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| 1 | A lobbyist is defined as an individual, |
| 2 | corporation, partnership or other legal entity |
| 3 | employed or retained, whether paid or not, by a |
| 4 | principal who seeks to encourage the approval, |
| 5 | disapproval, adoption, passage, repeal, defeat or |
| 6 | modification of any ordinance, resolution, action |
| 7 | or decision of any city commissioner, any action, |
| 8 | decision, recommendation of the city manager, any |
| 9 | city board or committee, including but not limited |
| 10 | to quasi-judicial advisory board, trust, authority |
| 11 | or council or any action, decision or |
| 12 | recommendation of city personnel during the time |
| 13 | period of the entire decision-making progress on |
| 14 | the action, decision or recommendation which |
| 15 | foreseeably will be heard or reviewed by the city |
| 16 | commission or a city board or committee, including, |
| 17 | but not limited to quasi-judicial advisory board, |
| 18 | trust, authority or council. |
| 19 | Presentations made to this board are subject |
| 20 | to the city's False Claim Ordinance Chapter 39 of |
| 21 | the City of Coral Gables City Code. |
| 22 | I now officially call the City of Coral Gables |
| 23 | Historic Preservation Board Meeting of October |
| 24 | 19th, 2017 to order. The time is 4:13. |
| 25 | Present today to my left, Jan Thomson, Raul |
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| 1 | Rodriguez, Alejandro Silva, to my right, Albert |
| 2 | Menendez, Mr. John Fullerton, Mr. Bruce Ehrenhaft. |
| 3 | The next item is the approval of the minutes, |
| 4 | and this is for approving the minutes of September |
| 5 | 21st, 2017. Is there a motion for approval of |
| 6 | those minutes? |
| 7 | MR. SILVA: I'll move approval. |
| 8 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Second. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: We have a motion. We have a |
| 10 | second. All those in favor, please say "aye". |
| 11 | (ALL) : "Aye." |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Anybody against? |
| 13 | Thank you. |
| 14 | Notice regarding the ex parte communications |
| 15 | says please be advised that this board is a |
| 16 | quasi-judicial board and that the items on the |
| 17 | agenda are quasi-judicial in nature, which requires |
| 18 | board members to disclose all ex parte |
| 19 | communications. |
| 20 | An ex parte communication is defined as any |
| 21 | contact, communication, conversation, |
| 22 | correspondence, memorandum or other written or |
| 23 | verbal communication that takes place outside a |
| 24 | public hearing between a member of the public and a |
| 25 | member of the quasi-judicial board regarding |
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| 1 | MR. EHRENHAFT: So moved. |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is there a second? |
| 3 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: So Mr. Rodriguez seconded it. |
| 5 | All those in favor, please say "aye." |
| 6 | (ALL) : "Aye." |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: All those against. |
| 8 | Thank you. So we'll do first the city item. |
| 9 | MS. RAMOS: Sure. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. |
| 11 | MS. RAMOS: Good afternoon, everyone. My |
| 12 | apologies for September. This was supposed to |
| 13 | happen in September for both planning and zoning |
| 14 | and historic. I got called into an emergency |
| 15 | meeting right before this meeting so I was unable |
| 16 | to present, and then planning and zoning also got |
| 17 | postponed because of the hurricane. So forgive me |
| 18 | because this is a little bit redundant with what's |
| 19 | going to happen next Friday, but it's very limited. |
| 20 | Today we're only going to talk about voting |
| 21 | conflicts and it should take ten minutes. |
| 22 | Next Friday we have the boards and committees |
| 23 | training which many of you have attended. It's |
| 24 | from 9:00 to 12:00 at the youth center, and it's |
| 25 | going to talk about all the different things you |
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| 1 | and say, look, I have this, you know, that I'm |
| 2 | concerned about, can you give me an opinion? |
| 3 | If we give you a written opinion, you're |
| 4 | essentially protected. While the most that will |
| 5 | happen is if they file an ethics complaint, they'll |
| 6 | come after our office and they'll say you guys were |
| 7 | wrong, and that's fine, we'll take it. But you all |
| 8 | will be protected because you received an opinion |
| 9 | assuming you disclosed all the relevant facts. So |
| 10 | please keep that in mind. |
| 11 | The second provision is appearances. So this |
| 12 | is regarding the board on which you serve. Okay. |
| 13 | So you may not appear before the board on behalf of |
| 14 | third parties seeking a benefit from this board. |
| 15 | This means that the board member cannot submit |
| 16 | documents or correspondence, appear in meetings |
| 17 | with staff or appear before the board on behalf of |
| 18 | a client or nonprofit. You cannot receive |
| 19 | compensation from third parties seeking a benefit |
| 20 | from this board. And, again, it's limited to the |
| 21 | board on which you serve. |
| 22 | The county has a provision that says that |
| 23 | board members may not appear before their own |
| 24 | board, but they may appear before other municipal |
| 25 | boards. |
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| 1 | form of voting conflict. Board members may not |
| 2 | vote on any matter if they will be directly |
| 3 | affected by the action and if they have one of |
| 4 | these enumerated relationships; officer, director, |
| 5 | partner, of counsel, consultant, employee, |
| 6 | fiduciary, beneficiary, stockholder, bondholder |
| 7 | creditor or debtor. So if you would be directly |
| 8 | affected and the person appearing before you has |
| 9 | one of these relationships with you, you cannot |
| 10 | vote. Okay. Now, that was all county code voting |
| 11 | conflicts. |
| 12 | Now, there's a state law about voting |
| 13 | conflicts, and the state law is similar, but a |
| 14 | little bit different, and it says that no appointed |
| 15 | public officer shall participate in any matter |
| 16 | which would inure to the officer's special gain or |
| 17 | loss, or which the officer knows should inure to |
| 18 | their special gain or loss of any principal with |
| 19 | whom he or she is retained or a relative or |
| 20 | business associate without first disclosing the |
| 21 | nature of his or her interest. |
| 22 | And they define special gain or loss as an |
| 23 | economic benefit or harm that would inure to the |
| 24 | benefit of themselves or their relative or their |
| 25 | business associate. And they consider a number of |
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| 1 | factors; the size of the class affected, the nature |
| 2 | of the interest involved; the degree to which the |
| 3 | interest of all members of the class are affected |
| 4 | by the vote, and the degree to which the officer, |
| 5 | relative, business associate or principal receives |
| 6 | a greater benefit or harm than others. |
| 7 | The classic situation where this comes up is |
| 8 | if you happen to own a home where -- and this would |
| 9 | be for a legislative item. For quasi-judicial it's |
| 10 | difficult to apply it. But if you own a home in an |
| 11 | area where this legislative change, let's say, |
| 12 | designated a whole neighborhood as historic and you |
| 13 | happen to own a home in that area, the State's |
| 14 | going to look at how big that class is. Is it one |
| 15 | block? Then you might be uniquely affected. Is it |
| 16 | 80 blocks? Then you probably are not. Okay. |
| 17 | So that's when it says size of the class, |
| 18 | nature of interest, those are the types of things |
| 19 | it looks at. And it's not a black-and-white rule. |
| 20 | It's just kind of a test that we apply to come to a |
| 21 | conclusion. |
| 22 | So what happens if you have a voting conflict? |
| 23 | Now, I will stop now to say you sit in two |
| 24 | capacities. You sit in a legislative capacity and |
| 25 | a quasi-judicial capacity. These rules apply to |
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| 1 | both. But when you're sitting in a quasi-judicial |
| 2 | capacity you have an extra added kind of little |
| 3 | cricket on your shoulder that says, can I be fair? |
| 4 | Even if you don't have one of these conflicts that |
| 5 | are a legal conflict, when you sit as a judge, |
| 6 | which is how you're sitting in a quasi-judicial |
| 7 | proceeding, you have to yourself evaluate whether |
| 8 | you are somehow biased toward this individual. |
| 9 | It could be because you had an ex parte |
| 10 | communication, not purposely but the person |
| 11 | approached you at a cocktail party that had nothing |
| 12 | to do with anything, and you feel like you can't |
| 13 | vote. You can, you just have to disclose it, but |
| 14 | you might feel like you can't. A longstanding |
| 15 | friendship, a number of different reasons that you |
| 16 | feel may bury your political opponent, who knows, |
| 17 | that you feel you can't be fair and impartial, then |
| 18 | it's up to you to say, I can't be fair and |
| 19 | impartial and to recuse. Okay. That's on |
| 20 | quasi-judicial. |
| 21 | So if you have to recuse, whether because |
| 22 | you're legally required to on a legislative item, |
| 23 | whether you're legally required to on a |
| 24 | quasi-judicial or because you feel you can't be |
| 25 | fair and impartial, you have to follow three steps. |
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| 1 | presumption of prejudice is by disclosing the |
| 2 | communication on the record, so that it's part of |
| 3 | the record. And it has to be done before action is |
| 4 | taken. Okay. And if the communication's in |
| 5 | writing, that's fine, you can just make the piece |
| 6 | of paper part of the record. Okay. |
| 7 | And, lastly, appearance of impropriety. As we |
| 8 | all know, perception is often reality. So it's |
| 9 | extremely important for you not only to avoid legal |
| 10 | conflicts, but also to avoid appearances. And this |
| 11 | goes to kind of that quasi-judicial path. So if |
| 12 | you feel that a relationship with someone might be |
| 13 | called -- might call your vote into question, keep |
| 14 | that in mind. You're better off recusing. Okay. |
| 15 | Again, if you feel that the relationship may |
| 16 | cause a conflict or you feel uncomfortable, please |
| 17 | come to our office. We're always available. We |
| 18 | appreciate a couple days notice if possible, but if |
| 19 | it has to be right before the meeting or if it has |
| 20 | to be right at the meeting, I've made the call, |
| 21 | that's fine too. Okay. |
| 22 | Any questions? |
| 23 | All right. I will see most of you next |
| 24 | Friday, I hope. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you very much. |
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| 1 | And so as Elizabeth goes through the slides, |
| 2 | and also we have the owners here, and they need to |
| 3 | correct me if I'm wrong, they purchased this house |
| 4 | recently with the intent of demolishing it and |
| 5 | building their dream house. So that's the |
| 6 | circumstance. |
| 7 | MS. GUIN: All right. We just have a few |
| 8 | slides to sort of give you a taste of what's there. |
| 9 | So the property is located at the corner of |
| 10 | Le Jeune and Marmore. It's here. And here's a |
| 11 | 1940s photo of the house. The house was built in |
| 12 | January 1939. The original permits, I believe you |
| 13 | have a copy of those in your packet. The architect |
| 14 | was Apuzzo and Tschumy. And then Tschumy again did |
| 15 | the garage edition and enclosed the carport in '46. |
| 16 | The style that this would fit under is the |
| 17 | minimal traditional style, which was a popular |
| 18 | house style which appeared throughout the country |
| 19 | during the Depression era, and this was a |
| 20 | nationwide style. The architectural journals and |
| 21 | magazines highly promoted this as the style of the |
| 22 | time. |
| 23 | The style is simple, but was a subtle response |
| 24 | to the economic hardships of the Depression and |
| 25 | also the ornate styles of the '20s, so it was sort |
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| 1 | of a backlash style that we see that happened in |
| 2 | the '30s. In Coral Gables the many traditional |
| 3 | houses often reflect the Spanish influences with |
| 4 | barrel tile roofs, which we see with this house. |
| 5 | Now, just to put this in a little bit of |
| 6 | context before we, you know, really dig into the |
| 7 | research, but we know that in general we don't have |
| 8 | a lot of houses from this time period, from the |
| 9 | late '30s in Coral Gables that are still standing. |
| 10 | So it here gives you, you know, a little bit of a |
| 11 | graph so you can see in 1939 we had just a little |
| 12 | over 100 houses that were built, single-family |
| 13 | homes built during that time. |
| 14 | So looking at the house, it is primarily |
| 15 | intact. There's been very few changes. The |
| 16 | shutters are missing, and then there's the wood |
| 17 | lattice work that was on the screen porch area |
| 18 | that's missing. Otherwise, on the external facade |
| 19 | the house is very much intact. It's not had |
| 20 | additions. |
| 21 | You can see the '46 garage, detached garage to |
| 22 | the back, and then in the lower photo here, this |
| 23 | was the original area of the carport which Tschumy |
| 24 | enclosed, he was the original architect, when he |
| 25 | built the garage. We don't know at this point |
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| 1 | to either expand or build new. Like we bought this |
| 2 | house, you know, based on what she told us, so we |
| 3 | feel a little, you know, off guard right now. |
| 4 | But we did look at different options of |
| 5 | expanding the house or building new, and after |
| 6 | analyzing both options, meeting with our |
| 7 | architects, doing many inspections, meeting with |
| 8 | the builder, analyzing costs, we realized that |
| 9 | expanding was not a feasible option because the |
| 10 | house is in such bad shape -- and we have a |
| 11 | report -- that it was going to cost us a lot more |
| 12 | money to fix everything that the house has than to |
| 13 | start from, you know, from fresh. So we decided to |
| 14 | go through the process of demolition and that's why |
| 15 | we're here today. |
| 16 | We have a letter as you -- I have more copies |
| 17 | from Ricardo Lopez basically -- it's on the second |
| 18 | page stating that the house does not justify a |
| 19 | historic designation. Okay. We also have a letter |
| 20 | from our builder stating the challenges that the |
| 21 | house has, which like I mentioned, the house there |
| 22 | were -- the owners were from Virginia and they |
| 23 | never came. They used it as a vacation house and |
| 24 | they really didn't put a lot of money into any |
| 25 | improvement or fix any of the problems with the |




It was -- it has been altered a bit inside, but it's not in very good shape. The mold and mildew is also a concern that we have and we don't know the extent. It seems like it would be probably more than just simply cleaning and moving on.

So those are some of the things that we were worried about and that's what showed up in the letter, and that's why I just wanted to stand up and give you a quick brief of what we saw and why we wrote the letter that we did.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you very much.
MR. MORENO: Thank you.
MR. BARRETO: Good afternoon. How are you?
Roberto Barreto. I'm his business partner.
Just to point out a couple things that I noticed in the earlier presentation. The house has been altered. They had an open carport before and that's where the enclosure happened, which is the one that's actually falling apart and caving down. One of the points that Omar made was the way the house was designed in the lot is also somewhat difficult. One of the things that we wanted to bring to the property was actually enjoying the large beautiful tree that it has. In the pictures
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| 1 | So your options are to say, you know, lovely home, |
| 2 | not significant enough to save, or, yes, it is |
| 3 | historically significant and directing us to |
| 4 | prepare a designation and come back to you. And |
| 5 | even at that time, you could say thank you for the |
| 6 | designation report, we still don't think it's |
| 7 | significant. So those are your options. |
| 8 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Could you read back to us the |
| 9 | two elements that -- |
| 10 | MS. SPAIN: This is just me. |
| 11 | Wait. |
| 12 | So I actually carry around the significance, |
| 13 | the criteria on my phone, which is just wrong. |
| 14 | So the two that I believe that may qualify for |
| 15 | if it's going to qualify for anything is portrays |
| 16 | the environment in an era of history characterized |
| 17 | by one or more distinctive architectural styles, or |
| 18 | embodies those distinguishing characteristics of an |
| 19 | architectural style or period or method of |
| 20 | construction. I really think you're down to those |
| 21 | two because it doesn't qualify for historical and |
| 22 | cultural significance or aesthetic significance and |
| 23 | it's not an outstanding work of a prominent |
| 24 | designer. |
| 25 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you. |
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| 1 | gets a little tricky. And it's -- you know, I know |
| 2 | better. When you're buying a house you should |
| 3 | really, really do your homework, and I think maybe |
| 4 | you did do your homework, but that's beside the |
| 5 | point. |
| 6 | So my question is, and maybe for legal, for |
| 7 | Miriam, is beyond the fact that we're trying to |
| 8 | educate realtors that this could be a problem when |
| 9 | you go to the board of architects. You spent all |
| 10 | this time and you bought the house and now all of |
| 11 | sudden, boom, here comes this meeting that you're |
| 12 | having to go to. |
| 13 | Can we have a recorded document that -- and |
| 14 | I'm thinking through this as I speak -- when you do |
| 15 | a lien search, Miriam, is there a way to have it be |
| 16 | known that Coral Gables has this particular |
| 17 | ordinance that your house may be deemed to be |
| 18 | historic at a future date and you should be aware |
| 19 | of this notice? |
| 20 | MS. SPAIN: We would need to do that on every |
| 21 | property in Coral Gables -- |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: But if you're buying a |
| 23 | property -- |
| 24 | MS. SPAIN: Is that what you're saying? I'm |
| 25 | just asking. |
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CHAIRMAN TORRE: I don't know. Can it be a blanket recorded lien search item that you basically are getting it, because unless you're paying attention, nobody's going to ask for it.

MS. RAMOS: If they come here we can certainly administratively put something in that would be with every single lien search that just puts them on notice that because the house is in Coral Gables it could be historic.

If they do a county lien search, I don't think that they would allow us to put anything in there.

CHAIRMAN TORRE: There's no -- I mean, I'm just thinking through this. Is there any way to disclose more broadly to the person buying in Coral Gables this ordinance applies and may be coming back to you, you need to be aware? Is there any more of -- and, again, I'm trying to be helpful to --

MS. SPAIN: No. No. I --
CHAIRMAN TORRE: Is there any other way to get the public to be more aware that this is an issue? Again, some people will know better. Some people will never be aware.

MS. RAMOS: I think we can work together to find something that at least gets inserted. It
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| 1 | won't be in only the properties that might be. |
| 2 | It's going to have to be a blanket statement. But |
| 3 | at least it turns on a light bulb. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: I'm just thinking through |
| 5 | this, and again, I've come across this many times. |
| 6 | We've come across it. But these folks, you know, |
| 7 | again, this is in the board of architects already, |
| 8 | so if this falters -- |
| 9 | MS. SPAIN: No. This has not been to the |
| 10 | board of architects. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: It's not? |
| 12 | MS. SPAIN: No. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Okay. |
| 14 | MS. SPAIN: If it's a new residence and -- |
| 15 | (MULTIPLE SPEAKERS SPEAKING AT ONE TIME.) |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: -- it says here, on behalf of |
| 17 | our clients we're submitting an application to the |
| 18 | board of architects. |
| 19 | MS. SPAIN: Well, I can tell you that if |
| 20 | everything's working the way it should, if they go |
| 21 | to the board of architects counter for a new |
| 22 | residence, unless they have a letter from me |
| 23 | stating that it's not historic -- |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: But the -- |
| 25 | (MULTIPLE SPEAKERS SPEAKING AT ONE TIME.) |



|  | Page 34 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | very helpful to people. If a realtor calls me on a |
| 2 | Saturday, I'll go out to the property with |
| 3 | prospective buyers. I mean, we're really hands on |
| 4 | when it comes to this because it's very difficult. |
| 5 | You know, it's the most difficult thing that this |
| 6 | department does is do those significance |
| 7 | determinations. And for the most part, we allow |
| 8 | things to be demolished because the realtors know |
| 9 | that they're not going to show them something |
| 10 | unless it has a letter so. |
| 11 | MR. FULLERTON: Can't you make a blanket |
| 12 | statement that anything over 50 years of age |
| 13 | automatically will go to you? |
| 14 | MS. SPAIN: The blanket statement is anything. |
| 15 | MR. FULLERTON: Everything. |
| 16 | MS. SPAIN: Even if it's less than 50 years of |
| 17 | age we blocked demolition and designate them as |
| 18 | historic. A case in point is Two Casuarina |
| 19 | Concourse designed by Alfred Browning Parker. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: All right. So, I mean, |
| 21 | that -- I know it comes and I try to help and I |
| 22 | don't know what else we can do. To say if we can |
| 23 | legally do something to advise people even more, |
| 24 | that's great. |
| 25 | MS. RAMOS: We'll work on it. |
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| 1 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. |
| 2 | MS. SPAIN: So now back to this dilemma. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Back to the deliberation. |
| 4 | MR. SILVA: Before I start, Dona, I mean, if |
| 5 | this was black and white I don't think you would |
| 6 | have brought it here, right? |
| 7 | MS. SPAIN: Exactly. |
| 8 | MR. SILVA: If it's clear you would have said |
| 9 | no or yes one way or the other. So I think there |
| 10 | is discussion to be had one way or the other. |
| 11 | I have a technical question. I know the |
| 12 | carport was enclosed, but I don't see looking |
| 13 | through the permit record, was that done by |
| 14 | Tschumy? I see the garage addition, but -- |
| 15 | MS. SPAIN: I don't know that we know that. |
| 16 | MS. GUIN: It doesn't show up on the permit. |
| 17 | We are sort of making that assumption because the |
| 18 | '40s photo, it's already done, and we know that the |
| 19 | garage addition happened right before that photo. |
| 20 | So when we dig a little more we may find, but we're |
| 21 | thinking it was done at the same time. But it |
| 22 | definitely was done before the late '40s. |
| 23 | MR. SILVA: Thank you. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: More discussion? |
| 25 | MR. FULLERTON: So the question for us right |
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| 1 | that's the case, there's no sense sending you off |
| 2 | to do work and let them waste another 60 days |
| 3 | before they can start the demolition. |
| 4 | MS. SPAIN: Right. |
| 5 | MR. SILVA: I agree with that. |
| 6 | MR. EHRENHAFT: I agree too. I drove by the |
| 7 | house and looked at it and it's a very simple |
| 8 | house. I can see that if a lot of money was put |
| 9 | into it and somebody wanted to fully restore it, |
| 10 | I'm still not convinced that it's a superb example |
| 11 | of that architecture. It has some details that are |
| 12 | interesting. |
| 13 | I mean, there's a very lovely -- on the |
| 14 | garage -- |
| 15 | MS. SPAIN: Yes, that -- |
| 16 | MR. EHRENHAFT: -- there's, you know -- |
| 17 | (MULTIPLE SPEAKERS SPEAKING AT ONE TIME.) |
| 18 | MR. EHRENHAFT: -- on the side of the |
| 19 | concrete, and you know -- and I noted, you know, |
| 20 | the brick like details on the sides of the windows. |
| 21 | But the rest of the entirety of the house is very |
| 22 | simple. |
| 23 | MS. SPAIN: Right. |
| 24 | MR. EHRENHAFT: And in and of itself, I don't |
| 25 | think that it's remarkable. |
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| 1 | MS. SPAIN: I would agree with you. |
| 2 | MR. EHRENHAFT: I don't know whether it's |
| 3 | appropriate to the conversations, but if the |
| 4 | decision were made to allow it to be demolished, |
| 5 | could it be appropriate to urge that architectural |
| 6 | materials that are onsite that could be removed |
| 7 | could be somehow recycled -- |
| 8 | MS. SPAIN: We've never done that before. |
| 9 | MR. EHRENHAFT: -- or is that totally |
| 10 | inappropriate to the discussion? I don't know. |
| 11 | MS. THOMSON: I don't know if that's worth it. |
| 12 | MR. EHRENHAFT: I don't know. |
| 13 | MS. THOMSON: I have a question going back to |
| 14 | the realtor. |
| 15 | MS. SPAIN: Yes. |
| 16 | MS. THOMSON: Okay. Because you say this |
| 17 | happens in Coral Gables. How can a realtor |
| 18 | advertise to these people and tell them that they |
| 19 | can demolish the house when they don't know? |
| 20 | MS. SPAIN: I don't know the answer to that |
| 21 | question. |
| 22 | MS. THOMSON: Is there any accountability for |
| 23 | the realtor? I think that's wrong. |
| 24 | MS. SPAIN: Oh, agree with you. I believe |
| 25 | it's wrong. Every year I go to the realtors |
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conference and talk to them about it. I've given talks in individual real estate offices. If we know that something's being advertised as build your dream home and we haven't done the significant determination, we call the realtor and tell them this is what you need to do.

But I agree with you that it's not proper to have it like this. I'm not sure what we can do about it.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, they can certainly bring an action before the board, board of realtors, not here.

MS. SPAIN: Right. Right. I understand.
MR. SILVA: To me speaking to the architectural merits of the building, when we've looked at buildings of this time period in the past, we've really looked closely at the detailing and what remains. It really has to be a pristine example, and to me that enclosure of the carport took away a lot of the kind of the playful massing that it had before, and it lost a lot of the detailing in terms of the railings and the columns. So I think it's altered enough, even though it's a simple alteration, that it does kind of begin to degrade the specialness of the house.
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| 1 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. |
| 2 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Menendez? |
| 3 | MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. |
| 4 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez? |
| 5 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. |
| 6 | MS. DIAZ: Ms. Thomson? |
| 7 | MS. THOMSON: Yes. |
| 8 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre? |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes. |
| 10 | Thank you. |
| 11 | All right. The next item is a local historic |
| 12 | designation, and this is the case file LHD-2017-012 |
| 13 | and this is consideration of the amendment to the |
| 14 | local historic designation report of the property |
| 15 | at 2506 Ponce de Leon Boulevard. This is H. George |
| 16 | Fink's office and studio, a local historic |
| 17 | landmark, legally described as Lots 20 and 23, |
| 18 | Block 7, Coral Gables Crafts Section, according to |
| 19 | the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 10, at |
| 20 | Page 40 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County. |
| 21 | This is an amendment by staff to expand the |
| 22 | local historic designation of 2506 Ponce de Leon |
| 23 | Boulevard, the George Fink office studios to |
| 24 | include interior architectural features of the |
| 25 | structure. |
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| 1 | here. |
| 2 | MS. SPAIN: They changed the screen. |
| 3 | MS. GUIN: Yeah. |
| 4 | MS. SPAIN: So it doesn't work. |
| 5 | MS. GUIN: Okay. Well, into the reception |
| 6 | area through the secretary space, and then into the |
| 7 | large private workshop where we had the workshop |
| 8 | last week. And then to the back of the building |
| 9 | was a large drafting room. |
| 10 | This is a HABS drawing that was done by the |
| 11 | University of Miami this past year. They did the |
| 12 | Level 1 Historic American Building Survey |
| 13 | documentation of the building. In your packet I've |
| 14 | included several of the drawings sort of to |
| 15 | highlight and further detail some of the features. |
| 16 | You can get a sense here from this drawing, |
| 17 | the colored areas were our additions. So |
| 18 | originally they were garden spaces. |
| 19 | You can get a sense of the drafting room is |
| 20 | broken up with those front spaces. That house, the |
| 21 | reception, secretary and his office space, have |
| 22 | remained primarily intact. |
| 23 | We have a few interior photos of the studio. |
| 24 | Our best photos actually come from this 1926 Miami |
| 25 | Daily News newspaper article where you can see a |
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| 1 | picture looking into the office space, and since |
| 2 | you all were there last week, you know that that |
| 3 | space has remained primarily almost wholly intact. |
| 4 | And then looking from the other angle. |
| 5 | So some of the highlights of the architecture |
| 6 | features of the interior include the painted |
| 7 | ceilings that we see in many of the spaces. This |
| 8 | is from the front office building where he used |
| 9 | some pretty brilliant colors and with these |
| 10 | brackets of these grimacing feature -- creatures |
| 11 | holding up all the wooden beams. |
| 12 | This is the ceiling from the reception space. |
| 13 | This would have been what you encountered as you |
| 14 | first entered the building. One of the things that |
| 15 | has been called out repeatedly in some of the |
| 16 | newspaper articles of the time when it was opened |
| 17 | talks about the amazing colors that were used in |
| 18 | the building. And we still see some really decent |
| 19 | remnants of those. |
| 20 | The interior coloring is blended in several |
| 21 | hues. Each room is entirely different, but |
| 22 | similarly impossible blending, the green with the |
| 23 | red and orange with the purple, a brown with the |
| 24 | vermillion. But the same ensemble is one of the |
| 25 | colorful, pleasing and quiet harmony. |
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| 1 | reception, we still have excellent -- this basket |
| 2 | weave tile with these small colorful insets which |
| 3 | Kara Matthews, who's an architectural historian at |
| 4 | the University of Miami, when she first entered she |
| 5 | immediately started identifying what some of these |
| 6 | tiles, that they actually are crests, the crests of |
| 7 | the various Spanish kingdoms. And so she was real |
| 8 | excited and said that almost -- most of what's on |
| 9 | there, that's where they're coming from. |
| 10 | We also see the beautiful tile work on the |
| 11 | turned staircase, which the newspaper article in |
| 12 | '26 called "faithful copy of the stairway of El |
| 13 | Greco at Toledo." |
| 14 | And here has the stairs up to the second |
| 15 | floor, and each riser very colorful and very |
| 16 | different. The HABS team documented each of those |
| 17 | risers in great detail. |
| 18 | And another sort of interesting piece to the |
| 19 | story, the top riser of the stair has this Arabic |
| 20 | motto from the Nsarid Rulers who built the Alhambra |
| 21 | that says "There's no victory except for God," and |
| 22 | you see this inscription several places as you walk |
| 23 | around the Alhambra. |
| 24 | What's interesting, though, if you look you'll |
| 25 | see that as it appears at Alhambra and how it |

appears on the top riser, it's flipped. So something happened there. We don't know, but it's sort of interesting.

As you move up the steps there's this beautiful column ensemble that steps down giving light into the steps, and also they're very strategically placed. When you look through you can see different portions of that painted beam ceiling.

You have the large mantle, which this is quite oversized. I put the little inset photo in here so you can see just how tall and oversized that mantle is. I mean, it's above the door height there in the corner with some very nice detailing.

We have these Venetian columns with Encanthis leaves, and then these, again, grimacing creatures here holding up one portion of the mantle and then in another portion underneath the fireplace.

MS. THOMSON: That's creepy.
MS. GUIN: I know. It's really amazing when you start looking at the details that are here. But, you know, really nicely placed so that they're -- you sort of start to look for them.

Then there's this crest in the mantle, and we're not quite sure where that is from yet. It's
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| 1 | a phoenix, an eagle, a griffin, or what the story |
| 2 | is at to why that was used very prominently in the |
| 3 | mantle. |
| 4 | We have these Venetian style tracery windows |
| 5 | with these very beautiful interior screens sort of |
| 6 | giving privacy from the street on the bottom third |
| 7 | of these windows. And here's the tracery which you |
| 8 | see on the interior and the exterior. |
| 9 | Another feature that you find throughout the |
| 10 | studio space are these different original doors, |
| 11 | and most of them do not appear to be in their |
| 12 | original locations, and I have to say, Kara and I |
| 13 | had a really good time sort of hunting and looking |
| 14 | at hinges and looking at the plans to figure out |
| 15 | where some of these doors actually started. |
| 16 | This door is the door that you entered in |
| 17 | right on that corner. That was originally a |
| 18 | window, so obviously that's not the original |
| 19 | location. The door, however, is inscribed as |
| 20 | private, so you can read it up there. |
| 21 | So based on its size, we think that that was |
| 22 | the door originally leading into Fink's studio. So |
| 23 | you would have come through that reception space |
| 24 | with that colorful ceiling and through the double |
| 25 | arches, which were not enclosed at the time, and |
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| 1 | to be proffered as a leading example of the style |
| 2 | in the city zoning code. Both the exterior and |
| 3 | interior of the H. George Fink office and studio |
| 4 | remain key high notes of Mediterranean Revival |
| 5 | architecture in the city. |
| 6 | Therefore, staff recommends to approve the |
| 7 | amendment to the local historic designation of the |
| 8 | property to include the interior architectural |
| 9 | features and its spaces in the designation. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Elizabeth, I have a question. |
| 11 | Did you guys discuss the issue of the |
| 12 | additions and how that affects what you're doing |
| 13 | today? |
| 14 | MS. SPAIN: Well, it was designated historic, |
| 15 | I think, with those additions or at least it was |
| 16 | allowed to have those additions. |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Those are designated -- |
| 18 | MS. SPAIN: Those are designated just as part |
| 19 | of the exterior of the building. We would |
| 20 | certainly not be unhappy if someone wanted to take |
| 21 | that down and -- the additions down and bring back |
| 22 | the gardens, would be wonderful. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes, but the finishes in |
| 24 | those, especially the kitchen side, which is the |
| 25 | left side, I guess the '77 addition, there's |
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| 1 | nothing there except -- |
| 2 | MS. SPAIN: No. I mean, we would not -- |
| 3 | (MULTIPLE SPEAKERS SPEAKING AT ONE TIME.) |
| 4 | MS. SPAIN: It's really just those special |
| 5 | features of the interiors that Elizabeth had talked |
| 6 | to you about that we would want to designate. |
| 7 | MS. GUIN: We are asking the amendment to |
| 8 | be -- |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: The entire building. I'm |
| 10 | just wondering if you're restricting yourself to |
| 11 | something you may not want to do that. |
| 12 | MS. SPAIN: But we would allow those to come |
| 13 | down. |
| 14 | MS. GUIN: Yeah, outlined in report everything |
| 15 | would just be handled -- |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Would it be easier for us to |
| 17 | deny the additions being designated on the inside |
| 18 | to help any of that? I mean, if you keep adding |
| 19 | designations, does it -- |
| 20 | MS. SPAIN: No. But what we want to have |
| 21 | happen is to have anything that goes on in the |
| 22 | interiors come to this office. I mean, it's mainly |
| 23 | just so that -- |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: So it's all looked at -- |
| 25 | (MULTIPLE SPEAKERS SPEAKING AT ONE TIME.) |



|  | Page 56 |
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| 1 | MR. FULLERTON: Yeah, no-brainer. |
| 2 | MS. THOMSON: Yeah, it is. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: John? |
| 4 | MR. FULLERTON: I'll move staff |
| 5 | recommendations to designate the interior features |
| 6 | of this amazing house except the clock. I think we |
| 7 | ought to exempt the clock from the designation -- |
| 8 | MS. THOMSON: Why? |
| 9 | MR. FULLERTON: -- and remove it. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: It's not original. |
| 11 | MR. MENENDEZ: I second. |
| 12 | MS. THOMSON: It's so cool. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: We have a motion from |
| 14 | Mr. Fullerton and Mr. Menendez second it. |
| 15 | Any more discussion? |
| 16 | Go to roll call. |
| 17 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Menendez? |
| 18 | MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. |
| 19 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Silva? |
| 20 | MR. SILVA: Yes. |
| 21 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft? |
| 22 | MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. |
| 23 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Rodriguez? |
| 24 | MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. |
| 25 | MS. DIAZ: Ms. Thomson? |
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| 1 | MS. THOMSON: Yes. |
| 2 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Fullerton? |
| 3 | MR. FULLERTON: Yes. |
| 4 | MS. DIAZ: Mr. Torre? |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Yes. |
| 6 | MS. GUIN: Thank you. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. Thank you for |
| 8 | brining this item, actually. |
| 9 | Last item today, this is for a special |
| 10 | certificate of appropriateness, and this is case |
| 11 | file COA (SP) 2017-019. This is an application for |
| 12 | the issuance of a special certificate of |
| 13 | appropriateness for the property at 1001 Hardee |
| 14 | Road, a contributing resource within "The French |
| 15 | City Village Historic District," and it's legally |
| 16 | described at Lots 11, Block -- I'm sorry -- 11 and |
| 17 | 12 of Block 145, Coral Gables Riviera Section Part |
| 18 | Nine as record in Plat 28, Page 29 of the public |
| 19 | record of Miami-Dade County. |
| 20 | So this application request is on approval for |
| 21 | the construction of an addition to the residence |
| 22 | and also site work. |
| 23 | MS. KAUTZ: I was not here at the beginning of |
| 24 | the meeting. I need to get sworn in. |
| 25 | MS. DIAZ: Please raise your right hand. Do |
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| 1 | you swear to tell the whole truth and nothing but |
| 2 | the truth? |
| 3 | MS. KAUTZ: I do. |
| 4 | MS. DIAZ: Thank you. |
| 5 | MS. KAUTZ: All right. This is a property, a |
| 6 | contributing property in The French City Village. |
| 7 | Location map, you can see it outlined on the |
| 8 | screen. This is a 1940 s photograph. It's the |
| 9 | corner lot of Hardee and Cellini. It's -- French |
| 10 | City Village obviously is one of 16 groups designed |
| 11 | or planned by George Merrick. This was designed by |
| 12 | Mott B. Schmidt. 22 residences make up the |
| 13 | district, there are 16 contributing, and obviously |
| 14 | this one is a contributing residence. It was |
| 15 | designated in 2002 as a historic district. |
| 16 | The proposal requests approval of construction |
| 17 | of a one-story addition to the residents. The |
| 18 | addition consists of a new breakfast room in the |
| 19 | location of an existing interior courtyard between |
| 20 | the garage and the residence. |
| 21 | Interior renovations are also proposed for the |
| 22 | residence. Site work includes the demolition of |
| 23 | the existing driveway, walkway, patio and interior |
| 24 | site walls, and installation of a new driveway, new |
| 25 | interior site walls, new decks and a new swimming |
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| 1 | There's some slides here of the existing |
| 2 | house, which you see on there on the screen. |
| 3 | That's the existing courtyard now where we're |
| 4 | proposing to put the addition. |
| 5 | There's a view there from the garage. A |
| 6 | little further back there's an archway in front, |
| 7 | which we will be maintaining the archway, which you |
| 8 | can see there on the left-hand side, so technically |
| 9 | the addition is behind that. |
| 10 | This would be the skylight that we're |
| 11 | proposing to put on top of the breakfast room |
| 12 | addition, was selected by the owner. It really |
| 13 | won't be visible except from the Hardee side, |
| 14 | possibly the two spires that you see there. |
| 15 | This is along -- this is the view from Hardee |
| 16 | into the garage. The garage is going to remain as |
| 17 | is and the existing garage doors will also be kept, |
| 18 | which I believe is stated here in the conditions. |
| 19 | This is just an aerial map there. It's the |
| 20 | corner lot, and that's the -- sort of a pool, the |
| 21 | pool look we'll be going for there that you see in |
| 22 | the left-hand slide. |
| 23 | And these are just the ideas that the owner |
| 24 | had regarding replacing the driveway. The one on |
| 25 | the right is the preference that she has. |

And then this is sort of a conceptual view from above the interior walls, which we want to add to the driveway to disguise the pool equipment and the AC equipment, which would be on the yard side.

There's also the gateway there that would take you from the driveway to the yard area.

And that's just the pool deck material.
And that's it.
MS. MATOS-LACASA: Hi. My name is Mary Matos-Lacasa, and I own and my husband, Carlos Lacasa own this property.

I just wanted to say that we recognize how privileged we are to be the owners of this home right now, and our intent through what you've seen in the slides is essentially to preserve the original design intent of this historic home while bringing into it some of the amenities that we've grown accustomed to nowadays with a larger kitchen, an eat-in kitchen, a pool, and then certain elements like the gate that we showed on there is -- I believe that the home must have had a gate originally because most of the other -- all of the other homes actually do have a gate, and somewhere down the road $I$ guess it was eliminated, so we want to add that again.



|  | Page 64 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MS. SPAIN: I think it will be stunning. I'm |
| 2 | really happy with this design. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: You brought us good stuff |
| 4 | today. |
| 5 | MS. SPAIN: We did. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: You did. |
| 7 | MR. MENENDEZ: I move to approve. |
| 8 | MR. FULLERTON: Second. |
| 9 | MS. KAUTZ: With conditions including |
| 10 | allowances? |
| 11 | MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Repeat the condition, would |
| 13 | you. |
| 14 | MR. MENENDEZ: Alex can repeat his conditions. |
| 15 | MR. SILVA: Staff conditions, plus that staff |
| 16 | also review the shop drawings for the skylight, a |
| 17 | friendly amendment to your motion. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: So repeat the motion, would |
| 19 | you. |
| 20 | MR. MENENDEZ: I approve with the addition by |
| 21 | Alex, Mr. Silva, of staff reviewing the shop |
| 22 | drawings. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: And staff recommendations. |
| 24 | MR. MENENDEZ: And staff recommendations. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: Thank you. Is there a |
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| 1 | Thank you. |
| 2 | MR. FULLERTON: I've been noticing something |
| 3 | around town just walking around downtown, how many |
| 4 | newspaper boxes we still have that are completely |
| 5 | empty except for The New Times. I was wondering, |
| 6 | do we have to have those things anymore? |
| 7 | MS. SPAIN: Were you here when there was that |
| 8 | whole controversy years ago? |
| 9 | MR. FULLERTON: I was here. |
| 10 | MS. SPAIN: And you're bringing it up again? |
| 11 | MR. FULLERTON: Yes. |
| 12 | MS. SPAIN: I'll check on it. I'll talk to |
| 13 | the manager's office about it. |
| 14 | MR. FULLERTON: Yeah, it seems to me that |
| 15 | that's a thing of the past. Maybe it's historic. |
| 16 | Maybe they should remain. |
| 17 | MS. THOMSON: But like with this thing that |
| 18 | came up today with the realtor, this is really |
| 19 | bothering me, because I know -- I do real estate |
| 20 | too, but -- and you have to represent things the |
| 21 | way they are and the way that you're able to do |
| 22 | them. |
| 23 | MS. SPAIN: You're right. |
| 24 | MS. THOMSON: So -- |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: And it happens a lot. |
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| 1 | MS. SPAIN: That's true. I wonder whether |
| 2 | there was just one realtor involved in that. |
| 3 | Typically there's -- there was just one? |
| 4 | Oh, well, there you go. |
| 5 | MR. SILVA: Yeah, I mean, unfortunately, we've |
| 6 | all seen it, is they do their due diligence in |
| 7 | terms of is it designated now at this moment, and |
| 8 | then -- |
| 9 | MS. SPAIN: Yes. But if they call our |
| 10 | department and ask us we tell them, are you |
| 11 | planning on demolishing this, you know, we just |
| 12 | need to tell you that it's not designated, but you |
| 13 | cannot demolish it without going through the |
| 14 | process. |
| 15 | So everybody in the department, you know, the |
| 16 | archivist knows to say that. Katherine, that |
| 17 | handles art in public places, she knows to say |
| 18 | that. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: I think the only time to |
| 20 | actually catch it is when the attorney looks at |
| 21 | that lien letter and says, oh, by the way, look at |
| 22 | what I just found. |
| 23 | MS. SPAIN: That's a very good idea. If we're |
| 24 | able to do that, that's perfect. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN TORRE: I mean, it may be too late to |
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