# MEETING OF THE <br> CITY OF CORAL GABLES <br> HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD 

405 Biltmore Way
Coral Gables, Florida
November 20, 2019

|  |  | Page 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | PARTICIPANTS: |  |
| 2 |  |  |
|  | Bruce Ehrenhaft, Chairperson |  |
| 3 | Albert Menendez, Vice-Chairperson |  |
| 4 | Alicia Bache-Wiig, board member |  |
|  | John Fullerton, board member |  |
| 5 | Xavier F. Durana, board member |  |
|  | Cesar Garcia-Pons, board member |  |
| 6 | Raul Rodriguez, board member |  |
| 7 |  |  |
|  | City of Coral Gables: |  |
| 8 | Dona Spain, |  |
|  | Historical Resources \& Cultural Arts Director |  |
| 9 | Kara Kautz, |  |
|  | Assistant Historic Preservation Officer |  |
| 10 | ElizaBeth Guin, |  |
|  | Historic Preservation Coordinator |  |
| 11 |  |  |
|  | Cristina Suarez, City Attorney |  |
| 12 |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon.
Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board.

We are residents of Coral Gables and are charged with the preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods and artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage of the city.

The board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the commission and one by the city commissioner -- city manager, and the ninth is selected by the board and confirmed by the commission.

Five members of the board constitution a quorum and five affirmative votes are necessary for the adoption of any motion.

I would like to take just a moment to reflect on the loss of our -- our board member Janis Thomson this past week.

On behalf of myself and everybody on the board, the staff, we extend our
condolences and wishes at this difficult time to Janis' family; she will be missed. Continuing. Any person who acts as a lobbyist, pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11, must register with the city clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before the city staff, boards, committees or the city commission. A copy of the ordinance is available in the office of the city clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit your ability to present to the historic preservation board on applications under consideration this afternoon.

A lobbyist is defined as an individual, corporation, partnership or other legal entity employed or retained, whether paid or not, by a principal who seeks to encourage the approval, disapproval, adoption, repeal, passage, defeat or modifications of: (A) Any ordinance, resolution, action or decision
of any city commissioner; (B) Any action, decision, recommendation of the city manager, any city board or committee, including, but not limited to, quasi-judicial advisory board, trust, authority or council; or (C) Any action, decision or recommendation of the city personnel during the time period of the entire decision-making process on the action, decision or recommendation which foreseeably will be heard or reviewed by the city commission or city board or committee, including, but not limited to, quasi-judicial advisory board, trust, authority or council.

Presentations made to this board are subject to the City's False Claims Ordinance, Chapter 39 of the City of Coral Gables City Code.

I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board Meeting of November 20, 2019 to order.

The time is 4:18 p.m.
Present today to my right are John Fullerton, Xavier Durana, Alicia
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Bache-Wiig is present but not sitting on the dais at the moment.

To my left, Cesar Garcia-Pons, Albert Menendez, who is our vice-chair, Raul R. Rodriguez and --

MS. SPAIN: Mike Sardinas is going to be late.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: -- he's going to be late --

MS. SPAIN: He will be coming.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All Right.
So we don't have a request for
absence? Okay.
MS. SPAIN: No.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: -- from
Mr. Sardinas.
Okay. Staff present today from the Historic Resources and Cultural Arts Department are department director, Dona M. Spain --
(Alicia Bache-Wiig entered and sat at the dais.)

MR. CHAIRPERSON: -- who is the City Historic Preservation Officer, department assistant director, Kara N. Kautz, the
city assistant historic preservation
officer, the city historic preservation coordinator, ElizaBeth B. Guin and departmental administrative assistant, Yesenia Diaz.

The next agenda item is approval of the minutes for our last meeting which was held on October 17, 2019.

Are there any changes or corrections noted from the board members?
(No responses.)
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
Hearing none, chair will call for a voice vote.

All in favor for approval of the minutes say aye.
(All responded aye.)
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Nobody is opposed.
I hear everybody's voices so the motion passes.

Okay. Please be advised that the board is a quasi-judicial board and the items on the agenda today are quasi-judicial in nature which requires board members to disclose all ex-parte
communications. An ex-parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial board regarding matters to be heard by the quasi-judicial board.

If anyone has made a contact with a board member, when the issue comes before the board, the member must state on the record the existence of the ex-parte communication, the party who originated the communication, and whether the communication will affect the board member's ability to impartially consider the evidence to be presented regarding the matter.

Distributed on today's agenda, the agenda indicates that one item, before the meeting commenced, had been deferred, that was Case File COA (SP) 2019-012, which is an application for Special Certificate of Appropriateness for the property located

|  |  | Page 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | at 700 Alhambra Circle. |  |
| 2 | MS. SPAIN: We actually have another |  |
| 3 | case that was deferred, and that is on |  |
| 4 | Case File LHD 2019-008 and that's the |  |
| 5 | local historic designation of the property |  |
| 6 | at 1208 Astoria Avenue and that's deferred |  |
| 7 | and it'll be heard at the December 18th |  |
| 8 | Historic Preservation Board meeting. |  |
| 9 | MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. |  |
| 10 | Okay. If any persons in the audience |  |
| 11 | will be testifying today, please rise to |  |
| 12 | be sworn in. |  |
| 13 | (Several persons in the audience |  |
| 14 | rose.) |  |
| 15 | MS. DIAZ: Please raise your right |  |
| 16 | hand. |  |
| 17 | Do you swear to tell the whole truth |  |
| 18 | and nothing but the truth? |  |
| 19 | (Persons answered in the |  |
| 20 | affirmative.) |  |
| 21 | MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. |  |
| 22 | Okay. We'll proceed to items that |  |
| 23 | remain on the agenda. |  |
| 24 | The first is the matter of an unsafe |  |
| 25 | structure, Saint Mary's First Missionary |  |

Baptist Church located at 136 Frow Avenue. It is a contributing resource in the MacFarlane Homestead Historic District, a local and national historic district legally described as Plat 16, Block 2-A, MacFarlane Homestead, according to the plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 5, at Page 81, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, and it has been declared an unsafe structure.

Notification to the Historic Preservation Board is required by Section 3-1116 of the Coral Gables Zoning Code.

MS. SPAIN: Yes, I asked Manny Lopez, the building official, to come tonight. Manny and I met with representatives of the church onsite and went inside the church to see how bad it was and I'd like him to speak to that and what happened. MR. LOPEZ: Good afternoon. We met out there, the issues are not -- there are some issues that they can correct. They need the -- they need a fire extinguisher, they need to put batteries in the smoke detectors, they can
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put a tarp over the roof to take care of the leaks. We -- all the members of the church who can do this and have your engineer go out and take a look at it and maybe you can start using the place again.

MS. SPAIN: This came about because of the 40 -year recertification and the engineer that they hired said that it was an unsafe structure, so I think -- I believe that he needs to then be involved with saying that it isn't once they take care of those things, right?

MR. LOPEZ: That's correct.
We wanted him to go back and but -- I don't think any of this has been done yet, so until it's done it's going to remain an unsafe structure and they cannot use the place.

MS. SPAIN: I know we have members of the church here, the Reverend I saw earlier. There he is.

PASTOR ROYAL: Good afternoon.
MS. SPAIN: You can come on up, if
you want. You don't have to.
PASTOR ROYAL: Good afternoon all.

I'm the senior minister at the Saint Mary First Missionary Baptist Church, Pastor Zachary Royal. I have been senior minister for 30 years now. Wow. And I'm thankful to brag a little bit that our church is 95 years young and we are an old institution but we have an eternal responsibility, and that's doing work of the kingdom in Coconut Grove and Coral Gables; we want to continue to do that.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. PASTOR ROYAL: Thank you for having me.

Oh, may I say a word of thanks to the Historical Preservation Board? Manny and Ms. Spain have done a fine job and it's been a pleasure working with them. MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. MS. SPAIN: So hopefully they will be making those minor repairs.

PASTOR ROYAL: We shall.
MS. SPAIN: -- and staff will inform
you as to what's happening in the future.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Through the Chair?

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is there anything that is required of us on the Board?

MS. SPAIN: It's just required to notify you. If in fact there was a pending demolition of the structure, then there are things that you can -- can do, you know, will require them to be shored up, all that. In this case, it isn't necessary.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Great. Thank you.
MS. SPAIN: Thank you, Manny, I appreciate it.

And if you would like, instead of taking the local historic designation of the street markers, if you would like to skip to the University of Miami, because I know they have a lot of people here and maybe we can take that next, a little bit out of order.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
Yep. Okay. So Special Certificates of Appropriateness, Case File COA (SP) 2019-016.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: John, I think it's
the second one, Item Number -MS. SPAIN. 016. MR. CHAIRPERSON: Yeah, 016. MS. SPAIN. 6500 Red Road. MS. BACHE-WIIG: Um, Mr. Chairman, I don't know if this is the right moment, but there -- there is a member of my immediate family that's going to be representing the University of Miami on this item, so -- we haven't had any conversations or previous discussions about this, but just to avoid any appearance of conflict, I'm going to recuse myself of this item and then, I guess, if I can come back? MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. MS. SUAREZ: Yes, and the board member previously discussed this issue and we determined there was no actual conflict but there's -- she is deciding to recuse herself due to the potential appearance of impropriety and so she needs to step out of the room and file the appropriate paperwork and once the item is -- is -has concluded, then she can return to the

## room.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Understood. Thank you.
okay.
MR. FULLERTON: If there's a vote, they need how many votes? Five?

MS. SUAREZ: Five.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
MS. SPAIN: You need five.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
So continuing, it's Case File COA
(SP) 2019-016, an application for the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness for the property at 60 -6500 Red Road, local historic landmark legally described as Lots 1 through 8 inclusive, and Lots 34 through 40 inclusive and all the Alley northwesterly and adjacent to Lots 34 through 40, less beginning at the southeast corner of Lot 8, thence northwesterly 10 feet, thence south 14 feet, thence northeasterly 10 feet to the point of beginning, Block 196, Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 14, Second Revised Plat, as recorded in Plat

Book 24 -- excuse me, Plat Book 28, at Page 32 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

The application requests design approval for the demolition of the education wing of the church complex.

Is there any other board member who has had any ex-parte communications or visits to this site that they need to report?
(No response from members.)
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
MS. SPAIN: Okay. The education wing was built in 1953 and it was altered in 1967, they put a second floor on it.

Staff is recommending approval of the demolition of the building and the approval of the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness for demolition.

So I'm going to turn it over to the University of Miami.

MR. BASS: Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, Jeffrey Bass is my name, 46 Southwest 1 st Street is my address.

It's my privilege today to be representing the University of Miami seeking your approval for a Special Certificate of Appropriateness for demolition to demolish the education wing and only the education wing located at what we call the church property on the corner of Red Road and the campus. I'd like to just take a quick second for purposes of context, if anybody is watching this at home, to understand how this came to be, because $I$ think that that's vitally important. This is a congregation that decided on its own to close. This isn't an instance where the University of Miami was being a predator seeking to buy land out from underneath a functioning church. The church membership on its own decided it can no longer support and maintain the church and you'll hear a little bit from Professor Hernandez about that in the presentation. It made all the sense in the world, as a university who could acquire a piece of contiguous property on this very important
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and significant corner where the university interfaces with the public realm, so we decided to buy it. When we bought it, we, and as I stand here today, don't know what we're going to do with it, which hampers our ability to speak with particularities about what the future brings. That said, with the permission of the City Commission, we amended the campus master plan and zoning map to fold this property into the university and to make it appropriate for educational uses. Those are the uses that we're allowed to have on our campus. This is now part of our campus by operation of the commission's action. So what you have before you today is a very targeted application to remove one building that by all measures is not historic and that needs to come down for reasons that Mr . Hernandez will explain.

I'd like to emphasis, $I$ know it's an obvious fact, but we're a repeat customer. The university, we are stewards of our historic resources. I'm quite proud of
the first historic work that $I$ did is -on the Wood Art Building for the university at 1300 Campo Sano, which is the master stroke of preservation. And then most recently $I$ was before you all on that little jewel, the Volpe Building, which is hidden in the fabric of the university but just as important to us.

So we understand there are historic resources on this property and I would just like to assure you and Mr. -Professor Hernandez will assure you that the removal of this building, as your staff concluded, will in no way impact the historic significance of the remaining structure.

So as a lawyer, I know I've already overstayed my welcome before a board of professionals like you, so without further ado, let me present Professor Hernandez. I believe, I believe that Professor Hernandez was outside shuffling his boards.

PROFESSOR HERNANDEZ: No, I'm here. MR. BASS: Oh no --

PROFESSOR HERNANDEZ: Oh, I'm sorry.
MR. BASS: When the -- when the oath was given, so, if you have not been sworn, please stand.

I gotta earn my paycheck here, do the lawyer thing. I just want to make sure that he's sworn before he testifies. MS. KAUTZ: I know was
(unintelligible) whoever speaking was not sworn in? Was he standing? I don't believe he was sworn, was he?

Okay.
MS. DIAZ: Do you swear to tell the whole truth and nothing but the truth? PROFESSOR HERNANDEZ: Yes.

MS. DIAZ: Thank you.
MR. BASS: So at this point, I'm going to turn it over, unless you have any questions for me, to Professor Hernandez.

PROFESSOR HERNANDEZ: Good afternoon.
Jorge Hernandez, 337 Palermo Avenue.
Let me just bring up a couple of boards and we'll be ready to go.

So just for orientation, as was mentioned earlier, this is the subject
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property. The board's a little crooked but the campus is not. Sorry.

MR. FULLERTON: This reminds me of the older days.

PROFESSOR HERNANDEZ: Great. Lean.
Okay. So there -- is this on? It's not on. Hello? Okay, I'll stay here, it's okay.

MR. BASS: The podium isn't on but I've got the thing here --

PROFESSOR HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.

So the -- just in red, for orientation, is the site, is the historic site, and it's right at the corner of Ponce and 57th Avenue. I think most of us know the site, the First Methodist Church of South Miami is the congregation that was situated there. They vacated the site in 2016, the university closed on the site about a year ago.

The site has three buildings. I'll go over that in just a second, but for the interim, I'll just put this board up.

So this is the building that we're
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considering. It is -- it was built as a one-story building designed by Harry E. Penney and then it was altered by having a second floor addition added to it and the addition was added by Merriam, William Merriam. I'll get to the sequence of all the different constructions. But it's a very simple building. If you imagine it without that second floor you can see that it's a very humble, plain, frugal building, one might say. There is a little bit of adobe brick piers on it, but it's -- it was essentially an architecture of expedience. It was put up quickly to get classroom spaces for the children to go to school.

It had a mansard roof and then it had wooden trusses, flat wooden trusses which would have been very difficult to waterproof in the '50s, which is why when the addition was added, the new addition is actually supported in an independent structure, the columns of which punctuate through the spaces of the original one-story building.

So the historic site, and I believe your report mentions that there are kind of three buildings on the property. The oldest building is the 1948 chapel, which was the foundational structure of the property. Mr. Bass was speaking of his involvement with the Wooden Administration building which is the foundational building of our campus. And in this case the university has a commitment to completely restore the foundational structure of this site, which is the 1948 chapel. That includes the sacred space, the worship space, and a wing that runs roughly north-south behind it that is a backdrop building. Those two -- that structure, which has two components, were designed by Vann and Lyell in 1948 and it's done in a masonry vernacular style. I have a photograph of it which I can show later but that will be completely restored.

The subject that we're speaking of -well, let me just go through the chronology. So after that, in 1953, Harry
E. Penney built the one-story educational wing, a picture of which you saw earlier. And in 1967, William Merriam put the second story on the educational wing. And then in 1977 the interiors of the educational wing were gutted and restructured and then those interiors have been redone multiple times over the ensuing years.

In 1962, a larger sanctuary was built in the corner and it abuts to, and so it was abutted to the western face of the ed wing. There was a portico at the western face of the ed wing. The portico now serves as a kind of breezeway that the east side of the '62 worship space abuts to, but the foundations are separate. So when the ed wing comes down, it will have no structural impact on the sanctuary of 1962.

So just to give you a visualization of this site across time, we did these more brightly colored (inaudible). So that's the '48, that's the '48 building on the top.

Then the next building is the ed wing, the subject of this hearing.

After that, in '62, the new sanctuary, which is here in blue.

And then the bright red in '67 is the second story addition of the ed wing.

This is just for your enjoyment, a view of the 1948 Vann and Lyell chapel that the university will be restoring to the original as you can see it. It is kind of like an edited -- it has elements of modern and edited Mediterranean and the kind of frugality of the post-war, with the slump brick and such. It has seen some alterations but the alterations are not significant and those will be removed for the restoration and it will be taken to this period of significance, the period of the founding of the site.

Lastly, this is what we wish to do with the site for its interim period of use. So the chapel will be restored, the '48 chapel will be stored, the ed wing in this drawing is now removed. We're just using that portion as parking for the
interim period until the university studies the appropriate use for the entire site.

As Mr. Bass said, it's a pivotal site. It completes the outline of our campus. It gives the campus a face and a front to both US-1 and Red Road and Ponce de Leon, so it made perfect sense for the university to purchase the lot. The signature and foundational structure of the lot, one could say the most important historic structure of the lot, the foundational chapel, is going to be maintained and going to be restored.

The university is in the midst of programming the site. They believe it might be an academic use, which would be good, but we have no idea what else to do. We just need to ask for this Certificate of Appropriateness for the demolition of the ed wing because it is in bad shape, it's been altered and severely modified over the course of time. The interiors cannot be restored and used for the purpose of a university. It doesn't have
the right image for the university and I believe it's very clear in your report that its significance is nominal or marginal at best.

So as the stewards of settings that is buildings and lands, the good stewards of settings which the university has been, we're asking for this minor CofA for this so that we can truly study the best use for the property and we've committed to preserve and restore the '48 chapel.

If there's any questions, I'm
certainly available to any or all of you.
Okay? Thank you.
MR. BASS: Mr. Chairman that
concludes the University's presentation.
We would incorporate the staff's report as our own. And consistent with your staff, we would ask for your favorable approval.

Thank you.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
Is there anyone else in the audience who wishes to speak either in favor or in opposition to this item?
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Okay. So this closes the public hearing portion of this case. The chair will now entertain a motion.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: I'd like to make a motion --

MS. SPAIN: Did you want to speak? Come on up. You're here. You may as well talk.

Come on up.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Please. Thank you very much. MS. FULLER: My name is Daisy Fuller. I'm a resident of the city of Coral Gables for 60 years. I live in the Golden Gate historical district. All my kids are now out in the public. My youngest one is 51 and they're good products of the city of Coral Gables. We have worked with them on a nat -- we're gonna -- all the previous mayors, when the city had a bus system, I was here and $I$ just want to speak on behalf of my church.

I have worked hard with the city of Coral Gables and I hope we have made them
proud, I think we have. And to me, I feel like I'm a little bit under the service right now. I know our church needs your help. They're not asking me --

MS. SPAIN: Are you talking about St. Mary's?

MS. FULLER: St. Mary's.
They're not asking me to say
anything. I am the one here today that's asking you to help us because you are my family, we're your family. When George Merrick came and built this beautiful city, our church was in that district that helped them. Now we need help.

Can't you find some way to help the church? If we was able, we would do it.

You've never seen us down here. We've never asked the city for anything, but we are asking you. I know you may not have money but you know people who can give us in-service, like if we need someone to help with the roof and the different stuff.

Our oldest members are in their late 90's. I'm 79, almost 80, all my kids
graduated from Coral Gables Senior High. And, to me, I think that we should get some help, if you don't mind me saying it.

I'm very candid. I'm very -- what I say, I say for myself. And I think the City and the Historical Board, since that church is historical and that church was placed there before George Merrick even put one stone over here in the City of Coral Gables, can't you guys help us? I hate to call you guys, but you're all males but --

MS. SPAIN: That's an issue, isn't it?

MS. FULLER: Yeah, but -- can't you do something? I don't even go to my church since you put that note on it.

MS. SPAIN: You can't enter it now because of that note.

MS. FULLER: I understand. You know, I was a nurse at Doctors Hospital for 31 years. Retired from Doctors Hospital in '11. I probably helped deliver some of you guys. But I'm just asking, in my age I want to go to my church. I don't feel
too good these days. I go over on the west side of the Grove to attend church service. I know -- I talked to the lady that -- I think she told me her name was Alma, she's from the Merrick Assoc -Foundation, I see they found money to fix all these other old buildings. Why can't they find money to help fix St. Mary? That church isn't going anywhere, it's a historical church, so why can't --

MS. SPAIN: I will -- I will talk to the city manager and the city commissioner.

MS. FULLER: You will?
MS. SPAIN: Yeah. I mean, the board -- the board really doesn't have money --

MS. FULLER: Uh-huh.
MS. SPAIN: -- to spend. It would be from the city commission and -- and that's who we need to talk to.

MS. FULLER: But I -- I just wanted you to hear my story.

MS. SPAIN: I appreciate you doing that.

MS. FULLER: I have worked very hard
to make your city proud. I helped
integrated the schools. My children
integrated through five different schools to help Carver, little Carver two years ago was taken off the control list for schools to be choice and I know each one of you knows someone that could give some in-kind service to the problems that we have at the church.

Not asking for any money. We're just asking for your help.

And I thank you for letting me speak because it's been on my mind all night that you say you're the preservation board, I realize you don't have any money, but tax time coming up, you'll get a tax deduction. I know I may be speaking out of turn, but that's just the way I feel.

And they tell you, I speak the way I feel. I'm truthful, everybody knows me down here.

MS. SPAIN: We all know you. We know you.

MS. FULLER: Everybody knows me.
If $I$ knock on the door, I'm coming to
ask for something for the community and -MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

DAISY FULLER: -- make it better, that's all I'm asking. MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Well, we thank you for --

MR. FULLERTON: Thank you.
Thank you for getting involved and staying out there. We need to hear it.

MS. FULLER: Sorry?
MR. FULLERTON: I said thank you very
much for being here and speaking out for
your -- for your church.
MS. FULLER: You know, I've worked with Matt Williger, when he took the part (inaudible) apartment building -MR. FULLERTON: Yeah. MS. FULLER: -- so I have a lot investments with that community and with the City of Coral Gables. So you're my family.

Thank you very much.
MR. FULLERTON: Thank you.
MS. SPAIN: Thank you, Daisy.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
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So we'll close that matter and continue with the discussion regarding 6500 Red Road.

We had a motion being proposed. MR. GARCIA-PONS: I was about to make a motion.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yeah.
I'd like to make a motion to approve the demolition of the education wing of the church complex.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Is there a second?
MR. DURANA: Second.
MR. FULLERTON: Is that the Bill
Merriam building?
MR. BASS: (Inaudible comment.)
MR. FULLERTON: Okay.
MS. SPAIN: Merriam did the second floor of it.

MR. FULLERTON: Okay. I was on the Historic Preservation -- I mean the architect's board with Bill Merriam.

MS. SPAIN: Really?
MR. FULLERTON: 112 years ago.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So --

| 1 | MR. GARCIA-PONS: We have a second? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. CHAIRPERSON: We have a second, |
| 3 | correct? |
| 4 | MS. SPAIN: Yes. |
| 5 | MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. |
| 6 | Is there any discussion for the |
| 7 | board, beyond the presentation and the |
| 8 | packet that we received? |
| 9 | MR. FULLERTON: Ready to go. |
| 10 | MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right. |
| 11 | May we call the roll, please. |
| 12 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft, sorry |
| 13 | Mr. Rodriguez? |
| 14 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. |
| 15 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons? |
| 16 | MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. |
| 17 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton? |
| 18 | MR. FULLERTON: Yes. |
| 19 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Menendez? |
| 20 | MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. |
| 21 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Durana? |
| 22 | MR. DURANA: Yes. |
| 23 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft? |
| 24 | MR. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. |
| 25 | MS. SPAIN: Perfect. Thank you. |

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Could we ask
Ms. Bache-Wiig to step back into --
MS. SPAIN: Sure.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: -- the Chambers?
Thank you.
MR. BASS: Thank you.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Dona, I'll wait just a moment for Alicia to come back and for --

MS. KAUTZ: We're doing the COA next, right?

MR. CHAIRPERSON: No, we're -- I
think we'll -- well, we could go back to it.

Dona, would you prefer that we go back to the historic designation or just continue with the COAs?

MS. SPAIN: There's nobody here for the designation of the City-owned.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Right, okay. Okay. Welcome back, Alicia.
(Alicia Bache-Wiig re-entered.)
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
Next on our agenda is Case File COA (SP) 2019-018.

This is an application for the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness for the property at 1258 Obispo Avenue, a contributing resource within the Obispo Avenue Historic District, legally described as Lots 1 and 2, Block 3, Coral Gables Section "E," according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 8, at Page 13 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida. The application requests design approval for an addition and alterations to the residence and site work.

Are there any ex-parte communications or visits by board members to report?

Yes?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's not an ex-parte communication but since we've been asked to always speak whether we've walked by the property, I walk by this property ten years -- for a period of ten years, twice a day, walking my dog. I am very intimately familiar with the property and its previous two owners, so.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.

MS. KAUTZ: Can you put the PowerPoint up, please.

Can you scroll to this item, please. So in May of 2008 the Obispo Avenue Historic District was listed on the Coral Gables Register of Historic Places. It's -- all the residences abutting Obispo Avenue between Cortez Street and Ferdinand Street. This is considered a contributing residence, it was permitted in 1950.

In 2014, a Special Certificate of Appropriateness was approved for a large one-story addition to the rear of the residence. It was never built and the COA has since expired and the property has since changed ownership.

There you go. Thank you. So the location map, it sits on the corner of Madrid and Obispo. The application is requesting design approval for alterations to the existing home and a two-story addition to the rear of the residence. According to the architect submitted drawings, the existing residence is approximately 1,500 square feet and the
proposed addition is approximately 3,800 square feet. This is a 1940's photograph and it looks significantly the same as it did in the 1940's. Um, that's their presentation.

There was incidentally no garage ever constructed on this property, as just an aside. No variances have been requested with the application. The project was reviewed multiple times and finally approved by the Board of Architects on September 19th of this year.

And then we have a couple of conditions that we listed in the staff report that we can go over at the end after they've presented.

I believe the architect, Yessie, needs to be sworn in.

MS. DIAZ: Please raise your right hand.

Do you swear to tell the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

MR. ONATE: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Thank you.
MR. ONATE: Okay. Thank you.

Good evening, this is Rene Onate from Olanz Architect and Design.

I'm gonna be presenting the proposed design for an alteration, an addition -- a one-story, two-story addition to historic designated residence which is located in 1250 Obispo Avenue, in the corner of Obispo and Madrid Street.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Could you please, for the record, state your full name and your address.

MR. ONATE: My full name is Rene
Onate. Address, 8721 Southwest 41st Street, Miami, Florida 33165.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
MR. ONATE: The existing residence, as I mentioned, is located in the corner of Madrid Street and Obispo Avenue and is seated in a lot of 50,000 square feet.

The programatic -- the panara -- the panoramic view of -- we took panoramic view of Obispo Avenue and Madrid Street showcasing the scale, size, and design and characteristic of the neighborhood. That's all the view from Obispo

Avenue from one corner to the next corner.
That's along Madrid Street.
This -- the black and white photo I showcase the photo from the '50s, is the original house.

And the color photograph -photograph are the current condition of the residence now.

The proposed -- the proposed scope of work is a -- is an interior reconfiguration on the existing residence and a one-story and two-story addition in the rear -- in the rear side of the property visually from Madrid Street.

We -- the existing house is approximately 1,500 square feet and we adding around 3,800 square feet, including first floor and second floor.

By designing analysis and diagrams, we compared and red what we are proposing in terms of square footage, low coverage and landscape and we are within the limits of designing code.

That's the existing survey of the property showcasing the trees and
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hardscape.
Our scope on the site for the
existing property is removing -- is removing the concrete entry pathway along Obispo Street, only removing one palm tree, which is on the rear side of the property, and the two -- two small trees that is in the entrance, they gonna be -they remain in the -- in the design. And the landscape architect will be involved later on on the design development to take over that landscape design hardscape.

The addition -- on the scope of work on the site plan and the addition on the proposed site plan along Madrid Street is where the addition happening is a continuation on the first floor and a two-story addition further back from Obispo and -- and Madrid Street.

There is a secondary pedestrian entrance along Madrid Street and a vehicular driveway on Madrid Street as well.

And on the rear back, on the rear, is southeast corner of the property is a
swimming pool and a pool deck.
The -- we are keeping -- we are keeping the majority of the -- of the elements of the existing property. We only replacing the windows and restoring the -- the aesthetic wooden shutters, but the interior -- the interior layout of the house, we are reconfiguring the interior layout. And on the street side with the exception of a window that is on the rear, is gonna be reconfigured for a smaller window to -- to accommodate the new programatic -- the inside -- the interior programatic.

This is the proposed -- the proposed ground floor elevation where everything represented in grey is the existing -- is the existing -- existing residence.

And all the black lines is the proposed -- is the proposed addition and alteration.

And as you can see, we setting back -- we setting back the -- the addition sixteen inches, defining -- defining the -- de -- defining what is the old house
and the beginning of the new addition.
Through the roof -- on the second floor is only the master suite with a master bed -- master bathroom and a walk-in closet and a gallery and is further set back along -- from Obispo and Madrid Street.

On the roof -- on the roof layout we tried to keep the same scale and have the continuation of the existing -- of the existing roof line along -- along Madrid Street on the first floor, on the first floor addition, and on the second addition have it further back on the rear.

On the -- this is the front elevation, we are showcasing the existing -- the existing front elevation facing Obispo. And on the -- on the upper level is the proposal. On the existing -- on the existing front elevation facing Obispo, our scope is only replacing the window with the same -- with new -- you know, impact window with the clear glass and the -- in the colonial pattern mimicking the existing pattern of the
existing windows.
We are proposing resurfacing the entrance steps and we are replacing the existing Spanish style -- Spanish style tool -- roof -- Spanish style roof with a concrete flat tile roof in grey color; originally it was white.

On the upper -- on the upper elevation, you can see further back the addition on the rear, but, in reality, you know, respective view, when you walk along the side with Obispo you won't perceive the second floor addition because it's further back.

Along Madrid Street -- along Madrid Street we are keeping -- we have the continuation on the roof line on the -- on the one-story addition and then further back with the two-story addition. We are keeping the same scale, size and parameters of the existing residence.

We have a new window, impact window, and the differentiation, we'll be adding stone sill, exterior stone sill on the new windows and the shutters on the existing
residence is gonna be restored. And we are taking those characteristics -- we are adding those elements throughout the house to create a harmonious elevation along Madrid and -- and Obispo, but the vertical elements -- the existing -- the existing wooden shutters have vertical elements with a structural horizontal element, we are changing the design a little bit, we are going to have on the new shutters vertical elements but the histor -- the horizontal structure element is gonna be in the back, that's gonna be differentiating with the existing -- with the existing shutters. This is the rear elevation. That's the win -- on the bottom portion, that's the window we need to reconfigure of the existing house, which the -- almost the only intervention we having in that particular site -- I mean in the existing condition of the house. And the rest is gonna be reconfigured in a way that give us the -- is where the addition is gonna happen, so we have to demo those existing picture windows.

That's the east elevation of the house.

There was a comment that, you know, one of the windows is smaller, but the intention was to keep in the original house all the windows, that's gonna stay the same, and it's only replacing the windows for new impact windows.

This is the elevation. You can see also the continuation of the scale and proportion of the house.

We are keeping the same size and fenestration of the existing house. We are integrating that in the addition as well, first story and second story of the addition.

This is volumetric view where we enhance the -- it's on Obispo -- it's on Obispo and Madrid corner view where we show the existing residence and the continuation of the volumetric scale and style of the existing residence and further back the two-story addition.

That's another view from Madrid.

That's the rear view.
That's another rear view.
This is an sketch where we tried to mimic the same view from the historic picture, black and white picture that we presented before, just showcasing how, you know, we keeping the sa -- the integrity of the -- of the existing residence and we trying to enhance and have an addition that dialog with the existing residence in proportion scale and architecture style.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
Okay. Is there anybody else with your team that is going to speak on behalf of the application or -- no?

MR. ONATE: No.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
All right. Then we have nobody else in the audience who is wishing to speak on this -- on this issue?

Okay. All right.
MS. KAUTZ: Do you all want to discuss or do you want to go over the staff comments first?

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Well, I -- I just
had one -- one question, and I'd like staff's observation about the change from
a Spanish style -- Spanish style, you know, round tiles to flat. MS. KAUTZ: Yep. The house originally had flat tile on it, so we're okay with that.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
MS. KAUTZ: That's preferable.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: I -- I, too, personally think that it works well. But -- okay. MR. GARCIA-PONS: One question for the applicant. MR. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is the applicant okay with the staff conclusions and recommendations that were submitted to adjust the design or drawings as mentioned here or do we need to go through them? MR. ONATE: We need to go through them, because on -- on -- Item 7, 8 and 12 and 13 we have some comments and we need to have some discussions in those -- in those items, but mostly the rest we are --
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1 if we can go back one by one, I can say, we are good with those --

MR. GARCIA-PONS: I would love to do that --

MR. ONATE: -- those observations. MR. GARCIA-PONS: -- if possible. MR. CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. Would -would it be appropriate to ask -- ask him to address his comments to each one of the those --

MS. KAUTZ: It's four, that's fine.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: -- recommendations and -- and --

MS. KAUTZ: Each one or the four that he has issues with?

MR. CHAIRPERSON: You said 8, 12 and 13?

MR. ONATE: I will say 7, 8, 12 and 13.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So if you'd care to comment --

MS. KAUTZ: Can you put the PowerPoint back up?

MR. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Are you willing to
accept the rest?
MR. ONATE: Excuse me?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Are you willing to accept the rest of the recommendations?

MR. ONATE: Yes. Yes.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Other than these four.

MR. ONATE: Yes -- I mean, these four, $I$ just want to have a further discussion on it.

MS. KAUTZ: So Number 7 is -- should be fairly simple. It seems like it's a drafting error. It's gonna be really difficult to see, but when the bigger window on the bottom is being removed and the new window installed up top, the height of the lintel above is lower.

So when you go to the side elevation, in that room they're going to be different sizes and $I$ think that's just a drafting mistake.

MR. ONATE: Well, actually, the reason we have -- this is the existing -the right-side corner is the existing property. And the top of the window is at

7-2. What's happening, in the new addition, we are stepping down two feet -one feet, so we have to step is one feet and that's the --

MS. KAUTZ: No, you don't understand what I'm saying. Do you understand what I'm saying?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: But you should point out specifically the window.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Yeah.
MS. KAUTZ: Am I tall enough to do
this?
So this -- this window being removed, you see this height right here?

MR. ONATE: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: And you see the height up above? It's lower. It's a lower -- so when you turn the corner in the same room, these are up high and then the one in back is just drawn low. It's not -- it doesn't have to do with the addition --

MR. ONATE: Okay.
MS. KAUTZ: -- it's just that within this room, it's just in the wrong -- it's in the wrong place.

MR. ONATE: So then it was a misunderstanding, so we're gonna be okay with that.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
MS. KAUTZ: Vanna White skills.
So the second one, Number 8, the existing windows are steel casings and they have a very thin profile and when you (inaudible) same very thin profile and I'm not sure that you can accomplish what you're setting out to match with a thicker aluminum frame.

MR. ONATE: Okay. I mean, our intention is that -- to match, as possible, you know, the proportion of the existing window, but, unfortunately, you know, new impact -- impact window with the glass frame, we're gonna match, you know, the height profile, the grid and the proportion, but, you know, the profile of the actual frame is gonna be a little bit thicker.

MS. KAUTZ: I think when you move this forward, that you need to draw them accurately with the correct thickness, the
aluminium frame, because you're drawing them as exactly the same here and they're going to look very different with -- with thicker frame and mounting, so you need to draw them the right way in the proposed so we can see it and if they don't look okay, then we need to reevaluate.

You don't understand?
MR. FULLERTON: So, does that mean you're replacing all the steel windows, the -- and then doing new aluminium windows?

MS. KAUTZ: That's their proposal, yes.

MR. ONATE: Well, the steel window is gonna be replaced with aluminum windows matching the existing pattern, high profile aluminum window impact -- with clear glass, impact windows. So it's not replacing the existing steel window with a steel windows.

MS. KAUTZ: Right, it's just -- the proportions become very different when they're -- when they're steel.

Like the wood sometimes, they're very
comparable to when you trade them out, but the steel is really difficult to get those profiles to look correct when you replace them with the thicker mul tubes and things like that, so we just need to see what it is --

MR. ONATE: And something that can happen is, you know, there is so many varieties of window out there and one of the thing that we have laid out on the plan is that they have to -- they have to show you the actual product and need to get an approval from the Historic Board before going further with installation.

MS. KAUTZ: But I want those -- I want those on the drawings as depicted the right way.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: So -- would -- do you -- is this something that if you receive new drawings that satisfy your requirements that you then could work with them administratively and -- and handle it, or --

MS. KAUTZ: Yes, and if it's
something --

Fernandez \& Associates Court Reporters 305-374-8868 service@fernandezcr.com

MR. CHAIRPERSON: -- and then if
there are questions --
MS. KAUTZ: If something -- if
something --
MR. CHAIRPERSON: -- then it would still come back to us?

MS. KAUTZ: -- happens that we're not comfortable with what they're proposing because they can't accomplish it, then it'll come back to you.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, I spoke ahead of you --

MR. GARCIA-PONS: It's the same question, but $I$ think, Kara, this is maybe a bigger picture, this is very common an issue in all of the new window replacements.

MS. KAUTZ: Uh-huh.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: I don't know if we can request or require that they provide a cut sheet of the proposed --

MS. KAUTZ: Yeah.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: -- windows in the
future because we're trusting you to review them --
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MS. KAUTZ: Yeah. And --
MR. GARCIA-PONS: -- and we don't want to delay the applicant several months in case --

MS. KAUTZ: Right. And I would not -- I would rather have it not go through the shop drawing process for us to find out what kind of windows they are --

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Right.
MS. KAUTZ: -- so that's why I want them on the drawings.

I want them to be depicted as they are with specified what type they're using so that we can actually see what those profiles are. Because some windows do have thinner frames, some have thicker frames, and it's gonna make a difference here.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Agree.
MS. KAUTZ: Okay.
So moving on Number 12, the pool, we -- this is a typical comment of ours, the pool is under a separate Standard Certificate of Appropriateness in case the design changes and it's under a separate
permit anyway, so the pool company is required to submit their own.

MR. ONATE: Okay.
MS. KAUTZ: And then 13 just came up as a question that $I$ had with zoning. It's a long story, but it was a question about the height of the fence and they noted that the pool deck was up high and there was a very small space between the property line and the deck and they were concerned about water runoff, if you were going to berm it, if you were gonna put drains there, how you were going to deal with keeping the water on the property, cause the deck is so high.

MR. ONATE: So the deck is actually, since, you know, the actual lot is slope and there is a difference in height, there is two foot six inches at the entrance of the door, then we stepping down one foot and then we stepping into the pool deck. So way back on the pool deck, we're gonna have a difference of one foot between the grade on the top of the pool deck and that's gonna be a five-foot distance from
the pool deck to the side -- to the side fence. And on the other side it's gonna be -- let me just confirm the site plans. So we have actually a five foot setback from the pool deck and the -- and the --

MS. KAUTZ: It's more of -- it's more of an issue that they're going to have to settle with zoning. It was raised because I was asking if the pool deck is up, you know, two feet in the front and your privacy fence is only four feet, you're only screening two feet of people. So he goes, Well, how high is the deck and where is the water going?

And I said, I don't know the answer to any of that.

So it's just something you're going to have to address as you go through permitting, how the water is retained on the property and where it goes.

MR. ONATE: Well, in terms of -- just to reaffirm, the height is not two feet above the grade, it's only one foot. And we can lower it down if we can create a
step from the inside of the property going outside to the pool. We not gonna step four inches or six inches, that's gonna lower even having, you know, a deck even lower --

MS. KAUTZ: Um-hmm.
MR. ONATE: -- and there is a separation.

In terms of water, we still -- we are within the hardscape and -- and green space and -- and in design development -MS. KAUTZ: Sure.

MR. ONATE: -- we can, you know, divert the rain water to the green area. MS. KAUTZ: That's fine. That's just something you're going to need to address. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Quick question, which drawing would reflect that?

Is that the south elevation, that wall that you're talking about on -- I'm not sure --

MS. KAUTZ: The one that $I$ was concerned about was the -- was the north elevation, the front facing, because the section that's shown on Sheet 8 -- hold
on.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Oh, okay, I see the top of the wall going up. MS. KAUTZ: Yeah. It was the -- it was the sections that $I$ don't know what this dimension is, the all black, and that's the deck to the grade, and so it just was -- came up as, you know, not knowing how high --

MR. GARCIA-PONS: The south
elevation.
MS. KAUTZ: South.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: So that's on A200. MR. ONATE: East elevation. MS. KAUTZ: It's on east and south. MR. CHAIRPERSON: 202? MS. KAUTZ: At 202 and 203. It's that -- it's that height -THE CHAIRPERSON: Oh, I see. MS. KAUTZ: -- that is sort of -there's no -- there's no grade noted there, so $I$ was going with the grade of the front of the house and it seems to be, you know, over two feet.

MR. ONATE: That's going to be noted
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on the contracting documentation.
MS. KAUTZ: I think they can address it.

MR. ONATE: And further -- you know, to further enhance and -- and buffer if there is any visual connection between Obispo and the deck, which we don't have now, it's gonna be further enhanced by the landscaping involved in the project -landscape architect involved in the project.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Two more. So this,
it's the -- the section isn't then taken to the property line, is that what I'm hearing that --

MR. ONATE: That section is actually in the middle between the property line and the face of the -- it's a representation section just to show that there is two steps to get to the pool deck.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Right. So I would imagine in -- are we requiring them to draw property site elevations or just building elevations?

MS. KAUTZ: They'll need to do building elevations but whatever -there's no ground listed on here, so there's no way of knowing where the actual physical grade is as opposed to the deck.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Understood.
MS. KAUTZ: And that was the issue that zoning was concerned about that -what that dimension is and where the water goes. So I think they can address it.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: In the future, a grade line --

MR. ONATE: Uh-huh.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: -- so we can see what the difference is and then whatever distance, even if you're going to cut the section, the distance between the back of your wall and the site property line, so we get a sense of what that dimension is going to be.

And, I'm sorry, is this all under separate permit? Is just the pool under separate permit or the whole deck?

MS. KAUTZ: The pool is normally under its own separate permit. Whether or

| 1 | not they treat the deck with it, too, but |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | typically when we get pools, it's the pool |
| 3 | company running that pool permit, so. |
| 4 | MR. GARCIA-PONS: Thank you. |
| 5 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: What is the -- looks |
| 6 | like these -- on either end of the pool |
| 7 | deck you have like this -- I guess part of |
| 8 | the paver, part of the deck, it just comes |
| 9 | out almost to the property line. |
| 10 | MR. ONATE: Which elevation are you |
| 11 | looking at? |
| 12 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: A-100. |
| 13 | MS. KAUTZ: On the -- I know what |
| 14 | she's talking about. |
| 15 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: So like towards the |
| 16 | east. |
| 17 | MR. ONATE: Oh, I understand. We can |
| 18 | -- we can -- |
| 19 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yeah. |
| 20 | MR. ONATE: That's actually -- we |
| 21 | tried to create like a horizontal step |
| 22 | into the deck and I have like some |
| 23 | shifting in the actual step, but it could |
| 24 | be eliminated, so -- |
| 25 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: Okay. |

MR. ONATE: -- it's gonna be like a landscape.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Okay, because they won't let you.

MR. FULLERTON: So the wall against the pool on that side, is that the four-foot masonry --

MR. ONATE: I'm sorry?
MR. FULLERTON: There is a wall on the east property line to --

MR. ONATE: That's the existing. It's a four-foot and I believe it's a little bit higher. It's stepping down throughout the property.

Four-foot in the front and then I guess a step down an extra foot.

MR. FULLERTON: Is there any kind of restriction on the height of the wall on a property line? Isn't it four feet --

MS. KAUTZ: Uh-huh.
MR. FULLERTON: -- from the outside?
MS. KAUTZ: I mean, you can add picket above, but the masonry part is four feet.

MR. FULLERTON: Oh, yeah, that's
correct.
Okay.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So, really
12 and 13 are going to be part of the consideration of the separate COA.

MS. KAUTZ: Yeah -- well, 13 no. 13
they need to address --
MR. CHAIRPERSON: 13 no?
MS. KAUTZ: 13, they just need to address it when they go into permitting --

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
MS. KAUTZ: -- how they're going solve that problem.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. All right.
MS. KAUTZ: Whether or not they lower the deck down a step as he was saying or, you know, berm it or provide drains as zoning requires, whatever that is needed.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
And the lintel height for that new window --

MS. KAUTZ: He understands.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: -- that's resolved then.

And they'll bring you more

1 information about the -- about the windows and you'll bring it back to us if there are any --

MS. KAUTZ: If there's issues.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: -- any issues.
MS. KAUTZ: Uh-huh.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Are you comfortable with the tile or the tile change or do you want -- do you want them to bring you samples or administratively --

MS. KAUTZ: They will -- they will
have to --
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
MS. KAUTZ: -- when they go forward,
but, yes --
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
MS. KAUTZ: -- when they get a roofing permit, that's separate.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
Does anybody on the dais have any
further questions?
Okay. Chair will entertain a motion. MR. FULLERTON: What is the color of the tile, the flat tile? MR. ONATE: Excuse me?

| 1 | MR. FULLERTON: The color of the - |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR. ONATE: The proposed one? It's a |
| 3 | light grey concrete flat tile. |
| 4 | Originally it was white. |
| 5 | MR. FULLERTON: I think that's a nice |
| 6 | change. |
| 7 | MR. DURANA: Motion to approve -- |
| 8 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: You can go ahead. |
| 9 | MR. DURANA: Motion to approve with |
| 10 | staff recommendations. |
| 11 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second. |
| 12 | MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. No further |
| 13 | comments? |
| 14 | May we call the roll, please. |
| 15 | MS. DIAZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig? |
| 16 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. |
| 17 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton? |
| 18 | MR. FULLERTON: Yes. |
| 19 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Durana? |
| 20 | MR. DURANA: Yes. |
| 21 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez? |
| 22 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. |
| 23 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons? |
| 24 | MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. |
| 25 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Menendez? |

MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Durana?
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
MALE SPEAKER: What? I didn't hear what she said.

THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm sorry, did you -- I thought -- okay, did you call me twice or --

MS. DIAZ: Uh, sorry, yes.
MR. FULLERTON: You can't vote twice.
MR. CHAIRMAN: No, I'm sorry, she --
MALE SPEAKER: No, Bruce.
MR. CHAIRMAN: I thought I was
responding to a name.
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Thank you.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thanks. Thanks very much.

MR. ONATE: Thank you very much.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We will --
MR. ONATE: Thank you.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
We'll return to then the question of the historic street markers, Case File LHD 2019-009.
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MS. SPAIN: ElizaBeth Guin will make the presentation.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: This is consideration of the Local Historic Designation of Coral Gables street markers located at various street intersections throughout the city.

MS. SPAIN: So this is a present to me because I've been here for almost 23 years and when $I$ first came here, every so many years someone wants to get rid of those street makers and it comes up at a City Commission and then someone else at the Commission says, Oh, no, they're historic, we can't touch them.

So we need to make them historic so they can't touch them. And they're so cool, they identify Coral Gables and ElizaBeth did such an amazing job doing the research, which $I$ was not able to do the whole time I've been here, so I thank you, thank you, thank you.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: That's great.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: So this is a staff originated petition?
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MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MS. GUIN: Can you put the PowerPoint up?

Hey everybody.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Hi.
Welcome. We've missed you.
MS. GUIN: Thank you. It's been a while.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Where have you been?

MS. GUIN: Taking care of business.
So, as you said, this application was requested by the Preservation Officer and I think it's very fitting that this is the last item on Dona's last meeting as the Preservation Officer for the City of Coral Gables, so this is, in a way, our gift to her and her swan song.

No pressure to designate it, by the way.

So the markers for a local historic landmark, just reading for the record, in Article 3, Section 3-1103 of the Coral Gables Zoning Code, criteria for designation of historic landmark must have
significant character, interest or value as part of the historical, cultural, archeological esthetic or architectural heritage of the city, nation or state.

The designation of property must meet one of the criteria for these markers.

We have determined that it's the aesthetic significance because of its prominence or spacial location, contrast of citing, age or scale, it's an easily identifiable visual feature of a neighborhood, village or city. It contributes to the distinctive quality or identity of such neighborhood, village or the city.

And these really do identify the City of Coral Gables.

You know when you're in Coral Gables when you're welcomed by one of the City's stunning landmark entrances and by the distinctive white stones -- it should say markers because they're not stone -painted with street names that make the city beautiful so special.

So I am going to put this in a little
bit of context, because these things really are quite special and sort of understanding how they came to be really makes you really appreciate what we have here.

So on the national level, in the early 1900's the road signage was sparse, we were just introducing the automobile, the roads were maintained and wayfinding was provided by automobile clubs almost exclusively, which was private citizens, and they essentially were raising money through their automobile tours, so they took sort of ownership of this.

But as the cars grew, as cars got faster, we had more traffic and the distance that folks wanted to travel and could travel increased, then the need for signage definitely increased and the safety considerations increased.

In late 1910's and early 1920's the federal government began to acknowledge that there was a need for road signage standards, committees were formed, one to look at world settings. They issued
recommendations in 1927 for urban settings. They recommend -- they made recommendations in 1931 -- again, these are recommendations. The federal government hasn't come in and started to legislate any of this at all.

And then in 1937 both of these recommendations were merged into one manual.

So in 1937 -- again, they were guidelines. The one thing that these -they did stipulate is that the signage should be uniform within a municipality or a city, but they really didn't provide the means of how one did that.

So through the 1920s, '30s, and well into the $440 s$, states and local governments struggled with effective means of employing safety and wayfinding measures to control the chaos that resulted from the increase of automobile traffic.

Initially safety signage was not well received. People thus were resistant and a lot of times signage was combined with
enforcement mechanisms and sometimes it was quite creative, as you can see the Tallahassee example here of enforcing their speed limit.

Some municipalities tried simultaneously to address all of the needs and part of that was because this was very cumbersome financially for cities to take on this signage, both wayfinding and safety.

You can see the example for Orlando there where they have the enforcement tower up top. No turns, so they're putting safety signs. And then they also have the street signs all on the same.

The primary issue for the signage that you've heard over and over again in all kinds of committees and newspapers was one of visibility and then the second was safety.

Part of the issue with visibility was
a lot of municipalities had signs out
there from their inception but they weren't visible from the automobile. They were for pedestrians and for other modes
of transportation. So tackling that -and a lot of cities had to revamp their signage.

So bringing it to Coral Gables, we have Mr. Allison B. Curry. In 1935 he was -- became the director of public works. He was an incredible gentleman by all accounts.

In 1936, when the acting city manager became ill, they -- or when the city manager became ill they made him acting, then he became our city manager from '39 to '42. He was an engineer by trade and his forte was taking thorny, big projects and figuring them out. From figuring out our street signage issues on a small scale to the larger scale in the 1940 s as his swan song, he helped bring the Miami Airport to become an international airport. He took the small service, military airport, and made it into the international airport that it is.

He was an incredible gentleman. When he decided to resign as city manager here in the city and take a job as
the city manager at the City of Miami, the Commission put in a resolution that they didn't want him to leave and that when he got to the City of Miami, if he decided that he didn't like it there, that he could come back, that they would leave his job open for 12 months; that's how much they liked him.

So his first task, as he became director of public works in Coral Gables, was he built a public works yard and he tackled -- began to tackle the signage issue and he was looking both locally and nationally.

The first thing he did was to look at safety. He developed this stop signage, and this is important because it really sort of put Coral Gables on the map. I'll read a little bit of -- this is from an article that was published in Kentucky about the signage system that he developed: Coral Gables, Florida has adopted a unique method of bringing stop signs to the attention of the motorists, that the City has installed stop signs
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that really stop. Raised letterings about two inches high and covered most of the width of the street are created out of the concrete and tar and painted bright yellow. A long bar of the same material and color warns the driver that a slight -- with a slight jolt, even if he misses seeing the sign -- and this was important because that's what motorist were blowing through, "We didn't see it, we didn't see it." And so this was part of the reason this system was so hailed, because he tackled it, it was not just visible but you were going over this bump so you couldn't see that you didn't -- that you missed it.

So no possible damage can be done to the automobiles but no driver with any sense would ignore these signs. The signs are firm without being rude and emphatic to the degree impossible without these types of directional description used in Kentucky, you know, they are flat paved, and they talk about what they do there.
So it was a very sort of elegant
solution. The article was picked up actually nationwide. There was lots of comments back and forth all the way from Spokane, Washington, to Wisconsin. More articles hailing what this solution that Coral Gables has come up with.

Locally the City of Fort Lauderdale and the Redlands district both almost immediately picked up and began to employ this.

Also later that year, A.B. Curry became the chairman of the committee to study matters of uniform street markers and stop signs for all communities in metropolitan Miami.

So it's with that backdrop that he begins to look at our street signage. And why our street signage was an issue was not because it wasn't planned for the automobile, because George Merrick did, and you see the original street signage here with the wood post and the hanging wood street signs. It was a planned feature for this community and it was designed for the automobile.

And Merrick talks about specifically designing these elegant street signs that were simple and functional and not -- I forget the word he used but he was talking about signage in other communities and how it was really not so helpful.

But what we ran up against with Merrick's signs was Mother Nature. We've had several hurricanes in 1926, '32 and then ' 35 that decimated a lot of these signs. The hurricane in '35 came through in November and really took a real big toll on Miami and the street signs.

And then the article talks about the bird problem with these street signs, in particularly the wood peckers.

So in 1937, the Chamber comes before the Commission and pretty much saying, you know, our street signs are a wreck, what are we doing? And the reply is, We're already looking at the problem, it's -you're going to see implementation very soon. And this happens in April, and later that year, Merrick's street signs come down and they start to implement the
street signs that we're designating today and this sort of little joke exemplifies that by December the street signs are down.

In 1940, when we were celebrating our 15 years, they did a full-page article about all the accomplishments in Coral Gables and the new street signs, huge picture on this full-page article.

So we know 1940 they're in place. These street markers were made and housed, they're of concrete, they're cast in molds, they weigh about 300 pounds, so someone can't pick up and take it home and put it in their living room. They are about 14 inches tall and each face about 25 inches long.

You all know this, you see them every day.

And the top part is slanted a little to make it easier for the headlights to pick it up and for one to read. So they're very well thought out.

Two versions pretty much. You have the L's on most residential streets and
then for those odd situations where you may have three streets coming together, we have one. We have approximately 2,600 of these street markers throughout the City and we continue to make them almost in the exact same way that we started in 1937; we continue to pour them in molds and repaint them.

Looking at historic photos throughout the year, they did struggle with how to make these markers more visible. They tried different painting schemes, but the -- all the photos show us they kept coming back to what it was originally, white with black lettering which is what we have today.

The other piece, and you may have seen the stop signs around. If you come visit us we have one right on our -- right by our front door, were these stop signs that were also implemented same time as the street markers and these stop signs of folks along with our street markers from different communities came and were asking, you know, Can we get the molds,
you know, how do you patent them, can we buy them, and the -- and we've never patented actually these molds. We give them to folks if they come and say they want them. And we've had several municipalities over the years that have come to us. You can see historic photo from Sarasota in 1946, they used our molds. Allentown came in and wanted both the stop signs and the street markers in '41, West Palm Beach. There's a number of other ones anecdotically that I've found, but these are the ones that $I$ know for sure, these were using our molds.

$$
\text { And then, ironically, in } 1977 \text { the }
$$ mayor from Maitland, Florida, came and asked if he could use our molds and he evidentially had been in Coral Gables when he was courting his wife in the 1940's, loved these street markers and when he became mayor he decided this was his thing, I'm gonna come back and I want our markers to be like Coral Gables, so they implemented them in 1977.

So in summary, in the late 1930's
under the guidance of A.B. Curry, Coral Gables became a regional leader and attained national attention for its safety in street signage. The City developed unique concrete markered, concrete street signage and City personnel produced them from molds, a method that continues to present day. These distinctive street signs have adorned the city beautiful and provide a distinguished wayfinding since their implementation in 1937.

They retain their historic integrity and, thus, significantly contributes to the historic fabric of the City of Coral Gables, and the staff, particularly Dona, recommends approve the local historic designation of the Coral Gables street markers based on their esthetic significance.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: May I ask a couple of questions?

MS. GUIN: Uh-huh.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. If new ones were created for intersections that lack them and they're therefore newly
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| 2 | MS. GUIN: You mean of the same type? |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | MR. CHAIRPERSON: Of the same type |
| 4 | but they were distributed, they would fall |
| 5 | within -- |
| 6 | MS. GUIN: Yes. |
| 7 | MR. CHAIRPERSON: -- the designation |
| 8 | of -- |
| 9 | MS. GUIN: Yes. |
| 10 | MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. |
| 11 | MR. FULLERTON: Each one of them |
| 12 | would have to come to this Board to be |
| 13 | approved. |
| 14 | MS. GUIN: No. |
| 15 | MR. CHAIRPERSON: And my second |
| 16 | question is, that I've been enamored of |
| 17 | the stop signs ever since I saw them, but |
| 18 | I -- they're -- |
| 19 | MS. SPAIN: They're not used as stop |
| 20 | signs. |
| 21 | MR. CHAIRPERSON: Right. But -- |
| 22 | MS. SPAIN: We had some out on 72 nd |
| 23 | Street that we salvaged when the Village |
| 24 | of Merrick Park went in, that was the |
| 25 | equipment yard -- |
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MR. CHAIRPERSON: Uh-huh.
MS. SPAIN: -- and so the then
Building Director and I took a forklift and went out and salvaged all of these signs that were laying all over the equipment yard --

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Yeah.
MS. SPAIN: -- and we put them on the gun range, the police gun range in the back, and they stayed there for many years and now they're piled up on the 72 nd Street because we sold the gun range.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Now these are the stops.

MS. SPAIN: The stop signs. And so we've salvaged them --

MR. CHAIRPERSON: How many are there?
MS. SPAIN: There's quite a few.
I always thought it would be nice to auction them off for historic preservation, because they're just sitting in the equipment yard. I thought that'd be very cool.

MR. FULLERTON: That's a great idea.
MS. SPAIN: There are some private
individuals that have them through the years, but people like them and they're particularly nice, like, around a pool.

MR. FULLERTON: That's awesome, I love that idea.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: I -- I even think that there might be some locations where it could just esthetically be appropriate.

MS. SPAIN: Yeah, well --
MR. FULLERTON: They have one at
Merrick House.
MS. GUIN: We have them at the
Merrick House.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Right. And --
MS. SPAIN: And we have the paint color. We have the paint and we have the way to paint them and, so, yeah, that's a project.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: But there's -certain of the arteries that have green space in the middle, you know, and some of those kinds of locations that I think they could be really cool -MS. SPAIN: I've never counted them out there but there's a fair number of
them.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Yeah. So, but this is not -- they're not covered by this.

MS. SPAIN: No.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: This is just the street signs.

MS. SPAIN: No, because they're not out on the streets.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
MS. SPAIN: I don't want these street markers to disappear. I mean, they really -- for me, you know when you're in Coral Gables when you start seeing those markers and so I think it's important that they remain, and $I$ love that staff report.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: I spent more than 20 years leveling one and repainting it periodically.

MS. SPAIN: On your street?
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Cause we -- we -the Toledo and Escobar, you know.

MS. GUIN: So you can attest that they weigh like 300 pounds?

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, they do, they do.
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MR. FULLERTON: I've seen them out painting it and it takes them like 15 minutes to paint one, because they paint the black all over and just stick it in there and then paint it all over with white. Simple, it's a genius plan.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: ElizaBeth, you failed to mention our next-door neighbor who also uses it, West Miami.

MS. GUIN: Oh, oh, you're right, I'm sorry.

MS. SPAIN: Did you say Palm Beach, because I was in Palm Beach this past weekend and I --

MS. GUIN: West Palm Beach, uh-huh.
MS. SPAIN: West Palm Beach, yeah.
It's so amazing and how we started it.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: All right.
Anybody else want to talk?
MR. FULLERTON: I'd like to move it.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Chair -- chair will entertain a motion.

MR. FULLERTON: I move that we accept these and turn them into historic

|  |  | Page 90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | monuments. |  |
| 2 | MR. MENENDEZ: Second. |  |
| 3 | MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. |  |
| 4 | Call the roll, please. |  |
| 5 | MS. DIAZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig? |  |
| 6 | MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. |  |
| 7 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton? |  |
| 8 | MR. FULLERTON: Yes. |  |
| 9 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Durana? |  |
| 10 | MR. DURANA: Yes. |  |
| 11 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons? |  |
| 12 | MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. |  |
| 13 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Menendez? |  |
| 14 | MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. |  |
| 15 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez? |  |
| 16 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. |  |
| 17 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft? |  |
| 18 | MR. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. |  |
| 19 | MS. SPAIN: Thank you very much. |  |
| 20 | MR. CHAIRPERSON: Motion passes -- |  |
| 21 | MS. SPAIN: I'm happy. |  |
| 22 | MR. CHAIRPERSON: -- unanimously. |  |
| 23 | (Applause.) |  |
| 24 | MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Dona. |  |
| 25 | MS. SPAIN: I couldn't find that much |  |

research, I tried.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: All right.
Are there any board items, City Commission items or City project updates?

No?
MS. SPAIN: This is my last Historic Preservation Board meeting after being with the City almost 23 years. I had a wonderful City Commission meeting on November 12th, it was Dona Spain Day, it was very cool.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: That's cool.
MS. SPAIN: There was a proclamation and they gave me the key to the City.

I have a little miniature ceramic
City Hall. I have the sign -- kind of a creepy area in my house now that has all these --

MS. GUIN: Shrine, that's the word she's --

MS. SPAIN: -- it's like a shrine. It's like a shrine. It's -- I don't know how long I'll keep it. I think it's a bit much, but, anyhow, it was wonderful, it was very nice.
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MR. FULLERTON: Well, you deserve it. You deserve it.

MS. SPAIN: Thank you.
MR. FULLERTON: You've been delightful to work with you all these years.

MS. SPAIN: I'm still a resident so I can make trouble.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: We hope so.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: We'll be seeing you on the Board.

MS. SPAIN: No, not on this Board. I wouldn't do that, but, yeah, sure, I'll be on a Board if they ask.

Anyhow, thank you, thank you all. I had really a wonderful time.

That's all I have.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Any other
items from staff?
MS. KAUTZ: Just, thank you.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Old business?
No new business.
MS. SPAIN: One thing you should do, I think, is -- is have Mike Sardinas, ah, excused absence.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Oh, yes.
MS. SPAIN: Because he obviously -he obviously just couldn't make it here.

MR. CHAIRPERSON: Yes. Thank you for reminding me.

Okay. I'll entertain a motion for excused absence for -- for Mike.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Move.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yeah, I'll move it.
MR. FULLERTON: I'll second it.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Can we do a
voice vote?
MS. SPAIN: Sure.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
All in favor say Aye.
(All members said Aye.)
MR. CHAIRPERSON: And there are no nays, right?

So motion passes unanimously.
And the meeting will be adjourned.
It is now 5:42 p.m.
MS. SPAIN: Perfect, thank you.
MR. CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
(Meeting adjourned.)
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