Page Page 1 MR. BEHAR: None. 1 Bellin will need to recuse himself for Items 5 2 2 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Swearing In. Eve 6 and 7. 3 who speaks today must complete the roster at 3 Let me think. What's the Board's the podium with Jill. We ask that you print 4 4 consensus? Do we want to defer 5, 6 a 5 clearly, so the official records of your nan 5 the end, so Marshall can stick around for the 6 and address will be correct. 6 other four items or do you want to hear those 7 And now, with the exception of attorneys 7 first tonight? 8 8 who are presenting tonight, all per ons who MR. BEHAR: My preference would be, if it's 9 will speak on agenda items before us this 9 possible, let's do that, but, you know -- so evening, please rise to be sworn in. 10 10 either he stays -- recuse himself and come If you're speaking, please rise to be sworn 11 11 back --MR. BELLIN: That's what's going to happen. 12 in. 12 MR. WU: Are any of you folks going to speak? I'll recuse myself and come back. 13 13 14 None of you will peak? MR. BEHAR Or we take care of 5, 6 and 7 14 15 MR. BEHAR: Well, you may want to clear 15 now, and then you recuse yourself, you don't 16 that up. 16 have to come back afterwards, CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Well, the public 17 17 MR. BZLLIN: You mean, take care of the 18 hearing -- yeah, just so everybody is clear, 18 other ores? 19 the public hearing was closed last time, but if 19 MK. BEHAR: Yeah. 20 you are here, in the event the public hearing 20 MR. BELLIN: 5, 6 and 7 are the ones that I 21 does get reopened, and I'm not insinuating that 21 ave to recuse myself for. So you want to push 22 will be reopened, but in the event that it 22 those to the end? 23 does, you should rise and be sworn in now. 23 MR. BEHAR: Correct. 2 (Thereupon, all participants were sworn.) 24 I mean, I don't know the other -- how CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Thank you. 5 complex it will be, how long it will be. Page 8 1 And in deference to those present, we ask 1 MR. BELLIN: My concern is, the people will 2 that all cell phones, pagers and other devices 2 be sitting here, and I'd rather have them get 3 be turned off at this time. 3 this over with first, as opposed to have them 4 And we will now proceed with the agenda. 4 sit there through the whole meeting. 5 Our first item on the agenda is the approval 5 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So you're okay with 6 the minutes of September 14th, 2016. 6 walking down the street or whatever it is 7 MR. BEHAR: I make a motion for pproval. 7 you're supposed to do, and then coming back? 8 8 MR. BELLIN: Second. MR. BELLIN: Well, I'm just going to go 9 9 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: 1 Ve have a motion and a outside, and --10 second. Any comments or changes to the 10 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. 11 minutes? 11 MR. BELLIN: Okay. Seeing none, Jill, if you'll call the roll, 12 12 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. 13 please. 13 MR. BEHAR: Any -- Frank or Albert? 14 THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? 14 MR. PEREZ: I'm okay with it. 15 MR. BELLIN: Yes. 15 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You're good? THE SECRETARY: Alberto Perez? 16 16 Okay. So we'll stick with the agenda as 17 MR. PERYZ: Yes. 17 printed. 18 THE SECRETARY: Frank Rodriguez? 18 All right. So as Marshall is exiting the MR. KODRIGUEZ: Yes. 19 19 Chambers, I'll read 5 through 7 in, for the THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? 20 20 record. MR. BEHAR: Yes. 21 21 Item Number 5 -- let me think -- all three THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan? 22 22 of these items were continued from the 23 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. 23 September 14, 2016 Planning and Zoning Board 24 Okay. Next item is any changes to the Meeting. agenda. So we know Marshall -- Board Member 25 Item Number 5 is an Ordinance of the City

	Page 9		Page 11
1	Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting	1	again after the continuance.
2	an amendment to the Future Land Use Map of the	2	The updates of the project, the arcade has
3	City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan	3	been re-designed, as requested, to a minimum of
4	pursuant to Zoning Code Article 3, "Development	4	ten feet clear space. As a result, some of the
5	Review", Division 15, "Comprehensive Plan Text	5	square footage of the live work units has been
6	and Map Amendments", and Small Scale amendment	6	reduced.
7	procedures, Section 163.3187, Florida Statutes,	7	In addition, the project was re-advertised
8	from a "Residential Multi-Family Medium	8	October 7th. The site was posted, also,
9	Density" to "Commercial Mid-Rise Intensity" for	9	October 7th, and a third courtesy notice was
10	the property legally described as all of Block	10	sent to property owners within a thousand feet.
11	15, Coral Gables Section "L", known as 20 and	11	Staff recommends approval with conditions,
12	42 Navarre Avenue, 33, 43, and 47 Alhambra	12	which were included in the Staff report.
13	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables,	13	That's my presentation.
14	Florida; providing for severability, repealer	14	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. Thank you.
15	and an effective date. That one is for Local	15	All right. So this item was continued. We
16	Planning Agency Review.	16	had some changes to the proposed project and
17	Item Number 6 is an Ordinance of the City	17	the plans. At this point, normally we would
18	Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting	18	contain it to discussion by the Board Members
19	a change of zoning pursuant to Zoning Code	19	for any additional comments, questions and
20	Article 3, "Development Review", Division 14,	20	consideration.
21	"Zoning Code Text and Map Amendments", from	21	I do understand that is there counsel
22	Multi-Family 2 District to Commercial District	22	here representing neighbors that was not here
23	for the property legally described as all of	23	last time? No, okay.
24	Block 15, Coral Gables Section "L", known as 20	24	So I think I see some familiar faces in
25	and 42 Navarre Avenue, 33, 43 and 47 Alhambra	25	the audience. I think some or many were here
		-	and addition I think some of many word note
	Page 10		Page 12
1	Page 10 Circle and 2001 Caliana Street Carol Cables	1	Page 12
1	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables,	1	back when we last heard this item and made
2	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability,	2	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time.
2 3	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date.	2 3	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight
2 3 4	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City	2 3 4	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members
2 3 4 5	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting	2 3 4 5	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss?
2 3 4 5 6	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code	2 3 4 5 6	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have
2 3 4 5 6 7	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts", Division 2,	2 3 4 5 6 7	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have a communication in the dais from Ms. Rita
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts", Division 2, "Overlay and Special Purpose Districts",	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have a communication in the dais from Ms. Rita Gross, dated October 16th, for your record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts", Division 2, "Overlay and Special Purpose Districts", Section 4-201, "Mixed Use District", for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have a communication in the dais from Ms. Rita Gross, dated October 16th, for your record. Would you please let it into the record? Thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts", Division 2, "Overlay and Special Purpose Districts", Section 4-201, "Mixed Use District", for the mixed use project referred to as "33 Alhambra"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have a communication in the dais from Ms. Rita Gross, dated October 16th, for your record. Would you please let it into the record? Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts", Division 2, "Overlay and Special Purpose Districts", Section 4-201, "Mixed Use District", for the mixed use project referred to as "33 Alhambra" on the property legally described as all of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have a communication in the dais from Ms. Rita Gross, dated October 16th, for your record. Would you please let it into the record? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts", Division 2, "Overlay and Special Purpose Districts", Section 4-201, "Mixed Use District", for the mixed use project referred to as "33 Alhambra" on the property legally described as all of Block 15, Coral Gables Section "L", known as 20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have a communication in the dais from Ms. Rita Gross, dated October 16th, for your record. Would you please let it into the record? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry, are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts", Division 2, "Overlay and Special Purpose Districts", Section 4-201, "Mixed Use District", for the mixed use project referred to as "33 Alhambra" on the property legally described as all of Block 15, Coral Gables Section "L", known as 20 and 42 Navarre Avenue, 33, 43 and 47 Alhambra	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have a communication in the dais from Ms. Rita Gross, dated October 16th, for your record. Would you please let it into the record? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry, are you referring to the e-mail from Ms. Gross?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts", Division 2, "Overlay and Special Purpose Districts", Section 4-201, "Mixed Use District", for the mixed use project referred to as "33 Alhambra" on the property legally described as all of Block 15, Coral Gables Section "L", known as 20 and 42 Navarre Avenue, 33, 43 and 47 Alhambra Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have a communication in the dais from Ms. Rita Gross, dated October 16th, for your record. Would you please let it into the record? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry, are you referring to the e-mail from Ms. Gross? MR. WU: Yes. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts", Division 2, "Overlay and Special Purpose Districts", Section 4-201, "Mixed Use District", for the mixed use project referred to as "33 Alhambra" on the property legally described as all of Block 15, Coral Gables Section "L", known as 20 and 42 Navarre Avenue, 33, 43 and 47 Alhambra Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have a communication in the dais from Ms. Rita Gross, dated October 16th, for your record. Would you please let it into the record? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry, are you referring to the e-mail from Ms. Gross? MR. WU: Yes. Yes. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts", Division 2, "Overlay and Special Purpose Districts", Section 4-201, "Mixed Use District", for the mixed use project referred to as "33 Alhambra" on the property legally described as all of Block 15, Coral Gables Section "L", known as 20 and 42 Navarre Avenue, 33, 43 and 47 Alhambra Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; and providing for an effective date.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have a communication in the dais from Ms. Rita Gross, dated October 16th, for your record. Would you please let it into the record? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry, are you referring to the e-mail from Ms. Gross? MR. WU: Yes. Yes. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. WU: And the several pages behind it, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts", Division 2, "Overlay and Special Purpose Districts", Section 4-201, "Mixed Use District", for the mixed use project referred to as "33 Alhambra" on the property legally described as all of Block 15, Coral Gables Section "L", known as 20 and 42 Navarre Avenue, 33, 43 and 47 Alhambra Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; and providing for an effective date. Mr. Trias, Good evening.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have a communication in the dais from Ms. Rita Gross, dated October 16th, for your record. Would you please let it into the record? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry, are you referring to the e-mail from Ms. Gross? MR. WU: Yes. Yes. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. WU: And the several pages behind it, a petition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts", Division 2, "Overlay and Special Purpose Districts", Section 4-201, "Mixed Use District", for the mixed use project referred to as "33 Alhambra" on the property legally described as all of Block 15, Coral Gables Section "L", known as 20 and 42 Navarre Avenue, 33, 43 and 47 Alhambra Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; and providing for an effective date. Mr. Trias, Good evening. MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have a communication in the dais from Ms. Rita Gross, dated October 16th, for your record. Would you please let it into the record? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry, are you referring to the e-mail from Ms. Gross? MR. WU: Yes. Yes. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. WU: And the several pages behind it, a petition. MR. TRIAS: That e-mail is the only new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts", Division 2, "Overlay and Special Purpose Districts", Section 4-201, "Mixed Use District", for the mixed use project referred to as "33 Alhambra" on the property legally described as all of Block 15, Coral Gables Section "L", known as 20 and 42 Navarre Avenue, 33, 43 and 47 Alhambra Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; and providing for an effective date. Mr. Trias, Good evening. MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I provided a memorandum dated October 19th that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have a communication in the dais from Ms. Rita Gross, dated October 16th, for your record. Would you please let it into the record? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry, are you referring to the e-mail from Ms. Gross? MR. WU: Yes. Yes. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. WU: And the several pages behind it, a petition. MR. TRIAS: That e-mail is the only new piece of communication that we received, and it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts", Division 2, "Overlay and Special Purpose Districts", Section 4-201, "Mixed Use District", for the mixed use project referred to as "33 Alhambra" on the property legally described as all of Block 15, Coral Gables Section "L", known as 20 and 42 Navarre Avenue, 33, 43 and 47 Alhambra Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; and providing for an effective date. Mr. Trias, Good evening. MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I provided a memorandum dated October 19th that describes the review process and describes the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have a communication in the dais from Ms. Rita Gross, dated October 16th, for your record. Would you please let it into the record? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry, are you referring to the e-mail from Ms. Gross? MR. WU: Yes. Yes. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. WU: And the several pages behind it, a petition. MR. TRIAS: That e-mail is the only new piece of communication that we received, and it was provided to you as part of the public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts", Division 2, "Overlay and Special Purpose Districts", Section 4-201, "Mixed Use District", for the mixed use project referred to as "33 Alhambra" on the property legally described as all of Block 15, Coral Gables Section "L", known as 20 and 42 Navarre Avenue, 33, 43 and 47 Alhambra Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; and providing for an effective date. Mr. Trias, Good evening. MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I provided a memorandum dated October 19th that describes the review process and describes the updates.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have a communication in the dais from Ms. Rita Gross, dated October 16th, for your record. Would you please let it into the record? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry, are you referring to the e-mail from Ms. Gross? MR. WU: Yes. Yes. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. WU: And the several pages behind it, a petition. MR. TRIAS: That e-mail is the only new piece of communication that we received, and it was provided to you as part of the public record, and it includes a petition that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts", Division 2, "Overlay and Special Purpose Districts", Section 4-201, "Mixed Use District", for the mixed use project referred to as "33 Alhambra" on the property legally described as all of Block 15, Coral Gables Section "L", known as 20 and 42 Navarre Avenue, 33, 43 and 47 Alhambra Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; and providing for an effective date. Mr. Trias, Good evening. MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I provided a memorandum dated October 19th that describes the review process and describes the updates. As you said, for the benefit of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have a communication in the dais from Ms. Rita Gross, dated October 16th, for your record. Would you please let it into the record? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry, are you referring to the e-mail from Ms. Gross? MR. WU: Yes. Yes. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. WU: And the several pages behind it, a petition. MR. TRIAS: That e-mail is the only new piece of communication that we received, and it was provided to you as part of the public record, and it includes a petition that is signed by neighbors that have opinions over the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts", Division 2, "Overlay and Special Purpose Districts", Section 4-201, "Mixed Use District", for the mixed use project referred to as "33 Alhambra" on the property legally described as all of Block 15, Coral Gables Section "L", known as 20 and 42 Navarre Avenue, 33, 43 and 47 Alhambra Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; and providing for an effective date. Mr. Trias, Good evening. MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I provided a memorandum dated October 19th that describes the review process and describes the updates. As you said, for the benefit of the citizens, this project was reviewed July 13th	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have a communication in the dais from Ms. Rita Gross, dated October 16th, for your record. Would you please let it into the record? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry, are you referring to the e-mail from Ms. Gross? MR. WU: Yes. Yes. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. WU: And the several pages behind it, a petition. MR. TRIAS: That e-mail is the only new piece of communication that we received, and it was provided to you as part of the public record, and it includes a petition that is signed by neighbors that have opinions over the project.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing for severability, repealer and an effective date. Item Number 7 is a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting mixed use plan review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts", Division 2, "Overlay and Special Purpose Districts", Section 4-201, "Mixed Use District", for the mixed use project referred to as "33 Alhambra" on the property legally described as all of Block 15, Coral Gables Section "L", known as 20 and 42 Navarre Avenue, 33, 43 and 47 Alhambra Circle and 2001 Galiano Street, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; and providing for an effective date. Mr. Trias, Good evening. MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I provided a memorandum dated October 19th that describes the review process and describes the updates. As you said, for the benefit of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	back when we last heard this item and made comments and presentations at that time. All right. So we've got some slight modifications to the plans. Any Board Members have any comments, anything to discuss? MR. WU: Mr. Chair, if I can add, you have a communication in the dais from Ms. Rita Gross, dated October 16th, for your record. Would you please let it into the record? Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry, are you referring to the e-mail from Ms. Gross? MR. WU: Yes. Yes. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. WU: And the several pages behind it, a petition. MR. TRIAS: That e-mail is the only new piece of communication that we received, and it was provided to you as part of the public record, and it includes a petition that is signed by neighbors that have opinions over the

1 (Inaudible speaking.) 2 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Hold on. Please, if 3 you would come up or do you know what they 4 are, Ramon? 5 MR. TRIAS: Maybe the 6 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yeah, into the microphone so that the record can have it, 8 please. 9 MS. GRANDA: These are petitions 10 MR. WU: Ma'am, can state your name and 11 address? 12 MS. GRANDA: My name is Magda Granda. And 13 good evening. You've seen me here before. My 14 address is 49 Majorca Avenue, Apartment 203, 15 Coral Gables, 33134. 16 This envelope contains a petition signed by 17 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 18 Alhambra in its present design. 19 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. 20 MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. 21 Who gets those? 22 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, 23 MS. Menendez does. Thank you. 24 Anybody have questions of Staff or the 25 Applicant? Page 14 1 MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. 2 Ramon, they did come back and they made the arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire 4 project, correct? 5 MR. TRIAS: Which concerms? MR. RODRIGUEZ: There well, I think it's a one page e-mail with the signatures afterward. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The bullet points did you and the Staff consider some of these points in making your determination of, you know, making the recommendations with conditions? Like, for example, the one that I - I mean, my son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have some sense of the congestion that exists there. I mean, this was a few years ago. For all I know, it could have gotten worse. I suspect it hasn't gotten any better. But did you consider the congestion at like, you know, dropping off kids and picking up the children, and endangering you know, errored a project comes to you, there's a very MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. RODRIGUEZ:
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Hold on. Please, if you would come up or do you know what they are, Ramon? MR. TRIAS: Maybe the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yeah, into the microphone so that the record can have it, please. MS. GRANDA: These are petitions MR. WU: Ma'am, can state your name and address? MS. GRANDA: My name is Magda Granda. And good evening. You've seen me here before. My address is 49 Majorca Avenue, Apartment 203, 15 Coral Gables, 33134. This envelope contains a petition signed by the residents of the arca in opposition to 33 the residents of the arca in opposition to 34 MS. GRANDA: Thoughout the entire project, cornect? MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. MS. GRANDA: Thank you. MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. MS. GRANDA: Thank you. MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. MS. Menendez does. Thank you. MR. TRIAS: Yes. Which concerns? MR. RODRIGUEZ: There's some bullet points on the first page of the well, I think it's a ne page e-mail with the signatures afterward. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: Which concerns? MR. TRIAS: Yes. hull the signatures afterward. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: Which concerns? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS: The well, I think it's a ne page e-mail with the signatures afterward. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. T
you would come up or do you know what they are, Ramon? MR. TRIAS: Maybe the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yeah, into the microphone so that the record can have it, please. MS. GRANDA: These are petitions MR. WU: Ma'am, can state your name and address? MS. GRANDA: My name is Magda Granda. And good evening. You've seen me here before. My address is 49 Majorca Avenue, Apartment 203, Coral Gables, 33134. This envelope contains a petition to 33 Alhambra in its present design. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. Who gets those? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. Who gets those? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, MS. Menendez does. Thank you. MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. Who gets those? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, MS. Menendez does. Thank you. MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. Who gets those? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, MS. Menendez does. Thank you. MS. Menendez does. Thank you. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The bullet points did you and the Staff consider some of these points in making your determination of, you know, making the recommendations with conditions? Like, for example, the one that I I mean, my son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have some sense of the congestion that exists there. I mean, this was a few years ago. For all I know, it could have gotten worse. I suspect it hasn't gotten any better. But did you consider the congestion at like, you know, dropping off kids and picking up the children, and endangering you know, certainly the congestion? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And, as you know, before a project comes to you, there's a very extensive review by Staff and many meetings, and, then, one of the discussions was that, Page 14 MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. Ramon, they did come back and they made the arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire project, correct? MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the
are, Ramon? MR. TRIAS: Maybe the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yeah, into the microphone so that the record can have it, making the recommendations with conditions? Like, for example, the one that I I mean, my son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have some sense of the congestion that exists there. I mean, this was a few years ago. For all I know, it could have gotten worse. I suspect it hasn't gotten any better. But did you consider the congestion at like, you know, dropping off kids and
MR. TRIAS: Maybe the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yeah, into the microphone so that the record can have it, please. MS. GRANDA: These are petitions MR. WU: Ma'am, can state your name and address? MS. GRANDA: My name is Magda Granda. And moderates and good evening. You've seen me here before. My address is 49 Majorca Avenue, Apartment 203, This envelope contains a petition signed by the residents of the area in opposition to 33 MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. MS. GRANDA: Thank you. MS. GRANDA: My name is Magda Granda. And moderates is 49 Majorca Avenue, Apartment 203, MS. GRANDA: My name is Magda Granda. And MS. GRANDA: My name is Magda Granda. And MS. Granda: And MS. Granda: My name is Magda Granda. And MS. Granda: Ms. Granda: Ms. Granda: Ms. Ms. G
6 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yeah, into the microphone so that the record can have it, 8 please. 9 MS. GRANDA: These are petitions 10 MR. WU: Ma'am, can state your name and 11 address? 12 MS. GRANDA: My name is Magda Granda. And 13 good evening. You've seen me here before. My 14 address is 49 Majorca Avenue, Apartment 203, 15 Coral Gables, 33134. 16 This envelope contains a petition signed by 17 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 18 Alhambra in its present design. 19 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. 20 MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. 21 Who gets those? 22 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, 23 Ms. Menendez does. Thank you. 24 Anybody have questions of Staff or the 25 Applicant? Page 14 MR. RODRIGUEZ: The bullet points did MR. Robral and the Staff consider some of these points in making your determination of, you know, making the recommendations with conditions? Like, for example, the one that I - I mean, my son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have some sense of the congestion that exists there. I mean, this was a few years ago. For all I know, it could have gotten worse. I suspect it hasn't gotten any better. But did you consider the congestion at like, you know, dropping off ki
microphone so that the record can have it, please. MS. GRANDA: These are petitions MR. WU: Ma'am, can state your name and address? MS. GRANDA: My name is Magda Granda. And making the recommendations with conditions? Like, for example, the one that I I mean, my son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have some sense of the congestion that exists there. This envelope contains a petition signed by the residents of the area in opposition to 33 Alhambra in its present design. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. Who gets those? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, MS. Menendez does. Thank you. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. RODRIGUEZ: The bullet points did you and the Staff consider some of these points in making your determination of, you know, making the recommendations with conditions? Like, for example, the one that I I mean, my son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have some sense of the congestion that exists there. I mean, this was a few years ago. For all I know, it could have gotten worse. I suspect it hasn't gotten any better. But did you consider the congestion at like, you know, dropping off kids and picking up the children, and endangering you know, certainly the congestion? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TRIAS:
MS. GRANDA: These are petitions 9 you and the Staff consider some of these points in making your determination of, you know, making the recommendations with conditions? 10 in making your determination of, with conditions? 12 MS. GRANDA: My name is Magda Granda. And 12 Like, for example, the one that I I mean, my 13 son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have 14 some sense of the congestion that exists there. 15 I mean, this was a few years ago. For all I know, it could have gotten worse. I suspect it hasn't gotten any better. 16 But did you consider the congestion at 18 like, you know, dropping off kids and picking up the children, and endangering you know, certainly the congestion? 21 MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. 22 MS. Menendez does. Thank you. 23 MS. Menendez does. Thank you. 24 Anybody have questions of Staff or the 24 Applicant? Page 14 Page 14 Page 14 Page 14 Page 14 Page 14 Page 15 MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. Ramon, they did come back and they made the arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire project, correct? MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 5 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 5 MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the 6 MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the MR. BE
9 MS. GRANDA: These are petitions 10 MR. WU: Ma'am, can state your name and 11 address? 12 MS. GRANDA: My name is Magda Granda. And 13 good evening. You've seen me here before. My 14 address is 49 Majorca Avenue, Apartment 203, 15 Coral Gables, 33134. 16 This envelope contains a petition signed by 17 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 18 Alhambra in its present design. 19 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. 20 MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. 21 Who gets those? 22 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, 23 Ms. Menendez does. Thank you. 24 Anybody have questions of Staff or the 25 Applicant? 28 MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. 29 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 30 MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the 4 maddress? 4 pour and the Staff consider some of these points in making your determination of, you know, making the recommendations with conditions? 4 Lot in making your determination of, you know, making the recommendations with conditions? 4 Like, for example, the one that I I mean, my son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have son went to Coral Gables elementary, and I have son went to Coral Gables elementary, and I have son went to Coral Gables elementary, and I have son went to Coral Gables elementary, and I have son went to Coral Gables elementary, and I have son went to Coral Gables elementary. 10 Like, for example, the one that I I mean, my son went to Coral Gables Elementary. 11 I mean, this was a few years ago. For all I know, it could have gotten worse. 12 But did yo
MR. WU: Ma'am, can state your name and address? MS. GRANDA: My name is Magda Granda. And good evening. You've seen me here before. My address is 49 Majorca Avenue, Apartment 203, Like, for example, the one that I I mean, my son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have some sense of the congestion that exists there. This envelope contains a petition signed by the residents of the area in opposition to 33 the residents of the congestion that exists there. But did you consider the congestion at like, you know, dropping off kids and picking up the children, and endangering you know, certainly the congestion? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And, as you know, before a project comes to you, there's a very extensive review by Staff and many meetings, and, then, one of the discussions was that, Page 14 yes, the school has a lot of traffic; however, the entrance to the parking garage is on Navarre, which is not by the school. Navarre, which is not by the school. Navarre, which is not
address? MS. GRANDA: My name is Magda Granda. And good evening. You've seen me here before. My address is 49 Majorca Avenue, Apartment 203, the residents of the area in opposition to 33 the same type of the congestion that exists there. I mean, this was a few years ago. For all I know, it could have gotten worse. I suspect it hasn't gotten any better. But did you consider the congestion at like, you know, dropping off kids and picking up the children, and endangering you know, certainly the congestion? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And, as you know, before a project comes to you, there's a very extensive review by Staff and many meetings, and, then, one of the discussions was that, Page 14 yes, the school has a lot of traffic; however, the entrance to the parking garage is on Navarre, which is not by the school. MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here,
MS. GRANDA: My name is Magda Granda. And good evening. You've seen me here before. My address is 49 Majorca Avenue, Apartment 203, 14 son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have some sense of the congestion that exists there. 15 Coral Gables, 33134. 15 I mean, this was a few years ago. For all I hasn't gotten any better. 16 know, it could have gotten worse. I suspect it hasn't gotten any better. 17 hasn't gotten any better. 18 But did you consider the congestion at 19 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. 19 like, you know, dropping off kids and picking 19 Up the children, and endangering you know, certainly the congestion? 19 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, 19 Who gets those? 19 Ms. Menendez does. Thank you. 20 Anybody have questions of Staff or the 21 Anybody have questions of Staff or the 22 Applicant? 21 MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. 22 Ramon, they did come back and they made the arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire 23 Aproject, correct? 4 So those types of design decisions were 19 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 5 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 6 MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the 12 Like, for example, the one that I I mean, my son went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have some sense of the congestion that exists there. 16 Like, for example, the one that I I mean, this was a few years ago. For all I know, it could have gotten worse. I suspect it hasn't gotten any better. 16 Like, for example, the congestion that exists there. 16 Like, for example, the congestion that exists there. 16 Like, for example, the congestion that exists there. 17 Like some sense of the congestion that exists there. 18 Like, for example, the congestion that exists there. 18 Like, for example, the congestion that exists there. 18 Like, for example, the congestion that exists there. 18 Like, for all flavoud have gotten worse. I suspect it hasn't gotten any better. 19 Like, for all I know, it could have gotten worse. I suspect it hasn't gotten any better. 19 Like, for all I know, it could have gotten wors
good evening. You've seen me here before. My address is 49 Majorca Avenue, Apartment 203, Coral Gables, 33134. This envelope contains a petition signed by the residents of the area in opposition to 33 Alhambra in its present design. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Who gets those? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, Ms. Menendez does. Thank you. Anybody have questions of Staff or the Applicant? MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. Ramon, they did come back and they made the arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the Som went to Coral Gables Elementary, and I have some sense of the congestion that exists there. I mean, this was a few years ago. For all I know, it could have gotten worse. I suspect it hasn't gotten any better. But did you consider the congestion at like, you know, dropping off kids and picking up the children, and endangering you know, certainly the congestion? Who gets those? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, MR. TRIAS: Yes, And, as you know, before a project comes to you, there's a very extensive review by Staff and many meetings, and, then, one of the discussions was that, Page 14 MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. Ramon, they did come back and they made the arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the
14 address is 49 Majorca Avenue, Apartment 203, 15 Coral Gables, 33134. 16 This envelope contains a petition signed by 17 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 18 Alhambra in its present design. 19 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. 20 MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. 21 Who gets those? 22 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, 23 Ms. Menendez does. Thank you. 24 Anybody have questions of Staff or the 25 Applicant? 28 MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. 29 Ramon, they did come back and they made the 30 arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire 40 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 50 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 61 MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the 62 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 63 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 64 MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the 65 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 66 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 67 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 68 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 69 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 60 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 60 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 60 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 61 MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the
15 Coral Gables, 33134. 16 This envelope contains a petition signed by 17 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 18 Alhambra in its present design. 19 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. 20 MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. 21 Who gets those? 22 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, 23 Ms. Menendez does. Thank you. 24 Anybody have questions of Staff or the 25 Applicant? Page 14 MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. 2 Ramon, they did come back and they made the 3 arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire 4 project, correct? 5 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 6 MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the I mean, this was a few years ago. For all I know, it could have gotten worse. I suspect it hasn't gotten any better. 16 know, it could have gotten worse. I suspect it hasn't gotten any better. 18 But did you consider the congestion at like, you know, dropping off kids and picking up the children, and endangering you know, certainly the congestion? 20 Who gets those? 21 certainly the congestion? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And, as you know, before a project comes to you, there's a very extensive review by Staff and many meetings, and, then, one of the discussions was that, Page 14 Page 14 Page 14 Set we verse ago. For all I know, it could have gotten worse. I suspect it hasn't gotten any better. But did you consider the congestion at like, you know, dropping off kids and picking up the children, and endangering you know, certainly the congestion? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And, as you know, before a project comes to you, there's a very extensive review by Staff and many meetings, and, then, one of the discussions was that, Page 14 Set we verse ago. For all I
This envelope contains a petition signed by the residents of the area in opposition to 33 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 the residents of the area in opposition to 33 the show, it could have gotten worse. I suspect it hasn't gotten any better. But did you consider the congestion at like, you know, dropping off kids and picking up the children, and endangering you know, certainly the congestion? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And, as you know, before a project comes to you, there's a very extensive review by Staff and many meetings, and, then, one of the discussions was that, Page 14 the entrance to the parking garage is on Navarre, which is not by the school. Navarre, which is not by the school. So those types of design decisions were made through the process, and then the actual traffic impact was reviewed by the Public Works
the residents of the area in opposition to 33 18 Alhambra in its present design. 19 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. 20 MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. 21 Who gets those? 22 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, 23 Ms. Menendez does. Thank you. 24 Anybody have questions of Staff or the 25 Applicant? Page 14 MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. 2 Ramon, they did come back and they made the 3 arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire 4 project, correct? MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the 17 hasn't gotten any better. 18 But did you consider the congestion at like, you know, dropping off kids and picking up the children, and endangering you know, certainly the congestion? 20 MR. TRIAS: Yes. And, as you know, before a project comes to you, there's a very extensive review by Staff and many meetings, and, then, one of the discussions was that, Page 14 1 MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. 2 Ramon, they did come back and they made the arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire 3 Navarre, which is not by the school. 4 So those types of design decisions were made through the process, and then the actual traffic impact was reviewed by the Public Works
Alhambra in its present design. 19 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. 20 MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. 21 Who gets those? 22 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, 23 Ms. Menendez does. Thank you. 24 Anybody have questions of Staff or the 25 Applicant? Page 14 MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. Ramon, they did come back and they made the 3 arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire 4 project, correct? MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the But did you consider the congestion at like, you know, dropping off kids and picking up the children, and endangering you know, certainly the congestion? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And, as you know, before a project comes to you, there's a very extensive review by Staff and many meetings, and, then, one of the discussions was that, Page 14 yes, the school has a lot of traffic; however, the entrance to the parking garage is on Navarre, which is not by the school. So those types of design decisions were made through the process, and then the actual traffic impact was reviewed by the Public Works
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. Who gets those? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, MS. Menendez does. Thank you. Anybody have questions of Staff or the Applicant? MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. Ramon, they did come back and they made the arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the I like, you know, dropping off kids and picking up the children, and endangering you know, certainly the congestion? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And, as you know, before a project comes to you, there's a very extensive review by Staff and many meetings, and, then, one of the discussions was that, Page 14 yes, the school has a lot of traffic; however, the entrance to the parking garage is on Navarre, which is not by the school. So those types of design decisions were made through the process, and then the actual traffic impact was reviewed by the Public Works
MS. GRANDA: You're welcome. Who gets those? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, Ms. Menendez does. Thank you. Anybody have questions of Staff or the Applicant? MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. Ramon, they did come back and they made the arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire MR. TRIAS: Yes. And, as you know, before a project comes to you, there's a very extensive review by Staff and many meetings, and, then, one of the discussions was that, Page 14 yes, the school has a lot of traffic; however, the entrance to the parking garage is on Navarre, which is not by the school. Navarre, which is not by the school. So those types of design decisions were MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the arcade ten-foot wide through what I see here, the arcade ten-foot what I see here, the arcade ten-foot wide through what I see here, the arcade ten-foot what I see here, the
21 Who gets those? 22 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, 23 Ms. Menendez does. Thank you. 24 Anybody have questions of Staff or the 25 Applicant? Page 14 MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. 2 Ramon, they did come back and they made the 3 arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire 4 project, correct? 5 MR. TRIAS: Yes. And, as you know, before 23 a project comes to you, there's a very 24 extensive review by Staff and many meetings, 25 and, then, one of the discussions was that, Page 14 1 yes, the school has a lot of traffic; however, 2 the entrance to the parking garage is on 3 Navarre, which is not by the school. 4 So those types of design decisions were 5 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 6 MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the 2 traffic impact was reviewed by the Public Works
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ms yeah, Ms. Menendez does. Thank you. Anybody have questions of Staff or the Applicant? Page 14 MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. Ramon, they did come back and they made the arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire project, correct? MR. TRIAS: Yes. And, as you know, before a project comes to you, there's a very extensive review by Staff and many meetings, and, then, one of the discussions was that, Page 14 yes, the school has a lot of traffic; however, the entrance to the parking garage is on Navarre, which is not by the school. So those types of design decisions were MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the traffic impact was reviewed by the Public Works
Ms. Menendez does. Thank you. Anybody have questions of Staff or the Applicant? Page 14 MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. Ramon, they did come back and they made the arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire project, correct? MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the Applicant of Staff or the 24 extensive review by Staff and many meetings, and, then, one of the discussions was that, Page 14 yes, the school has a lot of traffic; however, the entrance to the parking garage is on Navarre, which is not by the school. So those types of design decisions were made through the process, and then the actual traffic impact was reviewed by the Public Works
Anybody have questions of Staff or the Applicant? 24 extensive review by Staff and many meetings, and, then, one of the discussions was that, Page 14 MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. Ramon, they did come back and they made the arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire project, correct? MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the Applicant? 24 extensive review by Staff and many meetings, and, then, one of the discussions was that, Page 14 yes, the school has a lot of traffic; however, the entrance to the parking garage is on Navarre, which is not by the school. So those types of design decisions were made through the process, and then the actual traffic impact was reviewed by the Public Works
25 Applicant? Page 14 MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. Ramon, they did come back and they made the arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire project, correct? MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the Amage 14 Page 14 yes, the school has a lot of traffic; however, the entrance to the parking garage is on Navarre, which is not by the school. So those types of design decisions were made through the process, and then the actual traffic impact was reviewed by the Public Works
Page 14 MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. Ramon, they did come back and they made the arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire project, correct? MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the Page 14 yes, the school has a lot of traffic; however, the entrance to the parking garage is on Navarre, which is not by the school. So those types of design decisions were made through the process, and then the actual traffic impact was reviewed by the Public Works
MR. BEHAR: I have a question for Staff. Ramon, they did come back and they made the arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire project, correct? MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the yes, the school has a lot of traffic; however, the entrance to the parking garage is on Navarre, which is not by the school. So those types of design decisions were made through the process, and then the actual traffic impact was reviewed by the Public Works
Ramon, they did come back and they made the arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire project, correct? MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the the entrance to the parking garage is on Navarre, which is not by the school. So those types of design decisions were made through the process, and then the actual traffic impact was reviewed by the Public Works
arcade ten-foot wide throughout the entire project, correct? MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the Navarre, which is not by the school. So those types of design decisions were made through the process, and then the actual traffic impact was reviewed by the Public Works
4 project, correct? 5 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 6 MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the 4 So those types of design decisions were 5 made through the process, and then the actual traffic impact was reviewed by the Public Works
5 MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's correct. 5 made through the process, and then the actual 6 MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the 6 traffic impact was reviewed by the Public Works
6 MR. BEHAR: And from what I see here, the 6 traffic impact was reviewed by the Public Works
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
7 building is a total of 97 feet? Is that right? 7 Staff and by the consultants that deal with
8 MR. TRIAS: That's correct. There is an 8 that traffic.
9 illustration of the arcade that was attached to 9 So, from that point of view, I believe we
my memo, and that illustration shows the have addressed or at least reviewed most of the
proposed design the revised design.
MR. PEREZ: That arcade was how wide 12 points that deal with opinions about
13 before? 13 compatibility and so on, which are obviously
MR. TRIAS: Well, it had some sections that 14 open to interpretation.
were only six feet wide, so that created some 15 But, generally speaking, the project, as
16 difficulties, in terms of the pedestrian 16 proposed, has been reviewed adequately for
traffic. So they have re-configured the live 17 impacts on traffic.
work units at the ground level to allow for a 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
19 wider arcade frontage. 19 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: And the height, Ramon,
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, Ramon, I have a 20 they're allowed 97 feet now, and the proposed
question. With regard to the e-mail that was 21 development is at 97, right?
submitted by Ms. Gross, I assume you had a 22 MR. TRIAS: Yes. The height is not
23 change to review it? 23 changing. That is not part of the request.
24 MR. TRIAS: Yes. 24 The request changes other things that deal with
25 MR. RODRIGUEZ: And I just wondered if some 25 density and FAR, but not the height.

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. So, yeah, basically it's density and FAR. MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. MR. BEHAR: So they can potentially build this same sized project MR. TRIAS: The same height. MR. BEHAR: The same height. MR. TRIAS: They're on the Staff memo, which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on the staff memo, which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. TRIAS: This memo was distributed last time, but we made an extra copy for you to tonight. So if you go to Page 24, that's where the recommendations are discussed. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: As a follow-up to did we not talk about, and maybe The recollect you know, somebody could help me, did we not talk about lowering the FAR last Trecollect you know, somebody could help me, did we not talk about lowering the FAR last Trecollect you know, somebody could help me, did we not talk about lowering the FAR last Trecollect you know, somebody could help me, did we not talk about lowering the FAR last Trecollect you know, somebody could help me, did we not talk about lowering the FAR last Trecollect you know, somebody could help me, did we not talk about lowering the FAR last Tr
2 So, yeah, basically it's density and FAR. 3 MR. TRIAS: Yes. 4 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. 5 MR. BEHAR: So they can potentially build this same sized project 7 MR. TRIAS: The same height. 8 MR. BEHAR: The same height. 9 MR. TRIAS: The same height, but not the same size. The FAR can only be 2.0, instead of 3.5, and the number of units will be capped 50 units per acre, under MF2. And, then, under mixed-use, as you know, there's no cap. So that is the nature of the request. 15 MR. BEHAR: I mean, I do have a problem. 16 You said, right now it's a 2.0 FAR, correct? 17 MR. TRIAS: That would be the MF2. MF2, yeah. 18 MR. BEHAR: I mean, we're okay. I'm concerned about the density, but I know, because of the market condition, that tenants seem to do smaller units. So the density, even though it's a lot the FAR is the one that's a huge increase here. 25 Did we not talk about, and maybe 2 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We have a motion to approve in accordance with Staff recommendations. 4 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Con your whore are those recommendations? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: On your MR. TRIAS: They're on the Staff memo, which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. TRIAS: This memo was distributed last time, but we made an extra copy for you tonight. So if you go to Page 24, that's where the recommendations are discussed. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: On your MR. TRIAS: They're on the Staff memo, which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. TRIAS: The same height. MR. TRIAS: They're on the Staff memo, which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on page it's Page Number 24. MR. TRIAS: This memo was distributed last time, but we made an extra copy for you tonight. So if you go to Page 24, that's w
MR. TRIAS: Yes. Harman Flanagan: MR. BEHAR: So they can potentially build this same sized project MR. TRIAS: The same height. MR. BEHAR: The same height. MR. BEHAR: The same height. MR. TRIAS: The same height, but not the same size. The FAR can only be 2.0, instead of that is the nature of the request. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I do have a problem. MR. TRIAS: That would be the MF2. MF2, yeah. MR. TRIAS: That would be the MF2. MF2 MR. BEHAR: I mean, we're okay. Im concerned about the density, but I know, because of the market condition, that tenants seem to do smaller units. So the density, even thing in recollect you know, somebody could help me, did we not talk about lowering the FAR last time? MR. TRIAS: Yes. AR. BEHAR: Can I, before I where are those recommendations. MR. BEHAR: Can I, before I where are those recommendations again, Staff recommendations? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: On your MR. BEHAR: I know, but I want to see if we could MR. BEHAR: I know, but I want to see if we could MR. BEHAR: I know, but I want to see if we could MR. TRIAS: They're on the Staff memo, which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Con your MR. BEHAR: I mean, we're okay. I'm concerned about the density, but I know, but I know, but I know, but I know, but I know. Decause of the market condition, that tenants seem to do smaller units. So the density, even though it's a lot the FAR is the one that's a huge increase here. Did we not talk about, and maybe Page 18 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First recommendations. Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First recommendations. Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First recommendations. Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditio
4 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. 5 MR. BEHAR: So they can potentially build this same sized project 7 MR. TRIAS: The same height. 8 MR. BEHAR: The same height. 9 MR. BEHAR: The same height, but not the same size. The FAR can only be 2.0, instead of 10 3.5, and the number of units will be capped 50 11 mixed-use, as you know, there's no cap. So 13 mixed-use, as you know, there's no cap. So 14 that is the nature of the request. 15 MR. BEHAR: I mean, I do have a problem. 15 You said, right now it's a 2.0 FAR, correct? 16 MR. TRIAS: The would be the MF2. MF2, yeah. 18 MR. BEHAR: I mean, we're okay. I'm 20 concerned about the density, but I know, 21 because of the market condition, that tenants 22 seem to do smaller units. So the density, even 24 thuge increase here. 25 Did we not talk about, and maybe 18 recommendations. MR. BEHAR: Can I, before I where are those recommendations again, Staff recommendations? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: On your MR. BEHAR: I know, but I want to see if we could MR. BEHAR: I know, but I want to see if we could MR. TRIAS: They're on the Staff memo, which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. TRIAS: This memo was distributed last time, but we made an extra copy for you tonight. So if you go to Page 24, that's where the recommendations are discussed. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: As a follow-up to Member Robert Behar's comment, I think we did discuss last time reducing the FAR. And, Page 18 recollect you know, somebody could help me, did we not talk about lowering the FAR last time? Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First Reading.
MR. BEHAR: So they can potentially build this same sized project MR. TRIAS: The same height. MR. BEHAR: Inean, or cap. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I do have a problem. MR. TRIAS: That would be the MF2. MF2, yeah. MR. BEHAR: I mean, we're okay. I'm concerned about the density, but I know, because of the market condition, that tenants seem to do smaller units. So the density, even though it's a lot the FAR is the one that's a huge increase here. Did we not talk about, and maybe MR. BEHAR: Can I, before I where are those recommendations again, Staff recommendations? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: On your MR. BEHAR: I know, but I want to see if we could MR. TRIAS: They're on the Staff memo, which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on Page it's Page Number 24. MR. TRIAS: The same height. MR. TRIAS: The same height. MR. TRIAS: They're on the Staff memo, which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So four the page it's Page Number 24. MR. TRIAS: The same height. MR. TRIAS: The same height. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: As a follow-up to tonight. So if you go to Page 24, that's where the recommendations are discussed. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: As a follow-up to Member Robert Behar's comment, I think we did discuss last time reducing the FAR. And, Page 18 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First Reading.
this same sized project MR. TRIAS: The same height. MR. BEHAR: The same height, but not the same size. The FAR can only be 2.0, instead of 3.5, and the number of units will be capped 50 units per acre, under MF2. And, then, under mixed-use, as you know, there's no cap. So that is the nature of the request. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I do have a problem. You said, right now it's a 2.0 FAR, correct? MR. BEHAR: I mean, I do have a problem. You said, right now it's a 2.0 FAR, correct? MR. BEHAR: I mean, we're okay. I'm concerned about the density, but I know, because of the market condition, that tenants seem to do smaller units. So the density, even though it's a lot the FAR is the one that's a huge increase here. Did we not talk about lowering the FAR last time? definition to five the staff memo, which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. TRIAS: They're on the Staff memo, which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This memo was distributed last time, but we made an extra copy for you tonight. So if you go to Page 24, that's where the recommendations again, Staff recommendations? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: As a follow-up to MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: As a follow-up to one the staff memo, which is in front of you. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This memo was distributed last time, but we made an extra copy for you tonight. So if you go to Page 24, that's where the recommendations? Ramon, you actually do ha
MR. TRIAS: The same height. MR. BEHAR: The same height, but not the same size. The FAR can only be 2.0, instead of units per acre, under MF2. And, then, under mixed-use, as you know, there's no cap. So MR. BEHAR: I mean, I do have a problem. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: As a follow-up to tonight. So if you go to Page 24, that's where the recommendations are discussed. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: As a follow-up to Member Robert Behar's comment, I think we did discuss last time reducing the FAR. And, Page 18 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First time?
MR. BEHAR: The same height. MR. TRIAS: The same height, but not the same size. The FAR can only be 2.0, instead of the same size. The FAR is the one the same six in front of you. We had an extra copy which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. The MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. TRIAS: They're on the Staff memo, which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. TRIAS: The we are the chart have one here. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN:
MR. TRIAS: The same height, but not the same size. The FAR can only be 2.0, instead of 1.0
10 same size. The FAR can only be 2.0, instead of 11 3.5, and the number of units will be capped 50 12 units per acre, under MF2. And, then, under 13 mixed-use, as you know, there's no cap. So 14 that is the nature of the request. 15 MR. BEHAR: I mean, I do have a problem. 16 You said, right now it's a 2.0 FAR, correct? 17 MR. TRIAS: That would be the MF2. MF2, 18 yeah. 18 MR. BEHAR: I mean, we're okay. I'm 20 concerned about the density, but I know, 21 because of the market condition, that tenants 22 seem to do smaller units. So the density, even 23 though it's a lot the FAR is the one that's 24 a huge increase here. 25 Did we not talk about, and maybe 10 Chalk about lowering the FAR last 10 could 11 MR. TRIAS: They're on the Staff memo, which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. 12 MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the 13 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. 14 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on 14 Page - it's Page Number 24. 18 MR. TRIAS: This memo was distributed last time, but we made an extra copy for you tonight. So if you go to Page 24, that's where the recommendations are discussed. 15 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: As a follow-up to 15 Member Robert Behar's comment, I think we did 16 discuss last time reducing the FAR. And, 16 MR. TRIAS: They're on the Staff memo, which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. 17 MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the 15 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. 17 MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the 16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the 17 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on 18 MR. TRIAS: They're on the Staff memo, which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see
11 3.5, and the number of units will be capped 50 12 units per acre, under MF2. And, then, under 13 mixed-use, as you know, there's no cap. So 14 that is the nature of the request. 15 MR. BEHAR: I mean, I do have a problem. 16 You said, right now it's a 2.0 FAR, correct? 17 MR. TRIAS: That would be the MF2. MF2, 18 yeah. 19 MR. BEHAR: I mean, we're okay. I'm 20 concerned about the density, but I know, 21 because of the market condition, that tenants 22 seem to do smaller units. So the density, even 23 though it's a lot the FAR is the one that's 24 a huge increase here. 25 Did we not talk about lowering the FAR last 3 time? MR. TRIAS: They're on the Staff memo, which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on Page it's Page Number 24. MR. TRIAS: This memo was distributed last time, but we made an extra copy printed, and I have one here. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on Page it's Page Number 24. MR. TRIAS: They're on the Staff memo, which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on Page it's Page Number 24. MR. TRIAS: This memo was distributed last time, but we made an extra copy for you tonight. So if you go to Page 24, that's where the recommendations are discussed. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: As a follow-up to Member Robert Behar's comment, I think we did discuss last time reducing the FAR. And, Page 18 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First Reading.
units per acre, under MF2. And, then, under mixed-use, as you know, there's no cap. So that is the nature of the request. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I do have a problem. MR. TRIAS: That would be the MF2. MF2, MR. TRIAS: That would be the MF2. MF2, MR. BEHAR: I mean, we're okay. I'm MR. BEHAR: I mean, we're okay. I'm MR. BEHAR: I mean, we're okay. I'm concerned about the density, but I know, because of the market condition, that tenants concerned about the density, even though it's a lot the FAR is the one that's a huge increase here. Did we not talk about, and maybe Did we not talk about lowering the FAR last RodrigueZ: which is in front of you. We had an extra copy printed, and I have one here. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on Page it's Page Number 24. MR. TRIAS: This memo was distributed last time, but we made an extra copy for you tonight. So if you go to Page 24, that's where the recommendations are discussed. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: As a follow-up to Member Robert Behar's comment, I think we did discuss last time reducing the FAR. And, Page 18 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First time? Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First Reading.
mixed-use, as you know, there's no cap. So that is the nature of the request. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I do have a problem. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I do have a problem. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on MR. TRIAS: This memo was distributed last concerned about the density, but I know, concerned about the density, but I know, because of the market condition, that tenants concerned about the density, even though it's a lot the FAR is the one that's a huge increase here. Did we not talk about, and maybe Page 18 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First time? Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First Reading.
that is the nature of the request. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I do have a problem. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I do have a problem. MR. RODRIGUEZ: May I see what the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on MR. TRIAS: This memo was distributed last time, but we made an extra copy for you tonight. So if you go to Page 24, that's where the recommendations are discussed. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: As a follow-up to discuss last time reducing the FAR. And, Page 18 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First time? Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First Reading.
MR. BEHAR: I mean, I do have a problem. You said, right now it's a 2.0 FAR, correct? MR. TRIAS: That would be the MF2. MF2, yeah. MR. BEHAR: I mean, we're okay. I'm concerned about the density, but I know, because of the market condition, that tenants eseem to do smaller units. So the density, even though it's a lot the FAR is the one that's a huge increase here. Did we not talk about, and maybe MR. BEHAR: I mean, we're okay. I'm page 18 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This one. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on Page it's Page Number 24. MR. TRIAS: This memo was distributed last time, but we made an extra copy for you tonight. So if you go to Page 24, that's where the recommendations are discussed. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: As a follow-up to Member Robert Behar's comment, I think we did discuss last time reducing the FAR. And, Page 18 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First time? Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First Reading.
You said, right now it's a 2.0 FAR, correct? MR. TRIAS: That would be the MF2. MF2, yeah. MR. BEHAR: I mean, we're okay. I'm concerned about the density, but I know, because of the market condition, that tenants seem to do smaller units. So the density, even though it's a lot the FAR is the one that's a huge increase here. Did we not talk about, and maybe Page 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on Page it's Page Number 24. MR. TRIAS: This memo was distributed last time, but we made an extra copy for you tonight. So if you go to Page 24, that's where the recommendations are discussed. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: As a follow-up to Member Robert Behar's comment, I think we did discuss last time reducing the FAR. And, Page 18 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First time? Ramoning our actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First Reading.
MR. TRIAS: That would be the MF2. MF2, yeah. MR. BEHAR: I mean, we're okay. I'm concerned about the density, but I know, 20 because of the market condition, that tenants seem to do smaller units. So the density, even 21 though it's a lot the FAR is the one that's a huge increase here. Did we not talk about, and maybe Page 18 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Robert, they start on 18 Page it's Page Number 24. MR. TRIAS: This memo was distributed last time, but we made an extra copy for you tonight. So if you go to Page 24, that's where the recommendations are discussed. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: As a follow-up to Member Robert Behar's comment, I think we did discuss last time reducing the FAR. And, Page 18 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First time? Reading.
yeah. MR. BEHAR: I mean, we're okay. I'm concerned about the density, but I know, because of the market condition, that tenants seem to do smaller units. So the density, even though it's a lot the FAR is the one that's a huge increase here. Did we not talk about, and maybe Page it's Page Number 24. MR. TRIAS: This memo was distributed last time, but we made an extra copy for you tonight. So if you go to Page 24, that's where the recommendations are discussed. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: As a follow-up to Member Robert Behar's comment, I think we did discuss last time reducing the FAR. And, Page 18 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First time? Reading.
MR. BEHAR: I mean, we're okay. I'm concerned about the density, but I know, because of the market condition, that tenants seem to do smaller units. So the density, even though it's a lot the FAR is the one that's a huge increase here. Did we not talk about, and maybe Page 18 MR. TRIAS: This memo was distributed last time, but we made an extra copy for you tonight. So if you go to Page 24, that's where the recommendations are discussed. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: As a follow-up to Member Robert Behar's comment, I think we did discuss last time reducing the FAR. And, Page 18 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First Reading.
concerned about the density, but I know, because of the market condition, that tenants seem to do smaller units. So the density, even though it's a lot the FAR is the one that's a huge increase here. Did we not talk about, and maybe Page 18 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First Reading.
because of the market condition, that tenants seem to do smaller units. So the density, even though it's a lot the FAR is the one that's a huge increase here. Did we not talk about, and maybe Page 18 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First Reading.
seem to do smaller units. So the density, even though it's a lot the FAR is the one that's a huge increase here. Did we not talk about, and maybe Page 18 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our did we not talk about lowering the FAR last time? Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First Reading.
though it's a lot the FAR is the one that's a huge increase here. Did we not talk about, and maybe Page 18 recollect you know, somebody could help me, did we not talk about lowering the FAR last time? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: As a follow-up to Member Robert Behar's comment, I think we did discuss last time reducing the FAR. And, Page 20 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First Reading.
24 a huge increase here. 25 Did we not talk about, and maybe Page 18 recollect you know, somebody could help me, did we not talk about lowering the FAR last time? Member Robert Behar's comment, I think we did discuss last time reducing the FAR. And, Page 20 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First Reading.
Did we not talk about, and maybe Page 18 Page 18 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our did we not talk about lowering the FAR last 3 time? Did we not talk about, and maybe Page 26 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First Reading.
Page 18 recollect you know, somebody could help me, did we not talk about lowering the FAR last time? Page 18 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our conditions to be completed prior to First Reading.
1 recollect you know, somebody could help me, 2 did we not talk about lowering the FAR last 3 time? 1 Ramon, you actually do have it as one of our 2 conditions to be completed prior to First 3 Reading.
2 did we not talk about lowering the FAR last 2 conditions to be completed prior to First 3 Reading.
3 time? 3 Reading.
4 MR. TRIAS: Well, there was some discussion 4 MR. TRIAS: Yeah, and that was one of the
5 about the massing, trying to lower it towards 5 issues that we had talked about and that you
6 the neighborhood. Yes, there was some 6 may want to recommend, and it had to do with
7 discussion on that topic, which would affect 7 basically the compatibility towards the North
8 the FAR. 8 Ponce area more than anything else. I mean,
9 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Frank, Albert, 9 that was the basic idea.
10 anything? 10 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Was that discussed,
11 MR. PEREZ: No. 11 though, with the Applicant in the last two
12 I just have I'm fine. 12 months?
13 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. Frank, do you 13 MR. TRIAS: Yeah. The Applicant, as far as
have any questions or comments or are you okay? 14 I understand it, they didn't want to follow-up
MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm I mean, you know, 15 with that recommendation. The only change they
16 I'm on record with my concerns. I think I 16 made was the arcade, as we requested. The
would say, I'd be repeating myself. 17 other changes that we discussed, they did not
18 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. Then anybody 18 make.
19 want to make a motion? 19 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Those were discussed
20 MR. PEREZ: I'll make a motion to approve 20 and they decided to say, no?
21 with Staff recommendations. 21 MR. TRIAS: Yes.
22 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. 22 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay.
MR. WU: Can you make sure you speak into 23 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have a question with
24 the mike, please? 24 regard to the findings. And I just want to
25 MR. PEREZ: I'll make a motion to approve 25 understand the process a little bit more, and I

Page 21 Page 23 1 asked you -- we were talking about that last Now, as a result of that, multiple changes 2 time, and I think I got carried away, and I are made all throughout that time, multiple 3 apologize to you, if I didn't at the time. You changes that deal with access, that deal with know how I feel about you, because I've stated 4 impacts, that deal with the aesthetics. In it on the record many times. 5 fact, before the project gets to you, it is When the Staff is considering whether, for 6 reviewed officially by the Board of Architects example, this project advances the objective or 7 for aesthetics. So all that takes place. 8 policy of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan, do Now, having said all of that, there's also 9 you do that in a vacuum or do you do it in the room for disagreement, and clearly the reason 10 context of trying to find, you know, a solution we're here before you is because even though 11 or try to get the project in a place where it Staff may have an opinion and even though the advances the objectives, but it reduces Board of Architects may like the project, you 12 whatever negative impact it could have on the 13 are here to look at it objectively. neighborhood, as well? 14 And in terms of the way that I think about So is there some horse-trading, you know, 15 it, I try to think about the big picture first. with the developer? Because, you know, that's 16 And one of the issues about this project, it is my concern. Obviously, you know, I want to do 17 that it is within the Central Business or I'd like to recommend to the Commission to 18 District. It is within the Downtown. The 19 do something that I think is in the best official line that we have in the Zoning Code, interest of the citizenry. 20 that says, south of Navarre, we have Downtown; 21 And, by the way, the project, I think, for north of Navarre, we have something else, which 22 whatever it's worth, I mean, at least optically is North Ponce, in general, in the work that 23 to me, in my mind's eye, is a beautiful we've been doing. project, beautifully designed, but I am 24 So because of that, we decided that a 25 concerned about, you know, the density and the recommendation of approval, with conditions, Page 22 Page 24 amount of units and the impact in the area. 1 after a significant re-design of the project, 2 Those were my concerns before, and it's still will be consistent with the idea of the 3 Downtown development of the City. my concern. 4 Now, keep in mind that the Downtown in But, you know, I weigh -- I consider -- the 5 recommendation of the Staff to me is very Coral Gables is relatively small, if you 6 significant. So that's why I'm very interested compare it to, let's say, Brickell or Miami. 7 in how -- what process -- you know, how the There's no comparison. There's certainly no 8 process works. comparison. We're talking about 97 feet. 97 9 MR. TRIAS: Yeah. feet gives you a very good scale. If I can 10 make a personal reference. It's very similar MR. RODRIGUEZ: Tell me about the sausage 11 to, let's say, Barcelona, in terms of the scale 12 and the density, and very similar to Paris, for MR. TRIAS: And I think that's a very valid question, and most of the time, when we get 13 example, in terms of the number of stories and here, it appears that this is the first time 14 the way that we create high quality urban that a project has been reviewed. That's not 15 zones. the case. We actually have worked with this 16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: But, excuse me for Applicant for probably three years. I would 17 interrupting, the number of stories would stay say, about three years, right? 18 the same? Without any change in Zoning, they'd And during those three years, we had many 19 still be able to build a 97 --20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

meetings that deal with the details of design

and the big picture issues at the same time.

departments. So we have Staff meetings with

all of the departments. They provide comments.

in Planning, of course, but also in other

And we have a very competent professional Staff

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

MR. TRIAS: Right. Right.

Yeah. So from that point of view, the site

-- what I'm saying is that it is compatible

from that point of view. The request -- you

are correct, the request is to have additional

units. And there the issue is, is it better to

Page 25 Page 27 1 have more people Downtown or not. 1 look for that young professional, who is coming 2 2 Now, some people believe that more people and wants to live and work in Coral Gables, 3 3 means more traffic, and, therefore, object to doesn't want to have roommates. I can give you 4 any kind of project, you know, on those terms. 4 the perfect example. My daughter just 5 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Could you give me a number, 5 graduated from college. She's living in a 6 6 and maybe it's here somewhere, but, again, just three-bedroom condo here in the Gables. 7 7 point it out to me, if it is here, what they're There's a person in each bedroom. So you have 8 8 allowed to do now, in terms of square footage, three people. 9 9 and if you can ballpark the units, as opposed What we intend is to have three people in 10 to what they're asking to do. 10 three bedrooms. You can say, well, that's 11 11 MR. TRIAS: Right now it's 56 units, in triple the density, but, no, you have the same 12 terms of 50 units per acre, and it's on Page 8. 12 number of people and the people is what 13 If you look at the memorandum, it has the 13 creates, you know, the movement, the pedestrian 14 14 currently permitted square footage and units. traffic, et cetera. So I don't think you can 15 And they're requesting 189. So it's a 15 really look at density, because of the number 16 significant change. 16 of one bedrooms that we have, versus two and 17 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Triple. 17 three 18 MR. TRIAS: Okay. And that is the issue 18 And as far as the FAR, I believe we're 19 that is really before you, whether that change, 19 actually allowed 2.5 with the Med bonuses. those additional units, achieved through the 20 20 We're at 3.5. We've looked at that. Being 21 additional FAR and the fact that mixed-use 21 commercial, the developer wanted to stay within 22 22 developments within the Central Business the rights of the 3.5 commercial designation. 23 District -- and that's a factual statement, the 23 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mr. Guilford, I was 24 fact that this is within the Central Business 24 doing some math calculations. 25 25 District. Once you do a mixed-use project MR. GUILFORD: Yes, sir. Page 26 Page 28 1 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: If you take what's 1 within the Central Business District, then the 2 2 currently permitted and you do the total square density goes away. So that's how that happens. 3 3 footage divided by the number of units, I got a MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Thank you. 4 4 MR. BEHAR: I know your answer, but let little over 2,000 square feet per unit. 5 5 me -- where's the Applicant's legal MR. GUILFORD: Correct. 6 6 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: And then when I do the representation? 7 7 We asked, when you were here last time in same math calculation on what you're asking, 8 8 July, to look at the FAR and the density, at and the number of units, I get about 903 square 9 9 least the FAR, which, you know, I'm glad that 10 10 Mr. Flanagan was able to point that out. No MR. GUILFORD: Correct. 11 effort was taken into working with the FAR at 11 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So that goes to your 12 12 statement that the thought is to go for smaller 13 13 units. And while you do have -- you've got MR. GUILFORD: For the record, my name is 14 Zeke Guilford, with offices at 400 University 14 three people living in three apartment versus 15 15 Drive, representing Alhambra. three people living in one unit. 16 16 Let me start with the density first. This MR. GUILFORD: Correct. 17 17 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. is a unique project, because it has the 18 majority -- and I've just given a quote, not 18 MR. BEHAR: I mean, One, you're right, if 19 19 you had an average of 2,000 square foot per the live work units -- 84 percent are either 20 20 studios or one bedrooms. To give you something unit, you would have more bedrooms, which is 21 21 what Mr. Guilford tried to explain. When you to compare that to, last month, I believe, The 22 22 Henrys came through here, it had 36 percent. actually look at the size of the unit, you take 23 23 the overall gross area and you really take And just to, again, compare two bedrooms, we 24 24 about 85 percent of that. That is the usable, have 14 percent, they had 55 percent. 25 25 So, really, what we're doing is trying to because the rest is common areas, hallways and

Page 29 Page 31 1 all. 1 down to a matter of the requirements for 2 2 building this type of a project and the public So your average apartment unit is somewhere 3 3 in the 750 to 800, average, based on the givebacks that are all part of the conditions 4 4 of the development and how it all works percentages. 5 5 So I could see where, you know, to your together with the unit count and not making the 6 point, where you're trying to get a smaller 6 units too small for them to be comfortably 7 unit, more suitable for the younger 7 occupiable. 8 8 professionals to live and work in the Gables. And so when you're working with that blend, 9 And I don't have too much of a problem with 9 and yourself, as an architect, I'm sure you 10 10 that. But the FAR is probably one of my most understand this very well, that when you're 11 concerns, in going from the 2.5 to the 3.5, you 11 trying to find that right blend of comfortable 12 know. 12 space for an individual, plus the community 13 13 space, both within the development itself and MR. GUILFORD: Sure. 14 MR. BEHAR: And I was going to say, if 14 also for the public at large, like with the 15 there's any re-consideration from the 15 increase in the colonnade area that we did, 16 16 Applicant? which then reduced the FAR for the building --17 MR. GUILFORD: Well, let me -- I think that 17 so there was not a huge reduction, but there 18 question ought to be answered by the developer. 18 was some, where we didn't try and take that FAR 19 19 back some place else in the building. Dean, can you come up here? 20 MR. WARHAFT: Dean Warhaft, Florida East 20 21 21 Coast Realty, TWJ Alhambra, L.L.C., 100 South So in working with Staff, as we go through 22 22 Biscayne. this process and as we have for actually almost 23 23 four years, we will continue to do that, MR. BEHAR: He was up. He stood up. 24 24 He was sworn in, yes. hopefully with an approval here, hopefully with MR. COLLER: I couldn't see over there. 25 25 an approval at Commission, and then all of the Page 30 Page 32 1 way through permitting and building the 1 MR. WARHAFT: I had my hand up, and I'm an 2 2 attorney. building. 3 3 I'm sorry, could you repeat the question? So we are ready and willing to continue to 4 4 work with everybody at the City and with the MR. BEHAR: When you were here last time, 5 5 when the application was here last time -community to try and develop a quality project. 6 6 MR. WARHAFT: Yes. Originally the building was, you know, 197 7 7 feet, and through the North Ponce Charrette, we MR. BEHAR: -- we asked if there's any 8 8 possibility of re-considering your FAR. actually -- I stood before Commission, I spoke 9 9 Obviously, you know, you came back today with to Commission, we spoke about the project. As 10 10 the same. Is there any possibility that maybe a result of that, we reduced the building to 11 that FAR could -- you know, maybe make the 11 the 97 feet. 12 12 So our willingness continues, and we are building more efficient, so the FAR is not at 13 3.5, it's lower, and you still get the -- and 13 willing to continue to work with the City, but, 14 the architect may be able to answer that --14 at this point, a complete re-design is 15 15 extremely difficult. get, you know, the density, but the FAR is done 16 16 differently? MR. BEHAR: No, I don't mean a complete --17 MR. WARHAFT: Well, at this point, we're 17 and we appreciate the effort that you've made, 18 not comfortable reducing the FAR. What I will 18 because you're right. I mean, this building, 19 say with regard to that is that we are in the 19 at one point, it was much taller and you 20 Central Business District. Our property is 20 reduced it significantly. 21 21 within the Central Business District, which I And what I unfortunately -- you know, you 22 think is a key element to the project as a 22 are correct. You fall within the CBD, and that 23 23 whole and what we're trying to create for the allows you to go much, much higher, even, you 24 24 know -- but, you know, you're asking for some community. 25 And the issue that we have is that it comes 25 Zoning changes that give us a little bit of

Page 33 Page 35 1 1 power to do something differently. question that you asked. 2 2 I know you are within the CBD, and this is MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry. My question --3 3 one of those rare conditions that you do have counsel just made the point, in response to, 4 CBD, but yet the Zoning designation doesn't 4 you know, short of justifying the FAR where it 5 5 is now or what -- I'm sorry, the FAR that is match. 6 6 MR. GUILFORD: Yes. being by this project, and as I understood, 7 Mr. Behar, one thing to follow up on Dean's 7 what he said is that one of the things that he 8 8 comment is, some of the things we're doing as claimed that the project was doing was creating 9 9 part of the trade-off is, we're preserving the this public space, this park, as a 10 10 two-story structure as historic. So that is justification for the greater FAR or one of the 11 going to require maintenance through 11 justifications. 12 perpetuity. We're creating a public park. So 12 And my question to him was, as I read this, 13 I think those are some of the things we're 13 what's currently permitted is a minimum of 25 14 looking at as trade-offs for the additional 14 percent or 12,192 square feet should be used 15 FAR. 15 for landscape and open space, and what you have 16 16 MR. WARHAFT: Thank you. now, even with this park, is 16.7 percent or 17 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is that the open park part 17 8,167 square feet. 18 of the open space landscape that's 18 So I don't -- I just don't understand why 19 that should be a positive. I mean, that, to 19 referenced --20 20 me, is -- you know, it's less than what's MR. GUILFORD: Yes. 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I mean, and I don't mean to 21 currently permitted. 22 22 (Inaudible speaking.) be argumentative --MR. GUILFORD: No, absolutely. I won't 23 23 MR. BEHAR: Clear that, because I believe 24 24 take it that way. that's a misunderstanding. 25 25 MR. TRIAS: Let me clarify that issue. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. We're just talking Page 34 Page 36 It's 25 percent for MF2. So the current 1 here. Why should I credit that -- because 1 2 2 you're proposing 16.7 and what's currently requirement, at MF2, without changing the 3 3 required, I think, is a minimum -- 16.7 Zoning, is 25 percent. 4 percent, and what's required presently is a 4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 5 5 minimum of 25 percent. So you're actually --MR. TRIAS: Now, the permitted, which is 6 6 you know, you're giving less, so that this park the next line, the next column, if the changes 7 is less than what the Zoning requires now. 7 of Zoning take place, is 10 percent. So 8 8 I mean, I don't necessarily view it as a they're providing more than would be permitted; 9 9 positive right now. So I'm giving you an however, it's less than MF2. That's why it's a 10 10 opportunity to address it. little bit confusing. 11 MR. GUILFORD: I think -- and I don't have 11 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Right. 12 12 the numbers in front of me, but I know we meet MR. WARHAFT: But if I may, the fifteen 13 the Code as far as landscaping, but, again, 13 percent, it's more than would be required under we're not arguing. I would rather have the 14 14 the change. 15 architect answer, who actually did the design 15 MR. TRIAS: Right. 16 of the landscaped open space. 16 MR. WARHAFT: But, in addition to that, 17 MR. PRATT: Glen Pratt, Bellin & Pratt 17 there's also a tremendous -- and I don't have 18 Architects, 285 Sevilla Avenue. 18 the square footage in front of me, but there's 19 No, the landscaped -- well, all of the open 19 also a tremendous amount of square footage with 20 space and the landscape is all a cumulative 20 the paseo that we're providing that doesn't 21 total that's based on the arcade, it's based on 21 currently exist. So there's additional square 22 the open space itself, it's based on a number 22 foot, besides open space --23 23 of things. MR. BEHAR: But you're taking that into 24 24 And so in the space -- I didn't quite consideration to get to your number. That's 25 follow your question or I didn't hear your 25 already there.

Page 37 Page 39 1 1 in one night trying to have a conversation Let's get the architect to clarify. Are 2 2 about it. So I apologize for interrupting. you taking the arcade and the plaza square 3 3 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Let me interject with footage into your -one question, if I could. If that building was 4 MR. PRATT: Yeah. Well, the paseo is a 4 5 5 not incorporated into this project, what would part of the open space or a part of --6 6 MR. BEHAR: If you were to add the paseo have happened with the building? 7 7 that you have throughout the entire project and MR. Warhaft: If the building wasn't 8 8 incorporated into the project, the circulation the plaza, what square footage do you get? It 9 9 looks to me that the combined of those, you're of the building would be completely different, 10 10 Number One. approximately 12,000 or so. 11 11 MR. PRATT: Yeah. I believe that's CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Or you would have liked 12 12 to -- normally you would have demoed the correct. 13 13 building, right? MR. BEHAR: Okay. Because I see one at 14 MR. WARHAFT: Right. 14 8,965 and 2,940. It's 11,909. So just a 15 little bit less than that. 15 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So what happens in 16 16 Coral Gables when you go to demolish a And I think this is what, you know, Frank 17 is saying, is that you were required that, but 17 building? What's the first step you need to 18 you're providing 8,100, right? 18 19 MR. PRATT: I don't have the numbers in 19 MR. WARHAFT: We would go to Historic 20 20 front of me, but -and --21 21 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Right. And you would MR. Warhaft: If I may. Just so that I can have gotten, probably, the same determination 22 22 finish, and I think this will partially 23 that you got anyway. 23 answer -- or maybe hopefully fully answer the 24 24 MR. WARHAFT: I don't know the answer to question. 25 25 that. In addition to the paseo and the green Page 38 Page 40 space for the park that's in the northwest 1 1 (Simultaneous speaking.) 2 2 corner, the other thing that's on the site, CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. I don't want 3 3 that becomes important, is the historic undue reliance on you going there first, when 4 building, which we're the ones that actually 4 it would have happened probably no matter what. 5 5 went to the Historic, before we ever went MR. GUILFORD: Mr. Chair, if I can just 6 6 anywhere with this project, and it got clarify. 7 designated at our own behest. 7 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you, Mr. 8 8 So you've got a 2,000 square foot building, Guilford. 9 9 in addition, that becomes part of the historic MR. GUILFORD: Yes. We received a letter 10 10 fabric of Coral Gables, because we actually from Historic Preservation saying that the 11 went out of our way to do that at the very 11 building was significant. When it went to 12 12 Historic Preservation, we did not fight the beginning of this entire process. 13 13 So just to finish the train of thought and designation. We said we would incorporate it 14 also what our attorney was starting to talk 14 into the project. 15 about with the historic building, in addition, 15 Because of our incorporation, it has 16 it also created significant complications with 16 created structural issues, it's created parking 17 17 the circulation of the building and the issues, it's created a lot of other issues, had 18 parking, in order to be able to provide 18 the City would -- if the would City would have 19 19 everything adequately. let us tear it down. 20 20 So all of those things are kind of blended MR. BEHAR: Look, let me go back -- and I 21 21 should have done this -- let me commend the factors, and I know it's all shades of gray as 22 to how much weight one would provide to one 22 architect for doing a fantastic job, because, 23 23 you know, you and I have something in common, piece or the other, but, you know, this has 24 24 obviously been a multi-year process of going that we have a client that comes to us and we 25 through this, and I know now we're sitting here 25 try to put everything in that one bag that they

Page 41 1 ask for, and you're doing a great job trying to 1 2 2 lay out the building, even breaking out the 3 3 massing of the project. 4 I think the problem is that we're pushing 4 5 the architect to do a lot in this site, and 5 6 6 there's so much room that he could work with, 7 7 and he's done a fantastic job from what this condition. 8 8 came. And I remember, maybe not four years, 9 9 but two or three years ago, it was a taller believe. 10 building. I think they've done a great job and 10 11 11 I commend you for it, for doing what you're 12 12 doing today. discussion. 13 13 I think that what you're trying to do with 14 the open area, the plaza, the garden facing 14 15 more of the residential, I think creates a 15 16 16 little buffer, and you've set the building 17 back, because even the entrance to your garage 17 18 I think it's not at the property. I think you 18 19 19 set it back. 20 20 I just think that, you know, if there's 21 21 anything we can try to work for, a little bit 22 22 of the FAR, and a little bit of the density. I 23 23 don't have a problem, because I agree with 24 24 Mr. Guilford about the density, trying to get Reading." 25 25 smaller units, to allow more. Page 42 1 1

will continue to work with the community.

MR. BEHAR: Okay.

MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, and that is one of the conditions of approval that we have listed for you, exactly that process. So if you want that to happen, you could keep that

Page 43

MR. WU: Mr. Chair, we have a motion, I

CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We do, but we don't have a second yet. We've been having

MR. BEHAR: I mean, I will second the motion, if it could have a friendly amendment, is that the Applicant, before going -- when are you scheduled to go -- when will you be scheduled to go to Commission? And at the end of the day, the Commission will do whatever, you know, decision. When will you be scheduled to go to Commission?

MR. GUILFORD: Actually, I believe that's the way the condition is written. It says, "To be completed prior to the City Commission First

MR. TRIAS: Yeah. If you look at Page 25

My daughter, I am looking -- I got the paperwork here, that happens to be -- is looking for an apartment in the Gables. She doesn't want to move out of the Gables. So I'm looking at various options, and at the end of the day, the reason you do smaller units is for price point, you could be able to rent them out.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I'm not opposed, but I think that 189 units is pushing that envelope, you know, much more than I feel comfortable, you know, doing.

MR. WARHAFT: What I would say is this, is that we -- and maybe I'll say it a little bit differently than before. We have continued to work with the City, and we will continue to work with the Staff in order to create the best project, whatever that work requires.

So I can't give you an answer tonight with regard to FAR and how that impacts the overall modeling of the building, because, obviously, there's more to modeling a building than just in a set of plans, and the same thing for the density.

So the only thing that I can promise you is that we will continue to work with Staff and we Page 44

of the Staff report, there are some conditions to be completed prior to the first City Commission meeting, and (B) the Applicant shall continue to explore opportunities to reduce building mass and bulk and create a transition to residential properties to the north.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that condition is there. If you believe that it should be kept, then you have that option, and Staff is recommending that you keep it.

MR. BEHAR: I would definitely -- for me, I would keep that condition, if this was to go forward. I would definitely -- my vote would be predicated on that condition.

MR. TRIAS: And that's Staff recommendation. And the project has not been scheduled yet for the Commission, so I cannot give you a date, but certainly we have time to work with Staff -- with the Applicant to resolve the issue.

MR. PEREZ: But who does the Applicant work with on exploring the reduction of mass and bulk? Is that an item that now needs to go back to BOA? Do they work with your Staff? MR. TRIAS: They work with my Staff first,

1 2	Page 45		Page 47
	and then we'll make a determination if BOA	1	why didn't you work together and reduce the
	needs to review it. Probably they will have to	2	mass before now?
3	review it, and I think that because there	3	I mean, we had from July, August,
4	will be some changes in the aesthetics of the	4	September, October
5	building. That's my intuition at this point.	5	MR. TRIAS: We did. We did. The Applicant
6	MR. PEREZ: I have one point of clarity,	6	chose not to do it, and what happens is that
7	Ramon. On Item 14, on the Applicant's legend,	7	sometimes they need an extra push, and that's
8	just to clarify, the MXD is 125 units per acre,	8	where we are.
9	but in the CBD, MXD has no limitation on	9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I understand. Okay.
10	density.	10	MR. BEHAR: And the only way we're going to
11	MR. PRATT: That's correct. Yes, sir.	11	get them to do something is by us pushing to do
12	MR. BEHAR: So MXD, outside of the CBD, has	12	that. If not, you know, they're not going to
13	125 units per acre.	13	do anything.
14	MR. PRATT: Correct.	14	MR. WU: Mr. Chair, just to clarify, there
15	MR. BEHAR: I'll go ahead and second the	15	are three motions required. The first one is a
16	motion, if it's a friendly amendment well,	16	Comp Plan change, which requires no conditions.
17	your motion was to keep the Staff's condition	17	The second one is the Zoning Map change, which
18	and to work it out, do some continue to	18	requires no conditions. The conditions pertain
19	work correct me if I am wrong, the Staff	19	to the third motion.
20	recommendation is that the Applicant continues	20	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Got it. Thank you for
21	to work with Staff prior to going to	21	that reminder.
22	Commission.	22	MR. BEHAR: And let me ask, maybe from the
23	MR. TRIAS: Yes. That is the motion right	23	City Attorney, there's only four of us. For us
24	now on the floor, yes.	24	tonight here, what does it require how many
25	MR. BEHAR: To try to reduce the massing	25	votes are required to go forward? Is it
	Page 46		Page 48
1	and everything that we did.	1	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Four.
2	MR. TRIAS: Yes.	_	CHAINWAINT ANACIAN TOIL
_		2	
3		2 3	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review
3	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the	3	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's
4	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet.	3 4	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to
4 5	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done.	3 4 5	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may
4 5 6	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That	3 4 5 6	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If
4 5 6 7	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That already happened.	3 4 5 6 7	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If there's a tie vote and the Applicant does not
4 5 6 7 8	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That already happened. MR. BEHAR: Just for clarity, Glen, what is	3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If there's a tie vote and the Applicant does not choose for continuance, also the application
4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That already happened. MR. BEHAR: Just for clarity, Glen, what is the dimension in the garden area between the	3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If there's a tie vote and the Applicant does not choose for continuance, also the application moves forward without a recommendation. So you
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That already happened. MR. BEHAR: Just for clarity, Glen, what is the dimension in the garden area between the property line and the edge of the arcade? I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If there's a tie vote and the Applicant does not choose for continuance, also the application moves forward without a recommendation. So you need a unanimous vote to move forward with a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That already happened. MR. BEHAR: Just for clarity, Glen, what is the dimension in the garden area between the property line and the edge of the arcade? I don't see anything there. It looks somewhere	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If there's a tie vote and the Applicant does not choose for continuance, also the application moves forward without a recommendation. So you need a unanimous vote to move forward with a recommendation.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That already happened. MR. BEHAR: Just for clarity, Glen, what is the dimension in the garden area between the property line and the edge of the arcade? I don't see anything there. It looks somewhere around 30 feet.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If there's a tie vote and the Applicant does not choose for continuance, also the application moves forward without a recommendation. So you need a unanimous vote to move forward with a recommendation. MR. BEHAR: So bottom line, no matter what,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That already happened. MR. BEHAR: Just for clarity, Glen, what is the dimension in the garden area between the property line and the edge of the arcade? I don't see anything there. It looks somewhere around 30 feet. MR. PRATT: Actually, it's about 40 feet.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If there's a tie vote and the Applicant does not choose for continuance, also the application moves forward without a recommendation. So you need a unanimous vote to move forward with a recommendation. MR. BEHAR: So bottom line, no matter what, this application is going to go forward.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That already happened. MR. BEHAR: Just for clarity, Glen, what is the dimension in the garden area between the property line and the edge of the arcade? I don't see anything there. It looks somewhere around 30 feet. MR. PRATT: Actually, it's about 40 feet. MR. BEHAR: Okay.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If there's a tie vote and the Applicant does not choose for continuance, also the application moves forward without a recommendation. So you need a unanimous vote to move forward with a recommendation. MR. BEHAR: So bottom line, no matter what, this application is going to go forward. MR. TRIAS: The application will go
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That already happened. MR. BEHAR: Just for clarity, Glen, what is the dimension in the garden area between the property line and the edge of the arcade? I don't see anything there. It looks somewhere around 30 feet. MR. PRATT: Actually, it's about 40 feet. MR. BEHAR: Okay. MR. TRIAS: If you could speak into the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If there's a tie vote and the Applicant does not choose for continuance, also the application moves forward without a recommendation. So you need a unanimous vote to move forward with a recommendation. MR. BEHAR: So bottom line, no matter what, this application is going to go forward. MR. TRIAS: The application will go forward. The issue is, if four people vote for
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That already happened. MR. BEHAR: Just for clarity, Glen, what is the dimension in the garden area between the property line and the edge of the arcade? I don't see anything there. It looks somewhere around 30 feet. MR. PRATT: Actually, it's about 40 feet. MR. BEHAR: Okay. MR. TRIAS: If you could speak into the mike, please.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If there's a tie vote and the Applicant does not choose for continuance, also the application moves forward without a recommendation. So you need a unanimous vote to move forward with a recommendation. MR. BEHAR: So bottom line, no matter what, this application is going to go forward. MR. TRIAS: The application will go forward. The issue is, if four people vote for it, it goes forward with a recommendation,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That already happened. MR. BEHAR: Just for clarity, Glen, what is the dimension in the garden area between the property line and the edge of the arcade? I don't see anything there. It looks somewhere around 30 feet. MR. PRATT: Actually, it's about 40 feet. MR. BEHAR: Okay. MR. TRIAS: If you could speak into the mike, please. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I have a question	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If there's a tie vote and the Applicant does not choose for continuance, also the application moves forward without a recommendation. So you need a unanimous vote to move forward with a recommendation. MR. BEHAR: So bottom line, no matter what, this application is going to go forward. MR. TRIAS: The application will go forward. The issue is, if four people vote for it, it goes forward with a recommendation, approval or denial. Anything else than that it
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That already happened. MR. BEHAR: Just for clarity, Glen, what is the dimension in the garden area between the property line and the edge of the arcade? I don't see anything there. It looks somewhere around 30 feet. MR. PRATT: Actually, it's about 40 feet. MR. BEHAR: Okay. MR. TRIAS: If you could speak into the mike, please. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I have a question about that, because maybe you can educate me on	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If there's a tie vote and the Applicant does not choose for continuance, also the application moves forward without a recommendation. So you need a unanimous vote to move forward with a recommendation. MR. BEHAR: So bottom line, no matter what, this application is going to go forward. MR. TRIAS: The application will go forward. The issue is, if four people vote for it, it goes forward with a recommendation, approval or denial. Anything else than that it just simply goes forward without
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That already happened. MR. BEHAR: Just for clarity, Glen, what is the dimension in the garden area between the property line and the edge of the arcade? I don't see anything there. It looks somewhere around 30 feet. MR. PRATT: Actually, it's about 40 feet. MR. BEHAR: Okay. MR. TRIAS: If you could speak into the mike, please. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I have a question about that, because maybe you can educate me on how this process works. Why wasn't this done	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If there's a tie vote and the Applicant does not choose for continuance, also the application moves forward without a recommendation. So you need a unanimous vote to move forward with a recommendation. MR. BEHAR: So bottom line, no matter what, this application is going to go forward. MR. TRIAS: The application will go forward. The issue is, if four people vote for it, it goes forward with a recommendation, approval or denial. Anything else than that it just simply goes forward without recommendation.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That already happened. MR. BEHAR: Just for clarity, Glen, what is the dimension in the garden area between the property line and the edge of the arcade? I don't see anything there. It looks somewhere around 30 feet. MR. PRATT: Actually, it's about 40 feet. MR. BEHAR: Okay. MR. TRIAS: If you could speak into the mike, please. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I have a question about that, because maybe you can educate me on how this process works. Why wasn't this done before? I mean, we had some time from July	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If there's a tie vote and the Applicant does not choose for continuance, also the application moves forward without a recommendation. So you need a unanimous vote to move forward with a recommendation. MR. BEHAR: So bottom line, no matter what, this application is going to go forward. MR. TRIAS: The application will go forward. The issue is, if four people vote for it, it goes forward with a recommendation, approval or denial. Anything else than that it just simply goes forward without recommendation. MR. RODRIGUEZ: So it would require four no
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That already happened. MR. BEHAR: Just for clarity, Glen, what is the dimension in the garden area between the property line and the edge of the arcade? I don't see anything there. It looks somewhere around 30 feet. MR. PRATT: Actually, it's about 40 feet. MR. BEHAR: Okay. MR. TRIAS: If you could speak into the mike, please. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I have a question about that, because maybe you can educate me on how this process works. Why wasn't this done before? I mean, we had some time from July until now, and I'm not I mean I mean, if	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If there's a tie vote and the Applicant does not choose for continuance, also the application moves forward without a recommendation. So you need a unanimous vote to move forward with a recommendation. MR. BEHAR: So bottom line, no matter what, this application is going to go forward. MR. TRIAS: The application will go forward. The issue is, if four people vote for it, it goes forward with a recommendation, approval or denial. Anything else than that it just simply goes forward without recommendation. MR. RODRIGUEZ: So it would require four no votes to go forward with a recommendation not
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That already happened. MR. BEHAR: Just for clarity, Glen, what is the dimension in the garden area between the property line and the edge of the arcade? I don't see anything there. It looks somewhere around 30 feet. MR. PRATT: Actually, it's about 40 feet. MR. BEHAR: Okay. MR. TRIAS: If you could speak into the mike, please. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I have a question about that, because maybe you can educate me on how this process works. Why wasn't this done before? I mean, we had some time from July until now, and I'm not I mean I mean, if what you're asking for is a recommendation by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If there's a tie vote and the Applicant does not choose for continuance, also the application moves forward without a recommendation. So you need a unanimous vote to move forward with a recommendation. MR. BEHAR: So bottom line, no matter what, this application is going to go forward. MR. TRIAS: The application will go forward. The issue is, if four people vote for it, it goes forward with a recommendation, approval or denial. Anything else than that it just simply goes forward without recommendation. MR. RODRIGUEZ: So it would require four no votes to go forward with a recommendation not to do it. It would require four yes votes
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That already happened. MR. BEHAR: Just for clarity, Glen, what is the dimension in the garden area between the property line and the edge of the arcade? I don't see anything there. It looks somewhere around 30 feet. MR. PRATT: Actually, it's about 40 feet. MR. BEHAR: Okay. MR. TRIAS: If you could speak into the mike, please. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I have a question about that, because maybe you can educate me on how this process works. Why wasn't this done before? I mean, we had some time from July until now, and I'm not I mean I mean, if what you're asking for is a recommendation by this Board, based upon the fact that you're	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If there's a tie vote and the Applicant does not choose for continuance, also the application moves forward without a recommendation. So you need a unanimous vote to move forward with a recommendation. MR. BEHAR: So bottom line, no matter what, this application is going to go forward. MR. TRIAS: The application will go forward. The issue is, if four people vote for it, it goes forward with a recommendation, approval or denial. Anything else than that it just simply goes forward without recommendation. MR. RODRIGUEZ: So it would require four no votes to go forward with a recommendation not to do it. It would require four yes votes for
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. PEREZ: And incorporating the expanding the width of the arcade to ten feet. MR. BEHAR: Well, that was already done. MR. TRIAS: That's done already. That already happened. MR. BEHAR: Just for clarity, Glen, what is the dimension in the garden area between the property line and the edge of the arcade? I don't see anything there. It looks somewhere around 30 feet. MR. PRATT: Actually, it's about 40 feet. MR. BEHAR: Okay. MR. TRIAS: If you could speak into the mike, please. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I have a question about that, because maybe you can educate me on how this process works. Why wasn't this done before? I mean, we had some time from July until now, and I'm not I mean I mean, if what you're asking for is a recommendation by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COLLER: Charles, you want to review the new rule that's MR. WU: A motion requires four votes to move forward. Any votes less than four may move forward without a recommendation. If there's a tie vote and the Applicant does not choose for continuance, also the application moves forward without a recommendation. So you need a unanimous vote to move forward with a recommendation. MR. BEHAR: So bottom line, no matter what, this application is going to go forward. MR. TRIAS: The application will go forward. The issue is, if four people vote for it, it goes forward with a recommendation, approval or denial. Anything else than that it just simply goes forward without recommendation. MR. RODRIGUEZ: So it would require four no votes to go forward with a recommendation not to do it. It would require four yes votes

	Page 49		Page 51
1	going to go forward with no recommendation from	1	conditions. It's just a map change.
2	the Board.	2	MR. BEHAR: Which is the one that will
3	MR. TRIAS: That is correct. Yes.	3	require the conditions to be
4	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. So as to	4	MR. WU: Number 7.
5	Item Number 5, which is let's go back and do	5	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Number 7, the
6	these one at a time. Item Number 5 is the Comp	6	mixed-use.
7	Plan change. We'll need a motion and a second	7	MR. TRIAS: The mixed-use.
8	on that. That takes it from Multi-Family	8	MR. PEREZ: I'll make a motion for Number
9	Medium Density to Commercial Mid-Rise.	9	6.
10	MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion for	10	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We have a motion.
11	approval.	11	MR. BEHAR: I'll second it.
12	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Motion to approve	12	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We have a second. Any
13	Number 5.	13	further discussion?
14	MR. BEHAR: And Mid-Rise is the one that	14	Seeing none, Jill, call the roll, please.
15	allows you 97 feet.	15	THE SECRETARY: Frank Rodriguez?
16	MR. TRIAS: That's correct, yes.	16	MR. RODRIGUEZ: No.
17	MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion for that	17	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
18	approval.	18	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: How is that different from	19	THE SECRETARY: Alberto Perez?
20	what you have now? I don't understand. You're	20	MR. PEREZ: Yes.
21	allowed 97 feet now. So what does this change?	21	THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan?
22	MR. TRIAS: It allows for the Commercial	22	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes.
23	Zoning to be requested and it allows for the	23	Item Number 7 is the mixed-use approval of
24	mixed-use to be requested, which gives the	24	the project. This is the one that can have
25	additional density. That's the reason.	25	conditions.
	Page 50		Page 52
1	The request doesn't affect the height.	1	MR. PEREZ: So is Number 7 the one that
2	That we know. It does affect the density and	2	I
3	the FAR.	3	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That you initially
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: All right.	4	approved, right.
5	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We have a motion.	5	MR. BEHAR: Well, there's already a motion
6	MR. PEREZ: I'll second.	6	made on that
7	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We have a second. Any	7	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yeah. But just so
8	additional discussion?	8	we're clear on the record, let's go ahead and
9	Seeing none, Jill, if you'll call the roll,	9	move it again if you would.
10	please, on Item Number 5.	10	MR. PEREZ: So I'll make a motion with
11	THE SECRETARY: Alberto Perez?	11	Staff's recommendation
12	MR. PEREZ: Yes.	12	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay.
13	THE SECRETARY: Frank Rodriguez?	13	MR. PEREZ: for Number 7.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: No.	14	MR. BEHAR: I'll second it.
		15	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We have a motion and a
15	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	1 1 0	CIT III AT I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
15 16	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes.	16	second on Number 7. Do we have any further
	MR. BEHAR: Yes.		
16		16	second on Number 7. Do we have any further discussion?
16 17	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes.	16 17	second on Number 7. Do we have any further
16 17 18 19	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. Next item is Number 6. This is the Zoning	16 17 18	second on Number 7. Do we have any further discussion? Hearing none, Jill, call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alberto Perez?
16 17 18 19 20	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. Next item is Number 6. This is the Zoning change. This takes it from MF2 to Commercial.	16 17 18 19 20	second on Number 7. Do we have any further discussion? Hearing none, Jill, call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alberto Perez? MR. PEREZ: Yes.
16 17 18 19	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. Next item is Number 6. This is the Zoning change. This takes it from MF2 to Commercial. MR. TRIAS: And the nature of the request	16 17 18 19	second on Number 7. Do we have any further discussion? Hearing none, Jill, call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alberto Perez? MR. PEREZ: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Frank Rodriguez?
16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. Next item is Number 6. This is the Zoning change. This takes it from MF2 to Commercial. MR. TRIAS: And the nature of the request is that once that happens, then the mixed-use	16 17 18 19 20 21	second on Number 7. Do we have any further discussion? Hearing none, Jill, call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alberto Perez? MR. PEREZ: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Frank Rodriguez? MR. RODRIGUEZ: No.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. Next item is Number 6. This is the Zoning change. This takes it from MF2 to Commercial. MR. TRIAS: And the nature of the request is that once that happens, then the mixed-use can be requested. That is why all of these	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	second on Number 7. Do we have any further discussion? Hearing none, Jill, call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alberto Perez? MR. PEREZ: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Frank Rodriguez? MR. RODRIGUEZ: No. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan? CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. Next item is Number 6. This is the Zoning change. This takes it from MF2 to Commercial. MR. TRIAS: And the nature of the request is that once that happens, then the mixed-use	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	second on Number 7. Do we have any further discussion? Hearing none, Jill, call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Alberto Perez? MR. PEREZ: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Frank Rodriguez? MR. RODRIGUEZ: No.

Page 53 Page 1 1 areas right next to residential and we believed CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: And with the 2 2 that that would be a good idea, to limit the understanding that the Applicant is going to do 3 3 hours of operation within close proximity to more than explore the opportunity, because exploring is a very broad, and -- so I'm going 4 4 residential. 5 to suggest and recommend strongly that we do 5 Certainly, if you think there's a better 6 6 more than explore. And with that, I will vote, dimension, we could review that. 7 7 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I don't know that a 8 8 hundred is good or bad. I just wasn't sure MR. TRIAS: Thank you. The project goes 9 9 forward without a recommendation. where that number came from. 10 10 MR. TRIAS: It's basically just to limit MR. GUILFORD: Thank you all very much. 11 the impacts on neighborhoods. 11 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. Next item on the agenda is Item Number 8. 12 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So is it a hundred feet 12 from the residential district or is it 13 It's an Ordinance of the City Commission of 13 14 properties that are located within a hundred 14 Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text 15 amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official 15 feet? MR. TRIAS: A hundred feet from the 16 Zoning Code, Article 4, "Zoning Districts," 16 17 Division 4, "Prohibited Uses," Section 4-411, district, from the line of the -- which is 17 typically he property line. 18 "Parking in residential areas;" amending the 18 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I mean, it could 19 19 provisions for loading and unloading of 20 theo etically cut a commercial property, let's 20 commercial vehicles within residential 21 districts and within a specific distance of 21 v. in half. 22 22 MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes. residential districts; providing for repealer provision, severability clause, codification 23 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So the half closest to 23 24 24 and providing for an effective date. the residential. 25 5 MR. TRIAS: Yes. Mr. Trias. Page 1 1 MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, this is a minor CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So they could still 2 2 load and unload, they just have to do it -change that re-arranges some text in the Code 3 and adds one minor provision. 3 MR. TRIAS: They have to do it farther away 4 4 MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, you might want from the house. 5 to wait two minutes to allow -- there w. go. 5 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. An body have any 6 6 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. comments, thoughts? 7 7 MR. TRIAS: We are re-arranging some of the Anybody want to make a motion? 8 MR. BELLIN: I'll make a motion to approve. 8 text that limits the hours of operation for 9 9 MR. PEREZ: Just to be clear, so what commercial vehicles, places it somewhere else 10 10 exactly is it that they're requesting, the in the Code, and then we're adding that in 11 addition to -- this being applicable to 11 distance? 12 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Right. The change, 12 Single-Family Zoning also area within a right, Ramon -- the change is just the distance 13 hundred feet of that Zoning will be affected by 13 14 14 of being --MR. TRIAS: That is the only change, yes. 15 So we're expanding slightly the application 15 MR. PEREZ And what is it now? 16 16 of this requirement. 17 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. It's a public 17 MR. TRIA S: Zero. Right now it's only 18 hearing tem. We'll open the public hearing. 18 residential districts. So we're saying, within 19 19 a hundred feet, we also apply the same Anybody wish to speak on Item Number 8 on the agerida? 20 requirements. 20 Seeing none, we'll close the public 21 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: How hard is it going to 21 22 22 hearing. e to enforce? Are you going to take a tape 23 measurer and do a hundred feet? Is there any 23 Ramon, where did the hundred feet come 24 thought to making it, if the property is within from? a hundred feet, that way it's easier to say,

MR. TRIAS: There may be some commercial