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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | subject to the city's False Claim Ordinance, |
| 2 | Chapter 39 of the City of Coral Gables City |
| 3 | Code. |
| 4 | I now call the City of Coral Gables |
| 5 | Historic Preservation Board meeting, June 21, |
| 6 | 2018, to order. The time is 4:07. |
| 7 | Present today, to my left, Mr. Raul |
| 8 | Rodriguez, Mr. Robert Parsley, Mr. Alejandro |
| 9 | Silva; to my right, Mr. Albert Menendez, |
| 10 | Mr. John Fullerton, Mr. Bruce Ehrenhaft. |
| 11 | Approval of the minutes. The next item on |
| 12 | the agenda is the approval of the minutes for |
| 13 | the meeting held on May 24,2018 . Are there |
| 14 | any changes or corrections to that meeting |
| 15 | minutes? |
| 16 | MR. SILVA: Move approval. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is there a second? |
| 18 | MR. MENENDEZ: Second. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: All those in favor of |
| 20 | approval, please say aye. |
| 21 | (Board members respond "aye.") |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: All those against? |
| 23 | (No response) |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. |
| 25 | The notice regarding ex-parte |
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| 1 | communications says that this board is a |
| 2 | quasi-judicial board and the items on the |
| 3 | agenda are quasi-judicial in nature, which |
| 4 | requires the board members to disclose all |
| 5 | ex-parte communications. Ex-parte |
| 6 | communication is defined as any contact, |
| 7 | communication, conversation, correspondence, |
| 8 | memorandum or other written or verbal |
| 9 | communication that takes place outside a public |
| 10 | hearing between a member of the public and a |
| 11 | member of the quasi-judicial board regarding |
| 12 | matters to be heard by the quasi-judicial |
| 13 | board. |
| 14 | If anyone has made any contact with a |
| 15 | board member, when the issue comes before the |
| 16 | board, the member must state on the record the |
| 17 | existence of the ex-parte communication, the |
| 18 | party who originated the communication, and |
| 19 | whether that communication will affect the |
| 20 | board member's ability to impartially consider |
| 21 | the evidence to be presented regarding the |
| 22 | matter. |
| 23 | So, would the board have such |
| 24 | communication to disclose today? |
| 25 | (No response) |
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| 1 | approval? |
| 2 | MR. MENENDEZ: Move approval. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Second? |
| 4 | MR. FULLERTON: Second. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: All those in favor, |
| 6 | please say aye. |
| 7 | (Board members respond "aye.") |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. So, today's |
| 9 | first item is Case File LHD 2018-002. This is |
| 10 | consideration of the local historic designation |
| 11 | Of the Coral Gables Historic City Plan. A |
| 12 | lengthy description is on file with the City of |
| 13 | Coral Gables Historic Resources and Cultural |
| 14 | Arts Department. |
| 15 | MS. GUIN: Good evening. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Hello. |
| 17 | MS. GUIN: So, you have in your packet the |
| 18 | designation report, which is comprehensive and |
| 19 | includes a lot of details. So, tonight our |
| 20 | presentation is gonna -- just gonna be a very |
| 21 | brief overview of significance. We'll take |
| 22 | questions after the presentation. Gus, our |
| 23 | intrepid city attorney representative, is here, |
| 24 | as well as Gary Resnick, who's an outside |
| 25 | counsel. He can answer any questions along |
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| 1 | person, important in the past. In Criteria 4, |
| 2 | exemplifies the historical, cultural, |
| 3 | political, economic or social trends of the |
| 4 | community. |
| 5 | The architectural significance, Criteria |
| 6 | 2, embodies those distinguishing |
| 7 | characteristics of an architectural style or |
| 8 | period or method of construction. Criteria 3, |
| 9 | is an outstanding work of a prominent designer |
| 10 | or builder. And Criteria 4, contains elements |
| 11 | of design, detail, materials or craftsmanship |
| 12 | of outstanding quality or which represents a |
| 13 | significant innovation or adaptation of South |
| 14 | Florida environment. |
| 15 | And then finally, the aesthetic |
| 16 | significance, Criteria 1, by being a part or |
| 17 | related to a subdivision, park, environmental |
| 18 | feature, or other distinctive area, should be |
| 19 | developed or preserved according to a plan |
| 20 | based on its historical, cultural or |
| 21 | architectural motif. And then lastly, Criteria |
| 22 | 2 , because of its prominence of spatial |
| 23 | location, contrasts of sighting, age, or scale |
| 24 | is an easily identifiable feature of a |
| 25 | neighborhood, village or the city. It |
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| 1 | contributes to the distinctive quality or |
| 2 | identity of such neighborhood, village or the |
| 3 | city. In the case of a park or landscape |
| 4 | feature, is integral to the plan of such a |
| 5 | neighborhood or a city. |
| 6 | So the historic significance of the Coral |
| 7 | Gables Historic City Plan. Incorporated in |
| 8 | 1925 by developer George E. Merrick, Coral |
| 9 | Gables, was originally conceived as a suburb of |
| 10 | Miami. It attracted investors from across the |
| 11 | nation during the South Florida real estate |
| 12 | boom of the 1920s. Merrick drew from the |
| 13 | Garden City and City Beautiful movements of the |
| 14 | 19th and early 20th century to create his |
| 15 | vision for a fully-conceived Mediterranean |
| 16 | inspired city which is now considered one of |
| 17 | the first modern planned communities in the |
| 18 | United States. |
| 19 | The City Beautiful Movement, sorry, strove |
| 20 | to define new communities and to mitigate urban |
| 21 | sprawl. The movement's premise was that |
| 22 | through thoughtful planning, that included |
| 23 | tree-lined streets, monumental public |
| 24 | buildings, planned housing, and extensive park |
| 25 | development, as well as control of such |


monumental buildings and tree-shaded streets.
Nationally acclaimed landscape architect Frank Button drew the first comprehensive map of what would become the City of Coral Gables in 1921. And you can take a closer look at this map on page 12 of your report. It was based on an infrastructure of the inherited grid of fruit trees from the Merrick plantations, citrus plantation, as well as the native pineland.

Laid carefully over the resulting grid of orthogonal streets, which run north-south, and the avenues, which run east-west, are a series of diagonal and curved roadways as depicted in this 1925 map. This map is found on page 19, if you want to take a look.

The meeting of the diagonal and orthogonal thoroughfares created both large and open areas at the intersections, often used for roundabouts, as well as smaller geometric spaces known as reservations or breathing spaces that provided additional green space and visual appeal. This aerial photo dates to 1925. That's DeSoto Boulevard running through the middle with the Venetian Pool at the
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| 1 | Historic City Plan lies in its unique sense of |
| 2 | place that it imparts. From the rational |
| 3 | nature of rhythmic placement of streets, |
| 4 | buildings and open areas, to the great variety |
| 5 | of spatial experiences planned throughout the |
| 6 | city, it resulted in a harmonious integration |
| 7 | of planning, landscaping and architecture. It |
| 8 | was comprehensive in thinking, poetic in |
| 9 | ambiance, and detailed in execution. Merrick's |
| 10 | vision and plan resulted in a modern -- master |
| 11 | modern suburb who precepts continue to be |
| 12 | hailed today. |
| 13 | In summary, the over-arching plan for the |
| 14 | City of Coral Gables is -- is inextricably - |
| 15 | I'm having a good time tonight - bound with its |
| 16 | developer and founder, George E. Merrick. When |
| 17 | Merrick turned his attention from the family's |
| 18 | agricultural enterprise to real estate, he |
| 19 | envisioned the development of the modern |
| 20 | community of Coral Gables as a Mediterranean |
| 21 | themed city which celebrated its tropical |
| 22 | environment. He formed a talented team of |
| 23 | architects, artists and landscape architects |
| 24 | responsible for carrying out this vision. |
| 25 | After careful and comprehensive planning, the |
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| 1 | harmoniously integrating planning, landscaping |
| 2 | and architecture to create a city with a |
| 3 | distinct sense of place which we still honor |
| 4 | today and is deserving of protection and |
| 5 | preservation for future generations. |
| 6 | Therefore, staff recommends approval of |
| 7 | the Local Historic Designation of the Coral |
| 8 | Gables Historic City Plan based on its |
| 9 | historical, cultural significance, its |
| 10 | architectural significance, as well as its |
| 11 | aesthetic significance. |
| 12 | Also, included in your packets is a memo |
| 13 | from Dona, since she couldn't be here today. |
| 14 | And you can read this, but I would like to read |
| 15 | her last paragraph into the record. She said, |
| 16 | "Since I'm not able to attend the hearing, I |
| 17 | want to share with you how excited I am that |
| 18 | this is finally happening. Historic |
| 19 | Preservation does not happen automatically. It |
| 20 | takes scholarship, commitment and passion to |
| 21 | ensure for future generations the wealth of |
| 22 | their past. For many years we've talked about |
| 23 | the importance of preserving George Merrick's |
| 24 | vision by designating the Historic City Plan of |
| 25 | Coral Gables as a Local Historic Landmark. |
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| 1 | This is particularly true today with the |
| 2 | increased development potential. The |
| 3 | designation is one of many ways that the city |
| 4 | is undertaking to ensure proper growth while |
| 5 | maintaining its rich heritage." |
| 6 | And then also included in your packet is |
| 7 | another letter of support from architect Jorge |
| 8 | Hernandez. And I'd like to read the last |
| 9 | paragraph of his letter into the record. "The |
| 10 | artistry that is Coral Gables is now |
| 11 | increasingly understood and known as one of the |
| 12 | nation's great early 20th century suburbs. |
| 13 | Merrick's place in the pantheon of American |
| 14 | planning is on the ascend. This decision |
| 15 | tonight is an important step in granting that |
| 16 | plan, the very design of our beautiful city, |
| 17 | the distinction, protection and care that it |
| 18 | merits." |
| 19 | Thank you. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: I have a question. In |
| 21 | regards to -- you call it the, um, the original |
| 22 | Historic City Plan, but the city kept growing |
| 23 | in the -- the -- the city kept growing as |
| 24 | you -- George kept purchasing more land. At |
| 25 | what point did that become the official final |
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| 1 | adopted a new plan? |
| 2 | MS. GUIN: Frank Button worked for the |
| 3 | city until the late '30s when, um, he passed |
| 4 | away. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: What -- what we're doing |
| 6 | is we're -- the city kept adopting the plan as |
| 7 | it went along, growing and changing with it. |
| 8 | MS. KAUTZ: Yes. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Then at some point we're |
| 10 | saying that's the end of the adoption, we're |
| 11 | calling that the end of the line. |
| 12 | MS. KAUTZ: Right. |
| 13 | MS. GUIN: Yes. Because what it was, we |
| 14 | annexed over the other areas, they came with |
| 15 | their own, uh, zoning precepts. So that -- |
| 16 | that began to change a little bit with some of |
| 17 | those areas. |
| 18 | MS. KAUTZ: The, um, the -- the feel kind |
| 19 | of started to change a little bit, too. |
| 20 | MS. GUIN: Yeah. |
| 21 | MS. KAUTZ: This is just basic with the -- |
| 22 | the most historic portion. |
| 23 | MR. PARSLEY: Okay. Can I ask a question? |
| 24 | How, if we adopt this, uh, how might it be |
| 25 | used, uh, if -- if you go back to Dona's memo |
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| 1 | MS. KAUTZ: That -- the -- the pond. |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: The big circle. |
| 3 | MS. KAUTZ: The -- |
| 4 | MR. PARSLEY: Mediterranean. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: That section. |
| 6 | MS. KAUTZ: Mediterranean Village, that's |
| 7 | already -- that's already been approved. |
| 8 | That's in permit. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: But would there be some |
| 10 | changes that go along with -- with that circle |
| 11 | there maybe or the way that... Because I know, |
| 12 | for example, they changed, when you turn right, |
| 13 | at some point they added some -- some green |
| 14 | space, for example. That kind of stuff, is |
| 15 | that coming to us, for example? That was -- |
| 16 | MS. KAUTZ: If that -- if it's proposed to |
| 17 | be eliminated or, um, yes it would. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: How about the use of what |
| 19 | circle does -- the park could look like? The -- |
| 20 | the use of the park, would that be something |
| 21 | that we would look at? |
| 22 | MS. KAUTZ: I believe so. |
| 23 | MS. GUIN: Yeah, for the parks they would. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: So if an amphitheater |
| 25 | wants to be placed there, for example -- I |
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| 1 | little street deviation off Segovia and |
| 2 | Alhambra a while back, that came to us. |
| 3 | MS. GUIN: Mm-hmm. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: How did that differ from |
| 5 | this? In other words, how did that come about? |
| 6 | MS. KAUTZ: That came to you all |
| 7 | specifically because when we designated |
| 8 | Alhambra as a historic district -- |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Mm-hmm. |
| 10 | MS. KAUTZ: -- um, it was important to us |
| 11 | to designate the rights-of-way at Alhambra so |
| 12 | that nothing could happen to the -- the wide |
| 13 | median. Um, so when we did that particular |
| 14 | district, we included the rights-of-way, |
| 15 | specifically so that the street couldn't be |
| 16 | widened, the, um, the median couldn't be |
| 17 | narrowed, things like that. So in that case, |
| 18 | when they, um, the intersections abut that |
| 19 | district, they'll come to you all. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: But all these in the |
| 21 | future would be similar -- similar to that |
| 22 | would come here, because we're talking about |
| 23 | whether a curve takes up wider or lesser turn |
| 24 | or something? |
| 25 | MS. KAUTZ: Yes. Correct. |
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| 1 | MS. GUIN: Mm-hmm. |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: The ones that also come |
| 3 | to mind are the Granada intersects with |
| 4 | Columbus and all those little things you guys |
| 5 | were working on in terms of expanding, taking |
| 6 | away asphalt or expanding green space and all |
| 7 | that. |
| 8 | MS. GUIN: Mm-hmm. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Similar things, right? |
| 10 | MS. KAUTZ: Right. That would all come to |
| 11 | you. |
| 12 | MR. SILVA: I -- I have some logistical |
| 13 | questions. |
| 14 | MS. KAUTZ: Sure. |
| 15 | MR. SILVA: Um, so things like -- like |
| 16 | run-of-the-mill public works projects, right, |
| 17 | sidewalk repairs, things like that, those would |
| 18 | technically fall under this purvey, right? |
| 19 | MS. KAUTZ: If it's repair work, it's |
| 20 | maintenance, it's the same we would do with the |
| 21 | historic landmark, if it's a maintenance thing, |
| 22 | it wouldn't come to you all for review. If |
| 23 | it's -- if it's substantially altering the |
| 24 | portion of that feature, then yes. |
| 25 | MR. SILVA: But that would be like an |
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| 1 | line, right? |
| 2 | MS. KAUTZ: Mm-hmm. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Where that's included in |
| 4 | this area that we're designating, right? |
| 5 | MS. KAUTZ: Mm-hmm. |
| 6 | MR. SILVA: So that -- |
| 7 | MS. KAUTZ: Mm-hmm. |
| 8 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: How about a situation like |
| 9 | FPL putting these light posts -- I mean the |
| 10 | telephone poles along Ponce de Leon Boulevard, |
| 11 | would that have to come here? |
| 12 | MS. KAUTZ: I believe so, yes. |
| 13 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: So we can stop FPL from |
| 14 | doing that? |
| 15 | (Crosstalk) |
| 16 | MS. KAUTZ: (Laughs). You all can make a |
| 17 | recommendation. |
| 18 | MS. GUIN: You can recommend it to the |
| 19 | city commission (laughs). |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. Anything |
| 21 | else? Hello, Alicia, you snuck in. |
| 22 | For the record, Alicia is in attendance. |
| 23 | All right. Any further comments or |
| 24 | questions for staff? |
| 25 | (No response) |
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| 1 | MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. |
| 2 | THE SECRETARY: Ms. Bache-Wiig? |
| 3 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. |
| 4 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Parsley? |
| 5 | MR. PARSLEY: Yes. |
| 6 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Rodriguez? |
| 7 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. |
| 8 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Fullerton? |
| 9 | MR. FULLERTON: Yes. |
| 10 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Silva? |
| 11 | MR. SILVA: Yes. |
| 12 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Ehrenhaft? |
| 13 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. |
| 14 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Torre? |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes. Thank you. |
| 16 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, we congratulate the |
| 17 | staff for the write-up. I'm keeping this. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: That's very nice. |
| 19 | (Crosstalk) |
| 20 | BOARD MEMBER: It's so good. You could |
| 21 | make a book out of this. |
| 22 | MS. GUIN: It felt like it could. I was |
| 23 | saying I was writing another thesis. |
| 24 | MS. KAUTZ: She graduated. We gave her an |
| 25 | A plus (laughs). |

MS. GUIN: I graduated. Thank you. MR. SILVA: Wow, very impressive. CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you very much. (Agenda item concluded.)

CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. Next item on the agenda is Case File LHD -- this is local historic designation for the property at 741 Navarre Avenue, legally described as Lot 26, Block -- Block 26, Coral Gables Section B, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 5, Page 111 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County.

MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. So this is a -- a 1940s photograph of the property in question on Navarre Avenue with the location map, um, it's just North of Alhambra Circle and kind of to the southeast of, um, the country club.

So, this application was brought to us by the owner of the property, um, owner-driven designation. Just gonna make that -- it is a single-family residence that was designed in 1924. It was permit number 285. The first, um, pages of the city's permit books, up until about number 1300, um, were lost many years ago. So, um, it was built prior to the
designation of the city and permitted, um, permitted after the city was incorporated. It was designed by H. George Fink, who was a very prominent and important member of George Merrick's initial design team. The -- the original owner was Mr. E.C. Schwab. And the builder is unknown.

So Article 3, Section 3-1103, as Elizabeth, um, had just mentioned previously of the Zoning Code, states the criteria for designation of local historic landmarks or landmark districts. We must meet one of the criteria outlined in the code. And 741 Navarre Avenue meets two. Both architectural, uh, it portrays the environment in an era of history characterized by one or more distinctive architectural styles and it embodies those distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style through a period or method of construction. In this case it is a Mediterranean revival. Um, and it's also qualified as a cottage. So Coral Gables' development history can broadly be divided into major historical periods. Uh, the Coral Gables initial phase and development, um, the land
boom prior to the hurricane of 1926 , then the aftermath of the 1926 hurricane, followed by the Great Depression, the New Deal, and the beginning of the war, so it's 1927-44 and post World War II and the modern period.

It was designed in 1924, this home, uh, occurred during the city's boom years and is indicative of the type of architecture that was the founding premise of the city.

So this was, uh, located within subdivision platted as Coral Gables Section B, as it sounds. It was one of the earliest sections after section A. Um, this property is located in an area of smaller lots. Um, and is an example of the more modest residences designed to provide the same level and quality of construction as the larger homes, um, that flank the major boulevards. Merrick had his architects design these finely detailed Mediterranean Revival style homes on smaller lots, uh, to demonstrate that smaller and moderately priced homes can be just as charming and beautiful as the larger homes. And this type has become known as the Coral Gables Cottage.
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| 1 | loggia, which has now been enclosed, with the |
| 2 | three arches on the front, to the right of the |
| 3 | screen, um, which are typical of the time |
| 4 | period. |
| 5 | Has first floor over a crawlspace, um, a |
| 6 | textured stucco finish which appears to be |
| 7 | original. Flat roof with a parapet, um, |
| 8 | parapet is stepped in varying heights. Uh, |
| 9 | there's a porte cochere to, uh, the side, with |
| 10 | segmental arched openings, um, on all three |
| 11 | sides. Has decorative clay scuppers on the |
| 12 | front that you can see on the right-hand photo. |
| 13 | The windows are highly recessed. Um, this is |
| 14 | on the east side. That's an original |
| 15 | configuration where there was a -- a |
| 16 | rectangular window set into a recessed opening. |
| 17 | Um, you can see the vents, uh, above as |
| 18 | decorative accents, both single and double. On |
| 19 | the right is a wing wall that comes off the |
| 20 | porte cochere that's a really beautiful shape. |
| 21 | Has a prominent chimney to -- that you see to |
| 22 | the right with, um, with shoulders with -- that |
| 23 | have barrel tile on them. Um, oops, sorry. Um, |
| 24 | and there's the really prominent feature on the |
| 25 | front, the stylized mission revival curved-top |

parapet that looks sort of like a bell tower, and then barrel tile coping throughout the parapet. It also has a detached garage, which has since been enclosed. Um, French doors sort of delineate the opening of what would have been the garage door. And these are the two facades, the front and, uh, and the side.

So, comparison of the permit drawings, and historic photos, um, with the existing house, show this house retains its integrity over the years. As was often the case, as-built features may differ from the permit drawings, um, that we have on file. And based on the comparison of the two, there were a few changes that occurred, most likely during construction. On the permit drawing that you see on the bottom of the screen, um, two of the arched openings that flank the center, um, have some sort of iron. It's called out as ironwork. Um, I don't know if they were railings, if they were scree -- to hold the screens. I'm not sure what they were, but these iron elements that were never, um, constructed.

In the 1940's photo that -- the loggia has been screened, but there are no, there's no
ironwork, there's no pickets, except there's a wooden picket, um, railing, on the east side, which you can see in the 40's photo. Other deviations from the drawing, uh, includes the construction details of the front steps. Um, in the bottom it was supposed to be sort of splayed out and as it was built with two retaining walls. Um, the elimination of the cement moulding, um, at the masonry columns, that you see right where the spring point of the arches is, and the design of the winged wall at the southeast corner, um, also changed design.

Alterations to the property include the enclosure of the front porch, a rear addition, changes in window type, and the alterations to the attached garage structure. In 1979 a permit was issued to enclose the front porch and move the front door to the front facade as you see it now. At some unknown date, unfortunately the wing wall at the southeast corner was also removed. Um, it was sh -- not shown on the elevations that, um, were provided that, that enclose that front porch. So it was removed at some point prior to that date.

created the fabric of Mediterranean Revival architecture, which became emblematic of the city. Built during the boom years, the residence, um, typifies a distinctive architectural type that was prevalent in the city in the '20s, known as a Coral Gables Cottage. They were modest in size, but built with the same high quality construction, and Med Revival style features as other structures that shaped the new city in the early ' 20 s. This home, with its original detached garage, is a largely intact cottage and retains its integrity, and contributes to the historic fabric of the city. And we recommend approval. And the owner is in the audience.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Questions --
MS. KAUTZ: Oh, and I do want to note, too, finally. I'm sorry, um, there is on the desk, uh, it was received earlier today, an email from Historic Preservation Association, that is, um, noting their support of both this designation and the one that follows.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Any questions for staff? (No response)

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you.
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| 1 | words. Anybody else? |
| 2 | (No response) |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: We'll close the public |
| 4 | hearing. Discussion. |
| 5 | MR. SILVA: I think it's a -- |
| 6 | MS. KAUTZ: Anyone? |
| 7 | MR. SILVA: I think it's a beautiful home. |
| 8 | And I think it's very deserving of historic |
| 9 | designation. So I would move approval. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: There we go. |
| 11 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: I second. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Perfect. Any further |
| 13 | comments or questions? Discussion? |
| 14 | (No response) |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Good to go. |
| 16 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Ehrenhaft? |
| 17 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. |
| 18 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Menendez? |
| 19 | MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. |
| 20 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Silva? |
| 21 | MR. SILVA: Yes. |
| 22 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Parsley? |
| 23 | MR. PARSLEY: Yes. |
| 24 | THE SECRETARY: Ms. Bache-Wiig? |
| 25 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. |
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| 1 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Fullerton? |
| 2 | MR. FULLERTON: Yes. |
| 3 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Rodriguez? |
| 4 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. |
| 5 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Torre? |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes. |
| 7 | MS. KAUTZ: Great. Thank you. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. Thank you |
| 9 | very much. |
| 10 | (Agenda item concluded.) |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Next item. Case File LHD |
| 12 | 2018-007, this is consideration of the local |
| 13 | historic designation of the property at 1311 |
| 14 | Pizarro Street, legally described as Lot 22 and |
| 15 | South 10 feet of Lot 1, Block 6, Coral Gables |
| 16 | Granada Section Revised, according to the Plat |
| 17 | thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 8, page 113 |
| 18 | of the public records of Miami-Dade County. |
| 19 | MS. GUIN: Local historic landmark |
| 20 | designation for the single family home at 1311 |
| 21 | Pizarro, where you can see a 1940's historic |
| 22 | photo of the home. The property is located on |
| 23 | an interior lot on the east side of Pizarro, |
| 24 | between Ortega and Venetia Avenues. The site |
| 25 | dimensions are 60 feet wide by 107 feet deep. |


|  | Page 45 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Primary elevation faces west. |
| 2 | The designation was requested by the |
| 3 | owner. The owner is Mr. Moredo, is in the |
| 4 | audience here tonight, and he is very excited |
| 5 | about bringing this property back. Um, he's |
| 6 | hired an architect we've met with several times |
| 7 | on site. Um, we've come up with a plan. And |
| 8 | there's concurrently a permit, um, for |
| 9 | restoration of the property in process. |
| 10 | So as I read into the record before, um, |
| 11 | Coral Gables Zoning Code gives the criteria for |
| 12 | historic landmarks. For the designation of a |
| 13 | property, it must meet one of the criteria. |
| 14 | This property is eligible on two. For |
| 15 | architectural significance, it portrays the |
| 16 | environment in an era of history characterized |
| 17 | by one or more distinctive architectural |
| 18 | styles. It, two, embodies those distinguishing |
| 19 | characteristics of an architectural style or |
| 20 | period or method of construction. |
| 21 | So for this home, permit 2149 was issued |
| 22 | in January of 1926. The plans that are filed |
| 23 | under that permit don't seem to correspond with |
| 24 | what we see in the site. Um, so either it had |
| 25 | extensive alterations early on, um, or during |

construction, or the plans just don't match. Um, and we haven't found any other early plans, um, for this property, which is why you don't have them in your packet. The architect listed on the permit was H. O. Vanderlyn.

The property was built during the initial planning and development period of the city, and reflects Merrick's vision for the Mediterranean inspired city, with housing for varying income brackets.

The home is located in the Coral Gables Granada Revised section. These, the lots, as Kara talked about, closer to the golf course, and the boulevards were much larger. And then part of Merrick's plan was to have sections of the city that were built for the modest, um, income, which is what this section is. You can see this section of the city with the -- the smaller homes. There was a number of them built, um, during the land boom era prior to 1935. Um, in the period between '35 and '48 there was not a lot of construction in this area. But then in the 1950 's is really when this area got -- became totally built out. It was conceived as a single-family home area for

can see the massing of the home. Zooming a little you can see the, um, open-air front porch with its low wall. You can see the decorative vents up above. And the -- a glimpse of that, uh, distinctive chimney. This central portion of the front facade was originally a screened porch. You can see the column still is, um, there in the middle, the windows originally went all the way down, um, and the plan was to return them to that. Also, on this section you can see the decorative vents. The feature that's currently hidden behind the gutter system are a series of carved rafter tails.

The south elevation remains intact in its original configuration. You see the deeply recessed windows and the projecting sills there.

Now, this home has had a -- a number of additions over the years. We believe that there was originally a detached garage, um, in the north, is that, east corner. But early on, the -- the portion that's in green was an infill and that became the new garage, which you can see in the historic photo, which I'll
show you in a minute. Um, but that happened early in the '30s, early '40s. The building records, uh, talk about what was the original garage then being called out as a maid's quarter. So it seems like they built a garage in front of the original garage; turned the original garage into living quarters.

There was an early permanent addition that you see in orange of one room to the rear. And then we have a series of smaller -- well, some of them smaller, um, unpermitted and undated additions. Probably fairly recent. Um, and I'll talk -- I'll show you those in a moment.

And then we have the, um, enclosing of the porte cochere, which we don't -- we don't have a date for that either. So when you look at the historic photo, you can see the porte cochere in the front, and you see that new garage, or what we believe is a -- an addition for a new garage. And then the original garage being converted to living space. And see, the -- the connection is, um, not elegant, which sort of lends credence that it wasn't original. Looking at the enclosed porte cochere, the openings are clearly, um, outlined
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | in the stucco. So actually bringing back this |
| 2 | feature is not gonna take any guesswork. We |
| 3 | know exactly where those openings are. |
| 4 | Here are those additions on the north |
| 5 | facade. Their -- they have two undated. One |
| 6 | is used as a laundry room, the one more towards |
| 7 | the front. And then towards the back there was |
| 8 | a -- an addition, it's a wood frame addition, |
| 9 | to that original garage, um, that's now used as |
| 10 | storage. And then the rear, another undated |
| 11 | addition that stretches, um, across a good part |
| 12 | of the rear facade. |
| 13 | And then what you can see here, jutting |
| 14 | back, where the addition juts back, that's the |
| 15 | original sleeping porch. And those openings |
| 16 | and the sills, um, have been retained, so it |
| 17 | clearly reads, um, as it originally was. |
| 18 | There's just windows in the openings now. |
| 19 | So the, um, the -- the one point that I |
| 20 | wanted to make about this property is that it |
| 21 | has had several additions. Some of them can |
| 22 | easily be reversed, um, and that's the owner's |
| 23 | intent however, um, if Dona was here she would |
| 24 | remind you all that you need to designate it as |
| 25 | you see it now. Um, but letting you know that |

the owner is definitely on board with it becoming a historic property.

So, in summary, the single-family home at 1311 Pizarro Street was permitted in 1926. Built during the early boom years, it's a good example of the Mediterranean Revival style architecture that defined George Merrick's vision for the city. Merrick dedicated numerous portions of Coral Gables to lots and homes that could be affordable to the middle class. And he had his architects design homes in that style to show that they would have the same quality and construction as the larger homes.

The house at 1311 Pizarro Street is an example of one of these modest homes. The Mediterranean Revival home retains a number of hallmark features, including its rectilinear floor plan, asymmetrical design of the main elevation, roofs of varying heights and types, projecting facades, including the rectilinear front porch with the distinctive arched openings, a turned porch column, grouped vents, prominent chimney, decorative rafter tails, as well as recessed windows with projecting
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| 1 | masonry sills. |
| 2 | The staff recommends approval for the |
| 3 | local historic designation of 1311 Pizarro |
| 4 | Street, based on its architectural |
| 5 | significance. |
| 6 | And I believe these letters were, um, left |
| 7 | for you on the desk, but I have three letters |
| 8 | of support, uh, for this property. And I'll |
| 9 | read those into the record. One is from Sheryl |
| 10 | Gold, who lives at 721 Biltmore Way. It says, |
| 11 | "This is a modest but detailed home in the |
| 12 | Spanish Mediterranean style from the 1920's |
| 13 | that is part of the historic fabric of Coral |
| 14 | Gables. With more and more pressure to |
| 15 | demolish and develop, it is increasingly |
| 16 | important to preserve the unique character of |
| 17 | the city. I urge you to vote for the historic |
| 18 | designation of this property." |
| 19 | We have another one from Carolyn Reyes, |
| 20 | who lives at 814 Mariana Avenue. "We are |
| 21 | unable to attend the meeting regarding the |
| 22 | above property. However, we would like to |
| 23 | voice our support for the historic designation |
| 24 | of 1311 Pizarro Street. The old Spanish style |
| 25 | proclaims the history of our neighborhood and |


over here." Is there a way to define what exactly we want to protect, and some things that we don't want to protect, so that this can be shaped back into the -- more of the original house, or -- I -- I just feel some of that stuff shouldn't be protected.

MS. GUIN: Right.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: How -- how do we deal with that?

MS. GUIN: And we've been working with him. The architect had actually intended to be here tonight, and actually had to go out of town. Um, but we've been working with him as to what's appropriate and what's not. And we're -- we are comfortable with what they're putting forward, um, see it's -- we're sort of in a catch-22 in this. You might remember we had another, uh, property on Pizarro Street that, um, had a lot of -- where features were missing, had some additions. And they did all of the restoration work before it came to you for designation. And then they, um, were presented to you with an addition. Now they applied for the ad valorem, and all the work they did in the restoration, because that was
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| 1 | before the designation, didn't apply. So |
| 2 | that's one of the reasons that we're bringing |
| 3 | this property forward now, so it's designated, |
| 4 | so all of the work that he does would be |
| 5 | included. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Do -- do you have enough |
| 7 | basis to look back at how this house should |
| 8 | look, or what, um, we only saw one elevation. |
| 9 | And you don't have the plans here. So what's |
| 10 | the way to -- to see what was right and wrong? |
| 11 | How do you -- how do you restore -- how do you |
| 12 | work that house back into something as he moves |
| 13 | forward with design? |
| 14 | MS. GUIN: Well, we've -- we've done a bit |
| 15 | of ground -- you know, on the site looking |
| 16 | what's there. We've been out to the site a |
| 17 | couple times. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: And by the way, I'm not |
| 19 | against the historic designation of this house. |
| 20 | But I just want to make sure that this is done |
| 21 | in the best way -- |
| 22 | MS. GUIN: Yeah, it's -- |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- possible. |
| 24 | MS. GUIN: You know, and -- |
| 25 | MS. KAUTZ: A lot of -- a lot of times |
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| 1 | when you look at properties, um, bad |
| 2 | workmanship is our best friend. Because it's |
| 3 | really clear what's not original. Um, and |
| 4 | it -- because, you know, the carport, you can |
| 5 | see the arched openings exactly where they are; |
| 6 | it's really easy to tell. I mean, what's |
| 7 | later -- a later addition, is not a good one. |
| 8 | So it's gonna be very easy for them to |
| 9 | distinguish what's -- what comes off. And they |
| 10 | have, um, and we've -- like she said we've |
| 11 | worked with them to sort of help them through |
| 12 | that. And they're completely on board with |
| 13 | doing the right thing. |
| 14 | MR. MENENDEZ: So is staff gonna require |
| 15 | certain work to be done? Certain things to be |
| 16 | brought back? |
| 17 | MS. KAUTZ: Not require, because we can't. |
| 18 | But they're in permitting already, if I'm not |
| 19 | mistaken, for restoration work that we've |
| 20 | signed off on. |
| 21 | MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. |
| 22 | MS. KAUTZ: So it's -- it's something that |
| 23 | we are completely happy that they're doing. |
| 24 | Um, and it's all good work. |
| 25 | (Crosstalk) |
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| 1 | not enough reconstruction, or -- or something |
| 2 | that requires our viewing? That you are able |
| 3 | to just do that on your own? |
| 4 | MS. KAUTZ: Yes. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: That's -- that's all that |
| 6 | means. |
| 7 | MS. KAUTZ: Yes. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: And the removal of all |
| 9 | carports, demolition of that type is -- is |
| 10 | still considered restoration? |
| 11 | MS. KAUTZ: Yes. |
| 12 | MR. MENENDEZ: But we can request it to |
| 13 | come back, can we not? |
| 14 | MS. KAUTZ: You can. You can. It |
| 15 | would -- |
| 16 | MR. MENENDEZ: So we might want to do |
| 17 | that, to review it. |
| 18 | MR. FULLERTON: If we don't designate it, |
| 19 | or is it already designated because it's in -- |
| 20 | (Crosstalk) |
| 21 | MR. MENENDEZ: No, it's not. |
| 22 | MS. KAUTZ: This is -- this is just for |
| 23 | the designating. |
| 24 | MR. MENENDEZ: That's what we're doing. |
| 25 | MR. FULLERTON: Yeah, I'm -- I'm sorry. |


hypocrite, or some of -- something of that sort. I -- I want to stand comfortable with what we're going forward with.

MS. KAUTZ: I -- if -- if it were -- if -if $I$ were looking at this and, which is how you should, as Dona would remind you, if you look at the house now, are there enough features that you think that it still qualifies for designation? And the reason why we are proposing it to you for designation is that if it came to us for a demo, we would say no, that it can't be demolished. So it should be designated. Does that make sense? Did I explain that --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I -- I think what I'm saying is, how do we make it look the best or bring it back to the best? What's the options for us to try to perfect the house as much as possible? And if that's up to you guys, that's fine. If we have no control over that, that's fine but since we're gonna designate it, how do we make sure that it -- it comes back to be the best, best design possible? And that's my question.

MR. FULLERTON: I think there are enough
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | things that were done incorrectly to say no, it |
| 2 | shouldn't be designated. Possibly. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Well, that's why I wanted |
| 4 | to -- I like the designation option. And I |
| 5 | think a lot of people are -- are for it. And I |
| 6 | think it's a good thing. But how do we -- how |
| 7 | do we extract the best part of this house back? |
| 8 | MR. SILVA: Well, I -- I -- I think, |
| 9 | Venny, we need to look at it from kind of a |
| 10 | holistic view and say, okay, these blue |
| 11 | additions were unpermitted. Right? And I |
| 12 | think they're a big part of the problem that we |
| 13 | have with this. Right? Um, so those are |
| 14 | coming down. Right? |
| 15 | MS. KAUTZ: Mm-hmm. |
| 16 | MR. SILVA: Those -- those have to come |
| 17 | down, those additions. |
| 18 | MR. FULLERTON: Coming down or just -- |
| 19 | MR. SILVA: So I think -- I think we say |
| 20 | okay -- |
| 21 | (Crosstalk) |
| 22 | MR. SILVA: -- if those additions are not |
| 23 | there, then following the secretary of interior |
| 24 | standards -- |
| 25 | (Crosstalk) |
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| 1 | the reversal process. |
| 2 | MR. MENENDEZ: But these drawings still |
| 3 | have to go through the Board of Architects. |
| 4 | MS. KAUTZ: I believe they have. |
| 5 | MS. GUIN: Yeah, they've been through the |
| 6 | Board of Architects. They're now in the |
| 7 | construction drawing phase. |
| 8 | MR. MENENDEZ: Well, shouldn't it come |
| 9 | here to us then? |
| 10 | (Crosstalk) |
| 11 | MS. KAUTZ: Again, as a restoration, we |
| 12 | typically -- if someone is just -- |
| 13 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's the cart and horse. |
| 14 | MS. KAUTZ: If someone is just doing |
| 15 | restoration work, and modifying, um, something |
| 16 | that's wrong on a property, we typically don't |
| 17 | bring it back to you. Because we -- we handle |
| 18 | that. We know what they're -- they're removing |
| 19 | something -- |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Are those brackets coming |
| 21 | off? |
| 22 | MS. GUIN: Yes. Mm-hmm. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. |
| 24 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: If this were not |
| 25 | designated, you could have it, you could sign |
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| 1 | Off on demolition? |
| 2 | MS. KAUTZ: Yes. |
| 3 | (Crosstalk) |
| 4 | MR. SILVA: Take a leap of faith. |
| 5 | MS. GUIN: Yes. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Can we at least agree |
| 7 | that we'll -- the motion should carry the |
| 8 | removal of the non-permitted pieces of the |
| 9 | house, and the brackets that I'd -- for sure |
| 10 | should come off? Can that be part of the |
| 11 | designation? |
| 12 | MS. GUIN: Yeah. |
| 13 | MS. KAUTZ: I think so. |
| 14 | MS. GUIN: I think so, yeah. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: At least, um, we know |
| 16 | that -- |
| 17 | MS. KAUTZ: We don't like to, um, |
| 18 | condition a designation, uh, only because I |
| 19 | don't know if -- I don't know if any of you all |
| 20 | were here. There was a house on Cordova, um, |
| 21 | that the designation was conditioned on them |
| 22 | doing remedial work to the property to add |
| 23 | features back that had been lost. In this |
| 24 | case, we're not adding features essentially |
| 25 | back. We're taking things off. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Mm-hmm. |
| 2 | MS. KAUTZ: Um, in that case, the work was |
| 3 | never done. And five or six years later, they |
| 4 | came back to remove the designation of the |
| 5 | property, because they didn't complete the |
| 6 | work, and they weren't -- they had no intention |
| 7 | of completing the work. |
| 8 | So, conditioning it is a little bit |
| 9 | trickier than just accepting the designation |
| 10 | and then letting us follow through with them |
| 11 | doing the work -- |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Can we do it so those |
| 13 | areas are not designated? |
| 14 | MR. CEBALLOS: I'd like to interrupt for |
| 15 | one second. I'm very hesitant to the board to |
| 16 | make any sort of conditions |
| 17 | MS. KAUTZ: Right. |
| 18 | MR. CEBALLOS: -- that lack teeth. |
| 19 | Meaning if there's no way for us to enforce it, |
| 20 | there's no point in making the condition. If |
| 21 | we put a condition that $A$ and $B$ needs to be |
| 22 | removed, but there's no way -- |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: No, no, that's -- so |
| 24 | that's what it is. So leave those pieces alone |
| 25 | for now. Only the original part of the house |





| 1 | MR. CEBALLOS: And if it meets the |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | criteria for designation, then you would go |
| 3 | forward with the designation. But yes, you are |
| 4 | going forward with the designation of a |
| 5 | property that tomorrow could be sold, and it |
| 6 | stays at its current status. Until the -- the |
| 7 | property is cited, and it goes through the |
| 8 | process of violations, and eventually becomes a |
| 9 | lien, and until somebody owns that property and |
| 10 | decides that they want to update it, the only |
| 11 | additional benefit that this board will have |
| 12 | is, if it is designated, all of those |
| 13 | modifications would come back before this |
| 14 | board. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Hm. |
| 16 | MR. MENENDEZ: What if they want to knock |
| 17 | it down? If somebody buys it and they want to |
| 18 | knock it down -- |
| 19 | MS. KAUTZ: If -- if it's designated, they |
| 20 | cannot. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: They can't. |
| 22 | MR. CEBALLOS: And if it is not |
| 23 | designated, it still has to get approval |
| 24 | through Historic, for demolition. |
| 25 | MS. KAUTZ: But if -- but if they say that |
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| 1 | MR. CEBALLOS: If the property -- |
| 2 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Would the city still be |
| 3 | able to -- |
| 4 | MR. CEBALLOS: If the property's currently |
| 5 | in violation? |
| 6 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Mm-hmm. |
| 7 | MR. CEBALLOS: The -- it would be |
| 8 | hopefully the duty of the purchaser to do his |
| 9 | due diligence and find out that there's |
| 10 | violations on the property. But it wouldn't be |
| 11 | recorded unless there's liens. But even if the |
| 12 | change -- the possession of the property |
| 13 | changed from owner A to owner B, if the |
| 14 | property is still in violation, it still |
| 15 | becomes the responsibility of owner B to |
| 16 | resolve the violations. |
| 17 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Now, the issue of the |
| 19 | property violations is assured or pending, or |
| 20 | you -- you said there's going to be or there is |
| 21 | violations? Or these things are already on |
| 22 | record? |
| 23 | MS. KAUTZ: There are -- there are |
| 24 | unpermitted additions to them. I don't believe |
| 25 | there are violations recorded on the property |
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| 1 | MS. KAUTZ: Absolutely. |
| 2 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: So, that's really, um, |
| 3 | exciting. |
| 4 | MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, we've -- we've got -- |
| 5 | now we have four up there -- |
| 6 | MS. GUIN: Four. |
| 7 | MS. KAUTZ: -- like within three blocks on |
| 8 | Pizarro. |
| 9 | MS. GUIN: Yeah, four that are. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Excellent. Okay. |
| 11 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Isn't it also close to |
| 12 | Little Gables? |
| 13 | MS. GUIN: Uh-uh. |
| 14 | MS. KAUTZ: Yes. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Does anybody else want to |
| 16 | speak? This side? |
| 17 | (No response) |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. So we'll close the |
| 19 | public hearing. Thank you both. |
| 20 | Anybody else? Got it. |
| 21 | (No response) |
| 22 | MR. SILVA: I'll move approval then. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. |
| 24 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'll second it. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Motion for approval, |
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| 1 | for the issuance of a Certificate of |
| 2 | Appropriateness for the property at 4733 Santa |
| 3 | Maria Street, contributing resource within the |
| 4 | Santa Maria Historic District. And this is |
| 5 | described as Lots 21 to 23 inclusive, Block |
| 6 | 112, Coral Gables Country Club Section Part 5, |
| 7 | as recorded in Plat Book 23, page 55, of the |
| 8 | Public Records of Miami-Dade County. The |
| 9 | application requests design approval for the |
| 10 | addition and alterations to this residence. |
| 11 | MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. |
| 12 | This is the location map. Um, it is |
| 13 | towards the south end of Santa Maria on the |
| 14 | corner at Blue Road. This is a picture from |
| 15 | 1940's. This would have been shortly after it |
| 16 | was desig -- uh, after it was completed. Um, |
| 17 | it was, uh, designed and built in 1949, |
| 18 | architect Earl Wolfe. It's a contributing |
| 19 | residence within the Santa Maria Historic |
| 20 | District, which was designated in November of |
| 21 | 2007. |
| 22 | The application, uh, requests design |
| 23 | approval for two, uh, one-story additions to |
| 24 | the rear of the home, interior renovations, |
| 25 | installation of new impact resistant windows |


existing plan. The playroom in the back and the kitchen, that whole area we plan on demolishing, basically cutting the house right there. There's a corner of the dining room, which you'll notice, uh, that's exactly where we'll cut the house and that's where the roof itself, the existing roof kind of blends back together, back into a simple hip roof. And then from there back is where we're planning on doing the expansion.

So the inside of the program was, hey, we need to get larger spaces. We have family that lives out of town that comes and visits. We'd like to get a larger family room. We really want to get a nice, larger, open kitchen. We'd like to get nice terraces. A few things that they needed in the house that they wanted in the house. They love the area, yet this house kind of lacks, so they wanted to see if we can work with it.

So, for the main house, the existing house as you see here that has the three bedrooms, uh, and three bathrooms, we're going to slightly alter that. Um, this is the existing roof configuration, very simple, very clean,
 added just a simple bedroom. I'll show you now on the elevations. But that bedroom that was added follows the same lines as the house, the same eaves, the same beam height.

Here's a proposed roof plan and what you'll notice essentially from the back part, from the master bedroom, like I said, the actual roof lines up perfectly with the existing house, and existing roof, piggybacks easily onto the existing house. On the north side, the whole addition part, what we decided to do was to create a transitional piece between the existing house roof, which had a beam -- had a beam height of 8 feet, and then it transitions up to 10 feet, which is a new kind of pavilion that we've created, it's a public space, and then it transitions back down to the 8 feet. So, it's almost like a pop-up in the center. I'll show you now on the elevation. But that transitional piece which is a flat roof, we felt that it was the best way to transition the old to the new, number one. And number two, there is a -- there's a nice light, it's a big skylight that kind of transitions the old house from the new house.
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| 1 | composition of columns, almost like they were |
| 2 | floating, very simple and not -- not ornate. |
| 3 | This is the existing dining room structure area |
| 4 | where on the right-hand side, you'll see |
| 5 | that -- kind of that transitional square piece |
| 6 | that comes out with the parapet. I think it |
| 7 | blends okay. There's a garden there. There's |
| 8 | a planter there. I think it transitions okay. |
| 9 | We're also working on the interiors. But |
| 10 | on the bottom right, you'll see the covered |
| 11 | terrace. |
| 12 | With regards to, um, the comments in the |
| 13 | staff report, uh, one of the -- the third |
| 14 | comment they mentioned was a new -- no new |
| 15 | windowsills to be added to the existing |
| 16 | structure. We're okay with that. We wouldn't |
| 17 | add any new windowsills or any ornamentation |
| 18 | whatsoever. |
| 19 | And the last thing was a suggestion of |
| 20 | lowering the height of the connector piece on |
| 21 | the south elevation, which we discussed. |
| 22 | I'm here to answer any questions. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: I have a couple, just |
| 24 | clarifying questions. Page A-13 is existing, |
| 25 | uh, obviously it is. So the main door is |


what style exactly this is tending to be, because the house is tended to try to go modern a little bit, but in some cases, it can't. Uh, case in point, those columns that you have in the front, you're asking for shutters and you're putting shutters, which is great. So, that's helping to go more toward traditional. But then that door doesn't look to me like it falls in line with those aspects of -- of the architecture. The lights don't look like they fall in line with that aspect of the architecture.

So, what $I$ would like to try to do is just the smaller details that would make this house be either a little more traditional or stay within some form of style that can be applied throughout and it doesn't leave -- it looks a little contrived right now with -- with different things that are going on.

Um, so grass in between pavers looks to be modern. And again, I -- I'm trying to say pick -- pick a style a little bit and try to stay towards it and let's see how -- and I'm having a dialogue with you so, see where --

MR. BRAVO: I understand.
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| 1 | adds -- adds a look that at some point you'll |
| 2 | recognize it's -- it's just more stylish, in my |
| 3 | view. |
| 4 | MR. BRAVO: Yeah, I think this house had |
| 5 | over the years -- it's been changed it seems |
| 6 | and -- and the shutters were there and the |
| 7 | shutters were original and the shutters are |
| 8 | staying. So, that's part of one of the |
| 9 | conditions and that's fine. But yet, um -- |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: But I think you're |
| 11 | modernizing the house when you're sort of |
| 12 | dealing with something that maybe virtue of the |
| 13 | historic nature of this, you're forced to do |
| 14 | something else. |
| 15 | MS. KAUTZ: It was kind of a transitional |
| 16 | house to begin with. It was '49, um, so the |
| 17 | iron work is original. The slump -- it's got a |
| 18 | slump brick, um, basic like ledge front, um, |
| 19 | that we're asking to be retained. It had the |
| 20 | big open side lights, with the single door. Um, |
| 21 | we're asking them to maintain those features. |
| 22 | There's not a lot of overt detail on this house |
| 23 | and I think it was transitioning towards being |
| 24 | modern. So I think that that's what they |
| 25 | picked up on and then took it. Whether or not |



|  | Page 95 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | is I -- I wouldn't want to see a house -- a |
| 2 | door -- a main door is always very important to |
| 3 | me. That looks like an Italian type of line. |
| 4 | I would say more something different there that |
| 5 | could still be -- |
| 6 | MS. KAUTZ: Like a panel? |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- considered. Yeah, a |
| 8 | panel. It still could be beautiful. It could |
| 9 | still be colored. But I -- I just think it |
| 10 | looks very Italian-esque or one of these things |
| 11 | you buy at the -- Doors Galore here or |
| 12 | whatever. |
| 13 | MR. BRAVO: We're okay with it. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: I'm not saying that |
| 15 | that's what it is. |
| 16 | MR. BRAVO: Yeah. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: But it just looks too |
| 18 | like that. |
| 19 | MR. BRAVO: That's fine. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: And then the -- the |
| 21 | driveway, I'm a little bit more hesitant to try |
| 22 | to again, not over-conservative, but just keep |
| 23 | some -- something that doesn't over-modernize |
| 24 | it. That's all. |
| 25 | MR. BRAVO: Understood. |
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| 1 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: Would it help if the side |
| 2 | lights, the mullions being white, which is sort |
| 3 | of how it was originally? |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: If you look at the |
| 5 | existing picture on $\mathrm{A}-13$. |
| 6 | MS. KAUTZ: Yeah. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think that's the door |
| 8 | they have. And I think that's -- |
| 9 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: The original photo, or |
| 10 | the one from the 40's, seems like there's a |
| 11 | dark door, then the side lights, the mullions |
| 12 | are white. Second page of the staff report. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: And Kara, you feel |
| 14 | strongly about leaving those four column things |
| 15 | in the front at this point? They're |
| 16 | significant to this house to have those? |
| 17 | MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, there's -- there's - |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: I don't know what this |
| 19 | house was like but -- |
| 20 | MS. KAUTZ: There's -- I mean, if you look |
| 21 | at the original photo of the features that are |
| 22 | salient on there, they'd be the shutters, |
| 23 | they'd be the slump brick, they would be the |
| 24 | iron columns. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. |
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| 1 | cement tile, to match what was originally in |
| 2 | the home. |
| 3 | MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, that's because it's |
| 4 | drawn that way, on the renderings. |
| 5 | MR. EHRENHAFT: So, I mean. |
| 6 | MS. KAUTZ: I know Don -- I know Dona's |
| 7 | okay with gray roofs, so I don't think that |
| 8 | would be like a deal breaker. |
| 9 | MR. EHRENHAFT: I'm just asking - |
| 10 | MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, but it's not consistent |
| 11 | in the renderings. I -- I get where you're |
| 12 | going. |
| 13 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Yeah. And I'd still like |
| 14 | to go back, if I could, to that transitional |
| 15 | height of the transitional part. I understand |
| 16 | you want some height because of, uh, the |
| 17 | skylight. Is that correct? In this space? I |
| 18 | mean, it -- I mean, it would seem like you |
| 19 | could -- could lower it and still have the |
| 20 | scuppers, and have -- have water still spill |
| 21 | out onto the roof. But what bothers me, or |
| 22 | what caught my attention was that if you look |
| 23 | at A-8, which is the front rendering, one could |
| 24 | see from the front of the house it -- it's kind |
| 25 | of disturbing me that $I$ see the top edge of |

that parapet, where all the rest of it is just -- Can you see here? It looks -- it looks strange. You know? If you go to A-8. Directly above the left-hand side of the windows, you can see the -- the height of the -- the parapet is really evident from the street. But it doesn't -- all it does is stick up just as a -- as a straight -- straight line interrupting the rest of the roof.

MR. BRAVO: Well, that parapet, we're not sure if you'll see it from the street. Um, it's set back from the street probably 60 feet, more possibly. We know that that -- we know the main -- the -- the high portion that the family room and the kitchen area, that is like 45 set off from the front of the house. And then plus the setback itself. So I can imagine that's about 60 to 70 feet from the facade, which I don't think that in perspective you'll ever -- ever perceive it. You're seeing that on a two-dimensional drawing. It's the way it's drawn. It's drawn correctly with the heights. However, I don't think it'll ever be perceived that way. If you look at it in three dimensions, you'll notice that's kind of
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| 1 | Not something that -- the door just looks |
| 2 | out -- out of place. That's my -- and maybe |
| 3 | the light fixture, even though I think that's |
| 4 | tough to put in place, what is right and what |
| 5 | is wrong for you. But it just, that's -- those |
| 6 | are -- and the other stuff, I -- again, I |
| 7 | looked at the details. But if you want to do |
| 8 | some nice bullnoses on the stairs, that would |
| 9 | be great. |
| 10 | MR. BRAVO: Okay. |
| 11 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Are these lights that |
| 12 | you're suggesting or is it just proforma? |
| 13 | MR. BRAVO: Pardon me? |
| 14 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Are the lights what you |
| 15 | are suggesting or are they really just |
| 16 | proforma? |
| 17 | MR. BRAVO: No, these are just lights that |
| 18 | we put on the images. We haven't selected the |
| 19 | light fixture yet. It's leaning more along the |
| 20 | contemporary side, if you noticed. But it's |
| 21 | not the light fixture by any means. We'd have |
| 22 | to shop it out with our client and price it |
| 23 | out. So, no, it's not the definitive light |
| 24 | fixture we're open to really honestly going |
| 25 | with something more -- |
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| 1 | addressing that already. But we'd like to have |
| 2 | something that's impact resistant and -- and |
| 3 | work with the geometry that's there and work |
| 4 | with the color. But -- but we're okay with |
| 5 | that with the exception of number four. The |
| 6 | other three we're okay with. |
| 7 | MR. FULLERTON: So, I'll move approval of |
| 8 | these, uh, the application, with staff |
| 9 | recommendations. |
| 10 | MR. MENENDEZ: I second. |
| 11 | MS. KAUTZ: Staff recommendations one, two |
| 12 | and three or -- |
| 13 | MR. FULLERTON: One through three. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is anybody adding my |
| 15 | friendly amendment? I will forced to vote a |
| 16 | no. Even though it'll pass but -- I guess not. |
| 17 | MS. KAUTZ: Was there a second to your |
| 18 | motion? |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: There was. |
| 20 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. |
| 21 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: The motion is with |
| 22 | conditions one through three. |
| 23 | MS. KAUTZ: Mm-hmm. |
| 24 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: But not four. |
| 25 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Correct. |
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| 1 | MR. SILVA: Does it include Vinnie's |
| 2 | comments as well? To work with staff on the |
| 3 | fine details? |
| 4 | MR. FULLERTON: Yeah, the working with |
| 5 | staff comments, of course, yes. |
| 6 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Mr. Chairman? |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: I'm reading the |
| 8 | conditions for one more -- one more time. Go |
| 9 | ahead. Do you have a question? |
| 10 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: No. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: I'm -- I'm going to ask |
| 12 | one last time before I put the vote. The |
| 13 | door's not -- it's not in the motion. |
| 14 | MR. FULLERTON: No. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. |
| 16 | MR. FULLERTON: Proceed. |
| 17 | MS. KAUTZ: Wait, wait, wait. Say that |
| 18 | again? The what? |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: The conditions are staff |
| 20 | approve -- staff recommendations. |
| 21 | MS. KAUTZ: But Alex confirmed that he was |
| 22 | amenable to working with staff to |
| 23 | incorporate -- |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: As long as you are going |
| 25 | to request my request. |
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| 1 | MS. KAUTZ: Yes. |
| 2 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Whatever that is, yes. |
| 3 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: That works for me. |
| 4 | MR. FULLERTON: I'll accept that. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you very much. |
| 6 | Go ahead, Jessie. |
| 7 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Ehrenhaft? |
| 8 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. |
| 9 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Silva? |
| 10 | MR. SILVA: Yes. |
| 11 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Menendez? |
| 12 | MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. |
| 13 | THE SECRETARY: Ms. Bache-Wiig? |
| 14 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. |
| 15 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Parsley? |
| 16 | MR. PARSLEY: Yes. |
| 17 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Rodriguez? |
| 18 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. |
| 19 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Fullerton? |
| 20 | MR. FULLERTON: Yes. |
| 21 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Torre? |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes. |
| 23 | MR. BRAVO: Thank you. |
| 24 | (Agenda item concluded.) |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: This is Santa Maria |
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| 1 | Um, this was, um, uh, the application -- I'm |
| 2 | sorry. The application, um, requests site |
| 3 | approval for additions to both the south and |
| 4 | the west of the home. Interior and exterior |
| 5 | renovations and some site work. It was |
| 6 | approved without comments by the Board of |
| 7 | Architects. Again, there are no variances, uh, |
| 8 | in conjunction with the application. |
| 9 | Staff has four minor comments at the end |
| 10 | of the report, but I'm going to turn it over to |
| 11 | the architect's office so they can walk you |
| 12 | through. |
| 13 | You're -- you're reading it? Okay. |
| 14 | I correct myself. The owner is giving the |
| 15 | presentation. Very rare that we have an owner |
| 16 | give a presentation, so. |
| 17 | SPEAKER: Um, can you forward documents? |
| 18 | MS. KAUTZ: Sure. |
| 19 | MR. BAJANDAS: Good afternoon. My name is |
| 20 | Ricardo Bajandas. I am the owner with my wife, |
| 21 | Giovanna. Unfortunately, my architects skipped |
| 22 | town, so I'm here making the presentation. |
| 23 | Um, as stated, we're looking for a |
| 24 | Certificate of Appropriateness. The street |
| 25 | address, 4412 Santa Maria Street. Uh, these |
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| 1 | are pictures of the existing home. You'll see |
| 2 | the, um, front in the lower center. Uh, the |
| 3 | southern part of the house is on the lower |
| 4 | left. The northern part of the house is on the |
| 5 | lower right. The rear pictures, again, same |
| 6 | orientation. Center is the, uh, the top center |
| 7 | is the most western part. With the top right, |
| 8 | the, uh, northern part and the top left, the |
| 9 | southern part, as it exists now. |
| 10 | These are our home and surrounding homes. |
| 11 | It gives you an indication of character of the |
| 12 | street and those houses that surround or |
| 13 | immediately abut our house. |
| 14 | This is a picture of the front of the |
| 15 | house. This is as -- as was shown before, |
| 16 | this, uh, the main section from column to |
| 17 | column is the original part of the home. |
| 18 | Again, the rear, better picture of the |
| 19 | rear with, um, the -- the main part of the |
| 20 | house again being the -- uh, what you see from |
| 21 | the top portion of the house, and then the both |
| 22 | sides were additions after the original |
| 23 | construction. |
| 24 | This is the footprint of the house as |
| 25 | proposed. Uh, the intention of the design was |

to better utilize the lot area. The, uh, the spaces -- the spaces, uh, both in the rear and on the side yard. You can see from the existing plan that the, um, the design, uh, encompassed the pool area, but kind of left the western side of the property almost unusable. That's where the drain field is. And the way that it was cut off, and how the rest of the house is cut off from the side yard, it's very hard to use. This is again, uh, a more descriptive, uh, drawing of the existing residence.

The upstairs, as it exists currently with the roof lines as they currently exist. And then, this the, uh, more descriptive view of the design of the house, as proposed. On the left side is the master bedroom suite. It's got a den in between that connects the -- the original existing house to the new part of the house. And on the right side, that primarily remains the same, except that the garage is, uh, shows a little bit more of a detached format than it originally was, uh, in order to accentuate the main part of the house and to make it appear as if it was not so much of an
addition, as it appears currently.
Again, uh, the new upstairs, which has a new walk-out patio out back. Uh, it converts three bedrooms into four bedrooms and shows the new roof line, both of the, uh, the main a -new addition on the left-hand side and a little bit of a reconfiguration of the roof line on the northern side.

Here you see, uh, the -- the top two drawings are the existing, as the house exists today. You see the -- the middle section shows the demolition, uh, notes. And then the -- the lower section is an elevation of how it's intended to be after construction. Same here. This is the, um, existing southernmost part of the house, with the lower portion being the new design.

This is, again, the top portion of the existing house and the bottom portion, the new design, um, with the new patio upstairs, the new portion of the house, the rear master bedroom the furthest to the right, the den in the middle with windows floor to ceiling, and then the left side stays mostly as it were -was, it is currently now.
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| 1 | railing, to allow a little bit more visibility |
| 2 | of the -- of the golf course. Little bit |
| 3 | different view of the same elements, and you |
| 4 | get a little bit better view of the pavilion. |
| 5 | Um, we have noted the four conditions of |
| 6 | the conditioned approval by the um, uh, |
| 7 | department staff. And we are amendable to all |
| 8 | those recommended changes, um, with the |
| 9 | exception of some clarity on the issue of the, |
| 10 | uh, restuccoed addition to be a different |
| 11 | texture. I'm not sure exactly what they mean, |
| 12 | if they can give some clarity on, um, that |
| 13 | point. The rest of those are fine. |
| 14 | MS. KAUTZ: So, all that means is that, |
| 15 | um, the existing portions of the house aren't |
| 16 | to be re-stuccoed. If there's patching -- |
| 17 | MR. BAJANDAS: Right, we're not. |
| 18 | MS. KAUTZ: -- work to be done, that's |
| 19 | fine. |
| 20 | MR. BAJANDAS: Yeah, yeah. |
| 21 | MS. KAUTZ: Um, and then the addition, we |
| 22 | ask that, um, the stucco be slightly different |
| 23 | texture. |
| 24 | MR. BAJANDAS: Okay. |
| 25 | MS. KAUTZ: Um, because I think you're |
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fairly smooth. You're -- you're --
MR. BAJANDAS: Right. It's not -- it's not gonna be much notable. Yeah. MS. KAUTZ: So just -- it'll be -- it, yeah. It'll be like, a little bit. There's enou -- I think there's enough distinction in, um, other aspects of the additions that distinguish them. You -- you -- you did some very subtle variations of -MR. BAJANDAS: Yeah, one of the -MS. KAUTZ: -- some subtle things that I think, um, I think it'll be fine.

MR. BAJANDAS: One of the things that the architect did try to do was that he didn't make any of the additions flush --

MS. KAUTZ: Right, right.
MR. BAJANDAS: -- with any of the sides, so that it's clear that it's a different -that it's an -MS. KAUTZ: We like that. MR. BAJANDAS: -- addition or separate part of the house. MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, we like that. MR. BAJANDAS: I'll be happy to ask -answer any questions I have the ability to answer.

MR. PARSLEY: So the pool's coming back to us and the front gates are coming back to us? They're both --

MS. KAUTZ: They will -- they will come to staff for a separate Certificate of Appropriateness, um, as a standard.

MR. PARSLEY: And landscape plans, if any are required? It says there's new landscape area, new landscape plan. Do those come back?

MS. KAUTZ: Um, if you want them to. Typically we do that administratively, unless you ask for it. She'll probably ask me for it. She's a landscape architect, that's why.

MR. BAJANDAS: Okay. The pool itself will actually -- we need to make it a little bit smaller, because it's much, too big for the area in the back and almost -- today it almost encompasses the full back yard it's a little bit ridiculous in terms of -- of the size compared to it. So we just want to shorten it up a little bit.

MR. PARSLEY: Well, well, you have some nice little niches for courtyards off the study. Um, in the -- in the front area, you
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| 1 | have, um, the existing living room walking down |
| 2 | in there. You ought to take some time and, uh, |
| 3 | look to develop those as -- as little garden |
| 4 | rooms instead of just a sodded -- a few little |
| 5 | bushes on the foundation. |
| 6 | MR. BAJANDAS: Sure. Yeah. |
| 7 | MR. PARSLEY: I -- I would recommend that. |
| 8 | Do I need to see it? No. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Kara, you -- you look at |
| 10 | shop drawings for railings and -- and -- and |
| 11 | garage doors, right? That's part of -- |
| 12 | MS. KAUTZ: Yes. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- you do that anyway? |
| 14 | MS. KAUTZ: Mm-hmm. |
| 15 | MR. SILVA: I think that -- that rear |
| 16 | elevation is a significant improvement over |
| 17 | what's there now. That -- that addition, kind |
| 18 | of covered terrace addition is coming down. |
| 19 | And the one you're replacing it with I think is |
| 20 | much more in character with the house. Um, so |
| 21 | I -- I appreciate that. I think that's -- |
| 22 | MR. BAJANDAS: Yeah. |
| 23 | MR. SILVA: -- that's an important facade |
| 24 | on the -- on the house as well -- |
| 25 | MS. KAUTZ: Mm-hmm. |


have ever been.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll echo, um, Alex's comments. I think it's a real enhancement to the property and to the view, to the golf course. Um, I think that adding that railing on that wall, to not have it be so bulky, you know, is a positive. And just echoing Mr. Parsley's comments about softening the hard edges on that, which I guess you're just not showing the landscaping now but, you know, in the future, do that.

MR. SILVA: I agree.
MR. BAJANDAS: Just, uh, one comment. As -- as a member --

MS. KAUTZ: Excuse me.
MR. BAJANDAS: -- of the club, the -- the constant comment we get is how bad that wall looks. So that was the first thing that was on -- that we asked for when we met with the architect, was to get rid of or soften the wall.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Anybody else?
MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, you guys are quiet.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: More comments?
MR. SILVA: I hit my quota for motions.
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CHAIRMAN TORRE: Albert?
MR. MENENDEZ: I think it's great. I
think it's a big improvement over what's there.
I walk by there every day, so. I, uh, commend
you for going the distance.
MS. KAUTZ: And?
MR. MENENDEZ: And with that -- and with
that -- okay. Well, I motion to approve.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Second?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Wait. With conditions?
MR. MENENDEZ: With the conditions
stipulated by staff.
MS. KAUTZ: Thank you.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll second.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Perfect. Any further
discussion?
(No response)
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Jessie, go ahead.
THE SECRETARY: Mr. Parsley?
MR. PARSLEY: Yes.
THE SECRETARY: Mr. Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
THE SECRETARY: Mr. Rodriguez?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
THE SECRETARY: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
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| 1 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. |
| 2 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Silva? |
| 3 | MR. SILVA: Yes. |
| 4 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Menendez? |
| 5 | MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. |
| 6 | THE SECRETARY: Ms. Bache-Wiig? |
| 7 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. |
| 8 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Torre? |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes. |
| 10 | MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. |
| 12 | MR. BAJANDAS: Thank you all. |
| 13 | (Agenda item concluded.) |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Rafael, what have you |
| 15 | done to this board to deserve always being |
| 16 | last? Is there something I don't know? |
| 17 | MR. PORTUONDO: Today's my anniversary. |
| 18 | MR. SILVA: Happy anniversary. |
| 19 | MS. KAUTZ: Better be a good gift if you |
| 20 | had to sit here. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. Let's go to |
| 22 | the last one for today. This is Case File COA |
| 23 | (SP) 2015-005 Revised. An application for the |
| 24 | issuance of a Special Certificate of |
| 25 | Appropriateness for the property at 4209 Santa |
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| 1 | Maria Street, a Local Historic Landmark and a |
| 2 | contributing structure within the Santa Maria |
| 3 | Street Historic District. This is legally |
| 4 | described as Lots 11, 12 of Block 96, Coral |
| 5 | Gables Country Club Section Part Five, |
| 6 | according to the Plat thereof as recorded in |
| 7 | Plat Book 23, at Page 55, of the Public Records |
| 8 | of Miami-Dade County, Florida. The application |
| 9 | requesting design approval for addition and |
| 10 | alterations to the residence which were -- |
| 11 | which was approved with conditions on June, 18, |
| 12 | 2015. The revision requests design approval |
| 13 | for modification of previously approved |
| 14 | shutters and the installation of louvers in |
| 15 | lieu of screen doors. |
| 16 | MS. KAUTZ: All right. So a few months |
| 17 | back this came to you as a discussion about |
| 18 | the, um, the modifications to the window |
| 19 | shutters, um, on the residence. And at that |
| 20 | time the louvers were starting to be discussed. |
| 21 | Uh, you all made a motion to defer the item to |
| 22 | take them both together, um, which is why we're |
| 23 | back here. |
| 24 | So, um, I'm gonna turn over to the |
| 25 | architect and I'll more to say. Sorry. We're |

still in Santa Maria. Now on the other side of the street. This is the site, 1940's photo that you guys have seen before. And that's your presentation, so front, back. Mm-hmm. MR. PORTUONDO: Thank you. Rafael Portuondo, Portuondo Perotti Architects. THE REPORTER: I'm sorry? MR. PORTUONDO: Rafael Portuondo, Portuondo Perotti Architects, 5717 S.W. 8th Street.

Um, one of the things that -- that I think, um, $I$ just want to, uh, clarify is that, um, when one goes through a design process and one gets conflicted between life safety and historic, um, one of the things that I did was I made sure that $I$ took care of life safety. And the mistake that $I$ made was that $I$ didn't go back to historic to make sure that what other decisions I was making were the correct ones. Um, one of the things that I've always taken pride in is that whenever I have a design dilemma, that I don't give up for the fact that it was a life safety issue, that I really fought for something beautiful. And I believe that what we did was something beautiful.
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| 1 | actually looks more like -- historically like a |
| 2 | shutter, like a louvered shutter door |
| 3 | composition. |
| 4 | So in taking the pictures, one of the |
| 5 | things that -- that it does is, the lower rail |
| 6 | of the louvers is 30 inches and one of the -- |
| 7 | and I'm gonna kind of go -- and I'm gonna come |
| 8 | back to this. But one of the things that I |
| 9 | always thought in terms of beauty is that sort |
| 10 | of transparency and the light that comes |
| 11 | through the louvered doors. I've always loved |
| 12 | and have seen in Coral Gables those old scre - |
| 13 | those old, uh, louvered -- metal louvered |
| 14 | porches. Like, I've always thought those were |
| 15 | the coolest things ever. So when we saw this |
| 16 | product, I thought this was -- this was |
| 17 | actually along the lines of what I've always |
| 18 | seen to be historic in Coral Gables. |
| 19 | My -- my uncle, which is Desi Arnaz's |
| 20 | house, which is a house that's on Alhambra, is |
| 21 | actually a house that had, uh, jalousie |
| 22 | windows. And I remember going into that and |
| 23 | saying, wow, it was just such a strong |
| 24 | statement. But there's also houses on the golf |
| 25 | course and on some of the interior houses that |



Gables. And when you look at shutters like these on windows and you looked at these here where you have the transparency of the indoor/outdoor, $I$ just thought it was a beautiful thing. And I -- and I thought it was contextually appropriate.

Um, so in -- in doing that, one of the things that $I$ ended up doing was, um, making the system work. So one of the things that $I$ always look at is, once you make a design decision, is it -- is the decision consistent throughout? So, uh, if I needed to make decisions based on life safety and -- and the decisions that have to do with a 4-inch object, has to have an NOA, has to have this, has to have, uh, a wall that's -- that's 48 inches high, but the wall that's 48 inches high is absorbed into the louvers, so you don't have to -- you don't have to designate a 48-inch high wall.

Um, so in -- in doing all of that, um, I thought we did a great job. But at the end of the day, Manny Lopez is not historic and that's -- from the day that $I$ tried to solve a life safety issue, till the day that $I$ met with

Kara, I can honestly tell you that I haven't had a good night's sleep since that day.

So, um, I'm here just to let you know that even though $I$ was dealing with a life safety issue, $I$ never gave up the intent of design. It was never a compromise to the house. It was never looked at as, oh, you know, so, um, I think that from that day on, $I$ think every time I've talked to Kara, I've always started it off by saying I'm really, really sorry. And this is like a lesson for me, because sometimes when you're working on a job and you're trying to get the CO, you're trying to do this, um, you don't always dot your I's and cross your T's. But I just want to let you guys know that in no circumstance was something done to actually take a shortcut in any way. So, um, that's really, uh, what $I$ wanted to say, so.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Did -- did we throw up the French shutters last time?

MR. PORTUONDO: The French shutters was out of the question. Was that -- are you -Venny, you -- you bring a good point. And so we all -- and so I also met with Dona, Kara, ElizaBeth, and Carlos Mindreau who's here in

the transom with -- which has a horizontal, uh, rail. And then the upper portion of the shutter is actually arched to match that. So it's actually a three-part, um, it's gonna make a three-part uh, shutter, which in many ways are like that type where -- I'm assuming that behind there, there's a door with a transom and then it breaks down into different sections of the shutter. So it actually reinforces that as a design intent of the house. Um, so, um, that's basically that.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Are these shutters decorative or are they actually operable? MR. PORTUONDO: They're operable. And the good thing about them is that, for example, if you wanted to, there's two parts to these shutters, a lower section and the upper section. So here I -- I actually closed the bottom section and left the top section open. So let's supposing you're sitting in a chair, in your bathing suit, you don't want the golfers to come and see you, that was actually something that was actually a good thing with this system, right? And then I have another image right here that you don't notice the
bottom section because the bottom section's open. So at that point it actually opens up all the way. So you can -- you actually control the privacy within the covered terrace.

MS. KAUTZ: The four on the front, are they going to be operable or are they going to be faux?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, that's right.
MS. KAUTZ: That was your question?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: That's what I meant, the front ones.

MR. PORTUONDO: I'm sorry. Um, they're
gonna be -- we're actually -- something else that was, uh, we're actually adding hinges to all the shutters of the house and they're gonna be operable. Now, the fact that they're gonna use them for --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: For hurricanes.
MR. PORTUONDO: -- you know, for hurricane, they're not because all the windows are product -- or our product approval's in there, but they're -- we're adding the hinges and we're adding, um, the $S$ curve on it. And part of going back to the Board of Architects was that we had to show them the hinges and we
had to show them everything else. It's actually on the drawings. But at that -- at this scale and with this projector, you can't see them. But part of -- of -- of working with, uh, Historic was to make sure that the hinges were on and that was a prerequisite from Dona.

MS. KAUTZ: You also as part of the deferral recommended or required that they go back to Board of Architects with the louvers because they had not seen them before. Um, they did on -- what was the date -- on, uh, March 1st, um, and their -- one of their comments was add hardware to the shutters, um. And they had another comment to add, uh, cladding the -- the structural columns between the louvers. And staff, our end, actually recommended against that. So they did not incorporate that second, which is fine. We're good.

MR. MINDREAU: Good evening. For the record, my name is Carlos Mindreau. I'm the city architect.

Um, members of the board, I'd like to say that my comments, um, are gonna be strictly
architectural. I believe that the historic relevance of the project is well-handled by the Historic Preservation Board and the staff.

I happened to be present at the meeting that, uh, Dona, Kara, uh, Ralph, myself, and the owners of the property were present, uh, when we were reviewing the particular research at hand and the project in general.

Um, architecturally speaking, uh, I think that the project, uh, from the golf side is superb. It's delicate. It's -- the proportions of the overall -- the existing house, the original historic house, and the addition even though it's significant, uh, are just in beautiful symphony with each other. Uh, as you approach the house from Santa Maria, the entry court takes such a great deal of prevalence in the overall scheme that the historic house is really where you go. The addition, significant as it is, um, takes a -takes a step back to the history of the -- of the building. Uh, I think this is superbly done, typical to, uh, Ralph Portuondo's projects. The detailing is, you know, I -- I can't tell you enough, is subtle and
beautifully carried out.
The history of the house as you walk through the interior of the house, the history of the house is very prevalent. But the execution of the details of the -- of the programming that it has is extremely crisp and actually it's far into the history, but it blends in and -- and speaks to it so delicately that it's really beautiful.

I wanted to say these things, uh, from the architectural point because $I$ think it was -it's important. Um, I really do believe that staff will handle the historic aspects well.

If you have any questions for me, I'd be happy to answer them.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think we're gonna close the public hearing. And if anybody else has questions? For Mr. Portuondo, for staff? If not, we'll leave it for deliberation. Thank you.

MS. KAUTZ: All right. Can I -- may I ask? I just have one question. And I forgot to ask you this the other day. The -- the louvers as they are installed now, are they fixed in place?

MR. MINDREAU: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Okay. That was one of the conditions of zoning, that they actually be fixed in place and not be operable. Um, I mean, they can move this way, but the panels themselves have to be fixed in place if they --

MR. EHRENHAFT: They do not swing like doors, is what you're saying.

MS. KAUTZ: Correct. They have to be the pool barrier and if they're allowed to free swing, then it -- so, that's all I wanted to say. They're already fixed.

MR. SILVA: Just out of curiosity if -- if you wanted to make them swing, could you input a closer -- just like a gate, like a -- a self-closer?

MR. PORTUONDO: Well, one of the things that --

MR. SILVA: Or is that the deal?
MR. PORTUONDO: -- we looked into was -one of the things that $I$ was looking into was not to make it more complicated than I've already made it, so. MR. SILVA: Understood. Understood. Perfect.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: They got a lot accomplished with what they had to do. Yeah.

MR. FULLERTON: Yes, I'd like to, uh, thank you, uh, for, uh, inviting us over there to see the place, because it's actually one of the most beautiful homes I've seen in Coral Gables that I, uh, echo Mr. Mindreau's comments, because it's beautifully, beautifully handled.

MR. PORTUONDO: Thank you.
MR. FULLERTON: And I don't want you to go walk out of here with a great big head, you know, but really it is a lovely, lovely -MR. PORTUONDO: I -- I'm humbled being here today, I gotta tell you.

MR. FULLERTON: No, every -- every part of it was, uh, beautifully thought out and -MR. PORTUONDO: Thank you, John. MR. FULLERTON: So I'd like to, um, uh, make the motion to approve it. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second. CHAIRMAN TORRE: We've got motion. We have a second. Any further discussion?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right.

|  | Page 137 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. PORTUONDO: Thank you. And again, |
| 2 | sorry for -- |
| 3 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: We haven't voted yet. |
| 4 | MR. PORTUONDO: Okay. That's true. Like |
| 5 | I said, thank you, because it's my -- |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: You haven't been punished |
| 7 | enough. |
| 8 | MR. PORTUONDO: Yeah. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Just kidding. |
| 10 | All right. Jessie, go ahead. |
| 11 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Rodriguez? |
| 12 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. |
| 13 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Ehrenhaft? |
| 14 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. |
| 15 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Silva? |
| 16 | MR. SILVA: Yes. |
| 17 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Menendez? |
| 18 | MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. |
| 19 | THE SECRETARY: Ms. Bache-Wiig? |
| 20 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. |
| 21 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Parsley? |
| 22 | MS. KAUTZ: He -- he left. Absent. |
| 23 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Fullerton? |
| 24 | MR. FULLERTON: Yes. |
| 25 | THE SECRETARY: Mr. Torre? |

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes.
MR. MENENDEZ: Now you can get a good night's sleep.

MR. PORTUONDO: Thank you. And I -again, sorry for the -- for the whole thing.

BOARD MEMBER: I'm tired of searching for
those shutters for another beautiful mid century project. That -- those can go on anything.

MR. PORTUONDO: Yeah, it's really -- it's
just got a way which is --
BOARD MEMBER: Yeah, I was amazed.
MR. PORTUONDO: So, uh, thank you guys and --

BOARD MEMBER: Thank you.
MR. PORTUONDO: -- have a great evening.
MR. FULLERTON: Motion to --
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Well, let's see if staff has anything else. No, one second.

MR. FULLERTON: All right.
MS. KAUTZ: Um, I don't have anything.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: You have nothing?
MS. KAUTZ: I've got nothing.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: I've got nothing.
MS. KAUTZ: It's been that kind of week.

| 1 | I've got nothing else. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Well, I like it. You |
| 3 | all, you guys did a lot of good work. So I |
| 4 | think we should always give you the compliment |
| 5 | when you -- |
| 6 | MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Not that you never. You |
| 8 | always do good work. But there's a lot of |
| 9 | stuff that went in it. |
| 10 | MS. KAUTZ: I -- but again, that city plan |
| 11 | designation was always -- phenomenal. |
| 12 | Phenomenal. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: These agendas, when you |
| 14 | have six things to do, I can only imagine how |
| 15 | much -- how much work you guys put in when it's |
| 16 | six, seven items on the agenda. It's crazy. |
| 17 | MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: We have something. |
| 19 | MR. PORTUONDO: I just want to thank |
| 20 | Carlos for coming and waiting patiently for |
| 21 | this last on the agenda. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: There you go. |
| 23 | MR. PORTUONDO: I actually wanted to be |
| 24 | last because I didn't want to -- if there was |
| 25 | gonna be a show, I didn't want to put it front |




