	Exocipto of Izilailo		
	Page 93		Page 95
1	THE SECRETARY: Frank Rodriguez?	1	separate or
2	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.	2	MR. TRIAS: Megan is going to make that
3	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	3	presentation. She did an analysis of the whole
4	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	4	area, of each of the buildings, so
5	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin?	5	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. All right.
6	MR. BELLIN: Yes.	6	MR. TRIAS: I think it's sufficient for
7	THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?	7	you to but if you need more, certainly we
8	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.	8	can do more.
9	THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez?	9	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay.
10	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes.	10	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, thank you very much.
11	THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan?	11	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I need to read these
12	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.	12	in, right?
13	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Thank you very much.	13	MR. COLLER: Yeah, I think you should read
14	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you, Mario.	14	them in.
15	Thank you to the applicant.	15	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We'll be a
16	MR. BEHAR: Only took two years, Mario, but	16	while.
17	you did it.	17	Item Number 8 and it looks like we have
18	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Two years that we were	18	seven items an Ordinance of the City
19	here. Four in total.	19	Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting
20	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Items 8 through 15 are	20	an amendment to the Future Land Use Map of the
21	related. I guess we'll read them into the	21	City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan
22	record, and then take action separately, if we	22	pursuant to Zoning Code Article 3, "Development
23	get there. And, also, just so everybody knows,	23	Review," Division 15, "Comprehensive Plan Text
24	Member Rodriguez needs to leave at 8:15.	24	and Map Amendments," and Small Scale Amendment
25	MS. MENENDEZ: 8:50?	25	procedures, Section 163.3187, Florida Statutes,
	Page 94		Page 96
1	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: 8:15. He has a flight	1	providing for the "North Ponce de Leon
2	tonight.	2	Boulevard Mixed-Use Overlay District;"
3	MR. BEHAR: And I have to leave just about	3	providing for severability, repealer and an
4	the same time, as well.	4	effective date. Legal description is on file
5	MS. MENENDEZ: Well, I have a very simple	5	with the City. That's under Local Planning
6	question.	6	Agency review.
7	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: One second. Thank you,	7	Item 9 is an Ordinance of the City
8	everybody.	8	Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting
9	MS. MENENDEZ: I have a question of the	9	an amendment to the text of the City of Coral
10	Staff that might end this whole thing.	10	Gables Comprehensive Plan, Future Land Use
11	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. Once	11	Element, Policy FLU-1.1.3, "Table FLU-4, called
12	MS. MENENDEZ: Or at least defer it.	12	Mixed-Use Land Use," pursuant to expedited
13	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ramon, Maria has a	13	State review procedures, Section 163.3184,
14	question.	14	Florida Statutes, and Zoning Code Article 3,
15	MR. TRIAS: Yes.	15	"Development Review," Division 15,
16	MS. MENENDEZ: The portion that we	16	"Comprehensive Plan Text and Map Amendments;"
17	discussed in length the last time and we even	17	amending the "MXOD, Mixed-Use Overly Districts"
18	heard testimony from the public regarding the	18	Land Use Classification to provide that a
19	infill portion, Staff was going to take a look	19	Mixed-Use Overlay District may be permitted as
20	at a larger area, or at least study it.	20	an overlay in the Multi-Family Medium Density
21	MR. TRIAS: Yes.	21	and the Multi-Family High Density Land Uses;
22	MS. MENENDEZ: Has that been done?	22	providing for severability, repealer and an
23	MR. TRIAS: Yes.	23	effective date. That's also Local Planning
24	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. I didn't see the	24	Agency review.
25	results in this report. Do we have something	25	Item 10 is an Ordinance of the City

Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting an amendment to the Zoning Map pursuant to Zoning Code Article 3, "Development Review", Division 14, "Zoning Code Text and Map Amendments", to create the "North Ponce de Leon Boulevard Mixed Use District" for portions of the Douglas Section, Section K, and Section L, Coral Gables, Florida; providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Legal description is on file with the City. Item 11 is an Ordinance of the City "Development Review," Division 15, "Comprehensive Plan Text and Map amending the "Multi-Family Medium Use Classification to provide that a may density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code acre with architectural incentives per to	Density" Land aximum s an the evelopment
an amendment to the Zoning Map pursuant to Zoning Code Article 3, "Development Review", Division 14, "Zoning Code Text and Map Amendments", to create the "North Ponce de Leon Boulevard Mixed Use District" for portions of the Douglas Section, Section K, and Section L, Coral Gables, Florida; providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Legal description is on file with the City. "Comprehensive Plan Text and Map A amending the "Multi-Family Medium Use Classification to provide that a made density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to acre with architectural inc	Density" Land aximum s an the evelopment
Zoning Code Article 3, "Development Review", Division 14, "Zoning Code Text and Map Amendments", to create the "North Ponce de Leon Boulevard Mixed Use District" for portions of the Douglas Section, Section K, and Section L, Coral Gables, Florida; providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Legal description is on file with the City. amending the "Multi-Family Medium Use Classification to provide that a madensity of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to acre with architectural	Density" Land aximum s an the evelopment
Division 14, "Zoning Code Text and Map Amendments", to create the "North Ponce de Leon Boulevard Mixed Use District" for portions of the Douglas Section, Section K, and Section L, Coral Gables, Florida; providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Legal description is on file with the City. Use Classification to provide that a made density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for density of 60 units an acre with architectural	aximum s an the evelopment
Amendments", to create the "North Ponce de Leon Boulevard Mixed Use District" for portions of the Douglas Section, Section K, and Section L, Coral Gables, Florida; providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Legal description is on file with the City. 5 density of 60 units an acre, or 75 units acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for de within the designated Residential Infile Districts; providing for severability, repealer and an effective date.	s an the evelopment Il
Boulevard Mixed Use District" for portions of the Douglas Section, Section K, and Section L, Coral Gables, Florida; providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Legal description is on file with the City. 6 acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for de within the designated Residential Infil Districts; providing for severability, read and an effective date. That's Local Planta is acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for designated Residential Infil Districts; providing for severability, read and an effective date. That's Local Planta is acre with architectural incentives per to Zoning Code, shall be permitted for designated Residential Infil Districts; providing for severability, read and an effective date.	the evelopment II
the Douglas Section, Section K, and Section L, Coral Gables, Florida; providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Legal description is on file with the City. Zoning Code, shall be permitted for de within the designated Residential Infil Districts; providing for severability, read and an effective date. That's Local Plantage of the Douglas Section, Section K, and Section L, Districts; providing for severability, read and an effective date. That's Local Plantage of the Douglas Section, Section K, and Section L, Districts; providing for severability, read and an effective date. That's Local Plantage of the Douglas Section, Section K, and Section L, and an effective date.	evelopment Il
8 Coral Gables, Florida; providing for 9 severability, repealer and an effective date. 10 Legal description is on file with the City. 8 within the designated Residential Infil 9 Districts; providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. 10 and an effective date. That's Local Pla	11
9 severability, repealer and an effective date. 10 Legal description is on file with the City. 9 Districts; providing for severability, real and an effective date. That's Local Plance.	
10 Legal description is on file with the City. 10 and an effective date. That's Local Pla	enealer
	•
	anning
12 Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing 12 Number 14, an Ordinance of the Cit	tv
for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables 13 Commission of Coral Gables, Florida	•
Official Zoning Code, by amending Article 4, an amendment to the Zoning Map pure	
Torring Districts," Section 4-201, "Mixed Use 15 Zoning Code Article 3, "Development 25" Zoning Code Article 3, "Development 2	
26 District" to allow an MXD Overlay District to 16 Division 14, "Zoning Code Text and N	
be assigned in a Multi-Family 2 Zoning District 17 Amendments", to create the "East Pon	-
under certain conditions, and to include 18 Boulevard Residential Infill District" f	
provisions for the "North Ponce de Leon Mixed 19 portions of the Douglas Section, Coral	
20 Use District" to modify and supplement the 20 Florida; providing for severability, rep	
existing Commercial and Multi-Family 2 21 and an effective date. Legal description	•
22 standards and criteria to allow appropriate 22 file with the City.	on is on
redevelopment that promotes walkability, 23 Finally, the last one, Number 15, an	,
enhances Ponce de Leon Boulevard, provides a 24 Ordinance of the City Commission of	
transition to the North Ponce Neighborhood 25 Gables, Florida providing for text ame	
23 transition to the North Folice Neighborhood 25 Gables, Fibrida providing for text and	- Idilicits
Page 98	Page 100
1 Conservation District; providing for a repealer 1 to the City of Coral Gables Official Zon	ning
2 provision, providing for a severability clause, 2 Code, by amending Article 4, "Zoning	
3 codification, and providing for an effective 3 Districts," adding Section 4-208, called	
4 date. 4 "East Ponce de Leon Boulevard Residen	
5 Number 12, an Ordinance of the City 5 Infill District" to modify and supplement	
6 Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing 6 existing Multi-Family 2 standards and c	
7 for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables 7 to allow appropriate redevelopment that	
8 Official Zoning Code, by amending Article 3, 8 promotes walkability, enhances East Po	once de
9 "Development Review," Division 10, "Transfer of 9 Leon Boulevard, and provides a visual	
Development Rights" to modify criteria for 10 connection between the Douglas Entran	
sending sites north of Navarre Avenue, and to Ponce de Leon Boulevard; providing for	r a
allow for Commercial zoned properties within 12 repealer provision, providing for a	
the "North Ponce Mixed Use District" overlay to 13 severability clause, codification and pro	viding
be receiving sites subject to certain criteria; 14 for an effective date.	
providing for a repealer provision, providing 15 Mr. Trias.	
for a severability clause, codification, and 16 MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairn	
providing for an effective date. 17 was quite a performance there, reading a	all of
Number 13 is an Ordinance of the City 18 that, but all of it is really related to one	
Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting 19 issue.	
20 an amendment to the text of the City of Coral 20 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We nee	ed shorter titles
Gables Comprehensive Plan, Future Land Use 21 from now on.	
Element, Policy FLU-1.1.3, "Table FLU-1, 22 MR. COLLER: I was going to ask years."	ou if you
Residential Land Uses," pursuant to expedited 23 needed a break at this point.	
State review procedures, Section 163.3184, 24 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I need a	•
Florida Statutes, and Zoning Code Article 3, 25 MR. TRIAS: Thank you very much.	

Page 101 Page 103 1 1 MR. TRIAS: So thank you very much. And The issue is what to do, what to do along 2 2 the corridor on North Ponce that goes along without further ado, Megan. 3 3 Ponce de Leon Boulevard and some areas MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Thank you, Ramon. 4 immediately next to it today. That's really 4 Members of the Board, my name is Megan 5 5 what we're talking about today. It is one of McLaughlin, City Planner for the City of Coral 6 6 several issues that we're dealing with in North Gables. 7 7 Ponce. May I have the PowerPoint, please? 8 8 I'll present to you the North Ponce And I would like to say that having 9 9 listened to Professor Gelabert Navia's Mixed-Use District tonight. We do have some 10 10 updates, based on your feedback from the critique, I think that this amendment gives you 11 November meeting, and those are outlined at the 11 the opportunity to provide some of that 12 affordable housing, some of that smaller unit 12 very beginning of the Staff report, if you want 13 housing, that could be very beneficial for the 13 to look, on the first page. 14 14 future of the City. At the last meeting, you asked us to 15 So we do have some opportunities to work on 15 provide the vision report that was produced in 16 those issues. I think that his critique is 16 2015 --17 very valid, and I think that the answer to that 17 MS. MENENDEZ: Right. 18 is the work that you have been doing so far, so 18 MS. MCLAUGHLIN: -- so that's in Attachment 19 diligently, on North Ponce. 19 F, and that really reinforces a lot of the 20 20 Now, I am not going to make the recommendations that we're making in the Zoning presentation tonight. I'm going to ask Megan 21 21 Code changes for the Mixed-Use District. So 22 McLaughlin to make the presentation, because 22 I'll go through that later in the presentation, she has been doing most of the work on this 23 but you'll find that that Community Visioning 23 24 amendment, and also because she's going to 24 report is where we got the first ideas for the 25 25 leave the City of Coral Gables. Now, hopefully paseos, for the transitions from the tall Page 102 Page 104 1 she will continue to work with us in the 1 buildings on Ponce, down to the lower scale 2 2 future, but she's leaving at the end of the buildings in the residential neighborhood, and 3 month, and I would like for her to be able to 3 many other recommendations. So it's very 4 explain to you, in great depth and in great 4 consistent with the public input we received, 5 5 detail --and the recommendations we got from our 6 6 MR. BEHAR: Why she's living? consultant. 7 MR. TRIAS: I think that she can explain 7 And, then, in Attachment G, we did do the 8 8 that, if she wants to, but I think it's going analysis of the Residential Infill District on 9 9 to be very good. And, again -how these proposed changes would affect the 10 MR. GRABIEL: What did you do? 10 development potential in that area of the City. 11 MS. MENENDEZ: It's not because of you, 11 So, again, this Zoning Code Text Amendment 12 12 Ramon, right? has gone through extensive public input 13 MR. TRIAS: I keep hoping that it's not 13 process. There's been a number of public 14 because of me, but what I would say, though, is 14 meetings. We do have a North Ponce website 15 that I do anticipate that she will continue to 15 that is on the Planning and Zoning web page, 16 work with us as a consultant and so on. So 16 and that's where anyone from the public, anyone 17 that will be great. She is absolutely one of 17 watching at home, and certainly anyone involved 18 the best and most professional people that I 18 in this review process, can stay up-to-date on 19 have had a chance to work with, and I think 19 every meeting that we have, the documents that 20 she's certainly very qualified to advice you on 20 are produced, and how the document is being 21 this topic and give you the details, and she 21 revised through the process. 22 did all of the research, Ms. Menendez, that you 22 And here you see, in Attachment G -- or, 23 23 excuse me, Attachment F, the Visioning Report, requested. 24 MS. MENENDEZ: I saw that. I saw it. It's 24 where there were a number of recommended action 25 25 steps at the end of that report, and those are

in here.

Page 105 Page 107 1 -- we've been very diligent over the last year 1 assembled, and you'll see -- we updated the 2 2 and a half in implementing those, and we're dimensions on your feedback for the liners. So 3 3 nearly complete, actually, with many of those previously you'll see that we were proposing a 4 recommendations that we received. 4 thirty-foot deep liner, with a twenty-foot 5 5 paseo, and that was a very deliberate -- based So just very briefly, I'll go through, 6 6 there's -- I pulled a few quotes, where you see on a deliberate study, going for like the 7 that we've actually directly implemented those. 7 optimal garage floor plate, so the typical bays 8 8 of sixty feet, to try to get a hundred and The very first is controlling the map. We've 9 9 talked about the fact that there's commercial twenty foot bay, and then, how can we work that 10 encroachment into the residential areas on 10 into the different lot increments you might 11 North Ponce. That was a recommendation that 11 have, and how can we fit in, you know, a good 12 we're trying to be proactive about through the 12 liner, a good paseo and that sort of thing. 13 Mix-Used Overlay, which defines how deep we 13 So when we did update the liner dimensions, 14 would allow these more commercial, larger scale 14 we found that for a 150-foot deep lot, you 15 developments to go into the neighborhood. 15 would get a 110-foot parking garage dimension, 16 The next talks about, we want to require 16 and, then, for the 200-foot deep lot, you would 17 real buildings facing North Ponce, and, you 17 get 140-foot deep parking garage. So, you 18 know, having faces, windows, balconies fronting 18 know, I think it's worth discussing a little 19 North Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and that's 19 bit whether that's a worthwhile dimension, if 20 something we're accomplishing. 20 there's a gain from that, and maybe there's 21 21 Excuse me. other scenarios where that might -- where there 22 22 The next is creating a transition between might be benefit. 23 23 Ponce and the residential streets through step MR. BELLIN: Megan, let me ask you a 24 back requirements, setback requirements and 24 question. Where do you have lots of that 25 25 landscape and frontage, fronting the depth? Page 106 Page 108 1 1 neighborhood with liveable space, not just MS. MCLAUGHLIN: We did do a study of 2 2 fronting a parking garage very close to potential sites that might be able to redevelop 3 residential, which we heard, in the last 3 under this, and we did find three to four sites 4 meeting, there were some residents that were 4 currently that, you know, are possible, and, 5 5 concerned about the kind of development that's you know, otherwise it would be a matter of 6 6 currently under construction, and that they someone assembling a larger --7 7 don't want to see that happen again. So that's MR. BELLIN: Assembling part of the MF-2 8 8 something we're trying to address. properties. 9 9 And, finally, this idea of providing these MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Right. 10 10 mid block landscaped, open air paseos that are MR. BELLIN: Okav. 11 going to be, you know, the gold standard for 11 MR. BEHAR: You know, that depth of 110 is 12 paseos in Coral Gables, that will really 12 neither here nor there. 120, or thereabout, is 13 enhance this part of the City. 13 the optimal for a garage. 14 The purpose here, you see the map, the 14 MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Right. 15 proposed map, there is, along North Ponce, the 15 MR. BEHAR: And I see, when sites are 16 Mixed-Use District, and then along East Ponce 16 30,000 square foot building sites, it has the 17 17 de Leon Boulevard, we are proposing the same depth of paseo as a buffer to the 18 Residential Infill District, and there's been 18 residential. That, you know, is the only one 19 some discussion on what that boundary will be 19 that I see that may not work as good. And, 20 20 and we'll talk about that a bit later. then, maybe where sites are 30,000 or less, 21 21 So for the Mixed-Use District, last month maybe there's a little modification. 22 we had a diagram showing the different 22 I think, everywhere else, it works good. I 23 23 potential development projects that might think you're doing a great job. Size over 24 24 result from these updated provisions, based on 30,000, for example, the 40,000, you have --25 the amount of property a developer might have 25 abutting as a buffer to the residential, you

Page 109 Page 111 1 have a 20-foot paseo, you have a liner, and 1 Comprehensive Plan Text Amendment, we did 2 2 then you have a depth where you show update that language. We removed references to 3 3 the Multi-Family High Density, because that's graphically 140 feet, gives you more than 4 plenty to work on a garage. 4 not relevant to the North Ponce area, and that 5 5 I think that, you know, under 20,000, it's was one of your comments. 6 6 very difficult, so what you have is correct. I The Zoning Map has not changed. The 7 7 think, over 40,000 -- and 7,000 works good. I underlying Zoning still remains. And then, 8 with the North Ponce Zoning Code Text Amendment 8 think the 30,000 is the one that maybe there's 9 9 a potential to make a slight modification to for the MXD, again, you know, this is a pretty 10 10 extensive set of revisions, and mostly it is that one. 11 11 dealing with the building form, architectural MS. MCLAUGHLIN: And that may happen just 12 through the developer's choice. You know, they 12 standards and public benefits, public open 13 13 may find that it's not worth their while to space, like the paseos, making sure that the 14 14 frontage on heavily trafficked streets, like shrink the liner in that case and we may work 15 with them. 15 Ponce, do not have any driveways, no loading, 16 May I have the PowerPoint? 16 no serving, and that sort of thing. So we're 17 This diagram goes over the building form, 17 really trying to control the public realm and 18 the building massing, which we discussed the 18 how buildings front the street. 19 last time, and the building setbacks, which, 19 Okay. Request Number 5 is the transfer of 20 you know, it's a very tailored building 20 development rights, and what this does is, it 21 setback, because we're talking about larger 21 allows commercial properties on Ponce de Leon 22 scale buildings and we are trying to work that 22 Boulevard to be both, sending sites for 23 transition in. So you do see that there's a 23 transfers of development rights, and receiving 24 different setback along the side street, as you 24 sites. And currently that's not allowed. 25 25 So, as a sending site, right now the only get into the Neighborhood Conservation Page 110 Page 112 1 1 District, and we're doing that in order to kind sending sites in the City are in the Central 2 2 Business District or in the North Ponce area, of match the frontage on these east-west 3 avenues, so that as you are next door to some 3 but MF-2. So we're basically expanding that 4 of these two and three-story Historic apartment 4 North Ponce sending area to include the 5 5 buildings, that the frontage on the backs of commercial, also. 6 these buildings would match. 6 And, then, as a receiving site, this allows 7 7 Another aspect of the regulations, that was all of the commercially zoned properties facing 8 8 updated based on your feedback, we looked at Ponce de Leon Boulevard to bonus up to 4.375 9 9 the way the liners are in the building, and I FAR. And that was a recommendation directly 10 10 did want to get your feedback, also, on this, from the North Ponce report in 2015. 11 where previously, with the 30-foot liner, it 11 And, finally, the East Ponce Residential 12 lined up pretty well with the 30-foot step back 12 Infill District, now this idea came from 13 for the building and the tower on Ponce de Leon 13 looking at the configuration of East Ponce de 14 Boulevard. Now those are a little bit 14 Leon Boulevard, which is a very special place 15 different, and, you know, I don't know if the 15 in sort of the urban design, the street layout 16 architects in the group might want to provide 16 of the City. It really connects the Douglas 17 some feedback on if it's worthwhile having 17 Entrance to Ponce de Leon Boulevard, to Ponce 18 those align -- the tower would align with the 18 Park, which is that triangular park at the 19 edge of the garage, or if it's okay to step 19 bottom center of the photo, and we did find, 20 20 back a little bit, and we can discuss that looking at old maps, actually the previous 21 21 version of the Zoning Map, I believe, in 2005, later. at that time, there were different provisions 22 The parking areas have not changed too 22 23 much. This is the Comprehensive Plan Map 23 for Multi-Family buildings that would front 24 Amendments. The underlying Land Use 24 East Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and you'll see -if you see, in this photo, close to where the 25 Classifications have not changed. The 25

Page 113 Page 115 1 Douglas Entrance buildings are, there's a very 1 Mixed-Use District. So the other properties on 2 2 tall apartment building. It's fourteen stories this block are a part of the Mixed-Use Overlay. 3 3 tall. And that was permitted under the And it's just a result of the ownership and --4 previous version of the Zoning Code. So there 4 MR. BEHAR: Yeah, but -- go ahead, finish. 5 was a little bit more, perhaps a more vision 5 MS. MENENDEZ: No, I was just going to say, 6 6 for taller buildings fronting that boulevard, wouldn't it make sense, as in other areas, the 7 and creating, perhaps, more of a gateway, and 7 Mixed-Use would just be squared off, and then 8 8 that is not in the Code currently and that's the rest of it is the Infill, if, in fact, 9 9 not in our Map currently, so that was sort of you're looking to create that density on the 10 10 the idea of making something different for this other side? It just looks odd. Is that one 11 spur. 11 owner? Is that one property owner? 12 And in this Map, you can see that our 12 MS. MCLAUGHLIN: No. 13 Staff's original proposal for the Residential 13 MS. MENENDEZ: No? 14 Infill District encompassed Blocks 12, 13 and 14 MS. MCLAUGHLIN: I don't believe so. 15 16, and you did see in our meeting last month 15 MS. MENENDEZ: You looked at areas --16 16 that there was a request to study Blocks 3 and MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes, we looked at all of 17 8, as well, to see if those might be 17 the property ownership. I don't remember this 18 appropriate for this District. 18 being one owner. 19 So what this entails is a Comprehensive 19 MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. So there's no 20 Plan Text Amendment to allow increased density 20 proposed projects, per se? 21 21 in these especially designated Residential MS. MCLAUGHLIN: No. 22 22 MS. MENENDEZ: No? The City just came in Infill Districts of 60 units per acre or 75 23 23 units per acre with Mediterranean bonus. and started analyzing this idea of the Infill? 24 And here you see the Map. This is the 24 MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. I mean, this was 25 Zoning Code Map Amendment request. And on 25 really drawn when we were looking at how to Page 114 Page 116 these -- the next few slides, I'm going to sort 1 front East Ponce in a special way, and these 1 2 2 of walk you through the analysis that we did, are the properties fronting East Ponce on this 3 3 that's in your report. It's in Attachment G. block. 4 So starting with Block 16, which is the 4 MR. BEHAR: You know, to that point, I 5 5 southern most block, what we did is an analysis looked at the Map, and I looked at what a Land 6 6 of what is currently there, in terms of Use Map should look like, and the idea, my 7 7 density, FAR and height, and what could interpretation, the intent is to have a clear, 8 8 potentially be re-developed under these straight boundary definition, where one starts 9 9 regulations, and there is a very good gain from and the other one. 10 10 the Zoning Code amendment and the different That block particularly, which I marked as 11 amendments. 11 one of my comments to you is, why is that 12 12 You see that there's a potential of four happening there? And, then, that same 13 times the existing floor area ratio to point 13 rationale will happen on other blocks, such as 14 five times the density and four times the 14 24, 39, and the other side of Ponce, on 40, 15 height, and these are pictures of what is 15 which, you know, it seems like that line is 16 currently there. These are mostly mid Century 16 zigzagging and we should try to maintain a 17 1940s and '50s apartment buildings, walk up, 17 straight line, so it's cleanly defined. 18 you know, no parking on site, with the 18 And that block, particularly, 16, at an 19 landscaped courtyards and front yards. 19 earlier -- you know, I went back. I did a 20 MS. MENENDEZ: Can I ask a question? That 20 little bit of homework, so tonight I would be 21 21 particular site, why does it have such an odd able to -- and I'm not going to take too long, shape? Why isn't it squared off? 22 22 because I know he has to go, but I went back, 23 23 MR. BEHAR: Thank you. and that block, at one point, was part of the 24 24 MS. MCLAUGHLIN: So this particular block, North Ponce boundary, a corridor. Then it was 25 25 it's not squared off, because it's abutting the taken out, right?

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

Page 117 Page 119 1 1 benefit the East Ponce corridor and the way it I remember having a plan, that particular 2 2 area was inclusive in the corridor, and then it fronts the corridor. 3 3 Currently, when you take this whole area was taken out. 4 MS. MCLAUGHLIN: I would have to look back. 4 combined, because of the vacant lot, there's 5 We've had numerous iterations of the Map. 5 potential for seven times the current building 6 6 MR. BEHAR: I want to say it's like three area, because obviously there's not much there, 7 7 of four generations ago. four times the current density and four times 8 8 MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. Yes. Yes. the current height. And these are photos of 9 9 MR. BEHAR: So that was there. It was the existing buildings that are in that 10 10 location. taken. Same thing as Block Number 24. When 11 11 you look at it, you know, which I think may And then the two other blocks that are 12 come up, there's no clear definition on those 12 under consideration are Block 8. Here you see 13 two blocks. I recommend -- and I also went 13 Block 8. This is located right behind, I 14 back, since you touched on the 75 units per 14 believe, the American Airlines building that's 15 acre, where that came about, and I think I went 15 on Ponce. So it does include part of their 16 16 parking area. And here you have a potential back to a draft that I want to say 17 Plater-Zyberk, DPZ, a study they did, which --17 for five times the current building area, two 18 analyzed a lot of 50 by a hundred. 18 and a half times the density and four times the 19 From the 50 to 100, they stipulated to get 19 height, and these are the buildings that are 20 to a big lot, and that's what resulted in the 20 currently in that location. 21 75 units per acre, but that really, it has, in 21 And then the last block is Block 3. This 22 22 my opinion, not a lot of basis, because it is the back side of Southwest Eighth Street, 23 doesn't -- it may work on a small lot that they 23 and you have Douglas Entrance just to the east. 24 used that rationale to get 80 units on that 50 24 And here, again, very similar to other blocks, 25 25 by a hundred lot, but may not work, and that there's a similar increase in density and Page 118 Page 120 1 just carried over, and I think that we're not 1 height and FAR. 2 2 doing justice to what potential it could be by And, then, the Zoning Code Text Amendment 3 keeping, you know, that number. And that 3 that we are proposing for this location, it 4 number, again, came from a study of a 50 by a 4 deals with an FAR increase of up to --5 hundred lot, and then it was taken over. 5 currently MF-2 is a sliding scale, between 1.0 6 So if you have a lot that is not 5,000, but 6 and 2.0. This would establish a 2.0 FAR, with 7 it's 20,000, you're been penalized because of 7 an ability to bonus up to 2.25, and then the 8 that. 8 density is increased by way of the 9 MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Okay. Thank you. We will 9 Comprehensive Plan Amendment and potentially a 10 10 continue to look at this. height increase here of up to 97 feet. 11 The next block that we looked at was Block 11 It does establish standards for building 12 13, which is at the northeastern most edge. 12 frontage, and standards for the way the front 13 This is right across the street from the 13 yards would be designed, trying to minimize the 14 Douglas Entrance. And this is an area that is 14 amount of pavement. We used a lot of the 15 actually mostly built out. The building area 15 standards taken from the Neighborhood 16 is currently met, based of what we're 16 Conservation District. So really trying to put 17 proposing. It is half the density of what 17 cars, loading, driveways in their proper place, 18 we're proposing, and the height is met. This 18 and make sure that those front yards reflect 19 is where the 14-story apartment building is 19 the open landscape feel that's currently in the 20 located, and this is a photo of the two 20 North Ponce area. 21 buildings that were built in this location. 21 So there has been ongoing discussion on how 22 And then Block 12 is the third block that 22 this Zoning Code Text Amendment might evolve, and, again, you know, there was a presentation 23 we were originally proposing. It is a vacant 23 24 lot. It is a site that is, you know, ready for 24 earlier tonight about Workforce Housing. This 25 re-development, that could really, you know, 25 could be an opportunity to incorporate

Page 121 Page 123 1 1 Workforce Housing or perhaps more open space CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. 2 2 requirements, and we certainly look forward to MR. COLLER: That's correct. It's really 3 3 your input on that. more just to make it absolutely clear. 4 We did the Comprehensive Plan Findings of 4 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. 5 Fact. We found that these comply. We found 5 MR. COLLER: Because, you know, lawyers 6 6 that the Zoning Code Findings of Fact comply, will look at the same language and come up with 7 and we do recommend approval. 7 different opinions. 8 8 Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: At this point, we'll 9 9 MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. open up the public hearing for public comment. 10 10 MR. BELLIN: I'd like a clarification. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. 11 MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, one sort of 11 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okav. 12 tweak to the language would be to clarify with 12 MR. BELLIN: My concern always was that if 13 the Site Specifics that if you develop -- if 13 the Site Specifics went away, the small lots 14 you don't develop -- if you develop in 14 would then lose their development rights. So 15 accordance with the underlying Zoning and not 15 why don't we just leave the Site Specifics the 16 take advantage of the Overlay, that you would 16 way they are? 17 keep the Site Specifics, whereas if you chose 17 MR. COLLER: Well, I think that's what the 18 to develop in the Overlay, only under those 18 proposal is, is that if you were to utilize the 19 circumstances would you lose the opportunity to 19 Overlay District benefits, only under those 20 utilize the Site Specifics. So it would be an 20 circumstances would the Site Specifics not be 21 optional --21 there. If you choose to just develop in 22 22 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Right. But I think -accordance with the underlying regulations, you 23 MR. COLLER: And so we have some suggestive 23 get the Site Specifics. 24 language that we'll propose to amend, to make 24 MR. TRIAS: Yes. That's the answer. We 25 25 sure that that's clear. are doing exactly what you're saying. We're Page 122 Page 124 1 1 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. I thought I leaving them in place, and if you want to do 2 2 the MXD, you can, also. recall reading something to that effect in the 3 3 MR. BELLIN: Okay. Okay. language in our packet. 4 4 MR. COLLER: Right. Well --CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. The 5 5 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That said, if you public --6 6 MR. WU: Mr. Chair, should we get Board utilize the Infill, then it negates the Site 7 7 Member Rodriguez before we lose him? Specifics. 8 8 MR. COLLER: Right. I think the concern CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I'm sorry? 9 9 was that it might be interpreted that under any MR. WU: Should we get Board Member 10 circumstances you lost the Site Specifics. 10 Rodriguez's comments before we lose him? 11 That's not the intent. 11 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Frank, do you have 12 12 anything to add before you have to leave? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have nothing to add. 13 MR. COLLER: And it's really the 13 14 applicability language that occurs in two 14 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: The public hearing is 15 places. One is on Page 15, for the Mixed-Use, 15 open. Jill, do we have any cards? 16 and then the residential Overlay is on Page 31, 16 THE SECRETARY: Randall Sousa. 17 17 and you want to address that? MS. MENENDEZ: How many cards do we have? 18 MR. TRIAS: Yeah, Craig. I think the 18 THE SECRETARY: We have three. 19 Chairman is correct. The language is already 19 MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. 20 there in the Mixed-Use. It's just that our 20 MR. SOUZA: Randall Souza, 2920 District 21 21 Avenue, Fairfax, Virginia. I'm here as the attorney was suggesting to clarify, to make it 22 very, very clear, that that was the intent. 22 officer and owner-operator of 115 Calabria. I 23 23 just want to put forth my support for the MR. COLLER: Yes. 24 24 inclusion of Block 3 and 8 as part of the MR. TRIAS: But it is already in place, and 25 we don't intend to change it. 25 Infill District, the request that was made.

Page 127 Page 125 1 As a member of that community and the owner 1 points into the City of Coral Gables from the 2 2 and operator there for many years, I'm City of Miami. It went straight through the 3 concerned with the development of that property 3 Douglas Entrance Office Complex, and connected 4 over there in the Gables District, the Douglas 4 with Eighth Street. 5 Entrance, and I just ask this Board to take 5 It's a wide street. It's been a prominent 6 their time and have forward -- you know, engage 6 entryway to the City historically. 7 in forward thinking, as far as what the 7 Historically its Zoning has not been the same 8 8 development is going to be. I'm concerned as the lower scale Zoning on the surrounding 9 about -- that the Inlay District only applies 9 side streets, residential side streets. I have to certain properties, and it's a Site 10 10 my usual maps, historic maps, and, you know, 11 Specific, rather than legislative, especially 11 historic information that I could show to you, 12 the property entering Galiano and Ponce. if you'd like, but for much of its history, 12 13 So just -- that's all. I'm just trying to 13 there's been no limit on FAR or density, and 14 get a bearing on what's going on, and I 14 its height has been somewhere between a hundred 15 appreciate the information today. Thank you. 15 and a hundred and fifty feet in permitted 16 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. 16 height. 17 THE SECRETARY: Mario Garcia-Serra. 17 The Residential Infill District that is 18 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Good evening, Mr. Chair, 18 proposed for East Ponce is not an afterthought 19 19 Members of the Board. Mario Garcia-Serra, with of the study effort. If you look at the 20 offices at 600 Brickell Avenue, representing 20 original North Ponce Vision study that was done 21 Alliance Starlight, LLC. They're the owner of 21 after the Charrette by Chuck Bohl, you'll see 22 the 40,000 square foot site at 100 Calabria. 22 that there's a whole section, in that North 23 It's the vacant site that you saw in Megan's 23 Ponce study, that talks about the East Ponce 24 presentation, at the corner of Calabria and 24 corridor, and even singles out my client's 25 East Ponce. 25 property, at 100 Calabria, as a site that's Page 126 Page 128 1 1 That site has been vacant for over fifteen suitable for re-development. It's been included in previous iterations 2 2 years, and I think that speaks for itself. 3 Despite the upward swings in real estate during 3 of the North Ponce study. Its name has 4 that time, the entitlements on this property 4 changed, and that might have caused some of the 5 5 have not been sufficient to motivate confusion. It started being called the Ponce 6 6 Infill District, I think, maybe about two redevelopment, and I think we can all agree 7 that the North Ponce is an area where we need 7 meetings ago of this Board, but as Mr. Behar 8 8 to preserve certain aspects of the garden style was mentioning, if you look at the maps 9 9 sort of neighborhood and apartments, and that historically, and here's one of them from 10 10 scale, which is unique, and something that August of 2016, you'll see that not only is 11 characterizes and is agreeable, but that 11 East Ponce included, but even the properties to 12 there's other areas, especially along Ponce, 12 the north, which are the subject of some 13 along East Ponce, which are vacant, 13 consideration as to whether the --14 underutilized, and areas that could be 14 MS. MENENDEZ: Can I see that, please? 15 developed to address some of the needs that the 15 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. 16 City has, such as was prominently mentioned 16 MR. BEHAR: By the way, we had those. 17 previously, the issue of Workforce Housing and 17 MS. MENENDEZ: Yeah, I know. I just have 18 housing affordability overall. 18 to be reminded. Thank you. Yeah. 19 And what do I think has happened in East 19 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Those properties to the 20 20 Ponce? I think, as was alluded to in the Staff north of the previous speaker, were included at 21 recommendation and the Staff presentation, we 21 that point in time in what was being called 22 have sort of strayed from the Historic plan for 22 uniformly the Ponce Mixed-Use Corridor. What 23 East Ponce. East Ponce de Leon Boulevard, at 23 has happened is that East Ponce is given sort 24 24 one point, was the principal entry point from of additional special treatment, limiting exactly how much density and floor area could 25 the City of Miami or one of the principal entry 25

Page 129 Page 131 1 1 my presence here was to request a clarification be based there. 2 2 regarding the specific -- Site Specifics for You're more limited on East Ponce than you 3 3 those properties that are smaller than 20,000 would be along Ponce, and, in particular, 4 addressing sort of the street frontage issues 4 square feet. 5 5 The fact that Staff has proposed the and also excluding any commercial use along 6 6 East Ponce, because, again, East Ponce is sort tweaking of the language is a testament to the 7 7 of the transition from main Ponce to the quality of the employees that the City has. So 8 8 I'm very thankful for that. And, also, I want residential communities to the east, and so I 9 9 to thank you for your time and dedication to think it was decided that having a potential 10 10 service, which is never enough emphasized. non-residential component wouldn't be welcomed. 11 11 So along that area, you would not be able to Thank you so much. 12 MR. GRABIEL: Thank you. 12 have a non-commercial component. 13 13 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Any others? You know, I think I can sum up by basically 14 14 saying, wouldn't it be great, as we were MR. WU: Mr. Chair, before you, we have 15 discussing earlier, more people who worked in 15 three communications, just for the record. Two 16 Douglas Entrance, lived close to Douglas 16 are from Mr. Larry Rifkin and an e-mail from 17 Entrance, and could potentially walk out their 17 Ms. Linda Baron. 18 front door and walk to work, as opposed to 18 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Right. And those were 19 19 getting into the car and having the rush hour at our chairs tonight. 20 20 craziness that we have in the mornings and the MR. WU: Yes. 21 21 evenings all over Dade County, but including in CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We'll close the 22 22 this area, in particular. public hearing. And comments and discussion 2.3 23 from Board Members. So that's sort of the intent. It really 24 24 MR. BEHAR: Ladies. was a City initiated planning effort to try to 25 25 include Mixed-Use, to try to see re-development MS. MENENDEZ: Thank you. Page 130 Page 132 1 1 where it's warranted, to try to have I just had a suggestion. Should we --2 2 obviously these are two different areas that preservation where it's warranted. We were 3 3 we're looking at. We're looking at the Ponce involved, as property owners, of course, 4 4 corridor and then we're looking at this Infill because we have an interest in it. 5 5 concept. Could we separate them, perhaps? And, you know, were we potentially pushing 6 6 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Of course. for more and wanted to see more as part of this 7 7 change in Zoning? Yes, but what's being MS. MENENDEZ: And then deal with one and 8 8 proposed, is it acceptable, is it a step in the 9 9 right direction, is it something that we think CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Uh-huh. Okay. 10 10 MS. MENENDEZ: Maybe that would help us get can encourage the sort of development, in 11 certain cases, and preservation in other cases, 11 to the point where we need to. 12 12 which is warranted, we do think so. So we CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want to just take 13 13 them in the order that we have it, and go with would encourage you to move forward with this 14 item tonight, so it can move forward to the 14 the Mixed-Use --15 City Commission. Thank you very much. 15 MR. TRIAS: They're separate items, so 16 16 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. they're already separated. 17 THE SECRETARY: Oscar Herrera. 17 MS. MENENDEZ: Right. Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want to go with the 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have to leave. 18 19 19 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thanks for being here. Mixed-Use first? 20 20 MR. HERRERA: Good evening, Board Members. MS. MENENDEZ: Right, which is the Ponce 21 21 My name is Oscar Herrera. I'm here corridor, right? 22 22 representing the property located at 105 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Correct. 23 Calabria, as owner of the same. 23 MS. MENENDEZ: I don't have comments at 24 24 I'm here to support the petition that is this time, but I just wanted to separate them, 25 25 proposed for Blocks 8 and 3, and the reason for or ask the question whether we, in fact, could

	Page 133		Page 135
1	separate them, and the answer is, yes.	1	pedestrian passage will be five to ten feet
2	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yeah.	2	wide, with a paved pedestrian path. Do we
3	On that, a question for Staff. On the	3	define, paved, or are we just talking some sort
4	ground floor building frontage, it looks like	4	of hard surface there?
5	we've taken the bullet points are from the	5	MR. MCLAUGHLIN: We don't define it. We
6	Giralda Overlay, I think. They seem very	6	can, if you
7	similar.	7	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. No, I just don't
8	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes, they are.	8	know that pavement is always the best design
9	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: And I remember, one of	9	feature, and I didn't want to get locked into
10	these says, the shopfront window sill height	10	putting blacktop down.
11	shall be a maximum of two feet. Did we change	11	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Okay. Yes, Ramon, was
12	that on Giralda based on some comments from the	12	just clarifying that there's other provisions
13	architects, max of two feet?	13	in the Code that deal with that.
14	MR. GRABIEL: No. I was leading	14	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay.
15	MR. BEHAR: The windowsill.	15	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: The vision was that it
16			
17	MR. GRABIEL: Yeah.	16	would be a sidewalk, you know, some sort of sidewalk.
18	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Shopfront window sill	17	
	height above the sidewalk elevation shall be a	18	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Like a hard surface.
19	maximum of two feet here. I think these mimic	19	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes, a hard surface.
20	Giralda, and I thought maybe we changed the	20	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Sure. Okay. I think
21	Giralda one to make it a max of three feet,	21	that's all I have on the MXD.
22	from memory.	22	MR. BEHAR: I have a question on that. On
23	MR. GRABIEL: The idea was that it	23	Ponce de Leon, every block that I see, from
24	previously was too high, so it does not allow	24	Eight Street all of the way to Navarre,
25	for views into the shop.	25	fronting Ponce de Leon, you have Commercial
	Page 134		Page 136
1	MS MCI AUGHI IN. Dight. It was three fact		
	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Right. It was three feet.	1	High-Rise, except Block 24.
2	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay.	1 2	High-Rise, except Block 24. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which
2 3			•
	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay.	2	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which
3	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more	2 3	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at?
3 4	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a	2 3 4	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry?
3 4 5	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store.	2 3 4 5	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this?
3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So these mimic	2 3 4 5 6	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this? MR. BEHAR: On the Land Use Map, right, you have every block fronting Ponce de Leon, again,
3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So these mimic what ended up on the Giralda?	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this? MR. BEHAR: On the Land Use Map, right, you
3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So these mimic what ended up on the Giralda? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this? MR. BEHAR: On the Land Use Map, right, you have every block fronting Ponce de Leon, again, it seems to me that you have there is a
3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So these mimic what ended up on the Giralda? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 14,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this? MR. BEHAR: On the Land Use Map, right, you have every block fronting Ponce de Leon, again, it seems to me that you have there is a Commercial High-Rise designation every block
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So these mimic what ended up on the Giralda? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 14, setbacks and step backs, so the additions for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this? MR. BEHAR: On the Land Use Map, right, you have every block fronting Ponce de Leon, again, it seems to me that you have there is a Commercial High-Rise designation every block fronting Ponce de Leon, except Block 24.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So these mimic what ended up on the Giralda? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 14, setbacks and step backs, so the additions for the North Ponce MXD, are those all setbacks,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this? MR. BEHAR: On the Land Use Map, right, you have every block fronting Ponce de Leon, again, it seems to me that you have there is a Commercial High-Rise designation every block fronting Ponce de Leon, except Block 24. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Correct.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So these mimic what ended up on the Giralda? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 14, setbacks and step backs, so the additions for the North Ponce MXD, are those all setbacks, Megan, or are they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this? MR. BEHAR: On the Land Use Map, right, you have every block fronting Ponce de Leon, again, it seems to me that you have there is a Commercial High-Rise designation every block fronting Ponce de Leon, except Block 24. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Why does that block not have
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So these mimic what ended up on the Giralda? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 14, setbacks and step backs, so the additions for the North Ponce MXD, are those all setbacks, Megan, or are they MS. MCLAUGHLIN: It's both. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Can I just suggest that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this? MR. BEHAR: On the Land Use Map, right, you have every block fronting Ponce de Leon, again, it seems to me that you have there is a Commercial High-Rise designation every block fronting Ponce de Leon, except Block 24. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Why does that block not have the same High-Rise designation on the frontage to Ponce de Leon?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So these mimic what ended up on the Giralda? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 14, setbacks and step backs, so the additions for the North Ponce MXD, are those all setbacks, Megan, or are they MS. MCLAUGHLIN: It's both.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this? MR. BEHAR: On the Land Use Map, right, you have every block fronting Ponce de Leon, again, it seems to me that you have there is a Commercial High-Rise designation every block fronting Ponce de Leon, except Block 24. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Why does that block not have the same High-Rise designation on the frontage to Ponce de Leon? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: This is the existing Land
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So these mimic what ended up on the Giralda? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 14, setbacks and step backs, so the additions for the North Ponce MXD, are those all setbacks, Megan, or are they MS. MCLAUGHLIN: It's both. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Can I just suggest that for people reading it in the future, the bullet points just seem to be all as if they were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this? MR. BEHAR: On the Land Use Map, right, you have every block fronting Ponce de Leon, again, it seems to me that you have there is a Commercial High-Rise designation every block fronting Ponce de Leon, except Block 24. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Why does that block not have the same High-Rise designation on the frontage to Ponce de Leon? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: This is the existing Land Use Map. So we have not changed the underlying
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So these mimic what ended up on the Giralda? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 14, setbacks and step backs, so the additions for the North Ponce MXD, are those all setbacks, Megan, or are they MS. MCLAUGHLIN: It's both. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Can I just suggest that for people reading it in the future, the bullet	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this? MR. BEHAR: On the Land Use Map, right, you have every block fronting Ponce de Leon, again, it seems to me that you have there is a Commercial High-Rise designation every block fronting Ponce de Leon, except Block 24. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Why does that block not have the same High-Rise designation on the frontage to Ponce de Leon? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: This is the existing Land Use Map. So we have not changed the underlying Land Uses.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So these mimic what ended up on the Giralda? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 14, setbacks and step backs, so the additions for the North Ponce MXD, are those all setbacks, Megan, or are they MS. MCLAUGHLIN: It's both. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Can I just suggest that for people reading it in the future, the bullet points just seem to be all as if they were setbacks, but no step backs, and I wasn't sure which was which here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this? MR. BEHAR: On the Land Use Map, right, you have every block fronting Ponce de Leon, again, it seems to me that you have there is a Commercial High-Rise designation every block fronting Ponce de Leon, except Block 24. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Why does that block not have the same High-Rise designation on the frontage to Ponce de Leon? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: This is the existing Land Use Map. So we have not changed the underlying Land Uses. MR. BEHAR: Okay, fine, but if you're
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So these mimic what ended up on the Giralda? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 14, setbacks and step backs, so the additions for the North Ponce MXD, are those all setbacks, Megan, or are they MS. MCLAUGHLIN: It's both. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Can I just suggest that for people reading it in the future, the bullet points just seem to be all as if they were setbacks, but no step backs, and I wasn't sure which was which here. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: We could look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this? MR. BEHAR: On the Land Use Map, right, you have every block fronting Ponce de Leon, again, it seems to me that you have there is a Commercial High-Rise designation every block fronting Ponce de Leon, except Block 24. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Why does that block not have the same High-Rise designation on the frontage to Ponce de Leon? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: This is the existing Land Use Map. So we have not changed the underlying Land Uses. MR. BEHAR: Okay, fine, but if you're saying that anything within this highlighted
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So these mimic what ended up on the Giralda? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 14, setbacks and step backs, so the additions for the North Ponce MXD, are those all setbacks, Megan, or are they MS. MCLAUGHLIN: It's both. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Can I just suggest that for people reading it in the future, the bullet points just seem to be all as if they were setbacks, but no step backs, and I wasn't sure which was which here. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: We could look at separating them, to make it clear.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this? MR. BEHAR: On the Land Use Map, right, you have every block fronting Ponce de Leon, again, it seems to me that you have there is a Commercial High-Rise designation every block fronting Ponce de Leon, except Block 24. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Why does that block not have the same High-Rise designation on the frontage to Ponce de Leon? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: This is the existing Land Use Map. So we have not changed the underlying Land Uses. MR. BEHAR: Okay, fine, but if you're saying that anything within this highlighted area is going to have the opportunity to use an
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So these mimic what ended up on the Giralda? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 14, setbacks and step backs, so the additions for the North Ponce MXD, are those all setbacks, Megan, or are they MS. MCLAUGHLIN: It's both. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Can I just suggest that for people reading it in the future, the bullet points just seem to be all as if they were setbacks, but no step backs, and I wasn't sure which was which here. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: We could look at separating them, to make it clear. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 16,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this? MR. BEHAR: On the Land Use Map, right, you have every block fronting Ponce de Leon, again, it seems to me that you have there is a Commercial High-Rise designation every block fronting Ponce de Leon, except Block 24. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Why does that block not have the same High-Rise designation on the frontage to Ponce de Leon? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: This is the existing Land Use Map. So we have not changed the underlying Land Uses. MR. BEHAR: Okay, fine, but if you're saying that anything within this highlighted area is going to have the opportunity to use an MXD, is there a conflict there now? Because
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So these mimic what ended up on the Giralda? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 14, setbacks and step backs, so the additions for the North Ponce MXD, are those all setbacks, Megan, or are they MS. MCLAUGHLIN: It's both. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Can I just suggest that for people reading it in the future, the bullet points just seem to be all as if they were setbacks, but no step backs, and I wasn't sure which was which here. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: We could look at separating them, to make it clear. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 16, for pedestrian pass throughs, and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this? MR. BEHAR: On the Land Use Map, right, you have every block fronting Ponce de Leon, again, it seems to me that you have there is a Commercial High-Rise designation every block fronting Ponce de Leon, except Block 24. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Why does that block not have the same High-Rise designation on the frontage to Ponce de Leon? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: This is the existing Land Use Map. So we have not changed the underlying Land Uses. MR. BEHAR: Okay, fine, but if you're saying that anything within this highlighted area is going to have the opportunity to use an MXD, is there a conflict there now? Because you cannot the Land Use Map says you don't
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So these mimic what ended up on the Giralda? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 14, setbacks and step backs, so the additions for the North Ponce MXD, are those all setbacks, Megan, or are they MS. MCLAUGHLIN: It's both. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Can I just suggest that for people reading it in the future, the bullet points just seem to be all as if they were setbacks, but no step backs, and I wasn't sure which was which here. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: We could look at separating them, to make it clear. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 16, for pedestrian pass throughs, and the landscaped pedestrian passages, it starts on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this? MR. BEHAR: On the Land Use Map, right, you have every block fronting Ponce de Leon, again, it seems to me that you have there is a Commercial High-Rise designation every block fronting Ponce de Leon, except Block 24. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Why does that block not have the same High-Rise designation on the frontage to Ponce de Leon? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: This is the existing Land Use Map. So we have not changed the underlying Land Uses. MR. BEHAR: Okay, fine, but if you're saying that anything within this highlighted area is going to have the opportunity to use an MXD, is there a conflict there now? Because you cannot the Land Use Map says you don't have the 150 feet, you know, Commercial
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: So by dropping it no more than 24 inches, it will allow you to give a good view into the store. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So these mimic what ended up on the Giralda? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 14, setbacks and step backs, so the additions for the North Ponce MXD, are those all setbacks, Megan, or are they MS. MCLAUGHLIN: It's both. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Can I just suggest that for people reading it in the future, the bullet points just seem to be all as if they were setbacks, but no step backs, and I wasn't sure which was which here. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: We could look at separating them, to make it clear. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. On Number 16, for pedestrian pass throughs, and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you tell me which page you're looking at? MR. BEHAR: I'm sorry? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Which page is this? MR. BEHAR: On the Land Use Map, right, you have every block fronting Ponce de Leon, again, it seems to me that you have there is a Commercial High-Rise designation every block fronting Ponce de Leon, except Block 24. MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Why does that block not have the same High-Rise designation on the frontage to Ponce de Leon? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: This is the existing Land Use Map. So we have not changed the underlying Land Uses. MR. BEHAR: Okay, fine, but if you're saying that anything within this highlighted area is going to have the opportunity to use an MXD, is there a conflict there now? Because you cannot the Land Use Map says you don't

Page 137 Page 139 1 1 analysis, and they come back to us and say, MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes, we should study that 2 2 "You know what, this really needs to be further. 3 3 changed," then definitely we should consider MR. BEHAR: I think you need to, yes, study it. But just like that, to up-Zone 4 that and make the appropriate correction. And, 4 5 Ramon, you may want to maybe clarify my point, 5 something --6 6 but if that's the case, that frontage of that MR. BEHAR: But it goes -- I mean, you have 7 7 block should also reflect the Commercial this all --8 8 MS. MENENDEZ: But it's different. It's High-Rise: isn't that correct? 9 9 MR. TRIAS: That is the only block not exactly like the other blocks. It's on 10 10 East Ponce, which is another corridor. I mean, frontage, and I don't know why it's like that. 11 That's the existing condition, yes. 11 I know what you're saying, but it's really not 12 MR. BEHAR: But we should -- now that we're the same. That's probably why it's Zoned the 12 13 doing this, we should correct that. 13 way it's Zoned. Not to say that it shouldn't 14 MR. TRIAS: And that could be a 14 in the future, when a project is before us, but 15 recommendation from the Planning and Zoning 15 just to -- again, if they do the analysis and 16 Board, that the Land Use should be consistent 16 their analysis and recommendation is something 17 with the rest of the corridor. 17 we should consider, but just to up-Zone that, 18 MR. BEHAR: Consistent. 18 like that, at this --19 19 MR. BEHAR: It's not to up-Zone, Maria. MR. TRIAS: We did not make any 20 recommendations for Land Use as part of this 20 It's to keep it consistent. 21 process, but, certainly, you can. 21 MS. MENENDEZ: But I don't see it the way 22 22 MR. BEHAR: Okay. you see it, as far as being along Ponce. I 23 23 think it's off of Ponce. It's along East MS. MENENDEZ: But just like that? I 24 24 Ponce. Now, if in the future they were to come mean --25 25 MR. BEHAR: Well, because when you look at in and request the City to vacate the street Page 138 Page 140 1 1 it, Maria, every block -and face Ponce, then that's different, but it's 2 2 MS. MENENDEZ: No, I understand that, but not the same, from my perspective, but I'm just 3 that's off of Ponce. That's not facing Ponce, 3 one Board Member. 4 4 per se. That frontage is East Ponce, which is MR. GRABIEL: No, I agree with Maria. I 5 5 think that's -- that East Ponce Boulevard used a different --6 6 MR. BEHAR: Yeah, but I think that to be, as mentioned, the main entrance to the 7 essentially when you look at the block and 7 City from Calle Ocho, and I'm old enough to 8 8 where that goes, to me, that -- yeah, remember that opening to Southwest Eighth 9 9 technically --Street, and coming in through there. 10 10 But, still, historically, it was the main MS. MENENDEZ: I mean, at the end of the day, if they were to come before us and they 11 11 entrance, and I think that block -- I could 12 12 argue either way, but I'd prefer, also, to hold have a project that meets -- I mean, I don't 13 13 want to speak right now about it, but, I mean, on that, and do it. 14 it has merits, but just -- I'm not a proponent 14 I have a couple of other questions for 15 of just up-Zoning without really having it 15 Staff. We talked about it, we touched on it 16 studied. 16 last meeting, that the line, in some places, 17 17 falls and splits a building. One part of a MR. TRIAS: I would agree with Ms. 18 Menendez, in the sense that we don't need to 18 building is in one Zoning and the other part of 19 overthink this. You have a future possibility 19 the building -- what happens with the owner of 20 20 of proposing something. that property? How does he deal with the use 21 21 MS. MENENDEZ: Right. I mean -of his land? 22 MR. BEHAR: But then what's the purpose of 22 MR. TRIAS: That was certainly not the 23 23 intent. So I would describe that as an error. this --24 24 MS. MENENDEZ: If they study it -- let's Do you know of any --25 say, if Staff studies it, like they did the 25 MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Well, we've done a couple

Page 141 Page 143 1 1 the good things that we've been talking about. of different iterations of the boundary. We 2 2 did do a version that looked at every building Are we doing that, and with these changes, is 3 3 and every property -- you know, multiple that achievable? 4 properties that might be under one owner, and 4 MR. TRIAS: If you want to recommend more 5 the boundary was so wiggly that it really 5 precise guidelines, we certainly can take that 6 didn't achieve what we're trying to do, in 6 to the Commission. Right now we don't have 7 terms of urban design. 7 that, because that's not the way that the Code 8 8 MR. TRIAS: Mr. Grabiel, do you know the is designed. 9 9 specific building? But, certainly, that's a very good comment. 10 10 MR. GRABIEL: Yeah. I mean, I'm looking at MR. GRABIEL: How could we do that? 11 one in Calabria and I'm looking at another one 11 MR. TRIAS: Just through the discussion. I 12 in Antiquera and in Santillane, where the line 12 mean, the purpose of the meeting today is to 13 is actually going through the buildings. So 13 inform the Commission of ideas and concerns. 14 there's three buildings there, that a portion 14 So I will forward your comments, certainly. I 15 of the building falls on --15 mean, for my purposes, that's enough. If you 16 MR. TRIAS: Are you looking at the aerial? 16 want to have some other members --17 MR. GRABIEL: I'm looking at the building 17 MR. GRABIEL: Do you guys --18 18 MS. MENENDEZ: What's that? I'm sorry. footprint map. 19 19 MR. GRABIEL: What I'm saying is that, East MR. TRIAS: Yeah. I don't think those maps 20 are as accurate as the Zoning and the Land Use 20 Ponce, there's opportunities for -- actually, a 21 21 Maps -portion of Galiano, but I'm just talking about 22 22 MR. GRABIEL: Okay. these two blocks in here, that could create a 23 23 MR. TRIAS: -- but we should certainly very strong urban edge, including, eventually, 24 24 even a motel that's there, the hotel that is verify it. 25 25 MR. GRABIEL: I think you should take a there, where you would have a good urban edge, Page 144 Page 142 1 1 look at it, because it makes it difficult for that goes all of the way to Douglas Entrance. 2 2 the owner of that land to --MS. MENENDEZ: But are you talking about 3 3 MR. TRIAS: Yeah, but I don't believe that for the Infill? You're talking about for the 4 4 Infill? they're that accurate. I think that may be a 5 5 mistake in the drafting of the map. MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes. 6 6 MR. GRABIEL: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: Yeah. 7 7 MR. TRIAS: The ones that are accurate are MS. MENENDEZ: Yeah. 8 8 the Land Use and the Zoning Map. MR. GRABIEL: And I would like to have at 9 9 MR. GRABIEL: Okay. But let's assume that least the opportunity for clarification so any 10 10 one of them is accurate, how would you address developer coming in here will -- right now East 11 it, just go around those buildings? If the 11 Ponce is the back of some of these blocks, you 12 12 building is partially in one side -know. 13 MR. TRIAS: No. we intend to have the whole 13 MR. TRIAS: Right. 14 building in one side or the other. 14 MR. GRABIEL: And what I would like to do 15 15 MR. GRABIEL: Okay. Okay. Thank you. is make that a strong frontage on East Ponce. 16 That's one question. 16 MR. TRIAS: That was partially the thinking 17 17 The other question is, I think the part of of having the Residential Infill District, 18 East Ponce that runs all of the way through --18 okav. 19 crossing Galiano and to Douglas Entrance, has 19 MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Since you're 20 the possibility of being a very strong and nice 20 mentioning the Infill, my concern about what's 21 21 urban street, if the facade of those buildings being proposed for the Infill is that I don't 22 that could be developed there follow a strong 22 think it is complete, and I think you're 23 hitting on it. There's a lot of different 23 pattern, meaning the setback is fixed for all 24 24 of those buildings, there's a cornice line, things that could be done to enhance that area 25 there's a use of arcades at the bottom, all of 25 or to get something for what's being proffered,

Page 145 Page 147 1 1 and those types of things is what has to be going to use -- because I did the analysis. 2 2 included in an overall view of the area. You Today, the way you have it, at 75 units per 3 3 see what I'm saying? acre, on a lot that is 20,000 square foot, 4 MR. GRABIEL: No. No. I agree. And 4 okay, not a huge lot, not a small lot, your 5 5 unit size can range between 1,200 and 1,300 then --6 6 MS. MENENDEZ: Instead of just outright square feet. 7 providing some more density, it needs to be 7 If you increase that or if want to achieve 8 8 looked at much more. an average of 750 to 800, the density would go 9 9 MR. BEHAR: You're right. up to 125 units per acre. So I think really we 10 MR. TRIAS: Ms. Menendez, if I could add. 10 need to consider, if you want to promote the 11 We have had that discussion with our Workforce 11 Workforce Housing, just by simply the FAR 12 you're proposing, that density has to increase. Housing consultant --12 13 13 MS. MENENDEZ: But even more than the MS. MENENDEZ: That's another component 14 14 Workforce Housing is what Julio was saying, here. 15 15 those amenities that you want to enhance a MR. TRIAS: And we believe -- yes. 16 16 particular -- you know, the entrance, I think MS. MENENDEZ: That's another component. 17 And so right now I don't think it's ready, 17 that's important. It's got to be all part of 18 because if you were, in fact, looking at 18 19 affordable housing seriously, then there might 19 MR. GRABIEL: I mean, continuous sidewalks. 20 be some incentives provided that could work 20 the sidewalks are going to be wider --21 into this whole Infill area. 21 MS. MENENDEZ: Right. Continuous 22 22 MR. TRIAS: That is the discussion that we pedestrian amenities, parks, open spaces for 23 23 had today, so we agree. MR. BEHAR: Yeah, and you're not really 24 24 MR. BEHAR: And that's similar to the MXD 25 25 addressing -- the way you present this -- and I provision that they're suggesting, that you Page 146 Page 148 1 1 agree, the way this is being presented, this is could do that, in order to promote that --2 2 not really promoting -- I don't want to say, MS. MENENDEZ: Right. 3 affordable -- Workforce Housing, because the 3 MR. BEHAR: -- you know. I agree, I think 4 way you have it, when you do the analysis, 4 you want to encourage that. I think you want 5 5 those units are big units. They're not to have a beautiful streetscape. 6 6 conducive to have more affordability in that MR. TRIAS: And that's being done. It's 7 7 area. just not being done through Zoning. It's being 8 8 MS. MENENDEZ: Right. So I think that you down through the projects that are built within 9 9 are on the right track, but if you are, in the right-of-way, but, you know, we could tie 10 fact, looking at Workforce Housing, then that 10 it to the Zoning Code more clearly. I mean, we 11 component needs to be part of that infill 11 do have a plan to enhance the sidewalks and the 12 12 landscape, that is separate from the Zoning discussion. It can't be separate. You can't 13 move -- in my opinion, you can't move forward 13 Code. 14 on the infill and then turn around and have 14 MR. GRABIEL: In this area? 15 this studied, and then the study says, "Hey, 15 MR. TRIAS: In this area, yes. But maybe 16 this is an ideal area to have this." 16 we need to tie it together, so it's clear. 17 17 MS. MENENDEZ: Well, you do have You know, you have to kind of like work it 18 in as an incentive for developers to, in fact, 18 landscaping mentioned here and you have certain 19 provide for the Workforce Housing. 19 things. 20 20 MR. TRIAS: Yeah. MR. TRIAS: Yes. 21 21 MR. BEHAR: And one tool to do that is to MS. MENENDEZ: But I think he's looking at 22 allow your density to go higher in order to be 22 it more in detail. 23 23 able to reduce the square footage. MR. TRIAS: Well, the public space, the 24 24 MS. MENENDEZ: Right. Exactly. full section of the street includes the 25 MR. BEHAR: You know, for example, and I'm 25 right-of-way, and that is not covered by the

Page 151 Page 149 1 Zoning Code. So we need to explain that we're 1 public space along that plaza that is focused 2 2 also dealing with that with the proper tools. on Ponce de Leon, all around that existing 3 MR. GRABIEL: Yeah. 3 plaza, or do we have Ponce de Leon and East 4 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. So back on 4 Ponce at a fork in the road? I mean, those are 5 that MXD stuff. Any other questions or 5 significant --6 6 comments on the MXD? MS. MENENDEZ: Or maybe doing something 7 Can I just ask why, going back to Block 24, 7 special right there because of that. I mean, I does the boundary go so far east on that block? 8 8 know that that plaza is being re-developed as 9 part of another project, right? MS. MCLAUGHLIN: That was also because the 9 10 10 block pulls away from Ponce. We were trying to MR. TRIAS: Yes. The landscape has been 11 11 get a minimum depth, and that's why it appears enhanced and so on, and I think we're really 12 to look deeper into the block. 12 going beyond planning now, into urban design 13 MR. TRIAS: There is no hard science to 13 and architecture. I mean, there's a point in 14 this line, and I wish I could do what Mr. Behar 14 which the tools that we have for Zoning don't 15 had proposed, which is, you know, a perfectly 15 allow us to do the detailed plan that you're 16 geometrical design, but when you have an 16 talking about. We're really talking more in 17 existing condition as complex as this, we can 17 terms of design, in terms of the actual 18 do the best we can. And, then, in addition, 18 projects that could be built. 19 what I would say is that, let's keep our 19 MR. BEHAR: Right. 20 options open, in the sense that it could be 20 MR. TRIAS: I think we can look at it more 21 amended based on a project that is proposed in 21 closely, if Megan works with us for another 22 22 the future. week. 23 23 So I wouldn't want to overthink the MS. MENENDEZ: But I think that sometimes 24 details, because that may interfere with the 24 the reason I think we mention it is because 25 25 big picture goals that we have. sometimes when you're providing for more Page 152 Page 150 1 1 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: No, I appreciate that. density or intensity, you want something in 2 It's just that one block -- I mean, that goes 2 exchange. 3 further east -- I mean, that goes deeper into 3 MR. TRIAS: Absolutely. 4 any block that I think --4 MS. MENENDEZ: You want the City to be able 5 5 to say, we want Workforce Housing or we want MS. MENENDEZ: It seems to be --6 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Maybe other than one or 6 this or we want that, and this is what we're 7 two others, and those are already commercial. 7 going to provide to incentivize that. 8 8 MR. TRIAS: And that's a very good point. MR. TRIAS: There are two public benefits. 9 9 And the question there is, is that really One of them is public space and the other one 10 facing East Ponce or Ponce de Leon? 10 is the Workforce Housing. Those are the two 11 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I would just suggest 11 that we're working on, in this area. Workforce 12 that you take another look at that one. 12 Housing, we have not refined. It's just an 13 MR. TRIAS: Yeah, sure. 13 idea. That is why we have a consultant helping 14 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: And if you cut it back 14 us. The public space, I think we have refined 15 one or two lots heading westward, that may be 15 it more carefully. 16 more appropriate, in my mind. 16 So if you want to proceed with some of the 17 MS. MCLAUGHLIN: And this area might be 17 items, that will be fine. I mean, whatever. 18 more appropriate for the East Ponce Residential 18 It's up to you. But I would encourage you to 19 Infill District, as well. 19 keep moving, because otherwise I think we may 20 20 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. miss some opportunities that are coming up. 21 MS. MCLAUGHLIN: But we'll look at it. 21 MS. MENENDEZ: I'm ready to vote on the 22 MR. BEHAR: I disagree with that. 22 East Ponce corridor, but I'm not ready to vote 23 MR. TRIAS: No, and those are very valid 23 on the Infill District that's being proposed. 24 points, too. Those are the two options. We 24 MR. TRIAS: Okay. The Mixed-Use corridor for Ponce de Leon? 25 need to make a choice. Are we talking about a 25

	Page 153		Page 155
1	MS. MENENDEZ: Right, the one that protects	1	complex, and that might give us a very nice
2	the neighborhoods that are adjacent to the	2	second entrance to the City.
3	Ponce corridor, because that's part of that.	3	MR. TRIAS: Yes. No, that's a really good
4	MR. TRIAS: The Conservation District?	4	point, and that's really it turns the corner
5	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes. That's part of the	5	on Galiano, it goes to Eighth Street, and
6	East Ponce	6	that's a frontage that right now doesn't exist.
7	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: No.	7	MR. GRABIEL: Yeah.
8	MR. TRIAS: No, you already did that.	8	MR. BEHAR: So what you're proposing, to
9	Yeah.	9	the west of East Ponce, from whatever
10	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This is the Mixed-Use	10	Santillane or even the street to the south, all
11	corridor, this is the Transfer of Development	11	of the way to Eighth Street, don't include that
12	Rights, and then this is the Infill District.	12	right now?
13	MS. MENENDEZ: But this has oh, you know	13	MR. GRABIEL: Right.
14	why, because they included the study here.	14	MR. TRIAS: Tonight.
15	That's already been approved.	15	MR. GRABIEL: Tonight.
16	MR. TRIAS: Right. Right.	16	MR. BEHAR: Tonight.
17	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Right. Right.	17	MR. TRIAS: To bring it back to you with
18	MR. TRIAS: No, you already took care of	18	more detail.
19	the the Conservation District is fine.	19	MR. BEHAR: To bring it back.
20	MS. MENENDEZ: Got it. Got it.	20	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. So let's
21	MR. TRIAS: It's going well. Now we're	21	dispose of the Infill District items, which is
22	dealing with Ponce de Leon.	22	13, 14 and 15.
23	MS. MENENDEZ: So now the important is	23	MR. TRIAS: The Mixed-Use District items.
24	what's defining as the MDX; is that it?	24	MR. BEHAR: Can we recommend to Staff, you
25	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. There are two issues,	25	know, what we want there, because I agree with
			,
	Page 154		Page 156
1	Page 154 the Ponce de Leon and the Infill Those are	1	Page 156
1 2	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are	1 2	some of your points?
2	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight.	2	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend.
2 3	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay.	2 3	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points
2 3 4	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on,	2 3 4	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too,
2 3 4 5	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill?	2 3 4 5	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's
2 3 4 5 6	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting	2 3 4	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at,
2 3 4 5 6 7	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting for it tonight, because I think	2 3 4 5 6 7	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at, and base it on size of units, not, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting for it tonight, because I think MR. GRABIEL: I'm not, either.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at, and base it on size of units, not, you know, just a number that came from that study back,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting for it tonight, because I think MR. GRABIEL: I'm not, either. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at, and base it on size of units, not, you know, just a number that came from that study back, you know, ten years ago, fifteen years ago.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting for it tonight, because I think MR. GRABIEL: I'm not, either. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I think there needs to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at, and base it on size of units, not, you know, just a number that came from that study back, you know, ten years ago, fifteen years ago. MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, from the 2002
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting for it tonight, because I think MR. GRABIEL: I'm not, either. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I think there needs to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at, and base it on size of units, not, you know, just a number that came from that study back, you know, ten years ago, fifteen years ago. MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, from the 2002 Charrette.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting for it tonight, because I think MR. GRABIEL: I'm not, either. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I think there needs to have MR. GRABIEL: Because I think that just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at, and base it on size of units, not, you know, just a number that came from that study back, you know, ten years ago, fifteen years ago. MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, from the 2002 Charrette. MR. BEHAR: 2002. I mean, base it on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting for it tonight, because I think MR. GRABIEL: I'm not, either. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I think there needs to have MR. GRABIEL: Because I think that just came up in the last meeting, and I don't think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at, and base it on size of units, not, you know, just a number that came from that study back, you know, ten years ago, fifteen years ago. MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, from the 2002 Charrette. MR. BEHAR: 2002. I mean, base it on what's really the market, because I think that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting for it tonight, because I think MR. GRABIEL: I'm not, either. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I think there needs to have MR. GRABIEL: Because I think that just came up in the last meeting, and I don't think we have looked at it. I mean, we're talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at, and base it on size of units, not, you know, just a number that came from that study back, you know, ten years ago, fifteen years ago. MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, from the 2002 Charrette. MR. BEHAR: 2002. I mean, base it on what's really the market, because I think that when you do the analysis, you're going to find
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting for it tonight, because I think MR. GRABIEL: I'm not, either. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I think there needs to have MR. GRABIEL: Because I think that just came up in the last meeting, and I don't think we have looked at it. I mean, we're talking about entrances. It used to be from Southwest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at, and base it on size of units, not, you know, just a number that came from that study back, you know, ten years ago, fifteen years ago. MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, from the 2002 Charrette. MR. BEHAR: 2002. I mean, base it on what's really the market, because I think that when you do the analysis, you're going to find that a high density is going to be better to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting for it tonight, because I think MR. GRABIEL: I'm not, either. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I think there needs to have MR. GRABIEL: Because I think that just came up in the last meeting, and I don't think we have looked at it. I mean, we're talking about entrances. It used to be from Southwest Eighth Street and Douglas, now if you I used	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at, and base it on size of units, not, you know, just a number that came from that study back, you know, ten years ago, fifteen years ago. MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, from the 2002 Charrette. MR. BEHAR: 2002. I mean, base it on what's really the market, because I think that when you do the analysis, you're going to find that a high density is going to be better to achieve the Workforce Housing and more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting for it tonight, because I think MR. GRABIEL: I'm not, either. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I think there needs to have MR. GRABIEL: Because I think that just came up in the last meeting, and I don't think we have looked at it. I mean, we're talking about entrances. It used to be from Southwest Eighth Street and Douglas, now if you I used to work at Douglas Entrance for decades. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at, and base it on size of units, not, you know, just a number that came from that study back, you know, ten years ago, fifteen years ago. MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, from the 2002 Charrette. MR. BEHAR: 2002. I mean, base it on what's really the market, because I think that when you do the analysis, you're going to find that a high density is going to be better to achieve the Workforce Housing and more affordability in that area.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting for it tonight, because I think MR. GRABIEL: I'm not, either. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I think there needs to have MR. GRABIEL: Because I think that just came up in the last meeting, and I don't think we have looked at it. I mean, we're talking about entrances. It used to be from Southwest Eighth Street and Douglas, now if you I used to work at Douglas Entrance for decades. So what I found out is that the main entrance is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at, and base it on size of units, not, you know, just a number that came from that study back, you know, ten years ago, fifteen years ago. MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, from the 2002 Charrette. MR. BEHAR: 2002. I mean, base it on what's really the market, because I think that when you do the analysis, you're going to find that a high density is going to be better to achieve the Workforce Housing and more affordability in that area. MR. GRABIEL: Maybe reduce parking, since
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting for it tonight, because I think MR. GRABIEL: I'm not, either. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I think there needs to have MR. GRABIEL: Because I think that just came up in the last meeting, and I don't think we have looked at it. I mean, we're talking about entrances. It used to be from Southwest Eighth Street and Douglas, now if you I used to work at Douglas Entrance for decades. So what I found out is that the main entrance is not really Ponce, it's Galiano. People coming	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at, and base it on size of units, not, you know, just a number that came from that study back, you know, ten years ago, fifteen years ago. MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, from the 2002 Charrette. MR. BEHAR: 2002. I mean, base it on what's really the market, because I think that when you do the analysis, you're going to find that a high density is going to be better to achieve the Workforce Housing and more affordability in that area. MR. GRABIEL: Maybe reduce parking, since we're so close to Southwest Eighth Street,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting for it tonight, because I think MR. GRABIEL: I'm not, either. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I think there needs to have MR. GRABIEL: Because I think that just came up in the last meeting, and I don't think we have looked at it. I mean, we're talking about entrances. It used to be from Southwest Eighth Street and Douglas, now if you I used to work at Douglas Entrance for decades. So what I found out is that the main entrance is not really Ponce, it's Galiano. People coming from the east use that traffic light to go down	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at, and base it on size of units, not, you know, just a number that came from that study back, you know, ten years ago, fifteen years ago. MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, from the 2002 Charrette. MR. BEHAR: 2002. I mean, base it on what's really the market, because I think that when you do the analysis, you're going to find that a high density is going to be better to achieve the Workforce Housing and more affordability in that area. MR. GRABIEL: Maybe reduce parking, since we're so close to Southwest Eighth Street, Douglas and Ponce. I mean, there is so much
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting for it tonight, because I think MR. GRABIEL: I'm not, either. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I think there needs to have MR. GRABIEL: Because I think that just came up in the last meeting, and I don't think we have looked at it. I mean, we're talking about entrances. It used to be from Southwest Eighth Street and Douglas, now if you I used to work at Douglas Entrance for decades. So what I found out is that the main entrance is not really Ponce, it's Galiano. People coming from the east use that traffic light to go down Galiano and then East Ponce and into the City.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at, and base it on size of units, not, you know, just a number that came from that study back, you know, ten years ago, fifteen years ago. MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, from the 2002 Charrette. MR. BEHAR: 2002. I mean, base it on what's really the market, because I think that when you do the analysis, you're going to find that a high density is going to be better to achieve the Workforce Housing and more affordability in that area. MR. GRABIEL: Maybe reduce parking, since we're so close to Southwest Eighth Street, Douglas and Ponce. I mean, there is so much MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And what I'll do is, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting for it tonight, because I think MR. GRABIEL: I'm not, either. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I think there needs to have MR. GRABIEL: Because I think that just came up in the last meeting, and I don't think we have looked at it. I mean, we're talking about entrances. It used to be from Southwest Eighth Street and Douglas, now if you I used to work at Douglas Entrance for decades. So what I found out is that the main entrance is not really Ponce, it's Galiano. People coming from the east use that traffic light to go down Galiano and then East Ponce and into the City. So I think, what I talked about on East	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at, and base it on size of units, not, you know, just a number that came from that study back, you know, ten years ago, fifteen years ago. MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, from the 2002 Charrette. MR. BEHAR: 2002. I mean, base it on what's really the market, because I think that when you do the analysis, you're going to find that a high density is going to be better to achieve the Workforce Housing and more affordability in that area. MR. GRABIEL: Maybe reduce parking, since we're so close to Southwest Eighth Street, Douglas and Ponce. I mean, there is so much MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And what I'll do is, I asked the consultant to stay to listen to this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting for it tonight, because I think MR. GRABIEL: I'm not, either. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I think there needs to have MR. GRABIEL: Because I think that just came up in the last meeting, and I don't think we have looked at it. I mean, we're talking about entrances. It used to be from Southwest Eighth Street and Douglas, now if you I used to work at Douglas Entrance for decades. So what I found out is that the main entrance is not really Ponce, it's Galiano. People coming from the east use that traffic light to go down Galiano and then East Ponce and into the City. So I think, what I talked about on East Ponce, is something we might want to look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at, and base it on size of units, not, you know, just a number that came from that study back, you know, ten years ago, fifteen years ago. MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, from the 2002 Charrette. MR. BEHAR: 2002. I mean, base it on what's really the market, because I think that when you do the analysis, you're going to find that a high density is going to be better to achieve the Workforce Housing and more affordability in that area. MR. GRABIEL: Maybe reduce parking, since we're so close to Southwest Eighth Street, Douglas and Ponce. I mean, there is so much MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And what I'll do is, I asked the consultant to stay to listen to this conversation. I'm going to ask him to give us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Ponce de Leon and the Infill. Those are the only two issues tonight. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MR. GRABIEL: And you're saying, hold on, on the Infill? MS. MENENDEZ: I'm not comfortable voting for it tonight, because I think MR. GRABIEL: I'm not, either. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. MS. MENENDEZ: I think there needs to have MR. GRABIEL: Because I think that just came up in the last meeting, and I don't think we have looked at it. I mean, we're talking about entrances. It used to be from Southwest Eighth Street and Douglas, now if you I used to work at Douglas Entrance for decades. So what I found out is that the main entrance is not really Ponce, it's Galiano. People coming from the east use that traffic light to go down Galiano and then East Ponce and into the City. So I think, what I talked about on East	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	some of your points? MR. TRIAS: You should recommend. MR. BEHAR: Okay. I agree with your points of having some public benefit, and yours, too, but I think we really in my opinion, that's an area that your density should be looked at, and base it on size of units, not, you know, just a number that came from that study back, you know, ten years ago, fifteen years ago. MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, from the 2002 Charrette. MR. BEHAR: 2002. I mean, base it on what's really the market, because I think that when you do the analysis, you're going to find that a high density is going to be better to achieve the Workforce Housing and more affordability in that area. MR. GRABIEL: Maybe reduce parking, since we're so close to Southwest Eighth Street, Douglas and Ponce. I mean, there is so much MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And what I'll do is, I asked the consultant to stay to listen to this

Page 157 Page 159 1 any, and hopefully we can bring that back to 1 MR. BEHAR: I'll second it, with the 2 2 you, and then some urban design ideas based on condition that Staff will look at -- you know, 3 3 your comments. what we recommended, look at the density 4 MR. GRABIEL: That would be great. 4 increase, look at the public benefits --5 MR. TRIAS: I think those two changes could 5 MR. GRABIEL: The urbanism. 6 6 MR. BEHAR: Okay. be very helpful. 7 MS. MENENDEZ: This area is much more 7 MS. MENENDEZ: And the urbanism. 8 conducive to that than the previous project we 8 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Craig, can we do all 9 9 looked at, in my opinion. I mean, the previous three as one or do we need to do it in separate 10 project and that whole thought of more density, 10 votes? 11 11 more intensity, just didn't fit, as compared to MR. COLLER: Well, I think you can defer 12 here. Here you have Ponce, you have Eighth 12 13, 14 and 15 as one vote, but I have a 13 Street, you have Douglas Road, you have -- the 13 question for Charles. Which is, are we going 14 14 GRID is there. to announce a time, we're not going to 15 MR. TRIAS: And that's the recommendation 15 readvertise or how --16 16 MR. WU: Well, I think mailers went out for of Staff, also, and we believe that some of the 17 comments that were made did not take into 17 these cases, right? Property owners were 18 account that you are working on all of this 18 notified. So it's advisable that we have a 19 area very, very carefully, to make sure that we 19 time certain, ves. 20 20 have Workforce Housing. MR. COLLER: So as I understand it, we're 21 21 not having a meeting in January; is that MR. BEHAR: And, Mr. Trias, my last 22 22 question to you, you still kept the liner unit correct? fronting the garages. You reduced them to 20 23 23 MR. TRIAS: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, if I could 24 feet, but your proposal is to keep the whole 24 propose the dates. We're proposing the next 25 25 facade. meeting should be February 1st, and then the Page 160 Page 158 1 1 MR. TRIAS: No. No. No. Actually -- why following meeting should be March 15th, and 2 2 that has to do with some of the staffing issues don't you explain it? 3 3 MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes, it is still in there that we have. 4 to keep it on the whole facade. 4 So we can announce that it could be time 5 5 MR. BEHAR: The whole facade? certain or continued to the February 1st 6 6 MS. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes. meeting in 2017. 7 7 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Is there room on the MR. BEHAR: Okay. 8 8 MR. TRIAS: What would be your agenda on February 1st? 9 9 recommendation, sir? MR. TRIAS: Yes, I think so. I think we MR. BEHAR: I think, if you go back to the 10 10 only have one item, right? Right. Yeah. 11 record, I'll be more than happy to go back and 11 MR. COLLER: Since we read them into the 12 read the minutes of previous --12 record tonight, I would say that we don't need 13 MR. TRIAS: Okay. We will revisit that, if 13 to re-read them. 14 you want, before we go to the City Commission 14 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. 15 with it. 15 MR. GRABIEL: Well, I wanted to hear him 16 MR. BEHAR: Please do. 16 sav it. 17 17 MR. TRIAS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Our wonderful court 18 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Somebody 18 reporter thanks you, also. 19 want to move 13, 14 and 15? 19 MR. WU: So, for the record, we have a 20 20 MR. BEHAR: That's a deferral on those? deferral to the February 1st regular --21 21 MR. COLLER: Do we have a motion? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yeah. Sounds like 22 that's what I'm hearing. That's the Infill 22 MR. BEHAR: We have a motion and a second. 23 23 District items. MR. COLLER: I don't think you've had a 24 24 MS. MENENDEZ: I'll move to defer them to 25 25 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: No, we have not. So our next meeting.

	Page 161		Page 163
1	the motion is to defer or continue to February	1	MS. MENENDEZ: You're saying to go like
2	1st, same time, same place. Anybody have	2	this or you're saying to go like this?
3	anything to add?	3	MR. COLLER: Could you describe, for the
4	Jill, call the roll, please.	4	record, what you have just done?
5	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	5	MR. WU: Are you including certain lots?
6	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	6	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yeah. I can tell you.
7	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin?	7	It's Block 16. It's Lots 3 and 4. It's the
8	MR. BELLIN: Yes.	8	two lots that are zoned MF-2, that are
9	THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?	9	currently proposed to be within the Mixed-Use
10	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.	10	District.
11	THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez?	11	MR. WU: You want to take them out?
12	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes.	12	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I just think that
13	THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan?	13	there's an opportunity to have them in the
14	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes.	14	Infill District, assuming that that happens,
15	All right. What we have left are the three	15	and I'd rather add those lots into the
16	items dealing with the Mixed-Use corridor and	16	Mixed-Use in the future if the Infill doesn't
17	then the TDRs.	17	happen, but not put them in the Mixed-Use and
18	MR. WU: That's Item Number 8	18	then have to try and worry about some sort of
19	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: 8, 9, 10, 11	19	property right.
20	MR. WU: And Item Number 12.	20	MR. BEHAR: Is there a reason why those two
21	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: is the MXD.	21	lots are they part owner of whatever you
22	MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion no.	22	know, the lots fronting Ponce?
23	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Before you do, can I	23	MR. TRIAS: I don't think there's any
24	just I'm going to question, it was brought	24	reason. I think it's up to you to recommend
25	up earlier, that Block 16, where the Mixed-Use	25	either way. Both solutions are appropriate
	Page 162		Page 164
1	corridor takes in, I think it's one apartment	1	from a planning point of view.
2	building facing Santillane Avenue	2	But if the idea is to exclude them from the
3	MR. BEHAR: Yeah.	3	Mixed-Use Ponce de Leon Boulevard District, I
4	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I'm wondering if the	4	think that's appropriate.
5	boundary shouldn't just go up straight the	5	MR. WU: Actually, those two lots
6	commercial, and then that may and it may	6	constitute one building.
7	leave it open for consideration as part of the	7	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Right.
8	Infill area.	8	MR. WU: Yeah.
9	MS. MENENDEZ: Which is that?	9	MR. TRIAS: But having said that, I will
10	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This little piece right	10	also encourage you not to overthink the details
11	here.	11	of this line, because
12	MS. MENENDEZ: Oh, you're talking about	12	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Well, I'm not, except
13	this thing here?	13	for the fact that that then abuts I mean,
14	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: No. Yeah.	14	it's currently Multi-Fam, it abuts other
15	MS. MENENDEZ: Yeah.	15	Multi-Fam that's going to be in the Infill. So
16	MR. BEHAR: Jeff, I agree with you.	16	it just seems like that might be a better
17	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I'm saying, because if	17	opportunity for the Infill District versus the
18	we put it in the Mixed-Use corridor now, then	18	MXD.
19	we'd have to worry about backing it out.	19	MR. BEHAR: I'm okay with that, taking
20	MR. BEHAR: Well, you're not putting it.	20	those out, as long as, you know, we do look at
21	You're not putting it. It's just been, you	21	the Infill.
22	know, excluded from that	22	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Right.
23	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: No. No. It's being	23	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. Okay.
	•	1	•
24	included in the Mixed-Use corridor, and not in	24	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right.
24 25	included in the Mixed-Use corridor, and not in the Infill.	25	MR. WU: Was that part of the motion?

	Page 165		Page 167
1	MR. BEHAR: No. I retracted my motion. I	1	that's why it has that shape. So we looked at
2	was going to start. I never made a motion.	2	we have a general shape, and then we adapted
3	MS. MENENDEZ: No, it was	3	it to the existing conditions as best as we
4	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You started. You	4	could.
5	didn't make anything. And I asked if I could	5	Now, I don't think it's the perfect or the
6	interject.	6	final solution for the next 200 years, either.
7	I hear crickets chirping.	7	I mean, I think it's likely that there will be
8	MR. WU: Is there a second?	8	some amendments as projects are proposed.
9	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: There is no motion.	9	So having said that, I think that we have a
10	MR. WU: There's no motion?	10	fairly competent designer right now, and
11	MS. MENENDEZ: Is the concern the	11	probably the best approach would be to continue
12	boundaries? Is that it?	12	the process.
13	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Just on those. For me,	13	MR. BEHAR: Okay. With that in mind, then,
14	it was just on those two lots. That's all.	14	I'll make a motion to approve what item is
15	MS. MENENDEZ: And why don't we then I	15	the first
16	mean, I hate to continue, but why don't we then	16	MR. WU: Number 8.
17	ask Staff to look at every single property	17	MR. BEHAR: Item Number 8, with the
18	that's you know, that's similar to that, and	18	friendly amendment of taking out Lot 3 and 4 of
19	determine why they've taken the position on	19	Block 16, and those two lots will be considered
20	setting the boundaries there? I'm sure they	20	under the Infill
21	did it looking at each one. I'm sure there's	21	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay.
22	some logic to it.	22	MR. BEHAR: provision. Is that clear
23	MR. BEHAR: But it doesn't seem like it.	23	enough?
24	It doesn't seem like there was a logic.	24	MR. WU: Yes. Is there a second?
25	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: To me, it does, because	25	MR. GRABIEL: Second.
	Page 166		Page 168
1	it's almost like the line is coming from the	1	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Any other discussion?
2	north and they came straight down, and then	2	Hearing none, Jill, if you'll call the roll,
3	they had there's like three apartments that	3	please.
4	front buildings that front on East Ponce,	4	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin?
5	and I heard Megan say the intent was, the	5	MR. BELLIN: Yes.
6	parcels that fronted on East Ponce. And so	6	THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?
7	since these two aren't on East Ponce, it's	7	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
8	separate ownership, separate building, let's	8	THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez?
9	put it in the MXD, versus the Infill, but I'm	9	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes.
10	just wondering if they're not better	10	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
11	MR. BEHAR: You're right on that Infill	11	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
12	portion, but the rationale on everything	12	THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan?
13	else	13	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes.
14	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, if one looks at	14	We need a motion on Number 9, which is
15	these boundaries, it's mostly straight. I	15	additional Comp Plan Map Amendments, allowing
16	mean, it does have a few times where but the	16	the Mixed-Use Overlay as an Overlay in the
17	majority of the boundary is very logical.	17	Multi-Family Medium Density and Multi-Family
18	MS. MENENDEZ: Right. The question is,	18	High Density.
19	why, where it's not straight, it wasn't	19	MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion for
20	straight? Is it because there's different	20	approval, with the recommendation that we look
21	property ownerships, because a building divides	21	at those two those couple of blocks that was
22	in the middle?	22	discussed.
23	MR. TRIAS: More than ownership is	23	MR. GRABIEL: Which ones were those?
24	different buildings that exist. In that	24	MR. BEHAR: I think Jeff made a motion to
25	particular case, there's a building there. So	25	maybe or made a comment to move the I
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-

	Page 169		Page 171
1	can't even see those lots, on Block 24.	1	MR. BEHAR: I'll second it.
2	MS. MENENDEZ: Are we anxious to get this?	2	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We have a motion and a
3	Is there a reason why we're	3	second. Any further discussion on Number 10?
4	MR. WU: Yes. Commissioner Keon requested	4	Hearing none, Jill, call the roll, please.
5	at the last Commission Meeting encouraging the	5	THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez?
6	Board and the Staff to move this forward. I	6	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes.
7	understand you want additional studies for the	7	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
8	Residential Infill District, but she encouraged	8	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
9	the Board to take action at this meeting, so	9	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin?
10	the Commission can see it in January. She	10	MR. BELLIN: Yes.
11	actually said that into the record.	11	THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?
12	If you'd like us to further study it and	12	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
13	bring those results to the City Commission, we	13	THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan?
14	would be glad to do that.	14	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
15	MR. BEHAR: You know what, I'll make a	15	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. Isn't Number 11 the
16	motion to approve as presented to us.	16	one that I had previously mentioned?
17	MR. GRABIEL: Number 9?	17	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: 11
18	MR. BEHAR: Number 9.	18	MS. MENENDEZ: Doesn't this, in fact,
19	MR. GRABIEL: I'll second that.	19	provide for the North Ponce Neighborhood
20	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: A motion and a second	20	Conversation District?
21	on Number 9.	21	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: No. This, in the
22	Ramon, does that create and I'm fine	22	Zoning Code, creates the Mixed-Use District to
23	with it, we'll move it along and it is what it	23	be assigned in a Multi-Family 2 Zoning
24	is, but do we now have an inconsistency in what	24	District, to create a transition to the
25	these two are doing and what we just did on the	25	Conservation District.
	Page 170		Page 172
1	prior one?	1	MS. MENENDEZ: Well, that's important.
2	MR. TRIAS: Well, we are certainly going to	2	Yeah, that's the kind yeah. Okay.
3	review that and we're going to clean up any	3	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay.
4	inconsistencies.	4	MS. MENENDEZ: Because I knew we hadn't
5	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay.	5	done this. This is what was remaining
6	MR. TRIAS: I think we have a clear idea of	6	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: No. Yeah, this is the
7	what you're recommending.	7	MX
8	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. Motion and	8	MS. MENENDEZ: from the study, through
9	second. Any further discussion?	9	the MXD.
10	Jill, call the roll, please.	10	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Right.
11	THE SECRETARY; Julio Grabiel?	11	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. What happens is that,
12	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.	12	yes, right now Mixed-Use can only be applied in
13	THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez?	13	Commercial.
14	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes.	14	MS. MENENDEZ: Right. And now this is
15	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	15	applied to the Mixed-Use.
16	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	16	MR. TRIAS: What we're saying is, we are
17	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin?	17	expanding the places where you can apply
18	MR. BELLIN: Yes.	18	Mixed-Use to the MF-2.
19	THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan?	19	MS. MENENDEZ: Right.
20	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes.	20	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So did you just move
21	Item Number 10. Oh, this creates the	21	it?
22	District in the Zoning Code.	22	MS. MENENDEZ: Yeah. I can move it. I'll
23	MS. MENENDEZ: That's what it says.	23	move it.
1 ~ 4	•	0.4	AD ODADIEI O 1
24 25	MR. GRABIEL: I move for approval of Number 10 as is.	24 25	MR. GRABIEL: Second. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Motion and a second.

	Page 173		Page 175
1	Further discussion?	1	MS. MENENDEZ: Got it. It's too late at
2	Hearing none, Jill, call the roll, please.	2	night. Thank you.
3	MR. GRABIEL: Item Number 12, there's a	3	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay.
4	transfer	4	MR. BEHAR: Motion to approve as presented.
5	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: No. No. It's 11. We	5	MS. MENENDEZ: Second.
6	need a vote.	6	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. This is on
7	MR. GRABIEL: Oh, I'm sorry.	7	Item Number 12. Any further discussion?
8	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	8	MR. COLLER: One question. I think it was
9	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	9	on Item 11, but as part of your motion there
10	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin?	10	was the clarifying language on the Site
11	MR. BELLIN: Yes.	11	Specific that we had discussed earlier,
12	THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?	12	correct?
13	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.	13	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
14	THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez?	14	MS. MENENDEZ: That's what I heard.
15	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes.	15	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes.
16	THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan?	16	MR. COLLER: Okay.
17	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes.	17	MR. BEHAR: Motion to adjourn.
18	MS. MENENDEZ: A quick question on Number	18	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: No. Number 12. We
19	12, which is the next one. On Page 28 of the	19	have a motion and a second on Number 12.
20	Staff report, which is where it addresses this,	20	Further discussion?
21	on Number 2, under Section 3-1004 B-2, why did	21	Hearing none, Jill call the roll, please.
22	we strike, "Duplex, dwelling, Multi-Family	22	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin?
23	dwelling or breakfast bed and breakfast	23	MR. BELLIN: Yes.
24	establishment," as a transfer site?	24	THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?
25	If we're trying to encourage, you know, the	25	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
	Page 174		Page 176
1	low density I mean, conservation, what	1	THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez?
2	better way of conserving what's there, if you	2	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes.
3	have an opportunity to do what the commercial	3	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
4	buildings can do, which is to transfer TDRs?	4	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
5	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: We struck it out, because	5	THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan?
6	it's redundant with what the MF-2 is in the	6	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes.
7	North Ponce area. So everything that's Zoned	7	Somebody move to adjourn.
8	MF-2 in this area currently is either a duplex,	8	MS. MENENDEZ: Happy holidays.
9	Multi-Family or bed and breakfast.	9	MR. GRABIEL: Motion.
10	MR. BEHAR: But you have TDRs that you can	10	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. All say,
11	transfer. You could, in some cases.	11	aye, aye. Happy holidays.
12	MS. MCLAUGHLIN: And you'll still be able	12	MR. TRIAS: Thank you very much.
13	to do that. We're not taking that away. We're	13	(Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 8:55
14	just adding the Commercial to it.	14	p.m.)
15	MS. MENENDEZ: No, but that's it's	15	
16	struck here.	16	
17	MR. TRIAS: Right, but the only yes,	17	
18	you're correct.	18	
19	MS. MENENDEZ: If it's stricken, then that	19	
20	means it's not part of the Ordinance.	20	
21	MR. TRIAS: That language, but MF-2 is	21	
22	what's there in the Ordinance, and MF-2	22	
23	includes	23	
24	MS. MENENDEZ: Describes this?	24	
25	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes. That's all it is.	25	