

**CITY OF CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA**

**RESOLUTION NO. 2009 –**

**A RESOLUTION JOINING OTHER LOCAL GOVERNMENTS IN  
A LAWSUIT CHALLENGING SENATE BILL 360 AS BEING  
UNCONSTITUTIONAL.**

**WHEREAS**, over the objections of many Florida municipalities and counties, as well as the Florida League of Cities and the Florida Association of Counties, Governor Charlie Crist, on June 1, 2009, signed Senate Bill 360, the Community Renewal Act (the “Act”), into law; and

**WHEREAS**, the Act makes sweeping changes to growth management laws and was expressly intended to “encourage urban infill and development by removing costly and unworkable state regulations in urban areas;” and

**WHEREAS**, the impacts of the Act reach far beyond urban infill and development areas to encompass approximately half of the municipalities, eight of the largest counties, and half of the state’s population; and

**WHEREAS**, the language in the Act is unclear, resulting in disagreements between attorneys for developers and attorneys for local governments as to its applicability; and

**WHEREAS**, the Act may have substantial negative impacts on many local governments throughout the State of Florida, particularly if the interpretation set forth by attorneys for developers is adopted, possibly including:

1. the extension of some or all types of local development orders and building permits for two years;
2. state preemption of the ability of local governments to deny future land use map amendments to the comprehensive plan based upon transportation levels of service;
3. elimination of the process of review for developments of regional impacts (“DRI’s”) in half of the local governments in the State, which means major development projects will be able to proceed without regard to cross-jurisdictional impacts;
4. elimination of state-mandated traffic concurrency in certain areas without input from or regard to the impact on neighboring jurisdictions;
5. state preemption of the ability of local governments to require security cameras in private business;

6. mandatory expenditure of substantial funds by local governments to amend their comprehensive plans to fund mobility and otherwise comply with the Act, and
7. the potential transfer of the costs for mitigating traffic impacts from developers to taxpayers; and

**WHEREAS**, the law firm of Weiss Serota Helfman Pastoriza Cola & Boniske, PL (the “Firm”), which serves as the City Attorney for the City of Weston (“Weston”), was asked to do a legal analysis to determine whether the Act was subject to challenge on constitutional grounds; and

**WHEREAS**, the Firm determined that a strong argument can be made that the enactment of the Act violated (1) Article VII, Section 18 of the Florida Constitution, which prevents the Florida Legislature from imposing requirements on local governments without providing a means to pay for such requirements unless certain requirements are satisfied (the “Unfunded Mandate Provision”), and (2) Article III, Section 6 of the Florida Constitution, which requires that every law embrace only one subject (the “single Subject Provision”); and

**WHEREAS**, based upon the foregoing, the City Commission of Weston passed Resolution No. 2009-49, which authorized and directed its City Manager and City Attorney to encourage other local governments to join Weston as plaintiffs in a lawsuit challenging the Act for violating the Unfunded Mandate Provision and Single Subject Provision of the Florida Constitution (the “Lawsuit”); and

**WHEREAS**, the City of Weston adopted a resolution requesting that other cities join in a lawsuit to challenge the law.

**WHEREAS**, Weston is willing to file and fund the attorney’s fees costs of the lawsuit, if and only if, a sufficient number of other local governments agree to join the lawsuit as plaintiffs and each contribute \$2,500 towards the attorneys’ fees and costs of the lawsuit; and

**WHEREAS**, the City of Coral Gables desires to join Weston and the other local governments as a plaintiff in the lawsuit.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA:**

**Section 1:** The foregoing recitals contained in the preamble to this resolution are incorporated by reference herein.

**Section 2:** The Firm is authorized and retained to include the City of Coral Gables as a plaintiff in the lawsuit, subject to determination by Weston that a sufficient number of local governments also agree to join the lawsuit as plaintiffs. The City of Coral Gables acknowledges that its inclusion in the lawsuit shall not preclude the Firm from

representing other clients in connection with the City of Coral Gables and hereby waives any such conflicts.

**Section 3:** The City Manager is directed and authorized to contribute \$2,500 to Weston towards the attorneys' fees and costs of the lawsuit.

**Section 4.** This Resolution shall take effect upon its adoption.

**PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS                    DAY OF JULY, 2009.**

DONALD D. SLESNICK II  
MAYOR

ATTEST:

WALTER J. FOEMAN  
CITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND  
LEGAL SUFFICIENCY

ELIZABETH M. HERNANDEZ  
CITY ATTORNEY