1	CITY OF CORAL GABLES
2	HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD MEETING
3	
4	EXCERPT RE: 649 PALMARITO COURT
5	
6	
7	405 BILTMORE WAY, FIRST FLOOR
8	CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA
9	and
LO	VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE
L1	
L2	
L3	DECEMBER 16, 2020
L 4	
L 5	
L 6	
L7	
L 8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2.5	

1	PARTICIPANTS
2	
3	Albert Menendez, Chairperson
4	Cesar Garcia-Pons, Vice Chairperson
5	John P. Fullerton, Board Member
6	Bruce Ehrenhaft, Board Member
7	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Board Member
8	Xavier Durana, Board Member
9	Dona Spain, Board Member
10	Margaret A. "Peggy" Rolando
11	
12	Kara N. Kautz, Historic Preservation Officer
13	Elizabeth B. Guin, Historic Preservation Coordinator
14	Gustavo Ceballos, Esq., Assistant City Attorney
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Excerpt of Historical Preservation Board Meeting
2	December 16, 2020
3	* * * *
4	CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: The next item is Case File
5	LHD 2020-008: Consideration of the local historic
6	designation of the property at 649 Palmarito Court,
7	legally described as Lots 18 and 19, Block 139,
8	Coral Gables Country Club Section Part Six,
9	according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat
10	Book 20, at Page 1 of the Public Records of
11	Miami-Dade County, Florida.
12	MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. In a similar fashion
13	as the previous, we have a PowerPoint with a
14	voiceover for this property.
15	POWERPOINT VOICEOVER: The property at 649
16	Palmarito Court is before you for consideration for
17	designation as a local historic landmark. The
18	board submitted the historical significance request
19	in August 2020. In October we directed staff to
20	prepare a designation report.
21	The current owners purchased the property in
22	2007. As per Article 3, Section 3-1103 of the
23	Coral Gables zoning code, criteria for designation
24	of historic landmarks, a local historic landmark
25	must have significant character, interest, or value

1	as part of a historical, cultural, archeological,
2	aesthetic, or architectural heritage of the city,
3	state, or nation. For designation, a property must
4	meet one of the criteria as outlined in the code.

2.1

649 Palmarito Court is eligible as a local historic landmark based on three significant criteria.

Historical cultural significance, Criteria 4, exemplifies the historical, cultural, political, economic or social trends of the community.

Architectural significance, Criteria 1, it portrays the environment in an era of history characterized by the one or more distinctive architectural styles.

Criteria 2, it embodies those distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style or period or method of construction.

And as per Article 3, Section 3-1104 C3 of the Coral Gables Zoning Code, designation procedures, decision of the board:

If after a public hearing the board finds that the proposed local historic landmark or local meets the criteria set forth in Section 3-1103, it shall designate the property as a local historic landmark.

L	The single-family home at 649 Palmarito Court
2	is located on a corner lot, the northeast
3	intersection of Palmarito Court and Palmarito
1	Street one block north of University Drive. The
5	lot is 100 feet by 105 feet.

This Neoclassical-style home was designed in 1940 by William Shanklin for owner/builder George E. Batcheller. The first residents were George Simpson and Minnie Wilcox Simpson.

Coral Gables' developmental history is divided into three major historical periods. George

Merrick founded it in the early 1920s based on his vision for a fully-conceived Mediterranean-inspired city.

The architecture constructed during this initial period of development provide elements commonly used in Spanish, Moorish, and Italian architecture and has come to be known as the Mediterranean Revival style. During the 1920s structures and amenities were built almost exclusively in accordance with this style.

The construction of this home occurred during the city's second developmental period at the end of the New Deal era, when the city began to embrace contemporary styles that followed national trends.

In Coral Gables, the dire downturn in the economy coming closely on the heels of the devastating 1926 hurricane had a drastic impact on the construction. Not only did the number of new houses greatly decrease, but the types and the styles of homes also changed.

2.1

2.2

You can see here the precipitous dollar value decline of permits issued in the late 1920s and the slow recovery during the 1930s, until 1942, at which time all efforts and materials were diverted to the war efforts.

In the late 1930s the building industry began to regain some footing and with the assistance of New Deal relief measures. When the construction of homes began again in the late 1930s, people had adjusted to a new way of life and their parties and aesthetics had changed. This was reflected in all aspects of life, including the types of homes that were built.

In Coral Gables, there was a concerted trend to follow national home-building trends. In this six-year transitional period, between 1936 and 1941, there were approximately 700 homes built in the city.

The historic surveys of Coral Gables indicate

that, during this period, it shifted away from the Mediterranean Revival style homes towards minimal traditional, Neoclassical, and masonry vernacular styles, along with a few Art Moderne and early traditional custom ranch houses.

2.1

The single-family home at 649 Palmarito Court built in 1940 in the Neoclassical style was amongst the homes that ushered the new architectural era into the city and set the trend for the post-war home-building boom.

Coral Gables was originally conceived as a suburb of Miami and attracted investors from across the nation during the first phase of building in the 1920s. The builder of this home, George Batcheller, with his partner, Joseph Kresse, were amongst those drawn to Coral Gables.

Founder George Merrick drew from the Garden City the City Beautiful movements of the 19th and early 20th century to create his vision for a fully-conceived Mediterranean-inspired city, which is now considered one of the first modern-planned communities in the United States.

Forest Hills Gardens, which was in the New York City borough of Queens was one of the communities that George Merrick studied when

developing his plan for Coral Gables. And years later, he stated that it had the most influence on his vision.

2.1

Forest Hills designed in 1909 by Architect

Grosvenor Atterbury and Landscape Architect

Frederick Law Olmsted, Jr., was one of the earliest

and still considered one of the greatest garden

city communities of this period, that purposely

demonstrate the latest ideas of town-planning,

housing, open space, and building construction.

Both Kresse and Batcheller resided in Forest
Hills, and Batcheller was a well-established
builder and designer there. It is likely that they
fully grasped Merrick's vision for Coral Gables.

In the mid 1920s Merrick announced plans for a premiere hotel and a redesigned golf course in Section H. At this time Sections G, H, and I were primarily undeveloped and were re-worked to accommodate the large \$10 million Biltmore Hotel complex.

These three sections were divided into six sections and renamed Country Club Sections Part One through Six, and additional scenic boulevards were added which included Anastasia Avenue, Ocean Beach Drive, which was later renamed University Drive,

1 and Segovia Street.

2.1

2.2

You can see some of these changes on the two maps dated from 1922 and 1925. The home at 649

Palmarito Court was built in this area in Country

Club Section Six, which is outlined in blue.

In late 1925 Joseph Kresse and George

Batcheller committed to building 40 homes in Coral

Gables in the undeveloped Riviera section just

south of Bird Road between the golf course and

Riviera Drive.

They hired architects Robert Law Weed and R.

A. Klingbeil. As a team they built the first homes in the Riviera section. These included several of the homes in the Italian Village Historic District.

Newspaper accounts indicate that, after the first few homes were built, there was a demand for more of their homes, and they took on additional individual commissions.

In 1928, Batcheller, who now resided in Coral Gables, decided to re-invest his earnings and purchased 50 lots in the Country Club sections, essentially expanding his efforts north of Cross Bird (phonetic) Road.

He began developing it in a manner consistent with Merrick's upscale plans for these sections

adjacent to the Biltmore. Unfortunately, the downturn of the economy halted these plans.

The Country Club Section Part six is located east of the Biltmore Golf Course. It is bounded by Anastasia Avenue, LeJeune Road, Bird Road, and Anderson Road. It is bifurcated by the curving University Drive, as seen here on the plat map.

There were only a handful of homes constructed in this section during the early 1020s. The emphasis on developing it as a prominent section coincided with the construction of the Biltmore complex.

Global undertakings were during this initial development of the home at 711 University Drive, at the northwest corner of the intersection of Palmarito Street and University Drive, where George Merrick's sister Ethel and her husband Edmond Bishop.

The initial construction of French Normandy
Village and the Anastasia Hotel started
construction in 1925 and was completed in 1926 by
the University of Miami.

In 1940 after a few private commissions Batcheller decided to resume building on the remainder of his 50 lots in the Country Club

1 section.

2.1

His choice for the first of these new homes was on the prominent corner of 649 Palmarito Court. It was built in the Neoclassical style who's formality and importance reflected an upwardly mobile lifestyle. And he engaged Architect William Shanklin Jr., who was well versed in this style, to design the home.

Shanklin moved to the Miami area in 1926 after earning his architecture degree from Cornell University and practiced with John Bullen and Walter DeGarmo, who was a member of Merrick's original design team.

In 1935 Shanklin opened his own firm in Coral Gables. Shanklin designed projects through the greater Miami area, but the core of his practice was within the city. It appeared that he was particularly devoted to revitalizing Coral Gables.

Between 1935 to his death in 1946, he designed approximately 100 new single-family residences. Four of those homes are currently designated as individual historic landmarks in the city, and another nine are contributing resources in historic districts. Shanklin also has projects listed on the historic registers of Miami Beach and Miami

1 Shores.

2.1

Neoclassical style is an eclectic classical style arising from the 1893 World's Columbian Exposition. The most common application of the style was for public and commercial buildings and for larger or more affluent domestic homes. It was a leading style for domestic architecture throughout the country during the first half the 20th century.

The dominant characteristic and driving force of Neoclassical design is it's proportion and asymmetry. Neoclassical architecture includes simple geometric forms, symmetry, and balance with austere ornamentation drawn from the classical orders.

At its inception in the late 19th century, these stately homes presented a dramatic contrast to the Baroque and Rococo styles' elaborate ornamentation, asymmetry, curves, moldings, and serpentine lines. In Coral Gables it offered a similar contrast to the Mediterranean Revival style.

The style with its uncluttered appearance and grandeur of scale most often features full-height or full-facade front porches, elaborate front

doors, large columns with classical capitals, with flat or low roof lines.

Noted architectural historian Vincent Scully described the domestic Neoclassical-style homes as intending to be austere symbols of affluence, and their formality and importance seemed to suggest a upwardly mobile lifestyle.

The home was built in 1940 by owner/builder
Batcheller Properties. The permit was issued in
May. Building permits indicate that, by
September of 1940, the property had been sold, and
the new owners, George and Minnie Simpson, were in
residence. It was the Simpsons' home for the next
48 years.

George was an attorney and Minnie was
extremely active in the Daughters of the American
Revolution at both the local and state level. She
was a direct descendant of Oliver Wolcott, a

Declaration of Independence signer. Both were
leaders in the community, and newspaper accounts
reflect that the Simpsons frequently hosted events
in their stately home.

Neoclassical style first appeared at Coral Gables in the late 1930s and became popular in the city after World War II. Shanklin was well versed

in Neoclassical style and produced some of the city's earliest examples.

Built in 1940, the home at 649 Palmarito Court is a significant example of an early

Neoclassical-style home in Coral Gables and exemplifies the type of prominent home that was built during the New Deal era.

Hallmark and character-defining features are visual and physical features that give a building its identity and distinctive character. This home includes many defining features of a Neoclassical house. It clearly exhibits the hallmark character-defining elements including a symmetrical purity and balance that reiterate the concepts of simplicity and order; a dominant rounded protocol comprised of an even number of two-story slender classical columns supporting a flat roof and a molded entablature; elaborate front doorway with fanlight with radiating muntins, sidelights, niches and molded framing; and evenly spaced windows across the front facade flanked by louvered shutters.

The home also possesses the following character-defining features: It is rectangular in the form of a large central mass flanked by lower

bays imparting an aesthetic symmetry. It has low-pitched and flat roofs, with boxed eaves with moderate overhangs and molded cornices.

It has smooth-textured stucco, rectangular windows with divides panes, paired windows on the front facade, molded cornices on one-story bays, an attached garage with a side entry as to not impair the symmetry of the front facade, and a two-car garage with one large door.

The next set of slides I'll walk you around the home. It should be stated that staff did not have access to the site, and the photos are courtesy of the owner or from Google Earth. In this image, you can see how the home is cited on the corner lot.

Here are detailed photos of the hallmark two-story front portico feature. The columns and the classical doorway, the brick detailing over the door, and the current steps further emphasize it. The planter box above the entrance and the two smaller windows together as one feature to provide visual balance to the front door ensemble.

This side elevation faces Palmarito Street.

Note the modern feature of an attached garage,

which is a marked departure from the detached

garages of Mediterranean Revival homes. Also note the shutters on the street-facing elevation.

Moving around to the rear of the home, these photos provide further perspective on the citing of the home on the property. The aerial views provide a bird's-eye view of the two low-pitched hip roofs and the two flat-roof bays.

Here's a photo of the rear and east facades with their original permit drawings. The rear facade, as it's typical of a Neoclassical style, is unadorned.

Note the wide eaves, which are slightly disproportionate for the style, but it was a conscious choice by Shanklin. He talked about this choice in a 1939 newspaper article about another Neoclassical home he designed and how he extended the eaves to provide much-needed shade for a Florida environment.

Also note the original configuration of the screen porch bays shown in the permit drawings.

The home has retained its massing and most of its character-defining features. There have been no additions to the home.

The most notable exterior alterations occurred in 1988 after the passing of Minnie Simpson when

the home was purchased by Nostalgic Homes, who renovated it and sold the property a year later.

2.1

The most dominant alteration was the replacement of the original 25 steel-casement windows for awning windows. The window sizes were retained, and the muntin patterns of the replacement windows largely replicated the original configurations.

This was also when the screen porch was enclosed. These drawings are from one of the 1988 permits. You can see the large screen openings were reduced slightly at the bottom to accommodate standard-size windows.

Based on original permit plans, historic photos, and building records of the property indicates the residence retains a significant degree of historic integrity.

Thus, the property at 649 Palmarito Court contributes to the historic fabric of the city of Coral Gables, and is part of a collection of quality residences that serve as a visible reminder of the history and the cultural heritage of the city.

In summary, Coral Gables was founded in the 1920s as a Mediterranean-inspired City, and its

buildings were initially designed almost

exclusively in the Mediterranean Revival style.

In the late 1920s, the economy and the building industry plummeted. When the construction of homes began again in the late 1930s, people had adjusted to a new way of life, and their priorities and aesthetics had changed. This was reflected in the types of homes that were built. In Coral Gables, there was a concerted shift to follow national home-building trends.

Cited on a corner lot, this residence, built in 1940, is a noteworthy example of an early Coral Gables Neoclassical home.

It was one of the earliest Neoclassical residences in the city and represents an evolution from the Mediterranean Revival foundation into a new chapter in the city's architectural history.

The home was designed by the well-established Coral Gables based team, Architect William Shanklin, Jr., and owner/builder George E. Batcheller.

Building on his success in developing the Riviera section, Batcheller significantly invested in the Country Clubs Section as a prominent area. With the Neoclassical style, he chose to build a

style with formality and importance suggesting an upwardly mobile lifestyle.

The Neoclassical style first appeared in Coral Gables in the late 1930s and became popular in the city after World War II. Shanklin was well-versed in the Neoclassical style and produced some of the earliest examples in Coral Gables, including this home.

The property at 649 Palmarito Court has maintained a significant degree of historic integrity, and thus contributes to the historic fabric of the city of Coral Gables.

Staff recommends approval of the local historic designation of the property at 649 Palmarito Court based on its historical, cultural, and architectural significance.

MS. KAUTZ: I believe the owner should be making a presentation. Before we do that, we need to swear him in.

(Edmund Zaharewicz was duly sworn by the court reporter.)

- MS. KAUTZ: The floor is yours.
- MR. ZAHAREWICZ: My name is Edmund Zaharewicz,

  Z-a-h-a-r-e-w-i-c-z. Thank you, I'm going to share

  my screen. Hopefully everybody sees my slides.

There we go, okay. All right, it looks like that

is the case.

Well, let me begin by thanking everyone and all the help that I've gotten from different people in the city to prepare for this hearing, so that includes the archivist, Amanda, the city clerk helper, Cynthia Garcia, the preservation board officer -- OR the preservation officer for all she was doing to coordinate to get me the information I requested, the city's attorneys for listening to my complaints. Everyone was very professional and helpful, so I appreciate that very much.

I'm also very sensitive to all the different interests here. I appreciate the board's interest here, the city attorney's interest in protecting the city's interest, the interest of the community at large. As well as the activists, preservationists, that write notes into the meetings, I also get their point of view as well.

It does bear mentioning, though, that for all these people who are coming in saying it should be preserved, that they really have no stake in the property. They don't pay the taxes. They don't maintain it. They don't bear the burden that would come with a designation. So I think that's

1 important to bear in mind as well.

2.1

In fact, at the end of the day, the only party here to have something at stake, something to lose, is myself, my wife, and my family.

So what designation would mean and which we oppose is that we would lose the hope to build the beautiful home in the city that we desire. We would, in effect, become an indentured caretaker of a house we do not believe is historic and whose functions and form have become a relic of the past.

We also stand to lose significant economic value in that as well.

I would also like to point out this is not the situation where the owner comes in voluntarily asking for preservation. In that situation, there are no losers.

The city wins because they are able to designate a property, add a property to their roster of historic homes, without having to consider too carefully whether the property is truly historic. The owner wins because he feels he's contributing to a community and likely gets a tax break in return.

Here, however, as the owners of this property, we strongly oppose designation, and we believe the

information we have submitted and will present
shortly clearly shows that 649 Palmarito Court is
not eligible for designation.

2.2

I also hope to convey the sense that we are not against historic preservation. We very much, and myself in particular, very much appreciate the history, the architectural style, and the design of many of the structures in Coral Gables. They are a true treasure. But not all structures at the end of the day are historic, including ours.

So, with that, let me start my little presentation here. If it'll move forward for me, okay, there we go, okay.

All right. The first one is really just for the record. I just, out of precaution, want to preserve whatever rights we have in connection with this hearing. The first one, and I complained about this in the first meeting and just to raise it again, was, to be honest, we don't have notice of what the claims against our property were going to be until Friday, when we received the report. So we had no idea that there would be a claim that our property was a historical Neoclassical form of house.

We also are confused about the rules of the

1	hearing, and so I've talked to the city's attorneys
2	about that, so I just wish to preserve whatever
3	rights we may have under that one as well.

And then I add on there the harm economically that will happen if it is designated. Again, it is the owner who bears these burdens and not the public.

And that's not to say I'm not for preservation. I truly appreciate it.

2.1

All right. So onto the staff's claims, there's three claims. The first is that the property is a significant example of a Neoclassical house.

The second, as I gather, is that the house exemplifies New Deal era home-building trends in the city.

And the last is that it portrays the New Deal era environment characterized by more than one distinctive style.

So before I get into the points and why I think it's not historic, I think it's also important to share a little bit of our history and how we got here. So we came in in -- we bought the house in 2007. We had looked for about a year for finding one.

One of the lasting impressions I have of our house search was just how many houses had ad hoc additions to them, that when you look at it from an architectural and aesthetic point of view, to me, it always distracted from the property, whether it was the building or the site. And I found that, frankly, to be dismaying.

So we ultimately decided on 649. We purchased it in a state of neglect. The shutters, for example, were falling apart. There was probably not any money truly invested in the home since it was sold to the Nostalgic company in '88, who flipped the house to new owners shortly after that. And so we spent a significant amount renovating it just to make it livable.

At the time we had one child, and then shortly we ended up with twins. We were only expecting one. We had twins.

So the house is a 3-2, and so we started thinking about additions right away, and we came up with plans that are approved, and I'll show them to you a little shortly. But once we went out for a bid to get it constructed, we abandoned those plans because of costs and compromises.

And, frankly, I was happy that we weren't able

to do that because I think it would have been not a good addition to the city's fabric of quality homes.

So we spent some time looking for new homes, and we decided that we liked where we were and that we could do something that was very nice for both the community and ourselves.

So here's one slide. This is just the elevations of our addition. So if we just look at the top of the elevation, which is the south elevation, the front of the house, it obviously retains the original house. And then so the idea at the time was to expand the two wings by building up.

But, for the architects in the room as well as the historic preservationists, you should all shriek in horror, because I think this design lacks a couple of things immediately.

I think by building out on the two wings, it distracts from the center mass of the home, and so I think you lose that, if that is what you are accentuating.

And, secondly, you can see, on the two wings, the upper level, the two doors are centered, so the structure overall lacks vertical symmetry, the

hallmark of Neoclassical design as well as many

other categories of design.

So atop of that -- so I think that was a Godsend that we didn't actually end up building this. We could have. It was approved. It only didn't go through because of the costs. And the costs, as I understood it, basically goes, when you do something like this, you have to tie into it a new roof and the new structure, and you are, in effect, building a new home.

But more than that, and I had this in my report, and I don't have a slide of it ready, but when you look at the floor layouts from a design like that, what you end up invariably with is hallways that are connecting spaces, only for the purpose of connecting spaces, they -- you end up with rooms that you don't need, space that you don't need, hallways you don't need. You end up with truncated rooms, loss of windows.

So, anyway, I mean, I think, in short, architecturally, the interior becomes just a mess. And, frankly, I was very happy to see this design fail.

So when we began thinking about the house, we were inspired by the existing design, the existing

layout, and the position of the home. So we set

about to make a simple, austere, stately property

that seized upon the uniqueness of the lot and

would ultimately contribute to the architectural

heritage of the area. And we'll talk about that a

little bit more.

But I think if you just look at the front facade here -- now, by the way, this design has been approved by the Board of Architects and through Zoning, and we got this design done without a single variance, so we played by all the rules.

But you can see we have a stately front, that looks very much like the current front, in a sense. It has the symmetry. It has the stateliness. It has the clean lines. It was the austerity.

But what this design has that the current one doesn't have from is the west view, which is the bottom -- which the bottom view of that is my slide here.

Now, this is the street view as well. And you can see, at least in my estimation, that this has a nice quality to it, very pleasing. And, also, I don't have the site plan here, but it's recessed a little further from the street than my current property, which will allow parking of cars, for

example, without the car crossing the sidewalk and things like that.

And, also, I think it gives it a more pleasing view, from this elevation, for the neighborhood to look at it.

Now, the other unique thing about this design is, and it's one of the chief motivating factors, is that we're trying to preserve the back yard, which is a splendid 100-by-40 unobstructed, and so it's just beautiful, and I think it's consistent with the current property. You don't have this dense, heavy, two-story building on a corner lot, which you see a lot now, which I think is horrible. And so I think there's that element that needs to be appreciated of this design as well.

I also think if you took this -- I don't think it's practical to build up over the wings and have an aesthetically pleasing house, which means the only way to really modernize this house, and I would say it's not really modernizing it, is to build off of the back.

But I think, if you do that, you truly ruin the charm of this lot, and I think you get away from some of the historicalness of the plot.

That's not to say it's of historic significance.

So this is quickly the back view. The top is
the north elevation, which is the back yard. You

can see we have achieved here a high degree of
symmetry, even in the back elevation.

And then the lower elevation is the east view, and even there, although it's not quite as pure symmetrically as the other elevations, also has a high degree of symmetry, something we've sought to preserve.

Okay. So why is 649 not historic or, I should say, not of his historic significance? The house is, by all appearances, an ordinary house.

So we bought the property as fee simple.

There are no deed restrictions. There are no restrictions from George Batcheller. There are no restrictions from Minnie Simpson. There are no restrictions from the other owners of what we can do with the property.

The property is not Mediterranean Revival, which is, actually, the hallmark of the Coral Gables city.

We've had this property for 13 years. Even when we bought it, for those 13 years, we've never considered it to be historic. We just considered it to be a fine, old house in some regards.

In the 50 years that the city has had the

ordinance, the historic preservation ordinance, as

far as we know, to our knowledge, there have been

no efforts to identify the property with any

historic significance.

We're also aware of no articles or books claiming that the property is significant.

Although, I saw, in the materials I was provided today, that one of the local papers, apparently, printed an article.

Be that as it may, the first that we became aware that the property was significant was the -- when we weren't given our letter of significance, and then the staff report, when the staff issued their report.

Okay, so, one, if you read the report, one of the things that the report says multiple times, no less than four, is that the property is an prominent corner location.

I would say that the property is all but invisible to the community in its location. And the reason being is it's not on any of the boulevards or the grand thoroughfares or the historied streets that Coral Gables is truly known for.

1	It's really at a corner of, and I can show
2	this here, you can see it's really at the corner of
3	two very lightly-travelled streets. I mean, the
4	people who travel the street are pretty much the
5	people who live on it.

It's not on University. It's not on Segovia.

It's not on Alhambra Circle or Granada or any of those other -- Coral Way. It's not there.

In contrast, similarly designed houses are in prominent locations. So, for example, 3701

Segovia, also built in 1940, stands prominently on Segovia Street, looking directly down Palmarito

Court. That's a prominent location. Ours is not.

And here, perhaps the grandest of all Neoclassical designs in the city, that I'm aware of, is 3600 Granada Boulevard sitting on a 47,000 square-foot lot on the prestigious and prominent Granada Golf Course as well as Granada Boulevard, looking down Escobar Avenue, which I think is another historied street.

And for the real history buffs here, the house across the street from this property is one of Shanklin's designated properties, a Moderne property, and that property is truly beautiful and historic. But mine is not.

And then, lastly, here's another good one, and I have other photos a little bit later, but I assume that you are familiar with some of these houses, by the way, and this is 3502 Alhambra Circle, and this is another Neoclassical house sitting on the beautiful Alhambra Circle, where it bends by the canal there just across the street from the golf course, and it sits there nestled between two streets with its driveway cutting across. It truly projects a grandeur that my house does not.

So, in my report, that I wrote and submitted,
I argued that the house exhibits elements of
Colonial Revivalism and Neoclassical design. And
the reason I brought that up is because, in my
research, I came across this property, 3501 Granada
Boulevard. When you read the report, it describes
it as Colonial Revival and not as a Neoclassical
home.

These are obviously two distinct architectural styles. And my sense is, if my property is both of these, then it is neither and, therefore, not historical. But I point that out to you, because I thought that was very interesting that they put that in the report. That was from 2003.

And I should say all my photos are either

borrowed from Google Maps or the FIU Coral Gables

collection.

So the other hallmark of the Neoclassical style that my property does not have is that of grandeur and scale. In my view, I would say that the property is actually totally mismatched for its size; that is, even though it's on a generous lot, in a sense, relative to other Coral Gables lots that is 10,500 square feet, it is not of the dimensions that you would think for such a design.

So I give you three examples, I think all three of these I've just a mentioned. So there was 3701, which is 17,000 square feet. And when you look at that property, when you look at that property, it also has driveways on either end of the property. The house sits isolated in the middle, very stately and prominent.

3600 Granada, I think, is actual the Spanish Embassy, but it is simply a magnificent, magnificent property in Neoclassical design. And, I believe, it's done by Curtis E. Haley, someone we will talk about a little later in my presentation, but that is truly a magnificent property. The size and scale of that property is exactly what is meant

for a Neoclassical design.

And then I would say the same thing about 3502, that I mentioned a little bit -- a while ago. That sits on a 23,000 square-foot property, also has a driveway that cuts across the front of the property without diminishing the character of that property.

Also, I think if you look at any of these houses, the interior dimensions are significantly bigger than my house. My interior space is 18,035 feet, not big at all, tiny closets, tiny bathrooms, things of that nature.

When I first looked at it, I also -- I had a conversation one time with someone in the Gables, I don't know how true it is, but it rings true; in that, these kinds of designs were really for people from New York. In other words, these houses were originally marketed to New Yorkers looking for a summer home, and so you wouldn't need to have spacious closets and spacious bathrooms, because they were going to put their suitcase into the house for a couple of months and then return to their New York homes for the summer. Anyway, the design of the house reminds me of that kind of history.

I also think the house lacks some of the
details that are characteristic of the Neoclassical
design, and one of the chief ones I would say, in
addition to the grandness and scale, is the
hallmark doorway. I know the report says that the
door is elaborate. I would say not.

I would think an elaborate door of this style would have a pediment above it, maybe some pilasters on either side to accentuate the door.

And, in fact, I think the front doorway sidelights are more characteristic of Colonial Revival than of the Neoclassical-style architecture.

So this, for example, I'll show you two things, this is a front view of 3600 Granada Boulevard, and you can see the truly elaborate door in that fashion with the broken pediment above it. You can also see the scale of the house in this picture, although it doesn't show exactly it. You actually see the stairway from either side coming up to it. Notice the columns seem a little wider at the bottom, and they taper up to the front for an added touch.

It's simply a magnificent property. The driveway, in this case, is circular, with that waterfront in front of it. This is what a

1 Neoclassical design of historic value looks like.

I also take issue at the portico as to whether or not it is of truly a hallmark status here. So I think the first thing I would note is that this curved portico design is a common variant of the Neoclassical design.

That, according to Virginia McAllister, the noted historian of American homes, the same design almost verbatim is repeated in no less than four nearby properties, 620 Palmarito Court, 3701 Segovia, which we mentioned before, 2828 Segovia, as well as 3801 Toledo.

Note that these were all built in different years, '36, '40, '56, and '59.

And very interestingly, the portico at 620
Palmarito was added after the fact. So, in my
estimation, which style of a portico was,
essentially, an off-the-shelf design element used
to spruce up the house to give it an appearance of
grandeur. I use the term "on the cheap," but you
could also think of it as a developer's trick. And
so, I think, for that reason, as well, it is not of
historic value.

So we cn look at these. This is 620. With it, this is a photo of it with the columns. That

L	is a photo from 1949 and shows that it has it
2	wasn't originally built with the columns. I
3	actually called the son of the architect who built
1	it, to find out what year the columns were added.
5	He was a super-nice guy and a gentleman. But he
5	didn't know that information.

2.2

So this is kind of a front view of Segovia -- actually, it's two addresses. I always get it confused, 3701 and 3703. But you can see they look pretty much like mine. Although, I would say this property is definitely more prominent than mine.

Here's 2828, and you can see the columns added to there. Interestingly, on this one, you can see the pediment on the doorway there, which is true, I believe, to this style or simply more true than the fanlights and the sidelights.

Another very interesting aspect of this property -- and I should add for the benefit of the board members, I added a few slides from the ones that were distributed to you, and this is one of them, and I apologize.

But you can see, from this view, and I don't know if the structure was original to the house or added afterwards, but what you see in the back of this house is the garage.

And, to me, architecturally, if I wanted a

house -- I mean, this is one of the possibilities

for my house, right? Someone is going to build off

the back to, basically, modernize it and bring it

up to today's standards.

I think that would be just devastating for a property such as this. I mean, it works here for Segovia, because I think this may be zoned now multi-unit or whatever it might be, but it would be a travesty for my residence or even in the neighborhood there.

And then, lastly, here is 3801. Sorry, the picture is the best one I could pull from Google Maps, but you can see, essentially, the same portico. I forget, this might have been '59 or '56, but it's the same, exact structural or design element.

I also think the property is unremarkable in most of its details, if not all. I will show you shortly that the front doorway and window details are repeated in spec-home-like with another Shanklin design at 3402 Toledo Street.

I think the slender, unadorned columns are under-whelming and lack gravitas in comparison to a property like 3502 Alhambra Circle. I think the

- 1 side wings, another elevation, show no distinction.
- 2 And I say that also from a point of view that this
- is a corner lot, really two elevations that the
- 4 architects should be concerned about.

I think the attached garage that was mentioned

by someone in some e-mail as being distinctive, it

7 is not. That kind of design was around for two

decades before it was incorporated into this

9 design.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'll note that the original porch and ornamental balustrades no longer exist on the house, and, that, to the extent that anyone sees value in the stained glass windows, they are not original.

So here's the front view of 6949, and here's the house on Toledo, both 1940, both built by Shanklin, and I wouldn't be surprised if George Batcheller was the developer of the other house.

Look, they are the same, right? It's the same door, same door, same door detail, same window details, the same window details on my house as well.

I think that is not indicative of a historic house. That is indicative of a developer making a spec house of its day.

Now, these are the columns on 3502 Alhambra
Circle, and you can see how much more gravitas this
kind of style has. You can see the Corinthian
capitals at the top. You can see the fluting of
the columns. This is just a wonderful property.

This is my west elevation. It's absolutely unremarkable in every respect.

This is another perspective on the west elevation. I would argue that the choice of slender columns, from this perspective at least, does absolutely nothing for the property. They get lost in the background.

Again, the back, there's nothing to distinguish this back. It is what it is. There's simply nothing of distinction there.

Then, lastly, this is the 19 -- I think this actually 1945, where you can see they painted over the details at this time and history of the house there above the door. But what I wanted to point out is these balustrades here and the balustrade here, on the right and the left, the top, the lower wrings. And if you look on the porch side below, you can see how Shanklin quite nicely tied those balustrade elements to make that a pleasing tie-up on the porch side. But that porch no longer

1 exists, and those balustrades no longer exist.

And I would also say, if you look at '40s photos of truly remarkable Neoclassical designs, you will see these types of balustrades on there, which no longer exist on the property.

So one of the claims is that it exemplifies

New Deal era trends. I can only say to that, that

it does not. I mean, if you think about it, it is

simply impossible for a signal property to

exemplify any kind of trend.

That's like saying today's temperature, you know, exemplifies a cooling or a heating trend.

The only thing that exemplifies that is some set of information before today's temperature, and so what is significant of that is the collection of data that shows the trend. One single data point does not show a trend. It's simply impossible. And I would argue that it's arbitrary to try to do so.

So if you're trying to show a trend, you have to have a collection of properties. It's the collection of properties that is of historic significance. And, in this regard, I point out that 649 Palmarito Court is not in a historic district.

Similarly, for the claim that the property

portrays the New Deal era environment, this claim
fails for the same reason. There's simply no way
that the single house of 649 Palmarito can
characterize this era.

2.1

2.2

So if you look at the report that the preservation officer put together, and it is very interesting, but what's noted there is that, in the New Deal era, that this part of the Gables was, actually, if you're looking for an environment, would be comprised of an assortment of things.

There would be empty lots yet to be developed.

There would be a couple of 1920 homes built

after -- in the 1920s before the great -- before

the stock market crash.

And then there would be an assortment of homes of which Neoclassical design would only be one. There would be a minimal traditional design, and then there would masonry vernacular design, and then there would be early custom ranch homes, as well as maybe some Art Moderne -- I misspelled that -- design as well.

So what's interesting, and if you looked at my report, I didn't put it in any slides, if you look at this, this is all in my neighborhood. It's across my street. But I have much more than that.

1	I have houses, also, in my neighborhood houses
2	built in the '80s, in the '70s. I have additions
3	that were put in throughout the course of Coral
4	Gables.

So I would say that the kind of house I want to build actually fits into the environment that actually exists at 649 Palmarito Court perfectly.

But the house itself simply cannot be said to portray an environment of the new era -- the New Deal era. It's simply impossible.

I would also like to point out that there are a number of comparable properties found not to be significant within a two-block radius of my property. And these properties were, among other things, include three corner lot homes, as well as homes developed by or designed by notable designers.

So 640 Escobar was William Merriam. He, actually, has at least one property on the national register. It has a two pedigree as an architect.

730 Escobar was built by Curtis E. Haley, who built that magnificent Neoclassical home at 3600 Granada Boulevard, and we're going to talk about that property in a little bit.

731, I don't know much about. But if I skip

over to 3510 Segovia Street, Howard B. Knight (phonetic), I am certain is another very notable architect from Coral Gables' early years.

So let's take a look at 730 Escobar. Now, this house was given a letter of significance without anyone claiming it was historic, without a hearing or anything. And it was demolished, and the design of the house that sits there now is basically a very spread out -- it's nice, but it's a spread-out house that has nothing of the old Florida charm that used to reside here.

So if you look at this property, what's very interesting about it and strikes you right away, is you look at the central mass of this, even though it's only one story, the central mass, it has the full-height columns, which is indicative of the Neoclassical style. If you look at the door, it has the broken pediment, which is characteristic of Neoclassical style. If you look on either side, it has the element of symmetry.

And if you look a little bit broader off to the sides, you can see that the architect tried to incorporate some of these, I would say, graphical or geometrical shapes, that you can see on the left side, which is the porch. And then you look at the

garage door, with the geometrical shapes, I think the attempt was to harmonize those two wings of the house in a nice way.

This house sat on a 21,600-square-foot lot.

It was built in 1951, not 1940. But it was a post

World War II design. It evoked old Coral Gables

charm. You could say it was one of the earliest

examples of a Neoclassical cottage, which is one of

the variants that Virginia McAlester points out in

her book on American homes. And you can say it's

one of the earliest of those, of that design, in

that period. And yet it was not significant.

So I submit to you that my property is not significant for the same reasons. If this is not significant, my property cannot possibly be significant.

I also think, and a lot for the reasons I mentioned earlier, when I was describing what we planned to do with the property and why we were glad we didn't get to do it with the property, when we first thought of doing an addition, is because I don't believe making this historic will promote either educational, cultural, or economic welfare.

I actually think if we're allowed to go through and build the house we want, that will

preserve the architectural heritage of both the
original design in a sense and the presence and the
way that the property is presented in the
neighborhood. It will bring a property of high
value, one designed for modern living and a modern
family.

And I think, with those characteristics, you can look at property values being enhanced, in no way diminished, in a way that is really a homage to the heritage of this area.

And so this may be my almost last slide here, but these are just the properties I mentioned before. In the lower essential area is my property. Off to the left, in yellow, is 730 and 740 Escobar. In front of that is 731 Escobar. The top center is 637 Aledo, and then the property on the right is the Segovia property as well, just to kind of give you a flavor of just how close these properties are in the this area.

And then, in conclusion, summing everything up, we don't believe that the property rises to the level of historical significance.

And while the property may have some appeal as a pleasing example of Neoclassical-inspired design, in the end it simply does not possess the integrity

1	of location, design, material, workmanship, or
2	association required for designation.
3	And, with that, we respectfully request that
4	the board find that the property is not eligible
5	for designation.
6	And if I may interject, picking up on
7	something that the city attorney mentioned earlier,
8	if you think it is historic, and I hope you do not
9	find it historic, for all of the reasons I've
10	mentioned, I think the board should vote separately
11	on each of the three criteria presented in the
12	report as to the reason why it is I mean, if
13	that's a determination why it's historic.
14	But I hope, after hearing this presentation
15	and my report, that you agree with me that this
16	house is not of historic significance.
17	Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Thank you, Mr. Zaharewicz.
19	Do we have anyone in the audience that would
20	like to speak in favor of this case?
21	MS. KAUTZ: And they have to be sworn in
22	before we begin.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: And I'll just let everyone

know that we're two-and-a-half hours into this

meeting, and we're still on the second item. We

23

24

25

1	have four more items to go. We have people
2	waiting. So those who speak, we're just to give
3	them a couple of minutes to speak, to say what they
4	need to say, but they need to be brief.
5	First, we have Mr. Jorge Zaldivar?
6	(Jorge Zaldivar was duly sworn by the court
7	reporter.)
8	MR. ZALDIVAR: So for whatever it's worth and
9	for all the history and the word "history" that we
LO	keep mentioning throughout this talk, just to be
11	clear, I believe one of those homes that is on
12	Granada is not on the Granada Golf Course. It's on
13	the Biltmore Golf Course, so I want to make sure
L 4	that that's just being mentioned to the audience,
L5	that I get to make sure that it's either on the
L 6	Biltmore Golf Course or the Granada Golf Course,
L7	nothing serious, just wanted to jump in.
L8	I was following along with of those addresses,
L 9	and I noticed that the Granada Golf Course was
20	mentioned.
21	But that is all. I don't have any other
22	testimony.
23	CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Thank you. Anyone else?
24	MR. DURANA: We have one more person with

25 their hand raised.

MS. CARBONELL: Thank you. Hi, this is

Karelia Martinez Carbonnel. I am president of the

Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables.

And I will -- there is a letter that's been filed

on behalf of our association, but I do want to just

make three points, and then I will read the letter

real quickly.

But, Point No. 1, the designation of a property is not a taking, and that's been applied by the Supreme Court of the United States, and it's Central Transportation v. New York City, so that is one point I would like to make.

Point No. 2, under the historic preservation ordinance of Coral Gables, any home that's 50 years or older and will trigger -- and when a demolition application is applied for, it will trigger a historic review.

And that's why 649 Palmarito Court really stayed dormant until now, when it was -- there was the designation -- there was the application for demolition.

And, number three, as per the City Historic Preservation Ordinance 649, it meets three of the criteria for designation.

And, as you know, the code does say your board

has to -- shall, shall designate that it meets one

criteria. And, also, this home meets three

criteria.

And the last point about the property losing value, according to the National Trust for Historic Preservation, historic properties appreciate at rates much greater than the local market overall, as well as faster than similar non-designated property. So a historic property actually increases in value, instead of losing value.

And with that, I just want to read the letter from the Historic Preservation Association, and it says:

Please accept this letter in support of the historic designation for 649 Palmarito Court, one of the earliest Neoclassical-style homes to be designed by Coral Gables dream team, Architect William Shanklin, Jr., who, for other Coral Gables' residences, has garnered historic status, and George E. Batcheller, who, also, one of his developments was the Italian Village, which is historically designated as well.

The construction in 1940 of the single-family home occurred during the New Deal era and was among

- the first several hundred homes built during 1936
  through 1941. This style is indicative of the type
  of architecture of this time period when the City
  began to move away from its Mediterranean Revival
  foundation. The 80-year home has maintained its
  historical integrity.
- So, with that, again, my name is Karelia

  Martinez Carbonnel. I am president of the

  organization. And we ask that the Historic

  Preservation Board accept the recommendation of the

  city's preservation office and approve the local

  historical designation of 649 Palmarito Court.

  Thank you.
- 14 CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Thank you.
- 15 Anyone else?
- MR. ZAHAREWICZ: Can I speak? I'm still off
  mute. This is the owner. Am I heard?
- MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
- MR. ZAHAREWICZ: Okay, thank you. Just two
  quick points, to the first speaker, he's correct.

  If I said Granada, it's actual the Biltmore, and
  thank you for that clarification.
- 23 And then, to the last speaker, it's true that
  24 takings -- historical designations aren't takings.
  25 But, in this case, Florida has a law that super --

1	not supersedes, but gives Florida owners rights
2	beyond what's in the U.S. Constitution. And I just
3	want the board to be aware of that clarification.
4	Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Okay.

MS. KAUTZ: As part of the record, we received e-mails in support of designation of the property, and I just want to read their names so they're included in the record:

Jorge Zaldivar, who I believe spoke, Dolly
MacIntyre, former board member engineer of this
board, Vivian and William Rios, Carol Smith, Brett
Gillis, Jeanette Pressman. And I received
additional e-mails from Dade Heritage Trust, from
Bruce Fitzgerald, Michelle Dunaj, Historic
Preservation Association of Coral Gables, Tim and
Connie Crowther, Joyce Nelson.

And there was an opinion piece written by

Karelia Carbonell, that is also part of the record.

There's Marie Vacca, president of The Villagers,

Sandra Scidmore, Maria Rivero Abella, Carlos Ariza,

Armando Hernandez-Rey, Daniel Ciraldo, the

executive director of the Miami Design Preservation

League, Debra and Armando Incera, Annette Hogan,

Douglas Wartzok, Rhonda Anderson, Christina

1	Villeneal	(phonetic),	I'm	sorry	if	Ι	mispronounced
2	that, and	Jill Kramer					

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Is there anyone in the audience who wishes to speak in opposition? No one?

Okay. So we're going to close this part of the public hearing and have some board discussion.

MS. KAUTZ: I would like to address, as part of our rebuttal, some of the statements that were made, just to note them.

So your role, you purview at this meeting is to determine whether or not the property meets the criteria as outlined in the code. We're not comparing it to other properties. Is it best? Is it better? Is not a consideration. Does it meet the criteria? That's it.

This property is not grandiose. It is an early 1940s Neoclassical design. The city was coming out of the downturn of the economy and before the World War, and it was in response to the time it was built.

The later examples that were shown, the bigger, larger as being grandiose were built later on, in the '50s, when there was optimism and enthusiasm.

Neoclassical is an eclectic style. It takes
elements of Colonial. And there's a slide that
refers to that. So there are some that overlap in
the styles.

2.1

So the owner of the property is an attorney and did a bunch of research and has delved very deep into architecture, but is not an architect, and is not a historian. And the staff report that was prepared for you was written by a PhD.

The hallmark and the distinguishing features of a style will show up repeatedly. They are character-defining features of the style, and, therefore, will be used over and over again.

We see this in Mediterranean Revival. We see this in Art Deco, in Art Moderne. There are elements that are repeated, that are indicative of the style, which is why you see them over and over again.

That's the rebuttal for now. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Board member comments?

MR. ZAHAREWICZ: May I interject one comment?

This is the owner.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: We've closed that part of the hearing. We're having board discussion right now.

1	MR. ZAHAREWICZ: I would just say, a rebuttal
2	to the rebuttal, the slender columns design, of the
3	Neoclassical design, was from the period 1920 to
4	1940. It is not indicative of new era New Deal
5	era homes. It existed well before the Great
6	Depression.
7	Thank you.
8	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Anybody on Zoom want to go
9	first?
LO	MR. FULLERTON: No, go ahead.
11	MR. GARCIA-PONS: My question and staff did
L2	mention it in their comments just now, the
L3	relationship between Neoclassical and Colonial
L 4	Revival. I know that, in the presentation, it was
15	meant that there are overlapping styles.
L 6	I thought both the staff and the owner made
L7	substantial comments on that, and I was going to
L8	ask staff to elaborate on the relationship between
L 9	the two styles and how they overlap.
20	I'm satisfied with the comment that was given.
21	I don't know if anybody else is, or if the staff
22	wants to elaborate further.
23	MS. KAUTZ: Elizabeth, do you want to give a
24	brief summary?

MS. GUIN: This is Elizabeth. The

1	Neoclassical style and why we attribute it to this
2	building is Neoclassical is a very eclectic style
3	and takes in all different types of
4	classifications.
5	The two-story portico is a hallmark feature of
6	that style. The Colonial Revival and also
7	Neoclassical tends to be more Georgian features.
8	There is overlap. You see some of the same
9	features in both styles.
LO	But I'm not really sure what kind of what
11	you're looking for, but
12	MR. GARCIA-PONS: I guess, I'm satisfied with
13	Kara's, so I appreciate the additional information.
L 4	CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: I think the staff report
L5	is an excellent report, and it summarizes the
L 6	reasons for designation.
L7	Mr. Durana?
L8	MR. DURANA: I agree with Kara. I think that
L 9	it's pretty clear, for me, that it's Neoclassical
20	and in the same shape as it was when it was built.
21	I mean, the owner could be recommending about the
22	balusters with thicker railings, but, in the
23	future, any additional work, I hope they would
24	consider that. That's it for me.

25 CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Ms. Rolando?

1 MS. ROLANDO: I too thought that the staff 2 report was comprehensive and thoughtful.

There's a couple of items that the homeowner raised that, the first, I would like to address to the city attorney. The homeowner questioned whether he had sufficient notice and adequate time to respond to the report. And I would like to have the city attorney, assistant city attorney's, response to that.

ATTORNEY CEBALLOS: Well, the code only speaks that once a preliminary decision to move forward with a designation is made, which was made at the last board meeting, that's part of the 60-day time frame, if you will, and this meeting is being held within that 60-day time frame.

In terms of receiving the report, the code is silent on when they need to receive it by. And, in this particular case, they received it a few days before the actual board hearing today. I believe he received it on Thursday or Friday of last week. I'm sure staff can clarify.

There is no requirement to receive it in a particular time frame, whether it be a week or two weeks or one day before the actual hearing takes place.

He did articulate originally that he needed
criteria for that preliminary designation. Once
again, our code is silent on that. The code does
not require for a preliminary designation not a
designation, but the preliminary decision to move
forward with a designation does not have any
criteria in our code.

2.2

The criteria comes into play when you're at the board, which is why the report states, in this particular instance, that it meets three of the criterias.

There was also sufficient notice. They were well aware of the procedure. We've been communicating back and forth the entire time.

So, at least from the legal standpoint, we see no issue with this item going forward.

MS. ROLANDO: Thank you. Obviously, the homeowner put in an enormous amount of time and research into rebutting the staff report.

I think that those of us on the board recognize that a property does not have to be magnificent. A lot does not have to be large. A home does not have to be the finest example of a particular period in order to merit designation.

So, frankly, I felt sorry for the house,

because it was so denigrated by the homeowner. But

when I read the report and I see the home, it's a

stately home. It's a nice example. I think it is

a contributing structure.

I was not persuaded by the efforts to differentiate it from other examples, and I think the fact that, at that time, the city itself and the country was coming off of a really, really bad time. And then there were, also, echoes of the war that was about to begin in Europe. So I can understand why this is not an exuberant example of Neoclassical or even Colonial Revival architecture.

So I am comfortable saying that the property merits designation.

But I'm interested in hearing what those of you on the board have to say who have the aesthetic training that I don't have.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig?

MS. BACHE-WIIG: I have a quick question, and I want to preface it with saying I do believe that the report is straightforward, clear, and I think it does a good job of illustrating how it meets the criteria in three of them.

And, with that said, I mean, it is a good example of this style, you know, the first of, you

1 know, many of these homes that were built.

Now, I have a question. Have we designated this style, you know, recently? Or is this going to be the beginning, you know, of potentially more of these-styled homes coming on line, because of the date?

I mean, this is 80 years old. So we're already beyond. But it's interesting to know, because, you know, I think people have a misconception of, you know, it's not Mediterranean or Mediterranean Revival, and, therefore, it doesn't merit designation.

But the City of Coral Gables has a story that doesn't just, you know, rely on, you know,

Mediterranean, so it's a story of the style and many other styles, and how they came on line is the history of the City.

But I think it's important to note, are these going to be coming on line as necessary?

MS. KAUTZ: I can answer that. I mean, I don't have that crystal ball. Obviously, as the city continues to grow, you know, we get demolition or significance requests all the time, and they have not slowed down, nor will they slow down, just given the world.

1	So whether or not more of this time period or
2	more of this style will come to you, I can't answer
3	that. We have designated homes of this style in
4	the past.
5	The recent question, I don't know the answer
6	to that. I know there are some within the recent
7	historic districts. Coral Way being the most
8	recent. There's an original designation
9	MS. ROLANDO: Santa Maria, I know there are
10	some.
11	MS. KAUTZ: Yeah.
12	MS. ROLANDO: And there's this style of home
13	in the historic districts. I know there's a home
14	in Alhambra Circle, also North Greenwood, Santa
15	Maria
16	MS. KAUTZ: Absolutely. So they exist, and
17	there are some that are protected, and there are
18	some that are not, and there are some that are not
19	yet.
20	MR. FULLERTON: I would like to say that, you
21	know, everybody has done a tremendous job of
22	research, including the owner, and I feel his pain.
23	But this house is, by virtue of its timing,

the time it was built, and its style and its --

just how well it was done is exactly what we're

24

25

1 looking at in terms of the history of Coral Gables.

It represents that history by being the simpler version, perhaps, of the more elaborate ones that came later.

And within the three- or four- or five-block area from this house, you even pointed out,

Mr. Zaharewicz, that there were several examples of Neoclassical work, that were more and more and more beautiful than yours.

That's kind of like a period in time that there was a photograph that was taken in 1940, and you became the model for the other ones that followed. So it is -- it is like a rung in the ladder of evolution. I think your house may have been the beginning of it.

So I think it's a very valuable part of the history of Coral Gables.

CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Any other comments?

MS. SPAIN: I have one quick one. I -- I understand that the owner has a design for a new home, but this board really is tasked with looking at the existing home and really cannot look at whatever is going in there. But it doesn't really matter, because that's not part of the criteria.

The only thing that we look at is whether your

Ι	existing home fits the criteria, and I think
2	clearly it does.
3	And that's, again, Elizabeth, a very good
4	report, very clear. That's all.
5	CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Would anybody like to make
6	a motion?
7	MS. ROLANDO: I'll move that we designate the
8	property located at 649 Palmarito Court as a local
9	historic landmark.
10	CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Do we have a second?
11	MS. KAUTZ: Gus, do you need any further
12	articulation in that motion?
13	ATTORNEY CEBALLOS: So the board, if they're
14	proceeding with the motion that they had priorly
15	articulated the reasoning behind it, with what
16	criteria is being met in order to facilitate the
17	homeowner's original request, so it's all three of
18	them.
19	The board is not limited by those initial
20	three. So if there's any particular criteria that
21	they can articulate that, that would be great. If
22	they want to discuss it, that's up to the board.
23	As long as they believe there's evidence that
24	it meets at least one of the criteria, they can

move forward.

```
1
               MR. FULLERTON: Haven't we articulated those
2
          in our discussion, right now, that we've just had?
               MS. ROLANDO: Yes, and I can amend the motion
 3
4
          to say that I move for approval based upon the
5
          information set forth in the staff report. I also
          found information in Dr. Gillis's (phonetic)
6
          correspondence to be informative as well.
7
               CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Do we have a second?
8
9
               MR. EHRENHAFT: I'll second.
10
               CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Can we call the roll,
11
          please.
12
               MR. DURANA: Ms. Rolando?
13
               MS. ROLANDO: Yes.
14
               MR. DURANA: Ms. Spain?
15
               MS. SPAIN: Yes.
16
               MR. DURANA: Mr. Fullerton?
               MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
17
18
               MR. DURANA: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
19
               MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
20
               MR. DURANA: Mr. Durana?
2.1
               Yes.
2.2
               MR. DURANA: Mr. Garcia-Pons?
23
               MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes.
24
               MR. DURANA: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
25
               MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
```

1	MR. DURANA: Mr. Menendez?
2	CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: Yes.
3	MS. KAUTZ: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN MENENDEZ: We're going to take a
5	10-minute break, and we'll be back shortly.
6	Thank you, Mr. Zaharewicz.
7	* * * *
8	(End of excerpt)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)
5	
6	I, Chloe Leroux, Florida Professional Reporter,
7	certify that I was authorized to and did
8	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
9	that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
10	stenographic notes.
11	
12	Dated this 13th day of January, 2021.
13	
14	
15	
16	Chloe Leroux, FPR
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	