Page 1 Page 2 CITY OF CORAL GABLES 1 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Good evening. This LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY (LPA)/ 2 Board is comprised of seven members. Four PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD MEETING 3 Member of the Board shall constitute a quorum VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2022, COMMENCING AT 6:00 P.M. 4 and the affirmative vote of four members shall 5 be necessary for the adoption of any motion. Board Members Present: 6 If only four members of the Board are present, Eibi Aizenstat, Chairman Robert Behar 7 an applicant may request and be entitled to a Luis Revuelta 8 continuance to the next regularly scheduled Wavne "Chip" Withers 9 meeting of the Board. If a matter is continued Venny Torre Julio Grabiel 10 due to a lack of quorum, the Chairperson or 11 Secretary of the Board may set a Special 12 Meeting to consider such matter. City Staff and Consultants: Suramy Cabrera, Development Services Director 13 In the event that four votes are not Jill Menendez, Administrative Assistant, Board Secretary 14 obtained, an applicant, except in the case of a Jennifer Garcia, Principal Planner 15 Comprehensive Plan Amendment, may request a Arceli Redila, Zoning Administrator Craig Coller, Special Counsel 16 continuance or allow the application to proceed Hermes Diaz, Public Works Director 17 to the City Commission without a Kevin Kinney, Parking Director 18 recommendation. Also Participating: Jorge Navarro, Esq., On behalf of Items E-1 and E-2 19 Pursuant to Resolution Number 2021-118, the Ray Fort, Architect 20 City of Coral Gables has returned to Mario Garcia-Serra, On behalf of Item E-3 21 traditional in-person meetings; however, the 22 Planning and Zoning Board has established the 23 ability for the public to provide comments 24 25 For those members of the public who are Page 3 Page 4 1 THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? 1 appearing on Zoom and wish to testify, you must 2 2 be visible to the court reporter to be sworn CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here. 3 in. Otherwise, if you speak without being 3 Notice Regarding Ex Parte Communications, 4 4 sworn in, your comments may not have please be advised that this Board is a 5 evidentiary value. 5 quasi-judicial board, which requires Board 6 Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure, any 6 Members to disclose all ex parte communications 7 7 person who acts as a lobbyist must register and site visits. An ex parte communication is 8 8 with the City Clerk as required pursuant to the defined as any contact, communication, 9 9 City Code. conversation, correspondence, memorandum or 10 As Chair, I now officially call the City of 10 other written or verbal communication, that 11 Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting 11 takes place outside of the public hearing, 12 of October 19 -- of October 12 -- sorry -- 2022 12 between a member of the public and a member of 13 13 to order. The time is six o'clock. the quasi-judicial board, regarding matters to 14 Jill, please call the roll. 14 be heard by the Board. 15 THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? 15 If anyone made any contact with a Board 16 MR. BEHAR: Here. 16 Member regarding an issue before the Board, the 17 THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? She asked to 17 Board Member must state, on the record, the 18 be excused. 18 existence of the ex parte communication and the 19 Julio Grabiel? 19 party who originated the communication. Also, 20 MR. GRABIEL: Here. 20 if a Board Member conducted a site visit 21 THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? 21 specifically related to the case before the 22 Venny Torre? 2.2 Board, the Board Member must also disclose such 23 MR. TORRE: Here. 23 visit. In either case, the Board Member must 24 THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? 24 state, on the record, whether the ex parte 25 25 MR. WITHERS: Here. communication and/or site visit will affect the

	Page 5		Page 6
1	Board Member's ability to impartially consider	1	person wishing to speak on tonight's agenda
2	the evidence to be presented regarding the	2	items to please open your chat and send a
3	matter. The Board Member should also state	3	direct message to Jill Menendez, stating that
4	that his or her decision will be based on	4	you would like to speak before the Board and
5	substantial competent evidence and testimony	5	include your full name. Jill will call you
6	presented on the record today.	6	when it's your turn. I'd ask that you be
7	Does any Member of the Board have such	7	concise, for the interest of time.
8	communication and/or or site visit to	8	Phone platform participants, after Zoom
9	disclose at this time?	9	platform participants are done, I will ask
10	MR. BEHAR: No.	10	phone participants to comment on tonight's
11	MR. GRABIEL: No.	11	agenda item. I'd also ask you to be concise,
12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Swearing In, everyone	12	for the interest of time.
13	who speaks this evening must complete the	13	First, we have the approval of the minutes.
14	roster on the podium. If you have not done so,	14	Has everybody had a chance to take a look at
15	we ask you to please go over to Jill and	15	the September 14, 2022
16	complete that. We ask that you print clearly,	16	MR. BEHAR: Move I move to approve.
17	so the official records of your name and	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to
18	address will be correct.	18	approve. Is there
19	Now, with the exception of attorneys, all	19	MR. GRABIEL: Second.
20	•	20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by
	persons physically in the City Commission		•
21	Chambers who will speak on agenda items before	21	Julio. Any comments? No?
22	us this evening, please rise to be sworn in.	22	Call the roll, please.
23	(Thereupon, the participants were sworn.)	23	THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?
24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	24	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
25	Zoom platform participants, I will ask any	25	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
	Page 7		Page 8
1	Page 7 MR. TORRE: Yes.	1	Page 8 items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5.
1 2		1 2	
	MR. TORRE: Yes.		items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5.
2	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	2	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that?
2 3	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes.	2 3	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that.
2 3 4	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	2 3 4	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead,
2 3 4 5	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes.	2 3 4 5	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first
2 3 4 5 6	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	2 3 4 5 6	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first item in this case, it would be E-4 into
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first item in this case, it would be E-4 into the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we'll use for tonight's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first item in this case, it would be E-4 into the record. MR. COLLER: Item E-4, an Ordinance of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we'll use for tonight's meeting, first we'll have the identification of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first item — in this case, it would be E-4 — into the record. MR. COLLER: Item E-4, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we'll use for tonight's meeting, first we'll have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller, the presentation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first item in this case, it would be E-4 into the record. MR. COLLER: Item E-4, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we'll use for tonight's meeting, first we'll have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller, the presentation by the applicant or the applicant's agent, then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first item in this case, it would be E-4 into the record. MR. COLLER: Item E-4, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we'll use for tonight's meeting, first we'll have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller, the presentation by the applicant or the applicant's agent, then the presentation by Staff. Then we'll have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first item in this case, it would be E-4 into the record. MR. COLLER: Item E-4, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 14, "Process," Section 14-102.3,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we'll use for tonight's meeting, first we'll have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller, the presentation by the applicant or the applicant's agent, then the presentation by Staff. Then we'll have open public comment, first for in chamber, then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first item — in this case, it would be E-4 — into the record. MR. COLLER: Item E-4, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 14, "Process," Section 14-102.3, "Meetings; Quorum; Required Vote" to amend the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we'll use for tonight's meeting, first we'll have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller, the presentation by the applicant or the applicant's agent, then the presentation by Staff. Then we'll have open public comment, first for in chamber, then Zoom platform and then phone line platform.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first item in this case, it would be E-4 into the record. MR. COLLER: Item E-4, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 14, "Process," Section 14-102.3, "Meetings; Quorum; Required Vote" to amend the requirement of four affirmative votes for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we'll use for tonight's meeting, first we'll have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller, the presentation by the applicant or the applicant's agent, then the presentation by Staff. Then we'll have open public comment, first for in chamber, then Zoom platform and then phone line platform. Afterwards, I will go ahead and close for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first item in this case, it would be E-4 into the record. MR. COLLER: Item E-4, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 14, "Process," Section 14-102.3, "Meetings; Quorum; Required Vote" to amend the requirement of four affirmative votes for recommendations relating to Comprehensive Plan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we'll use for tonight's meeting, first we'll have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller, the presentation by the applicant or the applicant's agent, then the presentation by Staff. Then we'll have open public comment, first for in chamber, then Zoom platform and then phone line platform. Afterwards, I will go ahead and close for public comment; we'll have a Board discussion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first item in this case, it would be E-4 into the record. MR. COLLER: Item E-4, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 14, "Process," Section 14-102.3, "Meetings; Quorum; Required Vote" to amend the requirement of four affirmative votes for recommendations relating to Comprehensive Plan amendments, providing for severability,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we'll use for tonight's meeting, first we'll have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller, the presentation by the applicant or the applicant's agent, then the presentation by Staff. Then we'll have open public comment, first for in chamber, then Zoom platform and then phone line platform. Afterwards, I will go ahead and close for public comment; we'll have a Board discussion and questions, and a motion, and a discussion,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first item in this case, it would be E-4 into the record. MR. COLLER: Item E-4, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 14, "Process," Section 14-102.3, "Meetings; Quorum; Required Vote" to amend the requirement of four affirmative votes for recommendations relating to Comprehensive Plan amendments, providing for severability, repeater, codification, and an effective date.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we'll use for tonight's meeting, first we'll have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller, the presentation by the applicant or the applicant's agent, then the presentation by Staff. Then we'll have open public comment, first for in chamber, then Zoom platform and then phone line platform. Afterwards, I will go ahead and close for public comment; we'll have a Board discussion and questions, and a motion, and a discussion, and a second of motion, if it calls for it, then, Board's final comments and a vote.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first item in this case, it would be E-4 into the record. MR. COLLER: Item E-4, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 14, "Process," Section 14-102.3, "Meetings; Quorum; Required Vote" to amend the requirement of four affirmative votes for recommendations relating to Comprehensive Plan amendments, providing for severability, repeater, codification, and an effective date. Item E-4, public hearing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we'll use for tonight's meeting, first we'll have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller, the presentation by the applicant or the applicant's agent, then the presentation by Staff. Then we'll have open public comment, first for in chamber, then Zoom platform and then phone line platform. Afterwards, I will go ahead and close for public comment; we'll have a Board discussion and questions, and a motion, and a discussion, and a second of motion, if it calls for it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first item in this case, it would be E-4 into the record. MR. COLLER: Item E-4, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 14, "Process," Section 14-102.3, "Meetings; Quorum; Required Vote" to amend the requirement of four affirmative votes for recommendations relating to Comprehensive Plan amendments, providing for severability, repeater, codification, and an effective date. Item E-4, public hearing. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we'll use for tonight's meeting, first we'll have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller, the presentation by the applicant or the applicant's agent, then the presentation by Staff. Then we'll have open public comment, first for in chamber, then Zoom platform and then phone line platform. Afterwards, I will go ahead and close for public comment; we'll have a Board discussion and questions, and a motion, and a discussion, and a second of motion, if it calls for it, then, Board's final comments and a vote. We are expecting one member to come in a little bit later, and if we start with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first item in this case, it would be E-4 into the record. MR. COLLER: Item E-4, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 14, "Process," Section 14-102.3, "Meetings; Quorum; Required Vote" to amend the requirement of four affirmative votes for recommendations relating to Comprehensive Plan amendments, providing for severability, repeater, codification, and an effective date. Item E-4, public hearing. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. GARCIA: Good evening. Jennifer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we'll use for tonight's meeting, first we'll have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller, the presentation by the applicant or the applicant's agent, then the presentation by Staff. Then we'll have open public comment, first for in chamber, then Zoom platform and then phone line platform. Afterwards, I will go ahead and close for public comment; we'll have a Board discussion and questions, and a motion, and a discussion, and a second of motion, if it calls for it, then, Board's final comments and a vote. We are expecting one member to come in a little bit later, and if we start with the first two items, that Board Member will not be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first item — in this case, it would be E-4 — into the record. MR. COLLER: Item E-4, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 14, "Process," Section 14-102.3, "Meetings; Quorum; Required Vote" to amend the requirement of four affirmative votes for recommendations relating to Comprehensive Plan amendments, providing for severability, repeater, codification, and an effective date. Item E-4, public hearing. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. GARCIA: Good evening. Jennifer Garcia, City Planner.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we'll use for tonight's meeting, first we'll have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller, the presentation by the applicant or the applicant's agent, then the presentation by Staff. Then we'll have open public comment, first for in chamber, then Zoom platform and then phone line platform. Afterwards, I will go ahead and close for public comment; we'll have a Board discussion and questions, and a motion, and a discussion, and a second of motion, if it calls for it, then, Board's final comments and a vote. We are expecting one member to come in a little bit later, and if we start with the first two items, that Board Member will not be able to participate on the item we're on. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first item in this case, it would be E-4 into the record. MR. COLLER: Item E-4, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 14, "Process," Section 14-102.3, "Meetings; Quorum; Required Vote" to amend the requirement of four affirmative votes for recommendations relating to Comprehensive Plan amendments, providing for severability, repeater, codification, and an effective date. Item E-4, public hearing. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. GARCIA: Good evening. Jennifer Garcia, City Planner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Good evening.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we'll use for tonight's meeting, first we'll have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller, the presentation by the applicant or the applicant's agent, then the presentation by Staff. Then we'll have open public comment, first for in chamber, then Zoom platform and then phone line platform. Afterwards, I will go ahead and close for public comment; we'll have a Board discussion and questions, and a motion, and a discussion, and a second of motion, if it calls for it, then, Board's final comments and a vote. We are expecting one member to come in a little bit later, and if we start with the first two items, that Board Member will not be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	items up first, which is Items E-4 and E-5. Is everybody okay with that? MR. BEHAR: I'm good with that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go ahead, Mr. Coller, if you would please read the first item in this case, it would be E-4 into the record. MR. COLLER: Item E-4, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 14, "Process," Section 14-102.3, "Meetings; Quorum; Required Vote" to amend the requirement of four affirmative votes for recommendations relating to Comprehensive Plan amendments, providing for severability, repeater, codification, and an effective date. Item E-4, public hearing. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. GARCIA: Good evening. Jennifer Garcia, City Planner. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Good evening. Before we continue, please let it be shown

	Page 9		Page 10
1	Go ahead, please.	1	In the past, what happens is, it would go
2	MS. GARCIA: So these two items should be	2	without a recommendation. But State Law says
3	fairly easy. The first item that you read into	3	that there has to be a recommendation from this
4	the record is just about clarifying	4	Board. And so, therefore, this solves that
5	Comprehensive Plan Map Amendments. In the	5	issue, by simply saying, if you don't get four
6	past, I'm sure you remember, we've had to have	6	votes to approve the Comp Plan, it's deemed a
7	a meeting again to confirm a recommendation to	7	denial, and it goes to the Commission with
8	go to the Commission.	8	whatever your vote is, and it's considered a
9	The State Statute says that you have to	9	denial, unless, of course, you have four
10	have a recommendation from the Planning Board,	10	affirmative votes for it.
11	which is you. And so instead of having to	11	So it avoids the situation that we had
12	bring an applicant back the next meeting and	12	MR. BEHAR: Mr. Coller, so if we recommend
13	wasting the Board's time, if they don't have a	13	if we have a three-two vote and we move that
14	confirm recommendation to go to the Commission,	14	to Commission
15	it's an automatic denial recommendation to go	15	MR. COLLER: Yes. Right.
16	to the Commission. That's what the	16	MR. BEHAR: it's not going with an
17	clarification of the Code is.	17	affirmative recommendation?
18	MR. COLLER: Just to supplement that, since	18	MR. COLLER: Right. The vote will show
19	I was involved in that issue, if, for example,	19	reflect that there was a three-two vote to
20	you have a three-two vote in favor of approving	20	approve; however, the way the Code will be now
21	the Comprehensive Plan Amendment, it would be	21	written is that a three-two vote is deemed to
22	deemed a denial. In other words, in order to	22	be a denial on a Comprehensive Plan. On all of
23	have an approval of a Comprehensive Plan	23	the other items you have, a three-two vote for
24	Amendment, you would have to have at least four	24	approval would be deemed as not being a
25	votes.	25	recommendation. That's how we have everything
	Page 11		Page 12
1	else.	1	would be appropriate that an item to be
2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So Legislative.	2	deferred to the next meeting, if you feel
3	MR. COLLER: Just because State Law	3	that and hopefully it's not something that's
4	requires a recommendation from this Board, this	4	urgent, but if you you always have the
5	is the way to avoid that problem.	5	option to defer the Comprehensive Plan
6	MR. BEHAR: But it requires a	6	Amendment to the next meeting, if you choose to
7	recommendation, but a three-two vote is a	7	do so.
8	recommendation.	8	Obviously, there may be circumstances where
9	MR. COLLER: It is a recommendation, but	9	a deferral would be difficult, because of the
10	it's not a sufficient recommendation for	10	circumstances, but that is an option that the
11	approving a Comprehensive Plan Amendment	11	Board would always have.
12	approval	12	MR. BEHAR: And if the applicant chooses to
13	MR. BEHAR: And if we don't have	13	go with a three-two vote, they're going forward
14	MR. COLLER: - just like other items.	14	with a negative a denial recommendation?
15	MR. BEHAR: And if we don't have four	15	MS. GARCIA: Yes.
16	votes, it means that we could never be able to	16	MR. COLLER: That's correct.
17	move that forward?	17	MR. BEHAR: Okay.
18	MR. COLLER: No. It goes forward, but it	18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Before we continue,
19	goes forward as a denial.	19	Jill, do we have anybody from the public that
20	MR. BEHAR: But as a denial?	20	would like to speak on this item?
	MR. COLLER: That's correct.	21	THE SECRETARY: Not on this item.
21			
21 22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Before we go ahead and	22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody on Zoom?
		22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody on Zoom? THE SECRETARY; No.
22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Before we go ahead and		
22 23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Before we go ahead and speak, Jill, do we have go ahead.	23	THE SECRETARY; No.

	Page 13		Page 14
1	THE SECRETARY: No.	1	deemed to be a recommendation by the City of
2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. At this point,	2	Coral Gables?
3	I'm going to go ahead and close the floor for	3	MR. COLLER: The City of Coral Gables
4	public comment.	4	doesn't requires four votes of this Board in
5	That's okay.	5	order for there to be a recommendation.
6	Luis.	6	MS. GARCIA: Majority plus one.
7	MR. REVUELTA: Is the genesis of this	7	MR. REVUELTA: Are we voting on today
8	request coming from the City Commission, the	8	and I'm sorry for saying on whether to pass
9	State Law? I just want to know	9	three-two being a denial versus four-one, which
10	MR. COLLER: It's coming the genesis, in	10	is the current situation we're in?
11	part, is the State Law, because the State Law	11	MR. COLLER: No. All you're passing today
12	requires this Board, which acts as the Local	12	is that that we're correcting a problem of
13	Planning Agency you sit as the LPA, and	13	the Comprehensive Plan going to the Commission
14	you're required to make a recommendation. But	14	without a recommendation. Under the State Law,
15	under our rules, because there has to be a	15	we can't do that.
16	minimum of four votes to have a recommendation,	16	MR. BEHAR: And unless you get four votes,
17	the problem was, we were passing we passed	17	it's going without
18	something without a recommendation, and the	18	MR. COLLER: It's going with a denial.
19	State Law requires a recommendation.	19	MR. REVUELTA: I understand that math.
20	MR. REVUELTA: But a four-one is a	20	What I'm trying to say, who established the
21	recommendation.	21	math, that you need a super majority to
22	MR. COLLER: Four-one is a recommendation.	22	
23	If you have four votes in favor of it, it's a	23	approve, rather than a simple majority of 3-2? MR. COLLER: That has been years.
24	recommendation.		CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's with the State,
25	MR. REVUELTA: And a three-two is not	24	correct, or the County?
		23	correct, of the County:
	Page 15		Page 16
1	MR. COLLER: No.	1	I'm sorry, I'm not trying to push you on this
2	MR. BEHAR: No.	2	issue, but I don't know if the rest of you
3	MR. COLLER: The City Commission has	3	understand it. If you understand, I'll just
4	determined that the Board's vote requires a	4	basically stay quiet and listen to the
5	minimum four votes for any recommendation,	5	explanation.
6	otherwise it goes forward it goes with no	6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny.
7	recommendation. The problem we have is unique	7	MR. TORRE: Yeah, I understand it. I think
8	to the Comprehensive Plan. You've had other	8	what it forces us, is to deliberate to a point
9	items, where you haven't been able to achieve a	9	where we know it's either A or B. There's not
10	four-vote, and that's gone without a	10	going to be any time where we are neutral
11	recommendation. The problem is, the	11	towards the subject. It's always going to be,
12	Comprehensive Plan can't go, under State Law,	12	if we don't get the four votes, guys, it's
13	without a recommendation. So this solves it,	13	going to go as a negative, and that's the
14	because there is now a recommendation.	14	debate we're going to have going forward. It
15	Three-two would be a recommendation for denial.	15	forces that debate.
16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So the State	16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Unless, from what I
17	according to the Comprehensive Plan, the State	17	hear, we do have an option to make a deferral.
18	requires that?	18	MR. COLLER: Right. If at a particular
19	MR. COLLER: The State requires that you	19	meeting, you feel that, well, if we had a
20	make a recommendation.	20	deferral, we could gether sufficient votes
21	MR. REVUELTA: That's where I continue to	21	but, of course, there's no guarantee at the
22	fail the mathematical logic here. It's either	22	next meeting
23	a super majority of four-one, dictated by	23	MR. BEHAR: You're going to have it.
	somebody, or a three-two, a simple majority,	24	MR. COLLER: that somebody else is not
24	somebody, of a direc-two, a simple majority,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
24 25	which happens in a lot of municipalities. And	25	going to be there. And there are times where,

	Page 17		Page 18
1	I believe, the Commission has been concerned	1	this to look like.
2	about the Planning Advisory Board unduly	2	MR. BEHAR: My concern is, there may be a
3	deferring an item. So you have to take that	3	case where you don't achieve four votes. So
4	into consideration, as well.	4	you're going to send it back
5	MR. REVUELTA: I think the more common	5	MR. TORRE: You have to force it.
6	denominator here is, is three-two a sufficient	6	Otherwise you know it's going to be denied.
7	approval recommendation to the City	7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think the issue is
8	Commission or do we need a super majority. And	8	going to be, what if you don't have a member
9	I'm trying to establish, and I'm not clear,	9	sitting on the dais to keep going let's say
10	hopefully you guys are, what is the genesis	10	you have five members, you have a quorum
11	that is forcing us to make this decision of	11	MR. REVUELTA: I still would like to know
12	four to one versus three to two?	12	what is forcing us to make this decision.
13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, are you done?	13	MR. COLLER: So I can answer that. In this
14	MR. TORRE: Well, what I was going to say	14	unique case involving Comprehensive Plans,
15	is that, you know, the Commission is weighing	15	that's all we're talking about here, because
16	our vote as to their vote or to their	16	State Law requires a recommendation, this is
17	decision-making. So, you know, when when it	17	what brought this about. On all of the other
18	gets to three-two, I don't know if they really	18	items, you've had not frequently, but you've
19	take into consideration that three-two is a	19	had, from time to time, where you haven't
20	close vote, we're going to vote, or it's a	20	achieved four votes, and it went without a
21		21	recommendation. It hasn't been a problem, and
	six to zero, obviously they would take that	22	it's not a problem going forward. It is a
22	stronger position, right. So I just don't know	23	problem with the Comprehensive Plan, and,
23	how that starts to weigh in on the you know,	24	indeed, we had to bring back an item to get a
24	these things become gray matters for the	25	vote.
25	Commission to interpret what we really want		
	Page 19		Page 20
	3		rage 20
1	In the future, we won't have to bring back	1	which is before you tonight, and you don't
1 2		1 2	
	In the future, we won't have to bring back		which is before you tonight, and you don't
2	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation.	2	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the
2	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular	2 3	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a
2 3 4	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in	2 3 4	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes
2 3 4 5	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four	2 3 4 5	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a
2 3 4 5 6	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four votes, so it's deemed a denial.	2 3 4 5 6	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a negative recommendation is Comp Plan, and the
2 3 4 5 6 7	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four votes, so it's deemed a denial. Now, that's not unusual. There are other	2 3 4 5 6 7	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a negative recommendation is Comp Plan, and the reason is, you have to make a recommendation on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four votes, so it's deemed a denial. Now, that's not unusual. There are other bodies that have required extraordinary votes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a negative recommendation is Comp Plan, and the reason is, you have to make a recommendation on the Comp Plan. So that's why we have this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four votes, so it's deemed a denial. Now, that's not unusual. There are other bodies that have required extraordinary votes. For example, moving the Urban Development	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a negative recommendation is Comp Plan, and the reason is, you have to make a recommendation on the Comp Plan. So that's why we have this unique rule for that particular circumstance. MR. REVUELTA: And before, a three-two was a positive recommendation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four votes, so it's deemed a denial. Now, that's not unusual. There are other bodies that have required extraordinary votes. For example, moving the Urban Development Boundary, I believe, for the County, requires a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a negative recommendation is Comp Plan, and the reason is, you have to make a recommendation on the Comp Plan. So that's why we have this unique rule for that particular circumstance. MR. REVUELTA: And before, a three-two was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four votes, so it's deemed a denial. Now, that's not unusual. There are other bodies that have required extraordinary votes. For example, moving the Urban Development Boundary, I believe, for the County, requires a nine vote and if you don't get nine votes, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a negative recommendation is Comp Plan, and the reason is, you have to make a recommendation on the Comp Plan. So that's why we have this unique rule for that particular circumstance. MR. REVUELTA: And before, a three-two was a positive recommendation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four votes, so it's deemed a denial. Now, that's not unusual. There are other bodies that have required extraordinary votes. For example, moving the Urban Development Boundary, I believe, for the County, requires a nine vote and if you don't get nine votes, it's deemed a denial. So it's not unusual, in other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a negative recommendation is Comp Plan, and the reason is, you have to make a recommendation on the Comp Plan. So that's why we have this unique rule for that particular circumstance. MR. REVUELTA: And before, a three-two was a positive recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. Before, a three-two was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four votes, so it's deemed a denial. Now, that's not unusual. There are other bodies that have required extraordinary votes. For example, moving the Urban Development Boundary, I believe, for the County, requires a nine vote and if you don't get nine votes, it's deemed a denial. So it's not unusual, in other jurisdictions, that you have a super majority	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a negative recommendation is Comp Plan, and the reason is, you have to make a recommendation on the Comp Plan. So that's why we have this unique rule for that particular circumstance. MR. REVUELTA: And before, a three-two was a positive recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. Before, a three-two was no recommendation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four votes, so it's deemed a denial. Now, that's not unusual. There are other bodies that have required extraordinary votes. For example, moving the Urban Development Boundary, I believe, for the County, requires a nine vote and if you don't get nine votes, it's deemed a denial. So it's not unusual, in other jurisdictions, that you have a super majority requirement in a particular case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a negative recommendation is Comp Plan, and the reason is, you have to make a recommendation on the Comp Plan. So that's why we have this unique rule for that particular circumstance. MR. REVUELTA: And before, a three-two was a positive recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. Before, a three-two was no recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No recommendation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four votes, so it's deemed a denial. Now, that's not unusual. There are other bodies that have required extraordinary votes. For example, moving the Urban Development Boundary, I believe, for the County, requires a nine vote and if you don't get nine votes, it's deemed a denial. So it's not unusual, in other jurisdictions, that you have a super majority requirement in a particular case. MR. REVUELTA: And the super majority	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a negative recommendation is Comp Plan, and the reason is, you have to make a recommendation on the Comp Plan. So that's why we have this unique rule for that particular circumstance. MR. REVUELTA: And before, a three-two was a positive recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. Before, a three-two was no recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No recommendation. MR. REVUELTA: I thought that's what we are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four votes, so it's deemed a denial. Now, that's not unusual. There are other bodies that have required extraordinary votes. For example, moving the Urban Development Boundary, I believe, for the County, requires a nine vote and if you don't get nine votes, it's deemed a denial. So it's not unusual, in other jurisdictions, that you have a super majority requirement in a particular case. MR. REVUELTA: And the super majority excuse me, Chip, I'll just shut up and let you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a negative recommendation is Comp Plan, and the reason is, you have to make a recommendation on the Comp Plan. So that's why we have this unique rule for that particular circumstance. MR. REVUELTA: And before, a three-two was a positive recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. Before, a three-two was no recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No recommendation. MR. REVUELTA: I thought that's what we are voting on tonight.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four votes, so it's deemed a denial. Now, that's not unusual. There are other bodies that have required extraordinary votes. For example, moving the Urban Development Boundary, I believe, for the County, requires a nine vote and if you don't get nine votes, it's deemed a denial. So it's not unusual, in other jurisdictions, that you have a super majority requirement in a particular case. MR. REVUELTA: And the super majority excuse me, Chip, I'll just shut up and let you go but the super majority of four-one is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a negative recommendation is Comp Plan, and the reason is, you have to make a recommendation on the Comp Plan. So that's why we have this unique rule for that particular circumstance. MR. REVUELTA: And before, a three-two was a positive recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. Before, a three-two was no recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No recommendation. MR. REVUELTA: I thought that's what we are voting on tonight. MR. COLLER: We're voting on tonight
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four votes, so it's deemed a denial. Now, that's not unusual. There are other bodies that have required extraordinary votes. For example, moving the Urban Development Boundary, I believe, for the County, requires a nine vote and if you don't get nine votes, it's deemed a denial. So it's not unusual, in other jurisdictions, that you have a super majority requirement in a particular case. MR. REVUELTA: And the super majority excuse me, Chip, I'll just shut up and let you go but the super majority of four-one is required by the City of Coral Gables, by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a negative recommendation is Comp Plan, and the reason is, you have to make a recommendation on the Comp Plan. So that's why we have this unique rule for that particular circumstance. MR. REVUELTA: And before, a three-two was a positive recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. Before, a three-two was no recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No recommendation. MR. REVUELTA: I thought that's what we are voting on tonight. MR. COLLER: We're voting on tonight MR. BEHAR: The Commission wants us to send
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four votes, so it's deemed a denial. Now, that's not unusual. There are other bodies that have required extraordinary votes. For example, moving the Urban Development Boundary, I believe, for the County, requires a nine vote and if you don't get nine votes, it's deemed a denial. So it's not unusual, in other jurisdictions, that you have a super majority requirement in a particular case. MR. REVUELTA: And the super majority excuse me, Chip, I'll just shut up and let you go but the super majority of four-one is required by the City of Coral Gables, by the City Commission, on Comprehensive Plan issues?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a negative recommendation is Comp Plan, and the reason is, you have to make a recommendation on the Comp Plan. So that's why we have this unique rule for that particular circumstance. MR. REVUELTA: And before, a three-two was a positive recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. Before, a three-two was no recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No recommendation. MR. REVUELTA: I thought that's what we are voting on tonight. MR. COLLER: We're voting on tonight MR. BEHAR: The Commission wants us to send it to them with a recommendation for a denial.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four votes, so it's deemed a denial. Now, that's not unusual. There are other bodies that have required extraordinary votes. For example, moving the Urban Development Boundary, I believe, for the County, requires a nine vote and if you don't get nine votes, it's deemed a denial. So it's not unusual, in other jurisdictions, that you have a super majority requirement in a particular case. MR. REVUELTA: And the super majority excuse me, Chip, I'll just shut up and let you go but the super majority of four-one is required by the City of Coral Gables, by the City Commission, on Comprehensive Plan issues? MR. COLLER: No. The super majority	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a negative recommendation is Comp Plan, and the reason is, you have to make a recommendation on the Comp Plan. So that's why we have this unique rule for that particular circumstance. MR. REVUELTA: And before, a three-two was a positive recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. Before, a three-two was no recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No recommendation. MR. REVUELTA: I thought that's what we are voting on tonight. MR. COLLER: We're voting on tonight MR. BEHAR: The Commission wants us to send it to them with a recommendation for a denial. MR. COLLER: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four votes, so it's deemed a denial. Now, that's not unusual. There are other bodies that have required extraordinary votes. For example, moving the Urban Development Boundary, I believe, for the County, requires a nine vote and if you don't get nine votes, it's deemed a denial. So it's not unusual, in other jurisdictions, that you have a super majority requirement in a particular case. MR. REVUELTA: And the super majority excuse me, Chip, I'll just shut up and let you go but the super majority of four-one is required by the City of Coral Gables, by the City Commission, on Comprehensive Plan issues? MR. COLLER: No. The super majority requirement is on all items that come before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a negative recommendation is Comp Plan, and the reason is, you have to make a recommendation on the Comp Plan. So that's why we have this unique rule for that particular circumstance. MR. REVUELTA: And before, a three-two was a positive recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. Before, a three-two was no recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No recommendation. MR. REVUELTA: I thought that's what we are voting on tonight. MR. COLLER: We're voting on tonight MR. BEHAR: The Commission wants us to send it to them with a recommendation for a denial. MR. COLLER: Right. MR. REVUELTA: I understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four votes, so it's deemed a denial. Now, that's not unusual. There are other bodies that have required extraordinary votes. For example, moving the Urban Development Boundary, I believe, for the County, requires a nine vote and if you don't get nine votes, it's deemed a denial. So it's not unusual, in other jurisdictions, that you have a super majority requirement in a particular case. MR. REVUELTA: And the super majority excuse me, Chip, I'll just shut up and let you go but the super majority of four-one is required by the City of Coral Gables, by the City Commission, on Comprehensive Plan issues? MR. COLLER: No. The super majority requirement is on all items that come before the Planning Advisory Board. If you have an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a negative recommendation is Comp Plan, and the reason is, you have to make a recommendation on the Comp Plan. So that's why we have this unique rule for that particular circumstance. MR. REVUELTA: And before, a three-two was a positive recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. Before, a three-two was no recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No recommendation. MR. REVUELTA: I thought that's what we are voting on tonight. MR. COLLER: We're voting on tonight MR. BEHAR: The Commission wants us to send it to them with a recommendation for a denial. MR. COLLER: Right. MR. REVUELTA: I understand. MR. BEHAR: That's the bottom line.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	In the future, we won't have to bring back an item. You will have made a recommendation. It may not be the recommendation a particular person wants to make, because they voted in favor of it, but you couldn't achieve four votes, so it's deemed a denial. Now, that's not unusual. There are other bodies that have required extraordinary votes. For example, moving the Urban Development Boundary, I believe, for the County, requires a nine vote and if you don't get nine votes, it's deemed a denial. So it's not unusual, in other jurisdictions, that you have a super majority requirement in a particular case. MR. REVUELTA: And the super majorityexcuse me, Chip, I'll just shut up and let you go but the super majority of four-one is required by the City of Coral Gables, by the City Commission, on Comprehensive Plan issues? MR. COLLER: No. The super majority requirement is on all items that come before the Planning Advisory Board. If you have an approval of a Site Plan, that requires a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	which is before you tonight, and you don't and you can only get three votes to approve the TDR transfer, then that goes without a recommendation. The only time it ever goes without a negative with a negative recommendation is Comp Plan, and the reason is, you have to make a recommendation on the Comp Plan. So that's why we have this unique rule for that particular circumstance. MR. REVUELTA: And before, a three-two was a positive recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. Before, a three-two was no recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No recommendation. MR. REVUELTA: I thought that's what we are voting on tonight. MR. COLLER: We're voting on tonight MR. BEHAR: The Commission wants us to send it to them with a recommendation for a denial. MR. COLLER: Right. MR. REVUELTA: I understand. MR. BEHAR: That's the bottom line. MR. REVUELTA: Sorry.

	Page 21		Page 22
1	MR. WITHERS: So is the reason, not having	1	that were not present to affirm that they did
2	a full panel, an adequate reason for a	2	review the transcript prior or the hearing, and
3	deferral?	3	it's not necessary to open the public hearing.
4	MR. COLLER: It could be. An adequate	4	However, the Board can, at its discretion,
5	reason is a reason that you all decide is	5	decide to open the public hearing.
6	appropriate.	6	MR. WITHERS: Got it.
7	MR. WITHERS: Okay. So that's a legitimate	7	The last question or the second
8	request?	8	question, so if the vote is three to two, the
9	MR. COLLER: But I will caution this, the	9	Commission then sees it as a no vote or a
10	Commission is concerned about continuing	10	non-moving it forward. I understand that.
11	deferrals, where essentially	11	What votes, at the City Commission level,
12	MR. WITHERS: I understand.	12	require a super majority? I know probably the
13	MR. COLLER: an applicant is being	13	sale of land, maybe 99-year leases, because
14	denied the opportunity to have a hearing, and	14	there are super majority votes that the
15	sometimes an applicant is entitled to a denial.	15	Commission has to follow; is that correct?
16	MR. WITHERS: So if we decide to defer it,	16	MR. COLLER: I believe so. I'd have to get
17	because there are not two Board Members here or	17	back to you on that, and I will have that
18	one Board Member here, at the next meeting,	18	information to you at your next meeting. I'm
19	does the entire issue have to be re-discussed	19	not sure which votes on the Commission require
20	for the benefit of that absentee	20	a super majority.
21	MR. COLLER: Well, the way we've handled	21	MR. WITHERS: So there's a difference in
22	that is, the transcript is prepared. The	22	that that's a vote that fails, whereas ours is
23	Members that are not present are instructed to	23	a vote that goes forward with a negative
24	read the transcript. At the time of the	24	recommendation?
25	meeting, I will ask the Members of the Board	25	MR. COLLER: Right. You are not
			·
	Page 23		5 04
	1496 25		Page 24
1	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than	1	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a
1 2	_	1 2	
	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than		way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a
2	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative	2	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way.
2	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation?	2	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand.
2 3 4	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No.	2 3 4	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have
2 3 4 5	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay.	2 3 4 5	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial,
2 3 4 5 6	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TORRE: So if I may. I think I	2 3 4 5	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial, according to the Commission?
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TORRE: So if I may. I think I understand now. We had this come two months	2 3 4 5 6 7	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial, according to the Commission? MR. TORRE: Automatic denial.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TORRE: So if I may. I think I understand now. We had this come two months ago, and there was a little bit of a snafu	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial, according to the Commission? MR. TORRE: Automatic denial. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Automatic denial.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TORRE: So if I may. I think I understand now. We had this come two months ago, and there was a little bit of a snafu here. In reality, in that meeting, we should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial, according to the Commission? MR. TORRE: Automatic denial. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Automatic denial. MR. WITHERS: So if we don't get a four-two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TORRE: So if I may. I think I understand now. We had this come two months ago, and there was a little bit of a snafu here. In reality, in that meeting, we should have said, "No. No. You can't do that. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial, according to the Commission? MR. TORRE: Automatic denial. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Automatic denial. MR. WITHERS: So if we don't get a four-two vote here, we deny it going to the Commission,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TORRE: So if I may. I think I understand now. We had this come two months ago, and there was a little bit of a snafu here. In reality, in that meeting, we should have said, "No. No. You can't do that. You have to get a vote here today."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial, according to the Commission? MR. TORRE: Automatic denial. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Automatic denial. MR. WITHERS: So if we don't get a four-two vote here, we deny it going to the Commission, this motion, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TORRE: So if I may. I think I understand now. We had this come two months ago, and there was a little bit of a snafu here. In reality, in that meeting, we should have said, "No. No. You can't do that. You have to get a vote here today." MR. COLLER: Right. If I had to do it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial, according to the Commission? MR. TORRE: Automatic denial. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Automatic denial. MR. WITHERS: So if we don't get a four-two vote here, we deny it going to the Commission, this motion, right? MR. COLLER: But only with respect to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TORRE: So if I may. I think I understand now. We had this come two months ago, and there was a little bit of a snafu here. In reality, in that meeting, we should have said, "No. No. You can't do that. You have to get a vote here today." MR. COLLER: Right. If I had to do it MR. TORRE: And it didn't happen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial, according to the Commission? MR. TORRE: Automatic denial. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Automatic denial. MR. WITHERS: So if we don't get a four-two vote here, we deny it going to the Commission, this motion, right? MR. COLLER: But only with respect to Comprehensive Plans, not with respect to any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TORRE: So if I may. I think I understand now. We had this come two months ago, and there was a little bit of a snafu here. In reality, in that meeting, we should have said, "No. No. You can't do that. You have to get a vote here today." MR. COLLER: Right. If I had to do it MR. TORRE: And it didn't happen. MR. COLLER: If I had to do it all over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial, according to the Commission? MR. TORRE: Automatic denial. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Automatic denial. MR. WITHERS: So if we don't get a four-two vote here, we deny it going to the Commission, this motion, right? MR. COLLER: But only with respect to Comprehensive Plans, not with respect to any other application.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TORRE: So if I may. I think I understand now. We had this come two months ago, and there was a little bit of a snafu here. In reality, in that meeting, we should have said, "No. No. You can't do that. You have to get a vote here today." MR. COLLER: Right. If I had to do it MR. TORRE: And it didn't happen. MR. COLLER: If I had to do it all over again, I definitely would have done that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial, according to the Commission? MR. TORRE: Automatic denial. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Automatic denial. MR. WITHERS: So if we don't get a four-two vote here, we deny it going to the Commission, this motion, right? MR. COLLER: But only with respect to Comprehensive Plans, not with respect to any other application. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not Legislative.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TORRE: So if I may. I think I understand now. We had this come two months ago, and there was a little bit of a snafu here. In reality, in that meeting, we should have said, "No. No. You can't do that. You have to get a vote here today." MR. COLLER: Right. If I had to do it MR. TORRE: And it didn't happen. MR. COLLER: If I had to do it all over again, I definitely would have done that. MR. TORRE: So, in the future, if things	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial, according to the Commission? MR. TORRE: Automatic denial. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Automatic denial. MR. WITHERS: So if we don't get a four-two vote here, we deny it going to the Commission, this motion, right? MR. COLLER: But only with respect to Comprehensive Plans, not with respect to any other application. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not Legislative. MR. WITHERS: Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TORRE: So if I may. I think I understand now. We had this come two months ago, and there was a little bit of a snafu here. In reality, in that meeting, we should have said, "No. No. You can't do that. You have to get a vote here today." MR. COLLER: Right. If I had to do it MR. TORRE: And it didn't happen. MR. COLLER: If I had to do it all over again, I definitely would have done that. MR. TORRE: So, in the future, if things stay the same, we would still be forced to get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial, according to the Commission? MR. TORRE: Automatic denial. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Automatic denial. MR. WITHERS: So if we don't get a four-two vote here, we deny it going to the Commission, this motion, right? MR. COLLER: But only with respect to Comprehensive Plans, not with respect to any other application. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not Legislative. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Julio?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TORRE: So if I may. I think I understand now. We had this come two months ago, and there was a little bit of a snafu here. In reality, in that meeting, we should have said, "No. No. You can't do that. You have to get a vote here today." MR. COLLER: Right. If I had to do it MR. TORRE: And it didn't happen. MR. COLLER: If I had to do it all over again, I definitely would have done that. MR. TORRE: So, in the future, if things stay the same, we would still be forced to get to a four vote; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial, according to the Commission? MR. TORRE: Automatic denial. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Automatic denial. MR. WITHERS: So if we don't get a four-two vote here, we deny it going to the Commission, this motion, right? MR. COLLER: But only with respect to Comprehensive Plans, not with respect to any other application. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not Legislative. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Julio? MR. GRABIEL: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TORRE: So if I may. I think I understand now. We had this come two months ago, and there was a little bit of a snafu here. In reality, in that meeting, we should have said, "No. No. You can't do that. You have to get a vote here today." MR. COLLER: Right. If I had to do it MR. TORRE: And it didn't happen. MR. COLLER: If I had to do it all over again, I definitely would have done that. MR. TORRE: So, in the future, if things stay the same, we would still be forced to get to a four vote; is that correct? MR. COLLER: Right, you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial, according to the Commission? MR. TORRE: Automatic denial. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Automatic denial. MR. WITHERS: So if we don't get a four-two vote here, we deny it going to the Commission, this motion, right? MR. COLLER: But only with respect to Comprehensive Plans, not with respect to any other application. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not Legislative. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Julio? MR. GRABIEL: No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're good?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TORRE: So if I may. I think I understand now. We had this come two months ago, and there was a little bit of a snafu here. In reality, in that meeting, we should have said, "No. No. You can't do that. You have to get a vote here today." MR. COLLER: Right. If I had to do it MR. TORRE: And it didn't happen. MR. COLLER: If I had to do it all over again, I definitely would have done that. MR. TORRE: So, in the future, if things stay the same, we would still be forced to get to a four vote; is that correct? MR. COLLER: Right, you're MR. TORRE: You would make us get to a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial, according to the Commission? MR. TORRE: Automatic denial. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Automatic denial. MR. WITHERS: So if we don't get a four-two vote here, we deny it going to the Commission, this motion, right? MR. COLLER: But only with respect to Comprehensive Plans, not with respect to any other application. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not Legislative. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Julio? MR. GRABIEL: No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're good? MR. GRABIEL: I'm good.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TORRE: So if I may. I think I understand now. We had this come two months ago, and there was a little bit of a snafu here. In reality, in that meeting, we should have said, "No. No. You can't do that. You have to get a vote here today." MR. COLLER: Right. If I had to do it MR. TORRE: And it didn't happen. MR. COLLER: If I had to do it all over again, I definitely would have done that. MR. TORRE: So, in the future, if things stay the same, we would still be forced to get to a four vote; is that correct? MR. COLLER: Right, you're MR. TORRE: You would make us get to a four-vote, so we wouldn't have to go back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial, according to the Commission? MR. TORRE: Automatic denial. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Automatic denial. MR. WITHERS: So if we don't get a four-two vote here, we deny it going to the Commission, this motion, right? MR. COLLER: But only with respect to Comprehensive Plans, not with respect to any other application. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not Legislative. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Julio? MR. GRABIEL: No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're good? MR. GRABIEL: I'm good. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody want to make a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TORRE: So if I may. I think I understand now. We had this come two months ago, and there was a little bit of a snafu here. In reality, in that meeting, we should have said, "No. No. You can't do that. You have to get a vote here today." MR. COLLER: Right. If I had to do it MR. TORRE: And it didn't happen. MR. COLLER: If I had to do it all over again, I definitely would have done that. MR. TORRE: So, in the future, if things stay the same, we would still be forced to get to a four vote; is that correct? MR. COLLER: Right, you're MR. TORRE: You would make us get to a four-vote, so we wouldn't have to go back MR. COLLER: Well, yes, but the Board might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial, according to the Commission? MR. TORRE: Automatic denial. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Automatic denial. MR. WITHERS: So if we don't get a four-two vote here, we deny it going to the Commission, this motion, right? MR. COLLER: But only with respect to Comprehensive Plans, not with respect to any other application. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not Legislative. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Julio? MR. GRABIEL: No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're good? MR. GRABIEL: I'm good. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody want to make a motion or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WITHERS: Is there a difference than that, between a denial and a negative recommendation? MR. COLLER: No. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TORRE: So if I may. I think I understand now. We had this come two months ago, and there was a little bit of a snafu here. In reality, in that meeting, we should have said, "No. No. You can't do that. You have to get a vote here today." MR. COLLER: Right. If I had to do it MR. TORRE: And it didn't happen. MR. COLLER: If I had to do it all over again, I definitely would have done that. MR. TORRE: So, in the future, if things stay the same, we would still be forced to get to a four vote; is that correct? MR. COLLER: Right, you're MR. TORRE: You would make us get to a four-vote, so we wouldn't have to go back MR. COLLER: Well, yes, but the Board might say, "Well, I'm sorry, I feel strongly. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	way it goes." I cannot force you to vote a certain way. MR. TORRE: I understand. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if it would have been a three-two vote, it would be a denial, according to the Commission? MR. TORRE: Automatic denial. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Automatic denial. MR. WITHERS: So if we don't get a four-two vote here, we deny it going to the Commission, this motion, right? MR. COLLER: But only with respect to Comprehensive Plans, not with respect to any other application. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not Legislative. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Julio? MR. GRABIEL: No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're good? MR. GRABIEL: I'm good. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody want to make a motion or MR. WITHERS: I'll move it.

	Page 25		Page 26
1	without any deferral or so forth, correct?	1	MR. REVUELTA: Convince one more guy.
2	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. I mean, I don't see	2	MR. WITHERS: convince one more person.
3	that we have a whole lot of wiggle room on this	3	I mean, that's what this is all about, you
4	one.	4	know.
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. We have a	5	MR. REVUELTA: Yeah. Is it clear on
6	motion. Is there a second?	6	everybody that right now and please I need
7	MR. TORRE: I'll second.	7	your help on this one more time
8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any comment?	8	MR. COLLER: Sure.
9	MR. WITHERS: I'm not saying I agree with	9	MR. REVUELTA: right now, a three-two
10	it necessarily. I just don't see	10	vote on a Comprehensive Plan change and
11	MR. REVUELTA: He's making the motion	11	recommendation, in my view, because it's a
12	MR. TORRE: I think that forces us to vote	12	majority, to the City Commission, is it being
13	and	13	deemed to be a recommendation or not?
14	MR. WITHERS: It forces us to come to a	14	MR. COLLER: Right now, without this
15	decision, you know.	15	change, it would be deemed to not be a
16	MR. BEHAR: But not really, because	16	recommendation, and I'm not going to do this
17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Your vote would be the	17	again. I'm going to make us all sit here until
18	same.	18	we come up with it.
19	MR. BEHAR: We may have five all it does	19	MR. REVUELTA: Right now, a three-two is
20	is, it's going to go either with a negative or	20	like a deferral, essentially?
21	a recommendation of approval.	21	MR. COLLER: Well, it's not really a
22	MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but if I'm really in	22	deferral. It's really violating the State Law.
23	favor of it and I really think it's a good	23	State Law requires this Board to make a
_		24	recommendation. So we are coming really into
24 25	solid proposal, then it's incumbent upon me to	25	compliance with State Law. So if you want to
23	10		
	- OF		
	Page 27		Page 28
1	Page 27 blame anything, it's the State Law.	1	Page 28 it's the City that is essentially creating this
1 2		1 2	
	blame anything, it's the State Law.		it's the City that is essentially creating this
2	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you	2	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline.
2	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either	2 3	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had
2 3 4	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your	2 3 4	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you
2 3 4 5	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law	2 3 4 5	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly.
2 3 4 5 6	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law reads. It requires you to make a	2 3 4 5 6	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly. MR. COLLER: Right. If the City Code said,
2 3 4 5 6 7	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law reads. It requires you to make a recommendation. So that's what's putting us in	2 3 4 5 6 7	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly. MR. COLLER: Right. If the City Code said, "We'll allow recommendations on three votes,"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law reads. It requires you to make a recommendation. So that's what's putting us in this box.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly. MR. COLLER: Right. If the City Code said, "We'll allow recommendations on three votes," we wouldn't have this issue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law reads. It requires you to make a recommendation. So that's what's putting us in this box. MR. REVUELTA: But right now, a three-two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly. MR. COLLER: Right. If the City Code said, "We'll allow recommendations on three votes," we wouldn't have this issue. MR. REVUELTA: And we would not be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law reads. It requires you to make a recommendation. So that's what's putting us in this box. MR. REVUELTA: But right now, a three-two is a majority, in my view. In many	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly. MR. COLLER: Right. If the City Code said, "We'll allow recommendations on three votes," we wouldn't have this issue. MR. REVUELTA: And we would not be violating any State order or Federal law.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law reads. It requires you to make a recommendation. So that's what's putting us in this box. MR. REVUELTA: But right now, a three-two is a majority, in my view. In many municipalities, it's a recommendation. What	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly. MR. COLLER: Right. If the City Code said, "We'll allow recommendations on three votes," we wouldn't have this issue. MR. REVUELTA: And we would not be violating any State order or Federal law. MR. COLLER: Right, but because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law reads. It requires you to make a recommendation. So that's what's putting us in this box. MR. REVUELTA: But right now, a three-two is a majority, in my view. In many municipalities, it's a recommendation. What I'm trying to find out is, if in the City of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly. MR. COLLER: Right. If the City Code said, "We'll allow recommendations on three votes," we wouldn't have this issue. MR. REVUELTA: And we would not be violating any State order or Federal law. MR. COLLER: Right, but because because well, not Federal Law; State law.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law reads. It requires you to make a recommendation. So that's what's putting us in this box. MR. REVUELTA: But right now, a three-two is a majority, in my view. In many municipalities, it's a recommendation. What I'm trying to find out is, if in the City of Coral Gables, legally, because of its Statutes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly. MR. COLLER: Right. If the City Code said, "We'll allow recommendations on three votes," we wouldn't have this issue. MR. REVUELTA: And we would not be violating any State order or Federal law. MR. COLLER: Right, but because because well, not Federal Law; State law. But because the Board is required to have four
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law reads. It requires you to make a recommendation. So that's what's putting us in this box. MR. REVUELTA: But right now, a three-two is a majority, in my view. In many municipalities, it's a recommendation. What I'm trying to find out is, if in the City of Coral Gables, legally, because of its Statutes, a three-two vote, right now, as it is without	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly. MR. COLLER: Right. If the City Code said, "We'll allow recommendations on three votes," we wouldn't have this issue. MR. REVUELTA: And we would not be violating any State order or Federal law. MR. COLLER: Right, but because because well, not Federal Law; State law. But because the Board is required to have four votes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law reads. It requires you to make a recommendation. So that's what's putting us in this box. MR. REVUELTA: But right now, a three-two is a majority, in my view. In many municipalities, it's a recommendation. What I'm trying to find out is, if in the City of Coral Gables, legally, because of its Statutes, a three-two vote, right now, as it is without our vote, is a positive recommendation to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly. MR. COLLER: Right. If the City Code said, "We'll allow recommendations on three votes," we wouldn't have this issue. MR. REVUELTA: And we would not be violating any State order or Federal law. MR. COLLER: Right, but because because well, not Federal Law; State law. But because the Board is required to have four votes MR. REVUELTA: By the City of Coral Gables.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law reads. It requires you to make a recommendation. So that's what's putting us in this box. MR. REVUELTA: But right now, a three-two is a majority, in my view. In many municipalities, it's a recommendation. What I'm trying to find out is, if in the City of Coral Gables, legally, because of its Statutes, a three-two vote, right now, as it is without our vote, is a positive recommendation to the Planning Board (sic) or is not, right now?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly. MR. COLLER: Right. If the City Code said, "We'll allow recommendations on three votes," we wouldn't have this issue. MR. REVUELTA: And we would not be violating any State order or Federal law. MR. COLLER: Right, but because because well, not Federal Law; State law. But because the Board is required to have four votes MR. REVUELTA: By the City of Coral Gables. MR. COLLER: by the City of Coral
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law reads. It requires you to make a recommendation. So that's what's putting us in this box. MR. REVUELTA: But right now, a three-two is a majority, in my view. In many municipalities, it's a recommendation. What I'm trying to find out is, if in the City of Coral Gables, legally, because of its Statutes, a three-two vote, right now, as it is without our vote, is a positive recommendation to the Planning Board (sic) or is not, right now? MR. COLLER: Right now, under the City's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly. MR. COLLER: Right. If the City Code said, "We'll allow recommendations on three votes," we wouldn't have this issue. MR. REVUELTA: And we would not be violating any State order or Federal law. MR. COLLER: Right, but because because well, not Federal Law; State law. But because the Board is required to have four votes MR. REVUELTA: By the City of Coral Gables. MR. COLLER: by the City of Coral Gables, this is how we have to fix it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law reads. It requires you to make a recommendation. So that's what's putting us in this box. MR. REVUELTA: But right now, a three-two is a majority, in my view. In many municipalities, it's a recommendation. What I'm trying to find out is, if in the City of Coral Gables, legally, because of its Statutes, a three-two vote, right now, as it is without our vote, is a positive recommendation to the Planning Board (sic) or is not, right now? MR. COLLER: Right now, under the City's Code, three-two is not considered a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly. MR. COLLER: Right. If the City Code said, "We'll allow recommendations on three votes," we wouldn't have this issue. MR. REVUELTA: And we would not be violating any State order or Federal law. MR. COLLER: Right, but because because well, not Federal Law; State law. But because the Board is required to have four votes MR. REVUELTA: By the City of Coral Gables. MR. COLLER: by the City of Coral Gables, this is how we have to fix it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have right now a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law reads. It requires you to make a recommendation. So that's what's putting us in this box. MR. REVUELTA: But right now, a three-two is a majority, in my view. In many municipalities, it's a recommendation. What I'm trying to find out is, if in the City of Coral Gables, legally, because of its Statutes, a three-two vote, right now, as it is without our vote, is a positive recommendation to the Planning Board (sic) or is not, right now? MR. COLLER: Right now, under the City's Code, three-two is not considered a recommendation by this Board for any item.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly. MR. COLLER: Right. If the City Code said, "We'll allow recommendations on three votes," we wouldn't have this issue. MR. REVUELTA: And we would not be violating any State order or Federal law. MR. COLLER: Right, but because because well, not Federal Law; State law. But because the Board is required to have four votes MR. REVUELTA: By the City of Coral Gables. MR. COLLER: by the City of Coral Gables, this is how we have to fix it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have right now a motion and we have a second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law reads. It requires you to make a recommendation. So that's what's putting us in this box. MR. REVUELTA: But right now, a three-two is a majority, in my view. In many municipalities, it's a recommendation. What I'm trying to find out is, if in the City of Coral Gables, legally, because of its Statutes, a three-two vote, right now, as it is without our vote, is a positive recommendation to the Planning Board (sic) or is not, right now? MR. COLLER: Right now, under the City's Code, three-two is not considered a recommendation by this Board for any item. This Board, for as long as I've been here,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly. MR. COLLER: Right. If the City Code said, "We'll allow recommendations on three votes," we wouldn't have this issue. MR. REVUELTA: And we would not be violating any State order or Federal law. MR. COLLER: Right, but because because well, not Federal Law; State law. But because the Board is required to have four votes MR. REVUELTA: By the City of Coral Gables. MR. COLLER: by the City of Coral Gables, this is how we have to fix it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have right now a motion and we have a second. MR. BEHAR: Yeah, but we need to discuss
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law reads. It requires you to make a recommendation. So that's what's putting us in this box. MR. REVUELTA: But right now, a three-two is a majority, in my view. In many municipalities, it's a recommendation. What I'm trying to find out is, if in the City of Coral Gables, legally, because of its Statutes, a three-two vote, right now, as it is without our vote, is a positive recommendation to the Planning Board (sic) or is not, right now? MR. COLLER: Right now, under the City's Code, three-two is not considered a recommendation by this Board for any item. This Board, for as long as I've been here, which is about seven years, has always been a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly. MR. COLLER: Right. If the City Code said, "We'll allow recommendations on three votes," we wouldn't have this issue. MR. REVUELTA: And we would not be violating any State order or Federal law. MR. COLLER: Right, but because because well, not Federal Law; State law. But because the Board is required to have four votes MR. REVUELTA: By the City of Coral Gables. MR. COLLER: by the City of Coral Gables, this is how we have to fix it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have right now a motion and we have a second. MR. BEHAR: Yeah, but we need to discuss this, because some votes may be depending on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law reads. It requires you to make a recommendation. So that's what's putting us in this box. MR. REVUELTA: But right now, a three-two is a majority, in my view. In many municipalities, it's a recommendation. What I'm trying to find out is, if in the City of Coral Gables, legally, because of its Statutes, a three-two vote, right now, as it is without our vote, is a positive recommendation to the Planning Board (sic) or is not, right now? MR. COLLER: Right now, under the City's Code, three-two is not considered a recommendation by this Board for any item. This Board, for as long as I've been here, which is about seven years, has always been a requirement of four votes. So it's the — it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly. MR. COLLER: Right. If the City Code said, "We'll allow recommendations on three votes," we wouldn't have this issue. MR. REVUELTA: And we would not be violating any State order or Federal law. MR. COLLER: Right, but because because well, not Federal Law; State law. But because the Board is required to have four votes MR. REVUELTA: By the City of Coral Gables. MR. COLLER: by the City of Coral Gables, this is how we have to fix it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have right now a motion and we have a second. MR. BEHAR: Yeah, but we need to discuss this, because some votes may be depending on that, you know. I'm concerned that we may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	blame anything, it's the State Law. The State Law could have said, "Well, you don't have to make a recommendation either denial or approval. You just make your comments." But that's not how the State Law reads. It requires you to make a recommendation. So that's what's putting us in this box. MR. REVUELTA: But right now, a three-two is a majority, in my view. In many municipalities, it's a recommendation. What I'm trying to find out is, if in the City of Coral Gables, legally, because of its Statutes, a three-two vote, right now, as it is without our vote, is a positive recommendation to the Planning Board (sic) or is not, right now? MR. COLLER: Right now, under the City's Code, three-two is not considered a recommendation by this Board for any item. This Board, for as long as I've been here, which is about seven years, has always been a requirement of four votes. So it's the it's unique to the City, that is requiring this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it's the City that is essentially creating this guideline. MR. COLLER: True. You could have had MR. REVUELTA: If I'm hearing you correctly. MR. COLLER: Right. If the City Code said, "We'll allow recommendations on three votes," we wouldn't have this issue. MR. REVUELTA: And we would not be violating any State order or Federal law. MR. COLLER: Right, but because because well, not Federal Law; State law. But because the Board is required to have four votes MR. REVUELTA: By the City of Coral Gables. MR. COLLER: by the City of Coral Gables, this is how we have to fix it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have right now a motion and we have a second. MR. BEHAR: Yeah, but we need to discuss this, because some votes may be depending on that, you know. I'm concerned that we may never get a fourth vote on something. That's

	Page 29		Page 30
1	MR. COLLER: If you want to, with your	1	another time, but this is the way I'm
2	vote, suggest to the Commission that on	2	reading what's happening.
3	Comprehensive Plan items, the Board should be	3	MR. COLLER: And maybe you want to bring
4	allowed to make a recommendation based upon	4	this up as a separate issue. The Board can
5	three votes, you can.	5	always recommend, as a separate issue, that you
6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But then you're going	6	feel that this should be changed. That's your
7	against the State guidelines.	7	prerogative.
8	MR. REVUELTA: No, the City guidelines.	8	MR. WITHERS: Do you want me to add an
9	That's what he just said.	9	amendment to my motion, saying that we highly
10	MR. COLLER: No. I'm just saying, if you	10	request that the Commission review the
11	want say to along with your vote, if you	11	three-two vote for Comprehensive Plans from the
12	want to suggest to the Board, let's change our	12	Planning and Zoning Board?
13	City Code and allow for three votes, that would	13	MR. REVUELTA: I would. I don't know if
14	fix it, but that changes the entire Code with	14	the rest of you
15	regard to this Board.	15	MR. WITHERS: If someone wants to make that
16	MR. REVUELTA: I guess this vote, to make	16	amendment, I might accept it in my motion.
17	it four, is to the Comprehensive Plan issue,	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, you have a
18	which is different than other issues which are	18	second from Venny.
19	four or more votes, this will be consistent	19	MR. WITHERS: Is that a motion that you
20	now it will be consistent now that the	20	want to
21	Comprehensive Plan is also requiring four	21	MR. REVUELTA: I think you have to retract
22	votes.	22	your motion and then
23	My grudge is with the City imposition that	23	MR. WITHERS: No, we can just
24	you basically need a super majority on	24	MR. BEHAR: A friendly amendment.
25	everything. So that's another discussion for	25	MR. REVUELTA: I just want to do it
23	everything. So that's another discussion for		
	D 21		
	Page 31		Page 32
1	correctly.	1	Page 32 thing.
1 2		1 2	
	correctly.		thing.
2	correctly. MR. COLLER: But the person who made the	2	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven
2	correctly. MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the	2 3	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to
2 3 4	correctly. MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment.	2 3 4	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote.
2 3 4 5	correctly. MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment. MR. TORRE: All you're saying is, if	2 3 4 5	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote. MR. TORRE: I know that, but under both
2 3 4 5 6	correctly. MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment. MR. TORRE: All you're saying is, if there's only five folks here, the majority is	2 3 4 5 6	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote. MR. TORRE: I know that, but under both scenarios
2 3 4 5 6 7	correctly. MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment. MR. TORRE: All you're saying is, if there's only five folks here, the majority is three. Is that sufficient to say	2 3 4 5 6 7	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote. MR. TORRE: I know that, but under both scenarios MR. COLLER: If the Code were to change,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	correctly. MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment. MR. TORRE: All you're saying is, if there's only five folks here, the majority is three. Is that sufficient to say CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a denial.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote. MR. TORRE: I know that, but under both scenarios MR. COLLER: If the Code were to change, obviously, the position would change. I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correctly. MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment. MR. TORRE: All you're saying is, if there's only five folks here, the majority is three. Is that sufficient to say CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a denial. MR. REVUELTA: According to what he's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote. MR. TORRE: I know that, but under both scenarios MR. COLLER: If the Code were to change, obviously, the position would change. I'm not suggesting you suggest to amend the Code. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correctly. MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment. MR. TORRE: All you're saying is, if there's only five folks here, the majority is three. Is that sufficient to say CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a denial. MR. REVUELTA: According to what he's saying, it's got to be four.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote. MR. TORRE: I know that, but under both scenarios MR. COLLER: If the Code were to change, obviously, the position would change. I'm not suggesting you suggest to amend the Code. I'm saying, some people are concerned that with a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	correctly. MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment. MR. TORRE: All you're saying is, if there's only five folks here, the majority is three. Is that sufficient to say CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a denial. MR. REVUELTA: According to what he's saying, it's got to be four. MR. TORRE: But what I hear you saying is,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote. MR. TORRE: I know that, but under both scenarios MR. COLLER: If the Code were to change, obviously, the position would change. I'm not suggesting you suggest to amend the Code. I'm saying, some people are concerned that with a three-two vote, we would like to have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	correctly. MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment. MR. TORRE: All you're saying is, if there's only five folks here, the majority is three. Is that sufficient to say CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a denial. MR. REVUELTA: According to what he's saying, it's got to be four. MR. TORRE: But what I hear you saying is, the proposal to the Commission is, if we ever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote. MR. TORRE: I know that, but under both scenarios MR. COLLER: If the Code were to change, obviously, the position would change. I'm not suggesting you suggest to amend the Code. I'm saying, some people are concerned that with a three-two vote, we would like to have a recommendation on the Comp Plan.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	correctly. MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment. MR. TORRE: All you're saying is, if there's only five folks here, the majority is three. Is that sufficient to say CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a denial. MR. REVUELTA: According to what he's saying, it's got to be four. MR. TORRE: But what I hear you saying is, the proposal to the Commission is, if we ever only have five people and three votes it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote. MR. TORRE: I know that, but under both scenarios MR. COLLER: If the Code were to change, obviously, the position would change. I'm not suggesting you suggest to amend the Code. I'm saying, some people are concerned that with a three-two vote, we would like to have a recommendation on the Comp Plan. Understand, right now you have three-two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	correctly. MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment. MR. TORRE: All you're saying is, if there's only five folks here, the majority is three. Is that sufficient to say CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a denial. MR. REVUELTA: According to what he's saying, it's got to be four. MR. TORRE: But what I hear you saying is, the proposal to the Commission is, if we ever only have five people and three votes it is a recommendation, even though it's not four.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote. MR. TORRE: I know that, but under both scenarios MR. COLLER: If the Code were to change, obviously, the position would change. I'm not suggesting you suggest to amend the Code. I'm saying, some people are concerned that with a three-two vote, we would like to have a recommendation on the Comp Plan. Understand, right now you have three-two votes right now that go without a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment. MR. TORRE: All you're saying is, if there's only five folks here, the majority is three. Is that sufficient to say CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a denial. MR. REVUELTA: According to what he's saying, it's got to be four. MR. TORRE: But what I hear you saying is, the proposal to the Commission is, if we ever only have five people and three votes it is a recommendation, even though it's not four. MR. BEHAR: And that's my concern. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote. MR. TORRE: I know that, but under both scenarios MR. COLLER: If the Code were to change, obviously, the position would change. I'm not suggesting you suggest to amend the Code. I'm saying, some people are concerned that with a three-two vote, we would like to have a recommendation on the Comp Plan. Understand, right now you have three-two votes right now that go without a recommendation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment. MR. TORRE: All you're saying is, if there's only five folks here, the majority is three. Is that sufficient to say CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a denial. MR. REVUELTA: According to what he's saying, it's got to be four. MR. TORRE: But what I hear you saying is, the proposal to the Commission is, if we ever only have five people and three votes it is a recommendation, even though it's not four. MR. BEHAR: And that's my concern. You only have five, and three of us says yes, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote. MR. TORRE: I know that, but under both scenarios MR. COLLER: If the Code were to change, obviously, the position would change. I'm not suggesting you suggest to amend the Code. I'm saying, some people are concerned that with a three-two vote, we would like to have a recommendation on the Comp Plan. Understand, right now you have three-two votes right now that go without a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If anything, it would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment. MR. TORRE: All you're saying is, if there's only five folks here, the majority is three. Is that sufficient to say CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a denial. MR. REVUELTA: According to what he's saying, it's got to be four. MR. TORRE: But what I hear you saying is, the proposal to the Commission is, if we ever only have five people and three votes it is a recommendation, even though it's not four. MR. BEHAR: And that's my concern. You only have five, and three of us says yes, you know, it's going to go in as a denial, and it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote. MR. TORRE: I know that, but under both scenarios MR. COLLER: If the Code were to change, obviously, the position would change. I'm not suggesting you suggest to amend the Code. I'm saying, some people are concerned that with a three-two vote, we would like to have a recommendation on the Comp Plan. Understand, right now you have three-two votes right now that go without a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If anything, it would be keeping it consistent, wouldn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment. MR. TORRE: All you're saying is, if there's only five folks here, the majority is three. Is that sufficient to say CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a denial. MR. REVUELTA: According to what he's saying, it's got to be four. MR. TORRE: But what I hear you saying is, the proposal to the Commission is, if we ever only have five people and three votes it is a recommendation, even though it's not four. MR. BEHAR: And that's my concern. You only have five, and three of us says yes, you know, it's going to go in as a denial, and it shouldn't go in as a denial.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote. MR. TORRE: I know that, but under both scenarios MR. COLLER: If the Code were to change, obviously, the position would change. I'm not suggesting you suggest to amend the Code. I'm saying, some people are concerned that with a three-two vote, we would like to have a recommendation on the Comp Plan. Understand, right now you have three-two votes right now that go without a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If anything, it would be keeping it consistent, wouldn't it? MR. TORRE: I would not go with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment. MR. TORRE: All you're saying is, if there's only five folks here, the majority is three. Is that sufficient to say CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a denial. MR. REVUELTA: According to what he's saying, it's got to be four. MR. TORRE: But what I hear you saying is, the proposal to the Commission is, if we ever only have five people and three votes it is a recommendation, even though it's not four. MR. BEHAR: And that's my concern. You only have five, and three of us says yes, you know, it's going to go in as a denial, and it shouldn't go in as a denial. MR. REVUELTA: I'm in agreement. And what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote. MR. TORRE: I know that, but under both scenarios MR. COLLER: If the Code were to change, obviously, the position would change. I'm not suggesting you suggest to amend the Code. I'm saying, some people are concerned that with a three-two vote, we would like to have a recommendation on the Comp Plan. Understand, right now you have three-two votes right now that go without a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If anything, it would be keeping it consistent, wouldn't it? MR. TORRE: I would not go with the amendment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment. MR. TORRE: All you're saying is, if there's only five folks here, the majority is three. Is that sufficient to say CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a denial. MR. REVUELTA: According to what he's saying, it's got to be four. MR. TORRE: But what I hear you saying is, the proposal to the Commission is, if we ever only have five people and three votes it is a recommendation, even though it's not four. MR. BEHAR: And that's my concern. You only have five, and three of us says yes, you know, it's going to go in as a denial, and it shouldn't go in as a denial. MR. REVUELTA: I'm in agreement. And what I believe we are being told is an option, is to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote. MR. TORRE: I know that, but under both scenarios MR. COLLER: If the Code were to change, obviously, the position would change. I'm not suggesting you suggest to amend the Code. I'm saying, some people are concerned that with a three-two vote, we would like to have a recommendation on the Comp Plan. Understand, right now you have three-two votes right now that go without a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If anything, it would be keeping it consistent, wouldn't it? MR. TORRE: I would not go with the amendment. MR. WITHERS: I tried. You know, he's such
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment. MR. TORRE: All you're saying is, if there's only five folks here, the majority is three. Is that sufficient to say CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a denial. MR. REVUELTA: According to what he's saying, it's got to be four. MR. TORRE: But what I hear you saying is, the proposal to the Commission is, if we ever only have five people and three votes it is a recommendation, even though it's not four. MR. BEHAR: And that's my concern. You only have five, and three of us says yes, you know, it's going to go in as a denial, and it shouldn't go in as a denial. MR. REVUELTA: I'm in agreement. And what I believe we are being told is an option, is to put a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote. MR. TORRE: I know that, but under both scenarios MR. COLLER: If the Code were to change, obviously, the position would change. I'm not suggesting you suggest to amend the Code. I'm saying, some people are concerned that with a three-two vote, we would like to have a recommendation on the Comp Plan. Understand, right now you have three-two votes right now that go without a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If anything, it would be keeping it consistent, wouldn't it? MR. TORRE: I would not go with the amendment. MR. WITHERS: I tried. You know, he's such an obstructionist.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correctly. MR. COLLER: But the person who made the motion and the seconder has to agree to the amendment. MR. TORRE: All you're saying is, if there's only five folks here, the majority is three. Is that sufficient to say CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a denial. MR. REVUELTA: According to what he's saying, it's got to be four. MR. TORRE: But what I hear you saying is, the proposal to the Commission is, if we ever only have five people and three votes it is a recommendation, even though it's not four. MR. BEHAR: And that's my concern. You only have five, and three of us says yes, you know, it's going to go in as a denial, and it shouldn't go in as a denial. MR. REVUELTA: I'm in agreement. And what I believe we are being told is an option, is to put a MR. TORRE: I agree with you, except what I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	thing. MR. BEHAR: And we can be here at eleven o'clock at night and you're not going to convince me to change my vote. MR. TORRE: I know that, but under both scenarios MR. COLLER: If the Code were to change, obviously, the position would change. I'm not suggesting you suggest to amend the Code. I'm saying, some people are concerned that with a three-two vote, we would like to have a recommendation on the Comp Plan. Understand, right now you have three-two votes right now that go without a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If anything, it would be keeping it consistent, wouldn't it? MR. TORRE: I would not go with the amendment. MR. WITHERS: I tried. You know, he's such an obstructionist. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So are you withdrawing

	Page 33		Page 34
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. The way it is?	1	MR. GRABIEL: We just talked about that
2	MR. WITHERS: Yeah.	2	for 30 minutes.
3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second.	3	MR. REVUELTA: We just had the proof of the
4	MR. WITHERS: If it passes, it passes, if	4	pudding, right.
5	not	5	MR. WITHERS: Does that go forward with a
6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion?	6	recommendation or without a recommendation?
7	No?	7	MR. COLLER: So was the vote tied?
8	Call the roll, please.	8	MR. TORRE: Yes.
9	THE SECRETARY; We have a motion by Withers	9	MR. COLLER: So the beauty of this is, it's
10	and a second by Torre?	10	not a Comp Plan Amendment, it's a Legislative
11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.	11	item, and it goes to the Board without a
12	MR. TORRE: Correct.	12	recommendation.
13	THE SECRETARY: Okay. Venny Torre?	13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So it's coming back.
14	MR. TORRE: Yes.	14	MR. REVUELTA: And we'll hear about it
15	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	15	MR. TORRE: I kind of felt it was going in
16	MR. WITHERS: Yes.	16	that direction.
17	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Let's go
18	MR. BEHAR: No.	18	, ,
18	MR. BEHAR: No. THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?	19	ahead and move on. Item E-5, please, Mr. Coller.
	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.	20	MR. COLLER: Item E-5 we had asked for
20	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?		
21		21	any public comment on that item, right? There
22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No.	22	was nobody?
23	THE SECRETARY; Luis Revuelta, I'm sorry?	23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: There was nobody. I
24	MR. REVUELTA: No.	24	did ask for E-4.
25	MR. WITHERS: Well, there you go.	25	MR. COLLER: Right.
	Page 35		Page 36
1	Item E-5, an Ordinance of the City	1	in opposition of application," we're just going
2	Commission amending the City of Coral Gables	2	to say, "Public comment."
3	Zoning Code Article 15, "Notices", Section	3	And the same with Cross Examination.
4	15-104 "Quasi-Judicial Procedures" and amending	4	Instead of having City Staff and then
5	Section 2-79 of Chapter 2, Article III of the	5	applicant, we're just going to have "Cross
6	City Code, titled "Order of Business" to	6	Examination."
7	clarify definitions of documentary evidence,	7	So we're just kind of simplifying the
8	and amend the Order of Presentation for	8	order.
9	Quasi-Judicial Hearings, providing for a	9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
10	repeater provision, severability clause,	10	Julio?
11	codification and providing for an effective	11	MR. GRABIEL: No comments.
12	date.	12	MR. BEHAR: You want to open it to the
13	Item E-5, public hearing.	13	public?
14	MS. GARCIA: Okay. So under our Zoning	14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Oh, yeah, sorry.
15	Code, there's an order of events for a	15	Do we have anybody on this item from the
16	quasi-judicial hearing. Right now, it states	16	public?
17	that the City Staff presents first, and then	17	THE SECRETARY: No. No, we don't.
18	second is the applicant. We've been given	18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: From Zoom?
		19	THE SECRETARY: No.
19	direction to switch that. So the applicant		
19 20	direction to switch that. So the applicant presents first and City Staff present second.	20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And from phone
		20 21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And from phone platform?
20	presents first and City Staff present second.		- I
20 21	presents first and City Staff present second. And so while we're cleaning up this order,	21	platform?
20 21 22	presents first and City Staff present second. And so while we're cleaning up this order, we're also striking through some stuff and	21 22	platform? THE SECRETARY: No.
20 21 22 23	presents first and City Staff present second. And so while we're cleaning up this order, we're also striking through some stuff and making it more generic. So instead of having	21 22 23	platform? THE SECRETARY: No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.

1	Page 37		Page 38
	Julio did not have any discussion.	1	MS. GARCIA: And the intent might be that
2	MR. REVUELTA: Who again, the genesis of	2	the applicant is presenting their project, you
3	this change it seems to me like I've	3	know, kind of selling it, giving the overall
4	always I used to, when I think the logic,	4	vision of it, and Staff follows up with the
5	the City goes first, makes their presentation,	5	actual technical issues and comments.
6	and then after that the applicant makes his	6	MR. BEHAR: What I do like about it is
7	presentation and then you have proponents or	7	that, if the applicant says something that is
8	objectors.	8	not factual, maybe the Staff could clarify
9	Right now, you're going to have the	9	that.
10	applicant making a presentation, the City makes	10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's what I like
11	their presentation and then you have proponents	11	also. The City can clarify or correct
12	and objectors. So you're kind of cutting, to	12	MR. BEHAR: Not that the applicants don't
13	me, the sequence, but who is spearheading this	13	always tell us what's correct.
14	effort, Staff?	14	MS. CABRERA: Good evening. Suramy
15	MS. GARCIA: I believe the Director of	15	Cabrera, Development Services Director. So
16	Development Services was given direction to	16	changing the order of the presentation was at
17	have the applicant present first, and then City	17	the request of the City Manager's Office, and
18	Staff. But to do that, we're just changing the	18	that's what this is taking care of, no?
19	wording in the Code.	19	And it is because we would like to be able
20	MR. REVUELTA: I would like to hear from	20	to clarify, when we've had applicants that make
21	the rest of the Board.	21	it seem like the City is part of their selling
22	MR. TORRE: Excuse me. Is there a reason	22	the project to the, you know, Board Members or
23	why Staff may be better off going first, in	23	to the Commission, so we're trying to make it
24	terms of cleaning up or explaining things a	24	so that they're selling you the project, we're
25	little bit more generically or broadly?	25	giving you our professional recommendation on
	inde on more generically of orotady.		grang for our professional recommendation on
	Page 39		Page 40
1	the Code, that's it.	1	MS. GARCIA: Well, I should add, there's a
2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for the	2	sentence at the very end that says, "This order
3	clarification.	3	of presentation may be modified by agreement of
4	MR. TORRE: I want to ask you a question,	4	all parties or by the Chair." So the Chair can
5	though. Isn't that still allowed though? You	5	always mix up things as he deems fit, but
6	guys could come at the end of the presentation	6	usually the rebuttal happens after Staff or
7	from the client and still do the cleanup?	7	even after public comment. They usually
8	MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. The Chair can	8	reserve time.
9	always have the power to call anybody up to	9	MR. WITHERS: So the sequence is, the
10	clarify anything.	10	applicant
11	MR. BEHAR: We, as the Board, have the	11	MS. GARCIA: The sequence proposed would be
12	right to bring them back.	12	the applicant and then the City Staff and then
13	MR. TORRE: Right, but if there's things	13	public comment.
14	that are misstated or not properly explained,	14	MR. WITHERS: And then the public comments.
15	doesn't the Staff always come back and try to	15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And then we open it up
16	do that anyway?	16	for public comment. The only difference would
17	MR. REVUELTA: The City has to the right to	17	be changing around the order that it starts.
18	rebuttal, right?	18	MR. WITHERS: And many times the City just
19	MS. GARCIA: Yes.	19	gives a one or two-minute and they hand it over
20	MR. TORRE: I'm not against what you guys	20	to the applicant, anyway, I mean.
21	are proposing. I just wanted to understand a	21	MR. BEHAR: I'm okay with this.
	little bit.	22	MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I'm not
22	CHAIDMAN AIGENCEAE CI'O	23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For me, I actually
22 23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip?	1	ern mitim it vi inibita vi inibita ini
	MR. WITHERS: When does the applicant have	24	like the applicant to go first. I was always

	Page 41		Page 42
1	MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion to approve.	1	have a separate vote on each item, with the
2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to	2	Chair's approval.
3	approve.	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
4	MR. GRABIEL: Second.	4	MR. COLLER: Okay. Item E-1, a Resolution
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Julio seconds.	5	of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida
6	Any discussion? No?	6	approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional
7	Call the roll, please.	7	Use review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14,
8	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	8	"Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses,"
9	MR. WITHERS: Yes.	9	for a proposed Mixed-Use project referred to as
10	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	10	"4311 Ponce" on the property legally described
11	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	11	as Lots 36 through 43, Block 5, "Industrial
12	THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?	12	Section" (4311 and 4225 Ponce de Leon
13	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.	13	Boulevard), Coral Gables, Florida; including
14	THE SECRETARY; Luis Revuelta?	14	required conditions, providing for a repeater
15	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	15	provision, severability clause, and providing
16	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	16	for an effective date.
17	MR. TORRE: Yes.	17	Item E-2, a Resolution of the City
18	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	18	Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving
19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. Thank you.	19	Remote Parking and Conditional Use review
20	Now we'll move on to our regular order,	20	pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process"
21	which would be E-1. Mr. Coller, are you going	21	Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses," for
22	to read E-1 and E-2 together into the record or	22	proposed Remote Parking associated with the
23	are you going to read E-1 first?	23	Mixed-Use project referred to as "4311 Ponce"
24	MR. COLLER: I'm going to read both in and	24	on the property legally described as Lots 36
25	we can have one hearing on both, and then we'll	25	through 43, Block 5, "Industrial Section" (4311
	Ç .		, , ,
	Page 43		Page 44
1	and 4225 Ponce de Leon Boulevard), Coral	1	colleague, Devon Vickers.
2	Gables, Florida, including required conditions,	2	We're very excited to be here before you
3	providing for a repeater provision,	3	this evening. We believe this is a great
4	severability clause, and providing for an	4	addition to the Merrick Park area. It's
5	effective date.	5	actually the first Class A office building
6	Item E-1 and E2, public hearing.	6	that's been proposed in Merrick Park in over
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	7	twenty years.
8	Is the applicant here?	8	As many of you know, Merrick Park has
9	MR. NAVARRO: Yes. I was confused as to	9	experienced significant residential growth over
10	the process.	10	the last years, and this complements the large
11	Good evening, Board Members, Mr. Chair.	11	high-end regional mall that anchors this area.
12	Jorge Navarro, with offices at 333 Southeast	12	But one component that has historically been
13	2nd Avenue. And Ray is going to be setting up,	13	missing is an office component, to complement
14	and I'll just give some kind of background as	14	the Residential and Commercial uses that
15	to the project before you and how we got here.	15	comprise this Mixed-Use area.
16	With me this evening are the ownership	16	And this District naturally lends itself to
17	group from 4225 Ponce Ventures. We have Mr.	17	Class A officer space. Companies that are in
18	Eduardo Otaola, Alex Peters, Lester Garcia and	18	the market for Class A office space in the
19	Jose Boschetti. We also have the Ray Fort, our	19	greater Miami area are looking for locations
20	project architect, from Arquitectonica.	20	that are amenity rich and walkable Mixed-Use
21	In the audience, in case there are any	21	communities, because it provides a better
22	questions, as well I know the City has their	22	work-life balance, and it also includes all of
23	own independent traffic consultant, but we have	23	the shopping, dining and housing options that
2.4	our project traffic consultant, Juan Espinoza,	24	employers need in order to attract and retain
24	our project traine consultant, ruan Espinoza,	1	
25	from David Plummer & Associates, and my	25	top talent, which is something that, when this

	Page 45		Page 46
1	Design and Innovation District was created for	1	together this project is taking advantage
2	this area, is one of the things that we wanted	2	and utilizing the City's Remote Parking
3	to promote, which is attracting these large	3	Ordinance, which was adopted by the City
4	companies into this area, attracting more high	4	Commission last year for this area. The intent
5	end retailers into the area.	5	was to take advantage of some of the surplus
6	This area is also unique in that it allows	6	unused parking garages in the area, which this
7	employers to offer their workforce convenient	7	area is known for, and also to use the remote
8	access to alternative forms of public	8	parking to reduce the height of the parking
9	transportation. This is right across the	9	pedestal and create a much nicer, more
10	street from the Coral Gables Trolley and only a	10	beautiful design, and Ray will walk you through
11	short walk to the Miami-Dade County Metrorail.	11	how we've accomplished that.
12	I'll have Ray walk you through the Site	12	With that, I'll let Ray walk you through
13	Plan, but this project is proposing 65,000	13	the project and our entire team is here to
14	square feet of office space, it has a ground	14	answer any questions you have. Thank you.
15	floor showroom or retail space, and it has an	15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
16	upper level story that has Residential units	16	MR. FORT: Hi, my name is Ray Fort, project
17	and amenity space, and also an area that can,	17	designer with Arquitectonica.
18	in the future, be converted for dining to	18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you state your
19	have rooftop dining, which is you know, in	19	address, please?
20	this area, you've had all of these quality	20	MR. FORT: 2900 Oak Avenue.
21	award winning restaurants that Merrick Park has	21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
22	become known for, so we wanted to provide that	22	MR. FORT: So here on the screen we're
23	flexibility to continue in that trend.	23	looking at the location map with its
24	One unique aspect of the project that I	24	orientation to the north. And the project site
25	know that we've taken both items, E-1 and E-2	25	is located at the intersection of San Lorenzo
	- 48	1	
	Page 47		Page 48
1	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in	1	project site. You can see in the image on the
2	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because	2	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then
	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is	2 3	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the
2 3 4	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west	2 3 4	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll
2 3 4 5	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western	2 3 4 5	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far
2 3 4 5 6	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned	2 3 4 5 6	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is
2 3 4 5 6 7	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park.	2 3 4 5 6 7	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park. So we had wanted to take into consideration	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in Zoning that is occurring, and that area is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park. So we had wanted to take into consideration how the streets are misaligned and where the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in Zoning that is occurring, and that area is generally zoned for about 24 stories.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park. So we had wanted to take into consideration how the streets are misaligned and where the building is positioned, because it's located at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in Zoning that is occurring, and that area is generally zoned for about 24 stories. This is a massing, to put it in context
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park. So we had wanted to take into consideration how the streets are misaligned and where the building is positioned, because it's located at that entrance to Merrick Park and it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in Zoning that is occurring, and that area is generally zoned for about 24 stories. This is a massing, to put it in context—it's not a rendering, but it's the massing that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park. So we had wanted to take into consideration how the streets are misaligned and where the building is positioned, because it's located at that entrance to Merrick Park and it essentially provides a (Unintelligible) to that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in Zoning that is occurring, and that area is generally zoned for about 24 stories. This is a massing, to put it in context—it's not a rendering, but it's the massing that shows the context, which is how tall the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park. So we had wanted to take into consideration how the streets are misaligned and where the building is positioned, because it's located at that entrance to Merrick Park and it essentially provides a (Unintelligible) to that location.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in Zoning that is occurring, and that area is generally zoned for about 24 stories. This is a massing, to put it in context—it's not a rendering, but it's the massing that shows the context, which is how tall the building is in relationship to its neighbors,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park. So we had wanted to take into consideration how the streets are misaligned and where the building is positioned, because it's located at that entrance to Merrick Park and it essentially provides a (Unintelligible) to that location. Also, you'll notice that one of the garages	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in Zoning that is occurring, and that area is generally zoned for about 24 stories. This is a massing, to put it in context—it's not a rendering, but it's the massing that shows the context, which is how tall the building is in relationship to its neighbors, and with the respect to Merrick Park and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park. So we had wanted to take into consideration how the streets are misaligned and where the building is positioned, because it's located at that entrance to Merrick Park and it essentially provides a (Unintelligible) to that location. Also, you'll notice that one of the garages associated with Merrick Park is located	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in Zoning that is occurring, and that area is generally zoned for about 24 stories. This is a massing, to put it in context—it's not a rendering, but it's the massing that shows the context, which is how tall the building is in relationship to its neighbors, and with the respect to Merrick Park and the adjacent office building, it's certainly the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park. So we had wanted to take into consideration how the streets are misaligned and where the building is positioned, because it's located at that entrance to Merrick Park and it essentially provides a (Unintelligible) to that location. Also, you'll notice that one of the garages associated with Merrick Park is located directly south of the site, and that's one of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in Zoning that is occurring, and that area is generally zoned for about 24 stories. This is a massing, to put it in context—it's not a rendering, but it's the massing that shows the context, which is how tall the building is in relationship to its neighbors, and with the respect to Merrick Park and the adjacent office building, it's certainly the architectural size and scale of what we see in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park. So we had wanted to take into consideration how the streets are misaligned and where the building is positioned, because it's located at that entrance to Merrick Park and it essentially provides a (Unintelligible) to that location. Also, you'll notice that one of the garages associated with Merrick Park is located directly south of the site, and that's one of the locations for the off-site parking that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in Zoning that is occurring, and that area is generally zoned for about 24 stories. This is a massing, to put it in context—it's not a rendering, but it's the massing that shows the context, which is how tall the building is in relationship to its neighbors, and with the respect to Merrick Park and the adjacent office building, it's certainly the architectural size and scale of what we see in the area, with exception for the garage,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park. So we had wanted to take into consideration how the streets are misaligned and where the building is positioned, because it's located at that entrance to Merrick Park and it essentially provides a (Unintelligible) to that location. Also, you'll notice that one of the garages associated with Merrick Park is located directly south of the site, and that's one of the locations for the off-site parking that will be occurring, in part, for this building.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in Zoning that is occurring, and that area is generally zoned for about 24 stories. This is a massing, to put it in context—it's not a rendering, but it's the massing that shows the context, which is how tall the building is in relationship to its neighbors, and with the respect to Merrick Park and the adjacent office building, it's certainly the architectural size and scale of what we see in the area, with exception for the garage, because it has a much larger floor plate, and,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park. So we had wanted to take into consideration how the streets are misaligned and where the building is positioned, because it's located at that entrance to Merrick Park and it essentially provides a (Unintelligible) to that location. Also, you'll notice that one of the garages associated with Merrick Park is located directly south of the site, and that's one of the locations for the off-site parking that will be occurring, in part, for this building. So, looking at some ground level context	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in Zoning that is occurring, and that area is generally zoned for about 24 stories. This is a massing, to put it in context—it's not a rendering, but it's the massing that shows the context, which is how tall the building is in relationship to its neighbors, and with the respect to Merrick Park and the adjacent office building, it's certainly the architectural size and scale of what we see in the area, with exception for the garage, because it has a much larger floor plate, and, of course, the department stores, which is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park. So we had wanted to take into consideration how the streets are misaligned and where the building is positioned, because it's located at that entrance to Merrick Park and it essentially provides a (Unintelligible) to that location. Also, you'll notice that one of the garages associated with Merrick Park is located directly south of the site, and that's one of the locations for the off-site parking that will be occurring, in part, for this building. So, looking at some ground level context images, the site currently has a parking lot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in Zoning that is occurring, and that area is generally zoned for about 24 stories. This is a massing, to put it in context—it's not a rendering, but it's the massing that shows the context, which is how tall the building is in relationship to its neighbors, and with the respect to Merrick Park and the adjacent office building, it's certainly the architectural size and scale of what we see in the area, with exception for the garage, because it has a much larger floor plate, and, of course, the department stores, which is a much larger floor plate, as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park. So we had wanted to take into consideration how the streets are misaligned and where the building is positioned, because it's located at that entrance to Merrick Park and it essentially provides a (Unintelligible) to that location. Also, you'll notice that one of the garages associated with Merrick Park is located directly south of the site, and that's one of the locations for the off-site parking that will be occurring, in part, for this building. So, looking at some ground level context images, the site currently has a parking lot and a one story retail building, with — that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in Zoning that is occurring, and that area is generally zoned for about 24 stories. This is a massing, to put it in context—it's not a rendering, but it's the massing that shows the context, which is how tall the building is in relationship to its neighbors, and with the respect to Merrick Park and the adjacent office building, it's certainly the architectural size and scale of what we see in the area, with exception for the garage, because it has a much larger floor plate, and, of course, the department stores, which is a much larger floor plate, as well. This is another view of the massing,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park. So we had wanted to take into consideration how the streets are misaligned and where the building is positioned, because it's located at that entrance to Merrick Park and it essentially provides a (Unintelligible) to that location. Also, you'll notice that one of the garages associated with Merrick Park is located directly south of the site, and that's one of the locations for the off-site parking that will be occurring, in part, for this building. So, looking at some ground level context images, the site currently has a parking lot and a one story retail building, with — that is generally unimproved around the perimeter,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in Zoning that is occurring, and that area is generally zoned for about 24 stories. This is a massing, to put it in context—it's not a rendering, but it's the massing that shows the context, which is how tall the building is in relationship to its neighbors, and with the respect to Merrick Park and the adjacent office building, it's certainly the architectural size and scale of what we see in the area, with exception for the garage, because it has a much larger floor plate, and, of course, the department stores, which is a much larger floor plate, as well. This is another view of the massing, looking from the south. One thing to note here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park. So we had wanted to take into consideration how the streets are misaligned and where the building is positioned, because it's located at that entrance to Merrick Park and it essentially provides a (Unintelligible) to that location. Also, you'll notice that one of the garages associated with Merrick Park is located directly south of the site, and that's one of the locations for the off-site parking that will be occurring, in part, for this building. So, looking at some ground level context images, the site currently has a parking lot and a one story retail building, with — that is generally unimproved around the perimeter, with some street trees along San Lorenzo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in Zoning that is occurring, and that area is generally zoned for about 24 stories. This is a massing, to put it in context—it's not a rendering, but it's the massing that shows the context, which is how tall the building is in relationship to its neighbors, and with the respect to Merrick Park and the adjacent office building, it's certainly the architectural size and scale of what we see in the area, with exception for the garage, because it has a much larger floor plate, and, of course, the department stores, which is a much larger floor plate, as well. This is another view of the massing, looking from the south. One thing to note here is that the building really does provide a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park. So we had wanted to take into consideration how the streets are misaligned and where the building is positioned, because it's located at that entrance to Merrick Park and it essentially provides a (Unintelligible) to that location. Also, you'll notice that one of the garages associated with Merrick Park is located directly south of the site, and that's one of the locations for the off-site parking that will be occurring, in part, for this building. So, looking at some ground level context images, the site currently has a parking lot and a one story retail building, with — that is generally unimproved around the perimeter, with some street trees along San Lorenzo. This is an aerial view showing the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in Zoning that is occurring, and that area is generally zoned for about 24 stories. This is a massing, to put it in context—it's not a rendering, but it's the massing that shows the context, which is how tall the building is in relationship to its neighbors, and with the respect to Merrick Park and the adjacent office building, it's certainly the architectural size and scale of what we see in the area, with exception for the garage, because it has a much larger floor plate, and, of course, the department stores, which is a much larger floor plate, as well. This is another view of the massing, looking from the south. One thing to note here is that the building really does provide a presence on that corner when going northbound
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, but the site is in a unique position in the street grid, because this is where San Lorenzo actually jogs and is not aligned, as it crosses from east to west over Ponce de Leon Boulevard, and the western portion of San Lorenzo here is actually aligned with the entrance to Merrick Park. So we had wanted to take into consideration how the streets are misaligned and where the building is positioned, because it's located at that entrance to Merrick Park and it essentially provides a (Unintelligible) to that location. Also, you'll notice that one of the garages associated with Merrick Park is located directly south of the site, and that's one of the locations for the off-site parking that will be occurring, in part, for this building. So, looking at some ground level context images, the site currently has a parking lot and a one story retail building, with — that is generally unimproved around the perimeter, with some street trees along San Lorenzo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	project site. You can see in the image on the upper right the Plaza of Merrick Park, and then San Lorenzo cutting across to the east and the project site highlighted in yellow. You'll also see that the Metrorail is not too far away. And just to the east side of the site is the City of Miami. So there's a split in Zoning that is occurring, and that area is generally zoned for about 24 stories. This is a massing, to put it in context—it's not a rendering, but it's the massing that shows the context, which is how tall the building is in relationship to its neighbors, and with the respect to Merrick Park and the adjacent office building, it's certainly the architectural size and scale of what we see in the area, with exception for the garage, because it has a much larger floor plate, and, of course, the department stores, which is a much larger floor plate, as well. This is another view of the massing, looking from the south. One thing to note here is that the building really does provide a

Page 49 Page 50 1 1 to also take that into consider, in terms of drop-off, that would be very useful for office 2 how we place some of the ground floor 2 buildings of this type, especially with 3 3 activation and the design of the building in visitors, and, generally, providing a level of 4 4 the upper floors. comfort to get in and out of the building; as 5 So just going through the plans quickly, 5 we find today with the use of shared transit 6 6 the site dimensions are approximately 200 feet that there isn't generally enough cuing area 7 7 along Ponce de Leon and a hundred feet along and places for people to wait. 8 8 San Lorenzo. Just note, in these plans, now The parking level is as efficient as we can 9 north is oriented to the right of the page, 9 get it. We have about 39 spaces per floor and 10 10 and, essentially, the top, we'll be locking about 87 spaces in total in the project 11 towards Merrick Park. What we see in color is 11 provided on-site. 12 12 the showroom. The blue is the office lobby. The typical office floor steps back from 13 And the gray are general MEP and back of house 13 the podium levels, ten feet from Ponce de Leon, 14 14 fifteen feet from San Lorenzo -- sorry, ten feet from San Lorenzo, and fifteen feet from 15 15 We're proposing a paseo along the northern 16 edge of the site, and this would allow --16 the northern interior lot line. 17 17 essentially allow pedestrians to pass through The project is generally defined by a 18 18 center court, with slightly deeper spaces to the building, along this side, and essentially 19 look towards Merrick Park. We also have a 19 the west side, and we have a configuration here 20 vehicular entrance from an existing curb cut at 20 showing four different office suites, but it 21 21 that location, which provides access to the can be configured for two, four or single 22 garage ramp, which is located along -- parallel 22 tenant uses, depending on its future use. 23 23 to Southwest 39th Avenue. The rooftop accommodates -- is very 24 We've also included a large apron, waiting 24 flexible in what it can be, but it accommodates 25 25 area, for our shared transit pickup and Residential units, an amenity to the office Page 51 Page 52 1 1 building, and potentially a restaurant that can looking back towards the east, this is 2 2 occur on the rooftop, with outdoor dining and essentially as though you are walking from 3 3 indoor dining. Merrick Park. You can see the paseo and 4 4 Looking at this section of the building, drop-off area located adjacent to the office 5 5 lobby, the two levels of parking that are you can see here the showroom, with office, 6 6 lobby on the ground floor, two levels of concealed with the extrusions in an overlapping 7 7 parking, four levels of office, and then the manner, as to allow -- to allow air to go 8 8 through but block visibility into the garage. rooftop amenity floor, with the MEP located 9 9 above that. We're also proposing a split in the building. 10 10 In the elevation, we're proposing a And I forgot to mention that in the plan, 11 11 building that steps back from its podium but on the typical office floors, we have a 12 12 height, and the lower floors are combined to recess that can accommodate a terrace that can 13 13 feel like a lower scale project that addresses belong to any one of the associated tenant 14 14 the pedestrian scale. We're going to be spaces, and that also provides relief, in terms 15 15 cloaking the project in lithic stone and having of the proportions of the building above the 16 16 podium. On the rooftop, we're proposing a a textured louver screening for the garage. 17 17 Once we get to the upper floors, we're trellis system to cover some of the outdoor 18 proposing a double-height appearance for the 18 19 19 This is the view into the drop-off area, windows, with a walnut textured panel that is 20 20 in between the floor slabs, but the proportions with the lobby shown here on the right side of 21 of the building are Mediterranean in nature, 21 the image, and, then, this is a night view 22 22 and because we have the four office floors along Ponce de Leon Boulevard, looking back 23 23 above, this creates a really nice two to one towards the building, and we're proposing a 24 24 ratio on the scale of those windows. series of sconces lights at the ground floor to 25 25 Looking at the view from San Lorenzo illuminate the pedestrian realm, and once we

_	Page 53		Page 54
1	get above the podium height, uplighting on the	1	and San Lorenzo, and here's an aerial. You can
2	upper volume, to give it more of a glow and	2	see it's right next to the Shops at Merrick
3	dramatic effect, but not actually be able to	3	Park, on the edge of the City.
4	see the light source itself.	4	This is the site conditions; right now,
5	And that's the project. I'll be glad to	5	it's a parking lot, with a couple of one story
6	answer any questions you may have.	6	buildings.
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	7	And this is two requests. One is for the
8	Is the applicant done with the	8	Mixed-Use Site Plan, because they meet the
9	presentation?	9	threshold of the square feet to require a
10	MR. NAVARRO: Yes. So, Mr. Chair, thank	10	Conditional Use process, and the remote parking
11	you. We're here to answer any questions the	11	request is now a Conditional Use on new
12	Board has. So we'll look forward to hearing	12	construction.
13	more on your input on this project.	13	So this is the Site Plan, as he went over.
14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	14	They are removing one of the existing curb cuts
15	MR. NAVARRO: Thank you.	15	on Ponce de Leon, and adding in landscape
16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The City? Thank you.	16	there. They're having the paseo on the north
17	MR. TORRE: I'm good, for now.	17	side. They have an office lobby and the
18	MS. GARCIA: May I have the PowerPoint,	18	showroom on the ground floor.
19	please?	19	And this is kind of the data, right. So
20	All right. So there's two requests for	20	the open space, they're providing a little more
21	this. There's two requests. There's two	21	than 2,500 square feet, which is 13 percent of
22	Conditional Use requests for this. There's the	22	the site. Some of that is provided off-site,
	Mixed-Use Site Plan, as well as the remote	23	in the right-of-way, with that area that
23		24	they're going to convert from the existing curb
24 25	parking request.	25	cut into landscape.
25	So, as you know, this location is on Ponce		-
	Page 55		Page 56
1	The density, there's only three units. The	1	MS. GARCIA: The shared parking location
2	height is eight stories or 190 feet, and the		
		2	just south. They're showing it's across the
3	FAR, they're just shy of the maximum, at 3.48	3	just south. They're showing it's across the street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it
3 4	FAR, they're just shy of the maximum, at 3.48 FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating		
		3	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it
4	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating	3 4	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380
4 5	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking	3 4 5	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park.
4 5 6	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking spaces, are going to be across the street.	3 4 5 6	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park. MR. WITHERS: There you go. Okay. It's a
4 5 6 7	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking spaces, are going to be across the street. This is a diagram that shows where the	3 4 5 6 7	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park. MR. WITHERS: There you go. Okay. It's a thousand feet? Is that what it is now?
4 5 6 7 8	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking spaces, are going to be across the street. This is a diagram that shows where the remote parking will be, across the street, on	3 4 5 6 7 8	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park. MR. WITHERS: There you go. Okay. It's a thousand feet? Is that what it is now? MS. GARCIA: Yes. From property line to
4 5 6 7 8 9	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking spaces, are going to be across the street. This is a diagram that shows where the remote parking will be, across the street, on San Lorenzo, with the Village of Merrick Park,	3 4 5 6 7 8	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park. MR. WITHERS: There you go. Okay. It's a thousand feet? Is that what it is now? MS. GARCIA: Yes. From property line to property line.
4 5 6 7 8 9	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking spaces, are going to be across the street. This is a diagram that shows where the remote parking will be, across the street, on San Lorenzo, with the Village of Merrick Park, and with the condition they don't really	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park. MR. WITHERS: There you go. Okay. It's a thousand feet? Is that what it is now? MS. GARCIA: Yes. From property line to property line. MR. WITHERS: So what's the difference
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking spaces, are going to be across the street. This is a diagram that shows where the remote parking will be, across the street, on San Lorenzo, with the Village of Merrick Park, and with the condition they don't really have a lease, obviously, executed yet, because	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park. MR. WITHERS: There you go. Okay. It's a thousand feet? Is that what it is now? MS. GARCIA: Yes. From property line to property line. MR. WITHERS: So what's the difference between shared parking and remote parking? Are
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking spaces, are going to be across the street. This is a diagram that shows where the remote parking will be, across the street, on San Lorenzo, with the Village of Merrick Park, and with the condition — they don't really have a lease, obviously, executed yet, because it's not approved or under construction right	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park. MR. WITHERS: There you go. Okay. It's a thousand feet? Is that what it is now? MS. GARCIA: Yes. From property line to property line. MR. WITHERS: So what's the difference between shared parking and remote parking? Are they the same thing?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking spaces, are going to be across the street. This is a diagram that shows where the remote parking will be, across the street, on San Lorenzo, with the Village of Merrick Park, and with the condition — they don't really have a lease, obviously, executed yet, because it's not approved or under construction right now, so I'm going to go over that condition	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park. MR. WITHERS: There you go. Okay. It's a thousand feet? Is that what it is now? MS. GARCIA: Yes. From property line to property line. MR. WITHERS: So what's the difference between shared parking and remote parking? Are they the same thing? MS. GARCIA: No. So shared parking is
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking spaces, are going to be across the street. This is a diagram that shows where the remote parking will be, across the street, on San Lorenzo, with the Village of Merrick Park, and with the condition they don't really have a lease, obviously, executed yet, because it's not approved or under construction right now, so I'm going to go over that condition really quick, once I get to it.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park. MR. WITHERS: There you go. Okay. It's a thousand feet? Is that what it is now? MS. GARCIA: Yes. From property line to property line. MR. WITHERS: So what's the difference between shared parking and remote parking? Are they the same thing? MS. GARCIA: No. So shared parking is within on-site, so it's in the building. So if
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking spaces, are going to be across the street. This is a diagram that shows where the remote parking will be, across the street, on San Lorenzo, with the Village of Merrick Park, and with the condition they don't really have a lease, obviously, executed yet, because it's not approved or under construction right now, so I'm going to go over that condition really quick, once I get to it. MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry, can you back up a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park. MR. WITHERS: There you go. Okay. It's a thousand feet? Is that what it is now? MS. GARCIA: Yes. From property line to property line. MR. WITHERS: So what's the difference between shared parking and remote parking? Are they the same thing? MS. GARCIA: No. So shared parking is within on-site, so it's in the building. So if you have a mix of uses, like you have offices
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking spaces, are going to be across the street. This is a diagram that shows where the remote parking will be, across the street, on San Lorenzo, with the Village of Merrick Park, and with the condition — they don't really have a lease, obviously, executed yet, because it's not approved or under construction right now, so I'm going to go over that condition really quick, once I get to it. MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry, can you back up a second on that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park. MR. WITHERS: There you go. Okay. It's a thousand feet? Is that what it is now? MS. GARCIA: Yes. From property line to property line. MR. WITHERS: So what's the difference between shared parking and remote parking? Are they the same thing? MS. GARCIA: No. So shared parking is within on-site, so it's in the building. So if you have a mix of uses, like you have offices and retail and people living there, the idea is
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking spaces, are going to be across the street. This is a diagram that shows where the remote parking will be, across the street, on San Lorenzo, with the Village of Merrick Park, and with the condition — they don't really have a lease, obviously, executed yet, because it's not approved or under construction right now, so I'm going to go over that condition really quick, once I get to it. MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry, can you back up a second on that? MS. GARCIA: Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park. MR. WITHERS: There you go. Okay. It's a thousand feet? Is that what it is now? MS. GARCIA: Yes. From property line to property line. MR. WITHERS: So what's the difference between shared parking and remote parking? Are they the same thing? MS. GARCIA: No. So shared parking is within on-site, so it's in the building. So if you have a mix of uses, like you have offices and retail and people living there, the idea is that people living there could also work there,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking spaces, are going to be across the street. This is a diagram that shows where the remote parking will be, across the street, on San Lorenzo, with the Village of Merrick Park, and with the condition they don't really have a lease, obviously, executed yet, because it's not approved or under construction right now, so I'm going to go over that condition really quick, once I get to it. MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry, can you back up a second on that? MS. GARCIA: Yes. MR. WITHERS: So those are distances from	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park. MR. WITHERS: There you go. Okay. It's a thousand feet? Is that what it is now? MS. GARCIA: Yes. From property line to property line. MR. WITHERS: So what's the difference between shared parking and remote parking? Are they the same thing? MS. GARCIA: No. So shared parking is within on-site, so it's in the building. So if you have a mix of uses, like you have offices and retail and people living there, the idea is that people living there could also work there, so you're having the shared parking does not
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking spaces, are going to be across the street. This is a diagram that shows where the remote parking will be, across the street, on San Lorenzo, with the Village of Merrick Park, and with the condition they don't really have a lease, obviously, executed yet, because it's not approved or under construction right now, so I'm going to go over that condition really quick, once I get to it. MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry, can you back up a second on that? MS. GARCIA: Yes. MR. WITHERS: So those are distances from the remote parking?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park. MR. WITHERS: There you go. Okay. It's a thousand feet? Is that what it is now? MS. GARCIA: Yes. From property line to property line. MR. WITHERS: So what's the difference between shared parking and remote parking? Are they the same thing? MS. GARCIA: No. So shared parking is within on-site, so it's in the building. So if you have a mix of uses, like you have offices and retail and people living there, the idea is that people living there could also work there, so you're having the shared parking does not require as much parking.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking spaces, are going to be across the street. This is a diagram that shows where the remote parking will be, across the street, on San Lorenzo, with the Village of Merrick Park, and with the condition they don't really have a lease, obviously, executed yet, because it's not approved or under construction right now, so I'm going to go over that condition really quick, once I get to it. MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry, can you back up a second on that? MS. GARCIA: Yes. MR. WITHERS: So those are distances from the remote parking? MS. GARCIA: From the Metrorail oh,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park. MR. WITHERS: There you go. Okay. It's a thousand feet? Is that what it is now? MS. GARCIA: Yes. From property line to property line. MR. WITHERS: So what's the difference between shared parking and remote parking? Are they the same thing? MS. GARCIA: No. So shared parking is within on-site, so it's in the building. So if you have a mix of uses, like you have offices and retail and people living there, the idea is that people living there could also work there, so you're having — the shared parking does not require as much parking. So remote parking is that you're providing
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking spaces, are going to be across the street. This is a diagram that shows where the remote parking will be, across the street, on San Lorenzo, with the Village of Merrick Park, and with the condition — they don't really have a lease, obviously, executed yet, because it's not approved or under construction right now, so I'm going to go over that condition really quick, once I get to it. MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry, can you back up a second on that? MS. GARCIA: Yes. MR. WITHERS: So those are distances from the remote parking? MS. GARCIA: From the Metrorail — oh, yeah, you can see, on the very far right, the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park. MR. WITHERS: There you go. Okay. It's a thousand feet? Is that what it is now? MS. GARCIA: Yes. From property line to property line. MR. WITHERS: So what's the difference between shared parking and remote parking? Are they the same thing? MS. GARCIA: No. So shared parking is within on-site, so it's in the building. So if you have a mix of uses, like you have offices and retail and people living there, the idea is that people living there could also work there, so you're having the shared parking does not require as much parking. So remote parking is that you're providing some of your spaces remotely off-site.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking spaces, are going to be across the street. This is a diagram that shows where the remote parking will be, across the street, on San Lorenzo, with the Village of Merrick Park, and with the condition — they don't really have a lease, obviously, executed yet, because it's not approved or under construction right now, so I'm going to go over that condition really quick, once I get to it. MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry, can you back up a second on that? MS. GARCIA: Yes. MR. WITHERS: So those are distances from the remote parking? MS. GARCIA: From the Metrorail — oh, yeah, you can see, on the very far right, the Metrorail station, and that's 700 and —	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park. MR. WITHERS: There you go. Okay. It's a thousand feet? Is that what it is now? MS. GARCIA: Yes. From property line to property line. MR. WITHERS: So what's the difference between shared parking and remote parking? Are they the same thing? MS. GARCIA: No. So shared parking is within on-site, so it's in the building. So if you have a mix of uses, like you have offices and retail and people living there, the idea is that people living there could also work there, so you're having — the shared parking does not require as much parking. So remote parking is that you're providing some of your spaces remotely off-site. MR. WITHERS: Right. So is there any
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	FAR, and their parking spaces, they're locating 81 on-site and the rest, 123 remote parking spaces, are going to be across the street. This is a diagram that shows where the remote parking will be, across the street, on San Lorenzo, with the Village of Merrick Park, and with the condition they don't really have a lease, obviously, executed yet, because it's not approved or under construction right now, so I'm going to go over that condition really quick, once I get to it. MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry, can you back up a second on that? MS. GARCIA: Yes. MR. WITHERS: So those are distances from the remote parking? MS. GARCIA: From the Metrorail oh, yeah, you can see, on the very far right, the Metrorail station, and that's 700 and MR. WITHERS: I see that. Where is the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	street. The street is sixty feet wide. So it should be 60 feet. I guess they're showing 380 feet to the center of Shops of Merrick Park. MR. WITHERS: There you go. Okay. It's a thousand feet? Is that what it is now? MS. GARCIA: Yes. From property line to property line. MR. WITHERS: So what's the difference between shared parking and remote parking? Are they the same thing? MS. GARCIA: No. So shared parking is within on-site, so it's in the building. So if you have a mix of uses, like you have offices and retail and people living there, the idea is that people living there could also work there, so you're having — the shared parking does not require as much parking. So remote parking is that you're providing some of your spaces remotely off-site. MR. WITHERS: Right. So is there any remote parking on that map?

	Page 57		Page 58
1	larger garage on south of Merrick Park.	1	small component. It's supposed to be our
2	MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry, I'm missing	2	remote parking location.
3	something here.	3	MR. WITHERS: Okay. Got it.
4	MR. KINNEY: Commissioner, where you see,	4	MR. NAVARRO: Sorry about that.
5	"Shared parking," that's where	5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Continue,
6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Could you state your	6	please.
7	name and position?	7	MR. WITHERS: Sorry about that.
8	MR. KINNEY: Kevin Kinney. I'm the Parking	8	MS. GARCIA: No, good catch.
9	Director for the City of Coral Gables. Where	9	Can I have use of the mouse, so I can
10	it says, "Shared parking," on the map, that's	10	continue? Perfect.
11	where the remote parking is.	11	So they started with the DRC in March, and
12	MR. WITHERS: Okay.	12	then the Board of Architects approved the
13	MR. BEHAR: And what does it say you've	13	design, and with Mediterranei Bonus Level 2,
14	got shared parking for three units. How much	14	and in May. They had a Neighborhood Meeting
15	shared are you really getting?	15	with the residents in the area in June. And
16	MS. GARCIA: I don't think they're actually	16	here we are in the Planning and Zoning Board.
17	proposing to have a shared use sort of parking	17	So there were letters sent out to property
18	within their building, because, obviously, they	18	owners within a thousand feet, as required by
19	only have three units. So, yes, that is a	19	the Zoning Code, and that was done three times,
20	typo. It should say, "Remote parking	20	for the Neighborhood Meeting, PZB and for the
21	location."	21	Commission, it will happen; a property posting
22	MR. NAVARRO: I apologize for the	22	and a website posting happened three times and
23	confusion.	23	newspaper advertisement once.
24	Jorge Navarro. So, yeah, we're not using	24	So Staff determined that this was
25		25	consistent with the Comp Plan and recommend
25	any shared parking. The Residential is only a		
		1	
	Page 59		Page 60
1	Page 59 approval with conditions for both, the	1	Page 60 per just a one time fee per space?
1 2		1 2	
	approval with conditions for both, the		per just a one time fee per space?
2	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote	2	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one
2	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking.	2 3	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400
2 3 4	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty	2 3 4	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the
2 3 4 5	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very	2 3 4 5	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a
2 3 4 5	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very specific to this project. One is specifically	2 3 4 5 6	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a monthly permit fee for those spaces.
2 3 4 5 6 7	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very specific to this project. One is specifically about the remote parking application. So,	2 3 4 5 6 7	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a monthly permit fee for those spaces. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very specific to this project. One is specifically about the remote parking application. So, since they're not a project that's, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a monthly permit fee for those spaces. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. I just wanted that clarification, because I didn't see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very specific to this project. One is specifically about the remote parking application. So, since they're not a project that's, you know, ready to go, under construction, they have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a monthly permit fee for those spaces. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. I just wanted that clarification, because I didn't see that there. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very specific to this project. One is specifically about the remote parking application. So, since they're not a project that's, you know, ready to go, under construction, they have to have a finalized, lease executed, because they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a monthly permit fee for those spaces. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. I just wanted that clarification, because I didn't see that there. Thank you. MR. KINNEY: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very specific to this project. One is specifically about the remote parking application. So, since they're not a project that's, you know, ready to go, under construction, they have to have a finalized, lease executed, because they have the approvals and everything else. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a monthly permit fee for those spaces. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. I just wanted that clarification, because I didn't see that there. Thank you. MR. KINNEY: Okay. MS. GARCIA: And the second is a standard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very specific to this project. One is specifically about the remote parking application. So, since they're not a project that's, you know, ready to go, under construction, they have to have a finalized, lease executed, because they have the approvals and everything else. So we're having a condition that once they are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a monthly permit fee for those spaces. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. I just wanted that clarification, because I didn't see that there. Thank you. MR. KINNEY: Okay. MS. GARCIA: And the second is a standard contribution of funds for that area. Since the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very specific to this project. One is specifically about the remote parking application. So, since they're not a project that's, you know, ready to go, under construction, they have to have a finalized, lease executed, because they have the approvals and everything else. So we're having a condition that once they are ready to be issued a permit, then they have two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a monthly permit fee for those spaces. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. I just wanted that clarification, because I didn't see that there. Thank you. MR. KINNEY: Okay. MS. GARCIA: And the second is a standard contribution of funds for that area. Since the area is lacking, as far as open space, so we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very specific to this project. One is specifically about the remote parking application. So, since they're not a project that's, you know, ready to go, under construction, they have to have a finalized, lease executed, because they have the approvals and everything else. So we're having a condition that once they are ready to be issued a permit, then they have two options. They'll submit a survey documentation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a monthly permit fee for those spaces. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. I just wanted that clarification, because I didn't see that there. Thank you. MR. KINNEY: Okay. MS. GARCIA: And the second is a standard contribution of funds for that area. Since the area is lacking, as far as open space, so we're requesting \$125,000 for that open space
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very specific to this project. One is specifically about the remote parking application. So, since they're not a project that's, you know, ready to go, under construction, they have to have a finalized, lease executed, because they have the approvals and everything else. So we're having a condition that once they are ready to be issued a permit, then they have two options. They'll submit a survey documentation of the spaces, the lease, which is required by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a monthly permit fee for those spaces. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. I just wanted that clarification, because I didn't see that there. Thank you. MR. KINNEY: Okay. MS. GARCIA: And the second is a standard contribution of funds for that area. Since the area is lacking, as far as open space, so we're requesting \$125,000 for that open space contribution, specifically to go towards Ponce
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very specific to this project. One is specifically about the remote parking application. So, since they're not a project that's, you know, ready to go, under construction, they have to have a finalized, lease executed, because they have the approvals and everything else. So we're having a condition that once they are ready to be issued a permit, then they have two options. They'll submit a survey documentation of the spaces, the lease, which is required by the Zoning Code, and the 25 percent public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a monthly permit fee for those spaces. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. I just wanted that clarification, because I didn't see that there. Thank you. MR. KINNEY: Okay. MS. GARCIA: And the second is a standard contribution of funds for that area. Since the area is lacking, as far as open space, so we're requesting \$125,000 for that open space contribution, specifically to go towards Ponce de Leon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very specific to this project. One is specifically about the remote parking application. So, since they're not a project that's, you know, ready to go, under construction, they have to have a finalized, lease executed, because they have the approvals and everything else. So we're having a condition that once they are ready to be issued a permit, then they have two options. They'll submit a survey documentation of the spaces, the lease, which is required by the Zoning Code, and the 25 percent public benefit contribution or they're going to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a monthly permit fee for those spaces. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. I just wanted that clarification, because I didn't see that there. Thank you. MR. KINNEY: Okay. MS. GARCIA: And the second is a standard contribution of funds for that area. Since the area is lacking, as far as open space, so we're requesting \$125,000 for that open space contribution, specifically to go towards Ponce de Leon. MR. WITHERS: But that goes to their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very specific to this project. One is specifically about the remote parking application. So, since they're not a project that's, you know, ready to go, under construction, they have to have a finalized, lease executed, because they have the approvals and everything else. So we're having a condition that once they are ready to be issued a permit, then they have two options. They'll submit a survey documentation of the spaces, the lease, which is required by the Zoning Code, and the 25 percent public benefit contribution or they're going to have the same documentation, but they'll be using	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a monthly permit fee for those spaces. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. I just wanted that clarification, because I didn't see that there. Thank you. MR. KINNEY: Okay. MS. GARCIA: And the second is a standard contribution of funds for that area. Since the area is lacking, as far as open space, so we're requesting \$125,000 for that open space contribution, specifically to go towards Ponce de Leon. MR. WITHERS: But that goes to their requirement, right? They're required
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very specific to this project. One is specifically about the remote parking application. So, since they're not a project that's, you know, ready to go, under construction, they have to have a finalized, lease executed, because they have the approvals and everything else. So we're having a condition that once they are ready to be issued a permit, then they have two options. They'll submit a survey documentation of the spaces, the lease, which is required by the Zoning Code, and the 25 percent public benefit contribution or they're going to have the same documentation, but they'll be using the City's spaces.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a monthly permit fee for those spaces. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. I just wanted that clarification, because I didn't see that there. Thank you. MR. KINNEY: Okay. MS. GARCIA: And the second is a standard contribution of funds for that area. Since the area is lacking, as far as open space, so we're requesting \$125,000 for that open space contribution, specifically to go towards Ponce de Leon. MR. WITHERS: But that goes to their requirement, right? They're required MS. GARCIA: No. No. This would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very specific to this project. One is specifically about the remote parking application. So, since they're not a project that's, you know, ready to go, under construction, they have to have a finalized, lease executed, because they have the approvals and everything else. So we're having a condition that once they are ready to be issued a permit, then they have two options. They'll submit a survey documentation of the spaces, the lease, which is required by the Zoning Code, and the 25 percent public benefit contribution or they're going to have the same documentation, but they'll be using the City's spaces. The City owns or manages 400 spaces in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a monthly permit fee for those spaces. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. I just wanted that clarification, because I didn't see that there. Thank you. MR. KINNEY: Okay. MS. GARCIA: And the second is a standard contribution of funds for that area. Since the area is lacking, as far as open space, so we're requesting \$125,000 for that open space contribution, specifically to go towards Ponce de Leon. MR. WITHERS: But that goes to their requirement, right? They're required MS. GARCIA: No. No. This would be beyond.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very specific to this project. One is specifically about the remote parking application. So, since they're not a project that's, you know, ready to go, under construction, they have to have a finalized, lease executed, because they have the approvals and everything else. So we're having a condition that once they are ready to be issued a permit, then they have two options. They'll submit a survey documentation of the spaces, the lease, which is required by the Zoning Code, and the 25 percent public benefit contribution or they're going to have the same documentation, but they'll be using the City's spaces. The City owns or manages 400 spaces in the Shops of Merrick Park. So they'll lease those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a monthly permit fee for those spaces. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. I just wanted that clarification, because I didn't see that there. Thank you. MR. KINNEY: Okay. MS. GARCIA: And the second is a standard contribution of funds for that area. Since the area is lacking, as far as open space, so we're requesting \$125,000 for that open space contribution, specifically to go towards Ponce de Leon. MR. WITHERS: But that goes to their requirement, right? They're required MS. GARCIA: No. No. This would be beyond. MR. WITHERS: This is above the required
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very specific to this project. One is specifically about the remote parking application. So, since they're not a project that's, you know, ready to go, under construction, they have to have a finalized, lease executed, because they have the approvals and everything else. So we're having a condition that once they are ready to be issued a permit, then they have two options. They'll submit a survey documentation of the spaces, the lease, which is required by the Zoning Code, and the 25 percent public benefit contribution or they're going to have the same documentation, but they'll be using the City's spaces. The City owns or manages 400 spaces in the Shops of Merrick Park. So they'll lease those spaces from the City at a rate of \$10,000 per	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a monthly permit fee for those spaces. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. I just wanted that clarification, because I didn't see that there. Thank you. MR. KINNEY: Okay. MS. GARCIA: And the second is a standard contribution of funds for that area. Since the area is lacking, as far as open space, so we're requesting \$125,000 for that open space contribution, specifically to go towards Ponce de Leon. MR. WITHERS: But that goes to their requirement, right? They're required MS. GARCIA: No. No. This would be beyond. MR. WITHERS: This is above the required that they're going to do on the street?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	approval with conditions for both, the Mixed-Use Site Plan and also the remote parking. So the conditions of approval are pretty standard, but there are four that are very specific to this project. One is specifically about the remote parking application. So, since they're not a project that's, you know, ready to go, under construction, they have to have a finalized, lease executed, because they have the approvals and everything else. So we're having a condition that once they are ready to be issued a permit, then they have two options. They'll submit a survey documentation of the spaces, the lease, which is required by the Zoning Code, and the 25 percent public benefit contribution or they're going to have the same documentation, but they'll be using the City's spaces. The City owns or manages 400 spaces in the Shops of Merrick Park. So they'll lease those spaces from the City at a rate of \$10,000 per space. So those are their two options for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	per just a one time fee per space? MR. KINNEY: The \$10,000 per space is a one time fee, to allow them access to the 400 spaces that are for the public within the Village of Merrick Park. They will also pay a monthly permit fee for those spaces. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. I just wanted that clarification, because I didn't see that there. Thank you. MR. KINNEY: Okay. MS. GARCIA: And the second is a standard contribution of funds for that area. Since the area is lacking, as far as open space, so we're requesting \$125,000 for that open space contribution, specifically to go towards Ponce de Leon. MR. WITHERS: But that goes to their requirement, right? They're required MS. GARCIA: No. No. This would be beyond. MR. WITHERS: This is above the required that they're going to do on the street? MS. GARCIA: Correct. Yes. Yes. Yeah, it

1	Page 61		Page 62
1	be able to fund something that it needs.	1	In addition to that, we ask that they
2	The third requirement is directly from	2	prepare and present for review and approval a
3	Public Works, as far as milling and resurfacing	3	loading operation plan that ensures that
4	that portion of Ponce de Leon Boulevard,	4	service vehicles will not adversely impact the
5	between San Lorenzo and the existing roundabout	5	movement of vehicles that are on Southwest 39th
6	to the south. And the fourth one is about	6	Avenue and also a valet parking plan, for the
7	traffic monitoring for three years, which is	7	same purpose. That's it.
8	pretty standard for a lot of our projects.	8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
9	So I also have Hermes here, the Public	9	MS. GARCIA: That's it.
10	Works Director, for additional comments	10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Before we so
11	additional	11	the City is done with its presentation. Before
12	MR. DIAZ: Good evening. I'd like to add a	12	we go ahead, do we have any speakers for this
13	few additional conditions to the record.	13	item?
14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Could you just	14	THE SECRETARY: No speakers.
15	MR. DIAZ: Yes. Hermes Diaz, Public Works	15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No speakers in Zoom,
16	Director.	16	either?
17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	17	THE SECRETARY: No.
18	MR. DIAZ: So this project is expected to		
19	generate a maximum of 95 and 107 net new trips	18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And none on the phone
20	to the a.m. and peak p.m. periods for the	19	platform, either? THE SECRETARY: No.
21	adjacent roadway, and as such, we'd like ask	20	
22	the Developer to coordinate with the County and	21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Thank you.
23	implement, subject to the approval of, timing	22	At this time, I'd like to go ahead and
24	improvements at the intersection of Ponce and	23	close it for public comment.
25	Bird and the intersection of Ponce and US-1.	24	If I can ask you just a question. On Ponce
		25	and US-1, right now, we've got a new car
	Page 63		Page 64
1	dealership that's opening up. Have you taken	1	MR. DIAZ: Yes. Yes, it was.
2	into account the traffic or the stacking that	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jorge, Mr. Coller went
3	will come in from US-1 or from Ponce with that		
_	will come in from US-1 or from Ponce with that	3	ahead and wanted to ask the question if you
4	car dealership going in there and people coming	3 4	ahead and wanted to ask the question if you were in agreement with Staff's recommendation?
4	car dealership going in there and people coming	4	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation?
4 5	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth?	4 5	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with
4 5 6	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth? MR. DIAZ: Give me one second.	4 5 6	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with Staff, obviously. This project has been in the
4 5 6 7	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth? MR. DIAZ: Give me one second. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, while he's	4 5 6 7	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with Staff, obviously. This project has been in the making for a while. We are in general
4 5 6 7 8	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth? MR. DIAZ: Give me one second. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, while he's waiting, the other thing I'd like to get an	4 5 6 7 8	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with Staff, obviously. This project has been in the making for a while. We are in general agreement with the conditions. I think some of
4 5 6 7 8 9	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth? MR. DIAZ: Give me one second. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, while he's waiting, the other thing I'd like to get an indication from the applicant, if he's in	4 5 6 7 8 9	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with Staff, obviously. This project has been in the making for a while. We are in general agreement with the conditions. I think some of the language and some of the things, as is
4 5 6 7 8 9	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth? MR. DIAZ: Give me one second. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, while he's waiting, the other thing I'd like to get an indication from the applicant, if he's in agreement with these additional Public Works	4 5 6 7 8 9	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with Staff, obviously. This project has been in the making for a while. We are in general agreement with the conditions. I think some of the language and some of the things, as is typical, we're going to continue to discuss
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth? MR. DIAZ: Give me one second. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, while he's waiting, the other thing I'd like to get an indication from the applicant, if he's in agreement with these additional Public Works conditions. So, at some point in the process,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with Staff, obviously. This project has been in the making for a while. We are in general agreement with the conditions. I think some of the language and some of the things, as is typical, we're going to continue to discuss with Staff throughout the time that it's
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth? MR. DIAZ: Give me one second. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, while he's waiting, the other thing I'd like to get an indication from the applicant, if he's in agreement with these additional Public Works conditions. So, at some point in the process, if we get that concurrence.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with Staff, obviously. This project has been in the making for a while. We are in general agreement with the conditions. I think some of the language and some of the things, as is typical, we're going to continue to discuss with Staff throughout the time that it's presented here before you and the time we
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth? MR. DIAZ: Give me one second. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, while he's waiting, the other thing I'd like to get an indication from the applicant, if he's in agreement with these additional Public Works conditions. So, at some point in the process, if we get that concurrence. MR. REVUELTA: Does the applicant get the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with Staff, obviously. This project has been in the making for a while. We are in general agreement with the conditions. I think some of the language and some of the things, as is typical, we're going to continue to discuss with Staff throughout the time that it's presented here before you and the time we ultimately go to the City Commission.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth? MR. DIAZ: Give me one second. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, while he's waiting, the other thing I'd like to get an indication from the applicant, if he's in agreement with these additional Public Works conditions. So, at some point in the process, if we get that concurrence. MR. REVUELTA: Does the applicant get the Staff Report before coming to this meeting?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with Staff, obviously. This project has been in the making for a while. We are in general agreement with the conditions. I think some of the language and some of the things, as is typical, we're going to continue to discuss with Staff throughout the time that it's presented here before you and the time we ultimately go to the City Commission. There's always conditions that get
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth? MR. DIAZ: Give me one second. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, while he's waiting, the other thing I'd like to get an indication from the applicant, if he's in agreement with these additional Public Works conditions. So, at some point in the process, if we get that concurrence. MR. REVUELTA: Does the applicant get the Staff Report before coming to this meeting? MS. GARCIA: Yes, they do.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with Staff, obviously. This project has been in the making for a while. We are in general agreement with the conditions. I think some of the language and some of the things, as is typical, we're going to continue to discuss with Staff throughout the time that it's presented here before you and the time we ultimately go to the City Commission. There's always conditions that get fine-tuned. I know there are some items on the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth? MR. DIAZ: Give me one second. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, while he's waiting, the other thing I'd like to get an indication from the applicant, if he's in agreement with these additional Public Works conditions. So, at some point in the process, if we get that concurrence. MR. REVUELTA: Does the applicant get the Staff Report before coming to this meeting? MS. GARCIA: Yes, they do. MR. REVUELTA: So this is not the first	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with Staff, obviously. This project has been in the making for a while. We are in general agreement with the conditions. I think some of the language and some of the things, as is typical, we're going to continue to discuss with Staff throughout the time that it's presented here before you and the time we ultimately go to the City Commission. There's always conditions that get fine-tuned. I know there are some items on the traffic studies that we're continuing to work
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth? MR. DIAZ: Give me one second. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, while he's waiting, the other thing I'd like to get an indication from the applicant, if he's in agreement with these additional Public Works conditions. So, at some point in the process, if we get that concurrence. MR. REVUELTA: Does the applicant get the Staff Report before coming to this meeting? MS. GARCIA: Yes, they do. MR. REVUELTA: So this is not the first time that they hear your conditions?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with Staff, obviously. This project has been in the making for a while. We are in general agreement with the conditions. I think some of the language and some of the things, as is typical, we're going to continue to discuss with Staff throughout the time that it's presented here before you and the time we ultimately go to the City Commission. There's always conditions that get fine-tuned. I know there are some items on the traffic studies that we're continuing to work through, in terms of the language of the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth? MR. DIAZ: Give me one second. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, while he's waiting, the other thing I'd like to get an indication from the applicant, if he's in agreement with these additional Public Works conditions. So, at some point in the process, if we get that concurrence. MR. REVUELTA: Does the applicant get the Staff Report before coming to this meeting? MS. GARCIA: Yes, they do. MR. REVUELTA: So this is not the first time that they hear your conditions? MS. GARCIA: I believe Hermes discussed	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with Staff, obviously. This project has been in the making for a while. We are in general agreement with the conditions. I think some of the language and some of the things, as is typical, we're going to continue to discuss with Staff throughout the time that it's presented here before you and the time we ultimately go to the City Commission. There's always conditions that get fine-tuned. I know there are some items on the traffic studies that we're continuing to work through, in terms of the language of the recommendations with the independent traffic
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth? MR. DIAZ: Give me one second. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, while he's waiting, the other thing I'd like to get an indication from the applicant, if he's in agreement with these additional Public Works conditions. So, at some point in the process, if we get that concurrence. MR. REVUELTA: Does the applicant get the Staff Report before coming to this meeting? MS. GARCIA: Yes, they do. MR. REVUELTA: So this is not the first time that they hear your conditions? MS. GARCIA: I believe Hermes discussed them with them today. The other conditions of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with Staff, obviously. This project has been in the making for a while. We are in general agreement with the conditions. I think some of the language and some of the things, as is typical, we're going to continue to discuss with Staff throughout the time that it's presented here before you and the time we ultimately go to the City Commission. There's always conditions that get fine-tuned. I know there are some items on the traffic studies that we're continuing to work through, in terms of the language of the recommendations with the independent traffic consultant, so we'll continue to work on the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth? MR. DIAZ: Give me one second. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, while he's waiting, the other thing I'd like to get an indication from the applicant, if he's in agreement with these additional Public Works conditions. So, at some point in the process, if we get that concurrence. MR. REVUELTA: Does the applicant get the Staff Report before coming to this meeting? MS. GARCIA: Yes, they do. MR. REVUELTA: So this is not the first time that they hear your conditions? MS. GARCIA: I believe Hermes discussed them with them today. The other conditions of approval, he's seen before.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with Staff, obviously. This project has been in the making for a while. We are in general agreement with the conditions. I think some of the language and some of the things, as is typical, we're going to continue to discuss with Staff throughout the time that it's presented here before you and the time we ultimately go to the City Commission. There's always conditions that get fine-tuned. I know there are some items on the traffic studies that we're continuing to work through, in terms of the language of the recommendations with the independent traffic consultant, so we'll continue to work on the exact language of those conditions as we get
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth? MR. DIAZ: Give me one second. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, while he's waiting, the other thing I'd like to get an indication from the applicant, if he's in agreement with these additional Public Works conditions. So, at some point in the process, if we get that concurrence. MR. REVUELTA: Does the applicant get the Staff Report before coming to this meeting? MS. GARCIA: Yes, they do. MR. REVUELTA: So this is not the first time that they hear your conditions? MS. GARCIA: I believe Hermes discussed them with them today. The other conditions of approval, he's seen before. MR. DIAZ: Correct. Yes. We reviewed all	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with Staff, obviously. This project has been in the making for a while. We are in general agreement with the conditions. I think some of the language and some of the things, as is typical, we're going to continue to discuss with Staff throughout the time that it's presented here before you and the time we ultimately go to the City Commission. There's always conditions that get fine-tuned. I know there are some items on the traffic studies that we're continuing to work through, in terms of the language of the recommendations with the independent traffic consultant, so we'll continue to work on the exact language of those conditions as we get closer.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth? MR. DIAZ: Give me one second. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, while he's waiting, the other thing I'd like to get an indication from the applicant, if he's in agreement with these additional Public Works conditions. So, at some point in the process, if we get that concurrence. MR. REVUELTA: Does the applicant get the Staff Report before coming to this meeting? MS. GARCIA: Yes, they do. MR. REVUELTA: So this is not the first time that they hear your conditions? MS. GARCIA: I believe Hermes discussed them with them today. The other conditions of approval, he's seen before. MR. DIAZ: Correct. Yes. We reviewed all of this and this was part of a final	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with Staff, obviously. This project has been in the making for a while. We are in general agreement with the conditions. I think some of the language and some of the things, as is typical, we're going to continue to discuss with Staff throughout the time that it's presented here before you and the time we ultimately go to the City Commission. There's always conditions that get fine-tuned. I know there are some items on the traffic studies that we're continuing to work through, in terms of the language of the recommendations with the independent traffic consultant, so we'll continue to work on the exact language of those conditions as we get closer. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, does that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	car dealership going in there and people coming in for service and so forth? MR. DIAZ: Give me one second. MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, while he's waiting, the other thing I'd like to get an indication from the applicant, if he's in agreement with these additional Public Works conditions. So, at some point in the process, if we get that concurrence. MR. REVUELTA: Does the applicant get the Staff Report before coming to this meeting? MS. GARCIA: Yes, they do. MR. REVUELTA: So this is not the first time that they hear your conditions? MS. GARCIA: I believe Hermes discussed them with them today. The other conditions of approval, he's seen before. MR. DIAZ: Correct. Yes. We reviewed all of this and this was part of a final discussion. I would like to confirm that, yes,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	were in agreement with Staff's recommendation? MR. NAVARRO: So we have been working with Staff, obviously. This project has been in the making for a while. We are in general agreement with the conditions. I think some of the language and some of the things, as is typical, we're going to continue to discuss with Staff throughout the time that it's presented here before you and the time we ultimately go to the City Commission. There's always conditions that get fine-tuned. I know there are some items on the traffic studies that we're continuing to work through, in terms of the language of the recommendations with the independent traffic consultant, so we'll continue to work on the exact language of those conditions as we get closer. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, does that satisfy

	Page 65		Page 66
1	there were two conditions, one was	1	MR. REVUELTA: I have none.
2	intersectional improvements	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny.
3	MR. DIAZ: Timing.	3	MR. TORRE: I have one issue that I want to
4	MR. COLLER: I'm sorry.	4	bring up. So you do have a requirement to put
5	MR. DIAZ: Coordinating with Miami-Dade	5	\$125,000 towards the, open space, it's called.
6	County the timing of the intersection. It's	6	So I drive by Ponce every day, twice a day. I
7	always subject to the County's approval.	7	find it needing work. I do. So this is very
8	MR. COLLER: Okay. You don't have a	8	positive.
9	problem with that, do you?	9	The thing that I worry about is that these
10	MR. NAVARRO: No. No.	10	dollars don't get spent for years and years, no
11	MR. COLLER: And what was the other one?	11	fault of the Staff, but this is the way to
12	MR. DIAZ: The creation of an operational	12	incorporate you need three million, you only
13	plan for the loading and unloading and for the	13	have 1.5. What would be needed on the street
14	valet parking.	14	and is there a way to get some of this stuff
15	MR. COLLER: You wouldn't have a problem	15	done earlier? Is this project going to
16	with that?	16	increase traffic where we can slow it down or
17	MR. NAVARRO: We have no issues with this.	17	make some additional enhancements to the
18	MR. COLLER: Okay.	18	street, because of this project? So those 125,
19	MR. NAVARRO: So the conditions pertaining	19	can they get moved earlier?
20	to a traffic study, we have no issues with. We	20	MR. NAVARRO: So I'm glad you asked that
21	spoke earlier.	21	question, and we haven't had a chance to
22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	22	discuss with Staff on that comment, but
23	Luis.	23	generally that's a condition that gets placed
24	MR. REVUELTA: No.	24	on some of the projects within this area. Our
25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No comment?	25	project went ahead and has proposed
23	CITAROTAL MEETISTAT. 100 COMMENT.	23	project went anead and has proposed
	Page 67		Page 68
1	enhancements already along Ponce. So that was	1	So there's a value already tied to the second
2	one item that, I think, rather than in lieu of	2	piece.
3	the payment, we've gone ahead and I can have	3	MS. GARCIA: Right.
4	Ray walk you through the Site Plan we	4	MR. TORRE: But you're saying sort of
5	proposed significant improvements along Ponce	5	participates with the 125. So I was looking at
6	de Leon, in terms of landscaping and pavers,	6	that whole intermix of dollars, and I'm not
7	which probably exceed the amount of the	7	sure that's something we should be debating,
8	contribution. So that was one of the	8	negotiating or is that something that gets
9	conditions that we wanted, as I said, work	9	negotiated at another location? I mean, is
10	through with Staff, because there are other	10	that ours to negotiate or is that
11	some improvements that are being requested, as	11	MS. GARCIA: I mean, the Board is welcomed
12	well, and I think, like you said, these are	12	to discuss that. The intent behind that was
13	improvements that are being made now, as	13	that, that segment of Ponce, which is, I think,
14	opposed to us not making them and just paying	14	about a couple of blocks, maybe three blocks,
15	and not getting the immediate result.	15	has kind of been neglected. And so, instead of
16	It's almost a contribution in lieu of the	16	expecting some developer to pay for the entire
17	125, because we're coming in and already making	17	segment, it's basically asking to see if
1 /	s, seems with the many making	18	
18	the	1 10	there's different developments that can hav
18	the MR. TORRE: I was thinking about that		there's different developments that can pay into that, so we could have a whole vision for
18 19	MR. TORRE: I was thinking about that	19	into that, so we could have a whole vision for
18 19 20	MR. TORRE: I was thinking about that earlier. So if you were doing the because	19 20	into that, so we could have a whole vision for that area for that segment of Ponce de Leon.
18 19 20 21	MR. TORRE: I was thinking about that earlier. So if you were doing the because right here, beginning, again, with this	19 20 21	into that, so we could have a whole vision for that area for that segment of Ponce de Leon. MR. TORRE: So if you were to ask the
18 19 20 21 22	MR. TORRE: I was thinking about that earlier. So if you were doing the because right here, beginning, again, with this condition, right, the off-site 125, but then	19 20 21 22	into that, so we could have a whole vision for that area for that segment of Ponce de Leon. MR. TORRE: So if you were to ask the Director, what would be a vision for Ponce in
18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TORRE: I was thinking about that earlier. So if you were doing the because right here, beginning, again, with this condition, right, the off-site 125, but then you get to the second page and it has the	19 20 21 22 23	into that, so we could have a whole vision for that area for that segment of Ponce de Leon. MR. TORRE: So if you were to ask the Director, what would be a vision for Ponce in the big scheme of things, what would be the
18 19 20 21 22	MR. TORRE: I was thinking about that earlier. So if you were doing the because right here, beginning, again, with this condition, right, the off-site 125, but then	19 20 21 22	into that, so we could have a whole vision for that area for that segment of Ponce de Leon. MR. TORRE: So if you were to ask the Director, what would be a vision for Ponce in

i	Page 69		Page 70
1	MR. DIAZ: I think that area, maybe in	1	no Ponce project at this location at the
2	relation to landscaping, the pavement,	2	moment.
3	actually, some areas might need some additional	3	MR. BEHAR: Okay. Then, to answer that
4	re-surfacing, maybe some additional	4	question, how are you going to spend the
5	intersection	5	\$125,000?
6	MR. BEHAR: But let me ask you a	6	MR. KINNEY: Actually, Hermes' folks are
7	question	7	doing some work now that's related to
8	MR. DIAZ: Sure.	8	improvements for trolley stops and improvements
9	MR. BEHAR: to that point. Does the	9	for additional stops, but you touched on
10	City foresee doing a median landscaped	10	probably the most important part. Between Bird
11	median down the middle of Ponce like it is done	11	Road and 4200 Ponce, those three blocks, those
12	from, you know	12	spaces are slammed all day long.
13	MR. DIAZ: That is not something we're	13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All day.
14	looking at, at the moment. It will create some	14	MR. KINNEY: So we really can't afford to
15	potential loss of parking. So that's not	15	talk about anything that's going to take thirty
16	something that we're really looking at the	16	percent of the parking spaces. But there are
17	moment.	17	other improvements, planting trees in the
18	MR. BEHAR: And my office is in that area.	18	sidewalk. There's a lot of things that are
19	MR. DIAZ: Right.	19	options. And there's other projects that are
20	MR. BEHAR: And I don't think it would be a	20	under construction now that will also add some
21	good idea to eliminate that much parking.	21	funds for Public Works to do some improvements,
22	MR. DIAZ: Right. No. No, absolutely.	22	but there is at least one project moving
23	MR. BEHAR: Especially on a project like	23	forward now that will include, you know,
24	this that is deficient in parking.	24	benches and shelters for people riding the
25	MR. DIAZ: Correct. To be frank, there is	25	trolley.
	Page 71		Page 72
1	MR. TORRE: My view of that is that it's	1	you do have a crosswalk right in front of that
2	somewhat unsafe that street is an unsafe	2	building
3	street to a large degree. People race through	3	MR. BEHAR: You do have right in front
4	there. Anybody trying to cross is in peril of	4	of this property, you have a crossing.
5	getting hit really bad. I'm not sure there's a	5	MR. DIAZ: And you do have another one on
6	way to slow down traffic or control traffic in	6	Bird. So it's not that there's a lot of
7	the way it runs through there, whether it's	7	grosswalls on those blooks. So at some point
0		1	crosswalks on these blocks. So, at some point,
8	different crossings that make people start to	8	it's behavior, you know. And, you know, there
9	slow down. I don't know, maybe there's some	9	it's behavior, you know. And, you know, there can be some further discussion about maybe the
9 10	slow down. I don't know, maybe there's some cheaper way to start dealing with that. I'm	9 10	it's behavior, you know. And, you know, there can be some further discussion about maybe the timing, as far as pedestrians versus car, and
9 10 11	slow down. I don't know, maybe there's some cheaper way to start dealing with that. I'm not sure of that.	9 10 11	it's behavior, you know. And, you know, there can be some further discussion about maybe the timing, as far as pedestrians versus car, and all of those things can probably be very easily
9 10 11 12	slow down. I don't know, maybe there's some cheaper way to start dealing with that. I'm not sure of that. MR. DIAZ: There is a crossing there	9 10 11 12	it's behavior, you know. And, you know, there can be some further discussion about maybe the timing, as far as pedestrians versus car, and all of those things can probably be very easily added to the review, for the County to look at
9 10 11 12 13	slow down. I don't know, maybe there's some cheaper way to start dealing with that. I'm not sure of that. MR. DIAZ: There is a crossing there already. Unfortunately, Ponce being a	9 10 11 12 13	it's behavior, you know. And, you know, there can be some further discussion about maybe the timing, as far as pedestrians versus car, and all of those things can probably be very easily added to the review, for the County to look at further, once you have all of these new volume
9 10 11 12 13 14	slow down. I don't know, maybe there's some cheaper way to start dealing with that. I'm not sure of that. MR. DIAZ: There is a crossing there already. Unfortunately, Ponce being a collector, your typical traffic calming things	9 10 11 12 13 14	it's behavior, you know. And, you know, there can be some further discussion about maybe the timing, as far as pedestrians versus car, and all of those things can probably be very easily added to the review, for the County to look at further, once you have all of these new volume of people coming along.
9 10 11 12 13 14	slow down. I don't know, maybe there's some cheaper way to start dealing with that. I'm not sure of that. MR. DIAZ: There is a crossing there already. Unfortunately, Ponce being a collector, your typical traffic calming things is not really appropriate for that location.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it's behavior, you know. And, you know, there can be some further discussion about maybe the timing, as far as pedestrians versus car, and all of those things can probably be very easily added to the review, for the County to look at further, once you have all of these new volume of people coming along. And one of the things that has become a
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	slow down. I don't know, maybe there's some cheaper way to start dealing with that. I'm not sure of that. MR. DIAZ: There is a crossing there already. Unfortunately, Ponce being a collector, your typical traffic calming things is not really appropriate for that location. The County will never go along for that.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it's behavior, you know. And, you know, there can be some further discussion about maybe the timing, as far as pedestrians versus car, and all of those things can probably be very easily added to the review, for the County to look at further, once you have all of these new volume of people coming along. And one of the things that has become a standard practice now is, we ask these
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	slow down. I don't know, maybe there's some cheaper way to start dealing with that. I'm not sure of that. MR. DIAZ: There is a crossing there already. Unfortunately, Ponce being a collector, your typical traffic calming things is not really appropriate for that location. The County will never go along for that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But there are some	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it's behavior, you know. And, you know, there can be some further discussion about maybe the timing, as far as pedestrians versus car, and all of those things can probably be very easily added to the review, for the County to look at further, once you have all of these new volume of people coming along. And one of the things that has become a standard practice now is, we ask these developers you know, there are certain
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	slow down. I don't know, maybe there's some cheaper way to start dealing with that. I'm not sure of that. MR. DIAZ: There is a crossing there already. Unfortunately, Ponce being a collector, your typical traffic calming things is not really appropriate for that location. The County will never go along for that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But there are some times of the day when I see a lot of kids from	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it's behavior, you know. And, you know, there can be some further discussion about maybe the timing, as far as pedestrians versus car, and all of those things can probably be very easily added to the review, for the County to look at further, once you have all of these new volume of people coming along. And one of the things that has become a standard practice now is, we ask these developers — you know, there are certain assumptions that are made when you do a traffic
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	slow down. I don't know, maybe there's some cheaper way to start dealing with that. I'm not sure of that. MR. DIAZ: There is a crossing there already. Unfortunately, Ponce being a collector, your typical traffic calming things is not really appropriate for that location. The County will never go along for that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But there are some times of the day when I see a lot of kids from Coral Gables High School that cross there,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it's behavior, you know. And, you know, there can be some further discussion about maybe the timing, as far as pedestrians versus car, and all of those things can probably be very easily added to the review, for the County to look at further, once you have all of these new volume of people coming along. And one of the things that has become a standard practice now is, we ask these developers you know, there are certain assumptions that are made when you do a traffic study, but the reality is that human behavior
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	slow down. I don't know, maybe there's some cheaper way to start dealing with that. I'm not sure of that. MR. DIAZ: There is a crossing there already. Unfortunately, Ponce being a collector, your typical traffic calming things is not really appropriate for that location. The County will never go along for that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But there are some times of the day when I see a lot of kids from Coral Gables High School that cross there, because I drive through there quite a bit also.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it's behavior, you know. And, you know, there can be some further discussion about maybe the timing, as far as pedestrians versus car, and all of those things can probably be very easily added to the review, for the County to look at further, once you have all of these new volume of people coming along. And one of the things that has become a standard practice now is, we ask these developers — you know, there are certain assumptions that are made when you do a traffic study, but the reality is that human behavior being what it is, you know, for three years, we
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	slow down. I don't know, maybe there's some cheaper way to start dealing with that. I'm not sure of that. MR. DIAZ: There is a crossing there already. Unfortunately, Ponce being a collector, your typical traffic calming things is not really appropriate for that location. The County will never go along for that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But there are some times of the day when I see a lot of kids from Coral Gables High School that cross there, because I drive through there quite a bit also. MR. DIAZ: But you do have a signalized	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it's behavior, you know. And, you know, there can be some further discussion about maybe the timing, as far as pedestrians versus car, and all of those things can probably be very easily added to the review, for the County to look at further, once you have all of these new volume of people coming along. And one of the things that has become a standard practice now is, we ask these developers — you know, there are certain assumptions that are made when you do a traffic study, but the reality is that human behavior being what it is, you know, for three years, we do this traffic monitoring, and depending on
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	slow down. I don't know, maybe there's some cheaper way to start dealing with that. I'm not sure of that. MR. DIAZ: There is a crossing there already. Unfortunately, Ponce being a collector, your typical traffic calming things is not really appropriate for that location. The County will never go along for that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But there are some times of the day when I see a lot of kids from Coral Gables High School that cross there, because I drive through there quite a bit also. MR. DIAZ: But you do have a signalized intersection for those crosswalks. So, I mean,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it's behavior, you know. And, you know, there can be some further discussion about maybe the timing, as far as pedestrians versus car, and all of those things can probably be very easily added to the review, for the County to look at further, once you have all of these new volume of people coming along. And one of the things that has become a standard practice now is, we ask these developers — you know, there are certain assumptions that are made when you do a traffic study, but the reality is that human behavior being what it is, you know, for three years, we do this traffic monitoring, and depending on what they find, maybe there might be some
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	slow down. I don't know, maybe there's some cheaper way to start dealing with that. I'm not sure of that. MR. DIAZ: There is a crossing there already. Unfortunately, Ponce being a collector, your typical traffic calming things is not really appropriate for that location. The County will never go along for that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But there are some times of the day when I see a lot of kids from Coral Gables High School that cross there, because I drive through there quite a bit also. MR. DIAZ: But you do have a signalized intersection for those crosswalks. So, I mean, are they not crossing where they're supposed to	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it's behavior, you know. And, you know, there can be some further discussion about maybe the timing, as far as pedestrians versus car, and all of those things can probably be very easily added to the review, for the County to look at further, once you have all of these new volume of people coming along. And one of the things that has become a standard practice now is, we ask these developers you know, there are certain assumptions that are made when you do a traffic study, but the reality is that human behavior being what it is, you know, for three years, we do this traffic monitoring, and depending on what they find, maybe there might be some additional improvements at that point that may
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	slow down. I don't know, maybe there's some cheaper way to start dealing with that. I'm not sure of that. MR. DIAZ: There is a crossing there already. Unfortunately, Ponce being a collector, your typical traffic calming things is not really appropriate for that location. The County will never go along for that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But there are some times of the day when I see a lot of kids from Coral Gables High School that cross there, because I drive through there quite a bit also. MR. DIAZ: But you do have a signalized intersection for those crosswalks. So, I mean,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it's behavior, you know. And, you know, there can be some further discussion about maybe the timing, as far as pedestrians versus car, and all of those things can probably be very easily added to the review, for the County to look at further, once you have all of these new volume of people coming along. And one of the things that has become a standard practice now is, we ask these developers — you know, there are certain assumptions that are made when you do a traffic study, but the reality is that human behavior being what it is, you know, for three years, we do this traffic monitoring, and depending on what they find, maybe there might be some

	Page 73		Page 74
1	the behavior that is actually driving, because,	1	building, maybe some of those dollars should be
2	you know, right now, a lot of the stuff is	2	actually activated and not put off.
3	based on assumptions. So I think that covers a	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Spent now.
4	little more sense	4	MR. TORRE: Yeah. You know, with this
5	MR. TORRE: Is the 125 your recommendation	5	project, it should be the most we can do on the
6	or	6	street, whatever that means, but it should come
7	MR. DIAZ: No. 125 look, I think there	7	sooner than later.
8	are multiple projects in that area, but I think	8	MR. GRABIEL: Is there any view of redoing
9	ultimately the idea is that different projects	9	Ponce Circle Ponce from Bird to this
10	hopefully will donate some money and we'll	10	location or it's not even envisioned?
11	figure it out once we have what's appropriate	11	MR. DIAZ: That's a heck of a lot more than
12	there, but to be honest with you, right now	12	\$125,000.
13	there is no plan for that area. We don't have	13	MR. GRABIEL: No, I understand, but is
14	a schematic, we don't have besides the	14	there a plan?
15	projects Kinney mentioned.	15	MR. DIAZ: There is no plan at the moment.
16	MR. BEHAR: Where is the City going to	16	MR. GRABIEL: Because if you look at that
17	spend the \$125,000?	17	street, that's probably one of the ugliest
18	MR. DIAZ: At the moment, I couldn't tell	18	streets in Coral Gables.
19	you. I'm being honest.	19	MR. WITHERS: How do you really feel about
20	MR. TORRE: Again, the only thing I see is,	20	it?
21	this is a great building, and I think the	21	MR. GRABIEL: There is no landscaping,
22	enhancements is going to add a lot of life to	22	there's no good sidewalks.
23	the area, and behind it is another two or three	23	MR. BEHAR: Well, there are some palm trees
24	projects coming up, I know that, so it's going	24	that
25	to add movement. But if we're doing this great	25	MR. DIAZ: Right. And those are things
	Page 75		D 66
	5		Page 76
1	that can definitely be looked at, but,	1	however the City deems, you know, appropriate.
1 2		1 2	
	that can definitely be looked at, but,		however the City deems, you know, appropriate.
2	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right.	2	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else?
2	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more	2 3	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point.
2 3 4	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and	2 3 4	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do
2 3 4 5	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I	2 3 4 5	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be
2 3 4 5 6	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I think there are certainly ways to improve on	2 3 4 5 6	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be a great enhancement to the area. I think the
2 3 4 5 6 7	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I think there are certainly ways to improve on it, but	2 3 4 5 6 7	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be a great enhancement to the area. I think the streetscape is where this needs to start going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I think there are certainly ways to improve on it, but MR. BEHAR: Actually, that's angle parking.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be a great enhancement to the area. I think the streetscape is where this needs to start going from now, and I think the City should start to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I think there are certainly ways to improve on it, but MR. BEHAR: Actually, that's angle parking. MR. DIAZ: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be a great enhancement to the area. I think the streetscape is where this needs to start going from now, and I think the City should start to move that project of Ponce.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I think there are certainly ways to improve on it, but MR. BEHAR: Actually, that's angle parking. MR. DIAZ: Yes. MR. BEHAR: And something could be done to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be a great enhancement to the area. I think the streetscape is where this needs to start going from now, and I think the City should start to move that project of Ponce. It is one of our greatest streets, but it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I think there are certainly ways to improve on it, but MR. BEHAR: Actually, that's angle parking. MR. DIAZ: Yes. MR. BEHAR: And something could be done to be able to incorporate some bump outs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be a great enhancement to the area. I think the streetscape is where this needs to start going from now, and I think the City should start to move that project of Ponce. It is one of our greatest streets, but it's just like — like Julio said, it's not the most
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I think there are certainly ways to improve on it, but MR. BEHAR: Actually, that's angle parking. MR. DIAZ: Yes. MR. BEHAR: And something could be done to be able to incorporate some bump outs. MR. DIAZ: Correct, and that's what I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be a great enhancement to the area. I think the streetscape is where this needs to start going from now, and I think the City should start to move that project of Ponce. It is one of our greatest streets, but it's just like — like Julio said, it's not the most attractive. And, again, and the speed and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I think there are certainly ways to improve on it, but MR. BEHAR: Actually, that's angle parking. MR. DIAZ: Yes. MR. BEHAR: And something could be done to be able to incorporate some bump outs. MR. DIAZ: Correct, and that's what I'm talking about.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be a great enhancement to the area. I think the streetscape is where this needs to start going from now, and I think the City should start to move that project of Ponce. It is one of our greatest streets, but it's just like — like Julio said, it's not the most attractive. And, again, and the speed and people going through there, it needs a little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I think there are certainly ways to improve on it, but MR. BEHAR: Actually, that's angle parking. MR. DIAZ: Yes. MR. BEHAR: And something could be done to be able to incorporate some bump outs. MR. DIAZ: Correct, and that's what I'm talking about. MR. BEHAR: Depending on what happens here,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be a great enhancement to the area. I think the streetscape is where this needs to start going from now, and I think the City should start to move that project of Ponce. It is one of our greatest streets, but it's just like — like Julio said, it's not the most attractive. And, again, and the speed and people going through there, it needs a little bit a work.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I think there are certainly ways to improve on it, but MR. BEHAR: Actually, that's angle parking. MR. DIAZ: Yes. MR. BEHAR: And something could be done to be able to incorporate some bump outs. MR. DIAZ: Correct, and that's what I'm talking about. MR. BEHAR: Depending on what happens here, I would make a recommendation that that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be a great enhancement to the area. I think the streetscape is where this needs to start going from now, and I think the City should start to move that project of Ponce. It is one of our greatest streets, but it's just like — like Julio said, it's not the most attractive. And, again, and the speed and people going through there, it needs a little bit a work. MR. DIAZ: (Unintelligible.) Concrete and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I think there are certainly ways to improve on it, but MR. BEHAR: Actually, that's angle parking. MR. DIAZ: Yes. MR. BEHAR: And something could be done to be able to incorporate some bump outs. MR. DIAZ: Correct, and that's what I'm talking about. MR. BEHAR: Depending on what happens here, I would make a recommendation that that \$125,000 is used in that immediate area to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be a great enhancement to the area. I think the streetscape is where this needs to start going from now, and I think the City should start to move that project of Ponce. It is one of our greatest streets, but it's just like — like Julio said, it's not the most attractive. And, again, and the speed and people going through there, it needs a little bit a work. MR. DIAZ: (Unintelligible.) Concrete and asphalt —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I think there are certainly ways to improve on it, but MR. BEHAR: Actually, that's angle parking. MR. DIAZ: Yes. MR. BEHAR: And something could be done to be able to incorporate some bump outs. MR. DIAZ: Correct, and that's what I'm talking about. MR. BEHAR: Depending on what happens here, I would make a recommendation that that \$125,000 is used in that immediate area to benefit the project and to benefit that whole,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be a great enhancement to the area. I think the streetscape is where this needs to start going from now, and I think the City should start to move that project of Ponce. It is one of our greatest streets, but it's just like — like Julio said, it's not the most attractive. And, again, and the speed and people going through there, it needs a little bit a work. MR. DIAZ: (Unintelligible.) Concrete and asphalt — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I think there are certainly ways to improve on it, but MR. BEHAR: Actually, that's angle parking. MR. DIAZ: Yes. MR. BEHAR: And something could be done to be able to incorporate some bump outs. MR. DIAZ: Correct, and that's what I'm talking about. MR. BEHAR: Depending on what happens here, I would make a recommendation that that \$125,000 is used in that immediate area to benefit the project and to benefit that whole, you know, Ponce area, you know, corridor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be a great enhancement to the area. I think the streetscape is where this needs to start going from now, and I think the City should start to move that project of Ponce. It is one of our greatest streets, but it's just like — like Julio said, it's not the most attractive. And, again, and the speed and people going through there, it needs a little bit a work. MR. DIAZ: (Unintelligible.) Concrete and asphalt — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip? MR. WITHERS: Is the showroom a retail
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I think there are certainly ways to improve on it, but MR. BEHAR: Actually, that's angle parking. MR. DIAZ: Yes. MR. BEHAR: And something could be done to be able to incorporate some bump outs. MR. DIAZ: Correct, and that's what I'm talking about. MR. BEHAR: Depending on what happens here, I would make a recommendation that that \$125,000 is used in that immediate area to benefit the project and to benefit that whole, you know, Ponce area, you know, corridor. MR. DIAZ: And I think you can make that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be a great enhancement to the area. I think the streetscape is where this needs to start going from now, and I think the City should start to move that project of Ponce. It is one of our greatest streets, but it's just like — like Julio said, it's not the most attractive. And, again, and the speed and people going through there, it needs a little bit a work. MR. DIAZ: (Unintelligible.) Concrete and asphalt — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip? MR. WITHERS: Is the showroom a retail space?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I think there are certainly ways to improve on it, but MR. BEHAR: Actually, that's angle parking. MR. DIAZ: Yes. MR. BEHAR: And something could be done to be able to incorporate some bump outs. MR. DIAZ: Correct, and that's what I'm talking about. MR. BEHAR: Depending on what happens here, I would make a recommendation that that \$125,000 is used in that immediate area to benefit the project and to benefit that whole, you know, Ponce area, you know, corridor. MR. DIAZ: And I think you can make that your recommendation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be a great enhancement to the area. I think the streetscape is where this needs to start going from now, and I think the City should start to move that project of Ponce. It is one of our greatest streets, but it's just like — like Julio said, it's not the most attractive. And, again, and the speed and people going through there, it needs a little bit a work. MR. DIAZ: (Unintelligible.) Concrete and asphalt — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip? MR. WITHERS: Is the showroom a retail space? MS. GARCIA: Kind of.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I think there are certainly ways to improve on it, but MR. BEHAR: Actually, that's angle parking. MR. DIAZ: Yes. MR. BEHAR: And something could be done to be able to incorporate some bump outs. MR. DIAZ: Correct, and that's what I'm talking about. MR. BEHAR: Depending on what happens here, I would make a recommendation that that \$125,000 is used in that immediate area to benefit the project and to benefit that whole, you know, Ponce area, you know, corridor. MR. DIAZ: And I think you can make that your recommendation. MS. GARCIA: Right. Again, the intent of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be a great enhancement to the area. I think the streetscape is where this needs to start going from now, and I think the City should start to move that project of Ponce. It is one of our greatest streets, but it's just like — like Julio said, it's not the most attractive. And, again, and the speed and people going through there, it needs a little bit a work. MR. DIAZ: (Unintelligible.) Concrete and asphalt — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip? MR. WITHERS: Is the showroom a retail space? MS. GARCIA: Kind of. MR. WITHERS: No, just because I like the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I think there are certainly ways to improve on it, but MR. BEHAR: Actually, that's angle parking. MR. DIAZ: Yes. MR. BEHAR: And something could be done to be able to incorporate some bump outs. MR. DIAZ: Correct, and that's what I'm talking about. MR. BEHAR: Depending on what happens here, I would make a recommendation that that \$125,000 is used in that immediate area to benefit the project and to benefit that whole, you know, Ponce area, you know, corridor. MR. DIAZ: And I think you can make that your recommendation. MS. GARCIA: Right. Again, the intent of this was to join the funds of, I think, maybe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be a great enhancement to the area. I think the streetscape is where this needs to start going from now, and I think the City should start to move that project of Ponce. It is one of our greatest streets, but it's just like — like Julio said, it's not the most attractive. And, again, and the speed and people going through there, it needs a little bit a work. MR. DIAZ: (Unintelligible.) Concrete and asphalt — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip? MR. WITHERS: Is the showroom a retail space? MS. GARCIA: Kind of. MR. WITHERS: No, just because I like the shops along there, and so — and where is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that can definitely be looked at, but, remember, there are competing needs, right. You want wider sidewalks and you want more landscaping, the parking is going to lose, and obviously we don't want to lose parking. So I think there are certainly ways to improve on it, but MR. BEHAR: Actually, that's angle parking. MR. DIAZ: Yes. MR. BEHAR: And something could be done to be able to incorporate some bump outs. MR. DIAZ: Correct, and that's what I'm talking about. MR. BEHAR: Depending on what happens here, I would make a recommendation that that \$125,000 is used in that immediate area to benefit the project and to benefit that whole, you know, Ponce area, you know, corridor. MR. DIAZ: And I think you can make that your recommendation. MS. GARCIA: Right. Again, the intent of this was to join the funds of, I think, maybe three or four or five other projects in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	however the City deems, you know, appropriate. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, anything else? MR. TORRE: No. I think that's my point. I think the project's fine and I think — I do like the project, and I think it's going to be a great enhancement to the area. I think the streetscape is where this needs to start going from now, and I think the City should start to move that project of Ponce. It is one of our greatest streets, but it's just like — like Julio said, it's not the most attractive. And, again, and the speed and people going through there, it needs a little bit a work. MR. DIAZ: (Unintelligible.) Concrete and asphalt — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip? MR. WITHERS: Is the showroom a retail space? MS. GARCIA: Kind of. MR. WITHERS: No, just because I like the shops along there, and so — and where is the restaurant?

	Page 77		Page 78
1	lot of showrooms on the ground floor.	1	portion of the rooftop into a dining
2	MR. WITHERS: A showroom of what?	2	restaurant. Obviously, we'll have to comply
3	MS. GARCIA: Showroom of furniture, of	3	with parking and FAR, but that concept has been
4	MR. WITHERS: So it's going to be a retail	4	in built in there, to provide that flexibility.
5	shop?	5	MR. WITHERS: So nine tables I see on the
6	MR. NAVARRO: So right now we're in the	6	sidewalk, people aren't really eating there,
7	Conditional Use Site Plan approval. This is	7	that's just the rendering?
8	the concept. The concept is to bring I	8	MR. NAVARRO: Oh, on the rooftop?
9	don't know if you've seen that area of Ponce	9	MR. WITHERS: No. No. On the street
10	now. They have like the high end kitchen	10	level.
11	cabinets, the high end lighting.	11	MR. BEHAR: With the umbrellas.
12	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Yeah. Yeah.	12	MR. WITHERS: It shows no parking, so you
13	MR. NAVARRO: So to bring in some showroom	13	don't have to worry about your bump outs.
14	to complement that area. What the ultimate end	14	MR. BEHAR: Where the umbrellas
15	user will be, we're not sure yet.	15	MR. WITHERS: It's a great place to dine.
16	MR. WITHERS: But it's going to be retail?	16	• 1
17	MR. NAVARRO: Retail or showroom, yeah.		I'm just wondering where the restaurant
18	MR. WITHERS: Is there going to be a	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: He's talking about the cover sheet.
19	restaurant?		
20	MR. NAVARRO: So our idea is and we	19	MR. NAVARRO: Oh, no. No, the rooftop
21	don't have any interest yet at this moment, but	20	restaurant the dining use, if we're going to
22	we've designed it in a way that, in the future,	21	have it, is going to be on the rooftop.
23	if there is interest, because, you know,	22	MR. WITHERS: No, I know, but the dining on
24	there's a lot of marquee restaurateurs that	23	the ground level.
25	want to come to this area, we could convert a	24	MR. BEHAR: Yeah, you do show
		25	MR. WITHERS: You show a lot of people
	Page 79		Page 80
1	Page '79 eating on the ground level. That's why I was	1	Page 80 Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to
1 2		1 2	
	eating on the ground level. That's why I was		Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to
2	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail	2	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to
2	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a	2 3	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant.
2 3 4	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do	2 3 4	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area
2 3 4 5	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement?	2 3 4 5	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is from a parking perspective, obviously
2 3 4 5 6	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement? MR. NAVARRO: We'll have to provide	2 3 4 5 6	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is from a parking perspective, obviously we'll have to mitigate it through additional
2 3 4 5 6 7	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement? MR. NAVARRO: We'll have to provide additional parking.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is — from a parking perspective, obviously we'll have to mitigate it through additional off-site spaces, but when I go to Merrick Park,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement? MR. NAVARRO: We'll have to provide additional parking. MR. WITHERS: Through remote parking?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is — from a parking perspective, obviously we'll have to mitigate it through additional off-site spaces, but when I go to Merrick Park, I park in the parking garage. I walk through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement? MR. NAVARRO: We'll have to provide additional parking. MR. WITHERS: Through remote parking? MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, through remote parking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is — from a parking perspective, obviously we'll have to mitigate it through additional off-site spaces, but when I go to Merrick Park, I park in the parking garage. I walk through the mall. I walk around, right, go check out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement? MR. NAVARRO: We'll have to provide additional parking. MR. WITHERS: Through remote parking? MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, through remote parking or we'll have to work on the flexibility of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is — from a parking perspective, obviously we'll have to mitigate it through additional off-site spaces, but when I go to Merrick Park, I park in the parking garage. I walk through the mall. I walk around, right, go check out the little park with at the fountains and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement? MR. NAVARRO: We'll have to provide additional parking. MR. WITHERS: Through remote parking? MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, through remote parking or we'll have to work on the flexibility of uses to get the numbers to match, you know, to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is from a parking perspective, obviously we'll have to mitigate it through additional off-site spaces, but when I go to Merrick Park, I park in the parking garage. I walk through the mall. I walk around, right, go check out the little park with at the fountains and then you know, it's a walkable area.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement? MR. NAVARRO: We'll have to provide additional parking. MR. WITHERS: Through remote parking? MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, through remote parking or we'll have to work on the flexibility of uses to get the numbers to match, you know, to be able to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is — from a parking perspective, obviously we'll have to mitigate it through additional off-site spaces, but when I go to Merrick Park, I park in the parking garage. I walk through the mall. I walk around, right, go check out the little park with at the fountains and then — you know, it's a walkable area. MR. WITHERS: So my point is, I really like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement? MR. NAVARRO: We'll have to provide additional parking. MR. WITHERS: Through remote parking? MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, through remote parking or we'll have to work on the flexibility of uses to get the numbers to match, you know, to be able to MR. WITHERS: So there's no decision to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is from a parking perspective, obviously we'll have to mitigate it through additional off-site spaces, but when I go to Merrick Park, I park in the parking garage. I walk through the mall. I walk around, right, go check out the little park with at the fountains and then you know, it's a walkable area. MR. WITHERS: So my point is, I really like that whole street feel where you can walk and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement? MR. NAVARRO: We'll have to provide additional parking. MR. WITHERS: Through remote parking? MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, through remote parking or we'll have to work on the flexibility of uses to get the numbers to match, you know, to be able to MR. WITHERS: So there's no decision to make that a restaurant? That's not going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is — from a parking perspective, obviously we'll have to mitigate it through additional off-site spaces, but when I go to Merrick Park, I park in the parking garage. I walk through the mall. I walk around, right, go check out the little park with at the fountains and then — you know, it's a walkable area. MR. WITHERS: So my point is, I really like that whole street feel where you can walk and you can buy cabinets, you can buy tile, you can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement? MR. NAVARRO: We'll have to provide additional parking. MR. WITHERS: Through remote parking? MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, through remote parking or we'll have to work on the flexibility of uses to get the numbers to match, you know, to be able to MR. WITHERS: So there's no decision to make that a restaurant? That's not going to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is — from a parking perspective, obviously we'll have to mitigate it through additional off-site spaces, but when I go to Merrick Park, I park in the parking garage. I walk through the mall. I walk around, right, go check out the little park with at the fountains and then — you know, it's a walkable area. MR. WITHERS: So my point is, I really like that whole street feel where you can walk and you can buy cabinets, you can buy tile, you can buy — and I like the idea of a showroom there,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement? MR. NAVARRO: We'll have to provide additional parking. MR. WITHERS: Through remote parking? MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, through remote parking or we'll have to work on the flexibility of uses to get the numbers to match, you know, to be able to MR. WITHERS: So there's no decision to make that a restaurant? That's not going to be MR. NAVARRO: Not as of right now. I mean,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is from a parking perspective, obviously we'll have to mitigate it through additional off-site spaces, but when I go to Merrick Park, I park in the parking garage. I walk through the mall. I walk around, right, go check out the little park with at the fountains and then you know, it's a walkable area. MR. WITHERS: So my point is, I really like that whole street feel where you can walk and you can buy cabinets, you can buy tile, you can buy and I like the idea of a showroom there, a really cool showroom. Once you start
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement? MR. NAVARRO: We'll have to provide additional parking. MR. WITHERS: Through remote parking? MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, through remote parking or we'll have to work on the flexibility of uses to get the numbers to match, you know, to be able to MR. WITHERS: So there's no decision to make that a restaurant? That's not going to be MR. NAVARRO: Not as of right now. I mean, there's flexibility. See, no one knows what's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is from a parking perspective, obviously we'll have to mitigate it through additional off-site spaces, but when I go to Merrick Park, I park in the parking garage. I walk through the mall. I walk around, right, go check out the little park with at the fountains and then you know, it's a walkable area. MR. WITHERS: So my point is, I really like that whole street feel where you can walk and you can buy cabinets, you can buy tile, you can buy and I like the idea of a showroom there, a really cool showroom. Once you start converting it into restaurants, I don't know if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement? MR. NAVARRO: We'll have to provide additional parking. MR. WITHERS: Through remote parking? MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, through remote parking or we'll have to work on the flexibility of uses to get the numbers to match, you know, to be able to MR. WITHERS: So there's no decision to make that a restaurant? That's not going to be MR. NAVARRO: Not as of right now. I mean, there's flexibility. See, no one knows what's going into the ground floor. We know the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is — from a parking perspective, obviously we'll have to mitigate it through additional off-site spaces, but when I go to Merrick Park, I park in the parking garage. I walk through the mall. I walk around, right, go check out the little park with at the fountains and then — you know, it's a walkable area. MR. WITHERS: So my point is, I really like that whole street feel where you can walk and you can buy cabinets, you can buy tile, you can buy — and I like the idea of a showroom there, a really cool showroom. Once you start converting it into restaurants, I don't know if that whole street would turn into — you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement? MR. NAVARRO: We'll have to provide additional parking. MR. WITHERS: Through remote parking? MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, through remote parking or we'll have to work on the flexibility of uses to get the numbers to match, you know, to be able to MR. WITHERS: So there's no decision to make that a restaurant? That's not going to be MR. NAVARRO: Not as of right now. I mean, there's flexibility. See, no one knows what's going into the ground floor. We know the ground floor has to be Commercial, because, per	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is — from a parking perspective, obviously we'll have to mitigate it through additional off-site spaces, but when I go to Merrick Park, I park in the parking garage. I walk through the mall. I walk around, right, go check out the little park with at the fountains and then — you know, it's a walkable area. MR. WITHERS: So my point is, I really like that whole street feel where you can walk and you can buy cabinets, you can buy tile, you can buy — and I like the idea of a showroom there, a really cool showroom. Once you start converting it into restaurants, I don't know if that whole street would turn into — you know, like a restaurant.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement? MR. NAVARRO: We'll have to provide additional parking. MR. WITHERS: Through remote parking? MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, through remote parking or we'll have to work on the flexibility of uses to get the numbers to match, you know, to be able to MR. WITHERS: So there's no decision to make that a restaurant? That's not going to be MR. NAVARRO: Not as of right now. I mean, there's flexibility. See, no one knows what's going into the ground floor. We know the ground floor has to be Commercial, because, per your Comp Plan, you have to provide a minimum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is from a parking perspective, obviously we'll have to mitigate it through additional off-site spaces, but when I go to Merrick Park, I park in the parking garage. I walk through the mall. I walk around, right, go check out the little park with at the fountains and then you know, it's a walkable area. MR. WITHERS: So my point is, I really like that whole street feel where you can walk and you can buy cabinets, you can buy tile, you can buy and I like the idea of a showroom there, a really cool showroom. Once you start converting it into restaurants, I don't know if that whole street would turn into you know, like a restaurant. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah. I mean, right now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement? MR. NAVARRO: We'll have to provide additional parking. MR. WITHERS: Through remote parking? MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, through remote parking or we'll have to work on the flexibility of uses to get the numbers to match, you know, to be able to MR. WITHERS: So there's no decision to make that a restaurant? That's not going to be MR. NAVARRO: Not as of right now. I mean, there's flexibility. See, no one knows what's going into the ground floor. We know the ground floor has to be Commercial, because, per your Comp Plan, you have to provide a minimum number of retail and Commercial Use. So we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is from a parking perspective, obviously we'll have to mitigate it through additional off-site spaces, but when I go to Merrick Park, I park in the parking garage. I walk through the mall. I walk around, right, go check out the little park with at the fountains and then you know, it's a walkable area. MR. WITHERS: So my point is, I really like that whole street feel where you can walk and you can buy cabinets, you can buy tile, you can buy and I like the idea of a showroom there, a really cool showroom. Once you start converting it into restaurants, I don't know if that whole street would turn into you know, like a restaurant. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah. I mean, right now we've designed it to be a showroom. Maybe you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement? MR. NAVARRO: We'll have to provide additional parking. MR. WITHERS: Through remote parking? MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, through remote parking or we'll have to work on the flexibility of uses to get the numbers to match, you know, to be able to MR. WITHERS: So there's no decision to make that a restaurant? That's not going to be MR. NAVARRO: Not as of right now. I mean, there's flexibility. See, no one knows what's going into the ground floor. We know the ground floor has to be Commercial, because, per your Comp Plan, you have to provide a minimum number of retail and Commercial. It's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is from a parking perspective, obviously we'll have to mitigate it through additional off-site spaces, but when I go to Merrick Park, I park in the parking garage. I walk through the mall. I walk around, right, go check out the little park with at the fountains and then you know, it's a walkable area. MR. WITHERS: So my point is, I really like that whole street feel where you can walk and you can buy cabinets, you can buy tile, you can buy and I like the idea of a showroom there, a really cool showroom. Once you start converting it into restaurants, I don't know if that whole street would turn into you know, like a restaurant. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah. I mean, right now we've designed it to be a showroom. Maybe you can look at the way it's laid out. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	eating on the ground level. That's why I was wondering if your intention is to take retail space and eventually convert it to a restaurant, and if they do, what does that do to the parking requirement? MR. NAVARRO: We'll have to provide additional parking. MR. WITHERS: Through remote parking? MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, through remote parking or we'll have to work on the flexibility of uses to get the numbers to match, you know, to be able to MR. WITHERS: So there's no decision to make that a restaurant? That's not going to be MR. NAVARRO: Not as of right now. I mean, there's flexibility. See, no one knows what's going into the ground floor. We know the ground floor has to be Commercial, because, per your Comp Plan, you have to provide a minimum number of retail and Commercial Use. So we know it's going to be Commercial. It's not going to be like some amenity space.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Michelin Star restaurant comes and wants to lease that space, then I would expect for it to be a restaurant. One of the nice things about this area is from a parking perspective, obviously we'll have to mitigate it through additional off-site spaces, but when I go to Merrick Park, I park in the parking garage. I walk through the mall. I walk around, right, go check out the little park with at the fountains and then you know, it's a walkable area. MR. WITHERS: So my point is, I really like that whole street feel where you can walk and you can buy cabinets, you can buy tile, you can buy and I like the idea of a showroom there, a really cool showroom. Once you start converting it into restaurants, I don't know if that whole street would turn into you know, like a restaurant. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah. I mean, right now we've designed it to be a showroom. Maybe you can look at the way it's laid out. It's intended to be now, whether that gets split

	Page 81		Page 82
1	now is changing, you know, month to month. So	1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anything further,
2	we'll see where it's at.	2	Chip?
3	But, I mean	3	MR. WITHERS: No. I'm good.
4	MR. WITHERS: Can I have the City Attorney	4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Julio.
5	maybe translate what you just told me in the	5	MR. GRABIEL: No. I think it's a good
6	past five minutes?	6	project. It's a good building.
7	MR. NAVARRO: Yeah. It's going to be	7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
8	Commercial. What the ultimate user is going to	8	Robert.
9	be, it's hard to gauge. What we're intending,	9	MR. BEHAR: I have three things. One, it's
10	I can tell you, is ground floor retail or	10	really not what you're doing, but you're
11	showroom and the restaurant being at the upper	11	putting Residential on the top floor to comply
12	level. That's currently what our vision is.	12	with the 85 percent maximum of office, and the
13	MR. WITHERS: I mean, you did a great job	13	rest of for a Mixed-Use?
14	with the back of house, as far as the	14	MR. NAVARRO: Correct.
15	offloading and whatever. It's set up for a	15	MR. BEHAR: I think that we, as a Board,
16	retail, display showroom space.	16	should revisit that, because we're forcing them
17	MR. NAVARRO: Correct.	17	to do some Residential that makes absolutely no
18	Yeah. So the Code does not require for us	18	sense. That should be a Commercial space. It
19	to have a loading area. We've incorporated the	19	should be the office. It should be something
20	loading area on purpose, in order to be able to	20	other than three units just must to comply with
21	serve the showroom, or in the event that we	21	that requirement.
22	have an upper level restaurant, when there's to	22	MR. NAVARRO: In this particular situation,
23	be deliveries, we can move them upstairs.	23	it's unique because that happens in all of
24	MR. WITHERS: Thank you very much.	24	the projects and that is correct we have an
25	MR. NAVARRO: Yeah.	25	end user that's an international financial
	Page 83		Page 84
1	company that is going to be moving in. They	1	recommendation for the Commission to revisit
2	have high end executives that come in and fly	2	this Comp Plan element.
3	in. So, in this particular situation, they'll	3	MR. BEHAR: Okay. So that would be for
4	actually be used	4	something else, not this project. Okay.
5	MR. BEHAR: But if you're going to convert	5	That's for another day.
6	you possibly could convert it to a	6	I like the project. I'm in support of the
7	restaurant later, if there's no use for it.	7	project. I think it would be a great asset to
8	MR. NAVARRO: No.	8	that area, because, I mean, I walk there. My
9	MR. BEHAR: So I don't know if there's a	9	office is literally 500 feet or less from this
10	way that, One, we need to look at the Code and	10	location. So I like the project.
11	try to fix that 85 percent required maximum of	11	I'm a bit concerned that we're asking for
12	the same use. That's one of them. It may not	12	123 remote spaces and we don't have a lease in
13	apply here, and I don't know if we could do	13	place yet. So I would approve it, with the
14	make a recommendation to eliminate and	14	condition that before the CO, there has to be a
15	Mr. Coller, you're the one that you know,	15	lease in place, because that's a big
16	can we make a recommendation to not to abide	16	deficiency. From the 204, we're providing 81.
17	by the 85 percent maximum for one use or is	17	So I want to make sure that's in place.
1 /	4	18	MS. GARCIA: Just to clarify, that
18	that not permitted?		
	MR. COLLER: Well, I think the only thing	19	condition plays before they're issued a
18		19 20	building permit, so very early on in their
18 19	MR. COLLER: Well, I think the only thing		
18 19 20	MR. COLLER: Well, I think the only thing you could do is recommend that the Board	20	building permit, so very early on in their
18 19 20 21	MR. COLLER: Well, I think the only thing you could do is recommend that the Board because I believe that 85 percent is that a	20 21	building permit, so very early on in their process.
18 19 20 21 22	MR. COLLER: Well, I think the only thing you could do is recommend that the Board because I believe that 85 percent is that a Comp Plan or is that that's the Comp Plan,	20 21 22	building permit, so very early on in their process. MR. BEHAR: Even better. Even better.

	Page 85		Page 86
1	Who sends those notices out, is it the	1	company that everybody uses for that. So I
2	applicant or is it the City?	2	don't know what the issue was, but
3	MS. GARCIA: The applicant does.	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You answered the
4	MR. BEHAR: All right. I could tell you,	4	question for the apartments, because I was
5	not one, not two, not three never got one	5	actually going to ask, why are there three
6	single notice, and I own the space, so I'm a	6	apartments on top? It just doesn't make sense
7	property owner. It's not like I'm leasing. I	7	on a project like this to me.
8	never got any notices.	8	The other question that was answered also
9	I'm in support of the project, but, just	9	was the 123 remote spaces. That was already
10	for the record, that's not never sent out.	10	answered. I would definitely want you to have
11	MS. GARCIA: Your business. It's common	11	an agreement in place.
12	the building you're in, you're an owner	12	But the question that I have is, as
13	MR. BEHAR: Yes. I'm a property owner by	13	projects start to build in the area, where
14	Miami-Dade County. They collect my taxes, so I	14	they're allowed to use shared parking, how
15	am a property owner. Never received any	15	does what does the City have in place to
16	notices.	16	know how many spaces are getting filled and
17	MR. NAVARRO: Yes. We use one of those	17	what's left or what's available for future
18	certified, you know, companies that	18	projects, what mechanism?
19	certificates the radius and everything. We'll	19	MR. KINNEY: Well, there's often confusion
20	check the list.	20	between shared parking versus remote parking.
21	MR. BEHAR: Listen, does it matter? Yeah,	21	The shared parking component will only come
22	it would have been good for me to go there	22	into play when it is Shops at Merrick Park,
23	before just to give you a hard time	23	because they will have to present to us, you
24	MR. NAVARRO: No, but we'll double-check	24	know, the parking studies of their facilities
25	the list. I mean, you know, we use the same	25	that show that because of traffic levels, they
	and also a mean, you also in, we also use suite		unit show that sections of the section, they
	Page 87		Page 88
1	have capacity to do some kind of addition or	1	anybody who parks monthly in the Village of
2	change in use.	2	Merrick Park pays a permit fee. So we would
3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: These were all labeled	3	keep track. And at that point, when we say,
4	"Shared parking."	4	oh, our 400 spaces are done, then those would
5	MR. KINNEY: Yes. What's happening here is	5	no longer be available for the infill
6	remote parking, which is there's two ways	6	development in the Technology District.
7	this can happen. One is, there's a parking	7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay.
8	study by Village of Merrick Park and they show,	8	MR. KINNEY: That does not preclude from
9	on their share of the 3,500 spaces, they have	9	the Village of Merrick Park, if parking
10	capacity to lease to this developer the 123	10	conditions change and the utilization of their
11	spaces. We would review that shared parking	11	3,200, you know, lessens, then they could come
1	. 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1	1	in and show us that they have capacity for an
12	study that shows that the Village of Merrick	12	in and show us that they have capacity for an
13	study that shows that the Village of Merrick Park has capacity to lease them spaces.	13	additional shared parking development.
	-		
13	Park has capacity to lease them spaces.	13	additional shared parking development.
13 14	Park has capacity to lease them spaces. There's a whole an entirely separate	13 14	additional shared parking development. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And you go ahead, and
13 14 15	Park has capacity to lease them spaces. There's a whole an entirely separate possibility, in that, in the development of the	13 14 15	additional shared parking development. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And you go ahead, and it's monitored? For example, is the agreement
13 14 15 16	Park has capacity to lease them spaces. There's a whole — an entirely separate possibility, in that, in the development of the Shops at Merrick Park twenty years ago,	13 14 15 16	additional shared parking development. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And you go ahead, and it's monitored? For example, is the agreement done on a yearly basis? And, then, if it's
13 14 15 16 17	Park has capacity to lease them spaces. There's a whole an entirely separate possibility, in that, in the development of the Shops at Merrick Park twenty years ago, roughly, they were required to build, in excess	13 14 15 16 17	additional shared parking development. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And you go ahead, and it's monitored? For example, is the agreement done on a yearly basis? And, then, if it's renewed after a year, it goes through your
13 14 15 16 17 18	Park has capacity to lease them spaces. There's a whole an entirely separate possibility, in that, in the development of the Shops at Merrick Park twenty years ago, roughly, they were required to build, in excess of their parking requirement, 400 spaces.	13 14 15 16 17 18	additional shared parking development. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And you go ahead, and it's monitored? For example, is the agreement done on a yearly basis? And, then, if it's renewed after a year, it goes through your department?
13 14 15 16 17 18	Park has capacity to lease them spaces. There's a whole an entirely separate possibility, in that, in the development of the Shops at Merrick Park twenty years ago, roughly, they were required to build, in excess of their parking requirement, 400 spaces. Those are spaces that can be used for what	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	additional shared parking development. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And you go ahead, and it's monitored? For example, is the agreement done on a yearly basis? And, then, if it's renewed after a year, it goes through your department? MR. KINNEY: So, for the 400 spaces, we
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Park has capacity to lease them spaces. There's a whole an entirely separate possibility, in that, in the development of the Shops at Merrick Park twenty years ago, roughly, they were required to build, in excess of their parking requirement, 400 spaces. Those are spaces that can be used for what the City deems appropriate. That's why, in	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	additional shared parking development. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And you go ahead, and it's monitored? For example, is the agreement done on a yearly basis? And, then, if it's renewed after a year, it goes through your department? MR. KINNEY: So, for the 400 spaces, we would give permission to use part of our 400
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Park has capacity to lease them spaces. There's a whole an entirely separate possibility, in that, in the development of the Shops at Merrick Park twenty years ago, roughly, they were required to build, in excess of their parking requirement, 400 spaces. Those are spaces that can be used for what the City deems appropriate. That's why, in this case, the developer's talking about paying	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	additional shared parking development. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And you go ahead, and it's monitored? For example, is the agreement done on a yearly basis? And, then, if it's renewed after a year, it goes through your department? MR. KINNEY: So, for the 400 spaces, we would give permission to use part of our 400 spaces for, essentially, the extent of the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Park has capacity to lease them spaces. There's a whole an entirely separate possibility, in that, in the development of the Shops at Merrick Park twenty years ago, roughly, they were required to build, in excess of their parking requirement, 400 spaces. Those are spaces that can be used for what the City deems appropriate. That's why, in this case, the developer's talking about paying the City \$10,000 per space for access to those	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	additional shared parking development. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And you go ahead, and it's monitored? For example, is the agreement done on a yearly basis? And, then, if it's renewed after a year, it goes through your department? MR. KINNEY: So, for the 400 spaces, we would give permission to use part of our 400 spaces for, essentially, the extent of the lease the City has with Shops at Merrick Park,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Park has capacity to lease them spaces. There's a whole an entirely separate possibility, in that, in the development of the Shops at Merrick Park twenty years ago, roughly, they were required to build, in excess of their parking requirement, 400 spaces. Those are spaces that can be used for what the City deems appropriate. That's why, in this case, the developer's talking about paying the City \$10,000 per space for access to those 400 spaces.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	additional shared parking development. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And you go ahead, and it's monitored? For example, is the agreement done on a yearly basis? And, then, if it's renewed after a year, it goes through your department? MR. KINNEY: So, for the 400 spaces, we would give permission to use part of our 400 spaces for, essentially, the extent of the lease the City has with Shops at Merrick Park, which is still 70 years or some number like

	Page 89		Page 90
1	use study, traffic study, that they would do,	1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And how do you monitor
2	and there may be if there was a large	2	it? Do you monitor it on a yearly basis? Do
3	developer that was using 100 spaces from	3	you monitor it on a biannual
4	Village of Merrick Park, there may be some	4	MR. KINNEY: Well, until the first one
5	requirement in that agreement that requires an	5	happens, there is no real mechanism. But once
6	annual review, but at this point, the only	6	the first one happens, we would put into that
7	project that we've looked at, that would be a	7	agreement a mechanism
8	shared use project, is the one on Aurora	8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So there should be
9	Laguna, at the 4200 Block of Laguna, which was	9	some mechanism? That's what I'm trying to
10	going to, at one time, be a hotel, but that	10	figure out.
11	project is now going to be something different.	11	MR. BEHAR: But if you don't monitor it
12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So other than the 400	12	every year let's say that you do it the
13	spaces, how do you monitor when they don't use	13	first times, and after the one year, they may
14	the City's 400 spaces? Let's say they go and	14	not renew that lease, what happens then?
15	use Merrick	15	MR. KINNEY: At that point, in the Zoning
16	MR. KINNEY: If they don't use the City's	16	Code, there are several requirements. I'm not
17	400 spaces, then they're doing a straight	17	an expert in
18	agreement with Shops at Merrick Park. There's	18	MR. BEHAR: Because this is not just for
19	a couple of things that happen. One is, the	19	this project, but any other project.
20	major retail tenants in Shops of Merrick Park	20	MR. KINNEY: Yes.
21	essentially have veto power. So if they don't	21	MR. BEHAR: I mean, I can say I'm going to
22	like the deal, they get to vote.	22	lease 123 spaces the first year. I get my
23		23	
	But we monitor it, because we require the	24	permit, and after the first year, I don't
24 25	parking and traffic study to be done by an	25	you know, and then how does the City monitor those?
25	independent	25	those:
	Page 91		Page 92
1	MR. KINNEY: Well, it's not really the	1	it was done.
2	issue of monitoring it. How do we enforce it.	2	MR. NAVARRO: I was just going to clarify,
3	MR. BEHAR: How do you enforce it, correct.	3	to Mr. Behar's comments, the issue that you're
4	MR. KINNEY: And there are provisions in	4	raising, because I had the privilege of working
5	the Zoning Code about the enforcement, but	5	on this remote parking ordinance when it was
6	that's not really my area.	6	
_		1 0	going through for another project I was working
7	MR. BEHAR: Because we cannot put a	7	going through for another project I was working on at the time, Commissioner Mena raised the
8	MR. BEHAR: Because we cannot put a condition that that lease, for those spaces,		8 8 1 1 8 1 1 1 J
	-	7	on at the time, Commissioner Mena raised the
8	condition that that lease, for those spaces,	7 8	on at the time, Commissioner Mena raised the same concerns, as to what happens in the event
8 9	condition that that lease, for those spaces, have to be for 25 years, right?	7 8 9	on at the time, Commissioner Mena raised the same concerns, as to what happens in the event that the lease expires and how do you monitor
8 9 10	condition that that lease, for those spaces, have to be for 25 years, right? MR. KINNEY: Twenty-five years would	7 8 9 10	on at the time, Commissioner Mena raised the same concerns, as to what happens in the event that the lease expires and how do you monitor it? So one of the things is that there's a
8 9 10 11	condition that that lease, for those spaces, have to be for 25 years, right? MR. KINNEY: Twenty-five years would probably be excessive, but I believe, in	7 8 9 10 11	on at the time, Commissioner Mena raised the same concerns, as to what happens in the event that the lease expires and how do you monitor it? So one of the things is that there's a covenant, and I believe there's an annual
8 9 10 11 12	condition that that lease, for those spaces, have to be for 25 years, right? MR. KINNEY: Twenty-five years would probably be excessive, but I believe, in negotiating — it's a Conditional Use. So	7 8 9 10 11 12	on at the time, Commissioner Mena raised the same concerns, as to what happens in the event that the lease expires and how do you monitor it? So one of the things is that there's a covenant, and I believe there's an annual re-certification, an affidavit, that you submit
8 9 10 11 12 13	condition that that lease, for those spaces, have to be for 25 years, right? MR. KINNEY: Twenty-five years would probably be excessive, but I believe, in negotiating — it's a Conditional Use. So there can be —	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on at the time, Commissioner Mena raised the same concerns, as to what happens in the event that the lease expires and how do you monitor it? So one of the things is that there's a covenant, and I believe there's an annual re-certification, an affidavit, that you submit to make sure that it's there.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	condition that that lease, for those spaces, have to be for 25 years, right? MR. KINNEY: Twenty-five years would probably be excessive, but I believe, in negotiating – it's a Conditional Use. So there can be – MR. BEHAR: Kevin, this office building is	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on at the time, Commissioner Mena raised the same concerns, as to what happens in the event that the lease expires and how do you monitor it? So one of the things is that there's a covenant, and I believe there's an annual re-certification, an affidavit, that you submit to make sure that it's there. Something else that's required and is part
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	condition that that lease, for those spaces, have to be for 25 years, right? MR. KINNEY: Twenty-five years would probably be excessive, but I believe, in negotiating — it's a Conditional Use. So there can be — MR. BEHAR: Kevin, this office building is going to be around for the next 50 years or	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on at the time, Commissioner Mena raised the same concerns, as to what happens in the event that the lease expires and how do you monitor it? So one of the things is that there's a covenant, and I believe there's an annual re-certification, an affidavit, that you submit to make sure that it's there. Something else that's required and is part of the condition here is that, when we do
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	condition that that lease, for those spaces, have to be for 25 years, right? MR. KINNEY: Twenty-five years would probably be excessive, but I believe, in negotiating — it's a Conditional Use. So there can be — MR. BEHAR: Kevin, this office building is going to be around for the next 50 years or more.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on at the time, Commissioner Mena raised the same concerns, as to what happens in the event that the lease expires and how do you monitor it? So one of the things is that there's a covenant, and I believe there's an annual re-certification, an affidavit, that you submit to make sure that it's there. Something else that's required and is part of the condition here is that, when we do submit the lease, we have a mitigation plan.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	condition that that lease, for those spaces, have to be for 25 years, right? MR. KINNEY: Twenty-five years would probably be excessive, but I believe, in negotiating — it's a Conditional Use. So there can be — MR. BEHAR: Kevin, this office building is going to be around for the next 50 years or more. MR. KINNEY: I understand. That's why I	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on at the time, Commissioner Mena raised the same concerns, as to what happens in the event that the lease expires and how do you monitor it? So one of the things is that there's a covenant, and I believe there's an annual re-certification, an affidavit, that you submit to make sure that it's there. Something else that's required and is part of the condition here is that, when we do submit the lease, we have a mitigation plan. So a mitigation plan, in the event that the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	condition that that lease, for those spaces, have to be for 25 years, right? MR. KINNEY: Twenty-five years would probably be excessive, but I believe, in negotiating — it's a Conditional Use. So there can be — MR. BEHAR: Kevin, this office building is going to be around for the next 50 years or more. MR. KINNEY: I understand. That's why I mentioned, when we're talking about our 400	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on at the time, Commissioner Mena raised the same concerns, as to what happens in the event that the lease expires and how do you monitor it? So one of the things is that there's a covenant, and I believe there's an annual re-certification, an affidavit, that you submit to make sure that it's there. Something else that's required and is part of the condition here is that, when we do submit the lease, we have a mitigation plan. So a mitigation plan, in the event that the lease expires, what do you do. You can either
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	condition that that lease, for those spaces, have to be for 25 years, right? MR. KINNEY: Twenty-five years would probably be excessive, but I believe, in negotiating – it's a Conditional Use. So there can be – MR. BEHAR: Kevin, this office building is going to be around for the next 50 years or more. MR. KINNEY: I understand. That's why I mentioned, when we're talking about our 400 spaces, the agreement from the City would	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on at the time, Commissioner Mena raised the same concerns, as to what happens in the event that the lease expires and how do you monitor it? So one of the things is that there's a covenant, and I believe there's an annual re-certification, an affidavit, that you submit to make sure that it's there. Something else that's required and is part of the condition here is that, when we do submit the lease, we have a mitigation plan. So — a mitigation plan, in the event that the lease expires, what do you do. You can either submit a new lease for an alternative off-site
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	condition that that lease, for those spaces, have to be for 25 years, right? MR. KINNEY: Twenty-five years would probably be excessive, but I believe, in negotiating — it's a Conditional Use. So there can be — MR. BEHAR: Kevin, this office building is going to be around for the next 50 years or more. MR. KINNEY: I understand. That's why I mentioned, when we're talking about our 400 spaces, the agreement from the City would essentially be for the remainder of the term of	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on at the time, Commissioner Mena raised the same concerns, as to what happens in the event that the lease expires and how do you monitor it? So one of the things is that there's a covenant, and I believe there's an annual re-certification, an affidavit, that you submit to make sure that it's there. Something else that's required and is part of the condition here is that, when we do submit the lease, we have a mitigation plan. So — a mitigation plan, in the event that the lease expires, what do you do. You can either submit a new lease for an alternative off-site location that meets the criteria of a thousand
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	condition that that lease, for those spaces, have to be for 25 years, right? MR. KINNEY: Twenty-five years would probably be excessive, but I believe, in negotiating — it's a Conditional Use. So there can be — MR. BEHAR: Kevin, this office building is going to be around for the next 50 years or more. MR. KINNEY: I understand. That's why I mentioned, when we're talking about our 400 spaces, the agreement from the City would essentially be for the remainder of the term of our agreement with Shops at Merrick Park.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on at the time, Commissioner Mena raised the same concerns, as to what happens in the event that the lease expires and how do you monitor it? So one of the things is that there's a covenant, and I believe there's an annual re-certification, an affidavit, that you submit to make sure that it's there. Something else that's required and is part of the condition here is that, when we do submit the lease, we have a mitigation plan. So — a mitigation plan, in the event that the lease expires, what do you do. You can either submit a new lease for an alternative off-site location that meets the criteria of a thousand feet, you could propose to provide parking in
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	condition that that lease, for those spaces, have to be for 25 years, right? MR. KINNEY: Twenty-five years would probably be excessive, but I believe, in negotiating – it's a Conditional Use. So there can be MR. BEHAR: Kevin, this office building is going to be around for the next 50 years or more. MR. KINNEY: I understand. That's why I mentioned, when we're talking about our 400 spaces, the agreement from the City would essentially be for the remainder of the term of our agreement with Shops at Merrick Park. MR. BEHAR: And how long is that?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on at the time, Commissioner Mena raised the same concerns, as to what happens in the event that the lease expires and how do you monitor it? So one of the things is that there's a covenant, and I believe there's an annual re-certification, an affidavit, that you submit to make sure that it's there. Something else that's required and is part of the condition here is that, when we do submit the lease, we have a mitigation plan. So — a mitigation plan, in the event that the lease expires, what do you do. You can either submit a new lease for an alternative off-site location that meets the criteria of a thousand feet, you could propose to provide parking in some alternative format.

	Page 93		Page 94
1	event that we have a lease down the line that	1	MR. NAVARRO: And, also, we'll provide the
2	we're not able to renew, Chapter 74 of the Code	2	City with a copy of the lease, and I'm sure
3	allows you one of the mitigation options is	3	Kevin has his own way of documenting when that
4	to make a full payment to the City, and the	4	lease's term is up and could follow-up, too,
5	intent of that, I think, was to basically have	5	but the applicant does have an affirmative
6	money available to make sure that the parking	6	obligation, too.
7	facilities in the area that are being built,	7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Also, on the \$125,000,
8	and the ones that are surplused and may be	8	you know, I'm in the same line as Venny and
9	older, are being maintained by the City and	9	Robert, that that money should be spent in that
10	upgraded, so there is an option that you would	10	area somehow. I understand how putting the
11	have to pay for all of the spaces that you're	11	money in the coffer and building it up to do a
12	short.	12	project down the road could add value, but I
13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But I think, for me at	13	think there is an immediate need for that area
14	least, my concern was, how does the City	14	right now to improve it. So I would be in
15	monitor that you comply with constantly having	15	favor I don't know if it's something within
16	that parking agreement and are using it?	16	our recommendation, but it would be something
17	MR. NAVARRO: Yeah. I think it's that	17	
18	covenant that requires as part of one of the	18	MR. BEHAR: I think so. I think we can
19	covenant provisions, it requires annually for	19	make that recommendation.
20	the applicant to submit an affidavit confirming	20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would be in favor of
21	that the	21	that. The other questions that I had have
22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So the applicant has	22	actually been answered on this.
23	to submit an annual affidavit?	23	Would anybody like to make a motion?
24	MR. NAVARRO: Yeah.	24	MR. TORRE: I'll make it. I'll move it,
25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay.	25	for approval, with the recommendation that the
			Tot approved, which are the state of the sta
	D 0 F	1	
	Page 95		Page 96
1	125 gets brought forth early and be part of	1	Page 96 MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that.
1 2	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street.	2	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah
	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a	2	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip,
2 3 4	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the	2 3 4	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor,
2 3 4 5	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can	2 3 4 5	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that
2 3 4 5 6	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look	2 3 4 5 6	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot.
2 3 4 5 6 7	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that?	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that? MR. BEHAR: I mean, let me ask the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Venny.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that? MR. BEHAR: I mean, let me ask the applicant, is that something that you would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Venny. MR. BEHAR: I'll second it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that? MR. BEHAR: I mean, let me ask the applicant, is that something that you would want us to make that recommendation, if there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Venny. MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert went ahead and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that? MR. BEHAR: I mean, let me ask the applicant, is that something that you would want us to make that recommendation, if there's an opportunity to not have done those three	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Venny. MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert went ahead and second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that? MR. BEHAR: I mean, let me ask the applicant, is that something that you would want us to make that recommendation, if there's an opportunity to not have done those three units	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Venny. MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert went ahead and second. MR. COLLER: Just so I'm clear on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that? MR. BEHAR: I mean, let me ask the applicant, is that something that you would want us to make that recommendation, if there's an opportunity to not have done those three units MR. NAVARRO: I mean, I think it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Venny. MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert went ahead and second. MR. COLLER: Just so I'm clear on the conditions, so we have the additional
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that? MR. BEHAR: I mean, let me ask the applicant, is that something that you would want us to make that recommendation, if there's an opportunity to not have done those three units MR. NAVARRO: I mean, I think it's something that gives us flexibility. I know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Venny. MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert went ahead and second. MR. COLLER: Just so I'm clear on the conditions, so we have the additional conditions of the Public Works Department,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that? MR. BEHAR: I mean, let me ask the applicant, is that something that you would want us to make that recommendation, if there's an opportunity to not have done those three units MR. NAVARRO: I mean, I think it's something that gives us flexibility. I know, overall, yes, that's something that I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Venny. MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert went ahead and second. MR. COLLER: Just so I'm clear on the conditions, so we have the additional conditions of the Public Works Department, which the applicant has expressly said he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that? MR. BEHAR: I mean, let me ask the applicant, is that something that you would want us to make that recommendation, if there's an opportunity to not have done those three units MR. NAVARRO: I mean, I think it's something that gives us flexibility. I know, overall, yes, that's something that I think needs to be looked at, because there's a gap	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Venny. MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert went ahead and second. MR. COLLER: Just so I'm clear on the conditions, so we have the additional conditions of the Public Works Department, which the applicant has expressly said he doesn't have a problem with.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that? MR. BEHAR: I mean, let me ask the applicant, is that something that you would want us to make that recommendation, if there's an opportunity to not have done those three units MR. NAVARRO: I mean, I think it's something that gives us flexibility. I know, overall, yes, that's something that I think needs to be looked at, because there's a gap between eight percent and 85 percent. There's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Venny. MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert went ahead and second. MR. COLLER: Just so I'm clear on the conditions, so we have the additional conditions of the Public Works Department, which the applicant has expressly said he doesn't have a problem with. MR. BEHAR: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that? MR. BEHAR: I mean, let me ask the applicant, is that something that you would want us to make that recommendation, if there's an opportunity to not have done those three units MR. NAVARRO: I mean, I think it's something that gives us flexibility. I know, overall, yes, that's something that I think needs to be looked at, because there's a gap between eight percent and 85 percent. There's a seven percent gap that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Venny. MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert went ahead and second. MR. COLLER: Just so I'm clear on the conditions, so we have the additional conditions of the Public Works Department, which the applicant has expressly said he doesn't have a problem with. MR. BEHAR: Yes. MR. COLLER: The \$125,000, I think, should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that? MR. BEHAR: I mean, let me ask the applicant, is that something that you would want us to make that recommendation, if there's an opportunity to not have done those three units MR. NAVARRO: I mean, I think it's something that gives us flexibility. I know, overall, yes, that's something that I think needs to be looked at, because there's a gap between eight percent and 85 percent. There's a seven percent gap that MR. COLLER: Of course, the problem with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Venny. MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert went ahead and second. MR. COLLER: Just so I'm clear on the conditions, so we have the additional conditions of the Public Works Department, which the applicant has expressly said he doesn't have a problem with. MR. BEHAR: Yes. MR. COLLER: The \$125,000, I think, should be considered as a separate voluntary covenant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that? MR. BEHAR: I mean, let me ask the applicant, is that something that you would want us to make that recommendation, if there's an opportunity to not have done those three units MR. NAVARRO: I mean, I think it's something that gives us flexibility. I know, overall, yes, that's something that I think needs to be looked at, because there's a gap between eight percent and 85 percent. There's a seven percent gap that MR. COLLER: Of course, the problem with that, it would be a Comp Plan Amendment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Venny. MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert went ahead and second. MR. COLLER: Just so I'm clear on the conditions, so we have the additional conditions of the Public Works Department, which the applicant has expressly said he doesn't have a problem with. MR. BEHAR: Yes. MR. COLLER: The \$125,000, I think, should be considered as a separate voluntary covenant by the applicant.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that? MR. BEHAR: I mean, let me ask the applicant, is that something that you would want us to make that recommendation, if there's an opportunity to not have done those three units MR. NAVARRO: I mean, I think it's something that gives us flexibility. I know, overall, yes, that's something that I think needs to be looked at, because there's a gap between eight percent and 85 percent. There's a seven percent gap that MR. COLLER: Of course, the problem with that, it would be a Comp Plan Amendment. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Venny. MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert went ahead and second. MR. COLLER: Just so I'm clear on the conditions, so we have the additional conditions of the Public Works Department, which the applicant has expressly said he doesn't have a problem with. MR. BEHAR: Yes. MR. COLLER: The \$125,000, I think, should be considered as a separate voluntary covenant by the applicant. MR. BEHAR: Right, but I think the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that? MR. BEHAR: I mean, let me ask the applicant, is that something that you would want us to make that recommendation, if there's an opportunity to not have done those three units MR. NAVARRO: I mean, I think it's something that gives us flexibility. I know, overall, yes, that's something that I think needs to be looked at, because there's a gap between eight percent and 85 percent. There's a seven percent gap that MR. COLLER: Of course, the problem with that, it would be a Comp Plan Amendment. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. I don't think it's going to be for this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Venny. MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert went ahead and second. MR. COLLER: Just so I'm clear on the conditions, so we have the additional conditions of the Public Works Department, which the applicant has expressly said he doesn't have a problem with. MR. BEHAR: Yes. MR. COLLER: The \$125,000, I think, should be considered as a separate voluntary covenant by the applicant. MR. BEHAR: Right, but I think the condition is that Venny put in, is that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that? MR. BEHAR: I mean, let me ask the applicant, is that something that you would want us to make that recommendation, if there's an opportunity to not have done those three units MR. NAVARRO: I mean, I think it's something that gives us flexibility. I know, overall, yes, that's something that I think needs to be looked at, because there's a gap between eight percent and 85 percent. There's a seven percent gap that MR. COLLER: Of course, the problem with that, it would be a Comp Plan Amendment. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. I don't think it's going to be for this project, but I think, in general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Venny. MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert went ahead and second. MR. COLLER: Just so I'm clear on the conditions, so we have the additional conditions of the Public Works Department, which the applicant has expressly said he doesn't have a problem with. MR. BEHAR: Yes. MR. COLLER: The \$125,000, I think, should be considered as a separate voluntary covenant by the applicant. MR. BEHAR: Right, but I think the condition is that Venny put in, is that it needs to be used in that area, right, and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that? MR. BEHAR: I mean, let me ask the applicant, is that something that you would want us to make that recommendation, if there's an opportunity to not have done those three units MR. NAVARRO: I mean, I think it's something that gives us flexibility. I know, overall, yes, that's something that I think needs to be looked at, because there's a gap between eight percent and 85 percent. There's a seven percent gap that MR. COLLER: Of course, the problem with that, it would be a Comp Plan Amendment. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. I don't think it's going to be for this project, but I think, in general MR. COLLER: It may be something as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Venny. MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert went ahead and second. MR. COLLER: Just so I'm clear on the conditions, so we have the additional conditions of the Public Works Department, which the applicant has expressly said he doesn't have a problem with. MR. BEHAR: Yes. MR. COLLER: The \$125,000, I think, should be considered as a separate voluntary covenant by the applicant. MR. BEHAR: Right, but I think the condition is that Venny put in, is that it needs to be used in that area, right, and I agree with that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	125 gets brought forth early and be part of this project's enhancement to the street. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Robert had a motion to take a look at that three units, the apartments. Is that a recommendation that can be made at this time for the Commission to look into that? MR. BEHAR: I mean, let me ask the applicant, is that something that you would want us to make that recommendation, if there's an opportunity to not have done those three units MR. NAVARRO: I mean, I think it's something that gives us flexibility. I know, overall, yes, that's something that I think needs to be looked at, because there's a gap between eight percent and 85 percent. There's a seven percent gap that MR. COLLER: Of course, the problem with that, it would be a Comp Plan Amendment. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. I don't think it's going to be for this project, but I think, in general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BEHAR: So we'll withdraw that. MR. NAVARRO: Yeah MR. BEHAR: By the way, I think to Chip, I think that a restaurant in that ground floor, I like the idea that having those tables, that could be a great week I would use it a lot. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Venny. MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert went ahead and second. MR. COLLER: Just so I'm clear on the conditions, so we have the additional conditions of the Public Works Department, which the applicant has expressly said he doesn't have a problem with. MR. BEHAR: Yes. MR. COLLER: The \$125,000, I think, should be considered as a separate voluntary covenant by the applicant. MR. BEHAR: Right, but I think the condition is that Venny put in, is that it needs to be used in that area, right, and I

	Page 97		Page 98
1	recommendation, that the money should be used	1	THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?
2	in the area.	2	MR, GRABIEL: Yes.
3	MS. GARCIA: That are is in the Design and	3	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
4	Innovation District. That area is on that	4	MR. REVUELTA: Great project, like it.
5	block.	5	Yes.
6	MR. BEHAR: On the Ponce corridor, let's	6	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
7	say.	7	MR. TORRE: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Within those three	8	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
9	blocks.	9	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
10	MS. GARCIA: Correct.	10	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
11	MR. TORRE: Yeah, correct.	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So that's the	12	MR. NAVARRO: Thank you, everybody.
13	recommendation?	13	MR. COLLER: We're not done yet.
14	MR. TORRE: Yes.	14	MR. NAVARRO: We have the second item,
15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we have a second.	15	that's right.
16	MR. BEHAR: I second it.	16	MR. BEHAR: You can go, if you want to.
17		17	MR. NAVARRO: No. I'm going to stay just
	MR. COLLER: Okay. So that would be	18	in case.
18	appropriate for Item E-1.	19	MR. REVUELTA: I have a question for Mr.
19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.	20	Navarro. You described the restaurants in the
20	MR. COLLER: We're doing Item E-1 first.	21	area as what was the word you used?
21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That is correct.	22	MR. NAVARRO: Award winning and
22	Any discussion? No?	23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Michelin Star.
23	Call the roll, please.	24	MR. REVUELTA: No. There was another word
24	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	25	that I heard that I really was looking forward
25	MR. BEHAR: Yes.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Page 99		Page 100
1	for Mr. Navarro to clarify.	1	know, the other
2	MR. NAVARRO: I frequented all of the	2	MR. BEHAR: I'll second.
3	restaurants in the area. So I'm quite	3	MR. NAVARRO: There's different mechanisms
4	familiar. If you need a recommendation, let me	4	for compliance.
5	know.	5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. So we have a
6	MR. REVUELTA: (Simultaneous speaking.)	6	motion. We have a second. Any discussion?
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So Item E-2	7	No?
8	MR. TORRE: Motion to approve E-2, which is	8	Call the roll, please.
9	the remote parking option.	9	THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?
10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Is there	10	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
11	anything that we would like to look at, as far	11	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
12	as monitoring or anything like that or it is	12	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
13	good as it is for you, Venny?	13	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
14	MR. TORRE: I would leave it to the	14	MR. TORRE: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Parking Director?	15	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
16	MR. TORRE: the Parking Director and the	16	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
17	Ordinances that exist, which I think are in	17	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
18	place.	18	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion.	19	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
20	MR. BEHAR: And just to be clear, you have	20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
21	to demonstrate a lease at building permit,	21	MR. NAVARRO: Thank you all. I'm going to
22	correct?	22	stick around in case there's a motion to
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.	23	reconsider this item.
24	MR. NAVARRO: Yeah, prior to the issuance	24	MR. BEHAR: I need to take
25	of a building permit. Or comply with, you	25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and
	- · · -	1	

	Page 101		Page 102
1			
1	take five minutes or so, just to have the next	1	offices at 600 Brickell Avenue, here today
2	applicant set up.	2	representing Location Acquisitions, LLC, the
3	(Short recess taken.)	3	contract purchaser of the property located at
4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and get	4	1505 Ponce de Leon Blvd. And I'm joined today
5	started please. The next item that we have on	5	by Mr. Hamed Rodriguez, our project architect,
6	the agenda is Item E-3.	6	as well as Leonard Roberts, with Location
7	MR. COLLER: Item E-3, a Resolution of the	7	Acquisitions.
8	City Commission approving receipt of Transfer	8	This Board actually previously recommended
9	of Development Rights (TDRs) pursuant to Zoning	9	approval of this project in May of this year, and it was subsequently approved by the City
10	Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-204.6,	11	
11	"Review and approval of use of TDRs on receiver	12	Commission in July of this year. Both
12	sites," for the receipt and use of TDRs for a	13	approvals were conditioned upon identifying and
13	Mixed-Use project referred to as "1505 Ponce"	14	obtaining approval for the transfer of floor
14	on the property legally described as Lots 1	15	area from TDR sending sites to the project site, located at 1505 Ponce de Leon Boulevard.
15	through 6 and Lots 17 through 22, Block 36,	16	That is exactly what we are back and
16	"Douglas Section" (1505 Ponce de Leon	17	discussing today, TDR receiver site approval
17	Boulevard, 126 and 122 Menores Avenue), Coral	18	for 1505 Ponce.
18	Gables, Florida; including required conditions;	19	As you will remember, there is a lot to
19	providing for a repeater provision,	20	like about this project. It is less than half
20	severability clause, and providing for an	21	of the maximum permitted density; 80 units are
21	effective date.	22	proposed, versus 179 units which would
22	Item E-3, public hearing.	23	typically be permitted. Almost a third of the
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	24	project site is open space, and there are two
24	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Good evening, Mr. Chair,	25	public parks proposed for this project, one
25	Members of the Board. Mario Garcia-Serra, with		public parks proposed for this project, one
	Page 103		Page 104
1			
	fronting Ponce, on the west side of the	1	then, the three other sender sites involved
	fronting Ponce, on the west side of the	1 2	then, the three other sender sites involved will be providing TDRs from historic
2	property, and another on the east side of the	1 2 3	will be providing TDRs from historic
2	property, and another on the east side of the property.	2	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the
2 3 4	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one	2 3	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties.
2	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second?	2 3 4	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We
2 3 4 5	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure.	2 3 4 5	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you
2 3 4 5 6	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. MR. BEHAR: You said that you came before	2 3 4 5 6	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you wanted to again, but you've seen it before,
2 3 4 5 6 7	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. MR. BEHAR: You said that you came before us and we approved this project already, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you wanted to again, but you've seen it before, with the exception maybe of the new Board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. MR. BEHAR: You said that you came before us and we approved this project already, right? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you wanted to again, but you've seen it before, with the exception maybe of the new Board Member. And, you know, we're available here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. MR. BEHAR: You said that you came before us and we approved this project already, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you wanted to again, but you've seen it before, with the exception maybe of the new Board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. MR. BEHAR: You said that you came before us and we approved this project already, right? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: And this is just the formality	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you wanted to again, but you've seen it before, with the exception maybe of the new Board Member. And, you know, we're available here for any comments, questions that you may have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. MR. BEHAR: You said that you came before us and we approved this project already, right? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: And this is just the formality to get the TDRs?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you wanted to again, but you've seen it before, with the exception maybe of the new Board Member. And, you know, we're available here for any comments, questions that you may have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. MR. BEHAR: You said that you came before us and we approved this project already, right? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: And this is just the formality to get the TDRs? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you wanted to again, but you've seen it before, with the exception maybe of the new Board Member. And, you know, we're available here for any comments, questions that you may have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Does Staff have a presentation for this or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. MR. BEHAR: You said that you came before us and we approved this project already, right? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: And this is just the formality to get the TDRs? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Just to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you wanted to again, but you've seen it before, with the exception maybe of the new Board Member. And, you know, we're available here for any comments, questions that you may have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Does Staff have a presentation for this or not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. MR. BEHAR: You said that you came before us and we approved this project already, right? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: And this is just the formality to get the TDRs? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Just to MR. GRABIEL: What we see is what we get.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you wanted to again, but you've seen it before, with the exception maybe of the new Board Member. And, you know, we're available here for any comments, questions that you may have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Does Staff have a presentation for this or not? MR. BEHAR: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. MR. BEHAR: You said that you came before us and we approved this project already, right? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: And this is just the formality to get the TDRs? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Just to MR. GRABIEL: What we see is what we get. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Yeah. Pretty much,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you wanted to again, but you've seen it before, with the exception maybe of the new Board Member. And, you know, we're available here for any comments, questions that you may have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Does Staff have a presentation for this or not? MR. BEHAR: No. MS. GARCIA: I do, but it's very brief.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. MR. BEHAR: You said that you came before us and we approved this project already, right? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: And this is just the formality to get the TDRs? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Just to MR. GRABIEL: What we see is what we get. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Yeah. Pretty much, yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you wanted to again, but you've seen it before, with the exception maybe of the new Board Member. And, you know, we're available here for any comments, questions that you may have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Does Staff have a presentation for this or not? MR. BEHAR: No. MS. GARCIA: I do, but it's very brief. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. MR. BEHAR: You said that you came before us and we approved this project already, right? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: And this is just the formality to get the TDRs? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Just to MR. GRABIEL: What we see is what we get. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Yeah. Pretty much, yeah. We are also preserving and adapting a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you wanted to again, but you've seen it before, with the exception maybe of the new Board Member. And, you know, we're available here for any comments, questions that you may have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Does Staff have a presentation for this or not? MR. BEHAR: No. MS. GARCIA: I do, but it's very brief. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. GARCIA: You want me to give it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. MR. BEHAR: You said that you came before us and we approved this project already, right? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: And this is just the formality to get the TDRs? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Just to MR. GRABIEL: What we see is what we get. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Yeah. Pretty much, yeah. We are also preserving and adapting a historic building that's located on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you wanted to again, but you've seen it before, with the exception maybe of the new Board Member. And, you know, we're available here for any comments, questions that you may have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Does Staff have a presentation for this or not? MR. BEHAR: No. MS. GARCIA: I do, but it's very brief. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. GARCIA: You want me to give it? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please. Of course, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. MR. BEHAR: You said that you came before us and we approved this project already, right? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: And this is just the formality to get the TDRs? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Just to MR. GRABIEL: What we see is what we get. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Yeah. Pretty much, yeah. We are also preserving and adapting a historic building that's located on the property at 122 Menores.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you wanted to again, but you've seen it before, with the exception maybe of the new Board Member. And, you know, we're available here for any comments, questions that you may have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Does Staff have a presentation for this or not? MR. BEHAR: No. MS. GARCIA: I do, but it's very brief. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. GARCIA: You want me to give it? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please. Of course, we do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. MR. BEHAR: You said that you came before us and we approved this project already, right? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: And this is just the formality to get the TDRs? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Just to MR. GRABIEL: What we see is what we get. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Yeah. Pretty much, yeah. We are also preserving and adapting a historic building that's located on the property at 122 Menores. Part of this project and part of the TDR	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you wanted to again, but you've seen it before, with the exception maybe of the new Board Member. And, you know, we're available here for any comments, questions that you may have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Does Staff have a presentation for this or not? MR. BEHAR: No. MS. GARCIA: I do, but it's very brief. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. GARCIA: You want me to give it? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please. Of course, we do. (Simultaneous speaking.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. MR. BEHAR: You said that you came before us and we approved this project already, right? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: And this is just the formality to get the TDRs? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Just to MR. GRABIEL: What we see is what we get. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Yeah. Pretty much, yeah. We are also preserving and adapting a historic building that's located on the property at 122 Menores. Part of this project and part of the TDR approval are even more additional public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you wanted to again, but you've seen it before, with the exception maybe of the new Board Member. And, you know, we're available here for any comments, questions that you may have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Does Staff have a presentation for this or not? MR. BEHAR: No. MS. GARCIA: I do, but it's very brief. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. GARCIA: You want me to give it? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please. Of course, we do. (Simultaneous speaking.) MS. GARCIA: All right. So this was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. MR. BEHAR: You said that you came before us and we approved this project already, right? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: And this is just the formality to get the TDRs? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Just to MR. GRABIEL: What we see is what we get. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Yeah. Pretty much, yeah. We are also preserving and adapting a historic building that's located on the property at 122 Menores. Part of this project and part of the TDR approval are even more additional public benefits, because where we are getting the TDRs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you wanted to again, but you've seen it before, with the exception maybe of the new Board Member. And, you know, we're available here for any comments, questions that you may have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Does Staff have a presentation for this or not? MR. BEHAR: No. MS. GARCIA: I do, but it's very brief. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. GARCIA: You want me to give it? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please. Of course, we do. (Simultaneous speaking.) MS. GARCIA: All right. So this was approved back in July of this year, and part of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	property, and another on the east side of the property. MR. BEHAR: May I interrupt you for one second? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. MR. BEHAR: You said that you came before us and we approved this project already, right? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: And this is just the formality to get the TDRs? MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: Just to MR. GRABIEL: What we see is what we get. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Yeah. Pretty much, yeah. We are also preserving and adapting a historic building that's located on the property at 122 Menores. Part of this project and part of the TDR approval are even more additional public benefits, because where we are getting the TDRs from, in one case, is a project that we will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	will be providing TDRs from historic properties, which will then help with the maintenance of those historic properties. We have our project architect here. We could potentially go through the plans, if you wanted to again, but you've seen it before, with the exception maybe of the new Board Member. And, you know, we're available here for any comments, questions that you may have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Does Staff have a presentation for this or not? MR. BEHAR: No. MS. GARCIA: I do, but it's very brief. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. GARCIA: You want me to give it? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please. Of course, we do. (Simultaneous speaking.) MS. GARCIA: All right. So this was approved back in July of this year, and part of that approval was requiring them to come back

	Page 105		Page 106
1	So they're getting them from three	1	MS. GARCIA: Right. Yes. Right.
2	different historic properties, including one	2	So this is the context, so you can see the
3	I'm sorry, including plus sorry, three	3	mass of the building with the existing context
4	historic properties and then a future City	4	from the area.
5	park.	5	So they sent out letters to the property
6	Now, you may not be aware of this or	6	owners, and I hope they all got them
7	remember this, but there's a Text Amendment	7	including you, Robert as well as postings,
8	that was done, I think, back in 2019, that you	8	and website posting, and newspaper
9	can get TDRs from a future park.	9	advertisement. This is the radius.
10	MR. WITHERS: You what?	10	And Staff recommends approval, with no
11	MS. GARCIA: From a future park. So if you	11	conditions this time.
12	have vacant land or if you just have property	12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Thank you
13	and you take down the house or whatever it is,	13	for that presentation.
14	you can transfer those development rights to	14	Jill, do we have anybody from the public?
15	another project and receive them.	15	• •
16	So they're using one that's at 301 Majorca.	16	THE SECRETARY: No. Sorry, no.
17	So I think this is new to you guys, because		CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody from Zoom?
18	that didn't go through Planning. It goes	17	THE SECRETARY; No.
19	through the Parks and Advisory Board and then	18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nobody on the phone
20	to the Commission for approval.	19	platform?
21	So the location of it is on Ponce, in	20	THE SECRETARY: No.
22	between Menores and Mendoza. That's Zoned	21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: At this time, I'd like
23	appropriately Mixed-Use and Commercial.	22	to go ahead and close it for public comment.
24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And this has already	23	MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion to approve.
25	been approved, the project?	24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have
	coon approved, and project.	25	MR. REVUELTA: How about discussion,
	Page 107		Page 108
1	Robert?	1	MR. WITHERS: How is that broken down, do
1 2	Robert? MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry.	1 2	MR. WITHERS: How is that broken down, do you know?
2	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry.	2	you know?
2	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis.	2 3	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235
2 3 4	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar	2 3 4	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from
2 3 4 5	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any	2 3 4 5	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I
2 3 4 5 6	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any questions.	2 3 4 5 6	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I mentioned at 301 Majorca; and then about 1,500
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Does anybody on the	2 3 4 5 6 7	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I mentioned at 301 Majorca; and then about 1,500 from 36
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Does anybody on the Board have any questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I mentioned at 301 Majorca; and then about 1,500 from 36 MR. WITHERS: Okay. So we upzoned that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Does anybody on the Board have any questions? MR. WITHERS: I have a question. Sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I mentioned at 301 Majorca; and then about 1,500 from 36 MR. WITHERS: Okay. So we upzoned that area.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Does anybody on the Board have any questions? MR. WITHERS: I have a question. Sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I mentioned at 301 Majorca; and then about 1,500 from 36 MR. WITHERS: Okay. So we upzoned that area. MS. GARCIA: We changed the maximum FAR you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Does anybody on the Board have any questions? MR. WITHERS: I have a question. Sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's okay. MR. WITHERS: Was this second? Are we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I mentioned at 301 Majorca; and then about 1,500 from 36 MR. WITHERS: Okay. So we upzoned that area. MS. GARCIA: We changed the maximum FAR you can have in that area, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Does anybody on the Board have any questions? MR. WITHERS: I have a question. Sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's okay. MR. WITHERS: Was this second? Are we having a discussion after the second? Are we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I mentioned at 301 Majorca; and then about 1,500 from 36 MR. WITHERS: Okay. So we upzoned that area. MS. GARCIA: We changed the maximum FAR you can have in that area, yes. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So are they benefiting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Does anybody on the Board have any questions? MR. WITHERS: I have a question. Sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's okay. MR. WITHERS: Was this second? Are we having a discussion after the second? Are we waiting for a second to your motion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I mentioned at 301 Majorca; and then about 1,500 from 36 MR. WITHERS: Okay. So we upzoned that area. MS. GARCIA: We changed the maximum FAR you can have in that area, yes. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So are they benefiting is the historic building that they're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Does anybody on the Board have any questions? MR. WITHERS: I have a question. Sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's okay. MR. WITHERS: Was this second? Are we having a discussion after the second? Are we waiting for a second to your motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, let's go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I mentioned at 301 Majorca; and then about 1,500 from 36 MR. WITHERS: Okay. So we upzoned that area. MS. GARCIA: We changed the maximum FAR you can have in that area, yes. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So are they benefiting is the historic building that they're getting their TDRs from benefiting from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Does anybody on the Board have any questions? MR. WITHERS: I have a question. Sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's okay. MR. WITHERS: Was this second? Are we having a discussion after the second? Are we waiting for a second to your motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, let's go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I mentioned at 301 Majorca; and then about 1,500 from 36 MR. WITHERS: Okay. So we upzoned that area. MS. GARCIA: We changed the maximum FAR you can have in that area, yes. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So are they benefiting is the historic building that they're getting their TDRs from benefiting from the upzoning that we previously did?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Does anybody on the Board have any questions? MR. WITHERS: I have a question. Sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's okay. MR. WITHERS: Was this second? Are we having a discussion after the second? Are we waiting for a second to your motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, let's go ahead. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So how many TDRs did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I mentioned at 301 Majorca; and then about 1,500 from 36 MR. WITHERS: Okay. So we upzoned that area. MS. GARCIA: We changed the maximum FAR you can have in that area, yes. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So are they benefiting is the historic building that they're getting their TDRs from benefiting from the upzoning that we previously did? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yeah, because instead of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Does anybody on the Board have any questions? MR. WITHERS: I have a question. Sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's okay. MR. WITHERS: Was this second? Are we having a discussion after the second? Are we waiting for a second to your motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, let's go ahead. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So how many TDRs did they need and how many are they getting from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I mentioned at 301 Majorca; and then about 1,500 from 36 MR. WITHERS: Okay. So we upzoned that area. MS. GARCIA: We changed the maximum FAR you can have in that area, yes. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So are they benefiting is the historic building that they're getting their TDRs from benefiting from the upzoning that we previously did? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yeah, because instead of 1.9 FAR, now they can have 2.5 like everybody
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Does anybody on the Board have any questions? MR. WITHERS: I have a question. Sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's okay. MR. WITHERS: Was this second? Are we having a discussion after the second? Are we waiting for a second to your motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, let's go ahead. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So how many TDRs did they need and how many are they getting from the park and how many are they getting from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I mentioned at 301 Majorca; and then about 1,500 from 36 MR. WITHERS: Okay. So we upzoned that area. MS. GARCIA: We changed the maximum FAR you can have in that area, yes. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So are they benefiting is the historic building that they're getting their TDRs from benefiting from the upzoning that we previously did? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yeah, because instead of 1.9 FAR, now they can have 2.5 like everybody else.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Does anybody on the Board have any questions? MR. WITHERS: I have a question. Sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's okay. MR. WITHERS: Was this second? Are we having a discussion after the second? Are we waiting for a second to your motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, let's go ahead. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So how many TDRs did they need and how many are they getting from the park and how many are they getting from the historic building?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I mentioned at 301 Majorca; and then about 1,500 from 36 MR. WITHERS: Okay. So we upzoned that area. MS. GARCIA: We changed the maximum FAR you can have in that area, yes. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So are they benefiting is the historic building that they're getting their TDRs from benefiting from the upzoning that we previously did? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yeah, because instead of 1.9 FAR, now they can have 2.5 like everybody else. MR. WITHERS: But does that give them more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Does anybody on the Board have any questions? MR. WITHERS: I have a question. Sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's okay. MR. WITHERS: Was this second? Are we having a discussion after the second? Are we waiting for a second to your motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, let's go ahead. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So how many TDRs did they need and how many are they getting from the park and how many are they getting from the historic building? MS. GARCIA: Yes. I have that here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I mentioned at 301 Majorca; and then about 1,500 from 36 MR. WITHERS: Okay. So we upzoned that area. MS. GARCIA: We changed the maximum FAR you can have in that area, yes. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So are they benefiting is the historic building that they're getting their TDRs from benefiting from the upzoning that we previously did? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yeah, because instead of 1.9 FAR, now they can have 2.5 like everybody else. MR. WITHERS: But does that give them more TDRs?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Does anybody on the Board have any questions? MR. WITHERS: I have a question. Sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's okay. MR. WITHERS: Was this second? Are we having a discussion after the second? Are we waiting for a second to your motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, let's go ahead. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So how many TDRs did they need and how many are they getting from the park and how many are they getting from the historic building? MS. GARCIA: Yes. I have that here. MR. BEHAR: 59,000.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I mentioned at 301 Majorca; and then about 1,500 from 36 MR. WITHERS: Okay. So we upzoned that area. MS. GARCIA: We changed the maximum FAR you can have in that area, yes. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So are they benefiting is the historic building that they're getting their TDRs from benefiting from the upzoning that we previously did? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yeah, because instead of 1.9 FAR, now they can have 2.5 like everybody else. MR. WITHERS: But does that give them more TDRs? MS. GARCIA: Yes, because the potential of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Does anybody on the Board have any questions? MR. WITHERS: I have a question. Sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's okay. MR. WITHERS: Was this second? Are we having a discussion after the second? Are we waiting for a second to your motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, let's go ahead. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So how many TDRs did they need and how many are they getting from the park and how many are they getting from the historic building? MS. GARCIA: Yes. I have that here. MR. BEHAR: 59,000. MS. GARCIA: We have a number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I mentioned at 301 Majorca; and then about 1,500 from 36 MR. WITHERS: Okay. So we upzoned that area. MS. GARCIA: We changed the maximum FAR you can have in that area, yes. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So are they benefiting is the historic building that they're getting their TDRs from benefiting from the upzoning that we previously did? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yeah, because instead of 1.9 FAR, now they can have 2.5 like everybody else. MR. WITHERS: But does that give them more TDRs? MS. GARCIA: Yes, because the potential of that property of that historic property was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BEHAR: Okay. I'm sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I like to give to Mr. Behar a hard time about this, but I don't have any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Does anybody on the Board have any questions? MR. WITHERS: I have a question. Sorry. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's okay. MR. WITHERS: Was this second? Are we having a discussion after the second? Are we waiting for a second to your motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, let's go ahead. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So how many TDRs did they need and how many are they getting from the park and how many are they getting from the historic building? MS. GARCIA: Yes. I have that here. MR. BEHAR: 59,000. MS. GARCIA: We have a number MR. WITHERS: What?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you know? MS. GARCIA: Yes. 24,000 from 235 Marjorca, 24,000 and some change; 3,200 from 118 Menores; 30,000 from that park that I mentioned at 301 Majorca; and then about 1,500 from 36 MR. WITHERS: Okay. So we upzoned that area. MS. GARCIA: We changed the maximum FAR you can have in that area, yes. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So are they benefiting is the historic building that they're getting their TDRs from benefiting from the upzoning that we previously did? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yeah, because instead of 1.9 FAR, now they can have 2.5 like everybody else. MR. WITHERS: But does that give them more TDRs? MS. GARCIA: Yes, because the potential of that property of that historic property was raised. So instead of 1.9 for a small

	Page 109		Page 110
1	properties	1	I understand now. I was confused. I got it.
2	MR. WITHERS: So if I have a piece of	2	I understand it.
3	property with a historic building on it and I'm	3	MR. TORRE: Just to be clear, on a piece of
4	doing a Planned Area Development or however I	4	their assemblage there's a historical property,
5	do, if I upzone the whole property, including	5	right?
6	the historic building I'm on, then I can sell	6	MS. GARCIA: Uh-huh.
7	to myself my own	7	MR. TORRE: That piece got changed to
8	MS. GARCIA: I'm sorry, I misunderstood	8	higher density. That still has a historical,
9	your question. You're talking about the	9	but they used all of those new
10	historic property on their property?	10	MS. GARCIA: Yeah, they incorporated the
11	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Yeah.	11	left over square feet into their building.
12	MS. GARCIA: Oh, okay. I thought you meant	12	MR. TORRE: Right. So they still kept
13	the Zoning Code update and how my apologies.	13	okay
14	We're on the same page now.	14	(Simultaneous speaking.)
15	MR. WITHERS: Okay.	15	MR. BEHAR: But that's not a TDR.
16	MS. GARCIA: Yes, but they're not really	16	MS. GARCIA: But it's nothing to do with
17	sending the TDRs from that property that's	17	TDRs.
18	already part of their building site	18	MR. BEHAR: Yeah, but that's not a TDR.
19	MR. WITHERS: Okay.	19	They're not transferring. They're using that
20	MS. GARCIA: but, yes, they did gain	20	in their site.
21	some square feet, because it's no longer 2.5,	21	MR. WITHERS: I understand. So it's really
22	it's 3.5, because it was rezoned to Mixed-Use.	22	not a TDR, technically. It's almost like a
23	MR. BEHAR: But this is a PAD. They did a	23	Planned Area Development.
24	PAD	24	MS. GARCIA: Yes.
25	MR. WITHERS: I understand. I get it now.	25	MR. BEHAR: The three properties that they
23	MR. WITHERS. Funderstand. Figet to low.	23	With BETTAK. The three properties that they
	Page 111		Page 112
1	identified, plus the park, are the TDRs they're	1	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
2	transferring.	2	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
3	MR. WITHERS: I get it. I get it. I get	3	MR. TORRE: Yes.
4	it. I get it.	4	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Julio, any comment?	5	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
6	MR. GRABIEL: No.	6	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
7	MR. COLLER: Did we check if there was	7	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
8	anybody in the public	8	THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We yeah, we went	9	MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
10	through that.	10	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
11	MR. COLLER: Okay.	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
12	MR. BEHAR: So we have a motion. I don't	12	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Thank you very much, Mr.
13	think we have a second.	13	Chair, Members of the Board. Have a very good
14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by	14	night.
15	Robert.	15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much
16	MR. TORRE: I'll second it.	16	for coming.
17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second.	17	MS. GARCIA: Mr. Chair, I had one a
18	MR. COLLER: This is in accordance with	18	small question or not question, just advise.
19	Department recommendations.	19	So we're changing the meeting from November
20	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	20	3rd. I know the last meeting we talked about
21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: In accordance with the	21	November 3rd. We're changing it to November
22	recommendations as stated.	22	1st. There are some time sensitive Text
23	Any comments? No?	23	Amendments that need to go to the Commission
24	Jill, call the roll please.	24	the following week, so we need to have it on
25	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	25	the Tuesday, instead of the Thursday of that
1			

	Page 113		Page 114
1	week. Is that okay with the Board?	1	MR. WITHERS: You weren't here, were you?
2	MR. BEHAR: Instead of Wednesday	2	MR. REVUELTA: I was.
3	MS. GARCIA: Instead of Thursday. We had	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me I'm probably
4	agreed on November 3rd before	4	not going to be here myself. I'm going to be
5	MR. BEHAR: Okay. Okay.	5	out of town for the November 1st. So is
6	MR. WITHERS: So we're moving it back to	6	everybody okay with doing November 1st?
7	MS. GARCIA: The 1st.	7	MR. BEHAR: I've got to check tomorrow, but
8	THE SECRETARY: So the original date was	8	it should be.
9	November 9th, but that date is being used by	9	Before we adjourn, can we put a
10	the Commission. We, at the last meeting,	10	recommendation that Staff look at fixing that
11	settled for November 3rd. However, we need to	11	eight percent, fifteen percent, you know, 85
12	change it to November 1st.	12	
13	MR. BEHAR: Okay.	13	percent, because right now, if you look at it,
14	MR. WITHERS: Are you going to send out a		you require 85 percent of — maximum for one
15	change e-mail?	14	use, then eight percent of Commercial. Then
16	THE SECRETARY: Yes. Of course, I will.	15	what happens to the other seven percent? You
17	MR. WITHERS: Can I add? Just for the good	16	know, there is a so, I think, in a project
18	of the business, so I just want to tell you all	17	like this, today, it makes absolutely no sense
19	that, after we had that discussion about the	18	to have Residential units just to comply with
20	tree lined streets I don't know if you've	19	that.
21	been very much aware, driving around and	20	MS. REDILA: Good evening, Arceli Redila,
22	noticing how many broad open streets we have	21	Zoning Administration. But even if they
23	without plantings in the right-of-way. Have	22	convert that unit into Commercial, they would
24	you noticed that?	23	still meet the requirements of the 85 percent.
25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, I have.	24	It's not because they're trying to meet the 85
	CHINAVII (THEE) (STITE 100, THAVE	25	and eight percent.
	Page 115		Dage 116
	1 4 3 5 1 1 2		Page 116
1	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this	1	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it
1 2		1 2	
	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this		MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it
2	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic.	2	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good.
2	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general,	2 3	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at
2 3 4	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the	2 3 4	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you
2 3 4 5	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with	2 3 4 5	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah
2 3 4 5 6	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with that one, if they're going to convert the	2 3 4 5	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah MR. BEHAR: Okay. Let me ask you, if I've
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with that one, if they're going to convert the Residential unit, because the parking	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah MR. BEHAR: Okay. Let me ask you, if I've got to do a minimum of eight percent Commercial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with that one, if they're going to convert the Residential unit, because the parking requirement for Residential would be per unit,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah MR. BEHAR: Okay. Let me ask you, if I've got to do a minimum of eight percent Commercial on the ground floor, and I'm just going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with that one, if they're going to convert the Residential unit, because the parking requirement for Residential would be per unit, right, so one per unit, one parking space. If	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah MR. BEHAR: Okay. Let me ask you, if I've got to do a minimum of eight percent Commercial on the ground floor, and I'm just going to provide a minimum, because I'm in an area that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with that one, if they're going to convert the Residential unit, because the parking requirement for Residential would be per unit, right, so one per unit, one parking space. If we're going to convert that into Commercial, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah MR. BEHAR: Okay. Let me ask you, if I've got to do a minimum of eight percent Commercial on the ground floor, and I'm just going to provide a minimum, because I'm in an area that Commercial doesn't work, and I'm only allowed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with that one, if they're going to convert the Residential unit, because the parking requirement for Residential would be per unit, right, so one per unit, one parking space. If we're going to convert that into Commercial, it will be one per 300 square feet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah MR. BEHAR: Okay. Let me ask you, if I've got to do a minimum of eight percent Commercial on the ground floor, and I'm just going to provide a minimum, because I'm in an area that Commercial doesn't work, and I'm only allowed to do a maximum of 85 percent, let's say, of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with that one, if they're going to convert the Residential unit, because the parking requirement for Residential would be per unit, right, so one per unit, one parking space. If we're going to convert that into Commercial, it will be one per 300 square feet. MR. BEHAR: Yeah, they have to provide more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah MR. BEHAR: Okay. Let me ask you, if I've got to do a minimum of eight percent Commercial on the ground floor, and I'm just going to provide a minimum, because I'm in an area that Commercial doesn't work, and I'm only allowed to do a maximum of 85 percent, let's say, of Residential, the other seven percent, what do I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with that one, if they're going to convert the Residential unit, because the parking requirement for Residential would be per unit, right, so one per unit, one parking space. If we're going to convert that into Commercial, it will be one per 300 square feet. MR. BEHAR: Yeah, they have to provide more parking, but, in general, as a whole, I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah MR. BEHAR: Okay. Let me ask you, if I've got to do a minimum of eight percent Commercial on the ground floor, and I'm just going to provide a minimum, because I'm in an area that Commercial doesn't work, and I'm only allowed to do a maximum of 85 percent, let's say, of Residential, the other seven percent, what do I do with it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with that one, if they're going to convert the Residential unit, because the parking requirement for Residential would be per unit, right, so one per unit, one parking space. If we're going to convert that into Commercial, it will be one per 300 square feet. MR. BEHAR: Yeah, they have to provide more parking, but, in general, as a whole, I think this is something that for years I know I've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah MR. BEHAR: Okay. Let me ask you, if I've got to do a minimum of eight percent Commercial on the ground floor, and I'm just going to provide a minimum, because I'm in an area that Commercial doesn't work, and I'm only allowed to do a maximum of 85 percent, let's say, of Residential, the other seven percent, what do I do with it? MS. REDILA: You have Commercial on ground,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with that one, if they're going to convert the Residential unit, because the parking requirement for Residential would be per unit, right, so one per unit, one parking space. If we're going to convert that into Commercial, it will be one per 300 square feet. MR. BEHAR: Yeah, they have to provide more parking, but, in general, as a whole, I think this is something that for years I know I've had the conversation. You know, there's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah MR. BEHAR: Okay. Let me ask you, if I've got to do a minimum of eight percent Commercial on the ground floor, and I'm just going to provide a minimum, because I'm in an area that Commercial doesn't work, and I'm only allowed to do a maximum of 85 percent, let's say, of Residential, the other seven percent, what do I do with it? MS. REDILA: You have Commercial on ground, you have office and Residential.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with that one, if they're going to convert the Residential unit, because the parking requirement for Residential would be per unit, right, so one per unit, one parking space. If we're going to convert that into Commercial, it will be one per 300 square feet. MR. BEHAR: Yeah, they have to provide more parking, but, in general, as a whole, I think this is something that for years I know I've had the conversation. You know, there's a missing gap there between 85, you know, for one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah MR. BEHAR: Okay. Let me ask you, if I've got to do a minimum of eight percent Commercial on the ground floor, and I'm just going to provide a minimum, because I'm in an area that Commercial doesn't work, and I'm only allowed to do a maximum of 85 percent, let's say, of Residential, the other seven percent, what do I do with it? MS. REDILA: You have Commercial on ground, you have office and Residential. MR. TORRE: Why do you have to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with that one, if they're going to convert the Residential unit, because the parking requirement for Residential would be per unit, right, so one per unit, one parking space. If we're going to convert that into Commercial, it will be one per 300 square feet. MR. BEHAR: Yeah, they have to provide more parking, but, in general, as a whole, I think this is something that for years I know I've had the conversation. You know, there's a missing gap there between 85, you know, for one use, then eight percent Commercial. What	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah MR. BEHAR: Okay. Let me ask you, if I've got to do a minimum of eight percent Commercial on the ground floor, and I'm just going to provide a minimum, because I'm in an area that Commercial doesn't work, and I'm only allowed to do a maximum of 85 percent, let's say, of Residential, the other seven percent, what do I do with it? MS. REDILA: You have Commercial on ground, you have office and Residential. MR. TORRE: Why do you have to do Residential at all? Why can't you do 15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with that one, if they're going to convert the Residential unit, because the parking requirement for Residential would be per unit, right, so one per unit, one parking space. If we're going to convert that into Commercial, it will be one per 300 square feet. MR. BEHAR: Yeah, they have to provide more parking, but, in general, as a whole, I think this is something that for years I know I've had the conversation. You know, there's a missing gap there between 85, you know, for one use, then eight percent Commercial. What happens to the other seven percent, we don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah MR. BEHAR: Okay. Let me ask you, if I've got to do a minimum of eight percent Commercial on the ground floor, and I'm just going to provide a minimum, because I'm in an area that Commercial doesn't work, and I'm only allowed to do a maximum of 85 percent, let's say, of Residential, the other seven percent, what do I do with it? MS. REDILA: You have Commercial on ground, you have office and Residential. MR. TORRE: Why do you have to do Residential at all? Why can't you do 15 percent Commercial?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with that one, if they're going to convert the Residential unit, because the parking requirement for Residential would be per unit, right, so one per unit, one parking space. If we're going to convert that into Commercial, it will be one per 300 square feet. MR. BEHAR: Yeah, they have to provide more parking, but, in general, as a whole, I think this is something that for years I know I've had the conversation. You know, there's a missing gap there between 85, you know, for one use, then eight percent Commercial. What happens to the other seven percent, we don't you know?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah MR. BEHAR: Okay. Let me ask you, if I've got to do a minimum of eight percent Commercial on the ground floor, and I'm just going to provide a minimum, because I'm in an area that Commercial doesn't work, and I'm only allowed to do a maximum of 85 percent, let's say, of Residential, the other seven percent, what do I do with it? MS. REDILA: You have Commercial on ground, you have office and Residential. MR. TORRE: Why do you have to do Residential at all? Why can't you do 15 percent Commercial? MR. BEHAR: It may be a project that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with that one, if they're going to convert the Residential unit, because the parking requirement for Residential would be per unit, right, so one per unit, one parking space. If we're going to convert that into Commercial, it will be one per 300 square feet. MR. BEHAR: Yeah, they have to provide more parking, but, in general, as a whole, I think this is something that for years I know I've had the conversation. You know, there's a missing gap there between 85, you know, for one use, then eight percent Commercial. What happens to the other seven percent, we don't you know? And, in some cases, it may not, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah MR. BEHAR: Okay. Let me ask you, if I've got to do a minimum of eight percent Commercial on the ground floor, and I'm just going to provide a minimum, because I'm in an area that Commercial doesn't work, and I'm only allowed to do a maximum of 85 percent, let's say, of Residential, the other seven percent, what do I do with it? MS. REDILA: You have Commercial on ground, you have office and Residential. MR. TORRE: Why do you have to do Residential at all? Why can't you do 15 percent Commercial? MR. BEHAR: It may be a project that you could only do eight percent of the ground
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with that one, if they're going to convert the Residential unit, because the parking requirement for Residential would be per unit, right, so one per unit, one parking space. If we're going to convert that into Commercial, it will be one per 300 square feet. MR. BEHAR: Yeah, they have to provide more parking, but, in general, as a whole, I think this is something that for years I know I've had the conversation. You know, there's a missing gap there between 85, you know, for one use, then eight percent Commercial. What happens to the other seven percent, we don't you know? And, in some cases, it may not, you know, need to be a Mixed-Use but they need the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah MR. BEHAR: Okay. Let me ask you, if I've got to do a minimum of eight percent Commercial on the ground floor, and I'm just going to provide a minimum, because I'm in an area that Commercial doesn't work, and I'm only allowed to do a maximum of 85 percent, let's say, of Residential, the other seven percent, what do I do with it? MS. REDILA: You have Commercial on ground, you have office and Residential. MR. TORRE: Why do you have to do Residential at all? Why can't you do 15 percent Commercial? MR. BEHAR: It may be a project that you could only do eight percent of the ground floor. Are you going to force me to do seven
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with that one, if they're going to convert the Residential unit, because the parking requirement for Residential would be per unit, right, so one per unit, one parking space. If we're going to convert that into Commercial, it will be one per 300 square feet. MR. BEHAR: Yeah, they have to provide more parking, but, in general, as a whole, I think this is something that for years I know I've had the conversation. You know, there's a missing gap there between 85, you know, for one use, then eight percent Commercial. What happens to the other seven percent, we don't you know? And, in some cases, it may not, you know, need to be a Mixed-Use but they need the benefits of that. That's something that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah MR. BEHAR: Okay. Let me ask you, if I've got to do a minimum of eight percent Commercial on the ground floor, and I'm just going to provide a minimum, because I'm in an area that Commercial doesn't work, and I'm only allowed to do a maximum of 85 percent, let's say, of Residential, the other seven percent, what do I do with it? MS. REDILA: You have Commercial on ground, you have office and Residential. MR. TORRE: Why do you have to do Residential at all? Why can't you do 15 percent Commercial? MR. BEHAR: It may be a project that you could only do eight percent of the ground floor. Are you going to force me to do seven percent of office in a Residential building?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. REVUELTA: Your issue is not with this project. Your issue is generic. MR. BEHAR: In general. In general, because you've got to do the MS. REDILA: Yeah, the only difference with that one, if they're going to convert the Residential unit, because the parking requirement for Residential would be per unit, right, so one per unit, one parking space. If we're going to convert that into Commercial, it will be one per 300 square feet. MR. BEHAR: Yeah, they have to provide more parking, but, in general, as a whole, I think this is something that for years I know I've had the conversation. You know, there's a missing gap there between 85, you know, for one use, then eight percent Commercial. What happens to the other seven percent, we don't you know? And, in some cases, it may not, you know, need to be a Mixed-Use but they need the benefits of that. That's something that I think we need to look at, and if it's a Comp	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BEHAR: I think we should look at it really good. MS. REDILA: Yeah, we can take a look at that, but the intent really is not that you have to sum up to 100 percent, but, yeah MR. BEHAR: Okay. Let me ask you, if I've got to do a minimum of eight percent Commercial on the ground floor, and I'm just going to provide a minimum, because I'm in an area that Commercial doesn't work, and I'm only allowed to do a maximum of 85 percent, let's say, of Residential, the other seven percent, what do I do with it? MS. REDILA: You have Commercial on ground, you have office and Residential. MR. TORRE: Why do you have to do Residential at all? Why can't you do 15 percent Commercial? MR. BEHAR: It may be a project that you could only do eight percent of the ground floor. Are you going to force me to do seven percent of office in a Residential building? MR. TORRE: But here's the point, how do

	Page 117		Page 118
-			
1	MR. WITHERS: Well, the whole intention was	1	like to at least have the distribution. Like
2	to bring people Downtown originally with the	2	provide an office, perhaps, live-work now
3	Mixed-Use; work-live, is the urban infill with	3	MR. BEHAR: But, see, I did a project that
4	more residents.	4	we had the eight percent and it was very
5	MR. BEHAR: And I think the eight percent	5	difficult even to get the eight percent. I had
6	on the ground floor makes sense, but	6	to put in some offices on the upper level, that
7	MR. TORRE: Well, leaving that piece aside,	7	makes absolutely no sense, because seven
8	they're saying, if you have a hundred percent	8	percent of office in a building that you
9	of a project that is mixed, we don't want you	9	know, that is mainly Residential, is so little,
10	to do 85 of this type, right? So, no matter	10	that it's
11	what, you've got to provide me fifteen of	11	MR. TORRE: But then
12	something else.	12	MS. REDILA: We can take a look at that
13	MS. REDILA: You have to provide a	13	MR. TORRE: Mixed-Use is to try to get
14	distribution of uses, in order to achieve that	14	multiple uses to happen in the Downtown or
15	Mixed-Uses, so no more than 85 percent. Most	15	wherever it is. You're not following the rule
16	of the time, what happens is that you have	16	of trying to mix the use. When you're having
17	Commercial on the ground and then the rest is	17	difficulty is because you're trying to do a
18	Residential. So we wanted to avoid that	18	Residential building more than you are anything
19	what if you are going to do a lot of it also	19	else.
20	is that, even the ground floor is difficult	20	MR. BEHAR: But you know what, it may be
21	enough to find for that retail eight percent.	21	that that 85 percent or on a Mixed-Use
22	MR. BEHAR: It's difficult enough.	22	building, you have to comply with eight percent
23	MR. TORRE: It is. I fought this several	23	on the ground floor, so you're not limited to
24	times.	24	an 85 percent.
25	MS. REDILA: Yeah. So that's why we would	25	MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, if I may make a
	Page 119		Page 120
1	suggestion, because you all may want to come up	1	MR. BEHAR: And in some places, eight
2	with a Resolution or something to recommend, I	2	percent may be okay; some places may be too
3	think we should list this as a discussion item	3	much. And, then, to top it off, it's the other
4	on an agenda, so that we've got it on the	4	seven percent, what do I do with it? I have to
5	agenda, we have a discussion and then the Board	5	create a use that is going to be empty.
6	makes	6	MR. TORRE: I agree with you. There's
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we have public	7	areas where you see these Commercials
8	input on it.	8	downstairs and they never lease and they
9	MS. REDILA: That's a good idea.	9	never lease ever, and they are a disaster, and
10	MR. COLLER: I think that's a better way to	10	I see them on Le Jeune, I see them on many
11	go than trying to I recognize it's a result	11	places, that you go, why is that even there,
12	of a particular item tonight, but I think it	12	but I think that's a discussion where where
13	would be cleaner if we did it as a	13	should it be approved, what conditions apply,
14	discussion Board discussion item.	14	where they should definitely happen, versus
15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Maybe Staff can look	15	ones that are not so important.
16	at putting that when we don't have a lot of	16	So those discussions, we can
17	MR. TORRE: And it's an important	17	MR. BEHAR: In some cases, what has helped
18	discussion, because that eight percent on the	18	is that you could do live-work units as part of
19	ground floor is a difficult thing, but I think	19	the eight percent of the Commercial.
20	you have to understand where is this for. I	20	MS. REDILA: Yeah, a live-work unit is part
21	fought for certain things to be activated to a	21	of the eight percent Commercial.
22	high degree, versus just having Commercial for	22	MR. BEHAR: Right. So to that helps
23	the sake of Commercial. Otherwise, you know,	23	achieve, you know, to that eight percent. My
24	the streetscapes don't work. We've got a	24	thing is like, to get to the fifteen percent,
25	problem.	25	the additional seven percent, where, and what
1		1	

	Page 121		Page 122
1	do I need you know.	1	CERTIFICATE
2	So, I agree, let's not today is just a	2	CERTIFICATE
3	conversation. Let's bring it up on another	3	STATE OF FLORIDA:
		4	SS.
4	day.	5	COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:
5	MR. COLLER: Okay.	6	COUNTY OF MIAWI-DADE.
6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	7	
7	Is there a motion to adjourn?	8	
8	MR. GRABIEL: I move.	9	I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second? Second?	10	Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby
10	MR. BEHAR: Second.	11	certify that I was authorized to and did
11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All in favor, aye.	12	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
12	Thank you. Thank you, everybody.	13	that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
13	(Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at	14	stenographic notes.
14	8:00 p.m.)	15	зыновтарии поись.
15		16	DATED this 17th day of October, 2022.
16		17	DATED this 17th day of October, 2022.
17		18	
18		19	
19		20	
20		∠∪	NIEVES SANCHEZ
21		21	NIEVES SAINCHEZ
22		21	
23		22	
24		23	
24 25			
⊿5		25	