## HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD

COMMISSION CHAMBERS 405 Biltmore Way Coral Gables, Florida

Thursday, May 8, 2014 4:08 p.m. - 5:41 p.m.

CASE FILE COA (SP) 2014-002:

An application for the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness for the Country Club of Coral Gables, located at 997 North Greenway, a local historic landmark, legally described as Lots 1 through 9 and Lots 37 through 39, Block 32, Coral Gables, Section "B," according to the plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 5, Page 111, of the public records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

VARIANCES FROM THE CORAL GABLES ZONING CODE HAVE BEEN REQUESTED FOR A PROPOSED OUTSIDE DINING AREA.

PROCEEDINGS

1	HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
2	
3	ALEJANDRO SILVA (Chairman)
4	DOROTHY THOMSON
5	MARGARET ROLANDO
6	TONY NEWELL
7	CARMEN GUERRERO
8	ROBERT PARSLEY
9	DOLLY MACINTYRE
10	JUDY PRUITT
11	
12	
13	REPORTER:
14	GLENDA M. POWERS
15	Registered Professional Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter
16	Florida Professional Reporter
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 MR. SILVA: Okay. We'll begin.

2 First item is Case File COA (SP) 2014-002:

An application for the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness for the Country Club of Coral Gables, located at 997 North Greenway, a local historic landmark, legally described as Lots 1 through 9 and Lots 37 through 39, Block 32, Coral Gables, Section "B," according to the plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 5, Page 111, of the public records of Miami-Dade County, Florida. Variances from the Coral Gables zoning code have been requested for a proposed outside dining area.

MS. SPAIN: Thank you.

Before I get started, I just want to point out to you that you have a packet that was delivered to you. There are e-mails from Don Slesnick, also his statement; a packet of e-mails that was requested by Mr. Damian, and at your seats today we put letters from Mayor Slesnick; an e-mail from Jose and Nalvis Valera; and also an e-mail from Aldo and Ramona Busot. So then those will be part of the packet. They will be forwarded to the planning and zoning board.

This is the location of the country club.

It's well-known, as you all know. It is a

- 1 contributing property within the district.
- 2 It is not individually designated.
- This is a 1922 photograph; a 1924 photograph.

4 This is similar to a proposal that was

5 submitted to the Historic Preservation Board in

6 2009. It was a much larger configuration. You

have that packet in -- we included that in the

packet today. It included in there the same

9 general elevation.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In 2009, Kara was a preservation officer. She does recommend in favor of it, and the Historic Preservation Board voted to approve it, five/one. In addition, Venny Torre recused himself and there were two excused absences that day.

That went on to the planning and zoning board. The planning staff in 2009 recommended in favor of it and it -- the planning and zoning board recommended approval to the city commission. The vote was five/two.

And when it got to the city commission, the commission passed an ordinance that did not allow an outside dining. So that area was never built in front of -- on the North Greenway side. You have that all in your packet.

This is what is the proposed site plan in

- 2009. As you can see, this is a much larger area, it's supposed to be. Again, in 2009. This is a map of the Liberty Cafe. This is the general location of what they're asking for. They're also asking for historic. This is the existing site plan. And you can see, there's this area, this area. Do you remember, they installed a walkway, they came to this board; that went through and was permitted. For those people watching, we -- they all had asked us earlier, we send notices to 1,000 feet
  - For those people watching, we -- they all had asked us earlier, we send notices to 1,000 feet around perimeter for designations and for anything that requires a variance. And that was not part of the variance. But we did post the property and we put the notice in the newspaper.

And that's the same condition, showing the walkway. That was recently, I want to say 2012. And this shows what they would like to do.

This is the stairway; this is proposed pavers.

There's a landscaping plan. This is a stairway.

The intent is to have the -- take a portion of the existing fence down to install stairs and have a gate that matches the existing.

1	And again, here's the proposed. It shows
2	stairways that matches the existing fence up at the
3	top there.

And that's the proposal.

I would like the applicant to come up here.

I would like to read into the record the variances that are required.

The stairway does not require a variance. You can have a stairway in the setback.

The pavers are what's kicking the variances.

There are three variances:

To grant a variance to allow the proposed keystone pavers, approximately 348 square feet, to have a setback facing upon North Greenway Drive of approximately 13 feet 8 inches versus a minimum setback of 35 feet facing upon North Greenway Drive or South Greenway Drive as required by Appendix A - site specific zoning regulations, Section A-7, Section B-C (1) of the Coral Gables zoning code;

And grant a variance to allow the proposed keystone pavers to have a setback facing upon Granada Boulevard, approximately 46 feet 9 inches versus a minimum setback of 50 feet facing upon Granada Boulevard, as required by Appendix A, site specific zoning regulations, Section A-7, Section

1 B-C (4) of the Coral Gables zoning code;

And grant a variance to allow the property to provide approximately 19.4 percent landscaped open space versus the property shall provide landscaped open space of not less than 35 percent of the area of the building site, as required by Section 4-204 (D)(3) of the Coral Gables zoning code.

The country club is already non-conforming, so there's no way they can do this without requiring a variance.

I would like to turn it over to the applicant.

The staff is recommending in favor.

MR. DI DONATO: Good afternoon. Thank you for your time today. So, based on the photos there and the plans, we just want to do this for the community. We've been servicing the community since 2010 when we first opened and this has been the request from many of the local residents.

MS. THORNTON: Would you please state your name and address?

MR. DI DONATO: I'm sorry. My name is Anthony
Di Donato, and I'm from the Coral Gables Country
Club, 997 North Greenway Drive.

MS. THORNTON: Thank you.

MR. DI DONATO: So it's been the request of

1	many of the local visitors and residents who want
2	to come and enjoy coffee, sit outside the cafe.
3	There's many walkers and joggers out there. We
4	would like to promote a healthy and very clean
5	living style within the community.
6	So, as you saw the drawings, this is pretty
7	self-explanatory. We're not asking for many seats.
8	It's only six tables outside, and also, as well as
9	a stairway that gives access to the pool deck.
10	Is there any questions from the board?
11	MR. SILVA: Does the board have any questions
12	or staff for the applicant?
13	MS. MACINTYRE: Is there really a need for the
14	entrance to the pool from that side?
15	MR. DI DONATO: Yes. We're also, we host
16	weddings at our facility, too, and quite often we
17	have cocktail receptions out on the pool deck. So
18	this is a way to enter the pool deck without going
19	in and through the building.
20	MS. MACINTYRE: You can't get in from the
21	other side?
22	MR. DI DONATO: Well, if we had because
23	there's multiple rooms, so if there's another event
24	in the room, people do not want to cut through

another person's cocktail reception in that time.

We prefer not to do it, but this is a way to
alleviate that situation. It's happened a few
times. So we want to put a stairway there. It's
unobtrusive. You will not even notice it.

We're also going to match it with the architecture of the building. And it's only utilized maybe five or six times a year.

MS. THOMSON: Mr. Di Donato, how have you addressed the concerns of the neighbors -- and which was the same concerns several years ago -- about the parking? You don't, apparently, have sufficient parking or you have -- you know, incentives for people to park along North Greenway, as opposed to going in the back lot because you have a cafe there, for instance, and now if you're going to have more seating facilities outside on the North Greenway side, how do you -- how are you directing the traffic into your interior parking lot?

MR. DI DONATO: Well, the seats are nominal. It's only six tables outside, so it's not going to contribute to many more parking spaces. A lot of our customers are local residents anyway, so a lot of them walk, they bike. We encourage biking and walking as well.

And when we have the events, we encourage

valet parking, too. A lot of our staff parks on

site and we encourage the shuttling as well to the

facility.

5 MS. THOMSON: In general then, you know, you do a lot of catering.

MR. DI DONATO: Yes, we do events as well.

MS. THOMSON: Yes.

MR. DI DONATO: And at times we do close the cafe earlier when we have larger events on site. A lot of those customers that would be there will not be taxing the facility because we close the cafe a little bit earlier when we have a larger event.

MS. THOMSON: Well, the city -- when I say the city, I mean all the organizations in the city and all the different groups, and civic groups, and so forth, heretofore, before your coming and before the renovation took place, frequented the country club, you know, throughout the year.

And I don't know hardly any, any that I know of -- any of the clubs and organizations, are the same ones -- are doing so any more. So, you know, I don't know what kind of business you're doing that you're able to communicate to whoever's renting your facility to be cognizant and to be

1 sympathetic to the neighbors.

And this is one of the big questions we had before. And this -- this new seating, even a few more seats is going to impact the already-existing problem. What have you done in the past four years, for instance, to alleviate the extra parking along North Greenway Drive, especially in the evening times when you have these catering events mostly taking place?

MR. DI DONATO: But those are mostly on the weekends. But even then, in terms of parking, like I stated, we do encourage a lot of people to shuttle, if possible. We have valet services available, when needed, as well.

The country club, in terms of size and space, has always been the same. We've never increased the size of the country club. It's always been those multiple rooms.

(Board Member Peggy Rolando entered meeting.)

MS. SPAIN: I need to point out one thing.

We sent these plans -- every plan you see we've sent to zoning, and this outside dining does not require a parking variance.

There may, in fact, be an enforcement problem with parking, but that's not part of this

1 application.

MS. THOMSON: Well, it is part of the

application, inasmuch as what we're deciding today

is, you know, to give further -- further license to

you, as it were, to increase your business, whether

it's a few -- four tables, or whatever you're

talking about, as opposed to your being a

commercial establishment in a residential area.

And we have to be cognizant of that very much so, and especially in historic area. And from what I've been able to understand, that parking problem continues, you know.

If you're going to be a good neighbor, I would think that you, by now, you would find some alternative measures to alleviate the situation of the parking along North Greenway Drive, which is crowded in itself, anyway, with people walking and running and as you say.

MR. DI DONATO: Well, I don't have an answer for that. Like I stated, we do our best in terms of what we can do in terms of valet services, shuttling. My staff parks outside as much as we can. We also encourage carpooling.

We also have an athletic facility on site, so a lot of the people who go are local residents, who

- ride their bikes. We have a bike rack, too, along
  the parking lot. So these are things that we
  encourage.
- 4 MS. THOMSON: Apparently, it's not working.
- 5 MR. SILVA: Any other questions for the applicant at this time?
- I would like to take notice that Peggy Rolando
  has joined us, for the record.
- So, is there anyone in the audience who wishes
  to speak to this case? If so, please come forward
  to the microphone and state your name and address
  for the record.
- MR. DI DONATO: Can I be seated?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DAMIAN: Good afternoon. I'm Vincent

Damian. I live at 1115 North Greenway Drive. I've

lived in that home since 1970. I lived in the home

when this area was designated as a historic area,

and my home is designated as a historic home.

As you know, when you accept that designation you give up certain freedoms. You give up the right to make any changes that you want to the house. You have to come before this board to do that. But in return what you get is a satisfaction and knowledge that your neighborhood is going to remain as it was, it will remain historic and it

will basically continue the historic uses that have been there before.

This is not what is being asked for today.

Today we're being asked -- you're being asked to approve a major, major change. You're being asked to allow a commercial use outside of the walls of the Country Club.

I've been a member -- I was a member of the Country Club for 20, 30 years. We used to have great buffets, parties and things, all inside the walls, all consistent with the historic and green nature of the neighborhood.

Riviera Country Club, same thing, parties, all sorts of things that go on. They go on inside of the perimeter of the structure so that nothing flows out onto the street. This is a residential neighborhood.

This is the only non-residential -- the coffee shop, if they have outdoor dining, this area is the only non-residential area that's a semi-business area. What this does is it turns it into a real commercial business. And this is -- they had stated it's for dining, it's for liquor. They will be drinking, they will be smoking. It is totally inconsistent with the historic nature of this

1 property.

You have before you a package prepared by

staff in which they made the recommendation to

approve. And I've gone over it. The criteria A

through G, all of them must be approved as "yes,"

that they meet these criteria.

Staff has said criteria A: That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to this land. And they say "yes" to that.

And Dona has explained that because of the location of the building they can't get the setback in order to put these stones there in order to have the dining room.

So she's saying I approve the stones to be put in without the setback, but she doesn't say anything about the dining. So she says "yes" to that -- or staff does.

B: Special conditions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant, and she says "yes" to that. I don't have a great deal of argument with either of those two.

But let's get to C: The granting of the variance requested will not confer on this applicant any special privilege that is denied by this ordinance to other lands, buildings or

1 structures in the same zoning district.

Does that mean that I'm allowed to have a outdoor dining in front of my home if I come before this group and ask for a variance? I'm entitled to it.

This is the -- when the flood gates open, now we're going to have everybody having outdoor dining rooms on their front lawns, commercial outdoor dining rooms and drinking. This is not something that every other neighbor has.

The answer to that criteria is "no," because if there's one "no," you must deny it.

D: That literal interpretation of the provisions of the zoning code would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of the zoning code.

The answer is clearly "no." We're not entitled to do what they want to do here.

MS. SPAIN: I'm sorry, I hate to interrupt you, but I need to point out that this is not zoned residential. It's zoned S use. You are allowed in that zoning district, a commercial district, to have outside dining. So that's the zoning district that that one goes to. Not the surrounding area,

- but what it's zoned for. I'm sorry. I apologize.
- I know that throws you off, but I had to make sure.
- 3 MR. DAMIAN: It's all procedurally incorrect,
- 4 but...

The literal interpretation of the provisions of the zoning code would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of the zoning code and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant.

Well, the applicant has been there for five years. They're not going to have any undue hardship. What they're looking for is some special consideration.

And Dona, excuse me, please. So what you're telling me is the zoning district consists of this building? This building is in a historic district, and that's what we're talking about.

E: That the variance granted is the minimum variance that will make possible -- the variance granted is the minimal variance that will make possible the reasonable use of the land, building, or structure.

Coral Gables Country Club has been operating there over 80 years without this variance. So they

1	do not need this, as a minimum, in order to operate
2	the Country Club. And that's what the criteria is.
3	That's the minimum that is required for them to
4	operate, meaning if they don't have this, they got
5	to close down. And the answer to that is "no,"
6	they do not meet that criteria.

F: That granting the variance will not change the use to one that is different from other land in the same district.

I don't know what other land she's talking about. She's telling us that there's only one building in this district. Well, this is a historic district, and I'm looking at the historic district.

G: That the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of the zoning code and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise be detrimental to the public welfare.

Again, that's an absolute "no." It is not in harmony with the general intent of the zoning code -- capital Z.C. -- meaning the whole general code.

We set up in Coral Gables a very harmonious plan. We have a residential area, we have a

country club, we have business areas. These things were meant to be preserved from the time of the founding of Coral Gables, and this board is here for the very purpose of protecting Coral Gables and the residents of Coral Gables.

So I ask you to, first, on a general ground of what this historic board is here for, to deny this application.

And second, to look at the criteria and understand that not only do you have to look at it and say, well, it probably should be denied, but it must be denied because they do not meet the criteria. They are not going out of business if they don't get these stones so they can put in their restaurant and drinking.

As a practical matter, we were talking about the steps to the pool. Again, my children and I swam at that pool for many, many years. We had a snack bar up there and we frequented the snack bar.

We didn't have to go down those stairs, to go out in public, on my street, have people partially clad in bathing suits, on my street, drinking and eating in front of my home. That is not called for, and as a practical matter, that should be denied.

Last, I pointed out five years ago before the commission when this was turned down at that time, there are at least five very suitable places in that Country Club area for outdoor dining.

There's one area on the -- that's adjacent to Granada Boulevard. It's a big area. It's got a statue there. It's got lots of trees. It's got shade.

I've had my grandchildren's baptism and receptions have been there.

Outdoor dining, serving of liquor, totally shielded from the neighborhood. So they're not going out of business because they can't have these flagstones put in; this dining, this drinking, this smoking.

And I request that this application be denied.

MR. SILVA: Thank you. Anyone else wishes to speak? Please state your name and address for the record.

MR. BUSOT: Aldo Busot, 819 North Greenway
Drive. We're the fifth house east of the country
club. My wife and I, Ramona, have lived in this
house for a good 14, 15 years. Prior to that we
lived on South Greenway, dead across the fairway.
So we're very familiar with not only North

1 Greenway, but the whole area as a whole.

And like Vince, we were also members of the country club for many, many years, and many activities go on there.

But the issue has been that everything that's being done is driving more and more traffic and more and more parking issues to the North Greenway side; whether it be the opening of the cafe, whether it be adding the additional door for entrance -- which I was not aware that that had even been announced -- and now talking adding another entryway into the pool.

They could modify the interior of the entry from the parking lot to allow people to go to the pool without having to go through the cocktail area, if they so chose. That's their decision.

The key here, though, is that I feel like it's déjà vu all over again. We already had this discussion. We discussed this years ago.

No outdoor dining, which, by the way, the tables they soon put out there, or benches, and so forth, that's not supposed to be there; signs were another issue that weren't supposed to be put there; and closing at 8:00.

So those are things that I vividly remember

discussing and apparently everybody forgets about it. It's like a child that keeps coming back and asking for the same thing and you keep telling them "no" every time.

That's not suitable for this area. We are a residential area, above all. And the country club for many, many years, even in its heyday, never was an intrusion to the neighborhood.

By the way, the valet parking that he's talking about, absolutely, they have valet parking, and it parks all along North Greenway, well passed my home, and I'm the fifth home.

So yes, they do something about the parking issue. They park cars in front of our homes. They park cars in front of our -- in the swale area. They allow -- also, nobody comes along and picks up on the next day after an event, when we've got all kind of garbage all over the golf course and on the sidewalks.

So these are issues that do have an impact on us. And at first they sound like it's not a big deal, you know, a little outdoor dining. Liquor?

No alcohol of any sort out there. And like Vince said, next thing you know, you got a different kind of congestion going on.

1	We strongly, strongly request that you deny
2	this application. It does have an impact on us.
3	Please, don't let's put it to rest once and for
4	all. Thank you.
5	MR. SILVA: Thank you.
6	MS. HARPER: Hi. My name is Paige Harper. I
7	live at 765 North Greenway Drive. I am exactly one
8	block east of the country club. And I've lived
9	there since 2005, so I know what it was like before
10	the country club became a more commercial
11	enterprise than it is now.
12	And I will tell you well, first of all, I
13	will tell you that unlike some of the other people
14	who have spoken, I have two young children, ages
15	six and eight.
16	And since the country club has become this new
17	establishment, I've noticed a huge increase in
18	traffic, traffic that goes very fast. I'm afraid
19	to have my children play outside.
20	I would also like to let you know that even
21	I'm more than a block up cars are parked all the

way up to my house and beyond. It becomes a problem.

Maybe other people haven't noticed, but I hear

Maybe other people haven't noticed, but I hear conversations at night and I hear drunk people

throwing trash, fighting. And it's not an unusual occurrence for me to be woken up in the middle of the night by screaming drunk people, and I'm not really thrilled about that.

And I would urge you not to increase the business because it's been a problem. I think it diminishes the value of my house. I think it diminishes the value of the historic nature of the neighborhood.

I have found it sort of interesting that historic is supporting this, when they make it so incredibly difficult to do any sort of renovation to your house because of its historic nature, not just with the house, but the street in general.

Just to have such increase of a commercial business the way they're proposing, I think diminishes the value of the historic district, which is inconsistent with what I have been led to believe living in the historic district is like.

I would also like to point out one quick thing at the end. My son took swimming lessons at the country club before it closed. So I know for a fact that there's an entrance to the pool that's direct from the parking lot, where you don't have to go through any other place, you don't have to

disturb any other party.

So I'm a little confused about, unless the interior of the building has changed so much that they cut off that direct access to the pool, the pool area that he's talking about, where he needs a separate staircase. So maybe that's another inconsistency. I do not understand that.

But I also would like to add my support to the neighbors who strongly discourage the approval of this request in this proposal. Because I can tell you, I was involved at the beginning when the commercial establishment wanted to begin serving alcohol at the little cafe, which I've been to once or twice.

There may be a lot of neighborhood people come, but I can tell you, it's not a lot of people on North Greenway. And it's pretty much all the people who live on North Greenway are, uniformly, very adverse to having this place in the first place. And I know they're all pretty much adverse -- there's a couple of exceptions -- with the addition of what's being proposed today.

Thank you very much for your time.

MR. SILVA: Thank you. Anyone else in the audience wishes to be heard on this?

MR. MAY: Over here. 1 MS. SPAIN: I have an easel for you. 2 MR. MAY: Okay. All right. Thank you. 3 MS. SPAIN: Can you all see this? I'm sure 4 5 you can. MR. MAY: Good afternoon, board members. 6 My name is Dan May. I live at 808 Minorca, 7 about ten houses away from the club. 8 9 MR. SILVA: Mr. May, could you talk into the 10 microphone? MR. MAY: I was talking here in the middle. I 11 will move. How's this, sir? Good. 12 Once again, Dan May. I live at 808 Minorca. 13 Okay. And I am here to speak on this, the club 14 situation here. Now, this is an old, old story. 15 One of the problems is they have -- each time 16 17 you give a board more freedom, they take more on top of it. And this deal about giving them 18 keystones right on both sides of the door, the one 19 main -- the historic item -- and which you folks 20 are in charge of, responsible for keeping up --21 22 they want to put keystones on each side of the 23 door.

Now, you got tour buses coming in, looking at

published articles, you see pictures of the

24

25

billboards, you see pictures of the Venetian Pool,
but you never see pictures of Coral Gables keystone
pavers. Nobody comes to see those. Even the tour
buses, two-story tour buses do not come to see
keystone pavers. And they want to put them right
on both of the sides of the main picture that we
have.

Now, the other thing about the club is -which I tell you that they take advantage of
things. Accidentally, I did the -- I looked like I
wasn't going to be here, so I will give you some
comments that you all have in the file. As you
recall, I will give you a quick tour around the
club.

Flag, they fly it whenever they want to fly it. They fly it all the time, half mast, they fly it full mast, light, no light. The flag's up.

It's quite all right to have a flag pole without a light, but you got to go take it down. They leave it up. And when it comes time to take it down, instead of half mast, it's quarter mast. There's no help there.

You move around the -- start to take a tour quickly around the place, you go around to the service area. There was a big deal to let them

1 bring their trucks in and park them in the setback.

And we had a fence there that was supposed to cover up some old fire damage and we let the fence up, had them park behind. Sounds good. We gave them that privilege.

What did they do with it? They put two moderate buildings in there where the structure is supposed to be. And then they put another building in the corner, and then they sat junk on the floor.

Now, they don't just do it for a week or whenever. It's under construction. It's been there for four years.

Now, we have -- the city has an employee that's supposed to take care of this. And so -- her name is Cynthia Birdsill. You see her on the news. She's a big-time person that comes here and making a high salary, supposedly, but she's not able to handle them. They overcome her.

And then at the gateway there, they decided to put in a dumpster. They put a dumpster in and got halfway blocked the gate. It's been there for two years. The dumpster's not big enough, so they put the trash bags on the west side of the dumpster.

So now you got the dumpster, trash sacks, and what are you going to do, you have halfway to go,

they haven't cover that up yet. So they go buy some plants, buy some trees, palms and cover that up. What does that do? That stops up the gate.

So the service trucks are supposed to back in, if you look back at the contract, the trucks are supposed to go in the service area, unload, open the door to unload, close the doors and leave.

Now, last time -- the last time I told this particular story, I'm telling you it happened at 10:00 this morning, I saw it. The truck pulls in near the service gate, about 40, 50 feet away, stop, opens the top, gets out all the materials, rolls it through the door. Why? Because the garbage can was in the way of the door, so there's no way to be able to back in. We told that story.

Then we let them have an extra parking spot.

The club for many, many years, for years and years, everybody parked in the back inside the fence. And in the old days we had a few parking spots on the outside, where it was used by people that were not club members, and you had to go to the club, you parked outside the fence. And of course those spots are still there. And we said, okay, you can use them in a tight spot.

They immediately, since they took over, they

started putting staff out there, so we had to look

at the staff's cars everyday. And then on the left

side of that, there's grass. They said, well, I

don't like to park in the fenced spot, I like to

park in the grass here and head their car kind of

west into Granada.

So, you see, they keep pushing the envelope.

And that's another theme song. The contract says no signs. They got five signs on the front door; over at the golf course, they got two signs on the front door; and on the east side, they got one sign there. They claim that it's been grandfathered in.

But the thing is the sign, I believe, it was built by the first operator. When the actual club first operated, we had to put in an illegal sign. And then the second group comes along and they say they're grandfathered in again.

So I just want to show you they have a history. They have a history of pushing people.

And so here when they start with the pavers, once again, they're pushing, the club is.

Some of the people who spoke got hesitant about wondering why do they want to put a gate in there, a gate going up to the pool.

Well, (inaudible), bathing suit going in. In

1 40 years I've never seen anybody enter that club, 2 one person, in a bathing suit through the front 3 door. So I don't know about that problem.

But what I can imagine is, you put in the pavers, you let people start to eat, put in the tables, you get the food and drink and go to the table; open this gate over here, and then the swimmers can come out every time they get tired, they run out and run across our grass, get something to eat, go back. They don't want to (inaudible) sit down and do some sun bathing and then return to the place.

So, these are things that I see that's happening here and I want you to know about it. The thing is, you do have the responsibility for the entire historic value of the entire property; not just, well, we'll throw in some pavers here and here, just sign off on these pavers, that's all there are.

If it's pavers, we could use some (inaudible), much less than the status of this board. You're here for the overall picture, and I believe you can see this picture is grim. And they want to add to it. And that's my story, and I thank you very much. I hope you take into consideration all this

- 1 type of lifestyle. Thank you.
- 2 MR. SILVA: Thank you, Mr. May.
- MS. MACINTYRE: Mr. Chairman, if I may make a
- 4 comment. Mr. May, I'm disturbed --
- 5 MR. MAY: Yes, ma'am.

it was all about.

16

22

23

24

25

- 6 MS. MACINTYRE: -- that our historic resources
  7 staff has had to spend at least \$500 in time and
  8 materials preparing over 1600 pages of repetitive
  9 e-mails and e-mail lists that address two topics,
  10 primarily, that is parking and flag protocol,
- 11 neither of which is in the province of this board.
- I understand your concerns, but I think it's a
  bit of overkill. And I personally did not have
  time to read word for word 160 pages, but I
  certainly scanned through it carefully to see what
- MR. MAY: All right. Well, I have a hundred
  percent, I agree with you. Remember, our world
  doesn't work exactly straight here. I found out
  about this meeting three weeks ago. I went
  immediately to get those e-mails.
  - I wanted ones that were applicable, okay. Our city attorney's office drug their feet for three weeks, and with three days -- with four days to go, they told me, oh, yes, you got to pay us before we

can give you these. I went three weeks go by,
nobody told me I owed anybody money.

In fact, there was an e-mail going on that says that they were going to 50 such addresses as soon as they get them. Well, this person is not going to get them because I hadn't paid. But as soon as I found out I could pay, I paid.

They said they were going to work on it. They started at 2:50 on Wednesday afternoon. They completed the stuff -- they completed -- no, 2:50 on Thursday afternoon. They got finished at about 10:00 in the morning.

Ms. Spain, she worked very diligently to keep you folks informed. She had a noon time deadline to send this stuff to you. So when I had to get stuff turned in at 10:00 and it had to be out to all of you at noon, I did not have the time to go through and cut the file back. And so that's how it happened. That may not be good, that may be bad, but that's how it happened.

And if you go again, I will -- now I know I got to pay right up front, pound them, get the e-mails, separate out the trash. And I would not have received

- 1 anything if I didn't pay.
- MS. MACINTYRE: As I say, I looked at it
- 3 carefully enough to know what it was about.
- 4 Thank you for the explanation.
- 5 MR. MAY: All right. Thank you.
- 6 MR. SILVA: Thank you, Mr. May, I appreciate
- 7 it.
- 8 MR. MAY: Oh, okay. Thank you.
- 9 MR. SILVA: Anyone else wishing to speak to
- 10 this item?
- 11 MS. THORNTON: City Attorney. I would like to
- 12 clarify on behalf of the city attorney's office.
- Mr. May was informed that there may be a cost
- 14 associated with the production.
- However, we don't know that cost until we know
- 16 the exact amount of pages that are being printed or
- 17 copied. And once we received the information from
- 18 I.T. as to the exact number of e-mails, we
- 19 communicated that exact cost.
- 20 But over a phone call that the assistant city
- 21 attorney, Yaneris Figueroa, we always communicate
- 22 to public records requesters that there may be a
- cost associated, and once we get an idea of how
- 24 many documents are involved, we can give you the
- exact costs.

MR. SILVA: Thank you for the clarification. 1 I appreciate it. 2 MR. MAY: Okay. I didn't get all that story, 3 but I was the one there, so I -- I know I was there 4 when it happened. This lady here helped me as much 5 as she possibly could. So there's no difference 6 between -- there's no problem between me and this 7 lady here, all right. Thank you. 8 MR. SILVA: Thank you. 9 10 MR. BOSSOUGHI: Good afternoon, everybody. MR. SILVA: Were you sworn in, sir? 11 MR. BOSSOUGHI: Yes, I was. My name is Majid 12 Bossoughi. I live at 1910 Granada. First and 13 foremost, I apologize to you all for my attire. 14 My neighbor, Adriana Pino, who's sitting back 15 there, kind of told me about what was going on 16 today. This is the very first time I attend 17 18 something like this. But I heard her concerns and I couldn't share 19 them all. I'm not going to waste your time. 20 think you've heard more than enough. You really 21 don't need to hear more from me. 22 23 But I just want to let you know, Ms. Pino and

I live right across from the country club --

immediately across from the country club right on

24

25

1 Granada, at 1910 and 1920.

I believe the gentleman's name was Mr. Damian, very well-spoken. I think he gave you the agency and the law. Obviously, I've been informed literally an hour or two ago. There's nothing I can add to that.

The only thing I do have to say is that from speaking with Ms. Pino -- and I could be wrong -- when I saw the display, I think the pavement appeared to be facing north on -- towards the golf course on Greenway. But I think -- and I may be incorrect, so I apologize for that -- but I think there's also a proposal to pave on the side of Granada, which immediately looks towards my house, as well as Pina.

As I said in the very beginning, there's not much for me to add, but I want to be very honest with you, I don't pay the type of taxes I do to live in that neighborhood to have people camp outside my house, to wine and dine, have liquor, smoke cigars. And that's not the reason I moved in that environment.

There's plenty of traffic. I used to go jog around that golf course when I was in a little bit of better shape. Hopefully, I can start doing

that. But certainly the environment is a healthy, clean environment. And I'm not suggesting there's anything inherently wrong with anybody who wants to have a cigarette, or who wants to have liquor, I just do not believe that's the kind of environment.

As Mr. Damian pointed out, this is a residential area with its own character. And there's one too many places to wine and dine in Coral Gables.

So I just do not see why the intersection of Greenway and Granada there's a need to pave the street facing Granada or, for that matter, the greenway to have people sit and eat. If they want to do that, they could do that on site.

When I used to go jogging there years ago, it was very hard to find parking. To be frank with you, I used to drive. I used to park right across from where the pool is, and I never had a problem finding a parking lot -- a parking space.

If you go there from five to six these days, you'll find there's plenty of problem with parking, because -- the good news is that there's plenty more people who engage, it appears to me, in outdoor activities, in running and jogging.

But certainly, unless those people are walking

to that area, they're driving, they're looking for space to park. So I think spacing is a problem.

I live right across so I do hear the noise.

It's usually from indoors, and that's not too much of a problem. But I can certainly see if people want to sit outside and wine and dine, there could potentially be music and loud noise. So I do think this issue with parking, I do think that the issue would dramatically change the character of the neighborhood.

I certainly do not want to be in that environment and raise a family. I like to encourage my family to look at the people who are jogging, not necessarily the people who are wining and dining.

I certainly know that there's events, whatever the nights it is. If you have a paved area, a paved area, a seating area, what I can potentially see is that if somebody cannot have a cigarette, then outside it would be very comfortable for them to walk out, six or so people, and sit outside at 7:00, 8:00, 9:00, where there's a cafeteria, whether Liberty Cafe is open or not, just sit there.

And it's definitely not something that I

signed up for when I moved in that area, so I 1 strongly oppose this proposal that they have. 2 MS. SPAIN: I just need to clarify. There's 3 not a proposal to put anything to the west of the 4 country club. It's only about pavers in front of 5 the building. 6 MR. BOSSOUGHI: Right. And that's why I said 7 I wasn't sure, but -- I apologize. 8 MS. SPAIN: They did ask about that, I 9 10 believe, in the 2009. But that's not part of this. MR. BOSSOUGHI: Right. Thank you for the 11 clarification. Nevertheless, that area is still 12 immediately across from my home and Ms. Pino. And 13 it's good to see people jogging and running, but we 14 definitely don't want to see people sitting out 15 there drinking and smoking. 16 MS. MACINTYRE: Dona, are alcoholic beverages 17 18 sold at Liberty Cafe? MR. DI DONATO: I just want to clarify a few 19 things. There seems to be a little bit of 20

things. There seems to be a little bit of
confusion there. The Liberty Cafe -- I'm not sure
if anybody's been there -- but it's a family-style
cafe. We sell mainly ice creams, coffees, gelatos.
We also have beer and wine. That's all we sell
there. Our sales for that are very minimal. We

do not sell much beer and wine, because we are a family establishment. Our top sellers there are gelatos, and we do all our gelatos in-house.

And I can give you a petition with a thousand names on it with people who actually asked to sit outside. It's such a beautiful area and everybody is stuck inside the building.

We're in Florida. It's beautiful.

I'm originally from up north. I deal with the snow and the horrible weather. So many people ask to sit outside and how come you guys don't have a nice little area you can enjoy outside.

I have lots of family and children that can play in front on the grass, and this, I think, is great area for the kids.

And one thing about the stairway, just to clarify that, the stairway is an entrance when we have wedding receptions up on the pool deck.

That's the only reason that the stairway will be

utilized for.

It's not going to be used for anything else. You're not going to catch kids running around down there. That's not what it's used for.

It's for only when we have weddings and you need private access to the pool deck. That's what

1	it needs to be used. So I hope that clarifies any
2	other questions or concerns the people might have.
3	But this is something the community needs,
4	this is something the community has been asking
5	for.
6	We're not here to have people drunk, smoking
7	and drinking. That's not our idea of what we're
8	looking for. We're a family establishment, and I
9	encourage that, and that's how we would like that.
10	MR. DAMIAN: Excuse me. May I ask a question
11	of the applicant after that statement?
12	Are you here to tell us that there will be no
13	alcoholic beverages served
14	MR. SILVA: Mr. Damian, step up to the
15	microphone.
16	THE CLERK: Sir, go to the microphone. Thank
17	you.
18	MR. SILVA: You have two minutes, again.
19	MR. DAMIAN: This is Vincent Damian. We've
20	been out of order to begin with. But I want to
21	just ask a question about his statement. I
22	testified before.
23	Are you saying that there will be no alcoholic
24	beverages served in the outdoor area that you are
25	proposing?

1	MR. DI DONATO: We serve beer and wine at the
2	Liberty Cafe. The patio is only utilized for the
3	Liberty Cafe, from the hours of eight a.m. to eight
4	p.m. That's the only hours they're open. Nobody's
5	going to be drinking hard liquor out there, no one
6	is going to be smoking cigars out there. We do not
7	promote drunkenness; if anything, this will be
8	monitored. That's not the type of facility that we
9	are.
LO	MR. DAMIAN: That's not the question I asked.
L1	Are you saying to me there will be no
L2	alcoholic beverages or smoking cigars in that area
L3	MR. DI DONATO: We have a license to sell
L4	liquor, yes, we do, which is beer and wine. That's
L5	what we sell at the Liberty Cafe. We sell only
L6	wine and beer.
L7	MR. DAMIAN: Okay. Do you have a license to
L8	serve alcoholic beverages?
L9	MR. DI DONATO: Yes, I do.
20	MR. DAMIAN: Whiskey? Vodka?
21	MR. DI DONATO: We're not selling whiskey at
22	the Liberty Cafe.

MR. SILVA: I think it is pretty clear that he is selling --

23

MR. DAMIAN: I didn't ask you that.

- 1 MR. DAMIAN: Okay.
- 2 MR. DI DONATO: And this has nothing to do
- 3 with that. This is a historic board meeting, and
- 4 all we're asking for is keystone pavers and a
- 5 stairway. Thank you for your time.
- 6 MR. SILVA: Thank you.
- 7 MR. FERNANDEZ: Good afternoon. Ariel
- Fernandez, 831 Monterey Street. I want to start by
- 9 thanking you all for being part of this board and
- taking the time from your busy lives to help
- preserve the history of our "City Beautiful."
- 12 My wife and I are patrons of Liberty Cafe.
- We're there at least once a week, sometimes three
- or four times a week. I'm a small business owner
- in the Gables. I have most of my meetings at
- 16 Liberty Cafe.
- 17 But I must say that I am against this measure.
- 18 Two reasons. Number one, they expressed the need
- for these pavers and this sitting area outside
- 20 Liberty Cafe. I'm there pretty often and, for the
- 21 most part, the chairs are outside with the
- 22 umbrellas, for the most part are empty, nobody's
- 23 sitting out there.
- On weekends, occasionally, you will have
- 25 somebody walking their dog, they will sit there,

there's water for the dogs. That's pretty much it.

You don't see people going outside, taking their

coffee and sitting out on the pavement area where

they now, the benches are right there. There's

about three benches in that area already and people

don't use them.

Number two, the steps. The steps are a big concern. I think he just clarified, the steps are there to allow access to the pool deck during receptions.

Our current largest issue in the area is parking. If that is the main entrance for receptions in the pool deck, people are no longer going to park in the main parking lot. They're now going to be parking on North Greenway and the golf course.

And we have enough issues with the golf course as it is, maintaining the grass and maintaining the beauty of that part of Coral Gables.

I think, as the gentleman before me was saying, alcoholic beverages might actually be an issue. Because if you have this easy access and this area to sit down, during the receptions people always like to linger around and find other places to go, a more guiet area where there's no music.

1	They're going to be sitting in this area where the
2	tables are with the pavers, and they're going to
3	enjoy their reception out in the middle of the
4	public area of Coral Gables.
5	The last issue that I have is loading. My
6	wife and I were there for breakfast this Saturday.
7	There were three trucks loading and unloading on
8	Greenway Drive.
9	If they open this new area for the pool deck,
LO	we're not going to have three trucks loading and
11	unloading on North Greenway Drive. We're going to
L2	have five or six.
L3	So, for these reasons, I would urge you to
L4	vote against this.
L5	MR. SILVA: Thank you, Mr. Fernandez.
L6	MS. EBBERT: Hi there. Good afternoon.
L7	My name is Marlin Ebbert. I don't live
L8	anywhere near the Liberty Cafe. I live at 6935
L9	Almansa Street.
20	MS. THORNTON: Excuse me, ma'am. Were you
21	sworn?

MS. EBBERT: No. Do I have to come up to you?

MS. THORNTON: No. The clerk will do it. You

don't have to come up.

THE CLERK: You don't have to come up.

1	MS. EBBERT: Oh, okay.
2	THE CLERK: Do you swear or affirm to tell the
3	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
4	MS. EBBERT: I certainly do.
5	THE CLERK: Thank you.
6	MS. EBBERT: How quickly we forget. Five

MS. EBBERT: How quickly we forget. Five years ago this was a huge issue. I attended meetings at the country club, standing room only.

And how quickly we forget also that the country club was really an albatross around the neck of the city that had been shuttered for years, opened briefly and then shuttered again.

And how fortunate we are -- we were that Nick
Di Donato -- as I recall his name was -- had a son
that was at the University of Miami, owned this
Canadian entertainment company -- I think it was
Liberty Entertainment -- and came to Miami and came
to Coral Gables and saw this country club. And if
I recall correctly, he was the only business that
came to the city with a plan.

And there were numerous meetings at the country club -- also standing-room only -- at the commission meetings. And my objection here today is the improper notice about this meeting.

If my daughter-in-law had not stubbed her toe

1	on Tuesday and asked me to pick up my grandson at
2	St. Philips, and if we had not gone for half-priced
3	gelato, and walking up the path there was this
4	little sign that was all curled, and Tyler said to
5	me, "What is that?"
6	And I looked at it and it said that there was
7	a notice of this meeting. It only gave the date.
8	It didn't tell the time. It didn't tell the
9	location.
10	So I got in touch with some of my friends that
11	were on this board, and I said, "What is this
12	about?"
13	And I don't know what the correct answer is,
14	but I feel that you are only hearing from the
15	people that were noticed about this meeting, which
16	was probably within what, 500 feet?
17	MS. SPAIN: 1,000 feet, and it was in the
18	newspaper.
19	MS. EBBERT: It was in the newspaper? When?
20	MS. SPAIN: All right.
21	MS. EBBERT: You know, both Pinecrest and
22	South Miami do quarter-page notices about important
23	issues.

MS. SPAIN: The city uses the Daily Business

Review. I think every board uses the Daily

24

- Business Review, and it's put in there ten days in advance of the meeting.
- 3 MS. EBBERT: Now tell me --
- 4 MS. SPAIN: And that's what we use.
- MS. EBBERT: You know, I -- you know I'm an informed citizen of the City of Coral Gables. I think that I am. I know that I am. And if -- I'm telling you, if we hadn't gone for gelato on
- 9 Tuesday, I would not be here.
- MS. SPAIN: We do three things. If there's variances involved and if we're designating the property as historic, we send notices 1,000 feet from the perimeter of the property. We did that.
- We post the property. On the sign that we

  put, it has the case number and a phone number to

  call for information.
- MS. EBBERT: Right. And I took a picture of
  it. I mean, because it only said the time. It
  didn't say where and when. I could have called
  you. I knew that I could have called you.
- MS. SPAIN: I don't believe you didn't have my cell phone.
- 23 MS. EBBERT: But do you know what -- I think
  24 -- I think that when this was an issue before, the
  25 entire city got involved.

1	MS. SPAIN: But before the same notice went
2	out before. We've had the same notice requirements
3	for many years.

MS. EBBERT: Well, I think that whatever the answer is, you're only seeing half the people that would like to talk to this. So, thank you.

MS. SLESNICK: Last, but not least, Jeannett Slesnick, 827 North Greenway Drive, Coral Gables. Hello, everybody. Thank you for serving. I appreciate it. I was on the Historic Preservation Board back in the 1970s and '80s, and I'm also a member of the Coral Gables Country Club from '70s up to the '90s, until we joined another club in town.

You all have my husband's letter, and we agree on all the points. I just want to reiterate, too, that I'm very concerned about historic preservation, but I just don't see three front doors needed to be in the front of the country club.

This is a front, grassy-type of area, which all the other yards up and down north and south Greenway have grass out front. They don't need anymore paving stones, and we don't need three front doors.

My husband also said that we are really concerned about parking. Now, Mr. Di Donato should have been there yesterday, because from 11:00 until 2:30 there were cars for two-and-half blocks up the street on North Greenway, past all of our neighbors here.

And two weeks ago there was a seminar there from 8:00 on Saturday morning until around 4:00 in the afternoon, and there were cars up to the Valdes-Fauli house, which is in the middle of the 700 block.

Every night on the weekends we have cars parking out in the front of our house. I love the country club and what has happened there. We eat at the -- we have gelatos there on a regular basis at the cafe, and we appreciate it being there for the neighborhood. There's nothing like having a sandwich shop and ice cream shop in your neighborhood. It really enhances it.

The problem is, when the club overbooks -- and yesterday they had a CHARLEE fundraiser, they had something else where they were having a trunk show. The cars, mixed with the cars of the joggers and the walkers and the golfers and everyone else that comes from there in the daytime to eat, or in the

burger box, and we just have cars up and down the
street.

And there hasn't been a problem so much in the last two years ago, when it first started, but now the economy is getting better and more and more people are having receptions again. I'm happy for the organization.

More and more people are having receptions and weddings, and bigger weddings, and fundraisers there. So the cars and over-parking in the neighborhood is becoming a big situation.

Also, I wanted to point out that we have this door -- and I've taken lots of pictures -- and I can send them to you -- of all the cars coming up and down the street.

There's one thing, if you look at in the diagram they have up here, where the steps are going up into the pool area, that may be very well that somebody can come up those steps and come over to the wall and walk around to the back door. It's only about three feet wide there.

But what I'm saying is that down the line,
that door -- those steps run right into a beautiful
column entrance, that I feel in about another six
months we're going to be back here asking for a

1 building permit to put a front door there.

Can you see from where you are?

I mean, it matches up perfectly to these beautiful columns, which should be an entrance to the bar room, that's no longer a bar. And if I were running a club, as a business owner, I would definitely put doors there and make that a very formal entrance for weddings and receptions, because you have the bar area and that big loggia now, and the dining room there. So you don't have to go park in the back, instead you can park in the front and come in there.

My husband and I walk around a lot, about three times an evening -- a week in the evening, and we see people coming in with wedding gifts and party gifts. And on Mother's Day -- you need to come over on Mother's Day, they're having a wonderful event. But again, cars are going to be three blocks up the street for Mother's Day.

I think my neighbors are starting to approach us about asking the commission to put a ban on parking on North Greenway on the golf course, because it's becoming a parking lot.

And I didn't buy my house in 1985 to have a parking lot in front of my house. I'm a realtor in

Coral Gables. It lowers your property value to have most cars on the parkway. You go into North Gables, where you don't have as many places to park and you have three or four cars on the parkway, it lowers the value for that section of the city.

It's very important to have garages, it's very important to have front yards, it's very important to have green space around you.

And now, on a regular basis, probably two or three times a week, the cars go at least up a block, in front of all of our houses, on the 600 -- the 700 and 800 blocks.

Even in the evenings with the joggers there they don't have enough space because the people are coming to the cafe for drinks and gelatos -- again, which I applaud -- but it's cutting out on the space for the joggers.

But if we allow an entrance on the staircase to let them into this new room and allow people to come in -- when you go to Publix, you don't park way out in the parking lot and walk in. You park as close as you can to the front door of the Publix.

And the same thing is happening here with entrances on that side of the building. So

already, with the second front door of the club going into the building, instead of going into the cafe directly, we are having real problems that this new entrance will create.

So I'm asking you, please, and this may not be pertinent to the specific issues you're addressing, as far as having the steppingstones, but this is just creating -- it's growing a little bit, a little bit, a little bit.

They have an entrance for the cafe, they're going to have an entrance to the club. They're going to have steps into the pool, they will have a door there. I ask you to deny this variance.

MR. SILVA: Thank you. Anyone else from the public wishes to speak to this issue?

If not, I want to declare the public portion concludes and open the floor to board comments.

MS. GUERRERO: Yes. First of all, I'd like to thank the residents that came. I think you've made very poignant presentations that underlined very clearly your opinions.

I think it's interesting, because while I am sympathetic to historic structures and functions having to revisit themselves and adapt to new times and trends, I mean, certainly maybe a country club

a couple years ago, the same amenities would
possibly not be served today. So I'm sympathetic
to that.

But I have to be honest. I have difficulty agreeing with the recommendation of staff because I feel that these -- there are five points that I wrote down: The noise, the garbage, which has to do with the on-site neatness, the parking, the safety, the increased traffic.

And then, you know, the issue with the dumpsters, which goes in with the unsightly fixtures -- unsightly features.

I have difficulty agreeing or recommending going with staff's recommendation if we don't see how the applicant is trying to solve these issues, which I think are, you know, pretty important for the community. I don't even know if that's in our jurisdiction to work on that.

MS. SPAIN: I understand your concern. And I actually went over to the country club to -- because Mr. May had some concerns that I wasn't sure of the answer of, and so I went over there. And there were cars parked along the golf course for at least four houses, I believe, down.

I did call Kevin Kinney, the parking director,

today. It is legal to park on the golf course side. But that's really not what's before you.

What's before you is whether or not pavers or the stairs affects the historic activity. This will have to go before the planning and zoning board for the outside dining, and will have to go before the city commission.

But really what you're here for is whether or not it affects the historic integrity of that structure. So there may well be a parking issue there. But this is whether or not those pavers have historic integrity, and the stairs.

MS. THOMSON: Yes, I know what you're talking about, that you have to get to the heart of the matter. And to me, various applications come to us from time to time and we spend a lot of time on the landscaping of the particular structures.

And to me, this is a landscaping matter, because the country club building -- which is the original one, as you see it today -- because, as you know, when the fire occurred in 1983, that was an addition to it; and so the original structure of the country club remains as it was and has been since 1922, '23.

It's always been open, green space. And to do

1	this, pavers, and the other items they wanted to
2	put out there, umbrellas and whatever, but, you
3	know, the permit things, like pavers and so forth
4	trigger other landscaping modifications.

And you no longer, I think, will see the green space that's always been there since the 1920s.

Truly, this is historic. And I think that is what we really should be concentrating on right now.

Do you want to see a change in the sod, period.

MS. SPAIN: I believe that's the issue,
whether or not that space -- whether or not you put
the stairway and the pavers onto that space,
whether it affects the historic integrity. I
appreciate those comments.

MR. NEWELL: I will try to be as brief as possible. You know, I try to be as accommodating to everyone as possible. We don't normally have this type of turn out. It's nice to see that you all care. You're not here because you're riveting in fear.

And also, although I just moved here a few weeks ago, I did move into the area, (inaudible), I can see the golf courses, that's my new jogging area, so I'm not indifferent in any way.

1	What I feel we have here, in my opinion, is
2	sort of a right church/wrong pew scenario, where
3	you guys have a quality of life argument. You
4	really don't have the historic preservation
5	argument. I've been waiting for one of you to say
6	something to tie it in.

And it might be I can't see the pavers -- I think you're paving, roughly, one-third or one-half of the south lawn. And we're talking about green space. We have a golf course across the street. There's a lot of green space in that neighborhood.

But what you're really getting at are exceptionalities. And following up on that point is, where do you draw the line? I don't think that's sort of in our purview. That is, what if the club decided to cut membership fee in half, or decided to give away free ice cream on George and Mary's birthday, or decided to let people join the gym at half price?

That is going to be a huge surge in demand.

That's going to be a huge draw to the club. That's going to bring a lot of people in that area,

parking on the swale, parking on the golf course;

more so than pavers would.

So would it be proper for this board to impose

price controls on the club because, well, there may
be some overflow and somehow in a tenuous way that
is going to affect the historic nature of the
neighborhood.

I don't think -- foot traffic and auto traffic, I think the link between that and historic preservation is non-nonexistent.

I think there are a lot of very historic sites. I think there is -- you have the Alamo, you have the Grand Canyon, you have the Eiffel Tower, you name it, they all attract people, they all want people to be there. There's a lot of foot traffic in and out of these places. It doesn't affect the historic because it's temporary.

So what I'm trying to get at here is I think you really do have an argument, I really do. I think every one of you should show up at the commission meeting. I think maybe you should go to the Z & H board, P & Z meetings, because I think then -- I think your argument has merit. I just don't think it has any here. That's the problem.

It would be wrong of me, I think, since -people, I do, I mean this sincerely, neighbors, I
think it would be wrong, to me. I'm always the one
preaching about staying within our jurisdiction

1 here.

To somehow start imposing these restrictions

based on what could happen to the property value of

the neighbors is too weak of a link to me. So

unless someone can demonstrate how the pavers

themselves affect the historical integrity of the

building, then I really don't see how this board

can stop it from going forth.

MS. EBBERT: Point of order?

MR. NEWELL: Sure.

MS. EBBERT: I did say that there were more -- that there was only one original door to the country club. And I have lived in this area since 1962 -- '72, and I know there's only been one door in the front of the country club on North Greenway.

Now we got two doors in the proposal. So, to me, that is -- I mean, what house would have three doors? Also, the front of the country club has a green space, it has a front yard, and it already has one sidewalk and another sidewalk. So I think this needs -- historically, there has never been building construction of the front yard of the country club.

And pavers, to me, if you go to the building

- department, they will cite you for putting pavers 1 down in any residential area without a permit. And 2 those should be happening in our front yard or the 3 front yard of the country club. 4 MR. SILVA: Thank you. 5 MR. NEWELL: I did hear you say that. I 6 appreciate that. 7 MS. EBBERT: Okay. 8 MR. NEWELL: Does the extra door or two extra 9 10 doors --MS. EBBERT: Three doors. 11 MR. NEWELL: -- or three doors affect the 12 historic integrity of the building? I don't think 13 so. You may think so. I understand that. I 14 appreciate that. I really don't. 15 And that's also -- I mean, I'm sure this has 16 to go before the board of architects. 17 MS. SPAIN: It has already. 18 MR. NEWELL: It has already? 19 MS. SPAIN: Everything you see has already 20 been approved by the board of architects. 21 MS. EBBERT: I served on the Historic 22
- MS. EBBERT: I served on the Historic

  Preservation Board when we had the fire at the

  club, and we were very concerned about access to

  the front of that, it was all rebuilt.

1	And closing the pool area and having people
2	come in through the back, through the garden room,
3	because at that time the garden room which is
4	that level right where they're planning on putting
5	the steps was a bar area, and people didn't want
6	access to a bar from North Greenway into that room.
7	So the Historic Preservation Board was very
8	involved in the reconstruction of the country club
9	after the fire.
LO	MR. NEWELL: Are we adding doors to this plan?
11	MS. SPAIN: Pardon me?
L2	MR. NEWELL: Are we adding doors to this plan?
L3	I didn't see doors on the plan
L4	MS. SPAIN: No. I think she's talking about
L5	the stairs. There's no other entries.
L6	MS. EBBERT: The stairs, which is another
L7	entrance access, entrance. So it doesn't matter
L8	whether there's a door straight across from it or
L9	around on the back side where people come in
20	through the bar now, but that's another entrance to
21	the bar.

MR. NEWELL: I take it under advisement. My
colleagues might agree. I don't. And again, I
would encourage you to take this matter down to
the club -- or to the other boards, and especially

the commission, because I don't think your 1 argument's without merit. I just think that --2 again, I think you're fighting this battle on the 3 wrong front today. This may be a 4 throw-it-at-the-wall, see-if-it-sticks kind of 5 thing. 6 MS. EBBERT: It's just like the Merrick house. 7 There's one double French door in front of the 8 9 Merrick house, where the steps come up and George 10 Merrick built that house. MR. NEWELL: I understand. 11 That's a nice front door. 12 MS. EBBERT: doesn't have three front doors. You have to go 13 around to the side of the house to get into the 14 dining room. 15 MR. NEWELL: I understand, but we're talking 16 about a walkway. So I'll take it under advisement. 17 18 MS. HARPER: I have a question. MR. SILVA: The public hearing is closed. 19 MS. HARPER: We're talking about houses. 20 Three doors is wrong. But I've tried to get 21

MR. NEWELL: I would be the first one fighting

because it's not historic.

22

23

24

windows changed, and that's not allowed. I can't

change the styles of the windows in my house

- on your behalf, trust me on that.
- MS. HARPER: It's the same thing. How is that
- 3 any different?
- 4 MS. SPAIN: I just would like to point out we
- 5 will be ordering a transcript of this meeting and
- it will be forwarded to the planning department; so
- 7 it will become part of that record, just in case
- they're unable to go to the planning department.
- 9 MR. SILVA: Ms. Rolando?
- 10 MS. ROLANDO: Yes. Tony, thank you for making
- the distinction between the lifestyle issues and
- the historic preservation issues.
- We clearly have an issue with parking relating
- 14 to the club. I'm a big fan of the Liberty Cafe. I
- 15 walk there. I don't drive there. And I live on
- 16 Alhambra Circle. I'm very cognizant of the traffic
- issues and parking issues, too.
- I do not see how we can say no to the pavers.
- I don't think they're permanent. I don't think
- 20 they're historic. And I don't have -- I -- I don't
- 21 have a problem legally, aesthetically, historically
- 22 with the pavers.
- I do have a problem with the stairs, because
- they are blocking one of the Palladian windows and
- I believe it interferes with the facade of the --

- 1 the original facade of the country club.
- 2 We have previously on this board approved the
- 3 addition of a second door. It was already a window
- 4 with a very --
- 5 MS. SPAIN: Yes.
- 6 MS. ROLANDO: -- short sill, and we approved
- 7 that, and that was it. I am not in favor of the
- 8 stairs because of the aesthetics and the
- 9 appearance.
- 10 I'm also sensitive to the issue from the
- 11 surrounding neighborhood that it will draw traffic.
- I get that. But that's not my -- the basis for my
- objection to this staircase. And I don't think
- it -- I don't think it lines up right, even if it
- 15 were -- if I --
- 16 MS. SPAIN: It went multiple times to the
- board of architects, and I had those same concerns.
- MS. ROLANDO: I think it's inappropriate, and
- I don't like -- I don't think it's -- they've done
- anything to attempt to minimize the effect on the
- 21 facade. So if -- if -- when we vote, I would like
- 22 to bifurcate the vote on the stairs from that on
- the pavers.
- MR. SILVA: Thank you.
- 25 MS. MACINTYRE: I agree with you on the vote

on the stairway. I think that's going to impact
the parking on Greenway. I don't have a problem
with the pavers and the very minimal outdoor eating
facility. You know, they're getting ready to do a
major change on Miracle Mile to make more street
cafes.

And there's a parking problem anywhere in Coral Gables, and that happens to be because our population keeps growing, we've become more desirable. So it's an issue that we're all dealing with everywhere.

I sympathize with the neighbors because I know that the larger events do cause problems, and I don't know what the solution is to that. But again, that is not our problem, for this board.

MS. PRUITT: I remember when, I don't know, five years ago maybe, when Mr. Di Donato wanted to put tables outside the Liberty Cafe, and that was turned down.

So I'm confused about having the pavers out there because -- and then he said that he was going to have six tables? -- six tables, and I thought we had already said there would be no tables.

MS. SPAIN: Actually, the Historic

Preservation Board recommended in favor of the 2009

1	alterations, and that was a much larger area, and
2	staff at that time recommended in favor of it also
3	MS. THOMSON: It was not unanimous.
4	MS. SPAIN: The planning board recommended in
5	favor of that. The Historic Preservation Board
6	voted five/one, and the planning board voted
7	five/two. And it went to the commission and they
8	denied it. So there's an ordinance in place that
9	prohibits outside dining.
10	And what they're trying to do is go through
11	the process, get to the commission and ask that
12	they be allowed to do that. They have a right to
13	request that the ordinance be changed.
14	MS. PRUITT: Okay.
15	MR. SILVA: Any other board comments?
16	MS. GUERRERO: I have a question. Why are we
17	seeking this before that ordinance changes?
18	MS. SPAIN: Because we're part of the process
19	It goes to the board of architects, it goes to the
20	Historic Preservation Board, it goes to the
21	planning and zoning board, it goes to the
22	commission.
23	MR. DAMIAN: You know, I do have a point of
24	order. And I'm not here to re-argue anything

that's been discussed. That's not entirely true,

Dona. And to answer you, Mr. Newell, the procedure that we're here on today, you're sitting as a board of adjustment that's been taken away from the normal board of adjustment because historic now gets all of those kind of issues.

You're sitting as a board of adjustment.

They're asking you for a variance. They're not coming in like any other applicant that says, here's what we want to do, here's the improvements we want to make that you're allowed to make, and we want your approval because they're changing a historic building, no.

They're seeking an adjustment. They're not allowed to do this. And they want you to give them a variance from the zoning code that exists that says, disregard the zoning code as it is, allow us to do this.

That's why I went to the criteria; that eight criteria, they must meet all eight of them. They clearly don't. That's the only point I want to make. I won't argue any other issues.

MR. SILVA: I kind of agree with some of the statements all of you've made. It's clearly an issue with the country club. This is not necessarily the forum to address issues like

parking. However, looking at it strictly within our purview historic, I, like Ms. Rolando, have an issue that was stated coming from the pool deck.

I do believe that it does impact the facade of that existing building. Your functional issue of having people come in through the pool deck, looking at the plans -- and I can only go by what's been submitted -- but it seems like there's a very simple solution to come from the other side.

If you want people coming into the pool deck, it's not the most elegant entrance in the world, I understand, but it's a functional solution and it doesn't impact the historic nature of the building.

So, on the issue of the stairs, I agree 100 percent with Peggy.

On the issue of the pavers, yes, historically, that was a lawn. But by installing pavers, I believe it will continue to read as a lawn. It will still read as an open space, even with tables and umbrellas, which are there now, by the way, probably in violation of the existing ordinance. But that's another matter as well.

In regards to the setback requests, though, the one from Greenway that makes sense to me was an existing encroachment. The pool goes to zero along

- 1 Greenway, so I don't have a problem with that one.
- The one from Granada seems a little arbitrary
  to me. It seems like it's coming in those extra
  four feet and being in compliance so that you
  wouldn't lose much, and I really don't see a
  justification for that one.
- So I would argue to not approve that four-foot variance from Granada.
- 9 I'm okay with the one on the Greenway.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And then, in general, I'm a little

uncomfortable with kind of the piecemeal nature of

the process. You know, a couple of months -- or

however long ago it was -- they approached the

board to ask for that walkway, the additional

entrance.

Now they're coming back, perfectly within their right, to ask for the pavers, the stairway. But as Ms. Slesnick pointed out, it kind of begs the question of what's next, right? Are there going to be doors? You know, I would really like to see kind of a holistic approach.

I understand the applicant has the right to do it this way, but I'm a little uncomfortable proceeding this way.

25 And again, the whole issue of going back to

1	something that's already been rejected by the city
2	commission, (inaudible), that's another forum. So
3	that's not part of this. So those are kind of my
4	thoughts on that.
5	The other thing they're asking for, the
6	reduction in green space and landscaping, I'm okay
7	with, more or less. Again, the club is not
8	compliant now. It's actually at just by my
9	rough calculations, 19.6 percent, and they're
LO	asking to go to 19.4 percent. So I don't see a
L1	huge issue here.
L2	So with that being said, are there any other
L3	board comments?
L4	MS. SPAIN: You are saying to just take this
L5	back? Right?
L6	MR. SILVA: That's my personal thoughts.
L7	MS. SPAIN: This, on this side?
18	MR. SILVA: Right. So I'll open the table to
19	a motion. Do you have anyone offer to have a
20	motion?
21	MS. ROLANDO: Dona, may I ask you, with regard
22	to the special certificate for appropriateness,
23	would we would it be appropriate to divide it

between the pavers and the stairs?

MS. SPAIN: Sure. Yes, you can have two votes

24

- on that. If you're not comfortable with the
- 2 stairs, you can make a motion to...
- MS. ROLANDO: I would move that we deny a
- 4 certificate of appropriateness for the stairs to
- 5 the pool deck depicted on the plans.
- 6 MS. MACINTYRE: Second.
- 7 MR. SILVA: Can we call the roll?
- 8 THE CLERK: Mr. Newell?
- 9 MR. NEWELL: No.
- 10 THE CLERK: Ms. Pruitt?
- MS. ROLANDO: The motion was to deny.
- MR. NEWELL: So I'm saying "no" to denial.
- MS. PRUITT: Deny, I say yes.
- 14 THE CLERK: Ms. Guerrero?
- MS. GUERRERO: Yes.
- 16 THE CLERK: Mr. Parsley?
- 17 MR. PARSLEY: Abstain.
- 18 THE CLERK: Mr. -- I'm sorry. Ms. Rolando?
- MS. ROLANDO: Yes.
- 20 THE CLERK: Mayor Thomson?
- MS. THOMSON: Yes.
- 22 THE CLERK: Ms. MacIntyre?
- MS. MACINTYRE: Yes.
- MS. THOMSON: Can we ask about the abstention?
- 25 THE CLERK: Mr. Silva?

MR. SILVA: Yes. 1 MR. PARSLEY: I should -- let me clarify. I'm 2 a member of the gym there, so I probably should 3 have recused myself. 4 MS. SPAIN: This is a legal question, I don't 5 know the answer to. 6 MR. PARSLEY: The athletic club there, I just 7 joined about three weeks ago, when I was reviewing 8 this, it didn't really -- and I think it is one 9 10 organization, one -- is there one entity or not? The athletic club versus you, as a restaurant, too? 11 MR. DI DONATO: I'm sorry, can I hear that 12 13 again? MS. SPAIN: He's under the impression that you 14 couldn't abstain, but that's a legal question. 15 MS. FIGUEROA: You can't abstain if you're 16 present. You have to vote one way or another. 17 18 you have to recuse yourself and there's a conflict of interest, you could have done that at the 19 beginning. 20 MS. THOMSON: I've also understood that you 21 can abstain, but you have to put a reason in 22 23 writing to the legal office.

MS. SPAIN: Yeah, it was my view that you

can't abstain on voting. In fact, you are required

24

- to vote, unless there is a reason to recuse 1 yourself and it has to be done in advance of a 2 discussion and the meeting. 3 MS. FIGUEROA: That's accurate. 4 MS. SPAIN: But that's a legal question. 5 at this point, I'm not an attorney. That's why we 6 chose the best. 7 MS. FIGUEROA: Right, so that is correct; 8 either you can recuse yourself, and again, just 9 10 state on the record you're stepping out while this discussion goes on or vote. 11 MR. PARSLEY: I don't know the rules of the 12 road here, so I think I'll recuse myself and step 13 out for the rest of the meeting, this portion. 14 MS. SPAIN: Can he do that at this point? 15 MR. PARSLEY: Can I do that? 16 17 MS. FIGUEROA: Yeah. 18 MR. PARSLEY: I think that's more proper. MS. THOMSON: Why can't you abstain? 19 (Board Member Robert Parsley exited meeting.) 20 MR. SILVA: So that motion then passes, and we 21
- 24 MS. ROLANDO: And I move for granting of a -25 or approval of a special certificate of

remaining issues.

open the floor to another motion regarding the

22

appropriateness for the pavers depicted on the 1 plans, subject to whatever variances are approved. 2 MS. SPAIN: I believe it should be a separate 3 vote on the variance -- variances, so you vote --4 MS. ROLANDO: Do we do a separate vote on each 5 one and then go back to the certificate of 6 appropriateness? 7 MS. SPAIN: I think, my view is, is that you 8 can vote -- because all of the variances are for 9 10 the pavers, so I think that you can vote to on the variances, and then have a vote to issue them a 11 C.O., so issue two votes. 12 MR. SILVA: Do you want to amend your motion 13 then? 14 MS. ROLANDO: I withdraw the motion, and then 15 we'll -- I'll move for the granting of the first 16 variance to allow the keystone pavers to have the 17 18 reduced setback. MR. SILVA: On North Greenway. 19 MS. ROLANDO: Excuse me. On North Greenway. 20 MR. SILVA: Do we have a second? 21 MS. MACINTYRE: I'll second. 22 23 THE CLERK: Who said the second?

MS. MACINTYRE: I'll second.

MR. SILVA: Call the roll, please.

24

- THE CLERK: Ms. Pruitt? 1 MS. PRUITT: Yes. 2 THE CLERK: Ms. Guerrero? 3 MS. GUERRERO: Yes. 4 THE CLERK: Mr. Parsley is not here. 5 Ms. Rolando? 6 MS. ROLANDO: Yes. 7 THE CLERK: Mayor Thomson? 8 9 MS. THOMSON: I will be consistent with my September 15th, 2009 vote, and I will vote "no" on 10 this board. 11 THE CLERK: Ms. MacIntyre? 12 MS. MACINTYRE: 13 Yes. THE CLERK: Mr. Newell? 14 15 MR. NEWELL: Yes. THE CLERK: Mr. Silva? 16 MR. SILVA: Yes. 17 18 MS. ROLANDO: Moving on to the next variance. I move to grant the variance to allow the keystone 19 pavers to reduce the setback required along Granada 20 Boulevard from 46.9 -- 46 feet 9 inches from the 21 required setback of 50 feet. 22 23 MR. SILVA: Do we have a second?
- MS. THOMSON: Clarify that, please.

MR. NEWELL: I'll second.

MS. ROLANDO: Here's the motion. It's the 1 2 second one. MS. THOMSON: Yeah, I see it. 3 MS. ROLANDO: Okay. 4 THE CLERK: Roll call? 5 MS. THOMSON: All right. I'm asking a 6 question. These pavers on the Granada side, we 7 spoke to that before? 8 9 MS. ROLANDO: This was the --10 MS. SPAIN: These are these pavers here. We need to fix the computer -- or we need to 11 know your password. 12 They're referring to the same pavers. It's 13 just that those same pavers require a setback for 14 Granada. 15 MS. THOMSON: They are going to be on Granada 16 Boulevard? 17 18 MS. SPAIN: These pavers require setback. This portion of the building here is already in the 19 setback. 20 MS. ROLANDO: And can you point out what the 21 current setback would be? It looks as if it's 22 23 about three feet, they extend three feet into the paver -- into the setback?

MR. SILVA: It's on that first sheet. It's

24

1 very small. MS. SPAIN: They're asking 46-9 instead of 50. 2 MS. ROLANDO: So they're extending into it. 3 MS. SPAIN: That's the old one. 4 5 MS. KAUTZ: Here's the corner of the building. That's the setback line. 6 MS. ROLANDO: How wide is this strip of the 7 pavers? What's the scale here? 8 9 MR. SILVA: There's a dimension on there. 10 It's -- they're usually two-by-twos, probably --MS. ROLANDO: Okay. Right. 11 MR. SILVA: -- it looks like, by that scale. 12 MS. ROLANDO: Because they don't line up 13 exactly with the building either. 14 15 MR. SILVA: Right. MS. ROLANDO: So they're a little awkward. 16 MS. KAUTZ: It's almost three. 17 MS. SPAIN: Almost three is what it is. 18 MS. ROLANDO: Well, if you look at the 19 setback, where it's 4 feet 1 inch setback, it's 20 slightly less than that; so it would be the 21 equivalent about two lines of pavers that extend 22 23 into this setback.

MS. KAUTZ: They would go around like this

24

25

way.

- MS. ROLANDO: Okay. Uh-huh. 1 MS. THOMSON: Okay. 2 THE CLERK: Roll call. 3 MR. SILVA: Roll call, sorry. 4 THE CLERK: Ms. Guerrero? 5 MS. GUERRERO: Yes. 6 THE CLERK: Ms. Rolando? 7 MS. ROLANDO: Yes. 8 9 THE CLERK: Mayor Thomson? 10 MS. THOMSON: No. THE CLERK: Mr. Newell? 11 MR. NEWELL: Yes. 12 THE CLERK: Ms. MacIntyre? 13 MS. MACINTYRE: Yes. 14 THE CLERK: Ms. Pruitt? 15 MS. PRUITT: Yes. 16 THE CLERK: Mr. Silva? 17

MR. SILVA:

19 Motion still passes. We have five affirmative

No.

20 votes?

- MS. SPAIN: Yes.
- 22 THE CLERK: Yes.
- MR. SILVA: Motion passes.
- MS. ROLANDO: And then the final motion is to
- grant a variance to allow the property to provide,

- 1 approximately, 19.4 percent landscape open space
- 2 versus open space of not less than 35 percent of
- 3 the building area.
- 4 MR. SILVA: Do we have a second?
- 5 MR. NEWELL: I'll second.
- 6 MR. SILVA: Will you call the roll, please.
- 7 THE CLERK: Mayor Thomson?
- 8 MS. THOMSON: No.
- 9 THE CLERK: Mr. Newell?
- 10 MR. NEWELL: Yes.
- 11 THE CLERK: Ms. Rolando?
- MS. ROLANDO: Yes.
- 13 THE CLERK: MacIntyre?
- MS. MACINTYRE: Yes.
- THE CLERK: Ms. Pruitt?
- MS. PRUITT: Yes.
- 17 THE CLERK: Ms. Guerrero?
- MS. GUERRERO: No.
- 19 THE CLERK: Mr. Silva?
- 20 MR. SILVA: Yes.
- 21 THE CLERK: Five to two.
- MR. SILVA: Five to two, the motion passes.
- I think we still need one for the certificate
- of appropriateness.
- MS. ROLANDO: I move for approval of the

- 1 special certificate of appropriateness for the
- 2 pavers --
- 3 MR. SILVA: Do we have a second?
- 4 MS. ROLANDO: -- as depicted on the plans.
- 5 MR. SILVA: Sorry. Do we have a second?
- 6 MR. NEWELL: I'll second.
- 7 MR. SILVA: Call the roll, please.
- 8 THE CLERK: Mr. Newell?
- 9 MR. NEWELL: Yes.
- 10 THE CLERK: Ms. MacIntyre?
- MS. MACINTYRE: Yes.
- 12 THE CLERK: Ms. Pruitt?
- MS. PRUITT: Yes.
- 14 THE CLERK: Ms. Guerrero?
- MS. GUERRERO: Yes.
- 16 THE CLERK: Ms. Rolando?
- 17 MS. ROLANDO: Yes.
- 18 THE CLERK: Mayor Thomson?
- MS. THOMSON: No.
- 20 THE CLERK: Mr. Silva?
- 21 MR. SILVA: Yes.
- MR. SILVA: I think the motion passes as well.
- MS. SPAIN: Thank you.
- MR. SILVA: Thank you, all.
- 25 MS. THOMSON: Mr. Chairman? For personal

1	reasons, I need to take leave at this point of the
2	meeting. I'm sorry. I have personal reasons to do
3	so; not because of the vote, just to clarify that.
4	MR. SILVA: Thank you, Ms. Thomson.
5	Moving along on the agenda, the next item
6	(Agenda item concluded at 5:41 p.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF FLORIDA ) COUNTY OF DADE )
3	I, Glenda M. Powers, Registered Professional
4	Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public
5	in and for the State of Florida at large, certify that I
6	was authorized to and did report said proceedings in
7	stenotype; and that the foregoing pages, numbered from
8	1 to 83, inclusive, are a true and correct transcription
9	of my shorthand notes of said proceedings.
10	I further certify that said proceedings were taken
11	at the time and place hereinabove set forth and that
12	taking of said proceedings was commenced and completed
13	as hereinabove set out.
14	I further certify that I am not a relative or
15	employee of any attorney or counsel of party connected
16	with this action, nor am I financially interested in the
17	action.
18	The foregoing certification of this transcript does
19	not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means
20	unless under the direct control and/or direction of the
21	certifying reporter.
22	Dated this 22nd day of May, 2014.
23	
24	Glenda M. Powers, RPR, CRR, FPR
25	