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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. CEBALLOS: Yes. | 1 | MR. CEBALLOS: That is not being affected |
| 2 | MR. TORRE: This was their recommendation | 2 | in any way today. |
| 3 | to the Commission? | 3 | MR. TRIAS: The definition of the aggrieved |
| 4 | MR. CEBALLOS: By the Department, not the | 4 | party includes the City Manager. So the City |
| 5 | Board. | 5 | Manager has that authority. |
| 6 | MR. TORRE: By the Historic Preservation | 6 | MR. CEBALLOS: So by the City Manager and |
| 7 | Department? | 7 | their designee, it would basically be the |
| 8 | MR. CEBALLOS: Correct. | 8 | Historic Preservation Department. |
| 9 | MR. TORRE: This was their recommendation | 9 | MR. MURAI: Can we vote on this, please? |
| 10 | of how to take -- | 10 | MR. BEHAR: All right. Let's call the roll |
| 11 | MR. CEBALLOS: Originally, when this was | 11 | to vote on it. |
| 12 | drafted, I followed the will of the Commission | 12 | MR. COLLER: The motion for is |
| 13 | and it was designed to be a bit more open-ended | 13 | recommendation of denial. |
| 14 | even more. Basically, anyone that was a | 14 | MR. BEHAR: Denial. |
| 15 | 501(C)(3), that had as part of their mission | 15 | Hold on a second. |
| 16 | goal preservation in the City of Coral Gables, | 16 | THE SECRETARY: Rhonda Anderson? |
| 17 | could be considered an appellant for this | 17 | MS. ANDERSON: No. |
| 18 | purposes. | 18 | THE SECRETARY: Rene Murai? |
| 19 | It was then narrowly tailored, after the | 19 | MR. MURAI: No. |
| 20 | Department's input. So this is a reflection of | 20 | THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? |
| 21 | that Department's desire. | 21 | MR. TORRE: Yes. |
| 22 | MS. VELEZ: The Department could still | 22 | THE SECRETARY: Maria Velez? |
| 23 | appeal the decision of the Board? | 23 | MS. VELEZ: Yes. |
| 24 | MR. CEBALLOS: Yes. | 24 | THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? |
| 25 | MS. VELEZ: That's not being -- | 25 | MR. WITHERS: Yes. |
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| 1 | THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? | 1 | MR. BEHAR: Now, Mr. Trias, can you |
| 2 | MR. BEHAR: Yes. | 2 | please -- |
| 3 | MR. CEBALLOS: Thank you. | 3 | MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, the request is |
| 4 | MR. BEHAR: Now let's go back to the | 4 | for four tables on the sidewalk on Miracle Mile |
| 5 | regular agenda, Item E-1. Mr. Attorney, can | 5 | right in front of Wolfe's Wine. As you know, |
| 6 | you read it, for the record, please? | 6 | that business has been there for a while, and |
| 7 | MR. COLLER: Item E-1, a Resolution of the | 7 | restaurants are allowed to have outdoor seating |
| 8 | City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida | 8 | under a permit for outdoor seating on Miracle |
| 9 | granting conditional use approval pursuant to | 9 | Mile; however, Wolfe's Wine is a retail store, |
| 10 | Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts," | 10 | so it's not a restaurant. |
| 11 | Section 4-206, "Business Improvement Overlay | 11 | So then the Commission, fairly recently, |
| 12 | District", to permit outdoor seating fronting | 12 | adopted this process by which a non-restaurant |
| 13 | Miracle Mile for a nonrestaurant facility, | 13 | could have outdoor seating as a Conditional |
| 14 | Wolfe's Wine Shoppe, on property legally | 14 | Use. It's a process that the Commission is |
| 15 | described as Lots 16 and 17, Block 3 of Crafts | 15 | still discussing, whether it's the best process |
| 16 | Section, 124 Miracle Mile; including required | 16 | or not, but at this point, this is the process |
| 17 | conditions; providing for severability, | 17 | we have. |
| 18 | repealer and an effective date. | 18 | Mr. Wolfe is here. He has complied with |
| 19 | Item E-1, public hearing. | 19 | the notice requirements and so on, and if you |
| 20 | MR. MURAI: Didn't we do this already? I | 20 | have any questions, but this is really just to |
| 21 | thought we went through all of it. | 21 | have four tables in front of the store. |
| 22 | MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman -- no, let me -- | 22 | MR. BEHAR: Mr. Wolfe, would you like to |
| 23 | MR. MURAI: We didn't do this? | 23 | come up and say something? No. Okay. |
| 24 | MR. BEHAR: No, we haven't. | 24 | Do we have any member of the public wish to |
| 25 | MS. ANDERSON: Not the application. | 25 | speak on this item? |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Seeing none, we'll close it and bring it | 1 | this idea, to make it a little more streamline, |
| 2 | back to the Board. | 2 | I believe -- |
| 3 | Chip, we'll start with you this time. | 3 | MR. BEHAR: -- process. |
| 4 | MR. WITHERS: I'm okay with it. | 4 | MR. TRIAS: Now, I think that that's likely |
| 5 | MR. BEHAR: Maria? | 5 | to happen, but as of today, we do have this |
| 6 | MS. VELEZ: I'm fine. | 6 | process in place and Mr. Wolfe has complied |
| 7 | MR. BEHAR: Venny? | 7 | with the requirements. |
| 8 | MR. TORRE: I'm perfectly fine with it. | 8 | MR. TORRE: So this is the precursor to the |
| 9 | I'm concerned about the time it takes to get | 9 | Commission approval that is conditional base? |
| 10 | somebody like Mr. Wolfe to have the ability to | 10 | MR. TRIAS: Yes. |
| 11 | do this. And how we started, this is the | 11 | MR. TORRE: This is the precursor to that? |
| 12 | comment you made, didn't we do this before, and | 12 | MR. TRIAS: Yes. The process includes |
| 13 | I thought we had, and here we are doing it | 13 | Planning \& Zoning, and then Commission. |
| 14 | again. | 14 | MR. TORRE: Okay. |
| 15 | So I'm concerned, you know, why did we take | 15 | MR. MURAI: May I move it? May I move |
| 16 | this process. And, again, could you explain | 16 | approval, please? |
| 17 | it, because I'm confused why he's here today | 17 | MR. BEHAR: Any comments? |
| 18 | and not already having drinks outside. | 18 | MS. ANDERSON: I have a couple of comments |
| 19 | MR. TRIAS: Yes. I mean, I can answer that | 19 | on the tables, not that it's going to affect |
| 20 | question directly. This has been discussed for | 20 | approval, because I am in favor of it. I was |
| 21 | a while, and what happened is that the | 21 | up in Winter Park. There was a wine bar there. |
| 22 | Commission, the last action they took is, to | 22 | There was a lot of activity. |
| 23 | make the request a Conditional Use. Now, the | 23 | I would just refrain from using tables that |
| 24 | consequence of that is that it's a very lengthy | 24 | are high top tables, because it tends to crowd |
| 25 | process, et cetera. So they're re-visiting | 25 | the sidewalks and we end up with a problem |
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| 1 | there, and check the height for the leg | 1 | THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? |
| 2 | supports, so that if it's an individual with a | 2 | MR. WITHERS: Yes. |
| 3 | wheelchair, they're not hitting their knees. | 3 | THE SECRETARY: Rhonda Anderson? |
| 4 | Those are my only two comments on that. | 4 | MS. ANDERSON: Yes. |
| 5 | And you've already moved it? | 5 | THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? |
| 6 | MR. MURAI: I've moved it. | 6 | MR. BEHAR: Yes. |
| 7 | MR. BEHAR: I would, just for the record, | 7 | Thank you. |
| 8 | state that on behalf -- I apologize for how | 8 | * * * * * |
| 9 | long it's taken for you to be able to do that, | 9 | (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at |
| 10 | and I think it's a great idea. And Mr. Trias, | 10 | 8:57 p.m.) |
| 11 | we should look at the process, to make sure | 11 |  |
| 12 | that -- | 12 |  |
| 13 | MR. TRIAS: We are. We already have the | 13 |  |
| 14 | proposed language. | 14 |  |
| 15 | MR. BEHAR: Expedite this. | 15 |  |
| 16 | MR. TRIAS: Yes. | 16 |  |
| 17 | MS. ANDERSON: Okay. I'll second it. | 17 |  |
| 18 | MR. BEHAR: We have a second. | 18 |  |
| 19 | Can you please call the roll? | 19 |  |
| 20 | THE SECRETARY: Rene Murai? | 20 |  |
| 21 | MR. MURAI: Yes. | 21 |  |
| 22 | THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? | 22 |  |
| 23 | MR. TORRE: Yes. | 23 |  |
| 24 | THE SECRETARY: Maria Velez? | 24 |  |
| 25 | MS. VELEZ: Yes. | 25 |  |

