# HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD CITY COMMISSION CHAMBERS 405 BILTMORE WAY CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA

OCTOBER 19, 2017 THURSDAY 4:00 P.M.

BEFORE:

VENNY TORRE, Chairperson ALEJANDRO SILVA, Vice-Chairperson BRUCE EHRENHAFT JOHN FULLERTON RAUL RODRIGUEZ JANICE THOMSON ALBERT MENENDEZ

ALSO PRESENT:

DONA SPAIN KARA KAUTZ ELIZABETH GUIN MIRIAM RAMOS, ESQ. YESENIA DIAZ

# TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

1 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Welcome to the Coral Gables 2 Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of 3 Coral Gables and are charged with the preservation 4 and protection of historic architecturally worthy 5 buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods and 6 artifacts which impart a distinct historical 7 heritage of the city.

8 The board is comprised of nine members, seven 9 of whom are appointed by the commission, and one by 10 the city manager, and the ninth is selected by the 11 board, confirmed by the commission. Five members 12 of the board constitute a quorum and you need five 13 affirmative votes for the adoption of any motion.

The lobbyist registration disclosures form 14 15 says that any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance 16 17 2016-11 must register with the city clerk prior to 18 engaging in lobbying activities or presentations 19 before city staff, boards, committees and of the 20 city commission. A copy of the ordinance is 21 available in the office of the city clerk. Failure 22 to register and provide proof of registration shall 23 prohibit your ability to present to the historic 24 preservation board applications under consideration 25 this afternoon.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Page 3 A lobbyist is defined as an individual, corporation, partnership or other legal entity employed or retained, whether paid or not, by a principal who seeks to encourage the approval, disapproval, adoption, passage, repeal, defeat or modification of any ordinance, resolution, action or decision of any city commissioner, any action, decision, recommendation of the city manager, any city board or committee, including but not limited to quasi-judicial advisory board, trust, authority or council or any action, decision or recommendation of city personnel during the time period of the entire decision-making progress on the action, decision or recommendation which foreseeably will be heard or reviewed by the city commission or a city board or committee, including, but not limited to quasi-judicial advisory board, trust, authority or council.

19Presentations made to this board are subject20to the city's False Claim Ordinance Chapter 39 of21the City of Coral Gables City Code.

I now officially call the City of Coral Gables
Historic Preservation Board Meeting of October
19th, 2017 to order. The time is 4:13.
Present today to my left, Jan Thomson, Raul

Page 4 1 Rodriguez, Alejandro Silva, to my right, Albert 2 Menendez, Mr. John Fullerton, Mr. Bruce Ehrenhaft. 3 The next item is the approval of the minutes, and this is for approving the minutes of September 4 21st, 2017. Is there a motion for approval of 5 6 those minutes? 7 MR. SILVA: I'll move approval. 8 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second. 9 CHAIRMAN TORRE: We have a motion. We have a 10 second. All those in favor, please say "aye". 11 (ALL): "Aye." 12 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Anybody against? 13 Thank you. 14 Notice regarding the ex parte communications 15 says please be advised that this board is a quasi-judicial board and that the items on the 16 17 agenda are quasi-judicial in nature, which requires 18 board members to disclose all ex parte 19 communications. 20 An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, 21 22 correspondence, memorandum or other written or 23 verbal communication that takes place outside a 24 public hearing between a member of the public and a 25 member of the quasi-judicial board regarding

	Page 5
1	matters to be heard by the quasi-judicial board.
2	If anyone has made any contact with the board
3	member when the issue comes before the board, the
4	member must state on the record the existence of
5	the ex parte communication, the party who
6	originated the communication and whether the
7	communication will affect the board member's
8	ability to impartially consider the evidence to be
9	presented regarding the matter.
10	Does any member of the board have such
11	communication to disclose at this time?
12	Okay. Any deferrals today?
13	MS. SPAIN: No, sir.
14	CHAIRMAN TORRE: So we're going to swear
15	anybody who would like to speak today. Would you
16	please rise and be sworn in. Anybody who's going
17	to speak, would you please rise. Thank you.
18	MS. DIAZ: Please raise your right hand. Do
19	you swear to tell the whole truth and nothing but
20	the truth?
21	(ALL): Yes.
22	MS. DIAZ: Okay.
23	CHAIRMAN TORRE: We have a request for an
24	excused absence for Mr. Robert Parsley and I'd like
25	to bring that up for a motion for excused absence.

	Page 6
1	MR. EHRENHAFT: So moved.
2	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is there a second?
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN TORRE: So Mr. Rodriguez seconded it.
5	All those in favor, please say "aye."
6	(ALL): "Aye."
7	CHAIRMAN TORRE: All those against.
8	Thank you. So we'll do first the city item.
9	MS. RAMOS: Sure.
10	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you.
11	MS. RAMOS: Good afternoon, everyone. My
12	apologies for September. This was supposed to
13	happen in September for both planning and zoning
14	and historic. I got called into an emergency
15	meeting right before this meeting so I was unable
16	to present, and then planning and zoning also got
17	postponed because of the hurricane. So forgive me
18	because this is a little bit redundant with what's
19	going to happen next Friday, but it's very limited.
20	Today we're only going to talk about voting
21	conflicts and it should take ten minutes.
22	Next Friday we have the boards and committees
23	training which many of you have attended. It's
24	from 9:00 to 12:00 at the youth center, and it's
25	going to talk about all the different things you
1	

1	have to know with regard to serving as an appointed
2	official. Okay. So today we're just going to talk
3	about the voting conflicts as I mentioned.

4

5

6

7

8

The voting conflicts are set out both in state law and the county code and in the city code. And to that end, you need to know that the definition of immediate family is important in many of these statutes and ordinances.

9 As we go through you will see that it often 10 refers to you and your immediate family. The 11 problem is that there's three different definitions 12 of immediate family. So the county defines it as 13 spouse, domestic partner, parents, stepparents, 14 children and stepchildren.

And then we here at the city say spouse,
parents, children, brothers and sisters, so we add
siblings.

And then the state calls them relatives and says parents, children, spouse, siblings and in-laws, so they add in-laws and they take out others.

22 So what our advice is is to take them all 23 together, assume that all these people are either 24 your relative or immediate family and that way 25 we're always safe. Okay.

1	So now having defined immediate family, we'll
2	talk about the first the provision in the county
3	code. You should know that the city code is almost
4	identical to the county code. When it's not I'll
5	mention it, but for the most part it's identical.
б	Quasi-judicial board members or their
7	immediate family members may not contract with an
8	agency or department subject to the regulation,
9	oversight, management, policy setting or
10	quasi-judicial authority of that board in which the
11	person is a member.
12	I have more than once received questions about
13	board members asking, you know, I have a company
14	that wants to put in for a bid. Is that permitted?
15	As long as that bid is not regulated by this board,
16	it is permitted for you to do so. Okay.
17	Now, I'll say this now and I'll probably
18	mention it again. All of these provisions and all
19	of the opinions that we issue are extremely fact
20	based and fact specific. If you change one fact,
21	the answer goes from no to yes or from yes to no.
22	So these are just for you to have these
23	general concepts in your mind as triggers of when
24	you have to ask the question. But our advice is to
25	come to us before the meeting, as many of you have,

1	and say, look, I have this, you know, that I'm
2	concerned about, can you give me an opinion?
3	If we give you a written opinion, you're
4	essentially protected. While the most that will
5	happen is if they file an ethics complaint, they'll
6	come after our office and they'll say you guys were
7	wrong, and that's fine, we'll take it. But you all
8	will be protected because you received an opinion

assuming you disclosed all the relevant facts. So please keep that in mind.

9

10

The second provision is appearances. 11 So this 12 is regarding the board on which you serve. Okay. 13 So you may not appear before the board on behalf of 14 third parties seeking a benefit from this board. This means that the board member cannot submit 15 documents or correspondence, appear in meetings 16 17 with staff or appear before the board on behalf of 18 a client or nonprofit. You cannot receive 19 compensation from third parties seeking a benefit 20 from this board. And, again, it's limited to the 21 board on which you serve.

The county has a provision that says that board members may not appear before their own board, but they may appear before other municipal boards.

1 Here at the city we say that you may not 2 appear before your own board or before the city 3 commission except as a representative of this board. The purpose of that -- or as a resident. 4 Certainly, if you have an unrelated matter to 5 6 historic preservation that you want to bring up 7 before your commissioners, they're still your 8 commissioners and you have the right to do that.

9 But what we don't want is essentially rogue 10 board members disagreeing with the majority of what 11 the board has voted on, and then kind of forum 12 shopping to the city commission that they were in 13 disagreement because they were in the minority.

14 Now, if you have an issue that the board 15 decides you want to take together to the city 16 commission by a resolution or you simply want to 17 present, that is certainly permissible.

Now, the city code does have an exception for architects that serve on the board of architects and we allow them to do certain things. But, you know, that doesn't apply here. I just wanted to note it.

23 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Can I clarify that just to24 make sure?

25 MS. RAMOS: Sure.

	Page 1
1	CHAIRMAN TORRE: So if we come before the
2	commission as a not as a board member, but as a
3	citizen and we feel strongly different from what
4	the board ruled, are you allowed to speak as a
5	citizen even though you're on the board?
б	MS. RAMOS: If it's about what the board
7	ruled, it gets a little bit sticky. If it's about
8	something else that has nothing to do with this
9	board, certainly you have every right. But if it's
10	about what your board ruled, I would come to us
11	because it does get a little bit sticky with that
12	provision.
13	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. Thank you.
14	MS. RAMOS: Okay. Voting conflicts as related
15	to financial interests. Quasi-judicial personnel
16	may not participate in any action directly or
17	indirectly affecting a business in which he or a
18	member of his or her immediate family has a
19	financial interest. Okay.
20	So essentially you can't vote on a matter
21	where you have a financial interest or a family
22	member does. This was one that people just know
23	gut feeling you're not supposed to do that.
24	Miami-Dade has an actual provision called
25	voting conflicts even though all of these address a

1 form of voting conflict. Board members may not 2 vote on any matter if they will be directly 3 affected by the action and if they have one of these enumerated relationships; officer, director, 4 partner, of counsel, consultant, employee, 5 6 fiduciary, beneficiary, stockholder, bondholder 7 creditor or debtor. So if you would be directly 8 affected and the person appearing before you has 9 one of these relationships with you, you cannot 10 vote. Okay. Now, that was all county code voting conflicts. 11

12 Now, there's a state law about voting 13 conflicts, and the state law is similar, but a little bit different, and it says that no appointed 14 15 public officer shall participate in any matter which would inure to the officer's special gain or 16 loss, or which the officer knows should inure to 17 18 their special gain or loss of any principal with 19 whom he or she is retained or a relative or 20 business associate without first disclosing the nature of his or her interest. 21

And they define special gain or loss as an economic benefit or harm that would inure to the benefit of themselves or their relative or their business associate. And they consider a number of

factors; the size of the class affected, the nature of the interest involved; the degree to which the interest of all members of the class are affected by the vote, and the degree to which the officer, relative, business associate or principal receives a greater benefit or harm than others.

7 The classic situation where this comes up is 8 if you happen to own a home where -- and this would 9 be for a legislative item. For quasi-judicial it's 10 difficult to apply it. But if you own a home in an 11 area where this legislative change, let's say, 12 designated a whole neighborhood as historic and you 13 happen to own a home in that area, the State's going to look at how big that class is. Is it one 14 15 block? Then you might be uniquely affected. Is it 80 blocks? Then you probably are not. Okay. 16

17 So that's when it says size of the class, 18 nature of interest, those are the types of things 19 it looks at. And it's not a black-and-white rule. 20 It's just kind of a test that we apply to come to a 21 conclusion.

22 So what happens if you have a voting conflict? 23 Now, I will stop now to say you sit in two 24 capacities. You sit in a legislative capacity and 25 a quasi-judicial capacity. These rules apply to

1 both. But when you're sitting in a guasi-judicial 2 capacity you have an extra added kind of little 3 cricket on your shoulder that says, can I be fair? Even if you don't have one of these conflicts that 4 are a legal conflict, when you sit as a judge, 5 6 which is how you're sitting in a quasi-judicial 7 proceeding, you have to yourself evaluate whether you are somehow biased toward this individual. 8

9 It could be because you had an ex parte 10 communication, not purposely but the person 11 approached you at a cocktail party that had nothing 12 to do with anything, and you feel like you can't 13 vote. You can, you just have to disclose it, but 14 you might feel like you can't. A longstanding 15 friendship, a number of different reasons that you 16 feel may bury your political opponent, who knows, 17 that you feel you can't be fair and impartial, then 18 it's up to you to say, I can't be fair and 19 impartial and to recuse. Okay. That's on 20 quasi-judicial.

21 So if you have to recuse, whether because 22 you're legally required to on a legislative item, 23 whether you're legally required to on a 24 quasi-judicial or because you feel you can't be 25 fair and impartial, you have to follow three steps.

	Page 1
1	You have to announce the conflict in this room,
2	then you have to leave the room and not participate
3	in the discussions, and then you have to file a
4	disclosure form essentially stating what your
5	conflict is. Okay. And you have a number of days
6	to do that.
7	This is technically not required for
8	quasi-judicial recusals. Okay. But it is what is
9	recommended as a best practice.
10	I'll briefly touch on Jennings, which
11	basically says what I have just said, that when you
12	sit in a quasi-judicial capacity you sit in the
13	shoes of a judge, and that ex parte communications
14	are not allowed in order to protect fundamental due
15	process. Okay.
16	You don't want to have if you can think
17	about, you know, a judge, if you had a case before
18	a judge and the other party got to talk to the
19	judge and you didn't know what they said or have an
20	ability to rebut it, you would feel that your due
21	process rights were violated. This is exactly the
22	same.
23	And because of that under if this went to
24	court, right, it would be presumed prejudicial.
25	And the way that you get overcome that

presumption of prejudice is by disclosing the communication on the record, so that it's part of the record. And it has to be done before action is taken. Okay. And if the communication's in writing, that's fine, you can just make the piece of paper part of the record. Okay.

7 And, lastly, appearance of impropriety. As we all know, perception is often reality. So it's 8 9 extremely important for you not only to avoid legal 10 conflicts, but also to avoid appearances. And this 11 goes to kind of that guasi-judicial path. So if 12 you feel that a relationship with someone might be 13 called -- might call your vote into question, keep that in mind. You're better off recusing. 14 Okay.

15 Again, if you feel that the relationship may cause a conflict or you feel uncomfortable, please 16 come to our office. We're always available. We 17 18 appreciate a couple days notice if possible, but if 19 it has to be right before the meeting or if it has 20 to be right at the meeting, I've made the call, 21 that's fine too. Okay. 22 Any questions? 23 All right. I will see most of you next 24 Friday, I hope.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you very much.

25

1 MS. RAMOS: You're welcome. 2 CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. So we're going to 3 go to the historical significance determination of 5732 Le Jeune Road, legally described as Lot 16 in 4 the south 1/2 of Lot 15, Block 117, Coral Gables 5 6 Riviera Section 10, according to the Plat thereof, 7 as recorded in Plat Book 31 at Page 1 of the Public 8 Records of Miami-Dade County. 9 MS. SPAIN: So, as you know, in 2003 the city 10 commission passed an ordinance that requires the 11 historic preservation officer's signature on every 12 demolition permit. And so what we did was we have 13 a process where you can apply for historic significance determination prior to obtaining that 14 15 demolition permit. And typically those were done 16 17 administratively. They come into our office. We 18 pull all the research on them. We make a 19 determination, issue a letter, and either it's 20 scheduled for designation and we do a designation 21 report within a certain number of days, I think 22 it's 60 days, or we allow it to be demolished but 23 rarely. 24 But in this case, we're just not sure. We 25 don't know whether it fits any of the criteria.

Page 18 1 And so it's before you to have a discussion to see 2 what you think. And Elizabeth is going to go over 3 the slides that we have. This is all we knew about the property. 4 And just I want to preface this, I went 5 6 through the criteria myself, and if it's going to 7 fit any criteria I believe it would be 8 architectural significance. So as you're looking 9 at it, think about that. 10 There are four subcategories in architectural 11 significance. I'm going to go over them. Contains 12 an element of design detail, materials or 13 craftsmanship of outstanding quality, which represent a significant innovation or adaptation to 14 15 the South Florida environment. I do not believe it fits that criteria. 16 Is an outstanding work of a prominent designer 17 18 or builder. I do not think it fits that criteria 19 either. 20 So that leaves two, and that is portrays the environment in an era of history characterized by 21 22 one or more distinctive architectural styles, or 23 embodies those distinguishing characteristics of an 24 architectural style or period or method of

25 construction.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Page 19

And so as Elizabeth goes through the slides, and also we have the owners here, and they need to correct me if I'm wrong, they purchased this house recently with the intent of demolishing it and building their dream house. So that's the circumstance.

MS. GUIN: All right. We just have a few slides to sort of give you a taste of what's there.

9 So the property is located at the corner of 10 Le Jeune and Marmore. It's here. And here's a 11 1940s photo of the house. The house was built in 12 January 1939. The original permits, I believe you 13 have a copy of those in your packet. The architect 14 was Apuzzo and Tschumy. And then Tschumy again did 15 the garage edition and enclosed the carport in '46.

16 The style that this would fit under is the 17 minimal traditional style, which was a popular 18 house style which appeared throughout the country 19 during the Depression era, and this was a 20 nationwide style. The architectural journals and 21 magazines highly promoted this as the style of the 22 time.

The style is simple, but was a subtle response to the economic hardships of the Depression and also the ornate styles of the '20s, so it was sort

of a backlash style that we see that happened in the '30s. In Coral Gables the many traditional houses often reflect the Spanish influences with barrel tile roofs, which we see with this house.

1

2

3

4

5 Now, just to put this in a little bit of 6 context before we, you know, really dig into the 7 research, but we know that in general we don't have 8 a lot of houses from this time period, from the late '30s in Coral Gables that are still standing. 9 10 So it here gives you, you know, a little bit of a 11 graph so you can see in 1939 we had just a little 12 over 100 houses that were built, single-family homes built during that time. 13

14 So looking at the house, it is primarily 15 There's been very few changes. intact. The shutters are missing, and then there's the wood 16 lattice work that was on the screen porch area 17 18 that's missing. Otherwise, on the external facade 19 the house is very much intact. It's not had 20 additions.

You can see the '46 garage, detached garage to the back, and then in the lower photo here, this was the original area of the carport which Tschumy enclosed, he was the original architect, when he built the garage. We don't know at this point

Page 21 when -- that was a screen porch area, when that was 1 2 enclosed and the lattice work removed. 3 So just some other pictures comparing it to the original permit drawings. You see the house, 4 simple in nature, but remains intact and doesn't 5 6 have adverse alterations. 7 What is present that was one of the defining 8 features of the style -- you can't really see it in 9 the photo, perhaps in the photos that are before 10 you, but it has the scoring like brick lines 11 framing the window. You can see in the '40s photo 12 that that was originally painted a different color. Those are actually painted score lines. 13 That is still there. It's all just painted white. 14 15 So, really, the question before you is if this a simple example of the minimal traditional style 16 in Coral Gables. 17 18 MS. SPAIN: So I believe the owners are here 19 and they would like to address the board. They 20 also put before you a letter from Omar Moreno, he's 21 the operation manager of R-O-C -- okay -- Roc 22 Group, and also a letter from Flores Lopez 23 Architecture, and I'm sure they'll want to -- from 24 Ricardo Lopez. I'm sure they'll want to discuss 25 that with you.

We just wanted to also let the 1 MS. GUIN: 2 board know that this is a style that we have 3 designated before. We don't have very many of them, but it is a legitimate style that we 4 recognize in Coral Gables. 5 6 MS. LUGO: Hello. Good afternoon. My name is 7 Valeria Lugo and I'm the owner of this house along 8 with my husband Ferdinando Voto. 9 So we purchased this house after looking for a 10 house for a long time in Coral Gables, Coconut Grove. When we found this location it was perfect 11 12 for me, my husband and my two little girls since they go to St. Hugh. It's our school and our 13 14 church. It's very close to St. Hugh and as well as 15 Merrie Christmas Park. It was a couple blocks 16 away. We thought it was perfect for us. But the house is a two-bedroom, one-bath, so 17 18 we always knew that we had to either extend the 19 house, make it bigger, or build new. We hired a 20 realtor, which is also the listing agent, and she 21 assured us that you can, you know, build new, a 22 brand-new house or you can expand. I actually have 23 a copy of the MLS listing here where it says, Coral 24 Gables, it's perfect for remodeling, expansion or 25 new construction. So that was always our intention

1 to either expand or build new. Like we bought this 2 house, you know, based on what she told us, so we 3 feel a little, you know, off guard right now. But we did look at different options of 4 expanding the house or building new, and after 5 6 analyzing both options, meeting with our 7 architects, doing many inspections, meeting with the builder, analyzing costs, we realized that 8 9 expanding was not a feasible option because the 10 house is in such bad shape -- and we have a 11 report -- that it was going to cost us a lot more 12 money to fix everything that the house has than to 13 start from, you know, from fresh. So we decided to go through the process of demolition and that's why 14

15 we're here today.

16 We have a letter as you -- I have more copies 17 from Ricardo Lopez basically -- it's on the second 18 page stating that the house does not justify a 19 historic designation. Okay. We also have a letter 20 from our builder stating the challenges that the 21 house has, which like I mentioned, the house there 22 were -- the owners were from Virginia and they 23 They used it as a vacation house and never came. 24 they really didn't put a lot of money into any 25 improvement or fix any of the problems with the

Page 24 1 So after all these years, you know, the house. 2 house, it's not in good shape. 3 The house itself has not been registered historic as of today, and we did our due diligence 4 before buying this house, and we went on the 5 6 records and it's not registered as historic, so we 7 were pretty confident that we weren't going to have an issue with this. 8 9 And like I said, the house is a two-bedroom 10 one-bath and we're a growing family of four. I have two little girls, hoping to have more, so it 11 12 just doesn't work for us right now. 13 That's pretty much all I have. I do have my developer, which he did an inspection of the house 14 15 and he can talk and, like I said, I have the letter from Ricardo Lopez, and I have, if you guys want to 16 17 pass out, the MLS printout. I highlighted where it 18 says, you know, it's perfect for remodeling, 19 expansion or new construction. 20 That's it. I mean, it's just me and my 21 husband and my girls. We want to live in Coral 22 We want to, you know, raise our children Gables. 23 there close to our school and our church, and you 24 know, have them enjoy, you know, everything that 25 Coral Gables has to offer.

Page 25 1 And, you know, we're not developers. I'm not 2 here to build and make a profit or flip houses. 3 I'm here to stay for the long run and I hope you understand my needs. Thank you. 4 5 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you very much. 6 Anybody else? Anybody else for this item? 7 Thank you. 8 MR. MORENO: My name is Omar Moreno. I'm the 9 operating manager for Roc Build. I'm also the licensed architect for the project. 10 We did a quick inspection of the property. 11 We 12 went through the property to see its condition for 13 us to consider building on top of it. And the porch addition is in -- it's in bad shape. And it 14 15 seems like if there's going to be a lot of work to do to the house just to get it to a point where we 16 17 could then consider expanding, and its location on 18 the site, is a little difficult to figure how to 19 make this sort of expand without touching the 20 existing house. 21 So by moving -- by making the house larger so 22 it can fit their family needs, we will have to 23 significantly somehow violate the house in just 24 getting it ready to be able to build on. So that's 25 what we found with it.

Page 26 1 It was -- it has been altered a bit inside, 2 but it's not in very good shape. The mold and 3 mildew is also a concern that we have and we don't know the extent. It seems like it would be 4 probably more than just simply cleaning and moving 5 6 on. 7 So those are some of the things that we were 8 worried about and that's what showed up in the letter, and that's why I just wanted to stand up 9 10 and give you a quick brief of what we saw and why we wrote the letter that we did. 11 12 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you very much. 13 MR. MORENO: Thank you. 14 MR. BARRETO: Good afternoon. How are you? Roberto Barreto. I'm his business partner. 15 16 Just to point out a couple things that I noticed in the earlier presentation. The house has been 17 18 altered. They had an open carport before and 19 that's where the enclosure happened, which is the 20 one that's actually falling apart and caving down. 21 One of the points that Omar made was the way 22 the house was designed in the lot is also somewhat 23 difficult. One of the things that we wanted to 24 bring to the property was actually enjoying the large beautiful tree that it has. In the pictures 25

that they showed before, it did have -- that tree wasn't there before. Now one of the main features of the lot is one of the things we take into consideration when designing something is enjoying the landscape and enjoying the actual tree that it has. The way the location of the house is, it basically turns its back on the tree.

8 One of the difficult things in the design is 9 in the expansion we would have to take up most of 10 the lot, which is something that is unfortunate. 11 Just something to think about when talking about 12 expansion. And that's it. Thanks.

13 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thanks. Anybody else? 14 MS. SPAIN: Okay. So just as a reminder, what 15 you need to focus on is the criteria. The city attorney's office has been very consistent that the 16 17 condition of the home is something that we would 18 look at after the designation if you think this is 19 significant, and then they would need to apply for 20 a certificate of appropriateness for demolition. So that should not enter into your determination on 21 22 whether or not this is worthy of designation. 23 And you're not going to designate it now even

24 if you think it is. You would direct us to prepare25 a designation report and come back to this board.

	Page 28
1	So your options are to say, you know, lovely home,
2	not significant enough to save, or, yes, it is
3	historically significant and directing us to
4	prepare a designation and come back to you. And
5	even at that time, you could say thank you for the
6	designation report, we still don't think it's
7	significant. So those are your options.
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Could you read back to us the
9	two elements that
10	MS. SPAIN: This is just me.
11	Wait.
12	So I actually carry around the significance,
13	the criteria on my phone, which is just wrong.
14	So the two that I believe that may qualify for
15	if it's going to qualify for anything is portrays
16	the environment in an era of history characterized
17	by one or more distinctive architectural styles, or
18	embodies those distinguishing characteristics of an
19	architectural style or period or method of
20	construction. I really think you're down to those
21	two because it doesn't qualify for historical and
22	cultural significance or aesthetic significance and
23	it's not an outstanding work of a prominent
24	designer.
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.

	Page 29
1	MS. SPAIN: You're welcome.
2	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you.
3	Close the public hearing.
4	Hold that thought because I want to go through
5	a discussion that's a little different before we
6	deliberate on the actual house, and it's about the
7	way the function of arriving at the letter is
8	working. We've talked about this before, and it
9	just came to my mind when I saw your letter to the
10	board of architects which led me to understand that
11	your drawing's already done and then that's when
12	you're going to get this response whether the house
13	is significant or not.
14	MS. SPAIN: Well, typically, typically the
15	real estate agents in Coral Gables know that
16	CHAIRMAN TORRE: That's where I want to go
17	back, to that point. But there's a tripping
18	hazard
19	MS. SPAIN: They're selling a home and they
20	say we want to demolish it, they will say call
21	Dona.
22	CHAIRMAN TORRE: There's a tripping hazard
23	that I find is still there, and that tripping
24	hazard is that some realtors are a little zealous
25	and they kind of skew the words sometimes and it

gets a little tricky. And it's -- you know, I know better. When you're buying a house you should really, really do your homework, and I think maybe you did do your homework, but that's beside the point.

6 So my question is, and maybe for legal, for 7 Miriam, is beyond the fact that we're trying to 8 educate realtors that this could be a problem when 9 you go to the board of architects. You spent all 10 this time and you bought the house and now all of 11 sudden, boom, here comes this meeting that you're 12 having to go to.

Can we have a recorded document that -- and I'm thinking through this as I speak -- when you do a lien search, Miriam, is there a way to have it be known that Coral Gables has this particular ordinance that your house may be deemed to be historic at a future date and you should be aware of this notice?

20 MS. SPAIN: We would need to do that on every 21 property in Coral Gables --

22 CHAIRMAN TORRE: But if you're buying a
23 property -24 MS. SPAIN: Is that what you're saying?

25 just asking.

I'm

	rage 51
1	CHAIRMAN TORRE: I don't know. Can it be a
2	blanket recorded lien search item that you
3	basically are getting it, because unless you're
4	paying attention, nobody's going to ask for it.
5	MS. RAMOS: If they come here we can certainly
6	administratively put something in that would be
7	with every single lien search that just puts them
8	on notice that because the house is in Coral Gables
9	it could be historic.
10	If they do a county lien search, I don't think
11	that they would allow us to put anything in there.
12	CHAIRMAN TORRE: There's no I mean, I'm
13	just thinking through this. Is there any way to
14	disclose more broadly to the person buying in Coral
15	Gables this ordinance applies and may be coming
16	back to you, you need to be aware? Is there any
17	more of and, again, I'm trying to be helpful
18	to
19	MS. SPAIN: No. No. I
20	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is there any other way to get
21	the public to be more aware that this is an issue?
22	Again, some people will know better. Some people
23	will never be aware.
24	MS. RAMOS: I think we can work together to
25	find something that at least gets inserted. It

Page 32 1 won't be in only the properties that might be. 2 It's going to have to be a blanket statement. But 3 at least it turns on a light bulb. CHAIRMAN TORRE: I'm just thinking through 4 5 this, and again, I've come across this many times. 6 We've come across it. But these folks, you know, 7 again, this is in the board of architects already, so if this falters --8 9 MS. SPAIN: No. This has not been to the 10 board of architects. 11 CHAIRMAN TORRE: It's not? 12 MS. SPAIN: No. 13 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. 14 MS. SPAIN: If it's a new residence and --15 (MULTIPLE SPEAKERS SPEAKING AT ONE TIME.) CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- it says here, on behalf of 16 17 our clients we're submitting an application to the 18 board of architects. 19 MS. SPAIN: Well, I can tell you that if 20 everything's working the way it should, if they go to the board of architects counter for a new 21 22 residence, unless they have a letter from me 23 stating that it's not historic --24 CHAIRMAN TORRE: But the --25 (MULTIPLE SPEAKERS SPEAKING AT ONE TIME.)

<b>D</b>	-	2 2
Рао	rΩ	
FUY		55

	Page 3:
1	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Because it just happened
2	to me this week. My plans are done and then the
3	architect said you need to submit and get the
4	application; by the way, you need a letter. Oh,
5	yeah, I remember.
б	MS. SPAIN: That happened to you?
7	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes. Now, we had done a
8	letter before so it's not the letter had
9	expired, we need to get a new letter from you, but
10	that's another point.
11	MS. SPAIN: Well, we do have on the website in
12	big letters if they try to search to see whether
13	it's on the already designated, we have in big
14	letters, you know, even if your property is not
15	designated it cannot be
16	CHAIRMAN TORRE: I hear what
17	(MULTIPLE SPEAKERS SPEAKING AT ONE TIME.)
18	MS. SPAIN: I feel horrible.
19	CHAIRMAN TORRE: That's an issue, and I know
20	some people are a little zealous, the realtors, and
21	they, you know, sometimes are a little bit vague
22	and sometimes they don't even say anything.
23	So I'm just trying to be protective of the
24	people because I see this happen quite a bit.
25	MS. SPAIN: And I will tell you that we are

	Page 34
1	very helpful to people. If a realtor calls me on a
2	Saturday, I'll go out to the property with
3	prospective buyers. I mean, we're really hands on
4	when it comes to this because it's very difficult.
5	You know, it's the most difficult thing that this
6	department does is do those significance
7	determinations. And for the most part, we allow
8	things to be demolished because the realtors know
9	that they're not going to show them something
10	unless it has a letter so
11	MR. FULLERTON: Can't you make a blanket
12	statement that anything over 50 years of age
13	automatically will go to you?
14	MS. SPAIN: The blanket statement is anything.
15	MR. FULLERTON: Everything.
16	MS. SPAIN: Even if it's less than 50 years of
17	age we blocked demolition and designate them as
18	historic. A case in point is Two Casuarina
19	Concourse designed by Alfred Browning Parker.
20	CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. So, I mean,
21	that I know it comes and I try to help and I
22	don't know what else we can do. To say if we can
23	legally do something to advise people even more,
24	that's great.
25	MS. RAMOS: We'll work on it.

Page 35 1 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. 2 MS. SPAIN: So now back to this dilemma. 3 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Back to the deliberation. MR. SILVA: Before I start, Dona, I mean, if 4 this was black and white I don't think you would 5 6 have brought it here, right? 7 MS. SPAIN: Exactly. 8 MR. SILVA: If it's clear you would have said 9 no or yes one way or the other. So I think there 10 is discussion to be had one way or the other. I have a technical question. I know the 11 12 carport was enclosed, but I don't see looking 13 through the permit record, was that done by Tschumy? I see the garage addition, but --14 15 MS. SPAIN: I don't know that we know that. 16 MS. GUIN: It doesn't show up on the permit. 17 We are sort of making that assumption because the 18 '40s photo, it's already done, and we know that the 19 garage addition happened right before that photo. 20 So when we dig a little more we may find, but we're 21 thinking it was done at the same time. But it 22 definitely was done before the late '40s. 23 MR. SILVA: Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN TORRE: More discussion? 25 MR. FULLERTON: So the question for us right

Page 36 1 now is either we want you to go through the process 2 of doing a report or not? 3 MS. SPAIN: Or you allow it to be demolished. CHAIRMAN TORRE: Does it need further 4 information for you to make a determination. 5 6 MS. SPAIN: And, honestly, since I believe it 7 will only fit the architectural significance, I 8 really don't think it's necessary to do a 9 designation report, going through that, unless you 10 want us to and then we're happy to do the research. But, you know. 11 12 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Mr. Chairman, do you want 13 comments? 14 CHAIRMAN TORRE: I'm letting you guys speak on 15 this one. It's not easy for a historic 16 MS. SPAIN: 17 preservation --18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do you want comments or not? 19 CHAIRMAN TORRE: No. No. I'm asking for you 20 guys to make comments. Yeah, I'm not --21 MS. SPAIN: Go ahead. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, just speaking from what 22 23 I've seen in the record, it would be very difficult 24 for me to justify placing this house in any one of 25 these two categories, and if there's no sense -- if

Page 37 1 that's the case, there's no sense sending you off 2 to do work and let them waste another 60 days 3 before they can start the demolition. MS. SPAIN: Right. 4 5 MR. SILVA: I agree with that. 6 MR. EHRENHAFT: I agree too. I drove by the 7 house and looked at it and it's a very simple house. I can see that if a lot of money was put 8 9 into it and somebody wanted to fully restore it, 10 I'm still not convinced that it's a superb example of that architecture. It has some details that are 11 12 interesting. 13 I mean, there's a very lovely -- on the 14 garage --15 MS. SPAIN: Yes, that --16 MR. EHRENHAFT: -- there's, you know --17 (MULTIPLE SPEAKERS SPEAKING AT ONE TIME.) 18 MR. EHRENHAFT: -- on the side of the 19 concrete, and you know -- and I noted, you know, 20 the brick like details on the sides of the windows. 21 But the rest of the entirety of the house is very 22 simple. 23 MS. SPAIN: Right. 24 MR. EHRENHAFT: And in and of itself, I don't 25 think that it's remarkable.

Page 38 1 MS. SPAIN: I would agree with you. 2 MR. EHRENHAFT: I don't know whether it's 3 appropriate to the conversations, but if the 4 decision were made to allow it to be demolished, 5 could it be appropriate to urge that architectural 6 materials that are onsite that could be removed 7 could be somehow recycled --MS. SPAIN: We've never done that before. 8 9 MR. EHRENHAFT: -- or is that totally 10 inappropriate to the discussion? I don't know. 11 MS. THOMSON: I don't know if that's worth it. 12 MR. EHRENHAFT: I don't know. 13 MS. THOMSON: I have a question going back to 14 the realtor. 15 MS. SPAIN: Yes. 16 MS. THOMSON: Okay. Because you say this 17 happens in Coral Gables. How can a realtor 18 advertise to these people and tell them that they 19 can demolish the house when they don't know? 20 MS. SPAIN: I don't know the answer to that 21 question. 22 Is there any accountability for MS. THOMSON: 23 the realtor? I think that's wrong. 24 MS. SPAIN: Oh, agree with you. I believe 25 it's wrong. Every year I go to the realtors

1 conference and talk to them about it. I've qiven 2 talks in individual real estate offices. If we 3 know that something's being advertised as build your dream home and we haven't done the significant 4 determination, we call the realtor and tell them 5 6 this is what you need to do. 7 But I agree with you that it's not proper to have it like this. I'm not sure what we can do 8 9 about it. 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, they can certainly bring an action before the board, board of realtors, not 11

12 here.

13

14

MS. SPAIN: Right. Right. I understand. MR. SILVA: To me speaking to the

15 architectural merits of the building, when we've looked at buildings of this time period in the 16 past, we've really looked closely at the detailing 17 18 and what remains. It really has to be a pristine 19 example, and to me that enclosure of the carport 20 took away a lot of the kind of the playful massing that it had before, and it lost a lot of the 21 22 detailing in terms of the railings and the columns. 23 So I think it's altered enough, even though it's a 24 simple alteration, that it does kind of begin to 25 degrade the specialness of the house.

	Page 40
1	MS. SPAIN: That was my concern, but that's
2	why it's before you because I couldn't figure it
3	out. I couldn't decide, so
4	MS. THOMSON: Well, it kind of looks like a
5	house that's built anywhere, anywhere USA. I don't
б	think it's significant
7	MS. SPAIN: Well, then you need to make a
8	motion.
9	MS. THOMSON: to Coral Gables.
10	CHAIRMAN TORRE: I'm waiting.
11	Anybody else? We're good? Jan?
12	MS. THOMSON: I can't make motions.
13	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Why not?
14	MS. THOMSON: I can't make them.
15	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Bruce?
16	MS. THOMSON: How about I move to approve,
17	right, I move to approve that we accept the request
18	of the owner to be able to demolish the house; is
19	that the motion?
20	MR. FULLERTON: Or do what they would like to
21	do to the house.
22	MS. THOMSON: Do what they would like to do to
23	the house.
24	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Which in turn is saying you
25	don't deem the house significant enough to
1	

	Page 41
1	MS. THOMSON: Did I say I don't know if I'm
2	saying it right.
3	MS. SPAIN: I think that's fine. I'll issue a
4	letter based on that saying that it's not
5	historically significant and that it would not have
6	to go to this board and allow it to be demolished.
7	MS. RAMOS: For the record, the motion would
8	be a motion to not deem the home historically
9	significant.
10	MS. THOMSON: Right. I move that to move
11	that the home is not historically significant.
12	MS. SPAIN: Perfect.
13	CHAIRMAN TORRE: That will fly.
14	MS. THOMSON: I've never done that before.
15	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Good for you.
16	Is there a second?
17	MR. SILVA: I'll second.
18	CHAIRMAN TORRE: We have a second from
19	Mr. Silva. Any more discussion on this? All
20	right. Roll call, please.
21	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
22	MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
23	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Silva?
24	MR. SILVA: Yes.
25	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?

	Page 4
1	MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
2	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Menendez?
3	MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
4	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
б	MS. DIAZ: Ms. Thomson?
7	MS. THOMSON: Yes.
8	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
9	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes.
10	Thank you.
11	All right. The next item is a local historic
12	designation, and this is the case file LHD-2017-012
13	and this is consideration of the amendment to the
14	local historic designation report of the property
15	at 2506 Ponce de Leon Boulevard. This is H. George
16	Fink's office and studio, a local historic
17	landmark, legally described as Lots 20 and 23,
18	Block 7, Coral Gables Crafts Section, according to
19	the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 10, at
20	Page 40 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County.
21	This is an amendment by staff to expand the
22	local historic designation of 2506 Ponce de Leon
23	Boulevard, the George Fink office studios to
24	include interior architectural features of the
25	structure.

MS. GUIN: So since most of you were at theworkshop last weekend you know the location of thebuilding on Le Jeune.

The building was built in 1925 by H. George Fink as his office and studio. It was designated in 1984. It was designated under several criteria, both aesthetic, architectural in its siting. In particular of note, though, was the designation of the its contribution to the Mediterranean Revival Style in Coral Gables.

11 In 1924 Fink traveled to Europe to study 12 Mediterranean architecture, and upon his return he 13 designed this building as his office and studio to 14 showcase his interpretation of what became known as 15 the Mediterranean Revival style.

A 1926 article held the building as, it is now and destined to be one of the great showcases of Coral Gables. Blended in this building are Moorish, Gothic, Italian and the original thought of Mr. Fink with the most amazing harmony of color.

21 So also within the Coral Gables zoning code we 22 had the ability to also designate interiors if 23 they're public spaces. So that's the amendment 24 that we're bringing forward to you now. 25 So in accordance with Article 3,

Section 3-110(b), the optional designation of 1 2 interiors, says normally interior spaces shall not be subject to regulation under this section, this 3 section meaning historic designation landmark. 4 However, in cases of existing structures having 5 6 exceptional architectural, artistic or historical 7 or interior spaces which are customarily open to the public may be specifically designated. 8 The 9 designation report shall describe precisely these features subject to review and shall set forth 10 11 standards and guidelines for such regulations.

So as staff, we've determined that the interior spaces of this building are significant and meet the above criteria.

15 This is from the original permit from Fink, 16 which you have in the back of your packet in 1925. 17 You can see the original footprint of the building 18 was a slightly skewed T-structure.

19One of the things that I want to point out20here is the flow of the building. Right now21normally you enter through the doorway here, as22most of you did last week for the workshop. That23was not an original doorway. That was a window.24How they entered the building was actually through25the patio space into -- the pointer's not working

	Page 45
1	here.
2	MS. SPAIN: They changed the screen.
3	MS. GUIN: Yeah.
4	MS. SPAIN: So it doesn't work.
5	MS. GUIN: Okay. Well, into the reception
6	area through the secretary space, and then into the
7	large private workshop where we had the workshop
8	last week. And then to the back of the building
9	was a large drafting room.
10	This is a HABS drawing that was done by the
11	University of Miami this past year. They did the
12	Level 1 Historic American Building Survey
13	documentation of the building. In your packet I've
14	included several of the drawings sort of to
15	highlight and further detail some of the features.
16	You can get a sense here from this drawing,
17	the colored areas were our additions. So
18	originally they were garden spaces.
19	You can get a sense of the drafting room is
20	broken up with those front spaces. That house, the
21	reception, secretary and his office space, have
22	remained primarily intact.
23	We have a few interior photos of the studio.
24	Our best photos actually come from this 1926 Miami
25	Daily News newspaper article where you can see a

1	picture looking into the office space, and since
2	you all were there last week, you know that that
3	space has remained primarily almost wholly intact.
4	And then looking from the other angle.
5	So some of the highlights of the architecture
6	features of the interior include the painted
7	ceilings that we see in many of the spaces. This
8	is from the front office building where he used
9	some pretty brilliant colors and with these
10	brackets of these grimacing feature creatures
11	holding up all the wooden beams.
12	This is the ceiling from the reception space.
13	This would have been what you encountered as you
14	first entered the building. One of the things that
15	has been called out repeatedly in some of the
16	newspaper articles of the time when it was opened
17	talks about the amazing colors that were used in
18	the building. And we still see some really decent
19	remnants of those.
20	The interior coloring is blended in several
21	hues. Each room is entirely different, but
22	similarly impossible blending, the green with the
23	red and orange with the purple, a brown with the
24	vermillion. But the same ensemble is one of the
25	colorful, pleasing and quiet harmony.

And you can see that here, the sort of brighter blue ones are actually infields, but what you see mostly up there on the screen belongs to his original color pallet in that room.

And then we have quite a number of the spaces where we have these painted beams still there. In the drafting room space, which has been divided up into offices, that has a drop ceiling, and we haven't been able to investigate that, so there's possible that that space still -- that those beams still exist or remnants of them or something.

12 One sort of neat feature we discovered as we 13 were going through, this is in the ceiling and 14 you're going up the second story, it's that first 15 beam at the top of the stairs. You can see it has a C, G and a P inscribed in the beam. 16 We're assuming that CG is for Coral Gables. We don't 17 18 know what the P is. But if anybody knows what this 19 stands for, we would love to know. There's not 20 lots of nice little stories we're finding in the 21 studio.

22 So throughout the spaces we have this use of 23 tile and very colorful tile in some places on the 24 floors and in the staircase.

In the studio, the secretary space and the

25

1 reception, we still have excellent -- this basket 2 weave tile with these small colorful insets which 3 Kara Matthews, who's an architectural historian at the University of Miami, when she first entered she 4 immediately started identifying what some of these 5 6 tiles, that they actually are crests, the crests of 7 the various Spanish kingdoms. And so she was real excited and said that almost -- most of what's on 8 there, that's where they're coming from. 9

10 We also see the beautiful tile work on the 11 turned staircase, which the newspaper article in 12 '26 called "faithful copy of the stairway of El 13 Greco at Toledo."

And here has the stairs up to the second floor, and each riser very colorful and very different. The HABS team documented each of those risers in great detail.

And another sort of interesting piece to the story, the top riser of the stair has this Arabic motto from the Nsarid Rulers who built the Alhambra that says "There's no victory except for God," and you see this inscription several places as you walk around the Alhambra.

24 What's interesting, though, if you look you'll 25 see that as it appears at Alhambra and how it

9

	Page 4
1	appears on the top riser, it's flipped. So
2	something happened there. We don't know, but it's
3	sort of interesting.
4	As you move up the steps there's this
5	beautiful column ensemble that steps down giving
б	light into the steps, and also they're very
7	strategically placed. When you look through you
8	can see different portions of that painted beam
9	ceiling.
10	You have the large mantle, which this is quite
11	oversized. I put the little inset photo in here so
12	you can see just how tall and oversized that mantle
13	is. I mean, it's above the door height there in
14	the corner with some very nice detailing.
15	We have these Venetian columns with Encanthis
16	leaves, and then these, again, grimacing creatures
17	here holding up one portion of the mantle and then
18	in another portion underneath the fireplace.
19	MS. THOMSON: That's creepy.
20	MS. GUIN: I know. It's really amazing when
21	you start looking at the details that are here.
22	But, you know, really nicely placed so that
23	they're you sort of start to look for them.

Then there's this crest in the mantle, and 24 25 we're not quite sure where that is from yet. It's

a phoenix, an eagle, a griffin, or what the story
 is at to why that was used very prominently in the
 mantle.

4

5

6

7

8

We have these Venetian style tracery windows with these very beautiful interior screens sort of giving privacy from the street on the bottom third of these windows. And here's the tracery which you see on the interior and the exterior.

9 Another feature that you find throughout the 10 studio space are these different original doors, 11 and most of them do not appear to be in their 12 original locations, and I have to say, Kara and I 13 had a really good time sort of hunting and looking 14 at hinges and looking at the plans to figure out 15 where some of these doors actually started.

16 This door is the door that you entered in 17 right on that corner. That was originally a 18 window, so obviously that's not the original 19 location. The door, however, is inscribed as 20 private, so you can read it up there.

21 So based on its size, we think that that was 22 the door originally leading into Fink's studio. So 23 you would have come through that reception space 24 with that colorful ceiling and through the double 25 arches, which were not enclosed at the time, and

then face this door, and you opened the door into 1 2 this room. 3 There's another door which we sort of love. This is on the second floor. It's actually a 4 closet door now, but it reads Spanish jail, and it 5 6 is -- it does seem to be the door that led into the 7 drafting room, sort of a -- you get a lot of sort 8 of tongue in cheek we're finding the more we look in the studio. 9 10 This door, which currently is part of the infield in the arches, which we know is not its 11 12 original location has the Spanish Galleon on it, and we think this was one of the exterior doors out 13 into the garden in that veranda space. 14 So there's a number of doors. UM identified 15 seven of them, I think, that they feel are original 16 to the building, and they documented those as part 17 18 of the HABS, and you see more pictures of those in 19 designation report. 20 So and then the final sort of outstanding 21 architectural feature that we wanted to point out 22 for you today is the double arches that led from 23 the secretary space into the reception which are 24 now enclosed but are -- just have some really 25 beautiful details.

	rage J
1	This is, again, a photo that was included in
2	that 1926 newspaper, so you can see that it was
3	originally not enclosed as it is now.
4	So in conclusion, H. George Fink contributed
5	heavily to the fabric of the city of Coral Gables,
6	designing hundreds of buildings during his career
7	in the city which spanned four decades.
8	In 1924 Fink traveled to Europe to study
9	Mediterranean architecture. Upon his return he
10	designed this building and his office and studio to
11	showcase his interpretation of what became known as
12	the Mediterranean Revival style.
13	In 1926 an article held the building as it is
14	now and destined to be one of the great showpieces
15	of Coral Gables.
16	And in 2016, in honor of the City of Coral
17	Gables's 90th anniversary, the city purchased the
18	building.
19	In 1984 the local historic landmark
20	designation report states that the H. George Fink
21	office and studio building is often referred to as
22	the best example of Mediterranean Revival
23	architecture in the city of Coral Gables.
24	Since the designation the building has
25	retained a high degree of integrity and continues
l	

1 to be proffered as a leading example of the style 2 in the city zoning code. Both the exterior and 3 interior of the H. George Fink office and studio remain key high notes of Mediterranean Revival 4 architecture in the city. 5 6 Therefore, staff recommends to approve the 7 amendment to the local historic designation of the property to include the interior architectural 8 9 features and its spaces in the designation. 10 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Elizabeth, I have a question. 11 Did you quys discuss the issue of the 12 additions and how that affects what you're doing 13 today? MS. SPAIN: Well, it was designated historic, 14 15 I think, with those additions or at least it was allowed to have those additions. 16 17 Those are designated --CHAIRMAN TORRE: 18 MS. SPAIN: Those are designated just as part 19 of the exterior of the building. We would 20 certainly not be unhappy if someone wanted to take that down and -- the additions down and bring back 21 22 the gardens, would be wonderful. 23 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes, but the finishes in 24 those, especially the kitchen side, which is the 25 left side, I guess the '77 addition, there's

Page 54 1 nothing there except --2 MS. SPAIN: No. I mean, we would not --3 (MULTIPLE SPEAKERS SPEAKING AT ONE TIME.) 4 MS. SPAIN: It's really just those special features of the interiors that Elizabeth had talked 5 6 to you about that we would want to designate. 7 MS. GUIN: We are asking the amendment to 8 be --9 CHAIRMAN TORRE: The entire building. I'm 10 just wondering if you're restricting yourself to 11 something you may not want to do that. 12 MS. SPAIN: But we would allow those to come 13 down. 14 MS. GUIN: Yeah, outlined in report everything 15 would just be handled --16 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Would it be easier for us to 17 deny the additions being designated on the inside 18 to help any of that? I mean, if you keep adding 19 designations, does it --20 MS. SPAIN: No. But what we want to have 21 happen is to have anything that goes on in the 22 interiors come to this office. I mean, it's mainly 23 just so that --24 CHAIRMAN TORRE: So it's all looked at --25 (MULTIPLE SPEAKERS SPEAKING AT ONE TIME.)

Page 55 1 CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- your thought process. 2 That's why I asked. 3 MS. GUIN: Our other thought was if those 4 additions were to come down, I mean, if we can -we still can sort of tell where those original 5 6 openings are, so if those additions came down we 7 might able to, you know --MS. SPAIN: This is --8 9 MS. GUIN: Or keep that in mind with whatever 10 goes forward. 11 MS. SPAIN: And this is in anticipation of 12 applying for it to be on the national registry, 13 which we intend to do. And I also have to say 14 every time we talk about those interiors, I need to 15 thank the Dappy family, who owned this building for over 40 years and kept those interiors intact. 16 17 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Absolutely. 18 MS. SPAIN: Which is really amazing. 19 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Amazing. 20 MS. SPAIN: So... 21 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yeah. Anybody in the 22 audience like to speak toward this item or against 23 this item? 24 Okay. Close the public hearing and sounds 25 like an easy one to me.

	Page 56
1	MR. FULLERTON: Yeah, no-brainer.
2	MS. THOMSON: Yeah, it is.
3	CHAIRMAN TORRE: John?
4	MR. FULLERTON: I'll move staff
5	recommendations to designate the interior features
6	of this amazing house except the clock. I think we
7	ought to exempt the clock from the designation
8	MS. THOMSON: Why?
9	MR. FULLERTON: and remove it.
10	CHAIRMAN TORRE: It's not original.
11	MR. MENENDEZ: I second.
12	MS. THOMSON: It's so cool.
13	CHAIRMAN TORRE: We have a motion from
14	Mr. Fullerton and Mr. Menendez second it.
15	Any more discussion?
16	Go to roll call.
17	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Menendez?
18	MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
19	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Silva?
20	MR. SILVA: Yes.
21	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
22	MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
23	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
24	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
25	MS. DIAZ: Ms. Thomson?

	Fage 5
1	MS. THOMSON: Yes.
2	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
3	MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
4	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
5	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes.
6	MS. GUIN: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. Thank you for
8	brining this item, actually.
9	Last item today, this is for a special
10	certificate of appropriateness, and this is case
11	file COA (SP) 2017-019. This is an application for
12	the issuance of a special certificate of
13	appropriateness for the property at 1001 Hardee
14	Road, a contributing resource within "The French
15	City Village Historic District," and it's legally
16	described at Lots 11, Block I'm sorry 11 and
17	12 of Block 145, Coral Gables Riviera Section Part
18	Nine as record in Plat 28, Page 29 of the public
19	record of Miami-Dade County.
20	So this application request is on approval for
21	the construction of an addition to the residence
22	and also site work.
23	MS. KAUTZ: I was not here at the beginning of
24	the meeting. I need to get sworn in.
25	MS. DIAZ: Please raise your right hand. Do

Page 58 1 you swear to tell the whole truth and nothing but 2 the truth? 3 MS. KAUTZ: I do. MS. DIAZ: 4 Thank you. 5 MS. KAUTZ: All right. This is a property, a 6 contributing property in The French City Village. 7 Location map, you can see it outlined on the This is a 1940s photograph. It's the 8 screen. 9 corner lot of Hardee and Cellini. It's -- French 10 City Village obviously is one of 16 groups designed 11 or planned by George Merrick. This was designed by 12 Mott B. Schmidt. 22 residences make up the 13 district, there are 16 contributing, and obviously this one is a contributing residence. It was 14 15 designated in 2002 as a historic district. The proposal requests approval of construction 16 of a one-story addition to the residents. 17 The 18 addition consists of a new breakfast room in the 19 location of an existing interior courtyard between 20 the garage and the residence. 21 Interior renovations are also proposed for the 22 residence. Site work includes the demolition of 23 the existing driveway, walkway, patio and interior 24 site walls, and installation of a new driveway, new 25 interior site walls, new decks and a new swimming

1 pool.

2 No variances have been requested as part of 3 this application. It was approved by the board of architects in June of this year. We as staff have 4 no objection to the addition. It's not readily 5 6 visible from any of the elevations from either 7 Cellini or Hardee. The only item that will 8 possibly be visual depending on what they choose is the skylight, the top finials of a skylight. 9 10 We have a few conditions of approval that are 11 noted on the last page of your report that we'd 12 like incorporated into the approval, please. 13 I'll turn it over to the applicant. MR. IGLESIAS: Hi. My name is Tom Iglesias. 14 15 I'm here representing the architectural firm, Cad 16 Studio Architecture. As she stated, we are just proposing to put a breakfast addition in between 17 18 the existing garage and existing house and its 19 interior courtyard, not really visible from the 20 street. We are going to maintain the 21 characteristics of the house, obviously, and we're 22 also wanting to add a pool, which technically would 23 be in the front yard, but it's also consistent with 24 some of the other houses on the same block. That's basically it. 25

1	There's some slides here of the existing
2	house, which you see on there on the screen.
3	That's the existing courtyard now where we're
4	proposing to put the addition.
5	There's a view there from the garage. A
б	little further back there's an archway in front,
7	which we will be maintaining the archway, which you
8	can see there on the left-hand side, so technically
9	the addition is behind that.
10	This would be the skylight that we're
11	proposing to put on top of the breakfast room
12	addition, was selected by the owner. It really
13	won't be visible except from the Hardee side,
14	possibly the two spires that you see there.
15	This is along this is the view from Hardee
16	into the garage. The garage is going to remain as
17	is and the existing garage doors will also be kept,
18	which I believe is stated here in the conditions.
19	This is just an aerial map there. It's the
20	corner lot, and that's the sort of a pool, the
21	pool look we'll be going for there that you see in
22	the left-hand slide.
23	And these are just the ideas that the owner
24	had regarding replacing the driveway. The one on
25	the right is the preference that she has.

Page 61 1 And then this is sort of a conceptual view 2 from above the interior walls, which we want to add 3 to the driveway to disguise the pool equipment and the AC equipment, which would be on the yard side. 4 5 There's also the gateway there that would take 6 you from the driveway to the yard area. 7 And that's just the pool deck material. 8 And that's it. 9 MS. MATOS-LACASA: Hi. My name is Mary 10 Matos-Lacasa, and I own and my husband, Carlos 11 Lacasa own this property. 12 I just wanted to say that we recognize how 13 privileged we are to be the owners of this home right now, and our intent through what you've seen 14 in the slides is essentially to preserve the 15 original design intent of this historic home while 16 17 bringing into it some of the amenities that we've 18 grown accustomed to nowadays with a larger kitchen, 19 an eat-in kitchen, a pool, and then certain 20 elements like the gate that we showed on there 21 is -- I believe that the home must have had a gate 22 originally because most of the other -- all of the 23 other homes actually do have a gate, and somewhere 24 down the road I guess it was eliminated, so we want 25 to add that again.

Page 62 1 I don't know if you have any questions for me 2 about our intent. 3 MR. EHRENHAFT: I have one question. The pool which you're proposing, which will be to the front 4 of the house on the Hardee side, I understood from 5 6 your written communications you're intending it to 7 be a dipping pool, so are you suggesting it's not going to be full depth swimming pool? 8 9 MS. MATOS-LACASA: Correct. Correct. MR. EHRENHAFT: So it will almost be like a 10 formal garden reflecting pool, but deep enough to 11 12 refresh yourself in? 13 MS. MATOS-LACASA: Exactly. Maybe two feet 14 deep or so so that you can kind of sit in it and 15 just cool off. 16 MR. MENENDEZ: We don't have to deal with the 17 pool, do we? 18 MS. KAUTZ: No. 19 MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. 20 MS. KAUTZ: I mean, it's a design feature that 21 they're including, but it's, you know ... 22 MR. MENENDEZ: I think it's a pretty elegant, 23 you know, solution. I like it a lot, to tell you 24 the truth. I like the way that you've treated 25 everything.

1 Yeah, I agree. The only comment MR. SILVA: 2 that I would like to add is the way that the 3 skylight is drawn now I think is very handsome, very in proportion with the design of the house, 4 but it is a delegated design in terms of approval, 5 6 right? We have -- you're going to be submitting 7 shop drawings and structural calcs and all that for 8 submittal.

9 I would like to add to the conditions that 10 staff review the shop drawings for the skylight as 11 well if that's possible, because I think that 12 there's a lot of variation that could happen 13 between -- this is great, and I think we'd like to 14 keep this as or as close as possible to this.

MS. KAUTZ: We typically do review shop drawings for windows, doors, railings, things like that, they get sent to us, but I'll make sure.

18CHAIRMAN TORRE: That's what happens when you19put something so perfect. You got to stick to it.

21CHAIRMAN TORRE: When you put something so22perfect you have to stick to it.

MS. MATOS-LACASA: Pardon?

23 MS. MATOS-LACASA: Thank you.

20

24 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Nothing more to add? Anybody
25 else? Anybody wants to speak for --

Page 64 MS. SPAIN: I think it will be stunning. 1 I'm 2 really happy with this design. 3 CHAIRMAN TORRE: You brought us good stuff 4 today. 5 MS. SPAIN: We did. 6 CHAIRMAN TORRE: You did. 7 MR. MENENDEZ: I move to approve. 8 MR. FULLERTON: Second. 9 MS. KAUTZ: With conditions including 10 allowances? 11 MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. 12 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Repeat the condition, would 13 you. 14 MR. MENENDEZ: Alex can repeat his conditions. 15 MR. SILVA: Staff conditions, plus that staff 16 also review the shop drawings for the skylight, a 17 friendly amendment to your motion. 18 CHAIRMAN TORRE: So repeat the motion, would you. 19 20 MR. MENENDEZ: I approve with the addition by Alex, Mr. Silva, of staff reviewing the shop 21 22 drawings. 23 CHAIRMAN TORRE: And staff recommendations. 24 MR. MENENDEZ: And staff recommendations. 25 Thank you. Is there a CHAIRMAN TORRE:

	Page 65
1	second? There was a second.
2	MR. EHRENHAFT: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Mr. Ehrenhaft.
4	MR. MENENDEZ: Before you changed everything
5	there was, yes. We have a motion. We have a
б	second. Any more discussion?
7	MS. KAUTZ: Wait. Who seconded it, John or
8	Alex?
9	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Mr. Ehrenhaft.
10	Jessie, we're all set.
11	MS. KAUTZ: Oh, neither. Okay.
12	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Silva?
13	MR. SILVA: Yes.
14	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
15	MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
16	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez?
17	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
18	MS. DIAZ: Ms. Thomson?
19	MS. THOMSON: Yes.
20	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton?
21	MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
22	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Menendez?
23	MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
24	MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre?
25	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes.
1	

	Page 66
1	MS. SPAIN: So we received an e-mail from
2	Alicia saying unfortunately I will be unable to
3	attend today's board meeting due to an unexpected
4	conflict and I ask that the board excuse my absence
5	today.
б	So we received that at 3:30. If you could
7	vote on that.
8	CHAIRMAN TORRE: We can definitely do that for
9	Alicia.
10	So Ms. Bache-Wiig has requested an excused
11	absence.
12	MS. SPAIN: I avoided her last name.
13	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes. Is there a motion for
14	excuse of Bache-Wiig?
15	MS. THOMSON: I move that we approve her
16	excuse, we excuse her.
17	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Beautiful.
18	MR. EHRENHAFT: Second it.
19	CHAIRMAN TORRE: There's a second.
20	And all those in favor please say "aye."
21	(ALL): "Aye."
22	CHAIRMAN TORRE: All against?
23	She's excused.
24	MS. SPAIN: That's it for us. I have nothing.
25	CHAIRMAN TORRE: Nice day today.

	Page 67
1	Thank you.
2	MR. FULLERTON: I've been noticing something
3	around town just walking around downtown, how many
4	newspaper boxes we still have that are completely
5	empty except for The New Times. I was wondering,
6	do we have to have those things anymore?
7	MS. SPAIN: Were you here when there was that
8	whole controversy years ago?
9	MR. FULLERTON: I was here.
10	MS. SPAIN: And you're bringing it up again?
11	MR. FULLERTON: Yes.
12	MS. SPAIN: I'll check on it. I'll talk to
13	the manager's office about it.
14	MR. FULLERTON: Yeah, it seems to me that
15	that's a thing of the past. Maybe it's historic.
16	Maybe they should remain.
17	MS. THOMSON: But like with this thing that
18	came up today with the realtor, this is really
19	bothering me, because I know I do real estate
20	too, but and you have to represent things the
21	way they are and the way that you're able to do
22	them.
23	MS. SPAIN: You're right.
24	MS. THOMSON: So
25	CHAIRMAN TORRE: And it happens a lot.

Page 68 1 MR. EHRENHAFT: A realtor just wants to sell 2 the property, so they're just going to say 3 whatever. MS. THOMSON: That's true. 4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I think they have a good 5 6 action to take it before the board of realtors if 7 they wanted to do that. And if we had denied the request they definitely would have a problem with 8 9 it. 10 MS. THOMSON: Especially if we denied the 11 request. 12 MS. SPAIN: That's right. I agree. 13 Something would happen that CHAIRMAN TORRE: everybody would be paying attention and then it 14 15 wouldn't happen anymore. 16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Except you hate to make 17 someone a scapegoat. 18 MS. THOMSON: I mean, it's wrong. This is 19 Coral Gables. 20 MS. SPAIN: It is. MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's also sort of naive on 21 22 their part to have accepted that representation 23 without going to counsel or doing their due 24 diligence, but still they have that in writing from 25 the realtor.

Page 69 1 MS. SPAIN: That's true. I wonder whether 2 there was just one realtor involved in that. 3 Typically there's -- there was just one? Oh, well, there you go. 4 MR. SILVA: Yeah, I mean, unfortunately, we've 5 6 all seen it, is they do their due diligence in 7 terms of is it designated now at this moment, and 8 then --9 But if they call our MS. SPAIN: Yes. 10 department and ask us we tell them, are you planning on demolishing this, you know, we just 11 12 need to tell you that it's not designated, but you 13 cannot demolish it without going through the 14 process. 15 So everybody in the department, you know, the archivist knows to say that. Katherine, that 16 17 handles art in public places, she knows to say 18 that. I think the only time to 19 CHAIRMAN TORRE: 20 actually catch it is when the attorney looks at 21 that lien letter and says, oh, by the way, look at 22 what I just found. 23 MS. SPAIN: That's a very good idea. If we're 24 able to do that, that's perfect. 25 I mean, it may be too late to CHAIRMAN TORRE:

Page 70 1 get out of the contract, but there's a case to be 2 made because all of a sudden, hey, did you know 3 about this? MR. EHRENHAFT: Could they not reach out to 4 the board of realtors and then either hold a 5 6 symposium or --7 They hold a symposium every year. MS. SPAIN: MR. EHRENHAFT: -- or have a communication 8 9 from the city putting all of the realtors on notice 10 through the board? MS. SPAIN: I mean, we've notified the board 11 12 of realtors. I speak at a symposium every year 13 that they have an annual meeting. But I think that if we're able to somehow get it on for a title 14 15 search, I don't know how to do that, I don't know whether we're able to do that, but Miriam and I 16 17 will look into that. That would be perfect. 18 CHAIRMAN TORRE: I'm not sure it's possible. 19 That's just my only suggestion. 20 MS. SPAIN: Yeah. The lien search is easier because 21 MS. RAMOS: 22 Alba does it here at the city. So we can just have 23 Alba do it with the lien results. Titled search is 24 different. 25 But lien search, they could CHAIRMAN TORRE:

Page 71 do a search with the city and all of a sudden --1 2 MS. RAMOS: Yeah. 3 CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- fees and this and that, and oh, by the way, here's a notice for it. 4 5 MS. RAMOS: Yeah. 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: We're not the municipality 7 that has this issue, I imagine. MS. SPAIN: Well, we're the only municipality 8 9 in Miami-Dade County that has that provision. 10 CHAIRMAN TORRE: You can do it without them 11 accepting it. 12 MS. SPAIN: I mean, I don't know of any other 13 municipality that has that anywhere. 14 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Miami Beach doesn't do it? 15 MS. SPAIN: No. 16 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is there a motion for 17 adjournment? 18 MR. MENENDEZ: I move. 19 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is there a second? 20 MR. EHRENHAFT: Second. 21 CHAIRMAN TORRE: All those in favor please say 22 "aye." 23 (ALL): "Aye." 24 CHAIRMAN TORRE: Adjourn. 25 MS. SPAIN: Thank you very much.

	Page 72
1	COURT CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF DADE
4	COUNTI OF DADE
5	
6	I, JESSICA A. DONNELLY, FPR, certify that
7	I was authorized to and did stenographically report the
8	foregoing proceedings via CD and that the transcript is
9	a true and complete record, to the best of my ability,
10	of my stenographic notes.
11	
12	Dated this 7th day of November, 2017.
13	
14	
15	
16	JESSICA A. DONNELLY, FPR
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	