CITY OF CORAL GABLES BUDGET/AUDIT ADVISORY BOARD

Meeting Minutes: Wednesday, December 6, 2017

Conference Room First Floor , City Hall, 405 Biltmore Way, Coral Gables, Florida

MEMBERS	J	F	M	Α	М	J	J	Α	S	0	N	D	APPOINTED BY:
1	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	
Tony A. Rivas		Р	Р	+	Р	-	Р	120	Α	-	19	Α	Mayor Valdes-Fauli
Erin Knight	#3	Р	Е	-	Р	-		÷.	-			Α	Commissioner Jeanette Slesnick
Alex Menendez	50	Р	Е	-	Α	#3	Р	*	Ð	.*:	3.53	Р	Commissioner Vince Lago
John Hollan	F1.	P	Р	2.	Р	30	Р	-	Α			P	Commissioner Frank C. Quesada
Cheryl Goldstein	¥.	A	P	2	P	4	Α	_	Р			P	Commissioner Pat Keon
Francisco Paredes							P	2	P	-		Р	Commissioner Mena
Rosa Bravo*												Р	Mayor Vaides-Fauli

(Dash indicates no meeting: blank space indicates member not yet serving.)

- ^- New Member
- #- Special meeting
- **- Resigned Member

Staff:

Diana Gomez, Finance Director Keith Kleiman, Budget Director Sally Ola Ola, Assistant Director

Minutes preparation and Recording Secre tary: Estela Valle, Balley & Sanchez, Court Reporting, Inc.

1									
2	CITY OF CORAL GABLES								
3	BUDGET/AUDIT ADVISORY BOARD MEETING								
4	WEDNESDAY, December 6, 2017, 8:04 A.M.								
5	FIRST FLOOR, CITY HALL								
6	405 BILTMORE WAY, CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA								
7									
8	MEMBERS PRESENT: ALEX MENENDEZ, CHAIR CHERYL GOLDSTEIN, VICE CHAIR JOHN HOLIAN FRANK PAREDES								
9									
10	ROSA BRAVO								
11	CITY STAFF PRESENT:								
12	DIANA GOMEZ, FINANCE DIRECTOR KEITH KLEIMAN, ASSISTANT FINANCE DIRECTOR for MANAGEMENT and								
13	BUDGET								
14	ALSO PRESENT: JOHN C. WEBER MICHELLE BLACKSTOCK								
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									

1 THEREUPON: 2 (The following proceedings were held.) MR. MENENDEZ: We have a new member? 3 MS. BRAVO: Yes. 4 MR. MENENDEZ: All right. I'm Alex. I'd love for 5 you to introduce yourself. 6 7 MS. BRAVO: Hi. I'm Rosa Bravo, and I was appointed by Mayor Valdes-Fauli. I'm a CPA. I'm a 8 principal at the firm of Morrison, Brown, Argiz and 9 Farra. I do primarily tax work for high networth 10 11 individuals and privately held businesses, a lot in the distribution business, auto dealerships and real estate. 12 MR. MENENDEZ: Welcome. I'm Alex Menendez. 13 This 14 is John. 15 MR. HOLIAN: John Holian, how are you? 16 MS. BRAVO: Hi. 17 MR. PAREDES: Frank Paredes, we've met. 18 MS. BRAVO: Yeah. 19 MR. MENENDEZ: Then we've got Keith. And I don't 20 know who else you've met. 21 MS. GOMEZ: Yes, we've met. They were very nice, and they gave me 22 MS. BRAVO: a lot of background information. So it was a very 23 24 informative meeting. 25 MR. HOLIAN: Most of that's untrue.

(Thereupon, there was simultaneous speaking.) 1 2 (Thereupon, Cheryl Goldstein entered the 3 conference room.) MR. MENENDEZ: These are our auditors. They're here to do reporting today, and our stenographer. 5 Welcome. Any questions you have, Diana's here to 6 7 help. We'll call the meeting to order. Can I get the 8 roll call? 9 MS. GOMEZ: Here. 10 MR. MENENDEZ: It's 8:04. We have Members. 11 12 have a quorum. So we'll start off with the approval of 13 the minutes -- the September 6th minutes. 14 Did everybody that's here get a chance to take a 15 look at those minutes? 16 MR. PAREDES: I just had-- and this is off the 17 record. (Thereupon, a discussion was had off the record.) 18 19 MR. MENENDEZ: Cheryl, good morning. We have a new 20 member. This is Cheryl. 21 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Hi. MS. BRAVO: Rosa Bravo, nice to meet you. 22 23 (Thereupon, a discussion was had off the record.) 24 MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. So aside of that, are we 25 good to approve the minutes?

Anyone want to make a motion? 1 2 MR. PAREDES: I'd make a motion. 3 MR. MENENDEZ: Someone second it, John? All right. All in favor? All right. So we'll go in order today. We don't 5 have anything that's time sensitive today. 6 7 MR. KLEIMAN: Well, just that we have the consultants here. Maybe we should do the internal audit 8 9 first. MR. MENENDEZ: That works for me. So if you guys 10 want to go ahead and kick off the meeting, we're ready. 11 MR. WEBER: Great. Well, thanks for having us 12 this morning. Hopefully we don't add a lot of pages to 13 vour minutes. We do have--14 MS. GOLDSTEIN: There's a lot of pages for the 15 16 reports. MR. WEBER: Right. We do have two completed audit 17 reports that we're going to present to you today, user 18 access controls, as well as the cash management audit, 19 where we were looking at the cash receipts, policies, 20 21 procedures throughout the City. So with that, I'm going to have-- actually 22 Michelle is going to start walking through them. Why 23 don't we start with the user access control one? 24 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Can I ask one question before you 25

get into your report? 1 MS. WEBER: Yes. 2 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Has there been any discussion at 3 the City of going cashless? 4 MS. GOMEZ: Cashless? 5 MS. GOLDSTEIN: You know, not accepting cash. 6 7 MS. GOMEZ: No. MS. GOLDSTEIN: Because many places do not accept 8 cash. You have to pay with a credit card. 9 MS. GOMEZ: We haven't had the discussion. 10 MS. GOLDSTEIN: I think it's worth having the 11 12 discussion. MS. GOMEZ: The issue is that I think that at some 13 of the facilities it's just easier for-- you know, a lot 14 of the customers pay with cash, and so I think we'd be 15 16 turning people away. 17 MR. KLEIMAN: I think it's also children sometimes; whether it's at the Youth Center for candy 18 19 bars and drinks or the tennis courts. MR. MENENDEZ: The pool. 20 MS. GOMEZ: The pool, right. And it is teenagers. 21 MS. GOLDSTEIN: You can, also, at those places--22 like on Red Road at the market, you set up-- you pay 23 with a credit card, and they have their account, they 24 25 give their name, and -- I'm just saying, because there

1 are so many weaknesses with the cash and internal controls, and -- you know, I'm just saying it's a 2 3 thought. On the ships, there's no cash. On airplanes, there's no cash anymore for the whole reason that--4 MR. PAREDES: Venezuela's moving into no cash, big 5 coin stuff, Metro dollars. 6 7 MR. HOLIAN: I recommend that we don't follow 8 Venezuela. 9 (Thereupon, there was simultaneous speaking.) 10 MS. GOLDSTEIN: I'm just saying I think it might 11 be worth, internally, the discussion, where you can, to 12 go cashless. MR. HOLIAN: Well, I would just add to that, we 1.3 will be cashless sooner or later. So you might want to 14 15 look at it. 16 MR. MENENDEZ: It creeps up every year. 17 MR. HOLIAN: I get cash from my wife. That's 18 about it. MS. GOLDSTEIN: I mean, there's ways of--19 MS. GOMEZ: Yeah. I mean, it would be easier 20 perhaps, but I think that there's a part of-- you know, 21 especially in the youth facilities, it would be 22 difficult, not -- you know, yes, my 17-year-old has a 23 credit card, but my 14-year-old doesn't yet. 24 MR. MENENDEZ: But Diana, we've been moving to 25

certain things like automation and cashless at like the garages we've done it. So maybe if there are some things that we can look at for Cheryl, we can look at.

MS. GOLDSTEIN: It's not for me. I'm just saying, in general.

MR. MENENDEZ: No. No. No. It's a valid point. We did it at the garages a few years back.

MR. HOLIAN: Right. And they only took cash.

MR. MENENDEZ: And that helped out, and maybe a cleaner process.

MS. GOMEZ: Right, but those are people already driving. Most people who have a driver's license, driving, already have a credit card. And I agree with you. I just think that, you know, the youth center, the pool, it would be a little—the tennis courts sometimes, it would be a little more difficult. I'm not necessarily against it. I just don't know that—I would have to talk to the Parks Department and see how they feel that kind of implementation would go.

I don't know if that came up when you guys talked-- did your audit, did you find that there was a wanting to go cashless at these facilities?

MS. BLACKSTOCK: No. I think in, you know, looking back through all of the conversations that we had with all of the departments, it's probably Parking

Services who has been very focused on trying to get away from as many coin fed meters on the streets that he could. And I think that he's done, you know, the best that he could to get the new machines in place. But, as far as the Tennis Center, Youth, no, there was no discussion.

1.6

MR. PAREDES: Could you give a perspective of the magnitude, in terms of dollars, to some of these things because Parking Services, I guess, is where we get the most cash?

MS. BLACKSTOCK: Yes, probably the most generation of cash. Well, that was one of the things that we looked at. I don't remember, off of the top of my head, but one of the criteria that we first started with was where all of the money was. And so those are the particular areas, when we look at the cash management of, you know, and requests, I think that there were some requests specifically of the Venetian Pool, looking at those areas, because there was a lot of cash in different areas, not just where they took admission, but also the concession stands and everything else. So that was one of the criteria that we concentrated on, where we needed to be in, looking where the most dollars were.

So we were going to start with the internal audit at the user access controls. And very quickly, it was

just a matter of selecting and testing a sample of EDEN users from Finance, IT, HR, and Payroll Departments, and evaluation of user permissions in the system.

And, then, also, the users, in testing that their role was properly classified in the system and that they were current City employees. And this is from Page 2 of the report. And then also the review of the policies and procedures and other supporting documentation that was provided by the City.

In our testing, we actually looked at 25 different individuals, and received all of their access. And I will be honest with you, when we looked at some of it, it was like we really didn't understand it, so we had to then sit with the IT team, and they actually walked through all of those with us, so that we really had a better understanding of what all they had access to.

And, of course, you know, our main thing was looking at the segregation of duties, and whether or not we felt that what their responsibilities were for their title and job description kind of went hand-in-hand with the different levels that they had been given. And there were only two that we found that had full permission access to certain models that did not appear to be necessary for their respective job duties.

So out of 25, there were only two that we kind of

found that were-- you know, maybe some of the areas that 1 they had access to. 2 3 So the response from Management, of course, is that they would look at those two in particular; that they do a process on an annual basis of, you know, 5 making sure that they look at all of the users and their 6 7 access. MR. KLEIMAN: Can I ask you a quick question? 8 MS. BLACKSTOCK: Yes. 9 MR. KLEIMAN: The two people, were they high level 10 positions or were they lower level positions? 11 12 MS. BLACKSTOCK: No. 13 MR. KLEIMAN: They were lower level positions? 14 MS. BLACKSTOCK: Now you're going to make me go 15 back to my memory, huh? 16 MR. KLEIMAN: Well, you don't have to answer right 17 I'm just curious what level they were. 18 MR. PAREDES: Is the Finance Department the one 19 that establishes the user access? 20 MS. BLACKSTOCK: It's department by department. Actually, the Department Directors, once the employee 21 22 comes on board. 23 MR. PAREDES: So it's the Department Director? 24 MS. BLACKSTOCK: Uh-huh. 25 MS. GOMEZ: But there are certain things like-- so

if a department wants access to Payroll, they have to 1 2 come through Finance and HR to get that access. not like any department can just ask for payroll access, 3 and they're going to give it to them. 4 MR. PAREDES: So Finance controls, more or less, 5 the ins and outs, so some of the sensitive areas? 6 7 MS. GOMEZ: IT does. If it's sensitive areas, IT 8 will not provide the access without Finance signing off. 9 MR. PAREDES: Okay. So there's a double-check 10 there. 11 MS. GOMEZ: Right. 12 MR. HOLIAN: How many people have access to EDEN as a whole? 13 MS. GOMEZ: I mean, almost everybody has some 14 transactional, because it's where we do all of our 15 16 invoicing, all of our requisitioning, all of the time 17 entry. 18 MS. BRAVO: But the test pool is 25 out of how 19 many people? 20 MR. HOLIAN: That's exactly my point. MS. BRAVO: Like what percentage of the access 21 22 people were tested? 23 MR. HOLIAN: I mean, two out of 25 is, what, eight 24 percent or whatever the number is? And if we have 400 25 people that have eight percent, does that mean we have

1 2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

25

32 people that could possibly have access to things that we maybe don't want them to or they maybe don't need access to, or do we not know that number?

MS. GOMEZ: I'm not sure what number it is.

MR. KLEIMAN: Well, you're considering it a moderate risk, which to me is something that has to be dealt with, right? Maybe if it was a minor risk. mean, I would say that two out of 25 is still rather high, as far as I'm concerned. So moderate risk-- I wouldn't necessarily call it a high risk, but I think the moderate risk is what the message is; that we have to take care of it. This is my take on it.

MS. BLACKSTOCK: Yeah. And i think that they also wanted to point out that during the annual -- your external annual audit, they also look at this as well, which is something I know that we do with an external audit, is look at user access. And I don't know-- I mean, I don't know that we don't have findings on it on a regular basis on just trying to keep up with--

MR. WEBER: It's very typical.

MS. BLACKSTOCK: And you also have to remember that sometimes individuals move within the City, and, you know, whether or not how quickly that access, you know, is reviewed again. And sometimes it's just left over, and it's not been cleaned up.

MR. KLEIMAN: Right.

MS. GOMEZ: Right. I mean, and I would say that view only or transactional type access, I don't think there's much risk there because, you know, you can charge a transaction, but if it's not approved in the system, it's going to sit there. It's more of the super user type access that would pose any kind of risk or the majority risk.

MS. BLACKSTOCK: The majority of those--

MS. GOMEZ: And there's not that many super users.

MR. BLACKSTOCK: No. And all of those individuals are going to be IT, because they need that access.

MS. GOMEZ: Right, or even-- like, for instance, my staff for super users in payroll, right, They have to be. They have to have all of the access, but there's a handful. So in terms of the ones that can actually effectuate the transactions all of the way to issuing a check or something like that, there is a very limited number of individuals that have that type of access.

You know, transactional, yes, every admin in this City, you know, and back up. View only, whoever asks for it, because, you know, it is what it is. It's view only. But in terms of transactional—— I mean, approvals and things like that, the Department Directors, the assistant directors, there's not as many individuals

that have that kind of access.

MR. KLEIMAN: To further John's point, would it be better if the populations were put in here, basically what the total population is? If we can get that from EDEN, to include that in the report. The total population is this, we tested 25, this is the percentage, and what percentages are tolerable, things like that, so at least it's all in writing, right?

MR. MENENDEZ: And once you guys make this-- like you do this process at the end of the year-- it's going to be done December, right-- where IT is going to go in, and look at all of the users, and check controls and check everything, do you guys make additional selections after that current year ends or do you wait until the following year?

MS. BLACKSTOCK: More than likely we can do that in our follow-up for next year, to make sure that it has been done. Because for year two, we do have a certain set number of hours to complete follow-up work.

MR. MENENDEZ: And that will be done early next year or later next year? Because it would be better, I guess, if it's done early next year after you're done doing the review process to see if it actually worked, it cleaned it up, if we did a selection and got 25 out of 25, we're on point. I guess, then, we would remove

1 that worry. 2 MR. WEBER: It can be done soon after, you know, Management does their review. 3 MR. MENENDEZ: And this is the first time that 5 Management will do like this internal process anyway. 6 MS. GOMEZ: No. I mean, I've been here a couple 7 of years. They send me the report at least-- I don't 8 want to say every year, but at least every other year. 9 I've done it a couple of times since I've been here. 10 And you go through and look to see who has access, and 11 so forth. "Why does this person have access? Why do 12 they need access?" So internally we do review it, not 13 religiously, every year, but, you know, I have done it 14 at least a couple of times since I've been here. 15 MR. PAREDES: EDEN is your general ledger 16 budgetary process? MS. GOMEZ: Yes, everything. 17 1.8 MR. PAREDES: So basically you take the total 19 number of employees that are error rate of eight 20 percent, and that could be your maximum exposure. 21 MS. GOMEZ: Right, but it's only a certain number 22 of employees that have total access, not everybody has 23 access. 24 MR. KLEIMAN: But most people have view only 25 access.

MS. GOMEZ: Which there's no threat at all. 1 2 MR. KLEIMAN: Right. 3 MS. BLACKSTOCK: So for that, we will include the population size that we tested, and the total population 5 for all of the users. Okay. For the Internal Audit Cash Management, 6 7 Page 1 and 2 is just an upper level executive summary, 8 and I was not going to go into detail because we're 9 going to look at the high and moderate at least. 10 The introduction just goes to the background of 11 all of the different departments that we actually spent 12 time with, and that was Parks and Rec, Parking Services, Public Works, Development Services, and then the Finance 13 14 Department. 15 On Page 4, it kind of goes into a little more detail about our scope, and the divisions that we 16 17 actually spent time in, the Venetian Pool, Tennis Centers, Youth Centers. 18 For Parking Services, we looked at pay by phone, 19 20 the parking garages, and the meters on the street. actually had individuals follow the people on the 21 street, you know, taking the money, and observing what 22 23 they were doing. So we actually saw it in process. Public Works, we looked in the Solid Waste 24 25 Services, Sanitary Sewer, and Storm Water Utilities.

And then in Development Services, Building

Services, Code Enforcement, and Planning and Zoning.

Page 5 goes into our Risk Management and how we

made the decision on whether it's a high, a moderate or a low risk. So it just-- you know, the higher the risk on the significance of the item that we encountered or saw or observed in the likelihood, then the higher the level of what-- you know, where the risk areas are.

MR. KLEIMAN: Let me interrupt just one second. So Frank is bringing up a good point, also. This is sort of similar to the populations that we did in the user access. If maybe we can have some of the dollar amounts included, like total receipts and then cash—the amount that is cash, somehow just include it. And Elsa can get that for you.

MS. BLACKSTOCK: Oh, I have it from her.

MR. KLEIMAN: Maybe include that somewhere so that the reader understands the magnitude of what's going on here or not.

MS. BLACKSTOCK: I would have to look at that because I'm not quite-- well, I think that what she originally gave us was for a certain time period. So we may need to also, you know, document the time period.

MR. KLEIMAN: We have all of the information.

MS. BLACKSTOCK: Because I don't want any

confusion about whether or not it's going to equal to 1 2 anything that -- you know, that's an external audit, 3 because I'm sure that it's not. MR. KLEIMAN: Would it be appropriate to include that information here? 5 MR. WEBER: We could include it. I would probably 6 7 recommend putting it on Page 3, in the introduction, where we're talking about each one of the areas. 8 MS. GOMEZ: And I think it would just be, you 9 know, something to say for fiscal year this-- maybe we 10 even have to go back to fiscal year '16, and say, for 11 fiscal '16, there was, you know, \$100,000 of cash out of 12 the million dollars of total revenue. So that they know 13 that, okay, 100,000 is the total risk. Of that, you 14 found one error in the amount of \$2.00. So, okay, who 15 really cares, right? It's not that big of an issue. 16 Whereas, if they generate \$100,000, and there was an 17 \$80,000 faux pas, then obviously there's an issue, 18 right. I think that's what we're looking for. 19 MR. PAREDES: Some of this stuff reads 20 problematic, and yet it may be \$100,000 or something 21 like that that doesn't seem--22 23 MS. GOMEZ: Right. MR. PAREDES: I was just trying to understand the 24

25

perspective of this.

MR. WEBER: I understand that. You know, one thing we are very much thinking about is not just from a materiality standpoint. A part of what we're doing and trying to do through the internal audit is -- you know, you may have -- there could be four transactions where there wasn't support that amounted to 50 bucks out of, you know, \$50,000. It's not material in somebody's mind, but it is a control issue. And so we're really focused on the control side of it, as well, you know, because if there is even the smallest amount of errors occurring, it could be, you know, a weakness in control that there could be then larger items that could, you know, fall through the cracks. And, you know, I think the other part about it, too, that we very much think about during these audits is not just -- you know, certainly from a financial and material standpoint, but also a political standpoint.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PAREDES: No, no. I understand.

MR. WEBER: You know, five dollars in the newspaper that is very significant to a lot of individuals.

MR. PAREDES: It's hard to think that there's going to be good internal control on a park clerk or somebody like that, as opposed to somebody in Finance and all that. You know, those are business risk that

you kind of assume.

MS. GOMEZ: You have to look at the cost benefit of putting in the extra internal control to maybe if the total is \$100 a month, well, all right. I mean, you want the controls to be in place, but not that it's going to cost you \$25 an hour to hire somebody to put that control in place.

(Thereupon, there was simultaneous speaking.)

MR. PAREDES: You want a basic level of control and some efficiencies and some discipline in it. And that's why we should continue to do internal audits, but you need to understand the perspective.

MS. GOMEZ: The magnitude.

MR. WEBER: Yes, exactly. We can get that information in there.

MS. BLACKSTOCK: Okay. Then continuing on Page 4, the scope of what we did, we evaluated operating effectiveness of internal controls over cash and personnel. We made selections and tested certain cash receipts, fee schedules, and employee background check procedures. We did a review of policies and procedures and other supporting documentation, you know, department by department, including requesting their policies and procedures that they did have, and then actually sitting down, having interviews and walking through the steps

with them; test of the transactions to ensure that the receipts are accurately processed and deposited; verified management oversight throughout the collection and deposit process. And we performed walkthroughs, including, you know, discussions with certain employees of each department that handled the cash. And we did make site visits, and we made observations, including riding along, spending time at the pool, the tennis center, the youth center, parking garages; reviewed the safeguarding controls over the physical cash collections, as well, because that was another one of our concerns, how the money moved, where it moved, who was involved in that process.

Starting on Page 6, this got into a little bit more of the specific details and everything that I just covered with you. You know, it's a little bit more detailed on these pages, and I was not going to spend a lot of time on that, but then I wanted to move back to Page 9, to start the discussion on the observations and recommendations that we had.

In order to save a little bit of time, we had decided that we would probably just go over the high and moderate ones that are, of course, of most concern, and by the actual departments. And the first is in the Parks and Recreation Department, and of course the

opportunity is for a segregation of duties. We just kind of found that they had certain processes specifically that they needed to update the policies and procedures to perform appropriate segregation of duties, especially for handling cash and recording cash receipts, authorizing write-offs or posting adjustments to customer accounts. And then reconciling accounts and posting entries into the systems.

The biggest challenge in the Parks and Rec is that we went in and they have currently the CLASS system that they're using. There are some things in that particular package— software that they cannot do, so it was kind of frustrating for them. They knew that they were moving to a new system, and they felt that a lot of the things that we were suggesting would be able to be implemented once they put in the Rec Trac software. And so I think that that's kind of what their response to this was, and that—

MR. HOLIAN: Did you agree with that?

MS. BLACKSTOCK: I know of the Rec Trac system, and I know it's a very robust system, and I'm sure that it's going to be, you know, a matter of making sure—and they're very much aware of the fact that they need to take into consideration all of these different segregation of duties and giving certain employees and

individuals the right access. Once again, you know, 1 2 we're talking about access. And so they're very well 3 aware of that, and, you know, when I had the discussion with them, they're taking that very serious, and that 5 they know that they need to get it set up correctly once 6 and while we're doing the transition. 7 MR. HOLIAN: Okay. 8 MS. GOLDSTEIN: When is that supposed to be 9 implemented, the new system? 10 MS. GOMEZ: Very soon. 11 MR. PAREDES: Somewhere in here it said in 12 December. MS. GOLDSTEIN: Maybe it would be a good idea in 13 14 the follow-up next year to include going back and 15 looking at that. 16 MS. BLACKSTOCK: Right. 17 MR. PAREDES: Following up on that, is the system up-- there shouldn't be any problem in going up, because 18 somewhere else it said it was going to be implemented by 19 December? 20 MS. GOMEZ: I know they're finalizing it. Because 21 22 it's a park system, I know that my staff has been working with them to make sure in talks to us are okay, 23 and I haven't heard of any delays, so I'm assuming that 24 25 it's still on track.

MR. PAREDES: And who would follow-up to make

sure-- is it you guys or is it us, who follows-up on all

of these management responses to make sure?

MR. KLEIMAN: That's going to be us. That's what we have Elsa-- you met her, I think, the last time.

That's what she's going to be doing. She's going to be working with the auditors, and she'll be reporting back.

MR. PAREDES: Good.

MS. BLACKSTOCK: One of the other ones was the safe controls and security, and this is—you'll find this same recommendation on some of the other departments. This is looking at actually departments that actually have a physical safe in place or somewhere on the premises that we just noticed that some of the full—time staff have access to the drop safes that are on site at the youth center, and that the combination on the safes aren't changed on a regular basis. And some of them said, "You know, I can't even remember that we ever changed the combination on the safe." Some of them were like, "Yeah, we do it occasionally."

So our recommendation, of course, was that it get changed at least at a minimum on a yearly-- annual basis. But, also, if they have employees that leave just like when-- you know, you want to get all of their access from any of the IT systems. You want to shut it

down as soon as you know that they're no longer going to be working with the City. So that was also our suggestion, to at least annually look at that. And, once again, if their job changes or something, and they're no longer at the City, because if they leave, and they go to a different department, but people know that they are still with the City and they're like-- you know, they would be able to, not that they would, but they could go back to that department in the safe and get into it because they still know the combination. So we take that away, and we eliminate that ability for somebody to misappropriate any of the assets of the City.

MS. BRAVO: Is there a check list that the supervisors have when someone is terminated or moves departments that maybe you can add this as a step in the termination or the transfer process?

MS. GOMEZ: So there is--

MR. HOLIAN: "You're fired, change the safe code."

MS. BRAVO: No, there is. In our office, if someone gets terminated— the minute we terminate somebody, there's a check list of the processes that we have to do when somebody leaves.

MS. GOMEZ: I mean, there's an exit interview where they go through everything, but it's not

1 necessarily--2 MR. KLEIMAN: Yeah, that's an HR issue. 3 MS. GOMEZ: That's more of an HR thing. MR. MENENDEZ: But in these safes, do they count 4 5 the safes, and every time a new employee comes in and switches shifts, they actually do like a safe control 6 7 procedure, or, no, it just sits there and--8 MS. BLACKSTOCK: It's their cash drawers, and they 9 put them in the bags, and they seal the bags usually, 10 and they put it into the safe. And the safe is kept 11 until the total deposit is made and put into like a 12 sealed bag, and then it's usually picked up by a third 13 party, an armored car service. 14 MR. MENENDEZ: So it's really only the deposits 15 and maybe petty cash, and that's about all that's in the 16 safe? MS. BLACKSTOCK: Yes. I will say that at the pool 17 18 probably the bigger issue is during the busiest time of 19 the season, and over the weekends. Then, you know, 20 you're going to have a larger amount of cash that's 21 sitting in the safes. That is one of the questions that 22 we had, because during those periods, you know, there 23 could be a significant amount. 24 MR. HOLIAN: What's a significant amount? 25 MS. BLACKSTOCK: I think the number that I

1 remember having a discussion about was maybe like 20 to 2 30,000. 3 MR. HOLIAN: So it's real money. 4 MS. GOMEZ: No. No. It's real money. In the 5 summer time and over the weekends, yes, it's a good 6 amount of money. 7 MS. BLACKSTOCK: But, you know, there's no service 8 over the weekend, because that's one of things that we 9 had thought about. And I think that the pick-up is done 10 relatively very early in the week, like on Monday, so 11 that, you know, there is a little bit of risk there, 12 but--13 MS. HOLIAN: Is that the biggest place of cash in 14 the City, the pool? 15 MS. BLACKSTOCK: I would think that during the 16 busy time of the year for the pool, that's probably 17 where the most significant amount of cash is. 18 MS. GOMEZ: And I think the second one is the 19 Passport. The Passport Office sometimes has a lot of 20 cash. 21 MR. HOLIAN: They're fantastic, by the way. 22 a new passport there. They are so delightful over 23 there. They're amazing. 24 She looked at my picture, and she said, "This 25 picture isn't good. Let's retake your picture."

I was like, "Really?" Still came out terrible.

MS. BLACKSTOCK: And then next, the manual entry into the general ledger. We found that, once again, the system that's in place, the daily CLASS cash activity is sent to the Finance Department, and this is manually coded into the general ledger system of EDEN.

Once again, this is a finding that it's a moderate risk; however, all of this is going to change with the Rec Trac being implemented, because it's going to be a batch process, and it's going to go in and then someone is going to approve that batch going into EDEN. So the new Rec Trac system is going to pretty much eliminate this, but currently there is this moderate risk issue.

MR. KLEIMAN: Did you guys recommend as we go into our third year— because the third year, we're open with exactly what audits we're going to do, if I remember correctly, maybe revisiting Parks because of the new system and see how well they're doing?

MR. WEBER: I think that's, you know, a smart thing to do, because we had a number of recommendations related to the Parks, and I think most of them are going to be resolved from the new system. So, you know, I think making that a big part of the follow-up--

MR. KLEIMAN: Should we put that recommendation in here, to say that we recommend revisiting after the Rec

system has been installed in the third year of the audit?

MS. BLACKSTOCK: Actually, in year two, we are scheduled already to do Parks and Rec, and I did discuss this with the Assistant Director there about the timing of when we're coming back, and whether or not she thought everything would be up and running. So we are scheduled tentatively for April, May to go back out and do the next part of the controls, and we can, you know, do a follow-up then.

MR. PAREDES: I think that's a good point, because then you realize that the investment you made on the new system is working.

MR. KLEIMAN: Right.

MR. PAREDES: And we're getting benefits out of it.

MS. BLACKSTOCK: And then the next one is regarding cash transactions supporting documentation.

We were specifically looking at transaction testing at the Tennis Center, and noted that cash register transactions had not consistently been entered into the CLASS system leaving no audit trail for certain transactions.

During our testing cash receipts, three out of six transactions did not contain adequate support, including

daily reports for cash registers, support for a manager review, and copies of receipts. So our recommendation was that they needed to retain all detailed cash balance reports and related supporting documentation to maintain an appropriate audit trail.

In looking at all of this, I think there was an issue that was brought to the department's attention and we were kind of asked to look at this specifically for the Tennis Center.

MS. GOLDSTEIN: In the past?

MS. BLACKSTOCK: In the past.

MS. GOLDSTEIN: That was going to be my question, was what-- you know, in looking back at previous reports and audits, I remember the Tennis Center being an issue, and was-- you know, did you see things, like you're saying, from the past, still an issue?

MS. BLACKSTOCK: There were some transactions that there was no explanation. Well, there was an explanation, written, of the individual who processed the adjustment to the account or whatever what was the purpose of the transaction or the journal entry, but there was no other support that was given to us as far as documentation.

MS. GOLDSTEIN: And is there-- how is there control to ensure that the cash just isn't pocketed?

MS. BLACKSTOCK: I don't know that there is, and that's part of one of the suggestions on the written policies and procedures. I think that for the particular issuance of refunds, I believe that there was kind of a policy that it needed to be reviewed by a supervisor; however, because of the staffing of those locations, there's not a supervisor that's overseeing all of it.

So, once again, this is one of the cost benefits, and I do believe that they are looking at the number of individuals that are staffed there and how they can better arrange for there to be some type of a segregation of duties or a separate review of that.

MS. GOMEZ: I believe that there's a policy that they need to have the receipts or something. Maybe that's for golf. The individual who's buying court time or golf time, that they have to show the receipt later or no? Like they have to get a receipt, therefore they can't-- you know, they're putting the transaction in the system to provide the receipt, they can't pocket that.

MS. GOLDSTEIN: I think that's golf. Like when you go to start to play golf, you give the guy-- I think the starter, you give him your receipt.

MS. GOMEZ: But tennis may be different.

MS. BRAVO: From what I did take from tennis,

there wasn't anybody to give a receipt to.

MR. KLEIMAN: One of the issues— most of the tennis centers, in most cities, the pro has what we call a sweetheart deal. Now, this pro is a City employee.

It's not a high salary. And then he also receives commissions when he gives lessons. And right now— and this is not any type of criminal investigation so we can talk about it. They're considering disciplinary action, not only for the employee that was not handling the transactions properly, but also for the pro, because he is the supervisor of the Tennis Centers.

And what they're trying to look into-- and I'm not saying it's happening, but they're trying to look to see, is he not supervising. Is he just doing the lessons and not doing the supervision, which the City is paying him for. He gets health benefits because he's a City employee. It's not a high pay, because he makes a lot of commissions. So that's what they're looking at right now. It's not to say that he is or he isn't, but that's what they're looking at.

MR. WEBER: I mean, the main issue here is just like we didn't look at a ton of transactions, and half of them didn't have any support. That's the issue. You know, the issue is, they need to be doing their job.

MS. GOLDSTEIN: It's not run well.

MR. MENENDEZ: To Cheryl's point, we've been talking about the Tennis Center for years. It's always been a problem. I think it's always been the same problem.

MR. HOLIAN: It would be important to know how much money we're talking about. Because we're having a conversation, but if it's \$20,000 or \$200,000, not that to dismiss it--

MS. GOLDSTEIN: We don't even really know.

MS. GOMEZ: But the tennis lessons, I would think, have to be recorded correctly, because, if not, he's not going to get his commission. I think it's more--

MS. GOLDSTEIN: If he's getting cash, then what does it matter?

MS. GOMEZ: Well, that's a whole different story.

Let's assume that that's not the issue, but I'm talking about the individual clerk person who's maybe not recording the sale of the candy or the sodas or the water, the balls or that kind— the pro shop or whatever shop type of thing, which is— yes, it's transactions, and they should have— but I don't know that the transactions that you found had to do with the actual lessons, as opposed to the miscellaneous items.

MR. KLEIMAN: Some of them are good dollar amounts.

(Thereupon, there was simultaneous speaking.)

MR. PAREDES: Don't lose perspective of Keith's point. We are paying this individual as a salaried employee. He has to do some work for us. And part of that is supervision and review. His commissions and all of that, that's his business, but we still need to understand the magnitude of that business so that we can negotiate.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Are these all of the tennis centers or just one in particular?

(Thereupon, there was simultaneous speaking.)

MR. KLEIMAN: This was at Salvador.

MS. GOLDSTEIN: Salvador. That's been an issue.

MS. BLACKSTOCK: If I remember correctly, the entries were-- you know, that's another whole thing, because I personally went back and looked at the detail for each of those days where there were transactions that were supposedly refunds of individual's memberships, and I believe \$230 is what sticks in my head as the one particularly that we were looking at on that individual day. So I went back, and I was asking questions about, you know, do we see that there is-- is it day and time stamped? And they are. Do we see transactions being run throughout the day? And we did. Were they made at certain times of the day? Not

necessarily. I mean, I was kind of trying to look for a 1 pattern or something that was going on, but it was 2 3 mostly those credit amounts that we had-- you know how it is when auditors pull samples. 4 MR. MENENDEZ: There's no support for a credit of 5 a refund for a full \$230 membership, and that was one of 6 your three selections? 7 8 MS. BLACKSTOCK: Yeah. And when I went back and I 9 looked at it, there was more than one credit. 10 MR. MENENDEZ: So we're not talking about coke and 11 candy. We're talking about full refunds for years? 12 MS. GOMEZ: Right. 13 MR. KLEIMAN: Right. 14 MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. 15 MS. GOLDSTEIN: It totally could be -- I mean, how 16 many credits of membership happen in a month or a year? 17 MR. KLEIMAN: Right. So they are looking at it as 18 disciplinary, because there is nothing to back up any 19 possible theft. There's no paperwork. Right now it's disciplinary, and that's what they're considering. I 20 21 don't know where they are with that. That's a private things that we're not going to be able to have a 22 23 conversation about. 24 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay, then.

MR. HOLIAN: It's always exciting.

25

MR. MENENDEZ: I understand, with internal audits, 1 2 your methodology, at one point you said, okay, we're going to extrapolate and we're going to make more 3 selections, or you just -- 50 percent of your selections 4 were off? 5 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Why? You know there's an issue. 6 7 (Thereupon, there was simultaneous speaking.) 8 MS. BLACKSTOCK: Typically extrapolation like that 9 would occur for an external audit where they're trying 10 to make a determination as to the-- you know--11 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Materiality of the dollar amount, 12 whatever. MS. GOMEZ: That's just saying the controls are 13 not working, period. So you need to fix it and you need 14 to address it. It doesn't matter if it's--15 MR. PAREDES: We're paying you, we give you 16 17 healthcare, and all that, and you're not supervising. You're not reviewing. 18 MS. GOLDSTEIN: And there's money going out 19 without documentation of what. 20 MR. KLEIMAN: Right. 21 22 MR. PAREDES: Yeah, adjustments. MR. KLEIMAN: Right. 23 MS. GOLDSTEIN: And it makes you wonder what else 24 25 is going on.

MR. HOLIAN: Okay. Moving on.

MS. BLACKSTOCK: Okay. As to Development
Services, there were a couple of low risk, but the
opportunity for improvement is number eight, the lack of
formal written policy and procedures. We just noted
that the department has a cashier payment policy and
cashier standard operating procedures; however, the
written documentation does not include a comprehensive
cash management process for cash collection that
addresses segregation of duties, internal controls and
risk management. And then we just stated, you know,
that the department needs to work on developing a formal
written policy to encompass all of those. And then,
also, to make sure that it's updated on a regular basis
for any procedures or system changes.

And Management agrees with the assessment, and that they would be working on creating this, and putting in full implementation by the end of 2018.

Moving to Page 14 for the Parking Services. One of the areas that we felt was a high risk were the review of SOC-1 reports from third party administrators. The City contracts with certain third party administrators, what we call TPAs, to provide parking and revenue control systems in the different areas.

MR. PAREDES: Wouldn't that be a contractual

clause in the contract, because I think that normally when you contract third parties, that clause-- when I used to practice, it used to be called the -- report.

Now, I see that it's SOC-1 report. It ages the process.

MR. WEBER: They have them completed. The point here is that nobody from the City was asking for reviewing it, because if there were weaknesses from those third party administrators, they'd be identified in that report, and the City would then understand that.

MR. PAREDES: Normally there are contractual clauses that they're supposed to give it to you or you're supposed to ask somebody. What you're saying is that we're not asking or somebody is not giving it to us?

MR. WEBER: Right.

MR. PAREDES: Let's get that done.

MS. BLACKSTOCK: The biggest issue there is that in those reports there's actually what they will document as user controls that need to be in place at the City.

So when I had the exit conference with the Director, I actually brought up one of the reports, and we went through it, so that he was familiar with the report and could see exactly what we're looking for, and what he needs to pay attention to, to make sure that

1 those controls that --2 MR. PAREDES: And he's relying on. He's relying 3 on them. MS. BLACKSTOCK: Right. And, also, that you all--4 the City has them in place of what they know that they 5 need to be looking at, and they're responsible for. And 6 7 that report kind of walks through that. So his response is that he will start including that as part of their 8 annual audit, is to go through and make sure that they 9 10 have those. The next observation was the lack of formal 11 12 written policy. During our testing and discussion with department staff, we were provided with multiple 13 14 documents for select processes and procedures. However, 15 once again, there wasn't a formal written policy or 16 procedure manual that establishes the appropriate 17 handling of all of the cash receipts. Then, lastly, on Page 15, with the Finance 18 19 Department. MR. HOLIAN: It should be good. 20 MS. BLACKSTOCK: Well, I'll tell you what, I had a 21 really hard time trying to find something there. 22 23 MR. HOLIAN: Sucking up will get you everywhere. 24 MS. GOLDSTEIN: You should strike that. 25 that. You can strike that.

2 mail room. The receiving of checks, once again, goes 3 back to can we try to move the City to being paperless. And one of the areas was that the City is still 5 receiving through the mail clerk and mail room checks 6 that people write. I don't know if we can ever get away 7 from that. 8 MS. GOMEZ: We had put in the procedures of the 9 lock box it, but some people decide to mail them in to 10 the City for the most part. I mean, they should send it 11 to the lock box. 12 MR. PAREDES: The fire alarm, and all of that 13 stuff, because I send checks, but I don't know where I 14 send them to. 15 MS. GOMEZ: If you put it in the envelope that 16 comes with it --17 (Thereupon, there was simultaneous speaking.) 18 MS. GOMEZ: But sometimes, you know, there may be 19 some minimal things that still come to the City where 20 the lock box is not, but for the most part, the majority 21 of our checks are supposed to go. It's just people 22 still mail them here. 23 MS. BLACKSTOCK: So in looking at the system and 24 what was happening, the mail room clerk actually picks 25 up the mail, and then she scans the copies of all of the

MS. GOLDSTEIN: Part of what we looked at was the

1

checks that are received. And then she emails those copies to the respective collection clerk to be posted in the system, and then the corresponding revenue account. And then original checks are forwarded to the cashier, who then restrictively endorses the check upon receipt, and keeps it for deposit.

So, at first, that was not what we thought was happening. But, after further discussion, we got clarification upon that. And then our suggestion would be that written standard operating procedures should exist that require the mail room clerk to restrictively endorse the checks so that, you know, the checks are not floating around without being stamped for deposit only to the City's account.

So, that was our suggestion. And I believe that you all have probably already done it.

MS. GOMEZ: We ordered the stamp, but I think it takes some time to get the stamp in. But once we get the stamp, it will be done.

MS. BLACKSTOCK: And then, also, lack of formal written policy; that the department does not have a formal written policy or procedure manual that establishes processes to ensure the appropriate handling of all cash receipt related duties for the City.

I think that when we looked at that, our

discussion was focused around all of the different areas and how it gets in, if it comes in to City Hall, and then where it's handled from there; where the cash kind of gets, you know, back and forth. And I think that's kind of what we focused our discussion on, around making sure that we have documentation of that process, as well.

1.4

I think this is our last department, the Public Works area. The high risk issue that we found was the segregation of duties that employees responsible for collecting cash at the front desk and issuing permits also prepare the deposits and enter the receipt of payment into the cash receipts system.

Once again, this is an issue of the-- if you actually go to the facilities, and look at where it is, and we had a discussion about this too, because I believe this is another one that has-- well, it's drop safe controls. We consider that a lower risk at that particular location. But our discussion focused around where they're sitting and the segregation of duties. They're kind of segregated off, but they're in the very front of the building, and I think that logistically you probably can't move them around. But the other thing that we also found is that there's kind of only two individuals there that are working that front desk.

MR. PAREDES: One is the boss and the other one is the employee. That's the question I had. Is that proper segregation of duties?

MS. BLACKSTOCK: Right. So they are going to look at that and put in place, you know, someone who's actually taking the money. There's going to be a separate review of that to verify it. You know, it's not only a safeguard for the City, but it's also a safeguard for those employees, as well, to give them some comfort on it from taking citizens that walk in, because we actually observed that. When I was actually sitting there waiting for one of the meetings, someone actually came into the window to pay for some permit or something.

And, then, also, once again, the lack of formal written policies and procedures. So when I had my exit conference with the actual Director there, he was in agreement that that is something that he's had on his radar for a while, and that they will continue to make that a priority to develop the written policies and procedures.

And that was all that we were going to cover on our recommendations.

MR. HOLIAN: Do you allocate a certain amount of hours on these? Like how many hours went into this?

1 you know that number? 2 MR. WEBER: This one was over 500. 3 MR. PAREDES: It was discussed at the last 4 meeting. 5 MR. HOLIAN: Thank you. 6 MR. MENENDEZ: Thank you very much. 7 MR. PAREDES: What's next on you guys agenda? 8 MS. BLACKSTOCK: IT, cash management, and user 9 We're going to finalize these reports with 10 yawls suggestions, and we are scheduled, I believe -- I'm 11 not sure that we have complete confirmation, but I 12 believe that we're scheduled to present these to the 13 Commission on January 9th. We are currently-- Public Works was supposed to be in year two, but because of 14 15 Irma, they just -- they can't even get to our -- so we're 16 going to delay that one until April, as well. 17 Payroll and HR, that's currently-- we've been out 18 in the field and done our work. It's currently in my 19 review. And so I'm developing the report currently. 20 IT, the next part of IT is their internal penetration. 21 The last one we did was the external penetration. So 22 the internal penetration is scheduled for early January. 23 And then we will be looking at Fuel and Fleet. started the preliminary work on gathering information on 24 25 that to make our selection. So that one will be coming

1 up next. And then we have Parks and Recreation that we 2 3 discussed previously. And Procurement and Disbursements 4 is scheduled for some time in April or May 2018. 5 MR. PAREDES: Okay. Thank you. 6 MR. MENENDEZ: Any other questions? 7 Thank you very much. I appreciate you guys coming by. MR. WEBER: Thank you. 9 MR. HOLIAN: That one caused a lot of minutes. 10 Sorry. 11 MS. BRAVO: That's going to be a lot of pages. 12 MR. PAREDES: And we didn't make a decision. 13 MR. MENENDEZ: We have the quarterly overtime 14 report, Keith. 15 MR. KLEIMAN: Okay. So there is less and less to 16 report, which is honestly happy news. We are down to 17 four police officer vacancies, which is great. We are 18 working very, very hard. 19 Now, as you can see for the end of the year we're 20 at a 96, 97 percent in use of overtime. However, just 21 remember that we--22 MR. PAREDES: To budget. It's budgeted, right? 23 MR. KLEIMAN: Well, to the amended budget, because we added about 730,000, something like that, because of 24 25 all of the vacancies. So we're within that transfer,

but it's not within the original budget, okay.

Now, we're going to start seeing overtime really go down if they can maintain this low level of vacancies. So we have to watch that as we're going through now for the next fiscal year.

On the Fire side, that's a little bit of a different story. The last contract brought them up to a difference staffing level of 32 per shift, and their overtime is not sufficient to cover that. Originally, going back a few years, first, when Pat Salerno came here, he negotiated with them, and the overtime dropped to \$50,000, and they agreed that it was a Union concession. Then it went up to 350, and it sort of stayed there, and at this point we don't know what that 350 was based on.

So the previous Fire Chief added some additional money. It was through the new needs process, and it brought it up to the 430 that you see here, okay.

Now, what I'm going to be doing with the current Chief, we're going to do a zero base analysis based on a staffing need of 32 per shift. And we're going to look at the historical annual leave and sick leave, and see what his overtime need should be just to cover that.

We're not going to be covering him for the vacancies, maybe to a certain degree, but we'll take a look at

1 that, and we'll report back to you guys before the 2 budget process goes into play so that you can get a 3 chance to see--4 MR. PAREDES: Next year's budget or the amended 5 budget for this year? 6 MR. KLEIMAN: No, next year's budget. Again, once 7 we figure it out, we can always amend. Right now, they 8 still have enough money to keep them going. But once 9 you guys see it, if you agree with what we're doing, 10 then we can amend this year's budget, and we can put it 11 in as a more permanent thing for next year's budget. 12 Is that agreeable to everybody? 13 MR. MENENDEZ: We don't have issues with staffing 14 in Fire at all, right? 15 MR. KLEIMAN: No, but right now there is an aging 16 population there. So we're getting a lot of people 17 leaving now. That's just part of the regular cycle. 18 MR. MENENDEZ: It's not like Police, we have the 19 year, then the ride along, and the whole process being 20 trained? 21 MR. KLEIMAN: There is a long process. 22 they're on board, then there is -- it's not their academy 23 like Police, but it still takes a while before they're 24 fully operational. 25 MR. MENENDEZ: But there's tens of thousands of

1 people that are qualified to be firefighters, they're doing something else right now, waiting for those 3 opportunities to open up? 4 MR. KLEIMAN: Yes. We're very selective here. 5 They actually had a -- unfortunately, the reason they 6 have some vacancies now, is that the previous list 7 expire. So now they had to go with another test and go 8 with another list which took a little time. 9 MS. GOMEZ: But they have a class that just came 10 in. 11 MR. KLEIMAN: Yeah, they just hired four or five 12 file people. 13 MS. GOMEZ: There was actually a ceremony to swear 14 them in very soon. 15 MR. KLEIMAN: But with them, it's more like a 16 month or two training. So they don't come on board 17 where they can actually do something. 18 So that's our recommendation now. We'll come back 19 to you with a zero base analysis and see where we are 20 with that, okay. 21 MR. PAREDES: Overall, I think on the-- not the 22 Police and Fire end of it, it seems like you're okay on 23 an overall basis, but there were a couple of departments 24 that exceeded their 100 percent. And I just challenge 25 that some of these, you may want to look into it or

something like that. But it doesn't make any sense to exceed budget by \$104 percent. You're either doing it right or something is wrong there. But on an overall basis, you're fine?

MR. KLEIMAN: Yeah, overall we are. But we'd like to look at it with the City Clerk, I believe, they brought an employee in during the year, and the salary was a little higher than we had budgeted. Now, what they do is they specific revenues that the Commission gave them, and if they go over budget, they draw from that revenue. It's a balance sheet item, and we bring it in. So it doesn't affect the City's overall budget. It is money that was purposely allotted to them by the City Commission. And he runs this balance. This balance is about 600,000 in reserves. If he goes over his budget, it comes right out of that.

Now, Fire is different.

MS. BRAVO: What is technical services within the police department? I'm sorry, it's my lack of knowledge, because that's the biggest portion of the whole overtime.

MR. KLEIMAN: That's the E911. That's the call center.

MS. BRAVO: That's separate, no?

MR. KLEIMAN: Yeah, that's just money that we get

1.1

reimbursement from the County. So we try to separate 1 it. We're actually going to move that into-- technical 3 services, E911, they're going to come together. whole Call Center will be one in Division 5040, which is 4 Technical Services. The Property is there. Records is 5 there, and the Call Center. I think those are the three 6 7 basic divisions there. MR. PAREDES: And, again, philosophically, those 8 9 departments shouldn't be generating overtime. You manage 10 for that -- you plan for that. 11 MR. KLEIMAN: Well, yes and no. The problem is 12 that we're having a problem keeping the Communications 13 operators. It's a very high stress job. 14 MR. PAREDES: Life or death is dependent on who 15 answers the phone when you call. 16 MR. KLEIMAN: Right. Plus HR has taken over the 17 recruitment process. They were being recruited within the Police department, and they pulled it out and now HR 18 19 is taking over the recruitment, and they're doing a 20 better job at staffing. 21 MR. MENENDEZ: Any other comments on the quarterly 22 overtime report? 23 No. 24 MR. KLEIMAN: So now, this was the real first audit that we went over in detail, because the IT we 25

really couldn't, because of the confidential part of it. 1 2 So overall, what does the Board think of the internal 3 audit process now? To me, it's far better than what we had before. 5 Do you see any room for improvement? Is there something that you'd like to see that is missing for 6 7 you? MR. HOLIAN: I have a question. When I asked how 8 many hours went into that audit, did they say 500 hours 9 10 of work went into that audit? MR. KLEIMAN: We can get that for you. 11 MR. HOLIAN: But how much do we pay them for the 12 audit annually? It's not that much money. 13 MS. GOMEZ: The first year was the highest year 14 because of the risk assessment. 15 MR. KLEIMAN: What we did for this cash audit, 16 because it was such a huge item, is that we pulled out 17 hours from all of the other audits, reduced those audits 18 and built this one up. 19 MS. GOLDSTEIN: It's interesting. They were much 20 less on their proposal for this particular audit than 21 their competitors. But I think for the first time, it 22 23 takes more time. 24 MR. KLEIMAN: Right. But, remember, their original cash audit wasn't as big as it was. 25

1 MR. HOLIAN: But I just remember that I was shocked that the audit number wasn't really that big of 2 a dollar amount. So 500 hours is work. It just seems 3 weird to me. 5 MR. KLEIMAN: I can confirm that, because the 500 hours sounded low, but I just want to make sure--6 7 MR. HOLIAN: I thought it sounded high. 8 MS. BRAVO: No. 500 hours sounds--9 MR. PAREDES: It's lot of hours. (Thereupon, there was simultaneous speaking.) 10 MR. HOLIAN: I mean, what's the hourly rate for an 11 auditor? It's got to be 50, 75 bucks an hour. 12 (Thereupon, there was simultaneous speaking.) 13 MS. BRAVO: No. Your average rate for an auditor 14 from Crowe Horwath is going to be at least \$250 an hour. 15 MR. HOLIAN: Okay. So 250 times 500, what's that 16 17 number? MS. GOLDSTEIN: No. This is a lower level person 18 19 doing a cash audit. MS. BRAVO: You have to have a blended rate, 20 21 though. MR. KLEIMAN: So far the combined total on this 22 23 one is almost \$73,000 for this. MR. HOLIAN: Okay. And how much more-- I thought 24 25 we had a three-year contract.

1 MR. KLEIMAN: We do. The first year was 180, but 2 a big piece of that was the risk assessment. The second year dropped to 135 or so, and so now this is coming up. 3 4 But, again, we rolled last year's into FY '18 already. MR. MENENDEZ: We're going to have two people in the internal audit, because we're going to have to staff 6 7 it more than we already had, because it wasn't actually completing what we wanted it to do. 9 MR. PAREDES: And if I recall, at the last meeting the shift was because there were some sensitivity as to 10 the cash, because at the end it's more--11 MR. HOLIAN: I was just surprised at the amount of 12 13 hours. 14 MR. KLEIMAN: And the interesting thing is remember, with the previous internal audit system we had 15 here, it was a got you kind of thing. This, they work 16 with the staff. There's no apprehension when they're in 17 there working with them. And so you get a fairly 18 honest, as far as I'm concerned, an easy thing--19 MR. PAREDES: I think their-- going back to your 20 original question -- their concentration on controls is a 21 good thing, but I think that without having a good 22 follow through or without good monitoring and making 23 sure that the controls are sticking based on their 24

recommendations, it's all paper.

25

MS. GOLDSTEIN: But that's his job. 1 MR. KLEIMAN: Right. That's why we have Elsa. 2 3 MS. GOLDSTEIN: That's his job. MR. PAREDES: I didn't know that. If that's your 4 5 job, then that's--6 (Thereupon, there was simultaneous speaking.) 7 MR. MENENDEZ: We used to get from internal audit 8 a report that said pending items and a follow-up, and we used to look at them every quarter and say, okay. 9 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Your person should provide that. 10 11 MS. GOMEZ: We don't know the format yet of what 12 it's going to look like. 13 MR. HOLIAN: Well designed and in a very user 14 friendly format, and she has 27 or 28 years of 15 accounting for the City, so, you know, this is what she 16 does. I call her my personal pit bull, because when I 17 give her something to do, she goes in there and actually 18 really gets it done. She's doing our FEMA right now, 19 and she's just so dedicated with that. 20 MR. PAREDES: Talking about FEMA, the last time 21 you mentioned that we should be okay with the whole 22 hurricane. Is FEMA coming through? 23 MS. GOMEZ: So we're in the process now of 24 starting the--25 MR. PAREDES: Did we have to front end the money?

MS. GOMEZ: Yes. You always have to front end the money. That's why we have our reserves that we have, right. We're going through the whole public assistance process. You know, meeting with FEMA representatives. They have to do site inspections before work gets done, things like that. It's a timely process. We haven't received any reimbursements yet, because we haven't been able to finalize the claim. We're still in the window of identifying all of the damages, and all of the expenditures that happened. January 14 is the close of our window.

MR. MENENDEZ: What about clean up and pick up?
We had to pay for a portion of it? We haven't received any of the reimbursements on that?

MS. GOMEZ: We haven't even submitted for it yet.

They're still doing some chipping. There was a lot of debris. They've cleaned up everything, but, they're still chipping and doing everything.

MR. MENENDEZ: I thought there was one point, that once we started actually doing our regular pick ups, that's when the FEMA would stop. So we should've already stopped incurring FEMA.

MS. GOMEZ: No, it does. It does from pick up, but the chipping operation still continues.

MR. MENENDEZ: And that can still continue, and

we're still--

MS. GOMEZ: Yes. And you have six months to finish chipping, if we need it. We're going to be done in a couple of weeks.

MR. PAREDES: And you're capturing all of that in separate buckets, funding it, and therefore you'll know whether you broke even or it was a loss?

MS. GOMEZ: Well, it's never going to break even, right, because FEMA only-- there's some portion that they will only cover 75 percent, and then we get another twelve-and-a-half percent from the State. So we will, for certain time periods, and I don't have them from memory, but we will be covering 12-and-a-half percent of certain expenditures. The first 30 days for a certain type of expenditure was 100 percent. Then the next 30 days it may be 80 percent. And then the next-- from that point forward until we're done.

MR. PAREDES: So we're not looking at a tax increase next year?

MS. GOMEZ: That's not my decision to make.

MS. GOLDSTEIN: They never are.

MR. MENENDEZ: When we look at salary and benefits like this report, is there anything on here that's tied to the hurricane that we'll receive reimbursement or is there a separate--

1 MR. KLEIMAN: No. No. MS. GOMEZ: No. It's not here, because we 2 separated all of the hurricane related overtime. 3 Hurricane related overtime is being tracked separately, 4 5 because we expect reimbursement for a good portion of that. 6 7 MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. So this is clean, nothing to 8 do with the hurricane. It's all separate overtime for 9 Fire, Police, I guess, everything else? 10 MR. KLEIMAN: Right. Everything is completely 11 separated. 12 MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. That's fair. 13 Is there anything else left on the agenda other 14 than discussion items that anyone might want to bring up 15 or scheduling? 16 MR. PAREDES: Well, the scheduling, January 6 is a 17 Saturday, of 2018. 18 MS. GOMEZ: I think it says 2017, so I apologize 19 for that. 20 MR. HOLIAN: Mark me present for that. 21 MS. GOMEZ: Perhaps January 10-- would January 22 10th work for everybody, because the first week, I don't want to do it on January 3rd. 23 MR. MENENDEZ: I'm good with it. 24 25 MR. HOLIAN: That's fine for me.

1 MR. KLEIMAN: I'm clear. MS. BRAVO: I'm good. 3 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Wait, the 10th, the Tuesday? MS. GOMEZ: That's a Wednesday. 4 MS. GOLDSTEIN: I'm sorry. I'm in the wrong year. 5 MR. PAREDES: Sorry, it doesn't work for me. 6 7 MS. GOMEZ: The 17th? MS. GOLDSTEIN: The 11th? 8 MS. GOMEZ: The 11th, that's fine, but there's a 9 chance I won't be here, because that's usually the date 10 of the Pension Board meeting. I don't think they're 11 going to do the Pension Board the first week, so they'll 12 13 do it the second week, which would be the 11th. I don't 14 necessarily--15 MR. PAREDES: The 17th is good for me. 1.6 MS. GOMEZ: The 17th would be the following 17 Wednesday. 18 MR. MENENDEZ: I'm okay with the 17th. 19 MS. BRAVO: That's fine with me. MS. GOMEZ: So we'll set it for the 17th. 20 21 I don't know if we'll have an audit at that point. 22 Is there any--MR. KLEIMAN: If there is, we can include that on 23 24 the agenda. MS. GOLDSTEIN: I'm sorry, what did they say was 25

1 the next thing that they're working on? MR. KLEIMAN: IT internal penetration, and HR 2 3 payroll, they're doing right now. MR. MENENDEZ: And Public Works. 4 5 MR. KLEIMAN: Public Works, they're putting off. They're working on Fuel and Fleet at this point. 6 7 MS. GOMEZ: No, we wouldn't have the audit 8 financial statements. We go to the Commission -- it's 9 due March 31st. We usually go to the Commission the 10 first March meeting so--11 MR. KLEIMAN: I can't promise there will be an 12 overtime report, because they're so close in time. 13 MS. GOMEZ: Right, exactly. Nor will there be a 14 quarterly, probably. I don't know if there's any other 15 topics. I don't know if there's going to be something 16 from Economic Development regarding leases or whatever. 17 We can play it by ear. If there's nothing on the 18 agenda, through the Chair, we will advise, and then 19 reschedule. So we'll leave it as a tentative date, 20 January 17th, and then we'll-- if there's nothing on there-- I don't know if there's anything that the Board 21 22 would like to have somebody come present something or--MR. MENENDEZ: I have a question. A few years 23

24

25

back, we allotted, I think, half a million dollars to development to be able to do electronic permitting, and

records with plans. Nothing has been done with that for years. We've always talked about--

MR. KLEIMAN: No, we are. We've been funding it now. Little pieces, we're building up, and it's being worked on right now. And we're also working on a new ERP for the entire City. So they're working on everything at the same time. And the new Development Services Director, Suramy Cabrera, she's very active in moving us toward this.

MR. MENENDEZ: How will I know when we're going to get that electronic record? Because we do go to that same conversation, whether we put it all full system or whether we put half a system or we go into it full. And this has been four years, I believe, that we've been talking about this. So we've been allocating half a million dollars now for four years?

MR. KLEIMAN: No. No. No, not the four years.

The whole system was only about \$600,000. We have this capital matrix which basically funds the City's entire

IT system. And it smooths it out over 10 to 20 years,

and we basically upped the amount of money. We're

putting aside every single year to take a piece of that

and start funding it.

MR. MENENDEZ: So maybe we can have her come in and say where we're at, but we want this done by the end

1 of the year or we have this plan to roll it out and 2 implement it by, I don't know, the second quarter of 3 2018. 4 MR. KLEIMAN: What we can do is, we can get a 5 status of it for you. And if she knows about it exactly 6 that she can report to you something -- she may be able 7 to. I don't really know, but we can look into that and 8 let you know. 9 MR. PAREDES: Who's this she, I'm sorry? 10 MR. KLEIMAN: Suramy Cabrera. She's the new 11 Development Services Director. She just started maybe 12 about a month ago. 13 MR. HOLIAN: She should be on it, then. 14 MR. KLEIMAN: No, she really is. She's 15 aggressive. 16 MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. So we're closing the 17 meeting. Great. 18 (Thereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 9:15 19 a.m.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE)
5	
6	I, ESTELA L. VALLE, Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public
7	for the State of Florida, do hereby certify that I was
8	authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing
9	proceedings, and that the transcript is a true and complete
10	record of my stenographic notes.
11	DATED this 20th of December, 2017.
12	
13	Esteled Valle
14	Estela L. Valle Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	