

12.10.08 Verbatim Excerpts of PZB  
Meeting Minutes

Exhibit **C**

21

1 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Any -- What are  
2 the differences?  
3 MS. ALFONSIN: Campaign  
4 headquarters is about the only  
5 difference. The County doesn't have a  
6 section specific to campaign  
7 headquarters. The City has had that  
8 section in place for a number of years.  
9 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Okay.  
10 MR. AIZENSTAT: Does it state the  
11 amount of time that signage can be  
12 placed and must be taken --  
13 MS. ALFONSIN: Yes. The duration  
14 of the signs, both campaign or political  
15 signs, is permitted no earlier than six  
16 months prior to the date of election and  
17 shall be removed within seven days after  
18 the election which is the subject matter  
19 of the sign.  
20 MR. AIZENSTAT: And how would you  
21 enforce it after that, if it's not  
22 removed?  
23 MS. ALFONSIN: They're removed by  
24 the City.  
25 MR. AIZENSTAT: And do you go after

22

1 the people that have placed the signs if  
2 they don't remove it, or does the City  
3 just --  
4 MS. ALFONSIN: No, the City just  
5 removes them. Code Enforcement officers  
6 remove the signs.  
7 CHAIRMAN KORGE: And then it  
8 prohibits any signs, basically, on  
9 public property.  
10 MS. ALFONSIN: Correct. That  
11 section was just reworded. That was  
12 contained in our Code previously.  
13 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Right.  
14 MR. BEHAR: And under the political  
15 signs, you're saying that the maximum  
16 height for a sign cannot be more than 12  
17 feet above the ground. Is that in a  
18 residential neighborhood?  
19 MS. ALFONSIN: No, that is for  
20 campaign headquarter signs.  
21 MR. BEHAR: Oh, okay.  
22 MS. ALFONSIN: That's Al(d).  
23 For the political signs in  
24 single-family residential, it's  
25 Subsection 2.

23

1 MR. BEHAR: Okay.  
2 MS. ALFONSIN: And they are limited  
3 to 22 by 28 inches in size, based on the  
4 Miami-Dade County Code, which we had  
5 been following for a number of years.  
6 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Perfect, because  
7 we don't want to end up with different  
8 standards.  
9 MS. ALFONSIN: No.  
10 MR. BEHAR: Motion to approve,  
11 Mr. Chairman.  
12 CHAIRMAN KORGE: There's a motion  
13 on the floor. Is there a second?  
14 MR. AIZENSTAT: (Nods head).  
15 CHAIRMAN KORGE: There's a second.  
16 Any discussion? No discussion?  
17 Let's call the roll on this,  
18 please.  
19 MS. MENENDEZ: Jeff Flanagan?  
20 MR. FLANAGAN: Yes.  
21 MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?  
22 MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.  
23 MS. MENENDEZ: Robert Behar?  
24 MR. BEHAR: Yes.  
25 MS. MENENDEZ: Tom Korge?

24

1 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Yes. Item Number  
2 11. Zoning Code Text Amendment, Article  
3 2, Division 5, "Historic Preservation  
4 Board."  
5 MR. BOLYARD: Good evening,  
6 Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board. For  
7 the record, Scot Bolyard, with the  
8 Planning Department.  
9 This text amendment is, the  
10 Historical Resources Department has  
11 requested a text amendment to increase  
12 the required amount of architects or  
13 preservation architects for the Historic  
14 Preservation Board. The majority of  
15 Board members don't have architectural  
16 background, so they rely on the review  
17 of the sole architect for their  
18 expertise.  
19 This amendment will provide for a  
20 secondary architect on the Board and  
21 that way it will relieve the burden from  
22 some of the other Board members.  
23 MR. AIZENSTAT: But you're not  
24 increasing the amount of appointments,  
25 you're just making --

25

1 MR. BOLYARD: Yeah.  
 2 MR. AIZENSTAT: I'm sorry?  
 3 MR. BOLYARD: Yeah, that's correct.  
 4 We're just increasing the amount of  
 5 required architects.  
 6 MR. BEHAR: I think that's a great  
 7 idea. I make a motion to approve.  
 8 MR. AIZENSTAT: It makes a lot of  
 9 sense.  
 10 MR. FLANAGAN: Second.  
 11 CHAIRMAN KORGE: A motion and a  
 12 second. Any discussion?  
 13 None?  
 14 Call the roll, please.  
 15 MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?  
 16 MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.  
 17 MS. MENENDEZ: Robert Behar?  
 18 MR. BEHAR: Yes.  
 19 MS. MENENDEZ: Jeff Flanagan?  
 20 MR. FLANAGAN: Yes.  
 21 MS. MENENDEZ: Tom Korge?  
 22 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Yes.  
 23 MR. BEHAR: Those architects are  
 24 very valuable.  
 25 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Item Number 12,

26

1 Zoning Code Text Amendment, Article 5,  
 2 Division 8, "Docks, Wharves, Mooring  
 3 Piles and Watercraft Moorings."  
 4 MR. BOLYARD: This request --  
 5 sorry. This request is for a text  
 6 amendment to permit an additional set of  
 7 davits for single-family or duplex  
 8 properties that have a minimum water  
 9 frontage of 200 feet. This item was  
 10 first approved by the Planning and  
 11 Zoning Board on November 14, 2001, and  
 12 was approved on first reading by the  
 13 City Commission on January 9, 2002.  
 14 However, the item was inadvertently  
 15 omitted from the second reading, as it  
 16 was grouped with nine other text  
 17 amendments. We're just trying to get it  
 18 to proceed forward now.  
 19 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Is there a motion  
 20 on this?  
 21 MR. AIZENSTAT: Well, just a  
 22 question, if I may. How do you treat  
 23 properties that might have currently,  
 24 let's say, davits and some kind of a  
 25 floatation system for another boat?

27

1 MR. BOLYARD: Well, currently,  
 2 you're only permitted one set of davits,  
 3 watercraft lifts or floating watercraft  
 4 lifts, and that's it.  
 5 MR. AIZENSTAT: So it's currently  
 6 that. Have you had a lot of problems  
 7 with that issue?  
 8 MR. RIEL: No.  
 9 MR. AIZENSTAT: No?  
 10 CHAIRMAN KORGE: I doubt if anybody  
 11 notices.  
 12 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Is there a motion?  
 13 MR. AIZENSTAT: Just a boater.  
 14 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Is there a motion?  
 15 MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes, I make a  
 16 motion.  
 17 CHAIRMAN KORGE: There's a motion.  
 18 MR. BEHAR: Second.  
 19 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Seconded. Any  
 20 discussion?  
 21 Let's call the roll, please.  
 22 (Thereupon, Ms. Keen arrived.)  
 23 MS. MENENDEZ: Robert Behar?  
 24 MR. BEHAR: Yes.  
 25 MS. MENENDEZ: Jeff Flanagan?

28

1 MR. FLANAGAN: Yes.  
 2 MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?  
 3 MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.  
 4 MS. MENENDEZ: Tom Korge?  
 5 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Yes.  
 6 For the record, Pat Keen has  
 7 arrived.  
 8 Pat, we have approved Items 7  
 9 through 12, and we were waiting for you  
 10 to start on Old Business, which are  
 11 Items 5 and 6, the development on  
 12 LeJeune and Ponce.  
 13 So we're going to proceed to Items  
 14 5 and 6. Are we taking these together?  
 15 MR. RIEL: No, they're separate.  
 16 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Oh, I'm sorry, 6  
 17 is the proposed settlement agreement.  
 18 MR. RIEL: Yes.  
 19 CHAIRMAN KORGE: And 5 is the site  
 20 plan review and vacation of public  
 21 alleyway. So we'll start with Number 5?  
 22 MR. RIEL: Yes.  
 23 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Application Number  
 24 06-08-979-P.  
 25 MR. RIEL: As you know, Mr. Chair,