additional columns and still
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    1 revisions, we come back to you? I'm sorry, do our
revisions, we come back to you? I'm sorry, do our revisions, we come back, get your approval, then we submit -- resubmit those revisions to the building department, and then have them review it and approve it, correct?
MR. FULLERTON: I would come --
MR. GARCIA-PONS: I think that's a question for staff.
MR. SEMERARO: Because I'm already like 90 percent approved, so now I've got another month, revise, month to come see you, get your approval, resubmit and then full review?
MR. ADAMS: A change of design like that would have to go back to BOA.
MS. SPAIN: But I think this was approved administratively by the city architect, so it could go back just to the city architect and tell him what happened, and maybe he'll be able to approve it so it can get to us, other than going to the full Board of Architects.
MR. SEMERARO: Okay. But it still -- okay. So back to the procedure, from my understanding is we have two essential options, one, picking up the rhythm from the upper level, the second floor, using that rhythm. That's Option No. 1.

Option No. 2 would be to select the actual existing screened patio and match that as closely as possible architecturally.

Those are our two options.
MR. FULLERTON: Well, I don't know what the existing one looks like.

MR. MAXWELL: There's third option too.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Go ahead, Mr. Maxwell.
MR. MAXWELL: The third option is what Mr. Fullerton and Mr. Garcia-Pons said, is come back with something that's not historical, okay, like the previous applicant at the university, they didn't copy what was there. They put in something all new so...

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: What we're saying is this needs more thought, and it just doesn't look right to us.

MR. MORENO: (Away from the microphone) -submissions in terms of CDs and structural details of anything that happened with this project, we should get the blessing from the board, from the historic board, and then also, as you comment, we just go to the city for the Board of Architects since this was approved before with the changes that we are going to propose, correct? I think

1 that should be the route.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: If I may --
MR. MORENO: In other words, we have to come to you guys first, right?

MS. SPAIN: You have to go to the city architect before you come here. The Board of Architects is always prior to the historic board because we're the final.

MR. FULLERTON: But staff can go with you to the city architect and discuss the issue.

MR. MORENO: But that's what I'm afraid of. I mean, we don't want to do the same thing, get approved by the board and come here after all the due diligence and get disapproved. So I would rather have the blessing from you guys and then, you know, go to the Board of Architects.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Through the chair, if I may. I think the one step that you missed was working closely with the preservation staff prior to going to the Board of Architects. So, please, work through them because they know the will of the board generally and can guide you towards the right direction before you go to the Board of Architects and come back.

So please work with our existing, very
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professional staff to work between with whatever direction you decide to go in, so that when you go to the Board of Architects they'll come back and you'll have something that we can review with either great joy and approve, or with comments that can help you move forward.

MR. FULLERTON: Because we want you to proceed, we want you to go with it. You know, we're happy for you and want to do it.

MR. SEMERARO: We appreciate that. But, ultimately, the way I'm seeing this it's going to have to go through a full submittal because the systems are going to ultimately be --

MR. FULLERTON: Well, come back to staff with ideas.

MR. SEMERARO: Right.
MR. FULLERTON: You know, some direction.
MR. SEMERARO: So back to your last statement, you were going to defer. So now once you defer, we revise, re-design, and then resubmit 16 sets and you guys review it in a month and we reconvene?

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: No. What you need to do is go back, reevaluate the design, decide what design using the historic resources that are available, and come up with something different,
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1
and they will be able to guide you.
MR. FULLERTON: Do a preliminary set. Don't do a whole working drying set. I mean, you don't need to do this kind of -- what we're looking at here.

MR. DURANA: You don't need all the construction. Just give them elevations, floor plan of the architectural.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Mr. Adams, is there -what's the functional difference between a motion from the board to defer or a motion to deny? What does that mean either way to this applicant?

MR. ADAMS: Deny I believe requires a whole new application to be submitted, but they have to resubmit 16 sets anyway. I would say deferral is probably the best way.

Is there a noticing requirement with deferral difference --

MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's my question, is there a noticing requirement if it's not to a date certain.

MR. FULLERTON: When we've been in this position before, we've always deferred.

MS. SUAREZ: There's no notice requirement for a COA application so it's not an issue.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: So a motion?
MR. FULLERTON: To defer, I move.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yeah, that's the best.
MS. ROLANDO: Let's do a motion to defer.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay.
MR. FULLERTON: I second.
MS. ROLANDO: Thank you.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Motion to defer by Ms.
Rolando, second by Mr. Fullerton.
MR. ADAMS: To the October meeting?
MS. ROLANDO: Yes.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: I'm sorry, does it need to be date certain? It doesn't need to be date certain for us.

MS. ROLANDO: No.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: I think it's when you're ready, please come back. I don't want to put a date on it if you're not ready, if that's okay with the movers.

MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, it's a different situation from the first applicant.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay. Could we have a role call, please.

THE CLERK: Mr. Maxwell?
\[
\text { Page } 144
\]

MR. MAXWELL: Yes.
THE CLERK: Ms. Rolando?

MS. ROLANDO: Yes.
THE CLERK: Ms. Spain?
MS. SPAIN: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes.

THE CLERK: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Durana?
MR. DURANA: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Garcia-Pons?
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Menendez?
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Yes.
THE CLERK: Motion to defer pass.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Thank you.
\#2: Thank you. Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Mr. Adams?
MR. ADAMS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: At the last board meeting we asked you to come back with an update on the
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golf course shelters. Do you have an update for us?

MR. ADAMS: I do have an update of sorts. I contacted Fred Couceyro, his title is?

MS. KAUTZ: Director.
MR. ADAMS: Director of Parks. I asked if he had any updates, and his e-mail to me was I do not have any updates. The consensus at the neighborhood meeting was to take a pause and work on completing the pro shop project first. The city manager's direction is to revisit the project after the pro shop project has been completed.

MR. FULLERTON: So another year.
MS. SPAIN: Easily.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: They're going to wait to decide on the shelter until the pro shop is done? MR. ADAMS: That's what it says. CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: I don't understand that. MS. ROLANDO: They're just going to crumble more.

MR. DURANA: Well, they're already -- you can't even use them.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: The existing shelters, have any been replaced.

MR. DURANA: No.
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MR. ADAMS: No. I don't think so.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay.
MR. EHRENHAFT: There are two that are still extant on the golf course.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay. Can anything be done to protect them before they fall apart?
MR. ADAMS: I believe that one of them had to be retained anyway, I believe. Or was that changed?
MS. KAUTZ: (Inaudible, away from microphone.)
MR. ADAMS: Because \(I\) had raised that question with Fred and I was under the impression that one of them were going to be --
MS. KAUTZ: You all had made that, as part of that discussion, to keep one and have it moved if needed, but to keep one as an example. So we should follow up with --
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: So can you follow up and let us know?
MR. ADAMS: Uh-huh.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there a reason why they would not keep two and move them to the Boy Scouts?
MS. KAUTZ: I don't know to that. I don't know if one is sufficient. I mean, you all suggested one, but \(I\) also don't know the condition
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 2 & MR. FULLERTON: Which one? Any idea? \\
\hline 3 & MS. KAUTZ: I don't know. \\
\hline 4 & CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: I have no idea. \\
\hline 5 & MR. FULLERTON: None of them are worth saving. \\
\hline 6 & MR. ADAMS: Hence the reason for not \\
\hline 7 & demolishing something because there are other \\
\hline 8 & examples, as we discussed earlier. \\
\hline 9 & CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: There you go. \\
\hline 10 & MR. FULLERTON: Well, if we put a yellow stair \\
\hline 11 & case -- \\
\hline 12 & CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Any other items? \\
\hline 13 & MR. ADAMS: Just one thing I would like to \\
\hline 14 & bring to your attention, well two things, and \\
\hline 15 & should all have received the e-mail about the 2021 \\
\hline 16 & biannual boards and committees ethnics seminar \\
\hline 17 & that's coming up, and this is a requirement for all \\
\hline 18 & board members. So, you know, hopefully you all \\
\hline 19 & registered. If any of you haven't, then we'll get \\
\hline 20 & the details to you and see if we can still get you \\
\hline 21 & on there. \\
\hline 22 & And the second thing is, I don't know if any \\
\hline 23 & of you were aware that on the city commission \\
\hline 24 & agenda yesterday, an ordinance for first reading \\
\hline 25 & amending the City of Coral Gables code by creating \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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Chapter 2, Administration, Article 3, boards and committees, division seven, for the creation of a landmarks advisory board.

And, basically, the attachment to it says, "The city of Coral Gables is home to various entrances, landmarks and historic features, and presently the city has boards and committees in place which assist city staff in the oversight and maintenance of city infrastructure. There may be a gap in city board and committee oversight as it relates to the city-owned and/or maintained entrances, plazas, fountains, historically significant poles and lights, historic markers, statues, and other historically significant landmarks or items. As such, the city commission believes that addition of a landmarks advisory board would provide resident oversight of the extensive landmarks throughout the city, and allow a structure for residents and board members to review the condition of entryways and landmarks and bring maintenance issues to the City's attention."

There was a draft ordinance prepared. Would you like me to read what the ordinance says or would you --

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes, please.
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MR. ADAMS: Yeah. So creation and purpose, that a landmarks advisory board is hereby created for the purpose of identifying and recommending improvement opportunities to city staff with respect to the city-owned and/or maintained entrances, plazas, fountains, historically significant poles and lights, historic markers, statues and other historically designated landmarks or items. The board shall establish a collection of written records and photographic inventory of each item within it's purview and document its state of preservation. At the end of each quarter, the board shall make non-binding policy recommendations, recommend maintenance care, and improvement opportunities to the city commission for items within its purview.

The board shall consist of seven members, five appointed by the commission, each appointing one member, and one member shall be appointed by the board as a whole. One member shall be appointed by the city manager. And each of the members of the board shall be city residents residing in the city for a period of greater than five years prior to their appointment and having demonstrated a passion for preservation of Coral Gables landmarks.
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And the rest of the paragraphs are regarding terms of office, the chairperson, and the administrative support staff.

So this passed on first reading. The requirement was that we -- staff work in the language to make it absolutely clear what this board would be, what their duties would be.

One of the things that was pointed out was they would not have the power for historic designation. So we need to look at that.

And so it has passed on first reading, and it will probably be moving forward, you know -MS. SPAIN: I have such a concern on this. I saw that it was on the agenda and I kept checking the agenda and there was never an attachment. I don't know when they add those attachments, but when I first checked whenever they first put the agenda up, because it's e-mailed, there were no attachments. So I couldn't see the proposed legislation.

Having said that, I haven't had a chance to listen to what they discussed, but there's no qualifications of these board members and so how are they going to make recommendations on maintaining the plazas and entrances?

There's already in the zoning code a clause that says that staff and the city can appoint advisors to the historic preservation board, and that's been used for people that are knowledgeable about conservation techniques, and preservation techniques.

You know, there are experts that this board could use, a financial expert when someone is coming in here with economic hardship would be great. But that document does nothing for me other than well meaning residents that love historic preservation, and there's so many of them out there. But it may not be helpful to staff to have that type of a person, you know, say you need to fix that vine, whatever it is. That's my concern.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: And that legislation, as you said, their recommendations are non-binding, so what does that do?

MR. MAXWELL: The question also is, why has the commission decided that it needs a separate board in order to advise it on these things?

MS. SPAIN: You know, better to hire a conservative for the city that actually knows --

MR. GARCIA-PONS: If we can let staff answer.
MS. SUAREZ: I just wanted to address the
comment about the legislation. It should have been attached once the agenda published, so I'll look into that, because \(I\) know it went through on our end through the que and everything for approval so it should have been available. I'll double check that.

I do know that between first and second reading, the city manager is required to prepare a report per our city code that addresses certain aspects and certain questions such as, you know, what the need is for the board, whether another board already serves the purpose, some additional points that \(I\) just don't know off the top of my head, but they're listed in our city code, and so that's something that the city manager will prepare before second reading.

MS. ROLANDO: Well, let me ask you too, aren't our plazas and our entrances already historically designated or most of them?

MS. SPAIN: They are.
MS. ROLANDO: And so they would be under the purview of historic preservation, and modifications of them would come to us? MS. SPAIN: Well, the biggest -- yes. You're absolutely right. The biggest issue with city jobs
on city-owned historic properties is that work is directed by the public works department, and so it's -- I mean, they're really in charge of maintaining any of the city-owned properties including the historic properties.

The historic department certainly can point out to them that it needs to be restored. It would be wonderful if the historic department was really in charge of -- actually in charge of maintaining those, but then they would have to be given the staff in order to do that. They would have to be given the project manager, and they would have to be given a budget in order to do that. But that's really the ideal situation.

MR. ADAMS: The plan is should it move ahead that there will be a member of the preservation office and the public works department will be at each of the meetings at least to provide whatever advice is needed.

But as I said, that's just first reading so I brought it to your attention. If you have any comments --

MS. SPAIN: They could do that with us.
MR. ADAMS: Sorry?
MS. SPAIN: They could do that with us at the
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1
end of every meeting. You can have someone from public works here and city staff, and we can talk about the maintenance of certain things and bring them. I don't think it needs to have well-meaning citizens in --

MR. FULLERTON: So moved.

MS. SPAIN: You know, listen, I'm not staff anymore. I can just get there. I'm old, retired --

THE CLERK: There's someone that has their hand up on Zoom.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Mr. Chair, if I may ask
staff a question?
MS. SUAREZ: There's somebody on Zoom who would apparently like to comment, so it's up to the chair if you would like to hear from a member of the public?

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: That's fine.
THE CLERK: Can you hear us? There is no name on your -- \(1(305)\) last number 449, do you want to speak?

MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Hello?

THE CLERK: Yes, we can hear you.
MS. CARBONELL: Okay. This is Karelia.
Thanks for taking my, I guess my hand, you saw my hand up.

Yeah, and the reason I wanted to comment, I heard Mr. Adams mention the landmarks advisory board, and you know, I hear a lot of push back from your board and this is really a partnership, and I think it's -- you know, the commission obviously felt very strongly, 5-0, that this is needed.

Now, you know this has been ongoing, I mean, there's a list of over 100 items that unfortunately have not been looked at, you know, our public entrances, our -- and, you know, they're not just entrances. There's many other items on the list that not myself personally have been keeping, other residents have been keeping.

And so I really am surprised the comments that I hear from this board, how negative you have accepted this, really, a partnership. I just want to put that out there. This is you know, a partnership with public works. It's a partnership with historic preservation staff. And it's all a positive for the city. There is nothing here that will step on anybody else's territory other than working in partnership.

So there are residents that are very strongly, you know, meaning to do this. It's years that I
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1 understand residents have been asking for a board like this.

And it's -- you know, please keep in mind that this is a positive for the city and for all historic structures. This is in no way would diminish the historic preservation board's quasi-judicial position. This is advisory, and any advice would go through staff, public works, historic preservation, obviously through the channels.

So I really urge you to really think through, you know, those comments and read what it is proposed, and it's all in good.

So thank you for listening.
THE CLERK: Mr. Gillis also has his hand up.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay. Put him through.
THE CLERK: Go ahead, Mr. Gillis.
MR. GILLIS: Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand, and I would also like to share in the disappointment in the comments I'm hearing. I have tried for years to get something done at Pinewood Cemetery. There's supposedly a Pinewood Cemetery advisory board. Unfortunately under the previous historic department head or whatever, we had issues where things -- you look at the Alhambra water tower,
look at Pinewood Cemetery. The condition of these historic landmarks is really, really terrible.

So between public works and historic preservation department we haven't been able to accomplish what needs to be done there. I really encourage you to think about this and find a way to work with this new landmarks advisory board to come to a final solution.

I'm concerned. I happen to live near the Alhambra water tower, I'm concerned that that structure is spalling and has leaks that are going in, as you can see where some of the stucco is deteriorated, and nobody seems to be anything about it. So this is another way that could potentially bring attention for it, get neighborhood input, have watch dogs out there.

Thank you for your time.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Thank you.
MR. ADAMS: That's it.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: You will keep us advised.
MR. ADAMS: Absolutely. Yes.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Perfect.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Before, Mr. Chair, just for clarity, is there anything, are there any elements to be in the purview of the proposed landmarks
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1 committee that aren't already part of the purview
of this committee?
MR. ADAMS: I believe the final
responsibilities have still to be --
MR. GARCIA-PONS: I'm sorry, not the
responsibilities, elements.
MR. ADAMS: The what?
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Elements. The elements that
were delineated in the language, are they not
already part of the purview of this board?
MR. ADAMS: With regard to alterations and
designations, yes, it is the purview of this board.
There are, however, other things that were
included on a list that aren't necessarily under
the auspices of this board, certain markers, and I
believe certain pieces of art possibly as well.
So I believe the final list of the places or
items that they will have purview over still has to
be finalized, and I believe the final
responsibilities have also still to be finalized.
In other words, it was made clear that this new
board would not be responsible for designation, so
that was made very clear.
One of the commissioners, Commissioner Mendez,
suggested there should also be a list of certain
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\hline 1 & things which they do not have any oversight over or \\
\hline 2 & any input over. So that still have to be finalized \\
\hline 3 & for the final language. \\
\hline 4 & MR. FULLERTON: It seems to me that this would \\
\hline 5 & be largely looking after their maintenance and \\
\hline 6 & physically being looking at them, analyzing, \\
\hline 7 & structurally, mechanically, whatever, and that's \\
\hline 8 & certainly outside of our purview. \\
\hline 9 & MS. ROLANDO: You're right. \\
\hline 10 & MR. ADAMS: And that most definitely the \\
\hline 11 & original language specifically said that a \\
\hline 12 & representative from public works should attend the \\
\hline 13 & meetings. However, we did think it was advisable \\
\hline 14 & that someone from preservation should also attend \\
\hline 15 & certainly on an as-needed basis, if not every \\
\hline 16 & meeting, so that's still to be finalized. \\
\hline 17 & But it's more to do with -- the intent seems \\
\hline 18 & to be a body of citizens that will possible repair \\
\hline 19 & requirements. I don't believe they would make the \\
\hline 20 & final determination on what that repair would be. \\
\hline 21 & That obviously would be preservation or public \\
\hline 22 & works. \\
\hline 23 & MR. FULLERTON: It seems to me it's more of a \\
\hline 24 & maintenance situation than it is historic \\
\hline 25 & qualification, other than detailing and things like \\
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1 that, which might come to staff.

But I don't want anybody who's listening outside to think that we are not on board with trying to take care of our monuments, and so we all share in that desire to make sure everything is maintained, especially structures like the water tower. I mean, that thing has been a nightmare for years. My brother 25 years ago painted the building and it was a huge, huge job.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: I'm sorry, through the chair. Do we actually -- does the board present an annual report to the commission as to the purpose and function of the board? Do we do that annually?

MR. ADAMS: I am preparing that at the moment, and I will need to meet with the chair before October 1st to actually have that signed.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: So one of the questions is typically in those, does the board serve the function for which it was --

MR. ADAMS: That question's in there, yeah. MR. GARCIA-PONS: -- created. And I think it's important to answer the question with what we do here, and if perhaps there's anything that we aren't doing regularly that we should be doing or can be doing, because there is an outcry from the
community to do so.
So I think that could be part of the conversation, because what \(I\) don't want to happen is to cause confusion to the outside world between two different committees unless it's incredibly clear what the rules and responsibilities are. And that's the difficult part, much as well as staffing both of them and the time and energy it takes to do, you know, waste not, want not.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Confusion or duplication of effort?

MR. MAXWELL: Or both.
MR. ADAMS: Yeah, we want to avoid both obviously, yes. And I think that's the intent of providing, clearly specifying what the duties of the new board will be and what they will not be.

MR. MAXWELL: So, Mr. Adams, I mean, it appears that it's simply an advisory board in that capacity with no budget capability, but the public works department who's responsible for these things would then be a part of it.

You know, \(I\) can tell you that the members of this board are highly, you know, for the most part all trained in materials, conservation, and other things like that, and so it's an interesting
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1
dilemma between whether it is, as the chair says, you know, both confusion and duplication.

And I think one of the things that we're notorious for as a community is that it takes a long time to do things and we're under a lot of pressure to move things forward more quickly. So perhaps that might be a burden. It's just a thought.

MR. FULLERTON: How long did it take us to do the Coral Gables House, finish the renovations and the air conditioning and all the things there that were absolutely crumbling in that building? It took years and years and years.

MR. MAXWELL: Yeah. It's all budget.

MS. SPAIN: You set aside a certain budget one year and you get that much done, and it's still not done, by the way. The interior woodworking is still not done in that building. But you just eat away at it. But all of that came to this board.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: So you'll keep us abreast of --

MR. ADAMS: Yes.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Before you close, can you forward -- can staff forward the board the manager's report when it comes out just so that we
don't miss it? Is that something that we can -MR. ADAMS: I believe that would be public record, I believe, it's requested.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Can I ask one more question? With respect to the new proposed board, are there any concerns that the additional workload might fall on the shoulders of your department and cause staffing issues, overload?

MR. ADAMS: We'll certainly have to attend the meetings so there will more than likely be another meeting to attend. I believe we're round about nine or ten meetings at the moment with arts advisory, Board of Architects, preservation board. You know, they do stack up.

At the moment I do not know, I am not sure what staff may be called on to do. So one of the requirements was to create a public record of the various sites. I don't know if that will potentially mean that there will be requests to go into the archives and find certain information. It's not been made clear if it's a current record of the sites, or if it's a historic and the current record of the sites. I don't know if there will be requirements from the board themselves, in other words, Warren, we think we've identified an issue

1 with this site, can you come out and look at it with public works. I'm really not sure at the moment how that's going to function.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Thank you.
MR. FULLERTON: Completely off of the subject, all the previous subjects, has there been any conversation about the possibility of going back on Zoom due to the explosion of the COVID situation? I don't know how anybody feels about that, but I know my family is extremely concerned about it. I mean, now that I'm entering middle age I have to be careful and my wife is a cancer patient, and I've got three little tiny crawling babies at home more often than not, and so we're all concerned about going back -- a possibility of going back onto Zoom for these meetings, and I don't know how the rest of you feel about it.

I feel comfortable right now, but who knows. MR. ADAMS: I haven't heard anything, but the current legal --

MS. SUAREZ: So currently we are required to have, per state law, a quorum in the room to have these meetings, and what, you know, the attorney general opinions have allowed in the past pre-COVID is if a particular board member has an
extraordinary circumstance, as long as there's a quorum in the room, they can appear telephonically or now virtually via Zoom, et cetera.

So if somebody has a particular circumstance they want to bring to our attention, we consider it on a case-by-case basis.

But as a general answer to your question, we're in person. The city commission has adopted a resolution saying we're returning to traditional in-person meetings and this is where we're at.

MR. FULLERTON: Okay. I feel being here is more important as far as all of us expressing ourselves and being able to express ourselves fully. It's probably the best thing. I may have to go to Zoom once in a while.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay. Anything else, Mr. Adams?

MR. ADAMS: No, that's it.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Mr. Chair, I do have one very, very --

MS. SUAREZ: I'm sorry, just to address Mr. Fullerton's last point, if there's a particular circumstance you have to bring it to our attention and we'll let you know whether it qualifies as an exceptional circumstance.
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MR. FULLERTON: Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons?

MR. GARCIA-PONS: A mundane administrative request, can we get the minutes more than one day before the meeting? Because it's difficult to review in the car on the way over?

MR. ADAMS: Yes. We will push to do that. There is a push on by the City at the moment to actually have the minutes come out quicker, so that is something that the city's actively working on to improve, so we will certainly do that.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Thank you, sir.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Can I just make a quick comment about what Mr. Fullerton said? Is there a way to like for COVID purposes, is there a way to just not have every single person need to be in the room if their item is not before us? Maybe they get called in. Maybe they wait outside. I don't know. Just as a compromise so you don't have so many people in one room. There's usually a limit. There has been. So I don't know, just a suggestion.

MR. FULLERTON: Good point.
MS. SUAREZ: That would be a question for the city manager, and I'm sure staff can relay the
concerns and discuss it with the city manager.
MR. ADAMS: Yeah, it may be possible to stagger people when they come here. I can raise that question, yeah.

MR. FULLERTON: That's probably a real good idea.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay. If there's nothing else, a motion to adjourn.

MR. MAXWELL: So moved.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Second.
MR. FULLERTON: Call the role.
THE CLERK: Who moved it?
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Mr. Maxwell moved it. I seconded it.

THE CLERK: Who said seconded?
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Garcia-Pons.
CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay. Adjourned.
(The meeting concluded at 7:33 p.m.)
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