1	CITY OF CORAL GABLES	
2	SPECIAL CITY COMMISSION MEETING CITY HALL, COMMISSION CHAMBERS WEDNESDAY, JUNE 10, 2015	
3	9:00 A.M.	
4	ORIGIN ORIGIN	AL
5	MEDITEDDANEAN VIIIACE	
6	MEDITERRANEAN VILLAGE (2801, 2901 and 3001 PONCE DE LEON BOULEVARD)	
7	7.0	
8	Comprehensive Plan Text and Map Amendment,	
9	Zoning Code Text Amendment, PAD Site Plan, Alley Vacation, Development Agreement, and	
10	Revocation of an Existing PAD	
11		
12		
13		
14	MAYOR JIM CASON	
15	VICE MAYOR FRANK C. QUESADA COMMISSIONER PATRICIA KEON	
16	COMMISSIONER VINCE LAGO COMMISSIONER JEANNETT SLESNICK	
17	CATHY SWANSON-RIVENBARK, CITY MANAGER	
18	CRAIG E. LEEN, CITY ATTORNEY WALTER J. FOEMAN, CITY CLERK	
19		2015 JUN
20		III 25
21		
22		PM 12: 09
23	8	9
20		

THEREUPON:

Village project.

(The following proceedings were held.)

MAYOR CASON: Good morning, everyone.

Welcome to the June 10th Special Commission

Meeting. Normally we do it on the second and

fourth Tuesdays, but this meeting is dedicated

to the second reading of the Mediterranean

To start us off, we're going to have some legal niceties taken care of by our City
Attorney. Then we'll have Ramon Trias, of the City, give us an update from the City's perspective or where we are. Then we'll ask the Applicant to respond, and then we'll open it for public comment, no more than five minutes, please.

If anybody plans to speak from the public, please fill out a speaker card, and with that, I'll turn it over to Craig Leen.

MR. LEEN: Thank you, Mr. Mayor.

Good morning. As you may recall, at the last Commission Meeting where this matter came up, I read all of the items into the record, the titles, and then the matter was continued to today.

Although it may seem like it will take some time, I'm going to read them again into the record, because we have a court reporter present, and it's important that it'd be clear that we have read the titles. So that's going

to take about four or five minutes.

At that point, there's a couple of legal matters I must raise, and then it will be turned over to Ramon Trias. I'll try to do this as quickly as possible.

As you know, today, these are Ordinances on second reading. There's a number of them, there's seven of them, and they're all being consolidated for purposes of the public hearing. So with that, I'm going to begin reading them.

One, this an ordinance of the City

Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting
an amendment to the Future Land Use Map of the

City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan

pursuant to Zoning Code Article 3, Development

Review, Division 15, Comprehensive Plan Text

and Map Amendments, and Small Scale Amendment

procedures, changing the boundaries between

Commercial Low-Rise Intensity, Commercial

Mid-Rise Intensity and Commercial High-Rise
Intensity Land Use designations on property
generally bounded by Sevilla Avenue on the
north, Malaga Avenue on the south, Ponce de
Leon Boulevard on the west and Galiano Street
on the east, and generally known as 2801, 2901,
and 3001 Ponce de Leon Boulevard, Coral Gables,
Florida as shown on Exhibit A and legally
described on Exhibit B, providing for a
repealer provision, severability clause, and an
effective date. Legal description is on file
at the City.

The second Ordinance is an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting an amendment to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, Future Land Use Element, Policy FLU-1.1.3, Table FLU-2, Commercial Land Uses, pursuant to expedited State review procedures, under Section 163.3184, of the Florida Statutes and Zoning Code Article 3, Development Review, Division 15, Comprehensive Plan Text and Map Amendments, amending the Commercial High-Rise Intensity, Commercial Mid-Rise Intensity, and Commercial Low-Rise Intensity Land Use Classifications to

provide that (A) residential use shall be

permitted and (B) intensity shall be controlled

by a Planned Area Development plan instead of

by Floor Area Ratio, in a project developed in

accordance with the Mediterranean Village

Form-Based Planned Area Development, and

further amending the Commercial High-Rise

Intensity and Commercial Mid-Rise Intensity

Land Use Classifications to provide that, in

such a Mediterranean Village project,

additional height may be granted for specified

uses or architectural embellishment, providing

for a repealer provision, severability clause

and an effective date.

The third Ordinance is an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, by amending Article 3, Development Review, Division 5, Planned Area Development, to create Section 3-510, Mediterranean Village Form-Based Planned Area Development, with form-based development standards that modify and supplement the existing Planned Area Development standards and criteria to allow appropriate infill and

redevelopment in urbanized areas if certain minimum requirements are met; and amending Appendix A, Site Specific Zoning Regulations, Section A-36 Crafts Section, by removing Section A-36.B.5 regarding the use, design and number of stories for development in Block 20; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, codification and an effective date.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The fourth Ordinance is an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a proposed Planned Area Development approval referred to as Mediterranean Village pursuant to Zoning Code Article 3, Development Review, Division 5, Planned Area Development, for the construction of a project consisting of a mix of uses including office, commercial, retail, hotel and residential, consistent with the separately proposed Section 3-510 Mediterranean Village Form-Based Planned Area Development on the property legally described as Block 20, Block 23, (Less Lot 12 and a portion of Lot 11), and Block 30, Crafts Section, also generally known as 2801, 2901, and 3001 Ponce de Leon

Boulevard, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and an effective date. The legal description of the property is on file with the City.

That's true for all of these.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The fifth Ordinance is an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting partial abandonment and vacation of a 20-foot wide public alleyway generally running east to west, approximately 85 feet in length, dividing Blocks 3, 4 and Tract F from Block 5 and Tract G of Ponce Place Villas East pursuant to Zoning Code Article 3, Division 12, abandonment and Vacations and City Code Chapter 62, Article 8, Vacation Abandonment and Closure of Streets, Easements and Alleys By Private Owners and the City; Application Process, and the dedication of a public access easement generally running over an internal driveway from Palermo Avenue to Coconut Grove Drive related to proposed development consistent with the separately proposed Section 3-510 Mediterranean Village Form-Based Planned Area Development, on the property generally known as

2801, 2901 and 3001 Ponce de Leon Boulevard,

Coral Gables, Florida including required

conditions; providing for a repealer provision,

severability clause and an effective date.

Legal description of vacation on file with the

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

City.

An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving a Development Agreement pursuant to Zoning Code Article 3, Division 20, entitled Development Agreements, for a proposed Planned Area Development referred to as Mediterranean Village, related to the construction of a project consisting of a mix of uses including office, commercial, retail, hotel and residential, consistent with the separately proposed Section 3-510 Mediterranean Village Form-Based Planned Area Development, on the property legally described as Block 20, Block 23 (Less Lot 12 and a portion of Lot 11), and Block 30, Crafts Section, generally known as 2801, 2901 and 3001 Ponce de Leon Boulevard, Coral Gables, Florida, providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and an effective date. legal description of the property is on file

with the City.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, lastly, the seventh Ordinance is an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida regarding the Planned Area Development Mixed-Use Site Plan for the proposed project referred to as Old Spanish Village, generally described as 2801, 2901 and 3001 Ponce de Leon Boulevard, Coral Gables, Florida and approved by Ordinance Number 2006-23 and amended by Ordinance Number 2007-27.1; revoking the PAD approvals for failure to proceed in accordance with the approved development phasing pursuant to Zoning Code Section 3-509, providing findings; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and an effective date. legal description of the property is on file with the City.

Now, as mentioned previously, these seven items are going to be consolidated for purposes of public hearing today. Also, there's been a previous public hearing, both, in front of the Planning & Zoning Board, on this matter, and then before the City Commission on first reading, and I would like to indicate, even

though they're being consolidated for purposes of discussion and for the public hearing, the items have different standards of review and each will be voted on separately.

Several of the items are Legislative changes, Comprehensive Plan changes, Zoning Code changes. Those changes, the Commission has significant discretion, and you will be determining what's in the best interest of the City.

Ultimately, whatever you do needs to be fairly debatable, and cannot be arbitrary or capricious, and that's the standard that applies.

There are also other matters, including
Site Plan, vacation of alleyway and other
matters. Some of these matters will be subject
to quasi-judicial review. You'll be having a
quasi-judicial hearing today, where there will
be testimony provided, it will be under oath,
and you will have to determine what is, again,
in the best interest of the City, but here you
will be applying a standard which is
evidentiary in nature, and what that means is
that you'll hear the evidence and you'll hear

what harms could be caused by this development, both, the benefits and the negatives, and if there are negative harms, and you think that there are conditions of approval that could be imposed, some of which are being recommended --many of which are being recommended by Staff, and many which are being proffered by the Applicant, you can order that those be done, and the conditions that you approve and whatever your final decision is on second reading, that needs to be supported by component, substantial evidence, we have to apply the correct law, and you have to provide due process today, which will be done.

So with that, I need to ask Commissioner

Slesnick -- Commissioner Slesnick was not a

Commissioner on first reading, it was before

her election as Commissioner, so now she is

sitting here on second reading and the law

allows her to sit as a judge on second reading,

even though she did not on first reading, but I

must ask her -- Commissioner Slesnick, have you

reviewed the record from first reading and the

record of this matter in its entirety?

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yes, Mr. City

1 Attorney, I have, and because I read all of the 2 paperwork and so forth and all of the records, 3 took me endless hours, and watched the tapes of the previous Commission meetings, I'd like to point out that this was not passed on first 6 reading of the March 25th Commission Meeting, 7 it was deferred to the April meeting. So all 8 of the records that say it was passed on first 9 reading, it was a continuous, but I just wanted 10 to point that out. 11 Thank you. Thank you, 12 Commissioner. I appreciate that. It shows 13 that you did read this very closely.

See, it's proven. Put that on the record.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Too much reading.

MR. LEEN: So with that, I will turn it over to Ramon Trias.

MAYOR CASON: Ramon.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TRIAS: Mayor, Vice Mayor,

Commissioners, as the City Attorney has

explained, there are seven items before you,

and what I will do is give a brief PowerPoint,

that recaps some of the changes that have taken

place since first reading, and then the

Applicant will explain the project in some more

detail.

The Staff Report included a document that was in a binder, that had multiple exhibits, the Applicant's updated submittal, which is this document, it's a 14 by 17 booklet that is on record, and it is posted on the website, the Development Agreement, Staff memos, Comprehensive Plan responses, the PAD conditions of approval, the draft Ordinances and the PowerPoint presentation that you will see today. I've made a couple of minor changes, but it's basically the same presentation that is in your package.

The site has been discussed in the past, but just for the benefit of those watching at home and for the citizens that may be here today, I'll remind everyone that it's right next to Ponce Circle and it's bound by Ponce de Leon Boulevard on the west, Sevilla on the north, Galiano on the east and Malaga on the south.

If you look at the site in context, it's a few blocks south of Miracle Mile and it's just south of the Central Business District. So, from a technical point of view, it's outside of

that district, but it's very close.

The existing conditions at the site, and this is a slightly older image, so there's a few buildings that are no longer there, but the building that remains, the building that is still there, is the Arts Center Building, which is an integral part of the project and it's a very important building in the history of Coral Gables, as most of the City was actually designed in that location, when George Merrick was the developer.

So that is at the center of the project, and all of the streets, such as Coconut Grove Drive and Palermo, will remain, and those were original streets in the plan that Merrick originally designed.

The project, you may have seen it before, it has changed, but it has changed mostly in the back, where it has become a little bit less tall, and the changes in the front deal with some subtleties of design, and we'll go into some detail on that, but generally that's the view of the project from what I see as the front, which is Ponce de Leon Circle. That's what you will see, a hotel, an office building,

and retail on the ground floor; a true mix-use project that integrates three blocks of the City and tries to continue the fabric of the Downtown of the City towards that area, in terms of the aesthetics, in terms of the massing and in terms of the overall mix of uses.

1.5

Very briefly, and for the benefit of those watching, I will remind everyone that this has had -- this project has had a very extensive process of review in the past year and a half.

We've had about 20 -- this is the 20th public meeting, and those meetings have included

Commission meetings, they have included

Development Review Committee, they have included Board of Architects review, Planning & Zoning Board required neighborhood meetings.

We also had some new ideas, such as the expert review, the peer review panel that reviewed the architecture and the aesthetics and the urban design ideas of the project.

Further Board of Architects review, additional Expert Review Panel, the Planning & Zoning took some action on it. The Historic Preservation Board also reviewed it, as the historic

building is right in the center of the project.

The Traffic Advisory Board Development
Review Committee for the alley assessed -- the
City Attorney has explained, some of these
items are looked at separately, and they have
been looked at separately in the process, also,
and finally we get to the last page, and you
can see that we have the Commission's first
reading, and then, as Commissioner Slesnick
pointed out -- then on March 2nd, there was
also the first reading, and then the second
reading, that was continued, and today's
meeting, which is Number 20.

In summary, we've had 19 public meetings, this is the 20th; we've had 18 postings at City Hall; we've posted the materials on the website 16 times; the minutes, six times; the property has been posted seven times; we have sent seven courtesy notices; different meetings have been advertised by the newspaper eight times; and the meetings have been televised nine times; and that's for the past year and a half.

So I think there has been extensive opportunity to understand the project and to comment, by the part of the public and by the

part of the Comission, and as a result of that extensive process and as a result of the leadership of the Mayor and Vice Mayor and Commissioner, I think that now I can show you a project that is much better than it was before, a project that is highly improved and a project that I think you will be able to consider carefully.

21.

The first item, and we have a variety of issues, but the first items for consideration deal with the Comprehensive Plan. We have Susan Trevarathen, our attorney, if you have any technical issues, here in the audience to help you through the process, but there are some very minor changes. It's basically the same request, except that, for example, in the Land Use Map, some of the high-rise at the very top of Palermo was taken out, and instead of, we have replaced it with mid-rise, so it's a little bit lower, and that was as a result of a desire to have a better transition with the neighborhood that is just to the east.

As a result of the comments from the many agencies, and the agencies had suggestions, they didn't have objections, it was simply

ideas, we are recommending to include the 4.0 FAR in the text. It's really for the benefit of having redundancy and multiple ways to keep the project in check, but I think that's a good change, and we've also clarified that the additional height for architectural features would only be applied for the high-rise.

Those ideas are repeated a couple of times through the text, and we also included 125-unit per acre maximum density, which is similar to the maximum density elsewhere in the Code.

So that's just for clarity and for trying to follow-up on your suggestions from the prior meetings.

We have replaced some of the extra height, we have eliminated that from the areas in the back, just to make sure that everything is internally consistent with the project. Those are fairly minor changes, and we made sure that the low-rise intensity and every other intensity had the same Code requirements.

So those are the changes, as far as the Comp Plan request. The Comp Plan request is generally the same. We've done some cleanup work, based on your input and based on what you

told us in the prior meetings.

Now, when we get to the PAD site plan, I think that this is probably the first item that is a significant change, in terms of the prior project. If you look at the March 2015 image, you can see that the hotel is at the entrance of Ponce de Leon. The Commission expressed a desire to have retail downstairs along Ponce, and thought to continue that pedestrian area, so that's what's reflected on the May 2015 image.

The result of that is that the ground level along Ponce de Leon is retail, a hundred percent, except for the curb cuts and streets, and the entrance of the hotel is internalized into the block, with a drop off area, which is the circle right there.

That has some effects on traffic. Clearly the traffic pattern is a little bit different, but the overall design is affected in many ways. So this is a design that achieves many of the goals, and it changes the traffic pattern slightly, and you may have -- we will have some discussion on that maybe later, but that's the only issue that I think still, you

know, the Applicant may raise, but that's the overall change in the ground level.

In terms of summarizing the actual changes, we provided this list in the Staff Report that gives point by point the changes. I believe that the Applicant has addressed all of the changes or practically all of the changes that the Commission and the public requested through the process of the past year and a half, so I will just summarize them briefly.

Number One, the FAR has been reduced from 4.375 -- and keep in mind, 4.375 is the maximum that you could have in the Downtown, with a Historic TDR, so that's what that number originally was suggested -- so it's come down from 4.375 to 4.0.

What does that mean? Well, that means that the project area has been reduced by 109,000 square feet. That's basically what that means, in terms of the project. So the current project is 1,171,176 square feet. That is the proposal from the Applicant.

Number Two, the Cinema was removed. As you remember, the cinema was on top of the back parking garage, towards the neighborhood, so

that has been taken out, so now that area is lower.

2.4

Number Three, the hotel drop off has moved from Ponce de Leon. It's no longer there. Now access is from Malaga or from Coconut Grove Drive. The gym and the day care have been removed.

I'm sorry, before that, the middle residential tower has been removed, and I'll show you that more clearly. One full building has been taken out, so it does make a big difference in terms of the transition towards the neighborhood.

The gym and day care are no longer there, so the center of the project is a little bit lower, and as a result, the context of the historic building is a little bit more consistent with the scale of the very important Arts Center Building.

The design in Number 6 has also improved, in terms of the connection between the ground level and some of the accessible parts in the roof, through better stairs, larger, more open, more connections towards the ballroom that happens at the hotel, so there's more

opportunities for all kinds of activities to take place, in multiple venues, large and small, open, indoor, throughout the project.

So I think that has improved, and as a result of that, Number 7, the hotel ballroom also has been enlarged to about 500 people in capacity, which was one of the desires of the -- from public comment.

Number 8, Ponce de Leon frontage is pedestrian oriented, and that means that the buildings have retail on the ground level, and retail is very open, all kinds of windows and doors and so on, and the sidewalks are continuous and designed as wide as possible, and, I will show later, with very nice shade trees all throughout.

Number 9, some of the parking -underground parking has been removed, and the
Applicant may explain the reasons for that. I
believe they had to do with cost, but right now
there is one full level of parking underground,
and then about half a level or so, that
includes the delivery area and some parking for
the office building.

Now one level of parking has been added to

the parking garage, so that has been part of the reshuffling of some of the uses and the need for parking.

Some of the publicly accessible rooftop has been resigned, so there's less of the public part at the top than it was before, and that had to do with removing the cinema and changing some of those buildings, so the Applicant could explain that, if you are interested in more detail.

There's a liner space added in front of the plaza, again trying to emphasis the importance of that historic Arts Center building as a destination and as the heart of the project, and retail has increased slightly by 23,000 square feet, and that has to do mostly with the changes in the ground level. So I think that a lot of the footprint of the retail spaces has become larger, so probably they have a more likely of success, we believe.

Office space has increased slightly by 3,000 square feet, and the combined hotel and multi-family residential space has been reduced by 90,000. That's the largest decrease, in terms of the overall massing of the project.

So that has to do with the removal of that tower building in the back.

And the paseo has changed. Before, there was a loop, now there's only one paseo. That has to do with the change of the access from the parking from the hotel.

So I believe it works fine. It's different. It's just simply different, because of the emphasis on retail versus the prior emphasis on the hotel drop off.

In terms of the way the project looks, and -- for the purposes of my discussion, I will have this next to me, because I'm going to be pointing to some very specific things -- the March 2015 image, which is on top, as compared to the current proposal, which is the May 25th, 2015 image, in the hotel, as you can see, there's an increased setback, which provides this articulation at the retail level for the architecture. So it's more pedestrian friendly, in terms of scale.

So that's a minor change, but I believe it makes a big impact in terms of the overall image of the City.

The area in the center has come down, and

that has to do with the removal of some of the spaces, like the gym and day care, et cetera. The reason this is important is that that is the area around the Arts Center building, okay, so that gives you a much more appropriate scale around that building, and then the largest change, I believe, is the removal of this building right here, which is the residential building, and you can see how that makes a big impact, in terms of the massing of the project, as it relates to the residential neighborhood next to it, and then the removal of the movie theater, right here, also lowers the back of

the project.

So that is the most clear diagram that we have, I think, that explains every issue in one image, and the Applicant can go into more detail, if you want, in terms of the architecture.

Now, what I described in the center, which I find very important, that low grade of the center area results in the image of May 2015, which is the image in the bottom, and as you can see, the Arts Building has become much more prominent, by lowering the scale of all of the

buildings around, and also the public space around that building has been enhanced significantly by removing some of the drop off area, the valet, away from the facade of the building.

So those changes, I think, have really enhanced the public phase of the project, which I think has become much more consistent with the historic fabric of the City.

Now, in terms of the overall image, if you look at the bird's-eye view, you can see that the front is very similar, except that the hotel is set back slightly, and yet the back area, the area of the transition towards the neighborhood, is very different.

So that, to me, summarizes the issue fairly clearly, and if you have any more questions, the Applicant will be able to address them.

In terms of the site plan, in red you'll see the areas that have come down, in black you see the areas that remain fairly tall, at the maximum allowed height.

In this image, I wanted to clarify and emphasize that one of the things we have done is, we have verified that we have shade trees

all throughout the public spaces, all throughout the sidewalks, and then that there are a few palm trees in front of the -- in the Arts Center Building, just to allow for that building to be seen, but the majority of all of the public spaces are nicely shaded.

In addition, the buildings are designed to provide shade and comfort to the pedestrians, so that has been reviewed and verified by Staff.

In addition, Staff has also spent a lot of time with the bicycle facilities, which basically are two types of projects, the street design, which are shown in the appropriate streets, in Malaga and Galiano, for the bike boulevards and bike lanes that are requested at University, and also the facilities — the facilities that are within the building, which include showers, bathrooms, storage areas, et cetera. So there has been a lot of emphasis on that aspect of the project all throughout.

In terms of traffic, if you read the traffic report, it speaks of three options, and, in a nutshell, Option One was the one that was there before, which was when the hotel was

fronting on Ponce de Leon. Option One is just for comparison purposes, but is not being considered today as the project has changed.

Option Two was the original proposal by the Applicant, which included the new drop off of the hotel and had all of the traffic coming from Malaga -- all of the automobile traffic, and I want to emphasize, the red lines are only about automobile traffic. There's also pedestrian traffic, there's also other modes of transportation. This is only about traffic.

So that was the original proposal, and that there was some concern from Staff that that created excessive impacts on Malaga, with stacking, and also excessive conflicts, in terms of the traffic operations within the building and accessing the parking garage.

So Option Three was the Staff recommendation, and Option Three, what it does is that it includes one lane of automobile traffic through the paseo. So there's this additional access to the hotel, okay, going through the previously designed paseo.

We have our consultant, Tim Plummer, here.

If you have any specific questions about

traffic, he's ready to assist you with that.

2.0

So this is the Staff recommendation. It includes some segregation of the traffic between the hotel and the residential tower, which was the concern, from a traffic operations point of view. So you can see that there's some bollards being proposed. I believe Tim is still recommending some more permanent separation between the two. That could be worked out, but the concept is that traffic is separated, and then that there's access from Coconut Grove Drive into the hotel, and from Malaga into the residential towers. So that is the traffic layout.

And when considering traffic, please keep in mind that all of this is also affected by the design of the building, the retail, all kinds of other issues that are balanced once you're working on a project as complex as this one.

The alley vacation, another item before you, is fairly straight-forward. It has not changed. There has been some communications from the County on the issue of perhaps the Public Works Director or perhaps Craig --

MR. LEEN: I'd like to say something about that.

MR. TRIAS: Yes.

MR. LEEN: The County has sent us a couple of e-mails, where they've taken the position that they have the right to approve or disapprove our alley vacation. I think they're absolutely wrong. So you know, I've issued two opinions to the County on behalf of the City, indicating that, you know, the determination of whether the City will vacate an alley is completely within the City's discretion under the Code.

We have to follow the Code requirements.

There's both, provisions in the City Code and also in the Zoning Code, and we are following those requirements here today, and if the Commission makes those findings, it's my view that the alley vacation has to occur. If the Comission doesn't make the findings, it doesn't, but it's not based on the County.

The County does have the right to weigh in and approve the entire traffic study and traffic flow related to this property, but the individual determination of whether we vacate

an alley or not is up to the City, and,
honestly, I just want to say one other thing,
for purposes of the record, you know, there's a
lot of liability that comes with having an
alley, and if the County wants to take that
position, which is wrong, they should be aware
that they would be taking on that liability, in
my view, because how can they have a right to
veto the City's ability to vacate an alley?

Anyhow, that's just a point, for the record. My view is, they don't have that authority, and you have the ability to act today, and we made that position known to them, and I don't know if there's anyone here from the County today, but it seems like they've at least acquiesced to that.

MAYOR CASON: Craig, they didn't weigh in on the alley on the Collection residences.

MR. LEEN: They've never taken this position in the past.

MAYOR CASON: So it's inconsistent with -MR. LEEN: As far as I know. I asked the
Public Works Director, has this position been
taken, and it hasn't.

To me, it's also -- so there's no precedent

1 for it, as far as I know, and, to me, my view, 2 my opinion as City Attorney is that you should 3 not consider that, and you should make the best determination you can. 5 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 6 Ramon. 7... MR. TRIAS: Okay. So the next request is ... 8 the Development Agreement. It's generally the 9 same Development Agreement. Some of the 10 language has been fine-tuned slightly, and the 11 biggest change is that there's a reduction on 12 the total contribution, due to -- the Applicant 13 is requesting that reduction, because of the 14 reduction of the size of the project from the 15 previous number, which was 31,000,000 to 28.7 16 million. 17 The way that that is addressed is with a 18 reduction on the additional Art in Public 19 Places contribution that they had proposed 20 before, and that's on Tab F on the Staff 21 report, if you want to look at it in more 22 detail. 23 COMMISSIONER KEON: Excuse me, Ramon.

24

25

MR. TRIAS:

Yes.

We've gotten a number

COMMISSIONER KEON:

of e-mails with regard to that issue on that contribution. Can you state that again and make it very clear that the Art in Public Places contribution is one percent of construction costs; is that right?

MR. TRIAS: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER KEON: And that is being offered by them, it will be the one percent of whatever the construction costs are. The additional dollars were proffered by the Applicant as additional money, above and beyond the one percent that is required by the City. Is that right?

MR. TRIAS: You are correct. You are correct, Commissioner. You're correct, yes. And currently --

COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. I want that to be very, very be clear to the public, that all of the money that is going to the Art in Public Places will go to Art in Public Places.

Additional dollars proffered by the Applicant can be used for art, it can also be used for public safety.

MR. TRIAS: Yes. And that additional amount beyond --

1 COMMISSIONER KEON: It's an additional 2 amount. 3 MR. TRIAS: Yeah. COMMISSIONER KEON: It's over and beyond 5 what is required under our --6 MR. TRIAS: The additional monies is 7 currently 2.7 million, and that's in 8 addition -- in addition to what is required by 9 the program, okay. 10 COMMISSIONER KEON: So it's only the 11 additional amount that there's any 12 discretionary use on. 13 MR. TRIAS: Yes. That's correct. 14 COMMISSIONER KEON: Thank you. 15 MR. TRIAS: Okay. So the next diagram 16 shows some of the updated images that deal with 17 the neighborhood improvements. That's really 18 for your benefit and for discussion. In terms 19 of the Applicant's proposal, nothing has 20 changed. The Applicant is proposing an amount 21 of money, and the City will make it into 22 projects as time goes by. So that's the nature 23 of that. 24 We have some conceptual renderings, but I

think that there's a lot of design work that

25

will need to take place, and I would recommend that we talk to the neighbors and have

3 significant public discussion.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Ramon, let me ask you a quick question in reference to that, because I know that we had a discussion about this yesterday. I want to ensure that the neighbors feel comfortable with what is planned for the future in reference to their neighborhood.

Do you think that the monies that have been proffered by -- which are significant, by the way -- by the Developer, you think that's sufficient to provide what the neighbors are requesting, in reference to the wall, the entrance features, some of the roadwork that's going to be forthcoming? What is your opinion on that?

MR. TRIAS: My opinion is that, yes. The answer is, yes, and my recommendation is that we need to do projects that are very elegant and understated, just like the projects that were done when Merrick originally planned the City.

So those types of projects are certainly within the budget, if we're strategic about it,

_

if we find the right place, and if the neighbors support it.

So I think we have that flexibility and I think it will be -- it's probably better to have flexibility now, than to dictate excessively to the neighbors. I would prefer to have that conversation in the next few months.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I have the utmost confidence in the Developer. I've seen what product they've put out there. So, I mean, quality to me is -- I mean, obviously you're talking about properties that are going to surround their development, which they're going to own, so it's in their benefit to obviously have something which is beautiful and pays tribute to their project. So, at the end of the day, I'm not concerned about that.

What I'm more concerned about is that we meet what the residents -- what they want. We want to make sure that they have -- their vision is included and that we listen to them as much as possible, because they're the ones that are going to be living with these entrance features on a daily basis.

2.4

MR. TRIAS: That is my recommendation to you, and whatever I can do to make that happen, I'm happy to help.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. Thank you.

MR. TRIAS: The final application request is fairly technical in nature. It's the revocations of some of the prior approvals. To summarize, in the prior meeting, we had a Staff report that included 18 items -- 18 topics, and all of them have been addressed, in Staff's view.

Public safety, traffic, valet operations, parking management, shared parking calculation, parking garage design — that one has been resolved mostly through design. There are some conditions of approval to finalize all of the issues — on street parking, the trolley, through the contribution and also through the trolley stops, has been addressed. The FAR and bulk, as you can see, has significantly changed in the project. Open space has improved somewhat, but I think that the fact that we have verified that the sidewalks and their uses work very well, I think that's a plus.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Can we discuss that

just really quick --

MR. TRIAS: Sure.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: -- or do you want to maybe have a discussion later about that?

MR. TRIAS: Why don't we have it after the Applicant so we can look at that in some detail?

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay.

MR. TRIAS: The sustainability issues, the leed certification for the buildings was one of the conditions. The retail, as we said before, has been enhanced significantly in the ground level.

Now, I must say that the Applicant is still proposing second level retail, so that's an issue that you may want to discuss with them.

The hotel entrance issues have been resolved. The residential, the streetscrape has been verified, based on the Commission's direction, and the Arts Center Building language has been revised with very, very clear direction from the City Manager's Office, and with the collaboration of our attorney.

The neighborhood impacts have not changed. Basically we have simply refined the plan.

Some issues with the BID also have been discussed, and the Art in Public Places concerns have been addressed, as we said before.

So the findings of fact are that the requirements and the standards of Section 3-1506 are satisfied as it relates to the Comprehensive Land Use Map and the Text Amendment.

The Zoning Code issues are also satisfied, the standards of review in the Zoning Code.

The PAD, the Planned Area Development, as you know, this project is a PAD area development, which has some specific regulations that give control and predictability to the Commission, those have been satisfied.

The alley vacation process, as the City
Attorney has explained, was also satisfied.
The Development Agreement also complies with
your Zoning Code and satisfies all of the
requirements.

And, finally, the last issue, which is the revocation of the existing Old Spanish Village PAD, has also been satisfied.

So Staff recommends approval, with

conditions. The conditions are listed. They have been discussed in the past. We can have futher discussion.

So I will end my presentation at this point and ask the Applicant, with your permission, to continue.

Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Yes. Thank you very much.
Who will be speaking? Mario, will you be speaking?

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Good morning, Mr. Mayor, Commissioners, Mario Garcia-Serra, with my office address at 600 Brickell Avenue. I am joined today by Hector Fernandez, Jose Antonio Perez Helguera, and Eddy Avila of Agave Ponce, LLC, as well as Dan Freed, of RTKL, our project architects, as well as several other of our expert consultants, which comprise our project team.

When this Commission voted to tentatively approve this project on first reading on April 2nd, it was with a clear mandate to resolve several issues between first and second reading. Those issues were summarized in the City Staff memo, which was provided as Exhibit

E to your agenda item today, and which lists 18 different issues which had to be addressed.

1

2

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It is a testament to the hard work of both, your City Staff and the project team, that each of those issues are now indicated today as having been resolved.

I would just summarize how we resolved what I consider to be the four bigger issues, but we are prepared to discuss each and every one of them, if necessary, today.

The first issue was the project size and massing. Your clear direction was to make this project smaller and less imposing on the residential neighborhood to the east, and we did exactly that. At one point, when this project was first proposed, it had an FAR of 4.7. By the time that we appeared before you for first reading, the FAR was reduced to 4.375. Today, as the project is proposed, its FAR is 4.0, which is less than what can be achieved in the Central Business District, and translates to an overall reduction of floor area of 205,000 square feet from what was originally proposed. From 4.7, to the 4.0, that's a reduction of 200,000 square feet. The size of many projects which quite often come
before you and are considered significant has
been taken out of this project.

The biggest factors in achieving this reduction were the elimination of a whole residential tower, as well as the cinema, both of which were located on the east side of the property, facing the single family residential neighborhood.

The second issue was maximization of retail frontage. Your direction, again, was to have retail engaging the street as much as possible, and, again, we made a major design change and moved the hotel driveway and drop off area from Ponce de Leon Boulevard to Malaga, so as to increase retail frontage, and, on Ponce, in particular.

The third issue was to not let this project become the usual regional shopping mall. If Commissioner Keon said it once, she said it maybe fifteen times during first reading, she did not want this project to become the typical enclosed shopping mall.

There, again, we made significant design changes to address this concern. A plan which

Я

previously called for repetitive looping and fully enclosed walkways now has only one main central paseo type retail area, which is only partially enclosed, and the number of plazas and open spaces have been increased.

The last big issue, in my estimation, was traffic. You wanted to make sure that traffic was managed as well as possible and that there would be fallback measures in place in the event that traffic estimates and studies were not accurate.

This project has gone through the most thorough review that I have seen in any of the thirteen years that I've been representing projects in this City. Everything, from overall traffic volume, to valet service, to the turning radii of drive aisles in the parking garage has been analyzed and reviewed to such an extent, that our traffic engineer and the City's traffic engineer have probably spent more time talking with each other over the last two months than with their spouses.

In the event that any of these analyses or recommendations prove to be wrong, we have a system in place to catch those mistakes and

correct them. Traffic study updates need to take place on an annual basis, each year, for five years, after issuance of the first Certificate of Occupancy, and corrective measures implemented at our own cost for the project and for the off-street improvements which we are proposed in the various surrounding areas, if necessary.

Such commitments to get this right have never before been seen in the City or this County for a project, which is, again, completely private in nature.

With that said, I'm going to ask Dan to sort of walk you through the latest floor plans and elevations so you see exactly how the project looks right now. When he's finished, we'll have two sort of issues where Applicant and Staff are not a hundred percent in agreement and we would like to have your input. They're relatively minor, but important, I think, to discuss.

MR. LEEN: Can we swear in everyone who is going to speak?

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure.

MR. LEEN: Could you swear in everyone who

is going to speak?

met.

Everyone who is going to speak today as a witness or in the public hearing please stand up so we can see you.

(Thereupon, the participants were sworn.)

MR. FREED: Good morning, Mr. Mayor, Vice
Mayor, City Manager and Commissioners. My name
is Dan Freed. I'm with RTKL, based here in our
Coral Gables office. It's a pleasure to be
back and to speak to you again about the
revisions that we've made to date since we last

The book that I believe everyone received is over 250 pages. I've condensed that down to about 50 slides. We have the book in electronic format, if we need to reference any of the specific pages in our presentation.

Everyone is familiar with the site. It's 6.74 acres, directly on Ponce de Leon Boulevard, very, very important site in the City.

Our site plan is essentially the same. The big major changes, as Ramon has already mentioned, is this removal of our porte cochere and drop off for the hotel in this position,

relocating that to an entrance off of Malaga and an actual internal circular drop off, that we have some images coming up shortly to show you what that looks like today.

All of the standards that we've promised in the past, natural materials, preserving the historic Arts Center Building, the minimum native planting percentages and the Art in Public Places beyond the current Code is still in place.

And these images we've been showing you since the very first meeting of our 20 -- frankly, 19, 20 meetings that we've had with public hearings, and none of those commitments have changed, as well, so the level of detail is as high as we could possibly see on a project of this nature.

Just zooming in onto a number of particulars, we have some views coming up that are new to our presentation since the last time we met. I want to focus on those initially.

The view that is coming up next in the presentation is actually taken about here, looking towards the historic building. It's this view here. So you see a public plaza

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's in front, it's right off of Ponce de Leon, focused onto the most important building in the project, the historic building.

From day one we've always talked about this being the heart of our project and it continues to be, and as Ramon has mentioned, we've made changes to this space, including additional office liner on the lower levels of the parking garage, that allow the space to be as active as possible, from the standpoint of the architecture that's around it, and then another huge change that we've made since the last time we've met is the elimination of this middle residential tower. If you remember, it was really here, in the view previously, that's been eliminated, and we've also eliminated the gym and the day care that was on the center space, behind the historic structure, and we've lowered the structure or the element that connects to the roof in that location, as well.

This next view, we're on Ponce de Leon, as well. We're looking back into the project, but we're beyond where the former -- we're south of where the former hotel drop off was, looking into the project, and that's this view here.

So one of the connections to the hotel drop off, as well as to the retail spaces and paseos is through this space off of Ponce de Leon.

The next view is this new hotel drop off that we've been talking about, and the view I'm showing you is actually coming straight from kind of where this car is shown in the illustration, looking back at the hotel entrance, which is here, and you'll see retail spaces and activity occurring around this drop off.

So vehicular drop off, entering, coming in and around, valet ramps that take you down in this location, to the parking below, and the view of that is here.

We're rendering it in a different form, but it's still coming straight from our three-dimensional model, so absolutely accurate, as accurate as we could possibly be, using the actual documentation that we do to produce the final construction documents.

So this is the hotel drop off, circular vehicular porte cochere, with the major hotel drop off -- or, sorry, canopy, that allows for protection of hotel guests as they enter and

exit the hotel. That entry is here.

And then one last view, that's new for the presentation, is looking from that office liner that we created on Palermo, looking back at the historic building and looking on to the retail and hotel that occurs on the third level.

If you'll remember, our ballroom is up here, and we'll actually be able to walk out onto open space, cross over a bridge that's here, and guests, as well as the public -- guests of the hotel, as well as the public, will be able to access the public garden that is on the top of the retail space.

So it's gone from a roof of a fourth level, to a roof of the second level, for that public park location, and this is the ceremonial staircase that allows everyone to get up to that upper level garden area.

This is just an illustrative floor plan of the latest roof areas. The entire roof continues to be green roof, anything over a thousand square feet is a green roof, and then the larger roofs, that are accessed by either the public or by residents of the project or guests of the hotel, are able to use the green

spaces that are shown in this diagram.

And then there was some conversation or a quick point out about open spaces. This is the diagram we're including in the package. It's different from, I think, what we've included in your package, because it combines what's on the ground floor, as well as what's on the upper floors, and shows you all of the green space or open space that's being provided.

So our total open space across the site is 171,000 square feet, within our property. That includes 117,000 square feet on the rooftop and 54,000 square feet on the ground -- the ground area.

So we have 19 percent of our open spaces being provided -- or 19 percent of our site area is open space on the ground floor, and we're over 40 percent, when you calculate and include the rooftop open space that were being provided, and as you know, Code allows us to do that, including rooftop, as well as ground floor space.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Can we discuss that?

MR. FREED: Sure. Of course.

Just one more thing to note, just so you

understand all of these numbers that's on here, please, a total open space, when we include on and off-site, so when you look from curb line to curb line, we're actually providing 272,000 square feet of open space that we're designing and embellishing with features and amenities.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: First off, I commend you and the Developer for, you know, taking the task on to make sure that this project is leed. You're really setting the tone for the rest of the City and all of the developers that are going to be forthcoming and hopefully doing projects in our City, so I commend you for really taking those steps, which are essential, you know, to really keep what we do in the City at a really high level.

My question to you is, and maybe this is for Ramon, you put 19 percent; wasn't the ground floor requirement 20 percent?

MR. FREED: It's not a ground floor requirement. It's a total open space requirement and the Code --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Open air. Is it 19 or 20?

MR. FREED: It's 20 percent, and the Code

allows you to use at grade, as well as above

1 grade rooftops.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. My other question for you was the issue of -- I remember the Developer had mentioned or had proffered the opportunity of potentially using an adjacent lot, which is existing, for an open park.

MR. FREED: Correct.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I think it was like to close -- between five to eight thousand, and I think that we were going to discuss with the neighbors whether the neighbors actually wanted an open area park adjacent to their homes.

MR. FREED: What we've included in this calculation, and you can see it in the diagrams, are the open spaces here and here, adjacent to Coconut Grove Drive, right on Malaga, that are currently part of this project, owned by the client and included in this calculation.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: No, I know. Those are entrance features, but there was an actual parcel of land, which was an empty parcel, from what I understand -- I mean --

COMMISSIONER KEON: Where was that parcel?

1 2 3 something. 4 COMMISSIONER LAGO: No. 5 6 7 8 9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

I'm trying to remember where it was.

MAYOR CASON: It's like a fountain or

No. Actually, it was an empty piece of land that I think -- I think what was mentioned --

MR. TRIAS: Are you referring to a right-of-way that was requested with the plans?

COMMISSIONER LAGO: No, it was an empty lot which existed, which was mentioned by the Developer as potential. Again, it wasn't guaranteed, it was a potential opportunity to increase the open area for the residents. Again, but it wasn't finalized, it was in discussion, and we were going to find out if the residents even wanted an open space.

MR. AVILA: If I may, that discussion was at a time that we were looking at the overall project. When we scaled back the project, we also had not included those two existing sculptures and fountains that are there in the open space, which are now included. We are not proposing to provide that, for many reasons, including the neighbors.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. So we've had a

thorough discussion with the neighbors in reference to -- because I know that there was another problem. I spoke to several neighbors and they told me that they had certain issues with people congregating in the area and that maybe that park would become more of a nuisance

MR. AVILA: That is correct, and, also, there's the potential use for the lot for a single family home on it.

than an actual benefit.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. Thank you.

MR. FREED: This diagram, again, is just repeating our building heights and setbacks.

Of note, in particular, is the elimination of the middle residential building that was here on the corner of Palermo -- or on Palermo, and then we've also eliminated the cinema, as has been discussed, so our heights have gone from 116 feet for the height of the cinema, down to 91 and a half feet for the top of the mass here, underneath what was the cinema.

The other heights have not changed. We're still proposing that a little over 5,000 square feet, this darker footprint, is for a two-story restaurant that occurs on the top of the hotel,

that's that darker color here, and then the other intense red colors are the other elements that are at the 190.5 height limit for high-rise structures in the City.

1.0

2.1

And then this diagram also highlights just what we've changed, as well. Ramon has shown this previously. This residential tower is gone. We've eliminated the cinema in this area of the plan, and we've also reduced, by two stories, the height of the building directly behind the historic structure.

This section is showing how the parking works. It was mentioned that we have still two levels below grade, but the second level below grade is not a full level anymore, and we're adding an additional level of parking, in order to get to the required parking of -- and get into account the 2,712 spaces, not counting the 30 spaces that are including in the townhomes.

This shows the 55 spaces that we're providing on-street, and our valet operations have been modified based on the entrance for the hotel moving to Malaga. So we're providing valet here, in this location, for the hotel operations. They have access to a ramp

right -- directly adjacent to that drop off
that's located here, and the other two valet
positions are similar. We've moved the Palermo
valet a little bit farther east, to allow more
breathing room around the historic structure,
and the Sevilla valet station is remaining in
that --

MAYOR CASON: Can you discuss how that valet is going to work? Are you going to come into that circle, and if you're driving your car, you're going to be directed to go downstairs or is it a combination you could get off there --

MR. FREED: There's multiple options, if you come as a guest. We're guaranteeing, as events over a certain size, that publications will be put out that there's multiple locations to drop off your car.

If you come without seeing that notice and you simply want to drop off at the hotel, you will enter from the hotel entrance, which is here on Malaga, and for larger events, we'll be signing folks and mitigating issues with any drop off backup by telling people to immediately go down for valet or for self park.

They can do that all by going down this ramp right here.

We will allow the main drop off and valet to be occurring 90 percent of the time at the main door for the hotel. So I believe it's seven spaces that are provided here, in front of the hotel. You pull up to that valet, get your car, and you simply walk into the hotel or go to shop, as you might.

MAYOR CASON: Are you going to have any kind of an elevator or anything, so that the valet attendants can get back up quickly?

MR. FREED: Correct. We also provide stairs immediately for valet, so those are organized in the plan, that allow them to go —it's the blue dot that's shown here, Mr. Mayor, that allows those valet folks to go immediately up and down to the configuration.

We're also adding something on the below grade level for additional valet staff, for those folks that we're saying, "Okay, we're backing up a little bit, we want you to get downstairs as soon as possible," there's another valet option down there and I'll show you that. I think it's the next level.

So if you do elect to kind of drive down into the garage, because you don't want to pull around the hotel drop off, you're able to come down the ramp here, and there's a valet drop off here, at the B-1 level. You would leave your car here, and that takes you into hotel elevators, that get you right up into the main levels of the hotel. VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So I have a number of

questions related to this. I don't know if --

MR. FREED: Please.

1

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Do you want me to let you finish or --

MR. FREED: No. No. No, please.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Because I think it involves Tim Plummer and Kimley-Horn, as well, and I'm actually going to grab that microphone, because I want to walk up to the diagram to make it a little bit easier to understand.

Thank you.

All right. So just to make sure I understand this correctly -- and I know the last time, at the first reading, I believe it was Tim Plummer that said that we don't plan for traffic in the worst case scenario

situation, but that's what -- we always think

about, that's when we get the concerns, that's

what we want to address.

So when this 500-seat ballroom is having an event, obviously the main entrance for any visitor that's coming to that event, any guests, I'm assuming it's going to be coming off of the Ponce, coming into the valet, through here, and I asked Staff this when we were prepping for today's meeting, I guess my question is, how many cars can we stack coming in? So I'm assuming the drop off is going to be right up here. That's where you're going to be getting off for valet for the event.

MR. FREED: Correct.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So if it's a 500-seat, that means, if it wasn't a seating event, you can have up to what, 800 people, 700 people?

I'm guessing. I don't know what the answer is.

MR. FREED: We're sitting 500, so that's approximately the -- well, for seated, correct. Yes, you can get up to -- for a standing event, you can get to 800, approximately.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. So assuming that amount of cars coming into that location,

4 5

I guess -- let's say the event starts at six o'clock, you know. Does that mean from 5:30 to 7:00, are we going to have cars spilling off into Ponce or stacking up around the corner, which is the basis for my question?

And you briefly went into it, but I just want to be a hundred percent clear on this, because, for me, this is the biggest issue. I don't want stacking occurring on Ponce, so all of the sudden we have traffic congestion on Ponce or cars spilling off into the residential neighborhood.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Mr. Vice Mayor, if I may ask you a question while you're over there. Right across from the entrance from the hotel -- yeah, right there -- is an alley right behind Christy's Restaurant.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yeah. It's somewhere back -- yeah. Yeah, right here.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yeah, Christy's is right there on the corner, across the street, and from the looks of the diagram so far, the alley is right across that, that perhaps traffic will come in on the block before and line up in that alley to get into the valet.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: It's possible, and that's a great question to add to this.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I mean, that's an intrusion for the neighbors.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: My question is, that stacking, the cars that are sitting waiting to drop off their car or to go into the parking, will the stacking occur around here or is it going to go in? How will that be addressed?

MR. FREED: So what we've designed is -well, One, I want to note that it's a 184-key
hotel, with a 5,000 square foot ballroom, not a
major hotel facility, and in my 26 years, I've
never designed a hotel drop off that had the
potential for this amount of cueing for drop
off at its front door, but that being the
case --

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Hold on. Let me stop you right there.

So what is that number? How many cars can we stack or cue from this point coming out? Is it five cars, is it ten, is it twenty?

MR. FREED: I would approximate it's about twenty-five cars, because it's actually two lanes that we can do from -- well, we could

1 continue to stack right up to here, the whole way around the circle, and possibly the whole way to Malaga, but I just want to reiterate that even if we get to that point, we have the immediate ability to cut off that access to the hotel and ask everyone to either go up or down

into this parking facility.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Which I'm assuming the stacking is endless once you're going into the parking. Is that a correct assumption?

MR. FREED: Say that -- I'm sorry?

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I said, if you are diverting cars into the parking garage, let's say, because they're anticipating a lot of vehicles coming into the event and the management of the building or the hotel diverts all of the valet cars and all of the residents or anyone who is a hotel guest, diverting into the parking garage --

MR. FREED: Correct.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: -- am I correct to assume that there's an endless amount of stacking, so we will not have a traffic congestion event on Ponce or the residential neighborhoods; is that correct?

8

2

3

5

6

7

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 MR. FREED: That's correct. So you could 2 imagine that because we've added this valet 3 station below, at the B-1 level, you could stack the whole along this area and the whole 4 5 way up the ramp. 6 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. Wait. Go back 7 one. Okay. Go to the next one you just had. 8 So you would come down, I'm assuming the 9 ingress --10 MR. FREED: Right here is the ramp. 11 Right here is the ramp down from above. 12 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So I'm assuming I'm on 13 the ground floor level. So as soon as I come 14 in here -- go to the next slide -- I'm coming 15 out here and we're stacking internally? 16 MR. FREED: It actually aligns right here. 17 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Right here, okay. 18 So then the stacking occurs -- okay. 19 This parking lot, in that garage, it's 20 going to be obviously for valet parking, as 21 well as hotel guests, as well as residential; 22 is that correct? 23 MR. FREED: Primarily we see commercial use 24 and hotel. VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Commercial and the hotel. 25

1 MR. FREED: The residential will be 2 valet. VICE MAYOR QUESADA: 7 9 10 MR. FREED: 11 12 13 14 shared parking. 15 16 17 understanding, correct? 18 MR. FREED: Correct, exactly. 19 COMMISSIONER LAGO: 20 21 know, parking plan that we have. 22 23

24

25

upstairs and we only have 60 spaces downstairs in this B-1 level that have to be used for The rest are available for self park.

Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: During a big event, would you be able to anticipate more cars coming in and take more of the parking away from the commercial and block it off for the event?

So part of our shared parking analysis is that we wouldn't be isolating hotel self parkers from retail self parkers. So they mingle and that's the whole beauty of the

COMMISSIONER LAGO: It's just the ability to maximize the available spaces, that's my

Which is something which doesn't happen currently with the, you

Let me just ask you a quick question. what I remember, we had discussed that the Developer was going to have a minimum requirement in reference to available valet

staff. Is that in the Development Agreement or did we discuss that? I mean, just refresh my memory again, and I think that was probably like three meetings ago.

COMMISSIONER KEON: It is in the Development Agreement, yeah.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We're required to provide a valet operations plan, which is going to be part of the Development Agreement, and it requires a certain amount of staffing that their traffic engineer feels comfortable is going to be sufficient.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Which, as we mentioned before, which the Vice Mayor so eloquently stated, you know, this is something where it's going to be influx, it's not static. We're going to be judging this every year over the next five years to make sure that we provide the necessary -- you know, reduce stacking, if there is stacking, if we need more valet, we can add more valet.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, yeah, the Development Agreement --

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Before we get the building permit, we have to establish that, and

1 even after, you know, we get our CO -- excuse 2 me, after we get our CO, we still have to 3 establish that it's sufficient valet services 4 to address that. 5 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Along with the 6 management of traffic, also, besides that. 7 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right, but it's 8 addressed in the Development Agreement as to 9 how often and when they have to re-assess all 10 of those things, anyway. 11 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: And the Parking Director 12 has to approve it himself. 13 COMMISSIONER LAGO: On a yearly basis, over 14 five years. 15 COMMISSIONER KEON: Yeah. 16 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. 17 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: If you can, then let's 18 go back to the site plan, just to sum up what 19 we're doing with the valet. You know, 20 sometimes --21 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Can I ask you just one 22 last question? I'm sorry, Mario, I apologize. 23 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. 24 COMMISSIONER LAGO: When you talk about the 25 ramp for valet, the designated ramp, I just

1 want to make sure, that's only for valet use, 2 correct? MR FREED: Completely isolated here and 4 only usable by the valet staff, ever, a hundred percent of the time. 5 6 COMMISSIONER LAGO: No, because that's essential. MAYOR CASON: That's great. 9 MR. FREED: And that's a new addition. 10 didn't have that in the original. 11 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Have you seen any other 12 buildings provide that type of just designated 13 valet ramp? 14 MR. FREED: It's not unusual for a hotel, a 15 larger scale hotel than this one, but it's not 16 unusual for a large hotel to have dedicated 17 valet ramps. 18 COMMISSIONER KEON: Yeah. 19 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. 20 COMMISSIONER KEON: It seems to me, from 21 what we've read in the presentation and the 22 discussion we had with Staff prior to the 23 meeting, that the only big difference I see 24 between what you have submitted and what Staff 25 has recommended deals with the traffic related

1 to the hotel and the residential building. Ιs 2 that right? Is that the only difference? 3 MR. FREED: Correct. The Staff recommends --COMMISSIONER KEON: And everything that's 5 been presented is just that one particular 6 item; is that right? 7 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. Remember when 8 I mentioned that there were a couple of issues 9 that we didn't agree a hundred percent on, 10 that's one. 11 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. What is the other? 12 MR. TRIAS: Let me address the 13 Commissioner's --14 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: The other one was the 15 discussion on the height. 16 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Ramon. 17 MR. TRIAS: Yeah, that is correct. That is 18 correct. 19 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. I just wanted to 20 know if there was anything else besides that. 21 Was there any other issue, besides this, where 22 there is a difference between the 23 recommendation from the Staff and what the 24 Applicant has proposed? 25 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: This is really the only

1 one. COMMISSIONER KEON: This is really the only one? Okay. So will we wait and listen to the --5 I have some pretty strong feelings on this, 6 So I don't know if -- would you prefer 7 to wait, Mayor, until everybody does all of the traffic related things --9 MAYOR CASON: Yeah, I would prefer to 10 finish your presentation. 11 COMMISSIONER KEON: -- and then we'll talk 12 about that and maybe we can deal with all of 13 the other issues and then we'll come back to 14 that one? 15 MAYOR CASON: That's good. 16 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. 17 Thank you. COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. 18 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Let me just point out 19 one thing, to make it crystal clear on how the 20 valet is going to be handled. 21 22

23

24

25

Let's say it's a doomsday scenario,

fourteen years from now, my daughter is having

her sweet fifteen or sweet sixteen's party and

everybody in town is invited, how are we going

to handle the valet?

So the valet drop off for the hotel is right here. As was mentioned, about 25 car spaces worth of stacking here. Once that starts filling up, if we need to, we start going down into the parking garage, where there is almost a limitless amount of stacking that we could do there with cars, utilizing, at that point in time, as Commissioner Lago mentioned, the dedicated ramp for valet.

This ramp right here is only for valet, to operate on those very busy occasions when they need to get up and out of the parking garage quickly.

Let' say, even in that scenario, it's backing up there; there's, again, another drop off point that could be right here for valet, where you could be directing cars so that they drop off cars there, take it into one of the other entrances of the parking garage, and then people walk over to the entrance of the hotel, which then concerns what's happening here, Commissioner Keon, which we will leave for after we wrap everything up.

COMMISSIONER KEON: We can have that discussion.

Can you show me where, if the people in the residential tower decide that they're not going to valet, they would prefer to self park and take whatever elevator upstairs, how do they access the garage?

MR. FREED: So there's two residential

MR. FREED: So there's two residential buildings.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right.

MR. FREED: I'm assuming you're speaking to not just the townhomes, and so they have access -- the south tower has access off of Malaga, here, and they would enter -- they could take a right immediately and go up the ramp that's located right here, that gets them into the higher levels of parking immediately adjacent to the residential building.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. So if you wanted to park yourself, you would enter on Malaga there and immediately turn to the right?

MR. FREED: If I was living in the south building, I would enter there.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right.

MR. FREED: If I was living in the north building, there's access here off of Sevilla, as well as here, off of Palermo.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. Okay. It was more the -- you know, this residential -- the south residential area and its proximity to the hotel. That was something I asked about.

MR. FREED: Correct, if you choose to self park. You would still have the option to valet.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. I just didn't see how you self parked on there.

Okay. Fine. Thank you.

MR. FREED: So below grade, we've mentioned the valet operation that's been added since the last time we spoke.

Public benefits are still encompassing the same areas that we spoke about the last time.

This is a breakdown of those public benefits, by each individual area, and still a dramatic public benefit of 27 million dollars being provided by our Developer.

On the trolley enhancements, which including 16 million dollars worth of enhancements, the idea there or the proposal is that we would add an additional loop. So there's a red line, which everyone is familiar with, shown here in the graphic, that runs from

the Douglas station up to Flagler, and we're adding this blue loop, which accesses our site here, in this location, and goes around Coral Gables, and the loop shown.

2.5

In the end, it's up to the City and the departments and you all to determine how that money is used and whether or not it's a second loop or it's additional trolleys or as it may be.

MAYOR CASON: I have a question. When would the trolleys -- when would you purchase the four trolleys? Is this after the construction is finished? Would we have the benefit of the trolleys early on to help with streetscape and some of the traffic issues there?

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Prior to issuance of the first permit for the project construction, we have to do the up-front contribution, which is about 1.4 million, if I remember correctly, which is for the acquisition of those additional trolley cars.

Then, after that, it's 600 and some thousand dollars every year, for the next 25 years, to finance continued expansion and

maintenance of the trolley service.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Excuse me, Mr.

Mayor. It may be helpful for clarification on
the Art of Public Places if you could give an
estimate of what the construction costs would
be and, therefore, what the one percent would
be, which is a requirement of the building
process. The 2.7, 2.6 was in addition to that.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Is an addition.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We're estimating construction costs at around 500 million. One percent of that would be five million, which this project is committed to do for Art in Public Places.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Can I ask? Can you clarify that for me with the Art in Public Places? The contribution is a dollar contribution; is that right?

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Well, it's factored out as a dollar contribution. The amount is five million. It can be, pursuant to the City's Art in Public Places Ordinance, either a cash contribution or an incorporation of art, which you approve, into the project, or a combination

1 of those two.

1.5

COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, that's what I want to know. Are the fountains that you have planned that are there to enhance the quality of your project and those types of amenities, are they considered part of the contribution to the Art in Public Places? Will the value of those fountains and other amenities be subtracted from that five million?

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: No. For this project, no. This project, whatever you're seeing on the site plan today is going to be part of the project, part of that initial construction cost.

COMMISSIONER KEON: That is part of the project and it's not part of the contribution for the Art in Public Places?

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: The Art in Public Places Ordinance is very specific about what can qualify for legitimate art. That's an amenity to the project, but it's not art. Cindy Birdsill can answer questions, if you have them.

COMMISSIONER KEON: No. I think what has

come up before and people have asked about is, you know, in a lot of instances, they've used other decorative elements on the buildings themselves, that are really there to enhance the building and the quality of the building and to support probably the price point that they're looking at, particularly in residential buildings, and that is considered their contribution to the Art in Public Places.

So it's always, you know, a question for us, and I think often for the public, when we talk about Art in Public Places is, is that really just a design element in the project or is it really something that's given over and above what is designed into the project, to make the project a very attractive project, that achieves the price point that the Developer is looking to achieve and probably would have been there anyway.

So it isn't that it's providing additional dollars for maybe sculptures or other amenities that we may see placed in public areas or along our streets or just the way things are treated in the public realm, as opposed to the building itself, because it's my understanding that the

purpose of the Art in Public Places Ordinances were really to provide a fund to provide public art.

MS. BIRDSILL: Yes, Commissioner, that's correct. That is correct. The distinguishing factor, if you're going to have an integrated art piece, which is something that maybe you take an architectural element and enhance it, the difference is, it has to be a recognized artist, who does that, who is not on the architectural team. It has to be somebody that comes in with their own vision that they bring to it as an art piece.

COMMISSIONER KEON: All right. I mean, I have seen buildings where -- you know, and it's not part -- in other places and in other cities, where developers may be a patron of a particular artist and may bring that artist to enhance the development, because of the beauty and elegance or whatever it may achieve with that building, and it's really because it then helps the developer get to the price point that they want for the units that they are selling.

So, you know, I understand that, and I think it is appropriate in some instances to

have them integrated, but I also don't want to see the amount that is available for art, maybe in our public plazas and those types of things, diminished because of that.

So it spells it out very clearly. I still think we need to be very careful.

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: The Ordinance is very clear. There is an Arts Advisory Panel. The recommendations will come back to you. We used an example before of a Chihuly ceiling in the lobby, where all could access it.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right.

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: It is not for -the patron artist example that you used would
have to be acceptable to the Arts Advisory
Panel, would have to be acceptable to our
review and then also ultimately acceptable to
you.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. What I'm asking you is, does a Developer place the Chihuly ceiling in his building, because it is an amenity that differentiates his building or her building from someone else's building and then, you know, really it enhances the marketability and the price point for their units, as opposed

1 to, you know, would they have done it anyway, and I think that's the issue, would they have 3 done it anyway?

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

. 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I mean, I've seen enough buildings and enough condos I know through a lot of major cities, in Chicago, in New York -- I mean, there is a building down in the Meat Packing District in New York -- I can't remember the name of it, but Tom Patti, who is a very, very famous glass artist, the -- you know, there's a number of glass pieces built into the outside of the building, and in the lobby and whatever, there are huge numbers of his glass that are absolutely beautiful. He happens to be a patron and it's a building that sells at a very high price point.

So I don't think that it's a matter of, the City of New York is not asking him to do that, to enhance those things, but it's done just, you know, for the Developer.

I really want to make sure we don't dilute the amount of money available for public art. That's all I'm asking you.

MS. BIRDSILL: Another point, Commissioner, is that, yes, art can be a distinguishing

factor for a project and give it an identity, which we think is great, but the art that's in our program has to impact the public realm. has to be available 24/7, and it has to enhance the experience for the public. It can't just be solely for the people who are living in the building. COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. Thank you. MR. LEEN: Commissioner, one point I wanted to make is, they are making an additional contribution, and it's been lowered a little bit, and I think that you can consider the fact that, you know, these amenities that exist, you can consider that in determining that the 2.7 million is sufficient. the 2.7 million is an additional gift.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, but, you know, a gift, and we're very grateful for the gift.

MR. LEEN: We don't view it as a gift.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Whatever -- or it's been negotiated and it's proffered by the Developer. That's a gift.

The requirement is the one percent.

MR. LEEN: Yes.

All I'm concerned about COMMISSIONER KEON:

16 17

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

or addressing at this moment is that one 'percent, and how that one percent is used, but you have said that -- I mean, I can see, there appears to be a fountain in front of your entrance to your drop off to your hotel, and those are the sorts of things. Those are just design elements that are already included and not part of the Art in Public Places. That's all I asked. That's okay.

14.

MR. FREED: Correct. As Cindy mentioned, it's only if an artist was doing something with that fountain, adding a piece of sculpture to it, adding a tile mosaic to it, that that portion -- portion --

COMMISSIONER KEON: That it may at that point change. Okay. No, that's all. Thank you.

MR. FREED: Okay. So the next diagram is just reminding everyone of all of the neighborhood and streetscape enhancements that we're proffering today. It includes both sides of Ponce de Leon, from Sevilla to Coral Way, Miracle Mile, and it also includes the east neighborhood, the south neighborhood, as well as the west neighborhood.

MAYOR CASON: I have a question. Is there an established neighborhood group with which you can have these discussions or are there individuals who -- I mean, have they formed an association that you can get some kind of a definitive response to the future improvements?

MR. FREED: I don't want to speak for those neighbors, but to my knowledge the tightest neighborhood is the neighborhood to the east, as far as the people that we've been able to meet with on a regular basis. There are certain individuals on the west, as well as the south, that we have been able to speak to.

I think it's our job probably to reach out to all of them as we move forward and if they're coalesced, to try to coalesce them in a group that we're able to get a majority ruling from.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Quick answer, Coconut

Drive is organized. They do have a committee

that we've been in contact with. The other

neighborhoods, which let's characterize as the

east, other than Coconut Grove Drive; Santander

and San Sebastian to the south and the west

neighborhood over there between -- yeah,

Catalonia and Malaga, between Salcedo and Le

Jeune, while they don't have organized

neighborhood associations, they do have certain

lead contact persons, who have been the persons

that, you know, we talk to, we tell them, "Hey,

we need to get the neighbors together," we get

the neighbors together and we talk.

So there is a pretty good level of organization, sufficient for us to be able to engage with them and be able to organize meetings and so forth, and then Ponce generally has been --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Mario, like I mentioned before, you know, I've had several meetings with the neighbors. As a matter of fact, I had one a few moments ago when I stepped out, and the neighbors, their main concern is that they want to be a part of everything that happens, step by step.

They have full faith in the Developer and in the City, but they want to make sure that they don't wait for the actual features, the design features, until the end of the project, three or four years from now.

They understand, and I made it very clear

to them, I go, "Listen, if we make the repairs to the streets now, they probably won't last due to the construction," but maybe we need to take into account that if there's any entrance features, like the rock wall that we had discussed, that those things can be done now, because these neighbors — and, again, at no fault to the Developer, these neighbors have waited close to ten years, and it gets to the point where the property has been abandoned and they've been dealing with a lot, and, you know, these are just minor things that could really help the cause.

MR. TRIAS: Commissioner, the recommendation from Staff is that that is a City-driven process, the improvements in the neighborhoods, and that it includes extensive public input. So that is what we're recommending.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: So it's a contribution from the Developer.

MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: The City will move forward with the design --

MR. TRIAS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: -- with the input of the neighbors, and then the City will do an RFP for -- excuse me, for a contractor to come in and implement the design features.

MR. TRIAS: Whatever the Commission wants to do, that's what needs to happen. It's completely under the control of the City.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Even the actual street improvements itself, the paving, the cobblestone, whatever is going to be implemented?

MR. TRIAS: Yes. That is a recommendation.

MAYOR CASON: You contribute the money and
we handle it.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Well, let me spell out what's provided for right now. We can talk further about it. Prior to issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy, everything has to be in place. So all of those improvements have been in place.

I think one point that Commissioner Lago brings up, which is reasonable, if we can do something even further ahead of time, that's not going to be complicated by construction, who knows, some sort of entry feature or

something like --

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Listen, I don't want to hinder -- the last thing I want -- I'm in this business, we build some sort of, you know, cobblestone feature and the trucks are going over it, it's construction, it's going to happen. This is a major project. So we have to be amicable to what the Developer is doing, along with whatever contract that they choose. We need to be realistic, maybe is the correct word, not amicable, realistic, to what's going to happen in that area, but there are certain things that are not going to be affected, where you're not going to have trucks driving over sidewalks, where you're not going to have trucks -- you know, there's a supposed -- I had gone over with Mr. Adams a design in reference to an actual coral wall that was supposed to be installed. I think it's about a knee wall --

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: -- which is more of a -- it's not really a security issue, it's more of a design feature; also some potential entrance features on Malaga, Coconut Grove Drive and Palermo.

So I think those features can be installed now, along with that coral walk wall. Maybe we can wait until the end of the project, when everything has been completed, to really do the cobblestone streets or the asphalting, but I think that we can move forward and at least help some of the residents, who have been waiting for such a long time.

That's my understanding of what the residents are requesting. I haven't gone through the entire Development Agreement, so I don't know when the monies will be provided.

MR: GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. You know, basically what you've spelled out is fine with us. Assuming that the project is approved today, we start the collaborative effort with the City, helping provide guidance and organization as to working with the neighbors and deciding what the design is going to be.

Once we have that design agreed upon with the neighbors and with the City and with everyone else, it's then when we would start the process, of course, of scoping out the work and getting bids for it and so forth.

Right now we are the ones with the

responsibility of actually doing the work,

which I think, in a way, is better, as far as

getting it done and getting it done fast, you

know. Not meaning to be offensive here or

anything like that, but you're familiar with

6 the City's procurement process.

that you design it hand in hand with the residents, along with the City, obviously, and Ramon will be there for a design perspective, and Mr. Adams, who I think is a consultant: -- who lives in the neighborhood and also is a consultant for the Developer, you know, we have all parties together, everybody comes to consensus in regards to what the City wants and what the residents want and we build it.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I want to get started on this immediately. I mean, the residents have been waiting ten years for this. I mean, this is a big, you know, overture on behalf of the Developer, where we can really take a step forward, because once you start cleaning up that site, it's going to very important for the entire CBD, Downtown and the City as a whole.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. And then once we have that plan approved, we do what we can ahead of time, without it having to interfere with the construction. Stuff that would be damaged by potential construction activity, we leave towards the end.

COMMISSIONER KEON: But once, you know, you have a staging plan for development and how you're going to bring trucks in and where you're going to store things and how you're going to manage traffic around the site, you can then look at, you know, what streets are maybe not as affected and maybe begin some work at that end, but you need a staging plan and everything before you can really do that.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Ramon, while we're talking about streets, I really think it behooves the whole project -- I'm really glad that you have the west, from Le Jeune Road over, because Malaga will probably now become the most frequently traveled street from Le Jeune, with taxis and so forth coming in from the airport for the hotel and the hotel entrances over there, it's a very open, non-landscaped street coming in from Le Jeune

Road, versus the four and five hundred blocks of Malaga, which are really beautiful, because of the trees.

That should really be a focal point, when you're coming in as a direct route from Le

Jeune Road, and start on that project -- Ramon, if we're starting to do that, because that's very open, all concrete sidewalks, very few trees, and it's just a very open, plain, nondescript street, which will greatly enhance the property values of those owners that live over there, despite the additional traffic.

MR. TRIAS: Let me clarify a distinction in terms of the off-site improvements. There are few off-site improvements that are design, which are the ones on Malaga, that deal with the traffic flows. They're very small. Those, I think, we can have a very clear time line in terms of implementation.

Then we have the more general improvements in the west neighborhoods and the east neighborhoods. Those are the ones that require a City process. So I think we may want to clarify -- we may want to have language that makes that very clear in the Development

Agreement.

I think right now it's a little bit ambiguous, because there's a few things that you need to do -- a few things you need to do, because of the traffic impacts.

In addition to that, there's the contribution to the enhancement of the appearance of the neighborhoods and so on, which needs to be discussed with the neighbors.

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: And that's listed in this Exhibit H. There is a very elegant plan for improvements to the surrounding neighborhoods and we would have a public meeting and neighborhood meeting to share that with the community and get that feedback, but the trees on Malaga -- I mean, if you look at, on the Development Agreement, and I know it's an extensive agreement, Pages 40 to -- the entire Exhibit H outlines the different neighborhood enhancements, separate from this project, the Commission -- the concept was that they would provide the dollars and the City would be responsible for making those improvements after public conversation.

MR. TRIAS: Right.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Let me ask you a quick question. You want to have the monies on our side -- is that the issue -- potentially to see how far we can stretch the money and maybe we can do other projects? I'm just concerned about the actual time frame for commencement of these improvements, and, I mean, that's a serious concern for me.

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: I understand. I think the real driver is a strong commitment to working with the neighborhood as to what those improvements are, which ones are acceptable to them, and how do we implement them, at what time in the construction and the staging process.

So it wasn't a matter of us not, you know, trusting the Developer.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: No. No. Not even -MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: These are for the
neighborhood around it, and we want to make
sure that we're truly meeting what the
neighborhood's expectations are.

It's been costed out. We know it's a significant amount of money, that will greatly benefit, but we want the feedback and the

involvement of the neighborhood.

want you to take into consideration, and then I won't speak any further in regards to this, is the issue of construction costs are going up.

They go up every year. The longer we wait, we've priced these costs out today — usually, in contracts, when it comes to construction design, they put a ceiling — Frank can tell you — six months is usually the cap in reference to when someone will honor a price.

Sometimes it's even on a month to month basis, due to the cost of raw materials.

So what I'm afraid of -- again, this has nothing to do with the Developer, this has to do with us, it has to do with the time: frame for delivering this to the residents. I'm just very concerned that if it takes us, let's say, a year and a half or a year to design this, run this through the City, you know, have public input, everybody build consensus, and then we have an issue where, well, you know, we're ten percent short, we're five percent short, we're not going to go back to the Developer and say, "Listen, give us ten percent." You know, that

was our issue.

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Correct.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Or we could move a little -- as Mario had mentioned before, at no fault to the City, but the private sector has been known to move a little quicker than the public sector. So I just want to make sure that we keep that in the back of our minds, that costs are escalating and I want to make sure we can deliver that as soon as possible.

MAYOR CASON: Go ahead. Continue. Thank you.

MR. FREED: The last portion of our presentation today are the project renderings. They repeats of what you've seen previously, and what we've added to them are duplications of the pre-existing renderings, with all of the new enhancements or revisions that we've made.

So we always start out with what you saw at the first reading, and that's this view from the circle, Ponce de Leon Drive in front of us, looking down Coconut Grove Drive, and then the changes.

So the changes are quite dramatic, lowering the tower and the height of the structure behind the historic structure to that position,

and also setting back the hotel slightly on Ponce de Leon to give some outdoor space at the second level, the lobby level of the hotel.

That shows it set back. You see how more of the residential building is now in view, when you do that, as well.

The next view is closer up on the part of the project at the Arts Center Building, and so this is what we showed you last time, and the revisions are even more dramatic, with the elimination of this building, the residential building on Palermo, as well as the height that I talked about directly behind the historic structure.

The next view is further up on Ponce de Leon, looking back at the circle on the right, hotel, office building and residential. The revisions here are less dramatic. It's primarily the set back of this building, of the hotel building, above the two levels of retail.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Could I ask you a quick reference, in reference to the building that was removed? I think that building abuts to Galiano, correct?

MR. AVILA: Yes.

1 MR. FREED: It's directly on Palermo, and 2 the alley that we're creating, which runs 3 parallel to Galiano. COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. With the removal 5 of that building -- so that will remove any 6 potential shading on the neighborhood, correct? 7 MR. FREED: Correct. Correct. 8 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I just want to make 9 sure, because that was one of the main points. 10 MR. FREED: That, as well as the 11 elimination of the cinema. 12 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yeah, and the stepping 13 down, obviously. 14 COMMISSIONER KEON: It's stepped back. 15 MR. FREED: Well, the cinema has been 16 removed since the last time we met, as well. 17 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I want to make sure we 18 put that on the record, because that was a big 19 concession by the Developer and it was a big 20 issue for the neighbors. MR. FREED: It was 190 feet, which has been 21 22 reduced down to 91 feet. 23 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Perfect. Thank you. 24 MR. FREED: So here, looking back, this is 25 Galiano here, with the townhomes in the

foreground, and this is what you saw the last time, the revisions. So there you see the elimination of the cinema, as well as the elimination of the Palermo residential building.

This is on Galiano and Malaga. We're looking towards -- actually, this is on Malaga. So as it turns on Malaga, it goes towards Coconut Grove Drive -- this is what you saw the last time, and these are revisions, and the height reduction with the cinema being taken out.

Similar view, but looking in the other direction on Malaga, looking back at Coconut Grove Drive and the new heights.

So then this is kind of coming up
University Drive, less dramatic, primarily the
set back of the hotel building.

On Ponce de Leon -- so this is a view that we changed for this presentation. This is what you saw the last time, and this is the new view. We just wanted to highlight kind of the view into the paseo and how that is different, and the way that the building used to be, it used to go straight up from the property line,

for the hotel tower, and now has been set back at this point, with an open terrace at that level.

And then our aerial views, this is what you saw the last time, residential building, cinema; and then the elimination of that residential building is the largest thing you can see from this vantage point.

And then looking at it hovering over

Coconut Grove Drive, from the southeast, what

you saw previously, with this residential

building here eliminated -- so this is probably

the most dramatic on the impact or improvement

of the project and how it relates to the east

neighborhood.

That: concludes our presentation.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: It doesn't conclude it completely. There was just one or two other issues which I wanted to discuss. You remember, we kept on talking about the one issue where we're not in a hundred percent agreement with City Staff, and it has to do with the hotel drop off issue and pedestrian issues.

If I might, I will approach the screen.

2.0

1 MR. TRIAS: We have some language that 2 deals with the discussion of the public 3 improvements, that Susan has drafted, and we 4 could deal with that, if you don't mind. 5 That's a very simple discussion before we get 6 into --7 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Okay. 8 MR. TRIAS: -- some minor amendment, okay. 9 MAYOR CASON: Susan? 10 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Mario, while we're 11 waiting, is that the only other issue that 12 differs from Staff? 13 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: The difference between 14 us and Staff, I also wanted to discuss 15 something where there is some disagreement, 16 based on first reading, among the Commission, 17 also. 18 MR. TRIAS: Susan, I think she went to the 19 rest room. So whenever she comes back --20 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: If you don't mind, then 21 we'll carry on with this then. 22 Okay. I'll approach the screen over here 23 to better explain what the issue is. 24 the original proposal, which you'll remember,

had the hotel drop off here, off of Ponce.

If you were talking to both, our traffic engineer and your traffic engineer, they would say, from a traffic point of view, this is the best solution, as far as flow of traffic.

However, at first reading, it was clear that we wanted Ponce to be active, we wanted as much retail frontage as possible, so the solution, then, was to move that drop off from Ponce — let me see here — is that it? Is there a site plan — there we go.

Okay. To move the drop off of the hotel from Ponce, off of Malaga here, which is the current design that you have right now.

COMMISSIONER KEON: That's the Staff. Are we going to get into this before we do --

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Is there a slide that the public can participate in the viewing?

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: So then this is what the Applicant has proposed and what we're still in support of. The hotel drop off here, and this being a sort of pedestrian area.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Mario, I apologize.

If you could speak a little louder.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure.

This is the version that the Applicant is

still in support of, which is the hotel drop off of Malaga, keeping this as a pedestrian, this sort of paseo that you see cutting through the block.

When Staff reviewed this, their issue continued to be traffic and sort of -- the traffic generation, especially considering the increased size of the ballroom, they came to the conclusion that this paseo, which previously, under our proposal, is all pedestrian, should now be permitted for vehicular traffic.

In other words, aside from having drop off points here and here, you could potentially also take the car down Coconut Grove Drive and drop off here and exit out onto Ponce.

From a traffic view point, that's what at least your traffic engineer says is important in order to control traffic flow. would submit is that we have to prioritize what we're trying to achieve with this project.

Just like we're saying that we didn't want a drop off here, we wanted retail, we have to consider the pedestrian experience also, and I would submit that having this as an open space

5

4

7

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

pedestrian area is more appropriate, more in keeping, I think, with what the overall goals of the project are, than incorporating traffic flow along this line here, which, again, I think even your traffic engineer would say would only be there for the worst case scenario.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, I think what we should keep in mind, as we look at this issue, is that traffic engineers design for cars.

They design for traffic. They have a green book — is it a green book, is that what it's referred to, their green book — that has standards and numbers and flows and whatever, and it is for traffic.

Our job is to look at this project in its entirety and how do we want to serve our community with regard to whatever proposals come before us.

I think that the Applicant has done an admirable job of activating Ponce. They have done everything we have asked to bring the retail out to Ponce and to make Ponce a great pedestrian street. I think to cut that curb on Ponce to allow for anything related to the drop

off of that hotel is a tremendous injustice to
the pedestrian experience and the urban nature
of this particular -- of this project.

I would hope that we would never cut that
curb on Ponce. There are other ways to deal

I would hope that we would never cut that curb on Ponce. There are other ways to deal with this issue, if we want to, and it's probably not until we hear from both sets of traffic engineers that we can have that discussion, but I do think we need to keep in mind that traffic engineers design for cars.

We have too long designed for just cars and for traffic and we have taken pedestrians and people and liveability and walkability out of the equation and we need to put it back in.

So I guess we'll have this discussion after each presents, is that right, or how do you want to handle it, Mr. Mayor?

MAYOR CASON: We have two sets of traffic engineers? Let's hear from them.

MR. TRIAS: We have both sets here, yes.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. So we'll hear from both and then we will have the conversation?

MAYOR CASON: We can continue the discussion on that.

24

25

COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. Can I ask if there is -- can I just go back to the issue of the hotel and the height of the hotel? I know that that was -- for some for us, that was not an issue; for others, it was an issue. are we now in this plan with the hotel?

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.

You know, we just talked about the issue where there's disagreement between us and Staff. Now we're sort of going to talk about the issue, where, based on first reading, there was a split vote on the City Commission, and that is the height.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Can I stop your for a

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Commissioner Keon, I think it just flows better if we just -- let's finish the conversation on traffic --

COMMISSIONER KEON: On traffic and then go

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: And then we'll go to whatever other issue it is --

COMMISSIONER KEON: Whatever works with you is fine with me.

1 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: -- since we've been 2 focused on it, so let's just have it. 3 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. I mean, that, to 4 me, was the other issue. So we'll take up the 5 other issue after we finish the traffic issue? 6 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I think it's just 7 cleaner. Let's have the discussion of traffic 8 and then we move to the next issue. 9 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. That's fine. 10 Fine with me. 11 MR. GARCÍA-SERRA: Do you want to hear from 12 Tim perhaps now or --COMMISSIONER KEON: Could we take a break 13 14 for like just five minutes? 15 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I'm okay with that. 16 Mr. Mayor? 17 MAYOR CASON: If it's five minutes. 18 COMMISSIONER KEON: Five minutes. 19 MAYOR CASON: Five-minute recess, and then 20 we'll have both traffic engineers -- we'll 21 conclude the discussion on that and move on. 22 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Okay. We'll be 23 back at eleven o'clock. 24 (Short recess taken.) 25 MAYOR CASON: Could everybody please have a

seat?

MS. TREVARATHEN: We need our quorum.

MAYOR CASON: We have a quorum.

MS. TREVARATHEN: Oh, yes, we do. Okay.

Susan Trevarathen, for the record, Weiss,
Serota, representing the City of Coral Gables.

Section 213 of the Development Agreement addresses the off-site improvements, and in the prior discussion, there were some new concepts that emerged that we want to make sure are captured and are clear in the Development Agreement.

At the moment, the Development Agreement calls for the Applicant to provide and build everything in a certain time frame. So we wanted to revisit that, make you aware of that. We have some alternative language. We have some input from the Applicant, to make sure that we're clear what the City's preference is and how we handle the off-site improvements.

The first concept is, as Ramon said, separating those things that are called for by the traffic study, which include those three roundabouts that are along the perimeter of the property, those things that are essential to

_

the project flow, those would remain as contemplated in Section 213, and it would be done by the Applicant by the time of the CO.

MR. TRIAS: And those are defined in the PAD site plan, so that's like a very clear document. So that's one issue.

MS. TREVARATHEN: What's shown in Exhibit H is more the neighborhood improvement, neighborhood enhancement types of off-site improvements, and what was discussed here was, rather than making that just a Developer obligation, that the money would actually be contributed to the City, and the City would run that process and construct.

So I think we would like to get a little more discussion from the Commission, if I'm correct, Madam Manager, to make sure that that is indeed your preference or perhaps a hybrid, where the Applicant can remain the one responsible to construct, but we are more clear about the subject of the process that's governed by both -- the timing and the content of that process of public involvement is more clearly governed by the City.

COMMISSIONER KEON: What page are you on,

Susan?

MS. TREVARATHEN: I'm on Page 7 of the Development Agreement, which is Section 2.13, that governs the off-site improvements, and at the same time you're going to want to look at Page 33, because that's Exhibit B, and that sets the deadline to keep the off-site improvements.

So those are the two sections we're talking about, where they would like to see changes.

MAYOR CASON: City Manager, do you have a position on this?

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: I note Commissioner Lago's comments that the private sector may do them faster --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: May. May. May.

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: May. May. As long as the public embraces the improvements that are being proposed, I'm sure we can work out the timing, whether the Developer does the work or the City causes the work to be done.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I just want to leave this here, and, again, I just want to make sure we just memorialize the fact that elected officials come and go, Staff comes and goes, so

sometimes when you leave things for the future, it may not happen, and there's no ill will, it's just may be forgotten, priorities may change, so that's why I think that we need to make sure that we focus on this.

MR. TRIAS: Yeah. The main topic, there's a distinction between traffic requirements in the site plan, and those are off site, because they happen to be in right-of-ways. What's not so clear, in terms of the project, is the neighborhood improvements, because we need the discussion from the public. So that's where we are.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Of course. I understand where we are, but I want to make sure that we put together a profound schedule to get to the end goal. So if I could have Staff put together a schedule, Madam City Manager, which will --

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: I understand, and I've been given feedback from Agave that they want to work with the neighborhood and make sure that the neighborhood is happy with --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: No. No. That's not even in question.

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: They're not the ones that are involved in this discussion. They've been very supportive.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: And we also need to make sure that the individuals from the community, that we're not dealing with thirty, forty different voices. We need to make sure that we deal with the designated representatives so that we can get to the end goal quickly.

MS. TREVARATHEN: May I suggest, Mr. Mayor, with this arising at the time that it has in the process, that it would be best not to try to actually write a schedule in this hearing, but, rather, to assign that discretion to the Manager, the Public Works Director, and we can have some statements of principle about working together to make that happen.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: That's exactly what I want.

MS. TREVARATHEN: Got it.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I don't want anything to derail the process today at all. What I want to do is just make sure -- like I mentioned, we memorialize the fact that I am

requesting a schedule, so that we can get to an end goal in the near future.

MAYOR CASON: Yeah, I think you got from the Commission that we certainly want early and complete discussions with legitimate representatives of the community. It's not one or two people that they say they speak for the community, but get as much community involvement in it, and we know the dollar amounts, they're fixed, so whatever we need to do early, so with inflation and other things that could happen, we don't end up eroding the value of the money, but I think you got the guidance from us.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes.

MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, one other point, the City has always taken the position that the City Manager and the City Attorney can always approve modifications to accomplish the Commission's will as to the language, because sometimes the language just doesn't match with what you've approved, in essence, and we always have that ability to do that, and, really, it's an issue of, are we making a material change, and generally that's left to our judgment, but

we always are considering the Commission's will.

1.1

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, Craig.

MAYOR CASON: Okay. Let's start with the City traffic consultant and then the Developer, and then we'll take a look at the options.

MR. PLUMMER: Good morning. Tim Plummer, 1750 Ponce de Leon Boulevard, here representing the City of Coral Gables.

I think we can make this discussion fairly brief, but let me just give you the general terms of what my recommendations have been, in consultation with Staff and the Police Department.

Generally speaking, for a project of this magnitude, more access is better than less access. So as the project has evolved, and retails on Ponce, and the hotel pick up, drop off from Ponce went away, the Applicant made a presentation, a proposal, for what they thought would be the best way to make the hotel work, from an access at Malaga.

Initially my concerns were, there's a lot going on at that driveway now with the traffic, without putting hotel traffic there, especially

traffic during special events at the hotel; close spacing to the signal at Ponce; very short storage from once you get off Malaga and enter the garage, those kinds of issues.

So we came up with another alternative that looks at potentially increasing the number of access points, again, by bringing traffic down Coconut Grove Drive for the hotel, and they would exit onto Ponce.

And we understand, Commissioner Keon, our industry has evolved a lot in the last ten years, especially with new urbanism, about balancing all of the different modes of transportation.

So in our discussions with Staff, we understood that there's going to be some balancing that has to go on, that you all are going to have to make some decisions on.

So in consultation with the Police

Department, really the biggest concern about

the hotel is during special events, and getting

people in and out during those events, while

there's still two to three hundred cars coming

in and out, for a total of five hundred or six

hundred during the p.m. peak hour at that

Malaga driveway.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So when you have a special event, you bring all of that additional traffic in, it makes it that much more challenging and there's potentially a lot of impacts to Ponce and Malaga.

So one thing to potentially consider is a bit of a hybrid of what we've proposed, which is trying to keep with the understanding of Ponce being more pedestrian friendly and that paseo being for pedestrians, is maybe to come up with a hybrid situation, to where that road -- that access point onto Ponce is temporary and just for special events, where bollards can go up so cars can't go in and same thing from the access on Coconut Grove Drive, bollards are up at that access point, that they're not to be used as a pedestrian only facility when there's major special events, and the Police Department has to get involved with ingress and egress for those special events, that would be the time that the bollards go down, as needed, and there would be police officers on either end, so pedestrians aren't necessarily mixing in or, depending on the

2.4

design of the roadway or that paseo, it could be wide enough where pedestrians still could use it while traffic is coming in and out.

So I think that's something that could be considered as a compromise for the issue of hotel special events.

MAYOR CASON: Mario, right now, do you have any design elements there that would impede that being a hybrid, like a fountain or anything? Would that work, from your perspective?

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Dan?

I don't think so.

Are there any fountains or anything else in that pedestrian plaza area that would interfere?

MR. FREED: Yes. There's substantial landscaping and fountains that do exist in that paseo. We can certainly revise and re-design the paseo based on providing a permanent location for cars to be able to drive down the paseo, if required.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: You know, if I could summarize what our position would be on this most recent recommendation, it's better than

2.0

the recommendation that just came before, that we were talking about it, we still feel strongly, though, that it should be a pedestrian area, as it is right now.

Remember, this is not completely edged in stone right now. We have to do all of these traffic studies after the fact, also, to see if it works. Perhaps this hybrid that Tim has proposed right now could be the potential fallback, if indeed there is an issue, but, again, we're talking about mass on Easter Sunday.

We have all of these other potential valet drop off points, including one on Coconut Grove Drive, and the difference would be, instead of them dropping off the car immediately, right in front of the front door of the hotel, they have to walk down a little bit, from Coconut Grove Drive down the internal paseo.

So we would still prefer to stay with our proposal, which is to have it as a pedestrian only area right now, and admit or acknowledge that there's a possibility that the fallback might have to be, incorporating this hybrid proposal, putting in the bollards and using it

for cars and traffic flow, when necessary, but, again, if there's a difference between having a street -- something that could potentially be a street there and something that is a pedestrian plaza sort of walkway --

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Or you also have the option of requiring every car that comes on to the property to go directly into the parking garage, rather than going around the roundabout in front of the hotel and having the valet actually pick up the cars on the underground — that first underground floor, so that any kind of stacking or any kind of build up would be interior, it wouldn't be exterior, and then you can just put signage to control that or adjust the manpower accordingly, if it's going to be something that's not working.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right.

MR. PLUMMER: And the issue becomes the other traffic, Commissioner Quesada, that are using that garage, as well. So you would almost, in the special events plan, have to exclude anyone else from using that Malaga driveway, because you don't want to mix it in, because what you have to do is, you have to

control it right at the driveway, and then you start to cue on Malaga, and there's a very short distance to the signal on Ponce.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yeah, but not

necessarily, because if you've ever been in
the -- if you've ever gone to the Capital
Grille on Brickell and you go into that parking
garage -- and that's probably a bad example,
because it's a very old building -- but when
you go in, anyone who is using -- who works in
the building or is there for another location
that's not the Capital Grille, you can go and
you can park and that is divided up internally,
yet, if you're going to do valet, there's a
certain location that you follow and you can

So, really, the splitting could occur, to the individuals who are guests of the hotel and not going to the event, can been determined once you're inside the parking garage.

take the valet from there.

Do you think that's an inaccurate statement?

MR. PLUMMER: Well, the issue is, you have
to get them segregated just as they're coming
on to the property, to know who is going to
valet down or who is going up.

1 COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, they don't. 2 the Capital Grille, they don't. Once you're 3 inside -- you're inside --VICE MAYOR QUESADA: They get segregated 5 once you're inside. So I would disagree with 6 your thought there. 7 MR. PLUMMER: Well, then the valet is going 8 to go down, correct? 9 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Correct. 10 MR. PLUMMER: And residents and others --11 MAYOR CASON: Go up.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1.9

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. PLUMMER: Because these garages are interconnected. They've got traffic shown here, that these driveways for retail uses, the office uses, because they're interconnecting garages. So anybody can use that driveway. So you're going to have to segregate those folks.

MR. FREED: I think it's important to clarify that I don't believe it's true that we have to segregate as soon as you come in the property. We're asking everybody to go downstairs or upstairs, to take the right and go towards the ramps. They can either choose to go upstairs, they can choose to go downstairs, and once they go downstairs, they

can choose to self park or they can choose to valet.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Well, this is an exaggerated example. I forget the exact address, but also on Brickell, across the street from where the Truluck's is, there's an office building there. When you drive underneath, it gets segregated a whole bunch of different ways and there's also a lot signage to let you know where you're going. I don't know if you've ever been in that parking garage.

MR. PLUMMER: If it's a very large driveway.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: It's a very large

driveway. It is.

MR. PLUMMER: That's the thing. We've got two lanes coming in.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Is that the difference that you're -- okay.

MR. PLUMMER: That's the difference, and the ramps come in at one car length, you've got to make a decision, once you come on the property. So I disagree with Dan, that you do have to get that segregated, because you have a lot of self parkers using that driveway.

1 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: You've got to get 2 segregated before you come into the --3 MR, FREED: But there's no decision --4 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Let him finish. 5 MR. PLUMMER: As you're coming onto the 6 property, you need to know if you're going to 7 go down the ramp or up the ramp, if you're a 8 hotel special event person or if you're a self 9 parker. You need to know -- and someone's 1.0 going to have to be there to direct that or 11 traffic --12 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: You don't think the 13 signage would be sufficient? 14 COMMISSIONER KEON: Is that the office --15 MAYOR CASON: Electronic --16 MR. PLUMMER: I mean, you're talking about 17 people who could be their first time visiting 18 the hotel, first time visiting the site. They 19 don't know where they're going. They're going 20 to be confused. Signage isn't enough. 21 need a special events planner or someone 22 directing the traffic. 23 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Do you think it does 24 not work at 121 Alhambra, the Allen Morris 25 Project? There are -- you can park -- well, I

guess, no, they don't have valet, but there are
certain locations where you can enter the
garage and there are signage, where to get in
and where to get out, and I'm assuming -- I
mean, I know you've been doing a lot of traffic
consulting work for us for many, many years,
and I know I'm just pulling this example out of
thin air, but does that project have a problem,

there?

MR. PLUMMER: You know, I haven't observed that one particularly, and I don't know how they have special events like this, because this is really what we're focusing in on, special events. It goes back to the first thing I said, it's a project of this magnitude, more access is better than less access.

because I know there's a lot of signage or

where you need to go for parking to come in

Has that ever come up?

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Of course. I don't disagree with that.

MR. PLUMMER: So the original proposal of segregating the hotel worked very well. Again, just from a traffic engineering standpoint, because we have more access points, people know exactly where to go for the hotel. So that's

1 how it works.
2 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yeah.

MAYOR CASON: Glen, do you have something you want to say?

I got you.

MR. KEPHART: Thank you, Mayor, Commissioners.

I would just like to follow-up on what Tim proposed as a hybrid option, and I think the Developer's traffic engineer agreed that it was a reasonable proposal, what we're talking about is, this space can be designed as a pedestrian space, that also can accommodate cars when we need them.

You can compare this in many ways to what we're doing in Giralda, and I think we've shown on that project you can design a really awesome pedestrian space, that can also accomodate cars, and in this case, the accommodation for cars would be when we need it and only when we need it, and that's probably not a lot of times, but when those times happen, they're important.

I'd liken it to perhaps designing a house without a back door. You may not need that back door very often, but when you need it, you

need it, and I think we have the opportunity to work with the Developer to create a really awesome pedestrian space, and 95 percent of the time is going to be that.

MAYOR CASON: So it would be one car exit only during the special events?

MR. KEPHART: Yes. That's the way it's shown now on the City's proposal.

MAYOR CASON: Mario, do you have any kind of a photo showing just the paseo?

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: How it looks right now, just the paseo?

MAYOR CASON: Yeah, what it would look like, what we would be giving up.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: That's one of the slides we can -- showing the area off of Ponce.

need to consider in our discussion, we asked the Applicant to move the entrance internally in this project to preserve Ponce, and we also gave him the option, at that time, that they could develop a very pretty and a very attractive pedestrian entrance along Ponce, so that from their own marketability they have —it's like signage on Ponce. You can see it,

you can view it, you can see, you know, how

attractive it is or whatever and you know right

away that there is a hotel there and you don't

have to go to Malaga to do it.

To me, that pedestrian entrance along Ponce, that you're trying to turn into a street, that's their entrance, that's their pedestrian entrance, that allows them -- it's like a marquee along Ponce for visibility, and it is, in turn, a very pretty and can be a very, very pretty public space.

So, you know, we asked them to -- they accommodated us by moving it interiorly. I think we really have to think very hard about now taking away that from them, which is their entrance.

MAYOR CASON: I certainly wouldn't want a solution that was just for cars. I think I agree with Commissioner Keon that the paseo is one of the beautiful parts of this. You've taken away some other paseos, if I'm not mistaken, in all of this, and that's what I really liked in the beginning about the project, were all of the paseos going through, so that you don't have to go all of the way

around if you're walking -- if you're dropped

off by a taxi, for example, along Ponce, you

could walk in.

The question is whether you could do both in a way that would be tasteful.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: You know, on that issue, here's a rendering of how that pedestrian plaza and entryway on Ponce would look right now, and as you could tell, you know, there is significant landscaping sort of in the middle of it, and that's just one example.

If we say, okay, let's go with the hybrid solution first, and let's do it so that 95 percent of the time it's pedestrians, but five percent of the time it's cars, that's where -- our landscaping would not be able to be here.

MR. TRIAS: Mayor, let me propose a recommendation to deal with this. I think that that whole area can be designed as a curbless design, that is a very nice pedestrian space, most of the time, and allows for cars with a special event planned. In other words, if we had a special event plan, that language, into the Development Agreement, we'll deal with the cars and yet the design is designed for

1 pedestrians.

And I have consulted with the Public Works
Director and with Tim on this issue, and it's
consistent with what Glenn said, the interest
of the IBS that have been discussed for Giralda
and some other places, so I think it's
achievable.

COMMISSIONER KEON: But when we spoke,

Ramon, and we talked about what -- from a

planning perspective and from as an

urban element, you agreed that not having a

vehicular entrance on Ponce was preferable. Do

you still feel that way?

MR. TRIAS: Yeah, that's the superior design for pedestrians.

COMMISSIONER KEON: It is the superior design, and I think that we should maintain a superior design.

I also want some clarification on what you regard as an event. What is the massive event that is going to occur at a 184-room hotel or a ballroom that seats 500 people? I mean, any one of us have been to galas --

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Your birthday party.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, you know what,

1 for my birthday party --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: An event would constitute Mario's daughter's fifteens.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I have a greater limit to how many people I'll invite, okay.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I don't have a crystal ball, but with a 500-person facility like they're expecting to build, which we requested it, located in the City of Coral Gables, I expect there to be events every weekend. So you're going to be requesting a special events permit, both on Friday and Saturday, because this type of facility doesn't exist anywhere in the City and people want to be in the City of Coral Gables.

COMMISSIONER KEON: But an event at a hotel is generally --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: And I'm in agreeance with you in reference to walkability, pedestrian friendly, I'm with you, but I think we're backing ourselves into a corner where -- are there any other real options? Is there a viable option here?

MR. TRIAS: We have requested Tim, in the past, to prepare special events plans for

certain projects, and I think that he may 1 2 describe what that entails and maybe some of 3 that language, if included in the Development Agreement, would take care of some of your 5 concerns. 6 COMMISSIONER KEON: But, Ramon, what's a special event? 8 MR. TRIAS: I think Tim can explain, 9 because we're talking about a special event from a traffic point of view. That's the only 10 11 issue. 12 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. Tell me what 13 a -- it's not the Fourth of July fireworks. 14 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: No, a special event that we're discussing is a wedding, it's a 15 16 conference. 17 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Mario's daughter's 18 fifteens. 19 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: It's an event that's 20 going to require 500 individuals to be seated 21 22 potentially 500 different vehicles. 23 24

25

at this event, having dinner and coming in COMMISSIONER KEON: Actually, 500 people is not a huge event. I mean, when you look at the number of galas that take place all of the

time -- I mean, how many Red Cross Balls have
you been to --

1.1

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: With a thousand people.

COMMISSIONER KEON: -- hundreds, with a thousand people, and, you know, you all -- or a lot of us have been to all of those different, whether it's YPO, the number of organizations in the community that have, you know, galas, you know, that way exceed 500 people, I have never seen, at a hotel -- I've never seen huge problems. They are able to move those cars in and out.

This is not like the Jungle Gardens -- what is it?

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Jungle Island.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Jungle Island, where they have thousands of people.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: No, but you know what I think of, and Commissioner Keon the thoughts that you've expressed related to this item, I'm in agreement with you on this, however, but I still think of the EPIC. I still think of the EPIC on a Friday night and a Saturday night in Downtown Miami that is horrible, and it's got a

1 similar sort of configuration.

COMMISSIONER KEON: That's terrible.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Now, there are obviously difference with this one, and, actually, if I can address a point -- I'm looking at the June 10, 2015 Applicant submittal, Exhibit A.1, Page -- Exhibit A.1, Page 123. I don't know if you can pull that up.

Your Applicant submittal for today's meeting, Exhibit A.1, Page 123. Here you go.

If I can ask Tim Plummer -- and Commissioner

Keon, I ask this question, because I think it goes to the point of the event -- and can I also ask that the Kimley-Horn representative, I saw him -- oh, there we go.

I apologize. I forgot your name from the first reading.

MR. MCWILLIAMS: John McWilliams.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: John, thank you.

Tim, this is something I brought up earlier

-- if we can zoom in on that so the audience

can see a little bit better. If you hit the

tools icon on the right, it will be a little

bit bigger.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Just click on the page and it should open it up more, right? Doesn't it get rid of that tools thing, that column?

Yeah.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: There we go.

So if I understand correctly, I'm looking at the bottom of this diagram, now you have the area; -- you have the red arrow that's pointing -- the largest red arrow pointing horizontally, left to right. I'm assuming that's where -- so we're looking at the basement level of the parking, the first level of underground parking?

MR. MCWILLIAMS: Yes.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. That red arrow that's pointing in both directions, the largest one on the bottom, that's where the cars come down from, correct?

MR. MCWILLIAMS: Correct. That's the helix coming around.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Just north of that,

you have an arrow that -- a street that's sort

of coming out of nowhere. Is that where the

valet comes down?

MR. MCWILLIAMS: Yes.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Tim, I agree with you, the more access we have, obviously, from a traffic perspective, the better it's going to be, because of the more options that there are, but you said that we would have to split up the vehicles as they come onto the property.

I guess, is it possible that as the cars are entering the event on the ground floor, and if we diverted all of the traffic, both, hotel guests, residential, going to shopping, and we distinguish it from the valet parkers that are coming in for, you know, a special event, a wedding, a party, what have you, that you can actually segregate it as they're coming in at the top level, this way, or do you still see this being a problem or -- I guess, what's your general opinion?

I think I know what it's going to be, it's still better to have more access than less.

MR. PLUMMER: Well, where you need to segregate them is not at this level, it's the ground level.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: But on the ground level -- those two points of ingress that I've pointed out are segregated on the ground level,

are they not?

MR. PLUMMER: Very closely spaced, about one car length from the driveway as you enter the property. So you're going to need to know if you're going to make the first right to go up to Level 3 parking or if you're going to go past the first right in, past the exit, down to the next right in, to go down, and so people that are not familiar with this project, someone is going to need to be standing there, letting them know, hotel, retail, you know, where are you going.

MAYOR CASON: Could you have something electronic and maybe bollards, so as you come in off Malaga, it says "valet only" or -- is that possible?

COMMISSIONER KEON: But you could have people that are there during an event at the hotel that are directing the hotel guests to the hotel.

MR. PLUMMER: Right, and that's what Ramon alluded to about a special events plan.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right, but the people that are living in the residential portion, they're already familiar with that, they know

1 where they're going. So the only people that 2 you're going to question how familiar they may 3 be with this are the people that are attending whatever the event is that's at the hotel. 5 MR. PLUMMER: And also the retail people, 6 that are generally going to be unfamiliar with 7 the property. 8 COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, the people coming 9 and going during retail hours, do you see them 10 interfacing with the people that are coming for 11 an event? 12 MR. PLUMMER: Sure. Sure. Yeah. 13 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: It could happen, sure. 14 People coming to a restaurant at night or maybe 15 the event is during the day. 16 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right, but that's not 17 huge numbers of people. I mean, the larger 18 number of people are the people coming for the 19 event. 2.0 MR. PLUMMER: Just so you know, 21 Commissioner Keon, in the p.m. peak hour, what 22 they're showing is 225 trips coming in and 23 almost 300 coming out. That has nothing to do 24 with the special event.

COMMISSIONER KEON:

Right.

MR. PLUMMER: That's a lot of traffic at a driveway we have one car length to make a decision on which ramp area we're going to go to and the spacing to the signal on Malaga.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. Are you done with this picture?

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I am.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. Can you go back to the ground floor then please?

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: And as they do that, Commissioner, I'm going to throw another kink into this. There is a provision that says that if the traffic counts or issues exceed the ten percent level, that the Developer has already agreed to make corrections, to do a traffic study and implement corrections.

It's quite possible that if you keep the pedestrian space open now, and over the near time it proves to be an issue, that we come back to the Developer and we exercise this provision of correction and mitigation, and we establish a vehicle path which currently does not exist.

COMMISSIONER KEON: You know, I have a feeling that all of these wise people can find

a solution on the interior of this project and not cutting that curb on Ponce.

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Got it.

COMMISSIONER KEON: I have all of the faith in the world in you that you can do that.

Now, if you come in on Malaga, right now the connection to Coconut Grove Drive, on the Applicant's proposal, is a paseo, is a pedestrian only walk. What you have proposed is that that also become a vehicular access into and out of or -- out of -- into the circle.

MR. PLUMMER: One way into, yeah.

COMMISSIONER KEON: I mean, you know, this is now just land. There's no existing streets other than Coconut Grove Drive and Malaga and Galiano. So what's interior to this project, you know, you can kind of create. What if you created -- if that driveway coming in on Malaga and that paseo became vehicular, that became -- you know, and it actually, instead of just -- you know, instead of it coming in straight, you know, from both sides, this way, what if we curved around and went out that way? What if you came in on Malaga and you went around and

Grove Drive? I don't know why Coconut Grove Drive, you have it here as one way.

You know, this is kind of confusing to me.

You have it one way. Is this still the way it
is, it's one way going east, until you get to
that circle, and it's one way going west from
-- it's one way going east from Galiano and
it's one way coming east off of Palermo? Is
that the way it is now?

MR. PLUMMER: It runs one way eastbound.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Do you think it functions well as one way or should there be a lane in each direction?

MR. PLUMMER: Why shouldn't it be?

COMMISSIONER KEON: Yeah. I don't kreow.

I'm asking.

MR. PLUMMER: You have to ask the Applicant that, why they came up with that plan.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. I mean, if you allowed for more access through Coconut Grove Drive, which is an existing City street today and you came in -- you know, you can make that connection between Malaga, go through there and go out -- does that work?

1 Even for the Applicant, you know, having 2 3

limited traffic along that one paseo there that's going into where the entrance of the hotel is, actually gives you more people along that street that will view your retail and experience and see your retail.

I would much prefer to have that dealt with, you know, interiorly, as opposed to along Ponce. I mean, I wouldn't expect you to design on the fly here today, but what I would ask you, is that possible?

MR. AVILA: Anything is possible, but could I say a non-engineer or show you what our thoughts are on this, because I think it's important to understand that we're looking at sacrificing a lot for very little, if I may.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Sure.

MR. AVILA: What we have been asked to do is to open this to cars. What we're proposing, in addition to everything that's already been talked about, going underneath and the valet here, is that these people that would come in here, they can come here, have a drop off there, and we're talking about these people walking a few steps.

7 8

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, it's going to be -- if you bring the cars in here, you're still going to have the congestion. If you drop off the car here, they can take it and valet park it and then this becomes the guest coming -- they can just walk. I mean, we're talking about walking a few feet.

Why bring the cars just for a few feet and create more congestion, because now you have cars coming through there, through there?

Bring the cars here, and bring the cars down

Coconut Grove Drive.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes. I would rather see some solution worked out along Coconut Grave Drive, although I'll tell you, people going to an event -- women in high heels, they don't even want to walk a few feet, but I would really rather see you attempt to work out a solution that goes from Coconut Grove Drive, you know, and through Malaga, that somehow connects that circulation, that meets, you know, some of the traffic needs or whatever, rather than go back onto Ponce.

MR. MCWILLIAMS: Just to be clear,

Commissioner, you want this to remain a paseo

for pedestrians only, but you would like us to

look at what we would do between these two points?

COMMISSIONER KEON: Absolutely. Well, if you feel that there is a need for, and if this Commission feels that there is a need for additional -- you know, I mean, I read Kimley-Horn's, I thought it worked, but I'm not a traffic engineer. I mean, I thought yours worked, I was comfortable with yours, but if, you know, you feel and if my, you know, colleagues here believe that there has to be additional exits, entrances or whatever, I'd rather see you work it that way than come back out to Ponce.

MR. PLUMMER: Can I go back to what the
City Manager suggested, because I think that's
a very good suggestion? They're going to go
back and do after studies. So we're going to
be able to observe this. The plan that they
want with the valet drop off at Coconut Grove
Drive could potentially be a viable
alternative, as long as we can get traffic to
pick up there and out that way, so they're not
intermingling with the Malaga traffic, that's
the key issue here, but if not another solution

1 is needed.

1.4

2.1

So my only request would be to make sure that that paseo is master planned in case, in the after studies, we might need it on a very temporary basis for special events, that that's an option.

want it master planned so that that paseo on Ponce remains a paseo forever. So I don't want it as an option. I don't want it as an option. I want it as a paseo, and I think in speaking with Ramon and speaking to other planners and urban planners that we have spoken to, all have said that that should be a paseo and it should remain — it creates a pedestrian experience that we are looking to create in our whole downtown.

We're putting \$30,000,000 into four blocks on Miracle Mile to improve the pedestrian experience, and as an economic incentive, to improve the retail within our City. This is the same thing. Thankfully somebody else is investing that amount of money and we don't have to, but, you know, they're working with us to do that. So I don't (sic) want it master

planned that that's not an option. That's not an option.

MAYOR CASON: Hector, what's your --

MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes. I agree, absolutely, with Commissioner Keon, and also you know that we have done a lot of changes to accomodate all of this, I think there is no other building in the City of Coral Gables that is providing all of the facilities and all of the infrastructure to accommodate parking and to be the best way to have -- parking for big events, we are providing all of that.

I think what Kimley-Horn is proposing, we strongly -- I mean, no, Tim Plummer, we're opposed to that proposal, because what we did here is our pedestrian entrance. We changed a lot. I think they are only proposing to give extra parking, a small percentage of all of the efforts we already done, and is going to take out the pedestrian main entrance for this project.

I humbly ask the Commission to see our proposal as the best one. Thank you very much.

MAYOR CASON: I agree with Commissioner

Keon. I think we should keep that as a paseo.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: So do I.

MAYOR CASON: We've eliminated other ones.

I think the arguments are very persuasive for that and I think the traffic people can figure out, with the rest of the project, how you can manage these --

MR. TRIAS: Mr. Mayor, if I could help on this discussion. The good news about the many options is that the buildings don't need to change, okay. So the buildings are the same, whether you do more traffic or less traffic, so that's good.

Then what the City Manager has explained is that there's a process already in place to verify and review and change traffic, if need be. So the buildings don't need to change.

There's a process in place to review traffic.

It's really clearly up to you, at this point, it's a policy choice, on what is the best solution.

I think that the solution is some kind of design that is curbless and is designed mostly for pedestrians that can be implemented immediately. If need be, later on, that could be opened up for special events. Those are all

possible options that are up to you and you can
make that choice.

COMMISSIONER KEON: But you can also do
that internally. You don't have to sacrifice

that paseo for any reason, at any time.

MR. TRIAS: That's right. Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER KEON: It can all be dealt with internally, along where it comes in on Malaga and exits along Coconut Grove Drive.

You can have that discussion and you can change it from there, but you hold that paseo wholly.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I agree with what

Commissioner Keon has stated, and I think that

the controls are in place in regards to the

Development Agreement, and like the Mayor was

mentioning before, maybe we should memorialize

it to make sure that that's held in place.

COMMISSIONER KEON: That's also their pedestrian entrance.

MAYOR CASON: Commissioner Slesnick has a --

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Commissioner Keon,

I'd like them to point out there which entrance
you're talking about on there, if you could,

Ramon.

1 COMMISSIONER LAGO: The one right there. 2 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Okay. Now, the 3 entrance up -- there's another entrance for traffic on the left, on the other side of the hotel, okay. 6 COMMISSIONER KEON: Yeah, that's Coconut 7 Grove Drive. 8 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Coconut Grove Drive. 9 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. Right. 10 MR. TRIAS: Right. COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: So what we're 11 12 talking about, for the public, is the one in 13 the middle, that's a paseo right now, and 14 Commissioner Keon would like to keep that as a 15 paseo? 16 MR. TRIAS: Right. That's the only issue. 17 That's the only issue. 18 MAYOR CASON: All right. Why don't we --19 does anybody want to make a motion on this? 20 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Are you in agreeance? 21 I want to make sure --22 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I want to make a 23 motion that that location that Commissioner 24 Slesnick just pointed out remains a pedestrian 25 paseo.

1	MAYOR CASON: Okay. Is there a second?
2	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'll second the motion.
3	MAYOR CASON: City Clerk.
4	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon?
5	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
6	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago?
7	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes.
8	CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada?
9	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.
10	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick?
11	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yes.
12	CITY CLERK: Mayor Cason?
13	MAYOR CASON: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. And the intent
15	of that is that it remains a pedestrian paseo
16	and will not be a shared paseo. Thank you. I
17	want to clarify it.
18	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Correct.
19	MAYOR CASON: That's right. With that in
20	place, you work out the solution for the
21	special events when we have 500 people
22	COMMISSIONER KEON: And you can look at
23	interiorly you can continue to work on this
24	to see if, you know, through Malaga and as you
25	go out to Coconut Grove Drive, if there is, you

know, a way to work that out, and if you really
feel that it's needed, you know, you can do
that and come back to Staff or whatever.

MR. TRIAS: There's some language with Tim to deal with special events and that may be incorporated into the Development Agreement and then the design will have to be done consistent with what the Commission has voted on.

MR. LEEN: And that vote is an intermediate vote. Obviously it's incorporated into the final votes that will be made later, and the Commission still reserves the right to vote up or down, obviously.

MAYOR CASON: Yeah.

Mario, you had some other points you wanted to make?

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. Now that sort of was the only issue that there was a disagreement between us and City Staff on their recommendation. There were other issues.

There's one other issue that was a split vote when this item came to first reading before the City Commission and that is the additional height of the hotel.

Right now the maximum height that can be

. 17

achieved on the property is 190 and a half feet for the hotel structure. The hotel that we have proposed goes up to a habitable floor height, to I believe it is 214, I think is the exact maximum height that it goes up to.

The reason that additional height is still here is because even though it was a close vote, it was still a majority vote in favor of the height, limiting that habitable space to pretty much a sort of public accessible use, either a dining or entertainment establishment, and it's still there.

It's something that the Commission might very well still want to discuss. Our position from the beginning has always been, it's a nice feature. We think it's good to have it up there. If the will of the City, expressed by the majority of this Commission, is to remove it, we could remove it, but as last expressed at first reading the majority was actually to keep it, so that's why it is still there.

MAYOR CASON: I like it, because I think there's no other place in the City where you can really look down and see the whole City at night. I mean, you can do it from the Regents

building, maybe, if you have some events over at Allen Morris, but, I mean, most modern cities have some place with a restaurant on top that people can gather and admire what we hope will be even a more beautiful city and I think taking that away -- you've given up your spa, you've given up your gymnasium, you've given up, you know, your cinema. I'm in favor --

COMMISSIONER KEON: The only one that wasn't here -- well, I know that Commissioner Lago was opposed to it.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I was opposed to it.

COMMISSIONER KEON: And I'd like to hear from him and Commissioner Slesnick, who wasn't here at the time, and I'd like to hear from her, also, because I think her input is particularly important to the decision.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'm just going to give you a quick idea. I mean, I think I spoke about this issue at length at the previous reading.

My opinion hasn't changed, but there was an issue that I had in reference to the way that the Ordinance was written before, which I have requested from Staff, after sitting with -- I

9.

2.0

met with Hector, we had a nice lunch, and we discussed what the plan was for the future, and I met with City Staff and we discussed the same issue, and I said, "I'm in favor of the project on many facets, but I want to make sure that my vote, which was cast as a no, was due to one simple reason, which was the fifteen or twenty topics that we've already ironed out today and have been included in the project, and one of the main issues was in reference to the height over 190.6, which is the maximum habitable area.

I requested that Staff carve that portion out and make it its own separate Ordinance, and it's my understanding that that has been done today.

So I'm ready to move forward in regards to that issue. My own opinion has not changed.

I'd like to hear from Commissioner Slesnick.

MR. LEEN: Commissioner, could you say again? What did you think was put into a separate Ordinance?

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I had requested from Staff that they basically have the issue of habitable square footage over 190.6 be its own

1 separate Ordinance.

MR. LEEN: It's going to be a separate vote.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Excuse me, separate vote. I apologize.

MR. LEEN: There will be a vote just on that matter, which will then be incorporated into the final vote, however you decide.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yeah, but the issue that we had before was that that vote was incorporated in, I think, five out of the seven previous ordinances, and I basically was forced to vote, no.

MR. LEEN: I understand.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: And I want to avoid that, because, again, like I mentioned before, I'm in favor of many facets of the project and the issues that I wasn't in favor of had been dealt with. For example, the leed, open floor -- open area, the height --

MR. LEEN: There was going to be a vote to approve the project. There was an intermediate vote, and it's not the final vote. There's going to be an intermediate vote whether to approve the project with the height that's

1 lower. Then there's going to be a vote on the 2 height. However that's determined, the final 3 vote will include those two votes, when you go one by one. 5 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I just want it to be 6 I wanted to be clear. 7 COMMISSIONER KEON: Can we look at -- on 8 Page 264 of this book, this is the clearest 9 rendering that I've seen in here -- I could be 10 wrong -- but I think that's the one I've seen 11 that shows the hotel. Is that right? 12 MR. FREED: If -- I mean, can I just speak 13 to this rendering, because we modified this 14 rendering to show what it would be like without 15 the habitable restaurant on top of it? 16 This is showing the current 17 recommendation --18 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Could you speak into 19 the microphone, please? 20 MR. FREED: I'm sorry. 21 So, as presented, this is an occupied 22 restaurant on the top two levels of the 23 hotel -- sorry -- and it's shown right here. 24 Our proposal, if the height is not preferred,

that we're willing to open up that same volume

1 and make it an outdoor open air space that 2 would be covered. 3 COMMISSIONER KEON: A viewing space. MR. FREED: So a closer view of that same 5 element, so it becomes an event space up on the 6 It is not conditioned, it's not -- it 7 would simply be for special events when someone 8 chose to --9 MAYOR CASON: Would the cupola still be 10 Would the cupola still be there? 11 MR. FREED: Correct. All of the 12 architecture remains. 13 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So the actual height 14 remains the same, just not the habitable part? 15 COMMISSIONER KEON: It would be the 16 habitable part of it. That's why on Page 264, 17 though, it shows the two terraces on either 18 side a little more clearly. 19 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Oh, yeah. 20 COMMISSIONER KEON: I think it's 264. 21 Do you see where you have the decorative 22 element on the very top and then right below 23 the decorative element I'm assuming is where 24 the restaurant is, and then there's two 25 terraces on either side, right?

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

My concern is, if you had to -- so whatever you're proposing, you're not removing it, you're just changing its use, because it would make it -- the design of the building would not be as attractive as it is without that pedestal, it's you really need that pedestal and it differentiates you a little from the building next door to create a skyline.

MR. FREED: Exactly. As we showed in the last images, this area is the restaurant. enclosed today as our suggestion. If you would not like that occupied space above the 190.5, our recommendation would be to simply open it up, so that this structure remains, the glass is taken away, and you're able to use that area as a covered rooftop event space, but unconditioned and open area.

So the cupola stays, all of the structure above that stays, as well.

MAYOR CASON: Mario --

COMMISSIONER KEON: Yeah. I think the design is beautiful. I really do. I think it's beautiful and it creates a very pretty skyline. I'm very happy to see the design, and it's really up to -- you know, I would really,

out of respect for, you know, the other people on the Commission, how they want to do that --

MAYOR CASON: How important is the restaurant to the viability of your project?

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: You know, I would have Eddy or Hector speak to that. I would say, it's not critical. I think that's a good representation of it.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Like I mentioned to Hector, you know, before we began today's meeting, this is a democracy at the end of the day. You know, we're going to vote on this issue, and if it's three-two, if it's four-one, it's not a big deal, we move forward.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right.

if we do have a restaurant up there, which I think, by the way, is a spectacular feature, in reference to the City, how are we going to deal with the issues of noise in the evening, because not only for the residents who probably won't even hear it, because it's such a long distance and in front of it you have the Regions Bank building, so it's not the issue of noise for the residential across the street

1 from Ponce, it's more the issue of people who 2 are staying at the hotel or people who are 3 staying adjacent to it, who have purchased or who are renting in the adjacent residential 5 condo building? 6 MAYOR CASON: Isn't that going to be 7 enclosed at all? I mean, wouldn't it be louder with the --8 9 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Because you have two 10 terraces. Don't forget you have terraces on 11 both sides, as Commissioner Keon had mentioned, 12 which, by the way, are beautiful features. 13 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: But hold on a second. I want to hold my comments to hear from 15 Commissioner Slesnick. 16 COMMISSIONER KEON: I just want to know how 17 you feel about it. I would, too. I'd like Commissioner Slesnick to be able to address the 19 issue. MAYOR CASON: Let's ask Commissioner 21 Slesnick. What's you view? COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I ran for public 23 office on the issue of controlled development

and smart growth, and I feel strongly that the

citizens of Coral Gables elected me because I

14

1.8

2.0

22

24

did run on that platform.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I love outdoor restaurants, I like rooftop restaurants, and if you want a rooftop restaurant, I think it should be on the 16th floor. My issue is not with the restaurant, and I love the design of the building, this a quality development. I'm thrilled that this Developer has come to take over this space and to utilize this to the betterment of the City, and I'm thankful that it's been cut back. was approved for 900,000 square feet basically and now it's one million one hundred square feet, which is the size -- I mean, two hundred thousand is the size of the Bacardi Building, so it's good to have -- I mean, people are concerned about traffic in Coral Gables and development, period.

I mean, I think sixty or seventy percent of the people don't want any new development. We need it. People want to come to Coral Gables. They want to move off of Brickell. I have lots of law firms that I've talked to -- I don't get into commercial -- I want to say it's not a conflict of interest -- but they want to come to Coral Gables, because it's a desirable area

and to have the quality of this building, like the 396 Alhambra, which I think is a choice building, and, again, I'm very proud of 396 Alhambra, with its outdoor terrace on the fourth floor.

However, it's not about the restaurant and it's not about the design of the building, it's going from having 16 floors, which is under our current Master Code and breaking the tradition and the precedent for having another two floors, and other Developers in our community are lining up to wait until this passes -- and I've been told this. I talked to two other attorneys this morning about Historic Preservation and the school, but I've talked to two other attorneys this morning, along with our City Attorney, who told me that once this precedent is set, that other Developers can wait and come in and ask for seventeen or eighteen or nineteen stories.

Now, granted, you have said this is a planned development and they may not be able to do that if they don't have as much land, but I ran for office on this plan, and last January, when I was President of the Gables Good

1

1

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Government Organization, we put together this magazine of all of the developments that are proposed in Coral Gables. We did not really have a lot of public access until January to our different departments in the City of Coral Gables, and I put this magazine together, and we have eighteen high-rise developments coming to Coral Gables or suggested or proposed or in the pipeline, that would like to come here in order to build, and if one builder or one Developer gets seventeen or eighteen or nineteen stories, other Developers are going to ask for that same thing, and, again, I've talked to our City Attorney about this and setting precedent, and in 90 years -- we're celebrating our 90th birthday here in Coral Gables -- what a shame it would be to have this on a birthday year, to pass something that breaks the Zoning height regulations for the City of Coral Gables.

I'm not in favor of this, I'm not going to vote for it, and if everybody else votes for this, I want you to know, I want a lot of extra parking for that restaurant, but I am hoping that we do not set a precedent here. I like

the building design. If we want to keep it 1 open, I'm fine with that, and I understand, 3 from talking to some other attorneys this morning, that told me that the Agave Group was not really interested so much in the restaurant and they were willing to give it back, and I heard that in the other meetings that I read

willing to bypass this.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They want to be good public citizens. want to build other things in Gables, I'm sure, once we get through all of this. They like Coral Gables, and they want to build their homes here and they want to live here, and I'm very proud of this project. Let me just say, I'm very proud of the Agave Construction Company of this project, and I don't think they want to build Code.

and that I watched on the video, that they were

I don't know why these other attorneys, who represent other projects coming online, sort of teased me about our people are just waiting for this to be passed in order to get the eighteen and nineteen stories.

The Publix developers over in the new Publix -- it's not online yet, but I've been told from other people that they want to have the eighteen stories and maybe 33 Alhambra would like eighteen stories. A lot of other developers are just waiting for this opportunity.

We have a very liberal Planning & Zoning Board, and it has been for the last three or four years, and maybe they are pushing to have this height go up and breaking tradition.

MAYOR CASON: Craig, do you want to -
MR. LEEN: Yes, I'd like to say something.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: But I want to tell

you right now, I'm not in favor of this, and I would really be sad for our City if this is passed. So I would like to have the Developer withdraw this from their plan.

Eddy, Hector, if you would -- I'd like to have this withdrawn, that we don't even have to vote on it, so that it doesn't set a pattern here.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Commissioner Slesnick, thank you so much. I wanted to hear on the record how you felt about this. So thank you very much.

I think the issue is, and I want to make

sure I understand what you're saying to us -COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Air conditioned space.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Wait. Your issue is habitable space, okay, and so that's the issue, but what they have proffered or what they have said is that if there isn't an approval for habitable space, the design will remain the same, so the building will be higher --

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I'm fine with the design.

COMMISSIONER KEON: The building, it will be higher -- it will go -- you know, it's a higher building.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: It's as high as the Biltmore.

COMMISSIONER KEON: But the habitable space will stay at the 190 feet. So what they will do is open up that rooftop area and allow it to be more public space or just a public space of some sort of whatever else.

I mean, you know, I wouldn't want to tell them their business plan, that's their business, how they use their business plan, but I'll tell you, walking along a street, seeing those kinds of beautiful big windows into a

1 restaurant from like on the second or third 2 floor, that you can see, and you think you're 3 going to someplace real special, it has a much bigger draw, I think, to the public than 4 5 something on the very top, but, you know, 6 that's your business plan. I would never tell 7 you that, but I know, as an individual, I would 8 feel like Cinderella going to the ball in your 9 second or third story restaurant. COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: You can have the 10 11 restaurant on the 16th floor. 12 COMMISSIONER KEON: I'm very comfortable 13 with what you said. 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: By the way --15 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: They can have 16 cocktails on the 17th floor. I do not want --17 MR. LEEN: I should say something. 18 MAYOR CASON: Let me ask --19 COMMISSIONER KEON: Your concern is the 20 use, not the height. 21 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: It's not the 22 height. It's the use. 23 Now, I've called the Biltmore and their top 24 floor is the 15th floor, but they have a lot of 25 towers.

COMMISSIONER KEON: No, I just want to make that clear. Yes -- no, thank you, and I know you feel strongly about it and I'm willing to support you. Fine.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Well, hold a second. So I agree and I disagree. I like the amenity up there. I think it's a very unique amenity. If you've ever stood at the top on the pool deck level of the David William, you have that view overlooking the Biltmore and you see the trees.

I think it's a very unique perspective. think it's beautiful. I think a lot of world class cities have it in one shape or another, that type of unique view overlooking the City.

I think the intent of putting it up there was to be able to have that view above the other buildings, so that you're not blocked off by the Regions Bank building or the other neighboring buildings.

I think considering the fact that it would still -- the structure would still remain the same -- if we don't vote on allowing having the restaurant there, the aesthetics impact is identical.

5

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

From the precedential impact that you

brought up, Commissioner Slesnick, and,

actually, you alluded to it, you mentioned it

wouldn't have precedential effect.

You know, some of the comments that you may have heard from other Developers could be because, you know, maybe they don't want that competition of having that in that area. Who knows? I mean, I know that's the hearsay aspect of it, which who knows what their rational is behind saying that is.

briefly, our City Attorney has said that it

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Well, these were attorneys that I was talking to.

MR. LEEN: I would like to say my view.

MAYOR CASON: Let me ask, Craig, your view on the question of precedence.

MR. LEEN: Yes. Okay. I would like just to make sure you know my view on this matter. The matter of precedent, this does not set a legal precedent for any other developer, in the sense that they cannot come to you and say that they're entitled to go above the ceiling that currently exists in the City. It's only allowing it for this property. It's under the

theory that this is a PAD, it's a very large area of the City, and that it would be appropriate in this one location, although reasonable minds could disagree about that and ultimately the Commission will decide whether to allow the greater height here.

And what I was telling Commissioner

Slesnick, which is true, is that right now -and I've said this previously in response to a
question by the Vice Mayor at the prior
meeting, and at prior meetings, it does set a
precedent of a different sort.

I mean, anytime the Commission acts, it can't act arbitrarily. You always have to be able to explain what you've done and differentiate and distinguish between the prior precedent you've set, in my view. At least there should be a reason for what you're doing, why you're treating one person differently than another.

What I was talking about with Commissioner Slesnick was that if another developer comes to you and wants to have a higher height, they will cite this as precedent and they will argue -- they will try to make themselves seem

similar to this site and will argue that you should adopt it, whereas before, you could always say, well, we've never allowed habitable height --

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: But it also depends on how the motion is phrased and what we actually vote on.

MR. LEEN: Of course. You know, they will be able to do that and you can no longer say, "Well, we haven't allowed this anywhere in the City." Now you will have to say, "Yes, we allowed it in one place, and this is why we're not going to allow it here," and that's the point I was making to you, Commissioner Slesnick, that now you can no longer say that it's completely barred, but I do believe, ultimately, as a legal matter, you could deny the successive Applicant the ability to have the habitable height at that height, but you're going to have to consider it and you're going to have to discuss it. That was the point I was making.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: We would more likely be sued -- I mean, once you have raised --

MR. LEEN: It gives you another ground to attempt to challange, although I would feel comfortable -- as long as the Comission was acting and there was substantial competent evidence in the record -- well, honestly, it's not even that standard, because you would have to change the law to allow it in another place. It's a fairly debatable standard. So you would

just have to not act arbitrarily.

that if we want -- we should have citizen input. If we're going to change the Zoning Code, in general, which we're talking about in the north Ponce area, if we're going to be changing the Zoning Code, then we should do it with a lot of public input, as the Zoning Code was changed in the 1990s and the early 2000s, let's have a lot of public input before we go ahead and say, we're going to jump from sixteen stories or 190 feet to 218.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Could I just say just one thing in reference to that, Commissioner?

I mean, again, I'm also --

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: And I love all of you and I love your opinions, too.

1.5

1 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Listen, I'm also of the 2 same belief in regards to the height, I agree 3 with you, but in reference to public opinion, I have to disagree. There's been 20 meetings. This hasn't

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

changed from yesterday. I voted, no, on this the last time. There's been 20 meetings where this has been discussed and I see a lot of individuals, who are from the community, who are adjacent neighbors to this property, so everyone has been substantially aware and has had the opportunity to voice their opinion.

With that being said, I just have one last question, Susan, so I'll ask you to bear with me.

This wouldn't be considered spot zoning under any circumstances, correct?

MR. LEEN: We looked at that question. don't believe it's spot zoning. I know that Special Counsel doesn't either, because it's a large enough site that it wouldn't be.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay.

MR. LEEN: One other thing, the other point I wanted to make was that the law in Coral Gables will still remain the same, though,

other than in this spot, which is not spot zoning. I shouldn't use that word.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Bad choice of word.

MR. LEEN: It's a very large area. It is a large area. Other than this very large area, it will not be legal to have it over this height. You would have to change the law, but someone could apply -- our Code allows for someone to apply and ask for that, and you also have to change the Comp Plan in that area.

MAYOR CASON: Susan.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Commissioner Lago, one more point.

MAYOR CASON: Let me ask Susan first. She has something she wants to put in.

MS. TREVARATHEN: As your outside counsel,
I just wanted to officially, on the record,
concur with what your City Attorney has said,
that there is not a legally binding precedent
that's set by this change. Moreover, anyone
who tried to make the more persuasive type of
argument of precedent, which is what Craig is
saying, would have to show that they are also
almost a seven-acre parcel, with only two
percent of their site area devoted to habitable

1 area over the height, and that is a very different kind of scenario than you see from other properties. 4 So just to be complete, I wanted to make 5 that point, that they couldn't claim 6 comparability unless they were comparable in all of those ways. 8 MR. LEEN: Yes. Can I follow up --9 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I just want to say, I'm 10 not holding you to it, but do we have an idea 11 in reference to the site area for the Publix 12 site? 13 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: How large it is? 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes. Are you 15 representing them, Mario? 16 MR GARCIA-SERRA: No, I'm not, but I would 17 say -- yeah, I can't tell you for sure. 18 probably around the two -- between two and 19 three. 20 MR. LEEN: But the point I wanted to make 21 is, it includes many different lots. So this 22 could be --23 MS. TREVARATHEN: And blocks. It's 24 multiple city blocks. 25 MR. LEEN: Yes, many blocks, many lots.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this could be actually a law -- I mean, one way you can look at it, let's say this didn't come before you in the way it is and you were passing a law related to this area, it would be a large area, affecting many properties. It's not just semantics, whether it's called the spot or an area. We actually looked at this issue, and we believe it's a large enough area that it is not spot zoning. That was our legal opinion.

MS. TREVARATHEN: I agree.

MAYOR CASON: I just think that if we're looking at the height, and we don't have a problem with the height, but it's a guestion of the restaurant, I would rather have a restaurant there, which is enclosed, air conditioned, which would bring people to the City, than to have it open, which you're going to have a lot more noise, you're going to have a lot more opportunities for confusion and people dropping this down on the street.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Now that you bring up the issue of the noise, I poised a question in reference to what is going to be the answer for the noise. Does the City have something --

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Well, I'm planning on making a motion and I'm going to incorporate it into the motion.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Can I ask a question before you do that? I read the Development Agreement, I thought, pretty thoroughly, is that space addressed in the Development Agreement, that it can only and solely be used for that purpose and it can never be converted into either hotel rooms or a condominium or some sort of place? Is that addressed in the Development Agreement, that that will solely and only ever be used for, you know, entertainment, restaurant type space?

MS. TREVARATHEN: Through the Mayor, if I can respond?

MAYOR CASON: Yes.

MS. TREVARATHEN: It's actually more impactfully and permanently resolved, because it's part of what your Comprehensive Plan will say. So it's not just the Development Agreement, that might, you know, go away if the whole project fails, it's written in the text of your Comp Plan Amendment, that this additional height is only for the listed

1 purposes, which are not hotel rooms and some of 2 the other things that you suggested. 3 maybe pull that up on the screen. I don't 4 remember the exact words. 5 COMMISSIONER KEON: I remember from the 6 Comp Plan. 7 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I'm pulling it up 8 right now. 9 MS. TREVARATHEN: But there's a very 10 careful listing of only these purposes that 11 talk about an entertainment use and --12 COMMISSIONER KEON: I'm going to ask the 13 Attorney, then, should it be in the Development 14 Agreement or being in the Comp Plan is --15 MR. LEEN: Should such which be in the 16 Development Agreement? 17 COMMISSIONER KEON: The use of this 18 additional space, the top floor, which they're 19 proposing as a restaurant. 20 MR. LEEN: As a restaurant. 21 COMMISSIONER KEON: Should be it be 22 included in the Development Agreement that it 23 will only and forever only be used for the 24 purpose? 25 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Commissioner Keon, I'm

sorry, it's kind of tough to hear you.

_

COMMISSIONER KEON: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm asking if having it involved -- having it included in the Comp Plan is adequate to ensure that it will never be used for a purpose other than that or should it also be in the Development Agreement.

MR. LEEN: You can't act contrary to the Comp Plan.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I think the first question is whether this Commission wants to do it or not, but I think the way we would address that is the same way we've addressed the level of what we're expecting from the hotel, which is in the Development Agreement. I think that's the appropriate way, but I think I would leave that to our outside counsel and our in-house counsel.

MR. LEEN: First, in answer to your question, we have to follow our Comp Plan, so I do think it will be sufficient, but Mario indicated that they would be willing to include that in the Development Agreement.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. It's already the aw. If we want to incorporate it into the

1 Development Agreement, it's perfectly fine. MR. LEEN: But they will do so, and we 3 could enforce that as a contractual matter. VICE MAYOR QUESADA: And it's actually in 5 the Development Agreement at Exhibit F, I want 6 say, is what I'm looking at. MR. LEEN: And we'll include language now 8 in the operative terms, too. 9 Mario --10 COMMISSIONER KEON: But in the Development 11 Agreement, it's a more --12 MR. LEEN: -- are you okay with including 13 it in the operative terms of the --14 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. 15 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: You mentioned 16 Publix. 17 MR. LEEN: Thank you, Commissioner, and 18 thank you, Vice Mayor. 19 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: You mentioned 20 Publix and it has a little over three acres, 21 but they only are asking for one tower, okay, 22 but for three acres, compared to the Agave, 23 which is 6.7, but with four towers, okay. If I 24 were the Developer here, I would come and ask 25 for eighteen or nineteen stories.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, you can ask for whatever you want.

MAYOR CASON: You can ask for whatever you want. It doesn't mean we have to make it a precedent.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: You can ask, but this is one story on three acres.

MR. LEEN: It's true. They can ask. I want to be very clear, they can and they might cite this as precedent, but it doesn't mean we have to allow it. That's the point I want to make.

allow it. I'm just saying, why are we doing this, when in 90 years we have lived with a good Planning and Zoning Code for 16 stories? That's -- we're just passing this right now and breaking it and starting something new, when we should be going back -- and you say we've had public input, and I believe this, that we have had a lot of hearings, but until -- all last year, when everybody was campaigning for the election all last year, crime was the number one issue on everybody's lips.

It wasn't until the Gables Good Government

put together this about the eighteen developments coming into Coral Gables, that anybody started picking up on what an impact all of this construction is going to have coming on in Coral Gables. There's almost three million square feet of new construction, of which the Agave project is 1.1, 1.2, almost. There's almost three million square feet of new construction proposed, and no one was even talking about it until the last couple of -- the last month of the campaign.

I ran, because I was concerned that the City was allowing this to come in. So that's how very strong about this -- just for the height.

COMMISSIONER KEON: I understand. I also think we have to recognize, of that proposed development, the very vast majority of it is by right, it's by right. Under the current Code, they are entitled to build the vast majority of what is on the drawing boards and what is proposed, and what has been submitted to the City is by right and they are perfectly entitled to do it. There's only a very few --

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: 900,000 is by right.

1 COMMISSIONER LAGO: No. That's what I had 2 put on the record before. No, no, it's one 3 million thirty-seven thousand square feet is 4 the exact number referenced as per right. It's 5 at one million thirty-seven thousand square 6 feet as of right, and it would be all retail --7 it could be all commercial. 8 MAYOR CASON: All commercial. 9 COMMISSIONER LAGO: All commercial, excuse me. 10 MAYOR CASON: Walmart. 11 COMMISSIONER LAGO: So the common 12 misconception was -- that people were throwing 13 around, and I wanted to make sure -- I think 14 what was written in the Herald was that the 15 number was around 800,000. That's not correct. 16 Let's get the numbers correct. 17 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: It was what was 18 approved last time, 900,000. 19 MR. LEEN: One thing, I can think that the 20 Commission -- and the Vice Mayor had mentioned 21 motions and things like that -- we should have 22 the public hearing, before we get more into the

23

24

25

point.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: That's a very good

discussion, and I would just like to make that

point.

MS. TREVARATHEN: And, Mr. Mayor, for the record, Staff asked me to just note that the height limit is 190.5 feet. It does translate into a certain number of stories, but we regulate by feet, not stories.

MAYOR CASON: And this building is actually shorter than the Allen Morris building, not as tall, or the Biltmore.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Just one last comment on this for me. You know, you mentioned the Zoning Code. You know, there have been a number of changes to the Zoning Code in the last 90 years, and obviously next week we'll be discussing one potentially for the North Ponce area. You know, when the height really changed, it was in the '70s and I forget the name of the Commissioner, he was an architect at the time, and talked about the Mediterranean bonus to get up to sixteen stories, so they saw an opportunity to improve the aesthetics of the City by granting certain development bonuses, I guess is the proper way to say it.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: It was in the '80s.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I thought it was '77, but it could be in the '80s. So they saw an opportunity to make the aesthetics look better, if it's a special type of project, and obviously the Board of Architects helped make that determination, and we have the design that we have throughout the City, what we consider the Mediterranean bonus.

You know, the way I see it with this project, you know, I think this can be a spectacular project. We've seen what this Developer has done at 396 Alhambra, you know, the kind of money and the effort and the materials that they put into the project, which is something that, you know, I think we embrace, as a City, you know, that good design, really focusing, really listening to the community and moving from there.

If you look at Exhibit F to the Development Agreement, you know, it says that the restaurant on the top floor, they want examples of fine dining, that they're looking for, that they're proposing, and I guess they'll be tied to in the Development Agreement, they put examples, like Capital Grille, Cantina La

1 V
2 k
3 e
4 p
5 a
6 1
7 w

1.6

Veinte, Cipriani, Zuma, and Il Gabbiano. You know, I think at one point or another we've either heard of those restaurants, seen pictures or visited one of those restaurants, and thus we have an idea of what they're looking for. That's why I don't think that we'll have noise issues, Commissioner Lago, affecting the neighborhood, because those types of restaurants, it's a fine dining atmosphere, you know, it's not a happening bar or they're not going to have a nightclub kind of feel, everything that we don't want.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: That's a very good point that you brought out.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So, I think, from the noise aspect that you brought up in that regard -- and then, you know, Commissioner Slesnick, my thoughts on what you're saying is, I think we have an opportunity to do something -- it's special here, it's a special amenity that I think is really something that translates well, that everyone can enjoy and it really will be an attraction for residents. You know, residents who live in the area, if they want to go to a fine dining experience -- you know, as

it is, you know, people love -- those types of restaurants do very well in the City. I think the closest examples that we have are right there on the corner, Christy's.

Christy's does very well, because they provide that amenity and people love going to it -- I love going with my family -- or you look at Palme d'Or in the Biltmore. It does very well, as well, that kind of experience.

So that's why I'm in support of this restaurant, because I see it as being one of those amenities like the Palme d'Or, like Christy's, like Pascal's, like Francesco's in our City that I think do very well, that our residents really appreciate.

So you fully understand my perspective on it, what I envision it being -- and I like the fact that Exhibit F in the Development

Agreement ties the Developer into that kind of experience, that we know that product, what works well in the City and residents really enjoy it.

MAYOR CASON: Let me ask Mario to continue on. There's a couple of other points that you were going to discuss. We won't vote on this

now, but we'll have public input and they'll further discuss that element.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. One observation that I'll make on the issue of height before we leave it, because I think this discussion has been very helpful — it really has laid out sort of how everybody feels about it — and what my take—away is, is that the overall design and height of that tower, I believe that height is acceptable to you from an aesthetics perspective, meaning the tower and the cupola reaching the height that they do.

The question becomes, the top two stories above 190 and a half feet, should that be usable or not, based on what precedent there may be or the value of it and everything else. So then the discussion really is not really over what the overall height is, reaching the very top of the building, but should those top two floors be usable or enclosed or unenclosed, and from our perspective, enclosed is obviously more functional.

You know, unenclosed might be a great space during the winter and so forth, but during the

summer, it probably wouldn't have much use. An enclosed space could definitely be more useable, more functional, perhaps of more benefit, and also addresses some of the issues there might be, as far as noise and other

disturbances to the surrounding neighborhoods.

The last observation I have on that one, again, we're not bringing this issue up to put you guys in a difficult position. At the end of the day, it's what the City wants, expressed by a majority of this Commission, and we will live with it, whether it's a restaurant, whether it's an event space or whether it's no space at all, whether you just say, architecturally it's fine as a feature, but we don't want it actually to be usable in any way. We will go with that. Like I said before, it is not make or break for us, but we want to try to get the best project and the project that is wanted by the City.

Now, the remaining two issues I wanted to talk about just briefly, infrastructure, there has been a tremendous amount of work that has gone into both, our project team and City Staff analyzing what are going to be the needs for

this project, as far as sewer, water, those sort of requirements.

We have our civil engineer here. If you'd like to, you can hear from him as far as the sewer operations that are going to take place and so forth, but it is an issue that has been very much vetted, you should have confidence on that, and vetted also to the extent that, remember, we do have one neighbor who is going to continue to reside in very close proximity, in the middle of the project area, and we have also figured out how to be able to maintain his access, maintain his quality of living on that property while all of this work is going on, including significant utility work.

The other point is the Art Center Building, very important building in the history of the City, of course. It's part of the City's patrimony. We acknowledge that. We have agreed in the Development Agreement that any future use of that building has to be by mutual agreement by both, the City and the Developer, and have suggested certain uses similar to what we did with the rooftop restaurant, as to perhaps what might be appropriate there, and

leaving open the possibility for there just to be an exceptional use, either proposed by the City or the Developer, but something that is mutually agreeable, so that we maintain it as the heart of the project and where there is a lot of activity and people moving in and out of the building, and a space that is going to benefit the public.

So with that, I'll just do some quick closing remarks.

Mayor and Commissioners, we are at the end of a long and arduous process, but at the beginning of a project hopefully with great promise.

Let's take a look at this from a historical perspective. When this project was first proposed, many said that our neighbors would never support it. As it's clear, after the initial public hearing, and what I believe will be the result of this next public hearing, also, the vast majority of the neighbors, especially those closest to the site, are supportive.

It was then said that the business community would never support it, because of

its potential effects on Miracle Mile. Well, again, that opinion was proven to be wrong, by a majority of the business community supporting this project, especially in light of the many efforts that we're doing to be compatible with and connected to Miracle Mile, including two million dollars worth of streetscape improvements on both sides of Ponce.

Lastly, it was said that a project of this size would never survive the City review process. Well, again, after more than a year, twenty public meetings and eighteen major issues which had to be resolved in two months, all comments have been addressed and out of all of the boards that have reviewed this project and made recommendations to you, there's not even been one vote, on any one of those boards, against this project. They have all been unanimous recommendations for approval.

Mayor and Commissioners, in my opinion, it is time that we declare mission accomplished with regards to the review of this project and now dedicate ourselves to actually converting this almost seven acres vacant and underutilized scar in the heart of the City

1 into the centerpiece of urban re-development 2 which it promises to be. 3 With that, I conclude our presentation. I'll reserve time for any rebuttal that might 5 be necessary. 6 Thank you. 7 MAYOR CASON: Thank you, Mario. COMMISSIONER KEON: Thank you. 9 MAYOR CASON: Since this is a public 10 hearing, do we have any speaker cards? 11 CITY CLERK: Yes, Mr. Mayor. 12 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Mr. Mayor, a 13 procedural issue real quick. We took a vote on the traffic paseo, I'll call it, and I actually 14 15 would like to revoke that vote until after the 16 public input, because I think we took that vote 17 prematurely without hearing the public input. 18 MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, it was an 19 intermediate vote, so you can do the vote again 20 right after hearing --21 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: If I can just clarify 22 that that vote was, I guess, just an informal 23 pole of us. 24 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Amengst us. 25 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: No, it's important,

1 because there could be comments from the public 2 that change our perspective on it. 3 MR. LEEN: Is there a unanimous -- the 4 Commission agrees? 5 MAYOR CASON: Yes. 6 COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes. 7 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes. 8 COMMISSIONER KEON: Can I ask another 9 question? At what point will we discuss the 10 Development Agreement, after the public 11 hearing? 12 MAYOR CASON: After we hear the public 13 comments, we can discuss anything else we want 14 on this. 15 COMMISSIONER KEON: After the public 16 hearing, we'll talk about the Development 17 Agreement at that time? 18 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I think it's more 19 appropriate, so that if anyone has input on 20 that Agreement. 21 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. 22 MAYOR CASON: All right. Let's start off 23 with Orlando Capote. 24 MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, Orlando Capote, who 25 is about to speak, he is the individual who

lives in the house that is most directly affected by the project, the one that we talked about. He's coming up.

I know that the Mayor has indicated that everyone has three minutes to speak.

Mr. Capote, though, is going to be given additional time so he can present his presentation. I know he has a couple of exhibits, too. I also have a couple of exhibits to present.

It is not meant to be a back and forth with the resident. Obviously we are very respectful of him, but I do need to put in the record a couple of items related to some of the matters that he's about to raise, and I'm going to give him a copy of them now, so he has them, but I will wait until after he's spoken.

Mr. Capote, you have to be sworn in.

MR. CAPOTE: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, I was already.

MR. LEEN: You were sworn in? Sorry, sir.

MR. CAPOTE: So, Mr. Mayor, Vice Mayor, Commissioners, City Clerk, City Manager --

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Mr. Capote, if you don't mind, speak up.

1 MAYOR CASON: The mike. MR. CAPOTE: Speak up, yes. VICE MAYOR QUESADA: It's kind of hard for us to hear it. 5 MR. CAPOTE: This is a seventeen-page 6 document. I'm only going to cover the first 7 two pages. The other ones is backup information for you to consider later on, if I 9 can ask you to please be included in the 10 record. 11 MR. LEEN: Yes. Thank you. 12 MR. CAPOTE: Again, Mr. Mayor, Vice Mayor, 13 Commissioners, my name is Orlando Capote. 14 Lucia Capote, my mother, and I are property owners and have resided at 2915 Coconut Grove 15 16 Drive since 1989. 17 Following are our comments regarding the 18 project, that I respectfully ask you include in 19 the project record -- public record. 20 The existing Code allows residential use 21 only and structures less than 36 feet way to be 22 around and across a property. We object to any 23 Code changes that will take away the single 24 family residential character of our 25 neighborhood and the way of life we currently

enjoy.

The previous project did not finish the alleys and the streets. The City must require a performance bond, so that any work on alleys, streets or any public right of ways -- that way the bond can be used in case the project is not finished.

The proposed plan places secant walls all around our property. That will block underground water flow and the natural irrigation to the trees on our property. Those secant walls are going down two stories.

That's the depth of the underground parking lot.

Also our property may be subject to flooding during the wet season, because the secant walls will prevent water to flow out of the property. Normally water will peculate down and then follow the current. I am told that the current goes from the northwest to the southeast of our property. It will also impact our neighbors, as well.

Also, there is an issue of access water pressure. If you do have an extensive wet season, you can have water pressuring up.

There's going to be a seven-acre parking lot.

Only two places where water pressure can come up, under a property, under the historic building. I have been told by project managers who are now building 50-story towers on Brickell that that water pressure is sufficient to lift up our home and affect the foundations. It can even lift up a three-story building such

as the historic building.

For those reasons, we ask that the secant walls that enclose our property and block the water flow be denied.

The existing plat, and I have included the plat as part of the backup documentation, there is the Tract E adjacent to the northwest side of our property. The plat designates that as not a building site, but dedicated for public ingress and egress. Key, not a building site. The parking lot is being shown built under that.

Since we're adjacent to this tract, we have the right to the center line of the tract, along the length of our property. We also have the rights to the center line of the street in front of our property. For this reason,

place 2 nor

placement of the secant walls along the northwest side of our property and in front of our property, on Coconut Grove Drive, is prohibited without our permission.

The alley adjoining and adjacent to the back of our property has provided us with safe, convenient access to Galiano Street since 1989, and those are key words, safe, convenient access. Those words appear over, and over and over on the current Codes. Most of my trips from work every day take me from Southwest 87th Avenue, coming from the southeast to the Malaga-Coconut Grove traffic circle. From there, I go half a block north, then make a left into the alley, and I'm right there at the garage at the back of my property.

That takes about that much to describe, okay, half an inch.

If you take my alley away -- actually, it's a public alley. If you take that public alley away, what I have to do takes about two inches of paragraph to explain, and I will explain it to you. Please bear with me.

If the 2900 Block of Coconut Grove Drive is converted from a two-way traffic street into a

one way street and no turns to Malaga are allowed from the circle, then vehicular traffic approaching from the southeast on Coconut Grove Drive would be forced to turn right on Malaga,

going north onto Galiano.

If the alley is vacated, then starting from the circle, I will have to go north on Galiano. The traffic flow plan show traffic calming restrictions. They would not allow me to make a left turn on Palermo. So I would have to continue, cross Palermo, go on to Sevilla. At Sevilla, I would have to make a left turn, going west, less than a hundred feet from the existing intersection, and that is a key number, because you're supposed to have a hundred feet between intersections and streets.

So I'll have to go about sixty feet, wait for the traffic on Sevilla to clear, cross Sevilla, and enter -- go down into one of the project internal roads. I would have to go one block south, to Palermo; stop at Palermo, wait for the two-way traffic to clear, cross Palermo, and enter the internal project road in the block where I live, go down half a block, then turn right, going to my garage.

Please take that into account, because it goes back to the safe and convenient access.

The alley vacation, the resulting
unreasonable access route we would have to take
to reach our property, the placement of access
easements and the internal project roads
located less than a hundred feet from street
intersections, including the one on Coconut
Grove Drive, I believe that none of these
components comply with Chapter 28 of the
Miami-Dade County Code.

I have included the sections of Chapter 28 of the Code with my submittal, the pertinent items have been highlighted in yellow.

In addition, I believe that they're not in compliance with Code of Ordinance Chapter 2, Article 13, Section 295-1, which places traffic engineering under the exclusive jurisdiction of Miami-Dade County. As per County Ordinances, both Chapter 28 and Section 295-1 shall apply and are enforceable on incorporated and unincorporated areas of the County.

The alley vacation also affects the ownership and right of convenient access to our property, and, therefore, it's not in

compliance with Florida Statutes Title 12,
Municipalities, Chapter 177, Land Boundaries,
Section 101(3). I've also included that with
the submittal and highlighted it.

As reflected in the State Attorney General Opinion AGO 78125, which is also included in my submittal, the light, air and access provided by the alley and the street are considered part of a property's rights. These rights are subject to Constitutional protection and cannot be taken away without due process of law and just compensation. If the alley is used by the public, such as garbage trucks, and is used by garbage trucks, Water Department, power, cable, telephone utilities, the alley should not be closed, as it will be injurious or violate individual property rights. And, again, I've taken this out from the AGO 78125.

State Constitutional Law protects property rights, which include ingress, egress, light, air provided by the alley. Property rights are outside the municipal home rule powers and outside the jurisdiction of the City. An attempt by a municipality to sort property rights or property interest is outside the

scope of the municipal home rule powers. It is beyond the power of the City to run or convey a private person or a corporation the ground embraced by a vacated alley or street.

Any changes on construction that would restrict access to the front of our property or encroach into our property, either underground or in the air space, or take away air, light, sight or air space, would be in violation of our property rights, and, again, this is from the AGO 78125.

The application for the alley closure has not demonstrated good reasons for vacating the alley, and that it would be in the best interest of the public.

The development consists of 6.8 acres, just about. Does the Developer really need this tiny .39 acres of alley and violate our property rights? There is no public benefit for the taking of the alley, and that is a key statement. To take public property, it has to be a public purpose.

The subject alley is presently used by public service vehicles. It is part of an alley design that was not completed by the Old

Spanish Village project. That demonstrates that keeping the subject alley as it is, owned and maintained by the City, is the only way to ensure we will have access to the garage at the back of our property, regardless of what may happen to the proposed project.

The taking of this alley would also eliminate the approval of the Old Spanish

Village design for aligned alleys. I've heard mentioned before, Malaga, Coconut Grove Drive,

Galiano. There was an alley network that connected all three.

The aligned alleys would provide an alternate route for police and rescue vehicles to reach the community during emergencies and street closures. This is also a traffic engineering matter that is under the exclusive jurisdiction of the County.

For the reasons above, I respectfully request that the application to vacate the alley be denied.

Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

Craig, do you want to say anything at this point?

MR. LEEN: Yes. I would.

First I'd like to say to Mr. Capote that we're having a copy of what he's presented put in the record and a copy provided to each of you and to the Applicant.

I also have some documents I'd like to provide, just to put in the record. I'll present them afterwards.

The point I wanted to make here is that this issue, we've discuss with the County already, and I provided to them opinions pursuant to 2-702 of the Zoning Code, and also pursuant to our City Code, as early as March -- actually, as early as March 25th, and according to our Zoning Code, the County could have tried to challange that, they could have appealed it, pursuant to the Rules of Appellate procedures, that's what our Zoning Code says. They haven't done so. We made it very clear our view, that the City has the primary jurisdiction here over whether to vacate the alley or not.

If you look at the different provisions that you have to consider in whether to vacate the alley, and take a look at Exhibit M in your book, and you'll see the findings that you're

being asked to make today, you're looking at this issue already at this level, whether there is -- this is to the benefit to the City, whether this serves the health, safety and welfare and convenience of the citizens, whether there's been appropriate mitigation, whether there's sufficient access.

These are all issues that you're considering and we're treating as a quasi-judicial proceeding. So there's a number of reasons why you have the ultimate decision here, not the County.

These are discussed in the opinions I've given, but it would also be based on Article 6 of the County Charter, which gives the City the ability to have a higher standard of zoning, and to make these determinations. It also would be based on the Temple Terrace decision, and that sort of framework. Any sort of determination here is done by the local government, sitting in its quasi-judicial capacity, not by the County or a State agency.

You're still looking at the issue, but it's being done here as part of a quasi-judicial proceeding.

So, in my view, you have full authority to act. You should consider what Mr. Capote has said and what the traffic consultants have said. The County obviously has the ability to weigh in on the traffic study and on traffic flow, in general, but they're not allowed to veto, and nor have they asserted that right in the past, to veto the ability of the City to vacate an alleyway where the City's laws indicate that it needs to be vacated, and that's what you're determining.

So if you determine if needs to be vacated, they don't have a right to veto that, and they're not here today asserting that right, nor have they come and spoken, and I have given these opinions to them and they've had them.

So with that, I'm going to put these in the record, with the Mayor's permission.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

MR. LEEN: Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you very much.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I have a question for you, the document that you've provided, is that something we've seen before or is that a new version?

MR. CAPOTE: It contains Chapter 28. I

have in the past e-mailed that information to

you.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. So we've seen it before? I just want to make sure there isn't -- I mean, I heard what you said today, and I know we've had conversations about it. I know you threw out information, but if there was anything new in there, I would like to see it before we vote.

And, also, Mr. City Attorney, we've talked about this in the past, if you're giving to us something that we're going to vote on today, if we can get it ahead of time, because now we've got to read this as we're conducting this hearing. It just makes it a little bit harder for us to get through everything.

MR. LEEN: Of course. This particular opinion, I had given at the last meeting, and I'm giving it again. There has been a subsequent one, and I'm sorry I didn't forward it to you in advance.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Please, just in the future, because we have a lot to go through.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Mr. City Attorney,

2 on each of these individually? Like would we 3 vote -- I'm new at this, will we vote on the vacation of the alley? 5 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yeah, it will be 6 individually. 7 Seven individual votes. MAYOR CASON: 8 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: But the thing is, this 9 is a unique issue. 1.0 COMMISSIONER KEON: Mr. Capote, if the alley is vacated, do you still have access to 11 12 your garage from Coconut Grove Drive? 13 MR. CAPOTE: What they're proposing is not 14 safe or convenient, and that's the key. 15 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. You know what it 16 is, I don't want to see you be denied access to 17 your garage, but you can still access your 18 garage from Coconut Grove Drive; is that right? 19 MR. CAPOTE: If Coconut Grove Drive is 20 turned into a one way street, no. I would have 21 to now take another --22 COMMISSIONER KEON: You might have to take 23 a longer route, but you still have access? I 24 want to make sure that the only access to your 25 garage is not from that alley.

before you leave your microphone, are we voting

1

1 MR. CAPOTE: At this time, it is. 2 COMMISSIONER KEON: At this time, it is? MR. CAPOTE: Yes. COMMISSIONER KEON: Why don't you have 5 access to your garage from Coconut Grove Drive? 6 MR. CAPOTE: That was never the case. 7 COMMISSIONER KEON: Do you have access to 8 your garage from Coconut Grove Drive? 9 MR. CAPOTE: No. No. 10 COMMISSIONER KEON: You have no access to your garage from Coconut Grove Drive? 11 12 MR. CAPOTE: Not at this time. 13 original City plat, 1926 plat, had an alley 14 going in a semi-circular fashion from Galiano 15 to Palermo and that is the alley that served 16 that entire block. 17 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. So the way that 18 your home is situated on your lot, you cannot 19 access your garage from Coconut Grove Drive? 20 MR. CAPOTE: No, not at this time. 21 they're proposing an alternate route, and as 22 I've described, if Coconut Grove Drive becomes 23 a one way street southeast, I will not be able 24 to do that. I would then have to take the 25 route north --

COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. It's not that you may have take a different route to get there, but you're not denied access to a portion of your home, which is your garage, what you would put your car in, if this alley is vacated?

MR. CAPOTE: I would be denied. Yes.

The way it is being shown now -- can you hear me?

What is being presented here is only a portion. What is not made clear is that this is being proposed to become a one way street.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right.

MR. CAPOTE: So if I'm at this location, I cannot go there and do this, because this is close to the intersection. It's not a safe distance. I would have to go -- you know, normally what I do now, what I described is, I take this route -- this is good.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: He's trying to bring up the site plan. The site plan is going to help describe the situation a little bit better.

MR. CAPOTE: What I described -- this is my normal route, okay.

Now, this becomes a one way street, I

cannot go in there, and this is too close to an existing intersection anyway. I would have to do this. I cannot turn left here. I would now have to go all of the way to Sevilla, turn here -- again, this is too close to an intersection -- come on down, stop, wait for traffic to clear, come on down again, to get into my property. That is what I described.

COMMISSIONER KEON: That's the same way that everyone that lives in a townhouse would have to access it, too. You can't access the alley right there to go in?

MR. CAPOTE: Here.

COMMISSIONER KEON: There.

MR. CAPOTE: No. They're looking at making this a one way. Two points --

COMMISSIONER KEON: Oh, it's a one way street. So does anyone that lives -- referring to the Developer, does anyone that's going to live in the townhouses, will they have to access that alley through Palermo? They would have to do the same thing, also?

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: It depends on which direction you're coming from. If you're going --

1 COMMISSIONER KEON: If they're coming in
2 from Douglas, I think.
3

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: So if you're coming in from Douglas -- Dan will point it out there -- anyone that's, let's say, going down one of these side streets from Douglas towards the development, whether you're a townhome resident or whether you're Mr. Capote, will not be able to go west on Coconut Grove Drive. So they will need to go up Galiano and make the first left possible, which is Sevilla, and then come back down the internal drive to either the townhome or to Mr. Capote's home.

If you want, I'll go up there and I'll point it out for you.

MR. TRIAS: Mayor, the only point I wanted to raise is the difficulties with the route have to do with the traffic improvements that are required to protect the neighborhood from through traffic. So that is the reason why the way into the houses are a little bit more difficult, it's because of those improvements.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Say you're coming in this direction, you would have to make a right,

1 go up here, and then go back here to either 2 access the townhomes or Mr. Capote's home. 3 COMMISSIONER KEON: Is there an access -- I mean, can you get to your garage from Coconut 5 Grove Drive or you have to come through that? 6 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: No, if he's coming down 7 Coconut Grove Drive, he would have to go like this. 8 9 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Excuse me, sir, we 10 need the microphone. 11 MR. LEEN: Wait. Wait. Mr. Capote, Wait. 12 we want to hear you. 13 MR. CAPOTE: Yes. What would happen is, I 14 would have to -- since this is a one way 15 street, okay, I would have to do this, go to 16 the Palm Circle, turn around, to get to the 17 front of the property. 18 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. Well, okay, but 19 you still have access. Your access is a little 20 -- it takes you a little longer, but you still 21 have access. 22 MR. CAPOTE: Keep in mind what the Code, 23 Chapter 28, and traffic engineering codes, 24 safe, convenient access. I would not call this 25 safe or convenient, or, that, safe or

1 convenient.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. But I'm assuming -- maybe, Ramon, could you come up to the microphone?

I'm assuming that those traffic modifications have been done in order to make -- so that Coconut Grove Drive does not become a principal entry into this area? As a residential community to the east of this project, you're attempting to keep those streets available for residential traffic and not as an arterial or whatever coming into this? Is that why --

MR. TRIAS: Right. That's one of the aspects of that, and then the other intersection design issues, those are the recommended intersection designs to keep through traffic going into the neighborhood.

MR. TRIAS: Yeah. Yeah. The consequence of that is the situation that Mr. Capote has described.

COMMISSIONER KEON: That he will have to take a longer -- so the public good is the

2.4

protection of that residential community to the east of the project.

MR. TRIAS: Yeah, but the other consequence of that, also, is that now he does have access from Coconut Grove Drive, which currently that's not the case. So it's a balancing act.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. I understand.

MR. CAPOTE: It would be convenient access.

I pointed out, I will have to go all of the way -- go down to Ponce Circle and come on down.

COMMISSIONER KEON: I understand. Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Joseph Kirk.

MR. KIRK: Good afternoon, Mayor Cason,
City Commission. My name is Joseph Kirk. I
live on the 100 Block of Santander Avenue, and
we're one of the streets that's scheduled for
improvements as a result of the development,
and I'd appreciate the Developer making a
financial commitment to improve our street, to
help reduce traffic and the impact of this very
large development.

•

I did have one concern that was raised by Commissioner Lago. Most of the -- for example, we live in what's designated on the plans as the south neighborhood, and \$200,000 has been dedicated to our improvements.

The east neighborhood has \$2,000,000 dedicated to street improvements, and Commissioner Lago asked whether there were enough funds — asked the City whether there were enough funds set aside to make these improvements. I do have concerns, because based on my calculation of the homes involved, approximately twice as much has been allocated for the east neighborhood than allocated for our street.

So I would ask that you consider making it a requirement that equal amounts are allocated or -- at least for the improvements or that the improvements all be the same, so that we're not, at the end of the equation, short-changed because of the limit of funds.

We were late in the game, we were brought in afterwards, but I appreciate the Developer has considered our concerns.

And then the other thing relates to

something Commissioner Slesnick said regarding the alleyway behind Christy's restaurant.

There is going to be a lot more traffic on Ponce as a result of this development.

I live on Santander, which is the block right by Christy's restaurant. That alleyway now is used as a cut through by many people. The alleyway goes all of the way down to Camillo, it's about four or five blocks long, and people use that as a cut through to avoid the traffic on Ponce or on Malaga.

I see that the alleyway is being used a lot more as cut throughs as traffic backs up on Ponce or Malaga, so I would ask that the traffic -- that you require the traffic studies to consider the alleyways, because we do have young children on our street, mine included, and the alleyways are blind and I'm concerned about pedestrians being hit by cars racing through the alleyways to get to the project, and that's all I have to say. Thank you very much.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Mr. Kirk, your address again?

1 MR. KIRK: 117 Santander Avenue. Thank you. COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Thank you. MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 5 Jim Hartnett. 6 MR. HARTNETT: My name is Jim Hartnett. live at 510 Marmore Avenue, born and raised in 8 Coral Gables, and I have a question about Ponce 9 I have not heard any comments as to 10 whether that park, which was named after my 11 father, who was a City Commissioner, Mayor, 12 here in this town, and I'm asking, is there any additions or activity that's going to change 13 14 that park from a free open space? 15 COMMISSIONER KEON: I think maybe the No. 16 Manager can address that. 17 MAYOR CASON: City Manager, this was 18 included, if I'm not mistaken, in the 19 Neighborhood Renaissance Program. 20 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Yes, sir. 21 COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes, and there's an RFP --22 MS SWANSON-RIVENBARK: As an open space. 23 As a public open space. 24 MR. HARTNETT: Say it again, please. 25 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: It is absolutely

1. programmed to be a public open space. 2 will not change. What is changing is, the City Commission has earmarked dollars to make 3 additional enhancements to that public open 5 space. 6 MR. HARTNETT: Enhancements? 7 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Yes, sir. 8 MAYOR CASON: Yeah, we were looking 9 originally at putting some of the utilities 10 underground, like the electrical, the 11 generators, all of those things that are 12 brought in now and very unsightly. I think 13 that was the original discussion four years ago 14 on that. 15 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Right, but there's 16 also landscape improvements and others to make 17 it a more friendly park. 18 MR. HARTNETT: But this project will not 19 affect the open space park; is that correct? 20 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: No, sir. 21 COMMISSIONER LAGO: The program will stay 22 the same. 23 COMMISSIONER KEON: Yeah, the park will 24 remain as it is.

MR. HARTNETT: The second comment I have

is, in listening to these, I have not heard whether the project, as completed, whether it's going to have any effect on our City's fire insurance premiums, and, secondly, do we have the equipment now to service a building of that height?

MAYOR CASON: City Manager, I think you can address that.

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Mr. Mayor, if I may, I'd like to call Chief Stolzenberg up. We have looked at both, police and fire impacts, as a part of the project, and so the Chief will be able to give you a full response.

CHIEF STOLZENBERG: Good afternoon. As far as the ISO rating and our equipment, the project will not impact us. It will be just a target hazard, with a risk factor built into it, and our response would cover it. So it would not affect the ISO rating, which would then affect the fire insurance.

MR. HARTNETT: But will it affect -- the equipment that we have now is sufficient to service that?

CHIEF STOLZENBERG: Yes, it's consistent with the --

1 The increase --MR. HARTNETT: CHIEF STOLZENBERG: It's consistent with our current service. MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 on the specific projects, but --21 22 23 residents and --24 COMMISSIONER KEON: It may, but I think 25

But as clarification, sir, we have earmarked a half a ladder, a half a rescue and the traffic actuators as a part of this project, so we have identified -- additional improvements will be needed to make sure that the service is at the level that you expect it to be, and we have shared that with the Commission, and it is incorporated into these recommendations. MR. HARTNETT: Based on Commissioner Slesnick's comments, if the other developments occur without adjustments, is that going to enhance or require us to add more fire equipment and facilities to this City? MAYOR CASON: It very well might, and police. I think that's to be determined, based MR. STOLZENBERG: Yeah, we would have to look at more of a formula and demand and

what you need to consider is what you are --

5

6

7

1

9

11

10

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

the anticipated property tax that will be paid to the City, that will come in to the City CAFR, as a result of this development and the amount of money that the City will recognize that are generated by these projects is far more than the cost to the additional personnel or equipment that may be needed to service the City.

So it's all -- it all, you know, weighs out with regard to the property tax revenue that comes to the City as a result of this development.

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: But the City Commission has asked us to analyze this project and to provide solid estimates on service impact, what additional officers, what additional firefighters would be needed, and so that is included in this --

MR. HARTNETT: In the report.

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: And moving forward, the Commission has also challenged us, as developments come online, for us to identify what the service impacts are, to make sure that we are properly addressing them.

MR. HARTNETT: But don't we already have an

estimated of future projects? Can't we project that now? If there's fourteen or fifteen more projects of this nature or a portion of this nature, we're going to be looking at more service by the Fire Department. Are we not planning for that now, as it affects the Fire Department in this City?

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: So we have a new Assistant City Manager, Director of Public Safety -- he's here in the audience -- Frank Fernandez. One of the things that he is doing, amidst other projects, is looking at the staffing requirements, making sure that both, police and fire, are adequately staffed. We're addressing it in the budget. We're addressing it as a part of the development review, so that we are budgeting to make sure that those service levels are not negatively impacted, but also improved.

MR. HARTNETT: Excellent. So that's in the works?

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Yeah, and so that those people and that personnel will be in place at the time that these projects come online and

1 are occupied, so we're not, you know, behind in 2 our service, that we all arrive at the same 3 time. MR. HARTNETT: I have one closing comment.

I share your view. This City has had a Building Code, Building Code enforcements for years and years, and that's why we have such a terrific city. The people that want to come in and get exceptions to our Code to go a little bit higher or a little bit more of this, bonuses, as they were talking about in the past, I'm against that.

I think that we have a City, we have a Building Code, and they ought to build according to our Building Code, not us change the Code to accommodate the buildings, regardless of the amount of money and resources that is going to produce for this City. Sooner or later, done enough of that, it will not be City of Coral Gables, City Beautiful, it will be City of Coral Gables, Concrete Castle. Thank you, Mayor.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

Kirk Menendez.

MR. MENENDEZ: Kirk Menendez, 325 Malaga

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Avenue. My neighbor, Julio Webol, of 309

Malaga Avenue, was scheduled to speak, and,

Mayor, I think you have his card, he had to go

back to the office. He asked, as do I, that

his three minutes be added to mine, just in

case they're needed.

MAYOR CASON: I didn't have a card for him, but go ahead.

MR. MENENDEZ: Oh, okay. Thank you.

I, on behalf of 346, 341, 333, 325, 323, 309, 310 and 300 and 318 Malaga Avenue, support this project and ask you to approve it.

A lot of people are afraid of change and of the unknown, but this project is simply a continuation. It's a continuation of what started decades ago, to make the Craft Section a vibrant part of the City's urban core.

George Merrick was a dreamer, but he was also a doer, but for setbacks in the economy and his own mortality, we would have gotten to this point many, many years ago.

George Merrick dreamed and he dreamed big.

That's what makes Coral Gables like no other

City in South Florida today.

This project is a not a break with

tradition. This project is not a break with the City's history. It's a continuation of those dreams and of that vision.

The City's urban core should not be fragmented. In fact, it should be as one. This project is a proper and needed step in unifying the City's core. It will also revitalize an area that has been withering on the vine for many, many years.

I've lived in the Craft Section for 52 continuous years. The Mediterranean Village will finally make the Craft Section walkable at night, instead of barren, as it is today.

I understand that there may be some concern about the retail component of the Village.

These are the same concerns that existed when the Village of Merrick Park came before the City Commission for approval, but if you took a snapshot of Miracle Mile on a Friday night in 2015 and you compared it with a similar photo from 2000, you will see that Miracle Mile and our Downtown area is more vibrant than ever.

How is that possible, you may ask? It's because all parts of the core are interconnected. When you provide nutrients to

1 one part, the entire core gets stronger. In conclusion, I encourage you to connect the past, the present and the future. I encourage you to further connect and strengthen 5 our urban core, and I encourage you to support 6 and approve this amazing project. Thank you. MAYOR CASON: Thank you very much. 9 COMMISSIONER KEON: Kirk, thank you. 10 address -- you live on Malaga; is that right? 11 MR. MENENDEZ: 325 --12 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right, there's like 13 four homes on Malaga that are right across from the --14 15 MR. MENENDEZ: I live west of Salcedo --16 between Salcedo and Le Jeune. So we are in 17 that general area. We will be impacted, but 18 speaking with many of our neighbors --19 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay, but you're west 20 of the project. 21 MR. MENENDEZ: Yeah. We're supportive. 22 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 23 Alexander Adams. 24 MR. ADAMS: My name is Alexander Adams, and 25 I live at 36 Palermo Avenue, so I'm the third

house on Palermo, next to this development, and I felt I needed to clarify, and I need to speak as a resident next to this development, because there's been a lot of talk about what is going to be the streets on the eastern side and what, let's say, we have been promised and what we have been involved in, and I think you'll hear in the comments from the residents, at least on the eastern side, we've been engaged with the Developer.

The Developer brought myself on, as an urban design planner preservationist, to design and to go out to the neighbors and create the plans that you see there. So it's concerning to us, to at this late date, the City come now and say they're going to do the project, because we have seen that you can put a bond, you can put an escrow, okay -- Spanish Village was just said had an escrow. The City has that money somewhere. The streets were never completed. The roundabouts are there. The sidewalks are half done. The lighting is not done.

So we are asking that -- this area is done, okay. We're asking that you implement it. The

2

3

5

6

7

8

Way.

right?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

trees and mitigation from traffic, and so we

don't want to come back five years from now and

Developer has agreed to put enough money to

done to a quality that is Coral Gables.

fund it, but we want to make sure that this is

If you look at the plaza that's there

today, the arch that's there today, it's just

stucco, okay, a lot of it, right; the plaza,

the walls. That's not what you have on Coral

In this building, look right here, you

know, this is Coral Gables, it's a quality that

designed it -- we were to receive lighting, we

were to receive the streets, sidewalks, street

street at Ponce Park. It's a coral wall,

we expect. So when we designed this, we

That's not what you even have across the

a new City Commission or a new -- you know,

some of you will be termed out, new people and

maybe a recession, again, who knows what, and

now, all of a sudden, we're asked, "Hey,

residents, do you want lights or do you want

coral walls? Do you want this or do you want

that?"

We were given a promise. We went out and

1 designed it. We went out and talked to the 2 neighbors. We want this implemented, okay, and I think that's fair, if you will, to the residents that are most impacted, and the 5 residents to the east are the only ones that 6 are directly impacted. 7 Other people are peripherally, but these are the streets that have a direct connection 8 9 to the project, and we support the project. MAYOR CASON: Craig, do you want to 10 11 address --12 Well, first, if you're asking MR. LEEN: 13 that that be placed in the record, which I 14 assume you are --15 MR. ADAMS: I'm asking that this be placed 16 in the record. 17 MR. LEEN: You should explain what the 18 pictures are. 19 MR. ADAMS: Okay. I'm asking --20 MR. LEEN: You can ask the Mayor if he will 21 allow it to be placed on the record. 22 MAYOR CASON: Yes, go ahead. 23 MR. ADAMS: Okay. I'm asking that these 24 documents be placed in the record, which is 25 simply the plans that -- and now I'm speaking,

my firm, designed on behalf of the residents, and have been seen over and over in resident meetings. It shows some of the existing conditions that I'm talking about, that are subpar to Coral Gables, like this, and existing -- you know, what Coral Gables really intends to be, and what I'm asking is, either this will be -- you could place it in the Development Agreement or you could have a separate vote and just say that the Commission's intent is for this to happen, so whatever -- if the City is going to implement it or whatever, the intent is for it to be done as described in these designs.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Craig, could I ask you just a quick question, because I may be the only one, but I'm a little confused? I was under the impression that the previous Developer did not have a bond on that project.

MR. LEEN: I would ask the -- there was something related to it. I do think there was something, but could --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Ramon, are you aware if the previous developer, which obviously did not proceed with the project, was there a bond that

1 was provided in reference to the project? 2 MR. TRIAS: I don't know if there was a 3 bond. COMMISSIONER LAGO: 4 No, because if there 5 was a bond then there's --6 MR. TRIAS: They did build several 7 projects, which are those projects. I don't 8 know. 9 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Entrance features. 10 think we need to find that out. 11 MR. LEEN: We'll find that out. We'll find 12 that out. 13 Susan, do you happen to know? 14 MR. ADAMS: That's all I have. Thank you. 15 MS. TREVARATHEN: I do know that there were 16 many promises to pay that weren't satisfied, 17 because the project fell apart due to lack of 18 money. So I don't have an accounting of 19 everything that didn't get done, but I know a 20 lot of the money that was supposed to be paid 21 did not get paid. 22 MR. LEEN: I do know that as part of the 23 Development Agreement, we have asked and it's 24 being proffered that some of these things are 25 being taken care of now. For example, the loss

of parking spaces is a very good example. So

it has affected our negotiations and there have

been some proffers related to it.

MS. TREVARATHEN: Yes. That's true. And there are bonds in the new Development Agreement to ensure --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Excuse me, Craig, what I was mentioning before in reference to meeting with the residents in that area and going over, and, Ramon, you know, started discussing it in further detail, that there wasn't really a plan in place, it seems to me like there's a pretty significant plan in place. I mean, this may be more conceptual, but it seems pretty straight-forward, and, I guess, has this been vetted in front of the residents, have the residents given significant input in regards to this?

MR. ADAMS: Yes. We've had public meetings. We had public meetings at the Art Center, on behalf of the Developer. We had probably forty, fifty residents there. There's been individual outreach from myself, as well as the PR company.

As you hear from Malaga, as you hear from

Coconut Grove, everyone's in support --

MAYOR CASON: So is your fear that the money will somehow dry up or -- I'm not quite sure. Do you want a bond?

MR. TRIAS: Mayor, if I could.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: He wants those monies escrowed specifically earmarked for these improvements.

MR. TRIAS: Another neighbor just informed me that she is not in agreement with the design. She would like to speak later. So you may want to wait until you finish the public hearing.

Now, what I would say is that that is the reason why the City is saying that there should be a public process, to make sure that everybody gets a chance to propose ideas and so on.

Having said all of that, I think that

Alex's plan is very good. I mean, he's done a

really excellent job, and has some very good

features that are certainly very applicable.

So that's not a critique.

All we're saying is, let's make sure that the process of public input is properly done

-

and we end up with a plan that is ready to be implemented, which is the other thing, the plan is not ready to be implemented. There are no construction documents. There's no cost estimates of the detail of implementation yet.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, Ramon.

MR. ADAMS: So the only thing I would say is, this design has been vetted. The only -- and Ramon brings up a good point, and I've talked to the homeowner, as well as you have, the only thing that is not included here is Coconut Grove Drive wants nothing but shade trees, but that's minor. I mean, that's not -- what I want is the intent and all of the features that are shown, and there's a lot of detail already done.

I don't want to go to another five and ten public meetings and drag this thing out and have to argue with the City over, you know, what are we going to do, and, then, at the end of the day, as you say, is 2.7 million enough? I don't know. I mean, it's an estimate.

At the end of the day, are we going to be asked, do you want lighting or do you want this wall or do you want this, when we've been --

we've come up with what we want. Maybe there's one tweak, but the Developer is in agreement. This is more of an issue with the City.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Let me ask you a quick question in reference to this. We came to a number that the Developers are proffering on the Development Agreement based on what design? What did that number come from?

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Let me give you a description of how everything's evolved up until now.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: When we started the project and started interacting with the neighbors, Alex was brought on board as sort of our planning consultant for the neighborhood, and so there were a series of meetings and indeed those conceptual plans were prepared, and sort of indicate, you know, a lot of the features, I think, that everybody in this room and in the audience is in agreement on, we need to decrease pavement, increase green, more trees.

There might be some differences as to how many palms, as opposed to how many shade, that

1 sort of stuff.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Entrance features.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: So all of the sort of big components have been discussed. So those conceptual plans are the ones that sort of have been circulated in the community and I think are going to be our starting point in the rest of the process that's continuous, because it's not like those plans, we can submit tomorrow for a permit.

Those plans have to go from conceptual to something -- design, and then something construction. So, indeed, I think that serves as sort of the starting point, since there is a great amount of consensus on those plans, but I think there definitely still has to be a further process as to how it's going to be implemented and the exact design that's going to happen.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: The transition from conceptual to actual CDs, is that covered with the two million dollars or two million and change or is that excluded from those soft costs?

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Two million is going to

1 be the cost of the improvements, not 2 including --3 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Not including the soft 4 costs? 5 MR. ADAMS: Construction only. 6 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Constructionally. 7 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. And the soft 8 costs are being covered by the Developer or it 9 could be covered by the City or being covered 10 by --MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. 11 12 COMMISSIONER KEON: Developer. 13 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'm just trying to get 14 all of this clarified now, because then 15 later --16 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We do the plan 17 preparations. We cover the cost of plan 18 development and preparation. 19 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. 20 MAYOR CASON: And you told us or you 21 thought that two million dollars would cover 22 what's in these conceptual plans? 23 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. Two million 24 for what we call the eastern neighborhood. 25 There's another two hundred thousand for

1 Santander and San Sebastian, another two 2 million for the neighborhood that we call the 3 west neighborhood, and then two million for Ponce. 5 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. Let me ask you 6 just one last question in regards to Coconut 7 Grove Drive. Within those monies, we're 8 ensuring that we are going to -- if there are 9 coconut trees there, we will be removing those, 10 donating them, re-planting them, whatever the 11 case may be, and we're providing the necessary 12 shade trees, correct? 13 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. Yeah. That's 14 part of the plan. 1.5 COMMISSIONER LAGO: And that's included 16 within that? 17 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Uh-huh. 18 MR. ADAMS: Correct. 19 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I just want to get that 20 on the record. 21 MR. ADAMS: So this plan shows the 2.2 sidewalks they want, the streets and all. You 23 just take, instead of coconut, it would be 24 shade trees. That's it. 25 COMMISSIONER LAGO: And I know we discussed this a few times, but I'm going to save you a lot of headaches in the near future, okay,

trust me.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: One point that I think is illustrative, that sort of came up, on Alex's plans, it called for a lot of trees sort of in the public right of way area, and when we were talking to the neighbors, the neighbors said, you know what, we love trees. We also need that area for some parking. We use it for parking.

And so that's one example of how perhaps those conceptual plans aren't exactly in line with what the majority of the neighborhood wants and where you could see a potential change, in that, you know, there could be areas now that we might have a tree, where the neighbors say, no, no, no, you know what, I need that for when guests come to visit or whatever it might be, and so we're providing a green area.

A green area, I may add, which Alex could come into more description with, that has sort of foundational support and sort of structural soil and whatever else, so it doesn't

1 ultimately end up becoming a huge divot. 2 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Has a firm been 3 designated to transition from conceptual to 4 CDs? 5 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: No, not yet. We still 6 have to select the --7 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Let's say the project 8 is approved today, as a whole. Again, I know 9 that Susan mentioned we don't want to put 10 certain conditions in regards to scheduling, 11 but what would be your time frame to be able to 12 have the first and maybe final discussions with 13 the neighbors and push this forward into actual 14 CDs? 15 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I would say, within 16 thirty days, we identify who is going to be the 17 architect, who is going to be undertaking the 18 project to prepare the plans. 19 And, then, after those thirty days is when 20 we would start, again, the public input 21 process. 22 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Ramon. 23 MR. TRIAS: What I would recommend is, 24 amend the Development Agreement to clarify that 25 the cost of the design work is additional.

1 Susan is working on that. 2 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. 3 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. MR. TRIAS: Because I don't think that's 5 clear in the Development Agreement. 6 COMMISSIONER LAGO: No, I want to make it 7 very, very clear, because then someone's going 8 to be left holding the bag. 9 MR. TRIAS: Yes, and that could be 10 substantial. That could be a substantial 11 amount. So we're working on that. 12 MR. ADAMS: Yeah, we just want to make sure 13 we get, at the end of the day, the project 14 complete and I also would say --15 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yeah, but the end of 16 the day could be six months or it could be in 17 five years. 18 MR. ADAMS: Well, yes. That was the other 19 part is -- and I think other people will speak, 20 Certain items, like sidewalks and lighting 21 and trees, could be done today, versus pavement 22 can be done later. 23 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes. And that's my 24 intention. I made that very clear. 25 Developer agrees. It's solely in their

benefit, especially with the residents who are
going to be right next door dealing with this
construction for the next three years or two

Let's get the trees installed and let's get the lightning installed, let's get the sidewalks, leave a simple or a moderate amount as a reserve, if there are any damages in reference to those sidewalks, but I don't want to see what happened ten years ago happen today.

and a half years, depending on the time frame.

MR. ADAMS: Correct.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I agree with

Commissioner Lago. I think the trees and the

sidewalks should go in right away, because

you've lived with this mess over there long

enough, and it takes a while for the trees to

grow. So by the time the project's finished in

three or four years -- how long does it take to

build this?

MAYOR CASON: Yeah, it takes away the inflation factor.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Exactly what the Mayor said.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I agree, as well.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I bet you that once the Developer speaks to their contractor, whoever they choose, and they put themselves in a position where they're buying in a bigger scale, they'll get even better pricing.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: It seems like we're all in agreement on this topic, so let's move on to the next item.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'll be quiet. I know you want me to be quiet.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: No, we have a lot of issues. We're all in agreement. Let's move on to the next item.

MR. TRIAS: Mayor, one observation, the time that we're talking about, that has to do with the amount of public input, okay. A project, you can do it real fast, with no public input, or a little bit longer, depending --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yeah, I understand we need to have public input, but we have conceptual drawings. You said, if we have an approval today, thirty days from now the Developer can select whoever the architect is

1 going to be, but we need to move on this. I 2 don't want to have fifteen public meetings. 3 It's a very small area. It's fifty, sixty homes. We should be able to have one or two 5 meetings and move forward on this issue, iron 6 this out and get the CDs done. 7 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: We don't have to 8 go through the procurement process along the 9 way, so it should speed it up. 10 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, Ramon. 11 MAYOR CASON: Okay. Thank you. 12 Enrique Lopez. 13 MR. LOPEZ: Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor. 14 I able to be granted the extra time I 15 requested, please? 16 MAYOR CASON: Yeah. No more than five 17 minutes. 18 MR. LOPEZ: All right. That's fine. 19 problem. Thank you, sir. 20 Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor, Vice Mayor, 21 Commissioners. I love the new symmetry of hair 22 coloring in the Commission, two blondes, two 23 dark haired, young men -- successful young men 2.4 and an elderly states men with some gray, so --25 MAYOR CASON: I dye it this way for just --

1 MR. LOPEZ: Believe me, I come to these 2 meetings for these things, for symmetry, et 3 cetera. So, believe me, I commend you all. Ι know that you all look great. 5 First of all, I'd just like to, for the 6 record, the e-mail I sent all of you yesterday, 7 as well as City Officials on June the 9th, 8 entered for the public record. I'll share it 9 with you, Mr. City Clerk. 10 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: And your address, 11 Enrique? 12 MR. LOPEZ: Oh, excuse me, yes, Enrique 13 Lopez, 1312 Sorolla Avenue, in the Gables. 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: You sent an e-mail 15 yesterday? 16 MR. LOPEZ: Yes, sir, and I did read or 17 received confirmation, but basically it has to 18 do with just what is referred to as spending 19 issues and concerns. 20 First of all, I'd like to commend Eddy and 21 Hector for being very, very patient, very 22 tolerant, but very committed and also very deep 23 pockets for sustainability. 24 As Mario referred to his -- he gave a 25 historic perspective to embracing the project,

_

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think the key on that, Mario, was the fact that your team has always been very receptive to working, because they do want to stay. So I want to share that from the onset.

I believe there's some minor tweaks that I think warrant addressing on our part, and I say, on our part, as a City or resident, et cetera, and one of them I shared with you is the fact of public safety, and I commend the City Manager for having been proactive in your memo and reaching out to our Police Chief and our Fire Chief and asking for additional resources, but there's something that is very concerning, and not just from this project, called, response time, and I share that with you, where our response time has significantly been creeping higher, and, yes, I saw Chief Stolzenberg request for preempting devices, but let's be realistic, no -- preempting devices can just turn those light green, but it won't remove the vehicles.

So I think we need to be very cautious and concerned, as we look forward, not just on this project, and I think you all had an earful today on traffic and parking, and I think

there's some tweaking to be done there;
response time, public safety.

Now not only are we adding, can they reach the area, but also can they go up the area and how quickly can they do that. I do applaud the fact that you all decided to not touch the paseo. I think it would have been a tremendous downside in all frames.

From a safety component, I don't think vehicles inside the premise would not (sic) have served as well at all. So from a public safety, I think it's very, very important.

Complex security, you know, I did read the proposed Development Agreement, I'd like to see some tighter things. It is a large complex.

It will be a very successful complex, but also there's security concerns, and let's be realistic, we're a beautiful city, we're most emulated, but we also can be a target, and I hope that that will never be the case, but I'd rather be safe than sorry.

Second area I shared with you is the utility summary, and that's very concerning.

Not that it impacts the progress of the project, but as we speak today, there's been no

formal or informal, for that matter, conversations with Florida Power & Light, with Miami-Dade Water & Sewer, just a reference of a letter by Flanigan Engineer, where it basically states, you know, these are some of the things that need to be done.

Well, some of you are engineers, and attorneys, et cetera, and, you know, when you talk about sewer extensions and water mains, et cetera, you know, they're not in the Development Agreement. That's concerning, because I do not know if FP&L wants to bring those nice poles that Craig Leen worked out to get rid of or to lower over Ponce, if they're going to be coming down Douglas -- from Douglas and Bird, which is their substation, to feed this project.

This project is definitely going to be an energy user. So there's nothing on that, and I'm very, very concerned on that.

Yes, Public Works has done, as it pertains to the Public Works component of the City, but none as it pertains to Miami-Dade Water & Sewer and water mains and fire hydrants and many of these issues.

So that is a must have, as I see it, because they don't even know what they're about to walk into, expense wise, or, even, for that matter, coordination.

Commissioner Lago, you went ahead and have had these forums, which I'm praying to God that they -- you know, actually bear fruit and they will bear fruit, I'm confident, but the fact is that all of that staging that we talked about, and Mr. Minor (phonetic) and Mr. Kephart, et cetera, go south, because we don't know what that other construction, Water & Sewer, FP&L, et cetera, will impact on the ability of the project.

Third thing, traffic. I think you all have heard enough. I think my only suggestion on this, and, you know, I've heard various comparisons -- and, you know, Vice Mayor, you're right about the EPIC downtown, maybe it's not the same scenario, the Allen Morris, et cetera, and that's fine, but let's just make sure that whatever we decide on, whether it be today, you know, next few days, whatever -- whatever we build, we can't change it after the fact, you know, so it's very, very important,

_

because there are versions to the shared parking, the traffic, they're all interrelated.

Let's be realistic, we can't walk away and say that's shared parking, and this is traffic, there's a total interrelation. I agree with you, we put a lot of inference on vehicular traffic, Commissioner Keon, we haven't put emphasis on pedestrian traffic and its impact, and the trolley, et cetera.

Specifically, the Business Improvement
District, I think you all should request that
our City Manager, you know, exercise her
well-known and successful leadership, because
you're leaving it to the parties to kind of
work a deal out. I'm not saying you work out
the deal, but at least lay out the framework,
what is it that they will be discussing,
because this is something that's very
important.

We want the success of the Business

Improvement District, as well as we want the success of the project.

So I think leaving it to chance, I'm sure that Mr. Avila and company are going to be very, very busy in the next few months and

years, it's going to be a couple of years, more or less, to worry about the BID, but I think the framework needs to be put forth.

The height, height of nineteen versus sixteen, I think we've heard it -- first of all, thank you for your flexibility. You're definitely willing, I think -- I agree with all of you, I think we have a five-zero vote on height, if it's a no restaurant issue.

I'd just like to leave this for a suggestion, this would be an excellent opportunity, in a project where public space or open space has been kind of contentious, if we may say, at times, where I would love to go up to that terrace and not have to dish out three or four hundred dollars for dinner and be able to look at the same city as Mayor Cason said. You know, I love those heights. So food for thought.

They're not against it. It would be a win-win across the board, and, yes, my concern is precedent, and, you know, you're a counsel, you're a counsel, Vice Mayor, find me a good litigation case that an attorney won't go after, and I think we're not in the litigation

business. We've seen in prior issues, with Ordinances, the truck -- the pickup trucks and others, where we spend too much money and too much effort.

So I believe this is a win-win. You have a willing Developer, saying, hey, keep the structure, I'm not against it, and I think you would have a consensus here, just my thoughts.

On trolley study, there were two issues that remain outstanding, from the respect from Staff, and obviously they failed to -- well, I shouldn't say they failed -- they have not yet submitted the verification of trolley ridership resulting from the project, and providing the public further review -- I have not seen, I've contacted many of your officials, not you in your capacity, I wouldn't expect you to know that, a clear explanation of how trolley contribution might mitigate the project's traffic and parking.

I would like to see that. I think it's important. The project will be built. I think we're in the final tweaks. This is not a delay at all. I commend the Developer. I look forward to maybe going to the open patio and

ᄃ

maybe asking for a loan for the restaurant, but, either way, you know, there's no adversity in terms of people realizing that that looks beautiful, and it does look beautiful, and you mentioned the cupola, and I think that's probably the cornerstone.

Final two things, and observations,

Mr. Leen, and I hate to assume, that in the

Development Agreement we will not allow any

placement of any sort of antennas, commercial

or otherwise. That's a big revenue generation

for buildings, as you know, of raising

microwave and microcells and all of these

things, they garner four or three -- four or

five thousand dollars, and there is a concern,

because all of this beauty could definitely

become very ugly, very -- that's a fair

question.

Do I have an answer? Do you know if there are or not?

MR. LEEN: Well, I would want to ask the Applicant, because there are State statutes related to that, but are you -- are you willing to proffer that you won't put in an antenna?

COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, there's a

1 difference between putting an antenna within 2 the cupola -- I think what you're asking more 3 is --MR. LOPEZ: For example, our public safety building, for public safety reasons, obviously 6 I would want that coverage, I would want a 7 police and fire to have that. 8 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. So putting 9 antenna within the cupola --10 MR. LOPEZ: No, actually, outside. 11 COMMISSIONER KEON: That's what I'm asking 12 Are you talking about within the cupola 13 or external to the building? 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Like a cell phone 15 tower. 16 They can be external, internal, MR. LOPEZ: 17 depending, right, cell sites and they garner 18 four of five thousand dollars a month for 19 putting a little piece of --20 COMMISSIONER KEON: I know, but is the 21 issue that you don't want to see antennas 22 extending beyond the rooftops? 23 MR. LOPEZ: That is correct, Commissioner. 24 COMMISSIONER KEON: So if an antenna is 25 incorporated within the cupola, that you don't

1 see outside the cupola, that's not a problem. 2 Is that what you're saying? 3 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: And, actually, what you're saying, Commissioner, I believe is in 5 our Code currently. 6 I think Ramon can address that. 7 MR. LOPEZ: Okay. Yes, absolutely. 8 MR. TRIAS: All antennas are currently 9 reviewed by the Board of Architects for 10 aesthetics, and they require screening and they 11 require proper location in the buildings. The 12 Board of Architects reviews them for 13 aesthetics. 14 MR. LEEN: But I think the underlying 15 question is, can we bar them? 16 MR. TRIAS: If the Developer --17 MR. LEEN: If they're over a certain 18 height, but if they're going to proffer that --19 MR. LOPEZ: Let me just share with you, it 20 has nothing do with the Board of Architects or 21 planning. One day Verizon comes in and tells 22 the building owner, "By the way, I found out, 23 based on my survey, I need more coverage. Can 24 I put a microcell?" 25 You know, said and done.

1 "Do I have the space?" 2 "Yes, you do." 3 "Do you have the power requirements?" And it gets installed. So it may not 5 necessarily require the process that Ramon is 6 sharing, and all I want to do is, make sure, 7 because it is a beautiful building. I would 8 not like to see that. As long as it's enclosed 9 and it's not obtrusive, where it sticks out 10 like a sore thumb. 11 If you look at our Police Department, but 12 that's required, I mean, it's a police 13 building, because that's a public safety and 14 obviously --15 MAYOR CASON: Mario, you want to address 16 that so we can move on? 17 COMMISSIONER KEON: I mean, I live, you 18 know, on Edgewater Drive and I know that in 19 those buildings there are antennas in the 20 cupolas of those buildings, that are the 21 emergency contact antennas for the City. 22 They're not visible, but they are there. 23 don't want to outlaw them. 24 MAYOR CASON: External antennas.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: We're not proposing any

25

1 external antenna. If there's any antenna 2 within the cupola, we'll go through the City 3 process, of course, for review, which requires it to be concealed from view. 5 MR. LOPEZ: Thank you for listening and I 6 trust your judgment and your votes. Thank you. MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 8 Mark Trowbridge. 9 COMMISSIONER KEON: Mayor, when we conclude 10 the public hearing, I would like Glenn Kephart to -- would it be okay if he explained the 1.1 12 process in the building and the process as to 13 when all of the infrastructure developments are 14 actually reviewed and whatever, for the 15 public's knowledge? 16 MAYOR CASON: Sure. 17 COMMISSIONER KEON: Thank you. 18 MAYOR CASON: Mark. 19 MR. TROWBRIDGE: Good afternoon, Mark 20 Trowbridge, President of the Coral Gables 21 Chamber of Commerce, 224 Catalonia Avenue, City 22 Beautiful. 23 Mr. Mayor, Mr. Vice Mayor, Commissioners, 24 Madam City Manager, thank you for allowing our 25 Chamber to be with you once again this

afternoon, as you deliberate on the Mediterranean Village project. We appreciate your continued commitment to our community and the thoughtfulness you will put into today's second vote on second reading.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Much like the City itself, the Chamber and the City have been an integral part of this community for the last 90 years. We have grown up together and share a similar history. Our mission has always been to develop business and build community, while fostering the economic interests and financial prosperity of business, first and foremost, and as a Chamber, he highly believe that the Mediterranean Village project is a game changer, that will forever alter the landscape of the City Beautiful, and with its location less than four blocks from our Downtown mainstreet, we know that it is important to be here today to weigh in and share our thoughts on how this will ultimately impact Coral Gables.

I have stood before you many times over the last decade and shared that it is our belief that in order for us as a City to remain competitive, we need to put our Chamber's

muscle behind those projects that allow us to achieve great things as a community.

We believe that this project, the

Mediterranean Village, is one such project. As

you know, our Chamber has sought to strike a

careful balance between new development and

progress in Coral Gables, with the goal of

keeping our historic City Beautiful business

community vibrant, exciting, and, yes,

competitive. With that same commitment and

focus, we had an ad hoc committee meeting meet

this past fall regarding the Agave project and

it was comprised of community leaders from the

Chamber, partners and stakeholders.

In the end, after much discussion, we came to the conclusion that we have the utmost respect for this project and for the Developer, as we clearly share one common goal, and that is the overall improvement of the surrounding area, while promoting commercial vitality.

This is essentially and especially important to us, as our Chamber headquarters and many of our members are neighbors of this project.

As I shared with you several weeks ago, during the first reading public hearing, this

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is what our ad hoc committee came up with during their discussions and deliberations. Much of the conversation was with regard to the retail component of the project. We looked at this from every conceivable angle, and consulted experts in the field, several of them sitting on the ad hoc committee, looking at best practices, impacts in other communities and so forth.

Based on the submittals that we have seen, including the newest, it is clear to us that the quantity and quality of retail space is acceptable to our partner, and I'm sorry that BID is not here, but I know that they read this into the record during the first reading, but they want to make sure that we continue to get a commitment from the Developer to secure those tenants that are in character with the proposed plan.

We also believe that the tenancy of 396 Alhambra is a strong indication of good faith by the Developer and we trust that this commitment will be continued through in what will bring the very best of retail to Coral Gables. The quality of the tenant mix that the

Developer ultimately leases has to be clear.

As we previously discussed, we seek
aspirational fashion tenants, active in the
global market, as well as international tenants
that become pole brands, along with
contemporary experiential retailers that are
not duplicated in the area or found in
surrounding retail projects. This is
essential.

Second, with the build-out of the Miracle
Mile and Giralda Streetscape project to be
completed prior to the Village being finished,
we do encourage that the landscape architects
of both projects meet in the middle and device
a plan to bring continuity to the four blocks
that separate these projects. We are
encouraged that some of this dialog has already
begun and we do expect that it will continue.

In addition to these requests, much of the conversation centers around traffic, and, well, as neighbors to this project, being located on Catalonia, we understand this concern, but we're also big believers in the amazing asset that is our Coral Gables trolley program, and we encourage all of the parties, the City, the

Developers, the BIDs, the Shops at Merrick

Park, to join forces and work together to

create a one of a kind linear trolley express,

complete with a stop that is more robust in its

frequency, capacity and hours of operation.

There is tremendous opportunity to create a unique trolley program that is second to none, as it brings together our new commercial corridor retail that is alive along Ponce de Leon.

We are most grateful to Agave for listening to suggestions about expanding proposed conference and ballroom space. Currently they are committed to creating facilities that accommodate 500 guests for a sit down dinner. This will allow the Gables to actively compete in a world, as much of this business heads now to Downtown or Miami Beach or the airport area.

Think of the opportunities, both for lunches and dinners, not just galas. Weekdays and weekends that will now consider the Gables as a destination for these programs. Right now they have to look elsewhere, as we don't have capacity that exceeds 350. This becomes a sweet spot for us, and one that we can leverage

from the first day this project opens.

I want to thank Agave, again, for listening to our ideas and their follow through. In closing, our ad hoc group has appreciated the way the Developer has pursued this development and we continue to rely upon a collegial working relationship to strive what is best for everyone in the City Beautiful.

The addition of the five-star hotel, the world class commercial office space and residential units is a great benefit to our Downtown. This becomes density at its best. If retail truly follows rooftops, then we are in for a true Renaissance in the City Beautiful that may not have been seen since George Merrick turned the first shovel, and with us rapidly now approaching a centennial, imagine how the Mediterranean Village will take its rightful place in the Gables at its epicenter.

Leaders, on behalf of our ad hoc committee and our Chamber leadership, thank you for allowing us the opportunity to be present today to share our thoughts once again.

In closing, we appreciate your commitment to our community and the thoughtful approach by

1 which you will be making the decision on how to 2 proceed with the Mediterranean Village project. 3 Thank you. 4 MAYOR CASON: Thank you, Mark. 5 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, Mark. 6 MAYOR CASON: The final public comment will 7 be from Alicia Bache. 8 MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, while she's 9 approaching, I spoke with our Special Counsel, 10 Gary Resnick, and I also looked in our Zoning 11 Code, and I heard that Mario had made a proffer 12 that the antennas would not be visible, which 13 is legal, that is fine, you can accept that. 14 Gary told me that you can bar antennas in 15 the City for aesthetics purposes, and our 16 Zoning Code has a conditional use review for 17 very large antennas, and then for smaller ones, 18 it goes through the Board of Architects' 19 process. 20 So, anyhow, that proffer can be accepted 21 and probably should be put in as a condition of 22 approval. 23 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Well, hold on a 24 second, assuming we agree to that.

If you agree to it.

25

MR. LEEN:

20.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Because there are certain locations, certain buildings you go in, when you have more people using that space, more people living in a space, working in a space, then all of a sudden cell phones don't work as well. I would hate to preclude it, in the sense that -- I agree with the fact we don't want it to be visible, and I know I've heard of the process in the past -- three or four years ago with the Board of Architects with the antennas.

So I agree with the statement from the resident that we don't want it to be visible, but at the same time, we don't want to handcuff the project and the future residents or business residents, you know, regular residents --

MAYOR CASON: Wi-fi possible. I think the key there is not to see it.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I think there are other issues that could potentially hamper -- and it could affect the cell phone service of all of the neighbors of the project.

So I think we just have to be careful with it in that regard, but we agree we don't want

that visibility, we don't want the unsightliness, the intent of what the antenna was.

MR. LEEN: That can be the condition.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, they may be visible to some degree within the cupola, you may see a wire, you may see something. I think what I would not like to see is an antenna, you know, stand alone outside of the --

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I think, which goes consistent with our current Code and requirement of going to the Board of Architects.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. So I think it's fine.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I mean, maybe we need to review the Board of Architects language or the Code to make sure that there's no visibility of it. I haven't thought of this before, but I'm thinking about it right now, I can't think of any building in Downtown Coral Gables that you can see an antenna. Maybe I'm wrong. Maybe you guys can think of it.

COMMISSIONER KEON: No. I mean, I'm sure in the tower of the Biltmore there is some sort

1 of communication, but we don't see it.

2.2

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: And I understand this is a special circumstance, because there's going to be a rooftop area where we're going to have people looking down. At the same time, I don't think they want that unsightliness, either.

COMMISSIONER KEON: No.

MR. TRIAS: I was going to say that the Board of Architects does exactly that, and as I understand, the Biltmore has the antennas in the towers.

MAYOR CASON: Okay. Alicia.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Hi. Alicia Bache-Wiig,
3026 Coconut Grove Drive. I represent seven
other of our neighbors on our street. We're
very happy to hear about the discussions that
have been had today regarding the neighborhood
improvements. We really appreciate the
Commission's initiative to bring it up and to
talk about it, because it hasn't been really
talked about in this step before.

We've been living there with this situation at hand for almost a decade, so considering the potential for these neighborhood improvements

to happen at the front end of the project is greatly appreciated and is greatly needed, in order to mitigate the impact that's going to happen throughout the construction of this project.

The beautification really needs to happen as a -- with the residents on board, in terms of the plan, and the Developer has already committed to hiring a landscape architecture firm that would work with us, to hear our concerns and to put together something that addresses our concerns, you know, and a solution that we're all in agreement with, and I understand Alex Adams' intention to put in as an exhibit the conceptual plans that have circulated within our neighborhood; however, we're not a hundred percent on board on what's been presented, so we do request that we continue to work on that with the landscape architect that's hired.

One more thing that we wanted to talk about was, there's a street, and we've already been in talks with the Developer and they're going to be addressing it, but just for the record, Malaga, between Coconut Grove Drive and Ponce,

1 there's a radius where you turn that's very 2 difficult to navigate, and sometimes you have 3 to come almost to a complete stop to allow like the two lanes to pass. 4 5 So that's something that we're -- yes, that 6 one right there -- so we're going to be 7 addressing that, and having said this, our 8 street continues to support this project. 9 need it to happen and we encourage it to be 10 passed today. So thank you very much. 11 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Alicia, please, 12 your last name? 13 MS. BACHE-WIGG: Bach-Wigg. 14 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Okay. You want to 15 try spelling that? 16 MAYOR CASON: We'll have the cards 17 available for the addresses afterward. 18 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Got it. 19 MAYOR CASON: All right. Well, that 20 concludes our public hearing portion. 21 we take a lunch break, do you want to --22 COMMISSIONER KEON: I would like Glenn -- I 23 know that Mr. Lopez brought it up, and I've 24 seen in it letters and I've seen it in

communication that goes out to the government,

25

and I've seen it go out, you know, the -- it's

almost an assumption that we haven't addressed

the infrastructure issues related to this

development, and I think it's really -- I think

that if people understand the development

process, they would see that all of these are going to be addressed.

So for the purpose of the public, I would like Glenn to just talk a little bit about how that gets addressed, when it gets addressed, and to assure people that it is absolutely addressed.

MR. KEPHART: Yes.

Thank you, Mayor, Commissioners.

Commissioner Keon, for this project and any significant project, there's significant infrastructure needs that need to be assessed and addressed, and those infrastructure, as an example, sewer capacity, water to the development, electricity to the development, de-watering of the foundations, the assessment of where the fire hydrants go and making sure that there's adequate water capacity to those fire hydrants, are all issues that need to be addressed.

Δ

Typically they are addressed during the design process, after entitlement, and certainly before permitting. Some of the things that happen at this stage of the game is for sewer capacity. There is a capacity of flow analysis done, which has been done on this project, based on the development that's proposed and anticipated flow, and then that's submitted to DERM and a determination is made, as it has been on this project, is there adequate capacity within the existing system to address this project or do modifications need to be made to this system.

Certainly, a project of this magnitude, you would expect that modifications need to be made to the system, and that is the case, and the Developer knows this. They know the flow capacity that they need to meet. They know that they have options for a pump station on site or upgrading an existing gravity flow line, and they have enough information to anticipate what those costs will be to do that.

They have to do that. They won't get a building permit until they do, and they have to build those things. They won't get a temporary

CO or a CO until they're built, in place, and operating and functional.

So there are safeguards along the way, and it's a normal part of the process, and that applies the same for Miami-Dade County water supply -- the water and sewer, we do the sewer part, they do the water part, but those things happen during design and prior to the issuance of building permits.

COMMISSIONER KEON: But it's important that people understand that that process is triggered after approval of the design and the concept for the project. So it wouldn't be appropriate that it would be done at this point.

Once we vote on it, and if it's approved, that will trigger, with the construction plans, the review for all of the infrastructure needs that will be required, and they will not -- the construction permits to begin won't be issued until all of the infrastructure issues have been identified and the plans in place to address all of the infrastructure issues, because you wouldn't expect that a Developer or anyone else would go through and do the

assessment for infrastructure needs of that
nature, if they don't have approval to do this
project.

They're not going to spend that amount of dollars, when you don't even have an approval to go ahead with the project.

So once you have the approval, then you move forward into the construction document and design phase for construction, and it is at that point that all of it is done, and the County will not issue a letter of sufficiency for water or for sewer or for anything else until those plans are put forward, is that right, and they're met and they're dealt with, and we don't issue building permits until those letter are -- we receive that information from the County; is that correct?

MR. KEPHART: That is correct.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: All right. Let's resume at 2:30. We'll have a discussion and then we'll vote.

(Lunch recess taken.)

MAYOR CARSON: We're getting ready to restart.

25

MR. FERNANDEZ: The only punctual people here are the Commissioners. Look.

MAYOR CARSON: Well, you're here.

MR. FERNANDEZ: This is responsibility.

MAYOR CASON: Craig, just before we -before we renew the discussion and have the vote, I think the way we're going to do is, you might want to discuss these revisions to Section 2.13 --

MR. LEEN: Yes.

MAYOR CASON: -- and what I suggest is we go back and decide whether we want to reiterate the straw vote on the paseo, which was five and zero, and we were waiting to put it in abeyance until we heard from any public comment, and there wasn't any on that, I don't think, and then have to vote on the seven different items and then go back to the height issue on --

Well, Mr. Mayor, what I --COMMISSIONER KEON: We need to talk about

MAYOR CASON: Yeah, okay. We'll have a

COMMISSIONER KEON: Whenever it's good for you.

MR. LEEN: After discussions with the Mayor, what will -- the procedural order going forward will be, I'm going to read this revision into the record, and each of you have a copy. This is to the Development Agreement.

Then, as the Mayor mentioned, there's going to be another vote on that intermediate vote on the paseo, we're going to redo that. Then there's going to be discussion among the Commission. Then there's going to be a vote in concept as to whether you approve the project without the additional height. Then there's going to be a vote in concept whether you approve the additional height, and by additional height, I mean, a restaurant --

MR. LEEN: -- in additional height, you know, habitable space, and then there's going to be a vote on each of the seven items individually.

Right.

MAYOR CASON: Okay. So why don't you read into the record --

MR. LEEN: Okay. Yes, sir.

MAYOR CASON:

Revision to Section 2.13 of the Development Agreement, it will now say that the owner shall

construct and install the improvements required by the traffic study, meeting all City and other applicable governmental requirements, in accordance with the time frames and procedures set forth in Exhibit B. Applicant shall contribute the cost of off-site improvements to enhance neighborhoods such as those shown in, in the locations described in Exhibit H, including, without limitation, to residential parking zones as shown in Attachment D to the April 2nd, 2015 City Commission Agenda Memo, typical street sections and conceptual drawings -- that's the end of the

The design, planning and construction of the Exhibit H improvements shall follow a schedule to be determined by the City Manager, which shall include ample opportunities for the neighborhoods to provide design input and shall accomplish the construction as soon as practical phasing the work as necessary to avoid conflicts with or damage resulting from the construction of the project.

parenthesis -- attached hereto.

The City Manager shall determine, in coordination with the owner, which party will

be responsible for each stop of the planning,

design and construction -- and that should say,

for each step of the planning, design and

construction.

Then there's an Exhibit H Chart 6, owner shall cover total cost of final design and construction drawings for the improvements listed in 1 through 5.

Exhibit B, there's a change. It now says, completion of off-site improvements required by the traffic study as measured by their acceptance by the City Public Works Director.

And, lastly, 2.5, Hotel, owner will submit a conceptual plan for managing traffic related to special events at the hotel ballroom facilities, with various procedures to be implemented based on the projected attendance of events and utilizing off-duty police officers, as necessary, for larger events, and obtain the approval of the Public Works Director prior to approval of the Temporary Certificate of Occupancy for the hotel.

MS. TREVARATHEN: And, Craig, that's to go at the end of 2.5, it's not to replace what's

1 in 2.5.

MAYOR CASON: Also --

MR. LEEN: No, it doesn't replace 2.5 in full. I'd just like to reiterate that the City Manager and the City Attorney, of course, when going through the Agreement, we can fix any irregularities, any typos, and we can also make modifications to accomplish your intent, and that's always the --

MAYOR CASON: The Applicant agrees with this?

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Yes, we're in agreement.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: You know, this is a good -- I like the fact that this is included, but I really don't think it needs to even go that far, in the sense that I think you just need to be proactive with the employees that are put out there and you guys may have some hiccups the first few events, but then you guys will figure it out.

I guess, at least for me, the ask is just, you know, be proactive in seeing how things work out, what the best way to do it is. Maybe it means having someone stationed where every car turns, holding a little sign, turn here.

1 Who knows? We don't know yet. I think we're 2 going to figure that out the first few events. 3 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I bet you people will figure it out. 5 COMMISSIONER KEON: Yeah. I don't -- you 6 know, it's sort of -- what is defined as an 7 event? I'm not sure what constitutes an event 8 or at what level. I mean, I think every time 9 you book --10 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: It should be defined 11 as an event that either they or we anticipate 12 there could be a potential traffic situation 13 that spills over to Ponce or into the 14 residential neighborhood. 15 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right, but, I mean, I 16 would think that they would do it, but --17 MR. LEEN: This language was put together 18 pretty quickly, and I appreciate Susan doing 19 that. She had to do this, basically, during 20 the lunch and we had to get the agreement of 21 the Applicant. 22 COMMISSIONER KEON: We just want to make 23 sure it's not -- I'd like it general. 24 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I think it's better if

we keep it general. If we get too specific --

25

1 COMMISSIONER KEON: It's not like you have 2 to notify anybody per event, right, that 3 wouldn't be anticipated? MR. TRIAS: What we've done -- yeah, what 4 we've done in the past is have two or three 5 6 categories, let's say, 200 people, 500 people, 7 and give some flexibility, in terms of the action --8 9 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. 10 MR. TRIAS: So that's what we've done in 11 some previous examples. 12 MS. TREVARATHEN: And that was what Tim was 13 interested in, is being able to tie the 14 procedures to the size of the event. 15 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. 16 MS. TREVARATHEN: So we've not giving 17 minimums or maximums, we've leaving that to the 18 discretion of the Public Works Director, 19 working with the Applicant. 20 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. 21 MAYOR CASON: And experience will --22 COMMISSIONER KEON: But once that's in 23 place they --24 MAYOR CASON: Experience will show in time 25 whether you need to tweak that.

1 MS. TREVARATHEN: Right. 2 COMMISSIONER KEON: But once that's in 3 place, then they don't have to notify you every 4 time they have an event. 5 MS. TREVARATHEN: No. No. It's just an agreed set of procedures. 6 7 COMMISSIONER KEON: All right. Thank you. 8 MR. LEEN: So that's the first item. 9 The second is the vote as to -- the 10 intermediate vote as to the paseo. 11 MAYOR CASON: Anybody want to change --12 because we voted five and zero for that. 13 Anybody want to change it? 14 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I think we should do 15 the formal vote this time around, since we've 16 had public input. I think before it could 17 be contested. 18 MAYOR CASON: All right. That's fine. 19 want to make the motion again? 20 COMMISSIONER KEON: You make the motion 21 again. 22 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I will make the motion 23 again, to allow the paseo to -- I mean, to 24 ensure that the paseo is only pedestrian --25 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Use.

1	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: permanently
2	pedestrian use only, and it's permanent.
3	COMMISSIONER KEON: With no curb cut on
4	Ponce.
5	MAYOR CASON: Right. Yeah.
6	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: With no curb cut
7	curb cut on Ponce, correct.
8	MAYOR CASON: Do we have a second?
9	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'll second the motion.
10	MAYOR CASON: Mr. Lago seconds. So Vice
11	Mayor made the motion, Commissioner Lago
12	second.
13	City Clerk.
14	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago?
15	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes.
16	CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada?
17	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.
18	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick?
19	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yes.
20	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon?
21	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
22	CITY CLERK: Mayor Cason?
23	MAYOR CASON: Yes.
24	MR. LEEN: I would like to note, for the
25	record, that the Applicant was fine with that

1 and they proffered it.

2.0

MAYOR CASON: All right. Any other items of discussion before we move on?

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I have written down the list for discussion.

MAYOR CASON: Okay.

MR. LEEN: So at this point, the Commissioners will discuss and then we have all of those votes, but --

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I just sort of took note of the ones that we all sort of were thinking about, so the restaurant one, the habitable height; traffic paseo, which is done now; the infrastructure, which I think

Commissioner Lago mentioned you wanted to have a discussion on, and then also neighborhood improvements, which I think this Section 2.13 was also given to us, and it goes to -- related to what Mr. Adams was saying and Ms. B -- I can't pronounce her last name.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I also wanted to -maybe you can add it to your list, the massing
on the tunnel, if you can call it a tunnel, on
Palermo. I think the height could be at issue.

MAYOR CASON: Why don't we start with your 1 2 issues. I mean, I think a couple of these we may have already just taken care of, but why don't you run down your list again? VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So the first one was 6 the restaurant, that we had a pretty lively 7 discussion earlier. COMMISSIONER KEON: Let's end with the 8 9. restaurant. 10 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. We'll end with 11 the restaurant. 12 MAYOR CASON: End with that. 13 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Let's start with, 14 which I think it's an easy one, I think we're sort of in agreement, Mr. Adams' issues that he 15 16 brought up, and Ms. B issue, the neighborhood 17 improvements, and I think it relates to this 18 new revised Section 2.13 --19 MAYOR CASON: Yeah. 20 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: -- that we've been 21 given. Mr. Adams' request, if I recall 22 correctly, is that all of the monies for the 23 neighborhood improvements sort of be escrowed 24 and dedicated to this project and it sort of

proceeds sooner rather than later, so that in

25

the future, if it doesn't get done right away, there is no possibility that the money gets -- you know, gets put to other use and not into that neighborhood.

I'm in agreement with his position. I don't know if anyone had any thoughts.

MAYOR CASON: City Manager, is that a problem?

CITY MANAGER SWANSON-RIVENBARK: No. We understand what your intent is and we'll make sure that it's followed.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Does the Applicant have any questions, concerns, thoughts at all related to that?

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. I mean, you know, I'll just restate the principles, which I think we're in agreement on, it has to be a collaborative neighborhood oriented process, meeting with them as often as we can, with the City sort of directing the process of getting their input.

Whatever we can build ahead of time, without interfering or having it potentially be damaged by construction at a later point, is going to be incorporated, and prior to issuance

1 of a building permit, we're already required to 2 post a bond for the cost of those improvements, 3 in case something happens and we don't complete them. 5 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Didn't you also state 6 that thirty days from today, we're supposed to 7 move from a conceptual drawing to kind of 8 engaging --9 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. 10 COMMISSIONER LAGO: -- an actual firm that 11 can provide us with CDs? 12 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. Within 30 days 13 after they retaining the landscape architect, who will be the person taking the lead design 14 15 of the design drawings. 16 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Perfect. 17 MAYOR CASON: Okay. 18 COMMISSIONER KEON: Can I --19 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: But you gave -- you 20 said one thing, that I didn't -- not that I 21 didn't like, but I think goes against it, the 22 community has already put a substantial project 23 together, so that forms the baseline. 24 (Simultaneous speaking.) 25 COMMISSIONER LAGO: But hold on. No, but

1 there's an issue there. Coconut Grove --2 MAYOR CASON: Coconut Grove had a --3 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Coconut Grove, which --4 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Ms. B. 5 COMMISSIONER LAGO: -- Ms. B spoke on behalf of Coconut Grove, they have a few points 6 7 that they differ. 8 VICE MAYOR OUESADA: Yeah. That's fine --9 but that's fine, though, but I think there's a 10 baseline model for the whole entire area. 11 should still have other public meetings, as 12 they see fit, but I think the conversation 13 begins with what at least the majority of the 14 involved community members have already started 15 with. That's all I'm saying. It doesn't mean 16 it can't change. 17 COMMISSIONER LAGO: And with the engagement 18 of the landscape architect within 30 days' 19 approval from today. 20 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I agree. 21 MAYOR CASON: It's reasonable. 22 COMMISSIONER KEON: And the Applicant is 23 going to hire the landscape --24 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes. 25 MAYOR CASON: Uh-huh, correct.

1 COMMISSIONER KEON: -- architect, and 2 you're going -- I just want to make sure, and 3 you're going to work with the City, they're going to help you in coordinating the public 5 meetings; is that right? 6 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: 7 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. 8 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: For example, I envision 9 the notice going out, saying we're having a 10 meeting on this date, in the neighborhood, to 11 discuss it. 12 COMMISSIONER KEON: Correct. 13 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Being a City notice, you 14 know, coming from the City, and then --15 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Like always, under the 16 auspice of City Staff --17 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. 18 COMMISSIONER LAGO: -- Ramon, Glenn, 19 whoever has to be involved. 20 COMMISSIONER KEON: Work with him, okay. 21 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right, and having them 22 there. Having them --23 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So based on what we've 24 just discussed and the proposed revise to Section 2.13, assuming the Applicant does not 25

1 have an objection or any statement related to 2 that section, I'm going to make a motion, I 3 guess, to approve what we've discussed with Mr. Adams. MAYOR CASON: Yes. 6 COMMISSIONER KEON: Or to approve that --7 of the hiring of a landscape --8 MR. LEEN: You want to include that. 9 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: We'll include, yes. 10 MR. LEEN: Include that in the final --11 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. Everything 12 we've just discussed in the last two minutes. 13 COMMISSIONER KEON: All right. And 14 consideration by the landscape architect of the 15 plan prepared by Mr. Adams? 16 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes. 17 COMMISSIONER KEON: Is that what it is? 18 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes. 19 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. 20 COMMISSIONER LAGO: And also --21 MAYOR CASON: Coconut Grove. 22 COMMISSIONER LAGO: -- being sensitive to 23 what the residents of Coconut Grove Drive are 24 requesting. 25 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Absolutely.

1 Incorporate that into my motion. 2 COMMISSIONER KEON: Does it come back to us 3 for any approval or where does it go for 4 approval? 5 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: It goes in the normal 6 course that Staff always takes the process 7 through, which if it comes back to us --8 CITY MANAGER SWANSON-RIVENBARK: 9 I'm sorry to come out of order, but if it works 10 better for the developer and the developer 11 agrees that they will shepherd the 12 improvements, we will facilitate the 13 conversations with the residents. We will make 14 sure that the residents are in agreement. They 15 will finalize the design with the landscape 16 architect that they hire. 17 Can we allow them the authority to 18 construct the improvements so that we don't 19 have to come back to you regarding procurement? 2.0 COMMISSIONER KEON: That's what I'm asking 21 you, if that's the direction we're giving them? 22 MAYOR CASON: Yes. 23 24 my motion.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Also incorporated into oction.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Oh, okay, fine.

25

1	MR. LEEN: And so this is in the nature of
2	a motion to amend, really, all of the items.
3	That's how we're treating it. So this is being
4	put into the item that you're eventually going
5	to approve.
6	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. Well, this is a
7	motion to incorporate what we've just discussed
8	into it.
9	MR. LEEN: To incorporate into the final.
10	MAYOR CASON: Who wants to second that?
11	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'll second the motion.
12	MAYOR CASON: Okay. Commissioner Lago
13	seconds.
14	City Clerk.
15	CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada?
16	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.
17	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick?
18	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yes.
19	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon?
20	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
21	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago?
22	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes.
23	CITY CLERK: Mayor Cason?
24	MAYOR CASON: Yes.
25	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I think the next two

items are Commissioner Lago's infrastructure and massing. I don't know how he wants to address those.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Well, I mean, in reference to the massing, I just -- I had something brought to my attention, which kind of makes a little bit of sense, and I wanted to get your opinion.

When you look at Palermo, the underpass, which is right here, this one right here, I was just a little bit concerned that when you have the residents' view onto Palermo, you see there's a few floors. I know that there was an additional floor of parking that was put in place, and I wanted Ramon to give a little bit of an explanation why that additional floor of parking was put in place, from the first iteration, which we had on first reading.

MR. TRIAS: The Applicant kept about the same amount of parking, even though the project was reduced slightly, and that was a choice they made. I don't think the Commission directed them to do that.

I agree with you, Commissioner, that the weakest part of the project, in terms of

design, is that area that crosses over the
right-of-ways. Part of the reason it's so
bulky is because there's parking there.

Part of the reason there's parking there is
because they wanted to keep the numbers. So if

your preference is to reduce the massing and make it more attractive, that means that there will be a little bit less parking.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Well, no, what we're talking about here is an issue of money, because from the first iteration --

MR. TRIAS: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: -- to today, there was two levels of underground parking. Now there's one and a half levels.

MR. TRIAS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: That is a significant amount of cost savings for the developer, but it's another layer of parking which is above ground.

MR. TRIAS: Yes. You are correct.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I just wanted my colleagues to be aware of that, because we're not talking about -- again, the developer has done an extensive job to reduce the massing in

1 the rear of the project, but we're not talking 2 about the front of Ponce, we're talking about the pieces that are going to be immediately in 4 the vicinity or in the, you know, triangle of 5 visibility --6 MR. TRIAS: Yeah. 7 COMMISSIONER LAGO: -- of the residents, so I want to make sure that everyone is aware that 8 9 in three years, when this is built, they're not 10 like, "You know, wow, that doesn't really look 11 that good." 12 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I'm sorry, is that the 13 Palermo overpass? 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes, sir. 15 MR. TRIAS: Yeah, Palermo, and, of course, 16 Coconut. Both of them have the overpass. 17 MAYOR CASON: You all want to have a --18 does the Applicant want to make an explanation? 19 COMMISSIONER KEON: Is that -- this is 20 here, right? It's the north -- the north one, 21 right? 22 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So, I mean, before we 23 get into that a little further, are you 24 proposing to make an amendment or a change or 25

you just wanted to make us aware of it?

1 COMMISSIONER LAGO: No, I'm making us aware 2 of it and I'm listening to what --3 COMMISSIONER QUESADA: Are you proposing any kind of modification whatsoever? 5 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Well, the issue is, 6 from my understanding, and Ramon can clarify it, and I don't think any of us here know --8 Mr. Plumber made a recommendation, that for 9 traffic flow, that had to be incorporate within 10 -- the width of the actual building above 11 Palermo had to be significantly wider. 12 MR. TRIAS: Yeah. 13 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I wish we could make 14 that building thinner and obviously reduce it 15 in height. 16 MR. TRIAS: There are two issues related to 17 the cross-over. One is traffic circulation. 18 It's needed in order for the traffic to 19 function. 20 The second issue is that there's also, in 21 addition to the circulation, there's parking, 22 so that makes it even wider in the Palermo. 23 mean, you can look at it in the floor plan 24 there.

Perhaps Erik can come point to --

25

1 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Ramon, how does 2 that compare to the Village of Merrick Park, where they have that same thing? MR. TRIAS: Yes. It's similar. COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: And are there 6 sidewalks on each side? 7 MR. TRIAS: Yeah. Yeah. CITY MANAGER SWANSON-RIVENBARK: 8 The 9 difference, if you're talking about the 10 archway --11 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: At the Village of 12 Merrick Park. 13 CITY MANAGER SWANSON-RIVENBARK: 14 difference is, there's not vehicular travel, it 15 is a pedestrian overpass at the Village of 16 Merrick Park. It's probably more like Douglas 17 entrance, when you think about the archway that 18 people came under to enter the City. MR. TRIAS: 19 Yeah, and that certainly is the 20 area that looks more oppressive from a 21 pedestrian point of view, when you're trying to 22 cross that area. 23 MAYOR CARSON: You want to talk about, if 24 you didn't have it, what's the option? 25 MR. FREED: So based on the City Staff, we

1 have already eliminated the parking that exists 2 in that rows to increase our setback off of 3 Galiano to about 80 feet, and so the only other -- we wanted to -- we do want to maintain 4 5 the two corridors or traffic lanes that exist 6 in the parking, in order to connect the two 7 sides, and those are shown in the lighter gray. 8 The other thing we could offer is the 9 elimination of the parking spaces here. 10 six spaces, on six levels. 11 MR. TRIAS: So there's about 20 feet of width. 12 MR. FREED: So we're narrowing -- we're 13 narrowing the width --14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: How many spaces are we 15 talking about? 16 MR. FREED: That would be 36 -- about 40 17 spaces. 18 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Because, I mean, the 19 higher --20 MR. FREED: It doesn't address --21 COMMISSIONER LAGO: You can educate me on 22 this issue. I mean, like what Commissioner 23 Slesnick had mentioned, if you kind of compare 24 this to Merrick Park, you notice it's a little 25 bit dark, you know, especially around --

1 MR. FREED.: I would argue that there's 2 other issues with that condition, and as a user or someone -- a pedestrian walking under this facility, I mean, I frankly didn't know if it 5 was pedestrian or car parking or what it was 6 that was crossing over the streets of Merrick 7 Park, and as a user here, I wouldn't know that, 8 either, unless I was the architect. 9 So we're going to do things that I think 10 haven't been done at Merrick Park, including 11 much more -- more interesting lighting under 12 that space, so that it becomes a feature. 13 In our very early renderings, we were 14 talking about some -- a very interesting roof 1.5 or ceiling treatments to that area that just 16 haven't been used as a technique --.17 COMMISSIONER LAGO: You're talking about 18 lighting during the day? 19 MR. FREED: Excuse me? 20 COMMISSIONER LAGO: You're talking about 21 lighting during the day? 22 MR. FREED: Correct. 23 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Wouldn't it be great if 24 you didn't have to use lighting during the day? 25 MR. FREED: It would be --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: That's the whole point of the sun.

MR. FREED: It would be great, but I would argue that that's why the condition at Merrick Park feels the way it does, is because the lighting isn't adequate and the lighting can be used during the day to create an interesting effect, without it appearing like it's artificial lighting.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'm willing to reduce the amount of parking, to make sure that we lessen the effect of that building, both on the height and on the width. Again, the height may be an issue, because obviously you need the transferability from one building to another --

Correct.

MR. FREED:

COMMISSIONER LAGO: And the developer has decided, instead of doing two floors of underground parking, which I know that the costs are in the tens of millions of dollars that they're saving -- yeah, I mean, I can only imagine what the reduction in costs is -- what it is right now in reference to instead of having levels, one and a half level of parking spaces or parkings, but I think that we can try

1 to do something. 2 MR. TRIAS: I think one option -- the easy 3 option, Option 1, is remove the 36 parking spaces and that makes it 20 feet narrower. 5 it's a simple solution. 6 MR. FREED: Correct. COMMISSIONER LAGO: Ramon, in your opinion, 8 aesthetically, will that be significant? 9 MR. TRIAS: Yeah. Oh, yeah, that's 10 significant. It's much better. From an 11 aesthetic point of view, you get more daylight 12 also into the space. Twenty feet is twenty 13 feet, yeah. 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: How does the developer 15 feel about that? 16 COMMISSIONER KEON: Is this what you're 17 showing on Page 205 of this book? This is 18 this? 19 MR. FREED: That's that elevation. 20 COMMISSIONER KEON: And this is how it's 21 proposed now, is that right, these heights? 22 Yes, okay. It's on Page 205 of this book. 23 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: It comes down to 36 24 parking spaces. 25 COMMISSIONER LAGO: No, but, I mean, again,

it's not just the parking spaces.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Aesthetically, you're probably -- I think we would all agree that it probably makes for a better, you know, sort of circumstance if it's narrower.

We sort of feel that we're at the parking that we need. Is 36 going to make or break us? Probably not, so -- yeah.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: That was my concern.

If you tell me you do need those parking spaces, and, you know --

MR. TRIAS: They could add them underground, also, right?

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I just want to put, the reason why you guys went from two floors to one-and-a-half is obviously the cost, correct?

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. There was also a corresponding loss of program, that sort of -you know, we were accommodating for. When we lost floor area, we were trying to figure out the budget on what can be properly built, that we felt safe about and that's where we had some concession.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Commissioner Lago, let me ask you a question, to make sure I

1 understand this correctly, so what you're 2 proposing or what we're just discussing --3 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'm just making you 4 aware. 5 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So what we're 6 discussing is, above Palermo --7 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes, sir. 8 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: -- you want to 9 decrease the massing of it? You want to 10 decrease the size of that structure? 11 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Uh-huh. 12 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: How would you propose 13 decreasing it or how is it possible? 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Either you can remove 15 some of the parking, which I think you'd have 16 to --17 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: And what would that 18 do? Would that reduce the height? 19 COMMISSIONER LAGO: That would reduce the 20 width. 21 MR. TRIAS: The width. 22 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: The width? 23 MR. TRIAS: Yeah. 24 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Which would make --25 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: But hold on a second.

1 Doesn't this building connect to the other two 2 buildings on the site? 3 MR. TRIAS: Right. The connections remain. 4 What happens is that the extra 20 feet on the 5 western side comes in. So basically it becomes 6 narrower. 7 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. I'm going to 8 need you to walk up here and just point it out 9 to me on this. 10 COMMISSIONER LAGO: No. No. You're 11 looking at the wrong page. 12 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: What page are you 13 looking at? 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: You need to look at the 15 page which Commissioner Keon showed me, which 16 actually --17 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: She said, 205. 18 what I'm looking at. 19 COMMISSIONER KEON: 205. 20 COMMISSIONER LAGO: No, but there's another 21 one that shows -- it's like a floor plan view, 22 which shows --23 MR. TRIAS: The floor plan is clear --24 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Can you just pull it 25 up there so I can understand it better?

1 MR. TRIAS: Yeah. 2 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Which actually shows 3 the parking spaces which could be eliminated, 4 in an effort to make the building less thick. 5 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right, and it's 6 important to point out, that will help with the 7 width issue, but not the height issue. 8 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So hold on a second. 9 So the visual impact for an individual standing 10 on the street, east of the project, it would 11 look exactly the same? 12 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yeah. 13 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: You want to set it 14 back. 15 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Oh, you want to push 16 it further west, so it's further away? 17 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: He wants to eliminate 18 one row of parking. 19 MR. TRIAS: This was already done. If you 20 can see, they removed that already, so from 21 this side --22 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I'm sorry, I'm going 23 to have to slow you down. First of all, grab 24 the microphone so the people at home can hear. 25 I just want to be clear.

1 The yellow on that map are the proposed 2 townhomes, correct? 3 MR. TRIAS: The townhomes are here, yes. 4 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Got it. So the facade 5 of that building -- put -- right there, okay. 6 So you're saying -- no, but stay right above 7 Palermo. Keep your pen right there. 8 You're saying that that would be pushed 9 west, is that what you're suggesting, 10 Commissioner Lago? 11 MR. TRIAS: No. No. That remains. 12 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. 13 MR. TRIAS: And then this is taken out. So 1.4 that makes it narrower. 15 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: How is that an 16 aesthetic benefit? How is that a massing 17 benefit? 18 MAYOR CASON: Can you see it? I mean, can 19 you notice it? 20 MR. TRIAS: What happens is, that's about 21 20 feet --22 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Well, you can see it 23 if you're on the west side of the project, 24 looking over or if you're under it. 25 MR. TRIAS: If you're under it.

1 COMMISSIONER LAGO: And also light 2 traveling. 3 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So you're saying you 4 want to remove the tunneling aspect or the 5 feeling as if you're walking underneath or 6 driving underneath? Is that what I'm -- okay. 7 Now I understand. I didn't before. 8 COMMISSIONER LAGO: And you also have some 9 additional parking in the middle, which if you 10 wanted to even make it smaller, you could do 11 that. You see that, right? 12 MR. TRIAS: No -- yes. 13 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So that it doesn't 14 seem like -- yeah, you're trying to remove the 15 tunneling feeling of it. 16 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: One thing to keep in 17 mind, though, whenever we remove a row of 18 parking, it's on six floors, so whatever number 19 of spaces there, times six. 20 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: So it's 20 parking 21 spaces times six? 22 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: No. On the row that 23 Ramon is pointing to right now, there's six 24 spaces. 25 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK:

1 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: So if we were to remove 2 that row on all six floors, we'd be losing 36 3 spaces. So, Commissioner, the other MR. TRIAS: 5 option would be to remove all of this here and 6 just keep a through traffic, but then the 7 number of parking spaces becomes much greater. So those are the two options that I can see. 8 9 COMMISSIONER LAGO: And also about -- I 10 mean, again, I don't have an answer in 11 reference to how we would achieve it, but I 12 think it would also be very beneficial if we 13 could reduce the height of that pass through. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Yeah, the height, we 14 15 don't have an answer for you, you know, as far 16 as --17 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I mean, I think you 18 would be in agreement that it would be a lot 19 more aesthetically pleasing if you were able to 20 reduce the height. 21 MR. SIERRA: It's a question of balancing 22 aesthetics with parking. 23 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yeah, yeah, of course. 24 COMMISSIONER KEON: Can I ask a question, 25 If I look at Page 205 of this booklet,

1 it looks like the floor to ceiling height at 2 that first level is seventeen feet. Is that 3 right? 4 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: For the first ground 5 floor level, the floor to ceiling height, 6 seventeen feet. 7 (Simultaneous speaking.) 8 COMMISSIONER KEON: So it would be -- you 9 know, I don't want to design while we're 10 here --11 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So I'm going --12 COMMISSIONER KEON: So it's more, I'm 13 asking you, if you're concerned that that has a 14 tunneling effect, you know, if you just make 15 that higher -- is that a level of parking right 16 above that? 17 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Commissioner Keon, let 18 me provide some, I guess, insight in this, and 19 Commissioner Lago. 20 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. Okay. 21 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: This kind of detail, I 22 don't think we should be designing up here. 23 COMMISSIONER KEON: Me, either. That's why 24 I don't think -- yeah. 25 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: What I would say, is

that, you know, ask Staff and ask the developer to look at it, see how they can thin that out as possible, but, again, it's a very -- it's a very keen observation. I mean, it's very -- you're really looking at this project inside and out, I'll give you that, Commissioner Lago.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Then I'll withhold some of my other designing suggestions.

MR. SIERRA: No, but if I could maybe suggest something --

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: It gets to the point,

Commissioner -- I appreciate it, but it gets to

the point that we're redesigning every little

nuance of the project, which --

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: What we could do also perhaps --

MR. TRIAS: Let me propose a process. This is going to go before the Board of Architects building by building. We may use that process, if you give some direction in terms of what is the priority, to fine tune the architecture. If you believe that parking could be a little bit less, then we have the option to work in the building.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Well, the only two

requests that I have is just look at the entrance features in Palermo, and see if we could potentially do something. I don't want to hinder or hurt the developer or the project in reference to parking.

And the second issue that I want you to take a look at, which was brought to my attention by one of my Board members, was the issue of symmetry on the building, in reference to the building space --

MR. TRIAS: To the office building, yeah.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yeah, to the office building. There's a good example, that it has very good symmetry on one side and on the other side of the building, for some reason, it loses a bit of its symmetry.

MR. TRIAS: Yeah.

2.3

COMMISSIONER LAGO: And we went over that.

MR. TRIAS: Yeah, we -- what --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: And I leave that up to the BOA and its discretion, which those are points obviously which they will make to the developer, but we went over it in the meantime.

MR. TRIAS: I think what could be very valuable is for you to make those kinds of

1 comments and then allow us to work with the 2 Board of Architects to see how we can 3 incorporate them into the design. So any other 4 issues that deal with aesthetics or your goals 5 and aspirations for the project, then we have 6 that process that is coming up pretty soon, 7 so --8 COMMISSIONER KEON: I would agree with you, 9 with regard to -- I don't really care about the 10 thickness of it so much, as I do the height 11 from the ground to the --12 MR. AVILA: Okay. I just want to point 13 out --14 COMMISSIONER KEON: -- that maybe if you 15 can make it higher, you can, but --16 MR. AVILA: Exactly. This room is about 25 17 feet tall. 18 COMMISSIONER KEON: Yeah. 19 MR. AVILA: That ramp going over is at 20 least 32 feet, so you're going under something 21 that's a lot taller than this. 22 COMMISSIONER KEON: Oh, because it looks 2.3 like -- on this drawing, it looks like it's 24 seventeen feet. That's why --25 MR. TRIAS: Which page are you on?

1 COMMISSIONER KEON: 205. 2 MAYOR CASON: 205. 3 MR. AVILA: It's two levels. Two levels of 4 the retail and then the parking. So it's about 5 34 feet. 6 MAYOR CASON: Oh, you mean, once you get 7 past the facade, it's higher? 8 MR. AVILA: Yes. 9 MAYOR CASON: So once you get past this --10 where it says, 17, then it's up to 34 feet. 11 MR. AVILA: Right. 12 COMMISSIONER KEON: Then it's up to 34. 13 Yeah, see, you can't see it from the bottom up. 14 MR. TRIAS: You are correct. 15 COMMISSIONER KEON: But that's why I'm 16 asking you if you can look at it. 17 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I find it very 18 attractive --19 MR. TRIAS: No, you're correct, 20 Commissioner. Yes. It's 17. 21 COMMISSIONER KEON: It says 17, so it looks 22 kind of low. So, I mean, you may be able to 23 open that. 24 MR. TRIAS: Yeah, I would encourage you to 25 have confidence on the process of the Board of

1 Architects. 2 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. Right, and 3 you'll just look at that. 4 MR. TRIAS: And encourage them to work on that. 5 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I find it 6 attractive, but I'm really concerned about 7 losing 36 parking spaces. MR. TRIAS: It's a balancing challange, yeah. 8 9 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Okay. 10 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I mean, the alternate 11 is increasing another half floor, which is 12 going to cost the developer probably in excess 13 of thirty, forty million dollars. 14 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: But maybe Staff can review it and get back. Again, I have no 15 16 problem with it as it is displayed. 17 MR. TRIAS: Okay. Again, the process is 18 happening very soon, so hopefully we'll be able 19 to make some progress, based on your 20 directions. 21 MAYOR CASON: Did you have anything else on 22 your list? 23 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Oh, last thing, he 24 said infrastructure. You had mentioned you 25 wanted to discuss --

COMMISSIONER KEON: No, I did, but it was

only to have Glenn clarify where the

infrastructure issues are addressed in the

process, and it's really permitting.

(Simultaneous speaking.)

1.3

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yeah. Yeah. So the last thing that I had on my list, that I guess we had all mentioned at one point, it was the restaurant. I don't know if anyone has anything else other than --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I have one last thing. The issue was the retail on the second floor.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Are you against retail?

COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, that's the whole Development Agreement I had -- yeah, that kind of deals with the whole Development Agreement.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: No. No. No. I just want everyone to be aware -- I mean, we had discussed -- I think Commissioner Keon was adamant about the fact that, you know, maybe retail wasn't the best option, and after looking into it, I also became of the impression that, you know, maybe retail would not function as well as we hoped, and we've seen that in all of the other malls in the

1 area --2 MAYOR CASON: But isn't the Agreement --3 COMMISSIONER LAGO: -- they've had some 4 issues with retail on the second floor. 5 hasn't functioned as planned. 6 MAYOR CASON: Okay. Doesn't the 7 Agreement --8 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Hold on a second, 9 though. 10 MAYOR CASON: Let me ask one thing, because I think this was in the Development Agreement, 11 that if the retail didn't work out on the 12 13 second floor, you would go professional offices 14 or something else. 15 MR. TRIAS: Yes. 16 MAYOR CASON: So you have a provision for 17 failure. 18 MR. TRIAS: Yes. Susan can explain that. 19 COMMISSIONER KEON: It's on Page 36 of the 20 Development Agreement. 21 MS. TREVARATHEN: Page 37, the last 22 paragraph, the first sentence was in the last 23 draft and the rest of that is new, and what it 24 does is, it talks about what it means to be 25 unable to lease the second floor space and it

creates a reporting obligation, twice a year, to the City, so that it can be monitored, and we can know if these vacancies are persisting and if they are persisting beyond 180 days without some type of activity or building going on, then we can invoke the conversion to professional office. That's basically what this paragraph says.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I feel comfortable with that.

MAYOR CASON: Yeah, I do, too.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I just want to -- a simple point on this one, and I know

Commissioner Slesnick, you weren't here last time we discussed this, but the two of you travel quite a bit, right?

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: If you've been to Paris, if you've been to Milan, if you've been to New York, you've been to Chicago, if you've seen what's happening in the main thoroughfares with the second floor retail, it's not the second floor retail that I think -- it's not Cocowalk. That's not what it looks like.

And I know we have a retail expert in the

crowd, but -- and this is my uneducated opinion, just what I've seen, what you're seeing is, you're seeing the H&M store, the Louis Vuitton store or the Rolex store, you're seeing them that they have narrower widths -- COMMISSIONER LAGO: Footprint.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: -- footprint on the first floor -- let me finish -- let me finish --

COMMISSIONER KEON: You were just saying, it's called two-story retail, as opposed to second floor.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: And then they do two-story, because they want to be able -yeah. So it gives a bigger presence for coming by. The increased rates that you're seeing on the first floor, they're not paying that on the second floor, so they're looking for a certain square footage, they have a certain pro forma that they've got to meet up with, and they can get away with doing the bigger store, and we're going to have more of an ability, I foresee. I think this is a moot point, because it's worked into the Development Agreement, but we're going to have a better chance of landing that major

international retailer that's really going to add a lot of value to the City, that we haven't seen before, which is why I'm in favor of the two-story.

I think the way it's written in the

Development Agreement, I think addresses

everyone's concerns and I'm probably just

speaking and creating a longer conversation

with no need, because I think you guys were

okay with the language, but I just wanted to

put that out there.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'm in favor of exactly what you said right now, especially the two-story feel, because it's working everywhere in the world, especially in high end markets, but my only big concern was, I wanted to avoid a situation like what we have had at Cocowalk, which we had --

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Of course. No one wants that.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: -- prior to Sunset

Place, where you had a second floor and a third

floor --

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: And was empty.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: -- which is desolate.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right.

MAYOR CASON: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: So, I mean -- and then we'd have to revert to commercial, which, again, I think would function very well in that building.

MAYOR CARSON: But this will give you the opportunity to design according to whoever you recruit, is that correct? You could have all kinds of design elements --

MR. TRIAS: Yeah, I think that the changes in the design encourage that that second story be together with the first story than before, so there's more flexibility on that, and also -- yes, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, the issue with the two-story as opposed -- you know, two-story and second floor retail are very different. In two-story retail, the entrance is on the ground floor, ground level, and, you know, there's stairs, elevator, escalator, something going up, but there is not an entrance into the store, generally, on the second level. It's, you know, like window shopping.

You know, you would walk by, but there's

usually not an entrance. There's generally not an entrance, because they are controlling the coming and going from their store, and it's so that nobody walks out upstairs, and it's usually not as secure.

So two-story retail has an entrance on the first floor, that is generally -- people are watchful of and there's some security related to it, and the upstairs has no entry, so there's no doors on the upstairs.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So you're saying you're --

COMMISSIONER KEON: Second floor retail has an entry level on the second floor. So there is a significant difference between two-story retail and second floor retail, and what you see in Cocowalk and what you see in so many of -- the Shops at Sunset Place, and all of these other things that we may be looking at is not two-story retail, it is second floor retail.

Now, using second floor -- and the concern often raised with second floor retail is, generally it's priced -- you know, it's priced at a much lower rate, obviously, than first

floor retail, and it's much harder to rent, and the fear is that if you keep pushing down the rents on those spaces, you know, you will affect the market rate rents for other stores in the area, like, you know -- and I don't think it's our job to control rents, and it's the market that generally -- that will determine rents. I just don't know -- we need to give some thought to the amount of that type of space that we want built out, because of the then effect that it may have on the other marketable space in our community, like the Mile or different places.

So, I mean, whether we want to concern ourselves with that, it is one issue. The fact that that's what happens is -- you know, is the second issue. Whether it's our issue to deal with or it's a developer's issue to deal with, the operator's issue to deal with, you know, that's a decision, you know, we can make. I don't necessarily want to dictate it.

I think that it probably -- I think that second floor retail, where there is entry from the second floor, you know, probably is well served by being a -- it could be a gym, it

could be a spa, it could be a doctor's office, it could be, you know, any one of those, as opposed to in line retail, and I think it's important, when we distinguish -- when we talk about retail, means -- I think retail is anything that's rents, is a retail, I mean, other than a set of office.

I mean, we are -- you know, a doctor's office in this is also considered retail, that's in this retail number. When I think of retail, I think more often as shops, I think of a shoe store, a dress store, a men's store, you know, coffee, tea.

I mean, you know, where you go in and buy something. That's what I think of often as retail, and that's actually in line retail, as opposed to all of the other forms of retail.

So, you know, using that second floor space, where there is entry into the space on the second level, I think is well-suited for doctor offices and those types of things, and I really -- you know, that's more what I would like to see there, and not the entry into the retail -- the in line retail from the second floor.

1 Do you understand the difference? 2 MAYOR CASON: Mario, how many stores --3 what's your vision of what's going to be up there? You've agreed that if you don't -- if 5 the retail doesn't work on the second floor 6 entry, you'll do something else, doctors' 7 office of professional offices. 8 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Professional offices, 9 uh-huh. 10 MAYOR CASON: How many are going to fit up 11 there? 12 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: You know, I would have 13 to defer to Stan, perhaps, if you have a 14 response, just based on the number of square 15 feet. 16 MR. TRIAS: Mayor, if I could explain. 17 MR. EICHELBAUM: I didn't swear in earlier, 18 if you want to do that. 19 MR. LEEN: Yes. Please swear in if you 20 haven't. 21 (Thereupon, the speaker was sworn.) 22 MR. EICHELBAUM: I do. 23 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Ramon wanted to say a 2.4 few words. 25 MR. TRIAS: Two things have taken place.

The design of the project has changed, which encourages much better retail downstairs and also the two-story retail that you're saying you prefer, and also it has minimized the in line retailing happening on the second floor, just because of design.

In addition, we have this additional language in the Development Agreement. So those are the two things they changed, both of them are consistent with your plans.

MR. EICHELBAUM: I think Commissioner
Keon's comments are right on. I think I have agreed every time I've been up here with that portion, that we have a strong of a concern of what is on that second level, and I've always thought conversion back was right, but I also have to qualify that we have a desperate need to go to the market and see, and the world has changed dramatically, as VICE MAYOR QUESADA talked about, and second level is in high use, compared to when we started this process three years ago.

It is incredibly active, even last Saturday when I walked Lincoln Road, and there's a fantastic -- and we aren't endorsing a Gap

store for this project, but it's beautiful, and they are an astute retailer.

As far as what we would do on it, I have to qualify, again, you've got to give the chance for us to give you the best project imaginable. You've left out one of the most important things I've talked to you, which is that frontage overlooking that plaza can be restaurants and be very nice, with an outside terrace, and I don't want to exclude that in any way.

There's a second trend going on around the world, there's going to be a major announcement in the next few days, very likely, about it, which is second floor anchor.

A very large box taking the entire second floor, and there's a very high end retailer looking at it in a major American city and very close to an agreement. We saw that opportunity. Then, all of a sudden, that type of economics works for the rate you're talking about.

So we are committed and we can look at the larger floor plate tenant coming up behind the restaurants, in the back, and get the greatest

ambiance and a project that's just a knockout all of the way around.

That's what we're trying to do, is create that flexibility in the envelope all of the way around.

You could even put the restaurants up-front, put the professional offices behind it. There's no reason -- that's been done before.

MAYOR CASON: And before you do your final design, you probably will be out recruiting — in the next 18 months, you'll have a sense where you'll be able to sell on that second floor entry, so you'll know what you will end up building.

MR. EICHELBAUM: We've got two to three years in the most volatile industry in the world right now, so it's going to reshape, and the change is so dramatic all of the way around — a company called H&M changed that second floor dynamic and that's what Gap copied, and a lot of higher end designer shops along Fifth Avenue are doing exactly the same thing. So we need that flexibility.

And I also have to say, we have talked

1 about global tenants. Global tenants have been 2 way ahead of second level use versus American 3 retailers and there's some terrifically dramatic stuff in Argentina and Brazil, 5 certainly, and a number of other markets, and 6 the Mexico market has been -- their upper end 7 retail -- you've seen it. 8 So we're saying, give us this flexibility 9 because we have to do -- if we're to get the 10 best retailers, we've got to do what the 11 retailers want, very much, as much as we can. 12 COMMISSIONER KEON: Thank you. 13 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 14 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Sir, could I have 15 your name? 16 MR. EICHELBAUM: Stan Eichelbaum, 17 E-I-C-H-E-L-B-A-U-M. 18 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Thank you. 19 MAYOR CASON: Okay. Any more discussion on 20 this? 21 COMMISSIONER KEON: On Page 36 of this 22 Retail Standard Operations, the second 23 paragraph, I want to know if you can change the 24 wording on that. It says, "The retail portions 25 of the project shall be a first class regional

1	shopping center, consistent with the approval"
2	I'd like you to take out that word,
3	"Shopping center," and find out something
4	another word that suits it better.
5	MAYOR CASON: Shopping experience.
6	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Retail destination.
7	COMMISSIONER KEON: Can we take that out,
8	please?
9	MAYOR CASON: Yes, take out it has a
10	connotation.
11	COMMISSIONER KEON: Retail whatever, but
12	it's not a not a regional shopping center,
13	okay.
14	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: First class right.
15	COMMISSIONER KEON: That will be all right
16	with you? Thank you.
17	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. That's fine with
18	A shopping destination.
19	MAYOR CASON: All of those words, okay.
20	COMMISSIONER KEON: Shopping experience. I
21	don't really care.
22	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Only places where
23	Commissioner Keon would go.
24	COMMISSIONER KEON: My children refer to
25	that as Patty's world.

1 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: My office is right 2 next door. I think everybody is going to go 3 for lunch and not come back for three hours. 4 COMMISSIONER KEON: On Page 5 of this 5 Development Agreement, it talks about the hotel 6 certification. It's now four or five. 7 VICE MAYOR OUESADA: What? 8 COMMISSIONER KEON: It's now four or five 9 diamond or whatever --10 COMMISSIONER LAGO: The level. 11 COMMISSIONER KEON: Four or five diamond 12 hotel, as per AAA standards and certifications, 13 shall be kept current throughout the life of 14 the project -- what's the difference between a 15 four and five-star or five-diamond. 16 MAYOR CASON: One more star. 17 I don't know. What does that mean? 18 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: You know something, 19 obviously I would much rather have, if we can, 20 just leave it at five, but I think we've got to be a little realistic, I don't think there is a 21 22 five in quite -- I know there's not a five in 23 Coral Gables, is there? 24 COMMISSIONER LAGO: The Mandarin. 25 COMMISSIONER KEON: There is not a five in

1	Coral Gables. That's why I said
2	COMMISSIONER LAGO: The Mandarin Oriental.
3	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I believe the Four
4	Seasons in Miami is the only five-star.
5	COMMISSIONER LAGO: The Four Seasons and
6	the Mandarin Oriental would qualify as a
7	five-star?
8	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes, the Mandarin
9	Oriental is, yes.
10	MR. FERNANDEZ: Only the Four Seasons and
11	the Mandarin Oriental, and even the Biltmore is
12	four stars
13	COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, yeah, the
14	Biltmore is four.
15	MR. FERNANDEZ: Mainly 90 percent of all of
16	the hotels in Miami are four starts. We are
17	concerned about that. We want to have the
18	highest quality
19	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Hector, I'm sorry, 90
20	percent of the hotels are
21	MR. FERNANDEZ: Four stars.
22	COMMISSIONER KEON: Are four stars.
23	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Four stars or less?
24	MR. FERNANDEZ: I mean, yes, four stars or
25	less, but not five stars.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right.

MR. FERNANDEZ: And the issue is that all of the business hotels are usually, by these classifications, considered four stars, but some of them could be like the quality of a Four Season, but even though because it is a business, because it has — it's lacking for some services that the business hotels, in difference with the resorts of beach hotels, they don't have, that's why they consider it four stars.

MAYOR CARSON: Oh, lack of a spa or a gymnasium --

MR. FERNANDEZ: That's why we don't want to be stuck with that classification. We know that we're going to do something beautiful, high end, the quality we want -- we'd like to build. We want to bring here the best executives, but also they have budgets and they have to pay -- all of the people from the companies, they have budgets to pay a specific amount of money for the rooms, so we cannot go to a hotel that will be asking for \$800 for a room, because then we wouldn't -- the hotel will go bankrupt in two years or in a year.

1 COMMISSIONER KEON: Do we know what the 2 Peninsula in Chicago is? Do we know what the 3 Peninsula in Chicago is? What is that? Do you know what the rating is? MR. FERNANDEZ: The Peninsula should be five stars. Usually all of the Peninsulas are 6 7 five stars. 8 COMMISSIONER KEON: The Peninsula in 9 Chicago is a five-star hotel, right? That's a 10 business hotel. 11 MR. FERNANDEZ: But, for example, we like 12 very much the Peninsula. They're interested. 13 There are like six different brands interested. 14 So we're going to talk, but we need this day --15 I mean, the approval, if you grant it, to begin 16 talking with all of the -- I did receive this 17 morning a note from another hotel operator. 18 They want to operate the hotel, but we 19 cannot begin speaking with them until we pass 20 this process. 21 MAYOR CASON: So you'll do your best to get 22 a five-star with the right price so that you 23 don't go bankrupt. 24 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. We will allow 25 you the four, but we're hoping you'll go with

1 five. 2 MR. FERNANDEZ: Yes. 3 MAYOR CASON: Got it. 4 COMMISSIONER KEON: I have a question, too. 5 On Page 8 it talks about employee parking. 6 would hope that you would have a plan that 7 would park all of your employees within your 8 project. 9 MAYOR CASON: Right. 10 COMMISSIONER KEON: And if you have to 11 subsidize their parking -- otherwise they're 12 going to be in that neighborhood behind or on 13 our swales or other places, like we see with 14 some other places in our City. 15 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: The Development 16 Agreement requires an employee parking plan 17 prior to CO and it does indeed require that all 18 of the parking be on-site. 19 COMMISSIONER KEON: That all of the parking 20 be inside? 21 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. 22 Another thing that we've agreed to is to 23 finance and support the resident parking permit 24 program for the neighborhood to the east. 25 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. Well, the other

one I have is with regard to the restaurant,
but I think maybe we'll talk about the
restaurant before -- before I ask him -- before
I talk about the Development Agreement with
regard to it. So why don't we talk about that?

MAYOR CASON: Okay. So do you have any
other -- you've finished --

COMMISSIONER KEON: No. We can talk about that when we make a decision on the restaurant.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: It is time. I think we're down to the restaurant.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: You know how I feel about the restaurant. It's not about the restaurant.

COMMISSIONER KEON: No, I do. I do.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: It's 24 feet, which is two stories, so --

COMMISSIONER KEON: I think it's important that you -- and I really do understand what you're saying and I appreciate what you've had to say, and I know you've spoken and you've been very consistent in how you feel about this. It was my understanding, from our last discussion, that the restaurant portion of that

1 acts like a pedestal to the embellishment on 2 top, and it's a 5,000 square foot space. 3 It is only 5,000 square feet, which is not 4 a particularly -- is that right? 5 MALE SPEAKER: One foot print. Each level 6 is 5,300 square feet. 7 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right, but it's a -- I 8 mean, it's only -- it's 5,000 square feet and 9 it's -- so it's not --10 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: But it's two 11 stories inside, correct? 12 COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes, but it steps in 13 from -- as the building goes up, it continues 14 to step in. So it's a very significant design 15 element for the building. 16 So the issue is, you know, in my --17 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I agree with the 18 design. I agree with the design. I agree with 19 the height of the additional --20 COMMISSIONER KEON: So it's whether or not 21 it remains empty or you use it? 22 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Her issue is the 23 precedent of the habitable area -- going above 24 the habitable area. 25 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. So that seems

1 to be the issue. Right. 2 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Right. 3 COMMISSIONER KEON: So the issue really 4 seems to be -- I mean, it will look exactly the 5 same, so it's whether you allow it to be 6 utilized or it remains empty? 7 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yes. That's it. 8 COMMISSIONER KEON: That's really --9 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: That's it. 10 MAYOR CASON: That's the essence of it. 11 COMMISSIONER KEON: And you're talking 12 about -- you had proffered that if it wasn't 13 going to go, you would take out the glass and 1.4 it would be an open space; is that right? 15 You know, my only concern with that -- and 16 I agree with you and I have a concern about the 17 habitable space, but I don't want to see it 18 become a pigeon coop, and that's what happens 19 to open spaces, when you have high -- open 20 spaces that are high up on buildings, that are 21 open and are never used, they become nesting 22 grounds for birds. 23 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: They're going in 2.4 the higher floors, in the higher --25 COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, it's the --

1 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: No, the pigeons or 2 the buzzards, like the courthouse -- the 3 buzzards are going to be on the ramp around --4 above the restaurant. I'm just teasing. 5 COMMISSIONER KEON: But they then go 6 into -- I mean, they can go into that part of 7 it and that's where they decide they're going 8 to live, so --9 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Attacking the 10 pedestrians if there was no restaurant. 11 COMMISSIONER LAGO: That's why we've broken 12 out that decision. 13 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: That will be voted on 15 after the additional seven or eight ordinances 16 that we have to vote on. 17 COMMISSIONER KEON: I'd rather have it be 18 habitated -- is that a word --19 MAYOR CASON: Occupied. 20 COMMISSIONER KEON: I'd rather have it be 21 habitable and not be a nesting ground, for only 22 that reason. 23 MAYOR CASON: And as I've said before --24 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I see your point. 25 MAYOR CARSON: As I've said before, I agree

with it, because I think if it's open up, not 1 only do we have events up there, but talk about 3 noise with the canyon -- I mean, up and down you're going to hear a lot more noise than if it's closed, air-conditioned, and I think it

7 that's great.

> COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, you know, I wouldn't care if it was an open area bar. wouldn't care if it was an open area kind of area where people -- I just think it needs to be utilized, otherwise it's going to become, you know, a space really that you just -- that, you know, birds are going to occupy. I don't want pigeons.

> will bring -- I think it's an amenity that's --

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I want to ask, Ramon -- Ramon, if there is a restaurant there, then we need those extra 36 parking spaces or more, because I was reading the traffic report, which is very difficult for me, reading the traffic report, but it was talking about a hundred extra parking spaces needed between 6:00 and ten o'clock at night if there is a restaurant there?

MAYOR CASON: Restaurant there or

8

2

5

6

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

restaurants in the facility?

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Restaurant -- well, the traffic report addressed the restaurants and the retail space, because this was not counted on as square footage or it was counted --

MALE SPEAKER: All of the analyses that's been done to date includes the restaurant.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Including the restaurant, okay.

MR. TRIAS: Okay. Let me -- the big picture here is that there is a shared parking plan that takes all of this into account. It only works because all of the uses are in the same place. So that's the big picture issue. I think that if you start breaking out uses --

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Okay. No, I'm just saying, if you have a ball downstairs with the -- because this is the same building, 500 people at the ballroom, a hundred people at the restaurant -- how many people in a 5,000 foot restaurant, a hundred people?

Okay. We already have 600 people in the building, besides the hotel guests and the people using, what, the other things in the

hotel, are these people going to be parking -they're all parking down below?

MR. TRIAS: Yeah, and what happens is, for example, that's at night, and then there's no office customers, so then there's additional parking available and --

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: But they have to go further. They have to go further away.

MR. TRIAS: But that's the theory behind the shared parking, and I will advise you to keep that thinking, because if you start breaking it apart, it just doesn't work anymore.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: No, and I see the shared parking, but just like Pat was -Commissioner Keon was talking about, walking in high heels the whole length of the parking lot to a ball -- I'm just pointing these things out, but really I'm not in favor of having the restaurant, I'd rather have the open space, because it sets precedent, not because of the restaurant. I like the design.

MR. TRIAS: Yeah. I think the parking argument is not as strong.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I like the

1 building. I like everything else about the 2 project. 3 MR. TRIAS: Sure. MAYOR CASON: Let me ask this now, your 5 subjects have been discussed. 6 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: They have. 7 MAYOR CASON: Commissioner Keon, other than 8 that, do you have -- I mean, Commissioner 9 Slesnick, anything else? 10 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: No. 11 MAYOR CASON: Do you have anything else 12 that you want to discuss? Anything else? 13 All right. Why don't we then get to the 14 vote on the seven issues. 15 Why don't you read what we have --16 MR. LEEN: So why don't we start, if it's 17 okay with the Comission and you, Mr. Mayor, 18 with the vote as to whether in concept you 19 approve this project. 20 MAYOR CASON: Okay. All right. 21 MR. LEEN: But the vote is without the 22 additional height, without the habitable space. 23 Then there will be another vote, and this is 24 all to incorporate what the final seven votes 25 are going to be, but I know that there was a

1 request to have a vote on the project without 2 the habitable height. 3 MAYOR CASON: Right. 4 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I made that request. 5 MR. LEEN: You did, and also Commissioner 6 Slesnick. 7 COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, it would be with 8 that height, but whether -- then it would be 9 whether that height is habitable. 10 MR. LEEN: Commissioner Keon is correct. 11 You're absolutely right, Commissioner. 12 sorry. It would be with the height, but it 13 would not be habitable. There would be no 14 restaurant there with this vote --15 MAYOR CASON: Right. 16 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Which even with that 17 height, it's still --18 MR. LEEN: Yes. 19 COMMISSIONER LAGO: -- the building is 20 still lower than the Biltmore and the Allen 21 Morris Building. 22 MR. LEEN: But what I wanted to explain to 23 the Commission is, by voting for this, it 24 doesn't -- there's going to be another vote 25 right after as to whether you want to extend

1	the habitable height.
2	MAYOR CASON: Right.
3	MR. LEEN: So you could vote, yes, for
4	both. It allows you to vote
5	COMMISSIONER KEON: You can vote yes or no.
6	MR. LEEN: Or you could vote yes and no.
7	MAYOR CASON: Yeah, okay.
8	All right. Are we going to vote
9	conceptually of the whole project or do you
10	want to go each of them separately?
11	MR. LEEN: The whole project, just one
12	vote
13	MAYOR CASON: All right.
14	MR. LEEN: without the habitable height.
15	MAYOR CASON: All right. Do we have a
16	resolution?
17	MR. LEEN: We will do the seven votes, Vice
18	Mayor, we will.
19	COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. Go ahead.
20	MR. LEEN: But I was asked if this could be
21	done
22	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: In this format?
23	MR. LEEN: Yes.
24	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: All right.
25	MR. LEEN: And it can be.

1 MAYOR CASON: Who wants to make a motion? 2 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I'm a little confused 3 here. So what's the first --4 COMMISSIONER LAGO: We're making a motion, 5 and correct me if I'm wrong -- we're making a 6 motion to approve the seven ordinances --7 sorry, what? 8 MR. LEEN: This is a conceptual vote. 9 You're voting in concept whether you would 10 approve the project and we're going to do a 11 second vote regarding the height. So this is 12 -- you're voting to say that the --13 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I'm going to make a 14 motion --15 MR. LEEN: Yes. 16 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: -- approving in 17 concept the project. Is that what you're 18 looking for? 19 MR. LEEN: Yes. 20 COMMISSIONER LAGO: In accordance with the 21 Development Agreement and all of the other --22 MR. LEEN: In accordance with everything 23 and then --24 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: In accordance with 25 everything, and excluding the habitable height

1 issue. 2 MR. LEEN: That's correct. Exactly. Thank 3 you, Mr. Vice Mayor. 4 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Including the alley 5 vacation and so forth? 6 MR. LEEN: Everything. 7 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Actually, I'm sorry, I 8 have one more issue we need to discuss. 9 retracting my motion. 10 Mr. Capote mentioned something about 11 flooding and potentially the foundations being 12 affected. Can I have an engineer or someone 13 tell me whether that's a valid concern or not? 14 Is it a valid concern that we're going to have 15 either his home or the historic building be 16 lifted by water? 17 I apologize. I just remembered. 18 MAYOR CASON: You raised your hand earlier? 19 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, I did. 20 MAYOR CASON: Okay. Why don't you state 21 your name for the --22 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: It's her fault. 23 reminded me of it. 24 MR. RODRIGUEZ: My name is Leonardo 25 Rodriguez, with Lagan Engineering, 15150

Northwest 79th Court, Miami Lakes, civil engineer for the project.

To answer your question specifically, there are several ways that this project can be constructed, and I guess in reference, you're referring to the basement --

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: You know, I don't need the detail. I'm not an engineer.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: We won't give you the details, but we do not anticipate, because of the way it's going to be built, that there's going to be water boiling up.

As a matter of fact, typically the way these kinds of projects are built, there are many ways to build it, but, in general, you build it in the wet and then you get rid of the water that's inside, so you're not displacing water up. You build it, you seal the bottom. There's water inside your big bathtub, if you will, and then you pump that water out and drain it out, but there will be no water boiling up underneath anybody's homes or the adjacent --

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So no buildings will be flooding?

1 MR. RODRIGUEZ: No. 2 MAYOR CASON: And we won't have the 3 champagne cork phenomenon? MR. RODRIGUEZ: You will not, sir. 5 MAYOR CASON: Okay. 6 COMMISSIONER LAGO: This is a real simple 7 concept and they're not reinventing the wheel 8 here. 9 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I got it. 10 understand that, but I'm not an engineer and 11 we'll have --12 COMMISSIONER LAGO: No. I didn't mention 13 it, because I just didn't want to give any 14 credence to that comment. 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: And they'll be, you know, 16 very experienced contractors working on this 17 project. It won't be their first time to the 18 rodeo. We will, along with ownership, make 19 sure that there's adequate experience on 20 whoever is going to be doing this job. 21 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Thank you so much. 22 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. 23 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I renew my motion to 24 approve the project conceptually, including 25 everything that we've discussed and

1	incorporating and even including the alley
2	vacation and the only thing that it excludes is
3	the habitable height.
4	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'll second the motion.
5	MAYOR CASON: All right. The Vice Mayor
6	made the motion. Commissioner Lago second.
7	City Clerk.
8	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick?
9	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yes.
10	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon?
11	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
12	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago?
13	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes.
14	CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada?
15	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.
16	CITY CLERK: Mayor Cason?
17	MAYOR CASON: Yes.
18	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I'm going to make a
19	motion now to allow the habitable height to go
20	up to the proposed height that the Applicant is
21	proposing, and, in other words, to allow a
22	restaurant.
23	MAYOR CASON: Do we have a second?
24	COMMISSIONER KEON: I'll second it.
25	MAYOR CASON: Okay. Commissioner Keon

1	seconds it.
2	City Clerk.
3	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon?
4	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
5	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago?
6	COMMISSIONER LAGO: No.
7	CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada?
8	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.
9	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick?
10	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: No.
11	CITY CLERK: Major Cason?
12	MAYOR CASON: Yes.
13	MR. LEEN: Okay.
14	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Anything else we need
15	to vote on?
16	MR. LEEN: Yes. So with this, now we have
17	to go through each of the seven. These are
18	final votes. Incorporate all of these
19	preliminary
20	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I'll make a motion on A-1.
21	MAYOR CASON: Second?
22	COMMISSIONER KEON: Second.
23	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I apologize.
24	COMMISSIONER KEON: As long as we did that,
25	can I go back and look at this one item that is

1 the restaurant -- the retail operation of the 2 restaurant in the Agreement on Page 36, as long 3 as you are going to have a habitable -- as long 4 as it passed that there's a restaurant there. 5 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So you're referring to 6 Exhibit F? 7 COMMISSIONER KEON: I'm sorry, it's not on 8 36. 9 MAYOR CASON: It's under A-1. 10 COMMISSIONER KEON: It's not on 36. 11 on 38. 12 MAYOR CASON: You want to read A-1 first? 13 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Oh, the Development 14 Agreement. 15 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right, on this 16 restaurant. I would like to make it very clear 17 that if for any reason a restaurant is not 18 successful at this -- in this location, that it 19 will revert to -- I would rather see it revert 20 to a non-habitable use. 21 MAYOR CARSON: All right. Would that be 22 under A-7? Where would that be discussed, that 23 amendment? 24 COMMISSIONER KEON: I don't know. 25 MAYOR CASON: That wouldn't be here.

1 COMMISSIONER KEON: I mean, I'm looking at 2 it in the Development Agreement. 3 MR. LEEN: Yes, say again exactly the --MAYOR CASON: You know, we have to go through A-1, 2, 3, 4 -- I'm just wondering when 5 6 that will --7 COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, my concern is, it 8 says Standards of Operations, and it talks about that it's, you know, a mix of fine dining 10 and whatever, and you list, you know, the types 11 of places it is. 12 MR. LEEN: And what do you want to do to 13 that? 14 COMMISSIONER KEON: It says a restaurant 15 proposed for the top two levels, a hotel should 16 be -- you know, I want to make sure we are 17 talking about this habitable space that we have 18 given you, this 5,000 square feet, as being a 19 restaurant. If it doesn't succeed as a 20 restaurant, and there's nothing that will 21 guarantee that you will have success there as a 22 restaurant -- I mean, again, I've said it, 23 that's your business plan --24 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Commissioner. 25 COMMISSIONER KEON: -- but I want to know

what happens if it's not a restaurant.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I think maybe we'd be getting a little ahead of ourselves if we're so strict that way, because what's to say they don't decide -- let's say it doesn't work out as a restaurant, let's say, worst case scenario, doesn't work, they bring in the top chef in the world and it doesn't work.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: They make no money.

We don't want a Hooters up there, for example.

You know, we want fine dining, that's it, but what's to say they can't turn that into a ballroom to complement the other aspects of the project?

I think that would be something consistent with what you mentioned earlier. I don't know, so I don't think we want to handcuff them at that point. I think we maybe say, if it's unsuccessful, then the Commission has a right to come in and maybe readdress it.

COMMISSIONER KEON: I would then -- if it's not going to be used as a restaurant, then it has to come back before the Commission for its use. All right.

1 MAYOR CASON: That makes more sense. 2 COMMISSIONER KEON: But I don't want to see 3 it be used as retail. I don't want it to be 4 converted into a hotel room. 5 MR. LEEN: You accept that? 6 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I agree. 7 COMMISSIONER KEON: I don't want it to be 8 moved to something else. 9 (Simultaneous speaking.) 10 COMMISSIONER KEON: And I'm voting for it 11 as a restaurant, because I don't want to see it 12 be empty, unused space up there. 13 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: That's a conversation 14 for another day. 15 MR. LEEN: So the only permissible use, 16 then, is going to be a restaurant, and then if 17 you're going to change the use, you have to 18 come back and ask the Commission's permission. 19 It will be directly to the Commission? 20 MAYOR CASON: Right. 21 MR. LEEN: They can come directly to you? 22 COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes. It's not through 23 an administrative order or anything else. 24 is through this Commission.

MAYOR CASON: Yeah. Come to the Commission

25

1 and ask us -- make a presentation.

> MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right now the wording says, dining and entertainment establishment, which I'm sure you're fine with, if there is some sort of, you know, jazz singer, you know, in there or whatever else it might be, and we're in agreement that if that dining and entertainment establishment is not successful or we can't, you know, successfully have one there, then we come back to this Commission to figure out what the use is.

MR. LEEN: Ramon.

MR. TRIAS: It's in the Comp Plan language, also.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right.

MR. TRIAS: Don't forget that. That's the only thing that the Comp Plan allows it to have above that height. So there are multiple ways to ensure that that's the only thing that can happen.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: But you're saying it's already worked into the language.

MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay.

MR. TRIAS: And, furthermore, any amendment

21

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

1 to the PAD has to come before you, so they may 2 amend it in many ways, and one of them may 3 be --COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, not every 5 amendment, because there are some amendments 6 that if they're 10 percent, they can be done 7 administratively. 8 MR. TRIAS: There's a process, yes. 9 COMMISSIONER KEON: There are some that are 10 20 percent, can be done. So not every 11 amendment comes back to the Commission. 12 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Well, but this one 13 does. 14 COMMISSIONER KEON: I want to make sure 15 that this amendment comes back to the 16 Commission. 17 CITY MANAGER SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Can I just 18 -- I'm sorry. 19 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Also we're talking 20 about a four or five-star restaurant, like you 21 said, not a --22 MAYOR CASON: It's in there. 23 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I wanted to also --24 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Let me --25 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Let her finish. Let

1 her finish. 2 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Go ahead. 3 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I just want to be careful, because we're throwing around Michelin, and I don't think in the City of 5 Miami there's one Michelin rated restaurant. 6 7 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: There isn't. 8 COMMISSIONER LAGO: There was one, but --9 so --10 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: But if notice, the 11 Development Agreement uses restaurants that are 12 in the community. 13 COMMISSIONER LAGO: No, I know. I know. just want to set expectations where they should 14 15 be. 16 MR. TRIAS: That's a condition of approval. 17 MR. FERNANDEZ: You know, what is going to 18 happen is, of course, we will bring the best we 19 can, but if by any reason -- sorry. 20 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I'll yield to the 21 gentleman. 22 MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you very much. 23 If by any reason it doesn't work, the first 24 restaurant, if it's Italian, then we will try a 25 second shop, a French, another Michelin -- I

1 mean, because sometimes depends on the food, 2 sometimes depends on the creation. You never 3 know. Restaurants are very hard to handle, so 5 hopefully we will hit the first time, but if 6 not, we will keep trying, because I agree that 7 that spot for a restaurant is going to be a 8 shot. I like it, and I think could work, but 9 we will do all our efforts to make it work. 10 MAYOR CASON: All right. Let's -- City 11 Attorney --12 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Wait, Mr. Mayor. 13 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: One more point. 14 It's a two-story restaurant, not two floors of 15 one story each of a restaurant, because you could in 24 feet of height have two floors? 16 17 MAYOR CASON: One restaurant. 18 COMMISSIONER KEON: But it's -- no, it's one --19 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I just want to make 20 it clear. 21 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right, it's -- one 22 restaurant. 23 MAYOR CASON: Restaurant. 24 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: No, they said that 25 earlier. One, two-story restaurant.

1 COMMISSIONER KEON: With that ceiling height. 2 COMMISSIONER LAGO: That's the beauty of 3 it. MAYOR CASON: That's why it's nice, the 5 tall ceilings. 6 COMMISSIONER LAGO: The beautiful 7 windows --8 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: And I just want to 9 make it clear -- you're talking about quality, 10 I'm talking about two floors, so --11 MR. LEEN: But just to clarify, are you 12 saying --13 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right, one restaurant. 14 MR. LEEN: -- that if the restaurants don't 15 work and it's just going to be used as a 16 ballroom, that still needs to come before you? 17 COMMISSIONER LAGO: No. What Commissioner 18 Keon stated was that if for some reason the 19 owner, developer decides to change from the use 2.0 of a restaurant, and they have another 21 interest, a jazz bar, a ballroom, that it has 22 to come before the Commission. 23 MAYOR CASON: Come back to the Commission. 2.4 MR. LEEN: That's what I'm asking. Even a 25 ballroom?

1	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
2	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I say, no, for a
3	ballroom. I think it's consistent with
4	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yeah, I don't have an
5	issue with a ballroom, it's pretty at all.
6	I don't have an issue with a ballroom, either.
7	Again, I would hope that it would not become a
8	ballroom use forever, because the location is,
9	you know, probably the best location in the
10	City.
11	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Best view of the City.
12	MAYOR CASON: Yeah.
13	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I mean, you would hope
1.4	it would have some sort of, you know, very
15	cache use.
16	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: You can have a lot
17	of nice weddings up there.
18	COMMISSIONER KEON: Right.
19	Can I ask the Manager, could you yes.
20	CITY MANAGER SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Just, the
21	nice weddings create more parking, and so I'm
22	just suggesting
23	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I want those 36
24	spaces back.
25	CITY MANAGER SWANSON-RIVENBARK: No, no, no

1 -- I'm just suggesting that if you all don't 2 have an issue, then there shouldn't be an issue with it coming back to you to get to approve 3 it. 5 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. 6 CITY MANAGER SWANSON-RIVENBARK: So at this 7 point, it has to be one restaurant, that takes 8 up 24, you know, linear feet --9 COMMISSIONER KEON: The whole space. 10 CITY MANAGER SWANSON-RIVENBARK: -- right, 11 and that it is a Michelin 4 plus category --12 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Michelin 4 doesn't 13 exist. 14 COMMISSIONER KEON: I don't think it has --15 I think we have some great restaurants that are 16 not Michelin rated. 17 CITY MANAGER SWANSON-RIVENBARK: I'm on to 18 the -- I know the AAAs. 19 COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, I mean, I think --20 MAYOR CASON: High quality. 21 CITY MANAGER SWANSON-RIVENBARK: And so 22 what are you calling it? 23 COMMISSIONER KEON: Zuma's is a very good 24 restaurant and Zuma's is not Michelin rated. 25 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I want a Goodyear 10

1 diamond restaurant. 2 (Simultaneous speaking.) 3 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I just don't want to tie -- let's not tie the developer down, I 5 mean, to some --6 CITY MANAGER SWANSON-RIVENBARK: But, sir, 7 I'm confident that this developer will rise to 8 the standard that you're asking for. You are 9 going higher than you have been in the 10 Downtown. You are going higher to allow this 11 restaurant, and I don't have any problem with 12 you all setting what that standard should be. 13 COMMISSIONER KEON: That's right. 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: So as the Vice Mayor 15 said, we would request a Goodyear 10 Diamond. 16 It doesn't even exist. We're just going to 17 invent our own rating scale. 18 COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, I would like any 19 use, other than a fine dining restaurant, to 20 have to come back to this Commission. 21 MAYOR CASON: That's fine. I don't have a 22 problem with that. 23 COMMISSIONER KEON: Because, you know, 24 we're giving you that and in return that's what 25 we want.

1 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yeah, but hold on a 2 Then we have to vote on that, because second. 3 I'm okay with them converting it to a ballroom or maybe they have special events that the 5 restaurant is having one night. Someone rents 6 out the entire restaurant and they have a big 7 event or --8 COMMISSIONER KEON: That's an event, that's 9 not a use. 10 MR. LEEN: Okay. So because there's 11 disagreement, there should be a vote. 12 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. 13 MAYOR CASON: All right. So --14 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. So what's the vote? 15 MR. LEEN: The vote is on whether to allow 16 it to be a ballroom. 17 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. 18 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. If the 19 restaurant -- if they decide at one point or 20 another the restaurant use does not work --21 COMMISSIONER KEON: It's not viable. 22 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: -- it's not viable, my 23 motion is that they are entitled to change it 24 to a ballroom use without coming before 25 Commission.

1 COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, my concern with a 2 ballroom use is, again, actually the amount of 3 parking that is needed for a ballroom. have more --5 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I believe the parking 6 requirement for a restaurant is the same 7 parking requirement that there is for a ballroom use under our Code. So there would be 9 no impact. 10 COMMISSIONER KEON: Is that right? 11 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: It is. 12 MR. LEEN: Our special counsel is 13 confirming that. 14 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I'm not certain. 15 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I think it is. 16 COMMISSIONER KEON: But a restaurant is --17 isn't it by seat or whatever? 18 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes. 19 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: It's the same. 20 It's the same, yeah. 21 COMMISSIONER KEON: And so in its use, it 22 is the same parking requirement? Somebody 23 better tell me the right answer. 24 CITY MANAGER SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Can we 25 just get full clarity here? The requirements

1 for a restaurant for parking versus the requirements for a ballroom. MS. TREVARATHEN: What I'm being told by Tim is that they're very similar, but he 4 doesn't have the exact numbers. 6 CITY MANAGER SWANSON-RIVENBARK: But he's 7 talking from a parking generation --COMMISSIONER KEON: I'd like our Staff to 9 tell us, please. CITY MANAGER SWANSON-RIVENBARK: 10 11 we're talking from a Zoning standpoint. 12 COMMISSIONER KEON: I want to know from a Zoning standpoint the parking requirement 13 14 that's in our Code. CITY MANAGER SWANSON-RIVENBARK: 15 We are 16 very close to finishing this, and I think this 17 detail is important. 18 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. Right. 19 Can you go pull up the Code? 20 MR. TRIAS: And as I walk, she's -- yes, 21 Meegan is looking at the Code right now to make 22 sure we're not making any mistakes. Having 23 said that -- having said that, it is not really 24 that critical, because of the shared parking 25 issues.

1 So I'm just explaining that, because the 2 fact of the matter is that the way the parking is being calculated is not that way, it's

through that shared parking method.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right, but the way -you know, the only -- and I don't know this to be a fact, I admit, it probably could be just anecdotal, but I look at the ballroom type use at 'the Coral Gables Country Club and the amount of parking that it requires, as opposed to the use of a restaurant, where people kind of come and go at different times.

I mean, a restaurant is open maybe from 7:00 to midnight and so people kind of come and go and they reserve tables and they come at different times, where a ballroom use is everybody arrives at the same time and everybody leaves at the same time.

So the impact on traffic and the impact on parking is usually greater, I would think. mean -- that's not, in fact? That's just in my thoughts, so --

MR. TRIAS: I think the impact on the traffic circulation and the valets is greater than it is on the actual parking spaces.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 because of the timing and --2 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right, the valets. 3 Right. So that's where I, even to go to a ballroom, I would rather have it come back. MAYOR CASON: Craig, where would it be the 6 appropriate vote to discuss the particular 7 aspect? Is that the last vote? 8 MS. TREVARATHEN: The PAD site plan. 9 MR. LEEN: Yeah, that's where I would put 10 it. I wouldn't change any of the other 11 legalities. I would change the site plan and 12 just put a condition. 13 MAYOR CASON: Then why don't we vote on 14 that when we get to Number 7? 15 MR. LEEN: I agree with Special Counsel. 16 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. Okay. By then 17 they can tell us the Code requirements. 18 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: In 7, we've got to 19 address that. 20 MR. LEEN: The condition will be that --21 well, we'll have the vote. We'll decide at 22 that moment, after we get the information. 23 You'll make that decision, but it will be on 24 that last one. 25 MAYOR CASON: No, we'll start on that now.

1 Why don't you read A-1, so we know what we're 2 voting on? 3 MR. LEEN: So Item Number 1, and I'd like 4 Special Counsel to remain up here, too -- Item 5 Number 1 is a Comp Plan change. It's the Comp 6 Plan Text change. It's already been read into 7 the record. Do you want me to read it again? 8 MS. TREVARATHEN: It's actually the map 9 change. 10 MAYOR CASON: No, just tell us. 11 MR. LEEN: This is the map change? 12 MS. TREVARATHEN: Yes. 13 MR. LEEN: And 2 is the text change? 14 MS. TREVARATHEN: Yes. 15 MR. LEEN: Okay. So Item 1 is the map change. 16 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I'm going to make a 17 motion to approve Number 1. 18 COMMISSIONER KEON: I'll second it. 19 MAYOR CASON: All right. We have a motion 20 to approve A-1 by the Vice Mayor, and 21 Commissioner Keon seconds it. 22 City Clerk. 23 CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago? 24 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes. 25 CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada?

1	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.
2	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick?
3	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yes.
4	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon?
5	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
6	CITY CLERK: Mayor Cason?
7	MAYOR CASON: Yes.
8	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I want to make a
9	motion on A-2, to approve.
10	MAYOR CASON: Vice Mayor makes the motion.
11	MR. LEEN: Item A-2 is the text change to
12	the Comprehensive Plan. Commissioner, that
13	does include the height. It is allowed. So
14	just so you're aware, it does include the
15	additional height.
16	MR. SUSAN: The additional height for the
17	dining use up high.
18	COMMISSIONER KEON: But we already agreed
19	on that.
20	MAYOR CASON: Do we have a second?
21	COMMISSIONER KEON: I'll second it.
22	COMMISSIONER LAGO: But, I mean, let's talk
23	about that. So it includes that, so if I
24	COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, it means the
25	height, it's not the use.

1 MR. SUSAN: It creates the opportunity to 2 have the habitable space over 190.5. 3 COMMISSIONER KEON: It says you have approved the height, it doesn't say you have 5 approved habitable height. 6 MAYOR CASON: Yeah, it's the height. 7 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. 8 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Hold on. This does 9 include habitable height. 10 COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, it includes 11 overall high. 12 MR. SUSAN: No, it describes a habitable 13 use. I don't want to mislead the Commissioner. 14 MR. LEEN: Yes, it does. 15 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: And habitable is 16 included. 17 MR. LEEN: And the Commission has spoken 18 that it will be included in each of these, but 19 if you --2.0 COMMISSIONER LAGO: No, but that's not what 21 I wanted. I was very clear about that. 22 MR. LEEN: No, I know, but you did the 23 vote. The prior vote occurred. 2.4 COMMISSIONER LAGO: No, but, I mean, we're 25 back to -- you know, this is the whole point

why I sat down with Staff and I said, "Listen, carve this out. Carve anything having to do with habitable height out of this. I want to support this project."

MR. LEEN: Okay. So on Item 2 --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Look, after so many years, this project deserves support from the entire Commission. We disagree on one small component. I told you, let's make it clear, I'm not going to vote on this. I'm going to excuse myself on voting on this issue.

MR. LEEN: No, Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'm telling you why, because he --

MR. LEEN: We can do the same thing we did before. We can do that same thing. I thought that that was sufficient, I'm sorry. We can do the same thing. You can vote on Item 2 without the height and then we'll do a second vote to include the height.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KEON: But the issue is, everyone is agreeing, from a design standpoint, to the height.

MAYOR CASON: Yes.

1 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I make a motion --2 MR. SUSAN: We're using summary words, but 3 what we mean is habitable height. The Comp 4 Plan text actually creates the habitable 5 height. 6 MR. LEEN: It allows habitable height. 7 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I move this Commission 8 to approve Item A-2, excluding the habitable 9 height portion of A-2. 10 MR. LEEN: With the understanding that 11 there will be a subsequent vote right after 12 that will then include that height. 13 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. Yes. 1.4 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Remember, when we 15 started having this discussion, I mentioned 16 Ordinances 1 through 7, and then we were going 17 to have a special vote which was just going to 18 deal in reference to the height. That was my 19 intent. 20 MR. LEEN: I understand, Commissioner. 2.1 thought that we had already done that with that 22 prior vote. Remember the prior vote we had? 23 MS. TREVARATHEN: We did it. 24 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I understand the prior 25 vote, but, I mean, if I were to vote on Number

2 without the change made by the Vice Mayor --VICE MAYOR QUESADA: We can clarify this very easily.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Listen, I just to want to make it clear for myself and for the Developers. That's the least that they deserve.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Commissioner.

Commissioner.

Commissioner, very clearly, every motion from A-1 to A-7, we will exclude the habitable height and then I'm going to make a motion at the end, that's not on the Agenda, addressing solely the habitable height. That will correct and will address your concern and it will pass muster if -- I believe so.

MR. LEEN: I would like to do them, the subsequent vote, immediately, one by one, vote without it and vote, and add it.

MS. TREVARATHEN: Let's do them one by one.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: That's fine. Let me -- Commissioner Slesnick, do you feel comfortable with what the vice Mayor has just proffered which I think is a great idea?

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yes. Yes.

1	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. Perfect.
2	MAYOR CASON: A-2.
3	MR. LEEN: Special Counsel, on A-1, did
4	that include the habitable height?
5	MS. TREVARATHEN: No. No, that's just the
6	map amendment.
7	MR. LEEN: Because it was a map amendment.
8	So A-2, vote without the habitable height.
9	You have the motion, Mr. Vice Mayor.
10	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: My motion is to
11	approve Item A-2, and excluding any reference
12	to habitable height.
13	MAYOR CASON: And we had a second with
14	Commissioner Keon; is that correct?
15	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
16	MAYOR CASON: City Clerk.
17	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago?
18	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes.
19	CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada?
20	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.
21	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick?
22	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yes.
23	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon?
24	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
25	CITY CLERK: Mayor Cason?

1	MAYOR CASON: Yes.
2	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I make a motion on
3	A-3
4	MAYOR CASON: That was A-2.
5	MS. TREVARATHEN: A-2, with the height.
6	MR. LEEN: A-2 again.
7	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. I make a motion
8	on A-2, so that the habitable height is
9	increased by another
10	MR. SUSAN: As in the packet, with no changes.
11	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: As in the packet.
12	MAYOR CASON: Vice Mayor makes that motion.
13	A second?
14	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'll second it.
15	MAYOR CASON: Okay. Commissioner Lago
16	seconds.
17	City Clerk.
18	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick?
19	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: No.
20	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon?
21	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
22	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago?
23	COMMISSIONER LAGO: No.
24	CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada?
25	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.

1	CITY CLERK: Mayor Cason?
2	MAYOR CASON: Yes.
3	A-3, what's the
4	MR. LEEN: A-3 is the text amendment, that
5	allows for the Mediterranean Village Form Base
6	Plan Area Development.
7	MS. TREVARATHEN: And it does allow for the
8	additional habitable height.
9	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I move this Commission
10	to approve Item A-3, excluding the habitable
11	height provisions.
12	MAYOR CASON: Do we have a second?
13	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'll second.
14	MR. LEEN: And, once again, with the caveat
15	that there will be an additional vote right
16	after, and that that's being accepted
17	procedurally.
18	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: And that's
19	incorporated into every motion that I'm making
20	today.
21	MAYOR CASON: Okay. City Clerk.
22	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon?
·23	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
24	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago?
25	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes.

1	CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada?
2	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.
3	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick?
4	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yes.
5	CITY CLERK: Mayor Cason?
6	MAYOR CASON: Yes.
7	Now with the height.
8	MS. TREVARATHEN: With no change, as in the
9	packet.
10	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I move
11	COMMISSIONER KEON: As proposed.
12	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I move as proposed on
13	this item.
14	MAYOR CASON: Second?
15	MR. LEEN: And this includes the height.
16	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'll second.
17	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: It includes the
18	height.
19	MAYOR CASON: Commissioner Lago seconds
20	that, as well.
21	City Clerk.
22	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago?
23	COMMISSIONER LAGO: No.
24	CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada?
25	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.

1 CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick? COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: No. 3 CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon? COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes. CITY CLERK: Mayor Cason? 6 MAYOR CASON: Yes. 7 A-4. 8 MR. LEEN: A-4 is the --9 MS. TREVARATHEN: The PAD site plan and it 10 includes the habitable height. 11 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I move --12 MR. LEEN: But, wait, this is the 13 quasi-judicial matter, so in voting on this, 14 you're going to be incorporating all of the 15 conditions of approval and all the preliminary 16 votes that have happened imposing the 17 conditions. We're going to accept all of the 18 proffers --19 COMMISSIONER LAGO: The Development 20 Agreement. 21 MR. LEEN: Yes -- well, that's going to be 22 in the Development Agreement, too, but here as 23 well and then you're going to be doing it 24 without the height, though.

MAYOR CASON: The paseo.

1 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I move on this item pursuant and incorporate the statements you 3 just made and the testimony that we heard today and the information that was presented to us 5 and everything that we discussed, and I exclude the habitable height provision. 6 7 MR. LEEN: And, also, this is where you 8 have to determine about the condition of 9 approval related to the ballroom. You had said 10 that you wanted to do -- this is the vote. 11 MAYOR CASON: So where do we do that, 12 though? 13 COMMISSIONER KEON: That any use other than 14 as a restaurant has to come back before the 15 Commission. 16 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: That's not my part of 17 my motion. 18 COMMISSIONER KEON: Oh. I'm going to ask 19 you amend it. 20 MAYOR CASON: Just make your motion and 21 then we'll do that second vote. 22 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: That was very slick, 23 trying to sneak it in on me, though. 24 COMMISSIONER KEON: Can I ask you to make a 25 friendly amendment to your motion?

1 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: That would be a 2 hostile amendment to my motion. 3 COMMISSIONER KEON: I'll say it nice. MR. LEEN: Why don't you do the restaurant, 5 vote on that first? Do you want to do a straw 6 vote on that first? 7 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yeah. It will make it 8 easier for the vote. It's complicated enough 9 as it is. 10 MAYOR CASON: Yeah, it will make it easier. 11 MR. LEEN: We're going to put that into 12 both, the site plan and the Development 13 Agreement, whatever you decide here. 14 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. Here is my 15 straw vote, that if it's going to change to a 16 ballroom use, they do not have to come before 17 us. 18 MAYOR CASON: Do we have a second for that? 19 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I'm sorry, they do not 20 have to come before us. Straw vote, so 21 everyone in favor with that, please stand. 22 MS. TREVARATHEN: You just wanted to 23 stretch your legs. 24 MR. LEEN: The straw vote, Mr. Clerk, was 25 two in favor and three against.

1 MAYOR CASON: Okay. So --2 MR. LEEN: The Vice Mayor and Commissioner 3 Lago were in favor. The other three were against. 5 MAYOR CASON: All right. So back now to, 6 on A-4, with that taken care of --7 COMMISSIONER KEON: With my friendly 8 amendment then. 9 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes. So my motion on 10 A-4 will include a friendly amendment that any 11 change other than a restaurant has to come 12 before the City Commission, including a use of 13 a ballroom. 14 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: But we're voting on 15 the non-habitable part, which is the 16 restaurant. 17 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: And excluding the 18 habitability. 19 MAYOR CASON: City Clerk. 20 MR. LEEN: Right now we're voting without 21 the restaurant, and then that will come next. 22 MAYOR CASON: Right. City Clerk. 23 CITY CLERK: I need a second. 24 MAYOR CASON: We have. Commissioner Keon. 25 CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick?

1 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Wait a minute. 2 COMMISSIONER KEON: Without the habitable --3 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yes. Yes. Yes. 4 MR. LEEN: The vote is on whether you would 5 approve this -- everything, and it's excluding 6 the restaurant and you're reserving the ability 7 to vote on that right after again. 8 MAYOR CASON: Yes. 9 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes. 10 COMMISSIONER KEON: But it's requiring that 11 if -- that the use is only for a restaurant. 12 MS. TREVARATHEN: There is no restaurant. 1.3 MR. LEEN: Right now there is no use. 14 are not addressing that issue right now. 15 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. Okay. Okay. Okay. 16 MR LEEN: I'm sorry for that confusion. 17 For the record, the condition that was just 18 amended will be on the second vote. The first 19 vote, no. 2.0 MAYOR CASON: City Clerk. 21 CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick? 22 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yes. 23 CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon? 2.4 COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes. 25 CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago?

1 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes. 2 CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada? 3 VICE MAYOR OUESADA: 4 CITY CLERK: Mayor Cason? 5 MAYOR CASON: Yes. 6 MR. LEEN: Okay. Now, for the second vote, 7 Mr. Vice Mayor, if you're going to be making 8 the motion, it's incorporating everything, but 9 now it's including the height and it's 10 including this condition that it can't be 11 anything other than a restaurant. If it is, it 12 has to come back --13 MS. TREVARATHEN: A fine dining restaurant 14 was concurred. 15 MR. LEEN: A fine dining restaurant, 16 everything you've already included. 17 want to change it to a ballroom or something 18 else that would otherwise be allowed, they have 19 to come back to you. 2.0 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: My motion is as stated 21 by the City Attorney. 22 MAYOR CASON: Do we have a second? 23 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'll second. 24 MAYOR CASON: Mr. Lago seconds. 25 City Clerk.

1	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon?
2	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
3	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago?
4	COMMISSIONER LAGO: This does not have
5	anything to do with height?
6	MS. TREVARATHEN: Yes. This allows the
7	restaurant.
8	MR. LEEN: This does allow the height.
9	COMMISSIONER LAGO: No.
10	CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada?
11	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.
12	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick?
13	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: No.
14	CITY CLERK: Mayor Cason?
15 .	MAYOR CASON: Yes.
16	A-5.
17	MS. TREVARATHEN: Does not involve the
18	restaurant. It's the alley.
19	MR. LEEN: Yes. So for this alley
20	vacation, we're treating this as
21	quasi-judicial, and this is where you're
22	resolving all of these issues that were raised
23	by Mr. Capote.
24	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: My motion incorporates
25	all of the testimony heard today, the documents

1	reviewed, the evidence presented.
2	MS. TREVARATHEN: The conditions and Staff
3	approval.
4	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: All of the conditions,
5	with Staff approval, to approve the alley
6	vacation.
7	MAYOR CASON: Do we have a second?
8	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'll second.
9	MAYOR CASON: Mr. Lago second.
10	City Clerk.
11	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago?
12	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes.
13	CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada?
14	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.
15	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick?
16	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yes.
17	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon?
18	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
19	CITY CLERK: Mayor Cason?
20	MAYOR CASON: Yes.
21	A-6.
22	MR. LEEN: It's the Development Agreement,
23	so you're going to want to that includes the
24	height, doesn't it, Susan?
25	MS. TREVARATHEN: Yes. It will be just

1 like A-4. The first vote would be without the 2 restaurant. So that's the first motion. 3 MAYOR CASON: Okay. MR. LEEN: And without that condition, and 5 then we're going to impose the condition and 6 then the restaurant. 7 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: That is my motion to 8 approve, based on Special Counsel's comments 9 and our City Attorney's comments. 10 MAYOR CASON: Second? 11 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'll second. 12 MAYOR CASON: Commissioner Lago seconds. 13 City Clerk. 14 CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada? 15 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: 16 CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick? 17 MR. LEEN: This does not include the 18 habitable height. 19 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: 20 CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon? 21 COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes. 22 CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago? 23 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes. 24 CITY CLERK: Mayor Cason? 25 MAYOR CASON: Yes.

1	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: And now I will make a
2	motion incorporating the
3	MS. TREVARATHEN: Everything as proposed,
4	plus the additional condition that they can't
5	change the fine dining restaurant at the top of
6	the hotel without City Commission approval.
7	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I move as stated by
8	outside counsel.
9	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'll second the motion.
10	MAYOR CASON: Okay. The Vice Mayor made
11	the motion. Commissioner Lago second.
12	City Clerk.
13	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick?
14	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: No.
15	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon?
16	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
17	CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago?
18	COMMISSIONER LAGO: No.
19	CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada?
20	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.
21	CITY CLERK: Mayor Cason?
22	MAYOR CASON: Yes.
23	MR. LEEN: A-7 vacates the old PAD, the
24	prior PAD.
25	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I make a motion to

1 approve. 2 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'll second the motion. 3 MAYOR CASON: Vice Mayor makes the motion, Commissioner Lago second. 5 City Clerk. 6 CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon? 7 COMMISSIONER Keon: Yes. 8 CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago? 9 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes. 10 CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada? 11 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes. 12 CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick? 13 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yes. 14 CITY CLERK: Mayor Cason? 15 MAYOR CASON: Yes. 16 MR. LEEN: And then in front of the whole 17 Commission, I just want to reiterate what's 18 been done today, which is that there's been an 19 approval. All five of the Commissioners 20 supported the project in concept and voted for 21 all of the items in concept. There was a 22 disagreement regarding the habitable height. 23 That was approved, three to two, on all of the 24 different votes, and so that is in the final 25 decision and will be in the final ordinances

1 that are presented to the Mayor for signature. 2 MS. TREVARATHEN: And, Craig, as part of 3 your recap, we should also be clear that the various changes to the Development Agreement 5 that were discussed earlier are incorporated 6 into this vote and the motion. 7 MR. LEEN: Yes. All of those conditions --8 everything is going to be incorporated into 9 these ordinances which are going to be 10 presented to the Mayor for signature. 11 MS. TREVARATHEN: And you and the Manager 12 have the power to make that -- effectuate the 13 Commission's will. 14 MR. LEEN: I agree with that, and its in 15 the Commission's interest. We'll make sure 16 that your will is accomplished. 17 MS. TREVARATHEN: Thank you. 18 MAYOR CASON: Any other matters to vote on? 19 I'd like to ask the Applicant if you'd like to 20 make any final statement. 21 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. It's been a hard 22 day's work on the part of everyone, but I'd 23 like to thank you, on behalf of the project 24 This is going to definitely be a 25 development that all of you are going to be

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

very proud of, when the day comes to cut the ribbon on the opening. Thank you very much.

MAYOR CASON: Anybody would like to --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I just want to say one last thing very quickly. I want to congratulate the developers. You've been here almost close to four years. You weathered a major financial storm and you've come out of the other side and you've also weathered a lot of turmoil that happened in the City, and I just want to say thank you for the product that you're going to put out. It's going to be a great improvement to our City.

I also want to make sure that I say thank you to our Staff, our City Manager, our City Attorney, Ramon, and the rest of the Zoning Staff, and everyone who has been -- done an incredible job, our outside counsel. This has been years and years in the making. I can't thank you enough for your efforts and the leadership that you guys have shown over the last few years. So I appreciate it and thank you for all your hard work.

MAYOR CARSON: I'd like to reiterate that and also thank the public for its input in

this. These 20 meetings were very helpful. I think this is a great project. It's certainly much better than when we first talked about this four years ago.

I think it's going to be a great addition to the Gables. I know you're going to put quality into it, as you've shown on 396. You said you were going to hold it. I trust you on that and I think it's going to be so much better than that empty waste land that the neighbors have had to live with for so long.

So thank you for your continued commitment, because you could have pulled out at any stage, when it looked like it was very frustrating, but you stayed with it, you were very flexible, very reasonable and I'm very appreciative.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yeah, I'm exited not to have to -- not having to know what's going to happen with this project, so I think we got a little bit of closure. I know we still have a long way to go to build it. So I'm looking forward to a great project. Thank you, guys.

MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, I would like to thank Special Counsel. I know she's put a tremendous amount of effort into this, and so thank you,

your guidance was very helpful.

COMMISSIONER KEON: I, again, would like to remark on the retail, that I really would hope that you -- I really would like to see you only develop in line retail, that has a ground floor entrance, and not in line retail that has a second floor entrance, that it be reserved for services, such as offices, spas, doctors, whatever else, and that you not put retail up on that level, because history has shown us that it is a lower end retail and it's not highly successful, and I know that's not what you want. So I'm sure that you will look to that as you rent your space. Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: If there's not any more comments, then we will close the meeting. Thank you.

(Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 4:05 p.m.)

	CITY OF CORAL GABLES RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
1	CERTIFICATE
2	2015 JUN 25 PM 12: 09
3	STATE OF FLORIDA:
4	SS.
5	COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:
6	
7	
8	
9	I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary
10	Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby
11	certify that I was authorized to and did
12	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
13	that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
14	stenographic notes.
15	
16	DATED this 22th day of June, 2015.
17	*
18	
19	
20	NIEVES SANCHEZ
21	NIEVES SANCHEZ
22	
23	
24	
25	