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CORAL GABLES HISTORICAL PRESERVATION BOARD MEETING
405 Biltmore Way
Coral Gables, Florida
RE: 229 RIDGEWOOD ROAD
June 16, 2016

$\square$

2016-08: Consideration of the local designation of the property at 229 Ridgewood Road, legally described as Lots 9 \& 10, of Block 2, Coconut Grove Manor, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 17, at Page 19 of the Public Records.

MS. SPAIN: I am going to turn this over to Elizabeth Guin.

MS. GUIN: Good evening. So the property at 229 Ridgewood Road first came to the attention of our office in March of this year. The property was for sale and we received a significant determination request by a potential buyer. As you can see the property was listed for land value and potential buyer was looking to see if a demolition permit could be issued for the property. So in accordance with the zoning code a demolition permit in the city must be accompanied by a significant determination letter issued by the historic preservation officer. That letter states whether the property meets the criteria to be designated as a historic landmark.

If the letter says it does not meet, then
the demolition permit may proceed. If the letter says that it does meet or could meet the criteria for designation, then what happens is the office must bring
forward to you the designation request within 60 days so that is where we are now. In May of 2016 a letter was issued saying that the property does meet the criteria for landmark status.

The property at 229 Ridgewood Road was built in 1938. It's a one story home with a subordinate attached garage. You can see hear the 1940 s picture with a current picture. The home remains largely intact. So for local historic landmark status, reading for the record, the criteria for designation historic landmarks must have significant character, interest or value as part of the historical, cultural, archaeological, aesthetic or architectural heritage of the City, state or nation. Presented for designation a property must meet one of the criteria outlined in the code.

Our determination for 229 Ridgewood Road is that it was eligible based on two criteria for architectural significance, specifically it portrays the environment of an era of history characterized by one or more distinctive architectural styles or embodies those distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style or period, or method of construction.

The property as you can see here is on the north side of Ridgewood Road, bounded to the west by Brighton Place and to the right by Ingraham, or to the
east by Ingraham Highway.
The home was occupied by three families the Wedderspoons, the Morrisons and the Kents. The Wedderspoon family were the recipients of the original permit in 1938. It is not clear on the original permit as to Mr. And Mrs. W.R. Wedderspoon whether the permit was issued to Pastor William R. Wedderspoon and his, Annie and he was a Pastor at the William Bright Memorial Methodist Church or if it was actually issued to his son, William Wedderspoon Junior and his wife, Dorothy.

However, Pastor William and his wife,
Annie, passed away in 1939 within months of each other. It's clear that by 1940 s the property is in the hands of William Wedderspoon Junior. Now the Wedderspoons only occupied the home for about a year and a half. Dorothy lived in the home in 1944, '45 while William was overseas in the army.

During the time that the Wedderspoons owned it, the large portion of it, the Morrison family occupied the home as a tenant. They lived there from '40 to '44. James Morrison Junior and his wife, Floyd. Now James Morrison and his father were the founders of the Morrison Cafeterias which was started in 1920s. Very quickly expanded south ward, very popular and Donna actually was telling me stories, as a young girl on

Sunday mornings going to the Morrison Cafeteria and what a treat it was. In the Morrison family, the father became ill around 1939 and he and his son decided to sort of, even though they were made owners of the Morrison Cafeteria decided to back away from the day to day grind of a large corporation and they moved to Miami and they started a new endeavor together, father and son, the M\&M cafeterias which still exists and you can see around town they expanded pretty quickly too but they stayed regional.

So, where I am going with this is 229
Ridgewood is where James Morrison came when they moved to Miami to start this new endeavor. They lived at 229 Ridgewood Road. When Mr. Wedderspoon came back from the war, Dorothy moved out, the Morrisons were gone. The house seemed to have no one in it for a while.

In 1947 they decided to sell the house to the Kent family, Frederick and Gertrude Kent were the founders, president and secretary treasurer of Coconut Grove Realty, a corporation which still exists here in town.

When we had a tour of the property by Mr. Kent, the son, who is here in the audience today, he was very gracious in showing us his home, he was telling us that his parents managed the property for the

Wedderspoons and so when the Wedderspoons decided to sell the property, his parents sort of jumped on the chance to buy it. So, and has remained within the family for the last 69 years.

In the 1990s Mr. Kent, the present owner, inherited the property. So the property is built in a Minimal Traditional style. The original permit from 1938, number 5338 is an attachment in the designation report that you have. It was built by Robert Fitch Smith who was a nationally recognized architect who designed over 600 residences and 200 civic, commercial and industrial buildings in South Florida and the Caribbean.

He was an instructor at the University of Miami from 1928 to 1931 and then he came back decades later to become the first chairman of UM's Department of Architecture. Some of the design and his works that you may be familiar about in the area are 200 Edge Wood Drive which is a Coral Gables historic landmark. Also 7900 Biscayne Boulevard, the Biscayne Plaza Shopping Center which is a City of Miami historic landmark.

5530 Sunset Drive otherwise listed as Doc
Thomas' house listed on the National Registrar for historic places. He also was the architect for the Montgomery Library and Museum at Fairchild Tropical

Gardens and University Baptist Church and a whole host of other homes in Coral Gables.

He was a diverse architect. He built quite a number of styles. So Minimal Traditional style. It was a style of choice across the US from the '30s and the '40s. It was a blend of previous styles with modern, sort of the modern aesthetic. It favored durable, simple, and functionality.

It was a popular house style that emerged during the New Deal era. The homes were usually small, two to three bedrooms, and were made to be affordable for the working and the middle class families.

The style was widely promoted by architectural journals and magazines and you see it accross the nation and it remained a prevalent style until the early 1950 s when it supplanted by the ranch style, the style of choice.

The style was noted for it's simplicity and it's subtle response to the economic hardships of the Depression and the ornate styles of the 1920s. Combined a restrained combination of features from earlier house styles while adhering to the modern aesthetic of subtle ornamentation and streamlined homes.

The homes were usually welt built using modern materials, using plywood, modern cement mixtures
and then also incorporating new methods. The homes were focused on practicality. Often included the emerging trend of attached garages as a subordinate element. In later styles the garage becomes a much more prominent element.

Here's an ad that shows one of these homes. I said they were widely distributed by architectural journals. Nationally, the common influences tended to be Colonial Revival, Arts and Crafts and the Tudor. Here you can see an example of where it's Colonial Revival with some Arts and Crafts eements. You see the subordinate garage, your set back, not a main part of the garage.

So bringing it back to Coral Gables in the trends that we see here, nationally -- what's important about this style is when it came to be and how it came to be and how it affected the landscape and why we see what we see in this style.

When we look at building permits we see that the dollars that were spent on building permits in Coral Gables weren't much of, maybe to the trends that we see in the building industry from the decades from 1925 to '43. You see the drastic drop in 1926 after the hurricane in Coral Gables and then as the economy declines so do the amount of building permits and the
dollars spent.
You see early it moderning out in early '30s as was the national trend, and then with the New Deal efforts and the federal housing administration loans and those kinds of things that were put forward to sort of help spur new construction, help spur the construction industry. We see a Coral Gables as you see nationally, a little uplift in the late '30s. Now in Coral Gables what we see early on in 1920 s pretty much predominantly single family homes were Mediterranean revival style, at least that was the dominant style.

In the 30 s when we begin to see this sort of resurgence, tiny resurgence of construction dollars in the city it's not the Mediterranean Revival Style that we are seeing in single family homes. It's more the vernacular masonry style and this Minimal Traditional.

Looking at this home in context you see the arrow shows you the home, this a 1948 era photograph. Very few homes in this area, in the Coconut Grove Manor Section, the homes right next to the property on either side were both built in the 1920s.

So we had very little, actual construction in this area when the home was built. Then you can see what happens less than a decade later, it pretty much
becomes built out. So Minimal Traditional in Southeast Florida, the Minimal Traditional style was flexible and embraced elements from other styles. This led to area-specific examples as well as architect-specific variations.

The houses were modern. Had a stream-lined aesthetic with influences from the previous styles. What we see in Southeast Florida is the influence of the Mediterranean Revival. We often see that with barrel tile roofs and arched main entrances and also the Art Deco.

Corner windows, we see the eyebrow hoods and the geometric detailing. All of this sort of stream-lined with the subtle, in some ornamentation in the modern aesthetic that is happening at this time. The only thing I would say in southeast, one of the driving points for this style as it was advertised is that there be modern conveniences.

This was targeted at the working class but that sort of modern conveniences that make the home more efficient, more practical on the incorporated. What we see particularly in Southeast Florida is the solar water heaters. This was an industry that was not nationwide because at the time these elements couldn't withstand freezes so you pretty much saw it in California and in

Florida.
The industry sort of started in the 1930 s and held until about 1950s when electricity became much more affordable and you see that the industry sort of appeared out. So the hay day of the solar water heaters really was the same as the Minimal Traditional and we see it quite often. We call that as a feature in these homes.

So the the home at 229 Ridgewood Road, here is a historic photo from the 1940s. Here's a context shot. The home is a one story, two bedroom, single family home with a subordinate garage which you can see here. There is quite a bit of mature vegetation on the property so I wanted to sort of give you a context. You can see the home, it has the appearance of a very low-slung home with the Prairie style influences and with it's matching very low-pitched hip roofs, very horizontal in nature which is different than the previous styles that we see.

Broad chimney that is visually anchoring the home. Robert Fitch Smith was very effusive when he talked about his influences and one of his main influences was Frank Lloyd Wright where you see some of the Prairie style influences here especially in the massing of the home.

So the home had one addition over the years in 1953. There was a garage addition just adding on to the garage that was here. So this being the original garage, this being the addition. The addition was done by the same architect, by Robert Fitch Smith. You can see it called out here in blue. Why we have the pen out, I wanted to point out the floor plan. You see the stepping back of the facade.

What we see in modern architecture or what was popular at the time was sort of stream-line, very horizontal uniform face. Here we have the stepping back which you talk about was some of the influences of Frank Lloyd Wright in making the homes more humane or humanistic scale and having the elements sort of shorter.
It also affords the opportunity for a lot more meshing and blending of the horizontal details that he uses. The other thing here is, as you saw in the previous picture, there is a lot of mature vegetation in the property so you can't see the whole facade at once. I will show you little vignettes, but here what you might not notice so much on the pictures as we go through is the series of built in planters that set back along the facade.

Again, emphasizing the horizontal nature
of the home and also really adding with the wide projecting eaves with these planters underneath the feeling of a low-slung home sort of hugging the landscape.

So again because we have a lot of vegetation on the property here's a permit drawing. As I said, the property has changed very little over time. The features are pretty much all intact. So looking at the original permit drawing to see what the home looks like today, in the permit drawings you will notice it's called out there is a solar tank up in the chimney and we know that that was there because in 1945 or 1954 there was a permit issued to change out the tank so we know that it was indeed installed without having for me to crawl up on the chimney and look. Here you see sort of a mesh of some of the photos. I'll do more close ups as we go along here.

The one thing you can see that I wanted to point out while we can see the full facade, this is the banding of the windows, there are recess windows forming a nice horizontal band emphasizing the horizontality of the structure and then here, what you see here is actually scoring of the stucco, the horizontal score marks emphasizing the entrance and again adding some nice subtle detailing.

So let's walk around the structure. Around the front facade, this is the eastern portion of the front facade. You can see the Mediterranean Revival although it's at the bottom and a barrel tile roof, very low hipped roof painted white, blends very nicely with the white stucco, the smooth white stucco of the front facade. The thing to notice is the very large deep eaves here with the simple cornice up above and then the finish work here which you may not be able to see here but you will be able to see it better in some of the other pictures, a very nice detailing emphasizing the horizontal nature.

As you look through the pictures you will also be struck -- you have the white roof and the white stucco and then all these wood elements and the built-in planters as well as what we see here is the beginning of the eyebrow hood. You'll have these culled out in another color, again, emphasizing the horizontal lines of the structure.

Moving west towards the front entrance, here you see right above the door this is a very long eyebrow hood with Art Deco detail that are pictures as we move along.

You can see some of the scoring of the stucco in here, the steps cascading and wrapping around
the built-in planters, all features that sort of mesh very well. It emphasizes the horizontal nature of the structure. This is a picture to sort of see those, the yellow lines coming out with the horizontal detailing. Here a little better close up.

I think you can begin to see the scoring that is here and the scoring ends, it lines up here. Here is the beginning of the chimney. We have the roof ending here, the hood ending here and the scoring ends here. What that does is sort of demark this chimney which is very prominent but doing it in a more subtle way and in a way not having to carry, carry the line of the chimney all the way down sort of maintaining some of that horizontal feel that we have.

Here you can see a nice picture of around the corner of the eyebrow hood over the front entrance, demarking the front entrance, Art Deco detail and here you can really see the scoring and how it ends just under the hood and at the beginning of the chimney.

So moving along to the west we have this very dominant and prominent chimney that has some very nice, subtle Art Deco detailing. On the edge we have this wavy edge which sort of softens the vertical line, again the emphasis of the home is the horizontal hugging the land.

And the stepping down, the chimney steps down two times in the little Art Deco detailing in here, again very subtle which is the modern aesthetic. We have these details from previous styles but very stream-lined and very subtle which was what the style was all about. Moving westward you come to this large corner window which really gives you a sense of how the windows are recessed here. You see the large eave, the built-in planters.

This picture shows a lot better on my computer than you can see here but as you look down along the front facade what you see is just all these sort of horizontal lines.

The house is very well thought out. The details all blending into each other. You see the, where the hood lining up to where we have the stepping down, sort of calling out again the Art Deco detailing, but also emphasizing the horizontal nature of the front facade. As we turn the corner going back to the garage, again we have this sort of step down feature Art Deco that mimics what is on the chimney. Moving around the house, the west facade of the house is just a facade of the new garage, the 1953 garage. It has one window in it.

Moving around to the back of the house you
can can see we have a lot of mature vegetation so taking pictures back there, $I$ have little snippets to give you sort of a view but you can see here is the original permit drawing and again there has not been a lot of changes to the home. Overtime it's very well intact.

So you can get a sense of what the back of the house looked like, what it looks like now. Then moving around to the east facade, so the single family home at 229 Ridgewood Road is a significant example of the Minimal Traditional style. Within the overall massing and architecture of Prairie style architecture, it harmoniously incorporates features from both the Art Deco style, most notably the large eyebrow hood and chimney detailing, and the Mediterranean Revival style with its barrel tile roof.

Smith's attention to the detailing of these influences within the restrained context of the contemporaneous modern aesthetic resulted in a distinctive interpretation of the Minimal Traditional style. For the record the Minimal Traditional style character designed features of the home include: One story and rectangular in plan, attached and subordinate garage, smooth stucco exterior finish, the restrained ornamentation, the dominant broad chimney with the Art Deco detailing, low-pitched hipped, white barrel tile
roof, groups of recessed large-panned easement and fixed windows, the scored stucco to emphasize the homes entrance and its overall horizontality, deep flat eaves with a simple wood cornice above coupled with the wood finish board below, large central eyebrow hood, the built-in planters, prominent corner window, asymmetrical use of louvered shutters, the stepped privacy wall and the solar heating tank in the chimney.

So in summary, the residence at 229 Ridgewood Road is a significant example of the Minimal Traditional style with Mediterranean Revival, Art Deco and Prairie style influences. Constructed in 1938 by the nationally renowned architect, Robert Fitch Smith, this single family home with its subordinate attached garage represents a distinct interpretation of the Minimal Traditional style in southeastern Florida.

Smith's careful attention to the detailing and blending of regional influences within the restrained context of the contemporaneous modern aesthetic produced a notable example of this New Deal era style.

The property retains a high degree of architectural integrity and significantly contributes to the historic fabric of the City. The Staff therefore recommends approval of the local historic designation
of the property at 229 Ridgewood Road. The owner is here and I believe there are folks that would like to speak. MR. GUILFORD: Good afternoon members of the Board. For the record my name is Zeke Guilford with offices at 400 University Drive here with my co-counsel Mr. John Admire. Mr. Admire has grown up in the City of Coral Gables and has served on the Board of Adjustments for many years. Also with me is Anne Platt the realtor and also Mr. Smith's son is here and is going to speak in a few minutes.

We are all here representing Frederick Kent, the owner of the property at 229 Ridgewood Road. We are asking that you do not designate this property, do not designate first and I appreciate staff last week sending you a copy of the inspection report. That report goes into detail of all the problems at this house.

This is important because as a Board one of the things you must do is to determine if there are better properties of this architectural style era that would be saved and if the answer is yes, there are better architectural examples of this era, then you should not designate this property.

Now I will tell you I have been doing this for 27 years and I have never heard of a Minimal

Traditional architectural style, but there is a first time for everything. I will also tell you that I am not an architect and Anne is not an architect. What we try to do is basically in your packet it first contains several pictures of houses, all that are built between 1930 and 1940 that we believe are better examples of the architecture of this period.

We have 611 Camillo (phonetic). That has the eyebrow over the window and front door and contains the barrel tile roof. 616 Camillo has the eyebrow over the window, has the corner window and a prevalent chimney. We have 619 Camillo, 1101 North Greenway, you may say that one is more Art Deco but it's still built within that time period of this architectural style. We have 1261 North Greenway, again has the barrel tile roof and the Art Deco influences.

We also have 842 Palermo which is a one story low profile barrel tile roof, prominent chimney with art deco influences. There are numerous other examples of architecture of this period that we believe are much better to be preserved than this one. What is actually interesting is today $I$ was over at the offices, staff office and they have two reports; one done in 2000 and one done in 2004 that wanted to see the list of houses that, quote, fit this architectural style.

There were a lot on Campino which is north, I think it's north of 8th Street, several on Segovia, I mean, I'm sorry, on Obispo and others scattered around. But in both reports, and I don't know if this was contained to the main section, but in neither report was this house listed as an example of Minimal Traditional.

Now let me take a moment and talk about the architect, Robert Smith. Mr. Smith designed many buildings in South Florida. A good many of them which is actually your second packet, architectural, is that he did do -- there were two houses in particular or two pieces of architecture done within this period that we believe is a much better example of the house before you today. That is job ahead (phonetic), the doctor and Sally Jude (phonetic) house built in 1936 and also the Montgomery Musuem which is actually, I think it's now the offices at Fairchild Gardens, towards their south entrance, both of them built in the '30s, both in pristine condition and more representative of this style than the house before you.

Now let's take a minute and talk about the house. Let's start with the lot. The lot is 16,100 square feet. You could build on that property a house of approximately 6,000 feet. The house there now,
the living area is 1,600. So whatever happens or however you vote just know that someone is not buying this piece of property for that house.

Again, the inspection report was sent to
you last week. Hopefully you had a chance to look at it and look at the pictures because what you will notice is the floors being held up by blocks that have been wedged underneath the house to hold up the floor. The floor has buckled because of water intrusion. All the pipes need to be replaced. All the electricity needs to be replaced. All the windows need to be replaced. The roof needs to be replaced.

In short, this is not a house that is worth saving. This is a house that either someone is going to look at to tear it down or add on to it. It is not going to remain this house. Let me take a minute and talk about Mr. Kent.

Mr. Kent is right here. He is in his 80s, doesn't have a wife or children so he is not -- he has gotten to the point where he can't maintain this house. As a matter of fact, he is putting down a deposit for an assisted living facility but he cannot move into that living facility until he sells this house because he doesn't have the means to afford the care of moving forward and that is the reason why he put this house up
for sale.
Now, we have received five, which is the third thing in your package, five contracts for this house. They range any where from a million one, to 800,000. The one at 800,000 does not take into account that it is subject to inspections. So if you look at it and you take off the amount, the budget in the amount of the inspection report off the 800,000, you're at 650 thousand.

We believe that is probably the right number because a Robert Smith house sold at 512 Giralda, a bigger house, smaller lot, at 640,000. So we believe that is probably a pretty good number. So what we have is a spread, if you say worse case scenario, 200,000 because the contracts in the million dollars is to knock down the house. It's lot value.

So if you look at it, that is a spread of anywhere from 200 to 350 thousand dollars that Mr. Kent will be losing if you designate this house. Mr. Kent needs this money for his future care. We ask that you not hurt him by designating this property. This is all he has moving forward.

For these reasons we believe that there are better examples of the architecture of this period and for Mr. Kent's well-being we ask that you not
designate this house and at this point $I$ would like Mr. Smith to come forward if he would and talk a little bit about his father's architecture.

MR. SMITH: Good afternoon. My name is Dr.
Donald Smith. I am a dentist retired, studied architecture for three and a half years at the University of Florida and changed my major after watching what my father went through.

He loved to design but the business was something that he wasn't in tune for and he hadn't realized the way you make money in architecture is with bigger buildings not little buildings like this, single family houses.

I know Albert and his wife very well. We used to talk about that, we were pot boilers really, you had to keep the office staff going but when you get a house halfway built for a single family and a wife wants to change the bathroom about ten feet over, it costs a lot of money for these people to keep doing that. So he loved to design and if you gave him a single house to do, he would knock himself out to do it and he did a lot of them.

This is not one of his signature houses. I have been by it and there are many of them around. I drive by some of them every so often in the Gables. I
bought a book that he had published of his work up until 1941 and there are several, quite a lot of them in here that he thought were worth signing his name to. This is not one of them.

You can look at these things if you wish and there are plenty of pictures of them. A lot of them don't have the addresses but the idea that architects have an awful lot of work to do, they produce a lot of houses and they are scattered all over the place, Miami Shores, Coral Gables, Miami Beach has a lot of his homes.

Many of them much bigger and much more in the style that you might design to his work which I used to think of as kind of french modern. He liked very decorative iron work. He really loved it. I used to go over to the iron shop and watch him with the bending of irons with the scrolls on them but they all had some of that.

This house has none of it. Our house, I lived in two houses designed by my father, one of them was a signature house and it was reproduced in two or three other places. It's torn down now. It's a parking lot for the building next door. The house we lived on Tigertail, Third Street and Bayshore Drive, the entire lot there, that has gone down now but there are four
houses in there and that was a nice house too but all of these houses were impossible to live in today.

I haven't been in Fred's house but the closets in these houses are too small. People had no stuff in those days. You couldn't live in one today. We all have stuff. They didn't have that. You would have to tear out some of the walls to make closets to live in this house.

We spend a lot of money on the houses that we lived in that my father designed, repairing the woodwork and mentioning the floor going bad in this one, they all had that. The full corner of the last one, it was sinking down because of it. It was built on a rock pit.

One of the things that a professor said to my class at University of Florida before $I$ changed into dentistry was, it stuck in my mind, don't become too enamored of your beautiful work, gentlemen, because in time all of these buildings and the way of living that people will have becomes obsolete. They are all going to become obsolete.

If you try to live in a house like Fred's, like the gentleman said, you have to modify it severely to do that. I am proud of the fact that people are considering my father's work to be kept but keep the
good ones. I say the same thing for Finius Pace, (phonetic) who probably designed this building who was a great hero of my father, Al Parke, Alfred Reynolds Parker and a lot of these others are out there, Kaminski (phonetic), a lot of architects at the time worth having their work preserved but preserve the good ones.

You can't live in a lot of these things. They don't work any more and this is one of them. I don't want to take any more time unless $I$ can say anything else.

MR. GUILFORD: The other thing that, I gave you a second package, it just shows the land value is worth a million one, and also the house which shows it's worth 803,000 and again that is not discounting it due to the condition of the house. Again, we ask that you not designate this house as historic. Thank you.

MR. TORRE: I have questions for you. MR. GUILFORD: Sure.

MR. TORRE: I need to have you, and maybe with the help of staff, explain the order of the contracts because a lot of these are concurrent during the month of March, early April and at that point whether they were subject to demolition or not and when did staff decides that there was an issue about the house maybe being significant where the numbers switched
from the million to the eight, I am confused on this process.

MS. SPAIN: I don't know whether I can answer that question.

MR. TORRE: I wanted to know when did the notification come out that let the seller know that there would be an issue where the land value became an issue in the contracts.

MS. SPAIN: We issued a significance of determination in May. I don't know if that answers your question.

MR. TORRE: These contracts -- again I am confused about -- so there are a lot of concurrent dates here, a lot of Marches and a lot of Aprils and I can't tell that there is contingencies to these contracts so --

MR. GUILFORD: I was trying to kind of give you the front page so you could see the kind of dollars that we are talking about of this house. Obviously the one that is a million or a million, one that was before the letter. Then we had someone come and one of the potential buyers request a letter of significance and Anne if you want to --

MS. PLATT: We were marketing the house and I was told and I called Cara here at Coral Gables, when
we sell a house that is going to be demolished, the builder's going to buy it, it will be demolished, we need to have it reviewed by the historical department to determination if it's got significance to the City of Coral Gables.

That was a part of my package to anybody looking at the house. The first couple of days the house was on the market, I had three offers immediately, all builders and we worked them up, got from Mr. Delgado, never mind his name, got an offer for a million one. He was the one that started the process to review the house to see if it was significant. I had no idea it was.

I worked here 40 years and I just had no idea this house would be significant but that is why you all are here, not me. My name is Anne Platt. I am with Caldwell Banker Realtors. My office is at 4000 Ponce here in the Gables. I live in Coconut Grove a few blocks from the house. I'm sorry, I had forgotten your question.

MR. TORRE: Here is my question: There are some contracts that seem to be signed and accepted by the buyer -- by the seller.

MS. PLATT: The seller did accept. We had three offers at once, two were quite good. He took the highest one.

MR. TORRES: I see more than one signature and more than one contract.

MS. PLATT: Which contract has no
signature?
MR. TORRE: I see seller's signatures on more than one contract so again the 1.1 seems to be accepted. The 1 million fifteen seems to be accepted and those dates are before the May date.

MS. PLATT: That, I can easily straighten out. The 1.1 was written and agreed to on March 19, 2016. That was when we started the process. That buyer started the process to have the significant study reviewed. Unconnected with who knows what he cancelled the contract two weeks later on April 1st as a matter of fact we got the cancellation date on that million, one contract. He just said, I don't want it, goodbye. It was under his due diligence period so he had the right to do that. We continued marketing the house and found the second buyer at a million fifteen and $I$ would have to look at the contract to pick out the date. I think it might have been about the 29th, the 30th, okay. April okay, so he -- at that point we were under the review process and I don't remember if Don's been to the house, we advised him we were under review to see if the house would be declared significant. We didn't know the
results. He said I would very much like to buy the property. I want to build a house there but if you are declared significant and historic, I will cancel the contract. I need that put in my contract.

So we did. Mr. Kent did sign both though not at the same time. He signed the one that was cancelled April 1st. It was cancelled. He signed the one that later when we were declared, when the house was declared significant, that buyer did withdraw, cancelled his contract. He is gone. Mr. Kent lost his buyer because of the significant determination.

MR. TORRE: There is another one for a million 52 that also has April dates on it concurrent with those so, yes, it is signed.

MS. PLATT: Could I see those?
MR. TORRE: I have three signed by the owner.

MS. PLATT: You have got buyer number one and of course -- let me think for a minute. Buyer number one never went any where. He was one of the first three contracts. He never would come up. Buyer number two -- and the seller never signed that. Buyer number two the seller did sign buyer number two and it's a problem with the due diligence period. He also had 30 days to come to the city and make sure he could build
a house.
Nobody wants to buy something that they can't use and we understand the city has rules they need to check out. So we gave this buyer the due diligence and Mr. Kent did sign that contract. That buyer had been negotiating with a lot in the Ponce Davis Road area.

It was a divorce. The people decided not to sell. A couple of weeks before he found Mr. Kent's lot at 229. He wrote his contract subject to the due diligence. The next day the buyer in Ponce Davis called him, he called me and said, send us a cancellation. So that is number two.

That, Mr. Kent had signed but it got cancelled. Then number three was the man that did start the process effective March 19th to determine if the house was going to be significant. He cancelled two weeks later on April 1st. Then, buyer number four was the million fifteen who again wanted to build and he signed the contract mid April -- no, April 29th, plus or minus. We got it together very quickly. He agreed to pay a million fifteen effective April 30th but he had the cancellation period. I mean, that would be a 20 page pack element for each of those. I don't think you want my whole real estate contract though your welcome to any part of this of it that you do.

The million fifteen cancelled because right after he had signed the contract, I think on the 30th, I believe we will find that that is a Thursday or a Friday, then the next Monday or Tuesday was when Mr. Kent got the letter from the city saying the house was determined significant.

MS. SPAIN: The letter was issued on
May 2nd. We visited the home a few days before that, the week before. I did not ask to go into the house but Mr. Kent was very gracious and took us on a tour of the house and then we walked around the property.

MR. TORRE: The point $I$ was trying to make here is you are basing your case on these contracts. We don't have the other information which is the due diligence requirements so it's a little bit out of context for us.

MR. GUILFORD: We are trying to do that. Unfortunately, I thought it was more important to show, instead of just providing you with full contracts, to provide you kind of a history of the price that has occurred regarding the property. That is the reason $I$ asked her to redact the names because it's not important who the buyer was, that it's more important to see where the prices, where we are going on the house and where ultimately with the house, where you are going to end up
and without the house. That is what we are trying to show here.

MS. SPAIN: To that point Section 3-1115 of the zoning code deals with undue economic hardship and it says, a claim of undue economic hardship may only be asserted in conjunction with an application to a historic resources department with an application for a special certificate of appropriateness. So by code it is not appropriate to bring economic hardship argument at the designation.

MR. FULLERTON: Until it's designated.
MS. SPAIN: Until it's designated. I have other points I would like to make. It was stated that that there are better examples so we should not designate this. It doesn't have to be the best example of an architectural style. It's not listed in that survey, I think was mentioned and that's because that survey didn't mention this portion of the city. We have not surveyed this area where Ridgewood Road is yet. We would like to. And again, Job Ahead, the Montgomery Museum would better represent this style, that is not part of the designation process. As far as a 6,000-square foot house being able to be built on this, you could do an addition that adds up to 6,000 square feet on a historic home, and there is another criteria
for designation that talks about an outstanding work of a prominent designer or builder. We didn't believe it fit that so we did not make that as part of the criteria.

MR. TORRE: Would you consider that this hyouse, any addition to this house would have to be a one story or two story would be okay with this?

MS. SPAIN: It's a very large lot. It's a beautiful lot. It's built with really specimen trees and they could easily do a two story addition.

MR. TORRE: This traditional style, that is okay?

MS. SPAIN: Yes, I believe so.
MR. GUILFORD: You want to finish?
MR. TORRE: Go ahead.
MS. PLATT: While we were waiting for the time of the hearing initially we wanted to move it up to March 19th because Mr. Kent is eager to get to East Ridge but he can't go to East Ridge until he sells the house and has the money. So we were trying to move it to the 19th. In the meantime he asked me what would my house be worth if it were historically designated where someone had to keep the house.

The package that you have has two
different market analysis. These are not appraisals but
they are market analysis showing how we came up with the price for a million one, fifty and then if he couldn't sell it at land value, which he couldn't if it's designated historically the house has to stay, if $I$ understand that right, so I called a buyer.

There was a buyer who had come and looked during the first set of contracts and she was interested perhaps in keeping the house. I could see that she had a feel for something in the front of it. She wouldn't go inside. She said if we can't have the house, I don't want to go inside. As time went by Mr. Kent wanted to know if I can't my land value buyer, I have to sell my house, what is it worth?

So I called her and offered it to her at 850. That was what several older smaller houses, if you look in your package you will see them, had sold for and we offered to her 850. Buyers being buyers, she made an offer of 800. So it's -- but Mr. Kent didn't sign it. You will notice there is no signature there. He said, you know, $\$ 300,000$ I think $I$ will wait a month.

I would much rather see if the group can't decide to let me demolish the house and sell the land, it's the rest, the money he has to live on for the rest of his life and it's very very important to him.

MR. GUILFORD: Any more questions? Again,
members of the Board, we did not say this was the best example or the others are better but there are clearly better examples of this architecture than this house and I ask that you take the inspection report into consideration and also the values of what this will do to the value, to Mr. Smith and the property. Thank you. MR. TORRE: Anybody else in the audience would like to speak before or against this Item? Would you like to come up here and state your name and address for the record, please.

MR. JAMESON: My name is Joseph Jameson. I live a few blocks from the house and $I$ walk by it every day. While I would say it's a presentable house and speaking very objectively, it's not an exceptional house, and I think the photographs that were shown in fact make the house look better than it really is and there is a fine line between what has historical significance and represents an exceptional example of architecture and what is being pointed out that is basically nostalgic and what seemed to be pointed out with the house were the nostalgic touches that, in this particular case, $I$ would say are not exceptional.

I speak not as an architect but someone who has a sensitivity to art and I will also say that in the history of artistic creation there are very few
artists, architects, whatever, who create consistently exceptional works and in the history, for instance, of music and painting, a lot of creations done by these people that they consider to be immature or student works, they, either they destroyed or suppressed and I think the problem that we are all having today really is you almost have to physically walk by the house and take a look at it and sort of draw your own conclusions.

Again, $I$ see it every day and it's a representative house but $I$ would not call it an exceptional house and it does seem to be, designating it as a historical site would seem to be imposing sort of an arbitrary disadvantage on the present owner and I think that is probably all $I$ have to say about that. MR. TORRE: Thank you very much. That closeS the public hearing. Any questions?

MR. PARSLEY: I have a question of Donna. I guess, let me start, are there any other houses recently from Robert Fitch Smith that have come up for designation?

MS. SPAIN: I can't think of any.
MR. PARSLEY: Have there been any that
have, that you know of that have been allowed to be destroyed or demolished?

MS SPAIN: I can't think of any either. By
far the most difficult thing that we do in that office is to review demolition requests and historic significance determinations and for the most part, the majority of them, we allow to be demolished because we really try to be reasonable about it. I honestly, I would have to go back in to the more recent ones. I don't believe that $I$, you know, I can't categorically say that $I$ haven't allowed one to come down recently. MR. PARSLEY: I guess the second part of the question, I think that we have been able to touch on it, between an exceptional example of an architect versus a good example versus downright mediocre, crummy example of an architect. Being a prominent architect, I don't know much about that, truthfully but --

MS. SPAIN: That is why we are before you.
MR. PARSLEY: I don't know where we go
because I was a prominent architect. I was by definition required to say the building should be saved just because it's by so and so. If there is some examples you could tell us --

MS. SPAIN: You do not have to designate
this just because it was done by Robert Fitch Smith.
MR. PARSLEY: Other prominent Gables architects, have we allowed some of their buildings to be destroyed?

Fink (phonetic) building to be demolished. MR. PARSLEY: Who was that?

MS. SPAIN: That was an H. Fink fairly
recently. We brought that to the Board.
MR. PARSLEY: So we have some precedence to
talk about prominent architects and other examples.
MS. SPAIN: Either way, yes.
MR. TORRE: All right. Who else?
MS. ROLANDO: I am a little concerned with the situation here because the thrust of the arguments have been, oh, this is not an exceptional example and two, there is a financial hardship. By our criteria we are not suppose to consider financial hardship at all or financial considerations and two, there is nothing in the code that requires that the example that we are designating be exceptional, outstanding or anything. Otherwise, a lot of the fabric of Coral Gables that is so significant and establishes our street scapes and creates the historic areas would disappear if we said, oh, we can only preserve outstanding examples and those are sometimes in the eye of the beholder. So I am a little concerned about voting on where I don't think either of the arguments that have been made are especially outstanding or exceptional.

MS. SPAIN: I do think the Board, though, can look at the two criteria that we are saying that it fits and determine whether or not it fits those criteria. If you believe it doesn't, then you do not have to designate it. It portrays the environment in an era of history characterized by one or more distinctive architectural styles and embodies those distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style or period or method of construction. That is what we are saying this means.

MR. TORRE: I remember reviewing a house and it was during the same time period, it falls in the gap of time that not a lot of houses in the Gables were built and I remember voting --

MS. ROLANDO: -- that transitional period.
MR. TORRE: Yes it was and it was one that had an Art Deco deck around it. It was on Salzedo, I believe, so there is that small gap that, maybe, I don't know, a few houses remain of that type and of that era and I remember that was discussion we were having back then similarly. So the question then is how many of these Minimal Traditional houses do we really have in stock?

MS. ROLANDO: The point you're making too, Venny, is a good one. There is not that many examples
from that period. You can tell by the level of construction, the construction dollars expended in that time in the period and the permits issued. The reason they didn't have a lot was the financial or the depression and then the onset of World War II when they didn't have the materials available. So there is a limited pool of homes from that period and that is kind of a --

MS. SPAIN: The one on Salzedo that you are talking about, was that on that bend --

MS. ROLANDO: Segovia Circle.
MS. SPAIN: I think that was --
MS. ROLANDO: We designated that.
MS. SPAIN: No, you did not.
MS. ROLANDO: We did not?
MS. SPAIN: You allowed that to be demolished.

MR. MENENDEZ: Are there any other
buildings designated of that type of building?
MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MS. ROLANDO: Do we know how many there are from that period that are still existing?

MS. SPAIN: We don't.
MR. TORRE: Wouldn't George Fink have a lot of these houses during that time period that he built, a
lot of the houses south of University Drive, that little pocket there which are again transitional with a little bit of of Art Deco. I remember the house that I had at Zardo (phonetic) was just like that, was that the same period?

MS. SPAIN: I think so.
MR. FULLERTON: Is it your opinion, you
mentioned that there are other ones that your administrative staff have reviewed and allowed to be demolished without our involvement, isn't that correct?

MS. SPAIN: Yes. Not necessarily the style but, no, if it comes here to you with a designation report, we believe it's historically significant.

MR. FULLERTON: That is what I wanted to bring to the Board. It's here because it's significant.

MS. SPAIN: Again, it's your call. I just want you to make an informed decision.

MR. FULLERTON: I think the condition report is very compelling and brings to the Board another problem to consider. The house is ready to fall down in some places, which may be a consideration.

MS. SPAIN: That shouldn't be a consideration at this point.

MS. ROLANDO: Also, we have the issue of
demolition by neglect and we don't want to encourage behavior like that.

MR. FULLERTON: That is probably exactly where we are. I think it's, in order for the owners to get a clean -- without our vote, in other words, we would have to designate it and then they can bring it back and ask for demolition.

MS. SPAIN: That's right.
MR. FULLERTON: So that is a pathway to get where they want to get. It would take them a little longer, I suppose.

MR. TORRE: Repeat that.
MS. SPAIN: It would be a certificate of appropriateness for demolition of a historic property if you designate it. They could come back and ask for demolition.

MR. TORRE: Then they can use the economic hardship.

MS. SPAIN: They can also come back with economic hardship for a certificate of appropriateness but that is what the code provides for in situations where it is historically significant and you designate it as a historic landmark.

MR. FULLERTON: And I think it's our obligation because it has been brought to us as a
significant house and it seems to be significant for many ways, reasons. I think it's our obligation to designate it but then hear the next case which would be to allow demolition.

MR. TORRE: You don't get that many houses that are significant coming back here.

MR. FULLERTON: Many of these homes, these beautiful old homes are incorporated into large substantial additions on large, substantial pieces of property.

MS. SPAIN: The portion that is historic, you would be able to get a tax break on an addition so people actually seek out these homes. Obviously, not in this case but --

MR. FULLERTON: I would also say that the department is very helpful in getting those kindS of projects done. So I think it's a win win situation. I think they will do better in the long run with an architect that could make A significant addition to that house on the property, maintaining some of the historic aspects of the old building, of the architect's design that was there.

MS. GHIA: I would like to say something.
I think it should be designated because it isn't -- it is that in between period that there weren't many built
then and it's not, you know, it's not like any of these are the sort of perfect 1930 s ones. It's your every day sort of two bedroom home. It's just sort of a main stream house and we need examples of that too as well as the exceptional ones. So that is all I wanted to say. MS. ROLANDO: I will move for designation. MR. PARSLEY: I second it. MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. MMS. GHIA: Yes. MR. FULLERTON: Yes. MR. PARSLEY: Yes. MS. ROLANDO: Yes. MS. THOMPSON: Yes.

MR. TORRE: Yes. I will make a point to this property. I purchased a house under certain conditions. I was going to assume it was a land value house. We recently, and as $I$ am starting the design process of going through this motion, I retracted to build on a maybe less than 2,000-square foot house and add to it. It's a very nondescript house in a nice lot in a very nice position, and the reason $I$ did that is one, the time frame that it is going to take me to tear this thing down, design a house and get to it to end up with one of these big two story houses.

I felt better working with this
historically inclined house even though it wasn't great and take a different approach and $I$ think $I$ was going to end up at the same place but $I$ just happen to have done the same thing with a very similar house and not feel that I was really hindering my economic position on it and still come out actually with a more interesting project at the end of the day. So that is just the case to look back at.

MR. FULLERTON: I did the same thing with my own home. I designated it and almost doubled it and now I have a house that has got the same character but has become something valuable and usable by my family. MR. TORRE: Thank you for that. We'll move on.
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