1	CITY COMMISSION MEETING
2	
3	CORAL GABLES CITY HALL 405 BILTMORE WAY, COMMISSION CHAMBERS
Ą	CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA TUESDAY, DECEMBER 8, 2015, COMMENCING AT 4:00 P.M.
5	
6	CITY COMMISSION MEMBERS:
7	Mayor Jim Cason Vine Mayor B. J. C. O. D.
8	Vice Mayor Frank C. Quesada Commissioner Patricia Keon
9	Commissioner Vince Lago Commissioner Jeannett Slesnick
10	
11	City Staff and Consultants: Cathy Swanson-Rivenbark, City Manager
12	Cathy Swanson-Rivenbark, City Manager
13	Craig E. Leen, City Attorney
14	Walter Foeman, City Clerk Craig H. Coller, Esq., Special Counsel
15	-L=
16	Also Participating:
17	Tucker Gibbs, Esq.,
18	on behalf of the Riviera Neighborhood Association Jeffrey Bass, Esq.,
19	on behalf of the Applicant Laura Russo, Esg., Co-Counsel for the Applicant
20	Jorge Navarro, Architect Brent Reynolds, Applicant
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 Public Speakers: 2 Mark Trowbridge Todd Eldred 3 Chip Withers Dorothy Thomson 4 Jack Thomson Albert Ray Lyons 5 Jose Rene Infante Joe Vaughn 6 Jorge Rios David Doheny 7 Valerie Grandin Madeleine Van Wallghem 8 Alicia Vinas Jose Portuondo 9 John Fisher Patricia Guarch 10 Max Ferrarati Donald Hicks 11 Parker Thomson Maria Cruz 12 Ignacio Granda Larry Puyanic 13 Rachel Bixby Paul Van Walleghem 14 Georgina Prats Shelton Jerry Marcus 15 Jesus Cabrera Elizabeth Marcus 16 Ricardo Pita Gilbert Beauperthy 17 Patricia Abril Stefan Zachar 18 Aramis Alvarez Beno Schechter 19 Joyce Newman Carmen Ortiz 20 Michael Genden Marlin Ebbert 21 Richard Wood Jennifer Garcia 22 Ann Goodman Wayne Adams 23 Rosanna Weber Gordon Sokoloff 24 Hagen Taudt Fernando Garcia-Chacon 25 Alice Goldhagen Ira Silver

1	Brooks Miller
0	Tracy Kerdyk
2	Alicia Fernandez
3	Jorge Arrizurieta Lucien Gordon
	Valerie Quemada
4	Patrick Nolan
_	Daniel Diaz Leyva
5	Elsie Miranda Heidi Roth
6	Sue Kawalerski
	Steve Diener
7	Debra Register
8	Stuart Rich Sandra Levinson
	Christopher Zoller
9	Henry Pinera
10	
10	Gigi Citarella
11	
1.0	
12	
13	
1.4	
15	
1.6	
17	
- '	
18	
19	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

(Thereupon, the following proceedings were held.)

1

2

3

4

5

-6

7

8

- 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LEEN: Item 1 is an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, requesting an amendment to the Future Land Use Map of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan pursuant to Zoning Code Article 3, "Development Review," Division 15, "Comprehensive Plan Text and Map Amendments," and Small Scale amendment procedures, Section 163.3187 Florida Statutes, from "Commercial Low-Rise Intensity" to "Commercial High-Rise Intensity" for the property legally described as a Portion of Tract A, Riviera Section Part 8, 1350 South Dixie Highway, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, and providing for an effective date. The legal description is on file at the City.

Item Number 2, also on Second Reading, is an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, by amending Appendix A, "Site Specific

Zoning Regulations" Section A-83, "Riviera Section, Part 8," by removing provisions restricting FAR, height and setbacks for the property legally described as Tract A, Riviera Section Part 8; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, codification and providing for an effective date. The legal description is on file at the City.

Item Number 3 is an Ordinance of the City
Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting
review of a Planned Area Development pursuant
to Zoning Code Article 3, "Development Review,"
Division 5, "Planned Area Development," for the
proposed project referred to as "Paseo de la
Riviera" on the property legally described as a
portion of Tract A, Riviera Section Part 8,
1350 South Dixie Highway, Coral Gables,
Florida; including required conditions;
providing for a repealer provision, providing
for a severability clause and providing for an
effective date. The legal description is on
file at the City. This is also on Second
Reading.

In addition, there's a resolution,

Mixed-Use Site Plan Review. A resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, requesting Mixed-Use Site Plan Review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning Districts," Division 2, "Overlay and Special Purpose Districts," Section 4-201, "Mixed-Use District," for the proposed project referred to as the "Paseo de la Riviera" on the property legally described as a Portion of Tract A, Riviera Section Part 8, 1350 South Dixie Highway, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause and providing for an effective date. The legal description is on file at the City.

All four of these items are being consolidated for purposes of public hearing today. They'll each receive a separate vote at the end of the proceedings.

In addition, at this time, I will go over the procedure. For the hearing today, I will first begin by reading the item titles, which I have, the Rules of Procedure and Decorum and Standard Review.

At that point, the City Staff, Ramon Trias,

our Planning and Zoning Director, will speak for about two minutes to introduce the items. The Applicant, then, will receive up to 30 minutes to speak. At that point, City Staff can complete any further presentation. Then Mr. Gibbs will have up to 30 minutes to speak. Then there will be a period of public comment. Then Mr. Gibbs, if his presentation was not completed prior to the public comment, will be able to finish.

The reason I say that is because at 5:01 precisely, we intend to start the public comment. So if Mr. Gibbs isn't over, he'll be able to finish afterwards.

At that point, the Applicant will receive a rebuttal of 15 minutes. Then the Commission will review the matter and make their decision.

Please note, in the public hearing, the Mayor will first recognize members of the public who did not speak during First Reading. The Mayor retains discretion to set time limits in order to finish by 9:00 p.m., City Code Section 2-74.

At this point, and I read them last time -- actually, at this point, I would like to,

Mr. Mayor, incorporate the entire First Reading
and also the Planning and Zoning Board hearing
into this hearing today in Second Reading, if
that's okay.

MAYOR CASON: That's fine That's fine

MAYOR CASON: That's fine. That's fine.

MR. LEEN: Thank you.

1.4

MAYOR CASON: As well as any letters or documents, e-mails that have come in.

MR. LEEN: Everything that's been presented in the record, you're accepting, Mr. Mayor, correct?

MAYOR CASON: Yes.

MR. LEEN: Okay. Now I will go over the rules of public participation and decorum for City Commission and Board meetings. I will not go over these, as I did last time, one by one, but I will say that the City encourages public participation. Anyone who wishes to speak on a public hearing item or other matter or comment, as required by law or permitted at the discretion of the Chair, should fill out a speaker card. Please sign the speaker card.

When your name is called, you're required by our Code to provide your name, your residence or business address and whether

you're speaking on behalf of a group or third party. If you're here today speaking on behalf of a company or an organization, there are certain times when you might have to be a lobbyist and you need to register. And if you have a question about that, you can come talk to me or the Deputy City Attorney.

The remarks of each speaker will be limited to two minutes, according to the Mayor. Once everyone has spoken, who has not had a chance to speak, he will determinate how much time there will be for people who have already spoken one time. No speaker or other member of the public shall refuse to obey the orders of the Chair or of the Commission or the Board, when it's an acting body.

I am the parliamentarian. I've been asked to assist with enforcement of the rules today. All that means is that you're going to be speaking, for example, and you're going to have a light. It's going to turn yellow and then red. After about a second or two, I'm going to ask you to please stop speaking. You really should stop speaking at that moment, under the law. And I do that respectfully. We want to

hear from you, but we also want to hear from everyone.

At that point, if you don't stop speaking, the Mayor will basically order you to stop speaking, and at that point, you would be asked to leave the room.

No person, other than a member of the Commission or Board and the speaker having the floor may be permitted to enter into any discussion without permission of the Chair. No question may be asked, except through the Chair. The other ones are all basically common sense.

Please, Coral Gables is special because of our decorum. We're very exited to have the residents here today. We ask that you follow decorum. Please do not engage in direct argument with the Applicant or with a speaker or between speakers. Please direct all comments to the Chair.

Based on Roberts Rules of Order, there should not be any clapping. I know many of you feel very strongly, but it really is against the rules. You're not supposed to clap.

You're supposed to listen, and then each of you

will have the opportunity to speak in time.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, with that, I will go to the rules regarding each item. A couple of the items today are legislative in nature, and a couple are quasi-judicial. Items 1 and 2, the Comp Plan Amendment and the Zoning Code change, are being treated as legislative items. What that means is that the Commission has a substantial amount of discretion as to what to do. basically governed by a fairly debatable standard. Obviously they cannot act in an arbitrary or capricious way, and whatever they do needs to make rational sense. And, of course, our Commission makes rational sense. But that's the standard. So I just have to tell you that. That's the standard a court would look at.

3 and 4 have a different standard of review, and that's why we're reading to you all of these rules. It's similar to a Court proceeding, 3 and 4. You're going to hear testimony today, and in a second, I'm going to ask each of you, who wants to speak, including the Applicant, to swear in, so that you can provide testimony.

The Commissioners are going to be acting as Judges. Any decision they make has to be based on competent and substantial evidence in the record today or in the record that's been provided to them in paper. Any decision they make has to follow the law. They cannot depart from the essential requirements of law. And, Three, they have to provide due process, both to the Applicant and to any party, which includes residents within a thousand feet.

Now, if you're outside a thousand feet, you're still going to be able to speak, but our Code gives special protection to those living within a thousand feet, and you're a party today, as well. And Mr. Gibbs, I understand, is representing a number of people today that would fall within that area, which is why he's being given special time to speak.

I would just like to emphasize, before I turn it over, that the City Commission has recently passed what's called -- and this applies to everybody. I'm not identifying anyone in particular, everybody. The Commission has passed a False Claims Ordinance, that applies to presentations before the

oath, but anything you say, if the Commission takes action based on that, and that can include a condition of approval -- if you say that there's some harm to you, and the Commission takes an action based on that, and that's false -- and I don't mean just a mistake, but if you know that that's not true and you tell the Commission that -- and this applies to the Applicant and also to anybody who speaks, and the Commission takes action based on that, knowing that it's false, you are potentially liable for those statements. You have to understand that.

Commission. So not only are you speaking under

It's actually a Treble Damage Standard.

I'm not going to go into it. We expect everyone to tell the truth, but I need to make you aware of it, because it's a recent law that was passed. Please feel free to say whatever you think is appropriate, whatever you believe, but it's under oath, and please make sure it's true and that it is germane to the topic we're discussing.

So with that, Mr. Mayor, I would turn it over to City Staff to begin the discussion.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Actually, before we begin, because a portion of this is quasi-judicial, I just want to put out there that I've spoken to residents who are in favor of the project, residents who are against the project, and before this hearing started, about 15 minutes ago, I spoke to Mr. Bass and Mr. Tucker, and I asked them if there were going to be any surprises, that I didn't want to see any surprises, like we had last time, just so that we follow the procedure. So I want to put that on the record, that I had those conversations.

MR. LEEN: Can you be fair in this proceeding?

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Absolutely.

MR. LEEN: One issue that I should put on the record is, a large portion of this proposal is legislative, because obviously there needs to be a change in the law to allow the quasi-judicial proceeding to proceed.

So much of the discussions are legislative, and I've given an opinion that the Commission can speak on legislative matters, including the Comp Plan change, and that that's not subject

to the Jennings Rule. So I want to put that on the record.

1.6

1.8

The other thing I should put on the record is that the Commission did direct that there be settlement discussions. I'm not going to get into specifics of the settlement discussions, but I will say, I did feel that they were spirited discussions. We had a couple of them. I do believe everyone came in good faith, but we were not able to bridge a gap. There was a gap between the parties, which I will not put on the record, but -- so each party is here today, and no commitments have been made, but the parties did come two times, and we did attempt to reach a resolution.

MAYOR CASON: Ramon Trias, would you come forward and try, in one or two minutes --

MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mayor, Vice Mayor,
Commissioners. Very briefly, we've had 21

letters -- they have been distributed to all of
you -- since Friday. Friday is when we posted
everything on the web. So this is new
material. I think the most recent one is from
3:00 p.m. this afternoon. So that's there, on
the record.

The Applicant, since the last meeting, provided some new drawings and some new materials that were also posted on the web.

And they have some changes to the design, as proposed.

The Commission memo outlines the changes, and the Applicant will explain them. And this is what they told us before the meetings.

Basically, they are that the apartment building height is reduced to 122 feet, and that is to the top of the habitable space, which is the way that height is measured in this City, 122.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Ramon, a quick question.

MR. TRIAS: Yes. In reference to the 122, is that just strictly parking or does that include FAR, also?

MR. TRIAS: The 122 includes parking and includes residences, both.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay.

MR. TRIAS: Okay. Then the parking garage podium was reduced to 46 feet in the back. The ground floor commercial space was increased and re-designed so it works better. The ground level works better, from the point of view of

the use. The unit count of the apartments was
reduced from 234 to 218. So there are a few
less apartments in the apartment building.
Parking was reduced from 838 to 636, and the
liner space was provided along Madruga.

And all of those were ideas that were discussed by the Commission.

Now, the Neighborhood Association has also provided a document, which they will present, I assume, which proposes some of the ideas that I believe they would support, and, generally, they're recommending that the Land Use should be Mid-Rise, which would allow 97 feet of height, that the specifics — the Site Specifics should be deleted, that the Mixed-Use approval should comply with the different setbacks, as required by Code, and they also had some recommendations about the setbacks for the PAD design.

So I believe that both, the Applicant and the neighbors, are ready to explain all of this, and if you have any questions, I'll be available later.

MAYOR CASON: Okay. Thank you, Ramon. COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, Ramon.

MAYOR CASON: Starting off with the

Applicant -- is that our agreement? Go ahead,

Mr. Bass.

So you'll have until a quarter 'til.

MR. LEEN: Yes.

2.4

MR. BASS: If I may just have a moment to get the easels set up. Is over here --

MAYOR CASON: Wherever you want.

MR. BASS: Mr. Mayor, Members of the Commission, it's nice to see you all again.

Jeffrey Bass is my name. 46 Southwest First

Street is my address. And it's my privilege to be representing the Applicant this evening before you, asking for your approval for each and every one of the applications that are before you.

My goal this evening, I hope, will make you happy. My goal is to simplify the evidence that has already been presented to you, and to crystalize, to the most elemental level, the decisions that this Commission needs to make.

Now, it's been a long day for you all, so I'd like to start this evening with what I will call a relaxation technique, to put us in the mood -- work with me -- because this will help

/

show you how simple the decision you will make tonight is, when you consider what evidence is relevant and what evidence is not relevant.

So each and every one of you knows about running a campaign. Each and every one of you knows about the precinct polling places. And each and every one of you knows about the Supervisor of Elections and the Canvassing Board and the accuracy that takes place when you conduct an election on an important issue.

So imagine with me, if you will, that you all wanted to base your decision about Paseo, up or down, on an election, and you wished that those election results were rock solid, accurate and dependable, and asked Mr. Foeman and his team to put on an election. And you asked the people to come in and vote, up or down, on Paseo.

What you would be doing would be violating
Florida Law, because although the right to
express yourself is very important, the Florida
Legislature has adopted a statute. It's
163.3167. And it says, you shall not conduct a
referendum about a Future Land Use Map
Amendment -- that's one of the issues that's

before you this evening -- and you shall not conduct a referendum about a development order.

And the applications before you this morning (sic) are for Future Land Use Map Amendments

and for development orders.

Now, if you were to conduct a lawful election, in a lawful way, on these questions, to determine the sentiment of the public, that, itself, would be an unlawful act. So there's been a lot of discussion about this map and these petitions, and the expression of the neighborhood.

And what we're here to say to you is, if you did it the right way, it would be unlawful, but doing it in this home cooked way, where we have a statement under oath before you that there were 770 signatures on a petition — remember that, and you clarified, you asked the witness, was he sure about that — the next day, the witness recanted. The next day, the witness said, "No, I was wrong. I was off by a hundred. It was 600 and something."

And now we have a petition before you all, and we've taken a look at it, and I encourage you to do so. Based on our review of it,

there's about 140 duplicate signatures on that petition, and there are people who are marked as opponents to the project, who are supporters.

1.7

And as it relates to the map, you know, because you read from the Honorable Juan Ramirez and Josie Ramirez, that they are supporters, and on the map they're not shown as such. And you will hear from a very well regarded lawyer in town, Mr. Joe Portuondo, who I believe sent a letter to you all, he will come here and say, "My name is on there, but I am a supporter."

So if this petition gathering process were to be done correctly and lawfully, you couldn't consider it, as a matter of law. It's irrelevant. And as it was done, it's inaccurate. It's entitled to absolutely no weight during your decision this evening.

I would like to make a point that in the Land Use context, might does not make right.

The Legislature understands that no Applicant can ever stand before a mob of the people who live there and expect to be able to martial an equal mob in support of the application, which

is why they adopted that statute. It's a fairness statute. It's designed to strip the emotion and the prejudice and the rhetoric and the vile things that neighbors say about each other out of consideration, when you have a fundamental Constitutional property right before you.

1.0

So the map, it's unlawful to consider it, and it was inaccurate to begin with.

There was a report -- and I only have three points to make, because I want to be brief. If I have extra time, I'll save it for rebuttal.

There was a report that was submitted.

Again, I'm trying to simplify your decision.

The report is very long, but the report is not based on things that are relevant to you or that are lawful for you to consider when you base your decision this evening.

The report spends a lot of time talking about transect. The City of Coral Gables does not have transect in its Land Development Regulations to apply. The report talks about Miami 21. George Merrick did not participate in the drafting of Miami 21. You are not City of Miami Commissioners. Miami 21 is not a Land

Development Regulation adopted by you. It is fundamentally irrelevant to the conversation that we're having here this evening.

What is relevant is, Mr. Merrick drew a plan, and under Mr. Merrick's plan, Mr. Merrick said, 150 feet in height was appropriate at this location, and if you look at the report, they don't dispute that fact. They don't.

There's some quibbling about to what depth, but the height, the fundamental height, 150.

There's no dispute, according to the report submitted, that the Merrick plan ordained 150 feet.

But there's a very fundamental problem with the report. The report confuses two opposite things. And their base, the difference between a maximum FAR and a minimum FAR, because in arguing the TOD standards, the report argues that in the suburban TOD, the maximum FAR should be 4.0, but that's not what the chart says. If you read the chart, the chart says, "The minimum FAR should be 4.0." And, clearly, we are well within that.

The FAR that we are seeking is no different than the FAR that any other commercial property

_

owner, with commercially designated property, has. We're not asking -- we are not asking to go beyond, in terms of FAR, what the normal customary commercial FAR is in this City. To highlight, the minimum FAR -- the Minimum FAR Table is at Page 54 of 88, in the report, if you care to look.

The report also argues, mistakenly, that
Paseo is at the edge of a transit shed. They
argue that we're not within the core, but we're
at the edge of the transit shed. But they make
a mistake when they make that arguement. And
all you need to do is look at the very first
page, and the very first paragraph of the very
first page of the FDOT TOD Manual that they
cite, because what it tells you is, within a
TOD, you should increase density within, not
the quarter mile; within a half mile. That's
what the handbook says.

So let's be straight up here. A half a mile is 2,400 feet. Within 2,400 feet of a station, you should be increasing density.

We're far closer than that. We're 1,000 feet.

And the argument that we should not be increasing density here is unsustainable, under

your Comprehensive Plan, for the reasons I previously expressed. Your Comprehensive Plan has an expressed mandate to increase density within areas proximate to transit and employment centers, specifically singling out the University of Miami.

1.5

So it's very easy to see that the conclusion from the report doesn't follow from the premise of the FDOT Handbook, because it's a half a mile, not a quarter mile. We're not at the edge. We're within the core.

Point 3, traffic. I read 88 pages of that report. I didn't see a peep about traffic.

This case started as a traffic case, with neighbors circulating all sorts of flyers and saying all sorts of horrible things about the traffic that this project would generate, but the truth of the matter is, and the evidence is quite clear, this is not a traffic case.

Mr. Plummer, a professional traffic engineer, testified, and you'll recall his testimony, that from a traffic perspective, this is a very gentle application, that would generate 100 percent fewer p.m. trips -- 100 percent fewer p.m. trips than an as-of-right

commercial project would, 60 percent fewer trips than a morning peak commercial project

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would.

We're within the GRID. You know that. as a matter of law, concurrency is exempted. And Mr. Plummer testified, and I think you all recall, that in addition to being very gentle on the traffic, there are two physical barriers, the Mahi Waterway and the Gables Waterway, that serve to project the nearby neighborhood from cut through traffic. talked about it, and if you recall, we showed you an illustration on the PowerPoint, that there would be no reason for any trip to exit our project on US-1 and double back through the Riviera Neighborhood for any reason, that it would be relatively few, maybe six or seven trips, additional, during the hour, is what Mr. Plummer testified. I don't have the specifics, but it's in the record. It was a de minimis traffic effect. So this is not a traffic case.

Tonight is Hanukkah, so Rabbi Mendi Felig, who stood before you, could not be here, but he did write a letter, and it's in the record, and

I am not one who likes to take the precious time of everybody to read a letter, and I won't, but I would like to emphasize that but for the holiday, Rabbi Felig would be here.

And when you look out into this audience and you look through your inbox, and consider who's been expressing what opinion to you, please pause to recall that the person who lives categorically closest, Rabbi Felig, is a strenuous supporter of this project, because it will make his life better. The alley, which is Madruga, in front of him, will be transformed into a street. A derelict surface parking area -- and recall, under the current conditions, you can come and go -- will be closed, that Madruga will be improved, that the intersection at Hardee will be improved, that the park will be improved.

And I think, personally, of paramount importance, the Developer and the team have dealt responsibly, courteously, with Rabbi Felig, to address his concerns every step of the way.

I had three points. I made them briefly. I would like now to turn it over to

1 Mr. Hernandez, to walk you through some of the studies we have done, that really crystalize the decisions before you, between what we're 4 proposing, what could be done as-of-right, and 5 the plan that the neighbors have recently 6 argued for, none of which provides the City with a fraction of the public realm, public 8 benefits, that our project does. 9 I wanted to be brief. I was. I'll reserve 10 the balance of my time, Mr. Leen, if I could, 11 to be added on to my rebuttal, if necessary. 12 MR. LEEN: Yes. 13 Mr. Hernandez needs to be sworn in. 14 MR. BASS: Yeah. 15 (Thereupon, Jorge Hernandez was sworn.) 16 MR. LEEN: What we're going to do is, we'll 17 swear in speakers as they come in, and, then, 18 when we do the public hearing, we'll swear in 19 everybody at once. 20 But we just want to make sure each of the 21 main speakers does swear in. 22 MR. HERNANDEZ: Jorge Hernandez, 337 23 Palermo Avenue. 24 MR. LEEN: Could you swear him in? 25 (Thereupon, Jorge Hernandez was sworn.)

MR. HERNANDEZ: I do.

MR. LEEN: And one comment for the audience. The reason why Mr. Bass did not swear in and the reason why Mr. Gibbs will not, is because typically attorneys don't, because what they're saying is not testimony, it's argument, but these are -- Mr. Hernandez will be providing testimony, so he's going to be sworn in.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Good evening, again. Jorge Hernandez, 337 Palermo Avenue. It's a pleasure to be here with you tonight, and to present, on behalf of the NPI Group, for Paseo International.

It's been about a month since we were together, and as you have received in your packet, we have been diligently working to address your concerns and your requirements of the design. We have lowered, as you heard from Mr. Trias, the height of the residential building, by 20 feet. That's been done by taking one floor of the parking deck out, and one floor of the apartment out, and the hotel has predominantly remained the same.

We also lowered the height of the parking

deck towards Madruga to that same number, one
floor of the parking deck. And I will present

which is memorialized in your Code.

these to you right now.

So the boards, which you have seen -- which you see before you, show, on the left, an elevation of the project from US-1, the new heights of the project. And you see a red field on the left. That is a height of 77 feet. As has been testified before you previously, by your own Planning Director, 77 feet is the as-of-right height, with Med Bonus,

And then there's a lighter band above the 77 feet, and that is a ten-foot -- that's the height of a ten-foot parapet, which the Code requires, to conceal minor equipment. It would be unsightly to drive around the City and view equipment. So the parapet is to conceal minor equipment. As has been said today by Mr. Trias, the heights are measured to the top of the habitable floor.

On the right is the same US-1 elevation, with a kind of red line that enframes or outlines the height of the project, and you see the differences in massing between the two.

The 77 feet is a kind of monolithic static mass. The height or silhouette of the project is a dynamic silhouette.

If you take that red line, and you average that height of the project as submitted, you have an average height of 93 feet eight inches, because, of course, due to the public realm benefits that we have sculpted from the beginning into the project, much of the ground floor of the project surface is at zero. So if you believe in dynamic sculpting, then we really have an average height that's 93 feet.

Now I'm going to step aside and just draw a line for you. One second. Please excuse me.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Feel free to use the microphone to walk around.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Oh, thank you.

Thank you. Can you hear me?

MAYOR CASON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yeah.

MR. HERNANDEZ: So the solid line is a line at 100 feet, which, as you know, from the report that you received from the opponents of the project, is the new height that we're talking about, and the dotted line is the

1 parapet of that hundred foot height. 2 everything above the dotted line is the gap 3 that we're talking about tonight. 4 And, as you see, that gap is a little more than one story, and it's infinitely less than 5 the height of a single family house in the City 6 of Coral Gables. That's the difference we're 8 talking about. 9 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Didn't you just say 10 that the -- I'm sorry, I'm not an architect --11 the parapet -- is that what you called it? 12 MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. 13 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: The parapet is that 14 light orange color? 15 MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. 16 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So what is the dotted 17 line, again? 18 MR. HERNANDEZ: This line, the solid line 19 here, is the hundred feet, and the dotted line 20 is the parapet. 21 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: In an as-of-right 22 situation? 23 MR. HERNANDEZ: No. This is the height in 24 the report that you received. 25 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Oh, okay. I got you

now. Okay. I'm sorry, I misunderstood.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. Yes. So the delta -what I'm trying to do is map the delta or the
gap in the conversation, the delta or the gap.
The as-of-right remains the color. So the
delta or the as-of-right is essentially the new
line that I drew.

So this is the profile of the project from Madruga. Same exercise, we are drawing a profile of the varied heights of the project.

In this case, the average height of the project is 39 feet three inches, as to the required 45 feet. And this notion of variety within a profile is memorialized in your PAD ordinance.

It's a staple of the PAD ordinance.

For reasons that we all know, in Coral Gables, we have, in fact, made legislation to encourage articulated buildings, both horizontally and vertically. That's in the Med Bonus. That's it in the MXD. It's a staple of what we want in Coral Gables. It's a staple of classical and Mediterranean architecture.

So, again, in Madruga, it's 39 feet three inches. Now, the difference is that, with the board on the left, if you do the as-of-right 77

feet, in front of that orange or red triangle is 125 feet of surface parking, which is a kind of characteristic of strip malls, which we have talked at length, and you all have talked at

1.1

length.

If you build, as-of-right, with Med Bonus, you have 125 feet of parking in front of the project and 50 feet of parking behind the project. That's not what we are proposing, as you know. That's why we're here before you having this discussion.

So continuing with this idea of static versus dynamic, this also is a conversation about static and dynamic uses. The as-of-right project is a monclithic commercial use, single commercial use. That's the same orange rectangle, at another scale. One use.

The quilt that you see here or the coat of many colors on the profile is actually a Mixed-Use project, which we are allowed to do, by using the Mixed-Use Overlay. So the variety of uses that gives us retails and restaurants and the Paseo itself, a public open space of about half an acre, and the residential and the hotel, the liveliness of the color scheme talks

about the vitality of uses and the diversity of occurrences and social communion in this scheme.

I'm sorry, let me go back for one second to this board of the Madruga elevation. What you see on the right is the Madruga profile. On the left is a line of sight which you have seen before in your booklets. This is the line of sight for the new heights. Rabbi Mendi Felig would not see the project. This is taken from his property. So this is his duplex, the actual profile of his duplex, that's Madruga, and that's the line of sight. At 46 feet, Rabbi Felig would not see the property whatsoever.

And the difference is that, as we know, the 122-foot portion is pushed back 80 feet. By the way, the 80-foot that it's pushed back, is almost the depth of a residential lot, as you know. And, in fact, a duplex lot in Coral Gables is allowed to be 34 feet in height. So the difference between the average cornice height at the neighborhood face of the project, and a duplex height in Coral Gables, is five feet. That's the delta. It's a five-foot

delta.

So these two diagrams talk about public realm benefits, which we have obviously been talking about, because it's a function of the PAD approval. And what you see on the left is sidewalks. It's a mapping of sidewalks. The diagram on the left shows the existing sidewalk condition. There is a 10-foot wide strip of sidewalk on US-1. That's it. There are no sidewalks on Caballero. And there are no sidewalks on Madruga. That's what exists. And that's what the as-of-right project could produce, with Med Bonus.

On the right are the sidewalks we're producing. You can call them Paseos, covered colonnades, pergolas, loggias, lanes, et cetera, but they are essentially public places for communion, and that is nearly a half acre -- I mean, I'm sorry, that's nearly a full acre of sidewalks. As you can see, it's 46,000 square feet.

On the right is a diagram that shows how many cars are visible in the project, if we don't approve it, and how many cars would be visible in the project if we do approve it. So

1.5

on your left is a diagram showing the 125 feet setback of parked cars on US-1 and the 50-foot setback of parked cars on Madruga. That happens to be the same amount of space we're producing as Paseos, covered colonnades, pergolas, sidewalks, fountain, public art, et cetera.

So the space of parked cars and fenders and leaking oil in the as-of-right project, visible from the public right-of-way, US-1, Caballero and Madruga, have been changed into spaces of social communion, as required by the PAD and encouraged in your Code, and from which the project gets its namesake. It's the Paseo and all of the other public realm ground floor spaces.

And so the white rectangle on the right simply is how many cars you'd see if you drive around the project, if we built the project, which is none. There's not one car that's visible, not one fender, not one leaking oil spot on the surface of the earth, because they're all put in a garage and the oil is contained, and it's not a sprawled development.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Jorge, on this

space with the color, the white is the parking?

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. The white is the parking deck, the portion of it that is visible above the commercial. So that's why the commercial is red below it.

So just to go over it one last time, this is a list of the benefits of the project. It's a mixture of residential uses, like the diagram It has 40 percent open ground floor space, which is over an acre. The Paseo itself, which is half an acre, is the length of two Olympic swimming pools. It has the Paseo, which is the namesake of the project. It's not a Paseo like your Code allows, which is a covered passageway, an urban passageway, which has a building over it. It's open to the sky. It's a half acre open to the ski, for people to enjoy. It's a public space, almost like Giralda will be, when they close it off for Friday nights, after the streetscape investment is made.

It's not an insignificant public realm benefit. There are a thousand liner feet of arcades and loggias. There's a vastly improved sidewalk systems. We saw diagrams about all of

that, and we've been speaking about it. There is restricted controlled -- and Mr. Bass was speaking about traffic. There is restricted controlled vehicular access on Madruga and Caballero Boulevard, and this is what is ensuring the neighborhoods, aside from the gentle traffic impact, that we have done much to mitigate even whatever impact of traffic this project creates.

1.4

There is a re-alignment of the geometries of the streets towards the neighborhood, for better turning radii, for visibility, for safety. An as-of-right project would not have to do any of this list that I'm reading you. There is a narrowing of Madruga, which turns Madruga from an asphalt, from property line to property line, alleyway -- I'm sure you all have seen it. It's full of dumpsters and trash cans and loading docks, and doesn't have hardly a blade of grass on it -- it turns it into a rightful front, for Rabbi Felig, who lives there. The Rabbi lives there. And for the rest of the citizens, too, not just for him.

There is a roundabout for safety of kids crossing over to the park. An as-of-right

project does not have to provide that. And there are improvements to the park itself and contributions to the Underline.

The project has many other virtues, and we've talked about it. I don't need to take additional time today. But the delta for the project is that line I drew. We're talking about, essentially, a one story delta, for all of these benefits to our citizens.

I have always spoken professionally when I was before you with regards to this project.

Tonight I would like to speak personally. I moved back here from Virginia 29 years ago.

I've lived in this City, thankfully, ever since. I couldn't afford to live in the City when I was a child. My parents were immigrants.

I have participated in public Charrettes, multiple ones, for this City. I have toured dignitaries throughout Coral Gables, and opined about the beautiful of its planning. I have, and continue, to measure the space of the loggia behind you, and all of the other public fountains throughout the City with thousands of students, which I have taught over that time.

I have served on three City Boards. I love this City.

You are public servants. I am a servant of the public realm. That is what an architect does. We craft beautiful public realm spaces, so that the public and the servants of the public can enjoy their lives. This does not happen accidentally. You can't take a pill and produce it. You can't inject it into the world. It happens with a lot of study, a lot of care, a lot of commitment, and a lot of love.

This is what I have infused into this project. It's exactly what I've done for the last 29 years. I have known some of you for that amount of time, as we have cared for this City together. I would hope, that like many other august public boards, this Board finds a way to approve all of these public benefits for this City we love so much, when the gap is really so narrow. Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Mr. Attorney, do we --

MR. LEEN: I received a request from

1 Mr. Gibbs, that he be able to speak after the 2 public hearing, because his time will be split in two. So, you know, in my view, you could begin the public hearing now, if you'd like. MAYOR CASON: Is Mark Trowbridge here? 6 wanted to speak on behalf of the Chamber of 7 Commerce. I don't know if he --MR. LEEN: You'll still have your 8 9 additional time for rebuttal. 10 MR. BASS: I would just say, respectfully, 11 if you advertised it for 5:01, starting before 12 that time could perhaps be problematic. 13 okay with it. 1.4 MR. LEEN: The reason why I don't think it 15 is, is because it's certainly going to go past 16 5:01. So anyone who comes at 5:01 could still 17 speak. 18 MR. BASS: I'm okay with it, and I'm okay if Mr. Gibbs --19 20 MAYOR CASON: Mr. Gibbs --21 MR. LEEN: Mr. Gibbs, are you okay with 22 that, starting --23 MAYOR CASON: That gives us a chance to --24 MR. LEEN: Why don't we hear from 25 Mr. Trowbridge and I think Mr. Trias wanted to

1 say -- did you want to say anything further at 2 this time? No? 3 MAYOR CASON: Mr. Trowbridge. 4 We'll have a chance for us to go back and 5 ask questions of both lawyers later on, and the Staff. 6 So, Mark. 8 MR. TROWBRIDGE: Sorry about that, Mr. 9 Mayor. I was swimming upstream. A lot of 10 folks outside. 11 Well, once again, thank you very much for 12 the opportunity for the Chamber of Commerce to 13 step forward and share our thoughts with you 14 today, as you deliberate on the Second Reading 15 for the Paseo de la Riviera project that is currently seeking approval. 16 17 Today I'm joined by our Chairman, Wayne 18 Eldred, who is the owner and operator of Tarpon Bend Raw Bar and Grill, and a few of our other 19 20 Board Members are --21 MR. LEEN: I'm sorry for interrupting. 22 should swear them in. 23 MR. TROWBRIDGE: Oh, okay. 24 (Thereupon, Mr. Trowbridge and Mr. Eldred 25 were sworn.)

MR. TROWBRIDGE: We do. I do. I'll let him speak for himself.

MR. ELDRED: I do.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: Very good. And, for the record, Mark Trowbridge, President of the Coral Gables Chamber of Commerce. We're located at 224 Catalonia Avenue.

So I'll pick up, if that's all right.

MR. LEEN: Certainly.

MR. TROWBRIDGE: Our organization has been a part of the Chamber -- or a part of the Coral Gables community for 90 years. And throughout that time, our mission has been to develop business and build community, while fostering the economic interests and financial prosperity of our businesses.

We do not often get involved in projects of this scope, but we felt it was critical that our voice was included in today's conversation. We know that your decision today will lead to greater prosperity for Coral Gables and send a message to the business community that you stand behind them and our future.

As a Chamber, we highly believe that the Paseo de la Riviera project is an ambitious

one; however, we also see this project as a catalyst for the current and future redevelopment of the US-1 commercial corridor, an often forgotten part of our business community, the part of the Gables that needs our attention. And this project is the tipping point for the future.

We are excited to see energy around this part of the City Beautiful. These opportunities don't come around very often, and if we send a message that we are not open to embracing a future, they may not come again.

Mr. Chairman.

MR. ELDRED: Thank you, Mr. Mayor,
Commissioners, City Manager. As a
businessowner and Chairman of the Chamber of
Commerce, we believe that the Paseo de la
Riviera is one of those catalyzing projects,
the beginning of a true Renaissance for the
US-1 corridor.

As you know, our Chamber has always sought to strike a balance between new development and responsible growth in Coral Gables, with the goal of keeping our historic City Beautiful's business community vibrant, exciting, and, yes,

competitive.

In this case, we have kept our eye on the bigger price, a vibrant prosperous commercial corridor that invites consumers, catalyzes development and brings to life a forgotten part of the business community, a community that helps contribute nearly 50 percent of the City's bottom line through taxes and fees.

We are keenly interested in the success of this project, as the Paseo de la Riviera represents -- is something more grand, something more significant. It's the beginning of the next 90 years as a City and community.

And, lastly, I think it goes without saying, nobody wants to a BJ's Wholesale there.

So thank you for allowing us to speak. Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: All right. We're going to start the public comment. Two minutes. Keep an eye on the light. I'm going to start with Chip Whiters, Former Commissioner.

MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, before we begin, we need to swear in the audience.

MAYOR CASON: Okay. Everybody who plans to speak, inside and outside, please stand and raise your right hand and the Clerk will swear you in.

MR. WITHERS: Good evening. My name is
Chip Withers. I live at 1104 Hardee Road. I
have lived there for years. Let's just say,
the house was built 25 years after the City was
founded, and I've lived there the whole time.

(Thereupon, the participants were sworn.)

I do have some extra cards, Mr. Mayor, so I
think I've got an extra couple of minutes,
but --

MAYOR CASON: I'm going to have them speak on their behalf. I want everybody to speak for themselves.

MR. WITHERS: Well, one of the guys had a surprise birthday party, who didn't know about it, and he couldn't show up. So is he excused?

MAYOR CASON: One of the problems we're going to have is, a lot of people, like last time, have come with cards for people who didn't show up, and I think that what I want to do this time is, everybody speaks for themselves, and if he can come later, we'll be

going on probably --

MR. WITHERS: Okay. So I'm limited to two minutes?

MAYOR CASON: Two minutes.

MR. WITHERS: Okay. I'm against this project. I think the process has been flawed. I wasn't going to plan on saying this. I had a nice six-minute speech planned. You know, I really take offense at the Chamber. I'm a member of the Chamber. They never asked me how I felt about it.

As far as the discussion about 100 homes, someone said 900 people against it, there's 850 people against it, I understand what Jeff was saying, but, guys, at the end of the day, this corridor has been studied six times. When I was on the Commission, it was studied four times. It's been studied two times since then.

The last time it was studied, it cost these neighbors the tuition of a local school and private school, and I was part of that group that did it. I would knock on doors, and this is why I'm involved in this project. I wasn't going to get involved, but when I met with George, and I met with Laura, and I met with

Brent, who wanted my endorsement, I said,
"Guys, it's a neighborhood issue." I said,

"I'm not going to get involved."

1.6

But I had to get involved, because the conversation went like this, "Hi, I'm Chip Withers. I'm your neighbor." I was upset that a lot of them didn't recognize me, but I got over that very quickly. And I said, "You know what, I want to talk to you about the Paseo project. And their first remark, out of their mouth, was, "Oh, there is no way the City is going to even let this thing come close to passing. What are we worried about?"

I said, "No, it's gone through this, this, this and this."

And they said, "Well" -- the next thing out of their mouth was cynical, and said, "Well, does the City need the money?" Then they had a nice few things to say about some of the elected officials, which hurt me, because you never heard those things in Coral Gables. I quickly dismissed those.

The last thing they said, which really struck home with me, was, "How do we get involved?" I've been on this Commission for 20

1 I've gone through things that the 2 City's done right. The street closings, I 3 think, went right. The South Fire House went right. The Youth Center went right. 4 5 University of Miami Master Plan went right. 6 The Doctors Hospital renovation went right. The Youth Center lights went right. 8 All of those issues were controversial 9 neighborhood issues and the City got it right. There's one thing the City's gotten wrong, and 10 11 that's the monstrosity that's on US-1 right 12 now. That's the one thing the City got wrong. 13 Why do we want to repeat that? 14 Six studies have said, four to seven 15 stories. Why change it at this point? 16 Thank you very much. 17 MAYOR CASON: Thank you, Chip. 18 MR. LEEN: Thank you, Commissioner. 19 MAYOR CASON: Next will be -- again, in 20 deference to Dorothy and Jack Thomson, I'll let 21 you come next. 22 MS. THOMSON: Good afternoon, Commission. 23 I'm Dorothy Thomson, and I served as a 24 Commissioner for over 16 years or for 16 years. 25 Let me preface my remarks -- I know only two

minutes is allowed, and it's a lot to be said in a very short time, so I'll try to be as brief as I can.

1.7

Number One, I do want to preface my remarks by saying that it's a big, big item, big issue, that you all are discussing and deciding on, and certainly I've been there, too, and it's very, very difficult. I'm not here to pre-judge or really, in truth, trying to ask you or to tell you how to vote, one way or the other.

I am here to tell you a little bit of the history. And I think I might say that I'm the only one left still living from the era when all of this started out 30 years ago -- 35 years ago, actually.

When I first ran for the Commission in 1979, this was the very issue, US-1, the IRE building, now the big building that UM owns. That was really my biggest platform at that time, because I lived in that neighborhood for over 28 years, south of the Highway, and the people were very much upset, because that one big building suddenly sprung up.

So we wanted to see what we could do to

stop other big buildings from springing up along US-1, and that was at the very same time that the Metrorail stations -- they started to break ground on the Metrorail, and, at that time, a lot of people came out, too, because people were concerned about buildings along both, the north and the south side of US-1.

And it was promised back then, by the County and all of that, at that time, "No, there will be no buildings on the north side. This would leave Coral Gables as it is right now," and it still is.

Then, when I came on the Commission -- I was elected on the very issue -- we declared a moratorium on any building on US-1, for six months, and the whole Commission was right on top of that, and they very much involved and wanted to make sure that the City did a good job.

See, two minutes go up too fast.

MAYOR CASON: Jack can pick up for you.

MS. THOMSON: Yeah, but I need to just give you a little bit of history very quickly.

MR. LEEN: Well, there needs to be a ruling. Are you okay extending? She's the

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Former -- as a Former Mayor?

MAYOR CASON: You're not going to speak, Jack?

Okay. Finish up for Jack.

MS. THOMSON: Yes. So the City did a very thorough job of vetting out what the Zoning Code was along US-1, which was three stories at the time, and we ended up, after six months of very, very thorough investigating and decision-making and all of these things put in together, to allow four stories on US-1. And the four stories has remained to this very day, since 1980. So it's 35 years and we've enjoyed that.

I don't see any difference now -- any reason, in my own mind, anyway, why there's any change in the need for any High-Rise buildings along US-1. We have a beautiful neighborhood right behind there, on both sides of US-1, actually, and they don't need to have a canyon of buildings going up and down US-1.

From Douglas Road to Red Road, you have a beautiful space of your Highway, that's so unique to Coral Gables. Coral Gables is unique, and once you start this, what you might

call spot zone, don't think it won't happen all along the rest of the Highway in times to come.

We've gone through a lot of lawsuits in the City's history, when you did a spot zoning. So please don't go into that trap, because that's what's going to happen. It may sound beautiful to you right now, but it will not. It will be like a cancer spreading along US-1.

That's all I have to say. My two minutes
-- I want him to speak on the history, as well.

MR. THOMSON: Okay. Jack Thomson, at 2600 Cardena, Number 3. I'd like to add to that that the vetting of the Zoning Law, in that corridor from Douglas Road to 57th Avenue, was to make sure that the quality of life in our neighborhoods, both sides of the Highway, were maintained, because we knew that Metrorail was coming in, and that those stations would create a lot of development, but we stopped it at that point. Up to that point, we lived in a three-story zoned City.

MR. LEEN: Time's up. Are you going to extend the time, Mr. Mayor?

MR. THOMSON: Give me one thing. They might say the IRE building, the 13-story

1 building or 12-story building that's already been built there, that was a mistake of a prior 3 Commission spot zoning --MR. LEEN: He conceded his time. Не 5 conceded his time. 6 MR. THOMSON: -- back in Center Gables, 7 that blew our Zoning Code, and we had several 8 High-Rise buildings that were built before we 9 got control over it again in the early 1960s. 10 So that building was just an abortion that . 11 should not have happened. 12 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. Thank you both. 13 MR. LEEN: Thank you. 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. 15 MAYOR CASON: Albert Roy Lyons. 16 MR. LEEN: I would like to say something to 17 the Clerk first. When people start speaking, 18 remember to say your name and your address, and 19 start the time -- Mr. Clerk, start the time 20 once those are said. I think that's the 21 practice, but just to emphasize. 22 We'd ask that you structure your comments 23 to fall within two minutes. It's difficult to 24 tell you to stop speaking, but we are trying to

maintain order and make sure everyone gets

1 treated fairly.

1.0

MAYOR CASON: Go ahead.

MR. LYONS: Good evening. My name is

Albert Roy Lyons. I live at 6300 Caballero

Boulevard. That's about 400 feet from the

proposed project, across from the Jaycee Park.

It's the first lot that's on the waterway -
residential lot on the waterway.

Just, my wife, Paula, and I, are in favor of this project. And I was at a previous hearing testifying, as well, and I haven't heard too many people today, but really I haven't heard anyone talk about alternatives.

From our point of the view, the Holiday Inn is going to be sold, and the owners obviously don't want to keep that. And so if it's not this project, what is going to be there?

That's how we've approached this.

And I would see, maybe, since there has been so much discussion about this project, maybe a right-of-way -- or right-of -- I can't remember the term.

MAYOR CASON: As-of-right.

MR. LYONS: As-of-right -- thank you -- project might come in, so there isn't -- then

the new owners would not have to go through
this type of a process, so what does that leave
you? You know, another strip mall, a
supermarket, a hardware store? Those are the
alternatives that I think might come in, if

this project does not go forward.

I've also watched a lot of the other speakers at the previous hearings, and I go to Jaycee Park almost every day with my two children, a five-year-old and a two-year-old. I haven't seen those people at Jaycee Park and I'm there almost every day.

I live 400 feet away. We're going to be definitely impacted by this project, and I think that the Developer has done a good job addressing the concerns that we've had, which were the height and traffic. I would think that the traffic study that was done was by a professional, competent person. I'm not going to dispute those studies. That's not my area of expertise. So I've got to go with what the expert says will happen. I'm satisfied with that study.

On the height side, I think -- as I walk out of my driveway, I've really got to try to

1 look and see the large building that's already 2 there for the University of Miami. There are 3 trees in the way. Line of sight is not an issue for us. 4 I think we would really enjoy having this 5 6 project, and I hope the Commission votes in 7 favor of it. Thank you. 8 MAYOR CASON: Thank you very much. 9 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Sir, what's your full 10 name, again? 11 MR. LYONS: Albert Roy Lyons, L-Y-O-N-S. 12 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir. 13 appreciate that. 14 MR. LYONS: Thanks. 15 MAYOR CASON: Jose Rene Infante. 16 MR. INFANTE: Good evening. My name is 17 Jose Rene Infante, 5900 Granada Boulevard. I 18 want to thank you for the opportunity you all 19 have given me. 20 This is the first time -- I've been living 21 in Coral Gables since 1961, when I came from 22 Cuba, in the Peter Pan Program. 23 It's been very hard to see the changes that 24 I've seen in Dade County. For the first time, 25 in Coral Gables -- I have never come here in

front of you. But I have never seen an attorney present so many things of the law trying to prevent us, in a way, of speaking ourselves, but I'm here against this project for the following reasons:

For the past 30 years, I have owned a flea market in South Dade. I make a living out of open spaces. And this particular project is relying on open spaces. That open spaces turns around trips, visiting trips, faster than any other retail use.

The traffic, I don't think has been -- the traffic count, I don't think have been correctly done. Consider that into the equation.

I'm against this project, because it will change the character of my neighborhood. I already have my grandkids -- my son lives next door to me, at 5920 Granada Boulevard. My grandkids, we have to watch them, because at certain times of the day, Granada, Hardee, is a racetrack.

So I think this project is going to really impact our way of life negatively. So I please urge you to vote against it. Thank you.

1 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 2 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir. 3 Joe Vaughn. MR. VAUGHN: My name is Joe Vaughn. 5 wife, Bonnie, and I, live at 1222 Manati We've been there since 1973. 6 Avenue. I'm against this project for one reason. 8 If you're thinking about voting for it, what 9 you're doing is you're taking \$150,000 out of 10 my retirement account, because like many people 11 in our neighborhood, we think of the equity in 12 our home as part of our retirement money. 13 Would you buy a house next door to the Marlins 14 Stadium? Would you want to be two blocks from 15 the Dadeland Shopping Center? 16 What you're doing and what you may do is 17 reduce the value of our homes, in this area, 18 by, in my opinion, 10 to 15 percent. If the 19 house is worth a million dollars, that's 20 \$150,000. 21 You have one of the best real estate 22 brokers in Coral Gables on your Commission. 23 you think I'm overstating the case, ask her. 24 I'll defer to her opinion.

I heard the attorney for the Applicant make

1 a number of statements. I think -- if I remember the old movie, it was, you're going to believe me or your lying eyes? We have a 600-space parking garage, and somehow the traffic is reduced. We don't count the height of the building, we average that with the floor

height. So 100 feet really is 50 feet.

I heard the attorney read out all of the objections and all of the things you all have to go through to approve this project. Remember Occam's razor. I think it's a little bit too complex. Keep it simple. Follow the rules, like I have for the past 43 years.

I painted my house one of the 150 approved shades of beige. I've paid my taxes. I did everything right. I just ask you to follow the rules. Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

Jorge Rios. Is Jorge Rios outside?

MR. RIOS: My name is Jorge Rios, and I reside, with my family, at 1251 South Alhambra Circle. I've lived there over 21 years. also own other homes, 1244 South Alhambra Circle, 1240 South Alhambra Circle. latter, the RNA has designated as opposed, and

25

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 I would like it marked in favor of the project. I have tenants renting from me in these homes. 3 I also own a house at 6900 Portillo, 1255 South Alhambra. Yes, I pay a lot of property taxes in Coral Gables. 6 I can see the Gables One Tower from my house, the top of it. I would love the Paseo 8 project to just cover a part of that building. 9 Okay, also, my brother-in-law, Manuel 10 Matos, he owns four properties on Cotorro. 11 They have all been incorrectly marked as red on 12 the RNA map. So, for the record, I would like 13 the following addresses to be marked as green, 1120 Cotorro, 1122 Cotorro, 1124 Cotorro and 15 1126 Cotorro Avenue. 16 My wife and I, again, own multiple 17 properties, and we all support the Paseo de la Riviera project. I'm quite shocked to hear people, who live so far away or who will be so unaffected by this project, complaining about the height and the traffic. My home faces the project, and I will see it directly. Very few people see this project from their

property, due to the thick canopy of trees.

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

believe the Paseo will be a great improvement to my view of the Gables One Tower.

My home also faces three stop signs, probably the busiest three-way stop sign in Coral Gables, the one at South Alhambra and Nervia. This is the prime intersection from people coming and going to and from US-1 to Publix. Probably everyone in this room passes in front of my house just to get to Publix.

Okay. If anyone should be against this project, it should be us, but we are not, because the Paseo will greatly benefit the area and Coral Gables. Thanks.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you very much.

Dave Doheny. Dave Doheny. Dave.

MR. DOHENY: My name is David Doheny, 915
Alava Avenue, Coral Gables, 16-year resident of
Coral Gables, speaking only for myself and my
wife.

I've lived in Miami for 50 years. I came down here in 1965, spent -- been a lawyer practically all of my working career.

Spent 15 years as the general counsel for General Development Corporation, which, in those days, was the biggest Developer in the

State of Florida. So I know something about
the dynamics of what you're dealing with here.

I went up to Washington for 12 years.

Worked for the National Trust for Historic

Preservation. Had a different view of how

development should be handled in communities

like Coral Gables. So I've seen both sides of
the picture.

And when we came back to Miami in 1999, having lived in Miami for 20 years, we decided we did not want to go back to the City of Miami. We chose Coral Gables, because of its reputation for strict Code Enforcement, its laws, its protection of the lifestyle of the community. That's why we came here.

We've been here and we've been active participants in the community. We voted in every election. I know each and every one of you, some well, some not so well, but in my recollection, each and every one of you campaigned on a promise to maintain the lifestyle of Coral Gables.

And I ask you, on behalf of people like me, to reject a project which does not fit within the strict guidelines of the existing Zoning

Regulations. That's the law. That, I think, 1 2 is your obligation to protect and maintain, 3 unless there's a very good reason to go outside of it. 4 5 Thank you very much. 6 MAYOR CASON: Thank you, Dave. 7 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir. 8 MAYOR CASON: Valerie, looks like, Grandin. 9 Did I pronounce that right? 10 MS. GRANDIN: Yes. It's Valerie Jan 11 Grandin. 12 MAYOR CASON: Okay. 13 MR. GRANDIN: Thank you. 14 I live at 1805 Ponce de Leon, in the 15 Gables. I was born in Coral Gables, grew up on 1.6 Country Club Prado, own homes on Ledo Avenue 1.7 and on Cotorro. 18 Before moving to Winter Park, Florida, 19 where I lived 'til 1999 -- I was there -- I 20 mean, I moved there in '99. Spent 15 years 21 there. And moved back here two years ago. 22 I used to brag in Winter Park, which is 23 quite a nice town, that Coral Gables eclipsed 24 them. "Fabulous town, amazing place to live."

However, what greeted me when I returned to

my beloved hometown was a City that I always

considered without peers, suddenly it had been

eclipsed by Winter Park, by Palm Beach, by

We've fallen behind, guys. Instead of being buoyed to further greatness by the presence of a world class university, numerous international corporate headquarters and a commuter rail on our doorstep, we are mired in the glory of a bygone day. Memories of what used to be was wonderful. Let's make it better.

Delray Beach, by Hyde Park in the Tampa area.

We now stand on the precipice of what could be a spectacular future. With the approval of Paseo we take that first step.

I have two daughters, one a college grad, one embarking on her law school career. Where are they to live? Certainly not Coral Gables. They have Dadeland or Brickell. They have to bypass this fabulous community. There's no options. Where can they start their reside, start careers, put down roots, and become, you know, part of our future in Coral Gables?

This project affords young professionals, as well as many empty nesters, opportunities

1 for a spectacular residential location, within 2 walking distance of tremendous amenities. 3 This project connects its residents with 4 the larger community, the Miami region, through 5 Metrorail and the Underlined proposed path. 6 And it gives these residents life-long learning 7 opportunities through its proximity to UM. 8 Please do what is right for all of the 9 citizens of Coral Gables. Vote, yes, to 10 approve this project. Vote, yes, for a 11 sparkling future. Thank you. 12 MAYOR CASON: Thank vou. 13 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you very much. 14 MAYOR CASON: Madeleine Van Walleghem. 15 MS. VAN WALLGHEM: I'll concede my time, 16 please. 17 MAYOR CASON: Okay. Alicia Vinas. 18 outside? I think -- I'm not sure if it's Vinas 19 or Vinas. 20 MR. LEEN: No, I don't think -- Mr. Mayor, 21 I think, when she said, "Concede," I think she 22 meant seed her time, but I don't know if the 23 Mayor is allowing that. 24 MAYOR CASON: No. I mean, you can speak 25 for yourself.

1 MR. LEEN: So do you want to speak? 2 MAYOR CASON: If you want to speak -- why 3 don't you come back after Alicia and we'll have you speak? 5 COMMISSIONER LAGO: How are you? 6 MS. VINAS: Thank you for letting me be 7 here. My name is Alicia Vinas. I live at 726 8 Granada Boulevard. I live in Coral Gables 9 since 1961. So I know Coral Gables very well. 10 I always loved this City. I believe in 1-1 historic preservation of this City, as much as 12 anyone else. 13 I also know that US-1 is a Highway that is 14 in great need of new direction. I believe we 15 need a beautiful hotel for the City on this 16 neighborhood. I believe that, with all of the 17 amenities, I will support the Riviera project 18 completely, without any doubt. Thank you. 19 MAYOR CASON: Thank you very much. 20 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. 21 MAYOR CASON: And Madeleine. 22 MS. VAN WALLEGHEM: I checked that I was 23 not going to talk. 24 I oppose the project --25 COMMISSIONER KEON: Your name and address.

1 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Your name and address. 2 MS. VAN WALLGHEM: Madeleine Van Walleghem. 3 MR. LEEN: And your address. 4 MS. VAN WALLGHEM: 1111 South Alhambra Circle. 5 6 MR. LEEN: Thank you. MS. VAN WALLGHEM: I oppose the project, because I think that the rules that we have now 8 9 in the books work very nicely, and I think that 10 the corridor that this would create on US-1 11 would be an eyesore, and because I feel more 12 comfortable when the Codes that are in place 13 are respected, instead of changing them on a 14 whim. Thank you. 15 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 16 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you very much. 17 MAYOR CASON: Joe Portuondo. 18 MR. PORTUONDO: Good evening. My name is 19 Joseph Portuondo. I live at 501 Hardee Road, 20 since 1989, and my offices are also in Coral 21 Gables. I'm an attorney. 22 I'm here to speak on behalf of the project. 23 I'll confess that when I first heard about the 24 project was when someone came to my door and 25 asked me to oppose it, and I signed the

petition. And the reason why I signed the petition at that time opposing it was because a good argument was made that it would increase traffic on Hardee Road, to the point that it would hurt the neighborhood.

I don't have the sophistication to deal with the traffic issues, obviously. I'm not a traffic engineer. But I have an open mind about things, and if that issue is resolved adequately, to the Commission and to the other members of the neighborhood, I'm thrilled with the project. I think it's an elegant project. I think the City needs it.

When we look at that project, and we look at the rest of the buildings on US-1, do we really want something like a Tire Kingdom there? And I'm not opposed to Tire Kingdom. I use the services. But an elegant hotel and apartments that look the way these do, next to a park, is an ideal thing for that project, and I wholeheartedly endorse this project.

I have no interest in it whatsoever, other than the fact that I think I'm going to go down there, use the place, and enjoy it, and it's an elegant project. I highly recommend it.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir.

MAYOR CASON: John Fisher.

MR. FISHER: My name is John Fisher. I live at 306 Fluvia. I'm not in that neighborhood, but I am in another threatened neighborhood.

I am opposed to the project, and I am opposed to the philosophy of this group, the Commissioners; that is, that development is needed and wonderful here. Development is not necessary in Coral Gables, if we end up losing the beauty that is Coral Gables.

I think we have achieved the point of development that Mr. Merrick talked about and even mentions on this statute out there. We have developed Coral Gables. I think we are now going over the edge, and we are losing what Coral Gables really should mean to us.

And the philosophy that says development is good, because they pay our tax rates; that is, these businesses and these apartment owners pay our tax rate, gentlemen and ladies, we can afford to pay our own taxes. As residents here, we can afford to pay our own taxes. And

1 if, in fact, there's a strain financially, I'm 2 sure, really, when faced with the alternative 3 of more development or maintaining the true Coral Gables beauty that we have left, I will 5 pay more taxes, and I think most residents 6 will. The idea to sell us on development as 8 cutting down our tax bill is fallacious, and I 9 oppose this project. 10 And the Agave project, for example, is enormous. We have yet to see its benefits. 11 12 know what the outside benefits will be, if it ever gets built, and that is a lot more traffic 13 14 on the Ponce area, for instance. 15 So thank you. 16 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 17 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir. 18 MAYOR CASON: Javier Vizoso. 19 UNIDENTIFIED MALE VOICE: Mayor, he had to 20 leave. Can I have --21 (Inaudible.) 22 MAYOR CASON: No. I think we decided that 23 we weren't going to -- people have to be here 24 to speak. And if he comes back, I'll be happy 25 to put him at the end.

Rosalia Alea.

1.7

Okay. Probably not here. Then I will do Patricia Guarch. Patricia Guarch, Coconut -- COMMISSIONER LAGO: She's right here.

MAYOR CASON: Here you go. Hi.

MS. GUARCH: My name is Patricia Guarch. I live at 3110 Coconut Grove Drive. Good afternoon. Before I start, I think it's appropriate to disclose that I did a small copywriting job for the Paseo de la Riviera project, but I'm here tonight as a homeowner.

I've lived in Coral Gables my whole life, and two years ago, I was lucky enough to purchase a home, at 24 years old, in Coral Gables.

I work as a blogger and I think a lot about the future of Miami. My blog is called Miami Nice. It's a perspective on authentic local Miami, and I've spent a lot of hours thinking about what the lifestyle of the future should look like for young people like me.

I see Coral Gables as a historic neighborhood, with the potential to remain as elegant, beautiful and relevant a place as its always been for the next generation of

homeowners, but Coral Gables needs to let thoughtful progress come into the City.

I believe in the George Merrick vision of Coral Gables, a City that's planned and preserved and beautifully thought about. I believe that the Paseo de la Riviera project is a forward thinking project, that respects what Coral Gables has always been aesthetically and conceptually. It's an attractive project.

It's attractive to young people looking to make decisions about where to put down their roots and where to make their homes. It will bring much needed aesthetic upgrades to the US-1 corridor. It will draw young professionals, attracted to an urban life, that's connected to public transportation and walking distance form the future Underline.

The research on Millennials supports that these things are important, but I don't need to tell you that. I think everybody knows that in any major city across the world urban development is important for young people, and it's the way the world is growing. It's the way that Coral Gables should grow.

The real threat here to me is the

as-of-right development. If this development is not approved, they could build the kind of underdesigned buildings that plague other parts of the US-1 corridor. This ambition by the Developer should be rewarded and not penalized. They brought on a smart, capable team, who understands Coral Gables.

They've designed something that's Site

Specific and reverent and to the Coral Gables

architectural history. To me, it comes down to

a simple equation, the alternative, the

as-of-right, is worse. It's more traffic

dense, less community oriented. It's ugly. It

could be ugly. This proposal is appropriate.

And, lastly, I'd like to say that I was a victim of a pedestrian accident, and I think that these -- going above and beyond what's asked for, for the pedestrians in this neighborhood, should be overwhelming approved by the people that live in this neighborhood, as well as other Coral Gables residents. Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you very much. Thank you very much.

George, looks like, Chesrow.

1 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Chesrow. 2 MAYOR CASON: Chesrow. 3 Leticia Valdes. Is not speaking? 4 Looks like Gillian Gaggero. 5 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Alvaro Gazzolo. 6 MAYOR CASON: Gillian and Alvaro Gazzolo, G-A-Z-Z-O-L-O. 7 8 Max Ferrarati. Not here? 9 MR. LEEN: Here he is, Mr. Mayor. 1 G COMMISSIONER KEON: Here is somebody. 11 MR. FERRARATI: Good afternoon. 12 Ferrarati, 1111 Alfonso Avenue. We're in favor 13 of the project. I think that it will bring an 14 interesting new dynamic to that neighborhood. 15 We need a mini center, to -- and that's why we 16 are in favor of that project. 17 I don't think there's anything wrong with 18 the thought of developing that corridor. 19 been doing that corridor for the past 20 years. 20 And as I've heard before, somebody thinks that 21 it's something to be maintained, I think it's 22 pretty ugly to drive through. So we're in 23 favor. 24 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 25 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.

1 MAYOR CASON: Donald -- looks like Donald 2 Hicks. Donald Hicks. 3 Parker Thomson. 4 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Mr. Hicks. 5 MR. HICKS: Ladies and gentlemen, I moved 6 back to Coral Gables in 1961. 7 MR. LEEN: State your name and address. 8 MR. HICKS: Oh, Donald Hicks, 6007 Granada 9 Boulevard. 10 MR. LEEN: Thank you, sir. 11 MR. HICKS: I moved back here in 1961. 12 I've been very fortunate to raise my family 13 here, on Granada Boulevard, one -- three houses 14 up from Hardee Road. 15 The most serious thing that's happened to 16 us was the inclusion of the circles at the 17 corner of Hardee Road and Granada Boulevard. 18 At six o'clock, you cannot get through there, 19 if you're on Granada Boulevard. You can't get 20 through there. 21 Please don't allow an increase in traffic 22 that we both -- all know is going to occur with 23 the approval of this project. 24 Please decline it. Thank you. 25 MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

1 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. 2 MAYOR CASON: Parker Thomson. 3 MR. THOMSON: Thank you. 4 Parker Thomson. My wife and I reside at 5 1010 Hardee Road, where we've lived for four 6 years, but I've been a resident of Coral Gables 7 for 50 some years. 8 I come to speak in favor of the project. 9 recognize concerns about traffic. 45 years 10 ago, I brought the lawsuit that kept the 11 Biltmore Hotel in Coral Gables. And there were 12 concerns about the fact that, that would 13 increase traffic. It may have, but nobody 14 could conceive of Coral Gables without the 15 Biltmore Hotel. 16 Much as I love Coral Gables, nobody could 17 love US-1, which may be the most ugly straight 18 in all of the Miami area. And this project is 19 the kind of dynamic project that will make an 20 asset out of US-1, and, therefore, I'm in favor 21 of it. 22 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 23 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. 24 MAYOR CASON: Maria Cruz. 25 MS. CRUZ: Good afternoon. Maria Cruz,

1447 Miller Road, and I'm here, once again, to ask this Commission to uphold our rules. We set rules and then people come with new ideas, we change rules. So why do we have rules? What's the purpose of coming up with rules, when the first person that wants to do something different, can come in and request changes?

It's very interesting how we can forget why we set up the rules. That ugly building on US-1 caused the rules to be voted on, and that's why we set it. We cannot have this repeated.

I know that, of course, we've heard from our traffic consultant, we're going to have hundreds of more parking spaces, but traffic is not going to be affected. The cars are going to come in by helicopter, they're not going to use our roads? Once again, this happens.

My experience -- and I have a little experience about dealing with traffic engineers. My experience is, whoever pays for the study, gets the result that he wants. I'm sorry, but I'm going from previous experience. There is no way that anyone can tell me that we

driven it, you can see that there is not room for one more car.

If you live behind this Holiday Inn, you certainly would not want that humongous project in front of you.

You know what, the project looks beautiful.

Maybe we should find a better place for it.

Maybe there's another area, whether it's City

of Coral Gables or City of Miami, that can

afford to have that humongous, monstrous

project. I beg you to please deny it.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Ignacio Granda. Ignacio Granda.

MR. GRANDA: Good afternoon. My name is Ignacio Granda, 510 Bianca Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables since 1985, where I grew my three daughters.

First of all, I'd like to express my opinion that this project has been beautifully designed and well thought out, by an outstanding local architect, who cares about this community.

1 The fact that it is being developed around 2 a transportation hub or Metrorail, I think is a 3 major plus, and will encourage dwellers to use 4 Metrorail, and thus alleviate US-1 traffic. 5 So I think, for the young professionals, as 6 the recent lady was here, that work Downtown, 7 this will be a beautiful opportunity to be part 8 of our community, pay taxes in Coral Gables, 9 and go work Downtown. So I strongly urge you 10 to vote in favor, as I am in favor of a beautifully designed project. 11 12 Thank you very much. 13 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. 15 MAYOR CASON: Larry -- can you read this? 16 Looks like Puyanic. 535 Hardee. I can't read 17 it. 18 COMMISSIONER LAGO. Puyanic. Larry 19 Puyanic. 20 MAYOR CASON: Is that you? Okay. 21 COMMISSIONER KEON: So how do you say that 22 name? 23 COMMISSIONER LAGO: How do you say your 24 last name? 25 MR. PUYANIC: Larry Puyanic.

1 MAYOR CASON: Oh, not too bad. 2 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Close. 3 MR. PUYANIC: Not too bad. 4 Anyway, listen, I'm against the project. 5 MR. LEEN: Name and address. 6 COMMISSIONER KEON: Your name and address. 7 MR. PUYANIC: Oh, yeah, 535 Hardee Road. 8 Larry Puyanic. I think we got that. 9 I have to be against it, because I think 10 that the traffic situation in our neighborhood 11 is bad already. 12 Another reason I think that I would be 13 against it, is because I went out and I got 14 some petition signed. Ten out of ten people 15 who answered the door signed the petition to be 16 against it. 17 So, in my opinion, you don't need to be a 18 statistical genius to figure out that if ten 19 out of ten signed, no, that the neighborhood is 20 probably against it. 21 And I guess that's all I had to say. 22 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 23 COMMISSIONER KEON: Thank you. 24 MR. PUYANIC: All right. 25 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir.

MAYOR CASON: Rachel Bixby. Rachel Bixby.

Here she is, Mr. Mayor.

MS. BIXBY: Hi. My name is Rachel Bixby, and I've lived with my family at 1132 Hardee Road for 15 years. Our home is a block away from Jaycee Park.

MR. LEEN:

I'm not given to public speaking, and I've never stood here before, but I feel I must do everything I can to express concern about plans for a High-Rise structure that is an ill-fit for our community.

I do support the reduced density structure proposed by the RNA. The 1100 and 1200 Blocks of Hardee are generally quiet resections of the road, where you commonly find children and families walking and riding bikes to the park.

I'm concerned about the safety of these neighbors, as there's little doubt that the section of Hardee will become a Highway, as residents of this rental tower frequent the route when returning to Paseo from Coconut Grove and other popular destinations.

In addition, the limited parking now available to those using Jaycee Park will soon be gobbled up by Paseo patrons, residents and

visitors, limiting the neighborhood access to this important community resource.

Insufficient parking provisions at Paseo will put a burden on the surrounding community and impact quality of life and enjoyment of property.

In addition, overuse of neighboring

Madruga, Mariposa, Hardee and Maynada to access

US-1, near the entrance to Paseo, would become

commonplace, as occupants seek alternate routes

to congested US-1. Much of this activity will

congest around the neighborhood park.

As residents of this community, we have always felt we have a covenant with our City.

We, as citizens, pay our taxes, observe City

Codes and Laws, vote in City elections and support our local schools and institutions. In turn, we look to our City leaders to fulfill their part of the contact, by managing our City effectively and respecting the Zoning Plan that was established as a framework for community growth.

Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you very much.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

Paul Van Walleghem, if I did that right.

MR. VAN WALLEGHEM: Not even close.

MAYOR CASON: Not even close. Close enough

so you recognized it, so --

MR. VAN WALLEGHEM: It's a Finnish name.

You can translate to Spanish. It's del

Castillo.

MAYOR CASON: Oh, okay.

MR. VAN WALLEGHEM: Paul Van Walleghem,

1111 South Alhambra Circle. I've been a

resident of Coral Gables for over 20 years, and

I was instrumental in working with the City in

convincing you guys to put up the traffic

circles, which most people hate. And the

reason they hate it is because they work.

And I believe that a project like this, of which I am opposed, would be the initiation of future similar projects on US-1. And it's almost a given that you're going to get -they're going to be using Granada, Riviera,
South Alhambra Circle, and all of these avenues and streets, as feeder lines for US-1, either coming or going, and we're totally opposed to that.

Thank you.

. 7

1.0

1.4

1 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir. 2 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 3 Georgina Prats Shelton. Georgina Prats Shelton. 4 5 MS. SHELTON PRATS: Good afternoon. 6 Mr. Mayor. I live in the Gables since 1961, 7 when I arrived from Cuba. 8 MR. LEEN: Name and address, please. 9 sorry. 10 MS. SHELTON PRATS: Oh, I'm sorry. My name 11 is Georgina Shelton Prats. I've lived in the 12 Gables since 1961. 13 MR. LEEN: Thank you. And address? 14 MS. SHELTON PRATS: I'm sorry? 15 MR. LEEN: Your address, please. Where you 16 live. 17 MS. SHELTON PRATS: Oh, 1400 Salzedo 18 Street, Apartment 305. 19 MR. LEEN: Thank you. 20 MS. SHELTON PRATS: I've lived in the 21 Gables since 1961. I used to be a member of 22 the Property Advisory Board here at the City of 23 Coral Gables, I think, about 30 years ago. I 24 was a Past President of the Board of Realtors 25 and used to have my company in Coral Gables,

1 called Prats & Associates, a real estate 2 company. 3 I love Coral Gables. I attended, also, Coral Gables Senior High. 5 I think this is a great location. 6 beautiful project. I can see myself living 7 there. I own, right now, a school in Doral. I 8 can take the Metrorail across the street, go to 9 the Doral station, and take the trolley to the 10 school, and coming back. It's a great 11 opportunity. 12 Really, for someone like me, who, right 13 now, the ideal will be renting an apartment in 14 a nice building, next to a hotel, where any one 15 of my grandchildren, when they come to visit, 16 they can stay over, it's an ideal thing. 17 think it's beautiful. 18 And I understand that people are afraid 19 sometimes of changes, but that's life, and I 20 can assure you that, at the end, everyone is 21 going to love it. So I'm in favor of it. 22 Thank you. 23 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you very much. 24 MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

Jerry Marcus. Jerry Marcus.

MR. MARCUS: My name is Jerry Marcus, 6401 Caballero Boulevard. I've lived in the Gables for nearly 50 years. I'm against the project.

MR. LEEN: Did he state his address?

COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.

MR. LEEN: Oh, he did? I'm sorry.

MR. MARCUS: And to borrow a couple of words that the City Attorney told us on what we should say, I think he said that we should use rational sense when we make our statement.

Well, I think the Commission, if they vote for this project, is definitely making irrational sense. And such a bogus story, we've been told that there would be no upgrade in traffic. I try to walk every day down Caballero, and the speed limit is 25 miles an hour. And I've called the City. They've got a traffic guy, who I don't know why they're paying him. But, anyway, cars go there at 50, 60 miles an hour, and there's a park right near there. So I can't even get through.

But I know these Developers want to get
money. I know the City Commission wants to
bring more money into the City. And, you know,
I'd just suggest that maybe the Developers

1 could fix all of the potholes throughout the 2 City of Coral Gables. There are very, very 3 many potholes, and maybe put some new sidewalks along Miracle Mile, and maybe get a whole new 5 Commission, because they're certainly not 6 voting or doing anything in our favor if they 7 vote for this project. 8 And I certainly hope you'll use rational 9 sense when it comes to the vote. Thank you. 10 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, Mr. Marcus. 11 MAYOR CASON: Jesus Cabrera. 12 Cabrera. 13 MR. CABRERA: Good afternoon, and thank you 14 for the opportunity to speak before the 15 Commission. I live on 7550 Old Cutler Road. 16 I've lived there for 15 years, and my office --17 MR. LEEN: Could you state your name, again? 18 MR. CABRERA: Jesus Cabrera. 19 MR. LEEN: Thank you. 20 MR. CABRERA: I lived at 7550 Old Cutler 21 Have lived there for fifteen years. 22 I also have my office in the City of Coral 23 Gables. 24 Now, for brevity of my comments, I, like

everyone else in this community today, is

concerned about developments in the City of

Coral Gables, but I believe that this project

will serve our community well into the future.

I look at -- as I listened to the complaints and the objections, I believe that -- I fear that people are confusing height with density and the traffic that comes along with it. You can certainly build a building within the height of the Zoning requirement, that will be two to three times as dense, and will produce two to three times the traffic, and that needs to be taken into consideration.

That is only height. It should only be one factor of the equation.

So when I look at developments, I look at how does this development improve my lifestyle and the economy of Coral Gables. The current proposal, if not that one, what is the alternative? I certainly don't want a very dense project. And I certainly don't want a Walmart or a Home Depot near my community.

This proposal, I believe, is quite unique and very distinguishing in many ways. It is designed around the community that it serves, rather than a complete isolation, usually

driven by Developers, which is the
profitability or the return on investments.

Certainly, community is a rare business

15.

principle for South Florida construction.

Unfortunately, it's something that we're all too familiar with, right here, in South

Florida, and in the City of Coral Gables.

I support the vision and the design of this development. I support the Mixed-Use. More important of all, the public realm benefits, with the use of landscaping, Paseos, and 30-foot sidewalks. I challange anyone to find 30-foot sidewalks in this City.

It offers affordable housing to young professionals. Something that is very important to me. Affordability is an issue in this country and in this community. I would like our children to have an affordable housing, in this community, in the community that they grew up, in order to be able to prolong our values well into the future.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir. Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Elizabeth Marcus.

MS. MARCUS: My name is Elizabeth Marcus, and I live at 6401 Caballero Boulevard. I'd like to talk a little bit about expectations.

I was born in Coral Gables. I've lived here most of my life. I've been in my present home since 1973. My husband and I have raised four sons there. And I plan to stay in Coral Gables, unlike some of my friends, who have gone off to North Carolina, various places further north.

So I feel -- one of the reasons I stay in this community and one of the reasons I admire it is that I feel, and I think others feel, that I can rely on our Zoning Code, and that I will be protected, for the City to remain as it always has been.

I see threats to that, and -- with the present project. I've been given justifications. They've been interesting ones. "We're on the GRID. We're by the Metrorail. It's the new urbanization." I like that word. And, then, lastly, "That it's a well designed project."

In my opinion, the well designed project is just too big. It's going to increase traffic.

1 It will bring more cars into the neighborhood. 2 As far as the Metrorail, it's limited in 3 use. I use it, but it only takes me north and 4 south. And US-1 is a tragedy. I don't think 5 that -- and I don't know what the answer for 6 US-1 is. 7 It seems to me that approval of this 8 project would in no way be a thoughtful choice, 9 and that it would be a real violation of our 10 present neighborhood. 11 And use rationality, I don't think it makes 12 rational sense. 13 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you very much. 15 MAYOR CASON: Ricardo Pita. Ricardo Pita. 16 MR. PITA: Thank you, Mr. Mayor, 1.7 Commission. I'm here today to speak on behalf 18 -- oh, I apologize. My name is Ricardo Pita. 19 My address is 8120 Southwest 52nd Avenue. 20 I live and work in the neighborhood, and 21 I'm here on behalf -- to speak on behalf -- not 22 on behalf, but in favor of the project. 23 Frankly, I find it kind of appalling on the 24 accusations that I've heard. This is my first 25 City Council Meeting, and I'm very much

.1

6.

impressed, but, you know, I think that Ms.

Guarch said it very eloquently, when she said that we, young professionals, in the neighborhood, we want to be in a City that embraces growth, that is ready to capitalize in every opportunity to move it forward, and I want to make sure that, you know, the Commission and the Mayor understand that.

We're here. We might not be in this room.

And if you look around, you know, I probably

would have gotten carded to get in here. I'm

definitely on the younger side of the crowd.

But I want to assure you that we are out there

and we very much are in favor of this proposal.

I personally believe -- and I ask you to reject calls to uphold rules to stifle innovation, and I think this project is very much one of the few very good examples of this kind of movement. I really want to see more projects of these, and, you know, I hope that you consider this as part of your decision.

I hope the City keeps moving forward, as it has, and I know it's your duty to uphold the principles and the history of the City. It's one of things that makes it special, but I hope

1 that you will, in the end, opt for options that 2 remain faithful to these principles, but 3 acknowledge that the City must continue to 4 grow. 5 And, for that much, that is my two cents, 6 and thank you so much for your time. 7 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir. 8 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 9 Sheldon Segel. Sheldon Segel. 10 Gilbert Beau -- I wish the handwriting were 11 better. Can you read this for me? 12 Anybody with the first name Gilbert. 13 MR. BEAUPERTHUY: Gilbert Beauperthuy. 14 MAYOR CASON: Okay. 15 MR. BEAUPERTHUY: I live at 6464 Caballero 16 Boulevard, just down the road from the project. 17 I'm against the project. I think there are 18 rules and regulations that have been set in the 19 City. We all have to follow them. And I think 20 this Developer should have to follow it, also. 21 Either he didn't do his due diligence or he 22 felt that he could change the rules as he saw 23 fit. 24 I don't think anybody in the neighborhood

is against developing that property, and while

1 the proposed project is beautiful, it doesn't 2 fit the neighborhood. 3 So, I would say, you go ahead and develop 4 the project, develop the property, but follow 5 the rules, just like every other citizen in the 6 City of Coral Gables has to abide by. 7 We went through this with Publix several 8 years ago. And they tried to break the rules, 9 and we stopped them. And if this goes through, 10 I can tell you that, that EWM property right 11 there on the Mahi Waterway is the next thing 12 that's going to go up. There's already an 13 illegal marina in there, and we've done nothing 14 about that, either. 15 So I ask you guys to please play by the 16 The rules are set. They're there for a 17 purpose. And I would hope that you guys would 18 follow it. 19 Thanks. 20 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 21 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir. 22 MAYOR CASON: Patricia Abril. Patricia 23 Abril. 24 MS. ABRIL: Yes, thank you. 25 afternoon. My name is Patricia Abril.

at 6312 Leonardo. Thank you for the opportunity to address you today.

I've lived in Coral Gables most of my life.

I work in Coral Gables. And I live near the project.

If the project is built, in fact, I will be able to see it from the second floor of my house, and I will be happy to see it.

I've reviewed the project. I believe that it's elegant, it's well designed, it's pedestrian friendly, and it's responsible.

It's reflective of how people want to live and work in 20th Century, but still remains true to Coral Gables sensibilities.

Moreover, I think it's an appropriate supplement and balance to what's going on across the street in the Lennar Health Center. I think we can't forget that this City was built by intrepid and forward thinking visionaries who cared deeply about aesthetics.

I also believe that the development team has proven that they care about aesthetics and careful 21st Century design. I don't think we should oppose development just because it represents change.

I urge you to approve this project, because it's thoughtful, it's appropriate, and it's forward thinking. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

Stefan Zachar.

MR. ZACHAR: Hi, Stefan Zachar, 1229 Aduana Avenue. I oppose the project. I'm third generation from Miami and Coral Gables. I oppose it, because of the increased traffic, which we've already seen, that has increased over the last five years, just because of population growth, and it's dangerous, and it's a lack of quality. We are losing our quality of life, because of the traffic, in terms of being outside riding bikes, walking dogs.

Also, that if we do this project, it's going to set the precedent, just like the BMI building set the precedent, and they're going to come back and they're going to start developing more down US-1, and we don't need that.

Also, the fact that it's going to reduce, what I'm told, is property taxes, and -- I'm hearing 100 to \$150,000, right off the bat. So

for those young professionals that want to move in, here's an opportunity to get a cheap buy.

The other thing is, I'm a young professional, and when I was even younger, I was able to figure out how to live in Coral Gables, and there are a lot of other choice, other than this one building.

Now, I just moved my son into the
University of Virginia, and for next year's
housing, he's basically living at Paseo at the
University of Virginia. There's three projects
that, to me, look exactly like Paseo. They're
turn-key. You just bring your towels.
Everything -- furniture, everything, is there,
and every college student is in there.

It's great. It's a party place. They do keep it up. They're very clean. But it's a party place. We were there in the weekend, and it's a lot of that.

So the University of Miami solves their problem with student housing and kids can have everything right there, and it's not going to be expensive for them, because I'm paying a lot of money, and when you have your kid in college, and you don't have any other option,

1 you just do it. 2 And -- and that's it. I'm out of time. 3 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 4 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. 5 MAYOR CASON: Aramis Alvarez. Aramis 6 Alvarez. Not there? 7 MR. ALVAREZ: Good evening. My name is 8 Aramis Alvarez. My residence is 1237 South 9 Alhambra Circle. 10 I want to say that I have been part of this 11 neighborhood since 1972. My first home in the 12 Gables was 1110 Aduana Avenue. My children and 13 grandchildren used to play in Jaycee Park. 1.4 And, to this day, the second or third 15 generation, are still going there. My home is 16 on the waterway, with a clear view over where 17 this project will stand. I can walk to this 18 site when it's developed, and I consider this 19 project a very high quality project. 20 As a member of the Board of Architects, I 21 was part of the Board when it was presented in 22 December of last year. We had an opportunity 23 to hear the presentation made by the 24 architects, the Developers and everybody that

had contribution.

The traffic study that was presented by the office of Mr. Plummer, to me, was satisfactory evidence that the concerns that could be happening as a traffic flow is really diverted in a manner that is not conflicting with the main traffic in the core of this neighborhood.

I think the immediate access for entering and exiting on US-1 is a significant element of consideration in deviating traffic from the inner part of that neighborhood.

I agree, as a professional, that this project is a high quality project, and I consider that high quality projects, in any neighborhood, are an enhancement, not a negative.

I would be very happy to endorse and make my testimony in favor of this project. Thank you for your attention.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

Martin Rosen. Martin Rosen.

Beno Schechter. Beno Schechter.

MR. SCHECHTER: Good afternoon. Beno Schechter, 1139 Alfonso Avenue. Lived here, on that address, for 20 years.

The City Beautiful, that's the name that we

have for this beautiful City, but that doesn't mean just having a building or the aesthetics of how high a building is. The beautiful part of our City is what the character of the people and the way it's been projected.

If you take just a quick picture from Caballero, Hardee and Maynada, down to Alfonso, you find about 176 plus homes. You're actually putting a whole neighborhood right on top of that neighborhood.

Forget about the height of a building.

What are you doing to that particular
neighborhood? You're squashing it unfairly.

Now, the quality of the people that you're
going to be bringing in, that's what makes a
good neighborhood.

When you're going to have rentals or people that are going to be more transient, you cannot determine what neighborhood you're going to have. You're not going to get votes. You're not going to get taxes. So what you're doing is actually creating a situation that is going to haunt you for the rest of -- for the character of the City in a whole.

People of the Commission, it's in your

1 2 we know it. It's that serious. 3 future of Coral Gables. 4 5 Thank you. 6 7 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 8 9 Karen Lee. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 project.

24

25

hands right now the future of Coral Gables as It's not profits. It's not votes. It's the entire

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir.

Javier Vizoso. He's not coming back?

MS. LEE: Hi, my name is Karen E. Lee, and live at 6501 Leonardo Street. I'm a CPA, that lives and works in the Gables. I have lived in Coral Gables for 18 years. I do everything in Coral Gables. My kids go to school at Sunset and Carver, and at the same time, I do all I can to avoid using Sunset Drive and US-1.

I frequented Jaycee Park when my kids were small. We would ride bike there. Even then, it was dangerous to ride our bikes on or near Hardee and Maynada. This project would only make the traffic and congestion much worse. You cannot, with a good conscious, approve this

And as for these twenty somethings who are for the project, they need to have a couple of

1 kids, and then come back and give their opinion 2 about the future. 3 I live in a great neighborhood, with families and kids, not Downtown Gables. 4 5 you. 6 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. 7 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 8 Daniel, looks like, Gindy. Daniel Gindy. 9 Georgina Marquez. 10 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Let me see the last 11 name of the previous one. 12 MAYOR CASON: Georgina Marquez. 13 COMMISSIONER LAGO: 1018 Aduana. 14 MAYOR CASON: Richard Newman. Dr. and Mrs. 15 Richard Newman. I guess one of the two will be 16 speaking. 17 All right. Salvador Matus. 18 Okay. Yes. 19 MS. NEWMAN: I'm Joyce Newman, Mrs. Richard 20 Newman, and I reside at 1212 South Alhambra. 21 And I strongly oppose this. And I oppose it, 22 first, because many people have said that we 23 have a Code. I was with the RNA when we worked 24 endless hours on the Zoning Code Re-write, and 25 I know it cost the City a fortune to do the

1 re-write. 2 It cost us, me and other people, hundreds 3 of hours. So I can't understand why it doesn't count. It wasn't that long ago. 5 Also, I think -- I like beautiful 6 buildings. It's the height. You know, we 7 don't want a condo canyon. You know, redoing 8 the corridor there along US-1 is inevitable, 9 but it should be according to Code, the Code that we worked so hard on not that long ago. 10 11 So thank you. 12 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 13 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you very much. 14 MAYOR CASON: Salvador Matus. 15 Gordon Gregory. Gordon Gregory. Gordon 16 Gregory. Not here. 17 Has Jorge Rios surfaced? Done. 18 Carmen Ortiz. 19 MS. ORTIZ: I'm here. 20 Good evening. I'd like to thank you for 21 having the time to listen to my complaint. I 22 have lived -- I'm a doctor --23 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Excuse me, ma'am. 24 I'm sorry, but you'll need to put your name and 25 your address into the microphone.

MS. ORTIZ: I did.

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: The address.

MS. ORTIZ: Oh, okay. Yes, ma'am.

I live at 6305 Caballero Boulevard, a block from the project. For a minute, I want you to visualize that you're swimming in Venetian Pool, and you look over, above Venetian Pool, and you see a 14-story violation of a Zoning Law.

What you're trying to do now is you're trying to change a law that has been on the books, it has been voted and thought about. It's going to ruin the character of Coral Gables. It's going to ruin the character of our neighborhood. It actually is not well-developed, because you have to turn the trucks into that narrow parking alley. It's got to be impossible. It's going to create havoc on US-1, car accidents and deaths, and also it will increase traffic through the neighborhood, where there's a park that's used by all of the children, not just in our neighborhood, but also at the Riviera School, and the children from Sunset Elementary.

I think it is your responsibility to make

1 sure that you uphold the rights of the citizens 2 of this City, and you uphold the laws that we 3 have for Zoning, and not allow such a tall 4 building to be built. I think the objection we 5 have is the height. Thank you. 6 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you very much. MAYOR CASON: Jeanette Horta. Jeanette 8 9 Horta. 10 All right. That takes care of those that have not spoken before. We're going to take a 11 12 five-minute break and then come back and we 13 will take one minute from people who have 14 already spoken before, before we finish up. 15 (Short recess taken.) 16 MAYOR CASON: All right. We're going to 17 start again. We still have a few people that 18 have not spoken before. We're going to start 19 with Michael Genden. 20 MR. LEEN: Judge Genden, it's your turn. 21 MR. GENDEN: I think we're missing a 22 Commissioner. 23 MAYOR CASON: We'll go ahead. 24 MR. GENDEN: Okay. Good evening. My name 25 is Judge Michael Genden. I'm a Circuit Court

Judge here in Dade County. I'll give you personally, for those people that are talking about their background here, this Saturday, I'm going to turn 70, and I was born here 70 years ago and lived here my whole life.

I'm in the fairness business. That's what I do for a living. I have had many of these lawyers sitting behind me, including Mr. Bass, Mr. Gibbs, Mr. Portuondo in front of me. What I have to do every day is listen to cases and make decisions.

I also had the City of Coral Gables lawyer in front of me, Liz Hernandez, when they came to me and said, "The ordinance allowing people to park their trucks in a driveway which wasn't covered is an Unconstitutional infringement on my right to use my property."

And I looked at the rules, and I looked at the law, and I decided the City was right, and the Third District Court of Appeal affirmed me. They said, "Judge Genden was right when he said we should uphold the City's ordinance." You all changed it eventually, and that was your call.

I've also listened to many, many cases

1.9

2.0

involving experts. I came here tonight -- I

couldn't come last time, because I was in

court -- and I heard that there was traffic

studies and expert testimony. I've listened to

tobacco cases, roll-over cases, medical

malpractice cases, and there's one thing they

all have in common, they all had experts.

11.

And I had one expert for Ford Motor Company saying that their Bronco was not designed dangerously, and one saying it was designed dangerously. This doctor did not commit malpractice; this doctor did. It goes on and on.

I had the tobacco companies in front of me.

I have a two-week tobacco trial, where somebody died of lung cancer. "Nothing wrong with tobacco. Yes, tobacco is bad." So expert testimony is the buzz word of litigation.

There's one thing we learned, and I've been on the bench going on 24 years, you don't leave your common sense at the door when you listen to a case. And when I instruct a jury, before they're ready to leave, I say, "The law says use your common sense and experiences when you make decisions in this case."

So I'm asking you to do that. And you ask 1 yourself, do you really believe we're not going 3 to have significant traffic on these roads? Ιf you really believe that, then go ahead and 5 approve this. But if you don't, then you 6 should consider how we feel living there, where we live. Thank you. 8 MAYOR CASON: Thank you, Judge. 9 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Judge, your 10 address? 11 MR. GENDEN: 12 MR. LEEN: No. No. Don't give the address. Don't give the address. 13 Is your address within a thousand feet? 14 15 MR. GENDEN: Yes. And my address is 16 protected under State Statute. 17 MR. LEEN: Don't put the address in the 18 transcript. 19 MAYOR CASON: Marlin Ebbert. 20 MR. LEEN: Is he within a thousand feet? 21 He testified he was. 22 MS. EBBERT: Good evening. For the record, 23 my name is Marlin Ebbert, and I live at 6935 24 Almansa Street, and, yes, it's on the eastern 25 fringe of the Riviera Neighborhood Association,

of which I am a member.

I attended the Friday Night Visioning

Session. I attended both Planning and Zoning
meetings. I attended the Commission Meeting
when the Visioning Report was given. I was
here at the First Reading, and I'm here
tonight, and this is the first time I have
spoken.

I am here tonight to let you know that I am against this project in its present state. You all know me very, very well, all of you, and you all know that I have been an active contributing member of this community, wearing many, many hats, over the past 27 years. And I cared so much about Coral Gables, that I ran for a Commission seat a couple of election cycles ago.

And when I was getting ready to run, I agonized, as I'm sure all of you did, over your campaign literature and what you were going to be sending out to the community and what you were going to be saying in that. And one statement that I made, that I'm sure each and every one of you said, in some way, was that you pledged to preserve and protect the

1 neighborhoods of Coral Gables.

If there was ever a time that you need to keep that campaign promise, it is now. This neighborhood has let you see how important this is to them. I mean, this has been six months of meetings, nighttime meetings, Saturday meetings, walking the neighborhoods. I ask you to please think about your campaign promises.

Last night, I happened to watch part of last month's Commission meeting, and it was an issue about someone on the waterway wanting to build a gazebo. And he wanted a variance to build this gazebo, and the next door neighbor was objecting to it.

And the attorney for the neighbor that was objecting brought up a good way of expressing himself. He said -- I'm sorry, he said that he wanted to go 55 miles an hour in a 35-mile an hour zone.

We have a Developer that wants to go 140 miles an hour in a 45 --

MR. LEEN: Times up.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, I just want to say, for purposes of the record, the reason why we

did not want the Judge's address in the record is, it's protected by State Statute.

Now, I could see that the Applicant is contesting whether he's within a thousand feet. We'll look at that and I'll make sure it's recorded in an appropriate way in the record. We can talk about that after.

But his address can't be in the record, under State Law.

MAYOR CASON: Right.

Richard Wood.

MR. WOOD: My name is Richard Wood. I live at 6500 Cellini Street. I've been a life-long resident of the Gables. I was actually born in Doctors Hospital, so, I mean, literally life-long.

First, I want to say, I'm not opposed to re-development of this site. I'm actually in favor. The Holiday Inn is tired. I don't have a problem with a small variation to allow additional development. I actually am involved in some development myself.

I think the process, though, should be that all of you -- as elected officials, I'd like to see you enforce our Zoning Code. If the

Applicant wanted to have a variance and could show an undue hardship as to why they'd be

entitled to that, so be it.

But this property, the way the project is proposed, it is out of scale, it's too dense, and it abuts a residential neighborhood, one of the most desirable neighborhoods in the South Gables.

I was at the last meeting. Many of you, or several of you, a few of you, said that if the project density didn't come down, you'd vote, no, on the Second Reading. And my understanding is, the density has not come down.

So this project is just too large. It will be only the second High-Rise tower south of Brickell Avenue, until you get to the corner of Dadeland Boulevard and US-1. I've driven it twice. There are High-Rises, but they're not on US-1, they're just off of US-1. This will only be the second one, with its abutting neighbor, which we all know was a mistake.

Also, one of the comments was made, that the Zoning next door was a reaction. I think that one of the individuals who spoke earlier

1.3

14.

pointed out that the Gables Zoning Code was rewritten approximately 10 years ago. A lot of thought went into that. This Zoning wasn't changed. After careful consideration, the Commission, at that point in time, decided that the Zoning should stay in place, as it had been, with the overlay.

Your Planning Board didn't give you a recommendation. I respectfully request you all consider all of the comments that have been made against the project and bring it into scale.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir.

MAYOR CASON: Jennifer Garcia. Jennifer Garcia. Not here?

MS. GARCIA: Yes.

Good evening. My name is Jennifer Garcia.

I'm a resident and property owner at 20

Alhambra Circle, and I've come to show my support for this project. I believe it's a good step forward to allowing density on US-1, which is basically the only access that we have to a transit system in the region.

I think that the architects did a good job

in scaling down to the neighborhoods behind it.

I do think that they could take a look at the liner or the area in the back of the parking garage, and have maybe some units or some smaller liners in the back to front that park in the back, but I think allowing density on US-1 is a great way to consolidate the density that we want to have in Coral Gables for that corridor, so we can allow it to have lesser density and lower scale in our neighborhoods. Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

Ann Goodman.

MS. GOODMAN: Hello. My name is Ann Goodman. And I reside -- I was born and raised in South Gables, and I reside at 6818 Corsica Street.

First of all, I respect the architect. I know the architect personally, and he's been very much helpful to the historic preservation community. He's very sensitive. And I respect the elegance and the quality of the project.

But I'm a realtor of over 25 years, and the homes in the area are valued at one to three million dollars. The lot sizes are large. The

taxes, I'd say the average taxes are 10 to \$20,000 per property. And the residents that chose to live there, again, chose for the quality of life, the peace and the quiet and the green, and I don't believe any of us want a High-Rise rental building in our backyard.

So I'm just asking you to please respect the existing Zoning Codes. Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

1.4

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you very much.

MAYOR CASON: Wayne Adams.

MR. ADAMS: My name is Wayne Adams. I live at 4845 Campo Sano Court. I've heard many discussions about why this project would be good for the City. I've heard many objections as to why this project would not be good for the neighborhood.

But I think the real question is, we have
Zoning Ordinances and Zoning Regulations in
this community, and the question is, are we
going to live with those or are we going to
change? If there's a problem with the
regulations, we should change those. If not, I
think we should adhere to them, and I think the
project should be opposed.

1 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 2 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir. 3 MAYOR CASON: David Bixby. Did he speak 4 already? 5 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yeah. 6 COMMISSIONER KEON: I think so. 7 MAYOR CASON: Oh, was that the spouse? 8 COMMISSIONER KEON: I think he wasn't here 9 when you called him earlier. 10 MAYOR CASON: Okay. Spoke already. 11 Rafael Acevedo and Monica Parra. I'm not 12 sure which of the two will be speaking. 13 Acevedo and Monica Parra. 14 Rosanna Weber Rivera. 15 No, Rosanna Weber, excuse me. 16 MS. WEBER: Hello. My name is Rosanna 17 Weber. I live at 1132 Placetas Avenue. First 18 of all, I thank the RNA and its Board for 19 everything they have done. The RNA is made of 20 just neighborhood people, trying to do the 21 right thing, in order to maintain our way of 22 life. 23 I'm not on the board of the RNA, but I was 24 one of the people that walked around the 25 neighborhood trying to find out how people felt 1.0

about the project. And I walked the 1100 and 1200 Block of Placetas Avenue, and almost everybody that I spoke to was opposed to the project.

I recognize that the Board of the RNA is not a scientific study. It, by no means, can be, because we didn't pay anybody to make that board for us. We made it ourselves, in order to show to you guys how much we are opposed to the project.

We are just trying -- I feel that the board, the way that it is, shows you, in an overwhelming way, how opposed the neighborhood is to the project.

The neighborhood welcomes development to -we want development in the neighborhood, but we
want it to be under the rules that exist
currently. We don't want any more -- we don't
want height, we don't want density, and
somebody made a point that putting it on US-1
is good, because then the density will be on
US-1, but we know that everybody is going to
cut through the streets in order to get any
place. It's not like you build it on US-1 and
they're just going to stay on US-1.

1 There's no sidewalk in that area, because 2 it was never intended for it to have as much 3 density and these many people living there. 4 So I'm here to tell you that I'm opposed to 5 the project, and almost everybody that I spoke 6 to in my block was opposed to it. Thank you. 7 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 8 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you very much. 9 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 10 Gordon Sokoloff. 11 MS. SOKOLOFF: Hi. I'm Gordon Sokoloff. 12 live at 225 Alesio Avenue, which is another 13 neighborhood down the street from the Agave 14 project, which did get slipped in under the 15 radar. 16 I attended Commissioner -- so quick? 17 MR. LEEN: Restart that. 18 MR. SOKOLOFF: Thank you. I know, you 19 might not like what I have to say --20 MAYOR CASON: Time passes. 21 MR. SOKOLOFF: I attended Commissioner 22 Slesnick's Workshop at the Biltmore, and I 23 think, if you put your finger in the pulse of 24 the population of the City of Coral Gables, 25 you're going to find that most of the people

that live here and reside here do not want to

change the quality of life, they want to see it

get worse, and definitely it is.

2.3

It is, with the construction. And if this City wants to remain great, I think it has to buck the trend of overbuilding, which you see in every other community in Miami, including Aventura, Brickell.

We're going -- we're heading in that direction. And I live here. I work here.

I've lived here all of my life. Born at Doctors Hospital, also. And I used to work on Miracle Mile and Ponce, and I had to move, because, frankly, patients were having a hard time getting to my office without waiting for lights to get to the parking garage, and that's the trend that we're on.

So I think you need to contain development, and this is a great place to start. I hope you veto the scale of the project. Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

Hagen Taudt.

MR. TAUDT: My name is Hagen Taudt. I reside on 5105 Granada Boulevard. I have lived in this community almost for 41 years. I have

1 business in Coral Gables. And the stretch of US-1, in Coral Gables, is a business section, 2 3 but what has been built so far or is established there right now does not really fit 5 the City of Coral Gables. The Paseo project, I think, will add to the 7 City. And, after all, it's a commercial area. 8 I understand the concerns of the residents 9 behind it, but I've checked it out, and I must 10 say, you can't really see it. There's a park 11 in between. 12 And I fully, what is there right now, is a 1.3 Holiday Inn, which nobody would like to go to, 14 but the Paseo project is something that we all 15 can go to, which we can use, which is 16 complementing of the area. And, I think, for 17 that stretch of US-1, in Coral Gables, would be 18 a very beautiful project, and it should be 19 approved, and I totally support it. Thank you. 20 Thank you. COMMISSIONER LAGO: 21 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 22 Fernando Garcia-Chacon. 23 Alice Goldhagen. 24 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Mr. Chacon. 25 MR. GARCIA-CHACON: Good evening. My name

is Fernando Garcia-Chacon. I reside at 1211
Alfonso Avenue.

I'm opposed to this project. I think the issue at hand here is the Coral Gables Zoning Regulations, which the City is famous for. You know, when I renovated my home, I had to invest significant dollars in meeting these guidelines, you know, color, window sizes. And to see now, it's difficult to reconcile how a project that's going to triple the size of what's allowed is being considered.

I look at, I think it was the Old Gables

Inn, the corner of Dixie and Riviera, there was a hotel. It was renovated into residential. I mean, I don't know the exact densities, but certainly it didn't go triple. So I think we need to respect Zoning.

And, lastly, as a professional hotel consultant, I really question the feasibility of a full service hotel in this location.

There's been significant additions to supply here, the Four Points and Aloft under construction, and I just don't see the room rates being achieved that's going to make this an economic feasible project. Thank you.

1 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 2 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir. 3 MAYOR CASON: Alice Goldhagen. 4 MS. GOLDHAGEN: Good evening. My family 5 has had a presence on Maynada Street -- I live 6 at 6395 Maynada Street, since 1967. I went to 7 Ponce, Gables High, and University of Miami, 8 and I'm opposed to the scale of this project. 9 If anybody drives from US-1 to Sunset, and 10 from Le Jeune to Red Road, everyone can see the 11 amount of development that's been happening up 12 and down those streets in this part of Coral 13 Gables. And I think that this project, the 14 scale of it, is only going to continue the 15 So I'm opposed to it. Thank you very 16 much. 17 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 18 Ira Silver. 19 MR. SILVER: My name is Ira Silver. 20 native of Miami. I've lived in Coral Gables 21 for 30 years. 22 MR. LEEN: Your address? 23 MS. SILVER: I'm sorry? 24 MR. LEEN: Could you state your address, 25 where you live?

1 MR. SILVER: 1129 Hardee Road, which is 2 right behind this proposed development. 3 MR. LEEN: Thank you. MR. SILVER: And I want to tell you, this 5 is the first time I've ever had to come down to the City of Coral Gables at a hearing. I love 7 my City. This is the best City in the world. 8 But I'm not going to let you ruin my City. 9 I am not going to let you build a project that 10 is totally stupid and ridiculous, that no one 11 should ever even consider this. If any of you 12 vote for this, I promise you, I will do 13 anything I can to make sure that you don't make 14 any more decisions for me, as a citizen and 15 taxpayer of this community. 16 MR. LEEN: You can't consider it. 17 MR. SILVER: I will consider it. I promise 18 you --19 MAYOR CASON: I think you're out of order. 20 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Excuse me. 21 MR. SILVER: I am not out --22 MAYOR CASON: You're out of order. 23 MR. LEEN: That's a threat and its 24 inappropriate. 25 MAYOR CASON: It's a threat and it's out of

1 order. 2 MR. SILVER: It's not a threat. 3 MAYOR CASON: And thank you very much. 4 Brooks Miller is next. 5 MR. SILVER: I hope you have the ethics and 6 the common sense to vote against this project. 7 Thank you. 8 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 9 Brooks Miller. 10 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir. 11 MR. SILVER: This is just stupid. 12 MR. MILLER: Good evening, Mayor, 13 Commissioners. My name is Brooks Miller. 14 communicated to you by e-mail before, and most 15 recently, today, you received --16 COMMISSIONER KEON: It's nice to put a face 17 with a name. 18 MR. MILLER: Here you go. Nice to meet you. 19 COMMISSIONER KEON: It's nice to meet you. 20 MR. MILLER: I live at 920 Andora Avenue. 21 I've lived there since 1991. I was born and 22 raised in Miami. Went to Ransom with 23 Mr. Gibbs, and, actually, Mr. Newman was a 24 prior graduate. 25 I'm opposed to the project. I think my

memorandum sets out, in large detail, the basis for my objection.

In addition, you heard the issues regarding traffic. I'm not going to go into that.

Certainly we understand that there's huge issues associated with Jaycee Park, which right now, walking to or biking to, is frankly dangerous. I won't take my children there, if I can possibly avoid it. With this, it's only going to get worse.

But I'd like to address some of the points that have been raised by the Developer today, which I happen to know a little bit about, because I'm a lawyer.

Mr. Bass, I think, misrepresented what
Florida Statute 163.317 says. He doesn't want
there to be a referendum. And the reason why
the Developer doesn't want there to be a
referendum is because, if there was a
referendum, that referendum would reach the
same result as the RNA survey did, which showed
that 94 percent of those within the zone of
influence oppose this project.

And that's very important, because in the memorandum I sent you, part of what I cited was

the purpose of the City of Coral Gables Zoning
Law, one of which is -- and this is, for
purposes of the record, Section 1-103, Subpart
G, "Provide for efficiency and economy in the
process of stable and orderly development, for
the appropriate and best use, not necessarily
the most economic use of land, in accordance
with standards established by the will of the
residents, while protecting property rights."

Those are the words that need to guide the Commission in its decision tonight as it stands.

There's a couple of other things that were said that I have a few -- well, I'm about out of time. Got one out.

The point is, this project should not be allowed to proceed as it stands. Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir.

MAYOR CASON: Tracy Kerdyk.

MS. KERDYK: They're going to get something out. I thought it would have already been presented. A minute.

Does somebody have the map on a disk drive that can be shown on the TV screens?

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I think Ms. Kerdyk brought this in for the TV to show, too, so that everybody could see.

MS. KERDYK: Okay.

COMMISSIONER KEON: There. It's up.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: There it is.

MAYOR CASON: The TV can see it.

MS. KERDYK: Thank you.

Okay. My name is Tracy Kerdyk, and I live at 935 South Alhambra. After listening to this meeting so far, there seems to be something really unnerving. Today you are here to vote on a change to the existing Zoning, that will severely alter the character of our neighborhood, and, more importantly, the City Beautiful.

How is it possible that this project is even being considered, based on existing Codes, that have been analyzed and written to prevent what we is being discussed today.

It seems as though the desires of the residents are being totally ignored. As you can see, throughout the meeting, there's overwhelming evidence that the Zoning changes being proposed are greatly against the wishes

of the residents, and by a wide margin.

I personally took this map and went to the Property Appraiser's Website, and looked at the market values of these homes. Of course, we all know that the market value is much less and a very conservative number.

I looked at who was for it and who was against it, and I know some people say, "Well, I was for it," and some people say, "I was against it, and the map doesn't reflect." But, all in all, generally, it reflects an idea.

And the results are stunning. \$453,934,000 in property values are against this project, compared to the approximate \$35,133,000.

During recent campaigns, there have been statements made publicly, as well as in campaign materials, and traditionally door to door visits, stating the quality of life for the residents would remain the same.

Certainly, this project does not fall into that category. So I ask each of you, what are the extraordinary circumstances that may be prompting you not to honor your campaign promises to the residents?

In closing, I again ask, why are the voices

. 15

1 of nearly a half a billion dollars in property 2 values, which includes personal savings --3 MR. LEEN: Time is up. 4 MS. KERDYK: -- and dreams of living in 5 this great neighborhood being discounted? 6 Thank you. 7 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 8 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. 9 MAYOR CASON: Alicia Amaya Fernandez. 10 MR. LEEN: Just for members of the public 11 and for the Commission, because she testified, 12 he is allowed to cross-examine her and you 13 cannot hold that against them. It's a right. 14 MR. BASS: Just a quick question. 15 Good evening, Ms. Kerdyk. 16 MS. KERDYK: Good evening. 17 MR. BASS: Did you prepare that map? 18 MS. KERDYK: I did not. 19 MR. BASS: Thank you. 20 MAYOR CASON: Okay. Thank you. 21 Alicia Amaya. 22 COMMISSIONER KEON: I think you need to 23 take it down, though, so people can see. 24 MAYOR CASON: Yeah. 25 MS. FERNANDEZ: Good evening, Mayor,

1 Commissioners. My name is Alicia Fernandez. live, with my daughter, at 6000 Granada 3 Boulevard. I've lived there for the past 31 years. I've lived in the City of Coral Gables for over 50 years. 6 I would like to say that I am very much in favor of the Paseo project, and I look forward to being able to bring my daughter to enjoy the beautiful public amenities. While sincere, I don't believe that the opposition is well recent (sic). It is a beautiful project, that will greatly add value to our neighborhood. Maybe my voice is not the 14. loudest, but I stand here as a proud Coral Gables resident, in support of Paseo de la Riviera. Thank you.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

Roberta Neway.

Jorge Arrizurieta.

MR. ARRIZURIETA: Good evening, Mayor, Members of the Commission. My name is Jorge Arrizurieta. I live at 1118 Placetas Avenue, and I've been a resident since June of 2000. I've been living in this home, with my family, since June of 2000.

I will take this incredibly tastefully designed quality project, with courtyards, paseos and public spaces, along with a neighborhood restaurant and coffee shop I can walk to with my family, instead of the unsightly and unsafe eyesore that the Holiday Inn has been for too many decades.

Another strip retail center, such as its neighbor to the east, or a big box retail operation that can be built on this site as-of-right, would be a very tragic result to this process, both of which are likely outcomes should this project not be approved.

For me, that is the real risk for our neighborhood. Missing the opportunity of a quality project, with a quality Developer, that has gone out of his way to include a neighborhood and adapt their recommendations like I've never seen a Developer do.

This property sits on US-1, across from Metrorail. Be assured that many of the tenants of this building will be mass transit users, others will be UM faculty and executives, as will be a lot of hotel customers. By design,

1 this will not be a full service hotel. It does 2 not have the facilities, like banquet halls, to 3 host big events, like weddings. It's intended to serve the local neighborhood, University of 5 Miami and the surrounding businesses. 6 I believe we must embrace inevitable 7 change. A quality development, that improves the quality of life of the neighborhood and the 9 City, in addition, increases property values. 10 I urge you to reject bogus arguments preaching 11 the contrary. 12 For those worried about traffic, frankly, 13 I'm not sure why some of my neighborhoods chose 14 to buy their homes in an area that sits right 15 off of the Highway --16 MR. LEEN: Time's up. 17 MR. ARRIZURIETA: -- that US-1 has always 18 been and will always be, whether this project 19 is approved or not. 20 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. 21 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 22 Lucien Gordon. 23 MR. GORDON: I'm Lucien Gordon. I live at 24 1020 Andora Avenue. This is not the Italian 25 Riviera or the French Riviera. It is the

Riviera section of Coral Gables. These are your constituents. They voted for you and hope that you push and promote their sentiments.

More than 80 percent of the inhabitants of this section oppose the project for various and sundry reasons that you've heard. If you also want to support another constituent, which is the University of Miami, that's admirable and should be forthcoming. That should be done on the west side of US-1, not on the east, encroaching upon residential areas that will suffer.

There is land west of Granada Avenue, east of the Business School, that can support a lovely hotel and dorms, if that is the view of the future.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir.

MAYOR CASON: Valerie Quemada.

MS. QUEMADA: Good evening. My name is

Valerie Quemada. I reside at 10 Aragon Avenue,
but I'm speaking here on behalf of two clients

of mine, who live in the community or own

property in the community. So I'm just going

to go ahead and read to you the e-mails that I had received.

1.5

The first is at 1265 South Alhambra. "Ike and I discussed this and we believe that this would be a beneficial project, that would enhance property values along US-1. We are confused as to why this new development would create traffic issues. It would certainly create no more than a Holiday Inn would."

The second property address was going to be for 1205 Mariposa Avenue, for Villa Capri, and that's Unit 307.

MR. LEEN: What? It doesn't matter. She can read it, as long as she stays at two minutes.

MAYOR CASON: Go ahead.

MS. QUEMADA: "Dear Commissioners, as a condominium owner in the immediate area, on Mariposa Avenue, I strongly support and urge you to pass the Paseo de la Riviera Development plan.

"I bought my condo ten years ago, when my daughter was a student at the University of Miami. We loved the area when she arrived, and I, therefore, kept it after her graduation.

However, the area has degraded significantly over the last 10 years. Improvements with an eye to the future, not merely replicating the past, is vital to maintaining property values in the area and to enhancing the quality of life for the residents.

"Nationwide, the most successful trend has been pedestrian oriented areas, with multi-use buildings and businesses, which allows for residents and commuters to use public transportation and walking exclusively. Fewer cars. No pollution.

"I look forward to a lovely residence and businessowner area, with beautiful open spaces, and businesses which will best serve the community.

"If we fail to do this now, this area will continue to lose value due to lack of forward thinking vision. This would be irresponsible to your present and future owners and investors.

"Please do what is best for our community and pass the Paseo project."

And that was signed by Michelle Curtin.

And then the first people, if you needed a

1 name, was Connie and Ike Hill. 2 MR. LEEN: Time's up. 3 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. 5 MS. QUEMADA: Thank you. 6 MAYOR CASON: Pat Nolan. 7 MR. NOLAN: Good evening. 8 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Good evening. How are 9 you? 10 MR. NOLAN: Patrick Nolan, 915 South 11 Alhambra Circle. I'm President of the RNA 12 Board, and I'm speaking tonight for me -- also 13 the Board, but mainly for me. 14 Let's step back and examine the current 15 situation that the residents face, the 16 residents of the RNA, the neighborhood. 17 face a project at least three times bigger in 18 height, density and intensity than the current 19 Zoning Laws allow. It's huge. 20 By the way, the current laws -- the current 21 Zoning Laws are as a result of citizen outcry 22 when the IRE building was built. They can 23 build now up to 77 feet, with Mediterranean 24 Bonus, but they can't build a High-Rise.

don't need a High-Rise. We don't need a wall

of concrete going down the US-1 corridor.

gotten this far. The Board of the RNA and I hope that it ends here tonight.

We would embrace a decent sized

It's amazing to me that this project has

development, that fits in size and scope with our neighborhood, not one that overwhelms it.

And I strongly urge you to think seriously about the traffic issues, and just the increase -- just trying to make trailer trucks turn anywhere around Caballero, or now that we've lost one lane, if you try to go to the Bagel Emporium, you're going to have trouble making that turn.

I'd also like to point out, it was mentioned, I think, by Mr. Bass before, that the waterway -- the Mahi Waterway creates a natural break for traffic, so that's not a problem for us.

Well, I would point out that we do have two bridges, one at Riviera and -- excuse me, one at Granada and one at Maynada.

MR. LEEN: Time's up.

MR. NOLAN: That will create traffic problems. Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

Daniel Diaz Leyva.

MR. DIAZ LEYVA: Mr. Mayor, Commissioners and Staff, thank you guys for giving me the opportunity to speak to you today. My wife, Christine, and I recently purchased a home at 1232 Manati Avenue, on June 1st, 2015.

As an owner directly in the line of sight, and one standing to be immediately impacted by the development, I believe it's important to voice my opinion.

I have previously submitted, for the record, a letter in support, dated June 19th.

We learned about the project while we were considering making our purchase. We took the time to learn more about it, from the scope and use, to the traffic impact, and beautification efforts for the neighborhood.

We came to the conclusion that the project will be a positive transformation for the neighborhood and enhance its value. We appreciate that the Developer, MP International, will put in place the necessary traffic calming devices to create flow for the hotel's valet parking, to substantially limit

the impact to the neighborhood.

Additionally, the Developer will build an access point for ingress and egress, to and from US-1 only, for the apartment building.

This should substantially mitigate the concern for increased traffic from the development of the project to the neighborhood.

We also learned that the Developer will implement beautification initiatives to enhance not only Jaycee Park, but Madruga Avenue, which currently appears as an unkempt alley running behind the commercial properties along US-1.

Finally, we look forward to a more urbanized feel to the neighborhood when the project is complete, including having high quality restaurants and cafes walking distance from our home and proximate access to a transit center for Metro and Trolley service. It is for these reasons that we support the project.

I also want to express my gratitude for the manner in which MP International has conducted itself. As the record reflects, multiple public hearings discussing the project, beyond the ordinary course of business, were organized. It's my opinion that MP

International has made every effort to engage

our local area residents most impacted by this

development and the City as a whole.

More importantly, not only did they engage the community, but they also listened, ultimately reducing the height and unit number of the apartment complex in response to the concerns of some of the neighbors.

As a resident, not only of the City of Coral Gables, but one standing to be immediately impacted by the project, I respectfully request that you vote in favor. Thank you for your consideration.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Elsie Miranda.

MS. MIRANDA: Good evening. My name is
Elsie Miranda. I live at 1114 Aduana Avenue.

I've lived in my home since 1992. I am
delighted that we have a democratic process and
I'm able to come here again tonight to speak to
this Commission.

I voted for some of you, and I hope that in the future you continue to have my support and the support of so many other residents in the

City of Coral Gables.

_

I think that what we have heard tonight, almost ad nauseam, is that people want you to uphold the Zoning Code and the expectations that we all have for why we moved into this City.

We differ on opinions about this project, but at the end of the day, the beauty of the project is not in question, but, rather, its appropriateness in the place in which it is being proposed.

And as Tracy Kerdyk said in her presentation, there's an overwhelming amount of money supporting the preservation of our way of life, the integrity and dignity of the mission of the City, and, in general, the future of the City.

So I ask that you oppose this project as it is being proposed, simply because its scale is completely out of scope with reality. Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

Heidi Roth.

MS. ROTH: Hello. My name is Heidi Roth.

I reside at 5105 Granada, and I've been a long

time resident of Coral Gables even before that.

I've spoken at the prior meetings. I've

written letters. And I promised I would not

repeat myself.

So we know the Holiday Inn is coming down.

So we know the Holiday Inn is coming down. This project is such a beautiful replacement. It's something the City needs. It will enhance the whole area. And, you know, the Holiday Inn is really a travesty along US-1, and since I've been in the Gables, I have never said, "Hey, let's go to the Holiday Inn tonight." But you know what, I can see saying that about the Paseo de la Riviera.

I remember one of the prior meetings, and I believe it was the architect, he mentioned the concept of connectivity, and it's really true. This project will connect the community. And I just think it's a wonderful destination place, and would fit in line perfectly with the concept of our City Beautiful. So I'm here to speak in favor of the project. Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Sue Kawalerski.

MR. LEEN: Before she starts, just show

1	Mr. Bass what was provided to the Commission.
2	COMMISSIONER KEON: Here you go. It's a
3	copy of the signatures.
4,	MR. LEEN: Just let him take a look at it.
5	MAYOR CASON: I want to ask, were these
6	signatures introduced before? Is this the
7	first time
8	MS. KAWALERSKI: I can answer that.
9	MAYOR CASON: Okay.
10	MS. KAWALERSKI: By the way, my name is Sue
11	I hope this doesn't count towards my two
12	minutes.
13.	MR. LEEN: Don't start the time until this
14	is clarified.
15	MS. KAWALERSKI: My name is Sue Kawalerski.
16	I'm a resident at 6830 Gratian Street. I am a
17	Board Member of the Riviera Neighborhood
18	Association.
19	MR. LEEN: So before the time starts, just
20	let's clarify this issue.
21	COMMISSIONER KEON: Can you speak to
22	whether or not these had been submitted before?
23	MS. KAWALERSKI: Some had been submitted,
24	and there are also additional new submissions
25	from us

1 MR. LEEN: Okay. Well, we'll talk later 2 about how you have to treat signatures. 3 you can lodge an objection. Do you want to do it now? 4 5 MR. BASS: Yeah. 6 MR. LEEN: Okay. Well, make your 7 objection. 8 I'll lodge the objection that MR. BASS: 9 they are hearsay, and they have been shown to 10 be unreliable. They have not been verified. 11 But I understand that hearsay rules are not 12 strictly applied here. So let me politely 13 preserve my objection, and we can move on. 14 just don't believe it's entitled to any weight 15 whatsoever. 16 MR. LEEN: Okay. 17 Sue. MAYOR CASON: 18 MS. KAWALERSKI: Thank you, Commission, 19 Mayor, Vice Mayor, Commissioners, City Manager, 20 City Attorney. Thank you, again, for this 21 opportunity to address this very, very 22 important situation. It is very vital -- your 23 vote is very vital tonight in the future of our 24

I'm going to present some evidence tonight

City.

for you, evidence that you've already seen -some of which you've already seen. Part of the
evidence I want to re-introduce tonight is the
Visioning Workshop Report, the summary of the
report, which states, as you are all familiar
with by this time, two days' worth of sessions
that the residents have had as a result of
Commissioner Keon and Commissioner Lago getting
us all together in visioning our neighborhood.

The results of this survey say that what the residents want for this neighborhood is Low-Rise. It's in the summary. It's evident throughout this entire Visioning Report, that I'm sure you're familiar with.

Commissioner Slesnick also had almost 400 people attend a Biltmore Hotel session, also another kind of Visioning. In her recent journal, it also describes the commonality of the comments of the residents who attended the session, almost 400 residents. Some of them include, overdevelopment and Zoning Variances will destroy the quality of life, and that's what we're here for tonight.

We're here to talk about the Zoning variances, the Land Use, the destruction,

basically, of our current Code, for the sake of
a Paseo project, in a residential area.

And the residential area that I'm addressing tonight can be seen partially on this map. I wish I had a bigger map. I wish I had more homes on here, because Riviera includes more than the homes just on this map.

But this map represents something that needs to be said. And let me explain the methodology of obtaining the red, the green and the white on this map.

There was literally an army of residents in the Riviera neighborhood, that went out and spent hours, spent weekends, rainy days, knocking on residents' doors, not to shove a petition in their face, to have them sign a petition, but, rather, to inform them about the project that they did not know about, and after all of those many hours --

MR. LEEN: Time's up.

MS. KAWALERSKI: -- and many knocks on the door, 94 percent -- 94 percent of the surveyed homes, said, "We do not want this Paseo project in our neighborhood."

MR. LEEN: Okay. Time is up. It speaks for

. 20

1 itself. It says it. It says it. I think it's in the record. 3 MS. KAWALERSKI: Right, and homes surveyed 4 were 548, not 30, not 50, but a significant 5 number. 6 MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, the time is up. 7 MAYOR CASON: Time is up, Sue. 8 MS. KAWALERSKI: We hope you consider this 9 in your decision. 10 MR. LEEN: The time is up. 11 MS. KAWALERSKI: The residents should be 12 your only consideration --13 MR. LEEN: The time is up. 14 MS. KAWALERSKI: -- for your decision. 15 Thank you. 16 MAYOR CASON: Steve Diener. 17 MR. DIENER: My name is Steve Diener, and I 18 live at 10 Aragon Avenue. And it's almost 19 funny, I'm mirroring what the last few people 20 said, and without being factitious. 21 looking at this in a whole different way. 22 I almost wonder why this meeting is taking 23 place tonight, because I would assume -- and I 24 know some of the people -- the research that 25 they did, you know, on the street, the whole

thing, probably has less variances than your mathematical calculations is based on. They had no ulterior motives.

Now, what I don't understand, if that is true, how 94 percent of the people, who have everything they own in these homes, and it's their primary residences -- we're not talking about factories down in Homestead. We're not talking Oil Well Tax Shelters. We are changing the lives of people who told you, almost to a man, they don't want it.

And I don't understand, like if doing this construction meant like we had a health problem or an environmental problem. Coral Gables will live with the Holiday Inn or without the Holiday Inn. And what you have here -- you know, I've moved around. So, you know, I've -- you have a very compact demographic in this town. They're not stupid people.

So when this 94 percent says, "We came here for our way of life," maybe that's what this whole place was about. And the people who don't like it, maybe they get in their car and go 15 miles up the road to another community.

But I don't see how you don't get past the

facts. How can we decide -- if I was sitting here, how do you decide on a thousand homes, which may represent three and a half -- you know, 3.5, 4,000 people, and maybe close to, I think, with the stuff that wasn't, you know, calculated, maybe close to three quarters of a billion worth of assets. How did this happen?

And I'll tell you one last thing before I leave, because, as you can see, I'm emotional about this, it sounds like someone did something enormous, and, then, as a strategy, decided to negotiate it down. You know what I'm saying.

Oh, put 40 stories, 100 stories, and, you know, we'll fit it in with 25. I've heard a lot of this in the halls here.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

MR. DIENER: The results. I'm only one guy. Okay, I'm gone. But you got a message. You've got a whole community that you're voting against.

MR. LEEN: Time is up. Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Debra Register. Debra.

Stuart Rich.

Oh, coming.

.11

MS. REGISTER: Hi, my name is Debra

Register. I live at 1240 Placetas Avenue, and
I purchased my home in October of 1984. My
husband and I own a CPA practice, and we've
been practicing in the Gables since 1988.

I am against this project for various reasons. One is the traffic, which everybody says is not a problem.

We moved our office to Santona Corners. I cannot access US-1, in the morning, when I go to the office, and go out Caballero. I'm one of the ones that cuts through the neighborhood, goes down to Maynada, cross the bridge to South Alhambra, and then to Santona, to get to my office three blocks away on US-1.

So whatever the traffic study says, we can find a way that's quicker. So that's the first thing.

The next one is the parking situation.

Right now UM has the old BMI building or IRE.

They park in our streets. They don't have enough parking as it is. And with the Underline, it's going to take all of the parking that they now use. So where are they going to go? They're going to go to our roads.

1 This particular building is going to bring 2 more cars. If there's not enough parking for 3 the residents or the students whoever may live there or whatever, they're going to, again, be 4 5 on our streets. 6 This project has an entrance to a 7 commercial building right across from a park. 8 We have no sidewalk. We walk our dogs. 9 walk their children. And my brother-in-law is 10 one of the tragedies that had a head-on 11 collision at the corner of Caballero and 12 Hardee. Luckily, he lived, but he is now 13 disabled. 14 So I am against this project for many 15 reasons. 16 MR. LEEN: Time's up. 17 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 18 Stuart Rich. 19 MR. RICH: How much time do I have? 20 MAYOR CASON: Two minutes. 21 MR. RICH: Two minutes. More than I thought. 22 My name is Stuart Rich, R-I-C-H, address 23 1222 Aduana Avenue, and I have a little more 24 time than I had figured, but, unfortunately,

I'm going to have to sort of focus on the

neighborhood, if you'd would like, but if you listen to the proponents, and they're all here, and you dig under the surface just a little bit, you're going to find out who they really are, and who they represent. And it's not the neighborhood. It's the Developers, planners, architects, designers, lawyers, lobbyists, consultants and others seeking favor from the above-mentioned.

And my advice, for myself and everybody else, pay attention to the 94 percent. Trust me. I walk around the neighborhood. I never hear anybody that says they think this is a great idea, okay.

Just to clarify, and I won't use all of my time here, but the question floated around before about whether you can see the UM tower from Aduana. Well, yes, I open my front door, that's exactly what I see, the UM building. And it's not pretty, and this is not going to block that, regardless of what they do.

And just to make matters even worse, about three-quarters of the way up the building is a neon sign, with a green and orange letter on

it, which is on from dusk to dawn, and it's just -- it's time we got some sanity back into the neighborhood.

This whole project is ridiculously oversized. Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

Sandra Levinson.

MS. LEVINSON: My name is Sandra Levinson.

I live at 918 Alfonso Avenue, and we've owned

our home since 1989. I'm here as a resident of

the area surrounding Paseo de la Riviera.

Our residents have undertaken the very difficult task of trying to convince City Hall what's good for the neighborhood. We've had to fight high priced attorneys, attorneys that we, as residents, paid for, at 50 bucks a whack. Our help has been from our worker bees, who go out and spend hours, for someone else to say that we've submitted fraudulent evidence, that we haven't knocked on a thousand doors, which we have. I know we have. We all know the drill.

A Developer puts a piece of property under contract, hires a very reputable architect, and wants what is the absolute maximum permitted to

et Total

1 be built on that property. 2 I will also say, too, that our traffic 3 engineer, that testifies quite often, always comes out on the side of the Developer. 5 Oh, I have so much more, that I can't say 6 it. That's it. That's enough. 7 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 8 MR. LEEN: Thank you. 9 MAYOR CASON: Chris Zoller. Is he here? 10 Chris Zoller. 11 Amanda Rich. 12 Chris, you're up. 13 MR. ZOLLER: It's hard to hear you out 14 there, Mr. Mayor. 15 Good evening, Commissioners, Mr. Mayor, 16 City Manager. My name is Christopher Zoller. 17 I reside at 900 Bayamo Avenue. I've lived 18 there since 1991. 19 There's no doubt in my mind that something 20 better than the Holiday Inn has to go on this 21 property. Unfortunately, in my opinion, the 22 proposed project is way too big. I believe, at 23 the First Reading, some of the Commissioners 24 asked for a reduction in the size and the scope 25 and the density of this project from the

Developers upon their return.

. 12

. 13

1.4

. 1.5

I was not able to attend any of the mediation meetings, but I understand that there was no concessions made. If there have been any made recently, they're probably too small, at least in my opinion.

There's a couple of fallacies about this project. As I said, I've lived in the neighborhood since 1991. I see a great deal of activity from the University of Miami students. They don't walk across US-1. If they want to go to CVS, they get in their cars and they drive over. If they wanted to go to Miami's Best Pizza, which we all dearly miss, they drive there. If they want to go to Starbucks, they drive there and take up the parking lot, and sit there for hours so other people can't get in. They don't walk anywhere.

Secondly, this project is not near or in decent walking distance to any Metrorail station. So how you can call it a pedestrian friendly or, you know, mass transit friendly development, I can't imagine, because you will not see hoards of University of Miami students walking to the Metrorail station. You won't

even see their parents, who stay in the hotel,
walking to the station.

And, lastly, there is absolutely no evidence whatsoever that there will be any high end restaurant or cafe on the property. There is nothing that says that if you build it, they will come. We have a lot of vacancies in this town already, where restaurants could and should be, but they're not here.

I just think that, ladies and gentlemen, this project is too big for the size of the property, and for its location. I urge you to once again ask the Developer to come back with a more reasonable plan. Thank you very much.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you. Henry Pinera.

MR. PINERA: Hi, my name is Henry Pinera.

I live at 1215 Aduana. I have spoken with you guys before. I would like to apply for the double points, because I am in the thousand foot radius, so I know that, that was a concern. If I can get the double points, all the better.

Despite the new math that Mr. Hernandez tried to introduce, since the First Reading, the Developer has not scaled down the project

in any significant way.

1.3

1.5

The reduction in 16 apartments from the last reading represents a less than seven percent reduction in the apartment count, and a 3.3 percent reduction in the total number of units associated with both towers. That's how much has changed from the First Reading.

I want to get on the record that the current -- with the current Site Specific Zoning, a big box retailer would not be commercially viable. As someone else pointed out, effectively, a big box retailer would be limited to around 47,000 square feet. I think the other gentleman for the project said 46,000 feet. The average size of Costco, BJ's, Target and Home Depot are all over a hundred thousand feet. A big box retailer, limited to this square footage, would not be a commercially viable option, unless you, the Commissioners, vote to make it happen.

With the current Zoning in place, the real limiting factor for development becomes the parking associated with the development, and that acts as a bottleneck. So unless you guys vote for the changes, the Mixed-Use Plan and

7 the PAD, a big box retailer is not commercially 2 viable in this space. 3 Something that might be more viable would 4 be something along the lines of a Publix. I 5 just wanted to -- I want to get that on the 6 record. Please ignore this false choice and drive 8 the Developer toward a more reasonable project. 9 MR. LEEN: Time's up. 10 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 1.1 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir. 12 MAYOR CASON: Gigi Citarella. 13 This was the last person that had submitted 14 a card. 15 MS. CITARELLA: Hello. Thank you for 16 having us here this evening and giving us an 17 opportunity to speak. My name is Giqi Citarella. I live at 1225 Aduana Avenue. 18 19 one of those homes that is within the 20 perimeter. I see the UM building. I see the 21 lights. I see the green and the orange every 22 night from my backyard and my pool. 23 This is not really about what I see or 24 don't see with the lights. I grew up in 25 Kendall, and my dream growing up in Kendall was

to be able to live in Coral Gables, because

Coral Gables represents Commissioners and

government which protects the people. Right

now Dadeland is a crazy place to live.

Brickell is a crazy place to live.

When I bought my home here 10 years ago, I was hoping that I would have a community that's going to project my rights as a homeowner. My property taxes would be lowered -- my property -- sorry, I don't like to speak, but I have the urge to speak, because I think that what's happening here is not appropriate for our neighborhood.

I was one of the people that walked door to door, in the sun, in the rain, in my weekends.

My daughter, who is 10 years old, helped me do some of the coloring on that map, when I told her, "Color the red, color the green."

I'm going to tell you something, my
daughter sat with me -- Sunday nights, after
church, we went to Chicken Kitchen. I bought
drive thru, and I went door to door to speak to
all of the representatives in our neighborhood,
all of the homeowners and all of the people in
our neighborhood, who are opposed to this

1 project.

The reason we're opposed to this project is because it is too dense. It is too intense.

It is too much for our neighborhood. My daughter, as it is, barely is able to ride bike, barely is able to walk the streets to our neighbor's house, because of the traffic as it is.

When I leave Caballero, the traffic is intense. Mariposa now, the traffic is intense, because of that overpass that's going to be built.

Frankly, we are not a walking community anyways. We are -- my daughter is in a school. I'm not going to take her on Metromover to the car. I use my car. The University of Miami students are going to use their car. I'm going to use my car.

I'm asking you to do your job. I'm asking you to do the right thing for the residents.

The residents have spoken. I worked on that map. It is not hearsay. It is something that I worked on with other members, and we walked, rain or shine. Homeowners invited us to the house. Many of them did not know of the

1 project, and many of them are not in favor of 2 the project. 3 Please vote in favor of the residents, the homeowners. Thank you. 5 MAYOR CASON: Thank you very much. 6 All right. Everybody that wanted to speak 7 has spoken and have gotten two minutes each. 3 So that ends the public input. We're going to 9 have a five-minute break and then ask Tucker 10. Gibbs to come back for his up to 30 minutes, 11 and then we will go into --12 MR. LEEN: And we'll hear from the Applicant. 13 MAYOR CASON: We're going to try to finish 14 the presentations by 8:00, so that we can 15 deliberate and be out of here by 9:00. 16 MR. LEEN: Mr. Gibbs will speak for 30 17 minutes, and the Applicant will speak for 15 18 minutes. 19 MAYOR CASON: Right. 20 (Short recess taken.) 21 MAYOR CASON: Everyone, please come and 22 have a seat, so we can continue. Everybody, 23 please have a seat. We're just waiting for the 24 City Manager and the City Attorney to come back 25 in.

1 Okay. Craig, will you, again, go over 2 what's going to happen next? 3 MR. LEEN: Yes. At this time, for 30 minutes, we're going to hear from Mr. Tucker 4 5 Gibbs, and he's going to be presenting 6 evidence, and, I believe, an expert. 7 Also, I would just remind Mr. Gibbs, we are 8 incorporating the entire First Reading into 9 this hearing. So that evidence has been 10 presented. But you have the same opportunity 11 as the Applicant to present again. 12 At that point, the Applicant will receive a 13 15-minute rebuttal. And then the matter comes 14 to the Commission. You're allowed to ask 15 either side questions, obviously, and then --16 MAYOR CASON: Let me, for the record, say 17 that we had 87 people that spoke, and I want to 18 thank all but one person for being very civil, 19 non-threatening, in addressing the issues, 20 which is important. 21 I don't think it does anybody any help for 22 their cause when they come and start 23 threatening the Commission. 24 Mr. Gibbs.

MR. GIBBS: My name is Tucker Gibbs.

Му

office address is 3835 Utopia Court, and I represent the Riviera Neighborhood Association, and tonight I'm going to be followed by Mr. Mark Alvarez, who is our expert witness as to the Planning and Zoning aspect of this project.

As you know, my client objects to this application which abuts a long established low density residential neighborhood, and our position stance for future development along US-1, in Coral Gables, and that is a problem.

And what we want to talk about tonight,

also -- this is not about design and

architecture. This is about protecting -- this

is about good planning and the proper

application of Zoning Regulations. And that's

what you all are deciding tonight.

You are looking at this issue as a Planning and Zoning issue, in terms of the Land Use changes, in terms of the Zoning Code, in terms of the MXD, in terms of the PAD proposals that are before you.

Now, this is also about protecting the Coral Gables quality of life, and that's something you heard about tonight. Coral

Gables is a special place. There is a Coral Gables quality of life, and this neighborhood exemplifies it, and the quality of life that these neighbors of this property expected when they bought their homes in here.

This application, as revised by the Applicant, is fraught with issues that warrant its denial and it's unclear -- Number One, it's unclear exactly what is being proposed. The original application that was put on file with the City of Coral Gables presented a very clear picture of the proposed development. In particular, it showed the basis of the parking calculations, including the specific uses, the spaces required and provided, and the square footage for each use.

The revisions, the latest revisions, do not provide this information. And you can't extrapolate from the original application and the original plans, to these plans, exactly what the square footage is, because it has changed. And Mr. Alvarez will speak to that issue.

The revisions do not provide, for example, all of this information regarding the spaces

required, specific uses provided, and the square footage for each use. And it makes it impossible to verify the generalized information in the revised plans. And I'll give you just one example.

1.5

The revised plans show on -- I don't know what pages it is -- it says here, "Parking spaces 636," and it has a double asterisks next to it. It's in the Zoning information. And then you look at the double asterisks, and it says, "Through shared parking."

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Tucker, excuse me. What exhibit are you looking at, if don't mind?

MR. GIBBS: I think it's Exhibit H. Ramon
-- it's H and it's the last page of Exhibit H.
Sorry, I don't have the exhibit numbers on
here.

So it says, "Use of shared parking," but there's no explanation, no basis for showing the basis of that shared parking, and I can find no basis in the Code. There's no provision in the Code relating to shared parking. And I asked Mr. Trias, and he explained to me that there is something, I guess, in the pipeline, that is a shared

parking ordinance of some kind, I think, that
may be coming up sometime in the future, but
the fact is, I want to know how this Commission
can approve a project that includes a
calculation of parking, that takes part of it
as shared parking, which doesn't even exist.

You all are going to be voting on a project, with a parking count that is based on something that you all don't do. You may do it in the future, after a public hearing, when people will get up and speak to it, but you're not doing it now. So I don't understand how this project can go forward, because it's incomplete. The parking numbers don't match.

MR. LEEN: Do you want me to respond or do you want me to wait?

MAYOR CASON: And I think we can ask Ramon Trias to come back and address that when --

MR. LEEN: Do you want me to wait or do you want me to respond?

MR. GIBBS: No, I'd like you to respond to it.

MR. LEEN: Well, you know, as I mentioned in the First Reading, it's because of the PAD Ordinance.

MR. GIBBS: So the PAD Ordinance, you can

create basically an ordinance through a PAD? 1 MR. LEEN: If the Commission makes the 3 appropriate findings. MAYOR CASON: It was done for the Agave 5 project. And it's done -- and it meets 6 MR. LEEN: the equivalent needs of the Zoning Code and 8 it's done for a purpose and they find it's in 9 the best interest of the City. 10 MAYOR CASON: We'll have Mr. Trias talk to 11 that later. 12 MR. LEEN: I thought part of the purpose of 13 that was to reduce the height, which was one of 1.4 the goals. 15 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Mr. Mayor, since 16 this is a timed talk, would you like Ramon to 17 talk after or how do you want to do that? 18 MAYOR CASON: Let's talk afterwards. 19 put that on your list. 20 MR. LEEN; I just want you to be aware of 21 what the reason is and then you can respond to 22 that. 23 MR. GIBBS: I understand. And for that 24 reason, I won't go any further, but I still 25 think it's improper, and it's ad hoc -- it's ad hoc legislation, based on a Site Specific

project, and I understand the whole issue of

the PAD district, but as we said at the last

hearing, I've got a fundamental disagreement

MR. LEEN: I understand.

with your interpretation on it.

MR. GIBBS: Okay. And I'm glad it's being -- all of that is incorporated into the record tonight.

MR. LEEN: It is.

MR. GIBBS: Okay. The application, also, is inconsistent with numerous goals, objectives and policies -- as stated in Mr. Alvarez's revised and amended analysis -- that you all have in front of you, that Mr. Alvarez prepared and it's in your record.

The elimination of the Site Specific provisions in the context of the revised application also eliminates neighborhood protection from large massive, dense and intense projects, such as this, and those Site Specific provisions are being proposed to be eliminated for this particular project.

The PAD, as proposed, properly waives, as I said last time, Zoning Code provisions

regarding height, setbacks and step backs. The removal of the protected step back and setback provisions negatively impacts the adjacent residential neighborhood, and that's even in your City Staff report.

Your Staff report specifically says the Paseo impacts the adjacent neighborhood, in terms of its development, and there's some issues in there, some solutions that they propose, which basically say, keep the rear setback and step back.

It is more, the project at 86 dwelling units an acre, which does not include the hotel or the extended stay facility, is too dense. It is more than the density permitted in Multi-Family High Density, and in that Land Use category, which is 60 to 75 dwelling units an acre. And approval of this project compounds the mistake, as you've heard tonight, made in the 1970s, by the City, when it approved the Gables One, the IRE tower.

For all of these reasons, we oppose this particular project, as it has been presented to you tonight.

And one of things I did want to talk to

you, and it's the first page in Exhibit H, is this project. This project has 141 feet for the hotel, 122 feet six inches for the apartments and the Paseo.

1.1

This Commission said, and maybe I'm getting old and I didn't hear right, but I think I did hear right, that you wanted a substantial decrease. The City Staff, even though my group disagreed with it, said 120, and I heard the number 120 from this Commission. "Reduce the size to 120."

This has not been reduced to 120. 141 or 122 does not equal 120. It doesn't even average out to 120. But the point was, a building here or two towers that were 120. Not that my clients agree to 120. But I'm what I saying is, they never even reached that point, and that's a concern.

But we support a scaled down version of this project, based on the following: This property should not have a High-Rise Commercial Land Use. That's the most intense Commercial Land Use in the Comprehensive Plan, and that is a critical issue for my clients. Why is it a critical issue? Because it's the foot in the

door.

You approve a commercial High-Rise

Intensity for this -- or Land Use for this

piece of property, and what about the shopping

center? What are they going to say, when they

come in and want to put in something with a

Commercial High-Rise Land Use, which allows for

up to 190, even though you all have limited the

height under that to, let's say, 97 feet, which

is what the height is for that intense Land Use

category -- that Mid-Rise Intense Land Use

category. That is the problem. So that's the

first thing, Mid-Rise.

New urbanism and smart growth principles say the appropriate height in areas around transit stations, such as the UM station, should be up to eight stories. And Mr. Bass said, "Well, transect has nothing to do with Coral Gables."

Absolutely right. They have nothing to do with Coral Gables, except if you're looking at how new urbanism, which everybody was spouting at the peer review and at the visioning process, when it suited them, they cited all of these concepts, so we're going to talk about

the concepts.

Miami 21, which is the excellent example of a new urbanism and smart growth Zoning Code -forget about what it's called, transect or
whatever -- it establishes an eight-story
maximum across US-1 from their Metrorail
stations, except for the Vizcaya station,
because there's a park across the street from
the Vizcaya station.

The South Miami Zoning Code doesn't call it transect, but they have a TOD district, and it's an eight-story maximum. I know, because I represent clients there all of the time, and my latest client was two weeks ago, for a development in the TOD district, eight stories, and that's with a bonus. Is not eight stories as-of-right.

Entities that support the implementation of Transit Oriented Districts recommend that subzoning districts around transit stops, such as the UM station, should allow structures with height between three and eight stories, and that's also important.

The FTOD TOD guidelines say, four to seven stories. The TOD Institute, which -- at the

last hearing, you all heard all about the TOD

Institute and how it gave this project an

award. But you know what that TOD Institute

also says, their guideline say, for height,

three to eight stories. That's what their

guidelines say.

So, yeah, it's a pretty project. We don't disagree that it's a pretty project. It's just too big.

The neighborhood deserves protection.

Historically this City has recognized this when it adopted the Site Specific Regulations, after the Gables One tower was built. This proposal eliminates those protections and eliminates setback protections in the Code.

They say that that's okay, because they're giving amenities back to the City. My clients live in this neighborhood. They're concerned with this project's impact on their neighborhood, and amenity doesn't do it. What does it is going to be the height, the setbacks, and the intensity, and the density all being substantial reduced.

So here is what my clients would support.

My clients would support a change in the Land

Use Map from Low-Rise to Mid-Rise Commercial Land Use. And that's 70 feet in height, with 97 feet, with a Mediterranean Bonus, providing eight stories of habitable space. Delete the Site Specific Regulations, because we believe that certain PAD conditions that we support would help the neighborhood and would be able to protect the neighborhood.

We'd approve the MXD request, except for the requested setbacks, step back and height relief. When I say, "The height relief," I mean, the relief on the back end of the project, relating to the 45 feet or 40 feet or I think it was 42 that was mentioned tonight.

Approve the PAD, except for, again, setback, step back and height waivers, with the setbacks to accomodate a 15-fcot pedestrian path on Caballero and Madruga. And the numbers need to be crunched a little bit, because, I know, for example, on Caballero, there's a great deal of public right-of-way, and the public right-of-way may be used for that, but the bottom line is, the Paseo is not the only access from US-1 into the neighborhood.

If you want to talk about pedestrian

connectivity, you want the 15-foot sidewalk on Caballero and on Madruga, as well. The setbacks to accommodate a 20-foot pedestrian path on US-1.

Now, they've added some and took off some, so we'll figure out the numbers there, but the bottom line is, you need a 15-foot sidewalk and you need five extra feet for the utilities, because we all know what happens with utility poles. They all get stuck in the middle of a sidewalk and render sidewalks virtually useless.

We'd also ask that the 45-foot height at Madruga, the 100-foot step back to towers on Madruga, that also is what the City Staff has recommended, and we do support that.

We also like -- oh, excuse me, I forgot one thing. Limiting the FAR to 2.6, which would be consistent with the reduction in height that we've proposed. We'd ask for a traffic circle at Hardee Road and Giralda Avenue. We'd ask for the proposed configuration of the two towers, with the Paseo, remain. We have no problem with the Paseo. We have no problem with the two towers. It's the height, and it's

1 the intensity.

1.4

And, finally, we believe — and we also would say, if there's no construction within a reasonable period, let's say, 18 months, the property would revert back to its original Zoning, because if this project isn't approved, if this project is just sitting there with an approval on it, that says a lot about this community, and it says that this thing is just sitting there. We want it to go back to its original Zoning, which protects the neighborhood.

We believe this compromise will provide the best balance between the Developer's desire to maximize its development opportunity and the neighbors' desire to protect their neighborhood. And we understand this. And we urge you to approve the project based on the proposed compromised conditions.

One thing I would like to add, that I should have added in the beginning, I had two responses to a couple of issues that were brought up by Mr. Bass and by some of the people who spoke.

Mr. Bass started off by talking about

Florida Statute 163.3167, which deals with referendum. And I get it, you all are elected officials. You understand referenda and you understand elections. This isn't a referendum. This isn't an election. That has nothing to do with your decision. And to bring something like that up, I think, does nothing more than muddy the waters.

1.3

In addition, the big myth -- I call this
the big myth -- this is, "Oh, my God, you're
going to put a BJ's here. You're going to put
a Walmart here. You're going to put a Home
Depot here." As-of-right is more than just a
drawing. It's more than a line on an
elevation. Yes, it's subject to City
Regulations, like height, setbacks, step backs,
FAR, density, landscape and open space. All of
those deal with what you can actually put on a
piece of property.

But it's also subject to parking requirements. Nobody wants to talk about that. I know Mr. Pinera brought it up, but the fact is, and it's true, parking limits development many times more than FAR, more than height and than setbacks, because it depends on the use

you put in there.

And we asked Mr. Alvarez to put together a list of exactly what could go on that property, without -- with surface parking, without underground parking, because I have yet to see a BJ's, a Home Depot or a Walmart have underground parking, because it's all about the money for them. They're not going to be buying expensive pieces of property and digging into the ground to build that kind of infrastructure.

So an as-of-right, under the Site Specific, under the current Land Use right now, with no City Commission approval, on the ground floor, you could fit 47,250 square feet of retail.

You could have -- on Level 2, you'd have 47,250 square feet of parking, which probably would provide 149 spaces. Level 3, you'd have 38,400 square feet of office. The FAR would be point -- zero point seven four. The front parking, with the 125-foot setback, would be able to fit 132 spaces. The rear parking, because there's a 50-foot setback, would fit 36 spaces. That's what you can fit on that property.

You want to dig a hole, you want to spend the money? Mr. Hernandez talked about that, when he was asked that. "Why didn't the Paseo dig a hole and have underground parking?"

He said, "You'd hit the water table."
That's the concern.

So when we talk about as-of-right projects, understand, it isn't just a picture saying, "Here's the height. Here's the FAR. Here's the Mediterranean Bonus."

Mediterranean Bonus doesn't give you parking. It doesn't give you parking. So you're not going to be able to do a lot of that here.

So when my client gets up and says, "It's 45 feet," yeah, that's about all that can be built right now, is 45 feet.

So when we talk about this proposal, this eight stories, this 97 feet, my clients are giving up. They're going from 45 feet to 97 feet. That is a compromise. They won't say it, but that's the fact. And that's why we think that this compromise provides something for everybody, and it's a fair balance.

Thank you very much.

1 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. 2 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 3 MR. LEEN: So, now, sir, have you been sworn in? 5 MR. ALVAREZ: No, I haven't. 6 MR. LEEN: Okay. Could you swear him in? 7 This is the expert witness for Mr. Gibbs. 8 (Thereupon, Mark Alvarez was sworn.) 9 MR. ALVAREZ: Yes, I do. 10 For the record, my name is Mark Alvarez, 11 address 3109 Grand Avenue, Miami, Florida. 12 Good evening, Mr. Mayor and Commission. 13 you for spending this much time. 14 I have a presentation. I'm not going to 15 use it. I think I don't have the time anymore 16 to do that. So I'm going to focus -- you have 17 the presentation. You have the report. 18 going to just focus on a few comments. 19 The report -- and I want to address a 20 little bit of what Mr. Bass said. 21 The report is -- most of the report is 22 actually to a legislative matter, it's to the 23 Comprehensive Plan, which is the biggest and 24 most important recommendation that I have for 25 the Mid-Rise instead of High-Rise. And as

quantitative reasoning and objective and fair balanced reasoning to answer three questions.

One, should we increase the density, intensity and height of this site. Two, if we should, how much. And, Three, if we should, how much, until it affects the quality of life and the expectations of the neighborhood. That's what that report did.

And everything is relevant, because it's to a legislative matter, and I'm simply trying to provide you all of the information possible, wherever it's from, all of the best practices, so that you can make that decision.

We spoke last time about that, and we went through most of the report, and I have a few additions this time.

We talked about how the Paseo then, and still is now, would be the most massive project in its context. We talked about Merrick's vision. The reason why Merrick's vision came up is because the Applicant brought it up in support of their project.

And the 1930 Height Plan, if you're going to use that to support it, you have to use it

exactly. Yes, it says 150 feet along US-1, but it says that only goes back 125 feet, not for the rest of the project. So if you want to use the 1930 Height Plan, that's the way you have

to look at it.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We talked about the peer review, which really doesn't say anything. The Applicant had some statements, some aspirational statements about density and intensity. The community workshop, the Red Road/Sunset/US-1 Workshop and the Riviera Neighborhood Workshop, both of those have not been adopted by you. understand that. However, they're not plebiscites. Those are processes to create consensus. They were consensus building processes. And they were to inform you -- both of them were performed for the Commission. They were to inform you of what the answers are for development along US-1 and along the corridors. And they came out with the answers of Low-Rise, in the case of the most recent one, five floors, and the Riviera Neighborhood Workshop was a little more agreeable. consensus, at the end of that, was seven stories, along the fronts of the commercial

corridor, tapering back to four stories, as it went in towards the neighborhood.

We talked about smart growth, because it came up in the peer review. It came up in a number of places. And I discussed with you that it would be T5, and T5 is a six-story area.

Fair enough, transects don't count, that's not your Zoning Code. Staff asked me, in a written memo, to answer a question. They said, "Well, what about when we look at Miami 21," which is the living implementation of this new smart growth, "Don't we go higher next to a Metrorail station?"

And the answer is, indeed, yes. Throughout Miami, Miami 21 goes to T6-8. It does go one step up. But eight means it's eight stories. They only go to the 80, 60 and 36 stories in the zones in the Downtown area. So only at the center of the region, not the center of the City, but the center of the region, the center of the transit system, does it go higher. The rest is T6-8, except for the Douglas Road Station. Why? Which is 12 stories.

12 stories for Douglas Road, because

Douglas Road is in the Industrial District.

It's surrounded by Merrick Park -- the Village of Merrick Park, by parking. It doesn't really

have much influence.

Also, the 12 stories at Douglas Road are right adjacent to the station. They're not across US-1. They're not a quarter of a mile away. They are right there, at the station. And that's important, because I presented to you evidence from the Florida Department of Transportation, which researched the issue of Transit Oriented Development, and there are four basic things.

First is about the transit itself and having connections and other modes of transit to connect to.

Second, it was good pedestrian design not only at a site level, but also at a level, also, that is continuous to the station. It doesn't do you any good if there's a gap. And Elizabeth Plater-Zyberk talked about that in the peer review. She had a lot of statements and a lot of concerns about the connectivity. Even though this is good, we still have to connect to the station.

1.5

The Mixed-Use, there has to be Mixed-Use and it has to be vertical. It, too, has to be continuous. In other words, you can't have a Mixed-Use here, nothing going on, and then the station. It has to be planned as a corridor.

And, finally, density, intensity and height. And with that, all of the guidelines, even the TOD Institute in Washington, D.C. used the same method, we have to identify the type of station -- in other words, where it is on the regional system -- and then we look at where the development is, in the transit area.

And Mr. Bass is right. There are two rings. Actually, there are three. There's a quarter mile ring, a half mile ring, and a one mile ring. But in this type of station area, which they refer to as a neighborhood station area, the core is where we have more intensity. If you were Downtown, in that area, then the whole station area should be more intense, but in this area, only the quarter mile should be more intense.

And, further, that the intensity -- and the books talk about this -- should be -- it can be a little more right at the station, and then it

should taper off a little bit, as you go out.

Why? Because there has to be compatibility

3 with the neighborhoods around, the obvious

reason, but also another reason, because you

need continuity, continuity of development, and

6 they talk about this in the DOT.

Smart development and new urbanism talks about this idea, that if you absorb too much market in one place, you have a gap, at least in the five to ten-year timespan, and you can't have a gap. Otherwise, it doesn't work.

So for continuity and the neighborhoods, it does taper off, and you don't try to implement the most density and intensity you can. You try to control it at the right level.

So with that, the DOT guidelines from DOT state a range of 36 to 65 dwelling units per acre. And to answer Mr. Bass' question, they talk about an FAR of four to six. I didn't talk about FAR very much. And the reason why is, One, this project is primarily residential, but the bigger reason is that residential density is throughout this nation, and in any jurisdictions, they do it pretty much consistently; it's residential units -- whether

you include hotel or not, residential units per acre -- gross acres, sometimes net acres.

That's it. It's a pretty good standard to use and apply, whether it's from Washington or the whole State of Florida, wherever.

But FAR, as many Zoning Codes are there are, there's half as many ways to count FAR. Some cities include the parking. Some cities include every bit of floor area. Some don't include parking. Some have the stairways, some don't. Some include the lobby, some don't.

So FAR is a very confusing metric, and that's why I didn't really talk about it much. I used the density, because it gets us there, when we compare something from the guidelines.

The TOD Institute also had consistent -when the same analysis was done through their
guide books, came out to 20 to 100 units an
acre, an their FAR was 1.0 to 4 was appropriate
for this area, that kind of range. Same issue,
but comparing FARs is very difficult.

But the density, you get from 65 -- and I didn't recommend anywhere in the middle of the range. I took the top of the range in density. I said, 65 to 100. And 65 units an acre is a

seven-story building. One floor of retail,
about two-and-a-half, actually, of parking, and
three of residential.

100 units an acre, that half goes away, and we have one floor of retail, about three of parking and four of residential.

So it comes down to seven or eight stories.

And that's based on approximately what the

Paseo has, about a 1,200 to 1,500 gross square

feet per unit, and about 75 percent coverage,

with 25 percent open space.

So at the end of the day, we come out to the same answer coming up everywhere, every place we looked, it's about five to eight stories.

And so -- I'm running out of time -
Mr. Gibbs talked about -- he only told you the
recommendations. I'll just go through the most
important one, which is to implement an eight
stories maximum, so that we don't absorb the
market, so that we do create something for
continuity, so that we do control it for the
neighborhood, and that means that the Land Use
Amendment needs to be Mid-Rise, and it's very
important, because Mr. Hernandez talked about

1.1.

100 feet; not 100 feet, 97. That's the one that gets us there, because if we go to High-Rise, it's 150 or 190.

We need Mid-Rise Commercial Density, which is a 97-foot limit, which is a very generous limit for eight floors.

And, lastly, there were some comments made about the as-of-right. The as-of-right -- the RNA did ask me to make a calculation. It wasn't supposed to be part of my presentation, but I'll give you the basic rundown on it.

Mr. Gibbs told you already, every property is constrained by one of three things, density or intensity, the setbacks and the height, or the parking.

And on small properties, 2.6 acres or 2.7, it's going to be the parking, for commercial, especially. The most you could get there, with the regulations, the as-of-right -- as-of-right means the Site Specific Regulations, the 125-foot setback, the 50-foot setback, 15-foot from the side road, and zero from the interior side, 45-foot height, 1.5 FAR, but you can't get to 1.45 FAR, because you can't park it.

So I did the most I could, which was to put

the approximately 45,000 square foot floor plate in, the parking above it, and I still couldn't get a full floor of office. All I could get to was .74 FAR, doing that, which is the same -- just about the same as the shopping center just to the north.

So that's actually what's as-of-right, without coming to the Commission, without changing something, without a variance, without changing the Zoning.

Thank you very much for this time.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Could I ask a question or no? Yes?

MAYOR CASON: Why don't we ask Ramon to come back and address the question of the PAD and the shared parking? And then we'll go to the Applicant.

MR. TRIAS: Mayor, the City Attorney addressed that issue the same way that I would. So I don't have anything further to say.

MR. LEEN: Yes. First, I would note two things. The PAD provisions are flexible, and I gave the opinion last time, which is also the opinion of the Planning and Zoning Director,

1 that shared parking is something that you could 2. do in a PAD. 3 MR. TRIAS: Right. MR. LEEN: You have to make the appropriate 5 findings. It's a Conditional Use Review. 6 There's protections for that. But part of the 7 purpose is reducing the height, by having 8 shared parking, you're meeting an important 9 goal. 10 I'd also note that -- I'll just say it 11 now -- that, you know, there is a Land Use 12 Category for MXD. We sometimes forget that, 13 but if this is approved as an MXD, our Comp 14 Plan says that you look to the Zoning Code to . 15 determine the height. 16 MAYOR CASON: Mr. Bass. 17 MR. BASS: Two quick questions of my old 18 friend, Mr. Alvarez. 19 MAYOR CASON: Okay. 20 MR. BASS: Mark, nice to see you again this 21 evening. 22 MR. ALVAREZ: Always a pleasure. 23 MR. BASS: Thank you. 24 Just a couple of quick questions. 25 mentioned market absorption in your words?

1 MR. ALVAREZ: Yes, I did. 2 MR. BASS: You did not perform an analysis 3 of the market? MR. ALVAREZ: I did not. 5 MR. BASS: You did not perform an analysis 6 of rate of absorption in the market? 7 MR. ALVAREZ: I did not. 8 MR. BASS: And you are offering no opinion 9 whatsoever to this Commission about market 10 forces or market absorption? 11 MR. ALVAREZ: I'm reporting that that's a 12 concern in the TOD guidelines. 13 MR. BASS: But you, yourself, are not 14 offering opinion this evening on that? 15 MR. ALVAREZ: That's correct. 16 MR. BASS: And you are not an architect? 17 MR. ALVAREZ: No. 18 MR. BASS: You did not prepare plans as an 19 architect? 20 MR. ALVAREZ: I do not sign and seal plans. 21 MR. BASS: But you prepared no plans, as an 22 architect, to form the basis of your opinion 23 before this Commission? 24 MR. ALVAREZ: I've prepared no plans as an 25 architect.

MR. BASS: Thank you.

2.2

MAYOR CASON: Okay. Did you want to ask your question now or do you want to hear back from the --

COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, I have two other questions. One, I think, for maybe

Mr. Alvarez. You have that the 40-foot height on Madruga, that you're looking at a hundred foot setback. Is a 100-foot setback really necessary?

MR. ALVAREZ: I'm going to say, I think the most important thing to do tonight is the Land Use Amendment.

COMMISSIONER KEON: No, I understand that, but I'm asking, a 100-foot setback is a pretty significant setback.

MR. ALVAREZ: What I stated in my report, and I still maintain, is that there's no rationale really offered to vary it. Whether the difference between when it's 40 something feet above the street, whether there's a bunch of difference between a 79 feet setback and 100 feet, I can't tell you, because it's a close call. However, there's no rationale offered to support moving it. So without that, I said,

keep it where it is.

COMMISSIONER KEON: I mean, on a 90-foot or a 97-foot building, when you go up 45 feet, 100 -- you know, the reason you have a setback is to open up and give you more volume and it looks like you're not as oppressive on whatever is next to you.

So that's what I'm asking, is -- I mean, just because 100 feet was there, that's not the issue. What is the appropriate?

You're a planner. What's the appropriate kind of setback that gives you the sense that you want to create of not being -- of reducing volume and massing and everything else on a 97-foot building? At 45 feet, what would you say -- you're a planner, what would you --

MR. ALVAREZ: I would stick with what the Code has asked. I think both, the Merrick's vision and the current Code, focus on that kind of a setback, with 100 feet. It does not really constrain their development, because, of course, as you know, the residential building has a large hole in it, which spreads it out in depth and width.

So, yes, I would stick with the 100-foot

setback.

2.2

MAYOR CASON: All right. Let's ask

Mr. Bass to come back and you have until 8:20.

COMMISSIONER KEON: I'm sorry, I wanted to ask Craig, you said that under the MXD Zoning, there was no height.

MR. LEEN: No. No. No. I didn't say
that. I said that it's governed by the Zoning
Code. I'll just read it. It says, "Additional
MXD or Mixed-Use Overlay District Development
Standards, including maximum densities,
intensities, and height, are provided in the
Zoning Code."

So I think it's being forgotten that this is an MXD, and that's one of the items, it's to approve an MXD.

MAYOR CASON: Mr. Bass.

MR. BASS: Mr. Mayor, Members of the Commission, thank you for your patience and thank you for conducting such a beautiful meeting, regardless of the outcome. Everybody behaved in an exemplary fashion. You were punctual and you moved this through, and so thank you for that.

I'd like to do the same with my closing

remarks, urging you to approve this application in each of it's constituent parts.

A few things, Judge Genden spoke to you about his frequent observation of the tobacco expert and the smoker expert disagreeing in their expert reports. That, unfortunately, or fortunately for you, is not the case here, because our expert, Mr. Tim Plummer, prepared a report, and it was reviewed by your expert at Atkins, and so, in this case, both experts agree on the traffic issue.

Again, we can put the traffic aside. There has been no competent evidence of a traffic issue by any person who testified before you this evening. And the only evidence is that there is not a traffic issue.

I'd like to talk, just to put it into context for you all, because the vocabulary is so important, and it is frequently confused, about two-thirds of the opponents who stood before you, stood before you to say, "Don't change the Zoning," but the expert planner for the opponents just said, "We recommend that you change the Zoning," because if you don't change the Zoning, what you have is a strip mall, and

there seems to be a very strong sense, in this and other communities, that we are in a post-strip mall world.

1.7

The question about this project is really one of a dynamic versus a static approach to development. Do you want to have something that is the same or do you want to have something that is better? We submit to you that you deserve something better, better than what you can get as-of-right.

And the fact remains that as-of-right, and even if Mr. Pinera's Publix scenario were to come to fruition, you would be asking for 100 percent more traffic than the Mixed-Uses that we have before you this evening.

There was a lot of talk about precedent.

Let me take a moment to talk to you about precedent. I'm going to show you a board about precedent. The only precedent that is established by our application, is that if you want to do something wonderful, you need to come with a wonderful plan, with a wonderful architect, with a wonderful design, and have public realm improvements that merit the consideration of your project.

And so the precedent that this application would start, if it were to be approved, I would say, would be that if you want to build in this precinct of US-1, set aside 40 percent -- 40 percent, an acre, set it aside for the public, give it up, don't overbuild it. Give us connections, give us open space, give us a sense of place.

And if you were to set that precedent of requiring everybody who comes before you -just stand it up here -- this is in our submission -- if you were, just in this little section, to demand that anybody who came before you, demand that they give up 40 percent, as we have, you would be creating 3.7 football fields of open space, 218,000 plus square feet of open space, in this little section of US-1.

And how does that resonate with the community input? It resonates in perfect harmony with what he heard at the Planning Charrette, because people want a better US-1. They want a more open US-1. They want a more connected US-1. And the precedent that we are establishing is exactly that.

We talked about TOD. I would dare suggest

to you that the TOD Institute in Washington knows a little something about TOD, and the TOD Institute in Washington cited this as an exemplary example of development.

11.

I'd also like to emphasis for you, in terms of the significance of this project, that the Miami Herald has commented that this is a project of the variety that we need to see, and we don't see that from them frequently.

So at the end of the day, as I promised, I want to simplify it for you, the questions that are your questions. Do you want Mixed-Use, yes or no? If you want Mixed-Use, you need to vote to approve our project. If you don't want Mixed-Use, vote to deny it.

Do you want a reduction in traffic from what could be built? If you want a reduction in traffic, vote for our project. The evidence establishes that. But if you want more traffic, go ahead and see what you get as-of-right.

Do you want functional lovely sidewalks, 40 feet wide, where you have no sidewalk? Do you want sidewalks in this area of US-1, an acre of them? If you want that, vote for our project.

But if you want to take that acre of sidewalks
and Paseos and arcades and you want to swap
that out for asphalt surface parking, vote

against our project.

Do you want a new hotel? If you want a new hotel, you should vote for our project. If you don't want a new hotel, you should vote against it.

No person stood before you, on the issue of height, to say that height impacted their life in any way. What they simply expressed to you, without showing any injury, was a desire that they be protected. You're protected from a harm. What the opponents case did not do was to connect the dots factually, with evidence, from the suggestion that the approval of this project causes harm to any person, harm of a legally cognizable nature. It doesn't stop them from using their homes.

The Gables One Tower, most of the people who spoke before you, and have filed their letters in opposition, have bought their homes with the Gables One tower standing. No person stood before you to say, "The Gables One tower has made my life so miserable, that I had to

move out of Coral Gables, because it bothered
me." You didn't hear that, because it didn't
happen.

1.3

1.4

No person has said, "The Gables One tower throws a shadow on me and this will throw a shadow on me, and that shadow will bother me." Even if they did say that, that would not be competent and substantial evidence of a cognizable harm. But they didn't say it, anyway.

This project is the right project, at the right place, and the time is now. We have been with your City, in this pipeline, for a very long time. We have made this project better during that time. We have lowered its height. We have reduced the amount of parking.

While lowering the height and offering the amenities, we didn't pinch the Paseo. We didn't choke it. We didn't say, "Well, we're taking the height down, so we've got to give up some other stuff." We took the height down.

But you know what, at the end of the day, we're talking about increments of ten feet.

You want to kill a project over ten feet? Do you want to tell everybody that ten feet is

make or break? This is a ten-foot ribbon.

We're not talking about ten feet -- any ten

feet. We're talking about ten feet, 100 feet

in the air -- the perception of ten feet, 100

feet in air.

We're at 120. They're at 100, maybe 100.

Ten feet, twenty feet, nobody has stood before you to say that at 100 feet, all of my problems go away, because they don't have any problems in the first place. And because they don't have any problems in the first place, they'll have no problems whether it's 110 or it's 120.

The time is now. You've been gracious with your time. We would ask that you approve this project. And I thank you for your very, very thoughtful and careful consideration.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you. And I guess this is a chance for us to ask some questions. I have a couple of questions I want to start off with.

The discussion of as-of-right, people were guessing what the market might be. Do you all have some -- assume this project is not approved. What is it that you would be doing

as Developers with that property?

MR. BASS: There are a number of users, sort of different type of format users, grocers and other stores -- and I'm going to be very careful. I'm not going to name names, because you name names and then you start encouraging a barrage of phone calls to tenants who would rather not have a barrage of phone calls.

But we have looked at the project and we are quite confident that there are as-of-right commercial programs that would enable one to build, without public hearing approval from you, sufficient FAR, that we would generate 100 times more p.m. peak trips and 60 percent more a.m. peak trips, than the, essentially, residential uses that we're proposing.

MAYOR CASON: Second question. You've come down from -- I forget what the original was --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: That was going to be one of my questions.

MAYOR CASON: 140 something feet -- could be -- 154 to 122 on one of the buildings.

MR. BASS: Correct.

MAYOR CASON: For you to go any lower, is your project economically feasible? Can you

1.4

get -- I assume this is going to be financed by banks. Is that something that would happen or have you gone -- have you squeezed as much juice out of the lemon, from the perspective of an investor in a property?

MR. BASS: We believe we're at the bone.

This isn't Liposuction. By cutting, we're down to the bone, and I would be remiss if I didn't suggest to you that we did our level best to come back with the reductions that we came back with, but there's only so much juice in the lemon, and the reductions that we've done represent our best effort at that.

MAYOR CASON: There was another question of somebody who referred to this as a party place. Would you address --

MR. BASS: Since I don't go to many parties, I feel somewhat unqualified to address that, and I don't suspect I'll be inundated with invitations from within the neighborhood, so I'll make other plans with Netflix for New Years.

MAYOR CASON: But I think what they were trying to say is that somehow this would become a low income rental for -- I don't know how you

hope to market this, but I think it's worthwhile to discuss.

MR. BASS: It couldn't be further from the truth. The project is designed to be marketed to young professionals, who work either at the University or in Downtown, who wish to walk or take transit to one of those two employment centers. It is absolutely not designed to be student housing or a party setup in any way, shape or form.

MAYOR CASON: Another question. The allegations that close to a billion dollars of property values will be lost if a high, whatever that means, building is put in.

Historically, with the Gables One Building, have property values gone down since that was built?

MR. BASS: No. They have continued to increase, and that wasn't even the suggestion that was made. The suggestion was not made that this would, in any way, alter that tax base. The suggestion was made that, that aggregate property value represents a force of nature that is behind the kill shot for this project. But there was no suggestion,

certainly no evidence, none whatsoever, from any economist or appraiser, to show that property values have been or would be affected by the Gables One Tower.

1.4

COMMISSIONER LAGO: But if I may, Mr. Bass. I mean, I imagine everyone here remembers -- I think a certain lady spoke. She was a realtor. I have her name written down. She estimated around ten to fifteen percent reduction in the value of the homes in the adjacent area.

Again, you asked a question. I don't know if maybe, Madam City Manager, if you have any insight or anyone on Staff that --

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: I don't want to pretend that we have that information, sir.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay.

MR. BASS: If I can just offer a contrary anecdote. Multiple people who spoke before you, proponents, did identify themselves as realtors or in the real estate business. And you can't guess about this stuff. This is science. Having someone come up there and just state a conclusion, without having a fact-based underlying chain of reasoning to support the conclusion, is just a statement.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: The reason why I ask the question is because it was not only -- you know, I wasn't only asking you the question. I was also asking the RNA the question; if they maybe, at this point, had some facts that could either discredit or could prove that statement, because that's a very dire statement that someone would make.

MR. BASS: And I would simply suggest to you -COMMISSIONER LAGO: But it's my
responsibility to make sure, if there is
anything we need to flush out, I want to have
that discussion.

MR. BASS: No qualified opinion evidence was granted or was presented to support the contention that the approval of this project will cause property values to fall.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Have you done any research -there was a statement that many of the people
had bought their homes since 1970. Have you
done any empirical research on that, to come up
with -- is that true? I mean, what percent?

MR. BASS: We did.

MAYOR CASON: I'm asking that, because if

this ugly building that's there, that everybody is against, that's higher, was -- somebody moving in, that expected it was going to affect their property values, well, did they disregard it and move in? I don't know.

1.9

MR. BASS: We did look at that. If you can give me one minute, I will give you the percentage number of people who bought homes in the area after that building was built, if that's the question.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Could you also do me a favor, since you're going to, you know, have a pow-wow for a second, I need you to find out for me how many times this building has been reduced, from 154, and what has been reduced? Had it been density, parking? I want to see exactly the steps that have been taken to reduce the building to 122.

MR. BASS: And if I may, let me huddle up and get the percentage for the Mayor. While I do that, if I could have Jorge Hernandez address that question, so we can keep this moving, because he would be in a better position than I to answer that.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Perfect. Thank you,

sir.

MR. PINERA: Excuse me, may I address your question regarding the --

MR. LEEN: It's up to the Mayor.

MAYOR CASON: Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: You have to speak to the Mayor.

MR. PINERA: Yeah, Mr. Lago asked if anybody from the RNA could respond to that question. I bought my house five years ago -- six years ago now, and I can tell you that if I had the amount of traffic at the choke point -- what we're doing is, we're adding a large amount of traffic at a choke point -- I would not have purchased my house, in my neighborhood, with that building overlooking the park.

I know that this is not empirical data, but I can tell you, I would not have purchased my house, where I purchased. The things that attracted me to that area were the park, and overlooking these massive towers would not have attracted to me that area.

So for what its worth -- I know you're looking for empirical data, but I will state

that.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: And if I may, I'll be brief.

MAYOR CASON: Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Henry -- Mr. Pinera, I appreciate your response. You know, we've met several times to discuss the project, and I understand that this is something incredibly important to you. You've done an incredible job in reference to, you know, organizing and preparing all of these documents.

But I just want to be very careful, when you start throwing out numbers. When you start throwing out numbers like a ten to fifteen percent reduction in property values, that is basically what I want to avoid and what I've tried to avoid over the last two years, as being an elected official. I deal in facts. And you know it, because I've told you that.

I told you, when I met with you, that I want to be very careful when you use numbers, because those numbers, if you throw them out enough, they become reality, because people just start to believing that.

And so when someone made those comments, I

1 just want to give everybody the opportunity, on 2 the record, to really, you know, give some 3 actual factual backing to those numbers, and 4 that's why I wanted to make sure Mr. Bass and 5 also the representative for the RNA had their 6 time to answer those questions. 7 MR. PINERA: Okay. And I would like to 8 state on the record, and I was sworn in --9 MR. LEEN: Yeah, we need to get this under 10 control, though, a little bit. MR. PINERA: I'm addressing his question. 11 12 MR. LEEN: I understand, but she also is 13 trying to speak. 14 MR. PINERA: I, under oath, if I had known 15 that this project was coming up, I would not 16 have purchased my house. Thank you. 17 MAYOR CASON: Okay. Mr. Bass. 18 MR. BASS: And I must now, just because we 19 had testimony, remind the Commission that 20 Mr. Pinera testified under oath, at the last 21 hearing, that from his home, he cannot see the 22 Gables One Tower. So you can weigh that with 23 the credibility of the answer that he just 24 gave. 25 So, Mr. Mayor, to answer your question --

1 I'm superstitious. 2 To answer your question, we went through 3 the petition that was submitted on October 22nd 4 to this City. And 98.4 percent of the homes, 5 based on our evaluation of the signators to 6 that petition, purchased after 1973. At which 7 point, the Gables One Tower was standing. 8 MAYOR CASON: Factually, have property 9 values gone down or up? I would assume they've 10 gone up, as they have throughout the Gables? 11 MR. BASS: Property values have absolutely 12 gone --13 MR. LEEN: You know, there needs to not be 14 public -- let's try to keep this civil. 15 MAYOR CASON: The tall building didn't 1.6 result in a reduction? 17 MR. LEEN: Not you. I mean, everybody. 18 MAYOR CASON: Do you have any more 19 questions? 20 COMMISSIONER LAGO: No, I have a litany of 21 questions. 22 MAYOR CASON: Go ahead. 23 MR. BASS: Can I make sure that Jorge 24 Hernandez answers the following one --25 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Right. And my question

is based on, we had -- now, when I say, "We," I mean, the City, the Applicant, and the RNA, had two sit down meetings. And today we were provided with a recommendation of 97 feet.

Again, like the Vice Mayor said at the beginning of this hearing -- is hearing the appropriate word? I'm not a lawyer so -- MAYOR CASON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: At the beginning, he made it very clear, he's met with both sides, and I've met with both sides. Up to yesterday. I was meeting with both sides. And I was told that there was going to be a recommendation coming. I wish this recommendation would have come the first time, in the first meeting, that we could have worked toward something. Not on the last day, on Second Reading of an item coming before the Commission for approval or denial.

So that's why I want to find out, what has the Applicant done, since those two meetings, and during those two meetings, to get to 122?

MR. HERNANDEZ: Sure. Thank you. I'd be happy to answer that for you.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir.

MR. HERNANDEZ: If I may, I'll start before the first Commission hearing, because -- is it okay now? I mean, I'm not superstitious. I can go over there.

Okay. Is there feedback here? No? Great.

Okay. As you all know, the clock for

public process starts when plans are submitted

to the Development Review Committee, and we did

that in October, more or less. So we've been

in the public process for about a year and a

half. October of the previous year, I meant.

And the project originally, as you just heard, was 153 feet tall.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Both towers?

MR. HERNANDEZ: Both towers.

Okay. As a result of numerous public meetings -- I'm sorry, not public. They were not sponsored by the City. As a result of numerous meetings with the neighbors, which we've reported to you before, and you've heard, there were numerous meetings with the neighbors, that project was brought down from 154 to 142.6, both towers.

But what was suggested -- what was submitted to the Board of Architects had the

25

residential tower at 142.6 -- I'm sorry, forgive me. I made a mistake. What was suggested to the Board of Architects had the residential building at 154, okay. And the project was approved by the Board of Architects, for the purview that the Board is granted, that is architecture and design and aesthetics and reviewed for Mediterranean Bonus, which, as you know, is a set of requirements; is the project appropriately articulated, do Paseos break it up, et cetera, et cetera; are there colonnades, arcades?

That Board found that project to be -- it was voted unanimously as a yes, and it was given Mediterranean Bonuses 1 and 2, okay.

Since then, the project was taken down from the 153 to the 142.6, which is when it came to P&Z. And when you heard it, you already heard one step down, from 154 to 142.6.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Let me ask you a

I'm sorry?

COMMISSIONER KEON: He was going to keep going.

MR. HERNANDEZ: That's okay. That's all

1 right. I can be interrupted. 2 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Just to clarify, why 3 did the Developer, at that point, bring the building down seven feet? 4 5 MR. HERNANDEZ: It's more than seven. 154 to 142.6. 6 7 COMMISSIONER LAGO: 8 MR. HERNANDEZ: Because of numerous 9 discussions with the neighbors, that asked them 10 to take -- a floor was taken off, and the 11 Madruga elevation was notched. 12 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. 13 MR. HERNANDEZ: Okay. So we notched the 14. Madruga elevation side of the project, and we 15 took a floor off of the project, okay. 16 So what you saw was 142.6. And what we 17 went to P&Z with, and what we came to you on 18 First Reading, was the 142.6. 19 The project is now at 122.6, and that was 20 accomplished by using the Shared Parking 21 Ordinance. In fact, it was suggested by a 22 number of you. I know it was suggested by you, 23 Commissioner Quesada, and you heard the City 24 Attorney opine -- yeah, but that's not what we

came in with. Well, let me just finish.

1 MR. LEEN: It wasn't an ordinance, though. 2 It was the PAD. 3 MR. HERNANDEZ: Right. Right. We used 4 your suggestion as a Board to use the Shared 5 Parking Ordinance, and we came down, using that 6 Ordinance, and taking another floor down, to the 122.6 that we're at tonight. 8 And the hotel is at 141. 9 COMMISSIONER LAGO: And let me ask you a 10 question, at 122.6, to get that height, did you 11 reduce only parking or did you reduce also 12 density? 13 MR. HERNANDEZ: We reduced the density. 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: And the intensity? 15 MR. HERNANDEZ: And the intensity. No, 16 correction, we did not reduce the FAR. 17 Intensity is FAR. 18 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. 19 MR. HERNANDEZ: We reduced density and 20 height, which is bulk and mass, to come to that 21 height. Is that clear? I hope that was clear. 22 COMMISSIONER LAGO: No, it's clear, because 23 there were some comments made before on the 24 record that, you know, there wasn't a real 25 reduction, and I want to make sure that we put

that very clear, before we even start discussion of where we're going to go from here. I want to make sure that's solid and clear on the record.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Thank you. I appreciate that. I'm here, if there are questions. If there are no more questions, then --

MAYOR CASON: Not on that.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: I would like to ask Mr. Bass. We had roughly 50/50, in terms of speakers here today, maybe a little bit more against, but at this stage, what are your objections or your disagreements with the -- I know the legality, that you argued that it's not legal to do a referendum, but do you dispute the results of the survey of the neighbors and what are your results within a thousand feet, for example, which is the normal distance that we look at for the most important target area?

MR. BASS: And so it's very difficult for me to do this with precision, because of the fluidity of the process, but let me begin by saying, we had individuals come before you and testify that they were errantly shown, on

1 numbers of properties that they own, as being incorrectly marked.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We had testimony that a ten-year-old participated in the coloring of the document. We had testimony that an unidentified committee of people worked on it, in a collaborative setting, and we know, by looking at the signatures, that there are a sizable number, the number is approximately, from our quick look, over 100 duplicates, closer to 140 duplicate, of one person at one address signing multiple times at the same address. We've seen the e-mails, that it was renters who signed, where the owner is in support.

So I stand by my initial comment. document is irrelevant, as a matter of law, and inaccurate and unreliable and unauthentic, as a matter of fact. But when we looked at a closer circle, and I'll confirm the radius that we looked at, but we saw it with a significant number of people in support within a thousand feet, and I think the percentage was roughly -let the record be clear, very rough here, within 1,000, we had 25 percent of the people in favor and three-quarters opposed.

/

And I might just emphasize for you that that's not uncommon. Many times the people who are most stridently against a project live the furthest away, because it doesn't affect them, one way or the other, but Rabbi Mendi Felig stood before you and reiterated his comments, as the most impacted person, he's in support.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: You know, so this issue is one that's really got me -- no,

Jeffrey, I think you should stay up here -it's really got me looking at things here a little differently.

I was under the impression, after the First Reading, in line with what you're saying, that some of the closer people were in favor of the project. But when I look at this, and from what I've heard today, I'm getting the exact opposite impression, that the ones closest to the project aren't in favor. I know there's the one Jewish individual that you mentioned that he couldn't be here tonight, and I'm assuming that's the green one that you said was the closest property, the closest affected.

Do you have any data on that, that within a thousand feet, it was 25 percent?

And I guess, for purposes of the record, you know, before the hearing started, I told Mr. Gibbs and I told Mr. Bass, I asked them to come to my office to discuss procedural issues, also that, you know, I had a lot of questions about the petition. And there's been a lot of back and forth on the Statute that you cited, and Mr. Brooks cited the statute, as well, and so did Mr. Gibbs. And I looked it up for myself, and I think I was looking at it in Westlaw, as well, analyzing the interpretations of it, just because I'm a little nerdy like that.

MR. BASS: And the Armstrong case applied it. It was loosely applied.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So my point is, it's coming back to a factual point for me. I need some real clarity on this, because, obviously, I saw those e-mails of individuals saying that they were marked red, when they were really green. So it makes me question the veracity of this, you know, and some of the duplicates.

But I guess what I'm trying to figure out at this point is, within that 1,000 foot radius, if there is a more reliable piece of

information that either side can give me or is this it?

I think Mr. Pinera passed this up to us, or Ms. Kawalerski, because that's still a question in my mind.

MR. BASS: I have not prepared, nor timely submitted any type of analysis of the nature that you've just described. I don't believe that, that is a relevant consideration. I don't believe that that's a reliable document. I don't believe it would be admissible in any Court of Law. The signatures are hearsay.

And you can just look at them. They're in the same handwriting. And you can see the stacks. So I can give you some better numbers on the amount of duplicates in that area, but I haven't broken it out in any way that I could reliably intend — holding me to the same standard that I'm holding them to, to tell you it's a scientifically sustainable sample.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Any thoughts, Mr. Gibbs?

MR. GIBBS: Through the Mayor, Commissioner Quesada, what we have done is, and I have Sue Kawalerski here, who will speak to it,

everybody who spoke today, she can explain -- and she was limited to what, one minute?

VICE MAYOR OUESADA: Two.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Two minutes.

MR. GIBBS: To two minutes. I'm sorry, to two minutes, and she couldn't say everything she wanted to say, and I'd like for her to have the opportunity to respond to this issue.

She was the person who was mainly in charge of putting everything together, and I think she'll be able to clarify this issue.

But to Mr. Bass' statement, I don't disagree with him, in terms of these petitions. The petitions don't speak to whether or not this application meets the requirements of law, and it was never presented to this Board as that. It was presented as an instrument to explain, that in this neighborhood, a certain number of people didn't want this project. That's all it was established for.

It doesn't talk about the Code. It doesn't talk about whether or not it meets the Code.

But it's informational for you all to look at it. But with that, I'd like to at least let

Sue speak to the issue of the people who spoke

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

27

today.

MR. LEEN: If the Mayor will allow it.

MAYOR CASON: Yeah, go ahead.

MR. KAWALERSKI: Okay. Thank you. thing that's very important to understand about how this map was put together, is that we went to the residences. Numbers of those residences were not actually the property owners, in some cases, and I state that for a reason.

Mr. Jorge Rios came up here and testified that he lived at 1251 South Alhambra, and that, in fact, his house was marked red. In fact, it is not marked red. Just for a point of clarification here, it was not marked red.

However, he said he also owned properties at 1120, 1122, 1124 and 1126 Cotorro, which were marked red. They were marked red for a reason. The renters, the leasers, or whoever answered those doors, at that moment in time, identified themselves as the residents, and they signed the petition, as residents living there, in opposition to the Paseo project. that's a point of clarification.

I also want to bring and put on the record something that happened to one of our RNA

22 23

24

members in the past several days. This member is Gigi Citarella. She testified -- she was the one with the ten-year-old daughter, who helped her color in the figures. And Gigi is present.

In the past several days, and we're not pointing fingers, but you should know what happened -- in the past several days, a signed petition was put in her mailbox, at her residence, signed by a person allegedly living on San Remo. Gigi Citarella knew that person who lived at that residence. That resident has been deceased. Leading us to some suspicion that there is some hanky-panky going on to try to discredit us.

We caught it. We never had it red on our map, because we knew that, that person was deceased. Who put that in the mailbox? We don't know, but it certainly is suspicious.

And I can tell you, without a doubt, while there were numbers of us working on this survey, we all met several times, to go over the petitions, the signatures, and relaying it to the markings on the map.

What you see is representative of, although

maybe not a professional surveyor, but a dedicated group of honest residents, who spent hours putting this together. So I can testify that the signatures that we obtained were not put there by someone else, other than the residents of the properties that we visited.

And, yes, in some cases, there were more than one person that lived at the residence, who happened to also be voters, in the case of a husband and a wife, a husband and a wife and an 18-year-old, and they did sign that petition, but the one mark reflects only the one mark, and that could also mean that there have been several people that signed the petition at that one residence.

MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, I have to say something. When you consider this today, this is not a plebiscite, and I have to say that, to protect the process at this point, because we're getting too far afield.

You can consider the community sentiment and you should, but when you consider that, you're considering the harms that they put in the record, and the concerns that they put in the record, and you're determining whether you

can address those through the quasi-judicial portion, though conditions of approval, and then the legislative portion, where you should make this change, and you have to consider the entire community and you have to make that

determination, but it can't be a plebiscite.

And I need to put in the record, when you vote, to the extent I can instruct you, I'm instructing you, you cannot consider simply, is it a majority on this side or a majority on that side. You do need to consider the people who spoke today and the harms that they've raised to you and make your best determination, but ultimately it's an objective test.

For the legislative portion, it's whether it's fairly debatable, whatever you do. And for the quasi-judicial portion, it's whether there's competent and substantial evidence in the record.

MAYOR CASON: Well, I think you've established that there are differences of opinion, strong differences of opinions. Of the people who came here, of the 87, roughly 50/50, maybe a little more of the opposition, but, yes, your poll did make us aware that

there are strong feelings. And there are people who answered the question -- I'm not sure what the question was -- but who were opposed. So we got that. Thank you.

MS. KAWALERSKI: Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Mr. Bass, do you have anything else you want to say on that?

MR. BASS: Not at this time.

MAYOR CASON: Okay. Questions. Pat.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, you know, it's thoughts, as well as questions.

When the building that UM now holds was built, that tract was designated as commercial, but it's my understanding, from Planning, that there were no designated heights, so whatever apparently they applied for, they were given the permit, and it was built.

I think, in reaction to that building being built there, in 1980, the Site Specifics were set for that Tract A, that set it at four-story, and it wasn't even Low-Rise at that time, it was four-story, with the setbacks of 125 in the front and 50 in the back, and all of that was done in reaction to that particular building.

So I don't -- I mean, not being there at the time, I can't tell you whether that was well-planned designations for that Land Use or whether it was reactionary. My sense is, it was probably a reaction to a large building. You squash development to very little.

And it wasn't until '98 that it was actually put in to identify it as Commercial Low-Rise Intensity, in the Re-Write for the Zoning Code. Before it was just Site Specific, and whatever, and I think, in '98, I remember sitting on the Planning and Zoning Board, and we went through and looked at all of the Site Specifics and tried to incorporate them into the Zoning Code.

I mean, I think, you know, that property has kind of sat out there for a long time, you know, with different thoughts and ideas about it, until, you know, we come to today.

I think that the Zoning that's on it, the Low-Rise and the setbacks and whatever that are on, really encourage development as a strip mall. I do think we are beyond strip malls.

I don't think that a strip mall, in any way, that huge blacktop surface, that is hot,

they're unsafe, they're unsightly, they haul pollutants that end up washing into our sewer systems and leak into our ground water, I don't think that, that in any way, in any way, would depict any vision that Merrick ever had.

I think, for us, right now, this is an opportunity to look at our corridors and how our corridors should develop, and the sightliness of our corridor, and, you know, do they follow that vision that Merrick, you know, put forth? Do they provide us with, you know, green space, gathering space?

You know, I like the tiered development of this building. I like the Paseos. I like the openness of it. I think the issue is, right sizing this. You know, if it isn't -- you know, if the numbers don't work for the Developer, then that could be a risk that he took at the time that he purchased the land, but I do think that it is -- it provides us with a very good opportunity to decide how we want that US-1 corridor to develop, and, particularly, that tract, because that's really -- you know, that is a developable tract.

1.0

1.6

The only other developable tract that may come along is the one where Swensen is, that is further south on the Highway, but this particular tract, because of its size, because of its depth, because of its size, you know, is the one that is most -- now is most under pressure for development.

And I think we have an opportunity to make a decision here as to how we want this to go.

I'm really sorry that we didn't do it. When I asked for a Visioning Study to look at it, it was because I wanted the feedback, to say,

"This is how this should look. This is how we need to respect the single-family residential community, you know, that is to the east of this."

You know, the thing that separates this lot is a very narrow street. How wide is Madruga?

Can anybody tell me how wide Madruga is?

30 feet. Okay. There's a 30-foot space between this development and three-story apartments, and then you drop down into -- you know, into a Single-Family residential community.

So, you know, what is the appropriate

1.7

height, you know, how high should it be, how big should it be, how dense should it be, how mass should it be, when you are so close to a Single-Family Residential community? Everyone of us believes that protecting the integrity and the values and the aesthetics and the quality of life in our Single-Family Residential communities are exceedingly important, exceedingly important.

1.1

So what is it we put here? So, to me, it's -- you know, you started this way, how much you came down, how much you didn't come down, you know, that's kind of your story.

My story is, what belongs there? What is the best designation that we can use for this? Sadly, our Code has Low-Rise, Mid-Rise, that would go to with bonus at 97 feet, and then we go to High-Rise. You know, we don't have anything that goes between Mid-Rise and 150 feet, which I don't think serves us well, and I think that going forward, it's something that we should look at as to what we're going to do.

You know, I tend to agree with the people within the Association or with Mr. Gibbs and his suggestion that we look at, that it be

Mid-Rise. I think that -- I mean, because that's the only designation we have.

1

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I would tell you that 100 feet, you know, with the appropriate setbacks, you know, would step down -- to me, would step down appropriately into the apartments, and then into the Single-Family Residential community.

I would also tell you that under no circumstances would I allow any sort of signage on the east side of those buildings, either, as they face the residential neighborhood, but I think that, you know, if this area is developed as a PAD, if we make the determination of how high should this be, and then we make the determination that, yes, it should be developed as a PAD, you know, I think that's when you begin to look at the design and the architectural elements, and you decide whether -- for the sake of design, if you want to retain that Paseo and you want to retain that really beautiful open space, you're going to have to give something back, and that's why, you know, if you keep the residential at one height, do you allow the tower in the hotel to go to 120 feet or 125 feet?

You know, I think that's the conversation that we need to have. It's like, what do you give that doesn't harm? You know, how do you make no one worse off? And that's the discussion we need have. That's why I ask you, you know, what is the appropriate setback? You know, if I'm 30 feet across from a three-story building, and I have a 100-story building, at the first 45 feet, I'm going to step back, but how far do I need to step back to achieve the aesthetic that I want to achieve? How far is that?

Ramon, how far is that? I mean, architects, you know those things. You study those things. You know balance. You know lines of sight. That's why you do what you do.

MR. TRIAS: Yes, Commissioner, I think Architect Hernandez has given a good explanation of the reasoning.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.

MR. TRIAS: And it has to do with the way that if one is standing on the sidewalk, what you would see.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. Okay. So how far back? At 45 feet, how far do you step

15.

1 back? 2 MR. TRIAS: I believe it was 70 or -- would 3 you like to see that? MR. HERNANDEZ: I'll bring the board. COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. 6 So it was a 70 feet; is that right, that 7 you step back? 8 While we're running this down, I 9 just wanted to remind the Commission, it was 10 not stated here, but to reiterate that we had 11 proffered a covenant during the first hearing. 12 I wanted to restate that. So even if approved 13 to the High-Rise, we were limiting, via 14 covenant, within that designation. 15 COMMISSIONER KEON: Yeah, but, you know, my 16 interest tonight is that we would designate 17 that tract, Tract A, and, you know, I am not 18 prepared to support High-Rise. I'm not at all. 19 I mean, you may have three people here that 20 are, and if you do, okay, but I'm not. 21 MR. BASS: And I just want the record to 22 reflect that the High-Rise that we're seeking 23 is not true High-Rise. It's 120, which is 20 24 feet more than the outer limits of your --25 COMMISSIONER KEON: I would rather give you

1 Mid-Rise and we'll talk about conditions of a PAD. But --3 MAYOR CASON: Mr. Hernandez. MR. HERNANDEZ: If I may. 5 COMMISSIONER KEON: 6 MAYOR CASON: Yes. 7 MR. HERNANDEZ: So we showed you this board earlier tonight, and this board shows that --9 by the way, I forgot -- I'm sorry, I forgot to 10 answer -- we answered the reductions in heights 11 from US-1 that we had accomplished. I didn't 12 focus the answer on Madruga. 13 The Madruga height used to be 56, and it's 14 now at 46. So at 46 of height, you're standing 15 on private property. This is a person, 16 six-foot person, standing on private property. 17 Their line of sight at 79 does not include any 18 feature, not even -- I'm sorry, Commissioner 19 Slesnick -- not even the parapet nor the 20 equipment tower. And, in fact, that would be 21 true up to 65 feet. 22 You could slide this forward to 65 feet and 23 that line of sight would not include any of the 24 profile of the second part of the composition.

The part of the apartment building that's on

1 top of the parking plinth would not be visible from that private property. COMMISSIONER KEON: So you're telling me that a 65-foot setback, at 45 feet, would be appropriate? 6 MR. HERNANDEZ: That's what this diagram 7 shows. That's what I believe, yes. 8 COMMISSIONER KEON: Thank you. 9 MAYOR CASON: All right. Do we have --1.0 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Commissioner Keon, I 11 just have a question for you. I understand 12 your comments, but it seems like you were 13 focused on the procedural vehicle, and I guess 14 I'm just trying to get some clarity. 15 I think everyone in the crowd is thinking 16 the same way I am, so are you okay with the 120 17 or not? 18 COMMISSIONER KEON: I'm not sure that I'm 19 okay with the 120 either, no. I'm more comfortable with 100, but, I mean, I would deny 20 21 the High-Rise, and I would set it at Mid-Rise. 22 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Let me ask you a 23 question, Commissioner. Would you be willing 24 to meet halfway? Because I echo a lot of your 25 sentiments in reference to Tract A, especially

about we should require that it have 40 percent open space. My fear is a strip mall, all of that asphalt, all of that heat island effect which occurs when you have all of that asphalt.

So I agree with you, and I echo your sentiments, but there has been to be something between what was proffered today by the RNA, which I wish -- I wish, like I mentioned before, had not come today. I mean, that's very -- to be honest with you --

MR. GIBBS: Through the Mayor. It did not come today. It was delivered on Friday afternoon. We were told that report was done -- there are a few changes that were made today, but that was it, but that whole issue of the Land Use Category, that was out on Friday morning. It was delivered and filed with the City Clerk on Friday morning. And it was delivered to you, by hand, that afternoon, is what I was told.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Let me correct myself.

COMMISSIONER KEON: No, I don't think it

was.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Let me correct myself, okay. It should have been discussed in the

second mediation between --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER KEON: In the first.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'm giving you the first. The second one.

MR. GIBBS: I appreciate what you're saying, and I don't want to lose my temper or anything, but the fact is that we were doing the best we could do in that mediation, when the mediator was the City Attorney himself. He knew everything that was going on. And I don't want to get into that issue. The fact is that we presented this as a result of the mediation, as a result of that mediation, and because of Commissioner Quesada -- or Vice Mayor Quesada, we made a point of trying to get this thing in when it was required to get in. And it wasn't even evidence. And the fact is, it's our argument. That was our presentation to you. And we didn't have to. We were doing the best we could do, given our constraints.

I represent an organization. I've got to go to meetings. I have an expert witness, who puts things together. And I feel very uncomfortable having to justify a compromise position that my clients worked hard to

present, a compromised position that is basically one that meets all of the requirements of the TOD and it is comprehensive in its application. It was not easy.

And so my concern about this is that we're being put in a position of having to justify a compromised position that my clients, who have lived in this neighborhood for years, have proffered. A compromise, that is a greater compromise, in terms of what they have now and what they're going to get with what you all apparently want to provide. That's a concern. And that's what our position is.

COMMISSIONER KEON: You know, Mr. Gibbs, the problem with us being at this point with that compromise is that we're sitting up here tonight trying to find a solution to an issue that we really should be weighed much more thoroughly and through our Planning Department, than thrown out by us here on this dais.

MR. GIBBS: And you're absolutely correct.

Commissioner, you're correct. And from the first day -- from the first day that this project came before the City, at the Planning and Zoning Board, that's what we said. "Before

you approve this project, make a plan. Make a vision for this community. And don't sit here and approve a plan on an ad hoc basis, because they need to get their plan in."

Because, as you said, they bought the property and they wanted it done quickly.

The fact is that a Planning study should have been done for this area. I don't know why the City never did it. But the City didn't do it. My clients are going to be paying for that. When this thing is approved at a height over 97 feet, I can guarantee you, my clients are going to be paying for that.

And what they deserve, what every person in Coral Gables deserves from this Commission, and from the Planning Department, is they deserve -- when you all make a monumental change, like this is going to be, they deserve a Planning study, because it isn't just about this property. Don't kid yourselves.

MAYOR CASON: Well, I think what we have before us is a specific --

MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, it's 9:00 p.m.

MR. GIBBS: It's about this property. What I mean by that, it's not just about this

1 property, it's about other properties in this 2 area who are looking. The old Amase 3 property --MR. LEEN: As a point of order, I'm sorry, 5 it's 9:00 p.m. You have to vote to extend the 6 time. 7 MAYOR CASON: I just want to say one thing. 8 I mean, we have before us a specific project, 9 at a specific height. I would like the 10 Applicant to testify whether or not, it can go 11 any lower. And if not, then that's all we need 12 to know. 13 MR. LEEN: But it is 9:00 p.m. You have to 14 vote or the hearing has to end immediately. 15 COMMISSIONER KEON: I'd like to make a 16 motion that we continue until 9:30. 17 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'll second the motion. 18 MAYOR CASON: Okay. We'll go to 9:30. 19 MR. LEEN: Unanimous consent. 20 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Mr. Bass --21 MAYOR CASON: Mr. Bass. 22 COMMISSIONER LAGO: -- my question was 23 geared towards what the Mayor just stated, in 24 reference to, is there any way that we can 25 squeeze any more height out of the project? In

1 reference to the hotel, can we squeeze the slab 2 to slab heights? Can we --3 COMMISSIONER KEON: They can't say that now. 4 MR. LEEN: Well, I think the Applicant. 5 MAYOR CASON: On the record, to swear, 6 because this is -- I mean, we've --7 MR. LEEN: I think he asked the Applicant. 8 You wanted the Developer to speak. 9 MAYOR CASON: The Applicant has told us, "I 10 cannot go any lower, because I can't get the 11 financing, and then I'll have to abandon the 12 project." And I would like, on the record, to 13 swear that. 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Just one last thing. 1.5 Is there any way to re-configure the pool deck, 16 in reference to the location of the pool, and 17 dealing with the setbacks? I want you to 18 analyze that. I want you to consider all of 19 that, because there's options there. I know 20 that it may affect the building aesthetically. 21 MR. BASS: Well, and let me answer that 22 question --23 MR. LEEN: But wait. There's a point of 24 He's asked for the Developer to speak. 25 The Commissioner has the right to ask anyone in

1 the room to speak. He can be asked to speak. 2 You've been asked to speak, sir. 3 MR. BASS: I'm sorry, I first thought he 4 asked me to affirm. 5 MR. LEEN: No, he asked the Applicant to speak. 6 MAYOR CASON: I wonder if the Applicant 7 would be willing to, again, reiterate to us, 8 under oath, what more you could do -- could you 9 do anything more, in terms of the issue which 10 seems to be focusing down on height, that would 11 allow you to move forward with your project? 12 Or you cannot go any lower? That's what I 13 would like to know. 14 MR. BASS: Can we just take a two-minute 15 recess? 16 MAYOR CASON: Sure. 17 COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes. 18 MR. BASS: Maybe make it five minutes --19 MAYOR CASON: Five minutes, that's fine. 20 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Mr. Bass, before 21 you go, you keep saying 120 or 122. The hotel 22 is still at 140 --23 MR. BASS: The hotel has stayed at 142. 24 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: 142. 25 COMMISSIONER LAGO: And that's what I'm

requesting. I'm asking for the Applicant -COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: The hotel.

MR. BASS: 141.

MAYOR CASON: And, yeah, and I'm asking this, because we have a specific project before us that's been whittled down and we now understand that you can't go any lower, otherwise you have to abandon the project, which means that we would then get something else, whether you believe it would be a strip mall or as-of-right, we don't know what it is, but that, I think, is the issue before us, not what we --

COMMISSIONER KEON: I think you should take your five minutes and come back and we'll talk.

MR. BASS: I will. I just want to answer you the one question on the pool deck. We did study this exhaustively. Okay. We've been working this non-stop. And if you move the pool deck, you start to get into the position, when you move it, you put your own pool in a constant shadow of your own building.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I know. Just speak to your client.

MR. BASS: Okav.

1 MAYOR CASON: Because that's the issue. 2 COMMISSIONER KEON: The issue is, do your 3 numbers allow you to reduce this project by ten percent? That's your answer -- and your 5 question. 6 (Short recess taken.) 7 MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, there needs to be an 8 extension or a continuance. 9 Mr. Mayor, there needs to be a 10 continuance -- pardon me, an extension of the 11 hearing, or a continuance. 12 MAYOR CASON: Okay. All those in favor? 13 We've got three votes, so --14 MR. LEEN: How long? Until 10? 15 MAYOR CASON: Maximum -- ten o'clock, we're 16 out of here. 17 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So moved. 18 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Second. 19 MR. LEEN: So, Mr. Mayor, what happened 20 was, I believe the Applicant is going to 21 propose new height levels right now, and --VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Has the Applicant 22 23 discussed it with the Representative for the --24 MR. LEEN: Yes. 25 MAYOR CASON: Yes. They're going to make

1 two options, and then they will make an announcement. 2 3 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So have those two options --5 MR. LEEN: They have not been agreed. 6 There's not been an agreement. 7 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. 8 MR. LEEN: But the Applicant is going to testify or affirm that this is the best he can 9 10 do, as you've asked. 11 MAYOR CASON: So Is Commissioner Lago here 12 to hear this? 13 COMMISSIONER KEON: Commissioner Lago. 14 MAYOR CASON: Commissioner Lago. We're 15 needing his presence at this stage. 16 He's here. Okay. 17 MR. LEEN: And for those who are not in the 18 room, the Commission extended the hearing to 19 10:00, but the Mayor said it will not go past 20 10:00. At that point, it's automatically 21 continued, by the Code. The Code automatically 22 continues it. 23 MR. BASS: Understood. 24 So, Mr. Mayor, thank you for that 25 opportunity. It took longer, but we had a lot

of ground to cover. I'm in a very
uncomfortable position, because making these
changes on the fly is not easy.

COMMISSIONER KEON: I don't want them made on the fly.

MR. BASS: But I'm going to do my best to explain them, as clearly as I can, but I want your promise, that if you don't understand something that I'm saying, you give me an opportunity to clarify it with our architect, because this is something that we will be living with, at a very significant consequence, for a very long period of time.

So that said, let me lay out for you two ways to get you the last that we can get you -I'll have my client affirm that under oath -on these conditions, which is to say, still
provide the public realm improvements and
benefits that we are providing, still have the
architecture we're providing, still have the
fountains, still have all of the good stuff,
and do this project -- I don't want there to be
any mistake. I'm not saying that you couldn't
come in here and fundamentally rethink what you
were doing, but to do this, this that we've

been living with, this is the last cut that we can make.

2.0

And I'm going to lay it out. There are three parts to it. The hotel, Commissioner Lago, picking up on your suggestion, by lowering the distance between the slabs, we can drop the height of the hotel to 135 feet, from its current 142.

Excuse me, if I misstate anything, I need my team to back me up, because we were kind of doing this like on the hood of a car.

So that's the hotel. And in both of these scenarios that we are going to talk you through, the hotel comes down to 135.

So now let me address the height of the residential building and the last cut that we can make. We can make it in two flavors.

We'll talk about the two flavors.

I'm going to call this one Option A.

Option A would be, at US-1, the residential

building is 122 feet, at US-1, for the first

125 feet. We talked about this step down. I'm

going to show it to you. But here's the cut.

At 125 feet depth, we drop to 112 feet.

So the building will step down, the 122

1 feet will be on US-1. It will step down to 112 feet. It will continue at 112 feet for 65 2 feet $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ up to 65 feet, and then it drops down 3 to 46 feet, so you have a step down. I'll do it in the reverse order. 5 from 46 to 112 to 122. 6 7 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Can I stop you for one 8 second? Mr. Hernandez, if you don't mind, can you get a black Sharpie and do a profile view on the back of one of these boards? 10 11 COMMISSIONER KEON: Can you tell me what 12 the setbacks are, also? 13 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Can you use the back 14 of one of the boards, and just like a profile 15 view, so it's a little bit easier for all of 16 Because I was going to draw it myself and 17 I know I was going to mess that up. 18 MR. HERNANDEZ: Can I just draw it and then 19 prop it up? 20 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Please. I would 21 rather everyone understand, than --MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. A drawing is worth a 22 23 thousand words. 24 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I'm sure, when you 25 woke up this morning, the last thing you

thought was that you were going to be drawing in front of a quiet room of people at this meeting.

MAYOR CASON: At 9:30.

MR. HERNANDEZ: One of the two flavors is done. Do the other flavor.

Okay.

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Let's make sure that the camera can watch, so they can see you, they can see --

MR. HERNANDEZ: Hello. Okay. So this is
Option A, which I believe Mr. Bass was about to
describe, and this is Option B. And on the
left-hand side, that red line, is the US-1
property line, in both diagrams, there and
there. And on the right-hand side of the
board, in both diagrams, that red line is the
Madruga property line, okay.

So Option A is, the building would go up to 122 feet on US-1, and then the depth of that portion, the 122-foot portion, would extend back 125 feet. That is what Mr. Alvarez said was Merrick's vision, height on US-1 for 125 feet of depth, except it was 150, not 122. We're at 122, at that depth, which was what

б

Mr. Alvarez said was Merrick's vision.

Then the building drops to 112 feet.

That's a 10-foot drop. And it extends back at the 112 feet, until it drops down again, 65 feet, towards US-1, from the Madruga property line. And then it drops down to Madruga proper.

So there's three steps, 122 to US-1, back to 125, down to the 112 -- this is not proportional -- which is the majority of the profile, and then down to 46 to Madruga. The line of sight drawing we discussed before the break shows that at 65 feet, you would not even see -- you won't see the 112, and you'd nearly see the 122, because at 65 feet, we were not seeing the 122.

So in terms of the Madruga experience, none of the two setbacks of the residential building would be visible from Madruga.

Everybody got that? Any questions?

COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.

 $\mbox{MR. HERNANDEZ:}$ Okay. That's Option A.

This is Option B, which is a much simpler profile. In Option B, the building steps up, from US-1, 112 feet, and comes straight across,

and drops down to 45 feet, 22 feet back from Madruga. So this is a 65-foot setback from Madruga, before the cervical rise above the parking deck, and this is a 22-foot setback from Madruga, before the 112 vertical rise.

Any questions on the second one?

MAYOR CASON: Yeah, could you explain -- I was asking Mr. Reynolds, there's nothing you can do at this stage, from your point of view, to play with the depth of the Paseos or any of the other elements that would allow you to drop it any lower than that?

MR. HERNANDEZ: From the point of view of the depth of the Paseo, no. At that point, we might as well just eliminate it, because when two buildings get too close to one another, you're not letting in the light. It doesn't look gracious at the height. It doesn't follow any of proportional norms for composing public space at this scale.

MAYOR CASON: Okay.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yeah. No, no, we cannot alter the -- this is what we can offer, in terms of a profile. Remember, we testified earlier, we started at 154, and this is what

we're discussing now. And this is what we can offer in the way of a profile.

1.4

I don't want to speak -- I only want to speak about the section from a point of view of design. So if there are no questions, I'll gladly pass -- happily pass the mike back to Mr. Bass.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Jorge, I'm just, the 122 on the street, the height at 122, the second line across, that looks more like a hundred instead of -- no, the top. You said it was 125, and that looks more like a hundred -- I mean, it's not proportion to --

COMMISSIONER KEON: It's not to scale.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: It's not to scale. You're the architect.

MAYOR CASON: He doesn't have his architectural tools.

COMMISSIONER KEON: It's not to scale.

MR. HERNANDEZ: We're trying to be flexible and nimble and accommodating. So for 122, it remains at that height, for a depth of 125 feet. And then it stays, -- and this is a much longer line -- at 112, up until you're 65 feet from Madruga.

1 One second. 2 Okay. I'm going to sit down, unless they 3 ask me questions. MR. LEEN: All right. Jeff, there was a 5 request. Okay. 6 MR. BASS: I know. I'm going to get to it. 7 Before I do, I just want to restate that we're 8 not asking for more than any other commercial 9 property owner under this program. I'm going 10 to ask Mr. Brent Reynolds to affirm that we 11 cannot, while providing this development 12 program, do any better, while giving you all of 13 the benefits, than the flavor -- Option A and 14 Option B that we've just huddled up on. 15 Mr. Reynolds --16 MAYOR CASON: Mr. Reynolds. 17 MR. LEEN: Mr. Foeman, please swear him in. 18 (Thereupon, Mr. Brent Reynolds was sworn.) 19 MR. REYNOLDS: I do. 20 MR. FOEMAN: Thank you. 21 MAYOR CASON: The floor is yours. 22 MR. REYNOLDS: Mr. Mayor, I affirm. I affirm. 23 COMMISSIONER KEON: You affirm, what? 24 MR. REYNOLDS: That this program, as 25 presented, is the absolute bottom line.

1	COMMISSIONER KEON: Do you own this
2	property now?
3	MR. REYNOLDS: No, we do not own this
4	property now.
5	COMMISSIONER KEON: When are you intending
6	to close on this property?
7	MR. REYNOLDS: Early 2016.
8	MAYOR CASON: Okay.
9	COMMISSIONER KEON: So you can still
10	renegotiate your cost?
11	MR. REYNOLDS: No.
12	COMMISSIONER KEON: You can't?
13	MR. REYNOLDS: It's a binding obligation.
14	It's a binding obligation.
15.	COMMISSIONER KEON: It's a binding
16	obligation?
17	MR. REYNOLDS: Yes.
18	COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay.
19	MAYOR CASON: Okay. Mr. Gibbs, what is
20	your reaction to this?
21	MR. GIBBS: It doesn't our position
22	remains the same. We have we thought we
23	presented a solid case and rationale for the
24	height that we had done. And I can tell you
25	that when we come in with the evidence we came

1 in, with about eight stories and 97 feet -- and 2 my clients cannot accept that. They just 3 cannot accept that. MAYOR CASON: All right. And the Applicant says he can't do it, so where are we? 6 MR. GIBBS: I understand. 7. COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, I would -- well, you can't bring the front one, that's 122, down 8 9 to 112 and still -- on the top one? You can't 10 bring that down ten more feet and then go back -- then dove back? If you lose that 11 12 little cap, how much do you lose? COMMISSIONER LAGO: 13 The step back. 14 MR. HERNANDEZ: The 125. 15 MR. LEEN: The 122. 16 COMMISSIONER KEON: Yeah, if you went to 17 112 at the street and then came back, all of 18 the way back to the 112 -- not the bottom one. 19 I don't even want to talk about the bottom one. 20 MR. HERNANDEZ: That's the bottom one. 21 COMMISSIONER KEON: No. How much do you 22 How many square feet? What percentage lose? of your building to you lose? Yes. Yeah. 23 24 MR. HERNANDEZ: If we cut this off, I can't 25 tell you that now. Quite frankly. I'm sorry,

1 I can't answer that question.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. I don't know procedurally how we do this. I would like to see us designate this as Mid-Rise. I would like to -- you know, for the motions that are before us, I would --

MR. LEEN: I should inform the Commission, you know, I have given an opinion that -- there's two ways you can address this, if you want to approve this.

Okay. First, you could approve it going to high intensity, with a proffered covenant setting all of these heights. That covenant would go in our Comp Plan. And then you would approve the PAD and everything, with these conditions of approval.

The alternate that you could do, this is my opinion, I'm the City Attorney, and I would write an opinion to this effect, and I would let both sides know, you can go Mid intensity. You're designating this an MXD. The MXD is in our Comp Plan, and it says that you apply the heights that are in the Zoning Code.

Our Zoning Code has a PAD provision. The PAD provision, in addressing height, says that

you apply the underlying Zoning District, but it also includes a provision that says that you can deviate from the provisions of the PAD, in appropriate circumstances, and I'll read that into the record, what it says, because I want to get it precise. One second.

Let me read the actual -- forgive me. It says, "That actions, designs, construction or other solutions proposed by the Applicant, although not literally in accord with these PAD regulations, satisfy public benefits to at least an equivalent degree." There's also an alternative one, "That the PAD provisions do not serve public benefits to a degree at least equivalent to such general Zoning subdivision or other regulations or requirements."

You'd be using the first one I mentioned,
"That actions, designs, construction or other
solutions proposed by the Applicant, although
not literally in accord with these PAD
regulations, satisfy public benefits to at
least an equivalent degree."

You would have to impose these heights through the PAD, as a condition of approval, and you'd have to make this finding, and then

1 you could use Mid intensity. Frankly, you don't even have to change the 2 3 intensity, because the MXD designation allows you to do this. However, I would suggest changing it to Mid intensity, because Mid 6 intensity is the closest to what you're doing. 7 It's closer than high intensity and it's closer 8 than low intensity. 9 COMMISSIONER LAGO: And that would apply to 10 the entire Tract A? 11 COMMISSIONER KEON: But whatever we're 12 doing, we would apply it to the entire Tract. 13 MR. LEEN: That would apply to the entire 14 Tract A. 15 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Along with the 16 requirements of 40 percent open space? 17 MR. LEEN: Yes, all of that would be 18 imposed through the PAD and through the site 19 plan. 20 COMMISSIONER KEON: But that would be for 21 this tract. What we're looking at, how do we 22 impose it for the entire tract? 23 MR. LEEN: Oh, you're talking about Tract 24 A? 25 MR. BASS: It's not all of Tract A.

just our property. 1 2 MAYOR CASON: Just their property. 3 MR. LEEN: Well, it's titled Tract A. COMMISSIONER KEON: It's titled Tract A. 5 MR. BASS: As it was previously modified --6 MR. LEEN: Sure, but they have the option 7 to change it. 8 COMMISSIONER KEON: I would like to see it 9 Tract A. 10 MR. BASS: That's fine. I just want to 11 make sure, we weren't asking for it beyond --12 COMMISSIONER KEON: No. I know you're not asking. We would like to do it as Tract A or I 1.3 14 would like to do it as Tract A. 15 MR. GIBBS: Through the Mayor, could I ask 16 the City Attorney a question? 17 MAYOR CASON: Yes. 18 MR. GIBBS: If they have withdrawn all of 19 Tract A from this, can the City Commission then 20 go and expand their application, to include 21 Tract A, without it going back to First Reading 22 or even going back to the Planning Board? 23 You're increasing the intensity. 24 MR. LEEN: Yes. This was the scope of the 25 title. The title remained the same.

1 MR. GIBBS: Even though they withdrew that 2 aspect of it at the last meeting? 3 MR. LEEN: Well, I had interpreted it more 4 as the Commission approving it that way, with 5 agreement of the Applicant, but either way, 6 it's within the scope of the title. That would 7 be my opinion. MR. GIBBS: That's one of my clients' big 8 9 issue. 10 MR. LEEN: Now, I think the idea behind --11 just so you know, I think the idea behind that 12 was setting a precedent at Mid intensity, which 13 would ensure that this never be High intensity, 14 which I thought that that's what the residents 15 wanted. 16 COMMISSIONER KEON: The intent. 17 MR. GIBBS: I can just explain what my 18 clients have told me. 19 MR. LEEN: Okay. 20 MR. GIBBS: That their issue has been, 21 aside from the Mid-Rise issue, and that's -- we 22 brought it up at the last meeting, and Mr. Bass 23 or Ms. Russo confirmed that it wasn't going to 24 be Tract A anymore. 25 Tract A is a big issue, because of the

large size and the large depth, as Mr. Bass,
Mr. Hernandez, have talked about, that, that is
ripe for an even bigger development than this.

Putting in, even a Mid Rise, at that point, creates the invitation for whoever owns that property, to develop a rather large building on it, utilizing the same technique that you have offered, which is very convoluted, but I get where you're going. So you can have Mid-Rise and have something be a hundred and some odd feet, and that is the concern.

My clients, when they proffered our recommendation, their point was, this is it. We don't want to travel down this road again, but by doing all of Tract A, you all are basically inviting development on that other property. That's a concern of my clients.

MR. LEEN: Well, that's up to the Commission.

COMMISSIONER KEON: What our intention is, is to do all of Tract A, so we have dealt with that issue now.

MR. GIBBS: Okay. I'm just going to say, I don't think my clients are going to be very happy with that. That's all I can say.

.12

-1.3

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Well, speak with them.

MR. GIBBS: No, I've already spoken to them during the break and I can tell you that that's something that is going to be very problematic.

MAYOR CASON: All right. Well, it seems to me that we gave you another half an hour to try to work something out. You had the arbitration meeting --

COMMISSIONER KEON: I think, if we had given direction -- I'm not comfortable designing on the fly from the dais, and I know that the Planning Director isn't, either, and I would ask the Manager, what's your feeling on that?

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: If you want to continue this item to next week, when the Planning Director, the representatives -- narrow representatives from RNA, representatives from the Developer, can really plan this out, and you have a representative of the Commission to facilitate it, we're happy to schedule that.

MAYOR CASON: Let me ask, I asked the Developer this in the break, and you want -- you basically mentioned to me that your

1 financing people say they can't wait, it's now 2 or never. Is that correct? So more time is 3 not going to result in anything, because of the 4 pressure you're under. Is that correct? 5 Bottom line -- so that's the bottom line. 6 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right, but I'm not 7 prepared to do that tonight. You know, we've 8 had this discussion. I will not design from 9 the dais. 10 MAYOR CASON: Well, the issue is --11 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I don't think voting 12 right now is the best thing, Doctor. 13 apologize. I mean, I hear you loud and clear, 14 but, you know, if we vote on it, I think you 15 may end up being very unhappy. 16 COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes. 17 COMMISSIONER LAGO: You want to vote on it? 18 I don't think it's in the best interest of the 19 City. 20 COMMISSIONER KEON: No, it's not. 21 COMMISSIONER LAGO: So why don't we be 22 rational and just maybe have a discussion over 23 the next week and we can sit down and discuss 24 it?

COMMISSIONER KEON:

Mayor, is it

1 appropriate if you could poll the Commission as 2 to the Land Use, whether it be Mid-Rise -- or 3 that it is our intention to set this at Mid-Rise or who would set it at High-Rise --

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAYOR CASON: And is it going to be under the Mixed-Use --

COMMISSIONER KEON: It would still, under Mixed-Use, with a PAD, all of these provisions, but it's going to be set at Mid-Rise. Are you comfortable with that or not?

MAYOR CASON: I don't mind, but I'm getting the impression that the Developer says, you would prefer just to walk. More time is not going to resolve this. That's the key issue.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Hold on a second. I think it's a semantics issue, the Mid-Rise or High-Rise, if we're going to amend the Comp Plan in a way -- am I correct or incorrect about that, Mr. City Attorney? I'm not a Land Use expert.

MR. LEEN: The issue is, if you go to High-Rise, it allows potentially a building up to 190 feet. However, they are proffering a Now, if you approve something less than that, they may not proffer the covenant.

You just have to be aware of that, and then if this project doesn't go forward --

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: But the covenant would achieve that goal of, it wouldn't be High-Rise and it wouldn't be Mid-Rise. It would be this --

MR. LEEN: The covenant that would only apply as to the portion of Tract A that applies to them.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Correct.

MR. TRIAS: Mayor, there may be a third option, which is the Mixed-Use Land Use, which is used in the Industrial District and nowhere else, but it does exist and it may be a better way to achieve the height.

So I'm just saying, we need to think about that option, too, and I'm not ready to recommend one at this point.

MAYOR CASON: Well, the best offer that the Developer has told us, 135 feet on the hotel, and either Option A or B, and that's the best they can do. And they've told us that they cannot — having more time to discuss with the RNA will not result in anything new, so either they make a decision on what they're going to

1 do or we vote.

1.0

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I think Commissioner Keon's concern is similar to mine, of the impact, and that takes additional conversations.

So if I'm understanding Commissioner Keon correctly, and I think Commissioner Lago, as well --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: 110 percent.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Well, I haven't said it yet, so I don't think --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Listen, I know where you're going so --

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: But is that -- you're leaning towards the A scenario, is what I'm understanding, but before you would want to be comfortable with that, you'd want to hear further from our Staff specialists?

So I understand the Developer needs a decision now, and it's now or go home, and that's it, we walk away, but what I would submit is, so that we have it fully vetted on all aspects is, what about Thursday, what about Friday, you know? I know it's less than ideal, but it still gives you a few days to flush the

1 idea out. 2 MAYOR CASON: What about Monday, Tuesday or 3 Wednesday? Is that still not --4 MR. LEEN: I mean, one thing you should be 5 aware of, Mr. Mayor, at 10:00 p.m., according 6 to the Code, this hearing is automatically 7 continued to 9:00 tomorrow. 8 MAYOR CASON: He leaves Thursday, so he won't be around after Thursday. 9 10 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Not this Thursday, but 11 the following. 12 MR. LEEN: But you have to understand, it's 13 automatically continued to 9:00 tomorrow at 14 10:00 p.m., according to the Code. You have to 15 waive that provision. 16 COMMISSIONER KEON: He can do it next --17 MR. LEEN: There is no ability to extend 18 any further, unless you waive that provision. 19 MAYOR CASON: Do you see any advantage of 20 extending it until tomorrow before we --21 COMMISSIONER KEON: No. They don't have 22 time to do that. You know, we have an 23 obligation to this community to employ the 24 planning skills and department that we have. 25 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: A procedural item, you

1 said we'd have to waive that provision? 2 MR. LEEN: Yes, you would have to waive it. 3 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So I need to make a motion to waive that provision? 4 5 MR. LEEN: Yes. 6 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So moved. 7 COMMISSIONER KEON: I'll second it. 8 MAYOR CASON: Okay. City Clerk. 9 COMMISSIONER KEON: All in favor, aye. 1.0 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: You know, I will 11 say one thing. As we talk about what happens 12 with this project and whether or not this 13 happens or something else happens on the site, 14 I've been going on the computer, while people 15 have been talking, and absolutely big box 16 retailers have moved to an urban model, and 17 I'll read just one paragraph, but there are 18 several of them that could easily accomodate --19 based on the figures that your expert provided, 20 that could easily go onto this site 21 as-of-right. 22 Walmart, meanwhile, has opened 400 smaller 23 stores, ranging in size from 15,000 square feet 24 to 39,000 square feet. Your scenario was 45. 25 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Madam Manager,

respectfully, it's almost like you're submitting evidence in the proceeding.

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: I'm sorry. I'm not trying to. But that question of you asked from the Developer, what stores are looking, and they couldn't provide it. Anybody can go and find that.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I don't think it's appropriate.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: But Vice Mayor, I just want to be clear, also I asked her in the break to see if she could provide us with further information, because she has a little bit more knowledge, due to maybe some things that have happened in Hollywood, when she was in Hollywood. So that information, she was providing it to me.

MR. LEEN: You know, there's a motion.
You're waiving the rules. It requires a
four-fifth vote. You should vote now.

MAYOR CASON: Now, explain again what we're voting on.

MR. LEEN: In terms of the continuance automatically to 9:00 a.m. tomorrow. In one minute this hearing will be continued

1	automatically to 9:00 a.m. tomorrow.
2	COMMISSIONER KEON: You have to call the
3	roll, Walter.
4	MAYOR CASON: City Manager City Clerk,
5	would you call the roll?
6	THE CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada?
7	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.
8	THE CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick?
9	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yes.
10	THE CLERK: Commissioner Keon?
11	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
12	THE CLERK: Commissioner Lago?
13	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes.
14	THE CLERK: Mayor Cason?
15	MAYOR CASON: Yes.
16	MR. BASS: Can we possibly return before
17	you on Friday?
18	COMMISSIONER KECN: No.
19	MAYOR CASON: This Friday?
20	COMMISSIONER KEON: No. I can come back
21	next Wednesday night.
22	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'm available on
23	Wednesday night.
24	MAYOR CASON: I'm available at any time,
25	but he's leaving Thursday next week.

1 COMMISSIONER LAGO: The next day. So I'm 2 available on Wednesday night. 3 COMMISSIONER KEON: We can do it Wednesday, 4 and it gives him adequate time to redo this 5 issue. 6 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Tucker, how about you? 7 MR. TUCKER: Wednesday, I've got a hearing 8 at the City of Miami, starting at 6:30, at the 9 Planning Board. 10 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Can we make it in 11 the daytime? 12 MAYOR CASON: Yeah, make it at any time. 13 MR. TUCKER: Yeah. I mean, I'm fine before 14 that. 15 COMMISSIONER KEON: I mean, you need to 16 have -- these people need to be available. 17 MR. BASS: Monday, Tuesday? 18 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'm available. 19 available. 20 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I'm available. 21 You have an issue Monday and Tuesday. 22 COMMISSIONER KEON: Monday, I kind of have 23 an issue. You know what, I can do Tuesday. 24 Monday I have an issue. 25 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Tuesday is fine with

1	me.
2	MAYOR CASON: Next Tuesday.
3	COMMISSIONER KEON: It's the 15th.
4	MAYOR CASON: Is that something you can
5	live with?
6	COMMISSIONER KEON: At five o'clock.
7	MAYOR CASON: Can you come back? It's kind
8	of important.
9	Actually, you don't need to come back,
10	because if we can continue the discussions
11	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: You can attend by
12	phone and have your representatives here.
13	MR. BASS: Is there no option this week?
14	COMMISSIONER KEON: No.
15	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I'm available.
16	MAYOR CASON: I'm available.
17	COMMISSIONER KEON: No, I'm not.
18	MAYOR CASON: Not at all? At any time?
19	We're only Tuesday. Can we do it on Saturday?
20	COMMISSIONER LAGO: If I have to.
21	MAYOR CASON: Is Saturday
22	COMMISSIONER KEON: We can do it on
23	Saturday.
24	(Simultaneous speaking.)
25	MAYOR CASON: I'm willing to do it on

1	Saturday.
2	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Same here.
3	MAYOR CASON: Let's do it Saturday.
4	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. Let's get it
5	done.
6	MAYOR CASON: All in favor
7	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Hold on. I can't
8	do it on Saturday.
9	COMMISSIONER KEON: You can't do it on
10	Saturday?
11	MR. LEEN: Commissioner Slesnick says she
12	can't do it on Saturday.
1.3	COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay.
14	MR. GIBBS: I'm going to be at a fundraiser
15	for the Thelma Gibson Foundation on Saturday.
16	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Can we recess so we
17	can look at our calendars real quick?
18	MR. LEEN: Let's just get this set.
19	MAYOR CASON: Monday, Tuesday or Wednesday,
20	9:00 to 12:00.
21	MR. LEEN: In the morning, Wednesday morning.
22	COMMISSIONER KEON: I don't care. You
23	know, you need to accomodate an hour that these
24	people can attend.
25	MAYOR CASON: Monday, Tuesday or Wednesday

is an offer from Commissioner Slesnick. 1 time between 9:00 and 12:00. 3 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Are you going to the UM Development meeting on Thursday? COMMISSIONER KEON: I was, yeah. 6 MAYOR CASON: Yeah, we have to. 7 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: What does your 8 schedule look after that? 9 COMMISSIONER KEON: I want to make sure 10 that Planning has enough time to deal with 11 this. I don't want to push it. Let me go get 12 my calendar, but I want them to have time. 13 MAYOR CASON: Okay. The clock has stopped. 14 It's not moving, so --15 (Simultaneous speaking.) 16 MAYOR CASON: I will accommodate anybody's 17 schedule. 18 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Mr. Mayor, as 19 you're looking at dates, I did talk to Ramon, 20 and he said that he needs a couple of days, but 21 if you wanted it on Friday, we could figure out 22 how to do it on Friday. 23 MAYOR CASON: Okay. How about Friday? 24 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'm available. 25 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Can Mr. Trias

1	communicate that to Commissioner Keon, because
2	that was her concern?
3	MR. LEEN: You need to wait for
4	Commissioner Keon. She said she wasn't
5	available. Are you available on Friday,
6	Commissioner Keon?
7	MAYOR CASON: Everybody else is available
8	Friday in the morning. Can you
9	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Ramon Trias said that
10	it would be sufficient time.
11	MAYOR CASON: Can you do that? Ramon has
12	enough time if we do it Friday morning.
13	COMMISSIONER KEON: That's fine.
14	COMMISSIONER LAGO: What time is good for
15	you, Tucker?
16	MR. GIBBS: I just have to be in Fort
17	Lauderdale at 1:30 for a client meeting.
18	MAYOR CASON: Let's start as early as you
19	want. Eight o'clock.
20	MR. GIBBS: Other than that, I'm fine.
21	MAYOR CASON: Seven o'clock. We can start
22	at whatever time we have to, to resolve this.
23	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Ramon, I want to make
24	sure you feel comfortable about this, okay?
25	MR. TRIAS: Mayor, what I would feel

1 comfortable with is some clear direction, in terms of what your expectations are. If you can give me that direction, I'll work with the Applicant. MR. LEEN: I mean, I think what we need to know is, do you want to go to High intensity, which is what --COMMISSIONER KEON:

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LEEN: No, we need to know that, Ramon, because that is the simplest way to proceed, but there's other ways to proceed, which are similar to what Commissioner Keon said, and we need to prepare those for you, if you're not going to go to High intensity.

MR. TRIAS: I think there are three basic ways, High intensity is one, the Mid-Rise is the other one, and maybe there's a Mixed-Use Land Use that we can use. Those are the three basic options that I see, in terms of Land Use.

COMMISSIONER KEON: That's right, and Mid-Rise, I think, for the benefit of this community and for the planning that we want to do, and what our vision is, Mid Rise is the Land Use.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: We need to understand

1	these two options, what the impact would be
2	under those three scenarios, for that location,
3	and the surrounding locations. That's the task
4	for you to come back to us
5	MAYOR CASON: Can you be ready by Friday?
6	MR. TRIAS: Yes, I can be ready by Friday.
7	COMMISSIONER KEON: Can we meet at one
8	o'clock Friday?
9	MAYOR CASON: You can do it at any time, as
10	far as I'm concerned.
11	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Tucker, you have a
12	1:30 on Friday, right?
13	MR. GIBBS: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER KEON: What do you have at
15	1:30? You can't change yours?
16	MR. TRIAS: As long as the Applicant's
17	architect
18	MR. LEEN: What about 2:00?
19	MR. GIBBS: I'm in Fort Lauderdale. I've
20	got to be in Fort Lauderdale at 1:30 to be at a
21	client meeting, and it's dealing with just what
22	we're doing here
23	MAYOR CASON: How about an early morning
24.	meeting?
25	MR. LEEN: 8:00 a.m.?

1 COMMISSIONER KEON: You know, if we need 2 time --3 MAYOR CASON: I propose eight o'clock 4 Friday. That way you have a chance to get to 5 Fort Lauderdale. Ramon has two full days to 6 work the options. And the Applicant is 7 stretching --8 MR. TRIAS: Do you have any opinion on 9 those options that were proposed by the 10 Applicant? 11 MAYOR CASON: I like B. 12 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I like B, also. 13 COMMISSIONER KEON: Which is the lower one? 14 MAYOR CASON: Let's have a poll on this. 15 Who is in favor of B versus A? 16 COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, you know, I'm 17 very concerned with the setbacks. I like the 18 height of the 112. I like that it's not going 19 to go over, but those setbacks, I don't think 20 work, and that's why you can't say it here on 21 this dais. 22 They need to sit down and look at the 23 setbacks and draw the setbacks, so that you 24 know what that means. You can't do it from 25 here.

_

1.3

MR. TRIAS: Mayor, if I could say a couple of things. This Applicant has been very -- not very responsive, in terms of re-design, and so unless they commit to really re-design and work effectively the next couple of days, I cannot promise that we can come up with a solution, and my experience so far is that, that has gone very slowly. So we need a commitment from the Applicant.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right.

MAYOR CASON: All right. Well, let's give him a shot.

COMMISSIONER KEON: You know, at this point, if Mr. Reynolds doesn't believe that he can do this project, under the conditions that we are setting forth, and he chooses to walk away, that's a business risk that he takes.

MAYOR CASON: And you would tell us that on Friday.

COMMISSIONER KEON: That's the decision that he makes. Otherwise we will continue to talk, as long as -- but, you know what, I, as a Commissioner, will not be held hostage by what your business plan is or what your -- any of this. We will do what we believe is in the

1 best interest of this community. 2 I won't be held hostage by a community, a 3 neighbor, a group. I won't be held hostage by 4 a developer. So, you know what, everybody better sit down and talk. 5 6 MR. BASS: And just to clarify, the public 7 hearing will be closed --8 MAYOR CASON: No more public hearing. 9 That's finished. This will be your discussion, 10 with the lawyer with the RNA, and the City 11 Staff. Come back and tell us if you found a 12 solution, if you found any other options for 13 us. At that stage, you can tell us what you 14 plan to do. We can vote, if that's an option 15 for us. 16 Or if the Applicant says, no progress and 17 wants to walk, that's their option. 18 So eight o'clock on Friday. Everybody can 19 make it? 20 MR. LEEN: So, Mr. Mayor, you should do a 21 motion and a second and vote to continue to 22 8:00 a.m. on Friday. 23 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So moved. 24 MAYOR CASON: Okay. So the Vice Mayor 25 makes the motion --

1	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Second the motion.
2	MAYOR CASON: Commissioner Lago second.
3	City Clerk.
4	THE CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick?
5	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yes.
6	THE CLERK: Commissioner Keon?
7	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
8	THE CLERK: Commissioner Lago?
9	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes.
10	THE CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada?
11	MR. LEEN: Vice Mayor Quesada?
12	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.
13	THE CLERK: Mayor Cason?
14	MAYOR CASON: Yes.
15	Okay. Thank you very much. Meeting
16	adjourned.
17	(Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 10:10
18	p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3 ,	STATE OF FLORIDA:
4	SS.
5	COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:
- 6	
7	
8	
9	I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary
10	Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby
11	certify that I was authorized to and did
12	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
13	that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
1.4	stenographic notes.
15	
16	DATED this 17th day of December, 2015.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	NIEWEG GINGHER
23	NIEVES SANCHEZ
24	