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CHAIRMAN TORRE: Good afternoon, everybody. Welcome to the regularly scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of Coral Gables that are charged with the preservation and protection of historic or architecturally worthy buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods and artifacts, which impart a distinct historical heritage of the City.

The Board is comprised of nine members, seven of whom are appointed by the Commission and one by the City Manager, the ninth selected by the Board and confirmed by the Commission. Five members of the Board constitute a quorum and five affirmative votes are necessary for the adoption of any motion.

A person, who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance No. 2006-11, must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees and/or the City Commission. A copy of the ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit
your ability do present to the Historic Preservation Board on applications under consideration this afternoon.

Lobbyist is defined as "an individual, corporation, partnership, or other legal entity employed or retained, whether paid or not, by a principal who seeks to encourage the approval, disapproval, adoption, repeal, passage, defeat or modifications of:
(a) any ordinance, resolution, action, or decision of any City Commissioner.
(b) Any action, decision, recommendation of the city manager, any city board or committee, including but not limited to Quasi-Judicial Advisory Board, Trust, Authority or Council; or
(c) any action, decision or recommendation of city personnel during the time period of the entire decision-making progress on the action, decision or recommendation which foreseeably will be heard or reviewed by the City Commission or a board, city board, or committee, including but not limited to Quasi-Judicial Advisory Board, Trust, Authority or Council."

Presentations made to this Board are subject to the City's False Claim Ordinance, Chapter 39 of the City of Coral Gables City Code.

I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board meeting of August 17, 2017. The time is 4:05.

Present today, to my left, Jan Thomson, Raul Rodriguez. To my right, John Fullerton, Bruce Ehrenhaft, and Alicia Bache-Wiig.

The next item on the agenda is the approval of the minutes of the meeting which was held on July 20, 2017. Are there any changes or corrections?

MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: I know you had one.
MR. EHRENHAFT: I went through the list, and I found a few things.

First, on Page 2, Mr. Torre's nomination as continuing chair was attributed to me, but it was in actuality Mr. Fullerton who made the motion.

On Page 54, line 24 , the word reference should be used, not reverence.

On 55, line 25, the last word should be
right, not rough.
On Page 64, line 6, it should be now proposing, not row proposing.

Page 66, line 22 , the word should be market, not marked.

Then on Pages 64, 68 and 69, it's a small issue, but the word "moorish" probably should be capitalized.

On Pages 83, 101 and 105, the City Architect is Mr. Mindreau, and on those pages he was incorrectly identified as Mudrow and Monroe.

And finally, on Page 102, line 22, Mr. Rodriguez was referred to by the wrong gender. It was Ms.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Oh.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. So --
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. Will anybody accept those corrections and make a motion?

MR. FULLERTON: I'll move to approve. CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is there a second?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: All those in favor of corrections that were proposed by Mr. Ehrenhaft, please say "aye."
(All Board Members reply "aye.") CHAIRMAN TORRE: All those against?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you.
The Notice Regarding Ex Parte
Communications.
For the record, Mr. Parsley has just come into the meeting at 4:08.

Please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board and the items on the agenda are quasi-judicial in nature, which requires board members to disclose all ex parte communications.

An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside a public hearing between a member of the public and a member of a quasi-judicial board, regarding matters to be heard by the quasi-judicial board.

If anyone has made any contact with a Board Member, when the issue comes before the Board, the member must state on the record the existence of the ex parte communication, the
party who originated the communication, and whether the communication will affect the Board Member's ability to impartially consider the evidence to be presented regarding the matter.

Does any member of the Board have such a communication to disclose at this time?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. Are there any deferrals today, Dona?

MS. SPAIN: No.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: We're going to go with the full agenda?

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: And there is a request for an excusal by Mr. Menendez that you all have on your packet. Can $I$ have a motion for approval of that absence?

MR. FULLERTON: I'll move.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is there a second?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: I second.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: All those in favor of excusing Mr. Menendez, say "aye."
(All Board Members reply "aye.")
CHAIRMAN TORRE: All those against?
(No response.)

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. Any person in the audience today that wishes to speak, would you please stand up to be sworn in.
(Thereupon, all audience members wishing to testify were sworn in by Ms. Yesenia Diaz.) **********************************************************) DISCUSSION ITEM, LOCAL DESIGNATION OF THE PLAN OF CORAL GABLES


CHAIRMAN TORRE: So, we're going to skip right through this whole agenda to Number -hidden in the bottom there is Number 10 , Discussion Item, Local Designation of the Plan of Coral Gables. Thank you.

MR. LEEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
And just to give a brief introduction, before I turn it over to Ms. Spain, on the August 29th agenda, the City Commission is going to be considering an ordinance that would allow for the designation of the city plan. It will also be authorizing the City to go forward and to present that to this Board for your views.

But before we did so, we thought, because it was such a central component of George

Merrick's vision for the City, the city plan that he prepared, and because so much of it is intact, we wanted to get your views on that first, so that when we talk to the City Commission we can provide them your comments and also any support that you may have.

I have a few reasons why $I$ think it's useful and helpful to designate a city plan and historic roadways in Coral Gables. But before I begin, I will turn it over to Ms. Spain.

MS. SPAIN: Well, this is something that I've been wanting to do for the 20 years I've been with the City, so it's nice that it's happening.

The intent is to have the right of ways designated. Also, the plan is very unique in the diagonals that create these leftover spaces. The largest would be Merrick Park, and so I think it's appropriate to designate the plan as historic.

There are the other municipalities in the United States that have done this, and so I think it's an appropriate thing to do.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: This would be a local designation?

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. LEEN: So the thinking would be -there's a few reasons. First, there's a few reasons why this would be helpful.

One, whenever a new development comes in, it would provide a heightened level of scrutiny for any change to the street plan, because the idea being that, with the different circles that exist, and the avenues and boulevards and some of these parks, these pocket parks and triangular park features, that we wouldn't want to lose that -- because that's part of Merrick's vision -- unless there was a really good reason that would benefit the City from this particular development.

There needs to be a balancing of interests. We would want you to weigh in on what you think about that if it's changing the city plan.

In addition to that, there's a number of different types of laws. One in particular I'm going to mention to you, where having a historic district, particularly in the central part of the city, protects the City from the ability to meddle with that or to undermine it.

So, for example, the wireless bill that just came out, this is -- a lot of people have been talking about this, but there's, you know -- and this applies also to utilities. But in particular with the wireless communications bill and statute that was adopted, it essentially allows these wireless providers to put cabinetry, and to put even poles potentially, and other types of -- and put things in the right-of-way that might affect the city plan.

One of the exceptions that exists for where the City has more leeway -- and, frankly, has, according to the exceptions, the City would have complete discretion -- is if it's in a historic district.

So, let's say, for example, someone wanted to come and put cabinetry -- not "someone," one of these companies, wanted to come and put cabinetry. And by cabinetry, I mean like the -- I'm not a technical, you know, I'm not an engineer, but, you know, those big boxes which have all the equipment in it for running a wireless communication facility. If they want to put that in the middle of Miracle Mile,
like right in the center, they could conceivably do that. Because what the statute does is it gives tremendous discretion to the wireless provider, and very little discretion to the City.

So, one exception to that, though, is in historic areas. And so one thought that I had, and I believe Dona shares, Ms. Spain shares, is that, well, look, you know, we have a real history here. We have 90 years -- in fact, more than 90 years -- of this beautiful city plan. It was designed a very specific way, and we've had a Board of Architects here for 90 years, and we protected it and we fought for it, and we've gone to court to defend it.

So we're in a very unique position vis-a-vis other cities, who may not have done all of that, that we can legitimately come forward and designate our city plan and say: Look. In these areas of the city, you can't just put a pole wherever you want, or you can't put cabinetry wherever you want, because that might affect the integrity of that plan. It may make it look uglier. It may affect a historical building. It may -- it may affect
the historic city plan.
So that was one of the reasons why it would be helpful. Likewise, it would have been helpful in any dispute with utilities like FPL, or someone like that. If they wanted to put poles in our historic district, we would have more leeway and more authority to be able to contest that.

And I -- and I want to be clear, we're not doing it solely for that purpose. It's not solely to be able to have that authority.

We've been talking about this --
MS. SPAIN: We have.
MR. LEEN: -- from before --
MS. SPAIN: George Hernandez has been talking for many years doing this. And $I$ know that Venice, Florida, is I believe on the National Register of the plan, the Nolli plan for that, and New Haven, Connecticut is another one. So there is precedent for planned communities to be designated.

MR. LEEN: So what we would like your comments on are just a couple points.

One, what do you think of the idea? Do you think it's something that fits in with what
the City stands for, our history? Is this something the Board would like to undertake? Because you would have a role in reviewing applications to amend the city plan.

In addition to that, the standard that we were thinking of applying was not the usual standard we apply for historic buildings, where you seek a Special Certificate of Appropriateness and there's factors that you look at. This would be a balancing of interests test. So you would balance on the one hand the need to preserve the city plan, and, on the other hand, you would look at: What are the benefits of this particular development? Are there public benefits provided? Is this something where we want to allow the city plan to be modified?

So it would be a lower standard. It's still higher than what exists today. In fact, there's no -- this review isn't done today, so there would be a higher standard. But it wouldn't be such a high standard that it would limit development. That's not the intent of this. It's just to make sure we're thinking about our city plan. At least that's the idea.

And then third, in terms of the notice requirements, if we were going to notice -- if we were going to provide notice that we were going to designate the city plan -- and by the way, by city plan, I should be clear. It's not the entire city. It's the historic -- and maybe you could talk about this a little more, but it's certain historic roadways. It would be like Old Cutler, Sunset, Coral Way, and then also the core, sort of, in the northern part of the city --

MS. SPAIN: The original.
MR. LEEN: -- the original city, before it was all the annexations that occurred.

MS. SPAIN: Yes, that's right. That's it.
MR. LEEN: Okay. And so -- and the idea is that if we're going to designate that area, we establish a notice provision, which would be that the notice that will be provided for the process when we go forward and designate the city plan would be by publication. Because right now, under our code, it requires notification within 1,000 feet. But for doing something like the city plan, that would be the entire city, and it would provide party status
to the entire city. That's not the intent. So the idea was, we need to establish a notice provision for this type of ordinance and a procedure for this type of designation.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Would the fact that the City of Coral Gables Public Works Department be the biggest user of coming here and saying, I'm going to widen the street a little bit, or -is that going to be really the one that's going to be acting upon the plan?

MS. SPAIN: Well, you know, right now we have historic districts, and so when Public Works -- for instance, if they want to add a curb in the historic district, they call me. So --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is it, I mean, the same thing for the plan, that they would have basically to come here if they wanted to add a little width to a street?
(Thereupon, Alejandro Silva, Vice-Chairperson, entered the commission chambers at approximately 4:17 p.m..)

MS. SPAIN: Honestly, I don't think procedurally it will change too much as far as Public Works. It's more for the larger
developments, or $I$ guess addition of curbs. But I don't think -- we have a good relationship with Public Works when they start doing things. In the past, we haven't. And so -- for instance, when they did the stop sign on Alhambra they contacted us, as the historic district, any time they add curbing.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I'm trying to get a feeling how many times we're really going to have somebody come up and apply.

MS. SPAIN: I don't think very often, but I think when we do, it's important that you all review it.

MR. FULLERTON: So homes that would be, come before us on one of these historic boards, would not have to come before us.

MS. SPAIN: This is just about the plan. It's not about the adjacent properties. And the issue for me was noticing. Because if we have a district now, we have to notice every property in that district. So we would need to send something to every property within the city in order to do this.

I think it's more appropriate to be able to publish it, so we wanted the Commission to
approve that.
MR. FULLERTON: I think some of those roads that you mentioned also have some government governance from DOT.

MR. LEEN: Yes, they do.
MR. FULLERTON: And how would we know, or get together with DOT?

MS. SPAIN: The same way we do it now.
MR. FULLERTON: We just tell them what we're going to do and --

MR. LEEN: Well, actually, it would give us -- you know, it's interesting, because it would give us a degree more authority over the County and DOT, because there is a line of cases that say even state agencies have to take account of our zoning code and our historic preservation regulations. In fact, they are supposed to follow them under a balancing of interests test. Same tests that we're applying.

So if there was ever a situation where the County or DOT -- and I'm not saying they would do this, but if they were going to take action that would harm a historic road -- like let's say, for example, they wanted to cut down the
trees along Coral Way, which has been a concern of the City. Now we could require them to go through a quasi-judicial process in front of you and in front of the City Commission. And by law, they would be required to do that. If they didn't do that, we could seek an injunction to block them. So this is actually helpful in that regard, too.

So it is true that from time to time you may have applications from other government entities now coming in who want to make some sort of change, and we may require them to come through you and the City Commission. So it protects the City in that way.

MR. FULLERTON: Okay.
MS. SPAIN: An instance that's already happened is FDOT. When they did the intersection at Alhambra and LeJeune, they wanted to put the really needed turn lane there. We had multiple meetings about that and saving the tree, because that was a historic district.

MR. FULLERTON: That tree right at the corner, right.

MS. SPAIN: And so that's in place now.

MR. FULLERTON: Yeah. That sounds a little familiar with issues that we've had over the past with the School Board, and changes or things that they wanted to do to a couple of the schools.

MR. LEEN: Yes.
MR. FULLERTON: And they came in and rolled over for a while, and then $I$ think we got ourselves together and said no way on a couple things. I don't remember what they were. It was a few years ago.

MR. LEEN: Yes. We would be applying this to the School Board, and also Miami-Dade County.

MR. SILVA: Dona, and Mr. Leen, I'm going to apologize for coming in late. Maybe this was already answered, but this -- this deals with a plan which would mean street closures and things of that nature would go through the process, would go through -- through us?

MS. SPAIN: You mean permanent street closures?

MR. LEEN: Permanent.
MR. SILVA: Right, right.
MS. SPAIN: I believe that --

MR. SILVA: So this really deals with improvements in the right of way, I guess, is what this would deal with? Not private property homes?

MR. LEEN: Yes. Like a permanent street closure, or a permanent vacation of part of the city plan would go through this process. The designation of a part of the city plan as a -for a special event, or a play street or something like that, but where it remains right of way, so technically on the plan it remains the same, that probably would not go through that process.

MR. SILVA: Thanks.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: So we need to give you just some feedback, I guess. That's what you're looking for?

MR. LEEN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Does anybody else have any questions?

MR. LEEN: And, also, do you have an interest? Because it's a different type of review you'd be doing. It may be more Public Works type stuff, and things like that to some extent, but particularly with the County and
the School Board.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Let's --
MS. SPAIN: Do you want -- do you want a motion from the Board?

MR. LEEN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah, let's do that. First of all, does anybody have --

MR. LEEN: Motion of support for the concept?

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is there anybody against this, or anybody that has any concerns that wants to bring it up before we --

MR. SILVA: We're already doing this on Coral Way, for example, right? We've seen projects come before us on Coral Way, because that is a designated district.

MS. SPAIN: Yes. And the bypass came to us, you know.

MS. THOMSON: What would be the big difference that we would feel, that we would feel or realize if we did something like this?

MR. LEEN: Well, like, for example, a big development that comes in that would typically not come to you, might now come to you for a review, because they might be vacating part of
the city plan, like a street, or they might be altering a street.

I'm not going to get into it, because there is a project that may even come before you at some point, where they wanted to change part of the road, the way that it was structured, and it would have changed the city plan. And if they go forward with that, that's something that would likely come before you now, and you would weigh in on.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Mediterranean Village would be -- it's past, but that would have been one.

MR. LEEN: That would have come in because of the vacation.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Mr. Chair?
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'd like to ask a question. Is there something in writing that we could see or --

MR. LEEN: Not at this stage.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Because we're just dealing with a concept without an understanding of -MR. LEEN: It's purely a concept. Out of respect for this Board and for the history of
this Board. We were going to bring it to the Commission. There will be something in writing before the Commission.

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. LEEN: And then it's going to come back to you.

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. LEEN: And that's when you're going to have something in writing and you'll consider this in a more formal setting.

MS. SPAIN: The intent is to have a designation report come to you with the history of the city plan, the people involved in it, the various maps that have been produced to designate that. And they'll have circumstances in that designation.

MR. LEEN: And that will be quasi-judicial. People might be affected by that who live along the city plan.

So we didn't want to get too extensive into it, other than to see whether in concept you supported this idea, because at that particular hearing, you could conceivably decide not to designate the city plan as well, and you may hear people speaking for and
against it. So we didn't want to get ahead of ourselves.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Considering we're going to hear this again, and we have a long agenda, I'm going to just try to ask, if anybody doesn't have anything else that's particularly against this, let's ask for a motion.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Just for further clarification then, so if -- if there were interest, and there were an adoption and a designation, that simply means that there is going to be a change a little bit in the deference as to the decisions. But then when there are individual instances where there is -- something comes into question, then it would come before the Board --

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. EHRENHAFT: -- at any particular hearing. Is that correct?

MS. SPAIN: Yes. I mean, my view on this, and when we get further into it and after it's been to the Commission, where they've discussed it, my view is it would be a further recommendation to the City Commission. Because right now those really large projects go to the

Planning and Zoning Board for a recommendation, and then they go to the City Commission.

Historic Preservation, which is really important, we don't have a say in those. So I think it would be nice to have those large projects that impact the grid of the city also come to this Board.

MR. LEEN: Yes. You would be acting parallel to the Planning and Zoning Board, so you would also make a recommendation to the City Commission.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Commission, yeah.
MS. THOMSON: Well, at this point, aren't we kind of working on conjecture, like something might be out there?

MR. LEEN: Well, I wanted to -- for example, if you said, "You know what, we're not interested in this idea," I would have --

MS. THOMSON: Yeah.
MR. LEEN: -- you know, we might not proceed with it. So I wanted to --

MS. SPAIN: And, also, we didn't want something to go to the City Commission about historic preservation --

MR. LEEN: Without --

MS. SPAIN: -- and not have come to you at least for a concept first.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you very much. Can I get a motion?

MR. FULLERTON: I like the idea. I'll move that we accept the direction of Staff and City Attorney and move forward on the --

MR. LEEN: So just a concept vote.
MR. FULLERTON: -- on the concept. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Details to follow, right?
Can I get a second?
MR. EHRENHAFT: I'll second.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Mr. Ehrenhaft seconds it.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: I just look at it as a caveat. If we vote on this motion, it would be subject to our further approval --

MS. SPAIN: Absolutely.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: -- of whatever is
presented later on.
MR. LEEN: Yes. In fact, it's really a policy vote. It's not a -- you're not going to be looking specifically at the plan. And remember, when you designate the city plan, you might not designate all of it. You might only
designate portions of it. You might just designate the historic roadways.

All of that is for the quasi-judicial hearing. This is just in concept --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: We're allowing the procedure to go forward.

MR. LEEN: Yes. In concept, we're going to be presenting an ordinance to the Commission, to allow this idea as a policy matter, and we wanted to get your conceptual approval to that. It doesn't mean you have to designate the plan when it comes before you.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think there's enough on the record to say what we're doing.

MR. FULLERTON: That was my motion.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think it's on the record what it is. Let's go ahead.

MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Parsley?
MR. PARSLEY: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Silva?
MR. SILVA: Yes.


MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Ms. Thomson?
MS. THOMSON: No.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes. Thank you, Craig.
MR. LEEN: Thank you.
MS. SPAIN: Okay. Do you also want to stay here -- Mr. Goudie is here.

MR. LEEN: I do.

CASE FILE COA (SP) 2017-013
2320 SEGOVIA CIRCLE

MS. SPAIN: Okay. Also, could we take out of order the fourth case, Case File COA (SP) 2017-013, and that is a Certificate of Appropriateness for 2320 Segovia Circle, because Craig wants to --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay.
MR. LEEN: I wanted to provide a legal
correct.
MS. THOMSON: Which one is this?
MS. SPAIN: Aaron, if you can cue up the fourth case.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. I'll read it into the record.

This is an application for the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness for the property at 2320 Segovia Circle, a Local Historic Landmark, as legally described as Lots 16 and 17 in Block 22, Coral Gables, Section "B," according to Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 5, at Page 111 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County. The application requests design approval for additions and alterations to the residence and sitework.

MS. SPAIN: Okay. Do you want to speak, or --

MR. LEEN: No. You should introduce it before I give my --

MS. SPAIN: All right. This is an application for an addition of a porte cochere and alterations to the existing property that we just recently designated.

Staff is recommending approval for it --
let me just get to that page -- with the following conditions: That the detached building was, we believe, originally built as a garage. We have, actually, the permit record.

I don't know if you want to pass that up.
It was built as a garage/apartment in the 1940s. We believe it should be returned as a garage to that.

We don't mind whether that's done as a Standard Certificate of Appropriateness that staff can deal with, but we believe it needs to be a garage. It's not now a garage.

Also, the windows are being handled as a separate Certificate of Appropriateness administratively, and also the pool deck and associated sitework, we would like to handle that also administratively.

So, other than that, we're recommending in favor of it.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Can you --
MS. SPAIN: You're going to see two pages here. One has an alternate design that the owner would like to do that has a garage in the front. We believe it should have a porte cochere in front, so that there is access to a
garage in the back.
I really don't care if there is a garage in the front, but the back building should be a garage. And I don't think that -- I think that's the only way that they're able to have it as a garage, if you provide access through a porte cochere.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Let's -- let's clarify what we have, because $I$ was confused at first, or at least I'm still confused.

There's one stamped August 7th and there's one stamped August 10th, of these two packets. I'm talking about the -- about the architectural, or elevation. We're looking at the August 10 th packet.

MS. SPAIN: The August 10th has a porte cochere.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I have -- and do we discard the August 7th packet altogether?

MS. SPAIN: I need to find the August 7.
MR. FULLERTON: Mine's August 8th.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: August 8th.
MR. EHRENHAFT: August 8th is what we have.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: You have August 8th? I
have August 7th.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: I have both.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: It says 7 a.m.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is there an August 8th here, too? Let me look.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Both, you should have both.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I don't have an 8th. BOARD MEMBER: And I don't have a 7th.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: This says August 8th at 7 a.m.

MS. SPAIN: No, I think the August 8th is --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: So there's one with a porte cochere, and the one before that does not have a porte cochere.

MS. SPAIN: The only, the August 8th -- I think what happened is he provided -- okay. This is confusing.

MR. GOUDIE: I brought in what they asked --

MS. SPAIN: So, typically what we ask for is existing and proposed, existing and proposed, and that wasn't provided to us. So we wanted to make sure you had that on the same
sheet, because it gets very confusing.
So the ones that are stamped on August the 8th are the same drawings as those that were stamped later than that. The only sheet that is labeled with garage, staff is not recommending that.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I don't have that.
MS. SPAIN: That's at the very end of the -- of the plans that are stamped August the 8th.

And I'll turn it over to the owner after, I think, the City Attorney.

MR. LEEN: The main -- the main point $I$ wanted to make was that this matter did come before the City Commission in the 1940s. And I'll just read what it says. There was a request at the time to allow the Boy Scouts to use the building in the back, and it was disapproved by the Board, by the Commission, on the grounds that this is not a suitable place for Boy Scout meetings, and potentially it would be converted into living quarters, which would be a violation of zoning regulations in an RI area.

They refer to it specifically as a -- yes?

MS. SPAIN: (Inaudible).
MR. LEEN: I believe they refer to it specifically as a workshop, and they also do later, in the next provision. But it's my understanding from the historic -- from the historic preservation officer, that there is some indication it was a garage, or permitted that way.

So I know that Mr. Goudie is going to be contesting whether it was a garage. It doesn't presently look like a garage, because I think it has a door, and not a garage door, but like a regular door.

So the main point $I$ want to make to you is that you're the quasi-judicial board. You should hear both, the evidence from both sides. You make the decision.

You do have discretion here, and if Mr. Goudie convinces you that it's not a garage, you could conceivably approve this. Or, if he doesn't, and you can follow the competent substantial evidence of the Historic Preservation Officer. He has the right to appeal to the City Commission, who could take a look at this resolution, and they could change
that as well.
So, I just wanted to make that point. You do have discretion here. You also, though, could follow what the Historic Preservation Officer said.

So, Mr. Goudie, it's up to you to convince them of this. And that's all $I$ can really do in this particular matter, because there is a dispute.

Thank you, Mr. Goudie.
MR. GOUDIE: Okay.
MR. FULLERTON: I'm sorry. The idea is that that building in the back is a garage, or he's going to convince us that it is or that it is not?

MR. LEEN: Well, his position is that it's not, it was not a garage. It was -- I've been informed by the Historic Preservation Officer it was permitted as a garage. This particular resolution calls it a workshop.

Are they clear about that?
MS. SPAIN: Yes, but there are other resolutions by the commission that -- in fact, in 1944, I believe it was, the commission -- it went to the commission to build a building, and
he asked that a garage apartment be built 1-1/2 feet from the property line, and the commission gave him permission to do that.

So, throughout the commission minutes and zoning minutes and the permit record, that building is referred to as a garage/apartment. We do not have plans for it.

MR. SILVA: Or aerials, or anything that showed like an old driveway or anything?

MS. SPAIN: We tried to get it on the aerials to look to see, and you can't tell because of the, you know, the tree coverage.

MR. SILVA: Foliage.
MR. LEEN: So my concern is, I don't think that there's enough in the record to bar it as a zoning matter if this Board believes that it's not a garage, or the City Commission.

However --
MS. SPAIN: It's not a garage now.
MR. LEEN: Because it's certainly not a garage now. However, if you believe, if you make the determination after hearing the evidence that either it is a garage, or it should remain with an unobstructive path -- and that means there would have to be a carport
instead of a garage blocking it -- that's within your discretion. You can rule for Mr. Goudie, or you can decide with the City. And this matter could be appealed by either side to the City Commission.

MS. SPAIN: And in 1947 there is a permit for an exterior stair. Is that right?

MR. FULLERTON: Exterior what?
MS. SPAIN: Stair, an exterior stairway. And at that time, the owner asked for --

MS. GUIN: That's the plumbing permit that Mr. Leen was just talking about. So in 1947 he asked to put an exterior stair. He also asked for a plumbing permit, and that -- the plumbing permit was denied, but it's obvious that in '47 there's changes occurring on that building.

So, what our research is telling us is that it was originally a garage. We see it referred to from '44 to '47 as a garage, and then in '47 there's some changes that occur. That's when it starts to get referred to as a workshop.

MR. LEEN: As a workshop building.
So, anyway, the long and short of it is, what should drive this discussion is the
historic preservation aspect of this, not the zoning, because to me there is not -- it's unclear from these documents. But if you believe historically it's more appropriate to remain open and that it should be a garage, and that's what you believe it is, that's ultimately within your discretion. You have to make the decision based on competent substantial evidence.

That's all the guidance $I$ can give, because we don't have -- the records aren't great from that time period.

MR. EHRENHAFT: May I ask a question, however?

Okay. On the June 27th document from the zoning planner, which we have in the packet, it specifically states that the existing garage, living quarters are no longer accessible or can be used for their intended purpose. But then highlighted in this document that we have before us, it says the existing parcel does not contain the minimum required square footage for a detached -- detached guesthouse. Refer to the zoning code, Article 8, guesthouse.

MR. LEEN: Yes. You can --

MR. EHRENHAFT: So that -- could you
address that? Because that would suggest that notwithstanding whether -- whether the bottom is opened so that a car can be driven in, the drawings show an 8-foot wide driveway approaching the building. If that were open so a car could go in, that would convert it to a garage. But if that's not done, this zoning document suggests that the building cannot be used as a guesthouse.

MR. LEEN: Yes, you can consider that in determining what you believe that building is and what it should be treated as.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.
MR. LEEN: But just be cognizant of the fact that it's not presently -- certainly not presently being used as a garage. But like many of these conversions, a lot of times they keep the garage door. This does not have a garage door. It has a regular door.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Regular door, right.
MR. LEEN: So it's a difficult -- it's a little bit of a -- it's not an easy issue. And there's a dispute.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I want to clarify
something.
MR. LEEN: So that's ultimately why it needs to be resolved quasi-judicially.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: And $I$ want to also state that sometimes the new code does not mean that historically it shouldn't go back to what it was, even though it won't match the zoning code today. It may not necessarily have to meet zoning code to be historically correct for us.

MR. SILVA: And today --
CHAIRMAN TORRE: We can override zoning. Our ruling can override zoning.

MR. LEEN: In this area it can, in my opinion.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes.
MR. LEEN: Because like I said before, I believe the historic preservation aspects of this should drive this inquiry.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: If it's 9 feet, and the car doesn't fit in 9 feet, that's beside the point. We can get back to the 9 -foot garage that was built historically, if that's something you want to do.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: What would otherwise be the use of this building?

MR. GOUDIE: Let me put it this way. Since the very beginning, I have objected to this house to be historic. I have mentioned more than once --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: We went through that last time.

MR. GOUDIE: Yeah, but I like to put it of record again.

The photographs were taken illegally, and the documentation here, it doesn't, it doesn't pertain. The application says specific things that doesn't go with the code.

Now, the house the way it is, the way it was built, it has a garage, a complete garage, okay? No pathway. And it has an auxilliary house in the back, with one door. It was built with one door. You can go inside and you can see the block, the way it was done.

You can see also on the, on the -- when you enter on the left side, where the staircase is at -- like it used to have windows. There's no longer windows, because they built the stairs. You can see it with the blocks, when they're -- you know, when they fill up the blocks.

The main reason for when we built the carport, basically you kill the patio, because you would have to bring -- first, you have a tree in the middle. You have to go to the sides to do this driveway to an existing structure that was not a garage.

So it's also a -- also, it changed the way it was designed, the house originally, but also creating problems for -- for the patio itself. When I'm going to show you the site plan, you can see what a difference it makes, okay?

The only thing that we were adding, I was adding up, was a garage, basically doing the same thing. You had a garage with an auxiliary structure. Now I'm having a garage with an auxilliary structure, and the old garage is becoming a family room.

That's all I was doing. Nothing -nothing changed of what it was originally existing.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: What is the use of the auxilliary building otherwise, if not a garage?

MR. GOUDIE: The auxilliary building, when I got there, when I bought the house it was a storage room. It was full of storage. It has
one single door, maybe a -- I don't think it is even a 36-inch door.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: What is your intended use of that space?

MR. GOUDIE: It's going to be like a -like a storage room. I don't intend to do anything else.

MR. SILVA: I just want to be clear, because I think we're missing -- we're missing the existing floor plan.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I want to get to this. Right. So here's the thing. We got this approved, what? Four weeks ago. Does it have to go through the Board of Architects? What's the procedure for this? Because this is kind of a ramshod sketch that we're getting.

MS. SPAIN: It went to the Board of Architects.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: So quick -- so all this has been done in four weeks?

MS. SPAIN: The only thing that didn't go to the Board -- yeah. The only thing that didn't go to the Board of Architects and get approved, I believe, is the last page, that has it as a garage.

Is that right?
MR. GOUDIE: No. That was really approved by the Board of Architects. When we went to the zoning department, they asked for a carport. We made the change to the carport. MS. SPAIN: Oh, that's right.

MR. GOUDIE: After that, we submitted that. Then they revised the trellis. We've been to the Board of Architects three times.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: In the last four weeks?
MR. GOUDIE: Three times.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. So, again, my problem starts with I can't hardly read these drawings, and what I'm really trying to get at. That's -- that's why I'm asking, in four weeks, these very quickly put together drawings that are maybe approved are coming here --

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- have no sheet pages. I'm trying to figure out what's going on.

You're saying you want me to approve the garage -- or, I'm sorry -- the carport, the trellis in the back, and leave this structure as is. And that's the nature of this approval. But I'm still trying to figure it out on
sheets, on the sheets. And --
MS. SPAIN: Well -- and that's why you have those additional sheets that have existing and proposed, because typically we would not want to have him --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I'm trying to be cordial, because sometimes we feel like we're being a little strong. But if it was normal, $I$ would say this is not acceptable, to put it back and bring it over, because this -- but again, I'm not going to do that. But this is -- this is not really the way we should be accepting packages at this Board.

MR. LEEN: Mr. Chair, I have to -- I have to step out. The Deputy City Attorney is here to answer any further questions. She understands my thinking on this.

One point, though. I want to be clear about this, Mr. Goudie.

I understand the objection to the historic designation, but it happened. You made the decision in a quasi-judicial setting. You had the right to appeal. You did not.

My understanding is the appellate period has run. It's a historic building. So when
you evaluate this, you should evaluate from a historic perspective. And with that, I will go.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. All right. Can you walk us through this? And let's take it from the beginning.

MS. SPAIN: Can you pull up the PowerPoint?

MR. GOUDIE: 2320. That's an aerial view of the property.

MS. THOMSON: He needs to speak into the microphone. I can't hear him.

MR. GOUDIE: It's about 160 feet from Segovia Circle. This is a photograph of the house, probably from the '50s or the '60s.

This is the house, how it is right now.
On the right side of the house, that's where the existing garage is at, okay? Where you see the screens, the concrete screens, that's where the existing garage is at.

That's the front of the house. Nothing is going to be touched on the front of the house.

Again, the garage. That's one of the areas of the house on the south side.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Can I interrupt you? Are
the window replacement -- is the window replacement part of this package?

MR. GOUDIE: No, it's not.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: So whatever's here, we're not looking at that at this point?

MR. GOUDIE: No, we are not.
MS. SPAIN: There's already been handled administratively.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. Thank you.
MS. SPAIN: We're very happy with the windows that are going in.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. Thank you.
MR. GOUDIE: Okay. That's, again, the rear part of the garage.

And that's the famous auxiliary structure. You can see that door was there originally. I don't see even a scooter can fit through there. A bicycle. And that's the way I want it to be.

Okay. This is what I did, and I did this myself. I took out the existing with the proposed, and I did it myself. It was not my architect, because that's what they require -they requested. So you can see the difference.

As a matter of fact, this is the old one.
Yeah. Do you see the site plan, the way it is?

There's nothing going to the structure at all. And that's with a new garage there, with existing garage.

Now, this is the way, if we make that a carport, and we have to go to the existing structure, you know, doing that driveway or -again, we can do it in rock, we can do it with everything. But you see how it kills completely the way it was designed, the house was designed?

Okay. This is the, the house floor plan, the garage. And the new garage has a carport. If it's a garage it will be closed, the rear part, and the front part would be a garage door. That was originally approved in the Board of Architects.

That's the house with the garage -- or, sorry. With a carport.

And this is what I did that I tried to fix, existing and proposed. You can see the difference. That's the existing. And this is the proposed, with the carport and the garage with a window.

The same thing on the rear, and the same thing on the side. The entrance of the garage
will be from the side.
This is the existing and proposed. I proposed at the beginning two -- a double door in the structure, in the proposed structure.

And this is with the garage door, how it looks, the house, if it had a garage door instead of a carport. That, basically, is very similar to what it was.

The rear is enclosed, so it doesn't have that open.

The trellis is -- we went through two or three changes with the Board of Architects for the trellis. Originally, it was more like a Mediterranean trellis. Then we did the trellis with columns. And in the last meeting of the Board, they wanted to have little walls to make it a little more esthetic and similar to what was the original structure.

Basically, that's what it is. You know, for me to do this as a carport, it completely destroys even the design of the architect originally.

Right now, you can go ahead and approve it. I know the Historic Board, you guys has power over the Zoning Department, so it's up to
you. I think approving with a carport it will hurt the property, and it will take away what used to be the property.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Are there drawings of the garage structure from -- from any period in time? Nothing? No --

MR. GOUDIE: There's no records.
MS. SPAIN: We have the permit number, and it says apartment/garage, but we cannot find the plans. We've asked for them, you know. The plans were all sent to a facility that's out of the city, and we ordered that permit number and it doesn't exit.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: So the 1947 work was to put plumbing, you think? Is that what you said?

MR. GOUDIE: No. If you had -- the other permits, the other permits were to put the staircase, because originally it did not have a staircase, and that's where they had the staircase. And they did the plumbing.

MS. GUIN: There were at least two permits in 1947. One was the exterior staircase, and then the plumbing permit. And that's the one that was denied and went to the City

Commission.
MR. EHRENHAFT: But the drawings that we have before us suggest that, unless this is what you're proposing for the second floor, that there is a toilet, there's a sink, and what appears to be a shower on the top floor. MR. GOUDIE: It was there.

MR. EHRENHAFT: They're currently existing? There's a shower on the top floor? MR. GOUDIE: Yes, it is. CHAIRMAN TORRE: Well, if there was no outside stairs, was there an interior stairs?

MS. GUIN: We don't know.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: You'd have to assume, if there's two stories to this building.

MS. GUIN: We don't know if they were, if that -- because those are masonry stairs -- if that was replacing perhaps wooden stairs, or if there were interior stairs that were then taken out. We don't know.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right.
MR. EHRENHAFT: And then there is a washing machine on the ground floor; is that correct?

MR. GOUDIE: That's correct. As a matter
of fact, they had one of those old ironing boards that came from the wall.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.
MR. FULLERTON: So your request is to put a garage there, in front?

MR. GOUDIE: Correct.
MR. FULLERTON: Not a carport. A garage.
MR. GOUDIE: That's what I really prefer is a garage, because you -- you don't open the back yard when you've got the carport.

MR. FULLERTON: In your site -- in one of the site plans, one of these several different ones, you're showing the garage with a driveway back to that. You would not build the driveway back there if you had a garage?

MR. GOUDIE: No, I would not.
MR. EHRENHAFT: And what is currently the garage becomes a --

MR. GOUDIE: Family room.
MR. EHRENHAFT: An interior family room. And then you are proposing that in lieu of a carport, that you would append to the north of the converted garage becoming the family room, then you would -- you're saying you would prefer to have a garage?

MR. GOUDIE: To build a garage instead of a carport.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: So what you're sacrificing is a side not visible to the street door, or a door that you see from the street in exchange for you enlarging your house.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Well, he would be doing the same if he put the carport. The current garage would still become the family room.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah, but the carport is transparent and this --

MR. EHRENHAFT: Yeah. And then he would have to drive the cars --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes. You see the cars from the outside.

MR. FULLERTON: Dona --
MR. GOUDIE: The biggest problem -- I'm sorry to interrupt. The biggest problem is not so much the carport, the carport, as the pathway from the carport to the existing structure. Because, you know, you can even have a carport and you can find a way to -- for landscape to enclose it, and you still have the transparency, if that's what you're looking for. But the pathway from the carport to the
garage, that's what kills completely the -- the way the house used to be.

MR. EHRENHAFT: I mean, I still don't understand what you're saying by killing it, because you have -- on the page which is after the one that has -- it's labeled existing, which has the elevations for the auxiliary building.

MR. GOUDIE: I'm talking about --
MR. EHRENHAFT: Then we have a site plan.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: It's up on the screen.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.
MR. GOUDIE: This is the site plan --
MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.
MR. GOUDIE: -- with a carport and the pathway to the structure. And this is the site plan without the pathway.

MR. EHRENHAFT: But that also doesn't have the garage appended.

MR. GOUDIE: The garage would be just a little structure on top of the pavers. Not that little pathway that's going there. That pathway, there is a -- the pathway from the garage, all that pathway --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: But you're assuming that
we're going to vote to have that be a garage in the back. We may not.

MR. GOUDIE: No, no. This was what zoning required.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Oh, we may not want a garage in the back. I'm not sure --

MR. GOUDIE: No, I don't want it like that.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. This pathway may not happen if there's no garage in the back, correct?

MS. SPAIN: I would prefer the porte cochere in the front, other than a garage, regardless of what happens to the building in the back.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Correct. And what happens if --

MS. SPAIN: Because it's transparent. You don't have the garage door.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Let's assume the garage, the porte cochere goes in. What do you do with that if it's not a garage in the back? Do you still want to drive to that little structure?

MR. GOUDIE: No.
MS. SPAIN: The driveway could go away.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: It will go away.
MS. SPAIN: The driveway is only
because --
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Because of this garage situation.

MR. GOUDIE: Exactly.
MS. SPAIN: -- of it becoming a garage.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: And you're looking for a garage in the back or not a garage in the back? I'm still --

MR. GOUDIE: I don't want a garage. CHAIRMAN TORRE: You don't want one. Okay. So you would want to get rid of that whole driveway -- yeah. Okay. Let's do this.

MR. GOUDIE: That's why --
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Dona, Dona, Dona, before you go --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Just so that -- I'm trying to make this clear. Your recommendation would be it would be a porte cochere. Period.

MS. SPAIN: I think if the back building was a garage originally, I think it was done without a permit and converted illegally. I only say that because it's referred, in all the
documents we can find, as a garage apartment.
So in my view, it should go back to being a garage. Now, that driveway could be any number of materials. They could even put Turf Block in there if they didn't want to have the pavement there.

But my view is there should be a garage in the back and a porte cochere in the front. If you all are comfortable keeping it not a garage, and having it be in the back, with the door that he's going to change, then I think it should at least be a porte cochere in front, because that's a much better architectural solution to --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Starting with the basics, we don't even know the size of that structure in the back, because there's no dimensions on this drawing.

MR. SILVA: On the survey, in tiny, tiny text, I think it's like 12 by 13.

MR. EHRENHAFT: It's about 12 by 15 or 17.
MS. THOMSON: Yeah, it's like 13 by something.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Because I did measurements. But if you look at the width of
the driveway, that's 8 feet on the scale drawing. And so it's probably 11 to 12 wide. And then proportionally $I$ got a ruler and looked at it, and it looked like it was, you know, 15 to 17 deep.

MR. SILVA: Yeah. I think the survey does have dimensions out -- and I think that's, the survey clarifies that it's a non-conforming size per current --

MR. EHRENHAFT: Of course, of course.
MS. THOMSON: I have a hard time dealing with ifs and buts. I don't know what size that structure is in the back. That bothers me. It does. Just like the other issue that came -- I can't deal with ifs and buts.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Yeah.
MS. THOMSON: I've got to know what I'm voting on.

MR. SILVA: I think -- I'm trying to bring some clarity here. If this was a normal project, and there was no issues with that existing structure -- let's say that existing structure was permitted, it was compliant, it was what it is, right? Let's take that out of the equation for a minute --

MS. THOMSON: Okay.
MR. SILVA: -- and see how we would treat this as a typical historical renovation.

In my eyes, doing -- we look at the original house. We saw that it had no garage door to the front facade. I think that's an important part of the original design.

I'm okay with a conversion of that garage to a family room and bringing a code-compliant solution to the garage, which is a porte cochere.

I think that's more sensitive. I think that works better with the original design of the house. So that's my opinion on the new garage.

In terms of the old garage, we've done projects -- we've looked at projects on this Board where I think we've allowed for a sensitive conversion or restoration of the garage, where we asked them to restore the original masonry opening and allow them to enclose that, with some sort of glass, with side lights, or something that --

MS. SPAIN: We've actually allowed them to do doors the same size.

MR. SILVA: Right, right. So not necessarily --

MS. SPAIN: Which I think is what he intends to do anyway. But maybe it's the same size of a garage door opening.

MR. SILVA: Right, right. So, I mean, I think restoring that to a drivable garage, I'm not sure it makes sense because, number one, you can't really fit a car in there. You know, we've had discussions in the past where why make them do a garage when it's not going to be a workable garage.

MS. THOMSON: Right. It's too small.
MR. SILVA: And I think that bringing that driveway through the property, while it could be done well, it could be done nicely, maybe it's not necessary.

So I -- looking at this from a historic preservation perspective, I would definitely allocate for the porte cochere in lieu of the garage door. I think that's critical to the success of the scheme.

In my opinion, I would say, you know, okay, let's restore the garage sensitively, but allow him -- because we are in compliance,
we're not asking for any variances in terms of square footage, or $F A R$, or anything like that -- to allow them to kind of restore that garage, keeping the existing --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: You mean restore it to look like a garage door.

MR. SILVA: To look like a garage door. CHAIRMAN TORRE: But it continues to be a workshop.

MR. SILVA: Exactly. Exactly.
MR. GOUDIE: Okay.
MR. FULLERTON: Without a driveway to it.
MR. GOUDIE: Without a driveway.
MR. SILVA: That's my opinion.
MR. FULLERTON: Well, I agree, I would agree with that. I think it would be nicer for your neighbors, too, to not have another paved thing right along the property line. Landscaping could be improved and -- and the see-through of the porte cochere could be nicer, too, with landscaping behind it, and something similar to what you have now in your 611, or whatever that address is. MR. GOUDIE: Yes.

MR. FULLERTON: Which is a beautiful
solution. And I think this could be just as nice. But forget the driveway. I don't even care about whether the building in back is converted to look like a garage or not. It's immaterial.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think it's very unappealing or very -- I think something should happen to it, and I think that door may be --

MR. GOUDIE: It does look like a storage room.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: It's very poor, left. So, yeah, I think that $I$ would be in favor of putting that door, a garage, sort of similar door back there.

MR. FULLERTON: Further, I would like to ask the staff: Is it not required by the City of Coral Gables to have an architect named on the plans that we receive?

MR. GOUDIE: It is mentioned on the plans.
MR. FULLERTON: Sorry. I didn't get that.
MR. GOUDIE: Maybe not on that copy, but it was on the other plans.

MR. FULLERTON: But --
MR. GOUDIE: Right there.
MS. SPAIN: That has it. I.G. Group.

MR. FULLERTON: What was included in the application to the Architect's Board?

MS. SPAIN: Let me see. You don't have it.

MR. GOUDIE: No, that's the one.
MS. SPAIN: Here it is.
MR. FULLERTON: The first thing I did when I saw this --

MS. SPAIN: It was cut off.
MR. FULLERTON: -- is say this doesn't comply to what the city requires.

MS. SPAIN: Yeah, you cut it off. That shouldn't happen.

MR. GOUDIE: It's -- the architect is Perry Infante, I.G -- (phonetic).

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Right, but --
MR. GOUDIE: I'm pretty sure Mr. Torre knows him.

MR. FULLERTON: But you said you drew this yourself.

MR. GOUDIE: No. What I did was the existing and proposed. I just cut it and pasted.

MR. FULLERTON: Oh, cut and paste.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: I wouldn't go backwards,
but I'd say that in the future, Dona, I'm going to say that we're going to reject this. At least $I$ would reject this, going forward.

So, please take more time. I know that you're in a hurry, but this is -- this is not right, for the next person to have done all the work and this is what we get here.

So, is there anybody else that has any additional comments?

MR. SILVA: I just have a question, because -- so, zoning did highlight that that existing guesthouse is not in compliance. So do we have to opine on that, or is that just some existing non-conforming use?

MS. SPAIN: No, I don't think you have to, because the City Attorney will handle that.

MR. FULLERTON: Is that a conditional use, or something like that?

MS. SPAIN: I think just let it go, and if it turns out you are not requiring that to go back to a garage, that the City Attorney will issue something on that.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think we're --
MS. SPAIN: You can put it in your motion. That would help.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Does anybody else have any --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: What was the motion?
CHAIRMAN TORRE: We haven't made a motion. I just want to make sure everybody has --

MS. SPAIN: There isn't a motion. I was trying to encourage someone. There isn't a motion yet.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: He had made some comments.

BOARD MEMBER: Expressed his opinion.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: So I think we're ready, if somebody --

MR. EHRENHAFT: Mr. Torre, you were suggesting that you'd prefer to see the documentation come back?

CHAIRMAN TORRE: No. I don't want --
MR. EHRENHAFT: Cleaned up, or no?
CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- Mr. Goudie to think
that we're always going to be --
MR. EHRENHAFT: Right, right.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: I don't want to say a bad word on TV. But you know this.

MR. GOUDIE: Have to think about it.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: We go back and forth here
on this board, but in the future we've got to get better drawings. That's all.

MR. GOUDIE: I want to mention that it was approved by the Board of Architects three times, and because of all the corrections and trying to get it here --

MS. SPAIN: I believe the one -- yes, but on those plans you did have the title block, when it went to the Board of Architects.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. Let's see if we can get somebody to move this along. I think we have a consensus at this point. I think we have a consensus.

MR. SILVA: I think so. All right. So I guess I'll make a motion.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: There you go.
MR. SILVA: I'll move approval of the conversion of the existing garage to a family room and the new garage as a porte cochere, as per the revised drawings we received, and restoration of the existing rear building, the restoration of the existing masonry opening, but allowing it to be infilled and continued to be used as a workshop.

MR. GOUDIE: Without a driveway.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Without a driveway. MR. PARSLEY: I'll second.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Without a driveway. Did you get that last part?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Without a driveway? Yeah, without a driveway.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Without a driveway. MS. SPAIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: We have a motion, and we have a second from Mr. Parsley.

MS. DIAZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Wait. Is there anything further for discussion?

MR. FULLERTON: I just wanted to comment. What's the point of changing the garage door if there's no access to it for a vehicle? I mean, it's just kind of like additional work to do.

MR. SILVA: He's already -- I mean, if he wasn't doing anything to it, I would say leave it alone. But he is proposing to remodel the doors. So I'm saying, if you're going to change the doors --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: It's to bring it back to -- what was supposed to be there was a
garage, but --
SPEAKER: It should have been, yeah.
MR. SILVA: Yeah. Make it something historically appropriate.

MR. FULLERTON: It might be a nicer storage area, too, a work area.

MR. GOUDIE: Sorry.
MR. FULLERTON: Sorry, Jessie.
MS. DIAZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Parsley?
MR. PARSLEY: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Silva?
MR. SILVA: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Ms. Thomson?
MS. THOMSON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes. Thank you.
MR. GOUDIE: Okay. Good to have a
(inaudible) for once.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. We're going back to the regular agenda?

MS. SPAIN: Okay. Not yet.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes?
MS. SPAIN: We're going back to the first case in the file.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: We're going to the first -- got it.

CASE FILE COA (SP) 2017-010, 2315 GRANADA BOULEVARD

CHAIRMAN TORRE: So this will be Case File COA Special Certificate of Appropriateness 2017-010. It's an application for the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness for the property at 2315 Granada Boulevard, a Contributing Resource within the "Castile Avenue/Plaza Historic District," legally described as the North 125 FT of Lot 9, Peacock Re-Subdivision of Block 35, Coral Gables Section "B," according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 35, at Page 60 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County. The application requests design approval for the
additions and alterations to the residence and sitework.

MS. SPAIN: This is also a confusing case. Because of the mislabeling of the elevations, it can be a little bit confusing. I'm going to go over that briefly, and then turn it over, I believe, to the owner.

Okay. This is on the corner of Castile and Granada, and zoning considers, regardless of where the front door is, that the narrow portion of the lot is the front. So the setback on the narrow portion of the front is a front setback, according to zoning.

In this case, the narrow portion is on Castile Avenue, not on Granada, so Granada is considered, actually, a side setback.

So, adding to that confusion is the west elevation, which is the primary elevation on Granada, is actually mislabeled in your packet as east.

So I'm going to turn it over to the owner. Staff is recommending in favor of this, and there's no variances.

MR. ZANELLI: To my defense, the plans have the architect's name.

MS. SPAIN: That's right.
MR. ZANELLI: But, yeah, he's traveling and (inaudible).

So, basically, that was the house in the 1940s. We bought this house 2014. We applied for a pool. We also extended a wall.

MS. SPAIN: Use this.
MR. ZANELLI: Oh, sorry.
Okay. So, basically, after we bought it we installed the gate, we changed the -- that's Castile Avenue. But now they say that is the front. But our address is 2315 Granada Boulevard.

Let me -- let me just go -- so, basically, I don't know if you know this corner, but we brought these plans and -- okay. That's our pool renovation. And in the pool area, you see on the left-hand side there, that comes a new wall that we had put on Granada, at the same distance that we have from the, from the line of property to -- I think it's 25 feet. So that was allowed two years ago.

Today what we wanted to do was, we're going to extend the house by a thousand square feet. Because right now the lot is 10,000
square feet, and the house under air-conditioning is 2200 or 2100 .

So, basically, that's what you have today from the top. Another one. This is today, existing elevations. And this is what we are going to do, or trying to do in the future.

So, basically, there's two wings. There's one that will follow the wall that we have in the front today. That would be one floor only. And then the back one, which will be two floors. So it's really kind of a U-shaped property.

You see here the proposed second floor on the top, and the staircase. And that's how it would look with, you know, the roof.

This would be the Castile -- the top one would be the Castile side, and this is what we will see, our neighbor on Granada.

Now we'll see the second, the bottom drawing. This is the back, toward our Castile neighbor, on the top. And this is the front. The bottom will be the front. But you would see on Granada Boulevard, the bottom one. And then the back one is what the Castile neighbor would see.

Then, again, it's the same. Here you have -- this is from the patio, so this would be from the master looking at -- the top one would be from the master looking at the other side of the -- of the other wing. And this one will be -- the bottom one -- let me see. Hold on a second, because the bottom is what you will see from the pool.

So here, this is what you would see from the pool. Proposed patio, west elevation. I don't know -- proposed patio -- yeah. I'm trying to figure out, I'm trying to figure out the second --

SPEAKER: He's looking for the west view.
MR. ZANELLI: Yeah, but I think that they're switched. That's why. But, basically, the pictures that you have --

SPEAKER: The west is west -- see there?
MS. SPAIN: That's the pool elevation.
MR. ZANELLI: Yeah, that's the pool.
MS. SPAIN: So those are the sections to the pool.

MR. SILVA: In our packet, it's after that.

MR. ZANELLI: But, basically, here you
have the elevation from the front and from the back. I mean, yeah. Then you still have the same view on Castile. From Castile, if you're at the park you would see -- you know, there's a park. So you would see the top drawing, the top elevation.

We haven't -- well, I don't know. What do you think?

MR. SILVA: This has already been approved by BOA?

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. SILVA: And it went through zoning
already?
MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. SILVA: There's only a 5-foot setback on that side where the --

MS. SPAIN: There was a 5 -foot setback on what you consider the rear, but that is what zoning considers the side.

MR. FULLERTON: And they've got a 25-foot setback on the other side along Granada Boulevard.

MR. ZANELLI: Basically, the issue is that we have not found any other way to extend the house without, you know, breaking the roof and
creating a second floor, because of the zoning situation. We are --

MS. SPAIN: And they're well under the FAR with these additions.

MR. ZANELLI: This is -- it will be 3,000 square feet and 10,000 square foot lot. If you know -- if you know the corner, you would know that we have done many improvements to it, and we really took care of everything that we were asked for every time.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Were you the ones who added the pool recently?

MR. ZANELLI: Sorry?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: You were the ones who added the pool?

MR. ZANELLI: Yes. And the wall. In order to have the cover over Granada, we needed to add the wall.

MR. EHRENHAFT: So, basically, to the south of the existing historic building, you propose to add a master suite which will be -if we're standing on Granada and looking east, then the master suite will be brought to the right along what is currently your wall.

You've got about a 4-1/2 or 5-foot wall there.

MR. ZANELLI: That's correct.
MR. EHRENHAFT: And you're going to reduce the size of the existing pool.

MR. ZANELLI: On length.
MR. EHRENHAFT: On length.
MR. ZANELLI: Yes.
MR. EHRENHAFT: And then to the extent that you're adding a two-story studio building, or a studio wing, that's on the far side of the pool, or the far side of the -- the lot, the farthest away from Granada.

MR. ZANELLI: That's correct.
MR. EHRENHAFT: And so that building is recessed and it is not in front of or facing either of the two streets --

MR. ZANELLI: No.
MR. EHRENHAFT: -- that the property is bordered on. It's cozied in the back rear.

MR. ZANELLI: That's correct.
MR. EHRENHAFT: In the corner.
MR. ZANELLI: Also, to show the historic versus the new, we are having a 6-inch notch to the front --

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. ZANELLI: -- on the first floor. So
we're sitting a little bit back. And when you say studio, it's only the top, the studio. The bottom is another bedroom and bathroom. MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, okay.

MR. ZANELLI: So the studio is just the top. The bottom --

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Because I saw on the ground floor --

MR. ZANELLI: Yeah.
MR. EHRENHAFT: -- I saw the word studio to the left of the bathroom, but --

MR. ZANELLI: That's correct, because the staircase takes (inaudible).

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is there a reason why your master addition in the front is a little higher than the roof line on the existing?

MS. SPAIN: That was also, I believe, to differentiate it.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: That's --
MS. SPAIN: Historic --
CHAIRMAN TORRE: So that goes to my point. The only thing I noticed with this house when I looked at it, there's a lot of different roof pitches and elevations and changes all over the
place. What defines this house is a lot of that.

So, as it relates to this addition, one way to interpret it is like it is what it is, there's a lot of these things, leave it alone. And the other way is to try to help it along.

How I look at that is, looking at the roof line and the elevation at 5, $A-4$, and $A-5$, $I$ wonder if you went and knocked the house just a little bit more, that the roof of the master would join to the main roof of the house much simpler, and avoid another one of these -because there's a lot of --

MR. ZANELLI: Sorry. No, no.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: It's that 4 feet you're trying to put in the middle, if that could just go away. I was wondering --

MR. ZANELLI: The thing that I wanted to do -- because I know construction, how it goes. We're living in the house, correct? So what I wanted to do, I was able to create, construct these two wings, if you may, and then in one month crack the whole thing open and bring it in. I wouldn't do it any other way.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Like I said, if the house
was cleaner, it would make more of a difference. But $I$ think the house has so much of it, I think another one of these doesn't make a difference at this point.

MR. SILVA: They don't have so much --
CHAIRMAN TORRE: I mean, if you look at the house --

MR. SILVA: I mean, it's doing it, yeah.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- there's just so many of these ups and downs. And the roof is busy, busy.

MR. ZANELLI: That is the reason why we're doing it. We wouldn't do it, you know, if it was --

MR. FULLERTON: It might be a nightmare of construction joinery and waterproofing and everything, but that's your problem.

MR. ZANELLI: Oh, in the future, you mean? You're right.

But it will be cleaner. Look. We're surrounded by construction. We have the Castile house, which is a year and change. It's, in my point of view, a disaster. And then the other neighbor now started and has a crane, the one over on Granada and Coral Way.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Yeah, there's demolition there.

MR. ZANELLI: And so, you know, we want to do something much smaller in ratio, so, you know -- and $I$ have seen things that are, you know, the big -- you know, smaller lots with bigger houses.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Are you changing the pool or moving the pool or --

MR. ZANELLI: Sorry? No, I'm actually reducing it.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Shrinking the pool. You're shrinking the pool.

MR. ZANELLI: Shrinking, but I'm also
 right-hand side. So I'm shrinking the beach part, but at the same time I'm making a little --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: But it's a new pool?
MR. ZANELLI: It's the one that I already have approved two years ago.

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: It's not this one, because the other one goes --

MS. THOMSON: It's newish.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah, okay.
MR. ZANELLI: It's refitted.
MR. EHRENHAFT: You're reducing the length of it?

MR. ZANELLI: Yes, in order to fit the two wings.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Two wings, right.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right.
MR. SILVA: I think it's a sensitive addition on the -- especially on the street facades. I think setting it back the four inches clearly differentiates it on the Granada side. And that two-story addition is so far in the back that I think it's --

MR. EHRENHAFT: Yeah. I like the fact that they chose to put it on that side as opposed to proposing it to be on the front.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes, I agree.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. Any further comments?
(No response.)
CHAIRMAN TORRE: We'll close the public hearing. Does anybody want to speak?

You're good. You want to sit down? Thank you very much.

MR. ZANELLI: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. Thank you so much.

Anybody want to speak for or against this item?

If not, we'll close the public hearing and entertain a motion.

Bruce, want to go ahead?
MR. EHRENHAFT: I move for approval.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Go ahead.
MS. THOMSON: Never mind. I'm just curious as to -- this looks massive and it looks beautiful, but it just has a one-car garage.

MR. ZANELLI: You know, can I show you -basically, we would not use the garage, like every other house in the U.S., I think. But if we go back to it, you will see the picture. We have a driveway with a gate. You know, there is no, really --

MS. SPAIN: You pull in and park.
MR. ZANELLI: We pull in and park. So from outside -- from outside you don't see the garage, because --

MS. SPAIN: Because I went to the house to
look at the stucco, and it was almost impossible for me to see the stucco.

MR. ZANELLI: Basically, if you look at the pictures you can see plants, and then the roof. That's what you see today.

MR. FULLERTON: Yeah. I live right across the street.

MR. ZANELLI: Oh, yeah?
MR. FULLERTON: And, yeah, you don't even see the house. It's like you drive down the street, and it's very, very well hidden. Not hidden, but landscaped.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Landscaped, yeah.
MR. ZANELLI: You know now.
MR. FULLERTON: It's sensitive. It's sensitive to the neighborhood, for sure.

MS. SPAIN: Okay.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. There was a motion. Actually, we're looking for a second.

MR. SILVA: I'll second.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Second from Mr. Silva.
Any further discussion? Let's do it.
MS. SPAIN: Who made the motion? I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: It was -- the motion was

Mr. Ehrenhaft.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there need for them to come back to you administratively for looking at any of the new windows that they add or --

MS. SPAIN: No, no. We handle -- we'll handle all of that administratively, with standard COAs. And it doesn't have to come back to the Board unless --

MR. EHRENHAFT: No, no. I meant to staff.

MS. SPAIN: Yes, just to staff.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.
MS. SPAIN: It all goes to staff.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Proceed.
MS. DIAZ: Ms. Thomson?
MS. THOMSON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Parsley?
MR. PARSLEY: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Silva?
MR. SILVA: Yes.

MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes.
Thank you.
MS. SPAIN: We apparently have a request for the seventh case to go now. I'm not sure why.

MR. EHRENHAFT: For what?
MS. SPAIN: 1142 South Greenway. I don't know --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: What's going on?
MR. EHRENHAFT: It's 2017-017?
SPEAKER: Give me the address.
MS. KAUTZ: They requested to be out of order.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Could you plead your case, because we have to be fair to the agenda. I mean, if you have a special circumstance --

MR. OSLE: No.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you.
MR. FULLERTON: So we're doing 9XX?


CASE FILE COA (SP) 2017-011, 9XX VALENCIA AVENUE


CHAIRMAN TORRE: 9XX Valencia. This is Case File COA (SP) 2017-011: An application for the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness for the property at 9XX Valencia Avenue, a vacant parcel of land (previously designated with 927 Valencia), which is legally described as Lot 20, Block 9, Coral Gables Section "A," according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 5, at Page 102 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County. The application requests design approval for the construction of a new two-story residence and sitework.

MS. SPAIN: Thank you.
MS. KAUTZ: So this property was designated in September of 2015 as part of 927 Valencia, which at the time consisted of Lots 17 through 20.

A determination, a legal opinion was issued by the City Attorney's Office, determining that Lot 20 was a separate building site. So it has been since parted from the original designation, but the lot is still
designated as part of the designation, which is why it's coming to you-all.

This is the location of it. You can still see the larger parcel is still outlined on the property appraiser map.

This is for a new two-story single-family residence. There are no variances being requested. The Board of Architects did approve the preliminary approval in May, with some comments. Those were addressed, and then it was approved by the City Architect in June, and so the comments they had initially have already been addressed and are part of the submittal.

We have three comments on the proposal. One is that the roof material is noted on the plans and elevations as one place being barrel tile or "S" tile, and one as being flat tile.

So we don't know which one is actually being proposed. If it is a barrel-type tile, it should be true barrel tile.

The window and door muntins are typically as we asked, to be high-profile and dimensional, and a window schedule is now provided in the submittal.

They are to be casement units. They were
depicted as such, but we just want to make sure they're not, you know, (inaudible) rollers, or anything like that.

So, that is it for our comments, and I'll turn it over to the architect.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: My name is Eduardo Calil, Jr. This is Eduardo Calil, Sr. We are the architects of the project.
(Inaudible) This is what was just a site plan for the residence. It's being considered (inaudible) with an elevation (inaudible) carport on the left-hand side of the residence.

The floor plan is a very simple open floor plan for -- Hello?

MS. KAUTZ: They can't hear.
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: So, one of the things we're trying to do is respect the new residence as much as we could, the historic property which is to the right of this property. This is Lot No. 20, I believe, on the left-hand side.

A few ways that we approach that, to respect the historic residence as much as possible, is put the carport as far away as possible, as well as the AC units, on the
opposite side of the historic home.
We also -- one of the things that we also did to soften the main entrance to the house is, we have a lot of smaller conditions, like a terraced condition, things that break down the elevation, so as to not make it an overwhelming design.

And we, as small as this residence is, we still tried to emphasize repetition and bay windows and some horizontality with the horizontal bands that we introduced into the residence.

We also introduce some natural stone materials on the facade and on the columns on the front.

We also had, we had -- as I mentioned, we had a few comments, minor comments from zoning, and we addressed them all with regard to -most which were with regard to elevation. Those are the comments that were done by zoning.

Here we're looking at -- well, this is the front of the property there. The front of the property is the top image. The side facing the west is the bottom image there. The AC
compressors are hidden, so that it doesn't obstruct the neighbor either. They're (inaudible) with the railings there on the bottom left. A little gate, to shield it from view for the neighbors.

This is the rear of the property, where we have a rear back, and it's a very symmetrical design for the rear.

This is a typical section through the entrance of the -- this one. This one you can see where the -- well, two typical sections for the main building.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: (Inaudible).
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Yeah. And the intention for the roof was actually a flat cement tile roof. I didn't see -- at least I looked really quick, but $I$ didn't see barrel tile. I don't know if that note slipped somewhere, but it's supposed to be -- the reason we intended for a flat cement tile roof, and that's something that we also mentioned in our -- we had a lot of meetings with Historic, but one of the reasons we proposed flat cement tile roof versus barrel tile roof is -CHAIRMAN TORRE: That's where it is.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: That's where it escaped. Thank you.

It was supposed to be flat cement tile roof. And one of the main reasons was to differentiate it from the historic home. We didn't want things to be similar, one home to the other. We didn't want it to be its sister, we wanted it to be its neighbor, which is what it is, but still respected in as many ways as possible.

Any questions that you may have I can answer, or that we can answer?

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. I think -does anybody have any questions?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I would like to ask a question.

I looked at your plans, and it looks very similar to the big box houses that are going up all over Coconut Grove and Coral Gables. It looks exactly like the plans of two houses that were recently built on the 1400 block of Sorolla, which stand out because they're big white boxes.

You may call -- you may paint them something else, but they're still these huge
white boxes over -- on a very small piece of land. There's also two of them on Madeira Avenue, just off of LeJeune Road.

My concern is that this is a historic area. This is a historic district. And all of a sudden, to bring in a big box next to a historic home may not be in keeping with the spirit, or at least the nature of that community.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: This is why we, we introduced a lot of elements, architectural elements, in order to soften anything that would resemble a big box. We actually broke down said box, if there is anything that resembles a box, which is why we did the one-story carport that pushes out further.

The terrace right there already --
MR. RODRIGUEZ: That's exactly the same design as in the 1400 block of Sorolla.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: I don't know that design.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: The carport with the flat tile roof and second story. And they're identicals. They're an identical drawing.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: I --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And I don't know whether it's within our purview to make a statement about a big box house, but I'd like to -- I'm making that statement now.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Do you have any images of the adjacent structure?

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: The adjacent structure, yeah.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: The adjacent home.
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: They're just really small.

MR. EHRENHAFT: The adjacent home is in the separate --

MS. BACHE-WIIG: The separate one.
MR. EHRENHAFT: -- separate packet, which is 2017-012. It's the next one for consideration.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Okay.
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: I'll give you a moment so you can look at it.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: So let's touch base on that. What's -- maybe somebody can answer. The height, maximum height of the house in Coral Gables is 29?

MS. KAUTZ: Yes.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Twenty-nine? Okay. So, to answer your question, you're much purview to make that be the issue. Yes, of course. You are.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think that is the issue. It starts out by -- the description starts out saying, right on the first page, "This is a historic district."

CHAIRMAN TORRE: But there's also -there's also ways for you to help mitigate that. You could maybe --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: 927 Valencia Avenue was designated as a local historic landmark based on its historical, cultural, and architectural significance. And all of a sudden, we're sticking a white box in the middle of something which is not historically, culturally or architecturally significant.

MS. SPAIN: You actually have -- you absolutely have the purview to be concerned about adjacent property. That's why it's before you.

This did not have to go through the lot separation because it was not encumbered as a separate building site, so it is a separate
building site. But because the whole property was designated with 927, it's before you, and what you should be looking at is how it impacts the historic property adjacent to it.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And if I understand correctly, the other one is next.

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: So maybe we should take the next one first.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Well --
MR. EHRENHAFT: And I have another observation, which is perhaps structural, but I went by the property and looked carefully at things, and I see -- if I'm not misreading things -- because this is Lot 20. So, Lot 19 and 18 and 17, to the right, have the historic home.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Yes, correct.
MR. EHRENHAFT: The tree, the vegetation things are mislabeled. If I understand things correctly from what $I$ saw on-site today, what is number -- labeled as Number 29 on the vegetation landscape --

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Are we discussing the large oak tree?

MR. EHRENHAFT: The large oak is where 29 is, and you have it labeled as 1-I.

I also think that the dimensions of that oak are much larger than what is described, because they're saying the trunk is 18 inches. It's much bigger than this.

This is a very old oak. It looks to me like it's 80 to 90 years old, and it's certainly much higher than 20,20 feet. And that tree --

MS. SPAIN: Have you had --
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: We had the landscape surveyor go in. It was --

MS. SPAIN: Was it Dan Miller or Bob Boberman?

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: I'll have to check my drawings here to see --

MR. EHRENHAFT: You will be with -- the roots of that tree are going to be within 2 or 3 or 4 feet of --

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: How many feet?
MR. EHRENHAFT: -- the foundation. It's going to be very close to the foundation.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: No. Well, yeah. Well, based on -- we had the landscape surveyor
actually go in a couple times to make sure that tree was marked exactly where it's marked and where it is.

MS. SPAIN: Did you, did you -- is that -when you talked --

MR. EHRENHAFT: But it's mislabeled on the drawings.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: We went ourselves.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Yeah. We went ourselves and we measured that, both of us. We went to the actual site while we got the measurement that we actually did. From the exiting residence, we double-checked from the historic part of the existing residence.

MR. FULLERTON: It's not here. It's not on this plan.

MR. EHRENHAFT: It's not on the plan.
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: It's not on that plan. It's about -- if I'm not mistaken, it should be 8 feet, 9 feet from -- for that plan.

MR. PARSLEY: I'm having trouble finding where that tree is on the plan.

MR. EHRENHAFT: It's labeled 29. It's a little bit in front of -- so it's going to be
very close to the foundation.
MR. FULLERTON: You can see it on this package. You can see it. It's a huge, huge tree.

MR. PARSLEY: Yeah.
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: We had a similar situation on a house that we developed on Almalfi Avenue, where we were exactly 5 feet from that residence with monolithic coating, and the solution to that was -- and that would be a live oak with a, I want to say a 42-inch diameter.

And we did -- we were exactly 5 feet from it. And this was a discussion with --

MR. EHRENHAFT: Because you certainly don't want to be doing radical root pruning that's going to kill the tree.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: We're actually further from this tree than we are on that one. That one's right there because we had no choice. On this one we made a conscious effort to actually construct further from it.

MS. SPAIN: Can I ask you whether you had the city horticulturist go out and look at this tree?

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: I don't believe that he actually went out to look at the tree, but $I$ know that they did look at the plans before --

MS. SPAIN: Either Brook (phonetic) or Bob Boberman, one of those two people.

MR. SILVA: So, I think they only go out if you're calling for a tree removal and --

MS. SPAIN: Right. I know they now have to do a landscape --

MR. SILVA: This is kind of unusual --
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: They'll go out there for this one, too. They'll go out for --

MS. SPAIN: They need to do a tree survey before a new residence is --

MR. EHRENHAFT: It's literally on the property line where the wall, where the column of the wall of the historic house stops. And if you look straight, straight down the line of the property, part of the trunk is on the historic side and part of the trunk is on the new lot.

MS. THOMSON: You know, some years ago, when I was a young girl growing up in the Gables, there was a developer that built houses
on back yards. He bought, like, huge properties and built houses in the back yard, with the old house in the front yard or whatever it was. And they just never looked quite right. It just always looked like there was a big house put on a backyard lot. But that's my concern on this.

I think I would like to see -- sort of what you were saying. I would like to see a little more character on the house, going into a historic area.

MR. FULLERTON: I think at a minimum the two-story part of this house should be flipped over to the other side, so that it gives room to the historic house on the -- to the west.

MS. THOMSON: Yeah.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: I would like to point out --

MR. FULLERTON: You're inclined to put the parking area not against the house, but --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I doubt that the color is white. The pavers are the same pavers that you see in these big box houses, and the design is exactly like the big box houses look like.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Do you know the
setback of the houses, those big box houses that you're speaking of?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: They're -- they're all to code. They're 25 feet, 25 feet.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: No, but -because our house, the larger part is a good 30 feet.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, but they're all the same. The carport is 25 feet in the setback from the house.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: So it's not a --
MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's the 1400 block of Sorolla Avenue. There are two on the same block that were just built in the last year, and they've not been sold, because no one wants to buy them.

So, you could go by there and see what I'm talking about.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Where did you see the 18 inches? What did you say --

MR. EHRENHAFT: On your tree --
CHAIRMAN TORRE: This is on the other survey, for the other house.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Go to -- the other house has it.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: That's what Alex is showing.

MR. SILVA: Yeah. I think --
CHAIRMAN TORRE: This is for the adjacent.
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: This one I don't think has it, because it's not on our property.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Well, it's in the packet. I'm sorry. It's in the package that was set up for 011. It's -- it's on the line between Lot 20 and Lot 19.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: I know which one -- if I'm not mistaken, we have it at 72 inches diameter.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Let's stop for a second. The issue with the tree is really going to revolve around the horticulturist, and you're going to -- you're going to really have to prove that there is a way to do this. And I'm telling you from experience, that's a whole different challenge you'll be facing.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: We know.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: I don't think -- yes. I don't think it's in our task here to say whether that tree, you know, it can be built or the house can't be built.

If it's infringing on the house historically, or we don't think it should be from an esthetic -- but $I$ think he's going to have his own challenge on that level.

I think more so is the challenge that Mr. Rodriguez is posing, that is there enough character to this house to make it historically appropriate to be in that street, in that neighborhood, and not feel like it's another spec home being built.

MR. EHRENHAFT: I agree. The only reason that I brought up the tree was because, since it was omitted from the drawing from what I could observe, an arborist might give a thumbs down on getting that close to the tree.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah. And they don't see --

MR. EHRENHAFT: And they can't be removed.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah. Well, he will be asked for pre-mitigation, and that will be interesting.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Yeah.
MR. FULLERTON: Dona, at some point in the distant past, we used to ask for or demand contextural information.

MS. SPAIN: I was just asking Elizabeth whether --

MR. FULLERTON: I don't see --
MS. SPAIN: -- when it went to the Board of Architects they had -- because in years gone by, at the Board of Architects, if there was a new residence they did adjacent properties, and then the properties across the street, to get the context.

MR. FULLERTON: Yeah. Especially in a situation where we have a historic home.

MS. SPAIN: No, I agree with you.
MR. FULLERTON: And the scale of that home is dependent on the space around it.

MS. SPAIN: I agree with you.
MR. FULLERTON: And now we're putting in a massive -- it's not a huge house, but, I mean, it's massive for its site and its location. And I think we need to be a little more careful and look --

MS. SPAIN: I agree with you. And it's easily done, even if it's just photographs.

MR. FULLERTON: Yeah, yeah. I think we should have this house visualized in context with the next-door neighbor.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: We do have that.
MS. THOMSON: That's what they've done for other ones.

MS. SPAIN: Did you submit them with the packet?

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: They should have been submitted with the package if they weren't.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: With the next house --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think --
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Did we submit it for one house or both or -- I'm not sure.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think a lot of this goes with the design of --

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: But would the Board -- oh, I'm sorry. I didn't mean to --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I didn't mean to interrupt you.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: I was just stating that when we submit it to the Board of Architects, they do look at the adjacent properties, because that's part of the submittal package.

MR. FULLERTON: We then don't have it.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: I don't remember --

MS. SPAIN: That's an issue. And we didn't catch it either.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think, if I remember correctly, the adjacent house faces Granada.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: No. Granada.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: No.
MR. FULLERTON: Valencia.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Valencia. No, it's Valencia. But the side street, the one next -MS. KAUTZ: The house to the west faces Granada.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, that's what I thought. The house to the west faces Granada. It's a very beautiful old house. So they put a white box right behind it.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think it goes to the style of this house, whether it's Mediterranean enough or has enough -- you know, the hip roof doesn't help it, that's for one, because of the squareness of the house.

MS. THOMSON: It's Prairie style.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: And then you put the hip roof on it, it completely loses any kind of

Mediterranean --
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: It was a Prairie style inspiration.

MS. THOMSON: It's Prairie style, so it's going to have a hip roof.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: So it becomes non-descriptive as to what it really is. So it's just -- it's not creating character of --

MR. FULLERTON: I also think that a 50-foot, two-story wall against the historic home is absolutely wrong. If it was flipped over, at least that one-story thing would be 30 or 40 feet.

MR. SILVA: It's interesting that these two are coming together, because the proposal for that, for the historic house, has some pretty radical alterations. So I don't know if we should look at that one first --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: We should maybe consider --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, that's why I thought we should look at that one first.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah. Let's ask the staff.

Dona, has any -- has it ever been where
we've flipped these during the course -- we can do that, and make -- just kind of stall this one a little bit? Can we do that? Can we just stop this deliberation on this one and move to the next one? Is that appropriate? You can't do that?

MS. SPAIN: I think you would -- our attorney isn't here.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: It's the same owner, and it's the same -- same owner, right?

MS. SPAIN: I would believe you would have to continue this case.

Are you saying after you look at the other one, you can come back to it?

CHAIRMAN TORRE: That's the thought, because --

MR. SILVA: Yeah, because the whole point of reviewing this house is --

MS. SPAIN: I understand.
MR. SILVA: -- how it fits in with the historic context of the existing house.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: There she is, right there.

MR. SILVA: And if they want us to -- if they're going to substantially revise the
existing historic house, that's going to --
MS. SPAIN: Yes, I know you can do that.
MS. RAMOS: As long as they have no objection, of course --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: It's the same owner. Just have two houses being proposed, one before the other. We can kind of stall the first one for a little bit?

MS. SPAIN: That's fine.
MS. RAMOS: Of course. Just make the record clear that you're moving on.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: And then can we come back and continue?

MS. RAMOS: Sure, of course.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is that okay with you?
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Of course.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. All right. So this is going to go on halt.


CASE COA (SP) 2017-012, 927 VALENCIA AVENUE

CHAIRMAN TORRE: The next item is Case -is Number 3 on the agenda, and it's Case File COA (SP) 2017-012. The application is for the issuance of a Special Certificate of

Appropriateness for the property at 927
Valencia Avenue, a Local Historic Landmark, legally described as Lots 17, 18, 19, of Block 9, Coral Gables, Section "A," according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 5 at Page 102 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County. This application requests design approval for additions and alterations to the residence and sitework.

MS. GUIN: All right. So the location, once again, which we're all aware of. And this is a 1940s photo of the historic home, which has had numerous additions.

This proposal is to remove all of those additions and to build a two-story addition, in addition to a new two-story, two-car garage, to convert the detached garage that's on the property to a Florida room, which will be connected by a colonnade, the construction of a covered terrace to the rear of the property, as well as interior alterations and sitework.

I'll let the architect come up and present this project, and then we can discuss.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: Is the
recommendation to be approved or --

MS. GUIN: It is, with conditions.
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: So this is the historic residence. The three -- you see a niche there, a niche wall. They're the three windows that were actually converted into windows over time. We're proposing to just completely replace it, because at one point that was a -- just a porch area.

This is the sheet that $I$ was talking about that typically shows all the neighboring properties.

MS. THOMSON: It's too many pictures.
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: So this is the existing historic residence. Our proposal is to, is to demolish the left wing of the house -- which was added in 1954, if I'm not mistaken -- and the right side of the house, basically bring it back to its, or as close as possible to its original form from the 1920s, as they added over time to that area.

On the rear of the property they also -previous owners had added a storage room, which we are also proposing to eliminate and open up the rear of the historic home closer to what it used to be. Similar with the detached garage.

We're also eliminating a portion of the garage that was -- of the original garage, and converting the existing garage to a Florida room.

Where the garage door is, we propose putting in a door within the frame of the original door of the garage, and basically bring it back as close as possible to original form, with the exception of converting it to a Florida room versus the garage that it used to be.

This is the existing residence as is.
This is the roof.
So this here is the site plan to the residence. We are proposing a walkway on the left side of the house toward the new entrance of the house. A driveway at the entrance of the house. A path, a path leading toward the rear of the property through a breezeway that we created connecting the garage to the historic residence.

The purpose of detaching the garage is to respect the historic home, as well as pushing everything further back, so as to respect the home.

We treated the left side of the property, which is the new side, the new two-story addition, with similar respect, having a gradual increase in size of home so that the two-story residence is further back.

We also soften the elevations with balconies and -- balconies and a front porch.

A lot of dimensions here, but this is the first floor plan of the residence, and the second floor plan of the residence here, the second floor plan is pushed back in the center, closest -- the part closest to the existing residence, it's pushed back.

We had one minor comment from the Board of Architects, which is, in order to respect the roof line for the rear of the property up there, we actually shifted the house back 8 inches, so that it is a true division on the elevation for that.

This is an elevation we did showing the adjacent properties. The house, the existing residence on the left. The proposed new residence -- I'm sorry. The existing -- an existing residence on the left of the historic home. Our proposal on the -- on the right.

Reverse, yes.
On the left -- on the left is our proposal. On the right is the existing residence, and on the center is of course our addition, our addition to the existing home.

I believe this is also part of your packet. It should be. Sheet 3.0 -- it should be 00 or 01 .

MR. EHRENHAFT: 01 is floor plans. A --
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: 3.01.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Oh, three point.
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Is it in there? I'm not sure if $I$ put it in part of the package or not. It might have been requested after, SO --

BOARD MEMBER: No, it's not --
MR. EHRENHAFT: 3.01 has only, only the main house. It does not have the other --

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Right.
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: This was requested after, so I proposed it after. I'm sorry.

MR. FULLERTON: That would have been helpful.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Well, this is the
three existing properties.
This is a comparison of the existing residence to the new. As you can see, we are demolishing the left portion of the property, and we're doing our two-story addition there.

This is a site elevation from the -- let me see what it says. It says this is on the east side of the residence. One of the reasons that -- let me see if $I$ can see it here. This is the other side of the residence.

Oh, this is the east side of the residence. And here you see -- this is that line that $I$ was talking about, the vision line, if you can follow the red marker here, going up. I know you can't see it on the screen, but you see a line on the second floor? That's one of the reasons that we actually pushed back the residence 8 more inches, was to create that actual division in the roof line.

This is the --
MR. RODRIGUEZ: This is all that remains of the house.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Yeah, this is the west -- yeah, this is the west side of the residence, looking toward the new property.

This is a typical section, or a section through the house.

Any questions?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Can you go back to the elevation that we don't have in the packet?

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: Front elevation --

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yeah.
MR. PARSLEY: Before (inaudible) gets into the architecture, let's talk about the landscaping, to the point $I$ mentioned earlier about the oak tree. You're going to have to go to the City and have all the trees looked at.

They have a tree removal ordinance now. You have to mitigate for all these trees being removed. And they're similarly (inaudible) very closely at that oak tree that's between the two properties.

It's really not just about how close you are with the construction, the footing, it's also about the vertical element, and will enough of that tree survive.

I don't know if you're familiar with the townhouses on Valencia that they put in. They went to the special masters, and they basically
lost two-thirds of a unit, to make way for not only the tree and the root system, but some major branches coming out.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: You're talking about the 718 Valencia, the corner property?

MR. PARSLEY: No. Almeria, the townhouses there. It hasn't been built yet. It's flagged out if you want to --

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Okay.
MR. PARSLEY: But the whole project went to the special master, and there were some major revisions to the site plans, not just for the horizontal ground plan of the tree -- but because oak trees do this, and they recognized they do this, they made the architect draw an elevation of that tree on that property line next to those buildings. And he lost a good portion of a building to give that room some tree. So you've really got several issues here.

And then the third issue, I would say that these are not landscape plans, only because they don't address the zoning and the tree mitigation. But putting 53 coco palms randomly around the foundation does not make a landscape plan.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: It's just --
MR. PARSLEY: Why don't we have that when this comes back, which I have a feeling that it's going to.

MR. FULLERTON: Yeah. If you look at this photograph of that tree, it spreads out quite a lot.

MR. PARSLEY: They tend to do that.
MR. FULLERTON: And it appears, on your landscape plan for the historic home, it appears to be very close to the -- to the house, if that's the same tree, which I assume it is.

I'm not so sure it's located properly, but say that it is, $I$ don't know if a two-story addition is not going to, you know, interrupt those upper branches.

MR. PARSLEY: You've got to take a vertical --

MR. FULLERTON: And then five feet on the other side of the property line, you're putting another two-story structure. So I think that tree is going to lose its character.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Yeah.

MR. PARSLEY: Maybe a survey of that tree, the trunk, the root crown and the major elements as they went out, the major -- and you're going to need to do that to show how it fits in between those two two-story houses.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Let's try to get the architectural part done, and then they're going to have to figure that out, I guess.

Do we have -- Dona, do we have to consider anything about the constructability when it comes to that tree? If this can't happen, I mean, do we --

MR. PARSLEY: It goes to character of the neighborhood.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah. I mean --
MS. SPAIN: You know, I honestly think that if there's a problem with that tree, they're going to be back here, because they won't be able to build that house. And -- and I think what happened was, because it was drawn, the tree survey was drawn on the property with a historic home, that it wasn't caught at the Board of Architects, because it, you know, didn't show up on the plans.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: But they have the choice
to move the tree to the back yard possibly, and that's something that we can't put ahead of the design --

MS. SPAIN: There may be a way for them to do it.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: To mitigate for that tree. Yeah, I mean, that's something I think -- I don't know if there's enough room to move the tree.

MS. SPAIN: I can just tell you that the tree is going to be looked at by the City, and if they're not able to move it, and they're not able to build it there, then they'll have to come back to this Board.

So I don't really think that the situation with the tree is necessarily before you, because I think it will be solved one way or the other.

I certainly will call tomorrow the horticulturist for the City, so we all can go out there and look at it and see if there is an issue.

MR. PARSLEY: Do you know which tree I'm talking about on Almeria?

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: Yes.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes.
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: No, no --
MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. PARSLEY: I mean, they, they -- not just a little dot on a piece of paper.

MS. SPAIN: I understand.
MR. PARSLEY: You need to physically construct that tree in three dimensions.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Let's talk about -- first of all, is it okay to take down that piece of structure on the left? That's number one. And two, it says the addition overpower -- I mean, we've let other big sites have additions that are two-story in the past, so I think this, it's about whether it sits back or it overpowers.

I'm more into the details. I think the devil of this project is in the details. If they do a good job with the details, it will work. If they don't do -- I mean, if it's paid attention to, this could look like a nice house. Railings, windows, setback, raised muntins, correct barrel tile, that house could look okay. If you really try to not do that and cut corners, the house could just look like
some, you know, major addition to a house.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: If I look at Page A-3.01, all that's left of the original house is this facade in the center.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Which elevation are we talking about?

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: That's the --
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Which is the historic home.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And so that's all that's left. Everything else is new.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: That is the historic home, yes.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: So if you look at, if you look at that drawing with the house next door, that completely changes the character of that street.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Raul, let me -- let me tell you a little something of what some things, and maybe Bruce as well.

A lot of these large, large lots have these additions that are somewhat large. And there is a counter-balance as to us allowing houses to be -- or, not allowing -- promoting houses to be historically designated, and at
the same time not allowing people to take the advantage of building a large house. It goes against people who would otherwise go about doing projects.

So there's a little bit of a balance -MR. RODRIGUEZ: I understand that. CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: But look at that. That changes totally the character of that street. CHAIRMAN TORRE: Right.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I mean, I think the devil is in the detail, as you were saying.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Exactly.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Depending on what the finishes are going to be. But if you look at these finishes they're providing, they're the same finishes for the house next door.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Absolutely. But I was talking about the size and the issue of whether a house becomes a little too large. Agreed. But some of these large, large lots are so large that at some point they start to get into that decision-making. But I think the devil is in the details on these -- at least here, too.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: These details do not --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: No.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: They don't do it any justice.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: That's why I said, I think if you can go with a real barrel tile, I think it would go with really nice windows. I think if you get a nice front door, if you've got a nice profile for the --

MR. EHRENHAFT: Appropriate railings (inaudible) --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think at that point the house will look really, really okay. If you don't, then it looks like a massive addition to a house in a historic district.

MR. FULLERTON: I think the next one we're going to look at -- again, I come back to the two-story, 50-foot wall against that tree, against this new addition, I think that's not a good neighbor situation. If you flipped it over, you might even make room for your tree -MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: Right.

MR. FULLERTON: -- with a one-story carport.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah, carport --
MR. FULLERTON: So maybe --

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: We actually at one point had -- it's a conversation back and forth between us at Historic, for exactly that reason.

MR. FULLERTON: I know you've said that you were trying to be sensitive to your neighbor by putting the garage on the other side. But the other side has a house against it, too, so you --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Raul, since you most concern, what would you recommend as a solution, or what can we do to help better this, or what should they try to do so that you could feel comfortable?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm not prepared with this plan.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: The plan may not -you're not happy with the plan?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I would like to see something that allows the facade, the new facade, to be more in keeping with the character of that street. I'm particularly concerned about the house next door.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Well, we're going to come back to that house. But let's take this one
first, if we can.
MS. THOMSON: Not yet.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: We can play with the next one --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: These details do not --
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Right. But that's what I'm saying. If you have any issues that pertain to that, how do we address those, particularly? I think the roof tile can be --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, beginning with the roof tile. The door is -- the door is not, you know, an old Mediterranean style door. The white paint again goes back to the, you know, the white boxes next door. And it's hard to tell from these drawings what those architectural feature are going to look like.

MR. FULLERTON: We have a really unusual situation here. We never have this. I don't think we've ever had one, one -- one owner, one architect coming to us with two side-by-side homes, where they are very sensitive or should be sensitive to each other, and they have the opportunity to make them sensitive. And I think they're not there yet.

I think we need to look more at the scale
of the two together. You showed us a drawing of them together, but unfortunately, we don't have that in front of us.

I think you have an opportunity to do something pretty nice with these two homes side by side if you just look at them together as an ensemble design.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Well, in defense of that, this was -- every time it was presented to the Board of Architects, they were presented together. They saw -- they did see the tree, and they brought up some concerns. But they understand that it has been done and it can be done, and if there is an issue, they understand that we'll be seeing them and you guys again.

So that's, that's -- that's a zoning process, and what can actually be done once we get to that stage. And they actually did see the one project with the other, and we did revise it significantly.

As a matter of fact, in the last presentation for the historic home, they actually -- everyone was very, very happy with the final solution, the way we treated the
sensitivity of the existing homes, of the historic home and the historic -- and the addition to the historic home. They liked the proportions of it, they liked the way that we did the massing, and the way that we pushed it back.

The details, as you said, will be more -will become more intricate as the process continues.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: So I think saying that we want to have something more sensitive, it's pretty broad, and I think that becomes hard for them to come back and say, "Okay, what does that mean?"

I think the tree issue has to be dealt with. I think that's -- that's beside the point.

But if you look at the site plan, the addition is set back a lot. I mean, it's really set back quite a bit, and it starts by having a small, small piece that then later on has the mid-section way back.

I don't think that if you look at the roof plan, it's not that insensitive as a design, from a Mediterranean standpoint. I don't have
a major problem with that piece. And it is quite -- in other words, the smaller house is actually --

MR. SILVA: Is as proud as it can be.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: It comes forward pretty -- pretty much.

So I don't -- I don't know that that is as insensitive as it may be perceived when you look at the elevation.

MR. FULLERTON: I think -- what I was referring to is the other house more --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: But $I$ think we'll have a chance to get that one.

So, I'm not really that bothered by this. If the details came out right, and if the barrel tile really came over the edge, and I think if the railing was done nicely, and you had the nice profiles on the outriggers of the beams, this is, this is not -- this is not as bad as I think we're taking it.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: I would like to add that we actually went three times to the Board of Architects. We listened to them. They were extremely happy. Dona, my son, and myself met on various occasions.

MS. SPAIN: This was multiple, multiple meetings with them.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: It's not like we come here and want it approved --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think that -- and the main door where it sits --

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: (Inaudible). We did our due diligence on this, on both houses.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: But I think, to your point, Raul, if the door is not done right, and if they don't have the right finishes, it's going to look sloppy.

So I don't know. How do we get, Dona, to get that to be -- if there's not enough time.

MS. SPAIN: I think that in your motion you can list those finishes that you want. You want true barrel tile, you want whatever it is, and you make sure that that's included, so that when it comes to us -- or you ask them to come back, and say, you know, "Deferred," and have it come back with the proper finishes, you know?

You have the ability to do that. I mean, it's another month for them, and they've been going at this for quite some time, but that
certainly is within your purview, to defer it until you're comfortable with it.

It's a major historic home, you know?
MR. SILVA: I tend to agree with Venny. I think the two-story addition is pushed, actually, very far back, as well as the garage, as far back as it can be pushed and still engage in a meaningful way with the original house.

I think our biggest -- for me what we should be looking at is, is it okay to demolish that later addition to the house? And I think there's arguments to be made that, yes, it's acceptable as a later addition.

I don't think you have any issues with that.

MS. SPAIN: We don't have a problem with that at all.

MR. SILVA: My biggest issue is actually the balconies that you've got on the --

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: The what? I'm sorry?

MR. SILVA: The balconies that you have on the second floor. Just the way that they're sitting out there cantilevered, I think it will
be better if they were balconettes. If they were, you know, 18 inches off, it may cause an issue with the impact-resistant doors.

But to me that is really the only -that's the detail that jumps out at me as being kind of not authentic, because there's these thin things that come out. They're not covered. It's not really what we're looking for there.

MS. THOMSON: What jumps out at me is there is no repetition of any kind of arch, or some kind of un-square form on the house. I would just like to see something repeated in there.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Yeah, that's one of the points. That's one of the points that we actually had, to not have any arches.

In one of the proposals we had arches and (inaudible). I don't really advance the concept just because it was similar -- it felt as if it were a continuation of -- you know, you're replicating conditions from the historic home, and that's not something that they would want in an addition to a historic property. They want it to feel unique while also
respecting the historic home.
The least amount of actual copy/paste type elements were repetition, but better for any additional property, so long as it respects a scale of massing.

MS. THOMSON: Right. I get you, but what I'm looking at, and I'm looking at the four sides of this house, the only place that you have arches is in that -- is the front elevation from the old house that was there. And I'm looking at this new side west elevation, for instance, just the side elevation, where you have those -- is it like a French door on the second story with a balcony. Maybe that whole thing could have been arched over, just in that one little suggestion of it. But that's what -- I'm looking at a house that's kind of being made into a square.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Well, we have more -- it's more of a -- the conditions that we have, the typical pieces that we have on the new addition house is more square-ish, colonnaded.

I understand where you're coming from, too.

MS. THOMSON: I get it.
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Believe me, I understand both arguments.

MS. THOMSON: That's -- that's just my input.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Yeah.
MR. EHRENHAFT: So you're suggesting that the Board of Architects said that you could not put arches in --

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: It's never, it's never -- the Board never says "can't" or "won't." They say "we suggest," or "we think," or -- and the discussion had for arches on the addition to the property was that it should not, as it would feel as if it were a, you know, copy/paste condition from the existing historic home.
(Inaudible simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. Let's, let's -- let's get this moving.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: I do understand.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Who hasn't -- Alicia, would you like to speak up? You've been very quiet.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: I agree with what Alex
said about, you know, the front stepping back far enough from the street. I think -- I think I'm fine with that. I think it's just right now the issue of the other property and how it's relating to that addition.

So, I mean, are we jumping to the other one, or still --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I -- I was thinking we could approve this one and then the other one is the one that we can't do. Make sure we're malleable -- it's a new house. It could be anything in the world. We adjust to match.

And this one, I think I'm okay with most of this. I think I can make -- just make some fine-tuning to this and be okay with it. So -MR. EHRENHAFT: With respect to the finish, Venny, if you look at $A-301$, okay? CHAIRMAN TORRE: Um-hmm.

MR. EHRENHAFT: So if you look at the balcony, there is, on the left-hand facade, a second story.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes.
MR. EHRENHAFT: So that could not be arched, to give some reference to the other?

Because that would violate a -- some sort of a
tenet.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: You're talking about the double-door --

MR. EHRENHAFT: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- behind the --
MR. EHRENHAFT: Second floor. For example --

MR. FULLERTON: And that one little window down below looks a little --

MS. SPAIN: There's nothing wrong with them having arches in the addition. The issue, I believe, is when they first -- their first design that they took to the Board of Architects repeated the arch on the entire facade, so the Board of Architects said no, keep the arches on the historic portion of it and make those simplified. But there is no reason that elsewhere in the house -CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah, but the thing is that the width of those openings just don't work for an arch.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Yeah, that's probably true. The balcony is the double, double arch. CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah. So I don't know at this point --

MR. EHRENHAFT: Yeah. I understand.
MR. SILVA: I think it makes sense to
keep -- I mean, if you look at the facade, you see arches, okay? And you identify the arches with the original building. And it kind of serves to -- to really differentiate. I think it's --

MR. EHRENHAFT: That's true.
MS. SPAIN: I'm fine with that.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. So what -- can we scrap this then? I think to make Raul happy, we're not going to -- this doesn't apply. That's Number 1 .

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I would be happy with scrapping that.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. That doesn't apply.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: But when do we get to see, or do we delegate it to the staff?

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Well, that's something up to us, and we can decide. And we can say some of these things we would definitely be wanting and some of them Dona can take care of. For example --

MS. SPAIN: You can ask them to come back also.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I've made a bunch of comments all the time, because sometimes they just come back and they're awful, and sometimes Dona --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, see, that's what I'm thinking. Look at this railing.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: No, I would never -exactly. And that's why I said this doesn't make any sense. But Dona, I think, does a good job of making sure that doesn't happen. And the same thing with, you know, the keystones and things that go into it.

I think here it's the railings, it's going to be the windows, it's going to be the roof tile.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And the front door.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: And the front door, yeah.
MS. SPAIN: Maybe you should just say what it doesn't --
(Inaudible simultaneous speaking.)
MR. RODRIGUEZ: I mean, I don't mind delegating to the staff to make these decisions.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah, we've done that.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: But I think our motion is to enumerate the issues that we have with these particular --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Absolutely. So why don't you make the motion today?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I'll move to approve the plans as proposed, with the exception that --

MS. KAUTZ: The staff conditions.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Oh, I'm sorry. They're here.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes, staff conditions.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah. There's three. Roof tile was one of them.

MS. KAUTZ: There's more.
BOARD MEMBER: Right.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Raised muntins.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Oh, there's more?
MS. GUIN: We wanted to add to the conditions that the original stucco remain, that that be a condition for the historic house and that the railings -- that the railing -they're proposing aluminum replacement -- that we keep the original railing on that.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: And maybe match the rest, and the rest of the house kind of match what was there originally? That could look really nice.

MS. GUIN: We wanted to add those to what's in our report.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: So just incorporate your conditions into the motion?

MS. GUIN: Yes. And then add to them.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Then the motion is to approve the plans subject to the conditions already identified by the staff, in Items 1 through 5 of an addition, and specifically that the railings, we'll leave to the staff to determine appropriate railings, doors, and roof tiles.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: And stucco. And the stucco --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Oh, and the stucco. That's already enumerated in their conditions. CHAIRMAN TORRE: Oh, I'm sorry.

MR. SILVA: Second.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Do you want to add rafter tails as well?

MR. SILVA: To look at the balconies as well.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And the staff also should look at the balconies and see if they can be -recessed? Is that --

MR. SILVA: Just shorter.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Shorter?
MR. FULLERTON: Or bracketed.
MR. PARSLEY: Can we put in a landscape review, Dona, for you, not just Brook? What I'm worried about is what landscape says is going to start changing the rest of this.

MS. KAUTZ: If it changes significantly --
MR. PARSLEY: If you all are going to review all these other things --

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. PARSLEY: -- I would like to add, review with Brook and Bob the tree removals --

MS. SPAIN: Absolutely.
MR. PARSLEY: -- and the tree preservation aspects.

MS. SPAIN: Absolutely. And if the review of that tree changes something, they're coming back.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Motion is amended.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: You're accepting the amendment by Mr. Parsley?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I do accept the amendment.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay.
I think everybody understands the motion, yes?

MS. BACHE-WIIG: I can second it.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is there a second? There's a second.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: From Alicia.
MR. FULLERTON: Alicia.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Call the roll.
MS. DIAZ: Yes, sir. Ms. Thomson?
MS. THOMSON: Yes. I'm sorry. I didn't hear you.

MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Parsley?
MR. PARSLEY: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Silva?
MR. SILVA: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes.
We're going to take a five-minute break. (Thereupon, a break was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)

CASE FILE COA (SP) 2017-011 9XX VALENCIA
****************************************************
CHAIRMAN TORRE: We're going to go backwards to go forward, so we're going to go back to 9XX Valencia, which is Item 2, or Case File COA (SP) 2017-011.

John, do you want to bring up -- I think your suggestion about flipping the house may not be a bad start, because I think that it may even be required for this tree to actually work.

MR. FULLERTON: Well, you would have to do some other modifications to make it work if it's in the same location (inaudible), because the two-story area is where we're guessing the tree is. So you may have to do some
modifications to push the carport back or the one-story element back somewhat. But I think it's doable, and maybe it would be a little nicer.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: I think if we do flip the house, we can actually turn some of the spaces back, you know, move some of the walls back 2, 3, 4 feet, whatever the City requires or thinks is necessary.

That would be a simple and good solution. And that way, once we flip it, you also have the one-story side of the carport.

MR. FULLERTON: Against the new house -the old house.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: (Inaudible).
MR. FULLERTON: I think if you're amenable to a solution similar to that, $I$ think that might make it a little easier for us to, you know, get ahold of -- I don't know how much --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Well, the other question is, do we still want to go with flat tile, or do you think by putting barrel tile, that it fits better in the neighborhood? Is that something that takes away that monotony of these blocky houses? It's a question. It's
not a suggestion.
MR. FULLERTON: I personally don't mind the flat.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: The flat?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: I don't -- I have a problem with the whole concept, so don't, don't -- count me out.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: I am on the big box houses should not be built in our historic neighborhoods. That's all.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: Yeah, but I'm sorry, and $I$ keep hearing box and box and, you know (inaudible.) --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Just go in the 1400 block of Sorolla. There are two of them the same. They were just built recently. That's what I'm talking about.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: I will go.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: You can also go on
Madeira. There are two on Madeira just west of the LeJeune Road. Those, that's what I'm calling big boxes.

You go to Coconut Grove, you see them everywhere. And now they're creeping into the

Gables, in an area that's historically -- it's a historic district. It has a certain character. And those houses have no character.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: Um-hmm. I understand, but actually, we have three notches. It's actually two different levels, and I don't see the actual box --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: The two that I'm talking about, they're all -- they're cookie cutters. The same. I've been in them when they're open houses. They're exactly the same design. Same floor plan. Same design. Everything.

MR. FULLERTON: Raul, what was this block on Sorolla?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's either the 14th -Sorolla is a long block between --

MR. FULLERTON: Valencia and --
MR. RODRIGUEZ: No. It's Madrid, between Madrid and --

MS. SPAIN: 1400 .
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Close to the lighthouse. All the way to -- all the way to 8th Street. It's the next block over, the next block west of Madrid on Sorolla.

MS. THOMSON: The water tower?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: What's the street by the water tower that goes -- a long street?

MR. FULLERTON: Ferdinand.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Ferdinand. It's Sorolla, between Ferdinand and Madrid. And if I'm wrong, then it's Milan. But I'm pretty sure it's Sorolla. They're two brand-new houses. Sorolla.

MS. SPAIN: Sorolla. I've been going along the street. I don't think I've ever driven down that street.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Because I walk my dog by there, and I saw those houses go up. And I -I've been inside the house. I've seen the designs. And they're exactly what you're presenting here.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: Well, I don't know about it exactly, because first of all, I don't know the house.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, I've been in those houses. I've seen -- the layout is almost identical to this, and the structure on the outside is identical to this. And your color scheme and your doors, everything is identical to those except for the barrel tile, the "S" tile.

It's been written up. There have been editorials in the Miami Herald. There have been -- articles have been written about this. And these big box houses put on small lots, they're propagating everywhere in the Grove. And now they're moving into Coral Gables, in South Gables. And so don't count on my vote.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: No, I --
MS. THOMSON: Don't call his name.
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: I can guarantee I haven't been by those houses. We definitely didn't copy those houses --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm not saying you copied them. I'm saying it's the same concept.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: Right. Well --
MR. RODRIGUEZ: And the same color scheme, the same doors, the same mission-style doors, same mission-style windows, the same pavers.

MR. FULLERTON: Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes.
MR. FULLERTON: If we deny this, can they come back next meeting, or do we have to defer it if we're -- if we're inclined to ask for a change?

MS. RAMOS: What I would suggest is that you give him some guidance, and then defer it and have it come back, rather than vote and then revote. Because they do have to have a person that voted in favor reopen it.

I don't think that that, you know, makes sense if we intend on hearing it next month.

MR. PARSLEY: I think -- I think you've got to look at the tree, the tree mitigation. You've got the same issues there.

I'm in favor of giving them some directions. And you all sort of withdraw and come back to fight another day.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: Right.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: My suggestion would be, try to fit more within the neighborhood, into more of a Mediterranean feel than this, which doesn't have, to me, a defined style. It's -it's okay, but doesn't go each way. It just sits there in the middle.

I think I would go to the -- I'm looking at the street on Google Maps, and everything there is -- it's a little bit more Mediterranean style. So I would go with the barrel tile, I would go with the regular
casement windows, and $I$ would just go back to something a little bit more in style with what's there.

MS. SPAIN: And they should also come back with either a context drawing or photographs of all the homes?

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah, of three or four houses.

MS. SPAIN: So that you'll have a better idea.

MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: I have the photographs on my --

MS. SPAIN: I understand, but it needs to be part of a submittal, so they have it in advance.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: It feels too much like a spec house, if that makes sense to you. It could maybe go a little bit more matching with what's on the street and not --

MR. SILVA: Are we asking them to flip it as well? We should decide that.

MR. PARSLEY: I think if they actually drew a landscape plan, and they have landscape reviews, some of this will be self apparent.

MR. EHRENHAFT: That should solve that,
because I think the city arborist needs to look at that tree. Because if it's squeezed right between the foundations of two houses, with no room to breathe, they may have some suggestions, but --

MS. SPAIN: We'll take care of that. We'll take care of that.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: So how about a deferral, and that way you guys can look into the tree and --

MS. THOMSON: And the uniqueness.
MR. EHRENHAFT: I personally, from an esthetic point of view because of the historic significance of that house next door, would have been much more happy if the facade, the designs of this new house on the empty lot was more consonant with what the two-story addition to the --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: That's what -- that's what I said in --

MR. EHRENHAFT: You know, the hipped roofs don't work for me.

MS. THOMSON: Thank you.
MR. EHRENHAFT: And if the entirety of this project felt more like the addition that's
going on in the historic home, I think it would be -- go a long way to being sensitive infill, you know?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I agree.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. So
let's somebody --
MR. FULLERTON: I move to defer this item.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: There's a motion.
There's a second. Is there any more discussion?

Roll call, then.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Ms. Thomson?
MS. THOMSON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Parsley?
MR. PARSLEY: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Silva?

MR. SILVA: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes.
Thank you very much.
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, SR.: Thank you.
MR. EDUARDO CALIL, JR.: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. I appreciate your help.

MS. THOMSON: Which one is next?

COA (SP) 2017-015, 1206 CORDOVA STREET

CHAIRMAN TORRE: We're going to Page -- 5 . We're going to 5, because we're skipping over 4.

This is a Special Certificate --
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Which number are we on now?

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Number 5. Certificate of Appropriateness (SP) 2017-015, for the property at 1206 Cordova, a Local Historic Landmark, legally described as Lot 7 and the south one-half lot of 8, Block 1, Granada Place Amended Plat, according to the plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 13, Page 51 of the Public

Records, Miami-Dade County. The application requests design approval for the construction of an addition and alteration to the residence and the sitework.

MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. I don't know if this is from (inaudible) to you all. It was designated in March of this year. This is the location map of the property.

It was permitted in 1925, Permit No. 1431, as a smaller Mediterranean Revival cottage-type. There's a historic photo from the 1940s.

The proposal includes design approval for a one-story addition, approximately 809 square feet to the rear of the home, interior renovations, alterations to some window and door openings and sitework.

There are no variances being requested. The Board of Architects approved this in June, with no comments.

We have some minor staff conditions that have already been shared with the architect, that I believe they're okay with.

I'm going to turn it over to the architect.

MR. DE LEON: Good evening. Nelson de Leon, Locus Architecture. With me today is my client, Jeff Robboy, sitting in the back.

So, a few pictures of the existing home, early 1920s Mediterranean Revival example. We're, for the most part, keeping the original historic home as designed. The idea is just to remove the pieces that were added and then add a new addition to the rear.

So, in our research the carport, along with the rear addition, which is the photo on the upper right side, were added subsequent to the original. So those two pieces are what will be removed.

The house did have a window changed a few years back before it was designated. Those windows, after several meetings with Historic and the Board of Architects, are going to stay as is, which are horizontal rollers.

The new windows, which are primarily at the rear or the side, are going to be casements. Those windows that were added back in 2010 or so were added as full-view windows.

So again, in that discussion we decided, so there wouldn't be such a contrast with what
was there, that the proposal would also be full view.

The addition, which sits at the back left-hand side of the property, is roughly 800 square feet. The client was happy with the general size of this house, but in our modern context, the kitchen was incredibly small, the master bedroom was small, the bathrooms, the closets. Everything was small.

So, in essence, this is just bringing the house up to current standards, with the addition being a new kitchen, a new master bedroom, new laundry and baths to the back.

There is a stand-alone garage roughly in the middle of the property that's staying, and there was a pool that was added some years back that's also (inaudible).

So, I'm not sure whether (inaudible) the relationship of the existing with the new. The front of the house stays almost exactly as it is. We are replacing the front door, and the idea is to replace it with a wood arched door. It's more in keeping with a 1920s look. So we have a rough idea of what we want that door to look like on the drawings.

The arch is very large, and in order to reduce it to get a door that works, we're basically adding a 6-inch concrete inset infill so that the original arch reads, and then that little inset then provides our structure for a new door, which will still be a large door, 3-1/2-foot-wide door.

The side -- the one change to the original house, which is the windows that are now part of the kitchen and part of the dining, if you see the house, actually, it's very little, very few openings. No doorways that actually interact with the side yard and the pool. So when you're in the house, you really have no visibility of that pool.

So, ideal was to take the two windows to the right side of the original house and just replace them with a pair of casements. And then the new addition will be slightly larger. We're getting a little bit more height in there, some more room for mechanical systems, and then we're adding doors that are the same height as the original window heights and doors of the house, which are at six-eight. And we're adding a transom above the new work, not
only to differentiate it from the original, but to bring a little bit more light into the house.

So the addition being to the back, and then the existing garage, which is staying as is.

We're replacing the current awning windows with casement windows and restoring the original look of the double garage doors.

One of the -- another element that we did with the side view of the house is that, the addition being a foot taller than the original, we pushed it back, and then broke down that massing with full cap and tan -- full cap and pan barrel tile, just to give us a break from the original, and also tie in the front of the house, which does have barrel tile. So we kind of fit into the two pieces.

The garage does obscure about three-quarters of the addition, so we removed it off the elevation. So you can see that.

In essence, it's a small addition, roughly 800 square feet. The property will be over 1,000 square feet under the $F A R$, but the client is, like I said, happy with the size and the --

MS. KAUTZ: The property --
MR. DE LEON: The property wall which we have on the drawings you have, on the site plan there is an elevation of the property wall.

So with -- with the layout of the yard, the owner having two large dogs, between the pool and the small strip of grass that's left there, in discussions we just felt that maybe if we just moved that pool enclosure to the front of the property, and enclose the entire property, so that now their dogs could run freely around the entire yard, would be a good option for letting those animals exercise.

We did keep the wall as low as we could, so the physical CMU part of that wall is only about 30 inches high, and then we put another 18 inches of picket, decorative picket railing above that.

So we're trying to keep the visibility as much as possible, but provide an enclosure so that the dogs won't jump the fence, in essence.

The driveways are getting re-done, all Chicago pavers, and then new landscaping throughout.

MR. FULLERTON: The driveway kind of
becomes the pool deck, doesn't it?
MR. DE LEON: It goes right into the pool deck, yes.

MR. PARSLEY: Did you look at differentiating between the driveway at the front of the property, in front of the gates, versus the driveway where it's by the pool patio, and to differentiate them to make it look like more of a pool, of a terrace to the pool that you happen to drive on, instead of a driveway that you have to walk across to get to the pool?

MR. DE LEON: Well, since we do have to walk across that driveway no matter what --

MR. PARSLEY: Well, $I$ know, but if it doesn't have to look like that is what I'm saying. Did you all study that?

MR. DE LEON: I did not, because the gate is a visually open element, and it's not solid. So I just felt that visually, if that material just continued through -- and you do have a garage at the end. So we felt, at the end of the day, he does have a usable surface -Chicago brick, we've used around pool decks and it's worked really nicely, although usually
grouted, so it has -- has a slightly different feel than on fill. But that would be an option if we grouted and then redid the pool deck with the same material. So we'll take that point.

MR. PARSLEY: I think there's some ways to break it up and get rid of the railroad track effect and accommodate both, with some studying.

MR. DE LEON: Well, I think -- I think the client would certainly be open to a different material. And honestly, I didn't think about that.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: There was a roof over part of that driveway?

MR. DE LEON: There was a carport added at some point.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And what is your plan? To leave it there or to take that down?

MR. DE LEON: The carport is being removed, and only the garage, only the original garage --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: There will be an open -that space between the house and the pool will be open to the --

MR. DE LEON: Right, right. So that whole
side of the house now, if $I$ go back to some of the photos --

MS. KAUTZ: We don't know when the carport was added. It's not in the original drawings.

MR. DE LEON: So the pool is almost within 2 feet or so of the carport.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yeah.
MR. FULLERTON: It's too bad you have to take the carport down, because then you wouldn't need the garage back there and you could make that a cabana.

MR. DE LEON: We did have a discussion about, about that, but would we still need to have the garage because it's part of the historic structure?

MR. FULLERTON: You have to have a carport or a garage.

MR. DE LEON: Exactly, exactly.
MR. FULLERTON: One or the other.
MS. KAUTZ: You could keep it as a cabana or something.

MR. FULLERTON: It makes a nice little cabana back there by the pool.

MR. DE LEON: Yes, that would be -- but I'd have a cabana now with a driveway through it.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Are you taking the carport down, as part of your discussions with the staff?

MR. DE LEON: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: Our only --
MR. EHRENHAFT: One --
MS. KAUTZ: Oh, go ahead.
MR. EHRENHAFT: I'm sorry.
MS. KAUTZ: No, go ahead.
MR. EHRENHAFT: One can clearly see the scene between the left side of the carport and where the front, the front edge of the original historic house wall is. And when the carport comes down, it's interesting, because there are four massive columns that support it, but then against the wall of the house, there is a column that is of equal dimensions horizontally, that goes all the way up to the chimney and then continues beyond it. And when you take all of that down, then the chimney, which was originally visible, is going to become a very evident, you know --

MR. DE LEON: Yeah, you'll have a nice esthetic --

MR. EHRENHAFT: -- nice historic and architectural detail. And a lot more light is going to be left -- let into the living room as well.

MR. DE LEON: Yes.
MR. SILVA: I think it's a very well thought-out project. I think it's textbook in terms of what to do to a historic residence. The differentiation is good. The scale is good. The little moves, like that 6-inch infill for the arched door and the transom on the rear doors, is excellent.

I really appreciate, as well, the wall. We've been seeing a lot of projects with really tall walls, and the fact that this gives you an enclosure, but it's 30 inches of solid I think is really good, and could be a model for projects. Thank you.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Kara, could I interrupt and ask you to address the staff notation that there was concern about stucco banding on the new addition?

MS. KAUTZ: Sure. And I --
MR. EHRENHAFT: Because I'm not sure whether -- whether it's simply stucco wall
above and metal flashing at the top, as we see on $A-06$, or whether there's, you know, a large amount of stucco at the top.

MS. KAUTZ: That's a very good point.
MR. EHRENHAFT: I'm not sure what that -I'm trying to interpret what that staff recommendation was.

MR. DE LEON: The existing, I believe it's a stucco band, but on the new --

MS. KAUTZ: I thought it was, too.
MR. DE LEON: After we received the comment, the idea is then to remove, on the new addition, all banding. So it will just be the parapet wall, and it will just return without -- without stucco or without a cap flashing. So the new parapet will be smooth. There will be no projections.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.
MS. KAUTZ: That was to differentiate the two.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. So I couldn't tell from the drawing that it was actually projecting, because it seemed to me like -- if you look at $A-06$, it seemed to me that what's going on above the windows is that there is a
roof there with tile on it, and then only about another foot of wall above it that's purely vertical. Is that correct?

MR. DE LEON: That's correct, on that portion of the elevation, yes.

MS. KAUTZ: Elevations.
MR. DE LEON: On the other two sides it's the full wall.

MR. EHRENHAFT: I see. Okay. Thank you.
MR. DE LEON: And that break was, again, to break the massing from the original and bring down the transition points, and at the same time we would get a little covered area where we get some exposed wood and a little, you know --

MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: I think it's a nice-looking project.

MR. EHRENHAFT: I do, too.
MR. DE LEON: Thank you.
MS. KAUTZ: The only comments that we have with the new roof tile is to be true piece -true two-piece barrel tile. I'm not sure what's on the front right now. I think it is, but if that's getting changed also, it should
be two-pieced.
The existing structure is not to be re-stuccoed in its entirety, but patched as needed, and the addition is to be slightly differentiated.

The proposed carriage doors, I know people in the past have had difficulty finding NOA-rated carriage doors. So if that is not found -- and I know that you were looking for it -- that the existing doors can be repaired and put in front of another assembly, if possible.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I don't care either way, but is the front door to be stained or is it going to be painted? The big door, the big arched door.

MR. DE LEON: The big door is brand-new.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: It's going to be stained or painted?

MS. KAUTZ: Stained or painted?
MR. DE LEON: Stained. Stained.
MS. THOMSON: Where is this proposed trellis?

MS. KAUTZ: There's not a trellis.
MS. THOMSON: For some reason, I'm looking
for a proposed trellis, but $I$ don't see one.
MS. KAUTZ: Did I write that by mistake?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think that was the other --

MS. THOMSON: Am I confused? I'm confused. Never mind.

MS. KAUTZ: No, there's no trellis.
MS. THOMSON: Strike my comment.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. Let's move along.

MS. THOMSON: This is what I'm referring to, right here, but that's being torn down.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Let me see if anybody -I don't think there's anybody here to speak, but does anybody want to speak for or against this item? The owner?

No? Okay. Close the public hearing. Proceed.

MR. SILVA: I'll move approval of staff comments.

MR. FULLERTON: Second.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Any more comments or discussion? No? Move along.

MS. KAUTZ: Thank you.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can we take a vote?

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Jessie's going to do it. MR. DE LEON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Jessie, I'm sorry. Did you hear the motion?

MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Parsley?
MR. PARSLEY: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Silva?
MR. SILVA: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Ms. Thomson?
MS. THOMSON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. Yes.


CASE FILE COA (SP) 2017-016, 716 ALHAMBRA CIRCLE

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Next item, Case File COA
(SP) 2017-016: An application for the issuance
of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness for the property at 716 Alhambra Circle, a contributing resource within the "Alhambra Circle Historic District," legally described as the East 20 feet of Lot 13 and all of Lots 14 and 15 of Block 23, Coral Gables Section "B," according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 5, at Page 111 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County. The application requests design approval for the construction of an addition and alterations to the residence and sitework.

MS. KAUTZ: The location map of the property, the Alhambra Circle District was designated in 2008. This is a contributing resource, as it is a Mediterranean Revival single-family residence built in 1928.

The application is requesting approval for a two-story addition to the rear and the east of the existing residence, replacement of the existing windows with impact-resistant windows, and interior alterations.

There is some sitework being proposed and putting modifications to the existing perimeter wall at the front, new circular driveway and
walkways, installation of new wrought iron fence and gates.

We're going with boards here, so the architect has boards to show you. There's no PowerPoint.

No variances have been requested with the application. There was one comment from the Board of Architects regarding -- regarding replicating column capitals, and I found it easier just to sort of show you the picture in your staff report.

We do have a few conditions of approval, and I also wanted to give to -- to address one of the conditions. There's a detail under the -- actually, can you show them where it is? Can you get to it?

MR. POZA: I'll show them where it is.
MS. KAUTZ: Okay. Then I'll hand this out to you at the time.

MR. POZA: I've got a tripod if it's -- it might be better. You can see it, actually, here, if we do both.

Albert Poza, architect.
MS. KAUTZ: Aaron, can you -- can you see those boards on camera, Aaron? Is that going
to work?
MR. POZA: I've got my easel.
MS. KAUTZ: I think we need to have
Elizabeth -- Dona, do you think that's okay?
Do you think that works?
MS. SPAIN: We might have --
MS. KAUTZ: He brought one.
MR. POZA: I brought one.
MS. KAUTZ: He brought one. We can set it like right here.

MR. POZA: I'll set it up.
MS. KAUTZ: It's probably easier.
MS. SPAIN: I think it's better to put it on an easel. It used to be that the planning department was right here, so I could run and get their easels, but -- this is very old school.

MR. POZA: Those that know me, my middle name (inaudible). But, you know, it's appropriate, because this is a historical department, historical project.

MS. KAUTZ: It's probably easier down here, so --
(Inaudible comments)
MS. SPAIN: Hold it.

MR. POZA: You will?
MS. SPAIN: Yeah. I'm really good at this. Vanna White.

MR. POZA: So, obviously, these are the pictures --

MS. SPAIN: You need to --
MR. POZA: -- which you probably have already in your packet. But before $I$ get started, I would like to say that everybody, everybody that's worked on this project -which is not only the architect, it's been the staff quite a few times, because it's a fairly large project from a residential historical point of view. The Board of Architects has seen it several times, and even the client, because, you know, clients, sometimes they have their own thought and they -- they're not here, unfortunately, but they were very receptive to all the comments and they took them in stride. The project evolved from something being really almost a modern addition, to something that's more in keeping to what's there already.

So, if $I$ may start a little bit on this, the project, I've been very involved with it. This is the third project I've done on this
same property. It went to the Board several years ago and it got approved. My client got relocated, so -- got permitted and everything. Never got approved.

Since then, they've got a project that is going on right now, is under construction, a gazebo and a pool, and that's in the works right now, so I'm very familiar with the house and the lot.

So, conceptually, what $I$ was trying to do was -- it's a little gem of a house. It looks large, but it really isn't, and it's in a huge, tremendous lot. Probably one of the finest along Alhambra.

So the idea was to conceptually keep the lot looking as large as possible and have everything that is new toward the back, and then also don't really affect anything of any significance.

So whatever is being demolished, such as an addition from $I$ think the '80s, which was a media -- not a media -- a family room, a second-story here, this portion here is not historical at all, so that's being blown away.

And then this part here of the garage, the
one furthest to the west, that wasn't
historical either. So this addition that you'll see in some of the -- in the north side, that element there that kind of united things together, the original garage with the new garage and the 1980s garage, that's all been shifted around, because that really wasn't true to the house.

So we come around -- and what we're trying to do again is -- (inaudible speakers) so again, following the concept, everything got moved back. When you look -- when you're in front on Alhambra, it almost doesn't look really like an addition, because everything takes place in the back. So the concept was to have everything in the back serve as a -almost have minimal detailing in terms of competing with what was there.

So when you start seeing some of the facades -- which I'll skip to that. And the floor plan is the floor plan.

So, similar to some of the projects that we were addressing earlier today, this looks like it was just as tall and just as big, but it's half the distance of the lot in the back.

You really won't even see it.
This, which is what's under construction now, a lot more modern type of gazebo structure, that's way in the back. That's over 100-something feet to the rear of the lot.

So everything that's generic to the original historical house, nothing really gets touched other than some of the windows, which in fact were approved already in the previous project. They're just being transferred into this project.

By previous project, I mean the gazebo and the pool. They've been transferred to this project, because they've been having issues with the contractor on the gazebo and the pool, so they don't want him to address the house and, you know, almost by default or lack of knowledge on that contractor, they might do some things that they are not supposed to.

So they've kind of -- this project kind of has assumed some of the window placements like these, the ones in the front, and some of the ones sporadic to the house. So that's how that issue got resolved in terms of how that came about.

So as you go around the house -- again, holding true to the concept, anything that was of significance to the house, try to somehow save it and do as little to it as possible.

So on the east, the existing house is here. The aforementioned family room that was an addition, which is really -- it's a terrible addition. I think it's almost the consensus of the Board of Architects, the staff and myself, and even the client, that is blown away and it gets supplanted by this addition.

What Kara was referring to in terms of was hard to understand, is that this element here, the Board of Architects didn't want this to just -- in some of the prior schemes, this kind of cantilevered and bracketed, so what was being done is a series of these brackets. And it's a continuous cornice -- call it like a giant crown molding -- which is underneath this.

So I drew a section through there so you could a lot more clearly view it. And the -it ends up being a little bit of a covered area where there is a French door leading out to the marvelous side yard that the property has.

So it somewhat helps it develop -- the Board of Architects wanted the walls to have some sort of a structural bearing. So one of the cues that the project takes from existing residence is, if $I$ go back to this real quickly, it has very elaborate -- and you might be able to see it on the pictures -- very elaborate wing walls throughout. It has another one here.

So, similar to the gazebo which is under construction back there, some of these wing walls in a couple places were introduced here, and you'll see them in the section beyond.

So that kind of blends the gazebo, and it also touches upon the historical aspects of the original house. And it kind of is a transition point. And since it is seen from the -- from way in the back, but it is seen from the street somewhat, you see the old in front and then whatever, 50 feet back you see an interpretation of it. So -- and, also, you see it way back in the gazebo.

So you go around -- and, again, as you go around the house, you start losing the significant portion of the original historic
house.
So this is how it is now. You can see that there? And I'm showing it here. That's the roof line, let's say, a bit in the dash and back here.

So this is almost basically the same thing, but if you see this, this is not historical. This is -- and only half of this is historical. That isn't.

So, in essence, yes, we do hide it, but we only hide it in the rear and improve upon it because of the shed roof. You literally have to almost bend your knees to see out the window. That window is up at about six-foot-six.

The floor line is right here. You almost can't even see the full length of the side of the yard. So that's got blown away.

This kind of starts giving it a similar architecture with, you know, this kind of a walled-in staircase, your typical railing thing. It kind of anchors down the massing of all that.

You've got bracketed balconies. The Board of Architects is very strong in making this
corner piece, which was seen -- as this wraps the corner, the Board of Architects was very, very adamant about that whole corner on the southeast to be a focal point to that new rear addition.

So you start seeing one of the columns here, the trellis, the columns, the new covered terrace. And they also wrap around the rear. So that's -- it comes this way, and it wraps around. But then the point of it is to anchor this element on the corner, likewise like the stair kind of does in the -- as we move toward the west.

So at the west, again keeping with what I've been saying, you have here the -- the historical portion. This is historic.

This is the addition to the original garage. That's not historic, so that's basically the only thing that gets hidden. Everything else gets preserved. New impact casement windows, raised muntins, all that sort of thing.

And then with such a nice yard and nearby pool, covered terrace, the clients did not want to see those air handlers and all the, you
know, all the other things that go with the noise and everything else, so it's all hidden behind this wall, as you will see in the floor plan raised off the ground (inaudible).

So they're behind, high enough, the wall is high enough. Again, you'll see the arch that kind of mimics the existing back in the first facade. In the front it has an arch, and on the side views it has that kind of (inaudible). So that's showing up there also.

And, you know, again, the intent was to preserve everything as much as possible. It's quite an extensive scope. The lot is really almost entirely preserved, because a lot of addition is where the family room currently is. It's not really changing that footprint at all. There is a little bit of the terrace, and the rest is being built over existing walls and so forth.

From the street you still have an open feel, an open yard. You don't really feel the -- the magnitude of the addition, because it's so far back. And, you know, still underneath the maximum floor area, still within ground, ground floor area limitations, and
still have more than enough ample green area to make the zoning 40 percent factor. Even with the driveway, which I hadn't mentioned yet, the driveway and all that, including the minimum 20 percent required front green area.

The reason for the driveway, and it's really the only reason, it's very difficult for the clients to every morning, or every time they go out, to back out into Alhambra. The only way to get out is to back out. When I visit them, if it isn't a bicycle, a jogger, you know, whoever, a car -- so this really is somewhat of an easy way to address the safety issue on the lot, which is really great. And we're not really doing anything to the wall. We're just eliminating one column.

They're going to -- you know, since they're into this already, they're going to go ahead and enhance the -- the railing portion of the wall, the fence portion. It's going to be new. They want to go ahead and build it wrought iron. They don't want to do it aluminum. So they really are all in and want to do as much as possible and preserve as much as possible to the property.

There was a comment that Kara brought up that the architects, the Board of Architects also mentioned, which was in the east facade they have an implied --

MS. KAUTZ: It's right there.
MR. POZA: Here it is. They have this little implied column capital, which was asked for in a base, and I did not agree with it at all, because $I$ think it's neither here nor there. And, you know, the existing residence has so many blank walls, without really much detailing, that $I$ didn't see the reason to do this. It lines up with this. It has that. You know, we had features where this is a balcony that's all wood frame with wood columns and wood brackets, and even has got a wood deck down here. And this is kind of a little more modern, but yet not modern interpretation, with the bracketed precast elements. You've still got the wood here and the roof coming down to meet the French doors, similar to this one. But it's a much more, you know, in keeping interpretation, yet different.

Another item that was addressed by the Board, the staff, their comment was that the --
all of the existing historical portion has that very old rough textured stucco, which is wavy and in and out and all that. Everything new that is being built will be smooth stucco, so it should definitely differentiate.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: I have a -- I have a quick question.

MR. POZA: Sure.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: On Sheet 4, North Elevation -- you got rid of that, I guess that decorative feature next to the garage door? MR. POZA: Yes. That wasn't a -- that was not historical.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: It's not historical?
MR. POZA: That was part of the addition.
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Okay.
MR. POZA: It was removed 100 percent on purpose. It was almost overkill, but they wanted to make this almost like this '90s type of a design, where they threw in, just for the sake of it, a round window in between the two garages, the original one and the new one. And it did not make -- I'll try to look for the board here. It did not make too much sense.

That's this back here. And the Board
asked -- because that's kind of hidden by the addition, or by the existing. So that's what this elevation is, kind of a section.

So that now -- this is the historical portion here. This is where the round column is at, and lined up these other windows -again, so that you don't compete with it. Just line it up with these new windows and the addition, and kind of organize it, so to speak.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: There is no differentiation between the bottom, the existing bottom, and the new top?

MR. POZA: There isn't. At one point --
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Except for the stucco.
MR. POZA: At one point that was a score line in there, and the Board of Architects removed it. They --

MS. BACHE-WIIG: So that would that be smooth then?

MR. POZA: That whole area now is smooth. The only portion that you're really making smooth that isn't is maybe a 10 by 10 area of the existing original garage. Other than that, it's either not historical, or new.

So I had -- I introduced a score line to
make that difference, and they said that it was -- it wasn't worth the, let's say, the fakeness of it.

MR. EHRENHAFT: May I ask for further clarification about the BOA comment about the base and the column of the cab that you had highlighted?

MS. KAUTZ: I don't feel strongly one way or the other about it.

MR. EHRENHAFT: No, but it looks to me, if I'm not misunderstanding it, they've got a cap and a base just sitting there with no column. Is that correct?

MS. KAUTZ: Right.
MR. POZA: Yeah, because they --
MS. KAUTZ: They wanted to add, the BOA wanted to add that, to sort of make it all look uniform so --

MR. EHRENHAFT: Why could there not be a column there to at least --

MS. KAUTZ: Because the column --
MR. EHRENHAFT: That would make more sense.

MS. KAUTZ: The column is the same size as the wall, so it's just in line --

MR. POZA: It would be the same -- it would be the same length.

So what they wanted to do was to imply the column. So I said, "Well, if I'm going to imply the column, then we do a vertical line along with it." And they said, "No, no, no, just" -- you know? And I'm saying, "If anybody looks at that, you'll need an explanation," you know?

MR. EHRENHAFT: Yeah.
MR. POZA: You know, it looks like a mistake.

MR. EHRENHAFT: I agree.
MS. KAUTZ: So I went back to you guys.
MR. EHRENHAFT: So the solution is simply removing them?

MS. KAUTZ: You can remove them or --
MR. POZA: From my point of view, I would be very glad if you would request to remove them, because they don't -- it doesn't make any sense to me. It looks like if a guy left the job because, you know, it's four o'clock.

MR. SILVA: Well, you'd have to take it back to the corner of the house if you did that.

MR. POZA: Hmm?
MR. SILVA: On the plan, you would have to completely eliminate that and take it back to the corner.

MR. POZA: Well, they -- they wanted me to introduce the column, and that's okay, because then at least the beam above doesn't finish on the wall. It has some vertical to come down. It has some definition. But to continue this fake little band on the column, on the cap and on the base, it didn't make any sense.

I would leave the column there, because it picks up the beam above, just like a half a column, but you don't have to make a fake cap and a fake base.

MS. KAUTZ: So staff's only comments were the muntins, again, are to be high-profile and dimensional. And I'm sorry we're so repetitive with this note, but it comes up all the time, so I just want to get it in writing. The roof is obviously two-piece barrel tile. And I will leave the BOA comment up to you-all, the architects on the Board, to deal with.

So, other than that, if you-all are okay with that clarification of that cornice piece,
it's just, I couldn't figure out from the drawings what all those lines were.

MR. POZA: I even brought -- fairly hands-on about this. That's only one way of doing it. I brought -- I went to Shell Lumber and picked up really -- that's exactly what it is, is a giant continuous crown molding.

You see a lot of buildings nowadays that do the inverse in Styrofoam and just pour it in along with the beam of the slab above. So, you know, you can take any of these shapes. You can take this shape, and $I$ just use one of those that look good esthetically. But nowadays they take that, they draw it up, they put it in a laser, they cut the Styrofoam out, the reverse, pour the concrete and you're done. And then you stucco it later.

```
            MR. FULLERTON: Where is that?
```

            MR. POZA: Hmm?
            MR. FULLERTON: Where is that?
            MR. POZA: That is --
            MS. KAUTZ: It's the handout that I
    gave --
MR. POZA: The east elevation, the handout
of --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: It's in between. You go through it. You walk past it.

MR. FULLERTON: I thought those were brackets.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: It's the --
MR. POZA: On the south, they're brackets. On the east, right here, these are brackets. But that's a section through here.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: It's a big crown molding.
MR. POZA: It's a big crown molding that they wanted in there, just to, I guess, soften the -- they wanted the columns. They wanted the massing up above to sit on something, so they're sitting on that scalloped wall-type thing. But then they didn't want the bottom to be bracketed, and they didn't want it to be just without, you know, a little semi-cantilevered. So they said, well, why don't you make --

MR. EHRENHAFT: So it's not roof. It looked to me like it had a little bit of roof on it. Okay.

MS. BACHE-WIIG: You mean here?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yeah. So that's a --
MS. BACHE-WIIG: It's scalloped.

MR. POZA: It's scalloped.
MS. KAUTZ: It's like a ceiling scallop. MR. EHRENHAFT: Yeah, got it.

MR. POZA: It's basically, if you look at the section --

MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
MR. POZA: -- that I drew, it would be something like this. And it's just continuous all the way around.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Continuous.
MR. POZA: In fact, if you're looking at the ceiling here, that's basically what it is.

MR. FULLERTON: I like that better than this.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think it's the shape that you're not happy with.

MR. FULLERTON: This looks so heavy, you know? I don't -- that's a lot of concrete up there.

MR. POZA: It might be a little slimmer -BOARD MEMBER: Softer.

MR. POZA: Correct.
MR. FULLERTON: That would look good.
MR. POZA: And it might have too many
lines. Maybe it gets a little simpler. But if
you look at this ceiling, without the 14 lines that that has, that's basically what that looks like.

MR. SILVA: The addition, I think it's in the spirit of the original. I think it's pretty whimsical, like the original, and it's -- you're doubling the square footage of the house pretty much, I think. And you know, from the street, $I$ think it's going to be very difficult to tell that you're doubling the square footage of the house.

MR. POZA: That was part of the intent.
MR. SILVA: Good job.
MR. FULLERTON: Anybody else? Nobody in the audience?

CHAIRMAN TORRE: How about making a motion, Robert?

MR. PARSLEY: I'll make a motion for approval to incorporate staff's comments and to eliminate the faux base and faux capital on the east facade.

MR. POZA: Thank you. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is there a second?
BOARD MEMBER: Second.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Second from Mr. --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Could I ask a question?
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Of course.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm supporting your
motion, but if we do -- if we adopt this motion, does it go back to the Board of Architects?

MS. KAUTZ: You-all are the final say.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
MS. KAUTZ: They recommend to you-all.
BOARD MEMBER: Every time --
MR. POZA: And if not, I'll tell the guy on the job site to forget about that.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: See how much power you have. You're learning, huh? Okay.

Okay. We have a motion. We have a second. Roll call, please.

MS. DIAZ: Ms. Thomson?
MS. THOMSON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Parsley?
MR. PARSLEY: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Silva?

MR. SILVA: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes.
MR. POZA: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. Very good. Well done.

Is there somebody missing, or is somebody not going to present? Oh, there we go. I thought I missed one. Thank you.

MS. KAUTZ: Waiting patiently.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yep. I couldn't see the other person.


CASE FILE COA (SP) 2017-017, 1142 S. GREENWAY DRIVE


CHAIRMAN TORRE: This is COA (SP) 2017-017: An application for the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness for the property at 1142 South Greenway Drive, a non-contributing structure within the "Country Club of Coral Gables Historic District,"
legally described as Lots 1 and 2, Block 12, Coral Gables Section "C," according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 8, at Page 26 of the Public Records. The application requests design approval for the construction of an addition to the residence.

MS. KAUTZ: Thank you. Okay. This is the location map. It's on the south side of the golf course. This property is a non-contributing resource within the district. When the district was first designated, this building built in 1949 made it ineligible for being a contributing structure, even though it's a perfectly handsome building.

We have a 1940s photo. It was designed by architect Gerard Pitt.

This was possibly the shortest staff report I've ever written. Very simple addition they're proposing, a one-story addition to the rear of the residence behind an existing garage. It consists of a new laundry room, a guest room suite and a covered terrace. No work is proposed for the existing residence.

There are no variances. This was approved and reviewed by the Board of Architects in

December with no comments.
Our only comment for approval is that the windows and door muntins are to be high-profile, which should not match the existing house.

MR. OSLE: Thank you very much.
MS. KAUTZ: Sure.
MR. OSLE: Hello. My name is Harry Osle. That is my lovely wife, Ava, freezing to death over there.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you for your patience.

MR. OSLE: Given the time, I will be very brief.

As was mentioned, this is an existing home. We've owned this since 2001. We've had some renovations done to the house. The house is a beautiful home because of my wife, and we're just adding an existing structure to the back.

The home was originally built with a storage area, which we are going to -- here is the existing ground floor of the home. That is a storage area to the right. We're going to eliminate that.

Here is the existing second floor plan. Here is the proposed ground floor.

So that area that is the storage area, we're going to eliminate, and we're going to create a laundry room, a small bedroom with a bath, and then a gazebo-type area, open, open area, okay?

We're going to tie in -- the most important part, $I$ think, is this, this proposed roof plan. So that proposed roof plan actually will be lower. So from the street level, when you're looking at the home, the roof is going to be lower, so you're not going to see the existing, the new roof, on the outside.

That's basically it. Here is the existing floor elevation. Here is the front of the home.

Here is existing and proposed rear elevations. Here is the back of the home. You can see on this right-hand side of the picture, toward the left is that storage area that we're going to eliminate. We're going to bring that gazebo out. That's the -- excuse me. That's the storage area there. We're going to eliminate that.

The existing proposed right elevations. Here is the right side elevation of the home. Existing proposed left elevations. Here's the left side of the home. That's it.

MR. PARSLEY: Outside of the existing breakfast room, you still have an air handler out there. Are you proposing to move that, or that's the only place that that can stay?

MR. OSLE: On which one? The existing breakfast area?

MR. PARSLEY: Yes.
MR. OSLE: That's where they are going to put the air handler. Is that what you're saying? That's what they asked.

MS. KAUTZ: On A-1?
MR. PARSLEY: A-4.
MS. KAUTZ: If you go to A-1, it's remaining in the same place.

MR. OSLE: Yeah.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Don't you want to move that?

MR. OSLE: I would if they would give me a great option to move it somewhere. I wanted to move it to the opposite side.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: They won't let you do
that?
MS. KAUTZ: The setback is --
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Who is "they"?
MR. OSLE: Board of Architects --
MS. KAUTZ: Zoning, actually, probably is the -- that's your setback line.

MR. OSLE: That's correct.
MS. KAUTZ: So you can't put a --
MR. OSLE: That's how I originally wanted it.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can't you put it in the back?

MR. OSLE: I could, but I think it's the same area of the setback in the back, so --

MR. PARSLEY: Unfortunate.
MR. EHRENHAFT: And you've got hard deck around it?

MR. OSLE: Excuse me?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Are you going to have hard deck around and under it?

MR. OSLE: Yes.
MR. EHRENHAFT: Is it possible to have some soil, so that you could at least put podocarpus or something far enough away from it to let it breathe, but have it not --

MR. OSLE: I'll take that into -- I guess we'll take that to --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Or on the rooftop?
MR. OSLE: On the rooftop, I wouldn't do that.

MR. PARSLEY: It services what rooms?
MR. OSLE: That new room, that laundry room, and the existing -- and the new bedroom.

MR. PARSLEY: You couldn't put it behind the --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: We're trying to help you.
MR. OSLE: I know, I know. Believe me, I went around --

MR. PARSLEY: On the back side of the barbecue? Or that's in the rear setback?

MR. OSLE: That's in the rear --
MS. KAUTZ: So you-all have -- so this is your property line.

MR. OSLE: Right.
MS. KAUTZ: You have the easement that exists.

MR. OSLE: Yes.
MS. KAUTZ: So you can't put anything there, obviously.

MR. OSLE: No.

MS. KAUTZ: And then you have an additional 10 feet.

MR. OSLE: Right.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Even a mini split.
MR. OSLE: If you can give me a variance, I'll take the variance.

MR. PARSLEY: We can't give you a variance.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Kara, I'm confused.
MR. FULLERTON: What about a wing wall on the --

MS. KAUTZ: Around it, you mean? Well, they have -- they have an unusual condition in the back that there's a 5-foot easement and then a 10-foot setback. They really have the 15-foot required setback in the rear, which is --

MR. SILVA: Or a wing wall --
MR. OSLE: But I can't understand --
MR. FULLERTON: The easement is going to encroach on the setback.

MS. KAUTZ: Say that again?
MR. FULLERTON: I thought you said there was a 5-foot easement and a 10-foot setback.

MS. KAUTZ: Yes.

MR. FULLERTON: Meaning 15 feet?
MS. KAUTZ: Yes. According to this drawing.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: If you were to put it next to the electric meter --

MR. SILVA: That's what $I$ was going to -CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- the garage is further than that. Why would that be in the setback? MR. SILVA: Yeah. You put it next to the meter and you do a wing wall to shield it from the --

MR. OSLE: That's what I originally wanted, but they told me no.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: But the wall of the garage is already out there. It makes no sense.

MR. OSLE: I would put it right there. That's exactly where I would put it, so please tell me how to do that.

MS. KAUTZ: Wait. Hold on a second.
MS. SPAIN: Who told him no? Zoning?
MS. KAUTZ: Yeah. So their setback
here --
CHAIRMAN TORRE: It doesn't make any sense.

BOARD MEMBER: The garage is already out there.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: A-4.
MS. SPAIN: I think we can handle that administratively, because --

MR. RODRIGUEZ: That would be an awful place to have it.

MR. OSLE: That's what I think.
MS. SPAIN: Because the existing garage, the existing garage is into the setback, and in the past the City Attorney has opined that as long as the setback isn't greater than an existing, that he's all right with it. I believe we can handle that administratively.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Behind the electric meter, in that little, in the garage, that would be the best --

MR. OSLE: That, to me, is ideal.
MR. EHRENHAFT: You don't want that on the patio.

MR. OSLE: No, but they told me I had to put it there, so --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Well, this is where we help. This is where we help. This is where we get the brownie points the other direction for
once.
MR. OSLE: Help me out.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: It was worth that wait.
BOARD MEMBER: We've got to get credit sometimes.

MR. OSLE: We'll determine that over dinner tonight.

MS. SPAIN: I think we can take care of that.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right.
MR. OSLE: Good. That will help.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Pass on the good word.
MR. OSLE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. Any Board discussion?

MS. THOMSON: No.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: You got to get home?
MR. OSLE: Thank you very much.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. Let's close the public hearing.

Alicia, how about a motion?
MR. FULLERTON: I would approve the
staff --
CHAIRMAN TORRE: You're going to make the motion?

MR. FULLERTON: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. Go for it.
BOARD MEMBER: I was going to --
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Go for it, go for it, go for it. Wait. You haven't voted yet. Fifteen seconds.

SPEAKER: She's cold.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: It could be something happen -- no. John?

MR. FULLERTON: Staff recommendation is to approve.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is there any more discussion?
(No response)
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Roll call.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Parsley?
MR. PARSLEY: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Silva?
MR. SILVA: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Ms. Thomson?

MS. THOMSON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes. Thank you. Thanks for waiting. Thank you very much.

You know, the good thing is that we educate four or five people every time we do this, because they all have to sit through the process.

MR. SILVA: Yeah.
BOARD MEMBER: Do we have anybody else?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, we have one more.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. Can I ask why this is called Standard Certificate of Appropriateness and on the bottom it says Special Certificate of Appropriateness?

MS. KAUTZ: Because I messed up. But thank you for pointing that out to everybody, that $I$ just totally -- thanks for that. Go ahead.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: What's the difference again, on this one?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: This is just landscaping.
MS. KAUTZ: It's a standard -- when you approved the garage addition on the property, it was a conceptual site plan. At the time, part of the condition of approval and the Board motion was that the actual finalized site plan, materials, landscape, all that come back to you for approval.

So we're not writing a separate staff report for it. We're just bringing it back to you to make sure that everything that you wanted to see is here.

Robert --
MR. PARSLEY: Well --
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Let me read it into the record really quick, and then we'll be ready and on our way.

CASE FILE COA (ST) 2017-116, 200 EDGEWATER DRIVE

CHAIRMAN TORRE: So this is Case File COA
(ST) 2017-116: An application for the issuance of a -- is it Special? Standard? Standard?

MS. KAUTZ: Standard.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Standard Certificate of

Appropriateness for the property at 200 Edgewater Drive, a Local Historic Landmark, legally described as Lot 4 and Lot 6 of the Resubdivision of a portion of the Baker Homestead, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 80, Page 15, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, and the East 30.5 Feet of Tract 6 and the West 33.5 Feet of Tract 7, Less the North 31 Feet thereof, of the Baker Homestead, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 41, Page 38 of the public records of Miami-Dade County.

This application is requesting design approval for an addition and alteration to the garage building, and sitework was approved on April 20th, 2017, with a condition that the sitework return to the Board when the design is finalized. The application requests design approval for the landscape and the hardscape.

MS. KAUTZ: The location map, those should be very familiar to you, because the garage was just approved.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is this Sallye Jude's house, old house?

MS. KAUTZ: Old house, yes.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Got it.
MR. SANCHEZ: Thank you. Jorge Sanchez, for the SMI Landscape Architecture, 140 Royal Palm Way, Palm Beach.

We've been fortunate enough to work with several historic properties, and this -- this one definitely falls in that category. It's a marvelous place, with a beautiful Art Moderne architecture, wonderful vegetation, incredible trees.

So let me show you the -- does this point?
MS. KAUTZ: Not on that screen. On the big one. It points, it points on --

MR. SANCHEZ: On the big one? Oh.
Basically, the side of the property that we'll be showing you will be the left-hand side, which is Phase 1, and that is -- it does come out awfully fuzzy in the picture up there, but --

MS. KAUTZ: This one is final. It's better on this one. Sorry.

MR. SANCHEZ: That's okay. Well, you have some in front of you. Basically, that is --
we're basically doing sort of a minimal impact study on the property.

The driveway is -- let me go back a second. The driveway is currently in this -you can't really tell, but on the center left, that's where the driveway is at the moment, which is more of an alley than $a$, than $a$-than a road.

We're taking it back to a front entry, which is in the upper right, and that would be the -- the new entry. The new driveway is going to go through the property and into the service area, into a motor court, and the front door of the house.

As I mentioned, there are some marvelous trees. There's a big banyan tree where the driveway is going to be cutting through, through the banyan and into the left portion in there.

That area there of the driveway also has some important trees, including one rainbow eucalyptus that's pretty stunning, one of the many trees on the property.

By the way, the driveway is going to be very simple. It's basically tabby with coral
stone borders. The only materials that are being used are coral stone and the tabby for the driveway, with one exception.

Going back to the overall, the service area in the upper left. And that's the one of the large trees on the property that is being moved.

That's the residence as it stands now. It's been neglected for a number of years, and now it's being revamped with great care.

The present driveway. And as you can see, the present driveway, the entrance is really straight ahead into an alley. And if you look straight over, it's the neighbor's driveway also. So we'll be separating that, giving that courtyard much -- much more private space.

That's an image of the front door, a very distorted picture. I apologize, but you'll see it better in your -- in your papers there. But the tabby driveway goes into a motor court that's of nice proportion for the house. On the bottom there is a half moon, not squashed like that, but a true half moon with a gate onto more of a family entrance to the property. That is the drawing with a softscape. Let
me see. At the top is a fountain -- which the existing fountain beyond the courtyard, which I'll show you in a moment, was added on a number of years ago, and it's very inappropriate for the house. So we've gone with something that's extremely low, made out of Indiana limestone and the fountain piece that just protrudes out of the water as a lotus.

The bottom is the gate going toward the kitchen, the double gate on the right. And on the left, the gate going through that half moon into the motor court.

Going through the house, there is the existing terrace that's being redone to the original way with a coquina stone. The walls are being refurbished. And if you look to the right, that center fountain is the one that is not original, so that's the one that's being changed.

To the top there is a water lily palm that's being redone and made into a lily pond. Again, and to the bottom, a fountain that goes to a little gazebo. And basically, that's the view that I'm going to show you of the
courtyard, as it is today and as it's going to be in future also.

That is the view out with the fountain that protrudes too high, and it just -- sort of cement. But the columns and all that is -- are staying. And that is the runnel going to the gazebo, going into the -- into the basin.

That's the lily pond that's being redone. And that's the runnel that is also just simply being redone. And at the top of the stairs is a fountain, which will be the flat fountain.

These are -- the woodwork is all stained. It's just being revamped. And the geometry of these areas is being redone also, but also with the same concept of the same geometry that was there.

As you can see on the lower right, you can see the geometry back, back into a garden.

That is sort of the short version of it. And beyond that, there's all of the detail drawings, which I'll be glad to go over with you if you'd like to. But that is what we're proposing. Any questions?

CHAIRMAN TORRE: He's the only one that's going to ask you anything.

MR. SILVA: Everyone's looking at him.
MR. PARSLEY: I have no comment.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: We're all going to go, "Very nice."

MR. PARSLEY: Unfortunately, the people who were here earlier cannot see what landscape plans are or are supposed to look like. Too bad.

With the hour, instead of taking up more time complimenting you on the work, I think I would just make a motion to approve it as shown.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Motion seconded.
MS. KAUTZ: And their website is dreamy --
MR. SANCHEZ: Thank you.
MS. KAUTZ: -- if you ever go look at their website. We were all scrolling in the office, and we recognized some of our historic properties. But I did want to note, just to let you know this -- and I will send this to you guys as well -- we got zoning comments back on this project two days ago, and I apologize for not getting it to you sooner. The only note that sort of is important is that the gate, driveway gate that's shown here, the
columns can't be higher than 6 feet, and I think they're drawn at like, eight-foot-three.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Unless we approve them. Unless we approve them.

MS. KAUTZ: Well, yeah, but --
CHAIRMAN TORRE: But --
MS. KAUTZ: You'd have to make some special notation, because zoning there, have to be 6 feet tall.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Well, this is a special estate.

MS. KAUTZ: Well, then --
MR. PARSLEY: This is an estate. This is not a property.

MS. KAUTZ: Then make that part of the motion so that $I$ can give it to zoning when they --

MR. PARSLEY: We approve, with the --
MS. SPAIN: The gate or the columns?
MS. KAUTZ: The columns.
BOARD MEMBER: Which columns -(inaudible).

MS. KAUTZ: 4.1.3.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: I was there for a party when Dr. Jude was still alive, and he gave me a
tour of that place. Amazing. He was an amazing person.

MS. SPAIN: Top of the gate.
MS. KAUTZ: Yeah, so the top of the column. So I don't know if this counts or not. SPEAKER: This is --

MS. KAUTZ: They can take the potted plant off for now and --

CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think that the designer wishes to get 8 -foot columns, and that's what looks right.

MR. PARSLEY: So the gates are actually on the other property, right?

MS. KAUTZ: They are. They're set within the property.

MR. PARSLEY: We're just looking at the property to the left.

MS . KAUTZ: Hmm?
MR. PARSLEY: Well, I mean, it's --
MR. SANCHEZ: Yeah, that is within the --
MS. KAUTZ: It's right here. It's within their property. It's the --

MS. SPAIN: If you think it's appropriate, you can include that as part of the motion, and the height shown on the plans, I think.

MS. KAUTZ: Do you see it, Alex?
MR. PARSLEY: Make a motion to --
MR. SILVA: I thought it was these.
MS. KAUTZ: It is. It's inside the property. Their property line's over here. MR. SILVA: I thought it was the entrance from Edgewater.

MS. KAUTZ: No. The Edgewater is an existing gate condition. That's a coral big -MR. SILVA: But those are way set in. Why would zoning --

MR. PARSLEY: Isn't that a private street? That's a private drive. That's not even -MS. KAUTZ: It is, but it's a -- it's a gate column. It's --

MR. FULLERTON: Does that comply with the setback?

MR. PARSLEY: Can we do it with a motion, just amend our motion to include the -MS. SPAIN: Yes.

MS. KAUTZ: Yes.
MR. PARSLEY: -- to include the 8-foot columns at the western gate.

SPEAKER: A little PR --
MS. KAUTZ: Just do the gates as shown.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Gates as shown.
MR. SILVA: My only reservation was that it will be a safety thing, but it's so far in there that --

MS. KAUTZ: No. It's their own property.
MS. THOMSON: I second it.
MS. SPAIN: The gates as shown on the drawing so that there is no set height.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Jan seconded it, so we're good. Anybody else have any comments?

MR. FULLERTON: I just think his fluffy dreamy clouds kind of -- kind of obscure some of the area of the site that might be --

MR. SANCHEZ: That will be Phase 2.
MR. EHRENHAFT: I can't resist. I have to add that I pulled up a Google map of the property, because I wanted to see, and there was a manatee in the basin in the photograph.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: If you can learn anything from this --

MR. EHRENHAFT: In the boat slip.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: If you learn anything, it's that one -- if you're last, you get us very soft. At that point we can approve just about everything.
(Simultaneous speaking)
CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. I think Jan
seconded, so we're ready.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Silva?
MR. SILVA: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Ms. Thomson?
MS. THOMSON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Parsley?
MR. PARSLEY: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Good, yes. Yes. Thank
your much.
MS. SPAIN: Thank you very much.
BOARD MEMBER: I'malready stuttering.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: I can't talk anymore.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is that it?
MS. SPAIN: Almost. Wait. I
(Simultaneous speaking)
CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. I think Jan
. DIAZ: Mr. Silva?
MR. SILVA: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Ms. Thomson?
MS. THOMSON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Parsley?
MR. PARSLEY: Yes.
MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Good, yes. Yes. Thank

MS. SPAIN: Thank you very much.
BOARD MEMBER: I'm already stuttering.
CHAIRMAN TORRE: I can't talk anymore.
MR. RODRIGUEZ: Is that it?
MS. SPAIN: Almost. Wait. I just have
one announcement. We're ready to start construction on the McFarland restoration on the two homes. We now have everything in place, the contract that the contractor has signed, and we're ready to pull a permit. So we're good to go, hopefully next week. And that's a big deal for us.

MR. EHRENHAFT: Dona, may I inquire about one other issue?

MS. SPAIN: Yes.
MR. EHRENHAFT: You mentioned that you were thinking about finding calendar dates for a workshop.

MS. SPAIN: A workshop, yeah. We've had issues with that, because Elizabeth went on vacation for a week, Kara went then on vacation for a week, and I'm actually on vacation now. So --

MR. FULLERTON: You just can't stay away.
MS. SPAIN: I know. So I get back a week -- I have another week left, so next week I'm also on vacation. So, after that, we're going to schedule something.

BOARD MEMBER: We'll miss you.
MR. EHRENHAFT: I'm going to be -- well, I
can talk to you off the record.
MS. SPAIN: All right. We'll call each of you individually and set something up. MR. FULLERTON: Some of us had a nice tour of the Merrick House last -- whenever that was. CHAIRMAN TORRE: Tuesday. MR. FULLERTON: And I appreciate that. Thank you very much.

MS. KAUTZ: And if you didn't get to go, just let us know and we will -CHAIRMAN TORRE: That being said, motion for adjournment?

MR. FULLERTON: Yeah. I'll move. CHAIRMAN TORRE: Move. Second? MR. EHRENHAFT: Second. CHAIRMAN TORRE: All in favor, say "aye." (All Board Members reply "aye.") (Thereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 7:47 p.m.)
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