HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD REGULAR MEETING

CITY COMMISSION CHAMBERS
405 BILTMORE WAY
CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 2018 4:00 P.M.

PARTICIPANTS:

VENNY TORRE, Chairperson
ALEJANDRO SILVA, Board Member
RAUL RODRIGUEZ, Board Member
ALBERT MENENDEZ, Board Member
ALICIA BACHE-WIIG, Board Member
BRUCE EHRENHAFT, Board Member
JOHN FULLERTON, Board Member
JANICE THOMPSON, Board Member
ROBERT PARSLEY, Board Member
DONA SPAIN, Historic Preservation Officer

(Thereupon, the following proceedings were had:)

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON TORRE: Good afternoon and welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with the preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, and artifacts which impart a distinctive historical heritage of the city.

The Board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the Commission, one by the city manager, and the ninth is selected by the Board and confirmed by the City Commission.

Five members of the Board constitute a quorum, and five affirmative votes are necessary for the adoption of any motion.

Any person who acts as a lobbyist, pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11, must register with the city clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before city staff, boards, committees, and/or City Commission. A copy of the ordinance is available at the Office of the City Clerk.

Failure to register and provide proof of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Page 3

registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the Historic Preservation Board on applications under consideration this afternoon.

A lobbyist is defined as an individual, corporation, partnership, or other legal entity employed or retained, whether paid or not, by a principal who seeks to encourage the approval, disapproval, adoption, repeal, passage, defeat, or modification of any ordinance, resolution, action or decision of any city commissioner, any action, decision, recommendation of the city manager, any city board or committee, including, but not limited to, quasi-judicial, advisory board, trust, authority, or council, or any action, decision or recommendation of city personnel during the time period of the entire decision-making process, and the action, decision or recommendation which foreseeably will be heard or reviewed by the City Commission or a city board or committee, including, but not limited to, quasi-judicial, advisory board, trust, authority or council.

Presentations made to this Board are subject to the city's False Claim Ordinance, Chapter 39 of the City of Coral Gables City Code.

I will officially call the City of Coral

	Page 4
1	Gables Historic Preservation Board Meeting of
2	November 15th, 2018 to order. The time is 4:09
3	p.m.
4	Present today, to my left, Janice Thompson,
5	Mr. Raul Rodriguez, Mr. Robert Parsley, Mr.
6	Alejandro Silva; to my right, Mr. Albert Menendez,
7	Mr. John Fullerton, Bruce Ehrenhaft, Ms. Alicia
8	Bache-Wiig.
9	The next item on the agenda is the approval
10	of the meeting minutes for the meeting held on
11	October 18th, 2018.
12	Are there any changes or corrections or those
13	meeting minutes?
14	If there are none, can I have a motion for
15	approval.
16	MS. THOMPSON: I move to approve the meeting
17	minutes from last meeting.
18	CHAIRPERSON TORRE: Thank you. Is there a
19	second?
20	MR. EHRENHAFT: I second.
21	CHAIRPERSON TORRE: There is a second. All
22	those in favor, please say aye.
23	(Ayes were heard.)
24	CHAIRPERSON TORRE: Unanimous. Thank you.
25	The notice regarding ex parte communications

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Page 5

says that this Board is a quasi-judicial board and the items on the agenda are quasi-judicial in nature, which requires the board members to disclose all ex parte communications.

An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum, or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside a public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial board regarding matters to be heard by the quasi-judicial board.

If anyone has made any contact with a board member, when the issue comes before the Board, the member must state on the record the existence of the ex parte communication, the party who originated the communication, and whether the communication will affect the board member's ability to impartially consider the evidence to be presented regarding the matter.

Does any member of the Board have such a communication to disclose at this time? All right.

Are there any deferrals, any deferrals today?

MS. THOMPSON:

MR. EHRENHAFT: There are none.

Taylor, Jonovic, White, Gendron & Kircher-Echarte www.taylorjonovic.com

305.358.9047

Page 6 MS. THOMPSON: I could be sarcastic and say 1 there is just one item, but --3 CHAIRPERSON TORRE: Anyone who is going to speak today, please rise and be sworn in. 4 5 MS. DIAZ: Please raise your right hand. 6 Do you solemnly swear to tell the whole 7 truth, and nothing but the truth? (Thereupon, public speakers answered 8 9 affirmatively.) 10 CHAIRPERSON TORRE: Then we will go to 11 today's only item. This is consideration of a 12 local historic designation, and this is Case File 13 LHD 2018-008, and we are also going to hear COA SP 14 2018-019. This is consideration of the local historic 15 16 designation of the property which is located at 17 1236 Asturia Avenue, which is legally described as 18 Lot 7, Block 6, of the Coral Gables Section "E," 19 according to the Plat thereof, and is recorded in 2.0 Plat Book 8, Page 13 of the public records of 21 Miami-Dade County. 2.2 The applicant is also requesting the issuance 23 of an Accelerated Special Certificate of Appropriateness and design approval for additions 24 25 and alterations to the auxiliary structure.

1 MS. BACHE-WIIG: So, we have an email from a 2 nearby resident that reads:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

"Please note that I support this request for historic designation in my neighborhood," and that is Mary E. Burke from 1243 Asturia Avenue.

I'll turn this over to Elizabeth.

MS. GUIN: Good evening. So, here is a historic photo from about 1940 for the property under consideration at 1236 Asturia Avenue. It is located on the south side of Asturia on the block between Madrid and Columbus, just south of the Granada Golf Course.

The application for local historic designation, as well as consideration for classification as a Coral Gables cottage was requested by the owner, who is in the audience tonight.

Therefore, a local historical landmark, reading for the record, the criteria for designation, it must have significant character, interest or value as part of the historical, cultural, archeological, aesthetic or architectural heritage of the city, state or nation.

For designation, a property must meet one of

2.0

2.2

Page 8

the criteria in the code. Staff has determined that the property at 1236 Asturia Avenue is eligible as a historic landmark based on three significance criteria: Historical cultural significance; it exemplifies the historical cultural, political, economic, or social trends of the community.

Also, based on its architectural significance, it portrays the environment in an era of history characterized by one or more distinctive architectural styles and embodies those distinguishing characteristics of an architectural, style, period, or method of construction.

We also reviewed qualifications for Coral Gables Cottage Classification. Just to remind you, those are that the structure be one-story in height; zoned a single family residence; be on one of the smaller lots with the frontage no less than -- no more than 65-feet; include family dwelling built before 1940; and then, in the Code, there is a list of 19 character defining features for a cottage, and, to classify, it must have a minimum of 12 of those. We determined that it is, and I'll go through what those are, a little bit

1 later.

The last piece of the qualification is that it be designated as a historical landmark. So, if you decide to designate it, tonight, then we will be classifying it as a Coral Gables cottage.

So, what means is that the owner understands that, then, moving forward, the structures all must remain one-story, he has to maintain those 12 character defined features that we have identification, and then, he then qualifies for the status of cottage, which means he can have a little higher lot coverage, that helps keep everything one- story on the property, and some reductions in the setbacks.

So, this property was permitted in 1925. The architect was Lee Wade. We have a number of structures in Coral Gables built by him. He started building an area predominantly in Miami through his father's firm, which was the construction firm AB Wade & Sons. He started off as the construction manager. Then, following a newspaper article, you can see he became junior designer, moved very quickly into becoming lead designer, and then, by 1926, he became a member of the American Institute of Architects.

2.0

2.2

Page 10

We have identification about 20 buildings in Coral Gables that were designed by him, and we have half a dozen already on our register.

The original owner was Frederick Prax (phonetic), and he was in the real estate business, and we will talk a little bit more that this appeared to be an investment property for him that he did in conjunction with Merrick in order to build some of the smaller structures in the area where Merrick wanted, for folks to be able to build more affordable housing.

So, Coral Gables development history is divided into three major historical periods. This house was built during the initial development of the city, prior to the hurricane of 1926. It was built in accordance, as we see most of the building was built before 1926, in accordance with Merrick's vision, who looked to have a cohesively designed Mediterranean-inspired city, and he thought this type of architecture harmonized best with South Florida's climate and lifestyle.

Towards the same, during the city's initial period of development, buildings often had a combination of elements commonly used in Spanish Moorish and Italian architecture. The style

became known as Mediterranean Revival.

2.0

2.2

And then, the last piece is that Merrick wanted to be able to provide affordable homes to the middle class, and he speaks of this often in the missives that he puts out during -- as he was developing the city.

So, he had recruited architects to specifically design these smaller homes in the Mediterranean Revival style on the smaller lots, to demonstrate that these homes would have the same quality. He also recruited investors, Frederick Prax being one of them, and what we see in this particular area is he was putting some of these affordable homes in areas where, initially, it was larger homes.

The property was built in Section E, just below Granada Golf Course. And if we look at, a little bit, how Asturia Avenue developed, because it developed pretty early, what is outlined in pink are the initial homes that were built in 1923. Most of these are built on double lots. They are larger homes built on double lots.

Then, we see what Merrick does, starting in 1924, is he comes back, and he starts recruiting people to actually put some of these more modest

2.2

Page 12

homes on the street, which is a little different with how he developed other streets where they tended to be all modest or all larger. This was one of the streets where he had -- it was first done. And the home at 1236 is actually one of the earlier examples of when he recruited someone to do this on these streets.

So, after 1930, we don't see much development on this street. You can see, in 1948, it's pretty much what we saw up to 1930. And then, as was typical in the city, the street was built out in the 1950s.

It was predominantly designed with single-family homes and have retained that context to today.

Now, as I said, the original owner, Frederick Prax, was recruited by Merrick as a real estate investor. We see, up until 1930, that property has a different occupant every year, according to city directories, showing that it was an investment property for him.

Then, in 1930, Clifford and Phoebe Clark purchased the property and they keep the home for 47 years, in their family. It eventually passes down to their grandson, and then their son.

Page 13

Mr. Clark, or Captain Clark, was the founder of a ferry business up in Long Island. His grandfather actually started the business in the late 1700s, providing rowboat service for those that lived on Shelter Island to get across to Long Island. That passed to, the service, as they called it then, passed to the son. And then, when Clifford took over the business, he actually incorporated, and it became the business, and that business eventually passed to his sons.

So, the property, they used the property, initially, as a winter home. The newspaper accounts show that they actually wintered in different places throughout Florida, and then, when they finally bought a home, it was in Coral Gables. They used it as a winter home for a number of years. Towards the end of Phoebe's life, they were here full-time. And then, after she passed, Clifford continued to use it as a winter home.

What is interesting in the newspaper articles is, when he retires he, then, in the '60s through the '70s, he is constantly giving lectures about being an entrepreneur, about starting a business, identifying a need, and keeping up with

Page 14

technology. He, evidently, was quite the speaker around Coral Gables in the '60s.

So, as we said, we looked at the property as classifying it as a Coral Gables cottage. These are the 12 character defining features that the home holds. It has a stucco finish; a combination of roof types; a front porch; projecting bay on the front elevation; masonry arches on the front elevation; predominant chimney; a detached garage at the rear of the property; similar decorative features, in this case the parapet that is on the garage in accordance with what is also going on in the main house; barrel tile roof; varied height between projecting and recessed portions of the front elevation; first floor above crawl space; and sash windows.

You have the initial building permit at the back of your designation report. The microfilm is not too clear, but it is clear enough that we can see that, initially, they were sash windows. You can see that, also, in the historic photo. And there is enough of that permit visible that we can see that what is on the property today is very much what was built.

So, as we walk around the property here, you

Page 15

can see that the stucco texture is pretty rough. You can see that projecting chimney, variety of roofs, flat (inaudible) shed, see the arched windows. The tile on that front entry stoop and the front stairs is original to the home.

Moving around to the side, you can see the back. That front porch where the arch is, that was a screened front porch. That was enclosed at a date that we don't know. Here, you have got another view of the side of that porch that was enclosed, and you can see that shed, sort of (inaudible) shed roof coming off the front of the front porch.

The windows were replaced in 2003 with impact resistant, maintaining the same openings and same style of the sashes.

Moving around, looking, now, towards the front of the property, this rear portion was initially a sleeping porch that was enclosed. You can see it is glass block on the side and the single-hung windows on the rear. Maintaining, again, the original openings from that porch. This is a view of the rear elevation. Then, stepping back, again, the original tile, there, on the steps. And then, looking back the other side.

Page 16

Also on the property is this detached garage, originally a two-car garage, back on the southwest corner of the property. You can see that the parapet there that is a character defining feature, and then a sideview, I think you can see -- yeah, you can see in the photo here that there was a door opening that has been enclosed, and if we move forward with the certificate of appropriateness, tonight, one of the proposals is to reopen that door. And then, on the other side is a window which has been boarded up, that they intend to put back to the original opening.

So, there have been no additions to this home and very few changes to the character defining features. It qualifies as a Coral Gables cottage and retains a high degree of historic integrity.

So, in summary, permitted in 1925, the single- family residence at 1236 Asturia Avenue is an example of a Coral Gables cottage and Mediterranean Revival-style architecture that defined George Merrick's vision for the city. The cottage supported his goal of providing opportunities for residents of various incomes. The cottages were modest in size but were built with the same quality construction and features as

	Page 17
1	the other structures that shaped the new city in
2	the early '20s.
3	This home, with its original detached garage
4	and its larger intact cottage, retains a high
5	degree of historic integrity. Thus, the property
6	at 1236 Asturia Avenue significantly contributes
7	to the historic fabric of the City of Coral
8	Gables, and the staff is recommending approval.
9	The owner is in the audience tonight if you
10	have any questions.
11	CHAIRPERSON TORRE: Would you like to speak?
12	You don't have to if you don't want to.
13	MR. JUAN RODRIGUEZ: No, no, I'm sorry.
14	CHAIRPERSON TORRE: Okay. If there are no
15	further questions from staff or from the public
16	hearing? Okay. Commentary is closed.
17	Discussion?
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I make a motion.
19	CHAIRPERSON TORRE: You're making a motion?
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
21	CHAIRPERSON TORRE: I love it.
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Motion for designation.
23	CHAIRPERSON TORRE: There is a motion for
24	approval of the designation. Is there a second?
25	MR. FULLERTON: I'll second the motion.

Page 18 CHAIRPERSON TORRE: Second from Mr. 1 Fullerton. All those in favor? I'm sorry, let's 3 take a roll call. MR. FULLERTON: Could I ask a question about 4 the front -- potential variances required? 5 6 looks like the side (inaudible), I noticed that 7 the side, the east side setback appears to be very minimal. (Inaudible) variances? 8 9 MS. GUIN: Well, that would be -- it's an existing nonconformity, so, as long as that 10 11 doesn't change. 12 MS. THOMPSON: As long as what doesn't 13 change? I could not hear him talk. The site 14 setbacks? 15 MS. GUIN: Right. 16 MS. THOMPSON: Okay. 17 MR. FULLERTON: If it becomes a cottage. 18 CHAIRPERSON TORRE: What was that? 19 MR. FULLERTON: If it is qualified as a 20 cottage. 21 MS. GUIN: Well, it would -- it probably 2.2 would need a variance because that is an existing condition and there are reduced setbacks if it 23 24 becomes a cottage. 25 MR. FULLERTON: Okay.

Page 19
1 CHAIRPERSON TORRE: All right. Thank you.
2 Roll call, please.
3 MS. DIAZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
4 MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
5 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Parsley?
6 MR. PARSLEY: Yes.
7 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Silva?
8 MR. SILVA: Yes.
9 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Menendez?
10 MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
11 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
12 MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
13 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
14 MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
15 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
17 MS. DIAZ: Ms. Thompson?
18 MS. THOMPSON: Yes.
19 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
20 CHAIRPERSON TORRE: Yes.
21 MS. BACHE-WIIG: So, should we do this, then?
22 MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
23 MS. BACHE-WIIG: All right. So, we have
24 decided, since we have these wonderful plaques,
25 that, when people are designated at board

meetings, we should give them their plaque. So, I
think we should unwrap one of the plaques, just
have it to show, and then give them a package,
because I just undid the whole thing.

So, we have, also (inaudible) home.

Congratulations.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. JUAN RODRIGUEZ: Thank you very much.

MS. GUIN: Thank you very much.

So, moving on to consider the certificate of appropriateness, the proposal has to do only with the garage structure. There is no work being proposed on the home.

You can see the garage at the southwest back corner, the proposal is essentially to demolish that front north fa‡ade, move it forward five feet, and then to raise the overall height of the structure two feet-two inches.

There are no variances requested with this application. The application was approved by the Board of Architects in August, and the only comment that the board had is that they wanted our department to approve the garage doors that were to go in here, either by you all or by staff.

And that, the garage door that was chosen was laid on the dais this morning, so you can see the

garage door under consideration. Staff is
recommending approval of the proposal. We have a
few conditions that we can talk about after the
presentation.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, this is the owner, Mr. Rodriguez.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: How are you doing?

Basically, I just want to show you some pictures what is actually going on in the garage, so you can understand why it is I'm trying to do this.

That is the front, the side --

MS. THOMPSON: I can't hear you, sir.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Oh, yes, okay. That is the front, the side, as you can see, and that is the back of it. You can see the cracking right there that is going on in the exterior, and, as you go inside, you can see how it is just basically falling apart, and there are pieces that fall off. Almost once a week, I pick up a few pieces of cement that are falling off.

And there is sort of a window on the other side (inaudible). As you can see, also, there is some cracking on the cement of the walls, and this is on the -- what would be the west door. On the top, you can see it cracking, as well.

This is just in relation of the home to the

Page 22

garage. Second picture, the same. This is some of the pictures of the (inaudible). I thought there was something else, but I think that was basically it.

Okay, that's about it. And the reason we want to extend it five feet is so we have a little bit more room to put some automobiles in there, because it's pretty tight, and, as you can see, the interior, what holds the whole front of the doors, that whole structure is falling apart.

It's falling apart.

And also, this -- the center piece,

(inaudible), so you can see it over here, okay,

right there, between both doors, if I -- I'm sorry

I didn't take a picture, but it is basically being

held together by some four-by-sixes and it is

cracking in between. So, I'm really afraid the

structure is going to collapse at any time.

And I was thinking an advantage of doing that, raising it a little higher, and that way I could get a lift to get two cars. I have three automobiles, old cars, and I could put just one on the other side. That is why I wanted to raise it a bit, a bit bigger. That's basically it. Thank you.

1 CHAIRPERSON TORRE: Thank you.

2.2

MS. GUIN: We have a few conditions. We can talk about those now, or? Okay.

So, we wanted to put on the record that allowing the front wall to come down, but the side walls must remain up and intact.

We talked about how to handle the stucco, differentiating the new from the old, and this is, you know, a little more difficult since we're raising (inaudible) the parapet. So, what we agreed on is that they would look to match the stucco but not exactly, so that, looking at it from a distance, you may not see the difference, but, a little bit closer up, you would.

So, instead of doing lines and reveals or, you know, none of that sort of made sense here.

So, just enough of a differentiation in the stucco that, up close, you would be able to tell.

The window on the west side is proposed as a horizontal roller, which we, as a rule, they are not appropriate in 1920s structures. We are asking that it be double-casement because it appears that is what it was originally. However, it is very close on the setback and the windows in the home are single-hung. So, actually, we would

go either way, just not the horizontal roller that is proposed.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

The floor plans and the elevations wish to be amended so that they are consistent to reflect the window and the door, because that is not consistent in the plans, and just, as we move forward, that that be done.

And then, we have the garage door, which you all can approve what is before you, or you can have us approve -- if you would like something else.

MS. THOMPSON: Can I ask you a question? If the concrete is crumbling in that front, between the -- that you were describing.

MR. JUAN RODRIGUEZ: That is correct.

MS. THOMPSON: What happens, you know, when you're putting these new doors in? They may have different stresses and forces in them.

MR. JUAN RODRIGUEZ: We're going to make --

MS. GUIN: You can come up.

MR. JUAN RODRIGUEZ: We're going to make the garage, all that flat. We're going to keep the existing walls. It has to come down in front. So, the way that's going to be, the front wall will be demolished, and then we're going to make

Page 25 it five feet larger and we're going to put a new 1 3 MS. THOMPSON: Okay. So, that's --4 MR. JUAN RODRIGUEZ: -- everything brand new there. 5 6 MS. THOMPSON: New wall? 7 MR. JUAN RODRIGUEZ: Right, new casings and like a hurricane proof windows, doors, everything, 8 9 and garage door, as well. 10 MS. THOMPSON: Now, what happens if the walls that you keep up -- I mean, I guess what I'm 11 12 asking you, and you, maybe, but what happens if the walls that you keep up, all of a sudden they 13 14 can't take the stress, they start crumbling too? 15 MR. JUAN RODRIGUEZ: Well, that is crumbling because that is cement. That was, at one time or 16 17 another, it is like a tie-beam. I don't know how 18 that got there. But that is on the cement that is 19 crumbling. It's a rebar --2.0 MS. THOMPSON: Right. 21 MR. JUAN EHRENHAFT: For some reason, it's 22 not (inaudible), it's breaking apart. 23 MS. THOMPSON: Yes, that is --24 MR. JUAN RODRIGUEZ: Eventually, that might 25 happen a hundred years from now, but I don't see

- it happening presently, in the near future, when
- we put the new one in. The rest is just cement.
- 3 MS. THOMPSON: Yeah.
- 4 MR. JUAN RODRIGUEZ: The only thing we're
- 5 going to do around the cement or the concrete,
- 6 we're going to make it larger. That is going to
- 7 be like a tie-beam which you just pour cement on
- 8 the top, which, if anything, we might pour some
- 9 cement down some of the -- the cement -- what do
- 10 you call it? The block?
- 11 MS. THOMPSON: The block.
- 12 MR. JUAN RODRIGUEZ: That will make it
- stronger and able to hold the walls, or the roof,
- the new roof. The new roof is going to be there,
- as well.
- MS. THOMPSON: Thank you.
- 17 MR. FULLERTON: Some of the little houses
- 18 were built with weak cement.
- MS. THOMPSON: Yeah.
- 20 MR. FULLERTON: And so, the steel inside has
- 21 rusted and --
- 22 MS. THOMPSON: Right. My grandfather has
- 23 told me about that, because they owned a house
- 24 back in 1927 or something like that.
- MS. GUIN: The other thing that we talked

	Page 27
1	about is if, once he gets into this, if it does
2	need additional structural reinforcement, that all
3	that be done from the inside and not touch the
4	exterior.
5	MS. THOMPSON: Okay.
6	MR. SILVA: I have a question for the
7	applicant.
8	Are you comfortable, have you done the
9	research on the lifts, and are you comfortable
10	with the headroom? Because you're going through a
11	lot of expense
12	MR. JUAN RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
13	MR. SILVA: in changing this and I want to
14	make sure you're
15	MR. JUAN RODRIGUEZ: Yes and I measured my
16	cars twice, as well, to make sure, and so forth,
17	yes.
18	MR. PARSLEY: Do we get to approve your
19	cards, if they're historic or not?
20	(Laughter.)
21	MR. JUAN RODRIGUEZ: If you would like, I
22	have pictures. I think you would like them.
23	(Laughter.)
24	MR. EHRENHAFT: And Elizabeth, we do not need
25	to be concerned with the mechanics of the lifts?

November 15, 2018 Historic Preservation Board Meeting Page 28 That is not our purview. 1 MS. GUIN: No. 2. MR. EHRENHAFT: Right. I just wanted to --3 MS. GUIN: As long as it, the structure remains standing and intact, he can do what he 4 5 likes. 6 CHAIRPERSON TORRE: Okay. If there is 7 nothing else, we will close the public hearing. Thank you, sir. Any discussion? 8 9 MR. SILVA: I'll move for approval on staff conditions. 10 CHAIRPERSON TORRE: There has been a motion 11 12 from Mr. Silva. Is there a second? 13 MS. THOMPSON: What was the motion? 14 CHAIRPERSON TORRE: For approval. MR. SILVA: With staff conditions. 15 16 MR. MENENDEZ: Second. 17 MS. GUIN: And that means this garage door? 18 CHAIRPERSON TORRE: It says staff full review 19 and approval. That is the way it is written, 2.0 right? 21 MS. GUIN: Okay.

2.2 CHAIRPERSON TORRE: We have a motion, and we

have a second from Mr. Menendez. Any further

24 discussion? Roll call?

23

25 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriquez?

www.taylorjonovic.com Taylor, Jonovic, White, Gendron & Kircher-Echarte

Page 29
1 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
2 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Menendez?
3 MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
4 MS. DIAZ: Ms. Thompson?
5 MS. THOMPSON: Yes.
6 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
7 MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
8 MS. DIAZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
9 MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
10 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Parsley?
11 MR. PARSLEY: Yes.
12 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Silva?
13 MR. SILVA: Yes.
14 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
15 MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
16 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
17 CHAIRPERSON TORRE: Yes.
18 MR. JUAN RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
19 MS. BACHE-WIIG: And what timeliness. This
20 may have been the fastest meeting we have had
21 here.
So, I have a few announcements. Just for
23 your information, there are a bunch of events
24 coming up for the holiday season.
25 On November 28th at 5:30 p.m. on the Giralda

Page 30 Plaza, there is the dedication of the art there, 1 Sibylle Pasche, that is being installed very soon. 3 So, November 28th, we are dedicating. (Inaudible) Historic Preservation, it's also called 4 (inaudible) Art in Public Places. 5 On November 30th, there is a Midsummer 6 7 Night's Dream dedication at the Plaza. also at 5:30. 8 On December 9th, there is the Merrick House 9 10 Holiday Open. That is a Sunday, from 1:00 to 4:00. 11 And just be on the lookout for the Art Basel 12 installation that we are doing, Hank Willis 13 14 Thomas. There will be speech bubbles along 15 Miracle Mile on four benches, throughout the city, and the installation title is, "The Truth is I 16 Welcome You. " So, that's the exciting. 17 18 all I have. 19 MS. THOMPSON: I have a question? 2.0 MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes? 21 MS. THOMPSON: This has to do with our 2.2 beautiful flowers. When are they getting mist? 23 never -- I live right outside, right there, and I never see --24 25 MS. BACHE-WIIG: I know.

Page 31 MS. THOMPSON: -- it mist. 1 MS. BACHE-WIIG: I know. It is not misting 2. now, but the Public Works Department knows that it 3 4 is not misting. They are doing the landscaping now and that is in the budget, so that should be 5 6 done. I don't have a date, but I will ask them. 7 MR. PARSLEY: What about the base? You took off the --8 MS. BACHE-WIIG: I did. It had warped, and 9 10 we fixed it once, and it warped again. So, we 11 took it out. I contacted Alex Ahoff (phonetic), 12 the artist, and asked her whether that would be all right with her for us just to smooth out that 13 14 concrete because it was a constant headache and 15 maintenance. 16 MS. THOMPSON: Really? MS. BACHE-WIIG: So, the grass that they are 17 18 putting in will eventually cover that. Yeah, it's 19 unfortunate. 2.0 MR. FULLERTON: I have a question. 21 MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes? 2.2 MR. FULLERTON: About the building that is --I can't remember. The old cleaner? 23

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Lasalle? Lasalle Cleaners?

MR. FULLERTON: Yes. I heard a rumor that

24

25

Page 32 somebody else was looking at it. 1 MS. BACHE-WIIG: I heard that, also. 3 not met with anyone. 4 MR. FULLERTON: There wasn't --MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'm not exactly sure where 5 6 the demolition permit is on that. I know that 7 they were in DERM the last time I checked, but once the owner had his demolition permit in hand, 8 they said they would talk with the city. So, I 9 will be involved in those conversations. 10 11 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Have you signed off on it? 12 MS. BACHE-WIIG: I have. 13 MR. PARSLEY: Is the city looking at it? 14 MS. BACHE-WIIG: No, not that I know of. 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So, all that is left to be 16 done is for DERM to give the approval? MS. BACHE-WIIG: I believe so, but the 17 18 attorney for the owner and the owner has said they 19 will meet with us. They just want assurance that 20 they will have their demolition permit, which I 21 understand. Anything else? 2.2 CHAIRPERSON TORRE: Is there a motion for 23 adjournment? 24 MR. FULLERTON: So moved. 25 MS. THOMPSON: I move that we adjourn this

```
Page 33
          meeting.
 1
                CHAIRPERSON TORRE: And we have a second.
 3
          All those in favor?
                          (Ayes were heard.)
 4
 5
               MS. BACHE-WIIG: Have a wonderful
          Thanksgiving.
 6
 7
                (Thereupon, the proceedings were concluded at
 8
          4:43 p.m.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 34 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 3 STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE 4 5 6 I, Rufo Lyons, Reporter, certify that I was 7 authorized to and did report the foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and 8 9 correct record of my notes. I further certify that I am not a relative, 10 employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the 11 12 parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of 13 the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with 14 the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. 15 16 Dated this 30th day of November 2018. 17 18 19 20 Rufo Lyons, Reporter 21 22 23 24 25

www.taylorjonovic.com Taylor, Jonovic, White, Gendron & Kircher-Echarte

305.358.9047