Exhibit D

	Page 105		Page 106
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there anybody that	1	MR. REVUELTA: No.
2	would like to speak on this item?	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Call the roll,
3	Jill, do we have anybody on Zoom?	3	please.
4	THE SECRETARY: No, no one on Zoom.	4	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what about the	5	MR. TORRE: Yes.
6	phone platform?	6	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
7	THE SECRETARY: No.	7	MR. WITHERS: Yeah.
8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: At this time, I'll go	8	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
9	ahead and close it for public comment and open	9	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
10	it for Board discussion. Robert.	10	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
11	MR. BEHAR: This is way overdue. This is	11	MR. BUCELO: Yes.
12	something that, in my opinion, will benefit the	12	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
13	homeowners. I'll make a motion to approve it.	13	MS. MIRO: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny.	14	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
15	MR. TORRE: I'm going to second it, but I	15	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
16	have a follow-up question that will take me one	16	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
17	minute, just to educate me. So, second.	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. We	18	MR. TORRE: So my question is, it doesn't
19	have a second. Any discussion? Chip.	19	pertain to you, but maybe you can help me and
20	MR. WITHERS: No, I'm good.	20	maybe our attorney can help me. In our
21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Claudia?	21	Historic Preservation Board, many, many times
22	MS. MIRO: I'm good, as well.	22	we were told they would come up, "Oh, my
23	MR. BUCELO: Same.	23	little house is worth this, if you knock it
24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The same?	24	down," dah, dah, dah, and economic factors were
25	Luis?	25	not to be taken into consideration. Does this
		1	
	Page 107		Page 108
1	Page 107 law affect those kinds of decisions, where you	1	Page 108 MR.COLLER: Sure.
1 2	5	1 2	
	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you		MR. COLLER: Sure.
2	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a	2	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of
2 3	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down?	2 3	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of
2 3 4	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play	2 3 4	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of
2 3 4 5	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play into this sort of all?	2 3 4 5	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to
2 3 4 5 6	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play into this sort of all? MR. COLLER: I don't believe so. I think	2 3 4 5 6	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures and Zoning Code Article 14, to allow an additional height bonus for limiting density for qualifying
2 3 4 5 6 7	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play into this sort of all? MR. COLLER: I don't believe so. I think this basically is a general statement of what the law of takings is, in the State of Florida, and probably under the U.S. Constitution, and	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures and Zoning Code Article 14, to allow an additional height bonus for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the Central
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play into this sort of all? MR. COLLER: I don't believe so. I think this basically is a general statement of what the law of takings is, in the State of Florida, and probably under the U.S. Constitution, and the Legislature wanted it reiterated here. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures and Zoning Code Article 14, to allow an additional height bonus for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the Central Business District; providing for a repealer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play into this sort of all? MR. COLLER: I don't believe so. I think this basically is a general statement of what the law of takings is, in the State of Florida, and probably under the U.S. Constitution, and the Legislature wanted it reiterated here. I don't think it added anything new to the law of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures and Zoning Code Article 14, to allow an additional height bonus for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the Central Business District; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play into this sort of all? MR. COLLER: I don't believe so. I think this basically is a general statement of what the law of takings is, in the State of Florida, and probably under the U.S. Constitution, and the Legislature wanted it reiterated here. I don't think it added anything new to the law of takings or vested rights, but, rather, than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures and Zoning Code Article 14, to allow an additional height bonus for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the Central Business District; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, and providing an effective date.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play into this sort of all? MR. COLLER: I don't believe so. I think this basically is a general statement of what the law of takings is, in the State of Florida, and probably under the U.S. Constitution, and the Legislature wanted it reiterated here. I don't think it added anything new to the law of takings or vested rights, but, rather, than expression of what the existing law was and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures and Zoning Code Article 14, to allow an additional height bonus for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the Central Business District; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, and providing an effective date. E-3, an Ordinance of the City Commission of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play into this sort of all? MR. COLLER: I don't believe so. I think this basically is a general statement of what the law of takings is, in the State of Florida, and probably under the U.S. Constitution, and the Legislature wanted it reiterated here. I don't think it added anything new to the law of takings or vested rights, but, rather, than expression of what the existing law was and the Legislature wanted it to be in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures and Zoning Code Article 14, to allow an additional height bonus for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the Central Business District; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, and providing an effective date. E-3, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, providing for text
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play into this sort of all? MR. COLLER: I don't believe so. I think this basically is a general statement of what the law of takings is, in the State of Florida, and probably under the U.S. Constitution, and the Legislature wanted it reiterated here. I don't think it added anything new to the law of takings or vested rights, but, rather, than expression of what the existing law was and the Legislature wanted it to be in the Comprehensive Plans.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures and Zoning Code Article 14, to allow an additional height bonus for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the Central Business District; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, and providing an effective date. E-3, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play into this sort of all? MR. COLLER: I don't believe so. I think this basically is a general statement of what the law of takings is, in the State of Florida, and probably under the U.S. Constitution, and the Legislature wanted it reiterated here. I don't think it added anything new to the law of takings or vested rights, but, rather, than expression of what the existing law was and the Legislature wanted it to be in the Comprehensive Plans. MR. TORRE: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures and Zoning Code Article 14, to allow an additional height bonus for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the Central Business District; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, and providing an effective date. E-3, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 2, "Zoning Districts"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play into this sort of all? MR. COLLER: I don't believe so. I think this basically is a general statement of what the law of takings is, in the State of Florida, and probably under the U.S. Constitution, and the Legislature wanted it reiterated here. I don't think it added anything new to the law of takings or vested rights, but, rather, than expression of what the existing law was and the Legislature wanted it to be in the Comprehensive Plans. MR. TORRE: Thank you. MS. COLLER: Can somebody take this and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures and Zoning Code Article 14, to allow an additional height bonus for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the Central Business District; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, and providing an effective date. E-3, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 2, "Zoning Districts" Section 2-500 "Planned Area Developments," to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play into this sort of all? MR. COLLER: I don't believe so. I think this basically is a general statement of what the law of takings is, in the State of Florida, and probably under the U.S. Constitution, and the Legislature wanted it reiterated here. I don't think it added anything new to the law of takings or vested rights, but, rather, than expression of what the existing law was and the Legislature wanted it to be in the Comprehensive Plans. MR. TORRE: Thank you. MS. COLLER: Can somebody take this and argue? Yes. Like I said before in the Bert	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures and Zoning Code Article 14, to allow an additional height bonus for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the Central Business District; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, and providing an effective date. E-3, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 2, "Zoning Districts" Section 2-500 "Planned Area Developments," to create the "Height Bonus to PAD Minimum
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play into this sort of all? MR. COLLER: I don't believe so. I think this basically is a general statement of what the law of takings is, in the State of Florida, and probably under the U.S. Constitution, and the Legislature wanted it reiterated here. I don't think it added anything new to the law of takings or vested rights, but, rather, than expression of what the existing law was and the Legislature wanted it to be in the Comprehensive Plans. MR. TORRE: Thank you. MS. COLLER: Can somebody take this and argue? Yes. Like I said before in the Bert Harris, anybody could sue anybody for anything.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures and Zoning Code Article 14, to allow an additional height bonus for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the Central Business District; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, and providing an effective date. E-3, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 2, "Zoning Districts" Section 2-500 "Planned Area Developments," to create the "Height Bonus to PAD Minimum Development Standards in the Central Business
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play into this sort of all? MR. COLLER: I don't believe so. I think this basically is a general statement of what the law of takings is, in the State of Florida, and probably under the U.S. Constitution, and the Legislature wanted it reiterated here. I don't think it added anything new to the law of takings or vested rights, but, rather, than expression of what the existing law was and the Legislature wanted it to be in the Comprehensive Plans. MR. TORRE: Thank you. MS. COLLER: Can somebody take this and argue? Yes. Like I said before in the Bert Harris, anybody could sue anybody for anything. MR. TORRE: Thank you for that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures and Zoning Code Article 14, to allow an additional height bonus for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the Central Business District; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, and providing an effective date. E-3, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 2, "Zoning Districts" Section 2-500 "Planned Area Developments," to create the "Height Bonus to PAD Minimum Development Standards in the Central Business District" to award additional height and limit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play into this sort of all? MR. COLLER: I don't believe so. I think this basically is a general statement of what the law of takings is, in the State of Florida, and probably under the U.S. Constitution, and the Legislature wanted it reiterated here. I don't think it added anything new to the law of takings or vested rights, but, rather, than expression of what the existing law was and the Legislature wanted it to be in the Comprehensive Plans. MR. TORRE: Thank you. MS. COLLER: Can somebody take this and argue? Yes. Like I said before in the Bert Harris, anybody could sue anybody for anything. MR. TORRE: Thank you for that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures and Zoning Code Article 14, to allow an additional height bonus for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the Central Business District; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, and providing an effective date. E-3, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 2, "Zoning Districts" Section 2-500 "Planned Area Developments," to create the "Height Bonus to PAD Minimum Development Standards in the Central Business District" to award additional height and limit of density for qualifying properties; providing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play into this sort of all? MR. COLLER: I don't believe so. I think this basically is a general statement of what the law of takings is, in the State of Florida, and probably under the U.S. Constitution, and the Legislature wanted it reiterated here. I don't think it added anything new to the law of takings or vested rights, but, rather, than expression of what the existing law was and the Legislature wanted it to be in the Comprehensive Plans. MR. TORRE: Thank you. MS. COLLER: Can somebody take this and argue? Yes. Like I said before in the Bert Harris, anybody could sue anybody for anything. MR. TORRE: Thank you for that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Let's continue with our agenda items. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures and Zoning Code Article 14, to allow an additional height bonus for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the Central Business District; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, and providing an effective date. E-3, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 2, "Zoning Districts" Section 2-500 "Planned Area Developments," to create the "Height Bonus to PAD Minimum Development Standards in the Central Business District" to award additional height and limit of density for qualifying properties; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play into this sort of all? MR. COLLER: I don't believe so. I think this basically is a general statement of what the law of takings is, in the State of Florida, and probably under the U.S. Constitution, and the Legislature wanted it reiterated here. I don't think it added anything new to the law of takings or vested rights, but, rather, than expression of what the existing law was and the Legislature wanted it to be in the Comprehensive Plans. MR. TORRE: Thank you. MS. COLLER: Can somebody take this and argue? Yes. Like I said before in the Bert Harris, anybody could sue anybody for anything. MR. TORRE: Thank you for that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Let's continue with our agenda items. So E-2 and E-3 are related.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures and Zoning Code Article 14, to allow an additional height bonus for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the Central Business District; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, and providing an effective date. E-3, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 2, "Zoning Districts" Section 2-500 "Planned Area Developments," to create the "Height Bonus to PAD Minimum Development Standards in the Central Business District" to award additional height and limit of density for qualifying properties; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you basically have a value of a property at a million dollars, I can't knock the house down? You know, is that something that would play into this sort of all? MR. COLLER: I don't believe so. I think this basically is a general statement of what the law of takings is, in the State of Florida, and probably under the U.S. Constitution, and the Legislature wanted it reiterated here. I don't think it added anything new to the law of takings or vested rights, but, rather, than expression of what the existing law was and the Legislature wanted it to be in the Comprehensive Plans. MR. TORRE: Thank you. MS. COLLER: Can somebody take this and argue? Yes. Like I said before in the Bert Harris, anybody could sue anybody for anything. MR. TORRE: Thank you for that. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Let's continue with our agenda items. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COLLER: Sure. E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures and Zoning Code Article 14, to allow an additional height bonus for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the Central Business District; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause, and providing an effective date. E-3, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 2, "Zoning Districts" Section 2-500 "Planned Area Developments," to create the "Height Bonus to PAD Minimum Development Standards in the Central Business District" to award additional height and limit of density for qualifying properties; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and

	Page 109		Page 110
1	Mr. Trias.	1	your density and
2	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, this item was	2	MR. TRIAS: There are multiple ways to do
3	brought by the Commission. The Commission	3	this, certainly, and the current request is to
4	requested that we draft an ordinance that	4	allow height to allow for flexibility in the
5	allowed extra height beyond the 190, in the	5	design of the building.
6	Downtown area.	6	Now, that requires a Zoning change. That
7	MR. WITHERS: Why?	7	also requires a Comprehensive Plan change. As
8	MR. TRIAS: To limit density to 100 units	8	you know, and as was explained in the prior
9	per acre. Again, this is a Commission idea.	9	item today, the Comprehensive Plan is the one
10	Sometimes I'm given too much credit for things,	10	that limits the 190 through the Med Bonus. So
11	okay. I'm just here to explain the logic. The	11	you have to change both, Zoning and the Comp
12	logic is that, at this point, as you know, you	12	Plan. That's why we have two Ordinances.
13	well know, the Downtown density is unlimited.	13	Now, we have here I don't know if people
14	So you can do as many units as you want.	14	would like to speak later, but we have a
15	What is being proposed here is that if the	15	person, who is working on a project that would
16	project is a PAD, meaning it's over one acre,	16	be affected or could take advantage,
17	and in addition to that, limits density to 100	17	rather, of these provisions and maybe that
18	units per acre, then it could be 205 feet tall,	18	person could explain that in some detail. But,
19	as opposed to 190.5. That's the concept.	19	as you can see, the area would be in the
20	MR. BEHAR: Okay. Just to be clear, if you	20	Downtown, as long as the project doesn't face
21	want to do that if you want to limit just	21	Miracle Mile, Le Jeune Road, Douglas Road,
22	to understand, maybe you could explain it a	22	Ponce de Leon Boulevard or Alhambra Circle,
23	little bit more if you want to limit the	23	meaning it's kind of like toward the center,
24	density, why do you have to increase height?	24	it's not at the edges, it needs to get Med
25	Why can't you just, with you have today, limit	25	Bonus Level 2, yes, and it also provides an
	Page 111		Dago 112
			Page 112
1	additional five percent open space. So those	1	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to
1 2		1 2	
	additional five percent open space. So those		MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to
2	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the	2	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this,
2 3	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.	2 3	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based
2 3 4	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir.	2 3 4	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having
2 3 4 5	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand	2 3 4 5	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any
2 3 4 5 6	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if	2 3 4 5 6	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site,
2 3 4 5 6 7	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density? MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density? MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density? MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it. MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density? MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it. MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density? MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it. MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density? MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it. MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density? MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it. MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density? MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this. MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density? MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it. MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density? MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density. MR. WITHERS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this. MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more flexibility with the building design.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density? MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it. MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density? MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this. MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more flexibility with the building design. MR. BEHAR: But don't you have, in the CBD,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density? MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it. MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density? MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that this gives you an incentive program. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this. MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more flexibility with the building design. MR. BEHAR: But don't you have, in the CBD, 190 feet six inches today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density? MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it. MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density? MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that this gives you an incentive program. MR. WITHERS: Well, no, but reduce density 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this. MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more flexibility with the building design. MR. BEHAR: But don't you have, in the CBD, 190 feet six inches today? MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density? MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it. MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density? MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that this gives you an incentive program. MR. WITHERS: Well, no, but reduce density now. Forget about the incentive, just reduce 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this. MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more flexibility with the building design. MR. BEHAR: But don't you have, in the CBD, 190 feet six inches today? MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. MR. BEHAR: So let's say I was to do, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density? MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it. MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by reducing density? MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that this gives you an incentive program. MR. WITHERS: Well, no, but reduce density now. Forget about the incentive, just reduce density. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this. MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more flexibility with the building design. MR. BEHAR: But don't you have, in the CBD, 190 feet six inches today? MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. MR. BEHAR: So let's say I was to do, you know, I guess, a 16, 17, 18 building I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density? MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it. MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density? MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that this gives you an incentive program. MR. WITHERS: Well, no, but reduce density now. Forget about the incentive, just reduce density. MR. BEHAR: The simpler you could do it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this. MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more flexibility with the building design. MR. BEHAR: But don't you have, in the CBD, 190 feet six inches today? MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. MR. BEHAR: So let's say I was to do, you know, I guess, a 16, 17, 18 building I don't know. I'm trying to see why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings. Yes, sir. MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density? MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it. MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density? MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density. MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that this gives you an incentive program. MR. WITHERS: Well, no, but reduce density now. Forget about the incentive, just reduce density. MR. BEHAR: The simpler you could do it because in the CBD, there's no density maximum 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it. MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this. MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more flexibility with the building design. MR. BEHAR: But don't you have, in the CBD, 190 feet six inches today? MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. MR. BEHAR: So let's say I was to do, you know, I guess, a 16, 17, 18 building I don't know. I'm trying to see why MR. TRIAS: I think you're leading towards

	Page 113		Page 114
1	MR. BEHAR: But you could still do 18	1	in the Code, I guess.
2	stories in 190 feet.	2	MR. BEHAR: Maybe. Maybe there's one site
3	MR. TRIAS: You could.	3	that we're looking at
4	MR. BEHAR: There's no reason why you	4	_
			CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Why don't we do
5	couldn't. I mean, I'm trying to understand, so	5	something, Ramon, are you done with your
6	I could make, you know you know, and, then,	6	presentation?
7	the question, this would only apply for a PAD,	7	MR. TRIAS: I am.
8	a PAD, correct?	8	MR. WITHERS: I have one more question of
9	MR. TRIAS: Yes.	9	Ramon. So talk to us about the architecture
10	MR. BEHAR: Is there any specific you	10	element on top of the 205 feet, what does that
11	mentioned there's a specific project that this	11	do? It stays the same?
12	will take advantage of.	12	MR. BEHAR: 25 feet
13	MR. TRIAS: I'm familiar with one project	13	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, that would stay the same,
14	and the representative is here and maybe he	14	yes, sir.
15	could explain it.	15	MR. REVUELTA: 30 feet or 24 percent.
16	MR. BEHAR: Not right now.	16	MR. WITHERS: What is it?
17	MR. TRIAS: Yeah.	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 30 feet, 24 percent.
18	MR. BEHAR: Would this be considered	18	MR. BUCELO: It's based on a percentage.
19	would this be considered like spot zoning, if	19	MR. TRIAS: Yes. In the Downtown, there's
20	we do this?	20	a percentage, so it could be substantial, the
21	MR. TRIAS: No. It's a voluntary process,	21	height, yeah, but it's not habitable. So it's
22	the PAD, so it's not any different than any	22	just decorative.
23	other PAD. It's just that it has some	23	MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but it's still height.
24	additional benefits.	24	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, of course.
25	MR. REVUELTA: It's just an overall change	25	Thank you very much.
23	with the voller in a sust an overall change		maik you very mach.
	Page 115		Page 116
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What I'd like to do at	1	Anderson have sponsored it, and I'm happy to
2	this point is open it up for public comment.	2	explain the reasoning behind it.
3	Jill, do we have any individuals here that	3	If you are unfamiliar with the project,
4	would like to speak?	4	this is a project that Armando excuse me,
5	Please step up.	5	Mr. Codina, has openly discussed in public.
6	MR. JIMENEZ: I didn't sign up. It's a	6	He's discussed it with the Chamber of Commerce.
7	good thing I don't like an audience.	7	He's discussed it with the chamber of commerce. He's discussed it in any number of meetings.
8	Good evening, Joe Jimenez, Executive Vice	8	So he's made no secret of the fact that he
9	President and General Counsel for Codina	9	eventually wants to live in this building.
10	Partners, 2020 Salzedo, Fifth Floor.		
	MR. REVUELTA: Executive counsel for, whom?	10	This building is designed to be something
11		11	that we don't believe Coral Gables, or, for
12	MR. JIMENEZ: Codina Partners. Excuse me,	12	that matter, Miami-Dade County has ever seen,
13	I'm sorry. I have actually been in trial for	13	and, yes, Mr. Behar, it is strictly for large
14	the last two weeks. I'm a little	14	units. As I've heard at least three of you
15	overcaffeinated. If I call any of you, Your	15	say, you are architects, and as it has been
16	Honor, I apologize.	16	explained to me by our architects and others,
17	MS. MIRO: Tell me your last name again,	17	is that when you have a unit the size of the
18	I'm sorry.	18	ones that we're proposing so, for example,
19	MR. JIMENEZ: Jimenez, with a "J."	19	in the Sofia, which is located at 2000 Salzedo
20	Because the project correctly was mentioned	20	Street, where I was the first resident I
21	by Mr. Trias and we are mentioned in the Staff	21	don't live there anymore, but I was the first
22	report, we've made no secret of our involvement	22	resident there, the average unit was 950 square
22 23	report, we've made no secret of our involvement in this Ordinance. The City Code requires an	22 23	resident there, the average unit was 950 square feet. The smallest unit in this building would

24

25

application of this kind to find a sponsor on

the Commission. The Mayor and Commissioner

Page	117
raye	エエ /

Page 118

	Page 117		Page 118
1	The geometry of the ceiling height, just	1	developers and builders, having built something
2	the vastness of the area, require it a little	2	eight blocks away, by our math, we could do,
3	bit higher. At 18 stories, which by a City	3	under the current Code, with the normal
4	Attorney opinion, we would be allowed to apply	4	process, coming to this Board, the Med Bonuses
5	for, without this Ordinance, by the way,	5	and everything out, if we were to build another
6	without it, with a 15-foot minimum on the	6	Sofia, we can do in the neighborhood of 310 to
7	ground floor, plus ten feet clear on the	7	315 units.
8	remaining stories, we can't do it at a height	8	We are voluntarily taking advantage of a
9	of 190.5.	9	bonus, to get this different type of product,
10	The idea was to address the concerns of	10	that is not available, and voluntarily limiting
11	residents that say development is impacting	11	ourselves from an unlimited density, to 100
12	Downtown, whether by traffic, by any number of	12	units per acre. We're actually going to come
13	measures. Impact is measured, on any	13	in at much less than that. I think our number
14	residential development, by doors, by units.	14	right now is 160. Our acreage is about 1.74
15	That's how you charge fire impact fees, that's	15	acres.
16	how you charge police impact fees, park impact	16	Mr. Withers, to answer your question, as
17	fees, school impact, any number of things,	17	you discussed in the last item, I have no
18	door.	18	interest in getting into a fight with the City
19	The FAR doesn't increase here. As you were	19	if all of a sudden you decide to lower my
20	talking about in the last item, and I do	20	density. I'd have no choice, because I'd lose
21	realize that I'm sorry that people didn't	21	a lot of property rights, but if I'm doing it
22	stick around. I had no problem discussing this	22	voluntarily, to take advantage of a 14 and a
23	in front of anybody. We are not building	23	half foot bonus, I think that solves both of
24	anything larger, floor area wise, than we	24	our problems. I think it's something that I
25	could. By our calculations, being experienced	25	can offer up. It's an idea that we offered up.
			······································
	Page 119		Page 120
1	We found two members of the City Commission	1	because I do happen to be an attorney and I'm
2	willing to bring it up for discussion, willing	2	happy to address a legal issue, the Publix site
3	to refer it to this Board. Hopefully this	3	that's been discussed to be developed time and
4	Board can see the wisdom of it.	4	time again, can absolutely take advantage of
5	We have a type of product that we need this	5	this on the Salzedo side. Any number of
6	envelope to build. If we can't, okay, well,	6	assemblages or anything else that can go up
7	then we go back to the drawing board and we do	7	the Salzedo site that we built could have taken
8	something else. We just need, because	8	advantage of it. So this is, by no means,
9	Mr. Codina wants a specific kind of product,	9	directed to us. We just don't we are giving
10	that requires a specific kind of building	10	a less abstract version of this law, to show
11	height and I'm not going to sit here and be	11	you what we have in mind to do with it.
12	disingenuous with a Planning Board, obviously	12	The only way to take advantage of this, the
13	it has to make financial sense, too, and to do	13	only way this becomes an issue, because I agree
14	that, I need to be able to put a certain amount	14	with your point, your point and your point. On
15	of square footage into this.	15	the last one, I know that site. A former
16	If we go down to 16 feet or, excuse me,	16	landlord of mine owns the site next to the
17	190 and a half feet, and I get the same FAR,	17	Junior League. It's 12,000 square feet.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

but I still want 10-foot ceilings, I don't lose

a floor or two, I lose three. And you can see

by -- because there's no half measure. I can't

You're not forcing me to take advantage of it.

To answer your question about spot zoning,

go to 190.7. So it's a voluntary program.

You're not forcing anybody else to take

advantage of it.

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

You're not getting above eight stories on that

The only way this becomes an issue is if

you lower the density. Otherwise, the law just

stays the same. And this reduction goes even

is 125. So it's a drastic reduction from what

below the limit placed outside the CBD, which

you have inside the CBD today, to even lower

site and I agree with you.

		-		
	Page 121		Page 122	
1	than you would have outside the CBD. So that's	1	MR. TRIAS: No. No. No.	
2	why we believe in the wisdom of this	2	MR. JIMENEZ: This is not about my site,	
3	MR. BEHAR: But Mr. Jimenez, what you're	3	which is my point to Mr. Behar.	
4	asking basically is increasing every floor by a	4	MR. TRIAS: The request is not about this	
5	foot, right?	5	site. The request is more general. The	
6	MR. JIMENEZ: It depends what you would	6	request, when described in terms of 18 stories,	
7	build to, but, yeah, I would say	7	is beyond what it says here only, because it	
8	MR. BEHAR: You can go to 18 stories. You	8	also sometimes would require amending some Site	
9	know you're not going to increase the parking.	9	Specifics. So I just want to make it very	
10	You would increase your habitable floors. By	10	clear, so we're completely transparent.	
11	the way	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Just to understand, in	
12	MR. JIMENEZ: The height.	12	that area, what's the highest stories of a	
13	MR. BEHAR: The height. So you're not	13	building?	
14	really you're still going to be 18 stories.	14	MR. TRIAS: 16 stories and 190 feet.	
15	What you're going to do is try to give those	15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's currently,	
16	apartment levels additional height.	16	though?	
17	MR. JIMENEZ: Exactly.	17	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, that is typically the	
18	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, just to clarify,	18	Site Specifics.	
19	in most areas, we have a 16-story Site Specific	19	MR. JIMENEZ: With one exception, and I	
20	maximum. So that is what the Code that Chip	20	think the City Attorney has an opinion, this	
21	was talking about had for a long time. So to	21	has nothing to do with my project. The City	
22	do 18 stories, there has to be that additional	22	Attorney's Office long ago opined that with a	
23	change of the Site Specific. I just want to	23	PAD, the flexibility allowed by the Code in a	
24	make that very clear, so it's in the record.	24	PAD, that's in the Commission discretion, to	
25	MR. JIMENEZ: This isn't about my site.	25	extend the maximums, and the City Attorney's	
			exerte de maximum, and the exp rationey s	
	Page 123			
	rage 125		Page 124	
1		1		
1 2	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where	1 2	Page 124 this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming	
	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on		this is what we should be talking about, these	
2	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where	2	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming	
2 3	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up	2 3	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot	
2 3 4	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean	2 3 4	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking	
2 3 4 5	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend,	2 3 4 5	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.	
2 3 4 5 6	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in	2 3 4 5 6	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about. The discussion here goes into the density,	
2 3 4 5 6 7	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning	2 3 4 5 6 7	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about. The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about. The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about. The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about. The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense. You're trying to do a good project and all of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about. The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense. You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about. The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense. You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about. The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense. You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about. The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense. You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm not breaking confidence, because he talks about it all of the time, because he's so proud of the building, he will not live in a condo that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about. The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense. You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first thing they tell you, at the top of the list is, maximize your density in Downtown, bring in residents. This policy is flawed and terrible.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm not breaking confidence, because he talks about it all of the time, because he's so proud of the building, he will not live in a condo that he developed, but he'll live in a rental that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about. The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense. You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first thing they tell you, at the top of the list is, maximize your density in Downtown, bring in residents. This policy is flawed and terrible. So I apologize for saying that, and I	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm not breaking confidence, because he talks about it all of the time, because he's so proud of the building, he will not live in a condo that he developed, but he'll live in a rental that he developed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about. The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense. You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first thing they tell you, at the top of the list is, maximize your density in Downtown, bring in residents. This policy is flawed and terrible. So I apologize for saying that, and I understand that there's some people thinking	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm not breaking confidence, because he talks about it all of the time, because he's so proud of the building, he will not live in a condo that he developed, but he'll live in a rental that he developed. MR. TORRE: Okay. It's good to know that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about. The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense. You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first thing they tell you, at the top of the list is, maximize your density in Downtown, bring in residents. This policy is flawed and terrible. So I apologize for saying that, and I understand that there's some people thinking that way, bringing down traffic. You want	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm not breaking confidence, because he talks about it all of the time, because he's so proud of the building, he will not live in a condo that he developed, but he'll live in a rental that he developed. MR. TORRE: Okay. It's good to know that. MR. JIMENEZ: Rental, and long term, at that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about. The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense. You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first thing they tell you, at the top of the list is, maximize your density in Downtown, bring in residents. This policy is flawed and terrible. So I apologize for saying that, and I understand that there's some people thinking that way, bringing down traffic. You want people walking, you want people using their	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm not breaking confidence, because he talks about it all of the time, because he's so proud of the building, he will not live in a condo that he developed, but he'll live in a rental that he developed. MR. TORRE: Nokay. It's good to know that. MR. JIMENEZ: Rental, and long term, at that. MR. TORRE: So first point is, I appreciate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about. The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense. You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first thing they tell you, at the top of the list is, maximize your density in Downtown, bring in residents. This policy is flawed and terrible. So I apologize for saying that, and I understand that there's some people thinking that way, bringing down traffic. You want people walking, you want people using their bike, you want people less driving. If you	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm not breaking confidence, because he talks about it all of the time, because he's so proud of the building, he will not live in a condo that he developed, but he'll live in a rental that he developed. MR. TORRE: Okay. It's good to know that. MR. JIMENEZ: Rental, and long term, at that. MR. TORRE: So first point is, I appreciate your firm, the work you do, the work you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about. The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense. You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first thing they tell you, at the top of the list is, maximize your density in Downtown, bring in residents. This policy is flawed and terrible. So I apologize for saying that, and I understand that there's some people thinking that way, bringing down traffic. You want people walking, you want people using their bike, you want people less driving. If you look at the urban academics, they'll tell you	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm not breaking confidence, because he talks about it all of the time, because he's so proud of the building, he will not live in a condo that he developed, but he'll live in a rental that he developed. MR. TORRE: Okay. It's good to know that. MR. TORRE: So first point is, I appreciate your firm, the work you do, the work you're planning to do, the integrity of the work that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about. The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense. You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first thing they tell you, at the top of the list is, maximize your density in Downtown, bring in residents. This policy is flawed and terrible. So I apologize for saying that, and I understand that there's some people thinking that way, bringing down traffic. You want people walking, you want people using their bike, you want people less driving. If you look at the urban academics, they'll tell you that's what Downtowns are doing.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm not breaking confidence, because he talks about it all of the time, because he's so proud of the building, he will not live in a condo that he developed, but he'll live in a rental that he developed. MR. TORRE: Okay. It's good to know that. MR. JIMENEZ: Rental, and long term, at that. MR. TORRE: So first point is, I appreciate your firm, the work you do, the work you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about. The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense. You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first thing they tell you, at the top of the list is, maximize your density in Downtown, bring in residents. This policy is flawed and terrible. So I apologize for saying that, and I understand that there's some people thinking that way, bringing down traffic. You want people walking, you want people using their bike, you want people less driving. If you look at the urban academics, they'll tell you	

	Page 125		Page 126
1	don't know how you're going to rent 12,000	1	Residential units, you have to take them away
2	square feet or 6,000 square feet or 3,000	2	from the Commercial units.
3	square feet, but that's great, if you can do	3	MR. JIMENEZ: I don't think that's true,
4	it.	4	because, in my line of work, it's rentable
5	MR. JIMENEZ: Armando openly says, if he's	5	square footage. How many doors I have is
б	wrong about the market, he'll have the biggest	6	irrelevant
7	house in Coral Gables.	7	MR. TORRE: Right. But all that counts is
8	MR. TORRE: But a measure of give and take,	8	FAR.
9	normally what you would do is, you're	9	MR. JIMENEZ: Excuse me?
10	increasing your size, you'd have to lower it	10	MR. TORRE: FAR
11	someplace else. You have to give something	11	MR. TRIAS: For transparency sake, again,
12	else.	12	because you have submitted a project, it is
13	So what I first asked Mr. Trias is, if	13	4.375. They were taking advantage of
14	they're doing this, is the FAR dropping? No.	14	MR. BEHAR: 4.375
15	So basically you're dropping the density, but	15	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. That is the request.
16	you're increasing the Commercial, so nothing's	16	MR. BEHAR: You're brining TDRs
17	changed size-wise. The project is not going to	17	MR. JIMENEZ: As we did on the Sofia.
18	be diminished by this at all. It's still	18	MR. TORRE: Here's a point, for Claudia and
19	300,000 square feet.	19	others that were the FAR in a Mixed-Use goes
20	MR. JIMENEZ: No. No. My point at the	20	to 4.375. So the Residential units
21	beginning is	21	MR. BEHAR, no, it's 3.0, 3.5. With Med
22	MR. TORRE: But the impact to our City is	22	Bonuses. You're allowed to bring it up .875,
23	mostly about the FAR, and if you're dropping	23	which would put it at 4.375.
24	the density, but you're increasing the	24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we need to
25	Commercial it's a balance. If you put 175	25	consider, we're not talking about this project
	Page 127		- 100
	Idge IZ/		Page 128
1	here. I want to be clear on that.	1	Page 128 and the great around the perimeter, what people
1 2		1 2	
	here. I want to be clear on that.		and the great around the perimeter, what people
2	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair.	2	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the
2 3	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should	2 3	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the
2 3 4	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items	2 3 4	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.
2 3 4 5	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment.	2 3 4 5	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five
2 3 4 5 6	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it.	2 3 4 5 6	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.
2 3 4 5 6 7	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for	2 3 4 5 6 7	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space. MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space. MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space. MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space. MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back. These small buildings exist. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space. MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back. These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space. MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back. These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space. MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back. These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space. MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back. These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space. MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back. These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space. MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back. These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was, okay, so the density to me is not where we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space. MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back. These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred feet of pretty much boring.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was, okay, so the density to me is not where we should be trying to incentivize. It's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space. MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back. These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred feet of pretty much boring. Then, the point is, what do you on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was, okay, so the density to me is not where we should be trying to incentivize. It's the opposite. I'm not in favor of that. So this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space. MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back. These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred feet of pretty much boring. Then, the point is, what do you on the ground floor to make it an unbelievable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was, okay, so the density to me is not where we should be trying to incentivize. It's the opposite. I'm not in favor of that. So this is about what we can we do to give that extra	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space. MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back. These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred feet of pretty much boring. Then, the point is, what do you on the ground floor to make it an unbelievable experience passing by? What happens to that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was, okay, so the density to me is not where we should be trying to incentivize. It's the opposite. I'm not in favor of that. So this is about what we can we do to give that extra height. Is there a place for that height?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space. MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back. These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred feet of pretty much boring. Then, the point is, what do you on the ground floor to make it an unbelievable experience passing by? What happens to that bottom 30 feet? That's where the value is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was, okay, so the density to me is not where we should be trying to incentivize. It's the opposite. I'm not in favor of that. So this is about what we can we do to give that extra height. Is there a place for that height? In my view, it's in the public realm and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space. MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back. These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred feet of pretty much boring. Then, the point is, what do you on the ground floor to make it an unbelievable experience passing by? What happens to that bottom 30 feet? That's where the value is. And if you do something amazing, get the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was, okay, so the density to me is not where we should be trying to incentivize. It's the opposite. I'm not in favor of that. So this is about what we can we do to give that extra height. Is there a place for that height? In my view, it's in the public realm and the benefits to the public realm, that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space. MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back. These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred feet of pretty much boring. Then, the point is, what do you on the ground floor to make it an unbelievable experience passing by? What happens to that bottom 30 feet? That's where the value is. And if you do something amazing, get the height.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	here. I want to be clear on that. MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was, okay, so the density to me is not where we should be trying to incentivize. It's the opposite. I'm not in favor of that. So this is about what we can we do to give that extra height. Is there a place for that height? In my view, it's in the public realm and the benefits to the public realm, that the benefits - you want to do a great job on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be. MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space. MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back. These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred feet of pretty much boring. Then, the point is, what do you on the ground floor to make it an unbelievable experience passing by? What happens to that bottom 30 feet? That's where the value is. And if you do something amazing, get the height. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're saying, that's

	Page 129		Page 130
1	MR. TORRE: That's where a bonus should	1	to change the conversation. Open spaces are
2	lie. Density removal, no.	2	great. Pocket parks are great. But public
3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Claudia.	3	realm is more than that.
4	MS. MIRO: That makes perfect sense to me.	4	MR. BEHAR: But, Venny, it's not
5	MR. BEHAR: I agree a hundred percent. We	5	necessarily. If you have a building that's
6	should not be looking at removing density. We	6	going to be 205 feet high, you need more of a
7	want density. And I agree, maybe it's not five	7	setback because of the back of sidewalk and
8	percent, maybe it's a little bit more, but if	8	where the building starts.
9	you create some benefit spaces that will	9	MR. TORRE: So you see all of the buildings
10	benefit the public, I'm in favor of the	10	on Le Jeune that never get occupied. They're
11	additional, whatever, fifteen feet, it's not a	11	empty. They're vacant. It happens all of the
12	problem, because I think you will get it	12	time. These spaces become, basically, ghost
13	doesn't matter, your project or any project in	13	towns. It's not activated. They're not made
14	the Downtown area, it will be a much better	14	to be activated.
15	project.	15	MR. BEHAR: But look at the Sofia, with the
16	In reality, whether you're looking at 190	16	restaurant that they have, okay.
17	or 204, it doesn't matter. At that point, it	17	MR. TORRE: That's what we want.
18	really doesn't to me, in my opinion, doesn't	18	MR. BEHAR: Okay. But what happens in a
19	matter. I think Venny is correct. We should	19	building and that portion of the building is
20	concentrate more of what the public will	20	not 200 feet. If you create
21	benefit from, and if it's an additional five,	21	MR. TORRE: So I'm going to create another
22	ten percent open space, I think that's what we	22	one
23	would you know, I would prefer to have.	23	MR. BEHAR: a public space there, that
24	MR. TORRE: I know that that's all we talk	24	they will benefit.
25	about, and I'm going to start hopefully trying	25	MR. TORRE: Four sides, right the
	Dem. 101		
	Page 131		Page 132
1	building has four sides. You activate one	1	Page 132 moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.
1 2		1 2	5
	building has four sides. You activate one		moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.
2	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a	2	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is,
2 3	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to	2 3	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we
2 3 4	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's	2 3 4	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200
2 3 4 5	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything	2 3 4 5	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.
2 3 4 5 6	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.	2 3 4 5 6	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.
2 3 4 5 6 7	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general. To do all of these condo offices and condo	2 3 4 5 6 7	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex. MR. BUCELO: I agree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general. To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex. MR. BUCELO: I agree. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general. To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex. MR. BUCELO: I agree. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general. To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex. MR. BUCELO: I agree. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general. To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12	 moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex. MR. BUCELO: I agree. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general. To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex. MR. BUCELO: I agree. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general. To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex. MR. BUCELO: I agree. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing. And I keep asking myself about the magic of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general. To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex. MR. BUCELO: I agree. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing. And I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general. To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of the sort, should be active, should be really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex. MR. BUCELO: I agree. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing. And I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205, reversed engineered to some sort of a project,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general. To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of the sort, should be active, should be really active, should be looked at for what kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex. MR. BUCELO: I agree. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing. And I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205, reversed engineered to some sort of a project, and I do agree, given the fact that we get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general. To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of the sort, should be looked at for what kind of activation, should we really explore it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex. MR. BUCELO: I agree. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing. Mnd I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205, reversed engineered to some sort of a project, and I do agree, given the fact that we get involved in luxury condos, that the higher
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general. To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of the sort, should be looked at for what kind of activation, should we really explore it, because otherwise we're losing our Downtown.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex. MR. BUCELO: I agree. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing. And I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205, reversed engineered to some sort of a project, and I do agree, given the fact that we get involved in luxury condos, that the higher ceilings are a matter of quality in any city.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general. To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of the sort, should be active, should be really active, should be looked at for what kind of activation, should we really explore it, because otherwise we're losing our Downtown. We've got Miracle Mile and what? And then the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex. MR. BUCELO: I agree. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing. And I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205, reversed engineered to some sort of a project, and I do agree, given the fact that we get involved in luxury condos, that the higher ceilings are a matter of quality in any city. So I think there's got to be a way that you can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general. To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of the sort, should be active, should be really active, should be looked at for what kind of activation, should we really explore it, because otherwise we're losing our Downtown. We've got Miracle Mile and what? And then the other street doesn't get anything, and the next	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex. MR. BUCELO: I agree. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing. Mnd I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205, reversed engineered to some sort of a project, and I do agree, given the fact that we get involved in luxury condos, that the higher ceilings are a matter of quality in any city. So I think there's got to be a way that you can incentivize a higher ceiling product, which I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general. To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of the sort, should be active, should be really active, should be looked at for what kind of activation, should we really explore it, because otherwise we're losing our Downtown. We've got Miracle Mile and what? And then the other street doesn't get anything. The next thing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex. MR. BUCELO: I agree. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing. Mnd I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205, reversed engineered to some sort of a project, and I do agree, given the fact that we get involved in luxury condos, that the higher ceilings are a matter of quality in any city. So I think there's got to be a way that you can incentivize a higher ceiling product, which I think I wish them all the best in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general. To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of the sort, should be active, should be really active, should be looked at for what kind of activation, should we really explore it, because otherwise we're losing our Downtown. We've got Miracle Mile and what? And then the other street doesn't get anything, and the next street doesn't get anything. The next thing you know, we have nothing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex. MR. BUCELO: I agree. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing. Mnd I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205, reversed engineered to some sort of a project, and I do agree, given the fact that we get involved in luxury condos, that the higher ceilings are a matter of quality in any city. So I think there's got to be a way that you can incentivize a higher ceiling product, which I think I wish them all the best in the success of that, but I believe this is going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general. To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of the sort, should be active, should be really active, should be looked at for what kind of activation, should we really explore it, because otherwise we're losing our Downtown. We've got Miracle Mile and what? And then the other street doesn't get anything. The next thing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 moving towards, it's to revitalize that area. MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex. MR. BUCELO: I agree. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis. MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing. Mnd I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205, reversed engineered to some sort of a project, and I do agree, given the fact that we get involved in luxury condos, that the higher ceilings are a matter of quality in any city. So I think there's got to be a way that you can incentivize a higher ceiling product, which I think I wish them all the best in the

	Page 133		Page 134
1	whatever it's worth, in my crystal ball, but I	1	be some reasoning. I mean, there's got to be a
2	think the issue that I struggle with is that	2	certain area of precedence, that you're not
3	every time that we recommend something and the	3	basically going above.
4	law is passed, it creates a precedent and it	4	So I'm struggling with this. I don't mind
5	creates an ability for somebody else to say,	5	the higher ceilings. I don't mind the lower
б	oh, he got that, I'd like to get 208, I'd like	6	and it's like Mr. Jimenez was saying, this is
7	to get 210. You know, where does it stop?	7	an option. You're not obligating anybody to
8	And I don't have a problem with height.	8	take advantage of this. And I think that no
9	I've always argued high and thin is better than	9	matter what we do, we're never going to
10	low and wide. I think the problem with the	10	disincentivize the private sector of developers
11	project in the Circle is not the height, it's	11	of just bringing density. There's nothing we
12	the massiveness of that project, just like the	12	can do to stop people coming to South Florida.
13	project on Dixie Highway. The height is not	13	So while you guys think about it and talk more,
14	the issue. The issue is massiveness, and,	14	I'll think about it.
15	frankly, the traffic and density.	15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis, could you speak	16	Chip.
17	into the microphone please? Thank you.	17	MR. WITHERS: Well, first of all, I echo
18	MR. REVUELTA: I'm sorry.	18	Venny, on Armando is a gentleman and the type
19	So I don't have a problem giving the extra	19	of developer I think Coral Gables likes to
20	height, as long as it's within some sort of	20	have.
21	realm, and I agree I think what you said,	21	Your mention of walking down 100 feet and
22	190, 205 you can't look at a building and	22	seeing water, you know, flush outs and service
23	say, hey, that's 205 feet and six inches. I	23	areas and louvered doors with red lights behind
24	can't do that. Maybe because I'm old and	24	them really caught my attention, and I guess
25	decrepit, you know, there's there's got to	25	the point is, you know, Coral Gables is it a
	•		

Page 135

	Page 135		Page 136
1	luxury Downtown destination area?	1	through variance, if they wanted to?
2	I mean, like Venny said, I mean, I think of	2	MR. TRIAS: I don't think so, because it's
3	the Chocolate Fashion right there, and I think	3	a Comp Plan change. So you cannot go beyond
4	of the Lebanese Restaurant right down the	4	the Comp Plan, no.
5	street and I think of Sykes Printing and I	5	MR. WITHERS: I see.
6	think of all of the little mop and pop shops.	6	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also
7	You know, what's it going to do to the	7	say that in the update that the Zoning Code did
8	character of that street if you come in and you	8	back in February, many very good things were
9	just throw 100 feet of concrete, you know,	9	done about the transparency at the ground
10	facing north what would it be, Almeria? Is	10	level, the storefront requirements, and, for
11	that the northern border of this project?	11	example, in Le Jeune, there's a ten-foot
12	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Valencia.	12	mandatory setback for buildings to enhance the
13	MR. WITHERS: Valencia?	13	sidewalks. So there were many things that were
14	MR. BEHAR: We're not looking at a project,	14	done in the Code that you all worked on that I
15	remember.	15	think go towards Mr. Torre's concern about
16	MR. WITHERS: No, I know, but I'm just	16	having an active ground level. So all of those
17	saying, I'm envisioning that part of town.	17	things apply. All of those things would't go
18	Now, I know what you're going to say. So I	18	away because of the extra height.
19	guess my question is, when we pass something	19	MR. WITHERS: I don't have a problem with
20	like this, and the Commission has the ability	20	the extra height in that part of town, you
21	to horse trade on a PAD, they don't have to	21	know, in the Central Business District. I have
22	give the 205 feet, is that correct?	22	height issues in other parts of town, but not
23	MR. TRIAS: They don't have to approve it,	23	in Downtown.
24	no, certainly. They could approve less, yeah.	24	MR. BEHAR: And let me answer your question
25	MR. WITHERS: So they could give more	25	to see. In the CBD, I think 99 percent of all

	Page 137		Page 138
1	of the properties have alleys in the back of	1	I think there has to be a pronounced
2	it, correct?	2	acknowledgment that we need to focus on the
3	MR. TRIAS: Yes. That's typical.	3	quality of what happens on the ground in the
4	MR. BEHAR: So if you are not you don't	4	Downtown, constant. It's my opinion. I
5	have to put those service area on the street.	5	think I don't have a problem with 203 feet,
6	You could put it on the alley. And you could	6	I don't, for any. I just don't think that the
7	activate the whole street without a problem.	7	trade, in my view, should be for lower density.
8	So to say that you're going to have a hundred	8	It should be for other trades. Give it to me
9	feet of a solid wall, I don't think that's	9	on the ground, I want to expand those
10	correct.	10	storefronts, I want to have liveliness, I want
11	MR. TRIAS: But that's not allowed.	11	to have cafes, as much as I can, but I don't
12	MR. BEHAR: First, it's not allowed. Now,	12	think the trade should be for lower density.
13	what the one additional setback or open space	13	MR. BEHAR: And I agree with you. I don't
14	could do, Venny, is like, if you have a	14	think we should give up any density, no, for
15	property that goes from Almeria to the other	15	that. I rather give them something else to
16	block, you could create yourself an internal	16	allow
17	drive and you could put all of those	17	MR. TORRE: So I'm in favor of up to 203
18	services	18	feet. I just want to give you a different
19	MR. TORRE: I think you missed what I said,	19	trade.
20	and I'm not suggesting there wouldn't be cut	20	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, the current proposal that
21	drives and access for trucks and all of that	20	deals with your issue says that extra five
22	stuff. That's fine. It has to happen. That	22	percent open space. Now, that could be
23	happens in the Regions building, where the back	23	changed. That could be ten percent, that could
24	of the police has, that you enter this alley	24	be something
25	and nobody even knows that they're there.	25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But I think what Venny
		20	
	Page 139		Page 140
1	is saying, he's talking about something else,	1	MR. REVUELTA: Aren't some of the things
2	other than open space.	2	that we're talking about things that have to be
3	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes. I understand. All	3	controlled by the architectural review board?
4	I'm saying is that this is the only thing that	4	MR. BEHAR: The Board of Architects
5	we have right now related to that	5	MR. REVUELTA: You know, mechanical spaces
6	MR. TORRE: There's a very good book by	6	and this and that and the other, these are
7	Victor Dover about streetscapes and what	7	things that are to be controlled at the level
8	happens on the ground, and you've read the	8	of the architectural review board.
9	book. That's what I'm talking about. You	9	MR. TRIAS: And they are. They are
10	know the book. You know what I'm talking	10	currently. Anytime we have a Mixed-use
11	about. You have certain things, certain	11	project, first it goes to the Board of
12	criteria, that the experts will tell you should	12	Architects, then it comes to you. You get a
13	be happening on the ground floor.	13	chance to deal with the issues. In fact, the
14	MR. BEHAR: You know, something that we are	14	Fire Department is very sensitive to the
15	required to do, that I think takes away from	15	different locations and so on. So you can
16	that, is the requirement to put arcades. You	16	really see that there's an effort to do the
17	that, is the requirement to put arcades. You know, that we're going away from that now.	17	things you're talking about. The question is,
17 18	that, is the requirement to put arcades. You know, that we're going away from that now. MR. TRIAS: It's an option. It's not a	17 18	things you're talking about. The question is, how do we Codify it? How do we make the Code
17 18 19	that, is the requirement to put arcades. You know, that we're going away from that now. MR. TRIAS: It's an option. It's not a requirement.	17 18 19	things you're talking about. The question is, how do we Codify it? How do we make the Code stronger?
17 18 19 20	that, is the requirement to put arcades. You know, that we're going away from that now.MR. TRIAS: It's an option. It's not a requirement.MR. BEHAR: Because the arcade, in a	17 18 19 20	things you're talking about. The question is, how do we Codify it? How do we make the Code stronger? MR. BEHAR: The point, I think, is, we I
17 18 19 20 21	that, is the requirement to put arcades. You know, that we're going away from that now.MR. TRIAS: It's an option. It's not a requirement.MR. BEHAR: Because the arcade, in a building like this, will be bad.	17 18 19 20 21	things you're talking about. The question is, how do we Codify it? How do we make the Code stronger? MR. BEHAR: The point, I think, is, we I mean, I'm not in favor of reducing like
17 18 19 20 21 22	 that, is the requirement to put arcades. You know, that we're going away from that now. MR. TRIAS: It's an option. It's not a requirement. MR. BEHAR: Because the arcade, in a building like this, will be bad. MR. TRIAS: Furthermore, in the Code update 	17 18 19 20 21 22	things you're talking about. The question is, how do we Codify it? How do we make the Code stronger? MR. BEHAR: The point, I think, is, we I mean, I'm not in favor of reducing like Venny is saying, reducing density for the
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that, is the requirement to put arcades. You know, that we're going away from that now. MR. TRIAS: It's an option. It's not a requirement. MR. BEHAR: Because the arcade, in a building like this, will be bad. MR. TRIAS: Furthermore, in the Code update that you worked on, arcades were eliminated 	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 things you're talking about. The question is, how do we Codify it? How do we make the Code stronger? MR. BEHAR: The point, I think, is, we I mean, I'm not in favor of reducing like Venny is saying, reducing density for the height. Let's put it somewhere else, you know.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 that, is the requirement to put arcades. You know, that we're going away from that now. MR. TRIAS: It's an option. It's not a requirement. MR. BEHAR: Because the arcade, in a building like this, will be bad. MR. TRIAS: Furthermore, in the Code update that you worked on, arcades were eliminated from Miracle Mile, for example, because it's 	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 things you're talking about. The question is, how do we Codify it? How do we make the Code stronger? MR. BEHAR: The point, I think, is, we I mean, I'm not in favor of reducing like Venny is saying, reducing density for the height. Let's put it somewhere else, you know. That's my opinion. And I will support I'll
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that, is the requirement to put arcades. You know, that we're going away from that now. MR. TRIAS: It's an option. It's not a requirement. MR. BEHAR: Because the arcade, in a building like this, will be bad. MR. TRIAS: Furthermore, in the Code update that you worked on, arcades were eliminated 	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 things you're talking about. The question is, how do we Codify it? How do we make the Code stronger? MR. BEHAR: The point, I think, is, we I mean, I'm not in favor of reducing like Venny is saying, reducing density for the height. Let's put it somewhere else, you know.

	Page 141		Page 142
			-
	E: I think we have two or three		the other? I'm struggling with how to Codify
	ven't spoken. I want to make	2	that, despite the fact that I'm totally in
	on't hog the space.	3	agreement with what you're saying. There is a
	ELTA: I agree with everything that	4	challange in how do you incentivize that I
	he problem is that, how do you	5	don't feel the same concern about the density,
	nebody to put a use a business	6	because I think this just happened to be a
	a City and is not controlled by	7	developer that said, hey, I don't want to have
	think it's out of our control.	8	a lot of density, I want to have high ceilings,
	E: We have incentives now that are	9	and I want to go at it, but I think most of
	h, that are subjective to	10	what's going to happen is that people are going
	h, that's a good thing for the	11	try not to curtail themselves, and I don't
	e to say, it's a good thing for	12	know how many people are going to take
	entivize great streetscapes.	13	advantage of this, by the way. You know, for
	ave the Mediterranean Bonus as a	14	fourteen and a half feet, to cut down the
e e	e to keep the fabric of the City,	15	density this much, I'm struggling, because, I
-	entive that the downstairs of	16	mean, 118 stories my understanding is 180
	ildings are top notch. It's the	17	feet. It's not ten feet clear. You need to
18 exact same wa		18	add about eight inches or nine inches of slab
	JELTA: I'm in agreement with you,	19	and you need to have a decent retail space, and
	eeds to be Codified. In my mind	20	then you have parking, et cetera, et cetera, so
	ay, if I'm writing this Code, if	21	to me everything that you said is right and it
	ive somebody another fourteen and	22	goes back to what Chip was saying, you know, we
	at am I going to tell them to	23	should have other discussion items or Workshops
	sful business and quality	24	in which we address like the north side of
25 materials and	a loggia and this and that and	25	Biltmore Way and things like this.
	Page 143		Page 144
1 I'm not so s	sure how we can blend the two on	1	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more
2 an item like th	nis, and maybe I'm confused.	2	affordable units. I didn't say affordable
3 MR. TORI	RE: Last point, which I didn't	3	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to
4 price point, ri	ght, you get a bigger unit, it	4	your point
5 gets to be a bi	gger price point, more	5	MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price
6 expensive. M	Iore expensive for sale, more	6	point is tied to the square foot. If I have a
7 expensive for	rent, they're bigger. One of the	7	600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a
8 biggest issues	in the real estate market is	8	900 square foot apartment, but they're still
9 affordability.	The smaller units are more	9	one bedroom.
10 affordable, an	d the further and further we get	10	MR. REVUELTA: I'm taking your lead on
11 into this deca	de, whatever, it's just becoming	11	this. If there is an incentive that a
12 harder and ha	rder. I mean, the smaller units	12	developer wants to do X, Y, and Z, there might
13 are the afford	able units, and you're talking	13	be a fund in which that developer will put
14 about, we're l	eaving people out of the market.	14	money for affordable housing. It's not
	rovide for affordability. Well, we	15	inventing this, that already exists, right?
16 need to have	more units.	16	Add it somewhere else in the City.
17 MR. REVI	UELTA: You are absolutely right.	17	I mean, that's an incentive that's a
	s, though, on this luxury product,	18	win-win situation, right. So, I mean, I'm just
	ental or condo, that the moment	19	basically following your lead on that.
	rdable housing, with a luxury	20	MR. BEHAR: Well, I'm going to tell you, I
21 product	- •	21	think the additional height, I don't have a
-	RE: No. No. I didn't say that. I	22	problem. I think it could be better. But I
23 never said the		23	have a problem reducing as an incentive,
	UELTA: You were not suggesting	24	reducing the units, because what's going to
25 that.		25	happen, that's today, the trend. The trend,

	Page 145		Page 146
1	you know, Luis, is to do bigger units today.	1	MR. BUCELO: Can they subsidize?
2	MR. REVUELTA: Today.	2	MR. TORRE: Well, then you're saying, then
3	MR. BEHAR: Today. I don't know what's	3	don't make it a requirement for active and let
4	going to happen five, ten years from now, then	4	them have dead downstairs and the
5	we're going to be a hundred unlimited units	5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. No. I'm not
6	MR. REVUELTA: We're going to be New York	6	saying the
7	and Hong Kong. We're going to have drawers in	7	MR. TORRE: No. No. I'm just saying, is
8	buildings. That's what's going to happen.	8	the the betterment of the community and the
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But isn't the ground	9	betterment of the Downtown revolves, in my
10	floor going to be dictated by economics, in	10	view, about active Downtowns. It revolves
11	general?	11	around 24-hour Downtowns.
12	MR. REVUELTA: Of course.	12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: A hundred percent.
13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You could drive	13	MR. TORRE: So that, in a general sense, is
14	whatever you want to go into the ground floor	14	a better solution overall, everybody wins, the
15	to make it visible for people to walk by,	15	developer wins, the community wins, everybody
16	cafes, whatever it is, but those landlords are	16	wins, when there's a more lively downstairs, if
17	going to have to get "X" amount of dollars per	17	everybody is doing it. If nobody's doing it,
18	square foot to survive.	18	then, you know, nobody is going to do it,
19	MR. TORRE: Aren't they getting extra	19	because it doesn't make sense. Retail is the
20	height?	20	hardest thing to do. I'm not doing it.
21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And to thrive.	21	MR. REVUELTA: I think if you I don't
22	MR. TORRE: That's the give.	22	have a problem with the density, because I
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But even if they're	23	don't think too many people are going to take
24	getting extra height, how do they support	24	advantage of this. I can't think of how many
25	downstairs?	25	clients of mine are going to take advantage of
	Page 147		
			Page 148
1	that lower density, even the ones that do high	1	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much
2	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.	2	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't
	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area,		MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being
2 3 4	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not	2 3 4	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of
2 3 4 5	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a	2 3 4 5	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch
2 3 4 5 6	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to	2 3 4 5 6	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the
2 3 4 5 6 7	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right?
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said. MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is – right? MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said. MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right? MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said. MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right? MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct. MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said. MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right? MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct. MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said. MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right? MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct. MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice. MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said. MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building. MR. REVUELTA: I mean, the City of Miami	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right? MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct. MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice. MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you want to be when you grow up? You either have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said. MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building. MR. REVUELTA: I mean, the City of Miami struggled with that after Miami 21, which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is – right? MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct. MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice. MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you want to be when you grow up? You either have to have some goals and some ideas or you just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said. MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building. MR. REVUELTA: I mean, the City of Miami struggled with that after Miami 21, which is another big discussion, but are we not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right? MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct. MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice. MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you want to be when you grow up? You either have to have some goals and some ideas or you just let people just do whatever they want. So,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said. MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building. MR. REVUELTA: I mean, the City of Miami struggled with that after Miami 21, which is another big discussion, but are we not addressing that in the architectural Code and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right? MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct. MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice. MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you want to be when you grow up? You either have to have some goals and some ideas or you just let people just do whatever they want. So, this, to me, is something we should be striving
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said. MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building. MR. REVUELTA: I mean, the City of Miami struggled with that after Miami 21, which is another big discussion, but are we not addressing that in the architectural Code and with the review board? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right? MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct. MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice. MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you want to be when you grow up? You either have to have some goals and some ideas or you just let people just do whatever they want. So, this, to me, is something we should be striving for.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said. MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building. MR. REVUELTA: I mean, the City of Miami struggled with that after Miami 21, which is another big discussion, but are we not addressing that in the architectural Code and with the review board? MR. TRIAS: Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right? MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct. MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice. MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you want to be when you grow up? You either have to have some goals and some ideas or you just let people just do whatever they want. So, this, to me, is something we should be striving for. The second thing and I'm sorry, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said. MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building. MR. REVUELTA: I mean, the City of Miami struggled with that after Miami 21, which is another big discussion, but are we not addressing that in the architectural Code and with the review board? MR. REVUELTA: I mean, that is the first 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right? MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct. MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice. MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you want to be when you grow up? You either have to have some goals and some ideas or you just let people just do whatever they want. So, this, to me, is something we should be striving for. The second thing and I'm sorry, I'm taking up all of the time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said. MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building. MR. REVUELTA: I mean, the City of Miami struggled with that after Miami 21, which is another big discussion, but are we not addressing that in the architectural Code and with the review board? MR. REVUELTA: I mean, that is the first place that that needs to be caught and not be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right? MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct. MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice. MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you want to be when you grow up? You either have to have some goals and some ideas or you just let people just do whatever they want. So, this, to me, is something we should be striving for. The second thing and I'm sorry, I'm taking up all of the time CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said. MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building. MR. REVUELTA: I mean, the City of Miami struggled with that after Miami 21, which is another big discussion, but are we not addressing that in the architectural Code and with the review board? MR. REVUELTA: I mean, that is the first place that that needs to be caught and not be allowed. Actually, you can maybe even codify 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right? MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct. MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice. MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you want to be when you grow up? You either have to have some goals and some ideas or you just let people just do whatever they want. So, this, to me, is something we should be striving for. The second thing and I'm sorry, I'm taking up all of the time CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead. MR. TORRE: CBD, the Central Business
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said. MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building. MR. REVUELTA: I mean, the City of Miami struggled with that after Miami 21, which is another big discussion, but are we not addressing that in the architectural Code and with the review board? MR. REVUELTA: I mean, that is the first place that that needs to be caught and not be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right? MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct. MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice. MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you want to be when you grow up? You either have to have some goals and some ideas or you just let people just do whatever they want. So, this, to me, is something we should be striving for. The second thing and I'm sorry, I'm taking up all of the time CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead.

	Page 149		Page 150
1	We're not talking about the outside. We're	1	housing fund.
2	talking about the Central Business corridor.	2	MR. TRIAS: Are you comfortable with a
3	That's why I believe it should be that.	3	percentage, either ten percent or
4	MR. TRIAS: We're not talking about US-1,	4	MR. REVUELTA: I hate that. You know, it's
5	for example.	5	like, I'm not comfortable with 205. Why is it
	•	6	not 200? Why is it not 210?
6	MR. TORRE: No. We're talking about the	7	Every time I read a Code that has a number
7	CBD, two or three streets.	8	like this, I'm saying, you know, who ended up
8	MR. COLLER: You may want to think about	9	doing this thing?
9	extending the meeting, in light of where we are	10	
10	in our agenda.	11	MR. BEHAR: Luis, you, as an architect, I
11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's see what time we	12	think if you do a quick analysis, you're going
12	have. We have 8:51. Are we going to make a	13	to see why the additional fifteen feet or
13	motion on E-2 first? Anybody want to make a	14	whatever. MR. REVUELTA: No. No. No. Junderstand
14	motion?	1	
15	Anybody want to make a motion to extend the	15	where he's coming from, but it's a rhetorical
16	time?	16	question that I was asking. He asked me, what
17	MR. REVUELTA: Go Alex. I'm waiting for	17	percentage? They're recommending five. I'm
18	you. I would make a motion to approve, with	18	saying, if I, out of my belly button, recommend
19	the condition that the Commission requires or	19	six, eight, what am I doing? I don't know if I
20	requests more green area	20	can
21	MR. TRIAS: Would you make a suggestion?	21	MR. TRIAS: The reason I'm saying this is
22	MR. REVUELTA: or greater setbacks	22	that we already have the Board of Architects
23	either a greater setback or a combination of a	23	that deals with the quality of the spaces. The
24	greater setback, an open area and a	24	Zoning Code is not that tool. It's a big,
25	contribution to be determined to an affordable	25	rough, tool that gives you five percent, ten
	Page 151		Page 152
1	percent.	1	MR. TORRE: Second.
2	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call
3	five percent?	3	the roll, please, Jill.
4	MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the	4	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
5	recommendation from the Commissioner that is	5	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
6	sponsoring this.	6	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
7	MR. REVUELTA: So the five percent did not	7	MR. BUCELO: Yes.
8	come from a study that the Planning Department	8	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
9	did, it's a Commissioner recommending five	9	MS. MIRO: Yes.
10	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. It was reviewed by Staff	10	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
11	and we looked at some comparables, and we felt	11	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
12	that it was reasonable. Although, frankly, ten	12	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
13	percent was within range of something	13	MR. TORRE: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, one second.	14	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
15	Before we continue, we are supposed to adjourn	15	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
16	at 9:00. Is there anybody that wants to make a	16	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
17	motion for E-2 and E-3 at some point or not?	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
18	MR. REVUELTA: Who is in charge of those	18	So we're on E-2. What was your motion?
19	motions?	19	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I was trying to answer
20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody want to make a	20	Ramon's question before making the motion.
21	motion to extend ten minutes?	21	What is the current percentage required?
22	MS. MIRO: I'll make a motion.	22	MR. TRIAS: Well, for the PAD, it's twenty
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by	23	percent.
24	Claudia to extend ten minutes.	24	MR. REVUELTA: The proposal is five percent
•		1	
25	MR. BUCELO: Ten minutes.	25	in addition to twenty?

	Page 153		Page 154
1		1	on that?
2	MR. TRIAS: Yes, at a minimum. That's the baseline, yeah.	2	MR. WITHERS: We saw the Site Plan on this.
3	-	3	
4	MR. REVUELTA: Any thoughts on that, in	4	So they're vacating the alley, if you remember. MR. JIMENEZ: No.
5	terms of percentages?	5	MR. MITHEREZ: No? That's a different one?
6	MR. WITHERS: Look, I mean, this whole discussion, let's call it what it is, it's	6	
7		7	Okay. Sorry about that. MR. BEHAR: But, look, you're absolutely
8	about a luxury Downtown condominium or not condominium, a rental unit in our Downtown area	8	
9	that wants another fifteen feet. Whether we	9	right, and I'm finished, because you're going
10	say there's a specific project this item is all	10	in the right direction. MR. WITHERS: No. So all I'm saying is,
11	about, we all know that that's what this item	11	maybe we say, come back with an idea of what we
12	is all about. So what we do when developers	12	can do to enhance the Downtown streetscape. I
13	come to us with, you know, their plans that we	13	mean, you guys are the architects. You're the
14	don't like? We sometimes go back to them and	14	smart ones.
15	say, come back you heard what we have to	15	MR. BEHAR: What is the you know, what
16	say, come back to us with a plan or an idea	16	is the most benefit we could get out of a
17	that we would like to see you do on your	17	we're going to have the market is going to
18	streetscape or something like that.	18	drive what type of use of unit. You want to
19	I don't know, by just saying, go to 205 and	19	do in the Downtown, you want to have
20	a half feet, and we're going to keep your unit	20	businesses that instead of paying you \$45 a
20	density under a hundred, is that really going	21	foot is going to be able to pay you a hundred
22	to give us the building and the look we want?	22	dollars a foot. A restaurant will be able to
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, if you're	23	do that. An office will not be able to do
24	talking about, it's all about a developer,	24	that, on the ground level.
25	don't we ask for a Site Plan to review, based	25	So you are going to have, by control by the
25	uont we ask for a site Fian to review, based	2.5	So you are going to have, by control by the
	Page 155		Page 156
1	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else	1	
1 2	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else vou're going to be able to get more benefit to	1	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center,
	you're going to be able to get more benefit to		years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have
2	you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city,	2	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900.
2 3	you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word,	2 3	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have
2 3 4	you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and	2 3 4	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional
2 3 4 5	you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word,	2 3 4 5	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better
2 3 4 5 6	you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not?	2 3 4 5 6	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot
2 3 4 5 6 7	you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not? MR. TRIAS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit. So what I would do, I would put the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit. So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit. So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit. So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit. So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height. MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit. So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height. MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality. So I think I don't know your answer, to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit. So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height. MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit the public, there's things that we can do in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality. So I think I don't know your answer, to answer your question, Luis, whether it's an 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit. So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height. MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit the public, there's things that we can do in recommending to the Commission right now, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality. So I think I don't know your answer, to answer your question, Luis, whether it's an additional five percent or an additional ten percent, but I think that I would not put it on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit. So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height. MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit the public, there's things that we can do in recommending to the Commission right now, for creating better public spaces, which is what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality. So I think I don't know your answer, to answer your question, Luis, whether it's an additional five percent or an additional ten percent, but I think that I would not put it on density. Let the market drive that, whether 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit. So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height. MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit the public, there's things that we can do in recommending to the Commission right now, for creating better public spaces, which is what Venny started talking about. MR. TORRE: I think we have a discussion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality. So I think I don't know your answer, to answer your question, Luis, whether it's an additional five percent or an additional ten percent, but I think that I would not put it on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit. So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height. MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit the public, there's things that we can do in recommending to the Commission right now, for creating better public spaces, which is what Venny started talking about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality. So I think I don't know your answer, to answer your question, Luis, whether it's an additional five percent or an additional ten percent, but I think that I would not put it on density. Let the market drive that, whether they want to do big units or small units. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit. So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height. MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit the public, there's things that we can do in recommending to the Commission right now, for creating better public spaces, which is what Venny started talking about. MR. TORRE: I think we have a discussion that goes around certain things that deal with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality. So I think I don't know your answer, to answer your question, Luis, whether it's an additional five percent or an additional ten percent, but I think that I would not put it on density. Let the market drive that, whether they want to do big units or small units. I want more units. I've been in favor always of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit. So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height. MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit the public, there's things that we can do in recommending to the Commission right now, for creating better public spaces, which is what Venny started talking about. MR. TORRE: I think we have a discussion that goes around certain things that deal with the ground floor or the public realm. Call it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not? MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality. So I think I don't know your answer, to answer your question, Luis, whether it's an additional five percent or an additional ten percent, but I think that I would not put it on density. Let the market drive that, whether they want to do big units or small units. I want more units. I've been in favor always of bringing, you know, more Residential to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit. So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height. MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit the public, there's things that we can do in recommending to the Commission right now, for creating better public spaces, which is what Venny started talking about. MR. TORRE: I think we have a discussion that goes around certain things that deal with the ground floor or the public realm. Call it the public realm, and that could be many

	Page 157		Page 158
1	goes. I think that doesn't in other words,	1	with you on is that we're not reducing density,
2	we don't have to say specifically it's going to	2	right? I don't know, maybe you and I have the
3	be ten percent. There should be something	3	one and Luis kind of expressed that so far.
4	given for the height, and I'm not sure what	4	I haven't heard the other Members.
5	that is, that makes sense and is discussed	5	How else we've got to give them a
6	further than today.	6	direction. How do we then
7	So the response today should be, as a	7	MR. TORRE: But why wouldn't the expert
8	measure of public policy, this Board, maybe not	8	tell us what we think the City should benefit
9	you, but I do not agree density should be	9	from, that they should be giving away or what
10	incentivized to be dropped, and, therefore,	10	is the goal that we should be striving for?
11	giving the bonus for this purpose is not	11	Maybe it is green space, but there may be other
12	approved, recommending that additional height	12	benefits.
13	would be granted under other options, that we	13	MR. TRIAS: I think
14	should look at and agree to.	14	MR. BEHAR: And not necessarily green
15	MR. BEHAR: But you have to quantify that.	15	space.
16	MR. TORRE: I'm denying the cause. Come	16	MR. TORRE: Could there be better
17	back and we can figure out what that benefit	17	architecture? I don't know. I'm just saying,
18	should be. We're not approving this project.	18	there's a lot of things
19	MR. BEHAR: But we're approving an	19	MR. REVUELTA: The typical things are open
20	amendment to the Comp Plan?	20	space, setbacks, are for public spaces, and
21	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. The main issue	21	affordable housing. I mean, those are things
22	MR. TORRE: So you want to say what bonuses	22	that always, in different municipalities, that
23	are tied to it, specifically, right now, and	23	keep popping up.
24	that means green space only?	24	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, if I could just
25	MR. BEHAR: Certainly, but what I agree	25	summarize.
	Page 159		Page 160
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, go ahead,	1	there's things we can quantify, as to what may
2	please.	2	be a better solution, let's suppose, not 50
3	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, if I could summarize.	3	feet of dead space should ever be had. I'm
4	From my point of view, the big deal is that for	4	making up some. It could be that certain
5	a long time 190.5 has been this magic number.	5	things are blocked from happening.
б	So we're saying, no more, now we have a little	6	MR. TRIAS: And I think that Coral Gables
7	bit more. So that's why this is significant.	7	has always relied on the very good work of the
8	And the question is, how is that worth it	8	Board of Architects to be able to finish those
9	to the community? Well, if you have better	9	details. Other cities have very prescriptive
10	quality design at the ground level, right,	10	guidelines. I mean, some of you probably have
11	that's what I understand, which includes public	11	worked with them, and they're not necessarily
12	space and the uses next to the public spaces.	12	any good. So you don't want to go that way,
13	Is that it? We can probably draft some	13	either. So you have to be able to get that
14	language that is appropriate for a Zoning Code	14	balance.
15	if you agree with that concept and forward it	15	So I think I understand your point. I
16	to the Commission.	16	don't think we can draft the language right
17	MR. TORRE: But let's say, you, in the	17	now, at nine o'clock, but if you want to make a
18	Design District, did something similar, where	18	motion and
19	the	19	MR. COLLER: May I make a suggestion for a
20	MR. TRIAS: Yes, we did.	20	motion?
21	MR. TORRE: the ground floor cannot have	21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please.
22	this, the ground floor cannot have that, the	22	MR. COLLER: That you would approve it on a
23	glass has to be clear. There's a few things	23	modified basis, that rather than density being
2.4	that we should think all such The wet we set the	0.4	the increation of a faile structure for the second states

24

25

24

25

that we could think about. I'm not suggesting

I know anything about what this should be. But

the incentive, the following factors should be

looked at as a possible incentive for

	Page 161		Page 162
1	additional height. Those factors being,	1	first.
2	activating the first floor, you know, all of	2	MR. COLLER: Okay.
3	the other things that we've talked about, and	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You have a motion?
4	then you approve it on that basis, specifically	4	MR. REVUELTA: I'll move to defer.
5	saying that you do not believe that density is	5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: E-2. You have a
6	the appropriate, I guess, give back.	6	second to defer on E-2.
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Incentive.	7	Any discussion? No?
8	MR. COLLER: Incentive, right. So that	8	Call the roll, please.
9	would be one way to get to some sort of motion	9	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
10	to indicate to the Commission how you feel.	10	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The other option may	11	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
12	be to Ramon to come back with what has been	12	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
13	discussed and be more definitive.	13	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
14	MR. COLLER: A Motion to Defer is always in	14	MR. BUCELO: Yes.
15	order.	15	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
16	MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's also an option.	16	MS. MIRO: Yes.
17	MR. TORRE: I guess what I'm trying to	17	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
18	do	18	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
19	MR. BUCELO: I'll move to defer.	19	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
20	MS. MIRO: I'll second it.	20	MR. TORRE: Yes.
21	MR. WITHERS: I'll second it.	21	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a Motion to	22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
23	Defer. We have a second.	23	MR. WITHERS: Mr. Chair, we know, when the
24	MR. COLLER: Is this on both items?	24	discussion was brought by the Mayor and a
25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, let's do E-2	25	Commissioner, is there a time frame we can try
	Page 163		Page 164
1	to encourage Staff to	1	Call the roll, please.
2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: At the next meeting.	2	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
3	MR. WITHERS: Okay. Next meeting?	3	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
4	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes. Certainly.	4	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
5	MR. BEHAR: And listen, it's going to be	5	MR. BUCELO: Yes.
6	very simple. I mean, I don't see this being a	6	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
7	very elaborate modification. I think that	7	MS. MIRO: Yes.
8	and I think the message is, this is going to go	8	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
9	in the direction that you're going to get the	9	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
10	additional height.	10	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
11	MR. TRIAS: I mean, I think I can forward	11	MS. MIRO: Yes.
12	your comments very easily to the sponsors and	12	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
13	they can understand	13	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
14	MR. BEHAR: And more so, I am confident	14	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
15	that the project that potentially could benefit	15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
16	from it is going to provide everything that we	16	We have right now 9:05. If we are going to
17	want to ask for. The quality is going to be	17	take
18	there. So I don't foresee, you know	18	MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, E-5 could be
19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: On Item E-3, is there	19	disposed of pretty quickly if the Board is
20	a motion, also? MR. BUCELO: I'll move to defer.	20	interested.
21 22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Defer.	21 22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we can dispose of it in five minutes
22	MR. BEHAR: I'll second it.	22	it in five minutes. MR. COLLER: I think we can.
23 24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. Any	23	MR. COLLER: 1 think we can. MR. TRIAS: Which one?
24	discussion? No?	24	MR. COLLER: E-5.
2.5	GIOCUDJUIT: 110.	2.5	WIN, COLLER, E-J.