

WHEREUPON,

MR. AIZENSTAT: At this time, I'd like to go ahead and call the meeting to order. I like to ask everybody to please silence all phones and all beepers. Good evening. This Board is comprised of seven members or members of the Board shall constitute a quorum and the affirmative vote of four members shall be necessary for the adoption of any motion. If only four members of the Board are present, and applicant may request and be entitled to a continuance to the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Board. If a matter is continued due to a lack of quorum, the Chairperson or Secretary of the Board may set a special meeting to consider such matter. In the event that four votes are not obtained, an applicant may request a continuance for allow the application to proceed to the City Commission without a recommendation.

Pursuant to Resolution Number 2021-118, the City of Coral Gables has returned to traditional in person meetings. Accordingly, any individual wishing to provide sworn testimony will be present physically in the City Commission Chamber. However, the Planning and Zoning Board has established the ability for the public to provide comments, non-sworn and without evidentiary
value virtually accordingly. Only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide these comments via Zoom.

Lobbyists registration and disclosure: Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Board Committees, and/or City Commission. A copy of the ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the Board.

As chair I now officially call the city of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board meeting of June 8th, 2022, to order. The time is 6:04.

Jill, will you please call the roll.
MS. MENENDEZ: Robert Behar?
MR. BEHAR: Present.
MS. MENENDEZ: Alexander Bucelo?
Claudia Miro?
MS. MIRO: Present
MS. MENENDEZ: Luis Revuelta?
MR. REVUELTA: Present.
MS. MENENDEZ: Venny Torre
MR. TORRE: Here.

MS. MENENDEZ: Chip Withers?
MR. WITHERS: Here.
MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?
MR. AIZENSTAT: Here.
Notice regarding ex parte communications, please be advised that this Board is a Quasi-Judicial Board, which requires Board Members to disclose all ex parte communications and sites. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum, or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of a public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the Quasi-Judicial Board regarding matters to be heard by the Board. If anyone made any contact with a Board Member regarding an issue before the Board, the Board Member must state on the record the existence of the ex parte communication and the party who originated the communication. Also, if a Board Member conducted a site visit specifically related to the case before the Board, the Board Member must also disclose such visit. In other case, the Board Member must state on the record whether the ex parte communication and our site visit will affect the Board Members ability to impartially consider the evidence to be presented
regarding the matter. The Board Member must also state that his or her decision will be based on substantial, competent evidence and testimony presented on the record today.

Does any Board Member have such a communication on their end or site visit to disclose at this time?
(CHORUS OF NOS.)
MR. AIZENSTAT: Swearing-in. I'm going to go ahead and ask everybody first. Anybody that wants to speak on an item I will need after I'm done to please go up to Jill and make sure you sign in and she has your name, and she will be calling you. For swearing-in, everyone who speaks this evening, we ask that you please print your name clearly on the official records and address of your residence.

Now with the exception of attorneys, all persons physically in the City Commission Chambers who will speak on agenda items before us this evening, please rise to be sworn in. That includes staff.
(WHEREUPON THE COURT REPORTER SWORN IN ALL THE ATTENDEES.)

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
Zoom platform participants. I will ask any
person wishing to speak on tonight's agenda item to
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | please open your chat and send a direct message to Jill | 1 | thank Mr. Ramon Trias, the former Development Service |
| 2 | Menendez stating that you would like to speak before | 2 | Assistant Director for Planning for all his work and |
| 3 | the Board and include your full name. Jill will call | 3 | service to the City of Coral Gables. |
| 4 | your name when it's your turn. I ask that you to be | 4 | I think we're going to have to go back, and |
| 5 | concise for the interest of time. | 5 | we have six -- one, two, three -- we have six |
| 6 | Phone platform participants. After Zoom | 6 | individuals. So, we're going to resume our order that |
| 7 | platform participants are done, I will ask phone | 7 | we have on the agenda. |
| 8 | participants to comment on tonight's agenda item. I | 8 | Mr. Collier? |
| 9 | also ask that you to be concise for the interest of | 9 | MR. COLLIER: Starting with E-1, correct? |
| 10 | time. | 10 | MR. AIZENSTAT: That is correct, sir. |
| 11 | Next, we -- as far as the approval of | 11 | MR. COLLIER: Is this working or not really? |
| 12 | minutes, we do not have any minister approved tonight. | 12 | Are you hearing it or not hearing it? You are, okay. |
| 13 | The May 11. The meeting minutes will be on the next | 13 | Item E-1. An Ordinance of the City |
| 14 | meeting which is June 21. | 14 | Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, approving the |
| 15 | The procedure that we will use tonight. | 15 | vacation of public alleyway pursuant to Zoning Code |
| 16 | First, we'll have the identification of agenda item by | 16 | Article 14 -- Pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, |
| 17 | Mr. Collier. Presentation by staff, presentation by | 17 | "Process," -- oh, here we go -- Section 14-211, |
| 18 | applicant or agent. Then we'll have an open public | 18 | "Abandonment and Vacations," and City Code Chapter 62, |
| 19 | comment, first in chamber, then Zoom platform, then | 19 | Article 8, "Vacation, Abandonment and Closure of |
| 20 | phone line platforms. We'll go ahead afterwards close | 20 | Streets, Easements and Alleys by Private Owners and the |
| 21 | for public comment, and we'll have Board discussion, a | 21 | City; Application Process," providing for the vacation |
| 22 | motion discussion, and second of motion, if | 22 | of a 20-foot wide alley which is approximately 155 feet |
| 23 | appropriate, and then Board's final comments and a vote. | 23 | in length lying between Lots 12 through 18 and Lots 11 |
| 24 | Before we begin tonight, I would -- on behalf | 24 | and 19 and Block 29, Crafts Section; 3000 Ponce de Leon |
| 25 | of the Planning and Zoning Board. I would like to | 25 | Boulevard; 216 and 224 Catalonia; 203 University Drive; |
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| 1 | and 225 Malaga, Coral Gables, Florida; providing for | 1 | Article 14, "Process, Section 14-204.6, "Review and |
| 2 | repealer provision, severability clause, and providing | 2 | approval of use of TDRs on receiver sites," for the |
| 3 | for an effective date. | 3 | receipt and use of TDRs for a Mixed-Use project |
| 4 | Mr. Commissioner, I'm going to read all the | 4 | referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on the property |
| 5 | items in, and we'll have a public hearing and vote | 5 | legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West |
| 6 | separately on each of the different items. | 6 | half of Lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with |
| 7 | MR. AIZENSTAT: Correct, thanks. | 7 | that portion of the 20 -foot platted alley lying east of |
| 8 | MR. COLLIER: The next item is E-2, an | 8 | Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29; 3000 Ponce de Leon |
| 9 | Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, | 9 | Boulevard; 216 and 224 Catalonia; 203 University Drive; |
| 10 | Florida amending the Future Land Use Map of the City of | 10 | and 225 Malaga, Coral Gables, Florida; including |
| 11 | Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan pursuant to zoning Code | 11 | required conditions; providing for a repealer |
| 12 | Article 14 "Process," Section 14-213, "Comprehensive | 12 | provision, severability clause, and providing for an |
| 13 | Plan Text and Map Amendments," and Small Scale | 13 | effective date. |
| 14 | amendment procedures, Section 163.3187 Florida | 14 | Item E-4, a Resolution of the City Commission |
| 15 | Statutes, from "Commercial Low-Rise Intensity" to | 15 | of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan |
| 16 | "Commercial High-Rise Intensity" for Lots 8 through 21, | 16 | and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code |
| 17 | less the West half of Lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, | 17 | Article 14, "Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional |
| 18 | together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley | 18 | Uses," for a proposed Mixed-Use project referred to as |
| 19 | lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29; 3000 | 19 | "Ponce Park Residences" on the property legally |
| 20 | Ponce de Leon Boulevard; 216 and 224 Catalonia; 203 | 20 | described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West half of |
| 21 | University Drive; and 225 Malaga, Coral Gables, Florida; | 21 | Lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that |
| 22 | providing for an effective date. | 22 | portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots |
| 23 | Item E-3, a Resolution of the City Commission | 23 | 11 and 19, of said Block 29; 3000 Ponce de Leon |
| 24 | of Coral Gables Florida approving receipt of Transfer | 24 | Boulevard; 216 and 224 Catalonia; 203 University Drive; |
| 25 | of Development Rights (TDRs) pursuant to Zoning Code | 25 | and 225 Malaga, Coral Gables, Florida; including |

## required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an

 effective date.Item E-5, a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the Tentative Plat entitled "Ponce Park Residences" pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-210, "Platting/Subdivision," being a re-plat of 42,543 square feet ( 0.977 acres) into a single tract of land on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21 , less the West half of Lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with a 1,318 square feet portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way and dedication of 1,725 square feet; 3000 Ponce de Leon Boulevard; 216 and 224 Catalonia; 203 University Drive; and 225 Malaga, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date.

Items $\mathrm{E}-1, \mathrm{E}-2, \mathrm{E}-3, \mathrm{E}-4$, and $\mathrm{E}-5$ public hearing.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
for a bigger project at DRC. Then it went to the Board of Architects in November of 2020, it was approved by the Board of Architects, the larger version of this project. The neighborhood meetings occurred on November 24th, 2020. We had the staff meeting in January of '21. That's an internal meeting. Planning and Zoning Board saw it in February of '21. It was rejected or continued -- actually it was continued at that time. And you saw it again in August of 2021. At that time, this Board rejected it. There was -- it was actually a little bit bigger; it had more density; it had more height. That project was rejected. The one that's here today has actually reduced some of those items. It went to Historic Preservation Board in October of '21. The Historic Preservation Board accepted the TDRs, they did not vote favorably for the vacation of the alley or the street vacation. And we're here tonight at the Planning and Zoning Board.

The letters to property owners went out. It's a 1,500-foot requirement. there was public notification, five times letters were sent to the property owners. We had six property postings, five website postings, and four newspaper advertisements.

The first request, the Vacation of the Alley, it's about 3,000 square feet. They own the properties
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on both sides. So, the vacation of the alley is something that staff feels is appropriate for the project. The second one is the change of land use to high rise it's currently low rise. So, they want to go from low rise to high rise. The receipt of the TDRs which would allow them to get some additional FAR on the on the project. They're requesting 37,581 square feet, which they have purchased. It was part of the -I think it was the avocado land dispute or something. that will go -- that already went to the Historic Preservation Board. The Historic Preservation Board already approved the sending site. You will be reviewing today the receiving site and then it will go to City Commission.

The missed -- mixed-use site plan, here's the site plan. you can see there that there is a Paseo. Very similar to location of where the alley used to be. There's a vehicular entrance on the north side, there's ground floor retail as required for the mixed-use, the arcade, a plaza which is part of what's being offered to the city in exchange for some of these -- for the approval of this project.

This for the tentative plat and what's interesting here is they're actually going to be -- I
difference at this stage, whether you do first the site plan review or the vacation of the alley or the, you know, TDRs

MR. TORRE: In the past, we've seen where the vacation of the alley is tied to a project that was presented later. And we voted on the alley before the project was actually approved. So, I'm just saying is that something that doesn't make sense to just sort of

MR. BEHAR: it doesn't make sense because if the project doesn't go forward, then you vacated the alley. And what Ven is saying is correct, in the past you vacant an alley later.

MS. CABRERA: Right, I understand.
MR. TORRE: It's just I'm curious --
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MS. CABRERA: But the vacation --
MR. TORRE: -- I think we had that issue once

MS. CABRERA: -- of the alley will be taking place here, today. So that's why I'm saying that. For purposes of your voting, I don't think it makes a difference what order you take it in, in this Planning and Zoning Board meeting.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Mr. Collier, any comment on
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that?
MR. COLLIER: I don't -- I think the Board can choose to take the order that it wants to. obviously, if you're addressing the merits of the project, and you don't find it to in your recommendation is that is for is for denial of the project. Then, since the alleyway -- the purpose of the alleyway is needed for the projects, then you can certainly consider the alleyway last, it's just on the agenda this way.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Understood.
MR. REVUELTA: Well, hold on. If they can -the opposite viewpoint. If for whatever reason, we deny the closing of the alley, I'm not saying that that's going to happen, that pretty much, like, kills the project, right?

MS. CABRERA: Yes --
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MR. REVUELTA: (Indiscernible) better question --

MS. CABRERA: -- you can ask the applicant that question --

MR. BEHAR: You're correct.
MS. CABRERA: -- but probably.
MR. TORRE: -- the same thing happens with

TDRs, there's sort of a necessity for the project that needs to be sorted?

MR. REVUELTA: And I'm not debating the point with you. Because I actually -- you bring up a very good point. And what just came to my mind, I don't -with adding the alley, we saved with a lot of -- a tremendous amount of time, I'm not saying I'm proposing that. But I'm saying that there's a possibility.

MR. AIZENSTAT: We can address that at the end when we make -- if there's a motion and so forth, and we can take it up at that order.

MR. TORRE: For the sake of time, I'm just suggesting we take the big meaty part first and then leave the alley and the TDRs for last. But that's just my perspective.
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MR. REVUELTA: We'd have to make --
MR. AIZENSTAT: We're going to hear the presentation as a whole.

MR. TORRE: Okay --
MR. REVUELTA: -- we have to make a motion.
MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Mr. De Yurre.
Welcome, Mr. De Yurre. Before you begin, I just wanted to recap, originally on February 10th, 2021, did your initial presentation. That initial

I'm going to let Mr. Morris speak to that, I just need the presentation. Thank you. And at the completion Mr. Morris's comments, I'll save time for rebuttal if needed, in particular comments or cross. Thank you

MR. MORRIS: Good evening, I'm Alan Morris, reside at 3700 Granada Boulevard. And I thank you for letting me address your Board this evening.

As you may know, the mission of our company, which is also my personal mission, is to inspire, impress, and improve. very simple three words. We want to inspire people with the beauty of our projects. We want to impress them with the excellence of their experience. And we want to improve the lives of all we touch. And that means the residents in the buildings that we build or the tenants and the office buildings that we build or the city in which we build and the neighborhoods that are affected by what we build. I know that's a high aspiration but that's our intent. And to be able to do that in our own hometown of Coral Gables is a great privilege and a great opportunity that I'm grateful for. Tonight --

MR. AIZENSTAT: Mr. Morris, did you state your address for the record? You did?

MR. MORRIS: Yes.
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presentation was about two and a half hours before this Board. On August 11, 2021, you revise your presentation. On May 5th, 2022, there was a deferment. And today, we're going ahead and -- a smaller scale of the project has been presented before us. What I would like to ask you is, I would like to ask you to please limit your presentation to 30 minutes, because we do have a full house and I like to give an equal opportunity to everybody here that wishes to speak to please do that. And we're supposed to sunset at nine o'clock.

MR. DE YURRE: Absolutely, it's not an issue. In fact, I'm going to let Mr. Morris speak. I believe a challenge was brought to him to create a better project, a higher quality project, to find something transitional. I'm using the exact words that we used for our direction, transitional challenge to create a better project. And he really took it to heart and so I'm going to let --

MR. AIZENSTAT: If I could ask you, just before you start, if you could give your name and address for the record to the court reporter. Thank you.

MR. DE YURRE: Sure. Anthony De Yurre, 1450 Brickell Avenue.
have transitioned it to a luxury condominium, because they felt like that would bring less traffic into the neighborhood and would attract a higher level of occupant resident in the building that they wanted to have in the neighborhood. And we've agreed to do that.

And lastly, we have agreed to reduce the parking dramatically by ninety-two parking spaces, as a way of reducing traffic created from the building and traffic for the neighborhood, we will still be creating a park for the city of Coral Gables in front of the building at our expense entirely for both the creation of the park and for the maintenance of the park into the future. So, it will not be a burden to the city. But it will be something together with a green open space that we're creating available to the public.

Lastly, as you may know, the Architectural Review Board has unanimously approved our new plan. And as you've just heard, the planning department of the city has fully recommended our new plan. And I just hope that we'll have the opportunity to do something that would be as much of a blessing to the community and inspiring to the community as Alhambra towers has been, just a different style, a new style of

Mediterranean architecture that will celebrate City Hall and the design of City Hall reinterpreted for the

1 refresh something new. Also, I want to make sure has
everybody signed up with Jill in the podium, anybody that has not if you would please go up to Jill and she will go ahead and get your name, address, and so forth to be called. Thank you. ?

Jill, do you want to go ahead and are you able to call for speaker?

MS. MENENDEZ: Yes. Maria Cruz, please.
MS. CRUZ: Maria Cruz, 1447 Miller Road. I'm going to try to talk very fast because there's a lot of things to say.

First of all, the question was asked, was there a reason for the alley vacation first? Yes, there is a reason. Because if the alley is now vacated, they cannot join the two properties and they do not have the -- this -- the land that they need to be able to build. Yes, the alley has to be first. Okay, is there an acre if the alley is not vacated? The comprehensive plan, if you follow the agenda, you will see that is in order. And everything is predicated on the fact that everything will be approved, if not, the building cannot be made. Okay. the comprehensive plan needs to be approved. As a matter of fact, the staff says it complies. it doesn't comply unless the amendment goes through. The TDRs is not a receiving

1 site. It has to be approved. Everything is contingent 2 in the approval of what they're asking. In other 3 words, if you don't give them what they're asking this 4 doesn't go through. Okay. the university, they're not 5 asking for University Drive anymore. No, they're only 6 asking for a part of University Drive, so they can 7 square off the property. So, 407 square feet will be 8 taken from University Drive, so they can square the 9 parcel, because otherwise the building as designed will not fit there.

The first -- the Historic Preservation Board denied. they denied their request, okay. when Ramon Trias was here, most of you were here, (unintelligible). Ramon Trias had a wonderful presentation. And the result was denied. Now we have a new staff. And now everything is hunky dory and we're ready to move on.

When we talk about housing, the glorious thing of the housing is that people will be able to go to employment by public transportation. I would like to know how many people are going to be able to afford living there. They're going to be you know, owners, executives or whatever, are going to use public transportation to go work around there. Okay, I have a serious issue with the fact that this report from the

> 21st century, in the same way that Alhambra towers was a reinterpretation of the Biltmore Hotel in the 21st century. So, I would just respectfully request your approval, and we stand ready to answer any of your questions. Thank you so much.

> MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
> Jill. We have some emails that came in, there's a total of five emails that are going to be entered into the record. Is that correct?

MS. MENENDEZ: Correct.
MR. AIZENSTAT: Have you received any other emails on this item?

MS. MENENDEZ: No additional emails, no.
MR. AIZENSTAT: How many speakers do you have for this item?

MS. MENENDEZ: We have twenty speakers in the room. We have quite a few people signed in via Zoom and only one has indicated that they wish to speak.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Okay. What I'm going to go ahead and do is I'm going to ask everybody to limit their speaking for three minutes, when they come up to the podium, please, and that'll -- that way it'll give everybody an ability to comment and so forth. Also, if some -- if somebody has already gone ahead and given a point of view, you may want to just agree with them or

1 staff was not published till June 3rd. There were two 2 days off for the weekend. So, in fact, we have had 3 four days to digest this document. We're not 4 architects --
(BELL RING.)
MS. CRUZ: Please let me finish. Okay.
We're not architects. Okay. All right, if you look at the pages that they give you (sic), okay. You will see that everything I've told you is here, I didn't make it up, it's in this report. They have . 99 acres. That's not one, it's. 99 and that is with the public alley. That's very important. They don't have that size. With, it says very clearly, including the public alley. That's why the alley is first. If they don't get the public alley, they can't do it. Okay. All right. I told you about this -- the -- the MF, you know, let me tell you. I'm insulted when we compare this building to the plaza. That's adding insult to injury. These people, they're able to -- I don't live there, I drive there every morning. The people that live there have been paying for the fact that the city allowed the plaza to be built. This -- I mean that's the worst thing that could have ever happened. And now we're going to say since the plaza was built, this is a little smaller than the plaza. The plaza should have
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street is Mr. Morris is not getting the right of first refusal on parking seven. So, this is a piece of -(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MR. AIZENSTAT: Ma'am, we're here just --
MS. CRUZ: -- I'm just telling you --
MR. AIZENSTAT: -- this project --
MS. CRUZ: Okay. I'm going to tell you --
MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.
MS. CRUZ: -- again, this is a travesty. Do not insult the name.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am
MR. DE YURRE: I just appointed procedure in a quasi-judicial setting, there's an opportunity for questioning of the particular witnesses. And so, would you like me to do it per witness or wait until the end?

MR. AIZENSTAT: Not now, we're not going to do that right now.

MR. DE YURRE: I'm going to retain until the end.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.
MR. COLLIER: Counsel has the right of cross examination. However, we can -- typically the way you can do it as part of his rebuttal. He can call people up that he wishes to ask questions of, these people have -- should remain in the room because they're
never been built. Okay.
The issue with the Mediterranean bonus -- you know what I said through the Mediterranean bonus meetings? If this building is Mediterranean bonus, let me tell you, my house is an African design. Okay? All right. I hope that when you drive there, when you drive there, you see what these people that live right there are going to see. You have the plaza on one side, then you have this building on the other side. It's Brickell. They're going to be driving on the shadows because there will be no sun there --

MR. AIZENSTAT: If you could wrap it up --
MS. CRUZ: I'm going to wrap it up very fast.
They talk about the traffic study. I hope you all remember what it (sic) was happening in Coral Gables, Florida, and the rest of the world in November 2020. How many of us were driving then? Not many. That's when they did the traffic study. Okay. All right. I told you -- let's see the employment. I am here to tell you that this project is a travesty, that this is an insult. And let me tell you what the word on the street is. And this -- then I'm going to close. The word on the street is -- and some of you know me. People call me. They hear. I listen. I mean, (unintelligible) I'm going to tell you that word on the
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subject to cross examination. And on the applicant's rebuttal -- he can do as part of his rebuttal, cross examination of those witnesses. Thank you.

MR. DE YURRE: Well, I just wanted to clarify the point of procedure I didn't want to overstep, and I wanted to follow your direction. So, thank you very much.

MR. LOWELL: Good evening, gentlemen, and lady. I'm Jack Lowell, I live at 185 West sunrise Avenue. I've been a resident of Coral Gables for 30 years. I'm a real estate broker. I just want to make a quick comment on the vacation of alley issue.

You need to get legal advice. But if you own both sides of an alley or public roadway, you can ask for that to be vacated as matter of law. So, it has nothing to do with the project. That is, you go ahead and done. Now, we have here a situation where you have a local developer with a great reputation and wonderful community spirit. Who does what he says you're going to do 121 Alhambra is, in my opinion, the prettiest building in Coral Gables? And the attention to detail, everything he's done there has been great. So, you know, he's going to abide by whatever it's doing. He also lives in the Gables, so he's trying to be committed to doing something that is of benefit to the

1 city. And I think he's a terrific person to be doing 2 this project. Now, if you look at the comparison in 3 the report between the project that was submitted to 4 you on -- in 2021, versus the one that's before you 5 now, you see all the changes that have been made for 6 the better. We're down to eighty units in the condo 7 section and all the other criteria have been reduced.

So, I think this is a much-improved project. And I think it's a credit to the community. And I support it wholeheartedly. Thank you very much. Thank you, sir.

MR. DURANA: Good afternoon members of the Planning and Zoning Board. Aurelio Durana, 322 Alessio Avenue.

Essentially, incorporate by reference all of the remarks made by Maria. I do want to object to the limitation of the witness's time, only three minutes. I will point out to you which is obvious from the record. We live now in the shadow of the mall at (unintelligible) on pause (unintelligible) Ponce Circle Park, that's already bad enough. What Mr. Morris wants to do to the neighborhood. I mean, a man of his reputation, his integrity, the buildings as before, he wouldn't even be considered. He shouldn't be bringing up as a point of comparison, what I -- a ridiculous proposal that we originally made as 17 -, 18 -stories,
and now I'm bringing it down to fourteen.
The point of comparison here is the existing zoning, which allows seventy-seven feet. regarding the vacation of the alley, Mr. Lowell may be correct. The aborting owner may request the vacation of the alley, obviously, the city may do a sua sponte. Now the issue is they should be paying for and how much is 3,000 square feet of commercial real estate was in Coral Gables. A million dollars, at least the -- the SAR for the property now is denser than it was even in the original proposal. So, we have more building in the same or lesser space, because Mr. Morris is taking less of University Avenue the parking reduction. I mean, I don't see how that works to our advantage. I don't see the owners of luxury condominiums taking freebie or crossing the street and taking the trolley and mixing up with the hoi polloi. At the mall across the street, it is going to push the traffic down into south into the craft section neighborhood, especially with the rezoning of the corporate craft section north of university. Bear in mind, the historic sense of (unintelligible) apartments are just southwest of this massive project.

Regarding the TDRs, I was totally -- I
wondered when the Commission approved basically the

1 expansion of the TDR reception district to allow for receipt of the TDRs by Mr. Moore's project, I had no idea there was no heading there. This is to benefit the atom, Moore's project, whatever got totally unawares. I'm sure you can get advice when you cancel. So, in summary, please reject this application. Thank you.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
MS. MENENDEZ: Enrique Bernal will follow.
MR. BERNAL: My name is Enrique Bernal; I live at 718 Valencia Avenue.

I just have a request that when you look at a proposal on this project that you are consistent on which are the applicable zoning regulations. I remember reading that the reason that they asked for a taller building is because their design was submitted prior to the 2021 zoning change that -- that forbid that kind of hike. But then I see that the 2020 -2017 did not permit TDRs. And if I understand things correctly, the allowance of TDRs outside of the Business Development -- Business Improvement District was that (unintelligible) Board Commission Meeting items that nobody understood what occurred. So, I do believe that there is a legal question here that you need to take very seriously. You cannot give them the
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1 benefits of both sides of the zoning. If you're going 2 to go by one zoning regulation, you need to stick to it 3 and make sure that we don't end up with another lawsuit 4 like we have with Wawa. Thank you.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
MS. MENENDEZ: Enriqueta Bernal?
Oscar Sosa?
MR. SOSA: Good afternoon. Thank you for letting me speak.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Can you state your name and address please?

MR. SOSA: Oscar Sosa, 116 San Sebastian Avenue. We are one block away from the when these huge building that they want to do now in front of us.

First, I'd like to say that I -- I do feel offended that Mr. Morris this that. They listened to the residents. They never listened to the residents. When they presented the first project. We tried to meet with them, and they went they never met with us. All these changes come now. Now, after you the Board rejected the project, so for him to say that he respects the wishes of the of the residents is insulting, insulting to all of us in the air. We started with a 179-foot pot and downward thirty feet I believe that the as of right or the stoning says is

1 seventy-seven feet the height so he's asking you to go 2 twice as big. We got burned by the plaza the plaza 3 said we're going to do condos. No. Yes. They said we're going to do condos, that's how they started, then all of a sudden, they change it, we're going to do rentals because the market is not good for us. And we got stuck with 265 rental units around right around our area. How do we know that Mr. Morris is not going to change once, if this project is approved, and come back and say, oh, I'm not doing condos anymore, I'm going to do rentals? We do not trust the vendor. And we're not against the vendor. But we want them to stick to the code. The code says seventy-seven feet, build seventy-seven feet, we don't think that we should leave any or the city should give any free land to the developers. They're asking for 460/470 feet of University Drive, as Maria mentioned before. Why? I don't understand why you would do that. If they don't get that those 450/460 feet. They don't have a project not the way it's presented.

So please, I ask you to deny this project. It will harm the tranquility of the people that live around the area. As Maria said, we live there. Every day, our kids, we have to be worried about our kids because there's a lot of cars going into our suite.
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1 project from an overall compliance standpoint, and, and
the impact that could have in that area, mainly to traffic and, and just take that in consideration. And I therefore reject the project against that. Thank you.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
MR. FOURNIER: Good evening. My name is David Fournier, 128 San Sebastian. So, as you can see, the heart is full -- is full of people whose against this project. We have to deal with this project of Plaza. So, everybody's going to tell you the plaza, the plaza, the plaza. so, we cannot compare anything was the platter. Now, what I wanted to say was said before. there's one thing that I wanted to discuss is regarding the traffic. The traffic is horrendous. When you are on the points northbound in the morning at the light with Malaga the line goes all the way through to Romano in the -- around 5/6 P.M. When you take university, the -- from Le Jeune -- from Le Jeune, the line goes all the way past Salzedo. It is Salzedo, right? Salzedo goes all the way to Vicky Bakery. And then you have even the light on Salzedo for the people at the light to make a right. this is without having the Plaza done one hundred percent. So, we're already under a huge amount of traffic in the downtown of Coral Gables. If you're adding these twelve stories, it's

## 6

From Ponce de Leon, there's a lot more traffic and they haven't opened the hotel. They haven't opened it. They retail stores. So, imagine the Plaza, which was a mistake, I think by the city allowing such a monstrosity. Now they want to put another building which is not going to be as huge but it's going to be huge. Right in front. I asked you to please consider the residents of the area. We have not been hurt. We talked to the group after you guys denied we explain what we wanted or what we will feel comfortable with. We were not hurt. So, the project that you have right now is totally against what we feel should be approved. Thank you.

## MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir

MS. MENENDEZ: Attilio De Mattia. And David Fournier will follow.

MR. DE MATTIA: My name is Attilio de Mattia, 29 San Sebastian Avenue. I will, you know, be very short and pointed.

I'm completely aligned with -- with Sosa and the San Sebastian community. I'll have any technical expertise, I know that there are rules and of course, we're going to follow the rules. But the main point I want to bring is asking the Board to look at the
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going to be crazy. For us, he started with sixteen floors, then goes to down (sic) and say, listen, guys, we went five floors down, but you started to the moon. You started at the light that is too high. So don't come to us to tell us, we listened to you, we go down to twelve. No, we understand, we know the music. So, what we're asking is very simple is allowed seventy-seven feet, build something with seventy-seven feet. I'm sure Mr. Morris will do something beautiful with seventy-seven feet, and then do not give away the alleyway. the alley is public, is to the public. So, we need to have -- you cannot take this, please don't take away the alley. Thank you very much for your time.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
MS. MENENDEZ: David winker.
MR. WINKER: Good evening, David Winker, 4720 South Le Jeune.

You hear residents over and over again asking the city to follow its own rules. Mr. Morris gave a presentation on lobbying this Board. Mr. Morris has not (inaudible) a lobby. This has been a recurring problem. So, I want to put on the record. As a principal is required under your code, Section 2-305,
would like to read two resident letters into the record. One is from Jennifer Davis, who lives at 133
San Sebastian Avenue. On November 12, 2021, Ponce neighbors wrote a letter addressed to our City Commissioners as concerned neighbors, who after two meetings with the developer, Alan Morris, October 5th, and October 18th, 2021, remain disturbed and disappointed by their current proposal.

Our host, Mr. Spencer Morris was very gracious to invite us, and it appeared that the meeting was intended to hear neighbor input. However, the plans in meetings did not reflect any of our concerns and suggestions and this concern caused us to write the letter during the meetings the developer focused on defending the merits of the project. Every neighbor spoke out against the heightened scale. We strongly oppose the proposed height. When what is allowed as of right in our building code is seventy-seven feet with the Mediterranean bonus, we oppose the architecture and ask for a new design of smaller scale. We suggested that the developer revisit the architectural plans to offer a more boutique style of architecture and building scale. Not a single neighbor likes the crescent shaped design. Many neighbors felt the building was undeserving of such a prominent area of
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Park Residences simply does not make any aesthetic or community sense. Thank you.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
MS. MENENDEZ: Patrick O'Connell. And then Lauren Dowlen will follow.

MR. O'CONNELL: Hi, good evening, Patrick O'Connell, Real Estate Executive, Berkshire Hathaway Home Services, UWM Realty. 2010 Alhambra Circle.

So, I'm here to speak in favor of the development. Think in the last couple of years we've seen our downtown undergo a renaissance it's attracted many, many new fine restaurants, retailers, Office tenants. This has also made us more of a destination for a lot of high-net-worth individuals that have been relocating into downtown who desire to be close to and have the walkability of those retailers, restaurants and businesses, their places of business. So, I feel that this type of high quality, lower density, luxury residence is what we have many buyers for today. And I just think that it's a perfect addition to support our downtown core and keep it growing as I think we all need to do to continue to contribute as it does to our city's tax base. So, speaking in support, thank you.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you
MS. MENENDEZ: Lauren Dowlen. And then Jeff

1 real estate. The general sentiment was that the project 2 belonged in Dadeland, or Brickell, not Coral Gables. 3 These meetings with Mr. Spencer Morris felt like a waste of time, who's only what purpose was to meet political criteria, so the developer can check the box.

Another point I would like to address is that the overwhelming height of Ponce Park Residences in conjunction with the height and scale of Ponce Plaza would create a concrete wall that would swallow Ponce de Leon Boulevard, creating a tunnel hidden alongside two giant concrete structures. This most definitely is not the city beautiful. The shear with the Ponce Park Residences, which requires vacating of the alleyway does not belong in this location directly adjacent to single family homes a few hundred feet away not to mention the traffic which includes all the new development including Ponce Plaza, Alison Craft (ph.) and Ponce Park Residences.
(BELL RING.)
MR. WINKER: Is it okay if I just finish the letter?

In addition, I am concerned with backup traffic at the Malaga intersection of Ponce de Leon which makes it very difficult for neighbors to enter and exit our streets. What they're proposing for Ponce
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Sullivan.
MS. DOWLEN: Hi , my name is Lauren Dowlen. I reside at 1460 Cecilia Avenue in Coral Gables.

I'm here to speak in favor of Ponce Park Residences. Our city has a need for well-built and quality condominiums for both residents who are downsizing as well as new residents. The surge of new residents to our community is not going away anytime soon as our location is the gateway to the Americas is our blessing. Currently, the proposed parcels are in a state of blight and vacancy and are not utilized to the highest and best use for the community and the city. Word on the street is that Allen Morris is dedicated to our entire community, and is a quietly generous philanthropist, especially for our local schools. Thank you

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
MS. DOWLEN: Jeff Solomon.
MR. SULLIVAN: Jeff Sullivan, 936 Bird Road, Coral Gables.

I'm speaking in favor of the project, just based on the I think the civility of the process and how that when the community gathers together and gives input and then alterations are made. I think that's how we arrive at good development. We understand
there's always a tension as residents, we wish there were no cars on the road or people in the way of, of what we do. But also, we depend now on the vibrancy of the downtown area and the Commerce and the business and the life that it brings, and how it supports all of our systems from police to fire and other things that we benefit from. And so, there's always a tension of the development and growth that goes on downtown and then how it impacts our neighborhoods. So just recognizing that tension that exists. I think this project comes at a happy medium in order to promote good growth and good development without as great a negative impact on the neighborhood.

MS. MENENDEZ: Yanira Seralta. Eduardo Gonzalez.

MS. SERALTA: Hi, good evening. My name is Yanira Seralta, 243 Camilo Avenue, about two to three blocks south of University Drive. So right in the middle where this whole thing is happening.

I've been living in Coral Gables for about 10 years now and in my low like present residence for four years. I feel like we're under constant siege. Why? Because people keep saying that we're in downtown. Yeah, we're close to downtown, but that's meant to be a transitional area. Right south of University Drive.

1 don't feel safe anymore. I don't feel happy anymore. I love my neighborhood, but I feel like we're not being protected, and we're not being sheltered in the way that we should. Thank you very much

MS. MENENDEZ: Eduardo Gonzalez.
MR. GONZALEZ: Thanks for the Commission. Thanks everyone present. At some point --

MS. MENENDEZ: State your name, sir. And your address.

MR. GONZALEZ: Yes, yes, Eduardo Gonzalez Hernandez, 243 Camilo Avenue, Coral Gables.

So, thank you, thank you, everyone. And we've be here before and we really are trying to protect something special. That neighborhood in Coral Gables to South University is special. It's we have a unit on one side and, and constantly on the other side. We know our neighbors remarkably well. We talk to our neighbors all the time, every day we talked to neighbors perhaps ten times a day. That's very unusual. But then we cross the street we have a lot of kids in the neighborhood, just crossing the street in South Side was becoming dangerous. Much less I mean, we are afraid to Cross University. There's not even a sign there for crossing the street there. We -- yeah, there's a stop sign. But it's not protected. We can't

There're single family residents, single family homes, small, single-family homes. So how does it make sense that our transitional building goes from fourteen floors or four floors, but single-family home a one floor single family home? That doesn't make sense to me and I'm getting very disappointed because I feel like the city has sewn in rules and regulations for a reason. And that is to protect the residents. I shouldn't have to be here How about at my daughter here? I should be playing with her shouldn't have to be here protecting where I live. I am terrified sometimes of crossing universities right. I am terrified of crossing Ponce de Leon the traffic is insane. And I can't even imagine what it's going to be like these tall buildings keep cropping up. Yes, we live close to downtown, but this is a transitional area. This is not downtown. Unless like I'm imagining maybe south of university that's also going to be high rises. I don't know what's happening. I mean, I'm confused. I feel under siege. I feel disappointed that our city is not protecting us. These people that are speaking in favor of the project. They don't live there. We live there. We live there. I ask you; you know I ask you, please take us into consideration. It makes me very sad that I have to move I'm going to have to leave Coral Gables because I

## Page <br> 45

cross university without some risks. And then we had this huge building already. The plaza is already going up. It's almost completed, but it's almost it's huge. Yours has been talked about a lot of nauseam. But it's a huge building and next to it crossing the street, single family homes what happened there and we're repeating this again. And then the other street the Gardena group is building another huge building there proposed there in the craft section. And that was a swap which we don't understand.

But please, enough -- what is happening here? Where is the ideal of city beautiful, where the residents really have a special place to live? This is not very cool. This is not Kendall. So, it's -- it -I don't know what to say. So please help us protect what's special, responsible growth we have to grow I understand that. But through responsible growth and try to protect the ideals of the city and really no building there. It can be qualified as beautiful when it's so tall so big that it doesn't respond to certain (unintelligible). Is it really a transitional area? Anything more than three four stories is too tall. And folks can make their money, their investment without being really so let's just be responsible and I appreciate your time. Thank you.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
MS. MENENDEZ: Hector Bae. Hector? McDonald West.

MR. MCDONALD WEST: Good evening, my name is McDonald West. I reside at 5325 Orduna Drive.

Beginning in 1999, I worked with Alan Morris and developing Alhambra Towers. In order to develop that building, we had to get many of the same approvals for that building that we're asking for today. We needed to vacate a right of way. We needed a part of it, we needed to change the future land use plan. We needed transferable development rights in order to build the building. And we also needed to get a mixed mixed-use permit to be able to build that building.

Many people consider that the iconic building in Coral Gables. What Alan is trying to do with this building is to build a similar iconic condominium building.

I would encourage you to seriously consider voting in favor of this project. Alan worked with the city of car Gables in order to make Alhambra Towers. And he's trying to do the exact same thing with these Ponce Park Residences. And I'm quite sure that if the City works with Alan, we'll be able to get a magnificent building on that corner. The Architectural Review Board has already unanimously voted in favor of
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away. Traffic has already gotten bad as many people have said, without the plaza being even eighty percent fully occupied. Please don't add more density, more traffic to an area that is already suffering very bad from what everyone seems to agree was a big mistake and allowing the scale, size, and scope of the plaza. Appealing to your sympathy or empathy to help us out. Let's keep this a residential area. Let them build what they can build as of right on that spot. You know, but this is stop downtown from encroaching onto our streets. When I bought my house. The Plaza didn't exist where the plaza is now. We're residential homes, and it's getting worse and worse. And please deny this project. Thank you very much.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
MS. MENENDEZ: Ryan Barakat. And then Ms. Thompson will follow up.

MR. BARAKAT: Good afternoon. My name is Brian Barakat. I live at 507 Bird Road. I also own a law firm at 2701 Ponce de Leon Boulevard, the AmTrust bank building right over here.

I live, work, and play in Coral Gables and have for the last 20 years. I try whenever possible not to leave the Gables. I don't put many miles on my car because I bike to work. I biked to work right
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1 through the San Sebastian neighborhood, which has 2 little to no traffic in the neighborhood. Of course, 3 on the one on June, there's traffic on concerts and 4 traffic in the neighborhood itself. I mean, I rarely 5 have to get out of the way of a car. I ride my bike 6 slowly about twelve miles an hour right past this 7 corner every day on the way to and from work. And it's 8 hideous. We live in the city beautiful we and when the 9 quick stop was there, it was ugly. Now that the quick 11

10 stop is gone. It's still ugly in a similar way that parking lots ugly. This is all right next to the courthouse. I'm a lawyer I go there frequently. And people that come to conduct business at that courthouse, you know view this corner as a -- as a breathing place and entryway into our city. And they come into the city beautiful, and they see this ugly point.

Through two real estate booms, nobody has gotten it together to beautify and improve this ugly corner. Now we are thankful, Mr. Morris, to build another gorgeous building at this place that can generally be considered the gateway in some ways to our communities. So, I support the project, and I hope the Commission does. Thank you.

MS. MENENDEZ: Dorothy Thomson. Following Ms.
it. The City Planning Department is recommending it. And I would encourage you to also approve and recommend this project. Thank you

MS. MENENDEZ: Robert Kirk? And following Mr. Kirk will be Brian Barakat.

MR. KIRK: Good evening, my name is Robert Kirk. I live on 117 Santander Avenue; I have the distinction of being the person that lives closest to this project of all the neighbors who have spoken.

I will tell you that my home life and our quality of life has already been adversely affected by the plaza. My Backyard faces the plaza, I already have the hum of the of the chillers or the ventilation fan to my backyard, it's no longer quiet at night. I have lights from the plaza that make it, so I no longer lead security lights in my backyard. The other day my wife and I were standing in our backyard, looking where this project we would be built. And we realized that 149 feet is going to block the sun in my backyard. When the sun starts to set.

I'm asking you to stop the insanity. We have already been adversely impacted. This is an insult. On top of injury. We have kids that live on our street, we have five school aged children. On San Sebastian, there are many schools aged children that one block

Thompson will be Maria Sosa.
MR. AIZENSTAT: Welcome back, Ms. Thomson
MS. THOMSON: Good evening to the Planning
Board Members. In the spirit of transparency, I will be I will say that I know some of you --

MR. AIZENSTAT: Can I ask you to state your name and address please.

MS. DOROTHY THOMSON: Yes. That I have served some years with the two members at the far right over here. Almost six years, with you Venny Torre on the Historic Preservation Board, delightful experience sitting next to you and deciding things like this TDRs and so forth for all those years. And then there's the other one --

MR. AIZENSTAT: Ms. Thompson, if I can ask for your address just for the Court Reporter, please.

MS. THOMSON: I'm sorry. Dorothy Thomson, 2600 Cordain Street.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
MS. THOMSON: And Chip Withers, served eight years with him sitting next to him on the City Commission All right.

MR. TORRE: (Inaudible.)
MS. THOMSON: I was going to say was read the life and we are barely I don't know so much with you.
spirit of liberty. I'm just saying this of course, but honestly, Pete, it was always with what color my nail polish. I shattered how he doesn't do good things to you, though does he was just a tenant that was? Well, anyway, in the spirit of transparency, I wanted to say that we did, sir. But I did not lobby them or hadn't spoken to them at all about this project. And also, them. I wanted to say this morning, I attended a very nice event was the Founders Day Memorial for George Merrick, the founder of a city was Merrick. And, you know, he was a developer. And developers get a lot of bad publicity and bad names. But he was our best developer. And if it wasn't really for him, use me. It wasn't for him. We wouldn't even be here tonight.

So, I want to say, developers, not all what are the people, you know, make them out to be. And as far as Allah Morris is concerned, he's the fine gentleman. He's also my son in law, marriage, my daughter, one of my daughters. And so, I want to make a few remarks on a very personal nature. I think that you will know that he's the builder of the -- excuse me at 201 The Alhambra hampered towers, he said to me, every other one, Alhambra towers, which is arguably the most beautiful building in the city of poor Gables with the exception of Biltmore Hotel. And speaking about

1 that, just in the aside, when I was mayor, was there the most cantankerous meetings I've ever had was with whether or not we would do anything with the Biltmore Hotel. And this whole this whole room is filled like it is tonight, with people opposing the Biltmore hotels renovation. Anyway, this proves how to exit moments in she said, if precedent I thought may were moments, I want to say that Alan Morris has come from a family of builders of developers. His father developed the first shopping center Northside Shopping Center here in Miami, as well as the Dadeland shopping center. All quality projects did very well there's still there has been a claim of ease in Maitland, Florida, one of his many projects around this known state for the whole country for the kinds of buildings he has put up in their cities. He's a quality developer, he's a quality individual. And I will say not too much more about him personally, because I think anyone who knows Alan Morris knows He's a gentleman he would never do. He's a hometown boy born and raised here in Coral Gables. He's not from outside the city of rock pines outside the state, he is a homebody boy. And he's not going to do anything, never has never will do anything that will harm the city, or the ambience of the city.

And to conclude my remarks, I'll say from the
other direction, when I was in the Commission, there were the TDRs came into play. And originally, they were in the downtown district solely. And they have burgeoned out somewhat. So, this is not setting a precedent with his TDRs. And bene you and I sat in Historic Preservation where we have made many cases come up on these TDRs. So, you know, this is not an unusual request. And as far as the TDR is concerned, that used to only go as far as Elvira to the south. And there may be exceptions to that, too. Well, once availability, the 201 Seville Avenue building, they extended it for that particular one more block. So, there's lots of precedents, and there's done for good reasons. And I trust the integrity of this Board. I certainly trust the integrity of Ella Morris. Obviously speak personally speaking, and there's no question about it. Anyone who does know Alan does know Alan would agree with me. And that's my remarks for this evening. Thank you all for serving.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
MS. MENENDEZ: Maria Sosa. Arjan Honderd will follow.

MS. SOSA: Hello, my name is Maria Sosa, I live at 116 San Sebastian Avenue. Thank you for
25 allowing me to speak to the Board.

1 families and small children. All the people that have 2 spoken here in favor to this project do not live in our 3 neighborhood. They do not know what we're going 4 through, they do not suffer the consequences that we 5 are suffering at the moment with the building of the 6 plaza, literal yet with the occupancy and the 7 businesses that the plaza and the traffic that that's going to bring to us. So, all those people don't know what we are going through. They don't they live very far away from our area. So, I just asked humbly asked for responsible development, no vacation of the alley, and no change from low rise to high rise is too much for tiny area where we have little children trying to play outside. Thank you so much for the opportunity.

MS. MENENDEZ: William Plaza will follow.
Emilio Miyares as well.
MR. HONDERD: Good evening, my name is Arjun Honderd. I'm a resident of 3100 Galiano Court. I'm a neighbor of Bob. So, if you go there, my house faces west. So basically, I'll be looking straight at the project from me from my place.

I agree with what's been said by Maria, just beforehand. Now people are saying that Mr. Morris is a great person, a good developer and all that. But nobody said something about the size of the project. I this is a new practice where developers come in with three times the size of the allowed project to then be denied, and come back and half, which is really double. So that we feel wow, this is a small project. Never was, you know, they started so big, by the time you reduce it, you're still at double the current code. So, I don't feel grateful for that. The issues are on rentals and condos. Someone mentioned before, that's exactly how the plaza pitch 10 Their project to the residents. It was all condos, and then we were down the line. Realize that that was not the case. It was all rentals. They only belongs to the residents and not to the developers nor to the Board or to the Commissioners. No. Nor to Kendall. It belongs to us. I don't know why it is so hard to listen to the residents and hear our cry for help. We're another downtown district. We are single family homes, with

I don't think we're here debating whether Mr. Morris is a good person or not. We all believe he is. This is purely a building code issue. Although it may seem that the proposal is smaller, I don't believe it . It's very far from that the project is twice as big hurt code, since the current height is seventyseven feet tall. And the proposed projects, it's at 149 feet tall, almost double the current code. I believe

## Page 56

wish we could stay just by what code allows seventy-seven feet. This afternoon, I talked to my neighbor next door. I used to work for the city, and we were looking at the Plaza. And he's like, oh, Harry, you know, you have to just think of how much your property has gone up in value. I say, Ed, we don't care about that. We live here. This is our place where we live. I don't care if it goes up. I just you know what a nice place to live. We moved in there about 12 years ago. It was a very nice neighborhood. You can hear the birds in the morning but foxes running around all kinds of nice stuff in the middle of the city. But now, I mean, I come home at night. It's dark, but it looks like a UFO has landed in my front yard. It's enormous. The lights are everywhere. And now they're going to put another building there. We're all for the development of that area there because it's you know; it's been basically nothing for a long time. But just stay with the seventy-seven feet allowed by code. We all be happy.

And I think as well, somebody else mentioned it that it was the lady with the baby. We need protection from the city against developers. What 24 happened with the Plaza? I mean, we were completely 25 caught off guard. We're not architects, we're not
developers. You know, some of us are lawyers. But you know, we need the city to protect us. Stuff like this. So, I hope you will do the right thing to stay within the seventy-seven feet with Moore's can build a very nice place. I'm sure everybody will be happy. Thank you very much.

MS. MENENDEZ: William Plaza, Emilio Miyares, Shelly Daniel, Sara Conde, those will be the following speakers.

MR. PLAZA: Good evening. My name is William Plaza. I reside at 55 Merrick Way and my business is located at 1701 Ponce de Leon.

I'm here to support the developments due to the great benefits that it brings to the community. Mainly for departments being built, which is we have a dire need or need for I live here with my three daughters. I actually own my own company. I do take the trolley to work. And I do work walked to work as well. I believe the development is a great addition to our city. And it will again bring great benefits to the need for more living spaces. Just to give you an idea I brought I brought my office from the growth to the Gables seventeen people, all of them, all of them are looking to move to Coral Gables. For that reason, half of them have already secured spaces. The other
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half it's extremely difficult due to the fact that
there's no availability of living spaces. So, I am here to support the development. And I hope you make the right decision. Thank you.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
MR. MIYARES: Emilio Miyares, 130 Madiera Avenue.

I'm here to support the project. I think I followed a lot of the developments here in the city. I've been in the city for over 20 years. And I have a small CPA firm right off the ponds I think is going to beautify that corner and bring great amenities to that area to support the project. Thank you,

MS. MENENDEZ: Shelley. Then Sara Conde.
MS. RUTHERFORD: Okay, where to begin. Hi, Shelly Daniel Rutherford, 3720 Grenada Boulevard. And it's a pleasure to be here.

I have worked with you all a few times on previous projects because I don't like to like to stand out in the limelight. But you we had problems beginning the Coral Gables Museum, bam, it went through and it's awesome for the city beautiful. We had problems doing the youth center with the light. Bam, it has been incredible for our city. And I was dealing with Ralph Sanchez, (indiscernible) Georgia, America's
original design over there, which was incredible. And it's grown because people need a place to stay. And everybody wants to live in the city beautiful.

Something's going to happen. Because people want to live here. You might as well have somebody that is working with you all suggestion I zoomed in two years ago, when Mr. Morris was denied his presentation. And now what I read in the papers, etc. He's done everything. He's complied with everything y'all wanted to do. It sounds to me from the neighbors. I really feel bad for you.

MR. AIZENSTAT: If you could please, Ms. Rutherford --

MS. RUTHERFORD: -- for 69 years I've lived here, and I've seen it grow up and I've seen a lot my friends move away to St. Augustine and North Carolina, but this city is beautiful, it's going to happen. Let's do it respectably. Let's do it with George Merricks designs and try to work together. Maybe it's only my own opinion, maybe broaden that University Drive. And I was under the impression that we're planning a beautification problem there. Project there. Let's do it nicely. Without getting too big. Keeping the coral rock to keep us the city beautiful. I think if someone's going to do it, and they're going
to do it, we might as well have it. Beautifully done. Thank you.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
MS. MENENDEZ: Sara Conde.
MS. CONDE: Hi, I'm Sara Conde. I'm from 228 Alesio Avenue.

I've been living here for 25 years. I wanted to know, can you speak, and I wanted to note that the people in support of the project have businesses in the area. They don't live there. I live there. The whole area is changing. It's not good for our residences. It's not good for our quality of life. And nobody that's supporting it lives in art. And I just feel that I understand that the developer is a quote Every developer, and my hat's off to him. And I really would like him to do that quality development within the current zoning, just the way that I found it when I bought my house 25 years ago. Thank you.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you. So, in room speakers, do we have anybody else?

MR. WINKER: I have -- I ask your indulgence. I have one more letter from someone who couldn't be here. Would it be okay to read in?

MR. AIZENSTAT: Mr. Collier?
MR. COLLIER: The council can forward the
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1 letter to the -- to the Clerk. Well, that the letters are actually not considered substantial, competent evidence. They're not testified to. So --

MR. AIZENSTAT: And the gentleman already had his turn.

MR. COLLIER: Yes. So, you're welcome to forward it to the Clerk and you can send it to them.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Please understand if -- if that would be the case, and everybody else should have the right to do the same.

MR. WINKER: Thank you.
MR. COLLIER: So, you can get with Jill and have her have it transmitted as far as part of the record.

Just one other, if there concluded one other housekeeping measure with regard to registering as a lobbyist it was raised earlier. There's an exception for lobbyists, which says the following persons are specifically excluded from the definition of lobbyists and shall not be subject to the requirements of this section and under subsection A, any representative individuals corporation or other entity solely during a public hearing on a quasi-judicial matter, that is an exception under the lobbying rule.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Jill, we have some more

## speakers on Zoom?

MS. MENENDEZ: Yes, we currently have three speakers on Zoom. Javier Banos.

One moment, Mr. Banos, go ahead now.
MR. BANOS: Thank you very much for -- yes, can hear me? Thank you very much to the Board Members, and to all the residents that showed up today to speak.
And I can tell you I've not every door of the neighbors that are directly affected by this project, everyone from university all the way south, to tuba road, that no one's to a single person wants his project to go through. This project is done in a vacuum. As everyone has said, As Maria very eloquently put forward, you're talking about this project more than the town, it's going to have significant density in entropy, you have the garbage going into that is going to be fully staffed, and he's going to have four resumes once he's done, and they're going to be in a project going up, we have a new project going up south of Bird Road, near Merrick park, you have the projects that are going north from what used to be the craft section. So, residents, now it's going to be a multiphase -- area.

You know, I wish Allen would have been able to do the mobility hub, because that is another

I'll go to the next speaker, Dr. Gordon.
MS. CARBONELL: Hello.
DR. SOKOLOFF: Yes. Hello.
MS. CARBONELL: This is Karolia Carbonell.
MR. AIZENSTAT: Okay, let's let Miss
Carbonell and then we'll take Dr. Gordon.
Go ahead, please.
MS. CARBONELL: Okay, thank you. Thank you so much. I'm calling -- we -- as president of the Historic Preservation association of Coral Gables, we have been tracking the development --

MR. AIZENSTAT: If you could state your name and address, please for the record.

MS. CARBONELL: Yes, Karelia Carbonell, 532 Altera Avenue.

I'm calling just because we have been as a -as a Preservation Society, we have been tracking this project because of the fact that there are some historic implications. Within the project. Back in October of last year, the Historic Preservation Board denied both the vacating of the alley and the encroachment of University Drive. So, I don't understand why this is an issue or an item once again, when the Historic Preservation Board which is a Quasi-Judicial Board, and I don't understand why I keep

1 increase in density in our downtown. He's a good, he's 2 a good developer, he's done great work from the city, 3 there's nothing there's no judgment on him. But I just 4 project is louder than a vacuum. He will, as a doctor 5 said, create a wall from university all the way north.
6 And it will create a barrier it will increase the 7 density but also, there's no tape forever. There's no 8 paper in between the downtown and the residential area. 9 And it will be an encroachment on the residential area
who wants to build it within code that requires a vacation of or the alley in order to build it within the code. So, you can we can certainly take that into consideration. But I don't think that these neighborhoods deserve this. They have already been significantly affected negatively affected by the impact of a rabid project. This would as be said before adding insult to injury. I would recommend that none of us have this project and we deny it even though it has been modified from what it was before the modifications or not to the satisfaction of what was (inaudible).

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you sir.
MS. MENENDEZ: Karelia Carbonell.
Ms. Carbonell, can you open your mic please?
Ms. Carbonell?

1 hearing a quasi-judicial procedure here because my 2 understanding this Board is an Advisory Board. So


#### Abstract

was all kinds of concessions, but it's a commercial district, this is a neighborhood, and you listen to the neighbors and the neighbors are against a project of the size. So, keep it within the code, keep it at seven stories don't use public land, that land is protected. And it has already been denied by the Historic Preservation Board back in October of 2021. And your Board also denied recommending the project. So why are we again here with a very slight, I guess, alteration to the plan, when all these things, you know, specifically these out the alley and the university, because those are public, and those are protected. And so please take into consideration that those have been denied. Thank you.


MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
MS. MENENDEZ: Dr. Gordon.
DR. SOKOLOFF: Yes, hello. I would like to just make a few points. There are so many excellent --

MR. AIZENSTAT: Could you state your name and address for the record?

DR. SOKOLOFF: Yep, sorry. Gordon Sokoloff, 25 Alessio Avenue.

I live in this area, I live very close to where the project is being proposed against the project as proposed, I believe it's going to diminish what's
most sacred to the people who live here, which is the quality of life, it's going to increase the density. And again, as I used to say, on the Transportation Board, the streets aren't going to widen. So, the traffic is only going to get worse in that area. I empathize with my fellow residents, the ones that even live closer to it. And I wish that you as Board Members, but sometimes, maybe try to put yourself in their shoes. And imagine I noticed that everyone who gave an address on they stated their name and address if it wasn't in the area, I just kind of knew well, they're going to speak in favor of it as because they don't live there. And if you live right there, you're going to be severely impacted as these people that have been severely impacted already by the plaza and it hasn't even opened yet. No one is arguing Mr. Morris's reputation, or that he builds beautiful buildings. He does. And the beautiful one is in Alhambra is smack in the middle of downtown. No one's asking him not to build it. Everyone is asking him to build it to code. And these are codes by the way, which are there to be enforced by you by your panel. That's why they're there.

And, you know, we just had the zoning code rewrite. You know, why even rewrite it if you're not going to abide by it? It is not standing by the codes
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1 or upholding the codes, which allows the city of Coral

Gables and Boards like yours and the Commission, like we have to turn their heads to one another and say, how did the plaza get here? I don't know. Well, this is your moment of truth. This is the time where you can enforce the codes as written as determined by Boards before yours and enforce it like everyone else has to abide by it. Seventy-seven feet, I'm sure Mr. Morris can build a beautiful project that this is a moment of truth where you yourself can forward this thing and stick to the code as written. I hope that you do for the sake of the residents that live there. And I wish you would put yourself in other shoes. Thank you.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. Do we have anybody else show?

MS. MENENDEZ: We actually have three more speakers. Lisa Maroon?

MS. MAROON: Oh, yes. Hi, I'm Lisa Maroon. I reside at 608 Cadagua Avenue. I was born and raised in Coral Gables. Thank you for allowing me to speak.

My mother, Shirley Maroon and Roxy Bolton have been fighting these issues for decades. And here we are still pleading with the Board asking the city not to vacate alleys, and not to give away our land. And we're asking that the ones who want to come in and
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build buildings, just they have to, they should be having to just go by code. And that's where the city comes in to protect the residents. I also have a commercial building right near there at 31 at Ponce de Leon Boulevard. It's a family owned, and I am a commercial owner. And I am still opposed to this building. And it needs to be scaled back. And really, the fact that you're changing zoning is another problem that we keep facing. That's a low-rise zoned area right now, it should only be fifty feet. And now here we are changing zoning laws, and then we're vacating alleys, and then we're giving med bonuses. So, all these things add up to very poor quality of living. And you know, all these people that are there, I feel very bad that they live so close to that.

And also, just remember this could happen to any one of us at any time in the city if this continues to be allowed. Please vote no on this. And I agree with everyone that has spoken against this project. And it really needs to we really need to be heard this time. And the fact that the plaza got built is horrifying. I don't know how that was ever allowed. And it's just it's very sad. And I hope we don't repeat the same mistakes. Thank you.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you.

MS. MENENDEZ: Ibrahim Barakat.
MR. BARAKAT: My name is Ibrahim Barkat and I reside at 4510 Santa Maria Street.

I've been a resident for the Gables for last twelve years or so I've grown up in Miami, my whole life. I'm speaking in support of the project because I think it's a well thought out project. It's something that's smaller than several buildings in the area. The area does have several sizable buildings already. And if you look at Ponce de Leon as a street, a lot of it is commercial already. And I think that this project is a natural outgrowth of the way that the street has been developing from miracle mile to the south. I think for a lot of citizens of Coral Gables, including myself, we're excited about the plaza development. And for the development of Ponce de Leon as a whole.

It's going to be, once it's done, it's going to be really nice to have other options for entertainment in the Coral Gables area so that we don't have to leave to go to Brickell or Downtown or South Beach right now we just kind of go to Miracle Mile. And it's nice that there's going to be more than just Miracle Mile available to us. I think it's going to add a vibrancy to the area that's needed. And I think it's being built on a lot that's just completely
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MS. MENENDEZ: Yes. Can you state your name and address please?

MR. HAYS: Yes, thank you. Yeah. David Hays, 300 Fluvia Avenue.

Yes, I have concerns about this project, I think that the development of the plaza is going to bring a lot of, you know, added density, and, and traffic and people in the area. And we're waiting to see the effects of that. But you know that project is already done. And I hopefully there are a lot of cool things that we can go to there and so forth. But my concern is here is you already have the plaza already has, you know, above standard height. Across from that you have the regents bank building on the west side of constantly on Boulevard, then going south, you have the Regency Coral Gables, which already tapers down to around seven floors. And then, you know, let's keep that tapering down on this side, then to pop back up to a mega story of building there would really be awkward, I mean, in keeping with the, the building and the zoning there, it actually would fit in much better at this corner to stick down to the seventy-seven feet because it would fit in with the tapering down effect that's already occurring on this side of Pontes. And really just it's this project, even at the seventy-

1 underdeveloped. And, you know, while anyone can 2 sympathize with some of the residents that have already 3 spoken and have expressed their fears, I think a lot of 4 those fears are really driven by, you know, the plaza 5 itself. I think whatever this building adds would, would probably just be incremental to that.

But irrespective of that, I think when the decision is made, you have to think about all of the Coral Gables residents, not just the ones that live in the closest proximity to the space. If we only took into consideration what the residents in the immediate area felt, we wouldn't have any schools, the U-center, or any other public amenities, because everybody subscribes to NIMBY, you know. And if you get that George Carlin reference, I applaud you. But NIMBY means not in my backyard. And unfortunately, you know, NIMBY just doesn't work for a city as a whole.

The city's growing and we need more residential space. So, I think the project should move ahead because the building fits well in the area. It's a beautiful design. And it's being built by a highly respected developer. Thank you.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
MS. MENENDEZ: David Hays. David Hays?
MR. HAYS: Can you hear me?

## 2
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seven feet is going to add a lot of density a lot more -- a lot more residents. it's going to generate a lot more traffic. it's already difficult for me. I ride the trolley sometimes to get or go to downtown or to go to the metro station. It's already getting more difficult to cross paths with the extra volume of traffic even now and then the plaza hasn't even opened. so, I mean, more density means more cars, more traffic.

I mean, what do we -- kind of trying to turn this Ponce quarter into another Brickell? I mean it's it makes all sense there I'm not against any development. Just stay within the zoning. That side naturally is tapering down anyway, as you move to the south, if you're at all allow you know a really super tall structure to their way it wouldn't fit in with what's happening on that side of ponds anyway. It's already tapering down it would just stick out like a sore thumb in there. It would cause like a bottleneck effect there visually anyway, with the with the plaza project that's on the other side of Ponce, which sort of stands is like a kind of a pinnacle crowning jewel right there. So really, I mean, I, you know, you can allow IT development there but don't go over the seventy-seven feet. That's, that's what I would.
That's what I applied for. And I, you know, it really,

> I hear people talking about, oh, all the residents of Coral Gables, you know, everyone that lives around here, have to live around and deal with the additional density that is right here. And, you know, it's not fair to us. I've been here 20 years, and I didn't buy into have these mega developments creeping closer and closer to my house and affecting my way of life. You know, if I wanted more and more density like that, I would move to Brickell. So, I mean, just please just stay within the zoning that is now.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
Jill, any more speakers?
MS. MENENDEZ: No more speakers.
MR. AIZENSTAT: At this time, I'd like to go ahead and close for public comment.

Mr. De Yurre?
MR. DE YURRE: Yes. If I can have the original presentation we had. Mr. Torre, what -- what does your schedule look like right now?

MR. TORRE: 10-minutes
MR. DE YURRE: 10 minutes?
MR. AIZENSTAT: Jill, are we waiting for the presentation -- there

MS. MENENDEZ: There it is.
MR. DE YURRE: You go to the first slide.

Almost there. There you go. Okay, thank you very much.
There's a lot to unpack here. And, you know, in a typical environment like this, it the word tension was used. And so, I'm going to reference it because there's always this tension of the law. And the recommendation, and this substantial, competent evidence that's established by staff by reviewing a project, and then the once. And it's a very difficult task that you have before you as members, you have to understand that we have a recommendation, that recommendation did not drop out of the sky, that recommendation occurred because of these changes. And I heard words that I was very surprised to hear, because many of the folks that you heard from, and to be honest with you, I don't, I don't want to call them out by name. But they've had many meetings. And this didn't happen by accident. The developer didn't go and create these concessions by accident.

Number -- all these things are numbered, because these are the numbers of importance from the people in the neighborhood, in particular, the members of the Santander block, which are immediately south of the plaza, east of Ponce de Leon, almost everyone you heard from here that's in the area lives on that block. And I understand they've been they've been impacted by
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1 the plaza. It's a million square foot project. We're
want wrote fer. We took it out. They wanted a reduction of density number two and went from 161 units to eighty. Those of you that are professional architects in the real estate industry. No, this is not a small task, reduction of square footage significantly. From our original plan that we have now it's five stories across the street in the plazas, a little-known fact, the tallest component of it is 297 feet overall in height. We're at 149. That's half the height of that tower. We're also half the height of the region's tower. There's another not half the height of the region's tower 40 to 50 feet below the region's tower that predated we're 40 to 50 feet below the tower that predated the plaza. We're also going to be 40 to 50 people or whatever, to the south of us zone 490 feet.

So, we are well within the context of the neighborhood pursuant to not just me telling you this, but the professional recommendation of staff and that's the law component that creates the conflict with the ones the luxury condo number five was requested of us specifically by the neighbors. They said the rent rentals we don't want cheap rental product. We want
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1 you to build the highest quality product possible. I've 2 never seen them before, and the client did it. They moved into condo because they thought that that would help their property values. And then lastly, number six, and it's in priority, of course, neighbors felt that the amount of parking would create more traffic, we really gutted the parking that we had in the project by almost one hundred units.

I wanted to give that as a point of reference, because that really is while we're back here, and that really is why we got the recommendation from staff. It was no small task, and it wasn't easy. And it wasn't due to a conspiracy theory. Because Mr. Ramon tree is no longer the one on city staff. Okay, this was a lot of work to get it here. And I'll only say the following. Because I have to say to for the record, and I understand your timeframes, but substantial, competent evidence means the department's recommendation, together with the testimony of the Department representative, you heard today alone constitute substantial, competent evidence to support the approval. What doesn't support substantial competent evidence? And just to cite the case for reference as village a Palmetto Bay versus Palmetto Trinity, and 128 southern third 19 It's Florida Third

1 District DCA, what doesn't constitute competent, 2 substantial evidence. I'll reference city Apopka vs. 3 Orange County, which is a Fourth DCA case. City Apopka 4 is I'm just going to read straight from the case, 5 because it's the case speaks for itself.
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## some new controversies doesn't exist you.

I thank you very much for your time. And I leave you to the difficult task, as I said before, between the substantial competent evidence that's already been established, or the staff recommendation, that did not happen by accident, it was really significant concessions with the neighbors. And the comments are made today, which were opinion based. And I thank you very much for your time.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I'd like to open it up for Board Comments. Venny, would you go first because I know your time is limited?

MR. TORRE: I'm actually, I mean, it's I really need answers from staff because I'm confused about couple of photos. The stippling I think I saw it in some cases in some cases out maybe I'm reading it incorrectly and I wanted to hear the staffs thought on the slip lane or at least --

MS. CABRERA: My understanding, it's remaining.

MR. TORRE: It's remaining? Because I see it with a park, and I saw without. I wasn't sure which one it was.

MS. CABRERA: The Historic Preservation Board wanted this -- the lane to remain. Public Works does

1 are not considered competent, substantial evidence. 2 That's Pollard at 560, southern second 1358 for a 3 fourth DCA, and I promised this would be the last one 4 I'll leave you with by Florida law is very clear that 5 property owners don't have a right to a view. This is 6 settled Seminole law, number of cases over the years 7 have replied on it. It's Fontainebleau, but 8 (unintelligible).

I gave the binder to the clerk with all these cases, to add to the record. And that's really about the context and character, you have a very difficult task, because you have a substantial, competent evidence it was already entered by staff, you have the law telling you what you can and cannot take into consideration. And you have a tension between the two between the wants of the neighbors. And the law that was already expressed by a staff. The Alley doesn't belong to the residents. It's an interest requirement and municipality that's generally held in the nature of an easement. We own both sides of the alleyway, folks, never as has been controversial, the city of Coral Gables, such a small ally, when you own both sides, it doesn't even serve back at house any longer. It is indiscernible if you drive through this lot, and it's being weaponized against us to try to create and twist
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not like this -- the slip lane.
MR. TORRE: Is it an either-or situation? That's not just --

MS. CABRERA: Hence the million dollars that will go to some improvement in that area. Do you want to add something, Hermes?

MR. DIAZ: Hermes Diaz, Public Works Director.

Generally speaking, slip lanes are not conductive to (inaudible) or crossing and obviously believe that he was on nature. So, our preference will be that this live slipping is removed.

MS. CABRERA: Right. So, you're looking at a Public Works point of view on this versus a Historic Preservation point of view on this. Give me more anything.

MR. DE YURRE: If I could talk to that point. Two seconds.

MR. AIZENSTAT: I'd like to hear -- just Venny has to go soon. I will let -- talk on the point. Is there any other comment that you'd like to make? We welcome it.

MR. TORRE: I think the eighty unit is a plus. And I think having high you know, high worth condos. I think that's a very positive thing for the
downtown versus having a lot more apartments. And I think the weighing here is that the density? Whether you do it at 77? Or do it at higher heights, you're still going to have the same mass. The question is, what can you fit into mass in what the best use of that mass is, which in this case is 4.375 times the area, basically, you're going to get to the same number of square feet to be built.

To me, use what is the best way to use the square footage that some developer is going to max out anyway. I think that the high-end units for this area is the best and highest best use of the product that you can get here. So, I think that's a very good thing. And I think ad units very make some very small impact on the community as a whole. So, I think if you're going to look at impact, that's the best way you can impact the area, that's the lowest impact you can get in the best way you can impact areas to get those high-net-worth units to happen. Again, the many other ways could be developed. And I think that as I see this in this way, that that was a happy thing for me to keep missing the slip lane. I you know, I know that the decision. But that was my other concern.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Mr. De Yurre, you want to --
MR. DE YURRE: we're completely agnostic in
regard to this. So, plan will do whatever they said he wants, it's complete that says direction and taking whatever and put it once were here, completely agnostic to that. And we've handed that over to the city. But we are still going to provide the improvements. Ultimately, the park because of the meeting with the neighbors, they said don't use it, but still give us the improvements. So, thank you.

MR. TORRE: without getting into the details of it, what I'm hearing is there's a lot of impact to the community or to the to the residents into the area. And go back to saying the smallest impact you can get is the smallest number of units, the best quality type of units. If you're going to get anything else this would be to me the optimal use of the space. And I need to get on a flight to I need to leave I apologize.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Thank you for coming.

MR. DE YURRE: Are we going to vote on it with Mr. --

MR. AIZENSTAT: No, we're still discussing.
He has to leave, and he won't be able to vote on it.
MR. DE YURRE: Thank you.
MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
MS. CABRERA: We're just having discussion.
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MS. MIRO: I don't think I have any
questions. I've heard a lot. And I remember this project from when we heard it before. I do want to acknowledge Mr. Morris; I've never had the pleasure of meeting you. I've heard wonderful things. And my colleagues have said up here about you and I don't doubt anything. Your mother-in-law came to speak on your behalf. So, I think that says a lot.

But notwithstanding I have -- I do remember this project the last time it came before us and I do remember that there were meetings, and I'm not sure if it was, I also heard Mr. David winker mentioned another, another Morris Spencer Morris, I don't know who wasn't had a meeting with a community. But I do remember that it was maybe two or three meetings that that was stated was with the developer themselves, and that none of the concessions were made, that were asked for and I know now that there's several concessions that have been made. I'm not I'm not exactly certain from what I hear. And based on what the code is, that it's enough. Just based on some of the comments I heard, and, you know, there's other concerns as well. I think that when we're talking about the plaza project that everybody keeps on mentioning here, and what a big project it was.

And, you know, so many times I have sat up here, everybody's asking and, you know, I've even asked myself, how did this happen? And I think it happens incrementally one meeting after another when we asked for these changes and I think that also one of the concerns I have that I wanted to put on the record is when you talk about high rises and our Police and Fire, whenever somebody spoke about police and fire and the fact that our Fire Department is understaffed, and that we haven't added one fire fighter in the last thirty years, so yes, every time a firefighter retires, another one is replaced. But we've never had an increase in the last thirty years. And when you consider, you know, for those of you who said, you've lived here a long time when you consider the amount of growth that we've seen over the last 20/30 years, that -- that that shouldn't be, and I think that that causes a -- it poses a danger for those who live in in high rises.

I also want to address, I'm not going to address them by name, because I don't remember everybody, but I do have my notes. I did hear a lot of people who spoke on behalf of the project, there was a realtor who said that there was, you know, a lot of people that are moving into the area from the outside.

1 And I just wanted to say that my concern is for us. 2 And I tell us because I'm a resident of Coral Gables.

For those of us who are impacted those who have a score already here, I've only been here 17 years. I think that's nothing in comparison to some of the years that some of the folks that have spoken today have mentioned. But I think it's important to say we need to care about the residents and what they think. And again, I wanted to say to Mr. Morris, I think that you've done a great job, I think that you've been a wonderful community partner in so many ways. And I don't doubt that the work that you do is quality, or the work that you will be doing is quality. I just want to look at him really quick. That's okay. I do know, you know, from what I've seen it from what I've heard And I think that I'm just leaning towards, you know, somebody else said, one of the other speakers have spoken in favor of this said about that corner just being blight, and how ugly it was. And there used to be a quick stop there. And all this other stuff, I still think that we can, and that Mr. Morris even can put a beautiful project there. But that it can be more consistent with what the zoning code is asking for. And I do say I did hear Mr. De Yurre, your comments, I always love to hear your presentations. I think
they're on point. And I saw, you know, the lowering the units by half and the density, and also what my colleague Venny Torre said, but at the same time, when you when you look at that concession, yes, it's a big concession. But when you look at what the code is asking for what you're asking for, it's just such a huge number. And I'm not against development. I think there was a Ms. Rutherford that spoke, and she was talking about, you know, she kept saying it's going to happen, it's going to happen. And I think what she meant by that, or I'd like the way I interpreted is that we're going to grow, we're going to evolve, we're going to evolve focus on Santander, San Sebastian, we're going to grow, it's going to happen. Yes, it is. We're going to evolve. But we'd like to hope that that evolution takes place within the code. And if you came to me, and I'll be very, you know, transparent about that, and you said, okay, the code calls for seventy-seven, you're asking for 87.90. I can consider that. But close to double? It just causes concern, because I feel as someone who has knocked on the doors of this community and has gotten to know what the neighbors have to say about what's been happening, development wise in our community, I feel that this community has not healed from the plaza
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project and is still very, something that was a very soft spot.

Somebody else said, I think it was Mr. Barakat, I think that he said something about that if he feels that the community, he might have the fear that they have is driven by the plaza. Yes. It's driven by the plaza. Absolutely. So, you know, and the other thing that that I take note was one of the first speakers and that was Ms. Maria Cruz when she talked about the staffs report four days before. I think that as a city, being the city beautiful, being the most affluent city south of Manhattan, we should do better for our community. we should do better. we should be able to present the city's position into a point where there's time to look at it and say, you know, this is good, or this makes sense. If it doesn't make sense. And that you're not doing it when you're scrambling to figure out if, oh, my goodness, they're doing it again, or this is going to happen again.

The other thing that I thought was interesting, that kind of concerned me was the traffic study. So, when you talk about how did these things happen? And was there a traffic study? Yes. Was this happened? Did that happen? And all the answers are yes. But when you have a traffic study in the middle
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1 of a pandemic, when nobody's out driving, can you really 2 rely on that traffic study? Can you really say that 3 that is that you've done your due diligence? And I 4 would, you know, argue that no, that you haven't um, 5 And I'm trying to just go through, you know, some of my 6 notes here. And again, when we talk about Mr. Usury 7 was talking about, you know, what we can consider and 8 what we can't consider you know, legally or what the 9 law asks you to consider from the comments that were

25 appreciate, again, Mr. Morris that you have, you're a
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visionary man. But we have to be considerate about not just one person's vision or one developer's vision, but also, you know, the communities that are going to be living in the shadows of those visions, and what how are they impacted? How have they already been impacted? I just think -- I think it was maybe too soon. Again, we haven't seen the real impact of that Plaza and some of all these other developments that are haven't been vacated. Like I speak and they're not -there's no vacancy, there's, they're still vacant, there's people are not living in them yet, we still don't know the full effect. So those are some of the concerns that I hear. And that are, you know, on my mind here, as we get ready to consider the items.

Also, I thought it was interesting that Mr.
Torre brought up the order of things. And, you know, in hearing the comments that if one thing doesn't happen, the whole thing doesn't happen. And so those are things that I'm listening to. And again, I just want to say that every time I come here, I've been serving on this Board for about a little over a year, I never come with my mind made up, I always come with an open mind to listen and hear both sides. And so, you know, thank you for everyone who has come and has helped, you know, educate me on how they are impacted.

And, you know, and also the efforts by the applicant and the explanation the applicant has given I appreciate that. Thank you.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Just hold your applause please.

MR. DIAZ: Please, yes, I'm sorry, I would like to make some clarification with traffic study, the original traffic study was done around November 2020. And the data was during the pandemic, however, they actually looked at data prior to the pandemic, and the developer picking factor to normalize the data to pre pandemic levels. And that's what we'll use for the traffic study.

That being said, the revised project, the threshold for the next trip is actually below the threshold where traffic study wouldn't be required. So, a traffic study self was not updated with a new project, because the code no longer requires was below 50. The change in (inaudible) is below 50. So, the traffic study was not required, right?

MR. WITHERS: Well, can I add -- do those numbers include projections from the building across the new building.

MR. DIAZ: So, the original traffic study was pretty.

MR. WITHERS: I mean, they read the recalculated numbers, they include the building, everybody they didn't do a new traffic study did a new trip generation Reporting. So didn't include any of the traffic generated from it that didn't obey the traffic study, because on there, the current code if you have less than 50 trips, or that kind of generator is not required. So, what is in the box is the old traffic for the previous development. So, no updates were done. Because it didn't include any of the projections from the --

MR. DIAZ: No.
MR. WITHERS: No -- it's just a strictly a trip generation reporter for the new build.

MS. CABRERA: I just want to clarify that this staff report -- and I just -- I just want to get some my background. I'm an engineer. I'm not a planning person, but I'm an engineer. And I like to deal with facts. Things to me are sometimes very black and white. And I listened to everything that everybody's saying here, and I understand, and I go and ask my city planner, you know, why do we have to go through this process like what's going on?

So as for the staff report, not be ready
until Friday -- published until Friday. That's how
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1 they do every project. I just verified that it has 2 nothing to do with Ramon, or anything else. In fact, I 3 don't know if Mr. Morris even knows who I am. Other 4 than that, I introduced myself here today because I have 5 never met Mr. Morris --- or Anthony -- do not you 6 worry. On this project, I strictly went with the 7 recommendation that was prepared by staff under Ramon's 8 direction and gave my input on what I thought was 9 better for the city and we're going to get a park, I 10 want a park by a certain date, I don't want to leave it 11 open ended. So, there's things like this.

And as what when I hear that the staff recommends Staff recommends, the code requires that we provide a recommendation. But it's not that we are endorsing any particular project or any particular developer. I hear the snickering. And it's kind of sad, because I'm really speaking very truthfully, I am not a political person, I am a very like, you know, honest person, or probably say what I shouldn't say sometimes. But, you know, that's the facts, I don't think that I for sure, I have no interest in it being, you know, Mr. Morris, or whatever. And I just want to make it clear that when we give a recommendation, it's a start, it's a requirement of the code. But it's not an endorsement, there is a difference.

MS. MIRO: I just want to say I didn't take it as an endorsement. And my comments were that we could do better, you just said, you know, they're all the projects come the reports come out three or four days? Well, I personally don't think that's acceptable. You know, just because that's where we've always done something doesn't mean it can't be revisited. And so that's why I was just saying that I think we could do better. So that, that, that our residents can have more time to kind of, you know, not having the expertise that, you know, that you guys have on, you know, as architects, engineers, you know, these are lay people. And I just was reiterating that, you know, I never alluded to any relationship or any, you know, knowledge or I'm just saying that that's what our community deserves is more time.

MR. AIZENSTAT: I think that would be more in line with a precedent or more in line with a course of action as to how it needs to work. And not specifically just to one project.

Louis?
MR. REVUELTA: I've been quizzing myself, on how to analyze this, and I asked myself, if this project was seventy-seven feet high, it would lose seven floors, or you lose forty-nine units, I think
seven units per floor. And that's one hundred cards, more or less. And I don't think under cars less is going to make a spike, any traffic study that anybody can do is going to make a big difference.

On the product side, I do believe that I have seen, to my surprise, people from out of South Florida are willing to be on property nowadays, going from this country and out of the country. And when they buy a property at a certain price, these developers are asking me and I'm sure other architects maximize whatever you and this project. And its current zoning classification, I wouldn't be surprised that Mr. Boise didn't develop this, somebody's going to come this project, this parcel of land will get developed. And whoever comes to my say, you know what, I'm just going to put an office building here. And that's going to bring more traffic, or want to put your rentals and you know, what I think was the one rental, but I can put rentals and that's going to bring more traffic.

I, since the very beginning, when I saw this project first. And for the record, I don't want to annoy anybody, but it's driving me nuts. That lot thirty-four to the south, the closest one to the family, single family is actually zoned high density. To me, this is I have no idea why when we talk about the code and the land use plan, this piece of property sandwich.

To the south, to the north, and to the east, by high density, zoning classification. When I looked at the plans, and this must have been a mistake, I think I remember asking, you know, we don't know what have I have yet to find? Why this piece of property here was left out the way it is right now. So, when you talk about following the code, I am sorry, as an architect, the (unintelligible) being made the way it -- is this correct? Or was this a mistake? It was at that point on the basis of you got to follow the code. Anybody made a mistake in the land use plan, or the zoning classification. Then we're starting kind of crooked. And I'm saying at seventy-seven feet, let's say that you do that. You're going to dock with seven less floors, potentially an office building or rental with a lot more traffic and this property is to the north of all the singles were in the northern hemisphere.

That gentleman was saying I want to get a shot on my bike dark, depending on where he is that I didn't catch where exactly where is the sun will hit in winter the worst possible time at his lowest point, the

1 longest shadow, which is going to cast it east once and 2 east of ones we have the famous Plaza and Malaga I, I 3 have been surprised the first time and this time now. 4 I don't believe I heard anybody from Malaga complaining 5 about this, if I lived in Malaga, I might have been 6 thrown in jail completely. I haven't seen anybody from 7 Malaga. I drive by the way you said visited the site, 8 maybe I should have mentioned that I drive through here 9 twice a day. In the morning because I live in 10 Castillo, I cut across to the whatever I can cross, depending on the traffic only do I pay my way to lunch. And then I drive south and ponds to work. And I go to my office and when I come back. I called them. According to Google Drive, I then take Malaga. And a lot of times, I make a right in Malaga. Just because I have tremendous discomfort continuing Malaga. And at this time of my life, mending my neck to see if somebody's coming in from the one way of university to drive. It's dangerous to me, I -- if this project was two stories high, I would be asking Public Works in the city close that off shoot of university, right? I don't care who gets the benefit of it. And I think the little island there is an eyesore.

So, I am not in agreement of any city giving away a property for free. I believe that the alley

1 it's relevant, or effective. This project was three 2 stories -- I will be advocating and voting for in favor 3 of vacating the alley. So, when I put everything on a 4 balance here, why would make a difference for me to 5 vote against the project at 149 feet, and voting yes, 6 for a project and seventy-seven feet. And I don't find 7 that many compelling reasons. Not to vote in favor of a project that it's seven stories high, it's got to fiftyone units.

But I can tell you that any developer that goes in and says, you know what, I got to go to fifty units, I wouldn't be surprised he walks, who's coming behind him. An office building developer, a rental building developer, and there's probably a line of people that will be willing to do that. So, again, forgive me if I'm rambling. And but you know, I'm trying to analyze this from both sides. And I don't find a lot of compelling reasons to recommend to the City Commission to deny this project. So those are my thoughts.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip?
MR. WITHERS: First of all, I know I'm going to upset some people tonight, and they're friends of mine on my comments. But um, I've known Alan for fifty years. And he's, I think one of the finest individuals
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1 definition of an open space is because from what I
understand the alley vacation which by the way, I don't have a problem with alley vacations when an owner owns both sides of the property, and there's no other use and the city signs off on it Public Works signs off on it, fire signs off on it, please signs off on it. I really don't have with an alley vacation. But in this case, I have a question. If I understand they have a ten percent public open space requirement on our zoning code is that -- is that -- what the requirement? Is it ten percent?

MS. CABRERA: City planners, check to find out what the percentage is.

MR. WITHERS: And so, my question is, if I -if I read this presentation correctly, the alleyway that we are vacating is going to take up seven percent of their requirement of their public space requirement. Is that correct?

MS. CABRERA: So, the open space landscape, open space means the ground level outdoor area, which is open and unobstructed and the lowest level.

MR. WITHERS: That's the definition of an open space? So how is the Paseo -- how does that how does that comply with open space? To me an open space means you can look up and see the blue sky doesn't mean

1 you're looking up and seeing a slab above you. So, I'm 2 just curious to know how that definition of an open 3 space applies. It's ignorance on my part because I am 4 truly curious.

MR. WITHERS: Open to the sky.
MS. CABRERA: Yes.
MR. WITHERS: Is this alley open to the sky?
MS. CABRERA: Yes, it is.
MR. WITHERS: And I'm asking. I'm just curious. I mean, I've looked at I've looked at this one hundred times, you can you sit in that alleyway that's now going to say, oh, look straight up and see this guy?

MR. DE YURRE: Sure. So, the alleyway and just to clarify, is not counted as part of our open space, the only open space that we have is the arcade. And the right of way improvements in the arcade is allowed to count towards open space, if seventy-five percent of the materials within that arcade are
right of way improvements when they're substantial.
So, we're allowed to do, for example, in this case, we make right away improvements. Technically, they're not on our site. But we've made the improvements that are so substantial, they're allowed to account for open space, we have a combination of both the right of way improvements, and the improvements within the -- because we're doing, we're allowed seventy-five percent of that square footage within the arcade because we're doing pervious materials. Not the alley, the alley does not count for anything.

MR. WITHERS: Okay. Okay, good. Because I was really, again, I thank you for clearing that up.

MR. REVUELTA: So just got to ask you, when you refer to the alley, you referring to the new per sale, who's open to this guy, but the new per sale? Is not obviously it's not open to the? And you're wondering if that is being counted towards open area. I'm just trying to clarify your question, but these okay.

MR. WITHERS: And they say no, it might I mean, that's understood. The architects have to help me out on this.

MR. BEHAR: No, no, I'm, I'm a -- but what

MR. WITHERS: So, the park across from a slip that new park that counts as open space for them, even though they never owned it in there. And they're really not donating a million dollars. They're using those million dollars to create open space for them to benefit project. I mean, is that how it works? That's why the conditional use No, no, I mean, I'm trying I'm trying to get this because there's a million-dollar donation on the table, but it's really not a donation. It's the improvement of our space, so they can count it be used as they're their open space.

MR. REVUELTA: And if a million dollars, not enough, was going to put the rest.

MR. WITHERS: Well, yeah, that's the point. But I'm just so that's how our code I mean, I mean, I just have a little something that just anyway, that just doesn't seem I mean, our code actually says that you can take our ride away and improve it and count it towards open space for your property.

MS. GARCIA: Yes, specifically, this
landscaper is talking about the percentages that are required for nonresidential or mixed use says this, this landscape area can be provided at street level within the book right away. planter boxes, planters, and other ground floor locations. And where's that
you're doing in the right of way, does count broken space. That's my next one. And that's not in your property is outside just because you improve in it. You're counting --

MR. TORRE: Yeah, 20,000 feet. And that was my next --

MR. BEHAR: -- window.
MR. WITHERS: No but thank you. That was my that's exactly what I was going to ask. if it's not your property, and you're improving it that counts as your open space.

MR. AIZENSTAT: I think the question is, is the code allow?

MR. TORRE: That's what I'm asking.
MR. AIZENSTAT: Correct.
MS. CABRERA: Right. And it's in the staff report and the City Planner is here, but she could go ahead and answer your question.

MS. GARCIA: Yes, in the Med bonus. And table one, number six. Sorry, number eight allows for right away improvements for those ten percent to count the right way points to count towards or ten percent requirement.

MR. AIZENSTAT: And that's in the zoning code, MS. GARCIA: Right. Yeah, that's Med bonus.
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```
from? (Unintelligible)? Bonus table one --
    (SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
    MR. TORRE: And bonus two is --
    MS. JENNIFER GARCIA: Okay --
    MR. TORRE: -- so my next question is the
    actual square foot of the building really hasn't
    changed. Is that correct?
MS. JENNIFER GARCIA: That's correct.
MR. WITHERS: It hasn't really been --
MS. JENNIFER GARCIA: -- from the 2021 --
MR. TORRE: It's just that the -- whereas
``` they were going to they wanted that originally wanted that we call it a slip street or whatever it's called, they originally wanted that as part of the original proposal. And so that was that was the reduction in square feet. It really wasn't the reduction in the footprint of the buildings. Am I understanding that correctly? Okay.

So, the reduction of ninety-two parking spaces, how many floors is that?

MS. GARCIA: One floor.
MR. TORRE: One floor. So, the ninety-two spaces so of the -- is that -- is that right? I don't know --

MR. DE YURRE: Approximately one to one and a

MR. TORRE: Okay. Okay.
MR. DE YURRE: We're only doing eighty units now, instead of the 160 .

MR. WITHERS: Okay, I understand. And so, you're lowering the density, which, you know, we just did that last meeting, we were all excited about a project that reduced the density from two hundred and summed up.

MR. TORRE: Okay, so the reduction in height was really --

MR. AIZENSTAT: Can -- can I ask you a question just because you brought something up before forgot about it? If they would not have Mediterranean bonuses, would they then not be able to use the open space across the street?

MS. GARCIA: Correct? Yeah, the right of way the allowance use the right of way is towards your open space is only in the med bonus.

MR. AIZENSTAT: And the fact that the Mediterranean bonus code has changed or is changing about style is doesn't affect that doesn't affect this. Okay.

MR. WITHERS: I was sorry. I mean, no, I wasn't, I wasn't. Okay, so let me make -- get my
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half floors.

MR. WITHERS: That's in the CBD? Or is that here also?

MS. GARCIA: That's here also. It's the -excuse me -- the CBD is one third.

MR. TORRE: Right, a third. Okay. So, they can so they can go twenty-five feet. So that could really be 175 .

MR. BEHAR: But that's for architectural elements --

MR. REVUELTA: Only for a portion of it.
MR. WITHERS: so, what could the total height of this building be with the ornamental and architectural?

MS. GARCIA: I guess the 190 plus the twentyfive feet?

MR. WITHERS: No, no current with them with right now. 150-foot height they're proposing right now.

MS. GARCIA: Right? Oh, the current code. Seventy-five plus -- or seventy-seven plus 25 --
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MR. WITHERS: Right, one hundred feet. So, they could go one hundred feet right now with that? Or they could go --

MS. GARCIA: -- features which are not a whel
whole --

1 heights.

When I understand this. You can go fifty feet on this property now. And then you can add another twenty-two feet with Mediterranean bonus level two, is that what it was okay. Or you can go 150 feet, and you can go another forty feet with Mediterranean bones due in the -- the TDRs primarily to keep the FAR rate a sustainable level because it's really not height issue in this case, that correct?

MS. GARCIA: No, TDR that just the square feet, to be able to fill up that envelope that you're --

MR. WITHERS: Okay, I'm clear with that.
MR. TORRE: So, I guess -- I guess my question is if you're, if you're at 150 feet, and you can use and they're going to 149 feet, and what is it two thirds of the building can be used as that as element architectural element on the top of the building?

MS. GARCIA: Once that's in the CBD that wouldn't apply for this project?

MR. WITHERS: So, what is the code for ornamental and engineering I guess engineering? Air conditioning units, etc.? And then ornamental on top of that?

MR. BEHAR: architectural features, or go up to twenty-five feet or portion of a building?
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MR. TORRE: No, I understand. I understand, UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible).
MS. GARCIA: Oh, Jennifer Garcia city planner. Thank you.

MR. WITHERS: Okay, so I guess the last question I really have is, you know, philosophically we have a code. And you, kind of, alluded to this, and I'm not asking you to spill your guts on this, but you know, if we have a code, how does how does staff when they make a recommendation, how do they say we approve something that so far apart from what I mean, what is the justification, the public good, that it's a nice building and we need more condominiums downtown. That is going to beautify an ugly corner. It's going to have a nice park and fence so people can walk I mean, what is stat and what it goes through staff's mind.

MS. GARCIA: So that's the criteria for PAD (ph.), which is not what the request is. So, each request has different criteria that should be listed in your staff report. Such as, like --

MR. WITHERS: No, I read I read it, you asked us to do this Yes. Does it do this? Yes, does it do this --
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MS. GARCIA: Yeah, because it makes us --
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MR. TORRE: -- to meet all --
MS. GARCIA: -- allow for --
MR. TORRE: -- all those philosophical --
MS. GARCIA: Right, trip capture and, you know, walkability and the implement the comp plan and the goals involved in the comp plan.

MR. WITHERS: So, what's more important, the code or the philosophical arguments that they meet, in your mind -- in your personal --
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MS. GARCIA: (Unintelligible.)
MR. WITHERS: -- because I really -- I'm not trying to throw you under the bus. Honestly, and again, this is where I'm probably upsetting Alan and his family, and Dorothy and all that. But I really have an issue with, you know, our code at fifty feet and go seventy-seven feet. And that's been changed, it's been reviewed, we rewrote it three times and left it the same, has the same, you know, land use has the same time. Yet we're changing it because it meets these environmental,

MS. GARCIA: well, that's the request. the code allows them to request for the Board and before the Commission if they can change that. That's the way their code is written with criteria. I mean, that

MS. GARCIA: We'll get some criteria, right, based on Comp Plan policies and goals, but not the actual zoning code itself. And the actual zoning code? Yes.

MR. WITHERS: I don't know. I mean, as far as height and densities and all that stuff.

MS. GARCIA: But they're mean the zoning they're being they're requested zoning.

MR. AIZENSTAT: It's not philosophical, but --
MS. CABRERA: It's not.
MR. AIZENSTAT: I mean, just to count on here. I just see that on that. I just see that staff has a checklist. And they go through the checklist doesn't meet this doesn't meet this doesn't meet this and doesn't meet this. If it does, then they give a recommendation.

MS. CABRERA: Does the request meet? Does the project --
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MR. AIZENSTAT: The requirement --
MS. CABRERA: -- yeah, exactly. As the requests meet, what the goals are, and, of course, the land use and all that. And she --

MR. AIZENSTAT: Understood. Well, I got to say something, you know --
criteria, I mean, the comp plan, you know, trip capture, mix of uses.

MS. CABRERA: Right? And that's why it was important for me to clarify that what she's doing is she's going through these points and seeing does it meet these items? And if it does, the recommendation will be approval. That's what staff can do. Staff can't just say, well, we don't like the project, we're going to say no.

MR. WITHERS: But their recommendation of approval, then the zoning code just doesn't matter, then,

MS. GARCIA: Oh, they still meet the zoning code. For the requests.

MR. WITHERS: I mean, as far as the height in the SAR

MS. GARCIA: if they're granted the request --
MR. TORRE: -- the request.
MS. CABRERA: Right. And whether they're granted the request or not, is --

MS. GARCIA: Up to you.
MS. CABRERA: -- up to you and the Commission, it's not up to staff, right?

MR. WITHERS: I guess, honestly. But philosophically, you -- you use the -- yes, we're in favor of this project
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MR. REVUELTA: Go ahead.
MR. AIZENSTAT: Sure.
MS. CABRERA: -- just thank you very much, you know, whether it's seventy-seven feet, or five hundred feet, this is not a life safety issue. I'm used to dealing with things in life safety, where I can't just choose to say, we don't want to do fifty pounds per square foot, we wanted twenty. Well, you can't do that, right. But this is your city. You all set these rules.

So, if you want to change, make a recommendation to change it to five hundred fee. That's what the Commission will approve. That's who sets it. It's not that staff set it. It's not that it's a magic number. It's that this is the way the city has historically wanted to develop.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Understood. Thank you.
Robert --
MR. REVUELTA: Does anybody know the history? Does anybody know the history as to why this parcel of land still remains with the zoning classification that it has asked when you see the land use plan? It's surrounded by pretty much by high density, who knows the history from the stuff? I asked that question
before nobody could answer it. Because I believe if --
this is like an inconsistency in the land use plan, in my opinion.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Are you familiar with -- I don't know, the history?

Mr. De Yurre? Do you know the history that you can?

MR. YURRE: Sure. So, the zoning code has zero to do with our request in regard to the height. The City of Coral Gables decided approximately twenty years ago to make the height part of the Comprehensive Plan in specific feet and create the concept of low, medium, and high in the comp plan. To have a comp plan control the height, there was no such animal in the zoning. B , there was no such animal in the comp plan before then this has always been zoned commercial. It's been zoned commercial from day one.

Why did they do what they did in regard to the comp plan twenty years ago when they started to say, your low, your medium, your high, because across the street with the Plaza was originally a high rise, okay. The region's tower was already there at the time as well. What was here at this site and historically been at the site was a White Castle, a gas station, and a quickie mark. So, when they looked and saw the heights twenty years ago, it is reflective of what was
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reason that the courthouse, which was not a courthouse before, that was a grocery store, if I remember. That was never changed back because, erroneously, it was designated high-rise way before that. And it was never reverted back my recollection --

MR. REVUELTA: Which is Lot 34 --
MR. BEHAR: Correct. And there was opposition to go back. But it was because I, myself, and this Board. I'm probably one of the most pro-developments in this Board. We talked about it, and it was agreed at that time when Charlie Seaman (ph.) was a consultant to the city to leave it the way it was, as high density, because we could not change it, but leave this property as the low-rise, as a transition to the neighborhood to the south. That was my recollection --

MR. AIZENSTAT: Same.
MR. BEHAR: -- okay. Well, now let me -- put my -- if we could put up that slide that you had? The six items. If they could do that, please. And while we'll do that, I'm going to start same way that today (sic). Some of my friends and colleagues here -- the same way Chip started -- may not be very pleased with some of my comments. Unfortunately, you and I are going to be in the same position.

1 there at that time. But it's always been commercial. 2 And it's never prior to the Comp Plan. Designating 3 these low, medium, and high. It was never previously 4 designated a height.

And so that's why, to Mr. Fuentes (ph.) point, it is bizarre, that you have everything surrounding us. And that's why we got the staff recommendation because the context and character the area. This is the whole Madonna, there is no other property on Ponce that is low-rise commercial anywhere near this park. And, in fact, property the just south of us is 190 feet high-rise commercial. Why? Because the avenue of Ponce is wide. And that's one of the reasons why -- and I'll let Mr. Behar, I know he wants to speak on it, he's the architect --
(SIMULTANEOUS UNINTELLIGIBLE SPEAKING.)
MR. AIZENSTAT: -- because we sat with Charlie for --

MR. BEHAR: For many, many, many, many meetings. We the two of us. It was twenty years ago, we were here. And this came up and, respectfully, I disagree. That was not why was left like that. I don't think twenty years ago, you were in the practice of law yet which, okay, so we -- that was intentionally left like that. It was looked at more so -- the
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testifies, okay, what's near this area.
So, the fact is, yes, you do have some department recommendation is deemed substantial, competent evidence. But you have to base your decision on a -- not so much a Comp Plan, really on legislate. But all the other recommendations are based on substantive -- all the other items in here are based upon substantial, competent evidence. But that can include from various sources. It does not have to just be solely the department. Thank you.

MR. BEHAR: Yeah, can we put that slide back.
I do take offense, when I see this and telling us that, look, what we're doing, you brought a project that clearly by the whole entire Board, we were completely against it. And to come back says, oh, we're doing this less, we're doing less FAR and five less floors. That is to me, as an architect, and as a Board Member, insult (sic). I'm sorry, that -- that -what you brought before has nothing to do with what we should be looking at, in my opinion. And that's, you know, I -- again, don't compare, let's not compare that to this project. And I think, as I would encourage my Board Members to do the same, let's not compare what was presented to us a year ago, and say, I'm doing this, therefore it should be approved. And I'm going
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1 code, owning both sides, it not being any further 2 service, it's reviewed by staff. And then we also on top of that, do what nobody else does, which is replace it with a per sale.

MR. BEHAR: But to me, Mr. De Yurre, to me, the Med bonuses and staff who could tell you need to a sale when you have a situation like this. This is not, you're not doing you're not profiting per sale, just because you're --

MR. DE YURRE: It was an original iteration of this sale was much farther west and directly north and south, it was much more expensive to do and done in conjunction with Staff and the Board of Architects. So --

MR. BEHAR: Your per sale is beneficial to the city and is required by code. Therefore, the 13,000 square feet of FAR should be granted with no benefit in return to the city.

MR. DE YURRE: If I'm not going to go as to what the code should read or should read. But what I can tell you is specifically on page six, the standards room for review or outline one at a time. And each one of them is met by your professional staff establishing substantial competent evidence for it unless somebody says I'm an expert. I know better than staff does

1 to start by saying that I do not have the same issues 2 with some of the requests that you are requesting
today. Okay.

Practically, for most of them the vacation of the alley, you're vacating approximately 3,000 square feet, you're getting 4.375, you're getting approximately 13,000 and change in development, right? In FAR, right? What are we getting? What is the city getting for that? Because you're putting a million dollars into improvement over -- right away improvement that will open space that you're getting. So, I don't see that million dollars being beneficial to the city, I see it -- again, I know some members in this audience today, like, constantly go against me because I am an architect, I'm pro-development, but I want to see what's good for us, as residents, as a business owner, for this city.

MR. DE YURRE: The staff recommendations specifically went through the codified evaluation requirements. And one of the things that we did specifically was to go to a pedestrian sale, almost mimicking the alleyway. And that was above and beyond the requirements that were codified, and made very evident, had to be met. That's primarily in addition to meeting what else do we need, besides meeting the
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1 And I what I'm hearing is you disagree with the code
requirements. And if that's the case, that's a different conversation because we met the code requirements and on top of that, we did this which was much more expensive to do instead of doing it what you see the driveway to the left. That was our original --

MR. BEHAR: But -- but that's and I deal with this every day. Please don't tell me that the Paseo to the left with the drive is less expensive than doing it there. When you look when you look now you put a loading space on university where the Paseo was.

MR. DE YURRE: We originally -- money and costs and the original scenario, were not that when we didn't do it that way, because it was more expensive. We did it because it was more efficient. And when they made the change, retail base got cut up. And yes, loading docks and be reinvented, obviously went through architectural costs. Oppenheim (ph.), yourself, all these architects take a lot of time to do this work and show -- there -- but a monetary -- if you want to monitor a quid pro quo. That's not how the code reads, to do it. But we did everything we could above and beyond the code requirement. And I'm just speaking at that point, I appreciate the Comp.

MR. BEHAR: I mean, listen, you're trying to
convince me of something that we're going to be here to win, I'm just -- you're not going to convince me nothing happened.

MR. DE YURRE: It's just a record that I'm creating. Okay, I'm just creating a record.

MR. BEHAR: That's -- okay. So, the, you're eliminating the parking on University, the 90-degree parking, which I think is good, I am in favor of keeping the slip lane. Because I myself when I'm heading southbound on ponds, I do use it. It may not be what the Public Works director may recommend, but I think it'll be good and it's --
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MR. DE YURRE: -- just don't have a sporty car --

MR. BEHAR: I don't do that. I don't have -I have a feeling --

MR. AIZENSTAT: Robert, if I may, just because of our time --

MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion to extend for fifteen minutes --

MR. AIZENSTAT: -- to 9:15?
MR. DE YURRE: And want to make sure it wasn't my fault.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you, Mr. De Yurre.
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rooftop. And I think for, maybe, Mr. Revuelta and I, I think for my colleagues, I don't think that's the right way to illustrate it.

MR. DE YURRE: It's to the code, you understand that the height of the two garages, as long as they're underneath the height of one floor, you can -- it doesn't count. They're different designations to -- in a residential floor --
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MR. BEHAR: No --
MR. DE YURRE: -- (unintelligible) --
MR. BEHAR: -- no --
MR. DE YURRE: -- parking level. And you can -- and that wasn't -- that's not me. That was reviewed

MR. BEHAR: -- And if it's less than onethird of the floor area it's considered a mezzanine --

MR. DE YURRE: Parking level.
MR. BEHAR: Okay, that's -- that's -- okay.
They, to me now, when I look at this project, again, I'm not opposed to a lot of the requests. But from the planning perspective, I really think that the placement of the loading dock on university there it
is. To put it nicely, really bad. Okay. That is probably one of the worst locations. And I don't know

We have a motion to extend to 9:15. Claudia seconded. Any comments?

Now call the roll please -- everybody in favor say aye.
(CHORUS OF AYES.)
MR. AIZENSTAT: Anybody against? No. It carries.

Okay, Robert.
MR. BEHAR: So, I am in favor of elimination, I'm glad that you're now using that area for your growth area calculation lot, you know, so that's good. I in your presentation, which I'm don't like to see where we do have a fourteen stories building today. That's what you're presenting towards fourteen stories building, because you have thirteen of risk, you know, of residential and a rooftop pool area amenities, which definitely counts towards a building high. And you're not counting that.

The way you described it, you put parking level one -- parking level number 1.5, and then you start on the -- which I think is, like, the third floor, you start with residential one, and you take it to residential story ten. I think that's a little bit deceiving. Because when you look at this, it's not residential ten. It's really a thirteen plus the
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if I get (sic) Public Works to agree with me, but I think that -- that loading dock (unintelligible) is in the wrong place. I think it needs to be --

I'm in favor of good development. I'm in favor of quality development. I believe, and somebody said it, that we need it. I think that I'm not opposed to granting higher than the seventy-seven. I think that 149 feet is, to me, is a little bit more than I would like to see in this location.

With that I'll conclude my comments.
MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
MR. REVUELTA: What is the precedent that the city has had when alleys are vacated or owner owners when developers have paid the city for that land that they're vacating? I think that if there's a precedent that there has When financial remuneration to the city, I think the President needs to be completed. If that precedent doesn't exist, I am not sure how much insistence anybody can make for it, that now we start a new protocol. So, I just wanted to have that history for my benefit and your benefit.

MR. MORRIS: So, the city does not own the alley by fees. And alleys are basically service corridor, and they're subject to reversion rights, so we cannot sell the alleys to the developer. If the
alley gets close on blockaded the volume property owners take the property back. That's where they were dedicated to the beginning.

MR. REVUELTA: And in some municipalities, developers can actually take to the middle of the alley to violate their gross area. How is it done? And I apologize for my ignorance. But architects adding insult to injury? What is the policy of the city?

MR. DIAZ: I can't speak to that --
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MS. CABRERA: (Inaudible) -- as a property?
MR. REVUELTA: -- yeah, I --
MR. BEHAR: -- this is in the city, in the center of Coral Gables, not within your boundary of your property --

MR. REVUELTA: You cannot isolate centrally in the city.

MR. BEHAR: No, no. Only when you vacate it, and you grant a vacation, then becomes part of your property, then. That's the whole -- but not if it's not.

MR. REVUELTA: Okay. And the city has not collected money in prior decades --

MR. BEHAR: In my experience, and, you know, for quite a few years in the Gables, not collecting money, but requires the developer to do something more

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible). MR. BEHAR: Yes.

MR. AIZENSTAT: As an incentive.
MS. CABRERA: I know, and a million dollars is part of that.

MR. BEHAR: But a million dollars here -(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)

MR. AIZENSTAT: -- is for the bonus -- is for the Mediterranean bonus.

MR. BEHAR: Yeah, the million dollars is really not truly a million dollars.

MS. CABRERA: I'm not sure if the Mediterranean bonus requires any monetary --

MR. BEHAR: -- but you're using that improvement as open space. Right?

MS. CABRERA: But that's part of Med bonus, that has nothing to do with the million dollars. The Med bonus allows them to count them.

MR. DIAZ: I do not believe that the code requires that you vacate an ally; that your obligated to provide any kind of benefit.

MR. BEHAR: Again, you know, is this a in my opinion, a right. Opportunity to vacate an alley. Absolutely. It doesn't serve much, in my opinion, or
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from what I and I'm very familiar with that site. It doesn't serve much. If there was an alley going east and west, then it would have to do something different. This alley --
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right.
MR. BEHAR: -- for the truth of the matter, it doesn't -- no purpose.

MR. DIAZ: And then you'd have a dead-end alley. So, you will be right. And I can provide some alternative --

MR. BEHAR: The vacation of the alley, I am in favor of vacating this particular alley because it really only serves those two -- those problems. But I have an issue when I'm getting benefit for something else. That is not, you know, truly beneficial to the.

MR. AIZENSTAT: For me, a lot of the questions and concerns were actually asked by my colleagues, but there are some that I still have. One of the concerns that I see is I understand that a traffic study under fifty, you don't need to do. And I understand that's the way the code reads. It's just hard for me to understand that. While such a project as a plaza is going on across the way, we don't really understand yet the full impact that that's going to have on the street. That to me is one concern.
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The second concern that I have is the there's eighty units that you're getting at this, you're going ahead and reducing the parking by ninety-two. You're saying it's a great thing. We're reducing the parking by ninety-two spaces. In the past, I have never heard the city say that's a great thing. You're reducing the parking. The city is always wanting more parking. You've got commercial that's on the ground floor. You're going to have residents on the upper floors and you're going to have visitors and you're going to have other handicap requirements. You're going to have electrical vehicle requirements. There's a whole slew of things.

To me, I see the reduction of the ninety-two spaces, because it allows them to have more apartments and reduce their footprint at the bottom. And maybe I'm wrong. You know, I wouldn't ask the architects to have just given up your apartments,

MR. REVUELTA: Then you have more parking, it's always a cycle, that always you have to --
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MR. AIZENSTAT: -- I think that parking is always a good thing. To me. That's just because --

MS. CABRERA: People don't cause traffic, it's the vehicles that cause traffic --

MR. AIZENSTAT: Understood.
MS. CABRERA: -- or Parking --
MR. BEHAR: But that's also I mean --
MS. CABRERA: Now, is the City set up for not having parking? No. Okay, I understand that. But it's just a point to keep in mind that that's really the reality of it. The City is set up to grow that way

MR. AIZENSTAT: Right, --
MS. CABRERA: -- now, is Public Transportation set up to grow that way? No.

MR. AIZENSTAT: As far as the alley. My colleague, Robert, made a good point. I mean, there's 13,000 square feet of developmental, right, that's being gained. That should have a value to the city. And I understand that, we're, we've taken a look. And we said, okay, they're giving a million dollars, to other areas, to the area in the center, so forth. But to me, that's being done, because of the Mediterranean bonuses that they're getting, in my mind, I'm just not seeing the million dollars coming from that out. But if you really look at it, I could look at it and say, well, you know, they're getting 13,000 square feet more, which means a higher tax base to the city, it means additional monies coming in. So, there is a

2020, or 2021, or, or so forth, and now you've reduced sigh, I'm looking at this project as a new project to me, I'm not looking at is look what we have done. And as such, I do feel that you can still reduce your footprint further within the height to satisfy the area. That, to me, is so -- that's a concern that I have. The issue -- I did have an issue that the front part was being used as if they are, but I see it is not. So, I don't. I do agree with Robert, as far as having the area where the car would come off as opposed to rounding it out. That's just from driving preference. And I see an issue that that is created on ponds, further up by where the police station was, if you're coming down on ponds, it's made in a certain shape that when you're going to turn in there, you don't have that turn off. And that I think that creates problems. That's really the comments that I have right now.

Oh, one more thing, if I may. What are the -- you're doing eighty units, there was a lot of concern between -- you're going to come in as condos and then you're going to go to rentals. Can you talk just for the record a little bit about the size of the units is and the makeup of the units?

MR. DE YURRE: Absolutely. These units are
tradeoff. And I would have to look at that.
The comment that I wanted to make is, there was a speaker that spoke and said, you know, there's new staff, and that's why are coming in, there is no new staff, I just want to make that clear to the public and on the record, as an individual that is no longer with the department. But there is not new staff.

MS. CABRERA: their recommendation is still prepared by the same exact person that prepares every recommendation that came up here previously --
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MR. AIZENSTAT: Understood.
MS. CABRERA: -- which are our city planner, and understood principal planners --

MR. AIZENSTAT: -- is different from this, it's a different --

MS. CABRERA: -- in the future, my hope is that Jennifer, as a city planner, be in front of this Board presenting more often. But this was very short notice, and I'm not going to throw her into the fire. So, I'm up here, just presenting what has already been hashed out.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Understood.
The last point that I like to make as I look at this project, not as you came back, you were here in
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going to go up to 4,000 feet. And I'll use the same words that --

MR. AIZENSTAT: Can you give me a percentage of these 4,000? Just from your head, I don't need the exact --

MR. DE YURRE: -- smallest one started at 1,600 --

MR. BEHAR: (Inaudible) --
MR. DE YURRE: Yeah, Derek, please.
I'm just going to call Derek Cardenas (ph.) to the microphone a second.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Sure.
MR. DE YURRE: Maybe take it easy on him --
MR. AIZENSTAT: (Unintelligible).
MR. DEREK CARDENAS: Derek Cardenas, 851 NE First Avenue.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
MR. DEREK CARDENAS: I stood up in the beginning.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Okay, so just give us just a little bit of rundown. It doesn't have to be exact.

MR. DEREK CARDENAS: Sure. So, condo, the unit mix is essentially -- its average unit size is
2,025 square feet. Okay. The average unit range size

1 is about 1600 square feet all the way up to about 4,000

MR. AIZENSTAT: Okay. To me, in my mind, those size units, I don't know if I see them so much as a rental unit, and that's just me. I see them more as condo space. I could be wrong, you know, but I'm just giving my I'm just voicing what I see based on the size. And the units that are there.

Any other comments? Anybody like to make a motion?

MR. REVUELTA: One last comment. What I noticed the --

MR. AIZENSTAT: Hey Luis, could you speak in the microphone, because they're not --

MR. REVUELTA: -- the first import is 149 feet, but the back part is down to seventy something. What percentage, more or less, for my benefit and everybody else's, of the -- of the parcel, it's at 149 feet is? I mean --

MR. DE YURRE: If I can get control of the presentation; I have a sheet that does that. This is a little tough --

MR. REVUELTA: To put into perspective, that -- this whole project, the whole site is not 149 feet, but he's got a lower part towards the northwest. And I
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So, I was just wanting to put in perspective that the whole site is not at 149. And that there are parts of the site that are see one part of the site or something I was wondering about the percentage, eyeballing it, it could be fifty could be fifty-five. It could be forty-five, something like that. So that's just to play around with the chairman.

MR. BEHAR: I -- we have five requests.
MR. REVUELTA: Correct.
MR. AIZENSTAT: And I would ask that E-1 go towards the end.

MR. BEHAR: E-1 is --
MR. AIZENSTAT: The alley.
MR. BEHAR: So, which one shall we take on first then?

MR. AIZENSTAT: Correct.
MR. BEHAR: But the comp plan, then, is the one that's going to give us the height that you're asking for --

MR. REVUELTA: The high density --
MR. AIZENSTAT: Correct.
MR. BEHAR: I'm --
MR. DE YURRE: Each one of these is a unique item for -- because each one has its own merits, and I
just was wondering what percentage or what area?
MR. DE YURRE: I have control now, again? Give me a second. There you go. That's 149 feet.

MR. REVUELTA: Yeah. So, figure that out. But what more -- what is the area --

MR. DE YURRE: That, so it's double loaded, it's eighty feet by three feet. And then I'd have to give you the length, if I can just walk up to the --

MR. REVUELTA: 180 feet or something like that. And the side is, whatever it is. So, it looks to me like this is like forty percent I don't know, reporting for YouTube channel, the site is at 149. And the rest is below that. So, I mean, I, as an architect, are you --

I MR. DE YURRE: I wouldn't disagree with that.

MR. REVUELTA: It's okay. I -- developers ask me for all sorts of numbers, and I'm never prepared for them. So, I cannot throw a stone to a glass house (sic). But I have tried to argue for projects of -- my projects that, a lot of times, height should be also contemplated as -- in terms of an average, right, then you can have a tall skinny building when parts of your building are low. And this is something that I have encountered in many municipalities.
problem with a little off shoot. I think the radius on Ponce and University Drive should be three times as what it is, like 15 and 25.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Let me ask you a question though. Is it within our realm to have that design move -- for a recommendation? Is that not --

MR. BEHAR: When it comes to the mixed-use project, is that I believe that's the time that we can make that recommendation.

MR. AIZENSTAT: I just want to be clear because --
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MR. COLLIER: I believe it's part of the site plans and --

MR. BEHAR: The site plan --
MR. AIZENSTAT: -- yeah --
MR. BEHAR: -- so --
MR. AIZENSTAT: -- okay --
MR. BEHAR: -- at that point is when we make an agreement with you that the loading dock should be in the alley, on the backside --

MR. REVUELTA: And the Commission needs to entertain the idea of what kind of incentive there should be in exchange for (unintelligible) the 13,000 square feet, that are worth --

MR. AIZENSTAT: Is there -- we're getting close to the time again. Is there a motion to extend it? MR. BEHAR: I'll make a move. I make a motion to extend it, but --

MR. AIZENSTAT: To what time please?
MR. BEHAR: -- how late are we going to plan to be here.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Can we just --
MR. BEHAR: (Inaudible).
MR. AIZENSTAT: -- can we just get another twenty minutes for now?

MR. BEHAR: Okay, I make a motion to another -- to 9:30 --

MR. REVUELTA: I second.
MR. AIZENSTAT: To -- no, we're at 9:15?
MR. BEHAR: Five. To 9:35? I'll make a motion.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Is there a second? We have a second.

Everybody in favor say aye.
(CHORUS OF AYES.)
Anybody against? No. Continue.
MR. BEHAR: I think I was just saying that at
the time of the site plan is -- we will make the condition of the loading dock on -- but not at this
time. So, I don't know how we all want to take on --
MR. AIZENSTAT: If nobody else has any concern with the vacating of the public alley --

MR. WITHERS: The only concern I have is to be consistent. We've never really vacated an alley without a site plan that we can review and approve.
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Correct --
MR. AIZENSTAT: -- that was the concern --
MR. WITHERS: -- in this case --
MR. AIZENSTAT: -- I had --
MR. WITHERS: -- I don't have a problem deviating from that if you want to move the vacation.

MR. AIZENSTAT: When we also -- when we want to look, maybe, at the master plan first.

MR. REVUELTA: Well, we can do that, but at that point it's do or die, right --
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MR. AIZENSTAT: I think --
MR. REVUELTA: -- I think --
MR. AIZENSTAT: -- in the past, that's what we have --

MR. REVUELTA: Okay, and if that's -- if
that's the precedent, I'm all for following the precedent --
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MR. BEHAR: But that -- if we do that, then I'm going to make a motion not --

MR. AIZENSTAT: Well, what --
MR. REVUELTA: As I've said before, even if this project was meeting the hype and everything else, like vacating that option, vacating the alley, there are things that, at least, I'm speaking for myself, obviously, I wouldn't be in favor of making that because I think it would work out better for whoever comes if Mr. Morris doesn't decide to do that. And again, you need to be careful what you wish for, because the next guy might be an office building developer or a rental developer. And we may not be --

MR. BEHAR: But that's not true, that's not true. That's not totally correct. Because whoever comes has to, you know, the next developer has to come here --
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MR. BEHAR: -- to seek the same approval --
MS. CABRERA: Exactly.
MR. BEHAR: -- Okay. So, I'm not sure that's a valid --

MR. REVUELTA: My point --
MR. BEHAR: -- point.
MR. REVUELTA: -- is that a developer will
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UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Correct.

\section*{Page 144}
need to know, and meet the seventy-seven feet height, whatever it is, and fill this thing with offices and rentals. And I think the traffic will be worse. And this is my gut feeling. I could be wrong. You could be right. I'm used to, basically, voicing my opinion, like I see --

MR. AIZENSTAT: Ms. Cabrera?
MS. CABRERA: I was just discussing with Hermes, because if you vote on vacating the alley, and these are still separate parcels, and Mr. Morris sells one of them, we would not have been in favor of vacating the alley. So, if there's vacating of the alley without a condition that is attached to the project, then it should be replated --
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MR. BEHAR: You answered the --
MS. CABRERA: -- Right?
MR. BEHAR: -- question --
MR. AIZENSTAT: (Unintelligible).
MR. BEHAR: -- that's it. You answered the question --
(SIMULTANEOUS UNINTELLIGIBLE SPEAKING.)
MR. DIAZ: -- comes along with utility

MR. AIZENSTAT: You make a motion to approve the master plan as is --

MR. REVUELTA: With conditions.
MR. WITHERS: If this is the right time --
MR. COLLIER: Well, I don't think conditions are right on the Comp Plan The conditions can be to the site plan and be to the --

MR. REVUELTA: What if we are working on the site plan? And there are things with the site plan that we are not in agreement with? Am I hearing correctly that is not the proper time to put conditions?

MR. COLLIER: I don't think it's on a -- on a Comp Plan item. They can proffer covenants, voluntary covenants, in connection with the conflict. But I don't believe you can condition the Comp Plan. You can
-- there are -- you can condition the -- and other applicants have proffer covenants in connection with the Comp Plan. And we --

MR. BEHAR: Well, what -- what sort of covenant can they proffer that will --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Unintelligible).
MR. COLLIER: For example, let's say they didn't want to -- right now, it's to a hundred, the mid-rise intensity -- the high-rise intensity allows them to go to -- I'm not sure

MR. DIAZ: -- so, it goes both together. One doesn't work without the other.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Let's look at the master plan.
MR. BEHAR: Okay. You answered the question. Thank you.

MR. DE YURRE: It seems to be -- it basically suggests the height is the point of contention. And if we can get -- if there is support for all the other items, then we know that that is the bottleneck to this project, even though we've gone to eighty units, okay.

And so, can we fit eighty units with a
different configuration? If we had the other items, that's a question we would have to go and solve. But if that's the point of contention, then hopefully something can happen here with this project --

MR. AIZENSTAT: Are you able to --
MR. DE YURRE: -- because we're still only eighty units.
-- come back to the (unintelligible)?
MR. DE YURRE: It would be at the direction, at that point in time, of the Commission.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Okay.
On the master plan, is there a motion?
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MR. WITHERS: I make a motion to approve.

MR. DE YURRE: 1.50 And then one 190.6 with the bedrock.

MR. COLLIER: So, if they wanted to go lower than what the what the Comp Plan would permit, then they could proffer a covenant in connection with the Comp Plan that would -- it would be proffered by them. It's not -- I'm not sure what conditions you have in mind. It will be related to what --
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MR. REVUELTA: Well, then we can pick it apart?
MR. BEHAR: Well, I mean, yes,
MR. REVUELTA: The condition is -- that covenant that this building will always be a condo or (unintelligible) will be for me.

MR. COLLIER: Well --
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MR. BEHAR: -- that, I don't think --
MR. COLLIER: -- you can't do that --
MR. BEHAR: -- do that.
MR. DE YURRE: We can covenant --
MR. COLLIER: They can make --
MR. DE YURRE: -- the use --
MR. COLLIER: I mean, technically, you're not supposed to make a distinction between rental and owners. Applicant wants to voluntarily proffer a
covenant, but it's going to be up to them. They haven't done that --

MR. REVUELTA: So, I guess this is --
MR. DE YURRE: That's why we're here --
MR. REVUELTA: Right?
MR. DE YURRE: That's what we're here.
MR. REVUELTA: -- do not put a service entrance at all whatsoever on University --

MR. COLLIER: Let's go back and be on the site plan. For sure.

MR. REVUELTA: We're only putting it on the master --

MR. BEHAR: Comp Plan. But in the Comp Plan is more -- we will not go past -- I don't want to throw a number. But no, we will not go any higher than, just to put a number out there, 145 feet.

MR. DE YURRE: We were going to covenant, by the way, we were going to covenant to the 149 so that no one would feel like we were going to go and try to go to the max of one hundred.

MR. REVUELTA: But the architectural features are -- no, look --

MR. BEHAR: I'm going to put it out. I have a problem with 149. Okay, I don't know the rest of the Board. I have a problem with that.
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Obviously as concerned the difference between seventy-seven and 149 is the first part but given the fact that they're sensitive -- if they can cut two feet or something of the floor-to-floor height because they can market luxury units. I have had the misfortune of doing condos for forty years and getting super -- ten feet each for luxury condominium. I don't know if you agree with both, but detail would be more incredible --
(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MR. DE YURRE: If my math is correct --
MR. REVUELTA: -- that we -- do we do reveal you're correct is higher than what it should be then called the retail by the time that you start adding up feet and 20 You might be down to 120 to a height that may aid on the concerns of a lot of people, but still make the profit the project doable. And I think these are the kinds of compromises that I have to go through many times on projects that we have. I was just offering that.

And again, to meet the value -- the service, right, the height that you set, the incentive of saying 13,000 square feet, your vacation of the alley, to me, oh, are those conditions that should be put on the table to drive it? It only gets sweeter. That's, and again, I -

MR. DE YURRE: We're in a in a world of design. And so, I would look to you and say, if that's a point of contention, where is the solution? Because no one would know better than the than the to our esteemed architect

MR. REVUELTA: Before I clear height for the covenants. What are (unintelligible) --

MR. AIZENSTAT: Suramy, you have a question?
MS. CABRERA: Yeah. I was just checking the zoning code doesn't differentiate between rental and condo, but the building code does. I mean, that's the only thing that correct if they were to change in the future, it would have to come back.

MR. BEHAR: No, no. Because your retail on the ground floor is probably 1820 feet. Well. You when you start adding us an architect which I I've always looked up to you, you know, move down and you got to look at how many floors you're doing.

MR. REVUELTA: Or what I'm suggesting is that hypersensitive to you and to a lot of people 149 is not doing because I believe once a building goes beyond 50 6080 feet. The -- how many times have we listened to a Halliburton elicit (ph.) the same once you go past fifty feet or ballistically what doesn't really matter so I am not.
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MR. AIZENSTAT: Do you want to make motion, Luis.

MR. REVUELTA: For the Comp Plan, I thought --
MR. AIZENSTAT: For the master.
MR. REVUELTA: I wanted to do some conditions that I cannot so, the only condition will be a suggestion of making sure that this building stays the way it is being presented to us. If not, it has to come back to us. So, the switch that that happen at the Plaza doesn't happen.

MR. DE YURRE: We can covenant to height, there's no, I mean, if we if there's an issue with height, there's a number there's a magic number that makes architecturally. Mr. Looser with that, and we're going to be hard on deferring to because you are the experts in regard to the architecture that makes you feel comfortable in this area, without footprint, and we will endeavor to reach that, but without direction in regard to that one point that is holding us up right now, where we're lost. And so how many floors --

MR. AIZENSTAT: (Inaudible) -- let me ask Mr. De Yurre, let me ask you a question, would your client, I'm just going to throw a number out, would your client agree to 125 feet total?

MR. DE YURRE: If you gave us 125 feet, he
```

would figure out a way to make it work. Remember, we're only doing eighty units. So, we have, we have a lot to play with to make it work --

```

\section*{(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)}

MR. AIZENSTAT: Because as of right now, it's

MR. DE YURRE: -- it's only eighty units --
MR. AIZENSTAT: -- seventy-seven. And if it would be 125 feet with Mediterranean bonuses to the top and everything.

MR. DE YURRE: Yeah, we would covenant to 125. Remember, the eighty units is so few units, it doesn't even trigger a traffic study. So yes, of course, we will go to the 125. If that's what it takes get this project done, because we were responding to do the highest quality project you can, and I understand that we don't want to compare it to the other one. But that was the direction we were given. And they wanted condos. And so, when we do that, obviously you guys understand the more height and the ceiling, the better.

MR. BEHAR: You can put off the building, right off the bat about fifteen, sixteen right now, by taking two feet out of the residential and four feet or so out of the way. I mean, that right there, right off the bat is fourteen and four. Almost twenty feet.
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MR. BEHAR: You have -- you guys have but I'm wanting to hear from Chip. And I want to hear from this project --

MS. MIRO: Yeah, well --
MR. REVUELTA: -- at 130 -- at 130 feet.
MS. MIRO: -- I think the number we're starting from is seventy-seven. And we said 149. And that was a lot. 125, I'm not really comfortable -- I don't think that -- that's still a lot. It's a big number --

MR. BEHAR: What number will you --
MR. WITHERS: Let me ask you a question. Do you see? Do you see that building?

MR. AIZENSTAT: Mr. De Yurre, can I ask you to just move like that so the people in the back --

MR. DE YURRE: I just wanted to -- because of the discussion.

MR. WITHERS: Do you see that development?
MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
MR. WITHERS: Do you see that development contiguous or whatever with any of the residential neighborhood behind this these? Or do you just discount them? I'm not -- I'm not saying you discount the people. I'm just saying you discount the geographic situation because, you know, if you read

MR. REVUELTA: So, the reality, unfortunately, and this is something that we are not going to be able to do anything about the property to the to the south is 190 . We will not if when a project comes in, we're not going to be able to do anything about that. That is the reality. Okay.

MR. BEHAR: I will be -- that if I vote in favor (unintelligible) --

MR. REVUELTA: Well, let the record show that understand. But that's what they have the right to do. And it's going to be very difficult. That's for another day. I hope that's fine that I came can your client make this work. And you were a little bit more than I wanted to see, you know, kind of work in the 125 feet that the chairman, you know, match.

MR. DE YURRE: Or die trying? Yes. And we can covenant to that. There's no --

MS. MIRO: Let me ask you a question -- I don't know to say it, if he came in at a little bit more than you wanted to see. So why don't we say a little less? If you're saying that's a little bit more than he wanted to see --

\section*{(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)}

MR. AIZENSTAT: I just gave him a number --
MS. MIRO: -- that you know, I don't think --
```

MR. REVUELTA: Is your question to the west?

```
this --

MR. WITHERS: No, no, I'm talking about the residential neighborhood.

This is a trend obviously this was in our code as a transition area. No doubt. I don't know how tall the (unintelligible) building is at four feet at first, okay, this can be taller than the Zooey building. So, it's certainly not a transition at four feet. Okay. It's certainly not a transition. And so, my point is, you know, in this It's still sticking in my craw is like, here it goes. The proposed conditional use does not conflict with the needs and character of the neighborhood in the city. So, what is the neighborhood? And IT staff says, yes, it does, you know, it doesn't conflict with them. It says the proposed conditional use will not adversely unreasonably affect the use of other property in the area. The neighbors? Oh, no, it doesn't conflict with that. I mean, come on.

MR. AIZENSTAT: So, Chip, is there a motion --
MR. WITHERS: I want to listen, and again, I'm a code guy. You know, what if we deviate from the code and we want to do it because we think that it
25 doesn't affect the neighbors? You know, vote for it.

So, you're doing a master planning plan. Right. Okay. And there were two conditions

MR. REVUELTA: I forget. Now the other one was height and loading.

MR. DE YURRE: Condo versus condo versus broken?

MR. REVUELTA: Those were my I moved to approve with those to move to

MR. AIZENSTAT: approve. That's why I'm sorry, issues. What high or

MR. COLLIER: height for me would be 130. Your motion is 130. All right. Mr. Chairman, I just want to explain the context of worries. If this item were before the City Commission, and they wanted to go with a lower height than what the comp plan provides, they would have had to have a finalized COVID covenant with the opinion of title, reducing the height to whatever the height is, at best in this posture. Since we're making a recommendation, if you approve the item for the comp plan, then the best that you can do is recommending acceptance of a proper covenant by the applicant, reducing the height to x . So that's what your recommendation is going to be on the complaint if you choose to approve it, where you can recommend it recommend denial,

That's just how I feel. And you know, and I'm not I'm not. I'm not throwing any stones here. I'm just telling you that --

MR. REVUELTA: Oh, but in terms of conditions, do you have a different condition and the ones I was proposing 135, 130 -- proposal at 125 -- I don't know --

MR. WITHERS: I've just seen -- I've just seen --

MR. AIZENSTAT: Well, I just threw a number out --

\section*{(SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)}

MR. WITHERS: -- I've just seen development has done in this city in the past five to 10 years. But residential neighborhoods, I have no problem with Medina's (ph.) project. You know, I voted for the extra high Medina, for low lower density. I had absolutely no problem with that. Six and a half. Yeah, I get to have. But when we -- when we start to when we start to abut residential neighborhoods, I just, we just need to really gatekeeper on that stuff. And I just I'm sorry, I mean, no, no,

MR. REVUELTA: no, I mean, you can promote diversity is the magic. You cannot university right. But that's by far the second or third meeting in a row
now about this and some other issues. I'm leading with a CD to look at what 34 You've got to change that. Because that is the well thirty-four, He's the biggest enemy for

MR. BEHAR: everybody here is black. Let's say he will represent you know, as an attorney, that's a Bert Harris. Gentlemen,

MR. AIZENSTAT: let's focus on the property we have before us.

MR. COLLIER: I just
MR. WITHERS: don't really appease me. I mean, I don't mean vote where you feel obviously proposed what you feel is, is right, I just have my printer. And that's how I am, you know,

MR. REVUELTA: there's people through the senior member with

MR. WITHERS: us, but I've seen it Look, I've seen it with what the plaza did to the residences on Coconut Grove drive there, and whatever. I've seen it when it's doing in other areas. I just, you know,

MR. AIZENSTAT: I think there's no question. All right, let's move forward on

MR. REVUELTA: actually, move with a couple of conditions that

MR. AIZENSTAT: was height on the master.
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MR. BEHAR: you made a motion is there a second right.

MS. MIRO: So, your motion is to go from 149 to 135 which is 14 Less right 313130

MR. REVUELTA: And the only other organ and that is

MR. BEHAR: and he got a throw Is there
MR. AIZENSTAT: a second? So that motion dies. Um, it is 930. I, I would like to propose we just extended to 10 o'clock because I don't see a stopping and Chip was right. Is chip would you like to make motion? Okay, all right.

MR. COLLIER: We have a motion to testify before no one before I

MR. BEHAR: may Before I vote on that, whatever will not finish by 10 o'clock. I'm not going to stay past

MR. AIZENSTAT: that time. That's a decision we take at that time. If we I want to put it there because, okay, we have a motion at 10 o'clock buzzer. Court Reporter

MR. WITHERS: Court Reporter is on overtime anyway. So, it was it

MR. DE YURRE: hasn't been my fault. It hasn't been my fault, right? Not me, Mr.
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MR. AIZENSTAT: Guys, guys, we have a motion have a second. Second. Cloudy a second. Everybody say I have some favor? Aye. Anybody against? Okay, so that motion failed. Anybody want to make a second motion?

```

MS. CABRERA: Mr. Behar --
MR. AIZENSTAT: -- as you're chomping at the lips,

MR. BEHAR: I, I'm, I'll make a motion with a maximum height. And it may not be about 110 feet,

MR. AIZENSTAT: we have a motion of 110 feet. Would that be

MR. COLLIER: is the is that we need to know from the applicant because what you're going to your recommendation at this point can't be a condition. It is a recommendation that you accept a proffered covenant from Counsel, if Counsel is not prepared to do 110 B, then you have to understand that you can condition

MR. DE YURRE: that they can sorry, go ahead. They can they can condition the approval on a proper from us. I don't have to be or

MR. COLLIER: indicate tonight that you're going to do a voluntary proper covenant of 110 feet, I'm not going to ask you to produce the covenant now with an opinion of title, you'll have to do that a

MR. AIZENSTAT: You'd like to make a motion to vote on the item as presented? Yes. On for the masterplan.

MR. COLLIER: Motion. Well,
MR. AIZENSTAT: is that motion in motion for? Is that a motion for approval as presented? No. So, it's, what is your motion? I'm sorry?

MS. MIRO: No motion to take up the taken up as presented? Yeah.

MR. COLLIER: You don't? You don't need a motion to that. So just need to make a motion.

MR. AIZENSTAT: So, you want to make a motion to approve it?

MS. CABRERA: No,
MS. MIRO: that's not my that's something.
MR. BEHAR: Are you making a motion to deny the application? So

MR. AIZENSTAT: you're making a motion to deny the application as presented?

MR. COLLIER: That we're working with e to that's the master. Right. We're just coming in. Just doing the master plan.

MR. AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to deny as presented. Is there a second?

MS. CABRERA: I'll second that.

Commission. But at least this Board will recommend that they're going to approve it with the acceptance of a proper covenant from the applicant of XP, because if not

MR. BEHAR: a profit covenant, then
MR. COLLIER: it's got to be a volunteer now.
MR. AIZENSTAT: We're going to go ahead and just take motions the way it is here.

MS. MIRO: That was my question. Mr. Chair, can we just vote on the item the way that it was presented? Again, I think the last time we came up, somebody

MR. AIZENSTAT: wants to make a motion. But right now, we have robber at 110 feet and the applicant? Are you okay with that? I

MR. DE YURRE: mean, it would have to be conditioned on a profit. But I can't offer the proper right now. Because it's just significantly if

MR. COLLIER: you're in the motion as an attorney representing labs and technical discussion, you can indicate to the Board that you will proffer a covenant at Commission at 110 feet. Right.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Okay, so that that dies. Um,
MS. MIRO: I'd like to make a motion to vote on the item as presented.
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MR. AIZENSTAT: We have a second. Any discussion? No. Call the roll, please.

MS. MENENDEZ: Claudio Miro?
MS. MIRO: Yes.
MS. MENENDEZ: Luis Revuelta?
MR. REVUELTA: No.
MS. MENENDEZ: Chip Withers?
MR. WITHERS: Yes.
MS. MENENDEZ: Robert Behar?
MR. BEHAR: No.
MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?
MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.
MS. MENENDEZ: 3-2.
MR. COLLIER: So, we don't have that you have an opportunity if you want to try to reach a four-boat minimum or we just go with a 332 denial which is -- was not -- will not constitute a recommendation because there has to be

MR. AIZENSTAT: so, it goes to Commission without a recommendation.

MR. COLLIER: Correct
MR. AIZENSTAT: Okay, thank you.
MR. COLLIER: Then, then we would move on to

MR. BEHAR: No, we can because then if --

MR. AIZENSTAT: You have a motion to approve

\section*{Page 164}

TDR. If they don't get the height. I don't know that
MR. AIZENSTAT: Understood. So, we have
motion. We have a second Any other discussion.
MR. BEHAR: I can put a condition. I think he said

MS. MIRO: but he also said we don't know that. Right. You said you don't know that we can?

MR. COLLIER: On the TDR? Yes, correct. I'm not sure where that were really.

MR. AIZENSTAT: So, we have a motion to the TDR. No, we have a motion. We have a second. Lagos. Any other discussion?

MR. WITHERS: We proved that they could do it. If they want to do it. They don't have to do it. But we are proving that they can do it. I think we have, and we need to let them know how we feel about

MR. AIZENSTAT: we have a motion a second call the roll please

MS. MENENDEZ: Luis Revuelta?
MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
MS. MENENDEZ: Chip Withers.
MR. WITHERS: Yes.
MS. MENENDEZ: Robert Behar?
MR. BEHAR: Yes.
MS. MENENDEZ: Claudia Miro? do you move on to the next item? If you have a no recommendation on the on that item on the master?

MR. COLLIER: What's the point you still have to take up the others. All right. Okay. Because you're making a recommendation and you make up Make a motion make it you can have it the same boat for all of them if you want to what is

MR. REVUELTA: what is the next four? What is the

MR. DE YURRE: nature of the next item is number three is the TT RS

MR. BEHAR: TDR transfer of a TDR to a receiving side they get in the alley. The pipeline --

MR. COLLIER: The E-4 is a -- that's the site plan, conditional use review. And \(\mathrm{E}-5\) is the plan read.

MR. REVUELTA: I would think you're right now on each one of them

MR. AIZENSTAT: We already have in the Commission. Anybody wants to make a motion on Item e three.

MR. REVUELTA: That's which
MR. DE YURRE: one the CTR, CTR. CTR is that were approved by historic or make a motion to approve.

\section*{MR. AIZENSTAT: Understood. So, we have a}
the TDRs. A second. We have a second. Any discussion?
MR. BEHAR: Yes. Why would I approve travel TDR? When I don't have a complaint that will require MR. DE YURRE: we can we'd obviously have me play hypothetical devil's advocate. We can fit the square footage at a lower height. But then

MR. BEHAR: you don't need to the arts because your height is going to tell you how much he the art you're going to do.

MR. DE YURRE: He's right. We can we can you change the balloon a little bit. But you can do is the city staff.

MS. CABRERA: The Commission has to approve the project. Correct? Is there a recommending Board? Right mission is to approve the branch correct.

MR. DE YURRE: And the TDR is just to point out one fact that that was the item that was approved by historic understand.

MR. REVUELTA: You were sending we're sending your message to the right. So that's why

MR. AIZENSTAT: so, you have a motion to prove TDRs we have a second. Robert under discussion said how we do it. Mr. Caller, we can do it.

MR. COLLIER: You can make a recommendation on each item. Okay. It may not be possible to do the
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    MS. MIRO: No.
    MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?
    MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes. So --
    MR. WITHERS: I'll move a motion on the alley.
    MR. COLLIER: Back to you one now. Yeah.
    MR. WITHERS: Okay. That before replanted?
    MR. COLLIER: I think we do we. But I think
    MR. AIZENSTAT: the city has a concern.
        Yeah, we did. If it's
            MR. WITHERS: all so that was going to be my
        next question. Can I put a condition on? Tony Ali
            MR. AIZENSTAT: vacation? It's only a
        recommendation. I'm sorry. It's only a
        recommendation. Right.
            MR. COLLIER: But what is the recommendation?
            MR. WITHERS: Was it only it only would be
        applicable if the property does
            MR. COLLIER: not split? Yeah, I think
        that's fair.
    MR. WITHERS: Is that your main concern?
MR. BEHAR: I will stop or defer to public work and staff that will be acceptable to them to them
MR. WITHERS: and tell us what your concerns are about
MR. BEHAR: the alley vacation if

```

MR. WITHERS: this property doesn't get sold? Right. What was the question? I'm sorry, we were discussing. So, on the alley vacation, you expressed a concern. I believe earlier that if the project was never developed that now the owner has an alley and if they split it the city's now last

MS. CABRERA: week, my understanding from Public Works is that we would not be recommending approval if it wasn't because the developer owns both sides and alleys really renders at that point. But if he turns around tomorrow and sells have one of the staff have it because he didn't get the project approved, then we would not have

MR. WITHERS: the same ownership that under suicides, right same ownership on both sides.

I just don't see how that.
MR. WITHERS: I mean look I'd really like to see something development. I really would because it needs to be we've all agreed. And I think that we can, you know, we need to help as much as we possibly can do that. And that's the whole purpose of my try to give an impetus to the applicant as to what how I feel about SO.

MR. AIZENSTAT: But, you know, a concern that
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alley will be vacated with a condition that both side of the alley has to be under the same ownership? If not, revert back to the excitement. Nice to see you again. Again. Well, I don't know if --

MR. DIAZ: -- locational data will be tied to a replay. And that pretty much takes a situation because you no longer have different parcels.

MS. MIRO: I'm sorry, see that again? Because I didn't, I don't follow

MR. MORRIS: the vacation or the alley, it's subject to the reply. Once you reply, you have a single partial, and you no longer have different parcels you can subdivide and sell. So, the alley will react

MR. REVUELTA: to your concern. What happens if we're saying, okay, we're willing to accept the vacation of the alley, as long as both sides are under the same ownership? And there's a unity of

MR. COLLIER: vital essentially, there be a unity of title between two parcels? One property, I haven't condition, condition the, the vacation upon the unity of title between both parcels. And if you prove the replat, they're going to have that part. You have two different ways to tie him in. Okay.

MS. MIRO: And there were too many people
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1 talking at the same time. I'm sorry, I know. There's 2 so many people talking at the same time and 3 interrupting, I just want to hear from one person, just 4 what it is that we're discussing.

MR. COLLIER: Okay. So, you're approving the vacation of the alley subjected to a unity of title between the two parts?

MR. BEHAR: Exactly. So, they could never sell half and that it will be now becomes one parcel, which is okay.

MS. MIRO: Okay. But what happens to the site plan issue? Is it possible that we not vote on this until we have a site plan? I mean, what about that issue? Because I mean, I think we're addressing one concern, right? With the vacating of a plot of one-part cells, etc. But what about,

MR. BEHAR: well, Claudia, but we're not approved, just because we're vacating the alley, we're not approving any project, what we're doing is we're going to make that one contiguous site.

MS. MIRO: So, what happens in the future? What is how does that make us vulnerable in the future? That's my question.

MR. BEHAR: They're going to be entitled to the 13,000 square feet. That's it. That's going to be

and Mr. Collier, that will be the only implication that they will get benefit by us. Bacon in the other

MR. COLLIER: side plan that's going to include both parties

MS. CABRERA: with the Unity correct, you
MR. BEHAR: you're not approving any type of person, what would you give them, but they can in the alley, enough land area, if the TV Rs are used, because it may not be subject to TDR transfer? If it's not them, then somebody else may not have those --

MS. MIRO: Here's my other question. How are we negatively impacting anyone if we don't approve vacating the ally if we don't move forward with that? How does that you know, negatively affect anyone a future applicant? The current Apple, I mean, where we are right now,

MR. REVUELTA: going developer speaker developer

MR. AIZENSTAT: We have to look at what's here now before us

MS. CABRERA: Yeah, and in all honesty, in in other cities, and I don't know, Craig could speak to this more than I can. But in other cities that I've worked in, you would actually reply, and you will unify the line. And this will become one lot. Now, the

MR. COLLIER: Right? Well, we're going to do that and another item. So, I'm going to do that in a sec to a unity of title should probably just put on covenant,

MR. AIZENSTAT: why not do a? Is it not better for Platt?

MR. COLLIER: Well, we're, we're kind of with belts and suspenders with a flat, they're going to connect it also require a unity of title for the vacation. So, you know, in both, we're going to do both.

MR. AIZENSTAT: And that's your that's my recommendation. Okay. We have a motion

MR. WITHERS: tip, you have the just I want to ask staff a question. Who's the who was the planner?

MR. MORRIS: Who's the planner?
MR. WITHERS: So, from a city's perspective, is that parcel better for development from the city's perspective as two separate lots or is one big lot from a planning as far as building construction or storage, your vision on the larger lot better for the city to, to bring it together for future development.

MR. AIZENSTAT: But if this project done, they'd have to complete the park, I want to hear --

MS. CABRERA: -- because you have to fit the parking as required in the code. Not everybody's in
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1 favor of larger, amassing a lot of pieces of land to
developer may not want to do that yet, because he may not want to unify the lot if he can't get this development, because it may not be worth it for him when he wants to sell the other piece. So

MR. REVUELTA: we've got the occasion that
MR. AIZENSTAT: you want to make, correct?
MR. DE YURRE: With the news. We either build it all together, or we're doing anything.

MR. BEHAR: We got to ask the developer if
MR. DE YURRE: there's, if you're saying it has to be in the title, and you don't want to split it up, then, yes, obviously, we're not going to split this thing up, it's either going to go all altogether, or it's not. And that is too correct is to correct it. And this night, and five go together and what he's saying,

MR. BEHAR: If I understood correctly, you say that unless you're you don't want to unify the party, you want to keep

MR. DE YURRE: them separate. Now we can unify, and we don't care agreement. If that's a condition, we'll do it, I'm here to

MR. BEHAR: to, I'll make a motion to approve the vacation of the ally, with a condition subject to the Reporting.
put a very long building, right. But because of the parking, I just want to make sure that she's not put in a spot

MS. CABRERA: where she's saying as a planner.
MR. WITHERS: understood. But in that area, you know, that whole areas? I guess, Miriam. Now, whatever those smaller office buildings are, you know, there's starting to be somewhat of a consolidation, we actually changed it to where it was a 10,000 square foot lot they could do instead of, so I just want to know, from the city's perspective, would they rather see two lots and one,

MR. BEHAR: I think if we unify a lot, and it's not Mr. Morris, somebody else comes later. We could we could ask for public benefit, just as in way, because we're going to be approving a project. Right.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Robert, you made a motion before there's, we have a second, okay. Any other?

MS. MIRO: I just wanted to say, but if we unify right that the two lots, then that means forever and ever, it's always going to be a big project that comes there.

MS. CABRERA: I think that's really like a question for the owner if he wants to do that.

MS. MIRO: But I'm just saying it'll, he wants to do that, and we end up in a violent movie you're going to find a lot, then it's always going to be a big building there. If you have a separate than it may be a possibility to have two smaller buildings, right, or two smaller structures, or you're going to

MR. BEHAR: keep what you have there because it's not a really true buildable lot, and you're going to have that it forever. Yeah, that's the reality. I don't care. We may not want to have a tall building for what's there today. It is shameful to have

MS. CABRERA: I just got to say that. From what I've learned from the planning, the city planner is that the parking and not the site not being developable is more an issue of its height awesome, because they can't get the parking. Just the way we met.

MR. AIZENSTAT: We have a second and that motion is with both the plat and

MR. BEHAR: unity of title.
MR. AIZENSTAT: And with both,
MR. WITHERS: you're combining e one and E five together as well.

MR. BEHAR: This is unity of title. Right?
MR. AIZENSTAT: This is only vacating. We're not We're not combining them correctly, we're
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the conditional use for the mixed-use project. As E-4 --
MR. DE YURRE: it seemed logical, just do a five.

MR. COLLIER: I mean, if you want to do E-5 first, which is the replat we can do the replat first,

MR. REVUELTA: practically voted for that.
MR. BEHAR: make a motion to approve is five with the same condition with the same conditions.

MR. COLLIER: Well, on a five actually by the replat. It is going to unify the time are you planning to one parse

MR. BEHAR: the motion made a motion with that condition?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I second.
(INAUDIBLE SPEAKERS -- SIMULTANEOUS SPEAKING.)
MR. AIZENSTAT: Call the roll please.
MS. MENENDEZ: Robert Behar?
MR. BEHAR: Yes.
MS. MENENDEZ: Claudia Miro?
MS. MIRO: No.
MS. MENENDEZ: Luis Revuelta?
MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
MS. MENENDEZ: Chip Withers?
MR. WITHERS: Yes.
MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?

MR. BEHAR: doing the replanting just yet.
MR. AIZENSTAT: We're only doing that we want
MR. COLLIER: all we're doing is building
This thing, the approval the vacation of the ally to a unity of title. That's the most. That's it.

MR. AIZENSTAT: We call the roll with the conditions that you mentioned.

MR. BEHAR: With a condition.
MR. AIZENSTAT: All the roll please.
MS. MENENDEZ: Chip Withers?
MR. WITHERS: Yes.
MS. MENENDEZ: Robert Behar?
MR. BEHAR: Yes.
MS. MENENDEZ: Claudia Miro?
MS. MIRO: No.
MS. MENENDEZ: Luis Revuelta?
MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?
MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.
MR. DE YURRE: Then the five.
MR. BEHAR: the five replied logical
replanning with a condition with whichever condition or --

MR. REVUELTA: replanning is a no brainer -MR. COLLIER: The next one. The next one is
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And the last one for is for mixed-use site plan and condition

MR. BEHAR: we can -- we can make that approval.

MR. AIZENSTAT: So, do you want to make a motion?

MR. BEHAR: Claudia, do you want to make a motion?

MS. MIRO: (Inaudible speaking.)
MR. BEHAR: We could make a motion to deny. I'll make a motion to deny
MR. REVUELTA: what is the -- is the item whether we would allow or we would recommend to the Commission that this would be a mixed-use property or project or land and --

MR. DE YURRE: it's a recategorize it as MX D ultimately whatever we develop is restricted by what was decided today but it just says MX D but if it doesn't specifically approve any.

MR. BEHAR: No but I would like to see a project for me to approve right there. So, without a project I cannot second your motion your motion is to deny the second was --

MR. WITHERS: denied and I'm sorry --

MR. BEHAR: because what is the logic? What am I approving? I could we historically we have approved only with a budget.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion?
MR. REVUELTA: What is the definition? Really quick.

MR. DE YURRE: I'm sorry, there was a lot of discussion -- different -- is a mixed-use district regulation from the 2007 zoning code. Remember, we're going forward it's under the old code. It is not tied specifically with height. And staff can confirm that the only reason we need (inaudible) is because we're going under the old zoning code. The new zoning code dealt with that.

MR. REVUELTA: vote in favor of changing to MX D. We are not approving or recommending a certain height --

MR. BEHAR: -- a motion a second motion
MR. AIZENSTAT: And a second. Any other discussion? No.

Call the roll, please. Yes.
MS. MENENDEZ: Luis Revuelta?
MR. REVUELTA: We're voting on a denial. She voted in favor of that I voted against it.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Continue with the roll.
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recommendation for you on that item. Yes. As far as since we're literally will make a motion. I knew put it

MR. REVUELTA: for the next No,
MR. COLLIER: I went, we have to, we have a special meeting scheduled for what's the date, the

MR. AIZENSTAT: 21st, which has only one item on it. So, we --

MR. COLLIER: we then if we're going to do this, we need to announce now that we need a motion to defer this to the 21st.

MR. AIZENSTAT: I'd like to ask, tell me about this item.

MS. CABRERA: We hear the item first and then they could decide if they wanted to.

MR. COLLIER: We only have five minutes to extend the time it's

MR. BEHAR: committed for two minutes, yes.

I don't know. I don't want to rush it. If you want to, if you want to just tell you

MS. CABRERA: what it is and then you all decide.

MR. AIZENSTAT: We need to clear the room. If everybody's leaving silence, please leave we'll have a meeting.

MS. MENENDEZ: Chip Withers?
MR. WITHERS: No.
MS. MENENDEZ: Robert Behar?
MR. BEHAR: Yes.
MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?
MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.
MS. MENENDEZ: Claudia Miro?
MS. MIRO: No.
MS. MENENDEZ: 3-2.
MR. BEHAR: And for the record, if I had a
project, I would vote in favor.
MR. AIZENSTAT: Agree. Okay. I think that's
MR. REVUELTA: hopefully you have a clearer picture of work to do.

MR. DE YURRE: And like I said, this is a difficult task, and it's between the law and the ones and I appreciate the service.

MR. REVUELTA: Thank you. I've always worked in big rewards to give me a clear, concise direction. So, I don't have to continue to see as

MR. DE YURRE: Mr. Bae horn, I will have a philosophical debate. You and I can actually get coffee and have a philosophical debate about all the nuances

MR. COLLIER: Mr. Chairman, we have I have a
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MS. CABRERA: You know, it's not the TDRs of the museum might help if it is not ours. No, no, no, it's actually restoring the zoning that the that parcel had prior to the 2021 zoning code cleanup, we just want to restore it to what it was before we lost development rights and that rezoning which was inadvertently done it should not have been that's really all it is it's restoring it to what it was pre 2021 and

MR. WITHERS: I'm in favor that but I have some questions that's all okay, so then you guys that so does that affect the fuel gallery or their development rights above the fuel gallery the new building or is it only development rights above the old

MS. CABRERA: development rights are on the historical building but not on the gap? I don't know if that's the kind of questions I have is the record before

MR. BEHAR: you do that. Other than that, restoring the previous zoning we're not doing anything else to it right we're not I'll make a motion to approve.

MR. AIZENSTAT: No hold we got to read it into the record.

MR. COLLIER: Yeah. We're going to we're going to go forward. Let me read into recognize

MR. WITHERS: what lot because you have you done the in the in the thing you have the lot of the new fuel bill

MR. COLLIER: Wait, let's not start with the discussion. Okay. But let me read it in weight. Item II six an ordinance of the Come back to theer call Gables, Florida making zoning district boundary changes. Please, please. District boundary changes pursuant to zoning code article 14 process section 14 two went to zoning code, text and map amendments for the property located lots. one through four block 34 of Coral Gables Section K 285. Oregon Avenue. Coral Gables, Florida from special use ES district to mixed use one MX one district and lats 42 through 48 including 20-foot alley lane between block 34 of Coral Gables Section K Coral Gables, Florida, from special use \(S\) district to mixed use to \(m x\) two district providing for repealer provision severability clause and providing for an effective date. Item II six public hearing. Can we ask if there are anyone here to speak on the item? As Chairman? Just for the record?

MR. AIZENSTAT: Jill, do we have anybody even on Zoom? No. There is nobody here?

MR. COLLIER: Is there anyone in the audience wishing to speak on this? Let the record reflect no
one is indicated. Mr. Chairman, it's you've got the
MR. AIZENSTAT: do you want to stop
MS. CABRERA: the presentation so I could show? And can you

MR. AIZENSTAT: just tell us basically what it is you overall what you want to do

MS. CABRERA: is the site. The site used to be prior to there's the location map. It used to be before the 2021 code change. It was commercial. And in the code cleanup, it changed to special use. And we're just trying to go back to what it used to be which the equivalent of it today, which is what the \(M X\) one, MX one and MX thank you that we had all the neighborhood meeting, it's in front of you today to put a Commissioner first reading and then it'll come back to you. All the public notification went out to the 1000 -foot radius. And the staff recommends that yeah, that we basically go back to what it was before. Thank you.

MR. WITHERS: Is there city attorney I serve on the Board of the Museum is that conflict.

MR. COLLIER: I don't believe that's a conflict.

MR. AIZENSTAT: Do you get to derive a financial

MR. COLLIER: TDRs 457? Do you have a fiduciary responsibility to the museum, and this does impact the museum? So may well have a conflict.

MR. EDUARDO GONZALEZ: So, you
MR. COLLIER: so sometimes they spend this to the next meeting. So, I can see if I have a conflict. Is that possible? Well, I wouldn't mind consulting with the city attorney, or you can you have four members? Voting. So, you, you'll have to file a statement indicating your conflict. So, it's not just announcing it, there's a statement

MR. BEHAR: conflict.
MR. COLLIER: So, would you sit a Board on the Museum, and I presume it? It does. This is embodied on Wednesday. They did not. Are you currently a Board Member? Currently

MR. TORRE: a Board Member? I listened.
MR. COLLIER: I think I think that it would be bad.

MR. BEHAR: We have a motion to approve. And we have a second.

MR. COLLIER: I think it is. It may be a conflict. Okay.

MS. CABRERA: Makes a difference. It's a city owned property. That makes a difference. Morris
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was just what it was no
MR. BEHAR: monetary gain. city owned
MR. COLLIER: property. Just put by
MR. WITHERS: if you guys want to, I don't have I don't have an issue with a gentleman.

MR. AIZENSTAT: We have one minute
MR. REVUELTA: I made a motion to approve.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I second.
MR. AIZENSTAT: Any discussion? No. Call the roll, please.

MR. BEHAR: Yes. Make a motion to adjourn.
MR. AIZENSTAT: So, favor say aye. (CHORUS OF AYES)
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\hline STATE OF FLORIDA \\
COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE \\
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
I, GARRETT LORMAN, Professional Reporter, \\
certify that I was authorized to and did report the \\
foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true \\
record. \\
I further certify that I am not a relative, \\
employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor \\
am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' \\
attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I \\
financially interested in the action. \\
WITNESS my hand and official seal this 24 th
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline A & added 72:7 & afraid 44:23 & 16:25 17:11 & 143:3,16,22 \\
\hline Abandonment & 85:10 & African 27:5 & 18:9,18,22 & 144:1 147:8 \\
\hline 7:18,19 & adding 18:6 & afternoon 30:11 & 19:20 20:23 & 149:1,4,21 \\
\hline abide 29:23 & 26:18 36:25 & 33:8 48:18 & 21:1 23:6,11 & 150:5,8 151:24 \\
\hline 67:25 68:8 & 63:17 126:7 & 56:2 & 23:14,19 27:12 & 152:14,19 \\
\hline ability 2:24 3:12 & 147:16 148:13 & Agave 11:11 & 28:4,6,8,11,16 & 153:21 154:10 \\
\hline 4:24 23:23 & addition 39:22 & aged 47:24,25 & 28:20 32:8 & 155:8,21,25 \\
\hline able 20:20 24:7 & 40:20 57:19 & agenda 5:19,25 & 33:5,10 35:14 & 156:9 157:8,18 \\
\hline 24:16 25:19,21 & 119:24 & 6:8,16 7:7 15:7 & 36:5 37:14 & 158:1,7,11 \\
\hline 26:19 46:14,23 & additional 13:6 & 17:10 24:19 & 40:3,24 41:17 & 159:7,13,23 \\
\hline 62:24 83:22 & 23:13 74:3 & 137:6 & 46:1 48:15 & 160:1,5,12,18 \\
\hline 88:14 106:15 & 130:25 & agent 6:18 & 50:2,6,15,19 & 160:23 161:1 \\
\hline 107:11 143:16 & address 5:16 & agnostic 82:25 & 53:20 58:5 & 161:11,12,19 \\
\hline 151:3,5 & 18:9 19:22 & 83:3 & 59:12 60:3,19 & 161:22 162:1 \\
\hline aborting 31:5 & 20:8,24 24:4 & ago 56:10 59:7 & 60:24 61:4,8 & 162:19,25 \\
\hline absolutely 19:12 & 33:11 39:6 & 60:18 114:10 & 61:25 63:22 & 163:21 164:2 \\
\hline 88:7 127:25 & 44:9 50:7,16 & 114:18,25 & 64:5,12 66:15 & 164:10,17 \\
\hline 132:25 154:17 & 64:13 66:20 & 115:20,23 & 66:19 68:14 & 165:2,3,8,12 \\
\hline abut 154:20 & 67:10,10 72:2 & 118:24 & 69:25 71:23 & 166:25 170:19 \\
\hline abutting 14:21 & 85:20,21 & agree 23:25 48:5 & 74:11,14,22 & 171:6 172:5,11 \\
\hline accept 158:16 & addressed 38:4 & 53:18 55:22 & 80:10 81:19 & 172:22 173:18 \\
\hline 168:16 & addressing 17:4 & 69:18 99:14,17 & 82:24 83:17,21 & 174:17,20,24 \\
\hline acceptable 94:6 & 169:14 & 125:1 132:9 & 83:24 91:4 & 175:2,6,9,18 \\
\hline 165:22 167:20 & adds 71:5 & 148:8 149:24 & 94:17 98:21 & 175:19 176:16 \\
\hline acceptance & adjacent 39:14 & 167:4 179:12 & 103:12,15,24 & 176:25 177:1,6 \\
\hline 156:21 159:2 & adjourn 185:12 & agreed 22:5,6 & 106:12,20 & 178:4,19,25 \\
\hline accepted 12:16 & adoption 2:9 & 99:15,19 & 112:9,11,20,24 & 179:5,6,12 \\
\hline accessible 101:7 & advantage 31:14 & 116:11 166:20 & 113:2,17 114:3 & 180:6,11,23 \\
\hline accident 75:17 & adversely 47:11 & agreement & 115:17 116:17 & 181:22 182:22 \\
\hline 75:18 80:6 & 47:22 153:17 & 97:24 138:20 & 122:18,22,25 & 183:2,5,24 \\
\hline account 102:6 & advertisements & 144:10 171:21 & 123:6 125:11 & 185:6,9,13 \\
\hline acknowledge & 12:23 & ahead 2:4 5:10 & 127:4,9 128:16 & Alan 20:6 38:6 \\
\hline 84:4 & advice 29:13 & 6:20 19:4 & 129:22 130:1,9 & 46:6,16,19,23 \\
\hline acre 24:18 & 32:5 & 23:20,24 24:4 & 130:12 131:12 & 52:8,18 53:17 \\
\hline acres 10:9 26:11 & advised 4:6 & 24:6 29:16 & 131:15,23 & 53:17 98:24 \\
\hline action 94:19 & Advisory 65:2 & 62:4 64:7 & 133:3,12,14,17 & 110:14 \\
\hline 186:11,12 & advocate 163:5 & 71:20 74:15 & 133:20 134:3 & Alesio 60:6 \\
\hline activities 3:8 & advocating 98:2 & 103:18 113:1 & 134:13 136:10 & Alessio 30:12 \\
\hline acts 3:5 & aesthetic 40:1 & 129:3 137:18 & 136:13,17,22 & 66:22 \\
\hline actual 105:6 & affect 4:24 & 158:19 159:7 & 137:5,7,16,18 & Alexander 3:19 \\
\hline 112:3,3 & 106:22,22 & aid 148:15 & 138:4,10,16,18 & Alhambra 22:22 \\
\hline ad 82:14 & 153:18,25 & air 33:23 107:21 & 139:1,5,8,10 & 23:1 29:20 \\
\hline add 48:3 69:13 & 170:14 181:11 & aisle 167:11 & 139:15,18 & 40:8 46:7,20 \\
\hline 70:24 73:1 & affirmative 2:8 & Aizenstat 2:3 & 140:2,9,11,14 & 51:22,23 65:25 \\
\hline 79:10 81:6 & affluent \(88: 12\) & 4:3,4 5:9,23 & 140:19,21 & 67:18 99:5 \\
\hline 91:21 107:3 & afford 25:21 & 7:10 8:7 10:25 & 141:3 142:7,19 & Ali 165:11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline aligned 35:21 & 101:18 119:22 & America's 58:25 & apartments & 15:8 23:4 25:2 \\
\hline Alison 39:17 & Alliance 117:21 & Americas 41:9 & 31:22 82:1 & 77:22 111:7,11 \\
\hline Allah 51:17 & allow 2:17 13:6 & amount 18:7 & 129:15,18 & 141:19 158:20 \\
\hline Allen 41:13 & 32:1 73:14,23 & 36:24 77:6 & apologize 83:16 & 160:6 166:9 \\
\hline 62:24 65:18 & 103:13 110:2 & 85:15 & 126:7 & 175:4 177:5 \\
\hline alley 7:22 8:18 & 177:14 & AmTrust 48:20 & Apopka 78:2,3,6 & approvals 46:8 \\
\hline 9:7,22 10:12 & allowance 32:20 & analyze 94:23 & Appealing 48:7 & approve 15:10 \\
\hline 11:7,19 12:17 & 106:18 & 98:17 & appear 3:2 & 47:2 109:10 \\
\hline 12:24 13:1,17 & allowed 26:22 & and/or 3:9 & appeared 38:10 & 113:13 140:6 \\
\hline 15:9,11 16:2,5 & 37:7 38:17 & animal 114:13 & appease 155:11 & 143:25 144:1 \\
\hline 16:6,12,13,20 & 54:10 56:20 & 114:14 & applaud 71:15 & 156:8,9,19,24 \\
\hline 17:14 18:6,14 & 69:18,22 & announce 180:9 & applause 91:5 & 159:2 160:13 \\
\hline 24:13,14,17,18 & 101:22,25 & announcing & Apple 170:15 & 162:24,25 \\
\hline 26:12,14,14,15 & 102:2,5,8 & 184:11 & applicable 32:14 & 163:2,13,15 \\
\hline 29:12,14 31:4 & allowing 35:4 & annoy 95:22 & 165:17 & 170:12 171:23 \\
\hline 31:5 37:11,13 & 48:6 53:25 & annual 15:2 & applicant 2:10 & 176:7 177:20 \\
\hline 55:11 63:11 & 68:20 & answer 15:23 & 2:16 6:18 & 177:22 181:21 \\
\hline 64:21 66:11 & allows 31:3 56:1 & 23:4 103:18 & 14:19 17:21 & 184:20 185:7 \\
\hline 79:17 97:25 & 65:9 68:1 & 113:25 & 91:1,2 145:25 & approved 6:12 \\
\hline 98:3 100:2,3,7 & 103:20 110:23 & answered & 156:22 158:14 & 12:2 13:12 \\
\hline 101:11 102:11 & 127:19 129:15 & 142:16,20 & 159:3,15 & 16:7 22:17 \\
\hline 102:11,15 & 144:24 & 143:4 & 166:23 170:15 & 24:21,23 25:1 \\
\hline 119:5 125:23 & alluded 94:14 & answers 80:14 & applicant's 29:1 & 31:25 34:9 \\
\hline 126:1,5 127:24 & 109:7 & 88:24 & 117:2 & 35:12 118:25 \\
\hline 128:2,4,9,11 & ally 65:5 79:22 & Anthony 19:24 & applicants 15:2 & 162:24 163:17 \\
\hline 128:12 130:12 & 127:21 137:12 & 93:5 & 144:17 & 166:13 169:18 \\
\hline 136:13 137:11 & 170:13 171:24 & anybody 5:10 & application 2:17 & 178:3 \\
\hline 138:21 140:3,5 & 175:4 & 24:2 60:20 & 7:21 14:14,16 & approving 7:14 \\
\hline 141:6 142:9,12 & alongside 39:10 & 68:15 95:3,22 & 32:6 78:7,8,10 & 8:24 9:15 10:5 \\
\hline 142:13 148:22 & Altera 64:15 & 96:14 97:4,6 & 78:12 160:17 & 169:5,19 170:6 \\
\hline 162:14 165:4 & alteration 66:10 & 113:19,20 & 160:19 & 173:17 178:2 \\
\hline 165:25 166:3,5 & alterations & 123:6 125:19 & applied 73:25 & 178:16 \\
\hline 167:10,13,18 & 41:24 & 134:9 139:22 & applies 101:3 & approximately \\
\hline 168:1,2,10,13 & alternative & 158:3,4 162:20 & apply 107:19 & 7:22 105:25 \\
\hline 168:17 169:6 & 128:10 & 182:22 & appointed 28:12 & 114:9 119:5,7 \\
\hline 169:18 170:8 & altogether & anymore \(25: 5\) & appreciate & arcade 13:20 \\
\hline 182:15 & 171:13 & 34:10 44:1,1 & 45:25 89:25 & 101:20,21,23 \\
\hline alleys 7:20 65:7 & amassing 173:1 & anytime 41:8 & 91:3 99:1 & 102:10 \\
\hline 65:15 68:24 & ambience 52:24 & anyway 51:5 & 121:24 167:3 & architect 65:19 \\
\hline 69:11 125:13 & amending 8:10 & 52:6 73:13,16 & 179:17 & 96:11 115:15 \\
\hline 125:23,25 & amendment & 73:19 82:11 & appropriate & 118:17 119:15 \\
\hline 166:10 & 8:14 24:25 & 104:16 157:23 & 6:23 13:2 & 135:14 147:5 \\
\hline alleyway 7:15 & amendments & apart 109:11 & 15:14 21:12,20 & 147:16 \\
\hline 17:7,8,9 37:11 & 8:13 182:10 & 145:10 & approval 6:11 & architects 12:2,3 \\
\hline 39:13 79:20 & amenities 58:12 & apartment & 9:2 13:22 & 26:4,7 56:25 \\
\hline 100:15 101:15 & 71:13 123:16 & 21:24 & 14:16,18,23 & 76:6 94:12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 95:10 102:22 & arguing 67:16 & 183:20 184:8 & 50:2 54:11 & 84:20,21 112:2 \\
\hline 120:13 121:19 & arguments & 186:9 & 64:19 66:7 & 118:6,7 134:7 \\
\hline 126:7 129:17 & 110:8 & attorneys 5:17 & 69:7 72:18 & basically 31:25 \\
\hline architectural & Arjan 53:21 & 186:11 & 77:10 79:23 & 55:20 56:18 \\
\hline 22:16 38:21 & Arjun 55:17 & attract 22:3 & 83:12 97:13 & 82:7 125:23 \\
\hline 46:24 107:17 & arrive 41:25 & attracted 40:11 & 99:12 116:3,5 & 142:5 143:6 \\
\hline 107:24 108:8 & art 60:13 163:9 & audience 119:13 & 116:8 118:11 & 183:5,18 \\
\hline 108:13 121:18 & article 7:16,16 & 182:24 & 118:15 126:2 & basis 96:13 \\
\hline 146:21 & 7:19 8:12 9:1 & August 12:9 & 131:25 134:16 & bat 150:22,25 \\
\hline architecturally & 9:17 10:7 & 19:2 & 143:19 146:9 & Bay 77:24 \\
\hline 149:14 & 182:9 & Augustine 59:16 & 147:13 149:9 & Beach 70:21 \\
\hline architecture & arts 163:7 & Aurelio 30:12 & 152:15 165:5 & Bear 31:21 \\
\hline 22:24 38:19,22 & aside 52:1 & authorized & 168:3 182:6 & beautification \\
\hline 149:16 & asked 24:12 & 186:5 & 183:11,15,18 & 59:22 \\
\hline area 21:10 34:8 & 32:15 35:7 & availability & background & beautiful 37:9 \\
\hline 34:23 35:8 & 55:10,10 84:17 & 53:11 58:2 & 92:17 & 39:12 45:12,19 \\
\hline 36:2 38:25 & 85:2,4 94:23 & available 3:10 & backside 138:21 & 49:8,16 51:24 \\
\hline 42:4,25 43:16 & 99:16 109:21 & 22:15 70:23 & backup 39:22 & 58:22 59:3,17 \\
\hline 45:21 48:4,8 & 113:22,24 & avenue 10:15 & backyard 47:12 & 59:24 65:25 \\
\hline 55:10,13 56:18 & 128:17 & 19:25 29:10 & 47:14,16,17,19 & 67:17,18 68:9 \\
\hline 58:13 60:10,11 & asking 15:21,24 & 30:13 31:13 & 71:16 & 71:21 86:22 \\
\hline 62:23 63:8,9 & 25:2,3,5,6 34:1 & 32:11 33:13 & Bacon 170:2 & 88:11 99:2,5 \\
\hline 65:12 66:23 & 34:16 35:25 & 35:18 38:3 & bad 30:20 48:1,4 & Beautifully 60:1 \\
\hline 67:5,11 69:9 & 37:7,18 46:9 & 41:3 42:17 & 51:12,12 59:11 & beautify 49:19 \\
\hline 70:8,9,19,24 & 47:21 67:19,20 & 44:11 47:7 & 69:14 124:24 & 58:12 109:14 \\
\hline 71:12,20 72:8 & 68:23,25 85:2 & 53:11,24 58:7 & 184:19 & beauty 20:12 \\
\hline 75:24 81:5 & 86:23 87:6,6 & 60:6 64:15 & Bae 46:2 179:21 & becoming 44:22 \\
\hline 82:6,11,17 & 87:19 95:10 & 66:22 68:19 & Bakery 36:20 & bedrock 145:2 \\
\hline 83:11 85:25 & 96:7 97:20 & 72:4 115:13 & balance 98:4 & beepers 2:5 \\
\hline 89:16,19,23 & 101:13 103:14 & 133:16 182:12 & ballistically & beginning 46:6 \\
\hline 100:20 102:18 & 109:8 117:7 & average 133:24 & 147:24 & 58:21 95:20 \\
\hline 104:23 115:9 & 136:20 & 133:25 135:22 & balloon 163:11 & 126:3 133:19 \\
\hline 118:1 123:10 & asks 89:9 & avocado 13:9 & bam 58:21,23 & behalf 6:24 84:8 \\
\hline 123:11,16 & aspiration 20:19 & awesome 58:22 & bank 48:21 & 85:23 \\
\hline 124:17 126:6 & Assistant 7:2 & 174:15 & 72:14 & Behar 3:17,18 \\
\hline 130:18 132:6 & association & awkward 72:19 & Banos 62:3,4,5 & 16:10 17:23 \\
\hline 132:10 135:1,5 & 64:10 & aye 123:4 & Barakat 47:5 & 102:24 103:7 \\
\hline 149:17 153:6 & atom 32:4 & 139:20 158:3 & 48:16,18,19 & 107:24 108:8 \\
\hline 153:19 170:8 & attached 142:13 & 185:13 & 70:1,2 88:4 & 115:14,19 \\
\hline 173:6 & attended 51:8 & AYES 123:5 & barely 50:25 & 116:7,18 \\
\hline areas 82:18 & ATTENDEES & 139:21 185:14 & Barkat 70:2 & 118:11 120:5 \\
\hline 130:18 155:20 & 5:22 & & barrier 63:6 & 120:15 121:7 \\
\hline 173:7 & attention 29:21 & B & base 40:23 118:4 & 121:25 122:6 \\
\hline arguably 51:23 & Attilio 35:15,17 & B 114:14 158:18 & 121:16 130:24 & 122:16,20 \\
\hline argue 89:4 & attorney 117:2 & baby 56:22 & based 5:2 41:22 & 123:9 124:10 \\
\hline 135:20 & 155:6 159:20 & back 7:4 34:9 & 78:18 80:8 & 124:12,16,19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 126:13,18,23 & 127:20 138:8 & 54:6,13 59:23 & 4:21,22,24 5:1 & 73:18 143:9 \\
\hline 127:3,7,11,15 & 138:13 144:15 & 84:24 87:4 & 5:5 6:3,21,25 & bottom 129:16 \\
\hline 127:23 128:6 & 147:21 166:4 & 95:4 152:9 & 12:1,3,7,10,14 & bought 48:11 \\
\hline 128:11 130:3 & 183:22 & 172:18 173:22 & 12:15,18 13:11 & 60:18 \\
\hline 133:8 136:8,12 & BELL 26:5 & 174:4 179:19 & 13:11 16:24 & Boulevard 7:25 \\
\hline 136:14,18,23 & 39:19 & bigger 12:1,11 & 17:2 19:2 20:8 & 8:20 9:9,24 \\
\hline 137:9 138:7,15 & belong 39:14 & biggest 155:3 & 22:17 25:11 & 10:16,18 20:7 \\
\hline 138:17,19 & 79:18 & bike 48:25 49:5 & 30:12 32:22 & 39:10 48:20 \\
\hline 139:3,6,9,12 & belonged 39:2 & 96:23 & 33:20 35:25 & 58:16 69:5 \\
\hline 139:16,23 & belongs 54:20 & biked 48:25 & 37:20 46:25 & 72:15 \\
\hline 141:1,14,19,21 & 54:22 & bill 182:3 & 50:4,11 53:14 & boundary \\
\hline 141:24 142:16 & belts 172:8 & Biltmore 1:14 & 53:25 54:21 & 126:14 182:7,8 \\
\hline 142:18,20 & bene 53:5 & 23:2 51:25 & 62:6 64:20,24 & boutique 38:22 \\
\hline 143:4 144:19 & beneficial & 52:3,5 & 64:25 65:2,2 & box 39:5 92:8 \\
\hline 145:11,17,19 & 119:12 120:15 & binder 79:9 & 66:7,8 67:4,7 & boxes 104:24 \\
\hline 146:13,23 & 128:15 & Bird 41:19 & 68:23 80:11,24 & boy 52:20,22 \\
\hline 147:14 150:21 & benefit 29:25 & 48:19 62:20 & 90:21 110:23 & brainer 175:24 \\
\hline 151:7 152:1,11 & 32:3 42:7 & birds 56:11 & 116:9,10 & branch 163:15 \\
\hline 155:5 157:1,7 & 97:22 104:6 & bit 12:11 123:23 & 117:13 118:14 & breathing 49:15 \\
\hline 157:15 158:6,9 & 120:18 125:21 & 125:8 132:23 & 118:18,23 & Brian 47:5 \\
\hline 159:5 160:16 & 125:21 127:22 & 133:21 151:13 & 120:13 131:19 & 48:19 \\
\hline 161:9,10,25 & 128:14 134:17 & 151:19,21 & 146:25 159:1 & Brickell 19:25 \\
\hline 162:13 163:2,7 & 170:2 173:16 & 163:11 & 159:21 163:14 & 27:10 39:2 \\
\hline 164:4,23,24 & benefits 33:1 & bizarre 115:6 & 183:21 184:13 & 70:20 73:10 \\
\hline 165:21,25 & 57:14,20 & black 92:19 & 184:16,17 & 74:9 \\
\hline 167:16,25 & Berkshire 40:7 & 155:5 & Board's 6:23 & briefly 65:14 \\
\hline 169:8,17,24 & Bernal 32:9,10 & blessing 22:21 & Boards 68:2,6 & bring 18:4 22:2 \\
\hline 170:6 171:9,17 & 32:10 33:6 & 41:10 & boat 162:7 & 35:25 55:8 \\
\hline 171:23 173:14 & Bert 155:7 & blight 41:11 & Bob 55:19 & 57:20 58:12 \\
\hline 174:7,19,23 & best 14:3 41:12 & 86:19 & Boise 95:12 & 72:7 95:17,19 \\
\hline 175:1,8,12,13 & 51:12 82:5,9 & block 7:24 8:17 & Bolton 68:21 & 172:21 \\
\hline 175:21 176:7 & 82:12,12,16,18 & 8:19 9:6,8,21 & bones 107:7 & bringing 30:23 \\
\hline 176:12,17,18 & 83:13 156:18 & 9:23 10:11,13 & bonus 27:2,3,4 & 31:1 \\
\hline 177:4,8,11,21 & 156:20 & 33:13 47:19,25 & 38:19 103:19 & brings 42:5 \\
\hline 178:1,18 179:3 & better 17:19 & 53:12 75:22,24 & 103:25 105:1,3 & 57:14 \\
\hline 179:4,10 & 19:14,18 30:6 & 182:11,15 & 106:19,21 & broaden 59:20 \\
\hline 180:17 181:18 & 72:21 88:13,13 & blockaded 126:1 & 107:4 127:9,10 & broken 103:1 \\
\hline 184:12,20 & 93:9 94:3,9 & blocks 42:18 & 127:14,17,19 & 156:6 \\
\hline 185:2,12 & 120:25 141:9 & blue 100:25 & bonuses 69:12 & broker 29:11 \\
\hline believe 14:7 & 147:4 150:20 & Blumenthal & 106:15 120:6 & brought 19:14 \\
\hline 19:13 32:24 & 167:21 172:6 & 117:19 & 130:20 150:9 & 57:22,22 90:16 \\
\hline 33:25 54:2,4,8 & 172:17,20 & Board 1:6 2:6,7 & book 104:24 & 106:13 118:13 \\
\hline 57:19 66:25 & beyond 119:22 & 2:10,12,14,24 & booms 49:18 & 118:19 \\
\hline 81:11 95:5 & 121:23 147:21 & 3:8,12,14 4:6,7 & born 52:20 & Bucelo 3:19 \\
\hline 97:4,25 113:25 & big 18:13 34:2 & 4:7,14,14,15 & 68:19 & budget 178:3 \\
\hline 117:19 125:5 & 45:20 48:5 & 4:16,16,19,21 & bottleneck & build 20:16,17 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 20:17,18 24:17 & 43:14 52:15 & 166:7 170:5,21 & Carlin 71:15 & 53:15 63:12 \\
\hline 34:13 37:8 & 67:17 69:1 & 172:24 173:5 & Carolina 59:16 & 153:9,10 \\
\hline 46:13,14,17 & 70:8,9 105:17 & 173:24 174:12 & carries 123:7 & certify 186:5,8 \\
\hline 48:8,9 49:20 & 173:8 174:5 & 180:13,21 & cars 34:25 42:2 & chair 3:13 159:9 \\
\hline 57:4 63:10,11 & builds 67:17 & 181:1,14 183:3 & 73:8 95:2 & chairman 136:7 \\
\hline 65:22 67:20,20 & built 26:22,24 & 183:7 184:24 & case 4:20,22 & 151:15 156:12 \\
\hline 68:9 69:1 77:1 & 27:1 47:18 & Cadagua 68:19 & 54:19 61:9 & 179:25 182:21 \\
\hline 92:14 99:22 & 57:15 69:21 & calculation & 77:23 78:3,4,5 & 183:1 \\
\hline 171:8 & 70:25 71:21 & 123:11 & 78:8,9,20 82:6 & Chairperson \\
\hline buildable 174:8 & 82:8 99:22 & call 2:4 3:13,16 & 100:8 102:3 & 2:14 \\
\hline builder 51:21 & burden 22:13 & 6:3 24:7 27:24 & 107:9 117:7,19 & challenge 19:14 \\
\hline builders 52:9 & burgeoned 53:4 & 28:23 75:15 & 117:19 121:2 & 19:17 \\
\hline building 11:17 & burned 34:2 & 105:13 123:3 & 140:10 & chamber 2:23 \\
\hline 21:19,24 22:4 & bus 110:13 & 133:10 161:2 & cases 53:6 79:6 & 6:19 99:2 \\
\hline 22:8,11 24:22 & business 32:21 & 164:18 175:6 & 79:10 80:16,16 & Chambers 1:16 \\
\hline 25:9 26:18 & 32:21 40:17 & 176:16 178:21 & 117:3 & 5:18 \\
\hline 27:4,9 29:21 & 42:4 49:13 & 182:6 185:9 & cast 97:1 & change 11:7,19 \\
\hline 31:11 32:16 & 57:11 119:16 & called 24:5 & Castillo 97:10 & 13:3 32:17 \\
\hline 33:14 35:5 & businesses 40:17 & 89:15 97:13 & Castle 114:23 & 34:5,9 46:11 \\
\hline 38:18,23,25 & 55:7 60:9 & 105:13 148:13 & Catalonia 7:25 & 55:12 91:19 \\
\hline 43:3 45:2,5,8,8 & buy 74:5 95:8 & Caller 163:23 & 8:20 9:9,24 & 110:24 113:11 \\
\hline 45:19 46:8,9 & buyers 40:19 & calling 5:13 64:9 & 10:18 & 113:12 116:13 \\
\hline 46:13,14,15,16 & buzzer 157:20 & 64:16 & catch 96:24 & 117:7 119:7 \\
\hline 46:17,24 48:21 & & calls 87:19 & caught 56:25 & 121:16 147:12 \\
\hline 49:21 51:24 & C & Camilo 42:17 & cause 73:18 & 155:2 163:11 \\
\hline 53:11 54:3 & Cabrera 11:1,2 & 44:11 & 129:24,25 & 183:9 \\
\hline 55:5 56:17 & 11:2 15:13,17 & cancel 32:5 & caused 38:13 & changed 105:7 \\
\hline 69:4,7 71:5,20 & 15:25 16:14,17 & cantankerous & causes 85:17 & 106:21 110:17 \\
\hline 72:14,19,20 & 16:20 17:17,21 & 52:2 & 87:21 & 116:3 173:10 \\
\hline 91:22,23 92:2 & 17:24 80:19,24 & capture 110:4 & CBD 107:18 & 183:10 \\
\hline 95:16 96:18 & 81:4,13 83:25 & 111:2 & 108:1,4 & changes 30:5 \\
\hline 98:13,14 99:3 & 92:15 100:12 & car 46:20 48:25 & CD 155:2 & 33:20 75:12 \\
\hline 99:5 105:6 & 100:19 101:5 & 49:5 122:15 & Cecilia 41:3 & 85:5 182:8,8 \\
\hline 107:16,17,25 & 101:10,12 & 132:10 & ceiling 150:20 & changing 60:11 \\
\hline 108:12 109:13 & 103:16 111:3 & Carbonell 63:23 & celebrate 22:24 & 69:8,11 106:21 \\
\hline 123:13,15,17 & 111:18,21 & 63:24,25 64:2 & cells 169:16 & 110:20 178:15 \\
\hline 135:23,24 & 112:10,17,21 & 64:4,4,6,8,14 & center 52:10,10 & channel 135:12 \\
\hline 141:12 145:13 & 113:3 126:11 & 64:14 & 52:11 58:23 & Chapter 7:18 \\
\hline 147:11,21 & 127:5,13,17 & Cardenas & 126:14 130:18 & character 79:11 \\
\hline 149:7 150:21 & 129:24 130:2,4 & 133:10,15,15 & centrally 126:16 & 115:8 153:14 \\
\hline 152:13 153:7,9 & 130:10 131:8 & 133:18,23 & century 23:1,3 & Charlie 115:18 \\
\hline 172:19 173:2 & 131:13,17 & cards 95:1 & certain 45:20 & 116:11 \\
\hline 174:4,10 175:3 & 141:20 142:7,8 & care 56:7,8 86:8 & 84:19 93:10 & chat 6:1 \\
\hline 181:13,15 & 142:17 147:9 & 97:22 171:21 & 95:9 132:14 & cheap 76:25 \\
\hline buildings 20:15 & 158:6 160:14 & 174:10 & 178:16 & check 39:5 \\
\hline 20:16 30:22 & 160:25 163:13 & careful 141:11 & certainly \(17: 9\) & 100:12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline checking 147:9 & 49:8,15,16 & 113:21 & 65:11 66:4 & 145:3,15,18,21 \\
\hline checklist 112:13 & 50:21 51:10,24 & Claudia 3:20 & 67:20,23 68:11 & 145:23 146:9 \\
\hline 112:13 & 52:21,24,24 & 123:1 164:25 & 69:2 84:20 & 155:10 156:11 \\
\hline children 47:24 & 56:3,13,23 & 169:17 175:14 & 86:23 87:5,16 & 157:13 158:13 \\
\hline 47:25 55:1,13 & 57:2,20 58:9 & 176:19 177:8 & 87:18 89:12,13 & 158:22 159:6 \\
\hline chillers 47:13 & 58:10,22,24 & 179:7 & 91:18 92:6 & 159:19 160:4 \\
\hline chip 4:1 50:20 & 59:3,17,24 & Claudio 161:3 & 93:13,24 96:1 & 160:10,20 \\
\hline 98:21 116:23 & 63:2 65:6,14 & clause 8:2 9:12 & 96:2,10,13 & 161:14,21,23 \\
\hline 152:2 153:21 & 68:1,23 69:2 & 10:2,21 182:18 & 100:10 101:6 & 162:4,15 \\
\hline 157:11,11 & 69:17 71:17 & cleanup 181:4 & 103:13,24 & 163:24 164:8 \\
\hline 161:7 164:21 & 77:14 78:2,3,6 & 183:10 & 104:15,17 & 165:5,7,15,18 \\
\hline 175:10 176:23 & 79:21 83:4 & clear 79:4 93:23 & 106:21 107:20 & 168:19 169:5 \\
\hline 179:1 & 88:11,11,12 & 107:12 131:5 & 108:18 109:7,9 & 170:1,3 172:1 \\
\hline chomping 158:7 & 92:22 93:9 & 138:10 147:6 & 110:8,16,23,25 & 172:7 175:3,25 \\
\hline choose 17:3 & 97:21,24 98:19 & 179:19 180:23 & 111:11,13 & 176:4,9 179:25 \\
\hline 113:7 156:24 & 99:1,8 100:5 & clearer 179:13 & 112:3,3 114:7 & 180:4,8,15 \\
\hline CHORUS 5:8 & 100:12 103:17 & clearing 102:13 & 120:1,16,20 & 181:24 182:4 \\
\hline 123:5 139:21 & 109:3 113:9,15 & clearly 5:15 & 121:1,3,21,23 & 182:24 183:22 \\
\hline 185:14 & 114:9 116:12 & 26:13 118:14 & 124:4 127:20 & 184:1,5,13,18 \\
\hline Christie's 11:11 & 119:8,12,17 & clerk 3:7,10 & 128:21 147:10 & 184:22 185:3 \\
\hline Circle 11:12 & 120:16,18 & 61:1,7 79:9 & 147:11 153:6 & color 51:2 \\
\hline 30:19 40:8 & 125:13,14,16 & client 77:2 & 153:23,24 & combination \\
\hline Circuit 78:20 & 125:22 126:8 & 149:22,23 & 172:25 178:9 & 102:6 \\
\hline cite 77:23 & 126:13,17,21 & 151:13 & 178:10,13,13 & combining \\
\hline cited 117:2 & 129:6,7 130:4 & close 6:20 27:22 & 181:4 182:9,10 & 174:21,25 \\
\hline cities 52:16 & 130:7,15,24 & 40:15 42:24 & 183:9,10 & come 23:21 \\
\hline 170:22,23 & 131:13,18 & 43:15 66:23 & codes 67:21,25 & 33:20 34:9 \\
\hline citing 89:10 & 153:14 154:14 & 69:15 74:15 & 68:1,6 & 37:5 49:13,16 \\
\hline citizen 65:18 & 156:14 163:12 & 87:20 89:12 & codified 119:19 & 52:8 53:7 54:9 \\
\hline citizens 70:14 & 165:8 167:9,19 & 97:21 99:21 & 119:23 & 54:11 56:13 \\
\hline city 1:5,16,16 & 172:21 174:13 & 126:1 139:2 & coffee 179:23 & 68:25 89:18,23 \\
\hline 2:18,20,23 3:5 & 183:20 184:8 & closer 67:7 74:6 & colleague 87:3 & 90:20,22,22,24 \\
\hline 3:7,8,9,10,13 & 184:25 185:2 & 74:7 & 130:13 & 94:4,4 95:13 \\
\hline 5:18 7:3,13,18 & city's 40:23 & closest 47:8 & colleagues 84:6 & 97:13 118:15 \\
\hline 7:21 8:9,10,23 & 71:18 88:14 & 71:10 95:23 & 116:22 124:2 & 132:10,21 \\
\hline 9:14 10:4 & 166:6 172:16 & closing 17:14 & 128:18 & 141:16 143:19 \\
\hline 13:14,21 14:19 & 172:17 173:12 & Closure 7:19 & collected 126:22 & 147:13 149:9 \\
\hline 20:17 22:10,13 & civility 41:22 & Cloudy 158:2 & collecting & 153:20 182:6 \\
\hline 22:19,24,25 & claim 52:13 & Coconut 155:19 & 126:24 & 183:15 \\
\hline 26:21 30:1 & clarification & code 7:15,16,18 & Collier 6:17 7:8 & comes 42:10 \\
\hline 31:6 34:15 & 91:7 & 8:11,25 9:16 & 7:9,11 8:8 & 69:3 95:15 \\
\hline 35:4 37:19 & clarify 29:4 & 10:7 34:13, 13 & 16:25 17:2 & 138:7 141:10 \\
\hline 38:4 39:12 & 92:15 101:19 & 37:23,24,25 & 28:21 60:24,25 & 141:16 142:23 \\
\hline 41:5,12 43:7 & 102:19 111:4 & 38:18 54:3,6,8 & 61:6,12 117:2 & 151:5 173:15 \\
\hline 43:20 45:12,18 & classification & 54:14 56:1,20 & 117:10 138:13 & 173:23 \\
\hline 46:20,23 47:1 & 95:12 96:5,15 & 63:10,12 65:9 & 144:5,12,22 & comfortable \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 35:10 149:17 & 163:13 177:15 & 30:2,24 31:2 & 132:6,21 140:3 & 176:1 \\
\hline 152:8 & Commissioner & 86:5 & 140:4,9 165:8 & conditioned \\
\hline coming 83:18 & 8:4 183:15 & compelling 98:7 & 165:20 166:4 & 159:17 \\
\hline 97:18 98:12 & Commissioners & 98:18 & 166:25 168:15 & conditioning \\
\hline 130:21,25 & 38:5 54:22 & competent 5:3 & 169:15 & 107:22 \\
\hline 131:4 132:14 & committed & 61:2 75:6 & concerned 38:5 & conditions 9:11 \\
\hline 160:21 & 29:25 99:2 & 77:18,21,23 & 39:22 51:17 & 10:1,20 11:15 \\
\hline comment 3:2 & 180:17 & 78:1,7,15,18 & 53:8 88:21 & 14:18,18 \\
\hline 6:8,19,21 & committee & 79:1,12 80:4 & 148:1 & 137:21,23 \\
\hline 16:25 23:23 & 11:25 & 89:20 117:11 & concerns 21:9 & 144:3,5,6,11 \\
\hline 29:12 74:15 & Committees 3:9 & 117:13,17,25 & 38:12 72:5 & 145:7 148:23 \\
\hline 81:21 131:2 & communication & 118:4,8 120:24 & 84:22 85:6 & 149:5 154:5 \\
\hline 134:11 & 4:9,10,11,18 & complaining & 90:13 128:17 & 155:24 156:2 \\
\hline comments 2:25 & 4:19,23 5:6 & 97:4 & 128:19 148:15 & 175:7 176:8 \\
\hline 3:3 6:23 20:3,4 & communicatio... & complaint & 165:23 & condo 30:6 \\
\hline 21:3,5 80:8,11 & 4:5,8 & 156:23 163:3 & concerts 49:3 & 76:23 77:3 \\
\hline 84:21 86:24 & communities & complete 83:2 & concession 87:4 & 133:23 134:6 \\
\hline 89:9 90:17 & 49:23 90:3 & 172:23 & 87:5 99:10,13 & 145:13 147:11 \\
\hline 94:2 98:24 & community & completed 45:3 & 99:15 & 156:5,5 \\
\hline 116:24 123:2 & 22:21,22 29:19 & 125:17 & concessions 66:1 & condominium \\
\hline 125:10 132:17 & 30:9 35:22 & completely & 75:18 80:7 & 22:1 46:17 \\
\hline 134:9 & 40:2 41:8,12 & 21:12 35:21 & 84:17,18 99:10 & 148:7 \\
\hline Commerce 42:4 & 41:14,23 57:14 & 56:24 70:25 & concise 6:5,9 & condominiums \\
\hline 99:2 & 82:15 83:11 & 82:25 83:3 & 179:19 & 31:15 41:6 \\
\hline commercial & 84:14 86:11 & 97:6 118:15 & conclude 52:25 & 109:13 \\
\hline 8:15,16 31:8 & 87:22,24,25 & completion 20:3 & 125:10 & condos 34:3,4 \\
\hline 66:1 69:4,6 & 88:5,13 94:16 & compliance 36:1 & concluded 61:15 & 34:10 54:16,18 \\
\hline 70:11 114:15 & 167:9 & complied 59:9 & concrete 39:9,11 & 81:25 132:21 \\
\hline 114:16 115:1 & comp 14:14 & complies 14:16 & Conde 57:8 & 148:6 150:19 \\
\hline 115:10,12 & 110:5,6 111:1 & 24:24 & 58:14 60:4,5,5 & conduct 49:13 \\
\hline 129:8 183:9 & 112:2 114:12 & comply 24:24 & condition & conducted 4:19 \\
\hline Commission & 114:12,14,18 & 100:24 & 139:25 142:13 & 15:3 \\
\hline 1:16 2:18,23 & 115:2 118:5 & component & 144:15,16 & conductive \\
\hline 3:9 5:18 7:14 & 121:24 136:18 & 76:10,22 & 145:12 149:6 & 81:10 \\
\hline 8:9,23 9:14 & 144:6,13,15,18 & comprehensive & 154:5 158:15 & configuration \\
\hline 10:4 13:14 & 145:4,6 146:13 & 8:11,12 24:19 & 158:18,20 & 143:12 \\
\hline 15:13 31:25 & 146:13 149:3 & 24:22 114:10 & 164:4 165:11 & confirm 178:11 \\
\hline 32:22 44:6 & 156:15,20 & comprised 2:6 & 167:10,17,25 & conflict 76:22 \\
\hline 49:24 50:22 & company 20:9 & compromises & 168:1,21,21 & 144:14 153:13 \\
\hline 53:1 68:2 & 57:17 & 148:17 & 171:22,24 & 153:16,19 \\
\hline 98:19 99:19 & compare 26:17 & concept 114:11 & 175:8,22,22 & 183:21,23 \\
\hline 110:24 111:22 & 36:11 99:22 & 137:1 & 176:8,13 177:3 & 184:3,6,10,12 \\
\hline 113:13 138:22 & 118:21,21,23 & concern 38:13 & conditional 9:16 & 184:23 \\
\hline 143:21 156:14 & 150:17 & 72:12 82:23 & 9:17 15:8 & confused 43:19 \\
\hline 159:1,22 & compared 15:20 & 86:1 87:21 & 104:7 153:13 & 80:14 \\
\hline 161:19 162:20 & comparison & 128:25 129:1 & 153:17 162:16 & conjunction \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline connect 172:9 & contention & 59:24 64:10 & 102:11 103:1 & COVID 156:16 \\
\hline connected & 143:7,14 147:3 & 65:6 68:1,20 & 103:21,22 & CPA 58:11 \\
\hline 186:11 & context 21:18 & 70:14,19 71:9 & 104:10,18 & craft 31:19,20 \\
\hline connection & 76:19 79:11 & 72:16 74:2 & 112:11 124:7 & 39:17 45:9 \\
\hline 144:14,17 & 115:8 156:13 & 79:21 86:2 & 127:19 & 62:21 \\
\hline 145:5 & contiguous & 114:9 126:14 & counted 101:19 & Crafts 7:24 8:17 \\
\hline consequences & 152:21 169:20 & 182:12,12,15 & 102:18 & 9:6,21 10:11 \\
\hline 55:4 & contingent 25:1 & 182:16 & counties 78:19 & Craig 11:3,5 \\
\hline consider 2:15 & continuance & Cordain 50:18 & counting 103:4 & 170:22 \\
\hline 4:25 17:9 35:7 & 2:11,17 & core 40:21 & 123:18 & craw 153:12 \\
\hline 46:15,18 85:14 & continue 40:22 & corner 46:24 & country 52:15 & crazy 37:1 \\
\hline 85:15 87:20 & 139:22 178:25 & 49:7,14,20 & 95:8,8 & create 19:14,17 \\
\hline 89:7,8,9,24 & 179:20 & 58:12 72:22 & counts 103:10 & 39:9 63:5,6 \\
\hline 90:14 & continued 2:13 & 86:18 109:14 & 104:2 123:17 & 75:18 77:6 \\
\hline considerate 90:1 & 12:8,8 & corporate 31:20 & county 11:10 & 79:25 104:5 \\
\hline consideration & continues 69:17 & corporation & 78:3,19 186:2 & 114:11 \\
\hline 36:3 43:24 & continuing & 61:22 & county's 78:11 & created 22:8 \\
\hline 63:13 66:13 & 97:16 & correct 7:9,10 & couple 40:10 & 132:12 \\
\hline 71:11 79:15 & contribute & 8:7 14:6 16:12 & 80:15 155:23 & creates 76:22 \\
\hline considered & 40:22 & 17:23 23:9,10 & course 35:23 & 132:17 \\
\hline 30:23 49:22 & control 114:13 & 31:4 96:12 & 49:2 51:1 & creating 22:9,15 \\
\hline 61:2 78:25 & 134:20 135:2 & 100:18 103:15 & 65:17 77:5 & 39:10 122:5,5 \\
\hline 79:1 117:24 & controversial & 105:7,8 106:17 & 94:18 112:22 & creation 22:11 \\
\hline 124:17 & 79:21 & 107:9 116:7 & 150:14 & credit 30:9 \\
\hline consistent 14:14 & controversies & 136:9,17,22 & court 5:21 19:22 & creeping 74:6 \\
\hline 15:11 32:13 & 80:1 & 140:8 141:15 & 50:16 55:18 & crescent 38:24 \\
\hline 86:23 140:5 & conversation & 142:25 147:12 & 78:11,12 & criteria 30:7 \\
\hline consolidation & 4:10 121:3 & 148:10,12 & 117:24 157:21 & 39:5 109:17,19 \\
\hline 173:9 & convince 122:1 & 161:21 163:14 & 157:22 & 110:25 111:1 \\
\hline conspiracy & 122:2 & 163:15 164:8 & courthouse & 112:1 \\
\hline 77:13 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o o l }} 45: 14\) 72:10 & 170:5 171:6,14 & 11:10 49:12,14 & crooked 96:16 \\
\hline constant 42:22 & copy 3:9 & 171:14 & 116:1,1 & cropping 43:15 \\
\hline constantly 44:16 & coral 1:5,15 & correcting 14:12 & courtyards & cross 11:10 20:4 \\
\hline 72:15 119:14 & 2:20 3:6,14 7:3 & correctly 32:20 & 101:7 & 28:21 29:1,2 \\
\hline constitute 2:7 & 7:14 8:1,9,11 & 100:15 105:18 & covenant 144:20 & 44:20,23 45:1 \\
\hline 77:21 78:1 & 8:21,24 9:10 & 144:11 171:17 & 145:5,13,20 & 73:6 97:10 \\
\hline 117:16 161:17 & 9:15,25 10:5 & 174:25 & 146:1,17,18 & crossing 31:16 \\
\hline construction & 10:19 20:20 & correspondence & 149:11 150:11 & 43:11,12 44:21 \\
\hline 172:19 & 21:6 22:10 & 4:10 & 151:17 156:16 & 44:24 45:5 \\
\hline consultant & 27:15 29:10,21 & corridor 125:24 & 156:21 158:17 & 81:10 \\
\hline 116:12 & 31:8 36:24 & costs 121:13,18 & 158:23,24 & crowning 73:21 \\
\hline consulting 184:7 & 39:2 41:3,20 & council 60:25 & 159:3,5,22 & Cruz 24:8,9,9 \\
\hline contact 4:9,15 & 42:20 43:25 & counsel 28:21 & 172:4 & 26:6 27:13 \\
\hline contemplated & 44:11,14 46:15 & 158:17,17 & covenants & 28:5,7,9 88:9 \\
\hline 135:22 & 48:22 52:20 & 186:9,11 & 144:13,14,17 & cry 54:24 \\
\hline content 167:16 & 57:24 58:21 & count 101:22 & 147:7 & CTR 162:23,23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 162:23 & 49:7 51:9 97:9 & 179:15,21 & denied 25:12,12 & deserves 94:16 \\
\hline curious 16:15 & 114:16 121:8 & dead-end 128:8 & 25:15 35:9 & design 22:25 \\
\hline 101:2,4,14 & 151:12 186:15 & deal 36:9 74:3 & 54:11 59:7 & 27:5 32:16 \\
\hline current 38:8 & days 26:2,3 & 92:19 121:7 & 64:21 66:6,8 & 38:20,24 59:1 \\
\hline 54:6,8,14 & 88:10 94:5 & dealing 58:24 & 66:14 177:25 & 71:21 138:5 \\
\hline 60:17 92:6 & DCA 78:1,3 & 113:6 & denote 101:24 & 147:2 \\
\hline 95:11 108:16 & 79:3 & dealt 178:14 & denser 31:10 & designated \\
\hline 108:18 170:15 & de 7:24 8:20 9:8 & debate 179:22 & densities 112:6 & 115:4 116:4 \\
\hline currently 13:4 & 9:23 10:16,17 & 179:23 & density 12:11 & Designating \\
\hline 41:10 62:2 & 18:22,23 19:12 & debating 18:3 & 21:13 40:18 & 115:2 \\
\hline 184:15,16 & 19:24,24 28:12 & 54:1 & 48:3 62:15 & designations \\
\hline curvature 14:12 & 28:18 29:4 & decades 68:22 & 63:1,7 67:2 & 124:7 \\
\hline curve 14:3 & 35:1,15,17,17 & 126:22 & 72:7 73:1,8 & designed 25:9 \\
\hline cut 97:10 121:16 & 39:10,23 43:13 & deceiving & 74:4,8 76:4 & 78:6 \\
\hline 148:3 & 48:20 57:12 & 123:24 & 82:2 87:2 & designs 59:19 \\
\hline cycle 129:20 & 69:4 70:10,16 & decide 141:10 & 95:24 96:5 & desire 21:24 \\
\hline & 74:16,17,21,25 & 180:14,22 & 106:6,8 113:23 & 40:15 \\
\hline D & 75:23 81:17 & decided 114:9 & 116:13 117:21 & despite 78:7 \\
\hline D 177:17,19 & 82:24,25 83:19 & 137:2 177:19 & 136:21 154:17 & destination \\
\hline 178:16 & 83:23 86:24 & deciding 50:12 & deny 17:14 & 40:13 \\
\hline Dade 11:10 & 101:18 105:25 & decision 5:2 & 34:21 48:13 & detail 29:21 \\
\hline Dadeland 39:2 & 106:3 114:5 & 58:4 71:8 & 63:18 98:19 & 148:8 \\
\hline 52:11 & 119:18 120:5 & 82:23 118:4 & 160:16,19,23 & details 83:9 \\
\hline danger 85:18 & 120:10,19 & 157:18 & 177:11,12,24 & determined 68:6 \\
\hline dangerous & 121:12 122:4 & dedicated 41:13 & department & develop 14:4 \\
\hline 44:22 97:19 & 122:14,23,25 & 126:3 & 14:10 22:18 & 46:7 95:13 \\
\hline Daniel 57:8 & 124:4,11,13,18 & dedicating 14:1 & 47:177:20 & 99:19 113:16 \\
\hline 58:16 & 132:25 133:6,9 & dedication & 85:9 117:12,14 & 177:18 \\
\hline dark 56:14 & 133:13 134:20 & 10:17 & 118:3,10 131:7 & developable \\
\hline 96:23 & 135:2,6,15 & deemed 118:3 & department's & 174:15 \\
\hline data 91:9,10,11 & 136:24 137:6,8 & defending 38:15 & 77:18 & developed 52:9 \\
\hline 168:5 & 143:6,17,20 & defer 165:21 & departments & 82:20 95:14 \\
\hline date 8:3,22 9:13 & 145:1,20,22 & 180:10 & 57:15 & 166:5 \\
\hline 10:3,22 93:10 & 146:4,6,17 & deferment 19:3 & depend 42:3 & developer 29:18 \\
\hline 180:5 182:19 & 147:1 148:10 & deferring & dependent & 38:6,14,21 \\
\hline daughter 43:9 & 149:11,22,25 & 149:15 & 15:17 & 39:5 51:11,13 \\
\hline 51:19 & 150:7,11 & defined 4:9 & depending & 52:16 55:24 \\
\hline daughters 51:19 & 151:16 152:14 & definitely 39:11 & 96:23 97:11 & 60:14,15 63:2 \\
\hline 57:17 & 152:16 156:5 & 123:17 & 167:7 & 65:20 71:22 \\
\hline David 35:15 & 157:24 158:19 & definition 61:19 & Derek 133:9,10 & 75:17 82:10 \\
\hline 36:6 37:15,16 & 159:16 162:11 & 100:1,22 101:2 & 133:15,15,18 & 84:16 91:11 \\
\hline 71:24,24 72:3 & 162:23 163:4 & 101:6 178:5 & 133:23 & 93:16 98:10,13 \\
\hline 84:12 & 163:10,16 & delightful 50:11 & derive 183:24 & 98:14 99:9 \\
\hline Davis 38:2 & 171:7,10,20 & denial 17:6 & described 9:5,20 & 125:25 126:25 \\
\hline day 34:24 44:18 & 175:20 176:2 & 78:11 156:25 & 10:10 123:19 & 141:13,13,16 \\
\hline 44:19 47:16 & 177:17 178:7 & 161:16 178:23 & deserve 63:14 & 141:25 166:9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 167:7 170:17 & 159:23 & 97:16 & 169:19 171:8 & 34:17 42:18,25 \\
\hline 170:18 171:1,9 & difference 16:1 & discount 152:23 & 175:1,2,3 & 46:5 59:21 \\
\hline developer's 90:2 & 16:23 93:25 & 152:23,24 & 181:19 & 64:22 65:12 \\
\hline developers & 95:4 98:4 & discuss 36:13 & dollars 14:19 & 79:24 97:7,8 \\
\hline 34:16 37:25 & 148:1 184:24 & 137:23 & 31:9 81:4 & 97:12,14,19 \\
\hline 51:11,15 52:9 & 184:25 & discussing 83:21 & 104:4,5,12 & 121:9 138:2 \\
\hline 54:9,21 56:23 & different 8:6 & 142:8 166:3 & 119:10,12 & 148:24 155:19 \\
\hline 57:1 89:22 & 22:23 89:14 & 169:4 & 127:5,7,11,12 & driven 71:4 88:6 \\
\hline 95:9 125:14 & 99:8 109:19 & discussion 6:21 & 127:18 130:17 & 88:7 \\
\hline 126:5 135:17 & 121:3 124:7 & 6:22 83:25 & 130:21 & driveway 121:6 \\
\hline developing 46:7 & 128:3 131:15 & 152:17 159:20 & donating 104:4 & driving 27:10,17 \\
\hline 70:13 & 131:16 143:12 & 161:2 163:1,22 & donation 104:9 & 89:1 95:22 \\
\hline development & 154:5 168:7,12 & 164:3,12 178:4 & 104:9 & 132:11 \\
\hline 7:1 8:25 11:3 & 168:24 178:8 & 178:8,20 182:5 & door 21:22 56:3 & drop 75:11 \\
\hline 11:25 32:21 & differentiate & 185:9 & 62:8 & due 2:13 57:13 \\
\hline 39:16 40:10 & 147:10 & dispute 13:9 & doors 87:22 & 58:1 77:13 \\
\hline 41:25 42:8,12 & difficult 39:24 & distinction 47:8 & Dorothy 49:25 & 89:3 107:7 \\
\hline 46:12 55:11 & 58:1 73:3,6 & 145:24 & 50:8,17 110:15 & Durana 30:11 \\
\hline 56:17 57:19 & 75:8 78:14 & district 32:1,21 & dory \(25: 16\) & 30:12 \\
\hline 58:3 60:16 & 79:11 80:3 & 53:3 54:25 & double 54:8,11 & E \\
\hline 64:11 70:15,16 & 151:11 179:16 & 66:2 78:1 & 54:14 87:20 & E \\
\hline 72:6 73:12,23 & digest 26:3 & 178:8 182:7,8 & 135:6 & e 160:20 162:20 \\
\hline 87:7,24 92:9 & diligence 89:3 & 182:13,14,17 & doubt 84:6 & 174:21,21 \\
\hline 99:6,18 119:7 & diminish 66:25 & 182:17 & 86:12 153:6 & E-1 7:9,13 10:23 \\
\hline 125:4,5 152:18 & diminished & disturbed 38:7 & Dowlen 40:5,25 & 136:10,12 \\
\hline 152:20 154:13 & 65:10 & diversity 154:24 & 41:2,2,18 & E-2 8:8 10:23 \\
\hline 166:19 171:3 & dire 57:16 & doable 148:16 & downsizing 41:7 & E-3 8:23 10:23 \\
\hline 172:17,21 & direct 6:1 & dock 96:17 & downtown 36:24 & E-4 9:14 10:23 \\
\hline 181:5,12,13,14 & direction 19:17 & 124:23 125:2 & 40:11,15,21 & 162:15 176:1 \\
\hline developmental & 29:6 53:1 83:2 & 138:20 139:25 & 42:4,8,23,24 & E-5 10:4,23 \\
\hline 130:14 & 93:8 143:20 & docks 121:17 & 43:15,16 48:10 & 162:16 176:4 \\
\hline developments & 149:18 150:18 & doctor 63:4 & 53:3 54:25 & earlier 61:17 \\
\hline 57:13 58:9 & 179:19 & document 26:3 & 63:1,8 67:19 & 166:4 \\
\hline 74:6 90:8 & directly 39:14 & doing 15:20 & 70:20 73:4 & early 99:19 \\
\hline deviate 153:23 & 62:9 120:11 & 29:23,25 30:1 & 82:1 109:13 & ease 52:13 \\
\hline deviating & director 7:2 & 34:10 58:23 & downward & easement 79:20 \\
\hline 140:13 167:4 & 11:3 81:8 & 86:13 88:17,19 & 33:24 & Easements 7:20 \\
\hline devil's 163:5 & 122:11 & 102:8,10 103:1 & Dr 64:1,3,6 & east 8:19 9:7,22 \\
\hline Diaz 81:7,7 91:6 & disagree 115:22 & 106:3 111:4 & 66:16,17,21 & 10:13 75:23 \\
\hline 91:24 92:12 & 121:1 135:15 & 118:13,16,16 & dramatically & 96:4 97:1,2 \\
\hline 126:9 127:20 & disappointed & 118:24 120:8 & 22:7 & 128:2 167:14 \\
\hline 128:8 142:23 & 38:8 43:6,20 & 121:5,9 132:20 & DRC 12:1 & easy 77:12 \\
\hline 143:1 168:5 & disclose 4:7,21 & 147:18,21 & drive 7:25 8:21 & 133:13 \\
\hline die 140:17 & 5:6 & 148:6 150:2 & 9:9,24 10:15 & Ed 56:6 \\
\hline 151:16 & disclosure 3:4 & 155:20 156:1 & 10:18 25:5,6,8 & Eduardo 42:14 \\
\hline dies 157:9 & discomfort & 160:22 167:1,7 & 26:20 27:6,7 & 44:5,10 184:4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline educate 90:25 & employment & entropy 62:16 & 155:5 158:2 & 108:4 \\
\hline effect 72:23 & 25:20 27:19 & entryway 49:15 & everybody's & Executive 40:7 \\
\hline 73:19 90:12 & encountered & envelope 107:11 & 36:10 85:2 & executives 25:23 \\
\hline effective 8:3,22 & 135:25 & environment & 92:21 172:25 & exist 48:12 80:1 \\
\hline 9:13 10:3,22 & encourage 46:18 & 75:3 & 180:24 & 125:18 \\
\hline 98:1 182:19 & 47:2 118:22 & environmental & evidence 4:25 & existence 4:17 \\
\hline effects 72:9 & encroaches & 110:21 & 5:3 61:3 75:7 & existing 11:14 \\
\hline efficient 121:15 & 65:11 & equal 19:8 & 77:18,21,23 & 14:5 31:2 \\
\hline efforts 91:1 & encroaching & equivalent & 78:2,7,15,18 & exists 42:10 \\
\hline Eibi 4:3 161:11 & 48:10 & 183:12 & 79:1,13 80:4 & exit 39:25 52:6 \\
\hline 165:2 175:18 & encroachment & erroneously & 89:21 117:11 & expansion 32:1 \\
\hline 176:25 179:5 & 63:9 64:22 & 116:3 & 117:13,17,25 & expense 22:11 \\
\hline eight 50:20 & endeavor & ES 182:13 & 118:4,8 120:24 & expensive \\
\hline 103:20 & 149:18 & especially 31:19 & evident 119:24 & 120:12 121:5,9 \\
\hline eighty 30:6 48:2 & ended 93:11 & 41:15 167:13 & evidentiary \(2: 25\) & 121:14 \\
\hline 76:2,5 81:23 & 99:21 & essentially 30:14 & evolution 87:16 & experience \\
\hline 106:3 129:2 & endorsement & 133:24 168:19 & evolve 87:13,13 & 20:14 50:11 \\
\hline 132:20 135:7 & 93:25 94:2 & established 2:24 & 87:15 & 126:23 \\
\hline 143:10,11,18 & endorsing 93:15 & 75:7 80:5 & ex 4:5,8,8, 17,23 & expert 120:25 \\
\hline 150:2,7,12 & enemy 155:4 & establishing & exact 19:16 & expertise 35:23 \\
\hline either 171:7,13 & enforce 68:6,7 & 120:23 & 46:21 131:9 & 94:11 \\
\hline either-or 81:2 & enforced 67:22 & estate 29:11 & 133:5,22 & experts 149:16 \\
\hline electrical 129:12 & engaging 3:7 & 31:8 39:1 40:7 & exactly 54:17 & explain 35:9 \\
\hline element 107:17 & engineer 92:17 & 49:18 76:6 & 84:19 96:24 & 156:13 \\
\hline 107:17 & 92:18 & esteemed 147:5 & 103:9 112:21 & explained 78:12 \\
\hline elements 108:9 & engineering & evaluation & 137:3 141:20 & explanation \\
\hline elicit 147:23 & 107:21,21 & 119:19 & 169:8 & 91:2 \\
\hline eliminating & engineers 94:12 & evening 2:6 5:14 & examination & expressed 71:3 \\
\hline 21:10 122:7 & enormous 56:15 & 5:19 11:2,6 & 28:22 29:1,3 & 79:17 166:3 \\
\hline elimination & Enrique 32:9,10 & 20:6,8 29:8 & example 15:8 & extend 122:20 \\
\hline 123:9 & Enriqueta 33:6 & 36:6 37:16 & 102:2 117:18 & 123:1 139:2,4 \\
\hline Ella 53:15 & enter 39:24 & 40:6 42:16 & 144:22 & 180:16 \\
\hline eloquently & entered 15:14 & 46:4 47:6 50:3 & excellence 20:13 & extended 53:12 \\
\hline 62:13 & 23:9 79:13 & 53:19 55:17 & excellent 66:18 & 157:10 \\
\hline else's 134:18 & entertain 138:23 & 57:10 & exception 5:17 & extent 15:4 \\
\hline Elvira 53:9 & entertainment & event 2:15 51:9 & 51:25 61:17,24 & extra 73:6 \\
\hline emails 23:7,8,12 & 70:19 & eventually & exceptions & 154:16 \\
\hline 23:13 & entire 41:14 & 137:20 & 53:10 & extremely 58:1 \\
\hline embodied & 118:14 & everybody \(2: 5\) & exchange 13:21 & eyeballing 136:5 \\
\hline 184:15 & entirely 22:11 & 5:10 19:9 & 138:24 & eyesore 97:23 \\
\hline Emilio 55:16 & entirety \(14: 9\) & 23:20,23 24:2 & excited 70:15 & \\
\hline 57:7 58:6 & entitled 2:11 & 57:5 59:3 61:9 & 106:7 & F \\
\hline empathize 67:6 & 10:6 169:24 & 71:13 84:24 & excitement & faces 47:12 \\
\hline empathy 48:7 & entity 61:22 & 85:22 92:3 & 168:3 & 55:19 \\
\hline employee 186:9 & entrance 13:18 & 123:3 134:18 & excluded 61:19 & facing 69:9 \\
\hline 186:10 & 137:25 146:8 & 137:16 139:20 & excuse 51:21 & fact 14:17 19:13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Page 198
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 24:21,23 25:25 & 122:8 123:4,9 & 96:16 98:5,6 & 135:4 150:1 & five 11:5,21 \\
\hline 26:2,21 58:1 & 125:4,5 128:12 & 99:12,12 103:5 & file 184:9 & 12:21,22 21:19 \\
\hline 64:18 69:8,21 & 139:20 141:8 & 105:16 107:3,4 & fill 107:11 142:2 & 23:8 37:3 \\
\hline 76:10 78:17 & 142:11 151:8 & 107:5,6,11,14 & filled 52:4 & 47:24 76:9,23 \\
\hline 85:9 93:2 & 158:3 173:1 & 107:15,25 & final 6:23 78:21 & 108:15 113:4 \\
\hline 106:20 115:11 & 178:15,24 & 108:6,15,21,22 & finalized 156:16 & 113:12 117:2 \\
\hline 118:2 148:3 & 179:11 181:9 & 110:16,17 & financial 125:16 & 118:16 136:8 \\
\hline 163:17 & 185:13 & 113:4,5 114:11 & 183:25 & 139:16 154:14 \\
\hline factor 91:11 & favorably 12:16 & 115:12 119:6 & financially & 171:15 174:22 \\
\hline facts 92:19 & fear 88:5 & 120:17 125:8 & 186:12 & 175:20,21 \\
\hline 93:20 & fears 71:3,4 & 130:14,23 & find 17:5 19:15 & 176:3,7,9 \\
\hline failed 158:4 & features 107:24 & 133:1,25 134:1 & 96:8 98:6,18 & 180:15 \\
\hline Failure 3:11 & 108:24 146:21 & 134:2,16,19,24 & 100:12 174:3 & flat 172:8 \\
\hline fair 74:5 99:7 & February 12:7 & 135:3,7,7,9 & findings 14:16 & flexible 89:13 \\
\hline 165:19 & 18:24 & 138:25 142:1 & fine 21:2 40:12 & flight 83:16 \\
\hline familiar 114:3 & fee 113:12 & 146:16 147:15 & 51:17 151:12 & floor 13:19 \\
\hline 128:1 & feedback 21:4 & 147:22,24 & finest 98:25 & 21:10 43:4 \\
\hline families 55:1 & feel 33:15 35:10 & 148:3,7,14,22 & finish 26:6 39:20 & 95:1 104:25 \\
\hline family 39:15 & 35:12 40:17 & 149:24,25 & 157:16 & 105:21,22 \\
\hline 43:1,1,5 45:6 & 42:22 43:6,19 & 150:9,23,23,25 & fire 42:6 85:7,8 & 123:22 124:6,8 \\
\hline 52:8 54:25 & 43:20 44:1,1,2 & 151:15 152:5 & 85:9,10 100:6 & 124:17 129:8 \\
\hline 69:5 95:24,24 & 54:12,15 59:11 & 153:7,10 & 131:20 & 147:15 \\
\hline 110:15 & 60:13 69:14 & 158:10,11,23 & firefighter 85:11 & floor-to-floor \\
\hline famous 97:2 & 87:21,24 89:17 & 159:14,22 & firm 48:20 58:11 & 148:4 \\
\hline fan 47:13 & 132:4 146:19 & 169:25 & first 5:10 6:16 & floors 21:19 \\
\hline far 6:11 13:6 & 149:17 154:1 & fellow 67:6 & 6:19 12:24 & 37:2,3 43:3,4 \\
\hline 50:9 51:17 & 155:12,13 & felt 22:2 38:24 & 14:24 15:10,19 & 72:17 94:25 \\
\hline 53:8,9 54:5 & 164:16 166:23 & 39:3 71:12 & 15:23 16:1 & 96:18 105:20 \\
\hline 55:10 61:13 & feeling 122:17 & 77:5 & 18:13 21:10 & 106:1 118:17 \\
\hline 107:7 109:11 & 142:4 & fence 109:15 & 24:12,13,17 & 129:9 147:18 \\
\hline 111:14 112:5 & feels 13:2 88:5 & fer 76:3 & 25:11 26:14 & 149:20 \\
\hline 118:16 119:8 & fees 125:23 & fiduciary 184:2 & 28:1 33:15,18 & Florida 1:15 \\
\hline 120:17 130:12 & feet 7:22 10:9,14 & fifteen 117:23 & 52:9 74:25 & 7:14 8:1,10,14 \\
\hline 132:9 154:25 & 10:17 12:25 & 117:23 122:21 & 80:11 88:9 & 8:21,24 9:10 \\
\hline 167:6 172:19 & 13:8 25:7 31:3 & 150:22 & 95:21 97:3 & 9:15,25 10:5 \\
\hline 180:1 & 31:8 33:24 & Fifth 21:23 & 98:22 99:4 & 10:19 27:16 \\
\hline farther 120:11 & 34:1,13,14,16 & fifty 69:10 98:11 & 133:16 134:15 & 52:13 77:25 \\
\hline fast 24:10 27:13 & 34:19 37:8,9 & 98:24 107:2 & 136:15 137:11 & 79:4 95:6 \\
\hline father 52:9 & 37:10 38:18 & 110:16 113:7 & 140:15 148:2 & 182:7,13,16 \\
\hline fault 122:24 & 39:15 47:19 & 128:20 136:5 & 153:8 176:5,5 & 186:1 \\
\hline 157:24,25 & 54:7,8 56:2,20 & 147:24 & 180:13 183:15 & Fluvia 72:4 \\
\hline favor 40:9 41:4 & 57:4 68:8 & fifty-98:8 & fit 25:10 72:21 & focus 87:13 \\
\hline 41:21 43:21 & 69:10 72:22 & fifty-five 136:5 & 72:23 73:15 & 155:8 \\
\hline 46:19,25 55:2 & 73:1,24 76:11 & fighter 85:10 & 82:5 143:11 & focused 38:14 \\
\hline 67:12 86:18 & 76:14,15,18 & fighting 68:22 & 163:5 172:24 & folks 45:23 \\
\hline 98:2,7 111:25 & 82:8 94:24 & figure 88:18 & fits 71:20 & 75:14 79:20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 86:6 & founder 51:10 & 169:21,22 & 112:1,7 & 83:7 93:23 \\
\hline follow 24:19 & Founders 51:9 & 170:15 172:21 & Gardena 45:8 & 112:15 133:3 \\
\hline 29:6 32:9 & four \(2: 8,10,15\) & & GARRETT & 133:21 135:3,8 \\
\hline 35:16,24 37:19 & 11:20 12:23 & G & 186:4,18 & 136:19 166:22 \\
\hline 40:5 48:17 & 26:3 42:21 & Gables 1:5,15 & gas 114:23 & 170:7 179:19 \\
\hline 53:22 55:15 & 43:4 45:22 & 2:20 3:6,14 7:3 & gatekeeper & given 23:24 91:2 \\
\hline 96:13 168:9 & 62:17 88:10 & 7:14 8:1,9,11 & 154:21 & 129:18 148:2 \\
\hline followed 58:9 & 94:4 117:2 & 8:21,24 9:10 & gateway 41:9 & 150:18 \\
\hline following 37:25 & 150:23,25 & 9:15,25 10:5 & 49:22 & gives 41:23 \\
\hline 47:4 49:25 & 153:7,9 162:9 & 10:19 20:21 & gathers 41:23 & giving 69:12 \\
\hline 57:8 61:18 & 182:11 184:8 & 21:7 22:10 & general 39:1 & 97:24 99:10,12 \\
\hline 77:16 96:10 & four-boat & 27:16 29:10,21 & 78:24 & 130:17 134:7 \\
\hline 140:24 & 161:15 & 29:24 31:9 & generally 49:22 & glad 123:10 \\
\hline Fontainebleau & Fournier 35:16 & 36:25 39:2 & 79:19 81:9 & glass 135:19 \\
\hline 79:7 & 36:6,7 & 41:3,20 42:20 & generate 73:2 & glorious 25:18 \\
\hline foot 76:1 105:6 & fourteen 31:1 & 43:25 44:11,15 & generated 92:5 & go 2:3 5:9,12 \\
\hline 113:8 173:11 & 43:3 123:13,14 & 46:16,20 48:22 & generation 92:4 & 6:20 7:4,17 \\
\hline footage 21:15 & 150:25 & 48:24 51:24 & 92:14 & 13:4,10,13 \\
\hline 76:7 82:10 & fourth 78:3 79:3 & 52:20 57:23,24 & generator 92:7 & 16:11 23:19 \\
\hline 102:9 163:6 & Fourthly 21:17 & 58:21 64:10 & generous 41:14 & 24:3,4,6 25:4 \\
\hline footprint 105:17 & foxes 56:12 & 65:6 68:2,20 & gentleman & 25:19,24 29:16 \\
\hline 129:16 132:5 & free 34:15 97:25 & 70:4,14,19 & 51:18 52:19 & 33:2 34:1 37:5 \\
\hline 149:17 & freebie 31:15 & 71:9 72:16 & 61:4 96:22 & 49:12 53:9 \\
\hline forbid 32:17 & frequently & 74:2 79:22 & 185:5 & 55:19 62:4,12 \\
\hline foregoing 186:6 & 49:12 & 86:2 99:18 & gentlemen 29:8 & 64:1,7 69:2 \\
\hline forever 63:7 & Friday 92:25,25 & \(114: 9\) 126:14 & 155:7 & 70:20,21 72:11 \\
\hline 173:21 174:9 & friends 59:16 & 126:24 182:7 & geographic & 73:4,4,23 \\
\hline forget 156:3 & 98:23 116:22 & 182:12,13,16 & 152:25 & 74:14,25 75:1 \\
\hline forgive 98:16 & front 22:10 & 182:16 & George 51:9 & 75:17 80:11 \\
\hline forgot 106:14 & 33:14 35:7 & gain 185:2 & 59:18 71:15 & 81:5,20 83:12 \\
\hline format 3:2 & 56:15 101:8 & gained 130:15 & Georgia 58:25 & 89:5 92:21,22 \\
\hline former 7:1 & 131:18 132:7 & Galiano 55:18 & getting 28:1 & 97:12 98:11 \\
\hline forth 18:10 & 183:14 & gallery 181:11 & 43:6 48:13 & 99:11 103:17 \\
\hline 23:23 24:4 & fuel 181:11,12 & 181:12 & 59:23 73:5 & 107:2,5,6,24 \\
\hline 50:13 72:11 & 182:3 & gap 181:15 & 83:9 119:6,6,8 & 108:6,22,23 \\
\hline 130:18 132:1 & Fuentes 115:5 & garages 124:5 & 119:9,11 & 110:17 112:13 \\
\hline forty 107:6 & full 6:3 19:8 & garbage 62:16 & 128:14 129:2 & 113:1 116:8 \\
\hline 135:11 148:6 & 36:8,8 90:12 & Garcia 103:19 & 130:20,23 & 117:22 119:14 \\
\hline forty-five 136:6 & 128:24 & 103:25 104:20 & 139:1 148:6 & 119:21 120:19 \\
\hline forty-nine 94:25 & fully 22:19 48:3 & 105:4,8,10,21 & giant 39:11 & 132:22 133:1 \\
\hline forward 16:11 & 62:17 167:18 & 106:17 107:10 & give 19:8,21 & 135:3 136:10 \\
\hline 60:25 61:7 & further 120:1 & 107:18 108:3 & 23:22 25:3 & 137:18 143:13 \\
\hline 62:14 68:10 & 132:5,13 186:8 & 108:14,18,24 & 26:8 32:25 & 144:25 145:3 \\
\hline 155:22 170:13 & future 8:10 & 109:3,3,17,25 & 34:15 37:10 & 146:9,14,15,19 \\
\hline 178:10 181:25 & 22:13 46:11 & 110:2,4,11,22 & 57:21 68:24 & 146:20 147:23 \\
\hline found 60:17 & 131:17 147:13 & 111:12,16,20 & 77:9 81:15 & 148:17 150:14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 156:14 157:3 & 82:16 83:5,14 & 29:8 30:11 & group 35:9 45:8 & 129:11 \\
\hline 158:19 159:7 & 83:19 85:20 & 33:8 34:6 36:6 & Grove 155:19 & happen 17:15 \\
\hline 161:16 171:13 & 87:10,10,12,12 & 37:16 40:6 & grow 45:16 & 59:4,17 69:16 \\
\hline 171:15 181:25 & 87:13,14,14,15 & 41:25 42:11,12 & 59:15 87:12,14 & 75:17 80:6 \\
\hline 183:11,18 & 88:19 90:3 & 42:16 46:4 & 130:7,11 & 82:19 85:3 \\
\hline goals 14:15 & 92:23 93:9 & 47:6 48:18 & growing 40:21 & 87:10,10,14 \\
\hline 110:6 112:2,22 & 95:3,4,7,13,15 & 50:3 51:3 & 71:18 & 88:19,23,24 \\
\hline goes 15:13 24:25 & 95:16,19 96:17 & 53:13 54:2 & grown 59:2 70:5 & 90:18,18 \\
\hline 36:16,18,20 & 97:1 98:22 & 55:17,24 57:10 & growth 42:8,11 & 143:15 149:9 \\
\hline 37:2 42:8 43:3 & 100:16 101:16 & 60:11,12 63:1 & 45:16,17 57:22 & 149:10 \\
\hline 56:8 98:11 & 103:9 104:13 & 63:2 82:13 & 85:16 123:11 & happened 26:23 \\
\hline 109:16 143:1 & 105:12 107:15 & 88:16 102:12 & guard 56:25 & 45:6 56:24 \\
\hline 147:21 153:12 & 109:14,14 & 109:12 119:16 & guess 66:9 & 88:24 122:3 \\
\hline 161:19 & 111:5,9 116:21 & 122:8,12 & 107:13,13,21 & happening \\
\hline going 5:9 7:4,6 & 116:25 118:25 & 123:11 125:4 & 108:14 109:5 & 27:15 42:19 \\
\hline 8:4 11:12,22 & 120:19 122:1,2 & 129:23 130:13 & 111:23 146:3 & 43:19 45:11 \\
\hline 13:24,25 14:1 & 128:2,23,24 & 137:24 & 173:7 & 73:16 87:24 \\
\hline 14:5 17:15 & 129:2,9,10,10 & goodness 88:18 & gut 142:4 & happens 15:10 \\
\hline 18:18 19:4,13 & 129:11 131:20 & Google 97:14 & guts 109:8 & 17:25 85:3 \\
\hline 19:19 20:1 & 132:15,21,22 & Gordon 64:1,6 & gutted 77:7 & 168:15 169:11 \\
\hline 21:23 23:8,19 & 133:1,10 & 66:16,21 & guy 101:17 & 169:21 \\
\hline 23:20 24:10 & 136:19 137:19 & gorgeous 49:21 & 102:16 141:12 & happy 42:11 \\
\hline 25:21,22,23 & 139:6 141:2 & gotten 48:1 & 153:23 & 44:1 56:20 \\
\hline 26:24 27:8,10 & 146:1,17,18,19 & 49:19 87:22 & guys 15:6 35:9 & 57:5 82:21 \\
\hline 27:13,22,25 & 146:23 149:15 & gracious 38:10 & 37:2 94:11 & hard 54:23 \\
\hline 28:7,16,18 & 149:23 151:3,5 & Granada 20:7 & 150:19 152:1 & 128:22 149:15 \\
\hline 29:19,23 31:18 & 151:11 156:23 & grant 126:19 & 158:1,1 181:10 & harm 34:22 \\
\hline 33:1 34:3,4,5,8 & 157:16 158:14 & granted 111:16 & 185:4 & 52:24 \\
\hline 34:10,25 35:6 & 158:23,24 & 111:19 120:17 & & harming 167:8 \\
\hline 35:6,24 36:10 & 159:2,7 163:8 & granting 125:7 & H & Harris 155:7 \\
\hline 37:1 41:8 & 163:9 165:10 & grateful 20:22 & half 8:17 9:6,20 & Harry 56:5 \\
\hline 43:14,18,25 & 168:23 169:20 & 54:15 & 10:11 19:1 & hashed 131:22 \\
\hline 45:2 47:19 & 169:24,25 & great 20:21,21 & 21:14,21 54:11 & hat's 60:15 \\
\hline 50:24 52:22 & 170:3,17 & 21:8 29:18,22 & 57:25 58:1 & Hathaway 40:7 \\
\hline 55:3,8,9 56:16 & 171:12,13 & 42:12 55:24 & 76:11,12,13 & Hays 71:24,24 \\
\hline 58:11 59:4,17 & 172:1,2,8,10 & 57:14,19,20 & 87:2 106:1 & 71:25 72:3,4 \\
\hline 59:25,25 62:15 & 173:17,22 & 58:12 63:2 & 154:18 169:9 & head 133:4 \\
\hline 62:16,17,17,18 & 174:3,3,6,8 & 86:10 129:4,6 & Hall 1:16 22:25 & heading 32:3 \\
\hline 62:19,19,21,22 & 176:10 178:10 & green 22:14 & 22:25 & 122:10 \\
\hline 65:13 66:25 & 178:13 180:8 & Grenada 58:16 & Halliburton & heads 68:3 \\
\hline 67:2,4,5,12,14 & 181:24,25 & grocery 116:2 & 147:23 & healed 87:25 \\
\hline 67:25 70:17,17 & Gonzalez 42:15 & gross 126:6 & hammer 99:3 & hear 18:18 \\
\hline 70:22,23 72:6 & 44:5,6,10,10 & ground 13:19 & hampered 51:22 & 27:24 37:18 \\
\hline 72:15 73:1,2 & 184:4 & 100:20 101:6 & hand 186:14 & 38:11 54:24 \\
\hline 75:4 76:16 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { g o o d }} 2: 611: 2\) & 104:25 129:8 & handed 83:4 & 56:11 62:6 \\
\hline 78:4 82:4,7,10 & 14:4 18:5 20:6 & 147:15 & handicap & 71:25 74:1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 75:13 80:17 & heightened & highest 41:12 & hope 22:20 27:6 & 163:5 \\
\hline 81:19 84:20 & 38:16 & 77:1 82:12 & 27:14 49:23 & \\
\hline 85:22 86:24,25 & heights 82:3 & 150:16 & 57:3 58:3 & I \\
\hline 89:14 90:13,23 & 107:1 114:25 & highly 65:18 & 68:11 69:23 & Ibrahim 70:1,2 \\
\hline 93:12,16 152:2 & held 78:13 79:19 & 71:21 & 87:16 131:17 & iconic 46:15,17 \\
\hline 152:2 169:3 & hello 53:23 64:2 & hike 32:18 & 151:12 & idea 32:3 57:22 \\
\hline 172:23 180:13 & 64:3 66:17 & historic 12:14 & hopefully 72:10 & 95:25 138:23 \\
\hline heard 4:14 & help 45:15 48:7 & 12:15 13:10,11 & 143:14 179:13 & ideal 45:12 \\
\hline 22:18 69:20 & 54:24 77:4 & 14:9 25:11 & horn 179:21 & ideals 45:18 \\
\hline 75:13,14,24 & 102:22 166:21 & 31:21 50:11 & horrendous & identification \\
\hline 77:20 78:22 & 181:2 & 53:6 64:10,19 & 36:14 & 6:16 \\
\hline 84:2,3,5,12,22 & helped 90:25 & 64:20,24 65:6 & horrifying 69:22 & identified 15:1 \\
\hline 86:15 97:4 & hemisphere & 65:8,12,13,14 & host 38:9 & ignorance 101:3 \\
\hline 102:25 117:16 & 96:21 & 66:7 80:24 & hotel 23:2 35:2 & 126:7 \\
\hline 129:5 & Hermes 81:6,7 & 81:14 162:24 & 51:25 52:4 & II 182:6,19 \\
\hline hearing 4:12 & 142:9 & 163:18 & hotels 52:5 & illustrate 124:3 \\
\hline 7:12,12 8:5 & Hernandez & historical & hour 49:6 & imagine 35:3 \\
\hline 10:24 61:23 & 44:11 & 181:15 & hours 19:1 & 43:14 67:9 \\
\hline 65:1 78:12 & Hey 134:13 & historically & house 19:8 27:5 & imagining 43:17 \\
\hline 83:10 90:17 & Hi 40:6 41:2 & 113:16 114:22 & 48:11 55:19 & immediate \\
\hline 121:1 144:10 & 42:16 58:15 & 178:2 & 60:18 74:7 & 71:11 \\
\hline 182:20 & 60:5 68:18 & history 15:6 & 79:23 135:19 & immediately \\
\hline heart 19:18 21:4 & hidden 39:10 & 113:19,20,24 & housekeeping & 75:22 \\
\hline 36:8 & hideous 49:8 & 114:4,5 125:20 & 61:16 & impact 36:2 \\
\hline Hector 46:2,2 & high 13:4,5 & hit 96:24 & housing 25:18 & 42:12 63:16 \\
\hline height 12:12 & 20:19 37:4 & hoi 31:17 & 25:19 & 82:15,16,17,17 \\
\hline 21:18 34:1 & 40:18 43:18 & hold 17:12 91:4 & hub 62:25 & 82:18 83:10,12 \\
\hline 38:17 39:7,8 & 55:12 81:24,24 & 181:22 & huge 33:13 35:6 & 90:7 128:24 \\
\hline 54:6 72:13 & 85:7,18 94:24 & holding 149:19 & 35:7 36:24 & 184:3 \\
\hline 76:11,12,12,14 & 95:24 96:5 & home 40:8 43:4 & 45:2,3,5,8 87:7 & impacted 47:22 \\
\hline 106:10 107:8 & 97:20 98:8 & 43:5 47:10 & hum 47:13 & 67:14,15 75:25 \\
\hline 108:11,17 & 113:23 114:12 & 56:13 & humbly 55:10 & 86:3 90:5,6,25 \\
\hline 111:14 112:6 & 114:19,20 & homebody & hundred 36:23 & impacting \\
\hline 114:8,10,13 & 115:3 116:13 & 52:22 & 39:15 77:8 & 170:12 \\
\hline 115:4 124:5,6 & 123:17 136:21 & homes 39:15 & 95:1 99:11 & impacts 42:9 \\
\hline 132:5 135:21 & 154:17 156:10 & 43:1,2 45:6 & 101:15 106:8 & 78:25 \\
\hline 136:19 142:1 & high-end 82:11 & 48:12 54:25 & 108:21,22 & impartially 4:25 \\
\hline 143:7 147:6 & high-net-worth & hometown & 113:5,12 & impetus 166:23 \\
\hline 148:4,14,21 & 40:14 82:19 & 20:20 52:20 & 144:23 146:20 & implement \\
\hline 149:11,13 & high-rise 8:16 & Honderd 53:21 & hunky 25:16 & 110:5 \\
\hline 150:20 155:25 & 115:12 116:4 & 55:17,18 & hurt 35:8,11 & implication \\
\hline 156:4,11,15,17 & 144:24 & honest 75:15 & 54:6 & 170:1 \\
\hline 156:18,22 & higher 19:15 & 93:19 & hype 141:5 & implications \\
\hline 158:10 163:6,8 & 22:3 82:3 & honestly 51:2 & hypersensitive & 64:19 \\
\hline 164:1 174:15 & 125:7 130:24 & 110:13 111:23 & 147:20 & import 134:15 \\
\hline 178:11,17 & 146:15 148:12 & honesty 170:21 & hypothetical & importance \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 75:20 & 70:14 182:15 & inspiring 22:22 & 31:6 54:3 & jewel 73:21 \\
\hline important 21:7 & inconsistency & insult 26:18 & 64:23 107:9 & Jill 3:16 5:12 6:1 \\
\hline 26:12 86:7 & 114:1 & 27:21 28:10 & 110:16 113:5 & 6:3 23:7 24:2,3 \\
\hline 110:7 111:4 & incorporate & 47:22 63:17 & 128:14 132:7,7 & 24:6 61:12,25 \\
\hline impress 20:11 & 30:14 & 118:18 126:8 & 132:12 149:12 & 74:12,22 \\
\hline 20:13 & incorrect 99:23 & insulted 26:17 & 169:12,14 & 182:22 \\
\hline impression & incorrectly & insulting 33:23 & 174:15 185:5 & job 86:10 \\
\hline 59:21 & 80:17 & 33:23 & issues 14:19 & join 24:15 \\
\hline improve 20:11 & increase 63:1,6 & integrity 30:22 & 54:15 68:22 & judgment 63:3 \\
\hline 20:14 49:19 & 67:2 85:13 & 53:14,15 & 119:1 137:13 & July 11:25 \\
\hline 103:3 104:18 & incredible 58:24 & intended 38:11 & 155:1 156:10 & jump 11:4 \\
\hline improvement & 59:1 148:8 & intensity 8:15,16 & it'll 23:22 & June 1:11 3:14 \\
\hline 32:21 81:5 & incremental & 144:24,24 & 122:12 174:1 & 6:14 26:1 49:3 \\
\hline 102:25 104:10 & 71:6 & intent 20:19 & 183:15 & 186:15 \\
\hline 119:10,10 & incrementally & intention 21:24 & item 5:11,25 6:8 & justification \\
\hline 127:16 & 85:4 & intentionally & 6:16 7:13 8:8 & 109:12 \\
\hline improvements & indicate 158:22 & 115:24 & 8:23 9:14 10:4 & \\
\hline 14:20 15:1 & 159:21 & interest 6:5,9 & 15:19 23:12,15 & K \\
\hline 83:5,8 101:21 & indicated 23:18 & 79:18 93:21 & 64:23 136:25 & K 182:12,16 \\
\hline 102:1,3,5,7,7 & 183:1 & interested & 144:13 156:13 & Karelia 63:23 \\
\hline 103:21 & indicating & 186:12 & 156:19 159:10 & 64:14 \\
\hline improving & 184:10 & interesting & 159:25 160:2 & Karolia 64:4 \\
\hline 103:10 & indiscernible & 13:24 88:21 & 162:2,3,11,20 & keep 40:21 \\
\hline in-kind 14:20 & 17:19 58:25 & 90:15 & 163:17,25 & 42:23 43:15 \\
\hline inadvertently & 79:24 & internal 12:6 & 172:2 177:13 & 48:8 59:24 \\
\hline 181:6 & individual 2:21 & interpreted & 180:1,6,12,13 & 64:25 66:4,4 \\
\hline inaudible 37:21 & 52:17 131:6 & 87:12 & 182:5,19,21 & 69:9 72:17 \\
\hline 50:23 63:21 & individually & interrupting & items 5:19 8:5,6 & 82:22 107:7 \\
\hline 81:10 91:19 & 137:7 & 169:3 & 10:23 12:14 & 130:6 171:19 \\
\hline 109:2 126:11 & individuals 3:1 & intersection & 32:23 90:14 & 174:7 \\
\hline 127:2 133:8 & 7:6 40:14 & 39:23 & 111:6 116:20 & keeping 59:24 \\
\hline 139:9 149:21 & 61:22 98:25 & introduced 93:4 & 118:7 143:9,12 & 72:20 122:9 \\
\hline 176:15 177:10 & indulgence & invalidate & iteration 120:10 & keeps 84:24 \\
\hline 178:12 & 60:21 & 137:11 & & Kendall 45:14 \\
\hline incentive 127:4 & industry 76:6 & investment & J & 54:22 \\
\hline 138:23 148:21 & initial 18:25,25 & 45:23 & Jack 29:9 & kept 87:9 \\
\hline include 6:3 & injury 26:19 & invite 38:10 & jail 97:6 & kids 34:24,24 \\
\hline 91:22 92:2,4 & 47:23 63:17 & involved 99:18 & January 12:6 & 44:20 47:23 \\
\hline 92:10 118:9 & 126:8 & 110:6 & Javier 62:3 & kills 17:15 \\
\hline 170:4 & input 38:11 & irrespective & Jeff 40:25 41:18 & kind 32:18 \\
\hline included 15:2 & 41:24 93:8 & 71:7 & 41:19 & 67:11 70:21 \\
\hline includes 5:20 & insane 43:13 & island 97:23 & Jennifer 38:2 & 73:9,21 88:21 \\
\hline 39:16 & insanity 47:21 & isolate 126:16 & 105:4,8,10 & 92:7 93:16 \\
\hline including 9:10 & insistence & issue 4:16 16:18 & 109:3 131:18 & 94:10 96:15 \\
\hline 9:25 10:19 & 125:19 & 19:12 25:25 & Jeune 36:18,18 & 109:7 127:22 \\
\hline 26:13 39:17 & inspire 20:10,12 & 27:2 29:12 & 37:17 & 138:23 151:14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 172:7 181:16 & 98:22 101:2 & Lagos 164:11 & leading 155:1 & 101:6 104:23 \\
\hline kinds 52:15 & 105:24 106:6 & land 8:10 10:9 & leaning 86:16 & 107:4,8 123:20 \\
\hline 56:12 66:1 & 109:6,9 110:5 & 11:7,19 13:3,9 & learned 174:13 & 123:20 124:13 \\
\hline 148:17 & 110:16,19 & 24:16 34:15 & leave 18:14 & 124:18 \\
\hline Kirk 47:4,5,6,7 & 111:1 112:5,25 & 46:11 65:22,23 & 34:14 43:25 & levels 91:12 \\
\hline knew 67:11 & 113:4,19,20 & 66:5,5 68:24 & 48:24 70:20 & liberty 51:1 \\
\hline 180:2 & 114:4,5 115:14 & 95:14 96:2,14 & 78:16 79:4 & life 42:5 47:10 \\
\hline knocked 87:22 & 118:21 119:13 & 110:19 112:23 & 80:3 83:16,22 & 47:11 50:25 \\
\hline know 13:25 16:3 & 120:25 123:11 & 113:21,22 & 93:10 116:12 & 60:12 67:2 \\
\hline 20:9,19 22:16 & 123:15 124:25 & 114:1 125:14 & 116:14 180:24 & 70:6 74:7 \\
\hline 25:21,22 26:17 & 126:23 127:5 & 170:8 173:1 & leaving 180:24 & 97:17 113:5,6 \\
\hline 27:3,23 29:23 & 127:23 128:15 & 177:16 & left 96:9 110:18 & light 36:15,21 \\
\hline 34:8 35:18,23 & 129:17 130:23 & landed 56:14 & 115:22,25 & 36:22 37:4 \\
\hline 37:6 43:18,23 & 131:3 134:4,6 & landmark 65:7 & 121:6,9 & 58:23 78:22,24 \\
\hline 44:17 45:15 & 135:11 137:2 & landscape & legal 29:13 & lights 47:15,16 \\
\hline 48:9 49:14 & 137:15,22 & 100:19 104:23 & 32:24 137:23 & 56:15 \\
\hline 50:5,25 51:11 & 140:1 141:16 & landscaper & legally 9:5,19 & liked 14:8 \\
\hline 51:16,21 53:7 & 142:1 143:9 & 104:21 & 10:10 89:8 & likes 38:23 \\
\hline 53:17,17 54:13 & 146:24 147:4 & lane 14:8,10,11 & legislate 118:5 & limelight 58:20 \\
\hline 54:23 55:3,8 & 147:17 148:7 & 80:18,25 81:1 & length 7:23 & limit 19:7 23:20 \\
\hline 56:5,9,18 57:1 & 151:14,15,19 & 82:22 122:9 & 135:8 & limitation 30:16 \\
\hline 57:2 60:8 & 151:25 152:25 & 182:15 & Leon 7:24 8:20 & limited 80:12 \\
\hline 62:24 65:18,19 & 153:6,11,16,23 & lanes 81:9 & 9:8,23 10:16 & line 6:20 36:16 \\
\hline 66:11 67:23,24 & 153:25 154:1,7 & larger 11:13 & 10:17 35:1 & 36:18 54:19 \\
\hline 68:4 69:14,22 & 154:16 155:6 & 12:3 172:20 & 39:10,23 43:13 & 94:18,18 98:14 \\
\hline 71:1,4,14,16 & 155:14,20 & 173:1 & 48:20 57:12 & 170:25 \\
\hline 72:7,9,13,17 & 158:13 164:1,6 & lastly 22:6,16 & 69:5 70:10,16 & lips 158:8 \\
\hline 73:14,22,25 & 164:7,16 & 77:4 & 75:23 & Lisa 68:17,18 \\
\hline 74:2,4,8 75:2 & 166:21,25 & late 78:23 139:6 & lesser 31:12 & listed 109:19 \\
\hline 80:12 81:24 & 167:4 168:4 & lats 182:14 & let's 27:19 45:24 & listen 27:24 37:2 \\
\hline 82:22,22 84:13 & 169:1 170:14 & Lauren 40:5,25 & 48:8 59:18,18 & 54:23 66:2 \\
\hline 84:18,22 85:1 & 170:22 172:10 & 41:2 & 59:23 64:5 & 90:23 121:25 \\
\hline 85:2,14,24 & 173:7,8,12 & law 29:15 48:20 & 72:17 96:16 & 153:22 \\
\hline 86:14,15,17 & 180:19 181:1 & 51:18 75:5 & 118:21,23 & listened 33:16 \\
\hline 87:1,9,17,23 & 181:15 & 76:22 79:4,6 & 143:3 144:22 & 33:17 37:5 \\
\hline 88:7,16 89:4,5 & knowledge & 79:14,16 89:9 & 146:9 155:5,8 & 92:20 147:22 \\
\hline 89:7,8,10,14 & 94:15 & 89:10 115:24 & 155:22 167:16 & 184:17 \\
\hline 89:17,19,23 & known 52:14 & 179:16 & 167:17 182:4 & listening 90:19 \\
\hline 90:3,12,13,16 & 98:24 & laws 69:11 & letter 38:4,14 & literal 55:6 \\
\hline 90:24,25 91:1 & knows 52:18,19 & lawsuit 33:3 & 39:21 60:22 & literally 180:2 \\
\hline 92:22 93:3,18 & 93:3 113:23 & lawyer 49:12 & 61:1 & little 12:11 \\
\hline 93:20,22 94:3 & & lawyers 57:1 & letters 12:19,21 & 26:25 49:2 \\
\hline 94:6,10,11,12 & \(\qquad\) & lay 94:13 117:20 & 38:1 61:1 & 55:13 90:21 \\
\hline 94:12,14,15 & labs 159:20 & Le 36:18,18 & letting 20:8 33:9 & 97:23 104:16 \\
\hline 95:15,18 96:7 & lack 2:13 & 37:17 & level 22:3 & 123:23 125:8 \\
\hline 96:7 98:11,16 & lady 29:9 56:22 & lead 47:15 & 100:20,21 & 132:23 133:21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 134:22 138:1 & 57:12 182:11 & 135:10 & 114:19 115:3 & 160:18 162:6 \\
\hline 151:13,19,21 & location 11:14 & Looser 149:14 & 135:24 154:17 & 182:7 \\
\hline 151:21 163:11 & 13:17 21:18 & LORMAN & low-rise 8:15 & Malaga 8:1,21 \\
\hline little-known & 39:14 41:9 & 186:4,18 & 69:9 115:10 & 9:10,25 10:15 \\
\hline 76:10 & 125:9 183:8 & lose 94:24,25 & 116:14 & 10:19 36:16 \\
\hline live 26:19,21 & locational 168:5 & lost 149:20 & Lowell 29:8,9 & 39:23 97:2,4,5 \\
\hline 27:7 29:9 & locations 104:25 & 181:5 & 31:4 & 97:7,14,15,16 \\
\hline 30:18 32:11 & 124:25 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o t }} 8: 17\) 9:6,21 & lower 40:18 & mall 30:18 31:17 \\
\hline 34:22,23 43:11 & logic 178:1 & 10:11 11:16 & 134:25 145:3 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m a n }} 30: 21\) 90:1 \\
\hline 43:15,22,22,22 & logical 175:21 & 18:6 24:10 & 154:17 156:15 & Manhattan \\
\hline 45:13 47:7,23 & 176:2 & 34:25 35:1 & 163:6 & 88:12 \\
\hline 48:19,22 49:8 & long 56:19 85:15 & 40:14 44:20 & lowering 87:1 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m a p }} 8: 10,13\) \\
\hline 53:24 55:2,9 & 124:5 167:10 & 45:4 51:11 & 106:6 & 182:10 183:8 \\
\hline 56:7,8,9 57:16 & 168:17 173:2 & 58:9 59:15 & lowest 82:17 & Maria 24:8,9 \\
\hline 59:3,5 60:10 & longer 47:14,15 & 70:10,14,25 & 96:25 100:21 & 30:15 34:17,23 \\
\hline 60:10 66:23,23 & 77:14 79:23 & 71:3 72:7,10 & Luis 3:22 134:13 & 50:1 53:21,23 \\
\hline 67:1,7,13,13 & 91:18 131:6 & 73:1,1,2,2 75:2 & 149:2 161:5 & 55:22 62:13 \\
\hline 68:12 69:15 & 168:7,12 & 77:15 78:22 & 164:19 175:16 & 70:3 88:9 \\
\hline 71:9 74:3 & longest 97:1 & 79:24 82:1 & 176:21 178:22 & mark 114:24 \\
\hline 81:12 85:18 & look 26:8 30:2 & 83:10 84:2,8 & lunch 97:11 & market 34:6 \\
\hline 89:11 97:9 & 32:12 35:25 & 85:22,24 95:22 & luxury 22:1 & 148:5 \\
\hline lived 59:14 & 70:10 74:19 & 96:19 97:15 & 31:15 40:18 & Maroon 68:17 \\
\hline 85:15 97:5 & 82:16 86:14 & 98:18 116:6 & 76:23 148:5,7 & 68:18,18,21 \\
\hline lives 20:14 29:24 & 87:4,5 88:15 & 121:19 123:11 & lying 7:23 8:19 & marriage 51:18 \\
\hline 38:2 47:8 & 96:1 100:25 & 124:21 128:16 & 9:7,22 10:13 & mass \(82: 4,5,6\) \\
\hline 60:13 74:2 & 101:16 118:13 & 132:20 135:21 & & massive 31:23 \\
\hline 75:24 & 121:10,10 & 147:20 148:15 & M & master 15:22 \\
\hline living 25:22 & 123:24 124:20 & 150:3 152:8,9 & ma'am 28:4,8 & 140:15 143:3 \\
\hline 42:20 57:21 & 130:16,22,22 & 167:14 170:25 & 28:11 & 143:23 144:2 \\
\hline 58:2 60:7 & 131:1,24 132:3 & 171:2 172:18 & Madiera 58:6 & 146:12 149:4 \\
\hline 69:13 90:4,11 & 140:15 143:3 & 172:20 173:1 & Madonna 115:9 & 155:25 156:1 \\
\hline loaded 135:6 & 146:22 147:2 & 173:11,14 & magic 113:14 & 160:21,22 \\
\hline loading 121:11 & 147:18 155:2 & 174:3,8 178:7 & 149:13 154:24 & 162:3 \\
\hline 121:17 124:23 & 155:17 166:18 & 182:1,2 & magnificent & masterplan \\
\hline 125:2 138:20 & 167:2 170:19 & lots 7:23,23 8:16 & 46:24 & 160:3 \\
\hline 139:25 156:4 & looked 91:10 & 8:19 9:5,8,20 & main 35:24 & match 151:15 \\
\hline lobby 37:21 51:6 & 96:5 101:14,14 & 9:22 10:10,13 & 165:20 & materials \\
\hline lobbying 3:7 & 114:24 115:25 & 11:15 49:11 & maintain 14:21 & 101:23 102:10 \\
\hline 37:20 61:24 & 117:20,20 & 53:13 172:18 & maintenance & math 148:10 \\
\hline lobbyist 3:5 & 147:17 & 173:13,21 & 22:12 & matter 2:13,15 \\
\hline 61:17 & looking 47:17 & 182:11 & Maitland 52:13 & 5:1 15:7 24:23 \\
\hline lobbyists 3:4 & 55:20 56:4 & louder 63:4 & major 21:9,9 & 29:15 61:23 \\
\hline 61:18,19 & 57:24 81:13 & Louis 94:21 & makeup 132:24 & 111:11 128:6 \\
\hline local 29:18 & 101:1 118:20 & love 44:2 86:25 & making 117:9 & 147:24 \\
\hline 41:15 & 132:2,3 & low 13:4,5 42:21 & 141:8 149:7 & matters 4:14 \\
\hline located 11:9 & looks 56:14 & 55:12 114:11 & 156:19 160:16 & Mattia 35:15,17 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 35:17 & 106:19 120:6 & 30:11 50:4,9 & 62:20 & minutes 6:12,13 \\
\hline \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m a x }} 82: 10\) & 127:17,19 & 62:6 67:8 75:9 & Merricks 59:19 & 19:7 23:21 \\
\hline 146:20 & Medina 154:17 & 75:21 118:23 & 65:14 & 30:16 74:21 \\
\hline maximize 95:10 & Medina's & 119:13 184:9 & message 6:1 & 122:21 139:11 \\
\hline maximum & 154:16 & memorandum & 163:20 & 180:15,17 \\
\hline 158:10 & Mediterranean & 4:11 & met 33:19 93:5 & miracle 70:13 \\
\hline mayor 52:1 & 22:24 27:2,3,4 & Memorial 51:9 & 119:24 120:23 & 70:21,23 \\
\hline McDonald 46:3 & 38:19 106:14 & mending 97:17 & 121:3 174:16 & Miriam 173:7 \\
\hline 46:4,5 & 106:21 107:4,6 & Menendez 3:17 & metro 73:5 & Miro 3:20,21 \\
\hline mean 14:7 26:22 & 127:10,14 & 3:19,22,24 4:1 & mezzanine & 84:1 94:1 \\
\hline 27:24 30:21 & 130:19 150:9 & 4:3 6:2 23:10 & 124:17 & 151:18,25 \\
\hline 31:13 43:19 & medium 42:11 & 23:13,16 24:8 & MF 26:16 & 152:4,6 157:3 \\
\hline 44:22 49:4 & 114:12,19 & 32:9 33:6 & Miami 52:11 & 159:9,24 160:8 \\
\hline 56:13,24 72:20 & 115:3 & 35:15 37:15 & 70:5 & 160:15 161:3,4 \\
\hline 73:8,9,10,22 & meet 33:19 39:4 & 40:4,25 42:14 & Miami-Dade & 164:6,25 165:1 \\
\hline 74:9 80:13 & 110:1,8 111:6 & 44:5,8 46:2 & 37:24 186:2 & 168:8,25 \\
\hline 92:1 94:7 & 111:12 112:14 & 47:4 48:16 & mic 63:24 & 169:11,21 \\
\hline 100:25 101:14 & 112:14,14,15 & 49:25 53:21 & microphone & 170:11 173:20 \\
\hline 102:22 104:6,7 & 112:17,22 & 55:15 57:7 & 133:11 134:14 & 174:1 175:14 \\
\hline 104:15,15,17 & 142:1 148:20 & 58:14 60:4 & mid-rise 144:24 & 175:15 176:19 \\
\hline 106:24 109:11 & meeting 1:6 2:4 & 62:2 63:23 & middle 42:19 & 176:20 177:10 \\
\hline 109:15 110:25 & 2:12,15 3:14 & 66:16 68:16 & 56:13 67:19 & 179:7,8 \\
\hline 111:1,14 112:5 & 6:13,14 12:5,6 & 70:1 71:24 & 88:25 126:5 & misfortune \\
\hline 112:7,11 117:6 & 16:24 21:4 & 72:174:13,24 & mile 70:13,21,23 & 148:5 \\
\hline 121:25 130:3 & 32:22 38:10 & 161:3,5,7,9,11 & miles 48:24 49:6 & missed 13:15 \\
\hline 130:13 134:19 & 83:6 84:5,14 & 161:13 164:19 & Miller 24:9 & missing 82:22 \\
\hline 135:13 145:11 & 85:4 106:7 & 164:21,23,25 & million 14:19 & mission 20:9,10 \\
\hline 145:23 147:11 & 119:25,25 & 165:2 175:10 & 31:9 76:1 81:4 & 163:15 \\
\hline 149:12 150:24 & 141:5 154:25 & 175:12,14,16 & 104:4,5,12 & mistake 35:4 \\
\hline 153:20 154:22 & 180:5,25 & 175:18 176:17 & 119:9,12 127:5 & 48:5 96:6,12 \\
\hline 154:23 155:12 & 183:14 184:6 & 176:19,21,23 & 127:7,11,12,18 & 96:14 \\
\hline 155:12 159:16 & meetings 2:21 & 176:25 178:22 & 130:17,21 & mistakes 69:24 \\
\hline 166:18 167:4 & 12:4 27:4 38:6 & 179:1,3,5,7,9 & million-dollar & \(\boldsymbol{m i x} 111: 2\) \\
\hline 169:13,14 & 38:12,14 39:3 & mention 39:15 & 104:8 & 133:24 \\
\hline 170:15 176:4 & 52:2 75:16 & mentioned & mimicking & mixed 46:13 \\
\hline means 20:15 & 84:11,15 & 34:17 54:16 & 119:22 & 104:22 182:13 \\
\hline 71:16 73:8 & 115:20 & 56:21 84:12 & mind 18:5 31:21 & 182:17 \\
\hline 77:18 100:20 & meets 110:20 & 86:7 97:8 & 90:14,22,23 & mixed-use 9:3 \\
\hline 100:25 130:24 & mega 72:19 74:6 & 175:7 & 109:16 110:9 & 9:15,18 11:8 \\
\hline 130:25 173:21 & member 4:13, 13 & mentioning & 130:6,20 134:3 & 11:21 13:15,20 \\
\hline meant 42:24 & 4:15,16,19,21 & 84:24 & 145:8 184:7 & 46:14 138:7 \\
\hline 87:11 & 4:22 5:1,5 & merits 17:4 & mine 98:24 & 176:1 177:2,15 \\
\hline measure 61:16 & 118:18 155:16 & 38:15 136:25 & minimum 14:12 & 178:8 \\
\hline meaty 18:13 & 184:16,17 & 137:2 & 161:16 & mixing 31:16 \\
\hline med 69:12 & members 2:7,7 & Merrick 51:10 & minister 6:12 & Miyares 55:16 \\
\hline 103:19,25 & 2:8,10 4:7,24 & 51:10 57:11 & minute 185:6 & 57:7 58:6,6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline mobility 62:25 & mother 68:21 & moving 85:25 & necessary 2:9 & 183:14 \\
\hline modifications & mother-in-law & much-improved & necessity 18:1 & neighborhoods \\
\hline 63:20 & 84:7 & 30:8 & neck 97:17 & 20:18 42:9 \\
\hline modified 63:19 & motion 2:9 6:22 & multiphase & need 5:11 20:2 & 63:14 154:15 \\
\hline moment 55:5 & 6:22 18:10,21 & 62:23 & 24:16 29:13 & 154:20 \\
\hline 62:4 68:5,9 & 122:20 123:1 & municipalities & 32:25 33:2 & neighboring \\
\hline moments 52:6,7 & 134:10 137:20 & 126:4 135:25 & 37:12 40:22 & 78:10 \\
\hline monetary & 137:21 139:2,4 & municipality & 41:5 56:22 & neighbors 21:6 \\
\hline 121:20 127:14 & 139:12,17 & 79:19 & 57:2,16,16,21 & 21:6,25 38:4,5 \\
\hline 185:2 & 141:2 143:23 & museum 58:21 & 59:2 69:20 & 38:24 39:24 \\
\hline money 45:23 & 143:25 144:1 & 181:2 183:21 & 71:18 80:14 & 44:17,18,18 \\
\hline 121:12 126:22 & 149:1 153:21 & 184:2,3,14 & 83:16,16 86:7 & 47:9 59:10 \\
\hline 126:25 167:22 & 156:12 157:1,3 & music 37:6 & 109:13 119:25 & 62:9 66:3,3 \\
\hline monies 130:25 & 157:8,12,13,20 & mx 177:17,19 & 120:6 125:6 & 76:24 77:5 \\
\hline monitor 121:21 & 158:1,4,5,9,11 & 178:16 182:14 & 128:20 133:4 & 78:17 79:16 \\
\hline monitoring 15:3 & 159:13,19,24 & 182:17 183:12 & 141:11 142:1 & 80:7 83:7 \\
\hline monstrosity & 160:1,4,5,5,6,7 & 183:13,13 & 154:20 158:13 & 87:23 153:19 \\
\hline 35:5 & 160:8,11,11,12 & & 160:10,11 & 153:25 \\
\hline moon 37:3 & 160:16,18,23 & N & 163:7 164:16 & never 27:1 33:17 \\
\hline Moore's 32:2,4 & 162:7,20,24,25 & nail 51:2 & 166:21 178:12 & 33:19 52:19,23 \\
\hline 57:4 & 163:21 164:3 & name 5:13,15 & 180:9,9,23 & 52:23 54:12 \\
\hline morning 26:20 & 164:10,11,17 & 6:3,4 19:21 & needed 17:8 & 77:2 79:21 \\
\hline 36:15 51:8 & 165:4 171:23 & 24:4 28:10 & 20:4 46:10,10 & 84:4 85:12 \\
\hline 56:11 97:9 & 172:12 173:18 & 32:10 33:10 & 46:11,12,13 & 90:22 93:5 \\
\hline Morris 19:13 & 174:18 176:7 & 35:17 36:6 & 70:24 & 94:14 99:14 \\
\hline 20:1,6,6,23,25 & 176:12,12 & 41:2 42:16 & needs 18:2 24:23 & 104:3 115:2,3 \\
\hline 21:2 28:1 & 177:7,9,11,12 & 44:8 46:4 47:6 & 69:7,20 94:19 & 116:3,4 129:5 \\
\hline 30:20 31:12 & 177:23,23 & 48:18 50:7 & 125:3,17 & 135:18 140:5 \\
\hline 33:16 34:8 & 178:18,18 & 53:23 55:17 & 138:22 153:13 & 166:5 169:8 \\
\hline 37:9,19,20 & 180:2,9 181:20 & 57:10 64:12 & 166:20 & new 22:17,19,23 \\
\hline 38:6,9 39:3 & 184:20 185:7 & 66:19 67:10 & negative 42:12 & 24:1 25:16 \\
\hline 41:13 46:6 & 185:12 & 70:2 72:1 & negatively 63:15 & 38:20 39:16 \\
\hline 49:20 51:17 & motions 159:8 & 75:16 85:21 & 170:12,14 & 40:12 41:7,7 \\
\hline 52:8,19 53:15 & move 25:17 & names 51:12 & neighbor 38:11 & 54:9 62:19 \\
\hline 54:2 55:23 & 43:25 57:24 & natural 70:12 & 38:15,23 55:19 & 80:1 91:17,23 \\
\hline 59:7 65:18 & 59:16 71:19 & naturally 73:13 & 56:3 & 92:3,3,14 99:6 \\
\hline 68:8 84:4,13 & 73:13 74:9 & nature 51:20 & neighborhood & 102:15,16 \\
\hline 84:13 86:9,21 & 138:6 139:3 & 79:19 81:11 & 12:4 22:3,5,9 & 104:2 125:20 \\
\hline 89:17,25 93:3 & 140:13 147:17 & 162:11 & 30:21 31:19 & 131:4,5,7 \\
\hline 93:5,22 125:22 & 152:15 155:22 & nauseam 45:4 & 42:13 44:2,14 & 132:2 167:16 \\
\hline 141:10 142:10 & 155:23 156:8 & NE 133:15 & 44:21 49:1,2,4 & 178:13 181:12 \\
\hline 167:23 168:10 & 161:23 162:1,2 & near 11:10 & 55:3 56:11 & 182:3 \\
\hline 172:15 173:15 & 165:4 170:13 & 62:20 69:4 & 66:2 75:21 & news 171:7 \\
\hline 184:25 & moved 56:9 77:3 & 115:11 118:1 & 76:20 78:13 & newspaper \\
\hline Morris's 20:3 & 156:7 & necessarily & 116:15 152:22 & 12:23 \\
\hline 67:16 & movie 174:2 & 137:14 & 153:4,14,15 & nice 51:9 56:9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 56:10,12 57:5 & 38:3 91:8 & occasion 171:5 & 102:12,20 & open 6:1,18 \\
\hline 65:20 70:18,22 & nowadays 95:7 & occupancy 55:6 & 105:4,18 106:2 & 22:14 63:24 \\
\hline 109:12,15 & nuances 179:24 & occupant 22:4 & 106:2,5,10,23 & 65:15 80:10 \\
\hline 168:3 & number 2:19 3:6 & occupied 11:15 & 106:25 107:5 & 90:23 93:11 \\
\hline nicely 59:23 & 11:18,19,19,20 & 48:3 & 107:12 108:5 & 99:25 100:1,9 \\
\hline 124:24 & 11:21 21:14 & occurred 12:4 & 109:5 114:21 & 100:19,20,21 \\
\hline night 47:14 & 65:5 75:19 & 32:23 75:12 & 115:24 116:18 & 100:23,24,24 \\
\hline 56:14 171:15 & 76:2,4,23 77:4 & occurring 72:24 & 117:21 118:1 & 101:2,7,9,11 \\
\hline NIMBY 71:14 & 79:6 82:7 & occurs 14:24 & 119:3 122:5,6 & 101:19,20,22 \\
\hline 71:15,17 & 83:13 87:7 & October 12:15 & 123:8 124:19 & 101:25 102:6 \\
\hline nine 19:10 & 99:8,9,17 & 38:6,7 64:20 & 124:19,24 & 102:16,17,18 \\
\hline ninety-two 22:7 & 103:20,20 & 66:7 & 126:21 130:5 & 103:11 104:2,5 \\
\hline 105:19,22 & 113:15 123:20 & off-site 14:25 & 130:17 133:20 & 104:11,19 \\
\hline 129:3,5,14 & 146:15,16 & offended 33:16 & 133:25 134:3 & 106:15,18 \\
\hline nobody's 89:1 & 149:13,13,23 & offense 118:12 & 135:17 137:12 & 119:11 127:16 \\
\hline noise 78:22,24 & 151:24 152:6 & offer 38:22 & 138:18 139:12 & opened 35:2,2 \\
\hline non-sworn 2:25 & 152:10,11 & 159:17 & 140:23 141:21 & 67:16 73:7 \\
\hline nonresidential & 154:10 162:12 & offered 13:21 & 143:4,10,22 & opinion 15:22 \\
\hline 104:22 & numbered 75:19 & offering 148:19 & 146:24 151:6 & 29:20 59:20 \\
\hline normalize 91:11 & numbers 75:20 & office 3:10 20:16 & 153:8,10 156:1 & 80:8 114:2 \\
\hline normally 167:5 & 91:22 92:2 & 40:12 57:22 & 157:12,20 & 117:5 118:20 \\
\hline north 10:15 & 135:18 & 95:16 96:18 & 158:3 159:15 & 127:24,25 \\
\hline 11:12 13:18 & nuts 95:22 & 97:13 98:13 & 159:23 161:22 & 142:5 156:17 \\
\hline 31:20 59:16 & & 141:12 173:8 & 162:5 163:25 & 158:25 \\
\hline 62:21 63:5 & 0 & offices 142:2 & 165:6 167:3 & Oppenheim \\
\hline 96:4,20 120:11 & o'clock 19:11 & official 5:15 & 168:16,24 & 121:18 \\
\hline 167:15 & 157:10,16,20 & 186:14 & 169:5,10,11 & opportunity \\
\hline northbound & O'Connell 40:4 & officially 3:13 & 172:12 173:19 & 19:9 20:21 \\
\hline 36:15 & 40:6,7 & oh 7:17 34:10 & 179:12 181:10 & 22:20 28:13 \\
\hline northern 96:20 & obey 92:5 & 56:4 68:18 & 182:5 184:23 & 55:14 89:18 \\
\hline Northside 52:10 & object 30:15 & 74:1 88:18 & old 92:8 178:10 & 127:24 161:15 \\
\hline northwest & objection 78:17 & 101:16 108:18 & 178:13 181:13 & oppose 38:17,19 \\
\hline 134:25 & 137:3,10 & 109:3 111:12 & once 16:18 34:9 & opposed 69:6 \\
\hline NOS 5:8 & objectives 14:15 & 118:15 132:19 & 53:10 62:18 & 78:10 124:21 \\
\hline note 60:8 88:8 & obligated & 148:23 153:19 & 64:23 70:17 & 125:6 132:10 \\
\hline notes 85:22 89:6 & 127:21 & 154:4 & 75:8 83:3 97:1 & opposing 52:5 \\
\hline notice 4:5 & obtained 2:16 & okay 7:12 18:20 & 107:18 147:21 & opposite 17:13 \\
\hline 131:20 & obvious 30:17 & 23:19 24:17,22 & 147:23 168:11 & opposition \\
\hline noticed 67:9 & obviously 15:2 & 25:4,12,24 & one's 62:11 & 116:8 \\
\hline 134:12 & 17:4 31:6 & 26:6,7,8,15 & 67:19 & optimal 83:15 \\
\hline notification & 53:15 65:11 & 27:1,5,18 28:7 & one- 124:16 & option 141:6 \\
\hline 12:21 183:16 & 81:10 102:17 & 39:20 58:15 & one-part 169:16 & options 70:18 \\
\hline notwithstandi... & 121:17 141:8 & 60:23 64:5,8 & ones 67:6 68:25 & Orange 78:3 \\
\hline 84:9 & 148:1 150:19 & 65:22 75:1 & 71:9 76:23 & order 2:4 3:15 \\
\hline November 12:2 & 153:5 155:12 & 77:14 86:14 & 97:2 154:6 & 7:6 15:6 16:23 \\
\hline 12:5 27:16 & 163:4 171:12 & 87:18 102:12 & 179:16 & 17:3 18:11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 24:20 42:11 & 100:3 119:16 & 115:11 172:23 & 121:11 & 14:22 \\
\hline 46:7,12,20 & 125:13 166:5 & parking 22:7,7 & paths 73:6 & person 2:21 3:5 \\
\hline 63:11 90:16 & 173:25 & 28:2 31:13 & Patrick 40:4,6 & 5:25 30:1 47:8 \\
\hline 137:15 167:17 & owners 7:20 & 49:11 77:6,7 & pause 30:19 & 54:2 55:24 \\
\hline ordinance 3:6 & 12:19,22 25:22 & 105:19 122:7,8 & pay 97:11 & 62:11 92:18 \\
\hline 3:10 7:13 8:9 & 31:15 78:10 & 123:19,20 & paying 26:21 & 93:18,19 \\
\hline 182:6 & 79:5 125:13 & 124:13,18 & 31:7 & 117:20 131:9 \\
\hline Orduna 46:5 & 126:2 145:25 & 129:3,4,7,7,19 & pedestrian & 169:3 170:7 \\
\hline Oregon 182:12 & ownership & 129:22 130:2,5 & 119:21 & person's 90:2 \\
\hline organ 157:5 & 166:14,15 & 167:14 172:25 & people 20:12 & personal 20:10 \\
\hline original 31:11 & 167:12,18 & 173:3 174:14 & 23:17 25:19,21 & 51:20 110:9 \\
\hline 59:174:18 & 168:2,18 & 174:16 & 26:19,20 27:7 & personally \\
\hline 76:8 91:8,24 & owning 120:1 & parks 65:16 & 27:24 28:23,24 & 52:18 53:16 \\
\hline 99:20 105:14 & owns 100:3 & parkways 65:15 & 34:22 36:8,21 & 94:5 \\
\hline 120:10 121:6 & 166:9 & parse 176:11 & 42:2,23 43:21 & persons 5:18 \\
\hline 121:13 & & part 13:8,20 & 46:15 48:1 & 61:18 \\
\hline originally 18:24 & P & 18:13 25:6 & 49:13 51:16 & perspective \\
\hline 21:11,14,20,23 & p.m 1:12,12 & 28:23 29:2 & 52:5 55:1,8,23 & 18:15 124:22 \\
\hline 30:25 53:2 & 36:17 & 46:10 61:13 & 57:23 59:2,4 & 134:23 136:1 \\
\hline 99:16 105:12 & PAD 109:17 & 65:5,12 99:8 & 60:9 67:1,14 & 172:16,18 \\
\hline 105:14 114:20 & page 120:21 & 101:3,19 & 69:14 72:8 & 173:12 \\
\hline 121:12 & pages 26:8 & 105:14 114:10 & 74:175:21 & pervious 101:24 \\
\hline originated 4:18 & paid 125:14 & 126:19 127:6 & 76:17 85:23,25 & 102:10 \\
\hline ornamental & Palmetto 77:24 & 127:17 132:8 & 90:11 94:13 & Pete 51:2 \\
\hline 107:21,22 & 77:24 & 134:16,25 & 95:6 98:15,23 & ph 11:11 39:17 \\
\hline 108:12 & pandemic 89:1 & 136:3 138:13 & 109:15 129:24 & 109:18 115:5 \\
\hline Oscar 33:7,12 & 91:9,10,12 & 148:2 168:23 & 147:20 148:15 & 116:12 121:18 \\
\hline outdoor 100:20 & panel 67:22 & parte 4:5,8,8,17 & 152:15,24 & 133:10 147:23 \\
\hline outgrowth & paper 63:8 & 4:23 & 155:15 168:25 & 154:16 \\
\hline 70:12 & papers 59:8 & partial 168:12 & 169:2 & philanthropic \\
\hline outline 120:22 & parcel 25:9 & participants & percent 21:16 & 65:19 \\
\hline outside 4:12 & 95:14 113:20 & 5:24 6:6,7,8 & 36:23 48:2 & philanthropist \\
\hline 32:20 52:21,21 & 134:18 169:9 & particular 20:4 & 100:9,11,16 & 41:15 \\
\hline 55:14 85:25 & 172:17 181:3 & 28:14 53:12 & 101:5,23 102:9 & philosophical \\
\hline 103:3 & parcels 41:10 & 75:21 93:15,15 & 103:21,22 & 110:3,8 112:9 \\
\hline overall 36:1 & 142:10 168:7 & 117:6 128:12 & 135:11 & 179:22,23 \\
\hline 76:11 183:6 & 168:13,20,22 & parties 170:4 & percentage & philosophically \\
\hline overhead 14:25 & park 9:4,19 10:6 & 186:9 & 100:13 133:3 & 109:6 111:24 \\
\hline overstep 29:5 & 11:12 14:21,21 & parties' 186:10 & 134:17 135:1 & phone 6:6,7,20 \\
\hline overtime 157:22 & 22:10,12,12 & partner 86:11 & 136:4 & phones 2:5 \\
\hline overwhelming & 30:20 39:7,12 & parts 135:23 & percentages & photos 80:15 \\
\hline 39:7 & 39:18 40:1 & 136:3 169:7 & 104:21 & physically 2:22 \\
\hline owned 69:5 & 41:4 46:22 & party 4:18 & perfect 40:20 & 5:18 \\
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\hline 96:8 171:4 & 131:18 172:14 & 57:7,10,11 & 18:5 23:25 & 73:20 75:23 \\
\hline pieces 173:1 & 172:15 173:5 & 67:15 68:4 & 29:5 30:17,24 & 115:10,13 \\
\hline pines 52:21 & 174:13 & 69:21 70:15 & 31:2 35:24 & 138:2 \\
\hline pinnacle 73:21 & planners 100:12 & 71:4 72:6,12 & 39:6 44:7 & ponds 58:11 \\
\hline pipeline 162:14 & 131:14 & 73:7,19 75:23 & 49:17 77:9 & 73:16 97:12 \\
\hline pitch 54:17 & planning 1:6 & 76:1,16 84:23 & 81:14,15,17,20 & 122:10 132:13 \\
\hline place 4:12 16:21 & 2:23 3:14 6:25 & 87:25 88:6,7 & 87:1 88:15 & 132:14 \\
\hline 45:13 49:15,21 & 7:2 12:6,18 & 89:21 90:8 & 96:13,25 & Pontes 72:24 \\
\hline 55:21 56:8,9 & 14:7,10,10 & 97:2 114:20 & 104:14 115:6 & pool 123:16 \\
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\hline 124:23 & 92:18 124:22 & 101:6 & 140:17 141:23 & portion 8:18 9:7 \\
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\hline 9:15 11:8,21 & plans 38:12,21 & 4:6 5:11,15,20 & 147:3 149:19 & poses 85:18 \\
\hline 13:15,16 14:14 & 96:6 138:14 & 6:1 19:6,10 & 153:11 158:15 & position 88:14 \\
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\hline 114:14,18 & 9:22 10:12 & 74:9 91:5,6 & policy 126:8 & 12:23 \\
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\hline 139:6,24 140:6 & 10:8 & 133:9 137:18 & 93:18 & potential 78:23 \\
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\hline 143:23 144:2,6 & 55:14 136:7 & 164:18 175:9 & polling 78:13 & 96:18 \\
\hline 144:7,9,9,13 & 150:3 163:5 & 176:16 178:21 & polloi 31:17 & pounds 113:8 \\
\hline 144:15,18 & playing 43:10 & 180:24 182:8,8 & pollution 78:24 & practically \\
\hline 145:4,6 146:10 & plaza 13:20 & 185:10 & Ponce 7:24 8:20 & 119:4 176:6 \\
\hline 146:13,13 & 21:21 26:18,22 & pleased 116:23 & 9:4,8,19,23 & practice 54:9 \\
\hline 149:3 156:1,15 & 26:24,25,25 & pleasure 58:17 & 10:6,16,17 & 115:23 \\
\hline 156:20 160:22 & 27:8 34:2,2 & 84:4 & 11:10,12 30:19 & pre 91:11 181:8 \\
\hline 162:16,16 & 35:3 36:9,10 & plot 169:15 & 35:1 38:3 39:7 & precedent 52:7 \\
\hline 167:1 169:12 & 36:10, 11,23 & plus 81:24 & 39:8,9,12,17 & 53:5 94:18 \\
\hline 169:13 170:3 & 39:8,17 45:2 & 108:14,19,19 & 39:17,23,25 & 125:12,15,18 \\
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\hline 24:20 & previous 58:19 & process 7:17,21 & 58:13 59:22 & 135:20,21 \\
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\hline 81:11 132:12 & 11:14 115:3 & 41:22 92:23 & 63:4,16,18 & 38:25 \\
\hline prepared 93:7 & 131:10 & 182:9 & 64:18,19 65:17 & promised 79:3 \\
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\hline 42:21 44:7 & print 5:15 & 15:21 76:5,21 & 72:25 73:20 & 158:20,23 \\
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\hline 12:14,15 13:11 & 138:1 140:12 & 30:2,3,8 31:23 & 166:4,12 & proposal 30:25 \\
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\hline 93:14 127:22 & 182:9 & 102:19 103:12 & range 133:25 & 100:7 102:13 \\
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\hline provided 104:23 & put 15:6 35:5 & 106:13 107:14 & rate 107:8 & 105:9,16 \\
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\hline 9:12 10:2,21 & 118:11 121:10 & 169:23 170:11 & 78:4 92:1 & 132:17 137:9 \\
\hline 182:18 & 123:19 124:24 & 172:14 173:25 & 100:15 109:21 & 140:5 147:24 \\
\hline proximity 71:10 & 134:23 136:1 & questioning & 109:21 120:20 & 152:8 154:21 \\
\hline public 2:24 3:2 & 137:21 144:11 & 28:14 & 120:20 152:25 & 155:11 164:9 \\
\hline 4:12,13 6:18 & 146:7,16,23 & questions 23:5 & 162:16 181:22 & 166:10,18,19 \\
\hline 6:21 7:15 8:5 & 148:23 150:21 & 28:24 84:2 & 181:25 182:5 & 173:24 174:8 \\
\hline 10:23 12:20 & 157:19 164:4 & 99:24 128:17 & reading \(32: 15\) & 178:6 181:7 \\
\hline 14:7,25 22:15 & 165:11 167:16 & 181:10,16 & 80:16 183:15 & realm 14:25 \\
\hline 25:20,23 26:12 & 167:17,25 & quick 29:12 49:9 & reads 121:21 & 138:5 \\
\hline 26:14,15 29:14 & 172:3 173:2,3 & 49:9 86:14,20 & 128:21 & realtor 85:24 \\
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\hline reason 15:12 & 138:6,9 156:19 & 76:4,7 105:15 & 94:13 & 141:13 145:24 \\
\hline 17:13 24:13,14 & 156:23 158:15 & 105:16,19 & reject 32:6 36:4 & 147:10 \\
\hline 32:15 43:7 & 158:16 161:17 & 106:10 129:14 & rejected 12:8,10 & rentals 34:6,11 \\
\hline 57:24 116:1 & 161:20 162:3,6 & refer 102:15 & 12:12 33:21 & 54:16,20 76:25 \\
\hline 178:12 & 163:24 165:13 & reference 30:14 & related 4:20 & 95:17,19 \\
\hline reasons 53:14 & 165:14,15 & 65:24 71:15 & 145:8 & 132:22 142:3 \\
\hline 98:7,18 115:14 & 172:12 180:1 & 75:4 77:10,24 & relationship & repealer 8:2 \\
\hline rebuttal 20:4 & recommendat... & 78:2 117:3,9 & 94:14 & 9:11 10:1,20 \\
\hline 28:23 29:2,2 & 21:5 118:6 & references 117:4 & relative 186:8 & 182:18 \\
\hline recalculated & 119:18 & referred 9:4,18 & 186:10 & repeat 69:24 \\
\hline 92:2 & recommended & referring 102:15 & relevant 11:23 & 167:23 \\
\hline recap 18:24 & 22:19 & reflect 38:12 & 98:1 117:8 & repeating 45:7 \\
\hline recategorize & recommending & 182:25 & relocating 40:15 & replace 120:3 \\
\hline 177:17 & 47:1 66:8 & reflective & relocations & replaced 85:12 \\
\hline receipt 8:24 9:3 & 156:21 163:14 & 114:25 & 142:24 & replanning \\
\hline 11:8,20 13:5 & 166:8 178:16 & refresh 24:1 & rely 65:22 89:2 & 175:22,24 \\
\hline 32:2 & recommends & refusal 28:2 & remain 28:25 & replanted 165:6 \\
\hline received 23:11 & 93:13,13 & regard 61:16 & 38:7 80:25 & replanting \\
\hline receiver 9:2 & 183:17 & 83:1 114:8,17 & remaining 80:20 & 175:1 \\
\hline receiving 13:13 & record 4:17,23 & 117:11 149:16 & 80:21 & replat 168:23 \\
\hline 24:25 162:14 & 5:3 19:22 & 149:19 & remains 113:21 & 176:5,5,10 \\
\hline reception 32:1 & 20:24 23:9 & regarding 4:5 & remarkably & replated 142:14 \\
\hline recognize & 30:18 37:22 & 4:14,15 5:1 & 44:17 & replay 168:6 \\
\hline 181:25 & 38:2 61:14 & 31:3,24 36:13 & remarks 30:15 & replied 79:7 \\
\hline recognizing & 64:13 66:20 & Regency 72:16 & 51:20 52:25 & 175:21 \\
\hline 42:9 & 77:17 79:10 & regents 72:14 & 53:18 99:4 & reply 168:11,11 \\
\hline recollection & 85:6 95:21 & region's 76:13 & remember & 170:24 \\
\hline 116:5,16 & 122:4,5 131:6 & 76:14,15 & 27:15 32:15 & report 25:25 \\
\hline recommend & 132:23 151:9 & 114:21 & 69:16 84:2,9 & 26:10 30:3 \\
\hline 14:15 47:2 & 179:10 181:16 & register 3:6,11 & 84:11,15 85:21 & 88:10 92:16,24 \\
\hline 63:17 98:18 & 181:23 182:21 & registering & 96:7 116:2 & 103:17 109:20 \\
\hline 122:11 156:24 & 182:25 186:7 & 61:16 & 150:1,12 178:9 & 186:5 \\
\hline 156:25 159:1 & records 5:16 & registration 3:4 & removed 14:8 & reporter 5:21 \\
\hline 177:14 & recurring 37:21 & 3:11 & 14:10,11 21:12 & 19:22 50:16 \\
\hline recommendat... & redesign 21:9 & regularly \(2: 12\) & 81:12 & 92:14 157:21 \\
\hline 2:18 14:13 & reduce 22:6 & regulation 33:2 & remuneration & 157:22 186:4 \\
\hline 17:6 75:6,10 & 54:14 99:15 & 178:9 & 125:16 & reporting 92:4 \\
\hline 75:11,12 76:21 & 129:16 132:4 & regulations & renaissance & 135:12 171:25 \\
\hline 77:11,19 78:9 & reduced 12:13 & 32:14 43:7 & 40:11 & reports 94:4 \\
\hline 80:5 93:7,14 & 21:13,15,18 & reinterpretation & renders 166:10 & represent 155:6 \\
\hline 93:23 109:10 & 30:7 106:8 & 23:2 & renovation 52:6 & representative \\
\hline 111:6,10 & 132:1 & reinterpreted & rent 76:24 & 61:21 77:20 \\
\hline 112:16 113:12 & reducing 22:8 & 22:25 & rental 21:23 & representing \\
\hline 115:8 117:4,5 & 129:3,4,6 & reinvented & 34:7 76:25 & 159:20 \\
\hline 117:12,14 & 156:17,22 & 121:17 & 95:18 96:18 & reputation \\
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\hline 29:18 30:22 & 68:19 70:3 & response 21:8 & revise 19:2 & ride 49:5 73:3 \\
\hline 67:17 & residence 5:16 & 21:25 & revised 91:14 & 104:18 \\
\hline request 2:11,16 & 40:19 42:21 & responsibility & revisit 38:21 & ridiculous 30:24 \\
\hline 11:18 12:24 & residences 9:4 & 184:2 & revisited 94:8 & right 11:4,23 \\
\hline 21:25 23:3 & 9:19 10:6 39:7 & responsible & Revuelta 3:22 & 14:1,2 16:14 \\
\hline 25:12 31:5 & 39:13,18 40:1 & 45:16,17,24 & 3:23 17:12,19 & 17:16 26:7,16 \\
\hline 32:12 53:8 & 41:5 46:22 & 55:11 & 18:3,17,21 & 27:6,7,19 28:1 \\
\hline 109:18,19 & 60:11 155:18 & rest 27:16 & 94:22 102:14 & 28:17,21 33:25 \\
\hline 110:22,23 & resident 22:4 & 104:13 135:13 & 104:12 108:10 & 34:7 35:7,11 \\
\hline 111:16,17,19 & 29:10 38:1 & 146:24 & 113:1,19 116:6 & 36:19,22 38:18 \\
\hline 112:17 114:8 & 55:18 70:4 & restaurants & 124:1 125:12 & 42:18,25 43:12 \\
\hline 137:1 & 86:2 & 40:12,16 & 126:4,12,16,21 & 46:10 48:9,21 \\
\hline requested 76:23 & residential 48:8 & restore 181:5 & 129:19 134:11 & 48:25 49:6,11 \\
\hline 112:8 & 48:12 63:8,9 & restoring 181:3 & 134:15,23 & 50:9,22 57:3 \\
\hline requesting 13:7 & 71:19 123:16 & 181:8,19 & 135:4,9,17 & 58:4,11 61:10 \\
\hline 14:22 119:2 & 123:22,23,25 & restricted & 136:9,21 & 67:13 69:4,9 \\
\hline requests 11:5 & 124:8 150:23 & 177:18 & 137:17,19 & 70:21 73:22 \\
\hline 111:13 112:22 & 152:21 153:4 & result 25:15 & 138:22 139:14 & 74:4,19 79:5 \\
\hline 119:2 124:21 & 154:15,20 & resume 7:6 & 140:16,20,23 & 81:13 89:16,21 \\
\hline 136:8 & residents 20:15 & resumes 62:18 & 141:4,23,25 & 91:20 96:9 \\
\hline require 163:3 & 33:17,17,22 & retail 13:19 35:3 & 144:3,8 145:10 & 97:15,21 \\
\hline 172:9 & 35:8 37:18 & 121:16 147:14 & 145:12 146:3,5 & 101:21 102:1,3 \\
\hline required 9:11 & 41:6,7,8 42:1 & 148:13 & 146:7,11,21 & 102:7 103:1,16 \\
\hline 10:1,20 13:19 & 43:1,8 45:13 & retailers 40:12 & 147:6,19 & 103:21,22,25 \\
\hline 37:23 91:16,20 & 54:18,20,24 & 40:16 & 148:11 149:3,5 & 104:24 105:23 \\
\hline 92:8 104:22 & 62:7,22 67:6 & retain 28:18 & 151:1,9 152:5 & 106:17,18 \\
\hline 120:16 172:25 & 68:12 69:3 & retires 85:11 & 153:2 154:4,23 & 108:5,17,17,18 \\
\hline requirement & 71:2,9,11 73:2 & return 120:18 & 155:15,23 & 108:21,22 \\
\hline 12:20 79:18 & 74:179:18 & returned 2:20 & 156:3,7 157:5 & 110:4 111:3,18 \\
\hline 93:24 100:9,10 & 83:11 86:8 & returning 14:2 & 161:5,6 162:9 & 111:22 112:1 \\
\hline 100:17,17 & 94:10 119:16 & reveal 148:11 & 162:17,22 & 113:9 117:8,22 \\
\hline 103:23 112:20 & 129:9 & reversing 78:11 & 163:19 164:19 & 119:7,8,10 \\
\hline 121:23 & Resolution 2:19 & reversion & 164:20 167:6 & 124:2 127:16 \\
\hline requirements & 8:23 9:14 10:4 & 125:24 & 167:21 168:15 & 127:24 128:5,9 \\
\hline 61:20 119:20 & resources 65:8 & revert 168:3 & 170:17 171:5 & 130:9,14 \\
\hline 119:23 121:2,4 & respect \(21: 8\) & reverted 116:5 & 175:16,17,24 & 132:18 135:22 \\
\hline 129:11,12 & respectably & review 9:1,16 & 176:6,21,22 & 140:17 142:5 \\
\hline requires 4:7 & 59:18 & 11:24,25 15:19 & 177:13 178:5 & 142:17 144:4,6 \\
\hline 39:13 63:10 & respected 65:18 & 15:23 16:2 & 178:15,22,23 & 144:23 146:5 \\
\hline 91:18 93:13 & 71:22 & 22:17 46:25 & 179:13,18 & 148:21 149:19 \\
\hline 126:25 127:14 & respectfully & 120:22 140:6 & 180:3 185:7 & 150:5,22,22,24 \\
\hline 127:21 & 23:3 115:21 & 162:16 & rewards 179:19 & 150:24 151:10 \\
\hline reservations & respects 33:22 & reviewed 110:18 & rewrite 67:24,24 & 154:24 155:13 \\
\hline 65:16 & respond 45:20 & 120:2 124:14 & rewrote 110:18 & 155:22 156:1 \\
\hline reside 20:7 41:3 & responding & reviewing 13:13 & rezoning 31:20 & 156:12 157:2,4 \\
\hline 46:5 57:11 & 150:15 & 75:7 78:16 & 181:6 & 157:11,12,25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 160:21 162:5 & 185:10 & sandwich 96:3 & scenario 121:13 & secured 57:25 \\
\hline 162:17 163:10 & Romano 36:16 & Santa 70:3 & schedule 74:19 & security \(47: 16\) \\
\hline 163:15,20 & rooftop 123:16 & Santander 47:7 & scheduled 2:12 & see 13:16,25 \\
\hline 164:7 165:14 & 124:1 & 75:22 87:13 & 180:5 & 14:8,9,11 \\
\hline 166:2,15 & room 23:17 & SAR 31:9 & school 47:24 & 24:20 26:9 \\
\hline 167:17 169:15 & 28:25 52:4 & 111:15 & schools 41:15 & 27:7,8,19 30:5 \\
\hline 170:16 172:1 & 60:19 120:22 & Sara 57:8 58:14 & 47:25 71:12 & 31:14,14 32:18 \\
\hline 173:2,17,21 & 180:23 & 60:4,5 & scope 48:6 & 36:7 49:16 \\
\hline 174:6,23 & rounding & sat 53:585:1 & score 86:3 & 72:9 80:21 \\
\hline 177:22 181:20 & 132:11 & 115:17 & scrambling & 82:20 97:17 \\
\hline right-of-way & row 154:25 & satisfaction & 88:18 & 100:25 101:16 \\
\hline 10:15,16 14:20 & Roxy 68:21 & 63:20 & seal 186:14 & 112:12,12 \\
\hline rights 8:25 & Rs 162:12 170:8 & satisfied 14:17 & Seaman 116:11 & 113:22 118:12 \\
\hline 46:12 65:15 & rubber 117:14 & satisfy \(132: 5\) & Sebastian 33:12 & 119:12,13,15 \\
\hline 125:24 181:6 & rule 61:24 & save 20:3 & 35:18,22 36:7 & 121:6 123:12 \\
\hline 181:12,13,14 & rules 14:17 & saved 18:6 & 38:3 47:24 & 125:9 128:19 \\
\hline RING 26:5 & 35:23,24 37:19 & saw 12:7,9 80:15 & 49:1 53:24 & 129:14 132:8 \\
\hline 39:19 & 43:7 113:10 & 80:22 87:1 & 87:14 & 132:12 134:4,5 \\
\hline rise 5:20 13:4,4 & rundown 133:21 & 95:20 114:24 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s e c }} 172: 3\) & 134:7 136:3 \\
\hline 13:5,5 55:12 & running 56:12 & saying 16:7,12 & second 6:22 13:3 & 142:6 151:14 \\
\hline 55:12 114:20 & runs 10:15 & 16:21 17:14 & 79:2 129:1 & 151:20,22 \\
\hline rises 43:18 85:7 & rush 180:19 & 18:7,8 42:23 & 133:11 135:3 & 152:13,13,18 \\
\hline 85:19 & Rutherford & 51:1 55:23 & 139:14,18,19 & 152:20 157:10 \\
\hline risk 123:15 & 58:15,16 59:13 & 83:12 87:10 & 154:25 157:2,8 & 166:17,19 \\
\hline risks 45:1 & 59:14 87:8 & 89:13 92:21 & 158:2,2,2,4 & 168:3,8 173:13 \\
\hline road 24:9 41:19 & Ryan 48:16 & 94:8,15 96:16 & 160:24,25 & 177:21 179:20 \\
\hline 42:2 48:19 & & 96:22 99:24 & 161:1 163:1,1 & 184:6 \\
\hline 62:11,20 & S & 119:1 129:4 & 163:22 164:3 & seeing 101:1 \\
\hline roadway \(21: 11\) & S 182:17 & 139:23 148:21 & 164:11,17 & 111:5 130:21 \\
\hline 29:14 & sacred 67:1 & 151:21 152:23 & 173:19 174:17 & seek 141:19 \\
\hline roadways 65:15 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s a d }} 43: 24\) 69:23 & 152:24 168:16 & 176:14 177:23 & seen 11:13 15:9 \\
\hline robber 159:14 & 93:17 & 171:10,16 & 177:24 178:18 & 16:4 40:11 \\
\hline Robert 3:17 & safe 44:1 & 173:5 174:1 & 178:19 184:21 & 59:15,15 77:2 \\
\hline 47:4,6 113:18 & safety 113:5,6 & says 24:24 26:13 & 185:8 & 85:16 86:15 \\
\hline 122:18 123:8 & sake 18:12 68:12 & 29:19 33:25 & seconded 123:2 & 90:7 95:6 97:6 \\
\hline 130:13 132:9 & sale 102:16,16 & 34:13 61:18 & Secondly 21:13 & 154:8,9,13 \\
\hline 161:9 163:22 & 119:21 120:4,7 & 83:2 84:8 & seconds 81:18 & 155:17,18,19 \\
\hline 164:23 173:18 & 120:8,11,15 & 98:11 104:17 & Secretary 2:14 & self 91:17 \\
\hline 175:12 176:17 & Salzedo 36:19 & 104:22 118:15 & section 7:17,24 & sell 125:25 \\
\hline 179:3 & 36:19,20,21 & 120:25 153:15 & 8:12,14,17 9:1 & 168:13 169:9 \\
\hline rock 52:21 & San 33:12 35:18 & 153:16 177:19 & 9:6,17,21 10:7 & 171:4 \\
\hline 59:24 & 35:21 36:7 & scale 8:13 11:13 & 10:11 30:7 & sells 142:10 \\
\hline roll 3:16 123:3 & 38:3 47:24 & 19:4 38:16,20 & 31:19,20 37:23 & 166:11 \\
\hline 161:2 164:18 & 49:1 53:24 & 38:23 39:8 & 45:9 61:21 & Seminole 79:6 \\
\hline 175:6,9 176:16 & 87:13 & 48:6 & 62:22 182:9,12 & send 6:1 61:7 \\
\hline 178:21,25 & Sanchez 58:25 & scaled 69:7 & 182:16 & sending 13:12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 163:19,19 & seventy 134:16 & shopping 52:10 & simple 20:11 & 139:24 140:6 \\
\hline senior 155:16 & seventy- 54:6 & 52:10,11 & 37:7 & 144:7,9,9 \\
\hline sense 15:20,22 & 72:25 & short 35:19 & simply 40:1 & 146:10 162:15 \\
\hline 16:8,10 31:21 & seventy-five & 131:19 & SIMULTANE... & 167:1 169:12 \\
\hline 40:2 43:2,5 & 101:22 102:9 & shot 96:23 & 15:15 16:16 & 169:13,20 \\
\hline 73:11 88:16,17 & 108:19 & show 68:15 & 17:18 18:16 & 174:14 177:2 \\
\hline sensitive 148:3 & seventy-seven & 89:18 121:20 & 28:3 105:2 & 183:7,7 \\
\hline sent 12:21 & 31:3 34:1,13 & 151:9 183:4 & 108:20 109:24 & sites 4:8 9:2 \\
\hline sentiment 39:1 & 34:14 37:8,8 & showed 62:7 & 110:10 112:19 & sitting 50:12,21 \\
\hline separate 142:10 & 37:10 38:18 & sic 15:23 26:8 & 115:16 122:13 & situation 29:17 \\
\hline 171:20 172:18 & 56:2,20 57:4 & 27:15 37:2 & 124:9 126:10 & 81:2 120:7 \\
\hline 174:4 & 68:8 72:22 & 116:22 118:18 & 127:8 129:21 & 152:25 168:6 \\
\hline separately \(8: 6\) & 73:24 87:19 & 125:1 135:20 & 131:11 137:4 & six 7:5,5 12:22 \\
\hline Seralta 42:14,16 & 94:24 96:16 & side 13:18 27:8 & 138:12 140:7 & 21:9 50:10 \\
\hline 42:17 & 98:6 108:19 & 27:9 44:16,16 & 140:18 141:18 & 77:5 103:20 \\
\hline serious 25:25 & 110:17 113:4 & 44:21 72:14,18 & 142:15,22 & 116:20 120:21 \\
\hline seriously 32:25 & 125:7 142:1 & 72:24 73:12,16 & 143:24 145:9 & 154:18 182:6 \\
\hline 46:18 & 148:2 150:8 & 73:20 95:5 & 145:16 148:9 & 182:19 \\
\hline serve 79:23 & 152:7 & 135:10 162:14 & 150:4 151:23 & sixteen 37:1 \\
\hline 127:25 128:2 & severability 8:2 & 168:1 170:3 & 154:12 176:15 & 117:22 150:22 \\
\hline 137:13 183:20 & 9:12 10:2,21 & sides 13:1 29:14 & single 10:9 & sizable 70:9 \\
\hline served 50:9,20 & 182:18 & 33:1 79:20,22 & 38:23 39:14 & size 21:21 26:13 \\
\hline serves 128:13 & severely 67:14 & 90:23 98:17 & 43:1,1,5 45:6 & 48:6 54:10 \\
\hline service 7:1,3 & 67:15 & 100:4 120:1 & 54:25 62:11 & 55:25 66:4 \\
\hline 120:2 125:23 & Seville 53:11 & 166:10,15 & 95:24 168:12 & 132:23 133:24 \\
\hline 137:24 146:7 & sewn 43:7 & 167:8,11,19 & single-family & 133:25 134:4,8 \\
\hline 148:20 179:17 & shadow 30:18 & 168:17 & 43:2,4 & skinny 135:23 \\
\hline Services 11:3 & 97:1 & sidewalks 65:16 & singles 96:20 & skip 11:22 \\
\hline 40:8 & shadows 27:10 & siege 42:22 & sir 7:10 23:6 & sky 75:11 \\
\hline serving 53:19 & 78:23 90:4 & 43:19 & 30:10 32:8 & 100:25 101:7,9 \\
\hline 90:21 & shameful 174:11 & sigh 132:2 & 33:5 35:14 & 101:11 \\
\hline set 2:14 47:20 & shape 132:15 & sign 5:12 44:23 & 36:5 37:14 & slab 101:1 \\
\hline 113:10,14 & shaped 38:24 & 44:25 & 40:3 44:8 51:6 & slew 129:12 \\
\hline 130:4,7,11 & share 137:5 & signed 23:17 & 63:22 68:14 & slide 74:25 \\
\hline 148:21 & shattered 51:3 & 24:2 & 152:19 & 116:19 118:11 \\
\hline sets 113:13 & shear 39:12 & significant & sit 101:15 & slight 66:9 \\
\hline setting 28:13 & sheet 134:21 & 62:15 80:7 & 184:13 & slip 14:8,9,11 \\
\hline 53:4 & sheets 101:25 & significantly & site 4:20,23 5:6 & 80:18 81:1,9 \\
\hline settled 79:6 & Shelley 58:14 & 63:15 76:8 & 9:15 11:8,21 & 82:22 104:1 \\
\hline seven 2:6 28:2 & Shelly 57:8 & 159:18 & 13:12,13,15,16 & 105:13 122:9 \\
\hline 54:7 65:9 66:5 & 58:16 & signs 100:5,5,6,6 & 16:1 25:1 97:7 & slipping 81:12 \\
\hline 72:17 73:1 & sheltered 44:3 & silence 2:5 & 102:4 114:22 & slowly 49:6 \\
\hline 94:25 95:1 & Shirley 68:21 & 180:24 & 114:23 128:1 & smack 67:18 \\
\hline 96:17 98:8 & shoes 67:9 68:13 & similar 13:17 & 134:24 135:12 & small 8:13 43:2 \\
\hline 100:16 & shoot 97:21 & 46:17 49:10 & 136:2,3,3 & 54:12 55:1 \\
\hline seventeen 57:23 & 138:1 & 99:23 & 138:13,15 & 58:11 76:7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 77:12 79:22 & 166:2 167:23 & 105:23 129:5 & 150:4 151:23 & 119:5 120:17 \\
\hline 82:14 & 168:8 169:1 & 129:15 & 154:12 176:15 & 130:14,23 \\
\hline smaller 19:4 & 177:25 178:7 & speak 5:11,19 & 177:10 & 133:25 134:1,2 \\
\hline 26:25 38:20 & sort 16:8 18:1 & 5:25 6:2 19:9 & speaks 5:14 78:5 & 138:25 148:22 \\
\hline 54:4 70:8 & 73:20 144:19 & 19:13 20:1 & special 2:14 & 163:6 169:25 \\
\hline 173:8 174:5,6 & sorted 18:2 & 23:18 33:9 & 44:14,15 45:13 & 173:10 \\
\hline smallest 83:12 & sorts 135:18 & 40:9 41:4 & 45:16 180:5 & St 59:16 \\
\hline 83:13 133:6 & Sosa 33:7,8,12 & 53:16,25 60:8 & 182:13,16 & staff 3:8 5:20 \\
\hline snickering & 33:12 35:21 & 62:7 67:12 & 183:10 & 6:17 12:5 13:2 \\
\hline 93:16 & 50:1 53:21,23 & 68:20 84:7 & specific 114:11 & 15:4 24:23 \\
\hline Society 64:17 & 53:23 & 90:9 115:15 & specifically 4:20 & 25:16 26:1 \\
\hline soft 88:2 & sought 21:4 & 126:9 134:13 & 61:19 66:11 & 75:776:21 \\
\hline Sokoloff 64:3 & sounds 59:10 & 170:22 182:20 & 76:24 94:20 & 77:12,14 78:9 \\
\hline 66:17,21,21 & sources 118:9 & 182:25 & 104:20 119:19 & 78:15 79:13,17 \\
\hline sold 166:1 & south 31:18 & speaker 24:7 & 119:21 120:21 & 80:5,14 92:16 \\
\hline solely 53:3 61:22 & 37:17 42:18,25 & 64:1 109:2 & 177:20 178:11 & 92:24 93:7,12 \\
\hline 118:10 & 43:17 44:15,21 & 127:2 128:5 & speculation & 93:13 99:25 \\
\hline Solomon 41:18 & 53:9 62:10,19 & 131:3 140:8 & 78:23 & 103:16 109:9 \\
\hline solution 147:3 & 70:13,20 72:15 & 142:25 144:21 & Spencer 38:9 & 109:20 111:7,7 \\
\hline solve 143:13 & 73:14 75:22 & 170:17 176:14 & 39:3 84:13 & 111:22 112:12 \\
\hline somebody 23:24 & 76:17 88:12 & 185:8 & spend 184:5 & 113:14 115:7 \\
\hline 56:21 59:5 & 95:6,23 96:4 & speakers 23:14 & spike 95:3 & 117:3,5 119:18 \\
\hline 85:8 86:17 & 97:12 115:11 & 23:16 57:9 & spill 109:8 & 120:2,6,13,23 \\
\hline 88:3 117:25 & 116:15 120:12 & 60:20 62:1,3 & spirit 29:19 50:4 & 120:25 131:4,5 \\
\hline 120:24 125:5 & 151:4 167:15 & 68:17 74:12,13 & 51:1,5 & 131:7 153:15 \\
\hline 159:12 170:10 & southbound & 86:17 88:9 & split 165:18 & 163:12 165:22 \\
\hline 173:15 & 122:10 & 176:15 & 166:6 171:11 & 166:12 167:20 \\
\hline somebody's & southern 77:25 & speaking 15:15 & 171:12 & 172:14 178:11 \\
\hline 95:13 97:18 & 79:2 & 16:16 17:18 & spoke 38:16 & 183:17 \\
\hline 137:20 & southwest 31:22 & 18:16 23:21 & 85:8,23 87:9 & staff's 14:13 \\
\hline someone's 59:25 & space \(22: 15\) & 28:3 40:23 & 131:3 & 109:16 \\
\hline Something's & 31:12 65:15 & 41:21 43:21 & spoken 47:9 & staffed 62:17 \\
\hline 59:4 & 71:10,19 83:15 & 51:25 53:16 & 51:7 55:2 & staffs 80:17 \\
\hline somewhat 53:4 & 100:1,9,17,19 & 70:6 81:9 & 69:19 71:3 & 88:10 \\
\hline 65:10 173:9 & 100:20,23,24 & 93:17 105:2 & 86:6,18 & stage 16:1 \\
\hline son 51:18 & 100:24 101:3 & 108:20 109:24 & sponte 31:6 & stamp 117:14 \\
\hline soon 41:9 81:20 & 101:20,20,22 & 110:10 112:19 & sporty 122:14 & stand 23:4 58:19 \\
\hline 90:7 & 101:25 102:6 & 115:16 121:23 & spot 48:9 88:2 & standard 14:17 \\
\hline sore 73:18 & 103:2,11 104:2 & 122:13 124:9 & 173:4 & 72:13 \\
\hline sorry 21:1 50:17 & 104:5,10,11,19 & 126:10 127:8 & square 10:9,14 & standards \\
\hline 66:21 78:21 & 106:16,19 & 129:21 131:11 & 10:17 12:25 & 120:21 \\
\hline 91:6 96:10 & 119:11 121:11 & 137:4 138:12 & 13:7 21:15 & standing 47:17 \\
\hline 103:20 106:24 & 127:16 134:6 & 140:7,18 141:7 & 25:7,7,8 31:8 & 67:25 \\
\hline 118:18 154:21 & spaces \(22: 7\) & 141:18 142:15 & 76:1,7 82:8,10 & standpoint 36:1 \\
\hline 156:10 158:19 & 57:21,25 58:2 & 142:22 143:24 & 102:9 105:6,16 & stands 73:21 \\
\hline 160:7 165:13 & 99:25 105:20 & 145:9,16 148:9 & 107:10 113:8 & start 19:21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 93:24 116:21 & 48:10 49:9,10 & 38:22 106:22 & sunrise 29:9 & swallow 39:9 \\
\hline 117:1 119:1 & 86:20 165:21 & sua 31:6 & sunset 19:10 & swap 45:10 \\
\hline 123:21,22 & 183:2 & subdivide & super 73:14 & swearing-in 5:9 \\
\hline 125:19 147:16 & stopping 157:11 & 168:13 & 148:6 & 5:14 \\
\hline 148:13 154:19 & storage 172:20 & subject 14:17 & support 30:9 & sweeter 148:24 \\
\hline 154:19 182:4 & store 116:2 & 29:1 61:20 & 40:20,23 49:23 & switch 149:9 \\
\hline started 33:24 & stores 35:3 & 125:24 168:11 & 57:13 58:3,8 & sworn 2:22 5:20 \\
\hline 34:4 37:1,3,4 & stories 36:25 & 170:9 171:24 & 58:13 60:9 & 5:21 \\
\hline 54:13 114:18 & 45:22 65:9 & subjected 169:6 & 70:6 77:21,22 & sympathize 71:2 \\
\hline 116:23 133:6 & 66:5 76:9 & submitted 30:3 & 143:8 & sympathy 48:7 \\
\hline starting 7:9 & 97:20 98:2,8 & 32:16 & supporting & systems 42:6 \\
\hline 96:15 152:7 & 123:13,14 & subscribes & 60:13 78:8 & T \\
\hline 173:9 & story 72:19 & 71:14 & supports 42:5 &  \\
\hline starts 47:20 & 123:23 & subsection & supposed 19:10 & table 103:20 \\
\hline stat 109:16 & straight 55:20 & 61:21 & 145:24 & 104:9 105:1 \\
\hline state 4:16,22 5:1 & 78:4 101:16 & substantial 5:2 & Suramy 11:2 & 148:24 \\
\hline 20:23 33:10 & street 12:17 & 61:2 75:6 & 147:8 & take 16:23 17:3 \\
\hline 41:11 44:8 & 27:22,23 28:1 & 77:18,21,22 & sure 5:12 15:23 & 18:11,13 32:25 \\
\hline 50:6 52:14,22 & 31:16,17 41:13 & 78:2,7,15,18 & 15:25 19:24 & 36:3,17 37:12 \\
\hline 64:12 66:19 & 44:20,21,24 & 79:1,12 80:4 & 24:1 32:5 33:3 & 37:13 43:23 \\
\hline 72:1 186:1 & 45:5,7 47:23 & 89:20 102:1,5 & 37:9 46:22 & 57:17 63:12 \\
\hline stated 67:10 & 50:18 70:3,10 & 117:11,12,16 & 57:5 68:8 & 64:6 66:13 \\
\hline 84:16 & 70:12 76:9 & 117:25 118:3,8 & 80:22 84:11 & 79:14 88:8 \\
\hline statement & 104:23 105:13 & 120:24 & 89:22 93:21 & 94:1 97:14 \\
\hline 184:10,11 & 106:16 114:20 & substantive & 95:10 101:18 & 100:16 104:18 \\
\hline stating 6:2 & 128:25 & 118:7 & 113:2 114:7 & 118:12 121:19 \\
\hline station 73:5 & streets 7:20 & sudden 34:5 & 122:23 125:18 & 123:22 126:2,5 \\
\hline 114:23 132:13 & 39:25 48:11 & suffer 55:4 & 127:13 133:12 & 133:13 136:14 \\
\hline Statutes 8:15 & 67:4 & suffering 48:4 & 133:23 141:21 & 140:1 157:19 \\
\hline stay 56:1,19 & strictly 92:13 & 55:5 & 144:25 145:7 & 159:8 160:8 \\
\hline 57:3 59:2 & 93:6 & suggested 38:20 & 146:10 149:7 & 162:5 \\
\hline 73:12 74:10 & strongly 38:16 & suggesting & 164:9 173:3 & taken 21:3 25:8 \\
\hline 157:17 & structure 73:15 & 18:13 147:19 & surge 41:7 & 130:16 160:8 \\
\hline stays 149:7 & structures 39:11 & suggestion 59:6 & surprise 95:6 & takes 4:12 87:16 \\
\hline stick 33:2 34:12 & 174:6 & 137:17 149:7 & surprised 75:13 & 150:14 168:6 \\
\hline 68:11 72:22 & stuck 34:7 & suggestions 21:5 & 95:12 97:3 & talk 24:10 25:18 \\
\hline 73:17 89:11,11 & study 27:14,18 & 38:13 & 98:12 & 27:14 44:17 \\
\hline 89:12 & 88:22,23,25 & suggests 143:7 & surrounded & 81:17,20 85:7 \\
\hline sticking 89:10 & 89:2 91:7,8,13 & suicides 166:15 & 113:23 & 88:22 89:6 \\
\hline 153:11 & 91:16,17,20,24 & suite \(34: 25\) & surrounding & 95:25 96:10 \\
\hline stippling 80:15 & 92:3,6 95:3 & Sullivan 41:1,19 & 21:6115:7 & 132:22 \\
\hline stone 135:19 & 128:20 150:13 & 41:19 & suspenders & talked 35:9 \\
\hline stones 154:2 & stuff 56:12 57:2 & summary \(32: 6\) & 172:8 & 44:18 45:4 \\
\hline stoning 33:25 & 86:20 112:6 & summed 106:9 & sustainable & 56:2 88:10 \\
\hline stood 133:18 & 113:24 154:21 & sun 27:11 47:19 & 107:8 & 89:20 116:10 \\
\hline stop 44:25 47:21 & style 22:23,23 & 47:20 96:24 & swales 65:16 & talking 62:14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 74:1 76:2 & 163:8 165:23 & 50:19 53:19,20 & 88:22 90:16,19 & 153:24 155:21 \\
\hline 84:23 87:9 & 180:11,20 & 53:24 55:14 & 92:19 93:11 & 159:11 162:1 \\
\hline 89:7 104:21 & 183:5 & 57:5 58:4,5,13 & 99:3 113:6 & 162:17 164:4 \\
\hline 153:3 169:1,2 & telling 28:5 & 60:2,3,18,19 & 119:20 129:13 & 164:15 165:7,7 \\
\hline tall 43:14 45:20 & 76:20 79:14 & 61:11 62:5,6 & 141:7 144:9 & 165:18 166:20 \\
\hline 45:22 54:7,8 & 118:13 154:3 & 63:22 64:8,8 & think 7:4 11:23 & 167:6,8 169:14 \\
\hline 73:15 135:23 & ten 44:19 100:9 & 66:14,15 68:13 & 13:9 16:18,22 & 173:14,24 \\
\hline 153:7 174:10 & 100:11 101:5 & 68:14,20 69:24 & 17:2 30:1,8,9 & 179:12 184:18 \\
\hline taller 32:16 & 103:21,22 & 69:25 71:22,23 & 34:14 35:4 & 184:18,22 \\
\hline 153:8 & 123:23,25 & 72:3 74:11 & 40:10,20,21 & thinking 117:18 \\
\hline tallest 76:10 & 148:6 & 75:1 80:2,9,10 & 41:22,24 42:10 & 137:19 \\
\hline tape 63:7 & tenant 51 & 83:8,17,17,23 & 51:20 52:18 & third 77:25,25 \\
\hline tapering 72:18 & tenants 20:16 & 83:24 90:24 & 54:1 56:5,21 & 108:4,5 123:21 \\
\hline 72:23 73:13,17 & 40:13 & 91:3,4 98:21 & 58:8,11 59:25 & 124:17 154:25 \\
\hline tapers 72:16 & tension 42:1,7 & 102:13 103:8 & 63:13 70:7,11 & Thirdly 21:15 \\
\hline task 75:9 76:7 & 42:10 75:3,5 & 109:4 113:3,17 & 70:14,23,24 & thirds 107:16 \\
\hline 77:12 78:14 & 79:15 & 118:10 122:25 & 71:3,5,7,8,19 & thirteen 123:15 \\
\hline 79:12 80:3 & tentative 10:5 & 125:11 133:17 & 72:6 80:15 & 123:25 \\
\hline 179:16 & 11:9,21 13:23 & 133:20 137:8 & 81:23,24,25 & thirty 33:24 \\
\hline \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a x }} 40: 23130: 24\) & terms 135:22 & 143:5 152:19 & 82:2,11,13,14 & 85:10,13 \\
\hline TCO 14:23 & 154:4 & 161:22 179:18 & 82:15,20 84:1 & thirty-four \\
\hline TDR 32:1 53:8 & terrific 30:1 & 183:13,18 & 84:8,23 85:3,5 & 95:23 155:3 \\
\hline 107:10 162:13 & terrified 43:11 & thankful 49:20 & 85:17 86:4,7,8 & Thompson \\
\hline 162:13 163:3 & 43:12 & thanks 8:7 44:6 & 86:9,10,16,21 & 48:17 50:1,15 \\
\hline 163:16 164:1,8 & testified 61:3 & 44:7 & 86:25 87:8,11 & Thomson 49:25 \\
\hline 164:11 170:9 & testifies 118:1 & theer 182:6 & 88:3,4,11 90:6 & 50:2,3,8,17,17 \\
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\hline 147:1 148:10 & zoomed 59:6 & 133 38:2 & 151:4 & 9:25 10:19 \\
\hline 149:11,22,25 & & \(135154: 6157: 4\) & \(190.6145: 1\) & 228 60:5 \\
\hline 150:7,11 & 0 & 1358 79:2 & 1999 46:6 & 243 42:17 44:11 \\
\hline 151:16 152:14 & 0.977 10:9 & 147:16,16 8:12 & & 24th 12:5 186:14 \\
\hline 152:16 156:5 & & 9:1,1,17 10:7 & 2 & 25 60:7,18 66:22 \\
\hline 157:24 158:19 & & 157:4 182:9,9 & 2-11.1(g) 37:24 & 108:19 138:3 \\
\hline 159:16 162:11 & 1,318 10:14 & 14-203 9:17 & 2-305 37:23 & 2600 50:18 \\
\hline 162:23 163:4 & 1,500-foot 12:20 & 14-210 10:7 & 2,025 133:25 & \(26534: 7\) \\
\hline 163:10,16 & 1,600 133:7 & 14-211 7:17 & 20 48:23 58:10 & 2701 48:20 \\
\hline 171:7,10,20 & 1,725 10:17 & 14-213 8:12 & 74:5 148:14 & 285 182:12 \\
\hline 175:20 176:2 & \(1.5123: 20\) & 1447 24:9 & 20-foot 7:22 & \(297: 24\) 8:17,19 \\
\hline 177:17 178:7 & 1.50 145:1 & \(145146: 16\) & 8:18 9:7,22 & 9:6,8,21,23 \\
\hline 179:15,21 & 10 42:20 54:17 & 1450 19:24 & 10:12 182:15 & 10:11,13 35:18 \\
\hline Z & 157:10, & 1460 41:3 & 20,000 103:5 & 297 76:10 \\
\hline zero 114:8 & 10-minutes & 76:11 98:5 & 2006-11 3:6 & 3 \\
\hline zone 76:17 & 74:20 & 107:15 125:8 & 2007 178:9 & 3-2 161:13 179:9 \\
\hline zoned 69:9 & 10,000 173:10 & 134:15,18,24 & 201 51:22 53:11 & 3,000 12:25 31:7 \\
\hline 95:24 114:15 & 10:00 1:12 & 135:3,12 136:2 & 2010 40:8 & 119:5 \\
\hline 114:16 & 1000-foot & 146:18,24 & 2017 32:19 & 30 19:7 29:10 \\
\hline zoning 1:6 2:24 & 183:17 & 147:20 148:2 & 2020 11:25 12:2 & 157:4 \\
\hline 3:14 6:25 7:15 & 10th 18:24 & 152:7 157:3 & 12:5 27:17 & 300 72:4 \\
\hline 7:16 8:11,25 & 11 6:13 7:23 & 15 138:3 & 32:18 91:8 & 3000 7:24 8:19 \\
\hline 9:16 10:6 12:7 & 8:19 9:8,23 & 150 107:5,14 & 132:1 & 9:8,23 10:17 \\
\hline 12:18 14:7 & 10:13 19:2 & 150-foot 108:17 & 2021 12:9 18:25 & 31 69:4 \\
\hline 16:24 30:12 & 110 158:10,11 & 1557:22 & 19:2 30:4 & 3100 55:18 \\
\hline 31:3 32:14,17 & 158:18,23 & 160 106:4 & 32:17 38:3,7 & 3131 157:4 \\
\hline 33:1,2 60:17 & 159:14,22 & 1600 134:1 & 66:7 105:10 & 322 30:12 \\
\hline 65:8,11 67:23 & 11633:12 53:24 & \(16176: 4\) & 132:1 181:4,8 & 33134 1:15 \\
\hline 69:8,11 72:21 & \(11747: 7\) & 163.3187 8:14 & 183:9 & 332 161:16 \\
\hline 73:12 74:10 & \(127: 23\) 38:3 & 17 86:4 & 2021-118 2:19 & 34 116:6 155:2 \\
\hline 78:6 86:23 & 56:10 & 17-30:25 & 2022 1:11 3:15 & 182:11,15 \\
\hline 95:11 96:5,15 & 120 148:14 & 1701 57:12 & 19:3 186:15 & 37,581 13:7 \\
\hline 100:9 103:24 & 121 29:20 & 175 108:7 & 203 7:25 8:20 & 3700 20:7 \\
\hline 111:11,12 & \(125149: 24,25\) & 179-foot 33:24 & 9:9,24 10:18 & 3720 58:16 \\
\hline 112:3,3,7,8 & 150:9,12,14 & 18 7:23 & 204.6 9:1 & 3rd 26:1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

