CITY OF CORAL GABLES HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD MEETING VIA VIDEO CONFERENCE MAY 19, 2021

PARTICIPANTS:

Albert Menendez, Chairperson Bruce Ehrenhaft, Board Member Alicia Bache-Wiig, Board Member Xavier Durana, Board Member Raul R. Rodriguez, Board Member Cesar Garcia-Pons, Board Member Dona Spain, Board Member Peggy Rolando, Board Member

Kara N. Kautz, Historic Preservation ElizaBeth B. Guin, Historic Preservation Warren Adams, Historic Preservation Gustavo Ceballos, Assistant City Attorney Page 2 MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. Let's call the meeting 2 to order. Good afternoon. Welcome to the regularly 3 scheduled meeting of the City of Coral Gables Historic Preservation Board. We are residents of 5 Coral Gables and are charged with the preservation 6 7 and protection of historic architecturally worthy 8 buildings, structures, sites, neighborhoods, and artifacts which impart a distinct historical heritage of the city. 10 11 The board is comprised of nine members, seven 12 of whom are appointed by the commission, one by the 13 city manager, and the ninth is selected by the board and confirmed by the commission. 14 Five members of the board constitute a quorum 15 16 and five affirmative votes are necessary for the adoption of any motion. 17 Lobbyist registration and disclose; any person 18 who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of 19 20 Coral Gables Ordinance 2006-11 must register with 21 the city clerk prior to engaging in lobbying 22 activities or presentations before city staff, boards, committees, and/or city commission. A copy 23 of the ordinance is available in the office of the 24 25 city clerk.

Failure to register and provide proof of
registration shall prohibit your ability to present
to the Historic Preservation Board on applications
under consideration this afternoon.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Lobbyist is defined as an individual, corporation, or principal, partnership, or other legal entity employed or retained, whether paid or not, by a principal who seeks to encourage the approval, disapproval, adoption, repeal, passage, defeat, or modification of any ordinance, resolution, action, or any decision of any city commissioner; any action, decision, recommendation of the city manager, any city board or committee, including but not limited to, quasi-judicial advisory board, trust, authority, or counsel, or any action, decision, or recommendation of city personnel during the time period of the entire decision-making process on the action, decision, or recommendation which foreseeably will be heard or reviewed by the city commission or a city board or committee, including but not limited to, quasi-judicial advisory board, trusts, authority, or counsel.

Presentations made to this board are subject to the city's false claims ordinance Chapter 39 of

Page 4	
1	the Coral Gables city code.
2	I now officially call the City of Coral Gables
3	Historic Preservation meeting of May 19th, 2021 to
4	order.
5	The time is 4:12 p.m. Present today are Dona
6	Spain, Raul Rodriguez, Alicia Bache-Wiig, Bruce
7	Ehrenhaft, Xavier Durana, myself, Albert Menendez.
8	Who do we have via Zoom?
9	MS. SPAIN: You have Peggy.
10	MR. MENENDEZ: Oh, Peggy Rolando is here as
11	well. And I believe we have Cesar Garcia-Pons on
12	Zoom.
13	MR. GARCIA-PONS: I am.
14	MR. MENENDEZ: Correct?
15	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes, sir.
16	MR. MENENDEZ: First item, approval of the
17	minutes. The next item on the agenda is the
18	approval of the minutes of the meeting held on
19	April 1st April 21st, 2021.
20	Are there any changes or corrections? None?
21	MR. EHRENHAFT: None.
22	MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. Do I have a motion to
23	approve?
24	MR. EHRENHAFT: So moved.
25	MS. BACHE-WIIG: I second the motion.

Page 5 MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. A first from 1 2 Mr. Ehrenhaft, the second from Ms. Bache-Wiig. 3 Can you read the role? 4 THE CLERK: Can you hear me? 5 MR. MENENDEZ: Uh-huh. THE CLERK: Okay. Mr. Garcia-Pons? 6 7 Is he on Zoom? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. 9 THE CLERK: Ms. Bache-Wiig? 10 MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. 11 THE CLERK: Mr. Durana? 12 MR. DURANA: Yes. 13 THE CLERK: Mr. Ehrenhaft? 14 MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. 15 THE CLERK: Mr. Menendez? 16 MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. 17 THE CLERK: Mr. Rodriguez? 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 19 THE CLERK: Ms. Spain? 2.0 MS. SPAIN: Yes. 21 THE CLERK: Ms. Rolando? 22 MS. ROLANDO: Yes. 23 THE CLERK: So moved. 24 MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. Notice regarding 25 ex parte communications; please be advised that

Page 6	
1	this board is a quasi-judicial board and the items
2	on the agenda are quasi-judicial in nature which
3	requires board members to disclose all ex parte
4	communications.
5	An ex parte communication is defined as any
6	contact, communication, conversation,
7	correspondence, memorandum, or other written or
8	verbal communication that takes place outside a
9	public hearing between a member of the public and a
10	member of a quasi-judicial board regarding matters
11	to be heard by the quasi-judicial board.
12	If anyone has made any contact with a board
13	member when the issue comes before the board, the
14	member must state on the record the existence of
15	the ex parte communication, the party who
16	originated the communication, and whether the
17	communication will affect the board member's
18	ability to impartially consider the evidence to be
19	presented regarding the matter.
20	Does any board member have any such
21	communication to disclose?
22	MR. EHRENHAFT: I have none.
23	MR. MENENDEZ: Deferrals; we don't have any
24	deferrals today.
25	THE CLERK: No.

Page 7 MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. Swearing in. If any 1 2 persons in the audience will be testifying today -oh, after each -- okay. We'll do it that way. 3 Okay. So the first item on --4 MR. ADAMS: Chair, just two very quick things. 5 We have had a request for an excused absence from 6 7 John Fullerton. 8 MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. 9 MS. SPAIN: I'll move it. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Seconded. 10 THE CLERK: Who seconded? 11 12 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I did. 13 MR. MENENDEZ: Mr. Rodriguez. 14 THE CLERK: Mr. Menendez? 15 MR. MENENDEZ: Yes. 16 THE CLERK: Mr. Ehrenhaft? MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. 17 THE CLERK: Mr. Rodriguez? 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 19 20 THE CLERK: Ms. Rolando? 21 MS. ROLANDO: Yes. 22 THE CLERK: Ms. Bache-Wiig. 23 MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. 24 THE CLERK: Ms. Spain? 25 MS. SPAIN: Yes.

Page 8
1 THE CLERK: Mr. Durana?
2 MR. DURANA: Yes.
3 THE CLERK: (Inaudible.)
4 MS. KAUTZ: Warren? I'm sorry, this is Kara.
5 We can't hear Nancy call the role. It's going
6 to be important for the court reporter to hear
7 that. So she needs to speak into a microphone.
8 THE CLERK: Can you hear me now?
9 MS. KAUTZ: Yes.
10 THE CLERK: Okay. Mr. Garcia-Pons? I did not
11 get an answer from him.
12 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes.
13 THE CLERK: Thank you.
14 MR. ADAMS: And just very briefly regarding
15 the upcoming board appointments, the city clerk is
16 here just to speak for a couple of minutes on these
17 upcoming appointments. And I believe they will be
18 scheduled for the May 25th city commission so
19 MR. URQUIA: Good afternoon, everyone. As
20 Mr. Adams said, the board appointments will be made
21 by the city commission during the May 25th city
22 commission. Any vacancies that remain, the board
23 members who are currently appointed will continue
24 to serve until a replacement is appointed.
25 So I'm not sure if there's a board as a whole

appointment or a commission as a whole appointment.

2 Those will be done at a later time. So if someone

is the commission as a whole appointment, or the

board is a whole appointment, you will continue to

5 serve until a replacement is appointed. Okay.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

June 1st you will receive financial disclosure from the city. Financial disclosure is similar to your taxes; you do it for the previous year. So please remember that when you get financial disclosure, it's for 2020.

With that said, if you are no longer on the board come June or come July when a replacement is appointed and you receive financial disclosure from our office next year, and you call us and you say, "I haven't served in over a year, why are you sending me this," know that it's for the first five months of this year that you served you will be asked to complete financial disclosure next June. Okay?

I know you're going to forget about it from now until next June, but nonetheless...

All of you, thank you for your service.

Like I said, appointments will be made by the individual commissioners at the May 25th city commission meeting, and then further on the board

Page 10	
1	as a whole appointment and the commission as a
2	whole appointment.
3	Any questions for us?
4	All right. Thank you very much.
5	MS. SPAIN: Billy, someone should send the
6	commission that portion of the zoning code that
7	lists the professions that are required because
8	they get confused.
9	MR. URQUIA: So right now we're going through
10	each appointment for the specific board that have
11	requirements. This is not the only one. But, yes,
12	so we're making sure that those professions are
13	being checked off.
14	MS. SPAIN: Okay. They just need to know that
15	if they exist, because otherwise it may slip
16	through the cracks and then they have three
17	appointments as a commission as a whole.
18	MR. URQUIA: Yes.
19	MS. SPAIN: Thanks.
20	MR. URQUIA: Thank you.
21	MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. So the first case is
22	Case file LHD 2019-010. Consideration of the
23	historic designation of the property at 500 Majorca
24	Avenue, legally described as Lot 12, Block 15,
25	Coral Gables Section B according to the plat

thereof as recorded in Plat Book 5 at Page 111 of the public records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

MR. ADAMS: Can we play the first PowerPoint, please.

(Video played.)

MS. GUIN: The property at 500 Majorca Avenue is before you for consideration for designation as a local historic landmark. This designation was requested by the owner.

The photo shown here dates to March 1924 as the home was nearing completion. It's the facade facing Hernando Street.

As per Article 8, Section 8-103 of the Coral Gables Zoning Code criteria for designation of historic landmarks; a local historic landmark must have significant character, interest, or value as part of the historical, cultural, archeological, aesthetic, or architectural heritage of the city, state, or nation. For designation a property must meet one of the criteria as outlined in the code.

500 Majorca Avenue is eligible as a historic landmark based on three criteria. Historical cultural significance, criteria four; it exemplifies the historical, cultural, political, economic, or social trends of the community.

Page 12 Architectural significance, criteria one; it 2 portrays the environment in an era of history characterized by one or more distinctive 3 architectural style. And criteria two; it embodies those 5 distinguishing characteristics of an architectural 6 7 style or period or method of construction. 8 500 Majorca Avenue is in the Coral Gables Section Part B which is a residential single-family home neighborhood. It sits on a 50-by-113 foot lot 10 at the southwest corner of Majorca Avenue and 11 Hernando Street which is located north of the 12 Granada Golf Course and one block west of Le Jeune 13 Road. 14 George Merrick founded Coral Gables in the 15 16 early 1920s based on his vision for a fully conceived Mediterranean inspired city. The city's 17 developmental history is divided into three major 18 historical periods. During the initial development 19 20 period architectural design specifically combined 21 elements commonly found in Spanish, Moorish, and Italian architecture, and has come to be known as 22 23 the Mediterranean Revival style. During the 1920s 24 structures and amenities were built almost 25 exclusively in accordance with this style.

The home at 500 Majorca Avenue occurred during this initial phase and was built by one of Merrick's sales team leaders.

Coral Gables was originally conceived as a suburb of Miami and attracted investors across the nation during the South Florida real estate boom of the 1920s. Merrick drew from the Garden City and City Beautiful movements of the 19th and early 20th Century to create his vision for a fully conceived Mediterranean inspired city which is now considered one of the first modern planned communities in the United States.

To create his vision Merrick assembled a development team whose combined expertise shaped the character of his new city and included experts in advertising, legal affairs, sales, business, and professional design staff of artists, architects, landscape architects, and engineers.

Three members of Merrick's original team were involved in the home at 500 Majorca. Fred C. Evans became a team sales leader for George Merrick in late 1922 after leaving his well-established real estate career in Ohio to move to Miami due to family health concerns. Notes indicate that Evans and Merrick had an instant rapport upon meeting.

Page 14 Their professional and personal histories are 2 strikingly similar. 3 Evans's father passed when he was young, and as a teen Evans ran the family farm until his mother's death in 1890. He then moved to Newark, 5 Ohio and built a multiprong real estate career over 6 7 the next 30 years. He became a prominent developer 8 and promoter and founded construction-oriented companies. Most notably, he planned the Evansdale Edition 10 where he subsequently built his own home. Upon his 11 12 arrival in Miami, Evans became a sales crew leader 13 for Merrick's Coral Gables corporation. As early 14 as December 1922 Evans was a promoted speaker at 15 Merrick's sales banquets and other galas. 16 In this sales team photo dated to 1923, Evans is seated in the front row. For the next several 17 18 years until the city's incorporation Evans was cited as invaluable to Merrick in promoting and 19 20 selling lots in Coral Gables. 21 In December 1923 Evans acquired lots in 22 Section B at 430 and 500 Majorca Avenue. 23 indicate he purchased these lots with the intention 24 of building upon them in a show of personal support 25 for Merrick's vision.

Nationally acclaimed landscape architect Frank
Button drew the first comprehensive maps of Coral
Gables in 1921 and 1922, one of which is on the
left side in this slide. The initial construction
in Coral Gables was concentrated in Sections A
through D, which were located near Merrick's
plantation in Section A in the direct vicinity of
the Granada Golf Course.

As you can see from the plat map of Section B on the right, Merrick offered both larger lots near the golf course and smaller lots to the north and east of the course.

Lots in Section B were first offered for sale on December 27th, 1921. Evans purchased two of the smaller lots in 1923. There a right arrow shows the location of 500 Majorca Avenue.

Merrick dedicated numerous portions of Coral Gables for homes that would be affordable by the middle class. He had his architects design finely detailed Mediterranean Revival style homes on 50 and 65-foot wide lots to demonstrate that though smaller, moderately priced homes in Coral Gables would have the same quality of construction and aesthetics as the larger homes. These smaller homes would later be classified as Coral Gables

Page 16 cottages. 2 Both of the homes on Majorca built by Evans 3 can be classified as cottages. You can see from these photos of 500 Majorca it aligns with Merrick's description of them. Notably, a group of 5 three round arches, the middle slightly higher than 6 7 the other two separated by twisted columns so 8 delicate and right that no other decoration is necessary, evenly grouped ventilator holes, and the inconspicuous details such as ironwork, the trim of 10 the chimney, the curve of the garage roof that are 11 12 harmonious styled and architecturally right. 13 In December 1923 Fred Evans purchased the land at 500 Majorca Avenue from Norbert Hugger and by 14 early 1924 had a house under construction on the 15 16 lot. As indicated by this March 24th article, Evans was constructing the homes at 430 and 500 17 Majorca simultaneously. A brief description of the 18 homes is offered. 19 20 A detail of note is that the window frames and 21 the garage doors were blue. It also documents that 22 the landscape architect, Frank Button, a key member 23 of Merrick's design team who drew the first maps of Coral Gables, was landscaping the residence. 24 Permits No. 160 and 161 were issued to 500 and 25

1 430 Majorca Avenue respectively. These permits 2 have not been located to date.

A January 1926 sales ad seen here cites architect H. George Fink as the designer of the home at 430 Majorca Avenue. Fink was a leading member of Merrick's design team. Staff also attributes the design of 500 Majorca to Fink.

Typically in the 1920s permit numbers were filed by the architect. The fact that these homes are issued subsequent permit numbers lends credence to both homes being designed by the same architect.

In addition to the commonalities outlined in the March 1924 article on the previous slide, comparison of historic photos demonstrate the similarity of the prominent sign features of these two homes, most notably the tower in the adjacent shed roof configuration, similar wing wall parapet configurations at the tower, the use of square vent holes, the same pediment and arch design at the main entry, as well as similar garage designs.

This home was designed in the Mediterranean
Revival style and draws heavily from Spanish
precedence. As will be illustrated in the
following slides, the home exhibits numerous
Mediterranean Revival style character defining

Page 18 These include thick cement block walls covered in covered stucco, rectilinear massing and 2 3 floor plan, projecting and recessed planes, a variety of roof types, including a series of low pitched roofs covered in two-piece barrel tile, 5 arched and decorative openings, a prominent and 6 7 distinctive chimney, a squat bell tower, and a 8 molded cornice and sculpted wing walls cascading to the parapet, roof square vents, recessed casement 9 windows of various sizes and configuration with 10 projecting sills, decorative masonry insets, 11 12 balcony with a metal railing, twisted columns with Moorish inspired capitals, wing walls, courtyard 13 wall with an arched opening and spindled gate. 14 The next few slides will show some details of 15 16 the home. Here are the photos of the front facade. The projecting gable roof bay contains the 17 tripartite arched fenestration ensemble with 18 engaged twisted columns and Moorish inspired 19 20 The French door in the middle leads onto capitals. 21 a balcony encircled by a hip height metal railing. 22 Centered above the middle arch is an applied 23 masonry medallion. Extending from both corners are 24 wing walls with the one at the northeast corner 25 seamlessly incorporated into the front entry.

The entry to the home is under a shed roof along Hernando Street as seen here. The photo on the right shows how that wing wall is incorporated into it as well as the entry's gable roof hood feature. Note the incised pediment over the front door.

The hallmark feature of the home is the squat bell tower which rises at the junction of the shed and the flat roofs along the plane of the east facade.

Its hipped roof is perched upon a projecting mulbit (ph) cornice. The exposed wooden framing of the roof is visible from the street level as seen in the photo on the left. The tower's semicircular arched openings spring from a central engaged twisted column with Moorish inspired capitals.

At the southeast and the northwest corners of the tower sculpted wing walls gently cascade down to meet the round capped parapet coping of the adjacent one story.

Also note the incised rectangular panel with the egg-shaped interior pattern which is located between the tower and the first story window ensemble.

Here's a full length photo of the east facade.

Page 20 In this photo you can more clearly see how the tower's wing wall cascades down to the parapet of 2 the flat roof southern portion of the home. 3 Also note the courtyard wall connecting the home and the detached garage. The wall arches over 5 the spindled gate, it meets the wing walls 6 7 cascading from the home and the garage. 8 These photos are of the rear facade with its protruding middle bay. In these photos you can 9 10 clearly see the rounded parapet coping and the 11 texture of the home's stucco. 12 The focal point of the west facade is the 13 square chimney stack near the northwest corner of the home which you can glimpse here behind the 14 The face of the protruding stack 15 vegetation. 16 aligns with the end of the gable roof covering the northern portion of the home. The stack is capped 17 by a barrel tile gable roof. 18 Note the stepping of the parapets on this 19 20 facade, as well as the incised rectangular panel similar but smaller than the one below the tower on 21 22 the east facade. 23 The original detached garage is located at the 24 southeast corner of the property and is accessed by 25 a randomly-shaped coral rock approach.

The one-story one-car garage is under a flat roof with an exceptionally high parapets that accommodate its visibility behind the faux gable roof projection over the vehicular door.

2.2

The front facade is clad in stucco whose texture is similar to the main house. The stucco on the side and the rear facades has considerably less texture. The photo also shows the courtyard between the home and the garage. The tile pavers appear to be original.

As demonstrated by these photos in comparison with other historic photos, the home has retained substantial historic integrity over the past 97 years. There have been no additions or changes to the form or the style of the home.

Alterations of note include the installation of the gable hood over the front entry in 1950 by architect Martin Hauri, the installation of hurricane impact windows and doors in 2019 where care was taken to maintain the original casement and high profile muntin pattern.

And at an unknown date the square vent holes above the windows were enclosed, but they remain clearly visible today. In a 1937 photo you can see them as originally designed.

Page 22 Also at an unknown date the configuration of 2 the vehicular door on the garage was changed and doors and windows were added to its north and south 3 facades. Hence, in conclusion, constructed in 1924 the 5 single family home at 500 Majorca Avenue is amongst 6 7 the earliest homes built in the city. It's an 8 excellent example of the Coral Gables cottage and the Mediterranean Revival style architecture that defined founder George Merrick's vision for the 10 city. The home was built by Fred C. Evans, a sales 11 12 leader for Merrick as an investment in support of his vision. The design of the home is attributed 13 to architect H. George Fink, an original member of 14 Merrick's design team. 15 16 The property at 500 Majorca retains a high degree of architectural integrity and significantly 17 contributes to the historic fabric of the City of 18 Coral Gables, and is part of a collection of 19 20 quality buildings that serve as a visible reminder 21 of the history and the cultural heritage of the 22 city. 23 Staff recommends approval of the local historic designation of the property at 500 Majorca 24 25 Avenue based on its historical, cultural, and

- 1 architectural significance.
- 2 MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. Is there anyone in the
- audience who would like to speak in favor of this
- 4 case?
- 5 MR. ADAMS: I believe the owner of the
- 6 property, Anthony Martinez, is on Zoom. I don't
- 7 know if he wishes to speak or not.
- 8 MR. MARTINEZ: Hi. Can you hear me?
- 9 MR. MENENDEZ: Go ahead.
- 10 MR. MARTINEZ: So I'd just like to say thank
- 11 you for the consideration of this designation. My
- wife and I, we love living in this house and being
- part of the Coral Gables community.
- 14 I'd just like to give special recognition to
- 15 my aunt and uncle, Ana Alvarez and Frank Martinez
- 16 for seeing us through our home's renovation process
- in 2019 and preserving and restoring the
- historically significant features of our home. I
- 19 just wanted to add that in there. So have a great
- 20 day.
- MR. MENENDEZ: Thank you.
- 22 MS. SPAIN: It's a beautiful home. And I'm
- good friends with Ana and Frank. So
- 24 congratulations, and I really appreciate you
- designating it.

Page 24 MR. ADAMS: We have one letter of support. 1 Would you like me to read it into the record? 2 3 MR. MENENDEZ: Go ahead. MR. ADAMS: "On behalf of the Historic 4 5 Preservation Association of Coral Gables, please accept this letter in support of the historic 6 7 designation for 500 Majorca Avenue as recommended by the city's preservation staff and requested by the owner. 9 10 This single family residence was constructed 11 in 1924 and is among the earliest homes built in 12 the city. Designed by H. George Fink, it is an excellent example of the Coral Gables cottage and 13 14 Merrick's signature Mediterranean Revival style. 15 A cottage property must be no more than one story in height, constructed prior to 1940, and a 16 17 frontage no greater than 65 feet. It retains 18 unique historic features with minimal alterations, 19 and its style significantly contributes to the 20 historic fabric of the city. 21 According to the preservation staff's local 22 designation report, the almost 100-year-old property has retained a high degree of historical 23 The Historic Preservation Association 24 integrity. 25 of Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the

Page 26	
1	truth?
2	MR. MARTINEZ: I do.
3	THE COURT REPORTER: Okay.
4	MR. MENENDEZ: Thank you.
5	Okay. Is there anyone in the audience who
6	would like to speak in opposition of this case?
7	Okay. Well, then I will close the public
8	hearing portion and open it up for board discussion
9	or comment.
10	MS. BACHE-WIIG: I'll make a comment.
11	MR. MENENDEZ: Go ahead, Alicia.
12	MS. BACHE-WIIG: I think it's four years I've
13	been on this board. I think this is one of the
14	most beautiful homes that have come up in front of
15	us to designate. I mean, you know, one of the
16	earliest structures. It's such a beautiful example
17	of that early, you know, Mediterranean Revival
18	architecture. And it reminds me of a home that I
19	grew up in from the '20s in North Gables. And I
20	just love that this is coming before us.
21	And it's the landscaping, how it's grown
22	around and in between the home is fantastic. And I
23	just think that all the new homes coming up now
24	wishes it could be as masterful and as balanced and
25	as well composed as this one is. That's it.

Page 27

MR. MENENDEZ: Would anyone else like to

- 2 speak?
- 3 MS. SPAIN: I'm just amazed it's so intact.
- 4 MR. MENENDEZ: Yeah.
- 5 MS. SPAIN: It's amazing.
- 6 MS. BACHE-WIIG: Beautiful.
- 7 MR. EHRENHAFT: I'd just comment, I think it's
- 8 very nice that the staff who wrote the report also
- 9 paid attention to Martin Hauri who worked with Fink
- and the other core group of architects, but he has
- 11 been seen to do additions on houses that were from
- the pre-hurricane period, but did a lot of that
- work in the 1950s. And it's nice to see that he's
- 14 also recognized for that.
- MS. BACHE-WIIG: I have a question. That bell
- tower, is it open on the top, like you can't
- 17 experience that from the house, right? It's just
- 18 open up there?
- 19 MS. SPAIN: Typically it's not open, but I
- don't know about this one.
- MS. BACHE-WIIG: It looks open, like there's
- 22 no --
- MR. MENENDEZ: I think it's lit at night as
- 24 well.
- MS. BACHE-WIIG: It's lit at night.

Page 28	
1	MR. MARTINEZ: It's lit at night, but you have
2	to crawl in, essentially you have to crawl in to
3	access it and to change the light bulb.
4	MS. ROLANDO: Could you repeat that?
5	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I don't think he can hear you,
6	Peggy. You have to speak right up to the
7	microphone.
8	MS. ROLANDO: Could the owner repeat what he
9	just said about access to the tower?
10	MR. MARTINEZ: Sure. So as it stands right
11	now, there's not really direct access to the tower,
12	per se. You have to crawl in through the opening
13	to change the light bulb.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: You have to crawl into it.
15	MS. ROLANDO: Oh, thank you.
16	MR. MENENDEZ: Would anyone like to make a
17	motion?
18	MR. EHRENHAFT: I'd like to move that this
19	house be historically designated locally.
20	MS. ROLANDO: Second.
21	MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. Ms. Rolando seconded it.
22	Could we get a role call, please.
23	THE CLERK: Mr. Menendez?
24	MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
25	THE CLERK: Mr. Ehrenhaft?

Page 29 1 MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes. 2 THE CLERK: Mr. Durana? 3 MR. DURANA: Yes. 4 THE CLERK: Ms. Spain? MS. SPAIN: 5 Yes. 6 THE CLERK: Ms. Bache-Wiig? 7 MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes. 8 THE CLERK: Ms. Rolando? 9 MS. ROLANDO: Yes. THE CLERK: Mr. Garcia-Pons? 10 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes. 11 12 THE CLERK: Mr. Rodriguez? 13 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes. 14 THE CLERK: So moved. 15 MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. Are we ready for the 16 second item? MR. ADAMS: Yes. Can we upload the second 17 PowerPoint, please. 18 MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. This is Case File COA 19 20 (SP) 2021-004, an application for the issuance of a special certificate of appropriateness in 21 22 accordance with Section 8-104(D)2 of the City of 23 Coral Gables Zoning Code for the public 24 right-of-way adjacent to 2810 Ponce de Leon 25 Boulevard known as Fred B. Hartnett Ponce Circle

Page 30 Park, legally described as all of Block 19, Coral Gables Craft Section, according to the plat thereof 2 as recorded in Plat Book 10 at Page 40 of the 3 public records of Miami-Dade County, Florida. The applicant is requesting a recommendation 5 of approval for an amendment to the city plan for 6 7 the alteration of the intersection at Ponce de Leon 8 Boulevard and Palermo Avenue. MR. ADAMS: Okay. An amendment to the Coral 9 Gables Zoning Code to allow for the designation of 10 the city plan as historic was adopted by the city 11 12 commission on April 24th, 2018. The code requires 13 that any material amendments to the city plan shall be reviewed by the Historic Preservation Board who 14 will make a recommendation for a special 15 16 certificate of appropriateness to the city commission. 17 The city commission will ultimately reign over 18 the decision to grant or deny the issuance of the 19 20 special COA. Please note each request for an 21 amendment to the city plan will be reviewed on a 22 case-by-case basis as is the normal process for any 23 certificate of appropriateness application. 24 Approval of one amendment by the Historic 25 Preservation Board will not be used as a precedent

or blanket approval for other applications.

2.2

The application requests a recommendation of approval to the city commission for an amendment to the city plan. The full project consists of the redevelop of Fred B. Hartnett Ponce Circle Park.

The park itself is not historically designated, and the design of the existing park elements or features does not have any historic significance.

The shape of the park and the adjacent public right-of-way within the historically designated city plan should be the focus of the Historic Preservation Board review.

Relevant portions of the project are summarized in the letter of intent. A unique aspect of the project is the park's location and a traffic roundabout boundaried on all sides by vehicular roadways.

One of the main objectives of the project is to improve pedestrian safety and access. As a result, the scope of work extends well beyond the park boundary itself to include the surrounding streets and sidewalk.

The Ponce Circle Park master plan proposes each roadway and streetscape improvements to enhance pedestrian access in addition to park

Page 32 improvements. 2 Given that the city street grid has been designated as historic, the design team made a 3 conscious decision to preserve the geometry of each component, the capsule shape of the park, the 5 U-turns on the north and south, and the triangular 6 7 traffic islands. 8 Although each component has grown in large due to the reduced driving lane width, each has 10 preserved its existing overall shape. 11 The plan also proposes to maintain the 12 sweeping curves of the sidewalk way out, again, to 13 preserve the existing visual geometries as seen from overhead. For example, although the 14 intersection of Palermo Avenue and Ponce de Leon 15 16 Boulevard southbound has been squared off for pedestrian safety, a large radius and sweeping lane 17 of the sidewalks has been preserved. 18 19 The historic preservation board is tasked with 20 considering the appropriateness of the amendment to the city plan while taking into consideration the 21 22 historic integrity of the city plan and the affect 23 of the proposed amendment on the integrity, 24 development, and the public purpose being served by 25 the amendment.

In 1924 city founder George Merrick proposed the concept of work space for art designs and craftsmen and set aside a section of the city south of the business district for this purpose. The 40-block craft section was boundaried by the major thoroughfares of Coral Way and San Sebastian Avenue to its north and south and by Le Jeune Road and Douglas Road to the east and west.

Merrick envisioned either shops with living space above or affordable homes where craftsmen could live in close proximity to the adjacent business district.

At the center of this 40-block district was a large elliptical space set aside as a marketplace for the craftsmen to display their goods.

Unfortunately, due to the economic downturn this section of the city never came to fruition. The grand oval was turned into Ponce Circle Park which was renamed Fred B. Hartnett Ponce Circle Park, and numerous blocks stood empty for decades. It was only in the early 1950s that the area started to substantially developed.

No variances have been requested. The Board of Architects reviewed and approved the overall master plan on April 15th, 2021. The proposal has

Page 34 not yet been scheduled for review by the planning 2 and zoning board. Staff conclusion; the proposed alteration to 3 the intersection of Ponce de Leon Boulevard and Palermo Avenue minimally impacts the overall design 5 intent of the historic city plan, and much of the 6 7 original plan's integrity at this location will be 8 retained. The alteration will improve pedestrian and vehicular safety at this intersection, and the 10 11 proposed plans for the park will be a significant public benefit to city residents. 12 13 If traffic design standards permit, consideration should be given to rounding off the 14 angular appearance of the alteration to provide a 15 16 more curvilinear form which is in keeping with the street pattern at this location. 17 For the remainder of the proposed right-of-way 18 improvements staff finds that they do not disrupt 19 20 or alter the designated street grid in a way that affects the overall integrity of the historic city 21 22 plan. 23 The image on your screen at the moment, I 24 believe it's page 13 of your documents, this 25 actually shows the minor amendments that have

- 1 already been made to the roadways.
- 2 Historical resources preservation staff
- 3 recommends the following: A motion to approve the
- 4 request for a recommendation of approval to the
- 5 city commission for an amendment to the city plan
- 6 for the alteration of the intersection of Ponce de
- 7 Leon Boulevard and Palermo Avenue adjacent to 2810
- 8 Ponce de Leon Boulevard legally described as all of
- 9 Block 19, Coral Gables Craft Section according to
- the plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book 10 at
- 11 Page 40 of the public records of Miami-Dade County,
- 12 Florida, and recommend approval of the issuance of
- a special certificate of appropriateness.
- 14 And I believe Urban Robot, the designers, are
- actually online to discuss the proposal more.
- 16 MR. DECARO: Good afternoon. My name is
- 17 Brandon DeCaro. I'm the city's project manager for
- this very interesting project.
- 19 With me today --
- 20 MR. MENENDEZ: He needs to be sworn in first.
- MS. VELEZ: Me as well probably.
- 22 THE COURT REPORTER: Both of you at the same
- time, that's fine.
- MR. DECARO: Okay.
- 25 THE COURT REPORTER: Do you swear or affirm to

Page 36	
1	tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
2	the truth?
3	MR. DECARO: I do.
4	MS. VELEZ: I do.
5	MR. DECARO: Okay. With me this afternoon is
6	Justine Velez from the firm of Urban Robot. We're
7	very happy to have this opportunity to present this
8	exciting project to the board.
9	Just a little introduction, two years ago
10	just a little over two years ago the city sent out
11	an RFQ for a design team. Urban Robot competed
12	against 11 other firms and was selected by a group
13	of professional staff and community members as the
14	best firm to move forward with this project.
15	The contract was negotiated and the notice to
16	proceed was issued in November of 2019. The
17	project has already been presented to the park's
18	advisory board, the landscape beautification board,
19	and the board of architects approved the master
20	plan in Phase 1.
21	Urban Robot is a local firm. They're located
22	on Miami Beach, and it's been a real pleasure
23	working with them.
24	Now I'd like to introduce Justine Velez.
25	MS. VELEZ: Good afternoon and thank you,

- Brandon. We are thrilled to be your landscape

 architects for Ponce Circle Park.
- I would like to ask quickly, how man
- I would like to ask quickly, how many minutes

 should I assume that I have for the presentation.
- 5 MR. ADAMS: Do you need to be sworn in?
- 6 MS. VELEZ: I was.
- 7 Let's assume maybe ten minutes. So I'll share 8 my screen.
- 9 MR. ADAMS: I think you need to be sworn in.
- 10 MS. VELEZ: I believe I was.
- MR. ADAMS: You were?
- MS. KAUTZ: Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 13 THE COURT REPORTER: She was.
- MS. VELEZ: Great. So as was mentioned in the
 very thorough introduction, the project scope is to
 design the park but also to design the roadways
 around the park. And so I will sort of fly by some
 slides which were prepared for other boards and
 general information in order to remain succinct.

And so this is a site map, a conceptual map
just demonstrating that the park site is within
walking distance of Miracle Mile, and also
demonstrating that we have a burgeoning population
of residential hospitality, you know, visitors, and
commercial uses that is springing up on the south

Page 38 and east side of the site in the plaza on Ponce 2 Development. So this is a neighborhood that's going to get 3 increasing use, and the fact that the current park is difficult to access and unsafe to access for 5 pedestrians crossing the road is one of the major 6 7 reasons why we were brought on board to re-design 8 the park. We began with a survey in 2019 of the community to find out what were the main 10 objectivities of the community and business members 11 12 in the adjacent areas, and the overwhelming feedback was for shade as well as seating and room 13 for a farmer's market. And so these are 14 touchstones throughout the design process. 15 16 And as I mentioned, because it is a unique park sided completely by the roadways, our purview 17 is to -- our scope of work is to address everything 18 around the property including sidewalks and 19 20 roadways to try to improve the pedestrian access. So this pink line is demonstrating that. 21 22 And something that was referred to in the 23 introduction is the fact that the city grid or the 24 city plan has been designated historic, and that's 25 really been one of our other major touchstones of

the project, something that we always go back to over and over again as we're designing the project.

And so this is a great aerial just demonstrating the existing street condition where on the northwest we have this sort of corner here and this kind of radius here, but on the southwest, which is really the main focus of what we're asking you to approve today, we have a very wide radius and creating a difficult crossing situation for pedestrians trying to get to the park, and also in general causing visibility issues and a tendency on the part of vehicular drivers to be focusing on the cars coming and not on the pedestrians trying to cross. So that's something that we'll be getting back to.

These are just general aerial -- excuse me -- general site photos to demonstrate the existing condition of Ponce Circle Park. All of the structures that you see are not disturbed. They've been evaluated to not be historically significant.

This is a diagram just demonstrating what is historically significant and designated in the immediately adjacent area, our site here. As you probably know, this historic building here is being preserved by Agave and Ponce Development.

Page 40 And referring back to something that was 2 already explained, we are in the Crafts District, but the site use has changed quite a bit. 3 So the pink is really showing where the existing curbs or edge of roadway or edge asphalt 5 are today, and what we are proposing to do is to 6 7 narrow the travel lanes. One of the major reasons 8 why we want to narrow the travel lanes is because it's been proven to reduce vehicular speed, and, 9 therefore, improve pedestrian safety and vehicular 10 safety, as the case may be. 11 12 And so what that does is it enlarges the park space as well as the traffic island. So the teal 13 or blue color is showing our proposed roadways. 14 What we have made sure to do is work with the civil 15 16 engineers to not only ensure proper roadway 17 geometry, but also to preserve as much of the 18 geometry of the historically designated street plan 19 as possible, so our ellipse or capsule-shaped park 20 remains the same shape, it just is enlarged. 21 same with the traffic triangle. 22 On this southwest corner plan north -- excuse 23 me -- north is now to the right of the plan. 24 can see here the proposed blue curved line has 25 enlarged the sidewalk. And what that does is it

significantly reduces the crossing distance for

pedestrians from about 30 -- excuse me -- from

about 77 feet to about 30 feet, so it's a lot safer

to cross here. And it will end up looking very

similar to other streetscape corners on the

northwest and the northeast.

Let's see -- sorry, a little glitch there.

So this is just demonstrating the radiuses so that everyone can have them numbered to understand. Basically this radius here, 225, we're measuring this one here, that's existing. This is existing to remain at 216. And we would be proposing to do something similar at 175.

This is a diagram demonstrating the non-historical significance of existing architectural elements in the park, which was already referred to in the introduction, so I'll just slide passed.

I realize that according to the memorandum that was issued, the park design itself is not really being evaluated today, but just quickly, I think it probably makes sense to introduce the board to the design which does preserve this large event space in the center, and really emphasizes shade primarily through two elements, which is an

Page 42 architectural pergola here on the north and tree 2 lined paths around the perimeter allowing a second circulation system that will be very shady, as well 3 as a lot of wonderful seating niches for different group gatherings in the shade also. Very important 5 in Florida. 6 7 These are just more detailed plans itemizing each element of the master plan of the park. 8 produced 3D renderings. They are conceptual in 9 nature, the design of the pergola and a lot of 10 other things to still be worked on. We are in the 11 12 schematic master plan phase. Here, though, just I want to point out, that 13 there will be an Art in Public Place element that 14 will keep to scale to the city's program to be 15 16 determined, so that's just a placeholder sculpture. 17 The planting design we have taken very seriously because we believe that it's a way of 18 setting apart the project from other parks and 19 20 really making this the landmark destination that 21 the city has requested it to be, and so we've 22 developed something called the rainbow ring, and we've emphasized and done a lot of research into 23 24 the seasonality of different locally blooming 25 trees. And so we have what we think is a really

fantastic palette, which we could go into more if
there's any questions about that, as well as a lot
of native trees, of course, to form a core planting
palette.

We have developed a shrub planting plan.

Again, I don't want to linger on these elements too much.

This is a hardscape plan. It is preliminary.

We are in schematic design, but we've been looking

at things like how to make sure that the lawn is -
has a long life and things like that.

So one of the things that I mentioned is that there will be this beautiful architectural pergola. Beauty is in the eye of the beholder. There's many different styles that we can follow, so we prepared these boards to share it with city staff and with the board to garner opinions, especially from the Board of Architects, and we'll be working through those elements as the project continues into the design development phase, hopefully with your approval and the approval of all the other boards.

These photographs are just showing the fact that we will be relying heavily on very beautiful visually important -- visually significant paving patterns and ballers and other things that will

Page 44 help pedestrians and vehicles operate in the same 2 space without hurting each other. So we are just sort of documenting all the different hypologies 3 (ph) and safety mechanisms that we can be looking at, it's very preliminary, site lighting plan, 5 lighting selections. 6 7 And then the other element we'll be looking at 8 is what those seating niches that I was referring to earlier will look like. There's a lot of different options. They can be sculptural. 10 can be built in. They can be comprised of 11 12 retaining walls. So basically we've created a menu 13 of things to be able to work with city staff and this board to develop that into design development. 14 So that's what these are about. 15 16 A cross section. So this cross section is 17 going east/west looking directly north, and basically, what we're showing are narrowed 18 roadways, enhanced sidewalk conditions by having a 19 20 double row of trees ensuring shaded sidewalks on both sides, and also the curbless condition. 21 22 Zooming in here to that area on the east side, 23 we're showing the curbless condition. 24 We are proposing to gather the storm water 25 that washes off the road into an underdrain system

that will hold the rain garden, and working with

our civil engineer to ensure that that drains well.

And as was referred to by Brandon very briefly, the project will be constructed in two phases. This is the master plan overall. And then the pink area shown is the area of intervention for Phase 1.

So in Phase 1 what we would do is build this bump-out, and we would build the streetscape and the roadways, and as well as the outer rings, the rainbow ring of the park. And so what that Phase 1 would look like is this.

The rest of the documents in this presentation are technical civil engineering type documents, so what I'd like to do is, I guess, stop here because I think this is a good place to show that the red line indicates the existing lane markings and the same curb, which is good way for the board here today to see the enlargement that we are proposing and the squaring off of this portion of the roadways.

So with that, thank you very much for your attention, and I'm happy to answer any questions you may have.

Was everyone able to hear the presentation

Page 46 well, I hope? 2 MS. KAUTZ: I'm sorry, no one on Zoom -- there 3 you go -- can hear the chamber. I'm sorry. MS. VELEZ: So I have concluded my 4 presentation, and I'm open to questions. 5 6 MR. IGLESIAS: Okay. Thank you very much. 7 Again, thank you for allowing me to address 8 the board. We are phasing the project in two phases. 9 is, one of the problems that we have with the park 10 is that there's so much asphalt and it's so 11 12 difficult to get to that people are not using it. We have to remember that this is really kind of a 13 mini Central Park, and we are actually assessing 14 15 impact fees on commercial projects now. So we need 16 to do something in the Central Business District. In addition to that, we have -- prior to COVID 17 we had 1.25 million people use our free trolley 18 19 service. So you can access this park within eight 20 or nine minutes throughout most of the Central Business District. The problem that we've had is 21 22 it's so much asphalt and so difficult to get to 23 with parking and asphalt and so forth, it's actually dangerous to get there, and so the first 24 25 phase we thought was, instead of doing the inside

of the park is to bring the park to the people, and do all the civil work so that -- I think Phase B

3 will probably sell itself after we do Phase A.

So the idea was to slow down the traffic, create a situation where you seem to be driving through the park and address the -- we've expanded the park slightly, and then put that perimeter landscaping in, and that will be Phase A.

And in addition to that, we have a \$2 million proffer from Agave to do this work. So specifically for -- that's in addition to the park fee specifically for Ponce Circle Park. So hopefully we finish Phase A, and then I think that if we make the park accessible, I think we will have a successful park, and I think Phase B will kind of sell itself. So that's the concept that we have, and this is really civil, and then the perimeter, as you saw, and some of the perspectives that were provided by the consultant. This is the idea.

So I think we've kept the general geometry of the park, slightly expanded it, and then made it kind of an attractive place to go.

So I'll be happy to answer any other questions that the board might have.

Page 48					
1	MR. MENENDEZ: This specifically is for				
2	Palermo. So are there going to be additional				
3	phases where additional streets will be impacted?				
4	MR. IGLESIAS: No. No. This is the civil				
5	part. This is the impact to the civil side.				
6	The Phase B will be the interior part of the				
7	park, some of the structures, shade structures, and				
8	seating and so forth.				
9	MR. MENENDEZ: I understand.				
10	MR. IGLESIAS: This is the exterior, the civil				
11	engineering portion of the park, and the perimeter,				
12	as they said, that perimeter landscaping. And then				
13	Phase 2 will be the interior shade structures and				
14	all the interior structures.				
15	MR. MENENDEZ: So only Palermo is affected				
16	then, not any of the				
17	MS. SPAIN: I have a question about that. And				
18	I want to preface that by saying I think this is				
19	fine. I don't have any issue with it. I				
20	particularly appreciate that there's no closing of				
21	roads involved in that and the configuration is the				
22	same. I think it's a really well worked out				
23	solution for a very difficult area, because it is				
24	impossible to get to the park.				
25	But my question is on Sevilla Avenue and on				

Page 49 the northwest side of that park where Ponce and 1 2 Sevilla meet, I think that's already been altered; is that right? My recollection is that they've 3 already done alterations to that that are 4 similar to what's being done to Palermo. 5 MR. ADAMS: Yes. I believe that has been 6 7 altered from the original --8 MS. SPAIN: That has been. And did that come 9 to this board? MR. IGLESIAS: What you see here is the way it 10 is now so --11 12 MS. SPAIN: It is. But that was recently altered, right? I believe so, Peter. And I don't 13 remember that coming to this board. I mean, I 14 think it's fine, I think it looks fine, but I don't 15 16 believe it came to this board, but I could be 17 totally wrong. MR. IGLESIAS: I don't -- it probably was. 18 I'm sure it was. I don't recall. It was not done 19 20 while I was -- while I've been here. I'm on my second trial. But not that I recall. But this 21 will hopefully reduce --22 23 MS. SPAIN: But you're not changing that from 24 what we see here on Sevilla? 25 No. What you see is -- what MR. IGLESIAS:

Page 50					
1	we're doing is really trying to reduce				
2	MS. SPAIN: I got it				
3	MR. IGLESIAS: and then slow the traffic as				
4	they're going through the park. We will be asking				
5	Agave to remove their parking on the Agave side,				
6	remove all parking in that area.				
7	MS. SPAIN: That backs out into it, right?				
8	MR. IGLESIAS: On the east side, remove all				
9	that parking. I know they did it, but it doesn't				
10	work out with our plan and it's our right-of-way.				
11	And then we will be providing some trolley stops on				
12	both sides.				
13	But the idea is as you walk around this area				
14	you become part of the park. Instead of being				
15	isolated by the park				
16	MS. SPAIN: I know. I think it works.				
17	MR. IGLESIAS: become part of the park.				
18	MS. SPAIN: I think it works.				
19	And I just have a comment to the architects				
20	involved in this. On one of your pages you have a				
21	historic drawing that you showed of the Craft				
22	Section, and on the paper that we have it says				
23	"prepared by Dover Cole & Partners." Pretty sure				
24	it wasn't. So you might want to, before that hits				
25	the planning and zoning board and the city				

Page 51 commission --1 2 (Simultaneous voices speaking.) MS. SPAIN: I worked for Dover & Cole, and I'm 3 not that old. 4 5 MR. IGLESIAS: This was used by Dover Cole --6 MS. SPAIN: Exactly. 7 MR. IGLESIAS: -- used on one of their 8 presentations, but, no, I don't think that was done 9 by Dover Cole. Thank you. MS. SPAIN: Okay. 10 11 MR. IGLESIAS: We'll correct that. 12 MS. SPAIN: I think it works really well, and 13 I applaud your efforts. 14 MR. IGLESIAS: I think it's nice to get this park back into --15 16 MS. SPAIN: It's a long time coming. really a long -- all the events that the city had 17 there, unless it was an overcast day or in the 18 wintertime, it's unbearable. 19 20 MR. IGLESIAS: And it's difficult because we really need to make the park accessible first and 21 2.2 then do the interior of that park, and I think it 23 would sell itself. I think Phase B of this project 24 will sell itself. 25 MR. ADAMS: And if you look at drawing L-002D,

Page 52 that is the drawing that actually shows the alterations to the street. The pink is existing 2 3 and the green is the proposed. MS. BACHE-WIIG: What page? What sheet? MS. SPAIN: I got it. 002E? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: The additional green areas and 6 7 the additional areas are to slow down traffic, to 8 get traffic to slow down in this park and make it a better experience for our residents and those who 9 work in the Central Business District. 10 MS. BACHE-WIIG: I think also a couple things, 11 12 I think the columns, like marking like a gateway, 13 you're coming into this park both on the south and on the north is fabulous, because it really helps 14 to identify, hey, the park is starting and you're 15 16 entering that park now, and it's a traffic calming, you know, device. So it has a lot of -- it 17 provides a lot of solutions for things, definitely 18 closing up that Palermo side. Really important 19 20 because you're like 100 feet or 77 feet or 21 something that you're walking. So I think this is 22 great. And I also wanted to, just as a side note, 23 this, you know, trellis structure just reminds me 24 25 of the Country Club Prado entrance, and I think

- 1 it's a great nod like, we see you, you know, this
- is -- we're recognizing that, that history, and
- bringing it here to this park. I mean, it's
- 4 fantastic.
- 5 I'm just wondering and just curious, the 6 structure was put on the north side rather than the
- 7 south side, just because? Just wondering.
- 8 MR. IGLESIAS: It's a design feature.
- 9 MS. BACHE-WIIG: It's a design feature, yeah.
- 10 MR. IGLESIAS: I will let the architects deal
- 11 with that one.
- MS. BACHE-WIIG: Okay.
- 13 MR. IGLESIAS: I deal with the concrete.
- MR. EHRENHAFT: Through the chair, I'm sorry,
- 15 I'm still confused because the report refers to
- 16 alterations to just the intersection of Ponce de
- 17 Leon and Palermo. At this point, the Agave project
- has Palermo on the east side blocked. It pierces
- 19 and will drive through under a bridge between two
- of their buildings. But when they're talking about
- 21 alterations to the intersection itself, I mean,
- we're discussing all these wonderful things that
- have to do with the overall packet, and I think
- it's great, you know. But from what I read --
- 25 MS. VELEZ: I may be able to alleviate some of

Page 54						
1	that confusion by zooming in a little bit, which					
2	I've done. I'm not sure if you're able to see the					
3	screen still.					
4	But essentially the pink is the existing curb					
5	and this is the intersection of Palermo. And then					
6	the blue is the proposed curb.					
7	MR. EHRENHAFT: I'm sorry, we cannot hear you					
8	clearly. Can you speak					
9	MR. IGLESIAS: What she's saying is what you					
10	see in pink is existing. What you see in green is					
11	proposed. So we are expanding the park creating					
12	those areas on Sevilla and Palermo on the west					
13	side. And then we're expanding the two islands on					
14	either end.					
15	The idea is the columns and pavers on these					
16	elements is to slow down traffic and to get to the					
17	park.					
18	MR. EHRENHAFT: So on the southwest side of					
19	the intersection between Palermo and Ponce, you're					
20	talking about the green which bumps out and					
21	MR. IGLESIAS: Reduces asphalt.					
22	MR. EHRENHAFT: reduces asphalt there, and					
23	probably then makes the crossing from					
24	MR. IGLESIAS: Shorter					
25	MR. EHRENHAFT: there. They're just going					

1 to have to cross a lane.

6

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2 MR. IGLESIAS: Correct. Correct.
- It's just a tremendous amount of asphalt that

 we have here. It's really making the park

 unreachable as far as the residents or very

difficult or sometimes unsafe, really.

- 7 MR. EHRENHAFT: I understand.
- I just want to make sure that if we're tasked

 with approving that, that what we're deciding today

 is not misinterpreted as giving this board's

 recommendations about anything else that's going on

 in the project.
 - MR. IGLESIAS: Correct. What we're looking at is the red existing, green is actually proposed. And we've shown you the entire project because we want you all to know we're excited about it and we're finally getting this park, and this will be Phase A. And you've also seen Phase B of the project.
 - MR. EHRENHAFT: But, Mr. Iglesias, I understand that. But you have indicated, or the architect has indicated, on these drawings that we have before us the existing road lines in the pink, and the teal are changes everywhere for the entire ellipse. But we're being tasked with only Palermo

Page 56						
1	where it touches Ponce in this meeting according to					
2	this staff report					
3	MS. SPAIN: That's right. That's what the					
4	staff report says.					
5	MR. IGLESIAS: The intent here is to look					
6	at is to approve the green and that's					
7	MR. EHRENHAFT: For the entirety of the					
8	project?					
9	MR. IGLESIAS: For the entire project.					
10	MR. EHRENHAFT: Okay. Well, then that needs					
11	to be made clear on the record, because it isn't.					
12	This is the staff report we're					
13	MR. IGLESIAS: Let's make it clear. What					
14	we're bringing to you is the green. And, of					
15	course, the intent is to make the park larger,					
16	reduce the asphalt, and also we are removing the					
17	Agave parking that's on the east. That's going to					
18	go.					
19	And my understanding is that Regions Bank,					
20	because of our work, probably will be redoing their					
21	area in front of the bank, which is great. Because					
22	once the hope is that Agave's there, Region's					
23	does their part, and we do our part, and we create					
24	this whole park atmosphere.					
25	So even as you're walking around the park,					

you're looking at the park, you're making the park accessible, you're removing cars that are blocking the view, and you create -- and slow down traffic and create a different environment around this park, especially with the fact we have a trolley going by every eight or nine minutes.

MS. SPAIN: Great.

MR. EHRENHAFT: And one other clarification then with the restrictions. You've moved the park boundaries and the hardscape. You've reduced it by expanding the park.

Are the lanes that go circularly around the oval then going to be one lane?

MR. IGLESIAS: No. No. They're two lanes, but they're going to be reduced. That column effect, that effect, the change in the texture of the asphalt and the lane reduction, the idea is to slow down the traffic as you go around the park.

MR. EHRENHAFT: It's still going to be two lanes, correct?

MR. IGLESIAS: Two lanes. Two lanes. It will be two lanes, but the lanes will be reduced between the pavers, the column section, and all that as you're driving through. The idea is to reduce the speed around the park and create kind of a driving

Page 58 in to the park atmosphere. 2 So if we can reduce the speed, take the cars 3 away from that area, open up the sidewalk, we're really going to create an overall park atmosphere that will be very conducive to the uses of the 5 park, and that's the idea. 6 7 MR. EHRENHAFT: Is there a possibility for 8 that zone, like for a school zone, that it could get posted to reduce the speed to 15 miles an hour 10 going through this? 11 MR. IGLESIAS: Very difficult. I'm not going 12 to tell you -- it's very difficult to do that. 13 are working now on park zones, and we're trying to work with Dade County on that. Commissioner Forest 14 15 brought that up at the prior agenda. It's very 16 difficult because we have to get county approval to do that. 17 So we can't just -- all signalization, all 18 19 markings, everything else needs county approval. 20 The only thing we can put out is parking signs and that's it. 21 22 So we will be working to try to slow it down 23 as much as possible. I'm not sure if we're going to be able to get 15 miles an hour, but we'd like 24 25 to slow it down to maybe 25.

- 1 MR. MENENDEZ: Ms. Rolando, you had a
- 2 question?
- 3 MS. ROLANDO: Yes. Can you tell me how many
- 4 parking spaces are going to be eliminated? I think
- 5 the property owners and tenants in the area,
- 6 especially on the west side, may be concerned about
- 7 that. And I'd like to know how the parking is --
- 8 Ponce street parking is going to be altered.
- 9 MR. IGLESIAS: Okay. Agave will lose some
- parking in front of their building, but they've got
- 11 2,000 cars.
- 12 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I'm not worried about the
- 13 Agave. I'm talking about to the north of the
- 14 park -- basically around the park other than the
- 15 Agave property.
- 16 MR. IGLESIAS: We will be losing some parking
- 17 on some of these areas, especially on the -- there
- will be some loss of parking on the southwest side
- 19 and the northeast side, because we're trying to
- 20 reduce that huge amount of asphalt. But we've
- looked at that with our parking director, and we're
- 22 trying to minimize that effort, but some of the
- parking -- some of the asphalt areas are just too
- 24 large --
- 25 MS. ROLANDO: I totally get that. But if

Page 60					
1	you're a tenant or a property owner there, you				
2	already have concerns about parking, so I'm				
3	wondering how many parking spaces are we going to				
4	lose, and				
5	MS. VELEZ: I'll have to get back to you				
6	MS. ROLANDO: to reduce the asphalt, but if				
7	I'm a property owner or a tenant, I want to know				
8	where my visitors and customers are going to park.				
9	MR. IGLESIAS: I understand. I will let the				
10	architect provide that answer, but we're working				
11	with that also. However, there are some areas that				
12	will have we will have a few spots lost, but if				
13	you look at the majority of the areas, the parking				
14	is staying, except for I mentioned the Agave area				
15	because that's right in front of the park.				
16	And what we would have is trolley stops in				
17	front of Regions and trolley stops in the Agave,				
18	the Agave site.				
19	MS. ROLANDO: So we don't know, or are we				
20	saying there's four or five?				
21	MR. IGLESIAS: I can't give you that number				
22	right now. I cannot give you that number right				
23	now.				
24	MS. ROLANDO: Okay. So when will we know?				
25	MR. MENENDEZ: Can the architect let us know?				

- 1 MR. IGLESIAS: Why don't we just ask the
- 2 architect and see if they can tell us.
- 3 MS. ROLANDO: That would be helpful.
- 4 MR. IGLESIAS: Because they're on. I'd like
- 5 to see if they can answer --
- 6 MS. VELEZ: Hi. This is Justine. Can people
- 7 hear me?
- MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
- 9 MS. VELEZ: Okay. Great.
- 10 So we're actually -- I can't give you an exact
- 11 number, although I can follow up with an e-mail
- tomorrow to give you the exact number. But we are
- losing very few spots, very few.
- What we're doing is we are rectifying the
- parking so that instead of having say a 15-foot
- 16 wide parking spot, we have the more traditional
- 17 eight to nine-foot wide parking spot.
- 18 So with the exception of the very newly
- 19 introduced parking right in front of Agave and
- 20 Ponce, which we've already mentioned it's getting
- 21 eliminated, we might be only losing a few spots. I
- 22 can confirm with an exact number tomorrow.
- MR. IGLESIAS: If you look at the overall
- 24 parking plan, on the east and the north and south
- 25 side we're not losing that many cars. The most

Page 62					
1	affected is Agave, which is not really a problem				
2	for them.				
3	MR. MENENDEZ: Mr. Durana, any questions?				
4	MR. DURANA: No. I'm fine.				
5	MR. MENENDEZ: Mr. Rodriguez?				
6	MR. RODRIGUEZ: None. Thank you.				
7	MS. SPAIN: I have a comment. I'm sorry.				
8	But, you know, getting back to Bruce's issue with				
9	what we're voting on, I really don't think that				
10	anything other than the intersection that they have				
11	in the staff reports affects the grid of the city.				
12	I mean, I may be wrong on that, but it seems to me				
13	the configuration stays the same, so I think that's				
14	why the report was written that way.				
15	MR. ADAMS: Yeah. And if you look at the				
16	staff conclusion, it says for the remainder of the				
17	proposed right-of-way improvements staff finds they				
18	do not disrupt or alter the designated street grid				
19	in a way that affects the overall integrity of the				
20	historic city plan. So they have been taking				
21	MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. I would just like you to				
22	clarify exactly what we will be voting on so that				
23	everyone here is clear.				
24	MR. ADAMS: If you look at Drawing L-002D.				
25	MR. MENENDEZ: D as in dog?				

- 1 MR. ADAMS: Yes. Pink is existing and green 2 are the proposed minor changes that you are being 3 asked to review and recommend approval of.
- 4 MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. Because that does not jive with what's written here.
- MS. BACHE-WIIG: I think to Dona, what Dona
 was trying to say, was that the only significant
 change was really the Palermo, which they did
 mention in the report, and then they're just
 expanding the shape or the configuration.
- 11 MR. MENENDEZ: I understand that, but again,
 12 it should have said that rather than just
 13 specifically put Palermo and Ponce.
- 14 MS. SPAIN: I think it did.
- 15 MR. ADAMS: It does also say the Ponce Circle
 16 Park master plan proposes eight roadway and
 17 streetscape improvements to enhance pedestrian
 18 access so --
- MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. So everybody's clear, correct, Bruce?
- MR. EHRENHAFT: I'm good. I'm good.

into the record?

24

- MR. ADAMS: And just to let you know, we do
 have one letter here. Would you like me to read it
- MR. MENENDEZ: Is that from -- well, let me go

Page 64				
1	through my anyone who would like to speak in			
2	favor of the project?			
3	Is your letter in favor of the project?			
4	MR. ADAMS: Partially, I believe.			
5	MR. MENENDEZ: Partially. Okay.			
6	MR. ADAMS: "On behalf of the Historic			
7	Preservation Board Association of Coral Gables,			
8	please accept this letter in support of the			
9	sorry. Wrong one. Too many letters.			
10	"On behalf of the Historic Preservation Board			
11	Association of Coral Gables, please accept this			
12	letter as a recommendation to maintain the original			
13	symmetry of the Ponce Circle Park design due to its			
14	designation as a local landmark under the city			
15	master plan.			
16	The proposal makes note that the existing			
17	fountain, decorative walls, and column monuments			
18	are not historic because they were added in the			
19	1970s, however, age should not disqualify a feature			
20	if indeed it is part of the modern history of the			
21	park, as it is also known as Fred B. Hartnett Ponce			
22	Circle Park dedicated to one of the most beloved			
23	names to serve the people of Coral Gables.			
24	The Historic Preservation Association of Coral			
25	Gables promotes the understanding and the			

Page 65 importance of historic resources and their 1 preservation in Coral Gables. 2 We ask the Board of Architects to reject any 3 new design and maintain the original historical integrity of the property by restoring, not 5 removing, any features of the original plan. 6 7 Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President, 8 Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." 9 And just for clarification, you're not reviewing any changes to the park at the moment, 10 which is not designated as historic. You're 11 12 reviewing the proposed changes to the street way 13 out only. MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. Is there anyone who 14 would like to speak in opposition of this case? 15 16 No one. Okay. I will close the public 17 hearing portion. I think that these changes are great and it's 18 19 going to make the park really usable. I wish there 20 was some water feature attached to it, I think that would have been nice, but I see that --21 2.2 MS. SPAIN: They discussed that. 23 MR. MENENDEZ: So I'm looking forward to 24 having it finished.

Any other comments? Questions from board

25

Page 66
1 members? Would anybody like to make a motion?
2 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yeah, I'll move that we
approve the recommendation of the staff.
4 MS. SPAIN: I'll second it.
5 MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. Mr. Rodriguez and
6 Ms. Spain.
7 THE CLERK: Okay. The role call?
8 MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
9 THE CLERK: Mr. Rodriguez?
10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
11 THE CLERK: Ms. Rolando?
12 MS. ROLANDO: Yes.
13 THE CLERK: Ms. Spain?
14 MS. SPAIN: Yes.
15 THE CLERK: Ms. Bache-Wiig?
16 MS. BACHE-WIIG: Yes.
17 THE CLERK: Mr. Durana?
18 MR. DURANA: Yes.
19 THE CLERK: Mr. Garcia-Pons?
MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Ehrenhaft?
MR. EHRENHAFT: Yes.
THE CLERK: Mr. Menendez?
MR. MENENDEZ: Yes.
25 THE CLERK: So moved.

Page 67 1 MR. IGLESIAS: Thank you very much. 2 you --3 MS. SPAIN: Long time coming, Peter. 4 MR. IGLESIAS: I'm sorry? 5 MS. SPAIN: It's a long coming. MR. IGLESIAS: Finally. Finally. Yes. 6 7 Thank you very much. Thank you. 8 MS. BACHE-WIIG: Thank you. Thank you for 9 doing this. 10 MS. VELEZ: Thank you. 11 MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. Any board items? 12 commission or project updates? 13 MR. ADAMS: No. 14 MR. MENENDEZ: No. Okay. Any other items of discussion? Old business? New business? 15 16 Okay. MS. KAUTZ: Warren -- I'm sorry, Warren. Do 17 you want to talk to them about after June 14th? 18 19 MR. ADAMS: For the --20 MS. KAUTZ: For the board meeting, please. MR. ADAMS: Oh, yeah. After June 14th all 21 22 board meetings will be in person, and I should have 23 brought the document with me. And, Kara, do you have the document with you 24 25 that you can mention the most salient points?

Page 68					
1	MS. KAUTZ: I don't have it with me, but				
2	essentially it's and, Gus, you can chime in on				
3	this too, if you know more but the boards will				
4	be required to meet in person, no Zoom option for				
5	participating. Applicants will meet in person.				
6	The only people on Zoom will be anyone who wants to				
7	provide comment on any item, but they will not be				
8	the participants.				
9	MR. MENENDEZ: Okay.				
10	MR. EHRENHAFT: And we'll continue on the				
11	third Wednesdays; is that correct?				
12	MS. KAUTZ: Yes.				
13	MR. ADAMS: Yes.				
14	MR. MENENDEZ: Great. So we're getting back				
15	to normal?				
16	MR. ADAMS: Yep.				
17	MR. MENENDEZ: Okay. Do I have a motion to				
18	adjourn?				
19	MR. DURANA: Yes.				
20	MR. MENENDEZ: Mr. Durana.				
21	Do I have a second?				
22	MR. EHRENHAFT: I'll second.				
23	MR. MENENDEZ: Mr. Ehrenhaft.				
24	We're adjourned.				
25					

	Page 69
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH
4	COUNTI OF PALM BEACH
5	I, Jessica A. Donnelly, Florida Professional Reporter
6	and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and
7	did report said Historic Preservation Board meeting in stenotype; and that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1
8	to 68, inclusive, are a true and correct transcription of my shorthand notes of said Historic Preservation
9	Board meeting.
10	I further certify that said Historic Preservation Board meeting was taken at the time and place
11	hereinabove set forth and that the taking of said Historic Preservation Board meeting was commenced and
12	completed as hereinabove set out.
13	I further certify that I am not an attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or
14	employee of any attorney or counsel of party connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the
15	action.
16	The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means
17	unless under the direct control and/or direction of the certifying reporter.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
19	13th day of June, 2021.
20	
21	Jessica A. Donnelly
22	
23	
24	
25	