

City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Staff Report

Applicant: City of Coral Gables

Application: Zoning Code Update: Technical Corrections

Public Hearing: Planning and Zoning Board

Date & Time: October 16, 2019; 6:00 – 9:00 p.m. deferred from September 11, 2019

Location: City Commission Chambers, City Hall,

405 Biltmore Way, Coral Gables, Florida 33134

1. APPLICATION REQUEST

The City of Coral Gables is requesting review and consideration of the following:

- 1. An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code (Zoning Code) and the City of Coral Gables Code (City Code) transferring the following divisions and sections from the Zoning Code to the City Code: Article 3, "Development Review," Article 4, "Zoning Districts," Section 4-414, "Wild animals and reptiles, keeping," Article 5, "Development Standards," Division 15, "Platting Standards" Section 5-1510, "Standards for Subdivision Improvements," and Division 22, "Underground Utilities"; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date.
- 2. An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 3, "Development Review," Division 3, "Uniform Notice and Procedures for Public Hearing," Section 3-302 "Notice," Division 5, "Planned Area Development," Section 3-506 "Application and review procedures for approval of plans;" Division 14, "Zoning Code Text and Map Amendments," Section 3-1404 "Standards for review of applicant-initiated district boundary changes," Section 3-1405 "Standards for review of text amendments to these regulations and for City-initiated district boundary changes," Section 3-1408 "City Commission review and decision," Division 16, "General Procedures for Developments of Regional Impact;" Article 4, "Zoning Districts," Section 4-206 "Business Improvement Overlay (BIOD) District;" Section 4-402 "Prohibited uses, certain streets;" Article 5, Section 5-1406 "Visibility Triangles;" Section 5-1408 "Common Driveways and Remote Off-Street Parking;" Article 8 "Definitions;" and Appendix A "Site Specific Zoning Regulations;" clarifying prohibited uses on certain streets; removing conflicting and outdated provisions regarding the DRI process, miscellaneous submittal requirements, city-initiated standards for review, BIOD process, visibility triangles, remote parking, certain definitions, and conflicting site specifics from the Zoning Code; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date.

3. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

At the request of the City Commission, the Zoning Code is currently being updated to be reorganized and streamlined.

The first part of the Zoning Code Update is the reorganization of the Table of Contents which was reviewed by the Planning and Zoning Board in February and by the City Commission in March 2019. The new Table of Contents will be adopted at the same Commission meeting as First Reading of the Technical Corrections.

The second part consists of technical corrections to either remove outdated information from the Zoning Code or transfer unnecessary information to the City Code. Below are the proposed sections.

4. PROPOSED ZONING CODE UPDATE TECHINCAL CHANGES

The proposed items below to be transferred from the Zoning Code to the City Code are provided in Attachment A.

- 1. Wild animals and reptiles, keeping. (Section 4-414) will be moved to Chapter 10 Animals.
- 2. Standards for subdivision improvements (Section 5-1510) will be moved to Subpart B Land Development, Chapter 62.
- 3. Underground Utilities (Article 5, Division 22) will be moved to Subpart B Land Development, Chapter 70.

The proposed items below to be removed from the Zoning Code are provided in Attachment B in strikethrough/underline format.

- 1. Developments of Regional Impact (DRI) Process and references
- 2. Miscellaneous submittal requirements and city-initiated standards for review
- 3. Visibility triangles for properties without sidewalks
- 4. BIOD outdoor seating expedited process and permit expirations
- 5. Prohibited uses, certain streets
- 6. Remote parking
- 7. Definitions
- 8. Site Specific that conflicts existing site specific

5. FINDINGS OF FACT

In accordance with Section 3-1405 of the Zoning Code, the Planning and Zoning Board shall not recommend adoption of, and the City Commission shall not adopt, text amendments to these land Zoning Code unless the text amendment:

- A. Promotes the public health, safety, and welfare.
- B. Does not permit uses the Comprehensive Plan prohibits in the area affected by the district boundary change or text amendment.
- C. Does not allow densities or intensities in excess of the densities and intensities which are permitted by the future land use categories of the affected property.
- D. Will not cause a decline in the level of service for public infrastructure which is the subject of a concurrency requirement to a level of service which is less the minimum requirements of the Comprehensive Plan.
- E. Does not directly conflict with an objective or policy of the Comprehensive Plan.

Staff finds that all five of these criteria are satisfied, as the proposed changes are outdated provisions.

6. COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CONSISTENCY

In accordance with Section 3-1407 of the Zoning Code, the Planning and Zoning Board shall determine whether the Zoning Code text amendment is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. Staff finds that the proposed text amendment <u>is consistent</u> with the Comprehensive Plan.

7. PUBLIC NOTIFICATION

The following has been completed to provide notice of the request:

Type	Date
Legal advertisement for PZB Zoning Code Update workshop	09.12.18
Legal advertisement for October PZB meeting	10.05.18
Legal advertisement for February PZB meeting	02.01.19
Legal advertisement for September PZB meeting	08.30.19
Posted agenda on City web page/City Hall	08.30.19
Posted Staff report on City web page	09.06.19
Legal advertisement for October PZB meeting	10.04.19
Posted agenda on City web page/City Hall	10.04.19
Posted Staff report on City web page	10.11.19

8. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The Planning and Zoning Division recommends approval.

9. ATTACHMENTS

- A. Proposed text to be transferred to City Code.
- B. Proposed text to be removed from Zoning Code.
- C. Excerpts from 05.08.19 PZB meeting.
- D. 10.04.19 Legal advertisement published.
- E. PowerPoint Presentation.

Please visit the City's webpage at www.coralgables.com to view all materials, notices, applicable public comments, minutes, etc. The complete background information also is on file and available for examination during business hours at the Planning and Zoning Division, 427 Biltmore Way, Suite 201, Coral Gables, Florida 33134.

Respectfully submitted,

Ramon Trias

Assistant Director of Development Services

for Planning and Zoning

City of Coral Gables, Florida

Zoning Code Update - Technical Corrections

Transfer to City Code (draft 10 16 19)

There are currently many items and regulations that exist in the Zoning Code that pertain to the public right of way and are proposed to be relocated from the Zoning Code to the City Code. The new locations in the City Code with the below information are currently being finalized. At the beginning of each relocated section in the City Code, a clarification will be added to reference the "Definitions" of the Zoning Code.

The items to be transfer from the Zoning Code to the City Code include:

- 1. Wild animals and reptiles, keeping. (Section 4-414) will be moved to Chapter 10 Animals
- 2. Standards for subdivision improvements (Section 5-1510) will be moved to Subpart B Land Development, Chapter 62
- 3. Underground Utilities (Article 5, Division 22) will be moved to Subpart B Land Development, Chapter 70

ARTICLE 4 - ZONING DISRICTS

* * *

Section 4-414. Wild animals and reptiles, keeping.

Except as provided herein, it shall be unlawful for any person or persons to keep any wild animal within the City of Coral Gables provided, however, this section shall not apply to zoos, pet shops, medical or scientific institutions, or other places licensed for the showing or keeping of wild animals.

A. Standards for issuance of permit:

- 1. In the City Manager's consideration of permits for animals subject to the provisions of this section, there shall be a presumption against the issuance of a permit for any animal or reptile falling within the following classifications:
 - a. Any lizard normally capable of inducing toxic effects through biting, including the Gila monster and the Mexican beaded lizard.
 - b. Any lizard in excess of eight (8) feet in length or of a weight in excess of twenty-five (25) pounds.
 - c. Any alligator, caiman, or crocodile in excess of four (4) feet in length.
 - d. Any ape, including the chimpanzee, gorilla, orangutan, gibbon, or simian.
 - e. Any true monkey but not including the smaller lower primates, such as lemurs, marmosets, etc., provided, however, it shall be unlawful to keep any monkey in such a place so as to be exposed to the public view.
 - f. All members of the flesh-eating order of Carnivore, including non-domestic dogs, cats, foxes, seals, raccoons, coatamundis, bears, civets, skunks, and related forms.

- g. All horned or hoofed mammals.
- h. Elephants.
- 2. There shall be a presumption in favor of the issuance of a permit to keep animals which do not fall within the classifications set forth in Section 4-414(A)(1) above; provided, however, the City Manager may still in the exercise of discretion deny a permit where the keeping of such animal is dangerous and harmful to human safety.

Section 4-415. Domestic animal and fowl.

It shall be unlawful for any person to keep, harbor, breed or feed any horses, ponies, cattle, goats, pigs or other livestock, or any pigeons, peacocks, chickens, ducks or roosters, or other fowl.

Section 4-416. Possession, harboring, sheltering or keeping of cats and dogs.

- A. It shall be unlawful for any person to possess, harbor, shelter, or keep more than four (4) adult cats or four (4) adult dogs at any one time, except veterinary hospitals properly licensed by the City.
- B. It shall be unlawful to maintain any cat or dog so as to create a nuisance by way of noise, odor, menace to health, or otherwise.

* * *

ARTICLE 5 - DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

* * *

Section 5-1510. Standards for subdivision improvements.

The following design and construction standards shall apply:

- A. Monuments. Monuments shall be placed at all block corners, angle points, points of curves in streets, and at intermediate points as shall be required by the Director of Public Works. The monuments shall be of such material, size and length as may be approved by the Public Works Director.
- B. Streets. Streets, alleys and appurtenances thereto shall conform to the following:
 - 1. All streets and alleys shall be constructed and surfaced in accordance with the standard specifications of the Public Works Department. Such construction shall be subject to inspection and approval by the Public Works Director.
 - Drainage and drainage structures shall be provided on all streets and alleys in accordance with the standard specifications of the Public Works Department. In addition, curbs and gutters shall be provided in all commercial, apartment, hotel, industrial and similar districts. Such construction shall be subject to the inspection and approval by the Public Works Director.
- C. Sidewalks. In all commercial, multi-family, industrial and similar districts concrete sidewalks shall be constructed along each side of every street shown on the plat in accordance with the standard specifications of the Public Works Department.
- D. Street name signs. Street name signs shall be placed at all street intersections within or abutting the

- subdivision. Such signs shall be of a type approved by the City, and shall be placed in accordance with the standard specifications of the Public Works Department.
- E. Street lighting. Street-lighting facilities shall be provided and installed in all subdivisions. The minimum requirement for such lighting facilities shall be one (1) foot candle average maintained. However, no luminance ratio shall exceed twelve-to-one (12:1). A detailed plan showing the light standards, the locations of the light, wiring diagram and construction details, for the system shall be submitted to the Public Works Director for approval.
- F. Water supply. The subdivider shall furnish the public works director a plan showing all proposed and existing water mains, and give sufficient proof that arrangements have been completed to insure installation of such water system. The water main plan shall be subject to approval by the Public Works Director.
- G. Fire hydrants. Fire hydrants shall be installed in all subdivisions. Evidence shall be submitted to give proof that arrangements have been made to complete installation of such hydrants. The plan for hydrant locations shall be subject to approval by the Public Works Director.
- H. Sanitary sewer. Where a public sanitary sewer is reasonably accessible, each lot within the subdivided area shall be provided with a connection thereto. All connections shall be subject to the approval of the Public Works Director.
- I. Parkway landscaping. All parkways shall be properly treated with topsoil, sprigged, landscaped, and maintained until growth is relatively permanent. The plan for such landscaping shall be equal to the established standards of the City, and subject to the approval of the Public Service Director.
- J. Land filling. All land within subdivisions shall be filled to minimum average settled elevation of plus six (6) feet above the national geodetic vertical datum (N.G.V.D.) or mean sea level (M.S.L.), and no elevation shall be less than plus five and five-tenths (5.5) feet above the national geodetic vertical datum (N.G.V.D.) or mean sea level (M.S.L.); provided, however, that where bulkheads are provided on waterfront property, the land within a distance of ten (10) feet from the bulkheads may gradually slope to the minimum required elevation of such bulkheads. The plan and additional documents showing proposed elevations, test borings, sources and types of fill, methods of filling, and method of disposal of vegetation and undesirable materials shall be subject to approval by the Public Works Director. After completion of land filling, the subdivider shall submit to the city a topographical survey prepared by a registered land surveyor or engineer to assure compliance with the minimum standards of this Subsection.
- K. Bulkheads. When contour of the land is changed, bulkheads shall be required on all waterfront property. The minimum elevation of such bulkheads shall be plus four and five-tenths (4.5) feet national geodetic vertical datum (N.G.V.D.) or mean sea level (M.S.L.), and the type and design shall conform to the public works department standards and shall be subject to the approval by the Public Works Director and the City's Structural Engineer.
- L. Bridges. Bridges shall be provided by the subdivider across all canals and waterways to provide adequate ingress and egress to all areas. The design of such bridges shall be in accordance with the Public Works Department standards and shall be subject to approval by the Public Works Director.
- M. Underground utilities. All utility lines shall be installed in conformance with the requirements of Article 5, Division 22.

* * *

Division 22. Underground Utilities

Section 5-2201. Requirement for underground utilities.

- A. Purpose. The purpose of this Division is to require the installation of utility service facilities underground to assure the public safety, foster tree preservation, and improve and protect the aesthetic character of the City.
- B. Applicability. Except as expressly provided hereinafter, all utility lines, including but not limited to those required for electrical power, distribution, telephone, and communication, street lighting, and television signal service shall be installed underground. This Section shall apply to all cables, conduits or wires forming part of an electrical distribution system including service lines to individual properties and main distribution feeder electric lines delivering power to local distribution systems, provided that it shall not apply to wires, conductors or associated apparatus and supporting structures whose exclusive function is in transmission of electrical energy between generating stations, substations and transmission lines of other utility systems. Appurtenances such as transformer boxes, pedestal mounted terminal boxes, and meter cabinets may be placed above ground but shall be located in conformance with the requirements of the Manual of Public Works Construction. This Section shall be applicable to the following uses:
 - 1. Except for rehabilitation of structures of less than fifty (50%) percent of value, all new construction and utility installations shall be required to be underground.
 - 2. When a structure undergoes a rehabilitation wherein the cost of the rehabilitation is fifty (50%) percent or more of the replacement value of the existing structure as determined by the Miami-Dade County Property Appraiser, utility service facilities for that structure shall be converted from overhead to underground.
- C. Conversion of overhead to underground facilities. Whenever overhead utility distribution facilities have been converted to underground facilities, the property owners in the area to be served by the new facilities shall be required to arrange for the conversion of their existing service facilities in accordance with these regulations and, where applicable, utility company specifications for underground service. For electric service facilities, such conversion shall include but shall not be limited to rearranging existing electric service entrance facilities and necessary facilities within buildings and structures to accommodate the undergrounding of utilities. The property owner shall be responsible for all costs associated with the modification of service facilities for the affected property to accommodate underground utility service.
- D. Notice of conversion requirement. The City shall notify each property owner when conversion from overhead to underground utility distribution service is complete. The notice shall be served by registered mail, addressed to the owner or owners of the property described as they are known to the City Manager or as their names and addresses are shown upon the records of the County Tax Assessor, or other public records of the City or County, and shall be deemed complete and sufficient when so addressed and deposited in the United States mail with proper postage prepaid. All necessary modifications and arrangements for use of underground facilities shall be completed within ninety (90) days of receipt of such notification.
- E. Notice of property owner's failure to convert facilities.
 - 1. If the City Manager determines that a building has not completed conversion to underground utility

service facilities, he or she shall notify the owner of that building in writing and demand that the owner cause the conversion to be made within sixty (60) days of the date of service of the notice. The notice shall be by registered mail and in the form set forth in Subsection (2) of this Section. If such notice is returned by postal authorities, the City Manager shall cause a copy of the notice to be served by a law enforcement officer upon the occupant of the land or upon any agent of the owner thereof.

2. If personal service upon the occupant of the land or upon any agent of the owner thereof cannot be performed after reasonable search by a law enforcement officer, the notice shall be served by physical posting on the property, and by publication in a newspaper of general circulation at least twice, seven days between publications, and thirty (30) days before the date the conversion is required. The notice shall be in substantially the following form:

"NOTICE REQUIRING CONVERSION OF UTILITY SERVICE FACILITIES

Name of Owner	
Address of Owner_	

Our records indicate that you are the owner(s) of the following land in the City of Coral Gables, Florida: (describe property).

An inspection of this land discloses, and I have found and determined, that a building is located thereon which has not converted its (state type of utility) service facilities from overhead to underground service.

You are hereby notified that unless this building converts its (state type of utility) service facility from overhead to underground service within thirty (30) days of personal service upon you of this notice, or of the second publication hereof, the City will proceed to cause the conversion of these facilities and the cost of the work, including advertising costs and all other expenses necessary to complete the conversion will be imposed as a lien on the land if not otherwise paid within ninety (90) days after the conversion has been completed and the cost thereof ascertained by the City of Coral Gables."

- F. Conversion of facilities by City; Lien; Recording; Redemption.
 - 1. If within sixty (60) days after service of the notice as set forth in Subsection (E) above, or by physical posting of the notice on the property, or within thirty (30) days of notice by publication in a newspaper the required conversion of service of facility has not been effected, the City Manager shall cause the conversion to be made by the City at the expense of the property owner. The cost of the conversion shall constitute a lien upon the real estate served thereby. Upon ordering a conversion of service facilities to be made by the City, the City Manager shall cause to be recorded in the public records a notice of utility service conversion lien pending, which shall include a description of the property and a statement that a conversion has been ordered, the cost of which shall under this Section constitute a lien. The notice of pending lien shall, eight (8) months after the date thereof, be null and void and constitute no record notice of a pending lien.
 - 2. After causing the conversion of service facilities to be done, the City Manager shall certify to the Finance Director the expenses as may have been approved by the appropriate City Department incurred in effecting the conversion and shall include a copy of the notice set forth in Section (E)

above, whereupon such expense shall become payable within ninety (90) days, after which a special assessment lien and charge will be made upon the property, which shall be payable in ten (10) equal annual installments together with costs of recordation of all documents required to be recorded hereby and with interest to be determined by the City Finance Director on the unpaid balance from the date of such certification until paid; however, the lien may be satisfied at any time by the payment of the entire sum due plus accrued interest, recordation costs, and such expenses and penalties as may result from the advertisement and sale of certificates for delinquent liens as hereinafter set out. The Finance Director shall file for record a notice of such lien in the office of the clerk of the circuit court, and shall keep complete records relating to the amount payable thereon. One-tenth (0.1) of the amount of liens accruing during any year ending on June 1 shall be billed and mailed in the fall of the same year to the owners of land subject to such liens at the same time as tax statements for ad valorem taxes are mailed; and if the amount shall not be paid on or before April 1 of the following year, the entire lien and all annual installments thereof shall be delinquent, overdue and in default.

- 3. The entire amount of the lien may be foreclosed by the City, or in the alternative may be collected by any other legal means, including the advertisement and sale of certificates. Upon full payments of liens provided by this Section or through foreclosure on tax sale certificates, the director of finance shall, by appropriate means, evidence the satisfaction and cancellation of such lien upon the public records. The cost of recordation of the notice of lien pending, the notice of lien, and the satisfaction of lien shall be secured by the lien hereby provided.
- G. Underground facilities to remain underground. Wherever utility service facilities are located underground, such facilities must remain underground and may not thereafter be converted to overhead facilities.

Section 5-2202. Utility poles and underground utilities in SFR, MF1, MF2, and MFSA Districts.

The following provisions shall apply to utility poles and underground utilities on private property for all new construction and for existing construction. For the purpose of this section "service to the building" shall include electrical service, telephone service and television service to the building.

- A. In SFR, MF1, MF2, and MFSA Districts, all utility poles and lines shall be placed in rear yard areas reserved for utility uses by easements granted for that purpose.
- B. The service lines for all utilities for new buildings and or structures on private property shall be placed underground.
- C. The lines for all utilities for existing buildings or structures on private property shall be placed underground when any of the following occur:
 - 1. The service to the building or structure is replaced;
 - 2. The service to the building or structure must be relocated due to an addition or alteration to the building or structure;
 - 3. The service to the building or structure must be upgraded; or
 - 4. An alteration to a building or structure is an Alteration-Level 3 pursuant to the Florida Building Code.

Zoning Code Update - Technical Corrections

Removal from Zoning Code (draft 10 16 19)

There are currently items and regulations that exist in the Zoning Code that are unnecessary or currently outdated.

These items include:

- 1. Developments of Regional Impact (DRI) Process and references
- 2. Miscellaneous submittal requirements and city-initiated standards for review
- 3. Visibility triangles for properties without sidewalks
- 4. BIOD outdoor seating expedited process and permit expirations
- 5. Prohibited uses, certain streets
- 6. Remote Parking
- 7. Definitions
- 8. Site Specific that conflicts existing site specific

ARTICLE 3 - DECISION MAKING AND ADMINISTRATIVE BODIES

* * *

Division 3. Uniform Notice and Procedures for Public Hearing

* * *

Section 3-302. Notice.

* * *

Zoning District Map Amendment				
Initiated by other than the City	Publication	10 days		10 days
	Posting	10 days		
	Mail	13 days	13 days	
< 10 contiguous acres ; City initiated	Publication	10 days		10 days
	Posting	10 days		
	Mail	13 days	13 days	
> 10 contiguous acres ; City initiated	Publication	10 days	7 days	5 days
	Mail	13 days	13 days	

* * *

A. Publication. The requirements for public notice provided by publication shall be as follows:

* * *

4. Comprehensive Plan, Zoning Code text amendments and Zoning District map amendments >10 acres. Notice for ordinances that change the actual list of permitted, conditional, or prohibited uses within a zoning category/use district, or ordinances initiated by the City that change the actual zoning map designation of a parcel or parcels of land involving ten (10) contiguous acres or more, shall be published

at least ten (10) days prior to the Planning and Zoning Board public hearing, again at least seven (7) days prior to the first City Commission public hearing and again at least five (5) days prior to the second City Commission adoption hearing. Public notice shall be provided as described in the following subsections.

* * *

- 5. Zoning District map amendment. Ordinances initiated by any person other than the City that change the actual zoning map designation of a parcel of land or parcels of land shall be read by title, in full, at two (2) separate City Commission hearings, and shall be published at least ten (10) days before the Planning and Zoning Board public hearing, and again at least ten (10) days before the City Commission adoption hearing.
- 6. Comprehensive Plan small-scale map amendments. Notice of small scale development amendments to the Comprehensive Plan, initiated by other than the City, shall be published at least ten (10) days before the Planning and Zoning Board public hearing, and again at least five (5) days before the City Commission adoption hearing.
- 9. Comprehensive Plan text and map amendments, other than small-scale. All Comprehensive Plan amendments, other than small-scale amendments, shall be published at least ten (10) days before the Planning and Zoning Board public hearing, and again at least seven (7) days before the first City Commission public hearing, and again at least five (5) days before the City Commission adoption hearing.

* * *

Division 5. Planned Area Development

* * *

Section 3-506. Application and review procedures for approval of plans.

A. Application. The applicant for a Planned Area Development shall file a written application therefore with the Planning Department on forms prepared by such department. Such application shall be accompanied by fifteen (15) sets of required plans, technical reports, update reports and/or exhibits. All plans shall have the details needed to enable the department heads, Fire Chief, Boards and City Commission to determine whether the proposed development complies with this section and all other applicable ordinances and regulations of the City. The plans shall have the preliminary approval of the Board of Architects as provided for under Section 3-506(C) herein. Upon receipt of such completed application, all supporting data and exhibits and payment of the required costs and fees, the time periods established in this subsection shall commence. Any application for approval of a plan for a Planned Area Development which meets the definition of a development of regional impact under Chapter 28 of the Florida Administrative Code and/or Development of County Impact as defined under Chapter 33A of the Code of Metropolitan Dade County must be accompanied by the reports, studies and recommendations required for Developments of Regional Impact and/or Development of County Impact provided, however, that the provisions of Development of County Impact does not apply where the development meets the requirement of a Development of Regional Impact.

* * *

Division 14. Zoning Code Text and Map Amendments

Section 3-1404. Standards for review of applicant-initiated district boundary changes.

A. An applicant-initiated district boundary change shall be approved if it is demonstrated that the application satisfies all of the following:

- 1. It is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan in that it:
 - a. Does not permit uses which are prohibited in the future land use category of the parcel proposed for development.
 - b. Does not allow densities or intensities in excess of the densities and intensities which are permitted by the future land use category of the parcel proposed for development.
 - c. Will not cause a decline in the level of service for public infrastructure to a level of service which is less than the minimum requirements of the Comprehensive Plan.
 - d. Does not directly conflict with any objective or policy of the Comprehensive Plan.
- 2. Will provide a benefit to the City in that it will achieve two or more of the following objectives:
 - a. Improve mobility by reducing vehicle miles traveled for residents within a one-half (1/2) mile radius by:
 - i. Balancing land uses in a manner that reduces vehicle miles traveled.
 - ii. Creating a mix of uses that creates an internal trip capture rate of greater than twenty (20%) percent.
 - iii. Increasing the share of trips that use alternative modes of transportation, such as transit ridership, walking, or bicycle riding.
 - b. Promote high-quality development or redevelopment in an area that is experiencing declining or flat property values.
 - c. Create affordable housing opportunities for people who live or work in the City of Coral Gables.
 - d. Implement specific objectives and policies of the Comprehensive Plan.
- 3. Will not cause a substantial diminution of the market value of adjacent property or materially diminish the suitability of adjacent property for its existing or approved use.
- B. An applicant may propose limitations regarding the use, density or intensity which will be permitted on the parcel proposed for development in order to achieve compliance with the standards of Section 3-1404(A). Such limitation(s) shall be offered by a restrictive covenant or declaration of use that is provided to the City in a recordable form acceptable to the City Attorney.

Section 3-1405. Standards for review of text amendments to these regulations and for City-initiated district boundary changes.

The Planning and Zoning Board shall not recommend adoption of, and the City Commission shall not adopt, text amendments to these land development regulations or City-initiated district boundary changes unless the text amendment or City-initiated district boundary change:

- A. Promotes the public health, safety, and welfare.
- B. Does not permit uses the Comprehensive Plan prohibits in the area affected by the district boundary change or text amendment.
- C. Does not allow densities or intensities in excess of the densities and intensities which are permitted by the future land use categories of the affected property.
- D. Will not cause a decline in the level of service for public infrastructure which is the subject of a concurrency requirement to a level of service which is less the minimum requirements of the Comprehensive Plan.
- E. Does not directly conflict with an objective or policy of the Comprehensive Plan.

* * *

Section 3-1408. City Commission review and decision.

- A. For applicant-initiated district boundary changes, text amendments to these regulations, and City-initiated district boundary changes that affect ten (10) or more contiguous acres of property, the City Commission shall hold two (2) public hearings, as follows:
 - 1. At the first public hearing, the City Commission shall read the proposed ordinance by title only.
 - 2. At the second public hearing, the City Commission shall:
 - a. If the proposed ordinance is applicant-initiated, review the application for compliance with the standards set out in Section 3-1404 and decide whether to adopt, adopt with conditions, or reject the proposed ordinance; or
 - b. If the proposed ordinance is City initiated, review the application for compliance with the standards set out in Section 3-1405 and decide whether to adopt, adopt with conditions, or reject the ordinance.
- 3. If the proposed amendment is a district boundary change, changes the list of permitted, conditional, or prohibited uses in a use district, then one (1) of the public hearings shall be held after 5:00 p.m. on a weekday, unless the City Commission, by a majority plus one (1) vote, elects to conduct that hearing at another time of day.
 - B. For City-initiated district boundary changes that affect less than ten (10) contiguous acres of property, the City Commission shall hold one (1) public hearing, at which it shall:
 - 1. Review the proposed ordinance for compliance with the standards set out in Section 3-1405; and
 - 2. Adopt, adopt with conditions, or reject the proposed ordinance.

* * *

Division 16. General Procedures for Developments of Regional Impact

Section 3-1601. Purpose and applicability.

The purpose of this Division is to establish uniform procedures for the City Commission to issue development orders for developments of regional impact as authorized by Chapter 380, Florida Statutes. Where provisions of this Division directly conflict with provisions of Chapter 380, Florida Statutes, the provisions of Chapter 380, Florida Statutes shall control.

Section 3-1602. General procedures for Developments of Regional Impact.

Section 3-1603. Application.

- A. All applications for development orders with regard to a development of regional impact shall be made in writing upon an application form approved by the City, and shall be accompanied by the applicable fees.
- B. If implementation of the proposed development of regional impact requires a comprehensive plan amendment, an application for a comprehensive plan amendment shall be filed concurrently with the application for development of regional impact approval. The application shall be considered concurrently filed if it is received no later than:
 - 1. For a new development of regional impact, the pre-application conference required by Chapter 380.06(7) (a), Fla. Statutes; or
 - 2. For modification of an approved development of regional impact, the submission of an application to modify the development of regional impact.

Section 3-1604. Standards for review of Developments of Regional Impact.

- A. An application for a development of regional impact shall be approved if it is demonstrated that the development of regional impact:
 - 1. Is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan in that it:
 - a. Does not permit uses which are prohibited in the future land use category of the parcel proposed for development.
 - b. Does not allow densities or intensities in excess of the densities and intensities which are permitted by the future land use category of the parcel proposed for development.
 - c. Will not cause a decline in the level of service for public infrastructure to a level of service which is less than the minimum requirements of the Comprehensive Plan.
 - d. Does not directly conflict with any objective or policy of the Comprehensive Plan.
 - 2. Will provide a benefit to the City in that it will achieve two (2) or more of the following objectives:
 - a. Improve mobility by reducing vehicle miles traveled for residents within a one-half mile radius.
 - b. Promote high-quality development or redevelopment in an area that is experiencing declining or flat property values.

- c. Create affordable housing opportunities for people who live or work in the City of Coral Gables.
- d. Provide a net benefit to the long-term fiscal position of the City of Coral Gables.
- e. Implement specific objectives and policies of the Comprehensive Plan.
- 3. Will not cause a substantial diminution of the market value of adjacent property or materially diminish the suitability of adjacent property for its existing or approved use.
- 4. Is consistent with these regulations.
- 5. Is consistent with the report and recommendations of the South Florida Regional Planning Council.
- Is consistent with the South Florida Regional Planning Council Strategic Regional Policy Plan for South Florida.
- 7. Is consistent with the State Comprehensive Plan. In consistency determinations the plan shall be construed and applied in accordance with s. 187.101(3), F.S.
- B. An applicant may propose limitations regarding the use, density or intensity which will be permitted on the parcel proposed for development in order to achieve compliance with the standards of Section 3-1703(A). Such limitation(s) shall be offered by a restrictive covenant or declaration of use that is provided to the City in a recordable form acceptable to the City Attorney.

Section 3-1605. City review, report and recommendation.

- A. Upon receipt of an application pursuant to this Division, the Development Review Official shall review the application in accordance with the provisions of Article 3, Division 2.
- B. Upon completion of review of an application, the Development Review Official shall:
 - 1. Provide the Planning and Zoning Board with a report with regard to the application's compliance with the standards set out in Section 3-1604;
 - 2. Provide the Planning and Zoning Board with a recommendation as to whether the application should be approved, approved with conditions, or denied;
 - 3. Provide a copy of the Development Review Official report and recommendations available to the applicant; and
 - 4. Schedule hearings before the Planning and Zoning Board and the City Commission.
- C. After the Planning and Zoning Board hearing, the Development Review Official shall forward the staff report and recommendation (with revisions, if appropriate) and the findings and recommendation of the Planning and Zoning Board to the City Commission.
- D. The City shall provide notice of public hearings in accordance with the requirements of Article 3, Division 3. In addition to the requirements in Article 3, Division 3, such notice shall state that the proposed development is undergoing development of regional impact review.
- E. In addition to the notice requirements of Article 3, Division 3, notice of public hearings shall be promptly mailed to DCA, the South Florida Regional Planning Council, any state or regional permitting agency participating in a conceptual agency review process pursuant to Section 380.06(9), F.S., and to such other persons as may have been designated by DCA as entitled to receive such notices.
- F. If the application is being processed concurrently with a Comprehensive Plan amendment, Staff shall,

unless the applicant agrees otherwise in writing:

- Provide notice of the transmittal hearing on the Comprehensive Plan amendment pursuant to Article 3, Division 3 within thirty (30) days of the date the application for the amendment is filed; and
- 2. Schedule the public hearing on the transmittal for no later than sixty (60) days after the application for the amendment is filed.

Section 3-1606. Planning and Zoning Board review and recommendation.

- A. The Planning and Zoning Board, sitting as the Local Planning Agency, shall hold a public hearing on the application after:
 - 1. Notice from the South Florida Regional Planning Council that the application is complete; or
 - 2. Notice from the applicant that additional information requested by the South Florida Regional Planning Council will not be supplied.
- B. The Planning and Zoning Board shall:
 - Make written findings with respect to whether the proposed development of regional impact is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan; and
 - 2. Make a written recommendation to the City Commission with regard to whether the application should be approved, approved with conditions, or denied.

Section 3-1607. City Commission review and decision.

- A. A public hearing date shall be set by the appropriate local government at the first scheduled meeting after:
 - 1. Notice from the South Florida Regional Planning Council that the application is complete; or
 - 2. Notice from the applicant that additional information requested by the South Florida Regional Planning Council will not be supplied.
- B. The public hearing date shall be no later than sixty (60) days after the notices set out in Section 3-1607(A)(1) or (2), unless an extension is requested by the applicant and granted by the City Commission.
- C. The City Commission shall hold two (2) public hearings after the public hearing of the Planning and Zoning Board.
- D. If an application for a development of regional impact development order or modification to a development of regional impact development order was filed concurrently with an application for a comprehensive plan amendment, the City shall hear both the application for development approval or the proposed change and the comprehensive plan amendments at the same hearing. However action on each application shall be taken separately.
- E. At the second public hearing, the City Commission shall decide whether to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the application. If the City Commission decides to approve with conditions, said conditions shall be in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 380.06(15) (d) and (e), F.S.
- F. The City Commission shall render its order within thirty (30) days of the public hearing, unless the applicant requests an extension in writing. If the order approves the application or approves the application with conditions, the order shall meet the minimum requirements of Chapter 380.06(15) (c), F.S.

- G. The applicant shall record notice of the development order in accordance with Chapter 380.06(15) (f), F.S.
- H. Administration of the development of regional impact development order shall be in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 380, F.S.

* * *

ARTICLE 4 - ZONING DISTRICTS

* * *

Section 4-206. Business Improvement Overlay (BIOD) District.

* * *

- 4. Outdoor Dining Pre-approved outdoor dining locations and design for restaurants fronting Miracle Mile and Giralda Plaza; expedited process.
 - a. Miracle Mile and Giralda Plaza Expedited Approval Process. The Development Review Official shall serve as a point of contact for applicants for the Miracle Mile and Giralda Plaza. Applications that meet the Pre-Approved Design Standards below shall be subject of Expedited Review and shall be processed within one (1) month of receipt.
 - i. Location. Expedited Review will be provided for outdoor dining for the Pre-Approved Design Standards below on both private property and public right-of-way for properties abutting the following streets:
 - (a) Miracle Mile.
 - (b) Giralda Plaza.

* * *

d. A permit used for Outdoor Dining in the public right-of-way shall be issued for a period of two (2) years, renewable biannually by the Division of Planning and Zoning. Such permit shall be paid on a bi-annual basis.

* * *

Section 4-402. Prohibited uses, certain streets.

- A. Except as provided in Section 4-403(F)(C) and (E), no service station, public garage, auto repair shop, machine shop, used car lot, or any business conducted outside a building shall be permitted on any lots or premises abutting Coral Way (a portion of which is known as Miracle Mile), or Biltmore Way, or upon lots or premises abutting Ponce De Leon Boulevard between Southwest 8th Street and Bird Road.
- B. No driveway for use by motor vehicles or any other purpose shall be permitted to be constructed across the sidewalks on properties abutting Miracle Mile from Douglas Road to LeJeune Road and/or on properties abutting Ponce de Leon Boulevard from Minorca Avenue to University Drive.
- C. No off-street parking shall be permitted to be located on the grade level of buildings constructed on properties abutting Miracle Mile from Douglas Road to LeJeune Road and/or on properties abutting Ponce de Leon Boulevard from Minorca Avenue to University Drive.
- D. No driveway for motor vehicle purposes or any other purposes shall be constructed across the sidewalk or in such yard areas of property abutting both sides of Ponce de Leon Boulevard from Malaga Avenue to Bird Road; driveways existing as of February 26, 1981 may be permitted to remain.

Section 4-403. Business outside a building.

No business shall be permitted unless such business is carried on within and under cover of a building or buildings according to the provisions of this and other ordinances of the City of Coral Gables; provided, however, that this section shall not apply to the following:

- A. Automobile service stations.
- B. Commercial nurseries for the growth and sale of trees, plants and flowers.
- C. Open-air cafes and/or restaurants when approved in accordance with the provisions of Article 5, Division 1.
- D. Open-lot Christmas tree sales, as provided in Article 5, Division 21.
- E. Restaurant drive-in service windows or walk-up counters and bank drive-in or walk-up tellers when approved in accordance with the provisions of Article 5, Division 1.
- F. Used-car lots, when located in accordance with the provisions of Section 4-404.

Section 4-404. Used car lots.

The business or occupation of used car lot shall not be conducted anywhere within the City of Coral Gables except upon premises in an Industrial District or as accessory use in C districts in association with a new car dealership.

* * *

ARTICLE 5 - DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

* * *

Section 5-119. Restaurant, open air.

* * *

- B. Open-air dining on public property, as accessory to a restaurant, provided that:
 - A permit issued for an open-air dining located on public property shall be issued for a period of one
 (1) year, renewable annually by the Planning and Zoning Division. Such permit shall not be
 transferable in any manner.
 - 2. Open-air dining area shall be restricted to the length of the sidewalk or public right-of-way immediately fronting the cafe and/or restaurant. The utilization of space extending no more than twenty-five (25) linear feet on either side beyond the subject property frontage may be authorized subject to annual written consent provided by tenants in front of whose businesses the outdoor dining service would occur.
 - 32. Walk-up counters for the purpose of serving patrons shall require conditional use review and approval pursuant to Article 3, Division 4, Conditional Uses. The service of patrons for walk-up counters shall not encroach into the public right-of-way and shall not interfere with pedestrian circulation on adjacent public sidewalks.
 - 43. There shall be maintained a minimum of five (5) foot clear distance of public sidewalk, free of all obstructions, in order to allow adequate pedestrian movement. The minimum distance shall be

measured from the portion of the open-air dining area nearest either the curb-line or the nearest obstruction.

- <u>54</u>. No awning, canopy or covering of any kind, except individual table umbrellas, shall be allowed over any portion of the open-air dining area located on public property except as allowed under separate covenant process.
- 65. No perimeter structures such as fences, railings, planters or other such barriers shall surround the open-air dining area which would restrict the free and unobstructed pedestrian flow or discourage the free use of the tables or chairs by the general public.
- 76. No signage shall be permitted on the public portion of the property.
- 87. All open-air dining areas shall be at the same elevation as the adjoining sidewalk or public right-of-way.
- 98. Under no circumstances shall any open-air dining interfere with the free and unobstructed public access to any bus stop, crosswalks, public seating areas and conveniences, street intersections, alley, service easements, handicap facilities or access to adjacent commercial establishments.
- 409. The property owner/operator shall be responsible for maintaining the outdoor dining area in a clean and safe condition. All trash, litter and chewing gum shall be removed daily.
- 14<u>0</u>. The hours of operation shall coincide with that of the primary restaurant. Tables, chairs and all other furniture used in the operation of an outdoor dining area shall not be anchored or restrained in any visible manner as with a chain, rope or wire.
- 121. The standards for nighttime uses in Article 4, Division 3 are met.
- 132. Open-air dining may be suspended by the City Manager for community or special events, utility, sidewalk or road repairs, or emergency situations or violations of provisions contained herein. The length of suspension shall be for duration as determined necessary by the City Manager. Removal of all street furniture and related obstructions shall be the responsibility of the cafe and/or restaurant owner/operator.

* * *

Section 5-1406. Visibility triangles.

* * *

- B. Ingress and egress driveways. All ingress and egress driveways in residential districts and Special Use Districts that connect to streets shall provide triangles of visibility as follows:
 - 1. If a sidewalk is located between the property line and the street (sSee Figure B.1), then tThe legs of the triangle of visibility shall:
 - a. Be ten (10) feet long; and
 - b. Meet at the point of intersection of the driveway and the edge of the sidewalk that is closest to or on the property line.
 - 2. If there is no sidewalk located between the property line and the street (see Figure B.2), then the legs of the triangle of visibility shall:

- a. Be ten (10) feet long: and
- b. Meet at the point of intersection of a line that extends from the edge of the driveway and a line that extends from the edge of pavement of the abutting street (flare outs are included within the triangle of visibility).

* * :

Section 5-1408. Common driveways and remote off-street parking.

* * *

- B. Remote off-street parking. As an alternative to, or in conjunction with providing required parking onsite or through payment in-lieu of providing required parking pursuant to City Code Section 74-201(d), an applicant may apply to use remote off-street parking to meet the off-street parking requirements of the Zoning Code for an expansion or change in use of an existing project. The ability to use remote parking may be granted in the reasonable discretion of the City in compliance with the terms of this subsection. The Development Services Director shall approve an application to provide remote off-street parking that is located in the City within one thousand (1,000) feet of the site of the applicant's proposed project, upon finding that all of the requirements of this subsection have been satisfied.
- 1. Definition. For purposes of this subsection, the "applicant" is defined as the owner(s) of the land on which the uses(s) seeking to utilize remote parking is located. The owner of the land on which the remote parking is located may not apply for remote parking, unless that owner also owns the property on which the use seeking to utilize remote parking is located.

2. Applicability.

- a. Location of project and of remote parking spaces. Applications for remote parking shall only be accepted in association with a proposal to expand, or change the use of, an existing project located in the CBD. The remote parking spaces shall be located in the CBD, unless waived pursuant to subsection B.11, but regardless of whether a waiver is obtained, must always be located in the City.
- b. Infeasibility or impracticability of providing required parking. Applications may be approved if the physical layout of the project, as determined in the reasonable discretion of the Director of Development Services, cannot reasonably be altered to provide the Zoning Code-required parking onsite as part of the proposed expansion or change of use.
- c. Applicability not a basis for later enforcement. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, the initial determination of applicability under this subsection B.2 is final, and the City may not later determine that an approved remote parking arrangement is out of compliance based on applicability requirements of this subsection B.2.

* * *

ARTICLE 8 - DEFINITIONS

Fortuneteller means a business primarily engaged in providing advice, predictions, or interpretations of planetary effects, tarot cards or other media on or about future events or human affairs in exchange for financial or other valuable consideration.

Removal from Zoning Code

DRAFT October 16, 2019

Interior courtyard is a courtyard that is enclosed on at least two sides with building walls and enclosed on all of the other sides with walls that exceed four feet in height, a trellis, or covered terrace or any combination thereof.

Parking lot means an unenclosed area reserved for and improved for the temporary storage of motor vehicles.

Office means a use involving a business, profession, service or government activity including laboratories which do not involve retail activities on site and not including veterinary offices and problematic uses.

Parking garage means an above ground or below ground multi-level parking structure.

Problematic uses means commercial retail and service uses which are typically characterized by poorly maintained facilities, loitering and other indices of neighborhood deterioration or urban blight, including but not limited to, day labor agencies, tattoo parlors, body piercing, pawn shops, check cashing centers and blood plasma centers.

APPENDIX A - SITE SPECIFIC ZONING REGULATIONS

* * *

Section A-94-2. Snapper Creek Lakes Sub.

Refer to section A-56 Hammock Lakes for applicable site-specific requirements in addition to general code requirements.

Attachment C

THE SECRETARY: Maria Velez? MS. VELEZ Yes. MS. ANDERSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel? MR. GRABIEL: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez? MS. MENENDEZ Yes. MS. MENENDEZ Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHARMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. CHARMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MS. RUNDENDEZ Yes. MS. RUNDENDEZ The discussion items, I don't take the next items under discussion. Khort recess so the room clears and well take the next items under discussion. MR. COLLER: The discussion items, I don't take the next items under discussion. MR. COLLER: The discussion items, I don't time and save my breath a little bit. MR. TRIAS: I think that's a great idea, MR. TRIAS: I think that's a great idea, MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memons. MR. TRIAS: Clauy. MR. TRIAS: Clauy. MR. TRIAS: Clauy. MR. TRIAS: Clauy. MR. TRIAS: Recursel round make thirs one. I'm not taking about them. MR. TRIAS: Recursel round in the titis only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Recursel round in the titis only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Recursel rounded a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Recursel rounded a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Recursel rounded a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Recursel rounded a statement that we have two memos before you for information. One of them, one of the memos. MR. TRIAS: When the are actually two to topics. The shall we have two memos before you for information. One of them, one of the memos. MR. TRIAS: Group: MR. TRIAS: Clauy. MR. TRIAS: Clauy. MR. TRIAS: When the are actually the provess. MR. MENENDEZ: This is the mem that this is only being a my changes to the Conde? MR. TRIAS: When the memory and the condens that the transfer of any your have any thou		Page 129		Page 130
THE SECKETARY: Rhonda Anderson? MS. ANDERSON: Yes. THE SECKETARY: Julio Grabiel? MR. GRABIEL: Yes. THE SECKETARY: Maria Menender? MS. MENENDEZ: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: With A division of the changes that we have been working on on the provided to you. One is the mento that is titled Removal from the Zoning Code. That's basically a strike-through menn. The other one is a memo that is titled Removal from the Zoning Code. That's basically a strike-through menn. The other one is a memo that is a strike division that transfers cratin text to the code? MS. RUSSO: Thank you very much. MS. RUSSO: Thank you very much. MS. RUSSO: Thank you very much. MR. CHARMAN AIZENSTAT: Let us take just a fifty that the the next items under discussion. (Short recess taken) MR. COLLER: The discussion items, I don't think it's necessary to read in the titles, because they are discussion items. They'e going to come back to you again as more going to come back to you again	1	THE SECRETARY: Maria Velez?	1	·
THE SECRETARY: Rhonda Anderson? MS. ANDERSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY. Julio Grabiel? MR. GRABIEL: Yes. MR. GRABIEL: Yes. MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Elin' Aizerstar? MS. MENENDEZ: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Elin' Aizerstar? MS. MENENDEZ: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Elin' Aizerstar? MS. MENENDEZ: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: So you're saying it's only a transfer? Is there my changes to the Code? MS. MENENDEZ: So you're saying it's only a transfer? Is there my changes to the Code? MS. MENENDEZ: So you're saying it's only a transfer? Is there my changes to the Code? MS. MENENDEZ: The discussion items, I don't this if a necessary or a discussion. MR. TRIAS: No, and a till. Irean, no additions. It's simply removals. MR. TRIAS: Robert was a lintle time and save my breath a little bit. MR. TRIAS: The discussion items, They're going to come back to you again as more going to			2	
MS. ANDERSON: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel? MR. GRABIEL: Yes. MR. GRABIEL: Yes. MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. MR. MENENDEZ: Wes. MR. MENENDEZ: So you're saying it's only a transfer? Is there any changes to the Code? Wes. MR. GRABIEL: Wes. MR. TRIAS: Wes. And it is presented to you, just so you see where we are and see if you have any ideas or any thoughts or anything that is missing. It's for discussion. It gives the year discussion items. They're going to come back to you again as more you fave any ideas or any thoughts or anything that is missing. It's for discussion. It gives the year discussion items. They're going to come back to you again as more you have any ideas or any thoughts or anything that is missing. It's for discussion. It gives you an opportunity to get an update on the process. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said MR. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. Fin not talking about them. MR. MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that this is proven the first one, which is F-1. My question is, I MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that this is proven the provided of the memos, I was a statement that this is an transfer. This is a remothal transfer of the process. MR. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the most. MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with on			3	,
THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel? MR. GRABIEL: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez? THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez? MS. MENENDEZ: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eish Aizenstar? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let us take just a five-minute recess so the room clears and well five-minute recess taken. MR. COLLER: The discussion items, I don't like it hink it is necessary to read in the titles. Because they are discussion items. They're go going to come back toy out again as more of ficial items. So that will save us a little go going to come back toy out again as more of the first official items. So that will save us a little go going to come back toy out again as more of the first official items. So that will save us a little go gives you an opportunity to get an update on the process. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ckay. AR. TRIAS: I think that's a great idea, MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. Page 131 the language. Page 131 The kanguage. AR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos before you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said MR. TRIAS: That's not what I				-
6 MR. GRABIEL: Yes. 7 THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez? 8 MS, MENENDEZ: Yes. 9 THE SECRETARY: Elih Azenstar? 10 CHAIRMAN AZENSTAT: Yes. 11 Thank you very much. 12 MS, RUSSO: Thank you very much. 13 CHAIRMAN AZENSTAT: Let us take just a five-minate recess so the room clears and well take the next items under discussion. 14 five-minate recess so the room clears and well take the next items under discussion. 15 MR. COLLER: The discussion items, I don't think it's necessary to read in the titles, 18 because they are discussion items. They're 20 going to come back to you again as more 21 official items. So that will save us a little 21 gives you an opportunity to get an update on time and save my breath a little bit. 22 time and save my breath a little bit. 22 mark. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. 4 MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. Mr. TRIAS: Okay. 24 mR. TRIAS: Okay. 25 mR. TRIAS: Okay. 26 more. The not talking about them. 27 more thanking about them. 28 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 27 more. The not talking about them. 29 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 29 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 20 more, I'm not talking about them. 20 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 20 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 21 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 21 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 22 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 24 mr. TRIAS: Okay. 25 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 26 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 27 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 27 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 27 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 28 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 29 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 29 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 20 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 20 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 21 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 21 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 22 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 24 mr. TRIAS: Okay. 25 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 26 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 27 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 28 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 29 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 29 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 29 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay. 20 mr. MR. TRIAS: Okay				_
THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez? 8 MS. MENENDEZ: Yes. 9 THE SECRETARY: Eith Aizenstat? 10 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. 11 Thank you very much. 12 MS. RUSSO: Thank you very much. 13 GNAMAN AIZENSTAT: Let us take just a five entire thank you very much. 14 five-minute recess to the room clears and well thank thank as so the room clears and well thank thank as so the room clears and well thank thank as so the room clear and well thank as the ment items under discussion. 15 Use of thank is in secessary to read in the titles, thank as the content of the content of the study being done by outside consultants? 16 (Short recess taken.) 17 MR. COLLER: The discussion items, I don't think it is necessary to read in the titles, thank as the property of the study being done by outside consultants? 18 MR. RTIAS: No. and it is presented to you, just so you see where we are and see if you have any ideas or any thoughts or anything that is missing. It's for discussion. It gives you an opportunity to get an update on the process. 19 MR. TRIAS: Thank as great idea, 24 mr. Attorney. 20 MR. TRIAS: Thank as great idea, 24 mr. Attorney. 21 MR. TRIAS: Thank as great idea, 24 mr. Attorney. 22 MR. TRIAS: Thank as great idea, 24 mr. Attorney. 23 MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry, I might not have understood it. You're saying that this is just a transfer. You're not removing anything from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is indeed, emoval from Zoning Code. 24 MR. TRIAS: Okay. 25 MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. The not talking about the first one of the memos, and yes, maybe the first one deals with only the presentance of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. 26 MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Can we just take one at a time, would be my suggestion? 27 MR. TRIAS: Yes. 28 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 29 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 20 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 21 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 22 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 2				-
8 basically a strike-through memo. The other one is a memo that transfers certain text to the CHARMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. 10 CHARMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. 11 Thank you very much. 12 MS. RUSSO: Thank you very much. 13 CHARMAN AIZENSTAT: Let us take just a five-minute recess so the room clears and well take the next items under discussion. 14 five-minute recess so the room clears and well take the next items under discussion items, I don't this it necessary to read in the titles. 16 (Short recess taken.) 17 MR. COLLER: The discussion items, I don't this its necessary to read in the titles. 18 because they are discussion items. They're going to come back to you again as more 20 going to come back to you again as more 21 official items. So that will save us a little 22 time and save my breath a little bit. 23 CHARMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. 24 MR. TRIAS: Hink that's a great idea, MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. 25 MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. 26 MR. TRIAS: Chay. 27 MS. MENENDEZ: The talking about them. 28 MR. TRIAS: Chay. 29 MR. TRIAS: Chay. 30 MR. TRIAS: Chay. 31 MR. TRIAS: Chay. 41 MS. MENENDEZ: The talking about the very a first one, which is F-1. My question is, I hought you had made a statement that this is pust are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning 11 Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? 31 MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. 32 MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, and, each of the monos, deals with romoval from the zoning Code. 31 MR. TRIAS: Chay. 32 MR. TRIAS: Chay. 33 MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, and, each of the memos, deals with romoval from the zoning Code. 34 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 35 MR. TRIAS: We have two memos before you for information. One of them, one of the memos, deals with romoval from the zoning Code. 34 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 35 MR. TRIAS: Wes			1	
THE SECRETARY: Eith Alzenstar? OCHARMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. OCHARMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. OCHARMAN AIZENSTAT: Let us take just a 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				ū
CHARMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. Thank you very much. MS. MENSOS: Thank you very much. CHARMAN AIZENSTAT: Let us take just a five-minute recess so the room clears and well thank the next items under discussion. It is take the next items under discussion items. I don't think it's necessary to read in the titles, because they are discussion items. They're going to come back to you again as more going that is missing. It's for discussion. It gives you an opportunity to get an update on the process. Page 131 Page 131 Page 132 Page 133 The language. Page 134 MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: In talking about them first one. The not talking about them with a pure two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: The talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is only height as a statement that this is going done to mother section. Why are you rolling your cyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, and, yet yet with the process. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. In the process and this process obso				
11 MS. MENENDEZ: So you're saying it's only a transfer? Is there any changes to the Code? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let us take just a five-minute recess so the room clears and we'll take the next items under discussion. 15 Itake the next items under discussion. 16 (Short recess taken.) 17 MR. COLLER: The discussion items, I don't think it's necessary to read in the titles, 18 because they are discussion items. They're 20 going to come back to you again as more 21 official items. So that will save us a little 21 gives you an opportunity to get an update on the area and save up breath a little bit. 22 time and save up breath a little bit. 23 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. 24 MR. TRIAS: I think that's a great idea, 25 MR. Attorney. 25 MR. Attorney. 26 MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said 3 we have two memos. 4 MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. 5 MR. TRIAS: Okay. 7 MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very 8 first one, which is F-1. My question is, I only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes? 13 MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes? 14 MR. TRIAS: Which one of the memos, 6 deals with removal from the Zoning Code. 15 MR. TRIAS: Which one of the memos, 19 deals with removal from the Zoning Code. 19 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 22 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 23 MR. MENENDEZ: That his cone is just stransfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, 18 MR. TRIAS: Senerally. Like, for example, 24 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 24 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 24 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 24 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 24 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 25 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 26 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 26 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 27 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take?				
MS. RUSSO. Thank you very much. The CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let us take just a five-minute recess so the room clears and we'll take the next items under discussion. The five-minute recess to the room clears and we'll take the next items under discussion. The five-minute recess to the room clears and we'll take the next items under discussion. The five-minute recess to the room clears and we'll take the next items under discussion. The five-minute recess to the room clears and we'll take the next items under discussion. The five-minute recess to the room clears and we'll take the next items under discussion. The five-minute recess to the room clears and we'll take the next items under discussion. The five-minute recess to the room clears and we'll take the next items under discussion. The five-minute recess to the room clears and we'll take the next items under discussion. The first one back to you again as more and the first one. I'm and take the process. The first one which is first one what I said. I said we have two memos. The language. Page 131 The language. Page 131 The language. Page 131 The language. Page 131 The language. Page 132 MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing anything from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MR. TRIAS: We have two memos before you for information. One of them, one of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: We have two memos before you for information. One of them, one of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: We have two d				
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let us take just a five-minute recess so the room clears and well take the next items under discussion. MR. GRABIEL: Is this a result of the study being done by outside consultants? MR. GRABIEL: Is this a result of the study being done by outside consultants? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And it is presented to you, just so you see where we are and see if you have any ideas or any thoughts or anything that is missing. It's for discussion. It gives you an opportunity to get an update on the process. MR. TRIAS: On that will save us a little bit. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. TRIAS: Ithink that's a great idea. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MR. MR. TRIAS: That alking about the first one. If most part of the process with the BIOD district, the Business limprovement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: The deals with only the transfer. MR. TRIAS: No, not at all. I mean, no additions. It's simply removals. MR. TRIAS: No, not at all. I mean, no additions. It's simply removals. MR. TRIAS: No, not at all. I mean, no additions. It's implement to the study being done by outside consultants? MR. TRIAS: Pes. And it is presented to you, just so you see where we are and see if you have any ideas or any thoughts or anything that is missing. It's for discussion. It gives you any operutinity to get an update on the process. MR. TRIAS: That's fix that is not what I said. I said at tarnsfer. You're not removing anything from a transfer. You're not removing anything from MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled. Removal from Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strick-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place				
14 five-minute recess so the room clears and we'll 15 take the next items under discussion. 16 (Short recess taken.) 17 MR. COLLER: The discussion items, I don't 18 think it's necessary to read in the titles, 19 because they are discussion items. They're 20 going to come back to you again as more 21 official items. So that will save us a little 22 time and save my breath a little bit. 23 CHAIRMAN AZENSTAT: Okay. 24 MR. TRIAS: I think that's a great idea, 25 MR. Attorney. 26 MR. Attorney. 27 MR. Attorney. 28 MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said 30 we have two memos. 4 MR. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first 4 one. I'm not talking about them. 4 MR. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the wery 8 first one, which is F-I. My question is, I 9 thought you had made a statement that this is 10 only being transferred to another section. Why 11 are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning 12 Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? 13 MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that this we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, we have two memos before you for 18 information. One of them, one of the memos, 29 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 20 MR. MR. MENENDEZ: Cokay. Core at a time, would be my suggestion? 21 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 22 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 23 MR. MR. MENENDEZ: The talking about the take? 24 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 25 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 26 MR. MR. MENENDEZ: The fact that because it's obsolete or is that because it's obsolete				-
take the next items under discussion. 16 (Short recess taken.) 17 MR. COLLER: The discussion items, I don't think it's necessary to read in the titles, 18 you, just so you see where we are and see if you have any ideas or any thoughts or anything that is missing. It's for discussion. It official items. So that will save us a little 21 gives you an opportunity to get an update on the process. 23 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. 23 MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry, I might not have understood it. You're saying that this is just a transfer. You're not removing anything from Page 131 1 the language. Page 131 1 the language. Page 131 1 the language. Page 131 1 MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. You're saying that this is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. MR. TRIAS: Yes. And it is presented to that it is missing. It's for discussion. It gives you an opportunity to get an update on the process. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the value understood it. You're saying that this is just a transfer. You're not removing anything from Page 131 Page 132 Page 132 MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I shaded a statement that this is in this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. MENENDEZ: Collay. Can we just take one at a time, would be my suggestion? MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I as obsolete. So we're taking that tout. There are some things that really yes,				
16 (Short recess taken.) 16 17 MR. COLLER: The discussion items, I don't 17 MR. TRIAS: Yes. And it is presented to 18 you, just so you see where we are and see if you again as more 20 you again as more 21 official items. So that will save us a little 21 gives you an apportunity to get an update on the process. 23 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. 23 MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry, I might not have understood it. You're saying that this is just a transfer. You're not removing anything from Page 131 Page 132				
think it's necessary to read in the titles, because they are discussion items. They're going to come back to you again as more conficial items. So that will save us a little conficial items. So that will save understood it. You're saying that it is missing. It's for discussion. It conficial items. So that will save understood it. conficial items. So th		***************************************		•
think it's necessary to read in the titles, pecause they are discussion items. They're going to come back to you again as more official items. So that will save us a little complete time and save my breath a little bit. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. TRIAS: I think that's a great idea, Mr. Attorney. Page 131 the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MR. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I moly being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that two have two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that two have two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that that is missing. It's for discussion. It gives you an opportunity to get an update on the process. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry, I might not have understood it. You're saying that this is just a transfer. You're not removing anything from MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business limprovement Overlap Spirict. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete. So we're taking that out. There		,		
because they are discussion items. They're going to come back to you again as more official items. So that will save us a little time and save my breath a little bit. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. TRIAS: I think that's a great idea, MR. Attorney. Page 131 Page 132 MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry, I might not have understood it. You're saying that this is just a transfer. You're not removing anything from Page 131 MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about the very first one, Which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two offiannees, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two offiannees, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. MS. MENENDEZ: Chan, or of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Chan, can we just take one at a time, would be my suggestion? MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, DRIS, they don't exist anymore. So that's obsolete. So we're taking that out. There are some things that really – yes,				-
going to come back to you again as more official items. So that will save us a little 21 gives you an opportunity to get an update on the process. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. 23 MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry, I might not have understood it. You're saying that this is just a transfer. You're not removing anything from Page 131 the language. 1 MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business we have two memos. 3 with the BIOD district, the Business when have two memos. 4 MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. 5 MR. TRIAS: Okay. 6 certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is stitled, Removal from Zoning Code. 1 MS. MENENDEZ: Ry supersonal information. One of them, one of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Can we just take one at a time, would be my suggestion? 1 MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, DRIS, MENENDEZ: The first one, due to go like this. I don't know why. It's 1 MS. MENENDEZ: There are some things that really — yes, and the too go like this. I don't know why. It's 1 MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. There are some things that really — yes, and the too go like this. I don't know why. It's 1 MS. MENENDEZ: To bosolete. So we're taking that out. 1 MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, 24 MR. TRIAS: Mich one would you like to take? 25 MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-I. I 23 obsolete. So we're taking that out. 1 MR. TRIAS: Obsolete. So we're taking that out. 1 MR. There are some things that really — yes, 1 MR. There are some things that really — yes, 1 MR. There are some things that really — yes, 1 MR. There are some things that really — yes, 1 MR. There are some things that really — yes, 1 MR. There are some things that really — yes, 1 MR. There are some things that really — yes, 1 MR. There are some things that really — yes, 1 MR. There are some things that really — yes, 1 MR. There are some things that really — yes, 1 MR. There are		•		
official items. So that will save us a little time and save my breath a little bit. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. TRIAS: I think that's a great idea, Mr. Attorney. Page 131 the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MR. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: Ohay. MR. TRIAS: Dhy drestoin is, I whogh to play the place, which is F-1. My question is, I are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Secause I made a statement that whe have two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: This is a mupdate on the process. MR. Attorney. MR. Attorney. MR. Attorney. Page 131 Page 132 MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? MR. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, MR. TRIAS: How the momens on things that really yes,			1	
time and save my breath a little bit. 22 the process. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. TRIAS: I think that's a great idea, Mr. Attorney. Page 131 Page 132 Page 132 I the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: The talking about the first one. I'm not talking about the memos, which is F-1. My question is, I only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that his information. One of them, one of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal? MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal from that is information. One of them, one of the temos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal? MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal? MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal? MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: This deals with so ne is just transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. T				_
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. TRIAS: I think that's a great idea, MR. Attorney. Page 131 the language. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry, I might not have understood it. You're saying that this is just a transfer. You're not removing anything from Page 132 MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. MR. TRIAS: Decause I made a statement that this is one for the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Chay. So this is the thereoval? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that that we have two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, DRIS, they don't exist anymore. So that's obsolete. So we're taking that out. MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, DRIS, they don't exist anymore. So that's obsolete. So we're taking that out.				
Page 131 Page 132 the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: Ohay. MR. TRIAS: Ohay. MR. TRIAS: That should the first one, which is F-1. My question is, I only being transferred to another section. Why first one, which is F-1. My question is, I mony being transferred to another section. Why MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, the mark of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: Decause I made a statement that MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that MR. TRIAS: Because I made as the memos, the first one deals with only the transfer. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: Recause I made as the memos, the MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal; mr. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MR. TRIAS: Because I made as the memos, the MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, DRIs, they don't exist anymore. So that's obsolete. So we're taking that out. There are some things that really yes,				-
Page 131 Page 132 the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer. MS. MENENDEZ: The first one of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the fe-1. I AR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, DRIS, they don't exist anymore. So that's obsolete. So we're taking that really yes, MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. There are some things that really yes,		-		
the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I whought you had made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why if are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. MS. ANDERNDEZ: I'm talking about the first MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business limprovement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removal from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because - MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I ANS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I ANS. MENENDEZ: The so that's obsolete. So we're taking that out. There are some things that really yes,				
the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very MS. MENENDEZ: Rim talking about the very MS. MENENDEZ: Rim talking about the very MS. MENENDEZ: Rim talking about the very MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Woe're taking that out. There are some things that really yes,	25	Mr. Attorney.	25	a transfer. You're not removing anything from
MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said We have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing mr. TRIAS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing mr. TRIAS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing mr. TRIAS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing mr. TRIAS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing mr. TRIAS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing mr. TRIAS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing mr. TRIAS: Rall with removal from that is mr. TRIAS: Removal from that is mr. TRIAS: Mr. TRIAS: And this one is just mr. Treas not transfer of mr. Treas not transfer of mr. Treas not transfer of mr. Treas: There's no transfer of mr. Treas: This is a removal? mr. Treas: This is that because it's mr. Treas: There's not treas: There's no transfer of mr.		Dago 121		
MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said We have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing mR. TRIAS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing mR. TRIAS: Rheals with removal from the twery mR. TRIAS: And this one is just mR. TRIAS: And this one is just mR. TRIAS: Nere's no transfer of mR. TRIAS: This hat because it's obsolete or is not transfer of mR. TRIAS: Which one of the memos, mR. TRIAS: This is a removal? mR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: This is a temporal mR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: This is that because it's mR. TRIAS: This is a temporal mR. TRIAS: This is that because it's mR. TRIAS: This is that because it's mR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Obsolete or is that you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's mR. TRIAS: Obsolete or is that because mR. TRIAS: Obsolete or is that because mR. TRI		Page 131		Page 132
we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. We have two memos before you for MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because — MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: We're taking that out. There are some things that really — yes,	1		1	
MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Can we just take one at a time, would be my suggestion? MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I Ave to go like this. I don't know why. It's MR. Threare some things that really yes,		the language.		MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1?
one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I months being transferred to another section. Why months are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that that he we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. MR. TRIAS: As a removal? MR. TRIAS: As a removal? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that that transfer. MR. TRIAS: As a removal? DRIATIANA AS Cenerally. Like, for example, DRIS, they don't exist anymore. So that's obsolete. So we're taking that out. There are some things that really yes,	2	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said	2	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals
MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I fithed, Removal from Zoning Code. MR. TRIAS: Obera's not transfer on additions. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. MR. TRIAS: Obera's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, DRIs, they don't exist anymore. So that's obsolete. So we're taking that out. There are some things that really yes,	2	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos.	2 3	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business
MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I first one, which is F-1. My question is, I fittled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's no thing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because at a time, would be my suggestion? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: The first one, the F-1. I Ave to go like this. I don't know why. It's There are some things that really yes,	2 3 4	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first	2 3 4	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District.
first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is nolly being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: And this one is just transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. We have two memos before you for information. One of them, one of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because at a time, would be my suggestion? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I have to go like this. I don't know why. It's w. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, DRIS, they don't exist anymore. So that's obsolete. So we're taking that out.	2 3 4 5	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them.	2 3 4 5	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing
thought you had made a statement that this is 9 MS. MENENDEZ: Right. 10 only being transferred to another section. Why 11 are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning 12 Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? 13 MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that 14 we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, 15 yes, maybe the first one deals with only the 16 transfer. 17 We have two memos before you for 18 information. One of them, one of the memos, 19 deals with removal from the Zoning Code. 19 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's 10 MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Can we just take one 21 at a time, would be my suggestion? 22 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 23 MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I 24 have to go like this. I don't know why. It's 29 MS. MENENDEZ: There are some things that really yes,	2 3 4 5 6	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from
only being transferred to another section. Why 10 MR. TRIAS: And this one is just 11 are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning 12 Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? 13 MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that 14 we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, 15 yes, maybe the first one deals with only the 16 transfer. 17 We have two memos before you for 18 information. One of them, one of the memos, 19 deals with removal from the Zoning Code. 19 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's 20 MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Can we just take one 21 at a time, would be my suggestion? 22 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 23 MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I 24 have to go like this. I don't know why. It's 20 MR. TRIAS: And this one is just 30 MR. TRIAS: And this one is just 31 strike-throughs. There's no additions. 31 There's nothing new. There's no additions. 31 There's nothing new. There's no additions. 31 There's nothing new. There's no transfer of 31 any text to any other place. It's simply the 32 removal. 33 MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a 34 transfer? This is a removal? 34 MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. 35 MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. 36 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's 37 obsolete or is that because	2 3 4 5 6 7	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is
11 are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning 12 Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? 13 MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that 14 we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, 15 yes, maybe the first one deals with only the 16 transfer. 17 We have two memos before you for 18 information. One of them, one of the memos, 19 deals with removal from the Zoning Code. 20 MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Can we just take one 21 at a time, would be my suggestion? 22 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 23 MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I 24 have to go like this. I don't know why. It's 24 There are some things that really yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code.
Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that may text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer. We have two memos before you for information. One of them, one of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because at a time, would be my suggestion? MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I average of the memos. DRIs, they don't exist anymore. So that's obsolete. So we're taking that out. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: Yes. OHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, DRIs, they don't exist anymore. So that's obsolete. So we're taking that out. There are some things that really yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right.
MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. We have two memos before you for information. One of them, one of the memos, MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because at a time, would be my suggestion? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I have to go like this. I don't know why. It's MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? There are some things that really yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just
we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. We have two memos before you for information. One of them, one of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because at a time, would be my suggestion? MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I have to go like this. I don't know why. It's There are some things that really yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions.
yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. 16 transfer? This is a removal? We have two memos before you for 17 MR. TRIAS: Yes. 18 information. One of them, one of the memos, 19 deals with removal from the Zoning Code. 20 MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Can we just take one 21 at a time, would be my suggestion? 21 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 22 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 23 MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I 24 have to go like this. I don't know why. It's MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, DRIs, they don't exist anymore. So that's obsolete. So we're taking that out. There are some things that really yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of
transfer. We have two memos before you for information. One of them, one of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because at a time, would be my suggestion? MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? There are some things that really yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the
We have two memos before you for information. One of them, one of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because at a time, would be my suggestion? MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? DRIs, they don't exist anymore. So that's obsolete. So we're taking that out. have to go like this. I don't know why. It's There are some things that really yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal.
information. One of them, one of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because at a time, would be my suggestion? MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? There are some things that really yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a
deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Can we just take one at a time, would be my suggestion? MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I have to go like this. I don't know why. It's CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, DRIs, they don't exist anymore. So that's obsolete. So we're taking that out. There are some things that really yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal?
MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Can we just take one at a time, would be my suggestion? MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I have to go like this. I don't know why. It's obsolete or is that because MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, DRIs, they don't exist anymore. So that's obsolete. So we're taking that out. There are some things that really yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. We have two memos before you for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes.
at a time, would be my suggestion? 21 MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, 22 MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? 23 MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I 24 have to go like this. I don't know why. It's 25 MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, 26 DRIs, they don't exist anymore. So that's 27 obsolete. So we're taking that out. 28 There are some things that really yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. We have two memos before you for information. One of them, one of the memos,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir.
MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? DRIs, they don't exist anymore. So that's MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I have to go like this. I don't know why. It's DRIs, they don't exist anymore. So that's obsolete. So we're taking that out. There are some things that really yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. We have two memos before you for information. One of them, one of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's
MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I 23 obsolete. So we're taking that out. have to go like this. I don't know why. It's 24 There are some things that really yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. We have two memos before you for information. One of them, one of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Can we just take one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because
have to go like this. I don't know why. It's 24 There are some things that really yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. We have two memos before you for information. One of them, one of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Can we just take one at a time, would be my suggestion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example,
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. We have two memos before you for information. One of them, one of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Can we just take one at a time, would be my suggestion? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, DRIs, they don't exist anymore. So that's
2.5 something I have 2.5 the answer is, yes, it's basically obsolete.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. We have two memos before you for information. One of them, one of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Can we just take one at a time, would be my suggestion? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, DRIs, they don't exist anymore. So that's obsolete. So we're taking that out.
I de la companya de	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the language. MR. TRIAS: That's not what I said. I said we have two memos. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the first one. I'm not talking about them. MR. TRIAS: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm talking about the very first one, which is F-1. My question is, I thought you had made a statement that this is only being transferred to another section. Why are you rolling your eyes, Mr. Planning Director? Why are you rolling your eyes? MR. TRIAS: Because I made a statement that we have two Ordinances, two Ordinances, and, yes, maybe the first one deals with only the transfer. We have two memos before you for information. One of them, one of the memos, deals with removal from the Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Can we just take one at a time, would be my suggestion? MR. TRIAS: Which one would you like to take? MS. MENENDEZ: The first one, the F-1. I have to go like this. I don't know why. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. ANDERSON: Which is F-1? MS. MENENDEZ: F-1 is the one that deals with the BIOD district, the Business Improvement Overlay District. MR. TRIAS: This deals with removing certain outdated conflicting regulations from the Zoning Code. So this is the memo that is titled, Removal from Zoning Code. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. TRIAS: And this one is just strike-throughs. There's no additions. There's nothing new. There's no transfer of any text to any other place. It's simply the removal. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this isn't a transfer? This is a removal? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that because it's obsolete or is that because MR. TRIAS: Generally. Like, for example, DRIs, they don't exist anymore. So that's obsolete. So we're taking that out. There are some things that really yes,

	Page 133		Page 134
1	MS. ANDERSON: So the outdoor dining is	1	words, you come to us and you say, we're just
2	covered somewhere else?	2	removing stuff. What is it that we have
3	MR. TRIAS: Yes.	3	MR. TRIAS: You may recall that the
4	MS. MENENDEZ: Where is it covered?	4	consultant said that we were going to do Phase
5	MR. TRIAS: Which item exactly are you	5	2 of this process and Phase 2 was going to be
6	asking about? Which page and which line?	6	corrections, et cetera. So I wanted to give
7	MS. ANDERSON: I'm on Page 4 of 5, Section	7	you an early draft, just to see if there was
8	4-206.	8	anything obviously wrong or anything obviously
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Item Number 2, Outdoor	9	missing or anything obviously that you would
10	Seating Expedited Process.	10	prefer, just to set some policy. And then it
11	MR. TRIAS: Oh, yeah. It's just that we're	11	will come back to you as an Ordinance,
12	just removing the title. Yeah, that's all	12	hopefully soon, hopefully soon.
13	there is.	13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: A lot of items here
14	MS. ANDERSON: You're just removing the	14	seem to be regarding Planning and Zoning Board
15	title?	15	review, from what I'm seeing. Am I correct?
16	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. I don't want you to	16	MR. TRIAS: I wouldn't say that, no. I
17	overthink this. I mean, all we're doing is	17	don't think that's really the case, no.
18	making some corrections. We're not changing	18	Although some things may apply, but like, for
19	anything in any major way.	19	example, we're taking some definitions out. I
20	MS. ANDERSON: As long as we don't have all	20	mean, that doesn't have to do with anything.
21	of the tables and chairs blocking all of the	21	And some of the definitions are simply not
22	pedestrian area.	22	effective, and we're trying to make them
23	MR. TRIAS: No. No. We won't do any of that.	23	better.
24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So, Ramon, what are	24	MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, I think what
25	you looking at from us, basically? In other	25	you're looking at the Standards for Development
	Page 135		Page 136
_			
1	of Regional Impact, and there's a role that the	1	MR. TRIAS: So the second memo is
1 2	of Regional Impact, and there's a role that the Planning and Zoning Board had in that process,	1 2	MR. TRIAS: So the second memo is transferred to the City Code, and that has to
	-		
2	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process,	2	transferred to the City Code, and that has to
2	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is	2 3	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work
2 3 4	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's	2 3 4	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for
2 3 4 5	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board	2 3 4 5	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency.
2 3 4 5 6	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board review.	2 3 4 5 6	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency. Yes? MS. ANDERSON: I just made notations of a couple of things. Sidewalk, street lighting,
2 3 4 5 6 7	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board review. We're here to help each other out, Ramon, right? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That makes sense.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency. Yes? MS. ANDERSON: I just made notations of a couple of things. Sidewalk, street lighting, utility poles and underground utilities, does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board review. We're here to help each other out, Ramon, right? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That makes sense. MR. TRIAS: Thank you. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency. Yes? MS. ANDERSON: I just made notations of a couple of things. Sidewalk, street lighting, utility poles and underground utilities, does that mean that Planning and Zoning wouldn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board review. We're here to help each other out, Ramon, right? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That makes sense. MR. TRIAS: Thank you. Thank you. You know, back in the day, when I was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency. Yes? MS. ANDERSON: I just made notations of a couple of things. Sidewalk, street lighting, utility poles and underground utilities, does that mean that Planning and Zoning wouldn't look at those issues anymore?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board review. We're here to help each other out, Ramon, right? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That makes sense. MR. TRIAS: Thank you. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency. Yes? MS. ANDERSON: I just made notations of a couple of things. Sidewalk, street lighting, utility poles and underground utilities, does that mean that Planning and Zoning wouldn't look at those issues anymore? MR. TRIAS: No. What that means is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board review. We're here to help each other out, Ramon, right? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That makes sense. MR. TRIAS: Thank you. Thank you. You know, back in the day, when I was a rookie planner, I used to work in the Regional Planning Council, all of the Regional Planning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency. Yes? MS. ANDERSON: I just made notations of a couple of things. Sidewalk, street lighting, utility poles and underground utilities, does that mean that Planning and Zoning wouldn't look at those issues anymore? MR. TRIAS: No. What that means is that the standard of the technical requirements for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board review. We're here to help each other out, Ramon, right? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That makes sense. MR. TRIAS: Thank you. Thank you. You know, back in the day, when I was a rookie planner, I used to work in the Regional Planning Council, all of the Regional Planning Council work was DRI work, but that was then.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency. Yes? MS. ANDERSON: I just made notations of a couple of things. Sidewalk, street lighting, utility poles and underground utilities, does that mean that Planning and Zoning wouldn't look at those issues anymore? MR. TRIAS: No. What that means is that the standard of the technical requirements for lighting and paving and so on are really a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board review. We're here to help each other out, Ramon, right? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That makes sense. MR. TRIAS: Thank you. Thank you. You know, back in the day, when I was a rookie planner, I used to work in the Regional Planning Council, all of the Regional Planning Council work was DRI work, but that was then. That doesn't exist anymore. The DRIs were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency. Yes? MS. ANDERSON: I just made notations of a couple of things. Sidewalk, street lighting, utility poles and underground utilities, does that mean that Planning and Zoning wouldn't look at those issues anymore? MR. TRIAS: No. What that means is that the standard of the technical requirements for lighting and paving and so on are really a Public Works type of review. We review things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board review. We're here to help each other out, Ramon, right? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That makes sense. MR. TRIAS: Thank you. Thank you. You know, back in the day, when I was a rookie planner, I used to work in the Regional Planning Council, all of the Regional Planning Council work was DRI work, but that was then.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency. Yes? MS. ANDERSON: I just made notations of a couple of things. Sidewalk, street lighting, utility poles and underground utilities, does that mean that Planning and Zoning wouldn't look at those issues anymore? MR. TRIAS: No. What that means is that the standard of the technical requirements for lighting and paving and so on are really a Public Works type of review. We review things conceptually, for lack of a better word, so we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board review. We're here to help each other out, Ramon, right? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That makes sense. MR. TRIAS: Thank you. Thank you. You know, back in the day, when I was a rookie planner, I used to work in the Regional Planning Council, all of the Regional Planning Council work was DRI work, but that was then. That doesn't exist anymore. The DRIs were taken out of the process. So now we're taking it out from the Code.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency. Yes? MS. ANDERSON: I just made notations of a couple of things. Sidewalk, street lighting, utility poles and underground utilities, does that mean that Planning and Zoning wouldn't look at those issues anymore? MR. TRIAS: No. What that means is that the standard of the technical requirements for lighting and paving and so on are really a Public Works type of review. We review things conceptually, for lack of a better word, so we do look that — we have sidewalks, that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board review. We're here to help each other out, Ramon, right? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That makes sense. MR. TRIAS: Thank you. Thank you. You know, back in the day, when I was a rookie planner, I used to work in the Regional Planning Council, all of the Regional Planning Council work was DRI work, but that was then. That doesn't exist anymore. The DRIs were taken out of the process. So now we're taking it out from the Code. MS. ANDERSON: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency. Yes? MS. ANDERSON: I just made notations of a couple of things. Sidewalk, street lighting, utility poles and underground utilities, does that mean that Planning and Zoning wouldn't look at those issues anymore? MR. TRIAS: No. What that means is that the standard of the technical requirements for lighting and paving and so on are really a Public Works type of review. We review things conceptually, for lack of a better word, so we do look that — we have sidewalks, that the sidewalks have lighting, but we don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board review. We're here to help each other out, Ramon, right? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That makes sense. MR. TRIAS: Thank you. Thank you. You know, back in the day, when I was a rookie planner, I used to work in the Regional Planning Council, all of the Regional Planning Council work was DRI work, but that was then. That doesn't exist anymore. The DRIs were taken out of the process. So now we're taking it out from the Code. MS. ANDERSON: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: And then the one that refers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency. Yes? MS. ANDERSON: I just made notations of a couple of things. Sidewalk, street lighting, utility poles and underground utilities, does that mean that Planning and Zoning wouldn't look at those issues anymore? MR. TRIAS: No. What that means is that the standard of the technical requirements for lighting and paving and so on are really a Public Works type of review. We review things conceptually, for lack of a better word, so we do look that we have sidewalks, that the sidewalks have lighting, but we don't necessarily look at the technical standards
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board review. We're here to help each other out, Ramon, right? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That makes sense. MR. TRIAS: Thank you. Thank you. You know, back in the day, when I was a rookie planner, I used to work in the Regional Planning Council, all of the Regional Planning Council work was DRI work, but that was then. That doesn't exist anymore. The DRIs were taken out of the process. So now we're taking it out from the Code. MS. ANDERSON: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency. Yes? MS. ANDERSON: I just made notations of a couple of things. Sidewalk, street lighting, utility poles and underground utilities, does that mean that Planning and Zoning wouldn't look at those issues anymore? MR. TRIAS: No. What that means is that the standard of the technical requirements for lighting and paving and so on are really a Public Works type of review. We review things conceptually, for lack of a better word, so we do look that — we have sidewalks, that the sidewalks have lighting, but we don't necessarily look at the technical standards that are required to get a permit for those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board review. We're here to help each other out, Ramon, right? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That makes sense. MR. TRIAS: Thank you. Thank you. You know, back in the day, when I was a rookie planner, I used to work in the Regional Planning Council, all of the Regional Planning Council work was DRI work, but that was then. That doesn't exist anymore. The DRIs were taken out of the process. So now we're taking it out from the Code. MS. ANDERSON: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: And then the one that refers to Development Review, that's transferred to the City Code?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency. Yes? MS. ANDERSON: I just made notations of a couple of things. Sidewalk, street lighting, utility poles and underground utilities, does that mean that Planning and Zoning wouldn't look at those issues anymore? MR. TRIAS: No. What that means is that the standard of the technical requirements for lighting and paving and so on are really a Public Works type of review. We review things conceptually, for lack of a better word, so we do look that — we have sidewalks, that the sidewalks have lighting, but we don't necessarily look at the technical standards that are required to get a permit for those things.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board review. We're here to help each other out, Ramon, right? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That makes sense. MR. TRIAS: Thank you. Thank you. You know, back in the day, when I was a rookie planner, I used to work in the Regional Planning Council, all of the Regional Planning Council work was DRI work, but that was then. That doesn't exist anymore. The DRIs were taken out of the process. So now we're taking it out from the Code. MS. ANDERSON: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: And then the one that refers to Development Review, that's transferred to the City Code? MR. TRIAS: Are we going to talk about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency. Yes? MS. ANDERSON: I just made notations of a couple of things. Sidewalk, street lighting, utility poles and underground utilities, does that mean that Planning and Zoning wouldn't look at those issues anymore? MR. TRIAS: No. What that means is that the standard of the technical requirements for lighting and paving and so on are really a Public Works type of review. We review things conceptually, for lack of a better word, so we do look that — we have sidewalks, that the sidewalks have lighting, but we don't necessarily look at the technical standards that are required to get a permit for those things. So some of that is being relocated better
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board review. We're here to help each other out, Ramon, right? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That makes sense. MR. TRIAS: Thank you. Thank you. You know, back in the day, when I was a rookie planner, I used to work in the Regional Planning Council, all of the Regional Planning Council work was DRI work, but that was then. That doesn't exist anymore. The DRIs were taken out of the process. So now we're taking it out from the Code. MS. ANDERSON: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: And then the one that refers to Development Review, that's transferred to the City Code?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency. Yes? MS. ANDERSON: I just made notations of a couple of things. Sidewalk, street lighting, utility poles and underground utilities, does that mean that Planning and Zoning wouldn't look at those issues anymore? MR. TRIAS: No. What that means is that the standard of the technical requirements for lighting and paving and so on are really a Public Works type of review. We review things conceptually, for lack of a better word, so we do look that — we have sidewalks, that the sidewalks have lighting, but we don't necessarily look at the technical standards that are required to get a permit for those things. So some of that is being relocated better for the City Code.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board review. We're here to help each other out, Ramon, right? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That makes sense. MR. TRIAS: Thank you. Thank you. You know, back in the day, when I was a rookie planner, I used to work in the Regional Planning Council, all of the Regional Planning Council work was DRI work, but that was then. That doesn't exist anymore. The DRIs were taken out of the process. So now we're taking it out from the Code. MS. ANDERSON: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: And then the one that refers to Development Review, that's transferred to the City Code? MR. TRIAS: Are we going to talk about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency. Yes? MS. ANDERSON: I just made notations of a couple of things. Sidewalk, street lighting, utility poles and underground utilities, does that mean that Planning and Zoning wouldn't look at those issues anymore? MR. TRIAS: No. What that means is that the standard of the technical requirements for lighting and paving and so on are really a Public Works type of review. We review things conceptually, for lack of a better word, so we do look that — we have sidewalks, that the sidewalks have lighting, but we don't necessarily look at the technical standards that are required to get a permit for those things. So some of that is being relocated better for the City Code. MS. ANDERSON: But Planning and Zoning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Planning and Zoning Board had in that process, and I believe what the Director was saying is that, since DRIs don't exist anymore, there's no reason to have the Planning and Zoning Board review. We're here to help each other out, Ramon, right? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That makes sense. MR. TRIAS: Thank you. Thank you. You know, back in the day, when I was a rookie planner, I used to work in the Regional Planning Council, all of the Regional Planning Council work was DRI work, but that was then. That doesn't exist anymore. The DRIs were taken out of the process. So now we're taking it out from the Code. MS. ANDERSON: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: And then the one that refers to Development Review, that's transferred to the City Code? MR. TRIAS: Are we going to talk about the second memo now?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	transferred to the City Code, and that has to do with some topics that we believe would work better in the City Code, just concurrency, for example, the review of concurrency. Yes? MS. ANDERSON: I just made notations of a couple of things. Sidewalk, street lighting, utility poles and underground utilities, does that mean that Planning and Zoning wouldn't look at those issues anymore? MR. TRIAS: No. What that means is that the standard of the technical requirements for lighting and paving and so on are really a Public Works type of review. We review things conceptually, for lack of a better word, so we do look that — we have sidewalks, that the sidewalks have lighting, but we don't necessarily look at the technical standards that are required to get a permit for those things. So some of that is being relocated better for the City Code.

MIAMI DAILY BUSINESS REVIEW

Published Daily except Saturday, Sunday and Legal Holidays Miami, Miami-Dade County, Florida

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:

Before the undersigned authority personally appeared GUILLERMO GARCIA, who on oath says that he or she is the DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS, Legal Notices of the Miami Daily Business Review f/k/a Miami Review, a daily Sunday and Legal Holidays) newspaper, published at Miami in Miami-Dade County, Florida; that the attached copy of advertisement, being a Legal Advertisement of Notice in the matter of

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING CITY OF CORAL GABLES - LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY / PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD - OCT. 16, 2019

in the XXXX Court, was published in said newspaper in the issues of


10/04/2019

Affiant further says that the said Miami Daily Business Review is a newspaper published at Miami, in said Miami-Dade County, Florida and that the said newspaper has heretofore been continuously published in said Miami-Dade County, Florida each day (except Saturday, Sunday and Legal Holidays) and has been entered as second class mail matter at the post office in Miami in said Miami-Dade County, Florida, for a period of one year next preceding the first publication of the attached copy of advertisement; and affiant further says that he or she has neither paid nor promised any person, firm or corporation any discount rebate, commission or refund for the purpose of securing this advertisement for publication in the newspaper

CTOBER A D. 2019

(SEAL)

GUILLERMO GARCIA personally known to me





CITY OF CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

LOCATION

CITY PUBLIC HEARING LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY / PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD

DATES/TIMES

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 16, 2019, 6:00-9:00 P.M. CITY COMMISSION CHAMBERS, CITY HALL, 405 BILTMORE WAY, CORAL GABLES,

FLORIDA, 33134

PUBLIC NOTICE is hereby given that the City of Coral Gables, Florida, Local Planning Agency (LPA)/ Planning and Zoning Board (PZB) will conduct Public Hearing on the following:

- An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 5, "Development Standards," Division 8, "Docks, Wharves, Mooring Piles and Watercraft Moorings," updating side setback requirements and allowing multi-level docks below established grade, providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, codification, and providing for an effective date. (ZC Text Amendment re docks)
- 2. An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 4, "Zoning Districts," Section 4-101, Single-Family Residential (SFR) District, and Section 4-102, "Multi-Family 1 Duplex (MF1) District," to modify and clarify provisions related to driveways; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. (ZC Text Amendment re driveways)
- An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 5, "Development Standards," Division 21, "Temporary Uses," Section 5-2107, "Temporary use of construction office" to allow temporary construction office for multi-family projects in Multi-Family 2 (MF2) and Multi-Family Special Area (MFSA) districts; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. (ZC Text Amendment re field office)
- An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 5, "Development Standards," Division 1, "Accessory Uses," Section 5-109, "Recreational equipment" to allow non-movable basketball poles to be appropriately located in the front yard under certain circumstances; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. (ZC Text Amendment re recreational equipment)

City of Coral Gables - Local Planning Agency


- 5. An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 2, "Decision Making and Administrative Bodies," Division 3, "Board of Architects," Section 2-302, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal" removing the term limitations for members of the Board of Architects; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. (ZC Text Amendment re removing term limitation BOA)
- 6. An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code (Zoning Code) and the City of Coral Gables Code (City Code) transferring the following divisions and sections from the Zoning Code to the City Code: Article 3, "Development Review," Article 4, "Zoning Districts," Section 4-414, "Wild animals and reptiles, keeping," Article 5, "Development Standards," Division 15, "Platting Standards" Section 5-1510, "Standards for Subdivision Improvements," and Division 22, "Underground Utilities"; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. (Zoning Code Upate: Transferring Certain Provisions from the Zoning Code to the City Code)
- 7. An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 3, "Development Review," Division 3, "Uniform Notice and Procedures for Public Hearing," Section 3-302 "Notice," Division 5, "Planned Area Development," Section 3-506 "Application and review procedures for approval of plans;" Division 14, "Zoning Code Text and Map Amendments," Section 3-1404 "Standards for review of applicant-initiated district boundary changes," Section 3-1405 "Standards for review of text amendments to these regulations and for City-initiated district boundary changes," Section 3-1408 "City Commission review and decision," Division 16, "General Procedures for Developments of Regional Impact;" Article 4, "Zoning Districts," Section 4-206 "Business Improvement Overlay (BIOD) District;" Section 4-402 "Prohibited uses, certain streets;" Article 5, Section 5-1406 "Visibility Triangles;" Section 5-1408 "Common Driveways and Remote Off-Street Parking;" Article 8 "Definitions;" and Appendix A - "Site Specific Zoning Regulations;" clarifying prohibited uses on certain streets; removing conflicting and outdated provisions regarding the DRI process, miscellaneous submittal requirements, city-initiated standards for review, BIOD process, visibility triangles, remote parking, certain definitions, and conflicting site specifics from the Zoning Code; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. (Zoning Code Update: Removing certain outdated or conflicting regulations in the Zoning Code)

All interested parties are invited to attend and participate. Upon recommendation by the Board, the applications will be scheduled for City Commission consideration. Please visit the City webpage at www.coralgables.com to view information concerning the applications. The complete applications are on file and available for examination during business hours at the Planning and Zoning Division, 427 Biltmore Way, Suite 201, Coral Gables, Florida, 33134. Questions and written comments can be directed to the Planning and Zoning Division at planning@coralgables.com (FAX: 305.460.5327) or 305.460.5211.

Ramon Trias Director of Planning and Zoning Planning & Zoning Division City of Coral Gables, Florida

Any person, who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance No. 2006-11, as amended, must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities before City Staff, Boards, Committees or City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk, City Hall. If a person decides to appeal any decision made by a Board, Committee or City Commission with respect to any matter considered at a meeting or hearing, that person will need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based (F.S. 286.0105). Any meeting may be opened and continued and, under certain circumstances, additional legal notice will not be provided. Any person requiring special accommodations in order to attend or participate in the meeting should contact the City's ADA Coordinator, Raquel Elejabarrieta (Email: relejabarrieta@ coralgables.com), Telephone: 305-722-8686, TTY/TDD: 305-442-1600, at least three (3) working days prior to the meeting. All meetings are telecast live on Coral Gables TV Channel 77. 10/4 19-86/0000429900M

2/2



REQUEST 1:

TRANSFER FROM ZONING CODE TO CITY CODE (PUBLIC WORKS)

REQUEST 2:

REMOVAL OF OUTDATED/CONFLICTING
ZONING CODE LANGUAGE

2

2

PROPOSED TRANSFERRED ITEMS

- 1. WILD ANIMALS AND REPTILES, KEEPING. (SECTION 4-414) WILL BE MOVED TO CHAPTER 10 ANIMALS.
- 2. Standards for subdivision improvements (Section 5-1510) will be moved to Subpart B Land Development, Chapter 62.
- 3. Underground Utilities (Article 5, Division 22) will be moved to Subpart B Land Development, Chapter 70.

3

3

PROPOSED REMOVED ITEMS

=N2

- 1. DEVELOPMENTS OF REGIONAL IMPACT (DRI) PROCESS AND REFERENCES
- 2. MISCELLANEOUS SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS AND CITY-INITIATED STANDARDS FOR REVIEW
- 3. VISIBILITY TRIANGLES FOR PROPERTIES WITHOUT SIDEWALKS
- 4. BIOD OUTDOOR SEATING EXPEDITED PROCESS AND PERMIT EXPIRATIONS
- 5. Prohibited uses, certain streets
- 6. Remote Parking
- 7. DEFINITIONS
- 8. SITE SPECIFIC THAT CONFLICTS EXISTING SITE SPECIFIC

4

Л

