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MR. EHRENHAFT: Wednesday, July 15th, 2020.
Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables charged with the preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, and artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage of the city.

The Board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the commission, one by the city manager, and the ninth is selected by the Board and confirmed by the Commission.

Five members of the Board constitute a quorum, and five affirmative votes are necessary for the adoption of any motion.

Before we begin, I would remind all persons in attendance that because this meeting is being conducted virtually with people joining both via Zoom and telephonically, the reporter/stenographer won't have the benefit of the sign-in sheet that is customarily relied upon to record attendance by members of the public and assist in accurate recording of the minutes.

Also, there's no dais with nameplates to identify board members as they speak. So for
clarity, I ask each applicant or their agent and board or staff member to state their name before they begin speaking, and that's for the benefit of the public who may not know us.

And then, as later will be reiterated, during the periods of public comment each speaker must first identify her or himself by name, if necessary spelling their name, state his or her address, and be sworn by the clerk before speaking on the record. And to help the stenographer each person should please speak slowly and clearly because some connections may not be clear.

Lobbyist registration and disclosure; any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance 2006-11 must register with the city clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before the city staff, boards, committees, and/or the city commission.

A copy of the ordinance is available in the office of the city clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the Historic Preservation Board on applications under consideration this afternoon.

Lobbyist is defined as an individual,
corporation, partnership, or other legal entity employed or retained, whether paid or not, by a principal who seeks to encourage the approval, disapproval, adoption, repeal, passage, defeat, or modifications of, A, any ordinance, resolution, action, or decision of any city commissioner; $B$, any action, decision, recommendation of the city manager and any city board or committee, including but not limited to quasi-judicial advisory board, trust, authority, or counsel; or $C$, any action, decision, or recommendation of city personnel during the time period of the entire decision-making process on the action, decision, or recommendation which foreseeably will be heard or reviewed by the city commission or a city board or committee, including but not limited to quasi-judicial advisory board, trust, authority, or counsel.

Presentations made to this board are subject to the City's False Claims Ordinance, Chapter 39, of the City of Coral Gables code.

So I will now officially call the City of
Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board meeting of July 15th, 2020 to order. The time is 4:10 p.m.

Present today in alphabetical order are the
following board members: Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, John P. Fullerton, Cesar Garcia-Pons, Albert Menendez, who is our vice chair, Raul R. Rodriguez, Margaret A. Rolando, who goes by "Peggy," Dona Spain, and I am Bruce Ehrenhaft.

Staff present from the historical resources and cultural arts department are Kara N. Kautz, the City's historic preservation officer, ElizaBeth B. Guin, the City's historic preservation coordinator, and also present is Gustavo Ceballos, assistant city attorney.

The next item for approval is for approval of minutes of our last two meetings. We have the minutes distributed for the meeting held on February 19th, 2020.

To the Board: Are there changes or corrections to any of those minutes?

MR. FULLERTON: This is Fullerton.
I read not all of it, but what I did read it seemed okay, except there were a lot of misspellings and stuff, just typos, a lot. So I don't know if it requires any changes or not.

MR. EHRENHAFT: I mean, we'd have to go -MR. FULLERTON: No. No. I don't want to do that, but $I$ think it would be smart to take another
look at it and just go back and correct the typos.
MR. EHRENHAFT: All right. That being said,
is it okay to approve but with the caveat that --
MR. FULLERTON: Sure. Sure.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. So we have a motion to approve but with some proofreading after the fact to fix anything that is --

MR. FULLERTON: I'm not sure if it's important or not, Bruce, but it's just a comment.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. All right. Thank you. All right. So can we call the role for approval of the minutes of February 19th? MS. KAUTZ: We can. Give me two seconds. Let's see, let's start with Albert Menendez. MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Peggy Rolando? You're on mute. (No response.)

John Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes. Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Cesar Garcia-Pons, who's also on mute.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Kara, is this for February and March or just February?

MS. KAUTZ: I believe it's just February. MR. GARCIA-PONS: I wasn't at the meeting.

MS. KAUTZ: Okay. And Dona wasn't either, so you guys I guess just won't vote.

So, Peggy?
MS. ROLANDO: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Raul?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Xavier?
MR. DURANA: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Alicia? On mute.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. I'm sorry.
MS. KAUTZ: Okay. Thanks.
And, Bruce? I'm sorry.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
So the motion passes unanimously.
Okay. Then we have before us the minutes for March 4th, the special meeting which was held that date. I would note two minor corrections, again, one was that the Chair's last name was misspelled, it's $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{T}$. And I noted that at page 42 Ms. Martinez Carbonell's first name was misspelled. It should be $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{A}$.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Kara, can $I$ say something? I don't think $I$ was there on February. Sorry.

MS. GUIN: Yeah, that's right, she wasn't there.
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MS. KAUTZ: I don't have that in front of me. Okay.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: All right.
MS. KAUTZ: All right. So, sorry, I don't have the roster in front of me. I'm getting it.

Peggy?
MS. ROLANDO: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: John Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Cesar Garcia-Pons?
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Albert Menendez?
MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Raul Rodriguez -- I just butchered your name. Raul Rodriguez? You're on mute.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Alicia?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Xavier Durana?
MR. DURANA: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: And Bruce Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Great.
MR. EHRENHAFT: And continuing, notice regarding ex parte communications; please be
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advised that this board is a quasi-judicial board and the items on the agenda are quasi-judicial in nature which requires board members to disclose all ex parte communications.

An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside a public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial board regarding matters to be heard by the quasi-judicial board.

If anyone has made any contact with a board member when the issue comes before the Board, the member must state on the record the existence of the ex parte communication, the party who originated the communication, and whether the communication will affect the Board member's ability to impartially consider the evidence to be presented regarding the matter.

As we go to each one of the items I'll very quickly ask the board members as a whole if they have any matters to disclose.

So does staff have any deferral of any item to bring to our attention?

MS. KAUTZ: No, sir.

MR. EHRENHAFT: No?
MS. KAUTZ: No.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. All right. So we will now proceed to public hearings of cases on the agenda. And the first is a special certificate of appropriateness, public hearing on case file COA (SP) 2019-023. The address is 247 Malaga Avenue.

This is an application for the issuance of a special certificate of appropriateness for the property at 247 Malaga Avenue, a local historic landmark, legally described as Lots 26 and 27, Block 29, Coral Gables Craft Section, according to the plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 10 at Page 40 of the public records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

The application requests design approval for an addition and alterations to the commercial structure. A variance has also been requested from Article 5, Section 5-1409 of the Coral Gables Zoning Code to waive the off-street parking requirement.

So, Kara, do you have a brief presentation to make?

MS. KAUTZ: I do.
MS. SPAIN: Before you do, this is Dona Spain.

I think I have to recuse myself on this item because I met multiple times with the architect on the design and went over all of the variances with him multiple times. I was at the Board of Architects, so I think according to the City Attorney's Office I should recuse myself.

Now, how do I leave the room? How do I do that? Do I just leave the meeting and then log back on to it?

MR. URQUIA: Do not do that, Dona. I will
mute your microphone and turn off your video, and once the item has passed --

MS. SPAIN: Oh, cool.
MR. URQUIA: -- I'll let you back in.
MS. SPAIN: I'm good with that. Thank you.
MS. KAUTZ: Wait, wait, wait, before you go.
MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: So before we started I wanted to, you know, bring to your all's attention the fact that Mike Sardinas did resign from the Board, and we actually have a new member, who's now leaving. So Dona Spain is our new board member taking Mike's place until his term is done in June.

So welcome to Dona.
MS. SPAIN: Thank you. This is going to be

| 1 | very weird. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Bye, guys. |
| 3 | (Ms. Spain exited Zoom meeting.) |
| 4 | MS. KAUTZ: So I was hoping, Bill, to share my |
| 5 | screen with you all to show you a 1940s photograph |
| 6 | and a location map, but it doesn't seem to be able |
| 7 | to, so I'll just start with a brief presentation. |
| 8 | Conservatory, the building at 247 Malaga Avenue is |
| 9 | a prime example of post World War II masonry |
| 10 | vernacular commercial architecture. It was |
| 12 | constructed around $1946, ~ d e s i g n e d ~ b y ~ R o b e r t ~ M . ~$ |
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in December of 2019, and also reviewed by the Build \& Review Committee in November of 2019.

We have very brief comments at the end, and I can explain our rationale for recommending in favor of the variance after the architect has given his presentation.

So if you can put up his PowerPoint.
MR. URQUIA: Kara, I also made you a cohost, so if you wanted to share your screen and show those photos, you're able to.

MS. KAUTZ: Let me see if $I$ can do that quickly without messing this up.

I did it.
Come on. Okay. It won't let me move forward. MR. URQUIA: Just click on the screen and hit the right arrow. There you go.

MS. KAUTZ: All right. There's the beginning. So this is the location map. It's just south of the Central Business District. It's about one, two three blocks south of the Central Business District in which there's no required parking, just as an FYI.

This is an early rendering at the top. There isn't an early photo, but a current view is on the bottom of your screen, and the left portion, to the
left of where it says Prana Yoga was an addition that was constructed in 1978. I just wanted you guys to be aware of that.

So I will now --
MR. BLITSTEIN: Are we ready?
MS. KAUTZ: Give them one second to load it up.

MR. BLITSTEIN: Okay. Can we begin?
MS. KAUTZ: Sure.
MR. BLITSTEIN: My name is Peter Blitstein and we are the architects for 247 Malaga.

Nice to see everybody in these very strange times, but that's the life we lead right now.

MR. URQUIA: I'm sorry, Mr. Blitstein, I don't want to cut you off, really quick, but, Francesco, if you can please enter slide mode so that this takes over the full screen.

MS. KAUTZ: And, Billy, can we -- Jessica, can you swear him in, please?

THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.
MR. URQUIA: So on the bottom right-hand corner is the icon.

There you go, that one.
THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. Are you ready for me to swear him in?
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MS. KAUTZ: Yes, please.
THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Blitstein, could you please raise your right hand?

MR. BLITSTEIN: I am.
THE COURT REPORTER: Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MR. BLITSTEIN: I do.
THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Could you also state your address for the record, please.

MR. BLITSTEIN: 285 Sevilla Avenue, Coral Gables, Florida.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Thank you.
MR. BLITSTEIN: Okay. We are the architects for this project, which is to deal with a small historic structure and to build our new structure wrapping around it.

We can go to the next slide.
So you can see the idea was to clearly define the original structure and then to wrap it in a kind of a Mid-Century looking structure without a lot of detail to really enhance that small structure, and also to make our new addition very simple to try and sort of add a feeling to that existing building. We didn't want to put anything

| 1 | Mediterranean there. We wanted to do something |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | that very much was in simpatico with it but not |
| 3 | copying it. |
| 4 | Next slide. |
| 5 | You can see it's a very small site, but we |
| 6 | kept the right side of the site and we're just |
| 7 | demolishing the small portion which was added in |
| 8 | the '70s, then wrapping this building like an L |
| 9 | around the existing one-story structure, which we |
| 10 | will refurbish and keep totally in place. |
| 11 | You can see the arrow showing you we're |
| 12 | keeping the garage in the back. We're going to |
| 13 | remove the roof, which is not part of the original |
| 14 | structure, and we're going to build an L structure |
| 15 | which surrounds the original one. |
| 16 | Any questions, please stop me at any time. |
| 17 | Next slide. |
| 18 | Here you can see the adjacency to their left. |
| 19 | There's, I guess, a simple modern structure from |
| 20 | maybe the '80s, I don't know, and you can see this |
| 21 | structure, which is a one-story structure, very |
| 22 | nicely detailed, the center and to the right. |
| 23 | We're going to remove the left section. |
| 24 | And you can see what's going on in the |
| 25 | neighborhood. It's pretty simplistic, not a lot of |


| 1 | development going on right now. Fairly industrial |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | looking. |
| 3 | Next slide. |
| 4 | You can see the massing that we're doing |
| 5 | leaving the original building in fact, the |
| 6 | elevation, and how the building wraps around the |
| 7 | original. It kind of enhances the original and |
| 8 | respects it so that you know what was the original |
| 9 | and what's the addition. It's fairly |
| 10 | clearly articulated in these drawings, which we |
| 11 | worked with Historic to do that, make sure that the |
| 12 | original building had an identity of its own and |
| 13 | the new structure, you knew it was new the second |
| 14 | you'd see it. |
| 15 | Next slide, please. |
| 16 | You can see another view. |
| 17 | Okay. Next slide. |
| 18 | Here's a context drawing showing the building |
| 19 | immediately to the left, immediately to the right, |
| 20 | and there's a massing around the small building. |
| 21 | You can see the rendering in the center below which |
| 22 | shows you how this is going to fit in next to the |
| 23 | adjacent building. There's also a space between |
| 24 | the adjacent building and this building. On their |
| 25 | side there's a setback on their property. |

Fernandez \& Associates Court Reporters 305-374-8868 service@fernandezcr.com

Next slide.
Here you can see the beginning of the site plan's landscape plan just showing what is there and what we're going to remove.

Next slide.
You can see the beginning of the floor plan where we left the original building intact and we're wrapping the new building around it with exiting and elevator and different floor plans.

Next slide.
Here's the beginning of the next floor -excuse me -- that's the demo drawing, I apologize. It's showing what we're going to demo. There's a small structure in the back and there's that addition on the lower left.

Next slide.
Here you can see the typical floor plan how we're wrapping around the building. Whatever's in white is going to remain intact and be renovated, and what's on the left, the shaded area, is the new structure.

Next slide.
You can see the multiple floor plans. They're pretty much identical as we go up. You have a balcony on one when we go above the existing roof
of the existing building.
Next slide.
That's the roof.
The final floor plan has kind of an open area in the front and then it has a long walkway which allows views towards the city. It's a very simple floor plan and a very simple structure.

Here you can see the relationship of the two together. It's just we have very, very simple detailing; glass, floated stucco, some scored stucco, a metal screen in front, and some glass railings for the balconies.

Next slide.
Here's the context. You can see it again.
Next slide.
That's it.
So the idea, of course, is in order for this project to work you could not build this project and also have parking on the site. Even now you can't have parking on the site. It just doesn't work if you leave the building as it is as a historical structure. So the only way to do this was to ask for a variance in order to be able to build this amount of square feet on this project. So I think it's pretty straightforward,


MR. BLITSTEIN: I didn't understand that.
MS. KAUTZ: I wasn't sure if you were going to be, you know, bringing the floor slab interior down at all, or if you were going to raise the exterior --

MR. BLITSTEIN: We were going to deal with the floor, but right now I'm not sure. If that helps alleviate the situation, we can come back to you with that.

MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, I would just like to know how that's done. It seems to be, you know, a good three steps up so --

MR. BLITSTEIN: If you blow this up you can see we wanted to -- I mean, it's hard for me to see that, but $I$ think we were going to deal with ramping up to avoid the step.

The other side is flush to the street, so the new entrance is flush to the street. There's no step at the new entrance.

MS. KAUTZ: Okay.
MR. EHRENHAFT: That's basically the only demolition that you would do, assuming that the steps as we see them today, that's basically the only demolition that you're doing to the --

MR. BLITSTEIN: You mean in the existing

| 1 | building? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Yeah, the -- |
| 3 | MR. BLITSTEIN: There may be some interior to |
| 4 | take out, some of the old posts, you know, some |
| 5 | ceilings and things that were not historic, but |
| 6 | that we haven't really talked about. |
| 7 | The outside is going to be left intact and |
| 8 | restored. |
| 9 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Yeah, but with respect to the |
| 10 | exterior, that's the only change, is that you're |
| 11 | going to -- |
| 12 | MR. BLITSTEIN: Yes. Yes. |
| 13 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Thank you. |
| 14 | MR. FULLERTON: On the front elevation -- I'm |
| 15 | sorry -- the front rendering on the first page, |
| 16 | your title page, there are steps shown to the old |
| 17 | structure. |
| 18 | MR. BLITSTEIN: That's correct, but that was |
| 19 | just a photograph superimposed there. We're going |
| 20 | to have to rework that area there to bring -- |
| 21 | MR. FULLERTON: I also notice on the floor |
| 22 | plans on the first floor that it appears that there |
| 23 | are communicating spaces -- |
| 24 | (Music interruption.) |
| 25 | MR. FULLERTON: -- building. You'd have to |
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| 1 | make some adjustment for the - |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. BLITSTEIN: Sorry, there's some music |
| 3 | coming through there. |
| 4 | MR. FULLERTON: Did you hear me, Peter? |
| 5 | MR. BLITSTEIN: Yes, John, but there's some |
| 6 | music coming through, I apologize. |
| 7 | MR. FULLERTON: Yeah. Yeah, I hear that. |
| 8 | MR. BLITSTEIN: Okay. Let's go back in again. |
| 9 | You want to go back to the plan? |
| 10 | Francesco, let's show him the plan. |
| 11 | MR. FULLERTON: Yeah, that's -- no, the first |
| 12 | floor plan. |
| 13 | MR. BLITSTEIN: First floor. Okay. I believe |
| 14 | that is the first floor. |
| 15 | MR. FULLERTON: Oh, I'm sorry. It appears |
| 16 | that there are openings between the two buildings, |
| 17 | and I just wanted to see |
| 18 | MR. BLITSTEIN: Those are existing, John. |
| 19 | MR. FULLERTON: Okay. So you're not intending |
| 20 | to communicate there with the -- |
| 21 | MR. BLITSTEIN: Yeah, you're going to be able |
| 22 | to go from space to |
| 23 | MR. FULLERTON: Yeah, I would think so. I |
| 24 | just wanted to -- |
| 25 | MR. BLITSTEIN: You'll be able to communicate, |


| 1 | yes. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. FULLERTON: There will be a difference in |
| 3 | floor elevation then -- |
| 4 | MR. BLITSTEIN: I'm not sure because I think |
| 5 | the owner is intending on modifying the floor. We |
| 6 | want it to be the same, so I think that's the whole |
| 7 | thing in the front. It's probably going to be |
| 8 | lowered so that they're at the same elevation. |
| 9 | MR. FULLERTON: Okay. It's just a minor |
| 10 | thing. |
| 11 | MR. BLITSTEIN: No, it's not minor. It's a |
| 12 | good point. But the idea is that all this would be |
| 13 | on one level. |
| 14 | MR. CEBALLOS: Peggy, go ahead. |
| 15 | MS. ROLANDO: Yes. This is Peggy Rolando. |
| 16 | I'm very familiar with this building because I |
| 17 | took yoga classes there for years. |
| 18 | MR. BLITSTEIN: Good. You helped pay me fee. |
| 19 | Good. |
| 20 | MS. ROLANDO: Right. Question for you: The |
| 21 | main building is basically a series of studios - |
| 22 | MR. BLITSTEIN: Right. Yes. You see there, |
| 23 | you can see it in the drawing. You see, it's -- |
| 24 | MS. ROLANDO: But if you look at -- but there |
| 25 | is a separate building, if it's now two stories, |


| 1 | it's separated from the main building by a |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | breezeway, and I can't tell from your drawing on |
| 3 | Sheet A-1 what exactly is going to happen to the |
| 4 | two existing buildings and -- |
| 5 | MR. BLITSTEIN: The one in the back is the |
| 6 | small building, that remains. |
| 7 | MS. ROLANDO: Yes, but it looks as if you're |
| 8 | cutting through there for fire access or additional |
| 9 | access there -- |
| 10 | MR. BLITSTEIN: Yes. |
| 11 | MS. ROLANDO: -- and you're cutting off the |
| 12 | corner of the existing larger building, and I'm not |
| 13 | sure I understand how -- |
| 14 | MR. BLITSTEIN: No. No. No. We're not |
| 15 | cutting off. Okay. We're not cutting off any - |
| 16 | the only thing we're taking down is the structure |
| 17 | that was built in the '70s. Everything in the back |
| 18 | is remaining. What you're looking at, there was |
| 19 | some columns and whatnot, but everything that you |
| 20 | see there is existing. We're not taking any of it |
| 21 | out. |
| 22 | We have to access through the old section to |
| 23 | get out for means of egress, but we're not taking |
| 24 | out any of that. |
| 25 | MS. ROLANDO: Okay. |

MS. KAUTZ: It was originally an attached garage. It was never a detached garage. It was always on that corner of the building.

MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, but it's not attached, it's separated from the main building by a breezeway.

MR. BLITSTEIN: No. The garage is connected.
MS. ROLANDO: When you walk outside of the building -- when you -- there's a roll up door. You walk through that door --

MR. BLITSTEIN: Right. That's right there, right.

MS. ROLANDO: And there's a breezeway there.
MR. BLITSTEIN: Breezeway. Yeah, there's a whole walkway all the way down. That's still intact. We're not touching that.

MS. ROLANDO: Well, it goes east-west. Once you go into the garage area, it's not really -- it's -- there's a walkway into the courtyard here.

MR. BLITSTEIN: Right.
MS. ROLANDO: So what I'm trying to understand is what are you doing with those spaces? Just are you -- you're going -- cutting into the main
building. Do you have to do that or can you just

| 2 | MR. BLITSTEIN: I'm not sure I follow you, but |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | we're not cutting -- you mean the access from the |
| 4 | new stairwell? |
| 5 | MS. ROLANDO: Yes. |
| 6 | MR. BLITSTEIN: There's no way to get out onto |
| 7 | the street. There's no way to get an exit there. |
| 8 | MS. ROLANDO: You could go through the garage |
| 9 | as you're depicting through the side of the main |
| 10 | building. |
| 11 | MR. BLITSTEIN: I'm not sure I'm following |
| 12 | you, I apologize. |
| 13 | MS. ROLANDO: Okay. If you look -- if |
| 14 | you're -- instead of -- |
| 15 | MR. BLITSTEIN: -- the upper level -- I mean, |
| 16 | that stairway's got to be where it is otherwise we |
| 17 | can't -- |
| 18 | MS. ROLANDO: I totally get that. |
| 19 | MR. BLITSTEIN: Okay. |
| 20 | MS. ROLANDO: What I'm wondering is if you can |
| 21 | instead of as you come out the staircase, instead |
| 22 | of doing the L leg, could you go straight through |
| 23 | the garage and out into the paved area, the |
| 24 | driveway? |
| 25 | MR. BLITSTEIN: There's a roll up door |
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MR. BLITSTEIN: We went through a whole bunch of iterations there.

MS. KAUTZ: So that's the reason I believe why they didn't just go through the door.

MR. BLITSTEIN: Correct.
MS. ROLANDO: So you want it to read as a garage even though it's not been used as a garage?
(Noise interruption.)
MS. KAUTZ: Rafael, can you please mute yourself? Thank you.

MS. ROLANDO: You want it to read as a garage even though the roll down door is not original?

MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, it was originally a garage door. I mean --

MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, but now it's a roll down industrial door.

MS. KAUTZ: But maybe we can look at what that becomes, if that becomes something else.

MR. BLITSTEIN: I mean, the idea is that's the way the building is now. We wanted to leave the fact that there was a garage there. We didn't want to have a door going through that opening. That's why we have a very subtle side door which 99 percent of the time's not going to be used.

MS. ROLANDO: Exactly.

MR. BLITSTEIN: So if you came down that long walkway, we want the building to look like it did when it was built basically.

MS. ROLANDO: Okay. So you're intentionally having this L, it's going to go through the original building and there's -- and there's -behind the garage door there is a walkway there. That's going to remain?

MR. BLITSTEIN: We're going to make whatever's behind the garage door as a single usable space as the garage was originally.

MS. ROLANDO: Okay. So you're keeping the garage but not for garage purposes. You'll repurpose it --

MR. BLITSTEIN: Correct. There's no more garage there. That's correct.

MS. ROLANDO: But right now there's a roll down door and behind the roll down door there's a walkway.

MR. BLITSTEIN: There might very well be, but that's not the intent. The intent is now to make it all one simple space, and then you have the walkway going out from the stairwell.

MS. ROLANDO: Okay. And what --
MR. BLITSTEIN: If you come into the garage,
which again, you'd be destroying the roll down door or whatever door ends up being there, and when you're looking at it from the street you're going to have something which was never intended there from when it was built.

So the idea was to try and get this small building to be as close as the way it was when it was built so when the larger structure gets built it enhances what the original structure looked like.

MS. ROLANDO: Okay. So --
MR. BLITSTEIN: So if that's an issue, I guess we need to discuss it or the Board needs to give us some direction, because this is what we ended up doing when we met with everybody from Historic. So I'll just defer to whatever anybody wants to do here.

MS. ROLANDO: I'm trying to make sure that whatever's there is space, one, that's usable. And so if you're putting in -- right now what's there is this roll down door. What I'm wondering is, if you put -- are you going to keep the roll down door and is there going to be a walkway behind it?

You know, I don't know. I'm just trying to understand what you're doing here. I understand
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modified, so that was from 2004. So the bottom of this is the back garage, this was a later addition, which will be removed --

MS. ROLANDO: Yeah.
MS. KAUTZ: -- and this to me, I would rather them restore it back to --

MR. BLITSTEIN: But, Mohammed, wait.
MS. KAUTZ: -- a garage space. You know, whether or not this still looks like this, I don't know, but that's not their intent is to leave it as this open space.

MS. ROLANDO: It is their intent to leave it as open space or --

MS. KAUTZ: It is not.
MS. ROLANDO: Okay.
MS. KAUTZ: It's to be enclosed, you know, rentable space.

MS. ROLANDO: Okay. So the question then is, if it's going to be enclosed rentable space, then you need the $L$ shape exit.

MR. BLITSTEIN: That's correct --
MS. KAUTZ: Correct.

MR. BLITSTEIN: -- because if you have the walkway there, you're cutting it off. But again, we're not going to make an issue of it either way.

For us this was a pragmatic solution, and if somebody doesn't think this is the way to go, this was -- you got to get out of the stairwell one way or the other --

MS. ROLANDO: -- (inaudible.)
MR. BLITSTEIN: -- into the garage.
MS. ROLANDO: Kara, I could not see what you were showing. It's too microscopic but --

MS. KAUTZ: Okay. The rear of the garage essentially had been made into arched openings, but the ground floor of the garage is exposed to the exterior.

MS. ROLANDO: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: So their intent is to bring it back to the enclosed space, which is what it was intended to be originally, which we're okay with.

MS. ROLANDO: Okay. If it's supposed to be enclosed and --

MS. KAUTZ: Yes.
MS. ROLANDO: -- keep the appearance of --
MS. KAUTZ: Yes.
MS. ROLANDO: -- this later issue roll down door is the way that we want to keep it.

MS. KAUTZ: Well, originally -- I have the plans here, they're just really big -- there was an
overhead door in that location for the original garage. You know, it's probably not the same industrial roll down version, but there was an overhead garage door. It was a utilitarian space.

So, I mean, if the Board wants to have them put, you know, doors and make their exit there, that is certainly up to your discretion. We were trying to keep it looking as if it were a garage space.

MR. EHRENHAFT: May I interject and ask a question?

MS. KAUTZ: Sure.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. And, Peggy, bounce it off of me if you think I'm correct. But what I'm understanding is, we see the long drive walkway from Malaga all the way back to the garage, then the opening, which is the large door, is there. It seems like having the L , which comes out, that might cause a perforation for a pedestrian door in the side of what -- the right side of the original structure for an egress, but that certainly is not going to be visible from the street.

MS. ROLANDO: Correct.
MR. EHRENHAFT: One way or the other I think it's imperative at the base of that staircase that
is adjacent to the rear building, but part of the interior of the new construction, that there be easy access for safety purposes straight out to that driveway.

MR. BLITSTEIN: Go back, Francesco.
MR. EHRENHAFT: So your question about the $L$ is that it is basically being new construction on the inside of the envelope of the new building? MS. ROLANDO: I had two questions. Is there a different way to do it, one? And, two, the garage facade, if that's being maintained, what will be the finish? Because right now it's a roll down corrugated metal. It's really not attractive, and we have an owner who's going to be spending a lot of money doing a nice building. And to me it will be very incongruous to have this metal door, roll down, and so $I$ was wondering if there was a different way to do it.

I'm not trying to do the design, but I'm concerned about that garage door facade, and I understand now that the emergency access will go through the existing building. They're not taking down -- it will just be a corridor through the existing building. But I'm concerned about that door, the garage door.

I agree that the side door, access door on the east side is probably the least offensive location for it to be.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Bruce, this is Cesar. Can I ask a related question that might address both this issue and my question?

MS. ROLANDO: Sure.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: So can you go to the first slide please, the title slide? So my question is one of the city staff questions that the existing frontage window that's shown in the photograph is gridded, if you go to your front elevation, it's shown more of a storefront frontage. Is it the intent to keep the existing gridded window or go to this more storefront frontage?

That's a question for, Peter.
MS. ROLANDO: That's on the interior.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: I know. I'm asking a
separate question. Peter?
MR. BLITSTEIN: We're going to put new windows, because we have to make them -- first of all, they're not hurricane so we'd have to do that anyway. The same way in the back, if we had to put a new roll down it'll be slightly -- it'll certainly look a lot better. We're going to put

| 1 | the windows in the way they were originally |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | installed. |
| 3 | MR. GARCIA-PONS: Perfect. So that I think |
| 4 | that could lead to two things, one, is as city |
| 5 | staff requested, we're going to need information on |
| 6 | that new frontage storefront window. And then, |
| 7 | Peggy, to answer your question, is if they're |
| 8 | re-designing these sort of storefront windows |
| 9 | anyways, that garage door can be a storefront |
| 10 | window with an egress exit, and that way we get two |
| 11 | really nice storefronts with the appropriate egress |
| 12 | and you get rid of that silly line. |
| 13 | MS. KAUTZ: That was the other question I had |
| 14 | for Peter looking at that plan, is if that's going |
| 15 | to be rentable space, then how do you access it? |
| 16 | So, Cesar, if your solution is to dismiss the |
| 17 | garage door and make a new storefront with the door |
| 18 | within it, that would potentially somehow get you |
| 19 | access to that space too. |
| 20 | MR. GARCIA-PONS: This is Cesar. That's |
| 21 | correct. |
| 22 | MS. KAUTZ: Yeah. |
| 23 | MR. BLITSTEIN: Well, there's only one way |
| 24 | when we finally go for construction documents |
| 25 | whether we have to rate that hallway to get out or |

not, I'm not sure if we do. And we have to have at least one egress going immediately to the street. So the idea was that we were going to have to deal with that as a rated corridor of some kind anyway. But we'll end up with a fire-rated door somewhere through there, and I like the idea that we could doing something a little bit more creative. We were trying to honor that fact. But I think the gentleman who gave the comments before -- I'm sorry, you didn't come up on the screen -- I think if we can do that, that's the best of all worlds and not even have the door.

The door was out of -- and it wouldn't have been the door that you see, Peggy. It would have been a new door, but it was out of respect to the way the building was built originally, even though this is not a significant historic building in the sense of some of the bigger buildings in the Gables, but we were trying to -- you know, with Kara's help we were trying to do some justice to the back part of the building. You know, it's a wonderful small building.

So, I mean, that's been a struggle since we started, that little corner. But if we have the Board's approval to do something where we can make
the storefront match what we're doing in the one-story building, that's solves a lot of problem. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Thank you, Peter. This is Cesar again.

And I think if that is a solution for us, if we can move on to the next item from the city --

MR. BLITSTEIN: -- ownership before that --
MR. GARCIA-PONS: -- Peter, let me -- if we can move on from these two items, if we think we can get to an agreement, I have one more which is also a city staff request, which is the clarification of the fourth floor window and door alignment on the east facade. They're just slightly off aligned, but if we can take a look at that east elevation.

MR. BLITSTEIN: Okay. You want the elevations? Okay. Let's scroll them back, Francesco.

Okay. Is that the one you want? MR. GARCIA-PONS: East. Correct. MR. BLITSTEIN: The east elevation? MR. GARCIA-PONS: And the question, I believe, and I'll let Kara answer it specifically, is it looks like the sort of steel gridded doors and the window alignment above just seem to be disjointed
by a few inches.
MS. KAUTZ: Yes.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: I'm not sure if, again, the storefront is going to align themselves or not.

And, maybe, Kara, you can restate the question.

MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, that was our -- in plan it appears that floor to floor that like the mull tubes, or whatever you're using, will line up for the windows. In elevation they don't. And so we just wanted to make sure that looking at this elevation that you see a clean line all the way up between the window frame and the --

MR. BLITSTEIN: I don't know why it isn't. I agree with you. I don't -- maybe we drew it a number of different times. I don't know.

MS. KAUTZ: Probably. We just wanted to make sure that that was -- you know, make sure that they line up.

MR. BLITSTEIN: That's a mistake on our part. That's easy to rectify.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Bruce, I'm ready to make a motion if there are no other questions.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: I have a question.
Kara, on the original building they have a big

| 1 | square plane next to the entrance - |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MS. KAUTZ: Yes. |
| 3 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: Currently there's a photo |
| 4 | where it has like the rock feature, I guess like a |
| 5 | stone feature. Was that original, and if so, |
| 6 | what's the intent of the new design? I mean, it's |
| 7 | not clear on the rendering. That just seems like |
| 8 | it's been stuccoed over. |
| 9 | MS. KAUTZ: No. Do you mean the framework |
| 10 | around where it says Prana Yoga? |
| 11 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yeah. |
| 12 | MS. KAUTZ: No. That's actually fretwork, and |
| 13 | that's to remain. So it's not -- |
| 14 | MR. BLITSTEIN: That's going to remain. It's |
| 15 | going to remain. |
| 16 | MS. KAUTZ: It's not articulated on the |
| 17 | drawings, but the intention is that it's definitely |
| 18 | going to remain. |
| 19 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: Okay. Thank you. |
| 20 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Cesar, before we go to your |
| 21 | motion, I just want to clarify one thing. |
| 22 | Obviously you're going to put hurricane proof |
| 23 | windows in the front, and you're considering |
| 24 | working with staff to mimic that on the facade |
| 25 | Of -- the front facade of the garage, but it would |
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be nice if the window configuration on the front of the historic building not change from what it was originally.

MS. KAUTZ: What they've shown sort of -- if you go back to the elevation -- dividing the front masonry opening into five equal parts is what the original drawings do show. So they are putting it back to the original configuration in --

MR. EHRENHAFT: -- but I don't see horizontal muntins in the --

MS. KAUTZ: Those are not original. So this is going back to what the original intent was.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, okay.
MS. KAUTZ: We're fine with that.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Thank you. Thank you very much.

Okay. Cesar -- is there any other discussion from the Board?

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Just really quick, on A-4, Mr. Blitstein, you're just missing the door, the exit door that's being proposed as of, you know, right now, just FYI.

MR. BLITSTEIN: Yeah. Yeah. I know. Okay. There it is. Well, it won't be there now.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yeah, I know. Well --

MR. BLITSTEIN: It's not there. We're trying to see if you're paying attention. That's all. It's a test. We're testing you. That's all.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: That's okay. That's all right.

MR. BLITSTEIN: You're right.
MS. KAUTZ: Bruce, it is a public hearing so you do need to open it up.

MR. EHRENHAFT: All right. Yes.
MR. BLITSTEIN: Well, if the door -- Kara, the door is not going to be there, and if we move it to the back where the roll down, where the -- then this facade is the right one.

MS. KAUTZ: Correct.
MR. BLITSTEIN: We'll modify that.
If you look up -- excuse me. If everybody looks up to A-4, you look at the other elevation, the south elevation, you see how simple it is, and you'll see how we're restoring it.

Bruce, to your comment, you see the windows and everything is back to the original. This drawing doesn't really articulate that archway. But everything in the front is being -- that's the nicest part the building. We wouldn't touch that under any circumstances.
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| 1 | Maybe the graphics need to be a little bit |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | stronger on this to show that so. |
| 3 | MS. KAUTZ: I just realized, so, Peter, I did |
| 4 | have one question. If you can go to the first |
| 5 | floor plan, and this didn't make it into my |
| 6 | comments and I apologize for that, I'm just seeing |
| 7 | it. |
| 8 | MR. BLITSTEIN: Whatever. |
| 9 | MS. KAUTZ: The canopy that's over the front |
| 10 | door -- and if you guys look on your drawings it's |
| 11 | probably easier, you can't see it on the screen, it |
| 12 | doesn't extend all the way over those storefronts, |
| 13 | that's intentional, obviously, but is there a |
| 14 | reason why it can't -- |
| 15 | MR. BLITSTEIN: I'm sorry. I don't know what |
| 16 | you're referring to. |
| 17 | MS. KAUTZ: The canopy that's over the front |
| 18 | entrance, it ends -- yeah, where he's showing on |
| 19 | the arrow, it ends right there. |
| 20 | MR. BLITSTEIN: Okay. |
| 21 | MS. KAUTZ: Is there any reason that doesn't |
| 22 | extend over to the wind wall? |
| 23 | MR. BLITSTEIN: No. We could extend it if |
| 24 | you'd like us to. I don't know that -- it was just |
| 25 | an architectural item. |
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MS. KAUTZ: Okay. I just wasn't sure if there was a reason. It just --

MR. BLITSTEIN: Let me go back to the elevation. Maybe it's just to give it a little bit of definition at the entry.

Yeah, you see, it was just a detail. We can extend it over.

Also, the other thing we're going to do is the exit door will be made smaller and less obvious, you know, because the building is in so much nice detail. The exit door to the left will be as small as the code will allow it, which I think is seven feet, six-eight or seven, I'm not sure. So we'll make that -- but if you feel that you want that canopy to go across, if everybody feels that way, it's not a problem. Either way --

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. I agree with that, Kara.

MS. KAUTZ: Okay.
MR. BLITSTEIN: You want it to go all the way across?

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes, please.
MR. BLITSTEIN: No problem.
You got that? Okay. We're taking notes on this. No problem.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Cesar, as I interrupted you, I was going to say we have Zoom and -- potential Zoom and phone members attending that we need to allow to comment.

MS. KAUTZ: Francesco, can you unshare your screen, please?

Thank you.
MR. EHRENHAFT: So the Chair will open the floor to public comment. Does any member of the public attending on Zoom wish to speak in support of or in opposition of the case? Please limit your comments to three minutes. Each person must unmute the line to speak, and please state and spell your name, if it's necessary, and give your full address. And the clerk will swear you in one at a time as you queue up to speak. And then be mindful to mute your line after you're done speaking so the next person can talk.

Thank you.
Can staff see whether there's any individual queued up to speak via Zoom or by phone?

MR. URQUIA: No one has requested to speak, sir.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. If there's no person waiting to comment, then --

MR. FULLERTON: I'd like to comment.
MR. EHRENHAFT: I'm sorry?
MR. FULLERTON: I just want to make mention that I think the canopy as designed is pretty nice. I would leave it the way it is. Just a comment.

Did you hear? Were you able to hear that?
MS. ROLANDO: Yes.
MR. FULLERTON: And as to the garage door in the back, it seems to me that that space as a potential rental space will require some sort of windows in there anyway. And so that's going to be a design maybe submitted in the future or designed now so you can deal with it ahead of time.

If it's a rental space there has to be some sort of an entrance or windows from it. So I think that should take care of itself in time.

The rest of the project, you know, Peter, I think is really a wonderful addition to that neighborhood, and I congratulate you.

MR. BLITSTEIN: Thank you very much. We worked very hard at that. We had a lot of -- I must say something, that whatever it is, you know, bureaucracy can be good, can be bad, but the Historic group -- and Dona, of course, had to recuse herself -- they really made it much easier
for us to push this and to really come up with something very interesting. So for whatever it's worth, staff has been wonderful, and I hope this gets built. We really spent a lot of time on it. We're trying to do something that the City would be proud of. And I appreciate your comments, John. I do.

I want to give thanks to the staff because they were just great, just great.

MR. FULLERTON: Peter, I wish your comments would get publicized somehow because a lot of people are afraid of historic preservation and they think it's negative, and $I$ hope maybe you can be a voice on our side.

MR. BLITSTEIN: Well, I would. And I want to tell you something, again, not to go on about it, when I walked in and first talked to Dona and Kara about it $I$ had no idea. And in all fairness, they sort of guided us through it, and I don't think you'd have a building there that we're trying to get built without them.

So I'm just telling you, you're right, instead of being nervous and being afraid about it, it was the opposite. Without them, story over.

MR. FULLERTON: I also think that variances
like the ones that you're getting for your owner are very hard to get, and I think having historic designation and the staff help you through that process has given them a gift, and I think people ought to know that we're on their side. We want to make things workable for them.

MR. BLITSTEIN: John, I know that. And I also would say that they guided me through everything, the owner as well, and I'm just hoping that we're able in these very difficult times to pursue the construction of this building. But I mean it, I can't say enough good things about -- for me the whole process was something really wonderful. That's all $I$ can say.

MR. FULLERTON: Well, you brought them a good project.

MR. BLITSTEIN: Thank you.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: John, can I ask you a quick question?

MR. FULLERTON: Sure.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: About the canopy --
MR. FULLERTON: Yeah.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: -- is the canopy original, Kara, the one that goes across and connects the two wings? Oh, it's not.
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MR. FULLERTON: No.

MS. KAUTZ: No.
MR. FULLERTON: That's just part of the design.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Oh, okay. I thought it was original. That's why $I$ was -- but in the report where you have the opening up through this cape, it looks like that eyebrow does connect the two wings.

MS. KAUTZ: No.
MR. FULLERTON: No.
MS. KAUTZ: There's an eyebrow over that front window. You can't really see it because of the awning that's there, but it's only on the east side of the building.

MS. ROLANDO: Right.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: So I guess what $I$ was saying was in the renderings it looks like there's something missing up top, right? Like on AO.A if you look above the windows, the original windows and the door --

MS. KAUTZ: Yes. There's an eyebrow missing.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Okay. So there is an eyebrow missing?

MS. KAUTZ: Yes.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: That's what, Kara, you and I


| 2 | MR. BLITSTEIN: No. No. No. That's just a |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | mistake in the drawing. |
| 4 | MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, that's what I thought. |
| 5 | MR. FULLERTON: That's what I thought. That's |
| 6 | what I thought. |
| 7 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: Okay. All right. Never |
| 8 | mind. |
| 9 | MR. BLITSTEIN: But we're going to leave the |
| 10 | new canopy not engaged to the wall, right? We're |
| 11 | going to leave it as John had suggested, correct? |
| 12 | MS. KAUTZ: Depends on the motion. |
| 13 | MR. BLITSTEIN: Okay. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. |
| 14 | MS. KAUTZ: If you guys can take the screen |
| 15 | sharing down again so $I$ can see who's doing what, |
| 16 | please. |
| 17 | Francesco, if you can take the screen share |
| 18 | down. Thank you. |
| 19 | I think Cesar wants to speak. |
| 20 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Yeah, Cesar? |
| 21 | MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. I'd like to make a |
| 22 | motion, a motion to approve with the three |
| 23 | conditions noted in the city conditions recorded in |
| 24 | the report, plus two conditions; one, John, is to |
| 25 | extend the canopy over the front door on the new |
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building; and, two, is to replace the existing roll up garage door with a new storefront window inclusive of the required egress door in the back, and grant a variance to waive the required off-street parking requirement.

MS. KAUTZ: Cesar, can you do it in two separate motions, please, just in case -MR. GARCIA-PONS: I can. I can.

MS. KAUTZ: This is just for the design.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: That was my first motion, motion to approve with the three conditions, plus the two that I added.

MS. ROLANDO: Second.
MS. KAUTZ: Peggy seconds it. Okay.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Call role, please. MS. KAUTZ: I will call role.

Alicia Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: John Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: I say no only because of the canopy issue.

MS. KAUTZ: Okay.
MR. FULLERTON: I apologize, Cesar. I just
feel strongly about the design as presented. MS. KAUTZ: Raul Rodriguez?

| 1 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm with John. No for that |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | reason. |
| 3 | MS. KAUTZ: All right. Albert Menendez? |
| 4 | MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. |
| 5 | MS. KAUTZ: Bruce Ehrenhaft? |
| 6 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. |
| 7 | MS. KAUTZ: Cesar Garcia-Pons? |
| 8 | MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. |
| 9 | MS. KAUTZ: And Xavier Durana? |
| 10 | MR. DURANA: I say no for the same reason as |
| 11 | John. I think we should let -- you know, the |
| 12 | architect's kind of given a lot up on the other |
| 13 | side on the historic part, and I think we should |
| 14 | just give him that eyebrow if that's something he |
| 15 | wants to add to the design. |
| 16 | MS. KAUTZ: So let me just make sure -- oh, |
| 17 | Peggy, I'm sorry. Did I get you already? |
| 18 | MS. ROLANDO: I say yes, but I don't care |
| 19 | whether that eyebrow on the west side of the front |
| 20 | facade is shorter or longer. And those who feel |
| 21 | strongly about it, I will acquiesce to that, but |
| 22 | otherwise I agree with all the votes and the |
| 23 | conditions. |
| 24 | MR. FULLERTON: The only reason I say that is |
| 25 | because it's the architect's design originally |
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presented, but $I$ think it's his original notion that it should be done the way he presented it, and I think that's a very valuable approach. And I think it's something that he obviously did on purpose. So I think it should be left.

MR. DURANA: I agree.
MS. KAUTZ: Okay.
So the motion passes as stated by Cesar.
MR. BLITSTEIN: So I don't understand, we have to extend it or we don't? I missed it. MS. KAUTZ: Yes. MR. FULLERTON: You have to extend it. MS. KAUTZ: Yes. MR. BLITSTEIN: We have to extend the canopy? MS. KAUTZ: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: And, Bruce, I'm ready to make the second motion.

MS. KAUTZ: Great. Go for it.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: I'd like to make a motion to grant the variance to waive the required off-street parking requirement as dictated in the city staff report.

MS. ROLANDO: Second. MS. KAUTZ: Peggy seconds it. All right. John Fullerton?

| 1 | MR. FULLERTON: Yes. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MS. KAUTZ: Raul Rodriguez? |
| 3 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. |
| 4 | MS. KAUTZ: Albert Menendez? |
| 5 | MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. |
| 6 | MS. KAUTZ: Bruce Ehrenhaft? You're muted. |
| 7 | Bruce? |
| 8 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. |
| 9 | MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. Cesar Garcia-Pons? |
| 10 | MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. |
| 11 | MS. KAUTZ: Peggy Rolando? |
| 12 | MS. ROLANDO: Yes. |
| 13 | MS. KAUTZ: Xavier Durana? |
| 14 | MR. DURANA: Yes. |
| 15 | MS. KAUTZ: And Alicia Bache-Wiig? |
| 16 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. |
| 17 | MS. KAUTZ: Great. Thank you so much. The |
| 18 | motion passes. |
| 19 | MR. BLITSTEIN: May I say something? |
| 20 | MS. KAUTZ: Yes. |
| 21 | MR. BLITSTEIN: I'm speechless with this. I |
| 22 | just want to say that I feel that this process has |
| 23 | been so unusual and so professional, and I want to |
| 24 | thank everybody for their support. It means a lot |
| 25 | to me so many years that I've been doing this, and |
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| 1 | it means a lot to my client. So I thank you all |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | for your very gracious attitude to this project. |
| 3 | Thank you. |
| 4 | MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. |
| 5 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Kara, are you ready for us to |
| 6 | proceed to the next item? |
| 7 | MS. KAUTZ: I am. |
| 8 | MR. EHRENHAFT: This is again a special |
| 9 | certificate of appropriateness, public hearing on |
| 10 | Case File COA SP 2020-001, property located at 1148 |
| 11 | Alhambra Circle. |
| 12 | This is an application for the issuance of a |
| 13 | special certificate of appropriateness for the |
| 14 | aforementioned property, a contributing resource |
| 15 | within the Alhambra Circle Historic District, local |
| 16 | historic landmark, legally described as Lots 1 and |
| 17 | 2, Block 15, Coral Gables Section C, according to |
| 18 | the plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 8 at |
| 19 | Page 26 of the public records of Miami-Dade County, |
| 20 | Florida. |
| 21 | The application requests design approval for |
| 22 | an addition and alterations to the residence and |
| 23 | sitework. |
| 24 | MS. KAUTZ: Thank you very much. |
| 25 | MR. EHRENHAFT: So we'll hear from staff |

first.
MS. KAUTZ: You all can see my screen.
MS. SPAIN: Before you do, before you do, I need to just disclose that $I$ have been out on the property when $I$ was working for the City and spoke with the owners of the property, but I don't feel like I have to recuse myself. I did not give them, you know, any approvals at the time.

MS. KAUTZ: Thank you.
MS. SPAIN: That's all I have.
MR. EHRENHAFT: I'll interject. I was going to ask after the staff presentation, but does any other board member have any ex parte communication, site visit, disclosures to make with respect to the case?

MR. FULLERTON: No.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Like Dona stated, I'm very familiar with the property having walked by it probably a thousand times with two dogs over a period of ten years. I've known the previous owners, but that will not affect my ability to make an impartial judgment. This is Raul Rodriguez. MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Thank you. MS. KAUTZ: So this is the location map, the
southeast corner of Columbus Boulevard and Alhambra Circle. This is a photo of the property from the 1940s. It was permitted in April 1929. It was designed by the firm of Oemler \& Wade. It has been expanded over the years, but the overall integrity of the property has remained. This elevation is largely intact with a few minor modifications.

This is a contributing resource within the Alhambra Circle Historic District. And there are no variances requested as part of this application.

It was reviewed and approved by the Board of Architects in December with no comments. Staff, as you probably saw, has quite a few comments which shouldn't be too alarming. It was just after a close study of the drawings some things we just want clarified prior to permitting.

Overall they're doing some restoration work, bringing some features back to the property that we're very pleased with, and looking forward to see this project move forward. So if you can pull up the PowerPoint, the architect is -- there you are. If you can pull up your PowerPoint, we can discuss the comments afterwards.

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Good afternoon. My

| 1 | name is Antonio Rodriguez. I'm the architect of |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | record. |
| 3 | As Kara explained, we're proposing an |
| 4 | addition/remodeling -- |
| 5 | THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. |
| 6 | MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: It's 1148 Alhambra |
| 7 | Circle. |
| 8 | THE COURT REPORTER: Kara, I apologize, I need |
| 9 | to get him sworn in, right? |
| 10 | MS. KAUTZ: Absolutely. Sorry. |
| 11 | THE COURT REPORTER: No, no, no, that's okay. |
| 12 | Give me one second. |
| 13 | Okay. Could you please raise your right hand, |
| 14 | sir. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole |
| 15 | truth, and nothing but the truth? |
| 16 | MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: I do. |
| 17 | THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. |
| 18 | MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: So the addition |
| 19 | essentially is a family room that we're adding in a |
| 20 | gap between the main house and the garage. We're |
| 21 | also adding a covered terrace and, you know, doing |
| 22 | some interior alterations, but nothing major. |
| 23 | We're also eliminating a few elements that |
| 24 | were added in later additions that both the owner |
| 25 | and I and Kara agreed that it really detracted from |
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the original intent of the design, namely, the front entry. They added $a$-- and $I$ don't know if we can put up the -- yeah, I think you need to let Tom be a host for the slide show.

It's not letting us in.
MS. KAUTZ: Hang on one second. He's on as Thomas Iglesias; is that correct?

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: He was on as two yesterday, so I want to make sure that that's the right one that needs the -- Billy, can you do that?

MR. URQUIA: Yeah, it's done.
MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
MS. KAUTZ: Your desktop looks like mine. Oh, my gosh.

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Here we go. We do have some renderings and a lot of great pictures of the existing house.

If you can bring up the existing floor plan first.

MS. KAUTZ: Can you take it off of presenters view and put it just on the slide show so it's bigger?

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: We'll try.
MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, that's it.

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I just wanted to bring this one up, just so you can see the location of the addition would be between where you see there as the main house on the left side and the garage on the right.

And if you could go to the original front elevation, I wanted to show you the original look.

That elevation on the bottom, that's the front, that's the original look, and we're trying to bring back -- we are bringing back in the proposal the archway there on the left side that got covered up by a later addition, and then the two garage doors on the right side, this is the original, and we're bringing those back as well.

You can show the pictures of the current house -- or, actually, go to the front elevation in our plans, the new and existing.

So, yeah, the drawing on the right there, on the upper right -- or, sorry, no, on the left, you have the archway the way it was originally, and we brought it back, and then the addition that we're removing is on the bottom there. You see that there was a --

MS. KAUTZ: Can I interrupt you briefly for one second?

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, go ahead.
MS. KAUTZ: Billy, can you see the screen? Can you show him how to take it off the setting so that it's full screen, because the TV's not going to pick up any of this?

MR. URQUIA: I cannot, but if you want I can share -- I'm not sure how to do it, maybe display settings on top.

MS. KAUTZ: I think it's on top. Yeah, I think there's notes you have to hide.

MR. URQUIA: Swap presenter view and slide show view. There you go.

MS. KAUTZ: There you go. Perfect. Thank you.

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: So you see the bottom is the existing as it currently stands, and we're going to remove that addition to the covered entry and bring it back to the original design.

And you see the two garage doors that had been covered up. Actually, one was converted to a window, and one has a new garage door that we're bringing back the openings there.

But, yeah, so if you have any questions.
We can also put up some of the renderings.
MS. KAUTZ: Can you walk them through the

| 1 | addition? You need to tell them what you're doing |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | to the -- |
| 3 | MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Sure. Go to the floor |
| 4 | plan. |
| 5 | Okay. So you see the area there between the |
| 6 | garage and the main house, we expanded the existing |
| 7 | kitchen and added a new family room and a covered |
| 8 | terrace in the rear. We also added a pool and a |
| 9 | stone deck as well. We did keep the three-car |
| 10 | carport that was added in a later addition, but |
| 11 | made a revision there as well. |
| 12 | If you can go to the renderings real quick and |
| 13 | see the carport. |
| 14 | The carport actually had what you're going to |
| 15 | see now, but -- there it is. And it also had a |
| 16 | trellis but it was at an angle, kind of following |
| 17 | the line of the carport, and it looked kind of |
| 18 | massive. |
| 19 | So that's the other change we did. We did a |
| 20 | new trellis, but it's actually flat so it doesn't |
| 21 | compete with the carport, and enclosed the existing |
| 22 | courtyard that was there. We just actually |
| 23 | enclosed it with a privacy wall. |
| 24 | Okay. Go back to the floor plan. |
| 25 | Actually, leave it right there. |

So this is the back showing the addition off to the left, the new covered terrace and the family room behind it. And we do have a connection, a covered loggia there connecting to the original covered terrace that the house had.

And we worked with Kara to make sure we maintained the existing openings and, you know, trying to bring back all the original detailing as much as possible.

We also had a mosaic in the front entry that got covered up by the new addition on the floor with the address of the house, the number of the house, that was a really cool detail and they had covered it up with the new entry that will get restored once we demo the new entry.

That's about it.
MS. KAUTZ: Does anyone have any questions?
I mean, the addition, you know, the one-story addition, if you all look at the floor plan, the 1.1, the existing demo plan, it fits within sort of a $U$, existing $U$ of the building, so they're just kind of filling in a portion that doesn't exist. They're doing very little demolition as part of the application, which we were quite happy with.

It's a one-story addition. It will be
slightly visible from Alhambra but not much, which is why we thought it was -- ElizaBeth is on, if you want to add anything. It wasn't just -- I keep getting credit for all these things, but it's not just me. It's ElizaBeth as well. So if you have anything to add, if you have any questions of us, or we can go through the comments, whatever the Board wishes.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: So, Bruce, this is Cesar. I have a couple of questions regarding City's comments. Do you want to do those first or do you want to go to public comments? You're on mute, Bruce. MS. KAUTZ: Bruce, you're muted. You're muted.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. So I think first let me see if there's any public comment, and then go back to questions from the Board to move the motion, if that's all right with everybody.

So is there any member of the public attending on Zoom who wishes to speak in support of or in opposition of the case? If so, please limit your comments to three minutes, unmute to speak, please state your name, spell your name, and give your address, and the clerk will swear you in after you
identify yourself. And each individual's reminded to mute yourself after speaking so the next person can talk.

Do we have anybody on Zoom who wishes to comment?

MR. URQUIA: No one has raised their hand to show that they want to be heard.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. All right. Similarly then, the Chair will open the floor to comments from the public attending via telephone if there's anybody that wants to speak as to the case. Is there no one?

MR. URQUIA: No one on the telephone, sir.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. All right. Then staff has no further questions to the applicant, I guess; is that correct?

MS. KAUTZ: No. I'm fine with it. If you guys are fine with the presentation and want to go into the comments, that's fine. If you want more information from the applicant, feel free to ask him.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. As a matter of personal privilege, $I$ have one question that goes to M-13, it is questioning what type of roof is proposed for the new covered terrace. It's identified as a

| 1 | sloped roof only. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | I got the understanding from the drawings and |
| 3 | the elevation and construction notes that it was a |
| 4 | tile surface for on that sloped roof. It appeared |
| 5 | to me that the sloped roof has a very mild slope. |
| 6 | I was looking at the fine notes with my magnifying |
| 7 | glass, and it looked like the slope was from the |
| 8 | edge of the building to the outside edge where the |
| 9 | water would drip away, had only a slope of maybe |
| 10 | perhaps one-and-a-half to three inches of |
| 11 | downslope; is that correct? |
| 12 | MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: That is correct. It's |
| 13 | actually more like a flat roof. It just has a very |
| 14 | slight slope. |
| 15 | MR. EHRENHAFT: But it is tile? |
| 16 | MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: No. The tile wouldn't |
| 17 | work on that slope. |
| 18 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, okay. |
| 19 | MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, it's a flat roof |
| 20 | basically. |
| 21 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. |
| 22 | MS. KAUTZ: What's the material though? |
| 23 | MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: It's just going to be |
| 24 | either built-up roofing or some other roofing. We |
| 25 | haven't specified that yet. |
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MS. KAUTZ: I'm pretty sure that's not allowed by code. I don't think you can have exposed built-up roofing as a roofing surface.

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: So we will do -- I think, Kara, you mentioned that it wasn't specified.

MS. KAUTZ: Right.
MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: We'll look into that and make sure it's an approved method.

MS. KAUTZ: Okay. There's a -- I can't point it out to you, but I'm pointing at my screen like you can see me. There's a section cut through that roof that wasn't provided, so I thought that would have shown what the roof was, but it's just not called out.

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Absolutely, yeah.
MS. KAUTZ: You know, they could do a copper roof, but that would be, you know --

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: That would be --
MS. KAUTZ: -- be loud.
MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Okay. We'll look into it, a proper roof, yeah.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. And then continuing to Item 11, Kara, I'm lost on the reference to a square medallion. I don't find it anywhere.

MS. KAUTZ: Okay. I know. Do you have a photograph of the existing house?

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Kara, it's in the
front elevation?
MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, it's on the front. It's again -- that's weird. I think it's already gone.

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, I don't see it.
MS. KAUTZ: Yeah. Okay. We have a photograph that shows -- again, I'm pointing at the screen like you can see me.

Go back one to get the front facade -- yeah, so between those two, above the arches on the right-hand side on the top photograph, there was an applied like plaster square medallion that was on there. It's in a photograph that I have. And we just want it to be removed, but it looks like it already has been.

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, absolutely.
These are pretty recent --
MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, so never mind. Ignore that comment.

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: All right.
MR. EHRENHAFT: And I don't want to step on anybody else's comments from the Board, but the
applicant has -- we have 17 requests from staff.

Are there any that you have problems with or you're in agreement with?

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: No. We're fine with all of them. Most of them are shifting of windows where we had shifted the windows, in one case $I$ remember about six inches, and we're fine with just readjusting it back to the original location. I think Kara just generally wanted to keep the windows and doors in their original location if at all possible.

MS. KAUTZ: Yes.
MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: So, yeah, there were some cases where, again, we just to try to center things we shifted some of the fenestration, but it's not a problem. You know, most of it was pretty minor. We can put it back to the original opening.

MS. KAUTZ: There were a few conditions -- as part of the conditions $I$ noted certain instances where we note it on the plans, but as a rule unless on the report it was noted as, yeah, we're okay with blocking up a window or shifting window, it should remain in their existing locations with the existing sills and not to be, you know, add sills

| 1 | or just, you know, to move a window over six inches |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | is -- |
| 3 | MR. FULLERTON: Immaterial. |
| 4 | MS. KAUTZ: Anyway, I think Cesar had |
| 5 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Yeah, I was going to say to |
| 6 | the rest of the Board, I apologize, because I |
| 7 | didn't mean to step on the discussion. So any you |
| 8 | who want to comment further and address any of the |
| 9 | requests or anything else, please do so. |
| 10 | MR. GARCIA-PONS: Bruce, this is Cesar. I do |
| 11 | have one question for the architect that I had the |
| 12 | same question about the City's comments. Looking |
| 13 | through them on No. 9, the last bullet where the |
| 14 | City's asking to not move that window, looking at |
| 15 | the plan it looks like it's between the shower and |
| 16 | a toilet up in the bathroom. I don't know how |
| 17 | movable that is, so I just wanted to make sure that |
| 18 | you were okay with that so that it's clear. |
| 19 | MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, I discussed it, |
| 20 | actually, with the owner earlier today, and what |
| 21 | we're going to do is just take three inches from |
| 22 | the toilet and make that partition a two-inch |
| 23 | partition and we'll get it right to the edge of the |
| 24 | window. And we might go -- yeah, that should work. |
| 25 | MR. GARCIA-PONS: And then the second question |
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is on No. 10 where it looks like the sill was going to get dropped a little bit, and you're mentioning it has an egress window, is the height of the sill an egress requirement or not?

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Let me see that one.
MS. KAUTZ: It might have been just a drafting error. I wasn't sure. If you go to 2.1 you can see what I'm talking about on the balcony, the window to the right of the door. On the existing you can see the sill very clearly above the edge of the balcony. And then on the top one it gets dropped, it's been elongated in some way.

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, I'm not sure that is an agrees. Can you go to the floor plan?

I know there's other windows in the front facade. We could -- I think the front window might be a bigger window. We can probably make that one the egress.

MS. KAUTZ: All right. It just had to do with the window not being elongated, so if that can be achieved -- I think Cesar's question was: Was that intentional because of egress or is it just a drafting error?

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, I think maybe it
got done because it was an egress window, but clearly the front window is a little bit bigger anyway, so we can make that the egress if the original one doesn't comply with the height requirement.

MS. KAUTZ: And just for the Board's edification, what you're calling the front is actually the Columbus side, so $I$ just didn't want you to confuse the Board.

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Right.
MS. KAUTZ: It's not the Alhambra side, this is the Columbus side.

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: The west side is what I'm referring to, yeah. There's a large window there.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Thank you. And, Bruce, I'm ready to make a motion whenever you're ready.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: I have a quick question. Comment No. 12 regarding the shutters, will the architect work with staff to identify the correct rustic looking shutters?

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Absolutely, yeah.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Okay.
MR. FULLERTON: Yeah, I had a question about that too. I noticed when I looked at the house

| 1 | there were shutters where you do not show them, |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | and -- there were shutters where you did not show |
| 3 | them and shutters on windows that you're showing |
| 4 | that are not on the building. I'm sure it's a |
| 5 | drafting thing, but are you clear on what are |
| 6 | getting shutters and what windows are not getting |
| 7 | shutters? |
| 8 | MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Absolutely. I'll work |
| 9 | with Kara to make sure we do the original looking |
| 10 | shutters, because there were I believe some newer |
| 11 | shutters, I guess, and we'll square it away with |
| 12 | her, whatever they want to go with is fine. |
| 13 | MS. KAUTZ: They were a bit more rustic. |
| 14 | They're meant to be more rustic. You guys have the |
| 15 | original drawings. They were more sort of a |
| 16 | farmhousy look than a Colonial look so - |
| 17 | MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Right. Yeah, I |
| 18 | believe the owner asked for this type, but it's |
| 19 | fine. I already spoke to him about it and we'll go |
| 20 | with the more rustic ones. |
| 21 | MR. FULLERTON: My reference was simply that |
| 22 | on your drawings it shows shutters in a lot of |
| 23 | windows that in the building are not shuttered, and |
| 24 | there are shutters on windows on the building that |
| 25 | you do not show. So I just wanted to make sure |
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| 2 | MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, there may be |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | some that were there originally but they're no |
| 4 | longer there, so I'm not sure. I got to through |
| 5 | it. |
| 6 | MR. EHRENHAFT: So you'll work with staff, |
| 7 | identify where in the original drawings there were |
| 8 | indications of shutters, and you'll place them in |
| 9 | all those locations; is that correct? |
| 10 | MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Absolutely. |
| 11 | Absolutely. |
| 12 | MS. KAUTZ: The only one of the comments that |
| 13 | was more of a question than a requirement had to do |
| 14 | with the new gates on the front new masonry wall. |
| 15 | If you can go to that elevation. It was more of a |
| 16 | question of are they necessary and can they be |
| 17 | cuter. |
| 18 | MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, absolutely. |
| 19 | MS. KAUTZ: If the Board has no issue with |
| 20 | them, then that's fine. It was just, they're very |
| 21 | wide and they look like what you find at the rear |
| 22 | of the house, which you do already have them at the |
| 23 | rear of the house, so that was just why -- |
| 24 | MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, I already - |
| 25 | sorry, Kara. I already spoke to the owner and he |

definitely agreed that that should be a pedestrian gate only. He already has a double gate on the right side on the Columbus side so we're going to -- yeah, we're going to go ahead and remove the double gate and just add a pedestrian gate.

MS. KAUTZ: Okay. I wasn't sure if it was a requirement in any way for pool access, but you do have one at the other end, so I wasn't sure.

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, and it being smaller, it will be easier to create something more attractive.

MS. KAUTZ: Thanks. Okay.
MR. EHRENHAFT: And so you'll try to find something that speaks to what ironwork might have been --

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Correct. Yes, sir. MS. KAUTZ: The ironwork's still on the house. This is the house on the corner with the front gate with the parrot. If you all have ever seen it, this is that house. So there is existing ironwork on the building to use as a reference.

MR. FULLERTON: It's a beautiful house.
MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Absolutely. And, actually, the ironwork is in pretty decent shape considering how old it is.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Well, if there are no other comments, I think Cesar had requested to make a motion?

MS. SPAIN: I'd like to make just one comment.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.
MS. SPAIN: This is Dona Spain. I just really appreciate the removal of the non-original items that you're doing on this house. I think you're going to find that it's so much nicer when you're done with it. It will be a really nice job. That's it.

MR. ANTONIO RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
MR. FULLERTON: I move approval.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: I'd like --
MR. FULLERTON: This is Fullerton, I move approval of the motion.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there a second?
MS. KAUTZ: I think Cesar was trying to say something.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: I'm sorry, John, do you want that with conditions?

MR. FULLERTON: Yes, with the conditions.
MS. SPAIN: I second that. This is Dona.
MR. EHRENHAFT: May we call the role, please?
MS. KAUTZ: Cesar Garcia-Pons?
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| 1 | issued Standard Certificate of Appropriateness for |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | the property at 4408 Palmarito Street, a |
| 3 | contributing resource within the Italian Village |
| 4 | Historic District, legally described as Lots 10, 11 |
| 5 | and 12, Block 12, Coral Gables Riviera Section, |
| 6 | Part 1, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded |
| 7 | in Plat Book 28, Page 31 of the Public Records of |
| 8 | Miami-Dade County, Florida. |
| 9 | The applicant is requesting design approval |
| 10 | for impact-resistant windows and doors that do not |
| 11 | match the approved permit plans. |
| 12 | MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. |
| 13 | So this is being brought to you as an |
| 14 | after-the-fact revision. This is the location of |
| 15 | the property. It's located within the Italian |
| 16 | Village, designated as a Landmark District in 1992. |
| 17 | This was constructed in 1926, designed by Alfred |
| 18 | Klingbeil. |
| 19 | So again, this was an after-the-fact request |
| 20 | for impact resistant windows and doors that do not |
| 21 | match the plans. Before I turn it over, I just |
| 22 | want you guys to be aware in your package, just so |
| 23 | you know what everything is, the first Attachment A |
| 24 | are the original permit drawings from 1926. |
| 25 | Attachment $B$ is what was approved, the permit set |
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that was approved by Board of Architects, by staff, by the Development Services Department, and then Attachment $C$ are essentially as-builts and these are what actually got installed. So I just want to make sure you knew what all three of those attachments were.

So as a little bit of background, when owners of historic properties want to change out windows that are doors, our department's response always is the requirement that the windows and doors match or come closer to what was originally on the building. This is our common spiel that we give to everybody, we get as close as we can with meeting egress, and also different windows, if they're steel casements, they'll have a different look once they're changed to aluminum, so we get as close as we can.

So the permit drawings approved by both the Board of Architects and staff fulfilled that requirement. They were double casements. And you'll note on Attachment $B$ there were some hand drawn corrections from the Board of Architects as part of their condition of approval that weren't -also weren't made part of what was installed.

So when we went out to do our final inspection we rejected the inspection for the permit because

| 1 | very few of the windows and doors were installed |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | according to the approved permit. Mostly it was |
| 3 | single casements that were installed and single |
| 4 | French doors that were installed instead of double |
| 5 | casements and double French doors where those would |
| 6 | be appropriate. |
| 7 | So the muntin profiles, the muntin patterns, |
| 8 | the type of unit, don't match what we approved, and |
| 9 | so staff would not approve the revision |
| 10 | administratively because we don't feel that they're |
| 11 | appropriate. |
| 12 | So with that, I'll turn it over to Rafael |
| 13 | Portuondo who's presenting on behalf of the owner. |
| 14 | MR. GARCIA-PONS: Okay. Kara, before you hand |
| 15 | it off, I have a question for staff. Bruce, can I |
| 16 | ask now? |
| 17 | MS. KAUTZ: Yes. Go ahead. |
| 18 | MR. GARCIA-PONS: So what are the options? |
| 19 | What are you asking us to do today to review; it's |
| 20 | either to approve, and if we don't approve, what |
| 21 | are the options? |
| 22 | MS. KAUTZ: So what I have told the owner, I |
| 23 | spoke to him on the phone, that your options are |
| 24 | you can approve what was installed; you cannot |
| 25 | approve what was installed, then in that case, they |

need to put in what was permitted; you can approve what installed and they leave them as is, we sign off on the revision, it moves forward and goes away; or a hybrid of the two where they can modify the muntins, they can add, you know, a thicker simulated double -- you know, to look like a pair of casements where there's only a single; you can ask them to change out windows that are visible from a street facade or a side facade. It's honestly up to you all how you want to handle this.

We just were not comfortable approving something that goes against what we've told every other applicant that they need to do.

MS. SPAIN: Kara, this is Dona Spain. Has this gone back to the Board of Architects at all or it just came to the Board?

MS. KAUTZ: I am not sure of that question. The Board of Architects isn't meeting, so it would just be Carlos reviewing it --

MS. SPAIN: Oh, of course.
MS. KAUTZ: -- and I'm not sure if Rafael got questioned on it. I don't know.

MR. MENENDEZ: Kara, this is Albert. How does this get to this point where we're in a situation where all this is installed and now it's wrong and

| 1 | now, you know, we're put in this position? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MS. SPAIN: That's probably a question for the |
| 3 | owner, because something happened between when it |
| 4 | was permitted and when it was installed. Because, |
| 5 | I mean, you've got a permit for one thing and then |
| 6 | it's different. |
| 7 | MR. MENENDEZ: But isn't there a checks and |
| 8 | balances here somewhere along the line? |
| 9 | MS. KAUTZ: We only do a final inspection. |
| 10 | MS. SPAIN: Inspections. |
| 11 | MS. KAUTZ: We don't do intermediate |
| 12 | inspections. That's up to the Development Services |
| 13 | Department who just looks for, you know, |
| 14 | installation, framing, things like that. We only |
| 15 | get called out when everything's completed. |
| 16 | MR. PORTUONDO: Albert, can you hear me? It's |
| 17 | Rafael. |
| 18 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yeah. |
| 19 | MR. PORTUONDO: By the way, I haven't been |
| 20 | sworn in. |
| 21 | MS. KAUTZ: Yes, you need to be sworn in. |
| 22 | THE COURT REPORTER: Could you please raise |
| 23 | your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, |
| 24 | the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? |
| 25 | MR. PORTUONDO: So help me God. |

THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Rafael, could you state your name and --

MR. PORTUONDO: So I have Dr. --
MS. KAUTZ: Rafael, state your name and address, please, for the record.

MR. PORTUONDO: I'm sorry. Rafael Portuondo, Portuondo Perotti Architects, right outside of Coral Gables.

And I just wanted to ask Dona, if that painting is for sale, the one behind you, I'll take it.

MS. SPAIN: I'm in Tom's little portion of his studio where he does all the messy work. It's quiet in here.

MR. PORTUONDO: So I have Dr. Arias, he's here with us. He's a neurosurgeon.

And I think Dr. Arias, you might be -- okay. And what I thought would be smart would be to have him discuss with the Historic Board the process he went through and why we are here today.

So we're close friends, we've been friends for many, many years. We've done work for him. And after he went through what he went through, he called us and we're here to help him get through
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synthesis of what happened. We approached a contractor who was referred to us, and the contractor knew that we were historically designated. He devised the first plans that were proposed with an architect, and he brought in the person to provide the PGT windows that we had chosen to be -- after we did our due diligence to be the best we can use because we thought that the match of it and the different metals used matched the property best.

Those plans were approved and he came back. And one of the things that -- I'll give you an example of what he said. If you look at -- I'm sure Rafael's going to show you some pictures. But if you look at the door, which was originally a double door on the second story balcony, PGT said that the size of the glass would be too small and it would be completely off dimension because of the thickness of the metal frame around it, and they proposed going to the single.

And, you know, my wife and I felt that we would be represented with the appropriate accountability knowing that they already had gone through structural, going through architectural board, and the Historic Board. So it was partly
ignorance on our part, but maybe not, to confirm that indeed that was the process that was followed again.

The new drawings for -- the doors and the windows were placed according to what was recommended to us and represented to be appropriate for the historical designation, and obviously it's not the case.

So this is a nightmare for us as it is for you. And, you know, we now -- we went through structural approval and there was no problem. Historical came twice, and they were talking to the contractor and walked away. When we faced the contractor -- and, in fact, it was my wife who dealt with the contractor -- he immediately ripped out a page of the plans, and your records will show that my wife had to go back to Historic, to Coral Gables, and get a copy of that ripped page, because we didn't know what was going on. And we have a copy of that, she received that from Coral Gables.

MS. KAUTZ: Just to clarify, I never met your contractor. I drove by the property and never got out of my car. So I'm not sure who you're referring to, but it's not me.

DR. ARIAS: No. No. No. No.
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MS. SPAIN: -- the double class --
MR. PORTUONDO: I think right now they're single panes like large --

MS. SPAIN: Okay. So it's not like it's a double layer so that you really couldn't be able to do that.

MR. PORTUONDO: So I think that maybe what we could do, this is what maybe I'm suggesting, is I sit down maybe with Kara and with the windows the way they are, with Dr. Arias or maybe his wife, and then maybe we go window by window and see which ones are okay, because some of them might be okay, and which ones maybe might need a little bit of adjustment, right?

And then, for example, one of the things that Dr. Arias was saying earlier was that because it's PGT, and let's say -- I think the second floor door was like a three-foot door -- that when you have it as a double door with PGT, you even have like six inches of glass, right? So he was told by the window manufacturer, let's make it one, right?

So maybe that one door with PGT, we could maybe change the muntin pattern to make it more presentable, right, so that we wouldn't have to go to a double door, right?

And then there's certain doors maybe that -- I think maybe the more important doors might be the ones facing the street, and maybe the secondary windows, you know, that we could maybe look at it maybe being okay.

And I don't have the answers because I haven't gone through that exercise, but basically this is to answer what you're saying, that I think that there is an opportunity to rework the muntin patterns.

MS. SPAIN: I think it might be doable so that he doesn't have to go through the hellish -- let alone the expense, but the whole idea of the mess that it would be to have to reinstall new windows. It would just be horrible.

I mean, you understand, sir, that your window company put in the wrong windows, because they did not have a permit for them?

DR. ARIAS: I understand.
MS. SPAIN: Okay. Just as long as -- I don't want staff in any way to be --

DR. ARIAS: No. If I could, the one concern that we have from a safety point of view is that the one -- the door, the front door, it's sitting in our garage because we obviously have been

| 1 | paralyzed by the process, and I believe |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Mr. Portuondo has handed in drawings that include |
| 3 | the front door, and if we somehow could address |
| 4 | that we're very concerned that we're right in the |
| 5 | middle of hurricane season getting to the real bad |
| 6 | part, and we have a door that's rotting that I have |
| 7 | in my garage. |
| 8 | And I don't know if Rafael is planning to show |
| 9 | the current pictures of the house, so at least the |
| 10 | Board gets a flavor of what we have. |
| 11 | MS. SPAIN: We have them. |
| 12 | MR. PORTUONDO: You have them? |
| 13 | MS. SPAIN: We have them in the packet. |
| 14 | MS. KAUTZ: We can put up your PowerPoint if |
| 15 | you wish. |
| 16 | MR. PORTUONDO: Do we have the pictures to |
| 17 | show? |
| 18 | MS. KAUTZ: Are they part of your PowerPoint? |
| 19 | MR. PORTUONDO: Yes. |
| 20 | MS. KAUTZ: Then we can put it up. |
| 21 | Billy, can you put that one up, please, the |
| 22 | third one -- or Alex, sorry. |
| 23 | MR. GAMUNDI: We're putting it up now. |
| 24 | MR. PORTUONDO: So, I guess, for example, the |
| 25 | slide that's up now -- we might as well take them |
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| 1 | as they come up. Certain windows I think could be |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | looked at as being okay because they're in between |
| 3 | being a double or single. So I think -- |
| 4 | MS. SPAIN: What are we looking at? What's |
| 5 | the elevation -- |
| 6 | MR. PORTUONDO: This is the side elevation. |
| 7 | MS. KAUTZ: That's the front elevation. |
| 8 | MR. PORTUONDO: I'm sorry. |
| 9 | MS. KAUTZ: On the right on the middle. |
| 10 | MR. PORTUONDO: The one on the left is the |
| 11 | front, and the one on the right is the side. |
| 12 | So, for example -- |
| 13 | MS. KAUTZ: The one in the middle that you're |
| 14 | looking at with the balcony on the top, that's the |
| 15 | front, and that portion from the rain gutter to the |
| 16 | left is an addition. |
| 17 | MS. SPAIN: I got it. I see it. |
| 18 | MS. KAUTZ: So those don't have to be changed. |
| 19 | I'm okay with whatever happens on the -- |
| 20 | MS. SPAIN: That's the east elevation of the |
| 21 | main house. |
| 22 | MS. KAUTZ: Yes. |
| 23 | MR. PORTUONDO: So I'll expand what Dona said. |
| 24 | Let's say that we're looking at the front windows |
| 25 | on the right-hand side of the slide, that maybe we |
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you know, it's out of scale for the window.
MR. FULLERTON: Correct.
MR. PORTUONDO: John, which -- so then there's the image in -- the center image which is one, two, three --

MS. SPAIN: That's fine.
MR. FULLERTON: Those are fine.
MR. PORTUONDO: So let me see. Can you move the slide?

So I have all your images. Okay. These
are -- can you reduce the image a little bit?
MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, they're not coming across --
you're filling up too much of the screen, if that makes sense.

Can you shrink it, Billy, at all?
Okay. That's better at least.
MR. PORTUONDO: Much better.
MS. SPAIN: So are the upper left -- there we go. Are the upper left windows -- I mean, doors, are they on the west elevation of the main house, is that what I'm looking at?

DR. ARIAS: No, that's part of the addition. MR. PORTUONDO: But, yes, the west elevation. MS. SPAIN: So in the original drawings, one, two, three, four, there were four doors but they
only had one center mullion down the center out of it; is that right?

MR. PORTUONDO: Yes.
MS. SPAIN: One, two, three, four; one, two, three, four, five, six; one, two, three, four, five.

Well, it's going to be an odd dimension if you try to do it like the drawing's shown; don't you think?

DR. ARIAS: These are part of the addition. This is not part of the original home.

MS. SPAIN: Okay.
MS. KAUTZ: Bottom left is the original home.
MS. SPAIN: Okay. So I'll ignore those. I wouldn't know what to do with them.

MS. KAUTZ: The bottom left would have been a pair of casements divided into eight lines each.

MR. PORTUONDO: So maybe here, Dona, we could divide it in the middle with muntins?

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. PORTUONDO: And then make it look like a casement.

MS. SPAIN: Yes, I agree.
Are you comfortable with that, Kara?
MS. KAUTZ: It's up to you guys at this point.

MS. SPAIN: She's not. She's noncommittal. I mean, I think that has happened in the past for egress windows even on the front of a residence so...

MS. KAUTZ: Like that bottom left photograph, though, so you're talking about the front window and all of the ones on the side because that's one big room, so you're going to end up doing the majority of the windows in the house to make them consistent.

MS. SPAIN: Right. Right.
MS. KAUTZ: So if the doctor's okay with that, then that's probably what's happening if that's the way you guys --

MS. SPAIN: I think if you're going to do that though, you need to look at the individual lights, whether they're vertical or horizontal, because if you just put a very wide center looking as if it's two leaks you may end up with really horizontal panes.

MR. PORTUONDO: Correct.
MS. SPAIN: So that's something that you and Kara need to work out.

MR. PORTUONDO: 100 percent.
MS. SPAIN: It might be that you end up doing
less horizontal muntins because of that, but, you know...

MR. FULLERTON: I would say anything -- can somebody hear me?

MR. PORTUONDO: Sergio? Sergio?
DR. ARIAS: Yes.
MR. PORTUONDO: So one of the things that $I$ think is important about the windows that you bought is that all the muntins are basically glued on, right?

DR. ARIAS: Yes.
MR. PORTUONDO: So we would talk to the window manufacturer, and what the City's saying at this point is that --

MS. SPAIN: The City's not saying it, just to clarify.

MR. PORTUONDO: What Historic is discussing, what Historic is discussing, right, sorry, let's just put it that way, is that we can talk to the window manufacturer, we can have them remove the muntins the way they are, we can reattach a thicker mullion in the center that makes it look like a double casement. And we've done that before, and I think it would be something that would be fairly easy to do without having to rip out the window and

| 1 | redo it. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | DR. ARIAS: We were told that PGT does not |
| 3 | make different sizes, that this is their muntin. |
| 4 | And, you know, listen, we want to comply so I'm not |
| 5 | here in an adversarial fashion other than trying to |
| 6 | minimize more expenditure beyond this. |
| 7 | But I think the entire look of the house |
| 8 | should be an important consideration that -- I |
| 9 | mean, did we do justice to the historical nature of |
| 10 | the house that already has been changed by the |
| 11 | previous owners? Because, yes, there were casement |
| 12 | windows there, but are we being offensive to the |
| 13 | Italian Village heritage by the type of windows |
| 14 | that are placed now? If the Board says that we |
| 15 | have to do that because they're offensive on a |
| 16 | sual, we will do whatever the Board says. |
| 17 | But, you know, as an academician I understand |
| 18 | some of the ways that we can become very rigid, but |
| 19 | I think the flavor of the historical nature is we |
| 20 | tried to preserve, and unknowingly we did not meet |
| 21 | some of the academic expectations. |
| 22 | But, you know, I'd love the Board to consider |
| 23 | that, and even if it means having to come and see |
| 24 | the house, I'm happy to host whatever needs to be |
| 25 | done, and I'm happy to be a showcase for future |

Coral Gables historical people so they don't go through what I went through, because this is not -it's not good for you and it's definitely not good for us.

MS. KAUTZ: It's not a matter of the windows being offensive or not. We were given directive by the Board in the past that when windows are changed they need to go back to what was originally on the house. So it's not as if we're singling you out as a case of, you know, we just don't like your windows --

DR. ARIAS: I understand. I understand, Kara.
MS. KAUTZ: -- because that's not it. Our task is to bring the property as close back to originally what it was, which was what we were trying to do with the permit set of drawings. So it's not as if you're being singled out because we just don't like your windows, they're not very --

DR. ARIAS: No. Don't take my comments as implying that the Board is being pedantic towards us, that's not the case at all.

You know, the original house had screen -metal screen where there's glass, so if those windows were allowed to be changed to glass in the screens, what is the downside of the windows that
are presented in the front of the house that is so egregious that it requires further expenditure on my part? And I'm happy to do whatever we can do in a balanced fashion. I just wanted to the bring that up because obviously it's going to cost us a lot of money.

MS. SPAIN: This is Dona Spain again. The only reason that Kara was able to administratively approve it and that you didn't come to the Historic Board originally is because they were going back to the original double casement.

DR. ARIAS: I understand. I understand.
MS. SPAIN: So now you're sort of stuck. And I'm surprised that PGT doesn't have a wider muntin.

DR. ARIAS: I'm happy to confirm it, but that's what $I$ was told by the contractor when $I$ inquired upon that. They said, no, this is what they have.

MR. MENENDEZ: Kara, it's Albert. MS. KAUTZ: Hi.

MR. MENENDEZ: May I suggest that maybe the best way to handle this is you meeting with Rafael and determining which windows can be salvaged and which really need to be replaced, if any.

MS. KAUTZ: Are you talking about replace or

| 1 | reconfigure? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. MENENDEZ: The best and I would say the |
| 3 | most economical way of salvaging and getting what's |
| 4 | required. So maybe if you met with Rafael and |
| 5 | looked at all the windows again, and we came up |
| 6 | with a plan for salvaging the windows, |
| 7 | reconfiguring the windows, and then coming back to |
| 8 | the Board with a plan. |
| 9 | MS. SPAIN: Either that or taking one of the |
| 10 | windows, the windows we're looking at maybe on the |
| 11 | front, and doing the best you can to make it look |
| 12 | like a double casement, Ralph. |
| 13 | MR. PORTUONDO: Yeah. |
| 14 | MS. SPAIN: And have Kara go -- just do one of |
| 15 | them as a mock-up and see what it looks like. And |
| 16 | if it looks really bad, then it's, you know -- I'll |
| 17 | have to figure something else out. |
| 18 | It's a pity because it's a beautiful home. |
| 19 | And, honestly, sir, I'm talking to the owner now, |
| 20 | those windows don't do it justice. It's really a |
| 21 | pity. |
| 22 | MR. FULLERTON: That's right. |
| 23 | MS. SPAIN: Because it will make a huge |
| 24 | difference if you had done the casements the way |
| 25 | the permit shows. It's a shame. |

MR. FULLERTON: Am I on? Can I --
MS. SPAIN: Yes, we hear you.
MR. FULLERTON: This is Fullerton.
I agree, and it's a terrible shame that the doctor has been put in this position, but I think it seems to me that the window company bears some responsibility on this because --

MS. SPAIN: Absolutely.
MR. FULLERTON: -- they were given a set of approved plans, permitted plans, and they decided to do anything they wanted. To me they are liable for this problem and should be consulted to help fix it.

MS. SPAIN: I would agree. I would agree.
MR. PORTUONDO: So, Albert, I think that your suggestion of meeting with Kara, I'm going to -with Sergio -- I'm going to call PGT, because we have done thick muntins for egress windows that look like a double casement. So I know that they can do that. The question would be, can they do it like at this point. But I'm assuming that the answer is most probably yes.

MS. KAUTZ: I've had people change out the muntins after they've been installed. It's happened before, not on this scale actually, but we

| 1 | have had people make modifications to a window or |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | two in the past. |
| 3 | MS. SPAIN: I did it in my own house. |
| 4 | MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, PGT might do the muntin. |
| 5 | Just because your contractor told you they didn't, |
| 6 | I wouldn't -- I may not listen to that contractor, |
| 7 | what he's telling you. We can certainly find out |
| 8 | as well. |
| 9 | MR. DURANA: One thing to note, PGT and CGI |
| 10 | are owned by the same company, so you should be |
| 11 | able to speak to somebody there that can give |
| 12 | you -- either borrow a PGT profile, you know, |
| 13 | muntin and use it on a PGT window. I would assume |
| 14 | the colors are pretty similar. You might be able |
| 15 | to make that work also. |
| 16 | DR. ARIAS: Yeah, I can tell you that the |
| 17 | colors are close but not, because the front door we |
| 18 | decided to go with CGI because we wanted to make it |
| 19 | a solid door. PGT doesn't make solid doors and the |
| 20 | color is matte close, but not the same. They're |
| 21 | not the same colors. |
| 22 | But I'm willing to work with Ralph -- with |
| 23 | Rafael and whatever Kara suggests and just see if |
| 24 | we can come up with a reasonable balance approach. |
| 25 | Our concern continues to be the front door. |
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 slide.

So there's the front door the way it's in Dr. Arias's garage. It's a little bit blurry where I am, I'm not sure if you can see it clearer. But one thing that -- so, first of all, I guess, what do you think of the front door?

And, I apologize, I can barely see it on the screen.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: It's Sheet 202. We have the 11 by 17.

MR. FULLERTON: 202?
MS. KAUTZ: Yeah.
MR. PORTUONDO: So, basically, it looks like it's a four-panel front door with two sidelights. MR. FULLERTON: Yes.

MR. PORTUONDO: Solid. It's a solid door. Dr. Arias, is it imitation -- is it like imitation wood or is it --

DR. ARIAS: It's metal, imitation wood in metal on an impact door. And what the side panels don't show is that we already have the carpentry and the personnel to make the break with muntins, you know, actual wood on the outside to match the original that we had.

See, this was never -- I don't know if you
know, but anecdotally this was not the front entrance to this home. The front entrance was on the side perpendicular to the large room. This was a window just like the other three large ones in the front of the house. And I don't know how many owners ago, they did that change. So this is not an original door.

MR. PORTUONDO: Okay.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: If I can make a recommendation to Rafael, and I agree with Dona and Albert that, you know, we have the as-builts, but we're all looking for the architect's recommendations for a window-by-window basis of what he would recommend to this board. I think the intent is clear from this board as to what we'd like to see.

I don't know, Kara, if you would be comfortable for us to move it so that you guys work with our intentions as stated, or would you feel more comfortable if you worked with Rafael and then you bring it back to this board so that we can review the findings?

MS. KAUTZ: Either one. I mean, I'm happy to work with him to find a solution. If you all do want to see it again or if something kind of goes
sideways, I'm happy to bring it back to you.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Because I'm still very interested in seeing the architect's recommendation versus just the after-the-fact as-builts, because I do think there are solutions that could be had, and this is not a one size fits. I'd be happy to allow staff and the architect to work on its own to Kara's judgment. If she feels comfortable to move forward, great. If she wants to bring it back, great. But I'm also willing to listen to other people.

MS. SPAIN: I'm comfortable allowing staff to work with them as long as we tell her that we're okay possibly with Rafael's muntin reconfiguration. If she's able to do it, I don't want to hold the owner up because it's hurricane season and I just feel badly for him. But $I$ think if Kara wants to bring it back to you, she certainly can, but I'd be comfortable having her do it.

MR. MENENDEZ: Does this need to go back to the Board of Architects?

MS. KAUTZ: Rafael, did this go? Did these set of drawings go to the Board of Architects?

MR. PORTUONDO: We sent it to the Board of Architects, and, Carlos -- did he review it?

So I got into a discussion with Carlos Mindreau, and it was like do we need to go back to Historic first? Can we get it approved by the Board of Architects? And Carlos was going to talk to Tony Silio, and then it just sort of fell apart.

So it was one of those -- so I guess at the end of the day it doesn't really matter if it had to go to the Board of Architects, because until you and I and the owner are on the same page, even if the Board of Architects approves it and you don't approve it, we're nowhere.

MS. SPAIN: Right. Right.
MR. PORTUONDO: Right. So I'd rather solve it that you're happy, whether we need to come back to the Board. Maybe we can kind of -- if we could prioritize the front door, so if you're comfortable with whatever suggestions of muntin patterns that we come up with together --

MS. SPAIN: Ralph, what do you think of the front door?

MR. PORTUONDO: I actually think that if we could add the muntin patterns on the sidelight to match the muntin, the visions of the four panels, I think it could look fine, right?

MS. KAUTZ: It's a solid door though?

MR. PORTUONDO: It's a solid operable door -DR. ARIAS: Yes.

MR. PORTUONDO: -- with glass sidelights. MS. KAUTZ: So my only question is -- Billy, can you go to the drawing before this?

Dr. Arias sent me photographs of the existing front door, which I probably should have shared with you all, but I didn't.

So do you see the transom, the arched transom that's there? See where the half circle is that makes the radial?

MR. PORTUONDO: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Go to the next elevation, the one we were just at, Billy, please.

I'm sorry. It's different.
MR. PORTUONDO: It's different, but it's the same, right? Because it's a larger assembly, right?

MS. KAUTZ: No. I get it. I don't know if it's just going to look weird if you're in the same room and you got three, three, three and then one that's off.

MS. SPAIN: I think it helps that it's a solid door because it's a totally different situation. MR. FULLERTON: I agree.

MS. SPAIN: It wouldn't bother me.
MS. KAUTZ: Okay.
MR. FULLERTON: Can $I$ ask a question about
that same elevation?
On the application drawings, the original permit drawings, the three windows next to the entry configuration have a transom on them, as well as being double casements below. These three windows on Rafael's drawings don't have a transom and it's not broken. So I wondered if you could look at that especially because it's so prominent.

MS. KAUTZ: What we've done in the past when homes have, you know, steel casements with a transom, it's a typical configuration, that it will never translate into an aluminum window because of the thickness of the mull tube that has to happen, so the way we've treated that in the past is we have just allowed the owner to add, like they did here, add another horizontal muntin.

MR. PORTUONDO: Right.
MS. KAUTZ: Otherwise you get this very wide horizontal break of what would have been a transom on the top of the window.

MR. FULLERTON: The only thing is if they can add a middle mullion, larger, you know, to simulate

| 1 | the double casement, there's no reason why you |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | can't use that same type of a mullion on the |
| 3 | horizontal to simulate the transom. |
| 4 | MR. PORTUONDO: I'm not disagreeing with that, |
| 5 | John. And that's one of the things that in talking |
| 6 | to PGT we can figure out how complicated that would |
| 7 | be to do. |
| 8 | MR. FULLERTON: It seems to be that maybe that |
| 9 | might help the scale of the three casement windows. |
| 10 | MS. KAUTZ: So while we work this out, would |
| 11 | you all want to approve the design installation for |
| 12 | the front door so they can at least submit that as |
| 13 | a revision -- |
| 14 | MS. SPAIN: Yes. |
| 15 | MS. KAUTZ: -- to do the permit to get it |
| 16 | moving so they can install the front door -- |
| 17 | MS. SPAIN: Yes. |
| 18 | MR. FULLERTON: Yes. |
| 19 | MS. KAUTZ: -- while we work out the rest of |
| 20 | the conditions? It would -- |
| 21 | MS. SPAIN: Yes. Do you want that as a |
| 22 | separate motion? |
| 23 | MS. KAUTZ: Yes, please. |
| 24 | MS. SPAIN: I'll make that motion to approve |
| 25 | the front door installation. |
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| 1 | MR. FULLERTON: Second. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MS. KAUTZ: And, Rafael, you have to submit |
| 3 | that as a revision to the permit just to include |
| 4 | that door. |
| 5 | MR. PORTUONDO: It's already in our drawing |
| 6 | set, but, yes. |
| 7 | MS. KAUTZ: They can call it out separately so |
| 8 | it moves forward. |
| 9 | MR. PORTUONDO: Yes. Yes, I understand. I |
| 10 | understood. |
| 11 | MR. FULLERTON: I'll second the motion. |
| 12 | MS. KAUTZ: All right. Let me take that role. |
| 13 | Peggy Rolando? |
| 14 | MS. ROLANDO: Yes. |
| 15 | MS. KAUTZ: Xavier Durana? |
| 16 | MR. FULLERTON: He's muted. |
| 17 | MR. DURANA: Yes. |
| 18 | MS. KAUTZ: Alicia Bache-Wiig? |
| 19 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. |
| 20 | MS. KAUTZ: John Fullerton? |
| 21 | MR. FULLERTON: Yes. |
| 22 | MS. KAUTZ: Raul Rodriguez? |
| 23 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. |
| 24 | MS. KAUTZ: Albert Menendez? |
| 25 | MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. |
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MS. KAUTZ: Bruce Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Okay. Dona Spain?
MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: And Cesar Garcia-Pons?
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: So then if you want to move the other portion at some point, that's fine too.

MR. EHRENHAFT: So can we make a motion for the other -- the remainder of the fenestrations for all the rest of the house?

MR. PORTUONDO: I'm sorry, Bruce, I could barely hear you.

MR. EHRENHAFT: I'm sorry, I'm asking if there's no further discussion, may we have a motion to address the approach and approval of the process for dealing with all the rest of the fenestrations in the house?

MS. SPAIN: I can try to make that motion.
I feel like Peggy. Peggy normally is doing this.

Okay. I'd like to make a motion for staff to work with the architect for each individual window with a preference towards making do with the existing window and working with a muntin pattern,
unless staff is uncomfortable with that, and if they are uncomfortable, to bring it back. But if they're okay with doing that, they can go ahead and approve it. Is that okay? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Second. MS. KAUTZ: That's Cesar? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Okay. I will start with Mr. Fullerton? MR. FULLERTON: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Raul Rodriguez? MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Menendez? MR. RODRIGUEZ: That was yes. MS. KAUTZ: No, I know. I said Mr. Menendez. MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.

MS. KAUTZ: Dona Spain?
MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons?
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Ms. Rolando?
MS. ROLANDO: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Durana?

MR. DURANA: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Thank you all. Okay.
MR. PORTUONDO: Thank you, guys.
Kara, real quick, how are you working the City at this point?

MS. KAUTZ: We are not taking face-to-face meetings, so we can either set up a Zoom, something similar, we can talk on the phone, we can, you know, share screens, whatever you want to do.

MR. PORTUONDO: Maybe what I'll do is I'm going to talk with Sergio, Dr. Arias, maybe also talk to PGT and see what they can do, and then what we'll do is maybe I'll do a sketch, send it to you for review, and then we can do a Zoom call.

MS. KAUTZ: Perfect.
MS. SPAIN: You might want to show them the permit drawings compared to what they installed.

MR. FULLERTON: Yeah, good idea.
MS. ROLANDO: Rafael, were there no shop
drawings for this home for the windows and doors?
MR. PORTUONDO: Dr. Arias?
DR. ARIAS: I don't --
MR. PORTUONDO: Well, I think what might have
happened is there was a design set of drawings -by the way, Sergio, if I say something wrong, just correct me -- and it was approved, and then they went back to the owners and they said some of the things you want to do don't work that well with PGT, and they did another set of shop drawings that weren't the ones that were approved by the City. DR. ARIAS: That's correct. That's what I got.

MS. KAUTZ: So, Peggy, normally if you have a larger construction project, for example, the one at 1148 Alhambra, they submit for a permit, their windows are shown on the permit drawing, you know, calling out what they're going to be, you know, clear view, white frame, whatever. And then as part of their permit process, they're required to submit shop drawings. And then we check them, the elevations that they submit, the shop drawings to make sure they match what you all approved and then we approve them.

When you submit for a window permit, you submit the drawings that you all got as Attachment B with the NOAs, which are the notice of acceptance for the county, which are only the installation. It just says, you know, out swing casement window.

It won't tell you that it's double casement. It won't tell you it's single casement. It won't tell you the muntin pattern. It's just the install, how it meets hurricane. So there's not a separate set of shop drawings that come through.

MS. ROLANDO: When I replaced the windows and doors in my house, I had to sign off on shop drawings before they would manufacturer them.

MS. KAUTZ: Right. And that maybe did happen, is that he was saying the second set, but that was never brought back to the City.

MR. PORTUONDO: Right.
MS. ROLANDO: Well, frankly, that's the disconnect, because the manufacturer is always going to take the path of least resistance. So they go to the owner and say, whoa, we can't do this or it's going to cost more money. Here, sign here, and we'll do it this way.

So that is obviously a gap in the process and it's going to happen again.

MS. SPAIN: It's happened in the past.
MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, and just sometimes it happens on purpose because the owner is surreptitious about it. This owner is saying, hey, I didn't know.

So we've got to figure out a way that you don't -- where the owner does not get stuck in the middle, because this is going to end up costing money and hardship. And even if -- so I don't know what we need to do, but we need to fix the process. MS. KAUTZ: I agree, and I'm --

DR. ARIAS: I appreciate that comment.
MS. KAUTZ: -- open to any suggestions at this point because we've had this discussion internally before about windows, about lots of different things, and the repercussion of doing something like this -- and I'm not referring to you, Dr. Arias, at all -- but the repercussion to a contractor or a homeowner who has perhaps just said, well, okay, they approved this, I'm going to go the cheaper route and install -- it's fine. There's no repercussion or no penalty to those people.

MS. SPAIN: And that happened at the University of Miami on those windows too because we caught it on the shop drawings and the windows were already installed. So maybe it's to go to the building department and ask them to require shop drawings to be done before installation and signed by the owner.
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| 1 | DR. ARIAS: I agree. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MS. SPAIN: So that -- |
| 3 | DR. ARIAS: The contractor -- |
| 4 | MS. SPAIN: -- you know, so that the City is |
| 5 | aware of what's going on way before the inspection. |
| 6 | I don't know. And you should talk to Suramy about |
| 7 | that because I've had that discussion with her. |
| 8 | MS. KAUTZ: I've talked to Tony Silio about |
| 9 | that too in the past because it was happening when |
| 10 | he was in the Public Services Building and Zoning |
| 11 | at the time that it would happen quite often that |
| 12 | we would do a drive-by and go, well, their windows |
| 13 | are installed, and the next day I would get shop |
| 14 | drawings, and at that point, I mean, what's the |
| 15 | point of the shop drawings because they're already |
| 16 | in? |
| 17 | MS. SPAIN: I know. It's done. |
| 18 | MS. KAUTZ: I will definitely have that |
| 19 | conversation with them and see if we can come up |
| 20 | with some solution. |
| 21 | MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, and then the City ends up |
| 22 | being the bad guy -- |
| 23 | MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, absolutely. |
| 24 | MS. SPAIN: Right. Right. |
| 25 | MS. ROLANDO: -- and the Board looks like a |


| 1 | bunch of jerks if we say no. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MS. SPAIN: I know. |
| 3 | MS. ROLANDO: So, you know, I think there's an |
| 4 | easy solution, and that is move the shop drawing up |
| 5 | in the process, and they have to be submitted, I |
| 6 | don't know, with construction drawings or whatever, |
| 7 | but I think there's a way to fix the problem. |
| 8 | MS. KAUTZ: I know -- |
| 9 | MS. SPAIN: -- required -- |
| 10 | MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, and I know that recently |
| 11 | they've started doing some certain inspections that |
| 12 | are required before you can move on to your next |
| 13 | inspection through the process to sort of -- not |
| 14 | for this specific instance or case or circumstance, |
| 15 | but where there's a hole. So if the contractor |
| 16 | goes in to call another inspection, they can't do |
| 17 | it until this is done. So maybe shop drawings |
| 18 | become this, and they can't proceed without a lot |
| 19 | of other necessary inspections, so they can't move |
| 20 | forward until that's done. So that might be a |
| 21 | MR. FULLERTON: Normally aren't shop |
| 22 | drawings handed in before the permit is given? |
| 23 | MS. SPAIN: No, not at all. That's the issue. |
| 24 | MS. KAUTZ: It's like a checklist on the |
| 25 | permit requirements, almost as if it's an |


| 1 | inspection. You just have to check it off at the |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | end. |
| 3 | MR. FULLERTON: Yeah, but there are building |
| 4 | permits, there's a pool permit, there are different |
| 5 | kind of permits you have to get before you can |
| 6 | build, and I would think window permits would be |
| 7 | part of that. |
| 8 | MR. PORTUONDO: And, John, one of the things |
| 9 | with shop drawings is you can't do your masonry |
| 10 | openings without the shop drawings. |
| 11 | MR. FULLERTON: That's a good point too. |
| 12 | MR. PORTUONDO: The contractor can't just kind |
| 13 | of willy-nilly just make up an opening because |
| 14 | they've got to follow the required MO of a window |
| 15 | shop drawing. |
| 16 | MS. SPAIN: So they have them, they just don't |
| 17 | have to submit them. |
| 18 | MR. PORTUONDO: Correct. So we have them up |
| 19 | front in order for the shell contractor to build |
| 20 | the house. |
| 21 | MS. KAUTZ: A lot of times they're not getting |
| 22 | submitted. I mean, we've talked about this in the |
| 23 | past, you know, driving by a house that was |
| 24 | supposed to have clear glass and it's heavily |
| 25 | tinted or heavily mirrored, and we go, how did this |
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get approved? We didn't approve this.
And so we'll go do the research and there were no shop drawings ever submitted. And then they come to us a week or two later, and again, our hands are tied because they're already installed.

So you may have them, Rafael, up front, it doesn't mean we've seen them.

MR. PORTUONDO: Right. One of the things that I would say, and I don't want to take up anymore time, but we're having a huge problem with the glass, because it's become very reflective, almost like mirrors.

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. PORTUONDO: And we're having a difficult time getting around it. So, for example, in Palm Beach in Historic they don't allow it to be reflective. They will override the code for clear glass.

MS. KAUTZ: We've done that. And the gentleman who's in charge of energy efficiency over at City Hall, which is what I'm pointing at, you guys can't tell, is aware of that.

MR. PORTUONDO: I thought there was flies. I thought there was flies in the room.

MS. KAUTZ: He has worked with us in the past.

We say we absolutely want clear glass and people come in and say, well, we're not going to meet our efficiencies. And we go, it's a historic house, you won't anyway. And he has waived that
requirement for us regularly, because we do not want to see reflective glass or heavily dark tints. It detracts from the structure.

So we do have at least that relationship where we can do that.

MR. PORTUONDO: Okay. Well, listen, thank you for everything. I think I'm going to be reaching out to -- I'll talk to Sergio now, and, Kara, we'll be reaching out to you and we'll try to get through it.

MS. KAUTZ: Great.
MR. PORTUONDO: Thank you for your time.
DR. ARIAS: My wife and I would like to appreciate the Board's consideration. Thank you very much. We understand that you're in a predicament. We'll try to work it out with you in a way that's balanced for everybody. Thank you.

MS. KAUTZ: Thank you.
Okay. I know it's been a long first meeting, but it could have been longer, so, you know, hang in there for one second.

So your chair and vice chair positions are overdue to be voted on, and so we thought we'd handle it at the end of the meeting rather than at the beginning so that there was not a lot of confusion in switching over to a new chair if needed.

MR. FULLERTON: Can we take a brief bathroom? Sorry. Sorry to interrupt.

MS. KAUTZ: Sure. Sure. Go for it.
(Recess taken from 6:34 p.m. to 6:39 p.m.)
MS. KAUTZ: All right. Everyone's back.
So as I was saying, you all need to vote for chair and vice chair again. We'll entertain motions or suggestions.

MR. FULLERTON: I move or nominate Albert Menendez.

MR. DURANA: I second that.
MS. KAUTZ: Who seconded it?
MR. DURANA: Xavier. I seconded it.
MS. KAUTZ: Albert, do you accept the nomination?

MR. MENENDEZ: Yeah, I'll accept the nomination. Thanks, John.

MR. FULLERTON: I beat you to it.
MS. KAUTZ: Gus, can we do this by voice vote,
or does it have to be individual?
MR. CEBALLOS: I would do it individual. MS. KAUTZ: Okay. So Albert for chair. Mr. Fullerton?

MR. FULLERTON: Yes. Aye.
MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Menendez?
MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Ms. Spain?
Okay. She's nodding.
MS. SPAIN: Yes. Yes. Sorry.
MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons?
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Ms. Rolando?
MS. ROLANDO: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Durana?
MR. DURANA: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Okay. So welcome to our new chair.

So for vice chair you need to do the same.

MS. SPAIN: I nominate Cesar. I nominate Cesar Garcia-Pons.

MR. FULLERTON: I second that motion. I second that.

MS. KAUTZ: Do you accept? Where are you?
You accept?
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yeah, sure.
MS. KAUTZ: Okay. So let's start. So,
Mr. Rodriguez?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Menendez?
MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft? Nod?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Okay. There you go.
Ms. Spain?
MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons?
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Ms. Rolando?
MS. ROLANDO: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Durana?
MR. DURANA: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.

MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Motion passes. There you go.
So, Albert, we'll get you the script and all that before the next meeting so you know what you're doing.

MR. MENENDEZ: Fantastic.
MS. KAUTZ: Great. The only other item I have for you guys is -- thank you for doing this. I know it's been a long time. We tried to keep the agenda short and manageable because we didn't want it to get too out of hand. So thank you for this.

So 1208 Astoria was -- I don't know if any of you followed it, it went to the Commission.

Gus, you can help me with the legal language here. It went to the Commission, and basically no action was taken due to tie votes at two different meetings.

Is that the correct way to say that?
MR. CEBALLOS: Technically no vote of the Commission -- no, there was no action taken by the Commission, but because the code speaks to it, this code basically says if there's two meetings where you have back to back tie votes, basically it fails. So your decision was upheld by the inaction

| 1 | of our city commission. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. |
| 3 | That being said, the appellant has appealed to |
| 4 | the court, so it's now going to be in the court |
| 5 | system. And the demolition has been temporarily |
| 6 | stayed. |
| 7 | MR. FULLERTON: Appealed for what? I mean, I |
| 8 | thought our Board, even though I voted against it, |
| 9 | voted to allow it to be -- not designated, I mean, |
| 10 | not designated. |
| 11 | MS. KAUTZ: They're appealing that decision, |
| 12 | or the Commission -- Gus, tell me. |
| 13 | MR. CEBALLOS: The same appellant is appealing |
| 14 | the decision of the Commission and the underlying |
| 15 | Board to not designate it. They're appealing that |
| 16 | to the circuit court, the appellate division of the |
| 17 | court circuit. |
| 18 | MR. FULLERTON: But who's bringing that suit? |
| 19 | MR. CEBALLOS: The same individual, abutting |
| 20 | property owner. |
| 21 | MR. FULLERTON: Oh. |
| 22 | MS. KAUTZ: So that's what that is. |
| 23 | MR. FULLERTON: Okay. |
| 24 | MS. KAUTZ: Otherwise, I have nothing new for |
| 25 | you guys. Just good to see your faces. |
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MR. FULLERTON: Anything new on my next door neighbor to the west?

MS. KAUTZ: Gosh, this is so funny. So I've had this reminder on my calendar to have Cristina in the City Attorney's Office come and give you a presentation, and we reached out to each other and I said, no, no, no, this meeting's going to be -let's get them used to a Zoom meeting, and I'll bring her to the next meeting.

So that was my bad. I thought that it would be just burdensome to do that. But I will have her be at the next meeting so that you can get an update from her.

MR. FULLERTON: It's just sitting vacant and drawing rats. Luckily occasionally once or twice -- three or four times a week I think there's a police car that pulls up and just sits there for a while, but that's only a few minutes.

MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, I know it's still going through a process with -- that she knows about, and I apologize. I told her for the next meeting. MS. ROLANDO: What are you speaking about? MS. KAUTZ: 1113 -MR. FULLERTON: Yeah. MS. SPAIN: -- Castile.

MS. KAUTZ: Yeah.
MR. FULLERTON: Castile.
MS. KAUTZ: They began construction and then ceased construction. It went into receivership, and the receiver was giving it back to the bank. It's been a very long process, but we're hoping to get it finished at some point.

MR. FULLERTON: Can it be purchased, do you know? Can it be purchased?

MS. KAUTZ: I don't know. I know that -- I believe they were trying to get the bank to finish the work, but again, I'll have Cristina at the meeting to give you a proper update.

MR. FULLERTON: Okay. Thank you.
MS. KAUTZ: That's all I have.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Kara, so I assume that I should finish the chairing of this meeting?

MS. KAUTZ: I don't know. You're not chair anymore. I guess Albert adjourns.

MR. EHRENHAFT: I have one more comment though. I want to reconfirm whether Wednesday, August 19th is our next meeting. Are we having a Zoom meeting that day?

MS. KAUTZ: Let me just check the date really quick, but as far as $I$ know we've not been given
any indication that we're moving to real in-person meetings, so your next meeting would be on the 19th, and I'm assuming right now it will be virtual.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Very good.
MS. KAUTZ: If that changes, I will let you know and we'll go from there. But as far as I know this is the format for now.

MR. URQUIA: Kara, before you adjourn I want to remind the board members about financial disclosure. You should have all received the forms in the mail. Please make sure you file it with the State. You're going to start receiving reminders by tomorrow.

MR. FULLERTON: If we've already sent it in, do you get notice of that?

MR. URQUIA: Yes, we do.
MR. FULLERTON: So I need to make sure that somebody knows that I already sent mine in. Last time I got all kinds of mail about it, a $\$ 250$-a-day fine.

MR. URQUIA: They will start fining towards the end of next month.

MS. SPAIN: That's for last year, right?
MR. URQUIA: Yes. This is for 2019. That is
correct. It only goes to those who served in 2019.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a motion to adjourn.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Wait just one minute. I'd like to ask a question. I sent my form in online. Is there a way to check to make sure it was received?

MS. KAUTZ: Billy, that's a question for you. MR. URQUIA: I know. Give me a second. Did you send it to the city clerk's office or directly to --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: No, I sent it to, I guess, the Tallahassee website. MR. URQUIA: Give me one second. Can I have your name, sir. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Raul Rodriguez. MR. URQUIA: It does not show as having been received yet.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: When you send it and you hit send, nothing happened. And I did it to the online website that they provided.

MR. URQUIA: It does not show as being received yet. If you have it, send it to the city clerk's office. It's ClerkofCoralGables.com, and we'll make sure it's filed for you.
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MR. RODRIGUEZ: This happened last year also, and last year I sent it to your office, and I was later cited for a fine, and it was cleared up. But it was quite a mess.

Okay. I will resend it --
MR. URQUIA: All right. Thank you.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: -- to your office.
MR. URQUIA: CityclerkofCoralGables.com.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
MR. FULLERTON: Billy, would you mind looking for mine also, make sure you got it? I did it like three or four weeks ago.

MR. URQUIA: Mr. Fullerton, yours was received on June 8th actually.

MR. FULLERTON: All right. Yeah.
MS. ROLANDO: Billy, would you also confirm that mine was received, Margaret Rolando?

MR. URQUIA: I don't show you on the Board in 2019 .

MS. ROLANDO: Okay.
MS. KAUTZ: Oh, you weren't. You don't need to do it.

MR. URQUIA: So this is only for those members who served last year.

MS. KAUTZ: Okay. Great.

|  | Page 137 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cesar, continue. |
| 2 | MR. GARCIA-PONS: Albert, motion to adjourn. |
| 3 | MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. Adjourned. Meeting |
| 4 | adjourned. |
| 5 | (The meeting concluded at 6:50 p.m.) |
| 6 |  |
| 7 |  |
| 8 |  |
| 9 |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
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I further certify that said Historic Preservation Board Meeting was taken at the time and place
1 hereinabove set forth via video conference and that the taking of said Historic Preservation Board Meeting was commenced and completed as hereinabove set out.

I further certify that $I$ am not an attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am $I$ a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel of party connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.

The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or direction of the certifying reporter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 3rd day of August, 2020.


