	Page 101		Page 102
1	MS. MIRO: Yes.	1	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, this is a
2	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	2	relatively minor amendment that is sponsored by
3	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	3	Commissioner Anderson. She requested a
4	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	4	five-foot setback for townhomes, on the side of
5	MR. TORRE: Yes.	5	the townhome. Right now, that's not a
6	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	6	requirement, except for Historic except when
7	MR. WITHERS: Yes.	7	the building next to the townhome is a Historic
8	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?	8	Building. We're removing that, and just in any
9	MR. BUCELO: Yes.	9	case, five-foot setback.
10	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So are you saying, as
11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.	11	long as a wall is attached between townhomes,
12	Luis, I'm glad you could participate on	12	there's obviously no setback required. So
13	that one.	13	you're saying, a five-foot setback would be on
14	MR. REVUELTA: Oh, so much. Thank you.	14	the last unit or on the first unit?
15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The next item, please,	15	MR. TRIAS: At the last unit. Yes.
16	E-7.	16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what happens if
17	MR. COLLER: An Ordinance of the City of	17	that falls on a street?
18	Coral Gables, Florida, providing for text	18	MR. TRIAS: There's a 15-foot setback on
19	amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official	19	the street.
20	Zoning Code, Article 4, "Zoning Districts,"	20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Say that again,
21	Section 2-100, "Residential Districts," to	21	please.
22	increase the interior side setback of certain	22	MR. TRIAS: 15 feet setback.
23	Multi-Family 3 (MF3) properties; providing for	23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. So then it's
24	severability, repealer, codification, and for	24	only when it abuts an adjacent property?
25	an effective date. Item E-7, public hearing.	25	MR. TRIAS: Yes.
	an elective date. Rem 2 7, public learning.		MR. TREES.
	Page 103		Page 104
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	1	MR. TORRE: Yes?
2	MR. TORRE: I know this item very well, so	2	MR. TRIAS: Yes.
3	I'll see if I can help it along. So the item	3	MR. TORRE: So if you have five feet on
4	is related to issues that relate to	4	either side, the unit becomes 20 and 20.
5	constructability, when you're basically having	5	Garages are required to be 22. Does that mean
6	to stand on your neighbor's property to finish	6	you can only build one unit?
7	the building. That's really what happens.	7	MR. TRIAS: Well, I forgot to explain that
8	The issue is the zero setback, you have to	8	we also include some language that says that
9	stand on your neighbor's property to finish the	9	the Board of Architects may recommend a
10	wall.	10	variance to that requirement, and I think that
11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.	11	would be the case, in very rare instances.
12	MR. TORRE: Stucco, paint, all of that.	12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's not a rare
13	All of the stuff falls on your property, on the	13	instance, though, is it? A 50-foot lot
14	next door property, because it's zero. So	14	that's
15	that's what this is about.	15	MR. TRIAS: I haven't seen two-unit
16	So a couple of questions.	16	projects.
17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.	17	MR. TORRE: There's one.
18	MR. TORRE: What is the minimum and I	18	MR. TRIAS: I mean, I think the smallest
19	think I know the answer, but just asking, what	19	one I've seen is four.
20	is the minimum for M3 townhouse? Is it two? I	20	MR. TORRE: There's one that exists. I
	mean, if you have 25 feet you can't have a	21	know it pretty well.
21		22	MR. TRIAS: Which one?
22	25-foot buildable you have a 50-foot		
22 23	25-foot buildable you have a 50-foot buildable lot, can you do two units? Correct,	23	MR. TORRE: It's between Almeria Row and
22			MR. TORRE: It's between Almeria Row and the condo and the other both on Almeria. MR. TRIAS: And that's built?

	Page 105		Page 106
1	MR. TORRE: It's built. It's owned by	1	that's what
2	somebody that you know.	2	MR. TORRE: Yeah, it's understood why it
3	MR. TRIAS: Well, I mean, somebody may be	3	happens. But the other question for you is, so
4	thinking about that, yes, but I don't know of	4	if you have and I'm not sure how many of
5	any built projects.	5	these blocks are left, but if you have a street
6	MR. TORRE: So the fixed area is to go to	6	that has three, and then a gap, and then two
7	the Board of Architects to let them re-consider	7	and a gap, does that work well for the way
8	it? Is that	8	townhouses are supposed to work?
9	MR. TRIAS: To make a recommendation for a	9	MR. TRIAS: No, it wouldn't work, if you
10	variance. I mean, the fix is a variance. In	10	were to have too many five-foot setbacks,
11	other words, if the setback is five feet,	11	certainly, in that block.
12	there's some hardship, so you apply for a	12	MR. TORRE: If you have a full City block,
13	variance, and then the Board of Adjustment will	13	and you want to do your four projects, you have
14	say yes or no.	14	four gaps three gaps.
15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But, then, isn't that	15	MR. TRIAS: Yes.
16	very subjective, as opposed to being in the	16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Aren't you having an
17	Code as to what has to be done?	17	issue, then, with people that own 50-foot
18	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, but what I'm saying is,	18	properties that bought it because they could do
19	that in cases where there's a hardship, for	19	a twin home, and now, after this, they can't do
20	example, you cannot meet the minimum size for	20	that?
21	the parking garage or whatever, that could be	21	MR. TRIAS: Nobody has approached me on
22	seen as a hardship. So there may be some	22	that, but I
23	instances in which there's a need for some	23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, nobody has
24	relief, but as a standard procedure, I think	24	approached you, but have you identified which
25	it's a good idea to have that setback, and	25	properties qualify under this?
	no a good fact to have that setouch, and		properties quality under uns.
	Page 107		Page 108
1	MR. TRIAS: Well, the MX3 properties, yes,	1	we're looking for quality, right. We're
2	sir I'm sorry, MF3.	2	
3		4	looking for the best design possible. So, I
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But have you gone	3	
4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But have you gone through City records and identified how many		looking for the best design possible. So, I think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what,
		3	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh,
4	through City records and identified how many	3 4	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what,
4 5	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties	3 4 5	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a
4 5 6	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties in there that fall under this?	3 4 5 6	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a good idea to have the rule, five feet, and then
4 5 6 7	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties in there that fall under this? MR. TRIAS: I have not, no. This is	3 4 5 6 7	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a good idea to have the rule, five feet, and then to have some process, in which case there may
4 5 6 7 8	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties in there that fall under this? MR. TRIAS: I have not, no. This is something that has been sponsored by	3 4 5 6 7 8	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a good idea to have the rule, five feet, and then to have some process, in which case there may be some hardship, to waive it or to change it.
4 5 6 7 8 9	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties in there that fall under this? MR. TRIAS: I have not, no. This is something that has been sponsored by Commissioner Anderson.	3 4 5 6 7 8	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a good idea to have the rule, five feet, and then to have some process, in which case there may be some hardship, to waive it or to change it. I think that's my recommendation.
4 5 6 7 8 9	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties in there that fall under this? MR. TRIAS: I have not, no. This is something that has been sponsored by Commissioner Anderson. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand, and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a good idea to have the rule, five feet, and then to have some process, in which case there may be some hardship, to waive it or to change it. I think that's my recommendation. MR. TORRE: Is the language good enough to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties in there that fall under this? MR. TRIAS: I have not, no. This is something that has been sponsored by Commissioner Anderson. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand, and Venny brings up a good point.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a good idea to have the rule, five feet, and then to have some process, in which case there may be some hardship, to waive it or to change it. I think that's my recommendation. MR. TORRE: Is the language good enough to allow for that congeniality to happen and allow
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties in there that fall under this? MR. TRIAS: I have not, no. This is something that has been sponsored by Commissioner Anderson. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand, and Venny brings up a good point. MR. TORRE: No, it's a good suggestion and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a good idea to have the rule, five feet, and then to have some process, in which case there may be some hardship, to waive it or to change it. I think that's my recommendation. MR. TORRE: Is the language good enough to allow for that congeniality to happen and allow us to figure out a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties in there that fall under this? MR. TRIAS: I have not, no. This is something that has been sponsored by Commissioner Anderson. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand, and Venny brings up a good point. MR. TORRE: No, it's a good suggestion and I understand why it's happening.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a good idea to have the rule, five feet, and then to have some process, in which case there may be some hardship, to waive it or to change it. I think that's my recommendation. MR. TORRE: Is the language good enough to allow for that congeniality to happen and allow us to figure out a MR. TRIAS: Zoning is limited in its
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties in there that fall under this? MR. TRIAS: I have not, no. This is something that has been sponsored by Commissioner Anderson. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand, and Venny brings up a good point. MR. TORRE: No, it's a good suggestion and I understand why it's happening. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. The suggestion	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a good idea to have the rule, five feet, and then to have some process, in which case there may be some hardship, to waive it or to change it. I think that's my recommendation. MR. TORRE: Is the language good enough to allow for that congeniality to happen and allow us to figure out a — MR. TRIAS: Zoning is limited in its abilities. So I think that's the best I could
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties in there that fall under this? MR. TRIAS: I have not, no. This is something that has been sponsored by Commissioner Anderson. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand, and Venny brings up a good point. MR. TORRE: No, it's a good suggestion and I understand why it's happening. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. The suggestion is good.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a good idea to have the rule, five feet, and then to have some process, in which case there may be some hardship, to waive it or to change it. I think that's my recommendation. MR. TORRE: Is the language good enough to allow for that congeniality to happen and allow us to figure out a MR. TRIAS: Zoning is limited in its abilities. So I think that's the best I could come up with. If you have some better way of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties in there that fall under this? MR. TRIAS: I have not, no. This is something that has been sponsored by Commissioner Anderson. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand, and Venny brings up a good point. MR. TORRE: No, it's a good suggestion and I understand why it's happening. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. The suggestion is good. MR. TORRE: It's brought for a good reason.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a good idea to have the rule, five feet, and then to have some process, in which case there may be some hardship, to waive it or to change it. I think that's my recommendation. MR. TORRE: Is the language good enough to allow for that congeniality to happen and allow us to figure out a MR. TRIAS: Zoning is limited in its abilities. So I think that's the best I could come up with. If you have some better way of phrasing it, I'll be happy to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties in there that fall under this? MR. TRIAS: I have not, no. This is something that has been sponsored by Commissioner Anderson. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand, and Venny brings up a good point. MR. TORRE: No, it's a good suggestion and I understand why it's happening. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. The suggestion is good. MR. TORRE: It's brought for a good reason. But my question is, if both sides can agree,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a good idea to have the rule, five feet, and then to have some process, in which case there may be some hardship, to waive it or to change it. I think that's my recommendation. MR. TORRE: Is the language good enough to allow for that congeniality to happen and allow us to figure out a MR. TRIAS: Zoning is limited in its abilities. So I think that's the best I could come up with. If you have some better way of phrasing it, I'll be happy to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I mean, Mr. Coller,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties in there that fall under this? MR. TRIAS: I have not, no. This is something that has been sponsored by Commissioner Anderson. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand, and Venny brings up a good point. MR. TORRE: No, it's a good suggestion and I understand why it's happening. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. The suggestion is good. MR. TORRE: It's brought for a good reason. But my question is, if both sides can agree, would there be any way to make it easier for	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a good idea to have the rule, five feet, and then to have some process, in which case there may be some hardship, to waive it or to change it. I think that's my recommendation. MR. TORRE: Is the language good enough to allow for that congeniality to happen and allow us to figure out a MR. TRIAS: Zoning is limited in its abilities. So I think that's the best I could come up with. If you have some better way of phrasing it, I'll be happy to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I mean, Mr. Coller, let me ask you a question. Let's say, Person
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties in there that fall under this? MR. TRIAS: I have not, no. This is something that has been sponsored by Commissioner Anderson. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand, and Venny brings up a good point. MR. TORRE: No, it's a good suggestion and I understand why it's happening. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. The suggestion is good. MR. TORRE: It's brought for a good reason. But my question is, if both sides can agree, would there be any way to make it easier for this not to occur, when they don't have to?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a good idea to have the rule, five feet, and then to have some process, in which case there may be some hardship, to waive it or to change it. I think that's my recommendation. MR. TORRE: Is the language good enough to allow for that congeniality to happen and allow us to figure out a MR. TRIAS: Zoning is limited in its abilities. So I think that's the best I could come up with. If you have some better way of phrasing it, I'll be happy to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I mean, Mr. Coller, let me ask you a question. Let's say, Person X, Y, Z owns a property that's 50-foot within
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties in there that fall under this? MR. TRIAS: I have not, no. This is something that has been sponsored by Commissioner Anderson. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand, and Venny brings up a good point. MR. TORRE: No, it's a good suggestion and I understand why it's happening. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. The suggestion is good. MR. TORRE: It's brought for a good reason. But my question is, if both sides can agree, would there be any way to make it easier for this not to occur, when they don't have to? MR. TRIAS: Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a good idea to have the rule, five feet, and then to have some process, in which case there may be some hardship, to waive it or to change it. I think that's my recommendation. MR. TORRE: Is the language good enough to allow for that congeniality to happen and allow us to figure out a MR. TRIAS: Zoning is limited in its abilities. So I think that's the best I could come up with. If you have some better way of phrasing it, I'll be happy to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I mean, Mr. Coller, let me ask you a question. Let's say, Person X, Y, Z owns a property that's 50-foot within the area. What happens now, when he says to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties in there that fall under this? MR. TRIAS: I have not, no. This is something that has been sponsored by Commissioner Anderson. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand, and Venny brings up a good point. MR. TORRE: No, it's a good suggestion and I understand why it's happening. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. The suggestion is good. MR. TORRE: It's brought for a good reason. But my question is, if both sides can agree, would there be any way to make it easier for this not to occur, when they don't have to? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TORRE: I am trying to put a way to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a good idea to have the rule, five feet, and then to have some process, in which case there may be some hardship, to waive it or to change it. I think that's my recommendation. MR. TORRE: Is the language good enough to allow for that congeniality to happen and allow us to figure out a MR. TRIAS: Zoning is limited in its abilities. So I think that's the best I could come up with. If you have some better way of phrasing it, I'll be happy to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I mean, Mr. Coller, let me ask you a question. Let's say, Person X, Y, Z owns a property that's 50-foot within the area. What happens now, when he says to you, I was able to build two homes, you know, a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties in there that fall under this? MR. TRIAS: I have not, no. This is something that has been sponsored by Commissioner Anderson. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand, and Venny brings up a good point. MR. TORRE: No, it's a good suggestion and I understand why it's happening. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. The suggestion is good. MR. TORRE: It's brought for a good reason. But my question is, if both sides can agree, would there be any way to make it easier for this not to occur, when they don't have to? MR. TORRE: I am trying to put a way to have that. But the idea is that it shouldn't	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a good idea to have the rule, five feet, and then to have some process, in which case there may be some hardship, to waive it or to change it. I think that's my recommendation. MR. TORRE: Is the language good enough to allow for that congeniality to happen and allow us to figure out a MR. TRIAS: Zoning is limited in its abilities. So I think that's the best I could come up with. If you have some better way of phrasing it, I'll be happy to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I mean, Mr. Coller, let me ask you a question. Let's say, Person X, Y, Z owns a property that's 50-foot within the area. What happens now, when he says to you, I was able to build two homes, you know, a twin home. You've now taken that right away.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	through City records and identified how many properties you have that are 50-foot properties in there that fall under this? MR. TRIAS: I have not, no. This is something that has been sponsored by Commissioner Anderson. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand, and Venny brings up a good point. MR. TORRE: No, it's a good suggestion and I understand why it's happening. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. The suggestion is good. MR. TORRE: It's brought for a good reason. But my question is, if both sides can agree, would there be any way to make it easier for this not to occur, when they don't have to? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TORRE: I am trying to put a way to have that. But the idea is that it shouldn't happen, but you may say that's the way it has	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	think, any time we have simple rules like, oh, you have to have five feet, no matter what, that's probably not a good idea. So it's a good idea to have the rule, five feet, and then to have some process, in which case there may be some hardship, to waive it or to change it. I think that's my recommendation. MR. TORRE: Is the language good enough to allow for that congeniality to happen and allow us to figure out a MR. TRIAS: Zoning is limited in its abilities. So I think that's the best I could come up with. If you have some better way of phrasing it, I'll be happy to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I mean, Mr. Coller, let me ask you a question. Let's say, Person X, Y, Z owns a property that's 50-foot within the area. What happens now, when he says to you, I was able to build two homes, you know, a twin home. You've now taken that right away. Yes, the City is going to say, you can go

	Page 109		Page 110
1	to do that before, you didn't have to go	1	can happen without again, I don't know
2	through those steps.	2	variances? Is that their only option here?
3	MR. TRIAS: I'm sorry, you can still do two	3	MR. TRIAS: I don't know of any other way
4	units. It's just that the garage is not a	4	in our Code, other than the variance. Once you
5	two-car garage, for example, but the two units	5	establish a setback, the only way to change it
6	are still possible.	6	is through a variance.
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Aren't you taking away	7	MR. REVUELTA: Either that or they have to
8	something from them?	8	go through the process of changing the Code,
9	MR. COLLER: I don't know. Ultimately, you	9	one of them.
10	can still build the townhouse you want to	10	MR. TORRE: You're losing one unit no
11	build. The question is, I guess, any time you	11	matter what, because if you own five units and
12	add a setback or add some type of distance,	12	one has to have five feet less, then you can't
13	you're subtracting. So that	13	build you know, it kind of restricts it a
14	MR. TORRE: I guess my point is, as a row	14	little bit.
15	home street, you're supposed to have one that	15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I mean, for me, my
16	was built over time next to the other, like any	16	concern is somebody that just owns one property
17	other old city has, and here you may get to a	17	that's 50 feet. I'm not looking so much at a
18	point where you have this sort of it's a	18	row house. I'm looking at that 50-foot
19	duplex sitting in the middle of a block, as	19	property, and I don't know how many properties
20	opposed to looking at a row house. And, again,	20	there are. I like the idea of the five feet.
21	I'm just trying to find a way for everybody to	21	That doesn't it's good. But if I own a
22	say, if we can agree on this, can you allow me	22	property, now you're telling me I can only
23	to do this, and not have this long battle to	23	do let's assume that I could do a
24	the variance.	24	three-bedroom home on each one, but I can only
25	Is there any way to accomodate where things	25	do a one car garage, which means now I have to
	D 111		Davis 112
	Page 111		Page 112 I
_			Page 112
1	stack cars going there.	1	and everything I mean, I would have liked to
2	MR. TRIAS: Yes.	2	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified
2	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to	2	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an
2 3 4	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available,	2 3 4	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've
2 3 4 5	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning	2 3 4 5	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one
2 3 4 5 6	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to	2 3 4 5 6	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to work. So that creates a problem.	2 3 4 5 6 7	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property. Any other comments? Alex?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to work. So that creates a problem. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You're correct. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property. Any other comments? Alex? MR. BUCELO: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to work. So that creates a problem. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You're correct. Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And that's why I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property. Any other comments? Alex? MR. BUCELO: No. MR. REVUELTA: Is this the first time this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to work. So that creates a problem. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You're correct. Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And that's why I brought it up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property. Any other comments? Alex? MR. BUCELO: No. MR. REVUELTA: Is this the first time this issue came up, with this argument? This
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to work. So that creates a problem. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You're correct. Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And that's why I brought it up. MR. REVUELTA: Who's bringing this? Who's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property. Any other comments? Alex? MR. BUCELO: No. MR. REVUELTA: Is this the first time this issue came up, with this argument? This Ordinance has been in place for how many years?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to work. So that creates a problem. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You're correct. Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And that's why I brought it up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property. Any other comments? Alex? MR. BUCELO: No. MR. REVUELTA: Is this the first time this issue came up, with this argument? This Ordinance has been in place for how many years? MR. TRIAS: I don't know exactly, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to work. So that creates a problem. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You're correct. Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And that's why I brought it up. MR. REVUELTA: Who's bringing this? Who's the sponsor of this change? MR. TRIAS: Commissioner Anderson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property. Any other comments? Alex? MR. BUCELO: No. MR. REVUELTA: Is this the first time this issue came up, with this argument? This Ordinance has been in place for how many years? MR. TRIAS: I don't know exactly, but certainly 20 years or something like that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to work. So that creates a problem. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You're correct. Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And that's why I brought it up. MR. REVUELTA: Who's bringing this? Who's the sponsor of this change?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property. Any other comments? Alex? MR. BUCELO: No. MR. REVUELTA: Is this the first time this issue came up, with this argument? This Ordinance has been in place for how many years? MR. TRIAS: I don't know exactly, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to work. So that creates a problem. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You're correct. Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And that's why I brought it up. MR. REVUELTA: Who's bringing this? Who's the sponsor of this change? MR. TRIAS: Commissioner Anderson. MR. TORRE: There was a property where the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property. Any other comments? Alex? MR. BUCELO: No. MR. REVUELTA: Is this the first time this issue came up, with this argument? This Ordinance has been in place for how many years? MR. TRIAS: I don't know exactly, but certainly 20 years or something like that. MR. REVUELTA: In 20 years, we've had one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to work. So that creates a problem. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You're correct. Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And that's why I brought it up. MR. REVUELTA: Who's bringing this? Who's the sponsor of this change? MR. TRIAS: Commissioner Anderson. MR. TORRE: There was a property where the neighbor and the developer could not agree on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property. Any other comments? Alex? MR. BUCELO: No. MR. REVUELTA: Is this the first time this issue came up, with this argument? This Ordinance has been in place for how many years? MR. TRIAS: I don't know exactly, but certainly 20 years or something like that. MR. REVUELTA: In 20 years, we've had one problem?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to work. So that creates a problem. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You're correct. Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And that's why I brought it up. MR. REVUELTA: Who's bringing this? Who's the sponsor of this change? MR. TRIAS: Commissioner Anderson. MR. TORRE: There was a property where the neighbor and the developer could not agree on how to move this, and they got into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property. Any other comments? Alex? MR. BUCELO: No. MR. REVUELTA: Is this the first time this issue came up, with this argument? This Ordinance has been in place for how many years? MR. TRIAS: I don't know exactly, but certainly 20 years or something like that. MR. REVUELTA: In 20 years, we've had one problem? MR. TRIAS: I'm sorry, I believe I know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to work. So that creates a problem. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You're correct. Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And that's why I brought it up. MR. REVUELTA: Who's bringing this? Who's the sponsor of this change? MR. TRIAS: Commissioner Anderson. MR. TORRE: There was a property where the neighbor and the developer could not agree on how to move this, and they got into difficulties, and it got to be a big problem,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property. Any other comments? Alex? MR. BUCELO: No. MR. REVUELTA: Is this the first time this issue came up, with this argument? This Ordinance has been in place for how many years? MR. TRIAS: I don't know exactly, but certainly 20 years or something like that. MR. REVUELTA: In 20 years, we've had one problem? MR. TRIAS: I'm sorry, I believe I know. It's since 2004.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to work. So that creates a problem. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You're correct. Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And that's why I brought it up. MR. REVUELTA: Who's bringing this? Who's the sponsor of this change? MR. TRIAS: Commissioner Anderson. MR. TORRE: There was a property where the neighbor and the developer could not agree on how to move this, and they got into difficulties, and it got to be a big problem, and that's because you have to actually step on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property. Any other comments? Alex? MR. BUCELO: No. MR. REVUELTA: Is this the first time this issue came up, with this argument? This Ordinance has been in place for how many years? MR. TRIAS: I don't know exactly, but certainly 20 years or something like that. MR. REVUELTA: In 20 years, we've had one problem? MR. TRIAS: I'm sorry, I believe I know. It's since 2004. MR. REVUELTA: You know the old saying, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to work. So that creates a problem. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You're correct. Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And that's why I brought it up. MR. REVUELTA: Who's bringing this? Who's the sponsor of this change? MR. TRIAS: Commissioner Anderson. MR. TORRE: There was a property where the neighbor and the developer could not agree on how to move this, and they got into difficulties, and it got to be a big problem, and that's because you have to actually step on the property next door.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property. Any other comments? Alex? MR. BUCELO: No. MR. REVUELTA: Is this the first time this issue came up, with this argument? This Ordinance has been in place for how many years? MR. TRIAS: I don't know exactly, but certainly 20 years or something like that. MR. REVUELTA: In 20 years, we've had one problem? MR. TRIAS: I'm sorry, I believe I know. It's since 2004. MR. REVUELTA: You know the old saying, if it's not broken, don't fix it, and it seems to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to work. So that creates a problem. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You're correct. Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And that's why I brought it up. MR. REVUELTA: Who's bringing this? Who's the sponsor of this change? MR. TRIAS: Commissioner Anderson. MR. TORRE: There was a property where the neighbor and the developer could not agree on how to move this, and they got into difficulties, and it got to be a big problem, and that's because you have to actually step on the property next door. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So, for that, it makes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property. Any other comments? Alex? MR. BUCELO: No. MR. REVUELTA: Is this the first time this issue came up, with this argument? This Ordinance has been in place for how many years? MR. TRIAS: I don't know exactly, but certainly 20 years or something like that. MR. REVUELTA: In 20 years, we've had one problem? MR. TRIAS: I'm sorry, I believe I know. It's since 2004. MR. REVUELTA: You know the old saying, if it's not broken, don't fix it, and it seems to me that, one glitch, does it call
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to work. So that creates a problem. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You're correct. Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And that's why I brought it up. MR. REVUELTA: Who's bringing this? Who's the sponsor of this change? MR. TRIAS: Commissioner Anderson. MR. TORRE: There was a property where the neighbor and the developer could not agree on how to move this, and they got into difficulties, and it got to be a big problem, and that's because you have to actually step on the property next door. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So, for that, it makes sense. I don't disagree with that. I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property. Any other comments? Alex? MR. BUCELO: No. MR. REVUELTA: Is this the first time this issue came up, with this argument? This Ordinance has been in place for how many years? MR. TRIAS: I don't know exactly, but certainly 20 years or something like that. MR. REVUELTA: In 20 years, we've had one problem? MR. TRIAS: I'm sorry, I believe I know. It's since 2004. MR. REVUELTA: You know the old saying, if it's not broken, don't fix it, and it seems to me that, one glitch, does it call MR. TORRE: Looks, there's a give and take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to work. So that creates a problem. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You're correct. Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And that's why I brought it up. MR. REVUELTA: Who's bringing this? Who's the sponsor of this change? MR. TRIAS: Commissioner Anderson. MR. TORRE: There was a property where the neighbor and the developer could not agree on how to move this, and they got into difficulties, and it got to be a big problem, and that's because you have to actually step on the property next door. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So, for that, it makes sense. I don't disagree with that. I just don't know how you deal with a single property,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property. Any other comments? Alex? MR. BUCELO: No. MR. REVUELTA: Is this the first time this issue came up, with this argument? This Ordinance has been in place for how many years? MR. TRIAS: I don't know exactly, but certainly 20 years or something like that. MR. REVUELTA: In 20 years, we've had one problem? MR. TRIAS: I'm sorry, I believe I know. It's since 2004. MR. REVUELTA: You know the old saying, if it's not broken, don't fix it, and it seems to me that, one glitch, does it call MR. TORRE: Looks, there's a give and take to being on somebody's property, disturbing the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TRIAS: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may have to start using street parking, if it's available, because I don't want to get up in the morning and have to move the car before my wife goes to work. So that creates a problem. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You're correct. Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And that's why I brought it up. MR. REVUELTA: Who's bringing this? Who's the sponsor of this change? MR. TRIAS: Commissioner Anderson. MR. TORRE: There was a property where the neighbor and the developer could not agree on how to move this, and they got into difficulties, and it got to be a big problem, and that's because you have to actually step on the property next door. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So, for that, it makes sense. I don't disagree with that. I just don't know how you deal with a single property, that you're now taking away the ability to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and everything I mean, I would have liked to know how many properties are being identified or how many fall within this, just to get an idea. There may have been zero, but you've already said that there's at least one property. Any other comments? Alex? MR. BUCELO: No. MR. REVUELTA: Is this the first time this issue came up, with this argument? This Ordinance has been in place for how many years? MR. TRIAS: I don't know exactly, but certainly 20 years or something like that. MR. REVUELTA: In 20 years, we've had one problem? MR. TRIAS: I'm sorry, I believe I know. It's since 2004. MR. REVUELTA: You know the old saying, if it's not broken, don't fix it, and it seems to me that, one glitch, does it call MR. TORRE: Looks, there's a give and take to being on somebody's property, disturbing the neighbor. There's a cost. And usually there's

	Page 113		Page 114
1	going to upgrade your electrical. I'm sorry	1	MR. BUCELO: Venny, can you explain to me
2	for the disturbance. And there's a work	2	how you would lose a unit if you have the
3	around, usually. Sometimes it doesn't happen	3	setbacks on a row? You had mentioned that
4	that way, and that's where this became an	4	earlier. Just elaborate on that.
5	issue.	5	MR. TORRE: So the typical townhouse module
6	MR. REVUELTA: I have some examples of	6	is 25 feet, because we have usually lots of 50
7	that.	7	feet or the units in Coral Gables start at 25.
8	MR. TRIAS: I had a chance to	8	It's a multiple of 25. Every block is a
9	MR. REVUELTA: Ramon, I wish we'd let you	9	multiple of 25. And they're usually sold on
10	point it out, but, you know, I built the fence	10	units of 25, and you actually do fee simple
11	for my back door neighbors, who are both	11	replats to get them to be 25 feet, could be 24.
12	architects, and then I had to plant a whole	12	Unless you have a really big block, when
13	bunch of plantings, and I have experienced	13	you start taking away five feet, you basically
14	that, and frankly I have paid a painful price	14	move that module into unworkable, and then it
15	for it, and so has Ramon.	15	becomes kind of hard to work on a 23-foot
16	MR. TRIAS: I worked with you personally in	16	module, because you just lost too many feet.
17	that one.	17	MR. BUCELO: Got it.
18	MR. REVUELTA: Right. But I don't know	18	MR. TORRE: That's where the multiplier
19	MR. TRIAS: This is, again, an issue that	19	becomes an issue. If you had a whole block, it
20	is a good idea, but it has problems. So the	20	didn't matter, 'cause you can space out five
21	question is, do we have a process to deal with	21	feet
22	any kind of issues like that? I think we do,	22	MR. WITHERS: You're going to do a PAD
23	and that's the variance.	23	anyway and get zero lot lines.
24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would like to	24	MR. TORRE: Because of a PAD. So it
25	Alex, do you have a comment?	25	becomes, when you're breaking it up, that the
	. 2011, 40 you have a common.		coomes, mon years creaming a up, and the
	Page 115		
	rage 113		Page 116
1	modules lose too much square footage, it could	1	Page 116 I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you
1 2		1 2	
	modules lose too much square footage, it could		I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you
2	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant.	2	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we
2 3	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before	2 3	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win,
2 3 4	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet.	2 3 4	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's
2 3 4 5	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16?	2 3 4 5	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around.
2 3 4 5 6	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16? MR. TRIAS: 16 feet in width.	2 3 4 5 6	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around. Is that something that's off the table?
2 3 4 5 6 7	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16? MR. TRIAS: 16 feet in width. MR. WITHERS: 16?	2 3 4 5 6 7	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around. Is that something that's off the table? MR. TRIAS: No. No. It's not off the table.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16? MR. TRIAS: 16 feet in width. MR. WITHERS: 16? MR. TRIAS: Yes. 16, or 18 when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around. Is that something that's off the table? MR. TRIAS: No. No. It's not off the table. MR. TORRE: It could be as simple as that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16? MR. TRIAS: 16 feet in width. MR. WITHERS: 16? MR. TRIAS: Yes. 16, or 18 when MR. TORRE: The U.S. has houses at 15, so,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around. Is that something that's off the table? MR. TRIAS: No. No. It's not off the table. MR. TORRE: It could be as simple as that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, no, you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16? MR. TRIAS: 16 feet in width. MR. WITHERS: 16? MR. TRIAS: Yes. 16, or 18 when MR. TORRE: The U.S. has houses at 15, so, you know, we can go back to that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around. Is that something that's off the table? MR. TRIAS: No. No. It's not off the table. MR. TORRE: It could be as simple as that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, no, you're changing the Code.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16? MR. TRIAS: 16 feet in width. MR. WITHERS: 16? MR. TRIAS: Yes. 16, or 18 when MR. TORRE: The U.S. has houses at 15, so, you know, we can go back to that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But it goes back to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around. Is that something that's off the table? MR. TRIAS: No. No. It's not off the table. MR. TORRE: It could be as simple as that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, no, you're changing the Code. MR. TORRE: No, we have to agree that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16? MR. TRIAS: 16 feet in width. MR. WITHERS: 16? MR. TRIAS: Yes. 16, or 18 when MR. TORRE: The U.S. has houses at 15, so, you know, we can go back to that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But it goes back to what we were talking about, which is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around. Is that something that's off the table? MR. TRIAS: No. No. It's not off the table. MR. TORRE: It could be as simple as that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, no, you're changing the Code. MR. TORRE: No, we have to agree that the five feet is not — like you said, there's some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16? MR. TRIAS: 16 feet in width. MR. WITHERS: 16? MR. TRIAS: Yes. 16, or 18 when MR. TORRE: The U.S. has houses at 15, so, you know, we can go back to that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But it goes back to what we were talking about, which is the individual unit owner that has a 50-foot lot.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around. Is that something that's off the table? MR. TRIAS: No. No. It's not off the table. MR. TORRE: It could be as simple as that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, no, you're changing the Code. MR. TORRE: No, we have to agree that the five feet is not — like you said, there's some exceptions to where we don't have to agree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16? MR. TRIAS: 16 feet in width. MR. WITHERS: 16? MR. TRIAS: Yes. 16, or 18 when MR. TORRE: The U.S. has houses at 15, so, you know, we can go back to that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But it goes back to what we were talking about, which is the individual unit owner that has a 50-foot lot. MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. And if you believe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around. Is that something that's off the table? MR. TRIAS: No. No. It's not off the table. MR. TORRE: It could be as simple as that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, no, you're changing the Code. MR. TORRE: No, we have to agree that the five feet is not like you said, there's some exceptions to where we don't have to agree. MR. TRIAS: I think there should be room to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16? MR. TRIAS: 16 feet in width. MR. WITHERS: 16? MR. TRIAS: Yes. 16, or 18 when MR. TORRE: The U.S. has houses at 15, so, you know, we can go back to that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But it goes back to what we were talking about, which is the individual unit owner that has a 50-foot lot. MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. And if you believe this is a bad idea, certainly you can vote	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around. Is that something that's off the table? MR. TRIAS: No. No. It's not off the table. MR. TORRE: It could be as simple as that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, no, you're changing the Code. MR. TORRE: No, we have to agree that the five feet is not like you said, there's some exceptions to where we don't have to agree. MR. TRIAS: I think there should be room to make exceptions. I'm not convinced that a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16? MR. TRIAS: 16 feet in width. MR. WITHERS: 16? MR. TRIAS: Yes. 16, or 18 when MR. TORRE: The U.S. has houses at 15, so, you know, we can go back to that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But it goes back to what we were talking about, which is the individual unit owner that has a 50-foot lot. MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. And if you believe this is a bad idea, certainly you can vote against it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around. Is that something that's off the table? MR. TRIAS: No. No. It's not off the table. MR. TORRE: It could be as simple as that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, no, you're changing the Code. MR. TORRE: No, we have to agree that the five feet is not — like you said, there's some exceptions to where we don't have to agree. MR. TRIAS: I think there should be room to make exceptions. I'm not convinced that a study of the existing land owners is really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16? MR. TRIAS: 16 feet in width. MR. WITHERS: 16? MR. TRIAS: Yes. 16, or 18 when MR. TORRE: The U.S. has houses at 15, so, you know, we can go back to that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But it goes back to what we were talking about, which is the individual unit owner that has a 50-foot lot. MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. And if you believe this is a bad idea, certainly you can vote against it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I don't have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around. Is that something that's off the table? MR. TRIAS: No. No. It's not off the table. MR. TORRE: It could be as simple as that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, no, you're changing the Code. MR. TORRE: No, we have to agree that the five feet is not — like you said, there's some exceptions to where we don't have to agree. MR. TRIAS: I think there should be room to make exceptions. I'm not convinced that a study of the existing land owners is really going to be that revealing, in the sense that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16? MR. TRIAS: 16 feet in width. MR. WITHERS: 16? MR. TRIAS: Yes. 16, or 18 when MR. TORRE: The U.S. has houses at 15, so, you know, we can go back to that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But it goes back to what we were talking about, which is the individual unit owner that has a 50-foot lot. MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. And if you believe this is a bad idea, certainly you can vote against it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I don't have anything personally, me, you know, it's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around. Is that something that's off the table? MR. TRIAS: No. No. It's not off the table. MR. TORRE: It could be as simple as that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, no, you're changing the Code. MR. TORRE: No, we have to agree that the five feet is not — like you said, there's some exceptions to where we don't have to agree. MR. TRIAS: I think there should be room to make exceptions. I'm not convinced that a study of the existing land owners is really going to be that revealing, in the sense that land ownership changes all of the time. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16? MR. TRIAS: 16 feet in width. MR. WITHERS: 16? MR. TRIAS: Yes. 16, or 18 when MR. TORRE: The U.S. has houses at 15, so, you know, we can go back to that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But it goes back to what we were talking about, which is the individual unit owner that has a 50-foot lot. MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. And if you believe this is a bad idea, certainly you can vote against it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I don't have anything personally, me, you know, it's a good idea not to have to step on somebody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around. Is that something that's off the table? MR. TRIAS: No. No. It's not off the table. MR. TORRE: It could be as simple as that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, no, you're changing the Code. MR. TORRE: No, we have to agree that the five feet is not like you said, there's some exceptions to where we don't have to agree. MR. TRIAS: I think there should be room to make exceptions. I'm not convinced that a study of the existing land owners is really going to be that revealing, in the sense that land ownership changes all of the time. We could do a study and tomorrow's going to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16? MR. TRIAS: 16 feet in width. MR. WITHERS: 16? MR. TRIAS: Yes. 16, or 18 when MR. TORRE: The U.S. has houses at 15, so, you know, we can go back to that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But it goes back to what we were talking about, which is the individual unit owner that has a 50-foot lot. MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. And if you believe this is a bad idea, certainly you can vote against it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I don't have anything personally, me, you know, it's a good idea not to have to step on somebody else's property to finish. I like that. But I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around. Is that something that's off the table? MR. TRIAS: No. No. It's not off the table. MR. TORRE: It could be as simple as that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, no, you're changing the Code. MR. TORRE: No, we have to agree that the five feet is not like you said, there's some exceptions to where we don't have to agree. MR. TRIAS: I think there should be room to make exceptions. I'm not convinced that a study of the existing land owners is really going to be that revealing, in the sense that land ownership changes all of the time. We could do a study and tomorrow's going to be something else. Fine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16? MR. TRIAS: 16 feet in width. MR. WITHERS: 16? MR. TRIAS: Yes. 16, or 18 when MR. TORRE: The U.S. has houses at 15, so, you know, we can go back to that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But it goes back to what we were talking about, which is the individual unit owner that has a 50-foot lot. MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. And if you believe this is a bad idea, certainly you can vote against it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I don't have anything personally, me, you know, it's a good idea not to have to step on somebody else's property to finish. I like that. But I just would like to know, are there 35	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around. Is that something that's off the table? MR. TRIAS: No. No. It's not off the table. MR. TORRE: It could be as simple as that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, no, you're changing the Code. MR. TORRE: No, we have to agree that the five feet is not — like you said, there's some exceptions to where we don't have to agree. MR. TRIAS: I think there should be room to make exceptions. I'm not convinced that a study of the existing land owners is really going to be that revealing, in the sense that land ownership changes all of the time. We could do a study and tomorrow's going to be something else. Fine. I do think that there will be some issues,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16? MR. TRIAS: 16 feet in width. MR. WITHERS: 16? MR. TRIAS: Yes. 16, or 18 when MR. TORRE: The U.S. has houses at 15, so, you know, we can go back to that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But it goes back to what we were talking about, which is the individual unit owner that has a 50-foot lot. MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. And if you believe this is a bad idea, certainly you can vote against it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I don't have anything personally, me, you know, it's a good idea not to have to step on somebody else's property to finish. I like that. But I just would like to know, are there 35 properties that unit owners	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around. Is that something that's off the table? MR. TRIAS: No. No. It's not off the table. MR. TORRE: It could be as simple as that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, no, you're changing the Code. MR. TORRE: No, we have to agree that the five feet is not — like you said, there's some exceptions to where we don't have to agree. MR. TRIAS: I think there should be room to make exceptions. I'm not convinced that a study of the existing land owners is really going to be that revealing, in the sense that land ownership changes all of the time. We could do a study and tomorrow's going to be something else. Fine. I do think that there will be some issues, that you're correct, you know, certainly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	modules lose too much square footage, it could be relevant. MR. TRIAS: But the historic model before the automobile, it was 16 feet. MR. TORRE: 16? MR. TRIAS: 16 feet in width. MR. WITHERS: 16? MR. TRIAS: Yes. 16, or 18 when MR. TORRE: The U.S. has houses at 15, so, you know, we can go back to that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But it goes back to what we were talking about, which is the individual unit owner that has a 50-foot lot. MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. And if you believe this is a bad idea, certainly you can vote against it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I don't have anything personally, me, you know, it's a good idea not to have to step on somebody else's property to finish. I like that. But I just would like to know, are there 35 properties that unit owners MR. TORRE: But here's the thing, let's say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I say, "I'm willing to give you \$100,000 if you let me do that," and we can agree on that, we agree. Now, I just gave you \$100,000. I win, 'cause I got that much more property. So it's a work around. Is that something that's off the table? MR. TRIAS: No. No. It's not off the table. MR. TORRE: It could be as simple as that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, no, you're changing the Code. MR. TORRE: No, we have to agree that the five feet is not like you said, there's some exceptions to where we don't have to agree. MR. TRIAS: I think there should be room to make exceptions. I'm not convinced that a study of the existing land owners is really going to be that revealing, in the sense that land ownership changes all of the time. We could do a study and tomorrow's going to be something else. Fine. I do think that there will be some issues, that you're correct, you know, certainly. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's who I'm

	Page 117		Page 118
1	conditioning compressors or generators? It's a	1	where you're reversing what was already in the
2	wall of a house, right?	2	Code. Here, the Code was already at zero and
3	MR. TRIAS: No. Yes, sir.	3	we're trying to take away something that was
4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right, because as it	4	already theirs. So, I mean, there wasn't
5	is, you can't put any of that in the five-foot	5	anything wrong with it, except there's an
6	setback either way.	6	issue, which is understandable, that there
7	MR. TRIAS: Usually they place them on the	7	could be a conflict where two people just can't
8	roof.	8	agree on that. I mean, I'm just again, I
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.	9	like the issue I mean, I like this solution.
10	MR. TORRE: And, you know, then there may	10	I just want to make sure that if some people
11	be other issues, and I don't know these. Then	11	can agree to reverse back to the zero, it's not
12	there are Fire Code issues, how far can you be	12	the end of the world here to make it happen,
13	from the house to that wall, so that wall may	13	that's all, and if a variance is the solution,
14	still be a very bland wall, five feet so it	14	Ramon, then maybe that's the only way around
15	puts a wall of nothing five feet from the other	15	it, you know.
		16	•
16 17	person's property. So, again CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I mean, Venny, going	17	MR. TRIAS: I don't know of any other solution with the Code that we have.
18	back to what you said, if there's a work	18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion?
19	around. A single-family home, I've got, let's		No?
20	say, a ten-foot back at the rear or wherever it	20	Anybody that would like to make a motion?
21	is. Would I then be able to go to my neighbor	21	MR. WITHERS: I'll move it.
22	and say, you know what, I'm going to do your	22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: As is?
23	electrical if I can have a zero setback, so I	23	MR. WITHERS: As is.
24	can make my structure bigger and so forth?	24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody second?
25	MR. TORRE: No, but you're making a point	25	MS. MIRO: I'll second.
	Page 119		Page 120
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. Any	1	recommend denial from Alex. Is there a second?
2	other discussion? No?	2	MR. TORRE: I'll second it.
3	Call the roll, please.	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Any
4	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	4	discussion?
5	MR. REVUELTA: No.	5	Call the roll, please.
6	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	6	SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
7	MR. TORRE: No.	7	MR. TORRE: No. I mean, yes.
8	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	8	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
9	MR. WITHERS: Yes.	9	MR. WITHERS: Yes. I mean, no. I mean
10	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?	10	I mean, no.
11	MR. BUCELO: No.	11	MR. COLLER: Wait.
12	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?	12	MR. WITHERS: He's trying to confuse you,
13	MS. MIRO: Yes.	13	you know that, right?
14	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	14	MR. COLLER: No, you're opposed to the
15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No, and for the reason	15	motion?
16	that I just don't know how many properties are	16	MR. WITHERS: I'm opposed to the motion.
17	out there for that single owner.	17	MR. COLLER: Okay.
18	MR. TRIAS: So the motion failed four-two.	18	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
19	THE SECRETARY: It failed.	19	MR. BUCELO: Yes.
20	MR. COLLER: I think we need a reverse	20	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
21	motion, then, because the person that made the	21	MS. MIRO: No.
22	motion, that failed, so we need a motion to	22	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
23	recommend denial.	23	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
		1	
24	MR. BUCELO: I'll move to recommend denial.	24	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
	MR. BUCELO: I'll move to recommend denial. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to	24	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, for the same

1 reason as before. 2 MR. TRIAS: Thank you very much. The motion passes. 3 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Thank you everybody for coming. Is there a motion to adjourn the meeting? 4 MS. MIRO: I'll make a motion. 6 AGIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to adjourn the meeting. Is there a second? 10 MR. WITHERS: Second. 11 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. All in favor say aye. 13 (All Board Members voted aye.) 14 (Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:00) 15 p.m.) 16 DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. 17 SIGNATURE ON FILE 29 NIEVES SANCHEZ 21 22 23 23 24 25	122
MR. TRIAS: Thank you very much. The motion passes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Thank you everybody for coming. Is there a motion to adjourn the meeting? MS. MIRO: I'll make a motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to adjourn the meeting. Is there a second? MR. WITHERS: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. All in favor say aye. (All Board Members voted aye.) (Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:00 p.m.) MR. WITHERS: Second. Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:00 p.m.) DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. MIEVES SANCHEZ. DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. NIEVES SANCHEZ NIEVES SANCHEZ NIEVES SANCHEZ NIEVES SANCHEZ NIEVES SANCHEZ Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:00 p.m.) DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. NIEVES SANCHEZ	
motion passes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Thank you everybody for coming. Is there a motion to adjourn the meeting? MS. MIRO: I'll make a motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to adjourn the meeting. Is there a second? MR. WITHERS: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. All in favor say aye. (All Board Members voted aye.) (Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:00 p.m.) MR. WITHERS: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. All in favor say aye. All in favor say aye. All in favor say aye. DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. SIGNATURE ON FILE DATED this 17th day of March, 2022.	
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Thank you everybody for coming. Is there a motion to adjourn the meeting? MS. MIRO: I'll make a motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to adjourn the meeting. Is there a second? MR. WITHERS: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. All in favor say aye. (All Board Members voted aye.) (Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:00 p.m.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. All that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. NIEVES SANCHEZ. DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. NIEVES SANCHEZ.	
5 everybody for coming. Is there a motion to 6 adjourn the meeting? 7 MS. MIRO: I'll make a motion. 8 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to 9 adjourn the meeting. Is there a second? 10 MR. WITHERS: Second. 11 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. All 12 in favor say aye. 13 (All Board Members voted aye.) 14 (Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:00 15 p.m.) 16 17 18 18 19 20 20 21 22 23 24 24	
adjourn the meeting? MS. MIRO: I'll make a motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to adjourn the meeting. Is there a second? MR. WITHERS: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. All in favor say aye. (All Board Members voted aye.) (Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:00 p.m.) DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. SIGNATURE ON FILE NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a second in the state of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. SIGNATURE ON FILE NIEVES SANCHEZ	
MS. MIRO: I'll make a motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to adjourn the meeting. Is there a second? MR. WITHERS: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. All in favor say aye. (All Board Members voted aye.) (Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:00 p.m.) DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. MIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a second at the state of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. MIEVES SANCHEZ NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a second. All that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. NIEVES SANCHEZ	
8 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to 9 adjourn the meeting. Is there a second? 10 MR. WITHERS: Second. 11 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. All 12 in favor say aye. 13 (All Board Members voted aye.) 14 (Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:00 15 p.m.) 16 DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. 17 18 19 SIGNATURE ON FILE 19 20 NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a second at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. 15 p.m.) 16 DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. 17 18 19 SIGNATURE ON FILE 20 NIEVES SANCHEZ	
9 adjourn the meeting. Is there a second? 10 MR. WITHERS: Second. 11 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. All 12 in favor say aye. 13 (All Board Members voted aye.) 14 (Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:00 15 p.m.) 16 DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. 17 18 19 SIGNATURE ON FILE 19 NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a second at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. 15 DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. 17 18 19 SIGNATURE ON FILE 20 NIEVES SANCHEZ 21 22 23 24	
MR. WITHERS: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. All in favor say aye. (All Board Members voted aye.) (Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:00 in p.m.) DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. SIGNATURE ON FILE Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. SIGNATURE ON FILE Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. SIGNATURE ON FILE NIEVES SANCHEZ 21 22 23 24	Notary
11 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. All 12 in favor say aye. 13 (All Board Members voted aye.) 14 (Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:00 15 p.m.) 16 DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. 17 18 19 SIGNATURE ON FILE 19 20 NIEVES SANCHEZ 21 22 23 24	
in favor say aye. 13	
13 (All Board Members voted aye.) 14 (Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:00 15 p.m.) 16 DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. 17 18 19 SIGNATURE ON FILE 19 20 NIEVES SANCHEZ 21 22 23 24 25	
14 (Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:00 15 p.m.) 16 DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. 17 18 19 20 NIEVES SANCHEZ 21 22 23 24	y
15 p.m.) 16 DATED this 17th day of March, 2022. 17 18 19 20 NIEVES SANCHEZ 21 22 23 24	
16	
17 18 19 20 20 NIEVES SANCHEZ 21 22 23 24 25	
18 19 SIGNATURE ON FILE 19 20 NIEVES SANCHEZ 21 21 22 23 23 24 25	
19 20 NIEVES SANCHEZ 21 21 22 23 23 24 25	
20 NIEVES SANCHEZ 21 21 22 22 23 24 24	
21 22 23 24 24	
22 23 24 24	
23 24 24	
24 25	
24	