1	CITY OF CORAL GABLES
2	BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEETING VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT
3	CORAL GABLES CITY HALL 405 BILTMORE WAY, COMMISSION CHAMBERS
4	CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA MONDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2014, COMMENCING AT 8:00 A.M.
5 6	Board Members Present:
7	Jorge E. Otero, Chairperson Oscar Hidalgo, Vice-Chairperson Susan Fuhrman
8	Alex Galvez Gustave Perez
9	Michael Sotelo John M. Thomson
10	JOHN M. IHOMSON
11	City Staff Present:
12	Elizabeth Gonzalez, Zoning Tech Lead Ramon Trias, Planning Director
13	Charles Wu, Assistant Development Services Director Bridgette N. Thornton Richard, Deputy City Attorney
14	bridgette N. Indirect Richard, Deputy of y Recorney
15	<u>Case No. BA-14-07-3633</u>
16	1501 BELLA VISTA AVENUE CORAL BAY SECTION C, PB/PG: 65-147
17	LOT 23, BLK 7 Elisa Johanna Berdugo Escorcia and Mark A. Seyforth -
18	Applicants
19	Elisa Johanna Berdugo Escorcia and Mark A. Seyforth - Owners
20	Davidson Design Studio, Inc Architect
21	Also Participating: Mark A. Seyforth
22	
23	
24	
25	

## THEREUPON:

2.2

The following proceedings were had:

3 CHAIRMAN OTERO: Good morning.

VARIOUS BOARD MEMBERS: Good morning.

CHAIRMAN OTERO: Whoa. That's a new one.

The Board of Adjustment is comprised of seven members. Four members of the Board shall constitute a quorum and the affirmative vote of four members of the Board present shall be necessary to authorize or deny a variance. A tie vote shall result in the automatic continuance of the matter to the next meeting, which shall be continued until the majority is achieved. Since we have the full panel present, we don't need to go into what happens if only four are present.

Lobbyists. Any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11 must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees and/or the City Commission. A copy of the ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall

1	prohibit your ability to present to the Board.
2	I now officially call the City of Coral
3	Gables Board of Adjustment Board meeting of
4	November 3, 2014. The time is 8:01 a.m.
5	Roll call, please?
6	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Hidalgo?
7	MR. HIDALGO: Present.
8	MS. GONZALEZ: Ms. Fuhrman?
9	MS. FUHRMAN: Present.
10	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Galvez?
11	MR. GALVEZ: Present.
12	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Perez?
13	MR. PEREZ: Present.
14	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Thomson?
15	MR. THOMSON: Present.
16	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Sotelo?
17	MR. SOTELO: Present.
18	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Otero?
19	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Present.
20	Important notice regarding ex-parte
21	communications. Please be advised that the
22	items on the agenda are quasi-judicial in
23	nature, which requires Board members to
24	disclose all ex-parte communications and site
25	visits. An ex-parte communication is defined

as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside a public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board regarding matters to be heard by the Board. anyone made any contact with a Board member regarding an issue before the Board, the Board member must state on the record the existence of the ex-parte communication and the party who Also, if a Board originated the communication. member conducted a site visit specifically related to the case before the Board, the Board member must also disclose such visit. either case, the Board member must state on the record whether the ex-parte communication and/or site visit will affect the Board member's ability to impartially consider the evidence to be presented regarding the matter. The Board member should also state that his or her decision will be based on substantial, competent evidence and testimony presented on the record today. Does any member of the Board have such a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

Does any member of the Board have such a communication and/or site visit to disclose at

1	this time?
2	Let the record show no Board member
3	disclosed such communication.
4	Swearing in. Everyone who speaks this
5	morning must complete the roster on the podium.
6	We ask that you print clearly so the official
7	records of your name and address will be
8	correct.
9	Now, with the exception of attorneys, all
10	persons who will speak on agenda items before
11	us this morning, please rise to be sworn in.
12	(Thereupon, Mr. Seyforth was duly sworn by
13	the court reporter.)
14	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Thank you.
15	In deference to those present, we ask that
16	all cell phones, pagers and other electrical
17	devices be turned off.
18	Now we will proceed with the agenda.
19	Liz, are there any changes to the agenda?
20	MS. GONZALEZ: No, sir.
21	CHAIRMAN OTERO: We have a three-part case,
22	and Liz, will you please present?
23	MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, sir.
24	The property address is 1501 Bella Vista
25	Avenue. This neighborhood is commonly known as

Gables By The Sea. There are three variance requests being presented at this time. I'm going to present them all three at the same time, but individually.

2.3

The first request is to allow a chickee hut that has been built without approval and permit from the City of Coral Gables to maintain a palmetto palm thatched roof. The Coral Gables Zoning Code is very specific in allowing roofing materials such as coral rock slabs, slate, copper in its natural state, barrel tile, flat tile. However, palmetto palm is not an allowable material. The chickee hut was constructed in the rear of the property. This property abuts the waterway.

This is -- The dashed line is the setback line of what would be required as a rear setback property. There's a reflecting pool surrounding the chickee hut.

You received your packet, and you can see that a little bit more clearly. There is a wood deck, also, as its flooring.

Florida Statute allows chickee huts

constructed by the Miccosukee Tribe of Indians

or the Florida Seminole Tribe to be built

without a permit from the Florida Building

Code. However, the City does require approval

and permit for the materials and the location.

In the past, chickee huts have been allowed by variances as approved by the Board of Adjustment. We have received documentation that the chickee hut was constructed by Mr. Elbert Snow, who has provided documentation. He is a member of the Seminole Tribe of Florida. The 264-square-foot chickee hut's frame is constructed entirely of beams and columns out of cypress with a pine wood deck flooring. We normally think of the chickee hut as a gazebo in combination with a trellis, and that's why we allow the chickee huts to be built.

With regards to Item Number 2, it is a variance request with regards to the location of the chickee hut, to maintain a rear waterway setback of fifteen feet, six inches, versus twenty feet, as required by the Zoning Code.

And Variance Number 3 is to allow the wood deck and the chickee hut to maintain a side setback of seven feet, four inches, versus ten feet, as required by the Zoning Code.

In your packet, you have also received a copy of the survey of the property, and I'll bring that forward so you can see it here.

This is an aerial view of where the property is located. As you can see, it's on the waterway. The chickee hut is in this location.

We've also received a notice of no objection, a letter of no objection, from this neighbor directly to the east.

This is a waterway view of the chickee hut.

There's a lot of foliage on the side and on the rear of the property. It does not have any electrical or plumbing, and it is entirely out of wood.

This is a survey of the property, and this is exactly where it is right now. There is a reflecting pool or pond surrounding it. As you can see, the lot is a very typical lot. It is 105 by 120. The City cannot find any extraordinary or unusual circumstances with the lot. Therefore, the City cannot recommend approval of Variance Item Number 2 and Number 3. We do recommend approval of Item Number 1.

CHAIRMAN OTERO: Before we hear from the applicant, do we have questions for Staff? And

1	
1	I think it would be a good idea to present
2	them, one of the variances at a time.
3	So, as to the first variance, Liz, I have a
4	question.
5	MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, sir.
6	CHAIRMAN OTERO: The statutory provision
7	that allows the materials to be built, does it
8	waive the need for a permit?
9	MS. GONZALEZ: It does not waive the need
10	for a permit from the City of Coral Gables,
11	from Zoning.
12	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Was a permit requested?
13	MS. GONZALEZ: Was a permit obtained or
14	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Either one.
15	MS. GONZALEZ: No, not from the City.
16	CHAIRMAN OTERO: How would that be cured,
17	if that was to be cured?
18	MS. GONZALEZ: They can obtain a permit
19	after the fact.
20	CHAIRMAN OTERO: But for Items 2 and 3,
21	which relate to zoning, would a permit likely
22	be granted or denied because of the exemption?
23	MS. GONZALEZ: The exemption only allows
24	them to build it without the Florida Building
25	Code getting involved. They still have to

1	abide by the Zoning Code and approval from the
2	City of Coral Gables.
3	MR. GALVEZ: How was this found? How was
4	this variance found?
5	MS. GONZALEZ: It was a complaint, and it
6	was notified to Code Enforcement.
7	CHAIRMAN OTERO: I'm sorry, was it a
8	complaint or was it
9	MS. GONZALEZ: An anonymous complaint was
10	received by Code Enforcement, and then Code
11	Enforcement referred to it me.
12	CHAIRMAN OTERO: When was it built?
13	MS. GONZALEZ: I believe, if I'm not
14	mistaken, it's going on two years. But
15	Mr. Seyforth is here, the owner. He can
16	probably give us more of an exact date on that.
17	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Okay.
18	MS. GONZALEZ: The complaint was received
19	in November of 2012.
20	CHAIRMAN OTERO: What would be the
21	consequences if the variances were denied?
22	MS. GONZALEZ: He has the option to appeal
23	to the City Commission.
24	CHAIRMAN OTERO: And if denied, or the
25	denial affirmed?

1	MS. GONZALEZ: If you deny it and the City
2	Commission
3	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Affirmed the denial.
4	MS. GONZALEZ: affirmed your denial,
5	then after the City Commission, he can go to
6	the Circuit Court.
7	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Would the ultimate result,
8	if he does not succeed in obtaining variances,
9	it would be to tear down the hut?
10	MS. GONZALEZ: He either would have to make
11	it conform with whichever issue that you all
12	deny and/or remove it completely, or he can
13	appeal the decision.
14	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Thank you.
15	Any other questions?
16	MR. HIDALGO: I have a question. Regarding
17	the setback, the rear setback, does that mean
18	that the pool deck and part of that pool is
19	also encroaching in the setback
20	MS. GONZALEZ: No.
21	MR. HIDALGO: or is there a separate
22	setback for the pool and the pool deck?
23	MS. GONZALEZ: That's correct. This pool
24	and pool deck were built, I presume, prior to
25	our Zoning Code change, but however, the pool

is not in the rear setback. It has a different setback requirement, and the deck is allowed to extend further into the rear setback as long as it's no wider than five feet.

MR. HIDALGO: So in violation of the setback is the gazebo and the wood deck, but not the water feature around the gazebo?

MS. GONZALEZ: The reflecting pool can be in the setback, that's correct. Reflecting pools or fountains are allowed to be in the side setback and rear setback. What is in violation of the setback is the chickee hut for the rear setback; however, the wood deck can be in the rear setback because it's on the waterway. So, then, Number 3 is the chickee hut and the wood deck for the side setback.

MR. HIDALGO: I guess this could have been avoided if the gazebo or the chickee hut would have been, I guess, either shifted down closer towards the street and closer to the pool, to get it away from the setback lines?

MS. GONZALEZ: I believe that the reflecting pool, which is right here, and you can see it clearer in your packet -- I'm assuming it's about five feet, that could have

made the difference. There's some area here. 1 2 The chickee hut could have been built a little 3 bit smaller, perhaps without the reflecting 4 pool or pond. 5 Actually, it's six feet, according to the 6 survey, the reflecting pool, the width -- six and a half feet here and six and a half feet 8 here. 9 CHAIRMAN OTERO: Is the only setback 10 violation the gazebo or the chickee hut? 11 MS. GONZALEZ: Number 2 is the setback 12 violation for the rear waterway for the chickee 13 hut. 14 CHAIRMAN OTERO: Right. MS. GONZALEZ: 15 Exclusively. 16 Number 3 is the setback violation for the 17 chickee hut in conjunction with the wood deck, 18 because wood decks are allowed to be in the rear waterway setback. That's why it's not 19 20 incorporated into Number 2. 21 So, but for the chickee CHAIRMAN OTERO: 22 hut, he would not need a variance for the deck? 23 MS. GONZALEZ: Well, the chickee hut was 24 built in conjunction with the wood deck, so we 25 look at it as one structure. If you approve

1	the material for the chickee hut, that's one
2	structure. However, the wood deck is
3	technically in violation of the side setback.
4	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Okay.
5	Any other questions from the Board of
6	Staff?
7	Sir, your turn.
8	MR. SEYFORTH: Okay.
9	MR. HIDALGO: If you can sign in on the
10	paper.
11	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Please sign in and put
12	your name and contact information, clearly,
13	and
14	MS. THORNTON RICHARD: Sir, please state
15	your name and address before you begin to make
16	your case.
17	MR. SEYFORTH: Mark Seyforth. My address
18	is 1501 Bella Vista Avenue, Coral Gables.
19	MS. THORNTON RICHARD: Thank you.
20	MR. SEYFORTH: What I'd like to do is
21	basically talk about two issues. Obviously,
22	one is, you know, why it was constructed and
23	how it happened, and then secondly, you know,
24	the financial hardship that I have if I have to
25	do anything other than just hopefully get it

approved as it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When my wife and I -- I guess I can just look this way, over here. When my wife and I bought the home, about two years ago, it was -you know, like a lot of people, we were moving to Coral Gables and coming here to kind of retire and live the good life, and when we bought the home, you know, the back was pretty much of an eyesore in the neighborhood. matter of fact, that's why I was even surprised, you know, that somebody complained. In the first place, I didn't know I'd done anything wrong when I built it, because what happened is, my wife and I came down to this area, went around Coral Gables, and one of the things that we really loved was, we saw a lot of tiki huts. We saw them in parks, we saw them in recreation centers, we saw them in homes, and, you know, we thought it was We thought, that is really nice, beautiful. So we're looking at our yard and it's an eyesore, I mean, a literal eyesore in the entire neighborhood, and so we said, "Let's build a tiki hut." So we did a little research, and it was our impression that there

was no permits required because it was built by the Miccosukee Indians and under no permitting required, and so we contacted a contractor and told him what we wanted to do, and he assured us, "Yeah, there's no issue, you can build your tiki hut." So we were happy, so we went about our way, you know, building our tiki hut.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, when they actually built it, I'd gone to work that morning, and when I came home in the afternoon, I mean, these guys were done, I mean, they had four or five guys up almost. there, already putting up the roof and putting the thatching on, and I'm going, "How can you build it that fast?" I mean, I thought, you know, it was going to be a little bit of a process, but -- and I looked at it and said, "Well, it's a little bigger than what I wanted," but, you know, they knew where we wanted to place the tiki hut, so they just went about putting it in, and so I looked at it and said, "Okay, well, it's a little bigger than what I kind of wanted it to be, but it looks nice," and then obviously, when we finished it, it really did make a difference to the home, and I don't think there's a neighbor anywhere

around that would complain about the look of this tiki hut. I mean, it improved the product aesthetically. I mean, it really makes a difference. If you look at the pictures, I mean, it's beautiful. It's really beautiful, so -- and it's a place that my wife and I enjoy using, and we have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, obviously, I got, you know, contacted and told I was in violation and that I'd have to, you know, look at what I'd done and apply for permits, and so I started the process with Ms. Gonzalez and the City, and I've tried to be very cooperative in the process and provided whatever information and everything I need to do to get to this point, and again, all along, thinking, "Well, I really didn't think I did anything wrong and I know it improved the value of the property." My neighbor to the -- well, it's actually to the east, I mean, he's like one of the happiest people in the world, and obviously the setback, you know, it affects him if it affects anybody, because it's, I think, a 10-foot setback on that side, and I think I moved it about two and a half feet too far, so I, you know, violated the setback by about two

and a half feet, I think, so -- but still, you know, it's within my property line, but he loves it. I mean, as a matter of fact, we got a letter from him, saying, "Hey, I don't see any issues with this. I love it." As a matter of fact, he comes over and sits in my tiki hut all the time.

I think all the neighbors on the other side of the canal have probably the same feeling, because they had to look at the eyesore for the last -- I don't know how many years, until we actually went in and, you know, improved the grounds and the property.

So that's basically what happened. You know, to me, it's beautiful. There's nothing there -- I don't even know why somebody would complain. Am I allowed to know who made the anonymous complaint?

MS. GONZALEZ: It was anonymous, but you can speak to Code Enforcement.

MR. SEYFORTH: Okay, well, and here's the only thing that, you know -- I mean, because I don't understand why somebody would complain, because all I did was improve the property. I mean, granted, I did violate the setback, not

knowing that I did, because, you know, the
contractor that put it in, and they were
probably just -- they're putting in a tiki hut.

Also, when I did it, I looked at, you know, the pool setback, where it stood with the decking and the pool, and I said, "Well, you know, we've kept it way inside of that," and so I assumed that, you know, I wasn't moving it too far back, because it was way inside the pool and the deck on the back side, and I mean, there's like still 17 to 18 feet to the canal. It's open, just like -- you know, so the tiki hut is inside even where the pool sits, and then on the side with my neighbor, again, that's where probably I moved it maybe a little closer than I should have, but again, he's not complaining.

So that's the situation as far as building the tiki hut.

Now, I guess the other thing I wanted to address is, you know, the financial hardship to have to change that. If this had happened, you know, a year and a half ago, the truth is, it wouldn't have made a bit of difference to me, not one bit. I could have just said, "Okay,

fine, you know, whatever I've got to do, I've got to tear it down." But sometimes things in life happen, and for me, it happened in About a year and a half ago, my bunches. daughter was diagnosed with Stage IV cancer, so now I spend a lot of time with her. business suffered because of that, so I've lost my business in the process, so the idea of, you know, even being able to stay there started to be in jeopardy. Then, during the course of this past year, my father was diagnosed with cancer and he passed away, so that's going along as we're still dealing with the situation with my daughter's Stage IV, and then in the last three months, my brother, who is my third and last family member, was diagnosed with cancer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

So it's been kind of a, you know, pretty tough year for me, and so the financial hardship is definitely there, because right now I'm in the position where, you know, all the things I thought I was going to be doing, moving here and retiring and living the good life, it's -- you know, it's just life. It happens. I'm a big boy and I'll deal with it,

100	
1	but the reality is, I have to sell it. I have
2	to sell the home. I have to sell my cars. I
3	have to basically, you know, take care of my
4	family, so it is a financial hardship. And
5	again, in closing, all I can say is, I thought
6	I did something that improved the property and
7	definitely, you know, the aesthetics of the
8	home, and I think it improved the value of my
9	home and the homes around it. So that's it.
10	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Thank you. I know I speak
11	on behalf of the Board; I'm sorry about your
12	personal misfortune.
13	MR. SEYFORTH: Thank you. I appreciate
14	that.
15	CHAIRMAN OTERO: And I wish you the best.
16	Is the house for sale now?
17	MR. SEYFORTH: Not yet. I actually had to
18	lease it a year ago, because again, the
19	financial requirements, and plus I had to spend
20	time with my daughter, but we're putting it on
21	the market, you know, this next year.
22	MS. FUHRMAN: So you're not living there
23	now?
24	MR. SEYFORTH: No. I had no choice, you
25	know. No, it was my dream home, but it became

not so much a dream home right now. It's still 1 a beautiful home, though. Somebody's going to 2 3 be a lucky recipient. CHAIRMAN OTERO: It looks it. 4 5 MR. SEYFORTH: Yeah, it's --CHAIRMAN OTERO: It looks it. 6 Questions? 7 Yes, I have a question. This MR. PEREZ: 8 9 was -- You had an architect design this tiki 10 hut? MR. SEYFORTH: Yes, it --11 12 MR. PEREZ: Because your plan has Davidson I can see where you would not 13 Design Studio. be made aware of that, because the Miccosukee 14 doesn't need a permit, that it still has to 15 comply with the Coral Gables Building Code, 16 which is more -- could be more demanding, more 17 strenuous than the Florida Building Code. 1.8 what I don't understand is how the architect 19 that designed this for you was not aware of the 2.0 21 Coral Gables requirements, setback and so 22 forth. That's a fair question, and 23 MR. SEYFORTH: the answer is very simple. He did it after the 24 fact. I had the tiki hut built, and then when 25

1	I found out I had this issue, you know, one of
2	the things that I was required to do was to get
3	an architect come in, which obviously I had to
4	pay for, to come in and say, "Okay, this is
5	what happened, this is what we did." So it was
6	after the fact.
7	MR. PEREZ: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Because no permit was
9	requested.
10	MR. SEYFORTH: Right, right.
11	CHAIRMAN OTERO: How about for the deck?
12	Was a permit requested for the deck?
13	MR. SEYFORTH: No. No, because I was told
14	by, you know, the contractor, which is the
15	Miccosukees, they said that no, as long as you
16	build the deck and the tiki hut
17	CHAIRMAN OTERO: You're exempt.
18	MR. SEYFORTH: Yeah. Yeah, so I thought,
19	well, this is good, you know, I'm happy, and
20	this is you know.
21	MR. HIDALGO: I have a question for the
22	City. Was there a permit for the water
23	feature?
24	MS. GONZALEZ: The reflecting pond?
25	MR. HIDALGO: The pond.

1	MS. GONZALEZ: No.
2	MR. HIDALGO: No?
3	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Thank you.
4	MR. SEYFORTH: Okay, thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Is there anyone in the
6	audience that wishes to speak on behalf of or
7	against the proposal? Let the record show no
8	one here in the audience came forward to speak.
9	As far as I can see, the public hearing is
10	now closed, and now the Board can discuss, make
11	motions, and feel free to address more
12	questions to the City or the applicant.
13	MR. SOTELO: Was the complaint made during
14	the construction process or after the fact? Do
15	we know when the complaint was made in relation
16	to the actual construction of the hut?
17	MS. GONZALEZ: It was in December of
18	November 27th, the complaint was received, of
19	2012. I don't know, maybe Mr. Seyforth I
20	believe it was built, once the inspector went
21	out there.
22	MR. SEYFORTH: Should I stand up?
23	MR. SOTELO: No, no, it's okay.
24	MR. SEYFORTH: The only thing I can
25	MR. OTELO: I'm just trying to see if the

complaint happened while it was being constructed and somebody who had been annoyed that that --

MR. SEYFORTH: No, I believe it was after it was constructed.

MR. SOTELO: Okay.

MR. SEYFORTH: I believe it was after it was constructed when it happened, and, you know, I was trying to wonder why, because again, I know the neighbors around me love it, so what -- and the only thing that I can think might possibly have happened -- and again, I'm just guessing, is that I sit on the association for the -- you know, the Board there, that is representing --

MS. GONZALEZ: Would you please come up and speak?

MR. SEYFORTH: I sit on the association of a group that is representing those that are sitting on the canal that do not have ocean access. Obviously, there's about 80 homes there that -- you know, this has been something that has been going on for years and years, you know, those homeowners trying to get ocean access, and obviously, you know, there's a lot

1	of homeowners that don't want that to happen,
2	the ones that have ocean access. Not all of
3	them. Some of them could care less, but there
4	is a small group that really do not want to see
5	these homes get ocean access, and, you know, is
6	that a possibility? I don't know. I mean,
7	that's the only thing I can think of, because
8	there was no other reason from anybody else.
9	CHAIRMAN OTERO: There could be a lot of
10	reasons. One reason could be, by way of
11	example, is that someone may have applied for a
12	permit in the past
13	MR. SOTELO: Right.
14	MR. SEYFORTH: Yeah.
15	CHAIRMAN OTERO: for a similar hut, and
16	been denied.
17	MR. SEYFORTH: Yes, sir, it's possible,
18	very possible.
19	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Which is the one I'm
20	betting on.
21	MR. SOTELO: Uh-huh.
22	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Put that aside. Then my
23	next question to Staff is, Liz, there's a
24	comment on the report that says, "Similar
25	variance requests relating to chickee hut

roofing have been presented before and the 1 Board of Adjustment has generally granted these 2 This has to do with the first one. 3 variances." This has to do with the construction. 4 5 MS. GONZALEZ: That is correct. If you don't need to CHAIRMAN OTERO: 6 7 comply with the Code, why would a variance even be requested on the first item? 8 9 MS. GONZALEZ: For the material of the The palmetto palm is not an identified 10 11 material in the Zoning Code as a roof covering 12 material. 13 CHAIRMAN OTERO: So you would still need a variance, even though you are in conformity --14 MS. GONZALEZ: For the chickee hut. 15 CHAIRMAN OTERO: -- with the Code which 16 17 exempts the Indians? MS. GONZALEZ: It was generally thought 18 that because of the exceptional standard and 19 construction for the chickee hut and the fact 20 that it is a common and typical feature in 21 South Florida, the variances were usually 22 granted, generally granted, for the chickee 23 huts. 24 CHAIRMAN OTERO: For the material? 25

1	MS. GONZALEZ: For the material, the
2	palmetto palm thatched roof.
3	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Were there any that you
4	recall that may have been denied?
5	MS. GONZALEZ: I did a search and there
6	were very few that I found. I found two that
7	were approved. However, the only difference is
8	that those were allowed in the rear waterway
9	setback because they were considered a
10	combination of gazebo and trellis, and
11	trellises were allowed in the rear waterway
12	setback before.
13	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Do you know
14	MS. GONZALEZ: Trellises I'm sorry,
15	trellises are not allowed now in the rear
16	waterway setback.
17	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Shifting to 2 and 3, which
18	have to do with setbacks
19	MS. GONZALEZ: That is correct.
20	CHAIRMAN OTERO: we have examples where
21	the criteria for the variances have not been
22	met, yet the Board of Adjustment has granted
23	variances?
24	MS. GONZALEZ: If the criteria I don't
25	know with a hundred percent certainty.
	· ·

1 CHAIRMAN OTERO: It's clear, on 2 and 3, 2 the criteria in the literal terms have not been 3 met, but that doesn't mean the Board doesn't 4 have some discretion to grant variances, as we 5 have done in the past. Do you know of any that 6 have been granted or denied in similar facts? 7 MS. GONZALEZ: For a chickee hut? 8 CHAIRMAN OTERO: Yes. 9 MR. GALVEZ: Or any structure. 10 MS. GONZALEZ: For any structure? You're talking about setbacks? 11 MR. GALVEZ: 12 We're talking setbacks. CHAIRMAN OTERO: 13 MR. GALVEZ: We're not talking chickee hut. 14 CHAIRMAN OTERO: Yeah, now we're beyond 15 chickee hut, because we passed that test on the 16 first one. 17 MS. GONZALEZ: I could not answer with a 18 hundred percent certainty, without having the 19 facts in front of me and all of the details. 20 There's so many cases, and I can recall a 21 second floor addition that was approved to 22 encroach into the setback, but every case has 23 its own set of circumstances and is judged on 24 its own set of circumstances. There could have 25 been something unique, a unique feature with

I don't know at this moment. I'm not 1 the lot. 2 going to answer that, because I don't know with 3 a hundred percent certainty. MR. GALVEZ: I think what he's trying to 4 5 get at is, we want to avoid creating a 6 precedent --7 MR. SOTELO: Right. MR. GALVEZ: -- that would allow a splurge 8 9 of these sort of structures to be sprouting. 10 MS. GONZALEZ: I can recall, as I said, a second floor addition, and I can also recall an 11 addition that was allowed to encroach into the 12 13 rear waterway setback, but the lot was unusual. 14 It was irregular in shape. So there were other 15 circumstances to consider, when considering that design in itself. 16 17 MR. GALVEZ: Is it the easterly neighbor not objecting to his encroachment? Because I 18 19 think that's the one that it really affects the 20 property, being that the hut is technically even with the pool and closer than the pool 2.1 22 deck. MS. GONZALEZ: I have a letter of no 23 objection from that neighbor to the east. 24 2.5 MR. GALVEZ: Which is that?

1 MS. GONZALEZ: This neighbor. MR. GALVEZ: Does that establish a reason 2. 3 to allow the variance? MS. GONZALEZ: It does not -- That's not 4 one of the standards that is outlined in your 5 packet, Number 1 through 8. 6 The side setbacks are there 7 MR. GALVEZ: for the protection of the neighbors. 8 It is there for protection MS. GONZALEZ: 9 of the neighbor. It is there for numerous 10 11 reasons. MR. SEYFORTH: May I add something about 12 13 that? Sure. Step up, please. 14 CHAIRMAN OTERO: MR. SEYFORTH: Yeah. The neighbor, he's 15 been a homeowner there for like 35 plus years, 16 and obviously, after it was built, I did talk 17 to him, and particularly when I found out about 18 the setback, and I told him what happened, and 19 I said -- you know, I just asked him, "Is there 20 an issue with you?" Because I think, you know, 21 2.2 again, as you said, it's not the back, because 23 it's actually inside the pool, anyway, by a long ways. I said, "So it really isn't 24 affecting you, because we're talking about this 25

much of a setback I'm using, the 10 feet," and 1 he said, "No, not at all." He said, "I have no 2 3 problem. I love it." He said, "I love it, Mark." He said, "I'll give you -- " 4 5 when he said, "Let me write you a letter, saying that I have no problems with it. I've 6 been a homeowner for 35 years, and I love the 7 tiki hut." 8 9 CHAIRMAN OTERO: The tests we are faced with -- Let me make a couple of comments. 10 MR. SEYFORTH: 11 Okay. CHAIRMAN OTERO: This Board has tried, 12 since I've been on it, very hard to accommodate 13 neighbors, because we're all neighbors. 14 Right. I understand. 15 MR. SEYFORTH: CHAIRMAN OTERO: But the criteria is not 16 personal as to either you or your neighbor, 17 because tomorrow's neighbor can change. 18 MR. SEYFORTH: Uh-huh. 19 CHAIRMAN OTERO: And it has to do with the 2.0 And if you're five feet away, let's property. 21 say, from your neighbor, and he thought it was 22 fine, his buyer could then do the same thing 23 five feet from your house, which you may not 24

think is fine, or your buyer. I mean, that's

25

the problem and the difficulty that we have. 1 I understand. MR. SEYFORTH: 2 3 CHAIRMAN OTERO: Not every case is a 4 slam-dunk. MR. SEYFORTH: No, I understand. 5 CHAIRMAN OTERO: Okay. 6 Any further discussion, need for 7 information? 8 The only information I'm missing is 9 actually precedent, whether this has happened 10 in the past in similar situations, pro or con, 11 and that's important to me, because that --12 Otherwise, my co Board members here can opine, 13 make a motion. 14 Well, my last comment is, you MR. GALVEZ: 15 know, the hardship that we're dealing with is a 16 17 financial hardship, and, you know, I 18 acknowledge the hardship, but essentially, for this home to be sold, that violation would have 19 to be cleared in order to deliver clear title, 20 and for that violation to be cleared, the tiki 21 hut would have to be removed. That's the tough 22 23 part for the owner right now. But again, if he's selling the home, the sale could be 24 contingent upon the removal of the hut and he 25

can essentially look to the buyer to pay the monies, to pay for the removal.

That's really where I was having the struggle with this whole situation, but it just hit me now that that's really the biggest hurdle, is disposing of the property without a violation on it.

CHAIRMAN OTERO: To follow up, is there a recurring fine on this property or is there a violation that's been recorded?

MS. GONZALEZ: There's not a fine at this moment. That is being stayed until a decision is rendered today.

CHAIRMAN OTERO: Thank you.

MR. THOMSON: Yeah, I just have two comments, and that is the old axiom that ignorance of the law is no excuse, and I've looked at the plans and the drawings and I think if this had come up to the Board for a zoning request of a variance, I would not approve it with the side setback and the size of it, so I just don't think this is an idea that I could go along with.

MR. SEYFORTH: May I ask --

CHAIRMAN OTERO: Just one more question.

1	It says on the first page, "The plans have
2	received final approval from the Board of
3	Architects."
4	MS. GONZALEZ: That is correct.
5	CHAIRMAN OTERO: What is the import of
6	that? What is the relevance?
7	MS. GONZALEZ: The Board of Architects is
8	made up of members of the community, architects
9	who approve and review the design in
10	conjunction with the residence and the
11	neighboring properties.
12	MR. SEYFORTH: May I say one thing?
13	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Yes, please.
14	MR. SEYFORTH: I guess I'm not quite
15	understanding. I thought there had been
16	precedents of situations with setbacks in Coral
17	Gables that have been approved.
18	MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, that's correct.
19	MR. SEYFORTH: I mean, there has been.
20	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Let me try to explain
21	again, and luckily, we have counsel here that
22	can further educate me.
23	To grant a variance, you need to meet seven
24	standards.
25	MR. SEYFORTH: Right, uh-huh.

1	CHAIRMAN OTERO: We have granted variances
2	when those have been met. Irregular lots is
3	the most common one. Inability to build a dock
4	because of sea issues with DERM is another one,
5	to extend it.
6	With respect to the two zoning variance
7	requests, those have not been met. That's why
8	we are struggling with this.
9	MR. SEYFORTH: Yeah.
10	CHAIRMAN OTERO: It's a little different.
11	MR. SEYFORTH: Okay.
12	CHAIRMAN OTERO: It doesn't fit the seven
13	criteria. That's the problem we're having.
14	MR. SEYFORTH: Okay.
15	MS. THORNTON RICHARD: Just to be clear,
16	this Board does obviously have the discretion
17	to issue a variance on the setback issues, but
18	again, as the Chair spoke to you, they must
19	consider the eight factors
20	MR. SEYFORTH: Right, and I
21	MS. THORNTON RICHARD: and determine if
22	each individual applicant and each individual
23	property meets those factors, and that's within
24	this discretion.
25	MR. SEYFORTH: Okay. Obviously, I'm just

asking you to please consider it because of 1 2 financial hardship and the fact that I think, you know, the setback, even though it's there, 3 is not affecting anybody, our neighbors or 4 anybody else. Maybe in the future, maybe. 5 don't see that. 6 MR. SOTELO: I think the biggest concern is 7 how this may set precedent for future cases 8 like this --9 MR. SEYFORTH: Yeah, right. 10 MR. SOTELO: -- where they may not have a 11 neighbor that's --12 MR. SEYFORTH: Yeah, but then --13 MR. SOTELO: I probably wouldn't mind it, 14 15 but my buyer may. MR. SEYFORTH: Could that be part of the 16 precedent, though, that you have to have 17 approval from anybody that it affects? I mean, 18 see, it affects him, and nobody else, as long 19 as -- I mean, maybe if you're worrying about a 20 precedent being set, I mean, wouldn't it also 21 be one of the considerations, that if someone 22 says, "No, if it's violating my setback, well, 23 you can't do that," then, you know, that's 2.4 different, but if the neighbor is saying, "I 25

don't mind that two feet, you know, go ahead,"

I mean, it's not a problem for me.

CHAIRMAN OTERO: We have, in the past, set conditions to approval. I'm not sure we have ever set conditions to approval related to the mood of a neighbor. That's the problem.

What I'd like to do, because I think this is important to the Board, is spend no more than seven -- whatever time we need, so the Board can really just briefly go over each of the seven conditions.

MR. SEYFORTH: Okay.

CHAIRMAN OTERO: And just to see if there's a systemic approach we can follow, that we can exercise some discretion in reading the answer to each of these in a different way, perhaps, than has been read.

So, if the Board would look at Staff
Observation Items 2 and 3, the first criteria
is that special conditions and circumstances
exist which are peculiar to the land, structure
or building which are not applicable to other
lands, structures or buildings in the same
zoning district. Staff opines that the
property site is typical, with no unusual or

1 exceptional circumstances. Does anyone disagree with that or want to 2 comment on that first conclusion? 3 MR. SOTELO: No. 4 CHAIRMAN OTERO: None. 5 The second criteria is that special 6 7 conditions and circumstances do not result from the actions of the applicant. Staff opines 8 that it does not meet that standard and that 9 the installation of the hut in the setback area 10 was a result of the construction being 11 12 completed without approval and permit from the 13 City. Is there any discussion or any disagreement 14 with that conclusion? 15 None? 16 Third, that the granting of the variance 17 18 will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by these regulations 19 to other lands, buildings or structures in the 20 same zoning district. Staff opines that it 21 does not meet the standard. 22 Any opinions, discussion? If anybody on 23 the Board sees a gray area that we can flip 2.4

this, please speak up.

25

No objection.

2.0

Four, that the literal interpretation of the provisions of these regulations would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same zoning district under the terms of these regulations and would work unnecessary and undue hardship on the applicant.

Let me make a footnote, and I can be corrected by counsel, I don't think financial situations constitutes hardship. Am I correct?

MS. THORNTON RICHARD: Well, it's for this Board to determine what you are going to consider a hardship.

CHAIRMAN OTERO: Okay.

MS. THORNTON RICHARD: It's hard for me to say that it can never be a hardship, especially in circumstances, you know --

CHAIRMAN OTERO: So this Board will -
Let's submit that this Board can consider

financial hardship to an extent, in the

discretion of the Board. Is there any

objection to the conclusion that it does not

meet the standard exception -- the standard

required under Item 4?

1 No objection? 2 MR. SOTELO: I have one statement about 3 that. CHAIRMAN OTERO: Yes, sir. 4 5 MR. SOTELO: And I think that we need to look at this as if this was being submitted 6 preconstruction. We're looking at this after 7 the fact, right now, and it's almost we're 8 9 backtracking, so the hardship could be considered before. Now we're considering the 10 11 hardship of what it's going to cost to remove, and I think that's important to note, because 12 13 we've got to think that this should have been 14 done beforehand and we would have not had this 15 hardship that we're now seeing, then. 16 we need to consider that, so --

CHAIRMAN OTERO: Do you feel that 4 has been met?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SOTELO: I think, given the circumstances of what we're calling hardship, it has been met, but I want us all to kind of see where I'm going, is that we would normally look at that as the person is submitting for a permit, not post, because we're in essence trying to use the conclusion of hardship as

what it's going to cost to remove this because 1 2 it was done without permit. CHAIRMAN OTERO: Any further comments 3 or -- Number 5, the variance granted is the 4 minimum variance that will make possible the 5 6 reasonable use of the land, building or 7 Staff opines, it does not meet the structure. standard required for authorization of 8 9 variance, that the distances encroaching into 10 the rear are not minimal, but significant. smaller version or different location should 11 12 have been considered. 13 What distances are we talking about, exactly? 14 15 MR. SEYFORTH: About two and a half feet on the side and about four on the back. 16 17 MS. GONZALEZ: The rear setback is located 1.8 at fifteen feet, six inches, versus twenty feet, as required by the Zoning Code. And the 19 side setback is seven feet, four inches, versus 20 21 ten feet, as required by the Zoning Code. Any question or comment on 22 CHAIRMAN OTERO: 5, on the issue of numbers, whether three feet, 23 four feet constitutes sufficient --24 25 MR. GALVEZ: Well, as a percentage of the

setback, it's substantial. It's 25 percent of the setback on the side. So I think you look at it like that, how much of a percentage of the actual setback are we encroaching into.

CHAIRMAN OTERO: I would request of the Board members just to keep all this in your heads as we present a motion, when I'm done with this exercise.

Number 6, that granting the variance will not change the use to one that is not permitted in the zoning district or different from other land in the same district. Staff opines it does not -- it does meet the standard required. Number 6, Staff opines it does meet the standard, that it will not change the use to one that is not permitted in the zoning district.

Number 7, that the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general intent and purpose of these regulations and that such variance will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare. Staff opines it does not meet the standard required for authorization of the variance, that granting the variance to allow

the encroachment of the chickee hut and the wood deck flooring will not be in harmony with the general intent of the Zoning Code. The Zoning Code requires distances which maintain separation from neighboring properties and maintain similar distances from the waterway for sight preservation of the waterway view. Some are subjective, but are there any comments, discussions on that item?

The last one is that the granting of the variance is appropriate for the continued preservation of a historic landmark. That's not relevant in this case.

So we have seven standards that must be met. Some are subjective, some are objective. Any further discussion or can we have a motion as set forth in your packet? And whoever makes the motion, please review your packet, that you have language on each of the items. And I would entertain a motion on each of the items separately. The first item would be that regarding, basically, the Building Code.

MS. FUHRMAN: On Item Number 1?

CHAIRMAN OTERO: Item Number 1, which is

25 the one that is recommended by Staff to be

1	approved.
2	MS. FUHRMAN: I move that the Board of
3	Adjustment grant Application BA-14-07-3633, a
4	request by the owners as applicants for a
5	variance for the existing residence at 1501
6	Bella Vista Avenue, to allow the chickee hut to
7	remain as built with palmetto palm thatched
8	roof. The motion is based upon the testimony
9	presented, along with the application submitted
10	and the Staff Report, which constitute
11	competent, substantial evidence. The Board
12	hereby makes findings of fact that each of the
13	standards in Section 3-806 of the Zoning Code
14	has been met.
15	MR. GALVEZ: I second.
16	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Item 1, motion to grant
17	has been seconded. Any discussion?
18	Take the roll on that first item, please.
19	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Perez?
20	MR. PEREZ: Yes.
21	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Sotelo?
22	MR. SOTELO: Yes.
23	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Hidalgo?
24	MR. HIDALGO: Yes.
25	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Galvez?

1	MR. GALVEZ: Yes.
2	MS. GONZALEZ: Ms. Fuhrman?
3	MS. FUHRMAN: Yes.
4	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Thomson?
5	MR. THOMSON: Yes.
6	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Otero?
7	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Yes.
8	On Item Number 2 Actually, 2 and 3
9	relate to the zoning. We'll entertain a motion
10	on Item Number 2, granting or denying the
11	variance.
12	MS. FUHRMAN: I move that the Board of
13	Adjustment deny Application BA-14-07-3633, a
14	request by the owners as applicants for a
15	variance for the existing residence at 1501
16	Bella Vista Avenue, to allow the chickee hut to
17	maintain a rear waterway setback of fifteen
18	feet, six inches. The motion is based upon the
19	testimony presented, along with the application
20	submitted and the Staff Report, which
21	constitute competent, substantial evidence.
22	The Board hereby makes findings of fact that
23	each of the standards in Section 3-806 of the
24	Zoning Code has not been met.
25	MR. THOMSON: Second.

1	CHAIRMAN OTERO: There's a motion that's
2	been seconded. I would like to make a motion
3	to amend that motion, just to state that
4	just to clarify that Standard 6 has been met,
5	but the remaining two Would you like to
6	restate the motion
7	MS. FUHRMAN: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN OTERO: with what we're
9	supposed to get in?
10	MS. FUHRMAN: Okay.
11	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Would that be proper, to
12	restate the motion?
13	MS. THORNTON RICHARD: Yeah, you can
14	restate it. It's okay.
15	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Thank you.
16	MS. FUHRMAN: It was Number 6 that was
17	correct.
18	MS. GONZALEZ: Number 6 and Number 8 were
19	met, out of the eight standards.
20	MS. FUHRMAN: I move that the Board of
21	Adjustment deny Application BA-14-07-3633, a
22	request by the owners as applicants for a
23	variance for the existing residence at 1501
24	Bella Vista, to allow the chickee hut to
25	maintain a rear waterway setback of fifteen

1	feet, six inches. The motion is based upon the
2	testimony presented, along with the application
3	submitted and the Staff Report, which
4	constitute competent, substantial evidence.
5	Standard Number 6 and Standard Number 8 were
6	met. The Board hereby makes findings of fact
7	that six of the eight standards in Section
8	3-806 of the Zoning Code have not been met.
9	MR. HIDALGO: A clarification on the
10	motion. Is that for Item 2 and 3, or only for
11	Item 2?
12	MS. FUHRMAN: Only for Item 2.
13	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Is there a second?
14	MR. HIDALGO: There was a second.
15	CHAIRMAN OTERO: There was a second?
16	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Thomson seconded.
17	MR. THOMSON: Second, yeah.
18	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Any discussion?
19	The vote, please?
20	MS. GONZALEZ: Ms. Fuhrman?
21	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Just to clarify, this is a
22	motion to deny, so a yes vote means deny.
23	MS. FUHRMAN: Yes.
24	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Perez?
25	MR. PEREZ: No.

1	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Galvez?
2	MR. GALVEZ: Yes.
3	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Sotelo?
4	MR. SOTELO: Yes.
5	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Thomson.
6	MR. THOMSON: Yes.
7	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Hidalgo?
8	MR. HIDALGO: Yes.
9	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Otero?
10	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Yes.
11	Item Number 3.
12	MR. THOMSON: I'll make the motion.
13	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Yes.
14	MR. THOMSON: Okay. I move that the Board
15	of Adjustment deny Item 3 of Application
16	BA-14-07-3633, a request by owners as
17	applicants for a variance for the existing
18	residence at 1501 Bella Vista Avenue, to allow
19	the chickee hut to remain as built with a
20	palmetto palm thatched roof. The motion is
21	based upon the testimony presented, along with
22	the application submitted and Staff Report,
23	which constitute competent, substantial
24	evidence. The Board hereby makes findings of
25	fact that with the exception of Item 6 and 7,

1	each of the standards in Section 3-806 of the
2	Zoning Code has not been met. 6 and 7 were
3	met.
4	MR. SOTELO: I second.
5	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Just to correct, I think
6	it's 6 and 8, Mr. Thomson.
7	MR. THOMSON: 6 and 8, pardon me.
8	CHAIRMAN OTERO: An oral scrivener's
9	correction. It's been seconded as such?
10	MR. SOTELO: (Nods head).
11	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Discussion?
12	Vote?
13	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Thomson?
14	MR. THOMSON: Yes.
15	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Galvez?
16	MR. GALVEZ: Yes.
17	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Perez?
18	MR. PEREZ: No.
19	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Hidalgo?
20	MR. HIDALGO: Yes.
21	MS. GONZALEZ: Ms. Fuhrman?
22	MS. FUHRMAN: Yes.
23	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Sotelo?
24	MR. SOTELO: Yes.
25	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Otero?

1	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Yes.
2	The motion on Item Number 1 was to approve
3	and passed, and just to summarize, the motion
4	to deny also passed on Items 2 and 3.
5	As was stated earlier, you may appeal this
6	to the City Commission. Liz will give you
7	deadlines and summarize how soon you have to do
8	this. Thank you for your time. I'm sorry
9	about the result.
10	MR. GALVEZ: Just to be clear, you've been
11	approved to build a thatched roof, just not
12	within the setbacks. It could be rebuilt at a
13	smaller size for the property.
14	MR. SEYFORTH: Okay. Thank you.
15	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Otero, we need to have
16	the minutes approved from the meeting of
17	October the 6th, that was sent to you via
18	e-mail, to all the Board members.
19	MR. HIDALGO: So moved.
20	MR. GALVEZ: I move that we approve.
21	MR. HIDALGO: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN OTERO: All those in favor?
23	(Thereupon, all members voted aye.)
24	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Opposed?
25	Unanimous.

1	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Otero, we need to
2	entertain the election of a Chairperson and
3	also the election of a Vice-Chairperson.
4	MR. HIDALGO: I'm going to move to have
5	Mr. Otero as Chairman again. He's done a
6	wonderful job.
7	MR. GALVEZ: Second.
8	MR. SOTELO: Congratulations.
9	CHAIRMAN OTERO: We need a Vice-Chair,
10	also.
11	MS. GONZALEZ: Let's take a vote first on
12	the first one.
13	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Okay, take a vote.
14	MS. GONZALEZ: There was a motion to elect
15	Mr. Otero as Chairperson of the Board by
16	Mr. Hidalgo and seconded by Mr. Galvez.
17	Mr. Galvez?
18	MR. GALVEZ: Yes.
19	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Perez?
20	MR. PEREZ: Yes.
21	MS. GONZALEZ: Ms. Fuhrman?
22	MS. FUHRMAN: Yes.
23	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Hidalgo?
24	MR. HIDALGO: Yes.
25	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Sotelo?

1	MR. SOTELO: Yes.
2	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Thomson?
3	MR. THOMSON: Yes.
4	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Otero?
5	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Yes.
6	MS. GONZALEZ: We need a motion
7	CHAIRMAN OTERO: I'd like to move to
8	appoint Oscar Hidalgo as Vice-Chair
9	MR. SOTELO: Second.
10	MS. GONZALEZ: I'm sorry, who seconded?
11	There's a motion to elect Mr. Hidalgo as
12	Vice-Chair by Mr. Otero and seconded by
13	Mr. Sotelo.
14	Mr. Galvez?
15	MR. GALVEZ: Yes.
16	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Thomson?
17	MR. THOMSON: Yes.
18	MS. GONZALEZ: Ms. Fuhrman?
19	MS. FUHRMAN: Yes.
20	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Sotelo?
21	MR. SOTELO: Yes.
22	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Hidalgo?
23	MR. HIDALGO: Yes.
24	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Perez?
25	MR. PEREZ: Yes.

1	MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Otero?
2	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Yes.
3	MS. GONZALEZ: Thank you.
4	Next, I e-mailed you, and in your packet,
5	you have the dates, the tentative dates, for
6	next year, 2015. As you know, we can always
7	work with that a little bit. Have you all had
8	a chance to look at that? Are there any
9	objections, concerns, suggestions?
10	MR. GALVEZ: I'm also concerned with
11	vacation. You know, the January 5th date, is
12	there any way to move it just to the next week?
13	I know that I'll be coming in, the weekend
14	before, from being away.
15	MS. GONZALEZ: I think
16	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Do we generally have a lot
17	of applicants at that time?
18	MS. GONZALEZ: I don't know, because the
19	deadline is a month and a half, but at this
20	moment, I don't have anything scheduled for
21	January. That doesn't mean that that's not
22	necessarily the case.
23	MS. FUHRMAN: Could we decide that when you
24	know?
25	MS. GONZALEZ: I could send out an e-mail

1 and -- We can leave it as is, and then I can 2 work with that. The problem with scheduling it the week after is that that leaves us a small 3 amount of time to get the next cases on board. 4 5 So we could postpone that one if we don't 6 have at least four members, a quorum. 7 CHAIRMAN OTERO: If we don't have a quorum, we can just push it back to February. 8 9 MR. PEREZ: I know I don't think I'll be 10 I'll be in Dallas with my grandchildren 11 for the New Year, so I don't know that I can 12 make that meeting. 13 MS. GONZALEZ: Okay. MR. GALVEZ: Yeah, and that's usually the 14 15 case, also, with the August 3rd meeting, 16 because there's some complications. 17 I'm sorry, the August 3rd MS. GONZALEZ: 18 meeting? 19 MR. GALVEZ: Yeah. 20 MS. GONZALEZ: What we could do is have 21 this as the tentative dates, and I can work 22 As you all know, I communicate with with this. 23 you all, and depending upon if I don't have enough members or if I have two members 2.4 25 missing, I try to work with the applicant, as

1	well, and ask them to defer till the next
2	month. It's all workable. If I can push it
3	one week after, we could do that, too.
4	CHAIRMAN OTERO: Is that okay?
5	MR. GALVEZ: That's all I was asking, is if
6	those two dates could be moved a week later,
7	that's all.
8	MS. GONZALEZ: Okay.
9	MR. GALVEZ: That would not impose a
10	possible
11	MS. GONZALEZ: I'm going to see if the
12	Commission Chambers is available, and then I'm
13	just going to move it.
14	CHAIRMAN OTERO: For the 12th.
15	MS. GONZALEZ: I'll move it for January the
16	12th, and then I will move it to August the
17	10th.
18	MR. GALVEZ: I think that would just, at
19	least you know, obviously, you still have
20	situations that come up, but initially, I would
21	say I have no problems with any of the dates if
22	that would happen.
23	MS. GONZALEZ: Okay. I will send you a new
24	outline with the new dates, and our next
25	meeting is scheduled for December the 1st, and

```
as you know, I will notify you if it will be
 1
 2
         held or not. Thank you.
              CHAIRMAN OTERO: If no further business --
 3
               (Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at
 4
         9:00 a.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF FLORIDA:
3	SS.
4	COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:
5	
6	I, JOAN L. BAILEY, Registered Diplomate
7	Reporter, Florida Professional Reporter, and a Notary
8	Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby
9	certify that I was authorized to and did
10	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
11	that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
12	stenographic notes.
13	I further certify that Mark A. Seyforth was
14	duly sworn by me.
15	
16	DATED this 4th day of November, 2014.
17	O. & Bailes -
18	Joan L. Mary
19	U
20	JOAN L. BAILEY, RDR, FPR
21	Notary Commission Number EE 083192.
22	My Notary Commission expires 6/14/15.
23	
24	
25	