Exhibit E

	Page 65		Page 66		
1	forward.	1	THE SECRETARY: Maria Velez?		
2	MS. MENENDEZ: See, even if we don't	2	MS. VELEZ: Yes.		
3	approve it, they're going to get it and they're	3	3 THE SECRETARY: Rhonda Anderson?		
4	going to decide whether to move forward or not.	4 MS. ANDERSON: No.			
5	We're just a recommending body.	5 THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?			
6	MS. ANDERSON: I understand.	6	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.		
7	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay.	7	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?		
8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion and a	8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.		
9	second. Any other discussion? No? Call the	9	Thank you.		
10	roll, please.	10	If we can now go ahead and move on to the		
11	MS. VELEZ: One question.	11	next item, which would be E-3 and E-4, as they		
12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Oh, yes. Sorry.	12	are related.		
13	MS. VELEZ: Do we need to make any	13	Are you going to read them into the record?		
14	provisions for the Junior League Building? Do	14	MR. COLLER: Yes. I'm going to read both		
15	we have to say anything at all about that?	15	items into the record, and we'll have one		
16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. It's on the	16	public hearing on both items, and we can then		
17	record. My understanding is, it's on the	17	vote on them separately.		
18	record	18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Go ahead,		
19	MR. TRIAS: Right. Yeah.	19	please.		
20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: with Ramon	20	MR. COLLER: Okay.		
21	MR. TRIAS: That's fine.	21	Item E-3, an Ordinance of the City		
22	MS. VELEZ: All right.	22	Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing		
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Call the roll, please.	23	for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables		
24	THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez?	24	Official Zoning Code, Appendix A, "Site		
25	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes.	25	Specific Zoning Regulations," by creating a new		

Page 67

	Page 67		Page 68	
1	subsection, "Section A-58 - Hammock Oaks Harbor	1	"providing for a clause is." I want to just	
2	Section 2(F)," providing provisions governing	2	double-check that with Staff for a minute. I	
3	the use of the proposed private yacht basin	3	3 don't think it affects our ability to hear it.	
4	facility, on property legally described as A	4	It might just be a scriber's thing.	
5	portion of Tract E, Block 4 of Hammock Oaks	5	MS. MENENDEZ: Where is that?	
6	Harbor Section Two, Coral Gables, Florida;	6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The last one.	
7	providing for severability, repealer,	7	MS. VELEZ: The last one.	
8	codification and an effective date.	8	MS. MENENDEZ: But where?	
9	Item E-4, a Resolution of the City	9	MR. COLLER: Item E-3 and E-4, public	
10	Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting	10	hearing.	
11	conditional use approval pursuant to Zoning	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you like to take	
12	Code Article 3, "Development Review," Division	12	a five-minute recess while you do that or what	
13	4, "Conditional Uses," for a private yacht	13	would you like to do?	
14	basin on property zoned Single-Family	14	MR. COLLER: I think we can take a	
15	Residential for the property legally described	15	five-minute recess if you'd like or I can do it	
16	as A portion of Tract E, Block 4 of Hammock	16	while we're going through the hearing.	
17	Oaks Harbor Section Two, Coral Gables, Florida;	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No, go ahead. Let's	
18	including required conditions; providing for	18	do it while we're going through the hearing.	
19	severability, repealer, providing for a clause,	19	MR. COLLER: Okay.	
20	and providing for an effective date.	20	MR. TRIAS: All right. Mr. Chairman, I	
21	I think there's an issue in the title here,	21	have a brief PowerPoint, and the request is a	
22	on the second one.	22	little bit unusual, so let me see if I can	
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you elaborate,	23	explain it. If I could have the PowerPoint.	
24	please?	24	There are two requests. One is a	
25	MR. COLLER: Yeah. I'm not sure what	25	conditional use, which is one of the	

	Page 69		Page 70
1	conditional uses listed in the single-family,	1	series of for a dock, basically, a long
2	which is the private yacht basin. In addition,	2	dock, with eight slips boat slips and some
3	there's a definition in the Code that explains	3	parking, a small parking area, in the front, a
4	what that is, but that's basically a small	4	wall and a gate and landscape. So that's
5	operation that is used by the neighbors and so	5	basically it. It's an unusual project from
6	on.	6	that point of view, but as you can see, the
7	In addition, there's a text amendment for	7	cul-de-sac is shown as a circle there and the
8	the Site Specifics. That may not be absolutely	8	entrance into the property is shown in gray.
9	necessary, but we thought that it would be	9	There's no change in Zoning or Land Use. As we
10	better if that was also included, given the	10	said, it's a conditional use. It's a
11	area, that that area has Site Specifics	11	conditional use already listed in the Code, and
12	already. So this simply would memorialize the	12	that requests you to review the Site Plan that
13	request. So those are the two requests.	13	is attached.
14	Now, the site is a little bit unusual.	14	The Site Plan, as you can see, includes
15	It's a very long and narrow strip of land at	15	landscape, includes the materials. It has been
16	the very end of a cul-de-sac. As you can see,	16	already reviewed through some of the County
17	there's a lot of houses there along a street	17	agencies, and the Applicant could explain that
18	that goes north/south, and then it ends in a	18	in more detail.
19	cul-de-sac, and there's a fifty-foot frontage,	19	And then the Request Number Two is to
20	and then the rest of the strip of land is the	20	memorialize some of the conditions in the Site
21	property that we're talking about. As you can	21	Specifics that the Applicant is proposing.
22	see, there's also two bodies of water on either	22	Among them are that the restrictions would
23	side. So there's water on both sides.	23	include that the use of the docks would be for
24	Now, the request, as you saw in the	24	owners or residents only of that neighborhood
25	materials, the background materials, is for a	25	and no commercial operations, no parking on
	Page 71		Page 72
1	Page 71 swales, and that the docks will be for	1	Page 72 and the attorney may explain it better. There
1 2		1 2	
	swales, and that the docks will be for		and the attorney may explain it better. There
2	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside	2	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney.
2 3	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several	2 3	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe
2 3 4	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security	2 3 4	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item.
2 3 4 5	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security provided by a four-foot gate and that there	2 3 4 5	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, I'd like
2 3 4 5 6	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security provided by a four-foot gate and that there will be a landscape buffer along Marin Street.	2 3 4 5 6	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, I'd like to ask your opinion on that.
2 3 4 5 6 7	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security provided by a four-foot gate and that there will be a landscape buffer along Marin Street. So the public notice, two times letters	2 3 4 5 6 7	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, I'd like to ask your opinion on that. MR. COLLER: Yes. First, on the reading of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security provided by a four-foot gate and that there will be a landscape buffer along Marin Street. So the public notice, two times letters have been sent to property owners, the property	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, I'd like to ask your opinion on that. MR. COLLER: Yes. First, on the reading of the title, sometimes when you read things out
2 3 5 7 8 9	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security provided by a four-foot gate and that there will be a landscape buffer along Marin Street. So the public notice, two times letters have been sent to property owners, the property has been posted three times, the website	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, I'd like to ask your opinion on that. MR. COLLER: Yes. First, on the reading of the title, sometimes when you read things out loud, you miss something, but it should have
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security provided by a four-foot gate and that there will be a landscape buffer along Marin Street. So the public notice, two times letters have been sent to property owners, the property has been posted three times, the website posting has happened three times and there has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, I'd like to ask your opinion on that. MR. COLLER: Yes. First, on the reading of the title, sometimes when you read things out loud, you miss something, but it should have been "severability clause and effective date."
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security provided by a four-foot gate and that there will be a landscape buffer along Marin Street. So the public notice, two times letters have been sent to property owners, the property has been posted three times, the website posting has happened three times and there has been one newspaper advertisement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, I'd like to ask your opinion on that. MR. COLLER: Yes. First, on the reading of the title, sometimes when you read things out loud, you miss something, but it should have been "severability clause and effective date." So it doesn't impact the jurisdiction. It's
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security provided by a four-foot gate and that there will be a landscape buffer along Marin Street. So the public notice, two times letters have been sent to property owners, the property has been posted three times, the website posting has happened three times and there has been one newspaper advertisement. Staff recommends approval, with conditions, which are the conditions proffered by the Applicant, and for the application for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, I'd like to ask your opinion on that. MR. COLLER: Yes. First, on the reading of the title, sometimes when you read things out loud, you miss something, but it should have been "severability clause and effective date." So it doesn't impact the jurisdiction. It's just a scriber's error. You know that scriber
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security provided by a four-foot gate and that there will be a landscape buffer along Marin Street. So the public notice, two times letters have been sent to property owners, the property has been posted three times, the website posting has happened three times and there has been one newspaper advertisement. Staff recommends approval, with conditions, which are the conditions proffered by the Applicant, and for the application for conditional use, and Staff recommends also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, I'd like to ask your opinion on that. MR. COLLER: Yes. First, on the reading of the title, sometimes when you read things out loud, you miss something, but it should have been "severability clause and effective date." So it doesn't impact the jurisdiction. It's just a scriber's error. You know that scriber is constantly making errors. So, in any event,
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security provided by a four-foot gate and that there will be a landscape buffer along Marin Street. So the public notice, two times letters have been sent to property owners, the property has been posted three times, the website posting has happened three times and there has been one newspaper advertisement. Staff recommends approval, with conditions, which are the conditions proffered by the Applicant, and for the application for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, I'd like to ask your opinion on that. MR. COLLER: Yes. First, on the reading of the title, sometimes when you read things out loud, you miss something, but it should have been "severability clause and effective date." So it doesn't impact the jurisdiction. It's just a scriber's error. You know that scriber is constantly making errors. So, in any event, as far as the so that's on that issue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security provided by a four-foot gate and that there will be a landscape buffer along Marin Street. So the public notice, two times letters have been sent to property owners, the property has been posted three times, the website posting has happened three times and there has been one newspaper advertisement. Staff recommends approval, with conditions, which are the conditions proffered by the Applicant, and for the application for conditional use, and Staff recommends also approval for the amendment to the Site Specific Zoning Code text amendment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, I'd like to ask your opinion on that. MR. COLLER: Yes. First, on the reading of the title, sometimes when you read things out loud, you miss something, but it should have been "severability clause and effective date." So it doesn't impact the jurisdiction. It's just a scriber's error. You know that scriber is constantly making errors. So, in any event, as far as the so that's on that issue. As far as the lawsuit, the City Attorney and I reviewed it. We believe that there may or may not be a dispute among the private
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security provided by a four-foot gate and that there will be a landscape buffer along Marin Street. So the public notice, two times letters have been sent to property owners, the property has been posted three times, the website posting has happened three times and there has been one newspaper advertisement. Staff recommends approval, with conditions, which are the conditions proffered by the Applicant, and for the application for conditional use, and Staff recommends also approval for the amendment to the Site Specific Zoning Code text amendment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, I'd like to ask your opinion on that. MR. COLLER: Yes. First, on the reading of the title, sometimes when you read things out loud, you miss something, but it should have been "severability clause and effective date." So it doesn't impact the jurisdiction. It's just a scriber's error. You know that scriber is constantly making errors. So, in any event, as far as the 1- so that's on that issue. As far as the lawsuit, the City Attorney and I reviewed it. We believe that there may or may not be a dispute among the private parties, but it is our position that it doesn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security provided by a four-foot gate and that there will be a landscape buffer along Marin Street. So the public notice, two times letters have been sent to property owners, the property has been posted three times, the website posting has happened three times and there has been one newspaper advertisement. Staff recommends approval, with conditions, which are the conditions proffered by the Applicant, and for the application for conditional use, and Staff recommends also approval for the amendment to the Site Specific Zoning Code text amendment. There are some ways to improve the language that we have discussed with the Applicant. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, I'd like to ask your opinion on that. MR. COLLER: Yes. First, on the reading of the title, sometimes when you read things out loud, you miss something, but it should have been "severability clause and effective date." So it doesn't impact the jurisdiction. It's just a scriber's error. You know that scriber is constantly making errors. So, in any event, as far as the 1- so that's on that issue. As far as the lawsuit, the City Attorney and I reviewed it. We believe that there may or may not be a dispute among the private parties, but it is our position that it doesn't impact the jurisdiction of the Board and you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security provided by a four-foot gate and that there will be a landscape buffer along Marin Street. So the public notice, two times letters have been sent to property owners, the property has been posted three times, the website posting has happened three times and there has been one newspaper advertisement. Staff recommends approval, with conditions, which are the conditions proffered by the Applicant, and for the application for conditional use, and Staff recommends also approval for the amendment to the Site Specific Zoning Code text amendment. There are some ways to improve the language that we have discussed with the Applicant. I think all of those have been incorporated. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, I'd like to ask your opinion on that. MR. COLLER: Yes. First, on the reading of the title, sometimes when you read things out loud, you miss something, but it should have been "severability clause and effective date." So it doesn't impact the jurisdiction. It's just a scriber's error. You know that scriber is constantly making errors. So, in any event, as far as the so that's on that issue. As far as the lawsuit, the City Attorney and I reviewed it. We believe that there may or may not be a dispute among the private parties, but it is our position that it doesn't impact the jurisdiction of the Board and you can move forward with your decision on this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security provided by a four-foot gate and that there will be a landscape buffer along Marin Street. So the public notice, two times letters have been sent to property owners, the property has been posted three times, the website posting has happened three times and there has been one newspaper advertisement. Staff recommends approval, with conditions, which are the conditions proffered by the Applicant, and for the application for conditional use, and Staff recommends also approval for the amendment to the Site Specific Zoning Code text amendment. There are some ways to improve the language that we have discussed with the Applicant. I think all of those have been incorporated. And that is the end of the my presentation, if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, I'd like to ask your opinion on that. MR. COLLER: Yes. First, on the reading of the title, sometimes when you read things out loud, you miss something, but it should have been "severability clause and effective date." So it doesn't impact the jurisdiction. It's just a scriber's error. You know that scriber is constantly making errors. So, in any event, as far as the 1 so that's on that issue. As far as the lawsuit, the City Attorney and I reviewed it. We believe that there may or may not be a dispute among the private parties, but it is our position that it doesn't impact the jurisdiction of the Board and you can move forward with your decision on this particular issue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security provided by a four-foot gate and that there will be a landscape buffer along Marin Street. So the public notice, two times letters have been sent to property owners, the property has been posted three times, the website posting has happened three times and there has been one newspaper advertisement. Staff recommends approval, with conditions, which are the conditions proffered by the Applicant, and for the application for conditional use, and Staff recommends also approval for the amendment to the Site Specific Zoning Code text amendment. There are some ways to improve the language that we have discussed with the Applicant. I think all of those have been incorporated. And that is the end of the my presentation, if you have any questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, I'd like to ask your opinion on that. MR. COLLER: Yes. First, on the reading of the title, sometimes when you read things out loud, you miss something, but it should have been "severability clause and effective date." So it doesn't impact the jurisdiction. It's just a scriber's error. You know that scriber is constantly making errors. So, in any event, as far as the so that's on that issue. As far as the lawsuit, the City Attorney and I reviewed it. We believe that there may or may not be a dispute among the private parties, but it is our position that it doesn't impact the jurisdiction of the Board and you can move forward with your decision on this particular issue. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security provided by a four-foot gate and that there will be a landscape buffer along Marin Street. So the public notice, two times letters have been sent to property owners, the property has been posted three times, the website posting has happened three times and there has been one newspaper advertisement. Staff recommends approval, with conditions, which are the conditions proffered by the Applicant, and for the application for conditional use, and Staff recommends also approval for the amendment to the Site Specific Zoning Code text amendment. There are some ways to improve the language that we have discussed with the Applicant. I think all of those have been incorporated. And that is the end of the my presentation, if you have any questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, I'd like to ask your opinion on that. MR. COLLER: Yes. First, on the reading of the title, sometimes when you read things out loud, you miss something, but it should have been "severability clause and effective date." So it doesn't impact the jurisdiction. It's just a scriber's error. You know that scriber is constantly making errors. So, in any event, as far as the lawsuit, the City Attorney and I reviewed it. We believe that there may or may not be a dispute among the private parties, but it is our position that it doesn't impact the jurisdiction of the Board and you can move forward with your decision on this particular issue. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Thank you. Is the Applicant here?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	swales, and that the docks will be for residents only, the vehicular parking is inside the property, which is for two cars and several golf carts, that there will be security provided by a four-foot gate and that there will be a landscape buffer along Marin Street. So the public notice, two times letters have been sent to property owners, the property has been posted three times, the website posting has happened three times and there has been one newspaper advertisement. Staff recommends approval, with conditions, which are the conditions proffered by the Applicant, and for the application for conditional use, and Staff recommends also approval for the amendment to the Site Specific Zoning Code text amendment. There are some ways to improve the language that we have discussed with the Applicant. I think all of those have been incorporated. And that is the end of the my presentation, if you have any questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and the attorney may explain it better. There was a request for a deferral from the attorney. Our City Attorney reviewed it, and we believe that we don't need to defer this item. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, I'd like to ask your opinion on that. MR. COLLER: Yes. First, on the reading of the title, sometimes when you read things out loud, you miss something, but it should have been "severability clause and effective date." So it doesn't impact the jurisdiction. It's just a scriber's error. You know that scriber is constantly making errors. So, in any event, as far as the so that's on that issue. As far as the lawsuit, the City Attorney and I reviewed it. We believe that there may or may not be a dispute among the private parties, but it is our position that it doesn't impact the jurisdiction of the Board and you can move forward with your decision on this particular issue. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Thank you.

	Page 73		Page 74
1	For the record, my name is Laura Russo, with	1	Code, under Section 4-01 C-1 as an axillary, an
2	offices at 2655 Le Jeune Road, and I'm here	2	accessory use. It's a use allowed in
3	this evening with co-counsel, Lynn Lewis, at	3	residential, and it's also allowed and more
4	501 Brickell Key Drive, and we are here this	4	elaborated in Section 5-2501 of our Zoning
5	evening representing David Cabarrocas, who is	5	Code.
6	the owner of the referenced property.	6	We are proposing a text amendment as sort
7	This is a portion of Tract E located in	7	of an additional measure of security, and that
8	Hammock Oaks Subdivision Section Two. The	8	was discussed at the beginning, when we were in
9	property, as Mr. Trias told you, is a vacant	9	our pre-application meetings, that we would add
10	strip of land at the south end of Lake B, which	10	a text amendment Site Specific, so it would be
11	is on Section Two of Hammock Oaks plat and also	11	under Hammock Oaks Section Two. Some could
12	Lake B is on Hammock Oaks Section Three.	12	look at it and it would list all of the
13	The property is approximately 510 feet long	13	conditions of approval, so that there would be
14	and varies in width from about 30 feet to about	14	that extra protection that Code Enforcement
15	40 to 50 feet on the northern most end. So you	15	would be able to enforce it without having to
16	know, for those of you who do real estate, the	16	go through a rigamarole and looking at whether
17	legal description of the property includes a	17	the Ordinance got recorded or not. All of the
18	portion of the lake.	18	conditions of approval would be in the Site
19	MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry, the lake to the	19	Specific. And this has been done in other
20	north or	20	instances, with other projects.
21	MS. RUSSO: The lake to the north. The	21	The Site Plan, as you heard, consists of
22	lake to the north, yes.	22	and I'm going to walk over there
23	Mr. Cabarrocas is requesting a conditional	23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: There's a microphone
24	use approval for a private yacht basin, which	24	right there, if you'd like.
25	is allowed, as Mr. Trias said, under our Zoning	25	MS. RUSSO: Yeah, but I find that it
	Page 75		Page 76

	Page 75		Page 76
1	becomes a hard thing, too many the project	1	We had a neighborhood meeting, which was on
2	itself, the proposed project, is this strip of	2	June 14th. We submitted a sign-in sheet. Not
3	land. This is the cul-de-sac. The property is	3	everyone was willing to sign-in, but we had
4	this, and we are proposing eight slips on this.	4	approximately thirty neighbors, not including
5	There is also, which you can see on the	5	myself and the Cabarrocas and one of their
6	rendering, there will be a wall. So the	6	sons, who attended.
7	property will be walled off at the cul-de-sac	7	We heard some additional concerns which
8	with a vehicular gate, as well as with a	8	were raised by some of the neighbors, in terms
9	pedestrian gate. Both of those gates will be	9	of issues that they were worried about. So as
10	access only for the owners. The idea is that	10	a result of those meetings, we proffered some
11	the property will be submitted to a condominium	11	additional conditions. So under Section 5-2501
12	form of ownership, and the docks will be units,	12	of the Zoning Code, there are a bunch of
13	and then the common elements will be the water,	13	prohibitions already built in on what a private
14	the landscaping, the wall, the care, the	14	yacht basin is prohibited from doing. We added
15	pathways and the access piers that lead to the	15	those specifically to our request so it
16	docks.	16	would be located in the Site Specific but we
17	We had a neighbors meeting, as is required,	17	also added some additional items.
18	and so you know, under the last tab, the 1,000	18	So there was a concern about garbage and
19	foot radius only incorporated 48 homes. So we	19	the smell of garbage. So we prohibited fish
20	took it upon ourselves to incorporate all of	20	cleaning. So if anyone wants to clean any fish
21	Hammock Oaks in our notice, thinking it's the	21	that they catch, they have to stop at Matheson
22	right thing to do. I mean, back in the day	22	Hammocks first or take the fish home and clean
23	when I started practicing, the notice area was	23	their fish at home. We also added landscaping,
24	300 linear feet. We're now at 1,500. In this	24	which will be a buffer between this property
25	case, we just took the entire subdivision.	25	and the abutting property owner to the north.
		1	

	Page 77		Page 78
-			
1	We added the required parking, actually a	1	MS. RUSSO: In the Zoning Code, which we
2	couple of extra spaces, and we added the	2	have, in addition, added here, so that someone
3	parking is two parking spaces for regular cars	3	doesn't have to go look at Section 25-01, they
4	and eight for golf carts.	4	can just go to this particular section.
5	We have the wall. So the wall will be four	5	We also added that all vehicles, so any
6	feet high, and it can't violate the triangle of	6	owner of a car or a golf cart or a boat, must
7	visibility. We've made arrangements for trash	7	display a decal, that will be issued by the
8	pickup and recycling twice a week by the City	8	condominium association, so that there is an
9	of Coral Gables.	9	ability for Code Enforcement to fine, for
10	MS. MENENDEZ: Excuse me, Ms. Russo.	10	example, if there is a car with a decal that's
11	MS. RUSSO: Yes.	11	parked outside the swale you know, on the
12	MS. MENENDEZ: Is that like listed in one	12	swale area.
13	of your	13	We've also agreed that the daily roving
14	MS. RUSSO: Yes, it is. It is	14	patrol to be able to monitor and confirm
15 16	MS. MENENDEZ: Which one? MS. RUSSO: Tab F.	15 16	ownership of any, you know, car or boat that is
16 17		17	parked on the property. The property, of course, as I said, will only be accessible to
18	And I'm on the second page of the Zoning Code Text Amendment.	18	· · · · ·
18 19	MS. MENENDEZ: So these are	19	property owners. So the gate won't open for
19 20	MS. RUSSO: Additional conditions	20	people who aren't property owners, and the pedestrian gate will be locked. But probably
20	MS. MENENDEZ: additional conditions	20	the biggest condition that we've put in is that
22	that your client is adding?	22	the sale, conveyance or leasing of a dock can
23	MS. RUSSO: Correct.	23	only be to someone who is an owner of property
24	MS. MENENDEZ: In addition to the ones that	24	in Hammock Oaks.
25	are already set forth in the Zoning Code?	25	So this idea is not to bring someone, who
23	are arready set forth in the Zonnig Code.		bo this idea is not to bring someone, who
	Page 79		Page 80
1	Page 79 lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a	1	5
1 2	2	1 2	Page 80 a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the
	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a		a declaration as to the rights under the plat.
2	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in	2	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the
2 3	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to	2 3	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to
2 3 4	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood.	2 3 4	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications
2 3 4 5	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood. We also have that parking of vehicles can	2 3 4 5	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications actually convey ownership rights, as opposed to
2 3 4 5 6	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood. We also have that parking of vehicles can only be inside. So they can only park inside,	2 3 4 5 6	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications actually convey ownership rights, as opposed to use rights, but as the City Attorney and
2 3 4 5 6 7	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood. We also have that parking of vehicles can only be inside. So they can only park inside, no parking on the swale, and we are willing to	2 3 4 5 6 7	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications actually convey ownership rights, as opposed to use rights, but as the City Attorney and Mr. Coller have ruled, that will be a private
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood. We also have that parking of vehicles can only be inside. So they can only park inside, no parking on the swale, and we are willing to have, you know, tow away signs, so that if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications actually convey ownership rights, as opposed to use rights, but as the City Attorney and Mr. Coller have ruled, that will be a private dispute that will be taken up by the Courts and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood. We also have that parking of vehicles can only be inside. So they can only park inside, no parking on the swale, and we are willing to have, you know, tow away signs, so that if there is a car parked on the swale, the roving patrol has the authority to tow away a vehicle. We, also, at the request of Staff, appeared	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications actually convey ownership rights, as opposed to use rights, but as the City Attorney and Mr. Coller have ruled, that will be a private dispute that will be taken up by the Courts and doesn't affect the City's jurisdiction. This is an allowed use. There's an allowed process, and this Board is a recommending body
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood. We also have that parking of vehicles can only be inside. So they can only park inside, no parking on the swale, and we are willing to have, you know, tow away signs, so that if there is a car parked on the swale, the roving patrol has the authority to tow away a vehicle. We, also, at the request of Staff, appeared before the Waterway Advisory Board on June 6th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications actually convey ownership rights, as opposed to use rights, but as the City Attorney and Mr. Coller have ruled, that will be a private dispute that will be taken up by the Courts and doesn't affect the City's jurisdiction. This is an allowed use. There's an allowed process, and this Board is a recommending body to the City Commission. So I respectfully
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood. We also have that parking of vehicles can only be inside. So they can only park inside, no parking on the swale, and we are willing to have, you know, tow away signs, so that if there is a car parked on the swale, the roving patrol has the authority to tow away a vehicle. We, also, at the request of Staff, appeared before the Waterway Advisory Board on June 6th. They heard our proposed Site Plan. They had no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications actually convey ownership rights, as opposed to use rights, but as the City Attorney and Mr. Coller have ruled, that will be a private dispute that will be taken up by the Courts and doesn't affect the City's jurisdiction. This is an allowed use. There's an allowed process, and this Board is a recommending body to the City Commission. So I respectfully request approval of our Site Plan and also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood. We also have that parking of vehicles can only be inside. So they can only park inside, no parking on the swale, and we are willing to have, you know, tow away signs, so that if there is a car parked on the swale, the roving patrol has the authority to tow away a vehicle. We, also, at the request of Staff, appeared before the Waterway Advisory Board on June 6th. They heard our proposed Site Plan. They had no particular issues. There is no obstruction of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications actually convey ownership rights, as opposed to use rights, but as the City Attorney and Mr. Coller have ruled, that will be a private dispute that will be taken up by the Courts and doesn't affect the City's jurisdiction. This is an allowed use. There's an allowed process, and this Board is a recommending body to the City Commission. So I respectfully request approval of our Site Plan and also respectfully request some rebuttal at the end.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood. We also have that parking of vehicles can only be inside. So they can only park inside, no parking on the swale, and we are willing to have, you know, tow away signs, so that if there is a car parked on the swale, the roving patrol has the authority to tow away a vehicle. We, also, at the request of Staff, appeared before the Waterway Advisory Board on June 6th. They heard our proposed Site Plan. They had no particular issues. There is no obstruction of the required waterway access, in the boats	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications actually convey ownership rights, as opposed to use rights, but as the City Attorney and Mr. Coller have ruled, that will be a private dispute that will be taken up by the Courts and doesn't affect the City's jurisdiction. This is an allowed use. There's an allowed process, and this Board is a recommending body to the City Commission. So I respectfully request approval of our Site Plan and also respectfully request some rebuttal at the end. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood. We also have that parking of vehicles can only be inside. So they can only park inside, no parking on the swale, and we are willing to have, you know, tow away signs, so that if there is a car parked on the swale, the roving patrol has the authority to tow away a vehicle. We, also, at the request of Staff, appeared before the Waterway Advisory Board on June 6th. They heard our proposed Site Plan. They had no particular issues. There is no obstruction of the required waterway access, in the boats accessing and going out, and so we are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications actually convey ownership rights, as opposed to use rights, but as the City Attorney and Mr. Coller have ruled, that will be a private dispute that will be taken up by the Courts and doesn't affect the City's jurisdiction. This is an allowed use. There's an allowed process, and this Board is a recommending body to the City Commission. So I respectfully request approval of our Site Plan and also respectfully request some rebuttal at the end. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. RUSSO: And I'm happy to answer any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood. We also have that parking of vehicles can only be inside. So they can only park inside, no parking on the swale, and we are willing to have, you know, tow away signs, so that if there is a car parked on the swale, the roving patrol has the authority to tow away a vehicle. We, also, at the request of Staff, appeared before the Waterway Advisory Board on June 6th. They heard our proposed Site Plan. They had no particular issues. There is no obstruction of the required waterway access, in the boats accessing and going out, and so we are respectfully requesting approval.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications actually convey ownership rights, as opposed to use rights, but as the City Attorney and Mr. Coller have ruled, that will be a private dispute that will be taken up by the Courts and doesn't affect the City's jurisdiction. This is an allowed use. There's an allowed process, and this Board is a recommending body to the City Commission. So I respectfully request approval of our Site Plan and also respectfully request some rebuttal at the end. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. RUSSO: And I'm happy to answer any questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood. We also have that parking of vehicles can only be inside. So they can only park inside, no parking on the swale, and we are willing to have, you know, tow away signs, so that if there is a car parked on the swale, the roving patrol has the authority to tow away a vehicle. We, also, at the request of Staff, appeared before the Waterway Advisory Board on June 6th. They heard our proposed Site Plan. They had no particular issues. There is no obstruction of the required waterway access, in the boats accessing and going out, and so we are respectfully requesting approval.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications actually convey ownership rights, as opposed to use rights, but as the City Attorney and Mr. Coller have ruled, that will be a private dispute that will be taken up by the Courts and doesn't affect the City's jurisdiction. This is an allowed use. There's an allowed process, and this Board is a recommending body to the City Commission. So I respectfully request approval of our Site Plan and also respectfully request some rebuttal at the end. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. RUSSO: And I'm happy to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood. We also have that parking of vehicles can only be inside. So they can only park inside, no parking on the swale, and we are willing to have, you know, tow away signs, so that if there is a car parked on the swale, the roving patrol has the authority to tow away a vehicle. We, also, at the request of Staff, appeared before the Waterway Advisory Board on June 6th. They heard our proposed Site Plan. They had no particular issues. There is no obstruction of the required waterway access, in the boats accessing and going out, and so we are respectfully requesting approval. I know there are some neighbors here who are in opposition. There are some neighbors	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications actually convey ownership rights, as opposed to use rights, but as the City Attorney and Mr. Coller have ruled, that will be a private dispute that will be taken up by the Courts and doesn't affect the City's jurisdiction. This is an allowed use. There's an allowed process, and this Board is a recommending body to the City Commission. So I respectfully request approval of our Site Plan and also respectfully request some rebuttal at the end. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. RUSSO: And I'm happy to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. ANDERSON: Do we have an opportunity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood. We also have that parking of vehicles can only be inside. So they can only park inside, no parking on the swale, and we are willing to have, you know, tow away signs, so that if there is a car parked on the swale, the roving patrol has the authority to tow away a vehicle. We, also, at the request of Staff, appeared before the Waterway Advisory Board on June 6th. They heard our proposed Site Plan. They had no particular issues. There is no obstruction of the required waterway access, in the boats accessing and going out, and so we are respectfully requesting approval. I know there are some neighbors here who are in opposition. There are some neighbors here who also are in favor. And I'd like to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications actually convey ownership rights, as opposed to use rights, but as the City Attorney and Mr. Coller have ruled, that will be a private dispute that will be taken up by the Courts and doesn't affect the City's jurisdiction. This is an allowed use. There's an allowed process, and this Board is a recommending body to the City Commission. So I respectfully request approval of our Site Plan and also respectfully request some rebuttal at the end. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. RUSSO: And I'm happy to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. ANDERSON: Do we have an opportunity for questions now?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood. We also have that parking of vehicles can only be inside. So they can only park inside, no parking on the swale, and we are willing to have, you know, tow away signs, so that if there is a car parked on the swale, the roving patrol has the authority to tow away a vehicle. We, also, at the request of Staff, appeared before the Waterway Advisory Board on June 6th. They heard our proposed Site Plan. They had no particular issues. There is no obstruction of the required waterway access, in the boats accessing and going out, and so we are respectfully requesting approval. I know there are some neighbors here who are in opposition. There are some neighbors here who also are in favor. And I'd like to reserve time for rebuttal.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications actually convey ownership rights, as opposed to use rights, but as the City Attorney and Mr. Coller have ruled, that will be a private dispute that will be taken up by the Courts and doesn't affect the City's jurisdiction. This is an allowed use. There's an allowed process, and this Board is a recommending body to the City Commission. So I respectfully request approval of our Site Plan and also respectfully request some rebuttal at the end. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. RUSSO: And I'm happy to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. ANDERSON: Do we have an opportunity for questions now? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We will. Let's go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood. We also have that parking of vehicles can only be inside. So they can only park inside, no parking on the swale, and we are willing to have, you know, tow away signs, so that if there is a car parked on the swale, the roving patrol has the authority to tow away a vehicle. We, also, at the request of Staff, appeared before the Waterway Advisory Board on June 6th. They heard our proposed Site Plan. They had no particular issues. There is no obstruction of the required waterway access, in the boats accessing and going out, and so we are respectfully requesting approval. I know there are some neighbors here who are in opposition. There are some neighbors here who also are in favor. And I'd like to reserve time for rebuttal. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications actually convey ownership rights, as opposed to use rights, but as the City Attorney and Mr. Coller have ruled, that will be a private dispute that will be taken up by the Courts and doesn't affect the City's jurisdiction. This is an allowed use. There's an allowed process, and this Board is a recommending body to the City Commission. So I respectfully request approval of our Site Plan and also respectfully request some rebuttal at the end. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. RUSSO: And I'm happy to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. ANDERSON: Do we have an opportunity for questions now? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We will. Let's go ahead and open up the floor first and hear
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood. We also have that parking of vehicles can only be inside. So they can only park inside, no parking on the swale, and we are willing to have, you know, tow away signs, so that if there is a car parked on the swale, the roving patrol has the authority to tow away a vehicle. We, also, at the request of Staff, appeared before the Waterway Advisory Board on June 6th. They heard our proposed Site Plan. They had no particular issues. There is no obstruction of the required waterway access, in the boats accessing and going out, and so we are respectfully requesting approval. I know there are some neighbors here who are in opposition. There are some neighbors here who also are in favor. And I'd like to reserve time for rebuttal. And with respect to the lawsuit, I will say, my client has engaged litigation counsel 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications actually convey ownership rights, as opposed to use rights, but as the City Attorney and Mr. Coller have ruled, that will be a private dispute that will be taken up by the Courts and doesn't affect the City's jurisdiction. This is an allowed use. There's an allowed process, and this Board is a recommending body to the City Commission. So I respectfully request approval of our Site Plan and also respectfully request some rebuttal at the end. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. RUSSO: And I'm happy to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. ANDERSON: Do we have an opportunity for questions now? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We will. Let's go ahead and open up the floor first and hear public comment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 lives up in North Gables, who doesn't have a place to put their boat or someone who lives in another section of the Gables, but rather to provide for this neighborhood. We also have that parking of vehicles can only be inside. So they can only park inside, no parking on the swale, and we are willing to have, you know, tow away signs, so that if there is a car parked on the swale, the roving patrol has the authority to tow away a vehicle. We, also, at the request of Staff, appeared before the Waterway Advisory Board on June 6th. They heard our proposed Site Plan. They had no particular issues. There is no obstruction of the required waterway access, in the boats accessing and going out, and so we are respectfully requesting approval. I know there are some neighbors here who are in opposition. There are some neighbors here who also are in favor. And I'd like to reserve time for rebuttal. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a declaration as to the rights under the plat. I happen to disagree on some of the premises, as a real estate lawyer, as to whether plat restrictions and dedications actually convey ownership rights, as opposed to use rights, but as the City Attorney and Mr. Coller have ruled, that will be a private dispute that will be taken up by the Courts and doesn't affect the City's jurisdiction. This is an allowed use. There's an allowed process, and this Board is a recommending body to the City Commission. So I respectfully request approval of our Site Plan and also respectfully request some rebuttal at the end. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. RUSSO: And I'm happy to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. ANDERSON: Do we have an opportunity for questions now? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We will. Let's go ahead and open up the floor first and hear

	Page 81		Page 82
1	MS. RUSSO: Okay. You're welcome.	1	fortunate to be able to buy a home in Hammock
2	THE SECRETARY: Adam Moskowitz.	2	Oaks, we did, and I've been a lawyer for 25
3	MR. MOSKOWITZ: Good evening. Thank you	3	years here in Coral Gables. I ran the class
4	for hearing me. I am Adam Moskowitz. I live	4	action practice for Kozyak Tropin. I started
5	at 414 Rovino Avenue with my beautiful wife,	5	my own firm, the Moskowitz Firm, here in Coral
6	Jessica, and our three children, Samantha,	6	Gables.
7	Serafina and Michael.	7	We were first very skeptical of this
8	And, actually, I live here.	8	proposal. Well, I don't know the people who
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If you would, there's	9	developed it. We knew nothing about it. My
10	a microphone right there, if you don't mind.	10	wife is on the homeowners association, and when
10	MR. MOSKOWITZ: Oh, yeah.		we heard about it, we were skeptical, because,
12	That's my house. So I'm	12	-
	-	13	as you can see, there's already eight docks for
13	MS. MENENDEZ: Can you show me again? MR. MOSKOWITZ: Yeah.		the people that live on the ocean, and that
14		14	area is not that great. And I'll just walk
15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Just point to it.	15	over. It's here.
16	MR. MOSKOWITZ: Yeah, it's the top. Here.	16	These people, they all have docks, but
17	I didn't know I'd be in the diagram.	17	they're not organized. They're wonderful
18	So we've lived there for many years, and we	18	people, and we've met them at the homeowners
19	lived next door. We sold our house, when we	19	association. They're wonderful people, but
20	had more children, and we got a bigger house.	20	it's not organized. They don't have like an
21	So we love Hammock Oaks. Some of my best	21	easement where there's a walkway area. I
22	friends' parents built Hammock Oaks, Howard	22	wouldn't bring my children to go to that area.
23	Wolofsky, Jeanie Jontiff and Dr. Elias. I	23	And they have problems. It's not an organized
24	mean, they built the development. So it's	24	dockaminium like this developer is trying to
25	always been my dream. When we were very	25	do, and it's not run very well, and there's
		1	
	Page 83		Page 84
1	Page 83 only a few.	1	Page 84 down, so there's not going to be cars. And,
1 2		1 2	
	only a few.		down, so there's not going to be cars. And,
2	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was,	2	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in
2 3	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well,	2 3	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in
2 3 4	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice	2 3 4	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to
2 3 4 5	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice fashion to make our development a better home,	2 3 4 5	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to use these slips, like the people who currently
2 3 4 5 6	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice fashion to make our development a better home, a better place to live, a place where I bring	2 3 4 5 6	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to use these slips, like the people who currently have the docks, and one of them is opposing it,
2 3 4 5 6 7	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice fashion to make our development a better home, a better place to live, a place where I bring my four-year-old daughter, Samantha, to go	2 3 4 5 6 7	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to use these slips, like the people who currently have the docks, and one of them is opposing it, who's a wonderful person, but they have their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice fashion to make our development a better home, a better place to live, a place where I bring my four-year-old daughter, Samantha, to go walk, because I wouldn't take them where the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to use these slips, like the people who currently have the docks, and one of them is opposing it, who's a wonderful person, but they have their own docks already with ocean access, and many
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice fashion to make our development a better home, a better place to live, a place where I bring my four-year-old daughter, Samantha, to go walk, because I wouldn't take them where the current homes are. And those are the people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to use these slips, like the people who currently have the docks, and one of them is opposing it, who's a wonderful person, but they have their own docks already with ocean access, and many of these developments, like Gables by the Sea,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice fashion to make our development a better home, a better place to live, a place where I bring my four-year-old daughter, Samantha, to go walk, because I wouldn't take them where the current homes are. And those are the people that are opposing this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to use these slips, like the people who currently have the docks, and one of them is opposing it, who's a wonderful person, but they have their own docks already with ocean access, and many of these developments, like Gables by the Sea, Gables Estates, Cocoplum, only have few people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice fashion to make our development a better home, a better place to live, a place where I bring my four-year-old daughter, Samantha, to go walk, because I wouldn't take them where the current homes are. And those are the people that are opposing this. And, again, they're wonderful people. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to use these slips, like the people who currently have the docks, and one of them is opposing it, who's a wonderful person, but they have their own docks already with ocean access, and many of these developments, like Gables by the Sea, Gables Estates, Cocoplum, only have few people that have ocean access and they don't want the lakes to connect to the ocean, like us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice fashion to make our development a better home, a better place to live, a place where I bring my four-year-old daughter, Samantha, to go walk, because I wouldn't take them where the current homes are. And those are the people that are opposing this. And, again, they're wonderful people. I know them. They have their own docks. They	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to use these slips, like the people who currently have the docks, and one of them is opposing it, who's a wonderful person, but they have their own docks already with ocean access, and many of these developments, like Gables by the Sea, Gables Estates, Cocoplum, only have few people that have ocean access and they don't want the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice fashion to make our development a better home, a better place to live, a place where I bring my four-year-old daughter, Samantha, to go walk, because I wouldn't take them where the current homes are. And those are the people that are opposing this. And, again, they're wonderful people. I know them. They have their own docks. They have ocean access. We don't. The hundred	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to use these slips, like the people who currently have the docks, and one of them is opposing it, who's a wonderful person, but they have their own docks already with ocean access, and many of these developments, like Gables by the Sea, Gables Estates, Cocoplum, only have few people that have ocean access and they don't want the lakes to connect to the ocean, like us. So I thought, after hearing him out, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice fashion to make our development a better home, a better place to live, a place where I bring my four-year-old daughter, Samantha, to go walk, because I wouldn't take them where the current homes are. And those are the people that are opposing this. And, again, they're wonderful people. I know them. They have their own docks. They have ocean access. We don't. The hundred people that live in Hammock Oaks, they don't have ocean access. We have lake access. Only	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to use these slips, like the people who currently have the docks, and one of them is opposing it, who's a wonderful person, but they have their own docks already with ocean access, and many of these developments, like Gables by the Sea, Gables Estates, Cocoplum, only have few people that have ocean access and they don't want the lakes to connect to the ocean, like us. So I thought, after hearing him out, you know what, I would support this plan, because I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice fashion to make our development a better home, a better place to live, a place where I bring my four-year-old daughter, Samantha, to go walk, because I wouldn't take them where the current homes are. And those are the people that are opposing this. And, again, they're wonderful people. I know them. They have their own docks. They have ocean access. We don't. The hundred people that live in Hammock Oaks, they don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to use these slips, like the people who currently have the docks, and one of them is opposing it, who's a wonderful person, but they have their own docks already with ocean access, and many of these developments, like Gables by the Sea, Gables Estates, Cocoplum, only have few people that have ocean access and they don't want the lakes to connect to the ocean, like us. So I thought, after hearing him out, you know what, I would support this plan, because I think that this is a wonderful development. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice fashion to make our development a better home, a better place to live, a place where I bring my four-year-old daughter, Samantha, to go walk, because I wouldn't take them where the current homes are. And those are the people that are opposing this. And, again, they're wonderful people. I know them. They have their own docks. They have ocean access. We don't. The hundred people that live in Hammock Oaks, they don't have ocean access. We have lake access. Only those seven people have access to the ocean.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to use these slips, like the people who currently have the docks, and one of them is opposing it, who's a wonderful person, but they have their own docks already with ocean access, and many of these developments, like Gables by the Sea, Gables Estates, Cocoplum, only have few people that have ocean access and they don't want the lakes to connect to the ocean, like us. So I thought, after hearing him out, you know what, I would support this plan, because I think that this is a wonderful development. I think it's a reasonable, small little development. It's not a marina. There's no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice fashion to make our development a better home, a better place to live, a place where I bring my four-year-old daughter, Samantha, to go walk, because I wouldn't take them where the current homes are. And those are the people that are opposing this. And, again, they're wonderful people. I know them. They have their own docks. They have ocean access. We don't. The hundred people that live in Hammock Oaks, they don't have ocean access. We have lake access. Only those seven people have access to the ocean. So we had many meetings with David. I sat down and went to lunch with him, and I said,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to use these slips, like the people who currently have the docks, and one of them is opposing it, who's a wonderful person, but they have their own docks already with ocean access, and many of these developments, like Gables by the Sea, Gables Estates, Cocoplum, only have few people that have ocean access and they don't want the lakes to connect to the ocean, like us. So I thought, after hearing him out, you know what, I would support this plan, because I think that this is a wonderful development. I think it's a reasonable, small little development. It's not a marina. There's no lunch room or anything. It's just six docks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice fashion to make our development a better home, a better place to live, a place where I bring my four-year-old daughter, Samantha, to go walk, because I wouldn't take them where the current homes are. And those are the people that are opposing this. And, again, they're wonderful people. I know them. They have their own docks. They have ocean access. We don't. The hundred people that live in Hammock Oaks, they don't have ocean access. We have lake access. Only those seven people have access to the ocean. So we had many meetings with David. I sat down and went to lunch with him, and I said, "Explain to me in detail what you plan to do,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to use these slips, like the people who currently have the docks, and one of them is opposing it, who's a wonderful person, but they have their own docks already with ocean access, and many of these developments, like Gables by the Sea, Gables Estates, Cocoplum, only have few people that have ocean access and they don't want the lakes to connect to the ocean, like us. So I thought, after hearing him out, you know what, I would support this plan, because I think that this is a wonderful development. I think it's a reasonable, small little development. It's not a marina. There's no lunch room or anything. It's just six docks. It's beautifully landscaped. There's going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice fashion to make our development a better home, a better place to live, a place where I bring my four-year-old daughter, Samantha, to go walk, because I wouldn't take them where the current homes are. And those are the people that are opposing this. And, again, they're wonderful people. I know them. They have their own docks. They have ocean access. We don't. The hundred people that live in Hammock Oaks, they don't have ocean access. We have lake access. Only those seven people have access to the ocean. So we had many meetings with David. I sat down and went to lunch with him, and I said, "Explain to me in detail what you plan to do, because I have three children that are going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to use these slips, like the people who currently have the docks, and one of them is opposing it, who's a wonderful person, but they have their own docks already with ocean access, and many of these developments, like Gables by the Sea, Gables Estates, Cocoplum, only have few people that have ocean access and they don't want the lakes to connect to the ocean, like us. So I thought, after hearing him out, you know what, I would support this plan, because I think that this is a wonderful development. I think it's a reasonable, small little development. It's not a marina. There's no lunch room or anything. It's just six docks. It's beautifully landscaped. There's going to be security. There's going to be restrictions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice fashion to make our development a better home, a better place to live, a place where I bring my four-year-old daughter, Samantha, to go walk, because I wouldn't take them where the current homes are. And those are the people that are opposing this. And, again, they're wonderful people. I know them. They have their own docks. They have ocean access. We don't. The hundred people that live in Hammock Oaks, they don't have ocean access. We have lake access. Only those seven people have access to the ocean. So we had many meetings with David. I sat down and went to lunch with him, and I said, "Explain to me in detail what you plan to do, because I have three children that are going to grow up here." And I said, "It's not good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to use these slips, like the people who currently have the docks, and one of them is opposing it, who's a wonderful person, but they have their own docks already with ocean access, and many of these developments, like Gables by the Sea, Gables Estates, Cocoplum, only have few people that have ocean access and they don't want the lakes to connect to the ocean, like us. So I thought, after hearing him out, you know what, I would support this plan, because I think that this is a wonderful development. I think it's a reasonable, small little development. It's not a marina. There's no lunch room or anything. It's just six docks. It's beautifully landscaped. There's going to be security. There's going to be restrictions there. Only people who live there can get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice fashion to make our development a better home, a better place to live, a place where I bring my four-year-old daughter, Samantha, to go walk, because I wouldn't take them where the current homes are. And those are the people that are opposing this. And, again, they're wonderful people. I know them. They have their own docks. They have ocean access. We don't. The hundred people that live in Hammock Oaks, they don't have ocean access. We have lake access. Only those seven people have access to the ocean. So we had many meetings with David. I sat down and went to lunch with him, and I said, "Explain to me in detail what you plan to do, because I have three children that are going to grow up here." And I said, "It's not good enough. Revise it. Revise it." And he kept	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to use these slips, like the people who currently have the docks, and one of them is opposing it, who's a wonderful person, but they have their own docks already with ocean access, and many of these developments, like Gables by the Sea, Gables Estates, Cocoplum, only have few people that have ocean access and they don't want the lakes to connect to the ocean, like us. So I thought, after hearing him out, you know what, I would support this plan, because I think that this is a wonderful development. I think it's a reasonable, small little development. It's not a marina. There's no lunch room or anything. It's just six docks. It's beautifully landscaped. There's going to be security. There's going to be restrictions there. Only people who live there can get them. So I thought, this is going to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	only a few. So when we heard about what his idea was, as a lawyer, I was very careful. I said, well, how are you going to organize this in a nice fashion to make our development a better home, a better place to live, a place where I bring my four-year-old daughter, Samantha, to go walk, because I wouldn't take them where the current homes are. And those are the people that are opposing this. And, again, they're wonderful people. I know them. They have their own docks. They have ocean access. We don't. The hundred people that live in Hammock Oaks, they don't have ocean access. We have lake access. Only those seven people have access to the ocean. So we had many meetings with David. I sat down and went to lunch with him, and I said, "Explain to me in detail what you plan to do, because I have three children that are going to grow up here." And I said, "It's not good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	down, so there's not going to be cars. And, then, most importantly, you have to live in Hammock Oaks to get a slip. That wasn't in there originally. So you have to live there to use these slips, like the people who currently have the docks, and one of them is opposing it, who's a wonderful person, but they have their own docks already with ocean access, and many of these developments, like Gables by the Sea, Gables Estates, Cocoplum, only have few people that have ocean access and they don't want the lakes to connect to the ocean, like us. So I thought, after hearing him out, you know what, I would support this plan, because I think that this is a wonderful development. I think it's a reasonable, small little development. It's not a marina. There's no lunch room or anything. It's just six docks. It's beautifully landscaped. There's going to be security. There's going to be restrictions there. Only people who live there can get

25

25

restrictions down. Then they put landscaping

interested in and would be open to other

	Page 85		Page 86
1		1	
1 2	people, who are residents, and the people who	1 2	Zubizarreta. I live at 490 Campana Avenue. I
3	live on my block, we support it. You know, I haven't met anybody who's	3	happen to be the president of the homeowners association, so I've kind of heard both sides
4	against it yet, who doesn't have one of the	4	of this issue, those that are for and those
5	other docks. The people that I've met that	5	that are against.
6	oppose it, they have docks already and they	6	And I think, if you look at communities
7	have ocean access. And they have their	7	that have a marina or have a boat basin, it
8	concerns, and I understand them. They don't	8	does add value to a community. It adds value
9	want construction or they don't want noise, and	9	to be able to have your boat in your community,
10	I hear them, and I think that they need to be	10	improves property values. It's an appeal that
11	reasonable in terms of how they build this	11	people are looking for in South Florida.
12	project. I think it needs to be a carefully	12	On the other hand, and these are some of
13	done project, but I think I've carefully	13	the concerns that I'm hearing, it can't be at
14	reviewed it. I mean, I went page by page	14	the expense of the rest of the community. I
15	through it, and I think they've done	15	was happy to hear some of the restrictions that
16	everything, in addition to what was originally	16	were added to the property, in terms of parking
17	there, to address all of the concerns that we	17	on the swale, which I think was a big concern
18	heard from the homeowners, including myself and	18	for people that are on Marin. There were
19	my wife and our children, to make it a really	19	concerns about trash collection, and that came
20	beautiful addition to what we have now.	20	up several times. People are concerned about
21	So we support it. Thank you very much for	21	noise and traffic and a few other things.
22	hearing me out.	22	Now, one thing that really concerned me was
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	23	making sure we have a tight covenant with the
24	THE SECRETARY: Peter Zubizarreta.	24	land that does not allow non-residents of
25	MR. ZUBIZARRETA: Hi. I'm Peter	25	Hammock Oaks to either buy a slip or rent a
	Dage 97		
	Page 87		Page 88
1		1	Page 88 Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables
1 2	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me,	1 2	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables
	slip. I believe that's addressed.		Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years.
2	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me,	2	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables
2 3	slip. I believe that's addressed.Now, there's one thing that did concern me,which it says, "Property owners." So a	2 3	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I
2 3 4	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns	2 3 4	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami
2 3 4 5	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they	2 3 4 5	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot
2 3 4 5 6	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they want to have a boat slip. So they might not	2 3 4 5 6	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years.I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot Jungle. Basically, drive 45 minutes to be able
2 3 4 5 6 7	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they want to have a boat slip. So they might not treat the community as well as somebody that	2 3 4 5 6 7	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot Jungle. Basically, drive 45 minutes to be able to use my boat, load up the car with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they want to have a boat slip. So they might not treat the community as well as somebody that lives there. So that gives me some concerns.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot Jungle. Basically, drive 45 minutes to be able to use my boat, load up the car with the fishing rods, coolers and so on and so on. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they want to have a boat slip. So they might not treat the community as well as somebody that lives there. So that gives me some concerns. I also feel like there might be a little bit of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot Jungle. Basically, drive 45 minutes to be able to use my boat, load up the car with the fishing rods, coolers and so on and so on. It will be a great opportunity that I can acquire
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they want to have a boat slip. So they might not treat the community as well as somebody that lives there. So that gives me some concerns. I also feel like there might be a little bit of a loophole there, and I'm sorry, David, this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot Jungle. Basically, drive 45 minutes to be able to use my boat, load up the car with the fishing rods, coolers and so on and so on. It will be a great opportunity that I can acquire a dock at Hammock Oaks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they want to have a boat slip. So they might not treat the community as well as somebody that lives there. So that gives me some concerns. I also feel like there might be a little bit of a loophole there, and I'm sorry, David, this might actually affect you, but if you own a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot Jungle. Basically, drive 45 minutes to be able to use my boat, load up the car with the fishing rods, coolers and so on and so on. It will be a great opportunity that I can acquire a dock at Hammock Oaks. Currently there's approximately a hundred
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they want to have a boat slip. So they might not treat the community as well as somebody that lives there. So that gives me some concerns. I also feel like there might be a little bit of a loophole there, and I'm sorry, David, this might actually affect you, but if you own a slip, does that make you a property owner? So 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot Jungle. Basically, drive 45 minutes to be able to use my boat, load up the car with the fishing rods, coolers and so on and so on. It will be a great opportunity that I can acquire a dock at Hammock Oaks. Currently there's approximately a hundred homes, and I think between 18 or 20 have waterfront or dock. So the rest of 80 homes don't have access or a dock. So I think it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they want to have a boat slip. So they might not treat the community as well as somebody that lives there. So that gives me some concerns. I also feel like there might be a little bit of a loophole there, and I'm sorry, David, this might actually affect you, but if you own a slip, does that make you a property owner? So if you own a slip, now you sell your home, you're considered a property owner? I think that needs to be addressed. I think that needs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot Jungle. Basically, drive 45 minutes to be able to use my boat, load up the car with the fishing rods, coolers and so on and so on. It will be a great opportunity that I can acquire a dock at Hammock Oaks. Currently there's approximately a hundred homes, and I think between 18 or 20 have waterfront or dock. So the rest of 80 homes don't have access or a dock. So I think it will be a great opportunity for a few to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they want to have a boat slip. So they might not treat the community as well as somebody that lives there. So that gives me some concerns. I also feel like there might be a little bit of a loophole there, and I'm sorry, David, this might actually affect you, but if you own a slip, does that make you a property owner? So if you own a slip, now you sell your home, you're considered a property owner? I think 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot Jungle. Basically, drive 45 minutes to be able to use my boat, load up the car with the fishing rods, coolers and so on and so on. It will be a great opportunity that I can acquire a dock at Hammock Oaks. Currently there's approximately a hundred homes, and I think between 18 or 20 have waterfront or dock. So the rest of 80 homes don't have access or a dock. So I think it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they want to have a boat slip. So they might not treat the community as well as somebody that lives there. So that gives me some concerns. I also feel like there might be a little bit of a loophole there, and I'm sorry, David, this might actually affect you, but if you own a slip, does that make you a property owner? So if you own a slip, now you sell your home, you're considered a property owner? I think that needs to be addressed. I think that needs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot Jungle. Basically, drive 45 minutes to be able to use my boat, load up the car with the fishing rods, coolers and so on and so on. It will be a great opportunity that I can acquire a dock at Hammock Oaks. Currently there's approximately a hundred homes, and I think between 18 or 20 have waterfront or dock. So the rest of 80 homes don't have access or a dock. So I think it will be a great opportunity for a few to be able to acquire a dock. And whoever acquires one, it will increase their property value. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they want to have a boat slip. So they might not treat the community as well as somebody that lives there. So that gives me some concerns. I also feel like there might be a little bit of a loophole there, and I'm sorry, David, this might actually affect you, but if you own a slip, does that make you a property owner? So if you own a slip, now you sell your home, you're considered a property owner? I think that needs to be addressed. I think that needs to be tightened a little bit, because technically you can sell that slip to someone that no longer lives in the community. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot Jungle. Basically, drive 45 minutes to be able to use my boat, load up the car with the fishing rods, coolers and so on and so on. It will be a great opportunity that I can acquire a dock at Hammock Oaks. Currently there's approximately a hundred homes, and I think between 18 or 20 have waterfront or dock. So the rest of 80 homes don't have access or a dock. So I think it will be a great opportunity for a few to be able to acquire a dock. And whoever acquires one, it will increase their property value. So definitely I'm in favor of this project.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they want to have a boat slip. So they might not treat the community as well as somebody that lives there. So that gives me some concerns. I also feel like there might be a little bit of a loophole there, and I'm sorry, David, this might actually affect you, but if you own a slip, does that make you a property owner? So if you own a slip, now you sell your home, you're considered a property owner? I think that needs to be addressed. I think that needs to be tightened a little bit, because technically you can sell that slip to someone that no longer lives in the community. So that's one issue that I hope you guys will look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot Jungle. Basically, drive 45 minutes to be able to use my boat, load up the car with the fishing rods, coolers and so on and so on. It will be a great opportunity that I can acquire a dock at Hammock Oaks. Currently there's approximately a hundred homes, and I think between 18 or 20 have waterfront or dock. So the rest of 80 homes don't have access or a dock. So I think it will be a great opportunity for a few to be able to acquire a dock. And whoever acquires one, it will increase their property value. So definitely I'm in favor of this project.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they want to have a boat slip. So they might not treat the community as well as somebody that lives there. So that gives me some concerns. I also feel like there might be a little bit of a loophole there, and I'm sorry, David, this might actually affect you, but if you own a slip, does that make you a property owner? So if you own a slip, now you sell your home, you're considered a property owner? I think that needs to be addressed. I think that needs to be tightened a little bit, because technically you can sell that slip to someone that no longer lives in the community. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot Jungle. Basically, drive 45 minutes to be able to use my boat, load up the car with the fishing rods, coolers and so on and so on. It will be a great opportunity that I can acquire a dock at Hammock Oaks. Currently there's approximately a hundred homes, and I think between 18 or 20 have waterfront or dock. So the rest of 80 homes don't have access or a dock. So I think it will be a great opportunity for a few to be able to acquire a dock. And whoever acquires one, it will increase their property value. So definitely I'm in favor of this project. I've seen the presentation, security, gate, access code, so all of those things are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they want to have a boat slip. So they might not treat the community as well as somebody that lives there. So that gives me some concerns. I also feel like there might be a little bit of a loophole there, and I'm sorry, David, this might actually affect you, but if you own a slip, does that make you a property owner? So if you own a slip, now you sell your home, you're considered a property owner? I think that needs to be addressed. I think that needs to be tightened a little bit, because technically you can sell that slip to someone that no longer lives in the community. So that's one issue that I hope you guys will look at and tighten up. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot Jungle. Basically, drive 45 minutes to be able to use my boat, load up the car with the fishing rods, coolers and so on and so on. It will be a great opportunity that I can acquire a dock at Hammock Oaks. Currently there's approximately a hundred homes, and I think between 18 or 20 have waterfront or dock. So the rest of 80 homes don't have access or a dock. So I think it will be a great opportunity for a few to be able to acquire a dock. And whoever acquires one, it will increase their property value. So definitely I'm in favor of this project. I've seen the presentation, security, gate, access code, so all of those things are basically for the resident who lives close by,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they want to have a boat slip. So they might not treat the community as well as somebody that lives there. So that gives me some concerns. I also feel like there might be a little bit of a loophole there, and I'm sorry, David, this might actually affect you, but if you own a slip, does that make you a property owner? So if you own a slip, now you sell your home, you're considered a property owner? I think that needs to be addressed. I think that needs to be tightened a little bit, because technically you can sell that slip to someone that no longer lives in the community. So that's one issue that I hope you guys will look at and tighten up. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Rene Arencibia.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot Jungle. Basically, drive 45 minutes to be able to use my boat, load up the car with the fishing rods, coolers and so on and so on. It will be a great opportunity that I can acquire a dock at Hammock Oaks. Currently there's approximately a hundred homes, and I think between 18 or 20 have waterfront or dock. So the rest of 80 homes don't have access or a dock. So I think it will be a great opportunity for a few to be able to acquire a dock. And whoever acquires one, it will increase their property value. So definitely I'm in favor of this project. I've seen the presentation, security, gate, access code, so all of those things are basically for the resident who lives close by, limited view. I personally own a golf cart
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they want to have a boat slip. So they might not treat the community as well as somebody that lives there. So that gives me some concerns. I also feel like there might be a little bit of a loophole there, and I'm sorry, David, this might actually affect you, but if you own a slip, does that make you a property owner? So if you own a slip, now you sell your home, you're considered a property owner? I think that needs to be addressed. I think that needs to be tightened a little bit, because technically you can sell that slip to someone that no longer lives in the community. So that's one issue that I hope you guys will look at and tighten up. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Rene Arencibia. MR. ARENCIBIA: Good evening. Thank you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot Jungle. Basically, drive 45 minutes to be able to use my boat, load up the car with the fishing rods, coolers and so on and so on. It will be a great opportunity that I can acquire a dock at Hammock Oaks. Currently there's approximately a hundred homes, and I think between 18 or 20 have waterfront or dock. So the rest of 80 homes don't have access or a dock. So I think it will be a great opportunity for a few to be able to acquire a dock. And whoever acquires one, it will increase their property value. So definitely I'm in favor of this project. I've seen the presentation, security, gate, access code, so all of those things are basically for the resident who lives close by, limited view. I personally own a golf cart already, so that will be a plus already. And I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they want to have a boat slip. So they might not treat the community as well as somebody that lives there. So that gives me some concerns. I also feel like there might be a little bit of a loophole there, and I'm sorry, David, this might actually affect you, but if you own a slip, does that make you a property owner? So if you own a slip, now you sell your home, you're considered a property owner? I think that needs to be addressed. I think that needs to be tightened a little bit, because technically you can sell that slip to someone that no longer lives in the community. So that's one issue that I hope you guys will look at and tighten up. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Rene Arencibia. MR. ARENCIBIA: Good evening. Thank you for giving me an opportunity to address the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot Jungle. Basically, drive 45 minutes to be able to use my boat, load up the car with the fishing rods, coolers and so on and so on. It will be a great opportunity that I can acquire a dock at Hammock Oaks. Currently there's approximately a hundred homes, and I think between 18 or 20 have waterfront or dock. So the rest of 80 homes don't have access or a dock. So I think it will be a great opportunity for a few to be able to acquire a dock. And whoever acquires one, it will increase their property value. So definitely I'm in favor of this project. I've seen the presentation, security, gate, access code, so all of those things are basically for the resident who lives close by, limited view. I personally own a golf cart already, so that will be a plus already. And I think that's it. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	slip. I believe that's addressed. Now, there's one thing that did concern me, which it says, "Property owners." So a property owner could be somebody that just owns an empty piece of land in Hammock Oaks and they want to have a boat slip. So they might not treat the community as well as somebody that lives there. So that gives me some concerns. I also feel like there might be a little bit of a loophole there, and I'm sorry, David, this might actually affect you, but if you own a slip, does that make you a property owner? So if you own a slip, now you sell your home, you're considered a property owner? I think that needs to be addressed. I think that needs to be tightened a little bit, because technically you can sell that slip to someone that no longer lives in the community. So that's one issue that I hope you guys will look at and tighten up. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Rene Arencibia. MR. ARENCIBIA: Good evening. Thank you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 28 years. I am in favor of this project. I personally own a boat, which I keep at Miami Yacht Club, which is Downtown by the Parrot Jungle. Basically, drive 45 minutes to be able to use my boat, load up the car with the fishing rods, coolers and so on and so on. It will be a great opportunity that I can acquire a dock at Hammock Oaks. Currently there's approximately a hundred homes, and I think between 18 or 20 have waterfront or dock. So the rest of 80 homes don't have access or a dock. So I think it will be a great opportunity for a few to be able to acquire a dock. And whoever acquires one, it will increase their property value. So definitely I'm in favor of this project. I've seen the presentation, security, gate, access code, so all of those things are basically for the resident who lives close by, limited view. I personally own a golf cart already, so that will be a plus already. And I

		-	
	Page 89		Page 90
1	THE SECRETARY: Mark Grafton.	1	quality of life of some of his neighbors.
2	MR. GRAFTON: Good evening. Thank you,	2	As you've heard, we filed the lawsuit that
3	Mr. Chair, Members of the Board. My name is	3	relates to the private property rights at
4	Mark Grafton. I'm an attorney with Shubin &	4	issue, and I'm not going to get into that. I
5	Bass. Our office is located at 46 Southwest	5	respect the decision from your City Attorney
6	First Street.	6	and the counsel that's here today. We also
7	I'm here today representing Tom Singer, who	7	have a separate set of arguments that relate to
8	owns a property that sits directly abutting to	8	the City's Code, and I know that this Board
9	the proposed site, and I can just walk over and	9	takes the City's Code very seriously and
10	show you real quick.	10	attempts to faithfully apply all of the
11	MS. MENENDEZ: Do you know the address?	11	provisions of the Code. So we would just
12	MR. GRAFTON: The address is	12	respectfully urge that you take a look at some
13	MR. COLLER: There's a mike there, right	13	of these points that I'm going to bring up.
14	there. If you'd pick that up. You have to	14	First and foremost, before you can develop
15	turn it on.	15	a property in Coral Gables, you must have a
16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You have to turn it	16	lawful building site. That requirement can be
17	on. Underneath.	17	found throughout the Code, but as a specific
18	MR. GRAFTON: Is it on? I don't really	18	example, Section 4-101 D-1, and I'll read that
19	need to be over there, anyway.	19	very briefly. It's a short section. It comes
20	So Tom Singer is here today, and he will	20	right after 4-101 C-1, which states that
21	also speak. He's directly abutting, and so	21	private yacht basins are listed as conditional
22	what I want to get across is that we do have a	22	uses in single-family residential districts.
23	number of significant concerns about this	23	We understand that. We understand that under
24	project and how it's going to affect his	24	certain circumstances, a private yacht basin
25	family's quality of life, as well as the	25	could make sense, but the very next section
	Page 91		Page 92
1	says, Performance Standards.	1	cannot satisfy the standards that are set forth
2			in 2006, which would allow them to establish a

1	says, Performance Standards.	1	cannot satisfy the standards that are set forth
2	"The following performance standards shall	2	in 3206, which would allow them to establish a
3	govern the general development of structures in	3	lawful building site, which is essentially step
4	the district. Building sites, building and	4 one of any development application in the City	
5	structures shall be constructed or erected upon	5 of Coral Gables.	
6	a building site containing at least one platted	6	We have a number of other Code based
7	lot and such building site shall have a minimum	7	arguments, and I'm happy to go into them. I
8	street frontage of fifty feet. See also	8	don't know how much time I'm going to be
9	Section 3-206."	9	allowed today, Mr. Chair. If I could have
10	This is not a platted lot. In 2014, the	10	maybe another minute or two.
11	City Mr. Trias determined that this piece of	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Another minute or two
12	land shall not constitute a lawful building	12	is fine. Thank you.
13	site. This is a formal written determination.	13	MR. GRAFTON: Okay. Thank you.
14	It was not appealed.	14	So 3206-E, which is the section that is
15	In 2018, after the Applicant went to the	15	referenced in the comments by Planning and
16	DRC, the Planning and Zoning Board Planning	16	Zoning, after this application went to DRC,
17	and Zoning provided the following comment:	17	states, again, all buildings or structures
18	Comment Number 1, follow application procedures	18	located in districts shall be constructed or
19	for separation or establishment of a building	19	erected upon a building site containing one
20	site, conditional use as per Section 3206 of	20	platted lot. Again, we don't have a platted
21	the Zoning Code.	21	lot. This plot was never platted. It was a
22	Now, we've heard no testimony about whether	22	remnant parcel when this subdivision went in,
23	or not there is a lawful building site. We've	23	and it was the only non-platted lot,
24	heard no testimony about 3206 and I submit that	24	essentially. All of the other neighbors, every
25	it may very well be, because the Applicant	25	other property on there, all of those are

	Page 93		Page 94
1	platted lots, and they're buildable lots. This	1	our goal here and how we've addressed the
2	is the only one that is not and it's a very	2	concerns of the neighbors to keep it where it
3	strange narrow parcel and that's why it's	3	causes minimal disruption to the neighbors and
4	different.	4	create a value to the Hammock Oaks development,
5	The last argument that I'll make is related	5	and the people who are able to purchase the
6	to Section 10940 of the Code, which essentially	6	slips obviously will increase the value of
7	states that you can't construct a dock until	7	their home, having a slip with their house.
8	you have a platted lot. So this, again,	8	And, basically, that's it.
9	re-enforces the concepts that platted lots and	9	It's a project that I think is a great
10	building sites are the precursors for what	10	project for the community, and, you know, it
11	comes next, and we haven't seen any testimony	11	will add a lot of value to it. That's it.
12	or any attempt to get that first step.	12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
13	So thank you for your time. We would	13	MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you.
14	request denial until the Applicant can attempt	14	THE SECRETARY: Tom Singer.
15	a building site determination. Thank you.	15	MR. SINGER: Good evening. My name is Tom
16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	16	Singer. I live with my lovely wife, Cheryl, at
17	THE SECRETARY: David Cabarrocas.	17	11095 Marin Street. We've been there for
18	MR. CABARROCAS: Good evening. My name is	18	almost 40 years.
10	David Cabarrocas.	19	We have been afforded a peaceful, quiet
20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you use that	20	secure cul-de-sac style living in this
20	microphone? I think that one's off.	21	neighborhood. Directly south of my property
21	MR. CABARROCAS: I'm the owner of the	22	line, the developer is proposing to build, in
23		22	
23	property that we're trying to get the docks built.	23	this residential neighborhood, a complex consisting of an eight-unit dock condominium,
25	Laura did a great job explaining basically	24	with ten parking spaces of various sizes.
20	Laura ulu a great job explaining basicany	25	with ten parking spaces of various sizes.
	Page 95		Daga 96
			Page 96
1	There's no apartments there, but they're	1	MS. PRICE: I did not.
1 2	There's no apartments there, but they're calling the ownership of the docks a	1	
			MS. PRICE: I did not.
2	calling the ownership of the docks a	2	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one,
2 3	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential	2 3	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in?
2 3 4	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an	2 3 4	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it.
2 3 4 5	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces.	2 3 4 5	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the
2 3 4 5 6	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces. I would like you to consider that this is	2 3 4 5 6	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the court reporter. You can stay where you're at.
2 3 4 5 6 7	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces. I would like you to consider that this is not practical, not in continuity with the	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the court reporter. You can stay where you're at. (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces. I would like you to consider that this is not practical, not in continuity with the residential neighborhood. It will have an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the court reporter. You can stay where you're at. (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.) MS. PRICE: Hi, my name is Debra Price
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces. I would like you to consider that this is not practical, not in continuity with the residential neighborhood. It will have an impact. In parting, I would like to mention	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the court reporter. You can stay where you're at. (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.) MS. PRICE: Hi, my name is Debra Price this one yes and I live with my husband,
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces. I would like you to consider that this is not practical, not in continuity with the residential neighborhood. It will have an impact. In parting, I would like to mention that some of you probably have friends that bought condominiums from developers who said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the court reporter. You can stay where you're at. (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.) MS. PRICE: Hi, my name is Debra Price this one yes and I live with my husband, Steven Price, at 11085 Marin Street. We live
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces. I would like you to consider that this is not practical, not in continuity with the residential neighborhood. It will have an impact. In parting, I would like to mention that some of you probably have friends that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the court reporter. You can stay where you're at. (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.) MS. PRICE: Hi, my name is Debra Price this one yes and I live with my husband, Steven Price, at 11085 Marin Street. We live next to Mr. Singer. And I just want to say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces. I would like you to consider that this is not practical, not in continuity with the residential neighborhood. It will have an impact. In parting, I would like to mention that some of you probably have friends that bought condominiums from developers who said this is what's going to happen, and, then, when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the court reporter. You can stay where you're at. (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.) MS. PRICE: Hi, my name is Debra Price this one yes and I live with my husband, Steven Price, at 11085 Marin Street. We live next to Mr. Singer. And I just want to say that we are opposed to this variance for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces. I would like you to consider that this is not practical, not in continuity with the residential neighborhood. It will have an impact. In parting, I would like to mention that some of you probably have friends that bought condominiums from developers who said this is what's going to happen, and, then, when the developer turned it over to the owners,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the court reporter. You can stay where you're at. (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.) MS. PRICE: Hi, my name is Debra Price this one yes and I live with my husband, Steven Price, at 11085 Marin Street. We live next to Mr. Singer. And I just want to say that we are opposed to this variance for a condo marina in our backyard.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces. I would like you to consider that this is not practical, not in continuity with the residential neighborhood. It will have an impact. In parting, I would like to mention that some of you probably have friends that bought condominiums from developers who said this is what's going to happen, and, then, when the developer turned it over to the owners, different things happened. So I'm sure David's intent is to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the court reporter. You can stay where you're at. (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.) MS. PRICE: Hi, my name is Debra Price this one yes and I live with my husband, Steven Price, at 11085 Marin Street. We live next to Mr. Singer. And I just want to say that we are opposed to this variance for a condo marina in our backyard. While, you know, we bought our home actually, we built our home in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces. I would like you to consider that this is not practical, not in continuity with the residential neighborhood. It will have an impact. In parting, I would like to mention that some of you probably have friends that bought condominiums from developers who said this is what's going to happen, and, then, when the developer turned it over to the owners, different things happened. So I'm sure David's intent is to do everything he says. I'm sure Adam, as long as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the court reporter. You can stay where you're at. (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.) MS. PRICE: Hi, my name is Debra Price this one yes and I live with my husband, Steven Price, at 11085 Marin Street. We live next to Mr. Singer. And I just want to say that we are opposed to this variance for a condo marina in our backyard. While, you know, we bought our home
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces. I would like you to consider that this is not practical, not in continuity with the residential neighborhood. It will have an impact. In parting, I would like to mention that some of you probably have friends that bought condominiums from developers who said this is what's going to happen, and, then, when the developer turned it over to the owners, different things happened. So I'm sure David's intent is to do everything he says. I'm sure Adam, as long as he's living at Hammock Oaks, is going to make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the court reporter. You can stay where you're at. (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.) MS. PRICE: Hi, my name is Debra Price this one yes and I live with my husband, Steven Price, at 11085 Marin Street. We live next to Mr. Singer. And I just want to say that we are opposed to this variance for a condo marina in our backyard. While, you know, we bought our home actually, we built our home in this neighborhood, because it was on a quiet street,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces. I would like you to consider that this is not practical, not in continuity with the residential neighborhood. It will have an impact. In parting, I would like to mention that some of you probably have friends that bought condominiums from developers who said this is what's going to happen, and, then, when the developer turned it over to the owners, different things happened. So I'm sure David's intent is to do everything he says. I'm sure Adam, as long as he's living at Hammock Oaks, is going to make sure everything is perfect, but this project is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the court reporter. You can stay where you're at. (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.) MS. PRICE: Hi, my name is Debra Price this one yes and I live with my husband, Steven Price, at 11085 Marin Street. We live next to Mr. Singer. And I just want to say that we are opposed to this variance for a condo marina in our backyard. While, you know, we bought our home actually, we built our home in this neighborhood, because it was on a quiet street, that was a cul-de-sac, with a quiet lake, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces. I would like you to consider that this is not practical, not in continuity with the residential neighborhood. It will have an impact. In parting, I would like to mention that some of you probably have friends that bought condominiums from developers who said this is what's going to happen, and, then, when the developer turned it over to the owners, different things happened. So I'm sure David's intent is to do everything he says. I'm sure Adam, as long as he's living at Hammock Oaks, is going to make sure everything is perfect, but this project is not Zoned in a residential area and you should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the court reporter. You can stay where you're at. (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.) MS. PRICE: Hi, my name is Debra Price this one yes and I live with my husband, Steven Price, at 11085 Marin Street. We live next to Mr. Singer. And I just want to say that we are opposed to this variance for a condo marina in our backyard. While, you know, we bought our home actually, we built our home in this neighborhood, because it was on a quiet street, that was a cul-de-sac, with a quiet lake, that didn't have a condo marina. I think it's exceedingly unfair to us, at this point in our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces. I would like you to consider that this is not practical, not in continuity with the residential neighborhood. It will have an impact. In parting, I would like to mention that some of you probably have friends that bought condominiums from developers who said this is what's going to happen, and, then, when the developer turned it over to the owners, different things happened. So I'm sure David's intent is to do everything he says. I'm sure Adam, as long as he's living at Hammock Oaks, is going to make sure everything is perfect, but this project is not Zoned in a residential area and you should consider keeping Hammock Oaks a residential	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the court reporter. You can stay where you're at. (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.) MS. PRICE: Hi, my name is Debra Price this one yes and I live with my husband, Steven Price, at 11085 Marin Street. We live next to Mr. Singer. And I just want to say that we are opposed to this variance for a condo marina in our backyard. While, you know, we bought our home actually, we built our home in this neighborhood, because it was on a quiet street, that was a cul-de-sac, with a quiet lake, that didn't have a condo marina. I think it's exceedingly unfair to us, at this point in our lives, for you to change the nature of our home
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces. I would like you to consider that this is not practical, not in continuity with the residential neighborhood. It will have an impact. In parting, I would like to mention that some of you probably have friends that bought condominiums from developers who said this is what's going to happen, and, then, when the developer turned it over to the owners, different things happened. So I'm sure David's intent is to do everything he says. I'm sure Adam, as long as he's living at Hammock Oaks, is going to make sure everything is perfect, but this project is not Zoned in a residential area and you should consider keeping Hammock Oaks a residential area. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the court reporter. You can stay where you're at. (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.) MS. PRICE: Hi, my name is Debra Price this one yes and I live with my husband, Steven Price, at 11085 Marin Street. We live next to Mr. Singer. And I just want to say that we are opposed to this variance for a condo marina in our backyard. While, you know, we bought our home actually, we built our home in this neighborhood, because it was on a quiet street, that was a cul-de-sac, with a quiet lake, that didn't have a condo marina. I think it's exceedingly unfair to us, at this point in our lives, for you to change the nature of our home in the back and the nature of your backyard to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces. I would like you to consider that this is not practical, not in continuity with the residential neighborhood. It will have an impact. In parting, I would like to mention that some of you probably have friends that bought condominiums from developers who said this is what's going to happen, and, then, when the developer turned it over to the owners, different things happened. So I'm sure David's intent is to do everything he says. I'm sure Adam, as long as he's living at Hammock Oaks, is going to make sure everything is perfect, but this project is not Zoned in a residential area and you should consider keeping Hammock Oaks a residential area. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the court reporter. You can stay where you're at. (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.) MS. PRICE: Hi, my name is Debra Price this one yes and I live with my husband, Steven Price, at 11085 Marin Street. We live next to Mr. Singer. And I just want to say that we are opposed to this variance for a condo marina in our backyard. While, you know, we bought our home actually, we built our home in this neighborhood, because it was on a quiet street, that was a cul-de-sac, with a quiet lake, that didn't have a condo marina. I think it's exceedingly unfair to us, at this point in our lives, for you to change the nature of our home in the back and the nature of your backyard to create really what I believe is going to be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces. I would like you to consider that this is not practical, not in continuity with the residential neighborhood. It will have an impact. In parting, I would like to mention that some of you probably have friends that bought condominiums from developers who said this is what's going to happen, and, then, when the developer turned it over to the owners, different things happened. So I'm sure David's intent is to do everything he says. I'm sure Adam, as long as he's living at Hammock Oaks, is going to make sure everything is perfect, but this project is not Zoned in a residential area and you should consider keeping Hammock Oaks a residential area. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Lynn Lewis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the court reporter. You can stay where you're at. (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.) MS. PRICE: Hi, my name is Debra Price this one yes and I live with my husband, Steven Price, at 11085 Marin Street. We live next to Mr. Singer. And I just want to say that we are opposed to this variance for a condo marina in our backyard. While, you know, we bought our home actually, we built our home in this neighborhood, because it was on a quiet street, that was a cul-de-sac, with a quiet lake, that didn't have a condo marina. I think it's exceedingly unfair to us, at this point in our lives, for you to change the nature of our home in the back and the nature of your backyard to create really what I believe is going to be a change in our living environment and the quiet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	calling the ownership of the docks a condominium. So, in a residential neighborhood, in a sliver of land, we have an eight-unit condominium and ten parking spaces. I would like you to consider that this is not practical, not in continuity with the residential neighborhood. It will have an impact. In parting, I would like to mention that some of you probably have friends that bought condominiums from developers who said this is what's going to happen, and, then, when the developer turned it over to the owners, different things happened. So I'm sure David's intent is to do everything he says. I'm sure Adam, as long as he's living at Hammock Oaks, is going to make sure everything is perfect, but this project is not Zoned in a residential area and you should consider keeping Hammock Oaks a residential area. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. PRICE: I did not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're the last one, then. Were you sworn in? MS. PRICE: I was not sworn it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right over here to the court reporter. You can stay where you're at. (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.) MS. PRICE: Hi, my name is Debra Price this one yes and I live with my husband, Steven Price, at 11085 Marin Street. We live next to Mr. Singer. And I just want to say that we are opposed to this variance for a condo marina in our backyard. While, you know, we bought our home actually, we built our home in this neighborhood, because it was on a quiet street, that was a cul-de-sac, with a quiet lake, that didn't have a condo marina. I think it's exceedingly unfair to us, at this point in our lives, for you to change the nature of our home in the back and the nature of your backyard to create really what I believe is going to be a

97 Б

Page	9	8
------	---	---

		1	
	Page 97		Page 98
1	And so I just would like to say that I'm	1	the dock space itself. In some areas, it's
2	opposed to it and I think you need to consider	2	clearly indicated it's only five feet, sixty
3	all of the homeowners in Hammock Oaks. There	3	inches, and although it meets the minimum
4	are people's whose properties will increase in	4	requirements under the ADA at sixty inches,
5	value by getting a dock. There are also people	5	there's also a recommendation that it be
6	who have lived here for 30 years, who have	6	bigger, for safety concerns.
7	enjoyed not having a condo marina in our	7	And related to that question is whether or
8	backyard, and I hope that you'll consider that,	8	not any of the there's at least one dock
9	as well. Thank you.	9	slip that would be accessible for individuals
10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	10	with disabilities, as well as the sloping
11	Having no more speakers, I'll go ahead and	11	requirements and so forth on the rest of the
12	close the floor and open it up.	12	docking area.
13	Rhonda?	13	So I would recommend that the dock space be
14	MS. ANDERSON: I had several questions, and	14	increased so it will be safer for an individual
15	mainly directed for Laura Russo to respond to.	15	with disabilities.
16	MS. RUSSO: I can't see you over the	16	The second question regarding the dock
17	monitor.	17	space deals with the distance of the first boat
18	MS. ANDERSON: Sorry.	18	slip from the private property owners,
19	MS. RUSSO: That's okay. That's okay.	19	particularly, you know, the Singer residence.
20	Hopefully some of the improvements that they're	20	MR. RUSSO: This is one of the there's
21	going to make over the summer will make this a	21	no lip so everything
22	little bit more user friendly on this side of	22	MS. ANDERSON: I'm very familiar with that
23	the podium.	23	problem.
24	MS. ANDERSON: I have several questions for	24	MS. RUSSO: Okay. Your question is with
25	you. One of them has to do with the width of	25	respect to the slip.
	Page 99		Page 100
1		1	
1	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the	1	twelve-foot easement.
2	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves.	2	twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line,
	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot		twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see.
2 3	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at	2 3 4	twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line,
2 3 4	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better.	2 3	twelve-foot easement.Okay. So it is, from the property line,you say the first dock let me see.MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and
2 3 4 5	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a	2 3 4 5	 twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his
2 3 4 5 6	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a twelve-foot drainage easement that runs	2 3 4 5 6	twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his property line.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a twelve-foot drainage easement that runs diagonally, and that's an easement from the	2 3 4 5 6 7	 twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his property line. MS. RUSSO: If you look at the Site Plan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a twelve-foot drainage easement that runs diagonally, and that's an easement from the plat, which is to drain Marin Street. So Marin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his property line.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a twelve-foot drainage easement that runs diagonally, and that's an easement from the plat, which is to drain Marin Street. So Marin Street drains into Lake B. And then you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his property line. MS. RUSSO: If you look at the Site Plan which is included, the length of the twelve-foot drainage easement that runs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a twelve-foot drainage easement that runs diagonally, and that's an easement from the plat, which is to drain Marin Street. So Marin Street drains into Lake B. And then you have Mr. Singer's property is over here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his property line. MS. RUSSO: If you look at the Site Plan which is included, the length of the twelve-foot drainage easement that runs adjacent to Mr. Singer's property is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a twelve-foot drainage easement that runs diagonally, and that's an easement from the plat, which is to drain Marin Street. So Marin Street drains into Lake B. And then you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his property line. MS. RUSSO: If you look at the Site Plan which is included, the length of the twelve-foot drainage easement that runs adjacent to Mr. Singer's property is approximately just shy of 48 feet in length,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a twelve-foot drainage easement that runs diagonally, and that's an easement from the plat, which is to drain Marin Street. So Marin Street drains into Lake B. And then you have Mr. Singer's property is over here. So I think from far MR. CABARROCAS: The distance is about 80	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his property line. MS. RUSSO: If you look at the Site Plan which is included, the length of the twelve-foot drainage easement that runs adjacent to Mr. Singer's property is approximately just shy of 48 feet in length, and then the length to the first pier, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a twelve-foot drainage easement that runs diagonally, and that's an easement from the plat, which is to drain Marin Street. So Marin Street drains into Lake B. And then you have Mr. Singer's property is over here. So I think from far MR. CABARROCAS: The distance is about 80 feet, approximately.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his property line. MS. RUSSO: If you look at the Site Plan which is included, the length of the twelve-foot drainage easement that runs adjacent to Mr. Singer's property is approximately just shy of 48 feet in length, and then the length to the first pier, which would be the first slip, it looks more like 30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a twelve-foot drainage easement that runs diagonally, and that's an easement from the plat, which is to drain Marin Street. So Marin Street drains into Lake B. And then you have Mr. Singer's property is over here. So I think from far MR. CABARROCAS: The distance is about 80 feet, approximately.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his property line. MS. RUSSO: If you look at the Site Plan which is included, the length of the twelve-foot drainage easement that runs adjacent to Mr. Singer's property is approximately just shy of 48 feet in length, and then the length to the first pier, which would be the first slip, it looks more like 30 feet. So it's approximately 70 feet from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a twelve-foot drainage easement that runs diagonally, and that's an easement from the plat, which is to drain Marin Street. So Marin Street drains into Lake B. And then you have Mr. Singer's property is over here. So I think from far MR. CABARROCAS: The distance is about 80 feet, approximately. MS. ANDERSON: From Mr. Singer's property to the first boat slip?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his property line. MS. RUSSO: If you look at the Site Plan which is included, the length of the twelve-foot drainage easement that runs adjacent to Mr. Singer's property is approximately just shy of 48 feet in length, and then the length to the first pier, which would be the first slip, it looks more like 30 feet. So it's approximately 70 feet from the property, from Mr. Singer's property.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a twelve-foot drainage easement that runs diagonally, and that's an easement from the plat, which is to drain Marin Street. So Marin Street drains into Lake B. And then you have Mr. Singer's property is over here. So I think from far MR. CABARROCAS: The distance is about 80 feet, approximately. MS. ANDERSON: From Mr. Singer's property to the first boat slip? MS. RUSSO: The first dock slip.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his property line. MS. RUSSO: If you look at the Site Plan which is included, the length of the twelve-foot drainage easement that runs adjacent to Mr. Singer's property is approximately just shy of 48 feet in length, and then the length to the first pier, which would be the first slip, it looks more like 30 feet. So it's approximately 70 feet from the property, from Mr. Singer's property. MS. ANDERSON: Okay. With regard to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a twelve-foot drainage easement that runs diagonally, and that's an easement from the plat, which is to drain Marin Street. So Marin Street drains into Lake B. And then you have Mr. Singer's property is over here. So I think from far MR. CABARROCAS: The distance is about 80 feet, approximately. MS. ANDERSON: From Mr. Singer's property to the first boat slip?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his property line. MS. RUSSO: If you look at the Site Plan which is included, the length of the twelve-foot drainage easement that runs adjacent to Mr. Singer's property is approximately just shy of 48 feet in length, and then the length to the first pier, which would be the first slip, it looks more like 30 feet. So it's approximately 70 feet from the property, from Mr. Singer's property.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a twelve-foot drainage easement that runs diagonally, and that's an easement from the plat, which is to drain Marin Street. So Marin Street drains into Lake B. And then you have Mr. Singer's property is over here. So I think from far MR. CABARROCAS: The distance is about 80 feet, approximately. MS. ANDERSON: From Mr. Singer's property to the first boat slip? MS. RUSSO: The first dock slip. MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah, to the property line.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his property line. MS. RUSSO: If you look at the Site Plan which is included, the length of the twelve-foot drainage easement that runs adjacent to Mr. Singer's property is approximately just shy of 48 feet in length, and then the length to the first pier, which would be the first slip, it looks more like 30 feet. So it's approximately 70 feet from the property, from Mr. Singer's property. MS. ANDERSON: Okay. With regard to the mangroves that are there, would your client entertain a restrictive covenant to not trim
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a twelve-foot drainage easement that runs diagonally, and that's an easement from the plat, which is to drain Marin Street. So Marin Street drains into Lake B. And then you have Mr. Singer's property is over here. So I think from far MR. CABARROCAS: The distance is about 80 feet, approximately. MS. ANDERSON: From Mr. Singer's property to the first boat slip? MS. RUSSO: The first dock slip. MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah, to the property line. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If you could speak	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his property line. MS. RUSSO: If you look at the Site Plan which is included, the length of the twelve-foot drainage easement that runs adjacent to Mr. Singer's property is approximately just shy of 48 feet in length, and then the length to the first pier, which would be the first slip, it looks more like 30 feet. So it's approximately 70 feet from the property, from Mr. Singer's property. MS. ANDERSON: Okay. With regard to the mangroves that are there, would your client entertain a restrictive covenant to not trim any of the mangrove?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a twelve-foot drainage easement that runs diagonally, and that's an easement from the plat, which is to drain Marin Street. So Marin Street drains into Lake B. And then you have Mr. Singer's property is over here. So I think from far MR. CABARROCAS: The distance is about 80 feet, approximately. MS. ANDERSON: From Mr. Singer's property to the first boat slip? MS. RUSSO: The first dock slip. MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah, to the property line. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If you could speak into the microphone.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his property line. MS. RUSSO: If you look at the Site Plan which is included, the length of the twelve-foot drainage easement that runs adjacent to Mr. Singer's property is approximately just shy of 48 feet in length, and then the length to the first pier, which would be the first slip, it looks more like 30 feet. So it's approximately 70 feet from the property, from Mr. Singer's property. MS. ANDERSON: Okay. With regard to the mangroves that are there, would your client entertain a restrictive covenant to not trim any of the mangrove? MS. RUSSO: The proposed plans have already
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a twelve-foot drainage easement that runs diagonally, and that's an easement from the plat, which is to drain Marin Street. So Marin Street drains into Lake B. And then you have Mr. Singer's property is over here. So I think from far MR. CABARROCAS: The distance is about 80 feet, approximately. MS. ANDERSON: From Mr. Singer's property to the first boat slip? MS. RUSSO: The first dock slip. MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah, to the property line. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If you could speak into the microphone. MS. RUSSO: So this is our piece of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his property line. MS. RUSSO: If you look at the Site Plan which is included, the length of the twelve-foot drainage easement that runs adjacent to Mr. Singer's property is approximately just shy of 48 feet in length, and then the length to the first pier, which would be the first slip, it looks more like 30 feet. So it's approximately 70 feet from the property, from Mr. Singer's property. MS. ANDERSON: Okay. With regard to the mangroves that are there, would your client entertain a restrictive covenant to not trim any of the mangrove? MS. RUSSO: The proposed plans have already been to DERM for an initial approval. They
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a twelve-foot drainage easement that runs diagonally, and that's an easement from the plat, which is to drain Marin Street. So Marin Street drains into Lake B. And then you have Mr. Singer's property is over here. So I think from far MR. CABARROCAS: The distance is about 80 feet, approximately. MS. ANDERSON: From Mr. Singer's property to the first boat slip? MS. RUSSO: The first dock slip. MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah, to the property line. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If you could speak into the microphone. MS. RUSSO: So this is our piece of property. This is Mr. Singer's home. And if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his property line. MS. RUSSO: If you look at the Site Plan which is included, the length of the twelve-foot drainage easement that runs adjacent to Mr. Singer's property is approximately just shy of 48 feet in length, and then the length to the first pier, which would be the first slip, it looks more like 30 feet. So it's approximately 70 feet from the property, from Mr. Singer's property. MS. ANDERSON: Okay. With regard to the mangroves that are there, would your client entertain a restrictive covenant to not trim any of the mangrove? MS. RUSSO: The proposed plans have already been to DERM for an initial approval. They will be going back to DERM as they are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. ANDERSON: The distance from the residential properties themselves. MS. RUSSO: Well, there's a twelve-foot drainage easement. So I think, if we look at the plat, you may be able to see it better. The first slip is right here. There's a twelve-foot drainage easement that runs diagonally, and that's an easement from the plat, which is to drain Marin Street. So Marin Street drains into Lake B. And then you have Mr. Singer's property is over here. So I think from far MR. CABARROCAS: The distance is about 80 feet, approximately. MS. ANDERSON: From Mr. Singer's property to the first boat slip? MS. RUSSO: The first dock slip. MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah, to the property line. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If you could speak into the microphone. MS. RUSSO: So this is our piece of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 twelve-foot easement. Okay. So it is, from the property line, you say the first dock let me see. MR. CABARROCAS: There's 47 feet here, and then there's like another 40 feet, 30 feet to the first dock. It's about 80 feet from his property line. MS. RUSSO: If you look at the Site Plan which is included, the length of the twelve-foot drainage easement that runs adjacent to Mr. Singer's property is approximately just shy of 48 feet in length, and then the length to the first pier, which would be the first slip, it looks more like 30 feet. So it's approximately 70 feet from the property, from Mr. Singer's property. MS. ANDERSON: Okay. With regard to the mangroves that are there, would your client entertain a restrictive covenant to not trim any of the mangrove? MS. RUSSO: The proposed plans have already been to DERM for an initial approval. They

Page 101

Page 102	2	
----------	---	--

	Page 101		Page 102
1		1	
	requested that some rip rap be put in and they		condition that can be placed, both either in the Text Amendment and also a condition that
2 3	have made some request for shoring up the	2	
	bottom, and the real concern is that water from		can be made part of the Declaration of Restrictive Covenant.
4	the other lake seep through to this one, but	4	
5	the plans have gone to DERM and they've also	5	MS. MENENDEZ: I think that you should
6	been reviewed by the City of Coral Gable Public	6	consider, unless it's required by DERM or by
7	Works Department.	7	the City, because sometimes they infringe into
8	So they've made some comments that are	8	the navigable waterway and the adjacent owners
9	really more for the building plan, for the	9	are required to trim them.
10	actual, you know, building stage, so when you	10	MS. RUSSO: And just so you know, we have a
11	submit plans for construction, but there is no	11	proposed declaration of the condominium, and
12	intent whatsoever to touch the mangroves. DERM	12	we've actually addressed this in the
13	wouldn't allow it.	13	condominium docs. It says, in the condominium
14	MS. ANDERSON: But could that be included	14	docs, "No dock owner may cut, remove, tie or
15	as one of the restricted covenant?	15	otherwise interfere with or impact the mangrove
16	MS. RUSSO: Yes, that there would be no	16	vegetation on the condominium property," but
17	damage done to the mangroves? Yes.	17	I'm happy to take that language and bring it to
18	MS. ANDERSON: And no future trimming of	18	the Text Amendment, so that it can be our
19	the mangroves.	19	goal was to try to make everything, as much as
20	MS. RUSSO: No future trimming of the	20	possible, that the City could enforce, Code
21	mangroves.	21	Enforcement, by looking at the Text Amendment,
22	MS. ANDERSON: Because, I think, if it's	22	the condominium which is going to have its own
23	spelled out for people, they will.	23	violations and fine structure could enforce
24	MS. RUSSO: I have no issue adding that to	24	looking at its documents and the two would
25	the restricted covenant and proffering it as a	25	mirror each other.
	Page 103		Page 104
1		1	
1	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H,	1	to reach from outside of the gate all of the
	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is		
2	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water	2	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of
2 3 4	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery?	2 3 4	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on
2 3	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that?	2 3	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and
2 3 4 5	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that? MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah. Basically it's a	2 3 4 5	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and from there they take the hose all of the way
2 3 4 5 6	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that? MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah. Basically it's a service that you see in a lot of the waterfront	2 3 4 5 6	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and from there they take the hose all of the way around.
2 4 5 6 7 8	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that? MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah. Basically it's a service that you see in a lot of the waterfront homes, where a truck comes to your property,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and from there they take the hose all of the way around. MS. ANDERSON: Can you add as a condition
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that? MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah. Basically it's a service that you see in a lot of the waterfront homes, where a truck comes to your property, and with a hose, takes it to the back, and	2 3 4 5 6 7	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and from there they take the hose all of the way around. MS. ANDERSON: Can you add as a condition that the truck would have to pull inside of the
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that? MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah. Basically it's a service that you see in a lot of the waterfront homes, where a truck comes to your property, and with a hose, takes it to the back, and fuels your boat, so you don't have to go to a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and from there they take the hose all of the way around. MS. ANDERSON: Can you add as a condition that the truck would have to pull inside of the gated area?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that? MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah. Basically it's a service that you see in a lot of the waterfront homes, where a truck comes to your property, and with a hose, takes it to the back, and fuels your boat, so you don't have to go to a gas stock. You know, it's a little cheaper and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and from there they take the hose all of the way around. MS. ANDERSON: Can you add as a condition that the truck would have to pull inside of the gated area? MR. CABARROCAS: We have that provision
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that? MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah. Basically it's a service that you see in a lot of the waterfront homes, where a truck comes to your property, and with a hose, takes it to the back, and fuels your boat, so you don't have to go to a gas stock. You know, it's a little cheaper and obviously more convenient. Just a phone call	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and from there they take the hose all of the way around. MS. ANDERSON: Can you add as a condition that the truck would have to pull inside of the gated area? MR. CABARROCAS: We have that provision already in the condo docs, where there will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that? MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah. Basically it's a service that you see in a lot of the waterfront homes, where a truck comes to your property, and with a hose, takes it to the back, and fuels your boat, so you don't have to go to a gas stock. You know, it's a little cheaper and obviously more convenient. Just a phone call and your boat is full, you know, as opposed to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and from there they take the hose all of the way around. MS. ANDERSON: Can you add as a condition that the truck would have to pull inside of the gated area? MR. CABARROCAS: We have that provision already in the condo docs, where there will be no parking on the swale, including mechanics,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that? MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah. Basically it's a service that you see in a lot of the waterfront homes, where a truck comes to your property, and with a hose, takes it to the back, and fuels your boat, so you don't have to go to a gas stock. You know, it's a little cheaper and obviously more convenient. Just a phone call and your boat is full, you know, as opposed to you have having to go to the gas stock and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and from there they take the hose all of the way around. MS. ANDERSON: Can you add as a condition that the truck would have to pull inside of the gated area? MR. CABARROCAS: We have that provision already in the condo docs, where there will be no parking on the swale, including mechanics, if somebody's going to come and service a boat,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that? MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah. Basically it's a service that you see in a lot of the waterfront homes, where a truck comes to your property, and with a hose, takes it to the back, and fuels your boat, so you don't have to go to a gas stock. You know, it's a little cheaper and obviously more convenient. Just a phone call and your boat is full, you know, as opposed to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and from there they take the hose all of the way around. MS. ANDERSON: Can you add as a condition that the truck would have to pull inside of the gated area? MR. CABARROCAS: We have that provision already in the condo docs, where there will be no parking on the swale, including mechanics, if somebody's going to come and service a boat, or the fuel truck. They all come into the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that? MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah. Basically it's a service that you see in a lot of the waterfront homes, where a truck comes to your property, and with a hose, takes it to the back, and fuels your boat, so you don't have to go to a gas stock. You know, it's a little cheaper and obviously more convenient. Just a phone call and your boat is full, you know, as opposed to you have having to go to the gas stock and taking the time to fill your tank yourself.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and from there they take the hose all of the way around. MS. ANDERSON: Can you add as a condition that the truck would have to pull inside of the gated area? MR. CABARROCAS: We have that provision already in the condo docs, where there will be no parking on the swale, including mechanics, if somebody's going to come and service a boat,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that? MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah. Basically it's a service that you see in a lot of the waterfront homes, where a truck comes to your property, and with a hose, takes it to the back, and fuels your boat, so you don't have to go to a gas stock. You know, it's a little cheaper and obviously more convenient. Just a phone call and your boat is full, you know, as opposed to you have having to go to the gas stock and taking the time to fill your tank yourself. MS. ANDERSON: So where would the vehicle	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and from there they take the hose all of the way around. MS. ANDERSON: Can you add as a condition that the truck would have to pull inside of the gated area? MR. CABARROCAS: We have that provision already in the condo docs, where there will be no parking on the swale, including mechanics, if somebody's going to come and service a boat, or the fuel truck. They all come into the property and do the service.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that? MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah. Basically it's a service that you see in a lot of the waterfront homes, where a truck comes to your property, and with a hose, takes it to the back, and fuels your boat, so you don't have to go to a gas stock. You know, it's a little cheaper and obviously more convenient. Just a phone call and your boat is full, you know, as opposed to you have having to go to the gas stock and taking the time to fill your tank yourself. MS. ANDERSON: So where would the vehicle pull into?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and from there they take the hose all of the way around. MS. ANDERSON: Can you add as a condition that the truck would have to pull inside of the gated area? MR. CABARROCAS: We have that provision already in the condo docs, where there will be no parking on the swale, including mechanics, if somebody's going to come and service a boat, or the fuel truck. They all come into the property and do the service. MS. MENENDEZ: But is that needed, because that's not like that's one of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that? MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah. Basically it's a service that you see in a lot of the waterfront homes, where a truck comes to your property, and with a hose, takes it to the back, and fuels your boat, so you don't have to go to a gas stock. You know, it's a little cheaper and obviously more convenient. Just a phone call and your boat is full, you know, as opposed to you have having to go to the gas stock and taking the time to fill your tank yourself. MS. ANDERSON: So where would the vehicle pull into? MS. RUSSO: Into the gate. Into the property.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and from there they take the hose all of the way around. MS. ANDERSON: Can you add as a condition that the truck would have to pull inside of the gated area? MR. CABARROCAS: We have that provision already in the condo docs, where there will be no parking on the swale, including mechanics, if somebody's going to come and service a boat, or the fuel truck. They all come into the property and do the service. MS. MENENDEZ: But is that needed, because that's not like that's one of the restrictions of Article 5 of dispensing fuels
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that? MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah. Basically it's a service that you see in a lot of the waterfront homes, where a truck comes to your property, and with a hose, takes it to the back, and fuels your boat, so you don't have to go to a gas stock. You know, it's a little cheaper and obviously more convenient. Just a phone call and your boat is full, you know, as opposed to you have having to go to the gas stock and taking the time to fill your tank yourself. MS. ANDERSON: So where would the vehicle pull into? MS. RUSSO: Into the gate. Into the property. MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah, he'll come through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and from there they take the hose all of the way around. MS. ANDERSON: Can you add as a condition that the truck would have to pull inside of the gated area? MR. CABARROCAS: We have that provision already in the condo docs, where there will be no parking on the swale, including mechanics, if somebody's going to come and service a boat, or the fuel truck. They all come into the property and do the service. MS. MENENDEZ: But is that needed, because that's not like that's one of the restrictions of Article 5 of dispensing fuels unless in compliance with minimum standards set
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that? MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah. Basically it's a service that you see in a lot of the waterfront homes, where a truck comes to your property, and with a hose, takes it to the back, and fuels your boat, so you don't have to go to a gas stock. You know, it's a little cheaper and obviously more convenient. Just a phone call and your boat is full, you know, as opposed to you have having to go to the gas stock and taking the time to fill your tank yourself. MS. ANDERSON: So where would the vehicle pull into? MS. RUSSO: Into the gate. Into the property. MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah, he'll come through the gate. There's a paved driveway where he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and from there they take the hose all of the way around. MS. ANDERSON: Can you add as a condition that the truck would have to pull inside of the gated area? MR. CABARROCAS: We have that provision already in the condo docs, where there will be no parking on the swale, including mechanics, if somebody's going to come and service a boat, or the fuel truck. They all come into the property and do the service. MS. MENENDEZ: But is that needed, because that's not like that's one of the restrictions of Article 5 of dispensing fuels unless in compliance with minimum standards set forth in Ordinance I'm sorry to interject.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that? MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah. Basically it's a service that you see in a lot of the waterfront homes, where a truck comes to your property, and with a hose, takes it to the back, and fuels your boat, so you don't have to go to a gas stock. You know, it's a little cheaper and obviously more convenient. Just a phone call and your boat is full, you know, as opposed to you have having to go to the gas stock and taking the time to fill your tank yourself. MS. ANDERSON: So where would the vehicle pull into? MS. RUSSO: Into the gate. Into the property. MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah, he'll come through the gate. There's a paved driveway where he can get to where he's the walkway to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and from there they take the hose all of the way around. MS. ANDERSON: Can you add as a condition that the truck would have to pull inside of the gated area? MR. CABARROCAS: We have that provision already in the condo docs, where there will be no parking on the swale, including mechanics, if somebody's going to come and service a boat, or the fuel truck. They all come into the property and do the service. MS. MENENDEZ: But is that needed, because that's not like that's one of the restrictions of Article 5 of dispensing fuels unless in compliance with minimum standards set
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. ANDERSON: Okay. And Provision 2-H, on-site fuel truck delivery dispensing is permitted. What is meant by that? Is it water side or dock side fuel delivery? MS. RUSSO: Do you want to answer that? MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah. Basically it's a service that you see in a lot of the waterfront homes, where a truck comes to your property, and with a hose, takes it to the back, and fuels your boat, so you don't have to go to a gas stock. You know, it's a little cheaper and obviously more convenient. Just a phone call and your boat is full, you know, as opposed to you have having to go to the gas stock and taking the time to fill your tank yourself. MS. ANDERSON: So where would the vehicle pull into? MS. RUSSO: Into the gate. Into the property. MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah, he'll come through the gate. There's a paved driveway where he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 to reach from outside of the gate all of the way back to the MR. CABARROCAS: It is. I've seen a lot of waterfront homes where the truck just stays on the street right in front of the house, and from there they take the hose all of the way around. MS. ANDERSON: Can you add as a condition that the truck would have to pull inside of the gated area? MR. CABARROCAS: We have that provision already in the condo docs, where there will be no parking on the swale, including mechanics, if somebody's going to come and service a boat, or the fuel truck. They all come into the property and do the service. MS. MENENDEZ: But is that needed, because that's not like that's one of the restrictions of Article 5 of dispensing fuels unless in compliance with minimum standards set forth in Ordinance I'm sorry to interject. MS. ANDERSON: No. No. It's okay. Go

25

MS. ANDERSON: Is it feasible for the hose

25 have Matheson Hammocks right next door. You

	Page 105		Page 106
1	have the fueling station right there.	1	entire cul-de-sac free from anybody parking
2	MR. CABARROCAS: I know. It's a	2	either in the cul-de-sac or on the bit of swale
3	convenience. Obviously it's a lot easier for	3	that surrounds that cul-de-sac. So we have
4	the owner to do it. It's done all around the	4	proffered that as a condition, as well.
5	Gables, you know, at Gables Estates. Any place	5	MS. ANDERSON: And have you thought about
6	that has waterfront or marinas have this	6	the concerns that were expressed about
7	service going to them	7	conditions of ownership, and that, you know,
8	MS. MENENDEZ: At the homes?	8	someone has to be an owner within Hammock Lakes
9	MR. CABARROCAS: so I don't see why that	9	(sic) as opposed to an owner of a single-family
10	would be yeah, homes, and there's I can't	10	home?
11	think of any now. I don't know if Snapper	11	MS. RUSSO: Well, I'm sure you have no
12	Creek has fuel trucks go to their folks there,	12	issues you know, the idea was that it was
13	but it's done on a regular basis.	13	meant for someone who owns property in Hammock
14	MS. ANDERSON: One of the neighbors had	14	Oaks, but at the time we weren't thinking of
15	expressed concerns about the parking, that	15	someone who owns vacant land and was buying a
16	there needed to be no parking signs. Is there	16	dock, you know, not because because they
17	going to be sufficient landscaping that would	17	didn't live there.
18	make that obviate that need?	18	So I don't know if you would object to
19	MS. RUSSO: We have proffered additional	19	adding the restriction that it be limited to
20	landscaping actually on the swale, so on the	20	people who have homes? So the developer is
21	City's property, and we would be working with	21	going to keep one unit for himself, and his
22	Public Works in order to make sure it's not	22	restriction in the condo doc, as well, is that
23	something that a big truck you know, that a	23	any time that he sells it, it would be a
24	big SUV type can run over, which you know	24	resident a lot improved with a single-family
25	happens sometimes, but the idea is to keep that	25	home. I think we have to be specific. You
	R 100		
	Page 107		Page 108
1	Page 107 can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot	1	Page 108 City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce
1 2	-	1 2	
	can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot		City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce
2	can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you	2	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance
2 3	can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant.	2 3	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance.
2 3 4	can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be	2 3 4	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made
2 3 4 5	can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be clarified, and I'm happy to work with Mr. Coller on it, to specify that it is an improved	2 3 4 5	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made them mirror each other so the City has the
2 3 4 5 6	can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be clarified, and I'm happy to work with Mr. Coller on it, to specify that it is an improved CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the developer	2 3 4 5 6	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made them mirror each other so the City has the ability
2 3 4 5 6 7	can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be clarified, and I'm happy to work with Mr. Coller on it, to specify that it is an improved	2 3 4 5 6 7	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made them mirror each other so the City has the ability MR. COLLER: I'm a little confused,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be clarified, and I'm happy to work with Mr. Coller on it, to specify that it is an improved CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the developer lives at Hammock Oaks? MS. RUSSO: No, the developer does not live	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made them mirror each other so the City has the ability MR. COLLER: I'm a little confused, actually, on this issue about ownership, because the way I'm reading this condition, it says, "Sale, conveyance, transfer, leasing of a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be clarified, and I'm happy to work with Mr. Coller on it, to specify that it is an improved CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the developer lives at Hammock Oaks? MS. RUSSO: No, the developer does not live in Hammock Oaks.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made them mirror each other so the City has the ability MR. COLLER: I'm a little confused, actually, on this issue about ownership, because the way I'm reading this condition, it says, "Sale, conveyance, transfer, leasing of a dock to anyone who is not an owner in Hammock
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be clarified, and I'm happy to work with Mr. Coller on it, to specify that it is an improved CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the developer lives at Hammock Oaks? MS. RUSSO: No, the developer does not live in Hammock Oaks. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So how does he retain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made them mirror each other so the City has the ability MR. COLLER: I'm a little confused, actually, on this issue about ownership, because the way I'm reading this condition, it says, "Sale, conveyance, transfer, leasing of a dock to anyone who is not an owner in Hammock Oaks Subdivision. Boat slip shall only be for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be clarified, and I'm happy to work with Mr. Coller on it, to specify that it is an improved CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the developer lives at Hammock Oaks? MS. RUSSO: No, the developer does not live in Hammock Oaks. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So how does he retain one slip? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made them mirror each other so the City has the ability MR. COLLER: I'm a little confused, actually, on this issue about ownership, because the way I'm reading this condition, it says, "Sale, conveyance, transfer, leasing of a dock to anyone who is not an owner in Hammock Oaks Subdivision. Boat slip shall only be for the use of Hammock Oaks Harbor property owners
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be clarified, and I'm happy to work with Mr. Coller on it, to specify that it is an improved CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the developer lives at Hammock Oaks? MS. RUSSO: No, the developer does not live in Hammock Oaks. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So how does he retain one slip? MS. RUSSO: Well, that is the request. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made them mirror each other so the City has the ability MR. COLLER: I'm a little confused, actually, on this issue about ownership, because the way I'm reading this condition, it says, "Sale, conveyance, transfer, leasing of a dock to anyone who is not an owner in Hammock Oaks Subdivision. Boat slip shall only be for the use of Hammock Oaks Harbor property owners or residents."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be clarified, and I'm happy to work with Mr. Coller on it, to specify that it is an improved CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the developer lives at Hammock Oaks? MS. RUSSO: No, the developer does not live in Hammock Oaks. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So how does he retain one slip? MS. RUSSO: Well, that is the request. He's going to retain one slip, but he has the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made them mirror each other so the City has the ability MR. COLLER: I'm a little confused, actually, on this issue about ownership, because the way I'm reading this condition, it says, "Sale, conveyance, transfer, leasing of a dock to anyone who is not an owner in Hammock Oaks Subdivision. Boat slip shall only be for the use of Hammock Oaks Harbor property owners or residents."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be clarified, and I'm happy to work with Mr. Coller on it, to specify that it is an improved CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the developer lives at Hammock Oaks? MS. RUSSO: No, the developer does not live in Hammock Oaks. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So how does he retain one slip? MS. RUSSO: Well, that is the request. He's going to retain one slip, but he has the burden that his sale can only be now to an 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made them mirror each other so the City has the ability MR. COLLER: I'm a little confused, actually, on this issue about ownership, because the way I'm reading this condition, it says, "Sale, conveyance, transfer, leasing of a dock to anyone who is not an owner in Hammock Oaks Subdivision. Boat slip shall only be for the use of Hammock Oaks Harbor property owners or residents." So your proposal is to are you suggesting that if you're a property owner, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be clarified, and I'm happy to work with Mr. Coller on it, to specify that it is an improved CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the developer lives at Hammock Oaks? MS. RUSSO: No, the developer does not live in Hammock Oaks. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So how does he retain one slip? MS. RUSSO: Well, that is the request. He's going to retain one slip, but he has the burden that his sale can only be now to an improved single-family lot. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made them mirror each other so the City has the ability MR. COLLER: I'm a little confused, actually, on this issue about ownership, because the way I'm reading this condition, it says, "Sale, conveyance, transfer, leasing of a dock to anyone who is not an owner in Hammock Oaks Subdivision. Boat slip shall only be for the use of Hammock Oaks Harbor property owners or residents." So your proposal is to are you suggesting that if you're a property owner, but you're not an owner of a single-family
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be clarified, and I'm happy to work with Mr. Coller on it, to specify that it is an improved CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the developer lives at Hammock Oaks? MS. RUSSO: No, the developer does not live in Hammock Oaks. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So how does he retain one slip? MS. RUSSO: Well, that is the request. He's going to retain one slip, but he has the burden that his sale can only be now to an improved single-family lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand that the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made them mirror each other so the City has the ability MR. COLLER: I'm a little confused, actually, on this issue about ownership, because the way I'm reading this condition, it says, "Sale, conveyance, transfer, leasing of a dock to anyone who is not an owner in Hammock Oaks Subdivision. Boat slip shall only be for the use of Hammock Oaks Harbor property owners or residents." So your proposal is to are you suggesting that if you're a property owner, but you're not an owner of a single-family residence, you cannot transfer the dock
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be clarified, and I'm happy to work with Mr. Coller on it, to specify that it is an improved CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the developer lives at Hammock Oaks? MS. RUSSO: No, the developer does not live in Hammock Oaks. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So how does he retain one slip? MS. RUSSO: Well, that is the request. He's going to retain one slip, but he has the burden that his sale can only be now to an improved single-family lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand that the developer can make the condo docs any way he 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made them mirror each other so the City has the ability MR. COLLER: I'm a little confused, actually, on this issue about ownership, because the way I'm reading this condition, it says, "Sale, conveyance, transfer, leasing of a dock to anyone who is not an owner in Hammock Oaks Subdivision. Boat slip shall only be for the use of Hammock Oaks Harbor property owners or residents." So your proposal is to are you suggesting that if you're a property owner, but you're not an owner of a single-family residence, you cannot transfer the dock MS. RUSSO: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be clarified, and I'm happy to work with Mr. Coller on it, to specify that it is an improved CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the developer lives at Hammock Oaks? MS. RUSSO: No, the developer does not live in Hammock Oaks. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So how does he retain one slip? MS. RUSSO: Well, that is the request. He's going to retain one slip, but he has the burden that his sale can only be now to an improved single-family lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand that the developer can make the condo docs any way he chooses, and a condominium and, Craig, if 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made them mirror each other so the City has the ability MR. COLLER: I'm a little confused, actually, on this issue about ownership, because the way I'm reading this condition, it says, "Sale, conveyance, transfer, leasing of a dock to anyone who is not an owner in Hammock Oaks Subdivision. Boat slip shall only be for the use of Hammock Oaks Harbor property owners or residents." So your proposal is to are you suggesting that if you're a property owner, but you're not an owner of a single-family residence, you cannot transfer the dock MS. RUSSO: Correct. MR. COLLER: Now, of course, if a resident
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be clarified, and I'm happy to work with Mr. Coller on it, to specify that it is an improved CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the developer lives at Hammock Oaks? MS. RUSSO: No, the developer does not live in Hammock Oaks. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So how does he retain one slip? MS. RUSSO: Well, that is the request. He's going to retain one slip, but he has the burden that his sale can only be now to an improved single-family lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand that the developer can make the condo docs any way he chooses, and a condominium and, Craig, if you'll correct me, a condominium is just a form 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made them mirror each other so the City has the ability MR. COLLER: I'm a little confused, actually, on this issue about ownership, because the way I'm reading this condition, it says, "Sale, conveyance, transfer, leasing of a dock to anyone who is not an owner in Hammock Oaks Subdivision. Boat slip shall only be for the use of Hammock Oaks Harbor property owners or residents." So your proposal is to are you suggesting that if you're a property owner, but you're not an owner of a single-family residence, you cannot transfer the dock MS. RUSSO: Correct. MR. COLLER: Now, of course, if a resident is renting in Hammock Oaks, they would be able
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be clarified, and I'm happy to work with Mr. Coller on it, to specify that it is an improved CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the developer lives at Hammock Oaks? MS. RUSSO: No, the developer does not live in Hammock Oaks. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So how does he retain one slip? MS. RUSSO: Well, that is the request. He's going to retain one slip, but he has the burden that his sale can only be now to an improved single-family lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand that the developer can make the condo docs any way he chooses, and a condominium and, Craig, if you'll correct me, a condominium is just a form of ownership, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made them mirror each other so the City has the ability MR. COLLER: I'm a little confused, actually, on this issue about ownership, because the way I'm reading this condition, it says, "Sale, conveyance, transfer, leasing of a dock to anyone who is not an owner in Hammock Oaks Subdivision. Boat slip shall only be for the use of Hammock Oaks Harbor property owners or residents." So your proposal is to are you suggesting that if you're a property owner, but you're not an owner of a single-family residence, you cannot transfer the dock MS. RUSSO: Correct. MR. COLLER: Now, of course, if a resident is renting in Hammock Oaks, they would be able to use the boat slip, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be clarified, and I'm happy to work with Mr. Coller on it, to specify that it is an improved CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the developer lives at Hammock Oaks? MS. RUSSO: No, the developer does not live in Hammock Oaks. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So how does he retain one slip? MS. RUSSO: Well, that is the request. He's going to retain one slip, but he has the burden that his sale can only be now to an improved single-family lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand that the developer can make the condo docs any way he chooses, and a condominium and, Craig, if you'll correct me, a condominium is just a form of ownership, correct? MR. COLLER: Well, that's correct, and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made them mirror each other so the City has the ability MR. COLLER: I'm a little confused, actually, on this issue about ownership, because the way I'm reading this condition, it says, "Sale, conveyance, transfer, leasing of a dock to anyone who is not an owner in Hammock Oaks Subdivision. Boat slip shall only be for the use of Hammock Oaks Harbor property owners or residents." So your proposal is to are you suggesting that if you're a property owner, but you're not an owner of a single-family residence, you cannot transfer the dock MS. RUSSO: Correct. MR. COLLER: Now, of course, if a resident is renting in Hammock Oaks, they would be able to use the boat slip, correct? MS. RUSSO: If they are renting if the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 can't just call it a residential lot, but a lot improved with a single-family home, because you can own a residential lot and it can be vacant. So I think the language would have to be clarified, and I'm happy to work with Mr. Coller on it, to specify that it is an improved CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the developer lives at Hammock Oaks? MS. RUSSO: No, the developer does not live in Hammock Oaks. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So how does he retain one slip? MS. RUSSO: Well, that is the request. He's going to retain one slip, but he has the burden that his sale can only be now to an improved single-family lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand that the developer can make the condo docs any way he chooses, and a condominium and, Craig, if you'll correct me, a condominium is just a form of ownership, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	City's Ordinances. The City does not enforce the condo docs. It would enforce its Ordinance or its Site Specific Ordinance. MS. RUSSO: Right, which is why I've made them mirror each other so the City has the ability MR. COLLER: I'm a little confused, actually, on this issue about ownership, because the way I'm reading this condition, it says, "Sale, conveyance, transfer, leasing of a dock to anyone who is not an owner in Hammock Oaks Subdivision. Boat slip shall only be for the use of Hammock Oaks Harbor property owners or residents." So your proposal is to are you suggesting that if you're a property owner, but you're not an owner of a single-family residence, you cannot transfer the dock MS. RUSSO: Correct. MR. COLLER: Now, of course, if a resident is renting in Hammock Oaks, they would be able to use the boat slip, correct?

	Page 109		Page 110
1	Hammock Oaks resident is renting someone's	1	house takes that space also?
2	house and that house owns the dock, then the	2	MS. RUSSO: We are going to have a covenant
3	tenant of the house can put his boat at the	3	running with the land, so that you can't you
4	dock. You see what I'm saying?	4	can't sell the dock unless it is to a now
5	There's currently a requirement under the	5	improved single-family lot in Hammock Oaks.
б	City Code that if you have a property and you	6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So you're tying the
7	have a dock, you can put your boat there, if	7	docks to the lots?
8	you're renting the house, but if you're not	8	MS. RUSSO: We had not, on the theory that,
9	renting the house, you can't put the boat	9	let's say, I live, you know, on Rovino, and I
10	there. So that same restriction would apply	10	buy a dock. And now I want to sell my house,
11	here.	11	but my neighbor's been desperate and wished he
12	MR. COLLER: Got it.	12	had bought one of the eight docks. And my
13	MS. RUSSO: If you're renting a house in	13	neighbor says, "Please can you sell me your
14	Hammock Oaks and that house owns, let's say,	14	dock. I'm a resident, and I own my house here
15	Unit 3, then, yes, you can put your boat there.	15	in Hammock Oaks. I would like to buy your
16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay.	16	dock." And there would be a restriction on the
17	MS. RUSSO: But if you're renting a house	17	sale of the dock that would restrict it to only
18	and that house does not own a unit, you don't	18	property so you would have a double
19	get to rent we're not leasing them.	19	restriction in the condominium documents, as
20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What happens if that	20	well as the restriction with the covenant
21	owner sells the house? Is he required to sell	21	running with the land.
22	the dock space?	22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But the example is,
23	MS. RUSSO: Yes.	23	you sell your house and nobody wants to buy
24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or does it run is	24	that dock. What happens?
25	it a covenant to run with the land, where the	25	MS. RUSSO: You have to sell the dock to

Page 111

	Page 111		Page 112
1	someone else or it has to go with the you	1	A at Hammock Oaks owns Dock 3, he doesn't use
2	can't own it freestanding. So it either goes	2	it, can another home within Hammock Oaks rent
3	with the house. Then the person gets a dock	3	that space from that owner?
4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So at the time of	4	MS. RUSSO: I would say, no. I would
5	sale, that the deed is transferred, the dock	5	say, he would have to sell it to him.
6	title is transferred automatically, if it's not	6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So there's no leasing
7	sold before-hand?	7	whatsoever of any kind?
8	MS. RUSSO: Correct.	8	MR. TRIAS: That's not what it says here.
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that correct?	9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But that's why I'm
10	MS. RUSSO: We can word it so that it would	10	asking that question.
11	have to be sold before-hand to another property	11	MS. RUSSO: Yeah, no leasing. So it could
12	owner, yes, and then yes, that can be worded	12	only be if someone comes and leases that house
13	in the declaration, which we will have that	13	that owns Dock 3.
14	reviewed by both, Craig Coller and Miriam.	14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But it allows it here.
15	MR. TRIAS: I think that that should be in	15	MS. MENENDEZ: I mean, what you're
16	the condominium documents, but I think it	16	allowing, from what I read here, is you can
17	shouldn't be in the Zoning Code.	17	transfer or lease a dock space to anyone who is
18	MS. RUSSO: No, but it should be a	18	an owner oh, I'm sorry, this is the
19	declaration of restrictive covenant.	19	opposite.
20	MR. TRIAS: Yes.	20	Okay. If I'm an owner there and I don't
21	MS. MENENDEZ: It would be a deed	21	have a dock I mean, I don't have a boat and
22	restriction with the dock.	22	I choose to lease it to another owner in
23	MS. RUSSO: In a sense, yes. It would a	23	Hammock Oaks, can't I do that? I mean, it
24	deed restriction with a conveyance of the dock.	24	makes sense, but the restriction is, it has
25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If an owner from House	25	to be

	Page 113		Page 114
1	MS. RUSSO: It has to be someone who resides	1	MS. RUSSO: We could add that as a
2	MS. MENENDEZ: It would have to be an owner	2	restriction, and it could be that one I
3	or a resident, really, that lives there.	3	think should be in the text amendment.
4	MS. RUSSO: Yes. Yes.	4	MR. TRIAS: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, then, are you	5	MS. RUSSO: As well as in the condominium docs.
6	allowing lifts, any motorized options to take	6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And then the other
7	the boats out of the water, whether it's a	7	note that I have, do you have any type of a
8	lift, it's an elevator?	8	hurricane plan or some kind of procedure that
8 9	MR. CABARROCAS: That would be up to the	9	has to be done by the owner once a warning is
	-	10	
10	individual buyers. We're not selling it with a lift.	11	issued or so forth? Because you've got homes
11 12		12	that are directly there, and if you've got an
	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So they can go ahead		owner that's got a dock space, and he's out of
13	and do additional improvements to that space?	13	town or whatever, who handles that? You know,
14	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I would recommend	14	when it's an owner that has their boat at their
15	against that. I don't think that's	15	home right in front, it's their responsibility.
16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I agree with that.	16	How would you handle something like that?
17	That's why I'm asking that.	17	MR. CABARROCAS: We really haven't
18	In other words, not going you know, if	18	discussed it. I would imagine it would be the
19	you use a whip, for example, to keep your boat	19	same that any other person who has a slip
20	off, that's one thing, but to go ahead and	20	across the basin. You know, it's a matter of
21	install elevators or some kind of a lift and	21	securing your boat.
22	start bringing the boats out of the water, I	22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But that's their own
23	think you're undoing what you're proposing, and	23	home. This is off
24	if you leave it for an owner to do what they	24	MR. CABARROCAS: You know, these homes
25	want, then we start getting into problems.	25	here you know, all of these slips here are
	Page 115		Page 116
1		1	
1 2	Page 115 the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here.	1 2	Page 116 So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A.
	the same thing we're trying to accomplish here.		So when you look in the tax roll, it will say,
2	the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's	2	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A.
2 3	the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here.	2 3	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it.
2 3 4	the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't	2 3 4	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm
2 3 4 5	the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that.	2 3 4 5	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do you
2 3 4 5 6	the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Neither did I. MS. MENENDEZ: That area there	2 3 4 5 6	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do
2 3 4 5 6 7	the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Neither did I.	2 3 4 5 6 7	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do you MR. CABARROCAS: There is a narrow strip right here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Neither did I. MS. MENENDEZ: That area there MR. CABARROCAS: All this here, was here in I think the '70s.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do you MR. CABARROCAS: There is a narrow strip right here. MS. RUSSO: There's a tract, an unplatted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Neither did I. MS. MENENDEZ: That area there MR. CABARROCAS: All this here, was here in I think the '70s. MS. MENENDEZ: Who owns that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do you MR. CABARROCAS: There is a narrow strip right here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Neither did I. MS. MENENDEZ: That area there MR. CABARROCAS: All this here, was here in I think the '70s.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do you MR. CABARROCAS: There is a narrow strip right here. MS. RUSSO: There's a tract, an unplatted it's a Tract Z which allows MR. CABARROCAS: And there's also a sliver
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Neither did I. MS. MENENDEZ: That area there MR. CABARROCAS: All this here, was here in I think the '70s. MS. MENENDEZ: Who owns that? MR. CABARROCAS: Each slip is deeded to a house in Hammock Oaks.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do you MR. CABARROCAS: There is a narrow strip right here. MS. RUSSO: There's a tract, an unplatted it's a Tract Z which allows MR. CABARROCAS: And there's also a sliver of land. And you keep saying, we have a sliver
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Neither did I. MS. MENENDEZ: That area there MR. CABARROCAS: All this here, was here in I think the '70s. MS. MENENDEZ: Who owns that? MR. CABARROCAS: Each slip is deeded to a house in Hammock Oaks. MS. MENENDEZ: So you're just repeating 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do you MR. CABARROCAS: There is a narrow strip right here. MS. RUSSO: There's a tract, an unplatted it's a Tract Z which allows MR. CABARROCAS: And there's also a sliver of land. And you keep saying, we have a sliver of land. They have the same sliver of land
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Neither did I. MS. MENENDEZ: That area there MR. CABARROCAS: All this here, was here in I think the '70s. MS. MENENDEZ: Who owns that? MR. CABARROCAS: Each slip is deeded to a house in Hammock Oaks. MS. MENENDEZ: So you're just repeating what's already existing on the other side? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do you MR. CABARROCAS: There is a narrow strip right here. MS. RUSSO: There's a tract, an unplatted it's a Tract Z which allows MR. CABARROCAS: And there's also a sliver of land. And you keep saying, we have a sliver of land. They have the same sliver of land there. We're literally, mirroring what they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Neither did I. MS. MENENDEZ: That area there MR. CABARROCAS: All this here, was here in I think the '70s. MS. MENENDEZ: Who owns that? MR. CABARROCAS: Each slip is deeded to a house in Hammock Oaks. MS. MENENDEZ: So you're just repeating what's already existing on the other side? MS. RUSSO: Exactly. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do you MR. CABARROCAS: There is a narrow strip right here. MS. RUSSO: There's a tract, an unplatted it's a Tract Z which allows MR. CABARROCAS: And there's also a sliver of land. And you keep saying, we have a sliver of land. They have the same sliver of land there. We're literally, mirroring what they have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Neither did I. MS. MENENDEZ: That area there MR. CABARROCAS: All this here, was here in I think the '70s. MS. MENENDEZ: Who owns that? MR. CABARROCAS: Each slip is deeded to a house in Hammock Oaks. MS. MENENDEZ: So you're just repeating what's already existing on the other side? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do you MR. CABARROCAS: There is a narrow strip right here. MS. RUSSO: There's a tract, an unplatted it's a Tract Z which allows MR. CABARROCAS: And there's also a sliver of land. And you keep saying, we have a sliver of land. They have the same sliver of land there. We're literally, mirroring what they have. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And that part there,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Neither did I. MS. MENENDEZ: That area there MR. CABARROCAS: All this here, was here in I think the '70s. MS. MENENDEZ: Who owns that? MR. CABARROCAS: Each slip is deeded to a house in Hammock Oaks. MS. MENENDEZ: So you're just repeating what's already existing on the other side? MS. RUSSO: Exactly. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I didn't know that, either. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do you MR. CABARROCAS: There is a narrow strip right here. MS. RUSSO: There's a tract, an unplatted it's a Tract Z which allows MR. CABARROCAS: And there's also a sliver of land. And you keep saying, we have a sliver of land. They have the same sliver of land there. We're literally, mirroring what they have. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And that part there, the one north it's really the one east,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Neither did I. MS. MENENDEZ: That area there MR. CABARROCAS: All this here, was here in I think the '70s. MS. MENENDEZ: Who owns that? MR. CABARROCAS: Each slip is deeded to a house in Hammock Oaks. MS. MENENDEZ: So you're just repeating what's already existing on the other side? MS. RUSSO: Exactly. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I didn't know that, either. MS. RUSSO: Exactly. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do you MR. CABARROCAS: There is a narrow strip right here. MS. RUSSO: There's a tract, an unplatted it's a Tract Z which allows MR. CABARROCAS: And there's also a sliver of land. And you keep saying, we have a sliver of land. They have the same sliver of land there. We're literally, mirroring what they have. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And that part there,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Neither did I. MS. MENENDEZ: That area there MR. CABARROCAS: All this here, was here in I think the '70s. MS. MENENDEZ: Who owns that? MR. CABARROCAS: Each slip is deeded to a house in Hammock Oaks. MS. MENENDEZ: So you're just repeating what's already existing on the other side? MS. RUSSO: Exactly. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I didn't know that, either. MS. RUSSO: Exactly. MS. RUSSO: Exactly. MS. MENENDEZ: That's a good point. I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do you MR. CABARROCAS: There is a narrow strip right here. MS. RUSSO: There's a tract, an unplatted it's a Tract Z which allows MR. CABARROCAS: And there's also a sliver of land. And you keep saying, we have a sliver of land. And you keep saying, we have a sliver of land. They have the same sliver of land there. We're literally, mirroring what they have. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And that part there, the one north it's really the one east, because you need to go like this, right? Is it like that? No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Neither did I. MS. MENENDEZ: That area there MR. CABARROCAS: All this here, was here in I think the '70s. MS. MENENDEZ: Who owns that? MR. CABARROCAS: Each slip is deeded to a house in Hammock Oaks. MS. MENENDEZ: So you're just repeating what's already existing on the other side? MS. RUSSO: Exactly. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I didn't know that, either. MS. RUSSO: Exactly. MS. MENENDEZ: That's a good point. I didn't realize that. So what you're doing is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do you MR. CABARROCAS: There is a narrow strip right here. MS. RUSSO: There's a tract, an unplatted it's a Tract Z which allows MR. CABARROCAS: And there's also a sliver of land. And you keep saying, we have a sliver of land. They have the same sliver of land there. We're literally, mirroring what they have. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And that part there, the one north it's really the one east, because you need to go like this, right? Is it like that? No. MS. RUSSO: North is this way. So east is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Neither did I. MS. MENENDEZ: That area there MR. CABARROCAS: All this here, was here in I think the '70s. MS. MENENDEZ: Who owns that? MR. CABARROCAS: Each slip is deeded to a house in Hammock Oaks. MS. MENENDEZ: So you're just repeating what's already existing on the other side? MS. RUSSO: Exactly. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I didn't know that, either. MS. RUSSO: Exactly. MS. MENENDEZ: That's a good point. I didn't realize that. So what you're doing is replicating what already exists. So those 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do you MR. CABARROCAS: There is a narrow strip right here. MS. RUSSO: There's a tract, an unplatted it's a Tract Z which allows MR. CABARROCAS: And there's also a sliver of land. And you keep saying, we have a sliver of land. They have the same sliver of land there. We're literally, mirroring what they have. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And that part there, the one north it's really the one east, because you need to go like this, right? Is it like that? No. MS. RUSSO: North is this way. So east is that way. North is that way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Neither did I. MS. MENENDEZ: That area there MR. CABARROCAS: All this here, was here in I think the '70s. MS. MENENDEZ: Who owns that? MR. CABARROCAS: Each slip is deeded to a house in Hammock Oaks. MS. MENENDEZ: So you're just repeating what's already existing on the other side? MS. RUSSO: Exactly. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I didn't know that, either. MS. RUSSO: Exactly. MS. MENENDEZ: That's a good point. I didn't realize that. So what you're doing is replicating what already exists. So those docks are tied to the homes on Marin Street? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do you MR. CABARROCAS: There is a narrow strip right here. MS. RUSSO: There's a tract, an unplatted it's a Tract Z which allows MR. CABARROCAS: And there's also a sliver of land. And you keep saying, we have a sliver of land. They have the same sliver of land there. We're literally, mirroring what they have. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And that part there, the one north it's really the one east, because you need to go like this, right? Is it like that? No. MS. RUSSO: North is this way. So east is that way. North is that way. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So that area that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Neither did I. MS. MENENDEZ: That area there MR. CABARROCAS: All this here, was here in I think the '70s. MS. MENENDEZ: Who owns that? MR. CABARROCAS: Each slip is deeded to a house in Hammock Oaks. MS. MENENDEZ: So you're just repeating what's already existing on the other side? MS. RUSSO: Exactly. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I didn't know that, either. MS. RUSSO: Exactly. MS. MENENDEZ: That's a good point. I didn't realize that. So what you're doing is replicating what already exists. So those docks are tied to the homes on Marin Street? MS. RUSSO: Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do you MR. CABARROCAS: There is a narrow strip right here. MS. RUSSO: There's a tract, an unplatted it's a Tract Z which allows MR. CABARROCAS: And there's also a sliver of land. And you keep saying, we have a sliver of land. They have the same sliver of land there. We're literally, mirroring what they have. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And that part there, the one north it's really the one east, because you need to go like this, right? Is it like that? No. MS. RUSSO: North is this way. So east is that way. North is that way. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So that area that's to the south, that's the protective land; isn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the same thing we're trying to accomplish here. You know, the residents are here. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, wait. That's interesting. That's interesting. I didn't realize that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Neither did I. MS. MENENDEZ: That area there MR. CABARROCAS: All this here, was here in I think the '70s. MS. MENENDEZ: Who owns that? MR. CABARROCAS: Each slip is deeded to a house in Hammock Oaks. MS. MENENDEZ: So you're just repeating what's already existing on the other side? MS. RUSSO: Exactly. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I didn't know that, either. MS. RUSSO: Exactly. MS. MENENDEZ: That's a good point. I didn't realize that. So what you're doing is replicating what already exists. So those docks are tied to the homes on Marin Street? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So when you look in the tax roll, it will say, Lot 2 and lot 2-A. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. MS. MENENDEZ: And how do you access I'm sorry to interrupt you, Ms. Russo. How do you MR. CABARROCAS: There is a narrow strip right here. MS. RUSSO: There's a tract, an unplatted it's a Tract Z which allows MR. CABARROCAS: And there's also a sliver of land. And you keep saying, we have a sliver of land. They have the same sliver of land there. We're literally, mirroring what they have. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And that part there, the one north it's really the one east, because you need to go like this, right? Is it like that? No. MS. RUSSO: North is this way. So east is that way. North is that way. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So that area that's

	Page 117		Page 118
1	MS. MENENDEZ: Where is Matheson Hammocks?	1	presentation for the bill thereof. The
2	MR. CABARROCAS: It's over here.	2	association has all rights and remedies as
3	MS. RUSSO: We have a bigger aerial to show	3	provided in this declaration or the bylaws
4	you.	4	against such dock owner for failure to remove
5	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. I'd like the bigger	5	the vessel."
6	aerial, because I have questions concerning	6	So that could be put in a restrictive
7	MS. RUSSO: To answer your question about a	7	covenant, as well, or I don't know
8	hurricane, in the condominium docs, so you	8	MS. MENENDEZ: That's the right way to do
9	know, Lynn Lewis has drafted the proposed	9	it, because having seen what happens in these
10	declaration of condominium, she addresses, "In	10	marinas in a hurricane
11	the event a hurricane or high velocity wind	11	MS. RUSSO: Right. Right.
12	watch or warning is issued by the United States	12	MS. MENENDEZ: So you see okay, so I'm
13	Weather Service, and the Hammock Oaks Harbor	13	right. So that land that's to the northeast is
14	Property Owners Association, the HOA, requires	14	part of Matheson Hammocks.
15	all vessels be removed from Hammock Oaks	15	MS. RUSSO: This?
16	Harbor, each dock owner or user of a boat slip	16	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes.
17	is required forthwith to remove its craft from	17	MR. CABARROCAS: Matheson Hammocks channel
18	the condominium property in accordance with the	18	that goes out, but you can see it over here.
19	directive of the HOA. Should a dock owner fail	19	This is the channel. It's a small this is
20	to do so, the association or its agents may do	20	Matheson Hammocks right here.
21	so, without notice and without liability, to	21	MS. MENENDEZ: Right. Is there a bridge
22	the dock owner for damage for trespass,	22	there?
23	damages or other claims of any kind and to	23	MR. CABARROCAS: Yes.
24	charge the cost incurred by the association to	24	MS. MENENDEZ: How high is that bridge?
25	the dock owner as an assessment to be paid upon	25	MR. CABARROCAS: I think it's like sixteen
	Page 119		Doco 120
		1	Page 120
1		1	Page 120
1 2	it's got an arch on it, but you're not going	1	might be in one of the DERM
2	it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a	2	might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats
	it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower		might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate?
2 3	it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going	2 3	might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM
2 3 4	it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower	2 3 4	might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of
2 3 4 5	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? 	2 3 4 5	might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does
2 3 4 5 6	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? MR. CABARROCAS: The boats here we're 	2 3 4 5 6	might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does Zoning, I think.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does Zoning, I think. MS. RUSSO: I saw it. I'd give you the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? MR. CABARROCAS: The boats here we're looking at, most of them obviously are going to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does Zoning, I think. MS. RUSSO: I saw it. I'd give you the wrong number if I did it from memory, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? MR. CABARROCAS: The boats here we're looking at, most of them obviously are going to be the open fisherman, the T-Top. There's room 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does Zoning, I think. MS. RUSSO: I saw it. I'd give you the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? MR. CABARROCAS: The boats here we're looking at, most of them obviously are going to be the open fisherman, the T-Top. There's room for a little driving station on top, but that's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does Zoning, I think. MS. RUSSO: I saw it. I'd give you the wrong number if I did it from memory, but that's one of the things DERM looks at when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? MR. CABARROCAS: The boats here we're looking at, most of them obviously are going to be the open fisherman, the T-Top. There's room for a little driving station on top, but that's really the market here. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does Zoning, I think. MS. RUSSO: I saw it. I'd give you the wrong number if I did it from memory, but that's one of the things DERM looks at when they do their original do you see how this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? MR. CABARROCAS: The boats here we're looking at, most of them obviously are going to be the open fisherman, the T-Top. There's room for a little driving station on top, but that's really the market here. MS. MENENDEZ: So what are we looking at 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does Zoning, I think. MS. RUSSO: I saw it. I'd give you the wrong number if I did it from memory, but that's one of the things DERM looks at when they do their original do you see how this doesn't work here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? MR. CABARROCAS: The boats here we're looking at, most of them obviously are going to be the open fisherman, the T-Top. There's room for a little driving station on top, but that's really the market here. MS. MENENDEZ: So what are we looking at length wise? I know your slips are 45. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	 might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does Zoning, I think. MS. RUSSO: I saw it. I'd give you the wrong number if I did it from memory, but that's one of the things DERM looks at when they do their original do you see how this doesn't work here MS. MENENDEZ: So you all are repeating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? MR. CABARROCAS: The boats here we're looking at, most of them obviously are going to be the open fisherman, the T-Top. There's room for a little driving station on top, but that's really the market here. MS. MENENDEZ: So what are we looking at length wise? I know your slips are 45. MR CABARROCAS: 45s to a 40-feet. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does Zoning, I think. MS. RUSSO: I saw it. I'd give you the wrong number if I did it from memory, but that's one of the things DERM looks at when they do their original do you see how this doesn't work here MS. MENENDEZ: So you all are repeating what's on the other side.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? MR. CABARROCAS: The boats here we're looking at, most of them obviously are going to be the open fisherman, the T-Top. There's room for a little driving station on top, but that's really the market here. MS. MENENDEZ: So what are we looking at length wise? I know your slips are 45. MR CABARROCAS: 45s to a 40-feet. MS. MENENDEZ: 40? And the beam? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does Zoning, I think. MS. RUSSO: I saw it. I'd give you the wrong number if I did it from memory, but that's one of the things DERM looks at when they do their original do you see how this doesn't work here MS. MENENDEZ: So you all are repeating what's on the other side. MS. RUSSO: Correct, except they have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? MR. CABARROCAS: The boats here we're looking at, most of them obviously are going to be the open fisherman, the T-Top. There's room for a little driving station on top, but that's really the market here. MS. MENENDEZ: So what are we looking at length wise? I know your slips are 45. MR CABARROCAS: 45s to a 40-feet. MS. MENENDEZ: 40? And the beam? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's tight. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does Zoning, I think. MS. RUSSO: I saw it. I'd give you the wrong number if I did it from memory, but that's one of the things DERM looks at when they do their original do you see how this doesn't work here MS. MENENDEZ: So you all are repeating what's on the other side. MS. RUSSO: Correct, except they have a voluntary homeowners association. When that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? MR. CABARROCAS: The boats here we're looking at, most of them obviously are going to be the open fisherman, the T-Top. There's room for a little driving station on top, but that's really the market here. MS. MENENDEZ: So what are we looking at length wise? I know your slips are 45. MR CABARROCAS: 45s to a 40-feet. MS. MENENDEZ: 40? And the beam? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's tight. MS. MENENDEZ: And the beam? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does Zoning, I think. MS. RUSSO: I saw it. I'd give you the wrong number if I did it from memory, but that's one of the things DERM looks at when they do their original do you see how this doesn't work here MS. MENENDEZ: So you all are repeating what's on the other side. MS. RUSSO: Correct, except they have a voluntary homeowners association. When that was originally done in 1976, it was done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? MR. CABARROCAS: The boats here we're looking at, most of them obviously are going to be the open fisherman, the T-Top. There's room for a little driving station on top, but that's really the market here. MS. MENENDEZ: So what are we looking at length wise? I know your slips are 45. MR CABARROCAS: 45s to a 40-feet. MS. MENENDEZ: 40? And the beam? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's tight. MS. MENENDEZ: And the beam? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does Zoning, I think. MS. RUSSO: I saw it. I'd give you the wrong number if I did it from memory, but that's one of the things DERM looks at when they do their original do you see how this doesn't work here MS. MENENDEZ: So you all are repeating what's on the other side. MS. RUSSO: Correct, except they have a voluntary homeowners association. When that was originally done in 1976, it was done without an HOA, without any rules, without any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? MR. CABARROCAS: The boats here we're looking at, most of them obviously are going to be the open fisherman, the T-Top. There's room for a little driving station on top, but that's really the market here. MS. MENENDEZ: So what are we looking at length wise? I know your slips are 45. MR CABARROCAS: 45s to a 40-feet. MS. MENENDEZ: 40? And the beam? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's tight. MS. MENENDEZ: And the beam? MR. CABARROCAS: The beam, an average boat is about 10, but the beam is not really the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does Zoning, I think. MS. RUSSO: I saw it. I'd give you the wrong number if I did it from memory, but that's one of the things DERM looks at when they do their original do you see how this doesn't work here MS. MENENDEZ: So you all are repeating what's on the other side. MS. RUSSO: Correct, except they have a voluntary homeowners association. When that was originally done in 1976, it was done without an HOA, without any rules, without any regulations. It was just deeded as part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? MR. CABARROCAS: The boats here we're looking at, most of them obviously are going to be the open fisherman, the T-Top. There's room for a little driving station on top, but that's really the market here. MS. MENENDEZ: So what are we looking at length wise? I know your slips are 45. MR CABARROCAS: 45s to a 40-feet. MS. MENENDEZ: 40? And the beam? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's tight. MS. MENENDEZ: And the beam? MR. CABARROCAS: The beam, an average boat is about 10, but the beam is not really the situation here. There's plenty of room, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does Zoning, I think. MS. RUSSO: I saw it. I'd give you the wrong number if I did it from memory, but that's one of the things DERM looks at when they do their original do you see how this doesn't work here MS. MENENDEZ: So you all are repeating what's on the other side. MS. RUSSO: Correct, except they have a voluntary homeowners association. When that was originally done in 1976, it was done without an HOA, without any rules, without any regulations. It was just deeded as part of the lot. Their access is through Tract Z. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? MR. CABARROCAS: The boats here we're looking at, most of them obviously are going to be the open fisherman, the T-Top. There's room for a little driving station on top, but that's really the market here. MS. MENENDEZ: So what are we looking at length wise? I know your slips are 45. MR CABARROCAS: 45s to a 40-feet. MS. MENENDEZ: 40? And the beam? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's tight. MS. MENENDEZ: And the beam? MR. CABARROCAS: The beam, an average boat is about 10, but the beam is not really the situation here. There's plenty of room. Let me ask you, that canal, what's the width of the canal? I call it a canal, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does Zoning, I think. MS. RUSSO: I saw it. I'd give you the wrong number if I did it from memory, but that's one of the things DERM looks at when they do their original do you see how this doesn't work here MS. MENENDEZ: So you all are repeating what's on the other side. MS. RUSSO: Correct, except they have a voluntary homeowners association. When that was originally done in 1976, it was done without an HOA, without any rules, without any regulations. It was just deeded as part of the lot. Their access is through Tract Z. So Tract Z is reserved solely for the owners of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? MR. CABARROCAS: The boats here we're looking at, most of them obviously are going to be the open fisherman, the T-Top. There's room for a little driving station on top, but that's really the market here. MS. MENENDEZ: So what are we looking at length wise? I know your slips are 45. MR CABARROCAS: 45s to a 40-feet. MS. MENENDEZ: 40? And the beam? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's tight. MS. MENENDEZ: And the beam? MR. CABARROCAS: The beam, an average boat is about 10, but the beam is not really the situation here. There's plenty of room. Let me ask you, that canal, what's the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does Zoning, I think. MS. RUSSO: I saw it. I'd give you the wrong number if I did it from memory, but that's one of the things DERM looks at when they do their original do you see how this doesn't work here MS. MENENDEZ: So you all are repeating what's on the other side. MS. RUSSO: Correct, except they have a voluntary homeowners association. When that was originally done in 1976, it was done without an HOA, without any rules, without any regulations. It was just deeded as part of the lot. Their access is through Tract Z. So Tract Z is reserved solely for the owners of those docks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 it's got an arch on it, but you're not going to get any sports fish, anything with a tower MS. MENENDEZ: So that's what I was going to ask you. So what are the sizes of the boats we're looking at? MR. CABARROCAS: The boats here we're looking at, most of them obviously are going to be the open fisherman, the T-Top. There's room for a little driving station on top, but that's really the market here. MS. MENENDEZ: So what are we looking at length wise? I know your slips are 45. MR CABARROCAS: 45s to a 40-feet. MS. MENENDEZ: 40? And the beam? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's tight. MS. MENENDEZ: And the beam? MR. CABARROCAS: The beam, an average boat is about 10, but the beam is not really the situation here. There's plenty of room. Let me ask you, that canal, what's the width of the canal? I call it a canal, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 might be in one of the DERM MS. MENENDEZ: Is it enough for two boats to navigate? MS. RUSSO: Yeah. It meets the DERM looks at that, in terms of MS. MENENDEZ: That's true. And so does Zoning, I think. MS. RUSSO: I saw it. I'd give you the wrong number if I did it from memory, but that's one of the things DERM looks at when they do their original do you see how this doesn't work here MS. MENENDEZ: So you all are repeating what's on the other side. MS. RUSSO: Correct, except they have a voluntary homeowners association. When that was originally done in 1976, it was done without an HOA, without any rules, without any regulations. It was just deeded as part of the lot. Their access is through Tract Z. So Tract Z is reserved solely for the owners of those docks. MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry to interrupt you.

	Page 121		Page 122
1	part of the plat.	1	assuming we have your eight docks at the bottom
2	MR. TRIAS: That was the County.	2	and then we've got the nine over there, how
3	MR. RUSSO: Hammock Oaks Three which was	3	wide is that opening? You know, following what
4	platted in 1976. So the City had to sign off	4	Maria was talking about, I see where the
5	on it. So that big triangular piece, if you	5	waterway is a little wider, but that particular
6	can hold it up so Hammock Oaks, all three	6	opening there, when you're going to have a boat
7	sections, has 153 properties. Everybody's	7	turning out, a boat turning in, is that going
8	given numbers. I know, because I've got the	8	to be an issue?
9	labels. Within a thousand feet is 48. Beyond	9	MS. RUSSO: It isn't. It meets the
10	the thousand feet is 105.	10	requirements. I'm trying to find it, because I
11	So when Hammock Oaks was subdivided, on	11	know that DERM looked at it, in terms of it,
12	Section Two, it included this and it included	12	and the Waterway Advisory Board that we
13	this plat and included this lake. When Section	13	appeared before also looked at it and said that
14	Three was platted, it included this triangular	14	it easily met the minimum requirements that the
15	piece and another piece that is not waterfront,	15	City has, which used to be 75 feet.
16	and they gave those non-waterfront lots, the	16	MR. TRIAS: But that's when you have two
17	docks that you see.	17	docks. That's different. That's a different
18	MS. MENENDEZ: Ms. Russo I had a	18	thing.
19	question and it just slipped my mind right now.	19	MS. RUSSO: Right. Well, when you have two
20	I'll come back to it.	20	docks, it's 75 feet.
21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We'll come back to it.	21	MR. TRIAS: Right.
22	MS. RUSSO: Okay.	22	MS. RUSSO: So this is even greater,
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Maria.	23	because you don't have a dock. So when you
24	MS. VELEZ: I have a question. That area	24	have two docks that are parallel to each other
25	where the waterway comes into Lake B, and	25	across the waterway, there's a requirement that
	Daga 122		- 101
	Page 123		Page 124
1	there be a minimum, except in the	1	Page 124 So to answer your question, Ms. Velez,
1 2		1 2	
	there be a minimum, except in the		So to answer your question, Ms. Velez,
2	there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet	2	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear.
2 3	there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway.	2 3	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay.
2 3 4	there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada	2 3 4	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead.
2 3 4 5	there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway.	2 3 4 5	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6	 there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: Well, here you would have one 	2 3 4 5 6	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. The roving patrol, which is the one that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: Well, here you would have one horizontal MS. RUSSO: They made it narrower in the Granada waterway. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. The roving patrol, which is the one that's going to be enforcing all of the different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: Well, here you would have one horizontal MS. RUSSO: They made it narrower in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: dock and one vertical dock 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. The roving patrol, which is the one that's going to be enforcing all of the different quality of life issues in the area, is it one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: Well, here you would have one horizontal MS. RUSSO: They made it narrower in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: dock and one vertical dock and I see that that last dock of the existing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. The roving patrol, which is the one that's going to be enforcing all of the different quality of life issues in the area, is it one that's already on board and just going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: Well, here you would have one horizontal MS. RUSSO: They made it narrower in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: dock and one vertical dock and I see that that last dock of the existing is pretty far out. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. The roving patrol, which is the one that's going to be enforcing all of the different quality of life issues in the area, is it one that's already on board and just going to extend the duties or are you going to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: Well, here you would have one horizontal MS. RUSSO: They made it narrower in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: dock and one vertical dock and I see that that last dock of the existing is pretty far out. MS. RUSSO: Yeah, but here our piece 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. The roving patrol, which is the one that's going to be enforcing all of the different quality of life issues in the area, is it one that's already on board and just going to extend the duties or are you going to get someone exclusively for the dock area?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: Well, here you would have one horizontal MS. RUSSO: They made it narrower in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: dock and one vertical dock and I see that that last dock of the existing is pretty far out. MS. RUSSO: Yeah, but here our piece ends here. So even if that the two boats 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. The roving patrol, which is the one that's going to be enforcing all of the different quality of life issues in the area, is it one that's already on board and just going to extend the duties or are you going to get someone exclusively for the dock area? MR. CABARROCAS: It's a separate contract,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: Well, here you would have one horizontal MS. RUSSO: They made it narrower in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: dock and one vertical dock and I see that that last dock of the existing is pretty far out. MS. RUSSO: Yeah, but here our piece ends here. So even if that the two boats if you look at you can take it closer so you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. The roving patrol, which is the one that's going to be enforcing all of the different quality of life issues in the area, is it one that's already on board and just going to extend the duties or are you going to get someone exclusively for the dock area? MR. CABARROCAS: It's a separate contract, but it's the same company that is servicing the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: Well, here you would have one horizontal MS. RUSSO: They made it narrower in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: dock and one vertical dock and I see that that last dock of the existing is pretty far out. MS. RUSSO: Yeah, but here our piece ends here. So even if that the two boats if you look at you can take it closer so you can see, but if you look at it, obviously the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. The roving patrol, which is the one that's going to be enforcing all of the different quality of life issues in the area, is it one that's already on board and just going to extend the duties or are you going to get someone exclusively for the dock area? MR. CABARROCAS: It's a separate contract, but it's the same company that is servicing the gate, the guard house today.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: Well, here you would have one horizontal MS. RUSSO: They made it narrower in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: dock and one vertical dock and I see that that last dock of the existing is pretty far out. MS. RUSSO: Yeah, but here our piece ends here. So even if that the two boats if you look at you can take it closer so you can see, but if you look at it, obviously the length of our dock limits the size of the boat 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. The roving patrol, which is the one that's going to be enforcing all of the different quality of life issues in the area, is it one that's already on board and just going to extend the duties or are you going to get someone exclusively for the dock area? MR. CABARROCAS: It's a separate contract, but it's the same company that is servicing the gate, the guard house today. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Good.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: Well, here you would have one horizontal MS. RUSSO: They made it narrower in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: dock and one vertical dock and I see that that last dock of the existing is pretty far out. MS. RUSSO: Yeah, but here our piece ends here. So even if that the two boats if you look at you can take it closer so you can see, but if you look at it, obviously the length of our dock limits the size of the boat that you can have. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. The roving patrol, which is the one that's going to be enforcing all of the different quality of life issues in the area, is it one that's already on board and just going to extend the duties or are you going to get someone exclusively for the dock area? MR. CABARROCAS: It's a separate contract, but it's the same company that is servicing the gate, the guard house today. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Good. MR. CABARROCAS: And they're just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: Well, here you would have one horizontal MS. RUSSO: They made it narrower in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: dock and one vertical dock and I see that that last dock of the existing is pretty far out. MS. RUSSO: Yeah, but here our piece ends here. So even if that the two boats if you look at you can take it closer so you can see, but if you look at it, obviously the length of our dock limits the size of the boat that you can have. MS. VELEZ: All right. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. The roving patrol, which is the one that's going to be enforcing all of the different quality of life issues in the area, is it one that's already on board and just going to extend the duties or are you going to get someone exclusively for the dock area? MR. CABARROCAS: It's a separate contract, but it's the same company that is servicing the gate, the guard house today. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Good. MR. CABARROCAS: And they're just supplementing a roving patrol, once a day, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: Well, here you would have one horizontal MS. RUSSO: They made it narrower in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: dock and one vertical dock and I see that that last dock of the existing is pretty far out. MS. RUSSO: Yeah, but here our piece ends here. So even if that the two boats if you look at you can take it closer so you can see, but if you look at it, obviously the length of our dock limits the size of the boat that you can have. MS. VELEZ: All right. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Julio? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. The roving patrol, which is the one that's going to be enforcing all of the different quality of life issues in the area, is it one that's already on board and just going to extend the duties or are you going to get someone exclusively for the dock area? MR. CABARROCAS: It's a separate contract, but it's the same company that is servicing the gate, the guard house today. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Good. MR. CABARROCAS: And they're just supplementing a roving patrol, once a day, that will come into our property, verify that all of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: Well, here you would have one horizontal MS. RUSSO: They made it narrower in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: dock and one vertical dock and I see that that last dock of the existing is pretty far out. MS. RUSSO: Yeah, but here our piece ends here. So even if that the two boats if you look at you can take it closer so you can see, but if you look at it, obviously the length of our dock limits the size of the boat that you can have. MS. VELEZ: All right. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Julio? MS. RUSSO: Here we go. In the DERM 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. The roving patrol, which is the one that's going to be enforcing all of the different quality of life issues in the area, is it one that's already on board and just going to extend the duties or are you going to get someone exclusively for the dock area? MR. CABARROCAS: It's a separate contract, but it's the same company that is servicing the gate, the guard house today. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Good. MR. CABARROCAS: And they're just supplementing a roving patrol, once a day, that will come into our property, verify that all of the vehicles and boats have the decal sticker.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: Well, here you would have one horizontal MS. RUSSO: They made it narrower in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: dock and one vertical dock and I see that that last dock of the existing is pretty far out. MS. RUSSO: Yeah, but here our piece ends here. So even if that the two boats if you look at you can take it closer so you can see, but if you look at it, obviously the length of our dock limits the size of the boat that you can have. MS. VELEZ: All right. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Julio? MS. RUSSO: Here we go. In the DERM documents put in by Dock and Marine, it says, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. The roving patrol, which is the one that's going to be enforcing all of the different quality of life issues in the area, is it one that's already on board and just going to extend the duties or are you going to get someone exclusively for the dock area? MR. CABARROCAS: It's a separate contract, but it's the same company that is servicing the gate, the guard house today. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Good. MR. CABARROCAS: And they're just supplementing a roving patrol, once a day, that will come into our property, verify that all of the vehicles and boats have the decal sticker. If they do not have a decal sticker, they will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: Well, here you would have one horizontal MS. RUSSO: They made it narrower in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: dock and one vertical dock and I see that that last dock of the existing is pretty far out. MS. RUSSO: Yeah, but here our piece ends here. So even if that the two boats if you look at you can take it closer so you can see, but if you look at it, obviously the length of our dock limits the size of the boat that you can have. MS. RUSSO: Here we go. In the DERM documents put in by Dock and Marine, it says, "Nearest opposing shoreline is 200 feet bank to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. The roving patrol, which is the one that's going to be enforcing all of the different quality of life issues in the area, is it one that's already on board and just going to extend the duties or are you going to get someone exclusively for the dock area? MR. CABARROCAS: It's a separate contract, but it's the same company that is servicing the gate, the guard house today. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Good. MR. CABARROCAS: And they're just supplementing a roving patrol, once a day, that will come into our property, verify that all of the vehicles and boats have the decal sticker. If they do not have a decal sticker, they will contact the person we put in charge of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: Well, here you would have one horizontal MS. RUSSO: They made it narrower in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: dock and one vertical dock and I see that that last dock of the existing is pretty far out. MS. RUSSO: Yeah, but here our piece ends here. So even if that the two boats if you look at you can take it closer so you can see, but if you look at it, obviously the length of our dock limits the size of the boat that you can have. MS. VELEZ: All right. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Julio? MS. RUSSO: Here we go. In the DERM documents put in by Dock and Marine, it says, "Nearest opposing shoreline is 200 feet bank to bank. Structured myriad allows for 150 foot 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. The roving patrol, which is the one that's going to be enforcing all of the different quality of life issues in the area, is it one that's already on board and just going to extend the duties or are you going to get someone exclusively for the dock area? MR. CABARROCAS: It's a separate contract, but it's the same company that is servicing the gate, the guard house today. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Good. MR. CABARROCAS: And they're just supplementing a roving patrol, once a day, that will come into our property, verify that all of the vehicles and boats have the decal sticker. If they do not have a decal sticker, they will contact the person we put in charge of the association to let them know, so a warning can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 there be a minimum, except in the MR. TRIAS: 75 feet applies here, 75 feet of clear navigable waterway. MS. RUSSO: except in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: Well, here you would have one horizontal MS. RUSSO: They made it narrower in the Granada waterway. MS. VELEZ: dock and one vertical dock and I see that that last dock of the existing is pretty far out. MS. RUSSO: Yeah, but here our piece ends here. So even if that the two boats if you look at you can take it closer so you can see, but if you look at it, obviously the length of our dock limits the size of the boat that you can have. MS. RUSSO: Here we go. In the DERM documents put in by Dock and Marine, it says, "Nearest opposing shoreline is 200 feet bank to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So to answer your question, Ms. Velez, it's, bank to bank structure is 150-foot clear. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, now I remembered, if I may. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, go ahead. MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. The roving patrol, which is the one that's going to be enforcing all of the different quality of life issues in the area, is it one that's already on board and just going to extend the duties or are you going to get someone exclusively for the dock area? MR. CABARROCAS: It's a separate contract, but it's the same company that is servicing the gate, the guard house today. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Good. MR. CABARROCAS: And they're just supplementing a roving patrol, once a day, that will come into our property, verify that all of the vehicles and boats have the decal sticker. If they do not have a decal sticker, they will contact the person we put in charge of the

	Page 125		Page 126
1	MS. MENENDEZ: And they're going to enforce	1	MS. VELEZ: And my other concern, which you
2	the parking outside the gate, in case it	2	have addressed, is the tying the ownership of
3	happens?	3	the dock to ownership of a habitable lot in the
4	MR. CABARROCAS: Exactly.	4	area, sort of as limited common element type.
5	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay.	5	MS. RUSSO: Right.
6	MS. VELEZ: I had another concern. How are	6	MS. VELEZ: You can't have a parking space
7	you going to enforce the no fish cleaning,	7	in a condominium building if you don't own a
8	because that was one of my concerns?	8	condo unit. So the same thing here.
9	MS. RUSSO: The no fish cleaning?	9	MS. RUSSO: Right. Yes.
10	MS. VELEZ: Yes. I've been at other	10	MR. TRIAS: It is problematic, though, that
11	marinas, and as soon as you come in with your	11	the developer is not going to follow that rule.
12	boat, the first thing you do is, your captain	12	MS. RUSSO: No, it will be for one dock,
13	goes and takes the fish and cleans your fish.	13	and then as with all condominium property, the
14	MR. CABARROCAS: Yeah, we're going to have	14	successor owner so when he sells his unit,
15	here eight owners	15	he then is bound by the same restriction, but
16	MS. RUSSO: You being one of them.	16	as the developer of it
17	MR. CABARROCAS: Yes. They're residents of	17	MR. TRIAS: The way that you wrote the Site
18	Hammock Oaks, and, you know, obviously we're	18	Specifics, it says, "Boat slips shall be only
19	not going to put a fish cleaning table, which	19	for the use of Hammock Oaks Harbor property
20	is the main deterrent, but, you know, it's a	20	owners."
21	rule. We're going to have cameras in the	21	MS. RUSSO: Well, he's a property owner.
22	property. We're going to have cameras in the	22	So we have to make the revision to that,
23	entrance. So if anything occurs where we see	23	because the way it was worded, he is a property
24	debris or anything, it's very easy to verify,	24	owner. He owns all of Tract E.
25	you know, who did it and enforce it that way.	25	MS. VELEZ: But he won't be a property
10			
	Page 127		
	rage 127		Page 128
1	owner after this is submitted to condo	1	Page 128 property owner is fine. The minute you change
1 2		1 2	
	owner after this is submitted to condo		property owner is fine. The minute you change
2	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of	2	property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just
2 3	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the	2 3	property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation
2 3 4	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that	2 3 4	property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except
2 3 4 5	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know,	2 3 4 5	property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code.
2 3 4 5 6	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know, assuming and hopefully after all of this, there	2 3 4 5 6	property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code. So I think you have to make a decision how
2 3 4 5 6 7	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know, assuming and hopefully after all of this, there will be people who will want to buy seven of	2 3 4 5 6 7	property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code. So I think you have to make a decision how it's going to be worded, and we can look at it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know, assuming and hopefully after all of this, there will be people who will want to buy seven of the eight units, and there will be a turnover,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code. So I think you have to make a decision how it's going to be worded, and we can look at it to see how it works, but I think it becomes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know, assuming and hopefully after all of this, there will be people who will want to buy seven of the eight units, and there will be a turnover, but he, himself, will be restricted. He will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code. So I think you have to make a decision how it's going to be worded, and we can look at it to see how it works, but I think it becomes problematic if you change property owner
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know, assuming and hopefully after all of this, there will be people who will want to buy seven of the eight units, and there will be a turnover, but he, himself, will be restricted. He will be able to own it, but at the point he goes to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code. So I think you have to make a decision how it's going to be worded, and we can look at it to see how it works, but I think it becomes problematic if you change property owner well, first of all, how many vacant parcels are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know, assuming and hopefully after all of this, there will be people who will want to buy seven of the eight units, and there will be a turnover, but he, himself, will be restricted. He will be able to own it, but at the point he goes to sell, he will be bound by the same restriction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code. So I think you have to make a decision how it's going to be worded, and we can look at it to see how it works, but I think it becomes problematic if you change property owner well, first of all, how many vacant parcels are there in Hammocks Lake? Are we really talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know, assuming and hopefully after all of this, there will be people who will want to buy seven of the eight units, and there will be a turnover, but he, himself, will be restricted. He will be able to own it, but at the point he goes to sell, he will be bound by the same restriction that everyone is bound.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code. So I think you have to make a decision how it's going to be worded, and we can look at it to see how it works, but I think it becomes problematic if you change property owner well, first of all, how many vacant parcels are there in Hammocks Lake? Are we really talking about an issue?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know, assuming and hopefully after all of this, there will be people who will want to buy seven of the eight units, and there will be a turnover, but he, himself, will be restricted. He will be able to own it, but at the point he goes to sell, he will be bound by the same restriction that everyone is bound. So he can't sell it to a family member,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code. So I think you have to make a decision how it's going to be worded, and we can look at it to see how it works, but I think it becomes problematic if you change property owner well, first of all, how many vacant parcels are there in Hammocks Lake? Are we really talking about an issue? MS. RUSSO: Do you know? I mean, we can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know, assuming and hopefully after all of this, there will be people who will want to buy seven of the eight units, and there will be a turnover, but he, himself, will be restricted. He will be able to own it, but at the point he goes to sell, he will be bound by the same restriction that everyone is bound. So he can't sell it to a family member, unless they happen to be living in a house in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code. So I think you have to make a decision how it's going to be worded, and we can look at it to see how it works, but I think it becomes problematic if you change property owner well, first of all, how many vacant parcels are there in Hammocks Lake? Are we really talking about an issue? MS. RUSSO: Do you know? I mean, we can probably find out. I mean, I'm sure I can get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know, assuming and hopefully after all of this, there will be people who will want to buy seven of the eight units, and there will be a turnover, but he, himself, will be restricted. He will be able to own it, but at the point he goes to sell, he will be bound by the same restriction that everyone is bound. So he can't sell it to a family member, unless they happen to be living in a house in Gables Estates. So as the developer, he keeps	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code. So I think you have to make a decision how it's going to be worded, and we can look at it to see how it works, but I think it becomes problematic if you change property owner well, first of all, how many vacant parcels are there in Hammocks Lake? Are we really talking about an issue? MS. RUSSO: Do you know? I mean, we can probably find out. I mean, I'm sure I can get you that, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know, assuming and hopefully after all of this, there will be people who will want to buy seven of the eight units, and there will be a turnover, but he, himself, will be restricted. He will be able to own it, but at the point he goes to sell, he will be bound by the same restriction that everyone is bound. So he can't sell it to a family member, unless they happen to be living in a house in Gables Estates. So as the developer, he keeps one. And at the point he gives it up, he gives	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code. So I think you have to make a decision how it's going to be worded, and we can look at it to see how it works, but I think it becomes problematic if you change property owner well, first of all, how many vacant parcels are there in Hammocks Lake? Are we really talking about an issue? MS. RUSSO: Do you know? I mean, we can probably find out. I mean, I'm sure I can get you that, but MR. COLLER: And I'm not even sure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know, assuming and hopefully after all of this, there will be people who will want to buy seven of the eight units, and there will be a turnover, but he, himself, will be restricted. He will be able to own it, but at the point he goes to sell, he will be bound by the same restriction that everyone is bound. So he can't sell it to a family member, unless they happen to be living in a house in Gables Estates. So as the developer, he keeps one. And at the point he gives it up, he gives it up under the same conditions as everyone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code. So I think you have to make a decision how it's going to be worded, and we can look at it to see how it works, but I think it becomes problematic if you change property owner well, first of all, how many vacant parcels are there in Hammocks Lake? Are we really talking about an issue? MS. RUSSO: Do you know? I mean, we can probably find out. I mean, I'm sure I can get you that, but MR. COLLER: And I'm not even sure MR. CABARROCAS: I don't think there's any.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know, assuming and hopefully after all of this, there will be people who will want to buy seven of the eight units, and there will be a turnover, but he, himself, will be restricted. He will be able to own it, but at the point he goes to sell, he will be bound by the same restriction that everyone is bound. So he can't sell it to a family member, unless they happen to be living in a house in Gables Estates. So as the developer, he keeps one. And at the point he gives it up, he gives it up under the same conditions as everyone else.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code. So I think you have to make a decision how it's going to be worded, and we can look at it to see how it works, but I think it becomes problematic if you change property owner well, first of all, how many vacant parcels are there in Hammocks Lake? Are we really talking about an issue? MS. RUSSO: Do you know? I mean, we can probably find out. I mean, I'm sure I can get you that, but MR. COLLER: And I'm not even sure MR. CABARROCAS: I don't think there's any. MS. RUSSO: There may not be any.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know, assuming and hopefully after all of this, there will be people who will want to buy seven of the eight units, and there will be a turnover, but he, himself, will be restricted. He will be able to own it, but at the point he goes to sell, he will be bound by the same restriction that everyone is bound. So he can't sell it to a family member, unless they happen to be living in a house in Gables Estates. So as the developer, he keeps one. And at the point he gives it up, he gives it up under the same conditions as everyone else. MR. COLLER: But the way you have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code. So I think you have to make a decision how it's going to be worded, and we can look at it to see how it works, but I think it becomes problematic if you change property owner well, first of all, how many vacant parcels are there in Hammocks Lake? Are we really talking about an issue? MS. RUSSO: Do you know? I mean, we can probably find out. I mean, I'm sure I can get you that, but MR. COLLER: And I'm not even sure MR. CABARROCAS: I don't think there's any. MS. RUSSO: There may not be any. MR. COLLER: So, really, leaving it as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know, assuming and hopefully after all of this, there will be people who will want to buy seven of the eight units, and there will be a turnover, but he, himself, will be restricted. He will be able to own it, but at the point he goes to sell, he will be bound by the same restriction that everyone is bound. So he can't sell it to a family member, unless they happen to be living in a house in Gables Estates. So as the developer, he keeps one. And at the point he gives it up, he gives it up under the same conditions as everyone else. MR. COLLER: But the way you have the regulation, though, set up, the minute it gets	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code. So I think you have to make a decision how it's going to be worded, and we can look at it to see how it works, but I think it becomes problematic if you change property owner well, first of all, how many vacant parcels are there in Hammocks Lake? Are we really talking about an issue? MS. RUSSO: Do you know? I mean, we can probably find out. I mean, I'm sure I can get you that, but MR. COLLER: And I'm not even sure MR. COLLER: So, really, leaving it as property owners is probably going to be any.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know, assuming and hopefully after all of this, there will be people who will want to buy seven of the eight units, and there will be a turnover, but he, himself, will be restricted. He will be able to own it, but at the point he goes to sell, he will be bound by the same restriction that everyone is bound. So he can't sell it to a family member, unless they happen to be living in a house in Gables Estates. So as the developer, he keeps one. And at the point he gives it up, he gives it up under the same conditions as everyone else. MR. COLLER: But the way you have the regulation, though, set up, the minute it gets adopted, he's in violation; isn't he?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code. So I think you have to make a decision how it's going to be worded, and we can look at it to see how it works, but I think it becomes problematic if you change property owner well, first of all, how many vacant parcels are there in Hammocks Lake? Are we really talking about an issue? MS. RUSSO: Do you know? I mean, we can probably find out. I mean, I'm sure I can get you that, but MR. COLLER: And I'm not even sure MR. COLLER: So, really, leaving it as property owners is probably going to be the easiest way to it. I don't see how you can do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know, assuming and hopefully after all of this, there will be people who will want to buy seven of the eight units, and there will be a turnover, but he, himself, will be restricted. He will be able to own it, but at the point he goes to sell, he will be bound by the same restriction that everyone is bound. So he can't sell it to a family member, unless they happen to be living in a house in Gables Estates. So as the developer, he keeps one. And at the point he gives it up, he gives it up under the same conditions as everyone else. MR. COLLER: But the way you have the regulation, though, set up, the minute it gets adopted, he's in violation; isn't he?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code. So I think you have to make a decision how it's going to be worded, and we can look at it to see how it works, but I think it becomes problematic if you change property owner well, first of all, how many vacant parcels are there in Hammocks Lake? Are we really talking about an issue? MS. RUSSO: Do you know? I mean, we can probably find out. I mean, I'm sure I can get you that, but MR. COLLER: And I'm not even sure MR. CABARROCAS: I don't think there's any. MS. RUSSO: There may not be any. MR. COLLER: So, really, leaving it as property owners is probably going to be the easiest way to it. I don't see how you can do it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 owner after this is submitted to condo MS. RUSSO: Well, he will own one of he's going to retain you know how the developer the developer is the one that sells the unit. So he will sell, you know, assuming and hopefully after all of this, there will be people who will want to buy seven of the eight units, and there will be a turnover, but he, himself, will be restricted. He will be able to own it, but at the point he goes to sell, he will be bound by the same restriction that everyone is bound. So he can't sell it to a family member, unless they happen to be living in a house in Gables Estates. So as the developer, he keeps one. And at the point he gives it up, he gives it up under the same conditions as everyone else. MR. COLLER: But the way you have the regulation, though, set up, the minute it gets adopted, he's in violation; isn't he? MS. RUSSO: He's a property owner. Unless I change I will have to reword 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 property owner is fine. The minute you change it to a property owner with a home, it just doesn't work, and we can't put in a regulation in an Ordinance, it's a property owner, except this person, in the Code. So I think you have to make a decision how it's going to be worded, and we can look at it to see how it works, but I think it becomes problematic if you change property owner well, first of all, how many vacant parcels are there in Hammocks Lake? Are we really talking about an issue? MS. RUSSO: Do you know? I mean, we can probably find out. I mean, I'm sure I can get you that, but MR. COLLER: And I'm not even sure MR. COLLER: So, really, leaving it as property owners is probably going to be the easiest way to it. I don't see how you can do it MS. RUSSO: Okay. We're being told, so I

	Page 129		Page 130
1	we can get you that answer. I mean, that's	1	buildable lot.
2	easy enough to look up, since there's only 153.	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: As long as it's a
3	You can easily look that up on the Dade County	3	buildable lot, correct.
4	website.	4	Now, what I see that your owner has is, he
5	MR. COLLER: Right. Well, the issue is, if	5	owns a piece of land. Once he goes away and
6	you do it as property owners, it works out. If	6	does a condominium, he now only owns that one
7	you say property owners	7	slip, and in my eyes, you're going against what
8	MS. RUSSO: I would then suggest we keep it	8	you're saying, that every owner has to be a
9	as property owner. If there's only one vacant	9	Hammock Oaks owner.
10	site if they had if this were an	10	And I don't know the rest of my Board or
11	undeveloped neighborhood that had a bunch of	11	their position
12	vacant sites, then I would say, yes, you want	12	MS. MENENDEZ: But is he selling them all
13	to be worried about people owning it, but if	13	or is he leasing them?
14	there's only one or two vacant properties, then	14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: He's keeping one.
15	I think property owner is protection, because	15	MS. MENENDEZ: Are you going to lease also?
16	the subdivision has been fully developed.	16	MR. CABARROCAS: No. The plan is to sell
17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For me, a parcel of	17	them.
18	land, even if it's not developed, has the same	18	MS. MENENDEZ: To sell each one?
19	rights to Hammock Oaks as a parcel of land that	19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Except one.
20	has a home on it. He pays the City taxes. He	20	MS. MENENDEZ: But, I mean, he's the owner
21	pays State taxes. He pays School taxes. So,	21	of the tract of land.
22	to me, a parcel of land that's not developed	22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand that,
23	should not be excluded. He's still an owner.	23	but
24	This is only me. He's still an owner there.	24	MS. MENENDEZ: And he's going to continue
25	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, as long as it's a	25	being an owner when he keeps one slip.
	Page 131		Page 132
1	Page 131 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But be doesn't own a	1	Page 132
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a	1	whole tract, and you're not really are you
2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium.	2	whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you
2 3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he	2 3	whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property	2 3 4	whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a
2 3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells	2 3	whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no?
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells MS. MENENDEZ: But it says property	2 3 4 5 6	whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no? MS. RUSSO: Right. It's the access, vehicle
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells MS. MENENDEZ: But it says property MS. RUSSO: An undivided interest in the	2 3 4 5	whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no? MS. RUSSO: Right. It's the access, vehicle MS. MENENDEZ: There's an access point, and
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells MS. MENENDEZ: But it says property	2 3 4 5 6 7	whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no? MS. RUSSO: Right. It's the access, vehicle MS. MENENDEZ: There's an access point, and then you have an access to the dock. So are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells MS. MENENDEZ: But it says property MS. RUSSO: An undivided interest in the whole remember, in a condominium	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no? MS. RUSSO: Right. It's the access, vehicle MS. MENENDEZ: There's an access point, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells MS. MENENDEZ: But it says property MS. RUSSO: An undivided interest in the whole remember, in a condominium MS. VELEZ: But after he sells seven docks,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no? MS. RUSSO: Right. It's the access, vehicle MS. MENENDEZ: There's an access point, and then you have an access to the dock. So are you just giving up and it depends how you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells MS. MENENDEZ: But it says property MS. RUSSO: An undivided interest in the whole remember, in a condominium MS. VELEZ: But after he sells seven docks, the only ownership interest he will have will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no? MS. RUSSO: Right. It's the access, vehicle MS. MENENDEZ: There's an access point, and then you have an access to the dock. So are you just giving up and it depends how you write it up, right? So are you just giving up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells MS. MENENDEZ: But it says property MS. RUSSO: An undivided interest in the whole remember, in a condominium MS. VELEZ: But after he sells seven docks, the only ownership interest he will have will be in his unit, his dock.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no? MS. RUSSO: Right. It's the access, vehicle MS. MENENDEZ: There's an access point, and then you have an access to the dock. So are you just giving up and it depends how you write it up, right? So are you just giving up the dock slips and then everything else is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells MS. MENENDEZ: But it says property MS. RUSSO: An undivided interest in the whole remember, in a condominium MS. VELEZ: But after he sells seven docks, the only ownership interest he will have will be in his unit, his dock. MS. MENENDEZ: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no? MS. RUSSO: Right. It's the access, vehicle MS. MENENDEZ: There's an access point, and then you have an access to the dock. So are you just giving up and it depends how you write it up, right? So are you just giving up the dock slips and then everything else is common and owned by him and then he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells MS. MENENDEZ: But it says property MS. RUSSO: An undivided interest in the whole remember, in a condominium MS. VELEZ: But after he sells seven docks, the only ownership interest he will have will be in his unit, his dock. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MS. RUSSO: Well, and his undivided	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no? MS. RUSSO: Right. It's the access, vehicle MS. MENENDEZ: There's an access point, and then you have an access to the dock. So are you just giving up and it depends how you write it up, right? So are you just giving up the dock slips and then everything else is common and owned by him and then he CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a common element.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells MS. MENENDEZ: But it says property MS. RUSSO: An undivided interest in the whole remember, in a condominium MS. VELEZ: But after he sells seven docks, the only ownership interest he will have will be in his unit, his dock. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MS. RUSSO: Well, and his undivided interest, because realize every owner will have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no? MS. RUSSO: Right. It's the access, vehicle MS. MENENDEZ: There's an access point, and then you have an access to the dock. So are you just giving up and it depends how you write it up, right? So are you just giving up the dock slips and then everything else is common and owned by him and then he CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a common element. MS. MENENDEZ: Is it a common element?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells MS. MENENDEZ: But it says property MS. RUSSO: An undivided interest in the whole remember, in a condominium MS. VELEZ: But after he sells seven docks, the only ownership interest he will have will be in his unit, his dock. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MS. RUSSO: Well, and his undivided interest, because realize every owner will have an undivided interest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no? MS. RUSSO: Right. It's the access, vehicle MS. MENENDEZ: There's an access point, and then you have an access to the dock. So are you just giving up and it depends how you write it up, right? So are you just giving up the dock slips and then everything else is common and owned by him and then he CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a common element. MS. MENENDEZ: Is it a common element? But
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells MS. MENENDEZ: But it says property MS. RUSSO: An undivided interest in the whole remember, in a condominium MS. VELEZ: But after he sells seven docks, the only ownership interest he will have will be in his unit, his dock. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MS. RUSSO: Well, and his undivided interest, because realize every owner will have an undivided interest MS. VELEZ: In the common elements.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no? MS. RUSSO: Right. It's the access, vehicle MS. MENENDEZ: There's an access point, and then you have an access to the dock. So are you just giving up and it depends how you write it up, right? So are you just giving up the dock slips and then everything else is common and owned by him and then he CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a common element. MS. MENENDEZ: Is it a common element? But MS. RUSSO: It would be a limited common
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells MS. MENENDEZ: But it says property MS. RUSSO: An undivided interest in the whole remember, in a condominium MS. VELEZ: But after he sells seven docks, the only ownership interest he will have will be in his unit, his dock. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MS. RUSSO: Well, and his undivided interest, because realize every owner will have an undivided interest MS. VELEZ: In the common elements. MR. RUSSO: in the common area.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no? MS. RUSSO: Right. It's the access, vehicle MS. MENENDEZ: There's an access point, and then you have an access to the dock. So are you just giving up and it depends how you write it up, right? So are you just giving up the dock slips and then everything else is common and owned by him and then he CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a common element. MS. MENENDEZ: Is it a common element? But MS. RUSSO: It would be a limited common element, because right now the dock slips are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells MS. MENENDEZ: But it says property MS. RUSSO: An undivided interest in the whole remember, in a condominium MS. VELEZ: But after he sells seven docks, the only ownership interest he will have will be in his unit, his dock. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MS. RUSSO: Well, and his undivided interest, because realize every owner will have an undivided interest MS. VELEZ: In the common elements. MR. RUSSO: in the common area. And I would assume you're going to collect some type of fee?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no? MS. RUSSO: Right. It's the access, vehicle MS. MENENDEZ: There's an access point, and then you have an access to the dock. So are you just giving up and it depends how you write it up, right? So are you just giving up the dock slips and then everything else is common and owned by him and then he CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a common element. MS. MENENDEZ: Is it a common element? But MS. RUSSO: It would be a limited common element, because right now the dock slips are in the water, but realize part of the legal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells MS. MENENDEZ: But it says property MS. RUSSO: An undivided interest in the whole remember, in a condominium MS. VELEZ: But after he sells seven docks, the only ownership interest he will have will be in his unit, his dock. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MS. RUSSO: Well, and his undivided interest, because realize every owner will have an undivided interest MS. VELEZ: In the common area. MR. RUSSO: in the common area. And I would assume you're going to collect some type	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no? MS. RUSSO: Right. It's the access, vehicle MS. MENENDEZ: There's an access point, and then you have an access to the dock. So are you just giving up and it depends how you write it up, right? So are you just giving up the dock slips and then everything else is common and owned by him and then he CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a common element. MS. MENENDEZ: Is it a common element? But MS. RUSSO: It would be a limited common element, because right now the dock slips are in the water, but realize part of the legal description of this tract is the lake. So each
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells MS. MENENDEZ: But it says property MS. RUSSO: An undivided interest in the whole remember, in a condominium MS. VELEZ: But after he sells seven docks, the only ownership interest he will have will be in his unit, his dock. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MS. RUSSO: Well, and his undivided interest, because realize every owner will have an undivided interest MS. VELEZ: In the common elements. MR. RUSSO: in the common area. And I would assume you're going to collect some type of fee?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no? MS. RUSSO: Right. It's the access, vehicle MS. MENENDEZ: There's an access point, and then you have an access to the dock. So are you just giving up and it depends how you write it up, right? So are you just giving up the dock slips and then everything else is common and owned by him and then he CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a common element. MS. MENENDEZ: Is it a common element? But MS. RUSSO: It would be a limited common element, because right now the dock slips are in the water, but realize part of the legal description of this tract is the lake. So each unit has a little bit of the, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells MS. NENENDEZ: But it says property MS. RUSSO: An undivided interest in the whole remember, in a condominium MS. VELEZ: But after he sells seven docks, the only ownership interest he will have will be in his unit, his dock. MS. RUSSO: Well, and his undivided interest, because realize every owner will have an undivided interest MS. VELEZ: In the common elements. MR. RUSSO: in the common area. And I would assume you're going to collect some type of fee? MS. RUSSO: Maintenance fee? Oh, absolutely. Absolutely. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no? MS. RUSSO: Right. It's the access, vehicle MS. MENENDEZ: There's an access point, and then you have an access to the dock. So are you just giving up and it depends how you write it up, right? So are you just giving up the dock slips and then everything else is common and owned by him and then he CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a common element. MS. MENENDEZ: Is it a common element? But MS. RUSSO: It would be a limited common element, because right now the dock slips are in the water, but realize part of the legal description of this tract is the lake. So each unit has a little bit of the, you know, underlying lake, but then everyone has a percentage, a one-eighth percentage, in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But he doesn't own a home. He just owns it as condominium. MR. COLLER: Well, he also well, does he own property MS. VELEZ: After he sells MS. MENENDEZ: But it says property MS. RUSSO: An undivided interest in the whole remember, in a condominium MS. VELEZ: But after he sells seven docks, the only ownership interest he will have will be in his unit, his dock. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MS. RUSSO: Well, and his undivided interest, because realize every owner will have an undivided interest MS. VELEZ: In the common elements. MR. RUSSO: in the common area. And I would assume you're going to collect some type of fee? MS. RUSSO: Maintenance fee? Oh, absolutely. Absolutely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 whole tract, and you're not really are you giving up only the slips to the dock you know, to that dock or are you giving up the parcels to the south, which is kind of like a roadway, no? MS. RUSSO: Right. It's the access, vehicle MS. MENENDEZ: There's an access point, and then you have an access to the dock. So are you just giving up and it depends how you write it up, right? So are you just giving up the dock slips and then everything else is common and owned by him and then he CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a common element. MS. MENENDEZ: Is it a common element? But MS. RUSSO: It would be a limited common element, because right now the dock slips are in the water, but realize part of the legal description of this tract is the lake. So each unit has a little bit of the, you know, underlying lake, but then everyone has a

	Page 133		Page 134
1	upland and the common elements.	1	MR. TRIAS: Well, you can make a
2	MR. TRIAS: But Mr. Cabarrocas is not an	2	recommendation to the Commission, whatever you
3	owner of a buildable lot. He may be in the	3	think is the best language.
4	future, but certainly not at this point, right?	4	MS. RUSSO: So this could be a buildable
5	And that to me is the distinction.	5	site, just not for a single-family house. Even
6	MS. VELEZ: Right. And we have been	6	if it meets the frontage requirement, it
7	talking about tying ownership of the slips to	7	doesn't
8	ownership of a lot. So we don't have that if	8	MR. TRIAS: The issue of the buildable site
9	you retain one of the slips.	9	was raised prior to that, and that applies to
10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you like to	10	building a house.
11	defer this issue and consider it? Would you	11	MS. MENENDEZ: That's what I was going to
12	like	12	say. That applies to building a house.
13	MR. CABARROCAS: I mean, we're going	13	MS. RUSSO: Yeah. Correct.
14	forward with the project whether	14	MS. MENENDEZ: Because here, under Article
15	MS. RUSSO: Whether he gets to keep it or	15	5, Development Standards, there's Division 25,
16	not. He may end up not being	16	that's called Private Yacht Basin, that sets
17	MS. MENENDEZ: Right.	17	forth the ability to build a private yacht
18	MR. CABARROCAS: If it becomes a major	18	basin on a single-family zone lot.
19	issue, then, you know, that decision will be	19	MR. TRIAS: As a conditional use.
20	made. If there's a way of doing it, where I	20	MS. MENENDEZ: As a conditional use and
21	still am the property owner of the parcel, but,	21	then it sets forth all of these conditions.
22	you know, I rather get the deal done, move the	22	The one condition that I do not particularly
23	project forward.	23	care for is the fueling.
24	MS. VELEZ: And how do we get around the	24	You have Matheson Hammock when you leave
25	buildable site?	25	that I'm sure you're familiar with the area.
			-
	Page 135		Page 136
			Page 130
1	When you leave that canal, the fueling station	1	two items separately, but we can have the
1 2	When you leave that canal, the fueling station is right there for Matheson Hammocks.	1 2	
		1	two items separately, but we can have the
2	is right there for Matheson Hammocks.	2	two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and
2 3	is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that.	2 3	two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel
2 3 4	is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a	2 3 4	two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the
2 3 4 5	is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech	2 3 4 5	two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use?
2 3 4 5 6	is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech now, but I just	2 3 4 5 6	two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I think that at
2 3 4 5 6 7	is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech now, but I just MS. VELEZ: And in a cul-de-sac,	2 3 4 5 6 7	two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I think that at this point, I think it's clear that we need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech now, but I just MS. VELEZ: And in a cul-de-sac, maneuvering a fuel truck in a cul-de-sac is not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I think that at this point, I think it's clear that we need to work a little bit on some of the conditions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech now, but I just MS. VELEZ: And in a cul-de-sac, maneuvering a fuel truck in a cul-de-sac is not an easy thing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I think that at this point, I think it's clear that we need to work a little bit on some of the conditions. So if you can make some recommendations. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech now, but I just MS. VELEZ: And in a cul-de-sac, maneuvering a fuel truck in a cul-de-sac is not an easy thing. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And then it's a one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I think that at this point, I think it's clear that we need to work a little bit on some of the conditions. So if you can make some recommendations. You don't necessarily have to have the final
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech now, but I just MS. VELEZ: And in a cul-de-sac, maneuvering a fuel truck in a cul-de-sac is not an easy thing. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And then it's a one way type road, and then, you know no, I just 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I think that at this point, I think it's clear that we need to work a little bit on some of the conditions. So if you can make some recommendations. You don't necessarily have to have the final language. You could make recommendations and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech now, but I just MS. VELEZ: And in a cul-de-sac, maneuvering a fuel truck in a cul-de-sac is not an easy thing. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And then it's a one way type road, and then, you know no, I just don't think it's going to work there. It's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I think that at this point, I think it's clear that we need to work a little bit on some of the conditions. So if you can make some recommendations. You don't necessarily have to have the final language. You could make recommendations and perhaps we can finalize it prior to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech now, but I just MS. VELEZ: And in a cul-de-sac, maneuvering a fuel truck in a cul-de-sac is not an easy thing. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And then it's a one way type road, and then, you know no, I just don't think it's going to work there. It's going to cause more problems than anything. I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I think that at this point, I think it's clear that we need to work a little bit on some of the conditions. So if you can make some recommendations. You don't necessarily have to have the final language. You could make recommendations and perhaps we can finalize it prior to the Commission.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech now, but I just MS. VELEZ: And in a cul-de-sac, maneuvering a fuel truck in a cul-de-sac is not an easy thing. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And then it's a one way type road, and then, you know no, I just don't think it's going to work there. It's going to cause more problems than anything. I wouldn't suggest you move forward with that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I think that at this point, I think it's clear that we need to work a little bit on some of the conditions. So if you can make some recommendations. You don't necessarily have to have the final language. You could make recommendations and perhaps we can finalize it prior to the Commission. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Maria.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech now, but I just MS. VELEZ: And in a cul-de-sac, maneuvering a fuel truck in a cul-de-sac is not an easy thing. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And then it's a one way type road, and then, you know no, I just don't think it's going to work there. It's going to cause more problems than anything. I wouldn't suggest you move forward with that item, but then we'll have to hear from the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I think that at this point, I think it's clear that we need to work a little bit on some of the conditions. So if you can make some recommendations. You don't necessarily have to have the final language. You could make recommendations and perhaps we can finalize it prior to the Commission. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Maria. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So the first one is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech now, but I just MS. VELEZ: And in a cul-de-sac, maneuvering a fuel truck in a cul-de-sac is not an easy thing. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And then it's a one way type road, and then, you know no, I just don't think it's going to work there. It's going to cause more problems than anything. I wouldn't suggest you move forward with that item, but then we'll have to hear from the other Board Members. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I think that at this point, I think it's clear that we need to work a little bit on some of the conditions. So if you can make some recommendations. You don't necessarily have to have the final language. You could make recommendations and perhaps we can finalize it prior to the Commission. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Maria. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So the first one is the Ordinance that basically calls for Text
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech now, but I just MS. VELEZ: And in a cul-de-sac, maneuvering a fuel truck in a cul-de-sac is not an easy thing. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And then it's a one way type road, and then, you know no, I just don't think it's going to work there. It's going to cause more problems than anything. I wouldn't suggest you move forward with that item, but then we'll have to hear from the other Board Members. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody want to make a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I think that at this point, I think it's clear that we need to work a little bit on some of the conditions. So if you can make some recommendations. You don't necessarily have to have the final language. You could make recommendations and perhaps we can finalize it prior to the Commission. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Maria. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So the first one is the Ordinance that basically calls for Text Amendments governing the use of the proposed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech now, but I just MS. VELEZ: And in a cul-de-sac, maneuvering a fuel truck in a cul-de-sac is not an easy thing. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And then it's a one way type road, and then, you know no, I just don't think it's going to work there. It's going to cause more problems than anything. I wouldn't suggest you move forward with that item, but then we'll have to hear from the other Board Members. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody want to make a motion? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I think that at this point, I think it's clear that we need to work a little bit on some of the conditions. So if you can make some recommendations. You don't necessarily have to have the final language. You could make recommendations and perhaps we can finalize it prior to the Commission. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Maria. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So the first one is the Ordinance that basically calls for Text Amendments governing the use of the proposed private yacht basin facility.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech now, but I just MS. VELEZ: And in a cul-de-sac, maneuvering a fuel truck in a cul-de-sac is not an easy thing. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And then it's a one way type road, and then, you know no, I just don't think it's going to work there. It's going to cause more problems than anything. I wouldn't suggest you move forward with that item, but then we'll have to hear from the other Board Members. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody want to make a motion? MS. MENENDEZ: I'll make the motion that we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I think that at this point, I think it's clear that we need to work a little bit on some of the conditions. So if you can make some recommendations. You don't necessarily have to have the final language. You could make recommendations and perhaps we can finalize it prior to the Commission. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Maria. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So the first one is the Ordinance that basically calls for Text Amendments governing the use of the proposed private yacht basin facility. So, in this area I mean, the condition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech now, but I just MS. VELEZ: And in a cul-de-sac, maneuvering a fuel truck in a cul-de-sac is not an easy thing. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And then it's a one way type road, and then, you know no, I just don't think it's going to work there. It's going to cause more problems than anything. I wouldn't suggest you move forward with that item, but then we'll have to hear from the other Board Members. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody want to make a motion? MS. MENENDEZ: I'll make the motion that we approve, subject to the ownership matter being 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I think that at this point, I think it's clear that we need to work a little bit on some of the conditions. So if you can make some recommendations. You don't necessarily have to have the final language. You could make recommendations and perhaps we can finalize it prior to the Commission. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Maria. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So the first one is the Ordinance that basically calls for Text Amendments governing the use of the proposed private yacht basin facility. So, in this area I mean, the condition that I have primarily is the ownership matter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech now, but I just MS. VELEZ: And in a cul-de-sac, maneuvering a fuel truck in a cul-de-sac is not an easy thing. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And then it's a one way type road, and then, you know no, I just don't think it's going to work there. It's going to cause more problems than anything. I wouldn't suggest you move forward with that item, but then we'll have to hear from the other Board Members. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody want to make a motion? MS. MENENDEZ: I'll make the motion that we approve, subject to the ownership matter being resolved. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I think that at this point, I think it's clear that we need to work a little bit on some of the conditions. So if you can make some recommendations. You don't necessarily have to have the final language. You could make recommendations and perhaps we can finalize it prior to the Commission. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Maria. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So the first one is the Ordinance that basically calls for Text Amendments governing the use of the proposed private yacht basin facility. So, in this area I mean, the condition that I have primarily is the ownership matter that has to be resolved and the fueling.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech now, but I just MS. VELEZ: And in a cul-de-sac, maneuvering a fuel truck in a cul-de-sac is not an easy thing. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And then it's a one way type road, and then, you know no, I just don't think it's going to work there. It's going to cause more problems than anything. I wouldn't suggest you move forward with that item, but then we'll have to hear from the other Board Members. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody want to make a motion? MS. MENENDEZ: I'll make the motion that we approve, subject to the ownership matter being resolved. MR. TRIAS: Keep in mind, there's the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I think that at this point, I think it's clear that we need to work a little bit on some of the conditions. You don't necessarily have to have the final language. You could make recommendations and perhaps we can finalize it prior to the Commission. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Maria. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So the first one is the Ordinance that basically calls for Text Amendments governing the use of the proposed private yacht basin facility. So, in this area I mean, the condition that I have primarily is the ownership matter that has to be resolved and the fueling. MR. COLLER: And I think there was a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 is right there for Matheson Hammocks. MR. GRABIEL: I agree with that. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, to come in with a fuel truck and I know they're very high tech now, but I just MS. VELEZ: And in a cul-de-sac, maneuvering a fuel truck in a cul-de-sac is not an easy thing. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And then it's a one way type road, and then, you know no, I just don't think it's going to work there. It's going to cause more problems than anything. I wouldn't suggest you move forward with that item, but then we'll have to hear from the other Board Members. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody want to make a motion? MS. MENENDEZ: I'll make the motion that we approve, subject to the ownership matter being resolved. MR. TRIAS: Keep in mind, there's the conditional use and there's the Site Specifics. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 two items separately, but we can have the conditions in both. I mean, it's belts and suspenders. I don't know Ramon, do you feel that the conditions should match both, in the Site Specifics and the Conditional Use? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I think that at this point, I think it's clear that we need to work a little bit on some of the conditions. So if you can make some recommendations. You don't necessarily have to have the final language. You could make recommendations and perhaps we can finalize it prior to the Commission. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Maria. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So the first one is the Ordinance that basically calls for Text Amendments governing the use of the proposed private yacht basin facility. So, in this area I mean, the condition that I have primarily is the ownership matter that has to be resolved and the fueling. MR. COLLER: And I think there was a prohibition for having lifts.

	Page 137		Page 138
1	MS. MENENDEZ: And prohibition for any	1	understand when you form condominiums, it's
2	lifts.	2	very typical, it's the way it's done, but I
3	MS. VELEZ: Leasing.	3	think, in this specific case, if you're putting
4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Leasing.	4	a restriction running with the land, you know,
5	MS. MENENDEZ: You should try to keep it	5	and with the covenant, then I think that the
6	consistent with what's happening across from	6	developer should abide by it, at the bare
7	you, on the other side, that was approved,	7	minimum. That's only my opinion.
8	would be my recommendation.	8	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Is that your
9	But I don't know, if anybody has	9	condition?
10	conditions, throw them in, because I haven't	10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah, that's a
11	really thought of all of the conditions, if	11	condition that I would have to put there.
12	there's any more.	12	MS. RUSSO: So that's the second. I'm
13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would not be	13	keeping track. So we have no lifts or any type
14	favorable to letting them you know, putting	14	of motorized
15	it in someone's hand to resolve the form of	15	MS. MENENDEZ: No fuel dispensing.
16	ownership.	16	MS. RUSSO: No fuel dispensing, and now
17	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay.	17	ownership is with
18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: To me, it's either you	18	MS. VELEZ: Tied to a buildable lot.
19	have a restriction to where you have to own a	19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: To a buildable lot.
20	parcel of land, which I don't mind, which is a	20	Yeah, I don't mind it being an empty lot, but
21	buildable parcel of land or a home to own a	21	it has to be a real lot, a buildable lot.
22	unit or you don't.	22	MS. VELEZ: Within Hammock Oaks.
23	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay.	23	MS. RUSSO: Yes, within Hammock Oaks. So
24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I understand	24	it's adding the buildable parcel with the
25	it's no disrespect to the developer, and I	25	Hammock Oaks Subdivision.
23	its no antespeet to the developer, and I		Hammock Oaks Subdivision.
	Page 139		
	Fage 159		Page 140
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that	1	Page 140 a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can
1 2	2	1 2	
	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that		a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can
2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific	2	a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there.
2 3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips.	2 3	a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner.
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips.	2 3 4	a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners.	2 3 4 5	a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home.
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. MENENDEZ: Regardless of ownership?	2 3 4 5 6	a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home. That person has the exclusive use of the dock.
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. MENENDEZ: Regardless of ownership? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me just elaborate.	2 3 4 5 6 7	a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home. That person has the exclusive use of the dock. If they don't use it, the owner can't use it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. MENENDEZ: Regardless of ownership? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me just elaborate. If a home is leased to Individual A and that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home. That person has the exclusive use of the dock. If they don't use it, the owner can't use it. MS. VELEZ: But the dock is separate and it
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. MENENDEZ: Regardless of ownership? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me just elaborate. If a home is leased to Individual A and that home owns that dock, I am okay with that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home. That person has the exclusive use of the dock. If they don't use it, the owner can't use it. MS. VELEZ: But the dock is separate and it will have a separate folio number from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. MENENDEZ: Regardless of ownership? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me just elaborate. If a home is leased to Individual A and that home owns that dock, I am okay with that individual that's living there leasing that home putting his boat in that dock. MS. VELEZ: What happens if that person who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home. That person has the exclusive use of the dock. If they don't use it, the owner can't use it. MS. VELEZ: But the dock is separate and it will have a separate folio number from the home.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. MENENDEZ: Regardless of ownership? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me just elaborate. If a home is leased to Individual A and that home owns that dock, I am okay with that individual that's living there leasing that home putting his boat in that dock.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home. That person has the exclusive use of the dock. If they don't use it, the owner can't use it. MS. VELEZ: But the dock is separate and it will have a separate folio number from the home. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But can't you put that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. MENENDEZ: Regardless of ownership? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me just elaborate. If a home is leased to Individual A and that home owns that dock, I am okay with that individual that's living there leasing that home putting his boat in that dock. MS. VELEZ: What happens if that person who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home. That person has the exclusive use of the dock. If they don't use it, the owner can't use it. MS. VELEZ: But the dock is separate and it will have a separate folio number from the home. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But can't you put that in a covenant, a deed restriction.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. MENENDEZ: Regardless of ownership? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me just elaborate. If a home is leased to Individual A and that home owns that dock, I am okay with that individual that's living there leasing that home putting his boat in that dock. MS. VELEZ: What happens if that person who leases the home doesn't have a boat, but you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home. That person has the exclusive use of the dock. If they don't use it, the owner can't use it. MS. VELEZ: But the dock is separate and it will have a separate folio number from the home. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But can't you put that in a covenant, a deed restriction. MS. VELEZ: IT will be totally separate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. MENENDEZ: Regardless of ownership? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me just elaborate. If a home is leased to Individual A and that home owns that dock, I am okay with that individual that's living there leasing that home putting his boat in that dock. MS. VELEZ: What happens if that person who leases the home doesn't have a boat, but you, as the owner, want to continue keeping your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home. That person has the exclusive use of the dock. If they don't use it, the owner can't use it. MS. VELEZ: But the dock is separate and it will have a separate folio number from the home. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But can't you put that in a covenant, a deed restriction. MS. VELEZ: IT will be totally separate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It can be put in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. MENENDEZ: Regardless of ownership? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me just elaborate. If a home is leased to Individual A and that home owns that dock, I am okay with that individual that's living there leasing that home putting his boat in that dock. MS. VELEZ: What happens if that person who leases the home doesn't have a boat, but you, as the owner, want to continue keeping your boat there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home. That person has the exclusive use of the dock. If they don't use it, the owner can't use it. MS. VELEZ: But the dock is separate and it will have a separate folio number from the home. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But can't you put that in a covenant, a deed restriction. MS. VELEZ: IT will be totally separate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It can be put in a deed restriction.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. MENENDEZ: Regardless of ownership? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me just elaborate. If a home is leased to Individual A and that home owns that dock, I am okay with that individual that's living there leasing that home putting his boat in that dock. MS. VELEZ: What happens if that person who leases the home doesn't have a boat, but you, as the owner, want to continue keeping your boat there? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's a good point. MS. RUSSO: But are you leasing your dock? You're not, because you're leasing your home,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home. That person has the exclusive use of the dock. If they don't use it, the owner can't use it. MS. VELEZ: But the dock is separate and it will have a separate folio number from the home. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But can't you put that in a covenant, a deed restriction. MS. VELEZ: IT will be totally separate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It can be put in a deed restriction. MS. MENENDEZ: But what is the objective, though? I mean, what are you trying to achieve?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. MENENDEZ: Regardless of ownership? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me just elaborate. If a home is leased to Individual A and that home owns that dock, I am okay with that individual that's living there leasing that home putting his boat in that dock. MS. VELEZ: What happens if that person who leases the home doesn't have a boat, but you, as the owner, want to continue keeping your boat there? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's a good point. MS. RUSSO: But are you leasing your dock? You're not, because you're leasing your home, but you're not leasing the dock.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home. That person has the exclusive use of the dock. If they don't use it, the owner can't use it. MS. VELEZ: But the dock is separate and it will have a separate folio number from the home. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But can't you put that in a covenant, a deed restriction. MS. VELEZ: IT will be totally separate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It can be put in a deed restriction. MS. MENENDEZ: But what is the objective, though? I mean, what are you trying to achieve? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: To have more people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. MENENDEZ: Regardless of ownership? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me just elaborate. If a home is leased to Individual A and that home owns that dock, I am okay with that individual that's living there leasing that home putting his boat in that dock. MS. VELEZ: What happens if that person who leases the home doesn't have a boat, but you, as the owner, want to continue keeping your boat there? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's a good point. MS. RUSSO: But are you leasing your dock? You're not, because you're leasing your home,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home. That person has the exclusive use of the dock. If they don't use it, the owner can't use it. MS. VELEZ: But the dock is separate and it will have a separate folio number from the home. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But can't you put that in a covenant, a deed restriction. MS. VELEZ: IT will be totally separate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It can be put in a deed restriction. MS. MENENDEZ: But what is the objective, though? I mean, what are you trying to achieve?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. MENENDEZ: Regardless of ownership? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me just elaborate. If a home is leased to Individual A and that home owns that dock, I am okay with that individual that's living there leasing that home putting his boat in that dock. MS. VELEZ: What happens if that person who leases the home doesn't have a boat, but you, as the owner, want to continue keeping your boat there? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's a good point. MS. RUSSO: But are you leasing your dock? You're not, because you're leasing your home, but you're not leasing the dock. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think it should run together.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home. That person has the exclusive use of the dock. If they don't use it, the owner can't use it. MS. VELEZ: But the dock is separate and it will have a separate folio number from the home. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But can't you put that in a covenant, a deed restriction. MS. VELEZ: IT will be totally separate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It can be put in a deed restriction. MS. MENENDEZ: But what is the objective, though? I mean, what are you trying to achieve? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: To have more people coming back and forth to that specificMS. MENENDEZ: I see.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. MENENDEZ: Regardless of ownership? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me just elaborate. If a home is leased to Individual A and that home owns that dock, I am okay with that individual that's living there leasing that home putting his boat in that dock. MS. VELEZ: What happens if that person who leases the home doesn't have a boat, but you, as the owner, want to continue keeping your boat there? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's a good point. MS. RUSSO: But are you leasing your dock? You're not, because you're leasing your home, but you're not leasing the dock. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think it should run together. MS. RUSSO: The issue is whether you allow	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home. That person has the exclusive use of the dock. If they don't use it, the owner can't use it. MS. VELEZ: But the dock is separate and it will have a separate folio number from the home. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But can't you put that in a covenant, a deed restriction. MS. VELEZ: IT will be totally separate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It can be put in a deed restriction. MS. MENENDEZ: But what is the objective, though? I mean, what are you trying to achieve? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: To have more people coming back and forth to that specific MS. MENENDEZ: I see. MS. VELEZ: Right. Because if I'm the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. MENENDEZ: Regardless of ownership? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me just elaborate. If a home is leased to Individual A and that home owns that dock, I am okay with that individual that's living there leasing that home putting his boat in that dock. MS. VELEZ: What happens if that person who leases the home doesn't have a boat, but you, as the owner, want to continue keeping your boat there? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's a good point. MS. RUSSO: But are you leasing your dock? You're not, because you're leasing your dock? You're not, because you're leasing your home, but you're not leasing the dock. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think it should run together. MS. RUSSO: The issue is whether you allow people, other boats that aren't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home. That person has the exclusive use of the dock. If they don't use it, the owner can't use it. MS. VELEZ: But the dock is separate and it will have a separate folio number from the home. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But can't you put that in a covenant, a deed restriction. MS. VELEZ: IT will be totally separate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It can be put in a deed restriction. MS. MENENDEZ: But what is the objective, though? I mean, what are you trying to achieve? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: To have more people coming back and forth to that specificMS. MENENDEZ: I see. MS. VELEZ: Right. Because if I'm the property owner of the house, but I'm not living
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR, GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. MENENDEZ: Regardless of ownership? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me just elaborate. If a home is leased to Individual A and that home owns that dock, I am okay with that individual that's living there leasing that home putting his boat in that dock. MS. VELEZ: What happens if that person who leases the home doesn't have a boat, but you, as the owner, want to continue keeping your boat there? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's a good point. MS. RUSSO: But are you leasing your dock? You're not, because you're leasing your dock? You're not, because you're leasing your home, but you're not leasing the dock. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think it should run together. MS. RUSSO: The issue is whether you allow people, other boats that aren't MS. VELEZ: Right. But what I'm saying is,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home. That person has the exclusive use of the dock. If they don't use it, the owner can't use it. MS. VELEZ: But the dock is separate and it will have a separate folio number from the home. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But can't you put that in a covenant, a deed restriction. MS. VELEZ: IT will be totally separate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It can be put in a deed restriction. MS. MENENDEZ: But what is the objective, though? I mean, what are you trying to achieve? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: To have more people coming back and forth to that specificMS. MENENDEZ: I see. MS. VELEZ: Right. Because if I'm the property owner of the house, but I'm not living in the house, I will need to bring a car into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And no leasing of that specific MR. GRABIEL: Of any of the slips. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Of any of the slips. MS. VELEZ: By the owners. MS. MENENDEZ: Regardless of ownership? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me just elaborate. If a home is leased to Individual A and that home owns that dock, I am okay with that individual that's living there leasing that home putting his boat in that dock. MS. VELEZ: What happens if that person who leases the home doesn't have a boat, but you, as the owner, want to continue keeping your boat there? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's a good point. MS. RUSSO: But are you leasing your dock? You're not, because you're leasing your dock? You're not, because you're leasing your home, but you're not leasing the dock. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think it should run together. MS. RUSSO: The issue is whether you allow people, other boats that aren't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 a boat, but I have my boat there. So I can continue to use my boat and keep my boat there. I am still a property owner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. For me, it should go one way only. You lease the home. That person has the exclusive use of the dock. If they don't use it, the owner can't use it. MS. VELEZ: But the dock is separate and it will have a separate folio number from the home. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But can't you put that in a covenant, a deed restriction. MS. VELEZ: IT will be totally separate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It can be put in a deed restriction. MS. MENENDEZ: But what is the objective, though? I mean, what are you trying to achieve? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: To have more people coming back and forth to that specificMS. MENENDEZ: I see. MS. VELEZ: Right. Because if I'm the property owner of the house, but I'm not living

1 MS. MENENDEZ 1 sec. 1 lives in Hammock Oaks wants to lease the spot 2 CHARMAN ALZENSTAT: You're already 2 to another property owner in Hammock Oaks, who 3 MS. MENENDEZ: I sec. (Kay.) 4 either? 5 MS. ANDERSON: If is like adding a homestead 5 MS. MENENDEZ: From what I'm hearing is, you probably would permit it, because he lives 7 you guidify for homestead, so therefore you get 5 MS. RUSSO: An the's coming in. He already 9 CHARMAN AZENSTAT: Yeah, you know, I 5 MS. RUSSO: An the's coming in. He already 11 is - MS. RUSSO: Law write it out. What 11 reads right now, and I know we're going to 12 MS. RUSSO: So that would be the only ime 1 11 reads right now, and I know we're going to 13 you're swign is, if you lease the house, 13 MS. RUSSO: Who his hing there. 14 either that lesse is going to use the dock or 14 MS. RUSSO: Who his hing there. 14 either that lesse is going to use the only ime 1 MS. RUSSO: We could make that, lessing 15 mS. RUSSO: Co it 14 MS. RUSSO: We could make that, lessing 16 eregar 143		Page 141		Page 142		
2 CHARMAN AIZENSTAT: You're already 2 to another property owner in Hammack Oaks, who 3 exating more raffic. 3 4 MS. MNENRENZ: I see. Okay. 3 5 MS. ANDERSON: I's like adding a homestead 5 MS. MN:NENRENZ: From what 'In hearing is, you qualify for homestead. so therefore you get to own one of those dock slips. 6 7 you qualify for homestead. so therefore you get to own one of those dock slips. 7 10 10 don't know how to write it up, but my point 10 MS. RUSSO: I can write it out. What 11 reads right now, and Haxow were going to one the dock or 14 12 MS. RUSSO: I can write it out. What 12 reads right now, and Haxow were going to one the dock or 13 you're axing is, if you're area to dock or 14 MS. RUSSO: Who is a Hammock Oaks - 14 ether that lesses is ging to use the dock or 15 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You were the only time 16 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Now, as a 0 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. 21 acquote ternant of the house, as a 0 0 22 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Corect. 20 MS. RUSSO: Cori have ada to the anoty or ada to the ada to thada to the ada	1	MS. MENENDEZ: I see.	1	lives in Hammock Oaks wants to lease the spot		
3 creating more traffic. 3 resides in it, are we not permitting that 4 MS. MENENDEZ. I see. Okay. 5 5 MS. ANDERSON. It is like adding a homestead 6 7 you qualify for homestad, so therefore you get 6 6 10 60 7 MS. RUSSO: And he's coming in. Ite already 9 CHARMAN AZENSTAT: Yeah, you know. I 11 is - 12 MS. RUSSO: I can write it our. What 13 you're saying is, if you lease the boxes, 14 either that lease is going to use the dock or 15 he's no, hut modey dock can. 16 CHAIRMAN AZENSTAT: Not even the owner. 17 MS. RUSSO: So that would be the only time 18 ac, qot, creant, would be allowed to use the 19 dock, is if he were the trann of the homes, as 21 MR. RUSSO: So that would be the only time 22 CHAIRMAN AZENSTAT: Not event the owner. 23 MS, RUSSO Co ti. 24 MR. COLLER: So I have one question with 24 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I 25 rege 1423 26 ord that: So if a property owner that 27 MR. COLLER: So i fyou're a resident - 36 MR. RUAZENS				-		
4 MS. MERENDEZ: I see. Okay. 4 either? 5 MS. ANDERSON: It's like adding a homestead 5 MS. MENENDEZ: From what I'm heating is, you qualify for homestead, so therefore you get 7 you qualify for homestead, so therefore you get 5 MS. MENENDEZ: From what I'm heating is, you how now or fire does does slips. 10 don't know how to write it up, but my point 10 MS. RUSSO: And he's coming in. He already 11 is - MS. RUSSO: Can write it ou. What 10 12 MS. RUSSO: Can write it ou. What 12 reveak it, it says that you can lease it to 13 you're saying is, if you base the house, 13 somebody who is a Harmnock Ouks - 14 either that lesset is going to use the dock or 14 MS. RUSSO: Who's in'mg there. 15 he's not, but nobody else can. 15 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT: Not even the owner. 16 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT: Correct. 20 MS. RUSSO: Woo's in the owner heating of the losse, as 19 dock, if the were the tenam of the house, as 10 MS. RUSSO: We could make that, leasing 21 th. 21 officult thing to enforce for the City. I 18 22 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT: Correct. 22 words - because you could make that, leasing 23 MK. RUASSO: So that would be adding a home becada.<	3	-				
6 requirement on there. If you live in the home, you qualify for homestead, so therefore you get 6 you probably would permit it, because he lives in the area. 7 you moof those does kisps. 7 in the area. 8 to orw one of those does kisps. 7 in the area. 9 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT. Yeah, you know, I 9 7 MR. COLLER: Because the way the condition 10 don't know how to write it up, but my point 10 MR. COLLER: So it you lease the house, 11 11 is -SSO: I can write it out. What 12 tweak it, it says that you can lease it to 11 serving sign is, if you lease the house, a 13 somedody who is a Harmonck Oaks - 12 MS. RUSSO: So that would be the only time 16 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT. Not even the owner. 13 you're agoing it you be allowed to use the 16 Owner there that doesn't have a dock slip. 14 ether than obody else can. 17 MS. RUSSO: So that would be the only time 17 14 aquete, tenam, twend be house, as 16 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT. 17 15 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT. 17 MS. RUSSO: We could make that, leasing 16 cHARMAN ALZENSTAT. 17 MS. RUSSO: We could make that, leasing 17 MS. RUSSO: So that would be the only time <td>4</td> <td>0</td> <td>4</td> <td></td>	4	0	4			
6 requirement on there. If you live in the home, you qualify for homestead, so therefore you get 6 you probably would permit it, because he lives in the area. 7 you moof those does kisps. 7 in the area. 8 to orw one of those does kisps. 7 in the area. 9 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT. Yeah, you know, I 9 7 MR. COLLER: Because the way the condition 10 don't know how to write it up, but my point 10 MR. COLLER: So it you lease the house, 11 11 is -SSO: I can write it out. What 12 tweak it, it says that you can lease it to 11 serving sign is, if you lease the house, a 13 somedody who is a Harmonck Oaks - 12 MS. RUSSO: So that would be the only time 16 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT. Not even the owner. 13 you're agoing it you be allowed to use the 16 Owner there that doesn't have a dock slip. 14 ether than obody else can. 17 MS. RUSSO: So that would be the only time 17 14 aquete, tenam, twend be house, as 16 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT. 17 15 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT. 17 MS. RUSSO: We could make that, leasing 16 cHARMAN ALZENSTAT. 17 MS. RUSSO: We could make that, leasing 17 MS. RUSSO: So that would be the only time <td>5</td> <td>-</td> <td>5</td> <td>MS. MENENDEZ: From what I'm hearing is,</td>	5	-	5	MS. MENENDEZ: From what I'm hearing is,		
7 you qualify for homestead, so therefore you get 7 in the area. 8 to own one of hose dock sign. 6 9 CHAIRMAN AZENSTAT: Yeah, you know, I 10 11 is - 10 12 MS. RUSSO: I can write it up, but my point 10 13 either that lesses is going to use the house, 11 14 either that lesses is going to use the dock or 14 15 he's not, but nobody else can. 15 16 CHAIRMAN AZENSTAT: Not even the owner. 16 17 MS. RUSSO: On that would be the only time 18 18 a, quote, tenant, would be allowed to use the 18 19 dock, is if he were the tenant of the house, as 19 21 TLAIRMAN AZENSTAT: Correct. 20 22 CHAIRMAN AZENSTAT: Correct. 21 23 have it leased to someone clse, and want to 24 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I 1 25 rege 143 Page 144 26 MS. RUSSO: You regoing to have decals. 27 MS. RUSSO: You's going to have decals. 28 M	6		6			
9 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah, you know, I 9 lives there. 10 don't know how to write it up, but my point 10 MR. COLLER: Recause the way the condition 11 ires that 10 MR. COLLER: Recause the way the condition 12 MS. RUSSO: I can write it out. What 12 somebody who is a Harmock Oaks 13 you're saying is, if you lease the house, 13 somebody who is a Harmock Oaks 14 either that lesse is going to use the dock or 14 MS. RUSSO: You dock slip. 15 the's not, but nobody else can. 15 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not even the owner. 16 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not even the owner. 16 owner there that doesn't have a dock slip. 19 dock, is if he were the trant of the house, as 19 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. 20 20 well. Even the owner cannot continue to use 18 NR.SCOS: OS: OW could make that, leasing 21 it. 21 only to someone oke, and want to 22 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. 22 MS. RUSSO: Owne could own the property and 23 have it haded to have it aded to so our seve what Tm saying? 23 24 MR. COLLER: So I have one question with 24 lease do you see what Tm saying? 25 MR. TKLAS: Wr. Attorn	7		7			
9 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah, you know, I 9 lives there. 10 don't know how to write it up, but my point 10 MR. COLLER: Recause the way the condition 11 ires that 10 MR. COLLER: Recause the way the condition 12 MS. RUSSO: I can write it out. What 12 somebody who is a Harmock Oaks 13 you're saying is, if you lease the house, 13 somebody who is a Harmock Oaks 14 either that lesse is going to use the dock or 14 MS. RUSSO: You dock slip. 15 the's not, but nobody else can. 15 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not even the owner. 16 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not even the owner. 16 owner there that doesn't have a dock slip. 19 dock, is if he were the trant of the house, as 19 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. 20 20 well. Even the owner cannot continue to use 18 NR.SCOS: OS: OW could make that, leasing 21 it. 21 only to someone oke, and want to 22 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. 22 MS. RUSSO: Owne could own the property and 23 have it haded to have it aded to so our seve what Tm saying? 23 24 MR. COLLER: So I have one question with 24 lease do you see what Tm saying? 25 MR. TKLAS: Wr. Attorn	8	to own one of those dock slips.	8	MS. RUSSO: And he's coming in. He already		
11 is - 11 reads right now, and 1 know we're going to 12 MS. RUSSO: 1 can write it out. What 12 reads right now, and 1 know we're going to 12 MS. RUSSO: 1 can write it out. What 12 reads right now, and 1 know we're going to 13 yoor esaying is, if you lease the house, 13 somebody who is a Hammock Oaks 14 either that lessee is going to use the dock or 14 MS. RUSSO: Who's living there. 15 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT: Not even the owner. 16 owner three that doesn't have a dock silp. 18 a. quote, tranat, would be allowed to use the 19 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT: Yes. 20 well. Even the owner cannot continue to use 20 MS. RUSSO: We could make that, leasing 21 it. 21 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT: Yes. 22 22 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT: Correct. 22 MS. RUSSO: Got it. 23 have it leased to someone else, and want to 24 MR. COLLER: So I have one question with 24 lease - do you see what Thi saying? 25 rege 143 Page 144 Page 144 14 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I 1 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT: Romon, let me	9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah, you know, I	9			
12 MS. RUSSO: I can write it out. What 12 tweak it, it says that you can lease it to 13 you're saying is, if you lease the house, 13 somebody who is a Hammock Calks 14 either that lesse is going to use the dock or 14 MS. RUSSO: Who's living there. 15 he's not, but nobody else can. 15 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not even the owner. 16 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not even the owner. 16 owner there that doesn't have a dock slip. 17 MS. RUSSO: So that would be allowed to use the 0 objective. 18 a, quote, tenart, would be allowed to use the 17 MS. RUSSO: We could make that, leasing 18 i. 18 outy to someone who is residing. In other 21 i. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. 22 23 MS. RUSSO: So that 23 have it leased to someone else, and want to 24 Bacedo you see what I'm saying? 24 Bacedo you see what I'm saying? 24 MR. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. 3 MR. TRIAS: We say the ask you a question. 3 MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to have decals, 3 MR. TRIAS: Yes. 4 ask you,	10	don't know how to write it up, but my point	10	MR. COLLER: Because the way the condition		
13 you're saying is, if you lease the house, 13 somebody who is a Hammock Oaks 14 either that lesse is going to use the dock or 14 MS, RUSSO: Who's intring there. 15 he's not, but nobody else can. 15 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not even the owner. 16 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not even the owner. 16 owner there that doesn't have a dock slip. 17 MS, RUSSO: So that would be the only time 17 MS, MENENDEZ: Because it meets your 18 a, quote, tenant, would be allowed to use the 18 owner there that doesn't have a dock slip. 19 dock, is if he were the tenant of the house, as 19 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. 20 well. Even the owner cannot continue to use 20 MS, RUSSO: Words 'nease you could own the property and 21 it. 21 only to someone who is reading. In other words - because you could own the property and 22 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. 23 Ms. RUSSO: Got it. 23 have it leased to someone else, and want to 24 MR. COLLER: So I have one question with 24 lease - do you see what 'm saying? MS. COLLER: I's going to ba very 25 regge 143 11 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTA	11	is	11	reads right now, and I know we're going to		
14 either that lessee is going to use the dock or 14 MS. RUSSO: Who's living there. 15 he's not, but nobody else can. 15 CHAIRMAN AZENSTAT: The day if he's an 16 CHAIRMAN AZENSTAT: Not even the owner. 16 owner there that doesn't have a dock slip. 17 MS. RUSSO: So that would be the only time 17 MS. MENENDEZ: Because it meets your 18 a, quote, tenant, would be allowed to use the 18 objective. 20 well. Even the owner cannot continue to use 20 MS. RUSSO: We could make that, leasing 21 it. 21 only to someone who is residing. In other 22 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. 22 words - because you could own the property and 24 MR. COLLER: So I have one question with 24 lease - do you see what I'm saying? 25 regard to that. So if a property owner that 25 MR. COLLER: Reging to a very Page 143 Page 144 1 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I 1 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. Advectore of the City. I 1 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all <td <="" colspan="2" td=""><td>12</td><td>MS. RUSSO: I can write it out. What</td><td>12</td><td>tweak it, it says that you can lease it to</td></td>	<td>12</td> <td>MS. RUSSO: I can write it out. What</td> <td>12</td> <td>tweak it, it says that you can lease it to</td>		12	MS. RUSSO: I can write it out. What	12	tweak it, it says that you can lease it to
15 he's not, but nobody els can. 15 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not even the owner. 16 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not even the owner. 16 owner there that desets have a dock slip. 17 MS. RUSSO: So that would be the only time 17 MS. MENENDEZ: Because it meets your 18 a, quote, tenant, would be allowed to use the 18 owner there that desets have a dock slip. 19 dock, is if he were the tenant of the house, as 19 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. 20 well. Even the owner cannot continue to use 20 MS. RUSSO: We could make that, leasing 21 it. 21 in. 21 22 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. 22 words because you could own the property and have it leased to someone who is residing. In other 23 MS. RUSSO: Go ti. 23 have it leased to someone who are say ou a question. 24 MR. COLLER: So I have on question with 24 lease - do you see what I'm saying? 25 regard to that. So if a property owner that 25 MR. COLLER: H's going to be a very 24 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I 11 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. 25 MS. COLLER: Net, that's pr	13	you're saying is, if you lease the house,	13	somebody who is a Hammock Oaks		
16 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not even the owner. 16 owner there that doesn't have a dock slip. 17 MS. RUSSO: So that would be the only time 17 MS. MENRINDEZ: Because it meets your 18 a, quote, tenant, would be allowed to use the 18 objective. 19 dock, is if he were the tenant of the house, as 19 OHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. 20 well. Even the owner cannot continue to use 20 MS. RUSSO: Go could make that, leasing 21 it. 21 only to someone who is residing. In other 22 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. 22 words - because you could own the property and 23 MS. RUSSO: Got it. 23 have it leased to someone else, and want to 24 MR. COLLER: So I have one question with 24 lease - do you see what I'm saying? 25 regard to that. So if a property owner that 25 MR. COLLER: I's going to be a very Page 143 2 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I 1 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, ket me ask you a question. 3 MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? 4 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, ket me ask you 4	14	either that lessee is going to use the dock or	14	MS. RUSSO: Who's living there.		
17 MS. RUSSO: So that would be the only time 17 MS. MENENDEZ: Because it meets your 18 a, quote, tenant, would be allowed to use the 18 19 dock, is if he were the tenant of the house, as 19 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, 20 well. Even the owner cannot continue to use 20 MS. RUSSO: We could make that, leasing 21 it. 21 only to someone who is residing. In other 22 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. 22 words - because you could own the property and 23 MS. RUSSO: Go tit. 23 have it leased to someone who is residing. In other 24 MR. COLLER: So I have one question with 24 lease - do you see what Tm snying? 25 regard to that. So if a property owner that 25 MR. COLLER: It's going to be a very Page 143 Page 144 1 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you 3 MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to 3 MR. TRIAS: Yes, Mather and this, it's a very good 5 MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. 5 MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from 3 6 MS. MENENDEZ: You know, eliminating or 10	15	he's not, but nobody else can.	15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'm okay if he's an		
18 a. quote, tenant, would be allowed to use the 18 objective. 19 dock, is if he were the tenant of the house, as 19 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT: Yes. 20 well. Even the owner cannot continue to use 20 MS. RUSSO: We could make that, leasing 21 it. 21 it. 22 22 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT: Correct. 22 words because you could own the property and 23 MS. RUSSO: Got it. 23 have it leased to someone else, and want to 24 MR. COLLER: So I have one question with 24 lease do you see what I'm saying? 25 regard to that. So if a property owner that 25 MR. COLLER: It's going to be a very Page 143 Page 144 1 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I 1 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. 3 MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to 3 MR. TRIAS: Wr. Attorney, I was going to 3 4 ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? 4 CHAIRMAN ALZENSTAT: How do you enforce all 5 MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. 6 MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from 9	16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not even the owner.	16	owner there that doesn't have a dock slip.		
19 dock, is if he were the tenant of the house, as 19 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. 20 well. Even the owner cannot continue to use 20 MS. RUSSO: We could make that, leasing 21 it. 21 only to someone who is residing. In other 22 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. 22 words - because you could own the property and 23 MS. RUSSO: Got it. 23 have it leased to someone else, and want to 24 MR COLLER: So I have one question with 24 lease - do you see what I'm saying? 25 regard to that. So if a property owner that 25 MR. COLLER: I's going to be a very Page 143 Page 144 1 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I 1 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. 3 MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to a squestion. 3 MR. TRIAS: Yes. 4 ask you, how doy oup propse to enforce it? 4 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all or - 5 MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. 6 MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from 8 9 what I understand, and I think it's a very good 9 then Code Enforcement, and	17	MS. RUSSO: So that would be the only time	17	MS. MENENDEZ: Because it meets your		
20 well. Even the owner cannot continue to use 20 MS. RUSSO: We could make that, leasing 21 it. 21 only to someome who is residing. In other 22 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. 22 words because you could own the property and 23 MS. RUSSO: Got it. 23 have it leased to someone else, and want to 24 MR. COLLER: So I have one question with 24 lease do you see what I'm saying? 25 regar 143 Page 144 lease do you see what I'm saying? Page 143 Page 144 1 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I 1 2 think that's the problem. 2 a question. 3 MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to 3 MR. TRIAS: Yes. 4 ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? 4 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all 5 MS. CULER: Yes, that's problematic. 5 of this? 6 6 MS. NENNEDEZ: No, the objective is, from 8 would make a complaint to Code Enforcement. 7 MR. TRIAS: Yes. 1 MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor 9<	18	a, quote, tenant, would be allowed to use the	18	objective.		
21 it. 21 only to someone who is residing. In other 22 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. 22 words because you could own the property and 23 MS. RUSSO: Got it. 22 words because you could own the property and 24 MR. COLLER: So I have one question with 24 lease do you see what I'm saying? 25 regard to that. So if a property owner that 25 MR. COLLER: I's going to be a very Page 143 Page 143 Page 143 Page 144 1 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. 3 MR. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. 6 6 MR. TRIAS: Yes. 7 6 MR. TRIAS: Yes. 6 MR. TRIAS: Yes. 6 7 7 7 7 7	19	dock, is if he were the tenant of the house, as	19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.		
22 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. 22 Words - because you could own the property and have it leased to someone else, and want to lease - do you see what I'm saying? 23 MR. COLLER: So I have one question with regard to that. So if a property owner that 23 MR. COLLER: I's going to be a very 24 MR. COLLER: So I have one question with regard to that. So if a property owner that 24 Iease - do you see what I'm saying? 25 regard to that. So if a property owner that 25 MR. COLLER: I's going to be a very Page 143 Page 144 1 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I 2 a question. 3 MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to 3 MR. TRIAS: Yes. 4 ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? 4 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? 5 MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. 5 of this? 6 MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from 9 what I understand, and I think i's a very good 9 then Code Enforcement. 1 minimizing the cars coming in. 11 MR. COLLER: Right. 12 12 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic. 12 MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could 13	20	well. Even the owner cannot continue to use	20	MS. RUSSO: We could make that, leasing		
23 MS. RUSSO: Got it. 23 have it leased to someone else, and want to 24 MR. COLLER: So I have one question with 24 lease - do you see what I'm saying? 25 regard to that. So if a property owner that 25 MR. COLLER: It's going to be a very Page 143 Page 143 Page 144 1 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I 1 cHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. 3 MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to 3 MR. TRIAS: Yes. 4 ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? 4 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? 5 MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. 6 MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from 9 what I understand, and I think it's a very good 9 then Code Enforcement, and 10 objective, is, you know, eliminating or 10 MR. COLLER: Right. 12 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic. 11 MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident 14 don't live there, then likely you have to come 14 person, the roving patrol, have a list of 15 in a car. 11 MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the	21	it.	21	only to someone who is residing. In other		
24 MR. COLLER: So I have one question with regard to that. So if a property owner that 24 lease do you see what I'm saying? 25 MR. COLLER: It's going to be a very Page 143 Page 143 Page 143 Page 144 1 CHAIRMAN AZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. 3 MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. 3 MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. 3 MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? 6 MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. 6 MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and the Questions, are you are resident, are you - 11 minimizing the cars coming in. 12 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic. 13	22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.	22			
25 regard to that. So if a property owner that 25 MR. COLLER: It's going to be a very Page 143 Page 143 Page 144 1 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I 1 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. 3 MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to 3 MR. TRIAS: Yes. 4 ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? 4 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? 6 MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. 5 of this? 6 MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals. 5 of this? 7 So either have the decal or 7 MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor 8 MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from 8 would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and 9 what I understand, and I think it's a very good 9 then Code Enforcement would go there and ask 10 objective, is, you know, eliminating or 11 MR. COLLER: Right. 12 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic. 13 formalize it a little by having the guard 14 don't live there, then likely you have to come 14 person, the roving patrol	23	MS. RUSSO: Got it.	23	have it leased to someone else, and want to		
Page 143Page 1441difficult thing to enforce for the City. I1CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you2think that's the problem.2a question.3MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to3MR. TRIAS: Yes.4ask you, how do you propose to enforce it?4CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all5MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic.566MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals.6MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement.7So either have the decal or7MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor8MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from8would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and9what I understand, and I think it's a very good9then Code Enforcement would go there and ask10objective, is, you know, eliminating or10the questions, are you a resident, are you11minimizing the cars coming in.11MR. COLLER: Right.12CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic.12MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could13MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you13formalize i a little by having the guard14don't live there, then likely you have to come14person, the roving patrol, have a list of15in a car.15owners or people that are - the eight people16MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident16that are supposed to have slips there.17MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good17MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the <td>24</td> <td>MR. COLLER: So I have one question with</td> <td>24</td> <td>lease do you see what I'm saying?</td>	24	MR. COLLER: So I have one question with	24	lease do you see what I'm saying?		
1difficult thing to enforce for the City. I1CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you2think that's the problem.2a question.3MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to3MR. TRIAS: Yes.4ask you, how do you propose to enforce it?4CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all5MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic.5of this?6MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals.6MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement.7So either have the decal or7MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor8MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from8would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and9what I understand, and I think it's a very good9then Code Enforcement would go there and ask10objective, is, you know, eliminating or10the questions, are you a resident, are you11minimizing the cars coming in.11MR. COLLER: Right.12CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic.12MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could13MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you13formalize it a little by having the guard14don't live there, then likely you have to come14person, the roving patrol, have a list of15in a car.15owners or people that are the eight people16MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident16that are supposed to have slips there.17MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good17MR. TRIAS: Yee.And that would be the18question, but -	25	regard to that. So if a property owner that	25	MR. COLLER: It's going to be a very		
2think that's the problem.2a question.3MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to3MR. TRIAS: Yes.4ask you, how do you propose to enforce it?4CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all5MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic.5of this?6MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals.6MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement.7So either have the decal or7MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor8MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from8would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and9what I understand, and I think it's a very good9then Code Enforcement would go there and ask10objective, is, you know, eliminating or10the questions, are you a resident, are you11minimizing the cars coming in.11MR. COLLER: Right.12CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic.12MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could13MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you13formalize it a little by having the guard14don't live there, then likely you have to come14person, the roving patrol, have a list of15in a car.15owners or people that are the eight people16MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident16that are supposed to have slips there.17MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good17MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the18question, but19terms of a City enforcement.20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with <td></td> <td>Page 143</td> <td></td> <td>Dage 144</td>		Page 143		Dage 144		
2think that's the problem.2a question.3MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to3MR. TRIAS: Yes.4ask you, how do you propose to enforce it?4CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all5MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic.5of this?6MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals.6MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement.7So either have the decal or7MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor8MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from8would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and9what I understand, and I think it's a very good9then Code Enforcement would go there and ask10objective, is, you know, eliminating or10the questions, are you a resident, are you11minimizing the cars coming in.11MR. COLLER: Right.12CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic.12MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could13MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you13formalize it a little by having the guard14don't live there, then likely you have to come14person, the roving patrol, have a list of15in a car.15owners or people that are the eight people16MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident16that are supposed to have slips there.17MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good17MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the18question, but19terms of a City enforcement.20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>Page 144</td>		-		Page 144		
4ask you, how do you propose to enforce it?4CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all5MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic.5of this?6MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals.6MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement.7So either have the decal or7MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor8MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from8would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and9what I understand, and I think it's a very good9then Code Enforcement would go there and ask10objective, is, you know, eliminating or10the questions, are you a resident, are you11minimizing the cars coming in.11MR. COLLER: Right.12CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic.12MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you1313MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you13formalize it a little by having the guard14don't live there, then likely you have to come14person, the roving patrol, have a list of15in a car.15owners or people that are the eight people16MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident16that are supposed to have slips there.19MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of19terms of a City enforcement.20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with20MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and21your address on it. So you are a resident. So21ask for that list. I mean, you can basically22I don't think you're prohibiting somebody22 <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>_</td>	1		1	_		
4ask you, how do you propose to enforce it?4CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all5MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic.5of this?6MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals.6MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement.7So either have the decal or7MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor8MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from8would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and9what I understand, and I think it's a very good9then Code Enforcement would go there and ask10objective, is, you know, eliminating or10the questions, are you a resident, are you11minimizing the cars coming in.11MR. COLLER: Right.12CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic.12MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you1313MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you13formalize it a little by having the guard14don't live there, then likely you have to come14person, the roving patrol, have a list of15in a car.15owners or people that are the eight people16MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident16that are supposed to have slips there.19MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of19terms of a City enforcement.20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with20MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and21your address on it. So you are a resident. So21ask for that list. I mean, you can basically22I don't think you're prohibiting somebody22 <td></td> <td>difficult thing to enforce for the City. I</td> <td></td> <td>CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you</td>		difficult thing to enforce for the City. I		CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you		
6MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals.6MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement.7So either have the decal or7MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor8MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from8would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and9what I understand, and I think it's a very good9then Code Enforcement would go there and ask10objective, is, you know, eliminating or10the questions, are you a resident, are you11minimizing the cars coming in.11MR. COLLER: Right.12CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic.12MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could13MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you13formalize it a little by having the guard14don't live there, then likely you have to come14person, the roving patrol, have a list of15in a car.15owners or people that are the eight people16MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident16that are supposed to have slips there.17MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good17MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the18question, but18private enforcement.20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with20MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and21your address on it. So you are a resident. So21ask for that list. I mean, you can basically22I don't think you're prohibiting somebody22say, can I see the list of the owners for the23that and this would be a way to enforce it,	2	difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem.	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question.		
7So either have the decal or7MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor8MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from8would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and9what I understand, and I think it's a very good9then Code Enforcement would go there and ask10objective, is, you know, eliminating or10the questions, are you a resident, are you11minimizing the cars coming in.11MR. COLLER: Right.12CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic.12MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could13MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you13formalize it a little by having the guard14don't live there, then likely you have to come14person, the roving patrol, have a list of15in a car.15owners or people that are the eight people16MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident16that are supposed to have slips there.17MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good17MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the18question, but18private enforcement.20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with20MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and21your address on it. So you are a resident. So21ask for that list. I mean, you can basically22I don't think you're prohibiting somebody22say, can I see the list of the owners for the23that and this would be a way to enforce it,23slips, for enforcement purposes.24if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say,	2 3	difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to	2 3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes.		
8MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from8would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and9what I understand, and I think it's a very good9then Code Enforcement would go there and ask10objective, is, you know, eliminating or10the questions, are you a resident, are you11minimizing the cars coming in.11MR. COLLER: Right.12CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic.12MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could13MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you13formalize it a little by having the guard14don't live there, then likely you have to come14person, the roving patrol, have a list of15in a car.15owners or people that are the eight people16MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident16that are supposed to have slips there.17MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good17MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the18question, but18private enforcement.20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with20MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and21your address on it. So you are a resident. So21ask for that list. I mean, you can basically22I don't think you're prohibiting somebody22say, can I see the list of the owners for the23that and this would be a way to enforce it,23slips, for enforcement purposes.24if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say,24MR. TRIAS: Sure.	2 3 4	difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it?	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all		
9what I understand, and I think it's a very good9then Code Enforcement would go there and ask10objective, is, you know, eliminating or10the questions, are you a resident, are you11minimizing the cars coming in.11MR. COLLER: Right.12CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic.12MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could13MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you13formalize it a little by having the guard14don't live there, then likely you have to come14person, the roving patrol, have a list of15in a car.15owners or people that are the eight people16MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident16that are supposed to have slips there.17MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good17MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the18question, but18private enforcement. I was speaking more in19MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of19terms of a City enforcement.20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with20MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and21your address on it. So you are a resident. So21ask for that list. I mean, you can basically22I don't think you're prohibiting somebody22say, can I see the list of the owners for the23that and this would be a way to enforce it,23slips, for enforcement purposes.24if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say,24MR. TRIAS: Sure.	2 3 4 5	difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic.	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this?		
10objective, is, you know, eliminating or10the questions, are you a resident, are you11minimizing the cars coming in.11MR. COLLER: Right.12CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic.12MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could13MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you13formalize it a little by having the guard14don't live there, then likely you have to come14person, the roving patrol, have a list of15in a car.15owners or people that are the eight people16MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident16that are supposed to have slips there.17MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good17MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the18question, but18private enforcement. I was speaking more in19MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of19terms of a City enforcement.20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with20MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and21your address on it. So you are a resident. So21ask for that list. I mean, you can basically22I don't think you're prohibiting somebody22say, can I see the list of the owners for the23that and this would be a way to enforce it,23slips, for enforcement purposes.24if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say,24MR. TRIAS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6	difficult thing to enforce for the City. Ithink that's the problem.MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going toask you, how do you propose to enforce it?MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic.MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals.	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement.		
11minimizing the cars coming in.11MR. COLLER: Right.12CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic.12MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could13MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you13formalize it a little by having the guard14don't live there, then likely you have to come14person, the roving patrol, have a list of15in a car.15owners or people that are the eight people16MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident16that are supposed to have slips there.17MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good17MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the18question, but18private enforcement. I was speaking more in19MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of19terms of a City enforcement.20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with20MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and21your address on it. So you are a resident. So21ask for that list. I mean, you can basically22I don't think you're prohibiting somebody22say, can I see the list of the owners for the23that and this would be a way to enforce it,23slips, for enforcement purposes.24if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say,24MR. TRIAS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7	difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals. So either have the decal or	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement. MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor		
12CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic.12MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could13MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you13formalize it a little by having the guard14don't live there, then likely you have to come14person, the roving patrol, have a list of15in a car.15owners or people that are the eight people16MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident16that are supposed to have slips there.17MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good17MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the18question, but18private enforcement. I was speaking more in19MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of19terms of a City enforcement.20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with20MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and21your address on it. So you are a resident. So21ask for that list. I mean, you can basically23that and this would be a way to enforce it,23slips, for enforcement purposes.24if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say,24MR. TRIAS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals. So either have the decal or MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement. MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and		
13MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you13formalize it a little by having the guard14don't live there, then likely you have to come14person, the roving patrol, have a list of15in a car.15owners or people that are the eight people16MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident16that are supposed to have slips there.17MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good17MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the18question, but18private enforcement. I was speaking more in19MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of19terms of a City enforcement.20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with20MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and21your address on it. So you are a resident. So21ask for that list. I mean, you can basically23that and this would be a way to enforce it,23slips, for enforcement purposes.24if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say,24MR. TRIAS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals. So either have the decal or MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from what I understand, and I think it's a very good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement. MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and then Code Enforcement would go there and ask		
14don't live there, then likely you have to come14person, the roving patrol, have a list of15in a car.15owners or people that are the eight people16MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident16that are supposed to have slips there.17MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good17MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the18question, but18private enforcement. I was speaking more in19MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of19terms of a City enforcement.20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with20MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and21your address on it. So you are a resident. So21ask for that list. I mean, you can basically22I don't think you're prohibiting somebody22say, can I see the list of the owners for the23that and this would be a way to enforce it,23slips, for enforcement purposes.24if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say,24MR. TRIAS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals. So either have the decal or MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from what I understand, and I think it's a very good objective, is, you know, eliminating or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement. MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and then Code Enforcement would go there and ask the questions, are you a resident, are you		
15in a car.15owners or people that are the eight people16MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident16that are supposed to have slips there.17MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good17MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the18question, but18private enforcement. I was speaking more in19MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of19terms of a City enforcement.20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with20MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and21your address on it. So you are a resident. So21ask for that list. I mean, you can basically22I don't think you're prohibiting somebody22say, can I see the list of the owners for the23that and this would be a way to enforce it,23slips, for enforcement purposes.24if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say,24MR. TRIAS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals. So either have the decal or MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from what I understand, and I think it's a very good objective, is, you know, eliminating or minimizing the cars coming in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement. MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and then Code Enforcement would go there and ask the questions, are you a resident, are you MR. COLLER: Right.		
16MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident16that are supposed to have slips there.17MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good17MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the18question, but18private enforcement. I was speaking more in19MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of19terms of a City enforcement.20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with20MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and21your address on it. So you are a resident. So21ask for that list. I mean, you can basically22I don't think you're prohibiting somebody22say, can I see the list of the owners for the23that and this would be a way to enforce it,23slips, for enforcement purposes.24if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say,24MR. TRIAS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals. So either have the decal or MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from what I understand, and I think it's a very good objective, is, you know, eliminating or minimizing the cars coming in. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement. MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and then Code Enforcement would go there and ask the questions, are you a resident, are you MR. COLLER: Right. MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could		
17MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good17MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the18question, but18private enforcement. I was speaking more in19MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of19terms of a City enforcement.20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with20MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and21your address on it. So you are a resident. So21ask for that list. I mean, you can basically22I don't think you're prohibiting somebody22say, can I see the list of the owners for the23that and this would be a way to enforce it,23slips, for enforcement purposes.24if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say,24MR. TRIAS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals. So either have the decal or MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from what I understand, and I think it's a very good objective, is, you know, eliminating or minimizing the cars coming in. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement. MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and then Code Enforcement would go there and ask the questions, are you a resident, are you MR. COLLER: Right. MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could formalize it a little by having the guard person, the roving patrol, have a list of		
18question, but18private enforcement. I was speaking more in19MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of19terms of a City enforcement.20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with20MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and21your address on it. So you are a resident. So21ask for that list. I mean, you can basically22I don't think you're prohibiting somebody22say, can I see the list of the owners for the23that and this would be a way to enforce it,23slips, for enforcement purposes.24if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say,24MR. TRIAS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals. So either have the decal or MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from what I understand, and I think it's a very good objective, is, you know, eliminating or minimizing the cars coming in. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you don't live there, then likely you have to come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement. MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and then Code Enforcement would go there and ask the questions, are you a resident, are you MR. COLLER: Right. MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could formalize it a little by having the guard person, the roving patrol, have a list of		
19MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of19terms of a City enforcement.20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with20MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and21your address on it. So you are a resident. So21ask for that list. I mean, you can basically22I don't think you're prohibiting somebody22say, can I see the list of the owners for the23that and this would be a way to enforce it,23slips, for enforcement purposes.24if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say,24MR. TRIAS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals. So either have the decal or MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from what I understand, and I think it's a very good objective, is, you know, eliminating or minimizing the cars coming in. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you don't live there, then likely you have to come in a car. MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement. MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and then Code Enforcement would go there and ask the questions, are you a resident, are you MR. COLLER: Right. MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could formalize it a little by having the guard person, the roving patrol, have a list of owners or people that are the eight people that are supposed to have slips there.		
20Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with your address on it. So you are a resident. So20MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and ask for that list. I mean, you can basically21Jon't think you're prohibiting somebody21ask for that list. I mean, you can basically23I don't think would be a way to enforce it,23say, can I see the list of the owners for the24if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say,24MR. TRIAS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals. So either have the decal or MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from what I understand, and I think it's a very good objective, is, you know, eliminating or minimizing the cars coming in. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you don't live there, then likely you have to come in a car. MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement. MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and then Code Enforcement would go there and ask the questions, are you a resident, are you MR. COLLER: Right. MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could formalize it a little by having the guard person, the roving patrol, have a list of owners or people that are the eight people that are supposed to have slips there. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the		
21your address on it. So you are a resident. So21ask for that list. I mean, you can basically22I don't think you're prohibiting somebody22say, can I see the list of the owners for the23that and this would be a way to enforce it,23slips, for enforcement purposes.24if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say,24MR. TRIAS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals. So either have the decal or MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from what I understand, and I think it's a very good objective, is, you know, eliminating or minimizing the cars coming in. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you don't live there, then likely you have to come in a car. MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good question, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement. MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and then Code Enforcement would go there and ask the questions, are you a resident, are you MR. COLLER: Right. MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could formalize it a little by having the guard person, the roving patrol, have a list of owners or people that are the eight people that are supposed to have slips there. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the private enforcement. I was speaking more in		
22I don't think you're prohibiting somebody22say, can I see the list of the owners for the23that and this would be a way to enforce it,23slips, for enforcement purposes.24if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say,24MR. TRIAS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals. So either have the decal or MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from what I understand, and I think it's a very good objective, is, you know, eliminating or minimizing the cars coming in. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you don't live there, then likely you have to come in a car. MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good question, but MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement. MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and then Code Enforcement would go there and ask the questions, are you a resident, are you MR. COLLER: Right. MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could formalize it a little by having the guard person, the roving patrol, have a list of owners or people that are the eight people that are supposed to have slips there. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the private enforcement. I was speaking more in terms of a City enforcement.		
23that and this would be a way to enforce it,23slips, for enforcement purposes.24if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say,24MR. TRIAS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals. So either have the decal or MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from what I understand, and I think it's a very good objective, is, you know, eliminating or minimizing the cars coming in. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you don't live there, then likely you have to come in a car. MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good question, but MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement. MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and then Code Enforcement would go there and ask the questions, are you a resident, are you MR. COLLER: Right. MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could formalize it a little by having the guard person, the roving patrol, have a list of owners or people that are the eight people that are supposed to have slips there. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the private enforcement. I was speaking more in terms of a City enforcement.		
24 if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say, 24 MR. TRIAS: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals. So either have the decal or MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from what I understand, and I think it's a very good objective, is, you know, eliminating or minimizing the cars coming in. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you don't live there, then likely you have to come in a car. MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good question, but MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with your address on it. So you are a resident. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement. MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and then Code Enforcement would go there and ask the questions, are you a resident, are you MR. COLLER: Right. MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could formalize it a little by having the guard person, the roving patrol, have a list of owners or people that are the eight people that are supposed to have slips there. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the private enforcement. I was speaking more in terms of a City enforcement. 		
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals. So either have the decal or MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from what I understand, and I think it's a very good objective, is, you know, eliminating or minimizing the cars coming in. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you don't live there, then likely you have to come in a car. MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good question, but MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with your address on it. So you are a resident. So I don't think you're prohibiting somebody 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement. MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and then Code Enforcement would go there and ask the questions, are you a resident, are you MR. COLLER: Right. MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could formalize it a little by having the guard person, the roving patrol, have a list of owners or people that are the eight people that are supposed to have slips there. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the private enforcement. I was speaking more in terms of a City enforcement. MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and ask for that list. I mean, you can basically say, can I see the list of the owners for the		
25 Iet's 25 MS. RUSSO: I mean, you know, Code	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals. So either have the decal or MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from what I understand, and I think it's a very good objective, is, you know, eliminating or minimizing the cars coming in. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you don't live there, then likely you have to come in a car. MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good question, but MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with your address on it. So you are a resident. So I don't think you're prohibiting somebody that and this would be a way to enforce it, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement. MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and then Code Enforcement would go there and ask the questions, are you a resident, are you MR. COLLER: Right. MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could formalize it a little by having the guard person, the roving patrol, have a list of owners or people that are the eight people that are supposed to have slips there. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the private enforcement. I was speaking more in terms of a City enforcement. MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and ask for that list. I mean, you can basically say, can I see the list of the owners for the slips, for enforcement purposes.		
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 difficult thing to enforce for the City. I think that's the problem. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, I was going to ask you, how do you propose to enforce it? MS. COLLER: Yes, that's problematic. MS. RUSSO: You're going to have decals. So either have the decal or MS. MENENDEZ: No, the objective is, from what I understand, and I think it's a very good objective, is, you know, eliminating or minimizing the cars coming in. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Traffic. MS. MENENDEZ: You know, because if you don't live there, then likely you have to come in a car. MR. COLLER: So if you're a resident MS. MENENDEZ: To enforce it, that's a good question, but MR. COLLER: If you're a resident of Hammock Oaks, you have a driver's license with your address on it. So you are a resident. So I don't think you're prohibiting somebody that and this would be a way to enforce it, if somebody is there with a boat, they'd say, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask you a question. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How do you enforce all of this? MS. MENENDEZ: Code Enforcement. MR. TRIAS: I would assume that a neighbor would make a complaint to Code Enforcement, and then Code Enforcement would go there and ask the questions, are you a resident, are you MR. COLLER: Right. MS. MENENDEZ: No, I think you could formalize it a little by having the guard person, the roving patrol, have a list of owners or people that are the eight people that are supposed to have slips there. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that would be the private enforcement. I was speaking more in iterms of a City enforcement. MS. MENENDEZ: No, but you can come in and ask for that list. I mean, you can basically say, can I see the list of the owners for the slips, for enforcement purposes. MR. TRIAS: Sure. 		

	Page 145		Page 146
1	Enforcement also knows how to use the	1	
2	Miami-Dade County site. You can pull up the	2	is not to have the boats up. I mean, it's a marina where the boats stay in the water.
3	ownership in two minutes on your phone. So	3	MS. RUSSO: Yeah, the intent is not to have
4	they know. They're good at doing that.	4	the boats
5	MS. MENENDEZ: Yeah.	5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Exactly.
6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. So your	6	MS. MENENDEZ: It's to minimize the
7	recommendation is with the conditions that we	7	commercialized
8	have set forth.	8	MS. RUSSO: Right. It's to make it look
9		9	like boats docked. Okay. So no lifts, and
10	MS. MENENDEZ: Set forth, yes. And she's written them down. If she wants to repeat	10	I'll follow that language
11	that, please, for us.		MR. TRIAS: Yes, follow the Code Language.
12	MS. RUSSO: I will repeat them. So we have	12	It addresses most of those issues.
13	-	13	
14	no lifts or any motorized, and I'll find a word to be added to the dock.	14	MS. RUSSO: Okay. Then no fueling. So no fueling permitted. So whoever wants to fuel
		15	
15 16	MR. TRIAS: Just follow the Code language,	16	has to go to Matheson Hammocks. MS. MENENDEZ: Right there. You have to
	no davits MS. MENENDEZ: No davits.	17	
17 18	MS. MENENDEZ: No davits. MS. RUSSO: No davits, no lifts. I'll	18	see it when you leave. It's right there. MS. VELEZ: You pass it.
			-
19 20	follow the Code language. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me just interject	19 20	(Simultaneous speaking.)
		20	MS. RUSSO: Well, if you can afford a dock,
21	something. What about the pontoons that lift		you can afford that.
22	out of the water that bring the boats up?	22	MS. MENENDEZ: Exactly.
23	MS. RUSSO: Is that in the water?	23	MS. RUSSO: I'm just saying.
24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's motorized,	24	Then we will make the ownership subject
25	also, though. It's in the water, but the idea	25	to the ownership of a dock will be tied to
		1	
	Page 147		Page 148
1	Page 147 owning a buildable parcel of land within the	1	Page 148 MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit
1 2		1 2	
	owning a buildable parcel of land within the		MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit
2	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision.	2	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City
2 3	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home.	2 3	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we
2 3 4	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A	2 3 4	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now
2 3 4 5	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot,	2 3 4 5	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions.
2 3 4 5 6	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot, okay.	2 3 4 5 6	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What other conditions
2 3 4 5 6 7	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot, okay. And then there will be no leasing of the	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What other conditions do you have?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot, okay. And then there will be no leasing of the dock unit separate from leasing the house that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What other conditions do you have? MS. RUSSO: No lift, davits, et cetera as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot, okay. And then there will be no leasing of the dock unit separate from leasing the house that is tied to the unit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What other conditions do you have? MS. RUSSO: No lift, davits, et cetera as set forth in the Zoning Code, and I'll copy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot, okay. And then there will be no leasing of the dock unit separate from leasing the house that is tied to the unit. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What other conditions do you have? MS. RUSSO: No lift, davits, et cetera as set forth in the Zoning Code, and I'll copy that language; no fueling permitted on site or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot, okay. And then there will be no leasing of the dock unit separate from leasing the house that is tied to the unit. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MS. VELEZ: So all of these things are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What other conditions do you have? MS. RUSSO: No lift, davits, et cetera as set forth in the Zoning Code, and I'll copy that language; no fueling permitted on site or from the street. So I'll clarify, it can't be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot, okay. And then there will be no leasing of the dock unit separate from leasing the house that is tied to the unit. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MS. VELEZ: So all of these things are being put into the proposed Text Amendment?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What other conditions do you have? MS. RUSSO: No lift, davits, et cetera as set forth in the Zoning Code, and I'll copy that language; no fueling permitted on site or from the street. So I'll clarify, it can't be from the street.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot, okay. And then there will be no leasing of the dock unit separate from leasing the house that is tied to the unit. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MS. VELEZ: So all of these things are being put into the proposed Text Amendment? MS. RUSSO: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What other conditions do you have? MS. RUSSO: No lift, davits, et cetera as set forth in the Zoning Code, and I'll copy that language; no fueling permitted on site or from the street. So I'll clarify, it can't be from the street. MS. MENENDEZ: Or canal.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot, okay. And then there will be no leasing of the dock unit separate from leasing the house that is tied to the unit. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MS. VELEZ: So all of these things are being put into the proposed Text Amendment? MS. RUSSO: Correct. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's only our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What other conditions do you have? MS. RUSSO: No lift, davits, et cetera as set forth in the Zoning Code, and I'll copy that language; no fueling permitted on site or from the street. So I'll clarify, it can't be from the street. MS. MENENDEZ: Or canal. MS. RUSSO: Or canal. So no fueling
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot, okay. And then there will be no leasing of the dock unit separate from leasing the house that is tied to the unit. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MS. VELEZ: So all of these things are being put into the proposed Text Amendment? MS. RUSSO: Correct. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's only our recommendation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What other conditions do you have? MS. RUSSO: No lift, davits, et cetera as set forth in the Zoning Code, and I'll copy that language; no fueling permitted on site or from the street. So I'll clarify, it can't be from the street. MS. MENENDEZ: Or canal. MS. RUSSO: Or canal. So no fueling permitted either on site, canal or street, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot, okay. And then there will be no leasing of the dock unit separate from leasing the house that is tied to the unit. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MS. VELEZ: So all of these things are being put into the proposed Text Amendment? MS. RUSSO: Correct. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's only our recommendation. MS. VELEZ: Because we need to remember,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What other conditions do you have? MS. RUSSO: No lift, davits, et cetera as set forth in the Zoning Code, and I'll copy that language; no fueling permitted on site or from the street. So I'll clarify, it can't be from the street. MS. MENENDEZ: Or canal. MS. RUSSO: Or canal. So no fueling permitted either on site, canal or street, so we cover all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot, okay. And then there will be no leasing of the dock unit separate from leasing the house that is tied to the unit. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MS. VELEZ: So all of these things are being put into the proposed Text Amendment? MS. RUSSO: Correct. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's only our recommendation. MS. VELEZ: Because we need to remember, after the Declaration of Condominium goes into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What other conditions do you have? MS. RUSSO: No lift, davits, et cetera as set forth in the Zoning Code, and I'll copy that language; no fueling permitted on site or from the street. So I'll clarify, it can't be from the street. MS. MENENDEZ: Or canal. MS. RUSSO: Or canal. So no fueling permitted either on site, canal or street, so we cover all CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the sale of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot, okay. And then there will be no leasing of the dock unit separate from leasing the house that is tied to the unit. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MS. VELEZ: So all of these things are being put into the proposed Text Amendment? MS. RUSSO: Correct. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's only our recommendation. MS. VELEZ: Because we need to remember, after the Declaration of Condominium goes into place, it can always be amended. So we need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What other conditions do you have? MS. RUSSO: No lift, davits, et cetera as set forth in the Zoning Code, and I'll copy that language; no fueling permitted on site or from the street. So I'll clarify, it can't be from the street. MS. MENENDEZ: Or canal. MS. RUSSO: Or canal. So no fueling permitted either on site, canal or street, so we cover all CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the sale of the home
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot, okay. And then there will be no leasing of the dock unit separate from leasing the house that is tied to the unit. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MS. VELEZ: So all of these things are being put into the proposed Text Amendment? MS. RUSSO: Correct. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's only our recommendation. MS. VELEZ: Because we need to remember, after the Declaration of Condominium goes into place, it can always be amended. So we need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What other conditions do you have? MS. RUSSO: No lift, davits, et cetera as set forth in the Zoning Code, and I'll copy that language; no fueling permitted on site or from the street. So I'll clarify, it can't be from the street. MS. MENENDEZ: Or canal. MS. RUSSO: Or canal. So no fueling permitted either on site, canal or street, so we cover all CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the sale of the home MS. RUSSO: Ownership is subject to it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot, okay. And then there will be no leasing of the dock unit separate from leasing the house that is tied to the unit. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MS. VELEZ: So all of these things are being put into the proposed Text Amendment? MS. RUSSO: Correct. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's only our recommendation. MS. VELEZ: Because we need to remember, after the Declaration of Condominium goes into place, it can always be amended. So we need to MS. RUSSO: Well, that's why it's going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What other conditions do you have? MS. RUSSO: No lift, davits, et cetera as set forth in the Zoning Code, and I'll copy that language; no fueling permitted on site or from the street. So I'll clarify, it can't be from the street. MS. MENENDEZ: Or canal. MS. RUSSO: Or canal. So no fueling permitted either on site, canal or street, so we cover all CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the sale of the home MS. RUSSO: Ownership is subject to it is tied to a buildable parcel or an existing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot, okay. And then there will be no leasing of the dock unit separate from leasing the house that is tied to the unit. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MS. VELEZ: So all of these things are being put into the proposed Text Amendment? MS. RUSSO: Correct. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's only our recommendation. MS. VELEZ: Because we need to remember, after the Declaration of Condominium goes into place, it can always be amended. So we need to MS. RUSSO: Well, that's why it's going to be in the Text Amendment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What other conditions do you have? MS. RUSSO: No lift, davits, et cetera as set forth in the Zoning Code, and I'll copy that language; no fueling permitted on site or from the street. So I'll clarify, it can't be from the street. MS. MENENDEZ: Or canal. MS. RUSSO: Or canal. So no fueling permitted either on site, canal or street, so we cover all CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the sale of the home MS. RUSSO: Ownership is subject to it is tied to a buildable parcel or an existing improved lot with a single-family home within
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot, okay. And then there will be no leasing of the dock unit separate from leasing the house that is tied to the unit. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MS. VELEZ: So all of these things are being put into the proposed Text Amendment? MS. RUSSO: Correct. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's only our recommendation. MS. VELEZ: Because we need to remember, after the Declaration of Condominium goes into place, it can always be amended. So we need to MS. RUSSO: Well, that's why it's going to be in the Text Amendment. MS. VELEZ: That's why it has to be in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What other conditions do you have? MS. RUSSO: No lift, davits, et cetera as set forth in the Zoning Code, and I'll copy that language; no fueling permitted on site or from the street. So I'll clarify, it can't be from the street. MS. MENENDEZ: Or canal. MS. RUSSO: Or canal. So no fueling permitted either on site, canal or street, so we cover all CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the sale of the home MS. RUSSO: Ownership is subject to it is tied to a buildable parcel or an existing improved lot with a single-family home within Hammock Oaks subdivision, and no leasing of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 owning a buildable parcel of land within the Hammock Oaks subdivision. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or an existing home. MS. RUSSO: Or an existing home, yeah. A buildable parcel or existing improved lot, okay. And then there will be no leasing of the dock unit separate from leasing the house that is tied to the unit. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MS. VELEZ: So all of these things are being put into the proposed Text Amendment? MS. RUSSO: Correct. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's only our recommendation. MS. VELEZ: Because we need to remember, after the Declaration of Condominium goes into place, it can always be amended. So we need to MS. RUSSO: Well, that's why it's going to be in the Text Amendment. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. RUSSO: Right. And I will submit another clean version to Staff and the City Attorney for them to review the changes that we made to what's submitted, because this is now the revised conditions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What other conditions do you have? MS. RUSSO: No lift, davits, et cetera as set forth in the Zoning Code, and I'll copy that language; no fueling permitted on site or from the street. So I'll clarify, it can't be from the street. MS. MENENDEZ: Or canal. MS. RUSSO: Or canal. So no fueling permitted either on site, canal or street, so we cover all CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the sale of the home MS. RUSSO: Ownership is subject to it is tied to a buildable parcel or an existing improved lot with a single-family home within Hammock Oaks subdivision, and no leasing of the dock separate from the house to which the dock

	Page 149		Page 150
1	sold, the lot can't be retained and sold later.	1	the dock to be able to at least lease to a
2	MS. RUSSO: Right, you have to sell the	2	property owner that lives in Hammock Oaks?
3	dock first.	3	Isn't that your intent?
4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct, or it goes	4	MS. RUSSO: Yes.
5	with the home.	5	MR. COLLER: So we'll have to modify the
6	MS. RUSSO: You have to sell the dock first	6	language to clarify that part.
7	and then you're just a property owner selling	7	MS. RUSSO: Because that doesn't bring
8	your house. If you sell the dock, you have to	8	additional traffic, which is the concern.
9	sell it first.	9	MS. VELEZ: Exactly.
10	MS. VELEZ: Or you can sell the dock with	10	MS. MENENDEZ: The objective is the
11	the lot, with your home.	11	traffic.
12	MS. RUSSO: Yeah, right.	12	MS. RUSSO: Okay. I'll work on that and
13	MS. VELEZ: You just cannot sell the home	13	let Ramon and you massage it.
14	and retain ownership of the dock.	14	MR. COLLER: Okay.
15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And keep the dock.	15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other condition?
16	MS. RUSSO: Unless you own two homes	16	MS. ANDERSON: Right.
17	MR. COLLER: Just so you're aware, by doing	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, let her put it
18	that condition you're prohibiting the leasing	18	in her motion.
19	to another person in Hammock Oaks. If that's	19	MS. MENENDEZ: Any other condition?
20	not your intent, we'll have to modify no	20	MS. ANDERSON: The width of the dock. We
20	leasing, except to property owners that live	20	spoke about going beyond the 60 inches to
21	and have boats.	21	what's your client willing to do?
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We'll work that out.	23	MS. RUSSO: Are you willing to increase the
23		23	width of the actual dock, the long
24 25	MR. COLLER: We'll work that out, but your	24	MS. ANDERSON: The long strip, because the
20	intent is to allow a property owner that owns	25	WS. ANDERSON. The long strip, because the
	Page 151		Page 152
1	minimum, under the ADA, is five feet.	1	5
1 2	minimum, under the ADA, is five feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing	1 2	wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation.
			wider than that, then you have go through
2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing	2	wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation. MR. TRIAS: 60 inches. I believe that's
2 3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If	2 3	wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation.
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much,	2 3 4	wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation. MR. TRIAS: 60 inches. I believe that's what she was saying, right? You were
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it.	2 3 4 5	wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation. MR. TRIAS: 60 inches. I believe that's what she was saying, right? You were recommending 60 inches, which is five feet?
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6	wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation. MR. TRIAS: 60 inches. I believe that's what she was saying, right? You were recommending 60 inches, which is five feet? MS. ANDERSON: It's a recommendation under
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. MS. RUSSO: Subject to DERM approval.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation. MR. TRIAS: 60 inches. I believe that's what she was saying, right? You were recommending 60 inches, which is five feet? MS. ANDERSON: It's a recommendation under the ADA, which is a Federal provision.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. MS. RUSSO: Subject to DERM approval. MS. ANDERSON: Subject to DERM approval.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation. MR. TRIAS: 60 inches. I believe that's what she was saying, right? You were recommending 60 inches, which is five feet? MS. ANDERSON: It's a recommendation under the ADA, which is a Federal provision. MR. TRIAS: Five feet is the standard size
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. MS. RUSSO: Subject to DERM approval. MS. ANDERSON: Subject to DERM approval. Just note, for DERM, that it is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation. MR. TRIAS: 60 inches. I believe that's what she was saying, right? You were recommending 60 inches, which is five feet? MS. ANDERSON: It's a recommendation under the ADA, which is a Federal provision. MR. TRIAS: Five feet is the standard size for a dock.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. MS. RUSSO: Subject to DERM approval. MS. ANDERSON: Subject to DERM approval. Just note, for DERM, that it is a recommendation under the ADA.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation. MR. TRIAS: 60 inches. I believe that's what she was saying, right? You were recommending 60 inches, which is five feet? MS. ANDERSON: It's a recommendation under the ADA, which is a Federal provision. MR. TRIAS: Five feet is the standard size for a dock. MS. RUSSO: It's 60 inches.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. MS. RUSSO: Subject to DERM approval. MS. ANDERSON: Subject to DERM approval. Just note, for DERM, that it is a recommendation under the ADA. MR. COLLER: Do we have a width of the dock	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation. MR. TRIAS: 60 inches. I believe that's what she was saying, right? You were recommending 60 inches, which is five feet? MS. ANDERSON: It's a recommendation under the ADA, which is a Federal provision. MR. TRIAS: Five feet is the standard size for a dock. MS. RUSSO: It's 60 inches. MS. MENENDEZ: Try to see if you can do it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. MS. RUSSO: Subject to DERM approval. MS. ANDERSON: Subject to DERM approval. Just note, for DERM, that it is a recommendation under the ADA. MR. COLLER: Do we have a width of the dock that we're saying? Is it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation. MR. TRIAS: 60 inches. I believe that's what she was saying, right? You were recommending 60 inches, which is five feet? MS. ANDERSON: It's a recommendation under the ADA, which is a Federal provision. MR. TRIAS: Five feet is the standard size for a dock. MS. RUSSO: It's 60 inches. MS. MENENDEZ: Try to see if you can do it. MS. ANDERSON: Right, but your ramp going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. MS. RUSSO: Subject to DERM approval. MS. ANDERSON: Subject to DERM approval. Just note, for DERM, that it is a recommendation under the ADA. MR. COLLER: Do we have a width of the dock that we're saying? Is it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: ADA compliance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	 wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation. MR. TRIAS: 60 inches. I believe that's what she was saying, right? You were recommending 60 inches, which is five feet? MS. ANDERSON: It's a recommendation under the ADA, which is a Federal provision. MR. TRIAS: Five feet is the standard size for a dock. MS. RUSSO: It's 60 inches. MS. MENENDEZ: Try to see if you can do it. MS. ANDERSON: Right, but your ramp going up to the dock area are ten feet and then they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. MS. RUSSO: Subject to DERM approval. MS. ANDERSON: Subject to DERM approval. Just note, for DERM, that it is a recommendation under the ADA. MR. COLLER: Do we have a width of the dock that we're saying? Is it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: ADA compliance. MS. RUSSO: Right now the dock is five	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 wider than that, then you have go through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. MS. RUSSO: Subject to DERM approval. MS. ANDERSON: Subject to DERM approval. Just note, for DERM, that it is a recommendation under the ADA. MR. COLLER: Do we have a width of the dock that we're saying? Is it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: ADA compliance. MS. RUSSO: Right now the dock is five feet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 wider than that, then you have go through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. MS. RUSSO: Subject to DERM approval. MS. ANDERSON: Subject to DERM approval. Just note, for DERM, that it is a recommendation under the ADA. MR. COLLER: Do we have a width of the dock that we're saying? Is it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: ADA compliance. MS. RUSSO: Right now the dock is five feet. MR. COLLER: Well, that is technically ADA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation. MR. TRIAS: 60 inches. I believe that's what she was saying, right? You were recommending 60 inches, which is five feet? MS. ANDERSON: It's a recommendation under the ADA, which is a Federal provision. MR. TRIAS: Five feet is the standard size for a dock. MS. RUSSO: It's 60 inches. MS. MENENDEZ: Try to see if you can do it. MS. ANDERSON: Right, but your ramp going up to the dock area are ten feet and then they narrow to five feet. MS. MENENDEZ: Let's go ahead and make it subject
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. MS. RUSSO: Subject to DERM approval. MS. ANDERSON: Subject to DERM approval. Just note, for DERM, that it is a recommendation under the ADA. MR. COLLER: Do we have a width of the dock that we're saying? Is it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: ADA compliance. MS. RUSSO: Right now the dock is five feet. MR. COLLER: Well, that is technically ADA compliance, but they recommend a greater	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation. MR. TRIAS: 60 inches. I believe that's what she was saying, right? You were recommending 60 inches, which is five feet? MS. ANDERSON: It's a recommendation under the ADA, which is a Federal provision. MR. TRIAS: Five feet is the standard size for a dock. MS. RUSSO: It's 60 inches. MS. MENENDEZ: Try to see if you can do it. MS. ANDERSON: Right, but your ramp going up to the dock area are ten feet and then they narrow to five feet. MS. MENENDEZ: Let's go ahead and make it subject MS. RUSSO: The access piers are wider.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. MS. RUSSO: Subject to DERM approval. MS. ANDERSON: Subject to DERM approval. Just note, for DERM, that it is a recommendation under the ADA. MR. COLLER: Do we have a width of the dock that we're saying? Is it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: ADA compliance. MS. RUSSO: Right now the dock is five feet. MR. COLLER: Well, that is technically ADA compliance, but they recommend a greater amount.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation. MR. TRIAS: 60 inches. I believe that's what she was saying, right? You were recommending 60 inches, which is five feet? MS. ANDERSON: It's a recommendation under the ADA, which is a Federal provision. MR. TRIAS: Five feet is the standard size for a dock. MS. RUSSO: It's 60 inches. MS. MENENDEZ: Try to see if you can do it. MS. ANDERSON: Right, but your ramp going up to the dock area are ten feet and then they narrow to five feet. MS. MENENDEZ: Let's go ahead and make it subject MS. RUSSO: The access piers are wider. MS. ANDERSON: The access piers are wider,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. MS. RUSSO: Subject to DERM approval. MS. ANDERSON: Subject to DERM approval. Just note, for DERM, that it is a recommendation under the ADA. MR. COLLER: Do we have a width of the dock that we're saying? Is it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: ADA compliance. MS. RUSSO: Right now the dock is five feet. MR. COLLER: Well, that is technically ADA compliance, but they recommend a greater amount. MR. TRIAS: But that's what's allowed by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation. MR. TRIAS: 60 inches. I believe that's what she was saying, right? You were recommending 60 inches, which is five feet? MS. ANDERSON: It's a recommendation under the ADA, which is a Federal provision. MR. TRIAS: Five feet is the standard size for a dock. MS. RUSSO: It's 60 inches. MS. MENENDEZ: Try to see if you can do it. MS. ANDERSON: Right, but your ramp going up to the dock area are ten feet and then they narrow to five feet. MS. MENENDEZ: Let's go ahead and make it subject MS. RUSSO: The access piers are wider, and the reason is because the minimum turning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. MS. RUSSO: Subject to DERM approval. MS. ANDERSON: Subject to DERM approval. Just note, for DERM, that it is a recommendation under the ADA. MR. COLLER: Do we have a width of the dock that we're saying? Is it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: ADA compliance. MS. RUSSO: Right now the dock is five feet. MR. COLLER: Well, that is technically ADA compliance, but they recommend a greater amount. MR. TRIAS: But that's what's allowed by Code.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation. MR. TRIAS: 60 inches. I believe that's what she was saying, right? You were recommending 60 inches, which is five feet? MS. ANDERSON: It's a recommendation under the ADA, which is a Federal provision. MR. TRIAS: Five feet is the standard size for a dock. MS. RUSSO: It's 60 inches. MS. MENENDEZ: Try to see if you can do it. MS. ANDERSON: Right, but your ramp going up to the dock area are ten feet and then they narrow to five feet. MS. MENENDEZ: Let's go ahead and make it subject MS. RUSSO: The access piers are wider, and the reason is because the minimum turning space for anybody that's using a wheelchair
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. MS. RUSSO: Subject to DERM approval. MS. ANDERSON: Subject to DERM approval. Just note, for DERM, that it is a recommendation under the ADA. MR. COLLER: Do we have a width of the dock that we're saying? Is it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: ADA compliance. MS. RUSSO: Right now the dock is five feet. MR. COLLER: Well, that is technically ADA compliance, but they recommend a greater amount. MR. TRIAS: But that's what's allowed by Code. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. Don't go past	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation. MR. TRIAS: 60 inches. I believe that's what she was saying, right? You were recommending 60 inches, which is five feet? MS. ANDERSON: It's a recommendation under the ADA, which is a Federal provision. MR. TRIAS: Five feet is the standard size for a dock. MS. RUSSO: It's 60 inches. MS. MENENDEZ: Try to see if you can do it. MS. ANDERSON: Right, but your ramp going up to the dock area are ten feet and then they narrow to five feet. MS. MENENDEZ: Let's go ahead and make it subject MS. RUSSO: The access piers are wider, and the reason is because the minimum turning space for anybody that's using a wheelchair is the minimum is 60 inches, in a bathroom,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. MS. RUSSO: Subject to DERM approval. MS. ANDERSON: Subject to DERM approval. Just note, for DERM, that it is a recommendation under the ADA. MR. COLLER: Do we have a width of the dock that we're saying? Is it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: ADA compliance. MS. RUSSO: Right now the dock is five feet. MR. COLLER: Well, that is technically ADA compliance, but they recommend a greater amount. MR. TRIAS: But that's what's allowed by Code. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. Don't go past what's allowed by Code.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 wider than that, then you have go through it's a Code variation. MR. TRIAS: 60 inches. I believe that's what she was saying, right? You were recommending 60 inches, which is five feet? MS. ANDERSON: It's a recommendation under the ADA, which is a Federal provision. MR. TRIAS: Five feet is the standard size for a dock. MS. RUSSO: It's 60 inches. MS. MENENDEZ: Try to see if you can do it. MS. ANDERSON: Right, but your ramp going up to the dock area are ten feet and then they narrow to five feet. MS. MENENDEZ: Let's go ahead and make it subject MS. RUSSO: The access piers are wider, and the reason is because the minimum turning space for anybody that's using a wheelchair is the minimum is 60 inches, in a bathroom, anywhere.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Based on DERM allowing it, because he may have to go back to DERM. If DERM says to him, you can't come out that much, they control it. MS. ANDERSON: Yeah. MS. RUSSO: Subject to DERM approval. MS. ANDERSON: Subject to DERM approval. Just note, for DERM, that it is a recommendation under the ADA. MR. COLLER: Do we have a width of the dock that we're saying? Is it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: ADA compliance. MS. RUSSO: Right now the dock is five feet. MR. COLLER: Well, that is technically ADA compliance, but they recommend a greater amount. MR. TRIAS: But that's what's allowed by Code. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. Don't go past what's allowed by Code. MR. TRIAS: That's right. You don't want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 wider than that, then you have go through

	Page 153		Page 154
1	the other side. So for safety concerns, that's	1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah, exactly.
2	why the ADA makes this recommendation that it	2	MS. RUSSO: And I'll just add also all of
3	be more than the 60 inches. So if it is	3	the other conditions that I've proffered that
4	permissible to go out further, whether it be	4	are not amended by this.
5	four inches or twelve inches, that's my	5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And all of the other
6	recommendation.	6	conditions that Staff recommended correct.
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Just keeping it within	7	Julio has a second.
8	Code and DERM approval.	8	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
9	MS. MENENDEZ: Any other suggestions?	9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any discussion?
10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a motion.	10	MR. COLLER: This is on E-4. We're taking
11	Is there a second?	11	E-4 first.
12	MR. COLLER: So we're doing	12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: One at a time, yes.
13	MR. GRABIEL: I'll second it.	13	We have a first, second, no discussion.
14	MR. COLLER: Okay. So we have two votes.	14	Call the roll, please.
15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The first one.	15	THE SECRETARY: Maria Velez?
16	MR. COLLER: The first one.	16	MS. VELEZ: Yes.
17	MR. TRIAS: The first one is, let's say,	17	THE SECRETARY: Rhonda Anderson?
18	the conditional use and those are the	18	MS. ANDERSON: Yes.
19	conditions for the conditional use. How about	19	THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?
20	that?	20	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the other	21	THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez?
22	conditions	22	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes.
23	MR. COLLER: Okay. We'll do the	23	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
24	conditional use first and then we copy those	24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
25	conditions into the Site Specifics.	25	Now that was E-3 well, okay
	Page 155		Page 156

1	MR. COLLER: No, that was E-4, because we	1	Good luck.
2	took the conditional use first. Now we're	2	Before you adjourn, Julio you wanted to say
3	going to go E-3, where all of the conditions	3	something?
4	that were put in E-4, we're going to put in	4	MR. GRABIEL: Yeah. I have a point of
5	E-3, so can we use the same person that made	5	discussion. I just wanted to get my fellow
б	the motion and the seconder for this one?	6	member's opinions on it. As you know, one of
7	MS. MENENDEZ: I'll make the motion.	7	my concerns in the City of Coral Gables is how
8	MR. GRABIEL: I second it.	8	parking garages are seen at night. And
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any discussion?	9	whenever there's been a project coming to this
10	MS. ANDERSON: No.	10	Board, we have requested that verbally, but I
11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Having heard none,	11	have noticed that the results have not been
12	call the roll, please.	12	what I truly thought would happen, that no
13	THE SECRETARY: Rhonda Anderson?	13	light and no noise would come from the parking
14	MS. ANDERSON: Yes.	14	garage to the outside.
15	THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?	15	So if my fellow members are agreeable, I
16	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.	16	would like to ask Staff to come up with a
17	THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez?	17	verbal description of what is our intent on
18	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes.	18	that, so that it can be included into the City
19	THE SECRETARY: Maria Velez?	19	Code.
20	MS. VELEZ: Yes.	20	MS. MENENDEZ: Absolutely. I agree with
21	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	21	Julio.
22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.	22	MS. ANDERSON: I agree.
23	Before we adjourn, Julio you wanted	23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
24	MS. RUSSO: Thank you all very much.	24	MS. VELEZ: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.	25	MR. GRABIEL: Okay. That's it.