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| 1 | CHAIRMAN: So good afternoon. Welcome to the |
| 2 | regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral |
| 3 | Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are |
| 4 | residents of Coral Gables and charged with |
| 5 | preservation and protection of historic |
| 6 | architecturally worthy buildings, structures, |
| 7 | sites, neighborhoods and artifacts which impart a |
| 8 | distinct historical heritage of the city. |
| 9 | The board is comprised of nine members, seven |
| 10 | of whom are appointed by the commission and one by |
| 11 | the city manager, and the ninth is selected by the |
| 12 | board and confirmed by the commission. |
| 13 | Five members of the board constitute a quorum |
| 14 | and you will need five affirmative votes to pass |
| 15 | any adoption for motion. |
| 16 | Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to |
| 17 | the City of Coral Gables Ordinance No. 2006-11, |
| 18 | must register with the City of Coral Gables clerk |
| 19 | prior to engaging in lobbying activities or |
| 20 | presentations before city staff, boards, |
| 21 | committees, and/or the city commission. A copy of |
| 22 | the ordinance is available in the office of the |
| 23 | city clerk. Failure to register and provide proof |
| 24 | of registration shall prohibit your ability to |
| 25 | present to the Historic Preservation Board on |

applications under consideration this afternoon.
A lobbyist is defined as an individual, corporation, partnership or other legal entity employed or retained, whether paid or not, by a principal who seeks to encourage the approval, disapproval, adoption, repeal, passage, defeat, or modification of any ordinance, resolution, action or decision of any city commissioner, any action, decision, recommendation of the city manager, any city board or committee, including, but not limited to, quasi-judicial, advisory board, trust, authority, or council, or any action, decision or recommendation of city personnel during the time period of the entire decision-making process on the action, decision or recommendation which foreseeably will be heard or reviewed by the city commission or a city board or committee, including, but not limited to, quasi-judicial, advisory board, trust, authority or council.

Presentations made to this board are subject to the City's false claim ordinance, Chapter 39 of the City of Coral Gables City Code.

I now officially call the Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board meeting of December 20th, 2018 to order. The time is 4:06 p.m.




the slide show, is that possible? I believe the other ones -- if it's not, $I$ can wing it.

We're going to wing it so this may be really clumsy.

This is the location of the single family residence that's in question. It is located on the north side of Segovia Circle on an irregularly-shaped lot. It was -- the property is comprised of actually two lots, but the house is solely built on the eastern -- southeastern most lot, and it is distinctive architectural type that was prevalent in the city in the 1920s, known as a Coral Gables cottage. It's a modest home that was designed with the features of the larger more prominent structures. And as you can see from this location map, it is just north of Segovia Plaza.

So this is a 1940s photo of the property. I've got nothing on this.

So it retains its high degree of integrity. Very little has changed. There was one small addition that was made to the rear of the property. This is the property as it is today. As you can see, very little difference.

This application for designation was received by the owner, so it's owner driven. So we're

the South Florida environment.
This single family residence built in 1925 exemplifies Merrick's vision and goal of a planned Mediterranean-themed community whose architecture was adapted to the Southern Florida climate. This one-story residence was originally designed as a two-bedroom home with a two-story attached garage as well as a screened front porch and a rear sleeping porch.

As $I$ said, it sits on two interior lots and it includes many prominent and defining features of the Mediterranean Revival style.

I'm not sure that you'll be able to see that.
Aaron, if it's too hard, it's okay. I can deal. Thanks.

So the property at 2211 Segovia Circle was permitted in 1925 in December, likely built the following year. It was Permit No. 1942 in the city. The architect was the Architectural Service Bureau, which typically is a plan, it's a catalog plan company, backed by one architect.

The owner was T.N. Heath and the builder was Ogden Development Company.

So Coral Gables Development is broadly divided into three major historic periods: The initial
planning boom and development, the Florida land boom, all this prior to the hurricane of 1926. Then there's the aftermath of the 1926 hurricane the Great Depression, the New Deal/Wartime activity from 1927 to roughly 1944 and, the Post World War II and modern periods, which is 1945 to roughly 1963.

This home was built during the Gables boom period and is emblematic of the style that George Merrick was trying to create within the city.

So this is a Frank Button plan that was designed in 1921, one of the earliest that we have when the city was being laid out. It's based on -largely on the rows in the plantation, the planting rows which made up the grid. As you can see the area outlined in red is Coral Gables Section B. It's one of the earliest sections and it encompasses the Merrick homestead, which is just above the red line, the larger plat just above the red line.

This is a blow-up of the $B$ section with the block of Segovia Circle in red that you can see there. This like I said, this was one of the earliest sections. It was nearest to Coral Way, which is a major thoroughfare. It was part of just

built out.
So what's interesting about this home, as I noted earlier, it is -- it was designed in a catalog plan. From 1900 to 1940 over 100 companies sold mail order architecture services nationwide, one of them being Sears, Montgomery Ward, and lots of big companies sold plans, and then later on they also sold building materials so you could build the homes, and a lot of places, they came also as kits where you could actually put them together yourself. So these homes typically catered to middle class, two or three-bedroom homes of the smaller scale.

In 1914 the Architects Small House Service Bureau was established by a group of architects in order to try to destabilize the catalog companies. They wanted to emphasize the need for professional design even in the smallest of houses. They didn't have much of an impact, and by the mid-'20s in order to compete with the catalogs, certain architects had begun to individually produce their own catalog homes that catered to specific localities, and this was the case in this particular home.

It was designed according to Architectural
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Service Bureau's Tropical Home Plan No. 1254. The architect behind that bureau was Howard D. Fiedler. The title block to the plans you can see on the right. You can see where it says Plan No. 1254, and on the bottom are newspaper articles that we found that show the different areas they were working and how much you could buy plans for.

Also, attached to your packets in the back are paved specifications that didn't necessarily come with the plans for Segovia, but they came with another Gables house, just one page of specifications that said this is what the walls are and this is what the windows are, and this is -- it was very simple.

So the house was defined Mediterranean Revival style. Its characteristics that it embodies, thick masonry walls keeping the home cool, light textured stucco exterior walls reflecting the sun's heat, varied windows that provide much needed ventilation and light in this tropical environment, rectilinear floor plans, varied massing and projecting front plains, variety of roof types and heights, distinctive large arched windows flanking the prominent tower chimney, wing walls on two sides, projecting front porch bay that was enclosed later


window on the west facade was converted into a door. At this time it was likely that the front porch and sleeping were enclosed.

In 1985 the jalousies were removed and replaced. Another window on the west facade was converted to a pair of French doors, and in 2009 impact resistant windows and doors were installed.

You can see in the photograph in the drawing the change on the east facade of the window configuration, that there were two doors with a balconette with two smaller windows inside. The continuous sill still runs underneath. They just extended the windows. So that configuration is not original, probably done in 1960 or 1985.

The iron railings of the front porch were removed at some point, we don't know when. The construction of a four-foot concrete wall to enclose the side yard with wood gates in 1955. Pool deck and patio, 1987, and then other permits, miscellaneous for central air, roof replacement, paint, things like that.

So there's an attached garage on the rear of the property. It was designed actually not by the same Architectural Service Bureau, but by H.J. Pringle, however, it was included in the same
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| 1 | permit in 1942, and based on the drawings the way |
| 2 | the hashmarks read it indicates that it was |
| 3 | attached to an existing structure. So it was |
| 4 | either built during the same time of construction |
| 5 | as the existing house or shortly thereafter. |
| 6 | It was elaborately designed, and that |
| 7 | structure has been altered through most of the |
| 8 | house over the years. |
| 9 | This is the garage now compared to what the |
| 10 | drawings show, so the green arrow in the photograph |
| 11 | is where the arch door opening is. There's a very |
| 12 | clear stucco delineation between the original |
| 13 | texture and the enclosure. The blue arrow, there's |
| 14 | a faux chimney stack that you see in the drawing |
| 15 | with the window inside of it that rises above the |
| 16 | roof edge. So that's no longer there, and we don't |
| 17 | have a picture of it from an original photo, an |
| 18 | early photo. But that indentation with the |
| 19 | shoulder of the chimney stack that you see right |
| 20 | behind the tree lets us know that it was at one |
| 21 | time there. |
| 22 | Also there was a balconette that was removed |
| 23 | that you can still see where the supports go into |
| 24 | the wall with the red arrows. |
| 25 | So comparatively a few changes to the |
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| 1 | whose primary designer was architect Howard |
| 2 | Fiedler. |
| 3 | The two-story attached auxiliary structure was |
| 4 | designed specifically for the site by architect |
| 5 | H.J. Pringle as an addendum to the catalog plans. |
| 6 | The home retains a high degree of historic |
| 7 | integrity and contributes to the historic fabric of |
| 8 | the City of Coral Gables. |
| 9 | And the owner is in the audience. |
| 10 | Sorry about that -- |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: A question -- |
| 12 | MS. KAUTZ: -- sure. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- for curiosity's sake, and |
| 14 | I've never paid attention to this, but in your |
| 15 | ownership history there's a gap, '96 and 2000, is |
| 16 | that because you couldn't find that information, or |
| 17 | is there something -- |
| 18 | MS. KAUTZ: Yeah. Between what and what? |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: '96 and 2000. This is |
| 20 | curiosity. |
| 21 | MS. KAUTZ: I don't know the answer to that. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. |
| 23 | MS. KAUTZ: You all bought the house in 2000? |
| 24 | MR. BOSWEL: 2000. |
| 25 | MS. KAUTZ: I don't know. I can ask |

Elizabeth.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is that normal that you guys skip?

MS. KAUTZ: No, not that. Usually we skip them earlier on if -- our permit records and the real estate cards that we have, the real estate cards only go back to 1940 and they're the most accurate tracking. If you look in the telephone books, because a lot of time it's by tenant and not by owner, so earlier to 1940 we tend to have gaps because the house is vacant, no one lives there, so later is not normal. So $I$ will find out and we can -- if not we can amend it. It needs to be --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: It's not relevant for discussion, but just wanted to know.

MS. KAUTZ: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: And when a bank takes over foreclosures, is that shown as a bank own?

MS. KAUTZ: Yes.
I will address that.
So you read it.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Any comments before we close the public hearing? Any questions from the audience? Anybody want to speak up? If not, I'll close the public hearing and we can deliberate.
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| 1 | Any comments from the board? |
| 2 | You're ready to go home, I'll take a motion. |
| 3 | Get this going. Come on, Robert. |
| 4 | MR. PARSLEY: I like it. |
| 5 | MS. KAUTZ: It's a really great house. |
| 6 | MR. MENENDEZ: Excellent. |
| 7 | MS. KAUTZ: Thanks. |
| 8 | MR. MENENDEZ: I move to accept. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Are you making a motion for |
| 10 | approval? |
| 11 | MR. PARSLEY: Second. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: There's a second from |
| 13 | Mr. Parsley. Any further discussion? |
| 14 | MS. KAUTZ: The report was far better than my |
| 15 | presentation. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Jessie, you can read roll. |
| 17 | THE CLERK: Mr. Ehrenhaft? |
| 18 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. |
| 19 | THE CLERK: Mr. Silva? |
| 20 | MR. SILVA: Yes. |
| 21 | THE CLERK: Mr. Parsley? |
| 22 | MR. PARSLEY: Yes. |
| 23 | THE CLERK: Mr. Rodriguez? |
| 24 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. |
| 25 | THE CLERK: Ms. Thomson? |
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| 1 | MS. THOMSON: Yes. |
| 2 | THE CLERK: Mr. Menendez? |
| 3 | MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. |
| 4 | THE CLERK: Mr. Torre? |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes. |
| 6 | Thank you for putting the house for |
| 7 | designation. That was very nice. |
| 8 | Okay. So move to, this is item case file COA |
| 9 | (SP) 2018-020. This is an application for the |
| 10 | issuance of a special certificate of |
| 11 | appropriateness for the property at 546 Alhambra |
| 12 | Circle, a non-contributing resource within the |
| 13 | Alhambra Circle District -- I'm sorry -- Alhambra |
| 14 | Circle Historic District, and this is legally |
| 15 | described as Lot 1 and west one half of Lot 2, |
| 16 | Block 11, Coral Gables Section B according to the |
| 17 | plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 5, Page 111 of |
| 18 | the public records of Miami-Dade County. |
| 19 | So the application is requesting design |
| 20 | approval for an addition to the existing residence |
| 21 | and also interior and exterior alterations. |
| 22 | MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. |
| 23 | This is a location map. It's on the corner of |
| 24 | Segovia Street and Alhambra Circle. The Alhambra |
| 25 | Circle Historic District was designated in January |




Okay. Basically it's very simple, it's not -we're going to have their bathroom and a balcony. Here we can see that we have a balcony with equally distributed columns with a window and a door. The owner wants to have access from the interior of the house, the second floor, to that balcony without having to go through the library. So that's why he added a door there.

Here we can see the east elevation. We have a small window that's going to be for the bathroom that we are proposing there. The rest -- and we are relocating the window that is right now in the bathroom that we have. I don't know if I can point it out, but the big window, a single hung window we have enlarged on the right side of a narrow window, it's a window we are proposing for a bathroom so we do have some light in that bathroom there.

Here we have see the existing floor plan and the proposed floor plan. And this is the proposed second floor. We can see that we're adding -- if you see existing, we are adding an office in the center and that's the reason why we're opening a circular window in the front of the property to have some light into the office.

On the rear, we can see the library room with
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| 1 | a bathroom and the balcony. |
| 2 | We are going to try to maintain all the |
| 3 | existing elements in the windows to provide |
| 4 | consistency in the rest of the house. The board of |
| 5 | architecture and the historic recommended we review |
| 6 | the roof slope, so we're going to make sure to |
| 7 | match the existing roof slope as well. |
| 8 | And she mentioned something about coping, we |
| 9 | have to determine what type coping because it's |
| 10 | going to stone or something that's going to be |
| 11 | matching with the style of the house. |
| 12 | It's very simple. It's just a small addition |
| 13 | on the second floor. |
| 14 | Excuse me my pronunciation. I did the best. |
| 15 | MS. KAUTZ: Do you have any questions? |
| 16 | MR. SILVA: Yeah, I had a quick question. |
| 17 | That circular window on the front being inserted, |
| 18 | is a different proportion than the existing, I |
| 19 | guess, stucco trim piece. What's staff's opinion |
| 20 | on that? Is there like a structural impediment |
| 21 | leaving in the same size or? |
| 22 | MS. KAUTZ: I don't believe so. I think they |
| 23 | could leave it the same size. The reason they're |
| 24 | adding it is because they're making that into a |
| 25 | bedroom/library space, so it could be the same |
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| 1 | size. Absolutely. It's drawn with the same |
| 2 | detailing to be reapplied, so I don't know why they |
| 4 | couldn't just fit it into the original opening. |
| 5 | mS. THOMSON: It looks like it's smaller, the |
| 6 | MS. KAUTZ: Uh-huh. |
| 7 | MS. THOMSON: Okay. |
| 8 | MR. DIAS: We can make sure it matches the |
| 9 | proposed. |
| 10 | MR. SILVA: Yeah, I think that will be better |
| 11 | in keeping with the original spirit of the house, |
| 12 | especially since it's on the front facade and |
| 13 | really like a focal point of the front facade to |
| 14 | keep the same proportions of that opening if it's |
| 15 | possible. |
| 16 | MS. KAUTZ: I'd hoped the architect was going |
| 17 | to be here as well, but apparently no. So for our |
| 18 | comments, no information was provided on the coping |
| 19 | material on the balcony. We'd like to see the |
| 20 | material before that happens. |
| 21 | MR. PARSLEY: Can I make a comment on it? |
| 22 | MS. KAUTZ: Sure. |
| 23 | MR. PARSLEY: I don't see any stone on the |
| 24 | don't we just have applied stucco bands? |

MS. KAUTZ: I'm completely fine with that.
MR. PARSLEY: And I'm not sure you need the little half ogee, a simpler block molding looks like the house might be more appropriate.

MS. KAUTZ: Where are you looking?
MR. PARSLEY: Looking at sheet seven, page 7 . The little molding for the cap of the balcony where the column's base sits on. You got that little ogee, what they called the molding. It doesn't do much for me. I don't see it anywhere else in the building. Not sure it goes with the colonial theme, but minor point.

MS. KAUTZ: I don't have an A-7 for some reason.

There we go. Got it.
Okay. Window and door muntins to be high profile. The new windows at the south and west elevation are not drawn as if they're single hung windows. I believe they're intended to be, they should be.

MR. DIAS: Yeah, they're single.
MS. KAUTZ: The columns are noted to be clad in either wood or aluminum. We want them clad in wood with a complete blown-up elevation of what the details look like with the radii and all of that
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| 1 | provided in the permit set. |
| 2 | Wall coping material should be stucco, I agree |
| 3 | with that. The roof pitch, if you look at both the |
| 4 | roof plan and the rear elevation, which is really |
| 5 | difficult to see on this drawing, but if you look |
| 6 | on the ones that were provided to you, there's a |
| 7 | slight deviation on roof pitch from the existing |
| 8 | and the proposed addition, so it makes for a really |
| 9 | awkward condition right here. |
| 10 | Okay. So they should be the same. |
| 11 | MR. DIAS: It's the same. |
| 12 | MS. KAUTZ: There are two things that are |
| 13 | drawn flanking the window on the west elevation on |
| 14 | the terrace. I don't know what they are, I'm |
| 15 | guessing they're supposed to be lights. |
| 16 | MR. DIAS: Those are lights. |
| 17 | MS. KAUTZ: Okay. Then they need to be drawn |
| 18 | properly and specked out so we can see what they |
| 19 | are. But otherwise that's it. |
| 20 | MR. EHRENHAFT: May I ask another question, |
| 21 | please? |
| 22 | MS. KAUTZ: Sure. |
| 23 | MR. EHRENHAFT: The columns on the front |
| 24 | facade, I'm not sure of their style, but they're |
| 25 | well detailed. If you go to A-7, which is just a |

view of the side of the balcony, those columns in that drawing, I don't know whether this is just a generic drawing that says this is a column, or whether they're going to be simple like that. But I --

MS. KAUTZ: I think --
MR. EHRENHAFT: -- was thinking when $I$ was reading this that they were very simple and that would be a way to differentiate the new columns and the new construction from the original.

MS. KAUTZ: I believe that they are intended to be, you know, proportional traditional columns but much simpler. What you don't see on this board of architects is the entire rest of this elevation sheet, the full size set. A couple of members of the board of architects drew the full detail with the capital and the base. So what you see now is the reflection of it being edited to be more realistic.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.
MS. KAUTZ: So that's one of the reasons we asked for specific details of what that construction looks like so that it turns out to not look wrong.

Does that make sense?
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| 1 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. |
| 2 | MS. THOMSON: So we don't have these detailed |
| 3 | drawings that you're talking about? |
| 4 | MS. KAUTZ: They'll get it fleshed out in |
| 5 | permitting. This is just an indication that it's a |
| 6 | square column with wood cladding and with the |
| 7 | proper detailing. It will go back to BOA for |
| 8 | final, and the person who reviewed this at |
| 9 | preliminary will definitely make sure it's correct. |
| 10 | It's Callum Gibb. |
| 11 | MR. EHRENHAFT: And will it come back to you |
| 12 | for final approval? |
| 13 | MS. KAUTZ: Yes. |
| 14 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Administratively. |
| 15 | MS. KAUTZ: Yes, and if we're not satisfied, |
| 16 | then we'll kick them back. |
| 17 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is Callum -- |
| 19 | MS. KAUTZ: Uh-huh. He's on the board of |
| 20 | architects. He was one of the two reviewers who |
| 21 | drew the detailed -- yeah. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: More questions? |
| 23 | MR. SILVA: No. I would make a motion. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Let me close the public |
| 25 | hearing. |
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| 1 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes. |
| 2 | Thank you very much. |
| 3 | MS. SPAIN: So that's it. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: I have an item that I came up |
| 5 | with. |
| 6 | MS. SPAIN: Uh-oh. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: No. I was going to talk to |
| 8 | you about, but I think I'll share it because it's |
| 9 | regarding windows. Can I get into it now? Do you |
| 10 | have anything else you want to add? |
| 11 | MS. SPAIN: No. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: So I was looking to find a |
| 13 | window for a house that -- a historic house that |
| 14 | we're designing. I wanted to do a 36-inch, maybe |
| 15 | four feet that looked like a double casement, |
| 16 | preferably a double casement looking thing. And |
| 17 | obviously we always talk about the ones that split |
| 18 | in the middle. Because when the architect drew the |
| 19 | double CGI, for example, the lights were so small |
| 20 | it didn't look good and were kind of tiny. |
| 21 | So I started looking around and doing some |
| 22 | research, I drove all around Alhambra Circle and |
| 23 | the many places that were building. But I did find |
| 24 | one window that was interesting, and that is in the |
| 25 | corner of North Greenway and South Greenway. North |

1 Greenway comes down --

MS. SPAIN: On the --
CHAIRMAN TORRE: On the bottom right, on the bottom corner -- it's actually on South Greenway. It's being rebuilt.

MS. SPAIN: On the east corner?
MS. KAUTZ: Two-story colonial.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: The two-story colonial, I think.

In that window, and $I$ saw it again in Pinecrest during my weekend of research, is a window that looks like split in the middle and it has in the middle a big thick divider, and since the tag of that window was on the inside and I couldn't get in, I don't know what brand window it is.

MS. SPAIN: We can find out for you.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: And then $I$ saw it in Pinecrest again with the tag on the inside. I'm thinking it's the same window. It has a raised muntin, but the raised muntin is sort of smooth, it doesn't have an ogee, per se, it has just a --

MS. SPAIN: Shallow?
CHAIRMAN TORRE: It's just a straight more clean, clean relief.
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| 1 | MS. KAUTZ: For the double? |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: No. The whole window -- |
| 3 | whatever window that is, brand is an -- it has a |
| 4 | raised muntin, but that raised muntin is clean. |
| 5 | And I don't know what brand window it is, and I |
| 6 | obviously should know this, it's my business. |
| 7 | But here's the window, and it's very heavy in |
| 8 | the middle. |
| 9 | MR. PARSLEY: Is there a finder's fee? |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: That middle is a piece that's |
| 11 | added. |
| 12 | MR. SILVA: But you can do an adhered -- |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah, but find one that does |
| 14 | the right job. This is the one that I thought and |
| 15 | this was a really pronounced middle. |
| 16 | MS. KAUTZ: It's got a pyramid. |
| 17 | MS. SPAIN: Does it have a double on it? |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: No. It's one piece that's |
| 19 | added, and it really makes that window look like a |
| 20 | double. |
| 21 | MS. SPAIN: Oh, yeah. |
| 22 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Is this window then hinged |
| 23 | only on one side and it's for security -- |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Exactly, but -- |
| 25 | MS. SPAIN: We do that a lot for egress |

windows because sometimes the true casements that open up just don't need it, so we allow one big one to swing.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I don't know if it's custom or what, but -- and then you see the ogees don't exist in the...

I couldn't get in the house.
MS. SPAIN: Well, thank goodness.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: The tag was on the inside. I was looking for the owner. I was trying to knock on the door, but $I$ couldn't get in. It was a weekday -- I mean, a weekend. If you can figure out --

MS. SPAIN: We'll find out what -- we will. We'll tell you and then we'll bring it back to the board.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: We all would love to know that because this, you know, obviously a question we all have here. And $I$ saw it in the Pinecrest in a double casement that really still looked pretty accurate and functioned as a double casement, and it was really a lot of glass.

MS. KAUTZ: CGIs got it. It's not straight, flat, it's got a little indent.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: And they can do that in the
middle?
MR. SILVA: There could be that. There could be that --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I have not ever seen that.
MS. KAUTZ: A thicker one in the center that looks like there's two.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: So here's the thing, if anybody wants to do some research on windows, right now there's a house on Alhambra Circle, it's a corner house, pretty significant old house being reconstructed. So down below on the ground floor there's CGI Sentinel and CGI Estate Series, and the Sentinel is as flat as it gets. It's still raised muntins, and then the Estate Series is really pronounced, and they're using both windows.

MS. SPAIN: Is this on Alhambra on a corner? CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes.

MS. SPAIN: Oh, we know that house.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Right. But they're using both -- one's a raised muntin, but one's a flat raised muntin.

MS. SPAIN: That was a mistake.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is it really?
MS. SPAIN: Yes. We were out there yesterday. CHAIRMAN TORRE: They're both installed, and

|  | Page 40 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I'm like why did they install both type windows. |
| 2 | One's a cheaper window and one's a more expensive |
| 3 | one. |
| 4 | MS. KAUTZ: They have three. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: And I did price the -- yes, I |
| 6 | saw that. Wow. |
| 7 | MS. SPAIN: And then they have the Sentinel, |
| 8 | which is raised. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: But it's still very flat. |
| 10 | It's not a lot of raised. |
| 11 | MS. SPAIN: And then they have the colonial |
| 12 | raised. And then they have the regularly raised |
| 13 | one. |
| 14 | Yeah, we're having discussions with them. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Are you really? |
| 16 | MS. SPAIN: Yes. |
| 17 | I have a few things. As you all know, our |
| 18 | department is a historical resources and cultural |
| 19 | arts, so we've had several art installations this |
| 20 | month, we've been busy on that side of the |
| 21 | department. There was a really wonderful event, |
| 22 | Midsummer Night's Dream, R\&R Studios on Balboa |
| 23 | Plaza. So that's been installed and is finished. |
| 24 | There is the "Sival Pache" on Giralda Plaza. |
| 25 | There's actually three Carrera sculptures on the |

east end and one on the west end on Ponce, that's the "Coral Forest" and "Secrets of the Sea," so you should go see that.

When you're there you can look up and see the "Sunlit Sky" that the Economic Development did. What's interesting about that is the noise it makes. I mean, you really have to go into the space and listen to it. It rustles like trees.

Anyway, and then we also have an Art Basel installation by Hank William Thomas, "The Truth Is I Welcome You," that is speech bubbles attached to poles on Miracle Mile. There are art benches around the city, one on Miracle Mile, one at the Venetian Pool, one at JC Park, and one on Grand Avenue. So that's really fun.

And the city has purchased those and so we'll be doing permanent installation of those, possible not in those locations, but we'll figure that out. So we've been having fun.

That's all I have. Have a wonderful holiday. MS. THOMSON: What's the update on the weed fountain, you know, the flower thing?

MS. SPAIN: Oh. Well, I know that they're working on getting the misting started.

MS. THOMSON: Yeah.
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