	Page 1		Page 3
		1	"Lobbyist" is defined as an individual,
		2	corporation, partnership or other legal entity employed or
	CITY	3	retained, whether paid or not, by a principal who seeks
	OF CORAL GABLES	4	to, excuse me, seeks to encourage approval, disapproval,
	HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD	5	adoption, repeal, passage, defeat or modifications of:
	MEETING	6	A, any ordinance, resolution, action or
		7	decision of any city commissioner;
		8	B, any action, decision, recommendation of
		9	the city manager, any city board or committee, including,
	405 Biltmore Way,	10	but not limited to, quasi-judicial advisory board, trust,
	Coral Gables, Florida	11	authority or council;
	Thursday, 4:01 p.m.,	12	Or, C any action, decision or recommendation
	September 19, 2019.	13	of city personnel during the time period of the entire
	PARTICIPANTS:	14	decision making process on the action, decision or
	BRUCE EHRENHAFT, Chairperson	15	recommendation which foreseeably will be heard or reviewed
	CESAR GARCIA-PONS, Board Member	16	by the city commission or a city board or committee,
	ALBERT MENENDEZ, Board Member	17	including, but not limited to, quasi-judicial advisory
	XAVIER DURANA, Board Member RAUL R. RODRIGUEZ, Board Member	18	board, trust, authority or council.
	MIKE SARDINAS, Board Member	19	Presentations made to this board are subject
	JANICE THOMSON, Board Member	20	to the city's false claims ordinance, Chapter 39 of the
	DONA SPAIN, Historic Preservation Officer	21	City of Coral Gables city code.
	KARA KAUTZ, Assistant Historic Preservation Officer GUSTAVO J. CEBALLOS, Assistant City Attorney	22	I now officially call the City of Coral
	YESENIA DIAZ, Administrative Assistant	23	Gables Historic Preservation Board meeting of September
		24	19, 2019, to order. The time is 4:04 p.m.
		25	To my right are the following board members,
	Page 2		Page 4
1	Page 2 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon.	1	Page 4 Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and
1 2		1 2	
	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon.		Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and
2	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of	2	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike
2	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board.	2	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft.
2 3 4	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are	2 3 4	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical
2 3 4 5	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with preservation and protection of historic or	2 3 4 5	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department
2 3 4 5 6	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites,	2 3 4 5 6	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department director, Dona M. Spain, the city historic preservation
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, artifacts which impart a distinct	2 3 4 5 6 7	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department director, Dona M. Spain, the city historic preservation officer; department assistant director, Kara N. Kautz, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage to the city.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department director, Dona M. Spain, the city historic preservation officer; department assistant director, Kara N. Kautz, the city assistant historic preservation officer; and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage to the city. The board is comprised of nine members, seven	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department director, Dona M. Spain, the city historic preservation officer; department assistant director, Kara N. Kautz, the city assistant historic preservation officer; and departmental administrative assistant, Yesenia Diaz.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage to the city. The board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the commission, one by the city	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department director, Dona M. Spain, the city historic preservation officer; department assistant director, Kara N. Kautz, the city assistant historic preservation officer; and departmental administrative assistant, Yesenia Diaz. Okay. We will go on to approval of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage to the city. The board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the commission, one by the city manager, and the ninth is selected by the board and confirmed by the commission. Five members of the board constitute a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department director, Dona M. Spain, the city historic preservation officer; department assistant director, Kara N. Kautz, the city assistant historic preservation officer; and departmental administrative assistant, Yesenia Diaz. Okay. We will go on to approval of the minutes. Are there any changes or corrections to be noted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage to the city. The board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the commission, one by the city manager, and the ninth is selected by the board and confirmed by the commission.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department director, Dona M. Spain, the city historic preservation officer; department assistant director, Kara N. Kautz, the city assistant historic preservation officer; and departmental administrative assistant, Yesenia Diaz. Okay. We will go on to approval of the minutes. Are there any changes or corrections to be noted by any member of the board?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage to the city. The board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the commission, one by the city manager, and the ninth is selected by the board and confirmed by the commission. Five members of the board constitute a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department director, Dona M. Spain, the city historic preservation officer; department assistant director, Kara N. Kautz, the city assistant historic preservation officer; and departmental administrative assistant, Yesenia Diaz. Okay. We will go on to approval of the minutes. Are there any changes or corrections to be noted by any member of the board? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. I have one. I saw a word that was incorrect on my testimony on Page 67, Line Ten. The word in the notes says "distant," and it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage to the city. The board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the commission, one by the city manager, and the ninth is selected by the board and confirmed by the commission. Five members of the board constitute a quorum, and five affirmative votes are necessary for the adoption of any motion. Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department director, Dona M. Spain, the city historic preservation officer; department assistant director, Kara N. Kautz, the city assistant historic preservation officer; and departmental administrative assistant, Yesenia Diaz. Okay. We will go on to approval of the minutes. Are there any changes or corrections to be noted by any member of the board? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. I have one. I saw a word that was incorrect on my testimony on Page 67, Line
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage to the city. The board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the commission, one by the city manager, and the ninth is selected by the board and confirmed by the commission. Five members of the board constitute a quorum, and five affirmative votes are necessary for the adoption of any motion. Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department director, Dona M. Spain, the city historic preservation officer; department assistant director, Kara N. Kautz, the city assistant historic preservation officer; and departmental administrative assistant, Yesenia Diaz. Okay. We will go on to approval of the minutes. Are there any changes or corrections to be noted by any member of the board? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. I have one. I saw a word that was incorrect on my testimony on Page 67, Line Ten. The word in the notes says "distant," and it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage to the city. The board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the commission, one by the city manager, and the ninth is selected by the board and confirmed by the commission. Five members of the board constitute a quorum, and five affirmative votes are necessary for the adoption of any motion. Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department director, Dona M. Spain, the city historic preservation officer; department assistant director, Kara N. Kautz, the city assistant historic preservation officer; and departmental administrative assistant, Yesenia Diaz. Okay. We will go on to approval of the minutes. Are there any changes or corrections to be noted by any member of the board? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. I have one. I saw a word that was incorrect on my testimony on Page 67, Line Ten. The word in the notes says "distant," and it was meant to be "district."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage to the city. The board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the commission, one by the city manager, and the ninth is selected by the board and confirmed by the commission. Five members of the board constitute a quorum, and five affirmative votes are necessary for the adoption of any motion. Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the city clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before city staff, boards,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department director, Dona M. Spain, the city historic preservation officer; department assistant director, Kara N. Kautz, the city assistant historic preservation officer; and departmental administrative assistant, Yesenia Diaz. Okay. We will go on to approval of the minutes. Are there any changes or corrections to be noted by any member of the board? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. I have one. I saw a word that was incorrect on my testimony on Page 67, Line Ten. The word in the notes says "distant," and it was meant to be "district." MR. EHRENHAFT: Thank you. Are there any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage to the city. The board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the commission, one by the city manager, and the ninth is selected by the board and confirmed by the commission. Five members of the board constitute a quorum, and five affirmative votes are necessary for the adoption of any motion. Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the city clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before city staff, boards, committees, and/or city commission. A copy of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department director, Dona M. Spain, the city historic preservation officer; department assistant director, Kara N. Kautz, the city assistant historic preservation officer; and departmental administrative assistant, Yesenia Diaz. Okay. We will go on to approval of the minutes. Are there any changes or corrections to be noted by any member of the board? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. I have one. I saw a word that was incorrect on my testimony on Page 67, Line Ten. The word in the notes says "distant," and it was meant to be "district." MR. EHRENHAFT: Thank you. Are there any other changes? Okay. If not, there's a the chair will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage to the city. The board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the commission, one by the city manager, and the ninth is selected by the board and confirmed by the commission. Five members of the board constitute a quorum, and five affirmative votes are necessary for the adoption of any motion. Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the city clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before city staff, boards, committees, and/or city commission. A copy of the ordinance is available in the city clerk's office.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department director, Dona M. Spain, the city historic preservation officer; department assistant director, Kara N. Kautz, the city assistant historic preservation officer; and departmental administrative assistant, Yesenia Diaz. Okay. We will go on to approval of the minutes. Are there any changes or corrections to be noted by any member of the board? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. I have one. I saw a word that was incorrect on my testimony on Page 67, Line Ten. The word in the notes says "distant," and it was meant to be "district." MR. EHRENHAFT: Thank you. Are there any other changes? Okay. If not, there's a the chair will entertain a motion for approval of the minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage to the city. The board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the commission, one by the city manager, and the ninth is selected by the board and confirmed by the commission. Five members of the board constitute a quorum, and five affirmative votes are necessary for the adoption of any motion. Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the city clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before city staff, boards, committees, and/or city commission. A copy of the ordinance is available in the city clerk's office. Failure to register and provide proof of registration	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department director, Dona M. Spain, the city historic preservation officer; department assistant director, Kara N. Kautz, the city assistant historic preservation officer; and departmental administrative assistant, Yesenia Diaz. Okay. We will go on to approval of the minutes. Are there any changes or corrections to be noted by any member of the board? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. I have one. I saw a word that was incorrect on my testimony on Page 67, Line Ten. The word in the notes says "distant," and it was meant to be "district." MR. EHRENHAFT: Thank you. Are there any other changes? Okay. If not, there's a — the chair will entertain a motion for approval of the minutes. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'll move with the correction. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage to the city. The board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the commission, one by the city manager, and the ninth is selected by the board and confirmed by the commission. Five members of the board constitute a quorum, and five affirmative votes are necessary for the adoption of any motion. Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the city clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before city staff, boards, committees, and/or city commission. A copy of the ordinance is available in the city clerk's office. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the Historic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department director, Dona M. Spain, the city historic preservation officer; department assistant director, Kara N. Kautz, the city assistant historic preservation officer; and departmental administrative assistant, Yesenia Diaz. Okay. We will go on to approval of the minutes. Are there any changes or corrections to be noted by any member of the board? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. I have one. I saw a word that was incorrect on my testimony on Page 67, Line Ten. The word in the notes says "distant," and it was meant to be "district." MR. EHRENHAFT: Thank you. Are there any other changes? Okay. If not, there's a — the chair will entertain a motion for approval of the minutes. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'll move with the correction. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. MENENDEZ: Second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage to the city. The board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the commission, one by the city manager, and the ninth is selected by the board and confirmed by the commission. Five members of the board constitute a quorum, and five affirmative votes are necessary for the adoption of any motion. Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the city clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before city staff, boards, committees, and/or city commission. A copy of the ordinance is available in the city clerk's office. Failure to register and provide proof of registration	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Xavier Durana, Cesar Garcia-Pons, and to my left, Albert Menendez, Raul R. Rodriguez, Mike Sardinas, and Janice E. Thompson. I am Bruce Ehrenhaft. Staff present today from the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department director, Dona M. Spain, the city historic preservation officer; department assistant director, Kara N. Kautz, the city assistant historic preservation officer; and departmental administrative assistant, Yesenia Diaz. Okay. We will go on to approval of the minutes. Are there any changes or corrections to be noted by any member of the board? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. I have one. I saw a word that was incorrect on my testimony on Page 67, Line Ten. The word in the notes says "distant," and it was meant to be "district." MR. EHRENHAFT: Thank you. Are there any other changes? Okay. If not, there's a — the chair will entertain a motion for approval of the minutes. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'll move with the correction. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.

Page 5 Page 7 favor of approval of the minutes, say Aye. 1 MS. SPAIN: I received an e-mail from John 1 2 Fullerton. He's asking to be excused. 2 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye (collectively). 3 3 MR. EHRENHAFT: Any opposed, say Nay. Okay. He says, "I'm sorry that I'll be unable to 4 4 Motion passes unanimously. Okay, right, okay. attend today's board meeting as I have to take my wife to 5 5 Regarding ex parte communications, please be an appointment." 6 6 advised that this board is a quasi-judicial board and the I don't know whether he wants me to read this 7 items on the agenda are quasi-judicial in nature which 7 into the record, but it's a medical appointment, and so he 8 8 requires board members to disclose all ex parte is not able, he's not able to make it tonight. 9 9 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Can I interject and communications. 10 An ex parte communication is defined as any 10 ask if should we do a motion for an excused absence then? 11 11 MS. SPAIN: Yes, yes. contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, 12 12 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Is there a motion? memorandum or other written or verbal communication that 13 takes place outside a public hearing between a member of 13 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So moved. 14 14 the public and a member of the quasi-judicial board MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second it. 15 regarding matters to be heard by the quasi-judicial board. 15 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. All in favor, say Aye. 16 If anyone has made any contact with the board 16 THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye (collectively). 17 MR. EHRENHAFT: Anybody opposed? I can't 17 member, when the issue comes before the board, the member 18 must state on the record the existence of the ex parte 18 imagine. Okay. No? Okay. Thank you. All right. 19 communication, the party who originated the communication, 19 Okay. 20 20 and whether the communication will affect the board Well, we will now proceed to public hearings 21 member's ability to impartially consider the evidence to 21 on each of the cases that's on the agenda. The first 2.2 be presented regarding the matter. Okay. 22 group of properties are local historic designations, and 23 Miss Spain, I think I'll ask item by item if 23 the first case is Case Number --24 anybody has any ex parte communication. 24 MS. KAUTZ: Can I interrupt you for a moment? MS. SPAIN: That's fine. 25 25 MR. EHRENHAFT: I'm sorry. Certainly. Page 6 Page 8 1 MR. EHRENHAFT: Or should we just do them --1 MS. KAUTZ: We're going to do it a little, a 2 little bit differently. MS. SPAIN: You can do it item by item. I 2 3 don't know. That's a city attorney thing. 3 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. 4 MR. EHRENHAFT: What's clearer for you for 4 MS. KAUTZ: Because the first three items 5 the notes? 5 share historic context and Country Club Prado context. 6 6 THE COURT REPORTER: Item by item. MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. 7 7 MR. EHRENHAFT: Item by item? Okay, all MS. KAUTZ: We're going to present that first 8 8 right. Then we'll do that. Okay, all right. as applicable to all three and then start the designation. 9 I note from the revised agenda, Miss Spain, 9 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. 10 10 that there's one item that's been deferred. Are there any MS. KAUTZ: So you don't have to vote on 11 other deferrals? 11 anything I'm about to tell you. It's just the context 12 MS. SPAIN: Yes, let me just read the 12 portion of each report sort of consolidated, so we're 13 deferral. Case File COA (SP) 2019-012, that's a special 13 going to start there. 14 certificate of appropriateness for the property at 700 14 MR. EHRENHAFT: Thank you. 15 Alhambra Circle. That's been deferred. 15 MS. KAUTZ: Put the first item up on the 16 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. Thank you. 16 Power Point, please. 17 17 Okay, all right. Persons who are going to be testifying So again, we're presenting the historic 18 today need to be sworn in, so if there is anyone in the 18 context of the city and the Country Club Prado area first 19 19 audience who will be testifying today, please rise to be as a precursor to the three local historic designations as 20 20 they're shared between them. 21 THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Please raise 21 The information should be considered as part 22 your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth and 22 of the complete record for each of the LHD case files to 23 nothing but the truth? 23 be considered today, which are LHD 2018-12, which is 2520 24 24 (The audience members who will be testifying stated in the Country Club Prado; LHD 2019-006, which is 1224 Country 25 25 Club Prado; and LHD 2019-007, which is 1822 Country Club Page 9 Page 11

2.2

1 Prado.

2.0

2.4

So for the Coral Gables developmental history, it's divided broadly into three major historic periods. The first is the Coral Gables initial planning and development, the Florida land boom, all prior to the hurricane of 1926.

And then the aftermath of the 1926 hurricane, followed by the Great Depression, then the New Deal and wartime activity happens between roughly 1927 and 1944.

And then the post-World War II and modern period which is roughly between 1945 to 1963.

So the three properties under consideration today, 2520, 1224 and 1822 Country Club Prado, were all constructed in the '20s in the city's boom years.

When George Merrick began planning and developing Coral Gables, he envisioned, envisioned it — easy for me to say — as a cohesively designed city that relied heavily on an architectural styling derived from Spanish precedents as he felt this was the type of architecture that harmonized best with South Florida's climate and lifestyle.

He drew from the Garden City and City Beautiful movements of 19th and early 20th century to create his vision for a fully-conceived,

25 Mediterranean-inspired city which is now considered one of

Merrick also included numerous public and community amenities as well as grand place-making features. The street grid was opened up at very strategic locations to include grand entrances, plazas and fountains in order to give major arteries and vistas and provide visible -- visual interest for pedestrians and motorists.

The broad boulevards were curved around planned features that include vast parkland, golf courses, monumental public and community buildings as well as other amenities.

As Coral Gables expanded, George Merrick employed another Garden City precept which was a distinct separation of sections based on use.

Numerous sections in the city are dedicated solely to single family residences. The Douglas section was dedicated to multi-family. Commercial activities were restricted to the business section or along major thoroughfares such as Ponce de Leon Boulevard. An industrial section was implemented along the eastern border just north of U.S. 1, and a specialized live-work Craft section was also planned.

A business section originally called
St. Augustine business district was initially placed at a
three-and-one-half-acre area at the western edge of
Sections D and G.

Page 10

the first modern planned communities in the United States.

Merrick also wholeheartedly incorporated the Garden City precepts of comprehensive planning with defined areas for different uses, offering housing for different income levels without sacrificing any of the quality as well as providing a wealth of public facilities.

So landscape architect Frank Button drew the first comprehensive map of what later would become Coral Gables in 1921. It was based loosely on an infrastructure of an inherited grid of fruit trees from the Merrick family's plantation as well as the native pineland.

Merrick envisioned the city with Old World style and purposely set aside vast amounts of valuable land for plazas, boulevards, scenic spots, parkways and entrances. He realized that automobile ownership was becoming increasingly commonplace and wanted Coral Gables to embrace the motorist without sacrificing any of the beauty of the community.

He implemented a series of wide parkways with center planting medians that were major thoroughfares across the development as well as wide parkways with substantial swales for tree planting, but provided internal access and also scenic routes. This is including, among others, Country Club Prado.

Page 12

It boasted a wide boulevard with a large central median park. At each end, the roadway flared into V shape, making a large triangular land plots as the plaza met the prominent parkways of Coral Way at the north and Alhambra Circle at the south.

Unlike the residential lots that were a minimum of 50 feet wide, the lots in this business section were restricted to 25 feet wide.

Architect H. George Fink published his first design for Plaza Augustine in early 1922.

However, by April of that same year, George Merrick had acquired a 1940 acre addition west of Le Jeune -- east of Le Jeune to Douglas Road, and he moved the business section to that area.

Plaza Augustine was renamed Country Club Prado, and Merrick slated it to be a prominent residential section of the city.

So you can see here, this is the initial configuration of Plaza Augustine. As seen on the left, it was restricted to Sections D and G, and it's blown up there for you on the right, and all the lots being 25 feet wide.

Accounts indicate that expanding the development north to Tamiami Trail was a priority as well as a hard-fought endeavor for George Merrick.

On Button's 1921 map, Granada Boulevard connected up to the Tamiami Trail, but Merrick only owned very small strips, basically one lot deep on either side of Granada Boulevard.

2.2

Over the next few years, Merrick worked diligently to acquire various tracts of land above Sorolla Avenue, and finally in 1924, with most of the area secured, he renamed it the Granada Section.

Acquiring land in this section afforded Button, Merrick and Denman Fink the opportunity to revamp and expand the Country Club Prado.

So what happened was the southern section of Section G was absorbed by enlarging the Biltmore golf course, and then the new plan extended the Prado north all the way to Tamiami Trail or Eighth Street through Section E and the Granada section with an associated grand entrance feature at the new northern end.

And so in this map, again on the left is the first iteration of the Country Club Prado just limited to Sections D and G, and the map on the right shows it in its current and expanded form of going all the way up to Eighth Street.

This is an early aerial that we have that shows current and post-1924 configuration as well. Country Club Prado is up on the top.

In August of 1923, Walter De Garmo produced plans for seven more homes in Sections D and E on the Prado, and 2520 Country Club Prado was one of these first 15 homes.

Page 15

Page 16

This again got the ball rolling as he intended and drew potential homeowners to purchase lots along the Prado and hire architects to design their own homes, and between 1925 and 1929, nine additional homes were designed and built along the Prado, and 1822 Country Club Prado was designed by Lee Wade and built in 1926 as one of these nine.

So here is a diagram of where the Keihnel and Elliott houses from 1923 were built. They're in green. The 1923 De Garmo houses are in orange, circled in orange, and in blue are the homes by other architects, the light blue.

So the orange star indicates 2520 Country Club Prado which is on your bottom left in the Section D.

The green star at 1224 Country Club Prado is on the top which is the Granada section, and the blue star is 1822 Country Club Prado on the bottom right which is Section E.

So about the Prado, a circa-1925 promotional brochure noted that, "Magnificent plazas and the generous parkway treatment of wider residential streets are

Page 14

So Country Club Prado became and still remains a one-and-a-half-mile-long and 240-feet wide with a triangular entrance that intersects Tamiami Trail. At this northern end, the pillared avenues lead to a formal Italianate fountain complex designed by Denman Fink.

Near the southern end towards Coral Way, there are four angled one-way streets with each forming

the side of a diamond. In between the four angled streets on Coral Way are two large triangular green space areas.

So for the rest of the Prada, for 1923,

Merrick began developing the Prada as a prominent

Merrick began developing the Prada as a prominent residential section and commissioned 15 homes along the street to be by prestigious architects Keihnel and Elliott and Walter De Garmo.

In June of 1923, Keihnel and Elliott submitted drawings for the first five homes. In July of that same year, they generated three more sets for drawings for homes, but on this, these three, the lot, block and section numbers recorded on the plans are not where the homes were actually built.

They were shifted from Section E up to the Granada section, and we think this may correspond with George Merrick actually acquiring that portion of Granada at the time of construction. 1224 Country Club Prado was one of these first eight.

tasteful and tropic glory.

"The crowning touch of a master hand is evidenced in the Country Club Prado, particularly in the Prado entrance where there will be a formal Italian garden covering the length of a city block."

The Prado was the last of the parkways to be built and is the grandest of all the city boulevards.

The entrance feature designed by landscape architect Frank Button and artist Denman Fink was finally completed in 1927. It turned out to be Merrick's final grand historic feature.

It was constructed in the style of a formal Italian garden, consisting of pillars, urns, lamps, fountains, pergolas and a reflecting pool, and was constructed at a cost of a million dollars at the time.

Between 1923 and 1929, 24 homes were built along Country Club Prado. As the Prado roadway and entrance feature were some of the latest to be constructed, historically this area lagged behind the rest of Coral Gables development just slightly.

By the 1930s, there still remained only scattered development along Country Club Prado, and a lot of the lots still retained their through-lot to Red Road.

The majority of Country Club Prado's residences were constructed following the conclusion of

Page 17 Page 19 1 World War II, primarily during the 1950s. This is an 1 Architectural significance: It portrays the 2 aerial from 1948. 2 environment in an era of history characterized by one or 3 So to this day, Country Club Prado is 3 more distinctive architectural styles. 4 4 recognized as unique to and a signature of the City of Two: It embodies those distinguishing 5 5 Coral Gables and retains its character defined -- its characteristics of an architectural style, or period, or 6 character as a promenade of single family homes, and that 6 method of construction. 7 is the context for all three. 7 Three: Is an outstanding work of a prominent 8 8 MS. SPAIN: So if you can queue up the Power designer or builder. 9 9 Four: Contains elements of design, detail, Point again. 10 2520 Country Club Prado, this is a 10 materials or craftsmanship of outstanding quality which 11 consideration of the local historic designation of the 11 represents a significant innovation or adaptation to the 12 property at 2520 Country Club Prado, legally described as 12 South Florida environment. 13 Lots Nine to 13, inclusive, Block 15, Coral Gables Section 13 So this is an amazing photograph of the house under construction in 1923. We have only a handful of the 14 D, revised plat, according to the plat thereof as recorded 14 15 15 in Plat Book 25 at Page 74 of the public records of residential properties under construction, and this is one 16 Miami-Dade County, Florida. 16 of them. 17 17 And this is an early photograph. I believe It was Permit Number 612, and the drawings 18 this is from 1924. I'm sure Kara will correct me. 18 were dated August 4th, 1923, by Walter De Garmo, and then 19 19 This is Kara's home, and she and her husband the original owners hired Phineas Paist in 1925, Permit 20 Drew, who is here in attendance -- and I can't tell you 20 Number 1477, September 18th, 1925, for, to do an addition, 21 how happy I am that she finally found a historic home 21 a second garage and a servant's quarters, and it was an 2.2 because the department has been suffering with her when 2.2 unknown builder. 23 she's found a home and then for some reason or other, it 23 So this is the location. It's on the corner 24 didn't happen, but I think it was because this home was 24 of Valencia and Country Club Prado, originally called 25 25 meant for her. Plaza Augustine. It was platted as a business district, Page 18 Page 20 1 1 What's interesting about this map is that it shows the This is a location map showing it in the 2 2 bottom left, and obviously this was requested by the 25-foot lots 3 3 So Walter De Garmo, in 1900 he received a owner. This is a present-day photograph. 4 4 Bachelor of Arts in architecture from Cornell University. So Article Three, Section 3-1103 of the Coral 5 Gables zoning code, criteria for designation of historic 5 He went to work for John Russell Pope in New York, 6 6 specializing in Beaux Arts design. landmarks or historic districts: 7 7 "A local historic landmark must have In 1904 he moved to South Florida and was 8 8 significant character, interest or value as part of a credited with being the first registered architect in the 9 9 Miami area. historical, cultural, archaeological, aesthetic or 10 10 architectural," "architectural heritage of the city, state His practice thrives, and in 1906, De Garmo 11 11 drafts building laws for the City of Miami. or nation." 12 12 And remember, to designate something as a By 1923, he was working with George Merrick 13 13 developing Coral Gables. He continued to practice in local historic landmark or a landmark district, a property 14 14 Coral Gables and the Miami area through the 1940s. must meet one criteria. It doesn't have to meet all of 15 15 He's responsible for the Women's Club of them. It can meet just one. 16 And your job here is to determine whether it 16 Coconut Grove, 1907, known originally as the Housekeepers 17 17 meets the criteria. That's your only job when we're Club; Miami Beach Community Church, 1921 in Miami Beach;

the Coral Gables Bank and Post Office in 1924, which I

Phineas Paist in 1924; the Colonnade building with Phineas

Paist and Paul Chalfin; and Douglas Entrance with Phineas

So the owner history: It's remarkable that

this home is as intact as it is, and I think it's because

believe is this photograph; St. Theresa's School with

Paist and Denman Fink.

there were so few owners.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

talking about designating properties.

the following five significance criterias:

over for Country Club Prado.

So staff believes that 2520 Country Club

Historical, cultural significance because it

Prado is eligible as a local historic landmark based on

exemplifies the historical, cultural, political, economic

or social trends of the community, which Kara just went

1 The original owners, 1925 to 1928, were John
2 T. and Helen Vickery. He was a businessman with homes, as
3 it states in the designation report, around the country;
4 followed in 1930 by George and Leah Merdes; Bruce and
5 Pearl Hayden in 19 -- in '31; Robert H. and Margaret Cook,

1932; 1933 to 1935, Richard D. and Eloise Hunt; 1936 to
1940, Mary L. and Lawrence Oliver Casey, who was an
attorney; and then from 1940 to 1962, J. Randolph and Lula

Padgett Shaner; and then 1963 through '69, Mina E.

Padgett, the sister of Lula.

2.2

2.0

1969 to 2012 was Ora L. "Dave" and Jane L. Walters, and 2012 to 2018 was William H. and Robert Walters.

And I believe the bottom right photograph is of the Vickerys at a party in Coral Gables, I think a horse show, and they are on the far right. She is in a riding outfit and her husband is standing behind her.

And then the people of the left bottom I think are Ora L. and Jane Walters, yes.

So this shows the photograph in 1924, and compare it with the same view of the current photograph, amazingly intact.

So this is the front facade. On the top you see the original architectural drawings. There is projecting recessed planes, complexity of massing, roofs

Paist. Two original windows and two original doors were replaced. Other than that, they are the original windows, and Kara is going to restore them.

Page 23

Page 24

Two windows altered from the original size at the rear courtyard. I want to see Drew's expression when I say that.

Alterations to the laundry and service area, jalousies installed on the front veranda, iron railings removed. There's a permit to remove the jalousies, uninstall one garage door which was replaced but saved in the garage, and the clay tile floor installed over the oolitic limestone as you can see in the right photograph is still visible at the front.

So there have been very few changes to the character-defining features of this home and it retains a high degree of historic integrity.

 $1924\ photograph$ at top and then the current photograph on the bottom.

So in summary, situated on the picturesque and prominent Country Club Prado, this single family residence at 2520 Country Club Prado sits on a corner lot in Coral Gables Section D.

The home was designed by Walter De Garmo. In 1923, the first owners, Captain John T. and Helen Vickery, hired Phineas Paist in 1925 to add an attached second

Page 22

of varying heights and types, and projecting front porch area

This is another view up on the southwest corner, first floor above a crawl space, textured stucco, casement windows, deeply recessed windows when protruding sills. They're all retained the original size and location, stone columns on the porch and iron balconies.

This is decoratively grouped vents which is very typical in Coral Gables on these Mediterranean buildings, arched openings, shallow arches at garage doors, full arch under stairs, and gothic arch at azotea, which is a new term for me. It's a roof terrace.

Decorative iron pot rings, and cap-n-pan barrel tile.

Again, roofs of varying heights and types, a prominent chimney with decorative corbelling and pierced openings, iron star tie rod holders, iron balconies, cast concrete ornamentation, curved raftered ends, covered veranda.

French doors with transom, buttressed walls, exterior stairs, knee wall extending up the staircase as a sculptural element, which is very cool, on the front facade.

There were no additions to this property through the years other than the original one by Phineas

garage and servant's quarters.

As a member of George Merrick's initial design team, De Garmo was one of the architects who was instrumental in creating the fabric of 1920s Mediterranean revival architecture which characterizes the City of Coral Gables. His design aided in the fulfillment of George Merrick's vision and goal of a planned Mediterranean-themed community whose architecture was adapted to the southern Florida climate.

This home, built during the boom years and prior to the incorporation of the city, is an excellent example of the Mediterranean revival style. It is one of the early structures that characterized both Merrick's vision and De Garmo's contribution to shaping the City of Coral Gables as well as Merrick's plan to develop Country Club Prado as a prominent residential section.

The property at 2520 Country Club Prado retains a high degree of historic integrity and thus significantly contributes to the historic fabric of the City of Coral Gables, and the staff recommends approval of the local historic designation for the property at 2025 Country Club Prado based on its historical, cultural and architectural significance. Are there any questions?

MS. THOMSON: No.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Well, if the board

Page 25 Page 27 wants to engage in some discussion. 1 1 plaque. First, is there any member of the board 2 2 MS. KAUTZ: Yay. I have to tell you this. 3 that's had any ex parte, ex parte communications or site 3 So the woman, Jane Walters, who I know is a, was a realtor 4 visits to disclose regarding this property? 4 with EWM for years and years, and she was a 5 MR. SARDINAS: No. 5 preservationist without actually knowing it or doing it. 6 6 MR. EHRENHAFT: None? I only, that I drive She wouldn't let anybody touch the house, so 7 past on my way because it's in my neighborhood, so. 7 any time anything went wrong or they replaced anything, 8 8 MS. BACHE-WIIG: I drove by as well. they put it in the garage, so I have the original garage 9 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. If there are no 9 doors. I have extra windows. I have extra doors. I have 10 questions to staff by the board, any questions to the 10 every last knob that fell off. I mean, it's amazing, and 11 applicants? 11 it's mine. 12 MS. SPAIN: I think you need to open it up 12 MS. SPAIN: It's perfect for you. 13 13 for public comments if there's no --MS. THOMSON: It's sitting there for you. 14 MR. EHRENHAFT: I'm sorry? 14 MR. EHRENHAFT: That's phenomenal. 15 MS. SPAIN: You need to open it up for public 15 MS. KAUTZ: I owe it to her. They didn't 16 comments --16 touch it, so. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Kara, you want me to 17 MR. EHRENHAFT: Right, right. 17 18 MS. SPAIN: -- if there's not any discussion. 18 announce then the next case, or are you going to read it 19 MR. EHRENHAFT: Exactly. So if there's any 19 in? Okay. 20 20 individual who would like to speak in favor of the case, The next local historic designation case we 21 please come forward to the microphone and state your name 21 have is File LHD 2019-006, consideration of the local and address for the record. Okay. 2.2 22 historic designation of the property at 1224 Country Club 23 23 Prado legally described as the south 15, the S south 15 If there's anybody who wants to speak in 24 opposition, please come forward to the microphone and 24 feet of Lot Ten and the S south 15 feet of Lot 23, and all 25 state your name and address for the record. Okay. 25 of Lots 11 to 13, inclusive, and all of Lots 20 to 22, Page 26 Page 28 1 Hearing none, then if there's no further 1 inclusive, Block 77, Coral Gables Granada section, 2 board discussion, the chair will entertain a motion. 2 according do the plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book 3 MR. GARCIA-PONS: I'd like to move it. 3 Eight at Page 113 of the public records of Miami-Dade MR. MENENDEZ: Second. 4 4 County, Florida. 5 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, all right. May we call 5 MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. So this is a 1940s -the roll, please? 6 6 I'm sorry. This is a 1920 -- no, I brought it. It's a 7 THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss 7 1924 photo, a fiche file photo, taken shortly after Bache-Wiig? 8 8 construction. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. 9 9 Location map, located on the west side of THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. 10 10 Country Club Prado between Venetia Avenue and San Benito Garcia-Pons? 11 11 Avenue, one block south of the Country Club Prado entrance MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. 12 12 at Eighth Street. 13 THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Rodriguez? 13 It's located in the Granada section and MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 14 14 remains as one of the few properties that retains its 15 THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? 15 initial configuration as a through-lot all the way to Red 16 MR. DURANA: Yes. 16 Road. 17 THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? 17 So the application came to us through a 18 MS. THOMSON: Yes. 18 historic significance determination that was received on THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez? 19 19 June 26th, 2019. The applicant is interested in MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. 20 20 purchasing the property. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? 21 21 On July 15th, 2019, a determination letter 22 MR SARDINAS: Yes 22 was issued by staff stating the property does meet the THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? 23 23 minimum eligibility criteria for designation as a local 24 MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. 24 historic landmark. 25 MS. SPAIN: Congratulations, Kara. You get a 25 And I did want to note that the photos in the

2.2

report and in the Power Point were all taken either from the right of way from the historic significance application or from the listing photos of the property.

So as Dona mentioned earlier, Article Three, Section 3-1103 of the Coral Gables zoning code states that a local historic landmark must have significant character, interest or value as part of the historical, cultural, archaeological, aesthetic or architectural heritage of the city, state or nation.

So again, for designation, a property must only meet one of the criteria outlined in the code. We find that this property meets four. They are the historical or cultural significance in that it exemplifies the historical, cultural, political, economic or social trends of the community as evidenced in the context portion that I explained earlier.

Architectural significance in that it portrays the environment in an era of history characterized by one or more distinctive architectural styles, it embodies those distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style, or period, or method of construction.

It also contains elements of design, detail, materials or craftsmanship of outstanding quality or which represent a significant innovation or adaptation to the Kiehnel and Elliott then generated three more sets of drawings for homes Numbers 608 through 610, and this home was included with that was Number 609.

Page 31

Page 32

So Kiehnel and Elliott were the architects.

In 1906, John Elliott and Richard Kiehnel in Pittsburgh,
Pennsylvania, founded their firm, were successful in
Pittsburgh.

And then 1917, Kiehnel and Elliott accepted a commission from John Bindley who was the president of the Pittsburgh Steel Company to design his Florida estate in Coconut Grove known as El Jardin, and there's a photograph on the right, now recognized as the earliest known Mediterranean revival work remaining in Miami. It's the home of the Carrollton School of the Sacred Heart today.

Kiehnel supervised construction of El Jardin and provided an elaborate antiquity for the house by using ageing techniques to get the desired effect. Merrick would employ that similar techniques a few years later in Coral Gables.

In El Jardin, referred to as the progenitor of the modern Mediterranean style home, Kiehnel introduced an architectural mode to South Florida which would prove to be the most influential in the area's history, a mode that Merrick adopted as the basis for Coral Gables.

Merrick commissioned Kiehnel to design a

Page 30

South Florida environment.

So this is the photograph of the house under construction in 1923. It was issued Permit Number 609 after being commissioned by George Merrick in July 1923. Kiehnel and Elliott were the architects.

In September 1924, the first owner is adding a sleeping porch to the rear of the property prior to moving in.

The builder is unknown. It's either one of the three, Markley brothers, John Bond or John Stabile.

And that information, just so you know, is based on an August 1923 Miami News article that stated that 17 new homes were under construction by the contracting firms listed here and that ten of these homes are, in quotes, "Being built on Country Club Prado, Coral Gables' newest and most exclusive section. Kiehnel and Elliott and and Walter De Garmo drew the plans."

So it's one of those three builders who constructed this house.

So this is the Granada section plat map.

Again, the home was commissioned by George Merrick. It was one of the first eight homes built along Country Club Prado.

In June of 1923, Kiehnel and Elliott submitted drawings for five homes. In July of 1923,

number of the earliest buildings in Coral Gables to aid in his endeavor to create a Mediterranean-inspired city.

In 1923, he hired Kiehnel and Elliott to design the first church in the city, which is the Coral Gables Congregational Church — it's on the top right — and also the first school within the city, which is the Coral Gables Elementary School on the bottom right.

Of the first eight houses in Country Club Prado, in order to set the tone for this boulevard that he was promoting as a prominent residential area, he again hired Kiehnel and Elliott. This home at 1224 Country Club Prado was one of those first eight.

So permit plans have not been located to date for this property, but an article in the October 1924 issue of National Builder magazine contains a photo of the house under construction and its floor plan, along with the following description of the house:

"Aside from its picturesqueness, this design is interesting because it demonstrates that an extremely long unbroken facade offers no difficulties to a competent designer.

"The columns of the window arcade and the lattice-like railings of the balconies are of cast cement, and the lintels of the porch and of the laundry are of heavy timbers painted to match the windows and doors.

"The heavy, squat chimney at one end is balanced by the off-center position of the entrance and by the break in the roof level at the other end.

"The plan is an adaptation of a type that is common in some rural districts of the South in which an open gallery separates the important rooms of the house, thus providing for free circulation of air and forming a delightful outdoor sitting room in hot weather.

"Note the compact arrangement of the three bedrooms, all with cross-ventilation and grouped around the bathroom. There is an attached garage and a convenient storage room and laundry opening from the kitchen."

So that was the description of the house at the time, and it is what's called the dog-trot passage type home, which the center was a screen gallery, screen porch, which is extremely rare in Coral Gables.

Oh, as noted already, records indicate that this home was one of the first eight single family houses commissioned by George Merrick to encourage development of the Country Club Prado as a prominent residential boulevard.

Historic photos show us that the home was nearing completion by October 1923. The home thus had four owners with two families holding it for over 30 years Porto, and the family still owns that to this day.

So there are four notable sets of plans associated with this home.

The original plans were designed by Kiehnel and Elliott and dated July of 1923. On the picture on the screen, they're noted in orange. This is a tax card that the city has on file.

The newspaper article, as I mentioned, reports that Morton Milton purchased the home in January of 1924, and they added a sleeping porch prior to moving in. That's the appendage on the rear that's blue.

In 1946, Permit 7134 was issued for an addition and alterations to the home by architect Edward Rempe, Jr. The plans have not been located, but based on later permits, it can be surmised what the work entailed.

A tax card indicates that the carport was added at this time, which is in green on the right, and most likely the rear auxiliary structure was built at that time as well. Those additions are noted in green.

And then alterations to the home at this time appear to have occurred we believe at the same time because the permit was for additions and alterations, and that the original rear-facing garage which is outlined in green was enclosed for living space and also the back terrace was enclosed, and so you can see the orange

Page 34

each.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.5

So in 1924 to 1931, Morton Milford and Florence Milford were the first owners. He was the editor of the Miami Daily News, and it was announced that he was moving from Tampa to take that position.

In September of 1924, another article reports that the Milfords had purchased the home and were going to be putting in a sleeping porch on the rear prior to them moving in.

They retained the property until 1961 where it passed to their daughter in 1961 through 1963.

Leslie Coombes was a prominent businessman and a close associate of George Merrick, also an avid gardener. He moved to the Gables in 1925. In 1926 he was a secretary to the Coral Gables Corporation.

In 1930 to 1940, he was appointed the trustee and manager of the Coral Gables, Inc., which was a liquidating trust formed by the creditors of the Coral Gables Corporation. He served in this role until 1940.

In 1941, he opened his own real estate firm in Coral Gables which he operated until his death in 1961.

The property then again went to his daughter and after that to Bernard Linn and Margaret Linn. They owned the property for 25 years.

And then in 1988, to Manuel Porto and Yolanda

Page 36

Page 35

surrounding in green, that's the original garage which was rear facing.

The last addition to the home occurred in 1966 by architect William Tschumy. It included converting the detached garage into a studio and a covered walkway that connected the house to the studio as indicated in red

The openings of the 1946 auxiliary building were modified, and in addition the carport was enclosed with a new garage door and masonry screen grills installed on the north facade.

So this is the front, the east facade of the home. It's asymmetrical in design and reads as four bays under separate roofs of varying heights. The northernmost section on your far right is the enclosed carport under a north-sloping shed roof.

The remaining three bays are part of the original house, contain the living spaces of the home and are under cross-gabled roofs.

So the central bay is the largest and contains the front entry and has the highest roof. The front entry ensemble retains the spirit of the original screen gallery porch, is a tripartite division which harkens back to the original three-screen openings. The heavy timber lintel painted to match the stucco facade is

Page 37 Page 39 1 1 This is the south side of the house, of the above. 2 2 So between the small recessed southern bay on original house. This is just a side entry door and a 3 3 your left and the adjacent large central bay is a heavy, single narrow window. 4 4 squat sculptural chimney capped with barrel tile. At the rear of the house on the bottom 5 5 Small lattice grills are inserted on the picture looking north is the 1924 sleeping porch 6 6 chimney faces, on all four, underneath the cap, and the immediately on the right with the hip roof, and then 7 chimney gives the impression of growing out of the 7 beyond that is the 1920 -- 1946 garage addition and the 8 8 southern bay to meet the front facade of the central bay. 1966 covered walkway connection. 9 This is the Mediterranean revival style. It 9 This is the north side of the property, and 10 10 has those characteristics, predominant sculptural chimney it's comprised of a series of masonry lattice-like screens 11 as I just mentioned, varied low-pitched roofs, curved 11 along the enclosed carport, which I believe are the first 12 rafters tails in the eaves. They are obscured by the 12 one or two, and then the covered walkway beyond. 13 So I already noted some of the alterations to 13 gutters, but they exist. 14 Recessed windows of varying sizes arranged 14 the residence. 15 15 for cross-ventilations. Most of the window sizes retain In 1946, the arched French windows and doors 16 their original size and shape. Projecting sills. 16 and curved window to the far right were altered. In 1946 17 17 To the north and south of the front entry are a chimney cap was also added. 18 masonry balconies with railings of concrete lattice-work. 18 In 1991, single hung windows were installed 19 19 Originally a single pair of French doors led onto the throughout, and at some point S tile was installed on the 20 20 balcony at the north, and three pairs of French doors open property, and the bottom picture is a 1940s photo so you 21 onto the southern balcony. 21 can see that changes have been made. 22 22 So the first -- not the first owners. The The doors were removed in the 1940s and the 23 openings were enlarged to become square, to become -- they 23 later owners, the second owners were Leslie Coombes and 24 were were shortened and then squared off to make windows. 24 Daisy Coombes. They were avid gardeners. They had full 25 25 Between the southern windows are engaged use of the through-lot that went to Red Road, and this was Page 38 Page 40 1 columns with stylized capitals that, original to the home. 1 actually a common feature on most of the Prado houses at 2 The rear has several -- this is the the west 2 the time 3 side -- several distinct components. At the southern end, 3 And actually my house had the five behind it, 4 which is to your far right, is the back of the small end 4 but before we bought our house, they sold them off, so it 5 bay of the cross-gabled roof, the front facade, so that's 5 had its back lots also. 6 6 part of the original, the original house. There's a coral rock wall and garden features 7 7 Moving to the north is a small projecting that you can see on the survey that are still exist and 8 band or a hip roof. That was the 1924 sleeping porch 8 they are noted there, and there's a coral rock wall that 9 addition which is now enclosed. 9 runs along the rear of the property line at Red Road which 10 10 To the north of the sleeping porch is an area 11 that was once a covered terrace that has been enclosed by 11 In the backyard are coral rock features that 12 a continuous bank of casement window. 12 were once part of a 1930s formal garden. The garden 13 Higher, at the higher roof above that bank of 13 showcased Coombes's rare plant collection and contained a 14 windows is the original living room area of the house, if 14 central pergola flanked by inter-connecting walkways, a pond, grotto and barbecue, all constructed of native coral 15 I'm right, or the central area of the house. 15 16 Adjacent to the enclosed terrace is another 16 rock, so these are features that still remain that were 17 17 small, slightly projecting bay under a flat roof that you visible 18 can see with the coping and one window. That was the 18 So 1224 Country Club Prado retains the

dominant character-defining features of the property and a

Situated on the picturesque and prominent

Founder, George Merrick, envisioned Coral

Gables as a planned Mediterranean-themed community whose

Country Club Prado, this single family residence sits on

significant degree of historic integrity.

interior lots in the Granada section.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

original rear-facing garage.

And then at the northern end, the far, the

far left on your screen, you see the shed roof slide-away

covered walkway connecting the home's auxiliary building,

and that shed roof is supported by double posts and large

of the carport, and again, sort of in front of that is the

openings on the north facade that contain grills.

Page 41 Page 43 1 architecture was adapted to the southern Florida climate. Preservation Board: 1 2 In 1917, architect Richard Kiehnel designed 2 "I regret that I am unable to attend the 3 the El Jardin estate in Coconut Grove, a project that is 3 meeting for 1224 Country Club Prado. 4 4 recognized as the progenator of Mediterranean-inspired "Any time a structure of this caliber comes 5 architecture in the Greater Miami area. 5 up, I am compelled to attend or write in. 6 6 In 1923, as Merrick began implementing his "The cottage size of this house shows 7 designs, several high-profile buildings -- I'm sorry, 7 Mr. Kiehnel's capacity as a competent designer of a petite 8 8 implementing his comprehensive plan for Coral Gables, he structure. He was born in Prussia -- that's how old he 9 engaged Kiehnel and his partner, John Elliott, to design 9 10 10 several high-profile buildings to set the tone. "Having designed El Jardin, he is generally 11 These included Coral Gables's first church, 11 considered the father of Miami Mediterranean style. This 12 the Congregational Church; the community's first school, 12 is part of the portfolio of the architect who was probably 13 which is Coral Gables Elementary School; as well as the 13 Greater Miami's most prominent architect of the 1920s and 14 first eight homes along Country Club Prado, a boulevard he 14 this design received national recognition. The style and 15 was launching as Coral Gables's prominent residential 15 design are still intact and deserve to be preserved and 16 16 celebrated. 17 17 The home at 1224 Country Club Prado is one of "Looking at the list of designated buildings 18 these initial homes. Built during the boom years and 18 in the city, I see all the buildings that the Historic 19 19 prior to incorporation of the city, the home is a Preservation Board has designated that are more altered 20 20 noteworthy example of the Mediterranean revival style that than this one, so the few alterations shouldn't be an 21 characterized both Merrick's vision and Kiehnel and 21 issue. We cannot expect a building that's almost 100 22 2.2 Elliott's contribution to developing the character of the years old to be perfect. 23 Prado as well as to the founding premise of the City of 23 "I live in this neighborhood and think there 24 Coral Gables. 24 may be some confusion. Some seem to think the designation 25 25 In 1924, the home was illustrated in National would not allow the non-historic additions to be removed Page 42 Page 44 1 Builder as a model for homes of its type. 1 and that the interior would be designated, but my 2 2 Additionally, it remains as one of the few understanding is that this is not the case and staff would 3 properties that retains its initial configuration as a 3 work with the owner if they wanted to remove non-historic 4 4 through-lot to Red Road. additions or update the interior. 5 In the 1930s, the rear of the property was 5 "I would make a strong recommendation to this 6 6 developed as a formal garden by the owner, Leslie Coombes, board to designate this house based on its criteria. If 7 7 a close associate of George Merrick, who served as the this one isn't historic, then I don't know what is. 8 secretary to the Coral Gables Corporation and was 8 "Thank you, Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand." 9 appointed as an appointed trustee and manager for Coral 9 This is from Vicki Cerda. "To the members of 10 10 Gables, Inc., trust of the corporation. the Historic Preservation Board: 11 The garden showcased his rare plant 11 "I have written you about several other 12 collection and contained a central pergola flanked by 12 historic properties in the the past, some of which, to our 13 walkways, a pond, grotto and barbecue, all constructed of 13 total shame as a supposedly historic city, were 14 native coral rock. These features are extant amongst the 14 unfortunately demolished, and once again I am writing you 15 15 on a similar matter. vegetation at the rear yard. 16 The property at 1224 Country Club Prado has 16 "Due to a conflict with my work schedule, I 17 17 maintained a significant degree of historic integrity and am unable to attend the upcoming meeting. I strongly 18 thus continues to contribute to the fabric of the City of 18 would like to support the preservation of the the 19 Coral Gables, and we recommend approval. 19 historically significant Kiehnel-designed home located at 20 MS. SPAIN: I have e-mails that I'd like to 20 1224 Country Club Prado.

"The historic architecture of Coral Gables

matters very much to me and I would hope to all residents

who are so unhappy with what we see currently going on in

of place McMansions and demolitions so prevalent.

Coral Gables with concrete everywhere as the norm now, out

21

22

23

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

read into the record.

Gillis.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes, please.

"Dear Mrs. Spain and the Historic

MS. SPAIN: The first one is from Brett

Page 45 Page 47 "I hope you will preserve this house and also 1 architects at work on our city in the 1920s and beyond. 2 2 2520 and 1822 Country Club Prado, which are also up for He is perhaps only rivaled in period stature by Addison 3 3 designation on the same date, for future generations. 4 4 These are three beautiful Prado homes from the 1920s. "In addition to the Country Club Prado house, 5 5 "Shame on our city and this board and our Kiehnel designed La Brisa in Coconut Grove; El Jardin in 6 6 commissionerss if we let this happen yet once again. Coconut Grove; Coral Gables Elementary School; Coral 7 7 Thank you, Vicki Cerda, Coral Gables resident." Gables Congregational Church; Miami Senior High School; 8 8 Rolando Rivas-Camp, "I am writing to support the Seybold building; the Coconut Grove Playhouse; 647 9 9 the designation of the home at 1224 Country Club Prado North Greenway. 10 10 since I am presently in Washington, DC, and will not be "The house at 1224 Country Club Prado is yet 11 able to attend your upcoming meeting. 11 another signature piece of architecture by Richard Kiehnel 12 "I have worked for the federal government for 12 -- an original creator of the Coral Gables built 13 13 many years, serving as the historic preservation officer environment who possesses what we would today call marquee 14 14 for the General Services Administration. In this 15 15 position, I was in charge of more than 500 federal "Respectfully submitted, Bruce Fitzgerald, 16 historic buildings in the U.S. and the territories. 16 Coral Gables resident and preservationist." 17 17 "Later I served for more" -- "for over ten Jane Maranos. "I'm unable to attend the 18 18 years as the deputy chief architect in charge of design meeting today, but would like to support the preservation 19 19 and construction for over 2,000 federal buildings. During of the historically significant Richard Kiehnel-designed 20 2.0 this time I was also a member of the President's Advisory home located at 1224 Country Club Prado. 21 21 Council on Historic Preservation in Washington, DC, "Any preservation-minded person can clearly 22 2.2 serving President Clinton, Bush and Obama. see that this home is worthy of the designation status. 23 23 "I know the importance and value of our Historic preservation matters. 24 24 historic buildings. This Kiehnel-designed home, while "Every home presented to the board for 2.5 25 small, is quite significant and a valuable historic asset historic preservation is of the utmost importance as we Page 46 Page 48 1 to the great City of Coral Gables. 1 are losing so much of our city's history to demolition and 2 2 "I urge not only to consider, but also to alterations. 3 3 "Please consider protecting this home and grant its designation to help insure its protection and 4 4 others for future generations. Respectfully, Jane its place as a significant local historic structure. 5 "I also urge you to consider giving the same 5 Maranos, Coral Gables resident and supporter of historic 6 6 protection to the two other buildings on the same street preservation in the City Beautiful." 7 7 being considered, 1822 and 2520 Country Club Prado. Patricia Button. "To the members of the 8 8 Historic Preservation Board: "With much sincere thanks, Rolando Rivas-Camp, FAIA, 720 Northeast 69th Street, Residence, in 9 9 "I urge the preservation of the 10 10 Miami " Kiehnel-designed home at 1224 Country Club Prado. This 11 "To the members of the historic board, I am 11 historic architecture matters to me. I have lived at my 12 unable to attend this meeting on Thursday, September 19, 12 home on Obispo Avenue since 1975. I have seen the loss of 13 2019, but would like to support the preservation of the 13 too many historic buildings. 14 historically significant Kiehnel-designed home located at 14 "I have been a member of the Coral Gables 15 1224 Country Club Prado. The historic architecture of 15 UCC, a Kiehnel-designed church, for 35 years. This 16 Coral Gables matters to me. 16 historic architecture matters to me. 17 17 "I hope you will preserve this treasure for "I hope you will preserve this treasure for 18 future generations. Thank you, Norma Arenas, 1231 Madrid 18 future generations. Sincerely, Patricia Button, 1245 19 19 Obispo Avenue." Street " 2.0 "To the members of the Coral Gables Historic 20 "Dear members: Regrettably, I'm out of town 21 21 Preservation Board: and unable to attend the September 19th board meeting. 22 "This letter is in support of the designation 22 "I am writing to support the preservation of 23 of the property located at 1224 Country Club Prado. 23 the historically significant Kiehnel-designed home located 24 24 "As you know, the residence was designed by at 1224 Country Club Prado. 25 Richard Kiehnel, one of the most prolific and prominent 25 "The prominence of the architect and the

Page 49 Page 51 1 nationally recognized design of this six-room bungalow 1 "I support the preservation of the 2 2 meets the criteria for designation. Kiehnel-designed 1920s home located at 1224 Country Club 3 3 Prado. The historic architecture of Coral Gables matters "I urge you to preserve this worthy building 4 4 so that current and future Coral Gables residents will to me. I hope you will accept the city's preservation 5 5 continue to benefit from the city's history and character. staff's well-researched designation report. 6 6 "The home is one of a few model homes that Thank you for your consideration, Sheryl Gold, 721 7 Biltmore Way." 7 achieved national attention in 1924. 8 8 "To the members of the Historic Preservation "I also support the historic designation of 9 Board: 9 1822 and 2520 Country Club Prado." 10 "I'm unable to attend the meeting but would 10 That is Karelia Martinez Carbonell, 532 11 like to support the preservation of the historically 11 Altara Avenue. 12 significant Kiehnel-designed home located at 1224 Country 12 "To the members of the Historic Preservation 13 Club Prado. The historic architecture of Coral Gables 13 Board: 14 14 "I am unable to attend the meeting, but would matters to me. 15 15 "I hope you will preserve this treasure for like to support the preservation of the historically 16 future generations. Thank you, Joyce Nelson." 16 significant Kienel-and-Elliott-designed home located at 17 1224 Country Club Prado. 17 "Ms. Spain, Good afternoon. Hope you're 18 well. Please pass this e-mail on to the members of the 18 "The historic architecture of Coral Gables 19 19 Historic Preservation Board. matters to me and my family and all who appreciate good 20 20 "While I am not able to attend the meeting architecture and design. 21 tomorrow, I would like to support the preservation of the 21 "I hope you will preserve this significant 22 historically significant Kiehnel-designed home located at 2.2 architectural treasure for future generations that live in 23 1224 Country Club Prado. I was just recently informed of 23 Miami-Dade County. 24 this proposed designation, though I have seen this home 24 "Thank you for your consideration of this 25 25 for years as I walk Country Club Prado regularly. request. Sincerely, Sonia Chao, co-principal Page 50 Page 52 1 "This home is special, not only because of 1 investigator, U-LINK University of Miami Grant, 2 2 the firm that designed it, but also because it is one of Identifying, Prioritizing and Validating Green 3 the few homes in the Gables that looks almost exactly as 3 Infrastructure Approaches to Enhance Coastal Resilience, 4 it did when it was built. 4 Implementation of a Data-driven Test Bed on Miami Beach." 5 "Looking at it, some people may think it is 5 She's a faculty at the University of Miami 6 6 not flashy or looks rundown. While it may need a little architecture school. 7 7 TLC, it has tremendous opportunity and sits on a big This is to, "The members of the Historic 8 8 enough lot that other amenities like a pool could be added Preservation Board: 9 and will be enticing to the new owners. With new paint 9 "I am unable to attend the meeting but would 10 10 and some historically sensitive upgrades, this home will like to support the preservation of the historically siginificant Kiehnel-designed home located at 1224 Country 11 be a gem for the neighborhood. 11 12 "My fear with this designation, looking at 12 Club Prado. 13 the state of the house, is that someone is hoping that it 13 "The historic architecture of Coral Gables 14 is not historically designated. If that is the case, we 14 matters to me. I hope you will preserve this teasure for 15 15 future generations. Alice Goldhagen, 6395 Maynada would lose another important structure in our city with no 16 way of ever getting it back. 16 Street." 17 17 "Finally, we are a city that values our And this is from Dolly MacIntyre. "This is 18 history and our architecture. Please vote to designate 18 an important historic property which should be saved. As 19 19 this property and save it from demolition so that future a former member of the preservation board for eight years, 2.0 generations will not have to go to a book or on line to 20 I would like to point out that your job is to protect the 21 see our historic buildings. Thank You, Robert Ruano." 21 history of our community, not to cater to builders of 22 I'm almost done. Wait a minute. This is 22 mega-mansions. There are a number of ways to preserve the 23 from Renee Belair. "Please keep 1224 Country Club Prado." 23 house while allowing expansion if that is the goal.

"Please do the right thing and designate

1224. Dolly MacIntyre, 409 Viscaya Avenue, Coral Gables."

24

25

24

25

Preservation Board:

This is, "To the members of the Historic

1	Page 53		Page 55
	MR. EHRENHAFT: Thank you. Okay. Miss	1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Would that also be true for
2	Spain, may I ask, was the designation originated through	2	the additions made in 1946?
3	the department, or at the request of a party?	3	MS. SPAIN: Yes, yes.
4	MS. SPAIN: They filed a historic	4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have one other, one
5	significance designation contemplating demolition.	5	question of staff. Are the current owners notified?
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Who is "they"?	6	MS. SPAIN: Yes.
7	MS. SPAIN: The prospective buyers of the	7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And have they participated in
8	house.	8	any way?
9	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay.	9	MS. SPAIN: I have not talked with them.
10	MR. GARCIA-PONS: I have a question for	10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Oh, they're here, okay.
11	staff.	11	MS. THOMSON: Oh, they're here.
12	MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.	12	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Changes that were
13	MR. GARCIA-PONS: I have a question for	13	made, some of the significant ones that you note on the
14	staff. Based on it's the question I have, but it's	14	frontal facade is that the windows to the left of the
15	also in the Brett Gillis e-mail and the wording, the	15	central opening used to be arched.
16	verbiage he uses is the designation, he thinks that people	16	MS. SPAIN: Uh-huh.
17	think that the designation would not allow the	17	MR. EHRENHAFT: Things like that
18	non-historic additions to be removed, and he says that's	18	MS. SPAIN: They could bring that back if
19	not the case.	19	they wanted, or they could leave it.
20	MS. SPAIN: That's right, it's not the case.	20	MR. EHRENHAFT: I would imagine that they
21	MR. GARCIA-PONS: So	21	could do that administratively or through the board, they
22	MS. SPAIN: If there's an addition to any of	22	could, if they wanted arched windows back
23	the properties that is not deemed historic in its own	23	MS. SPAIN: Yes, absolutely.
24	right, we allow them to demolish that. They come forward	24	MR. EHRENHAFT: or to bring back any of
25	to you all for a certificate of appropriateness for	25	the other historic elements that were
	Dana 54		Dana EC
1	Page 54 demolition.	1	Page 56 MS. SPAIN: Yes.
	demontion.		
2	MP CAPCIA DONS: And in this portionler	1	
2	MR. GARCIA-PONS: And in this particular	2	MR. EHRENHAFT: were original to 1924
3	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a	2 3	MR. EHRENHAFT: were original to 1924 MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right.
3 4	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that.	2 3 4	MR. EHRENHAFT: were original to 1924 MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: they could work with us.
3 4 5	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes.	2 3 4 5	MR. EHRENHAFT: were original to 1924 MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done
3 4 5 6	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946	2 3 4 5 6	MR. EHRENHAFT: were original to 1924 MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively?
3 4 5 6 7	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946 additions would not be historic?	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. EHRENHAFT: were original to 1924 MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946 additions would not be historic? MS. KAUTZ: They could be removed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. EHRENHAFT: were original to 1924 MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. SPAIN: Yes. Kara is right, and that
3 4 5 6 7 8	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946 additions would not be historic? MS. KAUTZ: They could be removed. MS. SPAIN: Yes. We believe they can be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. EHRENHAFT: were original to 1924 MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. SPAIN: Yes. Kara is right, and that would qualify as restoration, and so if they did a large
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946 additions would not be historic? MS. KAUTZ: They could be removed. MS. SPAIN: Yes. We believe they can be removed if that's what the owner wants, and in addition to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. EHRENHAFT: were original to 1924 MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. SPAIN: Yes. Kara is right, and that would qualify as restoration, and so if they did a large addition on this home, it would qualify with for an ad
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946 additions would not be historic? MS. KAUTZ: They could be removed. MS. SPAIN: Yes. We believe they can be removed if that's what the owner wants, and in addition to that, we don't designate interiors, so you can do whatever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. EHRENHAFT: were original to 1924 MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. SPAIN: Yes. Kara is right, and that would qualify as restoration, and so if they did a large addition on this home, it would qualify with for an ad valorem tax break, and that means that the taxes on the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946 additions would not be historic? MS. KAUTZ: They could be removed. MS. SPAIN: Yes. We believe they can be removed if that's what the owner wants, and in addition to that, we don't designate interiors, so you can do whatever you want to the interior of your own home.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. EHRENHAFT: were original to 1924 MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. SPAIN: Yes. Kara is right, and that would qualify as restoration, and so if they did a large addition on this home, it would qualify with for an ad valorem tax break, and that means that the taxes on the addition or any of the restoration work, that is set at
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946 additions would not be historic? MS. KAUTZ: They could be removed. MS. SPAIN: Yes. We believe they can be removed if that's what the owner wants, and in addition to that, we don't designate interiors, so you can do whatever you want to the interior of your own home. MR. GARCIA-PONS: And then the second	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. EHRENHAFT: were original to 1924 MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. SPAIN: Yes. Kara is right, and that would qualify as restoration, and so if they did a large addition on this home, it would qualify with for an ad valorem tax break, and that means that the taxes on the addition or any of the restoration work, that is set at zero for ten years. Those are city taxes and county
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946 additions would not be historic? MS. KAUTZ: They could be removed. MS. SPAIN: Yes. We believe they can be removed if that's what the owner wants, and in addition to that, we don't designate interiors, so you can do whatever you want to the interior of your own home. MR. GARCIA-PONS: And then the second question, I know the designation is for the property.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. EHRENHAFT: were original to 1924 MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. SPAIN: Yes. Kara is right, and that would qualify as restoration, and so if they did a large addition on this home, it would qualify with for an ad valorem tax break, and that means that the taxes on the addition or any of the restoration work, that is set at zero for ten years. Those are city taxes and county taxes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946 additions would not be historic? MS. KAUTZ: They could be removed. MS. SPAIN: Yes. We believe they can be removed if that's what the owner wants, and in addition to that, we don't designate interiors, so you can do whatever you want to the interior of your own home. MR. GARCIA-PONS: And then the second question, I know the designation is for the property. There were some photographs of coral rock walls and wells.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. EHRENHAFT: were original to 1924 MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. SPAIN: Yes. Kara is right, and that would qualify as restoration, and so if they did a large addition on this home, it would qualify with for an ad valorem tax break, and that means that the taxes on the addition or any of the restoration work, that is set at zero for ten years. Those are city taxes and county taxes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946 additions would not be historic? MS. KAUTZ: They could be removed. MS. SPAIN: Yes. We believe they can be removed if that's what the owner wants, and in addition to that, we don't designate interiors, so you can do whatever you want to the interior of your own home. MR. GARCIA-PONS: And then the second question, I know the designation is for the property. There were some photographs of coral rock walls and wells. Are those elements also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. EHRENHAFT: were original to 1924 MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. SPAIN: Yes. Kara is right, and that would qualify as restoration, and so if they did a large addition on this home, it would qualify with for an ad valorem tax break, and that means that the taxes on the addition or any of the restoration work, that is set at zero for ten years. Those are city taxes and county taxes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: So that's a perfect, that's a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946 additions would not be historic? MS. KAUTZ: They could be removed. MS. SPAIN: Yes. We believe they can be removed if that's what the owner wants, and in addition to that, we don't designate interiors, so you can do whatever you want to the interior of your own home. MR. GARCIA-PONS: And then the second question, I know the designation is for the property. There were some photographs of coral rock walls and wells. Are those elements also MS. SPAIN: Well, again, those would come to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. EHRENHAFT: — were original to 1924 — MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: — they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. SPAIN: Yes. Kara is right, and that would qualify as restoration, and so if they did a large addition on this home, it would qualify with for an ad valorem tax break, and that means that the taxes on the addition or any of the restoration work, that is set at zero for ten years. Those are city taxes and county taxes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: So that's a perfect, that's a perfect thing to do —
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946 additions would not be historic? MS. KAUTZ: They could be removed. MS. SPAIN: Yes. We believe they can be removed if that's what the owner wants, and in addition to that, we don't designate interiors, so you can do whatever you want to the interior of your own home. MR. GARCIA-PONS: And then the second question, I know the designation is for the property. There were some photographs of coral rock walls and wells. Are those elements also MS. SPAIN: Well, again, those would come to you for a certificate of appropriateness for demolition.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. EHRENHAFT: — were original to 1924 — MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: — they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. SPAIN: Yes. Kara is right, and that would qualify as restoration, and so if they did a large addition on this home, it would qualify with for an ad valorem tax break, and that means that the taxes on the addition or any of the restoration work, that is set at zero for ten years. Those are city taxes and county taxes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: So that's a perfect, that's a perfect thing to do — MR. EHRENHAFT: So there are significant
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946 additions would not be historic? MS. KAUTZ: They could be removed. MS. SPAIN: Yes. We believe they can be removed if that's what the owner wants, and in addition to that, we don't designate interiors, so you can do whatever you want to the interior of your own home. MR. GARCIA-PONS: And then the second question, I know the designation is for the property. There were some photographs of coral rock walls and wells. Are those elements also MS. SPAIN: Well, again, those would come to you for a certificate of appropriateness for demolition. We designate the entire property, and then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. EHRENHAFT: — were original to 1924 — MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: — they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. SPAIN: Yes. Kara is right, and that would qualify as restoration, and so if they did a large addition on this home, it would qualify with for an ad valorem tax break, and that means that the taxes on the addition or any of the restoration work, that is set at zero for ten years. Those are city taxes and county taxes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: So that's a perfect, that's a perfect thing to do — MR. EHRENHAFT: So there are significant benefits to —
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946 additions would not be historic? MS. KAUTZ: They could be removed. MS. SPAIN: Yes. We believe they can be removed if that's what the owner wants, and in addition to that, we don't designate interiors, so you can do whatever you want to the interior of your own home. MR. GARCIA-PONS: And then the second question, I know the designation is for the property. There were some photographs of coral rock walls and wells. Are those elements also MS. SPAIN: Well, again, those would come to you for a certificate of appropriateness for demolition. We designate the entire property, and then anything that the owner would want to demolish would come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. EHRENHAFT: — were original to 1924 — MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: — they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. SPAIN: Yes. Kara is right, and that would qualify as restoration, and so if they did a large addition on this home, it would qualify with for an ad valorem tax break, and that means that the taxes on the addition or any of the restoration work, that is set at zero for ten years. Those are city taxes and county taxes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: So that's a perfect, that's a perfect thing to do — MR. EHRENHAFT: So there are significant benefits to — MS. SPAIN: — to restore those arches.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946 additions would not be historic? MS. KAUTZ: They could be removed. MS. SPAIN: Yes. We believe they can be removed if that's what the owner wants, and in addition to that, we don't designate interiors, so you can do whatever you want to the interior of your own home. MR. GARCIA-PONS: And then the second question, I know the designation is for the property. There were some photographs of coral rock walls and wells. Are those elements also MS. SPAIN: Well, again, those would come to you for a certificate of appropriateness for demolition. We designate the entire property, and then anything that the owner would want to demolish would come back to you, and it would also go to the board of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. EHRENHAFT: — were original to 1924 — MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: — they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. SPAIN: Yes. Kara is right, and that would qualify as restoration, and so if they did a large addition on this home, it would qualify with for an ad valorem tax break, and that means that the taxes on the addition or any of the restoration work, that is set at zero for ten years. Those are city taxes and county taxes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: So that's a perfect, that's a perfect thing to do — MR. EHRENHAFT: So there are significant benefits to — MS. SPAIN: — to restore those arches. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946 additions would not be historic? MS. KAUTZ: They could be removed. MS. SPAIN: Yes. We believe they can be removed if that's what the owner wants, and in addition to that, we don't designate interiors, so you can do whatever you want to the interior of your own home. MR. GARCIA-PONS: And then the second question, I know the designation is for the property. There were some photographs of coral rock walls and wells. Are those elements also MS. SPAIN: Well, again, those would come to you for a certificate of appropriateness for demolition. We designate the entire property, and then anything that the owner would want to demolish would come back to you, and it would also go to the board of architects.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. EHRENHAFT: — were original to 1924 — MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: — they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. SPAIN: Yes. Kara is right, and that would qualify as restoration, and so if they did a large addition on this home, it would qualify with for an ad valorem tax break, and that means that the taxes on the addition or any of the restoration work, that is set at zero for ten years. Those are city taxes and county taxes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: So that's a perfect, that's a perfect thing to do — MR. EHRENHAFT: So there are significant benefits to — MS. SPAIN: — to restore those arches. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: So you can get a tax break for an
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946 additions would not be historic? MS. KAUTZ: They could be removed. MS. SPAIN: Yes. We believe they can be removed if that's what the owner wants, and in addition to that, we don't designate interiors, so you can do whatever you want to the interior of your own home. MR. GARCIA-PONS: And then the second question, I know the designation is for the property. There were some photographs of coral rock walls and wells. Are those elements also MS. SPAIN: Well, again, those would come to you for a certificate of appropriateness for demolition. We designate the entire property, and then anything that the owner would want to demolish would come back to you, and it would also go to the board of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. EHRENHAFT: — were original to 1924 — MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: — they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. SPAIN: Yes. Kara is right, and that would qualify as restoration, and so if they did a large addition on this home, it would qualify with for an ad valorem tax break, and that means that the taxes on the addition or any of the restoration work, that is set at zero for ten years. Those are city taxes and county taxes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: So that's a perfect, that's a perfect thing to do — MR. EHRENHAFT: So there are significant benefits to — MS. SPAIN: — to restore those arches. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	case, the original house was in 1924, and there are a couple additions in the '40s or '50s after that. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Would those be the 1946 additions would not be historic? MS. KAUTZ: They could be removed. MS. SPAIN: Yes. We believe they can be removed if that's what the owner wants, and in addition to that, we don't designate interiors, so you can do whatever you want to the interior of your own home. MR. GARCIA-PONS: And then the second question, I know the designation is for the property. There were some photographs of coral rock walls and wells.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. EHRENHAFT: — were original to 1924 — MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. MR. EHRENHAFT: — they could work with us. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can any of that be done administratively? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. SPAIN: Yes. Kara is right, and that would qualify as restoration, and so if they did a large addition on this home, it would qualify with for an ad valorem tax break, and that means that the taxes on the addition or any of the restoration work, that is set at zero for ten years. Those are city taxes and county taxes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.

probably wouldn't have FAR problems because the lot is so huge, I would imagine, so they could, they could apply for additions to --

MS. SPAIN: Yes.

2.2

MR. EHRENHAFT: -- the historic structure.

MS. SPAIN: And that would all come to the board of architects and to you.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. Thank you. Okay, okay. Well, I'll open the matter to input from the public. If the owner is present, should we -- okay. I don't know how to -- okay.

So if there's anybody in the audience who wishes to speak in favor of the case who is present, would you please come forward to the microphone and state your name and address for the record?

MS. JUDE: Sallye Jude, 416 Viscaya Avenue. I have lived in historic properties for over 60 years in the Gables, and I have to tell you that the admiration that people have from this locale and from around the world is tremendous, and we, when we let that go, we take a piece of our history that we can never regain again, and that should be a lot of your thinking in looking at the properties we have.

I think in the past we've been rather complacent about saying all things should go, but with

So with my interest in history and all the reading I have done about George Merrick, I have come to appreciate his true vision. He wasn't just about building houses.

He was about creating an entire infrastructure for an entire city based on a dream that he developed while riding his mule to sell vegetables way back when. He had a lot of time to read about the tales of the Alhambra.

And I mention that because when I think about the master architects that he brought together, they weren't just trying to copy a design. They put so much care into what they built.

For example, I always knew that the floor in my living room, the tiles that I have in the floor in my living room are the exact same tiles that surround the openings at Venetian Pool. I thought that was pretty cool.

But then this past May, I went over to Spain, and the exact same tiles are in the home studio of the artist Sorolla in Madrid, the exact same one, and the exact same tiles are in one of the ancient hotels that, the Parador Hotel that we stayed it.

I was just blown away by this. They took such care. They weren't just about slapping up a bunch of

Page 58

Page 60

Page 59

```
important architecture such as this, it is really important that we pay attention. Thank you.
```

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Thank you.

MS. SPAIN: And Sallye Jude is like The Preservationist in Miami-Dade County and in Coral Gables. I didn't realize you were here. I appreciate you coming.

MS. MEAGHER: Hello. Joanne Meagher, 1225 Valencia Avenue. I come to you with my Villager's hat on, a proud member of the Villagers along with Sallye and other members who are here. I'm also a docent at the Merrick House, and I'm chair of the board of governors at the Merrick House.

I live in a 1925 old Spanish home. I've always appreciated it.

I told the previous owners that I would be a caretaker of their home. The previous owners were very much involved with historic preservation here, and they took particular attention to bring the home -- although they did an addition, they kept our home -- they kept the original footprint of the kitchen instead of making a huge one, and I could go on and on.

And actually I think, listening to Kara's presentation about designating her own home, has me thinking that it's time for me to designate mine, or to come before you to do that.

homes in order to make money, and you know, and to build a city and move on.

It was a dream. It was a vision. It's our responsibility and your responsibility I believe as a board to preserve that.

My daughter had the opportunity to, to see El Jardin up close and personal because she was privileged enough to be able to attend Carollton School, and I'm sure, I would hope, that all of you had the opportunity to tour El Jardin and to listen to the lectures that happened last year as part of its 100th anniversary so you appreciate the architects that we're speaking of today.

I wholeheartedly support historic preservation and designation for this house. Thank you.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Thank you.

MS. MARTINEZ CARBONELL: Good afternoon. I'm here, my name is Karelia Martinez Carbonell, and I'm president of the Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables, and I represent 300 plus preservation-minded citizens here in Coral Gables and beyond.

And you know, just listening to the pedigree that this home comes from, obviously there's three of them being considered today, you know, it's if not this home worthy of preservation, I really don't know which home could be.

Page 61

1 It's like throwing away Frank Lloyd Wright
2 architecture because, you know, one of the windows don't
3 fit or was changed.

Page 61

1 shown.
2 So clearly this firm did some amazing work.
3 It's just that this is not one of them.

But, so, as far as the significance of the property, it's as clear as it could be, and knowing that if it's not designated, it will be demolished, so it's something that we do have to consider as a city whose DNA is, has historic in its DNA.

So again, please consider designating 1224 Country Club Prado. Thank you.

2.0

2.4

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Is there any other person who wishes to speak in favor of this case? Okay.

Any persons in the audience who wish to speak in opposition, please come forward to the microphone and state your name and address for the record.

MR. PARKER: Good afternoon, everybody. My name is Tom Parker, and I live with my wife and family at 1200 Country Club Prado, two doors away, and our three daughters are in the public school system here in Coral Gables. I'm a huge fan of Coral Gables, its sense of community, its history and architecture, and we plan to stay here for many years to come no matter what happens today, so we'll see how it goes.

I'm a huge fan of Sallye Jude as well, and I'm sorry I'm on the wrong side as far as she's concerned The issue I've got is that this particular house at 1224 is just not in the league of Mr. Kiehnel's great works.

There remain today none of the arched windows or the architectural beauty that signified his other designs.

The unusual winged window on the north side is long gone. The previous owner tacked on the low-slung carport and added a room off the back and enclosed the open-air porch.

The exterior of the house as it exists today is unremarkable. There's no arches, no decorative finishes on the columns or doorways. There's no massive facade like Kara's house or many other Mediterranean revival houses in our neighborhood.

To an untrained eye like mine, the house appears to be like so many other Spanish designs that we find all over South Florida.

The floor plan of this house is, as already stated, a six-room, a six-room bungalow that appears to be unique because we can't find anything like it, but I can only assume that's because it wasn't very popular. It is

Page 62

1 because I know her from 20 years ago.

My wife and I are under contract to purchase the 1224 Country Club Prado home, and the owners or owner representatives are here behind me.

We were drawn to this property primarily because of the size of the lot, which has already been explained, and its beautiful backyard.

Our expectation was to demolish the home and build a Mediterranean-style house of about 4,500 square feet, not a McMansion, where the current structure stands, leaving the backyard to develop a beautiful and full garden, which again is why we would like to purchase.

We certainly appreciate this historical review process and appreciate the presentation made by the staff. They're great people.

I congratulate Kara, honestly, on her historic preservation. I almost got up at first to support her because, in my mind, her home is one that needs to be preserved. It's, in my mind, totally different than the one we're talking about right now.

We do certainly understand the 1224 house was designed by Mr. Kiehnel and that he is a renowned architect. He and his firm certainly designed many beautiful buildings and homes in South Florida, and I brought some examples here, although they've already been

Page 64

definitely not usable in today's modern world.

You walk in the front door, and it's about 15 feet to the back wall. It's just not, it's not a usable structure for a family.

From the outside, it appears to be a plain and unremarkable work of architecture that I do not believe fits the body of work by Mr. Kiehnel.

Unfortunately, my architect, Eddie Ahno (phonetic), is out of town today and unable to be here to assist me with more technical arguments, but it is hard for me to see how the 1224 house exemplifies historic, cultural, political, economic or social trends of the community.

This house is unremarkable Spanish style.

The architecture is not distinctive and bears no floral or other decorative stucco or coral wall features that make many other of our neighbors truly Mediterranean revival.

I too walk my dog on the Prado every morning, and there are many beautiful houses that deserve to be preserved, but this one is definitely different from those.

Also, the historic character of the property really was not preserved over the years as already talked about.

There's been quite a few changes to the

Page 65 Page 67 house, the windows, the garage, the enclosed back porch, 1 MR. PARKER: The owners, they're 2 the chimney, the cupola on top was added sometime we think 2 unsophisticated. They're a little bit antsy about it. 3 in the '60s, and none of those changes have acquired 3 But the one other thing I could add is that 4 4 historic significance over time. the neighbors on both sides, they're friends of mine. 5 5 The structure is without decorative finishes They're both, for the proposal, they're out of town as 6 well, for what that has to do --6 that signify the historic beauty of Mr. Kiehnel's later 7 7 work, and as it stands, it simply does not embody any MR. EHRENHAFT: All right, okay. 8 8 distinguishing characteristics. MR. PARKER: They're not here. 9 The only way forward with this house would be 9 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. 10 to spend significant amount of funds to restore the 10 MS. THOMSON: Where are they? 11 original house and add on to the back, which as staff has 11 MR. EHRENHAFT: All right, okay. 12 already said is possible, but is a major project that 12 MR. PARKER: But they're here to step -- one 13 really would take away from the historic feature of this 13 of the owners. 14 14 MS. SELEM: Hi. My name is Melissa Selem. 2,000-square-foot house up front. 15 15 And finally and most interesting to me, of I'm the granddaughter of Yolanda Porto. I don't really 16 course, and maybe not relevant, but the real estate it 16 like public speaking. This makes me extremely anxious, so 17 that's why I didn't want to come forward. 17 sits on is unique to the Prado because it is undeveloped 18 in the backyard, and unfortunately there's an FP & L line 18 My grandmother is 88. She is very elderly. 19 that runs in between all the houses on our block, so you 19 She's moved in with me and my mother who is also an owner 20 actually can't build a McMansion. 20 of the house. 21 You can't move the FPL lines. You can't bury 21 This was an investment for her. She really 22 just had a place to live, and she lived out her life, and 2.2 them. I ran that out. It's just not possible. You have 23 to do the whole block, and it's not going to happen. 23 now she -- her time has come, and we really don't have 24 So my fear is that if I don't buy this 24 much choice but to sell it, and we really do like his 25 ideas and what he would like to do. 25 property under the terms that I'm hoping for, the next Page 66 Page 68 1 person may do that, but they'll develop the four -- the 1 This historic preservation is completely new 2 three lots that face 57th, and this parcel will be gone 2 to me, so I had no idea that any of this even existed, and 3 forever. That would really be a shame. 3 my grandmother really didn't either. 4 4 And I sincerely hope that this board will So we do support Tom and it not being 5 look carefully at this structure, consider the lack of 5 historic because that is really the only way we see being 6 6 historical significance of its actual design, able to move forward. Thank you. 7 7 notwithstanding the fact it has a great architect, and MR. EHRENHAFT: Thank you. Kara, do you have 8 find that it's not worthy of historic preservation. 8 additional comments before we --9 9 The family is here, and that's the other MS. KAUTZ: I just did want to note that any 10 10 thing, is that I really -- because of the uniqueness of sort of economic hardship claim that designation would put 11 this property, because of the size of this property and 11 on the property is not germane to the discussion. 12 this weird FPL line that cuts it in half, it's just so 12 It comes at a later date when they're denied 13 unlikely that the family that owns it is going to be able 13 an application for something. 14 to get the value that is there. I really think they're 14 MS. SPAIN: And the city attorney's office 15 going to struggle to get that value, and I think they've 15 has been very clear on that, that any claim of economic 16 got an associate that is going to stand up. 16 hardship, that's done after the designation. They can 17 17 So thank you very much for your time, and I come back 18 appreciate all of your volunteering. 18 It's just like a certificate of 19 MR. EHRENHAFT: Thank you. All right, all 19 appropriateness for demolition. If they think it's an 20 right. Hearing no other commentary from the audience --20 unsafe structure, it comes back to you, but you have to MR. MENENDEZ: The owners. 21 21 follow the criteria. 22 MR. EHRENHAFT: The owners. 22 MR. EHRENHAFT: The criteria, right. 23 MR. MENENDEZ: Are the owners going to speak? 23 MS. SPAIN: That's what you're here for, so 24 24 MR. EHRENHAFT: They didn't approach. Are if it doesn't meet the criteria, it's what you're here, 25 the owners wishing to -- no? 25 and then we can deal with the other on separate

Page 69 Page 71 1 They added a garage on the side. I think 1 applications after the fact. 2 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Yes, sir? 2 that takes away from it. They enclosed the laundry room. 3 MR. BYRD: Am I still allowed to speak? 3 There's just -- they put the extra cupola on top of the 4 MS. SPAIN: Sure. 4 chimney. There's a lot of alterations that have happened 5 MR. EHRENHAFT: Sure, certainly. 5 to this house. 6 6 MR. BYRD: My name is Jimmy Byrd. I'm I think it would be too expensive for 7 offering support to Tom. I'm also his realtor on this. 7 somebody to come in and restore it. I don't think Bob 8 8 And when I first saw the house, I told him Vila would want to do it. But as far as I'm concerned, that house would 9 9 that we're probably going to have some hurdles because of 10 the age of the house, and I knew that, you know, there was 10 be better restored and moved if it was that important. 11 going to have to be some investigation done on this. 11 The City of Coral Gables can buy it as a park. 12 The more information we got, the less likely 12 But I just, I don't think that not every 13 I thought that we were going to be opposed on this because 13 house that's built in 1924 to 1926 or what have you, more 14 the house has just been so altered. I talked to different 14 than 50 years old, deserves to be saved. 15 15 people, different real estate agents in town. They can't These guys are spectacular architects. This 16 understand how, in the condition it's in now, that it 16 is not their best work, and maybe that's the reason why 17 17 would actually get the historical designation. they just went ahead and did all the alterations in 1946. 18 Actually my mom, who has been a real estate 18 If I was taking somebody around town and 19 agent here since 1972, asked me to send the information to 19 showing them all the Kiehnel and Elliott properties, 20 20 Arva Parks because she's a family friend, so I did. there's no doubt that we would pass by that one and they 21 She called me back. She said, "Jimmy, I'd 21 would ask, "What were they going for there?" 22 22 hate to see them tear down a Kiehnel house, but it's been I don't get it, compared to all the other 23 23 ones. They did eight homes. I assume seven of them are so changed." 24 She thought that there was actually coral 24 still standing. Hers is a De Garmo. I just don't think 25 25 rock on the outside of the house from the original this one deserves historical designation. Page 70 Page 72 pictures. 1 1 THE COURT REPORTER: Can you state your name? 2 2 You can see the slope that adds the balance MR. BYRD: Jimmy Byrd, B-Y-R-D. 3 on -- from the chimney, the slope on the north side of the 3 MS. SPAIN: So just a clarification, we're 4 4 house, the curved wall, has been completely built over. not requiring them to do the restoration to bring it back 5 There's a lot of those beautiful features that I would 5 to what it was originally. 6 6 look for in an old house. We believe that it's historically significant 7 7 I like the charming houses. I've lived in in its present condition, so all of that, you know, work 8 the Grove. I'm watching what's going on in the Grove 8 does not have to be done. That's all I've got. 9 9 right now with the lot splitting and the building of the MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, all right. Well, that new Modern Haus. I look for older houses with a lot of 10 10 closes the public portion of the discussion, and we'll 11 charm. 11 commence the board discussion. Okay. 12 This house has been pasted over, and it 12 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'd like to say that I walk 13 doesn't deserve to be historically designated, it really 13 by there, drive by there, ride my bike by there, you know, 14 doesn't. 14 constantly, so I'm very familiar with the property. I've 15 There are other homes like hers that I would 15 never stopped, I've never asked questions, never talked to 16 be on the human circle around that house before they 16 anyone about it. 17 17 brought a bulldozer over to it. That house deserves to be MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Does anybody other, 18 preserved. This one has been altered. 18 have any other ex parte communications or visits? 19 19 MR. SARDIINAS: I'm the same, same lines. Since 1946, George Merrick's story did not 20 change between 1923 and 1946 when most of that work was 20 We've walked through there, driven by there. I've always 21 21 done. It was still one of the first 15 houses admired that house actually. 22 commissioned by him, and yet, the city, or the people at 22 MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. 23 that time, let them change the house in such a way that it 23 MR. SARDINAS: But I've never spoken to 24 24 doesn't look anything like the original. There's a couple anybody on that property related --25 features that do. 25 MR. MENENDEZ: You know, I drove by the

Page 73 Page 75 property this weekend, you know, and it's a beautiful 1 MS. THOMSON: Is that what I was 1 2 2 understanding them saying? Okay. So it's not like recent property. 3 3 And I think that you have to look at not just owners, and all of a sudden, they want to change it? 4 4 the house, but the property as a whole and what that MS. KAUTZ: No. It's for sale, so that's the 5 property and what that house contributes to the rest of reason why it's before you now. 6 6 the neighborhood. MS. THOMSON: Oh, it's for sale. Okay, 7 7 I think it would be catastrophic to demolish great. 8 8 that house. I think that house has a lot of potential for MR. EHRENHAFT: Any concerns that they 9 the person who wants to put the time and the effort into 9 expressed regarding easements, wires in the back, or 10 10 that's not pertinent to this, or? 11 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a question. In one of 11 MS. SPAIN: No. That isn't pertinent to 12 the pictures, it seemed to appear that it was coral 12 whether or not it fits a criteria, and I had never heard 13 rock --13 that Florida Power and Light requires the whole block to 14 MR. SARDINAS: Construction. 14 be underground. I don't know enough about Florida Power MR. RODRIGUEZ: -- construction. Has that 15 15 and Light and burying that to know whether that's the 16 been plastered over, or was that just a quality of the 16 17 MS. KAUTZ: But that's not part of the 17 picture? 18 MS. KAUTZ: The quality of the picture, we 18 criteria. 19 can't tell. By 1924, the Fischbaugh (phonetic) photo, it 19 MS. SPAIN: But it's not part of the 20 20 criteria, so I don't think you should take that into was stucco, so within a year, if it was constructed out of 21 coral, we can't tell from the photograph. I can't tell if 21 consideration. 22 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. 22 it's -- we couldn't locate the original magazine, so if it 23 were coral, we don't know if the intention was for it to 23 MR. GARCIA-PONS: I do have one question for 24 stay coral or be stuccoed because it's very early to have 24 staff, and I can -- I think the presentation talking about 25 stuccoed a coral rock structure by 1924, by a year later. 25 the historic being a dog-trot and that being a unique Page 74 Page 76 1 It may have just been a method of 1 typeology and it's no longer that or that no longer 2 2 construction that they were still under. functions as that, they closed in the back porch, they 3 MR. EHRENHAFT: You're referring to Figure 11 3 changed the arched windows to the front windows and the columns. 4 on Page 12, I guess. 4 5 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 5 There are some significant changes to the 6 MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. 6 building, and I would imagine that whoever wrote the 7 7 MS. KAUTZ: The National Builders. It's report did identify all those things. 8 still under construction in that photo. 8 My question is always, "How much?" Right? 9 MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. 9 I'm sure that you guys went through the same thought 10 10 MS. KAUTZ: So the intent, the intent and the process, and I can struggle a little bit with this 11 construction material isn't clear, but by 1924, it exists 11 particular home, although I agree that it is, the massing 12 12 of it, the location of it, some of the detailing of it, 13 MR. EHRENHAFT: Right, so just a year 13 but it did lose a lot of its original character with those 14 later --14 really, I believe, important elements being removed, and 15 MS. KAUTZ: Yes. 15 we do live differently now than we did back in 1926, 16 MR. EHRENHAFT: -- or less, it shows, I think 16 sleeping porches and dog trots, So I could understand the 17 17 Figure 11 shows -comments made by the potential future owner of the 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 18 property, and I share them. MR. EHRENHAFT: -- stucco. 19 19 It's just going to be difficult, in fact, it 20 MS. KAUTZ: Correct. 20 is difficult for me to find out how much is too much. 21 21 MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. One thing that I, the question that I did ask 22 MS. THOMSON: So my understanding is that 22 based on the e-mail from, I think it was Mr. Gillis about 23 this has been owned by a single family for quite a few 23 can you make alterations to the existing home to go back 24 24 years now? towards a more historic approach to it, or even your 25 MS. SPAIN: Yes. 25 comment responding back to us, which is, "It's just a

	Page 77		Page 79
1	certificate of appropriateness, if you want to make	1	MR. MENENDEZ: I move for designation.
2	alterations to the property, it would come back to this	2	MS. THOMSON: I second it.
3	board," and then we get to decide the significance of the	3	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Hearing no further
4	alteration.	4	discussion, may we call the roll, please?
5	MS. SPAIN: Yes. I mean, honestly, if they	5	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana?
6	were going to just do the arched windows, we would sign	6	MR. DURANA: Yes.
7	off on that administratively.	7	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson?
8	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Administratively, but if	8	MS. THOMSON: Yes.
9	they do want to make an addition	9	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss
10	MS. SPAIN: Yes.	10	Bache-Wiig?
11	MR. GARCIA-PONS: say a thousand square	11	MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
12	feet	12	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas?
13	MS. SPAIN: They would have to come back.	13	MR. SARDINAS: Yes.
14	MR. GARCIA-PONS: they would still have to	14	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Rodriguez?
15	come back to us	15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
16	MS. SPAIN: Yes.	16	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr.
17	MR. GARCIA-PONS: as opposed to not	17	Garcia-Pons?
18	designating, and it would not be a regular lot zoned	18	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes.
19	MS. SPAIN: If you don't designate it	19	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez?
20	MR. GARCIA-PONS: potentially.	20	MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
21	MS. SPAIN: then it will be demolished,	21	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
22	and I'll sign the demolition permit. That's what kicked	22	MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
23	this in	23	MS. SPAIN: Thank you.
24	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes.	24	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. The next item on the
25	MS. SPAIN: because any demolition, total	25	agenda is Case File LHD 2019-007, consideration of the
	Page 78		Page 80
1	demolition permit in Coral Gables requires our review, and	1	local historic designation of the property at 1822 Country
2	if it's historically significant in our view, we bring it	2	Club Prado, legally described as Lots Five and Six, Block
3	to you within 60 days, so that's why it's here before you.	3	27, Coral Gables Section E, according to the plat thereof
4	MR. EHRENHAFT: I would imagine with respect	4	and as recorded in Plat Book Eight at Page 86 of the
5	to the comment about the FPL lines in the rear, that, that	5	public records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.
6	lines like that can be buried. If there's going to be	6	MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. This is a historic
7	other work done for construction to enlarge a home, I	7	photo of the property at 1822 Country Club Prado. It's
8	would think that they could be put subterranean. I don't	8	from the 1940s, early photograph.
9	know. Sure.	9	Location map, it's Section E on the west side
10	MR. PARKER: If they could, believe me, I've	10	of Country Club Prado on the corner of Cadiz Avenue and
11	tried.	11	Country Club Prado.
12	MR. EHRENHAFT: Sure.	12	So this application is being brought to you
13	MR. PARKER: I've been on line with FPL. No	13	at the request of the current owners.
14	go unless you do the whole block which is in line with a	14	So again, Article Three, Section 3-1103 of
15	million dollars, which is just not do-able.	15	the Coral Gables Zoning Code states that, "A historic
16	MR. MENENDEZ: But that has no bearing on our	16	landmark must have significant character, interest or
17	decision.	17	value as part of the historical, cultural, archeological,
18	MR. EHRENHAFT: On this, right, right.	18	aesthetic or architectural heritage of the city, state or
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I appreciate that.	19	nation," must meet only one of the criteria outlined in
20	MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes, yes.	20	the code.
21	MR. PARKER: I just want to make sure the	21	We believe 1822 Country Club Prado is
22	record is straight.	22	eligible on four criteria, those being historical,
23	MR. EHRENHAFT: Right, yes. That's true.	23	cultural significance, and that it exemplifies the
24	Okay, all right. Any further questions or comments from	24	historical, cultural, political, economic or social trends
	the beard'/ (Near	25	of the community;
25	the board? Okay.		

Page 81 Page 83 1 Architectural significance in that it 1 designated as historic landmarks. 2 2 portrays the environment in an era of history In 1926, Milton Garris, a prominent civil 3 3 characterized by one or more distinctive architectural engineer, hired architect Wade to design his new home on 4 4 the Prado. The Garris family resided in the property for 5 5 Embodies those distinguishing characteristics 49 years. 6 6 of an architectural style or period or method of Garris came to Miami in 1913 and was the 7 construction: 7 chief engineer in development of many of the islands in 8 8 And contains elements of design, detail, Biscayne Bay, including Indian Creek, Bay Harbour Island 9 9 and Golden Beach, among others. materials or craftsmanship of outstanding quality or which 10 10 represent a significant innovation or adaptation to the He also served as a Coral Gables commissioner 11 South Florida environment. 11 for eight years, from 1929 to 1937. In the photograph, 12 1822 Country Club Prado was Permit Number 12 you can see him standing in the second to the left. 13 2348 in the city. It was permit -- it was permitted in 13 He, after that he served as chair of the 14 14 June 5th, 1926. The architect of the property is Lee L. Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board in the 1940s, and 15 Wade. The builder was John Hunt, and the original owners 15 this is a commission meeting from 1931 in this very room. 16 were Milton and Jessie Garris. 16 The home is constructed in the Mediterranean 17 17 So that as described the beginnings of the revival style. Some of the character-defining features of 18 Country Club Prado context earlier, this is the location 18 the style found on the residence include projecting and 19 map of Section E showing where the earliest houses in that 19 recessed planes, retrolinear massing and floor plan, 20 20 particular section of the Prado were located. arched and decorative openings, a series of low-pitched 21 The green again are 1923 and Kiehnel and 21 roofs covered in two-piece barrel tile, textured stucco 22 22 Elliott, the orange is 1923 De Garmo, and the blue are and arched entry, prominent and distinctive chimneys, a 23 1925 to 1926, other architects that came to the Prado. 23 balcony with spindled railings, decorative masonry inserts 24 The star indicates the property in question. 24 and screens, turned columns and spindles, group-around 25 25 So the architect for the residence is Lee L. vents, recessed casement windows at various shapes and Page 82 Page 84 1 Wade, who by the 1920s worked in construction management 1 sizes, projecting sills. 2 2 for his father's firm, A.B. Wade and Son Construction. This is actually a very interesting house. 3 By 1922, he is designing architect and junior 3 It's quite charming. It has quite a few really very 4 4 interesting details. architect for the firm and responsible for eight to ten 5 Spanish bungalows that were constructed in the Shenandoah 5 This is the north, this is the north front 6 6 elevation -- I'm sorry. This is the east elevation, the 7 7 In 1926, Wade became a member of the American front, and you can see some of the features that are here, 8 Institute of Architects and established his own firm with 8 the projecting and recessing planes, the projecting front 9 an office in Coral Gables located at 2302 Ponce de Leon 9 porch on the far right -- sorry, the front entry with the 10 10 Boulevard arched opening, the projecting porch on the far right, the 11 In the late 1920s, he took on a partner, C.H. 11 decorative openings, the varied roofs, the textured 12 Oemler, who was responsible for construction management. 12 stucco. 13 This partnership does not seem to have been 13 This is the north end of the front elevation, 14 long lived, but we have designated properties by Wade and 14 and you can see the auxiliary structure to the rear. 15 Oemler before. 15 The wing wall on the right-hand side is not 16 Wade continued to practice in the greater 16 original to the house, but copies two that are found in 17 17 Coral Gables area until 1941 when he accepted a position the rear. 18 of naval architect and moved to Norfolk, Virginia. 18 MR. EHRENHAFT: I'm sorry. It copies --19 19 MS. KAUTZ: Two, two original wing walls that The following is a list of some of Wade's 20 contemporaneous Coral Gables commissions, which I'm not 20 are in the rear of the house. 21 going to read all of them to you, many of which are 2.1 MR. EHRENHAFT: That are in the rear, okay.

MS. KAUTZ: That's a replica --

added that, but they did recess it off the corners, so

MS. KAUTZ: - of the ones in the back. They

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.

22

23

24

25

22

23

2.4

25

designated single family homes, also includes 2326 -- I'm

Wyland (phonetic) Clinic, which is Books and Books, and

sorry, 263, 265 Aragon Avenue, which is the original

then other properties throughout the city that are

Page 85 Page 87 1 whoever did it, did it right. 1 floor that's kind of like mid-space, it was a butler's 2 2 So this is walking around the south side of pantry, that was removed. That was blocked up, and then 3 3 the house. There's the wood balcony. It has the the window to its right was reduced in size. 4 4 buttressed projections, which is very interesting because On the left-hand side is another drawing. 5 5 those are the same ones that are found on my house which I The front entry, the opening was reduced slightly when the 6 6 new grill was installed. don't really see very often, turn posts and spindles, the 7 7 arched openings supported by engaged twisted columns, So other alterations include the wing wall 8 8 built-in planter, and then the distinctive round window and the fence to the right that you see on the bottom 9 9 with the metal, the iron grate. left, the carport, pergola, the circular driveway at the 10 10 This is the front entry with highly 11 decorative masonry insets also found around the windows on 11 The wing wall, again, like I said, was added 12 the front, you see on the right and the top, the lintel in 12 at the front to match. You'll see the wing wall at the 13 between the windows, and then of the porch on the far 13 rear, the one they matched, down below, and the pool. 14 14 right, you see the wooden spindles and these brick, the And so the pool, it's interesting, it was 15 15 brick detailing underneath the windowsill. added in 1991, but the current owner is actually a mosaic 16 So the upper floor is a sleeping porch. It's 16 tile artist who resurfaced the pool in a tile pattern 17 17 since been enclosed, but it retains the decorative that's drawn from the cast decorative elements on the 18 spindles. You can see the heavy wooden timber, the lintel 18 front of the house that you see on the top right, so the 19 19 down below. current owner did this himself. 20 20 This is the front and then also the north, So 1822 Country Club Prado retains its 21 north side on the right. 21 dominant character-defining features and a high degree of 22 22 So this is the rear of the property, and you historic integrity. 23 can see on this on the right-hand side, the trellis, the 23 It's situated on the picturesque and 24 grouped vents. 24 prominent Country Club Prado. This single family 25 residence at 1822 Country Club Prado sits on a corner lot 25 You can also see the loggia that's in the Page 86 Page 88 1 rear, the balcony that's original to the house with the 1 in Coral Gables Section E. 2 2 cast sort of detail, and then also the detail at the top. The home was designed by Lee Wade in 1926 for 3 It's very, very nicely done, and that curved 3 the first owners, Milton B. Garris and Jessie Garris who 4 element that you see on the left is repeated on the right 4 owned the house for 59 years. 5 side, so it's got these two curved pieces at the rear 5 Lee Wade's designs aided George Merrick in 6 6 which is very unusual. fulfilling his vision and goal of a planned 7 7 It has this covered arcade that leads to the Mediterranean-themed community whose architecture was 8 auxiliary structure with really beautiful decorative 8 adapted to the southern Florida climate. 9 chords above, and the wing wall that you see on the left 9 This home, built during the boom years, is an 10 10 picture on the left side is original to the house and excellent example of their Mediterranean revival style. 11 that's the one that was copied on the front. 11 It is one of the early structures that 12 This is an up-close detail of the auxiliary 12 characterized both Merrick's vision and that shaped the 13 structure with the decorative parapet, the grouped, the 13 City of Coral Gables as well as Merrick's plan to develop 14 grouped vents, and also that really unusual cat slide roof 14 Country Club Prado as a prominent residential section. 15 on the right-hand side. 15 It's maintained a high degree of its 16 So alterations to the house, comparison of 16 integrity and contributes to the fabric of the City of 17 17 the permit plans and the historic photographs with the Coral Gables, and we recommend approval. 18 existing home demonstrate the high degree of integrity the 18 MR. EHRENHAFT: You have nothing more? 19 property has retained over the years, very few alterations 19 MS. KAUTZ: That's it. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Would the applicant 20 to the home and none that detrimentally impact the 20 21 character-defining features. 2.1 care to address the matter? 22 So on the right-hand, on the right-hand side 22 MS. KAUTZ: They're not here. 23 is a drawing of the north facade of the house, and on this 23 MR. EHRENHAFT: They're not here? 24 24 side when they did the windows, when they redid the MS. KAUTZ: They're out of town. 25 windows, one, the sort of window that's above on the first 25 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.

	Page 89		Page 91
1	MS. KAUTZ: They knew we were bringing it	1	Section A, according to the plat thereof, as recorded in
2	forward.	2	Plat Book Five at Page 102 of the public records of
3	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.	3	Miami-Dade County, Florida.
4	MS. KAUTZ: They were fine with us taking it	4	The application requesting design approval
5	today, so.	5	for additions and alterations to the residence and site
6	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, all right. Is there	6	work was granted approval with conditions on August 17,
7	anyone in the audience that wants to speak in favor of the	7	2017.
8	case, or anyone who wants to speak in opposition? Okay.	8	Revisions requiring design approval for
9	Hearing none, this closes the public hearing	9	landscape mitigation were approved on December 21, 2017.
10	portion of the case, and we will proceed to board	10	This application requests design approval for
11	discussion.	11	revisions to the approved certificate of appropriateness
12	MR. GARCIA-PONS: I'd like to move the item.	12	necessitated by deviations from the approved scope of
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it.	13	demolition on the historic residence. Okay.
14	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Hearing no further	14	MS. SPAIN: So this is the location. It's on
15	discussion, may we please call the roll?	15	Valencia. This is pre-demolition, very few. This is a
16	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss	16	1940s photograph of the home. This again is
17	Bache-Wiig?	17	pre-demolition.
18	MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.	18	This is what it looked like when it came to
19	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas?	19	you for a certificate of appropriateness, also
20	MR. SARDINAS: Yes.	20	pre-demolition, and this is what it looks like now. I'm
21	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana?	21	going to turn it over to the architects.
22	MR. DURANA: Yes.	22	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Dona, could you go back to
23	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez?	23	that one slide, let me see that again, the existing
24	MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.	24	conditions? Thank you.
25	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Rodriguez?	25	MS. SPAIN: If you remember correctly, you
	Page 90		Page 92
1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.	1	
1 2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson?	1 2	deferred it off of the agenda because there were
	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes.	2	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so
2	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson?	2 3	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate.
2	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes.	2 3 4	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the
2 3 4	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr.	2 3 4 5	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had
2 3 4 5	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons?	2 3 4	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had originally planned to do. Right? Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft?	2 3 4 5 6 7	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had originally planned to do. Right? Okay. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you. Good evening,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had originally planned to do. Right? Okay. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you. Good evening, board members and Mr. Chairman. My name is Eduardo Calil
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had originally planned to do. Right? Okay. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you. Good evening, board members and Mr. Chairman. My name is Eduardo Calil from Calil Architects. This is my son, Eduardo Calil,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. I have a question of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had originally planned to do. Right? Okay. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you. Good evening, board members and Mr. Chairman. My name is Eduardo Calil from Calil Architects. This is my son, Eduardo Calil, also from Calil Architects as well, also a registered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had originally planned to do. Right? Okay. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you. Good evening, board members and Mr. Chairman. My name is Eduardo Calil from Calil Architects. This is my son, Eduardo Calil, also from Calil Architects as well, also a registered architect.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. I have a question of the board. Is there anybody that needs a five-minute break?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had originally planned to do. Right? Okay. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you. Good evening, board members and Mr. Chairman. My name is Eduardo Calil from Calil Architects. This is my son, Eduardo Calil, also from Calil Architects as well, also a registered architect. And he's going to do a brief presentation of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. I have a question of the board. Is there anybody that needs a five-minute break? MS. THOMSON: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had originally planned to do. Right? Okay. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you. Good evening, board members and Mr. Chairman. My name is Eduardo Calil from Calil Architects. This is my son, Eduardo Calil, also from Calil Architects as well, also a registered architect. And he's going to do a brief presentation of what was originally approved by this board in partricular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. I have a question of the board. Is there anybody that needs a five-minute break? MS. THOMSON: Yes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Or maybe seven. May we have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had originally planned to do. Right? Okay. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you. Good evening, board members and Mr. Chairman. My name is Eduardo Calil from Calil Architects. This is my son, Eduardo Calil, also from Calil Architects as well, also a registered architect. And he's going to do a brief presentation of what was originally approved by this board in partricular and what was actually demolished and we intend to rebuild,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. I have a question of the board. Is there anybody that needs a five-minute break? MS. THOMSON: Yes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Or maybe seven. May we have seven, Dona? Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had originally planned to do. Right? Okay. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you. Good evening, board members and Mr. Chairman. My name is Eduardo Calil from Calil Architects. This is my son, Eduardo Calil, also from Calil Architects as well, also a registered architect. And he's going to do a brief presentation of what was originally approved by this board in partricular and what was actually demolished and we intend to rebuild, what we intend to rebuild what was actually removed from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. I have a question of the board. Is there anybody that needs a five-minute break? MS. THOMSON: Yes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Or maybe seven. May we have seven, Dona? Thank you. (Thereupon, a brief recess.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had originally planned to do. Right? Okay. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you. Good evening, board members and Mr. Chairman. My name is Eduardo Calil from Calil Architects. This is my son, Eduardo Calil, also from Calil Architects as well, also a registered architect. And he's going to do a brief presentation of what was originally approved by this board in partricular and what was actually demolished and we intend to rebuild, what we intend to rebuild what was actually removed from the original permit set, so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. I have a question of the board. Is there anybody that needs a five-minute break? MS. THOMSON: Yes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Or maybe seven. May we have seven, Dona? Thank you. (Thereupon, a brief recess.) MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. We're ready to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had originally planned to do. Right? Okay. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you. Good evening, board members and Mr. Chairman. My name is Eduardo Calil from Calil Architects. This is my son, Eduardo Calil, also from Calil Architects as well, also a registered architect. And he's going to do a brief presentation of what was originally approved by this board in partricular and what was actually demolished and we intend to rebuild, what we intend to rebuild what was actually removed from the original permit set, so. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Good evening, members of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. I have a question of the board. Is there anybody that needs a five-minute break? MS. THOMSON: Yes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Or maybe seven. May we have seven, Dona? Thank you. (Thereupon, a brief recess.) MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. We're ready to reconvene. It's 5:59 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had originally planned to do. Right? Okay. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you. Good evening, board members and Mr. Chairman. My name is Eduardo Calil from Calil Architects. This is my son, Eduardo Calil, also from Calil Architects as well, also a registered architect. And he's going to do a brief presentation of what was originally approved by this board in partricular and what was actually demolished and we intend to rebuild, what we intend to rebuild what was actually removed from the original permit set, so. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Good evening, members of the board. I am Eduardo Calil, Junior, from Calil
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. I have a question of the board. Is there anybody that needs a five-minute break? MS. THOMSON: Yes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Or maybe seven. May we have seven, Dona? Thank you. (Thereupon, a brief recess.) MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. We're ready to reconvene. It's 5:59 p.m. The next category of cases are special	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had originally planned to do. Right? Okay. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you. Good evening, board members and Mr. Chairman. My name is Eduardo Calil from Calil Architects. This is my son, Eduardo Calil, also from Calil Architects as well, also a registered architect. And he's going to do a brief presentation of what was originally approved by this board in partricular and what was actually demolished and we intend to rebuild, what we intend to rebuild what was actually removed from the original permit set, so. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Good evening, members of the board. I am Eduardo Calil, Junior, from Calil Architects, 1728 Coral Way, Miami, Florida.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. I have a question of the board. Is there anybody that needs a five-minute break? MS. THOMSON: Yes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Or maybe seven. May we have seven, Dona? Thank you. (Thereupon, a brief recess.) MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. We're ready to reconvene. It's 5:59 p.m. The next category of cases are special certificates of appropriateness, the first of which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had originally planned to do. Right? Okay. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you. Good evening, board members and Mr. Chairman. My name is Eduardo Calil from Calil Architects. This is my son, Eduardo Calil, also from Calil Architects as well, also a registered architect. And he's going to do a brief presentation of what was originally approved by this board in partricular and what was actually demolished and we intend to rebuild, what we intend to rebuild what was actually removed from the original permit set, so. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Good evening, members of the board. I am Eduardo Calil, Junior, from Calil Architects, 1728 Coral Way, Miami, Florida. So what I have what we have in front today
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. I have a question of the board. Is there anybody that needs a five-minute break? MS. THOMSON: Yes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Or maybe seven. May we have seven, Dona? Thank you. (Thereupon, a brief recess.) MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. We're ready to reconvene. It's 5:59 p.m. The next category of cases are special certificates of appropriateness, the first of which is Case Number Case File COA (SP) 2017-012, Revised, an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had originally planned to do. Right? Okay. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you. Good evening, board members and Mr. Chairman. My name is Eduardo Calil from Calil Architects. This is my son, Eduardo Calil, also from Calil Architects as well, also a registered architect. And he's going to do a brief presentation of what was originally approved by this board in partricular and what was actually demolished and we intend to rebuild, what we intend to rebuild what was actually removed from the original permit set, so. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Good evening, members of the board. I am Eduardo Calil, Junior, from Calil Architects, 1728 Coral Way, Miami, Florida. So what I have what we have in front today here is let's see. Okay. These are pictures of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. I have a question of the board. Is there anybody that needs a five-minute break? MS. THOMSON: Yes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Or maybe seven. May we have seven, Dona? Thank you. (Thereupon, a brief recess.) MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. We're ready to reconvene. It's 5:59 p.m. The next category of cases are special certificates of appropriateness, the first of which is Case Number Case File COA (SP) 2017-012, Revised, an application for the issuance of a certificate of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had originally planned to do. Right? Okay. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you. Good evening, board members and Mr. Chairman. My name is Eduardo Calil from Calil Architects. This is my son, Eduardo Calil, also from Calil Architects as well, also a registered architect. And he's going to do a brief presentation of what was originally approved by this board in partricular and what was actually demolished and we intend to rebuild, what we intend to rebuild what was actually removed from the original permit set, so. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Good evening, members of the board. I am Eduardo Calil, Junior, from Calil Architects, 1728 Coral Way, Miami, Florida. So what I have what we have in front today here is let's see. Okay. These are pictures of the existing residence as it stands today.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. I have a question of the board. Is there anybody that needs a five-minute break? MS. THOMSON: Yes. MR. EHRENHAFT: Or maybe seven. May we have seven, Dona? Thank you. (Thereupon, a brief recess.) MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. We're ready to reconvene. It's 5:59 p.m. The next category of cases are special certificates of appropriateness, the first of which is Case Number Case File COA (SP) 2017-012, Revised, an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	deferred it off of the agenda because there were discrepancies in the plans, and that's all been fixed, so the ones you have before you are accurate. And I think the intent is just to change the plans so that they can go forward with what they had originally planned to do. Right? Okay. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you. Good evening, board members and Mr. Chairman. My name is Eduardo Calil from Calil Architects. This is my son, Eduardo Calil, also from Calil Architects as well, also a registered architect. And he's going to do a brief presentation of what was originally approved by this board in partricular and what was actually demolished and we intend to rebuild, what we intend to rebuild what was actually removed from the original permit set, so. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Good evening, members of the board. I am Eduardo Calil, Junior, from Calil Architects, 1728 Coral Way, Miami, Florida. So what I have what we have in front today here is let's see. Okay. These are pictures of the

plans, to present what was originally intended to be demolished and preserved, and that — and our proposal to reconstruct what was intended to be initially preserved and rebuilt with greater clarity.

These photographs here and before this, this is what was presented to the original board of architects of the neighboring properties and the residence. It's a little small as you can see here, but this is what was

presented originally.

And here we have photographs of the existing residence as of the end of August.

MR. CALIL, SENIOR: This year.

MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Of this year. This is after shoring had already commenced prior to the hurricane, and they also started to reinforce prior to the hurricane. More pictures of the same.

And here we have the original set, or the original plans in the City of Coral Gables of the 1926 house, which was actually constructed as a mirror image of the original set of plans.

And again, our intent is to actually reconstruct the, at least the contour of the residence to reflect what was originally there in 1926.

This is the original demolition plan that we submitted to the City of Coral Gables. For emphasis, we You could see that everything upwards of where the chimney is was demolished.

This is the site plan reflecting the same thing existing prior to demolition, and this is a new plan that we made existing today.

Page 95

Page 96

These are the original permit set of plans. However, we did highlight in a gray, in a gray color in the center the areas that are to be rebuilt.

Moving forward, we did the same with the floor plan. That entire area is to be rebuilt.

MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Yeah. I would like -- yeah. Let me add a couple of things.

MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Yeah, one of the things that I would also like to add is that the floor of that area was always intended to be rebuilt from the beginning, and the areas of the residence that had a flat roof were intended to be rebuilt completely.

MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Right.

MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Okay. The roof plan showing, again, the gray hatches, everything that just needs to be rebuilt regardless of whether it was to be demolished or not

And here, well, again, this is part of the original permit set. However, I'm going to skip ahead to the last couple of pages right now, so these sheets are

Page 94

highlighted certain areas. In orange we highlighted the areas that are to be demolished, and in green are the areas that that are to remain.

You can see that a significant portion to the north was going to be demolished, including an addition of a storage area up top. Lots of areas to the east were going to be demolished in order to reflect what was originally designed in 1926, which I don't know if you could see the pointer, point it up, but just go upwards of where I'm pointing there.

You see the, like a little wall and then the orange wall going vertical, that's where the original 1926 house would then break and go upward up to where you see those two green walls on the right side right there, there's a little hip roof right there -- not a hip roof; a shed --

MR. CALIL, SENIOR: A gable, a gable.

MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: -- a shed, a shed tiled roof on top. That portion was to remain.

However, all of that was demolished, and

However, all of that was demolished, and again, we intend to rebuild that portion.

This is the original existing plan as it was when we initially submitted for permitting. This is the same before the roof plan, and here we have the existing conditions as they are today.

new

These, we created these sheets in order to emphasize, to put an emphasis on the historic residence while also outlining the new addition in dashed lines around the historic residence.

So one thing that if you look at the plans in front of you, it's probably a lot easier than seeing it here, you'll notice that the openings in the west and east facades and that of the north rear facade were always intended to be redesigned with new openings to reflect the redesign.

The front facade of the original 1926 house is intended to be preserved to reflect the design of the original 1926 residence. The front facade, an emphasis was again that the front facade remains to this day.

We intend on preserving the original finishes, fenestrations, railings, chimney and pre-cast molding on that facade.

Again, I'm going to emphasize that the intent of this presentation is to rebuild the areas that were unintentionally demolished in order to reflect the design intent of the originally-approved permit set of plans.

MR. CALIL, SENIOR: That's about it.
 MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: That's it.
 MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Yeah.

	Page 97		Page 99
1	MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Thank you.	1	MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: That was the one interior
2	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: I mean, like I said, I	2	condition that was
3	understand it's you know, this present you know,	3	MS. SPAIN: interior condition
4	what we've done is pretty quick for all the materials that	4	MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Yeah.
5	we have presented, so I would, you know, definitely, you	5	MS. SPAIN: they were building a wall.
6	know, if you have any questions for us to clarify.	6	MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Right.
7	MR. GARCIA-PONS: I have one question.	7	MS. SPAIN: inside the historic wall so
8	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Yes.	8	that it would
9	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is there anything different	9	MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: There were abutting wall
10	today than what was approved in the 2017 certificate of	10	conditions, but the wall no longer exists, so.
11	appropriateness?	11	MR. GARCIA-PONS: So the answer was yes?
12	MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Oh, on the part of the	12	MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Not part of the was
13	certificate of appropriateness, no.	13	that part of the certificate of appropriateness?
14	MR. GARCIA-PONS: No.	14	MS. SPAIN: Yes.
15	MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: There's nothing	15	MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Yes?
16	different.	16	MS. SPAIN: That was part of the, that was
17	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Thank you.	17	part of the plans that you submitted and that they
18	MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: No problem.	18	approved.
19	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: That's correct, right,	19	MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Then yes. Sorry. Thank
20	Dona?	20	you.
21	MS. SPAIN: As far as I know.	21	MR. EHRENHAFT: So if I may interrupt, so
22	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Right.	22	what I understand is that as an initial phase, what you're
23	MS. SPAIN: I will check the drawings.	23	going to do is take the original plans from the house to
24	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No, no, no, no, as far as	24	the extent that they can be
25	the drawings, yeah, I mean other than besides we're	25	MS. SPAIN: Well, they've redone them now.
	Page 98		Page 100
1	rebuilding the house as	1	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Yes.
2	MS. SPAIN: They just want to start so they	2	MS. SPAIN: These are going to go through the
3	can finish what they got the approval for.	3	permit process
4	MR. GARCIA-PONS: I just wanted to hear it.	4	MR. EHRENHAFT: Right.
5	MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Yeah, that's proper.	5	MS. SPAIN: so they have those new areas
6	MS. SPAIN: We want to make sure that the	6	included.
7	drawings were accurate going forward.	7	MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. I'm not talking about
8	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: We've met, you know, a	8	the new areas, though. I'm talking about the historic
9	couple of times	9	structure itself
10	MS. SPAIN: Yes, we've met a couple of times.	10	MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Yes.
11	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: with the historical	11	MR. EHRENHAFT: that was to remain.
12	board and this caused the entire	12	MS. SPAIN: Yes.
13	MR. MENENDEZ: So did this go back to the	13	MR. EHRENHAFT: So your intention is to
14	board of architects as well?	14	rebuild that entire historic structure, including the
15	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: It did.	15	entirety of the tile roof, everything, the entire
16	MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Yes.	16	envelope.
17	MR. MENENDEZ: Okay.	17	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Correct.
18	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.	18	MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Exactly as it was
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So this is just a	19	supposed to be, the envelope as it was.
20	clarification of what they were going to do to begin with?	20	MS. SPAIN: But the existing structure that's
21	MS. SPAIN: That's right.	21	there now will remain.
	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Correct.	22	MR. EHRENHAFT: No, I understand that.
22			MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Right.
22	MS. SPAIN: But it needs to be accurate	23	MR. CALIL, SENIOR. Right.
	MS. SPAIN: But it needs to be accurate – well, there is a couple of differences because it was a	23	_
23			MR. EHRENHAFT: But you're going to MR. RODRIGUEZ: There's not much there.

	Page 101		Page 103
1	MR. EHRENHAFT: So I'm concerned that the	1	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Right, and approve it.
2	reconstruction be as close in method and style as possible	2	MS. SPAIN: so they get the texture right.
3	to the original.	3	MR. MENENDEZ: To approve it, okay.
4	Now, I understand that, you know, if you've	4	MS. SPAIN: Correct.
5	got you don't have original stucco, it's not going to	5	MR. EHRENHAFT: Now, that's for the
6	match, but, but I would hope that you are using methods	6	reconstruction
7	for that reconstruction that are not cutting corners and	7	MS. SPAIN: Yes.
8	using, you know, metal over plastic beads and	8	MR. EHRENHAFT: of the demolished.
9	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: And beads, et cetera,	9	MS. SPAIN: But we also do it with the new
10	yes.	10	addition because I think it's supposed to be
11	MR. EHRENHAFT: In other words, old-style	11	differentiated, and so we go out and we approve that also.
12	work	12	Actually Kara will do that.
13	MS. SPAIN: Yes, and we've discussed that.	13	MR. EHRENHAFT: But the new stuccoing could
14	MR. EHRENHAFT: the way it had been done	14	mimic what the original stuccoing was. Is that correct?
15	in the first instance, at least for the historic portion.	15	MR. MENENDEZ: It should be different,
16	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Correct.	16	shouldn't it?
17	MS. SPAIN: And we've discussed that with	17	MS. SPAIN: On the addition, typically it's
18	them.	18	slightly different
19	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.	19	MR. MENENDEZ: Right.
20	MS. SPAIN: And that's the intent, and they	20	MS. SPAIN: on the new addition, but on
21	know that if there's any question, they can call us.	21	that portion of the historic home that they demolished, I
22	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Exactly.	22	believe it should match what was there originally.
23	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.	23	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Right. I think that's what
24	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Now, do understand that	24	the chair was asking.
25	we do have, you know, on the proposed approved originals	25	MR. EHRENHAFT: That's what I was asking,
		_	
	Page 102		
	<u> </u>		Page 104
1	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly	1	yes.
2	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not	2	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written
2	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east	2 3	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with
2 3 4	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions?	2 3 4	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished
2 3 4 5	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah,	2 3 4 5	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a
2 3 4 5 6	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to	2 3 4 5 6	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion.
2 3 4 5 6 7	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to MS. SPAIN: Oh, yes, yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I'm clarifying it now,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to MS. SPAIN: Oh, yes, yes, yes. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: knock down part and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I'm clarifying it now, I'm clarifying whether I wrote it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to MS. SPAIN: Oh, yes, yes, yes. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: knock down part and redo it. That has to be re-stuccoed no matter what.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I'm clarifying it now, I'm clarifying whether I wrote it. MR. MENENDEZ: It should state it on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to MS. SPAIN: Oh, yes, yes, yes. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: knock down part and redo it. That has to be re-stuccoed no matter what. MR. EHRENHAFT: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I'm clarifying it now, I'm clarifying whether I wrote it. MR. MENENDEZ: It should state it on the drawings.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to MS. SPAIN: Oh, yes, yes, yes. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: knock down part and redo it. That has to be re-stuccoed no matter what. MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: I mean, so that was the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I'm clarifying it now, I'm clarifying whether I wrote it. MR. MENENDEZ: It should state it on the drawings. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I put textured stucco
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to MS. SPAIN: Oh, yes, yes, yes. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: knock down part and redo it. That has to be re-stuccoed no matter what. MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: I mean, so that was the original.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I'm clarifying it now, I'm clarifying whether I wrote it. MR. MENENDEZ: It should state it on the drawings. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I put textured stucco finish, but however I should have put textured stucco
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to MS. SPAIN: Oh, yes, yes, yes. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: knock down part and redo it. That has to be re-stuccoed no matter what. MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: I mean, so that was the original. MR. MENENDEZ: But that would be new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I'm clarifying it now, I'm clarifying whether I wrote it. MR. MENENDEZ: It should state it on the drawings. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I put textured stucco finish, but however I should have put textured stucco finish to match existing residence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to MS. SPAIN: Oh, yes, yes, yes. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: knock down part and redo it. That has to be re-stuccoed no matter what. MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: I mean, so that was the original. MR. MENENDEZ: But that would be new construction anyway.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I'm clarifying it now, I'm clarifying whether I wrote it. MR. MENENDEZ: It should state it on the drawings. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I put textured stucco finish, but however I should have put textured stucco finish to match existing residence. MR. MENENDEZ: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to MS. SPAIN: Oh, yes, yes, yes. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: knock down part and redo it. That has to be re-stuccoed no matter what. MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: I mean, so that was the original. MR. MENENDEZ: But that would be new construction anyway. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: It's actually, before we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I'm clarifying it now, I'm clarifying whether I wrote it. MR. MENENDEZ: It should state it on the drawings. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I put textured stucco finish, but however I should have put textured stucco finish to match existing residence. MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: The rest — the new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to MS. SPAIN: Oh, yes, yes, yes. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: knock down part and redo it. That has to be re-stuccoed no matter what. MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: I mean, so that was the original. MR. MENENDEZ: But that would be new construction anyway. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: It's actually, before we need to restore it also, a portion of the house, that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I'm clarifying it now, I'm clarifying whether I wrote it. MR. MENENDEZ: It should state it on the drawings. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I put textured stucco finish, but however I should have put textured stucco finish to match existing residence. MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: The rest — the new addition is smooth stucco finish —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to MS. SPAIN: Oh, yes, yes, yes. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: knock down part and redo it. That has to be re-stuccoed no matter what. MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: I mean, so that was the original. MR. MENENDEZ: But that would be new construction anyway. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: It's actually, before we need to restore it also, a portion of the house, that was actually added upon, so we actually had to actually, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I'm clarifying it now, I'm clarifying whether I wrote it. MR. MENENDEZ: It should state it on the drawings. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I put textured stucco finish, but however I should have put textured stucco finish to match existing residence. MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: The rest the new addition is smooth stucco finish MS. SPAIN: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to MS. SPAIN: Oh, yes, yes, yes. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: knock down part and redo it. That has to be re-stuccoed no matter what. MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: I mean, so that was the original. MR. MENENDEZ: But that would be new construction anyway. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: It's actually, before we need to restore it also, a portion of the house, that was actually added upon, so we actually had to actually, you know, stucco details, et cetera, as he's saying, so the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I'm clarifying it now, I'm clarifying whether I wrote it. MR. MENENDEZ: It should state it on the drawings. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I put textured stucco finish, but however I should have put textured stucco finish to match existing residence. MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: The rest — the new addition is smooth stucco finish — MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: — just to show that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to MS. SPAIN: Oh, yes, yes, yes. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: knock down part and redo it. That has to be re-stuccoed no matter what. MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: I mean, so that was the original. MR. MENENDEZ: But that would be new construction anyway. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: It's actually, before we need to restore it also, a portion of the house, that was actually added upon, so we actually had to actually, you know, stucco details, et cetera, as he's saying, so the intention is, yes, it's to do it specifically like or very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I'm clarifying it now, I'm clarifying whether I wrote it. MR. MENENDEZ: It should state it on the drawings. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I put textured stucco finish, but however I should have put textured stucco finish to match existing residence. MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: The rest — the new addition is smooth stucco finish — MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: — just to show that clarification.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to MS. SPAIN: Oh, yes, yes, yes. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: knock down part and redo it. That has to be re-stuccoed no matter what. MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: I mean, so that was the original. MR. MENENDEZ: But that would be new construction anyway. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: It's actually, before we need to restore it also, a portion of the house, that was actually added upon, so we actually had to actually, you know, stucco details, et cetera, as he's saying, so the intention is, yes, it's to do it specifically like or very close to what it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I'm clarifying it now, I'm clarifying whether I wrote it. MR. MENENDEZ: It should state it on the drawings. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I put textured stucco finish, but however I should have put textured stucco finish to match existing residence. MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: The rest — the new addition is smooth stucco finish — MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: — just to show that clarification. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to MS. SPAIN: Oh, yes, yes, yes. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: knock down part and redo it. That has to be re-stuccoed no matter what. MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: I mean, so that was the original. MR. MENENDEZ: But that would be new construction anyway. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: It's actually, before we need to restore it also, a portion of the house, that was actually added upon, so we actually had to actually, you know, stucco details, et cetera, as he's saying, so the intention is, yes, it's to do it specifically like or very close to what it was MS. SPAIN: And on stucco, we have become	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I'm clarifying it now, I'm clarifying whether I wrote it. MR. MENENDEZ: It should state it on the drawings. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I put textured stucco finish, but however I should have put textured stucco finish to match existing residence. MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: The rest — the new addition is smooth stucco finish — MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: — just to show that clarification. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Right. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Right. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to MS. SPAIN: Oh, yes, yes, yes. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: knock down part and redo it. That has to be re-stuccoed no matter what. MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: I mean, so that was the original. MR. MENENDEZ: But that would be new construction anyway. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: It's actually, before we need to restore it also, a portion of the house, that was actually added upon, so we actually had to actually, you know, stucco details, et cetera, as he's saying, so the intention is, yes, it's to do it specifically like or very close to what it was MS. SPAIN: And on stucco, we have become fanatics, so we would ask them to do it on a board.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I'm clarifying it now, I'm clarifying whether I wrote it. MR. MENENDEZ: It should state it on the drawings. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I put textured stucco finish, but however I should have put textured stucco finish to match existing residence. MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: The rest — the new addition is smooth stucco finish — MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: — just to show that clarification. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Right. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Bit we will gladly put,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to MS. SPAIN: Oh, yes, yes, yes. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: knock down part and redo it. That has to be re-stuccoed no matter what. MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: I mean, so that was the original. MR. MENENDEZ: But that would be new construction anyway. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: It's actually, before we need to restore it also, a portion of the house, that was actually added upon, so we actually had to actually, you know, stucco details, et cetera, as he's saying, so the intention is, yes, it's to do it specifically like or very close to what it was MS. SPAIN: And on stucco, we have become fanatics, so we would ask them to do it on a board. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Right, like a test.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I'm clarifying it now, I'm clarifying whether I wrote it. MR. MENENDEZ: It should state it on the drawings. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I put textured stucco finish, but however I should have put textured stucco finish to match existing residence. MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: The rest — the new addition is smooth stucco finish — MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: — just to show that clarification. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Right. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: But we will gladly put, "To match existing residence."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that there were new fenestrations, et cetera, so possibly the entire you know, most of the walls, especially, not on the original facade, but the east MS. SPAIN: On the additions? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: and south and yeah, and also on the rebuilding, remember, some we had to MS. SPAIN: Oh, yes, yes, yes. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: knock down part and redo it. That has to be re-stuccoed no matter what. MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: I mean, so that was the original. MR. MENENDEZ: But that would be new construction anyway. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: It's actually, before we need to restore it also, a portion of the house, that was actually added upon, so we actually had to actually, you know, stucco details, et cetera, as he's saying, so the intention is, yes, it's to do it specifically like or very close to what it was MS. SPAIN: And on stucco, we have become fanatics, so we would ask them to do it on a board.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that somehow written somewhere? Or I just want to make sure, particularly with the area that was mistakenly demolished — MS. SPAIN: You might want to put that in a motion. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I'm clarifying it now, I'm clarifying whether I wrote it. MR. MENENDEZ: It should state it on the drawings. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: I put textured stucco finish, but however I should have put textured stucco finish to match existing residence. MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: The rest — the new addition is smooth stucco finish — MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: — just to show that clarification. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Right. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Bit we will gladly put,

	Page 105		Page 107
1	question.	1	residence to best of our knowledge, based on the plan and
2	So is the reconstruction of the historic	2	based on what we had.
3	portion going to go forward first and then thereafter the	3	MS. BACHE-WIIG: You were working within that
4	other, or	4	envelope for the new
5	MS. SPAIN: I don't think it matters. I	5	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Correct.
6	would imagine they would do it whatever is easier for you	6	MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: That's correct.
7	all, you know, so if you get the block guy out there, you	7	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Is there anybody else
8	only get to do the block. It doesn't matter to us.	8	other than the two of you here to speak? Okay.
9	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Well, the problem at this	9	MR. MENENDEZ: I move to approve.
10	time, it's red tagged, so you know, they cannot, the	10	MR. DURANA: I second.
11	contractor cannot continue building until we are approved	11	MR. GARCIA-PONS: I'd like to make a
12	here, so there's nothing going on.	12	recommendation to that approval, that the architect
13	MR. EHRENHAFT: I would just want to make	13	include that the portion of the home that was demolished
14	sure that you don't go down a path where then you have to	14	erroneously have a textured stucco finish to match the
15	back up again. You know? So okay.	15	existing residence. Does the mover accept that?
16	MS. THOMSON: But weren't there some design	16	MR. MENENDEZ: That's fine.
17	changes to the new addition that there's something	17	MR. DURANA: I second.
18	Venny was talking about last time that there's some little	18	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. If there's no further
19	changes that now they would make. No?	19	discussion, may we call the roll, please?
20	MS. SPAIN: I don't know about changes.	20	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr.
21	MR. GARCIA-PONS: That was the question we	21	Garcia-Pons?
22	asked, and the answer is no.	22	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes.
23	MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No, we have not done	23	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Rodriguez?
24	changes.	24	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
25	MS. THOMSON: Okay. We've got your answer,	25	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson?
	Page 106		Page 108
1	Page 106	1	Page 108 MS. THOMSON: Yes.
	no.	1 2	
1		1	MS. THOMSON: Yes.
1 2	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met	2	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas?
1 2 3	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and	2 3	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes.
1 2 3 4	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and MS. THOMSON: I just remember MS. SPAIN: Yes, we keep meeting.	2 3 4	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana?
1 2 3 4 5	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and MS. THOMSON: I just remember	2 3 4 5	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and MS. THOMSON: I just remember MS. SPAIN: Yes, we keep meeting. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: to make sure that there are no changes.	2 3 4 5	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss
1 2 3 4 5 6	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and MS. THOMSON: I just remember MS. SPAIN: Yes, we keep meeting. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: to make sure that	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and MS. THOMSON: I just remember MS. SPAIN: Yes, we keep meeting. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: to make sure that there are no changes. MR. MENENDEZ: Anything else?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and MS. THOMSON: I just remember MS. SPAIN: Yes, we keep meeting. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: to make sure that there are no changes. MR. MENENDEZ: Anything else? MS. BACHE-WIIG: So the original proposed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and MS. THOMSON: I just remember MS. SPAIN: Yes, we keep meeting. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: to make sure that there are no changes. MR. MENENDEZ: Anything else? MS. BACHE-WIIG: So the original proposed design, maintain the original house, and there were just.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez? MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and MS. THOMSON: I just remember MS. SPAIN: Yes, we keep meeting. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: to make sure that there are no changes. MR. MENENDEZ: Anything else? MS. BACHE-WIIG: So the original proposed design, maintain the original house, and there were just. you're going to do interior modifications, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez? MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and MS. THOMSON: I just remember MS. SPAIN: Yes, we keep meeting. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: to make sure that there are no changes. MR. MENENDEZ: Anything else? MS. BACHE-WIIG: So the original proposed design, maintain the original house, and there were just. you're going to do interior modifications, right? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: And a large addition as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez? MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and MS. THOMSON: I just remember MS. SPAIN: Yes, we keep meeting. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: to make sure that there are no changes. MR. MENENDEZ: Anything else? MS. BACHE-WIIG: So the original proposed design, maintain the original house, and there were just. you're going to do interior modifications, right? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: And a large addition as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez? MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Thank you very much.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and MS. THOMSON: I just remember MS. SPAIN: Yes, we keep meeting. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: to make sure that there are no changes. MR. MENENDEZ: Anything else? MS. BACHE-WIIG: So the original proposed design, maintain the original house, and there were just. you're going to do interior modifications, right? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: And a large addition as well. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Right, and then that's what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez? MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Thank you very much. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you, thank you very
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and MS. THOMSON: I just remember MS. SPAIN: Yes, we keep meeting. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: to make sure that there are no changes. MR. MENENDEZ: Anything else? MS. BACHE-WIIG: So the original proposed design, maintain the original house, and there were just. you're going to do interior modifications, right? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: And a large addition as well. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Right, and then that's what got mistakenly demolished.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez? MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Thank you very much. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you, thank you very much. Thank you. I know you worked very hard.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and MS. THOMSON: I just remember MS. SPAIN: Yes, we keep meeting. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: to make sure that there are no changes. MR. MENENDEZ: Anything else? MS. BACHE-WIIG: So the original proposed design, maintain the original house, and there were just. you're going to do interior modifications, right? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: And a large addition as well. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Right, and then that's what got mistakenly demolished. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: The portion of the north	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez? MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Thank you very much. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you, thank you very much. Thank you. I know you worked very hard. MR. GARCIA-PONS: I have a question for
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and — MS. THOMSON: I just remember — MS. SPAIN: Yes, we keep meeting. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: — to make sure that there are no changes. MR. MENENDEZ: Anything else? MS. BACHE-WIIG: So the original proposed design, maintain the original house, and there were just. you're going to do interior modifications, right? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: And a large addition as well. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Right, and then that's what got mistakenly demolished. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: The portion of the north side of the house.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez? MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Thank you very much. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you, thank you very much. Thank you. I know you worked very hard. MR. GARCIA-PONS: I have a question for staff. Can I ask that now, or should I wait till new
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and MS. THOMSON: I just remember MS. SPAIN: Yes, we keep meeting. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: to make sure that there are no changes. MR. MENENDEZ: Anything else? MS. BACHE-WIIG: So the original proposed design, maintain the original house, and there were just. you're going to do interior modifications, right? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: And a large addition as well. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Right, and then that's what got mistakenly demolished. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: The portion of the north side of the house. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Yeah, because the north side had new fenestrations, new openings. There was it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez? MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Thank you very much. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you, thank you very much. Thank you. I know you worked very hard. MR. GARCIA-PONS: I have a question for staff. Can I ask that now, or should I wait till new business?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and MS. THOMSON: I just remember MS. SPAIN: Yes, we keep meeting. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: to make sure that there are no changes. MR. MENENDEZ: Anything else? MS. BACHE-WIIG: So the original proposed design, maintain the original house, and there were just. you're going to do interior modifications, right? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: And a large addition as well. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Right, and then that's what got mistakenly demolished. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: The portion of the north side of the house. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Yeah, because the north	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez? MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Thank you very much. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you, thank you very much. Thank you. I know you worked very hard. MR. GARCIA-PONS: I have a question for staff. Can I ask that now, or should I wait till new business? MR. EHRENHAFT: Please, please do.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and MS. THOMSON: I just remember MS. SPAIN: Yes, we keep meeting. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: to make sure that there are no changes. MR. MENENDEZ: Anything else? MS. BACHE-WIIG: So the original proposed design, maintain the original house, and there were just. you're going to do interior modifications, right? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: And a large addition as well. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Right, and then that's what got mistakenly demolished. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: The portion of the north side of the house. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Yeah, because the north side had new fenestrations, new openings. There was it was blocked up by a storage addition that they did sometime in the '50s, I believe, and we were just opening	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez? MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Thank you very much. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you, thank you very much. Thank you. I know you worked very hard. MR. GARCIA-PONS: I have a question for staff. Can I ask that now, or should I wait till new business? MR. EHRENHAFT: Please, please do. MR. GARCIA-PONS: So related to this
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and MS. THOMSON: I just remember MS. SPAIN: Yes, we keep meeting. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: to make sure that there are no changes. MR. MENENDEZ: Anything else? MS. BACHE-WIIG: So the original proposed design, maintain the original house, and there were just. you're going to do interior modifications, right? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: And a large addition as well. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Right, and then that's what got mistakenly demolished. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: The portion of the north side of the house. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Yeah, because the north side had new fenestrations, new openings. There was it was blocked up by a storage addition that they did sometime in the '50s, I believe, and we were just opening up again to work with that area, was done to work with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez? MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Thank you very much. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you, thank you very much. Thank you. I know you worked very hard. MR. GARCIA-PONS: I have a question for staff. Can I ask that now, or should I wait till new business? MR. EHRENHAFT: Please, please do. MR. GARCIA-PONS: So related to this application, I know that the last meeting I asked if there
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	no. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: No. We actually met twice and MS. THOMSON: I just remember MS. SPAIN: Yes, we keep meeting. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: to make sure that there are no changes. MR. MENENDEZ: Anything else? MS. BACHE-WIIG: So the original proposed design, maintain the original house, and there were just. you're going to do interior modifications, right? MR. CALIL, SENIOR: And a large addition as well. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Right, and then that's what got mistakenly demolished. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: The portion of the north side of the house. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Yeah, because the north side had new fenestrations, new openings. There was it was blocked up by a storage addition that they did sometime in the '50s, I believe, and we were just opening	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. THOMSON: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? MR. SARDINAS: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez? MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. MR. CALIL, JUNIOR: Thank you very much. MR. CALIL, SENIOR: Thank you, thank you very much. Thank you. I know you worked very hard. MR. GARCIA-PONS: I have a question for staff. Can I ask that now, or should I wait till new business? MR. EHRENHAFT: Please, please do. MR. GARCIA-PONS: So related to this application, I know that the last meeting I asked if there was any word from your colleagues as to what we can do in

Page 109 Page 111 1 officers in the State of Florida and got varying the issuance of a special certificate of appropriateness 1 2 2 responses. for the property at 717 North Greenway Drive, a 3 I specifically asked whether something like 3 contributing resource within the Country Club of Coral 4 4 this could void a certificate of appropriateness, and no Gables Historic District, legally described as Lots 23 and 5 5 one answered that they did that. 24, Block 23, Coral Gables Section B, according to the 6 6 They were interesting in the code provisions plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book Five at Page 111 of 7 for if something like this happens, for the penalties 7 the public records of Miami-Dade County, Florida. 8 8 involved, and I've sent those e-mails to the city The application requests design approval for 9 9 an addition to the residence, alterations to the attorney's office and we're working on it. That's a 10 separate portion of the zoning code. That's not part of 10 residence, and auxiliary structure and site work. 11 the historic preservation ordinance. 11 MS. SPAIN: So I'm going to turn this over to 12 So I think that we're able to toughen it up a 12 the architect. Staff is recommending approval. 13 little bit, and we're having those discussions. That will 13 A motion to approve the design proposal for 14 the property located at 717 North Greenway Drive, a come back to you all. 14 15 15 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Great. Thank you. contributing resource within the Country Club of Coral 16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Just a comment: I went along 16 Gables Historic District, and the issuance of a special 17 17 with this because it's a proper thing to do, but this is certificate of appropriateness, and there are no 18 not the first time this same owner and home has been 18 conditions that are recommended by staff. 19 19 before us when there's been a mistake, and there have been MR. JAUREGUI: Good afternoon. My name is 20 two very serious mistakes and we've excused them. 20 Luis Jauregui. I'm with Eastshore Architects, 2727 21 And I'm just wondering what kind of mechanism 21 Salzedo Street in Coral Gables. Is this my presentation 2.2 do we have to protect against this happening, either 22 or yours? 23 another mistake by them or by someone else. 23 MS. SPAIN: Is this yours? Oh, okay. So 24 MS. THOMSON: Exactly. 24 this is a historic photograph from the 1940s. Here, this 25 25 MS. SPAIN: Well, that's what we're talking is yours. Right? Page 110 Page 112 1 with the city attorney's office on. 1 MR. JAUREGUI: Okay. Here we go. Okay, so 2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I mean, it really takes a lot 2 this is mine. All right. 3 of gall to come back after what they did before. 3 So in this first page here, we see various 4 MS. SPAIN: And I want to have that 4 pictures of the house from the Fischbaugh photograph which 5 discussion with code enforcement also, and I have had 5 is in the sepia tone to the 1940s photograph. 6 discussions with the city attorney's office about the code 6 This property is one of -- a very unique 7 7 enforcement issues. property that it's only been two owners, so this new 8 8 owner, Kathy Marlin, is the third owner for the property. In the past, if someone, if a contractor goes 9 9 beyond the scope of work and there's an existing open The first one was there for roughly 40 years 10 10 permit, they're not cited by code enforcement because they and the second one there for 50 years. 11 can go back to the city and correct it. 11 The house is amazing inside, and it's been 12 And my argument is they can't, because on a 12 kept, kept really well. Kathy is going to restore all the 13 historic property, the fabric is gone, and so we're having 13 existing windows which are very, very nice, and they have 14 that discussion and I think that will be remedied, so. 14 transoms on top that are stained glass. The windows that 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: And keep a tight eye on what 15 have been replaced with non-significant or inappropriate 16 they're doing. 16 single hung windows and jalousies and stuff like that, 17 17 MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. we're going to put more appropriate casement windows on 18 MS. SPAIN: I will keep a tight eye for the 18 the property. 19 19 So the original house and detached garage next two months. 20 MR. RODRIGUEZ: And then Kara can follow. 20 with dwelling unit above was built in 1927 by architect MS. SPAIN: And then Kara's eye will be on 21 21 H.B. Taylor. The principal building was added to in the 22 it, and hers is tougher than mine. All right. So the 22 rear which we will -- wait. I keep going to your 23 next, queue up, okay, 717 North Greenway. 23 photographs. 24 24 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. The next item is Case MS. KAUTZ: Go right, right arrow. 25 Number -- Case File COA (SP) 2019-014, an application for 25 MR. JAUREGUI: So this is the location map of

the property. The principal building was added onto the rear which we will be removing. It's the section right there. If you look at the existing and demolished site plan, there's, where it says principal building, you see two little, two little jutting-out areas.

That is one story, and we'll remove it. You'll see it more clearly in the elevations.

We will also be removing the interiors that were chopped up with the advent of air-conditioning and the need for multiple bathrooms.

So inside the house -- well, let me get -- let me do the site plan first. So in the site plan, there's a principal building which basically the main core, we're keeping. The one story addition in the back is being removed.

There's a series of walls. There was a screen enclosure and a swimming pool that was added onto, and there's the original three-car detached garage with dwelling unit on top that throughout the years got a bunch of variances, and they added this very long covered porch or breezeway and put a screen enclosure on top of it.

So we're not going to add any square foot to the accessory building at all. What we're going to do is try to improve that so that it's a little more compatible and simpatico with the existing structure. Also the bathroom -- the house originally had only two bathrooms, one bathroom downstairs and one bathroom upstairs, and they took this two-story foyer entrance and they put a bathroom on the second floor covering the master.

So, so what we're going to do is we're going to eliminate the existing bathroom that's intertwined there with the grand staircase.

And then the room all the way to the right that has all the windows, it's — three of the windows have been blocked up, and we're going to recapture those.

It also has an amazing terrazzo floor with this border all around it, and luckily when they put the partitions there to make the closet and the dressing room, they didn't destroy that, so we're going to repurpose that to an upstairs family room for the family.

We're taking the far left bedroom and converting that into the master bath, and then on top of what's the kitchen and bathroom below, we're going to put closets and the master bedroom.

And that's the extent of the addition, so historically what we've done is we've taken the addition away from the corner so that that corner is expressed. We're going to use different motifs there, which I'll show you in the elevation.

Page 114

On the right where you see the proposed site plan, you see that we're adding a 1,200-square-foot wing to the house. On the first floor it's going to be a kitchen and a family room, and on the second floor it's going to be the master bedroom suite.

We're going to take the original three-car garage that's now a playroom and get back two of the garages, then relocate the playroom and the kitchen and the bar and the cabana that's there.

So here on the floor plan, this is the first floor plan, you see the section that's being removed which is the breakfast room, the kitchen, the mechanical room and the laundry.

Where you see the fireplace, to the right of the fireplace, there's this beautiful elliptical entrance into the dining room.

Well, on the left side, there's a same elliptical entrance into the dining room, but they needed to get air-conditioning in, so they closed that completely down, and they put a cupboard on there and put a pantry.

So we're going to -- and we've done some exploratory demolition, and that elliptical arch is in perfect shape. There's floor underneath, there's everything there, so we're going to use that as a gallery to expand into the new family room and kitchen.

Page 116

Page 115

Some of the pictures on the right there, you see the original stained glass, and then you see nonoriginal stained glass that's being removed which is the vase with the orchids on there.

Also an interesting thing is that the house has amazing iron work, and we're going to keep all the iron work and we're going to, you know, help it out, it's a little tired, and make it nice.

In the room over here that we're calling the living room, that room originally only had iron work and these big awnings on there, and it was obviously no air-conditioning. It has an amazing ceiling. You see it there in that middle photograph.

That was actually exposed and open air, so what we're going to do is we're going to remove the jalousie windows that are there and put storefront windows so that that wrought iron comes through and you can see it again from the street.

It needs to be air-conditioned, so we're going to try to make it feel more like it originally was, an open, an open room there.

This is the front elevation. On top you see that we're removing the awnings, the jalousie windows, and on the very upper, upper right, there's some single hung windows that are being removed, and we're replacing those

2.2

single hung windows with more appropriate casement windows, and then down below where the two arches are, that's where the storefronts are going, and the iron work is behind those windows. You can kind of see it there in that photograph.

2.2

Over here in this photograph you get to see the addition from the west side. This is the carport side, so basically it follows the roof line.

We are keeping the same pitch. We're using the same tile material. We're using the same proportion of windows that the house, the existing house has. Unfortunately I forgot to take off the sills in the addition. They'll go off so that there's no relationship to the original house.

And what we're doing is that the existing house has these very nice outlookers made out of wood, and they have a certain proportion and style, and what I'm doing is I'm going to put a cove molding underneath the eave that's the same depth and width but has nothing to do with the outlookers that are in the house, but just sort of to memorialize that and keep the house functioning together.

This is the rear elevation, so you can see up there the one story portion that's all hacked up. Right? It's been cut back. We're removing all of that.

wall between the garage and the bar function that was originally there and create a bar, and just move the playroom over to the right so that everything that was there is still there, but we're recapturing the garage.

Then to book-end that very long covered porch, what we're doing is we're adding a storage and a barbecue area, and the barbecue will have a chimney that comes through, and adding a gabled end where you see the four columns, and that's right in axis with the new swimming pool and the doors coming out of the dining room.

So now when you go to this house that's very formal and you look out the dining room, you'll be able to see straight through, and you'll have an empillade (phonetic), if you will, where you'll have some foreground, some mid-ground and some background.

The elevations of the accessory structures are -- in the top you see what's there. The back of it is a low four-foot wall, I want to say. I think that might have been the original property wall of the house, and then FP & L gave them the last five -- the five feet that they were having, so they added that.

The original garages have sliding glass doors now and an aluminum canopy which we're going to take off.

The dwelling unit on top luckily hasn't been touched, and we're just going to keep everything the way

Page 118

We're going to expose the windows that are in the dining room that were going through another room, so that will go out to a dining terrace, and the family room will also go out to that terrace also, and the house will be a little bit more formal.

A curious note, the porch on the left was a later addition, but it's very well done, so we're going to keep that.

This is the east side, so here you're seeing the addition on the other side, the two, the two doors from the family room, the kitchen windows, and then the master bedroom and closet windows all lining up together with the windows below, the doors below.

And now we get to the auxiliary building. So originally, as you can see, there was a three-car garage with a dwelling unit above. The three-car garage has gone through — that whole auxiliary building has gone through a lot of variances and permitting.

So they've converted the three-car garage into a playroom, a cabana bath, a kitchen, and then that bar, and that bar is just made out of pecky cypress wood. It's just sitting below the covered porch.

So that's all going to go away, and what we're going to do is, as you can see below, we're going to capture two of the garages. We are going to put a masonry Page 120

Page 119

it is. We are going to change out the windows there because the owner is looking for one space that's serviced by a generator and is totally hurricane proof since she's going to keep all of the windows in the house that are original. She wants to go there should a hurricane hit.

So down below you see, you see the new breezeway converted. On the right there's a massing of building with a window that anchors that. Then it's all open.

The gabled end that's going to be in line with the new pool, the two windows for the playroom, the memory of the garage, because we are recessing back the wall with the window there, and then the two, two garages on there.

And as you go around, you see on the right, that's not changing except that we're having to replace that stair and we're going to replicate it completely because it's falling apart.

And on the bottom you see where there's the lattice-work and the open, and the open breezeway, and what we're doing is adding a small storage room to it.

In the pictures you see the awning and all the walls that are around there.

This is the inside of the pictures. You see the inside of the existing garage, all pecky cypress, the

	Page 121		Page 123
,			
1	bar, and that's it. That's my presentation. You guys	1	This is a single family residence, and so what I'm reading
2	have any questions?	2	is you're putting two kitchens in.
3	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Go back to that last slide	3	MR. JAUREGUI: Oh, so this house has a
4	for a second.	4	Veteran's Card, and you know, there was a nanosecond in
5	MR. JAUREGUI: How do I do that? There we	5	Coral Gables' history after World War II where they
6	go.	6	allowed people to do that.
7	MR. GARCIA-PONS: The next one, please.	7	MS. THOMSON: Right.
8	MR. JAUREGUI: Yes.	8	MR. JAUREGUI: And this is one of those
9	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Sorry. Can I	9	Cases.
10	MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes, please, of course.	11	MS. SPAIN: So it's grandfathered in.
11 12	MR. GARCIA-PONS: The bottom is the new?	12	MR. JAUREGUI: Yeah.
13	MR. JAUREGUI: The bottom is the new.	13	MS. THOMSON: So this is existing. They're
	MR. GARCIA-PONS: And I think I misread in	14	not putting a new one in.
14	the plans. Is there a screen behind that wall between	15	MS. SPAIN: That's right.
15	those two blank openings?		MR. JAUREGUI: There's three kitchens in the
16	MR. JAUREGUI: No. There used to be a screen	16	house
17	enclosure. We're taking it down completely. That	17	MS. THOMSON: Okay.
18	MS. SPAIN: Like a pool enclosure.	18 19	Mr. JAUREGUI: the principal residence,
19	Mr. JAUREGUI: Like a pool enclosure, yeah. MR. GARCIA-PONS: The reason I ask is three		the one below, and the one above, but two were rentals.
20		20	MS. THOMSON: Okay.
21	slides back	21	MS. SPAIN: Yes, so that's and they're
22	MS. SPAIN: I think it's the other way.	22	very well documented when you start going back into the
23	MR. JAUREGUI: Yes.	23	permit history and you find those from the 1940s after the
24	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is that note, is that what	24	war, you know.
25	that note says on top on of where that little arch, the	25	MS. THOMSON: But don't they doesn't the
	Page 122		Page 124
1	little gable is?	1	grandfathering go away? Did they change ownership of the
2	MR. JAUREGUI: What does it say?	2	house?
3	MR. GARCIA-PONS: I think our set and the	3	MS. SPAIN: That does not go away.
4	reason I'm asking is I can't read the note here, but I	4	Mr. JAUREGUI: It doesn't go away.
5	think it says	5	MS. THOMSON: It doesn't go away?
6	MR. EHRENHAFT: On the bottom, on the	6	MR. MENENDEZ: It stays with the property.
7	bottom	7	MR. JAUREGUI: It stays with the property.
8	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Eight, and	8	MS. THOMSON: Okay.
9	reconfigure openings.	9	MS. SPAIN: Now, if it's removed, you can't
10	Mr. JAUREGUI: Oh, so what happens is that's	10	put it back.
11	an old-fashioned screen gutter type situation.	11	MS. THOMSON: Okay, got it. That's what I
12	So they used to make these gutters for the	12	was thinking.
13	water to come down and they would put a piece of wall like	13	MR. JAUREGUI: Exactly, exactly, and you pay
14	this so that the screen enclosure would attach to, so	14	two garbage and two fires, or three or whatever it is.
15	right now when you see, when you see in that upper	15	MS. SPAIN: This is a wonderful project. I
16	right-hand picture, there's beautiful rafters coming	16	think you need to convince the owner to apply for ad
17	through, but it's being hidden by that thing that would	17	valorem tax relief.
18	hold the old screen enclosure, and that's what's being	18	MR. JAUREGUI: She's going to do that.
19	removed.	19	MS. SPAIN: Because it absolutely will
20	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Thank you.	20	qualify, and you need to do the first part before you
21	MR. JAUREGUI: Yes.	21	start construction.
22	MS. THOMSON: Okay. I wanted to ask about	22	MS. MARLIN: You don't have to tell me.
23	the kitchen in the A2	23	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.
24	MR. JAUREGUI: Which one?	24	MR. GARCIA-PONS: You need to open it up to
25	MS. THOMSON: A2 03. Exactly, which one?	25	the public.
			*

	Page 125		Page 127
1	MR. EHRENHAFT: There's no further discussion.	1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
2	Would you like to speak?	2	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana?
3	MS. MARLIN: I guess. Hi, I'm Kathy Marlin.	3	MR. DURANA: Yes.
4	Hi, I'm Kathy Marlin, the homeowner. My husband and I	4	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson?
5	love historic houses, and this is the third one for us and	5	MS. THOMSON: Yes.
6	this is our forever home. It's a house that, growing up	6	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez?
7	here, I used to pass all the time, and I've always loved	7	MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
8	it from afar, and that the fact it has come our way is	8	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas?
9	really, it's amazing.	9	MR. SARDINAS: Yes.
10	And so we're happy to bring her back to life	10	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
11	and we're very excited.	11	MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
12	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Thank you.	12	MS. SPAIN: Thank you, very nice project.
13	MR. EHRENHAFT: Thank you.	13	MS. THOMSON: Beautiful, love it.
14	MS. THOMSON: Great. Thank you.	14	MS. SPAIN: Okay. If you can queue up the
15	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Any further discussion	15	all right.
16	from the dais? Okay.	16	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Next item on the
17	MS. THOMSON: No.	17	agenda is Transfer of Development Rights. We have both a
18	MR. EHRENHAFT: The chair will entertain a	18	sending site and a receiving site, so it's Case Number
19	motion.	19	File Case File TDR 2019-001, consideration of the
20	MS. THOMSON: I move that we approve the	20	Transfer of Development Rights for the property at 348
21	design approval — the design proposal for this property	21	Miracle Mile, legally described as Lots 8 through 11,
22	and based and also considering staff recommendations,	22	Block One, Coral Gables Craft Section K, according to the
23	and the issuance of a special certificate of	23	plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book Ten, Page 40, of the
24	appropriateness.	24	public records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.
25	MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there a second?	25	The application requests approval of a
23	MR. EHREMEN 1. Is there a second.		The approximation requests approximation as
	D 106		
	Page 126		Page 128
1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it.	1	Page 128 maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the
1 2		1 2	
	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it.		maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the	2	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it?	2	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer.
2 3 4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded.	2 3 4	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different.
2 3 4 5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded.	2 3 4 5	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple
2 3 4 5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doesn't matter.	2 3 4 5 6	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple thing that the city put into place to help the historic
2 3 4 5 6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doesn't matter. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. May we call the	2 3 4 5 6 7	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple thing that the city put into place to help the historic property owners maintain their property, and the concept
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doesn't matter. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. May we call the roll, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple thing that the city put into place to help the historic property owners maintain their property, and the concept is if you have a historical property and it has to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doesn't matter. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. May we call the roll, please? MS. SPAIN: But who gets the second? We need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple thing that the city put into place to help the historic property owners maintain their property, and the concept is if you have a historical property — and it has to be in certain areas of the city, not for single family homes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doesn't matter. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. May we call the roll, please? MS. SPAIN: But who gets the second? We need to know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple thing that the city put into place to help the historic property owners maintain their property, and the concept is if you have a historical property and it has to be in certain areas of the city, not for single family homes. There are some apartment buildings on North Ponce that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doesn't matter. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. May we call the roll, please? MS. SPAIN: But who gets the second? We need to know. MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, I'm sorry. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple thing that the city put into place to help the historic property owners maintain their property, and the concept is if you have a historical property — and it has to be in certain areas of the city, not for single family homes. There are some apartment buildings on North Ponce that would qualify, but if you have a property that has a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doesn't matter. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. May we call the roll, please? MS. SPAIN: But who gets the second? We need to know. MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, I'm sorry. I think MR. SARDINAS: Raul was first I think.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple thing that the city put into place to help the historic property owners maintain their property, and the concept is if you have a historical property — and it has to be in certain areas of the city, not for single family homes. There are some apartment buildings on North Ponce that would qualify, but if you have a property that has a historic building on it, there are air rights.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doesn't matter. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. May we call the roll, please? MS. SPAIN: But who gets the second? We need to know. MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, I'm sorry. I think MR. SARDINAS: Raul was first I think. MR. EHRENHAFT: Raul was first. I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple thing that the city put into place to help the historic property owners maintain their property, and the concept is if you have a historical property — and it has to be in certain areas of the city, not for single family homes. There are some apartment buildings on North Ponce that would qualify, but if you have a property that has a historic building on it, there are air rights. Let's say that there's no building on it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doesn't matter. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. May we call the roll, please? MS. SPAIN: But who gets the second? We need to know. MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, I'm sorry. I think MR. SARDINAS: Raul was first I think. MR. EHRENHAFT: Raul was first. I'm sorry. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Now worries.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple thing that the city put into place to help the historic property owners maintain their property, and the concept is if you have a historical property and it has to be in certain areas of the city, not for single family homes. There are some apartment buildings on North Ponce that would qualify, but if you have a property that has a historic building on it, there are air rights. Let's say that there's no building on it. There are certain maximum amount that you can build on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doesn't matter. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. May we call the roll, please? MS. SPAIN: But who gets the second? We need to know. MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, I'm sorry. I think MR. SARDINAS: Raul was first I think. MR. EHRENHAFT: Raul was first. I'm sorry. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Now worries. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple thing that the city put into place to help the historic property owners maintain their property, and the concept is if you have a historical property and it has to be in certain areas of the city, not for single family homes. There are some apartment buildings on North Ponce that would qualify, but if you have a property that has a historic building on it, there are air rights. Let's say that there's no building on it. There are certain maximum amount that you can build on that property, including incentives, so if the existing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doesn't matter. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. May we call the roll, please? MS. SPAIN: But who gets the second? We need to know. MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, I'm sorry. I think MR. SARDINAS: Raul was first I think. MR. EHRENHAFT: Raul was first. I'm sorry. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Now worries. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: We can toss a coin.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple thing that the city put into place to help the historic property owners maintain their property, and the concept is if you have a historical property — and it has to be in certain areas of the city, not for single family homes. There are some apartment buildings on North Ponce that would qualify, but if you have a property that has a historic building on it, there are air rights. Let's say that there's no building on it. There are certain maximum amount that you can build on that property, including incentives, so if the existing historic property were to be able to be demolished, then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doesn't matter. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. May we call the roll, please? MS. SPAIN: But who gets the second? We need to know. MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, I'm sorry. I think MR. SARDINAS: Raul was first I think. MR. EHRENHAFT: Raul was first. I'm sorry. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Now worries. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: We can toss a coin. MR. EHRENHAFT: Yessie, could you call the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple thing that the city put into place to help the historic property owners maintain their property, and the concept is if you have a historical property — and it has to be in certain areas of the city, not for single family homes. There are some apartment buildings on North Ponce that would qualify, but if you have a property that has a historic building on it, there are air rights. Let's say that there's no building on it. There are certain maximum amount that you can build on that property, including incentives, so if the existing historic property were to be able to be demolished, then they could build this maximum FAR on the site.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doesn't matter. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. May we call the roll, please? MS. SPAIN: But who gets the second? We need to know. MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, I'm sorry. I think MR. SARDINAS: Raul was first I think. MR. EHRENHAFT: Raul was first. I'm sorry. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Now worries. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: We can toss a coin. MR. EHRENHAFT: Yessie, could you call the roll, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple thing that the city put into place to help the historic property owners maintain their property, and the concept is if you have a historical property — and it has to be in certain areas of the city, not for single family homes. There are some apartment buildings on North Ponce that would qualify, but if you have a property that has a historic building on it, there are air rights. Let's say that there's no building on it. There are certain maximum amount that you can build on that property, including incentives, so if the existing historic property were to be able to be demolished, then they could build this maximum FAR on the site. So what the TDR ordinance does, it takes into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doesn't matter. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. May we call the roll, please? MS. SPAIN: But who gets the second? We need to know. MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, I'm sorry. I think MR. SARDINAS: Raul was first I think. MR. EHRENHAFT: Raul was first. I'm sorry. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Now worries. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: We can toss a coin. MR. EHRENHAFT: Yessie, could you call the roll, please? THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple thing that the city put into place to help the historic property owners maintain their property, and the concept is if you have a historical property — and it has to be in certain areas of the city, not for single family homes. There are some apartment buildings on North Ponce that would qualify, but if you have a property that has a historic building on it, there are air rights. Let's say that there's no building on it. There are certain maximum amount that you can build on that property, including incentives, so if the existing historic property were to be able to be demolished, then they could build this maximum FAR on the site. So what the TDR ordinance does, it takes into consideration the size of the historic building. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doesn't matter. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. May we call the roll, please? MS. SPAIN: But who gets the second? We need to know. MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, I'm sorry. I think MR. SARDINAS: Raul was first I think. MR. EHRENHAFT: Raul was first I think. MR. EHRENHAFT: Now worries. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: We can toss a coin. MR. EHRENHAFT: Yessie, could you call the roll, please? THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple thing that the city put into place to help the historic property owners maintain their property, and the concept is if you have a historical property — and it has to be in certain areas of the city, not for single family homes. There are some apartment buildings on North Ponce that would qualify, but if you have a property that has a historic building on it, there are air rights. Let's say that there's no building on it. There are certain maximum amount that you can build on that property, including incentives, so if the existing historic property were to be able to be demolished, then they could build this maximum FAR on the site. So what the TDR ordinance does, it takes into consideration the size of the historic building. It subtracts that from the maximum amount that they could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doesn't matter. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. May we call the roll, please? MS. SPAIN: But who gets the second? We need to know. MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, I'm sorry. I think MR. SARDINAS: Raul was first I think. MR. EHRENHAFT: Raul was first. I'm sorry. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Now worries. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: We can toss a coin. MR. EHRENHAFT: Yessie, could you call the roll, please? THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple thing that the city put into place to help the historic property owners maintain their property, and the concept is if you have a historical property and it has to be in certain areas of the city, not for single family homes. There are some apartment buildings on North Ponce that would qualify, but if you have a property that has a historic building on it, there are air rights. Let's say that there's no building on it. There are certain maximum amount that you can build on that property, including incentives, so if the existing historic property were to be able to be demolished, then they could build this maximum FAR on the site. So what the TDR ordinance does, it takes into consideration the size of the historic building. It subtracts that from the maximum amount that they could build, and what you have left over are your air rights,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doesn't matter. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. May we call the roll, please? MS. SPAIN: But who gets the second? We need to know. MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, I'm sorry. I think MR. SARDINAS: Raul was first I think. MR. EHRENHAFT: Raul was first. I'm sorry. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Now worries. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: We can toss a coin. MR. EHRENHAFT: Yessie, could you call the roll, please? THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple thing that the city put into place to help the historic property owners maintain their property, and the concept is if you have a historical property and it has to be in certain areas of the city, not for single family homes. There are some apartment buildings on North Ponce that would qualify, but if you have a property that has a historic building on it, there are air rights. Let's say that there's no building on it. There are certain maximum amount that you can build on that property, including incentives, so if the existing historic property were to be able to be demolished, then they could build this maximum FAR on the site. So what the TDR ordinance does, it takes into consideration the size of the historic building. It subtracts that from the maximum amount that they could build, and what you have left over are your air rights, and they're able to sell them to a development.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second the MS. SPAIN: Did anyone second it? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. Two people seconded. MR. EHRENHAFT: Two people seconded. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Doesn't matter. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. May we call the roll, please? MS. SPAIN: But who gets the second? We need to know. MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, I'm sorry. I think MR. SARDINAS: Raul was first I think. MR. EHRENHAFT: Raul was first. I'm sorry. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Now worries. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: We can toss a coin. MR. EHRENHAFT: Yessie, could you call the roll, please? THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Bache-Wiig? MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Garcia-Pons?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	maintenance plan, authorization for the transfer of the unused development rights, and the issuance of Certificates of Transfer. MS. SPAIN: So this is a little different. The Transfer of Development Rights, it's a very simple thing that the city put into place to help the historic property owners maintain their property, and the concept is if you have a historical property — and it has to be in certain areas of the city, not for single family homes. There are some apartment buildings on North Ponce that would qualify, but if you have a property that has a historic building on it, there are air rights. Let's say that there's no building on it. There are certain maximum amount that you can build on that property, including incentives, so if the existing historic property were to be able to be demolished, then they could build this maximum FAR on the site. So what the TDR ordinance does, it takes into consideration the size of the historic building. It subtracts that from the maximum amount that they could build, and what you have left over are your air rights, and they're able to sell them to a development. And again, the development has to be within a

transferring of development rights, and let me get to -- and I included in your packet the portion of the code that applies to Transfer of Development Rights.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Quick question: Are these contiguous, 340 and 348?

MS. SPAIN: I have a map. Let me see. One of the properties -- there's two properties. One of the properties -- they were designated together. 348, 350 and 340 Miracle Mile are contiguous.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: They are contiguous?

MS. SPAIN: Yes, uh-huh. So as you know, Miracle Mile was not developed by George Merrick. It was developed by the Zains, George Zain and Rebyl Zain. They, after World War II, developed Miracle Mile.

And when the sending site was first designated, it was designated as two properties. It is now known as 348 Miracle Mile, so it's a little confusing, but that's what happened on that.

It was the intent of the Zains to have this strip of commercial properties to be modern, high-end boulevard. It was not their intent to have it be a Mediterranean street.

340 Miracle Mile was designed by Upton Ewing who was a notable local architect, and it's constructed on two lots. It's Art Deco/Moderne architectural style, and

this evening.

I had a pretty lengthy presentation, but I think you've had a long agenda and staff has done a great job.

Page 131

Just with me this evening is the property owner, Mr. Stephen Bittel, the chairman of Terranova, of Terranova; along with Mindy McIlroy, the president of Terranova and also the past president of the Coral Gables Business Improvement District; and Bernardo Fort-Brescia from Arquitectonica who has designed the project at 220 Miracle Mile site which is the old Starbucks location that I'm sure many of us have frequented in the past.

And we're here before you, as Dona said, for two items. One is to obtain your approval of the maintenance plan and the certificate of transfer for the 340 and 350 Miracle Mile projects.

We have engaged Richard Heisenbottle who is a well-known and well-respected historian and architect to prepare the maintenance plan.

The plan said that both buildings were in excellent condition, but there were a few items that needed to be addressed, and I'm happy to actually report that as of today, we've gone and addressed all those items, so all of the items that were mentioned in that report, we've gone ahead and fixed, so hopefully we'll --

Page 130

there is a maintenance plan that was done by Rich Heisenbottle that is in your packet; the same with 348 Miracle Mile that was also designed by Upton Ewing. Now they're known both as 348 Miracle Mile.

So the condition assessment report and maintenance plan that was done by Rich, it was dated August 13, 2009. It has a general conditions report and recommendations on how to maintain the properties. It's actually very well maintained.

What they're asking for is 7,509 square feet to be transferred. There was prior transfers in 2001 of 22,415 square feet, so they only have 7,509 feet left to be transferred to the new proposal.

And staff is recommending approval of the conditions assessment report and maintenance plan and approval of the issuance of a certificate of transfer of 7,509 square feet, and my recommendation, but I understand there is — they have something to say about it, but the recommendation to the city commission that no building permit for the receiving site at 220 Miracle Mile be issued until the remedial work that is in this report is completed. I'm going to turn it over to the applicant.

MR. NAVARRO: Good evening, Mr. Chair, board members. For the record, George Navarro, office at 333 Southeast Second Avenue. It's great to be here before you

Page 132

MR. NAVARRO: Yes. So we have an e-mail from Mr. Heisenbottle that we'd like to submit into the record saying he inspected the site today and all the work had been done, so we're very proud of.

MS. SPAIN: I'll check on that.

And we're also very proud for the building that we are constructing at 220 Miracle Mile. We feel it's going to be a great contribution not only to the businesses along Miracle Mile, it's going to bring a lot of pedestrian activity, a lot of visitors to enjoy all the shops, all the restaurants, and all entertainment venues.

We're asking to transfer 7,509 square feet from the two historically designated sites at 340 and 350 Miracle Mile over to the 220 Miracle Mile project.

This is going to be a seven-story hotel. It's a boutique hotel. It has ground floor retail, so we're activating the ground floor. It has some upper level amenity space and some ancillary commercial uses for the hotel.

The site fully complies with all the requirements for the transfer of TDRs. It's located within the CBD. The sending site has already been designated as historic in 2001, and the maintenance plan, we're in full compliance.

This project has gone through a very lengthy

review process. We still have one more stop which is city commission, but we've gene through DRC. This project was a vive unaminously approved by the board of architects as 4 to architectural design and for the Level One Mediterranean bosus. Mediterranean bosus. And I'm happy to say that as of last week, we wen before the planning and zoning board who also recommended approved of the project. We've worked very hard with your historic preservation officer. We have positive staff preservation officer, we have positive staff the the staff commendation, and we would ask that you please uphrald the staff commendation, and we would ask that you please uphrald the staff commendation and yero word certificates of transfers so that we can move forward with this project. Mr. RAVARRO: And the provest and the week and the provest and the staff commendation, and we would ask that you please uphrald the staff commendation, and we would ask that you please uphrald the staff commendation. And we would ask that you please uphrald the staff commendation, and we would ask that you please uphrald the staff commendation. And we would ask that you please uphrald the staff commendation. And we would ask that you please uphrald the staff commendation. And we would ask that you please uphrald the staff commendation. And we would ask that you please uphrald the staff commendation. And we would ask that you please uphrald the staff commendation. And we would ask that you please uphrald the staff commendation. And we would ask that you please uphrald the staff commendation. And we would ask that you please uphrald the staff commendation. And we would ask that you please uphrald the staff commendation. And we would ask that you please uphrald the staff commendation. And we would ask that you please uphrald the staff commendation. And we would ask that you please uphrald the staff commendation. And we would ask that you please uphrald the staff and we was a would we well ask that we well ask the please and the week that you was a well a		Page 133		Page 135
commission, but we've gone through DRC This project was to architectural design and for the Level One of the Level One of the Control of the Level One of the Control of the Level One of the Control of	1		1	
to incremanimously approved by the board of architects as to architectural design and for the Level One Mediterranean boans. And I'm happy to say that as of last week, we went before the planning and zoning board who also recommended approval of the project. We went before the planning and zoning board who also recommended approval of the project. We've worked very hard with your historic preservation officer. We have positive staff that stage the commendation and approve our certificates of transfers on that we cam move forward with this project. The set of the commendation and approve our certificates of transfers on that we cam move forward with this project. MR. S.PAIN: Yes, Lagnee. MR. RORRIGUEZ: I have a quick question. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Did you read them, did you read them both in? MR. FIRRENHAFT: No. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Did you read them, did you read them both in? MR. FIRRENHAFT: No. MR. FIRRENHAFT: No. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Okay, so — MR. FIRRENHAFT: No. MR. GARCIA-PONS: - we're only — MR. FIRRENHAFT: The maintenance plan and then approval approval — MR. FIRRENHAFT: — to the issuance of a certificate. MR. FIRRENHAFT: — to the issuance of a certificate. MR. FIRRENHAFT: — to the issuance of a certificate. MR. FIRRENHAFT: — to the issuance of a certificate. MR. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a huilding permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's comment of that unused development capacity. As opposed to a we're doing one item at a time, correct? MR. SPAIN: Type and the would be difficult to do. MR. SPAIN: Type voca can change that. I mean, I faish the wait		•		<u>-</u>
to architectural design and for the Level One Medierramen horaus. Medierramen horaus. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Well, and discussion. MR. SARCIA-PONS: Right. MR. NAVARRO: And discussion, yes. MR. NAVARRO: Because without the approval of conc, yee. MR. NAVARRO: Because without the approval of conc, yee. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a quick question. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a quick question. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Did you read them, did you read them both in? MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Olay, so — MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Olay, so — MR. GARCIA-PONS: Olay, so — MR. EHRENHAFT: The maintenance plan and then approval — MR. GARCIA-PONS: Olay, so — MR. EHRENHAFT: The maintenance plan and then approval — MR. EHRENHAFT: The maintenance plan and then approval — MR. EHRENHAFT: — to the issuance of a certificate. MR. SPAIN: Equity to the first in. MR. SPAIN: Equity to the first in. MR. SPAIN: Equity to the first in. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Olay, so — MR. EHRENHAFT: — to the issuance of a certificate. MR. EHRENHAFT: — to the issuance of a certificate. MR. EHRENHAFT: — to the issuance of a certificate. MR. SPAIN: Equity to the first in.				
5 Modiferranean bomes 6 And I'm happy to say that as of last week, we 7 went before the planning and zoning board who also 8 recommended approval of the project. 9 We've worked very hard with your historic 10 preservation officer. We have positive staff 11 recommendation, and we would ask that you please uphold 12 the staff recommendation and approve our certificates of 13 transfers of dat we can move forward with this project. 14 Our entire team is here to answer any 15 questions that you may have. 16 MS. SPAIN: I do have one e-mail that was 16 MS. SPAIN: I do have one e-mail that was 17 sent late today. 18 "Dear Preservation Board: Using TDRs to 18 alter the character of Miracle Mile is out of scale and 19 alter the character of Miracle Mile is out of scale and 20 the concept. The 220 Miracle Mile is out of scale and 21 lacks compatibility with the Mile. Please deep the 22 transfer." 23 And that from Dolly McIntyre, 409 Viscaya 24 Avenue. 25 MR. NAVARRO: And the process we're Page 134 1 following, just to kind of respond to that comment, is 1 there's been several TDR transfers already approved allong 1 the Mile, actually one from this site I believe as well. 3 MS. SPAIN: Yes. 4 MS. SPAIN: Yes. 5 MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's 5 MR. RODRIGUEZ: The sending property - MR. HERENHAFT: The maintenance plan and then 1 apply for a commendation and then 1 preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some 2 of that unused development capacity. As opposed to 2 putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, 3 we're doing one item at a time, correct? 11 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to 12 MR. ROBRIGUEZ: Otap, we could go up on it. 14 MS. SPAIN: Usu can change that. I mean, 15 MR. ROBRIGUEZ: Otap, we could go up on it. 16 MS. SPAIN: Ves, and that would be difficult 16 to do: 17 AMS. SPAIN: Ves, and that would be difficult 17 AMS. SPAIN: Usu can change that. I mean, 18 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to 19 MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that 19 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are w				• • •
And I'm happy to say that as of last week, we went before the planning and zoning board who also recommended approval of the project. We've worked very hard with your historic preservation officer. We have positive staff recommendation, and we would ask that you please uphold the staff recommendation and approve our certificates of transfers of the wear more forward with this project. Our entire team is here to answer any to read them to the staff recommendation and approve our certificates of transfers of that you may have. Mr. RONGRUEZ: I have a quick question. Mr. GARCIA-PONS: Did you read them, did you read them both in? The preservation Beard: Using TDRs to alter the character of Miracle Mile seems like a misuse of the concept. The 220 Miracle Mile seems like a misuse of lake compatibility with the Mile. Please deny the transfer. And that's from Dolly McIntyre, 409 Viscaya Avenue. Page 134 I following, just to kind of respond to that comment, is there's been several TDR transfers already approved along the Mile, actually one from this site I believe as well. Mr. S. PAIN: Yes. Page 134 I following, just to kind of respond to that comment, is of the Wiscay and the mile actually one from this site I believe as well. Mr. S. PAIN: Yes. Page 134 I following, just to kind of respond to that comment, is of the Wiscay and the mile actually one from this site I believe as well. Mr. S. PAIN: Yes. Page 134 I following, just to kind of respond to that comment, is of the Wiscay and the wiscay and the mile please deny the minerance plan and then approval — Mr. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? Mr. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? Mr. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? Mr. RODRIGUEZ: — if		_		·
went before the planning and zoning board who also recommended approval of the project. Recommended approval of the project. When worked very hard with your historic preservation officer. We have positive staff recommendation, and we would ask that you please uphold the staff recommendation and approve our certificates of transfer so that we can move forward with this project. Mr. ROPRIGUEZ: I have a quick question. Mr. ROPRIGUEZ: The sending the manning and then approval of the maintenance plan and then approval To the concept. The 220 Mr. ROPRIGUEZ: The sending property — M			6	·
8 recommended approval of the project. 10 preservation officer. We have positive staff 11 recommendation, and we would ask that you please uphold 11 the staff recommendation and propove our certificates of 12 the staff recommendation and propove our certificates of 13 transfer so that we can move forward with this project. 14 Our entire team is here to answer any 15 questions that you may have. 16 MS. SPAIN: I do have one e-mail that was 16 MS. SPAIN: I do have one e-mail that was 17 sent late today. 18 "Dear Preservation Board: Using TDRs to 19 alter the character of Miracle Mile is out of scale and 20 the concept. The 220 Miracle Mile is out of scale and 21 lacks compatibility with the Mile. Please deny the 22 transfer: 23 And that's from Dolly McIntyre, 409 Viscaya 24 Avenue. 25 MR. NAVARRO: And the process we're Page 134 1 following, just to kind of respond to that comment, is 2 there's been several TDR transfers already approved along 3 the Mile, actually one from this site I believe as well. 4 MS. SPAIN: Yes, I agree. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a quick question. 4 MR. EHRENHAFT: No. 4 MR. CARCIA-PONS: Did you read them, did you read	7	***	7	MR. NAVARRO: And discussion, yes.
We've worked very hard with your historic preservation officer. We have positive staff 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8		8	· •
preservation officer. We have positive staff recommendation, and we would ask that you please uphold the staff recommendation and approve our certificates of transfer so that we can move forward with this project. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a quick question. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Did you read them, did you read them both in? MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Okay, so - MR. GARCIA-PONS: Right And that's from Dolly McInityre, 409 Viscaya MR. RODRIGUEZ: The sending property - MR. EHRENHAFT: To the issuance of a certificate. Page 134 1 following, just to kind of respond to that comment, is there's been several TDR transfers already approved along there's been several TDR transfers already approved along there'	9	11 1	9	MS. SPAIN: Yes, I agree.
recommendation, and we would ask that you please uphold the staff recommendation and approve our certificates of 12 mr. AR. R. GARCIA-PONS: Did you read them, did you read them both in? The staff recommendation and approve our certificates of 12 mr. AR. GARCIA-PONS: Did you read them, did you read them both in? The staff recommendation and approve our certificates of 13 mr. GARCIA-PONS: Did you read them, did you read them both in? The staff recommendation and approve our certificates of 13 mr. GARCIA-PONS: Did you read them, did you read them both in? The staff recommendation and approve our certificates of 13 mr. GARCIA-PONS: Did you read them, did you read them both in? The staff recommendation and approve our certificates on 14 mr. GARCIA-PONS: Did you read them, did you read them both in? The staff recommendation and approve our read them both in? The staff recommendation and approve our read them both in? The staff recommendation and approve our read them both in? The staff recommendation and approve our read them both in? The staff recommendation and approve our read them both in? The staff recommendation and approve our read them both in? The staff recommendation and approve our read them both in? The staff recommendation and approve our read them both in? The staff recommendation and approve our read them both in? The staff recommendation and approve our read them both in? The staff recommendation and prevention by one and them and them are read them both in? The staff recommendation and prevention by one and them and them are read them both in? The staff recommendation and prevention by the first in. The staff recommendation and prevention by the first in. The staff recommendation and prevention by the first in. The staff recommendation and prevention by the first in. The staff recommendation and prevention by the first in. The staff recommendation and prevention by the first in. The staff recommendation and prevention by the first in. The staff recommendation and prevention b	10		10	MR. NAVARRO: Because without the approval of
the staff recommendation and approve our certificates of transfer so that we can move forward with this project. 4 Our entire team is here to answer any questions that you may have. 5 Questions that you may have. 16 MS. SPAIN: I do have one e-mail that was 17 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Okay, so 18 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Okay, so 19 alter the character of Miracle Mile seems like a misuse of the concept. The 220 Miracle Mile sout of scale and the character of Miracle Mile sout of scale and the character of Miracle Mile sout of scale and the character of Miracle Mile sout of scale and the character of Miracle Mile is out of scale and the character of Miracle Mile is out of scale and the character of Miracle Mile is out of scale and the character of Miracle Mile is out of scale and the character of Miracle Mile is out of scale and the character of Miracle Mile is out of scale and the character of Miracle Mile is out of scale and the character of Miracle Mile sout of scale and the character of Miracle Mile is out of scale and the character of Miracle Mile is out of scale and the character of Miracle Mile is out of scale and the character of Miracle Mile is out of scale and the character of Miracle Mile sout of scale and the character of Miracle Mile is out of scale and the character of Miracle Mile is out of scale and the character of Miracle Mile is out of scale and the character of Miracle Mile Mile Mile Mile Mile Mile Mile Mi	11		11	one, we
Our entire team is here to answer any questions that you may have. 15	12		12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a quick question.
15 questions that you may have. 16 MS, SPAIN: I do have one e-mail that was 17 sent late today. 18 "Dear Preservation Board: Using TDRs to 19 alter the character of Miracle Mile seems like a misuse of 20 the concept, The 220 Miracle Mile is out of scale and 21 lacks compatibility with the Mile. Please deny the 22 transfer." 23 And that's from Dolly McIntyre, 409 Viscaya 24 Avenue. 25 MR, NAVARRO: And the process we're 26 MR, NAVARRO: And the process we're 27 MR, EHRENHAFT: To the issuance of a certificate. Page 134 1 following, just to kind of respond to that comment, is 2 there's been several TDR transfers already approved along 3 the Mile, actually one from this site! believe as well. 4 MS, SPAIN: Yes. 5 MR, NAVARRO: So this is something that's 6 commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the 7 preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some 8 of that unused development capacity. As opposed to 9 putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, 10 so we're hoping to get your support here today. 11 MR, EHRENHAFT: No. 12 MR, SPAIN: I think so. 13 MR, SPAIN: Pecause they don't have the required square footage. 14 MS, SPAIN: I think so. 15 MR, GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing — 16 MS, SPAIN: I think so. 17 I didn't want it to get confusing. 18 MR, GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to 19 do it a certain way? 19 MR, CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that 19 the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed 20 first. 21 MR, CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be 21 MR, CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be 22 first. 23 MS, SPAIN: Right. 24 MR, GARCIA-PONS: And the receiving site be 25 MR, RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. 26 MR, NAVARRO: No, no, not on the — 27 MR, EHRENHAFT: No. 28 MR, SPAIN: Path to add to the top of the building, would the be able to go up seven stories? 29 MR, NAVARRO: No, no, not on the — 30 MR, SPAIN: On the sending site. 31 MR, SPAIN: Path to add to the top of the building, would development capacity. As opposed to a path to add to the top of the building, would develo	13	transfer so that we can move forward with this project.	13	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Did you read them, did you
MS, SPAIN: I do have one e-mail that was sent late today. 17	14	Our entire team is here to answer any	14	read them both in?
17 Sent late today. 17 MR. EHRENHAFT: I read only the first in. 18 MR. GARCIA-PONS: — we're only — 19 alter the character of Miracle Mile seems like a misuse of the concept. The 220 Miracle Mile is out of scale and 20 MS. SPAIN: Right now you're only doing the maintenance plan. 21 MR. EHRENHAFT: The maintenance plan and then approval — 22 Avenue. 24 MR. RODRIGUEZ: The sending property — 24 MR. RODRIGUEZ: The sending property — 25 MR. NAVARRO: And the process we're 25 MR. NAVARRO: And the process we're 25 MR. NAVARRO: The transfers already approved along 15 MS. SPAIN: Right. 16 MS. SPAIN: Right. 17 MS. SPAIN: Right. 18 MS. SP	15	questions that you may have.	15	MR. EHRENHAFT: No.
### alter the character of Miracle Mile seems like a misuse of the concept. The 220 Miracle Mile is out of scale and lacks compatibility with the Mile. Please deny the transfer." 19	16	MS. SPAIN: I do have one e-mail that was	16	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Okay, so
alter the character of Miracle Mile seems like a misuse of the concept. The 220 Miracle Mile is out of scale and lacks compatibility with the Mile. Please deny the 22 transfer." 23 And that's from Dolly McIntyre, 409 Viscaya 24 Avenue. 24 Avenue. 25 MR. NAVARRO: And the process we're 26 MR. NAVARRO: And the process we're 27 Page 134 1 following, just to kind of respond to that comment, is there's been several TDR transfers already approved along 3 the Mile, actually one from this site I believe as well. 26 MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's 6 commonly doing the maintenance plan. 27 MR. RODRIGUEZ: The sending property — 24 MR. EHRENHAFT: — to the issuance of a certificate. 28 Page 134 1 following, just to kind of respond to that comment, is there's been several TDR transfers already approved along 3 the Mile, actually one from this site I believe as well. 28 MS. SPAIN: Right now you're only doing the maintenance plan. 29 MR. RODRIGUEZ: The sending property — 24 MR. EHRENHAFT: — to the issuance of a certificate. 20 MR. SPAIN: Right now you're only doing the maintenance plan. 21 MR. EHRENHAFT: The maintenance plan and then approval — 22 MR. EHRENHAFT: — to the issuance of a certificate. 20 MR. SPAIN: Right now you're only doing the maintenance plan. 21 MR. EHRENHAFT: The maintenance plan and then approval — 22 MR. EHRENHAFT: — to the issuance of a certificate. 22 MR. RODRIGUEZ: The sending property — MR. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? 22 MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the — MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no — MR. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? 23 MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the — MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no — MR. RODRIGUEZ: Othe sending site. 24 MR. EHRENHAFT: The maintenance plan and then the maintenance and the preservation of properties as very dark they are able to	17	sent late today.	17	MR. EHRENHAFT: I read only the first in.
the concept. The 220 Miracle Mile is out of scale and lacks compatibility with the Mile. Please deny the transfer." And that's from Dolly McIntyre, 409 Viscaya Avenue. MR. NAVARRO: And the process we're Page 134 following, just to kind of respond to that comment, is there's been several TDR transfers already approved along the Mile, actually one from this site I believe as well. MR. SPAIN: Right. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The sending property — MR. EHRENHAFT: — to the issuance of a certificate. Page 134 Page 136 MR. SPAIN: Right. MR. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's 5 MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the — MS. SPAIN: On the sending site. or of that unused development capacity. As opposed to 9 putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, 9 owe're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: The maintenance plan and then approval — MR. RODRIGUEZ: — to the issuance of a certificate. Page 134 Page 136 MR. SPAIN: Right. MR. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the — MS. SPAIN: On the sending site. MR. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the — MS. SPAIN: On the sending site. MR. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, no ton the — MS. SPAIN: On the sending site. MR. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, no ton the — MS. SPAIN: On the sending site. MR. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a building, would they be able to go up seven s	18	"Dear Preservation Board: Using TDRs to	18	MR. GARCIA-PONS: we're only
lacks compatibility with the Mile. Please deny the transfer." 22 approval - approval - 23 And that's from Dolly McIntyre, 409 Viscaya 23 MR. RODRIGUEZ: The sending property - 24 MR. EHRENHAFT: - to the issuance of a 25 certificate. 26 mR. EHRENHAFT: - to the issuance of a 26 certificate. 27 mR. EHRENHAFT: - to the issuance of a 28 certificate. 28 mR. EHRENHAFT: - to the issuance of a 28 certificate. 29 mR. EHRENHAFT: - to the issuance of a 27 certificate. 28 mR. EHRENHAFT: - to the issuance of a 28 certificate. 29 mR. EHRENHAFT: - to the issuance of a 28 certificate. 29 mR. EHRENHAFT: - to the issuance of a 29 certificate. 29 mR. EHRENHAFT: - to the issuance of a 29 certificate. 29 mR. EHRENHAFT: - to the issuance of a 29 certificate. 29 mR. EHRENHAFT: - to the issuance of a 20 certificate. 20 mR. EHRENHAFT: - to the issuance of a 20 certificate. 20 mR. EHRENHAFT: - to the issuance of a 20 certificate. 20 mR. EHRENHAFT: - to the issuance of a 20 certificate. 20 mR. EHRENHAFT: - to the issuance of a 20 certificate. 20 mR. EHRENHAFT: - to the issuance of a 20 certificate. 20 mR. EHRENHAFT: - to the issuance of a 20 mR. EHRENHAFT: - to the issuance of a 20 certificate. 20 mR. RODRIGUEZ: - if they were able to apply for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? 4 mS. SPAIN: On the sending site. no - 7 mR. RODRIGUEZ: - if they were able to apply for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? 4 mR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. no - 7 mR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. no - 7 mR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. no - 9 mR. EHRENHAFT: No. 9 mR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. no - 9 mR. SPAIN: example they on the permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? 10 mR. EHRENHAFT: The ma	19	alter the character of Miracle Mile seems like a misuse of	19	MS. SPAIN: Right now you're only doing the
transfer." 22 approval— Avenue. 23 MR. RODRIGUEZ: The sending property— 24 MR. EHRENHAFT: — to the issuance of a 25 certificate. Page 134 1 following, just to kind of respond to that comment, is 2 there's been several TDR transfers already approved along 3 the Mile, actually one from this site I believe as well. 4 MS. SPAIN: Yes. 5 MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's 6 commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the 7 preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some 8 of that unused development capacity. As opposed to 9 putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, 10 so we're hoping to get your support here today. 11 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. 12 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing— 13 we're doing one item at a time, correct? 14 MS. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, 15 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to 16 do it a certain way? 17 architects. We'd have to o 18 MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of first. 20 MR. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the— MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no— MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site, no— MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to o— MR. ROD	20	the concept. The 220 Miracle Mile is out of scale and	20	maintenance plan.
And that's from Dolly McIntyre, 409 Viscaya Avenue. 23 MR. RODRIGUEZ: The sending property — 24 MR. EHRENHAFT: — to the issuance of a 25 certificate. Page 134 Page 136 following, just to kind of respond to that comment, is there's been several TDR transfers already approved along the Mile, actually one from this site I believe as well. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're boping to get your support here today. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing — we're doing one item at a time, correct? MR. S.PAIN: I think so. MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that — MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to do it a certain way? MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed first. And MR. CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be MR. S.PAIN: That's what's good about transferring the air rights.	21	lacks compatibility with the Mile. Please deny the	21	MR. EHRENHAFT: The maintenance plan and then
Avenue. Page 134 Page 136 RR. NAVARRO: And the process we're Page 134 Page 136 MR. SPAIN: Right. MR. SPAIN: Right. MR. SPAIN: Personation of the building. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the for that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. SPAIN: It hink so. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the for that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MR. SPAIN: It hink so. MR. SPAIN: It hink so. It didn't want it to get confusing. MR. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, for the cartain way? MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed first. MR. SPAIN: Right. MR. EHRENHAFT: -to the issuance of a certificate. Page 136 MR. SPAIN: Right. MR. RODRIGUEZ: - if they were able to apply for a building permit to add to the top of the building. MR. RODRIGUEZ: - if they were able to apply for a building permit to add to the top of the building. MR. RODRIGUEZ: - if they were able to apply for a building permit to add to the top of the building. MR. RODRIGUEZ: - on the sending site. MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site, no - MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site, no - MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MR. SPAIN: Park wath it to get confusing. MR. NAVARRO: Or de sign a plan that would fit. I don't know if structurally you co	22	transfer."	22	approval
Page 134 following, just to kind of respond to that comment, is there's been several TDR transfers already approved along the Mile, actually one from this site I believe as well. MR. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's tommonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing— we're doing one item at a time, correct? MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that— MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. RAVARRO: We'd have to opiously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of architects. We'd have to — MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MR. AVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of architects. We'd have to ogo to the board of architects. We'd have to — MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of architects. We'd have to ogo to the board of architects. We'd have to ogo to the board of architects. We'd have to ogo to the board of architects. We'd have to ogo to the board of architects. We'd have to ogo to the board of architects. We'd have to ogo to the board of architects. We'd have to ogo to the board of architects. We'd have to ogo to the board of architects. We'd have to ogo to the board of architects. We'd have to ogo to the board of architects. We'd have to ogo to the board of architects.	23	And that's from Dolly McIntyre, 409 Viscaya	23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: The sending property
Page 134 following, just to kind of respond to that comment, is there's been several TDR transfers already approved along the Mile, actually one from this site I believe as well. MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply the Mile, actually one from this site I believe as well. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: No, no, no ton the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, no ton the — commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, were putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. RAPARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing — MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to do it a certain way? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to do it a certain way? MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed first. MS. SPAIN: That's what's good about transferring the air rights.	24	Avenue.	24	MR. EHRENHAFT: to the issuance of a
following, just to kind of respond to that comment, is there's been several TDR transfers already approved along the Mile, actually one from this site I believe as well. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing — MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult MS. SPAIN: We'd have to - MS. SPAIN: We'd have to - MR. RODRIGUEZ: —if they were able to apply for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the — MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no — MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. SPAIN: —because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that — MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to do it a certain way? MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to — MR. RODRIGUEZ: MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. MR. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to — MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to — MR. NAVARRO: — design a plan that would fit. I don't know it returcurally you could do it, but you know, the idea was to not promote to have square footage transferred off site. MS. SPAIN: That's what's good about transferring the air rights.	25	MR. NAVARRO: And the process we're	25	certificate.
there's been several TDR transfers already approved along the Mile, actually one from this site I believe as well. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the — MR. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the — MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site, no — MR. SPAIN: — because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MR. SPAIN: I think so. MR. SPAIN: I think so. MR. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of architects. We'd have to — MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: — design a plan that would fit. I don't know if structurally you could do it, but you know, the idea was to not promote that, promote to have square footage transferred off site. MR. SPAIN: That's what's good about transferring the air rights.		Page 134		Page 136
there's been several TDR transfers already approved along the Mile, actually one from this site I believe as well. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the — MR. RODRIGUEZ: — if they were able to apply for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the — MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site, no — MR. SPAIN: — because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MR. SPAIN: I think so. MR. SPAIN: I think so. MR. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of architects. We'd have to — MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: — design a plan that would fit. I don't know if structurally you could do it, but you know, the idea was to not promote that, promote to have square footage transferred off site. MR. SPAIN: That's what's good about transferring the air rights.	1	following, just to kind of respond to that comment, is	1	MS. SPAIN: Right.
MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the — MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no — MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. HRENHAFT: No. putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing — MS. SPAIN: Yea, and that would be difficult MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to MR. SPAIN: We'd have to obviously come before this board of architects. We'd have to go to the board of MR. NAVARRO: Veah, we could go up on it. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. MR. SPAIN: Hink so. MR. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of architects. We'd have to - MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: — MR. NAVAR	2		2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: if they were able to apply
MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MR. SPAIN: — because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. MR. SPAIN: I think so. MR. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, I didn't want it to get confusing. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed MR. SPAIN: That's what's good about transferring the air rights.	3	the Mile and the line of the Line it and the Line of t		
commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing we're doing one item at a time, correct? MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally suggest that the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed MR. SPAIN: Right. MR. CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be MR. CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be MR. CEBALLOS: A opposed to MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site, no MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. RAVARRO: We'd have to obacuse they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MR. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of architects. We'd have to MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: design a plan that would fit. I don't know if structurally you could do it, but you know, the idea was to not promote that, promote to have square footage transferred off site. MR. SPAIN: That's what's good about transferring the air rights.		the Mile, actually one from this site I believe as well.	3	
preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, make the point of get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MR. SPAIN: — because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing — we're doing one item at a time, correct? MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that — MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: — design a plan that would MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that MR. CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be MR. SPAIN: That's what's good about transferring the air rights.	4	•		for a building permit to add to the top of the building,
of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, make the putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, make the pring to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing — We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MR. SPAIN: I think so. MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that — MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that — MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that — MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of I didn't want it to get confusing. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: — design a plan that would MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that MR. CEBALLOS: Are we legally required to first. MR. SPAIN: Right. MR. SPAIN: That's what's good about transferring the air rights.		MS. SPAIN: Yes.	4	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories?
9 putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, 10 so we're hoping to get your support here today. 11 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. 12 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing 13 we're doing one item at a time, correct? 14 MS. SPAIN: I think so. 15 MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that 16 MS. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, 17 I didn't want it to get confusing. 18 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to 19 do it a certain way? 19 MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that 20 MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that 21 MS. SPAIN: Right. 22 MR. SPAIN: Right. 23 MS. SPAIN: Right. 24 MR. CEBALLOS: Are we leceving site be 24 transferring the air rights.	5	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's	4 5	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the
so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing we're doing one item at a time, correct? MS. SPAIN: I think so. MS. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, I didn't want it to get confusing. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to do it a certain way? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to MR. NAVARRO: - design a plan that would MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that MR. NAVARRO: - design a plan that would MR. SPAIN: Right. MS. SPAIN: Right. MS. SPAIN: That's what's good about transferring the air rights.	5 6	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the	4 5 6	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no
MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing we're doing one item at a time, correct? MS. SPAIN: I think so. MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MS. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, I didn't want it to get confusing. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: design a plan that would MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed ifirst. MR. SPAIN: That's what's good about transferring the air rights.	5 6 7	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some	4 5 6 7	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing we're doing one item at a time, correct? MS. SPAIN: I think so. MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that MS. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, I didn't want it to get confusing. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to do it a certain way? MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that MR. CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be 12 We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of architects. We'd have to MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: design a plan that would fit. I don't know if structurally you could do it, but you know, the idea was to not promote that, promote to have square footage transferred off site. MS. SPAIN: That's what's good about transferring the air rights.	5 6 7 8	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to	4 5 6 7 8	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. EHRENHAFT: No.
we're doing one item at a time, correct? 13 certificate of appropriateness. 14 MS. SPAIN: I think so. 15 MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that 16 MS. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, 17 I didn't want it to get confusing. 18 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to 19 do it a certain way? 19 MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 19 MR. NAVARRO: design a plan that would 20 MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that 21 the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed 22 first. 23 MS. SPAIN: Right. 24 MR. CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be 13 certificate of appropriateness. 14 MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult 15 to do. 16 MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of 17 architects. We'd have to 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 19 MR. NAVARRO: design a plan that would 20 fit. I don't know if structurally you could do it, but 21 you know, the idea was to not promote that, promote to 22 have square footage transferred off site. 23 MS. SPAIN: That's what's good about 24 transferring the air rights.	5 6 7 8 9	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else,	4 5 6 7 8 9	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MS. SPAIN: because they don't have the
MS. SPAIN: I think so. MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that MS. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, I didn't want it to get confusing. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of architects. We'd have to MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: design a plan that would MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed first. MS. SPAIN: Right. MS. SPAIN: That's what's good about transferring the air rights.	5 6 7 8 9	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today.	4 5 6 7 8 9	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MS. SPAIN: because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that 15 to do. 16 MS. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, 17 I didn't want it to get confusing. 18 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to 19 do it a certain way? 19 MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that 20 MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that 21 the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed 22 first. 23 MS. SPAIN: Right. 24 MR. CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be 15 to do. 16 MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of architects. We'd have to 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 19 MR. NAVARRO: design a plan that would 20 fit. I don't know if structurally you could do it, but you know, the idea was to not promote that, promote to 22 have square footage transferred off site. 23 MS. SPAIN: Right. 24 transferring the air rights.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing —	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MS. SPAIN: because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a
MS. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, I didn't want it to get confusing. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: — design a plan that would MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed first. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to — MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: — design a plan that would fit. I don't know if structurally you could do it, but you know, the idea was to not promote that, promote to have square footage transferred off site. MS. SPAIN: Right. MS. SPAIN: That's what's good about transferring the air rights.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing —we're doing one item at a time, correct?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MS. SPAIN: because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness.
17 I didn't want it to get confusing. 18 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to 19 do it a certain way? 19 MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that 20 MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that 21 the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed 22 first. 23 MS. SPAIN: Right. 24 MR. CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be 25 MR. CEBALLOS: Are we legally required to 26 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 17 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 19 MR. NAVARRO: design a plan that would 20 fit. I don't know if structurally you could do it, but 21 you know, the idea was to not promote that, promote to 22 have square footage transferred off site. 23 MS. SPAIN: That's what's good about 24 transferring the air rights.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing — we're doing one item at a time, correct? MS. SPAIN: I think so.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MS. SPAIN: because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to do it a certain way? MR. NAVARRO: design a plan that would MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed first. MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: design a plan that would tit. I don't know if structurally you could do it, but you know, the idea was to not promote that, promote to have square footage transferred off site. MS. SPAIN: That's what's good about MR. CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be transferring the air rights.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing we're doing one item at a time, correct? MS. SPAIN: I think so. MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MS. SPAIN: because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do.
do it a certain way? MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed mr. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that mr. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that mr. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that mr. CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be mr. NAVARRO: — design a plan that would mr. I don't know if structurally you could do it, but you know, the idea was to not promote that, promote to have square footage transferred off site. mr. SPAIN: That's what's good about mr. CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be mr. NAVARRO: — design a plan that would mr. Value of the idea was to not promote to mr. SPAIN: That's what's good about mr. CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing we're doing one item at a time, correct? MS. SPAIN: I think so. MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that MS. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MS. SPAIN: because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of
MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed first. MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be fit. I don't know if structurally you could do it, but you know, the idea was to not promote that, promote to have square footage transferred off site. MS. SPAIN: That's what's good about transferring the air rights.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing — we're doing one item at a time, correct? MS. SPAIN: I think so. MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that — MS. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, I didn't want it to get confusing.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MS. SPAIN: because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of architects. We'd have to
the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed 21 you know, the idea was to not promote that, promote to 22 first. 23 MS. SPAIN: Right. 24 MR. CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be 21 you know, the idea was to not promote that, promote to 22 have square footage transferred off site. 23 MS. SPAIN: That's what's good about 24 transferring the air rights.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing we're doing one item at a time, correct? MS. SPAIN: I think so. MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that MS. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, I didn't want it to get confusing. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MS. SPAIN: because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of architects. We'd have to MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
22first.22have square footage transferred off site.23MS. SPAIN: Right.23MS. SPAIN: That's what's good about24MR. CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be24transferring the air rights.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing we're doing one item at a time, correct? MS. SPAIN: I think so. MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that MS. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, I didn't want it to get confusing. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to do it a certain way?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MS. SPAIN: because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of architects. We'd have to MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: design a plan that would
MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be MS. SPAIN: That's what's good about transferring the air rights.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing we're doing one item at a time, correct? MS. SPAIN: I think so. MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that MS. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, I didn't want it to get confusing. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to do it a certain way? MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MS. SPAIN: because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of architects. We'd have to MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: design a plan that would fit. I don't know if structurally you could do it, but
MR. CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be 24 transferring the air rights.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing we're doing one item at a time, correct? MS. SPAIN: I think so. MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that MS. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, I didn't want it to get confusing. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to do it a certain way? MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the — MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no — MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MS. SPAIN: — because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of architects. We'd have to — MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: — design a plan that would fit. I don't know if structurally you could do it, but you know, the idea was to not promote that, promote to
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing — we're doing one item at a time, correct? MS. SPAIN: I think so. MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that — MS. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, I didn't want it to get confusing. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to do it a certain way? MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed first.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MS. SPAIN: because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of architects. We'd have to MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: design a plan that would fit. I don't know if structurally you could do it, but you know, the idea was to not promote that, promote to have square footage transferred off site.
25 IVIK. ETIKENTIAF 1. KIGIII.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing — we're doing one item at a time, correct? MS. SPAIN: I think so. MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that — MS. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, I didn't want it to get confusing. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to do it a certain way? MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed first. MS. SPAIN: Right.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MS. SPAIN: because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of architects. We'd have to MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: design a plan that would fit. I don't know if structurally you could do it, but you know, the idea was to not promote that, promote to have square footage transferred off site. MS. SPAIN: That's what's good about
	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. NAVARRO: So this is something that's commonly done, and what it is, is to promote the preservation of properties and to, you know, allocate some of that unused development capacity. As opposed to putting it on that site, we're putting it somewhere else, so we're hoping to get your support here today. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we, are we doing — we're doing one item at a time, correct? MS. SPAIN: I think so. MR. GARCIA-PONS: How does that — MS. SPAIN: But you can change that. I mean, I didn't want it to get confusing. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Are we legally required to do it a certain way? MR. CEBALLOS: I would highly suggest that the maintenance and the preservation plan be addressed first. MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. CEBALLOS: And the receiving site be	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	for a building permit to add to the top of the building, would they be able to go up seven stories? MR. NAVARRO: No, no, not on the MS. SPAIN: On the sending site, no MR. RODRIGUEZ: On the sending site. MR. EHRENHAFT: No. MS. SPAIN: because they don't have the required square footage. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, we could go up on it. We'd have to obviously come before this board to get a certificate of appropriateness. MS. SPAIN: Yes, and that would be difficult to do. MR. NAVARRO: We'd have to go to the board of architects. We'd have to MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. NAVARRO: design a plan that would fit. I don't know if structurally you could do it, but you know, the idea was to not promote that, promote to have square footage transferred off site. MS. SPAIN: That's what's good about transferring the air rights.

	Page 137		Page 139
1	MS. SPAIN: Because you really keep the	1	them.
2	historical integrity of the building intact because	2	MS. SPAIN: Right.
3	they're not allowed to do the additions.	3	MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh.
4	MR. MENENDEZ: Right.	4	MR. MENENDEZ: Zoning code for that area is
5	MR. EHRENHAFT: Right.	5	maximum 70 feet height?
6	MS. SPAIN: They've sold off the ability to	6	MR. NAVARRO: So you have, there's
7	do that.	7	MS. SPAIN: Okay, but we're only talking
8	MR. GARCIA-PONS: So the only thing that	8	about the sending sites now. I'm sorry. I want to keep
9	we're reviewing as part of this item is approval of the	9	you on track.
10	maintenance plan and approving the 7,500 square feet.	10	MS. THOMSON: Yeah, but we
11	MS. SPAIN: That's right.	11	MR. MENENDEZ: But the sending site is
12	MR. GARCIA-PONS: And that's it for this one.	12	maximum 70 feet?
13	MS. SPAIN: That's it.	13	MS. SPAIN: I think that's all of Miracle
14	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Okay.	14	Mile.
15	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.	15	MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. I'm just verifying.
16	MS. THOMSON: And the 7,500 square feet that	16	MR. NAVARRO: So there's from a zoning
17	you're talking about adding to this building, where is the	17	perspective, that is the minimum.
18	parking going to be?	18	If you take advantage of Level One, that
19	MS. SPAIN: Okay.	19	bonus, you're allowed to go to 83 and a half feet, and if
20	MR. MENENDEZ: It's got to be off site,	20	you go to Level Two Med bonus, you do a pure Mediterranean
21	isn't it?	21	building, you're able to add an additional story, so
22	MR. GARCIA-PONS: I think	22	you're able to go to a total of eight stories.
23	MS. SPAIN: Yes, but right now, we're only	23	It was historically at 190 feet, but when it
24	talking about the sending sites.	24	was down-zoned a long time ago they put these caps in, but
25	MR. EHRENHAFT: Right.	25	you still have the Mediterranean bonus requirements in
		-	
	Page 138		Page 140
1	MR. GARCIA-PONS: We're approving, we're	1	your code and the special bonus.
2		1 +	your code and the special bonds.
	approving just on the sending site, whether the	2	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design.
3	approving just on the sending site, whether the maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate	1	· ·
3 4		2	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing.
	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate	2 3	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I
4	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate — MS. SPAIN: Right.	2 3 4	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing.
4 5	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: to sending 7,500 square	2 3 4 5	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I'm confused. Are we
4 5 6	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: to sending 7,500 square feet somewhere?	2 3 4 5 6	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I'm confused. Are we talking about, are we talking about approving the design
4 5 6 7	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate — MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: — to sending 7,500 square feet somewhere? MR. MENENDEZ: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I'm confused. Are we talking about, are we talking about approving the design at this point, or is this just
4 5 6 7 8	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate — MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: — to sending 7,500 square feet somewhere? MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Not specifically to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I'm confused. Are we talking about, are we talking about approving the design at this point, or is this just MR. SARDINAS: No. MS. THOMSON: the feet out there in Never Never Land?
4 5 6 7 8 9	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: to sending 7,500 square feet somewhere? MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Not specifically to the next item? So this first item is just the maintenance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I'm confused. Are we talking about, are we talking about approving the design at this point, or is this just MR. SARDINAS: No. MS. THOMSON: — the feet out there in Never
4 5 6 7 8 9	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: to sending 7,500 square feet somewhere? MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Not specifically to the next item? So this first item is just the maintenance plan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I'm confused. Are we talking about, are we talking about approving the design at this point, or is this just MR. SARDINAS: No. MS. THOMSON: the feet out there in Never Never Land?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: to sending 7,500 square feet somewhere? MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Not specifically to the next item? So this first item is just the maintenance plan MS. SPAIN: The maintenance plan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I'm confused. Are we talking about, are we talking about approving the design at this point, or is this just MR. SARDINAS: No. MS. THOMSON: the feet out there in Never Never Land? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Excuse me. Can you just
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: to sending 7,500 square feet somewhere? MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Not specifically to the next item? So this first item is just the maintenance plan MS. SPAIN: The maintenance plan. MR. GARCIA-PONS: and the number?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I'm confused. Are we talking about, are we talking about approving the design at this point, or is this just MR. SARDINAS: No. MS. THOMSON: the feet out there in Never Never Land? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Excuse me. Can you just take that drawing down? Right. So we're not talking about the next project at all. MS. THOMSON: Okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: to sending 7,500 square feet somewhere? MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Not specifically to the next item? So this first item is just the maintenance plan MS. SPAIN: The maintenance plan. MR. GARCIA-PONS: and the number? MR. EHRENHAFT: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I'm confused. Are we talking about, are we talking about approving the design at this point, or is this just MR. SARDINAS: No. MS. THOMSON: — the feet out there in Never Never Land? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Excuse me. Can you just take that drawing down? Right. So we're not talking about the next project at all.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: to sending 7,500 square feet somewhere? MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Not specifically to the next item? So this first item is just the maintenance plan MS. SPAIN: The maintenance plan. MR. GARCIA-PONS: and the number? MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MS. THOMSON: It's just sending 7,500 square	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I'm confused. Are we talking about, are we talking about approving the design at this point, or is this just MR. SARDINAS: No. MS. THOMSON: the feet out there in Never Never Land? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Excuse me. Can you just take that drawing down? Right. So we're not talking about the next project at all. MS. THOMSON: Okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: to sending 7,500 square feet somewhere? MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Not specifically to the next item? So this first item is just the maintenance plan MS. SPAIN: The maintenance plan. MR. GARCIA-PONS: and the number? MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MS. THOMSON: It's just sending 7,500 square feet somewhere out there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I'm confused. Are we talking about, are we talking about approving the design at this point, or is this just MR. SARDINAS: No. MS. THOMSON: — the feet out there in Never Never Land? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Excuse me. Can you just take that drawing down? Right. So we're not talking about the next project at all. MS. THOMSON: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We're only talking about
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: to sending 7,500 square feet somewhere? MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Not specifically to the next item? So this first item is just the maintenance plan MS. SPAIN: The maintenance plan. MR. GARCIA-PONS: and the number? MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MS. THOMSON: It's just sending 7,500 square feet somewhere out there? MR. GARCIA-PONS: We're approving that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I'm confused. Are we talking about, are we talking about approving the design at this point, or is this just MR. SARDINAS: No. MS. THOMSON: the feet out there in Never Never Land? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Excuse me. Can you just take that drawing down? Right. So we're not talking about the next project at all. MS. THOMSON: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We're only talking about the maintenance plan and the 7,590 square feet. That is
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: to sending 7,500 square feet somewhere? MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Not specifically to the next item? So this first item is just the maintenance plan MS. SPAIN: The maintenance plan. MR. GARCIA-PONS: and the number? MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MS. THOMSON: It's just sending 7,500 square feet somewhere out there? MR. GARCIA-PONS: We're approving that they can do it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I'm confused. Are we talking about, are we talking about approving the design at this point, or is this just MR. SARDINAS: No. MS. THOMSON: the feet out there in Never Never Land? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Excuse me. Can you just take that drawing down? Right. So we're not talking about the next project at all. MS. THOMSON: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We're only talking about the maintenance plan and the 7,590 square feet. That is all that's being asked of us.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: to sending 7,500 square feet somewhere? MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Not specifically to the next item? So this first item is just the maintenance plan MS. SPAIN: The maintenance plan. MR. GARCIA-PONS: and the number? MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MS. THOMSON: It's just sending 7,500 square feet somewhere out there? MR. GARCIA-PONS: We're approving that they can do it. MS. THOMSON: That they can do it, okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I'm confused. Are we talking about, are we talking about approving the design at this point, or is this just MR. SARDINAS: No. MS. THOMSON: the feet out there in Never Never Land? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Excuse me. Can you just take that drawing down? Right. So we're not talking about the next project at all. MS. THOMSON: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We're only talking about the maintenance plan and the 7,590 square feet. That is all that's being asked of us. MR. EHRENHAFT: On the property that is
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: to sending 7,500 square feet somewhere? MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Not specifically to the next item? So this first item is just the maintenance plan MS. SPAIN: The maintenance plan. MR. GARCIA-PONS: and the number? MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MS. THOMSON: It's just sending 7,500 square feet somewhere out there? MR. GARCIA-PONS: We're approving that they can do it. MS. THOMSON: That they can do it, okay. MR. CEBALLOS: It is basically the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I'm confused. Are we talking about, are we talking about approving the design at this point, or is this just MR. SARDINAS: No. MS. THOMSON: the feet out there in Never Never Land? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Excuse me. Can you just take that drawing down? Right. So we're not talking about the next project at all. MS. THOMSON: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We're only talking about the maintenance plan and the 7,590 square feet. That is all that's being asked of us. MR. EHRENHAFT: On the property that is sending the air rights.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: to sending 7,500 square feet somewhere? MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Not specifically to the next item? So this first item is just the maintenance plan MS. SPAIN: The maintenance plan. MR. GARCIA-PONS: and the number? MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MS. THOMSON: It's just sending 7,500 square feet somewhere out there? MR. GARCIA-PONS: We're approving that they can do it. MS. THOMSON: That they can do it, okay. MR. CEBALLOS: It is basically the issuance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I'm confused. Are we talking about, are we talking about approving the design at this point, or is this just MR. SARDINAS: No. MS. THOMSON: — the feet out there in Never Never Land? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Excuse me. Can you just take that drawing down? Right. So we're not talking about the next project at all. MS. THOMSON: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We're only talking about the maintenance plan and the 7,590 square feet. That is all that's being asked of us. MR. EHRENHAFT: On the property that is sending the air rights. MS. THOMSON: Okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: to sending 7,500 square feet somewhere? MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Not specifically to the next item? So this first item is just the maintenance plan MS. SPAIN: The maintenance plan. MR. GARCIA-PONS: and the number? MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MS. THOMSON: It's just sending 7,500 square feet somewhere out there? MR. GARCIA-PONS: We're approving that they can do it. MS. THOMSON: That they can do it, okay. MR. CEBALLOS: It is basically the issuance MS. THOMSON: I got it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I'm confused. Are we talking about, are we talking about approving the design at this point, or is this just MR. SARDINAS: No. MS. THOMSON: the feet out there in Never Never Land? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Excuse me. Can you just take that drawing down? Right. So we're not talking about the next project at all. MS. THOMSON: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We're only talking about the maintenance plan and the 7,590 square feet. That is all that's being asked of us. MR. EHRENHAFT: On the property that is sending the air rights. MS. THOMSON: Okay. MS. THOMSON: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	maintenance plan as provided to us is appropriate MS. SPAIN: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: to sending 7,500 square feet somewhere? MR. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Not specifically to the next item? So this first item is just the maintenance plan MS. SPAIN: The maintenance plan. MR. GARCIA-PONS: and the number? MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. MS. THOMSON: It's just sending 7,500 square feet somewhere out there? MR. GARCIA-PONS: We're approving that they can do it. MS. THOMSON: That they can do it, okay. MR. CEBALLOS: It is basically the issuance MS. THOMSON: I got it. MR. CEBALLOS: of the certificate of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. SPAIN: And Level One is just for design. It's not for Mediterranean. It's called Mediterranean. I don't know why. It's very confusing. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I'm confused. Are we talking about, are we talking about approving the design at this point, or is this just MR. SARDINAS: No. MS. THOMSON: the feet out there in Never Never Land? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Excuse me. Can you just take that drawing down? Right. So we're not talking about the next project at all. MS. THOMSON: Okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We're only talking about the maintenance plan and the 7,590 square feet. That is all that's being asked of us. MR. EHRENHAFT: On the property that is sending the air rights. MS. THOMSON: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay? MS. SPAIN: So they could, they can you

	Page 141		Page 143
1	another site, so it's not about the receiving site.	1	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think you have to try
2	MS. THOMSON: Okay, and these people own this	2	again.
3	property and the air rights? They already own that?	3	MS. THOMSON: So I'm sorry if I'm confused.
4	MS. SPAIN: Yes, yes.	4	MS. SPAIN: That's okay, no, no, no.
5	MR. GARCIA-PONS: The current owner. Do we	5	MS. THOMSON: I'm confused on this.
6	have a picture of the existing building? Maybe that would	6	MS. SPAIN: It's a little complicated, but
7	help.	7	MS. THOMSON: Because this came out of
8	MS. SPAIN: We do.	8	nowhere.
9	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Can we pull just that, just	9	MS. SPAIN: the sending sites well,
10	the 220?	10	it's been around for quite some time. They used it on
11	MR. NAVARRO: Yes. These are, it's the	11	these same buildings in 2001.
12	bridal store and the Men's Wearhouse, so if you're	12	MS. THOMSON: But this project has come out
13	familiar with those two.	13	of nowhere.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.	14	MS. SPAIN: Oh, well, I don't know. I've
15	MR. GARCIA-PONS: So what we're approving	15	been dealing with it. DRC, planning and zoning board,
16	then is that that property	16	board of architects
17	MS. THOMSON: Yes, I'm real familiar with	17	MS. THOMSON: Has it gone through the
18	them.	18	protocol of steps to get approvals?
19	MR. GARCIA-PONS: that that property will	19	MS. SPAIN: Yes.
20	never change	20	MS. THOMSON: Or has it gone to like another
21	MS. SPAIN: Right.	21	area and gotten approval that it should have come here
22	MR. GARCIA-PONS: the one that they're	22	before?
23	going to show us now?	23	MS. SPAIN: No, no. It's appropriately
24	MS. SPAIN: That's exactly right. Can you	24	before you.
25	I don't think she can see it.	25	MS. THOMSON: Okay.
20	I don't timik sie can see it.	25	IVIS. THOIVISON. Okay.
	Page 142		Page 144
1	MS. THOMSON: I can't see it over here.	1	MR. GARCIA-PONS: And we're not dealing with
2	MR. EHRENHAFT: May I interject and one	2	that project
3	ask a sub-question?	3	MS. SPAIN: No.
4	MS. SPAIN: Sure.	4	MR. GARCIA-PONS: this time.
5	MR. EHRENHAFT: So they have a two-year	5	MS. SPAIN: So a sending site you have air
6	window to use that certificate of transfer. If that	6	rights. If you have a property, a commercial property
7	expires, does it all go away and they're back to status	7	MS. THOMSON: Yeah.
8	quo?	8	MS. SPAIN: and you could build 5,000
9	MS. SPAIN: It expires in two years, right?	9	square feet on it and your building is 1,000 square feet,
10	MR. CEBALLOS: Yes.	10	then you have 4,000 square feet that you could build on
11	MS. SPAIN: Yes.	11	that property, but instead of doing that, they're selling
12	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.	12	those air rights. They're getting a certificate that
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So it would have to come back	13	allows them to sell them to a developer, and that money is
14	again.	14	used to maintain the historic property.
15	MR. EHRENHAFT: It would have to come back,	15	MS. THOMSON: Okay. I understand that.
16	right?	16	MR. EHRENHAFT: Then the historic property
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: They send it.	17	will stay in its same posture and elevation.
18	MS. SPAIN: Yes.	18	MS. THOMSON: Same footprint?
19	MS. THOMSON: Okay. So we're talking about	19	MR. EHRENHAFT: Same footprint, yes.
20	adding height to these buildings here?	20	MS. SPAIN: Yes, yes, everything.
21	MS. SPAIN: No.	21	MR. EHRENHAFT: They're foregoing the ability
22	MR. MENENDEZ: No.	22	to build higher.
23	MS. THOMSON: We're not talking about that at	23	MS. THOMSON: Alleyways will remain historic?
24	all.	24	MS. SPAIN: These sending sites will then not
25	MR. MENENDEZ: You're taking away height.	25	be able to add any square footage
		I .	· - *

Page 145 Page 147 MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. 1 1 2 MS. SPAIN: -- because they won't have any 2 The proposed development receiving site is 3 more buildable area to build. 3 located at 220 Miracle Mile, legally described as Lot 17 4 4 MR. GARCIA-PONS: They're going to go from to 24, inclusive, Block Two, Coral Gables Craft Section 5 5 7,500 to zero change. according to the plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book 6 6 MS. THOMSON: Okay. Ten, Page 40, of the public records of Miami-Dade County, 7 7 MS. SPAIN: Right. So that's a good thing Florida. 8 8 for the sending sites. The following historically designated 9 MS. THOMSON: Okay. 9 properties are within 500 feet of the proposed development 10 MS. SPAIN: Okay. 10 located at 220 Miracle Mile: 11 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. 11 280 Miracle Mile, the Miracle Theater. A 12 MR. GARCIA-PONS: We need to open it to the 12 lengthy legal description is on file at the Historical 13 public, or how do we do it? 13 Resources and Cultural Arts Department. MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. So is there anyone 14 14 136 Miracle Mile, legally described as Lot 15 15 present in the audience who wishes to speak in favor of 13, Block Three, Coral Gables Craft Section, according to 16 this case? Anybody in opposition? Okay. 16 the plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book Ten, Page 40, of 17 17 So that closes the public hearing portion, the public record of Miami-Dade County, Florida. 18 and is there any further discussion from the board? 18 130 Miracle Mile, legally described as Lots 19 19 MR. GARCIA-PONS: I would like to put forward 14 and 15, Block Three, Coral Gables Craft Section 20 20 a motion to approve staff recommendations as to designate according to the plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book 21 this a sending site for the 7,500 square feet, 7,509 21 Ten, Page 40, of the public record of Miami-Dade County, 22 square feet, and approve the maintenance plan as proposed 22 Florida. 23 23 Next, 2506 Ponce de Leon Boulevard, the H. by the applicant. 24 MS. THOMSON: It's being ramrodded. 24 George Fink Studio, legally described as Lots 22 and 23, MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there a second? 25 25 Block Seven, Coral Gables Craft Section, according to the Page 146 Page 148 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it. 1 1 plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book Ten, Page, 40 of the 2 MR. DURANA: I second. 2 public record of Miami-Dade County, Florida. 3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: You have the second this 3 Finally, 169 Miracle Mile/180 Aragon Avenue, 4 4 time. the Colonnade Hotel. A lengthy legal description is on 5 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, okay. May we call the 5 file in the Historical Resources and Cultural Arts 6 roll, please? 6 Department. 7 THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas? 7 Therefore, per Section 3-1006 of the Coral 8 MR SARDINAS: Yes 8 Gables Zoning Code, the Historic Preservation Board's THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana? 9 9 review and approval of the proposed development is MR DURANA: Yes 10 10 required to determine if the proposal adversely affects THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss 11 11 the historic architectural or esthetic character of the 12 Bache-Wiig? 12 historic property. 13 MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. 13 MS. SPAIN: Okay. So typically if there were THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez? 14 14 no historic properties within 500 feet of this proposed MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. 15 15 site, the proposal would not come to this board, but the 16 THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Rodriguez? 16 zoning code requires, if there's any historic properties 17 MR_RODRIGUEZ: Yes 17 within 500 feet of a development that's receiving TDRs, 18 THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson? 18 that it come to this board for review, so that's what this MS_THOMSON: No 19 19 is about. THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. 20 20 The proposed development received board of Garcia-Pons? 21 21 architects preliminary approval on August 22nd. It was 22 MR GARCIA-PONS: Yes 22 determined at that meeting that it meets Level One THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft? 23 23 Mediterranean bonus, but that doesn't mean it has to be 24 MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. Okay. Next we have 24 Mediterranean. It -- Level One bonus is a standards for 25 Item Nine, Transfer of Development Rights for receiving 25 all types of architectural design.

Page 149 Page 151 And I remember when that was put in the code 1 MS. THOMSON: Yes, it will. 2 2 because they wanted the ability to do something other than MS. SPAIN: So I -- we don't need to discuss 3 a Mediterranean bonus and have it just be incentive for 3 the parking because that's a commission item. 4 4 good design, so that's what that was about, and it MS. THOMSON: That's fine. We don't have to 5 5 qualifies for that. That's the purview of the board of discuss the parking, but --6 architects. 6 MS. SPAIN: Well, it's not in your purview. 7 7 Again, Miracle Mile was conceived by George MS. THOMSON: No, right, but we're talking 8 Zain at the end of World War II, and it was interesting, 8 about a lot more development going in on the Mile than is 9 he suffered from glaucoma, so when it was finally done he 9 there now, and there's something in there that says that 10 was blind, which I find --10 parking, the parking be remote. 11 MS. THOMSON: He never could see it. 11 MS. SPAIN: Yes. That's a code provision 12 MS. SPAIN: I know. He didn't get a chance 12 that's in the new code that's allowable. to realize it, but he was convinced that Miracle Mile 13 13 MS. THOMSON: Remote parking. 14 14 should be a modern street. He did not want it to be MS. SPAIN: Yes. 15 15 Mediterranean, and they went to the board of architects. MS. THOMSON: I mean, where is that going to 16 And it was interesting, and I searched for 16 be? Do they have any idea? 17 MS. SPAIN: It needs to be within 1,000 feet, 17 something at the commission meeting because all the 18 commission meetings from 1925, we have all of them, and so 18 but again --19 I tried to find where they talked about the board of, 19 MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. 20 Coral Gables board of supervising architects, but they, in 20 MS. SPAIN: -- you're looking at this design 21 a special ruling, declared all buildings on Coral Way --21 and you're saying, and you're asking yourself does this it wasn't named Miracle Mile yet -- be modern, artistic 2.2 22 design, regardless of what it has on the inside, affect 23 and substantial, so I believe that this design actually 23 the historic properties, and that's all you're looking at. 24 qualifies as that. 24 MS. THOMSON: Okay. 25 25 And staff is recommending a motion to MR. GARCIA-PONS: Again, just for Page 152 Page 150 1 determine that the proposal does not adversely affect the 1 clarification on the purview of this board --2 2 MS. SPAIN: Yes. historic, architectural or esthetic character of the 3 historic properties located within 500 feet of the site 3 MR. GARCIA-PONS: -- regardless of whether 4 4 and move the proposal of 220 Miracle Mile with the this design requires variances and changes and any of 5 understanding that it requires further review and approval 5 that, that is not in our purview. 6 6 MS. SPAIN: That's not. That's right. by the city commission. 7 7 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Only the purview is if this And again, what you're looking at is whether 8 or not this design affects the historic properties. It's 8 design affects these properties --9 not about parking. It's about this design. If they --9 MS. SPAIN: That's right. 10 MR. SARDINAS: Which is the historic 10 MR. GARCIA-PONS: -- that are adjacent. MS. SPAIN: That's exactly right. 11 properties? 11 12 MS. SPAIN: -- if they go to -- the five 12 MS. THOMSON: Right. 13 historic properties within 500 feet. 13 MS. SPAIN: Yes. It's only -- you're looking 14 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Which are they? 14 at those five properties, and you're asking whether or not MR. MENENDEZ: He just read them. 15 15 this design, if it's built, will affect their historic MS. SPAIN: Sure. 16 integrity. 17 17 MR. GARCIA-PONS: It's the map right here. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Thank you. 18 MS. SPAIN: They are the Colonnade Hotel, the 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a quick question. On 19 Miracle Theater, Burger-Fi, 130 Miracle Mile which is A 19 the map, it shows that there is a walkway between the Lots 20 Well-Groomed Gentleman, and the H. George Fink building 20 18 and 17, and then your plan looks like you shifted it 21 2.1 which is owned by the city. over to the end. Is that correct? 22 And as far as the parking goes, if they get 22 MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, correct. So we wanted --23 to the city commission and the city commission requires 23 so we're actually widening it. 24 24 them to provide parking, then this design is going to Right now where it's located, it doesn't give 25 change so it will have to come back to you. 25 a very good access. It's very close to, like to the

Page 153 Page 155 1 intersection, so whether you took the paseo or you rules of -- that apply to this location. It has a podium 1 2 actually walked around the edge, you're not really getting 2 that you see here at the base of the building that is 17 3 3 a lot of benefit, so we moved it, in working with planning feet high. 4 staff, as far west as possible so it could be closer to 4 It is -- it contains retail and it hugs the 5 the middle of the block. I think it serves a much better street as is required by code along the entire length of 6 6 Miracle Mile and does the same as it turns the corner into 7 7 MS. THOMSON: And how long have you been 8 8 working with this? Recessed from the facade of the retail is the 9 9 MR. NAVARRO: Well, this project has been in hotel. The hotel has set-backs on both Miracle Mile and 10 10 the works for over a year and a half. Ponce as required by code as well. It follows the 11 MS. THOMSON: Over a year and a half. 11 formula. 12 MR. NAVARRO: With staff, we've been working 12 And on the west side there is a different 13 with staff, with DRC, I think we filed in June, so it's 13 rule that is based on the height of the building, and 14 14 been a while there is a triangle that, depending on the height of the 15 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is there going to be a building, you have to follow, and that is why that is a 16 presentation from the architect? Did you offer -- do you 16 larger set-back because it's what is called a side yard. 17 17 have one? The building on the second floor has somewhat 18 MR. NAVARRO: We'd be happy to walk you 18 of food and beverage and some small meeting rooms that 19 19 through the plan if you like. We did have an elevation. open into to a courtyard in the center, and then it has 20 20 We have Bernardo in the audience to answer any questions two wings that come forward to the set-back, but the 21 that you may have, so. 21 middle section is significantly set back way beyond what 22 MR. GARCIA-PONS: So I think now that we're 22 is required by code. 23 on this item, I would like to see the elevation back up --23 In other words, one could have chosen to fill 24 MR. NAVARRO: Okay. 24 in that, but instead we created that recess in the center, 25 25 MR. GARCIA-PONS: -- and any information you actually quite similar in composition to many of the Page 154 Page 156 1 have on this. 1 buildings that have existed in the past in Coral Gables 2 2 I do have a couple of questions. My specific where there's -- including the building catty-corner, 3 one is regarding the properties, and I would also 3 including the building at the Biltmore where there's a 4 4 appreciate that map, George, if you keep that one up center courtyard recessed and two wings that come forward. 5 there, is the properties particularly catty-corner to 5 Generally in an arrangement where there's 6 that, you know, the relationship of this building to that 6 always an odd organization, there is never a pair. It's 7 7 building. always the center is the window, so there's three and 8 8 three and seven, actually exact number as the buildings I And I was just going to check through the 9 drawings again, a street elevation of the south side of 9 mentioned, the three, seven and three, and it creates 10 10 Miracle Mile, if I can ask you to either focus on that or these two sort of pilasters that create that recessed 11 go through your presentation, however you want to do it. 11 courtyard that you see here shaded that is further back. 12 MR. NAVARRO: Did you want the street section 12 And this is the podium that is done in shell 13 on Ponce, or on Miracle Mile? 13 stone, and then the rest of the building is in stucco. 14 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Miracle Mile. 14 And then on the roof there is a recessed 15 MR. NAVARRO: On Miracle Mile. 15 pavilion that is the rooftop restaurant and bar that is at 16 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Or again, if you want to do 16 the center of the building, so that creates an arrangement 17 17 your presentation, please go ahead. of a base and then sort of a center middle portion of the 18 MR. NAVARRO: Sorry. You'd like to see a 18 building, and then a crown that is further recessed where

there's terraces that surround on all four sides of the

dimensions, and this is all as per is allowed by the

It is -- so that shows you these are all the

And it's all occupied space so there's no

evidence of cars, garage or anything. It's all rooms.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

building.

envelope that is permitted.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

straight-on elevation?

Fort-Brescia from Arquitectonica.

on. Thank you.

MS. THOMSON: I can't hear you. Just turn it

MR. FORT-BRESCIA: This is the view in the

context of the balance of the neighborhood. Oh, Bernardo

The project follows the set-backs and all the

Page 157 Page 159 1 1 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Correct. It is a These are the two food and beverage operations. In the 2 2 requirement, and we're following it. opening to the courtyard are the meeting rooms, and then 3 3 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. these are four levels of rooms, but they're combined to 4 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: You have to be at the 4 give the vertical appearance of tall windows like in a 5 5 more classical composition, and I think that's a summary. edge. 6 6 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, and from there then, at You asked about the facade of the building. 7 7 the top of your 17-foot level, you have some garden space There's one important feature in the design. You see it 8 8 here in this elevation, is that there is a paseo that is so there is set-back further? 9 9 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Correct. being introduced. 10 10 Currently the site has a paseo in the center MR. EHRENHAFT: And when I was reading the 11 11 documents, I had the impression that the core where you've of the site, and it is dark and is a tunnel essentially 12 12 got your bay of seven as opposed to the threes on the through the building. It is quite close to the corner so 13 13 ends, the threes come out a little bit further forward. the function is hardly necessary because you're almost at 14 14 the corner; might as well go to Ponce. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: A lot further, yes. 15 15 MR. EHRENHAFT: And then about -- was it 35 So the idea of the paseo is to move the paseo 16 as close as possible to the center of the block because 16 feet that --17 17 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I believe so. I have the these are very long blocks so it allows you to take a 18 18 floor plans if you like to see them, yes. short-cut. 19 19 This paseo normally required at ten feet is MR. EHRENHAFT: -- that the -- but the center 20 20 core is set back significantly further than the two, two fifteen feet, and it is open to the sky so it has natural 21 21 groups of -light so it's not a dark corridor, so to speak. Instead 22 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Correct. 22 it's a real, like the word says, paseo that is open to the 23 23 MR. EHRENHAFT: -- of structures that are air, and it has light and air and flow. 2.4 24 just three bays wide, right, three windows? And that is where we have also provided 25 25 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: That is correct, way more bicycle parking, scooter locations and benches and other Page 158 Page 160 1 elements, and we have an art wall over to the side, and it 1 than what the code requires, but we were looking to create 2 2 is, it has a portion of the paseo that the required paseo that effect of the two wings and the courtyard. 3 is ten feet, it is 15. This portion is covered so that it 3 You can see it, yes, this is, this is the 4 4 protects, if one chooses to, in case of a rainy day or if second floor -- bring me the typical floor. You know, 5 there's cafe tables or whatever. You know? 5 this is the second floor and it is correct -- I'm sorry, 6 6 So these are some of the features. You see I'm getting on the way to everybody, everybody. 7 7 the planters that form the gardens on the terrace that This is the second floor where you see here 8 8 the set-back where the terrace is and it wraps around and goes the entire length, and the terrace wraps to one side 9 and wraps to the other side towards Miracle Mile. 9 comes back this way, and yes, you are correct, it's 24 and 10 10 When I mentioned the angle, there's actually a half feet plus the set-back, so it's 35 and a half feet. 11 a code calculation that gets this corner that creates that 11 And that set-back continues, but in the 12 larger distance to the next-door neighbor. Here these are 12 middle there is a significant retreat from the edge which 13 the required set-backs, of course, that is per code. 13 you can see in this effect here, and you can see here the 14 So this, this penthouse is permitted to be 13 14 arrangement of the rooms. You are correct, the rooms 15 and a half feet that's shown, and then the rest is as 15 project forward on the two side wings, and in the middle 16 allowed. There's no variances or specific other requests 16 they're recessed. 17 17 when we went to the zoning board or the board of And maybe I'll take this over there so that 18 architects. This is exactly complying in the envelope 18 everybody can see it's far away. 19 19 See the center portion of the building is that is permitted. 20 MR. EHRENHAFT: So may I interject and ask a 20 recessed beyond the required set-back. The required 21 21 couple questions, please? set-back is that line that you see there, and that is that 22 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Sure, please. 22 we create therefore that courtyard that is used for 23 MR. EHRENHAFT: So the ground floor, the 23 outdoor dining overlooking Miracle Mile, although the 24 24 front facade is going to butt up to the sidewalk just as outdoor dining would also surround here. There's one 25 the buildings do today in that site. Is that correct? 25 restaurant on this side and one on this side, and the

Page 161 Page 163 meeting space opens to that garden, and that is the way it 1 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, yeah, they will, as 1 2 is, it is planned. 2 needed. We don't know the tenants' layout yet, but the 3 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Can you leave that one up? 3 idea is that along this edge, they can have cafe tables 4 4 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Excuse me? and activate and be glass, you know, that, the enclosed 5 5 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Can you leave that plan up? wall. 6 6 MS. THOMSON: So right now in the back where MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Sure. 7 you have all -- see, I consider Miracle Mile the front in 7 MR. GARCIA-PONS: And can you get the ground 8 8 plan as well? Do you have the ground level? 9 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. I can move it over 9 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: This is Miracle Mile. 10 there, somebody can see it. This is the ground level --10 MS. THOMSON: That's Miracle Mile, and 11 oh, this is the second floor. Sorry. 11 that's --12 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Right. 12 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: This is the alley. MS. THOMSON: -- the alley, okay, that's what 13 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: And on the ground floor --13 14 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Put it right --14 I'm talking about, the alley. Okay, so what you're doing 15 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: This is the ground floor. 15 is you're using the alley for patio space --16 Sorry, it's upside down. 16 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. 17 MS. THOMSON: -- back there? 17 But this is, that's the paseo that I'm 18 referring to. The paseo used to be here in the center, 18 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: We're just making it look 19 but you can see it was almost at the corner, had no 19 prettier. Like many other cities are doing, we're --20 you're using -- we are following the pattern that has been 20 purpose. Instead the paseo is being moved closer to the 21 center of the block, and it's open air and natural light 21 already done. 2.2 and something that the planning department has been hoping 22 We're taking it down the paseo around Ponce, 23 in the future people also do instead of doing it as a 23 and we are actually making nice pavers in the alley to 24 corridor internal to a building, and that is what we have 24 make it more attractive. It is a different kind of paver 25 25 done here. because it is thicker. It looks the same because of the Page 162 Page 164 1 We have bike positions both at the entrance 1 weight of vehicles and trucks that go. 2 2 on both ends. If somebody is coming and parks here, they But the idea is to make alleys a little bit 3 can tie it here or here, depending whether they come from 3 more pleasant and like they've been doing in Miami Beach 4 which side. 4 on Lincoln Lane behind Lincoln Road to try to enhance the 5 And this, this is the whole frontage of 5 alley and make it eventually a nicer place because it's 6 6 retail, and the only place where we're setting back is actually visible by people going from the garages to 7 7 where the doors are because you're not allowed to open the Miracle Mile, so you actually cross through a rather dingy 8 door and slam somebody, you know, so you have to recess, 8 9 9 and you'll notice in most stores they have that recess And instead what we've done is unlike --10 10 where the doors are, these positions, of course, depending well, in the future it probably will be a requirement, is 11 on the leasing when it's completed, you know, so these 11 that we have made all our trash refrigerated and closed 12 doors do have to recess. 12 and concealed instead of doing it in big bins out in the 13 And -- but it's all lined with retail as is 13 open, and these are some improvements that we're trying to 14 the preference of the planning department to enhance the 14 make to make the alley a little nicer. 15 retail activity on Miracle Mile. 15 MS. THOMSON: Okay. So your alley is nice 16 MR. EHRENHAFT: Two more questions: The 16 behind your building, but --17 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Of course, the next guy 17 paseo is exclusively pedestrians? 18 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, yeah, and/or bikes, 18 hasn't done anything. 19 19 MS. THOMSON: The next guy needs to do yeah. 20 MR. EHRENHAFT: And for commercial spaces 20 something then. that are on the ground level, do they have, along the 21 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. Hopefully, 21 22 paseo --22 hopefully we set the example so in the future buildings 23 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Windows. 23 will have refrigerated trash that is not smelly, because MR. EHRENHAFT: -- windows, but do they have 24 24 in the end, people do cross the alley when they go from

the garage to Miracle Mile, so we're trying to make the

25

25

openings to go into those commercials spaces?

	Page 165		Page 167
1	alley a little bit more of a liveable, acceptable place.	1	Miracle Mile.
2	MS. THOMSON: Now, there's been talk about	2	Ms. THOMSON: Uh-huh.
3	renovating the garage and there's been plans that have	3	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: So now then they know our
4	been presented, and like two of the commissioners I	4	plans, of course they are thinking how to coordinate
5	know all this stuff two of the commissioners have	5	because the paseo is a big feature for the garage access.
6	knocked it down and the developers have come in and spent	6	MS. THOMSON: Okay.
7	like two million dollars just trying to satisfy these two	7	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: And they want to make it
8	commissioners.	8	as pleasant as possible, and of course, we're putting the
9	And my question is what is happening with the	9	nice pavers so people will be able to cross easily from
10	garage space? Because you don't	10	the garage to Miracle Mile once the new garage is
11	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Well, there's a garage now	11	implemented.
12	and another proposals to do a better, more modern, a	12	MS. THOMSON: Okay.
13	larger garage.	13	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Also there is a new,
14	MS. THOMSON: Do you have that proposal?	14	there's a Cheesecake Factory I think or something going on
15	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Well, we do I have	15	here next door to us, so that's being also improved.
16	Ms. THOMSON: Uh-huh.	16	But of course what we would like to
17	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's not in our purview,	17	encourage, that that new garage is built because it would
18	but we've seen proposals	18	be nice for also, for our restaurants and for that and
19	MS. THOMSON: Got you.	19	exploit the
20	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: by the plan by the	20	MS. THOMSON: And of course, the city is
21	parking authority to do a better garage, and I know they	21	supposed to build the new garage, I understand.
22	are	22	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Correct, and they're
23	MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh.	23	apparently they have plans to do it, and what we want to
24	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: looking forward to	24	do is that we don't want our paseo that we're dedicating
25	actually	25	to the city to be built for no reason. You know?
	Page 166		Page 168
1	MS. THOMSON: Are you Mr. Bittel	1	Ms. THOMSON: Right.
2	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No.	2	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated
2	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: - by the way?	2 3	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access.
2 3 4	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia.	2 3 4	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something
2 3 4 5	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect.	2 3 4 5	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a — and I think it
2 3 4 5	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah.	2 3 4 5	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. MS. THOMSON: Is Mr. Bittel here?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a — and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today. MS. THOMSON: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. MS. THOMSON: Is Mr. Bittel here? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a — and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today. MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's rather dingy and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. MS. THOMSON: Is Mr. Bittel here? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, here. MS. THOMSON: Okay. I didn't know — I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a — and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today. MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's rather dingy and dark —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. MS. THOMSON: Is Mr. Bittel here? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, here. MS. THOMSON: Okay. I didn't know — I was confused as to who these people were.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today. MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's rather dingy and dark MS. THOMSON: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. MS. THOMSON: Is Mr. Bittel here? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, here. MS. THOMSON: Okay. I didn't know — I was confused as to who these people were. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No, sorry. No, we know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today. MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's rather dingy and dark MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: and musty to go through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. MS. THOMSON: Is Mr. Bittel here? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, here. MS. THOMSON: Okay. I didn't know I was confused as to who these people were. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No, sorry. No, we know that the planning department, they've expressed in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a — and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today. MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's rather dingy and dark — MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — and musty to go through the building.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. MS. THOMSON: Is Mr. Bittel here? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, here. MS. THOMSON: Okay. I didn't know I was confused as to who these people were. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No, sorry. No, we know that the planning department, they've expressed in the previous hearings that their intent is some day	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a — and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today. MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's rather dingy and dark — MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — and musty to go through the building. MS. THOMSON: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. MS. THOMSON: Is Mr. Bittel here? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, here. MS. THOMSON: Okay. I didn't know I was confused as to who these people were. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No, sorry. No, we know that the planning department, they've expressed in the previous hearings that their intent is some day MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a — and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today. MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's rather dingy and dark — MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — and musty to go through the building. MS. THOMSON: Thank you. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: So that is, that is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. MS. THOMSON: Is Mr. Bittel here? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, here. MS. THOMSON: Okay. I didn't know — I was confused as to who these people were. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No, sorry. No, we know that the planning department, they've expressed in the previous hearings that their intent is some day — MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — to do, their plan is to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a — and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today. MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's rather dingy and dark — MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — and musty to go through the building. MS. THOMSON: Thank you. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: So that is, that is the ground floor plan, and you can see in the elevation this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. MS. THOMSON: Is Mr. Bittel here? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, here. MS. THOMSON: Okay. I didn't know — I was confused as to who these people were. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No, sorry. No, we know that the planning department, they've expressed in the previous hearings that their intent is some day — MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — to do, their plan is to do a nicer garage which we would encourage them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a — and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today. MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's rather dingy and dark — MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — and musty to go through the building. MS. THOMSON: Thank you. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: So that is, that is the ground floor plan, and you can see in the elevation this is where you would emerge, close to the middle of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. MS. THOMSON: Is Mr. Bittel here? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, here. MS. THOMSON: Okay. I didn't know — I was confused as to who these people were. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No, sorry. No, we know that the planning department, they've expressed in the previous hearings that their intent is some day — MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — to do, their plan is to do a nicer garage which we would encourage them. MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today. MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's rather dingy and dark MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: and musty to go through the building. MS. THOMSON: Thank you. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: So that is, that is the ground floor plan, and you can see in the elevation this is where you would emerge, close to the middle of the block which is what the purpose of the paseo.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. MS. THOMSON: Is Mr. Bittel here? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, here. MS. THOMSON: Okay. I didn't know — I was confused as to who these people were. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No, sorry. No, we know that the planning department, they've expressed in the previous hearings that their intent is some day — MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — to do, their plan is to do a nicer garage which we would encourage them. MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: And I think that they're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today. MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's rather dingy and dark MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: and musty to go through the building. MS. THOMSON: Thank you. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: So that is, that is the ground floor plan, and you can see in the elevation this is where you would emerge, close to the middle of the block which is what the purpose of the paseo. MS. THOMSON: What about the tenants, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. MS. THOMSON: Is Mr. Bittel here? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, here. MS. THOMSON: Okay. I didn't know I was confused as to who these people were. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No, sorry. No, we know that the planning department, they've expressed in the previous hearings that their intent is some day MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — to do, their plan is to do a nicer garage which we would encourage them. MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: And I think that they're trying to, since we're offering such a nice wide and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a — and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today. MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's rather dingy and dark — MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — and musty to go through the building. MS. THOMSON: Thank you. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: So that is, that is the ground floor plan, and you can see in the elevation this is where you would emerge, close to the middle of the block which is what the purpose of the paseo. MS. THOMSON: What about the tenants, the people that, that, the small businesses and like dentists
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. MS. THOMSON: Is Mr. Bittel here? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, here. MS. THOMSON: Okay. I didn't know — I was confused as to who these people were. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No, sorry. No, we know that the planning department, they've expressed in the previous hearings that their intent is some day — MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — to do, their plan is to do a nicer garage which we would encourage them. MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: And I think that they're trying to, since we're offering such a nice wide and open-air paseo, they're trying to, their plan is trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a — and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today. MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's rather dingy and dark — MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — and musty to go through the building. MS. THOMSON: Thank you. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: So that is, that is the ground floor plan, and you can see in the elevation this is where you would emerge, close to the middle of the block which is what the purpose of the paseo. MS. THOMSON: What about the tenants, the people that, that, the small businesses and like dentists and stuff that are down there, are they going to still be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. MS. THOMSON: Is Mr. Bittel here? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, here. MS. THOMSON: Okay. I didn't know — I was confused as to who these people were. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No, sorry. No, we know that the planning department, they've expressed in the previous hearings that their intent is some day — MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — to do, their plan is to do a nicer garage which we would encourage them. MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: And I think that they're trying to, since we're offering such a nice wide and open-air paseo, they're trying to, their plan is trying to match the descent from the garage —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a — and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today. MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's rather dingy and dark — MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — and musty to go through the building. MS. THOMSON: Thank you. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: So that is, that is the ground floor plan, and you can see in the elevation this is where you would emerge, close to the middle of the block which is what the purpose of the paseo. MS. THOMSON: What about the tenants, the people that, that, the small businesses and like dentists and stuff that are down there, are they going to still be down there on the, in this block?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. MS. THOMSON: Is Mr. Bittel here? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, here. MS. THOMSON: Okay. I didn't know — I was confused as to who these people were. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No, sorry. No, we know that the planning department, they've expressed in the previous hearings that their intent is some day — MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — to do, their plan is to do a nicer garage which we would encourage them. MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: And I think that they're trying to, since we're offering such a nice wide and open-air paseo, they're trying to, their plan is trying to match the descent from the garage — MS. THOMSON: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a — and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today. MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's rather dingy and dark — MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — and musty to go through the building. MS. THOMSON: Thank you. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: So that is, that is the ground floor plan, and you can see in the elevation this is where you would emerge, close to the middle of the block which is what the purpose of the paseo. MS. THOMSON: What about the tenants, the people that, that, the small businesses and like dentists and stuff that are down there, are they going to still be down there on the, in this block? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Actually, no, there's no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. MS. THOMSON: Is Mr. Bittel here? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, here. MS. THOMSON: Okay. I didn't know — I was confused as to who these people were. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No, sorry. No, we know that the planning department, they've expressed in the previous hearings that their intent is some day — MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — to do, their plan is to do a nicer garage which we would encourage them. MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: And I think that they're trying to, since we're offering such a nice wide and open-air paseo, they're trying to, their plan is trying to match the descent from the garage — MS. THOMSON: Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — to be in front where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today. MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's rather dingy and dark MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: and musty to go through the building. MS. THOMSON: Thank you. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: So that is, that is the ground floor plan, and you can see in the elevation this is where you would emerge, close to the middle of the block which is what the purpose of the paseo. MS. THOMSON: What about the tenants, the people that, that, the small businesses and like dentists and stuff that are down there, are they going to still be down there on the, in this block? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Actually, no, there's no tenants. The building has already been largely vacated.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No. MS. THOMSON: — by the way? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I'm Bernardo Fort-Brescia. I'm the architect. MS. THOMSON: Bernardo. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. MS. THOMSON: Is Mr. Bittel here? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, here. MS. THOMSON: Okay. I didn't know — I was confused as to who these people were. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: No, sorry. No, we know that the planning department, they've expressed in the previous hearings that their intent is some day — MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — to do, their plan is to do a nicer garage which we would encourage them. MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: And I think that they're trying to, since we're offering such a nice wide and open-air paseo, they're trying to, their plan is trying to match the descent from the garage — MS. THOMSON: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It should be coordinated with the garage so that since we're providing nice access. MS. THOMSON: I figured there was something going on like that. Okay. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a — and I think it will be a lot more pleasant than today. MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's rather dingy and dark — MS. THOMSON: Sure. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: — and musty to go through the building. MS. THOMSON: Thank you. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: So that is, that is the ground floor plan, and you can see in the elevation this is where you would emerge, close to the middle of the block which is what the purpose of the paseo. MS. THOMSON: What about the tenants, the people that, that, the small businesses and like dentists and stuff that are down there, are they going to still be down there on the, in this block? MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Actually, no, there's no

Page 169 Page 171 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. 1 my question --MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Oh, yes. 2 MS. THOMSON: Okay. 2 3 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah, this has been --MR. GARCIA-PONS: -- specifically my question 3 4 MS. THOMSON: Got it. 4 is the incrementality, if that is even a word, of the 5 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: -- in preparation for a 5 facade. 6 6 long time. You know, there's the parcelization of 7 MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh, okay. 7 Miracle Mile which has buildings of a certain width and 8 8 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: And only finally that the height that vary in texture, color, massing, et cetera, 9 tenants are all cleared that we can do this. 9 and this particular building is all one height, one mass 10 MS. THOMSON: Great. Thank you. 10 and one plane. 11 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: And some of the 11 I don't know if there's -- is there any 12 restaurants are looking forward to the second -- to 12 thoughts moving forward as to the relationship of that 13 entering below and going up to the second floor because 13 facade to the street, or is that it? And the question 14 14 the idea of a terrace overlooking Miracle Mile, outdoor specifically is awnings or any other materiality that 15 dining, is quite attractive for many of the potential 15 projects from that glass curtain wall that you have. 16 tenants that are excited about moving here. 16 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. Of course, Miracle MR. GARCIA-PONS: Mr. Chairman, I have a 17 17 Mile is rather eclectic because there's regular buildings, 18 couple more questions. There's a Sheet A 22 or A 23 which 18 the little Swiss chalet, then that long building here. 19 is kind of street section or the street elevation. I 19 You know, it switches from -- the dimensions are very --20 20 it's not intended to be uniform. Right? And it's -- so don't know if you have those boards, but that's the ones 21 I'm going to refer to in our package. 21 there's very large buildings that are very long, and other MR. FORT-BRESCIA: This is the street, 22 22 ones that are very short. 23 Miracle Mile. 23 The awnings that we hope we could get 24 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Right, but this is the 24 approved eventually will be presented, depending on the 25 25 longer version showing more of the street, not just the tenant. We are presenting what is a base building, and Page 170 Page 172 1 elevation of your building. 1 the building is doing what the code requires, which is 2 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Oh, the rest, yeah, yeah, 2 this linear, it has to stay on the edge of the street, and 3 3 actually it is not -- it's a very good policy because in we have them, yes. 4 4 many cities when people created deep recesses, those MR. GARCIA-PONS: So in our package for 5 everyone on the board, it's A 22 and A 23 --5 stores die. They're far away. You don't have eye contact 6 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. 6 with the storefront, you know, that is really close to 7 7 MR. GARCIA-PONS: -- which really it's A 22 vou. 8 8 which talks about --But you are asking whether we would 9 MS. SPAIN: So is it that one? 9 contemplate awnings in front and coming forward. If the 10 10 MR. GARCIA-PONS: -- the building character. city allows, you know, that they come forward, we would 11 That's correct. 11 like it a lot, you know. 12 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. 12 This is for rain protection. Actually, this 13 MR. GARCIA-PONS: So my questions are going 13 is the north side so it's more for esthetics and for other 14 to be the relationship of the 17-foot high podium in 14 reasons than the sun, but it is -- yeah, we would not 15 relation to the rest of the street, and it appears to 15 object, but I think it depends on the tenant, because I 16 16 don't know if we would want it all uniform because it 17 MS. THOMSON: Higher. 17 would look maybe too much like a strip center. 18 MR. GARCIA-PONS: -- in line with the 18 Ms. THOMSON: This --19 character and height of the existing buildings on the 19 MR. GARCIA-PONS: The second question --20 20 sorry. Can I -street. MS. THOMSON: No, it's okay. Go ahead, and MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. It is -- we have a 21 21 22 podium --22 I've asked enough. 23 MR. GARCIA-PONS: My comment --23 MR. GARCIA-PONS: The second question is do 24 24 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: -- that comes forward. you have anything that shows this project in context with 25 MR. GARCIA-PONS: -- my question, Bernardo, 25 the Colonnade across the street as far as the podium and

	Page 173		Page 175
1	the building? Is there any I don't see any drawings in	1	MS. SPAIN: Right.
2	the package that show the Colonnade in any way, shape or	2	MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh.
3	form.	3	MR. GARCIA-PONS: The ground floor
4	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Actually we have a view	4	MS. SPAIN: The rotunda, the rotunda portion
5	from the Colonnade if I can pull it up.	5	of it.
6	The Colonnade, of course, has the same	6	MR. GARCIA-PONS: rotunda, in fact, the
7	composition at its base, but of course it's so much	7	historic portion of the building.
8	taller. It's like 13 feet 13 stories. It's just	8	MS. SPAIN: Yes.
9	actually	9	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yeah. Well, there are
10	MS. THOMSON: But it's beautiful.	10	some similarities and some differences, and of course, the
11	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: it's a much larger	11	composition of that two solids and the void is quite
12	building than what	12	similar in the way it is composed with the fact is that
13	MS. THOMSON: This thing.	13	you see where the end of that detailing of the pillars is,
14	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Right, so I think the	14	is about the height of our podium, but under the current
15	question, I'm trying and the reason I'm asking the	15	code, you're not to create a recess. You know?
16	question is, and this is	16	So our property does come here to this height
17	MS. THOMSON: I understand.	17	and the void occurs here above the ground floor, because
18	MR. GARCIA-PONS: a question for staff	18	the ground floor in this case is void, and actually this
19	MS. THOMSON: Right.	19	was intended originally as a bank, and it's quite
20	MR. GARCIA-PONS: is again what our	20	different than retail.
21	purview is, it's very tightly written, is how does this	21	Actually it hurts the retail that it's set
22	project fit, my understanding, this, does it affect the	22	back behind the columns.
23	historic properties, and I'm trying to think of	23	MS. THOMSON: The bank.
24	MS. THOMSON: Right.	24	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's really tough space
25	MR. GARCIA-PONS: if this building, if	25	for that reason. It feels dark and recessed.
	Page 174		Page 176
1	designed and built this way in this location, would affect	1	So in our case we do have the arrangement of
1 2	designed and built this way in this location, would affect the historic properties specifically designated in this	1 2	So in our case we do have the arrangement of two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't
	·		
2	the historic properties specifically designated in this	2	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't
2	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to	2 3	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have but we do have an occupied base to the edge which
2 3 4	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing	2 3 4	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires.
2 3 4 5	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing building would have been, the character of that building	2 3 4 5	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have — but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires. It's not our choice to create a courtyard in
2 3 4 5 6	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing building would have been, the character of that building in relationship, like what is the relationship of these	2 3 4 5 6	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires. It's not our choice to create a courtyard in the middle, but I think a courtyard would be detrimental
2 3 4 5 6 7	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing building would have been, the character of that building in relationship, like what is the relationship of these two corners.	2 3 4 5 6 7	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires. It's not our choice to create a courtyard in the middle, but I think a courtyard would be detrimental to the retail success, so, but in every other respect, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing building would have been, the character of that building in relationship, like what is the relationship of these two corners. And again, I just don't see a drawing that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have — but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires. It's not our choice to create a courtyard in the middle, but I think a courtyard would be detrimental to the retail success, so, but in every other respect, we tried to follow the compositional arrangement of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing building would have been, the character of that building in relationship, like what is the relationship of these two corners. And again, I just don't see a drawing that talks about it. I don't know if you have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have — but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires. It's not our choice to create a courtyard in the middle, but I think a courtyard would be detrimental to the retail success, so, but in every other respect, we tried to follow the compositional arrangement of that building, and I think we do propose what is a good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing building would have been, the character of that building in relationship, like what is the relationship of these two corners. And again, I just don't see a drawing that talks about it. I don't know if you have any MS. THOMSON: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have — but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires. It's not our choice to create a courtyard in the middle, but I think a courtyard would be detrimental to the retail success, so, but in every other respect, we tried to follow the compositional arrangement of that building, and I think we do propose what is a good relationship.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing building would have been, the character of that building in relationship, like what is the relationship of these two corners. And again, I just don't see a drawing that talks about it. I don't know if you have any MS. THOMSON: No. MR. GARCIA-PONS: information about that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have — but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires. It's not our choice to create a courtyard in the middle, but I think a courtyard would be detrimental to the retail success, so, but in every other respect, we tried to follow the compositional arrangement of that building, and I think we do propose what is a good relationship. Now, needless to say, we don't have the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing building would have been, the character of that building in relationship, like what is the relationship of these two corners. And again, I just don't see a drawing that talks about it. I don't know if you have any MS. THOMSON: No. MR. GARCIA-PONS: information about that. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Well	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have — but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires. It's not our choice to create a courtyard in the middle, but I think a courtyard would be detrimental to the retail success, so, but in every other respect, we tried to follow the compositional arrangement of that building, and I think we do propose what is a good relationship. Now, needless to say, we don't have the adjacency to this building like this one has, but this,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing building would have been, the character of that building in relationship, like what is the relationship of these two corners. And again, I just don't see a drawing that talks about it. I don't know if you have any MS. THOMSON: No. MR. GARCIA-PONS: information about that. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Well MS. SPAIN: That's a larger building. That's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have — but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires. It's not our choice to create a courtyard in the middle, but I think a courtyard would be detrimental to the retail success, so, but in every other respect, we tried to follow the compositional arrangement of that building, and I think we do propose what is a good relationship. Now, needless to say, we don't have the adjacency to this building like this one has, but this, even though it's, I can't believe 13 stories — I guess
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing building would have been, the character of that building in relationship, like what is the relationship of these two corners. And again, I just don't see a drawing that talks about it. I don't know if you have any MS. THOMSON: No. MR. GARCIA-PONS: information about that. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Well MS. SPAIN: That's a larger building. That's a two-story.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have — but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires. It's not our choice to create a courtyard in the middle, but I think a courtyard would be detrimental to the retail success, so, but in every other respect, we tried to follow the compositional arrangement of that building, and I think we do propose what is a good relationship. Now, needless to say, we don't have the adjacency to this building like this one has, but this, even though it's, I can't believe 13 stories — I guess that was at some point considered compatible with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing building would have been, the character of that building in relationship, like what is the relationship of these two corners. And again, I just don't see a drawing that talks about it. I don't know if you have any MS. THOMSON: No. MR. GARCIA-PONS: information about that. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Well MS. SPAIN: That's a larger building. That's a two-story. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a so much larger	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have — but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires. It's not our choice to create a courtyard in the middle, but I think a courtyard would be detrimental to the retail success, so, but in every other respect, we tried to follow the compositional arrangement of that building, and I think we do propose what is a good relationship. Now, needless to say, we don't have the adjacency to this building like this one has, but this, even though it's, I can't believe 13 stories — I guess that was at some point considered compatible with the Florida National Bank — we are a much lower building.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing building would have been, the character of that building in relationship, like what is the relationship of these two corners. And again, I just don't see a drawing that talks about it. I don't know if you have any MS. THOMSON: No. MR. GARCIA-PONS: information about that. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Well MS. SPAIN: That's a larger building. That's a two-story. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a so much larger building.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have — but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires. It's not our choice to create a courtyard in the middle, but I think a courtyard would be detrimental to the retail success, so, but in every other respect, we tried to follow the compositional arrangement of that building, and I think we do propose what is a good relationship. Now, needless to say, we don't have the adjacency to this building like this one has, but this, even though it's, I can't believe 13 stories — I guess that was at some point considered compatible with the Florida National Bank — we are a much lower building. We're just this height.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing building would have been, the character of that building in relationship, like what is the relationship of these two corners. And again, I just don't see a drawing that talks about it. I don't know if you have any MS. THOMSON: No. MR. GARCIA-PONS: information about that. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Well MS. SPAIN: That's a larger building. That's a two-story. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a so much larger building. MS. SPAIN: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have — but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires. It's not our choice to create a courtyard in the middle, but I think a courtyard would be detrimental to the retail success, so, but in every other respect, we tried to follow the compositional arrangement of that building, and I think we do propose what is a good relationship. Now, needless to say, we don't have the adjacency to this building like this one has, but this, even though it's, I can't believe 13 stories — I guess that was at some point considered compatible with the Florida National Bank — we are a much lower building. We're just this height. MS. SPAIN: And I'd like to also point out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing building would have been, the character of that building in relationship, like what is the relationship of these two corners. And again, I just don't see a drawing that talks about it. I don't know if you have any MS. THOMSON: No. MR. GARCIA-PONS: information about that. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Well MS. SPAIN: That's a larger building. That's a two-story. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a so much larger building. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have — but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires. It's not our choice to create a courtyard in the middle, but I think a courtyard would be detrimental to the retail success, so, but in every other respect, we tried to follow the compositional arrangement of that building, and I think we do propose what is a good relationship. Now, needless to say, we don't have the adjacency to this building like this one has, but this, even though it's, I can't believe 13 stories — I guess that was at some point considered compatible with the Florida National Bank — we are a much lower building. We're just this height. MS. SPAIN: And I'd like to also point out that the existing building — do you have a photograph of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing building would have been, the character of that building in relationship, like what is the relationship of these two corners. And again, I just don't see a drawing that talks about it. I don't know if you have any MS. THOMSON: No. MR. GARCIA-PONS: information about that. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Well MS. SPAIN: That's a larger building. That's a two-story. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a so much larger building. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's like MS. SPAIN: That's pushed way back, though.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have — but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires. It's not our choice to create a courtyard in the middle, but I think a courtyard would be detrimental to the retail success, so, but in every other respect, we tried to follow the compositional arrangement of that building, and I think we do propose what is a good relationship. Now, needless to say, we don't have the adjacency to this building like this one has, but this, even though it's, I can't believe 13 stories — I guess that was at some point considered compatible with the Florida National Bank — we are a much lower building. We're just this height. MS. SPAIN: And I'd like to also point out that the existing building — do you have a photograph of the existing building that's on 220 now? Because that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing building would have been, the character of that building in relationship, like what is the relationship of these two corners. And again, I just don't see a drawing that talks about it. I don't know if you have any MS. THOMSON: No. MR. GARCIA-PONS: information about that. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Well MS. SPAIN: That's a larger building. That's a two-story. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a so much larger building. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's like MS. SPAIN: That's pushed way back, though. MR. GARCIA-PONS: So I'll even narrow the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have — but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires. It's not our choice to create a courtyard in the middle, but I think a courtyard would be detrimental to the retail success, so, but in every other respect, we tried to follow the compositional arrangement of that building, and I think we do propose what is a good relationship. Now, needless to say, we don't have the adjacency to this building like this one has, but this, even though it's, I can't believe 13 stories — I guess that was at some point considered compatible with the Florida National Bank — we are a much lower building. We're just this height. MS. SPAIN: And I'd like to also point out that the existing building — do you have a photograph of the existing building that's on 220 now? Because that's one long building.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing building would have been, the character of that building in relationship, like what is the relationship of these two corners. And again, I just don't see a drawing that talks about it. I don't know if you have any MS. THOMSON: No. MR. GARCIA-PONS: information about that. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Well MS. SPAIN: That's a larger building. That's a two-story. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a so much larger building. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's like MS. SPAIN: That's pushed way back, though. MR. GARCIA-PONS: So I'll even narrow the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have — but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires. It's not our choice to create a courtyard in the middle, but I think a courtyard would be detrimental to the retail success, so, but in every other respect, we tried to follow the compositional arrangement of that building, and I think we do propose what is a good relationship. Now, needless to say, we don't have the adjacency to this building like this one has, but this, even though it's, I can't believe 13 stories — I guess that was at some point considered compatible with the Florida National Bank — we are a much lower building. We're just this height. MS. SPAIN: And I'd like to also point out that the existing building — do you have a photograph of the existing building. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the historic properties specifically designated in this report which includes that building, and I would like to know in context perhaps what the height of the existing building would have been, the character of that building in relationship, like what is the relationship of these two corners. And again, I just don't see a drawing that talks about it. I don't know if you have any MS. THOMSON: No. MR. GARCIA-PONS: information about that. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Well MS. SPAIN: That's a larger building. That's a two-story. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a so much larger building. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's like MS. SPAIN: That's pushed way back, though. MR. GARCIA-PONS: So I'll even narrow the question. MS. SPAIN: The front part of it is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	two symmetrical pillars. We have a void, but we don't have — but we do have an occupied base to the edge which actually the code requires. It's not our choice to create a courtyard in the middle, but I think a courtyard would be detrimental to the retail success, so, but in every other respect, we tried to follow the compositional arrangement of that building, and I think we do propose what is a good relationship. Now, needless to say, we don't have the adjacency to this building like this one has, but this, even though it's, I can't believe 13 stories — I guess that was at some point considered compatible with the Florida National Bank — we are a much lower building. We're just this height. MS. SPAIN: And I'd like to also point out that the existing building — do you have a photograph of the existing building that's on 220 now? Because that's one long building. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. MS. SPAIN: You know?

	Page 177		Page 179
1	you all know where Starbucks used to be.	1	MR. EHRENHAFT: Yeah.
2	MS. SPAIN: Yes.	2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: at the time.
3	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Which is actually far less	3	MS. THOMSON: It predates it.
4	compatible. I mean, it's, that's sort of a mint color	4	MS. SPAIN: Yes, it does, absolutely.
5	building.	5	MR. EHRENHAFT: By about 25 years.
6	MS. THOMSON: Starbucks and Einsteins?	6	MS. SPAIN: By the time the 1940s, after the
7	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Excuse me?	7	war came around, the original 1920s buildings that were
8	MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry, Starbucks and	8	there apparently had all been demolished, and so it was
9	Einsteins?	9	like a do-over. They wanted it to be modern.
10	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes, that's right.	10	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I mean, it was, I read
11	MS. SPAIN: Yes, yes, that one	11	some story
12	MS. THOMSON: Okay.	12	MS. THOMSON: Convenient.
13	MS. SPAIN: that building.	13	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: it was done by one of
14	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. It's not a beauty,	14	the what's his name, my gosh the banker that started
15	but it's, that is from you know.	15	Florida National Bank that came from the DuPont family,
16	MS. SPAIN: That's all right. It doesn't	16	and it was intend to be some grandiose
17	matter. People know that building.	17	MS. SPAIN: I believe it was George Merrick's
18	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's not going to be	18	sales office originally.
19	there, but it's largely empty as you know.	19	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Oh, yeah?
20	MS. THOMSON: I think, didn't it used to be	20	MS. THOMSON: Was it? Yeah.
21	like a Three Sisters store and Jean Nicole, or is that	21	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Oh, is that where he
22	another block?	22	started?
23	MS. McILROY: No. Three Sisters was at the	23	MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's where he started.
24	far corner and (inaudible).	24	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, so you know better,
25	MS. SPAIN: You're right. You're good.	25	of course.
		-	
	Page 178		Page 180
1	Page 178 MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow. Jesus.	1	Page 180 MS. SPAIN: Not really.
1 2	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus.	1 2	MS. SPAIN: Not really.
	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here.		
2	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus.	2	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you
2	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to	2 3	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover.
2 3 4	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify	2 3 4	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of
2 3 4 5	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy,	2 3 4 5	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy, Terranova.	2 3 4 5 6	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of personal comments. I like the fact that the set-backs
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy, Terranova. MR. BILLET: Three Sisters and Jean Nicole	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of personal comments. I like the fact that the set-backs have been included in the upper stories.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy, Terranova. MR. BILLET: Three Sisters and Jean Nicole were the same company.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of personal comments. I like the fact that the set-backs have been included in the upper stories. There are other buildings that have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy, Terranova. MR. BILLET: Three Sisters and Jean Nicole were the same company. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. The proposed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of personal comments. I like the fact that the set-backs have been included in the upper stories. There are other buildings that have been built in the Gables in the last half decade that are just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy, Terranova. MR. BILLET: Three Sisters and Jean Nicole were the same company. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. The proposed building is actually not even as long and of course not as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of personal comments. I like the fact that the set-backs have been included in the upper stories. There are other buildings that have been built in the Gables in the last half decade that are just masses of concrete that rise straight from the sidewalk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy, Terranova. MR. BILLET: Three Sisters and Jean Nicole were the same company. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. The proposed building is actually not even as long and of course not as high or any of it. You know, it's, in fact, a much more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of personal comments. I like the fact that the set-backs have been included in the upper stories. There are other buildings that have been built in the Gables in the last half decade that are just masses of concrete that rise straight from the sidewalk from with almost no, no set-back, so at least when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy, Terranova. MR. BILLET: Three Sisters and Jean Nicole were the same company. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. The proposed building is actually not even as long and of course not as high or any of it. You know, it's, in fact, a much more parcel as you can see from the plans, and there's I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of personal comments. I like the fact that the set-backs have been included in the upper stories. There are other buildings that have been built in the Gables in the last half decade that are just masses of concrete that rise straight from the sidewalk from with almost no, no set-back, so at least when you're on the street, you're not being slammed in the face
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy, Terranova. MR. BILLET: Three Sisters and Jean Nicole were the same company. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. The proposed building is actually not even as long and of course not as high or any of it. You know, it's, in fact, a much more parcel as you can see from the plans, and there's I don't know if anything else that you'd like to see, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of personal comments. I like the fact that the set-backs have been included in the upper stories. There are other buildings that have been built in the Gables in the last half decade that are just masses of concrete that rise straight from the sidewalk from with almost no, no set-back, so at least when you're on the street, you're not being slammed in the face by that rising facade, and the fact that the center,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy, Terranova. MR. BILLET: Three Sisters and Jean Nicole were the same company. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. The proposed building is actually not even as long and of course not as high or any of it. You know, it's, in fact, a much more parcel as you can see from the plans, and there's I don't know if anything else that you'd like to see, and I have full drawings of everything else if you like.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of personal comments. I like the fact that the set-backs have been included in the upper stories. There are other buildings that have been built in the Gables in the last half decade that are just masses of concrete that rise straight from the sidewalk from with almost no, no set-back, so at least when you're on the street, you're not being slammed in the face by that rising facade, and the fact that the center, center court recedes even farther I think is a plus.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy, Terranova. MR. BILLET: Three Sisters and Jean Nicole were the same company. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. The proposed building is actually not even as long and of course not as high or any of it. You know, it's, in fact, a much more parcel as you can see from the plans, and there's I don't know if anything else that you'd like to see, and I have full drawings of everything else if you like. MS. SPAIN: So I think the Colonnade is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of personal comments. I like the fact that the set-backs have been included in the upper stories. There are other buildings that have been built in the Gables in the last half decade that are just masses of concrete that rise straight from the sidewalk from with almost no, no set-back, so at least when you're on the street, you're not being slammed in the face by that rising facade, and the fact that the center, center court recedes even farther I think is a plus. And I see the columns that are on the podium
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy, Terranova. MR. BILLET: Three Sisters and Jean Nicole were the same company. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. The proposed building is actually not even as long and of course not as high or any of it. You know, it's, in fact, a much more parcel as you can see from the plans, and there's I don't know if anything else that you'd like to see, and I have full drawings of everything else if you like. MS. SPAIN: So I think the Colonnade is the anomaly on Miracle Mile because it's a Mediterranean style	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of personal comments. I like the fact that the set-backs have been included in the upper stories. There are other buildings that have been built in the Gables in the last half decade that are just masses of concrete that rise straight from the sidewalk from with almost no, no set-back, so at least when you're on the street, you're not being slammed in the face by that rising facade, and the fact that the center, center court recedes even farther I think is a plus. And I see the columns that are on the podium below in your structure are round and limestone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy, Terranova. MR. BILLET: Three Sisters and Jean Nicole were the same company. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. The proposed building is actually not even as long and of course not as high or any of it. You know, it's, in fact, a much more parcel as you can see from the plans, and there's I don't know if anything else that you'd like to see, and I have full drawings of everything else if you like. MS. SPAIN: So I think the Colonnade is the anomaly on Miracle Mile because it's a Mediterranean style ornate building, which I think is fine, surrounded by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of personal comments. I like the fact that the set-backs have been included in the upper stories. There are other buildings that have been built in the Gables in the last half decade that are just masses of concrete that rise straight from the sidewalk from with almost no, no set-back, so at least when you're on the street, you're not being slammed in the face by that rising facade, and the fact that the center, center court recedes even farther I think is a plus. And I see the columns that are on the podium below in your structure are round and limestone. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy, Terranova. MR. BILLET: Three Sisters and Jean Nicole were the same company. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. The proposed building is actually not even as long and of course not as high or any of it. You know, it's, in fact, a much more parcel as you can see from the plans, and there's I don't know if anything else that you'd like to see, and I have full drawings of everything else if you like. MS. SPAIN: So I think the Colonnade is the anomaly on Miracle Mile because it's a Mediterranean style ornate building, which I think is fine, surrounded by modern buildings. That's my view on the Colonnade. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of personal comments. I like the fact that the set-backs have been included in the upper stories. There are other buildings that have been built in the Gables in the last half decade that are just masses of concrete that rise straight from the sidewalk from — with almost no, no set-back, so at least when you're on the street, you're not being slammed in the face by that rising facade, and the fact that the center, center court recedes even farther I think is a plus. And I see the columns that are on the podium below in your structure are round and limestone. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. MR. EHRENHAFT: So that was intended to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy, Terranova. MR. BILLET: Three Sisters and Jean Nicole were the same company. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. The proposed building is actually not even as long and of course not as high or any of it. You know, it's, in fact, a much more parcel as you can see from the plans, and there's I don't know if anything else that you'd like to see, and I have full drawings of everything else if you like. MS. SPAIN: So I think the Colonnade is the anomaly on Miracle Mile because it's a Mediterranean style ornate building, which I think is fine, surrounded by modern buildings. That's my view on the Colonnade. It's an interesting question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of personal comments. I like the fact that the set-backs have been included in the upper stories. There are other buildings that have been built in the Gables in the last half decade that are just masses of concrete that rise straight from the sidewalk from with almost no, no set-back, so at least when you're on the street, you're not being slammed in the face by that rising facade, and the fact that the center, center court recedes even farther I think is a plus. And I see the columns that are on the podium below in your structure are round and limestone. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. MR. EHRENHAFT: So that was intended to recapitulate what was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy, Terranova. MR. BILLET: Three Sisters and Jean Nicole were the same company. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. The proposed building is actually not even as long and of course not as high or any of it. You know, it's, in fact, a much more parcel as you can see from the plans, and there's I don't know if anything else that you'd like to see, and I have full drawings of everything else if you like. MS. SPAIN: So I think the Colonnade is the anomaly on Miracle Mile because it's a Mediterranean style ornate building, which I think is fine, surrounded by modern buildings. That's my view on the Colonnade. It's an interesting question. MS. THOMSON: When was the Colonnade built?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of personal comments. I like the fact that the set-backs have been included in the upper stories. There are other buildings that have been built in the Gables in the last half decade that are just masses of concrete that rise straight from the sidewalk from with almost no, no set-back, so at least when you're on the street, you're not being slammed in the face by that rising facade, and the fact that the center, center court recedes even farther I think is a plus. And I see the columns that are on the podium below in your structure are round and limestone. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. MR. EHRENHAFT: So that was intended to recapitulate what was MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Excuse me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy, Terranova. MR. BILLET: Three Sisters and Jean Nicole were the same company. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. The proposed building is actually not even as long and of course not as high or any of it. You know, it's, in fact, a much more parcel as you can see from the plans, and there's I don't know if anything else that you'd like to see, and I have full drawings of everything else if you like. MS. SPAIN: So I think the Colonnade is the anomaly on Miracle Mile because it's a Mediterranean style ornate building, which I think is fine, surrounded by modern buildings. That's my view on the Colonnade. It's an interesting question. MS. THOMSON: When was the Colonnade built? I don't even know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of personal comments. I like the fact that the set-backs have been included in the upper stories. There are other buildings that have been built in the Gables in the last half decade that are just masses of concrete that rise straight from the sidewalk from with almost no, no set-back, so at least when you're on the street, you're not being slammed in the face by that rising facade, and the fact that the center, center court recedes even farther I think is a plus. And I see the columns that are on the podium below in your structure are round and limestone. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. MR. EHRENHAFT: So that was intended to recapitulate what was MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Excuse me. MR. EHRENHAFT: Was that chosen to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy, Terranova. MR. BILLET: Three Sisters and Jean Nicole were the same company. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. The proposed building is actually not even as long and of course not as high or any of it. You know, it's, in fact, a much more parcel as you can see from the plans, and there's I don't know if anything else that you'd like to see, and I have full drawings of everything else if you like. MS. SPAIN: So I think the Colonnade is the anomaly on Miracle Mile because it's a Mediterranean style ornate building, which I think is fine, surrounded by modern buildings. That's my view on the Colonnade. It's an interesting question. MS. THOMSON: When was the Colonnade built? I don't even know. MS. SPAIN: In the '20s.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of personal comments. I like the fact that the set-backs have been included in the upper stories. There are other buildings that have been built in the Gables in the last half decade that are just masses of concrete that rise straight from the sidewalk from with almost no, no set-back, so at least when you're on the street, you're not being slammed in the face by that rising facade, and the fact that the center, center court recedes even farther I think is a plus. And I see the columns that are on the podium below in your structure are round and limestone. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. MR. EHRENHAFT: So that was intended to recapitulate what was MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Excuse me. MR. EHRENHAFT: Was that chosen to recapitulate or nod, give a nod towards what the facade of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Wow, Jesus. MS. THOMSON: I grew up here. MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry. If you're going to testify MS. McILROY: I'm sorry, Mindy McIlroy, Terranova. MR. BILLET: Three Sisters and Jean Nicole were the same company. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. The proposed building is actually not even as long and of course not as high or any of it. You know, it's, in fact, a much more parcel as you can see from the plans, and there's I don't know if anything else that you'd like to see, and I have full drawings of everything else if you like. MS. SPAIN: So I think the Colonnade is the anomaly on Miracle Mile because it's a Mediterranean style ornate building, which I think is fine, surrounded by modern buildings. That's my view on the Colonnade. It's an interesting question. MS. THOMSON: When was the Colonnade built? I don't even know. MS. SPAIN: In the '20s. MS. THOMSON: In the '20s?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. SPAIN: Not really. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It says, of course you know, and that's the cover. MS. SPAIN: Okay. MR. EHRENHAFT: I have just a couple of personal comments. I like the fact that the set-backs have been included in the upper stories. There are other buildings that have been built in the Gables in the last half decade that are just masses of concrete that rise straight from the sidewalk from — with almost no, no set-back, so at least when you're on the street, you're not being slammed in the face by that rising facade, and the fact that the center, center court recedes even farther I think is a plus. And I see the columns that are on the podium below in your structure are round and limestone. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Yes. MR. EHRENHAFT: So that was intended to recapitulate what was — MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Excuse me. MR. EHRENHAFT: Was that chosen to recapitulate or nod, give a nod towards what the facade of the Colonnade was?

	Page 181		Page 183
1	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.	1	unattractive to have two levels of parking. I don't think
2	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It was, there are circular	2	anybody and then the building, I think that people
3	columns here, and we picked up on that and tonally the	3	would not appreciate parking garages on Miracle Mile.
4	sand color as well.	4	MS. THOMSON: Okay.
5	But you're correct, they're circular. You	5	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.
6	picked up a very important part and aspect, and they are	6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: We're ready.
7	in the shell stone material.	7	MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody else in the
8	MS. THOMSON: See, if this one, this building	8	audience that intends to speak to the issue? Okay. Any
9	you talk about modern and George Zain created Miracle	9	further discussions from the board? Chair will entertain
10	Mile with a modern flare. This looks Georgian to me.	10	a motion.
11	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I see. Well, I think that	11	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I move that we approve the
12	clean lines are modern. There's not	12	plans as recommended by staff.
13	MS. THOMSON: Okay.	13	MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there a second?
14	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: there's not an I	14	MR. DURANA: I second.
15	mean, there's sort of clean geometry.	15	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. May we call the roll,
16	MS. THOMSON: This kind of struck me, when I	16	please?
17	first saw the rendering here, it struck me, there's this	17	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Menendez?
18	shopping center on Coral Way and 32nd Avenue that's like	18	MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
19	ugly, and so that's what this struck me as.	19	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Durana?
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: That's what	20	MR. DURANA: Yes.
21	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: I don't think so.	21	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Sardinas?
22	MS. THOMSON: It's like a wall that hits your	22	MR. SARDINAS: Yes.
23	face.	23	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss
24	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: This is a lot smaller, a	24	Bache-Wiig?
25	lot smaller.	25	MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
23	of sharer.		Table Tell
	Page 182		Page 184
1	MS. THOMSON: Yeah.	1	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Rodriguez?
2	MR. FORT-BRESCIA: It's a fraction of that	2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
3	for you, and it's solid. This has windows. It has glass.	3	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Miss Thomson?
4	It has details that's borders to the windows. I don't	4	MS. THOMSON: No.
5	imagine there's a comparison, frankly.	5	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr.
6	MS. THOMSON: I still think there's a lot of	6	Garcia-Pons?
7	thought that has to go into the parking for this because	7	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes.
8	you're talking about a lot of people, a lot more people	8	THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
9	coming in.	9	MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: That's not for us.	10	MS. SPAIN: Thank you.
11	MS. THOMSON: And I understand it.	11	MS. THOMSON: I knew, I knew too much.
1	MS. SPAIN: Again, that's not your purview.	12	MR. NAVARRO: Thank you very much. We
12		13	appreciate it.
12 13	MR. EHRENHAFT: That's not	1	
	MR. EHRENHAFT: That's not MS. THOMSON: I know, I know, I know, but it	14	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. There are going to be
13		1	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. There are going to be some other items to discuss.
13 14	MS. THOMSON: I know, I know, I know, but it	14	
13 14 15	MS. THOMSON: I know, I know, I know, but it still needs to be considered.	14 15	some other items to discuss.
13 14 15 16	MS. THOMSON: I know, I know, I know, but it still needs to be considered. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: What is important in terms	14 15 16	some other items to discuss. Dona, are there any other board items or city
13 14 15 16 17	MS. THOMSON: I know, I know, I know, but it still needs to be considered. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: What is important in terms of compatibility is that if this building would have had	14 15 16 17	some other items to discuss. Dona, are there any other board items or city commission items or city project updates?
13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMSON: I know, I know, I know, but it still needs to be considered. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: What is important in terms of compatibility is that if this building would have had parking up to here and the building here, I don't think	14 15 16 17 18	some other items to discuss. Dona, are there any other board items or city commission items or city project updates? MS. SPAIN: The property at the Catalonia
13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMSON: I know, I know, I know, but it still needs to be considered. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: What is important in terms of compatibility is that if this building would have had parking up to here and the building here, I don't think anybody wants a parking garage facing our block. MS. THOMSON: No. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: And that would be been	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	some other items to discuss. Dona, are there any other board items or city commission items or city project updates? MS. SPAIN: The property at the Catalonia property, 333 Catalonia that was not designated has been appealed by residents that live within 1,000 feet of that, so that is going to be heard by the city commission on the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. THOMSON: I know, I know, I know, but it still needs to be considered. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: What is important in terms of compatibility is that if this building would have had parking up to here and the building here, I don't think anybody wants a parking garage facing our block. MS. THOMSON: No.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	some other items to discuss. Dona, are there any other board items or city commission items or city project updates? MS. SPAIN: The property at the Catalonia property, 333 Catalonia that was not designated has been appealed by residents that live within 1,000 feet of that, so that is going to be heard by the city commission on the second commission meeting in October, which is wait
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. THOMSON: I know, I know, I know, but it still needs to be considered. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: What is important in terms of compatibility is that if this building would have had parking up to here and the building here, I don't think anybody wants a parking garage facing our block. MS. THOMSON: No. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: And that would be been MS. THOMSON: But I'm sure the developer will come up with something.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	some other items to discuss. Dona, are there any other board items or city commission items or city project updates? MS. SPAIN: The property at the Catalonia property, 333 Catalonia that was not designated has been appealed by residents that live within 1,000 feet of that, so that is going to be heard by the city commission on the second commission meeting in October, which is wait I believe is October 22nd.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. THOMSON: I know, I know, I know, but it still needs to be considered. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: What is important in terms of compatibility is that if this building would have had parking up to here and the building here, I don't think anybody wants a parking garage facing our block. MS. THOMSON: No. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: And that would be been MS. THOMSON: But I'm sure the developer will come up with something. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: Oh, sorry. I think if the	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	some other items to discuss. Dona, are there any other board items or city commission items or city project updates? MS. SPAIN: The property at the Catalonia property, 333 Catalonia that was not designated has been appealed by residents that live within 1,000 feet of that, so that is going to be heard by the city commission on the second commission meeting in October, which is wait I believe is October 22nd. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. THOMSON: I know, I know, I know, but it still needs to be considered. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: What is important in terms of compatibility is that if this building would have had parking up to here and the building here, I don't think anybody wants a parking garage facing our block. MS. THOMSON: No. MR. FORT-BRESCIA: And that would be been MS. THOMSON: But I'm sure the developer will come up with something.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	some other items to discuss. Dona, are there any other board items or city commission items or city project updates? MS. SPAIN: The property at the Catalonia property, 333 Catalonia that was not designated has been appealed by residents that live within 1,000 feet of that, so that is going to be heard by the city commission on the second commission meeting in October, which is wait I believe is October 22nd.

	Page 185		Page 187
1	requesting that these meetings starting in November could	1	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Thank you.
2	be held on, instead of the third Thursday, the third	2	MR. EHRENHAFT: Thank you. Okay. Well, is
3	Wednesday. ElizaBeth Guinn has a conflict with Thursday.	3	there any other business? Okay. Then I'll entertain a
4	She does most of the designation reports. It's important	4	motion to adjourn.
5	that she be here.	5	MR. GARCIA-PONS: So moved.
6	And so I would really much like to switch it	6	MR. EHRENHAFT: Second?
7	to Wednesday, if it's all right with you all. It would	7	MR. MENENDEZ: Second.
8	just be a day before.	8	MR. EHRENHAFT: Everybody say aye.
9	MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.	9	THE BOARD MEMBERS: Aye (collectively).
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: That will be going forward?	10	MR. EHRENHAFT: Any Nays? We are adjourned.
11	MS. SPAIN: Going forward, not next month;	11	(Thereupon, proceedings were concluded at 7:45 a.m.)
12	starting November.	12	(Thereupon, proceedings were constituted at 77.12 anna)
13	MR. MENENDEZ: Yes, November.	13	
14	MS. SPAIN: Because in October, the planning	14	
15	and zoning board is taking that slot because of a Jewish	15	
16	holiday. That's on the second Wednesday, so we need to	16	
17	start in November.	17	
18	MR. EHRENHAFT: So you'll be able to capture	18	
19	the Wednesday in perpetuim?	19	
20	MS. SPAIN: Yes, and I've already checked it	20	
21	with the city clerk's office.	21	
22	MR. EHRENHAFT: Nobody else can have it.	22	
23	MS. SPAIN: No one can have it.	23	
24	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Going into 2020 and so on?	24	
25	MS. SPAIN: Yes. I would very much	25	
23	MS. SI AIN. 163. I would very mach	23	
	Page 186		Page 188
1	Page 186 appreciate it. Thank you.	1	Page 188
1 2		1 2	
	appreciate it. Thank you.		CERTIFICATE
2	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.	2	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out.	2	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE)
2 3 4	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't,	2 3 4	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that
2 3 4 5	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay.	2 3 4 5	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188,
2 3 4 5 6	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay. MS. SPAIN: Thank you so much. I really	2 3 4 5 6	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188, represent a true and accurate transcription of the record
2 3 4 5 6 7	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay. MS. SPAIN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate it.	2 3 4 5 6 7	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188, represent a true and accurate transcription of the record of the proceedings in the above-mentioned matter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay. MS. SPAIN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate it. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, thanks, okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188, represent a true and accurate transcription of the record of the proceedings in the above-mentioned matter. WITNESS my hand in the City of Miami this 15th day of October, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay. MS. SPAIN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate it. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, thanks, okay. MS. SPAIN: That's it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188, represent a true and accurate transcription of the record of the proceedings in the above-mentioned matter. WITNESS my hand in the City of Miami this 15th day of October, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay. MS. SPAIN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate it. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, thanks, okay. MS. SPAIN: That's it. MR. EHRENHAFT: All right, and you had one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188, represent a true and accurate transcription of the record of the proceedings in the above-mentioned matter. WITNESS my hand in the City of Miami this 15th
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay. MS. SPAIN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate it. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, thanks, okay. MS. SPAIN: That's it. MR. EHRENHAFT: All right, and you had one other item? MR. GARCIA-PONS: New business, and it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188, represent a true and accurate transcription of the record of the proceedings in the above-mentioned matter. WITNESS my hand in the City of Miami this 15th day of October, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay. MS. SPAIN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate it. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, thanks, okay. MS. SPAIN: That's it. MR. EHRENHAFT: All right, and you had one other item? MR. GARCIA-PONS: New business, and it's really maybe old business.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188, represent a true and accurate transcription of the record of the proceedings in the above-mentioned matter. WITNESS my hand in the City of Miami this 15th day of October, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay. MS. SPAIN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate it. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, thanks, okay. MS. SPAIN: That's it. MR. EHRENHAFT: All right, and you had one other item? MR. GARCIA-PONS: New business, and it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188, represent a true and accurate transcription of the record of the proceedings in the above-mentioned matter. WITNESS my hand in the City of Miami this 15th day of October, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay. MS. SPAIN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate it. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, thanks, okay. MS. SPAIN: That's it. MR. EHRENHAFT: All right, and you had one other item? MR. GARCIA-PONS: New business, and it's really maybe old business. There was a memo that you sent out about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188, represent a true and accurate transcription of the record of the proceedings in the above-mentioned matter. WITNESS my hand in the City of Miami this 15th day of October, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay. MS. SPAIN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate it. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, thanks, okay. MS. SPAIN: That's it. MR. EHRENHAFT: All right, and you had one other item? MR. GARCIA-PONS: New business, and it's really maybe old business. There was a memo that you sent out about being contacted by the press.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188, represent a true and accurate transcription of the record of the proceedings in the above-mentioned matter. WITNESS my hand in the City of Miami this 15th day of October, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay. MS. SPAIN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate it. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, thanks, okay. MS. SPAIN: That's it. MR. EHRENHAFT: All right, and you had one other item? MR. GARCIA-PONS: New business, and it's really maybe old business. There was a memo that you sent out about being contacted by the press. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: I just if you can just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188, represent a true and accurate transcription of the record of the proceedings in the above-mentioned matter. WITNESS my hand in the City of Miami this 15th day of October, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay. MS. SPAIN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate it. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, thanks, okay. MS. SPAIN: That's it. MR. EHRENHAFT: All right, and you had one other item? MR. GARCIA-PONS: New business, and it's really maybe old business. There was a memo that you sent out about being contacted by the press. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: I just if you can just clarify what we are to do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188, represent a true and accurate transcription of the record of the proceedings in the above-mentioned matter. WITNESS my hand in the City of Miami this 15th day of October, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay. MS. SPAIN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate it. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, thanks, okay. MS. SPAIN: That's it. MR. EHRENHAFT: All right, and you had one other item? MR. GARCIA-PONS: New business, and it's really maybe old business. There was a memo that you sent out about being contacted by the press. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: I just — if you can just clarify what we are to do. MS. SPAIN: No. It's just easier if you all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188, represent a true and accurate transcription of the record of the proceedings in the above-mentioned matter. WITNESS my hand in the City of Miami this 15th day of October, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay. MS. SPAIN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate it. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, thanks, okay. MS. SPAIN: That's it. MR. EHRENHAFT: All right, and you had one other item? MR. GARCIA-PONS: New business, and it's really maybe old business. There was a memo that you sent out about being contacted by the press. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: I just if you can just clarify what we are to do. MS. SPAIN: No. It's just easier if you all are contacted by the Miami Herald or a magazine, it's just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188, represent a true and accurate transcription of the record of the proceedings in the above-mentioned matter. WITNESS my hand in the City of Miami this 15th day of October, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay. MS. SPAIN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate it. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, thanks, okay. MS. SPAIN: That's it. MR. EHRENHAFT: All right, and you had one other item? MR. GARCIA-PONS: New business, and it's really maybe old business. There was a memo that you sent out about being contacted by the press. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: I just — if you can just clarify what we are to do. MS. SPAIN: No. It's just easier if you all are contacted by the Miami Herald or a magazine, it's just easier to have those questions directed to staff because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188, represent a true and accurate transcription of the record of the proceedings in the above-mentioned matter. WITNESS my hand in the City of Miami this 15th day of October, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay. MS. SPAIN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate it. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, thanks, okay. MS. SPAIN: That's it. MR. EHRENHAFT: All right, and you had one other item? MR. GARCIA-PONS: New business, and it's really maybe old business. There was a memo that you sent out about being contacted by the press. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: I just if you can just clarify what we are to do. MS. SPAIN: No. It's just easier if you all are contacted by the Miami Herald or a magazine, it's just easier to have those questions directed to staff because they'll start asking questions and we may have information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188, represent a true and accurate transcription of the record of the proceedings in the above-mentioned matter. WITNESS my hand in the City of Miami this 15th day of October, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay. MS. SPAIN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate it. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, thanks, okay. MS. SPAIN: That's it. MR. EHRENHAFT: All right, and you had one other item? MR. GARCIA-PONS: New business, and it's really maybe old business. There was a memo that you sent out about being contacted by the press. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: I just — if you can just clarify what we are to do. MS. SPAIN: No. It's just easier if you all are contacted by the Miami Herald or a magazine, it's just easier to have those questions directed to staff because they'll start asking questions and we may have information that you all don't have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188, represent a true and accurate transcription of the record of the proceedings in the above-mentioned matter. WITNESS my hand in the City of Miami this 15th day of October, 2019.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	appreciate it. Thank you. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. MS. SPAIN: And I'll send that out. MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there anybody that can't, can't comply with that, or? Okay. MS. SPAIN: Thank you so much. I really appreciate it. MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay, thanks, okay. MS. SPAIN: That's it. MR. EHRENHAFT: All right, and you had one other item? MR. GARCIA-PONS: New business, and it's really maybe old business. There was a memo that you sent out about being contacted by the press. MS. SPAIN: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: I just if you can just clarify what we are to do. MS. SPAIN: No. It's just easier if you all are contacted by the Miami Herald or a magazine, it's just easier to have those questions directed to staff because they'll start asking questions and we may have information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE) I, DOREEN M. STRAUSS, do here by certify that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to including 188, represent a true and accurate transcription of the record of the proceedings in the above-mentioned matter. WITNESS my hand in the City of Miami this 15th day of October, 2019.