CITY OF CORAL GABLES BUDGET/AUDIT ADVISORY BOARD

Meeting Minutes: Thursday, December 3, 2015

Conference Room First Floor, City Hall, 405 Biltmore Way, Coral Gables, Florida

MEMBERS	D	J	F	M	Α	M	J	J	Α	S	0	N	APPOINTED BY:		
	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15			
Jose E. Smith	Р		Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	-	Р	Р	E	-	Mayor Jim Cason		
Erin Knight	Р	-	-	- "	-	-	-	-	Р	Р	Р	-	Commissioner Jeanette Slesnick		
Alex Menendez	E		Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	-	Р	Ρ	Р	-	Commissioner Vince Lago		
John Holian	Е	-	Р	Р	Α	Р	Р	-	Р	Р	Р	-	Commissioner Frank C. Quesada		
Cheryl Goldstein	Р	-	Р	E	Р	Р	Р		Р	P	Р	-	Commissioner Pat Keon		

(Dash indicates no meeting: blank spaceindicate member not yet serving.)

- ^- New Member
- #- Special meeting
- **- Resigned Member

Staff:

Diana Gomez, Finance Director Lori St. John, Chief Compliance Officer Keith Kleiman, Budget Director

Noemi Darias-Santiel, Human Resources

Minutes preparation and Recording Secretary: Estela Valle, Bailey and Sanchez Court Reporting, Inc.

1	CITY OF CORAL GABLES									
2	BUDGET/AUDIT ADVISORY BOARD									
3	MEETING: THURSDAY, DECEMBER 3, 2015, 8:07 A.M.									
4	FIRST FLOOR, CITY HALL									
5	405 BILTMORE WAY, CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA									
6										
7	MEMBERS PRESENT:									
8	CHERYL GOLDSTEIN, VICE CHAIR JOSE SMITH ERIN KNIGHT									
10										
11	CITY STAFF PRESENT:									
12	DIANA GOMEZ, FINANCE DIRECTOR LORI ST. JOHN, CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER									
13	KEITH KLEIMAN, BUDGET DIRECTOR NOEMI DARIAS-SANTIEL, HUMAN RESOURCES									
14	NOEMI DANIAS SANTIEB, NOEMA NESCONCES									
15										
16										
17										
18										
1.9										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24	COPY									
25										

```
1
     THEREUPON:
 2
               (The following proceedings were held.)
 3
               MS. GOLDSTEIN: Do we have a quorom?
 4
               MS. GOMEZ: Yes, we have a quorom.
 5
               MS. GOLDSTEIN: I'll call the meeting to order at-- I
          can't see-- 8:04. It's only 8:04. My watch says 8:15.
 6
 7
          That's why I was early.
               MS. GOMEZ: Did you want to wait like three or four
 8
          more minutes?
 9
10
               MS. GOLDSTEIN: Yes, I do.
               (Thereupon a discussion-off-the record was had, after
11
          which the following proceedings were had:)
12
               MS. GOLDSTEIN: When it's ten after, we'll start.
13
14
          Three more minutes.
15
               MS. KNIGHT: We haven't heard from Alex?
               MS. GOMEZ: I haven't heard either way.
16
               MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. So we'll start. It's 8:07.
17
               John's supposed to come?
18
19
               MS. GOMEZ: John said that he-- yes.
20
               MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. So has everyone read the
21
          minutes from October 29th?
22
               MS. ST. JOHN: Yes.
23
               MS. GOLDSTEIN: Can I have a motion to accept--
               MS. ST. JOHN: Actually, I would like to make some
24
25
          comments on the minutes. I had an opportunity to review
```

them, since I was not present at that last meeting, and I wanted to make some comments to clarify and correct the record.

I'll be as brief as possible, but it's really important. Obviously, you're aware of the fact that the majority of the record had to do with the potential for outsourcing the internal audit function.

In that regard, I went through some of the comments, and for the benefit of the Board, I really think it's important to clarify some of the statements.

I know you don't have the minutes in front of you, but, for the record, on Page 31 and 32, and I'll quote, there were comments made regarding the Biltmore Hotel audit, specifically as it pertains to the National Park Service.

On Page 31 and 32, both, there was a comment by

Ms. Gomez, speaking about the external auditors doing the
audit, and I quote: "Right, but that's-- the external
auditors do that audit. Lori assists them, but the
external auditor is the one that issues a report on that
Biltmore audit." And, again, on 32, "Well, there's two
separate things. There's an every two-year National Park
Service audit that has to be done, and our external
auditors do it, and Lori assists in getting some of that
information for the auditors," et cetera.

I just want to clarify the record that I actually am the one that does this report. I issue this binder, this report, to the National Park Service. It's quite an extensive project. I sign the report, and I actually give all of the information to the external auditors, and gather all of the information from the Biltmore Hotel.

1.1

1.2

As Finance is aware, we actually never, in the past, issued this report to the National Park Service, because we didn't know that we had to, but part of our obligation, and the contract between the Federal Government and the City of Coral Gables, is that we do report on what's called, revenue producing property, which is the Biltmore, and in that regard, we are responsible— this is a schedule that I prepare— for issuing and noting all of the external expenditures in the City of Coral Gables, which is quite voluminous.

To that, we also have a debt schedule, which is really quite comprehensive, that we work on together, in order to get those numbers to substantiate how we spend the money.

It was quite a very controversial thing in the beginning, because the Biltmore Hotel and the legal counsel disputed how we were spending that revenue. They wanted to use the money to be spent at the Biltmore. We, of course, interpreted the Statute and the regulation and the contract language, so that we spent the money at the City of Coral

Gables, for historic expenditures, which is what we do.

So I just wanted to make sure that that was clear, because I spend a lot of time every two years doing that report, and it's really important to follow through with that.

MS. GOMEZ: Just for clarification, I was talking about the actual audit report. The audited opinion is from the auditor. So that's really all that I was referencing.

MS. ST. JOHN: Yeah. No, I know it was absolutely unintentional, but for the benefit of them, they wouldn't really know.

MS. GOMEZ: Sure, absolutely.

MS. ST. JOHN: I just thought it was really to do that. And then, secondarily, there was a comment made on Page 27, and then, again, referencing on Page 31, and for your benefit, I'll talk to you— the reference is made from the City Manager, and on the top of Page 27, it says, "And so, looking back, when the internal auditor first arrived, it was a contract position. There was a contract between them and the City." And, again, on 31, it makes reference to a contract.

I just want it to be known that I have been a regular employee of the City since April of 2008. I have-- for a very short period of time, I was a contract employee, where the City Manager had recognized that I wanted to achieve a

life goal of writing a book, and I did, and I was fortunate enough to sell the film option to it, but the book was about wrongful convictions, and the reason why that's important, as I move into another thing that I will clarify, is that the knowledge that I have in that regard is very useful, with regard to one of the comments made about the police department and further audit.

So I want to clarify that I have been an employee of the City for quite some period of time, and I enjoy the work that I do, both as audits and nonaudits. What the Board doesn't know, and I think it would be important to know, is that, similar to what I do for the National Park Service, I do a lot of nonaudit reports, and what we call, special projects, for the City Manager's Office.

In fact, I summarize them, and I have done 34 special projects since I've been here. Just recently I had seven special projects appointed to me by the City Manager, two significant ones. One was on contract management, which I made a report, which was quite voluminous. I utilized best practices to look at the top vendors, which would be most particular and useful for the City of Coral Gables, with regard to contract management.

MS. GOLDSTEIN: Can I interrupt you for a second? And it was my understanding that you were going to give that list of projects and all of the audits to that independent

group that was looking into it.

MS. ST. JOHN: Yes.

MS. GOLDSTEIN: And the last time I talked to them, they were waiting for it. I'm assuming that they have it?

MS. ST. JOHN: Yes. They have the list of both nonaudit reports and the audited reports. So that clarification, I think, would be really important.

And then, again, with reference to-- there was an outstanding issue, and a comment made to the property in the evidence room audit, and I will refer you to Pages 17 and 18, just for reference in the record. Page 17 and 18 refers to quite a long discussion with regard to the police department audit, and the potential for having an external group for the audit, and I'll quote, "As a part of the inventory of what we have in-- and we have a great police department, COLEA Accredited. Meet gold standard, in fact. We meet all of the minimum requirements for audit, but when you have 20 rape kits in a police refrigerator, and that's where they're stored, you have to ask yourself, not only confirm that there were 20 rape kits, but ask the question, why? Because they really should be with the medical examiner, and getting under review. We have an obligation to move those investigations forward.

And then there was a discussion thereafter, with regard to it being a national problem, a local problem. I

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

want to make sure it's very clear, and that some of you may or may not know, as part of my background, I'm the former founder and director of the Innocent Project at the Rutgers School of Law, where I graduated, and part of the knowledge that I bring to that audit is having some information with regard to wrongful convictions, which is what they're speaking about, and DNA testing.

The State Attorney actually issues a directive, with regard to those rape kits. So it's not that any of those rape kits were ever forgotten or misplaced or anything like that. 20 rape kits, which is what we're talking about, from 1975, which we actually have some evidence, all of the way up to 2015, is absolutely fabulous, actually.

The police department of Coral Gables does a phenomenal job in following through with processing things, as they are actually told. When they get a directive from the State Attorney's Office, it's usually because a victim doesn't want to proceed. You know, there's a specific reason why that's not being done.

I also want to make reference to the fact that the

Manager mentioned the Institute-- pardon me, the

International Association of the Chiefs of Police, and in

one of the talks that I gave recently, which the Manager

approved, I spoke to the Florida Division of the

International Association of Identification on the National

Summit of wrongful convictions referencing the Chiefs of Police, and they reference the best practices. It's a cumulation of all of the best experts in our country from the Innocent Project, for Chiefs of Police, professors at universities, you name it, they're there.

In conjunction with that, there's something called Biological Evidence Preservation Handbook, which talks about best practices for handling evidence-- biological evidence and regular evidence in the police department.

So, as you know, and as a CPA, you know that when you have a scope to an audit, you meet certain objectives and results. So in this particular regard, our audit is to ascertain that the chain of custody is intact, and that we have safekeeping of our assets, and that's exactly what we do every single year. So I thought that was very important for you to get kind of that, you know, background information, with regard to the importance of that.

The other thing I wanted to mention is that in the minutes on Page 29-- I had an extensive conversation with the external auditor, and a brief conversation with the City Manager, with regard to the pros and cons of outsourcing internal audit. I actually presented a report of the pros and cons of outsourcing to the City Manager.

In conjunction with that-- when we initially started doing that, the City Manager had appointed the Finance

Director to bring together an outside firm to do the review. In doing that, you know, I had an objection to that, not on a personal level, but on an independent and objective level, and in that regard, I'm going to point out why I'm bringing this up.

2.5

On Page 29, for the record, there was a reference by the auditor, quote, "I think one of the things that we're going to need from Diana and from all of you is expectations."

In that regard, I was kind of a little bit surprised about that, because initially when the City Manager asked the Finance Director to bring about an external auditor, I brought out my objection for the sole reason of a lack of independence and objectivity, and I issued a Memo to the City Manager in that regard. That Memo is a public record. In that Memo, I outlined all of the reasons why that should not be. It's kind of like taking the Public Works Director or someone— any auditee, and asking them to opine or gather, and that's really a conflict of interest. But it went beyond that.

As a result of that, the City Manager removed that aspect of the review, and she decided, on her own, that, yes, you are correct in that regard, that I will hire someone and do it on my own independently. So I had that discussion with the external auditors, and I was kind of

surprised that we were still, you know, having that discussion, because, I think, with all due respect, there is a lack of independence there. You never go to an auditee and ask them to review, you know, the auditor. I mean, that's just typically not done. So I just wanted, for the record, to put that out there.

And then, finally, to move on to the audit and all of the other agenda, there's one final thing I thought that would put things into proper perspective, for the record, and for the benefit of the Board. The issue of costs and what have you was brought up on Page 24 and 36.

The external auditor mentioned the problem with maybe-- and I quote, "If that person is out or is on vacation or is not-- maybe doesn't have enough training for a certain area, then you limit that person. If you go external, then you may have the firm to do it, and that firm may have different individuals within the firm that are more experts in one area than another, and that you can draw in other resources."

I'm going to comment on three things. A, with any position throughout the City, whether it's the Manager, the Director, any staff member who is a key member of the City of Coral Gables, everyone takes vacation. Everyone potentially has an accident or injury or something. I think that's, you know, neither here nor there. When it

comes to being an expert, it is very common, and you probably would know this, you had some great questions, and I really appreciate it, Cheryl, with regard to being an expert, an internal audits function, as you know, is reviewing the efficiency and affectiveness of operations in the City, but there are some limitations, with regard to that knowledge. And to give you some perspective on that, if they ask you to do an audit of IT, well, I don't have knowledge of the specificS of IT, neither do all of the auditors that I know have specific knowledge, and so what an internal auditor does in all cities that I have spoken to, and I'm very close with many of the auditors in the cities in the State of Florida, and have actually been a speaker at some of these events, they bring an outside consultant to do that, okay. We need someone to do IT, we're going to bring in that.

I just spoke to Roger Straut (phonetic), a friend of mine in West Palm Beach, he brought in someone to review procurement, with specific knowledge. So that doesn't mean that just because you don't have that one knowledge, then all of a sudden, you know, you don't have knowledge with regard to the rest of the City. So I wanted to bring that up.

And then, lastly-- I'm trying to be very quick for you guys-- the matter of cost was brought up. The auditor

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

charged \$5,000 for 35 hours, which ends up to be \$142.65 an hour. My hourly rate is \$64.36. That's what I get paid an hour. I get paid a salary. I don't get overtime. So if I work 60 hours, 100 hours, that's all I get. I don't get paid overtime, as opposed to external auditors, who get paid by the hour. In fact, if you take my \$64 rate, and very roughly speaking, you add 40 percent for pension, 7 percent for Social Security, which is actually 6.2, up to 118,501.45 for Medicare, and 7 percent for health insurance, which is actually less, it came out, for me, that's roughly about 55 percent to the base salary. If you take 1.55 times 64; 36, it's \$99.76 per hour, which is still substantially less than 142.65.

1.7

I just kind of wanted to put that in perspective, with regard to salaries and benefits, because you're going to hear that.

And then, finally, I am also here to answer questions. You may have questions, and in all fairness— I mean, it's really important. Many of you Board members are here new. John, and I'm sorry he's not here, he's been here for many, many years, and as you heard him say in one of the recent Board meetings, there's even a big difference from auditor to auditor, as opposed to external auditor and internal auditor. And I am proud and very happy that he acknowledged the fact that since I've been here, there's

been substantial changes, and a lot of information that's 1 flowed to the Board that's been extremely useful for 2 Management and the City Manager's Office. 3 So that being said, I just wanted to correct the 4 minutes for the benefit of the Board and the general public 5 and Commissioners, and I thank you for your time. 6 7 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you, Lori. I'll make sure the 8 others know to read the minutes from this meeting to hear 9 your comments. 10 MS. ST. JOHN: Thank you. 11 MS. GOMEZ: For the record, the minutes, as they stand 12 from the last meeting, are staying the way they are. MS. ST. JOHN: Correct. 13 MS. GOMEZ: These are just clarifications that will be 14 in the new minutes, right, because since you weren't here, 15 they can't be new corrections. 16 MS. ST. JOHN: Of course. 17 18 MS. GOMEZ: Just clarifications, okay. 19 MS. KNIGHT: So, motion--20 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Yes, please. 21 MS. KNIGHT: --To approve the minutes? 22 MR. SMITH: I second. MS. GOLDSTEIN: All in favor? Aye. So the motions 23 24 are approved. 25 We have a couple of items on the agenda today.

we have the discussion regarding headcount, and vacancies 1 in the police and fire. 2 MS. GOMEZ: Elsa Jaramillo Velez is the Human 3 Resources Director. She has a conflict with her Insurance 4 Advisory Board, which is happening as we speak. She 5 couldn't be here. Her meeting is going on. So Noemi-- I'm 6 7 sorry, I don't know your last name. MS. DARIAS-SANTIEL: Darias. 8 MS. GOMEZ: Darias from HR is going to go over-- at 9 the last meeting, the Board had requested that we be given 10 an update of the hiring and vacancies and recruitment 11 having to do with Police and Fire. 12 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you. And just for the other 13 members, I did note that in Commissioner Slesnick's survey 14 that this did come-- it was listed as one of the issues to 1.5 select in the ranking of what is important to you as a 16 citizen, so--17 MS. DARIAS-SANTIEL: What is important to me as a 18 19 citizen? MS. GOLDSTEIN: No, no, no. She has a survey out, and 20 she listed a whole bunch of things for the citizens to, in 21 the survey, mark off if it was an issue to them. So 22 obviously it's known as an issue, and our Committe reviews 23 the reports, and so we just wanted some more information. 24 MS. DARIAS-SANTIEL: Okay, sure.

Let me give this to you. I'll share it with you, as well. On Page 1, we have the police. Total funded positions are 143. Our current vacancies are 17. There's an attrition forecast through May 2016 of 6. Currently-if you move down, and you see, Status, you have the same category, it says preliminary background, conditional offers issued, and so forth, we have six that have conditional offers issued, and they're in extended background investigation.

Extended background means they are ready, at the state that they're going to be interviewed, and they're going to be meeting with the Chief, and they're very close to finally being hired. That's with Police.

Do you have any questions?

MS. GOLDSTEIN: So this is what's in the pipeline?

MS. DARIAS-SANTIEL: Exactly. Six are in the pipeline. That's for police officers. And you also wanted fire, correct, or do you want every position in the police department--

MS. GOLDSTEIN: No, no, no.

MS. DARIAS-SANTIEL: --Or are you only interested in police officers?

MS. GOLDSTEIN: Do you guys have any-- I think we can look at the report, which is in more detail, but I think at a higher level is fine.

24

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. DARIAS-SANTIEL: All right.

MS. GOLDSTEIN: And I guess we should—— I guess I could ask, on the police officers, besides the six that will be then coming in, if you can maybe—— if you have any background, explain what all is happening to fill the other positions.

MS. DARIAS-SANTIEL: I'll give you a better scope of the recruitment efforts. We go to job fairs, but we're taking another avenue. We're exploring coming out to the community, to the local businesses. That's a new thing-- a new initiative we have, because we realize that sometimes you go to the job fairs, and you're not capturing everybody that could possibly be interested. Not everybody goes to your table. As much as you want to attract them, not all of them come and engage in conversation with you.

We're also considering whenever you have community events, let's say, like the tree lighting ceremony that you're going to be having this Friday, something like that, to have our table out there, because people from the community come out, but not only them, you get people from other communities to come to see your events, as well, and start recruitment efforts there, as well. That's something that we just thought of, because we attended a webinar, and that was a good point in that webinar. And we think it's a good idea, and we're going to try exploring that. We do

get applicants. It's not that we're not getting them. The whole issue is how do you get them to continue the process after they apply. It's one thing to go on-line and fill out the application, and another one is to download a packet, and come in with all of the information. That's where we're losing them. So my goal is to reach out, once I receive somebody who has applied on-line, and I know there's a period of seven days, approximately, if I haven't heard from them, I want to start reaching out to them, to see, you know, how we can help them through the process.

Maybe they're confused, puzzled, whatever it is. Maybe it's something that they're not used to. It's a department that requires a lot of, you know, back-up in order to even be considered.

With the six that we have in the pipeline, I have two other ones that I have moved on to background, that they have not started anything on them, but those are two possible candidates, and that was this week we moved them on. So in reality, it might be coming soon, so--

MS. GOLDSTEIN: And Fire?

MS. DARIAS-SANTIEL: Let me move on to Fire. Fire is on Page 10. We have a total number of 139 funded positions, and current vacancies are 7. There's an attrition forecast through May 2016 of two.

We had a Fire Entrance Exam. That was actually my

2.3

first day on the job. That's the day they started that exam, and we had 75 complete the exam, 70 passed, and we scheduled all 70 applicants to be interviewed the week of November 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20th. Give or take, not all 70 came, maybe 67 came to the interview, and we're in the process of validating our scores for the interview, and combining the Fire Entrance Exam score with the interview score, and coming up with the top—probably top 20, and then move on from there. That was very successful. We had a good outcome for Fire.

MS. GOLDSTEIN: On the Police side, I just have a question, and then we can move on, if anyone else doesn't have any questions.

Would we consider -- like, do you think it's a salary issue or do you think it's just a timing issue? I know you have only been here like a month or so.

What's that?

(Simultaneous speaking.)

MR. KLEIMAN: I apologize.

MS. GOLDSTEIN: Maybe you can come back, as you get to know and see what the issues are. Just through my time here, it's always seemed that it's always something that we've worked on, and kind of we were hoping, as a committee-- I can speak for everyone, now that a permanent Chief was appointed, that there would be less questions of

1.3

1.4

people-- on people's minds of where the department was 1 2 going, and the culture, and the jobs situation. 3 hopefully it will continue and these eight people will join, but you don't have to comment. You have only been here a month. 5 6 MS. DARIAS-SANTIEL: I can't comment, because I don't 7 know enough. I still have to get a feel. 8 MS. GOLDSTEIN: You can pass those questions along. MS. DARIAS-SANTIEL: Okay. 9 10 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Anything else? 11 So we'll move on to Lori's reports, which I did read. I think they were pretty clean. 12 13 MS. ST. JOHN: Yes. 14 MS. GOLDSTEIN: But if you can, quickly--15 MS. ST. JOHN: Yes, and I know this is one of John's 16 favorites, so I'm real sorry he's not here. The Automotive 17 Oil, Grease and Fuel Physical Inventory. Basically, this is done at the end of every fiscal year, in order to 18 19 provide Finance with the final numbers for adjustment for the Financial Statements. 20 21 A couple of years ago we had a revision of standard policies and procedures. Actually, at some point we didn't 22 23 have any. This year-- I just wanted to note, before I got 24 into this, that we have changed the polices and procedures

in the Automotive Department. Unfortunately it came after

1 2

we lost one of the key employees, and so one of those procedures would have been to have what's called— what the Director calls it, a retention policy to have key employees around the City outline specifically what they do, which is very different than the job description. So that if we lose them suddently, like we did this specific employee, and it has happened— Ray Vorzick (phonetic) left. We were trying to get him back. I don't know if he's back.

MS. GOMEZ: I think I heard he was.

MS. ST. JOHN: Yeah, part-time. We tried to get him back part-time. There were some problems with that. But key employees like that, it's so important to really be able to have an exit conference in HR, that not just says what did we do right, wrong, why are you leaving, but also make sure we have a detailed description of what they do, how they do it, interactions and what have you. So I just wanted to report that that would be, you know, important to follow through on.

But in that regard, it was a very clean audit. There were only two recommendations. We obviously look at all—for those that have not had the benefit of this audit in the past—all of the scope and methodology is outlined on Page 2. We look at the fuel handling procedures, the purchase invoices. We look at the invoices to get a valuation of the fuel, with regard to purchases and

1 consumption.

If you look at Page 6, I just wanted one brief comment. You will notice there's a substantial decrease—what looks to be—a decrease in the fuel and in the consumption numbers and in the inventory numbers from one year to another. And it's a little bit misleading, and that's only because there was a substantial drop in the cost per unit of gasoline. So it dropped like 57 percent, the cost. So it's not so much that, oh, we're spending less, it's just that it costs less to purchase and consume our gasoline.

So with that said, with a cummulation of all of the numbers, we proposed a journal entry of, Finance needed.

We had another journal entry that was proposed just for a reclassification of an expenditure, and Finance is going to have the motorpool make that— you know, bring it to their attention, as well, and we may— I think that's already been made, right, Diana? So it's already been made, and so we believe that the internal controls are adequate, and we are adjusting the journal entries. And if you have any other questions, I'm here to answer them. If not, I'll conclude the audit of Automotive Oil, Grease and Fuel.

MS. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you.

Any other comment?

MS. ST. JOHN: No, no. That was it.

MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. And so we can go on to the quarterly report?

MS. GOMEZ: No. There's still the--

MS. GOLDSTEIN: Sorry, the bank reconciliation.

MS. ST. JOHN: Oh, the bank reconciliations-- I-- we typically-- I'll share just-- typically we had two bank reconciliations, that's why I say plural. We now have three, only because we're adding one more, and deleting another one. For security purposes, I just won't get further than that. But typically I review all of the activity and the undercover operations accounts, which flows through those bank reconciliations, just to see if there are any unusual activity, and if there is unusual activity, I speak with those in charge, the Sergeant and the Lieutenant, to gain knowledge, with regard to what is an activity, and make sure that I'm comfortable with it, and that it's an accurate, verifiable expenditure. So with that being said, that has been accomplished, and there's nothing to report, anything unusual with undercover activities within the City of Coral Gables.

MS. ST. JOHN: I'm really not privy to answer that

MS. KNIGHT: Who's the bank? What bank do we use?

MS. GOMEZ: Because they're undercover accounts, so we can't disclose them.

25

24

information.

MS. ST. JOHN: Yeah. So I can't really disclose that or any of the information. As Diana just stated, I can't do that.

MS. GOMEZ: And we know, but--

MS. ST. JOHN: Yes, we know.

MS. GOMEZ: And we take care of them.

MS. ST. JOHN: I mean, I just really need to look to see whether there's anything unusual, you know, because—you know, who's looking at, you know, undercover. Someone needs to oversee them. Better it be someone in the City, because we need to keep it contained, than to have anyone on the outside look at that. So that's done every quarter.

MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. Thank you. Keith.

MR. KLEIMAN: Okay. The quarterly overtime report, if you had a chance to review it, is very, very clean now.

There's not much to talk about, except maybe change. What we're going to have to do is change.

Just a little history. When the City was facing all of the budget cuts back in '09 and 10, two City Managers ago, put into place-- he lowered overtime budgets tremendously, and they cut back. There were abuses. We all know that. And another way to lower it, was, they set about a regular overtime amount, which was the total overtime budget. That was the control. That was the 14-00 account. They've also created an overtime reimbursement

side, and that basically further reduced that overtime amount, because it can only be used for reimbursement of overtime. So the City would expend it, get it back, but you couldn't use it for regular overtime. So the net overtime was low. And, as you know, over the number of years, with the number of vacancies, it just wasn't a

realistic amount of money.

The current Commission has authorized, and we've already put this in place in operations in '15, but it was apples and oranges to compare '15 to '14. So this is more of a transition year.

In '16, it's already in the budget. We have already segregated that. You're going to have two seperate buckets of overtime. The 14-00 account is regular overtime. It is not going to be netted against the 14-01 account, which is the reimbursable account. There is another account, which is the Burglary Task Force. That's a separate account. So they will all be free-standing, okay. So in actuality, by doing that, we upped the regular overtime account, because it wasn't being netted against the 14-01, okay. So the contrary account number is not there anymore.

So what we're going to do for next year is, because
'15 is in transition, and '16 is fixed, you'll see it. So
we're going to change this a little bit, and I'll explain
it for the first quarter as we go into '16. But other than

that, there's really nothing to report. The numbers are 1 2 really right in line, and we hope that's going to remain 3 so, and actually go down because of the heavy recruitment that's being done. So we're going to watch that closely. 4 5 Okay. Any questions? MS. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you. Any other items? And do 6 7 you want to make any comment on the construction meeting? MS. KNIGHT: I don't think I can. 8 9 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Oh, you can't, but you went-- you attended--10 MS. KNIGHT: Yes, I did. 11 12 MS. GOLDSTEIN: -- As our representative. MS. KNIGHT: Yes. Per the cone--13 14 MS. GOMEZ: Right. I think that you're under the cone 15 until a selection is made or recommendation made to the Commission. 16 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you for attending. 17 MS. KNIGHT: It's just odd, because it's a public 18 19 meeting. 20 MS. GOMEZ: It is a public meeting. Technically, 21 you're not supposed to be talking to the vendors. 22 MS. KNIGHT: But they said even not to come here and 23 discuss it. MS. GOMEZ: Yeah, because I guess they just don't want 24 to make it public in many different areas. 25

MS. KNIGHT: Right. But anybody could have attended. 1 MS. GOMEZ: That's correct. 2 MS. KNIGHT: So that's interesting. 3 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you for attending on our behalf. 4 We'll hear soon, I guess. 5 MS. KNIGHT: Yes. 6 MS. GOLDSTEIN: The last thing is to schedule our next 7 meeting, which we are on the third Thursday of the month, 8 9 which is--MS. GOMEZ: I thought it was the last Thursday, 10 because the 28th is the last--1.1 MS. GOLDSTEIN: Oh, yeah, yeah, yeah, the last 12 Thursday. 13 MR. KLEIMAN: Which would be--14 15 MS. GOMEZ: The 28th. MS. GOLDSTEIN: The 28th. I'm actually here. 16 MS. GOMEZ: Is there anything that you would like to 17 see on the agenda? I don't know, Lori, if you plan to have 18 any reports? 19 20 MS. ST. JOHN: Yeah, the Property in Evidence Report is done, but I'm just waiting for one thing from the 21 Manager's Office, and I don't know. You know how that is. 22 If I get it tomorrow, and review it with the Manager, I'm 23 ready. I would hope that it would be ready by then, but I 24 25 can't answer that at this point.

MS. GOMEZ: Okay. I know that Verdeja will have their 1 2 prelimnary report or report by that time. The Manager's Office told me that they would have it for that next 3 meeting. Not for today's meeting, but for the next 4 meeting. I don't think anything has been issued yet, 5 though. And I don't believe that I'm going to have 6 anything at that point, from our standpoint, because the 7 8 audit would be underway-- the financial statement audit would be underway, so we probably won't have a whole lot 9 with that. It's not the end of the quarter or--10 MR. KLEIMAN: I might. The thing is the journal 11 entries are done slowly at that point because--12 13 MS. GOMEZ: Because we're dealing with the year end close, so everything's a little bit delayed. 14 MR. KLEIMAN: So I don't know right now officially if 15 the first quarter information will be done. 16 MS. GOMEZ: But maybe we'd have the December quarter. 17 MR. KLEIMAN: Right, if they can do it that fast. 18 the end of January, I can have the first quarter. 19 MS. GOLDSTEIN: If you look at the date as we get 20 closer, like in two weeks, and another date looks like it 21 would be better for us, then you can just send out an email 22 23 and let us know. 24 MS. KNIGHT: I think the one thing I can say was that

it was a two-part process, so this was just a part for the

developers-- it was like Phase 1 to decide if they would move forward to the second phase. MS. GOMEZ: Right. MS. GOLDSTEIN: Okay. I'll adjourn the meeting at--let me look at my phone for the correct time. MS. GOMEZ: 8:43. MS. GOLDSTEIN: 8:43. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned.)

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	STATE OF FLORIDA)
5	COUNTY OF DADE)
6	
7	
8	I, ESTELA L. VALLE, Notary Public, certify that I was
9	authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing
10	proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete
11	record of my stenographic notes.
12	
13	Dated this 7th day of December, 2015.
14	·
15	
16	Estela L. VALLE
17	ESTELA I. VALLE
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

				•	