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MR. TORRE: All right. Good afternoon, everybody. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with the preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods and artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage of the city.

The board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the commission and one by the city manager. The ninth is selected by the board and confirmed by the commission.

Five members of the board constitute a quorum and five affirmatives votes are necessary for the adoption of any motion.

Any person who acts as a lobbyist, pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance 2016-11, must register with the city clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before city staff, boards, committees, and/or the city commission.

A copy of the ordinance is available in the office of the city clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of a registration shall inhibit your ability to present to the Historic Preservation Board on applications under consideration this afternoon.

A lobbyist is defined as an individual, corporation, partnership or other legal entity employed or retained, whether paid or not, by a principal who seeks to encourage the approval, disapproval, adoption, repeal, passage, defeat, or modification of any ordinance, resolution, action or decision of any city commissioner, any action, decision, recommendation of the city manager, any city board or committee, including, but not limited to, quasi-judicial, advisory board, trust, authority, or council, or any, or any action, decision or recommendation of city personnel during the time period of the entire decision making process, and the action, decision or recommendation which foreseeably will be heard or reviewed by the city commission or a city board or committee, including, but not limited to, quasi-judicial, advisory board, trust, authority or council.

Presentations made to this board are subject to the city's false claim ordinance, Chapter 39 of the City of Coral Gables City Code.

I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation board meeting of May 24 th, 2018, to order. The time is 4:05.

Present today to my left, Alicia Bache-Wiig, Albert Menendez, Raul Rodriguez, Alejandro Silva, to my right, Jan Thomson and Steven Ehrenhaft, right?
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MR. EHRENHAFT: Bruce.
MR. TORRE: Bruce. This is the hardest part of this job, is to keep everybody's name straight, and I forget all the time, my friends. Sorry about that.

The approval of the minutes, the next item on the agenda is the approval of the minutes for April 19th, 2018. Are there any changes or corrections? Is there a motion for approval?

MS. THOMSON: I move that we approve the minutes from the last meeting.

MR. TORRE: Second, please?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Second.
MR. TORRE: All those in favor, please say aye.
(Thereupon, the board collectively said "aye.")
MR. TORRE: All those against? Thank you. Please be advised that this board is a quasi-judicial board and the items on the agenda are quasi-judicial in nature which require board members to disclose all ex parte communications.

An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside a public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial board
regarding matters to be heard by the quasi-judicial board. If anyone has made any contact with a board member, when the issue comes before the board, the member must state on the record the existence of this ex parte communication, the party who originated the communication, and whether the communication will affect the board member's ability to impartially consider the evidence to be presented regarding the matter.

So does anyone have a communication to disclose at this time?

MR. EHRENHAFT: None.
MR. TORRE: Thank you. Any deferrals today? MS. SPAIN: There's only one item -MR. TORRE: All right. MS. SPAIN: -- that it's actually going to happen,

MR. TORRE: All right. Look at that. All right. So anyone in the audience who is going to be testifying today, please rise to be sworn in, please. (Thereupon, all potential witnesses were duly sworn on oath by the clerk.)

MR. TORRE: We have a request for an excused absence from Robert Parsley, so if that's a -- you should have that in the packet. If that's okay with you all, would you please make a motion for that excused absence to
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| 1 | be on record? |
| 2 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: So moved. |
| 3 | MR. TORRE: Is there a second? |
| 4 | MR. SILVA: Second. |
| 5 | MR. TORRE: So we have a motion from |
| 6 | Mr. Rodriguez and a second from Mr. Silva. All those in |
| 7 | favor, please say aye. |
| 8 | (Thereupon, the board collectively said "aye.") |
| 9 | MR. TORRE: All those against? Thank you. |
| 10 | The first item today is Case File COA (SP) 2018-004. |
| 11 | This is long, so I'll read it. An |
| 12 | application for the issuance of a special certificate of |
| 13 | appropriateness for the property at 821 Obispo Avenue, a |
| 14 | contributing resource within the Obispo Avenue historic |
| 15 | district, legally described as Lot 16, Block 28, Coral |
| 16 | Gables Section B, recorded in Plat Book Five, Page 111 of |
| 17 | the public records of Miami-Dade County. |
| 18 | The applicant is requesting design approval |
| 19 | for an addition and alterations to the residence and also |
| 20 | an auxiliary structure and site work. |
| 21 | Variances have also been requested from |
| 22 | Article Four, Section 4-101 (D) (4b), and Article Five, |
| 23 | Section 5-503 (A) (1), for allowable site -- side |
| 24 | setbacks, from Article Five, Section 5-1402 (A) (5a), for |
| 25 | the allowance of minimum dimensions of a porte cochere, |

and from Article Five, Section 5-503 (A) (1a), to waive the side yard area requirement for a Coral Gables cottage. Whew.

MS. SPAIN: Yes. So before $I$ turn it over to the applicant, staff is recommending in favor of the variance request.

We do question the necessity of the 16 feet interior length, and if you could talk to the applicant about that. We totally understand the width of the carport having to be smaller than normal, but I'm not sure that it couldn't meet the required criteria for the length.

And also we ask that the following be discussed and prior to any motion of approval: A separate standard certificate of appropriateness will be required for the following items that aren't illustrated within the submittal, and by that we can administratively approve these. They don't have to come back to the board.

The proposed gate feature at the rear, the north of the proposed carport, the proposed pool fence and gate, and the swimming pool and pool deck, those would be handled administratively by staff.

The proposed windows and doors are to receive high profile, dimensional muntins.

The tiled roof elements, hood and coping, are



MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: Oh, I'm sorry.
MS. THOMSON: It's not your fault.
MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: So because of the
limitations of the site, there's only one possible location of the carport, is to the west of the property.

Now, we have some -- we have given setbacks. On the east we have three-foot one. In the west side we have about, it's ten feet ten inches.

The requirement from the code requires for a regular parking stall to be 16 -- I'm sorry, nine by 22. No, no, no. I'm sorry. It requires, yeah, 12 by 22. I'm sorry.

So we have ten feet ten inches, but we have the structure that is supporting the roof of the carport.

Now, once the vehicle is in the position, since there are no walls at the property line, then the space available is going to be the actual ten foot ten. It's not going to be the distance where we have the structure, the columns.

So in that regard, yes, you know, it's there because we have the columns, the space that we have available for the columns, from the column to the face of the existing structure is nine feet, but then after, you are inside underneath the structure, then we have more space.

Now, regarding the length, it's the same situation except that after, when you count the structure, the length of the -- the total length is 22 feet. You can see that in your packages. Let me go here and get to the site plan. All right. Can $I$ zoom in here?

MS. KAUTZ: No.
(Thereupon, Mr. Fullerton entered the room.)
MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: All right. So in this
plan, the $A 1.02$, we can see clearly the dimensions of that, the actual structure is 22 feet.

Now, regarding -- so the location of the proposed carport and the first three variances are all related. One is zero lot. The other one is the width of the, of the carport and --

MR. MENENDEZ: Bless you.
MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: -- and the zero lot, and the percentage, yeah, the percentage of the setback that the requirement is 20 percent of the total width of the lot.

We have a very narrow lot of 50 feet, 50 feet and by -- and once that carport is built, it's going to be reduced to six point, 6.2. We have no option there.

Now, the last, the last variance that we're asking here is regarding the green space on the east side of the property. That is a non-conforming --

MS. KAUTZ: They still can't hear you upstairs. You need to speak straight into it. MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: Like this? MS. THOMSON: It's a crazy system. MS. KAUTZ: No, no. Like straight -- talk -do you want to use the hand held? Is that easier?

MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, thank you. So the last one is, refers to, the last variance refers to the minimum side yard on the east side of the property, that is 238 square feet, but it's a non-conforming, so it's an existing. We're not touching that area. That is what is in place right now, and the minimum required is 250.

So even though it's not in compliance, it's very minimum, but it's a non-conforming condition that we have. In the plan, the east is to the right.

Yes, so then regarding the addition of the main house, you can see in your, again, in the Sheet A 1.02, you can see the areas that are shaded in yellow, that indicates, of course, the carport, and then an extension of the house that is an extension of the kitchen and the dining, and the dining areas.

Also as part of this addition, we are reconfiguring the existing terrace and we are reconfiguring this area, enlarging the area, and that's
part of the new part -- this project.
Now, referring to the existing garage, the structure of the garage, the plan here to convert, it's not only converting the existing structure, but enlarging the existing structure. We're adding some square footage for that purpose, and we are removing the existing garage doors. We are adding new doors and windows.

Let's see if we can go to the next page. You go here, A 1.03. You can see to your left the existing structure, and to the right is the proposed. It has one dormitory with a living space and a little kitchenette with storage area and a bathroom, and again, the area shaded in yellow is the area that we are adding to the structure.

As Dona referred to and in the document here, the staff report, all the elements that were required to be kept or added to, in the design, are incorporated in the plans.

The garage right now shows a parapet that is not a reflection of the original existing, the way it was before, so, but there's a picture from an original, of the original structure, and we are recreating that, what it was there before, so we are removing that huge parapet that they have right now, and you can see that in the images.

Well, let me show the plan first. Go to the plan. Here. So on the top left, on the top left, that is the existing structure, and we are converting that. You see on the top left is the existing. Right underneath is what we are proposing to be demolished, and then the bottom, the bottom left shows the proposed elevation of that structure, that it shows a lower profile of the parapet and it shows the French doors. It shows some wall sconces.

And then on the second column, you have a side view of the structure where there's not any openings. Then we're showing, you can see the proportions that, to the left, that is the south of the property, of the structure, that we're adding windows and doors to access that new space.

The last column shows the back, the rear of the structure to the north, and that is where incorporating a double door to access that storage room and also a door to access the bathroom, that in this case it's going to become a cabana bath for the use of the pool.

One of the new elements also that have been -- that are part of this development is the pool. We are proposing a new pool. It's not, it's not going to be part of the permit, but it's part of the master plan, so we
have the pool, we have a deck, and as Dona mentioned, we are proposing and you're going to manage that at the staff level, $a$ fence to -- that is required by code to prevent access from small childrens. With that, I am open to questions.

MS. THOMSON: Is that door to the bathroom -oh, are we allowed to ask questions?

MR. TORRE: Yes.
MS. THOMSON: Is that door to the bathroom opening to the back of the house there, is that to the left of the washer, dryer --

MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: Well, let me --
MS. THOMSON: -- in the plan? I see a very faint door, and $I$ just wondered if that was what you were talking about. Yes, it is. I'm sorry. I see it now.

MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: Yes. It's part -I'll show you that.

MS. THOMSON: And it goes like down step to the walkway. Okay.

MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: Yes, exactly.
MS. THOMSON: I'm just curious that when I was looking over this plan, I was just curious as to why the bathroom would be placed so far away from the pool, proposed pool, it's not there yet, but why it would be placed so far away from it when -- I know like a lot of
homes, like especially out in the southwest section, big, sprawling, ranches, and they have like pool, bathrooms that open up to the pool patios so it's very quick access and egress.

That stuck out to me when I looked at the plan, why the bathroom would be the farthest away from the pool.

MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: Well, what happened here is, and as you can see the entire layout, not just how the pool -- the bathroom serve the pool, but how the bathroom serves the bedroom and the position where it is. We proposed the living space have a visual to the pool area and the bedroom on the opposite side.

So for us to have the bathroom on the other side, it worked better this way than relocating and having the bathroom on the other side.

MS. THOMSON: Okay.
MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: And also for the storage, if you're, the storage going all the way to the back, if you're carrying something that is big, you're going to have to go all the way to that corner, so in terms of the layout, it works, it worked better this way, the internal layout.

I understand your question regarding how soon you can access, how close to the pool is the bathroom.
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| 1 | MS. THOMSON: Always my first concern. |
| 2 | MR. TORRE: Can somebody explain the |
| 3 | requirement, I've never heard this before, the side yard |
| 4 | requiring 238 feet? Is that a green area requirement |
| 5 | we're talking about? I don't know what that means. |
| 6 | MS. SPAIN: Okay, so I want to eliminate that |
| 7 | from the cottage ordinance. It doesn't make any sense to |
| 8 | me. It was something that was put in years ago, and when |
| 9 | we revised it recently, we should have taken that out |
| 10 | because I think it refers to just an open space, not |
| 11 | necessarily a green space, but an area that typically none |
| 12 | of these cottages really comply with. |
| 13 | So it's not something that, when I was in |
| 14 | zoning, it's not something that I worried about. |
| 15 | MR. TORRE: Not a lot of sense -- |
| 16 | MS. SPAIN: Yeah. |
| 17 | MR. TORRE: -- if you wanted to have a pool |
| 18 | area. |
| 19 | MS. SPAIN: Yeah. |
| 20 | MR. TORRE: Okay. |
| 21 | MS. SPAIN: So we really should do a text |
| 22 | amendment and take that out of the code. |
| 23 | MR. TORRE: So the property already had |
| 24 | irregular or very small setbacks, 3.1, 2.8 , which existed |
| 25 | really. |

MS. SPAIN: Right.
MR. TORRE: So we're wiping out one that didn't really matter, so really the only key here is really the carport issue, correct?

MS. SPAIN: I think so.
MR. TORRE: Is that basically, the carport issue, because the other setbacks that we're talking about --

MS. SPAIN: Right.
MR. TORRE: -- I mean, you add -- it is what it is, right? So, and I think the design is great and I think a lot of these things are done very thoughtfully, including moving this carport back, and I think it works great.

The only issue is, again is how many -- I've never seen the zero setback, not that --

MS. SPAIN: We've done it once before that $I$ remember.

MR. TORRE: I'm in favor of it. I think it looks great, $I$ think it works great, and I think it's a nice way to say to folks, "You do a nice job with historic, look what you get," and I think that's what we try to portray here, is that, you know, we actually are a very good board to work with and give people a lot of things that they wouldn't normally be getting, so.

MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: Well, the owners, the owners are here so they're happy to hear that.

MR. TORRE: Yes. I think that the notion that we are negatively impacting a lot of things, it's the opposite, really.

MS. SPAIN: And what's nice about the carport is it's open, so even if it's a zero setback, it doesn't really --

MR. TORRE: Very discreet.
MS. SPAIN: Yeah.
MR. TORRE: And it's small and being small is even a good thing, so I'm in favor of it, a lot of the things. You've been able to do a nice floor plan. The floor plan is fabulous, how much you got in that house, and the amenities that you put in this house is fabulous.

I'm like amazed how this little house has become such a great, great house, pool, cottage, everything.

MS. SPAIN: Yes. It will work very well.
MR. TORRE: I think it's really good. I'm in favor of the whole thing.

MR. SILVA: I agree with Venny. I think it's very sensitively done. I agree with the staff conditions, and I want to remind everyone that this by rights could have, you know, a two-story addition and then --

MS. SPAIN: Exactly.
MR. SILVA: So by granting these variances, we're allowing them to work with an addition that's more sensitive to the house.

In regards to the carport, the side setback, I have no issues with that. The depth, the 22 -foot depth, you know, it's --

MS. SPAIN: We advertised for the 16 , so if you all are comfortable with that --

MR. SILVA: Right.
Ms. SPAIN: -- it's already been advertised.
MR. SILVA: Right. So my issue is actually one of functionality. If we do make this thing deeper, if we make it 22 feet, $I$ don't think we should go farther to the rear. I think that two-foot setback that the board of architects recommended kind of deals with that, with that building elevation on that corner a little better, and if we come forward farther, I'm concerned that it's going to be difficult to get the car in and out because of that chimney protrusion.

MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MR. SILVA: So I don't have an issue with the depth.

I would, though, point out that one of staff's conditions is to respect the original sill on that


```
about eight inches.
```

MR. SILVA: No, I'm just saying the placement of that, where it falls in the wall to maintain clearance from that existing sill.

MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MR. SILVA: Thank you.
MS. SPAIN: That makes sense, and I think you're right about the 16 --

MR. SILVA: Yes.
MS. SPAIN: -- feet.
MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: Well, keep in mind that when it says interior dimension, it refers to from the inside of the column to the inside of the column, but the columns are two feet each, so when you add 16 --

MR. SILVA: Right.
MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: -- 18, 20, so from edge to edge is more, so, and because it's not a closed garage, so it's an open garage, so you're going to have the full length of the structure available for parking.

MS. SPAIN: That's true.
MS. THOMSON: Is it appropriate to ask why you're going through all this expense and trouble to make this apartment in the back? That's another question on my mind.

MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: The owner can give a
better answer to that. Thank you.
MR. PRESCOTT: Hi. I'm Len Prescott. I'm, along with my wife Charlotte, the owner of 821 Obispo. I want to say a couple things.

One, we've lived in Coral Gables since 2002. This is the second historic house that we've owned. We renovated 811 Santiago in the Santiago historic district. The two of us, we're driven to Coral Gables like many of you because of the architectural fabric of our community. We love the 1920s houses.

On the other hand, we have three kids and the dog. Your comments about what about the functionality of this and that, we are very much in a thoughtful way trying to do a lot with a small property to make this functional.

With our daughter going off to college, to have -- you know, right now we have five people living with three bedrooms, so to create space that is enjoyable for our family and relatives and people when they come to visit us. At the moment we're on top of each other. I used to be like Clark Griswald and think it's great. Now I'm thinking let's have a little bit more functionality and space.

MR. TORRE: Any more comments? Then I'll just close the public hearing. Any questions or comments from the audience? Thank you. We'll close the public
hearing.
MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
MR. TORRE: Any additional comments from the board? Should we make a motion?

MS. BACHE-WIIG: I think they did a great job in bringing the existing house up to today's standards and just being very thoughtful about having to do that, so.

MR. TORRE: I also want to comment on these drawings. I've been making some comments to Dona here in public that, you know, the adjacent properties, the context of the building, these are really nicely done drawings for a project this size. The graphics are great, the information is fantastic, so I commend you on that, and I put that on record that this is the way drawings should come to us.

MR. VICTOR RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
MS. SPAIN: Absolutely.
MR. MENENDEZ: Is there a motion?
MR. SILVA: I'll make a motion to approve with staff comments and the recommendation that the front pilaster of the garage be clear a minimum two inches from the existing sill on the window, and we need to take this first and then --

MS. SPAIN: Does that include the 16 feet instead of making it the 20?

MR. SILVA: Yes.

MS. SPAIN: So that's not -- it's all but one of staff's comments, right?

MR. SILVA: Correct, correct.
MS. SPAIN: Okay.
MR. SILVA: Thank you for clarifying, and we need to take the variances one at a time?

MS. SPAIN: I don't believe so.
MS. KAUTZ: What?
MS. SPAIN: They don't need to take the variances one at a time, no, unless someone votes no on the first one, then we would have to go back and redo them.

MR. TORRE: It's good. Otherwise we get through five.

MR. SILVA: So that's the motion.
MR. TORRE: So for all five -- is it five, right, five? Four, four variances.

MS. SPAIN: I think you need to vote, though, you need to approve the design with staff comments and then approve the variances.

MR. TORRE: Okay. So you're making first the design?

MR. EHRENHAFT: Venny, can I ask for clarification? On the recommendations, you recommended --




MS. SPAIN: Pardon me?
MR. EHRENHAFT: That was the point of my inquiry.

MS. SPAIN: Do what?

MR. SILVA: That the motion to grant the variance on the depth of the garage wasn't listed here. I just want to clarify that my motion included that.

MS. SPAIN: Oh, I understand.
MS. KAUTZ: As advertised, as advertised.
MS. SPAIN: As advertised, yes. That's fine.
MR. TORRE: That's it for that one.
MS. SPAIN: That's it.
MR. TORRE: Thank you.
MS. SPAIN: Thank you very much. You're
done. We'll take them back.
MS. THOMSON: I love this presentation.
MS. SPAIN: Wait.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Dona?
MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: You might want to hold onto one of these and when someone comes into your office say, "This is a good example of what we approve."

MS. SPAIN: We will.
MR. EHRENHAFT: It's probably very expensive.
MR. TORRE: I'm taking mine home. I usually
leave them for them. I'm taking mine home.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'm taking mine home.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm taking mine home with me.
MS. THOMSON: Put it in my library.
MS. SPAIN: We always have one for the file so if someone asks about it, we can pull it.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MS. SPAIN: There's always one that we have in our file.

MR. FULLERTON: Mine is very light. I can hardly read the roll, from the roll.

MR. TORRE: The floor plan is a little light.
MR. FULLERTON: Huh?
MR. TORRE: The floor plan was a little hard to read, but $I$ could see it, but yeah.

MS. SPAIN: So we do have items from the secretary. The first one -- I have three items since it is a very quick meeting.

The first one is, as you know, the city
purchased the $H$. George Fink building a couple of years ago in 2016, and we've been looking since that time for a tenant.

Staff is putting together a committee to review the proposals for the tenants. It consists of one person from each of a few boards, the economic development
board, the property advisory board, the budget advisory board, the cultural development board and the historic preservation board.

And so I would like for a volunteer from this board to be part of that committee if at all possible.

MR. MENENDEZ: I'll volunteer.
MR. SILVA: Me too. I love that building.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Which building is this?
MS. SPAIN: H. George Fink building.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: And where is it?
MS. SPAIN: It's on 2506 Ponce. It's right next to Bugatti's. It looks like a cathedral, a little church set back in there, but it is actually his architectural office, so 1920s.

MS. THOMSON: So what is the volunteering for?

MS. SPAIN: To be on the committee to review the tenants that want to go in there.

MS. THOMSON: Oh, okay.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Are there proposed tenants?
MS. SPAIN: There are possibly. Right now there's two tenants that are looking at it. One is the Centro Cultural Espanol and the other is Societa Dante Alighieri. I think both are really wonderful organizations and they each have proposals on what they

```
would do with the building.
    The interiors are designated, as you know,
    and so any alterations would come to this board anyway for
    interiors. The city intends to restore the exterior, so.
Okay.
MS. THOMSON: So when would this work be done, like?
MS. SPAIN: We don't know. We're first -- it has to go to the city commission for approval of the tenant and the lease agreement, so it's a ways off. We're just starting the whole process.
But we need a committee put together to look at their proposals, and it's really the best way, other than having a joint board meeting with those five boards which would be very unwieldy.
MS. THOMSON: Right, that would be.
MS. SPAIN: So we'd like to just get a committee together for recommendation to the city commission, so.
MS. THOMSON: I'd volunteer.
MS. SPAIN: I just need one person, so Mr. Silva is a volunteer.
MS. THOMSON: Silva, fine.
MS. SPAIN: Mr. Menendez has.
MS. THOMSON: I would love to, but if
```

Mr. Silva is going to do it already, it's fine.
MR. SILVA: I'll be glad to do it, but I
think Mr. Menendez wants to as well. It's up to you.
MS. SPAIN: Do you want to vote on it? Or I don't know how to do it.

MS. THOMSON: Do they want to campaign?
MR. MENENDEZ: We'll go outside and fight over it.

MR. SILVA: Duke it out.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Arm wrestle.
MS. THOMSON: I'm better at campaigns.
MR. MENENDEZ: No, whatever. Let Mr. Silva, he's got a passion for that building, so let him go for it.

MS. SPAIN: He does, okay. Well, is that -do you all need to vote on that, to have Mr. Silva be the board appointee for the --

MR. TORRE: Let's have a quick motion.
MS. SPAIN: -- for that committee? All in

## favor?

MR. TORRE: Yes, let's do that, so all in favor of Mr. Silva volunteering?
(Thereupon, the board collectively said "aye.")
MR. TORRE: Is there anybody, anybody --
MR. EHRENHAFT: I'm sorry.

MR. TORRE: Unanimous.
MS. SPAIN: All right. Thank you very much, really appreciate it.

MR. SILVA: Thank you. I'll represent the board well.

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. TORRE: Bring back the --
MS. SPAIN: Thank you. I appreciate it. It's such an important project, and I'm going to pass these out. If you could put up the Power Point again.

White Way lights, as you know, the city had at one point a lot of these White Way lights. They were designed by Denman Fink. Right now there are 77 positions along University Drive and Riviera Drive where many of the original lights are. There's actually only 45 left in the city, and it's up on the screen as to where those are located.

There are 21 on Riviera and 24 original ones on University Drive. They are currently owned by Florida Power and Light, which has been an issue because Florida Power and Light have allowed them to deteriorate, and so they really have fallen into disrepair. They're in bad shape.

The city is trying to acquire locations from Florida Power and Light. It's a little complicated
because Florida Power and Light is held to a different standard than what the city would be when the city acquires them, and so when the city acquires them, they can't just leave them alone. They actually have to upgrade them.

So that would be at a cost of over $\$ 300,000$ to get them wired appropriately for the code that we are required to abide by. I'm not an electrician, but apparently that's what the issue is. As far as just acquiring them, it's not just acquiring them, it's actually bringing them up to code, and in addition, the restoration of them we estimate to be between three and five thousand dollars a pole.

Now, the discussion that I'd like you to have is initially we thought we'll acquire these 77 locations. We'll take the 45 poles and restore them.

It used to be that when a pole would be knocked down, it would fall into disrepair, Florida Power and Light would take the pole and throw it away. I'm not sure that they throw it away. They certainly -- we don't have it.

Now they have agreed to, if someone runs into a pole or if it falls over, they call us and we take the pole to the equipment yard, so we have nine poles. A total of 54 poles with 45 and the nine in the equipment
yard, all need to be restored.
So the question is do we, do we take one of these routes and make sure that all of them are functioning and original? Do we take the 77 locations, and in those positions that we don't have original poles, do we make a cast of a pole and put new poles that look like that?

You know, that's something that we don't necessarily do in historic preservation, but we could make them out of something other than the cast -- what are they, cast iron now, cast?

MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, cast iron.
MS. SPAIN: Cast iron. We could do it in aluminum so that you could tell the difference between the old and the new.

Or do we take those that are on University Drive, which are 21, and add it to the 24 -- I mean, sorry, on Riviera Drive, add it to the 24 on University, fill it in with nine, and then you have one street that has all original poles.

So you know, that's the dilemma that staff is going through now. This is going to be discussed at the June city commission meeting, so I thought I'd bring it to you today so we could have that discussion.

I mean, for me it would be nice to be able to
drive down a street and see them all in place where they used to be, all be original, you know, so they actually are the original lights.

They're very cool, and I apologize for not having an image of them for you.

MR. TORRE: So your suggestion is to move them all to an area and then make sure that one or two streets have them?

MS. SPAIN: Yeah, but the problem with that is you're taking them away from Riviera Drive where they now have original White Way lights.

MR. TORRE: And which street would be the complete street, University?

MS. SPAIN: Well, I'm thinking University, but right now University has the smallest number of lights.

MR. SILVA: You don't have enough, right, to do all of University?

MS. SPAIN: We don't have enough to do all of University and all of Riviera. We would be able to cast them, though, and just put them up, which again is an option. Maybe that's a better option.

MR. TORRE: Could you change and complete an area of uniform original and then cast the difference so that --



MR. MENENDEZ: It seems like you have enough of the existing ones where you could replace the lower half of University and the couple that are on Riviera, and that whole semi-circle could be the original existing ones.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes, and that's closer to like the Biltmore, so I think that there's context.

MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
MR. TORRE: There's another issue that she hasn't mentioned. I think there's not enough money to do it all at one time.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Right.
MR. TORRE: And I think there's a progression of how this is to be done.

MR. MENENDEZ: You can do it in phases, you know.

MR. TORRE: Isn't there like a first --
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Right.
MR. TORRE: But there is already discussion, I heard, of moving forward with what we could do or how -- there was something said that we had $\$ 300,000$, let's get that going, so maybe that plays into this.

MS. SPAIN: The public works department put money in next year's budget, so it's for next year, to do the initial upgrade, the ones to transfer.

MR. TORRE: Wouldn't that be part of the plan? You know, let's say we have enough money to do the Riviera part that is complete. Let's restore all that. You got two to put back. You have those in storage. I mean, just to have it so that the money part --

MS. SPAIN: The restoration, we can get done.
I mean, it's really the transfer and upgrade of the electrical service that's the major issue.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: You have nine that are sitting somewhere that can be restored --

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: -- to replace one of the red dots.

MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
MS. SPAIN: Yes, yes, we do.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: So you could actually do this one section of University very quickly.

MR. MENENDEZ: You could finish Riviera --
MR. TORRE: You're missing two.
MR. MENENDEZ: -- you could finish Riviera and then this lower half.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: This lower half, that's what I was thinking.

I tuned into the meeting on Tuesday, and there was a discussion about this. Then I had to -- I got
a phone call and I got away from it. Did the commission make a recommendation, or is this just tabled for further discussion?

MS. SPAIN: They want us to come back on June, I think it's the 12th, the city commission meeting and have a recommendation for them on how to go forward, so that's really why I'm here today.

I would love to have all 77 be the White Way image and fill some of them in with cast. That's going to take a little bit longer. If we own all 77, I think we'll be able to get it done. I think it's a perfect project for a grant, but again, that would take a lot longer.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is there a staff
recommendation?
MS. SPAIN: No. It's a discussion. I initially thought that it would be great to have them all original on University Drive. Now I'm not so sure because I don't know.

MR. EHRENHAFT: May I ask two questions?
MS. SPAIN: Sure.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Are you saying that beyond Segovia where Riviera curves down and touches Bird, that you're thinking about extending them down below University?

MS. SPAIN: No, no.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. That was Question One. Question Two is, there's a general principle in historic preservation that you don't move things.

MS. SPAIN: Well, that's the issue.
MR. EHRENHAFT: And $I$ think that if the newly constructed ones, the newly fabricated ones, even though they are going to have a label or, you know, some impression on them that differentiates them so we know which is the historic and which isn't, for a person driving down the street, they're not really going to --

MS. SPAIN: They won't know the difference.
MR. EHRENHAFT: -- they're not going to see the difference. For me, unless it makes complicated the roll-out and the ability to do the work, $I$ would prefer to see them --

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. EHRENHAFT: -- the way they are.
MS. SPAIN: To leave them on Riviera --
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
(Thereupon, Mr. Torre left the room.)
MS. SPAIN: -- and then fill in, and Albert is right. You can fill in the nine that we have on the bottom half starting from Bird Road up to Riviera. Nine of them at least can be filled in, and then a little at a time, cast them.

MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
MS. SPAIN: You know, I think we have -- it's been a while since we did that research on casting.

MR. SILVA: I remember something coming to the board about these. Were they these fixtures? We looked at a sample or something.

MS. SPAIN: Do you remember, Kara?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: We talked about this.
MR. SILVA: I thought we saw something.
MS. SPAIN: Quite possibly, but it was a while ago if that was the case.

MR. SILVA: Yeah, yeah, it was a while ago.
MS. SPAIN: Because we've been working on this for a long time.

MR. SILVA: And we talked about fiberglass versus aluminum versus --

MS. THOMSON: Is there something that is like really wrong with making them all cast iron again?

MS. SPAIN: No, not necessarily. I think there's a concern that --

MS. THOMSON: Expense.
MS. SPAIN: -- that it's crazy expensive.
MS. THOMSON: Yeah.
MS. SPAIN: And also there's no
differentiation between the old and the new, so you

globes, the glass? Is there going to be any marked differentiation, or are they going to try to take one of the original glass --

MS. SPAIN: There's a whole issue on the globes because I believe they were --

MR. EHRENHAFT: -- and have them look the same?

MS. SPAIN: -- not originally what they look like now. I think they were much more ornate, but those are details that we can --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: What are they now? Are they glass or are they plastic?

MS. SPAIN: Right now they're plastic.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: All of them?
MS. SPAIN: I'm not sure, but I believe they're plastic.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Also there's a difference in the color of the lights. Are we going to make them uniform?

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. MENENDEZ: Is it all going to be LED?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Because you go down Granada, and there's, there's every other one is a different color, same thing with North Green Way.

MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's true.
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MR. FULLERTON: Is there any material that they can be cast from that's more durable than another one? Is cast iron better than aluminum for instance, or?
MS. SPAIN: I don't know. We can do that research.
MR. FULLERTON: Check it out.
MS. SPAIN: I think the question is whether you want us to concentrate on -- to do all 77 locations and fill them in with the manufactured ones. That appeals to me.
MR. SILVA: It sounds like that's the consensus.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: But you can start with nine of them to begin with.
MS. SPAIN: Yes. I mean, they're off site anyway. We can have them restored
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Dona, what are you going to have money for to do initially, the \(\$ 300,000\) ?
MS. SPAIN: We don't have anything in the budget for it. We have -- I take that back. I believe we have \(\$ 20,000\) a year for the past two or three years.
MS. KAUTZ: Last year.
MS. SPAIN: So we have \(\$ 40,000\) that we can start doing those nine.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Right.
```

MS. SPAIN: Which will give us an idea of how long and how much money it would take to do all of them, and then we can go forward with either a grant or putting it in a future budget to do the rest.

MR. SILVA: So if what I'm hearing, if the consensus is we restore, leaving -- you know, not leaving them physically in place, but the ones that are existing are --

MS. SPAIN: Right.
MR. SILVA: -- going to be restored and put back in the existing location --

MS. SPAIN: Right.
MR. SILVA: -- that we infill the other locations with cast that are clearly differentiated and all that, so --

MS. SPAIN: And the nine we can put in the bottom half.

MR. SILVA: And the nine, right, we can put them in some location that that makes sense.

MS. SPAIN: Yes, perfect.
MR. SILVA: So do you need from us a recommendation as to do we start infilling first, or do we start restoring first?

MS. SPAIN: You know, honestly, I don't think we need to get --

MR. SILVA: We don't have to, yeah. Okay.
MS. SPAIN: -- that finely detailed. We can
figure it out when we get it transferred. I'm not sure whether they're going to transfer all of them because once the city gets the location, it's liable, and so the city wants to upgrade --

MR. SILVA: I see.
MS. SPAIN: -- as it's being transferred, and then the restoration comes at a later time.

So if I could have a recommendation to do whatever.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Can I -- I don't want to belabor the point.

MS. SPAIN: Sure.
Mr. EHRENHAFT: But if -- you're suggesting that the existing globes --

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. EHRENHAFT: -- are not what they looked like originally, so.

MS. SPAIN: We need to do more research on that. I believe they were more ornate. We have photographs of the Douglas entrance that showed a more ornate globe, and the company that did the original globes and the original light fixtures is still in business. It's Sternberg, and we've been in touch with them, so we
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| 1 | will be able to -- |
| 2 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do they have molds? |
| 3 | MS. SPAIN: Pardon me? |
| 4 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do they have a mold? |
| 5 | MS. SPAIN: No. That was the first thing I |
| 6 | asked. |
| 7 | MR. EHRENHAFT: But there have been |
| 8 | retrofits -- |
| 9 | MS. SPAIN: Yes. |
| 10 | Mr. EHRENHAFT: -- where the globes are now |
| 11 | not glass, but they're plastic; is that correct? |
| 12 | MS. SPAIN: Yes, but we're not going to do |
| 13 | plastic. |
| 14 | MR. EHRENHAFT: So you're going to |
| 15 | replace all the globes. |
| 16 | MS. SPAIN: I don't want to do plastic. I |
| 17 | say that as if I have some kind of say. |
| 18 | MR. EHRENHAFT: No, if you're replacing all |
| 19 | the globes, what's your thing? I mean, unless it blows |
| 20 | the cost out of the water, it would seem to me that you |
| 21 | would want to, to have the newly fabricated globes as |
| 22 | close to what they were originally -- |
| 23 | MS. SPAIN: Yes. |
| 24 | MR. EHRENHAFT: -- as possible rather than - |
| 25 | MS. SPAIN: Yes, for sure. We'll work on |



fine.
MR. EHRENHAFT: That was what was discussed. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, between Bird and
Riviera.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Because that's where most of the originals, the longest run of originals that are lost exist, and that --

MS. SPAIN: The city acquire all 77 sites, the city acquire all of the University and Riviera?

MR. EHRENHAFT: In stages or --
MS. SPAIN: Whatever.
MR. EHRENHAFT: -- contractually, that they acquire them, and as possible legally, then transfer the responsibility and the maintenance from FP \& L --

MS. SPAIN: Right.
MR. EHRENHAFT: -- over to the city, and that you investigate what materials would be used to fabricate, best fabricate for storm strength and for long-term lack of deterioration, what material you would make the new poles from.

MS. SPAIN: And that the existing poles that are there now be in that same location, right?

MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes, yes.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can $I$ add one thing, please? The color of the lights.
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| 1 | THE CLERK: Mr. Fullerton? |
| 2 | MR. FULLERTON: Yes. |
| 3 | THE CLERK: Mr. Menendez? |
| 4 | MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. |
| 5 | THE CLERK: Mr. Rodriguez? |
| 6 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. |
| 7 | THE CLERK: Miss Thomson? |
| 8 | MS. THOMSON: Yes. |
| 9 | THE CLERK: Mr. Silva? |
| 10 | MR. SILVA: Yes. |
| 11 | MS. SPAIN: Perfect. One more thing. This |
| 12 | has come up a few times at the board of architects, and so |
| 13 | ElizaBeth and I go to the board of architects every |
| 14 | Thursday, and we thought we'd bring it to you all to |
| 15 | discuss. |
| 16 | Right now, windows, you all have, and this |
| 17 | has been for years now, allowed staff to administratively |
| 18 | approve windows as long as the windows that are going to |
|  | be put in the building go back to the original type of |
| 20 | window configuration of the muntins, and that's worked |
| 21 | really well. We have the original plans and we have the |
| 22 | 1940 s photographs. We go to the board of architects with |
| 23 | those. |
| 24 | They go over with the window manufacturers, |
| 25 | mark everything up. They go away and come into our office |

and the permit is issued fairly expeditiously.
And so on roofs, though, particularly the barrel tile roofs, what has happened is that if a building has $S$ tile now, that they're allowed to keep $S$ tile and they're not required to go back to the original roof.

And so if they come into our office, as long as there is S tile on the building, the 1920s building, that we then sign off on an $S$ tile going back.

If they have true barrel tile, we require them to have a true barrel tile.

Recently the board of architects has said, "You know, that's really not right. On windows we make them go back to the window configuration. We should absolutely be doing that with roofs because that's one of those character-defining features of a home just like the windows are."

And so they are approving true barrel tiles on historic homes. I think even on non-historic homes, if they're in that vintage, 1920s, Mediterranean, they want to see a true barrel tile.

So our thought is that we would handle it like we do windows, is that as long as they go to the true barrel tile, that we'll administratively sign off, and if they want to keep with an $S$ tile, they'll have to come to the board. So are you comfortable with that? We might
have lot of, you know --

MR. EHRENHAFT: There are times when you have only a portion of the home --

MS. SPAIN: Well, then --
MR. EHRENHAFT: -- they restore it.
MS. SPAIN: Typically we allow them to match the existing and if it's a relatively new roof. I know on the home on Santa Maria Street, we required it all to be true barrel, but I think that it's more consistent to handle it the way we handle windows now.

MR. SILVA: Do we -- but do you -- I don't remember seeing just area roofing job come to us.

MS. SPAIN: We handle them administratively.
MR. SILVA: You've been handling them administratively already.

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. SILVA: But you've been handling it allowing them to put the $S$ tile?

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Once we --
MS. SPAIN: Kara, wait.
MS. KAUTZ: We brought them to you before when they have barrel tiles and they want to put an $S$ tile in, and they've come to you saying -- you know, that's the only reason we bring them to you unless someone complains. tile roof, we allow them to keep an $S$ tile even if they're going to re-roof it, and that really isn't the same, the way we're handling the windows.

And I think the board of architects is right in doing that. There's always recourse to come to you, you know, if there's a reason that they want to keep the $S$ tile, cost, you know, they don't have the money.

MS BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
MS. SPAIN: But is that okay with you? Do you think that's a good idea?

MR. SILVA: I think it brings some consistency probably.

MS. SPAIN: Well, that's the issue I have.
MR. EHRENHAFT: But there have not been recommendations that when they did not have to re-roof something, but that in the future when they did, that they would take the $S$ tile back to --

MS. SPAIN: This board has done that.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Right, so.
MS. SPAIN: Yeah, but that doesn't change that. That wouldn't change that.

It's just those people that come to the board of architects that have an $S$ tile now are being told they have to upgrade to barrel tile, and we're in a position
where we've allowed them to do the $S$ tile because that's been the decision in the past.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: What is the cost factor between one and the other?

MS. SPAIN: I don't know, I don't know.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is it like ten times as much?
MS. SPAIN: But you know, honestly, that
doesn't enter into the discussion with the windows because if they were casement, they go back to casement and that's more expensive than, say --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: A slider.
MS. SPAIN: -- a single hung or a slider or whatever they wanted to do in the past.

MR. FULLERTON: I think there might be a hardship issue if you're coming to add something to your home and you have $S$ tile and you're required to put --

MS. SPAIN: Well, I don't think in that case we can require them to change an existing roof, but this is if they're doing a re-roof on an existing home. That's really the main concern.

MR. FULLERTON: Even then, even then if they've had -- if they're taking off the old $S$ tile, they could reuse it.

MS. SPAIN: Yes, but we do that for windows. On windows, we're very clear, you know, you can't have

|  | Page 61 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | sliders, you can't have single hung or double hung. You |
| 2 | need to have casements if that's what was there to begin |
| 3 | with. |
| 4 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: So how would we like, if |
| 5 | they would come to us, how would we review it, you know, |
| 6 | like -- |
| 7 | MS. SPAIN: It would only come to you if they |
| 8 | didn't want to do it. |
| 9 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: No, right, but I mean then |
| 10 | it's like -- |
| 11 | MS. SPAIN: Then you become the board of |
| 12 | architects. |
| 13 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. |
| 14 | MR. SILVA: Well, I mean, it's like anything |
| 15 | else. |
| 16 | MS. SPAIN: Yes. |
| 17 | MR. SILVA: If they're asking for a financial |
| 18 | burden, they have to prove that it's a financial burden. |
| 19 | I mean, it's no different than any other, than any other |
| 20 | condition that we would be imposing as a historic |
| 21 | property. |
| 22 | MS. SPAIN: Right. |
| 23 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'd like to say financial |
| 24 | considerations are not to be -- are not determinative of |
| 25 | what we do. |
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staff report it has when you do have to put new tile on the main structure, then you all have required it to be true barrel tile.
MR. EHRENHAFT: I mean, I think it makes sense to make that the rule, you know, I mean, but you're saying if they do an addition because they've got $S$ tile elsewhere in the house, you're not going to force them to blend it to --
MS. SPAIN: Honestly, we would probably bring it all to you and ask you instead.
MS. THOMSON: I think it makes sense, it just makes sense.
MS. SPAIN: Yes. I mean, I think that eventually then everyone will -- it will look right, you know, at some point.
MR. SILVA: Well, plus you're saying the board of architects is requiring it anyway.
MS. SPAIN: Well, Yes. Now we're in a position where the board of architects is saying it has to be true, a true barrel tile, and the historic staff is saying, "No, not so much." Well, no, that's not right.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: No, it's not.
MR. EHRENHAFT: If it's a replacement, they should be, you know.
MS. SPAIN: Typically we're the stricter of
-- it puts us in an odd position. All right.
MR. SILVA: So do you need something official
from us?
MS. SPAIN: I don't think we need something official. I'm just letting you know that's what we're going to be doing.

MR. SILVA: Okay.
MS. SPAIN: And you may be seeing people in the future come.

MR. SILVA: Understood.
MS. SPAIN: All right. That's all I have.
MR. EHRENHAFT: What's the deal with this?
MS. SPAIN: Those are Venice in the Gables.
As you know, the title of our department is historic, historical resources and cultural arts.

So that project was also done by our department. Catherine Cathers, the arts and culture specialist, handled that, and they're all over the city, downtown.

MR. FULLERTON: They look great. I love them.

MS. SPAIN: There's 33 poles decorated by different artists. They were manufactured in Montana and trucked down here, and in order to pass the structural review, we had to manufacture bases that weigh 800 pounds

1 of concrete.
of concrete.

It's been an ordeal, but they're up and they're really beautiful. It's a lot of fun, a really great project, so that's a map that is available in city hall and all around that shows you where the different posts are and who the artists are.

MR. FULLERTON: Can we ask the progress of the big couch?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MS. SPAIN: Well, Venny left. He would know.
MR. FULLERTON: Yeah, I know, I know. I thought maybe somebody else might.

MS. SPAIN: I'm not sure. It's coming along. I know they worked on the landscaping. They're putting that in.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, the base is there, but there's no veneer.

MS. SPAIN: The veneer is coming. I haven't seen it recently. They're supposed to put two candelabras on either side. I'm not sure --

MS. THOMSON: They're not there yet.
MS. SPAIN: They're not there yet?
MR. SILVA: I'm planning on the actual building.

MS. SPAIN: So that's it.
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