	Page 65		Page 66
1	allow yellow Tabebuias on the right-of-way,"	1	means, if you take a tree that's eight feet,
2	and I think this may accomplish it to be	2	and you just cut it up all of the way straight
3	specific.	3	up and plant the trunk, so that it will grow
4	MR. COLLER: The only thing is, I don't see	4	later is that allowed? I'm just curious.
5	anything in the criteria that addresses the	5	MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: That would probably
6	concern that certain people that you all	6	kill the tree then, but it would be a dead
7	believe that there are certain streets where,	7	tree.
8	really, it's Oaks and it's this height and it's	8	MR. REVUELTA: You would have to plant it
9	this height. So this directs Staff to say,	9	again.
10	when you consider the tree, consider those	10	MR. WITHERS: So does the City have to
11	streets where the species and height	11	abide by the same rule of eight-foot clear,
12	predominate. It doesn't mean that they're	12	because the Florida One Standard is what, six
13	mandated to do that, but it does require them	13	feet, on an Oak tree? When they rate their
14	to consider it.	14	trees, I think it's six feet, and I think the
15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Which they do.	15	City has the Florida One Standards requirement.
16	MS. CABRERA: Which she does, because she	16	Do you know that?
17	makes sure that it's appropriate for the	17	MS. CABRERA: If you're over the street,
18	street, that it works well with the other	18	but if it's not over the street
19	species, but it does not mean that she wouldn't	19	MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry?
20	allow something else.	20	MS. CABRERA: If over the street, but if
21	MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Compatible.	21	it's not over the street, there is no clearance
22	MR. COLLER: The other thing, of course,	22	requirements.
23	now we're putting a minimum of eight feet from	23	MR. WITHERS: If it's in the swale, would
24	the clear trunk height	24	the City have to have them
25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: requirement. Which	25	MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Grade and standards is
	Page 67		Page 68
1	Page 67 what you're referring to for trees?	1	Page 68 MR. BEHAR: Yes.
1 2		1 2	
	what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well?		MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
2	what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting?	2	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
2 3 4 5	what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well?	2 3 4 5	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes.
2 3 4 5 6	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk 	2 3 4 5 6	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk MR. WITHERS: Yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. The minimum vote for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk MR. WITHERS: Yeah. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: means the foliage 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. The minimum vote for a recommendation is four, which is what you have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk MR. WITHERS: Yeah. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: means the foliage is taller than that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. The minimum vote for a recommendation is four, which is what you have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a four to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk MR. WITHERS: Yeah. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: means the foliage is taller than that. MS. CABRERA: Yeah. What he's saying is, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. The minimum vote for a recommendation is four, which is what you have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a four to two.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk MR. WITHERS: Yeah. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: means the foliage is taller than that. MS. CABRERA: Yeah. What he's saying is, does this apply on a City planted tree, and, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. The minimum vote for a recommendation is four, which is what you have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a four to two. MR. REVUELTA: That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk MR. WITHERS: Yeah. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: means the foliage is taller than that. MS. CABRERA: Yeah. What he's saying is, does this apply on a City planted tree, and, yes, it would. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. The minimum vote for a recommendation is four, which is what you have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a four to two. MR. REVUELTA: That's right. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk MR. WITHERS: Yeah. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: means the foliage is taller than that. MS. CABRERA: Yeah. What he's saying is, does this apply on a City planted tree, and, yes, it would. MR. BEHAR: Probably not. Probably not. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. The minimum vote for a recommendation is four, which is what you have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a four to two. MR. REVUELTA: That's right. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk MR. WITHERS: Yeah. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: means the foliage is taller than that. MS. CABRERA: Yeah. What he's saying is, does this apply on a City planted tree, and, yes, it would. MR. BEHAR: Probably not. Probably not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. We have a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. The minimum vote for a recommendation is four, which is what you have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a four to two. MR. REVUELTA: That's right. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Okay. Thank you. MR. WITHERS: Thank you, Guys.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk MR. WITHERS: Yeah. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: means the foliage is taller than that. MS. CABRERA: Yeah. What he's saying is, does this apply on a City planted tree, and, yes, it would. MR. BEHAR: Probably not. Probably not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. We have a motion and a second. Let's go ahead and call 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. The minimum vote for a recommendation is four, which is what you have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a four to two. MR. REVUELTA: That's right. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Okay. Thank you. MR. WITHERS: Thank you, Guys. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The next item, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk MR. WITHERS: Yeah. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: means the foliage is taller than that. MS. CABRERA: Yeah. What he's saying is, does this apply on a City planted tree, and, yes, it would. MR. BEHAR: Probably not. Probably not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. We have a motion and a second. Let's go ahead and call the roll, please. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. The minimum vote for a recommendation is four, which is what you have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a four to two. MR. REVUELTA: That's right. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Okay. Thank you. MR. WITHERS: Thank you, Guys. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The next item, please. MR. COLLER: Item G-1, an Ordinance of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk MR. WITHERS: Yeah. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: means the foliage is taller than that. MS. CABRERA: Yeah. What he's saying is, does this apply on a City planted tree, and, yes, it would. MR. BEHAR: Probably not. Probably not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. We have a motion and a second. Let's go ahead and call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. The minimum vote for a recommendation is four, which is what you have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a four to two. MR. REVUELTA: That's right. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Okay. Thank you. MR. WITHERS: Thank you, Guys. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The next item, please. MR. COLLER: Item G-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables Florida
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk MR. WITHERS: Yeah. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: means the foliage is taller than that. MS. CABRERA: Yeah. What he's saying is, does this apply on a City planted tree, and, yes, it would. MR. BEHAR: Probably not. Probably not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. We have a motion and a second. Let's go ahead and call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. The minimum vote for a recommendation is four, which is what you have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a four to two. MR. REVUELTA: That's right. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Okay. Thank you. MR. WITHERS: Thank you, Guys. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The next item, please. MR. COLLER: Item G-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk MR. WITHERS: Yeah. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: means the foliage is taller than that. MS. CABRERA: Yeah. What he's saying is, does this apply on a City planted tree, and, yes, it would. MR. BEHAR: Probably not. Probably not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. We have a motion and a second. Let's go ahead and call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: No. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. The minimum vote for a recommendation is four, which is what you have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a four to two. MR. REVUELTA: That's right. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Okay. Thank you. MR. WITHERS: Thank you, Guys. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The next item, please. MR. COLLER: Item G-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk MR. WITHERS: Yeah. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: means the foliage is taller than that. MS. CABRERA: Yeah. What he's saying is, does this apply on a City planted tree, and, yes, it would. MR. BEHAR: Probably not. Probably not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. We have a motion and a second. Let's go ahead and call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: No. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. The minimum vote for a recommendation is four, which is what you have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a four to two. MR. REVUELTA: That's right. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Okay. Thank you. MR. WITHERS: Thank you, Guys. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The next item, please. MR. COLLER: Item G-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 2, "Zoning Districts," Section 2-402,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk MR. WITHERS: Yeah. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: means the foliage is taller than that. MS. CABRERA: Yeah. What he's saying is, does this apply on a City planted tree, and, yes, it would. MR. BEHAR: Probably not. Probably not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. We have a motion and a second. Let's go ahead and call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: No. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. The minimum vote for a recommendation is four, which is what you have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a four to two. MR. REVUELTA: That's right. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Okay. Thank you. MR. WITHERS: Thank you, Guys. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The next item, please. MR. COLLER: Item G-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 2, "Zoning Districts," Section 2-402, "Zain/Friedman Miracle Mile Downtown District
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk MR. WITHERS: Yeah. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: means the foliage is taller than that. MS. CABRERA: Yeah. What he's saying is, does this apply on a City planted tree, and, yes, it would. MR. BEHAR: Probably not. Probably not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. We have a motion and a second. Let's go ahead and call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: No. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. The minimum vote for a recommendation is four, which is what you have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a four to two. MR. REVUELTA: That's right. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Okay. Thank you. MR. WITHERS: Thank you, Guys. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The next item, please. MR. COLLER: Item G-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 2, "Zoning Districts," Section 2-402, "Zain/Friedman Miracle Mile Downtown District Overlay" to promote quality design and to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk MR. WITHERS: Yeah. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: means the foliage is taller than that. MS. CABRERA: Yeah. What he's saying is, does this apply on a City planted tree, and, yes, it would. MR. BEHAR: Probably not. Probably not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. We have a motion and a second. Let's go ahead and call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: No. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. The minimum vote for a recommendation is four, which is what you have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a four to two. MR. REVUELTA: That's right. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: That's right. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. WITHERS: Thank you, Guys. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The next item, please. MR. COLLER: Item G-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 2, "Zoning Districts," Section 2-402, "Zain/Friedman Miracle Mile Downtown District Overlay" to promote quality design and to reduce the size of property required for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 what you're referring to for trees? MR. WITHERS: So the City would only have to put a tree a minimum of eight feet, as well? Is this applicable to City planting? MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Eight feet clear trunk MR. WITHERS: Yeah. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: means the foliage is taller than that. MS. CABRERA: Yeah. What he's saying is, does this apply on a City planted tree, and, yes, it would. MR. BEHAR: Probably not. Probably not. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. We have a motion and a second. Let's go ahead and call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: No. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. BEHAR: Only took an hour and five minutes. MR. REVUELTA: Is four a recommendation? MR. COLLER: Yes. The minimum vote for a recommendation is four, which is what you have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a four to two. MR. REVUELTA: That's right. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. BELL-LLEWELLYN: Okay. Thank you. MR. WITHERS: Thank you, Guys. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The next item, please. MR. COLLER: Item G-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article 2, "Zoning Districts," Section 2-402, "Zain/Friedman Miracle Mile Downtown District Overlay" to promote quality design and to

	Page 69		Page 70
1	repeater, codification, and an effective date.	1	to Page 2, that's kind of the bulk change, in
2	Item G-1, public hearing.	2	my opinion, and that's just clarifying that
3	MS. GARCIA: Good evening, Jennifer Garcia,	3	whatever conditions the Commission imposes,
4	City Planner.	4	that wouldn't deprive the property to be less
5	So this is a sponsored text amendment from	5	than what they're allowed to have, which is 3.5
6	the Commission, and they're interested in	6	FAR.
7	lowering the requirement of requiring a	7	MR. REVUELTA: Is this Ordinance 2022
8	Conditional Use process. A Conditional Use	8	MS. GARCIA: Yes.
9	process is what you're used to. All of the	9	MR. REVUELTA: what we're supposed to be
10	applications you see are a Conditional Use. It	10	looking at?
11	requires DRC, Planning and Zoning Board	11	MS. GARCIA: Yes. It's Item G-1. It
12	recommendation, and Commission for approval.	12	should be printed on the corner.
13	So, right now, the requirement for Miracle	13	MR. TORRE: I'm sorry, say again what you
14	Mile, a property on Miracle Mile, and, really,	14	just said about the FAR? I didn't quite
15	anywhere City-wide, if you're over 20,000	15	understand.
16	square feet, you're required the Conditional	16	MS. GARCIA: So, right now, you can develop
17	Use process, which requires, again, DRC,	17	on Miracle Mile with a maximum 3.5 FAR or 3.0
18	Planning and Zoning Board, Board of Architects	18	FAR if you're doing Med Bonus. So this is
19	in between there, and also Commission approval	19	language that I think is red in your is it
20	to get approval. So this is lowering that	20	red or the purple?
21	requirement just for the four blocks that are	21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Blue.
22	Miracle Mile.	22	MS. GARCIA: The new language it's blue?
23	So there was a change to some of the	23	Okay. There you go. Okay. It's blue. That
24	language, that I printed off for each of you.	24	clarifies that whatever the Commission imposes,
25	There's one extra copy over there. If you go	25	as far as conditions, as part of the
			\mathbf{D}_{2}
1	Page 71	1	Page 72
1	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that	1	developing a property that's 10,000 feet or
2	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to	2	developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote
2 3	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus.	2 3	developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway.
2 3 4	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how	2 3 4	developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going
2 3 4 5	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about?	2 3 4 5	developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will
2 3 4 5 6	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed	2 3 4 5 6	developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed developments on Miracle Mile, and the	2 3 4 5 6 7	developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use? MS. GARCIA: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed developments on Miracle Mile, and the Commission felt that a Commissioner, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use? MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But they don't have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed developments on Miracle Mile, and the Commission felt that – a Commissioner, in particular, felt the Commission should have	2 3 4 5 6 7	developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use? MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But they don't have to come to the Planning and Zoning.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed developments on Miracle Mile, and the Commission felt that a Commissioner, in particular, felt the Commission should have more input on those properties on Miracle Mile.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use? MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But they don't have to come to the Planning and Zoning. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed developments on Miracle Mile, and the Commission felt that – a Commissioner, in particular, felt the Commission should have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use? MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But they don't have to come to the Planning and Zoning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed developments on Miracle Mile, and the Commission felt that a Commissioner, in particular, felt the Commission should have more input on those properties on Miracle Mile. So that's kind of what spurt this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use? MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But they don't have to come to the Planning and Zoning. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it MS. GARCIA: No, but they would have to go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed developments on Miracle Mile, and the Commission felt that – a Commissioner, in particular, felt the Commission should have more input on those properties on Miracle Mile. So that's kind of what spurt this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: By not having to come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use? MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But they don't have to come to the Planning and Zoning. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it MS. GARCIA: No, but they would have to go to the Planning and Zoning Board, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed developments on Miracle Mile, and the Commission felt that – a Commissioner, in particular, felt the Commission should have more input on those properties on Miracle Mile. So that's kind of what spurt this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: By not having to come before the Planning and Zoning Board, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use? MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But they don't have to come to the Planning and Zoning. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it MS. GARCIA: No, but they would have to go to the Planning and Zoning Board, because that's part of the Conditional Use process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed developments on Miracle Mile, and the Commission felt that a Commissioner, in particular, felt the Commission should have more input on those properties on Miracle Mile. So that's kind of what spurt this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: By not having to come before the Planning and Zoning Board, that gives more power to the Commission?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use? MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But they don't have to come to the Planning and Zoning. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it MS. GARCIA: No, but they would have to go to the Planning and Zoning Board, because that's part of the Conditional Use process. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed developments on Miracle Mile, and the Commission felt that a Commissioner, in particular, felt the Commission should have more input on those properties on Miracle Mile. So that's kind of what spurt this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: By not having to come before the Planning and Zoning Board, that gives more power to the Commission? MS. GARCIA: No, it's just making less,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use? MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But they don't have to come to the Planning and Zoning. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it MS. GARCIA: No, but they would have to go to the Planning and Zoning Board, because that's part of the Conditional Use process. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it more difficult?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed developments on Miracle Mile, and the Commission felt that a Commissioner, in particular, felt the Commission should have more input on those properties on Miracle Mile. So that's kind of what spurt this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: By not having to come before the Planning and Zoning Board, that gives more power to the Commission? MS. GARCIA: No, it's just making less, quote/unquote, by right, and I should actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use? MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But they don't have to come to the Planning and Zoning. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it MS. GARCIA: No, but they would have to go to the Planning and Zoning Board, because that's part of the Conditional Use process. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it more difficult? MS. GARCIA: You're making the thought
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed developments on Miracle Mile, and the Commission felt that – a Commissioner, in particular, felt the Commission should have more input on those properties on Miracle Mile. So that's kind of what spurt this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: By not having to come before the Planning and Zoning Board, that gives more power to the Commission? MS. GARCIA: No, it's just making less, quote/unquote, by right, and I should actually go back a little bit. Miracle Mile is required	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use? MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But they don't have to come to the Planning and Zoning. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it MS. GARCIA: No, but they would have to go to the Planning and Zoning Board, because that's part of the Conditional Use process. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it more difficult? MS. GARCIA: You're making the thought is that they're already difficult to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed developments on Miracle Mile, and the Commission felt that a Commissioner, in particular, felt the Commission should have more input on those properties on Miracle Mile. So that's kind of what spurt this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: By not having to come before the Planning and Zoning Board, that gives more power to the Commission? MS. GARCIA: No, it's just making less, quote/unquote, by right, and I should actually go back a little bit. Miracle Mile is required to have remote parking. That's the only place	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use? MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But they don't have to come to the Planning and Zoning. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it MS. GARCIA: No, but they would have to go to the Planning and Zoning Board, because that's part of the Conditional Use process. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it more difficult? MS. GARCIA: You're making the thought is that they're already difficult to get something approved, because of the remote
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed developments on Miracle Mile, and the Commission felt that a Commissioner, in particular, felt the Commission should have more input on those properties on Miracle Mile. So that's kind of what spurt this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: By not having to come before the Planning and Zoning Board, that gives more power to the Commission? MS. GARCIA: No, it's just making less, quote/unquote, by right, and I should actually go back a little bit. Miracle Mile is required to have remote parking. That's the only place in the entire City that you're required to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use? MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But they don't have to come to the Planning and Zoning. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it MS. GARCIA: No, but they would have to go to the Planning and Zoning Board, because that's part of the Conditional Use process. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it more difficult? MS. GARCIA: You're making the thought is that they're already difficult to get something approved, because of the remote parking requirement, which is also already
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed developments on Miracle Mile, and the Commission felt that a Commissioner, in particular, felt the Commission should have more input on those properties on Miracle Mile. So that's kind of what spurt this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: By not having to come before the Planning and Zoning Board, that gives more power to the Commission? MS. GARCIA: No, it's just making less, quote/unquote, by right, and I should actually go back a little bit. Miracle Mile is required to have remote parking. That's the only place in the entire City that you're required to have remote parking. And if you remember, remote	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use? MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But they don't have to come to the Planning and Zoning. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it MS. GARCIA: No, but they would have to go to the Planning and Zoning Board, because that's part of the Conditional Use process. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it more difficult? MS. GARCIA: You're making the thought is that they're already difficult to get something approved, because of the remote parking requirement, which is also already requiring a Condition Use. So you're adding in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed developments on Miracle Mile, and the Commission felt that – a Commissioner, in particular, felt the Commission should have more input on those properties on Miracle Mile. So that's kind of what spurt this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: By not having to come before the Planning and Zoning Board, that gives more power to the Commission? MS. GARCIA: No, it's just making less, quote/unquote, by right, and I should actually go back a little bit. Miracle Mile is required to have remote parking. That's the only place in the entire City that you're required to have remote parking. And if you remember, remote parking is also a Conditional Use. So that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use? MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But they don't have to come to the Planning and Zoning. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it MS. GARCIA: No, but they would have to go to the Planning and Zoning Board, because that's part of the Conditional Use process. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it more difficult? MS. GARCIA: You're making the thought is that they're already difficult to get something approved, because of the remote parking requirement, which is also already requiring a Condition Use. So you're adding in an additional review, additional fees, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed developments on Miracle Mile, and the Commission felt that – a Commissioner, in particular, felt the Commission should have more input on those properties on Miracle Mile. So that's kind of what spurt this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: By not having to come before the Planning and Zoning Board, that gives more power to the Commission? MS. GARCIA: No, it's just making less, quote/unquote, by right, and I should actually go back a little bit. Miracle Mile is required to have remote parking. That's the only place in the entire City that you're required to have remote parking. And if you remember, remote parking is also a Conditional Use. So that's why the Commission felt that it was reasonable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use? MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But they don't have to come to the Planning and Zoning. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it MS. GARCIA: No, but they would have to go to the Planning and Zoning Board, because that's part of the Conditional Use process. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it more difficult? MS. GARCIA: You're making the thought is that they're already difficult to get something approved, because of the remote parking requirement, which is also already requiring a Condition Use. So you're adding in an additional review, additional fees, but they're going through the same process,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Conditional Use process, can't deprive that property owner of what they're allowed to build, which is 3.0 FAR or 3.5 with Med Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you tell us, how does this come about? MS. GARCIA: Well, there's some proposed developments on Miracle Mile, and the Commission felt that a Commissioner, in particular, felt the Commission should have more input on those properties on Miracle Mile. So that's kind of what spurt this. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: By not having to come before the Planning and Zoning Board, that gives more power to the Commission? MS. GARCIA: No, it's just making less, quote/unquote, by right, and I should actually go back a little bit. Miracle Mile is required to have remote parking. That's the only place in the entire City that you're required to have remote parking. And if you remember, remote parking is also a Conditional Use. So that's why the Commission felt that it was reasonable to request that the minimum lot size to require	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 developing a property that's 10,000 feet or more, you're going to request the remote parking anyway. MR. BEHAR: You're saying that we're going to lower, you know, to 10,000 and you will require Conditional Use? MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But they don't have to come to the Planning and Zoning. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it MS. GARCIA: No, but they would have to go to the Planning and Zoning Board, because that's part of the Conditional Use process. MR. BEHAR: Are we not making it more difficult? MS. GARCIA: You're making the thought is that they're already difficult to get something approved, because of the remote parking requirement, which is also already requiring a Condition Use. So you're adding in an additional review, additional fees, but they're going through the same process, because, as you know, most of these projects

	Page 73		Page 74
1	MR. BEHAR: It seems to me that we're	1	THE SECRETARY: Yes, we do.
2	making it more difficult for every property	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you call the first
3	that comes in, in Miracle Mile, and I would	3	person, please?
4	like to hear maybe from, you know from an	4	MR. HOLMES: Greetings. It's good to see
5	attorney, to see what, but it seems to me that	5	you all.
6	this, what it does, creates more obstacle to	6	THE SECRETARY: Sorry, can you state your
7	develop on Miracle Mile.	7	name and address?
8	MS. GARCIA: Yes. I mean, you can	8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Could you state your
9	definitely see it that way. The Commission	9	name?
10	sees it as an extra set of eyes, that they can	10	MR. HOLMES: Thank you very much.
11	be able to see more projects on those four	11	My name is Rip Holmes. I'm a Miracle Mile
12	blocks of Miracle Mile, but definitely you can	12	property owner.
13	see it the other point of view is that	13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you state your
14	you're requiring an additional requirement.	14	physical address, please
15	MS. MIRO: Which Commissioner, you said,	15	MR. HOLMES: 35 Sidonia Avenue, Coral Gables.
16	brought it forward?	16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: for the record.
17	MS. GARCIA: Commissioner Anderson.	17	MR. HOLMES: I'd offer to joke with you
18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Do you have further	18	all, but I'm one of the few people with an
19	presentation or	19	inexpensive apartment. I may have the least
20	MS. GARCIA: No, that's it. I'm here to	20	expensive apartment in Coral Gables. It took a
21	answer any questions.	21	long search.
22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	22	So, anyways, I'm confused, like I think you
23	Before we go ahead and bring an attorney or	23	are. I didn't do my homework on this item,
24	somebody that would like to speak on it, do we	24	and so I'll just express my panic, because I
25	have any speakers on this item?	25	don't even know what the item is proposing.
		23	don't even know what the rent is proposing.
	Page 75		Page 76
1	The fact that you're confused, Mr. Behar, I	1	developer kings, because they control the
2	mean, it's like we're both coming at this	2	contributions, unlimited donations to PACs, and
3	probably from opposite views. You're not sure	3	so there's only so much I can say, and at the
4	what it is and I'm not sure what it is, but,	4	end of the day, if you're talking with our
5	anyway, so here's my panic button.	5	friend here, Venny Torre, who is partially a
6	The reality is, if you think of your own	6	developer, you have to say, are you going to
7	shopping, that if you go to a place and you	7	end up squeezing yourself out of parking?
8	can't park or it's so hard to park that you're	8	And I think that if you go very far with
9	losing time on other things you have to do,	9	this remote parking first of all, there is
10	you're going to be less likely or even unlikely	10	no remote parking. The only parking that can
11	to return, because people in their stressed	11	be done for remote is to raid
12	daze don't have extra time to remote park. If	12	MR. BEHAR: But
13	that adds forty minutes while you're walking to	13	MS. HOLMES: Wait a second. Let me just
14	and from your remote park or whatever, at some	14	finish.
15	point, you say, "Okay, I'm going somewhere	15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Excuse me, let me just
16	else. I don't have the extra time."	16	state that the remote parking is G-2, the next
1 7	a b b b b b b b b b b	17	itam

17 So my panic button is, anything that item. 18 MR. BEHAR: It's coming up. This is not. approves remote parking is basically poison to the prosperity of Miracle Mile, and I really 19 This is something else. 20 view this as a panic button, because nobody --MR. HOLMES: These are two divorced items? 21 They're separate? They're not connected? the developers now, forgive the expression MR. BEHAR: Mr. Chair, can I? here, we live -- thanks to Citizens United, 22 23 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sure. Please. developers can contribute most to the political MR. BEHAR: When it comes to that other campaigns, and if we -- Plato had philosopher 24 item, you could speak on that item. This is -kings. We live in an era and an epic of 25

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Page 77		Page 78
1	we're dealing with something else right now.	1	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Sure. I don't think
2	When G-2 comes, you could speak on that, and	2	attorneys have to be sworn in, but I'll
3	that's what you're referring to, the remote	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Attorneys don't.
4	parking. We're not discussing	4	MR. WITHERS: Oh, I know those are the
5	MR. HOLMES: I hope that your optimism is	5	people that should be sworn in. I don't know
6	correct. I'd like to believe that these two	6	where we went wrong on that one.
7	items are completely separate.	7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jill, just to be
8	MR. BEHAR: This is an item that is not	8	clear, in Chambers, we don't have anybody else
9	right now we're not dealing with remote	9	signed up?
10	parking, so let's keep it	10	THE SECRETARY: No.
11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're welcome to	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sorry about that.
12	speak. I'm not going to tell you're not	12	Thank you.
13	welcome to speak. We always encourage people	13	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: That's quite all right.
14	to speak.	14	By the way, good evening, Mr. Chair,
15	MR. HOLMES: No, I hear you. So I'll	15	Members of the Board. Mario Garcia-Serra, with
16	surrender to the fact that I'm confused and	16	offices at 600 Brickell Avenue, here tonight
17	I'll sit down and I'll wait for the next item.	17	because I do represent several entities that do
18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.	18	own property along the Mile, and I think we
19	Mr. Holmes, you're always welcome to speak.	19	sort of have to put in context it's good for
20	MR. HOLMES: Thanks.	20	the discussion what the Mile has experienced
21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Do we have a next	21	over the last year, because, if you remember,
22	person?	22	this Board was part of it back last year.
23	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I can sign-in, if you'd	23	There were significant amendments done to this
24	like.	24	Overlay District, which brought down height,
25	THE SECRETARY: Were you sworn in?	25	took away the ability to utilize TDRs along
	Page 79		Page 80
1	Miracle Mile, which were approved last year.	1	
2		-	reductions in the maximum permitted floor area
	And so, now, there are some projects that are	2	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you
3	coming along or perhaps considering moving		
		2	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you
3 4 5	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations as they were adopted last year, and now this	2 3 4 5	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come
3 4	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations	2 3 4	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come from some suggestions that I have made to try
3 4 5	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations as they were adopted last year, and now this possibility of a Site Plan of an additional Site Plan review process has come.	2 3 4 5	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come from some suggestions that I have made to try to protect those properties and the development
3 4 5 6	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations as they were adopted last year, and now this possibility of a Site Plan of an additional Site Plan review process has come. Now, keep in mind, as Jennifer mentioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come from some suggestions that I have made to try to protect those properties and the development rights of those properties from being deprived
3 4 5 6 7	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations as they were adopted last year, and now this possibility of a Site Plan of an additional Site Plan review process has come. Now, keep in mind, as Jennifer mentioned already, and I think Mr. Holmes was alluding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come from some suggestions that I have made to try to protect those properties and the development rights of those properties from being deprived of any futher floor area or height as part of
3 4 5 6 7 8	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations as they were adopted last year, and now this possibility of a Site Plan of an additional Site Plan review process has come. Now, keep in mind, as Jennifer mentioned already, and I think Mr. Holmes was alluding to, one of the changes that happened last year	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come from some suggestions that I have made to try to protect those properties and the development rights of those properties from being deprived of any futher floor area or height as part of this new Conditional Use Site Plan review
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations as they were adopted last year, and now this possibility of a Site Plan of an additional Site Plan review process has come. Now, keep in mind, as Jennifer mentioned already, and I think Mr. Holmes was alluding to, one of the changes that happened last year for Miracle Mile was the requirement that all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come from some suggestions that I have made to try to protect those properties and the development rights of those properties from being deprived of any futher floor area or height as part of this new Conditional Use Site Plan review process. That's one thing I think you could
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations as they were adopted last year, and now this possibility of a Site Plan of an additional Site Plan review process has come. Now, keep in mind, as Jennifer mentioned already, and I think Mr. Holmes was alluding to, one of the changes that happened last year for Miracle Mile was the requirement that all properties fronting Miracle Mile have to remote	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come from some suggestions that I have made to try to protect those properties and the development rights of those properties from being deprived of any futher floor area or height as part of this new Conditional Use Site Plan review process. That's one thing I think you could potentially do to grant some assurance to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations as they were adopted last year, and now this possibility of a Site Plan of an additional Site Plan review process has come. Now, keep in mind, as Jennifer mentioned already, and I think Mr. Holmes was alluding to, one of the changes that happened last year for Miracle Mile was the requirement that all properties fronting Miracle Mile have to remote park. So because of that remote parking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come from some suggestions that I have made to try to protect those properties and the development rights of those properties from being deprived of any futher floor area or height as part of this new Conditional Use Site Plan review process. That's one thing I think you could potentially do to grant some assurance to property owners along the Mile. You could also
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations as they were adopted last year, and now this possibility of a Site Plan of an additional Site Plan review process has come. Now, keep in mind, as Jennifer mentioned already, and I think Mr. Holmes was alluding to, one of the changes that happened last year for Miracle Mile was the requirement that all properties fronting Miracle Mile have to remote park. So because of that remote parking requirement for all properties along Miracle	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come from some suggestions that I have made to try to protect those properties and the development rights of those properties from being deprived of any futher floor area or height as part of this new Conditional Use Site Plan review process. That's one thing I think you could potentially do to grant some assurance to property owners along the Mile. You could also maybe try to exempt projects that are already
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations as they were adopted last year, and now this possibility of a Site Plan of an additional Site Plan review process has come. Now, keep in mind, as Jennifer mentioned already, and I think Mr. Holmes was alluding to, one of the changes that happened last year for Miracle Mile was the requirement that all properties fronting Miracle Mile have to remote park. So because of that remote parking requirement for all properties along Miracle Mile, they already are going to go through a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come from some suggestions that I have made to try to protect those properties and the development rights of those properties from being deprived of any futher floor area or height as part of this new Conditional Use Site Plan review process. That's one thing I think you could potentially do to grant some assurance to property owners along the Mile. You could also maybe try to exempt projects that are already going through the process right now. You know,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations as they were adopted last year, and now this possibility of a Site Plan of an additional Site Plan review process has come. Now, keep in mind, as Jennifer mentioned already, and I think Mr. Holmes was alluding to, one of the changes that happened last year for Miracle Mile was the requirement that all properties fronting Miracle Mile have to remote park. So because of that remote parking requirement for all properties along Miracle Mile, they already are going to go through a Conditional Use approval process for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come from some suggestions that I have made to try to protect those properties and the development rights of those properties from being deprived of any futher floor area or height as part of this new Conditional Use Site Plan review process. That's one thing I think you could potentially do to grant some assurance to property owners along the Mile. You could also maybe try to exempt projects that are already going through the process right now. You know, there's a host of things.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations as they were adopted last year, and now this possibility of a Site Plan of an additional Site Plan review process has come. Now, keep in mind, as Jennifer mentioned already, and I think Mr. Holmes was alluding to, one of the changes that happened last year for Miracle Mile was the requirement that all properties fronting Miracle Mile have to remote park. So because of that remote parking requirement for all properties along Miracle Mile, they already are going to go through a Conditional Use approval process for the purpose of getting the remote parking approved.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come from some suggestions that I have made to try to protect those properties and the development rights of those properties from being deprived of any futher floor area or height as part of this new Conditional Use Site Plan review process. That's one thing I think you could potentially do to grant some assurance to property owners along the Mile. You could also maybe try to exempt projects that are already going through the process right now. You know, there's a host of things. But, certainly, where I'm coming from,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations as they were adopted last year, and now this possibility of a Site Plan of an additional Site Plan review process has come. Now, keep in mind, as Jennifer mentioned already, and I think Mr. Holmes was alluding to, one of the changes that happened last year for Miracle Mile was the requirement that all properties fronting Miracle Mile have to remote park. So because of that remote parking requirement for all properties along Miracle Mile, they already are going to go through a Conditional Use approval process for the purpose of getting the remote parking approved. So it will ultimately come to this Board, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come from some suggestions that I have made to try to protect those properties and the development rights of those properties from being deprived of any futher floor area or height as part of this new Conditional Use Site Plan review process. That's one thing I think you could potentially do to grant some assurance to property owners along the Mile. You could also maybe try to exempt projects that are already going through the process right now. You know, there's a host of things. But, certainly, where I'm coming from, where I think most property owners on the Mile
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations as they were adopted last year, and now this possibility of a Site Plan of an additional Site Plan review process has come. Now, keep in mind, as Jennifer mentioned already, and I think Mr. Holmes was alluding to, one of the changes that happened last year for Miracle Mile was the requirement that all properties fronting Miracle Mile have to remote park. So because of that remote parking requirement for all properties along Miracle Mile, they already are going to go through a Conditional Use approval process for the purpose of getting the remote parking approved. So it will ultimately come to this Board, and then ultimately the City Commission.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come from some suggestions that I have made to try to protect those properties and the development rights of those properties from being deprived of any futher floor area or height as part of this new Conditional Use Site Plan review process. That's one thing I think you could potentially do to grant some assurance to property owners along the Mile. You could also maybe try to exempt projects that are already going through the process right now. You know, there's a host of things. But, certainly, where I'm coming from, where I think most property owners on the Mile are coming from is, we already went through a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations as they were adopted last year, and now this possibility of a Site Plan of an additional Site Plan review process has come. Now, keep in mind, as Jennifer mentioned already, and I think Mr. Holmes was alluding to, one of the changes that happened last year for Miracle Mile was the requirement that all properties fronting Miracle Mile have to remote park. So because of that remote parking requirement for all properties along Miracle Mile, they already are going to go through a Conditional Use approval process for the purpose of getting the remote parking approved. So it will ultimately come to this Board, and then ultimately the City Commission. Now what's proposed is, aside from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come from some suggestions that I have made to try to protect those properties and the development rights of those properties from being deprived of any futher floor area or height as part of this new Conditional Use Site Plan review process. That's one thing I think you could potentially do to grant some assurance to property owners along the Mile. You could also maybe try to exempt projects that are already going through the process right now. You know, there's a host of things. But, certainly, where I'm coming from, where I think most property owners on the Mile are coming from is, we already went through a pretty grueling process last year with regards
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations as they were adopted last year, and now this possibility of a Site Plan of an additional Site Plan review process has come. Now, keep in mind, as Jennifer mentioned already, and I think Mr. Holmes was alluding to, one of the changes that happened last year for Miracle Mile was the requirement that all properties fronting Miracle Mile have to remote park. So because of that remote parking requirement for all properties along Miracle Mile, they already are going to go through a Conditional Use approval process for the purpose of getting the remote parking approved. So it will ultimately come to this Board, and then ultimately the City Commission. Now what's proposed is, aside from the Conditional Use review of the remote parking, a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come from some suggestions that I have made to try to protect those properties and the development rights of those properties from being deprived of any futher floor area or height as part of this new Conditional Use Site Plan review process. That's one thing I think you could potentially do to grant some assurance to property owners along the Mile. You could also maybe try to exempt projects that are already going through the process right now. You know, there's a host of things. But, certainly, where I'm coming from, where I think most property owners on the Mile are coming from is, we already went through a pretty grueling process last year with regards to amendments to the Overlay District. Let's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations as they were adopted last year, and now this possibility of a Site Plan of an additional Site Plan review process has come. Now, keep in mind, as Jennifer mentioned already, and I think Mr. Holmes was alluding to, one of the changes that happened last year for Miracle Mile was the requirement that all properties fronting Miracle Mile have to remote park. So because of that remote parking requirement for all properties along Miracle Mile, they already are going to go through a Conditional Use approval process for the purpose of getting the remote parking approved. So it will ultimately come to this Board, and then ultimately the City Commission. Now what's proposed is, aside from the Conditional Use review of the remote parking, a Conditional Use review of the Site Plan, all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come from some suggestions that I have made to try to protect those properties and the development rights of those properties from being deprived of any futher floor area or height as part of this new Conditional Use Site Plan review process. That's one thing I think you could potentially do to grant some assurance to property owners along the Mile. You could also maybe try to exempt projects that are already going through the process right now. You know, there's a host of things. But, certainly, where I'm coming from, where I think most property owners on the Mile are coming from is, we already went through a pretty grueling process last year with regards to amendments to the Overlay District. Let's not make this an additional grueling process of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	coming along or perhaps considering moving forward with the Overlay District Regulations as they were adopted last year, and now this possibility of a Site Plan of an additional Site Plan review process has come. Now, keep in mind, as Jennifer mentioned already, and I think Mr. Holmes was alluding to, one of the changes that happened last year for Miracle Mile was the requirement that all properties fronting Miracle Mile have to remote park. So because of that remote parking requirement for all properties along Miracle Mile, they already are going to go through a Conditional Use approval process for the purpose of getting the remote parking approved. So it will ultimately come to this Board, and then ultimately the City Commission. Now what's proposed is, aside from the Conditional Use review of the remote parking, a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	or height or whatever it might be. And so, you know, I'll throw out there that, those revisions that are on the revised version presented to you, some of them, I think, come from some suggestions that I have made to try to protect those properties and the development rights of those properties from being deprived of any futher floor area or height as part of this new Conditional Use Site Plan review process. That's one thing I think you could potentially do to grant some assurance to property owners along the Mile. You could also maybe try to exempt projects that are already going through the process right now. You know, there's a host of things. But, certainly, where I'm coming from, where I think most property owners on the Mile are coming from is, we already went through a pretty grueling process last year with regards to amendments to the Overlay District. Let's

25

25

ultimately maybe opening up the door to further

Jill, do we have nobody else in Chambers?

	Page 81		Page 82
1	THE SECRETARY: No, no one else.	1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny? Would you like
2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Do we have anybody on	2	to ask any questions?
3	Zoom?	3	MR. TORRE: Sure. You know, I go to some
4	THE SECRETARY: No.	4	of the things that I bring up once in a while,
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody on phone	5	which I think goes back to something Chip has
6	platform?	6	said in the past, and it's about what's by
7	At this time, I'll go ahead and close it	7	rights and what's not by right in the City, and
8	for public comment; open it up for Board	8	that's a discussion that comes up quite a bit,
9	discussion.	9	build by what's right, build by what's right.
10	Luis.	10	At the end of the day, we keep removing the
11	MR. REVUELTA: I think if the Commission	11	by rights away and we keep giving more and more
12	wants to review smaller sites, because they	12	of the final say to the Commission, which I'm
13	have the concerns that they want to see and	13	not saying is good or bad. I'm just saying,
14	they want a Site Plan review, I have a	14	the trend has been, the projects that are
15	difficult time voting against the will of the	15	approved, good, bad or indifferent some of
16	Commission on this. Right now it's 20,000	16	them have been very controversial have been
17	square feet. So if you have a smaller	17	reviewed by Conditional Use by the Commission.
18	property, you have to go through that grueling	18	I'm not sure that a final word by the
19	process, like Mario was pointing out, but the	19	Commission is the ultimate best solution for
20	Commission probably has their reasons for	20	the City maybe it is, maybe it's not but
21	wanting to review and have their say in the	21	what we keep doing is taking away the by
22	oversight.	22	rights, to the point where it's almost designed
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Claudia.	23	by Commission here.
24	MS. MIRO: I want to listen to more	24	So this debate that opens the floor for the
25	comments.	25	whole citizenship to come up. Some people may
	Page 83		Page 84
			10.90 01
1	say, that's what we want. I'm not sure from	1	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see
1 2	say, that's what we want. I'm not sure from the business side or from the people who	1 2	_
	-		MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see
2	the business side or from the people who	2	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more
2 3	the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to	2 3	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects.
2 3 4 5 6	the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy
2 3 4 5	the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip. MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I kind of tend to agree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I didn't read the minutes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip. MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I kind of tend to agree with Venny on this one, but I don't maybe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I didn't read the minutes MS. GARCIA: I'm not sure if there are any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip. MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I kind of tend to agree with Venny on this one, but I don't maybe Staff I don't maybe I need a little more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I didn't read the minutes MS. GARCIA: I'm not sure if there are any that have really been done in the last few
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip. MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I kind of tend to agree with Venny on this one, but I don't maybe Staff I don't maybe I need a little more explanation. I mean, what is the concern? I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I didn't read the minutes MS. GARCIA: I'm not sure if there are any that have really been done in the last few years, besides the one that's, you know, by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip. MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I kind of tend to agree with Venny on this one, but I don't maybe Staff I don't maybe I need a little more explanation. I mean, what is the concern? I mean, at the end of the day, like I got tied up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I didn't read the minutes MS. GARCIA: Tm not sure if there are any that have really been done in the last few years, besides the one that's, you know, by right on the corner of Le Jeune and Miracle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip. MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I kind of tend to agree with Venny on this one, but I don't maybe Staff I don't maybe I need a little more explanation. I mean, what is the concern? I mean, at the end of the day, like I got tied up on that tree issue, at the end of the day, what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I didn't read the minutes MS. GARCIA: I'm not sure if there are any that have really been done in the last few years, besides the one that's, you know, by right on the corner of Le Jeune and Miracle Mile.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip. MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I kind of tend to agree with Venny on this one, but I don't maybe Staff I don't maybe I need a little more explanation. I mean, what is the concern? I mean, at the end of the day, like I got tied up on that tree issue, at the end of the day, what are we trying to accomplish five or ten years	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I didn't read the minutes MS. GARCIA: I'm not sure if there are any that have really been done in the last few years, besides the one that's, you know, by right on the corner of Le Jeune and Miracle Mile. MR. WITHERS: So what was the impetus from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip. MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I kind of tend to agree with Venny on this one, but I don't maybe Staff I don't maybe I need a little more explanation. I mean, what is the concern? I mean, at the end of the day, like I got tied up on that tree issue, at the end of the day, what are we trying to accomplish five or ten years from now? What's the long-term play on this?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I didn't read the minutes MS. GARCIA: I'm not sure if there are any that have really been done in the last few years, besides the one that's, you know, by right on the corner of Le Jeune and Miracle Mile. MR. WITHERS: So what was the impetus from Commissioner Anderson? Were you privy to those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip. MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I kind of tend to agree with Venny on this one, but I don't maybe Staff I don't maybe I need a little more explanation. I mean, what is the concern? I mean, at the end of the day, like I got tied up on that tree issue, at the end of the day, what are we trying to accomplish five or ten years from now? What's the long-term play on this? MS. GARCIA: The Commission wants people to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I didn't read the minutes MS. GARCIA: Tm not sure if there are any that have really been done in the last few years, besides the one that's, you know, by right on the corner of Le Jeune and Miracle Mile. MR. WITHERS: So what was the impetus from Commissioner Anderson? Were you privy to those discussions at the Commission Meeting,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip. MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I kind of tend to agree with Venny on this one, but I don't maybe Staff I don't maybe I need a little more explanation. I mean, what is the concern? I mean, at the end of the day, like I got tied up on that tree issue, at the end of the day, what are we trying to accomplish five or ten years from now? What's the long-term play on this? MS. GARCIA: The Commission wants people to see more projects on Miracle Mile. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I didn't read the minutes MS. GARCIA: I'm not sure if there are any that have really been done in the last few years, besides the one that's, you know, by right on the corner of Le Jeune and Miracle Mile. MR. WITHERS: So what was the impetus from Commissioner Anderson? Were you privy to those discussions at the Commission Meeting, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip. MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I kind of tend to agree with Venny on this one, but I don't maybe Staff I don't maybe I need a little more explanation. I mean, what is the concern? I mean, at the end of the day, like I got tied up on that tree issue, at the end of the day, what are we trying to accomplish five or ten years from now? What's the long-term play on this? MS. GARCIA: The Commission wants people to see more projects on Miracle Mile. I think that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I didn't read the minutes MS. GARCIA: I'm not sure if there are any that have really been done in the last few years, besides the one that's, you know, by right on the corner of Le Jeune and Miracle Mile. MR. WITHERS: So what was the impetus from Commissioner Anderson? Were you privy to those discussions at the Commission Meeting, because MS. GARCIA: I think the concern is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip. MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I kind of tend to agree with Venny on this one, but I don't maybe Staff I don't maybe I need a little more explanation. I mean, what is the concern? I mean, at the end of the day, like I got tied up on that tree issue, at the end of the day, what are we trying to accomplish five or ten years from now? What's the long-term play on this? MS. GARCIA: The Commission wants people to see more projects on Miracle Mile. I think that's MR. WITHERS: More projects?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I didn't read the minutes MS. GARCIA: I'm not sure if there are any that have really been done in the last few years, besides the one that's, you know, by right on the corner of Le Jeune and Miracle Mile. MR. WITHERS: So what was the impetus from Commissioner Anderson? Were you privy to those discussions at the Commission Meeting, because MS. GARCIA: I think the concern is that even though remote parking is required, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip. MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I kind of tend to agree with Venny on this one, but I don't maybe Staff I don't maybe I need a little more explanation. I mean, what is the concern? I mean, at the end of the day, like I got tied up on that tree issue, at the end of the day, what are we trying to accomplish five or ten years from now? What's the long-term play on this? MS. GARCIA: The Commission wants people to see more projects on Miracle Mile. I think that's MR. WITHERS: More projects? MS. GARCIA: Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I didn't read the minutes MS. GARCIA: I'm not sure if there are any that have really been done in the last few years, besides the one that's, you know, by right on the corner of Le Jeune and Miracle Mile. MR. WITHERS: So what was the impetus from Commissioner Anderson? Were you privy to those discussions at the Commission Meeting, because MS. GARCIA: I think the concern is that even though remote parking is required, that the Commission can't really get involved at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip. MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I kind of tend to agree with Venny on this one, but I don't maybe Staff I don't maybe I need a little more explanation. I mean, what is the concern? I mean, at the end of the day, like I got tied up on that tree issue, at the end of the day, what are we trying to accomplish five or ten years from now? What's the long-term play on this? MS. GARCIA: The Commission wants people to see more projects on Miracle Mile. I think that's MR. WITHERS: More projects? MS. GARCIA: Uh-huh. MR. WITHERS: And this is the way to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I didn't read the minutes MS. GARCIA: Tm not sure if there are any that have really been done in the last few years, besides the one that's, you know, by right on the corner of Le Jeune and Miracle Mile. MR. WITHERS: So what was the impetus from Commissioner Anderson? Were you privy to those discussions at the Commission Meeting, because MS. GARCIA: I think the concern is that even though remote parking is required, that the Commission can't really get involved at the Site Plan part of that aspect. So she wants
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip. MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I kind of tend to agree with Venny on this one, but I don't maybe Staff I don't maybe I need a little more explanation. I mean, what is the concern? I mean, at the end of the day, like I got tied up on that tree issue, at the end of the day, what are we trying to accomplish five or ten years from now? What's the long-term play on this? MS. GARCIA: The Commission wants people to see more projects on Miracle Mile. I think that's MR. WITHERS: More projects? MS. GARCIA: Uh-huh. MR. WITHERS: And this is the way to stimulate projects, they think? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I didn't read the minutes MS. GARCIA: I'm not sure if there are any that have really been done in the last few years, besides the one that's, you know, by right on the corner of Le Jeune and Miracle Mile. MR. WITHERS: So what was the impetus from Commissioner Anderson? Were you privy to those discussions at the Commission Meeting, because MS. GARCIA: I think the concern is that even though remote parking is required, that the Commission can't really get involved at the Site Plan part of that aspect. So she wants to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip. MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I kind of tend to agree with Venny on this one, but I don't maybe Staff I don't maybe I need a little more explanation. I mean, what is the concern? I mean, at the end of the day, like I got tied up on that tree issue, at the end of the day, what are we trying to accomplish five or ten years from now? What's the long-term play on this? MS. GARCIA: The Commission wants people to see more projects on Miracle Mile. I think that's MR. WITHERS: More projects? MS. GARCIA: Uh-huh. MR. WITHERS: And this is the way to stimulate projects, they think? MS. GARCIA: No. They want to have input 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I didn't read the minutes MS. GARCIA: I'm not sure if there are any that have really been done in the last few years, besides the one that's, you know, by right on the corner of Le Jeune and Miracle Mile. MR. WITHERS: So what was the impetus from Commissioner Anderson? Were you privy to those discussions at the Commission Meeting, because MS. GARCIA: I think the concern is that even though remote parking is required, that the Commission can't really get involved at the site Plan part of that aspect. So she wants to MR. WITHERS: So this is Site Plan review?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip. MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I kind of tend to agree with Venny on this one, but I don't maybe Staff I don't maybe I need a little more explanation. I mean, what is the concern? I mean, at the end of the day, like I got tied up on that tree issue, at the end of the day, what are we trying to accomplish five or ten years from now? What's the long-term play on this? MS. GARCIA: The Commission wants people to see more projects on Miracle Mile. I think that's MR. WITHERS: More projects? MS. GARCIA: Uh-huh. MR. WITHERS: And this is the way to stimulate projects, they think? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I didn't read the minutes MS. GARCIA: I'm not sure if there are any that have really been done in the last few years, besides the one that's, you know, by right on the corner of Le Jeune and Miracle Mile. MR. WITHERS: So what was the impetus from Commissioner Anderson? Were you privy to those discussions at the Commission Meeting, because MS. GARCIA: I think the concern is that even though remote parking is required, that the Commission can't really get involved at the site Plan part of that aspect. So she wants to MR. WITHERS: So this is Site Plan review? MS. GARCIA: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 the business side or from the people who develop continuing to add layers and delays to have ultimately the Commission decide what comes and goes is the best way forward. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Chip. MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I kind of tend to agree with Venny on this one, but I don't maybe Staff I don't maybe I need a little more explanation. I mean, what is the concern? I mean, at the end of the day, like I got tied up on that tree issue, at the end of the day, what are we trying to accomplish five or ten years from now? What's the long-term play on this? MS. GARCIA: The Commission wants people to see more projects on Miracle Mile. I think that's MR. WITHERS: More projects? MS. GARCIA: Uh-huh. MR. WITHERS: And this is the way to stimulate projects, they think? MS. GARCIA: No. They want to have input on more projects. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 MR. WITHERS: Oh, they want to see visually. They don't want to see more projects, they just want to see more project? MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. They want their fingerprints on more projects. MR. WITHERS: And why? Are they not happy with the projects now? I mean, I wasn't I didn't read the minutes MS. GARCIA: I'm not sure if there are any that have really been done in the last few years, besides the one that's, you know, by right on the corner of Le Jeune and Miracle Mile. MR. WITHERS: So what was the impetus from Commissioner Anderson? Were you privy to those discussions at the Commission Meeting, because MS. GARCIA: I think the concern is that even though remote parking is required, that the Commission can't really get involved at the site Plan part of that aspect. So she wants to MR. WITHERS: So this is Site Plan review?

	2 05		D
	Page 85		Page 86
1	me, were always like schools, churches, banks	1	enough. I'm not in favor of adding more layers
2	drive-thrus, it was more of a use than an	2	to this process. I think that, you know, we're
3	underlying Zoning. I mean, so that's why I	3	going to discourage new developments to come on
4	don't quite understand what the	4	Miracle Mile, that we need it. We really need
5	MS. GARCIA: So the Conditional Use is an	5	it. You know, it's a beautiful street that
6	approval process.	6	MR. REVUELTA: Sorry.
7	MR. WITHERS: Right. I understand, but	7	MR. BEHAR: It's a beautiful street, that
8	it's more for the use of the property, right,	8	today we need to add more life to it. So I'm
9	Conditional Use?	9	not favor of this.
10	MS. GARCIA: It's more about adding	10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Claudia.
11	conditions to what you're requesting.	11	MS. MIRO: I agree with Mr. Behar. I
12	MR. WITHERS: Okay. Thank you.	12	think and also with what Venny said. You
13	MR. BEHAR: I tend to agree with Mario's	13	know, I think that there is already a process
14	comment Mario Garcia-Serra's comment. You	14	in place and adding to that process I mean,
15	know, we already went through a process that we	15	I think it's a good process. It's already a
16	took away the maximum of height, that, at one	16	rigorous process, and I really do think that
17	point used to be, if I remember, like 70 feet.	17	Miracle Mile, right now, needs to be
18	We lowered it down to 50 feet. We did so much	18	revitalized and we want to attract those
19	already, that we're not making it easy to	19	businesses. We want that to come to Miracle
20	build, you know, as Venny said, as of right.	20	Mile. And maybe adding another layer, that
21	We're complicating, you know, the ability to do	21	might delay that process or might discourage
22	something.	22	some from coming there.
23	A 10,000 square foot site, that you already	23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: One of the concerns
24	have to go through Conditional Use, because of	24	that I would have is, it would be so difficult
25	the remote parking, I think that's more than	25	for a person for a 10,000 square foot lot to
	Dage 87		88 aped
-	Page 87		Page 88
1	develop it, put it together and have to go	1	and the business owners in the area to be more
2	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot	2	and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project.
2 3	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or	2 3	and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis
2 3 4	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go	2 3 4	and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission
2 3 4 5	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway?	2 3 4 5	and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their
2 3 4 5 6	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects	2 3 4 5 6	and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project.
2 3 4 5 6 7	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough	2 3 4 5 6 7	and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough as it is, and have the diversity. That's just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after listening to you and Chip and Venny, I think I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough as it is, and have the diversity. That's just my view on it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after listening to you and Chip and Venny, I think I would probably have to
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough as it is, and have the diversity. That's just my view on it. MR. REVUELTA: I have one question. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after listening to you and Chip and Venny, I think I would probably have to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody that would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough as it is, and have the diversity. That's just my view on it. MR. REVUELTA: I have one question. The Site Plan review, what would that review add to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after listening to you and Chip and Venny, I think I would probably have to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody that would like to make a motion on this? Venny?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough as it is, and have the diversity. That's just my view on it. MR. REVUELTA: I have one question. The Site Plan review, what would that review add to a 10,000 square foot property that already	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after listening to you and Chip and Venny, I think I would probably have to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody that would like to make a motion on this? Venny? MR. WITHERS: You started all of this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough as it is, and have the diversity. That's just my view on it. MR. REVUELTA: I have one question. The Site Plan review, what would that review add to a 10,000 square foot property that already doesn't is not in the system? Other than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after listening to you and Chip and Venny, I think I would probably have to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody that would like to make a motion on this? Venny? MR. WITHERS: You started all of this. MR. TORRE: I didn't start it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough as it is, and have the diversity. That's just my view on it. MR. REVUELTA: I have one question. The Site Plan review, what would that review add to a 10,000 square foot property that already doesn't is not in the system? Other than spending that money, what kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after listening to you and Chip and Venny, I think I would probably have to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody that would like to make a motion on this? Venny? MR. WITHERS: You started all of this. MR. TORRE: I didn't start it. MR. COLLER: Just a little housekeeping
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough as it is, and have the diversity. That's just my view on it. MR. REVUELTA: I have one question. The Site Plan review, what would that review add to a 10,000 square foot property that already doesn't is not in the system? Other than spending that money, what kind of MS. GARCIA: What kind of things are the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after listening to you and Chip and Venny, I think I would probably have to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody that would like to make a motion on this? Venny? MR. WITHERS: You started all of this. MR. TORRE: I didn't start it. MR. COLLER: Just a little housekeeping measure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough as it is, and have the diversity. That's just my view on it. MR. REVUELTA: I have one question. The Site Plan review, what would that review add to a 10,000 square foot property that already doesn't is not in the system? Other than spending that money, what kind of MS. GARCIA: What kind of things are the Commission looking for? I can't answer that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after listening to you and Chip and Venny, I think I would probably have to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody that would like to make a motion on this? Venny? MR. WITHERS: You started all of this. MR. TORRE: I didn't start it. MR. COLLER: Just a little housekeeping measure. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough as it is, and have the diversity. That's just my view on it. MR. REVUELTA: I have one question. The Site Plan review, what would that review add to a 10,000 square foot property that already doesn't is not in the system? Other than spending that money, what kind of MS. GARCIA: What kind of things are the Commission looking for? I can't answer that. MR. REVUELTA: But is it this Site Plan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after listening to you and Chip and Venny, I think I would probably have to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody that would like to make a motion on this? Venny? MR. TORRE: I didn't start it. MR. COLLER: Just a little housekeeping measure. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. COLLER: The amendments that were put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough as it is, and have the diversity. That's just my view on it. MR. REVUELTA: I have one question. The Site Plan review, what would that review add to a 10,000 square foot property that already doesn't is not in the system? Other than spending that money, what kind of MS. GARCIA: What kind of things are the Commission looking for? I can't answer that. MR. REVUELTA: But is it this Site Plan review, basically having the additional step	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after listening to you and Chip and Venny, I think I would probably have to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody that would like to make a motion on this? Venny? MR. WITHERS: You started all of this. MR. TORRE: I didn't start it. MR. COLLER: Just a little housekeeping measure. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. COLLER: The amendments that were put on here, I assume, regardless of what the vote
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough as it is, and have the diversity. That's just my view on it. MR. REVUELTA: I have one question. The Site Plan review, what would that review add to a 10,000 square foot property that already doesn't is not in the system? Other than spending that money, what kind of MS. GARCIA: What kind of things are the Commission looking for? I can't answer that. MR. REVUELTA: But is it this Site Plan review, basically having the additional step is having to go to the City Commission?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after listening to you and Chip and Venny, I think I would probably have to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody that would like to make a motion on this? Venny? MR. WITHERS: You started all of this. MR. TORRE: I didn't start it. MR. COLLER: Just a little housekeeping measure. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. COLLER: The amendments that were put on here, I assume, regardless of what the vote is, which is a recommendation, is going to go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough as it is, and have the diversity. That's just my view on it. MR. REVUELTA: I have one question. The Site Plan review, what would that review add to a 10,000 square foot property that already doesn't is not in the system? Other than spending that money, what kind of MS. GARCIA: What kind of things are the Commission looking for? I can't answer that. MR. REVUELTA: But is it this Site Plan review, basically having the additional step is having to go to the City Commission? MS. GARCIA: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after listening to you and Chip and Venny, I think I would probably have to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody that would like to make a motion on this? Venny? MR. WITHERS: You started all of this. MR. TORRE: I didn't start it. MR. COLLER: Just a little housekeeping measure. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. COLLER: The amendments that were put on here, I assume, regardless of what the vote is, which is a recommendation, is going to go to the Commission. They use the old format.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough as it is, and have the diversity. That's just my view on it. MR. REVUELTA: I have one question. The Site Plan review, what would that review add to a 10,000 square foot property that already doesn't is not in the system? Other than spending that money, what kind of MS. GARCIA: What kind of things are the Commission looking for? I can't answer that. MR. REVUELTA: But is it this Site Plan review, basically having the additional step is having to go to the City Commission? MS. GARCIA: Right. MR. REVUELTA: Which is a political	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after listening to you and Chip and Venny, I think I would probably have to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody that would like to make a motion on this? Venny? MR. WITHERS: You started all of this. MR. TORRE: I didn't start it. MR. COLLER: Just a little housekeeping measure. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. COLLER: The amendments that were put on here, I assume, regardless of what the vote is, which is a recommendation, is going to go to the Commission. They use the old format. This is not the Ordinance that's actually on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough as it is, and have the diversity. That's just my view on it. MR. REVUELTA: I have one question. The Site Plan review, what would that review add to a 10,000 square foot property that already doesn't is not in the system? Other than spending that money, what kind of MS. GARCIA: What kind of things are the Commission looking for? I can't answer that. MR. REVUELTA: But is it this Site Plan review, basically having the additional step is having to go to the City Commission? MS. GARCIA: Right. MR. REVUELTA: Which is a political MS. GARCIA: Absolutely. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after listening to you and Chip and Venny, I think I would probably have to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody that would like to make a motion on this? Venny? MR. WITHERS: You started all of this. MR. TORRE: I didn't start it. MR. COLLER: Just a little housekeeping measure. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. COLLER: The amendments that were put on here, I assume, regardless of what the vote is, which is a recommendation, is going to go to the Commission. They use the old format. This is not the Ordinance that's actually on the agenda. So you just need to make these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough as it is, and have the diversity. That's just my view on it. MR. REVUELTA: I have one question. The Site Plan review, what would that review add to a 10,000 square foot property that already doesn't is not in the system? Other than spending that money, what kind of MS. GARCIA: What kind of things are the Commission looking for? I can't answer that. MR. REVUELTA: But is it this Site Plan review, basically having the additional step is having to go to the City Commission? MS. GARCIA: Right. MR. REVUELTA: Which is a political MS. GARCIA: Absolutely. MR. REVUELTA: arena. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after listening to you and Chip and Venny, I think I would probably have to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody that would like to make a motion on this? Venny? MR. WITHERS: You started all of this. MR. TORRE: I didn't start it. MR. COLLER: Just a little housekeeping measure. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. COLLER: The amendments that were put on here, I assume, regardless of what the vote is, which is a recommendation, is going to go to the Commission. They use the old format. This is not the Ordinance that's actually on the agenda. So you just need to make these changes to the draft Ordinance that's on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough as it is, and have the diversity. That's just my view on it. MR. REVUELTA: I have one question. The Site Plan review, what would that review add to a 10,000 square foot property that already doesn't is not in the system? Other than spending that money, what kind of MS. GARCIA: What kind of things are the Commission looking for? I can't answer that. MR. REVUELTA: But is it this Site Plan review, basically having the additional step is having to go to the City Commission? MS. GARCIA: Right. MR. REVUELTA: Which is a political MS. GARCIA: Absolutely. MR. REVUELTA: But they may see it as far as 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after listening to you and Chip and Venny, I think I would probably have to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody that would like to make a motion on this? Venny? MR. WITHERS: You started all of this. MR. TORRE: I didn't start it. MR. COLLER: Just a little housekeeping measure. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. COLLER: The amendments that were put on here, I assume, regardless of what the vote is, which is a recommendation, is going to go to the Commission. They use the old format. This is not the Ordinance that's actually on the agenda. So you just need to make these changes to the draft Ordinance that's on the agenda.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 develop it, put it together and have to go through the same steps as a 20,000 square foot lot, would it not then induce people to mass or put together larger lots, if they have to go through the process anyway? For me, I'd rather have smaller projects coming through the City, which is tough enough as it is, and have the diversity. That's just my view on it. MR. REVUELTA: I have one question. The Site Plan review, what would that review add to a 10,000 square foot property that already doesn't is not in the system? Other than spending that money, what kind of MS. GARCIA: What kind of things are the Commission looking for? I can't answer that. MR. REVUELTA: But is it this Site Plan review, basically having the additional step is having to go to the City Commission? MS. GARCIA: Right. MR. REVUELTA: Which is a political MS. GARCIA: Absolutely. MR. REVUELTA: arena. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 and the business owners in the area to be more aware of the project. MR. BEHAR: You know, Luis MS. GARCIA: And it's about, the Commission wants to have their you know, put their fingerprints on the project. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I think, after listening to you and Chip and Venny, I think I would probably have to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody that would like to make a motion on this? Venny? MR. WITHERS: You started all of this. MR. TORRE: I didn't start it. MR. COLLER: Just a little housekeeping measure. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. COLLER: The amendments that were put on here, I assume, regardless of what the vote is, which is a recommendation, is going to go to the Commission. They use the old format. This is not the Ordinance that's actually on the agenda. So you just need to make these changes to the draft Ordinance that's on the

Exhibit C

			Exhibit
	Page 89		Page 90
1	forward to the Commission with whatever	1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. Thank you.
2	recommendation comes from tonight, it will be	2	Next item on the agenda, please.
3	the one that is printed in front of you right	3	MR. COLLER: Item G-2, an Ordinance of the
4	now with those changes.	4	City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Perfect.	5	providing for text amendments to the City of
6	MR. WITHERS: So I will vote for denial.	6	Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 10,
7	I'll make a motion to deny it, I guess.	7	"Parking," Section 10-109, "Payment in Lieu and
8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So Chip is making a	8	Remote Off-Street Parking," to clarify remote
9	motion to deny as presented.	9	parking processes and fee structure, providing
10	MR. TORRE: I'll second it.	10	for severability, repeater, codification, and
11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by	11	an effective date.
12	-	12	Item G-2, public hearing.
13	Venny. Any discussion?	13	MS. GARCIA: Thank you.
13 14	Call the roll, please.	14	Jennifer Garcia, City Planner. I have a
	-	15	few slides that they should have. Perfect.
15	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	16	And this is also in your Staff report, as well.
16	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	17	So, right now, there are three ways to park
17	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	18	cars. Option one is the way that we're most
18	MR. TORRE: Yes.	19	likely used to, that you park it on-site. You
19	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	20	meet your minimum parking requirements on-site.
20	MR. WITHERS: Yes.	21	Another option is the remote parking. So
21	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	22	the remote parking is when you're parking all
22	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	23	or an amount of parking amount of spaces
23	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?	24	remotely, within a thousand feet, okay.
24	She stepped out.	25	And then the third option you have is the
25	Eibi Aizenstat?		
	Page 91		Page 92
1	payment in lieu, and a payment in lieu is,	1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you state your
2	you're paying out of the requirement, that you	2	name and position?
3	can pay out of your requirement as much as you	3	MR. KINNEY: Kevin Kinney. I'm the Parking
4	want. Those are three options, as far as	4	Director for the City of Coral Gables.
5	providing parking or meeting the parking	5	Just a minor couple of minor tweaks to what
6	requirements.	6	Jennifer said. Yes, is a payment in lieu,
7	So back when this was amended last, which	7	although we do not give applicants carte
8	was last year it was part of the Zoning Code	8	blanche on deciding how many spaces they can
9	update the intent was that there would be a	9	buy out of. That is something, in the City
10	fee associated with each remote parking space	10	Code, once you go over 25 spaces. It does have
11			
	that you're remote parking. And, then, in	11	to be reviewed, and if the system can't handle
12	that you're remote parking. And, then, in addition to that fee, if you decide to pay out	11 12	to be reviewed, and if the system can't handle somebody buying out of a hundred parking
12 13	addition to that fee, if you decide to pay out		
	addition to that fee, if you decide to pay out of the requirement, then you pay again, and	12	somebody buying out of a hundred parking
13	addition to that fee, if you decide to pay out of the requirement, then you pay again, and that's, you're out of the requirement for life.	12 13	somebody buying out of a hundred parking spaces, it won't be allowed. So it is an
13 14	addition to that fee, if you decide to pay out of the requirement, then you pay again, and that's, you're out of the requirement for life. Now, when that was drafted in the Zoning	12 13 14	somebody buying out of a hundred parking spaces, it won't be allowed. So it is an option, but it has to work in the system.
13 14 15	addition to that fee, if you decide to pay out of the requirement, then you pay again, and that's, you're out of the requirement for life.	12 13 14 15	somebody buying out of a hundred parking spaces, it won't be allowed. So it is an option, but it has to work in the system. And since we had some conversation about
13 14 15 16	addition to that fee, if you decide to pay out of the requirement, then you pay again, and that's, you're out of the requirement for life. Now, when that was drafted in the Zoning Code update, for whatever reason, it wasn't as	12 13 14 15 16	somebody buying out of a hundred parking spaces, it won't be allowed. So it is an option, but it has to work in the system. And since we had some conversation about Miracle Mile, I will just mention and
13 14 15 16 17	addition to that fee, if you decide to pay out of the requirement, then you pay again, and that's, you're out of the requirement for life. Now, when that was drafted in the Zoning Code update, for whatever reason, it wasn't as clear as what the intent was. So now that	12 13 14 15 16 17	somebody buying out of a hundred parking spaces, it won't be allowed. So it is an option, but it has to work in the system. And since we had some conversation about Miracle Mile, I will just mention and Jennifer mentioned, also that we have
13 14 15 16 17 18	addition to that fee, if you decide to pay out of the requirement, then you pay again, and that's, you're out of the requirement for life. Now, when that was drafted in the Zoning Code update, for whatever reason, it wasn't as clear as what the intent was. So now that we're starting to get more remote parking	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	somebody buying out of a hundred parking spaces, it won't be allowed. So it is an option, but it has to work in the system. And since we had some conversation about Miracle Mile, I will just mention and Jennifer mentioned, also that we have prohibited development of parking on Miracle
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	addition to that fee, if you decide to pay out of the requirement, then you pay again, and that's, you're out of the requirement for life. Now, when that was drafted in the Zoning Code update, for whatever reason, it wasn't as clear as what the intent was. So now that we're starting to get more remote parking requests, we're looking at this and we're	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	somebody buying out of a hundred parking spaces, it won't be allowed. So it is an option, but it has to work in the system. And since we had some conversation about Miracle Mile, I will just mention and Jennifer mentioned, also that we have prohibited development of parking on Miracle Mile, and one of the reasons we can do that
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	addition to that fee, if you decide to pay out of the requirement, then you pay again, and that's, you're out of the requirement for life. Now, when that was drafted in the Zoning Code update, for whatever reason, it wasn't as clear as what the intent was. So now that we're starting to get more remote parking requests, we're looking at this and we're making some changes, according to what the intent originally was.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	somebody buying out of a hundred parking spaces, it won't be allowed. So it is an option, but it has to work in the system. And since we had some conversation about Miracle Mile, I will just mention and Jennifer mentioned, also that we have prohibited development of parking on Miracle Mile, and one of the reasons we can do that comfortably is, within a hundred feet of
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	addition to that fee, if you decide to pay out of the requirement, then you pay again, and that's, you're out of the requirement for life. Now, when that was drafted in the Zoning Code update, for whatever reason, it wasn't as clear as what the intent was. So now that we're starting to get more remote parking requests, we're looking at this and we're making some changes, according to what the	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	somebody buying out of a hundred parking spaces, it won't be allowed. So it is an option, but it has to work in the system. And since we had some conversation about Miracle Mile, I will just mention and Jennifer mentioned, also that we have prohibited development of parking on Miracle Mile, and one of the reasons we can do that comfortably is, within a hundred feet of Miracle Mile, I have 3,000 parking spaces
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	addition to that fee, if you decide to pay out of the requirement, then you pay again, and that's, you're out of the requirement for life. Now, when that was drafted in the Zoning Code update, for whatever reason, it wasn't as clear as what the intent was. So now that we're starting to get more remote parking requests, we're looking at this and we're making some changes, according to what the intent originally was. So I have Kevin Kinney here, our Parking	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	somebody buying out of a hundred parking spaces, it won't be allowed. So it is an option, but it has to work in the system. And since we had some conversation about Miracle Mile, I will just mention and Jennifer mentioned, also that we have prohibited development of parking on Miracle Mile, and one of the reasons we can do that comfortably is, within a hundred feet of Miracle Mile, I have 3,000 parking spaces available, and, currently, even at peak, we are
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	addition to that fee, if you decide to pay out of the requirement, then you pay again, and that's, you're out of the requirement for life. Now, when that was drafted in the Zoning Code update, for whatever reason, it wasn't as clear as what the intent was. So now that we're starting to get more remote parking requests, we're looking at this and we're making some changes, according to what the intent originally was. So I have Kevin Kinney here, our Parking Director, for any questions.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	somebody buying out of a hundred parking spaces, it won't be allowed. So it is an option, but it has to work in the system. And since we had some conversation about Miracle Mile, I will just mention and Jennifer mentioned, also that we have prohibited development of parking on Miracle Mile, and one of the reasons we can do that comfortably is, within a hundred feet of Miracle Mile, I have 3,000 parking spaces available, and, currently, even at peak, we are not hitting 50 or 60 percent occupancy. So