City of Coral Gables City Commission Meeting Agenda Item H-1 July 10, 2018

City Commission Chambers 405 Biltmore Way, Coral Gables, FL

City Commission

Mayor Raul Valdes-Fauli Vice Mayor Frank Quesada Commissioner Pat Keon Commissioner Vince Lago Commissioner Michael Mena

City Staff

City Manager, Cathy Swanson-Rivenbark Assistant City Manager, Peter Iglesias City Attorney, Miriam Ramos City Clerk, Walter J. Foeman Deputy City Clerk, Billy Urquia

Public Speaker(s)

Ellen Uguccioni
Karelia Martinez Carbonell
Gay Bondurant
Josephine Johnson
Mary Anne Danguillecourt
Robert Ruano
Amy Brigham Boulris
Joseph Martucci
Pat Button
Arva Moore Parks
Sallye Jude

Agenda Item H-1 [9:50 a.m.]

City Commission Meeting July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

(Sponsored by Commissioner Mena)

(Sponsored by Commissioner Lago)

Commissioner Mena: H-1.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Yeah, H-1. Out of order. There are a lot of people here for that. And that

is Commissioner Mena and Commissioner Lago's item. Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry

Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Commissioner Mena: Okay.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Before we start, I'd like to make a -- clear something up. I've been

accused of having a conflict of interest in this. I think it's an offensive and a very unfair

accusation. I did represent a person who made an offer to buy the property before I was a City

Commissioner -- I mean, a mayor. And I consulted with Craig Leen, the then-City Attorney, and

he said that I either had to refer it -- which I did -- to another attorney or I had to recuse myself if

it ever came up before the Commission and I was still representing the attorney, because I would

have a conflict of interest. And we have recused ourselves in the past year. I -- it didn't come

up. I referred it to another attorney, and it is coming up now. I've had no interest in the property

and the people or anything, and I asked our current City Attorney for an opinion. And would

you read it or...

City Attorney Ramos: Yes, sir. There's been an opinion published on our website as are all of

our opinions. The opinion reiterates what the former City Attorney said regarding the fact that

the Mayor withdrew at the time, in an abundance of caution, and said that if the sale had gone

through and the party had come before the City Attorney, he, of course, would have recused

himself. The sale did not go through. Therefore, my opinion states that the vote that was taken

in March to enter into a resolution to lease the property for parking did not pose a voting conflict

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

for the Mayor, nor does the discussion or any vote that could result from today's discussion. As mentioned, the opinion is posted on our website.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you. And can you set the tone, and you sent us, you know, a memorandum to the City Commission on the actions of the Historic Preservation Board and our - you know, what we can/cannot do and the consequences of doing that?

City Attorney Ramos: Of course, sir. I'll give a little bit of background. In September of 2016, the owners of the LaSalle Dry Cleaner's came before the Historic Preservation Department and requested a letter of historic significance, as is required for any property that is seeking to be demolished in the City of Coral Gables. As a result of that, the director of the Historical Resources Department, Ms. Spain, took the matter to the Historic Preservation Board in November of that same year. The Board, at that time, directed Ms. Spain to have the department put together a designation report and bring it back to the board. That designation report was heard before the Historic Preservation Board in February of 2017, after being properly noticed. And at that time, the Historic Preservation Board held a hearing of approximately one hour and determined that the building was significantly altered, and due to the alterations, had lost any historic significance, and therefore, did not designate it as historic. There was ten days to appeal that decision. Those ten days elapsed on February 26 of 2017. That brings us to March of this past year, when the City Manager brought forth a resolution to authorize her to enter into an agreement to lease the space for parking -- to enter into a parking lease. There was no issue with that. As the Historic Preservation Board had already determined that the property was not historic, it had not been appealed to the City Commission. At this time, the City Commission may not reverse the decision of the Historic Preservation Board. That would be in violation of the process set forth in the ordinance and could subject us to significant challenges under the Bert Harris Act of the Florida Statutes. The City Commission may send the case back, basically, to the Historic Preservation Board to determine if there had been a substantial change of circumstances between February 2017 and today. It is the belief of the Historical Resources Department that there has not been a substantial change of circumstances. The City Commission

may also ask the City Manager not to move forward with the parking lease agreement. The parking lease agreement has not yet been executed. They may rescind that resolution today by vote of the Commission, if they choose to, and direct the City's Administration to look at other options for that property. But, designating it historic today is not an option that the City can undertake without being subject to significant challenges under Bert Harris.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you. It's your -- you know. Please.

Commissioner Mena: So, thank you, Miriam, for setting out the history here and the facts, because I think the facts are very important. I decided to sponsor this item, obviously, because there was a lot of reaction from the public, I think, in response to the resolution that was more recently passed. At the time of that resolution being passed -- that's the March 2018 resolution to enter into negotiations for a lease -- there really was no discussion about the historic nature of the building. It sort of came and went very quickly and this was sort of in the past. And the reason for that was, as Miriam described, this had come up in February. There had not been an appeal, and so, we really didn't have an opportunity at that point to change anything. With that said, I've now had an opportunity to really get more informed on this building. I appreciate the input, obviously, of the different residents and organizations who have, you know, come forward with a lot of helpful information for us; also, Dona Spain's office. I spent the entire afternoon there yesterday meeting with different people on just this matter to really clarify the facts not only for me, but for everybody else, because I think it's important for everybody to understand the facts. Obviously, we're going to have some public comment in a moment, but my position at this point, based on our advice from our City Counsel is -- from our City Attorney, excuse me -and I will be making a motion today to, essentially, rescind the resolution we passed last time. And I think that we should explore and we should ask staff to explore what other options there are to negotiate with the owner of this building to see whether we can come up with a better deal that preserves the building and keeps it not only present, but possibly, restore it to a point that it should be restored in order to cure some of the issues that I believe Dona and her staff, when

they went originally to the Historic Board, had concerns about. So, that's where I am with the

issue.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Do you believe the Bert Harris issues raised by the City Attorney -- how

do we overcome that?

Commissioner Mena: No, no, no. So, I don't believe there are Bert Harris issues with

rescinding our most recent resolution...

Vice Mayor Quesada: Let's be...

Commissioner Mena: To enter a lease.

Vice Mayor Quesada: I just want to be clear for a second for those watching. Can you...

Commissioner Mena: Right.

Commissioner Lago: But I just...

Vice Mayor Quesada: Use non-legalese to explain what that is?

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Let him...

Commissioner Lago: No, but I just want to make just one -- let me clarify. Commissioner

Mena, by the way, I agree with basically everything he said. In reference to rescinding, it has to

be someone on the prevailing side, correct?

City Attorney Ramos: No. It would not be a motion for reconsideration.

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Commissioner Lago: Okay.

City Attorney Ramos: As a motion for reconsideration can only be taken at the same meeting or

at the subsequent meeting. That was back in March, so this would actually be a motion to

rescind.

Commissioner Lago: Okay. I just want to get that clear.

City Attorney Ramos: Since the agreement hasn't been executed, you may still move to rescind

the resolution. To your point, Commissioner -- Vice Mayor Quesada, that does not mean that the

property owners may not say that they relied on us entering into the parking lease agreement. I

don't have significant concerns there. It's been two months. It was a parking lease agreement.

The Bert Harris comes in if the City Commission does not follow its processes and decides to

overturn the Historic Preservation Board's decision and today, designate the property. That's

where we could get in some significant...

Commissioner Mena: And to be clear...

City Attorney Ramos: Bert Harris challenges.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Got it.

Commissioner Mena: And to be clear, we have not signed a lease.

City Attorney Ramos: Correct.

Commissioner Mena: So, we're not committed to anything at this point. And so, all I'm asking

is I think we should have staff explore what other avenues there are and see if there is a solution

that would not only, again, preserve the building, but hopefully, restore it back to a condition

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

that's appropriate for it. But, I want to be clear -- and I made this clear to everybody I met with

yesterday and we had a very positive and honest discussions about this. Because, we cannot

designate it historic anymore -- that ship sailed in February/March of 2017 -- this is a free market

negotiation. The owner doesn't have an obligation to do anything. But I think that if we can

engage them in a good faith negotiation, and hopefully, come up with something that works for

them and for us, then at least we have a chance to try to save the building, and I think that's...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay.

Commissioner Mena: The best we can do right now. So, that's my position on it. Obviously, I

welcome the input from my colleagues. Obviously, Commissioner Lago, I know you

cosponsored this, and I welcome your input.

Commissioner Lago: Thank you. I'll be brief. I echo every single one of your sentiments. I

agree with you wholeheartedly. My effort would have been today also -- and I will second that

motion to rescind our motion and our move to have the City Manager enter into a negotiation to,

hopefully, allow the owner of the building -- because we were not going to -- the City was not

going to be demolishing that building and I was a little bit unclear about that. That would be the

owner of the building currently, and then, we would, obviously, be entering into a lease

agreement. I just wanted to say thank you, along with the comments that Commissioner Mena

made to Arva Parks and Dade Heritage Trust, which took the time -- they came, they sat down.

They really gave me an education in regards to how significant this building is. We take a lot of

pride in this City in regards to our history. I think that this is an opportunity for us, as a

Commission, to take a step back, reassess the situation and see what we can do to, hopefully,

correct something which, in the past, we may have let go by, which we could have done a little

better of a job. I think we can potentially come to some sort of agreement with the owner either

via TDRs, transfer of development rights, maybe. Find some grants that are available at the

local, at the state and at the national level for historic properties, potentially historic properties to

designate them, if this were, one day, to be designated if it were brought back to its original

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

beauty. And that's a long conversation that I've had with Miriam, our ACM Peter Iglesias and

our City Manager, that this property is not historic. And I'll admit to you. I'll be honest with

you. I don't know how many years ago, I actually thought this property was historic. How

many times did we drive by and think that this building on Le Jeune was not a historic building?

I was caught by surprise when this building -- when they told me that this building was not

historic. That's my fault. I don't have any qualms admitting to that, but I think we can right this

wrong. And I think this Commission could take a step forward, like Commissioner Mena

mentioned, and enter into good faith negotiation with the owner and see if we can come to a

resolution that not only benefits the owner, but benefits this community as a whole.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay, thank you. Thank you very much. We're going to listen from the

public, and I'm going to impose a time limit for everybody who's going to speak, except for two

people. One is Karelia, and the other one is Ellen Uguccioni, who I'd like to call to the podium

now. Ellen Uguccioni was our historic preservation officer for many years. She was responsible

for the restoration of The Biltmore, the Youth Center, and many, many other properties, and she

has something to say about this. Ellen, welcome, and thank you for participating.

Ellen Uguccioni: Thank you, Mr. Mayor, members of the Commission. Good morning, City

Manager, and new to me, City Attorney. Before this...

City Attorney Ramos: Before you begin, if you could state your name and address...

Ms. Uguccioni: I thought I was that famous.

City Attorney Ramos: For the record, and I'll ask that of everyone who's going to speak.

(COMMENTS MADE OFF THE RECORD)

Ms. Uguccioni: My name is Ellen...

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

City Attorney Ramos: They can't hear you. They're saying if you could move up.

Ms. Uguccioni: My name is Ellen Uguccioni. For the Clerk, U-G-U-C-C-I-O-N-I. I live at 1115 Obispo Avenue, in Coral Gables, Florida. Is that it? Okay. Before this millennium began, I was the preservation officer here in Coral Gables. It has and will be the highlight of my 30plus year's career. I have pursued my career through different cities and state agencies, and I felt I had to appear at this meeting, because it is so critical. Back in the, I believe, 1990s, our office wrote a report on the entrances and plazas of Coral Gables, in which we called out the exquisite planning that occurred to introduce people into different avenues where there are a great deal of grass, median space and so forth. And that is part and parcel of the plan of the City. We lost the Le Jeune Plaza entrance. I don't know if you've seen a picture of that or not. I think it's in one of the pictures that was submitted. And I -- before I forget, I want to congratulate Ms. Spain and her crew for what I think is one of the best written designation reports I've ever seen and the most carefully researched one. I am -- first, when I heard the -- from Andres Viglucci's report in the Herald, my first response was this is too altered. In our business -- maybe it's right; maybe it's wrong -- the national government, Coral Gables government, most every government says that the building must possess integrity. And by that, we don't mean telling the truth or anything that we would look at in that regard. It means does the building still have the characteristics that it had originally to convey that character? Does it look Mediterranean? Does it have the parapets and the movements up and down and the cornices and all of those wonderful details that were part and parcel of the design for Coral Gables? I felt it does not. The -- and again, I don't think there was any ill-conceived notions on the part of the owners in doing the alterations they did. They did it for business purposes without regard for the architecture at that moment when they did these things. I really think they have so obliterated those things that are important to what were two buildings on this site -- the filling station is essentially gone, which was the first, as I understand it. And so, I wrote to the Mayor, who, I believe, copied all of you, and said I don't believe this qualifies on the basis of its loss of integrity, which I believe is what the Historic Preservation Board determined. Is it an important building? It is a ten, in my opinion.

City Commission Meeting July 10, 2018

It is an entrance point into the City, which was originally planned as an entrance and developed

as an entrance. And you saw in one of the pictures, the piers and there was a -- I believe, a pool

of water in front of those things. So, when I heard you today, Commissioners, I was so pleased

with your comments about let's see about what we can do to suggest compromise, a solution that

maintains the importance of that corner. And, I went so far as to say -- in a subsequent email --

that to recreate the piers at that location. Of course, you have development on the other side of

the LaSalle Cleaner's, so I'm not sure that's possible or advisable, but I do think it requires study

because there's an opportunity here for you to make that corner much more beautiful. I think -- I

don't know if it's a surface parking lot or a parking garage that you were looking into, but I think

a surface parking lot is anothema, which is the strongest word I have to suggest to you that you

have really made Coral Gables less than what I think it is and what it should be. So, based on

my understanding of the designation report, my observations of the building and the difficult

decisions that you have to make here, I think it's wise to look at this very, very closely, to take

time to see how you can, in an urban planning standpoint, make this corner as visible, as

beautiful, and as Coral Gables-ish as possible. And I thank you for your time.

Commissioner Lago: Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you, Ellen. Thank you very much.

(APPLAUSE)

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Karelia, please. We have heard from you and your people. You have the

floor, and you know, please be concise. I didn't say brief; concise.

Karelia Martinez Carbonell: Don't worry. I'm not going to read all of this.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: I hope not because I wouldn't let you.

City Commission Meeting

Ms. Martinez Carbonell: First of all, I want to thank each and every one of you, because we're

here really for a second opportunity to preserve one of -- basically, the birthplace of Coral

Gables. And, I say that with the designation report as the resource. But, we now know how

important this building is. We know that it was George Merrick's construction company. I

mean, that's where Coral Gables basically began, you know, in terms of building the city. All

that is in the report. The report is -- to quote Ellen's words -- brilliant. And so, we can't right

that wrong back in February of 2017. It's something that, at this point, we can't go back. But

we can -- we're at the present and we have a chance to really right -- right it, right a wrong. So,

what -- you know, I want to, just for the record -- and this building, it's the first office of the

Coral Gables Construction Company, and it was responsible for building the vast portions of the

City in the 1920s. The building precedes the City Hall that we're in today. It's also -- you

know, that area of the business district is included in the now landmarked Coral Gables Historic

City Plan. The building actually was also a significant structure in a survey that the Miami-Dade

County came up with. So, here we are today, and I want to thank Commissioner Mena for taking

the leadership on this, where we're asking to save the building, however it can be done. And, we

ask that it would be fair to all parties involved to rescind the lease that basically the condition

was to demolish the building, to go back to the drawing board and think about that patrimonial

heritage of that property and, hopefully, then we can move forward in some way -- and I'm

leaving it up to the leaders of our city to come up with a resolution that benefits historic

preservation. So, I thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you, Karelia.

Commissioner Mena: Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you very much.

City Attorney Ramos: As the...

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: We have...

City Attorney Ramos: Next person comes up -- sir, if you want to call them up, I'll just make a

comment as they come up to the podium.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay, we have Thor Bruce, who doesn't want to speak. And then I would

like to call -- I have many cards here. I will give you a two-minute -- I mean, Karelia has spoken

as the spokesperson for the group, and Ellen Uguccioni, as an expert and a former City historic

preservation officer. I'd like to call Gay Bondurant, please, to speak, and you will have two

minutes to say your...

City Attorney Ramos: As she comes up, I'd just like to clarify that LaSalle had come to the City

back in September of 2016 for a historical significance determination, because they were looking

to demolish the building. So, it wasn't the City's potential parking lease agreement that led them

to want to demolish the building. That was something they were considering well before we

entered into that -- or we contemplated...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: So, they wanted...

City Attorney Ramos: Entering into the agreement.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: To demolish?

City Attorney Ramos: They seemed to want to demolish...

Commissioner Keon: They wanted to demolish.

City Attorney Ramos: Way before we talked to them...

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay.

City Attorney Ramos: About a parking lease.

Commissioner Keon: And they have been issued a demolition permit.

City Attorney Ramos: They have not yet been issued, but it is in the Building Department.

Commissioner Keon: It is in the Building Department.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay, Gay Bondurant, please.

Gay Bondurant: Good morning, lady and gentlemen of the Commission, and Ms. City Manager. My name is Gay Bondurant. B-O-N-D-U-R-A-N-T. I reside at 446 Alcazar Avenue, where I've lived for the past 40 years. I've been a resident of the City of Coral Gables very close to 70 years. In a matter of the property referred to here today as the LaSalle building, my association with it may seem remote; however, I will simply say that the first house I lived in in Coral Gables was at 434 Aragon, only a very short distance from the subject building. For some reason, random bits of information tend to stick in my mind and I remember this building with the porte-cochere, which no longer exists. I also remember that my mother used the clock on top of City Hall as the kitchen clock, but that's another story. More to the point, I've spoken on the LaSalle building issue before the Historic Preservation Board at an earlier date. For the record, everything I know about historic preservation I learned from Ellen Uguccioni and Dona Spain. For the record, I previously served on the City's Historic Preservation Board for a period of eight years and was chair of that board for one year. I was recently awarded for my efforts in advocacy for historic preservation by the Dade Heritage Trust. Therefore, it is not my modus operandi to generally encourage or support destruction of historic buildings, with the keyword here being historic buildings. It's my recollection that when this building was previous brought before the HP Board, the Board found it did not meet the standards for designation. Now, unless

something has changed dramatically since that board adjudication, it is my belief that it has not

magically regenerated the original architectural features which would have contributed to its

being designated. The portico and exterior stairs were demolished. The windows were bricked

up, and any of its other more delicate embellishments were removed and/or covered over with

plaster. But more important, in my eight years on the HP Board, I do not remember ever having

a building which had been properly researched, presented to the Board, considered and found not

to meet with the Board's approval for designation to then be resurrected from the grave, so to

speak, and given a posthumous chance to attain the golden ring of designation. Finally, where

does the process stop? Thank you for your attention.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you, ma'am. Thank you very much for your participation. Dr.

Josephine Johnson. Dr. Johnson. Oh, there she is. Good morning.

Josephine Johnson: Good morning. Here is the face that went with the emails.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: There.

Ms. Johnson: Yes.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Perfect. Now, we can hear you.

Ms. Johnson: Thank you. We have saved South Beach, the Coconut Grove Playhouse, and now

we have this wonderful opportunity to save the heartbeat of George Merrick. I would not want

my grandchildren or their children to know that anyone in the City of Coral Gables, where my

children were born, would pull the plug on something that's going to be a gift not only to this

city, but to all the tourists who come here and are welcomed by, perhaps, a beautiful, welcoming

place for tourists. But whatever we do, we must have more imagination than a parking lot. You

will find the space somewhere else; I know you will. And, I thank you for the spirit that's in this

City Commission Meeting

City Hall today and your willingness to work with everyone in the city to create something that

is beautiful, as beautiful as the City Beautiful should be.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you, ma'am.

Ms. Johnson: Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you very much.

(APPLAUSE)

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: No, please, please, please. Let's not. Mary Anne Danguillecourt. Hello.

Mary Anne Danguillecourt: Yeah, Mary Anne Danguillecourt. I'm a resident of Coral Gables,

541 Hardee Road, French Country Village, historic house. And yeah, the City Beautiful. So,

like the parking lot with 35 parking spaces, I don't know, it doesn't seem to be very beautiful.

So, like the LaSalle Cleaner's had a lot of alterations done, but from what I understand that

they're all reversible. I'm the secretary of the Historic Preservation of Coral Gables, so I listen

to all the stuff that happens in our meetings. So, like I said, I think -- I've learned that it is

reversible and not the best use of the space in keeping with the City Beautiful, which Coral

Gables is trying to pride itself on. Thank you very much.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you, ma'am. I would like to remind everybody here that if you

want to speak, you have to fill one of these cards, and I will call you up. But if you don't fill a

card, I'm not going to call you up. So, please, if you want to speak, fill one of these cards over

here with the City Clerk. Robert Ruano. Robert Ruano. Good morning, sir. Good morning.

Robert Ruano: Good morning, Mayor and Commissioners. I've known about the LaSalle

building for many years. Like all of you, I didn't really know too much about it until about two

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

years ago. Looking for parkland in the North Ponce area, called your Development Director and

said, this building is for sale. First thing he told me is they want too much money. They want \$7

million for that building. Flash forward to last year, you tried to designate it. You tried to do the

right thing. But, I think this is a situation where your right hand was doing the right thing and

your left hand was doing the wrong thing. At the Historic Preservation meeting, there was talk

that the building was deteriorating, which it was not. It passed recertification in 2015. That

there was lot diminishment, which wasn't proven -- lot diminishment dealing with the criteria for

preservation. There was talk that the exterior stairs were taken out. They're still there. They're

just covered up. I think you have a great opportunity here to rescind your resolution and not be a

party to this building's destruction. And then, further, after this process and after you save this

building, you go back and look at the process and you look at what led you to this point because

your Historic Preservation staff put the best report together that I've ever seen, and then you still

voted against -- the Board voted against it, like they have a right to. Nobody appealed it, which

is very strange, but then, a year later, you are -- you put -- you are -- you have a lease in front of

you to demolish the building. You're a party -- right now, you're a party to this building's

destruction. This is one of Merrick's buildings and it's just slipping through. Without all this

outcry, this building would have been demolished right now. So, hopefully, you will return --

rescind this resolution and then, after this, look at the process and, hopefully, fix it so it doesn't

happen again.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you, sir.

(APPLAUSE)

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: I'm going to clear the room, if you keep clapping, please. Madam, I'm

going to clear the room, all right? No clapping, no booing, no whatever. Please, respect for the

process. Amy Brigham -- Amy Brigham, and I can't read the -- Amy Brigham what?

Amy Brigham Boulris: Boulris.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Boulris.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Thank you, Mr. Mayor.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Yes, ma'am.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Good morning. Amy Brigham Boulris, for the record.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Boulris.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Gunster Yoakley; address, 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 3500. I'm here in representation of the landowner of the subject property, MLL -- MML Properties, whose principal is Mirella LaSalle, as many of you know. Thank you for the opportunity to speak this morning.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: So, you represent Mrs. LaSalle?

Ms. Brigham Boulris: And MML Properties.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Thank you, Your Honor. I am very inspired. I'm honored to represent Mrs. LaSalle and her company. I'm also very inspired to speak in front of the leadership in this room, from the collective continent of Africa. I was very moved this morning in how wonderful they could watch this civil debate and watch our First Amendment at play, where the public and everyone exercised the right of free speech. It's a beautiful thing. They can watch due process at play. Even in the memo written by your City Attorney, it's riddled with notions of due process, what legal issues have been settled, what cannot be revisited because of the rule of law

that the landowner's entitled to rely upon. It's a beautiful thing. And now that there's talk of

acquiring the property, possibly for historic preservation or parking or aesthetic purposes, or

maybe a combination of those things, those are potential public purposes and now our Fifth

Amendment could be at play. It's a beautiful thing that we get to civilly debate here this

morning in front of this very distinguished audience. So, I'm just honored to be a part of it on

behalf of the landowner. And from that perspective, let me just mention that I appreciate the

candor of the Historic Resources Department, you know, in stating through the City Attorney

today that there are no changed circumstances or new evidence that would create any legitimacy

to revisit the historic designation. And I appreciate the candor of councilmembers about that.

Similarly, there is no legal basis to stay a demolition permit that my clients have been pursuing

since 2016, in good faith. They have taken a lot of actions in detrimental reliance on the findings

that were full, fair and formally decided according to your Code, by the Historic Preservation

Board, that their property does not meet the criteria for designation. They have dutifully

followed all the rules to pursue a demolition permit that they are on the cusp of being totally

entitled to have. And an interest by the City in purchasing the property -- if there is such an

interest, and I don't presume what you'll vote today -- is not a legal basis to slow down or deny a

demolition permit. So, I'm here to just say they're entitled to their due process and a timely

issuance of a demo permit. If they want to, on a voluntary basis, to discuss with the City

potential purchase, that's something that should be on a voluntary basis and those two issues are

not linked as a matter of due process.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Please conclude, ma'am.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Should you decide to rescind...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Could you close that door, please?

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Thank you. And I'd like at least equal time to...

City Commission Meeting

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: No, go ahead, but...

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Please conclude.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Should you decide to rescind your resolution to enter into a lease with

MML Properties, it is certainly within your prerogative. Mrs. LaSalle is quite upset by the press

to reconsider historic designation. She may want to suspend negotiations until the demolition

permit is properly granted, but that doesn't mean that she won't talk to you. It's just that, you

know, that lease was on a friendly rent basis for an interim use for parking. As you've

mentioned Commissioner Mena, it's a property that is a valuable property. It's a corner location

and a prominent location on a prominent road.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Please conclude, yeah.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Yes, sir. And you know, that's worthy of consideration. Parking, from

her perspective, either under the draft lease was an interim use. So, we can have that discussion,

but linking that to the demolition permitting would be very improper. Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you, ma'am. Thank you very much. Let me ask a quick question.

The Historic Board decided that it was not historic anymore. They filed the permit for a

demolition -- I mean, for a demolition permit and if they want, they can come and demolish it

tomorrow?

City Attorney Ramos: They have to go through the process to obtain a demolition permit. There

are about...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Yeah, but the...

City Attorney Ramos: Ten or twelve...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Historic Board...

City Attorney Ramos: That's only one prong. There's about ten or twelve different things that have to be done in order to obtain...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: But from a historic point of view.

City Attorney Ramos: From a historic point of view, there's just the one, which is...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: And they...

City Attorney Ramos: Going to the board. That's done.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: And they can demolish from a historic point of view?

City Attorney Ramos: Correct.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay, thank you.

City Attorney Ramos: But they have to fill all the other requirements.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay.

City Attorney Ramos: Once they've filled them, however, the City must proceed...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay.

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

City Attorney Ramos: To issue the...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: From a...

City Attorney Ramos: Demolition permit.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Historic, they can. Joseph Martucci, 430 Mendoza. Joseph Martucci.

Good morning, sir.

Joseph Martucci: Good morning, Mr. Mayor and Commissioners.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Good morning.

Mr. Martucci: I am here to urge basically -- I'm from 430 Mendoza Avenue, Coral Gables.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Alright.

Mr. Martucci: I'm here to urge that you would rescind that resolution and the parking lease. I

think you should look, as I believe there were discussions at the prior meeting about other uses,

better uses. I would submit that surface parking for 30 or 35 parking spaces is probably one of

the worst uses of this particular property in the city. It's not something you (INAUDIBLE) for.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: I disagree with many of the things that have been said or not said, but I

agree with that one very much.

Mr. Martucci: Thank you. I would urge that you would look for other alternative uses. And

particularly, when you have other alternative uses, it's not going to be something that's

temporary. A 30- or 35-space parking -- surface parking is probably temporary, and at some

point, the owner, looking for even more money, is going to be looking to then sell it for some

other high-rise development. So, it can be a one- or two-step process by the owner to get to what

they ultimately want, which is some other high-rise. The building has got historical significance.

We all know that. I would find it completely ironic that a building that was the offices of

Merrick's construction company, across the street from the statue of Merrick and from City Hall

would be demolished. There's not just that it has, you know, the approval of the board for

historical designation makes it worthwhile to keep it. There's a lot of other reasons to keep a

building, and there are many reasons there, and you can use it for a lot of other purposes. And, I

just say that the Commission here is really the last best chance to save that building. And, as I

think other people a lot more eloquent than me have said, an error does not become a mistake

until you fail to take the corrective action when you had the opportunity. And I would urge you

to do that today.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you, sir. Pat Button, 1245 Obispo. Pat. Good morning.

Pat Button: Good morning. Yes, good morning. It's a pleasure to be here. I want to say I've

lived at 1245 Obispo Avenue since 1975. I've seen a lot of changes in the city. Many have been

demolitions of -- or rearrangements of homes and other historical places that have not been

according to the original Mediterranean plan. I urge the Commission and the Mayor to rescind

the order to demolition of the building at LaSalle on Le Jeune Road. I know we're in dire need

of a tourist office. It would be a great place to put it. And, I hope that that will be considered

with my name to urge this to happen. Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you, ma'am.

Commissioner Lago: Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you very much. Arva Moore Parks. Good morning.

City Commission Meeting

Arva Moore Parks: Good morning, Mr. Mayor and members of the Commission. I think you

know that you had the first preservation ordinance in Coral Gables.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Arva, I can't hear a thing.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Yeah.

Ms. Moore Parks: I think you all know that you had the first preservation ordinance in all of

South Florida, and I had the honor of serving as your first chair, which makes me the first chair

of the preservation ordinance in South Florida. I lived on South Greenway drive. I moved there

in 1971, Walter de Garmo designed house, which was later designated. This really upsets me

because George Merrick had his main office on Flagler Street until he moved into that building

in 1923. That's before Coral Gables, of course, was a city. It was one of the earliest commercial

examples of Mediterranean style architecture. It's a miracle upon a miracle that it's still intact,

except for the porte-cochère. Two of the city's leading -- state's leading preservation architects,

John Fullerton and Rich Heisenbottle both say it is fully restorable and there's enough of the

original building in tact to do so. Andres Viglucci uncovered that structural report that I sent to

every one of you. That was never shown, even though the owner had it. And I -- instead, it was

said it was falling down, which was simply not true. And yet, it didn't even need to be

recertified for ten years from '17. I believe very strongly and having stood before this

Commission -- not maybe this one, but a Commission many, many times, where there is a will,

there is a way. And there's only one first in anybody's city. This is only one first. It is an entry

feature, especially between the entry feature of Miracle Mile and Alhambra now. It can be

again. Several people I've talked to -- Pat, particularly -- about building an addition behind City

Hall. This is a perfect place to have City offices, right across the street in a historic building.

And the City owns the parking lot, so it could even add to it in an appropriate way. The owner

needs to know that if it's designated, they get transfer of development rights, which she could --

they could sell and they could use that money to restore the building. So, the idea of it -- it's a

poor, widow who can't afford it, that also is not true because the TDRs make it possible. Then,

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

if it was restored, then the owner could turn around and sell it, so that's a double benefit. And

the most important thing, though, is that this wonderful City Commission has to set an example

for -- you tell developers frequently they can't do things. Well, you've got to prove that you're

going to be the leader to make sure that this first building's safe.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you.

Commissioner Lago: Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you, Arva. And Sallye Jude is the last card I have here and the last

person to speak. Sallye. Good morning, Sallye. Welcome to City Hall. This is also historic.

Sallye Jude: I'm Sallye Jude, and I live at 416 Vizcaya Avenue. I've lived in the city for over

55 years. I feel that this building merits your rescinding your ordinance and sending it back to

the Historic Preservation Board for them to review it again. In the report, they reviewed only

that it was in poor shape. None of the important elements were brought out as part of that report

that I've seen. I would like to go a step further and suggest this could be a wonderful visitor's

center for Coral Gables and the extra space could provide you with the office space that you so

sorely need. So, please think about this as a long-term future. Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you, Sallye.

Commissioner Mena: Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Alright, the public has spoken, and I will hear from our City Attorney.

City Attorney Ramos: I think it's helpful to hear from Ms. Spain, if she's in the room. And Mr.

Iglesias also wanted to...

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay.

City Attorney Ramos: Address the Commission, although I don't see ACM Iglesias.

Commissioner Mena: Yeah. I think -- can I just say something very quickly? A lot of the suggestions that have been made, I think, are wonderful suggestions. What I just want to be careful with here -- and I spoke about this with some of the individuals I met with yesterday --Ms. Spain, who's about to speak, will tell you -- because she said -- told me yesterday, while she wrote -- and her office wrote a wonderful report -- designation report with respect to this

building...

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: Yes.

Commissioner Mena: She also stands by her decision not to appeal the decision of the board.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: That's right.

Commissioner Mena: Because, she feels that they made an informed decision and that they

followed the process. Is that...

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: That's exactly what I was going to...

Commissioner Mena: What you said to me? No, so I think that's really important for people to

understand when we talk about an error or -- you know, there was a process followed and Ms.

Spain, who I think just about everybody in this room who is -- considers themself (sic) a

preservationist, has a lot of respect for, made a decision and so that's how we are where we are

and we have to stand by that decision and honor that process. The other part, I just want to be

careful with. You know, we don't have the ability to require this property owner to do anything,

as the attorney for the owner said. And I think it's important to note. I don't think the property

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

owner's done anything wrong here. I don't think anybody's done anything wrong here. I think a

process took place and now we're trying to save this building from being demolished because

we'd all like to see that, but you know, all we can do is engage in a good faith discussion with

the owner. We -- my position, at least, is that we should endeavor to do that and see what we

could come up with because I do -- somebody said, where there's a will, there's a way. I agree

with that. But the reality is it's incumbent -- at the end of the day, it's going to be the owner's

decision what happens here. And so, we have to respect that, but we can also play our part to try

to make something happen here that everybody will be happy with. So, I just want to clarify

some of those things from the different comments that I heard.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you.

Commissioner Mena: Sorry.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: You just said...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Go ahead.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: What I was going to say. I think the Historic Preservation

Board did not make a mistake. They made an informed decision. They read that report -- they

directed that report. They directed us to make the best argument that staff could to designate the

building. And Elizabeth Guin wrote an amazing report. They read the report. They considered

that. They considered the alterations to the building. They didn't believe there was enough

historic fabric in the building to merit designation. And I was comfortable with that. They had a

very good discussion. I would encourage those people that have only read the designation report

to read the verbatim transcript of the meeting, because they really got into a lot of discussions.

The -- I know it's been said about the structure of the building. That's not part of the criteria.

That's separate and apart from their consideration to not designate the building. We've been

very consistent doing that. And if the building had been designated as historic, those arguments

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

for either economic hardship or the -- it wasn't structurally sound, that would be as part of the

certificate of appropriateness to the board. And so, I think the proper processes were followed

now. I just need to make clear that if the owner wants to demolish that building, I am obligated

to sign for the demolition permit. So, I just need to have that on the record that we can't -- I

don't believe we can hold that up.

City Attorney Ramos: You cannot. Dona...

Commissioner Lago: I don't...

City Attorney Ramos: That's correct.

Commissioner Lago: I don't think anybody's saying that.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: Okay. I just wanted...

Commissioner Lago: And I think...

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: Everyone here to understand...

Commissioner Lago: I don't want you to feel that...

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: That if the building comes down with my signature, you

know, it's because of proper process.

Commissioner Lago: Dona, we've met on multiple occasions, and Commissioner Mena

mentioned it. That's not what this Commission wants to do. We have the utmost respect for the

owners of that building. But, again, there's a gentleman that stood up here before -- and I feel

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

that I've made an error and I voted for something -- and I have the actual resolution here, which

was 2018...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: We know the resolution.

Commissioner Lago: No. I just want to put it on the record -- 2018-126, and I don't want my

fingerprints on a resolution that could eventually lead...

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: To a demolition.

Commissioner Lago: To a demolition, even though the City's not demolishing it. And that's

just -- that's one issue. The second issue is what we've discussed -- and I think we mentioned it

for a third time -- and maybe I'll mention it for a fourth. I want to work in good faith. And I

don't want the owner to feel uncomfortable that, you know, the City is going against them.

We're not. We want to potentially sit down and have a conversation and explore every avenue.

If it's TDRs, if it's the City finding a use for the building, if it's them restoring the building to its

glory days, designating it, the City purchasing it -- if we could somehow, some way find the

money to do it, or them selling it to an end user that will be happy with a historic building. It's

in a spectacular location, like the attorney that represents the owner said. So, I think that's the

end goal. You know, we're not here to harm anybody. You know...

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: That's right.

Commissioner Lago: I'm taking in consideration a lot of new information. I've been involved --

not all of you know, but I was involved with the renovation of an historic building, which was

George Merrick -- we had a long discussion about this last night, which was George Merrick's

second office, which is where Mediterranean Village was. Now, it's the plaza, and I was the

junior, junior, junior superintendent, you know...

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: I didn't know that...

Commissioner Lago: Picking up...

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: Until yesterday. I didn't realize you were involved in that.

Commissioner Lago: For an individual whose now passed, a distinguished gentleman by the

name of Ralph Sanchez. I worked for him.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Why don't we -- yeah.

Commissioner Lago: Yeah, he was a gentleman that was a consummate gentleman, 15 years

ago. I learned a lot from him. And Dona Spain's team, you know, put us through the wringer in

restoring a building, which deserved to be restored. And we spent a lot of money -- not I. Mr.

Sanchez spent a lot of money to make sure that that building was brought back to its glory days.

And if you ever get a chance, I recommend you go by and check it out if you haven't because...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: I know, I have.

Commissioner Lago: It's a pretty spectacular building. And that was George Merrick's...

City Manager Swanson-Rivenbark: And he was really proud of all of that work.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Yeah, we are.

Commissioner Lago: I think you hit the nail right on the head. He was so proud of that building

and what he was able to achieve. And you know, it was through his efforts -- and I don't think

we ever gave him the credit that he deserves. We should put a plaque on that building, even

though now it's changed hands to the Agave Group.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: That would be very nice to do that.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: But they will do it.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: He was a wonderful man.

Commissioner Lago: Yeah, because he fought long and hard to make that -- I'm bringing this up

and I want to cut to the chase. I know that we have a lot of people here and a long agenda, and I

don't want to take away more time from my colleagues. Let's try to find a way. Let's have a

discussion, an open discussion with the owners and see if we can find common ground.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: I'm happy to be part of that.

Commissioner Lago: But we're not here -- we can't stop the process. That's already -- that ship

has sailed.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Can we -- Peter Iglesias wanted to say something. Yes, and then I will

close the meeting for discussion among the Commissioners and the Mayor, please. Pete.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: Yes, Mr. Mayor. The fact that the building is no longer

designated a historical structure means that there is no exemption from the Building Code for a

historical building. The building has been -- has had substantial work done inside, so the

restoration of the building would be in accordance with the full criteria of the Building Code, so -

- which means that designed for wind impact and so forth, like we have in the current code. The

only way that I can grant a historical exemption as a building official would be to a designated

structure. That structure is not designated, so the restoration would have to comply 100 percent

with the current building code, and that is a hard thing for historical structures to meet, very hard.

If I were to apply that to Vizcaya, when I was in Miami, or the Biltmore here, we wouldn't have

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

those structures here. So, it's -- just wanted to make the Commission aware that I no longer have

that historical exemption to use, like we've used on the Biltmore, that we could use in 2506, and

various other buildings that are currently designated.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you. Thank you.

Commissioner Keon: Can I ask...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Let me...

Commissioner Keon: One question, please, of Peter?

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Yes, ask questions.

Commissioner Keon: Peter, can you speak to the issue of the certification of the building, the

40-year certification by the County? Can a building that is certified still be a deteriorating

building?

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: The building was certified, but it was -- the upper floors were

not certified. The upper floors were to remain closed. The building was just certified for the

bottom floor.

Commissioner Keon: So, the only certification was the bottom floor.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: The bottom floor.

Commissioner Keon: So, there is no conflict when -- in saying that the building was

deteriorating and yet can be certified. Is that right?

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: Correct. Because...

Commissioner Keon: Okay.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: The top floor could have been used for minor -- for...

Commissioner Keon: For storage.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: For storage, but certainly...

Commissioner Keon: But they weren't habitable.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: Not habitable space, no.

Commissioner Keon: Okay.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: It was certified exempting the top floors.

Commissioner Keon: Thank you.

Commissioner Lago: Peter, and first off, I appreciate your opinion and I respect it because, again, I feel that sometimes you're a mentor to a lot of people who have been in the same industry as you have. You know very well because you are familiar with what was occurring at the building that I worked on 15 years ago, three floors. That building was, in my opinion -- I don't know if you agree -- but probably in worse shape than this building is today to the extent that we had to gunite all the walls, and that's the first time I had ever done that. I never experienced that type of construction, you know, endeavor. And -- because the walls were -- you know, it was delaminating. The concrete -- not only from the exterior, but from the interior. And all the rebar will exposed and, you know, there was even a fear the building would collapse.

So, I -- I mean, I'm just asking if you agree. It doesn't meet the code now, but if a construction,

you know, endeavor was -- you know, if we made the commitment or whoever was the future

owner of the building or whatever the -- if the owners currently made the commitment to bring

that building up to Code, do you think it's a possibility?

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Yeah, but there's a difference there...

Commissioner Lago: I'm asking...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Commissioner. The difference is...

Commissioner Lago: No, no. I'm asking him. I'm just asking because...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: You mentioned before...

Commissioner Lago: If he tells me no, then I -- if he tells me no, then I understand.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: You had Ralph Sanchez as the owner who committed to bringing it up to

par...

Commissioner Lago: Of course.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: And here we -- the owner wants to tear it down...

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: But to...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: (INAUDIBLE) demolition.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: But to be clear, Commissioner, that building is not up to Code.

Since it was a historical building, we used the historical exemption. And, what we've worked

out with the Board of Rules and Appeals is to use a minimal category 1 hurricane, so that means

73 miles an hour.

Commissioner Lago: I agree, no, no.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: And so...

Commissioner Lago: That's not even the question. I'm saying the structural integrity of the

building.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: And so, since it was historically designated, we used 73 miles

an hour, with the understanding that that building cannot be occupied during a hurricane.

Commissioner Lago: Of course.

City Attorney Ramos: I just need...

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: And...

City Attorney Ramos: To correct the record. The building has never been historically...

Commissioner Mena: Correct.

City Attorney Ramos: Designated.

Commissioner Lago: Never.

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Commissioner Keon: Never.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: No, but I'm talking about the...

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: He's talking...

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: Ferguson Glasgow.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: About the one owned by Ralph Sanchez.

City Attorney Ramos: I know, but I just want to make the record clear that, in terms of historic designation, the building's never been historically designated.

Commissioner Lago: Never.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: I am...

Commissioner Lago: Never been.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Can I...

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: I'm currently talking about the old Ferguson Glasgow building, which is part of the Agave property.

City Attorney Ramos: Okay.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: So, just to clarify. And so, we did not use the entire -- now, if you have to design now for the current hurricane based...

Commissioner Lago: Windows.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: On the codes, the codes would be two and a half, three times

more, so it would be a whole different ballgame. So, that -- so, what we deal with historical

structures is a -- you have two choices. You have almost a demo issue or you have a reduced

building code requirement based on the fact that the structure will be safe except for a -- for --

under hurricane loads. In other words, it meets the minimum hurricane criteria. So, if there's a

hurricane warning issued, which is a definable point, Commissioner, then that structure should

not be occupied at that point. So, it was the understanding that the old Ferguson Glasgow

Schuster building would not be occupied during a hurricane. That ability, I don't have on this

building.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: Okay, but Peter, that ability to do that still exists on that

building. It should...

Commissioner Lago: Yeah.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: Bring it up to the...

Commissioner Lago: Yes.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: Current code.

Commissioner Lago: That's my point.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: It's expensive, but we can do that, and that has been done in

the City.

Commissioner Lago: Yes.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: And we...

Commissioner Lago: And that was done...

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: We discussed Café Demetrio, and it was extremely expensive. And, we've also done residential properties where they've built a wall inside the exterior wall, so the interior wall becomes the envelope.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: Well, we have...

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: And that just becomes the façade, so it has been done

before.

Commissioner Lago: No, and I think...

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: No, no, no. Let me clarify that.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: Okay.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: Let me clarify that. Demetrio and all these others, all those projects were done with the fact that they were historical buildings. None -- all -- they were all historical buildings, which means that if they didn't quite meet the code, if they didn't quite make it...

Commissioner Lago: There was some leeway.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: It was okay.

Commissioner Lago: There was some leeway.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: There was leeway.

Commissioner Lago: Yeah.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: I don't have any leeway on this building.

Commissioner Lago: Was that what happened in the museum also, when the museum was renovated?

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: Museum...

Commissioner Lago: Was that a historic building? Was that a historic building?

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: It was already designated.

Commissioner Lago: It was already...

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: Yeah.

Commissioner Lago: No, I just -- I'm asking because I don't know.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: But Peter...

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: So, can you make 73 miles an hour? Can you make 80? Can you make 85? That's fine.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: Okay, but...

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: You don't have to make 130 -- 135.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: Okay, but Peter, on...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: On this building that we're discussing now, the LaSalle,

what if someone puts back those items and removes those items, those alterations that affected

the historic fabric? Let's say that was done first and those alterations were done to code. Then it

could be designated. Then it could get the historic exemptions.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: No.

Commissioner Lago: Yes.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: No, because you can't put it back the way you have it. It's a

catch-22. You see, it's not historically designated, so everything you do has to be to code. Now,

you can historically designate it after you finish the work, but it's too late.

Commissioner Lago: Well...

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: But Peter...

Commissioner Lago: For example, like...

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: But Peter, we do additions...

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: It's too late.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: On historic home -- on homes that are not historic...

Commissioner Lago: But...

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: All the time.

Commissioner Lago: Yeah, and -- but let me ask you a question. Like, for example, the main

structure that was removed from here was a porte-cochère. What if we built that porte-cochère

to current building standards and we poured the entire object, the entire structure out of solid

concrete, not even block, you know, in portions of it, which we know is used to save money and

time. Is -- then what we're talking about is just some banding that's missing.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: Right.

Commissioner Lago: A few windows. I mean, again, correct me if I'm wrong because...

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: Right.

Commissioner Lago: I'm still learning about this building.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: Right.

Commissioner Lago: I'm still learning. This is a learning process and I don't think anyone in

this Commission really understands what has been completely; you know, changed or morphed

in this building. In the -- for sure, in the interior, we don't know what's missing. In the exterior,

we can kind of see it, but it's still up for debate.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: But we don't...

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: Once you...

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: Designate the interior.

Commissioner Keon: Interior is not a part of it. It's only...

Commissioner Lago: No, no, I know, but...

Commissioner Keon: The exterior.

Commissioner Lago: I mean, there's -- but there's -- you never know if there's something inside that has any historic value.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: Once you redo the interior above 50 percent, you fall into a level three alteration.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: But I'm not talking...

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: And then...

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: About touching the interior right now.

Commissioner Lago: Yeah.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: I'm saying bring back what's missing on that building from when it was originally built.

Commissioner Lago: And by the way...

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: Whatever...

Commissioner Lago: Just...

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: Whatever is brought back...

Commissioner Lago: And just so I can...

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: Has to be to current code.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: That's right.

Commissioner Lago: Just so I can answer Commissioner Keon's comments -- and you can tell this very clearly. When you add onto a property, you add a porte-cochère, you add windows, you're going to touch the interior of the building, because you're cutting into the building no matter what. So, you're going to have to restore something in the interior of the building, so it happens because I've done it all. You know, when you're adding -- when you're making an addition -- for example, I just finished a project and I'll give you a very clear example. I just finished a project where Heisenbottle was the architect, and it was Boulevard Shops. It's one of the most historically significant buildings in the City of Miami. It's on the Genting property, on the corner of Biscayne Boulevard. That took us almost two years to do. And I also did the fountain in Miami Beach, where I restored the fountain, which won significant historic prize two years ago. All these projects, no matter if they were exterior façade corrections, they still affected the interior of the building, so you have to take that into consideration.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: Yes, I know.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Let's continue.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: But...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: We have a very long...

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: But we have...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Agenda and time certain...

Commissioner Lago: But I think...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: (INAUDIBLE) have to leave. No, no. Let's...

Commissioner Lago: And I -- but I think this is -- and if I may, Mayor, with all due respect, I think this is an important item...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: No, no, it is important, of course.

Commissioner Lago: That I don't have a problem having a discussion.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: It is important. Of course, it is.

Commissioner Lago: Commissioner Mena grew a few inches.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: We'd have to look -- it'd be a difficult...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: (INAUDIBLE) let's...

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: It would be a difficult project to do. It's currently a level 3

alteration.

Commissioner Lago: Very difficult.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: With over 30 percent structural. So, we have the entire

building -- more than likely, we'd have the entire building code apply before the restoration

(INAUDIBLE).

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay, any other questions for Mr. Iglesias...

Commissioner Keon: No.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Or Ms. Spain?

Commissioner Keon: Thank you.

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: Thank you.

Commissioner Lago: Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Anything else?

Commissioner Lago: No, no, no. I just want to make sure that -- if we do...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Yeah.

Commissioner Lago: If we do enter into a conversation with the owners...

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Page 44

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay.

Commissioner Lago: And we can sit down with them...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: I'd like to...

Commissioner Lago: You know, that it's fruitful.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Put a little perspective into this. And, the perspective has to do with our historical facilities and what we have dedicated to historical facilities in the past. I'm not going to mention 2014-15, where we spent \$1.1 million. In 2017, when we were all here, we spent \$4.3 million in historical renovation. In 2017, we spent \$4.3 million in historic renovation, of which \$1.8 million went to entrances and fountains and \$1.5 million to Merrick House, which is as historic as anything in this city. We also spent -- the Venetian Pool improvements, 520 some thousand dollars; City Hall repairs -- unfortunately, repairs, cottage, and just renovation of various other things. In 2018, we spent \$1.5 million, and that is, again, Biltmore course, bridges. We spent City Hall repairs, more. We spent \$177,000, again, on Merrick House. Jean Ward sculptures, which are not historic. Gondola building restoration, Venetian Pool improvements and part of our historic -- although it wasn't in '18 -- was \$2.7 million for the Fink Studio acquisition. We have spent -- Coral Gables is known for its historic expenditures, for renovating properties, for acquiring historically significant properties. Again, the Venetian Pool improvements in 2015, it was \$790,000. We have City Hall repairs, \$3 million saved for our City Hall improvements. City Hall cottage improvements, historic streetlights. We have money there, \$400,000. Four hundred thousand dollars for 40 lights, is it?

Commissioner Keon: Yeah.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: White Way lights restoration, \$180,000. These are the 40 lights I was talking about. And so, we are known for our historic expenditures. We're going to spend twenty

some million dollars on the Biltmore and the renovation of The Biltmore Hotel, \$5 million of

which is ours for the structure. We cannot be accused of not paying attention to our historic

resources, our historic properties. The artist housing on Brooker Avenue, it's \$1,800,000.

That's a heck of a lot of money, and it's worthwhile. But, we are known and I'm very proud to

say that we do dedicate money to our historic properties, regardless of what turns out to happen

here. The owner has filed for a demolition permit and the house is not -- I mean, the property is

not historic, so, from a historic point of view, we would issue the demolition permit. But I just

wanted to bring our historic facilities, capital operating past and projected expenditures in -- into

the light of day, because we cannot be accused -- regardless of what happens here -- on not being

historically sensitive. I'd like to hear from the Vice Mayor, and then we'll go down the -- if you

want.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Okay, so...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Vice Mayor.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Quick thought. I mean, we're talking about a lease here, and the property

owner, obviously, wants to make money off that lease. Pretty straightforward. And, the whole

idea behind compliance with that lease is to demolish it to have a surface parking lot. Well, what

if -- I'm just throwing it out there, because I think everyone's on the same page up here on the

dais that we don't want to lose the building. What if we move forward with the lease, but say

that -- don't knock down the building. We pay the rent so the building stays where we have

some more time to work things out. So, therefore, the landlord isn't prejudiced, you know.

They're still getting the monies that they thought they were going to get. Actually, we're saving

the landlord money, because they don't have to put money into demolishing the building. Now,

it gets a little tricky from there because that's really a negotiation, and I see the attorney for the

landlord shaking her head no to that statement, but I hope you'll shake your head yes to

considering different options, so that we can meet somewhere in the middle ground. Obviously,

I think you're hearing that we want to keep -- and I'm speaking directly to you -- that we honor

City Commission Meeting

the due process, but obviously, everything is a negotiation. Your client wants to be able to make

some money off of it. And if we can still comply with that lease and still keep the building,

maybe it's a win-win all around. I know we can't get into the details and making the sausage

like this, with everyone involved, but it's just one idea. That was actually the City Manager's

idea. But I think that's -- I think it makes a lot of sense. At least it's a starting point for a

conversation. So, that's where I'm at. Again, I'm in full agreement with Commissioner Lago

and Mena on this, but I'm just -- you know, I think we should look for different ways to sort of --

everyone can come out winning...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you.

Vice Mayor Quesada: On this.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Commissioner Mena.

Commissioner Mena: I think that's an interesting suggestion. The question is where do we go

from here right now? You know, obviously, that...

Vice Mayor Quesada: Because if we vote to rescind the lease, then we...

Commissioner Mena: Right.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Can't do that.

Commissioner Mena: Well, there is no lease right now.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Yeah.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Yeah, but we approved the lease.

Commissioner Lago: We approved...

Vice Mayor Quesada: Well, rescinding from moving forward to execute.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay, Commissioner Mena, comments.

Commissioner Mena: Well, I think we need to hear from our City Attorney, though, on this in

terms of can we pass a new resolution which essentially instructs the City Manager to continue

negotiating the lease, but not a least that would involve demolishing the building and doing

parking.

City Attorney Ramos: You can. I think that the most efficient way to do this is to direct the City

Manager to consider and give several options because, otherwise, we're going to be back here

every month...

Commissioner Mena: Right.

City Attorney Ramos: When one of them falls through.

Commissioner Mena: Right.

City Attorney Ramos: So, we'd like you to consider these five options, and then we can

approach the property owner and see if any of them are viable. That could be one of them.

Commissioner Lago: And I think that's a great idea. And I...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Commissioner Lago, wait. Are you through?

Commissioner Lago: I just want to...

Commissioner Mena: No. I...

Commissioner Lago: I want to just add something also to Commissioner Mena so we can -- you

know, so the whole Commission...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Sure.

Commissioner Lago: Can consider it. I think Dona Spain made a very important comment that

she has to sign off on the document when it's ready.

Commissioner Mena: Right.

Commissioner Lago: And, there's nothing holding her back from signing off on that document.

So, you know, we have our backs against the wall here in the sense of we're limited on time. So,

if we're not negotiating with a party that's willing to sit down and take the next two or three

months and negotiate with the City Manager and, you know, in good faith in the sense of finding

an opportunity to resolve this issue that benefits the community as a whole and that benefits also

the owner of the property, I don't want to waste time on that issue. You understand? Because if

they're going through the process right now of attaining a building -- excuse me, not a building

permit, a demolition permit. The moment it gets in Dona's hands, she has to -- you know, she's

required -- I don't know how many days she has, but there's probably, you know, a statute of

limitation where she has to sign off on that -- sign off on it.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay.

Commissioner Lago: I don't know. I mean, you tell me. You guys are the attorneys. I mean,

there's got to be...

Vice Mayor Quesada: No, no. I mean, you're right.

Commissioner Lago: She can't just sit there and say I'm going to hold this...

Vice Mayor Quesada: Right.

Commissioner Lago: On my desk...

City Attorney Ramos: No, no, no.

Vice Mayor Quesada: You...

Commissioner Lago: For three months.

City Attorney Ramos: That's true anyway. The negotiation with the owner has to be -- the

permit gets issued, and then could you -- would you willingly, voluntarily...

Commissioner Lago: But, that goes back to my comment is if the owner is shaking her head at

Commissioner -- at Vice Mayor Quesada's comments, you know, then I'd like to hear from -- if

my colleagues agree and the Mayor agrees, I'd like to hear from the owner to see if they're even

-- would entertain this scenario, which I think is a great idea, by the way, from the Vice Mayor.

City Attorney Ramos: Mayor, I think it would be helpful to hear from counsel for the property

owner.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Of course. Yes.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Looking forward to a lot of yes shaking.

Commissioner Lago: Yes. Yes, please, yes, yes.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: I've been authorized to communicate to the counsel a balance here. As I

alluded to earlier, there's some laws under which our client is entitled to a demolition permit

upon completing all the required criteria, and that's up to the building official. And it's really

important that you understand that that cannot be conditioned, the granting of that permit and the

timely granting of that permit on doing any particular transaction with the City. And I know

you're not suggesting that, but I just want to make sure I'm clear in saying that. However...

Commissioner Lago: We're not asking you to waive your rights.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Thank you. So, they can't be linked...

Commissioner Lago: We're not.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Is what I'm saying. So, as long as we're understanding that, I believe

MML Properties -- you know, even if they get the building (sic) permit, to which they're

entitled, will be voluntarily willing to pause demolition because after getting a permit, they don't

have to do it the next day.

City Attorney Ramos: Correct.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Those demolition permits are good for a matter of months, probably.

City Attorney Ramos: I think six months, but Peter, could you verify that? How long is a

demolition permit good for?

Assistant City Manager Iglesias: All permits are good for 180 days.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: So, there'd be a time within which the owner could meet with you and

discuss options. So...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: So, you would be willing to meet -- once you get the demolition permit or

when you get -- you'd be willing to meet with us without proceeding with the demolition?

Ms. Brigham Boulris: I've been authorized by my client to say...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Right.

Commissioner Mena: That's not the...

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Yes, they'd be willing to...

Commissioner Mena: Question really.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Okay, I'm sorry. What is the question?

Commissioner Mena: I think the -- well, go ahead. I don't want to put words in your mouth. Go

ahead.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Well, you know, you do that -- you know, if that goes through, a whole

number of different variables come up at that point. The whole idea for you to demolish was to

be in compliance with the discussed and agreed upon lease to execute upon that, so that we have

the parking facility available. Is that not correct?

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Not exactly, no.

Vice Mayor Quesada: No?

Ms. Brigham Boulris: No.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Well, then what's the point of it?

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Okay, first of all, the lease has not been executed by either side...

Vice Mayor Quesada: But it's been...

Ms. Brigham Boulris: At this point.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Agreed to.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: It's a draft.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Okay.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: And it's not been executed. Under Florida law, until it's executed, it's not an agreement by either side. There's an important...

Vice Mayor Quesada: Technically, yes, but in principle, we've agreed to the material terms.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Well, I can agree with you there, but there's an...

Vice Mayor Quesada: You can't? No, so material terms have not been agreed to?

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Let me answer your question.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Okay.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Let me answer your question. Your question is what's the purpose of that

lease. The lease provides, on a friendly rent basis, an interim parking situation, but it was very

important to our client that it be subject to a termination clause where if they receive an offer for

the property, they want to take -- they can terminate that. There's a penalty they'd have to pay,

but they can get out of the lease. It was a very material provision in the draft lease, because our

clients have been trying to get the full economic value of this asset. It's the sole asset of an

elderly woman and she needs to do well with it. So, the parking use is an interim use. It's never

been the highest and best use of the property. So, just to correct your impression, the parking

lease is for an interim use to relieve potentially a parking shortage that you currently have. But,

the landowner could terminate that lease under the terms of the draft or renegotiated terms of the

draft if a good sell came their way. And you know, they were negotiating to try and sell the

property before the lease occurred. The lease was the City's, I think, creative idea to try and find

an interim use and solve their current parking shortage. So, I just want to explain the...

Vice Mayor Quesada: Okay. That clarifies it.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli:

Thank you. Thank you very much. Commissioner Lago, you

(INAUDIBLE).

Commissioner Lago: I've spoken enough.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay.

Commissioner Keon: Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Commissioner Keon.

Commissioner Keon: Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: The floor is yours.

Commissioner Keon: You know, I do truly appreciate the efforts and the interest of the people here to come forward in their concern for preserving this building. But, we have a preservation ordinance that has been challenged on a number of occasions and has held up in the courts, and I think that we have an obligation to support that preservation ordinance, regardless of how it may affect a piece of property that you very much want to retain. So, the property was not designated. That board sits as a quasi-judicial board. The determination ends with them. It was not appealed. So, the property is not designated. The property is also in private hands. We cannot compel an owner to do anything with a property that they choose not to do. Unless the property is considered to be deteriorating and is an unsafe structure, you know, then we can go in and we can ensure that public safety is maintained and we don't have a dangerous structure. Short of that, you cannot compel them to restore it in any way. You can't compel them to change the use of it in any way. You can't compel them at all. Those are property rights. And property rights are fundamental to this country; to everything in this country are property rights. And in this city, we are a city of law. We are a city of rules. We are a city of regulations that we abide by and we follow. Now, if, at some point, the owner of this property, you know, is moved to reconsider the use of this property, that's a choice that the owner of the property will make. You know, if there is a desire here to rescind the agreement on parking or just stay that, you know, that's fine. I don't have a problem with that if that gives people an opportunity to speak. But, it's -- I think it's very important to understand that the -- what will happen is going to be

determined by the owner of that property. And, the only thing we can require is that they ensure

that that remain a safe structure for as long as it is a structure. So, whatever period of time -- I

think we -- if we want to ask them to enter into a discussion with City staff and with the Historic

Preservation Department, I'm comfortable with that. I'm comfortable with staying the execution

of the parking lease agreement. It was only to be a temporary agreement until they were able to

sell the building. It's their building. It's their investment. They will make a determination as to

who they want to sell it with. Now, when it comes back up, you know, we have a Board of

Architects, we have a lot of rules and regulations that will determine what goes on that site. I

don't think that -- I don't know that it would be in the best interest of this city to purchase that

property for \$7 million and to restore that building at another tremendous cost when, you know,

we are trying to set aside money. And, what is the total -- what is the estimate to restore City

Hall?

City Manager Swanson-Rivenbark: It is minimally \$8 million, but we believe...

Commissioner Keon: Okay.

City Manager Swanson-Rivenbark: It's going to be...

Commissioner Keon: So, we know we've got to spend...

City Manager Swanson-Rivenbark: Much more than that.

Commissioner Keon: Eight to ten million dollars to restore this building itself to maintain. And

so, if I would have to make a choice as to where I'm going to allocate resources, I will allocate

them to preserve those buildings that we have and to designate buildings going forward that meet

the designation standards. So, I think this is entirely up to the owner of the property, and we can

just ask that they stay the lease agreement for whatever -- some period of time. But, I would like

it to be a -- you know, an agreed upon period of time, that it be -- whether it's a month, whether

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Page 56

it's two months, whatever, that it will come back to us at some designated time that is not just

infinitum that...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you.

Commissioner Keon: Forever and ever, that there be, you know, a -- because they do have rights

and we do have an obligation to honor those rights, whether we like it or not. And, would I tell

you -- would I like to go buy the building? I'd love to buy the building. Would I like to restore

it? I'd love to restore it. But that's not within our way, you know. Although we may have the

will, we don't have that way to do. So, I would ask that you would maybe come up with a period

of time that you would like them to go back and have that discussion and whatever, you know,

the outcome is, through the City Attorney's Office, Historic Preservation, and the Manager's

Office, that, you know, they will come back and tell us what they want to do.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you.

Commissioner Mena: And...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you very much.

Commissioner Mena: I wanted to ask her one more question.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Yeah, please.

Commissioner Mena: To the attorneys, if you don't mind. Sorry, I had one more question for

you, if you can answer.

Unidentified Speaker: (INAUDIBLE) just a second.

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Page 57

Commissioner Mena: Okay, sure.

City Manager Swanson-Rivenbark: So...

Commissioner Mena: I think the question I had -- there's been negotiations on a lease, which has not been finalized, but material terms are being exchanged. And, I understood your

comment about what I'll call your termination option. The question, I guess, is, if we enter into

that lease -- assuming we can agree on the existing terms on some level -- can that lease -- can

we proceed with that lease without demolishing the property during the pendency of the lease,

understanding that you still have your termination notice. And maybe, two years from now,

three years from now, you'll have an offer and you'll decide you're exercising your rights,

which, again, we're not trying to infringe upon. Is that something that would be tenable to your

client?

Ms. Brigham Boulris: I appreciate the question, and I'm sure my client will, too. I don't have

the client/principal with me today. I will take that back is the best I can do. I would say that the

current draft lease clearly contemplates a demolition...

Commissioner Mena: Understood.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Because of the number of parking spaces that would need to be achieved,

and a demolition would be an essential part of that.

Commissioner Mena: Right. But, we would be saying we don't want parking spaces anymore.

We don't want to demolish the building.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Right.

Commissioner Mena: You'll still get the income that you're promised pursuant to the lease and

the building will stay up, subject to your termination option, which I'm not trying to...

Ms. Brigham Boulris: The simple answer is that that would need to be probably renegotiated in

the draft lease, because the termination provision is tied to the City's cost of implementing the

parking lot. So, that would all need to be kind of redone. So, I think the current draft lease

wouldn't work under that scenario. But I believe I'm authorized to say that, you know, even

with the demolition permit in hand, there can be a voluntary pause to talk about these scenarios,

including, you know, purchase or lease. That's the best I can do for today, but I don't...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you.

Ms. Brigham Boulris: Think the current lease draft, as written, would work.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you. Thank you very much.

Commissioner Mena: Thank you.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: I would like to finish this, and then I'll entertain a motion by mentioning

some of what I see happening. And I live in a historic home. We have spent millions of dollars

in historic preservation, and I am very, very much for historic significance, historic awareness

because that is one of the things that makes Coral Gables what it is. However, we can also go

too far in terms of historic designations. I hear that there's a move to designate some horrible

buildings in Sidonia, I think it is, which are really horrible buildings, because they were built in

the '40s and '50s. They're more than 50 years old and they are cubes; cubes with some little

details, but I think that's wrong. There's also talk about designating homes in North Gables that

were built -- over 50 or 60 years old, which means that we would have 7 or 8,000 homes

designated in Coral Gables, which would be a horrible nightmare. As opposed to spending \$3

million on historic preservation, we'd have to spend \$3 million on the staff of the Historic

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Page 59

Officer. There has been talk -- or there was a mention of designating as historic our police and

fire existing Salzedo building. And it's one -- in a magazine that I have here behind me, which I

think is a nightmare. And I am for historic, but I think that we have to be very, very careful how

far we take this so that we don't become the laughingstock of the world or foolish in doing too

much that we hurt our city. I will now entertain a motion by, you know, whoever, the two

proponents of this, Vince Lago or Commissioner Mena or anybody else. I'll entertain a

motion...

Commissioner Mena: I need...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: And we'll discuss it.

Commissioner Mena: I need -- okay, so...

Commissioner Lago: So...

Commissioner Mena: Miriam, I need a little guidance on this because...

City Attorney Ramos: Yes, sir.

Commissioner Mena: Can we amend the prior resolution?

City Attorney Ramos: It would be preferable, since the time period has passed, that you rescind

it and you pass a new resolution. That would be cleaner, procedurally.

Vice Mayor Quesada: What do you...?

Commissioner Mena: Okay.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Mena -- Commissioner Mena, what are you thinking?

Commissioner Keon: The parking.

Commissioner Mena: No, I think -- listen, I -- the whole point of why I had proposed that -- and I agree with Commissioner Lago -- was I don't think we should proceed with any scenario where we're involved in the demolition and/or a surface parking lot there. So, with that objective in mind, what I would like to do is for the negotiations to continue and see if -- you heard the question I just asked the attorney -- see if there's a way to reach an agreement where we still enter into a lease, the building stays up, and we continue and have more time to have further

negotiations on how -- and whether or not there's an option to preserve the building.

Vice Mayor Quesada: I agree with that.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: I would like for you...

Vice Mayor Quesada: My concern...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: To make that part of the motion to rescind. I will vote against the motion

to rescind without knowing what's going to replace it.

City Attorney Ramos: They should be two separate motions...

Commissioner Mena: There'll be two separate motions.

City Attorney Ramos: And two separate votes.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay, but tell me what the second one...

Commissioner Mena: Right.

Commissioner Lago: I think the second motion...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Is going to be.

Commissioner Lago: Will go along the same vein as what...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay.

Commissioner Lago: As what...

Vice Mayor Quesada: Yeah.

Commissioner Lago: Commissioner Mena just mentioned right now.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Because the issue is, if we move to rescind and it passes, they're entitled to demolish and that building is gone.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Yeah.

Commissioner Mena: They're entitled to do that...

Commissioner Lago: They're entitled...

Commissioner Keon: They're entitled to do...

Commissioner Lago: To do that now.

Commissioner Keon: That anyway.

City Attorney Ramos: They can demolish anyway.

Vice Mayor Quesada: I understand that, but if we could work something out and lease it...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Right.

Vice Mayor Quesada: We have a shot. It gives...

Commissioner Lago: Yes.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Us a better shot.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Alright.

Commissioner Lago: Yes.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Which...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Alright.

Commissioner Keon: Well...

Vice Mayor Quesada: It could still mean...

Commissioner Keon: Okay...

Vice Mayor Quesada: That it gets demolished...

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Commissioner Keon: But you can...

Vice Mayor Quesada: But...

Commissioner Keon: We can rescind the ordinance -- the resolution on the parking lot.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Correct.

Commissioner Keon: And, you can direct the Manager to then enter into a discussion over a certain period of time, with limitations on the period of time, to look at the...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Will you call -- will you make the motion?

Commissioner Lago: But it has...

Commissioner Keon: The agreement between the property owner...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: And the City.

Commissioner Keon: And with regard to the building.

Commissioner Lago: And I...

Commissioner Keon: If they come to none...

Vice Mayor Quesada: Agree.

Commissioner Keon: They'll come back.

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Commissioner Lago: And I think that also...

Commissioner Keon: And they'll make a decision.

Commissioner Lago: We also have to provide the Manager with a little bit of leeway in regards to expenditures. She's got to go out there and get appraisals probably, and you know, maybe do something in the sense of -- to find out a real value -- what the value is in the open market. I know that there has been already some -- that property was under contract on separate occasions. I don't know actually what that was. I was trying to look it up online. I couldn't find it on LoopNet, so those are things that we'd have to, obviously, depend on our staff -- on Leonard to

come in and really give us a more in depth background in regards to a valuation on the property. So, you want to make that motion?

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Yeah.

Commissioner Mena: Yeah, absolutely.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: To rescind.

Commissioner Mena: So, I'm going to -- okay, so the first motion is going to be to rescind

resolution number...

Commissioner Lago: 2018-126?

Commissioner Mena: 2018-126.

Commissioner Keon: Alright. I'll second that.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Is there a second?

Commissioner Keon: I second it.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: You did. Okay, will you call the roll, please?

Commissioner Lago: Yes.

Commissioner Mena: Yes.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Yes.

Commissioner Keon: Yes.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Yes.

(Vote: 5-0)

Commissioner Mena: And, then I will make a second motion to instruct staff to...

Commissioner Keon: To direct the Manager.

Commissioner Mena: To direct the Manager, excuse me, to pursue negotiations with the property owner, understanding that our objective would be to try to preserve the building and to explore whatever avenues we have to be able to do.

Commissioner Keon: If possible.

Commissioner Lago: I'll second it.

Commissioner Keon: Over how long a period of time? Could we put a time limit on that?

Commissioner Lago: Well, I think it also depends...

Commissioner Keon: Two months?

Commissioner Mena: Why are you so worried about putting a time limit on it?

Commissioner Keon: Because I don't -- you know what, I don't want to see a building sit there

and...

Commissioner Lago: But it's not.

Commissioner Keon: Deteriorate.

Commissioner Lago: It's not going to sit there and deteriorate.

Commissioner Keon: Because if -- you know, I also think that they deserve closure. I mean,

somebody...

Commissioner Lago: But Commissioner...

Commissioner Mena: But they're not...

Commissioner Lago: They're not...

Commissioner Mena: They're not bound by anything...

Commissioner Lago: Yeah, they're not.

Commissioner Mena: We're doing.

Commissioner Keon: Okay.

City Commission Meeting July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Commissioner Lago: They can move forward. They're going to be -- they're moving forward

through the process and dealing with staff. And again, Dona's not going to stop and say, hey,

you know, the Commission has told us to not sign this document.

Commissioner Keon: Okay.

Commissioner Lago: They're going to move forward.

Commissioner Keon: So, they can go forward...

Commissioner Lago: It's in the City's best interest to expedite this as quickly as possible.

Commissioner Mena: Right.

Commissioner Lago: My concern, with all due respect to the owner of the property, is that

they'll drag their feet on this and not meet with the City, and I'm being candid. And I -- that's

why I asked -- that's why I kept saying in good faith.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Yeah, but it's their property.

Commissioner Lago: I know.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: They can do it.

Commissioner Lago: That's -- but I'm being...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay.

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Page 68

Commissioner Lago: Candid about it.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay.

Commissioner Lago: I'm having -- we're having...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Yeah.

Commissioner Lago: A conversation here.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Yeah.

Commissioner Lago: And, that's where I hope that the owners of the property see the willingness of this Commission and that we have an interest in potentially coming to some sort of agreement. I don't know what that agreement may be.

Commissioner Keon: Okay. But we understand...

Commissioner Lago: But let me just finish my...

Commissioner Keon: Okay, I'm sorry.

Commissioner Lago: My comments. Just give me one second.

Commissioner Keon: Yeah, I'm sorry.

Commissioner Lago: That it may be different iterations. It may be a lease, like the Vice Mayor said, which allows us to potentially address this property in the near future. Like the Mayor said -- and he addressed it very eloquently -- we've had significant deferred maintenance issues in the

City of Coral Gables. It's not this Commission's fault. This is from years. But this year, we've

dealt with issues from maintaining all our entrances, from Miracle Mile, from Merrick House, a

litany of projects that have been dealt with that have been going on -- the White Way lights, a lot

of other things that we're dealing with now. If we were to acquire this building, one way or

another, either with a lease or an outright sale, this doesn't have to be priority number one. It

could be priority number 10, and we could deal with it in three or four years, taking into

consideration what Commissioner Keon just said right now, which is, obviously, we've got to

keep it up to a certain standard, so the building doesn't collapse on itself. So...

City Attorney Ramos: I think it's important for the Manager's Office to have direction on what

are the options that she's contemplating. Is it only a lease? Is it a lease...?

Commissioner Mena: No.

City Attorney Ramos: Or...

Commissioner Mena: I think...

City Attorney Ramos: A purchase?

Commissioner Mena: I would say -- I think -- I'm open to any suggestion that works, but it

could be a lease. It could be purchase, although, I agree with Commissioner Keon that I think

that's unlikely for us. But it could be -- and I think Commissioner Lago mentioned this earlier.

It could be a scenario where there's some TDRs involved that maybe...

Commissioner Lago: There's 32,000 TDRs...

Commissioner Mena: Right.

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Page 70

Commissioner Lago: On that property.

Commissioner Mena: That, you know, this is adjacent to several parking lots, where maybe that

makes it -- that provides value to the owner of the property and incentivizes them to maintain the

building. So, we have to work with them.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Yeah.

Commissioner Mena: And I'm open to a suggestion that works for them, but also works for us

and preserves the building.

City Attorney Ramos: Okay, so any and all options.

City Manager Swanson-Rivenbark: There may be 23,000 TDRs. Just...

Commissioner Lago: I got that directly from staff.

City Manager Swanson-Rivenbark: Well, I got that from staff, too, so we'll have to figure out

what the correct number is. I'm sorry.

Commissioner Lago: Okay.

Commissioner Keon: Alright, so it is to direct the Manager to negotiate -- the Manager and her

staff, Historic Preservation, Building, whoever she feels is part of that -- to negotiate with the

owner to explore any way there is to retain the building or to save the building.

Commissioner Mena: Right.

Commissioner Keon: I believe that's what you're asking.

Commissioner Lago: Let me correct myself.

Commissioner Keon: Okay.

Commissioner Lago: Let me correct myself. There's 32,000 square feet of development rights that could be transferred, not actual TDRs, so we have to -- there's a calculation that needs to be done there, so I want to be careful on that.

Commissioner Keon: Okay.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay.

Commissioner Keon: Is that your motion?

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Alright.

Commissioner Keon: I'll second your motion.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: It's been moved and seconded. Will you call the roll, please?

Commissioner Mena: Yes.

Vice Mayor Quesada: Yes.

Commissioner Keon: Yes.

Commissioner Lago: Yes.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Yes.

(Vote: 5-0)

Commissioner Mena: Can I say...

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Thank you.

Commissioner Keon: That also -- can I also...

Commissioner Mena: One last thing.

Commissioner Keon: Go ahead.

Commissioner Mena: One very quick last thing. I think it was Mr. Ruano who made the point earlier. I'd like to take this opportunity to sort of evaluate this entire process. And I'm not referring to the process of the board's approval, but you know, there's been a lot of conversation of well, the building's this very dark painted color, black, charcoal. I've heard different labels put on it. The stairwell's gone or isn't gone. How did those things happen in the interim, and why wasn't there a discussion about the historic nature of the building at those points in time? I'd like to understand that to inform myself and understand what happened along the course here so that, you know, we can just be more cognizant of these things going forward. So, I don't know if it's Dona's office would be the appropriate people to look into this or Peter. I'll defer to the City Manager on who the appropriate person is, but I'd just like to understand how we got to where we are in terms of the state of the building.

City Manager Swanson-Rivenbark: Understand. And Mr. Mayor, I appreciate you sharing with the public the millions of dollars that this Commission has reinvested into the history of the City, because we were struggling with a lot of deferred maintenance; the \$1.6 million on the plazas, fountains, entranceways, the \$1.5 million in the Merrick House. And, I'll also need to remind the public that when the Historic Preservation director sounded the alarm for 2506 that it was going to be gutted with a restaurant and it had been designated, and it had been embraced by the Historic Preservation Board, the Commission bought the property within two weeks' time. Emergency item was set. Commission walked through the property. We did the closing. So,

there were -- there are opportunities when this City has acted swiftly to protect a historic

treasure, but it was with the sounding of the alarm from Historic and the Historic Preservation

Board. Those alarms were not sounded on this project. And, I just have to say that with respect

to the number of criticisms that the Commission has been getting, that those -- if that were to

happen, then the scenario that you're dealing with would have been different.

Commissioner Mena: I think Dona wanted to say -- respond to me.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: I just wanted to clarify a couple of things on the alterations

to the building. I believe they were done early. I think they were done in the 1970s.

Commissioner Lago: Yeah.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: And, that was very early in the preservation ordinance life

in the city. It was not designated as historic. It would have gone to the Board of Architects. All

of the alterations were done permits. It's not like it was done -- anything that was done illegally.

But now, we attend the Board of Architects and the Board of Architects is very good about

looking at a historically significant building and referring it to my department to work with the

owner. And so, there are safeguards in place. You know, the black paint, I don't know what

happened to that.

Commissioner Lago: Commissioner Mena.

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: But that did go to the Board of Architects.

Commissioner Lago: And another question that I asked Chris Rupp from Dade Heritage Trust

and Arva Parks, which I met with yesterday, were these changes made with a permit. And they

assured...

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Page 74

Historic Preservation Officer Spain: Right.

Commissioner Lago: Me that all of them were made with a permit, and I discussed this with Dona again right after the meeting. I couldn't believe it. It went back to my point, where I prefaced my statement with saying I was under the impression that this building was already designated, so...

Commissioner Mena: Right.

Commissioner Keon: Well, I think it's...

Commissioner Lago: That was...

Commissioner Keon: What she points out...

Commissioner Lago: My mistake.

Commissioner Keon: Is that it was 50 years ago.

Commissioner Lago: Right.

Commissioner Keon: And you know, we have come a long way in 50 years as a city.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: Okay. Why don't we...

Commissioner Keon: And improved our processes and everything else, and we will continue to

do so.

City Commission Meeting

July 10, 2018

Agenda Item H-1 - Discussion regarding LaSalle Dry Cleaner's proposed demolition.

Mayor Valdes-Fauli: We have people that had to leave at 12, and we have two time-certain items here.

Commissioner Keon: Okay.