	Page 1		Page 2
	CITY OF CORAL GABLES	1	THEREUPON:
	LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY (LPA)/	2	(The following proceedings were held.)
	PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD MEETING VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Good evening to all of
	CORAL GABLES CITY HALL	4	the members in the audience tonight. I'd like
	405 BILTMORE WAY, COMMISSION CHAMBERS	5	to welcome everybody.
	CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA	6	This is just a presentation, so I'm going
	WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 17, 2018, COMMENCING AT 6:02 P.M.	7	to dispense with the usual reading. At this
		8	time, I'd like to call the meeting to order.
		9	The time is 6:02.
	Board Members Present: Eibi Aizenstat, Chairperson	10	Jill, if you could do a roll call, please.
	Rhonda A. Anderson	11	THE SECRETARY: Rhonda Anderson?
	Maria Velez	12	MS. ANDERSON: Present.
	Robert Behar		
		13	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
		14	MR. BEHAR: Here.
		15	THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?
		16	Maria Menendez?
	City Staff and Consultants:	17	Maria Velez?
	Ramon Trias, Planning Director	18	MS. VELEZ: Here.
	Miriam Ramos, City Attorney	19	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
	Jennifer Garcia, City Planner Arceli Redila, Principal Planner	20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.
	Jill Menendez, Administrative Assistant, Board Secretary	21	Let's go ahead and take a look at the
	ALSO PARTICIPATING:	22	minutes for approval.
	Elizabeth Plater-Zyberk, DPZ CoDesign	23	MS. VELEZ: I'll move.
		24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So moved.
		25	MR. BEHAR: Second.
	Page 3		Page 4
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second.	1	the future steps and get your input in how much
2	Any discussion?	2	involvement the Board wants to have and when,
3	MS. ANDERSON: No.	3	and get some of idea of the time.
4	MS. VELEZ: No.	4	So, Liz, whenever you're ready.
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No? Call the roll,	5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
6	please.	6	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Good evening.
7	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Welcome.
8	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	8	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Thank you.
9	THE SECRETARY: Maria Velez?	9	So I've just been told that the slide
10	MS. VELEZ: Yes.	10	advancer is missing, so my counterpart in the
11	THE SECRETARY: Rhonda Anderson?	11	machine room will be assuming that. She knows
12	MS. ANDERSON: Yes.	12	when to push the next slide.
13	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Can I ask you,
14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would like to	14	just before we start, just for the record
15	abstain because I was not here for that	15	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yes.
16	meeting. My question is, what happens?	16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: please state your
17	Yes, I'm fine with it.	17	name and address.
18	MS. GARCIA: They need five more minutes.	18	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Elizabeth
19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay.	19	Plater-Zyberk, representing DPZ CoDesign.
20	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, we have one item	20	There are usually three of us who show three
21	today only and that item is a presentation from	21	or four of us who show up at the meetings with
22	the consultant to discuss the Zoning Code	22	the City Staff, so there's a larger team that's
23	process. So as soon as Liz is ready and my	23	working with this. And Judith Bell is with me
24	goal for this meeting is for Liz to be able to	24	tonight working the computer.
25	explain what has taken place so far and lay out	25	So what we're intending to do this evening
		1	

	Page 5		Page 6
1	may be a little bit redundant for some of you.	1	explaining the schedule to you. We're coming
2	It's an update of the work that's been done on	2	to the end of the first phase, which was
3	this project, the updating of the Zoning Code,	3	analyzing the document and getting as much
4	which has been through a series of meetings	4	input as possible on how it could be better.
5	already with Staff and a Staff Committee and a	5	Next.
6	Steering Committee.	6	This is where we've been. Reading from the
7	So I think they can hear me.	7	bottom up, we started in the Spring, and you
8	MS. GARCIA: He's working on it. Give him	8	can see we've had a series of Steering and
9	a few minutes.	9	Staff Committee meetings, which bring us to
10	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: At any rate, I will	10	this Board meeting. We had a Board Workshop
11	continue to give you some general ideas about	11	earlier in September.
12	where we've been and, I think, where we're	12	Next.
13	looking forward to hearing from this Board	13	So the preliminary assessment you know,
14	about our next steps.	14	I'm used to having a computer here in front of
15	So this is coming to the end of the	15	me to read this, but essentially what we heard
16	assessment and analysis phase of the project,	16	from those Committees and others were that
17	which then has a phase of proposing changes,	17	the thank you. You're moving the screen for
18	and then a phase of implementation. This is	18	me.
19	all on the slides, and when we get to that	19	MR. BEHAR: Can you get the screen to work
20	part, I'll skip through it quickly, but,	20	for her?
21	essentially, we understood that there are two	21	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, it's not working
22	components.	22	MR. BEHAR: It would be much easier.
23	So this is just the title slide, telling	23	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: I'll keep going.
24	you where we are and what we're doing.	24	That the organization of the Code presents
25	Let's see here. Okay. So here I am	25	some problems, that it could be easier
	Page 7	1	
			Page 8
1	people wish it could be easier to use. A	1	Page 8 worked on, in various ways, including a
1 2		1 2	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the
	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of		worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at
2 3 4	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site	2 3 4	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't
2 3 4 5	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the	2 3	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.
2 3 4 5 6	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories,	2 3 4 5 6	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a
2 3 4 5 6 7	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR	2 3 4 5 6 7	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR needed clarification. In some cases, they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of Contents, essentially, the structure of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR needed clarification. In some cases, they seemed contradictory.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of Contents, essentially, the structure of the document. And where it is now, with eight
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR needed clarification. In some cases, they seemed contradictory.  Thank you for moving that around.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of Contents, essentially, the structure of the document. And where it is now, with eight articles, we're suggesting sixteen, by pulling
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR needed clarification. In some cases, they seemed contradictory.  Thank you for moving that around. There's been a lot of discussion about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of Contents, essentially, the structure of the document. And where it is now, with eight articles, we're suggesting sixteen, by pulling certain things out of the existing eight to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR needed clarification. In some cases, they seemed contradictory.  Thank you for moving that around.  There's been a lot of discussion about possibly reducing parking reductions and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of Contents, essentially, the structure of the document. And where it is now, with eight articles, we're suggesting sixteen, by pulling certain things out of the existing eight to be standalone articles, and I will go into this a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR needed clarification. In some cases, they seemed contradictory.  Thank you for moving that around. There's been a lot of discussion about possibly reducing parking reductions and considering relief for small site	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of Contents, essentially, the structure of the document. And where it is now, with eight articles, we're suggesting sixteen, by pulling certain things out of the existing eight to be standalone articles, and I will go into this a little bit more, so you can understand what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR needed clarification. In some cases, they seemed contradictory.  Thank you for moving that around.  There's been a lot of discussion about possibly reducing parking reductions and considering relief for small site development thank you that means sites	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of Contents, essentially, the structure of the document. And where it is now, with eight articles, we're suggesting sixteen, by pulling certain things out of the existing eight to be standalone articles, and I will go into this a little bit more, so you can understand what some of those moves are, but you'll see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR needed clarification. In some cases, they seemed contradictory.  Thank you for moving that around.  There's been a lot of discussion about possibly reducing parking reductions and considering relief for small site development thank you that means sites below 20,000 square feet. So this is a series	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of Contents, essentially, the structure of the document. And where it is now, with eight articles, we're suggesting sixteen, by pulling certain things out of the existing eight to be standalone articles, and I will go into this a little bit more, so you can understand what some of those moves are, but you'll see Historic Preservation, Art in Public Places,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR needed clarification. In some cases, they seemed contradictory.  Thank you for moving that around.  There's been a lot of discussion about possibly reducing parking reductions and considering relief for small site development thank you that means sites below 20,000 square feet. So this is a series of repeating themes that keeps coming up in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of Contents, essentially, the structure of the document. And where it is now, with eight articles, we're suggesting sixteen, by pulling certain things out of the existing eight to be standalone articles, and I will go into this a little bit more, so you can understand what some of those moves are, but you'll see Historic Preservation, Art in Public Places, Parking, Signs, the things that are typically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR needed clarification. In some cases, they seemed contradictory.  Thank you for moving that around.  There's been a lot of discussion about possibly reducing parking reductions and considering relief for small site development thank you that means sites below 20,000 square feet. So this is a series of repeating themes that keeps coming up in meetings.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of Contents, essentially, the structure of the document. And where it is now, with eight articles, we're suggesting sixteen, by pulling certain things out of the existing eight to be standalone articles, and I will go into this a little bit more, so you can understand what some of those moves are, but you'll see Historic Preservation, Art in Public Places, Parking, Signs, the things that are typically separate chapters in Zoning Codes, and largely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR needed clarification. In some cases, they seemed contradictory.  Thank you for moving that around.  There's been a lot of discussion about possibly reducing parking reductions and considering relief for small site development thank you that means sites below 20,000 square feet. So this is a series of repeating themes that keeps coming up in meetings.  Next slide, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of Contents, essentially, the structure of the document. And where it is now, with eight articles, we're suggesting sixteen, by pulling certain things out of the existing eight to be standalone articles, and I will go into this a little bit more, so you can understand what some of those moves are, but you'll see Historic Preservation, Art in Public Places, Parking, Signs, the things that are typically separate chapters in Zoning Codes, and largely now are all lumped into Article 5, under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR needed clarification. In some cases, they seemed contradictory.  Thank you for moving that around.  There's been a lot of discussion about possibly reducing parking reductions and considering relief for small site development thank you that means sites below 20,000 square feet. So this is a series of repeating themes that keeps coming up in meetings.  Next slide, please.  So we began by, of course, looking at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of Contents, essentially, the structure of the document. And where it is now, with eight articles, we're suggesting sixteen, by pulling certain things out of the existing eight to be standalone articles, and I will go into this a little bit more, so you can understand what some of those moves are, but you'll see Historic Preservation, Art in Public Places, Parking, Signs, the things that are typically separate chapters in Zoning Codes, and largely now are all lumped into Article 5, under Development Standards, we're suggesting should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR needed clarification. In some cases, they seemed contradictory.  Thank you for moving that around.  There's been a lot of discussion about possibly reducing parking reductions and considering relief for small site development thank you that means sites below 20,000 square feet. So this is a series of repeating themes that keeps coming up in meetings.  Next slide, please.  So we began by, of course, looking at the Code very thoroughly and we thought that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of Contents, essentially, the structure of the document. And where it is now, with eight articles, we're suggesting sixteen, by pulling certain things out of the existing eight to be standalone articles, and I will go into this a little bit more, so you can understand what some of those moves are, but you'll see Historic Preservation, Art in Public Places, Parking, Signs, the things that are typically separate chapters in Zoning Codes, and largely now are all lumped into Article 5, under Development Standards, we're suggesting should have their own place.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR needed clarification. In some cases, they seemed contradictory.  Thank you for moving that around.  There's been a lot of discussion about possibly reducing parking reductions and considering relief for small site development thank you that means sites below 20,000 square feet. So this is a series of repeating themes that keeps coming up in meetings.  Next slide, please.  So we began by, of course, looking at the Code very thoroughly and we thought that the first thing that needed to be cleared, we would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of Contents, essentially, the structure of the document. And where it is now, with eight articles, we're suggesting sixteen, by pulling certain things out of the existing eight to be standalone articles, and I will go into this a little bit more, so you can understand what some of those moves are, but you'll see Historic Preservation, Art in Public Places, Parking, Signs, the things that are typically separate chapters in Zoning Codes, and largely now are all lumped into Article 5, under Development Standards, we're suggesting should have their own place.  If you look at the appendices, we also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR needed clarification. In some cases, they seemed contradictory.  Thank you for moving that around.  There's been a lot of discussion about possibly reducing parking reductions and considering relief for small site development thank you that means sites below 20,000 square feet. So this is a series of repeating themes that keeps coming up in meetings.  Next slide, please.  So we began by, of course, looking at the Code very thoroughly and we thought that the first thing that needed to be cleared, we would take the first part of that list, to clarify	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of Contents, essentially, the structure of the document. And where it is now, with eight articles, we're suggesting sixteen, by pulling certain things out of the existing eight to be standalone articles, and I will go into this a little bit more, so you can understand what some of those moves are, but you'll see Historic Preservation, Art in Public Places, Parking, Signs, the things that are typically separate chapters in Zoning Codes, and largely now are all lumped into Article 5, under Development Standards, we're suggesting should have their own place.  If you look at the appendices, we also found that things like the University Campus
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR needed clarification. In some cases, they seemed contradictory.  Thank you for moving that around.  There's been a lot of discussion about possibly reducing parking reductions and considering relief for small site development thank you that means sites below 20,000 square feet. So this is a series of repeating themes that keeps coming up in meetings.  Next slide, please.  So we began by, of course, looking at the Code very thoroughly and we thought that the first thing that needed to be cleared, we would take the first part of that list, to clarify the structure. I should point out, however,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of Contents, essentially, the structure of the document. And where it is now, with eight articles, we're suggesting sixteen, by pulling certain things out of the existing eight to be standalone articles, and I will go into this a little bit more, so you can understand what some of those moves are, but you'll see Historic Preservation, Art in Public Places, Parking, Signs, the things that are typically separate chapters in Zoning Codes, and largely now are all lumped into Article 5, under Development Standards, we're suggesting should have their own place.  If you look at the appendices, we also found that things like the University Campus District, which is a document that describes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR needed clarification. In some cases, they seemed contradictory.  Thank you for moving that around.  There's been a lot of discussion about possibly reducing parking reductions and considering relief for small site development thank you that means sites below 20,000 square feet. So this is a series of repeating themes that keeps coming up in meetings.  Next slide, please.  So we began by, of course, looking at the Code very thoroughly and we thought that the first thing that needed to be cleared, we would take the first part of that list, to clarify the structure. I should point out, however, that in that first list, we didn't see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of Contents, essentially, the structure of the document. And where it is now, with eight articles, we're suggesting sixteen, by pulling certain things out of the existing eight to be standalone articles, and I will go into this a little bit more, so you can understand what some of those moves are, but you'll see Historic Preservation, Art in Public Places, Parking, Signs, the things that are typically separate chapters in Zoning Codes, and largely now are all lumped into Article 5, under Development Standards, we're suggesting should have their own place.  If you look at the appendices, we also found that things like the University Campus District, which is a document that describes the campus, was embedded somewhere else in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	people wish it could be easier to use. A number of so that's really about the structure of the Code and then a number of content issues, included some of the Site Specific regulations, a couple of the categories, MF2, MFSA, Mixed-Use categories, the interaction of density, height and FAR needed clarification. In some cases, they seemed contradictory.  Thank you for moving that around.  There's been a lot of discussion about possibly reducing parking reductions and considering relief for small site development thank you that means sites below 20,000 square feet. So this is a series of repeating themes that keeps coming up in meetings.  Next slide, please.  So we began by, of course, looking at the Code very thoroughly and we thought that the first thing that needed to be cleared, we would take the first part of that list, to clarify the structure. I should point out, however,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	worked on, in various ways, including a committee which addressed changes to the single-family home part of the Code, and so at this point, we haven't addressed it. It hasn't been part of the discussions, in large part.  So what we have in front of you here is a list of proposed changes to the Table of Contents, essentially, the structure of the document. And where it is now, with eight articles, we're suggesting sixteen, by pulling certain things out of the existing eight to be standalone articles, and I will go into this a little bit more, so you can understand what some of those moves are, but you'll see Historic Preservation, Art in Public Places, Parking, Signs, the things that are typically separate chapters in Zoning Codes, and largely now are all lumped into Article 5, under Development Standards, we're suggesting should have their own place.  If you look at the appendices, we also found that things like the University Campus District, which is a document that describes

	Page 9		Page 10
1	can be a standalone. It's a large Site	1	might require some changes. So we haven't
2	Specific, in other words.	2	addressed the content of this yet, but we know
3	At any point, if you have any questions,	3	that it's likely to be remaining together.
4	please stop me.	4	And, then, here you can see where two of
5	Next.	5	the items that were in Article 4 are going to
6	So just to go into it a little bit more,	6	the appendix.
7	the general provisions will remain largely	7	Let's keep going.
8	intact, or, let's say, largely together. The	8	Article 5, which is currently the kind of
9	decision-making and administrative processes,	9	catch-all article that has many, many things,
10	administrative bodies will become part of a	10	many divisions in it, you can see our
11	process chapter that describes the processes of	11	suggestions about dividing this into a number
12	approvals. Development Review, likewise,	12	of different places; Uses might cover some of
13	although it's a separate article now, would	13	the accessory uses, essentially, the Use based
14	become part of the process, and then other	14	issues; Awnings and Canopies, going back and
15	things that are in Development Review would	15	forth, that could be part of the Architecture
16	have their own articles.	16	Chapter. Once we've delved into the text of
17	Notices, which have become more critical or	17	the Code, some of these things would become
18	more intense and a more intense kind of	18	more obvious.
19	activity for the City, will have its own place,	19	Let's see if there's anything in particular
20	Historic Preservation, Art in Public Places.	20	here. Landscape might have its own article,
21	Next.	21	and, of course, Parking would have its own
22	The Zoning Districts, of course, will be	22	article. So it would be very obvious where to
23	focused on in the Zoning Districts article,	23	go to look for things. This is about making it
24	largely, and that's where some of the critical	24	easier to use.
25	content is, that you saw in the first list,	25	Next.
	Page 11		Dage 12
			Page 12
1		1	
1 2	Continuing, these are the other parts of	1 2	saying would remain largely the same, the
1 2 3	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going,	1 2 3	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look
2	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of	2	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of
2	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of	2 3	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the
2 3 4	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of	2 3 4	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of
2 3 4 5	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part	2 3 4 5	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where
2 3 4 5 6	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part of the Code that deals with form, and so on. Next.	2 3 4 5 6	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where the discussion about Mixed-Use comes in, the multiple overlays. When you see some of the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part of the Code that deals with form, and so on.  Next.  Nonconformities, now to be called Lawfully	2 3 4 5 6 7	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where the discussion about Mixed-Use comes in, the multiple overlays. When you see some of the maps that we've made, you'll understand why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part of the Code that deals with form, and so on. Next.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where the discussion about Mixed-Use comes in, the multiple overlays. When you see some of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part of the Code that deals with form, and so on.  Next.  Nonconformities, now to be called Lawfully Existing, and we're pointing out that this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where the discussion about Mixed-Use comes in, the multiple overlays. When you see some of the maps that we've made, you'll understand why we're talking about content in those terms.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part of the Code that deals with form, and so on.  Next.  Nonconformities, now to be called Lawfully Existing, and we're pointing out that this is Uses, Lots, Structures and Signs, because all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where the discussion about Mixed-Use comes in, the multiple overlays. When you see some of the maps that we've made, you'll understand why we're talking about content in those terms.  Next.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part of the Code that deals with form, and so on.  Next.  Nonconformities, now to be called Lawfully Existing, and we're pointing out that this is Uses, Lots, Structures and Signs, because all four of those can be outside of existing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where the discussion about Mixed-Use comes in, the multiple overlays. When you see some of the maps that we've made, you'll understand why we're talking about content in those terms.  Next.  So now, speaking to that, you will see that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part of the Code that deals with form, and so on.  Next.  Nonconformities, now to be called Lawfully Existing, and we're pointing out that this is Uses, Lots, Structures and Signs, because all four of those can be outside of existing regulations. The violations would go into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where the discussion about Mixed-Use comes in, the multiple overlays. When you see some of the maps that we've made, you'll understand why we're talking about content in those terms.  Next.  So now, speaking to that, you will see that there are a number of often conflicting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part of the Code that deals with form, and so on.  Next.  Nonconformities, now to be called Lawfully Existing, and we're pointing out that this is Uses, Lots, Structures and Signs, because all four of those can be outside of existing regulations. The violations would go into process, and definitions would be definitions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where the discussion about Mixed-Use comes in, the multiple overlays. When you see some of the maps that we've made, you'll understand why we're talking about content in those terms.  Next.  So now, speaking to that, you will see that there are a number of often conflicting overlaying regulations that are part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part of the Code that deals with form, and so on. Next.  Nonconformities, now to be called Lawfully Existing, and we're pointing out that this is Uses, Lots, Structures and Signs, because all four of those can be outside of existing regulations. The violations would go into process, and definitions would be definitions. Next.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where the discussion about Mixed-Use comes in, the multiple overlays. When you see some of the maps that we've made, you'll understand why we're talking about content in those terms.  Next.  So now, speaking to that, you will see that there are a number of often conflicting overlaying regulations that are part of the confusion. So it's not just the structure of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part of the Code that deals with form, and so on.  Next.  Nonconformities, now to be called Lawfully Existing, and we're pointing out that this is Uses, Lots, Structures and Signs, because all four of those can be outside of existing regulations. The violations would go into process, and definitions would be definitions.  Next.  So this is just to show the complexity of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where the discussion about Mixed-Use comes in, the multiple overlays. When you see some of the maps that we've made, you'll understand why we're talking about content in those terms.  Next.  So now, speaking to that, you will see that there are a number of often conflicting overlaying regulations that are part of the confusion. So it's not just the structure of the Code and it's hard to find things, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part of the Code that deals with form, and so on.  Next.  Nonconformities, now to be called Lawfully Existing, and we're pointing out that this is Uses, Lots, Structures and Signs, because all four of those can be outside of existing regulations. The violations would go into process, and definitions would be definitions.  Next.  So this is just to show the complexity of it, but also to show that we can track the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where the discussion about Mixed-Use comes in, the multiple overlays. When you see some of the maps that we've made, you'll understand why we're talking about content in those terms.  Next.  So now, speaking to that, you will see that there are a number of often conflicting overlaying regulations that are part of the confusion. So it's not just the structure of the Code and it's hard to find things, but there are things that actually are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part of the Code that deals with form, and so on.  Next.  Nonconformities, now to be called Lawfully Existing, and we're pointing out that this is Uses, Lots, Structures and Signs, because all four of those can be outside of existing regulations. The violations would go into process, and definitions would be definitions.  Next.  So this is just to show the complexity of it, but also to show that we can track the moves, so that we don't leave anything behind	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where the discussion about Mixed-Use comes in, the multiple overlays. When you see some of the maps that we've made, you'll understand why we're talking about content in those terms.  Next.  So now, speaking to that, you will see that there are a number of often conflicting overlaying regulations that are part of the confusion. So it's not just the structure of the Code and it's hard to find things, but there are things that actually are contradictory.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part of the Code that deals with form, and so on.  Next.  Nonconformities, now to be called Lawfully Existing, and we're pointing out that this is Uses, Lots, Structures and Signs, because all four of those can be outside of existing regulations. The violations would go into process, and definitions would be definitions.  Next.  So this is just to show the complexity of it, but also to show that we can track the moves, so that we don't leave anything behind and it doesn't get lost.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where the discussion about Mixed-Use comes in, the multiple overlays. When you see some of the maps that we've made, you'll understand why we're talking about content in those terms.  Next.  So now, speaking to that, you will see that there are a number of often conflicting overlaying regulations that are part of the confusion. So it's not just the structure of the Code and it's hard to find things, but there are things that actually are contradictory.  Next.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part of the Code that deals with form, and so on.  Next.  Nonconformities, now to be called Lawfully Existing, and we're pointing out that this is Uses, Lots, Structures and Signs, because all four of those can be outside of existing regulations. The violations would go into process, and definitions would be definitions.  Next.  So this is just to show the complexity of it, but also to show that we can track the moves, so that we don't leave anything behind and it doesn't get lost.  Next.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where the discussion about Mixed-Use comes in, the multiple overlays. When you see some of the maps that we've made, you'll understand why we're talking about content in those terms.  Next.  So now, speaking to that, you will see that there are a number of often conflicting overlaying regulations that are part of the confusion. So it's not just the structure of the Code and it's hard to find things, but there are things that actually are contradictory.  Next.  And we went through each area of the City
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part of the Code that deals with form, and so on.  Next.  Nonconformities, now to be called Lawfully Existing, and we're pointing out that this is Uses, Lots, Structures and Signs, because all four of those can be outside of existing regulations. The violations would go into process, and definitions would be definitions.  Next.  So this is just to show the complexity of it, but also to show that we can track the moves, so that we don't leave anything behind and it doesn't get lost.  Next.  And so, for instance, here's one that we've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where the discussion about Mixed-Use comes in, the multiple overlays. When you see some of the maps that we've made, you'll understand why we're talking about content in those terms.  Next.  So now, speaking to that, you will see that there are a number of often conflicting overlaying regulations that are part of the confusion. So it's not just the structure of the Code and it's hard to find things, but there are things that actually are contradictory.  Next.  And we went through each area of the City that has uses other than single-family
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part of the Code that deals with form, and so on.  Next.  Nonconformities, now to be called Lawfully Existing, and we're pointing out that this is Uses, Lots, Structures and Signs, because all four of those can be outside of existing regulations. The violations would go into process, and definitions would be definitions.  Next.  So this is just to show the complexity of it, but also to show that we can track the moves, so that we don't leave anything behind and it doesn't get lost.  Next.  And so, for instance, here's one that we've taken a run at, in terms of re-organizing. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where the discussion about Mixed-Use comes in, the multiple overlays. When you see some of the maps that we've made, you'll understand why we're talking about content in those terms.  Next.  So now, speaking to that, you will see that there are a number of often conflicting overlaying regulations that are part of the confusion. So it's not just the structure of the Code and it's hard to find things, but there are things that actually are contradictory.  Next.  And we went through each area of the City that has uses other than single-family residential, and we did these plans, with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part of the Code that deals with form, and so on.  Next.  Nonconformities, now to be called Lawfully Existing, and we're pointing out that this is Uses, Lots, Structures and Signs, because all four of those can be outside of existing regulations. The violations would go into process, and definitions would be definitions.  Next.  So this is just to show the complexity of it, but also to show that we can track the moves, so that we don't leave anything behind and it doesn't get lost.  Next.  And so, for instance, here's one that we've taken a run at, in terms of re-organizing. The Zoning District, formally Article 4, would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where the discussion about Mixed-Use comes in, the multiple overlays. When you see some of the maps that we've made, you'll understand why we're talking about content in those terms.  Next.  So now, speaking to that, you will see that there are a number of often conflicting overlaying regulations that are part of the confusion. So it's not just the structure of the Code and it's hard to find things, but there are things that actually are contradictory.  Next.  And we went through each area of the City that has uses other than single-family residential, and we did these plans, with the help of the Staff. They were these didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Continuing, these are the other parts of the Division. You can see it keeps going, Division 15, 16 and so on, and each one of these is being separated out into that part of the Code that deals with Uses, into that part of the Code that deals with form, and so on.  Next.  Nonconformities, now to be called Lawfully Existing, and we're pointing out that this is Uses, Lots, Structures and Signs, because all four of those can be outside of existing regulations. The violations would go into process, and definitions would be definitions.  Next.  So this is just to show the complexity of it, but also to show that we can track the moves, so that we don't leave anything behind and it doesn't get lost.  Next.  And so, for instance, here's one that we've taken a run at, in terms of re-organizing. The Zoning District, formally Article 4, would have the specific form limits or descriptions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	saying would remain largely the same, the multi-families, which we're being asked to look at a little bit more thoroughly, in terms of content, and the Overlay Districts and then the non-residential districts, and this is where the discussion about Mixed-Use comes in, the multiple overlays. When you see some of the maps that we've made, you'll understand why we're talking about content in those terms.  Next.  So now, speaking to that, you will see that there are a number of often conflicting overlaying regulations that are part of the confusion. So it's not just the structure of the Code and it's hard to find things, but there are things that actually are contradictory.  Next.  And we went through each area of the City that has uses other than single-family residential, and we did these plans, with the help of the Staff. They were — these didn't really exist in this way, before we did them,

Page 13		Page 14
related to the Comprehensive Plan to the right	1	The Douglas Section, similarly, has the
of that.	2	same panoply of Zoning, Future Land Use,
Beyond that, the Mixed-Use, another	3	Overlays, such as you see, Site Specifics.
-	4	Next.
		The Flagler Section has very little
		contradictions or multiplicity, because it's
		mostly single-family.
		Next.
		The area to the north we focused on
		anything that had commercial or other than
		residential uses. The boundary of the City,
		along Eighth Street, essentially has one kind
		of Zoning, and one could discuss changes in
		that, but it's not as complex as the others.
		Next.
		The Biltmore Section, which is small but
•		pretty complicated, because there's a lot of
		history here and has similar overlays of
•		contradiction.
		Next.
		The industrial section, the Merrick Park
		area, which likewise has a series of overlays.
-		Next.
		The Riviera Section, which is really just
•		the frontage of Dixie Highway.
1 tokt.		the Hollage of Divide Highway.
Page 15		Page 16
Next.	1	pre-requisites there are fourteen listed
And then the area that extends down into	2	here are required to even be considered for
the boundary with South Miami.	3	the benefit of the Mediterranean Style Design
So Ramon Trias has shown us one property in	4	Standards, no blank walls, architectural
this area, for instance, that has two or three	5	elements at the top of the buildings, minimum
different Zoning categories within the	6	landscaped open area requirements, providing
property, that's been aggregated, plus Overlays	7	street lighting and so on. These are the kinds
and Site Specifics, which makes the	8	of very basic urban quality aspects.
interpretation of it next to impossible.	9	Next.
Next.	10	The Level Two qualifications, which achieve
So I think one of the well, at the end,	11	an additional floor in Multi-Family and
I'll have a series of questions for you. So	12	Commercial, and a point to FAR bonus, would
that's one thing, is there a mandate or a	13	require the application of a number of these
request or a desire to try to straighten some	14	architectural elements, arcades or loggias,
of those Overlays and contradictions out?	15	building stepbacks, lighting of the landscape,
Then, of course, we've heard a lot about	16	paver treatments and so on. Again, I'm not
the Mediterranean bonus and we thought it might	17	reading them all, but there's a dozen of them.
be useful to clarify that. That deals with	18	For residential uses in Multi-Family, six
three kinds of density, low, medium and high;	19	of these twelve items must be present. In
height, density and FAR, and then several	20	Non-Residential Uses, the Commercial and
1 1 6 1 2 64 1	21	Industrial Districts, eight must be present,
levels of application of the bonuses.	1	
Next.	22	and also for Mixed-Use, for the MXD.
	22	and also for Mixed-Use, for the MXD. Next.
Next.		
	related to the Comprehensive Plan to the right of that.  Beyond that, the Mixed-Use, another category called the Mixed-Use — this is Downtown, the KLN Craft section, the Mixed-Use district, which is really mostly into North Ponce, but it comes into Downtown a little bit, the Downtown District, which is really Miracle Mile, the Site Specific regulations, which are essentially a height regulation, and then the Conservation Overlay District, which also comes down into this area a little bit. There's no line that says everything is one thing to the outside of the line, and they also overlap the boundaries. So that adds to the confusion.  And what you see at the end is a blank map for proposal, because our suggestion is that, if you want to, you could address these contradictions — it may be not be easy — and come up with, instead of a series of overlays, maybe a couple or several Zoning Districts that actually have lines between them, so you can tell which one your property would be regulated by.  Next.  Page 15  Next.  And then the area that extends down into the boundary with South Miami.  So Ramon Trias has shown us one property in this area, for instance, that has two or three different Zoning categories within the property, that's been aggregated, plus Overlays and Site Specifics, which makes the interpretation of it next to impossible.  Next.  So I think one of the — well, at the end, I'll have a series of questions for you. So that's one thing, is there a mandate or a request or a desire to try to straighten some of those Overlays and contradictions out?  Then, of course, we've heard a lot about the Mediterranean bonus and we thought it might be useful to clarify that. That deals with three kinds of density, low, medium and high;	related to the Comprehensive Plan to the right of that.  Beyond that, the Mixed-Use, another category called the Mixed-Use — this is  Downtown, the KLN Craft section, the Mixed-Use district, which is really mostly into North  Ponce, but it comes into Downtown a little bit, the Downtown District, which is really Miracle  Mile, the Site Specific regulations, which are essentially a height regulation, and then the  Conservation Overlay District, which also comes down into this area a little bit. There's no  line that says everything is one thing to the outside of the line, and they also overlap the boundaries. So that adds to the confusion.  And what you see at the end is a blank map for proposal, because our suggestion is that, if you want to, you could address these contradictions — it may be not be easy — and come up with, instead of a series of overlays, maybe a couple or several Zoning Districts that actually have lines between them, so you can tell which one your property would be regulated by.  Next.  Page 15  Next.  And then the area that extends down into the boundary with South Miami.  So Ramon Trias has shown us one property in this area, for instance, that has two or three different Zoning categories within the property, that's been aggregated, plus Overlays and Site Specifics, which makes the interpretation of it next to impossible.  Next.  So I think one of the — well, at the end, Ill have a series of questions for you. So that's one thing, is there a mandate or a request or a desire to try to straighten some of those Overlays and contradictions out?  Then, of course, we've heard a lot about the Mediterranean bonus and we thought it might be useful to clarify that. That deals with three kinds of density, low, medium and high;

.3 FAR bonus in high density, two floors are allowed and a .3 FAR bonus, and, in this case, the inclusion of design elements and architectural styles from the following, referring to the historical buildings, the eight historical buildings of the City are required.  Next.  So with this kind of kit of parts of regulating parts, we asked the City if there was information on recently built buildings, to understand what general patterns may be emerging from something that seems very complex	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I would say, not extreme, but the most dense.  And in each case, we took a look, also, at what are some of the regulatory documents that may have produced them, and so you know, if you've seen this project, that it has a kind of form based Code or design guideline of its own.  Next.  Gables Gateway, on the north side of Ponce,
allowed and a .3 FAR bonus, and, in this case, the inclusion of design elements and architectural styles from the following, referring to the historical buildings, the eight historical buildings of the City are required.  Next.  So with this kind of kit of parts of regulating parts, we asked the City if there was information on recently built buildings, to understand what general patterns may be	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	dense.  And in each case, we took a look, also, at what are some of the regulatory documents that may have produced them, and so you know, if you've seen this project, that it has a kind of form based Code or design guideline of its own.  Next.  Gables Gateway, on the north side of Ponce,
the inclusion of design elements and architectural styles from the following, referring to the historical buildings, the eight historical buildings of the City are required.  Next.  So with this kind of kit of parts of regulating parts, we asked the City if there was information on recently built buildings, to understand what general patterns may be	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what are some of the regulatory documents that may have produced them, and so you know, if you've seen this project, that it has a kind of form based Code or design guideline of its own.  Next.  Gables Gateway, on the north side of Ponce,
architectural styles from the following, referring to the historical buildings, the eight historical buildings of the City are required.  Next.  So with this kind of kit of parts of regulating parts, we asked the City if there was information on recently built buildings, to understand what general patterns may be	5 6 7 8 9 10	what are some of the regulatory documents that may have produced them, and so you know, if you've seen this project, that it has a kind of form based Code or design guideline of its own.  Next.  Gables Gateway, on the north side of Ponce,
referring to the historical buildings, the eight historical buildings of the City are required.  Next.  So with this kind of kit of parts — of regulating parts, we asked the City if there was information on recently built buildings, to understand what general patterns may be	5 6 7 8 9 10	may have produced them, and so you know, if you've seen this project, that it has a kind of form based Code or design guideline of its own.  Next.  Gables Gateway, on the north side of Ponce,
eight historical buildings of the City are required.  Next.  So with this kind of kit of parts of regulating parts, we asked the City if there was information on recently built buildings, to understand what general patterns may be	6 7 8 9 10 11	you've seen this project, that it has a kind of form based Code or design guideline of its own.  Next.  Gables Gateway, on the north side of Ponce,
required.  Next.  So with this kind of kit of parts of regulating parts, we asked the City if there was information on recently built buildings, to understand what general patterns may be	7 8 9 10 11	form based Code or design guideline of its own.  Next.  Gables Gateway, on the north side of Ponce,
Next. So with this kind of kit of parts of regulating parts, we asked the City if there was information on recently built buildings, to understand what general patterns may be	8 9 10 11	Next. Gables Gateway, on the north side of Ponce,
So with this kind of kit of parts of regulating parts, we asked the City if there was information on recently built buildings, to understand what general patterns may be	9 10 11	Gables Gateway, on the north side of Ponce,
regulating parts, we asked the City if there was information on recently built buildings, to understand what general patterns may be	10 11	
was information on recently built buildings, to understand what general patterns may be	11	
understand what general patterns may be		at Le Jeune, has an FAR of 2.9 and a building
	1 10	height of 100 feet, 10 stories.
chicighig from something that seems very commex	12	Next.
and maybe sometimes chaotic, and so we took a	13	MR. TRIAS: That was designed by one of our
look at these case studies, again, with the	14	members.
	15	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yes. He knows it well.
City's assistance, because they have very good	16	Next.
	17	This is the view from Le Jeune Road
	18	coming looking south.
	19	Next.
	20	Across the railroad across Ponce and the
	21	railroad, the property currently rising very
_	22	quickly, FAR of 3.48, height of 180 feet or 14
	23	stories.
	24	Next.
Next.	25	Some of the illustrations for the project
Page 19		Page 20
and the drawings used in the approvals.	1	Some of the drawings used in its approvals.
Next.	2	Next.
2020 Salzedo, which is considered part of	3	And then two side by side that are
	4	interesting, because they have different uses.
	5	We didn't have all of the information about
	6	these, 1300 and 1200 Ponce, one an office
	7	building and one a residential building.
Next.	8	Next.
		And here you see them side by side, the
	10	residential building on the left the
	11	balconies give it away and the office
Next.	12	building on the right. And what you see is one
	13	of the step backs that are part of the Code; in
		the case on the left, above the first floor, in
		the case on the right, above the fourth floor.
		Next.
		They stepped down to the residential, to
		the North Ponce area behind them, with varying
		degrees of success.
		Next.
		And I think this is the last one. The
		Aloft, which next is seven stories. This
		is the view from Le Jeune Road. It has the
		arcade and a number of other requirements.
	25	Next.
	and the drawings used in the approvals.  Next.  2020 Salzedo, which is considered part of the Downtown area, has a 4.375 FAR, with TDRs, Transfer of Development Rights, 180 feet.  Next.  This is the building seen from two angles.  Next.  Some of the elements illustrated from the historic buildings that were used in the approvals for this building.	Next.  And so I'll just go through them very quickly. Some of them are built and some are not. The Mediterranean Village, of course, not yet, and has an FAR of 3.59 and a building height of 200. This is probably this is not the most extreme.  Next.  Page 19  and the drawings used in the approvals.  Next.  2020 Salzedo, which is considered part of the Downtown area, has a 4.375 FAR, with TDRs, Transfer of Development Rights, 180 feet.  Next.  Some of the elements illustrated from the historic buildings that were used in the approvals for this building.  Next.  The Palace at Andalucia, 3.5 FAR, 101 feet in height.  Next.  Interestingly enough, it's in the exact center of the slide. The parking garage is on the north side has an entirely inhabited program.  Next.  Here you can see them looking east, parking to the right, building on the left.  Next.  20  12  13  14  15  16  17  18  19  20  20  21  21  22  24  25  26  27  28  29  20  20  20  21  20  21  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  28  29  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20

	Page 21		Page 22
1	This is the side street view.	1	location. So from one building to the next,
2	Next.	2	the way the frontage of the building meets the
3	And then the final thing that I have to	3	street, in terms of arcade, landscape, whether
4	show you is the most recent analysis we did.	4	there can be trees or not, varies.
5	We were asked to look at the open space	5	So one of the first things one considers in
6	requirements.	6	urban design and place-making and trying to
7	Next.	7	bring some kind of identity or character to a
8	And so this is new since the Workshop of	8	place is that you try to make the public
9	the Planning Board earlier several weeks	9	spaces, in particular the streets, have some
10	ago. So these are the different Zonings, and	10	kind of uniform envelope, which isn't
11	the way and the open space requirement, as	11	necessarily a style issue as much as how the
12	it is distributed currently through the	12	building meets the street and what the
13	Zoning through the Zoning requirements, and,	13	landscape might be doing.
14	essentially, there's a lot of different details	14	So I think these could be scrutinized more
15	here, but it usually gets it's done through	15	closely, looking at the document, but I'm going
16	the setbacks and through the edges of the	16	to show you some examples and what these speak
17	property.	17	to.
18	So, in some ways, it could be considered an	18	Next.
19	inadequate attention to open space. I don't	19	So this is probably a five-foot setback,
20	know if that's where the concern is coming	20	which has that piece of hedge in it, between
21	from, but it's clear, if you just if you	21	the City's sidewalk in other words, the
22	scrutinize this diagram, that it's different	22	right-of-way ends at the end of the sidewalk.
23	per Zoning District or location or something	23	That little curb for the planter is probably in
24	else that gets written into a specific type,	24	the private property. And then there's a small
25	and that it's not uniform relative to its urban	25	amount of planting, which is brought into some
	Page 23		Page 24
1	question when it's put under an awning. You	1	a problem with being that far from the street,
1 2	question when it's put under an awning. You know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here	1 2	a problem with being that far from the street, deep in the arcade, and without being out at
2	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here	2	deep in the arcade, and without being out at
2	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried	2 3	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the
2 3 4	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction	2 3 4	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.
2 3 4 5	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.	2 3 4 5	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to
2 3 4 5 6	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.  Next.	2 3 4 5 6	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to see, at the bottom, is the floor level
2 3 4 5 6 7	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.  Next.  Similarly, in this case I'm not calling	2 3 4 5 6 7	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to see, at the bottom, is the floor level remaining constant while the sidewalk is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.  Next.  Similarly, in this case I'm not calling out where these are. I don't know. We picked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to see, at the bottom, is the floor level remaining constant while the sidewalk is dipping down a little bit, because we do have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.  Next.  Similarly, in this case I'm not calling out where these are. I don't know. We picked some random examples again, some of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to see, at the bottom, is the floor level remaining constant while the sidewalk is dipping down a little bit, because we do have some topography in our City, and how that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.  Next.  Similarly, in this case I'm not calling out where these are. I don't know. We picked some random examples again, some of the green is between the building base, the black	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to see, at the bottom, is the floor level remaining constant while the sidewalk is dipping down a little bit, because we do have some topography in our City, and how that's handled architecturally. It seems like an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.  Next.  Similarly, in this case I'm not calling out where these are. I don't know. We picked some random examples again, some of the green is between the building base, the black base, and the sidewalk, and some of it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to see, at the bottom, is the floor level remaining constant while the sidewalk is dipping down a little bit, because we do have some topography in our City, and how that's handled architecturally. It seems like an afterthought.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.  Next.  Similarly, in this case I'm not calling out where these are. I don't know. We picked some random examples again, some of the green is between the building base, the black base, and the sidewalk, and some of it is running under the building. It's actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to see, at the bottom, is the floor level remaining constant while the sidewalk is dipping down a little bit, because we do have some topography in our City, and how that's handled architecturally. It seems like an afterthought.  Next.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.  Next.  Similarly, in this case I'm not calling out where these are. I don't know. We picked some random examples again, some of the green is between the building base, the black base, and the sidewalk, and some of it is running under the building. It's actually under the arcade	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to see, at the bottom, is the floor level remaining constant while the sidewalk is dipping down a little bit, because we do have some topography in our City, and how that's handled architecturally. It seems like an afterthought.  Next.  Here's more of the kind of awning and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.  Next.  Similarly, in this case I'm not calling out where these are. I don't know. We picked some random examples again, some of the green is between the building base, the black base, and the sidewalk, and some of it is running under the building. It's actually under the arcade  MS. ANDERSON: It's on Le Jeune Road.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to see, at the bottom, is the floor level remaining constant while the sidewalk is dipping down a little bit, because we do have some topography in our City, and how that's handled architecturally. It seems like an afterthought.  Next.  Here's more of the kind of awning and planting under the building intersection. What
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.  Next.  Similarly, in this case I'm not calling out where these are. I don't know. We picked some random examples again, some of the green is between the building base, the black base, and the sidewalk, and some of it is running under the building. It's actually under the arcade  MS. ANDERSON: It's on Le Jeune Road.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: This is on Le Jeune	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to see, at the bottom, is the floor level remaining constant while the sidewalk is dipping down a little bit, because we do have some topography in our City, and how that's handled architecturally. It seems like an afterthought.  Next.  Here's more of the kind of awning and planting under the building intersection. What you do see, in this case, is that sizeable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.  Next.  Similarly, in this case I'm not calling out where these are. I don't know. We picked some random examples again, some of the green is between the building base, the black base, and the sidewalk, and some of it is running under the building. It's actually under the arcade  MS. ANDERSON: It's on Le Jeune Road.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: This is on Le Jeune Road which seems, also, a little bit odd.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to see, at the bottom, is the floor level remaining constant while the sidewalk is dipping down a little bit, because we do have some topography in our City, and how that's handled architecturally. It seems like an afterthought.  Next.  Here's more of the kind of awning and planting under the building intersection. What you do see, in this case, is that sizeable trees are possible if you use the parking lane
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.  Next.  Similarly, in this case I'm not calling out where these are. I don't know. We picked some random examples again, some of the green is between the building base, the black base, and the sidewalk, and some of it is running under the building. It's actually under the arcade  MS. ANDERSON: It's on Le Jeune Road.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: This is on Le Jeune Road which seems, also, a little bit odd.  And then there's only a little bit of space, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to see, at the bottom, is the floor level remaining constant while the sidewalk is dipping down a little bit, because we do have some topography in our City, and how that's handled architecturally. It seems like an afterthought.  Next.  Here's more of the kind of awning and planting under the building intersection. What you do see, in this case, is that sizeable trees are possible if you use the parking lane for planters. So it's an irregular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.  Next.  Similarly, in this case I'm not calling out where these are. I don't know. We picked some random examples again, some of the green is between the building base, the black base, and the sidewalk, and some of it is running under the building. It's actually under the arcade  MS. ANDERSON: It's on Le Jeune Road.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: This is on Le Jeune Road which seems, also, a little bit odd.  And then there's only a little bit of space, so the palms are beginning to lean out, especially	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to see, at the bottom, is the floor level remaining constant while the sidewalk is dipping down a little bit, because we do have some topography in our City, and how that's handled architecturally. It seems like an afterthought.  Next.  Here's more of the kind of awning and planting under the building intersection. What you do see, in this case, is that sizeable trees are possible if you use the parking lane for planters. So it's an irregular streetscape, because you're not using the whole
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.  Next.  Similarly, in this case I'm not calling out where these are. I don't know. We picked some random examples again, some of the green is between the building base, the black base, and the sidewalk, and some of it is running under the building. It's actually under the arcade  MS. ANDERSON: It's on Le Jeune Road.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: This is on Le Jeune Road which seems, also, a little bit odd.  And then there's only a little bit of space, so the palms are beginning to lean out, especially since the awning is impinging on them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to see, at the bottom, is the floor level remaining constant while the sidewalk is dipping down a little bit, because we do have some topography in our City, and how that's handled architecturally. It seems like an afterthought.  Next.  Here's more of the kind of awning and planting under the building intersection. What you do see, in this case, is that sizeable trees are possible if you use the parking lane for planters. So it's an irregular streetscape, because you're not using the whole length of it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.  Next.  Similarly, in this case I'm not calling out where these are. I don't know. We picked some random examples again, some of the green is between the building base, the black base, and the sidewalk, and some of it is running under the building. It's actually under the arcade  MS. ANDERSON: It's on Le Jeune Road.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: This is on Le Jeune Road which seems, also, a little bit odd.  And then there's only a little bit of space, so the palms are beginning to lean out, especially since the awning is impinging on them.  Next.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level — without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to see, at the bottom, is the floor level remaining constant while the sidewalk is dipping down a little bit, because we do have some topography in our City, and how that's handled architecturally. It seems like an afterthought.  Next.  Here's more of the kind of awning and planting under the building intersection. What you do see, in this case, is that sizeable trees are possible if you use the parking lane for planters. So it's an irregular streetscape, because you're not using the whole length of it.  Next.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.  Next.  Similarly, in this case I'm not calling out where these are. I don't know. We picked some random examples again, some of the green is between the building base, the black base, and the sidewalk, and some of it is running under the building. It's actually under the arcade  MS. ANDERSON: It's on Le Jeune Road.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: This is on Le Jeune Road which seems, also, a little bit odd.  And then there's only a little bit of space, so the palms are beginning to lean out, especially since the awning is impinging on them.  Next.  This one might be considered a little bit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to see, at the bottom, is the floor level remaining constant while the sidewalk is dipping down a little bit, because we do have some topography in our City, and how that's handled architecturally. It seems like an afterthought.  Next.  Here's more of the kind of awning and planting under the building intersection. What you do see, in this case, is that sizeable trees are possible if you use the parking lane for planters. So it's an irregular streetscape, because you're not using the whole length of it.  Next.  And, you know, one might say that the trees
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.  Next.  Similarly, in this case I'm not calling out where these are. I don't know. We picked some random examples again, some of the green is between the building base, the black base, and the sidewalk, and some of it is running under the building. It's actually under the arcade  MS. ANDERSON: It's on Le Jeune Road.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: This is on Le Jeune Road which seems, also, a little bit odd.  And then there's only a little bit of space, so the palms are beginning to lean out, especially since the awning is impinging on them.  Next.  This one might be considered a little bit better, because there's an arcade without	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to see, at the bottom, is the floor level remaining constant while the sidewalk is dipping down a little bit, because we do have some topography in our City, and how that's handled architecturally. It seems like an afterthought.  Next.  Here's more of the kind of awning and planting under the building intersection. What you do see, in this case, is that sizeable trees are possible if you use the parking lane for planters. So it's an irregular streetscape, because you're not using the whole length of it.  Next.  And, you know, one might say that the trees and the arcade are somewhat redundant. In this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	know, yes, you can have sprinklers and here there's plenty of sun, so we're not worried about that, but there's a kind of contradiction in terms here.  Next.  Similarly, in this case I'm not calling out where these are. I don't know. We picked some random examples again, some of the green is between the building base, the black base, and the sidewalk, and some of it is running under the building. It's actually under the arcade  MS. ANDERSON: It's on Le Jeune Road.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: This is on Le Jeune Road which seems, also, a little bit odd.  And then there's only a little bit of space, so the palms are beginning to lean out, especially since the awning is impinging on them.  Next.  This one might be considered a little bit better, because there's an arcade without plants in it, and there's space in the sidewalk	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	deep in the arcade, and without being out at the street level without being close to the street.  And something that's a little bit harder to see, at the bottom, is the floor level remaining constant while the sidewalk is dipping down a little bit, because we do have some topography in our City, and how that's handled architecturally. It seems like an afterthought.  Next.  Here's more of the kind of awning and planting under the building intersection. What you do see, in this case, is that sizeable trees are possible if you use the parking lane for planters. So it's an irregular streetscape, because you're not using the whole length of it.  Next.  And, you know, one might say that the trees and the arcade are somewhat redundant. In this case, putting the landscaped piece right in

	Page 25		Page 26
1	be able to walk out.	1	without saying that any that there are
2	Next.	2	issues here that are worthy of some discussion.
3	The long length of landscape on the right,	3	MR. TRIAS: I think this is the better
4	which doesn't allow the pedestrian to cross.	4	image of the ones I've seen. If somebody were
5	It looks like a barrier. And, of course, it's	5	to show me all of those pictures about Coral
6	different from one street side to the other.	6	Gables, I would say, "Oh, my God, what a
7	Next.	7	terrible place," in terms of design. So we
8	Again, the trees getting space with the	8	need to I mean, I think that's the point
9	parking lane, but, in this case, it looks like	9	that Liz is trying to make. I mean, a lot of
10	the sidewalk outside of the building envelope	10	the things that we have in the Code right now
11	was wide enough for planning trees, so maybe	11	are really not encouraging quality. It's just
12	you could have done that in a straight line and	12	a checklist, basically.
13	not have to have used the parking up for the	13	MR. BEHAR: You're right, and that's
14	tree.	14	something we need to look at, because in
15	MR. BEHAR: That goes back, where the Code	15	addition to that, that you have to do that, the
16	requires that you do the bump-outs.	16	development has to pay for the loss of the
17	MR. TRIAS: Right. Right.	17	parking space
18	MS. ANDERSON: Pedestrian space	18	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right.
19	MR. TRIAS: I believe that you were the	19	MR. BEHAR: which makes absolutely no
20	architect on this one, too, right?	20	sense, you know. Yes, you're right, this is
21	MR. BEHAR: I don't know, but	21	one that I did, and we you mentioned about
22	MR. TRIAS: But that is a requirement of	22	the sidewalk being even with the arcade, which,
23	the Code. So those are the contradictions or	23	in this case, it does
24	yeah	24	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes.
25	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: I'm just pointing out,	25	MR. BEHAR: because, you know, you're
23	Mis. 12/12/12/1920 Following out,	23	MR. BEHNK. — because, you know, you're
	Page 27		Page 28
1	not going to put a landscaped area that becomes	1	virtually a tiny sidewalk, you know, the
2		1 -	virtually a tilly sidewark, you know, the
	unusable.	2	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.
3	unusable. MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah.		
		2	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.
3	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah.	2 3	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the
3 4	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah. MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs	2 3 4	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.
3 4 5	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the	2 3 4 5	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to
3 4 5 6	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the building. They're not smashed against the	2 3 4 5 6	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to Robert. I mean, all of your the clear space
3 4 5 6 7	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah. MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the building. They're not smashed against the building.	2 3 4 5 6 7	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to Robert. I mean, all of your the clear space in your arcades, it's always
3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah. MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the building. They're not smashed against the building. MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, in some of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to Robert. I mean, all of your the clear space in your arcades, it's always  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah.
3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the building. They're not smashed against the building.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, in some of the prior cases I showed you, you absolutely needed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to Robert. I mean, all of your the clear space in your arcades, it's always  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah.  MR. BEHAR: And I don't know if I have a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the building. They're not smashed against the building.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, in some of the prior cases I showed you, you absolutely needed them. So this is an example of where you might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to Robert. I mean, all of your the clear space in your arcades, it's always  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah.  MR. BEHAR: And I don't know if I have a self-imposed setback or not, okay. Maybe, on
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah. MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the building. They're not smashed against the building. MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, in some of the prior cases I showed you, you absolutely needed them. So this is an example of where you might not have, but —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to Robert. I mean, all of your the clear space in your arcades, it's always  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah.  MR. BEHAR: And I don't know if I have a self-imposed setback or not, okay. Maybe, on this, and I've got to go back, if we had set
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the building. They're not smashed against the building.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, in some of the prior cases I showed you, you absolutely needed them. So this is an example of where you might not have, but —  MS. ANDERSON: Well, here, you know —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to Robert. I mean, all of your the clear space in your arcades, it's always  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah.  MR. BEHAR: And I don't know if I have a self-imposed setback or not, okay. Maybe, on this, and I've got to go back, if we had set the building back a little bit extra to give a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the building. They're not smashed against the building.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, in some of the prior cases I showed you, you absolutely needed them. So this is an example of where you might not have, but —  MS. ANDERSON: Well, here, you know—again, we're trying to encourage pedestrian	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to Robert. I mean, all of your the clear space in your arcades, it's always  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah.  MR. BEHAR: And I don't know if I have a self-imposed setback or not, okay. Maybe, on this, and I've got to go back, if we had set the building back a little bit extra to give a wider sidewalk, because of a five-foot setback,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the building. They're not smashed against the building.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, in some of the prior cases I showed you, you absolutely needed them. So this is an example of where you might not have, but —  MS. ANDERSON: Well, here, you know — again, we're trying to encourage pedestrian traffic, and if we're going to be eliminating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to Robert. I mean, all of your the clear space in your arcades, it's always  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah.  MR. BEHAR: And I don't know if I have a self-imposed setback or not, okay. Maybe, on this, and I've got to go back, if we had set the building back a little bit extra to give a wider sidewalk, because of a five-foot setback, sometimes it doesn't work.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the building. They're not smashed against the building.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, in some of the prior cases I showed you, you absolutely needed them. So this is an example of where you might not have, but —  MS. ANDERSON: Well, here, you know—again, we're trying to encourage pedestrian traffic, and if we're going to be eliminating bump-outs in order to try to provide more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to Robert. I mean, all of your the clear space in your arcades, it's always  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah.  MR. BEHAR: And I don't know if I have a self-imposed setback or not, okay. Maybe, on this, and I've got to go back, if we had set the building back a little bit extra to give a wider sidewalk, because of a five-foot setback, sometimes it doesn't work.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah. Right.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the building. They're not smashed against the building.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, in some of the prior cases I showed you, you absolutely needed them. So this is an example of where you might not have, but —  MS. ANDERSON: Well, here, you know—again, we're trying to encourage pedestrian traffic, and if we're going to be eliminating bump-outs in order to try to provide more parking, but making this a cement jungle,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to Robert. I mean, all of your the clear space in your arcades, it's always  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah.  MR. BEHAR: And I don't know if I have a self-imposed setback or not, okay. Maybe, on this, and I've got to go back, if we had set the building back a little bit extra to give a wider sidewalk, because of a five-foot setback, sometimes it doesn't work.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah. Right.  MR. TRIAS: Right.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the building. They're not smashed against the building.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, in some of the prior cases I showed you, you absolutely needed them. So this is an example of where you might not have, but —  MS. ANDERSON: Well, here, you know—again, we're trying to encourage pedestrian traffic, and if we're going to be eliminating bump-outs in order to try to provide more parking, but making this a cement jungle, you're not going to encourage pedestrians to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to Robert. I mean, all of your the clear space in your arcades, it's always  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah.  MR. BEHAR: And I don't know if I have a self-imposed setback or not, okay. Maybe, on this, and I've got to go back, if we had set the building back a little bit extra to give a wider sidewalk, because of a five-foot setback, sometimes it doesn't work.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah. Right.  MR. TRIAS: Right.  But, I mean, if you measure the width of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the building. They're not smashed against the building.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, in some of the prior cases I showed you, you absolutely needed them. So this is an example of where you might not have, but —  MS. ANDERSON: Well, here, you know—again, we're trying to encourage pedestrian traffic, and if we're going to be eliminating bump-outs in order to try to provide more parking, but making this a cement jungle, you're not going to encourage pedestrians to want to walk, because it's so hot, so sunny.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to Robert. I mean, all of your the clear space in your arcades, it's always  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah.  MR. BEHAR: And I don't know if I have a self-imposed setback or not, okay. Maybe, on this, and I've got to go back, if we had set the building back a little bit extra to give a wider sidewalk, because of a five-foot setback, sometimes it doesn't work.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah. Right.  MR. TRIAS: Right.  But, I mean, if you measure the width of the arcade, you will see that it's wider. If
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the building. They're not smashed against the building.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, in some of the prior cases I showed you, you absolutely needed them. So this is an example of where you might not have, but —  MS. ANDERSON: Well, here, you know — again, we're trying to encourage pedestrian traffic, and if we're going to be eliminating bump-outs in order to try to provide more parking, but making this a cement jungle, you're not going to encourage pedestrians to want to walk, because it's so hot, so sunny.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Remember, though, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to Robert. I mean, all of your the clear space in your arcades, it's always  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah.  MR. BEHAR: And I don't know if I have a self-imposed setback or not, okay. Maybe, on this, and I've got to go back, if we had set the building back a little bit extra to give a wider sidewalk, because of a five-foot setback, sometimes it doesn't work.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah. Right.  MR. TRIAS: Right.  But, I mean, if you measure the width of the arcade, you will see that it's wider. If you measure the sidewalk, the same thing.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So I think where I'm
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the building. They're not smashed against the building.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, in some of the prior cases I showed you, you absolutely needed them. So this is an example of where you might not have, but —  MS. ANDERSON: Well, here, you know—again, we're trying to encourage pedestrian traffic, and if we're going to be eliminating bump-outs in order to try to provide more parking, but making this a cement jungle, you're not going to encourage pedestrians to want to walk, because it's so hot, so sunny.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Remember, though, that in this particular case—I'm sorry, Robert, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to Robert. I mean, all of your the clear space in your arcades, it's always  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah.  MR. BEHAR: And I don't know if I have a self-imposed setback or not, okay. Maybe, on this, and I've got to go back, if we had set the building back a little bit extra to give a wider sidewalk, because of a five-foot setback, sometimes it doesn't work.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah. Right.  MR. TRIAS: Right.  But, I mean, if you measure the width of the arcade, you will see that it's wider. If you measure the sidewalk, the same thing.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So I think where I'm going with this is that whatever concern there
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the building. They're not smashed against the building.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, in some of the prior cases I showed you, you absolutely needed them. So this is an example of where you might not have, but —  MS. ANDERSON: Well, here, you know—again, we're trying to encourage pedestrian traffic, and if we're going to be eliminating bump-outs in order to try to provide more parking, but making this a cement jungle, you're not going to encourage pedestrians to want to walk, because it's so hot, so sunny.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Remember, though, that in this particular case—I'm sorry, Robert, I didn't know this was yours. I didn't want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to Robert. I mean, all of your the clear space in your arcades, it's always  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah.  MR. BEHAR: And I don't know if I have a self-imposed setback or not, okay. Maybe, on this, and I've got to go back, if we had set the building back a little bit extra to give a wider sidewalk, because of a five-foot setback, sometimes it doesn't work.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah. Right.  MR. TRIAS: Right.  But, I mean, if you measure the width of the arcade, you will see that it's wider. If you measure the sidewalk, the same thing.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So I think where I'm going with this is that whatever concern there is about open space and how it is experienced
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the building. They're not smashed against the building.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, in some of the prior cases I showed you, you absolutely needed them. So this is an example of where you might not have, but —  MS. ANDERSON: Well, here, you know—again, we're trying to encourage pedestrian traffic, and if we're going to be eliminating bump-outs in order to try to provide more parking, but making this a cement jungle, you're not going to encourage pedestrians to want to walk, because it's so hot, so sunny.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Remember, though, that in this particular case—I'm sorry, Robert, I didn't know this was yours. I didn't want to be—  MR. BEHAR: Too critical.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to Robert. I mean, all of your the clear space in your arcades, it's always  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah.  MR. BEHAR: And I don't know if I have a self-imposed setback or not, okay. Maybe, on this, and I've got to go back, if we had set the building back a little bit extra to give a wider sidewalk, because of a five-foot setback, sometimes it doesn't work.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah. Right.  MR. TRIAS: Right.  But, I mean, if you measure the width of the arcade, you will see that it's wider. If you measure the sidewalk, the same thing.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So I think where I'm going with this is that whatever concern there is about open space and how it is experienced in the City, on the City streets and throughout
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Right. Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Well, I like the bump-outs because it gets the trees further away from the building. They're not smashed against the building.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, in some of the prior cases I showed you, you absolutely needed them. So this is an example of where you might not have, but —  MS. ANDERSON: Well, here, you know—again, we're trying to encourage pedestrian traffic, and if we're going to be eliminating bump-outs in order to try to provide more parking, but making this a cement jungle, you're not going to encourage pedestrians to want to walk, because it's so hot, so sunny.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Remember, though, that in this particular case—I'm sorry, Robert, I didn't know this was yours. I didn't want to be—	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	five-foot or seven-foot sidewalk.  MS. ANDERSON: And I hate those. All the way up and down Le Jeune Road, it's terrible.  MR. TRIAS: I want to give credit to Robert. I mean, all of your the clear space in your arcades, it's always  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah.  MR. BEHAR: And I don't know if I have a self-imposed setback or not, okay. Maybe, on this, and I've got to go back, if we had set the building back a little bit extra to give a wider sidewalk, because of a five-foot setback, sometimes it doesn't work.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah. Right.  MR. TRIAS: Right.  But, I mean, if you measure the width of the arcade, you will see that it's wider. If you measure the sidewalk, the same thing.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So I think where I'm going with this is that whatever concern there is about open space and how it is experienced

	Page 29		Page 30
1	site, because it's always going to be pushed to	1	MS. ANDERSON: From a safety standpoint
2	the outside in some way, that's not under the	2	MR. BEHAR: I don't think this was done
3	larger control of an urban design, but it's	3	with that intention, because I remember being
4	determined site by site.	4	on the Board of Architects when this project
5	And some of you may remember, years ago,	5	came in, and I think it was done for the
6	when at the University we did the BID plan. We	6	reasons to meet the landscape, you know
7	made some suggestions for two of the streets	7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Requirements or
8	that were very specific to the street,	8	percentage?
9	understanding what the right-of-way was, how	9	MR. BEHAR: Yeah.
10	much sidewalk was left, whether you could take	10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Even if it's on the
11	any space out of parking or traffic lanes, and	11	right-of-way, it meets the landscape
12	that sort of street by street approach. I	12	requirements for the project?
13	think, would benefit the City, if you really	13	MR. BEHAR: Well, remember yes,
14	want to deal with open space, beyond haggling	14	basically.
15	over what percent of one site it should be.	15	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, you may have
16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: One question for you.	16	approved it for one or two projects. I don't
17	A couple of slides back, you showed some	17	know about this one.
18	landscaping that didn't allow pedestrians to	18	MS. VELEZ: We had a project in the last
19	cross. That one that's in place there.	19	meeting, and I asked that same question. When
20	I wonder if that was done on purpose I	20	we were looking at the percentages, I said,
21	don't know so there is no jaywalking or you	21	"But all of the landscaping is in the
22	could cross at the crosswalks, because you're	22	right-of-way," and, yes, it does count, which
23	in Downtown Coral Gables, where people go out	23	doesn't make a lot of sense to me, because it
24	for lunch from the offices and so forth.	24	allows the building to be way too close.
25	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah.	25	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So, at any rate, you're
	Page 31		D 20
	rage 31		Page 32
1	doing it building by building, instead of	1	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end,
1 2		1 2	
	doing it building by building, instead of		MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end,
2	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan	2	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.
2	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.	2 3	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a
2 3 4 5 6	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to	2 3 4 5 6	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.
2 3 4 5	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.	2 3 4 5	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nothing in between.
2 3 4 5 6	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.  MR. TRIAS: So how is that pedestrian? And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nothing in between.  MS. ANDERSON: But that's the whole block over.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.  MR. TRIAS: So how is that pedestrian? And those are the issues that we need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nothing in between.  MS. ANDERSON: But that's the whole block over.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.  MR. TRIAS: So how is that pedestrian? And those are the issues that we need to coordinate.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nothing in between.  MS. ANDERSON: But that's the whole block over.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.  MR. TRIAS: So how is that pedestrian? And those are the issues that we need to coordinate.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think, in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nothing in between.  MS. ANDERSON: But that's the whole block over.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Yeah, it really should have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.  MR. TRIAS: So how is that pedestrian? And those are the issues that we need to coordinate.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think, in that street, if you continue forward, there is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nothing in between.  MS. ANDERSON: But that's the whole block over.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Yeah, it really should have a crosswalk there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.  MR. TRIAS: So how is that pedestrian? And those are the issues that we need to coordinate.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think, in that street, if you continue forward, there is a crosswalk by the Graziano's and there is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nothing in between.  MS. ANDERSON: But that's the whole block over.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Yeah, it really should have a crosswalk there.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: But, you know, this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.  MR. TRIAS: So how is that pedestrian? And those are the issues that we need to coordinate.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think, in that street, if you continue forward, there is a crosswalk by the Graziano's and there is a crosswalk that goes towards	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nothing in between.  MS. ANDERSON: But that's the whole block over.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Yeah, it really should have a crosswalk there.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: But, you know, this is a good example of, we don't have a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.  MR. TRIAS: So how is that pedestrian? And those are the issues that we need to coordinate.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think, in that street, if you continue forward, there is a crosswalk by the Graziano's and there is a crosswalk that goes towards  MR. BEHAR: But this is not Graziano's.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nothing in between.  MS. ANDERSON: But that's the whole block over.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Yeah, it really should have a crosswalk there.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: But, you know, this is a good example of, we don't have a lot of public spaces that are not linear in our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.  MR. TRIAS: So how is that pedestrian? And those are the issues that we need to coordinate.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think, in that street, if you continue forward, there is a crosswalk by the Graziano's and there is a crosswalk that goes towards  MR. BEHAR: But this is not Graziano's.  This is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nothing in between.  MS. ANDERSON: But that's the whole block over.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Yeah, it really should have a crosswalk there.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: But, you know, this is a good example of, we don't have a lot of public spaces that are not linear in our gridded city. That's a kind of American
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.  MR. TRIAS: So how is that pedestrian? And those are the issues that we need to coordinate.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think, in that street, if you continue forward, there is a crosswalk by the Graziano's and there is a crosswalk that goes towards  MR. BEHAR: But this is not Graziano's.  This is the  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the Fritz and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nothing in between.  MS. ANDERSON: But that's the whole block over.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Yeah, it really should have a crosswalk there.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: But, you know, this is a good example of, we don't have a lot of public spaces that are not linear in our gridded city. That's a kind of American character. But here's a space that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.  MR. TRIAS: So how is that pedestrian? And those are the issues that we need to coordinate.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think, in that street, if you continue forward, there is a crosswalk by the Graziano's and there is a crosswalk that goes towards  MR. BEHAR: But this is not Graziano's.  This is the  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the Fritz and Franz.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nothing in between.  MS. ANDERSON: But that's the whole block over.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Yeah, it really should have a crosswalk there.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: But, you know, this is a good example of, we don't have a lot of public spaces that are not linear in our gridded city. That's a kind of American character. But here's a space that's triangular and could be developed, in an urban
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.  MR. TRIAS: So how is that pedestrian? And those are the issues that we need to coordinate.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think, in that street, if you continue forward, there is a crosswalk by the Graziano's and there is a crosswalk that goes towards  MR. BEHAR: But this is not Graziano's.  This is the  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the Fritz and Franz.  MR. BEHAR: The Frits and Franz.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nothing in between.  MS. ANDERSON: But that's the whole block over.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Yeah, it really should have a crosswalk there.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: But, you know, this is a good example of, we don't have a lot of public spaces that are not linear in our gridded city. That's a kind of American character. But here's a space that's triangular and could be developed, in an urban design fashion, in such a way that it would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.  MR. TRIAS: So how is that pedestrian? And those are the issues that we need to coordinate.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think, in that street, if you continue forward, there is a crosswalk by the Graziano's and there is a crosswalk that goes towards  MR. BEHAR: But this is not Graziano's.  This is the  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the Fritz and Franz.  MR. BEHAR: The Frits and Franz.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So at the end of it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nothing in between.  MS. ANDERSON: But that's the whole block over.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Yeah, it really should have a crosswalk there.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: But, you know, this is a good example of, we don't have a lot of public spaces that are not linear in our gridded city. That's a kind of American character. But here's a space that's triangular and could be developed, in an urban design fashion, in such a way that it would make the place. It's not just defined by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.  MR. TRIAS: So how is that pedestrian? And those are the issues that we need to coordinate.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think, in that street, if you continue forward, there is a crosswalk by the Graziano's and there is a crosswalk that goes towards  MR. BEHAR: But this is not Graziano's.  This is the  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the Fritz and Franz.  MR. BEHAR: The Frits and Franz.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So at the end of it is the Graziano's Market.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nothing in between.  MS. ANDERSON: But that's the whole block over.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Yeah, it really should have a crosswalk there.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: But, you know, this is a good example of, we don't have a lot of public spaces that are not linear in our gridded city. That's a kind of American character. But here's a space that's triangular and could be developed, in an urban design fashion, in such a way that it would make the place. It's not just defined by the curbs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.  MR. TRIAS: So how is that pedestrian? And those are the issues that we need to coordinate.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think, in that street, if you continue forward, there is a crosswalk by the Graziano's and there is a crosswalk that goes towards  MR. BEHAR: But this is not Graziano's.  This is the  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the Fritz and Franz.  MR. BEHAR: The Frits and Franz.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So at the end of it is the Graziano's Market.  MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nothing in between.  MS. ANDERSON: But that's the whole block over.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Yeah, it really should have a crosswalk there.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: But, you know, this is a good example of, we don't have a lot of public spaces that are not linear in our gridded city. That's a kind of American character. But here's a space that's triangular and could be developed, in an urban design fashion, in such a way that it would make the place. It's not just defined by the curbs.  MR. TRIAS: And I think that one of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.  MR. TRIAS: So how is that pedestrian? And those are the issues that we need to coordinate.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think, in that street, if you continue forward, there is a crosswalk by the Graziano's and there is a crosswalk that goes towards  MR. BEHAR: But this is not Graziano's.  This is the  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the Fritz and Franz.  MR. BEHAR: The Frits and Franz.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So at the end of it is the Graziano's Market.  MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's where I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nothing in between.  MS. ANDERSON: But that's the whole block over.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Yeah, it really should have a crosswalk there.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: But, you know, this is a good example of, we don't have a lot of public spaces that are not linear in our gridded city. That's a kind of American character. But here's a space that's triangular and could be developed, in an urban design fashion, in such a way that it would make the place. It's not just defined by the curbs.  MR. TRIAS: And I think that one of the points that Liz is bringing up is that if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	doing it building by building, instead of street by street. And so if you had a plan ahead of time for that street, then the architects could try to at least try to conform with it.  MR. TRIAS: If you look at the street, you don't see crosswalks anywhere.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.  MR. TRIAS: So how is that pedestrian? And those are the issues that we need to coordinate.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think, in that street, if you continue forward, there is a crosswalk by the Graziano's and there is a crosswalk that goes towards  MR. BEHAR: But this is not Graziano's.  This is the  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the Fritz and Franz.  MR. BEHAR: The Frits and Franz.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So at the end of it is the Graziano's Market.  MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BEHAR: All of the way to the end, yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I think there's a crosswalk by the Denny's, forward.  MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. If you keep going, yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nothing in between.  MS. ANDERSON: But that's the whole block over.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah.  MS. ANDERSON: Yeah, it really should have a crosswalk there.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: But, you know, this is a good example of, we don't have a lot of public spaces that are not linear in our gridded city. That's a kind of American character. But here's a space that's triangular and could be developed, in an urban design fashion, in such a way that it would make the place. It's not just defined by the curbs.  MR. TRIAS: And I think that one of the

	Page 33		Page 34
1	with, yeah, some space over there. That,	1	there are the blocks are long and the
2	indeed, if we have a more sophisticated way to	2	walkways are useful. This is one of them.
3	deal with space, then we can talk about	3	Next.
4	crosswalks, we can talk about urban design and	4	This is probably your most your best and
5	so on. You know, I think that's a good	5	most intentional one, that runs through the
6	approach.	6	building from Miracle Mile to the other side.
7	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: But I should remind us	7	MS. ANDERSON: Right.
8	all that we're talking about the Zoning Code,	8	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Next.
9	which is a different instrument than an Urban	9	So this is, I believe, the last slide, in
10	Design Plan.	10	which we ask for your input, your comments on
11	MR. TRIAS: No, but the thing is that our	11	the re-organization of the document, any
12	Zoning Code is special, because it has the	12	comments you might have on the form and
13	Board of Architects and it requires a very	13	capacity rules. I think, with regard to FAR,
14	significant design review. So if we understand	14	it's pretty clear, except in FM2, I believe.
15	it like that, then we have better tools, I	15	This is the one that has a kind of sliding
16	think.	16	scale of the taller you get, the lower the FAR
17	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: I'm just pointing out	17	gets, and it's a complex kind of picture. I
18	that that percentage may not be the only way to	18	wonder whether that could be simplified or made
19	get a better open space result.	19	less complex, or, let's say, more predictable.
20	Enough said. Let's move on.	20	The height, there seemed to be these kind
21	I think that was oh, and, then, of	21	of height thresholds, which seem to be working,
22	course, there, the cross-block walkways, which	22	as you could see from the buildings that we
23	could be probably better developed. That's a	23	showed. So there seems to be a pattern there.
24	part of the content of the Code. I'm not	24	However, the height limit on it of the less
25	sure we haven't looked at that, but clearly	25	than 20,000 might be something that could be
	Page 35		
	rage 33		Page 36
1	reviewed.	1	Page 36 Thank you.
1 2		1 2	
	reviewed.		Thank you.
2	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we	2	Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a
2	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?	2 3	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that
2 3 4	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of	2 3 4	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.
2 3 4 5	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to	2 3 4 5	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.
2 3 4 5 6	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to Commercial to get it, in certain parts of the	2 3 4 5 6	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.  Any questions? I would actually like to
2 3 4 5 6 7	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to Commercial to get it, in certain parts of the City, why couldn't it all be Mixed-Use and not	2 3 4 5 6 7	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.  Any questions? I would actually like to first get some input from the architects on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to Commercial to get it, in certain parts of the City, why couldn't it all be Mixed-Use and not worry about having an underlay and an overlay?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.  Any questions? I would actually like to first get some input from the architects on the Board, which would be Robert. Julio,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to Commercial to get it, in certain parts of the City, why couldn't it all be Mixed-Use and not worry about having an underlay and an overlay?  The small lots, I just mentioned. Is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.  Any questions? I would actually like to first get some input from the architects on the Board, which would be Robert. Julio, unfortunately, is not here with us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to Commercial to get it, in certain parts of the City, why couldn't it all be Mixed-Use and not worry about having an underlay and an overlay?  The small lots, I just mentioned. Is that worth re-considering? What about parking for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.  Any questions? I would actually like to first get some input from the architects on the Board, which would be Robert. Julio, unfortunately, is not here with us.  But if it's okay with the Board Members, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to Commercial to get it, in certain parts of the City, why couldn't it all be Mixed-Use and not worry about having an underlay and an overlay?  The small lots, I just mentioned. Is that worth re-considering? What about parking for the small lots. Everybody is pointing out most	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.  Any questions? I would actually like to first get some input from the architects on the Board, which would be Robert. Julio, unfortunately, is not here with us.  But if it's okay with the Board Members, I would like for him to start.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to Commercial to get it, in certain parts of the City, why couldn't it all be Mixed-Use and not worry about having an underlay and an overlay?  The small lots, I just mentioned. Is that worth re-considering? What about parking for the small lots. Everybody is pointing out most of them are built out, at least to one or two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.  Any questions? I would actually like to first get some input from the architects on the Board, which would be Robert. Julio, unfortunately, is not here with us.  But if it's okay with the Board Members, I would like for him to start.  MR. BEHAR: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to Commercial to get it, in certain parts of the City, why couldn't it all be Mixed-Use and not worry about having an underlay and an overlay?  The small lots, I just mentioned. Is that worth re-considering? What about parking for the small lots. Everybody is pointing out most of them are built out, at least to one or two stories already, and they don't have parking,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.  Any questions? I would actually like to first get some input from the architects on the Board, which would be Robert. Julio, unfortunately, is not here with us.  But if it's okay with the Board Members, I would like for him to start.  MR. BEHAR: Thank you.  No, I think and I've been on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to Commercial to get it, in certain parts of the City, why couldn't it all be Mixed-Use and not worry about having an underlay and an overlay?  The small lots, I just mentioned. Is that worth re-considering? What about parking for the small lots. Everybody is pointing out most of them are built out, at least to one or two stories already, and they don't have parking, so would it be that big of an impact on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.  Any questions? I would actually like to first get some input from the architects on the Board, which would be Robert. Julio, unfortunately, is not here with us.  But if it's okay with the Board Members, I would like for him to start.  MR. BEHAR: Thank you.  No, I think and I've been on the Steering Committee, so I've been involved, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to Commercial to get it, in certain parts of the City, why couldn't it all be Mixed-Use and not worry about having an underlay and an overlay?  The small lots, I just mentioned. Is that worth re-considering? What about parking for the small lots. Everybody is pointing out most of them are built out, at least to one or two stories already, and they don't have parking, so would it be that big of an impact on the City to not require parking for the smaller	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.  Any questions? I would actually like to first get some input from the architects on the Board, which would be Robert. Julio, unfortunately, is not here with us.  But if it's okay with the Board Members, I would like for him to start.  MR. BEHAR: Thank you.  No, I think and I've been on the Steering Committee, so I've been involved, and I think the intent of what Liz is doing is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to Commercial to get it, in certain parts of the City, why couldn't it all be Mixed-Use and not worry about having an underlay and an overlay?  The small lots, I just mentioned. Is that worth re-considering? What about parking for the small lots. Everybody is pointing out most of them are built out, at least to one or two stories already, and they don't have parking, so would it be that big of an impact on the City to not require parking for the smaller lots?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.  Any questions? I would actually like to first get some input from the architects on the Board, which would be Robert. Julio, unfortunately, is not here with us.  But if it's okay with the Board Members, I would like for him to start.  MR. BEHAR: Thank you.  No, I think and I've been on the Steering Committee, so I've been involved, and I think the intent of what Liz is doing is correct. One is, we need to simplify our Code,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to Commercial to get it, in certain parts of the City, why couldn't it all be Mixed-Use and not worry about having an underlay and an overlay?  The small lots, I just mentioned. Is that worth re-considering? What about parking for the small lots. Everybody is pointing out most of them are built out, at least to one or two stories already, and they don't have parking, so would it be that big of an impact on the City to not require parking for the smaller lots?  And then your recommendations with regard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.  Any questions? I would actually like to first get some input from the architects on the Board, which would be Robert. Julio, unfortunately, is not here with us.  But if it's okay with the Board Members, I would like for him to start.  MR. BEHAR: Thank you.  No, I think and I've been on the Steering Committee, so I've been involved, and I think the intent of what Liz is doing is correct. One is, we need to simplify our Code, okay, because it's very I don't want to say, difficult, but it's very confusing at times.  So I think that process is going in the right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to Commercial to get it, in certain parts of the City, why couldn't it all be Mixed-Use and not worry about having an underlay and an overlay?  The small lots, I just mentioned. Is that worth re-considering? What about parking for the small lots. Everybody is pointing out most of them are built out, at least to one or two stories already, and they don't have parking, so would it be that big of an impact on the City to not require parking for the smaller lots?  And then your recommendations with regard to the organization of the document and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.  Any questions? I would actually like to first get some input from the architects on the Board, which would be Robert. Julio, unfortunately, is not here with us.  But if it's okay with the Board Members, I would like for him to start.  MR. BEHAR: Thank you.  No, I think and I've been on the Steering Committee, so I've been involved, and I think the intent of what Liz is doing is correct. One is, we need to simplify our Code, okay, because it's very I don't want to say, difficult, but it's very confusing at times.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to Commercial to get it, in certain parts of the City, why couldn't it all be Mixed-Use and not worry about having an underlay and an overlay?  The small lots, I just mentioned. Is that worth re-considering? What about parking for the small lots. Everybody is pointing out most of them are built out, at least to one or two stories already, and they don't have parking, so would it be that big of an impact on the City to not require parking for the smaller lots?  And then your recommendations with regard to the organization of the document and the content issues I've brought up, as well as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.  Any questions? I would actually like to first get some input from the architects on the Board, which would be Robert. Julio, unfortunately, is not here with us.  But if it's okay with the Board Members, I would like for him to start.  MR. BEHAR: Thank you.  No, I think and I've been on the Steering Committee, so I've been involved, and I think the intent of what Liz is doing is correct. One is, we need to simplify our Code, okay, because it's very I don't want to say, difficult, but it's very confusing at times.  So I think that process is going in the right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to Commercial to get it, in certain parts of the City, why couldn't it all be Mixed-Use and not worry about having an underlay and an overlay?  The small lots, I just mentioned. Is that worth re-considering? What about parking for the small lots. Everybody is pointing out most of them are built out, at least to one or two stories already, and they don't have parking, so would it be that big of an impact on the City to not require parking for the smaller lots?  And then your recommendations with regard to the organization of the document and the content issues I've brought up, as well as the degree of public participation, beyond now,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.  Any questions? I would actually like to first get some input from the architects on the Board, which would be Robert. Julio, unfortunately, is not here with us.  But if it's okay with the Board Members, I would like for him to start.  MR. BEHAR: Thank you.  No, I think and I've been on the Steering Committee, so I've been involved, and I think the intent of what Liz is doing is correct. One is, we need to simplify our Code, okay, because it's very I don't want to say, difficult, but it's very confusing at times.  So I think that process is going in the right direction, and I think that was whatever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to Commercial to get it, in certain parts of the City, why couldn't it all be Mixed-Use and not worry about having an underlay and an overlay?  The small lots, I just mentioned. Is that worth re-considering? What about parking for the small lots. Everybody is pointing out most of them are built out, at least to one or two stories already, and they don't have parking, so would it be that big of an impact on the City to not require parking for the smaller lots?  And then your recommendations with regard to the organization of the document and the content issues I've brought up, as well as the degree of public participation, beyond now, that you think we might need — the City might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.  Any questions? I would actually like to first get some input from the architects on the Board, which would be Robert. Julio, unfortunately, is not here with us.  But if it's okay with the Board Members, I would like for him to start.  MR. BEHAR: Thank you.  No, I think and I've been on the Steering Committee, so I've been involved, and I think the intent of what Liz is doing is correct. One is, we need to simplify our Code, okay, because it's very I don't want to say, difficult, but it's very confusing at times.  So I think that process is going in the right direction, and I think that was whatever task that was, I think it's getting there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to Commercial to get it, in certain parts of the City, why couldn't it all be Mixed-Use and not worry about having an underlay and an overlay?  The small lots, I just mentioned. Is that worth re-considering? What about parking for the small lots. Everybody is pointing out most of them are built out, at least to one or two stories already, and they don't have parking, so would it be that big of an impact on the City to not require parking for the smaller lots?  And then your recommendations with regard to the organization of the document and the content issues I've brought up, as well as the degree of public participation, beyond now, that you think we might need — the City might need to engage.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.  Any questions? I would actually like to first get some input from the architects on the Board, which would be Robert. Julio, unfortunately, is not here with us.  But if it's okay with the Board Members, I would like for him to start.  MR. BEHAR: Thank you.  No, I think and I've been on the Steering Committee, so I've been involved, and I think the intent of what Liz is doing is correct. One is, we need to simplify our Code, okay, because it's very I don't want to say, difficult, but it's very confusing at times.  So I think that process is going in the right direction, and I think that was whatever task that was, I think it's getting there.  What follows, I think, is going to be very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reviewed.  The step backs, are those doing what we want them to do?  The idea that you get Mixed-Use out of Commercial and that you have to rezone to Commercial to get it, in certain parts of the City, why couldn't it all be Mixed-Use and not worry about having an underlay and an overlay?  The small lots, I just mentioned. Is that worth re-considering? What about parking for the small lots. Everybody is pointing out most of them are built out, at least to one or two stories already, and they don't have parking, so would it be that big of an impact on the City to not require parking for the smaller lots?  And then your recommendations with regard to the organization of the document and the content issues I've brought up, as well as the degree of public participation, beyond now, that you think we might need — the City might need to engage.  So three things, document structure and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to take a moment to recognize Commissioner Pat Keon, that has joined us.  Thank you. Welcome.  Any questions? I would actually like to first get some input from the architects on the Board, which would be Robert. Julio, unfortunately, is not here with us.  But if it's okay with the Board Members, I would like for him to start.  MR. BEHAR: Thank you.  No, I think and I've been on the Steering Committee, so I've been involved, and I think the intent of what Liz is doing is correct. One is, we need to simplify our Code, okay, because it's very I don't want to say, difficult, but it's very confusing at times.  So I think that process is going in the right direction, and I think that was whatever task that was, I think it's getting there.  What follows, I think, is going to be very critical, it's how we're going to how we're

Page 37 Page 38 big lots. 1 presentation, the Mediterranean bonuses, how 1 2 we're going to be able to simplify something 2 One of the projects that you showed, which 3 3 that is not -- by the time it gets to the is the project we did in the Gables -- Gateway 4 4 Commissioners for final approval, it's an Gables Ponce Project, that project had 348 5 easier process, was not up to interpretation by 5 units, but yet it had over 900 parking spaces. 6 6 somebody, you know. I think those are what are You know, we don't need to. We need to look at 7 7 concerning to me, how we're going to get there. that, because that's something that is going to 8 8 There's a lot of work to be done, that benefit the City, you know, now and in the 9 9 future. you're going to have to do, in order to get --10 10 because when we leave it up to the Board of MR. TRIAS: And, Mr. Behar, if you had that 11 11 Architects, in some cases, it's a discrepancy, project going through the process today, you 12 who is going to favor one project versus the 12 could used the shared parking, for example. 13 other, and that, to me, is, I think, the 13 MR. BEHAR: But, Ramon, the shared parking, 14 14 biggest problem that I see -- or the biggest yes, you're correct, but what you're allowed to 15 15 challange that you have to be able to clearly reduce -- the only benefit today, really, on 16 16 make changes to the current Code to allow for that project, is that the requirement for the 17 17 one bedroom unit --18 18 I think that what you put on the screen MR. TRIAS: Yeah, we reduced that, too. 19 19 MR. BEHAR: It went from 1.5 to one, but I right now, I think, is correct. I think, you 20 know, for example, parking, we know that the 20 think we have an opportunity to even look at 21 21 tendency today is to try to reduce parking, not more, and I think that's what I expect, for you 22 2.2 only because it's going to reduce the mass of to come back to us, Liz, and say, you know, our 23 23 the building, it's that we're using cars less recommendation would be "X," you know. I think 24 and less, and I think it's going to benefit not 24 that's an opportunity, because that's going to 25 25 only the smalls lot, it's going to benefit the reduce a lot of the projects you showed, Page 39 Page 40 1 1 including my projects. You know, you could see at better projects, you know, quality projects. 2 2 that your pedestals are very massive. I think That's the goal, the intent of what we want to 3 3 that would help us eliminate some of that do today, and I think what I would look from 4 4 massiveness of those projects. you -- and I think everything you're doing so 5 5 I think, when you, you know, maybe have far is going in the direction -- I think that, 6 6 some smaller lot, you have a little bit of, you how are we able to achieve that, in an easier 7 7 know, more benefit. I think that could be manner than what we have today. 8 8 good, you know, in a lot of areas of the City, MR. TRIAS: And I think -- Mr. Behar, I 9 9 especially the Ponce corridor. I think that's think that you're probably the most experienced 10 10 where we're going to see, for the next twenty architect on those types of projects --11 years, more development coming in that area, 11 MR. BEHAR: Thank you. 12 12 because it's really conducive to do that. MR. TRIAS: And you are, and I think that 13 13 I think, again, one of my concerns and I your experience could be very beneficial. If 14 14 still -- and I've been proponing this for a we can have a meeting with Liz, for example, 15 15 long time, I think we need to look at not that would be one of my suggestions, to have a 16 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

years, more development coming in that area, because it's really conducive to do that.

I think, again, one of my concerns and I still -- and I've been proponing this for a long time, I think we need to look at not following the eight examples that are set in the Code, but I think we've got to find a way to incentivize projects for quality, good response to the urban fabric, to everything that is there, not just because, if they have a copula, well, why, you know, they get more beneficial -- more benefits than others.

And I think that today, in some of our Commissioners, and, you know, Commissioner Keon will attest to that, you know, we want to look

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TRIAS: And I think -- Mr. Behar, I think that you're probably the most experienced architect on those types of projects -- MR. BEHAR: Thank you.

MR. TRIAS: And you are, and I think that your experience could be very beneficial. If we can have a meeting with Liz, for example, that would be one of my suggestions, to have a meeting specifically on your issues and trying to see if we can come up with the technical solutions. And keep in mind that Zoning is a limited tool. Zoning is not going to solve all of our problems, but I think that your expertise -- and that could be one of the follow-up ideas that I may suggest, is that we could set up some meetings with the consultant, of some individuals who have some particular issues, and then follow-up and then come back a

	Page 41		Page 42
1	couple of months later to another meeting here.	1	I said before, is how do we get, you know, a
2	I mean, I think, at some point, we need	2	little bit further.
3	to I would like to get your view on how do	3	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So one of the
4	you see your role in the process, because at	4	questions, I think, that's come up in some of
5	the end, you will have to recommend approval or	5	the meetings, may have been a Staff Committee
6	not to the Commission of the changes.	6	suggestion, that we actually separate these two
7	The way I see the changes, I agree with	7	issues and first do the re-organization, and
8	Mr. Behar, I see the re-organization and	8	not even address the content issues, until
9	re-labeling, which is what Liz presented, and	9	everybody is comfortable with that, and then do
10	that, to me, that's a very clear, black and	10	the content.
11	white, issue. It's very good, very helpful.	11	So I don't know where we'd come out on that
12	It doesn't simplify the Code. It clarifies the	12	yet.
13	Code. I mean, it doesn't change any of the	13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Were members of the
14	content. And we can do that, and then the rest	14	community and residents on any of those
15	of it is the actual changes.	15	committees or any of those meetings that you've
16	MR. BEHAR: But just that process will, you	16	had or was it strictly Staff?
17	know, clarify fifty percent of the problems	17	MR. TRIAS: No. We had several Committees,
18	that we have today with the Code.	18	and, yes, we had members. It's just that it
19	MR. TRIAS: If we only do that, we've done	19	wasn't an open meeting. It was invited. So we
20	something significant.	20	had some professionals. We also have the
21	MR. BEHAR: I agree, because, you know, it	21	Steering Committee. We have members of
22	really and I think Liz and Staff have done	22	different Boards. So we had a fairly good
23	so far, from what I've seen, a very good job	23	sample of people who would be interested.
24	getting to that point, which I'm very happy,	24	Now, as you can see, Zoning is not exactly
25	very pleased to see that. And, you know, like	25	the most exciting topic, so we don't have that
		23	the most exerting topic, so we don't have that
	Dama 42		
	Page 43		Page 44
1	many, but we need to figure out a way to get	1	Page 44 us and help us, because the way Robert has told
1 2		1 2	
	many, but we need to figure out a way to get		us and help us, because the way Robert has told
2	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.	2	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does
2 3	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last	2 3	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's
2 3 4	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write,	2 3 4	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like
2 3 4 5	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board	2 3 4 5	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.
2 3 4 5 6	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board  MR. BEHAR: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was on the Board. I think even Commissioner Keon were you on	2 3 4 5 6	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And I think, Liz, you
2 3 4 5 6 7	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board  MR. BEHAR: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was on the Board.	2 3 4 5 6 7	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And I think, Liz, you may want to show the list of meetings that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board  MR. BEHAR: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was on the Board. I think even Commissioner Keon were you on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And I think, Liz, you may want to show the list of meetings that we've had so far, so you get a clear idea.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board  MR. BEHAR: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was on the Board. I think even Commissioner Keon were you on the Board with us? This is when, if I'm not mistaken, Charlie was doing the Zoning Code Re-write, and we spent hours and hours going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And I think, Liz, you may want to show the list of meetings that we've had so far, so you get a clear idea.  Robert was a member of one of the Committees.  We had attorneys. We had a lot of people who have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board  MR. BEHAR: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was on the Board.  I think even Commissioner Keon were you on the Board with us? This is when, if I'm not mistaken, Charlie was doing the Zoning Code Re-write, and we spent hours and hours going through, line item per line item, through the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And I think, Liz, you may want to show the list of meetings that we've had so far, so you get a clear idea.  Robert was a member of one of the Committees.  We had attorneys. We had a lot of people who have  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Judith, could we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board  MR. BEHAR: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was on the Board. I think even Commissioner Keon were you on the Board with us? This is when, if I'm not mistaken, Charlie was doing the Zoning Code Re-write, and we spent hours and hours going through, line item per line item, through the process, putting one or two additional meetings	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And I think, Liz, you may want to show the list of meetings that we've had so far, so you get a clear idea.  Robert was a member of one of the Committees.  We had attorneys. We had a lot of people who have  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Judith, could we have the second slide in the series?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board  MR. BEHAR: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was on the Board. I think even Commissioner Keon were you on the Board with us? This is when, if I'm not mistaken, Charlie was doing the Zoning Code Re-write, and we spent hours and hours going through, line item per line item, through the process, putting one or two additional meetings per month, just on the Zoning Code Re-write,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And I think, Liz, you may want to show the list of meetings that we've had so far, so you get a clear idea.  Robert was a member of one of the Committees.  We had attorneys. We had a lot of people who have  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Judith, could we have the second slide in the series?  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. I think the second slide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board  MR. BEHAR: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was on the Board.  I think even Commissioner Keon were you on the Board with us? This is when, if I'm not mistaken, Charlie was doing the Zoning Code Re-write, and we spent hours and hours going through, line item per line item, through the process, putting one or two additional meetings per month, just on the Zoning Code Re-write, and we did have input from the community that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And I think, Liz, you may want to show the list of meetings that we've had so far, so you get a clear idea.  Robert was a member of one of the Committees.  We had attorneys. We had a lot of people who have  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Judith, could we have the second slide in the series?  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. I think the second slide gave you a timeline. And, really, it's up to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board  MR. BEHAR: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was on the Board. I think even Commissioner Keon were you on the Board with us? This is when, if I'm not mistaken, Charlie was doing the Zoning Code Re-write, and we spent hours and hours going through, line item per line item, through the process, putting one or two additional meetings per month, just on the Zoning Code Re-write, and we did have input from the community that came and sat in the audience.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And I think, Liz, you may want to show the list of meetings that we've had so far, so you get a clear idea.  Robert was a member of one of the Committees.  We had attorneys. We had a lot of people who have  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Judith, could we have the second slide in the series?  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. I think the second slide gave you a timeline. And, really, it's up to you. It's a question of how much if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board  MR. BEHAR: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was on the Board. I think even Commissioner Keon were you on the Board with us? This is when, if I'm not mistaken, Charlie was doing the Zoning Code Re-write, and we spent hours and hours going through, line item per line item, through the process, putting one or two additional meetings per month, just on the Zoning Code Re-write, and we did have input from the community that came and sat in the audience.  We had Zoning attorneys, we had architects	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And I think, Liz, you may want to show the list of meetings that we've had so far, so you get a clear idea.  Robert was a member of one of the Committees.  We had attorneys. We had a lot of people who have  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Judith, could we have the second slide in the series?  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. I think the second slide gave you a timeline. And, really, it's up to you. It's a question of how much if you want to spend three meetings every month, until
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board  MR. BEHAR: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was on the Board.  I think even Commissioner Keon were you on the Board with us? This is when, if I'm not mistaken, Charlie was doing the Zoning Code Re-write, and we spent hours and hours going through, line item per line item, through the process, putting one or two additional meetings per month, just on the Zoning Code Re-write, and we did have input from the community that came and sat in the audience.  We had Zoning attorneys, we had architects and we had residents of the community that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And I think, Liz, you may want to show the list of meetings that we've had so far, so you get a clear idea.  Robert was a member of one of the Committees.  We had attorneys. We had a lot of people who have  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Judith, could we have the second slide in the series?  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. I think the second slide gave you a timeline. And, really, it's up to you. It's a question of how much if you want to spend three meetings every month, until midnight, we can do that, but I would prefer a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board  MR. BEHAR: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was on the Board.  I think even Commissioner Keon were you on the Board with us? This is when, if I'm not mistaken, Charlie was doing the Zoning Code Re-write, and we spent hours and hours going through, line item per line item, through the process, putting one or two additional meetings per month, just on the Zoning Code Re-write, and we did have input from the community that came and sat in the audience.  We had Zoning attorneys, we had architects and we had residents of the community that actually put in very valuable input and helped	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And I think, Liz, you may want to show the list of meetings that we've had so far, so you get a clear idea.  Robert was a member of one of the Committees.  We had attorneys. We had a lot of people who have  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Judith, could we have the second slide in the series?  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. I think the second slide gave you a timeline. And, really, it's up to you. It's a question of how much if you want to spend three meetings every month, until midnight, we can do that, but I would prefer a more efficient process. So it's up to you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board  MR. BEHAR: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was on the Board.  I think even Commissioner Keon were you on the Board with us? This is when, if I'm not mistaken, Charlie was doing the Zoning Code Re-write, and we spent hours and hours going through, line item per line item, through the process, putting one or two additional meetings per month, just on the Zoning Code Re-write, and we did have input from the community that came and sat in the audience.  We had Zoning attorneys, we had architects and we had residents of the community that actually put in very valuable input and helped us make a determination as to how we wanted to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And I think, Liz, you may want to show the list of meetings that we've had so far, so you get a clear idea.  Robert was a member of one of the Committees.  We had attorneys. We had a lot of people who have  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Judith, could we have the second slide in the series?  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. I think the second slide gave you a timeline. And, really, it's up to you. It's a question of how much if you want to spend three meetings every month, until midnight, we can do that, but I would prefer a more efficient process. So it's up to you.  It's up to you, whatever you think is the best
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board  MR. BEHAR: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was on the Board.  I think even Commissioner Keon were you on the Board with us? This is when, if I'm not mistaken, Charlie was doing the Zoning Code Re-write, and we spent hours and hours going through, line item per line item, through the process, putting one or two additional meetings per month, just on the Zoning Code Re-write, and we did have input from the community that came and sat in the audience.  We had Zoning attorneys, we had architects and we had residents of the community that actually put in very valuable input and helped us make a determination as to how we wanted to proceed, and, to me, that's very valuable.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And I think, Liz, you may want to show the list of meetings that we've had so far, so you get a clear idea.  Robert was a member of one of the Committees.  We had attorneys. We had a lot of people who have  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Judith, could we have the second slide in the series?  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. I think the second slide gave you a timeline. And, really, it's up to you. It's a question of how much if you want to spend three meetings every month, until midnight, we can do that, but I would prefer a more efficient process. So it's up to you. It's up to you, whatever you think is the best way to provide input.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board  MR. BEHAR: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was on the Board.  I think even Commissioner Keon were you on the Board with us? This is when, if I'm not mistaken, Charlie was doing the Zoning Code Re-write, and we spent hours and hours going through, line item per line item, through the process, putting one or two additional meetings per month, just on the Zoning Code Re-write, and we did have input from the community that came and sat in the audience.  We had Zoning attorneys, we had architects and we had residents of the community that actually put in very valuable input and helped us make a determination as to how we wanted to proceed, and, to me, that's very valuable.  I'm not saying we've got to spend hundreds	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And I think, Liz, you may want to show the list of meetings that we've had so far, so you get a clear idea.  Robert was a member of one of the Committees.  We had attorneys. We had a lot of people who have  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Judith, could we have the second slide in the series?  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. I think the second slide gave you a timeline. And, really, it's up to you. It's a question of how much if you want to spend three meetings every month, until midnight, we can do that, but I would prefer a more efficient process. So it's up to you. It's up to you, whatever you think is the best way to provide input.  I think the consultant has been very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board  MR. BEHAR: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was on the Board.  I think even Commissioner Keon were you on the Board with us? This is when, if I'm not mistaken, Charlie was doing the Zoning Code Re-write, and we spent hours and hours going through, line item per line item, through the process, putting one or two additional meetings per month, just on the Zoning Code Re-write, and we did have input from the community that came and sat in the audience.  We had Zoning attorneys, we had architects and we had residents of the community that actually put in very valuable input and helped us make a determination as to how we wanted to proceed, and, to me, that's very valuable.  I'm not saying we've got to spend hundreds and hundreds of hours, but it's very valuable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And I think, Liz, you may want to show the list of meetings that we've had so far, so you get a clear idea.  Robert was a member of one of the Committees.  We had attorneys. We had a lot of people who have  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Judith, could we have the second slide in the series?  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. I think the second slide gave you a timeline. And, really, it's up to you. It's a question of how much if you want to spend three meetings every month, until midnight, we can do that, but I would prefer a more efficient process. So it's up to you. It's up to you, whatever you think is the best way to provide input.  I think the consultant has been very helpful. I mean, you've meet with plenty of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board  MR. BEHAR: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was on the Board.  I think even Commissioner Keon were you on the Board with us? This is when, if I'm not mistaken, Charlie was doing the Zoning Code Re-write, and we spent hours and hours going through, line item per line item, through the process, putting one or two additional meetings per month, just on the Zoning Code Re-write, and we did have input from the community that came and sat in the audience.  We had Zoning attorneys, we had architects and we had residents of the community that actually put in very valuable input and helped us make a determination as to how we wanted to proceed, and, to me, that's very valuable.  I'm not saying we've got to spend hundreds and hundreds of hours, but it's very valuable to coordinate it in such a way so you get input	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And I think, Liz, you may want to show the list of meetings that we've had so far, so you get a clear idea.  Robert was a member of one of the Committees.  We had attorneys. We had a lot of people who have  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Judith, could we have the second slide in the series?  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. I think the second slide gave you a timeline. And, really, it's up to you. It's a question of how much if you want to spend three meetings every month, until midnight, we can do that, but I would prefer a more efficient process. So it's up to you. It's up to you, whatever you think is the best way to provide input.  I think the consultant has been very helpful. I mean, you've meet with plenty of people.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	many, but we need to figure out a way to get appropriate input.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall, the last time we went through the Zoning Code Re-write, Robert, you were on the Board  MR. BEHAR: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was on the Board.  I think even Commissioner Keon were you on the Board with us? This is when, if I'm not mistaken, Charlie was doing the Zoning Code Re-write, and we spent hours and hours going through, line item per line item, through the process, putting one or two additional meetings per month, just on the Zoning Code Re-write, and we did have input from the community that came and sat in the audience.  We had Zoning attorneys, we had architects and we had residents of the community that actually put in very valuable input and helped us make a determination as to how we wanted to proceed, and, to me, that's very valuable.  I'm not saying we've got to spend hundreds and hundreds of hours, but it's very valuable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	us and help us, because the way Robert has told us that there are certain facets, when he does a building, that he has issues, I think there's other members of the community that would like to speak out and recognize that, also.  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And I think, Liz, you may want to show the list of meetings that we've had so far, so you get a clear idea.  Robert was a member of one of the Committees.  We had attorneys. We had a lot of people who have  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Judith, could we have the second slide in the series?  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. I think the second slide gave you a timeline. And, really, it's up to you. It's a question of how much if you want to spend three meetings every month, until midnight, we can do that, but I would prefer a more efficient process. So it's up to you. It's up to you, whatever you think is the best way to provide input.  I think the consultant has been very helpful. I mean, you've meet with plenty of

	Page 45		Page 46
1	one that lists the meetings.	1	is in front of the Aloft Hotel, and as a
2	That. Thank you.	2	result, now we have some sort of planters out
3	MR. TRIAS: That one.	3	there, that the building put in after the fact,
4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I just think it's	4	because they're looking for more of a buffer
5	critical to get input from people, and if	5	from Le Jeune Road.
6	and I would leave that up to Staff	6	A better design could have been made when
7	MR. TRIAS: Okay.	7	that building the pad of it could be further
8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: as to how to drive	8	back, maybe doing a land swamp to enrich our
9	that, but in this process, we have to get	9	pedestrians in
10	people to come and give input. So there's got	10	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, if the arcades
11	to be a mechanism.	11	are connected, you would be developing that
12	MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. We do have an	12	protected walkable system.
13	upcoming Town Hall Meeting. But one of the	13	MS. ANDERSON: Yeah, but it's not. You're
14	recurring themes that I hear from most	14	forced out towards the street at the corner
15	residents is the tininess of these sidewalks,	15	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yes, currently.
16	especially when you're dealing with large areas	16	MS. ANDERSON: and as it was originally
17	that people want to use to walk in front of.	17	designed, there wasn't even enough accessible
18	If we're going to encourage people to come into	18	space to get a wheelchair or a baby carriage
19	the buildings, to walk in front of the	19	down.
20	buildings, we need something more than a	20	With regard to the parking issue, I think
21	five-foot sidewalk, and depending on the	21	that varies depending on where you're at. If
22	street, if you're taking about Le Jeune Road,	22	you're next to the rail, your parking needs are
23	you need to even provide a little protection	23	going to be less than if you're further down
24	there from splashback from vehicles and trucks.	24	into the Ponce area. If you're right on Ponce,
25	A prime example of where that wasn't done	25	it might be less. If it's a Mixed-Use
	Page 47		Page 48
1	building, you know, you might have that	1	So those are my comments on those two. I
2	swap-off where the residents use the parking in	2	have lots of other comments, as we move on to
3	some hours and the businesses in others, but in	3	other issues here, such as open spaces, there's
4	some of our more recent projects in the North	4	green space. We need to provide more areas,
5	Gables, you have parking ratios that don't make	5	interior-wise, like it used to exist, like in
6	sense.	6	the old Florida National Bank, as it was. You
7	You already have a parking problem there	7	could go inside the arcades.
8	existing from buildings such as the historic	8	Even if you look at the San Sebastian
9	buildings, that don't have sufficient parking	9	building itself, it's changed dramatically over
10	for any cars, and if you have less than a one	10	time, and to use that as an example of
11	to one ratio, it's not going to work, because	11	Mediterranean design now, when it's been
12	many one bedrooms are filled with two people.	12	altered so significantly and lost a large
13	And as far as the trees, I made some	13	degree of its character, I think is improper,
14	comments about the bump-outs. We do need the	14	and we end up with this modern interpretation
15	trees. We do need the shade. You're not going	15	of Mediterranean, which really is not what I
16	to have the feel in the Downtown that you	16	think the founders had planned on.
17	normally would.	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
18	If you go to Washington, DC, if you go to	18	Maria.
19	some of these northern cities, their climate	19	MS. VELEZ: Following what Rhonda was
20	has kind of forced them to have large sidewalks	20	saying, I'm concerned also with the setbacks.
21	in order to push the snow, but during the	21	I don't like the narrow sidewalks. I think, if
22	summertime, it is bustling. If you go through	22	we focus on the pictures that you showed, for
23	Chicago, it is bustling, because you have space	23	instance, the wider sidewalks, with the
24	for people to move and not be shoulder to	24	setback, allows for the plantings in the area
	r-r	1	
25	shoulder on these sidewalks like we have here.	25	and allow for the trees to grow tall.

Page 49 Page 50 1 I'm concerned with the bumps outs, because 1 that, because it's different in each case. 2 I like them, they're nice, but at our last 2 MS. VELEZ: Agreed, a hundred percent. 3 3 meeting, we had a project in the North Ponce MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So that's a point at 4 4 area, and I'm concerned with how much or how which our work on the content of the Zoning 5 little parking the building was providing for 5 Code will at least feel like it falls short, 6 6 the tenants, and the concern there would be because that probably should be done first in 7 7 that those people, who lived in that building, an Urban Design Plan, that lays it out, rather 8 would need to park on the street, which is 8 than by Zoning category. 9 already full, because of all of the older 9 MR. TRIAS: But I would suggest that most 10 10 buildings that have no parking whatsoever. So of that problem is one Zoning category, which 11 11 is MF2, which is already one of the issues that when we begin to do the bump-out, we take away 12 what little there is. 12 you're tackling. 13 So it's a conflicting situation, because we 13 MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah. 14 14 want the green, we want the trees, but we also MR. TRIAS: For example, the parking, the 15 15 do need to understand that there are people who parking issue that you have expressed concern, 16 16 will continue to drive and that they need to that really relates to the North Ponce area, 17 17 drive, because they don't have adequate access which has MF2, so that there could be a 18 18 to transportation. different ratio, for example. I mean, those 19 MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So if I could use that 19 are the solutions that, I think, upon further 20 as an example. You've spoken about the parking 20 study, the consultant can give us ideas for 21 2.1 and the open space in several different best practices. 22 locations, and each one of them is different 22 MR. BEHAR: And those are more limited 23 23 enough, that having one Zoning rule for whether areas. When you look at more the Commercial, 24 you do a certain kind of setback and whether 24 the Mixed-Use, the CBD, you know, we don't have 25 25 that problem there. You know, in some areas, it's open space or not, really cannot deal with Page 51 Page 52 1 MR. TRIAS: And what I would say to you is, 1 you do. And I agree, in some areas, some 2 2 projects come in with very little parking, and I would remind you that the founders of the 3 3 those are, you know, up to this Board and the City did not have parking requirements. It was 4 4 Commission, maybe, not to accept the proposed in 1964 when that was established. And the 5 5 last time we reviewed it has been recently, but reduction, as they're doing it. 6 6 But in overall, I think that, you know, the there's room to certainly come up with a more 7 7 requirements for Coral Gables are far nuanced approach, I think. 8 8 MS. VELEZ: But the City has grown greater -- and I think Liz will attest to 9 9 that -- than most municipalities throughout the tremendously, probably, since the last time it 10 10 country. You know, we maybe have to look was looked at, and our population and the 11 11 density has tripled. forward and say, okay, that was good for a 12 12 MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: It might be interesting 1950s, you know, requirements, but today, you 13 13 on this topic to take a look at how much of the know, the requirements have changed. 14 14 MR. TRIAS: It's 1964. 1964 is the actual parking that exists is used. 15 15 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How will we see the date. 16 MR. BEHAR: 1964? Okay. I wasn't around. 16 changes? Are you going to do a strike out, a 17 17 red line? What format are you going to use? But we've got to look at it, you know, like 18 18 the rest of the country is looking at it. Or how are you going to provide that to us? 19 Something must be going on, that we need to 19 MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, you know, I 20 20 look at, as well. We cannot sit back and say, think, if what we did first was just move the 21 21 "Okay, you know, our founders, you know, did divisions and articles around in the way we've 22 22 proposed, we might produce that -- and no something back then," but, you know, I think 23 23 we've got to be more openminded and look at content changes, at some point you would have 24 24 what is happening in the whole country, and the to go back in and when it refers from one 25 25 whole world, for that matter, you know. division to another, you would have to revise

	Page 53		Page 54
1	those references, but I think we would our	1	strikethrough underline of any changes. So I
2	preference would be to hand you that revised	2	think we have to be very transparent and clear
3	document	3	on them.
4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Yeah.	4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. That's where I'm
5	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: not having done	5	going, actually.
6	anything to MF2 or the parking requirement or	6	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah.
7	the open space requirement, just this is all	7	MR. TRIAS: I think that my suggestion was
8	where it's all going to be, how does this feel,	8	to separate the re-arrangement and re-labeling,
9	what are the issues, what problems does that	9	because, to me, that's a very clear project,
10	raise, and iron that out, and then come back	10	but it's more complex than it appears, because
11	and deal with the actual content of it.	11	
12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But we'll be able to	12	everything is going to be re-numbered. All of
13	see a document where the changes are physically		a sudden, we need to make sure that it is
14	made?	13	internally consistent and so on, but if you're
15	MR. BEHAR: Not in the organization portion	14	comfortable with that, meaning we have better
16	of it, because I think that what you're doing	15	labels and better chapters, that's something
17	is just going to	16	you can vote on, and, then, later on, we can
18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Just not on the first	17	take, either as a whole, all of the changes, or
19	phase.	18	in pieces, depending on your comfort level.
20	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: No, we have a draft in	19	MR. BEHAR: So what you're asking from us
21	our office, which we haven't even read yet, of	20	today, you know, is for us to vote on the
22	moving everything around, and so we would take	21	format that is being proposed?
23	a look	22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not even a vote. It's
24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah.	23	just a recommendation.
25	MR. TRIAS: And then you've got to	24	MR. TRIAS: No, we're just talking about
23	MR. TRIAS. And then you've got to	25	recommendations. So if you agree with that,
	Page 55		Page 56
1	then the consultant will come back with the	1	of the people who use the Code all of the time,
2	actual re-arranged and re-labeled Code.	2	have already been part of the meetings.
3	MS. VELEZ: The organization part, I think,	3	We also had specific meetings with the BID,
4	is major, and you've done a really good job of	4	for example, a special meeting to deal with
5	putting things where they should be. I like	5	their issues. We also had a special meeting
6	it. I think it's going to be more user	6	about Mixed-Use issues. We've had some
7	friendly.	7	specialized meetings, and the issue, at the end
8	I also like the idea that the Chairman had	8	of the day, is, how much of the general public,
9	of getting a lot of input from people who	9	and what will be your preference, in terms of
10	actually will use this. I'm not using it on a	10	having that kind of input.
11	daily basis, but people who will use it on a	11	I mean, those are the specialized input. I
12	daily basis, and that's when we would have	12	think the consultant has been extremely helpful
13	additional input from other people.	13	in working with as many people as possible.
14	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, and I think we	14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what I recall from
15	have a good group in the Steering Committee and	15	last time, there were a lot of Site Specific
16	the Staff Committee	16	issues, Site Specific Standards
17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But outside. I'd	17	MR. BEHAR: That needs to come back.
18	really like to get people	18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That needs to come
19	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yes.	19	back, and I assume that, on those, you're going
20	MR. TRIAS: I want to assure you, we don't	20	to work with the City Attorney's Office, very
21	have a list of the people, but you would	21	closely on that.
22	recognize most of them, in the sense that they	22	MR. TRIAS: Yes.
23	tend to be the professionals and Robert can	23	MS. RAMOS: Most certainly, because the
24	explain, because he's been to some of the	24	last time we made changes to the Site
25	meetings the attorneys, the architects. All	25	Specifics

	Page 57		Page 58
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I recall that.	1	Miami, because that really did require starting
2	MS. RAMOS: the floodgates opened, so we	2	over, and it did not have the history of it
3	have to look at that.	3	didn't have the attention that you have to form
4	MR. TRIAS: I would even describe that as a	4	and design and landscape and the historical
5	third step. I mean, I would even separate	5	style of the City, its character.
6	that.	6	So all of those things are very carefully
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. It's just	7	written into the Code, and that's why we're
8	that I didn't see that in there, and that's	8	saying, that will all move with it. It's
9	why	9	moving laterally. We're not suggesting that
10	MR. TRIAS: It's there.	10	maybe later, when we get to the content, people
11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Oh, it is?	11	will tell us there's certain aspects of those
12	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: No, it is. It's part	12	that need to change, but you're concerned about
13	of the content, what we call the content. It's	13	things like excavation or docks or whatever
14	along with MF2, and, you know, the other kind	14	issues have their own place, that come from
15	of actual regulatory issues.	15	dealing with things and trying to prevent bad
16	So, you know, I think everybody thinks	16	things from happening.
17	no one, in the course of the many meetings that	17	So I think we're just saying, all of that
18	we've already had, has said, "Just start over."	18	stays, and, in particularly, probably the most
19	I think people understand that this is a	19	concern, in terms of pedantry, comes from
20	document, which has evolved over time and it	20	single-family residential owners, and that's
21	has a tremendous amount of the history of	21	been you've been working on that.
22	concern about quality of construction and the	22	MR. TRIAS: Right.
23	character of the City in it.	23	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: That stays. Pieces of
24	So we wouldn't even dream of trying to do	24	that may find a new location in the book
25	the kind of re-write we did for the City of	25	MR. TRIAS: I mean, I think that the Board
	Page 59		Page 60
1	and the Commission worked really hard on	1	and I think we're ready to deal with that with
2	fine-tuning, just fine-tuning, the		
	C , 3	2	you, you know, bring you options, but I think
3	single-family. That's the way I see the rest	2 3	you, you know, bring you options, but I think that you don't want to get into that until the
3 4			
	single-family. That's the way I see the rest	3	that you don't want to get into that until the
4	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging.	3 4	that you don't want to get into that until the format is
4 5	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging. MR. BEHAR: You know, we're working with	3 4 5	that you don't want to get into that until the format is  MR. BEHAR: The format is the fundamental
4 5 6	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging.  MR. BEHAR: You know, we're working with something that at least was done, unlike, you	3 4 5 6	that you don't want to get into that until the format is  MR. BEHAR: The format is the fundamental issue that we had, and I think we're getting
4 5 6 7	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging.  MR. BEHAR: You know, we're working with something that at least was done, unlike, you know, the City of Miami. You've got something	3 4 5 6 7	that you don't want to get into that until the format is  MR. BEHAR: The format is the fundamental issue that we had, and I think we're getting close to the Finish line.
4 5 6 7 8	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging.  MR. BEHAR: You know, we're working with something that at least was done, unlike, you know, the City of Miami. You've got something to work with, that needed clarification. You	3 4 5 6 7 8	that you don't want to get into that until the format is MR. BEHAR: The format is the fundamental issue that we had, and I think we're getting close to the Finish line. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The idea is there.
4 5 6 7 8 9	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging.  MR. BEHAR: You know, we're working with something that at least was done, unlike, you know, the City of Miami. You've got something to work with, that needed clarification. You did not start from scratch, you know.	3 4 5 6 7 8	that you don't want to get into that until the format is  MR. BEHAR: The format is the fundamental issue that we had, and I think we're getting close to the Finish line.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The idea is there.  MR. BEHAR: Yeah. I'm saying you know,
4 5 6 7 8 9	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging.  MR. BEHAR: You know, we're working with something that at least was done, unlike, you know, the City of Miami. You've got something to work with, that needed clarification. You did not start from scratch, you know.  And, again, and going back, I think this	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that you don't want to get into that until the format is  MR. BEHAR: The format is the fundamental issue that we had, and I think we're getting close to the Finish line.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The idea is there.  MR. BEHAR: Yeah. I'm saying you know, and I don't think it's necessarily good to do
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging.  MR. BEHAR: You know, we're working with something that at least was done, unlike, you know, the City of Miami. You've got something to work with, that needed clarification. You did not start from scratch, you know.  And, again, and going back, I think this process is going in the right direction. I'm	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that you don't want to get into that until the format is  MR. BEHAR: The format is the fundamental issue that we had, and I think we're getting close to the Finish line.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The idea is there.  MR. BEHAR: Yeah. I'm saying you know, and I don't think it's necessarily good to do one map. I think, maybe, you know, the six
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging.  MR. BEHAR: You know, we're working with something that at least was done, unlike, you know, the City of Miami. You've got something to work with, that needed clarification. You did not start from scratch, you know.  And, again, and going back, I think this process is going in the right direction. I'm in support of it. You know, as we go further	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that you don't want to get into that until the format is  MR. BEHAR: The format is the fundamental issue that we had, and I think we're getting close to the Finish line.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The idea is there.  MR. BEHAR: Yeah. I'm saying you know, and I don't think it's necessarily good to do one map. I think, maybe, you know, the six maps may be okay, but I think that, in each of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging.  MR. BEHAR: You know, we're working with something that at least was done, unlike, you know, the City of Miami. You've got something to work with, that needed clarification. You did not start from scratch, you know.  And, again, and going back, I think this process is going in the right direction. I'm in support of it. You know, as we go further down the line and, for example, one of the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that you don't want to get into that until the format is  MR. BEHAR: The format is the fundamental issue that we had, and I think we're getting close to the Finish line.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The idea is there.  MR. BEHAR: Yeah. I'm saying you know, and I don't think it's necessarily good to do one map. I think, maybe, you know, the six maps may be okay, but I think that, in each of those areas, it needs to be cleaned up a little
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging.  MR. BEHAR: You know, we're working with something that at least was done, unlike, you know, the City of Miami. You've got something to work with, that needed clarification. You did not start from scratch, you know.  And, again, and going back, I think this process is going in the right direction. I'm in support of it. You know, as we go further down the line and, for example, one of the exhibits that you showed, you know, the current	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that you don't want to get into that until the format is  MR. BEHAR: The format is the fundamental issue that we had, and I think we're getting close to the Finish line.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The idea is there.  MR. BEHAR: Yeah. I'm saying you know, and I don't think it's necessarily good to do one map. I think, maybe, you know, the six maps may be okay, but I think that, in each of those areas, it needs to be cleaned up a little bit.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging.  MR. BEHAR: You know, we're working with something that at least was done, unlike, you know, the City of Miami. You've got something to work with, that needed clarification. You did not start from scratch, you know.  And, again, and going back, I think this process is going in the right direction. I'm in support of it. You know, as we go further down the line and, for example, one of the exhibits that you showed, you know, the current Zoning Map, the Future Land Use, I think we	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that you don't want to get into that until the format is  MR. BEHAR: The format is the fundamental issue that we had, and I think we're getting close to the Finish line.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The idea is there.  MR. BEHAR: Yeah. I'm saying you know, and I don't think it's necessarily good to do one map. I think, maybe, you know, the six maps may be okay, but I think that, in each of those areas, it needs to be cleaned up a little bit.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, by six maps, I
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging.  MR. BEHAR: You know, we're working with something that at least was done, unlike, you know, the City of Miami. You've got something to work with, that needed clarification. You did not start from scratch, you know.  And, again, and going back, I think this process is going in the right direction. I'm in support of it. You know, as we go further down the line and, for example, one of the exhibits that you showed, you know, the current Zoning Map, the Future Land Use, I think we need to look at it, because you're going to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that you don't want to get into that until the format is  MR. BEHAR: The format is the fundamental issue that we had, and I think we're getting close to the Finish line.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The idea is there.  MR. BEHAR: Yeah. I'm saying you know, and I don't think it's necessarily good to do one map. I think, maybe, you know, the six maps may be okay, but I think that, in each of those areas, it needs to be cleaned up a little bit.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, by six maps, I meant, in one area, because they have
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging.  MR. BEHAR: You know, we're working with something that at least was done, unlike, you know, the City of Miami. You've got something to work with, that needed clarification. You did not start from scratch, you know.  And, again, and going back, I think this process is going in the right direction. I'm in support of it. You know, as we go further down the line and, for example, one of the exhibits that you showed, you know, the current Zoning Map, the Future Land Use, I think we need to look at it, because you're going to find and what I would recommend to you, as	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that you don't want to get into that until the format is  MR. BEHAR: The format is the fundamental issue that we had, and I think we're getting close to the Finish line.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The idea is there.  MR. BEHAR: Yeah. I'm saying you know, and I don't think it's necessarily good to do one map. I think, maybe, you know, the six maps may be okay, but I think that, in each of those areas, it needs to be cleaned up a little bit.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, by six maps, I meant, in one area, because they have contradictory FARs and heights and things.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging.  MR. BEHAR: You know, we're working with something that at least was done, unlike, you know, the City of Miami. You've got something to work with, that needed clarification. You did not start from scratch, you know.  And, again, and going back, I think this process is going in the right direction. I'm in support of it. You know, as we go further down the line and, for example, one of the exhibits that you showed, you know, the current Zoning Map, the Future Land Use, I think we need to look at it, because you're going to find and what I would recommend to you, as you come back to us, is, you know, you're	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that you don't want to get into that until the format is  MR. BEHAR: The format is the fundamental issue that we had, and I think we're getting close to the Finish line.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The idea is there.  MR. BEHAR: Yeah. I'm saying you know, and I don't think it's necessarily good to do one map. I think, maybe, you know, the six maps may be okay, but I think that, in each of those areas, it needs to be cleaned up a little bit.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, by six maps, I meant, in one area, because they have contradictory FARs and heights and things.  MR. BEHAR: Exactly. Okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging.  MR. BEHAR: You know, we're working with something that at least was done, unlike, you know, the City of Miami. You've got something to work with, that needed clarification. You did not start from scratch, you know.  And, again, and going back, I think this process is going in the right direction. I'm in support of it. You know, as we go further down the line and, for example, one of the exhibits that you showed, you know, the current Zoning Map, the Future Land Use, I think we need to look at it, because you're going to find and what I would recommend to you, as you come back to us, is, you know, you're going to see pockets that are not consistent.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that you don't want to get into that until the format is  MR. BEHAR: The format is the fundamental issue that we had, and I think we're getting close to the Finish line.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The idea is there.  MR. BEHAR: Yeah. I'm saying you know, and I don't think it's necessarily good to do one map. I think, maybe, you know, the six maps may be okay, but I think that, in each of those areas, it needs to be cleaned up a little bit.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, by six maps, I meant, in one area, because they have contradictory FARs and heights and things.  MR. BEHAR: Exactly. Okay.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It needs to be user
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging.  MR. BEHAR: You know, we're working with something that at least was done, unlike, you know, the City of Miami. You've got something to work with, that needed clarification. You did not start from scratch, you know.  And, again, and going back, I think this process is going in the right direction. I'm in support of it. You know, as we go further down the line and, for example, one of the exhibits that you showed, you know, the current Zoning Map, the Future Land Use, I think we need to look at it, because you're going to find and what I would recommend to you, as you come back to us, is, you know, you're going to see pockets that are not consistent. How do we make clear those areas, that, you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that you don't want to get into that until the format is  MR. BEHAR: The format is the fundamental issue that we had, and I think we're getting close to the Finish line.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The idea is there.  MR. BEHAR: Yeah. I'm saying you know, and I don't think it's necessarily good to do one map. I think, maybe, you know, the six maps may be okay, but I think that, in each of those areas, it needs to be cleaned up a little bit.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, by six maps, I meant, in one area, because they have contradictory FARs and heights and things.  MR. BEHAR: Exactly. Okay.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It needs to be user friendly more so than the Code is today. You
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging.  MR. BEHAR: You know, we're working with something that at least was done, unlike, you know, the City of Miami. You've got something to work with, that needed clarification. You did not start from scratch, you know.  And, again, and going back, I think this process is going in the right direction. I'm in support of it. You know, as we go further down the line and, for example, one of the exhibits that you showed, you know, the current Zoning Map, the Future Land Use, I think we need to look at it, because you're going to find and what I would recommend to you, as you come back to us, is, you know, you're going to see pockets that are not consistent. How do we make clear those areas, that, you know, in one block you have	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that you don't want to get into that until the format is  MR. BEHAR: The format is the fundamental issue that we had, and I think we're getting close to the Finish line.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The idea is there.  MR. BEHAR: Yeah. I'm saying you know, and I don't think it's necessarily good to do one map. I think, maybe, you know, the six maps may be okay, but I think that, in each of those areas, it needs to be cleaned up a little bit.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, by six maps, I meant, in one area, because they have contradictory FARs and heights and things.  MR. BEHAR: Exactly. Okay.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It needs to be user friendly more so than the Code is today. You know, that's one of the big complaints that I
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	single-family. That's the way I see the rest of the Code, just fine-tuning and rearranging.  MR. BEHAR: You know, we're working with something that at least was done, unlike, you know, the City of Miami. You've got something to work with, that needed clarification. You did not start from scratch, you know.  And, again, and going back, I think this process is going in the right direction. I'm in support of it. You know, as we go further down the line and, for example, one of the exhibits that you showed, you know, the current Zoning Map, the Future Land Use, I think we need to look at it, because you're going to find and what I would recommend to you, as you come back to us, is, you know, you're going to see pockets that are not consistent. How do we make clear those areas, that, you know, in one block you have	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that you don't want to get into that until the format is  MR. BEHAR: The format is the fundamental issue that we had, and I think we're getting close to the Finish line.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The idea is there.  MR. BEHAR: Yeah. I'm saying you know, and I don't think it's necessarily good to do one map. I think, maybe, you know, the six maps may be okay, but I think that, in each of those areas, it needs to be cleaned up a little bit.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Well, by six maps, I meant, in one area, because they have contradictory FARs and heights and things.  MR. BEHAR: Exactly. Okay.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It needs to be user friendly more so than the Code is today. You know, that's one of the big complaints that I have heard about the Zoning Code.

	Page 61		Page 62
1	whenever that takes place, with the	1	MR. BEHAR: Information meeting.
2	organization as being proposed.	2	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, because we don't have the
3	MR. TRIAS: Okay. I'm sure we can work	3	red line version yet.
4	that pretty soon. I mean, we'll have to	4	MS. ANDERSON: Well, I understand, but what
5	discuss the timing, but I think that, in terms	5	are you going to show them at that meeting? If
6	of concept, it's already well-designed and it's	6	you just tell them, "We're playing with the
7	a matter of getting it ready for you.	7	Code," they're going to get concerned.
8	MR. BEHAR: You know, to follow-up on Maria	8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think it's more to
9	and Eibi's suggestion, I know I've been to, I	9	get additional input at this stage that we're
10	think it's two or three meetings, and I'm	10	at.
11	familiar with it, maybe we need to do a	11	MR. TRIAS: Right.
12	courtesy meeting to the community and to the	12	MS. ANDERSON: A wish list?
13	residents and to the other professionals, just	13	MR. BEHAR: I don't think a wish list is
14	to make sure that we cannot nobody comes	14	because I think that if you put to out to do a
15	back and says	15	wish list, we're going to be here for the next
16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: "We didn't know about	16	five years doing this.
17	this."	17	MS. ANDERSON: No, I understand, but
18	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, we can have a Town Hall	18	that's what I'm saying, we need a defined
19	style meeting, that is advertised, and we send	19	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: What you want to do is
20	letters to people and so on.	20	preclude people saying, "We didn't know you
21	MS. ANDERSON: And if people can also see	21	shouldn't be doing this. So you shouldn't be
22	the red line version versus, you know, the	22	doing it, because we didn't know."
23	product	23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. Thank you.
24	MR. TRIAS: That will be later. I think we	24	And I think, as we get further along in the
25	need to have a meeting for just general ideas.	25	process and the red line version comes out, we
23	need to have a meeting for just general ideas.		
	Page 63		Page 64
1	will proceed accordingly with that.	1	about references and so on.
2	MS. ANDERSON: Right.	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood.
3	When do you foresee the red line version	3	MR. TRIAS: But no content.
4	being available?	4	MR. BEHAR: Now, if that is as simple as
5	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Okay. So I'm getting	5	that, I don't know
6	nervous about calling it a red line version,		
7		6	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, as simple as that.
,	because I think it probably would be the new	6 7	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, as simple as that. There's no tricks here. It's just very
8			-
	because I think it probably would be the new	7	There's no tricks here. It's just very
8	because I think it probably would be the new text, which has no content changes, and maybe	7 8	There's no tricks here. It's just very straight-forward, very transparent, you know.
8 9	because I think it probably would be the new text, which has no content changes, and maybe the diagram that shows you where everything	7 8 9	There's no tricks here. It's just very straight-forward, very transparent, you know.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So it may be rough in
8 9 10	because I think it probably would be the new text, which has no content changes, and maybe the diagram that shows you where everything went.	7 8 9 10	There's no tricks here. It's just very straight-forward, very transparent, you know.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So it may be rough in language, because it's just moved completely.
8 9 10 11	because I think it probably would be the new text, which has no content changes, and maybe the diagram that shows you where everything went.  MS. ANDERSON: Okay.	7 8 9 10	There's no tricks here. It's just very straight-forward, very transparent, you know.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So it may be rough in language, because it's just moved completely.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. But then your
8 9 10 11 12	because I think it probably would be the new text, which has no content changes, and maybe the diagram that shows you where everything went.  MS. ANDERSON: Okay.  MR. BEHAR: So, if I understand you	7 8 9 10 11 12	There's no tricks here. It's just very straight-forward, very transparent, you know.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So it may be rough in language, because it's just moved completely.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. But then your idea works.
8 9 10 11 12 13	because I think it probably would be the new text, which has no content changes, and maybe the diagram that shows you where everything went.  MS. ANDERSON: Okay.  MR. BEHAR: So, if I understand you correctly, there are no changes in the text	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	There's no tricks here. It's just very straight-forward, very transparent, you know.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So it may be rough in language, because it's just moved completely.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. But then your idea works.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Then we can address it,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	because I think it probably would be the new text, which has no content changes, and maybe the diagram that shows you where everything went.  MS. ANDERSON: Okay.  MR. BEHAR: So, if I understand you correctly, there are no changes in the text language. The only change is where it's	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	There's no tricks here. It's just very straight-forward, very transparent, you know.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So it may be rough in language, because it's just moved completely.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. But then your idea works.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Then we can address it, but I think actually showing the fact that it's
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	because I think it probably would be the new text, which has no content changes, and maybe the diagram that shows you where everything went.  MS. ANDERSON: Okay.  MR. BEHAR: So, if I understand you correctly, there are no changes in the text language. The only change is where it's placed?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	There's no tricks here. It's just very straight-forward, very transparent, you know.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So it may be rough in language, because it's just moved completely.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. But then your idea works.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Then we can address it, but I think actually showing the fact that it's moved intact is probably important.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	because I think it probably would be the new text, which has no content changes, and maybe the diagram that shows you where everything went.  MS. ANDERSON: Okay.  MR. BEHAR: So, if I understand you correctly, there are no changes in the text language. The only change is where it's placed?  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: That's what we would be	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	There's no tricks here. It's just very straight-forward, very transparent, you know.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So it may be rough in language, because it's just moved completely.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. But then your idea works.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Then we can address it, but I think actually showing the fact that it's moved intact is probably important.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because the last time
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	because I think it probably would be the new text, which has no content changes, and maybe the diagram that shows you where everything went.  MS. ANDERSON: Okay.  MR. BEHAR: So, if I understand you correctly, there are no changes in the text language. The only change is where it's placed?  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: That's what we would be trying to do, except for the reference to where	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	There's no tricks here. It's just very straight-forward, very transparent, you know.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So it may be rough in language, because it's just moved completely.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. But then your idea works.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Then we can address it, but I think actually showing the fact that it's moved intact is probably important.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because the last time we sat here and did these, what we call the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	because I think it probably would be the new text, which has no content changes, and maybe the diagram that shows you where everything went.  MS. ANDERSON: Okay.  MR. BEHAR: So, if I understand you correctly, there are no changes in the text language. The only change is where it's placed?  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: That's what we would be trying to do, except for the reference to where it's going. You know, when it say, as in —	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	There's no tricks here. It's just very straight-forward, very transparent, you know.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So it may be rough in language, because it's just moved completely.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. But then your idea works.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Then we can address it, but I think actually showing the fact that it's moved intact is probably important.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because the last time we sat here and did these, what we call the Zoning Code Re-write, it was item per item,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	because I think it probably would be the new text, which has no content changes, and maybe the diagram that shows you where everything went.  MS. ANDERSON: Okay.  MR. BEHAR: So, if I understand you correctly, there are no changes in the text language. The only change is where it's placed?  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: That's what we would be trying to do, except for the reference to where it's going. You know, when it say, as in  MR. TRIAS: Every article is to be	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	There's no tricks here. It's just very straight-forward, very transparent, you know.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So it may be rough in language, because it's just moved completely.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. But then your idea works.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Then we can address it, but I think actually showing the fact that it's moved intact is probably important.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because the last time we sat here and did these, what we call the Zoning Code Re-write, it was item per item, line by line, page by page, that we went
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	because I think it probably would be the new text, which has no content changes, and maybe the diagram that shows you where everything went.  MS. ANDERSON: Okay.  MR. BEHAR: So, if I understand you correctly, there are no changes in the text language. The only change is where it's placed?  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: That's what we would be trying to do, except for the reference to where it's going. You know, when it say, as in  MR. TRIAS: Every article is to be renumbered. So there are many references and	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	There's no tricks here. It's just very straight-forward, very transparent, you know.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So it may be rough in language, because it's just moved completely.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. But then your idea works.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Then we can address it, but I think actually showing the fact that it's moved intact is probably important.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because the last time we sat here and did these, what we call the Zoning Code Re-write, it was item per item, line by line, page by page, that we went through it that pertained to —
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	because I think it probably would be the new text, which has no content changes, and maybe the diagram that shows you where everything went.  MS. ANDERSON: Okay.  MR. BEHAR: So, if I understand you correctly, there are no changes in the text language. The only change is where it's placed?  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: That's what we would be trying to do, except for the reference to where it's going. You know, when it say, as in  MR. TRIAS: Every article is to be renumbered. So there are many references and so	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	There's no tricks here. It's just very straight-forward, very transparent, you know.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So it may be rough in language, because it's just moved completely.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. But then your idea works.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Then we can address it, but I think actually showing the fact that it's moved intact is probably important.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because the last time we sat here and did these, what we call the Zoning Code Re-write, it was item per item, line by line, page by page, that we went through it that pertained to —  MR. BEHAR: And, Eibi, that may come later,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	because I think it probably would be the new text, which has no content changes, and maybe the diagram that shows you where everything went.  MS. ANDERSON: Okay.  MR. BEHAR: So, if I understand you correctly, there are no changes in the text language. The only change is where it's placed?  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: That's what we would be trying to do, except for the reference to where it's going. You know, when it say, as in  MR. TRIAS: Every article is to be renumbered. So there are many references and so  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand. But	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	There's no tricks here. It's just very straight-forward, very transparent, you know.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So it may be rough in language, because it's just moved completely.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. But then your idea works.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Then we can address it, but I think actually showing the fact that it's moved intact is probably important.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because the last time we sat here and did these, what we call the Zoning Code Re-write, it was item per item, line by line, page by page, that we went through it that pertained to  MR. BEHAR: And, Eibi, that may come later, when there's actual content changes. Right now
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	because I think it probably would be the new text, which has no content changes, and maybe the diagram that shows you where everything went.  MS. ANDERSON: Okay.  MR. BEHAR: So, if I understand you correctly, there are no changes in the text language. The only change is where it's placed?  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: That's what we would be trying to do, except for the reference to where it's going. You know, when it say, as in  MR. TRIAS: Every article is to be renumbered. So there are many references and so  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand. But there's no text change?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	There's no tricks here. It's just very straight-forward, very transparent, you know.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So it may be rough in language, because it's just moved completely.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. But then your idea works.  MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Then we can address it, but I think actually showing the fact that it's moved intact is probably important.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because the last time we sat here and did these, what we call the Zoning Code Re-write, it was item per item, line by line, page by page, that we went through it that pertained to —  MR. BEHAR: And, Eibi, that may come later, when there's actual content changes. Right now we're not doing that, from what I understand.

	Page 65		Page 66
1	remains intact, and that's what you're using	1	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: You know, I think if we
2	while we're doing all of this.	2	do things like cross out the article on DRIs,
3	MR. TRIAS: Right. Right.	3	that would move or be pushed to the end and
4	MS. ANDERSON: I did have a couple of more	4	literally crossed out, because there are a few
5	comments. You had a question, that was written	5	things like that that just don't apply anymore.
6	in on the PowerPoint, under Division 3.10,	6	We do know that.
7	Transfer of Development Rights, and you said,	7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other comments at
8	"Verify that it stays here rather than moved to	8	this stage?
9	Article 8, Historic Preservation."	9	MS. ANDERSON: Not at this stage, no.
10	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah. That one, in	10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Maria?
11	particular, I think we understand stays with	11	MS. VELEZ: No.
12	the process and doesn't go with Historic	12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, do you need
13	Preservation.	13	anything further from us?
14	MS. ANDERSON: Right, because we're also	14	
15	talking about green space, when we were talking	15	MR. TRIAS: No. Thank you very much. And I think we'll bring you back Liz, do you
16	about TDRs.		
17	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Yeah. We had a few	16	have any idea of the time frame, just roughly,
18	other questions we have to go through, like	17	for the re-arrange?
19	there's a DRI Chapter and there's no more DRIs.	18	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: How often do you have
20	MR. TRIAS: Right. That's not ready for	19	meetings?
21	you to take action. When you get it	20	MR. TRIAS: Once a month.
22	MS. ANDERSON: Oh, okay. I thought it was	21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Every day.
23	my question.	22	MR. TRIAS: Certainly we can follow the
24	MR. TRIAS: Right.	23	Chairman's idea of three meetings every
25	MS. ANDERSON: Okay.	24	month
	NB. TH WEIGHT. Only.	25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, no. What I was
	Page 67		Page 68
1	saying is, that's what transpired	1	up. I would be mindful of those dates, to be
2	MR. BEHAR: But we did that. For every	2	able to get as much input as you can.
3	week, we had a meeting that we went to. I'm	3	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So we could take this
4	not doing that.	4	presentation that we did today to a Town Hall
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Until midnight.	5	meeting, or if we want to do the book, that
6	MR. BEHAR: I will resign from the Board.	6	would probably push it close to the holidays
7	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, what I would say	7	and you may want to push it into the New Year.
8	is that, because of that very, very good work	8	MR. TRIAS: I think you should take this
9	you did, now we only have to do about ten	9	presentation and try to simply allow for
10	percent of the work, because it's really	10	comment, and think in terms of maybe January or
11	rearranging and then changing some details.	11	February for the first time that it comes back
12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, it was really	12	to you, in terms of re-organization.
13	Staff, the Commission. It was a unified	13	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: As a book.
14	project. I think it was a culmination of	14	MR. TRIAS: And then think about another
15	everybody working hard and putting the time in.	15	three or four months of basically discussion to
16	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: You know, I think the	16	come up with the changes. I mean, that's the
17	timing question is, do we bring that document	17	way I would see it. And if you need more time,
		18	you need more time.
18	to you first and then it goes to that		CITATON (AND ATTENDED AT C. 11 1 1 1
18 19	to you first and then it goes to that whatever the Town Hall meeting is after that?	19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So you would schedule
		19 20	a Town Hall meeting what month, probably?
19	whatever the Town Hall meeting is after that?		·
19 20	whatever the Town Hall meeting is after that?  MR. TRIAS: My thinking is, we can probably	20	a Town Hall meeting what month, probably?
19 20 21	whatever the Town Hall meeting is after that?  MR. TRIAS: My thinking is, we can probably have a Town Hall meeting relatively soon, and,	20 21	a Town Hall meeting what month, probably?  MR. TRIAS: As soon as we can. I mean, I
19 20 21 22	whatever the Town Hall meeting is after that?  MR. TRIAS: My thinking is, we can probably have a Town Hall meeting relatively soon, and, for example, a January meeting for the	20 21 22	a Town Hall meeting what month, probably?  MR. TRIAS: As soon as we can. I mean, I would try to do it before the holidays,
19 20 21 22 23	whatever the Town Hall meeting is after that?  MR. TRIAS: My thinking is, we can probably have a Town Hall meeting relatively soon, and, for example, a January meeting for the re-arranged Code will be to me	20 21 22 23	a Town Hall meeting what month, probably?  MR. TRIAS: As soon as we can. I mean, I would try to do it before the holidays, obviously. So I would try to schedule it soon,

	Page 69		Page 70
1	show up, and then we may need to have another	1	Okay. Any other comments?
2	meeting, or not. Or it could be like tonight's	2	MR. BEHAR: No.
3	meeting. We'll see.	3	MS. ANDERSON: None.
4	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So mid November or	4	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: So this presentation
5	early November.	5	would be good for the Town Hall?
6	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, that would be my	6	MS. VELEZ: It's a start, and a way to get
7	recommendation at this point, if you feel	7	people thinking and to start listening to
8	comfortable with that timing, with the hope of	8	what's out there.
9	coming back after the holidays with something	9	MS. PLATER-ZYBERK: Thank you very much.
10	for you to take action.	10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other comments?
11	And I think, at that point, is when you	11	No?
12	will see more interest, when you start taking	12	I'd like to thank you very much for coming,
13	action.	13	Commissioner. Thank you for joining us
14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.	14	tonight.
15	And where would those Town Hall meetings	15	I guess we're adjourned.
16	take place?	16	MR. TRIAS: Thank you very much.
17	MR. TRIAS: Well, we had a meeting last	17	MS. VELEZ: Thank you. Thank you, Liz.
18	night at the Youth Center, and that seems a	18	(Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at
19	good venue.	19	7:20 p.m.)
20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. It's a very	20	7.20 p.m.)
21	good venue.	21	
22	MR. TRIAS: Yeah.	22	
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. I was just	23	
24	thinking, not the engineering building or so	24	
25	forth. The Youth Center is good.	25	
		25	
	Page 71		
1	CERTIFICATE		
2			
3	STATE OF FLORIDA:		
4	SS.		
5	COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:		
6			
7			
8			
9	I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary		
10	Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby		
11	certify that I was authorized to and did		
12	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and		
13	that the transcript is a true and complete record of my		
14 15	stenographic notes.		
16	DATED this 26th day of October, 2018.		
17	DATED this 20th day of Octoor, 2016.		
18			
19	SIGNATURE ON FILE		
20			
	NIEVES SANCHEZ		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			