HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD CITY COMMISSION CHAMBERS
405 BILTMORE WAY
CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA

COPY

April 19, 2018 Thursday, 4:00 p.m.

BEFORE:

VENNY TORRE, Chairperson BRUCE EHRENHAFT JOHN P. FULLERTON RAUL R. RODRIGUEZ JANICE E. THOMSON

ALSO PRESENT:

DONA M. SPAIN KARA KAUTZ ELIZABETH GUIN YESENIA DIAZ

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

		Page 2
1	I N D E X	
2		PAGE
3	LOCAL HISTORIC DESIGNATION CASE FILE LHD 2018-005 AND COA (SP) 2018-005	9
4	COA (SP) 2018-005	32
5	LOCAL HISTORIC DESIGNATION 2018-006	49
6	SPECIAL CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS	
7	CASE FILE COA (SP) 2018-003	112
8		
9	DISCUSSION ITEM	120
10		
11		
12	INDIVIDUALS THAT SIGNED IN FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD MEETING	
13		
14	DAN LEWIS	
15	JEFF McCULLOUGH	
16	CARYN POCHRON	
17	STEPHANIE ESPAILLAT	
18	JOHN FORBES	
19	STUART ROSENBERG	
20	ALEJANDRO PONCE	
21	MARIA SMIT	
22	LINA BARON	
23		
24		
25		

Page 3

CHAIRMAN TORRE: We are -- good afternoon, everyone. Welcome to the regular scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables that are charged with the preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods and artifacts, which impart a distinct historical heritage of the City.

The Board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the Commission, one by the City Manager, and the ninth is selected by the Board and confirmed by the Commission. Five members of the Board constitute a quorum and five affirmative votes are necessary for the adoption of any motion.

I'll come back to that. I think everybody's been told. We'll revisit that again.

A lobbyist is any person who acts as a -any person who acts as a lobbyist, pursuant to
the City of Coral Gables Ordinance No. 2006-11,
must register with the City Clerk prior to
engaging in lobbying activities, presentations
before the Board, Committees, and/or the City

Page 4

Commission. A copy of the ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk.

Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the Historic Preservation Board on applications under consideration this afternoon.

A lobbyist is defined as "an individual, corporation, partnership, or other legal entity employed or retained, whether paid or not, by a principal who seeks to encourage the approval, disapproval, adoption, repeal, passage, defeat or modifications of:

- (a) any ordinance, resolution, action, or decision of any City Commissioner.
- (b) any action, decision, recommendation of the city manager, any city board or committee, including but not limited to Quasi-Judicial, Advisory Board, Trust, Authority, or Council; or
- (c) any action, decision or recommendation of city personnel during the time period of the entire decision-making progress on the action, decision or recommendation which forseeeably will be heard or reviewed by the City

Page 5 Commission or any city or board or committee, 1 2 including but not limited to Quasi-Judicial 3 Advisory Board, Trust, Authority or Council. Presentations made to this Board are 4 5 subject to the City's False Claim Ordinance, 6 Chapter 39 of the City of Coral Gables City Code. I now officially call the City of Coral 8 9 Gables Historic Preservation Board meeting of 10 April 19, 2018, to order. The time is 4:05. 11 Present today to my left, Jan Thomson, 12 Mr. Raul Rodriguez. 13 To my right, Mr. John Fullerton and 14 Mr. Bruce Ehrenhaft. 15 The next item is the approval of the minutes. This is for the minutes of March 15, 16 17 2018. Are there any changes or corrections? MR. EHRENHAFT: I have none. 18 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Anybody else? Is there a 19 20 call for a motion for approval? 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Motion. 22 CHAIRMAN TORRE: There's a motion from 23 Mr. Rodriguez. 24 MR. EHRENHAFT: Second. 25 CHAIRMAN TORRE: All those in favor,

1 please say "aye."

2 (All Board Members reply "aye.")

3 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you.

The notice regarding ex parte communications says that this Board is a quasi-judicial board and that the items on the agenda are quasi-judicial in nature, which requires Board Members to disclose all ex parte communications.

An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside a public hearing between a member of the public and a member of a quasi-judicial board regarding matters to be heard by the quasi-judicial board.

If anyone has made any contact with a Board Member, when the issue comes before the Board, the member must state on the record the existence of the ex parte communication, the party who originated the communication, and whether the communication will affect the Board Member's ability to impartially consider the evidence to be presented regarding the matter.

	Page 7
1	Does any member of the Board have such a
2	communication to disclose at this time?
3	MR. EHRENHAFT: No.
4	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. So, as you've been
5	told I believe Miss Spain also all of
6	you, the quorum is five. We have five.
7	However, you need five approvals or five votes
8	to pass any motion today.
9	MS. SPAIN: That's right.
10	CHAIRMAN TORRE: If there's anyone that
11	has an issue with that, to be fair, we would
12	ask that you discuss that with Miss Spain for
13	possible deferral, if it should be that it's
14	something that is of importance.
15	Okay? Having said that, we'll go to the
16	swearing in. So, anyone who is going to be
17	speaking today, please rise to be sworn in.
18	MS. SPAIN: We do have we do have two
19	deferrals.
20	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Oh, you do have
21	deferrals?
22	MS. SPAIN: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN TORRE: I'm sorry.
24	MS. SPAIN: We have wait. We have a
25	Special Certificate of Appropriateness, Case

	Page 8
1	File COA (SP) 2018-001. That is for 1232
2	Castile Avenue.
3	And the other one is a Standard
4	Certificate of Appropriateness. That's Case
5	File COA (ST) 2018-063. And that's for 1139
6	Obispo Avenue.
7	CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. So we have
8	two deferrals. Three cases.
9	All right. So, please be sworn in.
10	MS. DIAZ: Please raise your right hand.
11	Do you affirm to tell the whole truth and
12	nothing but the truth?
13	(Thereupon, all those wishing to testify
14	were sworn in by Miss Yesenia Diaz.)
15	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Before we do that, there
16	are four members of the Board absent today, and
17	I will ask that we seek excused absences from
18	the Board today.
19	MS. SPAIN: It's for Robert Parsley,
20	Alicia Bache-Wiig, Alex Silva and Albert
21	Menendez.
22	CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. And I think
23	we have in our packet the requests for the
24	absentees to be excused. Is there a motion
25	for

	Page 9
1	MR. EHRENHAFT: I move that they be
2	excused.
3	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Second?
4	MR. FULLERTON: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. All those in
6	favor, please say "aye."
7	(All Board Members reply "aye.")
8	CHAIRMAN TORRE: All those against?
9	(No response.)
10	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you.
11	*************
12	LOCAL HISTORIC DESIGNATION
13	CASE FILE LHD 2018-005 and COA (SP) 2018-005
14	*************
15	CHAIRMAN TORRE: The first case today,
16	this is a Local Historic Designation. This is
17	Case File LHD 2018-005 and COA (SP) 2018-005.
18	Consideration of the local historic designation
19	of the property at 3701 Durango Street, legally
20	described as Lots 1 to 3 Inclusive, Block 43,
21	Coral Gables Country Club Section Part Three,
22	according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in
23	Plat Book 10, Page 52 of the Public Records of
24	Miami-Dade County.
25	This applicant is also requesting the

Page 10 1 issuance of an Accelerated Special Certificate of Appropriateness and design approval for an 2 3 addition, and also alterations to the residence, construction of a cabana, and 4 5 sitework. And variances have also been 6 requested from Article 4, Section 4-101(D)(4c) 7 and Article 4, Section 4-101(D)(9) of the Coral 8 Gables Zoning Code, for a minimum rear setback 9 and a maximum floor area ratio. MS. GUIN: Can you put the PowerPoint up, 10 11 please? Thank you. 12 So this is a designation for the property 13 at 3701 Durango Street. This two-story 14 single-family residence was originally designed 15 as a three-bedroom home with an attached 16 two-story two-car garage and apartment. 17 It sits on a corner lot and is surrounded 18 by a low perimeter wall. Copies of the 19 original plans are attachments in your packet. The home is located on three lots at the 20 2.1 southeast corner of Durango Street and Roderigo 22 Avenue. The primary facade faces west onto 23 Durango. Site dimensions are approximately 110 24 feet wide by 105 feet deep.

This designation comes to you as a request

25

Page 11 1 from the owner. And in accordance with Article 2 3, Section 3-1103 of the Coral Gables Zoning 3 Code, criteria for designation of a historic 4 landmark, a historic landmark must have 5 significant character interest or value as part 6 of historical, cultural, archaeological, 7 aesthetic, or architectural heritage of the 8 city, station or nate (sic) -- or state. 9 For a designation, a property must meet 10 one criteria, as outlined in the code. 3701 11 Durango Street is eligible as a local historic 12 landmark based on four architectural 13 significance criteria: 14 Portrays the environment in an era of 15 history characterized by one or more 16 distinctive architectural styles; 17 Embodies those distinguishing 18 characteristics of an architectural style or 19 period, or method of construction; 2.0 Is an outstanding work of a prominent 21 designer or builder; 22 Contain elements of design, detail or 23 material or craftsmanship of outstanding 24 quality, or which represent a significant 25 innovation or adaptation to the South Florida

1 environment.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is a single-family residence. permitted in November 1925. The architect was H. George Fink. The builder was Hemmings Construction Company, and the first owner was Frank C. Headley.

Coral Gables development history is divided broadly into three major historical The construction of the single-family periods. residence at 3701 Durango Street occurred in 1925 during the City's boom years. It is indicative of the type of architecture that was the founding premise of Coral Gables during this period.

When George Merrick began planning and developing Coral Gables, he envisioned it as a cohesively designed Mediterranean-inspired city. During the 1920s, careful attention was paid by his development team to ensure that the buildings and streetscape elements conformed to his Mediterranean ideals.

Merrick felt that this type of architecture harmonized best with South Florida's climate and lifestyle. The use of the Mediterranean designs was one of the

Page 13

featured selling points of the community in early promotional materials.

The architecture constructed during the community's initial period of development combined elements commonly used in Spanish, Moorish, and Italian architecture, and has become known as the Mediterranean Revival style.

This property is located in the Coral Gables Country Club Section 3. Coral Gables' initial development was concentrated within the surrounding land which formed the Merrick family's Coral Cottage Plantation, generally the area between Bird Road, Douglas Road, Southwest 8th Street and Red Road. 3701

Durango Street is in the southwestern portion of this area, just east of the Biltmore Golf Course.

Development in the City continued rapidly in the early 1920s until South Florida was struck by the devastating hurricane in 1926. This turned the land boom to bust and ushered in the Depression-era years.

The map shown here shows single-family homes in the area of the Country Club sections,

Page 14

with the blue boxes -- a little better up
there -- representing a built home. You can
see the heavily populated area to the north.

This property located on three lots at the southeast corner of Durango and Roderigo on Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 43, is located in the southwestern section that shows sporadic development in the early 1920s.

The Biltmore Golf Course opened in 1925, and it's likely that if we hadn't been hit with the hurricane, that development in this area would have really picked up with -- at the same time that this house was being built.

Here's -- on the upper right-hand corner, here is the plat map for the property. As I said, it's comprised of three lots. You can see two of them. Lots 1 and 2 run east-west, while Lot run -- Lot 3 runs north-south. We'll come back to that later.

In this aerial photo of 1948, you can see that while there was construction of new homes just a few blocks to the north, the blocks immediately adjacent to 31 (sic) on Durango Street did not see construction in the years immediately following the war.

2.1

Page 15

The Post-War prosperity that followed these lean years created an optimism which reigned through the 1950s and resulted in the unprecedented building boom. During this time, the building of single-family homes in the City of Coral Gables followed the national trends, both in numbers and in style.

By the late 1950s, the residential area of the Coral Gables Country Club Section 3 was almost entirely built up, as you can see in this aerial photo. The area was originally platted as single-family neighborhood and has retained that context to the present.

This single-family home has had seven owners. It was built as a retirement home for Frank and Ronnie Culberson Headley. The city directories indicate that they were joined in the house by Ronnie's sister, Annie Brantley, as well as Timothy Williford, who is listed as the butler and the chauffeur. City directories also indicate that the garage apartment was often rented.

Frank Headley, whose headshot is shown here, was the originator and the president of Headley's Chocolates. The Headley Chocolate

Page 16

Company was founded in 1900 and operated until the early 1930s.

Headley's Chocolates was often referred to in newspaper accounts as the upscale alternative to Hershey's, and eventually, Hershey's takes over Headley's. Its specialty was the assorted box candies, particularly the "Headley's Coconut Cream Bar."

Mr. Headley retired from the company that he founded in 1920, and he built this home in 1925. In 1944, Headleys sold the property to Philip Wilcox, who rented the property to a military family that was based in Coral Gables during World War II. When Mr. Wilcox offered the property for sale, it was purchased by another family, the Flannerys, who had also moved to Coral Gables during the war.

In 1944, Dr. Marvin Flannery was promoted to the rank of colonel and was named the chief surgical services attendant at the Regional Convalescent Home based at the Biltmore Hotel.

After the war, Dr. Flannery accepted a position as the chief of surgery for Mercy Hospital. They decided they loved Coral Gables so much -- there are several newspaper articles

with interviews with them -- that they decided
to stay in Coral Gables and purchase a home,
which they lived in for 28 years and raised
their three daughters. And if newspaper
accounts are to be believed, they loved square
dancing, as you can see here.

In 1975, Theodore and Kaye Schull purchased the property and, like the Flannerys, they lived there for another 28 years. The current owners purchased the property in 2005.

H. George Fink was the architect of this home. H. George Fink was the cousin of the city founder, George Merrick, and was responsible for much of the early design and planning of Coral Gables.

Fink's family moved to the area from

Pennsylvania in 1904. He graduated from Miami

High School and went on to study architecture

at Drexel Institute in Philadelphia.

From 1915 to 1921, Fink designed numerous buildings from Miami Beach developer Carl Fisher and Associates. In 1921, he came over to Coral Gables to work with George Merrick. That same year Fink became a member of the American Institute of Architects and was the

Page 18

youngest member of the organization at the time of his appointment.

His career in Coral Gables spanned several decades. Over 120 of his buildings are currently on the Coral Gables Register of Historic Places, either as an individual landmark or as part of the historic district.

The single-family home at 3701 Durango

Street was designed by Fink in 1925. He was one of the architects that was instrumental in creating the fabric of 1920s Mediterranean Revival architecture that characterizes the City of Coral Gables.

His designs aided in fulfillment of George Merrick's vision and goal of this planned Mediterranean-themed community, whose architecture was adapted to the Southern Florida climate. This home, prior to the incorporation of the City, was one of the early structures that characterized both Merrick's vision and Fink's contribution to shaping the City of Coral Gables.

The home at 3701 Durango Street includes many prominent and defining features of the Mediterranean Revival style, such as the

projecting and recessed planes, the rectilinear massing and floor plans, the distinctive round entry tower, a projecting screened front porch -- which is now enclosed -- a series of arched openings, roofs of varying heights and types, two-piece barrel tile, textured stucco, a prominent chimney, decorative masonry screens and vents, as well as deeply recessed casements of various shapes and sizes.

Overall, the single-family residence and auxiliary building, with its thick masonry walls, which keep the structure cool, the light-colored stuccoed exterior walls, which reflect the sun's heat, and the varied windows that provide much needed ventilation and light in this tropical environment, exemplifies Merrick's vision and goal of a Mediterranean-themed community whose architecture is adapted to the southern Florida climate.

Other character-defining features of the style of the home include the projecting bays, which includes the distinctive round entry tower; the variety of roofs, two-piece barrel tile and parapets; the shelf balcony, a second

Page 20

story loggia, and applied decorative detailings, such as face boards, moldings, grouped round vents, and ornamental metalwork.

This elevation is along Roderigo Street.

It's north facing. Some of the character-defining features that you can see from this elevation are those projecting bays, the varying roofs, the rectilinear floor plan, the very prominent chimney, the decorative masonry screens -- you can see at the top of the chimney there -- the round vents above the windows, a series of arched openings, and casement windows of varying shapes and sizes.

This is a view approaching the home from the corner of Durango and Roderigo. In this shot you can really see the rough-textured stucco, also known as the round entry tower with the arched entry. The applied molding on the tower. The projecting porch bay, with its arched openings.

The home retains its original front door, which is one of the detailed items on Fink's drawings. It's also an original feature -- also an original feature is the colored random tile floor, which was also used in the front

1 porch.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Here, you can see the two-car garage with the second floor apartment. The apartment's loggia, as seen here, has face board with this decorative card edging as long as the long protruding sill that it runs across.

Here's a detail of the shelf balcony along the north facade. Again, along Roderigo Avenue.

The home has incurred some alterations and additions over the years. The original 1925 plans for the property indicate that the two-story single-family residence was designed as a three-bedroom home with the attached garage and apartment.

The floor plan of the current home, with the 1925 original drawings, are in your packet. The original home is outlined in blue here. The darker blue shows you where the two-story sections of the home are.

There have been two significant additions. You have plans for both of those in your packet.

A terrace and a pool feature, enclosed in part by freestanding walls, was designed by Tom

2.4

Page 22

Spain in 1977, and it's highlighted in the green. And then in 1982, a one-story gallery and master bedroom suite was added to the south of the original home along Durango, and then wrapping east around the terrace along the south property line. And that's highlighted in orange. As a result, the home currently has a rough C-shaped plan that wraps around the terrace feature in the center.

Here's a photo of that 1977 rear terrace. On the top you can see a portion of that freestanding wall towards the rear. On the bottom you can see the fountain pool feature. And what you're seeing at the far end of that photo is actually the 1982 gallery addition.

The 1982 master suite.

Here are some photos. This is -- these portions of the home are pretty heavily vegetated, but you have the drawings in your packet if you want to see more of the details.

Significant alterations include blocking up one of the small windows on the second story of the facade facing Durango. The installation of hurricane-impact windows in 2004.

At some point, some of the original sills

were removed, probably in 2004 with the
installation of the hurricane-impact windows.

Not all of the sills were removed, and you can
see in this bottom photo here, sort of hiding,
tucked behind the bush there, is an original
sill.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And the proposal that's coming before you tonight proposes to put back the original sills in the same manner of the sill that we see that's still original install on the building. So they're going to replicate them and put them back.

Other alterations include enclosing the porch, the removal of the metal railings and casing, or removing the twisted columns on that front porch feature. Again, this was probably done in 2004, when the hurricane-impact windows went in.

When I was out on site with the owner, and Mr. Forbes, the architect, I was looking to see if I could see if those columns had been encased between the window, and the owner came over. And I showed him the 1940s photo and I told him what I was doing, and he said, "Well, I don't know what the answer is, if they're in

Page 24

there or not, but let's go inside and you can see them on the interior, because they're -- they're still there."

And here's a photo. So the photo to the left is actually looking straight out onto Durango, and then the photo to the right, that would have been exterior wall of the porch before the 1982 addition. And that's what you're seeing through -- through the arches there, is that, the gallery addition.

In 1989 it was determined that a portion of the original second-story roof ridge where the two gable roofs meet, needed to be replaced. Portions of the second story wall on the south facade were rebuilt, and three of the individual windows on the west end were replaced in kind, but the grouping of three windows, which is outlined here, were blocked up.

When you look at the facade now, where it doesn't necessarily jump out at you, you can clearly see, with the difference of the stucco texture, which parts are rebuilt and where those windows were.

On the north elevation, alterations

Page 25

include removal of some features. These included the barrel tile screen along the second-story loggia, which is on the arrow to the left here, and the removal of the railing on the shelf balcony. Both of those features are indicated by the red arrows.

And I'm calling those out because, also, the proposal before you tonight is proposing to put those features back in accordance with what we see on the original permit plans.

Another feature on this facade was, at the end of the living space before you move to the garage, there was a back porch area, and that had a masonry screen.

On the original plans it appears that it was a series of squares. That was what it was designed as. But when you look at it up close, and I hope you can see that, their screen was infilled, and it actually has the same pattern as what's up on the chimney.

The single-family home at 37 (sic) Durango Street retains its original historic form and massing. Visual assessment of the property, as well as examination of the permit documents and historic photos, indicate that the alterations

do not detract from the overall character, historic character, of the home.

Despite the loss of some original material features, it still retains a high degree of historic integrity. Plus, it's considered to be part of a collection of quality structures constructed during the land boom period, and retains its integrity and is historically significant to the City of Coral Gables.

Our summary statement of significance:

Permitted in 1925, the home at 37 (sic) Durango

Street is significant as a classic example of
an early single-family residence in Coral

Gables built in the Mediterranean Revival

style. It exhibits numerous character-defining
features of the style -- most notably, it's
round entry tower.

The home was designed by architect

H. George Fink, who was one of the City's

well-known and prolific architects. Fink was a

prominent member of George Merrick's original

design team, and his designs aided Merrick in

his quest for the Mediterranean-themed

community, whose architecture was adapted to

the Southern Florida climate.

Page 27 This early home, built prior to the City's 1 2 incorporation, characterizes both Merrick's vision and Fink's contribution to the shaping 3 of Coral Gables. Few changes have occurred to 4 the character-defining features of the 5 single-family residence at 3701 Durango Street 6 7 since its construction, and it retains a high degree of historic integrity. Plus, it's 8 considered to be part of a collection of 9 historic structures planned during the land 10 11 boom period and significantly contributes to 12 the historic fabric of the City. 13 Staff is recommending approval of the local historic designation of the property at 14 15 3701 Durango Street, based on architectural 16 significance. 17 Any questions, comments? CHAIRMAN TORRE: So -- so who is this T.A. 18 Spain, 277? 19 20 MS. GUIN: I don't know. 21 CHAIRMAN TORRE: That's interesting. 22 That's Miss Spain's husband. MS. GUIN: The rendering is quite 23 2.4 beautiful though, huh?

CHAIRMAN TORRE: He must have been young,

25

Page 28 1 a young architect. 2 MS. SPAIN: Many years ago. 3 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah, right. The question I have is, that portion that 4 is very blocky -- which I believe it's what? 5 6 The '82 addition? 7 MS. GUIN: Um-hmm. 8 CHAIRMAN TORRE: You feel you want to save 9 that piece? It's -- it's sufficiently in character with the building? 10 11 Again, at this point, we're going to go 12 forward to the -- to the Special Certificate of 13 Appropriateness. 14 MS. GUIN: They have plans to re-work that 15 a little bit. CHAIRMAN TORRE: But if that wasn't to 16 17 happen, would you still feel comfortable with 18 that structure being part of the whole 19 approval? MS. GUIN: No, I don't think the 1982 20 21 addition would need to be retained. 22 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Would need? 23 MS. GUIN: Would not. 24 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Would not. 25 MS. SPAIN: So, what -- what is the

question? CHAIRMAN TORRE: Well, the again, we
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Well, the again, we
haven't seen the SPA, the approval, the Special
Certificate of Appropriateness. But if you
took this by itself, that blocky square
portion, I think it's the '82 addition
MS. SPAIN: Um-hmm.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: It just doesn't seem in
character with the original structure. And
it's sort of
MS. SPAIN: I understand that, but what
you need to do is determine whether, as it
stands now, without any alterations, whether it
qualifies as a local historic landmark. You
really can't base it on what they plan to do.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Understood.
MS. SPAIN: Okay. I just want to make
that clear. It either fits the criteria or it
doesn't, in its present
CHAIRMAN TORRE: So that was my question.
Do you feel that that piece should qualify to
be historically designated?
MS. GUIN: Well, it's distinctly
different. And you can definitely read the
original portion of the home. I mean, that has

Page 30 1 not really been impacted by the addition. 2 MS. SPAIN: So we believe that -- that it does fit the -- the property does fit the 3 criteria for local designation. 4 CHAIRMAN TORRE: And you haven't explained 5 the two variances that you're asking, I guess, 6 7 to run with the historic designation. Yeah. That will come with the MS. GUIN: 8 9 special --That's part of the --10 MS. SPAIN: CHAIRMAN TORRE: It's not part of the --11 12 MS. SPAIN: It is not. MS. GUIN: And we need to do a vote for 13 the designation first, and then we move to the 14 15 special. CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. 16 MS. SPAIN: But this is a public hearing, 17 so you need to see whether anyone from the 18 19 public wants to speak. CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. Are you all set 20 with -- well, let's first ask questions of 21 22 staff, if anybody wants to clarify anything 23 or --24 MS. THOMSON: I'm good. CHAIRMAN TORRE: You're all set? 25

	Page 31
1	MS. THOMSON: I'm set.
2	CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. Does anybody
3	in the audience want to speak for or against
4	this item?
5	(No response.)
6	CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. That's easy.
7	Any further discussion? Or I'll close the
8	public hearing, and we'll deliberate over here.
9	I can't make a motion, so I'll have to sit
10	and wait.
11	MR. FULLERTON: I move that we I will.
12	I'll make a motion to approve.
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN TORRE: We have a second from
15	Mr. Rodriguez. Any further discussion?
16	(No Response.)
17	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Roll call.
18	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
19	MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
20	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
21	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
22	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
23	MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
24	MS. DIAZ: Miss Thomson?
25	MS. THOMSON: Yes.

	Page 32
1	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
2	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes.
3	MS. THOMSON: That was loud.
4	CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right.
5	MS. GUIN: Can you put the PowerPoint back
6	up, please?
7	*************
8	CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS
9	COA (SP) 2018-005
10	*************
11	MS. GUIN: So, we're moving on to the
12	Certificate of Appropriateness 2018-005. The
13	applicant is seeking approval for additions and
14	alterations to the existing home.
15	The scope of work consists of remodeling
16	the existing residence, adding a new
17	family/great room addition of 749 square feet
18	at the southeast of the existing home, adding a
19	cabana, 100 square feet, at the south of the
20	pool, removing the terrace timbering and
21	fountain, as well as site work.
22	The proposed additions and cabana are
23	planned along the south edge of the property.
24	As you can see, the 1982 permit was issued for
25	the addition along the corner of this property,

Page 33

and the setback was along -- was 8 feet 6 inches. The proposed addition of the cabana will continue along the same setback.

The family/great room addition is proposed as the fourth side, enclosing the open courtyard.

Alterations to the existing portion of the residence include reinstating the sills to the original window openings, reinstating the iron balcony railing on the north facade, a new barrel tile roof, new clay tile screens to be installed along the garage apartment loggia.

The proposal was approved by the Board of Architects on January 18, 2018, and the Board of Architects had no comments.

There are two variances requested with this proposal. The first variance is to grant the variance to allow the proposed additions to have a rear setback of approximately 8 feet 2 inches versus a minimum rear setback of 10 feet -- shall be maintained or required on all buildings, as required by Article 4, Section 4-101(D)(4c) of the Coral Gables Building Code.

Now, to give you a little bit of explanation about this variance, the property

Page 34

is comprised of three lots. As you can see again the map, Lot 1 and 2 run east and west, and Lot 3 runs north and south.

The interpretation of our zoning code at present, that narrow end of the lot is the front of the lot. Now, we have essentially then two fronts, because of how these lots are made out, which also means we have two rears. So that's quite a lot of setbacks.

Where they're asking for the variance is along that south end, just continuing along the setback that's already there with the 1982 addition. If that was to be considered the side instead of a rear, the setback would just be 5 feet. So they're asking for much less than that.

The second variance -- and you can ask questions about the variances once he presents, and you can see the impact on the project -- is to grant a variance to allow the residents to have a maximum floor area ratio of approximately 7,744 square feet, versus 6,349 square feet, as required by Article 4, Section 4-101(D)9 of the Coral Gables Zoning Code.

So, here you can see the current floor

- 1 plan and what their -- their proposed addition.
- 2 So, again, the blue is the historic portion.
- The dark blue are the two-story levels. The
- 4 orange is that 1982 addition, which they will
- 5 be doing some re-working, and then the red is
- 6 their proposed additions.

What you can see is, with this last

- 8 addition they are creating an open courtyard.
- 9 The variance is essentially asking that the
- square footage of the courtyard not be counted
- in the FAR.
- This is a variance that we've approved
- before, because we do encourage these open
- 14 courtyards, and the current zoning code is a
- 15 little restrictive in allowing folks to build
- those.
- So, at this point I'm going to ask the
- architect to come up and present, and then we
- 19 can talk about the variances and any comments.
- MR. FORBES: So good afternoon. My name's
- 21 John Forbes, Forbes Architects. First, I'd
- 22 like to thank ElizaBeth and Dona and Kara for
- the great work, their staff. They're
- 24 unbelievable.
- 25 So 3701 Durango --

1 MS. SPAIN: So, the pointer doesn't work. 2 The IT Department got new TVs in here, and for 3 some reason the pointer doesn't -- sorry. That's a new TV? 4 SPEAKER: 5 MS. SPAIN: I've already complained about 6 So, you know, ten years from now when we it. 7 get new TVs, so -- oh, here. Here it is. 8 MR. FORBES: Is this working? Okay. 9 So this -- this is one of the fronts. 10 This is not -- this is Roderigo, the side which 11 you already saw. The location, ElizaBeth 12 covered. That's the red dot. And I've got 13 some built-in arrows. And these are the 14 required photographs for the next -- next-door 15 neighbor homes. 16 They're all pretty much single story. Our 17 house is mostly single story. But you -- I 18 don't know if -- you can barely see the red 19 dots as you move around, but that's kind of 20 around the horn. 21 The aerial on the left of the house kind 22 of shows the little courtyard area that I think 23 is at the crux of one of our discussions. 24 The diagram, not quite as large as 25 Elizabeth's, but that kind of shows the

courtyard and what we're trying to do.

be a real jewel in the city.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

And that's the original house that

we're -- we definitely want to remain. We're

adding the windowsills, we're adding the

railings, we are adding whatever trim that

we've been able to uncover. So that's going to

Again, street elevations. That's Roderigo. That's more Roderigo. That's the garage and the apartment up above.

That's the interior side, facing east.

That faces their pool. And then that's the kind of Durango corner.

The slide on the left here is the courtyard. That's the north side of the courtyard. And that's this freestanding wall that's kind of in the back.

That's a proposed site plan. And I should -- that's the -- the two additions that we're doing. And that's an outdoor terrace area. And that's the courtyard. And that's kind of the floor plan.

The part that we're remodeling is a master bedroom suite. It's a master bedroom now.

We're not changing it. We're pretty much

1 remodeling the interior.

What they're adding is a family room and a little cabana. And it's in roughly the same amount of square footage as that courtyard that's being counted as usable square feet, even though it's open to the sky.

Elevations. The top is the east elevation. That faces the pool. That's the existing.

The bottom is what we're proposing. The piece on the right, where those arrows are, that's all existing. That's the side of the garage.

Again, the top elevation, that's existing.

This is the side that faces south, where we have an existing setback that we're keeping.

So -- oh, I'm sorry. That's the Durango Street. I need my glasses.

And the slide on the bottom, the sort of rendered stuff on the right, that's already there, and we're trying to reduce the scale of that gabled end by stepping part of it back on the right.

And the idea between the historic house on the left and the '82 remodeling on the right,

1 the connector piece, we're adding a lot of glass and trying to make it this transparent connector that highlights the historic home from the other, what will now be the third remodeling and addition.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There I get my red arrows. This is the under, under Roderigo side. The top is existing. The bottom, pretty much the same. We're adding the railings, it shows on the bottom rendering, and adding some trim. Adding windowsills.

This is the south elevation. The top is what's there now. It's virtually a blank wall. We're adding some windows, and then adding a single-story family room piece on the lower right.

And this is looking at it from the -- the top. Yeah. These are courtyard views of the existing at the top and the proposed at the That's actually within the courtyard, bottom. that no one will ever see. The little -- I think that's the little cabana off to the left.

Actually, that's the cabana there. It's freestanding, 100 square feet, out by the pool.

And that's pretty much it. Let me -- if I

Page 40

could indulge, if you'll indulge me for just a moment and go back to our colored -- too many arrows. Oops. That one.

So we met with Zoning, the Director of Zoning, and I was learning about the -- how they can count open to the sky area as interior space, even if it's enclosed by three sides, not even four.

And the rationale for that, according to the Zoning Director, was that it was put in the code so that the street presence of homes doesn't increase. You know, you don't have this McMansion kind of facade. And that's okay.

But in our case, the owner bought the house as it is in the dark gray, with the little courtyard, if you will, in the center. And the way we've added, or are doing our addition, we could have added it on the north side as a continuation of the garage, thereby increasing that facade and making it much larger. But we've kind of tucked it away in the back, and are simply asking you to trade that 742 or 9 square feet with what is our courtyard, that's being counted as -- even

	Page 41
1	though it's open to the sky and not usable
2	space.
3	And that's that's really it. The
4	little thing onto the right is the little
5	cabana building that's aligned with the rest of
6	the house. And that's pretty much it.
7	CHAIRMAN TORRE: I have a question.
8	MR. FORBES: Did I totally confuse
9	everybody?
10	CHAIRMAN TORRE: So, you've obviously
11	submitted to the Board of Architects. You have
12	a BA number you're proceeding with from a few
13	days back. The new tax ordinance does not
14	apply to them?
15	MS. SPAIN: About the courtyard?
16	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Um-hmm.
17	MS. SPAIN: I think a portion of it
18	applies. I think I think I was just
19	looking it up on my phone.
20	CHAIRMAN TORRE: I'm reading it, and it
21	says courtyards are exempt.
22	MS. SPAIN: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN TORRE: And you have to count
24	and you count to the inside wall of the
25	building.

	Page 42
1	So, again, if you were to look at it from
2	before, everything you're you're saying now
3	applies. If you were to say that this new code
4	applies, then this, this variance
5	MS. SPAIN: It may be a moot point, but
6	CHAIRMAN TORRE: I'm just saying. So
7	MS. SPAIN: That was just approved at the
8	City Commission, so we went forward with the
9	variance.
10	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay.
11	MS. SPAIN: I'm not 100 percent positive
12	that that would apply, but we wanted to make it
13	clear
14	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay.
15	MS. SPAIN: because it's something that
16	we've done before in courtyard situations.
17	We're really strict on on four area
18	variances, so this is one of the few times in
19	the past we recommended in favor of it. It's
20	far better for the historic home.
21	CHAIRMAN TORRE: I know. I get it, but
22	there's still this, this thing in the way
23	that
24	MS. SPAIN: Yeah, I know. I appreciate
25	that.

	Page 43
1	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Right now it's
2	criss-crossing
3	MR. FULLERTON: I think Mr. Forbes makes a
4	great case for for this one being
5	approvable, because it the mass of the house
6	is not really increasing
7	MS. SPAIN: That's right. It's not
8	MR. FULLERTON: perceptive from the
9	street. So it's a it's a moot point.
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: (Inaudible).
11	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Well, we're going to make
12	sure that there's nobody else asking any
13	questions of Mr. Forbes. Are we all set?
14	MR. FULLERTON: The other thing about the
15	setback situation is that this site taken as a
16	whole, the front is to the west. And that
17	makes that side, that side setback, or that
18	setback there a side setback, which is five
19	feet.
20	MS. SPAIN: Right.
21	MR. FULLERTON: So I think that's
22	another
23	MS. SPAIN: Right, exactly.
24	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay.
25	MR. FULLERTON: So I'll move approval

	Page 44
1	of
2	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Public comment first.
3	MR. FULLERTON: Oh, pardon me.
4	CHAIRMAN TORRE: That's that's it from
5	the Board. Thank you, and I'll close the
6	public hearing and ask for any comments or
7	public statements.
8	If not, then we'll close that and allow
9	you to make your motion.
10	MR. FULLERTON: Okay. Then I move
11	approval of both at the same time, or one at
12	a time?
13	MS. GUIN: No. We need a separate for
14	each variance and a separate for the COA.
15	MR. FULLERTON: I move approval for the
16	side setback variance.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Variance Number 1.
18	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Variance Number 1. Side
19	setback.
20	MS. GUIN: Variance Number 1.
21	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Motion. Is there a
22	second?
23	MR. EHRENHAFT: I second.
24	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Taken from Mr. Ehrenhaft.
25	So we have a motion for the side setback
I	

	Page 45
1	and we have a second. Any further discussion
2	on that item?
3	(No Response.)
4	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Roll call on that item.
5	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
6	MR. FULLERTON: Aye, yes.
7	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
8	MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
9	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
11	MS. DIAZ: Miss Thomson?
12	MS. THOMSON: Yes.
13	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
14	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes.
15	Do you want to proceed? Variance Number
16	2.
17	MR. FULLERTON: I move approval of the
18	Variance Number 2, that is the floor area
19	ratio.
20	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Second?
21	MR. EHRENHAFT: Second.
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN TORRE: We'll give it to
24	Mr. Ehrenhaft again. Any further discussion?
25	MS. GUIN: Who was the second?
1	

	Page 46
1	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Mr. Ehrenhaft seconded
2	for the Variance number 2.
3	Roll call, please.
4	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
6	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
7	MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
8	MS. DIAZ: Miss Thomson?
9	MS. THOMSON: Yes.
10	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
11	MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
12	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
13	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes.
14	And now for the COA.
15	MS. GUIN: Yeah. And for the COA, we had
16	a few conditions. Most of them are just
17	quality control conditions. We're asking for
18	shop drawings for the metal balconies, the
19	railings.
20	We're asking that a mock-up be done when
21	they put the to put the original sills back,
22	that we come out and look at. They're
23	intending on putting new sills on the new
24	windows, and we're asking those to be
25	differentiated from the original reinstated

	Page 47
1	ones.
2	We're asking that staff review the paving
3	materials, the detailing of the materials
4	inside that courtyard, and that all the muntins
5	have high profile.
6	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Any questions on the
7	staff recommendations?
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. Is there a motion?
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So moved.
11	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Mr. Rodriguez moved to
12	motion. Second?
13	MR. FULLERTON: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN TORRE: There is a second from
15	Mr. Fullerton for the COA. Any further
16	discussion?
17	(No Response.)
18	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Ready for roll call.
19	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
21	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
22	MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
23	MS. DIAZ: Miss Thomson?
24	MS. THOMSON: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Mr. Ehrenhaft?

Page 48 1 MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. 2 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre? CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes. 3 MS. GUIN: Thank you very much. 4 5 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. Thank you, John. 6 7 MR. FULLERTON: Dona, could you answer a 8 question? The wall on Durango, was that an 9 original wall? Was that part of the original 10 building or was that an addition? MS. SPAIN: Do you know? Do you know 11 12 whether the wall was the original? 13 MR. FULLERTON: The surrounding wall. 14 MS. SPAIN: The surrounding wall? 15 MS. GUIN: It's not on the original permit 16 plans, but it shows up in that early '40s 17 photo. 18 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah. MR. FULLERTON: '40s photo. 19 MS. SPAIN: If it wasn't original, it 20 21 certainly was early. 22 MR. FULLERTON: It looks like it was meant 23 to be there. MS. GUIN: It was early. We don't know 24 25 necessarily that it was original, but --

	Page 49
1	MR. FULLERTON: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay.
3	***************
4	LOCAL HISTORIC DESIGNATION
5	CASE FILE LHD 2018-006
6	**************
7	CHAIRMAN TORRE: So the next item on our
8	agenda is Case File LHD. This is a local
9	historic designation 2018-006, consideration of
10	the local designation of the property at 3621
11	Monserrate Street, legally described as Lots 5
12	and 6, Block 1, Louise Park, according to the
13	Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 30, at
14	Page 25 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade
15	County.
16	MS. GUIN: Can you put the PowerPoint back
17	up, please? Thank you.
18	So this is for a local historic
19	destination for the property at 3621 Monserrate
20	Street. The home was built in 1937 in the Art
21	Moderne style. This was originally designed as
22	a two-story, three-bedroom single-family
23	residence with an attached garage.
24	The application came to our office as a
25	historic significance determination. There was

Page 50

a set of plans that went forward to the Board of Architects, and there was significant demolition, which triggered a historic significance determination from our office.

The application was received on February 27, 2018, and on March 12, 2018, a determination issue was lettered (sic) saying that the property does meet the minimum eligibility criteria for designation as a historic local landmark.

I also want to make a note that all photographs in the evaluation of the property was all done from the public right-of-way, or photos that were supplied by the owner. We did not have access to the property.

So in accordance with Article 3, Section 3-1103 Coral Gables Zoning Code, criteria for designation of historic landmarks: Historic landmark must have significant character, interest or value as part of the historical cultural, archaeological, aesthetic, or architectural heritage of the City, state or nation.

For designation, a property must meet one of the criteria as outlined in the Code. 3621

Page 51 1 Monserrate Street is eligible as a local 2 historic landmark based on three architectural 3 significance criteria: 4 Portrays the environment of an era of 5 history characterized by one or more 6 distinctive architectural styles; 7 Embodies those distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style, or 8 9 period, or method of construction; 10 Contains elements of design, detail, 11 materials or craftsmanship in outstanding 12 quality which represent a significant 13 innovation or adaptation to the South Florida 14 environment. 15 This is a single-family residence 16 permitted in June 1937. The architect was 17 William Merriam. The owner and the builder was 18 R.L. Winters. The style, Art Moderne. 19 The property is located on two interior 20 lots on the east side of Monserrate Street between Escobar Avenue and University Drive. 21 22 The property dimensions are approximately 100 23 feet by 132 feet. It is located in the Louise Park Subdivision, which is essentially in the 2.4 25 center of the Country Club Section 6.

2.4

Page 52

The subdivision was platted in 1926 of July, just a couple months prior to the devastating September hurricane. It's a small subdivision encompassing 21 lots on the east side of Monserrate Street between Bird Road and Escobar Avenue. It was sold to the City by Louise Abel.

So, note on the plat map, the Ocean Beach Drive was later renamed as University Drive.

Construction boomed in the City of Coral Gables in the early 1920s prior to the 1926 hurricane, and the style almost -- was almost exclusively Mediterranean Revival.

The initial stage of development was predominantly in the area of the Merrick family Coral Gables Plantation. Most of it was concentrated in the north, as you can see on the map. The blue boxes, again, representing single-family homes. You will see the rectangle at the bottom of the map is where this Louise Park Subdivision is.

Development down here was sporadic. You can see on the map identifying the pre-1935 buildings, there were no single-family homes built in the subdivision. And, in fact, the

Page 53

property at 3621 Monserrate Street was the first built in the subdivision.

You'll see, in the 1948 aerial photo of the next decade, there were several homes added to the subdivision. And then moving forward into the 1950s, this area, as much of the City did, followed national trends, and there was an unprecedented building boom. As you can see, by 1957, the Louise Park and the Country Club Section 6 Subdivisions were built out.

Louise Park was built as a single-family home neighborhood and it retains its original context to the present.

The original 1937 permit for the home indicates that the single-family residence was built by owner/builder R.L. Winters. He didn't appear to live in or occupy or rent the house. City directories and census records indicate that by 1940, if not before, Winters had sold the property to Vincent D. and Ida P. Wyman.

The home has had seven owners. The house served as the home to several leading citizens of Coral Gables, including one of the first mayors, Vincent Wyman -- whom I'll speak a little more about in a minute -- and Colonel

Richard Gibson, who served in World War I and
II.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

During the 1960s, the home appeared to have been a rental property. At all other times, it appears to have been owner-occupied.

The second owner, and the first tenant of the property, was Vincent Delwyn Wyman and his wife. He is quite important to the history of Coral Gables. He owned the property in the early '40s. He had a notable career as an attorney in Chicago from 1898 to 1925. When he "retired" in 1926, he moved to Coral Gables.

In his retirement, he contributed immensely to the City. He served as a City Commissioner from 1929 to '31, and then he served two terms as mayor from 1931 to 1935.

He is credited with guiding the City out of bankruptcy, which newspapers state was no small feat, and he used his experience to author the National Municipal Bankruptcy Law, which was enacted by Congress in 1934.

He was also a Regent for the University of Miami from 1932 and '35, and served on the Board of Trustees until 1950.

In 1942, when Ida fell ill, the newspapers

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 55 state that they sold their home on Monserrate Street and moved to Miami Beach to be closer to medical care. Ida passed away in 1948, and former Mayor Wyman returned to Coral Gables, where he lived until his passing in 1956. The photo on the left is his official mayoral portrait, which you can see hanging in the walls here in the City Hall. And, just because I couldn't resist, this is a historic photo from 1929 in this building. It's a City Commission meeting, so this is -he was still -- he was a City Commissioner at this point. He hadn't been elected mayor. he's the third one along the back. So you can see how they used that space when the building was first opened. MR. FULLERTON: Is that in this space? MS. GUIN: Yeah, that's here. It must be right -- looking that way. Coral Gables' development history is

Coral Gables' development history is divided broadly into three major historical periods. This property falls into our second development period under the New Deal era, which spans from 1927 to 1944.

This home was built in 1937 during a small

2.0

Page 56

construction resurgence in the late 1930s -and as the list illustrates, the new modern,
sleek, and practical styles that the City
embraced as it moved away from the ornate
Mediterranean Revival style that dominated
Coral Gables in the 1920s.

So to put a little context to that, you can see our first development history was in the early 1920s. You can see the bottom of the screen is 1925. Just above that is 1926. And with the hurricane that hit in September, you can see the — the building permits dropped off as a result of the hurricane. And then, moving into the Depression Era, the building industry declined greatly, and you can see our permit dollars were very few during that period.

It's much clearer in your designation reports. You can follow the dates there. But what you can see is the uptick that happened in the late 1930s, which is the upper edge. And that's when our property was constructed. So to give you a little bit further context, you can read the dates there.

Our first -- this represents a single-family residence built between '36 and

'65. So here you can see the New Deal era that 1 was actually in that area. We have only a 2 couple hundred buildings built during that, the 3 late 1930s, when this building, this home was constructed, versus the thousands of homes that we have in the -- the third period of 6 development. 7

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

During the second period of development, pre-World War II, but after the Depression, we see a definite move away from the Mediterranean Revival style that was prevalent and dominated the City, and we see new styles begin to emerge on the landscape.

We have the Modernistic Styles, which include Art Deco and Art Moderne. We have the minimal traditional, which combined a variety of styles. We have masonry vernacular and Mediterranean Transitional. And then we also have the very beginning of the Ranch style. Coral Gables we see all of these styles influenced by the Mediterranean Revival.

So this structure falls into the Modernistic styles category. The styles span from 1920 to the '40s nationwide, and here at Coral Gables we see it pretty much in the

1 1930s.

The residence at 3621 Monserrate is one of a handful of homes built in the City that demonstrate the influences of the Modernistic styles. This late 1930s residence was built in the Art Moderne style.

The Modernistic styles are distinctly different from the Eclectic and Revival Styles that preceded it. In our case, the City of Coral Gables, Mediterranean Revival.

The Modernistic styles emphasize sleek
lines with machine-age geometric decorative
elements. The early forms of Modernistic style
was Art Deco. Art Deco was commonly used in
public and commercial buildings built in the
'20s and the early '30s, but rarely used in
domestic architecture, although we have a few
of them in the City.

Art Moderne, also known as Streamline Moderne, is a later type of the Modernistic style. As the Great Depression of the 1930s progressed, the Modernistic styles changed and became less austere, less ornamented, and more streamlined, with a horizontal emphasis.

Streamlining was a concept first conceived

by industrial engineers who favored the
aerodynamic pure-line concept of motion.

Hence, the sharp angles, the stylized floral
decorative motifs, and the vertical emphasis of
the Art Deco was replaced with simple curves,
long horizontal lines of the Art Moderne.

It emphasized smooth surfaces, curving forms, and long horizontal lines. Cylindrical forms and long horizontal window groupings were also common.

What you see listed on the screen are the major character-defining features of the Art Moderne. Those that are in bold are the characteristics we see on this property. In other words, 3621 Monserrate Street characterizes most of the outstanding qualities of the style.

The dominant feature of the front facade is the curved entry, which has a strong sculptural quality and draws our eyes to the central full height projecting bay on which the entry is centered.

Although the southern portion of the home is two stories in height, it gives the impression of a lower-slung home. This is due

Page 60

in part to the prominently projecting molded stringcourse that runs at approximately one-and-a-half stories.

Combined with the single windows of the upper story, the smaller windows evoke and remind us sort of of that half story that we know from Federal or Colonial Revival. Hence, the residence has a visual impact initially of a one-and-a-half story rather than its actual two stories.

As was common in Art Moderne, the stucco is smooth. The ornamentation of the home is sparse, using only elements when selectively to enhance the geometry, sleekness, and the horizontality of the structure.

A series of low-pitched roofs with wide eaves, as well as both applied and incised horizontal banding elements, which is found on all four facades, are dominant elements in establishing the horizontal direction of the home.

Other factors enhancing this orientation include the low-built planters, cascading front steps, the dark-colored flat tile roof, and the corner windows on the rear facade.

Page 61

Another dominant character-defining feature of the home is the engaged rectangular chimney, which appears to pierce through the roof and imparts a sleek sculptural quality to the front facade.

Rounding out the list of the extant Art Moderne style character-defining features is the pipe railing, which you can find at the back door.

Here's a closer look at that front entry. You can see the prominent -- yes, you can. The stringcourse at the one-and-a-half-story level and the compelling curves below leading to the front entry.

The ceiling above the front entry is defined by the curves. It's flat and smooth; hence, adding to the impression that the curved entry is carved out of a monolithic cube. The effect is sleek, dramatic, and with a simple elegance.

I'm not really sure if you can see it in the photos. I hope perhaps you had a chance to drive by the property, so you can fully appreciate this house.

Here, you can see how that molded

Page 62

stringcourse turns -- turns the corner, and terminates at the far end of the centrally placed window. The smooth stucco adds to emphasizing the stringcourse, and the low-pitched roofs with the wide eaves further emphasize perspective of a lower-slung home.

This home has a series of horizontal bandings, which is very indicative of the style. This is one on the second story between the two windows, with the window sitting on the stringcourse. You can see the permit drawings, essentially following the muntins across between the windows.

You also see it in the gable eaves on all four sides of the property. This is at the garage.

You also have the incised horizontal banding imitating or giving the sense of bricks between this window on the first story, which further brings the windows together to read as one horizontal element.

Photos of the back. Again, we have horizontal banding in those gable eaves. You can see the corner windows, the one-story gable end there, on each side.

Page 63

And then at the back door, which is on the photo on the right, you see the distinctive pipe railing, which was detailed out on the original drawings.

Another character-defining feature of the home is the original wood front door. The center of the door is a long rectangular wooden screen depicting a stylized tree. This woodcut screen is framed on the top and the bottom and to the north by full-length planks, which you can -- which you can see called out in the original permit, which is just below here.

Right now the door is painted white. I imagine that originally the detailing probably followed what we see on the historic photo of the garage, calling out those horizontal lines, making a very geometric impression.

Permitted in 1937, and designed by William Merriam, the construction of the single-family home occurred during the New Deal era.

Building during this time was sparse. The home was built in the Modernistic style of Art

Moderne. It is indicative not only of the housing trend across the nation, but also represents the type of architecture that Coral

Page 64

Gables embraced as it shifted away from the elaborate and the embellished Mediterranean Revival style of the '20s towards this simpler and more modern design.

Art Moderne emphasizes curving forms, sleek and smooth surfaces, with long horizontal lines. While this home is clearly Art Moderne, it does retain some influence of Mediterranean Revival, with its steel casement windows, its hipped and gabled roofs, the built-in planters and that prominent chimney. Hence, the home has significant examples of the interpretation of Art Moderne style in this Mediterranean Revival-inspired City.

Furthermore, the Art Moderne home honors and embraces George Merrick's vision for adapting residential design to the rigors of South Florida climate while maintaining the integrity of the Art Moderne style, which is thick cement masonry block walls, which keep the home cool, the light-colored stuccoed exterior walls, which reflect the sun's heat, and the varied windows that provide much ventilation and light in this tropical environment. It embraces both the style and

Page 65

the environment and is a significant example of the interpretation of Art Moderne in the Southeast Florida region.

The home demonstrates the practicality of the times and includes the emerging trend of the attached garage. During the 1920s, the garages were predominantly detached and to the back of the property. What we see is them becoming attached in the 1930s, but usually they're still sort of pushed back and not a prominent feature of the home.

Here, in 1937, that's pretty early to have the garage pushed forward. When you look at what the original garage door looked like, it was very visually striking and was meant to draw attention.

A comparison of the permit drawings and the historic photographs with the home demonstrates the high integrity this single-family residence has retained over the years. With the exception of replacing the original geometrically-embossed garage door in 2007, the removal of the matching wing wall gate, and the re-working of the front entry landing -- in particular, the steps -- there

Page 66

have been no significant alterations to the home's exterior features.

William Merriam, the architect of this home, had a career that spanned several decades in Coral Gables. He started working in the city in 1924 as a draftsman for Fink. He became a registered architect in 1931 and went off to practice in New York for a few years when building was slow in Coral Gables. He moved back to the city in 1935, and opened a practice that he maintained until 1970.

During the 1950s, he served on the Board of Architects. And you can see him here in a newspaper article with Mr. H. George Fink.

Mr. Merriam is standing in the center, shaking hands, and Mr. Fink is to the far left.

Other homes done by William Merriam of the same time period, with the Modernistic influences, we have several. The top home, built in 1938, is at 1043 Alhambra Circle.

That was awarded an award of merit by the Coral Gables Association of Architects in 1939, and it is a contributing structure in the Alhambra historic district.

Another home, built in 1939, in the Art

- 1 Moderne style is 2505 Granada.
- A home at 2601 DeSoto, built in 1938, is a
- 3 non-contributing structure in the Alhambra
- 4 historic district.
- 5 And the bottom, built in -- also in 1939,
- 6 is 842 Palermo. And that's an individually
- 7 designated landmark.
- 8 So, in conclusion, our Summary Statement
- 9 of Significance:
- 10 Permitted in 1937 during the New Deal era,
- 11 the single-family residence at 3621 Monserrate
- was the first home built in the Coral Gables
- 13 Louise Park Section. Building during the 1930s
- in Coral Gables was sparse. This home was built
- during a small resurgence in the late 1930s and
- illustrates the new styles that the City
- 17 embraced.
- Designed by architect William Merriam, the
- 19 residence at 3221 (sic) Monserrate Street is
- one of a handful of homes built in the City in
- 21 the Art Moderne style. This style emphasized
- curving forms, sleek and smooth surfaces with
- long horizontal lines, which are most
- dramatically illustrated in the home's curved
- 25 front entry feature.

Page 68

While this home is clearly Art Moderne, it retains influences of the Mediterranean Revival and is a significant example of the interpretation of Art Moderne in this Mediterranean Revival-inspired City.

Furthermore, it embraces the practicality of the times and is an early example of a prominent attached garage.

The single family home at 3621 Monserrate has few exterior alterations and retains a high degree of historic integrity. Thus, it significantly contributes to the historic fabric of the City of Coral Gables, and staff is recommending approval of the local historic designation of the property.

MS. SPAIN: I have a few -- we received comments from the public. I'd like to read them into the record.

The first one is from Sheryl Gold.

"Dear Members of the City of Coral Gables
Historic Preservation Board: I'm writing to
support the historic designation of 3621
Monserrate Street. This Art Moderne (or Med
Transitional) home is rare in Coral Gables, and
especially in this area of the city. It

Page 69 demonstrates the progression to the Modern era 1 2 and deserves to be preserved. 3 "Thank you for your consideration. 4 "Sheryl Gold, 721 Biltmore Way, Coral 5 Gables, Florida." 6 The second one is from Brett Gillis. 7 Let's see. 8 "I will be unable to attend the meeting of 9 April 19th, but would like to offer my strong 10 support for the historic designation of the 11 house on 3621 Monserrate Street. 12 "This home stresses all the lovable forces 13 behind the Modern Movement -- beauty, 14 simplicity and function. Seldom do we find 15 such a pristine example of the Art Moderne 16 style in Coral Gables, and it is my fervent 17 hope that you will preserve it for generations 18 to come. 19 "Thank you. 20 "Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand Street." 21 The next one is from Gary P. Simon. 22 "Thank you for your correspondence dated 23 April 6, 2018, regarding Case File LHD 24 2018-006, for the consideration of a local 25 historic designation of the property located at

	Page 70
1	3621 Monserrate Street within The City of Coral
2	Gables, legally described as Lots 5 and 6,
3	Block 1, according to the Plat thereof, as
4	recorded in Plat 30 at Page 25 of the Public
5	Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.
6	
	"I, Gary P. Simon, am the trustee for one
7	of the homes within a close radius of the 3621
8	Monserrate Street address.
9	"After being a part of our community for
10	many years, it appears to be a plain old
11	structure. I don't see the historical reasons
12	that make this home deemed historical.
13	"In summary, I disagree that this is a
14	historical site.
15	"Sincerely yours, Gary P. Simon, Trustee."
16	And the last one, is from John Larkey.
17	"I live next door to this house. It was
18	never changed before. Do not allow any changes
19	now.
20	"The house behind it is historical. It
21	was never changed. It gives charm to the
22	neighborhood.
23	"No changes.
24	"John Larkey, 731 Bird Road."
25	That's it.

	Page 71
1	MR. EHRENHAFT: Miss Spain, the last
2	letter that you read into the record has at the
3	top right an address that is
4	MS. SPAIN: 3915 Monserrate.
5	MR. EHRENHAFT: It's not
6	MS. SPAIN: Yeah, I don't understand that.
7	MR. EHRENHAFT: Not consistent.
8	MS. SPAIN: Unless that's his home. I
9	don't know.
10	CHAIRMAN TORRE: It threw me off. I'm
11	like, "What the heck's going on?"
12	MS. SPAIN: I don't know.
13	MR. EHRENHAFT: But he's suggesting he
14	lives at 731 Bird Road.
15	MS. SPAIN: I have no idea.
16	MR. EHRENHAFT: Which is not adjacent to
17	this property.
18	CHAIRMAN TORRE: He's confused to which
19	house is being designated.
20	MR. EHRENHAFT: Perhaps, yeah.
21	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay.
22	MS. SPAIN: Yes. Maybe he lives at 3915
23	Monserrate. I don't know. I can't tell you.
24	I'm just reading it into the record.
25	CHAIRMAN TORRE: I have some questions

	Page 72
1	regarding the actual designation procedure. I
2	wonder if I should leave it until after public
3	comment.
4	MS. SPAIN: Okay. Whatever
5	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah, I do have just
6	MS. SPAIN: All right.
7	CHAIRMAN TORRE: But I'll see what comes
8	up of the discussion.
9	Anything else from staff?
10	MS. GUIN: No.
11	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Any questions?
12	MS. GUIN: I believe we do have some
13	members of the public that would like to speak.
14	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah. I'd like to do that
15	now.
16	So if anyone in the audience wants to
17	speak, I'll Liz, how many people will be
18	speaking? Could you raise your hand?
19	One, two. Just two? Okay. Thanks.
20	MR. McCULLOUGH: Thanks everybody. I'll
21	try and keep my comments brief. I'll actually
22	leave the notice here.
23	So, thanks, Dona. Thanks, ElizaBeth.
24	It's been a wonderful process getting to know a
25	little bit more about the historic designation

1 process.

My name is Jeff McCullough. I'm the owner of the property. My fiancee, Karen, is beside me. We bought this house with the intention of fixing it up, cleaning it up, and living in it. We're getting married shortly, and then we intend to live in this house.

We didn't buy it to tear it down. I know there's been some suggestion of that. And I recognize the term demolition is a broader term. But that's certainly not our intention.

The lovely curved facade, and all of that, we certainly intend to keep. And we didn't buy the house to flip it.

So I am speaking in opposition to the designation, but I also wish to speak to get a few points of clarification. I'm addressing as an amateur. I don't have architectural expertise. I have architectural enthusiasm.

I'm not a historian. I'm not an architectural historian. I'm not a designer. My architect is here with me. For questions that are more technical, I will probably have to refer to him.

- 1 to say I was thrilled. I was delighted to get
- 2 it. Very, very good work. Sat down
- 3 immediately, flipped through the whole thing.
- 4 Thirty-something pages. Clearly, a lot of
- 5 thought went into this.

homeowners.

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

6 But I only really want to speak on two 7 broad areas and to see if I can get a few questions answered around that. And my ultimate goal today is that we all do the right 10 thing. It's not to -- while I do have an opposing view, I want to make sure that we end 12 up collectively making the right choice for the community and for myself and my fiance as

> So, we heard a fair bit about some of the people that were involved in this and some of the climate, Mr. Merriam, but we really only have three items that we're considering in terms of the criteria for the designation. Those are items under Section 3, I believe, 1, 2, and 4.

The first two I kind of take together -and, again, my apologies. As an amateur, I'm trying to parse the language a little bit and see if I understand it. But collectively, when

1 I look at those first two items -- do you mind just passing -- no, the other -- this document here, so I read them correctly. And I apologize if I'm not at the mic.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Under the Building Code, Section 3-1103, subsection (b), items (1), (2) and (4), the first two portrays the environment in an era of history characterized by one or more distinctive architectural styles.

And then secondly -- and I assume these two items are to be sort of taken together as parts A and B -- embodies those distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style or period or method of construction.

So as I was trying to figure that out, the way that I kind of got down to this -- and I'd love your guidance on this -- is that the house tells a story about things that were going on at the time. That's perhaps an over-simplification, but that's kind of where I got to.

And so I would welcome a little bit of clarification around this for a couple of points. We heard about the New Deal, we heard about sparseness, we heard about ways in which

Page 76

Art Moderne might have reflected that. But a lot of things influenced Art Moderne. Not just, you know, coming out of the Depression.

Not just -- you know, any number of things.

There were a lot of things going on in Europe.

There were a lot of things going on in other parts of America. And, collectively, any number of factors influence a style.

We've also heard that there are not a whole lot of these around and, in particular, there are not a whole lot of them around the Louise Park area. Now, it's a relatively small area, only 21 lots anyway, but the -- the report references, I think on Page 5, three other houses built in close temporal proximity. One in '39, one in -- one in '38, one in '39, one in '40. I believe that's correct.

I looked up these houses and looked at them closely on the street, and none of these houses — two are on Monserrate and one was on University, 717 University, I believe. And none of them are similar in style. They're not Art Moderne style. They're not particularly sleek. They're not anything which to me collectively helps to tell the story of an era.

2.3

Page 77

So I don't quite understand why this style is special in any way in telling the story of the era. Again, if I've understood that correctly.

The second element, which is item 4, is all around the -- the design detail, material and craftsmanship are of outstanding quality, and which represent -- or which represent significant innovation or adaptation to the South Florida environment.

In terms of materials and craftsmanship, I have a hard time understanding that. I think William -- I think Merriam built a fine house here, so it's not my job to -- to undermine the work in the design of this house. But it's -- it's built out of concrete block, it's built on a crawl space. There's nothing particular special about the materials of the house.

And the same can be said for the craftsmanship. There's no particularly -- and again, I know it's an Art Moderne house so -- but there's nothing particularly of high quality. There's nothing particularly unique. There's nothing that struck me in terms of either materials or craftsmanship.

So that kind of left me with two items:

Page 78 1 Design and detail. And as I looked at the list 2 of design elements that were characterized Art 3 Moderne -- and I do apologize, that also really 4 kind of left me wondering a little bit. 5 We had a series of items -- and I believe this is on Page 8, for your reference. 6 7 were listed as defined or contributing characteristics of Art Moderne. And I'll --8 9 I'll read these briefly because you've 10 already -- ElizaBeth has already pointed them 11 out. 12 Horizontal orientation. Well, maybe. 13 mean, there are certainly elements of that, and 14 some banding here. But that could apply to an 15 awful lot of things certainly beyond Art 16 Moderne. 17 And I recognize that in defining the 18 styles, all kind of things, from ranch houses 19 and Prairie style, and any number of things 20 would share these characteristics. 21 Smooth exterior stucco walls. Again, 22 what, 90, 95 percent of houses down here would 23 probably have that characteristic. 24 Asymmetrical. Again, the same thing. 25 mean, there's very, very few symmetrical houses

- of any character built in the United States or beyond. So being asymmetrical is not a particularly, you know, striking characteristic to me.
 - Flat or low-pitched roof with wide soffits. Yes, again, it's an element that applies to other types of architecture.

The horizontal grooves.

Rounded edges. Yes, definitely that. The facade, the entryway. One of the striking points that attracted us to the house. No glass or no glass brick. No porthole windows. No chrome hardware. Things like that.

So the long and short of that is there are some -- like -- like any house, there are some characteristics I think that fit it nicely, and others that seem slightly disjointed and could apply to any number of things and/or are not present here.

When I look at the house I see a slightly disjointed work. I don't see -- and let me get the language right here -- outstanding quality of craftsmanship or detail.

In other words, if someone were to say to me, you know, "Let's go look at a really,

Page 80

really striking outstanding quality example of an Art Moderne house," I would probably ask for my money back if I paid for the tour to go see this.

And, again, I don't say that to disparage the house. We voted with our pocketbook. We bought the house. And, again, I want to be very clear, we had no desire -- we had no desire, and we have no desire, to tear down the house.

I know that is sometimes implied or assumed, either by, you know, our fellow residents who -- who might have wrote in, written in in support, or in the language referencing demolition earlier.

We intend, and I know we cannot discuss the intended design in any detail, but we do intend to preserve that entranceway, those walls. Everything that you see there will be preserved in the initial designs that we have worked with our architect on.

In fact, we spent a lot of time interviewing builders, interviewing architects. A good number of them said, "Is it historically designated?" And we said, "No, it's not."

2.1

Page 81

Oh, you should tear it down. It will cost you a lot more to tear it down and start over than it will to -- to build, to fix it up, and you're still not going to get the place you wanted.

Well, right from day one, that was not palatable to me. I didn't want to do that.

And so in seeking out an architect to work with, I wanted to find somebody who was sympathetic to keeping those elements that are important, but also being able to bring the house into something that is, you know, 21st Century, that makes sense, that gives us the living space that we would want to live in.

And in come up -- coming up with this design, we had not been seeking a single variance. We're not seeking lot density variances, coverage density, you know, any of that. We are intending to design and renovate within the code.

So that's really the extent of my comments. Again, I apologize for any points I might have made that are not architecturally accurate or technically accurate. I am an amateur. But I'm an enthusiastic amateur who

1	wants to treat this house right.
2	If you have questions for me, I'd be happy
3	to stay and answer it, if those if that's
4	the right protocol. If it's not, I'll return
5	and allow anybody else who wants to speak.
6	CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think we're going to
7	engage with you, and I think that the best
8	thing is maybe to have your architect come up,
9	have his discussion, and then we can have a
10	broader discussion between all of us.
11	MR. McCULLOUGH: All right. Very good.
12	I'll
13	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah.
14	MR. LEWIS: Good afternoon. My name is
15	Dan Lewis. I'm going to be a little bit
16	briefer.
17	MR. McCULLOUGH: Sorry.
18	MR. LEWIS: And I'm just going to speak
19	mostly to point Number 4. And the way I would
20	describe the house at this point, after pretty
21	extensive review of it and doing my due
22	diligence and trying to understand it, is I
23	would call it incomplete.
24	Just an idea. The banding on the the
25	one-and-half-story banding, it doesn't go

Page 83 1 around the entire house. It just ends. 2 is nothing cohesive from the front to the back 3 that says this is a complete design. 4 definitely comes across as something that, it 5 seems like the architect, at least to me, was 6 working on transitioning from Mediterranean 7 Revival to something more modern. And to me it 8 comes across as those pieces -- the brick style 9 relief just seems out of place. 10 The -- the banding above the garage at the 11 gable ends and in the back, even looking at 12 that, it's seems like an afterthought to me. 13 So, in conclusion, I have to say it just 14 doesn't seem like it's an exceptional example. 15 It just seems like someone has -- through the 16 course of any architect's career -- has -- has 17 a project where they're trying something new. 18 And that's what this house, at least how it 19 speaks, that's how it speaks to me. 20 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you very much. 2.1 have a question for staff before we continue, 22 about the windows. 23 You mentioned three or four things that 24 were altered. I did not see the windows. 25 Are the windows original, ElizaBeth?

1 MS. GUIN: That was the (Inaudible). 2 MS. SPAIN: There's no permit on file 3 for -- that we could find that changes out the 4 windows, so I'm not sure whether they're 5 original or not. They seem to match what we 6 have as far as the drawings go. We didn't go 7 onto the property to check it out. 8 CHAIRMAN TORRE: They -- they seem to be 9 well-articulated, well done, and I don't know 10 if that was original or they were done very 11 well after the fact. 12 MS. SPAIN: They certainly can -- just for 13 their knowledge, they can change out the 14 windows if they were original and you wanted to have newer windows. It's not like we would not 15 16 allow that. 17 I need to be careful of what people think 18 can happen to a historic home. MR. FULLERTON: Aren't they described as 19 20 steel casement windows with horizontal muntins? 21 MS. SPAIN: They should be. 22 MR. FULLERTON: So they would probably be 23 original. 24 MS. SPAIN: Yes. 25 MS. KAUTZ: If you look at the -- if you

Page 85 1 look at the close-up pictures, those are steel 2 casements. 3 MS. SPAIN: Yeah. They -- they look --4 they look original to us. 5 MR. FULLERTON: Yeah. That's very common in this kind of --6 7 MS. SPAIN: Yeah. 8 MR. FULLERTON: -- this era of 9 architectural expression of these houses. 10 MS. SPAIN: And just to clarify, because I 11 was out of the room when ElizaBeth started, but 12 this came to us from the Board of Architects as 13 a recommendation, and one of their powers and 14 duties according to the Zoning Code, Article 2, Division 3, says the powers and duties of the 15 16 Board of Architects, one of them is to recommend historic designations to the Historic 17 18 Preservation Board, So --19 CHAIRMAN TORRE: What was -- what were the 2.0 board -- what was the board looking at? 21 MS. SPAIN: Pardon me? 22 CHAIRMAN TORRE: What was the BOA looking 23 at? 24 MS. SPAIN: I believe they were looking at 25 the alterations that were proposed for the

	Page 86
1	residence.
2	MR. McCULLOUGH: It was a point of
3	clarification.
4	MS. SPAIN: Is that right?
5	MR. McCULLOUGH: We were not allowed to
6	submit to the Board of Architects until your
7	group had reviewed it. So I don't think even
8	the Board of Architects had actually seen the
9	plans
10	MS. SPAIN: No, no, no. It was it was
11	given as homework to the Board of Architects.
12	MR. LEWIS: Oh, okay.
13	MS. SPAIN: And so you submitted small
14	plans
15	MR. LEWIS: Yes.
16	MS. SPAIN: to the Board of Architects,
17	and they recommended that it be designated as
18	historic to us.
19	MR. LEWIS: Okay.
20	MS. SPAIN: So they did see it.
21	MR. LEWIS: Okay.
22	MR. McCULLOUGH: It was not communicated
23	to us.
24	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. I'd like to go
25	back, after we do this discussion, to the

Page 87 1 reason that this comes up, because I think I 2 want us to continue to understand the process 3 that we have as an ordinance to -- to move them up. And I -- you're saying that the Board of 5 Architects recommended. But if there was no 6 recommendation by anybody, then these houses do not become historic. It's only when there's a request for review by your staff. 8 9 MS. SPAIN: We can designate them. We 10 can --11 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Right. You could. 12 could. 13 MS. SPAIN: It can be tagged. It can be 14 staff-generated. 15 CHAIRMAN TORRE: But -- but otherwise a 16 lot of these just continue to be remodeled. But if there's no request --17 18 MS. SPAIN: Well, that's -- that's true. 19 CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- the Board of 20 Architects doesn't get --21 MS. SPAIN: Unless we catch what's going 22 on, we would not -- sometimes we're not aware 23 of alterations. 24 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Sometimes they basically 25 mess these things up a little bit more than we

would like. 1 2 MS. SPAIN: Although ElizaBeth and I 3 attend the Board of Architects every Thursday, 4 and so we're there looking at the alterations 5 to the residences in Coral Gables. So we do 6 see a lot of them. 7 CHAIRMAN TORRE: So this -- the -- the 8 plans that were submitted are not part of this 9 at all? 10 MS. SPAIN: No. 11 CHAIRMAN TORRE: There's no discussion 12 here on what's being proposed? 13 MS. SPAIN: No. This is only on whether 14 it fits a criteria for designation. 15 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. So I think I'd 16 like to try to --17 MS. SPAIN: And I also would like to 18 remind you that you -- the property only needs 19 to comply with one of the criteria. It doesn't 20 need all three criteria to qualify. You just 21 need one. 22 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. All right. 2.3 take the first stab at just a couple of the 24 responses that I can think of. 25 I think your questions were very smart and Page 89

I think that you did a great job of really putting us to -- to the question of what's going on here, why is this house coming and being even qualified.

I think what I see, some of it is that in measure of context, what we see has a lot to do with what gets designated, because out of context, you may feel like some of this stuff is not significant enough. But when you see enough of these things, there's a reason why some of these really stand out.

For example, this one, it is in fact for us -- I mean, for me anyway -- a pristine example of a Moderne house that we see very seldom come through here.

In a way, I find that this is a way for us to protect the fabric of the City that exists. And it -- it does become a quality design, only because I've seen so many that are not. This one stands out as one that actually looks -- looks sharp. It looks good.

It -- it is -- it is a good example.

Again, it's a contextual thing. We've seen so many, after so many years, this happens to be one that I think, wow, this one really does fit

1 the part.

So I guess it's a little challenging for you to see it out of context. For me, it's a little bit more in context.

So I think part of it is trying to protect the fabric of the City that relates to one of these three reasons. You mentioned this.

There's a limit to the amount of some of these properties that make it to where we feel more inclined to protect those types of properties.

In this case, Moderne style is not one we see a lot through. There's not a lot of them. We don't see a lot of them that are actually good. So when one comes, and one is actually pretty good, it starts to become, like, no, this is actually one of the ones we want to keep. We do want to keep enough of these that there's a fabric of Moderne.

And then the other thing is that this house seems, at least from the photos I see, to be in really pristine condition.

MS. SPAIN: It does. It really does. And I really also want to inform the owner that you can do a large addition on this home. It's not like it needs to stay untouched. Whatever the

Page 91 1 FAR is that's allowed on the lot, you can max 2 it out as an addition to a historic home and 3 get a tax break because of it. So there are 4 advantages. 5 The only disadvantage is you need to go in 6 front of them. 7 CHAIRMAN TORRE: But -- but I agree with 8 you that --9 MS. SPAIN: It's another layer of 10 bureaucracy, but that's really the only 11 disadvantage. 12 CHAIRMAN TORRE: The rear porch does not 13 fit comfortable for me, so I agree with your 14 comment. But that's something you could work 15 on when you come back, if you don't feel that 16 you like it. 17 MR. LEWIS: Right. And -- and one thing I 18 would like to say. I've worked with Dona on a 19 number of historic houses in Coral Gables, and 20 I've always had a great deal of respect working 21 with her. She's always been very helpful for 22 all of this. 23 And one of our intents through this whole 24 process has always been to respect the past of 25 I think we may disagree on whether the house.

Page 92 1 it's a good example or not, but I -- I do feel 2 we all want to respect what the house is at 3 this point, and I -- I'm definitely going to 4 make sure we continue moving that forward. 5 MR. FULLERTON: I think that's what, what 6 I perceive from your comments and approach, and 7 you make a very impassioned case. 8 MR. McCULLOUGH: Hopefully, not too 9 impassioned. Because I -- really, I don't want 10 to come up here in any way not respectful of the work that's done here. I mean, I -- I live 11 in Coral Gables. Have for a long time. 12 13 here for a reason. 14 MR. FULLERTON: But my feeling is that you 15 have -- there's something underneath all of 16 that that is -- has you afraid of the historic 17 designation in some way or another or, you 18 know, hesitant to --19 MR. McCULLOUGH: Yes. 20 MR. FULLERTON: -- join in to that --21 MS. SPAIN: I understand that. I get --22 MR. McCULLOUGH: I will answer that with 23 complete candor. I am. 24 The -- you know, to Dona's point, you 25 know, there is another layer here. There is a

group of people, with all due respect, who -another group of people who get to weigh in on
a design, which by definition is a subjective
thing. We'll say it should look a certain way.
Some of you will say it should look another
way. And, you know, I can envision us, you
know, potentially going down a long path of
back and forth around some minutiae.

But if we are designated, I do -MS. SPAIN: Just so you know, typically,
that doesn't happen.

MR. McCULLOUGH: One thing I was very encouraged by, both in speaking with you personally, Dona, and in reading the material, is that there seems to be a lot of language around a partnership of working together to help to expedite the property -- or expedite the process. Again, ways to protect the property.

MS. SPAIN: The disadvantage is you -- you get us. But the advantage is: You get us.

Because --

MR. FULLERTON: Absolutely. That's -that's the point I wanted to make, that you -you're getting into an arrangement with some

- 1 people who love what they do and love the whole
- idea of preservation. And they're advocates.
- And we are -- we try to be advocates for you as well.
- As you saw in a case earlier tonight,
 there were two variances granted because it was
 a historically designated building.
- MS. SPAIN: Which would never have been granted at the Board of Adjustment, by the way.
 - MR. FULLERTON: Exactly. So we bend over backwards in many -- most cases -- to help you get along this process, because it can be a little more expensive, maybe.
- MR. McCullough: Right.

10

11

12

13

- MR. FULLERTON: But your architect can -
 can work around the -- the site plan and the

 details of the house to make it a spectacular

 place.
- MR. McCULLOUGH: Right. Thank you.
- MR. RODRIGUEZ: Dona, I'd like to ask a question. The very first page, you indicate that the historic significance determination application was received on February 27th, 2018, and that by March 12th, less than a
- month, just a few weeks later, it had been

	Page 95
1	denied for local historic landmark.
2	Is that a different designation?
3	That's it says it was denied because the
4	property does not meet
5	MS. SPAIN: Oh, oh, that's because
6	that application is specifically because it
7	didn't meet?
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: For landmark only?
9	MS. KAUTZ: No.
10	MS. SPAIN: No, no.
11	MS. KAUTZ: (Inaudible). It does meet.
12	MS. GUIN: Does meet.
13	MS. SPAIN: Does meet. The very top of it
14	says on March 12th a determination
15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
16	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Does meet.
17	MS. SPAIN: letter was issued by staff
18	saying the property does meet.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: My fault. My misreading.
20	MS. SPAIN: Okay. It doesn't take much to
21	confuse me. We did what?
22	CHAIRMAN TORRE: So we visited this, but I
23	want to visit it again.
24	So the you write the determination, and
25	obviously you were going to respond saying it

```
Page 96
 1
          meets, and then you start to write --
 2
               MS. SPAIN: Yes.
 3
               CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- the --
 4
               MS. SPAIN: Yes.
 5
               CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- the report.
 6
               MS. SPAIN: I think we requested that they
 7
          file a significance determination. Is that
 8
          right?
 9
               MR. LEWIS: Yes.
10
               MS. SPAIN: Did we ask them for that?
11
          Yes. Typically, that's what we do.
12
               MR. RODRIGUEZ: So you -- was it -- you
13
          made an administrative determination.
14
               MS. SPAIN: That it does meet the minimum
15
          criteria.
16
               CHAIRMAN TORRE: And then up.
17
               MR. RODRIGUEZ: And then --
18
               CHAIRMAN TORRE: Immediately, it
19
          triggers --
20
               MS. SPAIN: Then we started the
21
          designation report.
22
               CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- it triggers your
23
          designation report.
24
               MR. RODRIGUEZ: You've got to put in the
25
          application.
```

1 MS. SPAIN: That triggers coming here 2 within 16 days. 3 CHAIRMAN TORRE: And, again, I go back to, the ones that don't ask sometimes get through. 4 5 If -- if they're doing remodeling --6 MS. SPAIN: Well, they don't get 7 demolished, I can tell you. 8 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah, but during 9 demolition, they basically --10 MS. SPAIN: And if there's a large 11 percentage of the home that's -- these are the worst cases. If they're not flagged as a 12 13 demolition, they go in the building department. 14 They go entirely through all the different 15 trades. They get approval. They go -- what's 16 called to do a takeoff at the very end, to see 17 what to charge, you know, for the permit. 18 And the two guys that do those takeoffs 19

sometimes call me and say, "You need to come here, because the majority of this home is being demolished." You know, if it's over 50 percent of the home, it's flagged for me flagged. And sometimes that isn't caught until the very end.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Or if they're away a lot

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 98 1 of fabric of some important structure, that --2 MS. SPAIN: Yeah. 3 CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- that would be a trigger for that. 4 5 SPEAKER: Yeah. 6 So sometimes we step in at the MS. SPAIN: 7 very end, where they've been approved for a 8 building permit, and we stop that. 9 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let me follow up with one 10 question to you guys, and I asked the same 11 question to someone else who came with an Art 12 Moderne house. 13 If this is designated, how will it change, 14 materially change your plans for what you want 15 to do with the house? 16 Do you mind? MR. McCULLOUGH: 17 MR. LEWIS: Well, let me give the nuts and 18 bolts part of that first. It will 19 fundamentally change how you're making the 20

MR. LEWIS: Well, let me give the nuts and bolts part of that first. It will fundamentally change how you're making the addition, because right now we are trying to respect the house, but we are using some of the lines of the house, whereas with a historic designation, we have to be structurally distinct from the existing house. So -
MS. SPAIN: Oh, but that's -- but that's

21

22

23

24

25

Page 99 1 very easy to --2 MR. LEWIS: It will require a pretty 3 significant redesign. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think you can work with 4 5 the staff to make that happen. 6 MS. SPAIN: Yeah. But I again have to 7 remind you that you're only here to determine 8 whether it fits a criteria for designation. 9 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, I know. 10 MS. THOMSON: It does. 11 MS. SPAIN: Sorry. You know, that's my 12 task. 13 MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's a subjective analysis 14 also. 15 MS. SPAIN: Yeah. 16 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. Any further 17 questions? Commentary? 18 Thank you, sir. 19 MR. McCULLOUGH: Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. Close the 21 public hearing. And let's see what we've got. 22 I think I've stated my comments, so you're free 23 to make your own discussion and -- Jan's very 2.4 quiet today. 25 MS. THOMSON: I'm very quiet today.

- sorry. I should be louder. These things bother me.
- No, I was just looking at this, at the way
 this house looks from the outside, and I agreed
 with what you were saying, that -- that it has
 lots of features to it that -- this is
 bothering me. Lots of features to it that -it's like a gem. Not a gym. A gem.
- 9 SPEAKER: A gym?
- MR. RODRIGUEZ: We got it --
- MS. THOMSON: You know, I mean, the -
 like that entry feature there? It's pristine.
- 13 That's what you were saying.
- 14 CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think -- well,

 15 architecturally, you know, again, what's an

 16 exemplary piece of -- of architecture? It's

 17 somewhat relative, you know. I think for what

 18 these styles are supposed to be, this is

 19 actually a very nice design, and it's very

 20 well-articulated.
- And, to me, it's a very attractive house
 that is done correctly. The balances are good.

 And I really like the way, the way it looks and
 I -- again, to me, it's an exemplary piece of
 Art Moderne. It is -- it is very good design.

Page 101 1 MS. THOMSON: So I was just agreeing with 2 you, more or less. MR. RODRIGUEZ: We recently designated 3 4 another house, very similar, and I think for 5 consistency in the way in which we mete our justice, I think this a historic designation. 6 7 CHAIRMAN TORRE: I tend to agree. I think 8 you have a beautiful house. I really, really 9 do. 10 John, any comments, or --11 MR. FULLERTON: I -- I think you're lucky 12 to have a -- a house that has the potential 13 that this one does. And I love that style. 14 had a Moderne style home back a few years ago 15 on Country Club Prado, and it was a joy. 16 And, anyway, there's many, many things 17 that can be done compatibly architecturally for 18 this house. And there's space to do it and --19 and I think it's a no-brainer. You should be 20 happy to join the club. 21 MR. McCULLOUGH: Am I about to join? 22 have a feeling I'm about to join. MR. FULLERTON: I -- I think you are. 23 24 think you are. 25 MS. SPAIN: Then you get a plaque.

Page 102 1 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Bruce, anything else? 2 MR. EHRENHAFT: I -- no. I -- I, too, 3 love the style of this house. I lived two 4 blocks away for 25 years, so I drove past this 5 house at least once every week, and it always 6 caught my attention. 7 I think it's significant that -- that 8 there are so few changes to the original. 9 you look at the, you know, the -- the original 10 plans, of course the details on the garage 11 have -- have gone away when -- when they put 12 a -- a hurricane-resistant garage door on. But 13 all of the other lines are -- are the same. 14 The planters are there, but they've -- they've 15 been refaced. 16 Originally, it looks like it -- it had 17 the, you know, the, the typical square Spanish 18 tiles on the -- on the steps, and that that 19 faced -- was the facing on the -- on the 20 planter box in front of the, the -- at the base 21 of the curved entrances. 22 But it's -- it's -- it's all intact.

But it's -- it's all intact.

It's -- it's really a remarkable example of -
of this transitional style.

MR. FULLERTON: So I invite you to join

Page 103 the club by moving for approval of the 1 designation of this beautiful house. 2 MS. THOMSON: I second it. 3 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Any further discussion? 4 5 (No response.) CHAIRMAN TORRE: Let's go for a roll call. 6 7 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft? 8 MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. 9 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriquez? 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. MS. DIAZ: Miss Thomson? 11 12 MS. THOMSON: Yes. MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton? 13 MR. FULLERTON: Yes. 14 15 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre? 16 MR. FULLERTON: Congratulations. 17 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Do you want to take a 18 little break? MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I need to take a 19 20 break. 21 (Pause in proceedings.) 22 MS. KAUTZ: We've now lost a quorum until 23 John comes back. 24 CHAIRMAN TORRE: He went to the restroom. 25 MS. KAUTZ: We have to wait for him to

	Page 104
1	come back.
2	CHAIRMAN TORRE: We have to do what?
3	MS. KAUTZ: Wait for him to come back.
4	MS. SPAIN: You have to wait for him.
5	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Of course. I'm not going
6	anywhere.
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: While, we're waiting, this
8	appeared on our desk.
9	MS. SPAIN: Is that the CRM?
10	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Anything to do with
11	park
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Parks Department or
14	something.
15	MR. EHRENHAFT: No. This is the memo
16	the memorandum of understanding from the Park
17	Service.
18	MS. SPAIN: Yeah. We're going to talk
19	about that a little bit later.
20	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
21	MS. SPAIN: Well, that's true. We can
22	just we can start we can discuss it now.
23	ElizaBeth, that's something that ElizaBeth is
24	working with. She'll explain it to you. It's
25	interesting.

Page 105 1 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Want to do it right --2 want to do it real quick? MS. SPAIN: Yeah, we can go through it. 3 4 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Can you do it without the 5 fifth member? 6 MS. SPAIN: Yes, because you don't have to 7 vote on it. CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. 8 9 MS. SPAIN: It's just for your 10 information. 11 MS. GUIN: Yeah. It's just -- in a way, 12 it's just reporting back to you. 13 A while back, you tasked the staff with 14 doing a survey of the homes built around 15 mid-century, so that we could come forward with 16 significance, integrity, qualifications. 17 So we mapped out homes built between '35 18 up to '68, which are a 50-year-mark, and it was 19 over 7,000 homes. So we knew that the 20 traditional method that we had been using to 21 survey, that wasn't going to work, or it was 22 going to take us forever to do that. 23 So, we were looking at different digital 24 ways. We worked with the University of Miami, 25 reported that too. They developed an initial

2.0

Page 106

app that we then field-tested, so that you could take your phone, your tablet out, and do some simple survey work.

We decided not to move forward with proceeding with that app, because there were compatibility issues and data storage issues. Part of what we wanted to do was be able to deposit things with the State Historic Preservation Office. Part of that is to meet disaster preparedness, but, also, that's also part of our mandate as a certified local government.

So we wanted to make sure, whatever we do, we sort of were looking at a bunch of different moving parts and trying to find the best match.

When I was at the National Trust meeting last year, and then again at the Florida Trust meeting, they were talking about the CRSurveyor, which was being built by the Park Service.

And it was first initially done after

Katrina and Rita, when they had huge amounts of
historic structures in New Orleans to survey.

They began to look at GIS-based ways of mapping
and surveying and being able to do it quickly

1 and maintain that data pool.

2.2

They've been honing it since then, and reaching out to different partners and trying -- what they're trying to do is also make it work on a national level, so that all the state Historic Preservation offices also would be using it.

So, in Florida, Vero Beach got a grant to field test CRSurveyor, and we sort of jumped up and down and said: Hey, you know, we have a real need. We can see that this -- we've been doing a lot of research, that this really would work for us. You know? Can we come join the party? And they said sure.

So that's what you're seeing with the Memorandum of Understanding. This is still part of the pilot study with the Park Service, and continuing to develop this for, particularly, surveying historic structures.

So we're sort of buying into the process and we're being part of it. What we get on our end then is perpetual use of this tool, which it certainly is looking like this is going to become the national tool.

So we're sort of, you know, on the ground

Page 108 1 floor, sort of jumping in in -- in the direction that this is going. So we're sort of 2 3 proud that, you know, they let us join and that we're going to be able to field test it, and 4 5 then use it in perpetuity and work with the state Historic Preservation office to sort of 6 7 help this become a statewide model, too. 8 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is it still used with a 9 tablet or a phone? 10 MS. GUIN: Yes. It can be used either. 11 See, and a lot of the big part is being 12 able to disseminate the data that we collect, 13 that it's not just, you know, housed in our 14 office. CHAIRMAN TORRE: Good luck. 15 16 MS. GUIN: That was part of what we wanted 17 to make sure happened. CHAIRMAN TORRE: You stand there, and then 18 19 they ask you to fill in certain things. 20 they obviously know where you're at, and then 2.1 that -- it gets classified --22 MS. GUIN: Exactly. 23 CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- by the GPS point 24 you're in. 25 MS. GUIN: Exactly. And then, so the --

Page 109 1 you know, the state Historic Preservation 2 office has agreed to write the code to be able 3 to enable the survey data to be deposited with 4 them. 5 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Does that make you walk 6 by every house that you want to designate? 7 MS. GUIN: Yes. I get my steps in. 8 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Does that mean that that 9 tool would be used in the process of 10 determining a designation? 11 MS. GUIN: It would be. And, also, what 12 it does is, it sort of lets us survey and find 13 out what's out there. You know --14 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Because usually it's just 15 by year, not necessarily by the criteria for 16 designation, historic designation. 17 MS. GUIN: Right. Because we were looking 18 at -- because we know that the building stock 19 changed mid-century, especially as we get more 20 into, you know, the ranch style. What makes 21 that style? What are those characteristics 22 that, you know, are important, and important 23 here in Coral Gables? So we need to do a lot of survey work to 24 25 be able to -- to really figure that out.

1 CHAIRMAN TORRE: So, I take it, and you're 2 standing there, you can -- you can click an 3 aerial shot of that exact day, that time that you were there, it's going to record the aerial 4 5 of that moment. 6 MS. GUIN: Right. 7 CHAIRMAN TORRE: You can probably take a 8 picture from the front for -- for that to go 9 online to wherever you're -- you're saving 10 that. 11 So, all this becomes basically almost 12 like, not only a survey, but it's actually a 13 visual survey. It's a map survey. It's a --14 MS. GUIN: Right. And it's tied to the 15 GS --16 CHAIRMAN TORRE: You can write 17 information, what you've seen. All that stuff 18 can be recorded --19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Will it be accessible to 20 the public? 21 MS. GUIN: Eventually, it will. I mean, 22 as we do it now, it's not. But, I mean, 23 eventually it will be housed at the state. And 24 what the Park Service wants to do is sort of 25 build this, you know, large database that

www.taylorjonovic.com Taylor, Jonovic, White, Gendron & Kircher-Echarte

305.358.9047 Fax 305.371.3460

Page 111 1 people can go and -- and look and sort of map 2 things by year, by decades, by architects, by 3 significance, contributing, non-contributing, to be able to really get a real sense of, you 4 5 know, what's going on in the City, how it grew, 6 what's important. CHAIRMAN TORRE: Do you have to do this 8 for 7,000 houses? 9 MS. GUIN: You asked us to. 10 CHAIRMAN TORRE: You're going to walk 11 7,000 houses during the --12 MS. GUIN: Eventually. 13 CHAIRMAN TORRE: During a political year. 14 MS. GUIN: What we're doing is that 15 we're -- the pilot study we'll, we'll be doing 16 ourself, and sort of developing it. But we 17 also sort of want to segment it out, so that we 18 could use a volunteer base, or students from 19 UM to do part of the survey, you know, and be 20 able to amass a lot of data. And then the 21 fine-tuning we would do in the office. 22 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Good luck. 23 MS. GUIN: Thanks. CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thanks for sharing this 24

with us.

25

	Page 112
1	Okay. We're ready?
2	MS. KAUTZ: Yep. The next item.
3	*************
4	SPECIAL CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS
5	CASE FILE COA (SP) 2018-003
6	*************
7	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Last item today, Case
8	File COA (SP) 2018-003: An application for the
9	issuance of a Special Certificate of
10	Appropriateness for the property at 524 Hardee
11	Road, a contributing resource withing the
12	"French Country Village," legally described as
13	Lot 4 and 17, Block 259, Coral Gables Riviera
14	Section 11, recorded in Plat Book 28, Page 23,
15	of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County.
16	The applicant is requesting design approval for
17	the construction of a freestanding cabana and
18	alterations to the existing perimeter wall.
19	MS. KAUTZ: It's a 1940s photo of the
20	house in question. It's part of the French
21	Country Village, designed by Philip Goodwin,
22	who was a New York architect, specialized in
23	French architectural designs.
24	This village was designated in February of
25	1990. What's interesting about this picture is

Page 113

that for all of the rest of the properties on
Hardee Road, the photos are taken actually from
Hardee. But since this is a through lot, it's
the -- it's the lot facing Hardee, then the lot
immediately behind it.

This lot is actually taken from the back side. So this is a picture of the back of the house.

Here's the location. You can see the lots in question and how they span street to street.

The applicant is requesting design approval for the construction of a freestanding cabana, the extension of existing pool deck to provide access to the cabana, alterations to the existing perimeter, south perimeter wall.

There are no variances requested. There were some things from the Board of Architects that are in your -- in your report, that have already been addressed by the applicant in your -- in your submittal.

And we do recommend in favor of it. We have one -- one observation at the end, but I'm going to turn it over to the architect to make his presentation.

MR. PONCE: Good afternoon. Alejandro

	Page 114
1	Ponce. I'm the architect. This is Stuart
2	Rosenberg, the owner.
3	And this is this is the part of the
4	house, this is the
5	Alejandro Ponce.
6	This is the (Inaudible).
7	SPEAKER: You have to use the microphone.
8	MR. EHRENHAFT: Could you use the other
9	microphone so that we can hear you more
10	clearly?
11	MR. PONCE: Hello?
12	MS. THOMSON: There you go.
13	MR. PONCE: Sorry about that.
14	Okay. So this is this is the front
15	of the front of the house from Hardee Road.
16	This is the location map. There's a red
17	it's right there.
18	This is from the from the front and
19	from the back. And this is what we'll be
20	talking about later, the fence that we're going
21	to be
22	MS. THOMSON: I don't have it.
23	MR. PONCE: remodeling right here.
24	That little entrance right there on the top.
25	This is the rear. The rear the same

Page 115 but this

picture that Kara showed, beginning -- but this is from the rear.

And then that's looking from the house towards the rear, towards Obis -- towards
Barbarossa and San Vicente. San Vicente. And this tree is staying there.

So, basically what -- what my idea was, and the concept, was to take these three arches that are here, and basically incorporate them to the back, basically dealing a dialogue between the existing and the new cabana.

This is the site plan. Hardee Road.

Barbarossa. San -- San Vicente. The pool, the existing pool. And then the -- the new cabana.

This is the existing house right now as it sits from the rear. This is with the tree in place. And then our cabana is going to be right behind that tree, in line with the three arches that are there (Inaudible).

That's what we're proposing. And then in the previous -- I hadn't shown them the elevations, the built-in barbecue, which is what I'm showing there now on that -- on that -- on that piece.

And then this is with the -- the existing

wall. And then the new gate that we're proposing. Double gate, six-foot high, in wood.

The Board had -- in the original one, in the original design, the arch work matching the arches on the cabana. So, that was one of the comments that the Board made, and I went -- went ahead and I changed them. On your plans that you have, it was changed so you could see that.

The next one just shows the -- the plans of the -- of the cabana. The roof plan. The actual floor plan.

See, we have a built-in barbecue on one side and then we have a free -- a counter on the other side, for prep area, with a frig and an icemaker.

These are just the elevations of the -- the cabana freestanding.

And this is the gate. Right now the gate jettisons at an angle on the inside.

Basically, we're bringing back along -- along Barbarossa, and then we're putting the double gates, maintaining the same type of wrought iron that's there now on the wall, and

	Page 117
1	everything. We're not changing that.
2	And that's it. Any questions?
3	MR. FULLERTON: Nice job.
4	MS. KAUTZ: Our only comment had to do
5	with the fact that the submittal before you did
6	not have any of the elevations with the
7	built-ins shown. And we spoke before the
8	meeting, and they're in your PowerPoint now.
9	So if you can give me (Inaudible).
10	SPEAKER: Okay.
11	MS. KAUTZ: Then we're satisfied.
12	CHAIRMAN TORRE: The railing that's on the
13	drawings is the railing that's existing.
14	Correct?
15	MR. PONCE: Yes, yes, yes. Yes, it is.
16	Yes. And they're the same railings inside the
17	house, too. All along on the property.
18	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Has this been through the
19	Board of Architects?
20	MR. PONCE: Yes.
21	SPEAKER: Yes.
22	MR. FULLERTON: It appears that the open
23	terrace on the house itself is roughly the same
24	dimension as the
25	MR. PONCE: Yes. Yes, it is. Yes, it is.

1

Page 118

Yes, it is. 2 MR. FULLERTON: I think this is a very 3 nice, very nice addition, responsive to the 4 existing home and careful about the tree. I 5 see you're 12 feet away from the tree and 6 you're suspending your slab --7 MR. PONCE: Yes. 8 MR. FULLERTON: -- on piles. Very good 9 job. 10 MS. THOMSON: Mr. Parsley would be happy. 11 CHAIRMAN TORRE: (Inaudible). 12 MR. ROSENBERG: The tree will be happy. 13 MR. PONCE: Well, the tree is a -- it's a 14 beautiful tree. It's a beautiful backyard too. 15 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Well, any -- I think we should let Jan make some motions. 16 17 MR. FULLERTON: I agree. I'm hogging 18 the -- I'm hogging this. 19 MS. THOMSON: I know. I've been scared to 20 make any motions today. I don't know -- for 21 some reason. It's an off day or something. 22 I move that we approve the additions and 23 changes that they're looking to make, that 24 they've put in front of us. 25 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Perfect.

Page 119
1 MR. FULLERTON: Raul?
2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second it.
3 MR. FULLERTON: There you go.
4 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Beautiful. Any further
5 comments or discussion?
6 (No response.)
7 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Roll call.
8 MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
9 MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Miss Thomson?
MS. THOMSON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
17 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes.
MR. PONCE: Thank you.
19 CHAIRMAN TORRE: See you later.
MR. PONCE: I appreciate it.
21 CHAIRMAN TORRE: And thank you guys
MR. PONCE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: for being patient.
SPEAKER: That's a nice project.
SPEAKER: Yeah, it is. It's very nice.

	Page 120
1	MS. KAUTZ: You're not done?
2	CHAIRMAN TORRE: I'm sorry?
3	MS. KAUTZ: You're not done.
4	CHAIRMAN TORRE: We're not done.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: We're not done?
6	CHAIRMAN TORRE: I didn't think we were
7	done.
8	SPEAKER: Surprise attack.
9	MS. THOMSON: We have, like, two more
10	properties.
11	SPEAKER: They were removed from the
12	agenda.
13	MR. FULLERTON: I I have a small point
14	of interest, only interesting to me, I'm sure.
15	SPEAKER: (Inaudible) mentioned the City
16	project update.
17	************
18	DISCUSSION ITEM
19	************
20	MS. KAUTZ: We have a discussion item to
21	bring to you-all.
22	MR. RODRIGUEZ: What? A what?
23	MS. KAUTZ: A discussion item to bring to
24	you-all. Give me one second.
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is it on the agenda?

1 SPEAKER: Thank you, Kara. 2 MS. KAUTZ: Okay. If you can put the 3 PowerPoint back up, please. SPEAKER: (Inaudible) he took those steel 4 5 casement windows out. 6 MS. KAUTZ: On March 16 --7 SPEAKER: Original. 8 MS. KAUTZ: -- we received a significance 9 request for three properties on Sidonia Avenue, 10 which are 27, 31 and 35. They are the left 11 three on that square yellow rectangle. Sorry. 12 Not square. Yellow rectangle. 13 In looking at these properties and doing 14 the research on them and the permit history, 15 and looking at how they evolved, it becomes 16 very clear very quickly that the properties on 17 that street were designed by the same architect 18 for the same owner/builder, permitted 19 sequentially, and were grouped in pairs. 20 I'll walk you down the street. 21 And this is -- this is the -- a plat map 22 for the Douglas section. And you can see the 23 line of white on the right side of Sidonia 24 right there. And then there are two that face 25 Douglas that are all with the same -- same time

Page 122 1 period, same everything. So if you can't see 2 that, it's these on the map. 3 This is a view looking down the street, 4 looking towards the east, towards Douglas Road. 5 This one is -- the first one shows -- the 6 first two are the ones that are already 7 designated. They're 1920s buildings that we 8 designated in December of 2017. 43 and 39 9 Sidonia. 10 And then this is just the properties that 11 are in question, the six remaining on this side 12 of the street. 13 You can see they're all two-story, 14 rectangular. I have a nice streetscape. This 15 is looking to the -- to the west. 16 MR. FULLERTON: They're connected in the 17 center, in the --18 MS. KAUTZ: They are not. 19 MR. FULLERTON: They're not. 20 MS. KAUTZ: They're individual, but they 21 are grouped architecturally. 22 MR. FULLERTON: Yeah. 23 MR. EHRENHAFT: And so they have little 2.4 garden spaces between them? 25 MS. KAUTZ: Yes.

Page 123 1 MR. EHRENHAFT: That are in common, right? 2 MS. KAUTZ: Yes. So, going through each 3 of them, just to sort of take you down the 4 street, this is starting closest towards 5 Douglas, to the east and moving west. 6 This is 11 Sidonia. It was permit Number 7 6892 in 1944, and as with all of them, the architect is E.L. Robertson and W.M. Baxter. 8 For owner/builder, Merwitzer and Tucker. 9 10 And this, what you can see on these, there's the 1940s photo up top, and down below 11 12 is a photo contemporary. 13 This is its -- this is its pair at 15 14 Sidonia. And you can see the -- the entrances 15 face one another towards the center. 19 Sidonia, we're coming to the next pair. 16 17 I'm not going to read all the permit numbers 18 for you, but they are sequential. And then the 19 next, its twin, to the left. 20 And then we have 27 Sidonia, which is the 21 first of the pair. 22 And 31 Sidonia, which is its twin as well. 23 Now, thrown in the middle of the street is 24 35 Sidonia, which is a little bit different, 25 which you'll see from the photographs. It was

2.0

Page 124

built in 1954. Architect is Gerard Pitt. It is a single stand-alone, permitted much later, so it does not sort of fit the criteria of the other ones, or the pattern of the other ones, I should say. But it is one of the ones under consideration.

And then these are the two that are on Douglas. It's 1306 and 1310 Douglas, but the property appraiser has them as one folio right now. So this is 1306, and also its twin.

So, what we are requesting is that when taken alone, these properties don't necessarily meet individual designation criteria. In fact, there have been three letters issued for — there have been letters issued for three of the properties, in 2005 and 2006. They were all issued at different times. They came in separately and they were only being evaluated for individual designation, if they meet the criteria as individual.

In this case, we would like to have the opportunity to investigate all of them as a district of post-war architecture, in that each of them may not individually qualify, because they've had some alterations. Most of the

	Page 125
1	windows have been changed in them. But as a
2	group and a streetscape they they tell a
3	story of 1944 architecture.
4	So that's what that's this it's not
5	a determination that we've made yet. Dona
6	wanted to bring this to you-all to see how you
7	felt about it.
8	MR. FULLERTON: Could this be a district?
9	MS. KAUTZ: Yes.
10	MR. FULLERTON: Is that what you're after?
11	MS. KAUTZ: Yes.
12	MS. SPAIN: That's what we were thinking.
13	And we're not here advocating designating them,
14	but we would like to do a designation report
15	and come to you for your determination, because
16	I I think it warrants further research.
17	CHAIRMAN TORRE: So there some requests
18	currently on on your desk.
19	MS. KAUTZ: For three, yes.
20	MS. SPAIN: For historic significance for
21	three of them. Right?
22	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Of the six you showed
23	us six or seven, maybe.
24	MS. KAUTZ: I showed you eight.
25	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Eight.

Page 126 And of the -- the requests for 1 MS. KAUTZ: 2 this was for 27, 31, and 35. 3 CHAIRMAN TORRE: If you were going to 4 expand this to other streets, would it not 5 imply that there's more to this district than 6 just this street? MS. KAUTZ: Well, looking -- looking at 7 8 this group, it's unique in that it's the same 9 architect, same owner/builder, same sequential 10 time permitting, same 1944 permit numbers. 11 There are, we found already, not part of this -- two on Antiquera. Same -- same owner, 12 13 same builder, same architects, that are 14 remarkably similar to 11 and 13. 15 So there might be more out there, but we 16 don't know yet. 17 CHAIRMAN TORRE: And the three are from 18 the same owner? The three requests are from 19 the same --20 MS. KAUTZ: Currently, I believe two are 21 owned by the same organization. But the -- the 22 group -- the letters came in from the same 23 group. But I don't -- I don't think -- I don't 24 think one of them is owned by them. 25 CHAIRMAN TORRE: One entity's asking for

	Page 127
1	three determinations.
2	MS. SPAIN: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Or one lawyer lawyer,
4	or whatever you want to call them.
5	MS. KAUTZ: It's not it doesn't have
6	the same name on the property appraiser.
7	MR. FULLERTON: Okay. So there's pressure
8	on this whole area to develop, redevelop, to
9	your knowledge?
10	MS. KAUTZ: I mean, there's pressure
11	everywhere right now to redevelop.
12	CHAIRMAN TORRE: How did the
13	MR. FULLERTON: I guess the question would
14	be does zoning even allow a a greater
15	density there.
16	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Well, that's what I was
17	going to get to. So do you know enough about
18	the the North Ponce ordinance, as to how it
19	helps this cause of not tearing these down?
20	MS. KAUTZ: I know enough about it to just
21	be slightly knowledgeable, but not enough.
22	CHAIRMAN TORRE: How how can we
23	MS. KAUTZ: There there are incentives,
24	and there are also the TDRs
25	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Well, how can we

Page 128 1 MS. KAUTZ: -- the TDRs available. 2 CHAIRMAN TORRE: How can we gain enough 3 knowledge to know what incentives were given to 4 the historic properties or --5 MS. SPAIN: We can --6 CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- or whatever was done 7 there, that can help us understand? 8 MS. SPAIN: We certainly -- we certainly 9 can do that research and bring them back if you 10 want us to go forward doing the research. 11 I mean, right now they have the ability, 12 if they are designated as historic, to sell off 13 transfer of development rights. MS. KAUTZ: And ad valorem. 14 15 CHAIRMAN TORRE: In that area. 16 SPEAKER: Right. 17 MS. SPAIN: And, obviously, ad valorem. But I know there are other incentives in that 18 19 overlay district in North Ponce, but I'm --20 we're not just -- I'm not as knowledgeable as I 21 should be. 22 CHAIRMAN TORRE: To me, I think the whole 23 North Ponce corridor or district had -- had a 2.4 big study done for the purpose of determining 25 what was best for that district.

1	We're not educated on that, on that
2	process, or what they tried to do. I think it
3	would be helpful to us to understand what
4	really was going on and what benefits were
5	being offered
6	MS. SPAIN: Absolutely.
7	CHAIRMAN TORRE: for us to really make
8	good judgments as to how to move it forward on
9	that area.
10	MS. THOMSON: Yeah. I'm looking at these
11	things. Like, this Number 19 looks to me like
12	it has some historical significance, maybe.
13	Maybe.
14	CHAIRMAN TORRE: But, again, what I'm
15	saying is, if developers are going to come and
16	push for certain things, and we know there's
17	other benefits that are there, you know, our
18	deliberations could be done a little different,
19	knowing, look, you know, you're being a little
20	greedy, when there's obviously this option for
21	you and this kind of
22	MS. SPAIN: Do you know whether that's
23	gone for a second reading at the City
24	Commission?
25	CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think it went through,

Page 130 1 but I mean, I would have loved to have our --2 MS. SPAIN: I think it did also, but we 3 should bring that to you. CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think we should have a 4 5 presentation that helps us understand it. And 6 if it has to lengthen the meeting by half an 7 hour, so be it. I think somebody should do a 8 presentation that gives us enough knowledge. 9 MS. SPAIN: That's a good idea anyway, 10 regardless of this group of -- of buildings. 11 MS. THOMSON: Uh-huh. 12 MR. EHRENHAFT: But can -- can I digress and go back to just the discussion about 13 district? 14 15 MS. SPAIN: It's actually the topic at 16 hand. 17 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okav. 18 MS. SPAIN: I don't think that's a 19 different -- (inaudible). 20 MR. EHRENHAFT: What's significant about 21 them is that one -- it's one architect, but --22 but we've -- we've had other examples that have 23 come before us where you have matched pairs of 24 these apartments.

So if you're doing a district, is it going

25

Page 131 1 to be potentially because it's -- it's 2 Robertson and -- and Baxter or -- or is it the 3 typology? MS. KAUTZ: I don't know the answer to 4 5 that yet. 6 MS. SPAIN: We have to do the -- we really 7 need to do the --8 MS. KAUTZ: We need to sort of branch out and see what else is around there. 10 MS. THOMSON: We need to know, because 11 I'm -- like I started to say -- and I speak slowly. I'm sorry. I'm more like my father 12 13 than my mother. 14 But I'm looking at these apartments, and 15 there's so many, what I -- and I'm choosing my 16 words here, because I can say some really nasty 17 things. There are so many apartment buildings 18 and things in the North Gables, in that area, 19 that I have no use for. 20 MS. KAUTZ: Don't meet the criteria? 21 MS. THOMSON: Okay. Maybe, maybe, maybe. 22 But what I want to say about this, why would we 23 designate this whole district of ugly buildings 24 just to save it for the architect? 25 CHAIRMAN TORRE: No.

Page 132 1 MS. SPAIN: Well, that's not --2 MS. KAUTZ: It's not necessarily for the 3 architect. 4 CHAIRMAN TORRE: And that's my point, is 5 that the purpose of a historic designation in 6 some cases is to protect urban areas from --7 from being challenged and changed. And there's 8 a lot of other things. From a social-economic 9 perspective, it's relevant what economic --10 what Historic Preservation does. 11 From a socioeconomic, it means to have smaller units, to have diversity of units, to 12 13 have diversity of people living in places. 14 That's what we do in Preservation. 15 MS. THOMSON: Okay. 16 CHAIRMAN TORRE: That is what I want to learn about this, so that we in context can 17 18 help the Ponce district, and help the downtown 19 area that has the walkability factor, that has, you know, all those great things. 20 21 If we don't have that in our knowledge, I think we -- we would then fail to do the right 22 2.3 job. 24 MS. SPAIN: I would agree with you on 25 that.

Page 133 1 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I thought that's what the Ponce, North Ponce initiative was all about. 2 3 CHAIRMAN TORRE: That's right. 4 MS. SPAIN: That's right. 5 CHAIRMAN TORRE: We are a very important 6 piece of that whole initiative. 7 MS. SPAIN: And we're (Inaudible) an 8 important piece. 9 CHAIRMAN TORRE: And we're at the front, 10 front line of that defense for that -- for that 11 area. 12 MS. SPAIN: I will say, though, I don't 13 like Historic Preservation to be used to 14 prevent something from happening. 15 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Understood. But -- but 16 also --17 MS. SPAIN: But I -- but I think that it's 18 important --19 MS. THOMSON: I agree. 20 MS. SPAIN: -- for you all to be well-versed with that North Ponce area and 21 22 what's -- that overlay district is very 23 important. I -- I just don't know enough about 24 it. 25 MR. RODRIGUEZ: The Commission has adopted

	rage 101
1	a policy on this, has it not?
2	MS. SPAIN: Yes, I believe so.
3	MS. THOMSON: Didn't didn't Michael
4	Tobin have something to do with the North Ponce
5	designation, or the district up there at he
6	was my dad's old law partner. I think he had
7	something to do with that.
8	MS. SPAIN: We can bring that back.
9	CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think it's important
10	MS. SPAIN: Absolutely.
11	CHAIRMAN TORRE: that we really work
12	together on on that part, so that we're
13	strengthened here.
14	MS. KAUTZ: It's just a very in our
15	opinion, it's a very intact streetscape of
16	post-war housing.
17	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Does it have to move
18	forward quickly, or do you guys feel like
19	you have to give a response, right, to
20	somebody?
21	MS. SPAIN: Yeah. I mean, it's not fair
22	to the applicant to just leave them hanging out
23	there. So we would like to turn it around
24	fairly quickly and bring it back to you.
25	MS. KAUTZ: June.

	Page 135
1	SPEAKER: Or July.
2 .	MR. RODRIGUEZ: But the applicant is only
3	for three of them.
4	MS. SPAIN: Yes.
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: And you've shown us about
6	eight or nine now.
7	MS. SPAIN: Yes, but we would bring it
8	back as a group of eight or nine, at least on
9	these, because
10	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Could we have that
11	briefing on the on the adopted policy before
12	then?
13	MS. SPAIN: Yes. I think that's fine.
14	MS. THOMSON: Yeah.
15	MS. SPAIN: I just say July because
16	MR. EHRENHAFT: So are you saying July
17	because there's a time period before?
18	MS. SPAIN: No. No. I'm saying I'm
19	saying we probably won't be able to make it for
20	May, and I'm not going to be at the meeting in
21	June.
22	MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, okay. Okay.
23	MS. SPAIN: So I just would like to be
24	MR. EHRENHAFT: Understood. Okay. Yeah.
25	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Do you then just review

Page 136 1 these eight properties? You will not go further than --2 3 MS. SPAIN: Well, let's see. Well, we can 4 see. 5 CHAIRMAN TORRE: You may go a little 6 further in the discussion. 7 MS. KAUTZ: I mean, just to get a broader 8 context, it might be interesting to go see 9 what's -- what's still around there. 10 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Can you include that part 11 of the discussion which I just described as 12 maybe a precursor to your presentation? 13 MS. SPAIN: Um-hmm. So we would like 14 direction. 15 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Make sure you don't do it 16 on a day we have six items. 17 MR. EHRENHAFT: Is -- one last question: 18 Is the individual who -- who made the 19 application pointing only to --20 MS. SPAIN: I believe there is someone 21 here that would like to speak to that. 22 MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. 23 MS. BARON: Good afternoon. I'm Lina Baron. I was here when the Board voted and 24 25 discussed many times, for many, many meetings

Page 137

that they have for North Ponce. They want it to, you know, become a lot better than it is now.

All this area, all North Ponce have four-plexes. Many are bigger apartment buildings. Many are alike, are just four -- four apartments, with no parking spaces. So it's a mess over there finding a place to park.

The new initiative of the City to take a look at this area is because since there was no motivation to be -- to develop better things, that those apartments that were not being sold and they were like -- for instance, we have a couple of buildings there, and apartments with no parking, with no AC. And we're having many problems.

So we have to rent them very cheap, because of those things. People really want to have AC, a place to park, and not arrive and not find a place. And the people who are living currently there maybe are not the best for what Coral Gables wants.

That's what I have heard in the several meetings that the Board had with -- for instance, in one of our properties, the police

came and they said, "Oh, did you know that someone" -- we had had like three calls, because the person was on drugs and almost died. And we didn't know.

But that's what you get when you have a place that is with no parking, with no AC.

That's the type of people who will live there.

So that's why we want to do something. We have these properties. And if you see our -it's recent, built in 1956, which if you see,
is very recent, there is no reason for historic protection.

The neighbor, our neighbor 19 Sidonia, they got no letter, no historical -- the letter that is not historical. So -- and that is right next to us and that is same design. All of there have almost same architects.

So you cannot froze something in the time just because a specific architect design it.

You know, I understand that you protect some beautiful buildings. But if you see, for instance, 35 Sidonia, you wouldn't see it.

It's a house in the front that has nothing in particular. It's a two -- and in the back -- they built in the back four apartments, small

- tiny apartments, like -- like efficiencies.
- Who lives there? You know? And that is a
- 3 problem that the City is having. And they
- 4 want, what I've heard, is to make Mediterranean
- 5 new buildings for the people that live in Coral
- 6 Gables. Nice Mediterranean projects.
- 7 So we see that we -- you should not, you
- 8 know, go against what the City and what all the
- 9 people in Coral Gables voted for and are
- 10 towards -- find places that they can live here.
- But nice places. No old efficiencies with no
- 12 AC and no parking spaces.
- Okay. Thank you.
- 14 CHAIRMAN TORRE: We understand. Thank you
- for coming up.
- MS. THOMSON: Thank you.
- MS. KAUTZ: So 35 Sidonia is the one
- 18 that -- that would sort of be an anomaly in
- 19 all of this. It doesn't -- it's built 10 years
- later. It's not within the same period. It's
- 21 different architects, different style, single
- 22 building.
- I personally, if we're going to look at
- this at a district of post-war housing, would
- be comfortable not including that one.

Page 140 1 MR. FULLERTON: Is there a way to address 2 the parking issue there? I mean, it doesn't 3 necessarily meet zoning codes as they exist today. 4 5 MS. SPAIN: I would think there would be a 6 way to put in parking. 7 MR. FULLERTON: Those are 50-foot lots, I think, most of them. 8 9 MS. SPAIN: I don't --10 MR. FULLERTON: It seems like you could 11 put four or five parking spaces perpendicular 12 to the street inside the property. 13 MS. KAUTZ: Well, if you look at the one 14 on Douglas, they did that. MR. FULLERTON: Yeah. 15 16 CHAIRMAN TORRE: There's a whole series of protections against curb cuts, I'm sure, in 17 18 the --19 MS. BARON: Yeah. (Inaudible). There's 20 There's no way -no way. 21 CHAIRMAN TORRE: This is very complex. 22 just want you to know. 23 MR. FULLERTON: I'm sure it is. I just 24 think they've got to do something about that, 25 though. You can't park in --

1	MS. SPAIN: Sometimes in those areas,
2	there are intrusions into the areas on the
3	street where they park, because businesses,
4	people park there, there's a school nearby, or
5	something like that.
6	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah.
7	SPEAKER: That's why if they
8	MS. SPAIN: So they're able to do
9	residential permit areas, so that at least the
10	street parking is left over. So there are ways
11	that the City has to help people with the
12	parking issues, including allowing them to
13	park I know we've done that on historic
14	properties.
15	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Including a plan for a
16	garage that feeds the area. There's a whole
17	series of discussions.
18	MS. SPAIN: You and I have had that
19	conversation.
20	CHAIRMAN TORRE: We keep we keep going
21	for a long time. But, yes, there are lots of
22	plans and discussions on this area.
23	MS. SPAIN: Anyway, the one that Kara was
24	talking about, we're we're comfortable not
25	having that part of this district, so that we

Page 142 1 could issue a letter saying that that's not 2 significant, and continue on. 3 CHAIRMAN TORRE: I would -- I would maybe suggest that there's a study going on by a 4 5 particular person, that maybe a further study 6 would be done to this area. MS. BARON: Yeah, because it's all North 7 Ponce. And now Mr. -- (Inaudible). 8 9 MS. SPAIN: Do you want to -- do you want 10 to step up, so --11 MS. BARON: Yeah. 12 MS. SPAIN: That's okay. SPEAKER: I don't think we have a name and 13 14 address, for the record. 15 There were several, like MS. BARON: during the past two years, there have been a 16 17 long study by Mr. -- what is the --18 SPEAKER: Ramon. 19 MS. SPAIN: Ramon Trias. 20 MS. BARON: Ramon Trias, done by -- by his 21 group and met with all the people living there. 22 And they walked all the streets and did two 23 years of studies to get -- reach to a point. 24 So that needs to be considered here, 25 because --

Page 143 1 MS. SPAIN: Absolutely. 2 MS. BARON: -- if you are going to look at 3 street, you cannot look at street; you need to 4 look at the whole North Ponce area, which is --5 MS. SPAIN: I think that's what they're 6 saying. 7 MS. BARON: -- which is exactly like that. 8 MS. SPAIN: Just so you know, a big part 9 of that study was Historic Preservation and 10 saving those that are historically significant. 11 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. I think we're 12 going to come back to this discussion, I'm 13 sure, soon. So make sure that we have enough 14 time when you guys come back. Don't pack us 15 with five or six items and that long discussion 16 that could get a little bit long-winded. 17 MS. SPAIN: It all depends on, you know --18 CHAIRMAN TORRE: I know. But --19 MS. SPAIN: I'm not going to tell someone 20 that they can't get on the agenda --21 CHAIRMAN TORRE: I understand. 22 MS. SPAIN: -- because we need to have a 23 discussion. 24 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Just be conscious that that meeting could go -- that meeting could go 25

Page 144 1 a little long. 2 MS. SPAIN: Could you make a motion to 3 have us at least bring it back? This is not to 4 designate anything. This is not -- this is 5 just to do some further study. 6 CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think we should, 7 definitely. I think we should definitely get 8 into the study. And I think this is a very 9 concerning -- concerning point, and I'm glad 10 that it's being brought up, because I think it 11 needs to be discussed. 12 So if somebody here could make a motion 13 for this to go to a designation report -that's what they're asking, if somebody would 14 like to have them do that. 15 16 MR. FULLERTON: So moved. MS. SPAIN: And that does not -- that does 17 18 not mean that at the end of the day you-all 19 would designate them. 20 CHAIRMAN TORRE: No, that's correct. MS. SPAIN: Because we've had instances --21

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I just want to make sure

23 that we --

MS. SPAIN: -- where you've told us to do

the research, and we -- you -- we brought it

	Page 145
1	back and you said, "You know what?"
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: If we're going to have a
3	motion and we're going to vote, I want to make
4	sure that the motion includes that we will be
5	briefed properly on on the adopted policy of
6	the City of Coral Gables on these issues
7	dealing with North North Gables.
8	MS. SPAIN: I agree with you.
9	MR. FULLERTON: Accepted.
10	MR. EHRENHAFT: And could it also include
11	detailed input about what the state of plans
12	are about parking, residential permits and
13	MS. SPAIN: Sure.
14	MR. EHRENHAFT: alternatives for
15	perhaps some parking that's, you know
16	MS. SPAIN: Um-hmm.
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do you accept
18	MR. EHRENHAFT: You know, like a a lot,
19	or something that's within the neighborhood
20	that could be
21	CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think part of what
22	they're going to come back with is a discussion
23	how parking was addressed in that in that
24	study.
25	MS. SPAIN: In the study.

	Page 146
1	MR. EHRENHAFT: I accept that.
2	MS. SPAIN: I can also have the parking
3	director here.
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I second the motion as
5	modified.
6	MR. FULLERTON: I accept that as modified,
7	yes.
8	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is there a second?
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I did.
10	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Oh, I'm so sorry.
11	So there is a second. All those in favor,
12	please say "aye."
13	All those against?
14	(No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Motion passes.
16	MS. SPAIN: Perfect. Thank you very much.
17	One more thing.
18	Next month's Historic Preservation meeting
19	is on May 17th. That is also the same week
20	when the Florida Trust is meeting.
21	So I don't know whether anyone is planning
22	on going to the Florida Trust, but I was
23	wondering whether it would be possible to
24	postpone our meeting for a week, so to have it
25	on Thursday, May the 24th.

Page 147 1 I can actually call you about that. 2 think about it, and then we can call tomorrow, 3 and you can check your calendars and see 4 whether you're available. 5 MR. FULLERTON: Where is the Trust 6 meeting? MS. KAUTZ: Jacksonville. 8 MS. THOMSON: It's where? MS. SPAIN: Jacksonville. 9 SPEAKER: Jacksonville, yeah. 10 11 MR. FULLERTON: Is the City sending 12 contingents up there at their expense? 13 MS. SPAIN: Well, typically, Kara and 14 ElizaBeth go. I used to go. I don't go 15 anymore. But I know that -- I believe 16 ElizaBeth wanted to go to it. And that's --17 MS. KAUTZ: I have a house to buy, so I won't --18 MS. SPAIN: She's in the process of 19 20 purchasing --21 MS. KAUTZ: I won't be there. 22 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is it historic? 23 MS. KAUTZ: I have a closing date. 24 It's going to be, come July. 25 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Do you have to come

	Page 148
1	before this board?
2	MS. KAUTZ: June or July. Yeah, I do.
3	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Who's going to present
4	for you?
5	MS. KAUTZ: Be kind.
6	MR. FULLERTON: It's a great house.
7	MS. SPAIN: Anyhow, I'll call you-all on
8	that. Just to let you know you'll receive a
9	call to see whether you're available.
10	I think that's it.
11	MR. EHRENHAFT: I'll just put on the
12	record, now I am I was going to go to the
13	Florida Trust meeting, but I changed my mind
14	because of the conflict.
15	MS. SPAIN: Sorry.
16	MR. EHRENHAFT: And now I'm not going to
17	go. So
18	CHAIRMAN TORRE: I'm here both days.
19	MR. EHRENHAFT: I'm available either day.
20	MS. SPAIN: That's all. I think that's
21	all we have.
22	MR. FULLERTON: I just need to be
23	reminded.
24	MS. SPAIN: I know. We know. We know to
25	remind you. You're special.

Page 149 1 MS. THOMSON: So moved. 2 MR. FULLERTON: I apologize to the Board. 3 MS. KAUTZ: When you show up on the 17th 4 and no one's here. 5 CHAIRMAN TORRE: She made a motion to 6 remind you. I hope you all went to the MS. SPAIN: 8 streetscape celebration, the ribbon-cutting 9 ceremony. 10 MR. FULLERTON: Forgot that, too. 11 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Come on. 12 That was actually quite nice. MS. SPAIN: 13 MS. THOMSON: I was out of town. 14 MS. SPAIN: It was very nice. 15 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Motion to adjourn, 16 please? 17 MR. EHRENHAFT: Move. CHAIRMAN TORRE: So moved. All those in 18 19 favor -- second? 20 MR. FULLERTON: Second. 21 CHAIRMAN TORRE: All those in favor, say "aye." 22 23 (Board members respond "Aye.") 24 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Adjourned. 25 That was a long meeting. SPEAKER:

```
Page 150
                 (Thereupon, the Historic Preservation
 1
 2
           Board proceedings were adjourned at 6:32 p.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 151 1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 STATE OF FLORIDA) COUNTY OF DADE 5 6 I, MARY J. WICK, RPR, certify that I did 7 stenographically report the foregoing 8 proceedings and that the transcript is a true 9 and complete record, to the best of my ability, 10 of my stenographic notes. 11 12 Dated this 11th day of May, 2018. 13 14 15 16 Mary q Wick 17 18 MARY J. WICK, RPR 19 20 21 22 23 24 25