

Proposal Evaluation Form
RFQ 2024-034 General Planning Services
Totals Composite
Form Active

Selection Criteria \ Proposers	Maximum Criteria Points per Evaluator	Total Maximum Sub-Criteria Points	Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc	Chen Moore and Associates, Inc.	Inspire Placemaking Collective, Inc.	The Corradino Group, Inc.
		TOTAL:	TOTAL:	TOTAL:	TOTAL:	TOTAL:
Experience & Qualifications	40	200				
Proposer's qualifications, including, but not limited to: company history and description, the number of years in business, size, number of employees, office location where work is to be performed, licenses/certifications, credentials, capabilities and capacity to effectively meet the City's needs, relevant experience, and proven track record of providing the scope of services as identified in this solicitation to public sector agencies.		50	44.0	42.0	40.0	42.0
Proposer's familiarity with permitting agencies and permitting procedures, especially in Miami-Dade County.		25	23.0	21.0	20.0	23.0
Proposer's expertise and experience in working with other disciplines, including coordination with other design professionals and sub-consultants.		25	22.0	23.0	22.0	21.0
Proposer's three (3) Evaluation & Appraisal Report (EAR) based amendments completed within the last seven (7) years.		50	43.0	42.0	44.0	43.0
Qualifications and experience of all proposed key personnel (including sub-consultants)		50	42.0	42.0	43.0	43.0
Experience & Qualifications Total		174.0	170.0	169.0	172.0	
Project Understanding, Proposed Approach, Methodology	35	175				
Proposer's overall detailed approach and methodology to perform the services solicited herein. Understanding of the RFQ scope and requirements, strategies for assuring assigned work is completed on time, strategies for assuring assigned work is completed on time, innovation interaction and communication with the community, City staff, and multiple stakeholders.		50	43.0	45.0	50.0	45.0
Recent, current, and projected workload for the Proposer and key personnel and how the potential contract will fit into the Proposer's workload.		50	36.0	41.0	45.0	42.0
Describe the Proposer's ability to address conflicts of interest. Explain how proposer will assure that there are not conflicts of interest with regard to employees working on any project for the city for which the proposer is assisting or representing private owners and developers.		25	25.0	24.0	23.0	24.0
Proposer's ability to deliver similar projects having significant community and business involvement.		50	47.0	43.0	45.0	44.0
Project Understanding, Proposed Approach, Methodology Total			151.0	153.0	163.0	155.0
Past Performance and References	20	100				
Provide detailed information on three (3) of the Proposer's most recent and relevant projects similar in scope and nature to the services described in the solicitation.		50	45.0	43.0	45.0	45.0
All contracts which the Proposer has performed (past and present) for the City of Coral Gables. The City will review all contracts the Proposer has performed for the City in accordance with Section 4.10 Evaluation of Responses (c) (4) which states the City may consider "Proposer's unsatisfactory performance record, judged from the standpoint of conduct of work, workmanship, progress or standards of performance agreed upon in the Contract as substantiated by past or current work with the City".		15	14.0	14.0	3.0	15.0
List with contact information of public sector clients, if any, that have discontinued use of Proposer's services within the past two (2) years and indicate the reasons for the same.		20	16.0	19.0	20.0	20.0
Please identify each incident within the last five (5) years where (a) a civil, criminal, administrative, other similar proceeding was filed or is pending, if such proceeding arises from or is a dispute concerning the Proposer's rights, remedies or duties under a contract for the same or similar type services to be provided under this RFQ (See Schedule D of Attachment A).		15	7.0	12.0	15.0	14.0
Past Performance and References Total			82.0	88.0	83.0	94.0
Agreement Exceptions	5	25				
• Review exceptions made by the proposer to the conditions listed in the agreement for the services.		25	19.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
Agreement Exceptions Total			19.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
Proposed pricing as submitted in INFOR via Line Items Tab.	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Points	100	500	426.0	436.0	440.0	446.0
Ranking			4	3	2	1

[Choose Another Form](#)