City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting Wednesday, July 13, 2016 Coral Gables City Commission Chambers 405 Biltmore Way, Coral Gables, Florida

MEMBERS	J13	F10	M 9	A 13	M11	M17	J8	J13	A10	S 14	012	N9	APPOINTMENT
	'16	'16	'16	'16	'16	'16	'16	'16	'16	'16	'16	'16	
Robert Behar	P	Е	P	P	P	Е	P	P					Board-As-A-Whole
Marshall Bellin	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P					Commissioner Vince Lago
Jeffrey Flanagan - Chair	Е	P	P	P	Р	Р	Е	P					Commissioner Pat Keon
Julio Grabiel	P	P	Е	Е	P	Е	P	P					Mayor Jim Cason
Maria A. Menendez Vice Chair	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P					City Manager Swanson- Rivenbark
Alberto Perez	P	Е	P	P	P	P	P	P					Commissioner Frank C. Quesada
Frank Rodriguez	Е	P	P	P	P	Е	P	P					Commissioner Jeannett Slesnick

P = Present

E = Excused

C = Meeting Cancelled

City Staff and Consultants:

Charles Wu, Asst. Asst. Development Services Director Ramon Trias, Planning & Zoning Director Megan McLaughlin, City Planner Jill Menendez, Adm. Assistant

Craig H. Coller, Esq., Special Counsel

Dona Spain, Historic Preservation Officer Leonard Robert, Economic Development Asst. Director Javier Betancourt, Economic Development Director Yamilet Senespleda, Public Work City Engineer

Court Reporter:

Nieves Sanchez

Attachments:

- -07 13 16 Planning and Zoning Board Verbatim Minutes
- -07 13 16 Attendance/Speaker Sign In Sheet
- -Comparison of current and proposed development regulations for Giralda Plaza provided by the BID
- -Staff's PowerPoint Presentation re: North Ponce Neighborhood Conservation District
- -Recommendation re Large –scale development North of Alhambra Circle and Alhambra Plaza entered by Mario Garcia-Serra
- -Staff's PowerPoint Presentation re: 728 Navarre Avenue

July 13, 2016 – Local Planning Agency (LPA)/Planning and Zoning Board Meeting Page 2

- -Petition in support of 728 Navarre Avenue entered into the record by Mario Garcia-Serra
- -Staff's PowerPoint Presentation re: 33 Alhambra
- -Email with Public Works Department Comment re: 33 Alhambra
- -Emails entered into the record by Rita Gross re: 33 Alhambra

	Page 81		Page 83
1	MS. MENENDEZ: We saw some versions that	1	THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez?
2	had that step back, remember, on Giralda? And	2	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes.
	I think we had approved some.	3	THE SECRETARY: Alberto Perez?
4	MR. GRABIEL: My point is, I think we need	4	MR. PEREZ: Yes.
	to look at it a little bit more.	5	THE SECRETARY: Frank Rodriguez?
6	MR. TRIAS: Ms. Menendez is correct. That	6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
	was the first version you saw, and then it's	7	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
	gone through changes. So, I think, if we bring	8	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
	it back to you, after listening to all of this	9	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin?
	input, I think you'll be ready to take action	10	MR. BELLIN: Yes.
	at that point.	11	THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan?
12	MR. BELLIN: Ramon, I have a question. If	12	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes.
	you go four stories say you go four stories,	13	MR. COLLER: I said, "August date." I
	then you have to provide some parking.	14	didn't say, "8."
15	MR. TRIAS: Yes.	15	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Oh, sorry.
16	MR. BELLIN: How do you do that in a	16	MR. COLLER: What is the date? Before we
17	50-foot wide lot?	17	get off of this, what would the date be, Ramon,
18	MR. TRIAS: From the alley. You can have a	18	for that meeting?
	few parking spaces.	19	MR. TRIAS: August 10th.
20	MR. BELLIN: It just seems, from a	20	MR. COLLER: August 10th, okay.
	practical standpoint, on these small lots, and	21	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. August
	there are so many other issues to be able to	22	10th. So for everybody that's here listening
	get to those units, you need elevators, you	23	or watching, it will come back August 10th.
	need two means of egress, you need corridors,	24	The meeting starts at six o'clock, here at City
	you need windows. I really don't see how you	25	Hall.
	Page 82		Page 84
1	could develop	1	Okay. The next two items, 8 and 9, are
2	MR. TRIAS: I share the same view. The	2	related. We'll read them in, and then end up
3	fact of the matter is that the two means of	3	voting on them separately.
4	egress by itself makes it very difficult to do	4	Item Number 8 is an Ordinance of the City
	multi-story residential in a narrow lot.	5	Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing
6	MR. BELLIN: Okay.	6	for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables
7	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Any more comments?	7	Official Zoning Code, by amending Article 4,
8	Does everybody agree you're going to be	8	"Zoning Districts," adding Section 4-207,
9	back?	9	
			"North Ponce Neighborhood Conservation Overlay
10	MR. TRIAS: I'll try to be back in August,	10	"North Ponce Neighborhood Conservation Overlay District" to modify and supplement the existing
10	MR. TRIAS: I'll try to be back in August, unless you want to cancel the August meeting.		District" to modify and supplement the existing
10		10	District" to modify and supplement the existing Multi-Family 2 standards and criteria to allow
10 11 12	unless you want to cancel the August meeting.	10 11	District" to modify and supplement the existing Multi-Family 2 standards and criteria to allow appropriate infill and redevelopment that
10 11 12	unless you want to cancel the August meeting. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's both items,	10 11 12	District" to modify and supplement the existing Multi-Family 2 standards and criteria to allow appropriate infill and redevelopment that preserves and enhances the character of the
10 11 12 13 14	unless you want to cancel the August meeting. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's both items, sorry, on 6 and 7.	10 11 12 13	District" to modify and supplement the existing Multi-Family 2 standards and criteria to allow appropriate infill and redevelopment that
10 11 12 13 14 15	unless you want to cancel the August meeting. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's both items, sorry, on 6 and 7. MR. COLLER: Do we have a motion to defer	10 11 12 13 14	District" to modify and supplement the existing Multi-Family 2 standards and criteria to allow appropriate infill and redevelopment that preserves and enhances the character of the neighborhood; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause,
10 11 12 13 14 15	unless you want to cancel the August meeting. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's both items, sorry, on 6 and 7. MR. COLLER: Do we have a motion to defer until the August date? Is that the desire of	10 11 12 13 14	District" to modify and supplement the existing Multi-Family 2 standards and criteria to allow appropriate infill and redevelopment that preserves and enhances the character of the neighborhood; providing for a repealer
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	unless you want to cancel the August meeting. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's both items, sorry, on 6 and 7. MR. COLLER: Do we have a motion to defer until the August date? Is that the desire of the Board?	10 11 12 13 14 15	District" to modify and supplement the existing Multi-Family 2 standards and criteria to allow appropriate infill and redevelopment that preserves and enhances the character of the neighborhood; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, codification and providing for an effective date.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	unless you want to cancel the August meeting. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's both items, sorry, on 6 and 7. MR. COLLER: Do we have a motion to defer until the August date? Is that the desire of the Board? MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion to defer it.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	District" to modify and supplement the existing Multi-Family 2 standards and criteria to allow appropriate infill and redevelopment that preserves and enhances the character of the neighborhood; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, codification and providing for an effective date. Item Number 9 is an Ordinance of the City
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	unless you want to cancel the August meeting. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's both items, sorry, on 6 and 7. MR. COLLER: Do we have a motion to defer until the August date? Is that the desire of the Board? MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion to defer it. MR. GRABIEL: I second it.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	District" to modify and supplement the existing Multi-Family 2 standards and criteria to allow appropriate infill and redevelopment that preserves and enhances the character of the neighborhood; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, codification and providing for an effective date. Item Number 9 is an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	unless you want to cancel the August meeting. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's both items, sorry, on 6 and 7. MR. COLLER: Do we have a motion to defer until the August date? Is that the desire of the Board? MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion to defer it. MR. GRABIEL: I second it. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: A motion and a second	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	District" to modify and supplement the existing Multi-Family 2 standards and criteria to allow appropriate infill and redevelopment that preserves and enhances the character of the neighborhood; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, codification and providing for an effective date. Item Number 9 is an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting an amendment to the Zoning Map pursuant to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	unless you want to cancel the August meeting. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's both items, sorry, on 6 and 7. MR. COLLER: Do we have a motion to defer until the August date? Is that the desire of the Board? MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion to defer it. MR. GRABIEL: I second it. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: A motion and a second to defer to August 8, which is our next	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	District" to modify and supplement the existing Multi-Family 2 standards and criteria to allow appropriate infill and redevelopment that preserves and enhances the character of the neighborhood; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, codification and providing for an effective date. Item Number 9 is an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting an amendment to the Zoning Map pursuant to Zoning Code Article 3, "Development Review",
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	unless you want to cancel the August meeting. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's both items, sorry, on 6 and 7. MR. COLLER: Do we have a motion to defer until the August date? Is that the desire of the Board? MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion to defer it. MR. GRABIEL: I second it. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: A motion and a second to defer to August 8, which is our next meeting.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	District" to modify and supplement the existing Multi-Family 2 standards and criteria to allow appropriate infill and redevelopment that preserves and enhances the character of the neighborhood; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, codification and providing for an effective date. Item Number 9 is an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting an amendment to the Zoning Map pursuant to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	unless you want to cancel the August meeting. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: That's both items, sorry, on 6 and 7. MR. COLLER: Do we have a motion to defer until the August date? Is that the desire of the Board? MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion to defer it. MR. GRABIEL: I second it. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: A motion and a second to defer to August 8, which is our next meeting. No further discussion? Jill, call the	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	District" to modify and supplement the existing Multi-Family 2 standards and criteria to allow appropriate infill and redevelopment that preserves and enhances the character of the neighborhood; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, codification and providing for an effective date. Item Number 9 is an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting an amendment to the Zoning Map pursuant to Zoning Code Article 3, "Development Review", Division 14, "Zoning Code Text and Map

Page 85 Page 87 1 1 Section L, Coral Gables, Florida; and providing understand it as well as we could. 2 2 for severability, repealer and an effective We've had some specific surveys and some 3 3 date. The legal description is on file with other ways to provide input. All of that was 4 the City. 4 collected by Staff. And as a result of that, 5 5 we're here today. Mr. Trias. 6 6 MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I In general, I would say that what we heard 7 have a PowerPoint. 7 was that there was a desire to protect the 8 8 And we have discussed this issues in the unique character of this neighborhood, which 9 9 past. So today we have a few specific deals with the small scale of historic 10 10 recommendations for your discussion. We've had buildings and older apartment buildings. And 11 11 our public outreach, as you know, and a lot of the fact was that it was difficult to do new 12 public notification. construction and new buildings, given the Code 12 13 I really take issue with individuals who 13 requirements. And, again, the main issue 14 claim that somehow we don't send out the 14 appeared to be parking requirements. 15 notification, because we do. We do, and we do 15 In addition, there was a desire to have 16 16 better regulations for the Mixed-Use area of it in multiple ways. We do it in ways that are 17 required by the Code, and, in fact, many times 17 the North Ponce area, and that will come --18 we go beyond what the Code requires. 18 that will be coming to you in the future. That 19 And as you can see here, the process -- the 19 is not what we're dealing with today. Today 20 community plan process has been explained, 20 we're not dealing with the Ponce de Leon 21 21 District. We're going to deal with the advertised multiple times, and the actual 22 22 Conservation District, which is the notification for the Conversation District. 23 23 which is the section of that North Ponce neighborhood area. 24 24 Those are the two Overlays that I proposed planning process that we are bringing before 25 25 you, was advertised. We had a mail out to all as a way to deal with some of the Page 86 Page 88 1 of the property owners in the area, and I had 1 implementation of this community vision of 2 2 three Staff people working, for two full days, protecting the beauty and the function of the 3 folding notices, and they did a wonderful job, 3 neighborhood. In addition, we recommended some 4 and I hope everybody got their notice. 4 planning and preservations studies and some 5 5 And, then, in terms of public meetings, we capital projects and some community amenities. 6 6 had a Commission discussion multiple times back Those were strategies that we proposed. 7 last year and the year before. We had a 7 So today we are doing Step Number One. 8 8 Community Visioning Workshop about a year ago. Step Number One has two related issues. One is 9 9 We also had a Commission meeting last August. the Conservation District, and the other one is 10 10 We had a Commission Workshop, and we had -- as the Bed and Breakfast establishments, which 11 recently as last May, we had a Community 11 happens to be Item Number 10. You did not read 12 Planning Meeting, which was very well attended, 12 it, because it's a separate item, but they're 13 in one of the local churches in the North Ponce 13 related, because it's the same area. 14 14 The Zoning Map Amendment is that boundary 15 15 of the District, the Conservation District. It We've had discussions with the Planning and 16 Zoning Board, and we will take this to the 16 is shown here with the same map that you saw in 17 17 the previous item for Giralda. The same color Commission. 18 18 coding applies. The light color buildings are Now, you may recall, if you attended some 19 of your sessions, that they were very 19 pre 1963, the red buildings are after 1963, 20 interactive and we had a chance to have many 20 which deal with the change in the parking 21 21 exercises that dealt with visioning. Some of requirements. 22 you may recognize yourselves in the pictures. 22 If you look at the area and identify the 23 Hopefully some of you are there. 23 historic properties in black, you can see that 24 24 Then we've had, as I said, some audits, there are some historically designated 25 walking audits of the area, trying to 25 buildings, and that there are many other

Page 89 Page 91

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

buildings that are similar in size and compatible with that kind of pattern of development all throughout, but they're not necessarily designated historic.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the idea of having a Conservation District is a way of providing incentives, without having to do a Historic Preservation process, which may be a little bit excessive in many cases, and some of the benefits that are achieved with that may be achieved in a easier way, in a simpler way, through the Conservation District. So that is why Staff is proposing this idea.

Again, this is the area as it looks in the aerial photograph. As you can see, it's very well built out. There are very few gaps. So, in terms of re-development areas in Florida, this one is a very good one. It is actually extremely high quality. And in terms of Zoning, it's fairly consistent. It's mostly MF2, which is the multi-family -- the apartment designation that we have, and the Future Land Use is also very consistent with that. So that is the area that we're trying to designate. Now, in terms of what we're trying to do,

In addition, we're also having some new requirements for small buildings and some landscape standards that address the frontage along the streets, because one of the beautiful characteristics of the North Ponce is that all of these small buildings are called Garden Apartments, and Garden Apartments is a term that was used later on, in suburban projects differently, but those are the real Garden Apartments, the ones that are on North Ponce, which is that they have 20-foot front yards, more or less, no parking in the front, trees, and that is really the beauty and the quality of the neighborhood.

And this is one example, and this is what we're talking about. And these are the buildings from the 1920s. And, as you can see, they're very urban, in a good sense. They create good public space. They front the street properly. They don't have any kind of parking in the ground floor that is seen and so on. So all of those things, I think, are very, very helpful.

So the Historic benefits that are being proposed is to allow a Bed and Breakfast, and

Page 90

that's already in the books. It's just that the regulations that we have don't encourage the Bed and Breakfast use, and to allow home office, and live work, and allow museums, pre-schools and schools. Parking, there's a parking waiver idea, and

the ability to have remote parking, at a reasonable rate, also in the public parking lots, in order to be able to encourage the high quality development of the Historic buildings. Most of them don't have a lot of parking.

They have some parking. Certainly, I wouldn't say that there's no parking. There's parking. But when there's a need for more parking, it should be done or it could be done remotely.

There's some economic incentives, such as the TDR. That's already in the books. We're trying to explore some other receiving sites. And then there's also the ability to have some signage. Right now there's no signage that you can do, that is free-standing, in those front vards.

So the Garden Apartment Conservation Program -- and as you can see, this is the

there are some similarities to the discussion that we had with Giralda, in the sense that just as in other areas in the City, there's a distinction between the large parcels, the ones that are 20,000 square feet or larger, and the kinds of things you can do, meaning you can go higher, in terms of height, mostly, and we're not proposing any changes to that. So that remains the same.

What we're trying to do is to assist and incentivize the development and preservation of the smaller parcels, which are the ones that right now are difficult in the Code.

So there are multiple ways that we're proposing to deal with this, and one of them is to continue to encourage the Historic Preservation Program, which is very good, and they do an excellent job. And Number Two is to allow for modifications and additions to buildings that are pre 1964, not necessarily historically designated, but all of the older buildings, to allow for additions in a way that deals with parking and deals with the requirements in a way that allows for those additions to happen.

Page 92

Page 93 Page 95 1 1 the Bed and Breakfast Ordinance, which is Garden Apartment feel that I was describing 2 2 before -- will provide for the Historic coming next, to be a little faster. 3 3 Preservation Staff review of exterior Bed and Breakfast will apply to basically the same area of North Ponce. Currently, 4 architectural features, open space and site 4 5 5 there's regulations in the books, since 1993, work, and variances for rear and side additions 6 6 and auxiliary buildings. That deals with that allow for a Bed and Breakfast, and there 7 7 density, FAR, open space and setbacks. And the has been no application since 1993 that has 8 8 most important idea, I think, in terms of a been approved. 9 9 practical application, is to provide for a So what happens is that the kind of 10 10 regulations that we have in the books made it Parking Management Plan. Meaning that you 11 11 don't necessarily have to park all of your very difficult, and mostly the issue was that 12 they require too much parking. Clearly, if you parking on-site. You could have some on-site, 12 13 but you can have some remotely, and maybe some 13 have a Historic building, and all of a sudden 1 4 even on the street, and explain a Management 14 you have to provide, let's say, 20 parking 15 Plan that makes sense. And if it is properly 15 spaces, that is going to be very difficult. 16 16 So what we are recommending is that done and appropriate, then it could be 17 approved. So I think that would be a great 17 Historic buildings could be used for Bed and 18 incentive. 18 Breakfast, that the parking ratios be replaced 19 19 with a Parking Management Plan, meaning some Again, the idea is to try to have small 20 scale incremental development that matches the 20 parking could be done remotely, proposed by the 21 21 Historic character. developer, but reviewed by Staff and approved, 22 22 We have proposed some updated standards for if it's appropriate, and eliminate the annual 23 23 setbacks and ground coverage area and building review and the revocation that is currently in 24 height, and they are shown in some of the 24 the books. 25 25 diagrams. And then, finally, as I said, we're So those are the issues that will come Page 94 Page 96 1 1 trying to encourage a more consistent landscape before you in Item 10. Thank you very much. 2 2 theme or use of landscape in the frontage. The In addition, just for your information, we 3 landscape standards would apply to yards --3 do have one application currently for a Bed and 4 4 front yards and courtyards, and also the tree Breakfast in a Historic building. This came up 5 5 by chance, coincidentally, at the last DRC, and protection component of this will be very 6 6 Staff has reviewed the Zoning Code and the significant. We want to encourage the 7 7 protection of trees and the minimizing of Comprehensive Plan, and we believe that issues 8 8 driveways and parking. have been addressed, and we recommend approval 9 9 This is the type of inappropriate front of the changes. 10 yard that we see. Once you build this, and you 10 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you, Ramon. 11 pave it, then you're losing the character of 11 Thank you, Ramon. 12 12 the place. So we're trying not to do that. All right. We'll open up the public 13 13 hearing. Jill, do we have any speaker cards And instead, for example, this front yard has a driveway that's incorporated into the design, 14 14 for Items 8 or 9? 15 so obviously cars are accounted for, but in a 15 THE SECRETARY: Yes. 16 16 way that is productive. Mario Garcia. 17 17 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Mario, are you here on And then the idea of incorporation of the 18 courtyards, some of the spaces that are shaped 18 the North Ponce Ordinance? 19 by the buildings, into public space is also 19 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Yes. 20 20 there. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. 21 21 Staff has reviewed the Zoning Code and the MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Good evening, Mr. Chair, 22 22 required standards and criteria, and we believe Members of the Board. Mario Garcia-Serra, with 23 23 that they're satisfied, and we recommend offices at 600 Brickell Avenue, representing 24 24 approval. this evening two different property owners 25 I will make a very brief presentation about 25 within the proposed North Ponce Conservation 24 (Pages 93 to 96) Page 97 Page 99

1 the boundary of the City of Coral Gables. It

District.

The first one is Fipro Holdings, LLC, the owner of the properties at 910 and 1206 Douglas Road. And also Santillane Holdings, LLC, the owner of the property at 1002 Douglas Road. Those three properties are indicated here on this aerial photograph. That main roadway you see going right in front of the property, as indicated, of course, is Douglas Road. To the north you have the Douglas Entrance complex, and, then, towards the west, over here, is Ponce de Leon Boulevard, with that diagonal road being East Ponce de Leon Boulevard.

Attempting to incentivize the preservation and adaptive reuse of Historic buildings is commendable. Trying to preserve some of the lower scale characteristics of certain parts of the North Ponce area, such as the side streets, also has merit. However, the significant objection which we have to this proposed Conservation District is that the area along Douglas Road has been forgotten.

And let me provide you with a handout to explain further what I mean by that. What you're going to be receiving is an excerpt from

the boundary of the City of Coral Gables. It should not be regulated in the same manner as a side street, such as Venetia, Antilla or Sidonia.

Here's one of the properties I represent. It's the first one as you go north to south, 910 Douglas Road, and you'll see -- it's the yellow buildings here, upfront there, in close proximity to already considerable existing height, density and FAR.

The existing situation on Douglas Road is not one that should be conserved. We have buildings that are out of scale with the street that they are facing, and new development that has taken place on both, the Coral Gables and City of Miami sides of Douglas Road.

This vantage point, looking north on Douglas Road, shows you a little bit more of what you saw on the previous picture, of the buildings that are close to Douglas Entrance, as well as some of the new construction that's happening on the Miami side of Douglas Road.

I'm not saying that we should permit buildings as tall as the buildings that are at Douglas Entrance, along the west side of

Page 98

Page 100

the original Visioning Workshop Report, which was the initial document prepared after the initial meetings with the community, with recommendations as to what should be realized as the planning process continued.

And, as you'll see, I've highlighted there Recommendation 1.2, which reads as follows, "Develop a Douglas Road strategy in collaboration with the City of Miami that establishes a shared vision of future development to provide assurance on height and density for property owners and a unified experience for Douglas Road as the eastern boundary of the City of Coral Gables."

Nowhere in this proposed Conservation District are any of those goals considered or incorporated. Instead, all of the properties fronting Douglas, some of which are significant assemblages, are all lumped together in the same Overlay District, which is really directed towards the conservation of smaller buildings and smaller lots.

Ignoring the characteristics of Douglas Road is to ignore the reality and not good planning. Douglas Road is a major roadway and Douglas, but I don't think that the existing situation should be preserved, and I think that we should have regulations which incentivize re-development of an appropriate scale so as to serve as a transition area to the Conservation District.

Accordingly, I would ask that you direct Staff to re-consider what should be the appropriate eastern boundary of the Conservation District, and to develop a unique set of regulations for the properties fronting Douglas Road that attempts to facilitate a shared vision for the re-development of this corridor, which can be realized regardless of lot size, and would bring about appropriate re-development for this area, which is one of the front doors to the City of Coral Gables.

With that said, I'm very conscious of the fact that I'm here not representing an applicant, but just commenting on behalf of a property owner, so that's the extent of my comments. We have a few more pictures of the area on boards which we could show, but I will defer, of course, so you can continue your public hearing, but available for any questions

Page 101 Page 103 1 1 or comments that you might have. for the Conservation District, specifically. 2 2 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. One of the issues that comes up in this 3 3 Any other speakers, Jill? neighborhood is that, there's perversed 4 THE SECRETARY: Vicky Busot. 4 incentives to consolidate parcels to create 5 MS. BUSOT: Good evening everybody. My 5 larger buildings to hit that threshold to get 6 6 name is Vicky Busot. My family owns a little into the Mixed-Use category. And what makes 7 7 building right there where Ponce becomes East this neighborhood unique, really, is that it 8 8 Ponce and I also live in the area. I live provides a great mix of small urban buildings, 9 9 that are really sorely missing in a region 10 10 that's made up almost entirely of single-family MR. WU: Ma'am, can you speak closer to the 11 11 mike? houses, mid to high-rise condo buildings, and 12 very little in between. MS. BUSOT: I live at 117 Boabadilla, which 12 13 13 North Ponce is one of the few places that is north of 8th Street. 14 14 has that in between scale, and I think it's So I came to the meeting today to learn as 15 much as I could about these two amendments, and 15 very important to find ways to not only 16 I have a couple of questions. 16 preserve what's there, but encourage any 17 I'm sorry. One of them is along the lines 17 re-development to be in keeping with that 18 of what this gentleman was talking about, too. 18 scale. Thank you. 19 One of our concerns is -- and a few of the 19 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. 20 neighbors are here from north of 8th Street --20 THE SECRETARY: Jennifer Garcia. 21 21 is whether or not the Commission would consider MS. GARCIA: My name is Jennifer Garcia. I 22 22 live at 20 Alhambra, Unit Number 8, and I'm extending the boundaries to include us, that 23 23 real excited that the City is doing this. I little section of Coral Gables which is north 24 of 8th Street, and the reason I'm saying that, 24 ask that you not be scared off, I guess, 25 25 and, again, I don't know if it's possible, concerned, about the parking, because this is Page 102 Page 104 1 1 whether it would be pertinent or -- is because really like the only neighborhood in Coral 2 we do have, you know, a certain flavor in that 2 Gables that's really transit served. The 3 neighborhood, and we wouldn't want to see huge 3 trolley is there. The trolley is getting 4 buildings on Ponce without any kind of 4 better and better. There's more investment in 5 regulation by the Coral Gables Board. 5 the trolley, to happen more at regular hours 6 So that's one of my questions, whether it 6 and days, and I ask that you just not be 7 would be a good thing or a bad thing to include 7 concerned about that. 8 8 our neighborhood there. Also, people who are moving into North 9 9 And my other point is, as I said before, my Ponce are people like me, that use Uber, that 10 10 family owns a little building right there where use Lyft, that are excited about Zipcar going 11 Ponce becomes East Ponce, and I love the 11 to Downtown. We get around by foot or by bike, 12 development or the way they're doing it. I 12 and the parking is not really an issue for us. 13 love the little Garden Apartments, the little 13 So I just ask you not to be concerned about 14 Garden Buildings, and I appreciate what the 14 that, and I think it's a great, great thing Commission is doing, and I think we should 15 15 that can happen to North Ponce and I'm looking 16 conserve that. And that's the extent. 16 forward to it. Thanks. 17 17 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you. 18 THE SECRETARY: Kenneth Garcia and Jennifer 18 THE SECRETARY: No more speakers. 19 19 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: No more speakers? Garcia. 20 20 MR. GARCIA: Good evening. Kenneth Garcia, MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is he sworn in? 21 21 20 Alhambra Circle, Number 8. I am a resident MR. BERNAL: Good evening. My name is 22 and property owner in the North Ponce 22 Enrique Bernal. I'm a resident at 1607 Ponce 23 23 neighborhood. And I attended the meetings that de Leon Boulevard. That is the Ponce de Leon 24 the City had. I thought the meetings were very 24 Condominiums. I'm also in the Board of 25 helpful. And I generally support the efforts 25 Directors of that Association, and I have been

Page 105 Page 107 1 active in the City, in the Sustainability 1 density of the population, and all of the 2 2 Advisory Board. I have attended many of these additional cars and everything, you know, in 3 3 meetings. Ponce, in addition, you have created a 4 I did attend the neighborhood meetings that 4 situation where, in an urge to get revenue, the 5 5 Mr. Trias held, and it was very well done, very City has created all of these parking spaces 6 6 helpful. And yet we're dealing -- you know, right up to the corners of the side streets in 7 we're living with a reality that seems to be 7 Ponce. So when you try to cross Ponce you have 8 8 very different from what is spoken about in to take your car halfway out in order to see 9 9 these meetings, and it has to do with the the oncoming traffic. And that is just causing 10 traffic risk that we live with every day. 10 all of these huge problems. I mean, it is a 11 In our neighborhood, there is at least one 11 huge health risk. 12 accident per week. Some of them are very major 12 And, you know, what happens is, these 13 accidents. Like the owner of Coulter Discount, 13 meetings here don't reflect the reality. And, 14 that was driven into the window of his store. 14 then, when the reality comes to happen, then 15 by a car, and he's been in the hospital for 15 the City says, "We cannot put anything to slow 16 twelve weeks. He still cannot walk. He's had 16 down the traffic. We cannot put street 17 five surgeries. He needs to have more. 17 lights." 18 We have SUVs that have flipped on Ponce and 18 You know, how do we get some accountability 19 19 ended up with the wheels up. to these Boards that make these major decisions 20 20 We have a situation where we have eight that affect our daily lives, so that the blocks without traffic lights, between Minorca 21 21 citizens can live in peace? We came to Coral 22 22 and Salamanca. There are no street lights. Gables to live in a situation where we feel 23 23 In front of our building, we have a that we're safe, protected, and everything, but 24 pedestrian light, that is fully capable of 24 that's not the reality that we live in North 25 25 being used as a traffic light, but it's not, Ponce, and I don't see that any of these Boards Page 106 Page 108 1 1 and the switches don't work. are really paying attention to the health and 2 The situation that we have is that people 2 safety issues. 3 3 I have tried to get reports of the traffic that start in Minorca, the next light they see 4 is eight blocks away, and they just step on the 4 fatalities and the accidents, and it's a major 5 5 hassle. Everybody says, "You have to go gas. They fly through our building at 50 miles 6 6 through the City Attorney and everything else." an hour or more. 7 7 We have talked to the police. They have But I urge you, please, when you look at 8 8 been somewhat helpful. They have now some this business of, you know, adding more 9 9 speed display things in the area. They come at 140-foot tall buildings and all of this stuff, 10 look at what is happening to the health and 6:30 in the morning and park the police car 10 11 there, when there is no traffic. They never 11 safety of this neighborhood, because nobody is 12 12 come between 7:30 and 9:00, when all of this is looking at it. 13 13 happening. And, you know, those of us that live here 14 But, you know, the thing that I would like 14 don't feel represented here. 15 to plead with you is, you know, I come to all 15 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: All right. Thank you. 16 of these meetings and everybody says that you 16 Jill, no other cards? 17 17 THE SECRETARY: No. can put more units and you don't need any 18 parking, and the traffic studies say that 18 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay. We'll close the 19 that's okay. Then, you know, what we 19 public hearing. 20 20 experience is people driving around, looking MR. RODRIGUEZ: I have one question. 21 21 Mario, I have a question. Can you tell me, for parking, and driving at excessive speeds. 22 22 And the City takes and excuses itself by your two property owners, what is it that they 23 23 saying, "Ponce is a County road, and there is can do now -- or that they can do and they may 24 24 want to do now, that they wouldn't be able to nothing we can do about traffic." But all of

25

do if the proposal was passed?

25

these decisions you made, that increase the

Page 109 Page 111 1 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: It varies, depending on 1 preservation of this neighborhood, with that 2 which site we're talking about. Of the three, 2 character of lower scale buildings and green, 3 two of them are above 20,000 square feet. And 3 is what we really should look at in there, and so those aren't necessarily being deprived of 4 4 not think of this as a place where we can start 5 any property rights by what's being proposed to 5 putting a lot of buildings. 6 6 So the conservation approach for the you today, but I'm sort of putting forward that 7 7 what we need to do is actually incentivize some District, I think, is the way we should take 8 8 it, and not look at it any other way. We're sort of re-development of those properties 9 9 proud of being Coral Gables. We're proud of along there. 10 10 being green. And there's no reason why we need So we should be looking at the Ponce 11 11 corridor, the Conservation District, and I to change that. 12 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Marshall. 12 think we should also be looking at the Douglas 13 corridor, because, indeed, it is a significant, 13 MR. BELLIN: What happens, Frank, is, if 14 14 you know, major roadway, which is appropriate this proposal goes through, if you have 20,000 15 for something higher than a two or three-story 15 square feet, you can go to 97 feet. Your FAR 16 apartment building. 16 is 1.9. That's as of right. 17 If you have less than 20,000 square feet, So if it's over 20,000 square feet, there's 17 18 nothing that directly those properties are 18 you'll lose about 20 percent of your 19 development rights if this proposal goes being deprived of. There is one of those three 19 20 properties that is less than 20,000 square 20 through. And the reason is that if you limit 21 21 it to 45 feet -feet, and that now, right now, would be 22 22 permitted a height of six stories or 72 feet, UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you repeat about and it would be knocked down to three stories 23 23 the 97 feet? 24 or 45 feet by this regulation. 24 MR. BELLIN: Okay. You're allowed 70 feet. 25 25 Again, three stories, fronting a street With Med Bonus, you're allowed two extra Page 110 Page 112 1 1 like Douglas, where you already have Douglas stories and 27 additional feet. So you get to 2 Entrance, you have what's going on in the City 2 97 feet. 3 of Miami across the street, I think, from a 3 (Inaudible speaking.) 4 4 planning perspective, just isn't appropriate MR. BELLIN: No, that's the Code. 5 for a street of that significance. 5 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I'm sorry, we can't be 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Mario. 6 conversing back and forth between the audience, 7 MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. 7 because (A) it's just improper, but also the 8 8 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anybody else have any court reporter and people on TV can't catch it. 9 9 questions or comments? MR. BELLIN: Okay. So what happens is, 10 10 MR. GRABIEL: I have one. I love that let's say I have 15,000 square feet, a piece of 11 neighborhood. I mean, when we first moved to 11 property, what this does is, it limits me, Coral Gables, that's where we lived for many 12 12 because my FAR start -- if you're at 45 feet, 13 years. So I'm attached to it. And one of my your FAR starts at one. If you have over 13 14 children used to live there, so we used to 14 20,000 square feet, it starts at 1.4. So you 15 visit all of the time. 15 can get to 1.9, with the Med Bonus. 16 And I think, if you ever stand at the 16 With the smaller parcels, you can get to 17 Douglas Entrance and you look south, you see 17 1.5, with the Med Bonus, but there are Site the difference between the City of Miami and 18 18 Specifics in this whole area, which covers from 19 Coral Gables. Coral Gables is green. City of 19 Douglas to Salzedo and from 8th Street to 20 20 Miami is not. There's something about the Section L and K, and that gives you an 21 reaction that you get when you enter the City 21 exemption, as of right now, so if you don't 22 of Coral Gables from any of our major 22 have 20,000 square feet, you're still entitled 23 entrances, Coral Way, Bird Road. You see a 23 to build to 70 feet or six stories. 24 difference in the quality of life and the 24 If the Site Specifics disappear and this 25 landscaping and everything else, and I think 25 goes through, you can see what it does to a

	Page 113		Page 115
1	person's development rights, and I don't know	1	New Construction, MF2 Family District
2	how we get around that issue, but that's	2	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: What page of the Staff
3	significant.	3	recommendation are you on?
4	So what it's going to do is incentivize	4	MR. BELLIN: Page 12.
5	people to put together 20,000 square feet or	5	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay.
6	more to re-develop.	6	MR. BELLIN: Go to E. Large Scale
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.	7	Developments, 20,000 square feet, stay the
8	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Anybody else?	8	same. Small scale, and you go down to the
9	MR. BEHAR: You know, I respectfully	9	setback requirements, ground coverage, building
10	disagree with my colleague here. I love the	10	heights, "Maximum building height shall be
11	idea of the preservation the conservation,	11	three stories or 45 feet."
12	but I think this is somewhat, if we do	12	So if you have less than 20,000 square
13	and Marshall I think tried to explain it, we	13	feet, which is a small scale development, you
14	could be taking development rights that a	14	can only go to 45 feet, and if you can only go
15	current owner has, and I don't feel seems	15	to 45 feet, then what the Code says, your FAR
16	like a taking, and maybe Mr. Trias, I see him	16	starts at one, not 1.4.
17	walking up not yet	17	So you've taken away about 20 percent of
18	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Or Probably more like	18	the development rights.
19	Mr. Coller.	19	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Bellin
20	MR. BEHAR: you know	20	MR. BELLIN: Yes.
21	MR. COLLER: I believe that Mr. Trias can	21	MR. TRIAS: in addition to this, we have
22	explain that this is in addition to what is	22	the Site Specifics, which is the issues that
23	already permitted.	23	you're talking about. We're not changing the
24	MR. TRIAS: I am very concerned that I'm	24	Site Specifics.
25	hearing things that are not being proposed.	25	Now, you and I have had discussions on the
23	<u> </u>	23	·
1	Page 114		Page 116
	The things you can do now are allowed. We're	1	fact that maybe we should. Maybe we should
2	just adding extra things.	2	change the Site Specifics.
3	MS. MENENDEZ: You're not restricting?	3	MR. BELLIN: I agree with it.
4	MR. TRIAS: Right, we have not.	4	MR. TRIAS: And you agree with me. So
5	In terms of Mr. Garcia-Serra's issues, the	5	there's no disagreement here.
6	20,000 square foot requirements are still	6	What we have here is not changing the Site
7	valid, so there's really no I mean, maybe	7	Specifics. And if that is not clear, then we
8	there's not a vision and maybe there should be,	8	can clarify that.
9	and maybe we can work on that, but in terms of	9	MR. BELLIN: But the Site Specifics give
10	development rights, it's the same rights.	10	you an exemption where you don't need 20,000
11	Mr. Bellin, I don't know why you think that	11	square feet.
12	we have changed the FAR, because we're not.	12	MR. TRIAS: Right. Right.
13	We're not doing that.	13	MR. BELLIN: So if you want to take them
14	MR. BELLIN: What the Code says, Ramon, and	14	away, and I think they
15	we've discussed this a number of times, if	15	MR. TRIAS: No, but we have not proposed
16	you're below 45 feet or lower, your FAR starts	16	that with this document, okay? Now, we may
17	at one. What you're saying is, the small	17	propose it in the future. Who knows? I mean,
18	parcels can't go higher than 45 feet or three	18	it's something that is up to you and it's a
19	stories. That's what this says.	19	policy issue. But right now, I mean, your
20	MR. TRIAS: I'm not sure I agree with that,	20	concern is not related to the document that you
21	because we're not the intent is not to	21	have before you.
22	change any of the requirements.	22	MR. BELLIN: It is. It's related to
23	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: I don't see that in	23	building height. What you're saying is, the
<u> </u>	•	24	maximum building height should be three stories
24 25	here. MR. BELLIN: Well, if you go to, let's say,	25	or 45 feet. That's what you're proposing.

Page 117 Page 119 1 1 MR. TRIAS: That's what the Code says now, Now, the only thing that's a little bit 2 2 generally. In addition, the Code, for this different, in terms of the requirements, may be 3 3 area, has the Site Specifics that you're the landscape requirements in the front. That 4 referring to, that allow taller buildings and 4 may be new. That's true. But I don't think 5 more FAR. We are not taking that away. 5 that's a detriment to development. That should 6 6 Now, that doesn't apply to every property. be an incentive. 7 That applies in Site Specific areas. 7 MR. BELLIN: It seems to me, if that's the 8 8 MR. BELLIN: It applies to every property case, then what is all this about? Why is 9 9 in the Douglas section, every property. there even an issue with respect to height? 10 10 MR. TRIAS: Well, that just states what's MR. TRIAS: Right, but not every property 11 in North Ponce, and that's --11 allowed in the Code. If you think that's 12 MR. BELLIN: Pretty much. 12 confusing, we could change it. 13 MR. TRIAS: Pretty much, but not all of 13 MR. BELLIN: Well, it is, because it 14 them. But what I'm saying is that, that is one 14 certainly doesn't do that for large scale 15 of the issues of the Code that we are not 15 developments. It says, whatever you're 16 changing, and maybe we should discuss it at 16 allowed, you're allowed. 17 some point in the future, because it does 17 MR. BEHAR: It is a bit confusing. 18 create a lot of confusion. 18 MR. TRIAS: I don't disagree, and the Code 19 MR. BELLIN: I guess all I'm saying is, I 19 is confusing as it is now. So we're trying to 20 don't think it's right to take away people's 20 make it more clear. 21 21 development rights, and if you're saying we're MR. BELLIN: Okay. 22 22 not, that's okay. I think that's fair. MR. TRIAS: And it's going to be a step by 23 23 step effort, because the North Ponce planning MR. TRIAS: We're not, with this document, 24 right? I mean, the City Attorney --24 efforts have many, many components, and this is 25 25 MR. COLLER: I want to just clarify. As I just one of them. This has to do with the Page 118 Page 120 1 1 understand it, this Overlay is additional Conservation District, which is designed to 2 2 rights -- excuse me -- beyond that which is encourage small scale incremental preservation 3 already permitted in the Code. So I think you 3 minded development. That's just one issue. 4 have to -- you can't look at this without 4 Now, we're not changing any of the other 5 5 looking at what's already permitted. So this issues with this document. 6 is in addition to -- and correct me, Ramon, if 6 Now, soon I do anticipate to bring the 7 I'm wrong, this is in addition to whatever you 7 Mixed-Use Overlay for the Ponce area, for Ponce 8 8 already have. de Leon, which is going to be much more 9 9 MR. BELLIN: Tell me what the addition is. interesting from the point of view --10 MS. MENENDEZ: The allowance for Bed and 10 MR. BELLIN: And complicated. 11 11 MR. TRIAS: Yes. And the fact that this is 12 12 confusing, that is the existing condition of CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: What's the addition? 13 13 MR. BELLIN: What's the addition in terms the Code. So we're trying to see if we can 14 of FAR, in terms of height? What is the 14 make it a little bit simpler. 15 addition? 15 MS. MENENDEZ: Where you say here, because 16 MS. MENENDEZ: It's not in here. 16 I'm looking at the slides that are presented in 17 17 MR. TRIAS: The main addition is in terms Page 6, "Implementation Step One," you put, 18 18 "Neighborhood Conservation District Zoning Text of parking. 19 MS. MENENDEZ: I didn't see anything on 19 and Map Amendment." 20 20 FAR. MR. TRIAS: Yes. 21 21 MS. MENENDEZ: So what are the Zoning Text MR. TRIAS: Yeah. Right. We're not 22 changing FAR. We're not changing -- but we are 22 and Map Amendments? 23 23 MR. TRIAS: The map, that is the map that providing multiple ways to deal with parking, 24 24 which is the main impediment for small scale is in the other slides. 25 development. 25 MS. MENENDEZ: Where you outline what is

1	Page 121		Page 123
	part of the Conservation?	1	whatever is in the Code now, and that may need
2	MR. TRIAS: Right. And the text is in the	2	to be clarified going forward, you're saying?
3	Staff Report, which is Pages 10 and 11 and 12.	3	MR. TRIAS: Yeah.
4	MS. MENENDEZ: And that's where you're	4	MR. BELLIN: Jeff, if I'm reading this
5	basically saying that nothing has changed, you	5	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: But hear me. Hold on.
6	haven't added; what you've have done is, you	6	You didn't hear me finish my sentence.
7	pulled it and put it as a part of this?	7	He said I think Ramon agrees that it
8	MR. TRIAS: We've added to the Code. We	8	needs to be clarified going forward.
9	haven't taken out anything from the Code.	9	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. I agree. I agree.
10	MS. MENENDEZ: Right, but have you provided	10	Obviously, it has to be clarified. Mr. Bellin
11	more restrictions on property owner's rights?	11	is the top expert on the North Ponce Code and
12	I think that's what these gentlemen have a	12	he clearly, I need to clarify this.
13	concern about.	13	MR. BELLIN: Well, but here's what happens:
14	MR. TRIAS: I don't see it that way. The	14	If I don't know the Code, and I read this, then
15	only possible issue may be some of the extra	15	I think, in my head, well, if I have 15,000
16	landscape requirements that are being required	16	square feet and I'll bet you all of these
17	in the front.	17	people sitting here think the same thing if
18	MS. MENENDEZ: Okay. And then the second	18	I have 15,000, 10,000 square feet, I can only
19	item that's here as part of this Implementation	19	go to 45 feet or three stories. That's what it
20	Step One, is the Bed and Breakfast	20	says here. There's no reference to Site
21	establishment, where you're allowing for the	21	Specifics, which is important. And that's
22	Bed and Breakfast via you know, be more	22	really all I'm saying.
23	lenient with parking, and things like that.	23	MR. TRIAS: Fair enough. I agree.
24	MR. TRIAS: Yes. And that's an Ordinance	24	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So we need to clarify
25	by itself. That is Number 10.	25	it going forward.
	<u> </u>	23	
	Page 122		Page 124
1	MS. MENENDEZ: I saw that, yeah.	1	MR. TRIAS: Sure.
2	MR. COLLER: Also, I would direct your	2	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay.
3	attention to 4-207 Subsection B this is on	3	MR. COLLER: That's fine.
4	Page 10 which talks about that all of the	4	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Any other
5	uses that are permitted in the underlying	5	MS. MENENDEZ: So this needs to come back?
6	Zoning District are still applicable.	6	MR. WU: Not necessarily. We feel that we
7	So I'm not sure I don't know, maybe that	7	can clarify it, after your guidance, that we
8	could be expanded a little bit or maybe put	8	make it clear in the revision for the City
9	somewhere else in here, but it does explain	9	Commission.
10	that these Zoning District regulations are	10	MR. BEHAR: How do we know?
11	still applicable.	11	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Marshall, do you want
12	MS. MENENDEZ: But those are uses. Yeah,	12	to vote it forward or do you want to see it
	those are uses.	13	come back?
13	MR. COLLER: Right.	14	MR. BELLIN: I'd like to put it through to
14	A CONTROL OF THE CONT		
14 15	MR. TRIAS: Now, we are adding a few uses.	15	the Commission and let them take a look at it.
14 15 16	So that's a benefit, I believe. We're adding	16	MR. TRIAS: What I would like to do is meet
14 15 16 17	So that's a benefit, I believe. We're adding Bed and Breakfast, home office and live work.	16 17	MR. TRIAS: What I would like to do is meet with Marshall next week, maybe, and work on the
14 15 16 17 18	So that's a benefit, I believe. We're adding Bed and Breakfast, home office and live work. So there are some minor changes that are	16 17 18	MR. TRIAS: What I would like to do is meet with Marshall next week, maybe, and work on the language, and I have no problem bringing it
14 15 16 17 18 19	So that's a benefit, I believe. We're adding Bed and Breakfast, home office and live work. So there are some minor changes that are additions to the Code, but we're not deleting	16 17 18 19	MR. TRIAS: What I would like to do is meet with Marshall next week, maybe, and work on the language, and I have no problem bringing it back to you. It's up to you. Whatever you
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So that's a benefit, I believe. We're adding Bed and Breakfast, home office and live work. So there are some minor changes that are additions to the Code, but we're not deleting anything.	16 17 18 19 20	MR. TRIAS: What I would like to do is meet with Marshall next week, maybe, and work on the language, and I have no problem bringing it back to you. It's up to you. Whatever you want.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So that's a benefit, I believe. We're adding Bed and Breakfast, home office and live work. So there are some minor changes that are additions to the Code, but we're not deleting anything. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So, Ramon, just to	16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TRIAS: What I would like to do is meet with Marshall next week, maybe, and work on the language, and I have no problem bringing it back to you. It's up to you. Whatever you want. MS. MENENDEZ: I think you know, I don't
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So that's a benefit, I believe. We're adding Bed and Breakfast, home office and live work. So there are some minor changes that are additions to the Code, but we're not deleting anything. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So, Ramon, just to Marshall's concern and maybe what Robert thinks	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TRIAS: What I would like to do is meet with Marshall next week, maybe, and work on the language, and I have no problem bringing it back to you. It's up to you. Whatever you want. MS. MENENDEZ: I think you know, I don't have a preference either way, but I think the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So that's a benefit, I believe. We're adding Bed and Breakfast, home office and live work. So there are some minor changes that are additions to the Code, but we're not deleting anything. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So, Ramon, just to Marshall's concern and maybe what Robert thinks is confusing, you're saying that what's in here	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TRIAS: What I would like to do is meet with Marshall next week, maybe, and work on the language, and I have no problem bringing it back to you. It's up to you. Whatever you want. MS. MENENDEZ: I think you know, I don't have a preference either way, but I think the message is, they don't want to restrict current
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So that's a benefit, I believe. We're adding Bed and Breakfast, home office and live work. So there are some minor changes that are additions to the Code, but we're not deleting anything. CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: So, Ramon, just to Marshall's concern and maybe what Robert thinks	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TRIAS: What I would like to do is meet with Marshall next week, maybe, and work on the language, and I have no problem bringing it back to you. It's up to you. Whatever you want. MS. MENENDEZ: I think you know, I don't have a preference either way, but I think the

	Page 125		Page 127
1	MS. MENENDEZ: I know that. I know that.	1	THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez?
2	But he needs to clarify this, to give them that	2	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes.
3	comfort level. I understand it. I read it.	3	THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan?
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm comfortable I trust	4	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes.
5	Ramon Trias to take care of this. I don't	5	Item Number 10 on the agenda, we just
6	think it's a huge deal. So I would move to	6	heard, I think, most of it, but we haven't read
7	approve pursuant to the City's recommendation.	7	it in, so we'll read it in and see if there's
8	MR. BELLIN: And I'll second that.	8	any further discussion.
9	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We have a motion and a	9	It's an Ordinance of the City Commission of
10	second.	10	Coral Gables, Florida providing for text
11	Further discussion?	11	amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official
12	MR. WU: And to clarify, this is the North	12	Zoning Code, by amending Article 5,
13	Ponce Conservation District item.	13	"Development Standards," amending Section
14	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: This is Item Number 8.	14	5-2601, "Bed and Breakfast establishments" to
15	We need to do them separately. So this is the	15	modify the existing Bed and Breakfast
16	Text Amendment to the Zoning Code.	16	establishments standards and criteria to allow
17	Okay. We have a motion and a second.	17	for viable Bed and Breakfast establishments;
18	There was no further discussion. Jill, if	18	providing for a repealer provision, providing
19	you'll call the roll, please.	19	for a severability clause, codification and
20	THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez?	20	providing for an effective date.
21	MS. MENENDEZ: Yes.	21	So, Ramon, was your presentation on this
22	THE SECRETARY: Alberto Perez?	22	the portion that you gave on the prior two?
23	MR. PEREZ: Yes.	23	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes. And, here, just to
24	THE SECRETARY: Frank Rodriguez?	24	clarify, in this item, we are taking we're
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.	25	striking through a few things that are in the
	Page 126		Page 128
1	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	1	Code, but those things that we're striking
2	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	2	through are making it difficult to do Bed and
3	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin?	3	Breakfast. So I believe whatever we're taking
4	MR. BELLIN: Yes.	4	out is in the interest of the development of
5	THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?	5	the Bed and Breakfast use.
6	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.	6	MR. GRABIEL: I move for approval.
7	THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan?	7	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: And you're
8	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes.	8	incorporating your prior presentation into
9	Now we need a motion on Item Number 9,	9	this, correct?
10	which is the Amendment to the Text and Map	10	MR. TRIAS: Yes.
11	Amendments.	11	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Okay.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: So moved.	12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I second.
13	MS. MENENDEZ: Second.	13	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: We have a motion and a
14	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Any further discussion?	14	second. Do we have further discussion?
15	Hearing none, Jill, call the roll, please.	15	MS. MENENDEZ: I thought we already did
16	THE SECRETARY: Alberto Perez?	16	that.
17	MR. PEREZ: Yes.	17	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: No, we have not.
18	THE SECRETARY: Frank Rodriguez?	18	MR. COLLER: This is a public hearing.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.	19	CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yeah, my apologies.
20	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	20	This is a public hearing item. Is there
21	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	21	anybody here to speak on Item Number 10 on the
22	THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin?	22	agenda, regarding Bed and Breakfast?
23	MR. BELLIN: Yes.	23	Hearing or seeing none, the public hearing
24	THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?	24	is closed.
25	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.	25	All right. We have a motion and second.
	E Properties and the second se		5

1 Any further discussion? 2 Seeing none, Jill, call the roll, please. 3 THE SECRETARY: Frank Rodriguez? 4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 5 THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? 6 MR. BEHAR: Yes. 7 THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? 8 MR. BELLIN: Yes. 9 THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel? 10 MR. GRABIEL: Yes. 1 Lot 6 and Lot 7 less east 15 feet, Block 25 2 Coral Gables Section "B" (734 Navarre A including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, and providing for an effective date. 7 MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairma Can I have the PowerPoint, please? 9 We have a lot split here that is within a single-family neighborhood. You can see	venue); or a
2 Seeing none, Jill, call the roll, please. 3 THE SECRETARY: Frank Rodriguez? 4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 5 THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? 6 MR. BEHAR: Yes. 7 THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? 8 MR. BELLIN: Yes. 9 THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel? 9 THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel? 10 MR. GRABIEL: Yes. 2 Coral Gables Section "B" (734 Navarre A including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, and providing for an effective date. 7 MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairma Can I have the PowerPoint, please? 9 We have a lot split here that is within a single-family neighborhood. You can see	venue); or a
THE SECRETARY: Frank Rodriguez? MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? MR. BELLIN: Yes. MR. BELLIN: Yes. MR. BELLIN: Yes. MR. GRABIEL: Yes. MR. GRABIEL: Yes. MI including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, and providing for an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairma Can I have the PowerPoint, please? We have a lot split here that is within a single-family neighborhood. You can see	or a
4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 5 THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? 6 MR. BEHAR: Yes. 7 THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? 8 MR. BELLIN: Yes. 9 THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel? 9 MR. GRABIEL: Yes. 4 repealer provision, providing for a 5 severability clause, and providing for an 6 effective date. 7 MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairma 8 Can I have the PowerPoint, please? 9 We have a lot split here that is within a 10 MR. GRABIEL: Yes. 10 single-family neighborhood. You can see	
5 THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? 5 severability clause, and providing for an 6 MR. BEHAR: Yes. 6 effective date. 7 THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? 7 MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairma 8 MR. BELLIN: Yes. 8 Can I have the PowerPoint, please? 9 THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel? 9 We have a lot split here that is within a 10 MR. GRABIEL: Yes. 10 single-family neighborhood. You can see	n.
6 MR. BEHAR: Yes. 7 THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? 8 MR. BELLIN: Yes. 9 THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel? 10 MR. GRABIEL: Yes. 6 effective date. 7 MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairma 8 Can I have the PowerPoint, please? 9 We have a lot split here that is within a single-family neighborhood. You can see	n.
7 THE SECRETARY: Marshall Bellin? 7 MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairma 8 MR. BELLIN: Yes. 8 Can I have the PowerPoint, please? 9 THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel? 9 We have a lot split here that is within a 10 MR. GRABIEL: Yes. 10 single-family neighborhood. You can see	n.
8 MR. BELLIN: Yes. 9 THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel? 10 MR. GRABIEL: Yes. 8 Can I have the PowerPoint, please? 9 We have a lot split here that is within a single-family neighborhood. You can see	11.
9 THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel? 9 We have a lot split here that is within a 10 MR. GRABIEL: Yes. 10 single-family neighborhood. You can see	
10 MR. GRABIEL: Yes. 10 single-family neighborhood. You can see	
	tha
11 THE SECRETARY: Maria Menendez? 11 aerial photograph. It's fully developed and	
12 MS. MENENDEZ: Yes. 12 the scale is very typical of the City of Cor 13 THE SECRETARY: Alberto Perez? 13 Gables.	ai
THE SECRETARY: Jeff Flanagan? 15 only because it has a 15-foot extra area the CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. 16 but if you look at it in terms of the graphic	
All right. Item Number 11. MR. BELLIN: Jeff, I've got to recuse 17 the yellow and the blue are the two proposes the additional new lots, and the green is the additional	ea
myself for 11 for both of those projects, 19 fifteen feet that is going to the neighbor.	
20 so 20 If you look at the aerial, as you can see	
MS. MENENDEZ: See you.	
MR. BELLIN: I'm out of here.	
CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Thank you, Marshall. 23 The neighborhood is a Single-Family	
MR. BELLIN: Have a good night. 24 neighborhood, with one and two-story hou	
25 MR. BEHAR: Can we take, before we start 25 It is zoned Residential. The Future Land	Jse
Page 130	ge 132
1 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: You want to take a 1 is Residential.	
2 five-minute 2 The proposed Site Plan that was submitted	
3 MS. MENENDEZ: Like a five-minute. 3 has two houses that meet the Code. They're	not
4 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Yes. We'll recess for 4 requesting anything unusual.	
5 five minutes. 5 In terms of design, they made an effort to	
6 (Short recess taken.) 6 minimize the parking garage and emphasis	the
7 CHAIRMAN FLANAGAN: Ladies and gentlemen, 7 entrance, which are two features that I think	:
8 we're going to re-convene please. 8 have been discussed recently as desirable, in	1
9 Item Number 11 is an Ordinance of the City 9 terms of a single-family design.	
10 Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting 10 The review time line included Developm	
Conditional Use Review for a Building Site 11 Review Committee meetings in November	
Determination pursuant to Zoning Code Article 12 neighborhood meetings in May of 2016, and	d, of
3, "Development Review", Section 3-206, 13 course, today's Planning and Zoning meeting	g,
14 "Building Site Determination" to separate into 14 and then, if you approve it, it will go to the	
two single-family building sites the property 15 Commission.	
zoned Single-Family Residential District and 16 We had, as always, public notifications, a	ıS
legally described as Lots 8-10 and the east 15 17 required by Code. The neighborhood meeting	ng was
Feet of Lot 7, Block 25, Coral Gables Section 18 notified. There was a mail out to the proper	ty
B, known as (728 Navarre Avenue), Coral Gables, 19 owners within a thousand feet. There was a	
Florida, one building site consisting of Lot 8 20 posting of the property. There was a legal	
and the west half of Lot 9 and one building 21 advertisement. And this meeting was adver	tised
site consisting of Lot 10 and the east half of 22 in the web.	
22 site consisting of Lot 10 and the east half of 22 in the web.	: