



RFP 2021-031
 PRESERVATION ARCHITECTURAL CONSULTING SERVICES
 EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

COMPOSITE

SELECTION CRITERIA	PROPOSERS	Maximum Criteria Points	Maximum Total Points (5 members)	Gurri Matute	R.E. Chisholm	RJ Heisenbottle	Rodriguez & Quiroga Chartered
Experience & Qualifications		40	200				
Proposer's qualifications including, but not limited to, company history and description, number of years in business, size, number of employees, office location, licenses/certifications, credentials, capabilities and capacity to meet the City's needs			10	38	33	38.5	31.5
Proposer's expertise and experience in working with other disciplines, including coordination with other design professionals and sub-consultants.			10	38	33	38	34.5
Proposer's financial stability			10	33	33.5	34.5	32
Qualifications and experience of all proposed key personnel (including subconsultants)			10	37	36	37.5	35
Experience & Qualifications Total				146	135.5	148.5	133
Project Understanding, Proposed Approach, Methodology		30	150				
Proposer's overall detailed understanding, approach and methodology to perform the services solicited herein. Understanding of the RFP scope and requirements, implementation plan, strategies for assuring assigned work is completed on time, communication with City staff, and Proposer's intent to positively and innovatively work with the City in providing the services outlined in this RFP.			10	38	33.5	36	34
Recent, current and projected workload for the Proposer and key personnel and how the potential contract will fit into the Proposer's workload.			5	16.5	18.5	17	18
Proposer's demonstrated ability to positively and innovatively move a project from the conceptual stage into a clearly defined project that may be designed and constructed, while minimizing the impact on the community.			5	18	16.5	17	15
Proposer's demonstrated ability to provide schedule control, cost control and quality control for the services specified herein. Proposer's experience with similar projects completed on-time and within budget.			5	19	16	17.5	16
Proposer's ability to deliver similar projects having significant community and business involvement.			3	11.5	10.5	12	10.5
Proposer's ability to work with other consultants designated by the City.			2	8	8	8	7.5
Project Understanding, Proposed Approach, Methodology Total				111	103	107.5	101
Past Performance and References		25	125				
Provide detailed information on five (5) of the Proposer's most recent and relevant projects similar in scope and nature to the services described in the solicitation.			10	38	32	36.5	31.5
All contracts which the proposer has performed (past and present) for the City of Coral Gables. The City will review all contracts the Proposer has performed for the City may consider "Proposer's unsatisfactory performance record, judged from the standpoint of conduct of work, workmanship, progress or standards of performance agreed upon in the Contract as substantiated by past or current work with the City".			5	18	16	18	16.5
List with contact information of public sector clients, if any, that have discontinued use of Proposer's service within the past two (2) years and indicate the reasons for the same			5	19	19	19	19
Please identify each incident within the last five (5) years where (a) a civil, criminal, administrative, other similar proceeding was filed or is pending, if such proceeding arises from or is a dispute concerning the Proposer's rights, remedies or duties under a contract for the same or similar type services to be provided under this RFP (See Affidavit D).			5	20	20	20	20
Past Performance and References Total				95	87	93.5	87
Criteria Comments (Comments are required for Criteria totals that fall below the ranking of "Fair")							
Agreement Exceptions		0					
N/A			0	0	0	0	0
N/A			0	0	0	0	0
N/A			0	0	0	0	0
Volume of Work		5					
Points shall be awarded to the Proposer for volume of work awarded by the City in the last three (3) years from the due date of this RFQ in accordance with the following table:							
Less than \$250,000	5		5	0	5	5	5
\$250,000.01 - \$2,000,000	3						
Greater than \$2,000,000	0						
Overall Cost Total				0	20	20	20
TOTAL POINTS		100	480	352.0	345.5	369.5	341.0
Ranking				2	3	1	4

Signature: _____
 Chairperson _____
 Reviewed By _____

Print Name: _____
 Andrea Chung _____
 Print Name: _____
 Eduardo Hernandez _____

Date: January 27, 2022

 27-Jan-22