MEETING

OF THE

CITY OF CORAL GABLES

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD

405 Biltmore Way Coral Gables, Florida March 21, 2019

PARTICIPANTS:

ALEJANDRO SILVA, Chairperson ROBERT PARSLEY, Board Member ALBERT MENENDEZ, Board Member BRUCE EHRENHAFT, Board Member ALICIA BACHE-WIIG, Board Member RAUL RODRIGUEZ, Board Member

DONA SPAIN, Historic Preservation Officer KARA KAUTZ, Asst. Historic Preservation Officer

		Page	2
1	Preservation Officer GUSTAVO CEBALLOS, City Attorney		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 MR. CHAIRMAN: Welcome to the regularly

- 2 scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables
- 3 Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of
- 4 Coral Gables and are charged with the preservation
- 5 and protection of historic or architecturally
- 6 worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods
- 7 and artifacts which impart a distinct historical
- 8 heritage of the city.
- 9 The board is comprised of nine members, seven
- 10 of whom are appointed by the commission and one by
- 11 the city manager, and the ninth is selected by the
- 12 board and confirmed by the commission.
- Five members of the board constitute a quorum
- 14 and five affirmative votes are necessary for the
- 15 adoption of any motion.
- Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to
- 17 the City of Coral Gables Ordinance No. 2006-11 must
- 18 register with the city clerk prior to engaging in
- 19 lobbying activities or presentations before city
- 20 staff, boards, committees, and/or the city
- 21 commission. A copy of the ordinance is available
- 22 in the office of the city clerk. Failure to
- 23 register and provide proof of registration shall
- 24 prohibit your ability to present to the Historic
- 25 Preservation Board on applications under

- 1 consideration this afternoon.
- 2 A lobbyist is defined as an individual,
- 3 corporation, partnership or other legal entity
- 4 employed or retained, whether paid or not, by a
- 5 principal who seeks to encourage the approval,
- 6 disapproval, adoption, repeal, passage, defeat, or
- 7 modification of any ordinance, resolution, action
- 8 or decision of any city commissioner, any action,
- 9 decision, recommendation of the city manager, any
- 10 city board or committee, including, but not limited
- 11 to, quasi-judicial, advisory board, trust,
- 12 authority, or council, or any action, decision or
- 13 recommendation of city personnel during the time
- 14 period of the entire decision-making process on the
- 15 action, decision or recommendation which
- 16 foreseeably will be heard or reviewed by the city
- 17 commission or any city board or committee and this
- 18 includes quasi-judicial, advisory board, trust,
- 19 authority or council.
- 20 Presentations made to this board are subject
- 21 to the City's false claim ordinance, Chapter 39 of
- 22 the City of Coral Gables City Code.
- I now officially call the City of Coral Gables
- 24 Historic Preservation Board meeting of February
- 25 21st, 2019 to order. The time is 4:05.

- 1 Today present are Mr. Alejandro Silva, Ms.
- 2 Alicia G. Bach-Wiig, Mr. Albert Menendez, Mr. Raul
- 3 Rodriguez, Mr. Bruce Ehrenhaft, Mr. Parsley, that's
- 4 it..
- 5 The notice regarding ex parte communication
- 6 says please be advised that this board is a
- 7 quasi-judicial board and that the items on the
- 8 agenda are quasi-judicial in nature, which requires
- 9 board members to disclose all ex parte
- 10 communications.
- 11 An ex parte communication is defined as any
- 12 contact, communication, conversation,
- 13 correspondence, memorandum or other written or
- 14 verbal communication that takes place outside a
- 15 public hearing between a member of the public and a
- 16 member of the quasi-judicial board regarding
- 17 matters to be heard by the quasi-judicial board.
- 18 If anyone has made any contact with a board
- 19 member, when the issue comes before the board the
- 20 member must state on the record the existence of
- 21 the ex parte communication, the party who
- 22 originated the communication, and whether the
- 23 communication will affect the board member's
- 24 ability to impartially consider the evidence to be
- 25 presented regarding the matter.

Page 6 And does anyone on this board have a communication to disclose at this time? Okay. Looking for deferrals today? MS. SPAIN: No, sir. MR. CHAIRMAN: No deferrals. Okay. So the next one, swearing in, and anyone in the audience who will be testifying today, please rise and you'll be sworn in. THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the whole truth and nothing but the truth? (ALL): Yes.

1 MR. CEBALLOS: This is case file, 2019-001.

- 2 Application for the issuance of a Special
- 3 Certificate Appropriateness for the property at
- 4 1417 Obispo Avenue, legally described as Lot 36,
- 5 Block 18, Coral Gables Section "E,", reported in
- 6 Plat Book 8, Page 13, of the Public Records of
- 7 Miami-Dade, Florida.
- 8 The applicant is requesting design approval
- 9 for design approval for additions and alterations
- 10 to the residence, construction of a two-story
- 11 auxiliary structure, and sitework.
- MS. KRAUTZ: In May 2008, the "Obispo Avenue"
- 13 Historic District" was listed in the Coral Gables
- 14 Register of Historic Places.
- 15 It is comprised of residences abutting Obispo
- 16 Avenue, the District boundaries are Cortez Street
- 17 on the East and Ferdinand Street on the west. The
- 18 property at 1417 Obispo Avenue is a contributing
- 19 resource within the Historic District.
- 20 Permit No. 152 was issued for the construction
- 21 of the residence at 1417 Obispo Avenue. The plans
- 22 cannot be located and the architect is unknown.
- 23 Constructed ca. 1923, the residence was featured in
- 24 the "Avenue Obispo" promotional pamphlet that
- 25 George Merrick published in 1924 to highlight

- 1 smaller homes. The residence has undergone some
- 2 significant alterations since the time of its
- 3 construction.
- 4 This is a 1940's photo, the property has the
- 5 early photos within his PowerPoint application.
- 6 There has been an approval for one-story addition
- 7 and alterations, including restoration of the front
- 8 porch opening back into what you see in the
- 9 photograph. The auxiliary structure to the rear of
- 10 the property, and what is interesting to note, if
- 11 you look at the 1920's photos, in the PowerPoint,
- 12 by the time this 1940's photo was taken, there's no
- 13 garage. The garage was removed, so where you see
- 14 the car in the photograph, the garage would have
- 15 been behind that location, so we don't know why
- 16 there's no permit for it to be removed, it was just
- 17 removed. No variances were requested, the Board
- 18 architects reviewed the project in January of this
- 19 year with two notations regarding the front
- 20 elevation; one was to incorporate the tile vent
- 21 detail found over the window, the triple windows on
- 22 the left-hand side, as part of the restoration, and
- 23 also to bring back the brickwork feature over the
- 24 front entry. They want it to be recessed, so it
- 25 reads more like the original. We have staff

1 comments at the end. I'll let the architect give

- 2 the presentation, then we'll go over this.
- 3 MR. SUAREZ: It is 25 years, my name is Pedro
- 4 Suarez. I'm a registered architect in Florida and
- 5 New York. This particular project here is the kind
- 6 of work I like to do. It is a small scale.
- 7 However, it has a lot of significance bringing back
- 8 this home is what the Gables was all about, not
- 9 what I'm seeing lately. My client wishes to
- 10 restore the house to its original look, as close as
- 11 possible, while making it attractive to today's
- 12 living. This is how the house looked a few years
- 13 after the previous one (indicating). There was a
- 14 garage in the back, we can only assume it was
- 15 knocked down by a hurricane, because at that time
- 16 the garages were built out of frame construction,
- 17 rather than most of them, out of CVS, more than
- 18 likely, it was leveled by a hurricane, maybe the
- 19 1946 hurricane, or Andrew, who knows. My client
- 20 wishes to live in the property while restoring the
- 21 house. In order to do that, he's decided to, here
- 22 you can see the property is on the left and right,
- 23 he's decided to billed a garage apartment that he
- 24 can live in the property, monitor the development,
- 25 and at the same time there so in case there's no

1 any questions. What we would like to do, there was

- 2 an addition done on the grounds of the property,
- 3 that is like a long segment going back, and this is
- 4 really atrocious the way it was done, it didn't
- 5 keep in context with the ceiling heights in the
- 6 house, it was a disaster. We would like to level,
- 7 just keep the exterior wall on the east side, and
- 8 configure the house, so he can get a master
- 9 bedroom, a nicer kitchen, family room, a new
- 10 covered terrace, going back to the front, we are
- 11 demolishing that enclosed porch, which was not
- 12 original to the house and it really takes away from
- 13 the beauty of the house. We would like to make it
- 14 look like in this actually, on this picture. Other
- 15 than that, the windows, the house, they have
- 16 changed all of the windows, they have used standard
- 17 sized windows, some of them don't keep in mind the
- 18 original openings, so we are replacing all of the
- 19 windows and when the demolition begins, we will be
- 20 able to find the exact size that the window was
- 21 supposed to have. It has had all kinds of windows,
- 22 from wooden framed windows to jalousie, to
- 23 single-hung. I didn't see any casements in it, but
- 24 we would like to make it all cohesive. Here on the
- 25 right, you can see the segment that sticks out. I

- 1 don't know if you guys can see? Oh, yes, you can.
- 2 The segment that was built in the 1970s, I believe,
- 3 here is the scope of work that we are undertaking,
- 4 remove the existing front cover porch and I don't
- 5 want to bore you with reading all of this, but the
- 6 last note here that our lot coverage is 39.5, that
- 7 was a mistake in the calculations. Actually, we
- 8 are within the required lot coverage, and the
- 9 plans, I'm open to any questions you may have and
- 10 look forward to do this work.
- 11 MR. SILVA: Thank you. Before Kara takes us
- 12 through recommendations, do you have an objection?
- 13 THE WITNESS: For the most part, no, I think
- 14 there was only a couple of them, like we want to
- introduce the house, it does have, if you see on
- 16 the left side, upper left, it does have variation
- in parapet height, which looks to me something that
- 18 was done, maybe there was some tile in the area and
- 19 moved and not put back, we would like to address
- 20 that condition and use the more period solution,
- 21 which is like a tile copying over the window area
- 22 as you can see in this, in the right side, which is
- 23 the bottom left. That is one of the things that I
- 24 think you had some concerns with, I don't have any
- other objections towards anything else, we are

- 1 willing to comply.
- 2 MR. SILVA: Great, thank you. Kara, you
- 3 wanted to take us through these? I think it is one
- 4 that we are in disagreement with.
- 5 MS. KAUTZ: All right, so, as part of our
- 6 conclusion, this is sort of an odd comment, not one
- 7 we usually make, they are proposing restoration
- 8 work, which is great. It will change for the
- 9 better, the front facade closer to what it
- 10 originally was, if they are applying, go for a tax
- 11 abatement, it has to be restoration work and not
- 12 guessing what was there. The first condition, the
- dimensions or locations of items restored, are
- 14 replicated, should be verified and confirmed in the
- 15 field, not relying just on the dimensions on the
- 16 plans, that will be when they are under
- 17 construction. We would like to be called out in
- 18 the field, to verify features, or they can provide
- 19 photo documentation of what they find. Once you
- 20 start uncovering it, you will find a lot of what
- 21 was supposed to be there and where. The windows
- 22 and doors are hard to receive. Of the window
- 23 relocation, is not part of the application, a lot
- 24 of the openings were existing and proposed to be
- 25 moved around, and that was initially agreed to,

- 1 because we didn't know that the existing elevation
- 2 drawings were not drawn properly. So what it looks
- 3 like were the photographs of the house as it
- 4 economists now, the windows are closer to the right
- 5 proportion and size from the 1020s and 1040s photo.
- 6 We would like to table that and bring it back
- 7 later, or work with staff to shift the windows, if
- 8 they need to be. Roof tile, is two-piece barrel.
- 9 The roof structure is not to be removed. The
- 10 retaining wall on the front porch should be lowered
- 11 to the original height. The permit for when it was
- 12 moved over, he showed you, there's a concrete cap
- 13 poured on top of the original wall. We would like
- it to be lowered to what it was originally. The
- 15 wind wall to the east, if you want to put the plans
- 16 back up on the screen, that would be helpful. The
- 17 wind wall to the east of the front porch, it is
- 18 proposed to be embellished. If you look at the
- 19 early photos, it did have a different -- it was
- 20 detailed and much more playfully than now. It is
- 21 now squared off. We would like that to be figured
- 22 out in the field or not done at all, we don't know
- 23 the dimensions and the location of it. It is also
- on the plans as it is to be rebuilt, we don't want
- 25 it to be removed. The clay tile details found on

- 1 the front porch, they are above the porch and on
- 2 the other side, and what those set within the
- 3 retaining wall, you can't see it here, there's tile
- 4 proposed to go within that inset. The match is
- 5 closer than shown in the early elevation, that can
- 6 be investigated during selective demo, as to final
- 7 location and size. A detail for the brickwork
- 8 needs to be done on the front and side elevations.
- 9 We are not sure if the stucco can be chipped away.
- 10 If it can, to expose the brickwork, it is not clear
- on the drawings, they want to put something back,
- 12 but not what it is or how -- that needs to be
- 13 established or eliminated. We don't want something
- 14 fake added there. The details of the front door
- 15 modification are included here, the existing front
- 16 door, I'm not sure how that will actually work.
- 17 They need to put it on the permit set or pick a new
- 18 door. Existing attic and crawl space vents are
- 19 shown shifted, so that they are center line,
- 20 depending on the location of the windows, they
- 21 should remain as is. The step condition in the
- 22 original parapet, on the back of the picture, it
- 23 steps down to the rear, that is the original
- 24 condition, that should remain, not to be raised.
- 25 And the coping that is being proposed, it was never

- 1 there, it should be not be added. There's a
- 2 decorative wind wall at the northwest wall of the
- 3 new edition that matches exactly what the original
- 4 was supposed to be. It should be eliminated in the
- 5 top left-hand corner, or modified, so it doesn't
- 6 match exactly. The stone columns, the staffer
- 7 reviewed the design, we don't know if they are
- 8 twisted, or what they have, at the detached garage
- 9 structure, I'm not sure if he walked you through
- 10 the elevations. There, the proposed, there's a
- 11 base proposed around the house to match the
- 12 existing. It should be modified or removed, not
- 13 match exactly. There's a stucco texture, that is
- 14 not clarified, on that building, there's a note
- 15 that applies to the new doors on this, provide
- 16 brick molding to all doors, not added to the
- 17 garage.
- 18 MR. SILVA: Thank you, Kara.
- 19 Is there anyone in the audience that wants to
- 20 speak for or against this item? Seeing none, I
- 21 will open it up for Board questions and comments.
- Which condition did you take exception to?
- 23 MS. KAUTZ: Adding of the coping.
- 24 MR. SILVA: Which item?
- 25 MS. KAUTZ: I know it was discussed. I don't

- 1 see it as an item. I discussed it as part of the
- 2 description of the elevation, so it should be
- 3 added. The condition I had about the parapet, is
- 4 that it should not be raised, it should not be
- 5 leveled out. The coping should not be added.
- 6 MR. SILVA: Where is the coping?
- 7 MS. KAUTZ: Going back to the plans, sorry.
- 8 You see the proposed on the rear (indicating),
- 9 actually, if they wanted to do that coping detail
- 10 towards the rear, that is the 1950's edition, that
- 11 is fine. The first two and the left, do you see
- 12 it, Robert?
- 13 MR. PARSLEY: On the body?
- 14 MS. KAUTZ: On the roof parapet, the two on
- 15 the left are within the original house footprint,
- 16 so two should be removed, the one on the right can
- 17 stay, but that was a later edition.
- 18 MR. MENDEZ: How are you requiring the stucco
- 19 texture?
- 20 MS. KAUTZ: You know, I thought about that.
- 21 This texture is not original, it is not noted that
- 22 they are going to change the texture of the house.
- 23 There's nowhere to do a clean break between the
- 24 1950's edition, 1920's, and new edition. I wasn't
- 25 sure how to address that, so I didn't. If they try

1 to match what is there, it won't, because what is

- 2 there, has initially a rough texture. That was
- 3 smoothed. I don't think it will ever match
- 4 exactly, so they can put -- do you know how you
- 5 want to stucco the house?
- 6 MR. SUAREZ: Eastern wall, the only wall that
- 7 will remain from the early addition, has a plaster
- 8 wall different from the plaster from the original
- 9 of the house. Back in those days, they would mix
- 10 the grout on site and go up and down. Nowadays,
- 11 they buy it in a bag, mix it, and they go left and
- 12 right. So by de facto, the technique they use now,
- 13 will never duplicate the original plaster.
- Now, on the western wall, I created an
- 15 indentation, to clearly separate the existing from
- 16 the new.
- 17 MR. MENDEZ: What about the front of the
- 18 house?
- 19 MR. SUAREZ: We really, just bringing all of
- 20 that construction down to the sill level of that
- 21 little wall, which we will uncover it, as we
- 22 demolish it, and it will be the original plaster,
- 23 because no work was done there. We will be
- 24 removing all of the add-on.
- 25 MS. KAUTZ: Within the existing enclosed

1 porch, the stucco texture of the original house is

- 2 within that room, is that what you are saying?
- 3 MR. SUAREZ: No.
- 4 MR. YNGERTO: I'm the homeowner. Taking this
- 5 task, I want to be clear on the stucco. I don't
- 6 think we really talked about redoing the stucco.
- 7 What is there is there, we will keep.
- 8 MS. KAUTZ: I didn't think they were
- 9 re-stuccoing, but if you are revealing the original
- 10 texture.
- 11 MR. MENENDEZ: I think they will in the
- 12 process, that is why I asked.
- MS. KAUTZ: I don't think they are going to
- 14 strike off the rest of the house to reveal it, you
- 15 understand? But on the west elevation, they are,
- 16 he is creating a little hyphen, that separates the
- 17 old from the new. I don't think it would be
- 18 worthwhile to ask them to change a stucco texture
- 19 there that comes around and then hits a 1950s
- 20 edition. I think there's enough other work that
- 21 they are proposing to restore.
- 22 MR. SILVA: I think the trickiest piece is the
- 23 front patio, we need to make an effort to match, as
- 24 closely as possible, the existing stucco.
- 25 MR. SUAREZ: We would love to do that and

- 1 strive for that.
- 2 MR. SILVA: You will end up rebuilding the
- 3 curved part of the wall.
- 4 MR. SUAREZ: The curve itself, because --
- 5 MR. EHRENHAFT: When you do the demolition,
- 6 you may see where the curve was?
- 7 MR. SUAREZ: Yes.
- 8 MR. MENDEZ: Yes, like some of the windows.
- 9 MS. KAUTZ: Once you start taking stuff off,
- 10 more will come out.
- 11 MR. EHRENHAFT: Once you established where the
- 12 curve in that front wall is to be, you are also
- 13 going to reestablish the steps missing now,
- 14 correct?
- 15 MR. SUAREZ: Yes.
- 16 MR. EHRENHAFT: Then just behind the back edge
- of the steps, closest to the front facade, there's
- 18 that wind wall there that is now missing, correct?
- 19 MS. KAUTZ: It is there.
- 20 MR. EHRENHAFT: I couldn't tell because of the
- 21 vegetation in the photograph.
- MR. SUAREZ: It is somewhat in there, but we
- 23 want to make it look like the original.
- MR. EHRENHAFT: I see. Thank you.
- 25 MS. KAUTZ: Originally, it had acrylic here on

- 1 the top and some point, they just shaved it off.
- 2 It is being shown as thickened and rebuilt, we
- 3 don't want it rebuilt, but add the features back?
- 4 MR. SUAREZ: Yes.
- 5 MS. KAUTZ: That is acceptable.
- 6 MR. SILVA: I think it is a great project, the
- 7 front patio is important. I think you are doing a
- 8 great job.
- 9 MR. PARSLEY: I have one question about the
- 10 front door, the brick that you are asking him not
- 11 to do. Go back to the photograph, the 1940s
- 12 photograph.
- 13 MS. KAUTZ: I'm not asking them not to do it.
- MR. PARSLEY: I thought it was brick.
- 15 MS. KAUTZ: It was brick. If you look at the
- 16 pamphlet, it was, all of the houses are built circa
- 17 1923, quickly done, highlighting the cottages on
- 18 that street, that brick detail around arch work,
- 19 repeats. If you look at the photo.
- 20 MR. PARSLEY: I thought it was cut stone?
- 21 MS. KAUTZ: The columns are also brick.
- MR. SUAREZ: I'm pretty sure the brick is in
- 23 there, they filled in the bottom to put in a
- 24 regular-sized door. Our goal is chip it out,
- 25 discover it, acid wash it. If it doesn't exist,

- 1 then we will --
- 2 MS. KAUTZ: A detail.
- 3 MR. SUAREZ: I think --
- 4 MR. PARSLEY: The color there looks more like
- 5 a line versus the clay.
- 6 MS. KAUTZ: It is a horrible picture, this is
- 7 right after construction, and you can see it up
- 8 there, if you look at it with a loop up close, it
- 9 is bricks, and those columns under the awning on
- 10 the left, between the triples, they are all
- 11 brickwork, too. It is repeated over and over in
- 12 that pamphlet. It is really interesting.
- MR. EHRENHAFT: Are they bricks that are
- 14 rectangular?
- 15 MS. KAUTZ: I believe so.
- 16 MR. EHRENHAFT: Is one end wider?
- MS. KAUTZ: No, they are rectangular. It
- 18 reads like a structural support for the arch, so...
- 19 MR. SUAREZ: That is the reason.
- 20 MS. BACHE-WIIG: Is that the original photo,
- 21 Kara.
- 22 MS. KAUTZ: This was just after construction,
- 23 you can see underneath the events on the right-hand
- 24 side, that horizontal line, that the awning is
- 25 coming, it is the framework.

1 MS. BACHE-WIIG: They are proposing to add

- 2 that one on that one side?
- 3 MS. KAUTZ: Yes.
- 4 MR. YNGERTO: I want to add one thing, we are
- 5 taking every measure and precaution to bring the
- 6 house back to what it used to be, what it looked
- 7 like, however as you all know, there are budgetary
- 8 constraints, so we are going to do the best we can.
- 9 MR. SILVA: Ready to make a motion?
- 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'll move that we accept the
- 11 recommendation of the staff and approve the
- 12 project.
- 13 MR. MENENDEZ: I second.
- 14 MS. KAUTZ: Does that include my amendment
- 15 about the coping?
- 16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
- MR. SILVA: Motion on the second, please?
- 18 MR. YNGERTO: Can you clarify the amendment.
- 19 MR. SUAREZ: Removing the coping and the
- 20 existing.
- 21 MS. KAUTZ: You can.
- 22 MR. SUAREZ: Perfect.
- MR. PARSLEY: Yes, earlier, yes.
- MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
- MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.

- 1 MR. SILVA: Yes. Item No. 1. Case file
- 2 COA(SP)2017-027. Application for the issuance of a
- 3 Special Certificate for the property 1510 Madrid
- 4 Street. Lots 3 and 4, Block 54, Coral Gables
- 5 Granada Section, according to the Plat Book 8, Page
- 6 113 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County,
- 7 Florida, together with the South 30 feet of plots
- 8 23 and 24 and all of lots 25, 26, 27, and 28. And
- 9 Block 1 of Davis Orchid Addition, according to the
- 10 plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book 9, Page 87 of
- 11 the public records of Miami-Dade County for
- 12 alterations to the existing residence, the
- 13 reconstruction and enlargement of the pergola and
- 14 sitework.
- MS. SPAIN: I believe what the applicant would
- 16 like to do is eliminate the pergola portion of this
- 17 application and go forward with the rest.
- 18 MR. SILVA: That will come back.
- 19 MS. SPAIN: For the moment, they would like to
- 20 take that out and work with staff on that. They
- 21 only want the rest of the application to be
- 22 discussed. I went to graduate school with the
- 23 architect on this and have not seen him since.
- MS. KAUTZ: The property is located at 1510
- 25 Madrid, part of what is known as the "Zinmaster

- 1 Estate." As you see on the site plan, it is
- 2 comprised of quite a few lots. Single-family
- 3 residence, detached one-car garage, five cottages
- 4 spread throughout the property made of coral rock.
- 5 This application concerns the existing single
- 6 family residence, this is a photo from the 1940s.
- 7 So the application, I guess, that you are going to
- 8 consider now consists of alterations to the
- 9 existing residence and sitework, which includes
- 10 building a ramp, the south. This is the
- 11 architect's application. I will let him do his
- 12 thing. There's no variance, approved. We had a
- 13 few at the end, I'll go over when they are
- 14 finished.
- 15 MS. RUSSO: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman,
- 16 Members of the Board.
- 17 For the record, my name is Laura Russo,
- 18 offices at 2655 Le Jeune Road. I'm here this
- 19 afternoon representing the property owners, Ray
- 20 Jordan and Gladys Jourdain, who have owned the
- 21 subject property for almost nine years. They
- 22 purchased it in 2000. They are both involved in
- 23 the real estate industry. Mr. Jourdain is a broker
- 24 and Gladys holds a Masters in Design and
- 25 Architecture.

1 As you heard, we are here today, we are not

- 2 going to discuss one portion of the application, we
- 3 are leaving out the pergola, we are here for
- 4 replacing windows with hurricane-proof doors and
- 5 doing some sitework that involves a ramp. Let's
- 6 see. It is a walkway. As you saw from the map
- 7 before the property is located in the northern,
- 8 northwestern quadrant of Coral Gables, Milan is on
- 9 the south, Madrid is on the eastern elevation. The
- 10 area in question is the long rectangular is what we
- 11 are leaving out today, so what we are discussing
- 12 is -- this is the main part of the application, so
- 13 the top picture is the south facade that faces
- 14 Milan. It currently has three windows and the
- 15 bottom is the proposed work, as is shown. The City
- 16 made comments about not moving the windows as much
- 17 as they were shown in the actual plans. The staff
- 18 made comments, we agree, we will abide by the
- 19 comments. The only editorial comment that I will
- 20 make, apparently, the windows referenced, the north
- 21 window, so on the north side of this building that
- 22 they are trying to match, is not an original
- 23 window. All of the windows were changed in the
- 24 seventies. We understand the comment, we agree
- 25 with the comment, we also agree with the comment

- 1 regarding the little rubble wall, the entranceway.
- 2 We will make that revision to the plans. The
- 3 reason for moving the door -- to moving the door
- 4 opening to the east a little bit, you can see in
- 5 the window opening, it is directly under the eve,
- 6 so that allows for water to just be a not favorable
- 7 condition. So, here are the plans. Staff made
- 8 comments based on the drawings, and we are good
- 9 with the comments made by staff with respect to
- 10 taking out the little rubble wall at the east end,
- 11 the little section at the very beginning, and we
- 12 are okay with doing that, and also removing the
- 13 mosaic at the top of the bathroom window. I've
- 14 neglected to mention earlier, the architect Victor
- 15 Morales is here if you have any other questions.
- 16 I'm happy to answer any questions that you have.
- 17 Just to let you know, we do accept the staff
- 18 comments and conditions.
- 19 MR. MORALES: You want to walk us through
- 20 quickly? You note in your report about the
- 21 shifting of that opening, but it is not a formal
- 22 condition, as far as I can tell.
- MS. KAUTZ: I missed that one this weekend.
- 24 So, on this drawing, which was not -- I don't
- 25 believe in the thing before, the part in blue, if

1 that is where the new windows are going to go. If

- 2 they keep the eastern most of the left window and
- 3 the western most of the right window, and go out
- 4 from there for the doors, I think that will be
- 5 sufficient, because you are keeping the opening
- 6 side the same, you are not shifting it any further?
- 7 Does that make sense.
- 8 MR. RODRIGUEZ: We don't see what you are
- 9 pointing to.
- 10 MS. KAUTZ: See the window opening on the
- 11 left-hand side? If they can keep that -- sorry.
- 12 The right side of the right window.
- MS. RUSSO: In order for the proportion to be
- 14 maintained symmetrical.
- 15 MRS. JOURDAIN: These two dimensions are
- 16 different, and what we were aiming for was
- 17 symmetrical, the window as the center. So these
- 18 dimensions --
- 19 MR. EHRENHAFT: Can you restate your
- 20 clarification?
- 21 MS. KAUTZ: The inside openings of the two
- 22 windows we want to maintain. The symmetry is less
- 23 important, than actually keeping the line of the
- 24 original existing window.
- 25 MR. RODRIGUEZ: The blue lines will be where

- 1 the windows would be replaced?
- 2 MRS. JOURDAIN: My name is Gladys, the
- 3 property owner.
- 4 The standard size of a door is six feet wide,
- 5 seven feet, eight feet, and basically in order to
- 6 have the standard-sized door, this door is 88
- 7 inches wide. There's no door that matches that
- 8 size. So, we wanted to move away from the
- 9 overhang. The overhang impinges about a
- 10 foot-and-a-half. This photograph is elongated,
- 11 stretches horizontally. The windows are narrower,
- 12 the bottom line is when we did the mathematics on
- 13 making the property, the aesthetic of the
- 14 composition of this facade to have symmetry around
- 15 the center access, and basically, this is the
- largest space, it is an open green area, and it is
- 17 what faces the street from Milan Avenue, so
- 18 therefore, the scale of this has to be
- 19 proportionment to its anti-room, so to speak, which
- 20 is the gardens. So I think they are going to vary
- 21 eight inches, three inches here, four inches here,
- 22 I don't know. I would like to keep the symmetry.
- 23 That is the only reason why this diagram is the way
- 24 it is.
- 25 MR. PARSLEY: That is not the way it is drawn

- 1 on the proposed plans?
- 2 MS. JOURDAIN: This is responding from the
- 3 comment from the City. This was created to see if
- 4 we shifted the doors around, how it would look.
- 5 MS. KAUTZ: The plans shown, these don't
- 6 match, this is different, this is higher. These
- 7 two plans, the elevations.
- 8 MR. PARSLEY: On the plan, I'm not seeing
- 9 symmetry. Symmetry for what? The bathroom window?
- 10 MS. JOURDAIN: Yes, it is. Whether it is the
- 11 bathroom's window or Pope's window, it is the
- 12 center.
- 13 MR. PARSLEY: I think this is faux symmetry.
- 14 MS. JOURDAIN: When you have a certain rhythm.
- 15 MR. PARSLEY: I understand what you are
- 16 saying, I don't agree with it.
- 17 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm trying to make this clear;
- 18 what you have outlined in blue, is that what you
- 19 are proposing to do?
- 20 MS. RUSSO: Correct, it had the doors in a
- 21 different location. Kara is correct. The plans
- 22 didn't match. When the owners received the
- 23 comments, the staff report, she prepared this slide
- 24 to say, I agree, I will shift them over less than I
- 25 intend or originally requested, but still want to

- 1 maintain a symmetry and agree to take off the
- 2 decorative mosaic proposed for the top of the
- 3 bathroom window, so this slide with the blue lines
- 4 is a proposal in response to this.
- 5 MR. RODRIGUEZ: You are proposing this for us
- 6 to approve.
- 7 MS. RUSSO: Correct, and it will have revised
- 8 drawings that corrects the sheet.
- 9 MR. MENENDEZ: Kara, these were approved in
- 10 December 2017?
- MS. KAUTZ: By whom? By the Board of
- 12 Architects? Yes.
- MR. MENENDEZ: Have they seen (indicating).
- MS. KAUTZ: No.
- MR. MENENDEZ: It will go back to the Board of
- 16 Architects, as well?
- 17 MS. KAUTZ: Yes.
- 18 MR. PARSLEY: You are okay with the blue shown
- 19 on that photograph?
- 20 MS. KAUTZ: No. I'm going to talk loud for a
- 21 second.
- MR. PARSLEY: The plans are not helpful.
- MS. KAUTZ: So, we are asking for, instead of
- 24 creating a new opening, part of the Secretary of
- 25 Interior' standards is that you can remove what is

- done, theoretically, so if the door starts here and
- 2 goes six feet that way, starts here, at least you
- 3 are maintaining one line of the original window
- 4 opening.
- 5 MS. JOURDAIN: The problem is that the
- 6 dimensions do not align; in other words, we would
- 7 like to do that, we would love to do that, but then
- 8 the door proportion doesn't work, and I want to
- 9 keep the panels as large as possible, because the
- 10 windows are wide, 38 inches wide.
- 11 MR. SILVA: Kara is saying, you don't have a
- 12 problem closing them off to make the correct
- 13 proportion, we would prefer to --
- 14 MS. JOURDAIN: I don't think --
- 15 MS. KAUTZ: I think what would be helpful to
- 16 have the dimensions on the drawings drawn properly,
- 17 to see where they really are, because right now,
- 18 what Robert was saying, this elevation doesn't even
- 19 match the proposed elevations, this set. If you
- 20 dimension this wall across here with the original
- 21 opening, then your proposed door, that would be
- 22 very helpful.
- 23 MR. SILVA: You may have an issue aligning the
- 24 interior, if you shift the door over to the right,
- 25 it will be heading into the bathroom.

1 MRS. JOURDAIN: No, there's room. It is not

- 2 more than six or seven inches. It is small. We
- 3 have to do an adjustment.
- 4 MS. KAUTZ: If you move it over, you are
- 5 showing it in your bathroom on your plan.
- 6 MR. PARSLEY: I think like most jobs, projects
- 7 that we get, where we get an accurate drawing of
- 8 existing conditions above and proposed conditions
- 9 below and then elevation, so we can see all of the
- 10 measurements laid out, we would have a much
- 11 better --
- MS. KAUTZ: I agree.
- 13 MR. PARSLEY: Right now, I'm going to say no
- 14 based on the sketch drawings and that sketch.
- MS. KAUTZ: I'm going to keep going, it is up
- 16 to you.
- 17 MR. SILVA: I think they agreed to all of the
- 18 other conditions.
- 19 MS. KAUTZ: One was not addressed. Put it
- 20 back up, sorry. The other comments not discussed,
- 21 the terrace on plan and site elevation that was
- 22 presented to staff in this proposal, it engages the
- 23 freestanding wind wall on the house on the far
- 24 right of that, we want that to remain freestanding
- 25 and not be engaged at all.

- 1 MS. RUSSO: I agree.
- 2 MR. MORALES: Victor Morales, do you need my
- 3 address?
- 4 MR. SILVA: Sure.
- 5 MR. MORALES: 3030 Bengal Drive, Naples,
- 6 Florida.
- 7 Yes, this was corrected a while back. I'm not
- 8 sure why. We kept the rubble wall away from the
- 9 wind wall, behind it to the west, behind it. I
- 10 think it is reflected in this elevation. Correct.
- 11 Here is the wind wall, which is what we showed on
- 12 the other plan.
- MR. EHRENHAFT: On A-2, we see the proposed
- 14 drawing of the walkway, which is behind what is a
- 15 wall at the most southern exposure of the house
- 16 toward the street. You will have a wall which is
- 17 horizontal and the top surface of it will be for
- 18 horizontal, behind it, the walkway will slope that
- 19 you have access for wheelchair or people with
- 20 walkers making it more accessible. So the rubble
- 21 wall that you are discussing now is -- is north of
- 22 the sloped --
- MS. KAUTZ: Yes.
- MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
- 25 MS. KAUTZ: It is on one side of the ramp.

1 The one I'm talking about is the eastern-most edge

- 2 of the terrace.
- 3 MS. JOURDAIN: May I help you?
- 4 MR. PARSLEY: What is the elevation of the new
- 5 terrace?
- 6 MS. JOURDAIN: Plus-two.
- 7 MR. PARSLEY: I couldn't visualize from this,
- 8 the rubble wall is 26 -- I'm not crazy about
- 9 there's nothing linear in here anywhere else, now,
- 10 we have a walk coming in on an angle into a corner.
- 11 You don't like the rubble wall. I say take a big
- 12 step back, look at how you think up the grades, 1
- 13 to 20, don't make it a handicap ramp, but maybe
- 14 there's a way to come in straight.
- 15 MS. MORALES: The owners would like to propose
- 16 to drive and then you would have that curve.
- 17 MS. JOURDAIN: That is a drop-off.
- 18 MR. MORALES: It is a fairly sharp drop-off.
- 19 MR. PARSLEY: I think there's other ways to do
- 20 it. I understand what you are trying to do.
- 21 MR. SILVA: Is there anyone from the public
- 22 who wants to speak? How many folks are going to
- 23 speak?
- MS. JOURDAIN: Four.
- MR. SILVA: As you come up, give your name and

- 1 address for the record.
- 2 MRS. JOURDAIN: My name is Gladys Bravoli. My
- 3 name is Gladys Bravoli. My address is 8636
- 4 Northwest Second Street, Miami, Florida, 33126, and
- 5 I have MS. I've been in a wheelchair since 2001.
- 6 Previously, I was lucky enough to be fine, even
- 7 though my MS started when I was 16 and right after
- 8 I was lucky enough to play tennis, on a tennis team
- 9 for Lawrence Academy. I worked mostly. I
- 10 graduated in finance at FIU. I ended up, although,
- 11 I studied finances, I ended up doing taxes for
- 12 banks, for the bank, so then I went back to school,
- 13 and I completed enough courses to be able to sit
- 14 for the CPA exam, which I did in October or
- 15 November of 1987. At the end, my hands, they were
- 16 numb. I didn't know what was going on, throughout
- 17 all of this time, I was getting different things.
- 18 MS. RUSSO: We want to talk about the project,
- 19 the walkway, and the changes to the house.
- 20 MS. BRAVOLI: I do not know, sorry. Sorry, I
- 21 didn't get the drawing.
- MS. JOURDAIN: Gladys is my cousin, that is my
- 23 sister, that is my other sister, and we are doing
- 24 this for this reason, for accessibility. The same
- 25 reason we were presenting the pergola with

- 1 expansion, which has been withdrawn, because it is
- 2 an ADA issue. We are going to talk about
- 3 accessibility in this house.
- 4 MS. SPINOSA: My name is Ileana Espinosa.
- 5 This is my sister, and this is my husband's cousin
- 6 and my daughter has Turrets Syndrome. She's in a
- 7 wheelchair. It would be great if it would be more
- 8 accessible, I appreciate how you want to keep
- 9 everything how it was, special, but we need access,
- 10 if possible, to my sister's house, and her
- 11 gatherings, and our family gatherings. That is
- 12 all.
- Hello. My name is Erin Yanowitch. My address
- 14 is 910 South Greenway Drive in the Gables. Ray and
- 15 Gladys are my neighbors. I run by their house.
- 16 Regularly. I know the importance of beautifying
- 17 their house for house values. I also know the
- 18 story behind it. I know she has her niece, she has
- 19 a very large family, a lot of them are in
- 20 wheelchairs. They need that accessibility. She's
- 21 opening to improve the size of the house, getting
- 22 the precautions ready, so when she has the large
- 23 family gatherings, I think it is important. I
- 24 explained the story to my son, he had a difficult
- 25 time understanding that she could not do that for

- 1 her own family at her own house, I think it is a
- 2 consideration. It is important for the value of
- 3 the home, how it affects the neighborhood, as well,
- 4 thank you.
- 5 Good afternoon. I live at 1245 Milan, one
- 6 block away from Gladys and Ray's property, and I
- 7 look forward to these renovations. I think it is
- 8 going to be great for the property and for my
- 9 property values in there. I'm a fairly new
- 10 resident at this house on Milan, I grew up on the
- 11 900 block of Milan. I've seen this property for
- 12 years. I was at the Merrick House, I'm from there,
- 13 I have respect for the history of The Gables. I
- 14 think what Gladys is proposing will not only
- 15 enhance, but provide access and do a positive thing
- 16 for what is a beautiful and spectacular property
- 17 and provide the needs for her family and enhance
- 18 the neighborhood. Thank you.
- 19 MR. SILVA: Anyone else in the public that
- 20 wishes to speak? Seeing none, I will close the
- 21 public hearing.
- Just so everybody is aware, accessibility is
- 23 sometimes a challenge on these historic residences.
- 24 We recognize that. Certainly none of us here want
- 25 to be a barrier here. We are just tasked that it

- 1 is appropriate. I don't think any of these
- 2 comments preclude you from building a ramp. None
- 3 of us have an issue with the ramp. The issues on
- 4 Ms. Kautz' report deal more with the aesthetics and
- 5 definitely not going to preclude the building the
- 6 ramp to make this residence accessible.
- 7 That being said, do we have any other
- 8 comments?
- 9 MR. RODRIGUEZ: The whole issue comes down to
- 10 the placement of the doors and the drawings you
- 11 have do not coincide with what you are suggesting.
- 12 Staff, Kara, is there a way for us to describe
- 13 what you think she can accept and go forward with
- 14 that, as opposed to coming back for another
- 15 hearing?
- 16 MS. JOURDAIN: We would like to have the
- 17 opening large enough to have handicap-accessible
- 18 doors. The minimum width for interior doors is 36
- 19 inches. I need a double door, 72 inches wide, and
- 20 put two concrete columns on either side. I need to
- 21 be able to do that. If I don't move the opening to
- 22 the right six or eight inches, I may not be able to
- 23 get a six-foot-wide door. That is the
- 24 accessibility issue, as opposed to just an
- 25 aesthetic one. That is why the proposal is for a

- 1 double door, 72 inches wide. So if I can get that
- 2 within the boundaries of what they are suggesting,
- 3 as long as I can have that.
- 4 MR. SILVA: A good compromise would be, we
- 5 move this with the staff conditions, and staff is
- 6 competent. If it is technically possible to comply
- 7 with the request, 72 inches, that may be --
- 8 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Are you willing to accept
- 9 that?
- 10 MS. KRAUTZ: Yes, as long as the drawings are
- 11 modified with the dimensions, and to show what they
- 12 need to show, because right now, that can't be.
- 13 MR. PARSLEY: With the first intent working
- 14 with the inside existing frame as much as possible?
- 15 MR. EHRENHAFT: They would still have to go
- 16 before the BOA again?
- 17 MS. KAUTZ: If there's a site plan change, but
- 18 once you approve it with the condition, they do
- 19 away with the BOA the second time.
- 20 MR. EHRENHAFT: It will only come back to us
- 21 if you have difficulties in accommodating what
- 22 their intentions are?
- MS. KAUTZ: Yes.
- 24 MR. PARSLEY: One more suggestion to the
- 25 architect: If you do the walkway between the two

- 1 rubble walls, as soon as you hit that mouth, the
- 2 east mouth, where you eliminated the arc wall, I
- 3 would see, trying to get them to do elevations, you
- 4 might be able to run that straight out at a 25.
- 5 MR. MORALES: Exactly, no rubble wall.

6

- 7 MR. SUAREZ: I let the walk start right behind
- 8 the way wall, I agree. I'm sure if we get past
- 9 this point, we can work it out, so we can explore
- 10 the wall, what needs to be done in there.
- 11 MR. SILVA: Ready to make a motion?
- MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'll move to approve the
- 13 project with the conditions we've established. I
- 14 would like to be able to articulate what you just
- 15 said, so we know what the motion is. Your
- 16 description, again, of what you would do. They are
- 17 proposing something subject to --
- 18 MS. KAUTZ: Approving it.
- 19 MR. PARSLEY: The existing double windows that
- 20 are being converted to French doors work with the
- 21 inside edges of the window frames as first choice
- 22 and any modification beyond that would be for Ms.
- 23 Krautz' discretion.
- MS. KAUTZ: Staff. Don't leave it up to me.
- 25 MS. BACHE-WIIG: I will second.

- 1 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Count the fact that the
- 2 modification is needed for a wheelchair access.
- MS. KAUTZ: Of course, correct. Do any of the
- 4 walkway modifications --
- 5 MR. PARSLEY: I think you said it.
- 6 MS. KAUTZ: Would you include staff conditions
- 7 and a motion to defer the pergola at whatever comes
- 8 back?
- 9 MR. SILVA: We have a motion? We have a
- 10 second, as well.
- 11 THE CLERK: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
- 12 MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
- 13 THE CLERK: Mr. Rodriguez?
- MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
- 15 THE CLERK: Ms. Bache-Wiiq?
- 16 MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
- 17 THE CLERK: Mr. Parsley?
- 18 MR. PARSLEY: Yes.
- 19 THE CLERK: Mr. Menendez?
- 20 MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
- 21 THE CLERK: Mr. Silva?
- 22 MR. SILVA: Yes.
- MS. SPAIN: We added a property that I would
- 24 like you to look at. If you could move to the next
- 25 PowerPoint, the next presentation.

- 1 MR. SILVA: 2710?
- 2 MS. SPAIN: Yes.
- 3 MR. SILVA: Case File COA (ST) 2011-104
- 4 Revised. An application for revision to a Standard
- 5 Certificate of Appropriateness for the property at
- 6 2710 Columbus Boulevard, a Local Historic Landmark,
- 7 legally described as Lots 6 and 7, Block 5 of Coral
- 8 Gables Section "D," as recorded in Plat Book 25
- 9 Page 74, Florida. The application requests design
- 10 approval for revisions to a previously approved
- 11 application. These revisions include the addition
- of two doors and a window, the removal of muntins
- on all windows, the location of an air-conditioning
- 14 unit, and the installation of new columns in place
- 15 of the historic columns on the front elevation.
- 16 MS. SPAIN: This is an ongoing issue for quite
- 17 some time. It may be placed on the City Commission
- 18 next Tuesday. I wanted it to come to you first.
- 19 The process would be, the normal process, it would
- 20 go to the Board of Architects, get an approval,
- 21 come here for revision to Certificate of
- 22 Appropriateness that was issued, then it would`
- 23 only come to the Commission if there was an appeal.
- I'm just going to go through the slides and
- 25 explain to you what happened. This is the location

- on Columbus (indicating). This is the way it
- 2 looked in the 1940s. This photo was take at the
- 3 time that it was designated in 1993. This photo,
- 4 also. This is from the Page of the Designation
- 5 Report showing that originally, it was a screened
- 6 porch on the right-hand side of the photograph,
- 7 enclosed with glass in the 1990 rehabilitation.
- 8 The screening was added to the center, that had
- 9 been added, removed in 1990 rehabilitation, that
- 10 restored the original appearance. Again, this was
- 11 taken for the designation report. These turned
- 12 wooden piers were dividing the porch opening with
- 13 glass behind this when it was designated in 1993,
- 14 wrought iron and plate glass installed in 1990
- 15 behind the column. When the owner first came to
- 16 us, we had a long discussion with him in the
- 17 office. We went to the property to explain the
- 18 process and go over with him what he needed to do,
- 19 which was obviously to keep these columns, if at
- 20 all possible, because they are wood. They had
- 21 fallen into disrepair. So if he had repaired them,
- 22 that would be fine. If, for some reason, they had
- 23 to be replaced, they would have to go back looking
- 24 identical to what it was. So he called for an
- 25 inspection, final inspection, having done the work.

1 And these were the columns that are there. And not

- 2 only were the profile wrong, but it also stuck out
- 3 beyond the stucco, which is just a problem being
- 4 wood, once you get in, they were not painted on the
- 5 sides, so we had a problem with this. This shows
- 6 that the original photograph that we took just
- 7 before the work began compared to the new columns.
- 8 MR. PARSLEY: Is that wood or stucco?
- 9 MR. SILVA: They installed windows and?
- 10 MS. SPAIN: And put plywood. That shows a
- 11 side that hadn't been painted.
- 12 MR. EHRENHAFT: This is not the front
- 13 elevation?
- 14 MS. SPAIN: It is. In addition there were
- 15 doors and windows that were supposed to have high
- 16 profile muntins on them installed. This was taken
- 17 at the time of the inspection, as you can see, they
- 18 are crooked and they are one-dimensional. They
- 19 were just put over one another. In fact, they
- 20 didn't meet the edges and some of them were on the
- 21 floor. For instance, this window, if you can see
- 22 the muntin pattern, on the left is what was there,
- 23 on the right are the drawings that were approved.
- 24 This shows the elevation of the pool and the bottom
- 25 is on the drawings, the top is what was actually

- 1 installed. So, we talked to the owner, explained
- 2 to him what he needed to do, he called for another
- 3 inspection November 5, 2013. So now we have a
- 4 window that had these muntins been in the right
- 5 position, but one fell, it was on the sill. And
- 6 the columns had been painted. We failed both of
- 7 those inspections. In addition to that, there were
- 8 other things that were done to the house, there was
- 9 two doors and a window, that was added to the scope
- 10 of work that were not on the drawings, that were
- 11 obviously new. Can you go back to the PowerPoint?
- 12 So, here is a site plan. There's an
- 13 air-conditioning condensing unit in the front.
- 14 Behind the wall, there's an AC, a condensing unit
- 15 without a permit.
- 16 MR. SILVA: There is a wall?
- 17 MS. SPAIN: Front wall. Look at the
- 18 photographs. This shows the two doors added on
- 19 either side of the logia, and the window, which is
- 20 a different configuration of what it should be.
- 21 This drawing shows the windows and doors added to
- 22 the scope of work. What they are requesting is to
- 23 eliminate the muntins, the owner said that he -- he
- 24 had people, or he called twelve different companies
- 25 to get the proper type of muntins, profiles, just

- 1 added to these doors. He said it was impossible to
- 2 do. He was willing to do it. He was unable to get
- 3 anyone to come out, not the company that did the
- 4 doors or any other company would come out. He's
- 5 requesting that we just take off the muntins.
- 6 Also, he's requesting the condensing unit to be in
- 7 the front. It is actually surrounded by
- 8 landscaping and there's a wall there. So I believe
- 9 that is the extent of the application. I'm not
- 10 sure it will be discussed at the Commission level,
- 11 I wanted you to weigh in on it. Don Hackman is
- 12 here. He was just given this duty yesterday. So,
- 13 he has some images that he can go over with you.
- 14 The owner was not able to make it.
- 15 MR. SILVA: Do you have a picture of the AC?
- 16 MS. SPAIN: They would like you to approve the
- 17 wood columns. My recommendation is that they need
- 18 to get wood columns that look like the originals.
- 19 They are sticking that far out from the stucco,
- 20 they are going to rot away.
- 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can I ask a question?
- MS. SPAIN: Yes.
- 23 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Why is this going to the
- 24 Commission tomorrow?
- 25 MS. SPAIN: That is a good question. I don't

- 1 know the answer. It was going to be on the
- 2 Commission's agenda. I believe one of the
- 3 commissioners is putting it on, because they want
- 4 him to be able to be approved, because it has been
- 5 so long. It was scheduled as a discussion item, no
- 6 action, I know my understanding is it will continue
- 7 as a discussion or be removed.
- 8 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Our job today is to make a
- 9 recommendation?
- 10 MS. SPAIN: Yes, you are a Board with final
- 11 authority, so my view is the business coming before
- 12 you, and you can approve it or not, then there's an
- 13 appeal process, that is how a property owner gets
- 14 onto the City Commissioner agenda.
- 15 MR. HACKMAN: What is on the commissioner
- 16 agenda, is not, strictly, just a discussion, the
- 17 Commission could take pretty much no action.
- 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Even if it is discussed,
- 19 someone makes a motion, they don't have the
- 20 authority to grant the motion?
- 21 MR. HACKMAN: Depends on the extent of the
- 22 motion.
- 23 MR. RODRIGUEZ: What if it is the motion to
- 24 approve the request of the owner?
- 25 MR. PARSLEY: Grandfathered and existing

- 1 conditions.
- 2 MS. BACHE-WIIG: It is not going through the
- 3 rank protocol.
- 4 MR. MENENDEZ: The Commission is depending on
- 5 us.
- 6 MS. SPAIN: I'm the one that put it on. I
- 7 wanted you all to see what happened and not have it
- 8 discussed at a commission meeting, and you are not
- 9 aware.
- 10 MR. SILVA: Has there been any process since
- 11 2013, was that the last time you had seen or heard
- 12 of this project?
- MS. SPAIN: Yes.
- 14 MR. PARSLEY: What is the AC doing in the
- 15 front yard? What part of the house is it?
- 16 MS. KAUTZ: Center.
- 17 MR. PARSLEY: Zoning?
- 18 MS. SPAIN: It is a zoning issue.
- 19 MS. KAUTZ: It was for the enclosed sun room,
- 20 split system, and they didn't --
- MR. MENENDEZ: Why hadn't Code Enforcement
- 22 done anything about this?
- MS. SPAIN: I don't have the answer to this
- 24 question. Why don't we focus on the windows.
- MR. SILVA: I was going there next.

1 Don, I know you got this five minutes ago,

- 2 have you done any research, whether it is
- 3 technically feasible to apply the correct muntins?
- 4 MR. HACKMAN: Don Hackman, architect here in
- 5 Coral Gables. I'm not familiar with the muntins
- 6 there before. I think there was a suggestion to
- 7 remove all of them, and leave it flush.
- 8 MR. SILVA: If the decision is leave the ones
- 9 that are there versus none, I think it is pretty
- 10 clear, the right decision is no muntins.
- 11 MR. MENENDEZ: Or do it right.
- MS. SPAIN: The easiest thing is to call the
- 13 window company that did the doors and ask them to
- 14 do muntins. He said he did that, but they were not
- 15 able to do the high profile muntins. They are
- 16 probably not used to them at all, because no one
- 17 would put those on.
- 18 MR. HACKMAN: It is a flat bar.
- 19 MS. SPAIN: There was not an intersection of
- 20 the muntins on it.
- 21 MR. SILVA: The original drawings called for
- 22 raised.
- MS. SPAIN: Now, we stamp them.
- MR. SILVA: That is not a question.
- 25 MS. SPAIN: It is.

1 MR. HACKMAN: I don't know what was there

- 2 originally.
- 3 MR. PARSLEY: If we say it is okay, there's no
- 4 such thing as high-profile muntins to be applied.
- 5 I believe there are.
- 6 MS. SPAIN: There are. There is a home on
- 7 Alhambra that has multiple types of windows in
- 8 there, that ICGI and one series is much flatter and
- 9 one is a high profile. That owner called and asked
- 10 to have the higher profile on the lower grade of
- 11 window, and they were told they would not provide
- 12 them with those muntins. There's an issue with
- 13 getting muntins applied. I think if the windows
- 14 were a company that, you know, had muntins.
- 15 MR. EHRENHAFT: Are there munting throughout
- 16 the windows in the house?
- 17 MS. SPAIN: No.
- 18 MR. EHRENHAFT: What is the profile?
- 19 MS. SPAIN: I think there's one door that was
- 20 done right.
- 21 MS. KAUTZ: They have muntins.
- MS. SPAIN: There's none without muntins. Are
- 23 there any windows in the house that he did not
- 24 change? That have muntins? No. I want this out of
- 25 my life. This has been an ongoing problem, endless

- 1 conversations about these windows, so if you are
- 2 comfortable not having muntins, I don't think it
- 3 sets a precedent. Nobody is going to go through
- 4 this, like this, and try to put them on. Right
- 5 now, we have a stamp that says, "High-Profile
- 6 Muntins," put it on every window pattern.
- 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Does the interior --
- 8 MR. HACKMAN: It is around the pool?
- 9 MS. SPAIN: Yes.
- 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Interior doors?
- 11 MS. SPAIN: Yes. The majority of them are in
- 12 the back of the house. There's very few windows,
- 13 that the area to the right was a porch anyway, they
- 14 have -- only the windows under the logia, which are
- 15 not as visible.
- MR. HACKMAN: This is the original, correct?
- MS. SPAIN: We are not asking for muntins on
- 18 that location, anyway.
- 19 MS. SPAIN: Go back to the PowerPoint.
- 20 MR. SILVA: If the original drawings call for
- 21 raised muntins, that is one thing. If they did
- 22 not, seems like they did not, from what I'm
- 23 hearing.
- MS. SPAIN: I checked the plans, I don't
- 25 believe they did.

1 MR. SILVA: Then they tried to comply. They

- 2 tried to do the muntins, either shotty workmanship
- 3 or materials or whatever, they fell off. It is a
- 4 different place, then if they flouted the whole
- 5 process. I think it is a different situation than
- 6 what we typically see on the after effect thing.
- 7 MS. SPAIN: Look at the front of this house,
- 8 you are only talking about the one under the
- 9 arches. Those doors would be visible without
- 10 muntins.
- 11 MR. SILVA: I do think that they should do
- 12 something.
- 13 MS. SPAIN: The columns have to go. That is
- 14 the only part of it that really bothers me,
- 15 obviously the windows bother me, too. Took that
- into consideration initially, but if we can get the
- 17 columns right, I think it would make a huge
- 18 different in the front facade.
- 19 MR. PARSLEY: Those are just applied, as well.
- 20 You just pop them off, shave them off, put them
- 21 back.
- MR. EHRENHAFT: The profile is different.
- MR. MENENDEZ: It came before the Board in the
- 24 past.
- 25 MS. SPAIN: No, because windows are handled

- 1 administratively.
- 2 MR. MENENDEZ: Okay.
- 3 MS. SPAIN: We spent a long time with this
- 4 owner. We went out to the property, walked the
- 5 property with him, explained what he needed to do.
- 6 MR. SILVA: Spend some more time with him on
- 7 those?
- 8 MS. SPAIN: Yes.
- 9 MR. SILVA: My thought is to kind of approve
- 10 the removal of the muntins and require them to do
- 11 something.
- MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can we approve something when
- there's nothing before us to be approved?
- MS. SPAIN: This is a standard Certificate of
- 15 Appropriateness that we typically handle
- 16 administratively, so if you approve the ability of
- 17 the owner to remove the muntins.
- 18 MR. MENENDEZ: It will not set a precedent.
- 19 MS. SPAIN: I don't believe it is, because in
- 20 the future, they will be stamped "high-profile
- 21 muntins, you know.
- MR. PARSLEY: The AC is a separate issue.
- 23 MS. SPAIN: Zoning, if we handle that, if it
- 24 has to come back for a variance, you can't see that
- 25 from the front, so I need to talk to zoning.

1 MR. HACKMAN: The air-conditioning is a split

- 2 system, enclosed area?
- 3 MS. SPAIN: Yes.
- 4 MR. HACKMAN: The compressor is in your plans,
- 5 inside corner, it is this wide and that high
- 6 (indicating). It is not typical.
- 7 MS. SPAIN: It is not one of the large ones.
- 8 MR. HACKMAN: Very small for that one room.
- 9 It is hidden by landscaping. You don't see it in
- 10 the photograph. In the plans you can see where it
- 11 is located. On the inside corner of --
- 12 MS. SPAIN: I'm fine with the air-conditioner
- 13 where it was. It bothered me it didn't have a
- 14 permit.
- 15 MR. HACKMAN: It is in the front elevation,
- 16 but in the L shape.
- 17 MS. SPAIN: Yes.
- 18 MR. EHRENHAFT: One for clarification, I'm
- 19 still confused. We talked about two doors, two
- 20 windows. But it sounds like from the description
- 21 here, they want to go throughout the entirety of
- 22 the house and any window with muntins on it, strip
- 23 them off.
- MS. SPAIN: Yes, that is correct. All of the
- 25 windows are new now. They all have the really

- 1 horrible flat muntins.
- 2 MR. MENENDEZ: Was he required to put muntins
- 3 up before?
- 4 MS. SPAIN: He was required, but we didn't
- 5 have the stamp we now have, that says high-profile
- 6 muntins.
- 7 MS. BACHE-WIIG: Do the owners want to remove
- 8 them?
- 9 MS. SPAIN: They do. They have not been able
- 10 to at this point, they just want them removed.
- MR. MENENDEZ: Definitely looks better without
- 12 them.
- MS. SPAIN: They are horrible the way they
- 14 look now. That is my recommendation, to remove
- 15 them from all of the windows, focus on the columns.
- 16 MR. PARSLEY: Qualified Certificate of
- 17 Appropriateness?
- 18 MS. SPAIN: Yes, I don't think so. We give a
- 19 standard Certificate of Appropriateness.
- 20 MR. SILVA: With the condition they come back.
- 21 MS. SPAIN: Come back with columns.
- It would be a revision already to an issued
- 23 Certificate of Appropriateness.
- MR. EHRENHAFT: I would add at any such time,
- 25 all of the windows in the house were replaced for

- 1 some reason, then they need to come back with the
- 2 original muntins that would match that was original
- 3 to the house.
- 4 MS. SPAIN: Yes.
- 5 MR. SILVA: No one here from the public.
- 6 Close the public hearing. Anyone ready to make a
- 7 motion? I think we talked this out.
- 8 MS. BACHE-WIIG: I make a motion to approve
- 9 the item before us based on the comments from staff
- 10 to allow the owners who remove the muntins, and
- 11 then we'll revisit the columns at a later time.
- MR. MENENDEZ: I second.
- 13 MR. SILVA: Motion has a second.
- 14 MR. HACKMAN: Includes the revision of the two
- 15 doors and the windows?
- MS. BACHE-WIIG: All of the windows.
- 17 MS. SPAIN: The revision of the two doors and
- 18 the window that he added to the scope of work.
- 19 MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes, correct.
- 20 THE CLERK: Mr. Rodriquez?
- 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
- 22 THE CLERK: Mr. Menendez?
- MR. MENDENDEZ: Yes.
- 24 THE CLERK: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
- MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.

		Page 57
1	THE CLERK: Mr. Silva?	
2	MR. SILVA: Yes.	
3	MR. PARSLEY: Motion to adjourn.	
4	MR. EHRENHAFT: Second.	
5	MR. SILVA: All in favor?	
6	(Aye.)	
7	So say all.	
8	Adjourned.	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	

	Page 58	
1		
2	STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD	
3		
4	T Maliana Mallan Dlavida Duafanai wal	
5	I, Melissa Kallas, Florida Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at large, that I was authorized to and did	
6 7	report said meeting in stenotype; and that the foregoing pages inclusive, are a true and correct transcription of my shorthand notes of said meeting.	
8 9	I further certify that said meeting was taken at the time and place hereinabove set forth and that the taking of said meeting was commenced and	
10	completed as hereinabove set out.	
	I further certify that I am not an	
11	attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel of party	
12	connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.	
13	The foregoing certification of this	
14	transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/o	
15	direction of the certifying reporter.	
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 9th day of April, 2019.	
17	nana enib yen ady or april, 2019.	
18	The state of the s	
19	Melissa Kallas (allas	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		