HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
CORAL GABLES MUSEUM
COMMITTEE MEETING ROOM
285 Aragon Way
Coral Gables, Florida

August 16, 2018 Thursday, 4:00 p.m.

## B E F O R E:

VENNY TORRE, CHAIRMAN

ALEJANDRO SILVA
ROBERT PARSLEY
RAUL RODRIGUEZ
JANICE THOMSON
ALICIA G. BACHE-WIGG
BRUCE EHRENHAFT
JOHN FULLERTON
ALBERT MENENDEZ

## ALSO PRESENT:

DONA SPAIN
KARA KAUTZ
YESENIA DIAZ
ANNE JACKAWAG
ERIC HILLER
NINA HILLER-HARNEY

	rage .
1	Thereupon:
2	MR. TORRE: Good afternoon, everybody.
3	Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of
4	the City of Coral Gables Historic
5	Preservation Board.
6	We are residents of Coral Gables and
7	are charged with the preservation and
8	protection of historic or architecturally
9	worthy buildings, structures, sites,
10	neighborhoods and artifacts, which impart a
11	distinct historical heritage of the City.
12	The Board is comprised of nine
13	members, seven of whom are appointed by the
14	Commission, one by the City Manager, and the
15	ninth is selected by the Board and confirmed
16	by the Commission.
17	Five members of the Board constitute a
18	quorum and five affirmative votes are
19	necessary for the adoption of any motion.
20	Any person, who acts as a lobbyist
21	pursuant to the City of Coral Gables
22	Ordinance No. 2006-11, must register with the
23	City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying
24	activities or presentations before City
25	Staff, Boards, Committees and/or the City

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Page 3

Commission. A copy of the ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk.

Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the Historic Preservation Board on applications under consideration this afternoon.

A lobbyist is defined as an individual, corporation, partnership, or other legal entity employed or retained, whether paid or not, by a principal who seeks to encourage the approval, disapproval adoption, repeal, passage, defeat or modification of any ordinance, resolution, action or decision of any City Commissioner; any action, decision, recommendation of the city manager, any city board or committee, including but not limited to Quasi-Judicial Advisory Board, Trust, Authority, or Council; or any action, decision or recommendation of city personnel during the period of the entire decision-making process on the action, decision or recommendations which foreseeably will be heard or reviewed by the City Commission, or a city board or committee,

	Page 4
1	including but not limited to Quasi-Judicial,
2	Advisory Board, Trust, Authority or Council.
3	Presentations made to this Board are
4	subject to the City's False Claims Ordinance,
5	Chapter 39 of the City of Coral Gables City
6	Code.
7	I now officially call the City of
8	Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board
9	meeting of August 16, 2018, to order.
10	The time is 4:12.
11	Present today are to my left, Mr. Raul
12	Rodriguez, Mr. Robert Parsley, Mr. Alejandro
13	Silva, Mr. Albert Menendez, Mr. John
14	Fullerton and Alicia Bache-Wigg.
15	MR. EHRENHAFT: And Bruce Ehrenhaft.
16	MR. TORRE: Oh, Bruce, I am sorry.
17	Bruce Ehrenhaft before Alicia. Thank you for
18	letting me know.
19	Approval of minutes. The next item on
20	the agenda is the approval of the minutes for
21	the meeting that was held on July 19, 2018.
22	Are there any items that are needed,
23	corrections or changes?
24	If none, we will ask, I'll ask for a
25	motion to approve?

Page 5 1 MR. SILVA: Move approved. MR. TORRE: A motion from Mr. 3 Alejando. Is there a motion to second? 4 5 MR. MENDENDEZ: Second. 6 MR. TORRE: All those in favor say 7 aye. (Board members approve by saying 8 9 "Aye".) 10 MR. TORRE: All those against? 11 (no response) 12 MR. TORRE: Please be advised that 13 this Board is a quasi-judicial board and the items on the agenda are quasi-judicial in 14 15 nature, which requires Board members to 16 disclose all ex-parte communications. 17 An ex-party communication is defined 18 as any contact, communication, conversation, 19 correspondence, memorandum or other written 2.0 or verbal communication that takes place 21 outside a public hearing between a member of 22 the public and a member of a quasi-judicial 23 board, regarding matters to be heard by the quasi-judicial board. If anyone has made any 24 25 contact with a Board member, when the issue

Page 6 comes before the Board, the member must state 1 on the record the existence of the ex-parte communication, the party who originated the 3 communication, and whether the communication 4 will affect the Board Member's ability to 5 impartially consider the evidence to be 6 presented regarding the matter. Does any member of the Board have such 8 9 a communication to disclose today? (The Board members respond by saying 10 11 "no".) 12 I suspect there is no MR. TORRE: 13 deferrals? 14 So anyone today that is going to be 15 speak, please swear you in. 16 (All witnesses present were sworn.) MR. TORRE: 17 Thank you. 18 And today's first item on the agenda 19 is case file COA (SP) 2018-012. This is an 2.0 application for the issuance of a Special 21 Certificate of Appropriateness for the property at 1264 Coral Way, a contributing 22 23 resource within the "Coral Way Historic District", legally described as Lots 1 and 2, 24

Block 1, Coral Gables Section "D" revised

25

Plat, according to the Plat thereof, as 1 recorded in Plat book 25, page 74 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida. 3 4 This applicant is requesting design 5 approval for an addition and alterations to the residence and site work. 6 MS. KAUTZ: This is the location. This is a location map of the property off of 8 9 Coral Way at the corner of Madrid Street. was constructed in 1924. It was permit 10 11 number 125 in the City. This is a 1920's 12 photo of the house, built in Mediterranean revival style. It's listed as a contributing 13 14 resource of the Coral Way Historic District 15 so designated in 2014. Interesting, a little side note, the 16 house was the residence of Molly Bird, who 17 18 owned a plantation where the Biltmore is 19 right now. And Bird Road was named for her husband. 2.0 21 So I just thought that was 22 interesting. 23 This is a 1940's photo from a slightly different angle. If you all remember in 24 25 October, 2016, the owner and the architect

2.0

Page 8

appeared before the Board to inquire about the auxillary building, which was originally a garage, but it had been very early turned into a guest house. They were, wanted to have a discussion with you all deciding whether or not it can be torn down as part of a future program. And you all said, yes, it could be.

So it had been extensively altered and this program is what they were referring to as the addition.

The applicant is requesting design approval for construction of one and two-story addition to the rear, the south of the residence, consisting of a bedroom, master bedroom suite, two car garage, a pool bath, outdoor barbecue area, storage area on the first floor. And the second floor consists of a guest suite with two bedrooms, a shared bathroom and living room, kitchenette.

Interior spaces are also to be remodeled and site work includes a new swimming pool and deck, walkways and driveway.

So this was approved by the Board of

Architects in June, 2018, with no comment. 1 No variances have been requested. The staff did have some comments of our own, however, 3 and we will address those at the end after 4 the architect gives her presentation. 5 MR. TORRE: Thank you. 6 7 MS. JACKAWAG: Good afternoon. I am Ann Jackawag. I am the head of 8 9 Ann Jackawag Architecture and I have been a resident here in Miami since 1972. So, I 10 11 know the City very well. And my office is in 12 Wynwood. I wanted to show you the presentation 13 14 which is the Harney-Hiller residence on Coral 15 Way and I have both the owners of the 16 property here with me today. 17 This is a photograph that shows the 18 current conditions of the house facing out to 19 Coral Way. And this is what we were intending to demolish. This shows --- how do 2.0 21 I do the pointer? There it is. I have to 22 hold it. Okay. Okay. 23 So this is the existing house. This is part of the existing house. And so is 24 25 We are going to demolish a portion of this.

2.0

Page 10

it across the front and we are going to demolish all of this existing cottage that is in the back.

We are going to take out this older pool and put in a new pool deck in a slightly abbreviated location. We are not making changes to the existing portion of the house. That is going to remain.

And then this shows what we are proposing to do, which we are keeping the existing house intact. We are taking off the roof that was over this, maintaining a flat roof which is going to be a continuation of the existing flat roof.

This roof is raised. This small flat roof is lowered between the two of these, so that this can become a feature.

This is another flat roof that is in front of the new master bedroom, one story, but an enlarged roof on the gabled end, and a shed roof that is facing the new pool.

And then we are building a two story addition in the back on the south side of the property with a garage, a two story garage on the ground floor, a pool barbecue area. This

2.0

Page 11

open staircase leading up to a two-bedroom, one-bath apartment, cottage, that would be like a in-law's cottage on the upstairs.

We are also proposing that we are going to put piers along the perimeter. We don't now use the Coral Way entry, but we use the Madrid entry. There is going to be a second entry for the garage. And there is going to be a hedge between all of the piers. And that leaves these four or six new columns will be a part of the permit.

So this takes you again from the Coral Way side of the house and moving around the house, around, now we are looking at it from the Madrid side. There are these built awnings that are roofed awnings that are over existing windows. We are going to remove them and return to the fabric awnings of the original house.

And this is continuing down Madrid.

This is part of the cottage that would be removed. This is part of the existing house that we are going to remove only in part, because it's not very consistent with the style of architecture of the house. This is

2.0

Page 12

that standalone portion of the cottage that we are removing.

And then as you come around to the back side of the house, which this side is facing east. This is the existing portion of the house to remain. And this is the back side of the house where it ends at this time.

And this just gives you an idea of the surrounding properties. The property to the east, across the street, the subject property, the property just to the west, the adjacent house on the rear side of Madrid.

And then this is the subject property from the Madrid side.

And this is the demolition floor plan that looks like some of the shading hasn't transferred very well. But, we are keeping the existing house intact. We are removing the cottage portion. I am sorry, this is the—— this is the second floor. It sits over the house, which is right here.

So we are removing the cottage portion of the house. We are removing a piece of this, that isn't in sync with the style of the existing house. And of course we are

removing the pool. 1 And then this is what we are purposing that the house is going to become. 3 4 Everything that is shaded in this mid range 5 color is going to remain. We are turning this portion into a laundry, kind of a mud 6 This will become a new bedroom and bathroom area. This has a height to it and a 8 9 hip roof. And this will be the new master 10 bedroom, which has access to the pool with a 11 quarter loggia which is open to the elements. 12 And the master bath and a walk-in closet. 13 And then beyond that, this is open 14 air. And this is open with a staircase that 15 leads you up to the cottage above. And a 16 storage area with an entry into the new 17 two-car garage. So all of this area in white is the 18 19 proposed addition. And the new portion of 2.0 the house, the master bedroom has a volume 21 space, the upstairs of the cottage has two 22 bedrooms, a bath in between, a pantry area 23 and a living room. 24 And then the proposed elevation is the

one that is at the bottom. And the existing

25

2.0

Page 14

elevation with any changes that we are proposing occur to the top. This is the side that faces Coral Way. So, there is nothing that is changing on the existing house which this represents—— this is the new two volume space for the master bedroom and this is the bedroom which is facing Madrid with a hip roof.

And this is the rear of the property, the south side of the property, the demolition portion of the house, which is the cottage which is coming off. And this shows you the new two-story addition of a new cottage element, a new two-story space with a living room above and one of the bedrooms, a two-car garage, an entry into the house for an exit also for trash and a way to get up to the new addition.

And this is the bedroom addition. And this is the flat roof portion of the master bedroom bath and closet.

This is the Madrid side of the house.

The demolition elevation. This is being demolished in its entirety. A portion of this with the roof is coming off. These

2.0

Page 15

small elements are coming off. And it is going to then, on the existing part of the house, only have new fabric awnings added to this point. And this is a new hip roof portion of the bedroom, master bedroom area, two-story cottage with a two-story roof below and the bedroom and living room above.

And the east side of the house which can not be seen from the street, or from the neighbors, this is the part of the cottage that is facing east, that can't be seen. But we have torn down in its entirety. The rest of the house remains.

This part is remaining here. We are—— this is a shed roof for protection, a new portion that is coming into the house from the pool and from this loggia, the pool is right here in front of it. The volume space of the master bedroom and then the two story new cottage with the opening into the pool barbecue area. And this open air stair that takes you upstairs.

And then that is what we are requesting approval for.

MR. TORRE: Can you review your

, 1		-		1 6
suggestions	one	рy	one	before

MS. KAUTZ: Sure.

First, so the first two are, you know, typical. The window and door muntins are high profile. The tile roofs are going to be two peaks barrel tiles, stucco texture is noted with the addition. It should be clearly noted on the permit drawings and not differentiated from the original stucco which is what we normally ask for.

The windows sills that are drawn, I'll use the stylus, for example, appear to match exactly what is on the existing house. They should not. The eave molding that is shown here appears to match. The existing and the parapet cap as well, all should be different somehow, then the original. There is no detail of them.

So, the arches that are found on the carport are very distinctive with these sort of heavy spaces that hold the arch in a very kind of unique location. And they are being repeated throughout the addition. Any time there is an arch, and it shouldn't be as derivative as it is, it should be something

else, to let those stand and be unique.

2.0

There is a door--- I should have done this, I am sorry. So on the existing house there is the second floor. And there is a step down, step down to a landing. There is a door that is proposed onto the flat roof of the existing house. And then there is a little half inch drop when you get to this. And then there is a break in the parapet, and a half inch drop. That the door is not shown in any section. If the landing goes down, I don't know where it hits that roof.

We didn't want any railings added to the house. So we just proposed eliminating the door in its entirety and not making that a giant roof deck.

On the first floor there is a covered porch extension. This gray part, the 1977 addition. And what they are proposing to do is take the roof off and make it a flat roof, which is much better than what is there now.

But there is a covered roof extension here, which if you look at it in the elevation, this wood deck is existing to remain and this sort of encroaches into it.

2.0

Page 18

So you have to step down on this half of this deck. So I don't know if that wood deck can go away at some point and is--- it just seems like an awkward condition. You have a very high, thin column and then this thin beam, for lack of a better word, because I can't think of the right one, supporting this open space with wooden steps in it.

So we wanted that to be restudied.

This window of the landing of the guest suite, if that is, in fact, a wood bracket that is going to have some heft to it to support that shed roof, there is--- it's going to be so tight for that window to be there, that it doesn't seem like it's going to work to us, and it seems very pinched.

Let's see.

We asked that the concrete columns, proposed on the driveway, were eliminated.

We requested that on another property on

Coral Way to the driveway just A, to be consistent, and B, there is no elevation and we don't know what they look like. Purposed materials were not provided for the driveway, pool deck. Existing driveway is noted to

remain. 1 So we want the material for the new driveway to be submitted to staff review to 3 4 make sure they are consistent or compatible. 5 The pool deck, and future pool fence and the pool, a potential fence is not shown, but 6 they need one. That will be handled by a separate permit and a separate standard COA 8 9 to be reviewed by staff. And not all of the existing windows in the house are impact 10 11 resistent, which should be. And on the 12 Madrid facing side, and it's not noted if there is going to be changes at the 13 14 presentation, but these aren't appropriate. 15 So if they are, they need to be changed to 16 something more consistent with then what is 17 with the original house. 18 MR. TORRE: Repeat that. If they were 19 not planning to change, you want them to 2.0 change? 21 MS. KAUTZ: No. They don't want to have to change them. But the windows were 22 23 changed on the main portion of the existing 24 house. 25 All of these have already been made

- impact, not that one, I believe. This has to be changed too.
- MS. JACKAWAG: Almost all of the windows are impact except one.
- 5 MS. KAUTZ: These weren't part of the 6 application before. So the one that you just 7 did?
- 8 MR. HILLER: Oh, on the previous one.
- 9 MS. KAUTZ: Do all the--- never mind.
- 10 Never mind.
- 11 MR. TORRE: Are you okay with the
  12 windows that are being proposed on the new
  13 addition?
- MS. KAUTZ: Yes.

15 The comment that we don't normally put 16 into the staff report that, but I did want to 17 sort of make it clear, the location of the addition is excellent. It's to the back of 18 19 the existing house. Part of the standards, 2.0 you can remove it and then the house would be 21 intact. The masting is what is throwing us 22 off a little bit of it. That it is sort of 23 like this elevation especially, that sits, basically bookended by the same thing. So we 24 25 have the repeated arches, and the repeated

arches and two windows and everything is, 1 seems like it's like, it's just sort of repetitive. And I did know the board staff 3 4 architect did approve it. And I didn't know if you all wanted to address that or not. 5 MR. TORRE: But your suggestion is to 6 7 change the arches primarily and that is -- so you really, the only suggestion that is 8 9 specific? MS. KAUTZ: Yes, that will help. 10 Ι 11 don't have any other specific changes that I 12 am not redesigning the house. But it just seems as it's a little too matchy matchy. 13 14 that makes sense. It's not an appropriate historic term, but that is what I think. 15 16 MR. TORRE: So you asked for the true barrel tile in the addition. Is the existing 17 18 house true barrel tile now? 19 MS. KAUTZ: I believe so. From the 20 photographs I believe it is. 21 And I emailed the architect, I don't 22 know if you got the email or not, asking for, 23 which would have helped me, I think, in writing this for a section or elevation that 24 25 is taken this way, looking that way, as you

can see the door. And they are looking this 1 way, because what I couldn't figure out from the roof line, and I still don't know if you 3 4 can explain it to me, I would be super 5 grateful. So you have this element here, and 6 7 then the higher parapet of the existing house. And then you have got a lower 8 9 parapet. But this section that comes back 10 and is about this height. And I don't 11 understand how they meet? How they join each 12 other? And I couldn't figure it out because that is where the opening for the door access 13 14 on the roof is. And I still--- I still can't 15 understand how this higher roof portion meets 16 this lower parapet at a corner that 17 doesn't--- I can't--- I don't understand it. 18 So that I think that would be have been helpful in trying to figure that part of 19 it out for me at least. 2.0 21 MR. TORRE: Did you want to talk or 22 debate some of the comments about this? 23 MS. JACKAWAG: Yes, please. 24 MS. KAUTZ: Let's do that. 25 I apologize. I had not MS. JACKAWAG:

received any request for that section or something for it.

2.0

MS. KAUTZ: I had asked for photographs and someone named Juan, I don't know if he works with you, he had sent me photographs. But that is the only thing that your colleague replied to. So it was a few emails. I copied the owner as well.

MS. JACKAWAG: Okay. Sorry, I am not aware of it.

Yes, I wanted to address it. We are in agreement with some of the suggestions that were made and not in agreement with others.

We are fine with the muntins on the windows to be higher profile and dimensional, the roof tiles assigned. We were attempting and we had spoken to it originally with the two other meetings that we had with the Historic, that we would have a different stucco texture on all the new portions of the house.

The window sills, we did specifically alter them. Let's see. So, for example, there are sills in this two-story version of

2.0

Page 24

the existing house. We didn't put them on the two-story version here. This --- these windows across Madrid did not have sills. We put them on all of the new portion. So we can modify it so that it is not the same. I mean we have not gone into the construction documents yet. So we can address that. But we wanted to specifically make them different when it came to the sills.

MS. KAUTZ: They can be thicker as simple as being thicker or thinner. They are drawn the exact same way. That is a typical request that we make, that they are somehow differentiated.

MS. JACKAWAG: Yes. We will be happy to do that.

The arches, we feel, are consistent with the look of the house that the owners would like to have. And they--- this is a much bigger, wider arch. It's a wider building. This is a narrower building. This is slightly elevated. We would like to maintain this. This is an open-air drive through area that is not currently used. But it could be for vehicles. And this will be

2 So, we very much would like to keep

used and it will have a door on it.

3 this look on the exterior.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

In terms of the other looks and windows in the house, we did give this extra height. So that between them, if we go back to the floor plan, we specifically have lowered just this portion of the roof. So just these two edges are lower, coming below the eave of this new roof.

And this roof's parapet is consistent. So that was important to us that we wanted to drop this to make this more distinctive and to keep these -- these are going to be level.

The whole purpose of this door is not to come out and make this a function space on the roof. It is because on top of this new roof we wanted to be able to put our air conditioning equipment and have access to it. None of this, this flat roof, has a live load system. It's not designed for that. We are not going to design this for anything other than just the air conditioning equipment. And this is purely for access.

MR. SILVA: Do you know what the

1 differential on the height is between the roof deck and the top of the parapet, because the reason I am asking is because if you are 3 4 proposing to put it up there, zoning is going 5 to have to request to screen it completely 6 and I am worried there is not enough height 7 there. MS. JACKAWAG: We will screen it 8 9 completely. And this is a lower roof. 10 is mainly over a laundry and a bathroom area. So we can lower the roof on the interior of 11 12 the house to give us what we need with the 13 parapet. 14 MR. SILVA: You can make it worth 15 keeping, or maybe even lowering the parapet 16 height of the existing? 17 MS. JACKAWAG: Well, we wouldn't lower 18 the existing parapet height, but we will 19 match it with this new roof. 2.0 MR. SILVA: You are not going to go 21 higher? 22 MS. JACKAWAG: We are not going to go 23 higher. 24 MR. SILVA: You can make it work? 25 Yes, that is our MS. JACKAWAG:

intention.

2.0

If we go back to the site plan, talking about the perimeter columns, it's very important to the owners that we put pillars. These are going to be gated. We are going to hedge the property. We have not defined these, because we are going to be doing that now in the construction documents. But we will pick something that is in keeping with the character of the house. And we will be happy to share that as soon as we have them.

But it's very important that we maintain those. This is such a busy street. They have very young children. And it's important to them that they be able to secure their property.

We have no problem with the -- we haven't picked material yet for the pool deck, so that will be under a separate permit, as well as the pool. The pool barriers, that enclosure as well, we will do under a separate permit. And as we did state the existing house's windows are all impact windows as they are now, except for one

window. 1 But everything else is already done. And everything in the new portion of the 3 4 house is going to be the same. 5 I am not sure about--- have I missed anything? I think that covered what the 6 7 issues are. MR. TORRE: Can we go back to the door 8 9 that leads to the outside? I missed that. 10 am sorry. 11 MS. JACKAWAG: Sure. 12 MR. TORRE: Is it an existing door? 13 MS. JACKAWAG: No, it's an existing 14 window. 15 MR. TORRE: Window. Okay. 16 Did you respond to the covered porch 17 elevation with the corner column? So we can 18 get that one out of the way? 19 MS. JACKAWAG: Yes. It's right here. 2.0 I agree that this should be a little bit 21 beefier column, but other than that, yes, this is a very important door leading in and 22 23 out, coming into the property. This is an existing set of--- this is 24 25 a wooden deck and it has wooden steps off of

So we will keep it. We wanted a 1 it. transition that was covered coming out of this door as the kitchen is right next to it. 3 4 So I have no problem with making this 5 a beefier column. MR. TORRE: Is that working with them 6 7 on the side or is that how you handle this If you can work that out independently 8 item? 9 by yourself so we would be able to leave that 10 up to you? Can I make a comment on 11 MR. PARSLEY: 12 I am wondering how much you use the that? wood deck as you exit the covered porch area? 13 14 You know, I imagine you use it, because it's 15 in the shade and since there is not really a 16 landscape plan, site plan developed around 17 the pool. I would assume you would have some 18 decking around the pool, certainly around the 19 barbecue area. 2.0 So it seems to me you are going to get 21 a fair amount of hard scape of some 22 determined material to the right of the pool. 23 So I am really wondering whether you need that exterior portion of the wood deck? 24 25 might suggest you look at, coming down from

the covered--- from the wood deck on the 1 covered porch, come down the stairs, this door that was referred to a minute ago, there 3 4 was a simple landing and have the rest of 5 that area of exposed wood deck, some sort of a transition, stepping stones, materials that 6 you are going to be using around the pool 8 patio. 9 And I don't think anybody is hanging 10 just some transom there. And then find a 11 second hard scape solution that integrates 12 the pool deck. I think it's an awkward little space, half in and half out. 13 14 MR. SILVA: It is existing, right? 15 MS. JACKAWAG: It's totally existing. 16 You can see it here in the site plan. 17 very heavily used exterior deck. And this is 18

what we are talking about, having a cover over it right here.

We have in it, we have not defined, we have not defined yet or gone into the site We just identified the location landscaping. of the pool. But there is going to be extensive landscaping done that surrounds it. We would like to maintain a covered area

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

though, out of the kitchen. And there is
another door that comes out of the loggia.
So it's possible that we could lose a door
that was here and make it a window. But we
want to maintain this covered area.
There was another comment that I
didn't address, which is this window, which
we also would like to maintain. It's
important that if someone reaches your door
and you would like to be able to see who is
there. So we would like to have a window.
Whether that window got slightly smaller, we
would be fine with that too. But we think
that there is enough space for the door, a
window, and the support for this small roof,
small shed roof above it.
MS. KAUTZ: Could you do a French door
instead?
MS. JACKAWAG: I think both of those
door swings are going to impact the upper
landing too much to have two swings.
MS. KAUTZ: A single French door?
MS. JACKAWAG: I think it went in the
other direction.
We are talking about this window right

	Page 3
1	here?
2	MS. KAUTZ: A single French door
3	instead of a solid door that would provide
4	MS. JACKAWAG: Are you okay with that?
5	Yes, we think that is a good
6	suggestion.
7	MR. SILVA: I think that makes sense
8	to make it look less.
9	In regards to the covered patio on
10	that east elevation, if you want to go back
11	to that. I don't have an issue with the
12	concept of the covered patio there. I do
13	think it looks a little disproportioned. And
14	I think what is hurting you is probably the
15	lack of a column on the other side. And I
16	understand you have that corner window in the
17	kitchen which you are keeping.
18	So maybe you can work with staff, but
19	what I would suggest looking at pulling that
20	cover back, so if you started looking at the
21	plan where the window starts that may allow
22	you to put a column on each side and have
23	this thing a little more in proportion. And
24	then you have enough cover to get a good
25	covered entry and the door swings will still

	Page
1	be covered.
2	That may be a good solution, if you
3	want to look at that.
4	In terms of the arches, I agree that
5	they are different in proportion. They are
6	wider and they are squattier. It could have
7	been but I think the masting is going to
8	read different because it's a single story
9	masting, especially on the west elevation, I
10	think it is going to read different enough.
11	I would like to see some different detailing
12	on the basis of those arches. And I think it
13	will help you as you wrap the corner as well.
14	I think you are showing kind of a base
15	on the west elevation of that new arches, but
16	you are not carrying anything through on the
17	south. So you may if you just simply
18	that. If you don't replicate the same detail
19	with that base, maybe just do a stucco trim
20	around it.
21	MS. JACKAWAG: You are talking about
22	here?
23	MR. SILVA: Yes. Exactly. So you
24	have kind of a base that doesn't wrap on the
25	south elevation. And, right, the south

elevation is clean. There is no place to 1 terminate it. So if you eliminate that base on the column and you have a clean trim, it's 3 4 a different proportion. And I think maybe 5 that is enough difference between the two ends. 6 MS. JACKAWAG: That is fine. 8 MR. SILVA: Those are my comments. 9 MS. JACKAWAG: We appreciate keeping the arches. 10 MR. SILVA: And the same for the east 11 12 elevation. I think we should be consistent. Whatever you do on that west elevation there 13 14 you should replicate it on the courtyard side 15 with the arch openings as well. And, you know, not have all of those bases and have a 16 17 different detail than the existing house. 18 MS. JACKAWAG: We don't have any of 19 these arches on the rest of the house facing this direction. 2.0 21 MR. SILVA: But we want to be 22 consistent. 23 MS. JACKAWAG: I am fine with having that a different base. 24 25 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I support your

Page 35 proposal for the arches. 1 I don't have a problem with the arches. I think it's officially different the way they are. 3 wouldn't mind the modification. 4 5 MS. THOMSON: Can we go to the front columns, the entry columns, as to why they 6 7 should be eliminated? MS. KAUTZ: They are not drawn, but 8 9 they are not shown what they are actually going to look like for one. And they are no 10 11 gates shown, which we didn't know there were 12 gates coming. So that, in my view, should be 13 part of a separate application. 14 And freestanding columns without a 15 gate attached to me are, they don't do 16 anything. You are going to have a hedge. There is not a fence. The hedge dies, you 17 18 have little columns in there. They just---19 we just like don't like them as a rule. That 2.0 is the only reason. 21 MR. PARSLEY: But they are coming 22 back? 23 MS. KAUTZ: If there are gates 24 involved, yes. They should come back too. 25 So we are saying there MR. PARSLEY:

Page 36 is no solitary columns at this point, 1 correct? 3 MS. KAUTZ: Correct. As an aside, one 4 of the zoning comments was that you can't 5 have a driveway with a smaller approach. this will actually be a wider exit, just in 6 7 case, FYI. It doesn't mean anything. But if there are gates proposed, they will come 8 9 back. 10 MR. PARSLEY: So there are supposed to 11 be piers with gates and then landscaping in 12 between? 13 MS. KAUTZ: No. 14 MS. SPAIN: That is what it sounds 15 like, they are going to have a hedge. 16 MS. JACKAWAG: Yes. 17 MS. SPAIN: Okay. So I need an 18 explanation for that also. Is there just a 19 hedge with columns? 2.0 There is going to be---MS. JACKAWAG: 21 There are going to be columns that have ves. 22 gates, and between the columns there are---23 there is going to be a hedge, continuous and on both streets. 24 25 MR. TORRE: Would you be more in

favor if it was a four foot--1 MS. SPAIN: So from here to here is a 3 hedge? 4 MS. JACKAWAG: Yes. 5 MR. TORRE: My concern is if it's one of those walls, it becomes very closed and 6 7 these homes are nice when they are open. it was to be shorter, it would appear to be 8 9 smaller and the gate would be smaller. 10 would be more plausible. MS. SPAIN: I would have to look at 11 12 the street and see what the typical height I know there are some streets that only 13 14 have the lower walls on them. And we've kept the historic homes on those streets also low. 15 16 I am not sure what the other properties are. 17 It should be---18 MS. KAUTZ: I know 1119 Coral Way, a 19 coral house. They just did the addition. 2.0 They proposed piers at their driveway and we 21 told them no, because they were just, again, 22 freestanding columns. 23 MS. SPAIN: Typically that doesn't 24 work out well because the hedge, sometimes, 25 if it is not completely full and you have

- this --- I don't know, Robert, you are the
- landscape architect. What do you think about
- 3 this?
- 4 MR. TORRE: But here is the thing, the
- 5 other side to that is then we are going to
- 6 put a fence or a wall, something. To me,
- 7 less is more here.
- 8 MS. SPAIN: A hedge would be better.
- 9 MR. TORRE: So if you were to say the
- 10 piers were decorative and maybe have
- 11 something more of an element by itself, then
- those look like elements versus looking so
- much like a pier. Because I would be more in
- 14 favor of a pier alone then a fence
- surrounding the entire property.
- MS. SPAIN: I agree. I certainly
- 17 think we would allow columns and then secure
- the property and still -- I don't want to
- 19 freak them out that we don't think it's a
- 20 good idea.
- 21 MR. SILVA: You can have a nice
- decoration.
- MS. KAUTZ: There were no elevations
- 24 provided.
- MR. TORRE: There you go.

MS. KAUTZ: We are working in a void. 1 They will also need pool fencing of some sort that is not shown. So maybe all of that 3 comes back at the same time. 4 5 MS. SPAIN: I think that makes sense. MR. PARSLEY: All the side elements. 6 7 MR. TORRE: To me the one that is more problematic is this little east side, that 8 9 little bit. I think the arches are fine, I 10 think the piers are fine. I am okay with most of the stuff. But it has a little 11 12 awkwardness attached to it. And I think part of it is that the roof that exists is sort of 13 14 awkward to begin with. It's odd and it's 15 overlapping over the other little roof. So I 16 don't know how to have just a much more clean 17 solution to everything. You are doing so 18 much work. You kind of --- not really solving 19 a lot there. I don't know if the deck is 2.0 something that you want to keep. There is a 21 lot of stuff there to be approved. 22 MS. JACKAWAG: Are you talking 23 about --MR. TORRE: The east side. So again, 24 25 I think that is where all the cabinets are

there. Yeah, there. And if you go one more 1 maybe you will get the rear view. We have it here, this one. 3 4 MS. SPAIN: That is the way I was 5 going when you said the other way. MR. TORRE: There. The bottom right. 6 7 You can see they overlap. So there is a lot of things that were done---8 9 MR. SILVA: But that roof is getting cleaned up, a pitched roof is coming off and 10 11 becoming a straight flat roof, right? 12 This is coming off. MS. JACKAWAG: This is being demolished. This is the '75 13 14 addition. So this roof is going to come off 15 and then this would be extended this way. 16 MR. TORRE: Doesn't it go flat? 17 MS. JACKAWAG: There is a flat portion 18 over here, and a shed roof over the porch. 19 This roof comes off. MR. TORRE: That roof becomes flat? 2.0 21 MS. JACKAWAG: We are going to raise this, it's going to be equal now to this roof 22 and then there will be a new shed roof coming 23 off of that roof. 24 25 So this roof is coming off. This gets

Page 41 raised to match this roof. So it will be 1 matched on the rear side. 3 MR. PARSLEY: There is a little line 4 next to that column. Is that the edge of the 5 pool deck? MS. KAUTZ: Are you talking about the 6 7 plan? MR. PARSLEY: Yeah, A-4. You have the 8 9 wood deck, the exposed wood deck, which I still see problematic. It looks to me like 10 11 it's old. It needs to be replaced. 12 like from the early '80's or '70's. doesn't feel like the rest of the house. 13 14 wood deck just don't do well outside after 18 15 years. 16 MS. HILLER-HARNCY: If I can just 17 interject? We are happy. We are happy. 18 agree with you. We only, as it is right now, 19 use the covered portion of that deck. We are 2.0 constantly replacing boards on the uncovered 21 portion. And I think it's awkward and I 22 think with the new layout with the pool, we 23 actually need a little bit more green space. So I would be happy to let the stairs

www.taylorjonovic.com Taylor, Jonovic, White, Gendron & Kircher-Echarte

come down from the covered portion. And I am

24

25

305.358.9047

fine doing away with that door. We don't
necessarily need it. Because we are going to
have an entryway from the other side onto the
covered part.

Yes. So, I listened to you guys talk

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Yes. So, I listened to you guys talk and make it an issue. But I actually agree with everything that you are saying.
- MR. PARSLEY: I think coming right out of the kitchen, instead of having to go down to the covered walkway to get out to the pool area, you want the door there. But you could also have a, just a three or four foot landing, stairs go down with a little shed roof over that door. Not taking that whole space up.
- 16 MS. HILLER-HARNCY: That is fine.
- MR. PARSLEY: In a way, you have the
  same detail over the kitchen window. Because
  I think this, out in the middle of the patio,
  in the middle of the patio is what is
  creating our concern.
- MS. KAUTZ: If you brought this back a little bit and then this would actually get a little higher. And you could make this seem to be, it would be like thicker and give it

more weight. And then you can do a column 1 and a column and then it will actually hold 3 itself. MR. PARSLEY: I don't think you need 4 5 the column. Robert is saying a little roof that comes out of the door and that is it. 6 7 MS. KAUTZ: So don't extend it? MR. TORRE: Just like that, exactly. 8 9 Similar to what you have on the right, just 10 like that. 11 MS. KAUTZ: Now, I got you. 12 MS. SPAIN: We are redesigning this 13 with you. 14 MR. SILVA: We are tweaking. 15 MS. JACKAWAG: We can do that. 16 MS. SPAIN: That makes a little more 17 sense. MR. PARSLEY: I think we are all in 18 19 agreement that we should pull it back you 2.0 get a better view out of the kitchen window 21 and not covering the roof. Right? 22 MR. TORRE: And maybe you would want 23 to redo the wood decking, put it solid and be done and have some stairs going up and you 24 25 have solved yourself another headache.

Page 44 1 MR. PARSLEY: I am saying get down to grade now and then the pool patio grade extends all the way to the covered deck. 3 And 4 that is one big space. And not have this 5 unused, awkward, deteriorating, splinter-ridden wood deck for small children. 6 7 MR. HILLER: I have actually fallen through that. 8 9 MS. KAUTZ: My question is, is this a pass-through window? Do you ever use this as 10 11 like a kitchen pass-through? 12 MS. HILLER-HARNCY: That is how it was 13 designed. But we don't really use it that 14 way any more. MS. KAUTZ: That is my only thing, if 15 16 you need something that height. 17 MR. TORRE: All right. Any other 18 questions for the architect or for the 19 property owner? 2.0 If not I am going to close the public 21 hearing. Thank you. 22 All right, ladies and gents. We can cross off--- let's see if we can cross this 23 24 out. The one little typo, this 25 MS. SPAIN:

is a one and two-story addition. 1 That is what we are recommending approval of, just the one story addition. 3 4 MR. TORRE: Let's go through these so 5 we can kick them out. The window muntins, the tile roofs, 6 the stucco we are done with. The window sill 7 I think we are okay with. And the arches, we 8 9 are going to come back to that. But I heard positives there. 10 The door, you are okay with taking the 11 12 door and leaving it as a window? That is a staff recommendation. On the roof landing. 13 14 MS. JACKAWAG: Which door? I would 15 rather keep the door for access. 16 MR. TORRE: You would like the door.

MR. TORRE: You would like the door.
Okay. We just talked about the last item on this page which is the landings on the east elevation. We have talked about. And we have got the column piers. We talked about coming back for the driveway. And we talked about the pool deck. And then the window issue is not necessarily an issue any more.
Kara, is that correct?

Okay, so we are going to come back,

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

you are going to come back with the driveway 1 material, pool material, piers, there is going to be new piers to be proposed. We are 3 4 going to come back to that. And then that 5 leaves us everything on the front page. So I think it's the arches that we are 6 7 going to have to address and the door on the 8 top. 9 As far as the door on the MS. SPAIN: roof, I think the main issue is that we don't 10 11 want railings on that parapet. 12 MS. KAUTZ: What we really need, for a historic house of that age, is a 42-inch 13 14 parapet. I mean, it's not really 15 MS. SPAIN: It's, if you would have to raise 16 the door. 17 that parapet, and it would be a concern for 18 us or adding a railing on top of it would 19 also be a concern. 2.0 If they can get away with just having 21 a door up here and leave everything at the 22 same height, maybe an access panel of some 23 sort or whatever it is. I understand. MS. JACKAWAG: We can intend to change 24 25 the air --

1 MS. SPAIN: It is whenever you go through the system and whenever you get to who is in charge of heights and railings of 3 4 the parapet that might be an issue. 5 But as it is now --MR. TORRE: Does anybody want to talk 6 7 about the arches on that side? MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think we can get a 8 recommendation with the arches. 9 MR. TORRE: I think I am okay. You 10 11 are okay. We are all okay. 12 MS. THOMSON: Addressing the height of that, that is important. That is it. 13 14 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Also I would like to ask a question: This door versus the window, 15 what is the size of that window? 16 17 MS. JACKAWAG: Which door? 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: The window that leads 19 to the rooftop for the mechanicals? That 2.0 one, the one that leads to the mechanicals. 21 Is there a window there now? 22 MS. JACKAWAG: Yes. 23 MR. RODRIGUEZ: What is the height of that window? Could that window become a 24 25 small door to give access?

	Page 48
1	MS. JACKAWAG: Yes. We don't want it
2	disruptive more than what that window is,
3	just we are taking out what is below the
4	window.
5	MR. SILVA: You are saying crawl
6	through the window?
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Or leave an opening
8	only the size of the window.
9	MS. SPAIN: I think that is their
10	intent.
11	MR. TORRE: It's not for somebody,
12	like a child, to go out there and fall over.
13	MS. KAUTZ: Can we clarify the
14	direction on the bases?
15	MR. TORRE: Let's do that.
16	MS. KAUTZ: The columns or the arch
17	bases. Are we removing the base?
18	MS. SPAIN: That should be part of the
19	motion.
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Just to modify the
21	base of the columns.
22	MR. SILVA: I would say, my suggestion
23	would be to eliminate the bases and do a
24	different arch trim keeping the arch itself
25	but doing a different trim and not having

	Page 49
1	the
2	MR. TORRE: Like this?
3	MR. SILVA: Yeah.
4	MR. PARSLEY: Like a 18 inch or 17
5	inch. But you don't need a waist height.
6	MR. TORRE: Stucco?
7	MR. SILVA: It could be scored or it
8	can be
9	MR. TORRE: So you want to lower that
10	stopping point to maybe 24 inches from the
11	floor or something?
12	MR. SILVA: Yeah.
13	MR. TORRE: There is actually a line
14	on the left side of the door that exists,
15	looks like maybe 30 inches. Go to the front
16	elevation.
17	MS. SPAIN: The front elevation?
18	MR. TORRE: A-6.
19	MR. FULLERTON: There is a line. Just
20	like the line of the arch. There is some
21	logic to it.
22	MS. KAUTZ: Is there a what is
23	around the front door? Is there a trim or
24	does it step back?
25	MR. TORRE: Why are we asking to put

anything on the bottom of the arches, to make it different?  MR. SILVA: I would say no.  MR. TORRE: Why not leave it alone,  like that one is?  MR. SILVA: With a stucco band. That
3 MR. SILVA: I would say no. 4 MR. TORRE: Why not leave it alone, 5 like that one is?
4 MR. TORRE: Why not leave it alone, 5 like that one is?
5 like that one is?
MR SILVA: With a studge hand That
rikt. Billyky wiell a beaced ballat. That
7 is misleading. Those things do have thicker
8 things, some of the pictures you can see and
9 some you don't. But it does kind of jut out
10 at the bottom.
11 MS. JACKAWAG: I suggest that we just
12 put a 24-inch base at the base of each one of
13 the columns. Would that suffice without
14 needing to put an arch around?
15 MS. SPAIN: For me that is fine.
16 MS. KAUTZ: Like a stucco base?
17 MS. JACKAWAG: Just a base or, I mean,
18 we do have a planter in front of the house
19 that is about that height. Something about
20 that height would be appropriate.
21 MR. TORRE: That was my suggestion.
MS. KAUTZ: Now you turn the corner.
23 MR. TORRE: But still arches?
24 MS. SPAIN: Still arches.
25 MS. KAUTZ: It was not the direct,

	Page 51
1	it's the repetition of that very distinct
2 -	heavy, high base.
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So we are lowering the
4	base.
5	MS. KAUTZ: So you can wrap around the
6	back elevation with that?
7	MR. TORRE: Yes.
8	MR. EHRENHAFT: Are you suggesting
9	that the columns that give rise to the arches
10	should not be planted all the way down like
11	they are on the carport, but about 24 inches
12	from
13	MS. KAUTZ: No, just the base. There
14	is a bulk that happens right here.
15	MR. EHRENHAFT: Are you
16	saying lowering that 24 inches?
17	MS. KAUTZ: That should not be
18	repeated. It is rarely seen. So that should
19	be modified in some way to make this part
20	stand as historic and then the addition can
21	have a modified version, a trim below or
22	something, but it should not have the same
23	heavy carrying piece, for lack of
24	MR. EHRENHAFT: You are not suggesting
25	that that should be changed?

	Page 5
1	MS. KAUTZ: No, no.
2	MR. TORRE: So I think we have an
3	agreement for 24, 38, whatever that planter
4	is.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: We need the language.
6	MR. TORRE: I think we need a motion.
7	MS. THOMSON: That would be nice.
8	MR. TORRE: Alicia is going to do it.
9	MS. BACHE-WIGG: Okay. So we move to
10	approve the application per the staff's
11	recommendation, except for the arches,
12	however the base shall be adjusted or revised
13	to meet the planter height or 24 inches
14	about. The door, the top, that it remain as
15	a window, that the covered porch will come
16	back. We will be restudying it as per the
17	comments that were made.
18	MS. KAUTZ: Do you want it to come
19	back to you?
20	MS. BACHE-WIGG: No. I am sorry. The
21	staff is going to work with the architect.
22	MS. SPAIN: What about the columns?
23	MS. BACHE-WIGG: The window on the
24	landing will be eliminated and a French door
25	will take place of the solid door.

Page 53 1 The concrete columns and, then we will come back, along with the proposed driveway and pool deck, as well as the nature of the 3 4 pool decking. 5 The last comment was voided. So did I miss anything? 6 7 MR. TORRE: I think you did a good job. 8 9 MS. KAUTZ: Just to clarify, when you 10 say accept, so are you keeping the door to 11 the second floor landing or are we 12 eliminating that? 13 MS. BACHE-WIGG: We are keeping the 14 door. No, we are --15 MR. EHRENHAFT: She said it right. 16 MS. BACHE-WIGG: The door. We are keeping your comment. 17 18 MR. MENDENDEZ: You can leave the door 19 pending the opinion, if they can have a door 2.0 there without a railing. MR. EHRENHAFT: Convenience of access 21 to your mechanical equipment. 22 MR. FULLERTON: This is to be modified 23 24 by staff. 25 MR. TORRE: Alicia, would you put it

	Page 54
1	on the record?
2	MS. BACHE-WIGG: Per the reviewer's
3	request it needs to come back and have a
4	railing of some kind that would eliminate the
5	door, right? Just to keep it as a window.
6	Does that make sense?
7	MS. KAUTZ: I'll write it to make
8	sense.
9	MR. TORRE: That was a good motion.
10	Do we have a second?
11	MR. PARSLEY: Second.
12	MR. TORRE: Okay, Mr. Parsley.
13	Any more comments, questions? All
14	right.
15	THE SECRETARY: Mr. Fullerton?
16	MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
17	THE SECRETARY: Mr. Menendez?
18	MR. MENDENDEZ: Yes.
19	THE SECRETARY: Mr. Rodriguez?
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
21	THE SECRETARY: Mr. Silva?
22	MR. SILVA: Yes.
23	THE SECRETARY: Ms. Bache-Wigg?
24	MS. BACHE-WIGG: Yes.
25	THE SECRETARY: Mr. Ehrenhaft?

	Page 55
1	MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
2 -	THE SECRETARY: Miss Thomson?
3	MS. THOMSON: Yes.
4	THE SECRETARY: Mr. Parsley?
5	MR. PARSLEY: Yes.
6	THE SECRETARY: Mr. Torre?
7	MR. TORRE: Yes.
8	Thank you very much.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do we have approval of
10	the minutes?
11	MR. TORRE: We do.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I just don't remember.
13	MR. PARSLEY: Sometimes that happens
14	to me.
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: What day is today?
16	That is my first problem.
17	(Discussion held off the record.)
18	MS. KAUTZ: We have a question, a poll
19	for you guys. Bruce, we have a poll for your
20	guys. Every month we copy your minutes for
21	you. Would you all be amenable by receiving
22	them by PDF or by email?
23	BOARD MEMBER: The package?
24	MS. KAUTZ: No, the minutes. If
25	anyone needs them we will be happy to provide

But reems of paper go into this email. 1 them. (Thereupon the Board member all replied "yes".) 3 4 MS. SPAIN: We can deliver the packets 5 and we can deliver that. They send them to us with the power points. 6 7 MS. KAUTZ: We can ask them when they submit the application. 8 9 BOARD MEMBER: Until we transfer, if you will can do that for us? 10 11 MS. KAUTZ: We can ask them when they 12 submit the application. 13 MS. SPAIN: They will give us a disk when they give us the applications. So we 14 15 can email that, you know. It's absolutely --16 MR. FULLERTON: What was that? 17 MS. SPAIN: She's asking for the plans also, for the applications to be sent by 18 19 email. They will do a packet. But we can 20 send them plans by email. 21 MR. FULLERTON: Okay. We have to do a 22 three dimensional rendition that is almost on every one. You can save -- you don't have to 23 do 3-D. 24 25 It's not something we MS. SPAIN:

require, but typically they have them. 1 MR. FULLERTON: Well, it is relatively 3 common. We had that in this particular case 4 and I think the drawings did help us 5 understand them. So whatever we can do. MS. SPAIN: We can ask for that. 6 7 Elizabeth and I can go to the Board of Architects. We can just tell them when they 8 9 get approval of the architects to provide us 10 with three dimensional drawings. 11 MR. MENDENDEZ: Today not rendering a 12 3-D is absolutely a piece of cake. 13 MR. TORRE: How much longer do we have 14 to be here? MS. SPAIN: This is it. Next month we 15 16 go back to City Hall. 17 BOARD MEMBER: What are they doing at 18 City Hall? 19 MS. SPAIN: The IT department is 2.0 upgrading the equipment. I am not sure why 21 it took so long. But, I don't think the room 22 itself is going to be changed. 23 MR. TORRE: What do you expect, all 24 the people? 25 MR. EHRENHAFT: Can we have a

	Page 58
1	television set revised at Home Depot or
2	Penny's?
3	MS. SPAIN: Don't give him a hard
4	time. He's one of the good ones.
5	MR. TORRE: Okay.
6	MS. SPAIN: So the only thing else I
7	have for you is if you remember the 18
8	Vizcaya Avenue, we designated that in May of
9	2017? It has already fallen in disrepair.
10	And I don't know if you remember it. It had
11	a hole in the roof. Do you remember that?
12	The building official deemed it an
13	unsafe structure and required it to be
14	demolished. So it's gone, other than the
15	chimney, I believe.
16	MS. KAUTZ: It is all down.
17	MR. SILVA: What happens in those
18	cases where it could be demolition by
19	neglect?
20	MS. SPAIN: Well, in that case it
21	would be sent to code enforcement for
22	demolition by neglect. And it is coming, I
23	think, to the next Code Enforcement Board. I
24	believe so. So that part of it will be
25	handled by code enforcement.
Ī	

1 MR. SILVA: But there is nothing to be done once it's declared to be an unsafe 3 structure? MS. SPAIN: Well, our code addresses 4 that and so I believe there is now going to 5 6 be an application to this Board because the 7 property is still designated as Historic. So it's going to have to come to us. 8 9 I just was driving by. I wanted to let you 10 know that we are aware of it. It's no longer 11 there. 12 MR. RODRIGUEZ: What option do we have if its demolished? 13 14 MS. SPAIN: Pardon me? 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: What option do we have if the building is demolished? 16 17 MS. SPAIN: They can build it back and do an addition. That is what the 18 19 preservation code requires. Particularly if it's demolition by neglect. 2.0 21 MR. SILVA: Is there anything that you 22 all need to do in terms of documenting 23 anything that should be done now or---24 MS. SPAIN: It's already been demolished. 25

	Page 60
1	MR. SILVA: It's already been
2	demolished?
3	MS. SPAIN: It's already gone.
4	MR. EHRENHAFT: What about
5	accumulations of fines on a property like
6	that?
7	MS. SPAIN: That is a code enforcement
8	issue. That will be handled by code
9	enforcement.
10	So that is all I have.
11	MR. TORRE: Anything else? That is
12	it? I'll take a motion for adjournment.
13	MR. SILVA: I move to adjourn.
14	MR. TORRE: All those in favor of
15	adjournment?
16	(All Board members say "aye".)
17	(Thereupon the meeting was adjourned
18	at 5:22 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 61 1 2 CERTIFICATE 3 4 I, JANE GOLDBERG, Professional Court 5 Reporter, State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered from 1 to 60, inclusive, are a true and correct 6 transcription of my shorthand notes of said 7 meeting. 8 I further certify that I am not an attorney 9 or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel of party connected with the action, nor am I 10 financially interested in the action. 11 The foregoing certification of this 12 transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or direction of the certifying 13 reporter. 14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 15 hand this 31st day of August, 2018. 16 17 18 19 2.0 JANE GOLDBERG, Court Reporter, 21 22 23 24 25