City of Coral Gables City Commission Meeting Virtual Meeting Agenda Item G-12 and G-13 July 14, 2020 City Commission Chambers 405 Biltmore Way, Coral Gables, FL

City Commission

Mayor Raul Valdes-Fauli Vice Mayor Vince Lago Commissioner Jorge Fors, Jr. Commissioner Pat Keon Commissioner Michael Mena

City Staff

City Manager, Peter Iglesias City Attorney, Miriam Ramos City Clerk, Billy Urquia

Public Speaker(s)

Meg Daly, The Underline, Founder Irene Hegedus, MDC Dept. of Transportation Daniel Balmori, The Underline, Lead Counsel Maria Cruz, Coral Gables Resident Javier Banos, Coral Gables Resident

Agenda Item G-12 and G-13 [Time Certain 10:30 a.m.]

G-12: A Resolution of the Coral Gables Commission amending Resolution 2017-357 in order to authorize the City Manager to enter into an amended and restated Memorandum of Understanding, in substantially the attached form, with Miami-Dade County to fund the Underline Project amending the City's contribution to the Underline to a sum certain of seven million dollars (\$7,000,000), such funds to come from Park and Mobility Impact Fees collected by the City in certain areas. (Sponsored by Vice Mayor Lago)

G-13: A Resolution of the Coral Gables Commission, authorizing the City Manager to enter into an amended and restated Memorandum of Understanding, in substantially the attached form, with Miami-Dade County to fund the Underline Project with a sum certain of seven million dollars (\$7,000,000), such funds to mission Meeting

City Commission Meeting

come from Park and Mobility fees collected by the City in certain areas, specifying that the disbursement of additional Park and Mobility fees to the Underline will be at the City's sole discretion. (Sponsored by Commissioner Keon)

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: We have a 10:30 time certain item, which is G-12 and G-13. G-12 is Vice Mayor Lago and G-13 is Commissioner Keon, the items are identical and G-12 is Vice Mayor Lago, so please go ahead and we will have Commissioner Keon.

City Attorney Ramos: Mayor, if I may, I'll read them both into the record. G-12 is a Resolution of the Coral Gables Commission amending Resolution 2017-357 in order to authorize the City Manager to enter into an amended and restated Memorandum of Understanding, in substantially the attached form, with Miami-Dade County to fund the Underline Project amending the City's contribution to the Underline to a sum certain of seven million dollars (\$7,000,000), such funds to come from Park and Mobility Impact Fees collected by the City in certain areas. And G-13 is a Resolution of the Coral Gables Commission, authorizing the City Manager to enter into an amended and restated Memorandum of Understanding, in substantially the attached form, with Miami-Dade County to fund the Underline Project with a sum certain of seven million dollars (\$7,000,000), such funds to come from Park and Mobility fees collected by the City in certain areas, specifying that the disbursement of additional Park and Mobility fees to the Underline will be at the City's sole discretion.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you very much. You should practice, City Attorney, you should practice in reading faster, that's very good.

City Attorney Ramos: I'll try sir.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Vice Mayor Lago.

Vice Mayor Lago: Thank you Mayor, I appreciate it. I'm going to reserve my comments to the end. I know that our City Attorney will like to have Stephanie come before us to give us a little bit of background in regards to the amending of my original resolution 2017-357; and then also, I'd like to hear from Meg Daly and a few other members of the Underline who today we are going to celebrate them and for their initiatives over the last few almost seven years that they've been working on this. Madam City Attorney please take it away.

City Attorney Ramos: Ms. Throckmorton are you on.

Assistant City Attorney Throckmorton: Yes. Good morning everyone. So just to give a little bit of background, this is item G-12, this is the Vice Mayor's item. As the City Attorney read into the record, it amends Resolution 2017-357. That was a resolution passed by this Commission that authorized the City Manager to set aside up to \$15 million dollars in Park and Mobility Impact Fees from new development within 1,000 feet of the Underline, to be dedicated to the Underline. That was the maximum amount. There were no deadlines or timelines associated with that. The

Underline came to the City and request the sum-certain of \$7 million dollars, rather than the up to the \$15 million. So, the Vice Mayor's resolution would essentially repeal and replace the 2017-357 resolution to allow the City to contribute a sum-certain of \$7 million from those park and mobility impact fees, with the amended and restated Memorandum of Understanding that's attached to the item. The City Manager has spoken with the sponsor and described what projects we think would fund that \$7 million and the Memorandum of Understanding with the County allows those funds to be used for the Underline Project within the boundaries of the city from Red Road to Douglas Road, and requires the \$7 million be paid by September of 2024. So, there is now a timeline associated with that sum-certain.

City Manager Iglesias: I'd like to clarify the funding for the \$7 million dollars. The Underline is looking at a \$2.5 million-dollar contribution in 22; \$2.5 million contribution in 23, and a \$2 million contribution in 2024. We currently have \$2.2 million on hand from Mobility and Impact Fees, we expect another \$3.3 million from projects from Mobility Impact fees from projects within 1,000 feet of the Underline, that totals \$5.5 million. It will be at least \$2.2 million for the Park Mobility fee from Gables Station and that plus the \$5.5 give us \$7.7 million, gives us a little bit of cushion in case one of the projects or some of the projects do not come through. These projects look fairly good and we've got until 2024 to make this happen. So, I do not see an issue with the \$7 million based on that contribution schedule from 2022 to 2024.

Vice Mayor Lago: Thank you Mr. Manager. Thank you, Stephanie, I appreciate the background. I'd like to see if Meg Daly is available. She should be in attendance, along with several members of the Underline.

Meg Daly: Thank you Vice Mayor Lago. I'm going to jump in. My name is Meg Daly, and I'm founder of Friend of the Underline. I live at 1004 Catora Avenue. As a Coral Gables resident, I want to thank each of you and the staff for your incredible work during this pandemic. I know you have worked hard during Covid-19 and social unrest to keep our community and residents safe. But today let's take a little moment to celebrate. Three years ago, this Commission unanimously passed Vice Mayor Lago's resolution to commit up to \$15 million and impact fees from your development to help build the Underline. That day after the resolution passed, the Commission recessed so we can celebrate together. It was a very special day for all of us. We have good news. Because of your previous catalytic commitment, we secured a coveted \$22 million-dollar federal grant to help build the Underline in Coral Gables. The new trail project to receive this grant and the entire country, but there is a catch. To satisfy this grant, the entire ten miles of the Underline must be completed by 2020. This is why we are here today speaking in support of Agenda Item G-12. We are asking you to reduce your \$15 million commitment to \$7 million, and for that you will have a firm delivery date for the Underline to be built in Coral Gables. Again, these are conditions specific to the federal grant. Your staff, Miami-Dade County and Friends of the Underline recommend and support this resolution amendment put forth by Vice Mayor Lago today. Vice Mayor we thank you for your leadership and your commitment to this project yet again. We thank all of you, but in particular Commissioner Lago, you have logged many, many hours supporting us, speaking at government meetings, making calls, and being our biggest city City Commission Meeting July 14, 2020

champion and cheerleader. Thank you for your flexibility in the way you are budgeting for the Underline. We hope you will pass today's landmark resolution to make this project a reality, and we look forward to seeing you and your children using the Underline for years to come. We do have a few of our colleagues, as well as Irene Hegedus from Miami-Dade County. I'm not used to doing a Zoom meeting, how do I hand off to my colleagues to make brief comments.

Vice Mayor Lago: Who would you like next to speak? Irene?

Meg Daly: I think Irene. You can see that she's got some "war wounds", so maybe we should have her speak and then sign-off.

Vice Mayor Lago: Irene welcome to the City of Coral Gables. We are blessed to have you. Thank you. Please take it away.

Irene Hegedus: Thank you very much. I have to say that as a representative of Miami-Dade County, the Department of Transportation and Public Works and as a contract manager for the Underline for this project, I would like to thank all of you, all the city staff and yourself, Vice Mayor, for all of the contributions, all the dedication, and like Meg said, all of the thousands of meetings that we have had to make this happen. We are at a point right now, by the way, I'm in support of G-12, your resolution, and I'm here to answer any questions that you may have from the budget and where we start with the project in terms of schedule and everything we have done. I can tell you that we have allocated the funding, the entire thing should be going to Board of Commissioners, County Commissioners in September in the budget. We have located all the road impact fees necessary, to compete the Underline, and instead of doing it in nine phases, as originally planned, now we are wanting to do it in three phases. Phase 1 which is being completed. It will be delivered to Friends of the Underline October 1st with notice to proceed for the maintenance operational programming. Phase 2 is about to start. We just need to award the contract. It has gone through the procurement; it should be going to committees in September and awarding in October. That project will take about eight months for design and then two years for construction. But we need to be ready to do the rest of the Underline and the City of Coral Gables is one of the major components of the project, and we are looking forward to your support on our request for the \$7 million.

Vice Mayor Lago: Thank you Irene.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you. Next person.

Meg Daly: Daniel why don't you jump in.

Daniel Balmori: Good morning. My name is Daniel Balmori and I'm an attorney whose provided pro-bono services for the benefit of the Underline for over six years. And as one of the contributing authors to the build grant, shall deliver over \$22 million to Miami-Dade County and ultimately to Coral Gables doorstep, I'm very familiar with the need to address the issue today. Put simply, to ensure that our community can leverage the significant and rarely imported federal build grant. You should act on the proposed resolution. These grants are not meant to be implemented over the *City Commission Meeting*

course of long periods of time and the present amendment allows us to avoid compromising that funding source. The build grant was successful in part because of the Underline support from Coral Gables and its leaders like Vice Mayor Lago and each of you. I implore you today to finish what you started, pass item G-12 and see the Underline come to light in Coral Gables.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you. Vice Mayor Lago, you want to complete your presentation.

Vice Mayor Lago: Thank you Mayor. I think Meg had maybe one person who wanted – one last person, if you'd be so kind just to give me one more moment. Meg, anyone else?

Meg Daly: We have two more, Ryan Marks and Steve Warnick, if you guys want to toss a coin. Steve, why don't you wrap up for us and if we have another moment, maybe Ryan can chime in. Okay.

Steve Warnick: Thank you all. Good morning Mr. Mayor, Vice Mayor Lago, Commissioner Mena, Commissioner Keon, Commissioner Fors, its very nice to see you this morning. I am a Board member of the Friends of the Underline, probably serving, I'm also a father to three young boys that I see as future users of the Underline. I'm very happy to be here to support item G-12. Trails are about mobility, resilience, they are ultimately about our future. I am in Greenville, South Carolina right now and part of the reason why I'm here is, they have a trail that was built ten years ago, called the Swamp Rabbit Trail. It now connects most of their park system. There are people of all ages. I was out the other morning in this environment that we are in, and they are experiencing some of what Miami is experiencing, that same intensity with the public health concern, but there are people of all ages, professions, walks of life, income levels out on the trail. They are exercising and it's about quality of life. It's about connectivity, it's about a civic life. I've seen how this can change a place. Obviously in Atlanta, we know about the Highline, so many cities doing this. I think the return on investment here is very significant. Obviously, reducing the total amount over time leaves more dollars there for other park improvements in the future for the City of Coral Gables. Thank you for your time and I support G-12. Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you.

Med Daly: Mr. Mayor could we have the new Coral Gables resident as our last speaker, Ryan Marks. He'll make it brief. Thanks, so much Ryan.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: You're preaching to the choir, so go ahead.

Ryan Marks: I'll make it brief. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. As Meg mentioned, I'm a resident of Coral Gables, I live in 1534 Cecilia Avenue, right off Alhambra and Cecilia. I've been involved with the capital budgeting and scenario planning function of the Underline for the past twelve months and I'm currently a Board member with Friends of the Underline. And I just want to echo what Steve said that, this project is about bridging communities, and I think more so than ever its important now to have that opportunity to unite Miami through shared projects and to really kind of champion that effort here in Coral Gables and allow the community to shine and

really support what this city stands for. So, I just want to favor the recommendation and give my support to that.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. Vice Mayor Lago, if you don't have anything else, I would like to recognize Commissioner Keon.

Vice Mayor Lago: I'd like to make a prepared statement, at least read a prepared statement that I have here. First, I'd like to welcome Ryan as a new resident to the City of Coral Gables. It's a pleasure to have you. Its people like you and everyone else that makes this city so special. So, since the inception of the Underline, nearly six years ago, since the time that I got involved, this project has been very near and dear to my heart. As it ties into initiatives that I am especially passionate about as it promotes sustainability, increased open space, improved quality of life. As a functional transportation alternative, it will encourage the use of Miami-Dade County's transit system, result and reduce automotive traffic and provide environmental benefits by reducing carbon emissions of motorized vehicles. Moreover, it will encourage citizens to become physically active and promotes a healthy lifestyle that will enhance resident's quality of life and improve property values. These are things that we are very excited about. You know, when I've had these discussions with Chelsea, who has played a big role in keeping me organized throughout this whole process over the last few years, we talked to Billy and we asked him just to do a little public records request to really understand the depth and the breath of commitment to the Underline that my office has had. And along with my office, the employees of the city, City Manager, City Attorney, the Clerk, and was interested to find that we had over 2,000 e-mails going back to the Underline since October 14, 2014. That is monumental. On October 2014, I was proud to have supported a resolution 2014-220, which authorizes the City Manager to allocate \$50,000 to the Friends of the Underline, paid from the City of Coral Gables impact fees. To expedite the project in 2017, I proposed and sponsored yet another piece of legislation, Resolution 2017-357, which is the one that we are amending today. Which further supports the Underline project by entering into a Memorandum of Understanding with Miami-Dade County, and allowing the City to assist in the funding of the Underline project for up to \$15 million. Utilizing parks and mobility impact fees collected from new projects. After the Underline received that incredible grant, that was mentioned before in 2019, for over \$22 million, we were able to amend the resolution today, and bring down our commitment from \$15 million down to \$7 million. I've had multiple conversations with our Manager. This will also allow us flexibility to renovate parks and do things that are so needed in the city, because we will not have to allocate the funds as a result of this exceptional, incredible grant that we are receiving. It has truly been an evolutionary journey, pushing this project forward for the City of Coral Gables and I'm very proud to see what we have done and that we are on the final phases and we are about to begin construction. Before I conclude, I know I'm going to miss people and I asked Meg to send me a list of individuals and she did, we could be here for days, thanking everyone who's been involved. I'd just like to thank a few people who have really been instrumental in guiding the city in this process. Meg Daly, she is the champion, without her nothing is possible in regard to the Underline and she made a vision a possibility. And this community, not only the City of Coral Gables is going to reap the benefits of her vision. Individuals like Les Pantin,

Eric Zukellar, who had been pro-bono representatives of this project and have done an exceptional iob. Our county partners, Irene, I think in the last two weeks we've probably had four-five different Zoom calls and phone calls to iron out the final financing strategy and I want to commend you for your commitment. Individual like Parker Thompson, who Meg and I have had many conversations about her father, who has been a stalwart supporter of this community, the legend, and I don't use legend lightly. People like Daniel, like Steven and like Ryan, our newest member here in the City of Coral Gables, who have given up much of their time to make this project a possibility. I also want to thank Mayor Francis Suarez for his mentorship, one of the early proponents of the Underline. An individual who is going to, when we finish our portion and the City of Miami finishes their portion, we are going to have over ten miles of linear parks spanning the city. Its going to be a wonderful amenity for this community. And in closing, I had the opportunity to call some of my colleagues that I had the pleasure of serving with, that we all had the pleasure of serving with, and I spoke to them about what we were about to accomplish today. I want to thank Vice Mayor Quesada, Vice Mayor Kerdyk, and Mayor Jim Cason for their leadership throughout the years when this project really first started. Thank you and with that I make a motion to accept the amendment to my resolution on 2017-357.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: We will put off the motion because we would like to hear Commissioner Keon who has item G-13, which is the same item. Commissioner Keon.

Commissioner Keon: Good morning. I agree wholeheartedly with what Commissioner Lago has said and congratulating Megan, the Friends of the Underline for their dedication and their work...for the county, for working with us and providing their funding matrix, so we understand how things are funded and how...I received a copy of the request from Meg also, and so, it actually started when I went to Peter and said to Peter, and Diana Gomez, can you tell me, I know there is a separate ledger for the Underline for the impact and park fees. Can you tell me what is available and what we anticipate in development within that 1,000 feet that was initially sponsored? The City of Miami did the same thing, Commissioner Lago brought that to the City of Coral Gables, we all agreed with it, and we all passed it, and it was for anything within 1,000 feet. I asked him to please list out for me the projects and the anticipated fees from that. When it first started, you know, Peter was hesitant and told me he wasn't sure that he had those fees, he wasn't sure if he could make that commitment. I feel pretty strongly in proposing legislation that I understand and know all of the financial elements that are involved in here and that provide direct staff to allocate funds to something. I want to know that we have the funds. I want to know where they came from, how we got them. I want to make sure that its not like defunding something else. So, I have a very strong sense of with regard to this issue of fiscal responsibility. So, I usually go through that whole process before I come back to you on anything. So, we started this conversation that's when, I think, they also set up the meeting with, I asked them to set up a meeting with the county to really look at and understand their numbers, including the grant – did we have the \$7 million? – whatever? And so they did, so they started this process to have the meeting, you know, the county thankfully provided us with a very clear matrix of funding for all of these phases and it showed exactly what that funding was for, and it comes out to the deficit between the grant and what they

have out here. At this moment its \$7 million, but most of this is for – there is lighting, there is – its really a transportation grant, so its really for the trail, primarily for the trail portion of the Underline. Anyway, I filled out this spreadsheet, gave it to Diana, asked her, its attached to the item if you want to look at it, you can, you know where the money would come from and whatever. So, the big question was from Gables Station, and so, they finally Peter met with the developer and the attorney representing Gables Station, and they have made a commitment of \$3.4 million that they were going to use to build out their portion of the Underline. I guess because they want their TCO, they really would like to not build that out, because it won't be done in time for them to get their TCO. So they came to an agreement on how much they were going to contribute, or how they would contribute the park impact fees that were just owed based on the development there, and so, it is somewhere between \$2.2 and \$2.6 million, but...is enough that it provides plenty of dollars for the funding to go forward in the manner and the fashion that is called out for in both of these, both of these items. The only difference in the item is, and I want to be clear about this. When we agreed to or when we passed the item on the dollars allocated to the Underline for projects with 1,000 feet of the Underline, there was a discussion and the minutes of the meeting will reflect is that we never committed that we would fund up to, or that we would fund, we had an intention of funding up to \$15 million, but it was as you said, we would put a cap on how much we would ever fund to the Underline, and I think it could have come from Commissioner Mena, I have to look back through the minutes. But someone wanted to ensure that there was a cap, so it wasn't like a never-ending contribution, and that's when the \$15 million was included in the item. So, at the last meeting, there were a couple of Sunshine meetings on this issue now, and so at the last meeting, we were talking about, and Commissioner Lago was there, and I asked him, my concern is really the actual impact fee money, how we allocate it? - how we continue to collect it? - and how we appropriate it. Those were the issues that I had with this whole thing. So, I asked him to, I worked with him on it, you know, sponsor it with you, and he told me absolutely he was not going to work with me, and he was not going to sponsor anything with me. And there were enough city staff on that call that can support what happened. So, I said, okay, fine. You know what, I'll do it myself. I'll put it on myself if you are unwilling to have a discussion on this or work with me on it. So, the difference between these two items only is, is that I would ask that you continue – impact fees, I feel very strongly about impact fees. And when we collect impact fees from development, regardless of what they may be, in this case they are park and mobility. It is very important that there is a nexus between where we collect an impact fee and where we appropriate the dollars from that impact fee, and there has to be a nexus, there has to be. That's part of the rules regarding impact fees. So, it needs to be in that area somewhere. Now, it doesn't have to go to the Underline. All I want them to do is, as these – I think there is, one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, there are ten projects that are on this grid that is attached to your item, with the amount of impact fee, and it surpasses \$7 million. So, what I am asking you to do is, it's the exact same thing Commissioner Lago is asking you, the amendment is exactly the same, everything is exactly the same. Its only that instead of stop collecting any impact fees related to the Underline, is that we continue to account for impact fees that will come as a result of this, because we are looking at this, there's probably five, six hundred-thousand dollars in addition over

the \$7 million, so we are going to give them \$7 million, but as they begin to build it out, you know, they are still doing the community meetings, I'm looking at amenities and what they want to do, so there could be additional dollars that may be asked for, and I want a dedicated revenue source for that. And the other is that it calls for that any – when we expend these revenue fees and we allocate them, it is at the sole discretion of the Commission. So, it doesn't have to go to the Underline. It can go to another park, anything over the \$7 million, as long as we keep them, we track them and we keep them in a particular ledger in the county, in the finance department, we can use those fees, it means that we have funding sources to do other things with other parks that are in that area. Now, Jaycee Park is in that area, Kerdyk Park is in that area, Relincoln is in that area. So, there are places that we could, we know we will always have some funding that can be allocated for these other purposes. It doesn't affect the Underline in any way. What this does is supposed to have a dedicated revenue source for the allocation of funds for park and mobility improvements in the area where this development takes place. One I think that is exceedingly transparent and I think it is very fiscally responsible. So that's all I'm asking you. That's the only difference. There is no other difference at all. And personally, if Commissioner Lago would like to amend his to accept that piece, he can do the whole thing. I don't really care. This is the piece that I want in it, because we will all come and go, that Underline will be built, and you know, who is there to whatever, take credit, put your picture on it, I don't really care. But what I want is a very fiscally responsible and transparent way of dealing with impact fees. Now someone had texted to me that, that really wasn't necessary and I should take this off the agenda, because it may cause confusion, and when somebody comes along or if they need more money for the Underline, they'll just come to the city and we'll direct staff to allocate it. But you know what, we have a budget every year, we present a balanced budget. So, all of the dollars that are coming into the city are accounted for in our expenditures. So, if I'm going to direct the staff to go find \$500,000 for something or \$300,000 for something, they are going to get it from some place that is already funded to a certain level, because you know what, we don't print money, we don't print money. That money is whatever in our budget. So, it means that, that's when a fountain doesn't get restored, a sidewalk doesn't get fixed, the street doesn't get paved, pruning doesn't get done, or something happens, because you are taking money from a project that's funded in order to follow the direction of the Commission. So, I really feel very strongly about how we budget, how we allocate money and that it is very clear, it is very transparent, and it is very responsible.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you Commissioner.

Commissioner Keon: That's the only difference between these two items.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you Commissioner. Before we continue, are there any members of the public that wish to speak on the item?

City Clerk Urquia: Yes Mr. Mayor, we do have two members of the public who would like to speak, Ms. Maria Cruz would like to speak on the item.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Maria Cruz, yes, two minutes. Go ahead.

Ms. Cruz: Yes, I do. I am embarrassed for some people for this city who has always been very proud of the fact that we do not iron our dirty laundry in public. This conversation from Commissioner Keon is the ultimate insult. As a parent, I can tell you that it sounds like a child who did not get her way and had to put it out there for everyone to see it.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Ms. Cruz, please address the issue.

Ms. Cruz: I have gotten more e-mails from people saying...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Address the issue and not the Commissioners please. Address the issue.

Ms. Cruz: So, the issue is, Commissioner Lago has been supporting this project, you heard it from the people involved and there is no need for somebody to try to hijack it now at the end. And you know what, when it comes to the point where we have to address funding, in this city we always have ways addressing funding. We address funding for things that people do not want. So, this is silly, petty, childish, and this needs to stop.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you Ms. Cruz.

Ms. Cruz: You're welcome.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Anybody else?

City Clerk Urquia: Yes Mr. Mayor. Next, we have Ms. Joli who would like to speak on the item, Myra Joli.

Ms. Joli: Well, I'm going the other way Ms. Maria, because I am totally understanding what Commissioner Keon is putting on the table. And that is not a way that this is not an item that should be brought. Yes, of course. We are talking about \$7 million and that doesn't grow on trees. As a resident of Coral Gables, I need to know where the money is going to be coming from, because in 2024, I don't want to be sending letters trying to find out what all the other items of money that have to be coming were not addressed in the \$7 million. Sounds like a lot of money now to take care of everything. But who's going to be cleaning that Underline portion of Coral Gables. If we want to keep the City beautiful then we are going to have to shed the money, like we are doing in another project in which we spent \$180,000 for painting a crosswalk and now we have to spend the extra money just to maintain it. Somebody has to clean the house after the house is bought. So, yes, I want to know where that money is going to be coming from, from that portion that is not covered in the \$7 million. We are all going to be celebrating with our masks, I believe, and social distancing while my money is going for a project that I'm not even going to enjoy, because now its filled with homeless and filled with people and then we cannot do anything because its just public property. So, where the money is coming from is very important. Don't be celebrating yet, because \$7 million is not pocket change. Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you ma'am. Thank you. Next Mr. Urquia.

City Clerk Urquia: Next we have Mr. Javier Banos would like to speak on the item.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Go ahead – two minutes.

Mr. Banos: I would like to commend once again Commissioner Lago and...[Inaudible]...and the messages by everyone for the Underline, for his leadership and for everything he meant...in this particular process. I want to remind the Commissioners that you very well know, there is a budgetary process that you undertake. All these concerns about expenditures and things will be deliberated and everyone will have an opportunity to give their input and the city will present staff, as it always happens. This is a savings and it frees up funds for the purposes of...[Inaudible]...So, I think everyone should be happy that we are moving forward. It is a net positive and it is a moment for everyone to get together and really enjoy the celebration that this day should be. Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you sir. Mr. Urquia.

City Clerk Urquia: No one else Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you very much. Anybody on the phone that wishes to speak? – any ecomments? Vice Mayor Lago the floor is yours.

Vice Mayor Lago: If any of my colleagues have any comments before I speak?

Commissioner Mena: I have some comments.

Vice Mayor Lago: Okay.

Commissioner Mena: Commissioner Keon, a couple of points.

Commissioner Keon: Yes.

Commissioner Mena: Your comment about the amounts over \$7 million having to come from somewhere and wanting to keep the funding source. I don't really follow what it is you are saying, because first of all, the Underline is not asking for more than the \$7 million. They are getting a grant to pay for the rest of it. So, with all due respect to them, and I echo our statements about all the wonderful things they've done to get to this point and to get this grant. If they come later asking for more funds and I think they understand that, those funds may not be available to them and they are going to have to have another source. That's number one. But number two, we would be collecting the impact fee over those \$7 million regardless and they would be dealt with by our current impact fee structure and legislation. So, to the extent there is a park in the area – I know we allocate some portion of the impact fees to parks, etc., you mentioned that, but we would do that regardless of whether you keep that language. And so, I don't really follow where you are going substantively with that, and I'd ask for you to clarify, because again, I don't understand it. Number two, with all due respect, you know, you made some summations about people trying to take credit and all you were trying to do, but you could have just proposed an amendment to the Vice Mayor's item during the meeting, as we do all the time, but you chose to put a different item on the agenda. So, I don't think you get to cast stones about people taking credit when also owning what's happening right now. So, let's be fair and let's have a real discussion about what's going on here, but I think that's important to say, because that's not right.

City Commission Meeting

Commissioner Keon: I'm not casting stones. As I told you, I asked to work with him on it and I was told no. So, I'll do this here. And it was easier to have it in writing than it is to propose an amendment to it, because I think its better, its clearer, exactly what it is. That's all it is. The issue is, as we collect impact fees, those impact fees should be allocated in the area of the impact and we should know that. Now, in looking at the funding that they have, the county has provided for the Underline, to consider in the City of Coral Gables. We know that they don't have — what we have is a very firm plan as to where its going to go, the...the walking trail, and the bicycle trail. We know that, that's very clear and that's what's included in here. Now on their website there are a number of items that are enhancement along the Underline, like tables, chairs, a basketball court, a whole variety of things that are still going through the public process of meeting with community members as to how they want to see this go. So those things will need to be, that will need to be funded. So that's all I'm asking...

Commissioner Mena: But I think they know that. Why are you – you are sort of muddying the waters. I don't understand why that they don't know that, and they are asking for \$7 million. But my question is, you keep talking about they should be allocated towards places in the area. We already have impact fee legislation that dictates how impact fees are dealt with. So, I don't understand why you feel the urge to have something in this proposal that deals with impact fees that wouldn't be going to the Underline.

Commissioner Keon: Commissioner Mena, how do we track impact fees? – by the projects they come from, and how do we do that? - they all go in together, and often you have to go back and trace where did those impact fees come from and how they go. And I'm telling you that impact fees...

Commissioner Mena: Then amend the legislation that deals with impact fees generally, that's what you are trying to do here. These are not impact fees that are tied to the Underline, unless this provision says there are, and its not my intention to give the Underline more than \$7 million they are asking for. So, you keep talking about Jaycee Park and other parks in the area. Why wouldn't that be subject to our normal impact fee legislation and provisions?

Commissioner Keon: Because they wouldn't. But we know how much we have. This way you know what you have, you know its there, and you know its available for that area, because the impact fees are general. And what I asked for is that you continue to collect these fees...

Commissioner Mena: We are going to collect the fees regardless.

Commissioner Keon: I know that we are going to collect it. It's how...

Commissioner Mena: Wouldn't you be treating those properties differently, wouldn't you be treating those properties in that corridor differently than every other property and project in the city subject to our standard impact fee legislation?

Commissioner Keon: I would hope not, because I would hope that...

Commissioner Mena: It would be.

Commissioner Keon: No, because I think that there is a number of projects that are now being built in the north Gables when we increased the density of the buildings and there is a lot of stuff that's going up. So, there is a lot of impact fees that will be generated in that area, and those impact fees should be, they should be for the...[Inaudible]

Commissioner Mena: That's a discussion about our impact fee legislation. That's not what's on the table today.

Vice Mayor Lago: Mayor, if I may.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Folks, folks come on, we are going off the subject.

Commissioner Keon: It ends any further collection of any dollars for impact fees for the Underline, and I'm going to tell you, they are going to need additional fees. I don't see any reason to not continue to collect those fees and allocate them at the sole discretion of the Commission. Because you know what, I don't want to have to go defund some other project to fund that.

Commissioner Mena: And just to be clear, I'm not going to. So, they are coming and asking for \$7 million and you are assuming that they don't know how much they need and I'm not sure I agree.

Vice Mayor Lago: Mayor, if I may.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Let me take control of this. The Vice Mayor has made a motion, it hasn't been seconded yet and Commissioner Keon had presented the item as a modification of the motion, as an amendment of the motion, and that is the proper way of doing it, versus this discussion that we are having.

Commissioner Mena: I'll second the Vice Mayor's motion.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: You seconded, okay. Will you call the roll please?

Commissioner Keon: I'm asking to accept that amendment.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay. Anybody second the motion to amend the Vice Mayor's motion, anybody second?

Commissioner Keon: To not stop the collection of the impact fees in the area. That you allow them to continue to collect, go into the ledger that can be later used as they develop the schematic for the Underline. That's all I'm asking.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Is there a second to the amendment?

Vice Mayor Lago: May I say something?

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Wait, wait, wait.

City Commission Meeting July 14, 2020

13

Commissioner Mena: I already seconded it without the amendment, to be clear.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: I know. But is there a second to...

Commissioner Mena: But you can't undo that.

City Attorney Ramos: Right. So, two things, one is, the motion was the Vice Mayor's so there is going to be an amendment, he's got to accept it. But Commissioner Mena has already seconded, so we need to take a vote on that second.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay. Will you call the roll on that?

Commissioner Mena: Not that we have any further discussion, but I did second his proposal.

Vice Mayor Lago: I would like to have a little further discussion, just to give a little bit of clarity on my ordinance, excuse me, my resolution. What we are doing here is, like I told you, I don't want to muddy the waters. I want to amend 2017-357. And our City Attorney and our Finance Director are available and so is our ACM. Everybody on this Commission today, along with our staff knows that there is a detailed plan for financing. Its been delineated. The project names are on a spreadsheet and its very detailed in regard to how that funding is going to come. And the reason why its detailed is because I wrote the impact fee legislation that takes impact fees from 1,000 feet away from the Underline and applies it to fund the Underline. I'm the one that wrote that legislation.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Its very clear Vice Mayor.

Vice Mayor Lago: If you would allow me Commissioner Mena, excuse me, Commissioner Keon, I'm almost done and then we can take...So the Underline is requesting \$7 million. We are going to, hopefully, get above \$7 million, and the City Manager can attest to that. We talked about that in the beginning. But we also wanted to include a little bit of a buffer, because there is maybe one project that is currently right now not a hundred percent committed to get off the ground. They are making some final modifications and they may or may not get off the ground. So, we wanted to have a buffer. And Mr. Manager, correct me if I'm wrong, we had a buffer of around \$600,000, correct?

City Manager Iglesias: \$6 or \$700,000, which gives us a ten percent contingency to meet our \$7 million-dollar goal. There is financing in place, there is a mechanism in place. The projects are already delineated very clearly. We are not talking about north Gables, we are not talking about any of those projects. We are not talking about our downtown, Coral Gables, because it has to be projects located with 1,000 feet of the Underline. I don't want to muddy up the waters to make a point. I want to be very clear. This is a project, like I respect all my colleagues when they embark on a project or when they are bringing forward a piece of legislation, where I have been working on for the last six or seven years. Just like for example, if you look at the Sunshine meeting that Commission Keon mentioned, which is available to the public to view it. I recommend you view it, so you can see it for yourself. The example that I give is a very clear one and that is, I am

presenting today a piece of legislation, a discussion item, excuse me, which talks about the Commodore Trail; and the Commodore Trail is going to be a wonderful project, but its going to take three to four years to bring to fruition. And I have allocated through County Commissioner Xavier Suarez \$250,000 to the city, so they can use that money to start planning for the Commodore Trail. And the reason why I bring that up is because I brought that up at the Sunshine meeting and I said, the time to co-sponsor, the time to get involved in a piece of legislation is at the beginning of that legislation, not when we are crossing the finish line. I'll work with everyone. If the Commission here, I wish I could take Vice Mayor Quesada, Vice Mayor Kerdyk and Mayor Cason, if the Commission would like as-a-whole to co-sponsor this event with me, let's do it on the floor like Commissioner Mena makes. But the idea of amending a piece of legislation to delineate the financing its already in place. Its delineated in my impact fee ordinance, along with the spreadsheet that all of us have seen that the Manager and the Finance Director have shown us.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Vice Mayor let me interrupt. You are speaking against the amendment and that is absolutely your right, but let's vote on the motion first, then we will take up the amendment.

Vice Mayor Lago: Perfect. I'm not speaking against the amendment. What I'm saying is, there is just no need, there is no need. Its already very clear. We are coming from \$15 million down to \$7 million that we are going to collect over ten percent more money, as a result of the projects that are expected to come to fruition. It is what it is. There is a motion and there is a second. So, I'm ready for a vote.

Commissioner Keon: Commissioner Lago what I was expecting you to do was to maintain that ledger as we collect the remainder of the impact fees.

Vice Mayor Lago: The ledger will remain.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Will you take the roll please.

Commissioner Mena: Hold on. Can we have clarification from the City Attorney about what Commissioner Keon's difference in her resolution actually.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: No, no, no. Commissioner Mena let's take a vote on Vice Mayor's motion, which you seconded and then we'll take on Commissioner Keon's.

Commissioner Mena: I'm not going to take a vote without that information, so.

City Attorney Ramos: Both resolutions can't pass, only one or the other.

Vice Mayor Lago: Yes. And by the way, if I may, of course my impact fee legislation which dedicates impact fees within 1,000 feet of the Underline, has to continue in place. You want to know why, because a lot of these projects are still in the design phase and they haven't paid for their permits, and you pay your impact fees when you pay for your permits or right before. So of course, my legislation continues and its going to continue feeding the Underline, the \$7 million that we are allocating to the Underline. And I had a conversation with the Underline, many conversations in reference to the \$7 millions and they assured me, and Meg is here and so is her *City Commission Meeting July 14, 2020*

team, and if they disagree I welcome them to please state it now, that \$7 million was what they needed to cross the finish line, and we are collecting ten percent more than that, and that's why I said that at some point I would like to extinguish my legislation about impact fees going to the Underline, so that we can continue to improve our existing parks and use those impact fees and use those monies to continue to improve our much needed parks. So, we are ready for a vote if you'd like.

Assistant City Attorney Throckmorton: So, Vice Mayor Lago, can I just clarify one thing?

Vice Mayor Lago: Yes ma'am.

Assistant City Attorney Throckmorton: The way your resolution is currently written, it does completely replace that 2017 legislation about setting aside the money. So, we could clarify in your resolution as written that it would stand through the funding of the \$7 million. Right now, it would end right now. We know what is coming down the pipeline, but it would end that setting aside an allocation. We can clarify, if you'd like, that it would stay in place through the funding of September of 2024.

Vice Mayor Lago: But don't forget that my legislation...

Commissioner Keon: So that would be the amendment.

Vice Mayor Lago: There is a separate legislation that deals with the impact fees that are 1,000 feet from the Underline.

Assistant City Attorney Throckmorton: That's the same resolution -2017-357 that your current resolution is amending would replace that and stop setting aside those monies that you need today.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Let's vote on Commissioner Lago's motion and then we will continue the discussion.

Commissioner Fors: If that's the case, we'll work on it Mr. Mayor, if that's the case that it sets aside that original resolution, then I think Vice Mayor Lago's resolution already accomplishes what Commissioner Keon is trying to accomplish with her amendment.

Commissioner Keon: No.

Vice Mayor Lago: We are only resetting one part of the resolution. I have it here in front of me. We are taking the number from 15 down to \$7 million. So that was the intent of the legislation.

Assistant City Attorney Throckmorton: Vice Mayor if that's the case we can clarify, because the way its written right now it replaces the entire resolution with this new resolution, so it would stop setting aside any impact fees for the Underline in the ledger. It doesn't mean it couldn't be used for the Underline in the future, but it doesn't separately account for that.

Vice Mayor Lago: That is the intent of the legislation, its always been the intent and that's why we were amending the legislation; we are amending the legislation, as I've stated to go from \$15 million to \$7 million, after winning this incredible \$22 million grant.

Assistant City Attorney Throckmorton: And to clarify, you would stop setting aside those monies once the \$7 million is reached?

Vice Mayor Lago: Oh, of course. That would be the intent because that's not only my intent, that's the intent of the Underline. The Underline has been very clear about that.

Assistant City Attorney Throckmorton: That's not the way it currently – I think the way its currently drafted it would immediately cease setting aside those funds. So, if there was a new project, for instance, that would appear in the next three years somewhere with a million dollars of impact fees that would not be earmarked for the Underline under the way the legislation is currently drafted. We can amend that to be clear, that any monies through September of 2024 or any monies received up until that account of \$7 million is reached, we can amend your item as its presented right now. Commissioner Keon's resolution let's that 2017 resolution stand as-is currently.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Let's not speculate on what may happen in 2024 or whatever. The resolution speaks for itself and let's take a vote on it, unless you to continue the discussion and then we can continue the discussion until 1 p.m.

City Attorney Ramos: Vice Mayor that's an important point. Its sounding to me like you'd like to continue to leave that in place until the \$7 million number is reached.

Vice Mayor Lago: Madam City Attorney, when we've had this conversation and maybe this is more geared toward the Manager, how were we expecting to deliver on the \$7 million commitment to the Underline. Were we just going to use good faith or were we going to continue to, obviously use a mechanism, a legislative mechanism to deliver on those \$7 million? What was the plan of the Manager and his Finance Director?

Assistant City Attorney Throckmorton: I don't want to speak for the Manager, but the way it's written it's the park and mobility impact fees.

Vice Mayor Lago: That's the answer that I was expecting. That's the reason why I was expecting.

City Manager Iglesias: If I may say. The plan is to continue funding added to the \$7 million is reached.

Vice Mayor Lago: And that's what's detailed. So, I think we've muddied the waters enough. It pretty much speaks for itself and I'm ready to move forward and call for a vote.

City Attorney Ramos: Vice Mayor can you make that motion with the amendment that it continues until \$7 million is reached, so the record is clear.

Vice Mayor Lago: What does the legislation say right now? City Commission Meeting July 14, 2020 City Attorney Ramos: It gets rid of 2017-357 completely. You're saying you'd like to amend that to have 2017-357 continue to exist until the \$7 million is reached, at which point it will sunset.

Vice Mayor Lago: I think it speaks for itself. My question that was answered, that was very vaguely answered was, how were we expecting to deliver on the \$7 million commitment that we made, which was \$15 million before, how were we expecting with my resolution, amending my resolution, how are we expecting to deliver on those monies?

Assistant City Attorney Throckmorton: But Vice Mayor, its my understanding like the Manager just clarified that would come from park and mobility impact fees from projects within 1,000 feet, any monies received from the Gables Station development beyond the park and mobility impact fees. However, what your resolution does now is it ceases setting aside any additional monies that might come in from new projects from now on, any project that happens within 1,000 feet of the Underline will not be explicitly allocated towards the Underline, including those monies that we already haven't collected from those projects in that spreadsheet, but the Manager has made clear that those would be used to fund the \$7 million, but they would not be legislatively set aside the same way.

City Manager Iglesias: Let me just clarify that. I would set aside any monies within 1,000 feet that occur between now and 2024, up to a limit of \$7 million, and that's what we intend to do.

Vice Mayor Lago: And that's my intent.

City Manager Iglesias: And that's clear.

Assistant City Attorney Throckmorton: Vice Mayor if you want to just say that on the record and amend your motion just so we can add a line that clarifies through 2024 or up to \$7 million projects within 1,000 feet of the Underline would be set aside for the Underline.

Vice Mayor Lago: But I think you said it before. I don't think my resolution that we worked on together, you and I, Stephanie, for a while, I think its very, very clear what it says. I don't think we need to amend anything. I think its very clear and I think we should vote on it as it is.

Commissioner Mena: I just want to make clear at no point are we setting aside anything above the \$70 million. That to me is the key here.

Commissioner Keon: Okay. But it needs to go through 2024.

Commissioner Mena: But not over \$7 million.

Commissioner Keon: But I'm telling you it needs to read that it needs to go through 2024, so that you make sure...

Commissioner Mena: But you are also saying it needed to go up to 15, so I am hearing you...

Commissioner Keon: No.

City Manager Iglesias: Let me, I think I need to clarify.

City Commission Meeting

Commissioner Keon: No, I didn't say that. Because what I said was...

Commissioner Mena: That's what the resolution says.

Commissioner Keon: The resolution was never intended to give them \$15 million.

Commissioner Mena: Up to.

Commissioner Keon: That was half.

Commissioner Mena: Right.

Commissioner Keon: So that it would never...

Commissioner Mena: Are you taking the cap off?

Commissioner Keon: No, you are not taking the cap off. You can still...

Commissioner Mena: So, then you can go over seven. If you don't take cap off you can go over

seven, right? I just said, my goal is for it not to go over seven.

Commissioner Keon: Okay, then do that. Then do that. Otherwise...

Commissioner Mena: That's what I'm trying to do.

Commissioner Keon: All I've asked is that it is at the discretion of the Commission to allocate over seven, whatever it is, but you need to make sure it stays in place until you have corrected those dollars.

Commissioner Mena: But you are also asking that there be a cap that's still above \$7 million.

Speaker: I think I may be able to add value to this conversation with...

Commissioner Keon: That doesn't make any...one way or the other.

Commissioner Mena: I see that, but it does to me.

City Manager Iglesias: I would like to clarify something. I believe that the Underline needs and that is I'd like to restate that not up to \$7 million, but we will provide \$7 million to the Underline between 22 and 24. Its very important, I think the resolution should be written that way for the Underline folks, and I believe that we can certainly attain that through fees within 1,000 feet of the Underline from mobility and parks. I want to make it clear. We will provide the \$7 million to the Underline from mobility and impact fees from 22 to 24.

Vice Mayor Lago: Madam City Attorney, if you read – I think we are going in circles here. If you read the resolution, we'll get there, we are going to bring this for a landing. If you read the resolution, by the way, since we are talking about it, I'm excited that everybody wants to talk about the Underline. Its something I think wonderful, so if we can have this conversation and to have Commissioner Keon engaged in the Underline is something exceptional. I'm excited about having this conversation.

City Commission Meeting

Commissioner Keon: Well thank you. Thank you.

Vice Mayor Lago: And bringing this much publicity, its great to have you on board, even if its at the finish line.

Commissioner Keon: Well thank you.

Vice Mayor Lago: If you read the resolution, if you read the header of the resolution its very clear. It says, the city's contribution to the Underline to a sum-certain of \$7 million, such funds come from park and mobility impact fees. Its very clear. It's the first line under the word says resolution - \$7 million. I think we have a motion and a second. Let's just take a vote on this, we've got a long agenda today.

Commissioner Keon: Listen, I really think you have...listen to what the city says and to how things should be written so that there are bright lines. Now three of you sitting on that dais are attorneys, I find it hard to believe that you don't want bright lines in your legislation.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Mr. Urquia please call the roll.

Commissioner Mena: No, no, no, when somebody addresses me, I'm going to respond Mayor.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: We've been going around in circles for an hour.

Commissioner Mena: That's what happens when you put two items on the agenda that are different from each other on the same issue. But let's be clear. I've been very explicit about the bright line I want, Commissioner Keon, and I think your proposal doesn't establish it, which is – let me finish please. I don't want anything more than the \$7 million being allocated to the Underline for a variety of reasons; one is, they are not asking for it; two is, we have a lot of really important projects we can allocate that money towards; and three is, we are going to collect those fees regardless and they are already going to be allocated pursuant to our impact fee legislation. So, I do want a bright line which is \$7 million. You on the other hand, you on the other hand, said you don't care about what the cap is, which would mean that your bright line is anywhere between \$7 and \$15 million, that's not a bright line, that's a gaping hole. I'm not okay with it.

Commissioner Keon: No. Mine was anything above the \$7 million, if you continue to collect it, would be at the discretion of the Commission to allocate.

Commissioner Mena: It would already be. It would already be at our discretion.

Commissioner Keon: [Inaudible]...up to 2024 when we make the final.

Commissioner Mena: You are having two discussions.

Vice Mayor Lago: Mayor. Mayor.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Go ahead.

Vice Mayor Lago: Personal point of privilege, okay, please. I think we've gone around enough, we've discussed this. My resolution stands. We've made a motion, there is a second, let's have a vote. If it stands it stands.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: That's what I've been trying to do for the last hour, Vice Mayor, that's what I've been trying to do.

Vice Mayor Lago: Off with the vote - \$7 million is...

Commissioner Keon: If you want to leave it at \$7 million, you won't in the future allocate anymore then fine.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: The future is the future.

Commissioner Mena: In the future we'll still have discretion. You can't change that. In the future we'll still have discretion. You are building a strong man and tearing it down. In the future any sums collected over \$7 million will still be allocated at our discretion pursuant to our standard impact fee language. So, you are creating a false narrative on that issue.

Commissioner Keon: I think you need to read our state... When this is over read the impact fee line, because it isn't in there.

Commissioner Mena: I've read that many times.

Commissioner Keon: It isn't as clear as it ought to be.

Commissioner Mena: That's not what's on the table today.

Commissioner Keon: It's okay.

Vice Mayor Lago: Mr. Mayor, you can't just make comments like that and say its not clear. I sat down, obviously due to the Sunshine...

Commissioner Keon: [Inaudible]

Vice Mayor Lago: Madam Keon, Madam Keon.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: One at a time please. One at a time.

Commissioner Keon: City language in general, in general.

Vice Mayor Lago: Madam Keon, if you allow me the privilege please. We all sat down with the City Manager, the projects are delineated very clearly. There is nothing vague or ambiguous about this. Let's get away from one resolution or another. Let's not put the Underline in the middle of this. We are ready to take a vote on this matter, its very clear, it says up to \$7 million, and we have about a ten percent contingency of potential projects that will come in. So, let's move forward. Mayor, if you would call for a vote, I would greatly appreciate it.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: I've been calling – this is the hundredth time I call for a vote. Mr. Urquia will you call the roll please, the eleventh time.

Commissioner Mena: Yes

Commissioner Fors: Yes

Commissioner Keon: I'll vote yes, because I don't think you are going to talk about the other.

Vice Mayor Lago: Yes

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Yes

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Do you want to make a motion to amend the resolution as passed?

Commissioner Keon: I think it should run through 2024. I do. It's over.

City Attorney Ramos: The resolution has already passed. You would need a motion for reconsideration. You would need vote on that and then have another.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Do you want to make a motion for reconsideration Commissioner Keon?

Commissioner Keon: No. You know what, there's been enough discussion on it. You are clear about it. I do think it should continue.... I think they will come back for more money and I think you should have a source of revenue to be able to do that, because as Commissioner Lago himself said, they haven't finished visioning for the Underline. That's it.

Vice Mayor Lago: If I may answer, Mayor, if I may answer.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: No. Time certain 11 a.m., presentation by Robert Martinez. Item G-1.