Exhibit F

1 2 3	Page 37		Page 39
2	Craig, if you could read the next item, please.	1	further on, you get to South Miami Downtown, west of Red
	MR. COLLER: Items 6 through 8 are related. So	2	
5	what I'm going to do is read the titles all in for each	3	Road.
4	of the items. We can have one public hearing for the	4	Toward the east, it's mostly a single family neighborhood. So that's the context that we're talking
5	three items, but then have a separate vote on each of	5	about. And the project that is proposed is a mixed use
6	the items.	6	project, and the three requests that are being made are
7	Item Number 6, an Ordinance of the City Commission	7	very similar to a project that you had a chance to
8	of Coral Gables, Florida requesting an amendment to the	8	review recently, which was at 33 Alhambra. If you
9	Future Land Use Map of the City of Coral Gables	9	remember that project, it was the same type of three
10	Comprehensive Plan pursuant to Zoning Code Article 3,	10	requests.
11	Development Review, Division 15, Comprehensive Plan Text	11	The project itself the Site Plan includes retail
12	and Map Amendments, and the Small Scale amendment	12	on the ground level, some improvements on the sidewalks,
13	procedures from Residential Multi-Family Medium Density	13	and landscape, et cetera. A paseo to the middle of the
14	to Commercial Mid-Rise Intensity for the property	14	project. And then the access to the parking is on the
15	legally described as Lots 17 thru 24, Block 203, Coral	15	opposite side of the parking garage on the building next
16	Gables Riviera Section 14, Coral Gables, Florida, and	16	to it. So basically they have attempted to look at the
17	providing for severability, repealer and an effective	17	overall area, and tried to customize the choices, in
18	date.	18	terms of design, in a way that will work well with the
19	Item Number 7, an Ordinance of the City Commission	19	neighbors.
20	of Coral Gables, Florida requesting a change of zoning	20	The balance of the block, if we go to the west of
21	pursuant to Zoning Code Article 3 Development Review,	21	the block, there's a Whole Foods at the ground level of
22	Division 14, Zoning Code Text and Map Amendments, from	22	an office building that is about ninety-seven feet or so
23	Multi-Family 2 District to Commercial District for the	23	in height, and then there's a parking garage. So this
24	property legally described as Lots 17 thru 24, Block	24	is basically the rest of the block, except for one small
25	203, Coral Gables Riviera Section 14, Coral Gables,	25	parcel one small parcel that would be right on the
	Page 38		Page 40
1		1	-
2	Florida, and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date.	1 2	west side of this project, in between the two projects.
3	Item Number 8, a resolution of the City Commission	3	The owner of that parcel is here and will express some opinions later on.
4	of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use site plan	4	The overall design fits within the requirements of
Т	review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, Zoning		
5	Teview pursuant to Zonnig Code Anticle 4, Zonnig		
5		5	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the
6	Districts, Division 2, Overlay and Special Purpose	6	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the Board of Architects, and I will commend the architect in
6 7	Districts, Division 2, Overlay and Special Purpose Districts, Section 4-201, Mixed Use District, for the	6 7	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the Board of Architects, and I will commend the architect in the effort, because, for the past year, they have
6 7 8	Districts, Division 2, Overlay and Special Purpose Districts, Section 4-201, Mixed Use District, for the mixed use project referred to as Venera on the property	6 7 8	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the Board of Architects, and I will commend the architect in the effort, because, for the past year, they have redesigned the project several times and I'm sure
6 7 8 9	Districts, Division 2, Overlay and Special Purpose Districts, Section 4-201, Mixed Use District, for the mixed use project referred to as Venera on the property legally described Lots 11 thru 24, Block 203, Coral	6 7 8 9	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the Board of Architects, and I will commend the architect in the effort, because, for the past year, they have redesigned the project several times and I'm sure they'll explain some of that as a result of comments
6 7 8 9 10	Districts, Division 2, Overlay and Special Purpose Districts, Section 4-201, Mixed Use District, for the mixed use project referred to as Venera on the property legally described Lots 11 thru 24, Block 203, Coral Gables Riviera Section 14, Coral Gables, Florida;	6 7 8 9 10	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the Board of Architects, and I will commend the architect in the effort, because, for the past year, they have redesigned the project several times and I'm sure they'll explain some of that as a result of comments from the Board of Architects. So from a design point of
6 7 8 9 10 11	Districts, Division 2, Overlay and Special Purpose Districts, Section 4-201, Mixed Use District, for the mixed use project referred to as Venera on the property legally described Lots 11 thru 24, Block 203, Coral Gables Riviera Section 14, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for an	6 7 8 9 10 11	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the Board of Architects, and I will commend the architect in the effort, because, for the past year, they have redesigned the project several times and I'm sure they'll explain some of that as a result of comments from the Board of Architects. So from a design point of view, I believe that the process has really helped fine
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Districts, Division 2, Overlay and Special Purpose Districts, Section 4-201, Mixed Use District, for the mixed use project referred to as Venera on the property legally described Lots 11 thru 24, Block 203, Coral Gables Riviera Section 14, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for an effective date.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the Board of Architects, and I will commend the architect in the effort, because, for the past year, they have redesigned the project several times and I'm sure they'll explain some of that as a result of comments from the Board of Architects. So from a design point of view, I believe that the process has really helped fine tune some of the issues with the project.
6 7 8 9 10 11	Districts, Division 2, Overlay and Special Purpose Districts, Section 4-201, Mixed Use District, for the mixed use project referred to as Venera on the property legally described Lots 11 thru 24, Block 203, Coral Gables Riviera Section 14, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for an effective date. Items 5, 6 Im sorry, Items 6, 7, 8, public	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the Board of Architects, and I will commend the architect in the effort, because, for the past year, they have redesigned the project several times and I'm sure they'll explain some of that as a result of comments from the Board of Architects. So from a design point of view, I believe that the process has really helped fine tune some of the issues with the project. Now, the big picture explanation of the request is
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Districts, Division 2, Overlay and Special Purpose Districts, Section 4-201, Mixed Use District, for the mixed use project referred to as Venera on the property legally described Lots 11 thru 24, Block 203, Coral Gables Riviera Section 14, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for an effective date.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the Board of Architects, and I will commend the architect in the effort, because, for the past year, they have redesigned the project several times and I'm sure they'll explain some of that as a result of comments from the Board of Architects. So from a design point of view, I believe that the process has really helped fine tune some of the issues with the project. Now, the big picture explanation of the request is that it allows for some additional density than is
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Districts, Division 2, Overlay and Special Purpose Districts, Section 4-201, Mixed Use District, for the mixed use project referred to as Venera on the property legally described Lots 11 thru 24, Block 203, Coral Gables Riviera Section 14, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for an effective date. Items 5, 6 I'm sorry, Items 6, 7, 8, public hearing. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the Board of Architects, and I will commend the architect in the effort, because, for the past year, they have redesigned the project several times and I'm sure they'll explain some of that as a result of comments from the Board of Architects. So from a design point of view, I believe that the process has really helped fine tune some of the issues with the project. Now, the big picture explanation of the request is that it allows for some additional density than is allowed if the request were not approved. What do I
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Districts, Division 2, Overlay and Special Purpose Districts, Section 4-201, Mixed Use District, for the mixed use project referred to as Venera on the property legally described Lots 11 thru 24, Block 203, Coral Gables Riviera Section 14, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for an effective date. Items 5, 6 I'm sorry, Items 6, 7, 8, public hearing.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the Board of Architects, and I will commend the architect in the effort, because, for the past year, they have redesigned the project several times and I'm sure they'll explain some of that as a result of comments from the Board of Architects. So from a design point of view, I believe that the process has really helped fine tune some of the issues with the project. Now, the big picture explanation of the request is that it allows for some additional density than is
6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Districts, Division 2, Overlay and Special Purpose Districts, Section 4-201, Mixed Use District, for the mixed use project referred to as Venera on the property legally described Lots 11 thru 24, Block 203, Coral Gables Riviera Section 14, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for an effective date. Items 5, 6 I'm sorry, Items 6, 7, 8, public hearing. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TRIAS: May I have the Powerpoint, please?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the Board of Architects, and I will commend the architect in the effort, because, for the past year, they have redesigned the project several times and I'm sure they'll explain some of that as a result of comments from the Board of Architects. So from a design point of view, I believe that the process has really helped fine tune some of the issues with the project. Now, the big picture explanation of the request is that it allows for some additional density than is allowed if the request were not approved. What do I mean by that? Currently there are some apartments,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Districts, Division 2, Overlay and Special Purpose Districts, Section 4-201, Mixed Use District, for the mixed use project referred to as Venera on the property legally described Lots 11 thru 24, Block 203, Coral Gables Riviera Section 14, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for an effective date. Items 5, 6 I'm sorry, Items 6, 7, 8, public hearing. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TRIAS: May I have the Powerpoint, please? Thank you. The request that we have three	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the Board of Architects, and I will commend the architect in the effort, because, for the past year, they have redesigned the project several times and I'm sure they'll explain some of that as a result of comments from the Board of Architects. So from a design point of view, I believe that the process has really helped fine tune some of the issues with the project. Now, the big picture explanation of the request is that it allows for some additional density than is allowed if the request were not approved. What do I mean by that? Currently there are some apartments, there's some residential densities, and so on. Once a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Districts, Division 2, Overlay and Special Purpose Districts, Section 4-201, Mixed Use District, for the mixed use project referred to as Venera on the property legally described Lots 11 thru 24, Block 203, Coral Gables Riviera Section 14, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for an effective date. Items 5, 6 I'm sorry, Items 6, 7, 8, public hearing. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TRIAS: May I have the Powerpoint, please? Thank you. The request that we have three requests today. We have the Comprehensive Plan	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the Board of Architects, and I will commend the architect in the effort, because, for the past year, they have redesigned the project several times and I'm sure they'll explain some of that as a result of comments from the Board of Architects. So from a design point of view, I believe that the process has really helped fine tune some of the issues with the project. Now, the big picture explanation of the request is that it allows for some additional density than is allowed if the request were not approved. What do I mean by that? Currently there are some apartments, there's some residential densities, and so on. Once a project is approved as mixed use, densities can go up to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Districts, Division 2, Overlay and Special Purpose Districts, Section 4-201, Mixed Use District, for the mixed use project referred to as Venera on the property legally described Lots 11 thru 24, Block 203, Coral Gables Riviera Section 14, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for an effective date. Items 5, 6 I'm sorry, Items 6, 7, 8, public hearing. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TRIAS: May I have the Powerpoint, please? Thank you. The request that we have three requests today. We have the Comprehensive Plan Amendment, Zoning Amendment, and a Mixed Use Site Plan.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the Board of Architects, and I will commend the architect in the effort, because, for the past year, they have redesigned the project several times and I'm sure they'll explain some of that as a result of comments from the Board of Architects. So from a design point of view, I believe that the process has really helped fine tune some of the issues with the project. Now, the big picture explanation of the request is that it allows for some additional density than is allowed if the request were not approved. What do I mean by that? Currently there are some apartments, there's some residential densities, and so on. Once a project is approved as mixed use, densities can go up to 125 units per acre. That is the ultimate consequence of
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Districts, Division 2, Overlay and Special Purpose Districts, Section 4-201, Mixed Use District, for the mixed use project referred to as Venera on the property legally described Lots 11 thru 24, Block 203, Coral Gables Riviera Section 14, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for an effective date. Items 5, 6 I'm sorry, Items 6, 7, 8, public hearing. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TRIAS: May I have the Powerpoint, please? Thank you. The request that we have three requests today. We have the Comprehensive Plan Amendment, Zoning Amendment, and a Mixed Use Site Plan. Okay. The project, as you can see, is along	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the Board of Architects, and I will commend the architect in the effort, because, for the past year, they have redesigned the project several times and I'm sure they'll explain some of that as a result of comments from the Board of Architects. So from a design point of view, I believe that the process has really helped fine tune some of the issues with the project. Now, the big picture explanation of the request is that it allows for some additional density than is allowed if the request were not approved. What do I mean by that? Currently there are some apartments, there's some residential densities, and so on. Once a project is approved as mixed use, densities can go up to 125 units per acre. That is the ultimate consequence of this.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Districts, Division 2, Overlay and Special Purpose Districts, Section 4-201, Mixed Use District, for the mixed use project referred to as Venera on the property legally described Lots 11 thru 24, Block 203, Coral Gables Riviera Section 14, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for an effective date. Items 5, 6 I'm sorry, Items 6, 7, 8, public hearing. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TRIAS: May I have the Powerpoint, please? Thank you. The request that we have three requests today. We have the Comprehensive Plan Amendment, Zoning Amendment, and a Mixed Use Site Plan. Okay. The project, as you can see, is along Venera Avenue and San Remo, right next to the park Kerdyk Park, which is at the heart of the Riviera Neighborhood Association Riviera neighborhood.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the Board of Architects, and I will commend the architect in the effort, because, for the past year, they have redesigned the project several times and I'm sure they'll explain some of that as a result of comments from the Board of Architects. So from a design point of view, I believe that the process has really helped fine tune some of the issues with the project. Now, the big picture explanation of the request is that it allows for some additional density than is allowed if the request were not approved. What do I mean by that? Currently there are some apartments, there's some residential densities, and so on. Once a project is approved as mixed use, densities can go up to 125 units per acre. That is the ultimate consequence of this. In addition, the FAR also is a little bit larger
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Districts, Division 2, Overlay and Special Purpose Districts, Section 4-201, Mixed Use District, for the mixed use project referred to as Venera on the property legally described Lots 11 thru 24, Block 203, Coral Gables Riviera Section 14, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for an effective date. Items 5, 6 I'm sorry, Items 6, 7, 8, public hearing. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TRIAS: May I have the Powerpoint, please? Thank you. The request that we have three requests today. We have the Comprehensive Plan Amendment, Zoning Amendment, and a Mixed Use Site Plan. Okay. The project, as you can see, is along Venera Avenue and San Remo, right next to the park Kerdyk Park, which is at the heart of the Riviera	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the mixed use projects, and has been reviewed by the Board of Architects, and I will commend the architect in the effort, because, for the past year, they have redesigned the project several times and I'm sure they'll explain some of that as a result of comments from the Board of Architects. So from a design point of view, I believe that the process has really helped fine tune some of the issues with the project. Now, the big picture explanation of the request is that it allows for some additional density than is allowed if the request were not approved. What do I mean by that? Currently there are some apartments, there's some residential densities, and so on. Once a project is approved as mixed use, densities can go up to 125 units per acre. That is the ultimate consequence of this. In addition, the FAR also is a little bit larger once those requests are approved, if they are to be

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

Page	43
rage	10

	Page 41		Page 43
1	the mixed use site plan approval the mixed use site	1	the buffer or the transition toward the yellow, which is
2	plan approval that would allow that additional density	2	the single-family residential, the neighborhood. So
3	and that additional FAR, two things need to happen; a	3	Staff has also reviewed this, and recommends approval,
4	Comprehensive Plan Change and Zoning Plan Change. So	4	because the amendment complies with the findings of fact
5	that is why we have those three requests.	5	that are explained in the Staff report. And the
6	The Review Timeline. The Development Review	6	standards of review have been satisfied.
7	Committee reviewed the project in September of 2016, and	7	Now, the last request is the actual Site Plan
8	then the Board of Architects several times, but I think	8	request, and that one is a request that deals with the
9	the last time was in May of 2017. Then we had a Staff	9	design issues with the aspects of the project, and it
10	meeting in July of 2017 to repeal the Plan and Zoning	10	may include also some suggestions about units, and how
11	issues. The Applicant had a neighborhood meeting in	11	many should be there, and so on. So the purpose of the
12	August, and we're here today with the Planning and	12	Site Plan process, which is a discretionary process, is
13	Zoning Board.	13	to achieve very high quality design, and try to create
14	Letters were sent to the property owners within a	14	very good pedestrian areas, and so on. And I think that
15	radius of 1,500 feet, which is required. It's a fairly	15	after significant effort, the project has achieved many
16	- · ·	16	
17	new requirement that we have for land use changes.	1	of those goals, and you will see that they have the
	Other changes are a 1,000 feet. This is 1,500 feet.	17	Paseo; that the ground floor is fully retail, and that
18	And as you can see, it includes all of the commercial	18	the residential units wrap around the parking garage,
19	area within the City of Coral Gables, and a substantial	19	for example. And then all around the front edge of the
20	amount of the residential area across the park.	20	park is residential. In previous versions, that was not
21	The public notification included three letters to	21	the case. That's why I mention those things, because
22	property owners; four times we posted the property; four	22	those have been improvements of the design.
23	times we posted the property on the website, and there	23	And you can see how the ground level, the yellow,
24	were two times that the request was advertised in the	24	is the retail the potential retail areas, and then, as
25	newspaper.	25	you can see, the sidewalks have been widened and
	Page 42		Page 44
			Lage 11
1		1	
1 2	The company has a Plan request, which is request	1	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles.
2	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look	2	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area,
2 3	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map,	2 3	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in
2 3 4	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one	2 3 4	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the
2 3 4 5	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change	2 3 4 5	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts
2 3 4 5 6	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and	2 3 4 5 6	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan
2 3 4 5 6 7	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and the brown is the mid density residential, which is	2 3 4 5 6 7	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan entails.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and the brown is the mid density residential, which is existing. So the request is to have a parcel that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan entails. And Staff recommends approval with conditions, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and the brown is the mid density residential, which is existing. So the request is to have a parcel that is fully, fully mid-rise commercial.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan entails. And Staff recommends approval with conditions, and usually Site Plans have a lot of conditions, many
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and the brown is the mid density residential, which is existing. So the request is to have a parcel that is fully, fully mid-rise commercial. Now, why is that? Well, because once you have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan entails. And Staff recommends approval with conditions, and usually Site Plans have a lot of conditions, many typical conditions and some specific ones. And the Site
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and the brown is the mid density residential, which is existing. So the request is to have a parcel that is fully, fully mid-rise commercial. Now, why is that? Well, because once you have a parcel that is commercial in the land use, then it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan entails. And Staff recommends approval with conditions, and usually Site Plans have a lot of conditions, many typical conditions and some specific ones. And the Site Plan is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and the brown is the mid density residential, which is existing. So the request is to have a parcel that is fully, fully mid-rise commercial. Now, why is that? Well, because once you have a parcel that is commercial in the land use, then it's possible to request a commercial zone. So that is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan entails. And Staff recommends approval with conditions, and usually Site Plans have a lot of conditions, many typical conditions and some specific ones. And the Site Plan is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and some of the conditions are fairly typical, in terms of what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and the brown is the mid density residential, which is existing. So the request is to have a parcel that is fully, fully mid-rise commercial. Now, why is that? Well, because once you have a parcel that is commercial in the land use, then it's possible to request a commercial zone. So that is the reason for that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan entails. And Staff recommends approval with conditions, and usually Site Plans have a lot of conditions, many typical conditions and some specific ones. And the Site Plan is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and some of the conditions are fairly typical, in terms of what needs to be done before the First Reading, for example,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and the brown is the mid density residential, which is existing. So the request is to have a parcel that is fully, fully mid-rise commercial. Now, why is that? Well, because once you have a parcel that is commercial in the land use, then it's possible to request a commercial zone. So that is the reason for that. Now, Staff has reviewed this and recommends	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan entails. And Staff recommends approval with conditions, and usually Site Plans have a lot of conditions, many typical conditions and some specific ones. And the Site Plan is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and some of the conditions are fairly typical, in terms of what needs to be done before the First Reading, for example, that the streetscape design has to be finalized; that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and the brown is the mid density residential, which is existing. So the request is to have a parcel that is fully, fully mid-rise commercial. Now, why is that? Well, because once you have a parcel that is commercial in the land use, then it's possible to request a commercial zone. So that is the reason for that. Now, Staff has reviewed this and recommends approval for the Comprehensive Plan change. And in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan entails. And Staff recommends approval with conditions, and usually Site Plans have a lot of conditions, many typical conditions and some specific ones. And the Site Plan is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and some of the conditions are fairly typical, in terms of what needs to be done before the First Reading, for example, that the streetscape design has to be finalized; that the design of the buffer, and so on, the landscape,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and the brown is the mid density residential, which is existing. So the request is to have a parcel that is fully, fully mid-rise commercial. Now, why is that? Well, because once you have a parcel that is commercial in the land use, then it's possible to request a commercial zone. So that is the reason for that. Now, Staff has reviewed this and recommends approval for the Comprehensive Plan change. And in the Staff report, we explain how it complies. We have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan entails. And Staff recommends approval with conditions, and usually Site Plans have a lot of conditions, many typical conditions and some specific ones. And the Site Plan is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and some of the conditions are fairly typical, in terms of what needs to be done before the First Reading, for example, that the streetscape design has to be finalized; that the design of the buffer, and so on, the landscape, which are issues that have been discussed with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and the brown is the mid density residential, which is existing. So the request is to have a parcel that is fully, fully mid-rise commercial. Now, why is that? Well, because once you have a parcel that is commercial in the land use, then it's possible to request a commercial zone. So that is the reason for that. Now, Staff has reviewed this and recommends approval for the Comprehensive Plan change. And in the Staff report, we explain how it complies. We have the findings of fact that explain how this request complies	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan entails. And Staff recommends approval with conditions, and usually Site Plans have a lot of conditions, many typical conditions and some specific ones. And the Site Plan is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and some of the conditions are fairly typical, in terms of what needs to be done before the First Reading, for example, that the streetscape design has to be finalized; that the design of the buffer, and so on, the landscape, which are issues that have been discussed with the neighbors and with the Applicant in great detail.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and the brown is the mid density residential, which is existing. So the request is to have a parcel that is fully, fully mid-rise commercial. Now, why is that? Well, because once you have a parcel that is commercial in the land use, then it's possible to request a commercial zone. So that is the reason for that. Now, Staff has reviewed this and recommends approval for the Comprehensive Plan change. And in the Staff report, we explain how it complies. We have the findings of fact that explain how this request complies with the Comprehensive Plan, and that the standards of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan entails. And Staff recommends approval with conditions, and usually Site Plans have a lot of conditions, many typical conditions and some specific ones. And the Site Plan is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and some of the conditions are fairly typical, in terms of what needs to be done before the First Reading, for example, that the streetscape design has to be finalized; that the design of the buffer, and so on, the landscape, which are issues that have been discussed with the neighbors and with the Applicant in great detail. In addition, we are also making some of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and the brown is the mid density residential, which is existing. So the request is to have a parcel that is fully, fully mid-rise commercial. Now, why is that? Well, because once you have a parcel that is commercial in the land use, then it's possible to request a commercial zone. So that is the reason for that. Now, Staff has reviewed this and recommends approval for the Comprehensive Plan change. And in the Staff report, we explain how it complies. We have the findings of fact that explain how this request complies with the Comprehensive Plan, and that the standards of review have been satisfied.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan entails. And Staff recommends approval with conditions, and usually Site Plans have a lot of conditions, many typical conditions and some specific ones. And the Site Plan is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and some of the conditions are fairly typical, in terms of what needs to be done before the First Reading, for example, that the streetscape design has to be finalized; that the design of the buffer, and so on, the landscape, which are issues that have been discussed with the neighbors and with the Applicant in great detail. In addition, we are also making some of the typical conditions that when you approve what is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and the brown is the mid density residential, which is existing. So the request is to have a parcel that is fully, fully mid-rise commercial. Now, why is that? Well, because once you have a parcel that is commercial in the land use, then it's possible to request a commercial zone. So that is the reason for that. Now, Staff has reviewed this and recommends approval for the Comprehensive Plan change. And in the Staff report, we explain how it complies. We have the findings of fact that explain how this request complies with the Comprehensive Plan, and that the standards of review have been satisfied. Now, the second request is very similar. If you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan entails. And Staff recommends approval with conditions, and usually Site Plans have a lot of conditions, many typical conditions and some specific ones. And the Site Plan is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and some of the conditions are fairly typical, in terms of what needs to be done before the First Reading, for example, that the streetscape design has to be finalized; that the design of the buffer, and so on, the landscape, which are issues that have been discussed with the neighbors and with the Applicant in great detail. In addition, we are also making some of the typical conditions that when you approve what is actually going to be attached to the land, and we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and the brown is the mid density residential, which is existing. So the request is to have a parcel that is fully, fully mid-rise commercial. Now, why is that? Well, because once you have a parcel that is commercial in the land use, then it's possible to request a commercial zone. So that is the reason for that. Now, Staff has reviewed this and recommends approval for the Comprehensive Plan change. And in the Staff report, we explain how it complies. We have the findings of fact that explain how this request complies with the Comprehensive Plan, and that the standards of review have been satisfied. Now, the second request is very similar. If you look at the map, the upper half of the a little bit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan entails. And Staff recommends approval with conditions, and usually Site Plans have a lot of conditions, many typical conditions and some specific ones. And the Site Plan is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and some of the conditions are fairly typical, in terms of what needs to be done before the First Reading, for example, that the streetscape design has to be finalized; that the design of the buffer, and so on, the landscape, which are issues that have been discussed with the neighbors and with the Applicant in great detail. In addition, we are also making some of the typical conditions that when you approve what is actually going to be attached to the land, and we have some conditions that deal with signage and some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and the brown is the mid density residential, which is existing. So the request is to have a parcel that is fully, fully mid-rise commercial. Now, why is that? Well, because once you have a parcel that is commercial in the land use, then it's possible to request a commercial zone. So that is the reason for that. Now, Staff has reviewed this and recommends approval for the Comprehensive Plan change. And in the Staff report, we explain how it complies. We have the findings of fact that explain how this request complies with the Comprehensive Plan, and that the standards of review have been satisfied. Now, the second request is very similar. If you look at the map, the upper half of the a little bit less than half of the parcel is already commercial.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan entails. And Staff recommends approval with conditions, and usually Site Plans have a lot of conditions, many typical conditions and some specific ones. And the Site Plan is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and some of the conditions are fairly typical, in terms of what needs to be done before the First Reading, for example, that the streetscape design has to be finalized; that the design of the buffer, and so on, the landscape, which are issues that have been discussed with the neighbors and with the Applicant in great detail. In addition, we are also making some of the typical conditions that when you approve what is actually going to be attached to the land, and we have some conditions that deal with signage and some emergency vehicle signal preemption technology, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and the brown is the mid density residential, which is existing. So the request is to have a parcel that is fully, fully mid-rise commercial. Now, why is that? Well, because once you have a parcel that is commercial in the land use, then it's possible to request a commercial zone. So that is the reason for that. Now, Staff has reviewed this and recommends approval for the Comprehensive Plan change. And in the Staff report, we explain how it complies. We have the findings of fact that explain how this request complies with the Comprehensive Plan, and that the standards of review have been satisfied. Now, the second request is very similar. If you look at the map, the upper half of the a little bit less than half of the parcel is already commercial. If	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan entails. And Staff recommends approval with conditions, and usually Site Plans have a lot of conditions, many typical conditions and some specific ones. And the Site Plan is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and some of the conditions are fairly typical, in terms of what needs to be done before the First Reading, for example, that the streetscape design has to be finalized; that the design of the buffer, and so on, the landscape, which are issues that have been discussed with the neighbors and with the Applicant in great detail. In addition, we are also making some of the typical conditions that when you approve what is actually going to be attached to the land, and we have some conditions that deal with signage and some emergency vehicle signal preemption technology, which are fairly typical. You have seen them before, and some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The company has a Plan request, which is request number one. As you can see, the partial if you look at the left image, which is the existing Comp Plan Map, it has two colors, red and brown. The brown is the one that they're making a request. They plan to change everything to red. Red is the mid-rise commercial, and the brown is the mid density residential, which is existing. So the request is to have a parcel that is fully, fully mid-rise commercial. Now, why is that? Well, because once you have a parcel that is commercial in the land use, then it's possible to request a commercial zone. So that is the reason for that. Now, Staff has reviewed this and recommends approval for the Comprehensive Plan change. And in the Staff report, we explain how it complies. We have the findings of fact that explain how this request complies with the Comprehensive Plan, and that the standards of review have been satisfied. Now, the second request is very similar. If you look at the map, the upper half of the a little bit less than half of the parcel is already commercial.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	landscaped in ways consistent with urban principles. And the loading area is labeled, and the vehicular area, the entrance to the parking garage is also labeled in there. So you can see how they have tried to split the functions to be able to create some reasonable impacts in the neighborhood. So that's what the Site Plan entails. And Staff recommends approval with conditions, and usually Site Plans have a lot of conditions, many typical conditions and some specific ones. And the Site Plan is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and some of the conditions are fairly typical, in terms of what needs to be done before the First Reading, for example, that the streetscape design has to be finalized; that the design of the buffer, and so on, the landscape, which are issues that have been discussed with the neighbors and with the Applicant in great detail. In addition, we are also making some of the typical conditions that when you approve what is actually going to be attached to the land, and we have some conditions that deal with signage and some emergency vehicle signal preemption technology, which

	Page 45		Page 47
1	later on as building permits. So it's important that	1	today. The top is 1500 Venera. The bottom is 1515 San
2	they have to be in the conditions.	2	Remo. Both of these buildings are over 50 years old,
3	And let me see if there's anything that is out of	3	and have outlived their usefulness. They have
4	the ordinary. I think these are all fairly typical; the	4	relatively small and dated units with no amenities of
5	underground utilities, all of the traffic improvements	5	any significance. They are not up to Coral Gables
6	that are listed in the plan; the public open spaces	6	standards. And this area, as a whole, still has a lot
7	requirements. And what I would say is that, in addition	7	of unrealized potential.
8	it's going to be LEED Silver Building. And finally that	8	I think that the way which this potential could be
9	we are recommending that we have monitoring of the	9	realized is by having new and more residential units in
10	traffic within one year to make sure that the things	10	this area so that there's more of a residential
11	that are in the traffic conclusion are actually	11	pedestrian presence in this area. It should not be an
12	implemented. There is some discussion about possible	12	area that is desolate in the evenings, as it is now. It
13	management of the access to the parking garage, and so	13	should be an area where everyone, including nearby
14	on, if it becomes an issue in the future. So we have	14	single family homes, residents can walk to and from
15	that condition there for basically to be able to address	15	work, to reside, to the residences, to shop and to be
16	some of the neighbor concerns.	16	entertained.
17	The Director of Public Works is here if you have	17	Now, how do we realize that vision? We realize it
18	any questions about traffic or any of the operations or	18	by projects that embody these same principles that have
19	ideas of improvements that need to be taking place. And	19	been within the City's Comprehensive Plan now for
20	that's the end of my presentation. I believe the	20	probably over 20 years.
21	Applicant and at least one of the neighbors have a	21	The project which we are proposing today keeps and
22	presentation to make.	22	expands the only residential uses which we have in the
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	23	area with high quality apartment units far superior to
24	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Good evening, Mr. Chair,	24	what exists today, and with complimentary retail spaces
25	Members of the Board. Mario Garcia-Serra, with offices	25	which will serve the entire neighborhood. The
	Page 46		Page 48
1		1	
1 2	Page 46 at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC,	1 2	approvals, which we need to realize this project from
	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing		
2	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC,	2	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels.
2 3	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515	2 3	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The
2 3 4	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo.	2 3 4	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the
2 3 4 5	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo. I'm joined today by Masoud Shojaee and Anibal Duarte, principals of both of those entities, which are the property owners; Willy Bermello and Raul Carreras	2 3 4 5	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the left, is the Zoning Map for the area with the property
2 3 4 5 6	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo. I'm joined today by Masoud Shojaee and Anibal Duarte, principals of both of those entities, which are the property owners; Willy Bermello and Raul Carreras and Daisy Fernandez, our project architects with	2 3 4 5 6	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the left, is the Zoning Map for the area with the property outlined in a black dotted line or dash line, and on the right, you have the Future Land Use Map similarly with the subject property outlined with a black dash line.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo. I'm joined today by Masoud Shojaee and Anibal Duarte, principals of both of those entities, which are the property owners; Willy Bermello and Raul Carreras and Daisy Fernandez, our project architects with Bermello Ajamil; and Juan Espinosa, our traffic engineer	2 3 4 5 6 7	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the left, is the Zoning Map for the area with the property outlined in a black dotted line or dash line, and on the right, you have the Future Land Use Map similarly with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo. I'm joined today by Masoud Shojaee and Anibal Duarte, principals of both of those entities, which are the property owners; Willy Bermello and Raul Carreras and Daisy Fernandez, our project architects with Bermello Ajamil; and Juan Espinosa, our traffic engineer with David Plummer and Associates.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the left, is the Zoning Map for the area with the property outlined in a black dotted line or dash line, and on the right, you have the Future Land Use Map similarly with the subject property outlined with a black dash line. Firstly, it's important to note that the northern half of this site already has the necessary commercial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo. I'm joined today by Masoud Shojaee and Anibal Duarte, principals of both of those entities, which are the property owners; Willy Bermello and Raul Carreras and Daisy Fernandez, our project architects with Bermello Ajamil; and Juan Espinosa, our traffic engineer with David Plummer and Associates. Ramon started talking about the big picture. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the left, is the Zoning Map for the area with the property outlined in a black dotted line or dash line, and on the right, you have the Future Land Use Map similarly with the subject property outlined with a black dash line. Firstly, it's important to note that the northern half of this site already has the necessary commercial land use and zoning designations. It has been a long
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo. I'm joined today by Masoud Shojaee and Anibal Duarte, principals of both of those entities, which are the property owners; Willy Bermello and Raul Carreras and Daisy Fernandez, our project architects with Bermello Ajamil; and Juan Espinosa, our traffic engineer with David Plummer and Associates. Ramon started talking about the big picture. I want to talk about the even bigger picture, which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the left, is the Zoning Map for the area with the property outlined in a black dotted line or dash line, and on the right, you have the Future Land Use Map similarly with the subject property outlined with a black dash line. Firstly, it's important to note that the northern half of this site already has the necessary commercial land use and zoning designations. It has been a long time planning principle that for the most, especially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo. I'm joined today by Masoud Shojaee and Anibal Duarte, principals of both of those entities, which are the property owners; Willy Bermello and Raul Carreras and Daisy Fernandez, our project architects with Bermello Ajamil; and Juan Espinosa, our traffic engineer with David Plummer and Associates. Ramon started talking about the big picture. I want to talk about the even bigger picture, which is what our vision should be for this area of the City.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the left, is the Zoning Map for the area with the property outlined in a black dotted line or dash line, and on the right, you have the Future Land Use Map similarly with the subject property outlined with a black dash line. Firstly, it's important to note that the northern half of this site already has the necessary commercial land use and zoning designations. It has been a long time planning principle that for the most, especially for sites of this size, assembled sites should have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo. I'm joined today by Masoud Shojaee and Anibal Duarte, principals of both of those entities, which are the property owners; Willy Bermello and Raul Carreras and Daisy Fernandez, our project architects with Bermello Ajamil; and Juan Espinosa, our traffic engineer with David Plummer and Associates. Ramon started talking about the big picture. I want to talk about the even bigger picture, which is what our vision should be for this area of the City. This site is very well located. This area of the City	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the left, is the Zoning Map for the area with the property outlined in a black dotted line or dash line, and on the right, you have the Future Land Use Map similarly with the subject property outlined with a black dash line. Firstly, it's important to note that the northern half of this site already has the necessary commercial land use and zoning designations. It has been a long time planning principle that for the most, especially for sites of this size, assembled sites should have should not have split zoning, and should have the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo. I'm joined today by Masoud Shojaee and Anibal Duarte, principals of both of those entities, which are the property owners; Willy Bermello and Raul Carreras and Daisy Fernandez, our project architects with Bermello Ajamil; and Juan Espinosa, our traffic engineer with David Plummer and Associates. Ramon started talking about the big picture. I want to talk about the even bigger picture, which is what our vision should be for this area of the City. This site is very well located. This area of the City has historically served as a commercial node or center	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the left, is the Zoning Map for the area with the property outlined in a black dotted line or dash line, and on the right, you have the Future Land Use Map similarly with the subject property outlined with a black dash line. Firstly, it's important to note that the northern half of this site already has the necessary commercial land use and zoning designations. It has been a long time planning principle that for the most, especially for sites of this size, assembled sites should have should not have split zoning, and should have the same uniform zoning. That is not the case right now with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo. I'm joined today by Masoud Shojaee and Anibal Duarte, principals of both of those entities, which are the property owners; Willy Bermello and Raul Carreras and Daisy Fernandez, our project architects with Bermello Ajamil; and Juan Espinosa, our traffic engineer with David Plummer and Associates. Ramon started talking about the big picture. I want to talk about the even bigger picture, which is what our vision should be for this area of the City. This site is very well located. This area of the City has historically served as a commercial node or center for the Southern part of the City of Coral Gables.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the left, is the Zoning Map for the area with the property outlined in a black dotted line or dash line, and on the right, you have the Future Land Use Map similarly with the subject property outlined with a black dash line. Firstly, it's important to note that the northern half of this site already has the necessary commercial land use and zoning designations. It has been a long time planning principle that for the most, especially for sites of this size, assembled sites should have should not have split zoning, and should have the same uniform zoning. That is not the case right now with this property.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo. I'm joined today by Masoud Shojaee and Anibal Duarte, principals of both of those entities, which are the property owners; Willy Bermello and Raul Carreras and Daisy Fernandez, our project architects with Bermello Ajamil; and Juan Espinosa, our traffic engineer with David Plummer and Associates. Ramon started talking about the big picture. I want to talk about the even bigger picture, which is what our vision should be for this area of the City. This site is very well located. This area of the City has historically served as a commercial node or center for the Southern part of the City of Coral Gables. There are a good number of offices in the area, but not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the left, is the Zoning Map for the area with the property outlined in a black dotted line or dash line, and on the right, you have the Future Land Use Map similarly with the subject property outlined with a black dash line. Firstly, it's important to note that the northern half of this site already has the necessary commercial land use and zoning designations. It has been a long time planning principle that for the most, especially for sites of this size, assembled sites should have should not have split zoning, and should have the same uniform zoning. That is not the case right now with this property. Secondly, Land Use and Zoning Maps should provide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo. I'm joined today by Masoud Shojaee and Anibal Duarte, principals of both of those entities, which are the property owners; Willy Bermello and Raul Carreras and Daisy Fernandez, our project architects with Bermello Ajamil; and Juan Espinosa, our traffic engineer with David Plummer and Associates. Ramon started talking about the big picture. I want to talk about the even bigger picture, which is what our vision should be for this area of the City. This site is very well located. This area of the City has historically served as a commercial node or center for the Southern part of the City of Coral Gables. There are a good number of offices in the area, but not many residences. In deed, the only residential	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the left, is the Zoning Map for the area with the property outlined in a black dotted line or dash line, and on the right, you have the Future Land Use Map similarly with the subject property outlined with a black dash line. Firstly, it's important to note that the northern half of this site already has the necessary commercial land use and zoning designations. It has been a long time planning principle that for the most, especially for sites of this size, assembled sites should have should not have split zoning, and should have the same uniform zoning. That is not the case right now with this property. Secondly, Land Use and Zoning Maps should provide for an orderly pattern of use and development. In other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo. I'm joined today by Masoud Shojaee and Anibal Duarte, principals of both of those entities, which are the property owners; Willy Bermello and Raul Carreras and Daisy Fernandez, our project architects with Bermello Ajamil; and Juan Espinosa, our traffic engineer with David Plummer and Associates. Ramon started talking about the big picture. I want to talk about the even bigger picture, which is what our vision should be for this area of the City. This site is very well located. This area of the City has historically served as a commercial node or center for the Southern part of the City of Coral Gables. There are a good number of offices in the area, but not many residences. In deed, the only residential buildings in this area of Coral Gables are the two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the left, is the Zoning Map for the area with the property outlined in a black dotted line or dash line, and on the right, you have the Future Land Use Map similarly with the subject property outlined with a black dash line. Firstly, it's important to note that the northern half of this site already has the necessary commercial land use and zoning designations. It has been a long time planning principle that for the most, especially for sites of this size, assembled sites should have should not have split zoning, and should have the same uniform zoning. That is not the case right now with this property. Secondly, Land Use and Zoning Maps should provide for an orderly pattern of use and development. In other words, we should not have half hazard spot zoning with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo. I'm joined today by Masoud Shojaee and Anibal Duarte, principals of both of those entities, which are the property owners; Willy Bermello and Raul Carreras and Daisy Fernandez, our project architects with Bermello Ajamil; and Juan Espinosa, our traffic engineer with David Plummer and Associates. Ramon started talking about the big picture. I want to talk about the even bigger picture, which is what our vision should be for this area of the City. This site is very well located. This area of the City has historically served as a commercial node or center for the Southern part of the City of Coral Gables. There are a good number of offices in the area, but not many residences. In deed, the only residential buildings in this area of Coral Gables are the two existing buildings on our site.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the left, is the Zoning Map for the area with the property outlined in a black dotted line or dash line, and on the right, you have the Future Land Use Map similarly with the subject property outlined with a black dash line. Firstly, it's important to note that the northern half of this site already has the necessary commercial land use and zoning designations. It has been a long time planning principle that for the most, especially for sites of this size, assembled sites should have should not have split zoning, and should have the same uniform zoning. That is not the case right now with this property. Secondly, Land Use and Zoning Maps should provide for an orderly pattern of use and development. In other words, we should not have half hazard spot zoning with one property designated or zoned differently than the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo. I'm joined today by Masoud Shojaee and Anibal Duarte, principals of both of those entities, which are the property owners; Willy Bermello and Raul Carreras and Daisy Fernandez, our project architects with Bermello Ajamil; and Juan Espinosa, our traffic engineer with David Plummer and Associates. Ramon started talking about the big picture. I want to talk about the even bigger picture, which is what our vision should be for this area of the City. This site is very well located. This area of the City has historically served as a commercial node or center for the Southern part of the City of Coral Gables. There are a good number of offices in the area, but not many residences. In deed, the only residential buildings in this area of Coral Gables are the two existing buildings on our site. Here on the aerial photographs, you'll see where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the left, is the Zoning Map for the area with the property outlined in a black dotted line or dash line, and on the right, you have the Future Land Use Map similarly with the subject property outlined with a black dash line. Firstly, it's important to note that the northern half of this site already has the necessary commercial land use and zoning designations. It has been a long time planning principle that for the most, especially for sites of this size, assembled sites should have should not have split zoning, and should have the same uniform zoning. That is not the case right now with this property. Secondly, Land Use and Zoning Maps should provide for an orderly pattern of use and development. In other words, we should not have half hazard spot zoning with one property designated or zoned differently than the properties surrounding it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo. I'm joined today by Masoud Shojaee and Anibal Duarte, principals of both of those entities, which are the property owners; Willy Bermello and Raul Carreras and Daisy Fernandez, our project architects with Bermello Ajamil; and Juan Espinosa, our traffic engineer with David Plummer and Associates. Ramon started talking about the big picture. I want to talk about the even bigger picture, which is what our vision should be for this area of the City. This site is very well located. This area of the City has historically served as a commercial node or center for the Southern part of the City of Coral Gables. There are a good number of offices in the area, but not many residences. In deed, the only residential buildings in this area of Coral Gables are the two existing buildings on our site. Here on the aerial photographs, you'll see where the site is located, between Venera and San Remo, just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the left, is the Zoning Map for the area with the property outlined in a black dotted line or dash line, and on the right, you have the Future Land Use Map similarly with the subject property outlined with a black dash line. Firstly, it's important to note that the northern half of this site already has the necessary commercial land use and zoning designations. It has been a long time planning principle that for the most, especially for sites of this size, assembled sites should have should not have split zoning, and should have the same uniform zoning. That is not the case right now with this property. Secondly, Land Use and Zoning Maps should provide for an orderly pattern of use and development. In other words, we should not have half hazard spot zoning with one property designated or zoned differently than the properties surrounding it. On both of these maps, you'll notice that we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo. I'm joined today by Masoud Shojaee and Anibal Duarte, principals of both of those entities, which are the property owners; Willy Bermello and Raul Carreras and Daisy Fernandez, our project architects with Bermello Ajamil; and Juan Espinosa, our traffic engineer with David Plummer and Associates. Ramon started talking about the big picture. I want to talk about the even bigger picture, which is what our vision should be for this area of the City. This site is very well located. This area of the City has historically served as a commercial node or center for the Southern part of the City of Coral Gables. There are a good number of offices in the area, but not many residences. In deed, the only residential buildings in this area of Coral Gables are the two existing buildings on our site. Here on the aerial photographs, you'll see where the site is located, between Venera and San Remo, just west of Yumuri, just east of Plaza San Remo, where the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the left, is the Zoning Map for the area with the property outlined in a black dotted line or dash line, and on the right, you have the Future Land Use Map similarly with the subject property outlined with a black dash line. Firstly, it's important to note that the northern half of this site already has the necessary commercial land use and zoning designations. It has been a long time planning principle that for the most, especially for sites of this size, assembled sites should have should not have split zoning, and should have the same uniform zoning. That is not the case right now with this property. Secondly, Land Use and Zoning Maps should provide for an orderly pattern of use and development. In other words, we should not have half hazard spot zoning with one property designated or zoned differently than the properties surrounding it. On both of these maps, you'll notice that we have a spot, and the spot is the southern half of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	at 600 Brickell Avenue, here this evening representing Sunset Place Luxury Holdings and Shoma San Remo, LLC, the owners of the properties at 1500 Granada and 1515 San Remo. I'm joined today by Masoud Shojaee and Anibal Duarte, principals of both of those entities, which are the property owners; Willy Bermello and Raul Carreras and Daisy Fernandez, our project architects with Bermello Ajamil; and Juan Espinosa, our traffic engineer with David Plummer and Associates. Ramon started talking about the big picture. I want to talk about the even bigger picture, which is what our vision should be for this area of the City. This site is very well located. This area of the City has historically served as a commercial node or center for the Southern part of the City of Coral Gables. There are a good number of offices in the area, but not many residences. In deed, the only residential buildings in this area of Coral Gables are the two existing buildings on our site. Here on the aerial photographs, you'll see where the site is located, between Venera and San Remo, just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	approvals, which we need to realize this project from are justified on multiple levels. I just have a couple of exhibit boards here. The exhibit board both of them exhibit board on the left, is the Zoning Map for the area with the property outlined in a black dotted line or dash line, and on the right, you have the Future Land Use Map similarly with the subject property outlined with a black dash line. Firstly, it's important to note that the northern half of this site already has the necessary commercial land use and zoning designations. It has been a long time planning principle that for the most, especially for sites of this size, assembled sites should have should not have split zoning, and should have the same uniform zoning. That is not the case right now with this property. Secondly, Land Use and Zoning Maps should provide for an orderly pattern of use and development. In other words, we should not have half hazard spot zoning with one property designated or zoned differently than the properties surrounding it. On both of these maps, you'll notice that we have

	Page 49		Page 51
1	the Zoning Map, the MF2 designation.	1	binge rezoned to commercial. Just as spot zoning is
2	You'll notice that indeed those are the only two	2	illegal, reverse spot zoning is also illegal.
3	brown spots on that entire map. You look all over on	3	With that said, we've talked about the Future Land
4	the Zoning Map, either to the north, west or south it's	4	Use Map Amendment, the Rezoning Amendment, which are the
5	either a red or a pink denoting a commercial	5	first two requests. Now it's time to talk about the
6	designation, with the red being commercial medium; the	6	Site Plan. The best person to talk about the Site Plan,
7	pink being commercial low. And on the Zoning Map it is	7	and what we are proposing would be one of our
8	even more pronounced. This property is designated that	8	architects. I'm not sure if it's going to be Mr.
9	medium brown color. Absolutely everything else to the	9	Bermello or Mr. Carreras, but they will be presenting
10	north, west, and south is designated the commercial red	10	the project to you.
11	color.	11	MR. BERMELLO: Good evening, Members of the Board,
12	It makes perfect sense that this half of the	12	Willy Bermello, architect. Offices at 2601 South
13	property should be red on both of these maps, just like	13	Bayshore Drive. I apologize for my voice. It's not
14	the balance of the properties around it. And just like	14	accompanying me tonight, So my partner, Raul Carreras,
15	the properties to the north on the Future Land Use Map	15	will assist me.
16		16	We have tried our best with this project to
	are designated in a red color, and just like literally	17	exemplify the best principles of urbanism in terms of
17	all of the properties to the north, west, and south from	18	how this project meets the street, how it responds to
18	the Zoning Map designated that red commercial color.	19	the neighborhood in its context.
19 20	These maps are supposed to make sense, and right	20	The project complies with all of the City's
	now they are not making sense with regard to the	20	guidelines, and has met conditional approval from the
21	southern half of this property.	22	
22	Lastly, I would like I would assert that the law	1	Board of Architects. Simply stated, the project is a
23	does not permit you to allow this spot zoning, which	23 24	residential mixed use project driven on the ground floor by retail, to animate the streetscape. We have
24	essentially exists today, to be perpetuated. And the	24	
25	law cited from the principle of prohibiting spot zoning	25	dedicated over 15,000 square feet of open space to
	Page 50		
			Page 52
1	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot	1	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from
1 2	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is,	1 2	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east.
	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark	1	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a
2	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here.	2	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which
2 3 4 5	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys	2 3 4 5	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian
2 3 4 5 6	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney	2 3 4 5 6	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera.
2 3 4 5	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a	2 3 4 5	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually
2 3 4 5 6	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a per curiam you decision, which means a unanimous	2 3 4 5 6	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually activated with retail commercial space along both sides.
2 3 4 5 6 7	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a per curiam you decision, which means a unanimous decision of the Supreme Court. It's a very short	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually activated with retail commercial space along both sides. And the entrance into the building, in terms of a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a per curiam you decision, which means a unanimous decision of the Supreme Court. It's a very short opinion, that is, almost the length of the entire	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually activated with retail commercial space along both sides. And the entrance into the building, in terms of a residential core apartment project, is located along
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a per curiam you decision, which means a unanimous decision of the Supreme Court. It's a very short opinion, that is, almost the length of the entire opinion which shows that they were pretty confident in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually activated with retail commercial space along both sides. And the entrance into the building, in terms of a residential core apartment project, is located along that Paseo itself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a per curiam you decision, which means a unanimous decision of the Supreme Court. It's a very short opinion, that is, almost the length of the entire opinion which shows that they were pretty confident in what the law indicated when that resolved. And the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually activated with retail commercial space along both sides. And the entrance into the building, in terms of a residential core apartment project, is located along that Paseo itself. The project consists of 175 residential units in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a per curiam you decision, which means a unanimous decision of the Supreme Court. It's a very short opinion, that is, almost the length of the entire opinion which shows that they were pretty confident in what the law indicated when that resolved. And the highlighted portion there, which is difficult to read, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually activated with retail commercial space along both sides. And the entrance into the building, in terms of a residential core apartment project, is located along that Paseo itself. The project consists of 175 residential units in terms of one, two and three bedrooms, plus the ground
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a per curiam you decision, which means a unanimous decision of the Supreme Court. It's a very short opinion, that is, almost the length of the entire opinion which shows that they were pretty confident in what the law indicated when that resolved. And the highlighted portion there, which is difficult to read, I understand, but I will read it for you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually activated with retail commercial space along both sides. And the entrance into the building, in terms of a residential core apartment project, is located along that Paseo itself. The project consists of 175 residential units in terms of one, two and three bedrooms, plus the ground floor retail, which is a little over 34,000 square feet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a per curiam you decision, which means a unanimous decision of the Supreme Court. It's a very short opinion, that is, almost the length of the entire opinion which shows that they were pretty confident in what the law indicated when that resolved. And the highlighted portion there, which is difficult to read, I understand, but I will read it for you. "Reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually activated with retail commercial space along both sides. And the entrance into the building, in terms of a residential core apartment project, is located along that Paseo itself. The project consists of 175 residential units in terms of one, two and three bedrooms, plus the ground floor retail, which is a little over 34,000 square feet of retail. The other component is parking simply to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a per curiam you decision, which means a unanimous decision of the Supreme Court. It's a very short opinion, that is, almost the length of the entire opinion which shows that they were pretty confident in what the law indicated when that resolved. And the highlighted portion there, which is difficult to read, I understand, but I will read it for you. "Reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner from utilizing his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually activated with retail commercial space along both sides. And the entrance into the building, in terms of a residential core apartment project, is located along that Paseo itself. The project consists of 175 residential units in terms of one, two and three bedrooms, plus the ground floor retail, which is a little over 34,000 square feet of retail. The other component is parking simply to meet code.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a per curiam you decision, which means a unanimous decision of the Supreme Court. It's a very short opinion, that is, almost the length of the entire opinion which shows that they were pretty confident in what the law indicated when that resolved. And the highlighted portion there, which is difficult to read, I understand, but I will read it for you. "Reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner from utilizing his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually activated with retail commercial space along both sides. And the entrance into the building, in terms of a residential core apartment project, is located along that Paseo itself. The project consists of 175 residential units in terms of one, two and three bedrooms, plus the ground floor retail, which is a little over 34,000 square feet of retail. The other component is parking simply to meet code. The project is within the 97 feet, in terms of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a per curiam you decision, which means a unanimous decision of the Supreme Court. It's a very short opinion, that is, almost the length of the entire opinion which shows that they were pretty confident in what the law indicated when that resolved. And the highlighted portion there, which is difficult to read, I understand, but I will read it for you. "Reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner from utilizing his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually activated with retail commercial space along both sides. And the entrance into the building, in terms of a residential core apartment project, is located along that Paseo itself. The project consists of 175 residential units in terms of one, two and three bedrooms, plus the ground floor retail, which is a little over 34,000 square feet of retail. The other component is parking simply to meet code. The project is within the 97 feet, in terms of building height. There's a total of nine stories, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a per curiam you decision, which means a unanimous decision of the Supreme Court. It's a very short opinion, that is, almost the length of the entire opinion which shows that they were pretty confident in what the law indicated when that resolved. And the highlighted portion there, which is difficult to read, I understand, but I will read it for you. "Reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner from utilizing his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating, in effect, a variable zoning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually activated with retail commercial space along both sides. And the entrance into the building, in terms of a residential core apartment project, is located along that Paseo itself. The project consists of 175 residential units in terms of one, two and three bedrooms, plus the ground floor retail, which is a little over 34,000 square feet of retail. The other component is parking simply to meet code. The project is within the 97 feet, in terms of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a per curiam you decision, which means a unanimous decision of the Supreme Court. It's a very short opinion, that is, almost the length of the entire opinion which shows that they were pretty confident in what the law indicated when that resolved. And the highlighted portion there, which is difficult to read, I understand, but I will read it for you. "Reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner from utilizing his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating, in effect, a variable zoning island or zoning peninsula in a surrounding sea of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually activated with retail commercial space along both sides. And the entrance into the building, in terms of a residential core apartment project, is located along that Paseo itself. The project consists of 175 residential units in terms of one, two and three bedrooms, plus the ground floor retail, which is a little over 34,000 square feet of retail. The other component is parking simply to meet code. The project is within the 97 feet, in terms of building height. There's a total of nine stories, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a per curiam you decision, which means a unanimous decision of the Supreme Court. It's a very short opinion, that is, almost the length of the entire opinion which shows that they were pretty confident in what the law indicated when that resolved. And the highlighted portion there, which is difficult to read, I understand, but I will read it for you. "Reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner from utilizing his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating, in effect, a variable zoning island or zoning peninsula in a surrounding sea of contrary zoning classification. Reverse spot zoning is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually activated with retail commercial space along both sides. And the entrance into the building, in terms of a residential core apartment project, is located along that Paseo itself. The project consists of 175 residential units in terms of one, two and three bedrooms, plus the ground floor retail, which is a little over 34,000 square feet of retail. The other component is parking simply to meet code. The project is within the 97 feet, in terms of building height. There's a total of nine stories, and we have also tried to make sure that, in terms of the access MR. COLLER: Excuse me. Pardon for interrupting,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a per curiam you decision, which means a unanimous decision of the Supreme Court. It's a very short opinion, that is, almost the length of the entire opinion which shows that they were pretty confident in what the law indicated when that resolved. And the highlighted portion there, which is difficult to read, I understand, but I will read it for you. "Reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner from utilizing his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating, in effect, a variable zoning island or zoning peninsula in a surrounding sea of contrary zoning classification. Reverse spot zoning is invalid, as it is confiscatory."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually activated with retail commercial space along both sides. And the entrance into the building, in terms of a residential core apartment project, is located along that Paseo itself. The project consists of 175 residential units in terms of one, two and three bedrooms, plus the ground floor retail, which is a little over 34,000 square feet of retail. The other component is parking simply to meet code. The project is within the 97 feet, in terms of building height. There's a total of nine stories, and we have also tried to make sure that, in terms of the access MR. COLLER: Excuse me. Pardon for interrupting, but it's been pointed out to me that this gentleman was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a per curiam you decision, which means a unanimous decision of the Supreme Court. It's a very short opinion, that is, almost the length of the entire opinion which shows that they were pretty confident in what the law indicated when that resolved. And the highlighted portion there, which is difficult to read, I understand, but I will read it for you. "Reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner from utilizing his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating, in effect, a variable zoning island or zoning peninsula in a surrounding sea of contrary zoning classification. Reverse spot zoning is invalid, as it is confiscatory." In other words, you cannot permit all of these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually activated with retail commercial space along both sides. And the entrance into the building, in terms of a residential core apartment project, is located along that Paseo itself. The project consists of 175 residential units in terms of one, two and three bedrooms, plus the ground floor retail, which is a little over 34,000 square feet of retail. The other component is parking simply to meet code. The project is within the 97 feet, in terms of building height. There's a total of nine stories, and we have also tried to make sure that, in terms of the access MR. COLLER: Excuse me. Pardon for interrupting,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a per curiam you decision, which means a unanimous decision of the Supreme Court. It's a very short opinion, that is, almost the length of the entire opinion which shows that they were pretty confident in what the law indicated when that resolved. And the highlighted portion there, which is difficult to read, I understand, but I will read it for you. "Reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner from utilizing his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating, in effect, a variable zoning island or zoning peninsula in a surrounding sea of contrary zoning classification. Reverse spot zoning is invalid, as it is confiscatory." In other words, you cannot permit all of these properties to be zoned commercial, and then prevent the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually activated with retail commercial space along both sides. And the entrance into the building, in terms of a residential core apartment project, is located along that Paseo itself. The project consists of 175 residential units in terms of one, two and three bedrooms, plus the ground floor retail, which is a little over 34,000 square feet of retail. The other component is parking simply to meet code. The project is within the 97 feet, in terms of building height. There's a total of nine stories, and we have also tried to make sure that, in terms of the access MR. COLLER: Excuse me. Pardon for interrupting, but it's been pointed out to me that this gentleman was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there's also the principle prohibiting reverse spot zoning. And to explain what reverse spot zoning is, there is a very useful quote from one of the landmark cases in reverse spot zoning, which I'll put up here. And if you look at that case, it's the attorneys that are in the group actually we have no attorney today actually in the group, but we realize that it's a per curiam you decision, which means a unanimous decision of the Supreme Court. It's a very short opinion, that is, almost the length of the entire opinion which shows that they were pretty confident in what the law indicated when that resolved. And the highlighted portion there, which is difficult to read, I understand, but I will read it for you. "Reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner from utilizing his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating, in effect, a variable zoning island or zoning peninsula in a surrounding sea of contrary zoning classification. Reverse spot zoning is invalid, as it is confiscatory." In other words, you cannot permit all of these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	create an open space plaza piazza, per se, across from the park immediately to the east. The project also envisions a Paseo, which is a through block connection, right at the midpoint, which you can see here, which will to provide pedestrian access from San Remo to Venera. That Paseo is not just an alleyway, it's actually activated with retail commercial space along both sides. And the entrance into the building, in terms of a residential core apartment project, is located along that Paseo itself. The project consists of 175 residential units in terms of one, two and three bedrooms, plus the ground floor retail, which is a little over 34,000 square feet of retail. The other component is parking simply to meet code. The project is within the 97 feet, in terms of building height. There's a total of nine stories, and we have also tried to make sure that, in terms of the access MR. COLLER: Excuse me. Pardon for interrupting, but it's been pointed out to me that this gentleman was not sworn in at the beginning of the hearing. So we can

	Page 53		Page 55
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everyone that's going to	1	immediately to the west and east of us.
2	speak, please stand up to be sworn in.	2	At this point, if the Board has any comments or
3	MR. COLLER: Is there anyone else who walk in	3	questions concerning this portion?
4	late?	4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mario, are you done with your
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Actually we swore everybody	5	presentation?
6	in.	6	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: No, not yet.
7	MR. COLLER: Were you sworn in?	7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'm sorry?
8	MR. BERMELLO: I was not here in the beginning.	8	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Not yet. I still have some
9	MR. COLLER: He wasn't here in the beginning, so	9	more presentation to go.
10	he needs to be sworn in.	10	MR. CARRERAS: Okay. If I can just one thing.
11	(Thereupon, Mr. Bermello was sworn in.)	11	Good evening esteemed Members of the Board. Raul
12	MR. BERMELLO: I do.	12	Carreras, a partner with Bermello Ajamil and Partners.
13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	13	Offices at 2601 South Bayshore Drive, Suite 1000.
14	MR. COLLER: And just understanding that	14	Just one point of clarity, because it's a very
15	everything you just said was truthful and under in	15	subtle difference. The Site Plan that you see before
16	deed be under oath, okay.	16	you here on the easel is slightly different than what
17	MR. BERMELLO: Absolutely. I guess a picture	17	was in the original planning application. This Site
18	paints a thousand words. The access to the property is	18	Plan, which has not been shared with Staff yet, responds
19	on Venera, and the service is kept on San Remo. Part of	19	to many or all of the conditions that Staff recommended
20	that was done to make sure that the interruptions to the	20	as part of your Staff report meeting; additional trees
21	sidewalk would be minimized. An early design had both	21	on the Plaza, removal of bulbs or bump outs on the
22	consolidated along San Remo. In working with Staff and	22	sidewalk or right of way to allow the continuity of
23	Members of the Board of Architecture, we decided that in	23	parking, et cetera. So we've read the Staff's comments.
24	terms of minimizing curb cuts and maximizing continuity	24	Again, this has not been shared with Staff yet. We do
25	of street frontage, we would do just the opposite, and	25	not take exception with those comments. We're prepared
	Page 54		Page 56
1	locate the two opposite ends. So everywhere along the	1	to comply with them, and this is where we are at this
2	road from the first get go on this project has gone	2	point. It's a work in progress.
3	through, I would say, three different evolutions in	3	MR. TRIAS: I would describe them as minor
4	scenarios of design, each one tweaking it, refining it,	4	changes, and perfectly fine with presenting them
5	trying to get this to the highest level of refinement,	5	tonight.
6	which is what you have here today before you. And I	6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What I would ask is for Mario
7	realize that the object of this Board is not to rule on	7	first to finish his presentation, and then we'll go ahead, and if we have any questions
8	architectural design, that's another Board, but we	8	MR. BERMELLO: Thank you very much.
9	comply right now with all of the requirements of that	10	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Previously I was talking about
10 11	Board, and we come here before you for compliance with the planning issues related to land use and the	11	the big picture. Now I'm going to talk to you about the
12	Comprehension Plan.	12	bottom line. The approvals that we have before you
13	I would like to be able to address any questions	13	tonight would permit, and for this project in
14	that you may have, with respect to the Site Plan, which,	14	particular, an additional 42 units residential units,
15	again, what we were trying to do is to create an	15	an additional 47,000 square feet of floor area.
16	animated street frontage by way of retail along all	16	When you look at the existing as built environment
17	three sides. So basically everything that you see along	17	in this area, and the surrounding Zoning, you realize
18	Venera and San Remo is retail, and everything above that	18	how this additional density and additional floor area is
19	is parking, and then the 175 units, which are organized	19	appropriate.
20	around a courtyard that faces on to San Remo.	20	You look at this aerial photograph that we have
21	The building is majestic. It has a curvilinear	21	here, and you think of the sort of the big categories
22	shape that kind of it's almost like an opening of arms	22	that we're always talking about; height, use, density.
23	to embrace the park across the street, and we think it	23	If you look at height today, has this property
24	has a post card quality that will be a plus to the	24	designated on the Comprehensive Plan, as it is zoned, it
25	neighborhood, and people that are on the other side	25	is permitted a maximum height of 97 feet. The height

		Page 57		Page 59
	1	that we're proposing as a part of our project is 97	1	appropriate from a market perspective and from a
	2	feet. There is no change. There is no increase in	2	liability perspective, and that's a number that's the
	3	height.	3	density that we're proposing for the project, so below
	4	If you look at the surrounding area, it is not an	4	what would be permitted if these rezonings were to be
	5	area that lacks necessarily height. There's plenty of	5	approved. Indeed, 42 units is more than what is
	6	other buildings multi-story buildings in the	6	permitted right now, but we sincerely believe that is
	7	neighborhood, as you can see there, most prominently the	7	the density that's necessary for this project to take
	8	Whole Foods to our west, which to the highest point of	8	place, and for this project to be successful.
	9	the roof, and the highest point of architectural feature	9	We conducted a neighborhood meeting, which was
1	LO	would still be a few feet higher than what we are	10	well attended. All of the neighboring commercial
1	11	proposing.	11	property owners, with the exception of one, were
1	12	If you look at the other buildings that are in the	12	supportive of this project. The one commercial property
1	13	area, the new ones and some old ones that have been	13	owner which has expressed objections is Ms. Lani Kahn
1	14	there for a while, many of them in the range of 85, 75	14	Drody, the owner of the building and property
1	15	feet when you're looking at either the top of roofs or	15	immediately to our west, which is a one-story office
1	16	to the top of their architectural feature.	16	building.
1	17	When you look at uses, we're all about mixing	17	Ms. Kahn Drody is in a unique position of being
1	L 8	uses. We're all about the new urbanism. We're all	18	one small building surrounded by larger buildings, in
1	L 9	about trying to encourage pedestrian activity. This is	19	this case, the Whole Foods Building to the west, our
2	20	the only residential project in this entire area, the	20	existing buildings to the east, and our proposed
2	21	existing buildings that are there today, and the	21	building, which will be even larger. We understand the
2	22	proposed one. If you look around there, all of those	22	uniqueness of her situation, and have been working with
2	23	other rooftops that you see there of any significance of	23	her to try and accommodate and address her concerns
2	24	buildings are all office or institutional buildings that	24	relating to setbacks, landscaping, and preserving
2	25	exist right now.	25	onstreet parking spaces, all of which are important to
		Page 58		Page 60
	1	This is the only property the only project so	1	her.
	2	far that has a potential to introduce the residential	2	Of course, you know, there's a balancing between
	3	component into this neighborhood, so that we really have	3	future planning and what's appropriate based on our
	4	that live, work, play goal that we are always pursuing	4	Comprehensive Plan goals, and also trying to respect
	5	in urban planning.	5	those existing property owners that are there right now.
	6	Lastly, density. We are increasing the density,	6	We're thinking that we're striking that balance. We can
	7	but we're not maximizing it, which I think is a good	7	discuss that more in response to their comments perhaps
	8	indicator of the sincerity that there is behind the	8	with the architect mentioning what's been done as far as
	9	density amount that's being proposed.	9	setback, landscaping, sound buffering.
1	LO	If you look at the matrix that's there, at the	10	The Riviera Neighborhood Association was also
1	11	bottom, we indicate how many units are existing today.	11	represented at that neighborhood meeting. They have had
1	12	The two buildings combined today we have 85 units. The	12	concerns and comments, which they have shared with us
1	L 3	maximum number that is permitted today in the existing	13	throughout the course of several meetings. We have been
1	14	zoning is 133. The proposed units are 175. That's 42	14	working with them to address them. They will likely
1	15	units that we keep increase that we keep on repeating.	15	speak for themselves, but perhaps to summarize, from our
1	16	And you look at the last column, 207 units. That's the	16	point of view what their concerns are, and how they have
1	17	maximum that would be permitted if our Zoning were to be	17	been addressed, height was an initial concern. I think
				a lot of that was perhaps obliterated when they realized
	18	approved, but we are not taking advantage of that. We	18	
1	L 9	are not maximizing that number.	19	that the existing permitted height of 97 feet is what's
1 2	19 20	are not maximizing that number. In other words, as quite often happens, and I'm	19 20	that the existing permitted height of 97 feet is what's being proposed.
1	19 20 21	are not maximizing that number. In other words, as quite often happens, and I'm sure you see sometimes developers come before this Board	19 20 21	that the existing permitted height of 97 feet is what's being proposed. We also, at their request, prepared some shadow
1 2 2 2	19 20 21 22	are not maximizing that number. In other words, as quite often happens, and I'm sure you see sometimes developers come before this Board and they say, "Okay, we're rezoning, and we're going to	19 20 21 22	that the existing permitted height of 97 feet is what's being proposed.We also, at their request, prepared some shadow studies to indicate how this building would potentially
1 2 2 2	19 20 21 22 23	are not maximizing that number. In other words, as quite often happens, and I'm sure you see sometimes developers come before this Board and they say, "Okay, we're rezoning, and we're going to go for 207 units," and then they knock off 20 units as	19 20 21 22 23	that the existing permitted height of 97 feet is what's being proposed.We also, at their request, prepared some shadow studies to indicate how this building would potentially affect shadow in that area. I have copies of that
	19 20 21 22	are not maximizing that number. In other words, as quite often happens, and I'm sure you see sometimes developers come before this Board and they say, "Okay, we're rezoning, and we're going to	19 20 21 22	that the existing permitted height of 97 feet is what's being proposed.We also, at their request, prepared some shadow studies to indicate how this building would potentially

25 Here we sincerely feel that 175 units it what's

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

pretty straight-forward what the conclusion was; that in

	Page 61		Page 63
1	the morning and in the afternoon, regardless of what	1	the \$75,000, which is now worded to be used for
2	time of day it is or what time of year, there is no	2	multi-modal improvements, under that language
3	shadow being cast on the adjoining park. At 6:00 p.m.,	3	conceivably it could potentially be used for some sort
4	regardless of whether our project is happening or not,	4	of study or, you know, supporting the trolley, but in no
5	there's significant shadows. The project perhaps adds a	5	way is that amount of money going to be enough to buy
6	little more to that shadow, but, then, again, it is at	6	another trolley car or finance another line or anything.
7	6:00 p.m. in the evening, pretty late in the day. I	7	I throw it out there sort of as part of the discussion
8	venture to say practically anyone in Coral Gables at	8	that we had with the Riviera Neighborhood Association as
9	6:00 p.m. is going to have some level of shadow being	9	to what the real solutions are for the future as far as
10	cast on it.	10	mobility.
11	The concerns also are expressed on density. When	11	On parking, they had concerns about overflow
12	we really get to talking about density, you realize that	12	parking, parking perhaps seeping into the single family
13	it's not so much just a unit number concern, because if	13	residential neighborhood. We proposed a series of
14	each of those residents were riding a bicycle or	14	conditions to them regarding prohibition of
15	walking, there wouldn't be such an objection. It's	15	assignability of parking, how valet parking would be
16	really a traffic concern. In other words, how many more	16	managed, and so forth to try to see if that would
17	cars are there going to be with that increased number of	17	address their concerns regarding overflow of parking.
18	42 units.	18	We've proffered those to them. I'm waiting to hear back
19	We've conducted a traffic study, and the	19	from the Association as to what they think of those
20	conclusion was that the project complied with all of the	20	proposed conditions in particular.
21	relevant traffic concurrency standards, with very	21	Our other commercial neighbors, Professional Bank,
22	minimal sort of improvements that would have to take	22	which is in very close proximity to us, has proffered a
23	place. I know that's easier said than done. We're also	23	letter of support, which I'll submit for the record to
24	sort of putting our money where our mouth is, and	24	pass around. It reads, "We have reviewed the project's
25	proffering a \$75,000 contribution to the City for a	25	renderings, and as your neighbor, Professional Bank, is
	Page 62		Dama (4
	Page 62		Page 64
1	multi-modal improvements to try to improve traffic.	1	delighted that such a wonderful mixed use project will
2	The overall mix of uses here in this project is	2	be located in such close proximity to our San Remo
2 3	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to	2 3	be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office.
2 3 4	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and	2 3 4	be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at
2 3 4 5	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit	2 3 4 5	be located in such close proximity to our San Remooffice.As you know, one of our branches is located at1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the
2 3 4 5 6	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order	2 3 4 5 6	be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office.As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no
2 3 4 5 6 7	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order to encourage the use of getting around by means other	2 3 4 5 6 7	 be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no opposition to said project, and welcome the improvements
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order to encourage the use of getting around by means other than a car.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no opposition to said project, and welcome the improvements and enhancements to the area."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order to encourage the use of getting around by means other than a car. And as part of our discussions, they were very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no opposition to said project, and welcome the improvements and enhancements to the area." Staff is recommending approval with conditions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order to encourage the use of getting around by means other than a car. And as part of our discussions, they were very beneficial. We got together with Kevin Kinney, our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no opposition to said project, and welcome the improvements and enhancements to the area." Staff is recommending approval with conditions. Those conditions were acceptable to us. With that said,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order to encourage the use of getting around by means other than a car. And as part of our discussions, they were very beneficial. We got together with Kevin Kinney, our Parking and Mobility Director. We talked about even the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no opposition to said project, and welcome the improvements and enhancements to the area." Staff is recommending approval with conditions. Those conditions were acceptable to us. With that said, I'd ask you to follow your Staff recommendation. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order to encourage the use of getting around by means other than a car. And as part of our discussions, they were very beneficial. We got together with Kevin Kinney, our Parking and Mobility Director. We talked about even the possibility of things, such as extending trolley service	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no opposition to said project, and welcome the improvements and enhancements to the area." Staff is recommending approval with conditions. Those conditions were acceptable to us. With that said, I'd ask you to follow your Staff recommendation. And I'd like to reserve some time for rebuttal. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order to encourage the use of getting around by means other than a car. And as part of our discussions, they were very beneficial. We got together with Kevin Kinney, our Parking and Mobility Director. We talked about even the possibility of things, such as extending trolley service to the area, and perhaps extending the freebie shuttle	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no opposition to said project, and welcome the improvements and enhancements to the area." Staff is recommending approval with conditions. Those conditions were acceptable to us. With that said, I'd ask you to follow your Staff recommendation. And I'd like to reserve some time for rebuttal. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Can I see a show
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order to encourage the use of getting around by means other than a car. And as part of our discussions, they were very beneficial. We got together with Kevin Kinney, our Parking and Mobility Director. We talked about even the possibility of things, such as extending trolley service to the area, and perhaps extending the freebie shuttle service that we have in the Central Business District to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no opposition to said project, and welcome the improvements and enhancements to the area." Staff is recommending approval with conditions. Those conditions were acceptable to us. With that said, I'd ask you to follow your Staff recommendation. And I'd like to reserve some time for rebuttal. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Can I see a show of hands of people that would like to speak on this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order to encourage the use of getting around by means other than a car. And as part of our discussions, they were very beneficial. We got together with Kevin Kinney, our Parking and Mobility Director. We talked about even the possibility of things, such as extending trolley service to the area, and perhaps extending the freebie shuttle service that we have in the Central Business District to try to address those concerns, and really have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no opposition to said project, and welcome the improvements and enhancements to the area." Staff is recommending approval with conditions. Those conditions were acceptable to us. With that said, I'd ask you to follow your Staff recommendation. And I'd like to reserve some time for rebuttal. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Can I see a show of hands of people that would like to speak on this subject?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order to encourage the use of getting around by means other than a car. And as part of our discussions, they were very beneficial. We got together with Kevin Kinney, our Parking and Mobility Director. We talked about even the possibility of things, such as extending trolley service to the area, and perhaps extending the freebie shuttle service that we have in the Central Business District to try to address those concerns, and really have the residents see themselves a better way to get around, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no opposition to said project, and welcome the improvements and enhancements to the area." Staff is recommending approval with conditions. Those conditions were acceptable to us. With that said, I'd ask you to follow your Staff recommendation. And I'd like to reserve some time for rebuttal. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Can I see a show of hands of people that would like to speak on this subject? Did everybody sign up, and everybody was sworn in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order to encourage the use of getting around by means other than a car. And as part of our discussions, they were very beneficial. We got together with Kevin Kinney, our Parking and Mobility Director. We talked about even the possibility of things, such as extending trolley service to the area, and perhaps extending the freebie shuttle service that we have in the Central Business District to try to address those concerns, and really have the residents see themselves a better way to get around, and even just walk the distance there might be from a single	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no opposition to said project, and welcome the improvements and enhancements to the area." Staff is recommending approval with conditions. Those conditions were acceptable to us. With that said, I'd ask you to follow your Staff recommendation. And I'd like to reserve some time for rebuttal. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Can I see a show of hands of people that would like to speak on this subject? Did everybody sign up, and everybody was sworn in ahead of time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order to encourage the use of getting around by means other than a car. And as part of our discussions, they were very beneficial. We got together with Kevin Kinney, our Parking and Mobility Director. We talked about even the possibility of things, such as extending trolley service to the area, and perhaps extending the freebie shuttle service that we have in the Central Business District to try to address those concerns, and really have the residents see themselves a better way to get around, and even just walk the distance there might be from a single family home neighborhood to this more commercial area on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no opposition to said project, and welcome the improvements and enhancements to the area." Staff is recommending approval with conditions. Those conditions were acceptable to us. With that said, I'd ask you to follow your Staff recommendation. And I'd like to reserve some time for rebuttal. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Can I see a show of hands of people that would like to speak on this subject? Did everybody sign up, and everybody was sworn in ahead of time? Okay. What I'd like to do now is call the public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order to encourage the use of getting around by means other than a car. And as part of our discussions, they were very beneficial. We got together with Kevin Kinney, our Parking and Mobility Director. We talked about even the possibility of things, such as extending trolley service to the area, and perhaps extending the freebie shuttle service that we have in the Central Business District to try to address those concerns, and really have the residents see themselves a better way to get around, and even just walk the distance there might be from a single family home neighborhood to this more commercial area on the other side of the park.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no opposition to said project, and welcome the improvements and enhancements to the area." Staff is recommending approval with conditions. Those conditions were acceptable to us. With that said, I'd ask you to follow your Staff recommendation. And I'd like to reserve some time for rebuttal. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Can I see a show of hands of people that would like to speak on this subject? Did everybody sign up, and everybody was sworn in ahead of time? Okay. What I'd like to do now is call the public to come and speak first, and then we'll go ahead and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order to encourage the use of getting around by means other than a car. And as part of our discussions, they were very beneficial. We got together with Kevin Kinney, our Parking and Mobility Director. We talked about even the possibility of things, such as extending trolley service to the area, and perhaps extending the freebie shuttle service that we have in the Central Business District to try to address those concerns, and really have the residents see themselves a better way to get around, and even just walk the distance there might be from a single family home neighborhood to this more commercial area on the other side of the park. Parking was also raised as a concern. We've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no opposition to said project, and welcome the improvements and enhancements to the area." Staff is recommending approval with conditions. Those conditions were acceptable to us. With that said, I'd ask you to follow your Staff recommendation. And I'd like to reserve some time for rebuttal. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Can I see a show of hands of people that would like to speak on this subject? Did everybody sign up, and everybody was sworn in ahead of time? Okay. What I'd like to do now is call the public to come and speak first, and then we'll go ahead and close with any questions we may have. Let's go ahead
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order to encourage the use of getting around by means other than a car. And as part of our discussions, they were very beneficial. We got together with Kevin Kinney, our Parking and Mobility Director. We talked about even the possibility of things, such as extending trolley service to the area, and perhaps extending the freebie shuttle service that we have in the Central Business District to try to address those concerns, and really have the residents see themselves a better way to get around, and even just walk the distance there might be from a single family home neighborhood to this more commercial area on the other side of the park. Parking was also raised as a concern. We've proffered a series of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no opposition to said project, and welcome the improvements and enhancements to the area." Staff is recommending approval with conditions. Those conditions were acceptable to us. With that said, I'd ask you to follow your Staff recommendation. And I'd like to reserve some time for rebuttal. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Can I see a show of hands of people that would like to speak on this subject? Did everybody sign up, and everybody was sworn in ahead of time? Okay. What I'd like to do now is call the public to come and speak first, and then we'll go ahead and close with any questions we may have. Let's go ahead and hear the public first, then we'll present.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order to encourage the use of getting around by means other than a car. And as part of our discussions, they were very beneficial. We got together with Kevin Kinney, our Parking and Mobility Director. We talked about even the possibility of things, such as extending trolley service to the area, and perhaps extending the freebie shuttle service that we have in the Central Business District to try to address those concerns, and really have the residents see themselves a better way to get around, and even just walk the distance there might be from a single family home neighborhood to this more commercial area on the other side of the park. Parking was also raised as a concern. We've proffered a series of MR. TRIAS: Can you clarify the trolley, because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no opposition to said project, and welcome the improvements and enhancements to the area." Staff is recommending approval with conditions. Those conditions were acceptable to us. With that said, I'd ask you to follow your Staff recommendation. And I'd like to reserve some time for rebuttal. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Can I see a show of hands of people that would like to speak on this subject? Did everybody sign up, and everybody was sworn in ahead of time? Okay. What I'd like to do now is call the public to come and speak first, and then we'll go ahead and close with any questions we may have. Let's go ahead and hear the public first, then we'll present. THE SECRETARY: Debra Register.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order to encourage the use of getting around by means other than a car. And as part of our discussions, they were very beneficial. We got together with Kevin Kinney, our Parking and Mobility Director. We talked about even the possibility of things, such as extending trolley service to the area, and perhaps extending the freebie shuttle service that we have in the Central Business District to try to address those concerns, and really have the residents see themselves a better way to get around, and even just walk the distance there might be from a single family home neighborhood to this more commercial area on the other side of the park. Parking was also raised as a concern. We've proffered a series of MR. TRIAS: Can you clarify the trolley, because you're not proffering anything?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no opposition to said project, and welcome the improvements and enhancements to the area." Staff is recommending approval with conditions. Those conditions were acceptable to us. With that said, I'd ask you to follow your Staff recommendation. And I'd like to reserve some time for rebuttal. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Can I see a show of hands of people that would like to speak on this subject? Did everybody sign up, and everybody was sworn in ahead of time? Okay. What I'd like to do now is call the public to come and speak first, and then we'll go ahead and close with any questions we may have. Let's go ahead and hear the public first, then we'll present. THE SECRETARY: Debra Register. Paul Savage.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The overall mix of uses here in this project is also part of the greater effort, I think, to try to become less car dependent, and put more intensity, and more density, and more mix of uses closer to transit facilities like we're doing with this project in order to encourage the use of getting around by means other than a car. And as part of our discussions, they were very beneficial. We got together with Kevin Kinney, our Parking and Mobility Director. We talked about even the possibility of things, such as extending trolley service to the area, and perhaps extending the freebie shuttle service that we have in the Central Business District to try to address those concerns, and really have the residents see themselves a better way to get around, and even just walk the distance there might be from a single family home neighborhood to this more commercial area on the other side of the park. Parking was also raised as a concern. We've proffered a series of MR. TRIAS: Can you clarify the trolley, because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 be located in such close proximity to our San Remo office. As you know, one of our branches is located at 1567 San Remo, which is approximately 100 feet from the subject project. Please be advised that we have no opposition to said project, and welcome the improvements and enhancements to the area." Staff is recommending approval with conditions. Those conditions were acceptable to us. With that said, I'd ask you to follow your Staff recommendation. And I'd like to reserve some time for rebuttal. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Can I see a show of hands of people that would like to speak on this subject? Did everybody sign up, and everybody was sworn in ahead of time? Okay. What I'd like to do now is call the public to come and speak first, and then we'll go ahead and close with any questions we may have. Let's go ahead and hear the public first, then we'll present. THE SECRETARY: Debra Register.

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

	Page 65		Page 67
1	Thank you.	1	out on to San Remo, if you were to come out of that ramp
2	MR. TRIAS: And for the record, we also have some	2	and look immediately to your left, that's the property.
3	letters that were included in your package from the	3	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay.
4	public.	4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So she would be wedged
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay.	5	between the two projects?
6	MS. MENENDEZ: These are letters from residents	6	MR. SAVAGE: Most certainly. And, in fact, one of
7	that have been collected by others, is that it?	7	the points I'm going to make when I get to the Site Plan
8	MR. TRIAS: What's being provided now is being	8	is that not only is she facing the dump out from the
9	provided for the first time, so I don't know what it is,	9	Whole Foods parking garage and it's not just Whole
10	and I'm sure that the speaker can explain it. The	10	Foods. As you know, it's a whole other structure and
11	letters that I received have been provided to you as	11	offices but this particular Site Plan has placed the
12	part of the package.	12	service bay with the dumpsters, and the deliveries, and
13	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes, I know, but there are about	13	the emergency generator, and all of those fun things are
14	six letters here from the public from residents, and I	14	on the you know, right next to my client's property.
15	just want to know, you know, is this something that you	15	So one of the conditions recited in the Staff'S
16	all collected?	16	Recommendation is to work with my client, and mitigate
17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Savage?	17	those impacts by changes to the Site Plan. And just
18	MR. SAVAGE: Yes.	18	jumping ahead to that point, and I'll go ahead and make
19	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay.	19	it, and then we'll cross that off, that hasn't been
20	MR. SAVAGE: If you'll just give me one second to	20	accomplished. That Site Plan has not been modified at
21	set up my boards, and then I'll give my formal	21	all. And the qualification in the conditions are to go
22	introduction.	22	ahead and take care of that between this proceeding and
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Thank you.	23	City Commission. And, respectfully, we object to that,
24	MR. SAVAGE: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen of		and we believe that this Board should have the final
25	the Board. My name is Paul Savage. I have law offices	25	true and accurate Site Plan if you're going to vote.
	Page 66		Page 68
1	at 2121 Ponce de Leon Boulevard, Suite 900, here in	1	You know, the third item, which is the quasi one of
2	Coral Gables. I'm here this evening representing San	2	the two quasi-judicial items, is you're approving the
3	Remo Office Associates, LLC, with the lobbyist	3	Site Plan, and that Site Plan, if you see my board here,
4	registration on file. And that client is the property	4	which is also behind Tab 7, whether you want to try to
5	owner to the immediate west of and in the southwest	5	look here or you can see probably a little better in
6	quadrant next to the subject parcel that's being	6	your book, it says here to coordinate with the neighbor
7	rezoned.	7	to minimize the effects on their property. And this is
8	My client my principal is with me today, Lani	8	not just on this exhibit, but it's actually set forth
9	Drody. She and her father have, for many years,	9	formally in Mr. Trias' this is a condition set forth
10	operated out of this location, operating the Lowell	10	in the enumerated conditions.
11	Homes and Lowell International Realty of Homebuilders	11	So just to go ahead and make that point, because I
12 13	and Realty Firm. She's also here with me with others	12	don't have unlimited time tonight, I think that you
14	from the public who will speak in opposition to the	13	should not vote on the Site Plan tonight until such
14	application that's before you tonight	111	time have use would like if this project goes
15	application that's before you tonight.	14	time because we would like, if this project goes
15 16	MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry, could you point out in	15	through and you're going to hear reasons why I don't
16	MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry, could you point out in the map where your client's office is, somewhere in the	15 16	through and you're going to hear reasons why I don't think it should at all, but we believe that if this
16 17	MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry, could you point out in the map where your client's office is, somewhere in the vicinity?	15 16 17	through and you're going to hear reasons why I don't think it should at all, but we believe that if this project were to go through, that service bay area needs
16 17 18	MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry, could you point out in the map where your client's office is, somewhere in the vicinity? MR. SAVAGE: Sure. So the subject property is at	15 16 17 18	through and you're going to hear reasons why I don't think it should at all, but we believe that if this project were to go through, that service bay area needs to come down. We're already suffering enough from the
16 17 18 19	MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry, could you point out in the map where your client's office is, somewhere in the vicinity? MR. SAVAGE: Sure. So the subject property is at 1515 San Remo, which if you're familiar with the area,	15 16 17 18 19	through and you're going to hear reasons why I don't think it should at all, but we believe that if this project were to go through, that service bay area needs to come down. We're already suffering enough from the Whole Foods ramp. And if you go there at all, you know
16 17 18 19 20	MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry, could you point out in the map where your client's office is, somewhere in the vicinity? MR. SAVAGE: Sure. So the subject property is at 1515 San Remo, which if you're familiar with the area, that terminates at the William H. Kerdyk and Riviera	15 16 17 18 19 20	through and you're going to hear reasons why I don't think it should at all, but we believe that if this project were to go through, that service bay area needs to come down. We're already suffering enough from the Whole Foods ramp. And if you go there at all, you know the stacking of traffic and everything else that
16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry, could you point out in the map where your client's office is, somewhere in the vicinity? MR. SAVAGE: Sure. So the subject property is at 1515 San Remo, which if you're familiar with the area, that terminates at the William H. Kerdyk and Riviera Park. It has Red Road on one side, and then, as you	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	through and you're going to hear reasons why I don't think it should at all, but we believe that if this project were to go through, that service bay area needs to come down. We're already suffering enough from the Whole Foods ramp. And if you go there at all, you know the stacking of traffic and everything else that happens. So we don't believe that we should also suffer
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry, could you point out in the map where your client's office is, somewhere in the vicinity? MR. SAVAGE: Sure. So the subject property is at 1515 San Remo, which if you're familiar with the area, that terminates at the William H. Kerdyk and Riviera Park. It has Red Road on one side, and then, as you move east, you go toward the park, and my client is at	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	through and you're going to hear reasons why I don't think it should at all, but we believe that if this project were to go through, that service bay area needs to come down. We're already suffering enough from the Whole Foods ramp. And if you go there at all, you know the stacking of traffic and everything else that happens. So we don't believe that we should also suffer from their box trucks, and garbage trucks, and all of
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry, could you point out in the map where your client's office is, somewhere in the vicinity? MR. SAVAGE: Sure. So the subject property is at 1515 San Remo, which if you're familiar with the area, that terminates at the William H. Kerdyk and Riviera Park. It has Red Road on one side, and then, as you move east, you go toward the park, and my client is at 1537, which is, if you can see where I'm pointing, is	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	through and you're going to hear reasons why I don't think it should at all, but we believe that if this project were to go through, that service bay area needs to come down. We're already suffering enough from the Whole Foods ramp. And if you go there at all, you know the stacking of traffic and everything else that happens. So we don't believe that we should also suffer from their box trucks, and garbage trucks, and all of that, and that needs to be reconfigured to maybe come
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. MENENDEZ: I'm sorry, could you point out in the map where your client's office is, somewhere in the vicinity? MR. SAVAGE: Sure. So the subject property is at 1515 San Remo, which if you're familiar with the area, that terminates at the William H. Kerdyk and Riviera Park. It has Red Road on one side, and then, as you move east, you go toward the park, and my client is at	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	through and you're going to hear reasons why I don't think it should at all, but we believe that if this project were to go through, that service bay area needs to come down. We're already suffering enough from the Whole Foods ramp. And if you go there at all, you know the stacking of traffic and everything else that happens. So we don't believe that we should also suffer from their box trucks, and garbage trucks, and all of

Page	71
raye	/ 1

1 2	Page 69		Page 71
	that this application is premature in its present form.	1	evidence. To the contrary, we did not get those initial
-	To go back up to the beginning of my presentation,	2	notices. There's some kind of mistake. I've known
3	most fundamentally, the file has a notice problem. Mr.	3	opposing counsel forever. I've known the I've used
4	Trias had a slide that talked about five mailouts that	4	this radius mailout firm that's probably the only one I
5	had gone out, and there were several meetings and Design	5	know that does this, and I don't suspect anyone did
6	Review Board hearings and the like. If you look behind	6	anything purposeful, but there was some kind of a
7	Tab 1 of my book, there's the standard there's the	7	mistake in the initial notice. That's what I'm saying.
8	standard mailout that the good people at Florida Real	8	And I think that we would've been able to participate
9	Estate Decisions have been doing for 30 years, including	9	more thoroughly and more early on had we had sufficient
10	for this application. If you look here on the second	10	notice of those meetings.
11	page, the San Remo Office Associates, which is my	11	MR. COLLER: Okay.
12	client, with property at 1537 San Remo, for some reason	12	MR. SAVAGE: If I go to some of the merits, some
13	was going to an old address at 80 Southwest 8th Street,	13	of the 1,000 foot things, and some of the detail things
14	when they've had that property since 2010. And then the	14	that you heard, we heard a lot about the west
15	next page shows the Office of the Property Appraiser	15	everything to the west, and that this parcel is some
16	has, in fact, the 1537 San Remo Avenue.	16	kind of a hole in the doughnut, and an accident of
17	I was alerted to the neighborhood meeting, so I	17	history; that we have to fix this. In fact, I heard the
18	was at that, and I cannot complain about that. I was	18	phrase, "spot zoning and reverse spot zoning." Nothing
19	alerted to this meeting, and I'm here, so I can't	19	could be further from the truth. Nobody said to look to
20	complain about that. However, the early proceedings,	20	the east. What is to the east? The Riviera William
21	the first initial notices, we did not know about. And,	21	Kerdyk Park is to the east, single family homes are to
22	in fact, not to get too far afield and outside the scope	22	the east, all of which is now already overstressed by
23	of tonight, but much has been made about the Zoning	23	the commercial activity that's in the Whole Foods area.
24	that's immediately adjacent that this property owner	24	You can go there on any afternoon and see all of those
25	obtained. We did not know about that rezoning that's	25	streets clogged. I even have a picture. I went and
2.5	obtained. We did not know about that rezoning that s	2.5	succes clogged. I even have a picture. I went and
	Page 70		Page 72
1	facing the other street. So I think that we need to	1	made a picture on a date certain in August, and attached
2	look at what notice made it to us and not, and I believe	2	it to my letter under Tab 4.
3	we were entitled to those earlier boards and mailouts	3	The idea that that is somehow reverse spot zoning
4	that were made.	4	is ludicrous. The Comprehensive Plan and the Zoning
5	On the merits	5	Code are filled with instances of the word, "transition,
6	MR. COLLER: May I inquire on this question,	6	transitioning." And what I am saying, contrary to my
7	because it's a legal issue based upon the notice?	7	opposing counsel, is that there's nothing wrong with our
8	Are you saying you haven't had sufficient time to	8	Comprehensive Plan as it's written. And, in fact, what
9	adequately prepare for this hearing, because there's not	9	I am saying is, it's a knowing and purposeful
10	been sufficient notice? Did you not get sufficient	10	legislative choice to provide for a deceleration of
11	notice of this? Is that your	11	intensity from the shops at Sunset Place, to Whole
	MR. SAVAGE: No. No. I think I've conceded on	12	Foods, and as you come down to the park, and the school
12			
12 13	this record that I was at the neighborhood meeting, so I	13	that's there, and the single family homes beyond, there
12 13 14	think that is off the table.	14	is a deceleration.
12 13 14 15	think that is off the table. MR. COLLER: What notice do you claim that you	14 15	is a deceleration. What we have in this application is a maximization
12 13 14 15 16	think that is off the table. MR. COLLER: What notice do you claim that you didn't have that went before what particular Board?	14 15 16	is a deceleration. What we have in this application is a maximization of 30,000 square feet of commercial and maximum density,
12 13 14 15 16 17	think that is off the table. MR. COLLER: What notice do you claim that you didn't have that went before what particular Board? MR. SAVAGE: In Mr. Trias' slide I think it was	14 15 16 17	is a deceleration. What we have in this application is a maximization of 30,000 square feet of commercial and maximum density, all of the way to the boundary of the park. And this
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 think that is off the table. MR. COLLER: What notice do you claim that you didn't have that went before what particular Board? MR. SAVAGE: In Mr. Trias' slide I think it was 11 or 12 he had five items that were mailed out or 	14 15 16 17 18	is a deceleration. What we have in this application is a maximization of 30,000 square feet of commercial and maximum density, all of the way to the boundary of the park. And this slide that was not emphasized in their presentation, but
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 think that is off the table. MR. COLLER: What notice do you claim that you didn't have that went before what particular Board? MR. SAVAGE: In Mr. Trias' slide I think it was 11 or 12 he had five items that were mailed out or notice, and we certainly didn't know about the design 	14 15 16 17 18 19	is a deceleration. What we have in this application is a maximization of 30,000 square feet of commercial and maximum density, all of the way to the boundary of the park. And this slide that was not emphasized in their presentation, but it's there from their architect, is where they take a
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 think that is off the table. MR. COLLER: What notice do you claim that you didn't have that went before what particular Board? MR. SAVAGE: In Mr. Trias' slide I think it was 11 or 12 he had five items that were mailed out or notice, and we certainly didn't know about the designwe did not appear at the Design Review Board. If 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is a deceleration. What we have in this application is a maximization of 30,000 square feet of commercial and maximum density, all of the way to the boundary of the park. And this slide that was not emphasized in their presentation, but it's there from their architect, is where they take a computer, and they drop in a rendering of the proposed
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	think that is off the table. MR. COLLER: What notice do you claim that you didn't have that went before what particular Board? MR. SAVAGE: In Mr. Trias' slide I think it was 11 or 12 he had five items that were mailed out or notice, and we certainly didn't know about the design we did not appear at the Design Review Board. If there's any other notices that happened before the	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is a deceleration. What we have in this application is a maximization of 30,000 square feet of commercial and maximum density, all of the way to the boundary of the park. And this slide that was not emphasized in their presentation, but it's there from their architect, is where they take a computer, and they drop in a rendering of the proposed project in the neighborhood. And if you can see, this
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	think that is off the table. MR. COLLER: What notice do you claim that you didn't have that went before what particular Board? MR. SAVAGE: In Mr. Trias' slide I think it was 11 or 12 he had five items that were mailed out or notice, and we certainly didn't know about the design we did not appear at the Design Review Board. If there's any other notices that happened before the neighborhood, and before this, those are the things I'm	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is a deceleration. What we have in this application is a maximization of 30,000 square feet of commercial and maximum density, all of the way to the boundary of the park. And this slide that was not emphasized in their presentation, but it's there from their architect, is where they take a computer, and they drop in a rendering of the proposed project in the neighborhood. And if you can see, this rendering shows the Whole Foods structure here on Red
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	think that is off the table. MR. COLLER: What notice do you claim that you didn't have that went before what particular Board? MR. SAVAGE: In Mr. Trias' slide I think it was 11 or 12 he had five items that were mailed out or notice, and we certainly didn't know about the design we did not appear at the Design Review Board. If there's any other notices that happened before the neighborhood, and before this, those are the things I'm objecting to. And if there's a statement or a Staff	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is a deceleration. What we have in this application is a maximization of 30,000 square feet of commercial and maximum density, all of the way to the boundary of the park. And this slide that was not emphasized in their presentation, but it's there from their architect, is where they take a computer, and they drop in a rendering of the proposed project in the neighborhood. And if you can see, this rendering shows the Whole Foods structure here on Red Road, and as you progress eastward toward the park,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	think that is off the table. MR. COLLER: What notice do you claim that you didn't have that went before what particular Board? MR. SAVAGE: In Mr. Trias' slide I think it was 11 or 12 he had five items that were mailed out or notice, and we certainly didn't know about the design we did not appear at the Design Review Board. If there's any other notices that happened before the neighborhood, and before this, those are the things I'm	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is a deceleration. What we have in this application is a maximization of 30,000 square feet of commercial and maximum density, all of the way to the boundary of the park. And this slide that was not emphasized in their presentation, but it's there from their architect, is where they take a computer, and they drop in a rendering of the proposed project in the neighborhood. And if you can see, this rendering shows the Whole Foods structure here on Red

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

1 I do have an intersting legal argument that I do 2 to the multi and all of the commercial areas. 2 3 So the idea that this is somehow an island ignores. 4 4 the facts on the ground, as well as the wise language of 4 6 current treatment is perfectly they have Multi-Family 5 7 2, MTZ, Dotrict. It says in our Zoning Qolico, the 7 8 accommodule various forms of multi-family housing to 9 9 accommodule various forms of multi-family housing to 9 9 accommodule various forms of multi-family housing to 9 10 duples neighborhouds. 10 11 meet the housing needs of diverse community while 10 10 11 these neighborhouds. 13 with the Comprehensive Plan. Targue, is an illegal 13 those neighborhouds. 13 initpossibility. 14 There's the shops at Sauser Place, which are currently 11 11 Initice. 15 things, that There is no reason in there. 16 are set forth very dense. By the way, no one goes 16 </th <th></th> <th>Page /3</th> <th></th> <th>Page /5</th>		Page /3		Page /5
3 So the iden durt this is comehow an island ignores the facts on the ground, as well as the wise language of the facts on the ground, as well as the wise language of the facts on the ground, as well as the wise language of the facts on the ground, as well as the wise language of the facts on the ground, as well as the wise language of the facts on the ground, as well as the wise language of the facts on the ground, as well as the wise language of the Mulii-Family 2, MiT2, District is to accommodate various forms of multi-family housing to accommendate various forms of multi-family on the ground these neighborhoods. 10 11 Comprehensive Plan. Targe, is an illegal infinition on all that this. Site Plan is accommendate the neighborhoods. 11 </th <th>1</th> <th>massive than the Whole Foods structure, which is closer</th> <th>1</th> <th>I do have an interesting legal argument that I do</th>	1	massive than the Whole Foods structure, which is closer	1	I do have an interesting legal argument that I do
4 the facts on the ground, as well as the wise language of the Comprehensive Plan. I found and, in fact, the current treatment is perfectly they have Multi-Family 4 know. I believe that it is legal't ignoreport for this tribunal to make quasi-judicial findings of fact that a 7 2, MT2, District. It says in our Zoning Code, the purpose of the Multi-Family 2, MT2, District is to accommodate various forms of multi-family housing to meet the housing needs. Of diverse community while ensuring that there is a transition to single-family and they neighborhoods, which protects the integrity of the neighborhoods, which protects the integrity of the neighborhoods, which protects the integrity of the same language is an illegal 11 Comprehensive Plan. So the idea that you're going to make a finding toright that this Site Plan is consistent 14 There's nothing wrong with that. All of the great the same lab spin a Stamset Place, which are currently 12 with the Comprehensive Plan. So the idea that you're going to make a finding toright that this Site Plan is consistent 15 always struggling and reinventing themselves. There's always struggling and reinventing themselves. There's all of the amentities. In Downtown South Miani. There's a biops and amentities. There is no reason in the worl to the two blocks of the US: I cornidor with all of those biorg 30000 square feet or daditional commercial to the advarse strugt, of the Kerdyk Park and those— when I went I age everydyk. That I Go ardational commercial to the advarse of the kerdyk Park and those— when I went I age everydyk. That I Go ardational commercial to the advarse and amentifies. There's horther side at all of these systhefies are because I was there, in terms of notice, h	2	to the mall and all of the commercial areas.	2	want to put on the record. And, that is, even though
5the Comprehensive Plan. I found- and, in fact, the current treatment is perfectly- they have Multi-Family 2. MT2, District. It says in our Zoning Code, the given Ste Plan, and given a Zoning application is consistent with a Comprehensive Plan that is simultaneously being amended. It cannot possibly be consistent with a Comprehensive Plan that is simultaneously being amended. It cannot possibly be consistent with a Comprehensive Plan, Table web, Recurso only the CITy Commission can ultimately amend the consistent with a Comprehensive Plan, Table web, Recurso only the CITy Commission can ultimately amend the consistent with a Comprehensive Plan, Table web, Recurso with the comprehensive Plan. It and the Site Plan, and given a Zoning application is consistent with a Comprehensive Plan, Table web, Recurso with the Comprehensive Plan, Table web recurso through the rouble of going through the Comprehensive Plan itself and all of the policies, and the web recurso through the rouble of going through the Comprehensive Plan and what happens is the Applicant with a Comprehensive Plan and through the sum to react the recurso of the Kerdyk Park and those- when I went to the sum there, in terms of notice, but when I went to the sum there, which is can't complian, the sum there, in terms of notice, but when I went to the sum there, in terms of notice, but when I went to the sum there, in terms of notice, but when I went to the sum there, in terms of notice, but web recurso the sum there, in th	3	So the idea that this is somehow an island ignores	3	we've done it this way for years and years, as far as I
6 current 'reatment is perfectly they have Mulif-Family 2, MF2, District 1s tays in our Zoning Code, the given 3 Zoning application is 7 2, MF2, District 1s tays in our Zoning Code, the reacommodate various forms of multi-family p. MF2, District is to 9 accommodate various forms of multi-family p. MF2, District is to simultaneously being amended. It cannot possibly be 11 ensuring that there is a transition to single-family and to most the bousing meeds of diverse community while 12 duplex neighborhoods, which protects the integrity of the make a finding tonigh that sits is millegal 13 think the Comprehensive Plan. So the idea that you're going to make a finding tonigh that multi sconsistent 14 There's nothing wrong with that. All of the great think Have several additional arguments that 16 commercial areas and have dinner. You can do that. 16 17 There's the shops at Sunset Place, which are currently 17 18 all of the amenities. There is no reason in the world to 18 19 all of the amenities. There is no reason in the world to 10 20 brows and amenities. There is no reason in the world to 11 21 the teiphorhood meeting, which 1 cant complain, 23	4	the facts on the ground, as well as the wise language of	4	know, I believe that it is legally improper for this
7 2, MI2, District. It says in our Zoning Code, the 7 consistent with a Comprehensive Plan that is 8 purpose of the Multi-Family Z, MI2, District is to assimultaneously being amended. It cannot possibly be 10 meet the housing meeds of diverse community while 10 consistent with a Comprehensive Plan ang the how, because 11 those neighborhoods, which protects the integrity of 12 make a finding tonight that this Site Plan is consistent 12 duplex neighborhoods, which protects the integrity of 12 make a finding tonight that this Site Plan is consistent 13 those neighborhoods, which protects the integrity of 12 make a finding tonight that this Site Plan is consistent 14 There's hoshing wrong with that. All of the great 13 make a finding tonight that this site Plan is consistent 15 things that I heard about, we can walk to these 14 introperhensive Plan. Jarge, is an illegal 16 commercial areas and have dimer. You can do that. 16 are set forth very dense. By the way, no one goes 18 always strugging and reinventing themselves. There's is alwayo. 16 are set forth very dense. By the way, no one goes 19 alof the amenities. There is no reason in the world to 16 thince, it is ano in a set an	5	the Comprehensive Plan. I found and, in fact, the	5	tribunal to make quasi-judicial findings of fact that a
8purpose of the Multi-Family 2, MP2, District is to8simultaneously being amended. It cannot possibly be9accommodate various forms of multi-family housing to9consistent with a Comprehensive Plan right how, because11duplex neighborhoods.1100this Site Plan is consistent12duplex neighborhoods.13intose neighborhoods.13with the Comprehensive Plan, 1 argue, is an illegal13those neighborhoods.13intose neighborhoods.1314There's nothing wrong with that. All of the great14inthis Have several additional arguments that16commercial areas and have dinner. You can do hat.16inthis Have several additional arguments that16adways struggling and reinventing themselves. There's18Plan itself and all of the cylexes. By the way, no one goes17there's hobotks of the US-1 corridor with all of those19sure it was very boring if you readit, but I did. And21bolocks of the US-1 corridor with all of those20what harpens is the Applicant will go and cherry pick.22bring 30,000 square feet of additional commercial to the21shops and amentifis. There is no reason in the world to23because I was there, in terms of notice, but when I went23I did want to say that the Comprehensive Plan and24the neighborhood, the argenting, which that is not a single-family24the accomplished with- and it's not a single-family3do strue merging. This muthif family for use the party25the accomplished with- a	6	current treatment is perfectly they have Multi-Family	6	given Site Plan, and given a Zoning application is
9 accommodate various forms of multi-family housing to 9 consistent with a Comprehensive Plan, right how, because 10 meet the housing needs of diverse community while 10 consistent with a Comprehensive Plan. So the idea that you're going to 12 duplex neighborhoods, which protects the integrity of 11 Comprehensive Plan. So the idea that you're going to 14 There's nothing wrong with that. All of the great 14 make a finding tonight that this Site Plan is consistent 15 things that I heard about, we can walk to these 16 are set forth very dense. By the way, no one goes 17 There's the shops at Sunset Place, which are currently 17 Think Thave several additional arguments that 18 always struggling and reinventing themselves. There's 19 sore it was very boring if you read it, but 1 did. And 20 the two blocks of the US-1 corridor with all of those 20 the weighborhood. 21 23 shops and amenities. There's is on sons on in the world 22 the one shot at fit their project, and they emphasize 24 the weighborhood end, which are company. Which Comprehensive Plan and E streat, he cause fit and all of the policies, and it was - I'm 22 25 because I was there, in terms of notice, but when I went 23	7	2, MF2, District. It says in our Zoning Code, the	7	consistent with a Comprehensive Plan that is
9 accommodate various forms of multi-family housing to 9 consistent with a Comprehensive Plan, right how, because 10 meet the housing needs of diverse community while 10 consistent with a Comprehensive Plan. So the idea that you're going to 12 duplex neighborhoods, which protects the integrity of 11 Comprehensive Plan. So the idea that you're going to 14 There's nothing wrong with that. All of the great 14 impossibility. 15 things that I heard about, we can walk to these 16 16 commercial areas and have dimer. You can do that. 16 17 There's the shops at Sunset Place, which are currently 17 18 always struggling and reinventing themselves. There's 19 20 the two blocks of the US-1 corridor with all of those 20 21 bring 30,000 square feet of additional commercial to the 21 22 bring 30,000 square feet of additional commercial to the 22 23 the neighborhood. 24 24 Reconing Andiysia I discurs multi-family residential, 25 because I was there, in terms of notice, but when I went 25 16 to that meeting, and I have kids at Sunset there that I 25 to that meeting, and I have kids at Sunset there that I 26 The Comprehensive Plan and ge io	8	purpose of the Multi-Family 2, MF2, District is to	8	simultaneously being amended. It cannot possibly be
11consumptionconsistent12duplex neighborhoods, which protects the integrity of12comprehensive Plan. So tide and at you're going to13those neighborhoods.1314There's nothing wrong with that. All of the great1315things that 1 heard about, we can walk to these1516commercial arcas and have dinner. You can do that.1617There's the shops at Sunset Place, which are currently1618always struggling and reinventing themselves.1719all of the amenities in Downtown South Miami. There's1820the two blocks of the US-1 corridor with all of those1921shops and amenities. There is no rason in the world to2022bring 30,000 square feet of additional commercial to the2223doorstep of the Kerdyk Park and those when I went2424the neighborhood meeting, which 1 can't complain,2425because I was three, in terms of notice, but when I went2526The Comprehensive Plan change is not needed. All16of the great things that we head about, residential,27cologed in the aftermoon, entirely cologed.27the additional density.78presensive Plan change is not needed. All66of the great things that we heard about, residential,77cologed in the aftermoon, entirely cologed.118hew erging the rason of the wyb do to increase the141	9	accommodate various forms of multi-family housing to	9	
11consumptionComprehensive Plan. So the idea that you're going to12duplex neighborhoods, which protects the integrity of12make a finding tonight that this Site Plan is consistent14There's nothing wrong with that. All of the great13with the Comprehensive Plan. Targue, is an illegal14There's nothing wrong with that. All of the great13with the Comprehensive Plan. Targue, is an illegal15things that I heard about, we can walk to these15I think I have several additional arguments that17There's the shops at Sunset Place, which are currently16are set forth very dense. By the way, no one goes18always struggling and cinventing themselves. There's18Plan itself and all of the policies, and it was I'm19all of the amenities in Downtown South Miami. There's19sure it was very boring if you read it, but I did. And20the two blocks of the US-1 corridor with all of those21the ones thaf if their project, and they emphasize22bring 30,000 square feet of additional commercial to the22those.23doorstep of the Kerdyk Park and those when I went24T did want to say that the Comprehensive Plan and24the aneighborhood, and in the afternoon, entirely clogged.1impact to environmental areas, all of these very fine2eleveryday, I had to go through the poor neigbborhood,2impact to environmental areas, all of these very fine2elements that are perfectly satisfied by building athe aregree fine as may nothing13th	10	meet the housing needs of diverse community while	10	only the City Commission can ultimately amend the
13 those neighborhoods. 13 with the Comprehensive Plan, I argue, is an illegal impossibility. 14 There's nothing wrong with that. All of the great 14 impossibility. 14 There's nothing wrong with that. All of the great 14 impossibility. 15 things that 1 heard about, we can walk to these 15 11/ink1 have several additional arguments that 16 commercial areas and have dimer. You can do that. 16 are set forth very dense. By the way, no one goes 17 There's nothorse wrong one goes and ancenitics. 11/ink1 have several additional arguments that 20 the two blocks of the US-1 corridor with all of these 12 21 shops and amenitics. There's notice, but whend to the 22 bring 30,000 square feet of additional commercial to the 20 23 doorstep of the Kerdyk Park and those when I went to 21 24 the neighborhood meeting, which 1 can't complain. 22 25 because I was there, in terms of notice, but when I went 23 26 the uarge these, baccause those streets are entirely 24 2 get everyday, I had to go through the poor neighborhood reand acongring the get and	11	ensuring that there is a transition to single-family and	11	Comprehensive Plan. So the idea that you're going to
14There's nothing wrong with that. All of the great14impossibility.15things that I heard about, we can walk to these16I think I have several additional arguments that16commercial areas and have dinner. You can do that.1617There's the shops at Sunset Place, which are currently1718always struggling and reinventing themselves. There's1819all of the amenities in Downtown South Miami. There's1920the two blocks of the US-1 corridor with all of those2021shops and amenities. There is no reason in the world to2122bring 30.000 square feet of additional commercial to the2223doorstep of the Kerdyk Park and those when I went to2324the neighborhood meeting, which I can't complain,2425breause I was there, in terms of notice, but when I vent2526Fage 74Fage 761to that meeting, and I have kids at Sunset there that I22egreaut hings that we heard about, residential, the suffice streets, because those streets are entirely34clogged in the afternoon, entirely clogged.15The Comprehensive Plan change is not needed. All66of the greaut hings that we heard about, residential, terat streets, book on the weight of on the super force that they7can be accomplished with- and it's not a single-family to and board on to me with great force that they8home zoning. It's a multi-family evalue and the development9 <t< th=""><th>12</th><th>duplex neighborhoods, which protects the integrity of</th><th>12</th><th>make a finding tonight that this Site Plan is consistent</th></t<>	12	duplex neighborhoods, which protects the integrity of	12	make a finding tonight that this Site Plan is consistent
15 things that I heard about, we can walk to these 15 I think I have several additional arguments that 16 commercial areas and have dinner. You can do that. 16 17 There's the shops at Sunset Place, which are currently 17 18 always struggling and reinventing themselves. There's 18 19 all of the amenities in Downtown South Miami. There's 19 21 shops and amenities. There is no reason in the world to 20 22 bring 30,000 square feet of additional commercial to the 21 23 doorstep of the Kerdyk Park and those- when I went to 23 24 the neighborhood meeting, which I can't complain, 24 25 because I was there, in terms of notice, but when I went to 23 2 gtoge 74 Page 76 1 to that meeting, and I have kids at Sunset flace, whene that I 1 2 gtoge 71 page 76 3 the surface streets, because those streets are entirely 3 4 cloged in the afternoon, entirely cloged. 4 5 The Comprehensive Plan and tis not a single-family 7 8 how surface streets, because those streets	13	those neighborhoods.	13	with the Comprehensive Plan, I argue, is an illegal
16 commercial areas and have dinner. You can do that. 16 are set forth very dense. By the way, no one goes 17 There's the shops at Sunset Place, which are currently 17 always strugging and reinventing themselves. There's 18 19 all of the amenities in Downtown South Miami. There's 19 all of the amenities in Downtown South Miami. There's 20 the two blocks of the US-1 corridor with all of those 21 shops and amenities. There is no reason in the worl to 23 doorstep of the Kerdyk Park and those when I went to 24 the neighborhood meeting, which I can't complain, 25 because I was there, in terms of notice, but when I went 26 to that meeting, and I have kids at Sunset there that I 2 get everyday, I had to go through the poor neighborhood, 1 3 the surface streets, because those streets are entirely 2 4 cha gead in the afterinon, entirely (logged. 2 5 The Comprehensive Plan change is not needed. All 5 6 of the great things that we heard about, residential, 6 7 can be accomplished with and it is not a single-family 7 8 howe	14	There's nothing wrong with that. All of the great	14	impossibility.
17 There's the shops at Sunset Place, which are currently 17 through the trouble of going through the Comprehensive 18 alvays struggling and reinventing themselves. There's 18 20 the two blocks of the US-1 corridor with all of those 20 21 shops and amenities. There is no reason in the world to 20 22 bring 30,000 square feet of additional commercial to the 21 24 the neighborhood meeting, which 1 can't complain, 22 25 because I was there, in terms of notice, but when I went 23 26 to that meeting, and I have kids at Sunset there that I 1 2 get everyday, I had to go through the poor neighborhood, 1 3 the surface streets, because thoes streets are entirely 2 4 can be accomplished with and it's not a single-family 7 7 can be accomplished with and it's not a single-family 7 8 the only reason is that they want to increase the 11 10 have 97 feet as of right height that 1 can say nothing 10 11 about, Sreat Old about, residential, 7 12 value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring10 11	15	things that I heard about, we can walk to these	15	I think I have several additional arguments that
18 alvays struggling and reinventing themselves. There's all of the amenities in Downtown South Miami. There's all of the amenities in Downtown South Miami. There's in the two blocks of the US-1 corridor with all of those 18 Plan itself and all of the policies, and it was—Tm 19 all of the amenities. There is no reason in the world to bring 30,000 square feet of additional commercial to the 20 what happens is the Applicant will go and cherry pick the ones that fit their project, and they emphasize those. 21 the neighborhood meeting, which I can't complain, 25 because I was there, in terms of notice, but when I went to 23 I did want to say that the Comprehensive Plan and 24 25 because I was there, in terms of notice, but when I went to 24 the ability to walk to nearby amenities, lack of adverse 26 Fage 74 Page 76 27 Fage 74 Page 76 28 to that meeting, and I have kids at Sunset there that I 2 impact to environmental areas, all of those very fine elements that are perfectly satisfied by building a 3 38 beautiful, certainly new, certainly redeveloped, but not uprone heighborhood meeting, which are an its not a single-family for the great things that we heard about, residential, 6 for the comprehensive Plan and its not a single-family 39 home zoning. It's a multi-family 40 acres per unit 20 for the conting the site of the additional density. for the great things that we heard about, residential,	16	commercial areas and have dinner. You can do that.	16	are set forth very dense. By the way, no one goes
19 all of the amenities in Downtown South Miami. There's the two blocks of the US-1 corridor with all of those 19 sure it was very boring if you read it, but I did. And what happens is the Applicant will go and cherry pick 20 bring 30,000 square feet of additional commercial to the doorstep of the Kerdyk Park and those when I went to the neighborhood meeting, which I can't complain, 20 the ones that fit their project, and they emphasize 21 borstep of the Kerdyk Park and those when I went to the neighborhood meeting, which I can't complain, 23 I did want to say that the Comprehensive Plan and Rezoning Analysis all discuss multi-family residential, 25 because I was there, in terms of notice, but when I went 23 I did want to say that the Comprehensive Plan and Rezoning Analysis all discuss multi-family residential, 3 to that meeting, and I have kids at Sunset there that I 1 impact to environmental areas, all of those very fine 4 clogged in the afternoon, entirely clogged. 1 impact to environmental areas, all of those very fine 5 The Comprehensive Plan change is not needed. All 6 commercial that just and, my guess, without I don't 6 of the great things that we heard about, residential, 7 going for the commercial is purely for the benefit of 7 the additional density. 10 have 97 feet as of right height that I can s	17	There's the shops at Sunset Place, which are currently	17	through the trouble of going through the Comprehensive
20 the two blocks of the US-1 corridor with all of those shops and amenities. There is no reason in the world to 22 20 what happens is the Applicant will go and cherry pick the ones that fit their project, and they emphasize those. 21 bring 30,000 square feet of additional commercial to the 24 20 what happens is the Applicant will go and cherry pick the ones that fit their project, and they emphasize those. 22 doorstep of the Kerdyk Park and those when I went to 24 24 the neighborhood meeting, which I can't complain, 25 22 24 the neighborhood meeting, and I have kids at Sunset there that I 2 page 74 Page 76 1 to that meeting, and I have kids at Sunset there that I 2 1 impact to environmental areas, all of those very fine 2 elements that are perfectly satisfied by building a 2 3 beautiful, certainly new, certainly redeveloped, but not upzoned to this level of density, and this level of 2 5 4 can be accomplished with and it's not a single-family 3 7 2 6 feet as of right height that I can say nothing 2 7 8 10 have s97 feet as of right height that I can say nothing 2 7 8 11 what happens is the Applicant will poly on y papers that I 3 1 1 12 have suportinting there are several<	18	always struggling and reinventing themselves. There's	18	Plan itself and all of the policies, and it was I'm
21 shops and amenities. There is no reason in the world to 21 the ones that fit their project, and they emphasize 22 bring 30,000 square feet of additional commercial to the 22 those. 23 doorstep of the Kerdyk Park and those when I went to 23 I did want to say that the Comprehensive Plan and 24 the neighborhood meeting, which I can't complain, 25 I did want to say that the Comprehensive Plan and 25 because I was there, in terms of notice, but when I went 23 I did want to say that the Comprehensive Plan and 26 Page 74 Page 76 Page 76 1 to that meeting, and I have kids at Sunset there that I 1 impact to environmental areas, all of those very fine 26 ged in the afremoon, entriely clogged. 4 the surface streets, because those streets are entriely 3 3 the great things that we heard about, residential, 6 want to speak for their side, the reason that they're 7 cane accomplished with and it's not a single-family 7 going for the commercial is purely for the benefit of 8 howe 207 feet as of right height that I can say nothing 10 thave submitted. Oh, in addition, there are several 11 about. Great, You have	19	all of the amenities in Downtown South Miami. There's		
22 bring 30,000 square feet of additional commercial to the doorstep of the Kerdyk Park and those when I went to the neighborhood meeting, which I can't complain, the eighborhood meeting, which I can't complain, the eighborhood meeting, and I have kids at Sunset there that I 22 those. I did want to say that the Comprehensive Plan and Rezoning Analysis all discuss multi-family residential, the ability to walk to nearby amenities, lack of adverse 1 to that meeting, and I have kids at Sunset there that I 24 impact to environmental areas, all of those very fine 2 elements that are perfectly satisfied by building a beautiful, certainly new, certainly redeveloped, but not 3 the surface streets, because those streets are entirely a beautiful, certainly new, certainly redeveloped, but not 4 clogged in the afternoon, entirely clogged. 5 The Comprehensive Plan change is not needed. All 6 of the great things that we heard about, residential, 6 can be accomplished with and it's not a single-family 7 can be accomplished with- and it's not a single-family 7 going for the commercial is purely for the benefit of 8 home zoning. It's a multi-family 40 acres per unit 8 have submitted. Oh, in addition, there are several 10 have sof right height that I can say nothing 10 have submitted. Oh, in addition, there are several	20			what happens is the Applicant will go and cherry pick
23 doorstep of the Kerdyk Park and those when I went to 23 I did want to say that the Comprehensive Plan and 24 the neighborhood meeting, which I can't complain, 24 Rezoning Analysis all discuss multi-family residential, 25 because I was there, in terms of notice, but when I went 25 Page 74 Page 76 1 to that meeting, and I have kids at Sunset there that I 1 2 get everyday, I had to go through the poor neighborhood, 3 3 the surface streets, because those streets are entirely 2 4 clogged in the afternoon, entirely clogged. 4 5 The Comprehensive Plan change is not needed. All 5 6 of the great things that we heard about, residential, 6 7 can be accomplished with and it's not a single-family 8 8 home zoning. It's a multi-family 40 acres per unit 9 9 with Great. You have a wealth of ample development 1 11 have 97 feet as of right height that I can say nothing 1 12 value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring 1 13 baccuse it's only a few more or a small percentage more. 1		1		the ones that fit their project, and they emphasize
24the neighborhood meeting, which I can't complain, because I was there, in terms of notice, but when I went24Rezoning Analysis all discuss multi-family residential, the ability to walk to nearby amenities, lack of adversePage 74Page 74Page 74Page 74Page 76into to that meeting, and I have kids at Sunset there that I get everyday, I had to go through the poor neighborhood, at the surface streets, because those streets are entirely clogged in the afternoon, entirely clogged.1into Comprehensive Plan change is not needed. All of the great things that we heard about, residential, can be accomplished with and it's not a single-family bome zoning. It's a multi-family 40 acres per unit about. Great. You have a wealth of ample development value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring 131impact to environmental areas, all of those very fine elements that are perfectly satisfied by building a beaufild, certainly new, certainly redeveloped, but not upzoned to this level of density, and this level of commercial that just- and, my guess, without - I don't want to speak for their side, the reason that they're going for the commercial is purely for the benefit of the add opportunity there. Why do you have to bring 13 the only reason is that they want to increase the lensity. Also, be careful about the calculations, because it's only a few more or a small percentage more. It's actually doubled. If you take the parcel next to radius the additional density.2416It's actually doubled. If you take the parcel next to radius the far from approximately 2.25 up to 35, adding the 42 units, the admittedy hundreds				
25 because I was there, in terms of notice, but when I went 25 the ability to walk to nearby amenities, lack of adverse Page 74 Page 76 1 to that meeting, and I have kids at Sunset there that I 1 2 get everyday, I had to go through the poor neighborhood, 1 3 the surface streets, because those streets are entirely 2 4 clogged in the afternoon, entirely clogged. 4 5 The Comprehensive Plan change is not needed. All 5 6 of the great things that we heard about, residential, 6 7 can be accomplished with and it's not a single-family 7 8 home zoning. It's a multi-family 40 acres per unit 9 9 zoning. They point out to me with great force that they 9 10 have 97 feet as of right heigh that I can say nothing 10 11 about. Great. You have a wealth of ample development 11 12 value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring 12 13 the only reason is that they want to increase the 14 14 density. Also, be careful about the calculations, 12 15 because it's only a few more or a small percentage more. 14 16 It's actually doubled. If you take the parcel next to 15 <tr< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></tr<>				
Page 74Page 741to that meeting, and I have kids at Sunset there that I12get everyday, I had to go through the poor neighborhood,13the surface streets, because those streets are entirely24clogged in the afternoon, entirely clogged.35The Comprehensive Plan change is not needed. All66of the great things that we heard about, residential,77ccan be accomplished with and it's not a single-family78home zoning. It's a multi-family 40 acres per unit89zoning. They point out to me with great force that they910have 97 feet as of right height that I can say nothing1011about. Great. You have a wealth of ample development1112value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring-1214density. Also, be careful about the calculations,1415because it's only a few more or a small percentage more.1516right me FAR from approximately 2.25 up to 3.5, adding1919the 42 units, the admittedly hundreds of thousands of1920square feet of additional development rights by just2021the comprehensive Plan, and it should this item should2222the and he Rviera Neighborhood Association and24		• • •		••••
1to that meeting, and I have kids at Sunset there that I1impact to environmental areas, all of those very fine2get everyday, I had to go through the poor neighborhood,1impact to environmental areas, all of those very fine3the surface streets, because those streets are entirely1impact to environmental areas, all of those very fine4clogged in the afternoon, entirely clogged.4upzoned to this level of density, and this level of5The Comprehensive Plan change is not needed. All5commercial that just and, my guess, without I don't6of the great things that we heard about, residential,6want to speak for their side, the reason that they're7can be accomplished with and it's not a single-family7going for the commercial is purely for the benefit of8home zoning. It's a multi-family 40 acres per unit9With that, I think I will rely on my papers that I9zoning. They point out to me with great force that they9With that, I think I will rely on my papers that I11about. Great. You have a wealth of ample development10have submitted. Oh, in addition, there are several12value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring12Ive attached a couple of them in the book, and we14density. Also, be careful about the calculations,146 was good, where Mr. Antonio Friguls, F-R-I-G-U-L-S, at13handed out some more. I thought that the one behind Tab16park, talks about why can't you build it as of right,14density. Also, be careful about the cal	25	because I was there, in terms of notice, but when I went	25	the ability to walk to nearby amenities, lack of adverse
2get everyday, I had to go through the poor neighborhood,2elements that are perfectly satisfied by building a3the surface streets, because those streets are entirely3beautiful, certainly new, certainly redeveloped, but not4clogged in the afternoon, entirely clogged.4upzoned to this level of density, and this level of5The Comprehensive Plan change is not needed. All5commercial that just and, my guess, without I don't6of the great things that we heard about, residential,6want to speak for their side, the reason that they're7can be accomplished with and it's not a single-family7going for the commercial is purely for the benefit of8home zoning. It's a multi-family 40 acres per unit9With that, I think I will rely on my papers that I9nome zoning. It's a multi-family 40 acres per unit9With that, I think I will rely on my papers that I10have 97 feet as of right height that I can say nothing10have submitted. Oh, in addition, there are several11about. Great. You have a wealth of ample development11letters of objection or adverse neighbor positions.12value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring12I've attached a couple of them in the book, and we13the only reason is that they areal next to146 was good, where Mr. Antonio Friguls, F-R-I-G-U-L-S, at14density. Also, be careful about the calculations,141415because it's only a few more or a small percentage more.1116It's actuall		Page 74		Page 76
2get everyday, I had to go through the poor neighborhood,2elements that are perfectly satisfied by building a3the surface streets, because those streets are entirely3beautiful, certainly new, certainly redeveloped, but not4clogged in the afternoon, entirely clogged.4upzoned to this level of density, and this level of5The Comprehensive Plan change is not needed. All5commercial that just and, my guess, without I don't6of the great things that we heard about, residential,6want to speak for their side, the reason that they're7can be accomplished with and it's not a single-family7going for the commercial is purely for the benefit of8home zoning. It's a multi-family 40 acres per unit9With that, I think I will rely on my papers that I9zoning. They point out to me with great force that they9With that, I think I will rely on my papers that I10have 97 feet as of right height that I can say nothing10have submitted. Oh, in addition, there are several11about. Great. You have a wealth of ample development11letters of objection or adverse neighbor positions.12value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring12I've attached a couple of them in the book, and we13the only reason is that they want to increase the13handed out some more. I thought that the one behind Tab14density. Also, be careful about the calculations,146 was good, where Mr. Antonio Friguls, F-R-I-G-U-L-S, at15because it's only a few more or a small per	1	to that meeting, and I have kids at Sunset there that I	1	impact to environmental areas, all of those very fine
3the surface streets, because those streets are entirely clogged in the afternoon, entirely clogged.3beautiful, certainly new, certainly redeveloped, but not upzoned to this level of density, and this level of5The Comprehensive Plan change is not needed. All of the great things that we heard about, residential, rean be accomplished with and it's not a single-family zoning. They point out to me with great force that they paves for their side, the reason that they're going for the commercial is purely for the benefit of the additional density.9zoning. It's a multi-family 40 acres per unit paves of right height that I can say nothing about. Great. You have a wealth of ample development the only reason is that they want to increase the the only reason is that they want to increase the the only reason is that they want to increase the the only reason is that they want to increase the the only reason is that they more or a small percentage more.10have submitted. Oh, in addition, there are several letters of objection or adverse neighbor positions.15because it's only a few more or a small percentage more. If's actually doubled. If you take the parcel next to my client in isolation, it's a doubling of the density.17and that the additional density will only add to the park, talks about why can't you build it as of right, and that the additional density will only add to the public.19the 42 units, the admittedly hundreds of thousands of square feet of additional development rights by just the Comprehensive Plan, and it should this item should the Comprehensive Plan, and it should this item should the comernehensive Plan, and it should this item should the comtrehensive Plan, and it should this item should the continued unt				•
4clogged in the afternoon, entirely clogged.4upzoned to this level of density, and this level of5The Comprehensive Plan change is not needed. All5commercial that just and, my guess, without I don't6of the great things that we heard about, residential,6want to speak for their side, the reason that they're7can be accomplished with and it's not a single-family7going for the commercial is purely for the benefit of8home zoning. It's a multi-family 40 acres per unit8the additional density.9zoning. They point out to me with great force that they9With that, I think I will rely on my papers that I10have 97 feet as of right height that I can say nothing10have submitted. Oh, in addition, there are several11about. Great. You have a wealth of ample development11letters of objection or adverse neighbor positions.12value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring12I've attached a couple of them in the book, and we13the only reason is that they want to increase the13handed out some more. I thought that the one behind Tab14density. Also, be careful about the calculations,146 was good, where Mr. Antonio Friguls, F-R-I-G-U-L-S, at15because it's only a few more or a small percentage more.151131 Manati, which is right on the other side of the16It's actually doubled. If you take the parcel next to16park, talks about why can't you build it as of right,18Taking the FAR from approximately 2.25 up to 3.5, adding18 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>				
5The Comprehensive Plan change is not needed. All5commercial that just and, my guess, without I don't6of the great things that we heard about, residential,6want to speak for their side, the reason that they're7can be accomplished with and it's not a single-family7going for the commercial is purely for the benefit of8home zoning. It's a multi-family 40 acres per unit9With that, I think I will rely on my papers that I9zoning. They point out to me with great force that they9With that, I think I will rely on my papers that I10have 97 feet as of right height that I can say nothing10have submitted. Oh, in addition, there are several11about. Great. You have a wealth of ample development11letters of objection or adverse neighbor positions.12value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring12I've attached a couple of them in the book, and we13the only reason is that they want to increase the13handed out some more. I thought that the one behind Tab14density. Also, be careful about the calculations,146 was good, where Mr. Antonio Friguls, F-R-I-G-U-L-S, at15because it's only a few more or a small percentage more.151131 Manati, which is right on the other side of the16It's actually doubled. If you take the parcel next to16park, talks about why can't you build it as of right,19the 42 units, the admittedly hundreds of thousands of19public.20square feet of additional development rights by just20By		-		
6of the great things that we heard about, residential, (an be accomplished with and it's not a single-family home zoning. It's a multi-family 40 acres per unit 96want to speak for their side, the reason that they're going for the commercial is purely for the benefit of the additional density.9zoning. They point out to me with great force that they point out to me with great force that they about. Great. You have a wealth of ample development value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring 1210have submitted. Oh, in addition, there are several letters of objection or adverse neighbor positions.12value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring the only reason is that they want to increase the density. Also, be careful about the calculations, the satually doubled. If you take the parcel next to 1611handed out some more. I thought that the one behind Tab 6 was good, where Mr. Antonio Friguls, F-R-I-G-U-L-S, at 1131 Manati, which is right on the other side of the park, talks about why can't you build it as of right, and that the additional density will only add to the park, talks about why can't you build it as of right, and that the additional density will only add to the public.18Taking the FAR from approximately 2.25 up to 3.5, adding the 42 units, the admittedly hundreds of thousands of square feet of additional development rights by just 202021this enactment is inappropriate, it's inconsistent with 2220By the way, I don't and the developers come to you tonight to ask that with a stroke of a pen that we you tonight to ask that with a stroke of a pen that we you tonight to ask that with a stroke of a pen that we <br< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>•</th></br<>				•
7can be accomplished with and it's not a single-family home zoning. It's a multi-family 40 acres per unit7going for the commercial is purely for the benefit of the additional density.9zoning. They point out to me with great force that they have 97 feet as of right height that I can say nothing10have 97 feet as of right height that I can say nothing1011about. Great. You have a wealth of ample development11letters of objection or adverse neighbor positions.1212value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring the only reason is that they want to increase the13handed out some more. I thought that the one behind Tab14density. Also, be careful about the calculations, the set is only a few more or a small percentage more.151131 Manati, which is right on the other side of the park, talks about why can't you build it as of right, and that the additional density will only add to the park, talks about why can't you build it as of right, and that the additional density will only add to the pecuniary benefit of the Applicant, and not serve the public.19the 42 units, the admittedly hundreds of thousands of this enactment is inappropriate, it's inconsistent with 2220By the way, I don't and the developers come to you tonight to ask that with a stroke of a pen that we confirm millions of dollars of development rights onto23be continued until such time as the concerns of my 2423them, and I haven't heard any public benefit concerning the park, concerning traffic. I did hear some of the				
8home zoning. It's a multi-family 40 acres per unit8the additional density.9zoning. They point out to me with great force that they9With that, I think I will rely on my papers that I10have 97 feet as of right height that I can say nothing10have submitted. Oh, in addition, there are several11about. Great. You have a wealth of ample development11letters of objection or adverse neighbor positions.12value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring12I've attached a couple of them in the book, and we13the only reason is that they want to increase the13handed out some more. I thought that the one behind Tab14density. Also, be careful about the calculations,146 was good, where Mr. Antonio Friguls, F-R-I-G-U-L-S, at15because it's only a few more or a small percentage more.151131 Manati, which is right on the other side of the16It's actually doubled. If you take the parcel next to16park, talks about why can't you build it as of right,17my client in isolation, it's a doubling of the density.17and that the additional density will only add to the19the 42 units, the admittedly hundreds of thousands of19public.20square feet of additional development rights by just20By the way, I don't and the developers come to21this enactment is inappropriate, it's inconsistent with21you tonight to ask that with a stroke of a pen that we22the Comprehensive Plan, and it should this item should22confirm millions of d				
9zoning. They point out to me with great force that they have 97 feet as of right height that I can say nothing9With that, I think I will rely on my papers that I have submitted. Oh, in addition, there are several11about. Great. You have a wealth of ample development10have submitted. Oh, in addition, there are several12value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring 1312I've attached a couple of them in the book, and we13the only reason is that they want to increase the density. Also, be careful about the calculations, 14146 was good, where Mr. Antonio Friguls, F-R-I-G-U-L-S, at15because it's only a few more or a small percentage more. 16151131 Manati, which is right on the other side of the park, talks about why can't you build it as of right, and that the additional density will only add to the pecuniary benefit of the Applicant, and not serve the public.19the 42 units, the admittedly hundreds of thousands of 2019By the way, I don't and the developers come to you tonight to ask that with a stroke of a pen that we confirm millions of dollars of development rights onto23be continued until such time as the concerns of my 2423the mark, concerning traffic. I did hear some of the				
10have 97 feet as of right height that I can say nothing about. Great. You have a wealth of ample development value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring 1310have submitted. Oh, in addition, there are several letters of objection or adverse neighbor positions.12value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring the only reason is that they want to increase the density. Also, be careful about the calculations, te scause it's only a few more or a small percentage more. If's actually doubled. If you take the parcel next to my client in isolation, it's a doubling of the density.10have submitted. Oh, in addition, there are several letters of objection or adverse neighbor positions. Ive attached a couple of them in the book, and we handed out some more. I thought that the one behind Tab 6 was good, where Mr. Antonio Friguls, F-R-I-G-U-L-S, at 1131 Manati, which is right on the other side of the park, talks about why can't you build it as of right, and that the additional density will only add to the pecuniary benefit of the Applicant, and not serve the public.13the admittedly hundreds of thousands of square feet of additional development rights by just the Comprehensive Plan, and it should this item should 2320By the way, I don't and the developers come to you tonight to ask that with a stroke of a pen that we confirm millions of dollars of development rights onto23be continued until such time as the concerns of my client and the Riviera Neighborhood Association and24the park, concerning traffic. I did hear some of the				•
11about. Great. You have a wealth of ample development11letters of objection or adverse neighbor positions.12value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring12I've attached a couple of them in the book, and we13the only reason is that they want to increase the13handed out some more. I thought that the one behind Tab14density. Also, be careful about the calculations,146 was good, where Mr. Antonio Friguls, F-R-I-G-U-L-S, at15because it's only a few more or a small percentage more.151131 Manati, which is right on the other side of the16It's actually doubled. If you take the parcel next to16park, talks about why can't you build it as of right,17my client in isolation, it's a doubling of the density.17and that the additional density will only add to the19the 42 units, the admittedly hundreds of thousands of19public.20square feet of additional development rights by just20By the way, I don't and the developers come to21this enactment is inappropriate, it's inconsistent with2121by the astroke of a pen that we23be continued until such time as the concerns of my2323the park, concerning traffic. I did hear some of the24client and the Riviera Neighborhood Association and24the park, concerning traffic. I did hear some of the			10	• • • • •
12value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring the only reason is that they want to increase the density. Also, be careful about the calculations, because it's only a few more or a small percentage more.12I've attached a couple of them in the book, and we handed out some more. I thought that the one behind Tab 6 was good, where Mr. Antonio Friguls, F-R-I-G-U-L-S, at 1131 Manati, which is right on the other side of the park, talks about why can't you build it as of right, and that the additional density will only add to the park, talks about why can't you build it as of right, and that the additional density will only add to the pecuniary benefit of the Applicant, and not serve the public.19the 42 units, the admittedly hundreds of thousands of square feet of additional development rights by just this enactment is inappropriate, it's inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and it should this item should 23 be continued until such time as the concerns of my 2420I've attached a couple of them in the book, and we handed out some more. I thought that the one behind Tab 6 was good, where Mr. Antonio Friguls, F-R-I-G-U-L-S, at 1131 Manati, which is right on the other side of the park, talks about why can't you build it as of right, and that the additional density will only add to the pecuniary benefit of the Applicant, and not serve the public.20square feet of additional development rights by just the comprehensive Plan, and it should this item should 23 be continued until such time as the concerns of my client and the Riviera Neighborhood Association and24I've attached a couple of them in the book, and we handed out some more. I thought that the one behind Tab 6 was good, where Mr. Antonio Friguls, F-R-I-G-U-L-S, at 1131 Manati, which is right o	11		11	
14density. Also, be careful about the calculations,146 was good, where Mr. Antonio Friguls, F-R-I-G-U-L-S, at15because it's only a few more or a small percentage more.151516It's actually doubled. If you take the parcel next to161617my client in isolation, it's a doubling of the density.17and that the additional density will only add to the18Taking the FAR from approximately 2.25 up to 3.5, adding18pecuniary benefit of the Applicant, and not serve the19the 42 units, the admittedly hundreds of thousands of19public.20square feet of additional development rights by just20By the way, I don't and the developers come to21this enactment is inappropriate, it's inconsistent with21Su tonight to ask that with a stroke of a pen that we23be continued until such time as the concerns of my23them, and I haven't heard any public benefit concerning24client and the Riviera Neighborhood Association and24the park, concerning traffic. I did hear some of the	12	value and opportunity there. Why do you have to bring	12	I've attached a couple of them in the book, and we
14density. Also, be careful about the calculations,146 was good, where Mr. Antonio Friguls, F-R-I-G-U-L-S, at15because it's only a few more or a small percentage more.151516It's actually doubled. If you take the parcel next to161617my client in isolation, it's a doubling of the density.17and that the additional density will only add to the18Taking the FAR from approximately 2.25 up to 3.5, adding18pecuniary benefit of the Applicant, and not serve the19the 42 units, the admittedly hundreds of thousands of19public.20square feet of additional development rights by just20By the way, I don't and the developers come to21this enactment is inappropriate, it's inconsistent with21you tonight to ask that with a stroke of a pen that we23be continued until such time as the concerns of my23them, and I haven't heard any public benefit concerning24client and the Riviera Neighborhood Association and24the park, concerning traffic. I did hear some of the	13		13	-
16It's actually doubled. If you take the parcel next to16park, talks about why can't you build it as of right,17my client in isolation, it's a doubling of the density.17and that the additional density will only add to the18Taking the FAR from approximately 2.25 up to 3.5, adding18pecuniary benefit of the Applicant, and not serve the19the 42 units, the admittedly hundreds of thousands of19public.20square feet of additional development rights by just20By the way, I don't and the developers come to21this enactment is inappropriate, it's inconsistent with21you tonight to ask that with a stroke of a pen that we22the Comprehensive Plan, and it should this item should23them, and I haven't heard any public benefit concerning24client and the Riviera Neighborhood Association and24the park, concerning traffic. I did hear some of the	14	density. Also, be careful about the calculations,	14	-
17my client in isolation, it's a doubling of the density.1718Taking the FAR from approximately 2.25 up to 3.5, adding1819the 42 units, the admittedly hundreds of thousands of1920square feet of additional development rights by just2021this enactment is inappropriate, it's inconsistent with2122the Comprehensive Plan, and it should this item should2223be continued until such time as the concerns of my2324client and the Riviera Neighborhood Association and24	15	because it's only a few more or a small percentage more.	15	1131 Manati, which is right on the other side of the
18Taking the FAR from approximately 2.25 up to 3.5, adding the 42 units, the admittedly hundreds of thousands of square feet of additional development rights by just18pecuniary benefit of the Applicant, and not serve the public.20square feet of additional development rights by just20By the way, I don't and the developers come to you tonight to ask that with a stroke of a pen that we confirm millions of dollars of development rights onto21the Comprehensive Plan, and it should this item should be continued until such time as the concerns of my client and the Riviera Neighborhood Association and2324client and the Riviera Neighborhood Association and24	16	It's actually doubled. If you take the parcel next to	16	park, talks about why can't you build it as of right,
19the 42 units, the admittedly hundreds of thousands of square feet of additional development rights by just19public.20square feet of additional development rights by just20By the way, I don't and the developers come to21this enactment is inappropriate, it's inconsistent with21by the way, I don't and the developers come to22the Comprehensive Plan, and it should this item should22confirm millions of dollars of development rights onto23be continued until such time as the concerns of my23them, and I haven't heard any public benefit concerning24client and the Riviera Neighborhood Association and24the park, concerning traffic. I did hear some of the	17	my client in isolation, it's a doubling of the density.	17	and that the additional density will only add to the
20square feet of additional development rights by just20By the way, I don't and the developers come to21this enactment is inappropriate, it's inconsistent with21Sy the way, I don't and the developers come to22the Comprehensive Plan, and it should this item should22Confirm millions of dollars of development rights onto23be continued until such time as the concerns of my23the m, and I haven't heard any public benefit concerning24client and the Riviera Neighborhood Association and24the park, concerning traffic. I did hear some of the	18	Taking the FAR from approximately 2.25 up to 3.5, adding	18	pecuniary benefit of the Applicant, and not serve the
 this enactment is inappropriate, it's inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and it should this item should be continued until such time as the concerns of my client and the Riviera Neighborhood Association and you tonight to ask that with a stroke of a pen that we confirm millions of dollars of development rights onto them, and I haven't heard any public benefit concerning the park, concerning traffic. I did hear some of the 		-		public.
22the Comprehensive Plan, and it should this item should22confirm millions of dollars of development rights onto23be continued until such time as the concerns of my23them, and I haven't heard any public benefit concerning24client and the Riviera Neighborhood Association and24the park, concerning traffic. I did hear some of the			20	
23be continued until such time as the concerns of my23them, and I haven't heard any public benefit concerning24client and the Riviera Neighborhood Association and24the park, concerning traffic. I did hear some of the		** *		
24client and the Riviera Neighborhood Association and24the park, concerning traffic. I did hear some of the				· ·
		•		
25others can be addressed.25proffers that Mario went through, but I did not hear any		<u> </u>		
	25	others can be addressed.	25	proffers that Mario went through, but I did not hear any

	Page 77		Page 79
1	significant public benefit that would benefit the park	1	in Coral Gables.
2	and the residential areas beyond.	2	Coral Gables was originally designed as a paradise
3	I think I've made all of my points, and I want to	3	for the middle class, and the trend continues to occur
4	thank the Chair for letting me have additional time, far	4	where over more and more less low cost options are
5	more than is typically allowed for public comment, and	5	happening.
6	it's my pleasure to see the Board again. If you have	6	Mr. Savage and Debra raised my concerns, as far as
7	any questions of me after Mario's rebuttal or the like,	7	parking and traffic, and the density. I come here on
8	I am available.	8	behalf of the developers. Given, it's a beautiful
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	9	design, and there's more units, that this Board be aware
10	MR. SAVAGE: Thank you so much.	10	of the cost, because UM is very limited in housing,
11	MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you.	11	given they're land locked, and it's very limited rental
12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you call the next person,	12	opportunity that is affordable to students.
13	please?	13	With that, I give up my time, and thank you.
14	THE SECRETARY: Debra Register.	14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
15	MS. REGISTER: Hello. My name is Debra Register.	15	THE SECRETARY: Sue
16	I reside at 1240 Placetas Avenue. In addition, I have	16	MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Board. My name is
17	an office at 1430 South Dixie Highway, which is in the	17	Sue Kawalerski. I reside at 6830 Gratian Street, and
18	San Antonio corner building. I come here more as a	18	tonight I'm representing the Riviera Neighborhood
19	business owner. Our area is flooded with traffic. To	19	Association as the President. We have had the
20	leave in the evening, it stacks on Madruga. It's	20	opportunity to meet with the developers on a number of
21	difficult to get out. It stacks on Yumuri. Plus during	21	occasions since the public meeting. By the way, that
22	the day, the traffic doesn't seize that much more.	22	public meeting was attended by about six actual
23	You also have the school that's letting out. You	23	residents. It was not very well attended. I was very
24	have the poor design of the rehab center, which doesn't	24	surprised, as a matter of fact, that it was not well
25	have enough parking. And I see this is going to happen	25	attended, but the was represented by three members out
	Page 78		Page 80
1	with this. I'm not here to fight height. I'm here to	1	of the six. I believe it was about six, maybe seven.

1	with this. I'm not here to fight height. I'm here to	1
2	fight density. We, in this area, do not need any more	2
3	density. This opens also a Pandora's box for all of the	3
4	properties that are south.	4
5	I do it does disturb me that, one, they come in	5
6	for one parcel to be approved, and now they come in for	6
7	a second parcel, stating that the parcel north of them	7
8	is approved, so now we have the right to have the parcel	8
9	below them to the south. They're the same owners.	9
10	They're working together, and so I do that disturbs	10
11	me, and that disturbs me to the fact that they can get	11
12	this approved using this method, coming before all of	12
13	the Boards and the Commission. So, again, I am against	13
14	the density and the traffic that is going to occur in	14
15	this particular area.	15
16	Thank you.	16
17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	17
18	THE SECRETARY: Mitchell Arthur.	18
19	MR. ARTHUR: Good evening to the Board. My name	19
20	is Mitchell Arthur. I am a resident at 1500 Venera	20
21	Avenue, and I come on behalf of the students that are	21
22	currently living in the current units. The vast	22
23	majority of the tenants are students. They attend UM at	23
24	the undergraduate and graduate level, and as you all are	24
25	aware, there are very few low cost rental options left	25

of the six. I believe it was about six, maybe seven. But let me just say that we walked into that

meeting not knowing what to expect. We heard that it was going to be a very large project, and we were right. It was a large project. What our main concerns are density, the parking, the traffic, and the setbacks.

Now, since that first meeting, we have had discussions on the parking, and we feel a little bit more satisfied about the adequateness of the parking, but what we're not satisfied with, and what really hasn't been addressed is the density, and how that affects us, the neighbors. Is the fact that more density equates to more traffic? Right now, on that site, there are, I believe, 85 units. To increase to 175 units-- and I know that as of right they are allowed 133, but if they increase to 175, from the present 85, we just feel that it will present a flood of more traffic into the neighborhood.

Debbie Register was absolutely correct. At any time of day, whether it be the Riviera School, the in and the out of parents, whether it be the Riviera Spa, and the ambulances and the traffic-- rates, whether it be the Whole Foods situation, the current Publix, you name it, the traffic right now, just with the 85 units, is impossible to navigate at any particular point of the

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

Page	83

	Page 81		Page 83
1	day. So we feel that the density equates to traffic.	1	In 2001, I was voted builder of the year by BASF.
2	And the traffic you know, this notion that after	2	In 2003, I served on the Coral Gables Property
3	the project is built, we'll do a study, well, what are	3	Advisory Board, and I currently sit on the Board of
4	we going to do? Realize after a year that that project	4	Governors of the Miami Association of Realtors.
5	is flooding the neighborhood with so much traffic, we're	5	My father and I own Lowell Homes and Lowell
6	not going to tear it down, are we? But we're kicking	6	International Realty based out of Coral Gables, and I
7	this problem with traffic down the road just like a can.	7	tell you all of this so you understand that real estate
8	I mean, we have to address this traffic situation. And	8	and Coral Gables are in my blood. I am not anti-growth,
9	the only immediacy on our plate right now is to address	9	but I am a smart growth advocate.
10	the projects like this, which are much too dense, and we	10	In 2010, I purchased our office building on San
11	know that they are going to create more traffic.	11	Remo off market, specifically because I loved the
12	So what we're saying is that the developers have	12	street, the low and mid-rise nature of the neighborhood,
13	been very good in meeting with the neighbors, and we	13	and its proximity to a nice residential neighborhood and
14	appreciate that, but our main concern is that the	14	park. It very much mirrored our business and the
15	density has not really been addressed. So we would	15	clientele that we serve.
15 16		16	Today, I'm here to voice my objection to the
17	consider you thinking about this increasing density, and the impacts that I'm speaking of, and the unknowns that	17	
		18	proposed Venera project. While I by no means am
18	we don't yet have on our plate, because the rest of that		objecting to redevelopment of the site, the density and
19 20	area also well be coming to this Board looking for these	19 20	the scale of what is being proposed is ludicrous, and
20	kinds of approvals. This is just one of a number that		not representative of smart growth.
	are coming to that neighborhood.	21	San Remo Avenue is at its maximum capacity of
22	My recommendation to Mr. Trias has been, really,	22	traffic currently. It bears the load of traffic
23	if we are going to be considering this a second downtown	23	frequenting the Shops of Sunset, Sunset Office Plaza,
24	for the City of Coral Gables, what we really need in	24	Baptist Health, Riviera Day School, and the Riviera
25	that area is a Master Plan, and not look at project by	25	Nursing Home.
	Page 82		Page 84
		1	rage 04
1		1	
1 2	project, as they're coming before these Boards and	1	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building,
	project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final	2	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right
2 3	project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood.	2 3	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to
2	project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that	2 3 4	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my
2 3 4	project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera	2 3 4 5	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons.
2 3 4 5	project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on	2 3 4	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as
2 3 4 5 6	project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on any more developments.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as a maneuver short term parking to access the Breast
2 3 4 5 6 7	project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on any more developments. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as a maneuver short term parking to access the Breast Center and Medical Office Suites, and Plaza San Remo.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on any more developments. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as a maneuver short term parking to access the Breast Center and Medical Office Suites, and Plaza San Remo. Traffic in the mornings and evenings stack all of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on any more developments. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Lani Drody. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as a maneuver short term parking to access the Breast Center and Medical Office Suites, and Plaza San Remo. Traffic in the mornings and evenings stack all of the way up to Yumuri. We've had to wait to even pull out of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on any more developments. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Lani Drody. MS. DRODY: Good evening. I prepared a statement, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as a maneuver short term parking to access the Breast Center and Medical Office Suites, and Plaza San Remo. Traffic in the mornings and evenings stack all of the way up to Yumuri. We've had to wait to even pull out of our office at certain points of the day.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on any more developments. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Lani Drody. MS. DRODY: Good evening. I prepared a statement, because I'm always afraid when I do these things 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as a maneuver short term parking to access the Breast Center and Medical Office Suites, and Plaza San Remo. Traffic in the mornings and evenings stack all of the way up to Yumuri. We've had to wait to even pull out of our office at certain points of the day. The Applicant's desire to double the density in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on any more developments. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Lani Drody. MS. DRODY: Good evening. I prepared a statement, because I'm always afraid when I do these things CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you state your name and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as a maneuver short term parking to access the Breast Center and Medical Office Suites, and Plaza San Remo. Traffic in the mornings and evenings stack all of the way up to Yumuri. We've had to wait to even pull out of our office at certain points of the day. The Applicant's desire to double the density in this neighborhood by putting 175 rental units mainly one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on any more developments. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Lani Drody. MS. DRODY: Good evening. I prepared a statement, because I'm always afraid when I do these things CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you state your name and address, please, just for the record?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as a maneuver short term parking to access the Breast Center and Medical Office Suites, and Plaza San Remo. Traffic in the mornings and evenings stack all of the way up to Yumuri. We've had to wait to even pull out of our office at certain points of the day. The Applicant's desire to double the density in this neighborhood by putting 175 rental units mainly one bedrooms, and over 31,000 square feet of commercial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on any more developments. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Lani Drody. MS. DRODY: Good evening. I prepared a statement, because I'm always afraid when I do these things CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you state your name and address, please, just for the record? MS. DRODY: Oh, I'm sorry. My name is Lani Kahn	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as a maneuver short term parking to access the Breast Center and Medical Office Suites, and Plaza San Remo. Traffic in the mornings and evenings stack all of the way up to Yumuri. We've had to wait to even pull out of our office at certain points of the day. The Applicant's desire to double the density in this neighborhood by putting 175 rental units mainly one bedrooms, and over 31,000 square feet of commercial retail space, is overwhelming for the property and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on any more developments. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Lani Drody. MS. DRODY: Good evening. I prepared a statement, because I'm always afraid when I do these things CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you state your name and address, please, just for the record? MS. DRODY: Oh, I'm sorry. My name is Lani Kahn Drody, and I live at 1615 Lakeside Drive in Coral 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as a maneuver short term parking to access the Breast Center and Medical Office Suites, and Plaza San Remo. Traffic in the mornings and evenings stack all of the way up to Yumuri. We've had to wait to even pull out of our office at certain points of the day. The Applicant's desire to double the density in this neighborhood by putting 175 rental units mainly one bedrooms, and over 31,000 square feet of commercial retail space, is overwhelming for the property and the neighborhood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on any more developments. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Lani Drody. MS. DRODY: Good evening. I prepared a statement, because I'm always afraid when I do these things CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you state your name and address, please, just for the record? MS. DRODY: Oh, I'm sorry. My name is Lani Kahn Drody, and I live at 1615 Lakeside Drive in Coral Gables, and I own the office building located at 1537 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as a maneuver short term parking to access the Breast Center and Medical Office Suites, and Plaza San Remo. Traffic in the mornings and evenings stack all of the way up to Yumuri. We've had to wait to even pull out of our office at certain points of the day. The Applicant's desire to double the density in this neighborhood by putting 175 rental units mainly one bedrooms, and over 31,000 square feet of commercial retail space, is overwhelming for the property and the neighborhood. I also want to point out some inconsistencies in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on any more developments. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Lani Drody. MS. DRODY: Good evening. I prepared a statement, because I'm always afraid when I do these things CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you state your name and address, please, just for the record? MS. DRODY: Oh, I'm sorry. My name is Lani Kahn Drody, and I live at 1615 Lakeside Drive in Coral Gables, and I own the office building located at 1537 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as a maneuver short term parking to access the Breast Center and Medical Office Suites, and Plaza San Remo. Traffic in the mornings and evenings stack all of the way up to Yumuri. We've had to wait to even pull out of our office at certain points of the day. The Applicant's desire to double the density in this neighborhood by putting 175 rental units mainly one bedrooms, and over 31,000 square feet of commercial retail space, is overwhelming for the property and the neighborhood. I also want to point out some inconsistencies in the application. The first one, the Applicant's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on any more developments. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Lani Drody. MS. DRODY: Good evening. I prepared a statement, because I'm always afraid when I do these things CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you state your name and address, please, just for the record? MS. DRODY: Oh, I'm sorry. My name is Lani Kahn Drody, and I live at 1615 Lakeside Drive in Coral Gables, and I own the office building located at 1537 San Remo Avenue, also in Coral Gables, and immediately adjacent to the west of the Applicant's property. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as a maneuver short term parking to access the Breast Center and Medical Office Suites, and Plaza San Remo. Traffic in the mornings and evenings stack all of the way up to Yumuri. We've had to wait to even pull out of our office at certain points of the day. The Applicant's desire to double the density in this neighborhood by putting 175 rental units mainly one bedrooms, and over 31,000 square feet of commercial retail space, is overwhelming for the property and the neighborhood. I also want to point out some inconsistencies in the application. The first one, the Applicant's statement on Page 2 of their application says, and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on any more developments. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Lani Drody. MS. DRODY: Good evening. I prepared a statement, because I'm always afraid when I do these thingsCHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you state your name and address, please, just for the record? MS. DRODY: Oh, I'm sorry. My name is Lani Kahn Drody, and I live at 1615 Lakeside Drive in Coral Gables, and I own the office building located at 1537 San Remo Avenue, also in Coral Gables, and immediately adjacent to the west of the Applicant's property. I'm going to read from my statement, because I'm 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as a maneuver short term parking to access the Breast Center and Medical Office Suites, and Plaza San Remo. Traffic in the mornings and evenings stack all of the way up to Yumuri. We've had to wait to even pull out of our office at certain points of the day. The Applicant's desire to double the density in this neighborhood by putting 175 rental units mainly one bedrooms, and over 31,000 square feet of commercial retail space, is overwhelming for the property and the neighborhood. I also want to point out some inconsistencies in the application. The first one, the Applicant's statement on Page 2 of their application says, and I quote, "Amending the future Land Use Map to change the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on any more developments. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Lani Drody. MS. DRODY: Good evening. I prepared a statement, because I'm always afraid when I do these things CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you state your name and address, please, just for the record? MS. DRODY: Oh, I'm sorry. My name is Lani Kahn Drody, and I live at 1615 Lakeside Drive in Coral Gables, and I own the office building located at 1537 San Remo Avenue, also in Coral Gables, and immediately adjacent to the west of the Applicant's property. I'm going to read from my statement, because I'm afraid that I'm going to forget something. I've been a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as a maneuver short term parking to access the Breast Center and Medical Office Suites, and Plaza San Remo. Traffic in the mornings and evenings stack all of the way up to Yumuri. We've had to wait to even pull out of our office at certain points of the day. The Applicant's desire to double the density in this neighborhood by putting 175 rental units mainly one bedrooms, and over 31,000 square feet of commercial retail space, is overwhelming for the property and the neighborhood. I also want to point out some inconsistencies in the application. The first one, the Applicant's statement on Page 2 of their application says, and I quote, "Amending the future Land Use Map to change the Land Use designation of this property to commercial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on any more developments. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Lani Drody. MS. DRODY: Good evening. I prepared a statement, because I'm always afraid when I do these things CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you state your name and address, please, just for the record? MS. DRODY: Oh, I'm sorry. My name is Lani Kahn Drody, and I live at 1615 Lakeside Drive in Coral Gables, and I own the office building located at 1537 San Remo Avenue, also in Coral Gables, and immediately adjacent to the west of the Applicant's property. I'm going to read from my statement, because I'm afraid that I'm going to forget something. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for most of my life. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as a maneuver short term parking to access the Breast Center and Medical Office Suites, and Plaza San Remo. Traffic in the mornings and evenings stack all of the way up to Yumuri. We've had to wait to even pull out of our office at certain points of the day. The Applicant's desire to double the density in this neighborhood by putting 175 rental units mainly one bedrooms, and over 31,000 square feet of commercial retail space, is overwhelming for the property and the neighborhood. I also want to point out some inconsistencies in the application. The first one, the Applicant's statement on Page 2 of their application says, and I quote, "Amending the future Land Use Map to change the Land Use designation of this property to commercial mid-rise intensity well make it consistent with the Land
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on any more developments. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Lani Drody. MS. DRODY: Good evening. I prepared a statement, because I'm always afraid when I do these things CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you state your name and address, please, just for the record? MS. DRODY: Oh, I'm sorry. My name is Lani Kahn Drody, and I live at 1615 Lakeside Drive in Coral Gables, and I own the office building located at 1537 San Remo Avenue, also in Coral Gables, and immediately adjacent to the west of the Applicant's property. I'm going to read from my statement, because I'm afraid that I'm going to forget something. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for most of my life. In 2006, I served as the first female president of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as a maneuver short term parking to access the Breast Center and Medical Office Suites, and Plaza San Remo. Traffic in the mornings and evenings stack all of the way up to Yumuri. We've had to wait to even pull out of our office at certain points of the day. The Applicant's desire to double the density in this neighborhood by putting 175 rental units mainly one bedrooms, and over 31,000 square feet of commercial retail space, is overwhelming for the property and the neighborhood. I also want to point out some inconsistencies in the application. The first one, the Applicant's statement on Page 2 of their application says, and I quote, "Amending the future Land Use Map to change the Land Use designation of this property to commercial mid-rise intensity well make it consistent with the Land Use designations of the adjacent properties." This
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 project, as they're coming before these Boards and getting approvals, because we don't know what the final result well be, and the impact on the neighborhood. So I strongly suggest that a Master Plan for that new downtown is highly recommended by the Riviera Neighborhood Association before any action is taken on any more developments. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Lani Drody. MS. DRODY: Good evening. I prepared a statement, because I'm always afraid when I do these things CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you state your name and address, please, just for the record? MS. DRODY: Oh, I'm sorry. My name is Lani Kahn Drody, and I live at 1615 Lakeside Drive in Coral Gables, and I own the office building located at 1537 San Remo Avenue, also in Coral Gables, and immediately adjacent to the west of the Applicant's property. I'm going to read from my statement, because I'm afraid that I'm going to forget something. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for most of my life. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Currently the San Remo Plaza Office Building, which includes Whole Foods, and their driveway's right next to our building, hires an off-duty officer to direct traffic out of its parking garage adjacent to my building in the late afternoons. Loading trucks frequently block our parking lot as a maneuver short term parking to access the Breast Center and Medical Office Suites, and Plaza San Remo. Traffic in the mornings and evenings stack all of the way up to Yumuri. We've had to wait to even pull out of our office at certain points of the day. The Applicant's desire to double the density in this neighborhood by putting 175 rental units mainly one bedrooms, and over 31,000 square feet of commercial retail space, is overwhelming for the property and the neighborhood. I also want to point out some inconsistencies in the application. The first one, the Applicant's statement on Page 2 of their application says, and I quote, "Amending the future Land Use Map to change the Land Use designation of this property to commercial mid-rise intensity well make it consistent with the Land

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

1	raye of	1	rage o/
-	is the one that the Applicant owns and successfully	1	associated with this equipment well be a detriment to
2	changed to this Land Use designation, I don't know, a	2	our property, our employees, and the clientele we serve.
3	couple of two, three years ago. The other adjacent	3	Despite our requests and urging of Planning and
4	properties are all low-rise intensity allowing for 50	4	Zoning Department to move this equipment, the developer
5	feet of height.	5	has proffered no revised plans for us to consider.
6	I would like to make the point that while the	6	Furthermore, the retail and residential density
7	Applicant claims he has the 125 feet of height, which	7	well exceed the capacity of municipal street parking
8	includes all of the bonuses, that he proposes as of	8	that my clientele, people who frequent our real estate
9	right, he does not have the right to the density he	9	brokerage office, currently enjoy. Our customers won't
10	requests.	10	be able to park and visit our building with the ease
11	Currently his residential mid-rise zoning allows	11	that they now have currently.
12	for 70 feet of height plus bonuses, and 40 units per	12	So I'm asking this evening that the Planning and
13	acre of density. The only reason the Applicant seeks	13	Zoning Board please defer this application. As I said
14	the mid-rise intensity of keeping it residential	14	in the beginning of my statement, I am not against
15	multi-family medium density land use, and Multi-Family 2	15	redevelopment of this site, but what has been put
16	District Zoning, which is more consistent with the area,	16	forward to you tonight is not in a form that we feel is
17	is so that he can cram all 175 units he wants into his	17	ready for you all to be making a judgment on this
18	building.	18	evening.
19	The second point that I want to make is that the	19	I had other people here with me, and one of my
20	traffic study contemplates 172 units, and 30,025 square	20	agents needed to go and show a house, so she had to
21	feet of commercial space of retail space. The latest	21	leave. Carla had some more letters that we were able to
22	version of the Applicant's plans, just to be specific,	22	collect, in addition to what Paul already gave you from
23	are for 175 dwelling units and 31,741 square feet of	23	other neighbors, which include Javier and Lillian Pullet
24	retail space. The traffic study also states on Page 10,	24	(phonetic), who live and they were very sorry that
25	in Section 2.5, "It should be noted that the eastbound	25	they couldn't be here. They live in Coral Gables, and
	Page 86		Page 88
1	approach" this is quoted from the Traffic City "It	1	wanted for sure their voice to be heard.
2	should be noted that the eastbound approach of the Red	2	Jillian Guerrero (phonetic), she lives at 1154
3	Road and San Remo Avenue intersection is a private	3	Alfonso Avenue for the past 19 years.
4	driveway, and was not included in the intersection	4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They'll all be entered into the record.
5	canacity analysis "	5	the record
	capacity analysis."	6	
6	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to	6	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you
6 7	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to contemplate the eastbound traffic that dumps directly	7	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you saw this.
6 7 8	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to contemplate the eastbound traffic that dumps directly onto our street from the Shops at Sunset. This is a one	7 8	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you saw this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
6 7 8 9	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to contemplate the eastbound traffic that dumps directly onto our street from the Shops at Sunset. This is a one way street that runs from US-1 through the Shops of	7 8 9	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you saw this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. DRODY: Thank you very much for your time.
6 7 8 9 10	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to contemplate the eastbound traffic that dumps directly onto our street from the Shops at Sunset. This is a one way street that runs from US-1 through the Shops of Sunset, and utilizes the traffic light there and empties	7 8 9 10	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you saw this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. DRODY: Thank you very much for your time. THE SECRETARY: No more speakers.
6 7 8 9 10 11	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to contemplate the eastbound traffic that dumps directly onto our street from the Shops at Sunset. This is a one way street that runs from US-1 through the Shops of Sunset, and utilizes the traffic light there and empties on to Red Road. And even though it's private, cars	7 8 9 10 11	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you saw this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. DRODY: Thank you very much for your time. THE SECRETARY: No more speakers. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, the City Attorney Im
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to contemplate the eastbound traffic that dumps directly onto our street from the Shops at Sunset. This is a one way street that runs from US-1 through the Shops of Sunset, and utilizes the traffic light there and empties on to Red Road. And even though it's private, cars drive through it and they should be counted in the	7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you saw this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. DRODY: Thank you very much for your time. THE SECRETARY: No more speakers. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, the City Attorney I'm sorry
6 7 9 10 11 12 13	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to contemplate the eastbound traffic that dumps directly onto our street from the Shops at Sunset. This is a one way street that runs from US-1 through the Shops of Sunset, and utilizes the traffic light there and empties on to Red Road. And even though it's private, cars drive through it and they should be counted in the traffic analysis.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you saw this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. DRODY: Thank you very much for your time. THE SECRETARY: No more speakers. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, the City Attorney I'm sorry MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I received six letters,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to contemplate the eastbound traffic that dumps directly onto our street from the Shops at Sunset. This is a one way street that runs from US-1 through the Shops of Sunset, and utilizes the traffic light there and empties on to Red Road. And even though it's private, cars drive through it and they should be counted in the traffic analysis. Also, as I read the Traffic Analysis, it only	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you saw this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. DRODY: Thank you very much for your time. THE SECRETARY: No more speakers. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, the City Attorney I'm sorry MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I received six letters, and I'm going to enter them into the record.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to contemplate the eastbound traffic that dumps directly onto our street from the Shops at Sunset. This is a one way street that runs from US-1 through the Shops of Sunset, and utilizes the traffic light there and empties on to Red Road. And even though it's private, cars drive through it and they should be counted in the traffic analysis. Also, as I read the Traffic Analysis, it only measures morning and evening traffic, and it does not	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you saw this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. DRODY: Thank you very much for your time. THE SECRETARY: No more speakers. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, the City Attorney I'm sorry MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I received six letters, and I'm going to enter them into the record. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to contemplate the eastbound traffic that dumps directly onto our street from the Shops at Sunset. This is a one way street that runs from US-1 through the Shops of Sunset, and utilizes the traffic light there and empties on to Red Road. And even though it's private, cars drive through it and they should be counted in the traffic analysis. Also, as I read the Traffic Analysis, it only measures morning and evening traffic, and it does not contemplate how daytime retail traffic well impact the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you saw this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. DRODY: Thank you very much for your time. THE SECRETARY: No more speakers. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, the City Attorney Im sorry MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I received six letters, and Im going to enter them into the record. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: The City Attorney would like to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to contemplate the eastbound traffic that dumps directly onto our street from the Shops at Sunset. This is a one way street that runs from US-1 through the Shops of Sunset, and utilizes the traffic light there and empties on to Red Road. And even though it's private, cars drive through it and they should be counted in the traffic analysis. Also, as I read the Traffic Analysis, it only measures morning and evening traffic, and it does not contemplate how daytime retail traffic well impact the area. So I think the entire traffic study needs to be	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you saw this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. DRODY: Thank you very much for your time. THE SECRETARY: No more speakers. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, the City Attorney I'm sorry MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I received six letters, and I'm going to enter them into the record. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: The City Attorney would like to address a couple of the legal issues that were raised
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to contemplate the eastbound traffic that dumps directly onto our street from the Shops at Sunset. This is a one way street that runs from US-1 through the Shops of Sunset, and utilizes the traffic light there and empties on to Red Road. And even though it's private, cars drive through it and they should be counted in the traffic analysis. Also, as I read the Traffic Analysis, it only measures morning and evening traffic, and it does not contemplate how daytime retail traffic well impact the area. So I think the entire traffic study needs to be tweaked and analyzed further.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you saw this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. DRODY: Thank you very much for your time. THE SECRETARY: No more speakers. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, the City Attorney I'm sorry MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I received six letters, and I'm going to enter them into the record. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: The City Attorney would like to address a couple of the legal issues that were raised during the hearing. If he could do this at this
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to contemplate the eastbound traffic that dumps directly onto our street from the Shops at Sunset. This is a one way street that runs from US-1 through the Shops of Sunset, and utilizes the traffic light there and empties on to Red Road. And even though it's private, cars drive through it and they should be counted in the traffic analysis. Also, as I read the Traffic Analysis, it only measures morning and evening traffic, and it does not contemplate how daytime retail traffic well impact the area. So I think the entire traffic study needs to be tweaked and analyzed further. Finally, in addition to my concerns about the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you saw this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. DRODY: Thank you very much for your time. THE SECRETARY: No more speakers. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, the City Attorney I'm sorry MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I received six letters, and I'm going to enter them into the record. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: The City Attorney would like to address a couple of the legal issues that were raised during the hearing. If he could do this at this juncture?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to contemplate the eastbound traffic that dumps directly onto our street from the Shops at Sunset. This is a one way street that runs from US-1 through the Shops of Sunset, and utilizes the traffic light there and empties on to Red Road. And even though it's private, cars drive through it and they should be counted in the traffic analysis. Also, as I read the Traffic Analysis, it only measures morning and evening traffic, and it does not contemplate how daytime retail traffic well impact the area. So I think the entire traffic study needs to be tweaked and analyzed further. Finally, in addition to my concerns about the character of the neighborhood, I have some significant	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you saw this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. DRODY: Thank you very much for your time. THE SECRETARY: No more speakers. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, the City Attorney Im sorry MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I received six letters, and I'm going to enter them into the record. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: The City Attorney would like to address a couple of the legal issues that were raised during the hearing. If he could do this at this juncture? MR. LEEN: Mr. Chair, the reason I wanted to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to contemplate the eastbound traffic that dumps directly onto our street from the Shops at Sunset. This is a one way street that runs from US-1 through the Shops of Sunset, and utilizes the traffic light there and empties on to Red Road. And even though it's private, cars drive through it and they should be counted in the traffic analysis. Also, as I read the Traffic Analysis, it only measures morning and evening traffic, and it does not contemplate how daytime retail traffic well impact the area. So I think the entire traffic study needs to be tweaked and analyzed further. Finally, in addition to my concerns about the character of the neighborhood, I have some significant concerns about how specifically the building well	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you saw this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. DRODY: Thank you very much for your time. THE SECRETARY: No more speakers. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, the City Attorney I'm sorry MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I received six letters, and I'm going to enter them into the record. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: The City Attorney would like to address a couple of the legal issues that were raised during the hearing. If he could do this at this juncture? MR. LEEN: Mr. Chair, the reason I wanted to address them was so that Mr. Garcia-Serra would have the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to contemplate the eastbound traffic that dumps directly onto our street from the Shops at Sunset. This is a one way street that runs from US-1 through the Shops of Sunset, and utilizes the traffic light there and empties on to Red Road. And even though it's private, cars drive through it and they should be counted in the traffic analysis. Also, as I read the Traffic Analysis, it only measures morning and evening traffic, and it does not contemplate how daytime retail traffic well impact the area. So I think the entire traffic study needs to be tweaked and analyzed further. Finally, in addition to my concerns about the character of the neighborhood, I have some significant concerns about how specifically the building well adversely affect our business. In addition to the added	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you saw this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. DRODY: Thank you very much for your time. THE SECRETARY: No more speakers. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, the City Attorney I'm sorry MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I received six letters, and I'm going to enter them into the record. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: The City Attorney would like to address a couple of the legal issues that were raised during the hearing. If he could do this at this juncture? MR. LEEN: Mr. Chair, the reason I wanted to address them was so that Mr. Garcia-Serra would have the chance to rebut them, because they're not completely in
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to contemplate the eastbound traffic that dumps directly onto our street from the Shops at Sunset. This is a one way street that runs from US-1 through the Shops of Sunset, and utilizes the traffic light there and empties on to Red Road. And even though it's private, cars drive through it and they should be counted in the traffic analysis. Also, as I read the Traffic Analysis, it only measures morning and evening traffic, and it does not contemplate how daytime retail traffic well impact the area. So I think the entire traffic study needs to be tweaked and analyzed further. Finally, in addition to my concerns about the character of the neighborhood, I have some significant concerns about how specifically the building well adversely affect our business. In addition to the added traffic, the Applicant is proposing to place his	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you saw this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. DRODY: Thank you very much for your time. THE SECRETARY: No more speakers. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, the City Attorney I'm sorry MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I received six letters, and I'm going to enter them into the record. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: The City Attorney would like to address a couple of the legal issues that were raised during the hearing. If he could do this at this juncture? MR. LEEN: Mr. Chair, the reason I wanted to address them was so that Mr. Garcia-Serra would have the chance to rebut them, because they're not completely in accordance with what he has said.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It is crazy that the traffic study fails to contemplate the eastbound traffic that dumps directly onto our street from the Shops at Sunset. This is a one way street that runs from US-1 through the Shops of Sunset, and utilizes the traffic light there and empties on to Red Road. And even though it's private, cars drive through it and they should be counted in the traffic analysis. Also, as I read the Traffic Analysis, it only measures morning and evening traffic, and it does not contemplate how daytime retail traffic well impact the area. So I think the entire traffic study needs to be tweaked and analyzed further. Finally, in addition to my concerns about the character of the neighborhood, I have some significant concerns about how specifically the building well adversely affect our business. In addition to the added	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DRODY: Okay. So I wanted to make sure you saw this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. DRODY: Thank you very much for your time. THE SECRETARY: No more speakers. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, the City Attorney I'm sorry MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I received six letters, and I'm going to enter them into the record. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: The City Attorney would like to address a couple of the legal issues that were raised during the hearing. If he could do this at this juncture? MR. LEEN: Mr. Chair, the reason I wanted to address them was so that Mr. Garcia-Serra would have the chance to rebut them, because they're not completely in

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

	Page 89		Page 91
1	I'd like to do is take a bathroom break for about like	1	I need to address the reverse spot zoning issues,
2	five or ten minutes, or do you think you would like to	2	because if it was reverse spot zoning, that's considered
3	go first?	3	to be confiscatory, they call it. It's also considered
4	MR. LEEN: I'm okay either way. You're the Chair.	4	to be illegal. So it needs to be addressed because it's
5	I well wait five minutes.	5	been raised.
6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Are you okay?	6	So the issue is that this is basically a
7	Okay. Let's go ahead and take a five, ten-minute	7	multi-family designation, and around it are commercial
8	recess, please.	8	designations. Now, this is the Comp Plan. And
9	Thank you.	9	typically if the Zoning complies with the Comp Plan, it
10	(Thereupon, a short recess was taken, after which	10	cannot be spot zoning, because there's been a
11	the proceedings continued.)	11	legislative decision that this should be multi-family.
12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. City Attorney.	12	Now, you can change that, but I would note, even from a
13	MR. LEEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. There were just	13	policy perspective, if there are other multi-family
14	three legal points that I wanted to briefly respond to.	14	areas in this general area.
15	The first is the issue of notice. The fact that they	15	And, in addition to that, the other reason why you
16	have actual notice and have appeared to me resolves the	16	might want this to be multi-family and I'm not
17	issue. It's important to note, and I know that our	17	advocating for it, I'm just saying hypothetically is
18	Zoning Director did note it and well look into it, but	18	because you may make a decision that, as of right, there
19	in terms of procedural due process, we believe their	19	should be a residential area here, as opposed to only
20	actual notice is sufficient.	20	commercial. Remember, if it's commercial, they might
21	Second, on the issue of the Comp Plan, and whether	21	come forward with a mixed use project, which could
22	you can consider whether a zoning application or a	22	include a residential component, but they don't have to.
23	development application is consistent with a proposed	23	If it's commercial, it should be all office. So this
24	Comp Plan change, I believe you can. In fact, we would	24	Commission the Commission and this Planning and
25	want you to do that. I know the Commission would want	25	Zoning Board might make the decision that you want some
	Page 90		Page 92
1	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that	1	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as
2	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp	2	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning
	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire		of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record
2 3 4	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's	2	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just
2 3 4 5	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative	2 3	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record.
2 3 4 5 6	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the	2 3 4	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins.
2 3 4 5 6 7	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the Comp Plan, and we believe I do believe that you have	2 3 4 5 6 7	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins. It's a Third District decision in 1997. "The Court held
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the Comp Plan, and we believe I do believe that you have the jurisdiction to make that recommendation to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins. It's a Third District decision in 1997. "The Court held that reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the Comp Plan, and we believe I do believe that you have the jurisdiction to make that recommendation to the Commission. And then, assuming you're making that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins. It's a Third District decision in 1997. "The Court held that reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner to use his or her property in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the Comp Plan, and we believe I do believe that you have the jurisdiction to make that recommendation to the Commission. And then, assuming you're making that recommendation, does this particular mixed use proposal,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins. It's a Third District decision in 1997. "The Court held that reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner to use his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the Comp Plan, and we believe I do believe that you have the jurisdiction to make that recommendation to the Commission. And then, assuming you're making that recommendation, does this particular mixed use proposal, is it something that should be approved by the City	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins. It's a Third District decision in 1997. "The Court held that reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner to use his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the Comp Plan, and we believe I do believe that you have the jurisdiction to make that recommendation to the Commission. And then, assuming you're making that recommendation, does this particular mixed use proposal, is it something that should be approved by the City Commission, and, if so, with what conditions. And in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins. It's a Third District decision in 1997. "The Court held that reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner to use his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating an effect of variable zoning island or zoning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the Comp Plan, and we believe I do believe that you have the jurisdiction to make that recommendation to the Commission. And then, assuming you're making that recommendation, does this particular mixed use proposal, is it something that should be approved by the City Commission, and, if so, with what conditions. And in doing that, you're also determining that that would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins. It's a Third District decision in 1997. "The Court held that reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner to use his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating an effect of variable zoning island or zoning peninsula in a surrounding sea of contrary zoning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the Comp Plan, and we believe I do believe that you have the jurisdiction to make that recommendation to the Commission. And then, assuming you're making that recommendation, does this particular mixed use proposal, is it something that should be approved by the City Commission, and, if so, with what conditions. And in doing that, you're also determining that that would comply with the proposed Comp Plan change. So the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins. It's a Third District decision in 1997. "The Court held that reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner to use his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating an effect of variable zoning island or zoning peninsula in a surrounding sea of contrary zoning classification. Reverse spot zoning is invalid as it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the Comp Plan, and we believe I do believe that you have the jurisdiction to make that recommendation to the Commission. And then, assuming you're making that recommendation, does this particular mixed use proposal, is it something that should be approved by the City Commission, and, if so, with what conditions. And in doing that, you're also determining that that would comply with the proposed Comp Plan change. So the Commission very much wants your recommendation on that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins. It's a Third District decision in 1997. "The Court held that reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner to use his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating an effect of variable zoning island or zoning peninsula in a surrounding sea of contrary zoning classification. Reverse spot zoning is invalid as it is confiscatory." And when you look at what is spot zoning,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the Comp Plan, and we believe I do believe that you have the jurisdiction to make that recommendation to the Commission. And then, assuming you're making that recommendation, does this particular mixed use proposal, is it something that should be approved by the City Commission, and, if so, with what conditions. And in doing that, you're also determining that that would comply with the proposed Comp Plan change. So the Commission very much wants your recommendation on that, and it could be either way.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins. It's a Third District decision in 1997. "The Court held that reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner to use his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating an effect of variable zoning island or zoning peninsula in a surrounding sea of contrary zoning classification. Reverse spot zoning is invalid as it is confiscatory." And when you look at what is spot zoning, they're usually talking about very large areas where you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the Comp Plan, and we believe I do believe that you have the jurisdiction to make that recommendation to the Commission. And then, assuming you're making that recommendation, does this particular mixed use proposal, is it something that should be approved by the City Commission, and, if so, with what conditions. And in doing that, you're also determining that that would comply with the proposed Comp Plan change. So the Commission very much wants your recommendation on that, and it could be either way. And then, lastly, the third point is on the issue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins. It's a Third District decision in 1997. "The Court held that reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner to use his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating an effect of variable zoning island or zoning peninsula in a surrounding sea of contrary zoning classification. Reverse spot zoning is invalid as it is confiscatory." And when you look at what is spot zoning, they're usually talking about very large areas where you have one spot that's different than everyone else as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the Comp Plan, and we believe I do believe that you have the jurisdiction to make that recommendation to the Commission. And then, assuming you're making that recommendation, does this particular mixed use proposal, is it something that should be approved by the City Commission, and, if so, with what conditions. And in doing that, you're also determining that that would comply with the proposed Comp Plan change. So the Commission very much wants your recommendation on that, and it could be either way. And then, lastly, the third point is on the issue of reverse spot zoning. I don't view this as reverse	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins. It's a Third District decision in 1997. "The Court held that reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner to use his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating an effect of variable zoning island or zoning peninsula in a surrounding sea of contrary zoning classification. Reverse spot zoning is invalid as it is confiscatory." And when you look at what is spot zoning, they're usually talking about very large areas where you have one spot that's different than everyone else as a matter of zoning law. And there's no in those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the Comp Plan, and we believe I do believe that you have the jurisdiction to make that recommendation to the Commission. And then, assuming you're making that recommendation, does this particular mixed use proposal, is it something that should be approved by the City Commission, and, if so, with what conditions. And in doing that, you're also determining that that would comply with the proposed Comp Plan change. So the Commission very much wants your recommendation on that, and it could be either way. And then, lastly, the third point is on the issue of reverse spot zoning. I don't view this as reverse spot zoning, and I want to make a distinction between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins. It's a Third District decision in 1997. "The Court held that reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner to use his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating an effect of variable zoning island or zoning peninsula in a surrounding sea of contrary zoning classification. Reverse spot zoning is invalid as it is confiscatory." And when you look at what is spot zoning, they're usually talking about very large areas where you have one spot that's different than everyone else as a matter of zoning law. And there's no in those situations, there's no reason why that particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the Comp Plan, and we believe I do believe that you have the jurisdiction to make that recommendation to the Commission. And then, assuming you're making that recommendation, does this particular mixed use proposal, is it something that should be approved by the City Commission, and, if so, with what conditions. And in doing that, you're also determining that that would comply with the proposed Comp Plan change. So the Commission very much wants your recommendation on that, and it could be either way. And then, lastly, the third point is on the issue of reverse spot zoning. I don't view this as reverse spot zoning, and I want to make a distinction between the legal issue of whether something is spot zoning or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins. It's a Third District decision in 1997. "The Court held that reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner to use his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating an effect of variable zoning island or zoning peninsula in a surrounding sea of contrary zoning classification. Reverse spot zoning is invalid as it is confiscatory." And when you look at what is spot zoning, they're usually talking about very large areas where you have one spot that's different than everyone else as a matter of zoning law. And there's no in those situations, there's no reason why that particular property would be subject to additional restrictions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the Comp Plan, and we believe I do believe that you have the jurisdiction to make that recommendation to the Commission. And then, assuming you're making that recommendation, does this particular mixed use proposal, is it something that should be approved by the City Commission, and, if so, with what conditions. And in doing that, you're also determining that that would comply with the proposed Comp Plan change. So the Commission very much wants your recommendation on that, and it could be either way. And then, lastly, the third point is on the issue of reverse spot zoning. I don't view this as reverse spot zoning, and I want to make a distinction between the legal issue of whether something is spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. And the more policy issue as to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins. It's a Third District decision in 1997. "The Court held that reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner to use his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating an effect of variable zoning island or zoning peninsula in a surrounding sea of contrary zoning classification. Reverse spot zoning is invalid as it is confiscatory." And when you look at what is spot zoning, they're usually talking about very large areas where you have one spot that's different than everyone else as a matter of zoning law. And there's no in those situations, there's no reason why that particular property would be subject to additional restrictions than the ones around it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the Comp Plan, and we believe I do believe that you have the jurisdiction to make that recommendation to the Commission. And then, assuming you're making that recommendation, does this particular mixed use proposal, is it something that should be approved by the City Commission, and, if so, with what conditions. And in doing that, you're also determining that that would comply with the proposed Comp Plan change. So the Commission very much wants your recommendation on that, and it could be either way. And then, lastly, the third point is on the issue of reverse spot zoning. I don't view this as reverse spot zoning, and I want to make a distinction between the legal issue of whether something is spot zoning Map issue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins. It's a Third District decision in 1997. "The Court held that reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner to use his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating an effect of variable zoning island or zoning peninsula in a surrounding sea of contrary zoning classification. Reverse spot zoning is invalid as it is confiscatory." And when you look at what is spot zoning, they're usually talking about very large areas where you have one spot that's different than everyone else as a matter of zoning law. And there's no in those situations, there's no reason why that particular property would be subject to additional restrictions than the ones around it. And reverse spot zoning is when when you do spot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the Comp Plan, and we believe I do believe that you have the jurisdiction to make that recommendation to the Commission. And then, assuming you're making that recommendation, does this particular mixed use proposal, is it something that should be approved by the City Commission, and, if so, with what conditions. And in doing that, you're also determining that that would comply with the proposed Comp Plan change. So the Commission very much wants your recommendation on that, and it could be either way. And then, lastly, the third point is on the issue of reverse spot zoning. I don't view this as reverse spot zoning, and I want to make a distinction between the legal issue of whether something is spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. And the more policy issue as to whether this is an isolated Land Use or Zoning Map issue where maybe you want to consider that it should be made	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins. It's a Third District decision in 1997. "The Court held that reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner to use his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating an effect of variable zoning island or zoning peninsula in a surrounding sea of contrary zoning classification. Reverse spot zoning is invalid as it is confiscatory." And when you look at what is spot zoning, they're usually talking about very large areas where you have one spot that's different than everyone else as a matter of zoning law. And there's no in those situations, there's no reason why that particular property would be subject to additional restrictions than the ones around it. And reverse spot zoning is when when you do spot zoning, that's when you affirmatively zone a spot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	your advice. I think it's clear that if you don't, that if the Commission does not ultimately approve the Comp Plan Change, this application would fail. So the entire question for you is, should we the main what's really driving this in large part is the legislative issue of whether you should approve the change to the Comp Plan, and we believe I do believe that you have the jurisdiction to make that recommendation to the Commission. And then, assuming you're making that recommendation, does this particular mixed use proposal, is it something that should be approved by the City Commission, and, if so, with what conditions. And in doing that, you're also determining that that would comply with the proposed Comp Plan change. So the Commission very much wants your recommendation on that, and it could be either way. And then, lastly, the third point is on the issue of reverse spot zoning. I don't view this as reverse spot zoning, and I want to make a distinction between the legal issue of whether something is spot zoning Map issue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of this to be multi-family. So I don't view that as arbitrary capricious. I don't view that as spot zoning or reverse spot zoning. I do want to put on the record what reverse spot zoning is. I did look it up. So just so you're aware, let me just read it into the record. This is from the City of Miami Beach v Robbins. It's a Third District decision in 1997. "The Court held that reverse spot zoning occurs when a Zoning Ordinance prevents a property owner to use his or her property in a certain way, when virtually all of the adjoining neighbors are not subject to such a restriction, creating an effect of variable zoning island or zoning peninsula in a surrounding sea of contrary zoning classification. Reverse spot zoning is invalid as it is confiscatory." And when you look at what is spot zoning, they're usually talking about very large areas where you have one spot that's different than everyone else as a matter of zoning law. And there's no in those situations, there's no reason why that particular property would be subject to additional restrictions than the ones around it. And reverse spot zoning is when when you do spot

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

	Page 93		Page 95
1	you leave that spot. So both of those are illegal, and	1	the land use zoning. So this proposed Site Plan may or
2	I don't believe it's present here.	2	may not be the last one that you see, in theory.
3	Mr. COLLER, do you have any further comments on	3	MR. LEEN: That's true. If you were to, for
4	that?	4	example, approve the Comp Plan change and deny the
5	MR. COLLER: I agree with you completely.	5	Zoning application, and let's say that actually became
6	MR. LEEN: Well, thank you.	6	the new Comp Plan designation, then a future project
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Craig, before you go, is	7	could come, with a commercial land use as of right. So
8	there any legal question to the City Attorney?	8	you do need to consider that. But that's one reason
9	MS. MENENDEZ: I have a quick question. So we	9	you know, there's arguments for and against whether you
10	approved the previous development with the intensity of	10	should have an application with a Comp Plan change.
11		11	I've heard them on both sides. One benefit of that is,
12	3.5 FAR. We're now faced with a new development,	12	
	because I see it as now that development goes away, and		you have an idea of what's being that what's being
13	we have a new development. The commercial zoning is	13	recommended for that particular what's being proposed
14	there, but the intensity can be changed from the	14	for that particular area. And a lot of times you can-
15	previous. So in other words, we're looking now at a new	15	for example, they can proffer sometimes this has
16	Site Plan.	16	happened here even with a Comp Plan change, the
17	MR. LEEN: True. In determining what to do with	17	Applicant can proffer a restrictive covenant that limits
18	the Site Plan, you do have discretion. As long as what	18	their ability to use the property a certain way. And
19	you do is supported by competent and substantial	19	because it's proffered, the Planning and Zoning Board,
20	evidence, any conditions you want to place on that	20	and the Commission can consider that possibly in
21	property, you can do.	21	determining whether to make the change.
22	MS. MENENDEZ: Well, because when we look at the	22	Do you agree with that, Mr. COLLER?
23	Staff report, they separate the two, and they basically	23	MR. COLLER: I agree with that, as well.
24	have the 3.5 for the previous development, and then	24	MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you.
25	you know, then they say the square footage, and then we	25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Is there more
	Page 94		Page 96
			_
1	have the two point something, which is the current	1	public comment?
2	zoning for the current area even, with the commercial,	2	No. At this time, we'll go ahead and close for
3	you know, zoning. And then when you add the mixed-use,	3	public comment.
4	it jumps up to 3.5. What I'm trying to say is that to	4	Mario.
5	me this is a new development.	5	
	-		MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll
6	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new	6	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different
	-		•
6	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new	6	keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different
6 7	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new development.	6 7	keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different categories of objections, and I'll try to address them
6 7 8	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new development. MS. MENENDEZ: The Site Plan is new.	6 7 8	keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different categories of objections, and I'll try to address them by categories, so as to not make it too long.
6 7 8 9	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new development.MS. MENENDEZ: The Site Plan is new.MR. LEEN: I think the point, though, is that if	6 7 8 9	keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different categories of objections, and I'll try to address them by categories, so as to not make it too long. The first one is probably what was put forward
6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new development. MS. MENENDEZ: The Site Plan is new. MR. LEEN: I think the point, though, is that if they came forward on a commercial land use designation, if they came forward with an office building, they	6 7 8 9 10	keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different categories of objections, and I'll try to address them by categories, so as to not make it too long. The first one is probably what was put forward most eloquently by Mr. Savage, regarding compatibility.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new development. MS. MENENDEZ: The Site Plan is new. MR. LEEN: I think the point, though, is that if they came forward on a commercial land use designation, if they came forward with an office building, they could, as of right, build up to whatever the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different categories of objections, and I'll try to address them by categories, so as to not make it too long. The first one is probably what was put forward most eloquently by Mr. Savage, regarding compatibility. Is this the right land is the proposed Land Use designation of Commercial Medium appropriate as proposed
6 7 9 10 11 12 13	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new development. MS. MENENDEZ: The Site Plan is new. MR. LEEN: I think the point, though, is that if they came forward on a commercial land use designation, if they came forward with an office building, they could, as of right, build up to whatever the entitlements were for that particular area.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different categories of objections, and I'll try to address them by categories, so as to not make it too long. The first one is probably what was put forward most eloquently by Mr. Savage, regarding compatibility. Is this the right land is the proposed Land Use designation of Commercial Medium appropriate as proposed Zoning of commercial appropriate? And putting aside the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new development. MS. MENENDEZ: The Site Plan is new. MR. LEEN: I think the point, though, is that if they came forward on a commercial land use designation, if they came forward with an office building, they could, as of right, build up to whatever the entitlements were for that particular area. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. But mixed-use gives you a	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different categories of objections, and I'll try to address them by categories, so as to not make it too long. The first one is probably what was put forward most eloquently by Mr. Savage, regarding compatibility. Is this the right land is the proposed Land Use designation of Commercial Medium appropriate as proposed Zoning of commercial appropriate? And putting aside the disagreement that I might have with the City Attorney as
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new development. MS. MENENDEZ: The Site Plan is new. MR. LEEN: I think the point, though, is that if they came forward on a commercial land use designation, if they came forward with an office building, they could, as of right, build up to whatever the entitlements were for that particular area. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. But mixed-use gives you a lot more opportunity.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different categories of objections, and I'll try to address them by categories, so as to not make it too long. The first one is probably what was put forward most eloquently by Mr. Savage, regarding compatibility. Is this the right land is the proposed Land Use designation of Commercial Medium appropriate as proposed Zoning of commercial appropriate? And putting aside the disagreement that I might have with the City Attorney as to whether this is, from a legal perspective, a reverse
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new development. MS. MENENDEZ: The Site Plan is new. MR. LEEN: I think the point, though, is that if they came forward on a commercial land use designation, if they came forward with an office building, they could, as of right, build up to whatever the entitlements were for that particular area. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. But mixed-use gives you a lot more opportunity. MR. LEEN: Mixed use gives them more opportunities	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different categories of objections, and I'll try to address them by categories, so as to not make it too long. The first one is probably what was put forward most eloquently by Mr. Savage, regarding compatibility. Is this the right land is the proposed Land Use designation of Commercial Medium appropriate as proposed Zoning of commercial appropriate? And putting aside the disagreement that I might have with the City Attorney as to whether this is, from a legal perspective, a reverse spot zoning situation I still believe it is from a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new development. MS. MENENDEZ: The Site Plan is new. MR. LEEN: I think the point, though, is that if they came forward on a commercial land use designation, if they came forward with an office building, they could, as of right, build up to whatever the entitlements were for that particular area. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. But mixed-use gives you a lot more opportunity. MR. LEEN: Mixed use gives them more opportunities and also gives the neighbors more ability more due	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different categories of objections, and I'll try to address them by categories, so as to not make it too long. The first one is probably what was put forward most eloquently by Mr. Savage, regarding compatibility. Is this the right land is the proposed Land Use designation of Commercial Medium appropriate as proposed Zoning of commercial appropriate? And putting aside the disagreement that I might have with the City Attorney as to whether this is, from a legal perspective, a reverse spot zoning situation I still believe it is from a legal perspective, but putting that aside, just talking
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new development. MS. MENENDEZ: The Site Plan is new. MR. LEEN: I think the point, though, is that if they came forward on a commercial land use designation, if they came forward with an office building, they could, as of right, build up to whatever the entitlements were for that particular area. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. But mixed-use gives you a lot more opportunity. MR. LEEN: Mixed use gives them more opportunities and also gives the neighbors more ability more due process, and more ability to come and ask for conditions	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different categories of objections, and I'll try to address them by categories, so as to not make it too long. The first one is probably what was put forward most eloquently by Mr. Savage, regarding compatibility. Is this the right land is the proposed Land Use designation of Commercial Medium appropriate as proposed Zoning of commercial appropriate? And putting aside the disagreement that I might have with the City Attorney as to whether this is, from a legal perspective, a reverse spot zoning situation I still believe it is from a legal perspective, but putting that aside, just talking about what this Planning and Zoning Board generally
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new development. MS. MENENDEZ: The Site Plan is new. MR. LEEN: I think the point, though, is that if they came forward on a commercial land use designation, if they came forward with an office building, they could, as of right, build up to whatever the entitlements were for that particular area. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. But mixed-use gives you a lot more opportunity. MR. LEEN: Mixed use gives them more opportunities and also gives the neighbors more ability more due process, and more ability to come and ask for conditions to be imposed.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different categories of objections, and I'll try to address them by categories, so as to not make it too long. The first one is probably what was put forward most eloquently by Mr. Savage, regarding compatibility. Is this the right land is the proposed Land Use designation of Commercial Medium appropriate as proposed Zoning of commercial appropriate? And putting aside the disagreement that I might have with the City Attorney as to whether this is, from a legal perspective, a reverse spot zoning situation I still believe it is from a legal perspective, but putting that aside, just talking about what this Planning and Zoning Board generally talks about, which is good planning, what's compatible,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new development. MS. MENENDEZ: The Site Plan is new. MR. LEEN: I think the point, though, is that if they came forward on a commercial land use designation, if they came forward with an office building, they could, as of right, build up to whatever the entitlements were for that particular area. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. But mixed-use gives you a lot more opportunity. MR. LEEN: Mixed use gives them more opportunities and also gives the neighbors more ability more due process, and more ability to come and ask for conditions to be imposed. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. But the intensity can	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different categories of objections, and I'll try to address them by categories, so as to not make it too long. The first one is probably what was put forward most eloquently by Mr. Savage, regarding compatibility. Is this the right land is the proposed Land Use designation of Commercial Medium appropriate as proposed Zoning of commercial appropriate? And putting aside the disagreement that I might have with the City Attorney as to whether this is, from a legal perspective, a reverse spot zoning situation I still believe it is from a legal perspective, but putting that aside, just talking about what this Planning and Zoning Board generally talks about, which is good planning, what's compatible, what's appropriate, You look at these maps you look at
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new development. MS. MENENDEZ: The Site Plan is new. MR. LEEN: I think the point, though, is that if they came forward on a commercial land use designation, if they came forward with an office building, they could, as of right, build up to whatever the entitlements were for that particular area. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. But mixed-use gives you a lot more opportunity. MR. LEEN: Mixed use gives them more opportunities and also gives the neighbors more ability more due process, and more ability to come and ask for conditions to be imposed. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. But the intensity can change, is what I'm saying, from the previous approval,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different categories of objections, and I'll try to address them by categories, so as to not make it too long. The first one is probably what was put forward most eloquently by Mr. Savage, regarding compatibility. Is this the right land is the proposed Land Use designation of Commercial Medium appropriate as proposed Zoning of commercial appropriate? And putting aside the disagreement that I might have with the City Attorney as to whether this is, from a legal perspective, a reverse spot zoning situation I still believe it is from a legal perspective, but putting that aside, just talking about what this Planning and Zoning Board generally talks about, which is good planning, what's compatible, what's appropriate, You look at these maps you look at both of these maps, the Future Land Use Map on the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new development. MS. MENENDEZ: The Site Plan is new. MR. LEEN: I think the point, though, is that if they came forward on a commercial land use designation, if they came forward with an office building, they could, as of right, build up to whatever the entitlements were for that particular area. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. But mixed-use gives you a lot more opportunity. MR. LEEN: Mixed use gives them more opportunities and also gives the neighbors more ability more due process, and more ability to come and ask for conditions to be imposed. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. But the intensity can change, is what I'm saying, from the previous approval, because it's a different Site Plan?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different categories of objections, and I'll try to address them by categories, so as to not make it too long. The first one is probably what was put forward most eloquently by Mr. Savage, regarding compatibility. Is this the right land is the proposed Land Use designation of Commercial Medium appropriate as proposed Zoning of commercial appropriate? And putting aside the disagreement that I might have with the City Attorney as to whether this is, from a legal perspective, a reverse spot zoning situation I still believe it is from a legal perspective, but putting that aside, just talking about what this Planning and Zoning Board generally talks about, which is good planning, what's compatible, what's appropriate, You look at these maps you look at both of these maps, the Future Land Use Map on the right, the Zoning Map on the left, and they do not make
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new development. MS. MENENDEZ: The Site Plan is new. MR. LEEN: I think the point, though, is that if they came forward on a commercial land use designation, if they came forward with an office building, they could, as of right, build up to whatever the entitlements were for that particular area. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. But mixed-use gives you a lot more opportunity. MR. LEEN: Mixed use gives them more opportunities and also gives the neighbors more ability more due process, and more ability to come and ask for conditions to be imposed. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. But the intensity can change, is what I'm saying, from the previous approval, because it's a different Site Plan? MR. LEEN: I agree with you.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different categories of objections, and I'll try to address them by categories, so as to not make it too long. The first one is probably what was put forward most eloquently by Mr. Savage, regarding compatibility. Is this the right land is the proposed Land Use designation of Commercial Medium appropriate as proposed Zoning of commercial appropriate? And putting aside the disagreement that I might have with the City Attorney as to whether this is, from a legal perspective, a reverse spot zoning situation I still believe it is from a legal perspective, but putting that aside, just talking about what this Planning and Zoning Board generally talks about, which is good planning, what's compatible, what's appropriate, You look at these maps you look at both of these maps, the Future Land Use Map on the right, the Zoning Map on the left, and they do not make sense from a proper planning and Zoning perspective as
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. LEEN: I agree with you that it's a new development. MS. MENENDEZ: The Site Plan is new. MR. LEEN: I think the point, though, is that if they came forward on a commercial land use designation, if they came forward with an office building, they could, as of right, build up to whatever the entitlements were for that particular area. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. But mixed-use gives you a lot more opportunity. MR. LEEN: Mixed use gives them more opportunities and also gives the neighbors more ability more due process, and more ability to come and ask for conditions to be imposed. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. But the intensity can change, is what I'm saying, from the previous approval, because it's a different Site Plan?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 keep my rebuttal short. There were sort of different categories of objections, and I'll try to address them by categories, so as to not make it too long. The first one is probably what was put forward most eloquently by Mr. Savage, regarding compatibility. Is this the right land is the proposed Land Use designation of Commercial Medium appropriate as proposed Zoning of commercial appropriate? And putting aside the disagreement that I might have with the City Attorney as to whether this is, from a legal perspective, a reverse spot zoning situation I still believe it is from a legal perspective, but putting that aside, just talking about what this Planning and Zoning Board generally talks about, which is good planning, what's compatible, what's appropriate, You look at these maps you look at both of these maps, the Future Land Use Map on the right, the Zoning Map on the left, and they do not make

Page	99

	Page 97		Page 99
1	course, to discuss. To the north, there's commercial;	1	the issue of density, traffic. Again, density, whenever
2	to the west, there's commercial; to the south, there's	2	it was mentioned by any of the objectors it's
3	commercial; to the east, there is a park. Everything on	3	automatically tied to traffic. And as far as traffic is
4	the west side of the park is commercial, except for this	4	concerned, let me first sort of clear up a technical
5	property. So right now 125 units to the acre as	5	issue about the appropriateness of the traffic study.
6	mixed-use projects, subject to mixed-use Site Plan	6	Juan, if you can come up. Juan Espinosa, from
7	approvals, is permitted to the north. It's permitted to	7	David Plummer and Associates, my traffic engineer.
8	the west. It's permitted to the south.	8	MR. ESPINOSA: Good evening. Juan Espinosa, with
9	It is in deed as that definition. The quote that	9	David Plummer and Associates.
10	Craig read from Robbins versus Miami Beach case was the	10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you state your address,
11	same exact quote that I read and I presented to you in	11	please, for the record?
12	the exhibit report. This is an island of a particular	12	MR. ESPINOSA: 1750 Ponce de Leon Boulevard.
13	type of Zoning in a sea of different types of Zoning,	13	MS. GARCIA-SERRA: Juan, could you please explain
14	and we would be the only property being denied the	14	for the Board how the traffic study is updated and
15	ability to have that Zoning in this area. That's the	15	accurate with the current proposed unit count and retail
16	Zoning Map.	16	floor?
17	Future Land Use Map, you notice there's a couple	17	MR. ESPINOSA: Sure. The traffic study was
18	of more shades of color there. The north half of our	18	updated with the 175 residential units and 31,741 square
19	property is the red Commercial Medium. South half is	19	feet of retail. That was the way the traffic study was
20	that light beige Residential Medium. It's very	20	conducted.
21	important to listen to the words that I just said,	21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: From the original or you
22	"Commercial Medium and Residential Medium." There's	22	updated it?
23	already an acknowledgment that that property should be	23	MR. ESPINOSA: It was updated once the Site Plan
24	at the medium intensity level of designation.	24	changed, and that was the way it was submitted to Public
25	Now, what that is saying is that what's	25	Works as an update.
2.5	Now, what that is saying is that what's	20	works us un updute.
	Page 98		Page 100
1	residential right now, you should make it commercial.	1	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. At one point in time
2	Part of our argument, of course, is that everything else	2	the Plan had the 172 units, I think, it was proposed,
3	there is commercial; either commercial medium or	3	and 20,000 something square feet of retail. It went up
4	commercial low. And what distinguishes it from being,	4	by three units from 172 to 175, and the amount of retail
5	let's say, commercial medium, as opposed to commercial	5	went up from 20,000 something to 30,000. So that
6	low, you'll notice that every property that faces the	6	explains the update of the Plan.
7	park, west of the park, is a commercial medium	7	Juan, if you could also talk about how the flow of
8	designation. There was a conscious plan other than	8	traffic in this project, since it is primarily
9	the southern half of our site, there was a conscious	9	residential, would be a sort of counter-flow and
10	decision that that park serve as sufficient of a	10	different from the pattern of traffic that exists right
11	transition that you should have medium intensity of	11	now?
12	development on the westerly side of the park. And	12	MR. ESPINOSA: That's correct. This being in a
13	that's why, from a Land Use sort of legislative policy	13	very commercial area, and you heard the neighbors, in
14	position, if it was appropriate on that map, for that	14	the afternoon, like the Whole Foods area, at five
15	color to not be beige, there's nothing else that's beige	15	o'clock, everybody is trying to leave the area, this
16	anywhere around it, but for it to be red just like the	16	being residential, it's what we call reverse commute.
17	properties are to the north the other properties that	17	So everybody when you have a residential site,
18	face the park on the west side of the park; nor should	18	everybody's trying to come in into the site. So you
19	it be pink, because that's not the treatment that's	19	don't have the conflict of the people adding to the
20	given necessarily to the other properties facing the	20	traffic coming out. So as long as people are leaving,
21	park on the west side of the park.	21	you can see the other side of the road, people well be
22	So that is sort of the Land Use and Zoning Map	22	coming in. So there's more capacity when you have
23	changes compatibility arguments as to the rebuttal as to	23	reverse commute. So we would not be compounding on the
24	why our proposed designations are appropriate. The second issue I'd like to address is sort of	24	issue of traffic. We well be using the available
25	i no cocond iccula L'd like to address is cort of	25	capacity by providing residential.

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

	Page 101		Page 103
1	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: One last question on the square	1	is posted on the property. So no one receives a mailed
2	foot by square foot basis of comparison, comparing how	2	notice for the Development Review Committee or Board of
3	much traffic is generated by typical office use, which	3	Architects. They could have just easily seen the sign
4	is very prevalent in the area, to residential, how much	4	that others saw also and attended those meetings.
5	is generated per square foot of residential, which one	5	Just as importantly, and attached to the issue of
6	is more and perhaps elaborate further?	6	notice, and what's appropriate for this property here
7	MR. ESPINOSA: Commercial, all of the office	7	right now is the history of the ownership of the site,
8	buildings would generate more traffic than residential.	8	also. I'll pass by some documents. I'll submit it to
9	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Thank you.	9	the record and explain them now.
10	MR. ESPINOSA: Thank you.	10	The 1550 San Remo property, which is the subject
11	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: One objector brought up the	11	property of this application which we're proposing to
12	issue of affordability, and how there should be,	12	change the zoning designation, was actually owned by Ms.
13	apparently, some obligation to sort of provide that	13	Kahn Drody's family previously. So Ms. Kahn's family
14	level of housing in the area or in the City. The City	14	previously bought the entire building, converted it to
15	itself has been undertaking an effort to try to address	15	condominium. When they converted it to condominium,
16	that issue. Comprehensively they've increased density	16	stayed remaining only one unit, and that one unit was
17	in the North Ponce area. They've undertaken other	17	ultimately sold to my client. So there's a history here
18	measures to try to address it. This is really a	18	of their familiarity with the property process that was
19	Citywide policy.	19	going on. They were part of a sale. They knew who the
20	We can say that the solution to the affordability	20	end buyer was, what the process and what most likely the
21	issue in Coral Gables is going to be let's just keep all	21	proposed project was going to be or the nature of that
22	of our old housing stock, and just keep it as it is, and	22	proposed project. And they also, at very important
23	don't let them redevelop anything on that site, because	23	points in time, when they owned the whole building or
24	it might be affordable, it's not going to be good	24	when they were selling the units to my client or selling
25	housing. You know, it's just going to let existing	25	them to others, at one point in time could have, if they
	Page 102		Page 104
1	buildings deteriorate in place, be sort of a race to the	1	were so concerned about the issue of how this property
2	bottom as far as, you know, renting it out just to be	2	was going to be future developed, potentially could have
3	able to break even and make ends meet.	3	restricted its development in some way, shape or form by
4	This project is going to increase density. We'll	4	deed restrictions or other sort of requirements that may
5	have a variety of different size units as options of	5	have been tied to each of these conveyances.
6	potentially you know, could address some of the	6	At this point in the process, it's perhaps a
7	affordability issue, but it shouldn't be the	7	little bit late, perhaps a little too convenient to now
8	responsibility of this one project to try to address the	8	be expressing objections after having had such previous
9	affordability issue, which is really Citywide. And the	9	ownership, and having involvement in the same property
10	solution to that is ongoing. And this could potentially	10	that they're now objecting to the redevelopment of.
11	be part of the solution, to a certain extent.	11	Lastly, we are trying to address Ms. Kahn Drody's
12	The other issue which came up, the City Attorney	12	concerns with the transition from this building to hers.
13	spoke to it already, but was the issue of notice. You	13	It's not an easy issue, this issue of having sort of
14	know, the certified list that we use to send out notices	14	these hold out properties or holes in the donut, let's
15	is indeed certified for that reason, to reflect what is	15	just say, in the whole block, which is otherwise being
16	on the tax roles at the moment. Mr. Savage's presence	16	redeveloped. But on this topic, I'd like to ask Willy Barmello to come up here and sort of address how we've
17	here, Ms. Kahn Drody's presence here, is evidence that	17 18	Bermello to come up here and sort of address how we've tried to address the setback issue, the traffic issue,
18	they did actually receive some actual notice.	19	the entrance of the parking garage, those sort of
19 20	Mr. Savage mentioned many times that the first	20	things.
20 21	meeting that he went to was the neighborhood meeting.	21	MR. BERMELLO: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board.
22	The only meeting that happens before the only two meetings that happen before that are the Development	22	There are a couple of points that I'd like to highlight
22	Review Committee meeting and the Roard of Architects		that are indicative of our efforts to try to be a good

25

23

24

25

Review Committee meeting and the Board of Architects²³

meeting, both of which are not meetings where there's

mailed notice. For both of those meetings, the notice

There are a couple of points that I'd like to highlight that are indicative of our efforts to try to be a good neighbor and address some of the issues that have been raised here tonight.

	Page 105		Page 107
1	The original plans of this design that were	1	make sure that from an aesthetic standpoint, from a
2	presented to Mr. Trias originally had the access to the	2	noise standpoint, so visually, noise-wise, in terms of
3	parking garage from San Remo, and similarly the access	3	odors, we have a total buffer, in terms of an eight inch
4	to the service delivery area.	4	semi-wall and hedge fronting in the property immediately
5	Now, we all agree that they have to be somewhere.	5	to the west of us.
6	We early on said definitely not Yumuri. That's	6	And, just again, to highlight an important point,
7	obviously the most public, the highest presence being	7	because I think Mr. Savage addressed the issue, and I
8	across the park. That has to be the highest level of	8	think also our attorney did, in terms of transition.
9	pedestrian access. So at one point, all of that	9	The transition to our property is the park. If there
10	activity had been placed here, next to the adjoining	10	had been single-family neighborhoods immediately across
11	property that you've heard both Mr. Savage and Lani	11	from Yumuri, that's where you have transition zoning.
12	speak about. We realized that having the access to the	12	That's where you have the step down, not when you have a
13	garage at this point would also have both the access	13	large park.
14	from Whole Foods and this property emptying out on to	14	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Thank you very much, Willy.
15	San Remo. Based on that, along with Staff, we changed	15	Just one final point. I know several letters were
16	our plans, and we changed our plans so that, in fact,	16	entered into the record by Mr. Savage, and I have not
17	all access to the 175 apartments would be on Venera, not	17	been able to take a look at those addresses yet. It's
18	on San Remo.	18	important, I think, that you at least glance at them to
19	So if you look at the traffic along San Remo, as	19	you see where exactly they're from. The one that was
20	far as this project is concerned, the only vehicular	20	provided beforehand, and made part of the record from
21	access and traffic would be that going to the service	21	Ms. Magdalena Sonville of 1561 Catalonia Avenue is
22	area, definitely not something that is going to change	22	indeed made by somebody with an address, which is as
23	the level of impact in quality of traffic in any street.	23	indicated in this aerial photograph, over three miles
24	So right now you have Whole Foods that has the	24	away from the site. That's not to say that every member
25	access to its parking garage and its egress on San Remo,	25	of the public that comes to this Board does not have a
	Page 106		Page 108
1	and we have ours on Venera, to try to mitigate and cause	1	right to express their views and express their
2	the least possible impact.	2	objections. They do. What I'm trying to point out is,
3	So what is left here? We have three uses;	3	the closer you are, the better standing I think you have
4	deliveries, FPL generator, an emergency generator, a	4	to be able to bring forward objections that are
5	trash room and a stairwell. The exterior face of that	5	reasonable, and based on competent and substantial
6	elevation is a solid decorative wall. Now, I realize	6	evidence. The further you are away from the site isn't
7	that where you're seating you may not be able to see	7	actually recognized in the law, the less standing you
8	that as well, but we're looking at this elevation, and	8	have as far as being able to challenge it. Everybody
9	particularly this area right here. In front of that	9	has a right to come up here and express their thoughts.
10	wall, there is a stretch of greenery 13 feet in width,	10	When you live three miles away, you, I think, are
11	which exceeds the setback of 20 feet. But, more	11	pushing the boundaries as to what is appropriate, and
12	importantly, there are no openings, so the emergency	12	what has standing for somebody to object for that
13	generator does not have any louvers or openings that	13	objection to have force with law.
14	well transmit sound. Those louvers are to the inside,	14	With that said, that's the conclusion of my
15	and I'll show you in a second, and the trash is a	15	rebuttal. And so we, of course, are available, the
16	refrigerated trash room. So there's also no exhaust or	16	whole team, to answer any questions which you might
17	ventilation where you'd have smells or odors flowing	17	have. Thank you very much.
18	into the property next door.	18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. At this point,
19	So, in other words, we have designed this service	19	I'm going to go ahead and close the floor to public
20	court so it's totally in the interior of our property.	20	comment. I'd like to open up for questions that we may
0.4	And as far as the exterior, it's a solid wall that well	21	have to the Applicant or anybody else.
21	And as fai as the exterior, it's a solid wall that well		

23

24

25

- And as far as the exterior, it's a solid wall that well
 be decorative, and then there's a greenery hedge
- be decorative, and then there's a greenery hedgeimmediately to the west where the walkway is that
- immediately to the west where the walkway is that leadsto the emergency stairwells from the building.
- 25 So, in other words, what we have tried to do is to
- MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We'll ask Juan to come up and

MS. MENENDEZ: Sure, I'll start. I had some

Who'd like to start, Maria?

questions concerning the traffic study.

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

	Page 109		Page 111
1	address your questions.	1	for San Remo and Yumuri Street?
2	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Hi.	2	MR. ESPINOSA: No.
3	MR. ESPINOSA: Hi. How are you?	3	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Thank you.
4	MS. MENENDEZ: On your page on your report, Number	4	MR. ESPINOSA: You're welcome.
5	24, when you look into the future project capacity	5	MS. MENENDEZ: I have a question. Does Staff know
6	analysis, in fact, if I'm reading it right, San Remo	6	how high the Whole Foods Building is?
7	Avenue, Yumuri, and 57th, the level of service gets	7	MR. TRIAS: 97 feet at the highest, and then it
8	lowered at the peak at a.m. and p.m. peaks, am I	8	comes down at the parking garage.
9	reading that right, if you compare it to a chart that	9	MS. MENENDEZ: So at 57th Avenue, it's at 97, and
10	was in previously that shows the existing?	10	then it transitions down?
11	MR. ESPINOSA: Yes, that's because we're doing	11	MR. TRIAS: Yes. And that has to do with the Land
12	signal timing recommendations. We're recommending that	12	Use. If you look at the Land Use Map, the area that is
13	signal timing at that intersection be modified.	13	tallest is the mid-rise, and the area that is lower is
14	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. These are what you're	14	actually the Low Rise Commercial Land Use.
15	projecting, and then the improvements is what you've	15	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. The Paseo, that's not open
16	just mentioned you're proposing. And how much better is	16	to the sky, right?
17	that going to make it? Do you think it well go back to	17	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: No.
18	its original or how much do you think it well	18	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So in reality there's
19	MR. ESPINOSA: Well, we just try to improve it so	19	nothing open, as far as design, to the sky except for
20	that we can meet the level of service standard. So	20	the exterior?
21	that's something that once the project is open, we work	21	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: The amenities deck. On the
22	with the County to balance the movement.	22	fifth level, there's an amenities deck which sort of
23	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. And San Remo and Nervia,	23	creates a variation in height, and is open to the sky.
24	there's also a decrease of level of service to B. Are	24	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay.
25	there any improvements in that area?	25	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think Willy is looking for
	Dogo 110		5 110
	Page 110		Page 112
1	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of	1	the floorplan.
1 2	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B.	1 2	the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look.
	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess,		the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this?
2	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed	2 3 4	the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria
2 3	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it	2 3 4 5	the floorplan.MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look.MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this?MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, MariaMs. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic?	2 3 4	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape
2 3 4 5	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic? MR. ESPINOSA: We're meeting the level of service	2 3 4 5	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the microphone?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic? MR. ESPINOSA: We're meeting the level of service standards. You know, first this project is in so we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the microphone? That would be helpful.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic? MR. ESPINOSA: We're meeting the level of service standards. You know, first this project is in so we are extending from traffic concurrency, Number One.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the microphone? That would be helpful. MR. BERMELLO: Yes. There is an L-shaped Piazza
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic? MR. ESPINOSA: We're meeting the level of service standards. You know, first this project is in so we are extending from traffic concurrency, Number One. MS. MENENDEZ: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the microphone? That would be helpful. MR. BERMELLO: Yes. There is an L-shaped Piazza at this level, which is the 5th Floor, which has a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic? MR. ESPINOSA: We're meeting the level of service standards. You know, first this project is in so we are extending from traffic concurrency, Number One. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. ESPINOSA: So even with being there, we did a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the microphone? That would be helpful. MR. BERMELLO: Yes. There is an L-shaped Piazza at this level, which is the 5th Floor, which has a swimming pool, gardens, and reflecting pools creating an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic? MR. ESPINOSA: We're meeting the level of service standards. You know, first this project is in so we are extending from traffic concurrency, Number One. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. ESPINOSA: So even with being there, we did a full traffic study, and they will be measuring at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the microphone? That would be helpful. MR. BERMELLO: Yes. There is an L-shaped Piazza at this level, which is the 5th Floor, which has a swimming pool, gardens, and reflecting pools creating an area of amenity for the south facing units.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic? MR. ESPINOSA: We're meeting the level of service standards. You know, first this project is in so we are extending from traffic concurrency, Number One. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. ESPINOSA: So even with being there, we did a full traffic study, and they will be measuring at the level of service standards. This project being within	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the microphone? That would be helpful. MR. BERMELLO: Yes. There is an L-shaped Piazza at this level, which is the 5th Floor, which has a swimming pool, gardens, and reflecting pools creating an area of amenity for the south facing units. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: On the Ground Floor, there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic? MR. ESPINOSA: We're meeting the level of service standards. You know, first this project is in so we are extending from traffic concurrency, Number One. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. ESPINOSA: So even with being there, we did a full traffic study, and they will be measuring at the level of service standards. This project being within half a mile of transit, the level of service standard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the microphone? That would be helpful. MR. BERMELLO: Yes. There is an L-shaped Piazza at this level, which is the 5th Floor, which has a swimming pool, gardens, and reflecting pools creating an area of amenity for the south facing units. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: On the Ground Floor, there's also a significant Plaza.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic? MR. ESPINOSA: We're meeting the level of service standards. You know, first this project is in so we are extending from traffic concurrency, Number One. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. ESPINOSA: So even with being there, we did a full traffic study, and they will be measuring at the level of service standards. This project being within half a mile of transit, the level of service standard based on the City Comprehensive Plan is what we call E	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the microphone? That would be helpful. MR. BERMELLO: Yes. There is an L-shaped Piazza at this level, which is the 5th Floor, which has a swimming pool, gardens, and reflecting pools creating an area of amenity for the south facing units. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: On the Ground Floor, there's also a significant Plaza. MR. BERMELLO: Correct. It's about almost a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic? MR. ESPINOSA: We're meeting the level of service standards. You know, first this project is in so we are extending from traffic concurrency, Number One. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. ESPINOSA: So even with being there, we did a full traffic study, and they will be measuring at the level of service standards. This project being within half a mile of transit, the level of service standard based on the City Comprehensive Plan is what we call E plus 50, which they allow 50 percent above the capacity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the microphone? That would be helpful. MR. BERMELLO: Yes. There is an L-shaped Piazza at this level, which is the 5th Floor, which has a swimming pool, gardens, and reflecting pools creating an area of amenity for the south facing units. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: On the Ground Floor, there's also a significant Plaza. MR. BERMELLO: Correct. It's about almost a little bit over 13,000 square feet. And, in fact, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic? MR. ESPINOSA: We're meeting the level of service standards. You know, first this project is in so we are extending from traffic concurrency, Number One. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. ESPINOSA: So even with being there, we did a full traffic study, and they will be measuring at the level of service standards. This project being within half a mile of transit, the level of service standard based on the City Comprehensive Plan is what we call E plus 50, which they allow 50 percent above the capacity of the roads. So that's why you see the E plus 50,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the microphone? That would be helpful. MR. BERMELLO: Yes. There is an L-shaped Piazza at this level, which is the 5th Floor, which has a swimming pool, gardens, and reflecting pools creating an area of amenity for the south facing units. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: On the Ground Floor, there's also a significant Plaza. MR. BERMELLO: Correct. It's about almost a little bit over 13,000 square feet. And, in fact, we curved the building to make a gesture toward the park,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic? MR. ESPINOSA: We're meeting the level of service standards. You know, first this project is in so we are extending from traffic concurrency, Number One. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. ESPINOSA: So even with being there, we did a full traffic study, and they will be measuring at the level of service standards. This project being within half a mile of transit, the level of service standard based on the City Comprehensive Plan is what we call E plus 50, which they allow 50 percent above the capacity of the roads. So that's why you see the E plus 50, because this is where the City determined that traffic 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the microphone? That would be helpful. MR. BERMELLO: Yes. There is an L-shaped Piazza at this level, which is the 5th Floor, which has a swimming pool, gardens, and reflecting pools creating an area of amenity for the south facing units. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: On the Ground Floor, there's also a significant Plaza. MR. BERMELLO: Correct. It's about almost a little bit over 13,000 square feet. And, in fact, we curved the building to make a gesture toward the park, not just have a straight line setback. We wanted to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic? MR. ESPINOSA: We're meeting the level of service standards. You know, first this project is in so we are extending from traffic concurrency, Number One. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. ESPINOSA: So even with being there, we did a full traffic study, and they will be measuring at the level of service standards. This project being within half a mile of transit, the level of service standard based on the City Comprehensive Plan is what we call E plus 50, which they allow 50 percent above the capacity of the roads. So that's why you see the E plus 50, because this is where the City determined that traffic should be. So they understand that there's going to be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the microphone? That would be helpful. MR. BERMELLO: Yes. There is an L-shaped Piazza at this level, which is the 5th Floor, which has a swimming pool, gardens, and reflecting pools creating an area of amenity for the south facing units. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: On the Ground Floor, there's also a significant Plaza. MR. BERMELLO: Correct. It's about almost a little bit over 13,000 square feet. And, in fact, we curved the building to make a gesture toward the park, not just have a straight line setback. We wanted to create the sense of an urban space.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic? MR. ESPINOSA: We're meeting the level of service standards. You know, first this project is in so we are extending from traffic concurrency, Number One. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. ESPINOSA: So even with being there, we did a full traffic study, and they will be measuring at the level of service standards. This project being within half a mile of transit, the level of service standard based on the City Comprehensive Plan is what we call E plus 50, which they allow 50 percent above the capacity of the roads. So that's why you see the E plus 50, because this is where the City determined that traffic should be. So they understand that there's going to be congestion during the peak hours in this area. So 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the microphone? That would be helpful. MR. BERMELLO: Yes. There is an L-shaped Piazza at this level, which is the 5th Floor, which has a swimming pool, gardens, and reflecting pools creating an area of amenity for the south facing units. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: On the Ground Floor, there's also a significant Plaza. MR. BERMELLO: Correct. It's about almost a little bit over 13,000 square feet. And, in fact, we curved the building to make a gesture toward the park, not just have a straight line setback. We wanted to create the sense of an urban space. The Paseo you know, often Paseos, if you go to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic? MR. ESPINOSA: We're meeting the level of service standards. You know, first this project is in so we are extending from traffic concurrency, Number One. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. ESPINOSA: So even with being there, we did a full traffic study, and they will be measuring at the level of service standards. This project being within half a mile of transit, the level of service standard based on the City Comprehensive Plan is what we call E plus 50, which they allow 50 percent above the capacity of the roads. So that's why you see the E plus 50, because this is where the City determined that traffic should be. So they understand that there's going to be congestion during the peak hours in this area. So that's part of the Comprehensive Plan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the microphone? That would be helpful. MR. BERMELLO: Yes. There is an L-shaped Piazza at this level, which is the 5th Floor, which has a swimming pool, gardens, and reflecting pools creating an area of amenity for the south facing units. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: On the Ground Floor, there's also a significant Plaza. MR. BERMELLO: Correct. It's about almost a little bit over 13,000 square feet. And, in fact, we curved the building to make a gesture toward the park, not just have a straight line setback. We wanted to create the sense of an urban space. The Paseo you know, often Paseos, if you go to the Museum Parking Garage, there's a Paseo that leads
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic? MR. ESPINOSA: We're meeting the level of service standards. You know, first this project is in so we are extending from traffic concurrency, Number One. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. ESPINOSA: So even with being there, we did a full traffic study, and they will be measuring at the level of service standards. This project being within half a mile of transit, the level of service standard based on the City Comprehensive Plan is what we call E plus 50, which they allow 50 percent above the capacity of the roads. So that's why you see the E plus 50, because this is where the City determined that traffic should be. So they understand that there's going to be congestion during the peak hours in this area. So that's part of the Comprehensive Plan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the microphone? That would be helpful. MR. BERMELLO: Yes. There is an L-shaped Piazza at this level, which is the 5th Floor, which has a swimming pool, gardens, and reflecting pools creating an area of amenity for the south facing units. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: On the Ground Floor, there's also a significant Plaza. MR. BERMELLO: Correct. It's about almost a little bit over 13,000 square feet. And, in fact, we curved the building to make a gesture toward the park, not just have a straight line setback. We wanted to create the sense of an urban space. The Paseo you know, often Paseos, if you go to the Museum Parking Garage, there's a Paseo that leads just next to Hillstone. We wanted to make sure that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic? MR. ESPINOSA: We're meeting the level of service standards. You know, first this project is in so we are extending from traffic concurrency, Number One. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. ESPINOSA: So even with being there, we did a full traffic study, and they will be measuring at the level of service standards. This project being within half a mile of transit, the level of service standard based on the City Comprehensive Plan is what we call E plus 50, which they allow 50 percent above the capacity of the roads. So that's why you see the E plus 50, because this is where the City determined that traffic should be. So they understand that there's going to be congestion during the peak hours in this area. So that's part of the Comprehensive Plan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the microphone? That would be helpful. MR. BERMELLO: Yes. There is an L-shaped Piazza at this level, which is the 5th Floor, which has a swimming pool, gardens, and reflecting pools creating an area of amenity for the south facing units. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: On the Ground Floor, there's also a significant Plaza. MR. BERMELLO: Correct. It's about almost a little bit over 13,000 square feet. And, in fact, we curved the building to make a gesture toward the park, not just have a straight line setback. We wanted to create the sense of an urban space. The Paseo you know, often Paseos, if you go to the Museum Parking Garage, there's a Paseo that leads just next to Hillstone. We wanted to make sure that that Paseo was activated with retail, and it wasn't just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ESPINOSA: There's no improvements to level of service B. MS. MENENDEZ: What is the improvement, I guess, should be my question? What are the proposed improvements for the impact of the project, as it relates to traffic? MR. ESPINOSA: We're meeting the level of service standards. You know, first this project is in so we are extending from traffic concurrency, Number One. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. ESPINOSA: So even with being there, we did a full traffic study, and they will be measuring at the level of service standards. This project being within half a mile of transit, the level of service standard based on the City Comprehensive Plan is what we call E plus 50, which they allow 50 percent above the capacity of the roads. So that's why you see the E plus 50, because this is where the City determined that traffic should be. So they understand that there's going to be congestion during the peak hours in this area. So that's part of the Comprehensive Plan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the floorplan. MS. MENENDEZ: If you tell me the page, I'll look. MR. BERMELLO: Do you all see this? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Show them, but also, Maria Ms. Menendez, on Tab 4, Page A-10. MR. BERMELLO: This is like an L shape MR. COLLER: Can you speak into the microphone? That would be helpful. MR. BERMELLO: Yes. There is an L-shaped Piazza at this level, which is the 5th Floor, which has a swimming pool, gardens, and reflecting pools creating an area of amenity for the south facing units. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: On the Ground Floor, there's also a significant Plaza. MR. BERMELLO: Correct. It's about almost a little bit over 13,000 square feet. And, in fact, we curved the building to make a gesture toward the park, not just have a straight line setback. We wanted to create the sense of an urban space. The Paseo you know, often Paseos, if you go to the Museum Parking Garage, there's a Paseo that leads just next to Hillstone. We wanted to make sure that

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

	Page 113		Page 115			
1	this well read as a covered a galleria space that has	1	your neighbor to the west, and the Whole Foods?			
2	the entrance into the residential. So you have it	2	MR. BERMELLO: The question is, can we push that			
3	activated with entrances and retail on both sides.	3	further in and internalize that even more?			
4	MS. MENENDEZ: Is that the main entrance to the	4	MR. GRABIEL: Yeah. Even if it's 10, 12 feet,			
5	residential from the Ground Level?	5	enough so that there's a continuity of storefront in			
6	MR. BERMELLO: Yes, the principal. So that's	6	front of those two places.			
7	where the P.O. Box and deliveries, et cetera, well be.	7	MR. BERMELLO: So that you can appreciate, I			
8	In fact, I don't know if you can see it, that hallway	8	guess, what we go through in planning and designing, one			
9	goes back, that's for deliveries.	9	of the things that you don't see here, but that we do,			
10	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Any pedestrian amenities put	10	is we do turning radius of trucks that we see here			
11	into the site, maybe something toward the park,	11	servicing, including moving trucks, et cetera, and part			
12	something that improves the pedestrian walk along that	12	of what we were trying to do was to have a very narrow			
13	area?	13	throat to the service way. So as you're driving by,			
14	MR. BERMELLO: Well, what we have done here is all	14	you're not looking at the back of those areas. But			
15	of this retail is you probably can't see it from	15	we're limited because of the yard in our property. In			
16	there, Maria, it has basically doors opening out. We	16	other words, if our property went all the way at this			
17	envision a lot of this being food and beverage. So that	17	point to Venera, we would be able to push this further			
18	well be kind of an inside/outside space. So the concept	18	in. The fact is, we're right at the limit. We have			
19	of live/work, and the concept of, you know, urbanism,	19	we kind of run out of space. So if I were to take this			
20	with an activated street frontage, and in this case that	20	section, which is I think what you're describing, and			
21	actually faces onto the park, are really the main	21	move everything back, I would have no delivery parking			
22	contributions to this project. And I don't know if you	22	areas, and then I would then have trucks parking on the			
23	can appreciate from where you're at, the elegance, the	23	outside, which I think goes against what we're trying to			
24	prominence of that face project, but part of the idea	24	do. We're trying to put all of that, any truck,			
25	was to create with our Plaza and the park across the	25	delivery area inside our property, out of sight. We're			

Page 114

1	way, a continuity between the two. We're bringing the	1
2	park to the west, and at the same time we're speaking to	2
3	the so it well be kind of a relationship by having a	3
4	very elegant facade response to the park. So when	4
5	you're in the park, and you're looking at it, it'll be	5
6	beautiful. You'll want to live there. That was the	6
7	idea.	7
8	MR. CARRERAS: In addition, with Staff's	8
9	encouragement, I guess, all of the right-of-way	9
10	frontages are being improved, meaning sidewalks, site	10
11	furnishings, waste receptacles, lighting, trees, et	11
12	cetera. So that public benefit contribution, I guess,	12
13	the right-of-way is included as part of this design.	13
14	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Thank you.	14
15	MR. GRABIEL: I have a question for Mr. Bermello.	15
16	On the loading area, your service yard, which I	16
17	think does well in not putting any of the mechanicals	17
18	toward the street or the neighbors, is there any chance	18
19	to continue some of that and I think the project does	19
20	well in putting retail all around it. You know, we're	20
21	always fighting to get that done. But those two areas,	21
22	the generator and FPL, any chance of improving the face	22
23	to the street by including some retail in there, even	23
24	though it's a portion of it, so that there's not such	24
25	a I mean, there well be a break between the retail and	25

capped at this point, and the size of a retail space typically is going to be 50 to 75 feet, which would take us-- if you look at our retail here, I draw a straight line, I would have no service area. Then I'll looking at the, but where do I put it?

So when we look at every place that we could put it, and have the minimum impact to the surrounding neighborhood, it was here. And we dealt with it by how do we treat that wall? And if you notice, on these drawings, all of the access doors and -- are all to the inside. So usually these are ugly double metal doors, metal frames that nobody likes to see going into an FPL vault. So all of that is to the inside. You don't see that from the outside. So even the doors, any vents or louvers are all internal to the property, out of sight from either the street or from our next door neighbor.

Now, someone said, can we make that wall even prettier, more aesthetically pleasing? Obviously, this is still a work in progress. We're doing a great job with your Staff, and we can certainly look at what more we can do to be an even better neighborhood. But this has been the response so far. Every other lineal footage of the property is all right now Ground Floor retail, with the exception of the driveway, up to the parking garage, and obviously the Paseo.

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

Page 116

-	Page 117		Page 119	
1	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: If I just may, I have one more	1 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Yes. And that's definitely		
2	point, Mr. Grabiel. I guess there's always a	2	above what is required.	
3	possibility of swapping the parking garage entrance for	3	MR. BELLIN: The landscape is required. The Paseo	
4	the loading area. But, you know, as we mentioned	4	is required. Those are not public benefits, but that's	
5	earlier, with the parking garage entrance for the	5	just my opinion.	
6	building to the west already on San Remo, we made a	6	One thing that I'm really concerned about is, in	
7	conscious decision to move it to have that on Venera	7	the future, if this project doesn't go ahead for some	
8	to help address the traffic congestion issue that there	8	reason, the land gets sold, and we change the Zoning to	
9	is.	9	commercial I think there's a big need for residential	
10	MR. GRABIEL: I understand that. I was just	10	in this area. So if you get rid of the MF2, this	
11	hoping to continue the storefront all the way through	11	essentially could become an office building, if we	
12	the facade on the ground level, so that the transition	12	change the zoning. There doesn't have to be any	
13	between the storefront that you have already proposed,	13	residential component in it.	
14	and your neighbor to the west is friendlier than just	14	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Keep in mind that the Site Plan	
15	no matter what you do to the facade, it's still a solid	15	approval is usually memorialized by a restrictive	
16	facade. Take a look at it.	16	covenant running with the land that obligates the	
17	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We can certainly continue to	17	property to be developed according to the Site Plan that	
18	look at it, see what depth we could possibly achieve	18	was proffered and approved.	
19	there for retail.	19	MR. BELLIN: That's the point I'm making. I would	
20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Marshall?	20	like this project, if we approve it, to be tied at just	
21	MR. BELLIN: Yeah, I've got a couple of questions.	21	the way it is.	
22	One is what really are the public benefits that you're	22	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, if I can clarify.	
23	providing in this project? To me, there's really not	23	That's what the attorney said, it is tied. What it	
24	much in the way of public benefits.	24	means is that to do a different project, it would	
25	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: The streetscape improvements	25	require an action by the Commission, because we have	
	Page 118		Page 120	
1	that we're doing all around the project which presently	1	that restricted covenant that ties the specific Site	
2	doesn't exist, the Plaza, which is about	2	Plan, okay. That is on one of the issues that we have	
3	MR. BELLIN: But there's really no usable outdoor	3	here.	
4	space.	4	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: If I could just elaborate on	
5	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I would disagree with you. I	5	that, on sort of practical terms, is that okay with you?	
6	think that Plaza that fronts onto the park is going to	6	MS. MENENDEZ: But didn't that change because the	
7	be accessible to the public. It is definitely an area	7	first approval changed? I mean, that's what I was	
8 9	that the public could use and benefit from it. MS. MENENDEZ: How?	8 9	trying to get at.	
10	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: That could be easily that's	10	MR. TRIAS: And that's why it takes action by the Commission to change it. So it's not by right that they	
11	where, thinking in my own situation, if I take the kids	11	can do something totally different.	
12	to go play in the park, and I want to have a cup of	12	MR. BELLIN: If we change the Zoning to a	
	coffee, and just have them within eyesight, and see them	13	C-zoning, and then three years later somebody comes back	
1 ⊥ 3	correct, and just have along wrann eyesigni, and see along			
13 14	playing and having a good time, that's where I'll be	14	and wants to develop this project in a different way	
14	playing and having a good time, that's where I'll be sitting down and enjoying my cup of coffee. You know,	14 15	and wants to develop this project in a different way, they can develop it as of right as it exists.	
	sitting down and enjoying my cup of coffee. You know,	14 15 16	they can develop it as of right as it exists.	
14 15		15		
14 15 16	sitting down and enjoying my cup of coffee. You know, it's a sort of area where people can congregate,	15 16	they can develop it as of right as it exists. MR. LEEN: Yes, but the issue is that when these	
14 15 16 17	sitting down and enjoying my cup of coffee. You know, it's a sort of area where people can congregate, recreate sort of thing in that Plaza area, which was	15 16 17	they can develop it as of right as it exists. MR. LEEN: Yes, but the issue is that when these come up as an application, at least in my experience	
14 15 16 17 18	sitting down and enjoying my cup of coffee. You know, it's a sort of area where people can congregate, recreate sort of thing in that Plaza area, which was very well- sort of the idea behind it came from the	15 16 17 18	they can develop it as of right as it exists. MR. LEEN: Yes, but the issue is that when these come up as an application, at least in my experience since I've been here, typically the Commission doesn't	
14 15 16 17 18 19	sitting down and enjoying my cup of coffee. You know, it's a sort of area where people can congregate, recreate sort of thing in that Plaza area, which was very well- sort of the idea behind it came from the Board of Architects, and, very well received by them,	15 16 17 18 19	they can develop it as of right as it exists. MR. LEEN: Yes, but the issue is that when these come up as an application, at least in my experience since I've been here, typically the Commission doesn't just approve the Land Use change without also approving the application. They don't have to. They don't. They can't be conditioned like that, but that's just that's	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sitting down and enjoying my cup of coffee. You know, it's a sort of area where people can congregate, recreate sort of thing in that Plaza area, which was very well- sort of the idea behind it came from the Board of Architects, and, very well received by them, and we followed their advice. \$75,000 that we're adding or we're contributing to the City for multi-modal improvements or the mobility	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they can develop it as of right as it exists. MR. LEEN: Yes, but the issue is that when these come up as an application, at least in my experience since I've been here, typically the Commission doesn't just approve the Land Use change without also approving the application. They don't have to. They don't. They can't be conditioned like that, but that's just that's the benefit of having you know, like I said, there's a	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sitting down and enjoying my cup of coffee. You know, it's a sort of area where people can congregate, recreate sort of thing in that Plaza area, which was very well- sort of the idea behind it came from the Board of Architects, and, very well received by them, and we followed their advice. \$75,000 that we're adding or we're contributing to the City for multi-modal improvements or the mobility issue which is over and above the impact fees.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	they can develop it as of right as it exists. MR. LEEN: Yes, but the issue is that when these come up as an application, at least in my experience since I've been here, typically the Commission doesn't just approve the Land Use change without also approving the application. They don't have to. They don't. They can't be conditioned like that, but that's just that's the benefit of having you know, like I said, there's a positive and negative to having an application with a	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sitting down and enjoying my cup of coffee. You know, it's a sort of area where people can congregate, recreate sort of thing in that Plaza area, which was very well- sort of the idea behind it came from the Board of Architects, and, very well received by them, and we followed their advice. \$75,000 that we're adding or we're contributing to the City for multi-modal improvements or the mobility	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they can develop it as of right as it exists. MR. LEEN: Yes, but the issue is that when these come up as an application, at least in my experience since I've been here, typically the Commission doesn't just approve the Land Use change without also approving the application. They don't have to. They don't. They can't be conditioned like that, but that's just that's the benefit of having you know, like I said, there's a	

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

Page 1	23
--------	----

1 well be placed on the property once is approved, and 1 one place, and go, you know, to play sorts to be 3 then, in order to change that. Any future project would and the control would be abe- 2 4 have to comply with that restrictive covenant, unless 4 entertainto is another, bit try to combine all of those. 6 MR, BTLLIN: Well, can we make a recommendation 6 In this particular circumstance, our biggest 7 thatk. Its being the profest is die of this approval, and, if in 9 side of things, also. Shere we have spreamflow 10 MR, TEEN: I think yon can recommend that we 20 retail, which could also be used as office space, about 11 accept the proffer of a restrictive covenant, hecause 10 restail, which could also be used as office space, about 12 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: Of course. 12 units: the work and play component, which is retail, 13 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: That's correct. 15 main strict that areal free sidential 14 particitar signing to proffer a 16 lear on the record, the applicant is going to proffer a 15 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: That's correct. It's part of 16 is and free that ould poetail by component,		Page 121		Page 123	
3 uses into the one area. And it's been accosions 4 have to comply with that restrictive covenant, unless 6 MR, BELLIN: Well, can we make a recommendian 7 that this project is tig to this approval, and, if in 8 the future, it's changed for some reason because 9 that this project is tig to this approval, and, if in 10 MR, LEEN: I think you can recommend that we accept the proffer of a restrictive covenant, because 10 11 accept the proffer of a restrictive covenant, because 11 12 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: Of course. 12 13 MR GARCIA-SERRA: Of course. 13 14 MR, COLLER: I just want to make sure that it's 15 15 MR, COLLER: I just want to make sure that it's 15 16 oreareant - arstrictive covenant tyg and 10 this to 17 covenant - arstrictive covenant tyg and 10 this to 18 the part proval, and the design of this 19 the conditions of approval, and the design of this 20 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: That's correct. It's part of 21 the conditions of approval, and that's in the condi	1	well be placed on the property once its approved, and	1 one place, and go, you know, to play sports or be		
4 heve to comply with that restrictive covenant, unless 4 effort of the City, and the development community, 1 5 the Course 1 in this particular circumstance, our biggest. 7 that his project is tied to fhis approval, and, if in 6 In this particular circumstance, our biggest. 7 that his project is tied to fhis approval, and, if in 7 component is residential. 1 9 that's what happened with Aloft. 9 iimportant component outport the work and the play sompto the work and the play component, which is retail, 12 they are profering, aren't you? 12 ivethe live component, which is retail, 14 MR, TRIAS; Yeah, and that's in the Saff report. 16 ground hor. And keep immid, incorporating that into 16 the conditions of approval, and we've already expressed 16 and a limited amount of the play component, also. 17 coverance - are strictive covenant tying all of this to 17 lot of the offics that are already pressent in the area. 16 the particular Site Plan, and the design of the 18 and a limited amount of the play component, also. 17 the conditions of approval, and we've already expressed 18	2		2	entertained in another, but try to combine all of those	
5 the Commission relieved it, hasically released it. 5 think, has followed it. 6 MR. BELLIN: Well, can we make a recommendion 7 component is residential. That's also the most 8 the future, it's changed for some reason—because 8 important component to support the work and the play 9 that's what happened with Aloft. 10 MR. LEIN: I think you can recommend that we 10 retail, which could also be used a solice space, about 11 accept the profifer of a restrictive covenant, because 11 30.000 square fets. So indeed we're trying to combine 12 It's are other south, and that's in the Staff report. 13 uuits; the work and play component, which is retail. 13 MR. COLLER: I just want to make sure that it's 16 ana are and that arealy has a lot of the work component, also. 14 restricture covenant tying all of this to 17 lot of the offices that are already present in the area, and a limited amount of the play component, also. 15 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: That's correct. If's part of 18 lot of the offices that are already present in the area, and a limited amount of the play component, also. 23 MR. BELLIN: The Site Plan, and the design of the 14 that set instead of 175, so I want to make sume that 24	3	to affirmatively change that. Any future project would	3	uses into the one area. And it's been a conscious	
6 MR. BELLIN: Well, can we make a recommendation 6 In this particular circumstance, our biggest 7 that his project is ied to this approval, and, if in 6 component is residential. That's also the most 9 that's what happened with Aloft. 9 side of things, also. So here we have ground floor 11 accept the profier of a restrictive covenant, because 10 restrictive covenant, because 12 they are profiering, aren'y you? 12 10 restrictive covenant, because 13 MR. CACKLA-SERA: Of course. 11 11 restrictive covenant, bit is traitil, 14 MR. TRIAS: Yeah, and that's in the Staff report. 14 restrictular Site Plan thu you're proposing, is that 16 clar on the record, the applicant is going to profier a 16 area that already has a lot of the work component, a site instead, 17 covenanta restrictive covenant tying all of this to 16 area that already has a lot of the work component, also. 18 the particular Site Plan, and the design of the conditions of approval, and we've already expressed 10 MR. CACLA-SERA: That's correct. I's part of 20 MR. GARCIA-SERA: Correct. The way we're profies that wold'! Teman, how do we know 14	4	have to comply with that restrictive covenant, unless	4	effort of the City, and the development community, I	
6 MR. BELLIN: Well, can we make a recommendation 6 In this particular circumstance, our biggest 7 that this roject is tied to this approval, and, if in 7 component is residential. That's also the most 9 that's what happened with Aloft. 7 component is residential. That's also the most 9 that's what happened with Aloft. 7 side of things, also. So here we have ground floor 11 accept the proffer of a restrictive covenant, because 11 30000 square feet. So indeed were trying to combine 12 they are proffering, aren't you? 12 11 restrictive component, which are the residential 14 MR. TRIAS: Yeah, and that's in the Staff report. 14 restricture site ying to combine 16 clear on the record, the applicant is going to proffer a 16 area: that already hus a lot of the work component, a 19 correct? 19 MR. CARCIA-SERRA: That's correct. If's part of 10 20 MR. EHLLIN: The Ster Plan, and the design of the design of the work or what have you, wood be able-work only only and were have set with the conditions of approval. 20 MR. CARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're 21 this is teinstead of 175, so I want to make sture that 21 about the affordability of it?	5	the Commission relieved it, basically released it.	5	think, has followed it.	
1 that this project is tied to this approval, and if in 7 component is residential. That's able the play 8 the future, it's changed for some reason-because 9 important component to support the work and the play 9 that's what happened with Aloft. 9 isdo of things. also. So here we have ground floor 10 MR. LEEN: I think you can recommend that we 10 13 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Of course. 13 11 MR. TRLAS: Yeah, and that's in the Staff report. 14 restauran, and office that could potentially go on the 15 MR. COLLER: I just want to make sure that it's 16 char are alth at'redy has a lot of the work component, which is retail, 16 che care of the propicent is group for far 17 covenanta restrictive covenant trying all of this to 17 covenanta restrictive applicant is going to proffer a 16 16 the ordificons of approval, and we'de already sepressed 20 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: That's correct. It's part of 16 and a limited amount at huilding and pay 23 MR. BELLIN: The Sile Plan, and the design of the 24 woold be the same folks working in those 24 the condifitons of approval, and we'de aready	6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6	In this particular circumstance, our biggest	
8 the future, it's changed for some reason-because 8 important component to support the work and the play 9 that's what happened with Alofi. 9 side of things, also. So here we have ground floor 11 accept the profferin or arestrictive covenant, because 10 700.000 square feet. So indeed were trying to combine 12 they are profferin, arent you? 11 30,000 square feet. So indeed were trying to combine 13 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Of course. 13 units: the work and play component, which is retail, 14 MR. TRIAS: Yeah, and that's in the Staff report. 14 restaurant, and office that could portal prosoning that into 16 clear on the record, the applicant is going to proffer a 16 and a limited amount of the play component, also. 19 our agreement with the conditions of approval. 18 and a limited amount of the play component, also. 23 MR. BELLIN: The Site Plan, and the design of the 20 folks that would. Pure work and play 24 building, and the density? Because if we change the 21 that density of it? I man, any otat lia little bit 25 Zoning, somebody can come along and pu 207 units on 25 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correc	7		7		
9 that's what happened with Aloft. 9 side of flings, also. So here we have ground floor 10 MR, LEIN: 1 think you can recommend that we 10 retail, which could also be used as office space, about 12 they are profering, aren'you? 12 ive- the live component, which is retail, 13 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: Cocurse. 13 units; the work and play component, which is retail, 14 MR, COLLER: 1 just want to make sure that it's 16 area that already has a lot of the work component, a which is retail, 16 covenant a restrictive covenant tying all of this to 17 lot of the offices that are alphacent is going to proffer a 18 the particular Site Plan that yorite proposing, is that 18 mare that already has a lot of the work or work how do we know 20 or agreement with the conditions of approval. 18 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Thar's correct. It's part of 21 the site instead of 175, so I want to make sure that 18 ballow ding, and the design of the 22 Zoming, somebody can come along and put 207 units on 25 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 23 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The are situation in which it is conducive to 3	8		8	-	
10 MR. LEEN: I think you can recommend that we 10 retail, which could also be used as office space, about 11 accept the profer of a restrictive covenant, because 10 30,000 square feet. So indeed we're trying to combine 13 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Of course. 13 units: the work and play component, which are the residential 14 MR. TRIAS: Yeah, and that's in the Staff report. 13 units: the work and play component, which are the residential 16 clear on the record, the applicant is going to profer a 16 an are that already has a lot of the work component, also. 19 correct? 10 off the design of the 10 20 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: That's correct. It's part of 10 10 MB. BALIDO-HARE: But how do we know that the 21 the conditions of approval, and we've already expressed 20 MR. BELLIN: The Site Plan, and the design of the 24 building, and the density? Because if we change the 24 30 MR. BELLIN: The Site Plan, and the design of the 25 Zoning, somebody can come along and pat 207 units on 25 MR.CARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're 26 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're 70 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're 71		-	9		
11 accept the profifer of a restrictive covenant, because 11 30,000 square feat. So indeed we're trying to combine 12 they are profifering, aren'you? 12 ive- the live component, which are the residential 13 MR. GARCLA-SERRA: Correate. 13 inits; the work and play component, which is retail, 14 MR. TRIAS: Yeah, and that's in the Staff report. 14 restaurant, and office that could potentially go on the 15 MR. CARLA-SERRA: That's correct. It's part of 16 an a met that already has a lot of the work component, alo. 19 correct? 19 MR. BELLIN: The Stie Plan, and the design of the 10 20 our agreement with the conditions of approval. 22 and a limited amount of the play component, who do we know 21 this site instead of 175, so I want to make sure that 24 whatever is proposed here is what gets built. 25 23 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're 3 working within walking distance, and nor can any 24 working within walking distance, and nor can any 27 sone holes, and ow work, by having one, two, three 24 whatever is proposed here is what gets built. 24 working within walking distance, and nor can any 27				• •	
12 they are proffering, aren't you? 12 live- the live component, which are the residential 13 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: Of course. 13 units; the work and play component, which is retail 14 MR, TRIAS: Yeah, and that's in the Staff report. 14 15 MR, COLLER: I just want to make sure that it's 15 16 clear on the record, the applicant is going to proffer a 16 17 covenant-a restrictic ve covenant tying all of this to 17 18 the particular Site Plan that you're proposing, is that 18 19 correct? 19 MS, BALIDO-HART: But how do we know that the 20 our agreement with the conditions of approval. 21 about the affordability of it? I mean, how do we know 24 building, and the density? Because if we change the 25 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're 25 Whatever its proposed here is what gets built. 25 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're 26 whatever is proposed here is what gets built. 23 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're 26 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're 3 worth wet we're proposing this, we're ving ourselves to the proffered 5 36 <th></th> <th>•</th> <th></th> <th></th>		•			
13 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Of course. 13 units; the work and play component, which is retail, 14 MR. TRLAS: Yeah, and that's in the Staff report. 13 units; the work and play component, which is retail, 15 MR. COLLES: Upits want to make sure that it's 15 ground floor. And keep in mind, incorporating that into an area that already has a lot of the work component, a lot of the offices that are already present in the area, and a limited amount of the play component, also. 16 the particular Sile Plan that you're proposing, is that 16 off the offices that are already present in the area, and and a limited amount of the play component, also. 20 our agreement with the conditions of approval. 18 MR. BALLIN: The Site Plan, and the design of the 24 21 this site instead of 175, so I want to make sure that whatever is proposed here is what gets built. 25 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're 3 24 proposing this, we're tying ourselves to the proffered 5 5 Site Plan. 14 that. Steret is going to be working with walking distance, and nor can any government, nor any developer really guarantee that. 25 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 14 that. Steret is condicing of the market. The best we can do is try to create the situation in which it is conducive to it. 3 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 18 So, in other works, by ha			1		
14 MR. TRIAS: Yeah, and that's in the Staff report. 14 restaurant, and office that could potentially go on the 15 MR. COLLER: 1 just want to make sure that its ground floor. And keep in mind, incorporating that into 16 clear on the record, the applicant is going to proffer a an area that afreedy has a lot of the owrk component, also. 18 the particular Site Plan that you're proposing, is that an area that afreedy has a lot of the owrk component, also. 20 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: That's correct. It's part of MS. BALIDO-HART: But how do we know that the 21 our agreement with the conditions of approval. and the affordability of it? I mean, how do we know 23 MR. BELLIN: The Site Plan, and the design of the 23 24 building, and the density? Because if we change the 24 25 Zoning, somebody can come along and put 207 units on 25 Fage 122 14 this site instead of 175, so I want to make sure that 4 2 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way. 3 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 4 4 MR. BELLIN: No. 21 5 MR. BALLIN: No. 21 5 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.			1	-	
15 MR. COLLER: I just want to make sure that it's 15 ground floor. And keep in mind, incorporating that into 16 clear on the record, the applicant is going to proffer a 16 an area that already has a lot of the work component, a 17 covenant- a restrictive covenant typing all of this to 17 an area that already has a lot of the work component, a 18 the particular Site Plan that you're proposing, is that 18 and a limited amount of the play component, also. 19 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: That's correct. I's part of 18 folks that would-I mean, canyou talk a little bit 20 our agreement with the conditions of approval. 22 that the folks that would live in that building and pay 21 the the rents are or what have you, would be able- would be the same folks working in those 22 Zoning, somebody can come along and put 207 units on 25 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're 24 building, we're tying ourselves to the proffered 15 ftat. There's no way of me telling you I can guarantee 3 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 8 So, in other words, by having one, two, three 9 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 8 5 9 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 8			1		
16 clear on the record, the applicant is going to proffer a 16 an area that already has a lot of the work component, a 17 covenant a restrictive covenant tying all of this to 17 18 the particular Site Plan that you're proposing, is that 18 19 orcrect? 18 20 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: That's correct. It's part of 20 21 the conditions of approval, and we've already expressed 21 20 our agreement with the conditions of approval. 22 21 MR, BELLIN: The Site Plan, and the design of the 23 22 Zoning, somebody can come along and put 207 units on 24 23 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're Page 122 24 this site instead of 175, so I want to make sure that 1 25 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 29 3 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 29 4 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 20 9 MR, TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the 20 9 MR, BELLIN: No. 21 11 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions, 21 12 MR, BALIDO-HART: Sure,		-	1		
17 covenant - a restrictive covenant tying all of this to 17 lot of the offices that are already present in the area, 18 the particular Site Plan that you're proposing, is that 18 20 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: That's correct. It's part of 20 21 our agreement with the conditions of approval, and we've already expressed 21 22 our agreement with the conditions of approval, 22 23 MR, BELLN: The Site Plan, and the design of the 23 24 building, and the density? Because if we change the 24 25 Zoning, somebody can come along and put 207 units on 25 26 Page 122 Page 122 27 whatever is proposed here is what gets built. 2 3 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. Page 124 4 that. Something of the market. The best we can do is 7 7 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 8 8 MR, GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 8 9 MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the 9 9 MR. BELLIN: No. 13 12 Marshall? 13 13 MR. BALIDO-HART: Bur on oure out hat			1		
18 the particular Site Plan that you're proposing, is that 18 and a limited amount of the play component, also. 19 Correct? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: That's correct. It's part of MR. GARCIA-SERRA: That's correct. It's part of 21 the conditions of approval, and we've already expressed 10 MS. BALIDO-HART: But how do we know that the 22 that the folks that would!- I mean, can you talk alk bit about the affordability of it? I mean, how do we know 23 MR. BELLIN: The Site Plan, and the design of the 24 whatever the rents are or what have you, would be able- 24 building, and the density? Because if we change the 23 whatever the rents are or what have you, would be able- 25 Zoning, somebody can come along and put 207 units on 25 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way were 2 whatever is proposed here is what gets built. 1 that. There's no way of me telling you I can guarantee 2 you that everybody who lives there is going to be working within walking distance, and no can any 4 proposing this, we're tying ourselves to the proffered 5 That's something of the market. The best we can do is 5 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 8 So, in other words, by having one, two, three 9 M				•	
19 correct? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: That's correct. It's part of 19 MS. BALIDO-HART: But how do we know that the 20 ura greement with the conditions of approval, and we've already expressed 20 about the affordability of it? I mean, can you talk a little bit 23 MR. BELLIN: The Site Plan, and the design of the 21 about the affordability of it? I mean, how do we know 24 building, and the density? Because if we change the 23 whatever the rents are or what have you, would be able 25 Zoning, somebody can come along and put 207 units on Page 122 Page 122 24 this site instead of 175, so I want to make sure that 1 that. There's no way of me telling you I can guarantee 25 you that everybody who lives there is going to be you that everybody who lives there is going to be 3 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're 3 working within walking distance, and nor can any 4 proposing this, we're tying ourselves to the proffered 5 That's something of the market. The best we can do is 5 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 8 So, in other words, by having one, two, three 9 MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the 1 south a earguarantee is for 11			1		
20MR. GARCIA-SERRA: That's correct. It's part of the conditions of approval, and we've already expressed our agreement with the conditions of approval.20folks that would I mean, can you talk a little bit about the affordability of it? I mean, how do we know that the folks that would live in that building and pay that building and pay would be table would be the same folks working in those MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're proposing this, we're tying ourselves to the proffered Site Plan.20Fage 122Page 1241this site instead of 175, so I want to make sure that whatever is proposed here is what gets built.1that. There's no way of me telling you I can guarantee you that everybody who lives there is going to be working within walking distance, and nor can any government, nor any developer really guarantee that.3MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.1That's something of the market. The best we can do is try to create the situation in which it is conducive to it.4MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.8So, in other words, by having one, two, three9MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.8So, in other words, by having one, two, three9MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.8So, in other words, by having one, two, three10MR. BELLIN: No.11So in other words, by having one, two, three11CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie?12So and to work in close proximity. So, again, we can't guarantee it, but certainly the situation that we have right now, I do not think is conducive to having that live, work, play situation in this part of the City, where proposing today.12MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You kno			1		
21 the conditions of approval, and we've already expressed our agreement with the conditions of approval. 21 about the affordability of it? I mean, how do we know that the folks that would live in that building and pay whatever the rents are or what have you, would be able would be the same folks working in those 23 MR. BELLIN: The Site Plan, and the design of the whatever is proposed here is what gets built. 23 whatever is proposed here is what gets built. 3 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're proposing this, we're tying ourselves to the proffered Site Plan. 1 that. There's no way of me telling you I can guarantee you that everybody who lives there is going to be working within walking distance, and nor can any government, nor any developer really guarantee that. 7 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 9 9 MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the way. 7 11 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions, 11 11 12 MR. BELLIN: No. 12 13 MR. BELLIN: No. 13 14 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie? 14 15 MR. BALLIDO-HART: The vious. Can you talk about 15 16 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the live, work, play component? What is it that is envisioned there? 13 16 MR. SALIDO-HART: The urious. Can you talk about 15 <			1		
22 our agreement with the conditions of approval. 22 that the folks that would live in that building and pay 23 MR. BELLIN: The Site Plan, and the design of the 23 whatever the rents are or what have you, would be able 24 building, and the density? Because if we change the 24 whatever the same folks working in those 25 MR. BELLIN: Site Plan, and the design of the 24 whatever the same folks working in those 26 Page 122 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're 3 working within walking distance, and nor can any 3 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 4 government, nor any developer really guarantee that. 5 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 8 So, in other words, by having one, two, three 9 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 8 So, in other words, by having one, two, three 9 MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the 9 affordable it could be. The more potential there is for 10 way. 10 somebody who works in an office in the area to be able 12 Marshall? 12 to rent here also, and to work in close proximity. So, again, we can't guarantee it, but certainly the 14 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie? 14		-	1		
23 MR, BELLIN: The Site Plan, and the design of the 23 whatever the rents are or what have you, would be able-would be the same folks working in those- 24 building, and the density? Because if we change the 24 whatever the rents are or what have you, would be able-would be the same folks working in those- 25 Zoning, somebody can come along and put 207 units on 25 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We can't necessarily guarantee 24 this site instead of 175, so I want to make sure that 1 that. There's no way of me telling you I can guarantee 2 you that everybody who lives there is going to be you that everybody who lives there is going to be 3 WR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 4 government, nor any developer really guarantee that. 5 MR. GRABIEL: To the specifics of this Plan? 5 That's something of the market. The best we can do is 6 MR. GRACIA-SERRA: Correct. 8 So, in other words, by having one, two, three 9 MR. GRACIA-SERRA: Correct. 8 So, in other words, in an office in the area to be able 10 Warshall? 10 somebody who works in an office in the area to be able 11 So KaLDO-HART: Sure order questions, 11 somebody who works in an office in the area to be able 12				-	
24building, and the density? Because if we change the Zoning, somebody can come along and put 207 units on24would be the same folks working in those MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We can't necessarily guarantee25Page 122Page 1241this site instead of 175, so I want to make sure that whatever is proposed here is what gets built.1that. There's no way of me telling you I can guarantee you that everybody who lives there is going to be working within walking distance, and nor can any government, nor any developer really guarantee that.3MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're proposing this, we're tying ourselves to the proffered Site Plan.1that. There's no way of me telling you I can guarantee you that everybody who lives there is going to be working within walking distance, and nor can any government, nor any developer really guarantee that.6MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.8So, in other words, by having one, two, three9MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the way.5So, in other words, by having one, two, three10way.10Somebody who works in an office in the area to be able to rent here also, and to work in close proximity. So, again, we can't guarantee it, but certainly the situation that we have right now, I do not think is conducive to having that live, work, play situation in the live, work, play component? What is it that is envisioned there?1616MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use regulations, which is what we're proposing today.1917MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many ofth projects in the City of Coral G			1	0 1 0	
25Zoning, somebody can come along and put 207 units on25MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We can't necessarily guaranteePage 122Page 1241this site instead of 175, so I want to make sure that12whatever is proposed here is what gets built.23MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're34proposing this, we're tying ourselves to the proffered35Site Plan.56MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.7MR. GARABEL: To the specifics of this Plan?8MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.9MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the9MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the9MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the10way.11CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions,12MR. BELLIN: No.13MR. BELLIN: No.14CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie?15MS. BALIDO-HART: Trn curious. Can you talk about16the live, work, play component? What is it that is17MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many ofth18MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many ofth19MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many ofthe10majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use20majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use21regulations are based on the new22urbarses school of thought that we should go back sort24of to how communities usually developed, and not24of to how communitie			1	•	
Page 122Page 1221this site instead of 175, so I want to make sure that1that. There's no way of me telling you I can guarantee2whatever is proposed here is what gets built.2you that everybody who lives there is going to be3MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're3working within walking distance, and nor can any4proposing this, we're tying ourselves to the proffered5site Plan.5Site Plan.6try to create the situation in which it is conducive to6MR. GRACIA-SERRA: Correct.89MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the99MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the910way.1011CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions,1112MR. BELLIN: No.1313MR. BELLIN: No.1314CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie?1415MS. BALIDO-HART: I'm curious. Can you talk about1516the live, work, play component? What is it that is1517envisioned there?1718MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the1919projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast1912Mixed-use regulations are based on the new2123urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort2124of to how communities usually developed, and not24					
1this site instead of 175, so I want to make sure that1that. There's no way of me telling you I can guarantee2whatever is proposed here is what gets built.1that. There's no way of me telling you I can guarantee3MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're3working within walking distance, and nor can any4proposing this, we're tying ourselves to the proffered3working within walking distance, and nor can any5Site Plan.5That's something of the market. The best we can do is6MR. BELLIN: Okay.6try to create the situation in which it is conducive to7MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.8So, in other words, by having one, two, three9MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the9bedroom units you know, the smaller the unit, the more10way.10affordable it could be. The more potential there is for11CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions,11somebody who works in an office in the area to be able14CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie?14situation that we have right now, I do not think is15MS. BALIDO-HART: Tr curious. Can you talk about15conducive to having that live, work, play situation in16the live, work, play component? What is it that is16this part of the City, where pretty much everything is17envisoned there?17office, to a great extent, some restaurants, some other18MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the19there is of residential, I would venture to say, if we <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>					
2whatever is proposed here is what gets built.2you that everybody who lives there is going to be3MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're3working within walking distance, and nor can any4proposing this, we're tying ourselves to the proffered3government, nor any developer really guarantee that.5Site Plan.5That's something of the market. The best we can do is6MR. BELLIN: Okay.6try to create the situation in which it is conducive to7MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.8So, in other words, by having one, two, three9MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the9bedroom units you know, the smaller the unit, the more10way.10affordable it could be. The more potential there is for11CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions,11somebody who works in an office in the area to be able12Marshall?12to rent here also, and to work in close proximity. So,13MR. BELLIN: No.13again, we can't guarantee it, but certainly the14CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie?1415MS. BALIDO-HART: The curious. Can you talk about1516the live, work, play component? What is it that is1617envisioned there?1718MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the1819projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast1910majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use2021regulations, which is what we're proposing		Page 122		Page 124	
3MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. The way we're3working within walking distance, and nor can any4proposing this, we're tying ourselves to the proffered4government, nor any developer really guarantee that.5Site Plan.5That's something of the market. The best we can do is6MR. BELLIN: Okay.6try to create the situation in which it is conducive to7MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.8So, in other words, by having one, two, three9MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the9bedroom units you know, the smaller the unit, the more10way.10affordable it could be. The more potential there is for11CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions,11somebody who works in an office in the area to be able12Marshall?12to rent here also, and to work in close proximity. So,13MR. BELLIN: No.13again, we can't guarantee it, but certainly the14CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie?1415MS. BALIDO-HART: Trm curious. Can you talk about1516the live, work, play component? What is it that is1617office, to a great extent, some restaurants, some other18MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the1819projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast1920majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use2021regulations, which is what we're proposing today.2123urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort23 <th></th> <th></th> <th>1</th> <th></th>			1		
4proposing this, we're tying ourselves to the proffered4government, nor any developer really guarantee that.5Site Plan.5That's something of the market. The best we can do is6MR. BELLIN: Okay.6try to create the situation in which it is conducive to7MR. GARABIEL: To the specifics of this Plan?7it.8MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.8So, in other words, by having one, two, three9MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the9bedroom units you know, the smaller the unit, the more10way.10affordable it could be. The more potential there is for11CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions,11somebody who works in an office in the area to be able12Marshall?12to rent here also, and to work in close proximity. So,13MR. BELLIN: No.13again, we can't guarantee it, but certainly the14CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie?1415MS. BALIDO-HART: Tm curious. Can you talk about1516the live, work, play component? What is it that is1617office, to a great extent, some restaurants, some other18MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the1819projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast1920majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use2021Mixed-use regulations, which is what we're proposing today.2122Mixed-use regulations are based on the new2223urbaness school of	2		1		
5Site Plan.5That's something of the market. The best we can do is6MR. BELLIN: Okay.6try to create the situation in which it is conducive to7MR. GRABIEL: To the specifics of this Plan?7it.8MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.8So, in other words, by having one, two, three9MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the9bedroom units you know, the smaller the unit, the more10way.10affordable it could be. The more potential there is for11CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions,11somebody who works in an office in the area to be able12Marshall?12to rent here also, and to work in close proximity. So,13MR. BELLIN: No.13again, we can't guarantee it, but certainly the14CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie?1415MS. BALIDO-HART: I'm curious. Can you talk about1516the live, work, play component? What is it that is1617envisioned there?1718MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the1819projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast1919majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use2020majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use2121regulations, which is what we're proposing today.2122Mixed-use regulations are based on the new2223urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort2324of to how communitie	3	•	3	• • •	
6MR. BELLIN: Okay.6try to create the situation in which it is conducive to7MR. GRABIEL: To the specifics of this Plan?7it.8MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.8So, in other words, by having one, two, three9MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the9bedroom units you know, the smaller the unit, the more10way.10affordable it could be. The more potential there is for11CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions,11somebody who works in an office in the area to be able12Marshall?12to rent here also, and to work in close proximity. So,13MR. BELLIN: No.13again, we can't guarantee it, but certainly the14CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie?1415MS. BALIDO-HART: I'm curious. Can you talk about1516the live, work, play component? What is it that is1617envisioned there?1718MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the1819projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast1920majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use2021wiret og go and poll the residents that are there, there21regulations, which is what we're proposing today.2123urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort2324of to how communities usually developed, and not24			1		
7MR. GRABIEL: To the specifics of this Plan?7it.8MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.8So, in other words, by having one, two, three9MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the9bedroom units you know, the smaller the unit, the more10way.10affordable it could be. The more potential there is for11CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions,11somebody who works in an office in the area to be able12Marshall?12to rent here also, and to work in close proximity. So,13MR. BELLIN: No.13again, we can't guarantee it, but certainly the14CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie?14situation that we have right now, I do not think is15MS. BALIDO-HART: I'm curious. Can you talk about15conducive to having that live, work, play situation in16the live, work, play component? What is it that is16this part of the City, where pretty much everything is17envisioned there?17office, to a great extent, some restaurants, some other18MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the18uses, and next to nothing of residential. And what19projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast19there is of residential, I would venture to say, if we20majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use20were to go and poll the residents that are there, there21regulations, which is what we're proposing today.21might be some significant amount of University of Miami22Mixed-use regulatio					
8MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.8So, in other words, by having one, two, three9MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the9bedroom units you know, the smaller the unit, the more10way.10affordable it could be. The more potential there is for11CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions,11somebody who works in an office in the area to be able12Marshall?12to rent here also, and to work in close proximity. So,13MR. BELLIN: No.13again, we can't guarantee it, but certainly the14CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie?14situation that we have right now, I do not think is15MS. BALIDO-HART: I'm curious. Can you talk about15conducive to having that live, work, play situation in16the live, work, play component? What is it that is16this part of the City, where pretty much everything is17envisioned there?17office, to a great extent, some restaurants, some other18MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the18uses, and next to nothing of residential. And what19projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast19there is of residential, I would venture to say, if we20majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use20were to go and poll the residents that are there, there21regulations, which is what we're proposing today.21might be some significant amount of University of Miami22Mixed-use regulations are based on the new22students, but of people working in the immediate a	6	•	6	try to create the situation in which it is conducive to	
9MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the way.9bedroom units you know, the smaller the unit, the more affordable it could be. The more potential there is for11CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions, Marshall?10affordable it could be. The more potential there is for12Marshall?11somebody who works in an office in the area to be able13MR. BELLIN: No.13again, we can't guarantee it, but certainly the14CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie?14situation that we have right now, I do not think is15MS. BALIDO-HART: I'm curious. Can you talk about15conducive to having that live, work, play situation in16the live, work, play component? What is it that is16this part of the City, where pretty much everything is17envisioned there?17office, to a great extent, some restaurants, some other18MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast1920majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use 2120were to go and poll the residents that are there, there21regulations, which is what we're proposing today.21might be some significant amount of University of Miami22Mixed-use regulations are based on the new 2322students, but of people working in the immediate area, probably not that many.23of to how communities usually developed, and not24CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may just interrupt a	7	MR. GRABIEL: To the specifics of this Plan?	7	it.	
10way.10affordable it could be. The more potential there is for11CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions,11somebody who works in an office in the area to be able12Marshall?12to rent here also, and to work in close proximity. So,13MR. BELLIN: No.13again, we can't guarantee it, but certainly the14CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie?14situation that we have right now, I do not think is15MS. BALIDO-HART: I'm curious. Can you talk about15conducive to having that live, work, play situation in16the live, work, play component? What is it that is16this part of the City, where pretty much everything is17envisioned there?17office, to a great extent, some restaurants, some other18MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the18uses, and next to nothing of residential. And what19projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast19there is of residential, I would venture to say, if we20majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use20were to go and poll the residents that are there, there21regulations, which is what we're proposing today.21might be some significant amount of University of Miami22Mixed-use regulations are based on the new22students, but of people working in the immediate area,23urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort23probably not that many.24of to how communities usually developed, and not24CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may just interrupt	8		8	So, in other words, by having one, two, three	
11CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions,11somebody who works in an office in the area to be able12Marshall?12to rent here also, and to work in close proximity. So,13MR. BELLIN: No.13again, we can't guarantee it, but certainly the14CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie?14situation that we have right now, I do not think is15MS. BALIDO-HART: I'm curious. Can you talk about15conducive to having that live, work, play situation in16the live, work, play component? What is it that is16this part of the City, where pretty much everything is17envisioned there?17office, to a great extent, some restaurants, some other18MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the18uses, and next to nothing of residential. And what19projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast19there is of residential, I would venture to say, if we20majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use20were to go and poll the residents that are there, there21regulations, which is what we're proposing today.21might be some significant amount of University of Miami23urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort23urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort24of to how communities usually developed, and not24CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may just interrupt a	9	MR. TRIAS: And that's a typical condition, by the	1	-	
12Marshall?12to rent here also, and to work in close proximity. So,13MR. BELLIN: No.13again, we can't guarantee it, but certainly the14CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie?14situation that we have right now, I do not think is15MS. BALIDO-HART: I'm curious. Can you talk about15conducive to having that live, work, play situation in16the live, work, play component? What is it that is16this part of the City, where pretty much everything is17envisioned there?17office, to a great extent, some restaurants, some other18MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the19uses, and next to nothing of residential. And what19projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast19there is of residential, I would venture to say, if we20majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use20were to go and poll the residents that are there, there21regulations, which is what we're proposing today.21might be some significant amount of University of Miami23urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort23probably not that many.24of to how communities usually developed, and not24CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may just interrupt a	10	•	1		
13MR. BELLIN: No.13again, we can't guarantee it, but certainly the14CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie?14situation that we have right now, I do not think is15MS. BALIDO-HART: I'm curious. Can you talk about15conducive to having that live, work, play situation in16the live, work, play component? What is it that is16this part of the City, where pretty much everything is17envisioned there?17office, to a great extent, some restaurants, some other18MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the19there is of residential. And what19projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast19there is of residential, I would venture to say, if we20majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use20were to go and poll the residents that are there, there21regulations, which is what we're proposing today.21might be some significant amount of University of Miami22Mixed-use regulations are based on the new22students, but of people working in the immediate area,23urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort23cHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may just interrupt a24of to how communities usually developed, and not24CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may just interrupt a		CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions,	1	-	
14CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jolie?14situation that we have right now, I do not think is15MS. BALIDO-HART: I'm curious. Can you talk about15situation that we have right now, I do not think is16the live, work, play component? What is it that is15conducive to having that live, work, play situation in16the live, work, play component? What is it that is16this part of the City, where pretty much everything is17envisioned there?17office, to a great extent, some restaurants, some other18MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the18uses, and next to nothing of residential. And what19projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast19there is of residential, I would venture to say, if we20majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use20were to go and poll the residents that are there, there21regulations, which is what we're proposing today.21might be some significant amount of University of Miami22urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort23urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort24of to how communities usually developed, and not24CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may just interrupt a			1		
15MS. BALIDO-HART: I'm curious. Can you talk about the live, work, play component? What is it that is15conducive to having that live, work, play situation in this part of the City, where pretty much everything is16the live, work, play component? What is it that is16this part of the City, where pretty much everything is17envisioned there?17office, to a great extent, some restaurants, some other18MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the18uses, and next to nothing of residential. And what19projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast19there is of residential, I would venture to say, if we20majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use20were to go and poll the residents that are there, there21regulations, which is what we're proposing today.21might be some significant amount of University of Miami22Mixed-use regulations are based on the new22students, but of people working in the immediate area,23urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort23probably not that many.24of to how communities usually developed, and not24CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may just interrupt a			1		
16the live, work, play component? What is it that is16this part of the City, where pretty much everything is17envisioned there?17office, to a great extent, some restaurants, some other18MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the18uses, and next to nothing of residential. And what19projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast19there is of residential, I would venture to say, if we20majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use20were to go and poll the residents that are there, there21regulations, which is what we're proposing today.21might be some significant amount of University of Miami22Mixed-use regulations are based on the new22students, but of people working in the immediate area,23urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort23probably not that many.24of to how communities usually developed, and not24CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may just interrupt a			1	-	
17envisioned there?17office, to a great extent, some restaurants, some other18MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the18uses, and next to nothing of residential. And what19projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast19there is of residential, I would venture to say, if we20majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use20were to go and poll the residents that are there, there21regulations, which is what we're proposing today.21might be some significant amount of University of Miami22Mixed-use regulations are based on the new22students, but of people working in the immediate area,23urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort23probably not that many.24of to how communities usually developed, and not24CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may just interrupt a		•	1		
18MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. You know, many of the18uses, and next to nothing of residential. And what19projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast19there is of residential, I would venture to say, if we20majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use20were to go and poll the residents that are there, there21regulations, which is what we're proposing today.21might be some significant amount of University of Miami22Mixed-use regulations are based on the new22students, but of people working in the immediate area,23urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort23probably not that many.24of to how communities usually developed, and not24CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may just interrupt a			1		
19projects in the City of Coral Gables, I'd say the vast19there is of residential, I would venture to say, if we20majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use20were to go and poll the residents that are there, there21regulations, which is what we're proposing today.21might be some significant amount of University of Miami22Mixed-use regulations are based on the new22students, but of people working in the immediate area,23urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort23probably not that many.24of to how communities usually developed, and not24CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may just interrupt a			1	•	
 majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use majority, have been approved pursuant to the mixed-use regulations, which is what we're proposing today. Mixed-use regulations are based on the new urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort of to how communities usually developed, and not were to go and poll the residents that are there, there might be some significant amount of University of Miami students, but of people working in the immediate area, probably not that many. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may just interrupt a 		-	1		
 regulations, which is what we're proposing today. Mixed-use regulations are based on the new urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort of to how communities usually developed, and not might be some significant amount of University of Miami students, but of people working in the immediate area, probably not that many. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may just interrupt a 			1		
22Mixed-use regulations are based on the new22students, but of people working in the immediate area,23urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort23probably not that many.24of to how communities usually developed, and not24CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may just interrupt a			1		
23urbaness school of thought that we should go back sort23probably not that many.24of to how communities usually developed, and not24CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may just interrupt a			1		
24 of to how communities usually developed, and not 24 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may just interrupt a		•	1		
			1		
25 necessarily, you know, live in one place, and shop in 25 second. We're supposed to conclude by 9:00 p.m., and		• •	1		
	25	necessarily, you know, live in one place, and shop in	25	second. We're supposed to conclude by 9:00 p.m., and	

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

	Page 125		Page 127
1	we're getting close to that time.	1	and you see all of these small buildings that are
2	MR. COLLER: You need to do a motion to extend.	2	commercially zoned, that has the potential perhaps to
3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion to extend	3	build 97 feet, you start questioning where when does
4	this meeting? And, if so, to what time?	4	it stop or what do you do?
5	MR. GRABIEL: I move to extend it 15 minutes.	5	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: As far as traffic is concerned,
6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That clock is about five	6	the \$75,000, which I mentioned, is part of that indeed.
7	minutes fast anyway, so you say to about 9:15?	7	That's not an impact fee. That is something where, in
8	MR. GRABIEL: 9:15.	8	consultation with Staff, we said, "You know, traffic is
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a second?	9	and issue here. Who knows what other issues are going
10	MS. BALIDO-HART: Second.	10	to arise that are not contemplated by the traffic study.
11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All in favor?	11	Here's \$75,000 for the City to use as appropriate, you
12	MR. GRABIEL: Aye.	12	know, for its improvements."
13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody against?	13	You mentioned landscaped open space. The first
14	MR. BELLIN: I think we need more than 15 minutes.	14	thing that comes to mind is, you know, that Plaza that
15	MS. BALIDO-HART: Yeah, actually we do.	15	we have in front of the building. We could potentially,
16	MS. MENENDEZ: Let's take the first 15, and then	16	
17	we'll go from there.	17	I guess, instead of have it be a paved area, have it be
18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we'll see how it goes.	18	a more green area or perhaps completely a green area, but is that really going to be what's benefiting of
19	MS. MENENDEZ: It's not the first time. We've	19	maximum use to the public? In other words, there's
20	done that a couple of times.	20	-
21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please. Thank you.		
22	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I was just responding to Ms.	22	green area in front of this building necessarily add to it? I would venture to say that the sort of Plaza area
23	Balido-Hart's question. Pretty much I concluded the	23	that we've proposed adds more to the potential for the
24	response.	24	public to interact perhaps between that Plaza and the
25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions?	25	park, and make it sort of a public area on both sides
		2.5	
	Page 126		Page 128
1	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes. Well, I just have a statement	1	of Yumuri.
2	or some acknowledgement of what I'm going to say. So	2	MS. MENENDEZ: The crosswalks to the pedestrians
3	you all are requesting a change of Zoning and a change	3	toward the park, the traffic issues that have been
4	of Land Use, which is going to provide you an additional	4	raised by the residents
5	roughly 37,000 square feet?	5	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: My clients seem to be in a
6	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think the number's a little	6	giving mood, and one thing that they just proffered
7	bit higher. I think the number's 47,000.	7	right now is perhaps an additional \$50,000 for this sort
8	MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, thank you. I did my math	8	of Master Planning areas. One thing that comes to mind
9	wrong. Thank you.	9	is, we had, in response to the neighborhood meeting, I
10	And then you are also asking for additional units	10	asked David Plummer and Associates to take a look at the
11	as a result of the change in Zoning of about 40 units,	11	pedestrian crosswalks, specifically across Red Road, and
12	right?	12	whether there could be any improvements there. And so
13	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: 42.	13	Juan and his team looked at it, and indeed did a list
14	MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. But when I look at the	14	of, you know, how can we change this pedestrian
15	amenities that maybe the public can benefit from, like	15	crosswalks, make them the nice ones with the flashing
16	the landscape, open space, there's really no change	16	lights and so forth and, you know, accommodate for
17	there. There's no increase like we see in areas that	17	crosswalks where there aren't crosswalks. If I remember
18	benefit the developer. So I guess my question is, isn't	18	right, the number that they came out to was somewhere in
19	there anything that you can provide to the area that	19	the vicinity of around \$50,000. It may have been more,
20	would benefit the pedestrians, the traffic, more than	20	but with an additional 50,000 added to the 75 that's
21	just tweaking the light? Is there some improvement that	21	already out there, now we're at 125, you know, for those
22	perhaps I know it's not required because you're not	22	sort of improvements. And I know that that would
22 23	perhaps I know it's not required because you're not part of the GRID, but that can be proffered to help the	23	certainly at least cover the crosswalk improvements
	perhaps I know it's not required because you're not		-

25 Plan for the area. And when you look at the aerials,

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

And so, you know, after a while what we're

1 2	Page 129		Page 131
2	proffering, and what's being required of us really	1	MR. TRIAS: So they have agreed to the redesign of
	should be proportional to the impact of the project.	2	San Remo and
3	And so, you know, it's up to us, I guess, collectively	3	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Replicate the landscaping on
4	to figure out what's appropriate. The Staff had started	4	both sides of San Remo, Yumuri, and Venera on the
5	out at 75,000. I guess we're now willing to raise it to	5	opposite side.
6	125. I would tend to say that unless presented with	6	MR. GRABIEL: Minimally the length of your
7	evidence otherwise as to what's appropriate, that	7	property. If you get excited and continue down the
8	\$125,000 mobility traffic improvement contribution, over	8	street, that would be your call.
9	and above what we're paying in impact fees, is a	9	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I'm beginning to wonder if
10	significant public benefit.	10	they're going to be able to pay my bill at this rate.
11	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Thank you.	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions?
12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.	12	MS. BALIDO-HART: I have a question about the
13	MR. GRABIEL: I think this is the right project	13	walkability along the sidewalks. I'm just reading
14	for this site. I mean, I agree that if this was an	14	through some of these concerns from some of the
15	office building, I definitely would not be in any way in	15	residents in the area. Can you address that, the issue
16	favor of it. I think it fills in a need in the area.	16	of lined entrances and exits? How are you ensuring
17	Everything is commercial, and there's no residential. I	17	there will be safety.
18	like the mix. I think having as many one bedroom units	18	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: That's a combination probably
19	as you're proposing, and then some three bedroom units,	19	of Willy, Raul, and Juan Espinosa.
20	you know, there's ability of serving either families	20	Certainly, as far as exits are concerned, there's
21	that need larger units or singles or young married, I	21	what's called the site visibility triangular
22	think that works.	22	requirement. In other words, there can't be anything
23	I'm always fighting to get as much activity on the	23	blocking the visibility of a car out into the street or
24	street front as you're proposing. This is probably one	24	vice versa, the pedestrian, to where the car is coming
25	of the projects that I've seen as much as you have	25	from at certain angles. That's required by the Public
	Page 130		Page 132
	-		
1	shown. I still have a problem with the generator and	1	Works Manual that we every project has to comply with.
1 2	shown. I still have a problem with the generator and the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good	1 2	Works Manual that we every project has to comply with. MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both?
2	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good	2	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both?
	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they	2 3	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both? MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes.
2 3 4	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a	2 3 4	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both? MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes. MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line.
2 3	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they	2 3	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both?MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes.MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line.So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider
2 3 4 5	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a continuity of the storefront in front of those, and not just a decorative wall.	2 3 4 5	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both?MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes.MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line.So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider sidewalks so that the passage way along both, San Remo
2 3 4 5 6	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a continuity of the storefront in front of those, and not just a decorative wall. I agree with Marshall that public benefit, the	2 3 4 5 6	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both?MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes.MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line.So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider sidewalks so that the passage way along both, San Remo and Venera, are wide sidewalks, not small tight
2 3 4 5 6 7	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a continuity of the storefront in front of those, and not just a decorative wall. I agree with Marshall that public benefit, the landscaping and the Plaza, and the sidewalks that you're	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both?MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes.MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line.So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider sidewalks so that the passage way along both, San Remo and Venera, are wide sidewalks, not small tight sidewalks with a nine-story building next to it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a continuity of the storefront in front of those, and not just a decorative wall. I agree with Marshall that public benefit, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both? MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes. MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line. So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider sidewalks so that the passage way along both, San Remo and Venera, are wide sidewalks, not small tight sidewalks with a nine-story building next to it. In the area along Yumuri, we are almost 40 feet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a continuity of the storefront in front of those, and not just a decorative wall. I agree with Marshall that public benefit, the landscaping and the Plaza, and the sidewalks that you're proposing are to benefit the project, but I don't see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both?MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes.MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line.So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider sidewalks so that the passage way along both, San Remo and Venera, are wide sidewalks, not small tight sidewalks with a nine-story building next to it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a continuity of the storefront in front of those, and not just a decorative wall. I agree with Marshall that public benefit, the landscaping and the Plaza, and the sidewalks that you're proposing are to benefit the project, but I don't see any benefit to the rest of the neighborhood. I wonder	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both? MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes. MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line. So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider sidewalks so that the passage way along both, San Remo and Venera, are wide sidewalks, not small tight sidewalks with a nine-story building next to it. In the area along Yumuri, we are almost 40 feet away from the curbside creating this wide open space.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a continuity of the storefront in front of those, and not just a decorative wall. I agree with Marshall that public benefit, the landscaping and the Plaza, and the sidewalks that you're proposing are to benefit the project, but I don't see any benefit to the rest of the neighborhood. I wonder if the streets are important. We all agree with that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both? MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes. MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line. So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider sidewalks so that the passage way along both, San Remo and Venera, are wide sidewalks, not small tight sidewalks with a nine-story building next to it. In the area along Yumuri, we are almost 40 feet away from the curbside creating this wide open space. Now, all of the line retail has storefront doors that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a continuity of the storefront in front of those, and not just a decorative wall. I agree with Marshall that public benefit, the landscaping and the Plaza, and the sidewalks that you're proposing are to benefit the project, but I don't see any benefit to the rest of the neighborhood. I wonder if the streets are important. We all agree with that. Streets work well when you have a dialogue with both	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both? MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes. MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line. So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider sidewalks so that the passage way along both, San Remo and Venera, are wide sidewalks, not small tight sidewalks with a nine-story building next to it. In the area along Yumuri, we are almost 40 feet away from the curbside creating this wide open space. Now, all of the line retail has storefront doors that open out to the sidewalk. We're always far from any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a continuity of the storefront in front of those, and not just a decorative wall. I agree with Marshall that public benefit, the landscaping and the Plaza, and the sidewalks that you're proposing are to benefit the project, but I don't see any benefit to the rest of the neighborhood. I wonder if the streets are important. We all agree with that. Streets work well when you have a dialogue with both sides of the street, the sidewalks and the landscape.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both? MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes. MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line. So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider sidewalks so that the passage way along both, San Remo and Venera, are wide sidewalks, not small tight sidewalks with a nine-story building next to it. In the area along Yumuri, we are almost 40 feet away from the curbside creating this wide open space. Now, all of the line retail has storefront doors that open out to the sidewalk. We're always far from any corners in terms of sight lines. We well comply with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a continuity of the storefront in front of those, and not just a decorative wall. I agree with Marshall that public benefit, the landscaping and the Plaza, and the sidewalks that you're proposing are to benefit the project, but I don't see any benefit to the rest of the neighborhood. I wonder if the streets are important. We all agree with that. Streets work well when you have a dialogue with both sides of the street, the sidewalks and the landscape. I wonder if you would be willing to take a look,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both? MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes. MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line. So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider sidewalks so that the passage way along both, San Remo and Venera, are wide sidewalks, not small tight sidewalks with a nine-story building next to it. In the area along Yumuri, we are almost 40 feet away from the curbside creating this wide open space. Now, all of the line retail has storefront doors that open out to the sidewalk. We're always far from any corners in terms of sight lines. We well comply with Public Works requirements for sight, in terms of people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a continuity of the storefront in front of those, and not just a decorative wall. I agree with Marshall that public benefit, the landscaping and the Plaza, and the sidewalks that you're proposing are to benefit the project, but I don't see any benefit to the rest of the neighborhood. I wonder if the streets are important. We all agree with that. Streets work well when you have a dialogue with both sides of the street, the sidewalks and the landscape. I wonder if you would be willing to take a look, working with Staff, so that the landscaping that you are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both? MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes. MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line. So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider sidewalks so that the passage way along both, San Remo and Venera, are wide sidewalks, not small tight sidewalks with a nine-story building next to it. In the area along Yumuri, we are almost 40 feet away from the curbside creating this wide open space. Now, all of the line retail has storefront doors that open out to the sidewalk. We're always far from any corners in terms of sight lines. We well comply with Public Works requirements for sight, in terms of people turning in and out. And really the only area of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a continuity of the storefront in front of those, and not just a decorative wall. I agree with Marshall that public benefit, the landscaping and the Plaza, and the sidewalks that you're proposing are to benefit the project, but I don't see any benefit to the rest of the neighborhood. I wonder if the streets are important. We all agree with that. Streets work well when you have a dialogue with both sides of the street, the sidewalks and the landscape. I wonder if you would be willing to take a look, working with Staff, so that the landscaping that you are proposing on your side of the street can be taken to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both? MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes. MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line. So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider sidewalks so that the passage way along both, San Remo and Venera, are wide sidewalks, not small tight sidewalks with a nine-story building next to it. In the area along Yumuri, we are almost 40 feet away from the curbside creating this wide open space. Now, all of the line retail has storefront doors that open out to the sidewalk. We're always far from any corners in terms of sight lines. We well comply with Public Works requirements for sight, in terms of people turning in and out. And really the only area of vehicular access is on Venera, on the western side of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a continuity of the storefront in front of those, and not just a decorative wall. I agree with Marshall that public benefit, the landscaping and the Plaza, and the sidewalks that you're proposing are to benefit the project, but I don't see any benefit to the rest of the neighborhood. I wonder if the streets are important. We all agree with that. Streets work well when you have a dialogue with both sides of the street, the sidewalks and the landscape. I wonder if you would be willing to take a look, working with Staff, so that the landscaping that you are proposing on your side of the street can be taken to the other side of the street, so that there is march of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both? MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes. MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line. So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider sidewalks so that the passage way along both, San Remo and Venera, are wide sidewalks, not small tight sidewalks with a nine-story building next to it. In the area along Yumuri, we are almost 40 feet away from the curbside creating this wide open space. Now, all of the line retail has storefront doors that open out to the sidewalk. We're always far from any corners in terms of sight lines. We well comply with Public Works requirements for sight, in terms of people turning in and out. And really the only area of vehicular access is on Venera, on the western side of our property. So we've mitigated what would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a continuity of the storefront in front of those, and not just a decorative wall. I agree with Marshall that public benefit, the landscaping and the Plaza, and the sidewalks that you're proposing are to benefit the project, but I don't see any benefit to the rest of the neighborhood. I wonder if the streets are important. We all agree with that. Streets work well when you have a dialogue with both sides of the street, the sidewalks and the landscape. I wonder if you would be willing to take a look, working with Staff, so that the landscaping that you are proposing on your side of the street can be taken to the other side of the street, so that there is march of the same trees going down San Remo, and all of the other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both? MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes. MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line. So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider sidewalks so that the passage way along both, San Remo and Venera, are wide sidewalks, not small tight sidewalks with a nine-story building next to it. In the area along Yumuri, we are almost 40 feet away from the curbside creating this wide open space. Now, all of the line retail has storefront doors that open out to the sidewalk. We're always far from any corners in terms of sight lines. We well comply with Public Works requirements for sight, in terms of people turning in and out. And really the only area of vehicular access is on Venera, on the western side of our property. So we've mitigated what would be pedestrian potential conflicts that you can have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a continuity of the storefront in front of those, and not just a decorative wall. I agree with Marshall that public benefit, the landscaping and the Plaza, and the sidewalks that you're proposing are to benefit the project, but I don't see any benefit to the rest of the neighborhood. I wonder if the streets are important. We all agree with that. Streets work well when you have a dialogue with both sides of the street, the sidewalks and the landscape. I wonder if you would be willing to take a look, working with Staff, so that the landscaping that you are proposing on your side of the street can be taken to the other side of the street, so that there is march of the same trees going down San Remo, and all of the other streets to make it it well benefit your project,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both? MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes. MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line. So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider sidewalks so that the passage way along both, San Remo and Venera, are wide sidewalks, not small tight sidewalks with a nine-story building next to it. In the area along Yumuri, we are almost 40 feet away from the curbside creating this wide open space. Now, all of the line retail has storefront doors that open out to the sidewalk. We're always far from any corners in terms of sight lines. We well comply with Public Works requirements for sight, in terms of people turning in and out. And really the only area of vehicular access is on Venera, on the western side of our property. So we've mitigated what would be pedestrian potential conflicts that you can have sometimes when you're walking, and all of a sudden
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a continuity of the storefront in front of those, and not just a decorative wall. I agree with Marshall that public benefit, the landscaping and the Plaza, and the sidewalks that you're proposing are to benefit the project, but I don't see any benefit to the rest of the neighborhood. I wonder if the streets are important. We all agree with that. Streets work well when you have a dialogue with both sides of the street, the sidewalks and the landscape. I wonder if you would be willing to take a look, working with Staff, so that the landscaping that you are proposing on your side of the street can be taken to the other side of the street, so that there is march of the same trees going down San Remo, and all of the other streets to make it it well benefit your project, because all of a sudden your project is extended to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both? MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes. MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line. So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider sidewalks so that the passage way along both, San Remo and Venera, are wide sidewalks, not small tight sidewalks with a nine-story building next to it. In the area along Yumuri, we are almost 40 feet away from the curbside creating this wide open space. Now, all of the line retail has storefront doors that open out to the sidewalk. We're always far from any corners in terms of sight lines. We well comply with Public Works requirements for sight, in terms of people turning in and out. And really the only area of vehicular access is on Venera, on the western side of our property. So we've mitigated what would be pedestrian potential conflicts that you can have sometimes when you're walking, and all of a sudden there's a driveway coming across, and it could have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a continuity of the storefront in front of those, and not just a decorative wall. I agree with Marshall that public benefit, the landscaping and the Plaza, and the sidewalks that you're proposing are to benefit the project, but I don't see any benefit to the rest of the neighborhood. I wonder if the streets are important. We all agree with that. Streets work well when you have a dialogue with both sides of the street, the sidewalks and the landscape. I wonder if you would be willing to take a look, working with Staff, so that the landscaping that you are proposing on your side of the street can be taken to the other side of the street, so that there is march of the same trees going down San Remo, and all of the other streets to make it it well benefit your project, because all of a sudden your project is extended to the other side of the street. So your project well look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both? MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes. MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line. So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider sidewalks so that the passage way along both, San Remo and Venera, are wide sidewalks, not small tight sidewalks with a nine-story building next to it. In the area along Yumuri, we are almost 40 feet away from the curbside creating this wide open space. Now, all of the line retail has storefront doors that open out to the sidewalk. We're always far from any corners in terms of sight lines. We well comply with Public Works requirements for sight, in terms of people turning in and out. And really the only area of vehicular access is on Venera, on the western side of our property. So we've mitigated what would be pedestrian potential conflicts that you can have sometimes when you're walking, and all of a sudden there's a driveway coming across, and it could have a potential conflict. So, that, we don't have. You'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the FPL, and I know that Mr. Bermello is a very good architect, and has a very good staff, and I'm sure they can work out something so that there's at least a continuity of the storefront in front of those, and not just a decorative wall. I agree with Marshall that public benefit, the landscaping and the Plaza, and the sidewalks that you're proposing are to benefit the project, but I don't see any benefit to the rest of the neighborhood. I wonder if the streets are important. We all agree with that. Streets work well when you have a dialogue with both sides of the street, the sidewalks and the landscape. I wonder if you would be willing to take a look, working with Staff, so that the landscaping that you are proposing on your side of the street can be taken to the other side of the street, so that there is march of the same trees going down San Remo, and all of the other streets to make it it well benefit your project, because all of a sudden your project is extended to the other side of the street. So your project well look better. The neighborhood would look better. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BERMELLO: Can you see the red line on both? MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes. MR. BERMELLO: Okay. That is the setback line. So in all cases, we have gone far from it to have wider sidewalks so that the passage way along both, San Remo and Venera, are wide sidewalks, not small tight sidewalks with a nine-story building next to it. In the area along Yumuri, we are almost 40 feet away from the curbside creating this wide open space. Now, all of the line retail has storefront doors that open out to the sidewalk. We're always far from any corners in terms of sight lines. We well comply with Public Works requirements for sight, in terms of people turning in and out. And really the only area of vehicular access is on Venera, on the western side of our property. So we've mitigated what would be pedestrian potential conflicts that you can have sometimes when you're walking, and all of a sudden there's a driveway coming across, and it could have a potential conflict. So, that, we don't have. You'll probably see that you see it in parking garages

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

	Page 133		Page 135	
1	traffic at this point. This is our only point for	1	separate and apart from the conditions, but I just want	
2	vehicular traffic on to the property. Everything else	2	to get confirmation from the Applicant that the	
3	is pedestrian access. The only other vehicular point is	3	Applicant is going to voluntarily proffer, as part of	
4	into the service courtyard, and we well look to see if	4	it's request for the Zone change, that they are going to	
5	we can have a veneer, even a narrow veneer like a coffee	5	commit to the whatever the Site Plan provision is, is	
6	shop or something, and we push back these two uses to	6	that correct?	
7	give you that continuity in the front.	7	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are proffering a covenant	
8	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Ms. Balido-Hart, just two basic	8	tying ourselves to the Site Plan in connection with the	
9	points. Every sidewalk that's being proposed is wider	9	State Plan approval.	
10	than what's existing right now. So generally that	10	MR. COLLER: No. But here's the issue. The	
11	enhances pedestrian safety and walkability, and	11 issue I think the concern of the Board was that the		
12	additionally you'll see now that there are trees lined	12 would be a Zone change, and that you would come up		
13	along the sidewalks on every side that also, aside from	13	a different potentially different Site Plan after the	
14	streetscape and overall appearance and aesthetics,	14	change in the Zoning. What I'm wondering, are you	
15	contribute to safety, and it creates some sort of at	15	committing to the Site Plan with respect to the change	
16	least visual division between the car on the street and	16	in the Zoning? I guess that's where we want to get	
17	the person walking.	17	clarification on that.	
18	MR. BERMELLO: And there well be a path, in terms	18	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. We might have a slight	
19	of the concrete pavers, the tree grates, the uplighting	19	legal disagreement as to is it appropriate to condition	
20	for the trees. So it's not just a sidewalk. It won't	20	the Zoning and so forth.	
21	just be utilitarian, but we're really trying to create a	21	MR. COLLER: Well, I'm not conditioning the	
22	sense of place all around the building and through the	22	Zoning, because you can't condition Zoning. The	
23	Paseo, also.	23	question is are you voluntarily	
24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other questions?	24	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I see where you're getting at.	
25	No. Having heard none, is there a motion? I	25	MR. COLLER: Are you voluntarily proffering	
	Page 134			
	Page 134		Page 136	
1	Page 134 guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is	1	Page 136 covenant?	
1 2		1 2		
	guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp		covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and	
2	guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6.	2	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering	
2 3	guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from	2 3	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and	
2 3 4 5 6	guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan.	2 3 4 5 6	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good.	
2 3 4 5	guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan. Item Number 6?	2 3 4 5	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good. MR. BELLIN: I have a question. You're talking	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan. Item Number 6? MR. GRABIEL: I move to approve Item 6.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good. MR. BELLIN: I have a question. You're talking about tying the Site Plan to the approval. What about	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan. Item Number 6? MR. GRABIEL: I move to approve Item 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With any conditions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good. MR. BELLIN: I have a question. You're talking about tying the Site Plan to the approval. What about all of the other things that can come into play,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan. Item Number 6? MR. GRABIEL: I move to approve Item 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With any conditions? MR. GRABIEL: The conditions that we have already	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good. MR. BELLIN: I have a question. You're talking about tying the Site Plan to the approval. What about all of the other things that can come into play, density, the FAR, all of these other things that take	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan. Item Number 6? MR. GRABIEL: I move to approve Item 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With any conditions? MR. GRABIEL: The conditions that we have already mentioned. Is this the right place to put them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good. MR. BELLIN: I have a question. You're talking about tying the Site Plan to the approval. What about all of the other things that can come into play, density, the FAR, all of these other things that take place once you get the Zoning and the Land Use change?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan. Item Number 6? MR. GRABIEL: I move to approve Item 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With any conditions? MR. GRABIEL: The conditions that we have already mentioned. Is this the right place to put them? MR. TRIAS: To modify the conditions according to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good. MR. BELLIN: I have a question. You're talking about tying the Site Plan to the approval. What about all of the other things that can come into play, density, the FAR, all of these other things that take place once you get the Zoning and the Land Use change? MR. COLLER: Well, once if they are proffering a	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan. Item Number 6? MR. GRABIEL: I move to approve Item 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With any conditions? MR. GRABIEL: The conditions that we have already mentioned. Is this the right place to put them? MR. TRIAS: To modify the conditions according to what the Applicant's proffered and everything that was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good. MR. BELLIN: I have a question. You're talking about tying the Site Plan to the approval. What about all of the other things that can come into play, density, the FAR, all of these other things that take place once you get the Zoning and the Land Use change? MR. COLLER: Well, once if they are proffering a covenant to the Land Use change, as well as to the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan. Item Number 6? MR. GRABIEL: I move to approve Item 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With any conditions? MR. GRABIEL: The conditions that we have already mentioned. Is this the right place to put them? MR. TRIAS: To modify the conditions according to what the Applicant's proffered and everything that was included in the Staff report. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good. MR. BELLIN: I have a question. You're talking about tying the Site Plan to the approval. What about all of the other things that can come into play, density, the FAR, all of these other things that take place once you get the Zoning and the Land Use change? MR. COLLER: Well, once if they are proffering a covenant to the Land Use change, as well as to the Zoning, then the building that's going to be built, and	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan. Item Number 6? MR. GRABIEL: I move to approve Item 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With any conditions? MR. GRABIEL: The conditions that we have already mentioned. Is this the right place to put them? MR. TRIAS: To modify the conditions according to what the Applicant's proffered and everything that was included in the Staff report. MR. COLLER: Wait a minute. We're not on the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good. MR. BELLIN: I have a question. You're talking about tying the Site Plan to the approval. What about all of the other things that can come into play, density, the FAR, all of these other things that take place once you get the Zoning and the Land Use change? MR. COLLER: Well, once if they are proffering a covenant to the Land Use change, as well as to the Zoning, then the building that's going to be built, and all of the details, are connected to both. So if they	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan. Item Number 6? MR. GRABIEL: I move to approve Item 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With any conditions? MR. GRABIEL: The conditions that we have already mentioned. Is this the right place to put them? MR. TRIAS: To modify the conditions according to what the Applicant's proffered and everything that was included in the Staff report. MR. COLLER: Wait a minute. We're not on the right item. The first item is the Comprehensive Plan 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good. MR. BELLIN: I have a question. You're talking about tying the Site Plan to the approval. What about all of the other things that can come into play, density, the FAR, all of these other things that take place once you get the Zoning and the Land Use change? MR. COLLER: Well, once if they are proffering a covenant to the Land Use change, as well as to the Zoning, then the building that's going to be built, and all of the details, are connected to both. So if they choose to do something else, they can't because they've	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan. Item Number 6? MR. GRABIEL: I move to approve Item 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With any conditions? MR. GRABIEL: The conditions that we have already mentioned. Is this the right place to put them? MR. TRIAS: To modify the conditions according to what the Applicant's proffered and everything that was included in the Staff report. MR. COLLER: Wait a minute. We're not on the right item. The first item is the Comprehensive Plan item. The conditions that we were talking about, with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good. MR. BELLIN: I have a question. You're talking about tying the Site Plan to the approval. What about all of the other things that can come into play, density, the FAR, all of these other things that take place once you get the Zoning and the Land Use change? MR. COLLER: Well, once if they are proffering a covenant to the Land Use change, as well as to the Zoning, then the building that's going to be built, and all of the details, are connected to both. So if they choose to do something else, they can't because they've tied themselves down.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan. Item Number 6? MR. GRABIEL: I move to approve Item 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With any conditions? MR. GRABIEL: The conditions that we have already mentioned. Is this the right place to put them? MR. TRIAS: To modify the conditions according to what the Applicant's proffered and everything that was included in the Staff report. MR. COLLER: Wait a minute. We're not on the right item. The first item is the Comprehensive Plan item. The conditions that we were talking about, with regard to the Site Plan, which is I think we're going 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good. MR. BELLIN: I have a question. You're talking about tying the Site Plan to the approval. What about all of the other things that can come into play, density, the FAR, all of these other things that take place once you get the Zoning and the Land Use change? MR. COLLER: Well, once if they are proffering a covenant to the Land Use change, as well as to the Zoning, then the building that's going to be built, and all of the details, are connected to both. So if they choose to do something else, they can't because they've tied themselves down. MR. BELLIN: Okay.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan. Item Number 6? MR. GRABIEL: I move to approve Item 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With any conditions? MR. GRABIEL: The conditions that we have already mentioned. Is this the right place to put them? MR. TRIAS: To modify the conditions according to what the Applicant's proffered and everything that was included in the Staff report. MR. COLLER: Wait a minute. We're not on the right item. The first item is the Comprehensive Plan item. The site Plan, which is I think we're going to get to, is going to be on Item Number 8. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good. MR. BELLIN: I have a question. You're talking about tying the Site Plan to the approval. What about all of the other things that can come into play, density, the FAR, all of these other things that take place once you get the Zoning and the Land Use change? MR. COLLER: Well, once if they are proffering a covenant to the Land Use change, as well as to the Zoning, then the building that's going to be built, and all of the details, are connected to both. So if they choose to do something else, they can't because they've tied themselves down. MR. BELLIN: Okay. MR. AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Julio. Is	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan. Item Number 6? MR. GRABIEL: I move to approve Item 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With any conditions? MR. GRABIEL: The conditions that we have already mentioned. Is this the right place to put them? MR. TRIAS: To modify the conditions according to what the Applicant's proffered and everything that was included in the Staff report. MR. COLLER: Wait a minute. We're not on the right item. The first item is the Comprehensive Plan item. The site Plan, which is I think we're going to get to, is going to be on Item Number 8. MS. MENENDEZ: The last one. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good. MR. BELLIN: I have a question. You're talking about tying the Site Plan to the approval. What about all of the other things that can come into play, density, the FAR, all of these other things that take place once you get the Zoning and the Land Use change? MR. COLLER: Well, once if they are proffering a covenant to the Land Use change, as well as to the Zoning, then the building that's going to be built, and all of the details, are connected to both. So if they choose to do something else, they can't because they've tied themselves down. MR. BELLIN: Okay. MR. AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Julio. Is there a second?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan. Item Number 6? MR. GRABIEL: I move to approve Item 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With any conditions? MR. GRABIEL: The conditions that we have already mentioned. Is this the right place to put them? MR. TRIAS: To modify the conditions according to what the Applicant's proffered and everything that was included in the Staff report. MR. COLLER: Wait a minute. We're not on the right item. The first item is the Comprehensive Plan item. The site Plan, which is I think we're going to get to, is going to be on Item Number 8. MS. MENENDEZ: The last one. MR. COLLER: The last item. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good. MR. BELLIN: I have a question. You're talking about tying the Site Plan to the approval. What about all of the other things that can come into play, density, the FAR, all of these other things that take place once you get the Zoning and the Land Use change? MR. COLLER: Well, once if they are proffering a covenant to the Land Use change, as well as to the Zoning, then the building that's going to be built, and all of the details, are connected to both. So if they choose to do something else, they can't because they've tied themselves down. MR. BELLIN: Okay. MR. AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Julio. Is there a second? MR. BELLIN: I second.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan. Item Number 6? MR. GRABIEL: I move to approve Item 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With any conditions? MR. GRABIEL: The conditions that we have already mentioned. Is this the right place to put them? MR. TRIAS: To modify the conditions according to what the Applicant's proffered and everything that was included in the Staff report. MR. COLLER: Wait a minute. We're not on the right item. The first item is the Comprehensive Plan item. The site Plan, which is I think we're going to get to, is going to be on Item Number 8. MS. MENENDEZ: The last one. MR. COLLER: Right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good. MR. BELLIN: I have a question. You're talking about tying the Site Plan to the approval. What about all of the other things that can come into play, density, the FAR, all of these other things that take place once you get the Zoning and the Land Use change? MR. COLLER: Well, once if they are proffering a covenant to the Land Use change, as well as to the Zoning, then the building that's going to be built, and all of the details, are connected to both. So if they choose to do something else, they can't because they've tied themselves down. MR. BELLIN: Okay. MR. AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Julio. Is there a second? MR. BELLIN: I second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Marshall has a second. Any	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan. Item Number 6? MR. GRABIEL: I move to approve Item 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With any conditions? MR. GRABIEL: The conditions that we have already mentioned. Is this the right place to put them? MR. TRIAS: To modify the conditions according to what the Applicant's proffered and everything that was included in the Staff report. MR. COLLER: Wait a minute. We're not on the right item. The first item is the Comprehensive Plan item. The site Plan, which is I think we're going to get to, is going to be on Item Number 8. MS. MENENDEZ: The last item. MS. MENENDEZ: Right. MR. COLLER: Now, with regard to the Zone change, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good. MR. BELLIN: I have a question. You're talking about tying the Site Plan to the approval. What about all of the other things that can come into play, density, the FAR, all of these other things that take place once you get the Zoning and the Land Use change? MR. COLLER: Well, once if they are proffering a covenant to the Land Use change, as well as to the Zoning, then the building that's going to be built, and all of the details, are connected to both. So if they choose to do something else, they can't because they've tied themselves down. MR. BELLIN: Okay. MR. AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Julio. Is there a second? MR. BELLIN: I second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Marshall has a second. Any discussion?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 guess we should take each individual item by itself. Is that the recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. We should start with the Comp Plan, which is Amendment Items Number 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there a motion from anybody on the Board regarding the Comprehensive Plan. Item Number 6? MR. GRABIEL: I move to approve Item 6. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With any conditions? MR. GRABIEL: The conditions that we have already mentioned. Is this the right place to put them? MR. TRIAS: To modify the conditions according to what the Applicant's proffered and everything that was included in the Staff report. MR. COLLER: Wait a minute. We're not on the right item. The first item is the Comprehensive Plan item. The site Plan, which is I think we're going to get to, is going to be on Item Number 8. MS. MENENDEZ: The last one. MR. COLLER: Right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	covenant? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We are voluntarily proffering the covenant in connection, and also the Land Use and Zoning changes, voluntarily proffering it. MR. COLLER: Both? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Very good. MR. BELLIN: I have a question. You're talking about tying the Site Plan to the approval. What about all of the other things that can come into play, density, the FAR, all of these other things that take place once you get the Zoning and the Land Use change? MR. COLLER: Well, once if they are proffering a covenant to the Land Use change, as well as to the Zoning, then the building that's going to be built, and all of the details, are connected to both. So if they choose to do something else, they can't because they've tied themselves down. MR. BELLIN: Okay. MR. AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Julio. Is there a second? MR. BELLIN: I second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Marshall has a second. Any	

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

	Page 137		Page
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: This is the Comprehensive	1	MR. BELLIN: My question is, what is the sense
2	Plan.	2	continuing this? If this approval is tied to this
3	MS. BALIDO-HART: I do want to ask something.	3	project, what's going to change, the density?
4	Just seeing all of the questions and concerns that are	4	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: No.
5	here from all of these residents, and this is, in my	5	MR. BELLIN: You're already tied to the project
6	view, a really fantastic project in many ways, I'm just	6	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think we've made clea
7	wondering if indeed we have taken enough time and, you	7	neighbors, we've made clear to City Staff, to this Boa
8	know, the Applicant here has taken enough time to really	8	tonight, the density that we're proposing is the density
9	meet with the residents and try to find the best way to	9	that we're proposing. That's not something that we're
10	address things. Should we perhaps postpone this a	10	MR. BELLIN: There's not negotiable. And the I
11	little bit to enable some additional meetings?	11	is not negotiable. What's the purpose in continuing
12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, right now we have a	12	mean, how do you make the residents or people in th
13	motion, and we have a second. So we do have to take a	13	neighborhood happy?
14	roll on it, unless they rescind.	14	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Well, I would agree wi
15	MR. COLLER: If there's a motion to defer, that	15	that there's no purpose in continuing this matter to the
16	would take precedent, if there is a motion. I haven't	16	next Planning and Zoning Board meeting, because th
17	heard a motion to defer at this point. And I don't know	10 17	
18	if the City Attorney I know there's a concern about	18	big issues, which are the big issues that you should b
			looking at, I think we've addressed them, and we kno
19	deferring of projects, and I don't want	19	where we are. I think there is a purpose for the
20	MR. LEEN: You have discretion to continue the	20	development team to continue talking with the neight
21	matter. It's just the at least one time, and I	21	to see if stuff like improving the facade with retail
22	believe we even have a rule on it now, and we've	22	frontage along where the loading area is
23	addressed it. If you truly believe there hasn't been	23	MR. BELLIN: I agree with that. I think it's a
24	enough discussion, but you can another thing you can	24	good idea for them to talk to people, but as far as
25	do is you can condition between Planning and Zoning and	25	continuing it, I
	Page 138		Page
1	Commission, that they have another meeting, you know,	1	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I would agree with you. I
2	with the residents and try to meet their needs. I mean,	2	think votes should be taken, action taken, and then
3	that's another thing you can do.	3	between now and the next City Commission, we continu
4	MS. BALIDO-HART: Yeah, okay.	4	our discussions with the neighbors to see what we can
5	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: And just to add to that, you	5	do.
6	know, as many of you know, having done this already many	6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, we have a motion
7	times in several different projects, that has always	7	have a second. Go ahead and call the roll, please.
8	certainly been my attempt, you know, whenever I have a	8	THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez?
9	project. You remember one not too long ago it must	9	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes.
	have been a year we were going back and forth meeting	10	THE SECRETARY: Jolie Balido-Hart?
10			
11	with neighbors until finally we came to a solution that	11	MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes.
11	with neighbors until finally we came to a solution that worked for everybody.		MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin?
11 12	worked for everybody.	12	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin?
11 12 13	worked for everybody. If the project moves forward after tonight, as we	12 13	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? MR. BELLIN: Yes.
11 12 13 14	worked for everybody. If the project moves forward after tonight, as we hope it well, you do have our word that we well continue	12 13 14	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? MR. BELLIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Julio Gabriel?
11 12 13 14 15	worked for everybody. If the project moves forward after tonight, as we hope it well, you do have our word that we well continue to meet with the Riviera Neighborhood Association; we	12 13 14 15	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? MR. BELLIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Julio Gabriel? MR. GABRIEL: Yes.
11 12 13 14 15 16	worked for everybody. If the project moves forward after tonight, as we hope it well, you do have our word that we well continue to meet with the Riviera Neighborhood Association; we well continue to meet with Ms. Kahn Drody to see if	12 13 14 15 16	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? MR. BELLIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Julio Gabriel? MR. GABRIEL: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	worked for everybody. If the project moves forward after tonight, as we hope it well, you do have our word that we well continue to meet with the Riviera Neighborhood Association; we well continue to meet with Ms. Kahn Drody to see if there's ways of addressing their concerns. I have	12 13 14 15 16 17	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? MR. BELLIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Julio Gabriel? MR. GABRIEL: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	worked for everybody. If the project moves forward after tonight, as we hope it well, you do have our word that we well continue to meet with the Riviera Neighborhood Association; we well continue to meet with Ms. Kahn Drody to see if there's ways of addressing their concerns. I have always been a firm believer that reasonable people, as	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? MR. BELLIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Julio Gabriel? MR. GABRIEL: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The second item on this Craig, how would you
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	worked for everybody. If the project moves forward after tonight, as we hope it well, you do have our word that we well continue to meet with the Riviera Neighborhood Association; we well continue to meet with Ms. Kahn Drody to see if there's ways of addressing their concerns. I have always been a firm believer that reasonable people, as long as they use common sense and keep on talking to	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? MR. BELLIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Julio Gabriel? MR. GABRIEL: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The second item on this Craig, how would you like to word this?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	worked for everybody. If the project moves forward after tonight, as we hope it well, you do have our word that we well continue to meet with the Riviera Neighborhood Association; we well continue to meet with Ms. Kahn Drody to see if there's ways of addressing their concerns. I have always been a firm believer that reasonable people, as long as they use common sense and keep on talking to each other, you may not get there, but many times you	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? MR. BELLIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Julio Gabriel? MR. GABRIEL: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The second item on this Craig, how would you like to word this? MR. COLLER: Okay. The second item on the list is
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	worked for everybody. If the project moves forward after tonight, as we hope it well, you do have our word that we well continue to meet with the Riviera Neighborhood Association; we well continue to meet with Ms. Kahn Drody to see if there's ways of addressing their concerns. I have always been a firm believer that reasonable people, as long as they use common sense and keep on talking to each other, you may not get there, but many times you do, and at least you're going to get closer to a	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? MR. BELLIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Julio Gabriel? MR. GABRIEL: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The second item on this Craig, how would you like to word this? MR. COLLER: Okay. The second item on the list is the actual change in the Zoning. Again, the Applicant
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	worked for everybody. If the project moves forward after tonight, as we hope it well, you do have our word that we well continue to meet with the Riviera Neighborhood Association; we well continue to meet with Ms. Kahn Drody to see if there's ways of addressing their concerns. I have always been a firm believer that reasonable people, as long as they use common sense and keep on talking to each other, you may not get there, but many times you do, and at least you're going to get closer to a situation which well be a win win for everybody. That's	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? MR. BELLIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Julio Gabriel? MR. GABRIEL: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The second item on this Craig, how would you like to word this? MR. COLLER: Okay. The second item on the list is the actual change in the Zoning. Again, the Applicant is proffering that he's going to tie his is going to
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	worked for everybody. If the project moves forward after tonight, as we hope it well, you do have our word that we well continue to meet with the Riviera Neighborhood Association; we well continue to meet with Ms. Kahn Drody to see if there's ways of addressing their concerns. I have always been a firm believer that reasonable people, as long as they use common sense and keep on talking to each other, you may not get there, but many times you do, and at least you're going to get closer to a situation which well be a win win for everybody. That's how I've always wanted to handle these matters. That's	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? MR. BELLIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Julio Gabriel? MR. GABRIEL: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The second item on this Craig, how would you like to word this? MR. COLLER: Okay. The second item on the list is the actual change in the Zoning. Again, the Applicant is proffering that he's going to tie his is going to voluntarily offer covenant to tie the Site Plan to the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	worked for everybody. If the project moves forward after tonight, as we hope it well, you do have our word that we well continue to meet with the Riviera Neighborhood Association; we well continue to meet with Ms. Kahn Drody to see if there's ways of addressing their concerns. I have always been a firm believer that reasonable people, as long as they use common sense and keep on talking to each other, you may not get there, but many times you do, and at least you're going to get closer to a situation which well be a win win for everybody. That's	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? MR. BELLIN: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Julio Gabriel? MR. GABRIEL: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The second item on this Craig, how would you like to word this? MR. COLLER: Okay. The second item on the list is the actual change in the Zoning. Again, the Applicant is proffering that he's going to tie his is going to

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

Page 139

My question is, what is the sense of his approval is tied to this g to change, the density?

SERRA: I think we've made clear to ade clear to City Staff, to this Board that we're proposing is the density . That's not something that we're--

There's not negotiable. And the FAR hat's the purpose in continuing-- I nake the residents or people in the ?

SERRA: Well, I would agree with you se in continuing this matter to the oning Board meeting, because those e the big issues that you should be e've addressed them, and we know k there is a purpose for the o continue talking with the neighbors proving the facade with retail e the loading area is--

Page 140

White Of attent of Ekters. I would agree with you. I
think votes should be taken, action taken, and then
between now and the next City Commission, we continue
our discussions with the neighbors to see what we can
do.
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, we have a motion. We
have a second. Go ahead and call the roll, please.
THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez?
MS. MENENDEZ: Yes.
THE SECRETARY: Jolie Balido-Hart?
MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes.
THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin?
MR. BELLIN: Yes.
THE SECRETARY: Julio Gabriel?
MR. GABRIEL: Yes.
THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
The second item on this Craig, how would you
like to word this?
MR. COLLER: Okay. The second item on the list is
the actual change in the Zoning. Again, the Applicant
is proffering that he's going to tie his is going to
voluntarily offer covenant to tie the Site Plan to the
Zone change. So I know that there well be an
opportunity between now and when it gets to the City

1	Commission for them to submit that. So this would	1 MR. COLLER: Let's get the motion, and then we can			
2	Item Number 7 is up now for a vote. And this would be	2	add that there are certain amendments that are		
3	on the Zone change. And then when we get to the Site	3	3 MS. MENENDEZ: Okay.		
4	Plan, I know there are a couple of additional items that	4	4 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Marshall went ahead and mad		
5	people wanted that I think we need to add to the	5	a motion. And Marshall, well you accept what Craig has		
6	approval so that it's clear on that.	6	to say to your motion?		
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. But for Item Number 7,	7	MR. BELLIN: Yeah.		
8	at this point, is there a motion?	8	MR. COLLER: A second for discussion. Okay. So		
9	MR. BELLIN: I'll make a motion.	9	Ramon, can you enunciate what the additional items are		
10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Marshall makes a motion. Is	10	for the Site Plan that have been agreed to?		
11	there a second?	11	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Mr. Chairman, if the Applicant		
12	MR. GRABIEL: I'll second it.	12	could also speak. If we look at Page 23 of the Staff		
13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. Any	13	13 report, Condition Number 1-D, there's a \$75,000 amount.		
14	discussion?	14	14 That amount has been proffered to increase by 50,000,		
15	Having no discussion, call the roll, please.	15	15 right?		
16	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin?	16 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct, so 125,000.			
17	MR. BELLIN: Yes.	17 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that 50,000 earmarked			
18	THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?	18 specifically for something I heard crosswalks or			
19	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.	19	not?		
20	THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez?	20	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: The intent certainly is		
21	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes.	21	mobility and transportation.		
22	THE SECRETARY: Jolie Balido-Hart?	22	MR. TRIAS: Let's read the condition, because the		
23	MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes.	23	conditions may have been modified. It's \$125,000		
24	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	24	contribution to the future underline and contributions		
25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.	25	toward multi-modal roadway improvements on Red Road		
	Page 142		Page 144		
1	Craig, I well let you guide us on the third item,	1	between San Remo and Madruga.		
2	please.	2	MS. MENENDEZ: I don't think I don't think that		

	charg, i wen het you gurde us on the third item,	-	occircen ban
2	please.	2	MS. MEN
3	MR. COLLER: With regard to Item 8	3	the item I d
4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Before you do that, is there	4	had suggeste
5	a motion to extend the time? It's 9:10 right now.	5	the potential
6	MR. BELLIN: I'll make a motion.	6	the neighborh
7	MS. MENENDEZ: I'll second.	7	probably Ner
8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: To what time, please?	8	there to just r
9	MR. BELLIN: 9:30.	9	needed, are p
10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a second?	10	MR. TRI
11	MS. MENENDEZ: I second it.	11	multi-modal
12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor?	12	MR. GAI
13	MR. GRABIEL: Aye.	13	think it would
14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody against?	14	Board, but al
15	Continue, I'm sorry.	15	something we
16	MR. COLLER: Okay. With regard to Item 8, I'm	16	Neighborhoo
17	going to rely on Mr. Trias to sort of if there's	17	component.
18	first let's get a motion and then we can talk about what	18	MS. MEN
19	the additional items are for it.	19	the entire are
20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Very good. Is there a motion	20	the impact th
21	for the Site Plan for Item Number 8?	21	cause to the a
22	MS. MENENDEZ: But isn't the motion aren't the	22	have to look
23	conditions part of the motion?	23	can start miti
24	MR. COLLER: Well, we're going	24	matter of tim
25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's get the motion in.	25	MR. TRI

MS. MENENDEZ: I don't think-- I don't think that the item-- I don't think-- well, look, I think what I had suggested was to look at the entire area because of the potential for future development and the impact to the neighborhood. So it's not just Red Road. It's probably Nervia, Yumuri-- you know, that whole area there to just make sure that whatever improvements are needed, are provided. MR. TRIAS: Okay. So we can just leave it as multi-modal improvements.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Yeah. From my perspective, I think it would be good not only for purposes of the Board, but also the neighborhood, because this is something we've talked about with the Riviera Neighborhood Association is to have some Master Plan component.

MS. MENENDEZ: Master Plan so that we can look at the entire area and start addressing not only perhaps the impact that the development is going to, you know, cause to the area, but also future developments. We have to look at the potential development and see how we can start mitigating some of those, because it's just a matter of time. The area is growing. MR. TRIAS: All right. We could include the words

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

1	Page 145		Page 147
	"Master Plan" for the area as the goal.	1	obviously.
2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The only thing that concerns	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. We're putting all of
3	me when you do something like that is that the money is	3	the conditions of Staff down. We have that on the
4	spent on a study or so forth, and it really doesn't go	4	record? Is there a second?
5	to a benefit of a neighborhood, per se. So I'd like to	5	MR. GRABIEL: I'll second.
6	just leave it at the discretion between Staff, the City,	6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Julio well second. Any
7	and the residents to come up with the best way to	7	discussion?
8	utilize it.	8	No discussion. Please call the roll.
9	MS. MENENDEZ: Sure.	9	THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?
10	MR. TRIAS: And Mr. Chairman, I think that's a	10	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
11	very good suggestion, and what I would say is that we've	11	THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez?
12	had some recent discussions about the US-1 corridor and	12	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes.
13	the failure of finishing that Master Plan. We're trying	13	THE SECRETARY: Jolie Balido-Hart?
14	to do it again, and so I believe that we need to have	14	MS. BALIDO-HART: Yes.
15	more discussions with the neighbors to really clarify	15	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin?
16	what's the best way to do that. So thank you for that	16	MR. BELLIN: Yes.
17	suggestion.	17	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
18	MR. COLLER: And then I believe that there was an	18	MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.
19	additional item with regard to the landscaping.	19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.
20	MR. TRIAS: Of both sides of the street. I think	20	MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, there is one more item
21	that has to be a specific condition, that you well match	21	on the agenda.
22	Venera and San Remo.	22	MS. MENENDEZ: Actually there's two.
23	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct, for the length of the	23	MR. COLLER: Oh, I thought we deferred I'm
24	property.	24	sorry, there are two more. We deferred Number 11.
25	MR. TRIAS: That well be an additional condition	25	MS. MENENDEZ: I think we should defer the last
	Page 146		Page 148
	just by itself.		
		1 1	two because they're all like part of amending and so
1		1	two because they're all like part of amending, and so what are your thoughts?
2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.	2	what are your thoughts?
2 3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that	2 3	what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address?	2 3 4	what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement.
2 3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay.	2 3	what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact the
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr.	2 3 4 5	what are your thoughts?CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement.MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact theMR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr. Bermello to continue with the storefront in front of the	2 3 4 5 6	 what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact the MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good idea.
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr. Bermello to continue with the storefront in front of the FPL and generators so there is continuity of the retail	2 3 4 5 6 7	 what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact the MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good idea. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr. Bermello to continue with the storefront in front of the FPL and generators so there is continuity of the retail facade all the way to the neighbors to the west.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact the MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good idea.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr. Bermello to continue with the storefront in front of the FPL and generators so there is continuity of the retail facade all the way to the neighbors to the west. MR. COLLER: Does the Board wish to make that as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact the MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good idea. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: So the motion is to defer Items 9 and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr. Bermello to continue with the storefront in front of the FPL and generators so there is continuity of the retail facade all the way to the neighbors to the west. MR. COLLER: Does the Board wish to make that as a recommendation as part of it? It's up to you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact the MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good idea. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: So the motion is to defer Items 9 and 10.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr. Bermello to continue with the storefront in front of the FPL and generators so there is continuity of the retail facade all the way to the neighbors to the west. MR. COLLER: Does the Board wish to make that as a recommendation as part of it? It's up to you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It would be a recommendation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact the MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good idea. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: So the motion is to defer Items 9 and 10. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion to defer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr. Bermello to continue with the storefront in front of the FPL and generators so there is continuity of the retail facade all the way to the neighbors to the west. MR. COLLER: Does the Board wish to make that as a recommendation as part of it? It's up to you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact the MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good idea. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: So the motion is to defer Items 9 and 10. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion to defer Items 9 and 10?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr. Bermello to continue with the storefront in front of the FPL and generators so there is continuity of the retail facade all the way to the neighbors to the west. MR. COLLER: Does the Board wish to make that as a recommendation as part of it? It's up to you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It would be a recommendation, but I think it also has to be feasible to the project	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact the MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good idea. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: So the motion is to defer Items 9 and 10. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion to defer Items 9 and 10? MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr. Bermello to continue with the storefront in front of the FPL and generators so there is continuity of the retail facade all the way to the neighbors to the west. MR. COLLER: Does the Board wish to make that as a recommendation as part of it? It's up to you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It would be a recommendation, but I think it also has to be feasible to the project itself.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact the MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good idea. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: So the motion is to defer Items 9 and 10. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion to defer Items 9 and 10? MR. GRABIEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. Is there a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr. Bermello to continue with the storefront in front of the FPL and generators so there is continuity of the retail facade all the way to the neighbors to the west. MR. COLLER: Does the Board wish to make that as a recommendation as part of it? It's up to you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It would be a recommendation, but I think it also has to be feasible to the project itself. MR. GRABIEL: I understand. That's why I'm just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact the MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good idea. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: So the motion is to defer Items 9 and 10. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion to defer Items 9 and 10? MR. GRABIEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. Is there a second?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr. Bermello to continue with the storefront in front of the FPL and generators so there is continuity of the retail facade all the way to the neighbors to the west. MR. COLLER: Does the Board wish to make that as a recommendation as part of it? It's up to you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It would be a recommendation, but I think it also has to be feasible to the project itself. MR. GRABIEL: I understand. That's why I'm just making it as a suggestion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact the MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good idea. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: So the motion is to defer Items 9 and 10. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion to defer Items 9 and 10? MR. GRABIEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. Is there a second? MS. MENENDEZ: I'll second it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr. Bermello to continue with the storefront in front of the FPL and generators so there is continuity of the retail facade all the way to the neighbors to the west. MR. COLLER: Does the Board wish to make that as a recommendation as part of it? It's up to you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It would be a recommendation, but I think it also has to be feasible to the project itself. MR. GRABIEL: I understand. That's why I'm just making it as a suggestion. MR. TRIAS: Staff can work with the Riviera	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact the MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good idea. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: So the motion is to defer Items 9 and 10. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion to defer Items 9 and 10? MR. GRABIEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. Is there a second? MS. MENENDEZ: I'll second it. MR. COLLER: You can do it as a voice vote.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr. Bermello to continue with the storefront in front of the FPL and generators so there is continuity of the retail facade all the way to the neighbors to the west. MR. COLLER: Does the Board wish to make that as a recommendation as part of it? It's up to you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It would be a recommendation, but I think it also has to be feasible to the project itself. MR. GRABIEL: I understand. That's why I'm just making it as a suggestion. MR. TRIAS: Staff can work with the Riviera architect and see how far we can push that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact the MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good idea. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: So the motion is to defer Items 9 and 10. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion to defer Items 9 and 10? MR. GRABIEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. Is there a second? MS. MENENDEZ: I'll second it. MR. COLLER: You can do it as a voice vote. MR. LEEN: Are those noticed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr. Bermello to continue with the storefront in front of the FPL and generators so there is continuity of the retail facade all the way to the neighbors to the west. MR. COLLER: Does the Board wish to make that as a recommendation as part of it? It's up to you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It would be a recommendation, but I think it also has to be feasible to the project itself. MR. GRABIEL: I understand. That's why I'm just making it as a suggestion. MR. TRIAS: Staff can work with the Riviera architect and see how far we can push that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That would be what the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact the MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good idea. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: So the motion is to defer Items 9 and 10. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion to defer Items 9 and 10? MR. GRABIEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. Is there a second? MS. MENENDEZ: I'll second it. MR. COLLER: You can do it as a voice vote. MR. LEEN: Are those noticed? MR. COLLER: Pardon?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr. Bermello to continue with the storefront in front of the FPL and generators so there is continuity of the retail facade all the way to the neighbors to the west. MR. COLLER: Does the Board wish to make that as a recommendation as part of it? It's up to you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It would be a recommendation, but I think it also has to be feasible to the project itself. MR. GRABIEL: I understand. That's why I'm just making it as a suggestion. MR. TRIAS: Staff can work with the Riviera architect and see how far we can push that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That would be what the recommendation is, for Staff to work with the adjoining	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact the MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good idea. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: So the motion is to defer Items 9 and 10. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion to defer Items 9 and 10? MR. GRABIEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. Is there a second? MS. MENENDEZ: I'll second it. MR. COLLER: You can do it as a voice vote. MR. LEEN: Are those noticed? MR. LEEN: Are those noticed items? Was there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr. Bermello to continue with the storefront in front of the FPL and generators so there is continuity of the retail facade all the way to the neighbors to the west. MR. COLLER: Does the Board wish to make that as a recommendation as part of it? It's up to you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It would be a recommendation, but I think it also has to be feasible to the project itself. MR. GRABIEL: I understand. That's why I'm just making it as a suggestion. MR. TRIAS: Staff can work with the Riviera architect and see how far we can push that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That would be what the recommendation is, for Staff to work with the adjoining neighbors. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact theMR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good idea. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: So the motion is to defer Items 9 and 10. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion to defer Items 9 and 10? MR. GRABIEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. Is there a second? MS. MENENDEZ: I'll second it. MR. COLLER: You can do it as a voice vote. MR. LEEN: Are those noticed? MR. COLLER: Pardon? MR. LEEN: Are those noticed items? Was there mail notice for those?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr. Bermello to continue with the storefront in front of the FPL and generators so there is continuity of the retail facade all the way to the neighbors to the west. MR. COLLER: Does the Board wish to make that as a recommendation as part of it? It's up to you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It would be a recommendation, but I think it also has to be feasible to the project itself. MR. GRABIEL: I understand. That's why I'm just making it as a suggestion. MR. TRIAS: Staff can work with the Riviera architect and see how far we can push that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That would be what the recommendation is, for Staff to work with the adjoining neighbors. MR. COLLER: Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact the MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good idea. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: So the motion is to defer Items 9 and 10. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion to defer Items 9 and 10? MR. GRABIEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. Is there a second? MS. MENENDEZ: I'll second it. MR. COLLER: You can do it as a voice vote. MR. LEEN: Are those noticed? MR. LEEN: Are those noticed items? Was there mail notice for those? MR. COLLER: Those weren't mail notice items.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. MR. COLLER: Okay. Are there any other items that the Board wanted to address? Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Well, I had suggested to Mr. Bermello to continue with the storefront in front of the FPL and generators so there is continuity of the retail facade all the way to the neighbors to the west. MR. COLLER: Does the Board wish to make that as a recommendation as part of it? It's up to you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It would be a recommendation, but I think it also has to be feasible to the project itself. MR. GRABIEL: I understand. That's why I'm just making it as a suggestion. MR. TRIAS: Staff can work with the Riviera architect and see how far we can push that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That would be what the recommendation is, for Staff to work with the adjoining neighbors. MR. COLLER: Okay. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Anything else? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 what are your thoughts? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree if the Board is in agreement. MR. GRABIEL: If it doesn't impact the MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's a good idea. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. MR. COLLER: So the motion is to defer Items 9 and 10. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion to defer Items 9 and 10? MR. GRABIEL: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. Is there a second? MS. MENENDEZ: I'll second it. MR. COLLER: You can do it as a voice vote. MR. LEEN: Are those noticed? MR. COLLER: Pardon? MR. COLLER: Those weren't mail notice items. MR. LEEN: So it's just a deferment.

37 (Pages 145 to 148)