

**HAMMOCK LAKES I & II
COMMUNITY MEETING
January 15, 2008, 6:30 p.m.
Coral Gables Youth Center**

The residents of Hammock Lakes I & II requested to come before the Traffic Advisory Board to present their request for a Guard House Special Taxing District for that area.

Mr. Morrison, Chairman of the Traffic Advisory Board called the meeting to order at 6: 30 p.m.

Mr. Morrison welcomed those present and noted that the community meeting for Hammocks Lakes I and II was ready to begin. He further noted that under consideration tonight is the request to have a street closure at Hammock Boulevard and Old Cutler Road. He gave a brief background: "Previously a similar topic came before our Traffic Board which had to do with a street closure due to traffic calming needs. That procedure was different from a special taxing district which is what we are looking at this evening. In the first case we had to determine whether there was either a traffic intrusion or speeding within the community that would warrant traffic calming. The simplest and most severe form of traffic calming, of course, is a street closure. We sent the City consultant to the neighborhood to determine if there was indeed traffic intrusion and speeding. He found that there were not in a significant amount of either and the Traffic Board voted unanimously against a street closure."

Mr. Morrison continues: "This time around we are here to consider a street closure due to the installation of a special taxing district. A special taxing district has been proposed which would have a gate or gates to prohibit people from coming into the area, but the fact of the matter is that these are public streets and anyone coming to the guard house would have to be allowed entrance, anyway. With that said, we are here to hear testimony from those people outside of the area that will be voting on this as to whether they want or foresee any need to close the street. We would also like to hear from the Police and Fire Departments. So, I think that we will start this off by introducing the Committee."

"Dr. Yoder, Zone 3 between Bird Road and Ponce de Leon, and between Red Road and the City limits; Frank Quesada, Zone 5 from the Waterway to the City Limits to the east, and from Ponce de Leon to the Waterway to the South; Chico Goldsmith, Zone 6, Old Cutler Road and City limits to the west (which includes this area) and from the Waterway to the southern City limits; Larry Kries, Zone 2 between Coral Way and Bird Road and between Red Road to Le Jeune Road; I am Chris Morrison and I represent Zone 4, south of Ponce de Leon to Sunset and to the Waterway to the east of the right-of way; Ramon Irigoyen, Zone 1, the northern most part of the Gables. Ian Martinez, Zone 7, represents the downtown area but he was not able to be here tonight. Also with us this evening is Lt. Agustin Diaz, Police Department and Capt. John Curry, Fire Department".

Blackwell Stieglitz, 8820 Schoolhouse Road: He noted that Mr. Morrison had said the order of the day would be to hear from the residents outside of the affected area and questioned why people present from the neighborhood itself would not be recognized to speak.

In order to clarify the procedure Mr. Morrison asked Alberto Delgado, Public Works Director, to explain the difference in procedure between what transpired at the meeting held in 2004 and a and tonight's meeting to consider a special taxing district.

Mr. Delgado displayed a chart from the County and explained that the County has different procedures for a traffic closure, or the installation of any traffic calming device, and closing a street to create a guard house special taxing district. For a street closure for traffic calming, a 2/3 approval vote of the affected area residents is required. However, for a taxing district for security purposes, the County has different criteria. Only the residents of the community within the boundaries of the taxing district are the ones permitted to vote. The County will conduct a special election in which 50 +1 % of the residents have to be in favor. These are the guidelines the County follows.

Mr. Delgado continued. For the other traffic calming devices that you see throughout the City, ex. traffic closures, circles, diverters, etc., there is a vote taken among the affected area residents. A 50 + 1 % favorable vote is required for traffic calming devices that do not redirect traffic and a 2/3 favorable vote is required for those that do redirect traffic such as diverters and street closures. But for taxing districts (and this is why we are here for tonight) only the community that is affected by the closure and the guard house, i.e. those residents who are within the boundaries of the taxing district, are asked to vote and the County will move forward with a 50 +1% favorable vote. In this particular case, what Mr. Morrison was referring to, is that due to the fact that you have one street which is Hammock Lakes that has to be gated, there may be people outside your area that are affected by that closure who live east of Old Cutler and need to use that road for any reason. Also Police and Fire may need to use that road. Those residents have a say and that is why we are here tonight. If there was not a closure of a street involved the community would not have to be before this Board and this would be handled like other taxing districts in the City such as Gables by the Sea, Old Cutler Bay, Sunrise, etc. The Board will listen to your presentation and will make their decision to make a recommendation to the City Commission so that you may proceed with the regular process.

Blackwell Stieglitz, 8820 Schoolhouse Road: "I take exception, Mr. Delgado, of what has been done. I have a letter here from Miami-Dade County to Jorge Freeland, President of the Hammock Lakes Phase I & II Homeowners Association. It concludes that the submittal of a valid petition containing the required 50 +1% of the property owners signatures is the only requirement to obtain Ordinance/Resolution from the City of Coral Gables approving the creation of the district, authorizing the operation of gates in the municipality's right of way and agreeing to provide the capital improvements for this district to be reimbursed by special taxing district. So, I take exception to what Mr. Delgado indicated the Gables role is in this matter, and, in fact, the Traffic Advisory Board's. The Traffic Advisory Board, once again, is called to make a representation to the City Commission with regard to whether this is an appropriate thing to do."

Mr. Morrison: "Actually, in my opinion, you are both right. There is a long, arduous process that you are going to have to go through. This is just step 1 and we are trying to get some input for the City Commission from other people just outside of the area as to whether this will affect them or not. And also we want to hear from the Fire and Police Departments and see what they have to say. And then, we will make our recommendation to the City Commission."

Mr. Stieglitz pointed out that if the Board did not intend to hear from those present from the area to be gated including those who oppose it, he did not think it was the appropriate thing to do, whether or not the County provides vehicle to do this and whether this is really in the best interest of all Coral Gables residents. Mr. Morrison reiterated that the Board was only to give an opinion on whether this is a good idea with regard to the external population and whether the Fire and Police Departments are in agreement.

Mr. Stieglitz noted that as Mr. Delgado informed those present that the County will make a value judgment, but he felt that it was the role of the City Attorney and the City to make the value judgment, even though the County will assist with the Special Taxing District. The City of Coral Gables must make the decision whether this is an appropriate undertaking.

Mr. Morrison: "I agree with that, but that is down the road. Our purpose tonight is, as is the purpose of all City Boards, to review issues with our jurisdiction and to make recommendations to the City Commission and that is what we are here to do."

Mr. Stieglitz: "I hear you, but I take exception unless there is going to be some guarantee that we are going to have another opportunity to be heard."

Phillip Brauner, 9100 Schoolhouse Road, noted that anybody and everybody use Kendall Drive. (If the project is approved) there will be a significant change in the traffic pattern, those leaving from Schoolhouse to continue north will be crossing traffic entering the lane for the gatehouse, blocking those leaving. All those people who use Kendall Drive for the purpose of going down to St. Thomas, the catholic school and Gulliver, have a right to be heard as to how the traffic will impact them due to the Hammocks Lake Special Taxing District.

Mr. Morrison: "In all of the community meetings that we have conducted over the last four/five years, the definition of the affected area has always been the biggest issue and I will again ask, the Public Works Director, Mr. Delgado, if he will address what the County considers the affected area."

Mr. Delgado: "Depending on the traffic calming project proposed, the County considers the affected area as the area near the proposed installation. If it is a circle or a roundabout the County only considers the residents on one block to the north, one block to the south, one block to the east and one block to the west. But if it is a closure or a partial diversion of traffic, the area is larger. It may go from collector to collector. For example, if you are planning to close a

street along Red Road not only will the County consider the people along this corridor but the people who live on the other side who be affected as well. For example, in Hammock Lakes we notified people not only in your taxing district but the people who live outside because they have an interest in that particular issue."

At this point Mr. Morrison noted that he would like to hear from the residents. He asked if there was anyone present who lived outside of the Hammocks Lake neighborhood and therefore would not be voting on the Special Taxing District, but who would like to address this issue. There was no reply. Mr. Morrison asked again to confirm that everyone present lived within the proposed taxing district.

Mr. Morrison stated that Mr. Ogden, 5590 Hammock Drive, had approached him before the meeting and said that he had some material showing the exact district and the boundaries and what was planned for the guardhouse and gates for the special taxing district. He asked if anyone would be interested in seeing the material.

To a resident's comment that some of the neighborhood would speak in favor, some against and some would not speak at all, Mr. Morrison noted that this information would be helpful the Board to understand where the guardhouse and gate were to be located.

Mr. Jorge Freeland, President of the Homeowners Association displayed a map indicating where the taxing district was to be located: west of Schoolhouse Road, east of Old Cutler, south of North Kendall Drive, and north of the Matheson Hammock Park. There will be a guard house at Kendall Drive and Schoolhouse Road. Addressing the Board he asked whether they wanted him to discuss more about the location or just answer questions. Mr. Morrison suggested that he outline which homes on the map would be included in the special taxing district.

Mr. Freeland noted homes along Hammock Drive, down on the south side of the lake and on both sides of Schoolhouse Road. He further noted that vehicles can use either Schoolhouse Road or Hammock Lakes Drive to enter or exit the district.

To Mr. Morrison's question as to where the guard house would be located, Mr. Freeland noted that the manned guard house will be on Kendall and Schoolhouse Road. Some residents present disagreed with Mr. Freeland, pointing out that the map showed it was located on Hammock Lakes Drive. Mr. Freeland proceeded to explain that technically if you look, it is on Schoolhouse Road, but the guard house is on the curve, and not actually Schoolhouse Road. In fact a small portion of Schoolhouse Road would be vacated. All the traffic would enter and exit the district by using Hammocks Lakes Drive. Discussion ensued among the residents as to the location of the guard house as shown on the map.

Mr. Morrison further clarified: "So, actually, the gated street would be Hammocks Lake Drive."

To Mr. Stieglitz commented to Mr. Delgado that "isn't it a fact that Schoolhouse Road will be closed at North Kendall Drive if this project is implemented and the ingress and egress of North

Kendall Drive to this neighborhood will be where Hammock Lakes Drive currently exists on North Kendall Drive. The portion to be closed has always been Southwest 52nd Avenue or Schoolhouse Road. It has never been Hammock Lakes Drive.

Mr. Delgado noted that the City had done some reconfiguration. For example in Gables by the Sea, the City needed to reconfigure the entrance to the guard house to provide access and exit to people entering or leaving the district.

Mr. Stieglitz complained that he would no longer be able to get out of his driveway and go straight to Schoolhouse Road and cross Kendall going north.

Mr. Delgado explained that the traffic could circulate.

A resident (who did not give her name) complained that we were talking about the closure at Old Cutler Road and closure of Schoolhouse Road at North Kendall and that her access to North Kendall would be blocked unless she went through "that silly thing all the way around."

Mr. Morrison: "Thank you Mr. Freeland for your presentation. I guess it is clear to everyone exactly what is involved here now, whether we call it reconfiguration or closure, there is that feature at Kendall Drive and Schoolhouse Road. And at Old Cutler Road, we are talking about a closure."

A resident (who did not give his name) referring to the map presented by Mr. Freeland, noted that the green space on the map south of where the park is does not currently exist.

Several other residents, referring to the map, stated that there was no green area there, only the street. More discussion regarding the green space ensued.

Mr. Morrison: "This Committee today is not concerned with the park configuration or the reconfiguration, only on closure. We would like to hear from the Fire Department now".

Capt. John Curry: "At first glance, and I only had just a brief time to look at this map, from what I understand, you are proposing a gate on the Old Cutler Side where it veers into Hammock Lakes Drive, is that correct? I do not know much about the construction of that, but I am familiar with what it represents to the Fire Department. Gates can some times cause serious delays in response time."

Capt Curry continued: "From my experience we have tried to deal with these closures in the past. I have not seen the engineering plans, but I can tell you in the past where we have had to enter gates we have had the activators go bad, the keypads go bad, and in some instances citizens put their own locks on the gates, and that was real bad. In our case it represents us having to delay treatment. And if it's a cardiac arrest, we have a six minute window. You have to get out of the truck, get the bolt cutters, and enter. For us, this is a time-sensitive issue. I have discussed it with my Police counterpart and at first glance we thought any type of unmanned gate

would be difficult for us. We however do not approve it, it goes to my superiors, typically our Fire Chief. But at first glance we thought if you were able to staff both openings much the same as in Cocoplum it represents no time delay in our response, both Police and Fire. Obviously for us it would be best to have both openings staffed 24/7, and that is what I am probably going to recommend to the Fire Chief." Any questions?

Jeff Bartel, 4995 Hammock Lakes Drive: "I just want to make you aware, sir and the Committee, that there is a letter pursuant to the application for this district submitted by the Chief of Police, Mr. Hammerschmidt indicating that his department did not have any objection if we complied with their two requirements. (The resident quoted the letter, which is attached hereto for information). I also have a letter from the Public Works Director dated January 9, 2007, indicating that they would not have any objection to the request, but that it had to come before the Traffic Advisory Board for their consideration before being presented to the City Commission (letter attached hereto for information). We also have memorandums from the County (the resident quoted from the memorandum dated May 14, 2004 and which is attached hereto for information, as well as from a memorandum from the County dated November 13, 2007 indicating that the petition contained the required 50% of the signatures, as required by the Code, and in fact 77.2% of the residents affected by this request had signed the petition.)"

Capt. Terry: "There is one particular child in that area that has a medical emergency quite frequently and time is of the essence in this case. We will try to work with the Traffic Advisory Board but I would like to see more information on the gates themselves."

Mr. Morrison noted that there is a Fire Station on Old Cutler Road, Fire Station 3. Their response time currently is two to three minutes.

Mr. Bartel indicated that procedures will be implemented according to the Police and Fire Departments' requirements to mitigate any delays due to the closures and that the residents of the district will be made aware of this issue. Based upon the comprehensive Master Plan's goals, objectives, and policies, the Planning & Zoning Department finds the Hammocks Lakes security guard special taxing district generally consistent with the Comprehensive Master Plan and has not objected to the district. Whatever we have to do, we will make sure that we mitigate those delays but I will submit to you, with respect Mr. Chairman, to each of you on the Board that the documents you have before you state that every department has said they have no objection.

Capt. Curry: "I do not object to the gate, but who is willing to pay the price if that gate fails or somebody places a lock on it and someone does not get resuscitated because of that. I have legitimate concerns."

Mr. Delgado reported the City's past experience with the failure of solar powered gates, which sometimes do not function properly. Those gates were supposed to be maintained by the residents and they are not. Some residents even placed locks on the gates. It is very important that the gates are accessible and have source of back-up power and must be maintained by the residents.

Mr. Seckinger, 5215 Hammock Drive, a 35 year resident of the area pointed out that in the Interstate roads fire and police have access through official roadways designated for that purpose.

Capt. Curry reiterated that the Fire Department's main concern is the time delay that such closures will pose to their response.

Lt. Diaz, Police Department: "You have already received the official thoughts of our Chief. So I will be political and not go against what he said. Our main concern is the second gate. Optimal solution would be to have a manned gate on both ends, but that, obviously, is not going to be cost-effective for you. As long as you can mitigate delays and allow access to Police and Fire, then we do not have any objections. That is the Chief's position."

A resident asked what if there is a house that is half in and half out of the district.

Mr. Morrison asked Mr. Delgado to address that question.

Mr. Delgado: "The resident closest to the guard house will derive the most benefit from having a 24/7 guard." Discussion ensued from the audience.

The resident explained that she was concerned about her neighbor whose house was half in and half out of the district and asked where is the security for that neighbor?

Mr. Morrison reiterated that security was not the issue. "The discussion tonight was whether we can close the road without inconveniencing residents from adjoining neighborhoods or creating delays for the City's emergency vehicles. He thanked everyone in attendance for their participation. We have not heard from anyone from the outside of the affected area and according to the County regulations, everyone was notified."

Mr. Morrison noted that we have documentation from the Police and Fire departments representing their viewpoints, as well. But in response to the question raised previously concerning fairness, if anyone would like to address this meeting, please go ahead.

Mr. Stieglitz: "I do oppose this project. We live here, our driveway is south of the proposed closure (pointing to the map, he points to Schoolhouse road), which will now be in a maze created by this design which is totally different to what it is now. I would suggest to you, first addressing the Chairman's structuring of the discussion, I call your attention to a Miami Herald article dated July 8, 2007 (he has a copy) and it suggests that the gated entrances are window dressing. Just re-decoration. That they, statistically, do not play any role in the reduction of crime and they do not play a significant role in reduction of traffic complications above what simple traffic bumps would be. What I am suggesting to you is what has been going on this neighborhood since 2004 and before in regards to getting this community gated is nothing but re-decoration and re-decoration that is desired by 70% of the residents but who want to pay for it with 30% who oppose it. We are not a condominium. They do not have the right to make us

paint a front entrance red, just because they want a condominium with red front entrances. We own our properties and we have the right to live there as long as we do not engage in some illegitimate undertaking."

"The article does suggest that these things are just window dressing more to satisfy people's feeling that they are going to --- appreciate value and things like that. I have been down and talked to the County. The County procedure at the time the last proceeding closed here, in which these applicants failed to obtain a recommendation from the Traffic Advisory Board for the City Commission to allow the closure of these roads (pointing to the map.) These applicants could have done what they did now, gotten 70% of the signatures and filled a petition and gotten a special taxing district without any involvement with the City of Coral Gables, but while they were involved in their machinations, the County changes its rules and the change requires (and I have the correspondence to support to this, but in deference to the members and residents I am not going to read it) in which the County says that now once these people have a signed petition, they have to come back to the City of Coral Gables and get a recommendation, and indeed an Interlocal Agreement between the County and the City of Coral Gables which will allow for the street closures within the municipality. And the City will agree to support-fund the building of the Guard House, support the manned guard gate and whatever this will be to the tune of \$500,000.00 ah... ah... and that ah... it is quite clear the City plays the role of making a value judgment. Do we want this street closed in our municipality? Do we believe it is in our best interest? Even if 100% of the people decide they want to do something and support it which the County will be the facilitator. They are not exercising a value judgment on it. The City is and the City has the obligation to say to the applicants and to the affected residents, even though all of you want this, we don't think it is in the best interest of the City. The City long ago said it did not want any more streets closed, it didn't think it was a good idea. Well, we have a street closure here. They supported it with 70% of the applicants and now is in your hands and going to be in the hands of the City Commission, either with your recommendation or without it. And I am suggesting to you that the task before you is the task described. You need to decide whether as the Fire Chief said and the Police department who were present at the prior hearing said that this would have an adverse and negative effect upon the movement of safety and traffic conditions in the area. You have to decide whether you think, 70% want this. If they want to put up a naked statue of whomever, down there in the triangle (pointing to the map) we'll support that..."

"Whether you want to do that or whether you want to exercise your duty and function as the Traffic Advisory Board and say no, again. This would have a negative impact on the level of safety, it would have a negative impact on traffic congestion in the area. Anyone who has been down N. Kendall Drive and I don't care what Dade County Traffic has to say about this. Anybody that comes from North Kendall Drive in the morning or the evening and comes down to Schoolhouse Road and believes that this closure and will not complicate ingress and egress out of Hammock Lakes Drive are in for a nightmare. There is a prominent person in the public eye that has been heard to say it is a fairy tale. And this is what it is and these folks are not going to give up and I am urging you to give a sound recommendation to the City Commission for the reasons I have said and for every other reason you can probably envision. Thank you."

Mr. Morrison: "Thank you very much. Any other comments?"

Jeff Bartel, 4995 Hammock Lake Drive: "I am not a lawyer. I am one of the newer neighbors to this neighborhood. I met all these folks in the past couple of years when I bought my property and the last thing I want is my 3 year old daughter to be walking down the street and feel that that neighborhood is unsafe. With all due respect, this is not a fairy tale. If this was a fairy tale you would not have every single department in Miami-Dade County with jurisdiction over this to have no objection. As for a fairy tale you would have no letters from the City of Coral Gables stating they have no objection to this. (Here he made a comment about Mr. Stieglitz) had talked about the fact that a small portion of the community who was not in favor of this would somehow be extraordinarily burdened. This is not 70%, this is nearly 80% - 77.2%." (Here he equated the results with football.)

"To sound like a broken record, but I will say it again, there is no compelling evidence of any kind before you that indicates that this should not be recommended for approval. The County's Public Works Department has no objection; the County Planning and zoning has no objection ; the County Comprehensive Master Plan has no objection; the City of Coral Gables Police Department has no objections; The Fire Department does not object to it. They have some concerns that need to be mitigated and we fully agree with that and most importantly the City's Public Works Director has no objection. And when you add to that the fact that you have an absolute overwhelming majority of neighbor in this community, most of who are not here tonight because they do not believe this is an issue that would be objectionable at all. 77.2% are in favor and not a single person living outside the district to be affected is here to object it. There is no a single shred of evidence before you that would lend you to recommend against it and to do otherwise would really cry in the face of all the issues before you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members."

Philip Brauner, 9100 Schoolhouse Road: "I submit that people who really would be concerned with any traffic blockage on north Kendall that is caused by the installation of this thing installed on North Kendall would be parents of the children who attend St. Thomas School, about 300 children gather there every morning. It is one street away from this Schoolhouse Road installation, down on Red Road and I can tell you that it is where the morning and afternoon traffic really builds up. You have the school people go and come and they are people who would not be invited to this because they are people who live some distance from this particular intersection, but also a catholic school down at the end, a Jewish school across Red Road, Gulliver Academy just down North Kendall. I can tell you I am not sure who all the people are but I can tell you when I leave in the morning the traffic runs from the stop light to Red Road, by St. Thomas School and it is blocked up and getting in and out and through is difficult if you are not going out the old Hammock way continuing to the east. That is what causes me being concerned about the closing the Schoolhouse Road and making this acute angle (pointing to the map) to get back on North Kendall Drive. It is several times a day a very busy back-up road and at night it is a nightmare. It takes me twice as long to get home as it should." Comments and laughter from the audience.

Bud Clarke, 4880 Hammock Lake Drive: "I am speaking in favor of it. First to Phil Brauner and I quite don't understand their concerns if you look at the schematics, here is Schoolhouse Road and this is the intersection (pointing to the map), he showed how this could be traveled. Alternative we are proposing instead of going here and turning left, you go here and turn left. You have no oncoming traffic; is no difference whatsoever on the road that will make that left turn any more difficult from this point of egress than from this point of egress (again pointing to the map.) I think that is fairly self-evident so I really do not think that is an issue at all. My understanding for the reason for this meeting is for the Board to determine whether No. 1 If there was any outside objection, which we have heard none; and No. 2, Whether fire and police position might cast some sort of a negative implication on the proposal, of course, with specific reference to the unmanned gate. I think I can speak for all of those who are in favor of this proposition that the mediation of the problem with the unmanned gate that the fire chief spoke to will be remedied to the best of today's technological capabilities and those of us who support will pay whatever it takes to ensure that that particular issue is mediated. That is the only negative issue that I have heard that this Board has really jurisdiction to take into account and I am confident that that issue can be remedied to the fire chief's satisfaction once this plan is approved. Thank you."

Mr. Morrison: "The Chair will entertain a motion regarding the closing of Hammock Drive at Old Cutler Road."

Dr. Yoder so moved. Chico Goldsmith seconded. The Chairman called for discussion.

Dr. Yoder: "When we looked at this previously we had some studies done by the City's consultant their findings were consistent with this in terms of the traffic on Kendall Drive and the surrounding streets."

Mr. Delgado noted that the consultant at that time said that there was no speeding, per say.

Dr. Yoder: "The traffic within the area really was not an issue in that it really was no (need) traffic calming based on the results of the study. I suggest, Mr. Chairman, that our recommendation to the City Commission be based on the recommendation from the City's traffic consultant and the documents provided by the County that the closing of the street will not have an adverse effect on the traffic conditions and further suggest that our recommendation reflect the concerns that have been identified here and that due to the qualifying language of the letters that we have been presented it is extremely important to make sure that any mitigation procedures for the unmanned gate be followed through to conclusion so that the consequence of reducing access by police and fire department is minimal."

Mr. Morrison: "The motion before us right now is simply – to close it or not. And if you care to amend the motion please do so, because the motion we make will be our recommendation."

-11-

Dr. Yoder: The motion would be that there is no objection from the Traffic Advisory Board for the closure of this street with the understanding that mitigation for the unmanned gate be satisfactory to the Police and Fire Department. Seconded by Chico Goldsmith.

Mr. Morrison: "Our recommendation will go forward to the City Commission and those of you present with continued concerns will have the opportunity to voice them to the City Commission as well".

Mr. Delgado explained that once it is passed by the City Commission then the County will proceed with the election/vote. The applicants then come back to our City Commission to request that we enter into an Interlocal Agreement with the County for the construction of the guard house. The City will fund the project and will be reimbursed by the Taxing District.

Frank Quesada: "I would ask that our recommendation come with specific technology in use for that second gate so that we know exactly what is going to be used. It is very difficult for us to sit here and envision what can be used by Police and Fire."

The Board Members discussed the motion on the floor and after discussion, the motion carried unanimously.

The meeting adjourned at 7:37