	Page 1		Page 2
	CITY OF CORAL GABLES	1	THEREUPON:
	LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY (LPA)/	2	(The following proceedings were held.)
	PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD MEETING VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to call the
	TUESDAY, MARCH 1, 2022, COMMENCING AT 6:02 P.M.	4	meeting to order. I'd like to ask everybody to
		5	please silence their phones and beepers.
		6	Good evening. This Board is comprised of
	Board Members Present:	7	seven members. Four Members of the Board shall
	Eibi Aizenstat, Chairman	8	constitute a quorum and the affirmative vote of
	Robert Behar Luis Revuelta	9	four Members of the Board shall be necessary
	Venny Torre	10	for the adoption of any motion. If only four
	Wayne "Chip" Withers	11	Members of the Board are present, an applicant
	Claudia Miro	12	may request and be entitled to a continuance to
		13	the next regularly scheduled meeting of the
		14	Board. If a matter is continued due to a lack
	City Staff and Consultants: Ramon Trias, Planning Director	15	of quorum, the Chairperson or Secretary of the
	Jill Menendez, Administrative Assistant, Board Secretary	16	Board may set a special meeting to consider
	Jennifer Garcia, City Planner	17	such matters. In the event that four votes are
	Arceli Redila, Principal Planner Craig Coller, Special Counsel	18	not obtained, an applicant may request a
	Craig Cories, Special Counsel	19	continuance or allow the application to proceed
	Also Participating:	20	to the City Commission without a
	Brett Gillis, via Zoom	21	recommendation.
	Diett Clinis, via Zooni	22	Pursuant to Resolution Number 2021-118, the
		23	City of Coral Gables has returned to
		24	traditional in-person meetings. Accordingly,
		25	any individual wishing to provide sworn
			any meriteual moning to provide swom
	Page 3		Page 4
1	testimony shall be present physically in the	1	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
2	City Commission Chambers. However, the	2	Claudia Miro?
3	Planning and Zoning Board has established the	3	MS. MIRO: Here.
4	ability for the public to provide comments,	4	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
5	non-sworn and without evidentiary value,	5	Venny Torre?
6	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who	6	MR. TORRE: Here.
7	wish to provide public comment in this format	7	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
8	may appear and provide those comments via Zoom.	8	MR. WITHERS: Here.
9	Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure, any	9	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
10	person who acts as lobbyist pursuant to the	10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.
11	City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11	11	Notice Regarding Ex Parte Communications,
12	must register with the City Clerk prior to	12	please be advised that this Board is a
13	engaging in lobbying activities or	13	quasi-judicial Board, which requires Board
14	presentations before City Staff, Boards,	14	Members to disclose all ex parte communications
15	Committees and/or the City Commission. A copy	15	and site visits. An ex parte communication is
16	of the Ordinance is available in the Office of	16	defined as any contact, communication,
17	the City Clerk. Failure to register and	17	conversation, correspondence, memorandum or
18	provide proof of registration shall prohibit	18	other written or verbal communication that
19	your ability to present to the Board.	19	takes place outside of a public hearing between
20	As Chairman, I now officially call the City	20	a member of the public and a member of the
21	of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board	21	quasi-judicial Board regarding matters to be
22	Meeting of March 1, 2022 to order. The time is	22	heard by the Board. If anyone made any contact
23	6:02. Jill, if you'd please call the roll.	23	with a Board Member regarding an issue before
24	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	24	the Board, the Board member must state on the
25	MR. BEHAR: Here.	25	record the existence of the ex parte

	Page 5		Page 6
1	communication and the party who originated the	1	that item, unless there's somebody in the
2	communication.	2	audience that is going to be testifying on E-2.
3	Also, if a Board Member conducted a site	3	(Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)
4	visit specifically related to the case before	4	MR. TRIAS: I do.
5	the Board, the Board Member must also disclose	5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
6	such visit. In either case, the Board Member	6	Everyone who speaks this evening must
7	must state on the record whether the ex parte	7	complete the roster on the podium. We ask that
8	communication and/or site visit will affect the	8	you print your name clearly, so the official
9	Board Member's ability to impartially consider	9	records of your name and address will be
10	the evidence to be presented regarding the	10	correct. We also ask, if there's anybody that
11	matter. The Board Member should also state	11	wants to speak via Zoom, I'll ask any person
12	that his or her decision will be based on	12	wishing to speak on tonight's agenda items, to
13	substantial competent evidence and testimony	13	please open their chat and send a direct
14	presented on the record today.	14	message to Jill Menendez, stating you would
15	Does any Board Member of the Board have	15	like to speak before the Board, and include
16	such a communication and/or site visit to	16	your full name. Jill will call you when it's
17	disclose at this time?	17	your turn. I'd ask you to be concise, for the
18	MR. BEHAR: No.	18	interest of time.
19	MS. MIRO: No.	19	Phone platform participants, after the Zoom
20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Item E-2 is a	20	platform participants are done, I will ask
21	quasi-judicial item that is on the agenda. Is	21	phone participants to comment on tonight agenda
22	there anybody here who will be speaking on Item	22	items. I'd also ask you to be concise, for the
23	E-2? If so, we will ask you to be sworn in.	23	interest of time.
24	MR. COLLER: In this case, I believe just	24	Next we have the approval of the minutes of
25	Staff would be the only one to be sworn in for	25	the February 9th, 2022 meeting. Has everybody
20	stair would be the only one to be sworn in for		the February 7th, 2022 meeting. This everybody
	Do 70 7		
	Page 7		Page 8
1	had a chance to review those?	1	Page 8 first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then
1 2		1 2	
	had a chance to review those?		first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then
2	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve.	2	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll
2	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion.	2 3	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board
2 3 4	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second.	2 3 4	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a
2 3 4 5	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by	2 3 4 5	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final
2 3 4 5	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by Venny.	2 3 4 5 6	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final comments and a vote, if necessary. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by Venny. Any comments?	2 3 4 5 6 7	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final comments and a vote, if necessary. Thank you. Mr. Coller.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by Venny. Any comments? Call the roll, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final comments and a vote, if necessary. Thank you. Mr. Coller. MR. COLLER: Item E-1 and E-2 are related,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by Venny. Any comments? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final comments and a vote, if necessary. Thank you. Mr. Coller. MR. COLLER: Item E-1 and E-2 are related, so I'm going to read both in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by Venny. Any comments? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final comments and a vote, if necessary. Thank you. Mr. Coller. MR. COLLER: Item E-1 and E-2 are related, so I'm going to read both in. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by Venny. Any comments? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final comments and a vote, if necessary. Thank you. Mr. Coller. MR. COLLER: Item E-1 and E-2 are related, so I'm going to read both in. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission granting approval of proposed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by Venny. Any comments? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final comments and a vote, if necessary. Thank you. Mr. Coller. MR. COLLER: Item E-1 and E-2 are related, so I'm going to read both in. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by Venny. Any comments? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final comments and a vote, if necessary. Thank you. Mr. Coller. MR. COLLER: Item E-1 and E-2 are related, so I'm going to read both in. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by Venny. Any comments? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final comments and a vote, if necessary. Thank you. Mr. Coller. MR. COLLER: Item E-1 and E-2 are related, so I'm going to read both in. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures, and Zoning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by Venny. Any comments? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final comments and a vote, if necessary. Thank you. Mr. Coller. MR. COLLER: Item E-1 and E-2 are related, so I'm going to read both in. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures, and Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-213,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by Venny. Any comments? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final comments and a vote, if necessary. Thank you. Mr. Coller. MR. COLLER: Item E-1 and E-2 are related, so I'm going to read both in. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures, and Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-213, "Comprehensive Plan Text and Map Amendments;"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by Venny. Any comments? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final comments and a vote, if necessary. Thank you. Mr. Coller. MR. COLLER: Item E-1 and E-2 are related, so I'm going to read both in. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures, and Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-213, "Comprehensive Plan Text and Map Amendments;" to provide that the maximum number of floors in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by Venny. Any comments? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final comments and a vote, if necessary. Thank you. Mr. Coller. MR. COLLER: Item E-1 and E-2 are related, so I'm going to read both in. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures, and Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-213, "Comprehensive Plan Text and Map Amendments;" to provide that the maximum number of floors in the Comprehensive Plan shall not apply to any Planned Area Development upon City Commission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by Venny. Any comments? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that will be used for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final comments and a vote, if necessary. Thank you. Mr. Coller. MR. COLLER: Item E-1 and E-2 are related, so I'm going to read both in. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures, and Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-213, "Comprehensive Plan Text and Map Amendments;" to provide that the maximum number of floors in the Comprehensive Plan shall not apply to any Planned Area Development upon City Commission approval, and to allow an additional height
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by Venny. Any comments? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that will be used for tonight's meeting is as follows: First, we'll have the identification of the agenda item by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final comments and a vote, if necessary. Thank you. Mr. Coller. MR. COLLER: Item E-1 and E-2 are related, so I'm going to read both in. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures, and Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-213, "Comprehensive Plan Text and Map Amendments;" to provide that the maximum number of floors in the Comprehensive Plan shall not apply to any Planned Area Development upon City Commission approval, and to allow an additional height bonus in return for limiting density for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by Venny. Any comments? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that will be used for tonight's meeting is as follows: First, we'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final comments and a vote, if necessary. Thank you. Mr. Coller. MR. COLLER: Item E-1 and E-2 are related, so I'm going to read both in. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures, and Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-213, "Comprehensive Plan Text and Map Amendments;" to provide that the maximum number of floors in the Comprehensive Plan shall not apply to any Planned Area Development upon City Commission approval, and to allow an additional height bonus in return for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by Venny. Any comments? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that will be used for tonight's meeting is as follows: First, we'll have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller, presentation by Staff and presentation by applicant or agent, in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final comments and a vote, if necessary. Thank you. Mr. Coller. MR. COLLER: Item E-1 and E-2 are related, so I'm going to read both in. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures, and Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-213, "Comprehensive Plan Text and Map Amendments;" to provide that the maximum number of floors in the Comprehensive Plan shall not apply to any Planned Area Development upon City Commission approval, and to allow an additional height bonus in return for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the Central Business District; providing for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	had a chance to review those? MR. BEHAR: I make a motion to approve. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. MR. TORRE: I'll second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by Venny. Any comments? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that will be used for tonight's meeting is as follows: First, we'll have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller, presentation by Staff and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	first in Chamber, then Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, we'll close the public comment, have Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, and a second of the motion, and then Board's final comments and a vote, if necessary. Thank you. Mr. Coller. MR. COLLER: Item E-1 and E-2 are related, so I'm going to read both in. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission granting approval of proposed amendments to the text of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state review procedures, and Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-213, "Comprehensive Plan Text and Map Amendments;" to provide that the maximum number of floors in the Comprehensive Plan shall not apply to any Planned Area Development upon City Commission approval, and to allow an additional height bonus in return for limiting density for qualifying Planned Area Developments within the

	Page 9		Page 10
1	Item E-2, an Ordinance of the City	1	questions, I'll be happy to help, but nothing
2	Commission providing for text amendments to the	2	has changed as far as the content of the
3	City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code,	3	request.
4	Article 2, "Zoning Districts," Section 2-500	4	A little bit extra height to 205 feet, in
5	"Planned Area Development," (PAD) to provide	5	-
6			certain areas of the Downtown, with a limit of
	that upon City Commission approval, the maximum	6	100 acres (sic) of density.
7	number of stories in any PAD shall not apply;	7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other
8	and to create a "Height Bonus to PAD Minimum	8	MR. TRIAS: That's it. I'm done. I think
9	Development Standards in the Central Business	9	most of you are familiar with it, so I don't
10	District" providing additional height with a	10	want to but if you have any questions, I'll
11	limit of density for qualifying properties upon	11	be happy to answer them.
12	City Commission approval, providing for	12	MR. BEHAR: I do have a question.
13	severability clause, repealer provision,	13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, please.
14	codification, and providing for an effective	14	MR. BEHAR: I don't agree with and I
15	date.	15	think I wasn't here the last time this came
16	Items E-1, E-2, public hearing.	16	up, but I saw it on TV. I don't agree that we
17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	17	should be limiting the density in the CBD
18	Mr. Trias.	18	area on the contrary, that's where you want
19	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, you have seen	19	the density to a minimum.
20	these items before and you voted on them.	20	What I think this is doing is, it's seeking
21	However, it's necessary to have a vote for or	21	that if you give the caveat of a hundred units
22	against the last vote was two-two in	22	per acre, you could go up to 205 feet, 6
23	order to forward the Comprehensive Plan	23	inches, right?
24	Amendment to the State. So that's why it's	24	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Sir.
25	here before you again. If you have any	25	MR. BEHAR: It says, "Current number of
	Page 11		Page 12
1	stories," you're at 14, 16 14 with Med I, 16	1	MR. WITHERS: Okay.
2	stories with Mediterranean Level II bonus.	2	MR. BEHAR: Okay. So, essentially, you're
3	This will have no limit on the number of	3	getting 15 feet more.
4	stories.	4	MR. WITHERS: But I thought the argument
5	MR. TRIAS: For a PAD.	5	for that was higher ceilings and grandeur
6	MR. BEHAR: For a PAD.	6	spaces and higher retail two-story retail on
7	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. So there's a few moving	7	the bottom and things like that. We talked
8	parts here. One of them is, this does not	8	about more pedestrian amenities in exchange for
9	affect the current regulations. If you are not	9	that. I think that was your thoughts on it,
10	taking advantage of this, you can still do	10	wasn't it?
11	unlimited density, within the rules. Clearly,	11	MR. BEHAR: Yeah. I mean, you're going to
12	there's a limit eventually. But the second	12	get you've got no limits. What I'm I'm
1.0	issue is that it only applies to PADs.	13	okay going to 100 and I mean, going to 205
13	issue is that it only applies to FADs.		okay going to 100 and I mean, going to 203
13 14		14	feet. What about if somebody comes in and
	MR. WITHERS: Wait. Say that again. What did you say about height?		feet. What about if somebody comes in and
14	MR. WITHERS: Wait. Say that again. What did you say about height?	14	feet. What about if somebody comes in and says, I want to do 19 stories now?
14 15	MR. WITHERS: Wait. Say that again. What did you say about height? MR. BEHAR: If you are doing a PAD in which	14 15	feet. What about if somebody comes in and says, I want to do 19 stories now? MR. TRIAS: That's something that somebody
14 15 16	MR. WITHERS: Wait. Say that again. What did you say about height? MR. BEHAR: If you are doing a PAD in which you're limiting it to a hundred units per acre,	14 15 16	feet. What about if somebody comes in and says, I want to do 19 stories now? MR. TRIAS: That's something that somebody could do. But in addition, in the update that
14 15 16 17 18	MR. WITHERS: Wait. Say that again. What did you say about height? MR. BEHAR: If you are doing a PAD in which you're limiting it to a hundred units per acre, you could go up, from 190 feet 6 inches, to 205	14 15 16 17	feet. What about if somebody comes in and says, I want to do 19 stories now? MR. TRIAS: That's something that somebody could do. But in addition, in the update that we had recently, there was a minimum height for
14 15 16 17 18	MR. WITHERS: Wait. Say that again. What did you say about height? MR. BEHAR: If you are doing a PAD in which you're limiting it to a hundred units per acre, you could go up, from 190 feet 6 inches, to 205 feet 6 inches. You get an extra 15 feet.	14 15 16 17 18 19	feet. What about if somebody comes in and says, I want to do 19 stories now? MR. TRIAS: That's something that somebody could do. But in addition, in the update that we had recently, there was a minimum height for the ground level. So there are some other
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WITHERS: Wait. Say that again. What did you say about height? MR. BEHAR: If you are doing a PAD in which you're limiting it to a hundred units per acre, you could go up, from 190 feet 6 inches, to 205 feet 6 inches. You get an extra 15 feet. MR. WITHERS: To the roof to the roof	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	feet. What about if somebody comes in and says, I want to do 19 stories now? MR. TRIAS: That's something that somebody could do. But in addition, in the update that we had recently, there was a minimum height for the ground level. So there are some other limits.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WITHERS: Wait. Say that again. What did you say about height? MR. BEHAR: If you are doing a PAD in which you're limiting it to a hundred units per acre, you could go up, from 190 feet 6 inches, to 205 feet 6 inches. You get an extra 15 feet. MR. WITHERS: To the roof to the roof line, not to the	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	feet. What about if somebody comes in and says, I want to do 19 stories now? MR. TRIAS: That's something that somebody could do. But in addition, in the update that we had recently, there was a minimum height for the ground level. So there are some other limits. MR. BEHAR: But if you do currently in
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WITHERS: Wait. Say that again. What did you say about height? MR. BEHAR: If you are doing a PAD in which you're limiting it to a hundred units per acre, you could go up, from 190 feet 6 inches, to 205 feet 6 inches. You get an extra 15 feet. MR. WITHERS: To the roof to the roof line, not to the MR. BEHAR: Not to the architectural	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	feet. What about if somebody comes in and says, I want to do 19 stories now? MR. TRIAS: That's something that somebody could do. But in addition, in the update that we had recently, there was a minimum height for the ground level. So there are some other limits. MR. BEHAR: But if you do currently in 190, you could do 17 stories at 10 feet, that's
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WITHERS: Wait. Say that again. What did you say about height? MR. BEHAR: If you are doing a PAD in which you're limiting it to a hundred units per acre, you could go up, from 190 feet 6 inches, to 205 feet 6 inches. You get an extra 15 feet. MR. WITHERS: To the roof — to the roof line, not to the — MR. BEHAR: Not to the architectural treatment or whatever.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	feet. What about if somebody comes in and says, I want to do 19 stories now? MR. TRIAS: That's something that somebody could do. But in addition, in the update that we had recently, there was a minimum height for the ground level. So there are some other limits. MR. BEHAR: But if you do currently in 190, you could do 17 stories at 10 feet, that's 170.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WITHERS: Wait. Say that again. What did you say about height? MR. BEHAR: If you are doing a PAD in which you're limiting it to a hundred units per acre, you could go up, from 190 feet 6 inches, to 205 feet 6 inches. You get an extra 15 feet. MR. WITHERS: To the roof to the roof line, not to the MR. BEHAR: Not to the architectural	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	feet. What about if somebody comes in and says, I want to do 19 stories now? MR. TRIAS: That's something that somebody could do. But in addition, in the update that we had recently, there was a minimum height for the ground level. So there are some other limits. MR. BEHAR: But if you do currently in 190, you could do 17 stories at 10 feet, that's

	Page 13		Page 14
1	for the parking. And then you could do 20 feet	1	part of the project than you are from the
2	for the ground floor, right?	2	Residential part of the project. And I think
3	MR. TRIAS: Right.	3	the intent, from what I understand it, was
4	MR. BEHAR: What I think this is doing is	4	to in the guise of the proposal, was to
5	opening up the possibility to go for an extra	5	reduce impact on the streets and traffic, which
6	story, 19 stories.	6	I don't believe that's the way I feel it about
7	MR. TRIAS: The other issue is that it's a	7	•
8		8	it, but that's the objective.
	PAD, so it's discretionary, it's reviewed. The		If you take it, and don't reduce the FAR,
9	Commission may say, yes; may say, no; so it's	9	and you push it all over towards the
10	not by right, by any means.	10	Commercial, in reality, you got people driving
11	MR. TORRE: But what really matters is the	11	in the morning, driving at five o'clock and
12	FAR. Truly, the impact of a property, a	12	creating that middle day traffic, which is less
13	project, is really because of the FAR. The	13	impactable or, I should say, more impactable
14	heights are not so impactable. The FAR is	14	than the morning person that leaves at 7:00 or
15	what's here. The FAR is not being challanged	15	8:00 and comes back at 5:00 and then parks her
16	or changed by this. Basically, one particular	16	car. So there's too much of an impact by
17	project could be 300,000 feet.	17	shifting towards the Commercial, if the FAR is
18	MR. TRIAS: Right.	18	not affected.
19	MR. TORRE: To massage the size of the	19	So I think there was a motion here to help
20	units, you're reducing it, leaves the square	20	a project, which I believe is a good project,
21	footage to go towards the office side. You're	21	so I've been very voiced you know, my voice
22	shrinking the density. You're shrinking the	22	has been loud, that density in the Downtown
23	amount of units. You're not shrinking the FAR.	23	should not be reduced. It's something that I
24	In a sense, what you're doing is, you're	24	don't quite believe is the right way of doing
25	creating more of an impact from the Commercial	25	it.
	Page 15		Page 16
1	I'm prepared to vote for this to go	1	next week.
2	forward. I don't think that the impact of this	2	MR. BEHAR: Look, and I agree with you. I
3	change is going to make any real impact. You	3	think this is a good project going forward, and
4	have to have one acre, in the middle of	4	I don't have a problem and, Chip, going
5	Downtown, to do a PAD. I think that the	5	if you were to do higher ceilings, okay. I
6	overall impact is not going to be in any way,	6	think that would be if you have big units
7	shape or form impactable for us.	7	bigger units, you want to have higher ceilings.
8	And, again, big units would be great. They	8	We're all in favor of that.
9	rent for more money. Economies change, and,	9	I just don't want to see trying, you know,
10	then, when you have three or four thousand	10	to circumvent later and say, I want to do low
11	square feet, they sell for a lot more money.	11	ceilings to get higher
12	Now, that may be good in an economy, but it may	12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Hire density.
13	not be good in another economy. So it doesn't	13	MR. BEHAR: higher even though but
14	matter. These things may happen today, but	14	this only limits it to a hundred units per
15	five years from now, nobody may want to build	15	acre, right. You can never do that. Look, I
16	bigger units. So it doesn't, to me, make a big	16	answered my own question.
17	impact.	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What I'd like to do,
18	I've been against it. I'm prepared to make	18	let's go ahead and open it to the public. Is
19	this vote go forward. I'd like this project to	19	there anybody here that would like to speak on
20	go forward and I know this is attached to one	20	Items E-1 or E-2?
21	particular project.	21	Jill, do we have anybody on Zoom?
	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may, what I'd	22	THE SECRETARY: Yes, we do.
2.2	CIT HIGHT IN THE LIBITAL. II I Hay, what I'd		CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How many speakers?
22 23	like to do is recognize that I uis has joined	1 23	
23	like to do is recognize that Luis has joined	23	• •
	like to do is recognize that Luis has joined our meeting, if you could please count him in.	23	THE SECRETARY: One.

	Page 17		Page 18
1	THE SECRETARY: Mr. Gillis?	1	those questions to Staff.
2	MR. GILLIS: Hello, my name is Brett	2	Do you have any other comments based on E-1
3	Gillis, and I'm calling because yes. Can	3	or E-2?
4	you hear me?	4	MR. GILLIS: Mainly not a question, but my
5	THE SECRETARY: Can you please state your name?	5	comment, just from a philosophical standpoint
6	MR. GILLIS: My name is Brett Gillis.	6	would be that we've just had a Zoning Code
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With your address,	7	re-write or update that was supposed to resolve
8	please.	8	a lot of these Zoning issues, and it seems like
9	MR. GILLIS: 915 Ferdinand Street, Coral	9	every month the agendas keep getting bigger,
10	Gables.	10	with more amendments and more proposals. So
11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Go ahead,	11	I'd also like Staff to address that, why, after
12	please.	12	the Zoning update that was supposed to resolve
13	MR. GILLIS: Okay. Hello, everybody. I'm	13	these issues, why are we still having these
14	calling, because I have a question for Staff,	14	cases come up and tailoring the Zoning Code to
15	because we're talking about how it seems like,	15	it, after we've had a complete overhaul that we
16	you know, you're talking about the properties	16	paid a consultant a tremendous amount of money.
17	that are increasing from the Commercial	17	Thank you.
18	High-Rise, that it could go up to 205.5 feet,	18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
19	but it looks like, within the Central Business	19	MR. TRIAS: This was an idea proposed by
20	District, there are properties that are still	20	the City Commission, discussed by the City
21	Zoned Low and Mid-Rise. So that's only 50 or	21	Commission. It was approved by the City
22	70 feet. So I wanted to know how this would	22	Commission. This is something that happened
23	impact those properties, especially in the area	23	after the update. So that is normal. That
24	bordering the Crafts Section.	24	happens all of the time. I mean, people come
25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We'll go ahead and ask	25	up with new ideas and that's the way the
	Page 19		Page 20
1	project the Zoning Codes evolve.	1	used to quantify the impact usually, in terms
2	As far as the Zoning and the Land Use, it	2	of traffic, trips, in terms of parking, et
3	has obviously, the Land Use has to allow for	3	cetera. So, less units, in theory, will be
4	this, and if it doesn't, then it doesn't, and	4	less impact. In fact, that's the thinking,
5	what happens is that this is for a very	5	yeah.
6	specific area in the Central Business District,	6	MR. WITHERS: Right. That's the
7	for very Specific Conditions, for a PAD, which	7	MR. TRIAS: Yeah.
8	has to be an acre, and the idea is that the	8	MR. TORRE: My point is, if the units make
9	limited density could be seen as a benefit for	9	up too much square feet, bigger units, smaller
10	development in that area. That is what the	10	units, all of that goes to the Commercial.
11	Commission believes.	11	It's not changing the total map.
	MR. WITHERS: When you say, "Limited	12	MD WITHEDG. Comment
12			MR. WITHERS: Correct.
12 13	density," you mean that instead of having three	13	MR. BEHAR: I mean, technically you're
12 13 14	density," you mean that instead of having three two-bedroom apartments, where there's six cars,	14	MR. BEHAR: I mean, technically you're right. You could do a hundred units, okay, and
12 13 14 15	density," you mean that instead of having three two-bedroom apartments, where there's six cars, you have one six-bedroom apartment, with two	14 15	MR. BEHAR: I mean, technically you're right. You could do a hundred units, okay, and you could do 100,000 square feet of office,
12 13 14 15 16	density," you mean that instead of having three two-bedroom apartments, where there's six cars, you have one six-bedroom apartment, with two cars? Is that what you mean by limited	14 15 16	MR. BEHAR: I mean, technically you're right. You could do a hundred units, okay, and you could do 100,000 square feet of office, right?
12 13 14 15 16 17	density," you mean that instead of having three two-bedroom apartments, where there's six cars, you have one six-bedroom apartment, with two cars? Is that what you mean by limited density?	14 15 16 17	MR. BEHAR: I mean, technically you're right. You could do a hundred units, okay, and you could do 100,000 square feet of office, right? MR. TRIAS: Yeah. You could have TDRs and
12 13 14 15 16 17	density," you mean that instead of having three two-bedroom apartments, where there's six cars, you have one six-bedroom apartment, with two cars? Is that what you mean by limited density? MR. TRIAS: That's one way to look at it,	14 15 16 17 18	MR. BEHAR: I mean, technically you're right. You could do a hundred units, okay, and you could do 100,000 square feet of office, right? MR. TRIAS: Yeah. You could have TDRs and get to 4.375, and your scenario is realistic,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	density," you mean that instead of having three two-bedroom apartments, where there's six cars, you have one six-bedroom apartment, with two cars? Is that what you mean by limited density? MR. TRIAS: That's one way to look at it, yes.	14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. BEHAR: I mean, technically you're right. You could do a hundred units, okay, and you could do 100,000 square feet of office, right? MR. TRIAS: Yeah. You could have TDRs and get to 4.375, and your scenario is realistic, yes.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	density," you mean that instead of having three two-bedroom apartments, where there's six cars, you have one six-bedroom apartment, with two cars? Is that what you mean by limited density? MR. TRIAS: That's one way to look at it, yes. MR. WITHERS: No, I mean, was that the	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BEHAR: I mean, technically you're right. You could do a hundred units, okay, and you could do 100,000 square feet of office, right? MR. TRIAS: Yeah. You could have TDRs and get to 4.375, and your scenario is realistic, yes. MR. BEHAR: And you're not going to
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	density," you mean that instead of having three two-bedroom apartments, where there's six cars, you have one six-bedroom apartment, with two cars? Is that what you mean by limited density? MR. TRIAS: That's one way to look at it, yes. MR. WITHERS: No, I mean, was that the intent of the Commission? I mean	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BEHAR: I mean, technically you're right. You could do a hundred units, okay, and you could do 100,000 square feet of office, right? MR. TRIAS: Yeah. You could have TDRs and get to 4.375, and your scenario is realistic, yes. MR. BEHAR: And you're not going to decrease the intensity of that project. On the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	density," you mean that instead of having three two-bedroom apartments, where there's six cars, you have one six-bedroom apartment, with two cars? Is that what you mean by limited density? MR. TRIAS: That's one way to look at it, yes. MR. WITHERS: No, I mean, was that the intent of the Commission? I mean— MR. TRIAS: That's the way I understand it,	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BEHAR: I mean, technically you're right. You could do a hundred units, okay, and you could do 100,000 square feet of office, right? MR. TRIAS: Yeah. You could have TDRs and get to 4.375, and your scenario is realistic, yes. MR. BEHAR: And you're not going to decrease the intensity of that project. On the contrary, you're going to increase it.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	density," you mean that instead of having three two-bedroom apartments, where there's six cars, you have one six-bedroom apartment, with two cars? Is that what you mean by limited density? MR. TRIAS: That's one way to look at it, yes. MR. WITHERS: No, I mean, was that the intent of the Commission? I mean — MR. TRIAS: That's the way I understand it, yes.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BEHAR: I mean, technically you're right. You could do a hundred units, okay, and you could do 100,000 square feet of office, right? MR. TRIAS: Yeah. You could have TDRs and get to 4.375, and your scenario is realistic, yes. MR. BEHAR: And you're not going to decrease the intensity of that project. On the contrary, you're going to increase it. In theory, it's good, because you're
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	density," you mean that instead of having three two-bedroom apartments, where there's six cars, you have one six-bedroom apartment, with two cars? Is that what you mean by limited density? MR. TRIAS: That's one way to look at it, yes. MR. WITHERS: No, I mean, was that the intent of the Commission? I mean— MR. TRIAS: That's the way I understand it,	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BEHAR: I mean, technically you're right. You could do a hundred units, okay, and you could do 100,000 square feet of office, right? MR. TRIAS: Yeah. You could have TDRs and get to 4.375, and your scenario is realistic, yes. MR. BEHAR: And you're not going to decrease the intensity of that project. On the contrary, you're going to increase it.

	D 01		D 00
	Page 21		Page 22
1	not a theoretical exercise, you are going to	1	focus on the quality of what's on the ground
2	get a project, based on that, next week, so	2	floor, that we provide for very interesting
3	there's a way to test some of these ideas and	3	places to walk by, places that are active, and
4	to see what if one of the intents could be,	4	that should be more the incentive. And the
5	and I think, in that case, in that project, the	5	quality of what you see and touch on the ground
6	idea was to do large units. So the number of	6	floor could be a real good incentive. It could
7	units was not the issue. The FAR will remain	7	be product, it could just be other things.
8	large, but the number of units was decreased,	8	That's just a different way of looking at it,
9	compared to some other Downtown projects.	9	but, again, that's just the way I perceive it.
10	MR. TORRE: The project, I feel, is fine,	10	MR. TRIAS: And I think that, in Coral
11	and I think, the height issue, to me, doesn't	11	Gables, in particular, most of the projects do
12	become a real issue. It's what's given as an	12	such a good job at the ground level that we
13	incentive and a trade.	13	take it for granted, almost, that that stuff is
14	MR. TRIAS: Yeah.	14	going to happen. You'll see what this project
15	MR. TORRE: So the trade here, I think you	15	is doing
16	have it in your paperwork, is four or five	16	MR. TORRE: No. No. But here's where this
17	things that have to be provided for to get the	17	is going. So we're doing a lot of projects
18	approval.	18	that are a full block.
19	MR. TRIAS: There's additional green space	19	MR. TRIAS: Yes.
20	at the ground level, Med Bonus, and the 100	20	MR. TORRE: They just tend to be that way,
21	units per acre cap. I think that's basically	21	because the incentive is to do big projects.
22	it.	22	Economically speaking, that's what's driving
23	MR. TORRE: Right. And I think that what I	23	the developments and so is our Code, it
24	would prefer, and, again, that's a proposal and	24	incentivizes 200 feet plus, plus.
25	I disagree with it, that's fine, is that we	25	When you do that, the blocks become very
	Page 23		Page 24
1			
1	hard to make them as interesting as the smaller	1	interesting.
2	parcels that we're knocking down, that are	1 2	interesting. MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the
	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney,		MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those
2	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have	2	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very
2	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney,	2 3	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those
2 3 4	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have	2 3 4	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very
2 3 4 5	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could	2 3 4 5	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which
2 3 4 5 6	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could be — I passed by Mercedes Benz, not that	2 3 4 5 6	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which apparently no one takes into account anymore,
2 3 4 5 6 7	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could be I passed by Mercedes Benz, not that that's a good example, but you wouldn't want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which apparently no one takes into account anymore, that really allows the projects to implement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could be — I passed by Mercedes Benz, not that that's a good example, but you wouldn't want to be very bored walking past Mercedes Benz,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which apparently no one takes into account anymore, that really allows the projects to implement the things that you're talking about. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could be — I passed by Mercedes Benz, not that that's a good example, but you wouldn't want to be very bored walking past Mercedes Benz, because there's nothing to look at. It's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which apparently no one takes into account anymore, that really allows the projects to implement the things that you're talking about. It's about design, and design is a different set of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could be — I passed by Mercedes Benz, not that that's a good example, but you wouldn't want to be very bored walking past Mercedes Benz, because there's nothing to look at. It's a peril. It's a bad example. But the incentive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which apparently no one takes into account anymore, that really allows the projects to implement the things that you're talking about. It's about design, and design is a different set of scales than what you find in the Zoning Code,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could be — I passed by Mercedes Benz, not that that's a good example, but you wouldn't want to be very bored walking past Mercedes Benz, because there's nothing to look at. It's a peril. It's a bad example. But the incentive could be to have active, interesting ways to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which apparently no one takes into account anymore, that really allows the projects to implement the things that you're talking about. It's about design, and design is a different set of scales than what you find in the Zoning Code, in terms of the Code, as you well know. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could be — I passed by Mercedes Benz, not that that's a good example, but you wouldn't want to be very bored walking past Mercedes Benz, because there's nothing to look at. It's a peril. It's a bad example. But the incentive could be to have active, interesting ways to walk down the CBD.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which apparently no one takes into account anymore, that really allows the projects to implement the things that you're talking about. It's about design, and design is a different set of scales than what you find in the Zoning Code, in terms of the Code, as you well know. I mean, I'm not saying something new.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could be — I passed by Mercedes Benz, not that that's a good example, but you wouldn't want to be very bored walking past Mercedes Benz, because there's nothing to look at. It's a peril. It's a bad example. But the incentive could be to have active, interesting ways to walk down the CBD. And it's not because of anybody else, this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which apparently no one takes into account anymore, that really allows the projects to implement the things that you're talking about. It's about design, and design is a different set of scales than what you find in the Zoning Code, in terms of the Code, as you well know. I mean, I'm not saying something new. MR. TORRE: But here's the thing, when you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could be — I passed by Mercedes Benz, not that that's a good example, but you wouldn't want to be very bored walking past Mercedes Benz, because there's nothing to look at. It's a peril. It's a bad example. But the incentive could be to have active, interesting ways to walk down the CBD. And it's not because of anybody else, this is the Central Business District, that it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which apparently no one takes into account anymore, that really allows the projects to implement the things that you're talking about. It's about design, and design is a different set of scales than what you find in the Zoning Code, in terms of the Code, as you well know. I mean, I'm not saying something new. MR. TORRE: But here's the thing, when you did the Design area, I forget the area that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could be — I passed by Mercedes Benz, not that that's a good example, but you wouldn't want to be very bored walking past Mercedes Benz, because there's nothing to look at. It's a peril. It's a bad example. But the incentive could be to have active, interesting ways to walk down the CBD. And it's not because of anybody else, this is the Central Business District, that it should be interesting to walk past the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which apparently no one takes into account anymore, that really allows the projects to implement the things that you're talking about. It's about design, and design is a different set of scales than what you find in the Zoning Code, in terms of the Code, as you well know. I mean, I'm not saying something new. MR. TORRE: But here's the thing, when you did the Design area, I forget the area that you called the Design Innovation area
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could be I passed by Mercedes Benz, not that that's a good example, but you wouldn't want to be very bored walking past Mercedes Benz, because there's nothing to look at. It's a peril. It's a bad example. But the incentive could be to have active, interesting ways to walk down the CBD. And it's not because of anybody else, this is the Central Business District, that it should be interesting to walk past the first block or the second block, so Aragon or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which apparently no one takes into account anymore, that really allows the projects to implement the things that you're talking about. It's about design, and design is a different set of scales than what you find in the Zoning Code, in terms of the Code, as you well know. I mean, I'm not saying something new. MR. TORRE: But here's the thing, when you did the Design area, I forget the area that you called the Design Innovation area
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could be — I passed by Mercedes Benz, not that that's a good example, but you wouldn't want to be very bored walking past Mercedes Benz, because there's nothing to look at. It's a peril. It's a bad example. But the incentive could be to have active, interesting ways to walk down the CBD. And it's not because of anybody else, this is the Central Business District, that it should be interesting to walk past the first block or the second block, so Aragon or Andalusia or Sevilla. It should be interesting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which apparently no one takes into account anymore, that really allows the projects to implement the things that you're talking about. It's about design, and design is a different set of scales than what you find in the Zoning Code, in terms of the Code, as you well know. I mean, I'm not saying something new. MR. TORRE: But here's the thing, when you did the Design area, I forget the area that you called the Design Innovation and Design District. MR. TORRE: there are things you did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could be — I passed by Mercedes Benz, not that that's a good example, but you wouldn't want to be very bored walking past Mercedes Benz, because there's nothing to look at. It's a peril. It's a bad example. But the incentive could be to have active, interesting ways to walk down the CBD. And it's not because of anybody else, this is the Central Business District, that it should be interesting to walk past the first block or the second block, so Aragon or Andalusia or Sevilla. It should be interesting blocks, if you could make them so, versus not,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which apparently no one takes into account anymore, that really allows the projects to implement the things that you're talking about. It's about design, and design is a different set of scales than what you find in the Zoning Code, in terms of the Code, as you well know. I mean, I'm not saying something new. MR. TORRE: But here's the thing, when you did the Design area, I forget the area that you called the Design Innovation area MR. TRIAS: Innovation and Design District. MR. TORRE: there are things you did there to create glass had to be lower, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could be — I passed by Mercedes Benz, not that that's a good example, but you wouldn't want to be very bored walking past Mercedes Benz, because there's nothing to look at. It's a peril. It's a bad example. But the incentive could be to have active, interesting ways to walk down the CBD. And it's not because of anybody else, this is the Central Business District, that it should be interesting to walk past the first block or the second block, so Aragon or Andalusia or Sevilla. It should be interesting blocks, if you could make them so, versus not, if you could make them so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which apparently no one takes into account anymore, that really allows the projects to implement the things that you're talking about. It's about design, and design is a different set of scales than what you find in the Zoning Code, in terms of the Code, as you well know. I mean, I'm not saying something new. MR. TORRE: But here's the thing, when you did the Design area, I forget the area that you called the Design Innovation area MR. TRIAS: Innovation and Design District. MR. TORRE: there are things you did there to create glass had to be lower, you have to have certain things like that, to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could be — I passed by Mercedes Benz, not that that's a good example, but you wouldn't want to be very bored walking past Mercedes Benz, because there's nothing to look at. It's a peril. It's a bad example. But the incentive could be to have active, interesting ways to walk down the CBD. And it's not because of anybody else, this is the Central Business District, that it should be interesting to walk past the first block or the second block, so Aragon or Andalusia or Sevilla. It should be interesting blocks, if you could make them so, versus not, if you could make them so. MR. TRIAS: We try. We try really hard.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which apparently no one takes into account anymore, that really allows the projects to implement the things that you're talking about. It's about design, and design is a different set of scales than what you find in the Zoning Code, in terms of the Code, as you well know. I mean, I'm not saying something new. MR. TORRE: But here's the thing, when you did the Design area, I forget the area that you called the Design Innovation area MR. TRIAS: Innovation and Design District. MR. TORRE: there are things you did there to create glass had to be lower, you have to have certain things like that, to make sure that those things were being done. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could be — I passed by Mercedes Benz, not that that's a good example, but you wouldn't want to be very bored walking past Mercedes Benz, because there's nothing to look at. It's a peril. It's a bad example. But the incentive could be to have active, interesting ways to walk down the CBD. And it's not because of anybody else, this is the Central Business District, that it should be interesting to walk past the first block or the second block, so Aragon or Andalusia or Sevilla. It should be interesting blocks, if you could make them so, versus not, if you could make them so. MR. TRIAS: We try. We try really hard. MR. TORRE: Exactly. And that's all I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which apparently no one takes into account anymore, that really allows the projects to implement the things that you're talking about. It's about design, and design is a different set of scales than what you find in the Zoning Code, in terms of the Code, as you well know. I mean, I'm not saying something new. MR. TORRE: But here's the thing, when you did the Design area, I forget the area that you called the Design Innovation area MR. TRIAS: Innovation and Design District. MR. TORRE: there are things you did there to create glass had to be lower, you have to have certain things like that, to make sure that those things were being done. It wasn't like this is not knew. You actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could be — I passed by Mercedes Benz, not that that's a good example, but you wouldn't want to be very bored walking past Mercedes Benz, because there's nothing to look at. It's a peril. It's a bad example. But the incentive could be to have active, interesting ways to walk down the CBD. And it's not because of anybody else, this is the Central Business District, that it should be interesting to walk past the first block or the second block, so Aragon or Andalusia or Sevilla. It should be interesting blocks, if you could make them so, versus not, if you could make them so. MR. TRIAS: We try. We try really hard. MR. TORRE: Exactly. And that's all I'm saying, is that that would be a great incentive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which apparently no one takes into account anymore, that really allows the projects to implement the things that you're talking about. It's about design, and design is a different set of scales than what you find in the Zoning Code, in terms of the Code, as you well know. I mean, I'm not saying something new. MR. TORRE: But here's the thing, when you did the Design area, I forget the area that you called the Design Innovation area MR. TRIAS: Innovation and Design District. MR. TORRE: there are things you did there to create glass had to be lower, you have to have certain things like that, to make sure that those things were being done. It wasn't like this is not knew. You actually did it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	parcels that we're knocking down, that are interesting because you walk by an attorney, you walk by different things. Here you have one person creating a streetscape from end to end, that has control of what that looks like, and, again, it could be blank walls, it could be — I passed by Mercedes Benz, not that that's a good example, but you wouldn't want to be very bored walking past Mercedes Benz, because there's nothing to look at. It's a peril. It's a bad example. But the incentive could be to have active, interesting ways to walk down the CBD. And it's not because of anybody else, this is the Central Business District, that it should be interesting to walk past the first block or the second block, so Aragon or Andalusia or Sevilla. It should be interesting blocks, if you could make them so, versus not, if you could make them so. MR. TRIAS: We try. We try really hard. MR. TORRE: Exactly. And that's all I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TRIAS: The issue is whether or not the Zoning Code is the right tool to do those things, and in my view, the Zoning Code is very limited in what it can do in terms of quality. On the other hand, the review by the Board of Architects or even the review of Staff, which apparently no one takes into account anymore, that really allows the projects to implement the things that you're talking about. It's about design, and design is a different set of scales than what you find in the Zoning Code, in terms of the Code, as you well know. I mean, I'm not saying something new. MR. TORRE: But here's the thing, when you did the Design area, I forget the area that you called the Design Innovation area MR. TRIAS: Innovation and Design District. MR. TORRE: there are things you did there to create glass had to be lower, you have to have certain things like that, to make sure that those things were being done. It wasn't like this is not knew. You actually

	Page 25		Page 26
1	one of the things that we have been able to do	1	change a lot.
2	is implement the minimum height, that is a	2	MR. TRIAS: I think that's the role of the
3	little bit higher, for the ground level, which	3	Planning and Zoning, to make those points.
4	allows for the higher quality retail. So, I	4	MR. TORRE: Yeah.
5	mean, this can be done, but as you well know,	5	MR. TRIAS: And, equally, the Commission
6	we went through the update you all went	6	has made it very clear that this is what they
7	through the update also and my goal was to	7	support.
8	really create a lot of the tools that will be	8	MR. TORRE: Understood.
9	useful, and at end we ended up with many	9	MR. WITHERS: I have a philosophical
10	things, but not everything that I think will be	10	question, kind of picking up on what Brett
11	needed.	11	said. I always thought that a PAD was always,
12	So there's a point in which the process	12	when you had a site, you had multiple buildings
13	limits the ability to do many of those things.	13	on it, and you wanted to move massing to one
14	So that's just the way it is.	14	side of it to make it more accommodating either
15	MR. TORRE: There's good intentions here	15	for traffic flow or design. So how does a PAD
16	and I appreciate the good intentions, right.	16	really work when you only have one building?
17	So the project has some great aspects to it.	17	MR. TRIAS: Well, it
18	The height is great and so forth. I think that	18	MR. WITHERS: I mean, why don't we just
19	the proposal, to give the incentive on density,	19	give a variance? I mean, I'm serious about
20	is against what I believe I believe my	20	that. I mean
21	personal opinion.	21	MR. TRIAS: What are the public benefits?
22	MR. TRIAS: And you made that very clear.	22	Well, the extra open space at the ground level
23	MR. TORRE: Right, and that's how I'm	23	and the ability and maybe the ability to
24	judging it. Otherwise, I think the project is	24	design
25	fine and I think that this doesn't really	25	MR. WITHERS: But you're not really moving
	Page 27		Dago 20
			Page 28
1	massing around, which I thought was one of the	1	Now, large buildings in the context of
1 2	big intentions of having it.	1 2	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say,
			Now, large buildings in the context of
2 3 4	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have	2 3 4	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand.
2	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best	2 3 4 5	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules
2 3 4 5 6	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah.	2 3 4 5 6	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a
2 3 4 5	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah. MR. TRIAS: Or twenty buildings.	2 3 4 5	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a good use for a single building site?
2 3 4 5 6	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah.	2 3 4 5 6	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah. MR. TRIAS: Or twenty buildings. MR. WITHERS: Or twenty, right. MR. TRIAS: You know, yes, I like those.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a good use for a single building site? MR. TRIAS: I don't think it's the ideal. I mean, I think that what happens with a PAD is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah. MR. TRIAS: Or twenty buildings. MR. WITHERS: Or twenty, right. MR. TRIAS: You know, yes, I like those. In fact, we had one recently, right, and what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a good use for a single building site? MR. TRIAS: I don't think it's the ideal. I mean, I think that what happens with a PAD is that it may give you some breaks, as far as the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah. MR. TRIAS: Or twenty buildings. MR. WITHERS: Or twenty, right. MR. TRIAS: You know, yes, I like those. In fact, we had one recently, right, and what happens is that then there's the one that has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a good use for a single building site? MR. TRIAS: I don't think it's the ideal. I mean, I think that what happens with a PAD is that it may give you some breaks, as far as the setbacks or step backs, which, if done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have — MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah. MR. TRIAS: Or twenty buildings. MR. WITHERS: Or twenty, right. MR. TRIAS: You know, yes, I like those. In fact, we had one recently, right, and what happens is that then there's the one that has three buildings, like the 2020 Salzedo —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a good use for a single building site? MR. TRIAS: I don't think it's the ideal. I mean, I think that what happens with a PAD is that it may give you some breaks, as far as the setbacks or step backs, which, if done skillfully, is wonderful, but if it's not done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah. MR. TRIAS: Or twenty buildings. MR. WITHERS: Or twenty, right. MR. TRIAS: You know, yes, I like those. In fact, we had one recently, right, and what happens is that then there's the one that has three buildings, like the 2020 Salzedo MR. WITHERS: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a good use for a single building site? MR. TRIAS: I don't think it's the ideal. I mean, I think that what happens with a PAD is that it may give you some breaks, as far as the setbacks or step backs, which, if done skillfully, is wonderful, but if it's not done skillfully, then you have the issue that, okay,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah. MR. TRIAS: Or twenty buildings. MR. WITHERS: Or twenty, right. MR. TRIAS: You know, yes, I like those. In fact, we had one recently, right, and what happens is that then there's the one that has three buildings, like the 2020 Salzedo MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: and then there was that one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a good use for a single building site? MR. TRIAS: I don't think it's the ideal. I mean, I think that what happens with a PAD is that it may give you some breaks, as far as the setbacks or step backs, which, if done skillfully, is wonderful, but if it's not done skillfully, then you have the issue that, okay, what is the public benefit, in terms of design?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have — MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah. MR. TRIAS: Or twenty buildings. MR. WITHERS: Or twenty, right. MR. TRIAS: You know, yes, I like those. In fact, we had one recently, right, and what happens is that then there's the one that has three buildings, like the 2020 Salzedo — MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: — and then there was that one building —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a good use for a single building site? MR. TRIAS: I don't think it's the ideal. I mean, I think that what happens with a PAD is that it may give you some breaks, as far as the setbacks or step backs, which, if done skillfully, is wonderful, but if it's not done skillfully, then you have the issue that, okay, what is the public benefit, in terms of design? That is why we have a process that focuses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah. MR. TRIAS: Or twenty buildings. MR. WITHERS: Or twenty, right. MR. TRIAS: You know, yes, I like those. In fact, we had one recently, right, and what happens is that then there's the one that has three buildings, like the 2020 Salzedo MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: and then there was that one building MR. WITHERS: Like the one we had over just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a good use for a single building site? MR. TRIAS: I don't think it's the ideal. I mean, I think that what happens with a PAD is that it may give you some breaks, as far as the setbacks or step backs, which, if done skillfully, is wonderful, but if it's not done skillfully, then you have the issue that, okay, what is the public benefit, in terms of design? That is why we have a process that focuses only on design, which is the Board of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah. MR. TRIAS: Or twenty buildings. MR. WITHERS: Or twenty, right. MR. TRIAS: You know, yes, I like those. In fact, we had one recently, right, and what happens is that then there's the one that has three buildings, like the 2020 Salzedo MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: and then there was that one building MR. WITHERS: Like the one we had over just north of the Youth Center there, that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a good use for a single building site? MR. TRIAS: I don't think it's the ideal. I mean, I think that what happens with a PAD is that it may give you some breaks, as far as the setbacks or step backs, which, if done skillfully, is wonderful, but if it's not done skillfully, then you have the issue that, okay, what is the public benefit, in terms of design? That is why we have a process that focuses only on design, which is the Board of Architects. If properly applied, I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah. MR. TRIAS: Or twenty buildings. MR. WITHERS: Or twenty, right. MR. TRIAS: You know, yes, I like those. In fact, we had one recently, right, and what happens is that then there's the one that has three buildings, like the 2020 Salzedo MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: and then there was that one building MR. WITHERS: Like the one we had over just north of the Youth Center there, that was probably a pretty good example of it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a good use for a single building site? MR. TRIAS: I don't think it's the ideal. I mean, I think that what happens with a PAD is that it may give you some breaks, as far as the setbacks or step backs, which, if done skillfully, is wonderful, but if it's not done skillfully, then you have the issue that, okay, what is the public benefit, in terms of design? That is why we have a process that focuses only on design, which is the Board of Architects. If properly applied, I think that's the best way to address those issues,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah. MR. TRIAS: Or twenty buildings. MR. WITHERS: Or twenty, right. MR. TRIAS: You know, yes, I like those. In fact, we had one recently, right, and what happens is that then there's the one that has three buildings, like the 2020 Salzedo MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: and then there was that one building MR. WITHERS: Like the one we had over just north of the Youth Center there, that was probably a pretty good example of it. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And some of them have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a good use for a single building site? MR. TRIAS: I don't think it's the ideal. I mean, I think that what happens with a PAD is that it may give you some breaks, as far as the setbacks or step backs, which, if done skillfully, is wonderful, but if it's not done skillfully, then you have the issue that, okay, what is the public benefit, in terms of design? That is why we have a process that focuses only on design, which is the Board of Architects. If properly applied, I think that's the best way to address those issues, but we all need it's a team effort. I mean,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have — MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah. MR. TRIAS: Or twenty buildings. MR. WITHERS: Or twenty, right. MR. TRIAS: You know, yes, I like those. In fact, we had one recently, right, and what happens is that then there's the one that has three buildings, like the 2020 Salzedo — MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: — and then there was that one building — MR. WITHERS: Like the one we had over just north of the Youth Center there, that was probably a pretty good example of it. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And some of them have been Residential mostly, and some of them have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a good use for a single building site? MR. TRIAS: I don't think it's the ideal. I mean, I think that what happens with a PAD is that it may give you some breaks, as far as the setbacks or step backs, which, if done skillfully, is wonderful, but if it's not done skillfully, then you have the issue that, okay, what is the public benefit, in terms of design? That is why we have a process that focuses only on design, which is the Board of Architects. If properly applied, I think that's the best way to address those issues, but we all need it's a team effort. I mean, everybody has to work on it, and each of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah. MR. TRIAS: Or twenty buildings. MR. WITHERS: Or twenty, right. MR. TRIAS: You know, yes, I like those. In fact, we had one recently, right, and what happens is that then there's the one that has three buildings, like the 2020 Salzedo MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: and then there was that one building MR. WITHERS: Like the one we had over just north of the Youth Center there, that was probably a pretty good example of it. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And some of them have been Residential mostly, and some of them have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a good use for a single building site? MR. TRIAS: I don't think it's the ideal. I mean, I think that what happens with a PAD is that it may give you some breaks, as far as the setbacks or step backs, which, if done skillfully, is wonderful, but if it's not done skillfully, then you have the issue that, okay, what is the public benefit, in terms of design? That is why we have a process that focuses only on design, which is the Board of Architects. If properly applied, I think that's the best way to address those issues, but we all need it's a team effort. I mean, everybody has to work on it, and each of the Boards has a role to play.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah. MR. TRIAS: Or twenty buildings. MR. WITHERS: Or twenty, right. MR. TRIAS: You know, yes, I like those. In fact, we had one recently, right, and what happens is that then there's the one that has three buildings, like the 2020 Salzedo MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: and then there was that one building MR. WITHERS: Like the one we had over just north of the Youth Center there, that was probably a pretty good example of it. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And some of them have been Residential mostly, and some of them have been large buildings. So that is the nature of the process. And what happens is that, in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a good use for a single building site? MR. TRIAS: I don't think it's the ideal. I mean, I think that what happens with a PAD is that it may give you some breaks, as far as the setbacks or step backs, which, if done skillfully, is wonderful, but if it's not done skillfully, then you have the issue that, okay, what is the public benefit, in terms of design? That is why we have a process that focuses only on design, which is the Board of Architects. If properly applied, I think that's the best way to address those issues, but we all need it's a team effort. I mean, everybody has to work on it, and each of the Boards has a role to play. So what I'm bringing to you is what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah. MR. TRIAS: Or twenty buildings. MR. WITHERS: Or twenty, right. MR. TRIAS: You know, yes, I like those. In fact, we had one recently, right, and what happens is that then there's the one that has three buildings, like the 2020 Salzedo MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: and then there was that one building MR. WITHERS: Like the one we had over just north of the Youth Center there, that was probably a pretty good example of it. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And some of them have been Residential mostly, and some of them have been large buildings. So that is the nature of the process. And what happens is that, in this case, this emphasizes or this leads to larger	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a good use for a single building site? MR. TRIAS: I don't think it's the ideal. I mean, I think that what happens with a PAD is that it may give you some breaks, as far as the setbacks or step backs, which, if done skillfully, is wonderful, but if it's not done skillfully, then you have the issue that, okay, what is the public benefit, in terms of design? That is why we have a process that focuses only on design, which is the Board of Architects. If properly applied, I think that's the best way to address those issues, but we all need it's a team effort. I mean, everybody has to work on it, and each of the Boards has a role to play. So what I'm bringing to you is what the Commission has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah. MR. TRIAS: Or twenty buildings. MR. WITHERS: Or twenty, right. MR. TRIAS: You know, yes, I like those. In fact, we had one recently, right, and what happens is that then there's the one that has three buildings, like the 2020 Salzedo MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: and then there was that one building MR. WITHERS: Like the one we had over just north of the Youth Center there, that was probably a pretty good example of it. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And some of them have been Residential mostly, and some of them have been large buildings. So that is the nature of the process. And what happens is that, in this case, this emphasizes or this leads to larger buildings. I believe that's true. And that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a good use for a single building site? MR. TRIAS: I don't think it's the ideal. I mean, I think that what happens with a PAD is that it may give you some breaks, as far as the setbacks or step backs, which, if done skillfully, is wonderful, but if it's not done skillfully, then you have the issue that, okay, what is the public benefit, in terms of design? That is why we have a process that focuses only on design, which is the Board of Architects. If properly applied, I think that's the best way to address those issues, but we all need it's a team effort. I mean, everybody has to work on it, and each of the Boards has a role to play. So what I'm bringing to you is what the Commission has MR. WITHERS: I know. I read their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	big intentions of having it. MR. TRIAS: And those are probably the best PADs, the one that have MR. WITHERS: Yeah, five or six buildings, yeah. MR. TRIAS: Or twenty buildings. MR. WITHERS: Or twenty, right. MR. TRIAS: You know, yes, I like those. In fact, we had one recently, right, and what happens is that then there's the one that has three buildings, like the 2020 Salzedo MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: and then there was that one building MR. WITHERS: Like the one we had over just north of the Youth Center there, that was probably a pretty good example of it. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And some of them have been Residential mostly, and some of them have been large buildings. So that is the nature of the process. And what happens is that, in this case, this emphasizes or this leads to larger	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Now, large buildings in the context of Coral Gables, not in the context of, let's say, Brickell Avenue. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TRIAS: So, you know, within the rules MR. WITHERS: So, as a tool, is the PAD a good use for a single building site? MR. TRIAS: I don't think it's the ideal. I mean, I think that what happens with a PAD is that it may give you some breaks, as far as the setbacks or step backs, which, if done skillfully, is wonderful, but if it's not done skillfully, then you have the issue that, okay, what is the public benefit, in terms of design? That is why we have a process that focuses only on design, which is the Board of Architects. If properly applied, I think that's the best way to address those issues, but we all need it's a team effort. I mean, everybody has to work on it, and each of the Boards has a role to play. So what I'm bringing to you is what the Commission has

	Page 29		Page 30
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jill, do we have any	1	height, but I strongly feel that this should be
2	other speakers?	2	a number, 200 feet, and I know that they can
3	THE SECRETARY: No, we don't.	3	make this project work with 200 feet. This
4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. At this time,	4	205.5 frankly is driving me nuts, but, you
5	let's go ahead and close it for public comment.	5	know, I might be the only one.
6	Chip, do you want to continue?	6	Because I see this in Codes all of the time
7	MR. WITHERS: I'm done.	7	and it's like total reverse political urban
8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis.	8	planning or whatever, you know, it is. So
9	MR. REVUELTA: I have a couple of	9	that's one question that we can debate or put
10	questions. I'm sorry I was late.	10	to death.
11	Is this Luis Revuelta is this 205.5	11	The other one is what Robert mentioned, if
12	change specific to this project only or this is	12	this project is of height what is the
13	a generic Code change?	13	maximum stories that you can put under this
14	MR. TRIAS: It's a generic Code change that	14	Zoning classification or a PAD? Is it 20
15	is inspired by an example that will be coming	15	stories, is it 21, is it 17? I don't know if
16	before you.	16	everybody knows that. I'm sorry if I'm
17	MR. REVUELTA: So this is, in essence,	17	ignorant about it, but I'd like to know,
18	reverse engineering, reverse Zoning. And I	18	because I think there also has to be, to your
19	have expressed this before, I have a major	19	point, a certain limit that people don't decide
20	problem when I read a Code and I see a number	20	to somehow squeeze
21	that I say, who came up with this number?	21	MR. TRIAS: Possibly you could add a
22	Obviously, we know how this number is coming	22	maximum number of stories as part of the
23	about, so I don't have a problem with the	23	recommendation that you make, which in this
24	project. I think, like Venny, I don't have the	24	case probably be will be 18.
25	same issue that he has of giving up density for	25	MR. REVUELTA: How many stories does this
23	same issue that he has of giving up defisity for		MR. REVOLETA. How many stories does this
	Page 31		Page 32
			5
1	building have right now?	1	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200
1 2	building have right now? MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week?	1 2	
			would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200
2	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week?	2	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet
2	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah.	2	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's
2 3 4	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18.	2 3 4	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z.
2 3 4 5	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18?	2 3 4 5	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18? MR. TORRE: The project would have to be	2 3 4 5 6	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right. You both would know if is this best. If you
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18? MR. TORRE: The project would have to be skinny, to go up, to the extent that the mass	2 3 4 5 6 7	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right. You both would know if is this best. If you have the same FAR and you're not putting more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18? MR. TORRE: The project would have to be skinny, to go up, to the extent that the mass has to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right. You both would know if is this best. If you have the same FAR and you're not putting more floors, you do have to cram it. You have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18? MR. TORRE: The project would have to be skinny, to go up, to the extent that the mass has to be — MR. REVUELTA: And, frankly, I think one of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right. You both would know if is this best. If you have the same FAR and you're not putting more floors, you do have to cram it. You have to squeeze it, right. So, as long as it looks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18? MR. TORRE: The project would have to be skinny, to go up, to the extent that the mass has to be MR. REVUELTA: And, frankly, I think one of the things a Zoning Code should do is give some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right. You both would know if is this best. If you have the same FAR and you're not putting more floors, you do have to cram it. You have to squeeze it, right. So, as long as it looks right, is there a problem? I mean, I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18? MR. TORRE: The project would have to be skinny, to go up, to the extent that the mass has to be MR. REVUELTA: And, frankly, I think one of the things a Zoning Code should do is give some flexibility to an architect and developer to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right. You both would know if is this best. If you have the same FAR and you're not putting more floors, you do have to cram it. You have to squeeze it, right. So, as long as it looks right, is there a problem? I mean, I'm not saying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18? MR. TORRE: The project would have to be skinny, to go up, to the extent that the mass has to be MR. REVUELTA: And, frankly, I think one of the things a Zoning Code should do is give some flexibility to an architect and developer to come up with a different massing. Like some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right. You both would know if is this best. If you have the same FAR and you're not putting more floors, you do have to cram it. You have to squeeze it, right. So, as long as it looks right, is there a problem? I mean, I'm not saying MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that the FAR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18? MR. TORRE: The project would have to be skinny, to go up, to the extent that the mass has to be — MR. REVUELTA: And, frankly, I think one of the things a Zoning Code should do is give some flexibility to an architect and developer to come up with a different massing. Like some guys say, "Well, I want to go 20 stories, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right. You both would know if is this best. If you have the same FAR and you're not putting more floors, you do have to cram it. You have to squeeze it, right. So, as long as it looks right, is there a problem? I mean, I'm not saying MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that the FAR really becomes 4.375. Really, that's what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18? MR. TORRE: The project would have to be skinny, to go up, to the extent that the mass has to be MR. REVUELTA: And, frankly, I think one of the things a Zoning Code should do is give some flexibility to an architect and developer to come up with a different massing. Like some guys say, "Well, I want to go 20 stories, but I want to do a shorter building." That would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right. You both would know if is this best. If you have the same FAR and you're not putting more floors, you do have to cram it. You have to squeeze it, right. So, as long as it looks right, is there a problem? I mean, I'm not saying MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that the FAR really becomes 4.375. Really, that's what happens, because of a TDR. So it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18? MR. TORRE: The project would have to be skinny, to go up, to the extent that the mass has to be MR. REVUELTA: And, frankly, I think one of the things a Zoning Code should do is give some flexibility to an architect and developer to come up with a different massing. Like some guys say, "Well, I want to go 20 stories, but I want to do a shorter building." That would be a good thing, I think. As long as the FAR is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right. You both would know if is this best. If you have the same FAR and you're not putting more floors, you do have to cram it. You have to squeeze it, right. So, as long as it looks right, is there a problem? I mean, I'm not saying MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that the FAR really becomes 4.375. Really, that's what happens, because of a TDR. So it's a significant amount of FAR. If it was limited
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18? MR. TORRE: The project would have to be skinny, to go up, to the extent that the mass has to be MR. REVUELTA: And, frankly, I think one of the things a Zoning Code should do is give some flexibility to an architect and developer to come up with a different massing. Like some guys say, "Well, I want to go 20 stories, but I want to do a shorter building." That would be a good thing, I think. As long as the FAR is not changing, as long as density is not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right. You both would know if is this best. If you have the same FAR and you're not putting more floors, you do have to cram it. You have to squeeze it, right. So, as long as it looks right, is there a problem? I mean, I'm not saying MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that the FAR really becomes 4.375. Really, that's what happens, because of a TDR. So it's a significant amount of FAR. If it was limited to 2, for example, it's different, which is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18? MR. TORRE: The project would have to be skinny, to go up, to the extent that the mass has to be MR. REVUELTA: And, frankly, I think one of the things a Zoning Code should do is give some flexibility to an architect and developer to come up with a different massing. Like some guys say, "Well, I want to go 20 stories, but I want to do a shorter building." That would be a good thing, I think. As long as the FAR is not changing, as long as density is not changing density and intensity are not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right. You both would know if is this best. If you have the same FAR and you're not putting more floors, you do have to cram it. You have to squeeze it, right. So, as long as it looks right, is there a problem? I mean, I'm not saying MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that the FAR really becomes 4.375. Really, that's what happens, because of a TDR. So it's a significant amount of FAR. If it was limited to 2, for example, it's different, which is not the case. I mean, the case is 3, 3.5, 4.375.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18? MR. TORRE: The project would have to be skinny, to go up, to the extent that the mass has to be — MR. REVUELTA: And, frankly, I think one of the things a Zoning Code should do is give some flexibility to an architect and developer to come up with a different massing. Like some guys say, "Well, I want to go 20 stories, but I want to do a shorter building." That would be a good thing, I think. As long as the FAR is not changing, as long as density is not changing — density and intensity are not changing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right. You both would know if is this best. If you have the same FAR and you're not putting more floors, you do have to cram it. You have to squeeze it, right. So, as long as it looks right, is there a problem? I mean, I'm not saying MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that the FAR really becomes 4.375. Really, that's what happens, because of a TDR. So it's a significant amount of FAR. If it was limited to 2, for example, it's different, which is not the case. I mean, the case is 3, 3.5, 4.375. MR. REVUELTA: Those are my comments.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18? MR. TORRE: The project would have to be skinny, to go up, to the extent that the mass has to be MR. REVUELTA: And, frankly, I think one of the things a Zoning Code should do is give some flexibility to an architect and developer to come up with a different massing. Like some guys say, "Well, I want to go 20 stories, but I want to do a shorter building." That would be a good thing, I think. As long as the FAR is not changing, as long as density is not changing density and intensity are not changing. I think, to put on the Ordinance, a number,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right. You both would know if is this best. If you have the same FAR and you're not putting more floors, you do have to cram it. You have to squeeze it, right. So, as long as it looks right, is there a problem? I mean, I'm not saying MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that the FAR really becomes 4.375. Really, that's what happens, because of a TDR. So it's a significant amount of FAR. If it was limited to 2, for example, it's different, which is not the case. I mean, the case is 3, 3.5, 4.375. MR. REVUELTA: Those are my comments. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I agree with Venny,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18? MR. TORRE: The project would have to be skinny, to go up, to the extent that the mass has to be MR. REVUELTA: And, frankly, I think one of the things a Zoning Code should do is give some flexibility to an architect and developer to come up with a different massing. Like some guys say, "Well, I want to go 20 stories, but I want to do a shorter building." That would be a good thing, I think. As long as the FAR is not changing, as long as density is not changing density and intensity are not changing. I think, to put on the Ordinance, a number, that to me makes sense, and this one does't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right. You both would know if is this best. If you have the same FAR and you're not putting more floors, you do have to cram it. You have to squeeze it, right. So, as long as it looks right, is there a problem? I mean, I'm not saying MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that the FAR really becomes 4.375. Really, that's what happens, because of a TDR. So it's a significant amount of FAR. If it was limited to 2, for example, it's different, which is not the case. I mean, the case is 3, 3.5, 4.375. MR. REVUELTA: Those are my comments. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I agree with Venny, that I think the streetscape is very important,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18? MR. TORRE: The project would have to be skinny, to go up, to the extent that the mass has to be MR. REVUELTA: And, frankly, I think one of the things a Zoning Code should do is give some flexibility to an architect and developer to come up with a different massing. Like some guys say, "Well, I want to go 20 stories, but I want to do a shorter building." That would be a good thing, I think. As long as the FAR is not changing, as long as density is not changing density and intensity are not changing. I think, to put on the Ordinance, a number, that to me makes sense, and this one does't make sense, but a number of stories to guard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right. You both would know if is this best. If you have the same FAR and you're not putting more floors, you do have to cram it. You have to squeeze it, right. So, as long as it looks right, is there a problem? I mean, I'm not saying MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that the FAR really becomes 4.375. Really, that's what happens, because of a TDR. So it's a significant amount of FAR. If it was limited to 2, for example, it's different, which is not the case. I mean, the case is 3, 3.5, 4.375. MR. REVUELTA: Those are my comments. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I agree with Venny, that I think the streetscape is very important, especially when you're taking such large areas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18? MR. TORRE: The project would have to be skinny, to go up, to the extent that the mass has to be — MR. REVUELTA: And, frankly, I think one of the things a Zoning Code should do is give some flexibility to an architect and developer to come up with a different massing. Like some guys say, "Well, I want to go 20 stories, but I want to do a shorter building." That would be a good thing, I think. As long as the FAR is not changing, as long as density is not changing — density and intensity are not changing. I think, to put on the Ordinance, a number, that to me makes sense, and this one does't make sense, but a number of stories to guard ourselves from somebody going crazy or not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right. You both would know if is this best. If you have the same FAR and you're not putting more floors, you do have to cram it. You have to squeeze it, right. So, as long as it looks right, is there a problem? I mean, I'm not saying MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that the FAR really becomes 4.375. Really, that's what happens, because of a TDR. So it's a significant amount of FAR. If it was limited to 2, for example, it's different, which is not the case. I mean, the case is 3, 3.5, 4.375. MR. REVUELTA: Those are my comments. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I agree with Venny, that I think the streetscape is very important, especially when you're taking such large areas and creating, from block to block, one building
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TRIAS: The one proposed for next week? MR. REVUELTA: The one proposed, yeah. MR. TRIAS: 18. MR. REVUELTA: 18? MR. TORRE: The project would have to be skinny, to go up, to the extent that the mass has to be — MR. REVUELTA: And, frankly, I think one of the things a Zoning Code should do is give some flexibility to an architect and developer to come up with a different massing. Like some guys say, "Well, I want to go 20 stories, but I want to do a shorter building." That would be a good thing, I think. As long as the FAR is not changing, as long as density is not changing — density and intensity are not changing. I think, to put on the Ordinance, a number, that to me makes sense, and this one does't make sense, but a number of stories to guard ourselves from somebody going crazy or not sensitive or skillfully, but give that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	would be comfortable with 20 stories and 200 feet MR. TRIAS: Right. That's MR. REVUELTA: if you do X, Y and Z. MR. TORRE: You're the architects, right. You both would know if is this best. If you have the same FAR and you're not putting more floors, you do have to cram it. You have to squeeze it, right. So, as long as it looks right, is there a problem? I mean, I'm not saying MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that the FAR really becomes 4.375. Really, that's what happens, because of a TDR. So it's a significant amount of FAR. If it was limited to 2, for example, it's different, which is not the case. I mean, the case is 3, 3.5, 4.375. MR. REVUELTA: Those are my comments. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I agree with Venny, that I think the streetscape is very important, especially when you're taking such large areas and creating, from block to block, one building or one project. For example, in the last

	Page 33		Page 34
1	division, that created sort of like a	1	said, right now we have five percent in
2	streetscape.	2	addition to the PAD of 20 percent. So that's
3	MR. TRIAS: Sure.	3	one of the public benefits.
4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I like that, but	4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay.
5	there were a lot of people that were against	5	MR. TRIAS: Now, clearly this is not a
6	that, didn't like that. It wasn't part of	6	solution for quality. Obviously, there's much
7	their way of looking at it.	7	more that could be here in the Code, but
8	You know, I would leave it up to the Board	8	there's a point in which micromanaging through
9	of Architects as to whether it needs to be 20	9	Zoning doesn't work, either. So, I mean, we
10	feet high for the Commercial and 10 feet or	10	need to find some balance.
11	leave it up to the architects that are with us,	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two items.
12	but I do feel that it's important to do a	12	E-1 is legislative and E-2 is quasi-judicial.
13	streetscape, especially in a project of this	13	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. I mean, technically the
14	magnitude, from the way it sounds. I think	14	Comp Plan is the one that we need a vote for
15	that's critical.	15	real, whether it's positive or negative, one
16	You've got to see what you give to the	16	way or the other.
17	public that walks by. And Venny gave a really	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Which would be,
18	little good example. I mean, it's not to pick	18	really, E-1, in this case.
19	on Mercedes or anything like that, but you want	19	MR. TRIAS: Yeah.
20	to have an element, and an element not only of	20	MS. MIRO: Mr. Chair, I have a question.
21	design, but something that gives back to the	21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir sorry,
22	neighborhood, whether it's an open space, it	22	Claudia.
23	benefits the public also, and so forth. I	23	MS. MIRO: It's okay.
24	mean, that would be something very nice.	24	So Mr. Revuelta was talking about making
25	MR. TRIAS: No, absolutely, and like I	25	some recommendations, since they are the
	Page 35		
		1	Dage 36
1		1	Page 36
1	architects. Are there any recommendations,	1 2	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories,
2	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point	2	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to
2	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project?	2 3	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18?
2 3 4	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200	2 3 4	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories.
2 3 4 5	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or	2 3 4 5	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the
2 3 4 5 6	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional	2 3 4 5 6	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units,
2 3 4 5 6 7	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional public benefits to the	2 3 4 5 6 7	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units, they need to be taller and that was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional public benefits to the — MS. MIRO: Streetscape?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units, they need to be taller and that was the whole
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional public benefits to the MS. MIRO: Streetscape? MR. REVUELTA: ground level, I'd be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units, they need to be taller and that was the whole CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the whole
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional public benefits to the MS. MIRO: Streetscape? MR. REVUELTA: ground level, I'd be willing to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units, they need to be taller and that was the whole CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the whole purpose.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional public benefits to the MS. MIRO: Streetscape? MR. REVUELTA: ground level, I'd be willing to MR. TORRE: I'm not sure of the wording,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units, they need to be taller and that was the whole CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the whole purpose. MS. MIRO: So 18 stories, how many feet?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional public benefits to the MS. MIRO: Streetscape? MR. REVUELTA: ground level, I'd be willing to MR. TORRE: I'm not sure of the wording, brings forth exceptional ground level	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units, they need to be taller and that was the whole CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the whole purpose. MS. MIRO: So 18 stories, how many feet? MR. BEHAR: I would do 18 stories. The 200
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional public benefits to the MS. MIRO: Streetscape? MR. REVUELTA: ground level, I'd be willing to MR. TORRE: I'm not sure of the wording,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units, they need to be taller and that was the whole CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the whole purpose. MS. MIRO: So 18 stories, how many feet? MR. BEHAR: I would do 18 stories. The 200 feet, I mean, I'm okay with that, too. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional public benefits to the MS. MIRO: Streetscape? MR. REVUELTA: ground level, I'd be willing to MR. TORRE: I'm not sure of the wording, brings forth exceptional ground level architecture and streetscape appeal? I don't know what the right term is, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units, they need to be taller and that was the whole CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the whole purpose. MS. MIRO: So 18 stories, how many feet? MR. BEHAR: I would do 18 stories. The 200 feet, I mean, I'm okay with that, too. I don't know how everybody else feels. You know, 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional public benefits to the MS. MIRO: Streetscape? MR. REVUELTA: ground level, I'd be willing to MR. TORRE: I'm not sure of the wording, brings forth exceptional ground level architecture and streetscape appeal? I don't know what the right term is, but MR. TRIAS: I mean, if you think about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units, they need to be taller and that was the whole CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the whole purpose. MS. MIRO: So 18 stories, how many feet? MR. BEHAR: I would do 18 stories. The 200 feet, I mean, I'm okay with that, too. I don't know how everybody else feels. You know, 205 feet 6 inches, obviously there is you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional public benefits to the MS. MIRO: Streetscape? MR. REVUELTA: ground level, I'd be willing to MR. TORRE: I'm not sure of the wording, brings forth exceptional ground level architecture and streetscape appeal? I don't know what the right term is, but MR. TRIAS: I mean, if you think about the two streetscapes that we have that are nice,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units, they need to be taller and that was the whole CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the whole purpose. MS. MIRO: So 18 stories, how many feet? MR. BEHAR: I would do 18 stories. The 200 feet, I mean, I'm okay with that, too. I don't know how everybody else feels. You know, 205 feet 6 inches, obviously there is you know I'm okay with your recommendation of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional public benefits to the MS. MIRO: Streetscape? MR. REVUELTA: ground level, I'd be willing to MR. TORRE: I'm not sure of the wording, brings forth exceptional ground level architecture and streetscape appeal? I don't know what the right term is, but MR. TRIAS: I mean, if you think about the two streetscapes that we have that are nice, Miracle Mile, I would say, and Giralda, those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units, they need to be taller and that was the whole CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the whole purpose. MS. MIRO: So 18 stories, how many feet? MR. BEHAR: I would do 18 stories. The 200 feet, I mean, I'm okay with that, too. I don't know how everybody else feels. You know, 205 feet 6 inches, obviously there is you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional public benefits to the MS. MIRO: Streetscape? MR. REVUELTA: ground level, I'd be willing to MR. TORRE: I'm not sure of the wording, brings forth exceptional ground level architecture and streetscape appeal? I don't know what the right term is, but MR. TRIAS: I mean, if you think about the two streetscapes that we have that are nice,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units, they need to be taller and that was the whole CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the whole purpose. MS. MIRO: So 18 stories, how many feet? MR. BEHAR: I would do 18 stories. The 200 feet, I mean, I'm okay with that, too. I don't know how everybody else feels. You know, 205 feet 6 inches, obviously there is you know I'm okay with your recommendation of 200 feet, but I would cap it at 18 stories. MR. REVUELTA: I would be fine with that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional public benefits to the MS. MIRO: Streetscape? MR. REVUELTA: ground level, I'd be willing to MR. TORRE: I'm not sure of the wording, brings forth exceptional ground level architecture and streetscape appeal? I don't know what the right term is, but MR. TRIAS: I mean, if you think about the two streetscapes that we have that are nice, Miracle Mile, I would say, and Giralda, those were projects, those were not Zoning language. So there's a distinction or a range, from a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units, they need to be taller and that was the whole CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the whole purpose. MS. MIRO: So 18 stories, how many feet? MR. BEHAR: I would do 18 stories. The 200 feet, I mean, I'm okay with that, too. I don't know how everybody else feels. You know, 205 feet 6 inches, obviously there is you know I'm okay with your recommendation of 200 feet, but I would cap it at 18 stories. MR. REVUELTA: I would be fine with that. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, and in terms of process,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional public benefits to the MS. MIRO: Streetscape? MR. REVUELTA: ground level, I'd be willing to MR. TORRE: I'm not sure of the wording, brings forth exceptional ground level architecture and streetscape appeal? I don't know what the right term is, but MR. TRIAS: I mean, if you think about the two streetscapes that we have that are nice, Miracle Mile, I would say, and Giralda, those were projects, those were not Zoning language.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units, they need to be taller and that was the whole CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the whole purpose. MS. MIRO: So 18 stories, how many feet? MR. BEHAR: I would do 18 stories. The 200 feet, I mean, I'm okay with that, too. I don't know how everybody else feels. You know, 205 feet 6 inches, obviously there is you know I'm okay with your recommendation of 200 feet, but I would cap it at 18 stories. MR. REVUELTA: I would be fine with that. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, and in terms of process, this has to be sent to the State, so it takes a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional public benefits to the MS. MIRO: Streetscape? MR. REVUELTA: ground level, I'd be willing to MR. TORRE: I'm not sure of the wording, brings forth exceptional ground level architecture and streetscape appeal? I don't know what the right term is, but MR. TRIAS: I mean, if you think about the two streetscapes that we have that are nice, Miracle Mile, I would say, and Giralda, those were projects, those were not Zoning language. So there's a distinction or a range, from a project, to Zoning, and somewhere in between. Certainly we can have some more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units, they need to be taller and that was the whole CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the whole purpose. MS. MIRO: So 18 stories, how many feet? MR. BEHAR: I would do 18 stories. The 200 feet, I mean, I'm okay with that, too. I don't know how everybody else feels. You know, 205 feet 6 inches, obviously there is you know I'm okay with your recommendation of 200 feet, but I would cap it at 18 stories. MR. REVUELTA: I would be fine with that. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, and in terms of process, this has to be sent to the State, so it takes a while for it to come back and go to Commission.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional public benefits to the MS. MIRO: Streetscape? MR. REVUELTA: ground level, I'd be willing to MR. TORRE: I'm not sure of the wording, brings forth exceptional ground level architecture and streetscape appeal? I don't know what the right term is, but MR. TRIAS: I mean, if you think about the two streetscapes that we have that are nice, Miracle Mile, I would say, and Giralda, those were projects, those were not Zoning language. So there's a distinction or a range, from a project, to Zoning, and somewhere in between.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units, they need to be taller and that was the whole CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the whole purpose. MS. MIRO: So 18 stories, how many feet? MR. BEHAR: I would do 18 stories. The 200 feet, I mean, I'm okay with that, too. I don't know how everybody else feels. You know, 205 feet 6 inches, obviously there is you know I'm okay with your recommendation of 200 feet, but I would cap it at 18 stories. MR. REVUELTA: I would be fine with that. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, and in terms of process, this has to be sent to the State, so it takes a while for it to come back and go to Commission. So there's a chance to have any kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional public benefits to the MS. MIRO: Streetscape? MR. REVUELTA: ground level, I'd be willing to MR. TORRE: I'm not sure of the wording, brings forth exceptional ground level architecture and streetscape appeal? I don't know what the right term is, but MR. TRIAS: I mean, if you think about the two streetscapes that we have that are nice, Miracle Mile, I would say, and Giralda, those were projects, those were not Zoning language. So there's a distinction or a range, from a project, to Zoning, and somewhere in between. Certainly we can have some more recommendations, but, I mean, at this point, 20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units, they need to be taller and that was the whole CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the whole purpose. MS. MIRO: So 18 stories, how many feet? MR. BEHAR: I would do 18 stories. The 200 feet, I mean, I'm okay with that, too. I don't know how everybody else feels. You know, 205 feet 6 inches, obviously there is you know I'm okay with your recommendation of 200 feet, but I would cap it at 18 stories. MR. REVUELTA: I would be fine with that. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, and in terms of process, this has to be sent to the State, so it takes a while for it to come back and go to Commission. So there's a chance to have any kind of conversation you may want to have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	architects. Are there any recommendations, that you see, that we could make at this point with this project? MR. REVUELTA: I would make it maximum 200 feet, maximum 20 stories, and if Venny or anyone wants to add something about additional public benefits to the MS. MIRO: Streetscape? MR. REVUELTA: ground level, I'd be willing to MR. TORRE: I'm not sure of the wording, brings forth exceptional ground level architecture and streetscape appeal? I don't know what the right term is, but MR. TRIAS: I mean, if you think about the two streetscapes that we have that are nice, Miracle Mile, I would say, and Giralda, those were projects, those were not Zoning language. So there's a distinction or a range, from a project, to Zoning, and somewhere in between. Certainly we can have some more recommendations, but, I mean, at this point, 20 stories, I think that would be too many, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	respectfully Luis, I think that 20 stories, you're going to MR. REVUELTA: You want to make it 18? MR. BEHAR: I would cap it at 18 stories. That way I would if I want to give the incentive to have less units, bigger units, they need to be taller and that was the whole CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's the whole purpose. MS. MIRO: So 18 stories, how many feet? MR. BEHAR: I would do 18 stories. The 200 feet, I mean, I'm okay with that, too. I don't know how everybody else feels. You know, 205 feet 6 inches, obviously there is you know I'm okay with your recommendation of 200 feet, but I would cap it at 18 stories. MR. REVUELTA: I would be fine with that. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, and in terms of process, this has to be sent to the State, so it takes a while for it to come back and go to Commission. So there's a chance to have any kind of

1	Page 37		Page 38
	some benefits and giving something back, what's	1	think it's I think we should talk about it
2	going to be the overall length that they can	2	every once in a while
3	develop the tower?	3	MR. TORRE: I think it's called out on
4	MR. TRIAS: Right. We don't have that	4	Section 5.100, that 150 feet, have to have a
5	level of detail in the Code, as you know, and	5	break. This is on the
6	other Codes do. I mean, that's something that	6	MR. TRIAS: In the facade, yes, but then
7	Staff has been working on some ideas, because	7	the building could continue to be, yes. So
8	of your idea, your leadership in this, but, I	8	we're talking about an architectural
9	think, at some point, that would be very	9	articulation more than different massing.
10	helpful, to have a maximum increment. That's	10	MR. REVUELTA: But this building,
11	why many of the European cities we like so	11	essentially, could go from street to street.
12	much, it's because they have a lot of	12	MR. TRIAS: Yes.
13	relatively small buildings. I mean, they may	13	MR. REVUELTA: In terms of
14	be tall, but they're not big, in terms of the	14	MR. BEHAR: It could.
15	floor plate, and then that's what gives a lot	15	MR. TRIAS: You'll see it next week.
16	of the quality of the architecture.	16	MR. TORRE: You guys know this better than
17	MR. REVUELTA: As much as I said that I	17	anybody else, what's driving that is the
18	have a problem with the way that length was	18	parking. You have to have a parking garage big
19	determined by another municipality in town, I	19	enough to make it worth to build a parking
20	think that, even the fact that the Gables has	20	garage, plus then you have multiple elevator
21	no limit, I think there's got to be a middle	21	cores and multiple stairs. So the issue of the
22	point somewhere, where you can break up	22	large building is to be able to
23	building mass lengths, that would be good. No,	23	MR. REVUELTA: No, I understand that, but
24	I don't want to stir the pot here, throw a	24	what happens in many municipalities and
25	monkey wrench in the whole process here, but I	25	project, is that you have a parking pedestal
	Page 39		Page 40
1	that basically needs to be the size that it	1	been submitted, so the building actually goes
2	needs to be, given the current Zoning	2	all of the way to the ground, which is nice. I
3	regulations, and that's understandable, but	3	mean, if you have the ability that may be
	a a constant		mean, if you have the domey that may be
4	then the tower that goes on top of it, that	4	the answer to your question.
4 5	then the tower that goes on top of it, that doesn't necessarily need to be the same length	4 5	*
			the answer to your question.
5	doesn't necessarily need to be the same length	5	the answer to your question. The PAD, in those cases, allows for parking
5 6	doesn't necessarily need to be the same length as the pedestal, that's where I think the overmassing begins to create problems for architects, neighbors and Board Members.	5 6	the answer to your question. The PAD, in those cases, allows for parking to be here and the building actually be on the
5 6 7	doesn't necessarily need to be the same length as the pedestal, that's where I think the overmassing begins to create problems for	5 6 7	the answer to your question. The PAD, in those cases, allows for parking to be here and the building actually be on the other end of the design, all of the way to the
5 6 7 8	doesn't necessarily need to be the same length as the pedestal, that's where I think the overmassing begins to create problems for architects, neighbors and Board Members. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TORRE: I disagree, in this sense, that	5 6 7 8	the answer to your question. The PAD, in those cases, allows for parking to be here and the building actually be on the other end of the design, all of the way to the ground, for example, which is, I think, a good thing. MR. WITHERS: I understand.
5 6 7 8 9 10	doesn't necessarily need to be the same length as the pedestal, that's where I think the overmassing begins to create problems for architects, neighbors and Board Members. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TORRE: I disagree, in this sense, that what you're talking about, the parking	5 6 7 8 9 10	the answer to your question. The PAD, in those cases, allows for parking to be here and the building actually be on the other end of the design, all of the way to the ground, for example, which is, I think, a good thing. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. REVUELTA: And that's a good thing.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	doesn't necessarily need to be the same length as the pedestal, that's where I think the overmassing begins to create problems for architects, neighbors and Board Members. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TORRE: I disagree, in this sense, that what you're talking about, the parking pedestal, is what's on the ground floor and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the answer to your question. The PAD, in those cases, allows for parking to be here and the building actually be on the other end of the design, all of the way to the ground, for example, which is, I think, a good thing. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. REVUELTA: And that's a good thing. That is a good thing.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	doesn't necessarily need to be the same length as the pedestal, that's where I think the overmassing begins to create problems for architects, neighbors and Board Members. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TORRE: I disagree, in this sense, that what you're talking about, the parking pedestal, is what's on the ground floor and it's what you perceive the most.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the answer to your question. The PAD, in those cases, allows for parking to be here and the building actually be on the other end of the design, all of the way to the ground, for example, which is, I think, a good thing. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. REVUELTA: And that's a good thing. That is a good thing. MR. TRIAS: Every time we've been able to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	doesn't necessarily need to be the same length as the pedestal, that's where I think the overmassing begins to create problems for architects, neighbors and Board Members. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TORRE: I disagree, in this sense, that what you're talking about, the parking pedestal, is what's on the ground floor and it's what you perceive the most. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that is not what	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the answer to your question. The PAD, in those cases, allows for parking to be here and the building actually be on the other end of the design, all of the way to the ground, for example, which is, I think, a good thing. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. REVUELTA: And that's a good thing. That is a good thing. MR. TRIAS: Every time we've been able to do that, which has been a couple of times, I
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	doesn't necessarily need to be the same length as the pedestal, that's where I think the overmassing begins to create problems for architects, neighbors and Board Members. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TORRE: I disagree, in this sense, that what you're talking about, the parking pedestal, is what's on the ground floor and it's what you perceive the most. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that is not what this project is, and maybe I should be more	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the answer to your question. The PAD, in those cases, allows for parking to be here and the building actually be on the other end of the design, all of the way to the ground, for example, which is, I think, a good thing. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. REVUELTA: And that's a good thing. That is a good thing. MR. TRIAS: Every time we've been able to do that, which has been a couple of times, I mean, or more than that, actually, the results
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	doesn't necessarily need to be the same length as the pedestal, that's where I think the overmassing begins to create problems for architects, neighbors and Board Members. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TORRE: I disagree, in this sense, that what you're talking about, the parking pedestal, is what's on the ground floor and it's what you perceive the most. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that is not what this project is, and maybe I should be more clear. Even though the project, yeah, it takes	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the answer to your question. The PAD, in those cases, allows for parking to be here and the building actually be on the other end of the design, all of the way to the ground, for example, which is, I think, a good thing. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. REVUELTA: And that's a good thing. That is a good thing. MR. TRIAS: Every time we've been able to do that, which has been a couple of times, I mean, or more than that, actually, the results are very good, because you end up with a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	doesn't necessarily need to be the same length as the pedestal, that's where I think the overmassing begins to create problems for architects, neighbors and Board Members. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TORRE: I disagree, in this sense, that what you're talking about, the parking pedestal, is what's on the ground floor and it's what you perceive the most. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that is not what this project is, and maybe I should be more clear. Even though the project, yeah, it takes the whole site, some of it is parking, some of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the answer to your question. The PAD, in those cases, allows for parking to be here and the building actually be on the other end of the design, all of the way to the ground, for example, which is, I think, a good thing. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. REVUELTA: And that's a good thing. That is a good thing. MR. TRIAS: Every time we've been able to do that, which has been a couple of times, I mean, or more than that, actually, the results are very good, because you end up with a building that has no pedestal, and that, to me,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	doesn't necessarily need to be the same length as the pedestal, that's where I think the overmassing begins to create problems for architects, neighbors and Board Members. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TORRE: I disagree, in this sense, that what you're talking about, the parking pedestal, is what's on the ground floor and it's what you perceive the most. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that is not what this project is, and maybe I should be more clear. Even though the project, yeah, it takes the whole site, some of it is parking, some of it is courtyard, open space, some of it is	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the answer to your question. The PAD, in those cases, allows for parking to be here and the building actually be on the other end of the design, all of the way to the ground, for example, which is, I think, a good thing. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. REVUELTA: And that's a good thing. That is a good thing. MR. TRIAS: Every time we've been able to do that, which has been a couple of times, I mean, or more than that, actually, the results are very good, because you end up with a building that has no pedestal, and that, to me, is the difference between, let's say, Coral
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	doesn't necessarily need to be the same length as the pedestal, that's where I think the overmassing begins to create problems for architects, neighbors and Board Members. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TORRE: I disagree, in this sense, that what you're talking about, the parking pedestal, is what's on the ground floor and it's what you perceive the most. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that is not what this project is, and maybe I should be more clear. Even though the project, yeah, it takes the whole site, some of it is parking, some of it is courtyard, open space, some of it is arcade. There's a variety of things —	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the answer to your question. The PAD, in those cases, allows for parking to be here and the building actually be on the other end of the design, all of the way to the ground, for example, which is, I think, a good thing. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. REVUELTA: And that's a good thing. That is a good thing. MR. TRIAS: Every time we've been able to do that, which has been a couple of times, I mean, or more than that, actually, the results are very good, because you end up with a building that has no pedestal, and that, to me, is the difference between, let's say, Coral Gables and Brickell, for example.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	doesn't necessarily need to be the same length as the pedestal, that's where I think the overmassing begins to create problems for architects, neighbors and Board Members. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TORRE: I disagree, in this sense, that what you're talking about, the parking pedestal, is what's on the ground floor and it's what you perceive the most. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that is not what this project is, and maybe I should be more clear. Even though the project, yeah, it takes the whole site, some of it is parking, some of it is courtyard, open space, some of it is arcade. There's a variety of things — MR. BEHAR: Correct. This has a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the answer to your question. The PAD, in those cases, allows for parking to be here and the building actually be on the other end of the design, all of the way to the ground, for example, which is, I think, a good thing. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. REVUELTA: And that's a good thing. That is a good thing. MR. TRIAS: Every time we've been able to do that, which has been a couple of times, I mean, or more than that, actually, the results are very good, because you end up with a building that has no pedestal, and that, to me, is the difference between, let's say, Coral Gables and Brickell, for example. MR. BEHAR: But it depends at what cost
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	doesn't necessarily need to be the same length as the pedestal, that's where I think the overmassing begins to create problems for architects, neighbors and Board Members. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TORRE: I disagree, in this sense, that what you're talking about, the parking pedestal, is what's on the ground floor and it's what you perceive the most. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that is not what this project is, and maybe I should be more clear. Even though the project, yeah, it takes the whole site, some of it is parking, some of it is courtyard, open space, some of it is arcade. There's a variety of things MR. BEHAR: Correct. This has a freestanding garage, right?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the answer to your question. The PAD, in those cases, allows for parking to be here and the building actually be on the other end of the design, all of the way to the ground, for example, which is, I think, a good thing. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. REVUELTA: And that's a good thing. That is a good thing. MR. TRIAS: Every time we've been able to do that, which has been a couple of times, I mean, or more than that, actually, the results are very good, because you end up with a building that has no pedestal, and that, to me, is the difference between, let's say, Coral Gables and Brickell, for example. MR. BEHAR: But it depends at what cost it's a good thing, because if you have a blocky
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	doesn't necessarily need to be the same length as the pedestal, that's where I think the overmassing begins to create problems for architects, neighbors and Board Members. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TORRE: I disagree, in this sense, that what you're talking about, the parking pedestal, is what's on the ground floor and it's what you perceive the most. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that is not what this project is, and maybe I should be more clear. Even though the project, yeah, it takes the whole site, some of it is parking, some of it is courtyard, open space, some of it is arcade. There's a variety of things — MR. BEHAR: Correct. This has a freestanding garage, right? MR. TRIAS: Yeah. So what happens is that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the answer to your question. The PAD, in those cases, allows for parking to be here and the building actually be on the other end of the design, all of the way to the ground, for example, which is, I think, a good thing. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. REVUELTA: And that's a good thing. That is a good thing. MR. TRIAS: Every time we've been able to do that, which has been a couple of times, I mean, or more than that, actually, the results are very good, because you end up with a building that has no pedestal, and that, to me, is the difference between, let's say, Coral Gables and Brickell, for example. MR. BEHAR: But it depends at what cost it's a good thing, because if you have a blocky six-story parking garage by itself, it may not
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	doesn't necessarily need to be the same length as the pedestal, that's where I think the overmassing begins to create problems for architects, neighbors and Board Members. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TORRE: I disagree, in this sense, that what you're talking about, the parking pedestal, is what's on the ground floor and it's what you perceive the most. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that is not what this project is, and maybe I should be more clear. Even though the project, yeah, it takes the whole site, some of it is parking, some of it is courtyard, open space, some of it is arcade. There's a variety of things — MR. BEHAR: Correct. This has a freestanding garage, right? MR. TRIAS: Yeah. So what happens is that the actual building — again, I'm just using it	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the answer to your question. The PAD, in those cases, allows for parking to be here and the building actually be on the other end of the design, all of the way to the ground, for example, which is, I think, a good thing. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. REVUELTA: And that's a good thing. That is a good thing. MR. TRIAS: Every time we've been able to do that, which has been a couple of times, I mean, or more than that, actually, the results are very good, because you end up with a building that has no pedestal, and that, to me, is the difference between, let's say, Coral Gables and Brickell, for example. MR. BEHAR: But it depends at what cost it's a good thing, because if you have a blocky six-story parking garage by itself, it may not be a good thing either.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	doesn't necessarily need to be the same length as the pedestal, that's where I think the overmassing begins to create problems for architects, neighbors and Board Members. MR. TRIAS: Yes. MR. TORRE: I disagree, in this sense, that what you're talking about, the parking pedestal, is what's on the ground floor and it's what you perceive the most. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And that is not what this project is, and maybe I should be more clear. Even though the project, yeah, it takes the whole site, some of it is parking, some of it is courtyard, open space, some of it is arcade. There's a variety of things — MR. BEHAR: Correct. This has a freestanding garage, right? MR. TRIAS: Yeah. So what happens is that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the answer to your question. The PAD, in those cases, allows for parking to be here and the building actually be on the other end of the design, all of the way to the ground, for example, which is, I think, a good thing. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. REVUELTA: And that's a good thing. That is a good thing. MR. TRIAS: Every time we've been able to do that, which has been a couple of times, I mean, or more than that, actually, the results are very good, because you end up with a building that has no pedestal, and that, to me, is the difference between, let's say, Coral Gables and Brickell, for example. MR. BEHAR: But it depends at what cost it's a good thing, because if you have a blocky six-story parking garage by itself, it may not

	Page 41		Page 42
1	MR. BEHAR: I mean, you really it's all	1	it quality spaces inside, because it's not like
2	contextually how it's done correctly.	2	you have in this particular project, you
3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would anybody like to	3	don't have a podium parking, then the building
4	make a motion?	4	on top. You've got 18 stories or whatever, and
5	MR. TORRE: I'll make it. We have a couple	5	a detached garage. So, I mean
6	of recommendations that I want to make sure we	6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Remember we are not
7	either agree, disagree on. You had a 200	7	looking at
8	both of you guys had a 200-foot cap and an	8	MR. TRIAS: It's attached to the building,
9	18-floor max.	9	but it's on a different part of the site.
10	MR. REVUELTA: Correct.	10	MR. BEHAR: But it's not underneath the
11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I don't know if Robert	11	building.
12	Robert, did you have a 200 or	12	MR. TRIAS: Right.
13	MR. BEHAR: No. I was just following Luis.	13	MR. BEHAR: It's next to it.
14	I don't have a problem with either/or. 18	14	MR. TRIAS: Right.
15	stories would be my recommendation.	15	MR. TORRE: Now, these are just
16	MS. MIRO: Do you we have to go as high as	16	recommendations. The Commission can just, you
17	200? Can we go lower?	17	know, do it the way
18	MR. BEHAR: My opinion, if you are going to	18	MR. REVUELTA: They're going to do whatever
19	do bigger units and you're going to do 18	19	they want.
20	stories, I think just mathematically you could	20	MR. TRIAS: Well, like I said, this has to
		20	be sent to the State for a comment.
21	say, okay, the ground floor will be 20 feet,		
22	you know, each floor after that is a little bit	22	MR. TORRE: Correct.
23	over like 11 feet. You know, that will be	23	MR. TRIAS: And they will tell us. What I
24	appropriate. I think that's the intent.	24	anticipate is that they'll say it's a good idea
25	So I think 200 would be the minimum to make	25	to limit density. That's probably what they'll
	Page 43		D = 4.4
	1430 10		Page 44
1	say.	1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to
1 2		1 2	
	say.		CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to
2	say. MR. COLLER: But just to understand your	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation?
2	say. MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the	2 3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis?
2 3 4	say. MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a
2 3 4 5	say. MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation.
2 3 4 5 6	say. MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a recommendation, one way or another, from the	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7	say. MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a recommendation, one way or another, from the Land Planning Agency, which is you. So that's	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please. MR. COLLER: This is going to be a motion;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	say. MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a recommendation, one way or another, from the Land Planning Agency, which is you. So that's why this — we advised in the last vote that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please. MR. COLLER: This is going to be a motion; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	say. MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a recommendation, one way or another, from the Land Planning Agency, which is you. So that's why this we advised in the last vote that we needed a recommendation. So that's kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please. MR. COLLER: This is going to be a motion; is that correct? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I make the motion to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a recommendation, one way or another, from the Land Planning Agency, which is you. So that's why this we advised in the last vote that we needed a recommendation. So that's kind of where we are with this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please. MR. COLLER: This is going to be a motion; is that correct? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I make the motion to approve the item, with the Staff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a recommendation, one way or another, from the Land Planning Agency, which is you. So that's why this — we advised in the last vote that we needed a recommendation. So that's kind of where we are with this. MR. TORRE: But does that Land Comp Plan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please. MR. COLLER: This is going to be a motion; is that correct? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I make the motion to approve the item, with the Staff recommendation, and with the two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a recommendation, one way or another, from the Land Planning Agency, which is you. So that's why this — we advised in the last vote that we needed a recommendation. So that's kind of where we are with this. MR. TORRE: But does that Land Comp Plan change depend on a yes vote?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please. MR. COLLER: This is going to be a motion; is that correct? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I make the motion to approve the item, with the Staff recommendation, and with the two recommendations of capping the building at 200
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a recommendation, one way or another, from the Land Planning Agency, which is you. So that's why this we advised in the last vote that we needed a recommendation. So that's kind of where we are with this. MR. TORRE: But does that Land Comp Plan change depend on a yes vote? MR. COLLER: No. You can have a no vote.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please. MR. COLLER: This is going to be a motion; is that correct? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I make the motion to approve the item, with the Staff recommendation, and with the two recommendations of capping the building at 200 feet and no more than 18 stories.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a recommendation, one way or another, from the Land Planning Agency, which is you. So that's why this we advised in the last vote that we needed a recommendation. So that's kind of where we are with this. MR. TORRE: But does that Land Comp Plan change depend on a yes vote? MR. COLLER: No. You can have a no vote. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, that's fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please. MR. COLLER: This is going to be a motion; is that correct? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I make the motion to approve the item, with the Staff recommendation, and with the two recommendations of capping the building at 200 feet and no more than 18 stories. MR. COLLER: So it's really a motion to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a recommendation, one way or another, from the Land Planning Agency, which is you. So that's why this we advised in the last vote that we needed a recommendation. So that's kind of where we are with this. MR. TORRE: But does that Land Comp Plan change depend on a yes vote? MR. COLLER: No. You can have a no vote. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, that's fine. MR. COLLER: As long as you have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please. MR. COLLER: This is going to be a motion; is that correct? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I make the motion to approve the item, with the Staff recommendation, and with the two recommendations of capping the building at 200 feet and no more than 18 stories. MR. COLLER: So it's really a motion to approve, on a modified basis, from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a recommendation, one way or another, from the Land Planning Agency, which is you. So that's why this — we advised in the last vote that we needed a recommendation. So that's kind of where we are with this. MR. TORRE: But does that Land Comp Plan change depend on a yes vote? MR. COLLER: No. You can have a no vote. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, that's fine. MR. COLLER: As long as you have a recommendation, whether it's yes or no, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please. MR. COLLER: This is going to be a motion; is that correct? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I make the motion to approve the item, with the Staff recommendation, and with the two recommendations of capping the building at 200 feet and no more than 18 stories. MR. COLLER: So it's really a motion to approve, on a modified basis, from the Department recommendation, which is a maximum
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a recommendation, one way or another, from the Land Planning Agency, which is you. So that's why this we advised in the last vote that we needed a recommendation. So that's kind of where we are with this. MR. TORRE: But does that Land Comp Plan change depend on a yes vote? MR. COLLER: No. You can have a no vote. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, that's fine. MR. COLLER: As long as you have a recommendation, whether it's yes or no, it doesn't matter, just as long as you have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please. MR. COLLER: This is going to be a motion; is that correct? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I make the motion to approve the item, with the Staff recommendation, and with the two recommendations of capping the building at 200 feet and no more than 18 stories. MR. COLLER: So it's really a motion to approve, on a modified basis, from the Department recommendation, which is a maximum 18 stories and 200 feet?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a recommendation, one way or another, from the Land Planning Agency, which is you. So that's why this we advised in the last vote that we needed a recommendation. So that's kind of where we are with this. MR. TORRE: But does that Land Comp Plan change depend on a yes vote? MR. COLLER: No. You can have a no vote. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, that's fine. MR. COLLER: As long as you have a recommendation, whether it's yes or no, it doesn't matter, just as long as you have a recommendation from the LPA, which is what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please. MR. COLLER: This is going to be a motion; is that correct? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I make the motion to approve the item, with the Staff recommendation, and with the two recommendations of capping the building at 200 feet and no more than 18 stories. MR. COLLER: So it's really a motion to approve, on a modified basis, from the Department recommendation, which is a maximum 18 stories and 200 feet? MR. BEHAR: Luis, before I vote, I want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a recommendation, one way or another, from the Land Planning Agency, which is you. So that's why this we advised in the last vote that we needed a recommendation. So that's kind of where we are with this. MR. TORRE: But does that Land Comp Plan change depend on a yes vote? MR. COLLER: No. You can have a no vote. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, that's fine. MR. COLLER: As long as you have a recommendation, whether it's yes or no, it doesn't matter, just as long as you have a recommendation from the LPA, which is what you're sitting as for the Comp Plan amendment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please. MR. COLLER: This is going to be a motion; is that correct? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I make the motion to approve the item, with the Staff recommendation, and with the two recommendations of capping the building at 200 feet and no more than 18 stories. MR. COLLER: So it's really a motion to approve, on a modified basis, from the Department recommendation, which is a maximum 18 stories and 200 feet? MR. BEHAR: Luis, before I vote, I want to say something else. If you I'm thinking, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a recommendation, one way or another, from the Land Planning Agency, which is you. So that's why this we advised in the last vote that we needed a recommendation. So that's kind of where we are with this. MR. TORRE: But does that Land Comp Plan change depend on a yes vote? MR. COLLER: No. You can have a no vote. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, that's fine. MR. COLLER: As long as you have a recommendation, whether it's yes or no, it doesn't matter, just as long as you have a recommendation from the LPA, which is what you're sitting as for the Comp Plan amendment. The second item, you can choose to wind up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please. MR. COLLER: This is going to be a motion; is that correct? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I make the motion to approve the item, with the Staff recommendation, and with the two recommendations of capping the building at 200 feet and no more than 18 stories. MR. COLLER: So it's really a motion to approve, on a modified basis, from the Department recommendation, which is a maximum 18 stories and 200 feet? MR. BEHAR: Luis, before I vote, I want to say something else. If you I'm thinking, if you're going to do an 18-story building, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a recommendation, one way or another, from the Land Planning Agency, which is you. So that's why this — we advised in the last vote that we needed a recommendation. So that's kind of where we are with this. MR. TORRE: But does that Land Comp Plan change depend on a yes vote? MR. COLLER: No. You can have a no vote. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, that's fine. MR. COLLER: As long as you have a recommendation, whether it's yes or no, it doesn't matter, just as long as you have a recommendation from the LPA, which is what you're sitting as for the Comp Plan amendment. The second item, you can choose to wind up with a recommendation or you could choose —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please. MR. COLLER: This is going to be a motion; is that correct? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I make the motion to approve the item, with the Staff recommendation, and with the two recommendations of capping the building at 200 feet and no more than 18 stories. MR. COLLER: So it's really a motion to approve, on a modified basis, from the Department recommendation, which is a maximum 18 stories and 200 feet? MR. BEHAR: Luis, before I vote, I want to say something else. If you — I'm thinking, if you're going to do an 18-story building, and you're going to have 20 feet on the ground
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a recommendation, one way or another, from the Land Planning Agency, which is you. So that's why this — we advised in the last vote that we needed a recommendation. So that's kind of where we are with this. MR. TORRE: But does that Land Comp Plan change depend on a yes vote? MR. COLLER: No. You can have a no vote. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, that's fine. MR. COLLER: As long as you have a recommendation, whether it's yes or no, it doesn't matter, just as long as you have a recommendation from the LPA, which is what you're sitting as for the Comp Plan amendment. The second item, you can choose to wind up with a recommendation or you could choose—let's say we're a tied vote, that's okay, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please. MR. COLLER: This is going to be a motion; is that correct? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I make the motion to approve the item, with the Staff recommendation, and with the two recommendations of capping the building at 200 feet and no more than 18 stories. MR. COLLER: So it's really a motion to approve, on a modified basis, from the Department recommendation, which is a maximum 18 stories and 200 feet? MR. BEHAR: Luis, before I vote, I want to say something else. If you I'm thinking, if you're going to do an 18-story building, and you're going to have 20 feet on the ground floor, and if I want to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. COLLER: But just to understand your role in the Comp Plan, you're designated as the LPA, and so in order to either yay or nay on this, in order to move forward, they need a recommendation, one way or another, from the Land Planning Agency, which is you. So that's why this we advised in the last vote that we needed a recommendation. So that's kind of where we are with this. MR. TORRE: But does that Land Comp Plan change depend on a yes vote? MR. COLLER: No. You can have a no vote. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, that's fine. MR. COLLER: As long as you have a recommendation, whether it's yes or no, it doesn't matter, just as long as you have a recommendation from the LPA, which is what you're sitting as for the Comp Plan amendment. The second item, you can choose to wind up with a recommendation or you could choose let's say we're a tied vote, that's okay, but it's really the first item that is where we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to make the recommendation? Luis? MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a recommendation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please. MR. COLLER: This is going to be a motion; is that correct? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I make the motion to approve the item, with the Staff recommendation, and with the two recommendations of capping the building at 200 feet and no more than 18 stories. MR. COLLER: So it's really a motion to approve, on a modified basis, from the Department recommendation, which is a maximum 18 stories and 200 feet? MR. BEHAR: Luis, before I vote, I want to say something else. If you — I'm thinking, if you're going to do an 18-story building, and you're going to have 20 feet on the ground floor, and if I want to have — MR. REVUELTA: 11 feet?

	Page 45		Page 46
1	that is 10 or 11 inches, you're going to have	1	ground level at 18 feet and that would not make
2	218 high building.	2	a hell of a difference.
3	MR. BEHAR: No. No. No. If you do a post	3	MR. BEHAR: Oh, no, you're right, that
4	tension building, and we're talking, you know,	4	could happen.
5	architect here, 10.67	5	MR. REVUELTA: And, again, if you guys want
6	(Simultaneous speaking.)	6	to vote for 205.5, you know what
7	MR. BEHAR: Post-tension slab eight inches,	7	MR. BEHAR: The motion has been made, 200
8	it gives me 181 feet 4 inches, plus 20 feet	8	feet, 18 stories. That's the motion. Anything
9	MR. REVUELTA: That's 121 feet and 4 and a	9	else to that motion?
10	half inches.	10	MR. REVUELTA: I'd like some rationality in
11	MR. BEHAR: No. No. No. No.	11	the Code, right, and sometimes you read these
12	MR. REVUELTA: I'm reading your calculator.	12	Codes, that are totally arbitrary, and this is
13	MR. BEHAR: And I'm going to go slow, so	13	just coming up with those numbers is reverse
14	you can read it slowly. 17 stories at 10	14	engineering, specifically to this project, that
15	point	15	when you're making Code changes, I believe they
16	MR. REVUELTA: No, 18.	16	should be generic to the City, to the
17	MR. BEHAR: No. No. Because the 18th	17	municipality and to other properties and to
18	floor is the ground floor. So if you 10.67,	18	other projects.
19	times 17, it's 181.	19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But let me ask you a
20	MR. REVUELTA: Plus 20 feet.	20	question, if you're looking at a building
21	MR. BEHAR: It's 201.	21	that's 200 feet or you're looking at a building
22	MR. REVUELTA: .39 inches	22	that's five-foot bigger or three-foot bigger,
23	MR. BEHAR: Right. So I think 200 feet is	23	do you see a difference at all?
24	not going to give you that 10-foot ceilings.	24	MR. REVUELTA: No, zero. As a matter of
25	MR. REVUELTA: Well, they could do the	25	fact, urbanists will tell you that the most
	Page 47		- 40
	5		Page 48
1	important part of the building is the first 50	1	Page 48 MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished.
1 2		1 2	
	important part of the building is the first 50		MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished.
2	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180	2	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not
2	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to —	2 3	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that
2 3 4	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the	2 3 4	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns
2 3 4 5	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case I'm not an architect, but if that's the	2 3 4 5	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would
2 3 4 5 6	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case I'm not an architect, but if that's the case, and you cap it at 200, aren't you	2 3 4 5 6	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would propose is that 200 is equally arbitrary as
2 3 4 5 6 7	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case I'm not an architect, but if that's the case, and you cap it at 200, aren't you sacrificing on the design of the project by	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would propose is that 200 is equally arbitrary as 205. There's no real distinction, in terms of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case — I'm not an architect, but if that's the case, and you cap it at 200, aren't you sacrificing on the design of the project by doing that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would propose is that 200 is equally arbitrary as 205. There's no real distinction, in terms of whether it's arbitrary or not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case I'm not an architect, but if that's the case, and you cap it at 200, aren't you sacrificing on the design of the project by doing that? MR. REVUELTA: As an architect, I can tell	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would propose is that 200 is equally arbitrary as 205. There's no real distinction, in terms of whether it's arbitrary or not. MR. REVUELTA: As we are two Spaniards, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case — I'm not an architect, but if that's the case, and you cap it at 200, aren't you sacrificing on the design of the project by doing that? MR. REVUELTA: As an architect, I can tell you, absolutely not, but if you guys are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would propose is that 200 is equally arbitrary as 205. There's no real distinction, in terms of whether it's arbitrary or not. MR. REVUELTA: As we are two Spaniards, we would I would rest. It's okay. Frankly, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case — I'm not an architect, but if that's the case, and you cap it at 200, aren't you sacrificing on the design of the project by doing that? MR. REVUELTA: As an architect, I can tell you, absolutely not, but if you guys are comfortable with 205.5, go at it. I'll vote	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would propose is that 200 is equally arbitrary as 205. There's no real distinction, in terms of whether it's arbitrary or not. MR. REVUELTA: As we are two Spaniards, we would I would rest. It's okay. Frankly, I don't want to make a big deal about it. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case — I'm not an architect, but if that's the case, and you cap it at 200, aren't you sacrificing on the design of the project by doing that? MR. REVUELTA: As an architect, I can tell you, absolutely not, but if you guys are comfortable with 205.5, go at it. I'll vote yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would propose is that 200 is equally arbitrary as 205. There's no real distinction, in terms of whether it's arbitrary or not. MR. REVUELTA: As we are two Spaniards, we would I would rest. It's okay. Frankly, I don't want to make a big deal about it. I already did, so it's whatever you guys want.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case — I'm not an architect, but if that's the case, and you cap it at 200, aren't you sacrificing on the design of the project by doing that? MR. REVUELTA: As an architect, I can tell you, absolutely not, but if you guys are comfortable with 205.5, go at it. I'll vote yes. MR. TORRE: Is there a motion on the table?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would propose is that 200 is equally arbitrary as 205. There's no real distinction, in terms of whether it's arbitrary or not. MR. REVUELTA: As we are two Spaniards, we would I would rest. It's okay. Frankly, I don't want to make a big deal about it. I already did, so it's whatever you guys want. MR. TORRE: I'll make a motion. So the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case — I'm not an architect, but if that's the case, and you cap it at 200, aren't you sacrificing on the design of the project by doing that? MR. REVUELTA: As an architect, I can tell you, absolutely not, but if you guys are comfortable with 205.5, go at it. I'll vote yes. MR. TORRE: Is there a motion on the table? MR. REVUELTA: Yeah, I made a motion with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would propose is that 200 is equally arbitrary as 205. There's no real distinction, in terms of whether it's arbitrary or not. MR. REVUELTA: As we are two Spaniards, we would I would rest. It's okay. Frankly, I don't want to make a big deal about it. I already did, so it's whatever you guys want. MR. TORRE: I'll make a motion. So the motion is 205.5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case — I'm not an architect, but if that's the case, and you cap it at 200, aren't you sacrificing on the design of the project by doing that? MR. REVUELTA: As an architect, I can tell you, absolutely not, but if you guys are comfortable with 205.5, go at it. I'll vote yes. MR. TORRE: Is there a motion on the table? MR. REVUELTA: Yeah, I made a motion with those two recommendations, 200 feet max, 18	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would propose is that 200 is equally arbitrary as 205. There's no real distinction, in terms of whether it's arbitrary or not. MR. REVUELTA: As we are two Spaniards, we would I would rest. It's okay. Frankly, I don't want to make a big deal about it. I already did, so it's whatever you guys want. MR. TORRE: I'll make a motion. So the motion is 205.5 MR. BEHAR: The motion is 200.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case — I'm not an architect, but if that's the case, and you cap it at 200, aren't you sacrificing on the design of the project by doing that? MR. REVUELTA: As an architect, I can tell you, absolutely not, but if you guys are comfortable with 205.5, go at it. I'll vote yes. MR. TORRE: Is there a motion on the table? MR. REVUELTA: Yeah, I made a motion with those two recommendations, 200 feet max, 18 stories, and staff recommendations. Now, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would propose is that 200 is equally arbitrary as 205. There's no real distinction, in terms of whether it's arbitrary or not. MR. REVUELTA: As we are two Spaniards, we would I would rest. It's okay. Frankly, I don't want to make a big deal about it. I already did, so it's whatever you guys want. MR. TORRE: I'll make a motion. So the motion is 205.5 MR. BEHAR: The motion is 200. MR. TORRE: No. I'm making a different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case — I'm not an architect, but if that's the case, and you cap it at 200, aren't you sacrificing on the design of the project by doing that? MR. REVUELTA: As an architect, I can tell you, absolutely not, but if you guys are comfortable with 205.5, go at it. I'll vote yes. MR. TORRE: Is there a motion on the table? MR. REVUELTA: Yeah, I made a motion with those two recommendations, 200 feet max, 18 stories, and staff recommendations. Now, if you guys —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would propose is that 200 is equally arbitrary as 205. There's no real distinction, in terms of whether it's arbitrary or not. MR. REVUELTA: As we are two Spaniards, we would I would rest. It's okay. Frankly, I don't want to make a big deal about it. I already did, so it's whatever you guys want. MR. TORRE: I'll make a motion. So the motion is 205.5 MR. BEHAR: The motion is 200. MR. TORRE: No. I'm making a different one. I'm waiting for a second, otherwise I'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case — I'm not an architect, but if that's the case, and you cap it at 200, aren't you sacrificing on the design of the project by doing that? MR. REVUELTA: As an architect, I can tell you, absolutely not, but if you guys are comfortable with 205.5, go at it. I'll vote yes. MR. TORRE: Is there a motion on the table? MR. REVUELTA: Yeah, I made a motion with those two recommendations, 200 feet max, 18 stories, and staff recommendations. Now, if you guys — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a motion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would propose is that 200 is equally arbitrary as 205. There's no real distinction, in terms of whether it's arbitrary or not. MR. REVUELTA: As we are two Spaniards, we would I would rest. It's okay. Frankly, I don't want to make a big deal about it. I already did, so it's whatever you guys want. MR. TORRE: I'll make a motion. So the motion is 205.5 MR. BEHAR: The motion is 200. MR. TORRE: No. I'm making a different one. I'm waiting for a second, otherwise I'll make one. Is there going to be a second?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case — I'm not an architect, but if that's the case, and you cap it at 200, aren't you sacrificing on the design of the project by doing that? MR. REVUELTA: As an architect, I can tell you, absolutely not, but if you guys are comfortable with 205.5, go at it. I'll vote yes. MR. TORRE: Is there a motion on the table? MR. REVUELTA: Yeah, I made a motion with those two recommendations, 200 feet max, 18 stories, and staff recommendations. Now, if you guys — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a motion. Is there a second?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would propose is that 200 is equally arbitrary as 205. There's no real distinction, in terms of whether it's arbitrary or not. MR. REVUELTA: As we are two Spaniards, we would I would rest. It's okay. Frankly, I don't want to make a big deal about it. I already did, so it's whatever you guys want. MR. TORRE: I'll make a motion. So the motion is 205.5 MR. BEHAR: The motion is 200. MR. TORRE: No. I'm making a different one. I'm waiting for a second, otherwise I'll make one. Is there going to be a second? MR. BEHAR: I'll second it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case — I'm not an architect, but if that's the case, and you cap it at 200, aren't you sacrificing on the design of the project by doing that? MR. REVUELTA: As an architect, I can tell you, absolutely not, but if you guys are comfortable with 205.5, go at it. I'll vote yes. MR. TORRE: Is there a motion on the table? MR. REVUELTA: Yeah, I made a motion with those two recommendations, 200 feet max, 18 stories, and staff recommendations. Now, if you guys — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a motion. Is there a second? MS. MIRO: No, but I wanted to ask a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would propose is that 200 is equally arbitrary as 205. There's no real distinction, in terms of whether it's arbitrary or not. MR. REVUELTA: As we are two Spaniards, we would I would rest. It's okay. Frankly, I don't want to make a big deal about it. I already did, so it's whatever you guys want. MR. TORRE: I'll make a motion. So the motion is 205.5 MR. BEHAR: The motion is 200. MR. TORRE: No. I'm making a different one. I'm waiting for a second, otherwise I'll make one. Is there going to be a second? MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. MS. MIRO: Now I'm not sure what it is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case — I'm not an architect, but if that's the case, and you cap it at 200, aren't you sacrificing on the design of the project by doing that? MR. REVUELTA: As an architect, I can tell you, absolutely not, but if you guys are comfortable with 205.5, go at it. I'll vote yes. MR. TORRE: Is there a motion on the table? MR. REVUELTA: Yeah, I made a motion with those two recommendations, 200 feet max, 18 stories, and staff recommendations. Now, if you guys — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a motion. Is there a second? MS. MIRO: No, but I wanted to ask a question. I thought — Venny, didn't you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would propose is that 200 is equally arbitrary as 205. There's no real distinction, in terms of whether it's arbitrary or not. MR. REVUELTA: As we are two Spaniards, we would I would rest. It's okay. Frankly, I don't want to make a big deal about it. I already did, so it's whatever you guys want. MR. TORRE: I'll make a motion. So the motion is 205.5 MR. BEHAR: The motion is 200. MR. TORRE: No. I'm making a different one. I'm waiting for a second, otherwise I'll make one. Is there going to be a second? MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. MS. MIRO: Now I'm not sure what it is that the motion is. Can you please make it is it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case — I'm not an architect, but if that's the case, and you cap it at 200, aren't you sacrificing on the design of the project by doing that? MR. REVUELTA: As an architect, I can tell you, absolutely not, but if you guys are comfortable with 205.5, go at it. I'll vote yes. MR. TORRE: Is there a motion on the table? MR. REVUELTA: Yeah, I made a motion with those two recommendations, 200 feet max, 18 stories, and staff recommendations. Now, if you guys — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a motion. Is there a second? MS. MIRO: No, but I wanted to ask a question. I thought — Venny, didn't you have a recommendation, as well, for how the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would propose is that 200 is equally arbitrary as 205. There's no real distinction, in terms of whether it's arbitrary or not. MR. REVUELTA: As we are two Spaniards, we would I would rest. It's okay. Frankly, I don't want to make a big deal about it. I already did, so it's whatever you guys want. MR. TORRE: I'll make a motion. So the motion is 205.5 MR. BEHAR: The motion is 200. MR. TORRE: No. I'm making a different one. I'm waiting for a second, otherwise I'll make one. Is there going to be a second? MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. MS. MIRO: Now I'm not sure what it is that the motion is. Can you please make it is it 200 or 205?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	important part of the building is the first 50 feet. After that, you know, it could be 180 feet, that you will not able to — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if that's the case — I'm not an architect, but if that's the case, and you cap it at 200, aren't you sacrificing on the design of the project by doing that? MR. REVUELTA: As an architect, I can tell you, absolutely not, but if you guys are comfortable with 205.5, go at it. I'll vote yes. MR. TORRE: Is there a motion on the table? MR. REVUELTA: Yeah, I made a motion with those two recommendations, 200 feet max, 18 stories, and staff recommendations. Now, if you guys — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a motion. Is there a second? MS. MIRO: No, but I wanted to ask a question. I thought — Venny, didn't you have a recommendation, as well, for how the streetscape —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm finished. MR. TORRE: If it goes forward or not MR. REVUELTA: If you want to add to that recommendation your concerns MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, what I would propose is that 200 is equally arbitrary as 205. There's no real distinction, in terms of whether it's arbitrary or not. MR. REVUELTA: As we are two Spaniards, we would I would rest. It's okay. Frankly, I don't want to make a big deal about it. I already did, so it's whatever you guys want. MR. TORRE: I'll make a motion. So the motion is 205.5 MR. BEHAR: The motion is 200. MR. TORRE: No. I'm making a different one. I'm waiting for a second, otherwise I'll make one. Is there going to be a second? MR. BEHAR: I'll second it. MS. MIRO: Now I'm not sure what it is that the motion is. Can you please make it is it 200 or 205? MR. BEHAR: 200.

	Page 49		Page 50
1	MR. TORRE: Before we all vote, so what I	1	but at the end of the day, that and we see
2	was going to ask was to change it to 205.5,	2	some example right here on LeJeune Road, where
3	based on the point that you are not going to	3	the building is right up to the property line.
4	notice, to improve the ground floor amenities	4	MR. TRIAS: Not anymore, because now you
5	to the highest degree, and cap it at 18	5	have to set back 10 feet from Le Jeune.
6	stories.	6	MR. BEHAR: That's my concern. That's
7	MR. BEHAR: So	7	where you give the public benefits, where the
8	MR. TORRE: The 18 stands. The 205, I	8	building's you know, you have some relief.
9	think, is irrelevant. I think, at the end of	9	To me, those are more beneficial urbanistically
10	the day, more important to me is what happens	10	than the additional five feet, you're
11	on the first three floors, first, second and	11	absolutely right, 200 or 205 feet, nobody is
12	MR. BEHAR: See, let me tell you what	12	going to see that.
13	and we're going to you know, that's the	13	MR. TORRE: See, the thing is that the
14	motion and we're going to to me, it's more	14	approval of all of these projects is
15	important how the relationship of that building	15	subjective. So one person's green space is
16	is to the sidewalk; that you're going to have a	16	another person's setback is another person's
17	big building on a five-foot sidewalk. To me,	17	colonnade. All of these things are somewhat,
18	that's the biggest concern. Maybe that should	18	you know, subjective.
19	be pulled back further	19	I think, overall speaking, that the intent
20	MR. TORRE: I think that's all part of the	20	of the streetscape, that's a very generic
21	same I'm trying to make. I think what happens	21	statement. I'm not trying to tell you how to
22	on the ground floor, whether it's a setback,	22	do it. I'm just saying, we should be watchful.
23	whether it's a great 10, 15 feet of space	23	We should be cognizant of what happens on the
24	MR. BEHAR: But if you give an arcade	24	ground floor, as a general rule, and that
25	you know, they're proposing a 12-foot arcade,	25	should be the law of the land.
	Page 51		Page 52
1	In other words, we should be focusing on	1	rule? And, again, I'm not suggesting that one
2	the ground floor and not	2	rule is the next rule is the next. It's just a
3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a way to add	3	proposal that this is what should be guiding
4	a recommendation as to what happens on the	4	the approvals.
5	ground floor?	5	MR. BEHAR: I'm not sure that's the Board
6	MR. REVUELTA: I don't see any the	6	of Architects, because every project is
7	market is going to dictate who leases those	7	different.
8	spaces, right. So as much as I agree with him,	8	
· ·			MR. TORRE: They're all subjective.
9	you cannot control the supply and demand. So	9	MR. TORRE: They're all subjective. MR. BEHAR: Yeah. You know, I'm not
	you cannot control the supply and demand. So whether you get a restaurant or a bicycle	9 10	
9			MR. BEHAR: Yeah. You know, I'm not
9 10	whether you get a restaurant or a bicycle	10	MR. BEHAR: Yeah. You know, I'm not sure
9 10 11	whether you get a restaurant or a bicycle who knows I think it's a matter of, as what	10 11	MR. BEHAR: Yeah. You know, I'm not sure MR. TORRE: It's all there's no specific rule here that says, this is what you must do. It's all subjective.
9 10 11 12	whether you get a restaurant or a bicycle who knows I think it's a matter of, as what Robert was saying, what are the setbacks. I	10 11 12	MR. BEHAR: Yeah. You know, I'm not sure MR. TORRE: It's all there's no specific rule here that says, this is what you must do.
9 10 11 12 13	whether you get a restaurant or a bicycle who knows I think it's a matter of, as what Robert was saying, what are the setbacks. I happen to believe that the arcade is an	10 11 12 13	MR. BEHAR: Yeah. You know, I'm not sure MR. TORRE: It's all there's no specific rule here that says, this is what you must do. It's all subjective.
9 10 11 12 13 14	whether you get a restaurant or a bicycle who knows I think it's a matter of, as what Robert was saying, what are the setbacks. I happen to believe that the arcade is an environmentally friendly architectural device	10 11 12 13 14	MR. BEHAR: Yeah. You know, I'm not sure MR. TORRE: It's all there's no specific rule here that says, this is what you must do. It's all subjective. MR. BEHAR: Look, for example, if you have
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	whether you get a restaurant or a bicycle who knows I think it's a matter of, as what Robert was saying, what are the setbacks. I happen to believe that the arcade is an environmentally friendly architectural device that works. I don't know if 12 feet seems	10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BEHAR: Yeah. You know, I'm not sure MR. TORRE: It's all there's no specific rule here that says, this is what you must do. It's all subjective. MR. BEHAR: Look, for example, if you have a retail on Miracle Mile let's not use
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	whether you get a restaurant or a bicycle who knows I think it's a matter of, as what Robert was saying, what are the setbacks. I happen to believe that the arcade is an environmentally friendly architectural device that works. I don't know if 12 feet seems like a big arcade, I mean, but I don't know how	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BEHAR: Yeah. You know, I'm not sure MR. TORRE: It's all there's no specific rule here that says, this is what you must do. It's all subjective. MR. BEHAR: Look, for example, if you have a retail on Miracle Mile let's not use Miracle Mile on Ponce or something, an
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	whether you get a restaurant or a bicycle who knows I think it's a matter of, as what Robert was saying, what are the setbacks. I happen to believe that the arcade is an environmentally friendly architectural device that works. I don't know if 12 feet seems like a big arcade, I mean, but I don't know how to address that, other than the fact that when	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BEHAR: Yeah. You know, I'm not sure MR. TORRE: It's all there's no specific rule here that says, this is what you must do. It's all subjective. MR. BEHAR: Look, for example, if you have a retail on Miracle Mile let's not use Miracle Mile on Ponce or something, an arcade is not always a good thing to do,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	whether you get a restaurant or a bicycle who knows I think it's a matter of, as what Robert was saying, what are the setbacks. I happen to believe that the arcade is an environmentally friendly architectural device that works. I don't know if 12 feet seems like a big arcade, I mean, but I don't know how to address that, other than the fact that when you have big building masses, somehow,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BEHAR: Yeah. You know, I'm not sure MR. TORRE: It's all there's no specific rule here that says, this is what you must do. It's all subjective. MR. BEHAR: Look, for example, if you have a retail on Miracle Mile let's not use Miracle Mile on Ponce or something, an arcade is not always a good thing to do, because the retail spaces suffer when you have
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	whether you get a restaurant or a bicycle who knows I think it's a matter of, as what Robert was saying, what are the setbacks. I happen to believe that the arcade is an environmentally friendly architectural device that works. I don't know if 12 feet seems like a big arcade, I mean, but I don't know how to address that, other than the fact that when you have big building masses, somehow, architecturally, they need to be broken up a	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BEHAR: Yeah. You know, I'm not sure MR. TORRE: It's all there's no specific rule here that says, this is what you must do. It's all subjective. MR. BEHAR: Look, for example, if you have a retail on Miracle Mile let's not use Miracle Mile on Ponce or something, an arcade is not always a good thing to do, because the retail spaces suffer when you have an arcade.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	whether you get a restaurant or a bicycle who knows I think it's a matter of, as what Robert was saying, what are the setbacks. I happen to believe that the arcade is an environmentally friendly architectural device that works. I don't know if 12 feet seems like a big arcade, I mean, but I don't know how to address that, other than the fact that when you have big building masses, somehow, architecturally, they need to be broken up a little bit, without sacrificing the project's	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BEHAR: Yeah. You know, I'm not sure MR. TORRE: It's all there's no specific rule here that says, this is what you must do. It's all subjective. MR. BEHAR: Look, for example, if you have a retail on Miracle Mile let's not use Miracle Mile on Ponce or something, an arcade is not always a good thing to do, because the retail spaces suffer when you have an arcade. MR. TORRE: But the Code says that's not
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	whether you get a restaurant or a bicycle who knows I think it's a matter of, as what Robert was saying, what are the setbacks. I happen to believe that the arcade is an environmentally friendly architectural device that works. I don't know if 12 feet seems like a big arcade, I mean, but I don't know how to address that, other than the fact that when you have big building masses, somehow, architecturally, they need to be broken up a little bit, without sacrificing the project's success.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BEHAR: Yeah. You know, I'm not sure MR. TORRE: It's all there's no specific rule here that says, this is what you must do. It's all subjective. MR. BEHAR: Look, for example, if you have a retail on Miracle Mile let's not use Miracle Mile on Ponce or something, an arcade is not always a good thing to do, because the retail spaces suffer when you have an arcade. MR. TORRE: But the Code says that's not allowed. It's already in the Code. It's says
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	whether you get a restaurant or a bicycle who knows I think it's a matter of, as what Robert was saying, what are the setbacks. I happen to believe that the arcade is an environmentally friendly architectural device that works. I don't know if 12 feet seems like a big arcade, I mean, but I don't know how to address that, other than the fact that when you have big building masses, somehow, architecturally, they need to be broken up a little bit, without sacrificing the project's success. MR. TORRE: Here's the question, shouldn't we be proposing or asking the Board of Architects to start taking a closer look at	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BEHAR: Yeah. You know, I'm not sure MR. TORRE: It's all there's no specific rule here that says, this is what you must do. It's all subjective. MR. BEHAR: Look, for example, if you have a retail on Miracle Mile let's not use Miracle Mile on Ponce or something, an arcade is not always a good thing to do, because the retail spaces suffer when you have an arcade. MR. TORRE: But the Code says that's not allowed. It's already in the Code. It's says that you're not supposed to do it.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	whether you get a restaurant or a bicycle who knows I think it's a matter of, as what Robert was saying, what are the setbacks. I happen to believe that the arcade is an environmentally friendly architectural device that works. I don't know if 12 feet seems like a big arcade, I mean, but I don't know how to address that, other than the fact that when you have big building masses, somehow, architecturally, they need to be broken up a little bit, without sacrificing the project's success. MR. TORRE: Here's the question, shouldn't we be proposing or asking the Board of	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BEHAR: Yeah. You know, I'm not sure MR. TORRE: It's all there's no specific rule here that says, this is what you must do. It's all subjective. MR. BEHAR: Look, for example, if you have a retail on Miracle Mile let's not use Miracle Mile on Ponce or something, an arcade is not always a good thing to do, because the retail spaces suffer when you have an arcade. MR. TORRE: But the Code says that's not allowed. It's already in the Code. It's says that you're not supposed to do it. MR. BEHAR: You know

	Page 53		Page 54
1	MR. BEHAR: Every project is different. I	1	To think that you can micromanage that at
2	don't know if and Ramon, you could, you	2	the Zoning Code level is not realistic.
3	know, maybe confirm this or not, you know, the	3	MR. BEHAR: Yeah.
4	Board of Architects, to give them prescribed	4	Could I make a friendly amendment to your
5	direction, I don't know if that's a good thing	5	motion, that we do go with the 205 feet 6
6	to do.	6	inches, cap it at the 18 stories and follow the
7	MR. TRIAS: Look, we've been talking about	7	Planning Department's recommendation?
8	this for a long time recently, and the bottom	8	MR. REVUELTA: One quick question. Is
9	line is that you need to have flexibility,	9	there a minimum or a maximum depth of an arcade
10	otherwise you do not get quality. I mean, the	10	in the Code? Does it have to be a minimum of 3
11	easiest thing to do is to have design	11	feet, 5 feet and no more than 15, 12? I'm
12	guidelines that I can check, check, check,	12	sorry for my
13	check, we're done. Does that give you a	13	MR. TRIAS: We use a 12-foot ideal
14	beautify city? No. No. And I don't want to	14	standard, if we can.
15	mention some of the cities that do that, in	15	MR. REVUELTA: As a maximum?
16	other counties, and so on, where I have worked,	16	MR. TRIAS: Yeah well, as a standard.
17	but frankly that's not Coral Gables.	17	MR. BEHAR: As a standard.
18	Coral Gables is very professional, it	18	MR. REVUELTA: But if somebody says, like
19	expects a lot of expertise. That's why we have	19	Robert is saying
20	all of the Boards and things. And even then,	20	MR. TRIAS: Robert never does six-foot
21	the citizens have an opportunity to give their	21	arcades, okay, and I want to say that clearly.
22	opinions and explain how everybody is doing a	22	You've always done very nice wide arcades,
23	bad job. So all of that eventually leads to	23	properly.
24	quality, I think, you know, eventually. It's	24	MR. BEHAR: Thank you. Repeat that again
25	just that that's the way the process is.	25	one more time.
	Page 55		Page 56
1	MR. TRIAS: Robert has always done arcades	1	for obvious reasons. My only advice is that
2	that are about 12 fact wide which is the ideal		
4	that are about 12-feet wide, which is the ideal	2	we're here to deal with a very specific issue.
3	dimension. Some architects have tried to do	3	we're here to deal with a very specific issue. We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm
3	dimension. Some architects have tried to do	3	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm
3 4	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the	3 4	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code.
3 4 5	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a	3 4 5	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent
3 4 5 6	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a good point, that if you're going to do retail,	3 4 5 6	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent three years working on that, and we were able
3 4 5 6 7	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a good point, that if you're going to do retail, you don't want the retail if it's a	3 4 5 6 7	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent three years working on that, and we were able to implement some things, and at the same time,
3 4 5 6 7 8	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a good point, that if you're going to do retail, you don't want the retail if it's a restaurant, great, because you can have outside	3 4 5 6 7 8	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent three years working on that, and we were able to implement some things, and at the same time, we also were not able to do many other things.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a good point, that if you're going to do retail, you don't want the retail if it's a restaurant, great, because you can have outside seating, but if it's	3 4 5 6 7 8	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent three years working on that, and we were able to implement some things, and at the same time, we also were not able to do many other things. So I don't think it's a good use of our
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a good point, that if you're going to do retail, you don't want the retail if it's a restaurant, great, because you can have outside seating, but if it's MR. TRIAS: For restaurants, it's great,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent three years working on that, and we were able to implement some things, and at the same time, we also were not able to do many other things. So I don't think it's a good use of our time right now, but if you want to have a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a good point, that if you're going to do retail, you don't want the retail if it's a restaurant, great, because you can have outside seating, but if it's MR. TRIAS: For restaurants, it's great, yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent three years working on that, and we were able to implement some things, and at the same time, we also were not able to do many other things. So I don't think it's a good use of our time right now, but if you want to have a structural way to deal with those ideas, we
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a good point, that if you're going to do retail, you don't want the retail if it's a restaurant, great, because you can have outside seating, but if it's MR. TRIAS: For restaurants, it's great, yes. MR. REVUELTA: What I'm wondering and my	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent three years working on that, and we were able to implement some things, and at the same time, we also were not able to do many other things. So I don't think it's a good use of our time right now, but if you want to have a structural way to deal with those ideas, we could do that, certainly.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a good point, that if you're going to do retail, you don't want the retail if it's a restaurant, great, because you can have outside seating, but if it's MR. TRIAS: For restaurants, it's great, yes. MR. REVUELTA: What I'm wondering and my questions, is there a minimum in order to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent three years working on that, and we were able to implement some things, and at the same time, we also were not able to do many other things. So I don't think it's a good use of our time right now, but if you want to have a structural way to deal with those ideas, we could do that, certainly. MR. REVUELTA: I was just asking a question. MR. BEHAR: So we did we had a motion.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a good point, that if you're going to do retail, you don't want the retail if it's a restaurant, great, because you can have outside seating, but if it's MR. TRIAS: For restaurants, it's great, yes. MR. REVUELTA: What I'm wondering and my questions, is there a minimum in order to get the bonuses, a minimum depth of an arcade?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent three years working on that, and we were able to implement some things, and at the same time, we also were not able to do many other things. So I don't think it's a good use of our time right now, but if you want to have a structural way to deal with those ideas, we could do that, certainly. MR. REVUELTA: I was just asking a question.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a good point, that if you're going to do retail, you don't want the retail if it's a restaurant, great, because you can have outside seating, but if it's MR. TRIAS: For restaurants, it's great, yes. MR. REVUELTA: What I'm wondering and my questions, is there a minimum in order to get the bonuses, a minimum depth of an arcade? Is it six feet or eight? Is there a number, I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent three years working on that, and we were able to implement some things, and at the same time, we also were not able to do many other things. So I don't think it's a good use of our time right now, but if you want to have a structural way to deal with those ideas, we could do that, certainly. MR. REVUELTA: I was just asking a question. MR. BEHAR: So we did we had a motion.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a good point, that if you're going to do retail, you don't want the retail if it's a restaurant, great, because you can have outside seating, but if it's MR. TRIAS: For restaurants, it's great, yes. MR. REVUELTA: What I'm wondering and my questions, is there a minimum in order to get the bonuses, a minimum depth of an arcade? Is it six feet or eight? Is there a number, I guess, is the question?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent three years working on that, and we were able to implement some things, and at the same time, we also were not able to do many other things. So I don't think it's a good use of our time right now, but if you want to have a structural way to deal with those ideas, we could do that, certainly. MR. REVUELTA: I was just asking a question. MR. BEHAR: So we did we had a motion. I suggested I recommended a friendly
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a good point, that if you're going to do retail, you don't want the retail if it's a restaurant, great, because you can have outside seating, but if it's MR. TRIAS: For restaurants, it's great, yes. MR. REVUELTA: What I'm wondering and my questions, is there a minimum in order to get the bonuses, a minimum depth of an arcade? Is it six feet or eight? Is there a number, I guess, is the question? MR. BEHAR: Six feet is not really	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent three years working on that, and we were able to implement some things, and at the same time, we also were not able to do many other things. So I don't think it's a good use of our time right now, but if you want to have a structural way to deal with those ideas, we could do that, certainly. MR. REVUELTA: I was just asking a question. MR. BEHAR: So we did we had a motion. I suggested I recommended a friendly amendment to that motion of going up to the 205
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a good point, that if you're going to do retail, you don't want the retail if it's a restaurant, great, because you can have outside seating, but if it's MR. TRIAS: For restaurants, it's great, yes. MR. REVUELTA: What I'm wondering and my questions, is there a minimum in order to get the bonuses, a minimum depth of an arcade? Is it six feet or eight? Is there a number, I guess, is the question? MR. BEHAR: Six feet is not really MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm not suggesting six	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent three years working on that, and we were able to implement some things, and at the same time, we also were not able to do many other things. So I don't think it's a good use of our time right now, but if you want to have a structural way to deal with those ideas, we could do that, certainly. MR. REVUELTA: I was just asking a question. MR. BEHAR: So we did we had a motion. I suggested I recommended a friendly amendment to that motion of going up to the 205 feet
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a good point, that if you're going to do retail, you don't want the retail if it's a restaurant, great, because you can have outside seating, but if it's MR. TRIAS: For restaurants, it's great, yes. MR. REVUELTA: What I'm wondering and my questions, is there a minimum in order to get the bonuses, a minimum depth of an arcade? Is it six feet or eight? Is there a number, I guess, is the question? MR. BEHAR: Six feet is not really MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm not suggesting six feet. I'm asking if there is in the Code	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent three years working on that, and we were able to implement some things, and at the same time, we also were not able to do many other things. So I don't think it's a good use of our time right now, but if you want to have a structural way to deal with those ideas, we could do that, certainly. MR. REVUELTA: I was just asking a question. MR. BEHAR: So we did we had a motion. I suggested I recommended a friendly amendment to that motion of going up to the 205 feet CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The way it's written.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a good point, that if you're going to do retail, you don't want the retail if it's a restaurant, great, because you can have outside seating, but if it's MR. TRIAS: For restaurants, it's great, yes. MR. REVUELTA: What I'm wondering and my questions, is there a minimum in order to get the bonuses, a minimum depth of an arcade? Is it six feet or eight? Is there a number, I guess, is the question? MR. BEHAR: Six feet is not really MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm not suggesting six feet. I'm asking if there is in the Code something.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent three years working on that, and we were able to implement some things, and at the same time, we also were not able to do many other things. So I don't think it's a good use of our time right now, but if you want to have a structural way to deal with those ideas, we could do that, certainly. MR. REVUELTA: I was just asking a question. MR. BEHAR: So we did we had a motion. I suggested I recommended a friendly amendment to that motion of going up to the 205 feet CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The way it's written. MR. BEHAR: The way it's written, limiting
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a good point, that if you're going to do retail, you don't want the retail if it's a restaurant, great, because you can have outside seating, but if it's MR. TRIAS: For restaurants, it's great, yes. MR. REVUELTA: What I'm wondering and my questions, is there a minimum in order to get the bonuses, a minimum depth of an arcade? Is it six feet or eight? Is there a number, I guess, is the question? MR. BEHAR: Six feet is not really MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm not suggesting six feet. I'm asking if there is in the Code something. MR. BEHAR: There used to be something. I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent three years working on that, and we were able to implement some things, and at the same time, we also were not able to do many other things. So I don't think it's a good use of our time right now, but if you want to have a structural way to deal with those ideas, we could do that, certainly. MR. REVUELTA: I was just asking a question. MR. BEHAR: So we did we had a motion. I suggested I recommended a friendly amendment to that motion of going up to the 205 feet CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The way it's written. MR. BEHAR: The way it's written, limiting it only to 18 stories, and not as the maker of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a good point, that if you're going to do retail, you don't want the retail if it's a restaurant, great, because you can have outside seating, but if it's MR. TRIAS: For restaurants, it's great, yes. MR. REVUELTA: What I'm wondering and my questions, is there a minimum in order to get the bonuses, a minimum depth of an arcade? Is it six feet or eight? Is there a number, I guess, is the question? MR. BEHAR: Six feet is not really MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm not suggesting six feet. I'm asking if there is in the Code something. MR. BEHAR: There used to be something. I remember, years ago, something, you know,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent three years working on that, and we were able to implement some things, and at the same time, we also were not able to do many other things. So I don't think it's a good use of our time right now, but if you want to have a structural way to deal with those ideas, we could do that, certainly. MR. REVUELTA: I was just asking a question. MR. BEHAR: So we did we had a motion. I suggested I recommended a friendly amendment to that motion of going up to the 205 feet CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The way it's written. MR. BEHAR: The way it's written, limiting it only to 18 stories, and not as the maker of the motion, but as the second, I welcome any
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	dimension. Some architects have tried to do less than the MR. REVUELTA: But he was bringing up a good point, that if you're going to do retail, you don't want the retail if it's a restaurant, great, because you can have outside seating, but if it's MR. TRIAS: For restaurants, it's great, yes. MR. REVUELTA: What I'm wondering and my questions, is there a minimum in order to get the bonuses, a minimum depth of an arcade? Is it six feet or eight? Is there a number, I guess, is the question? MR. BEHAR: Six feet is not really MR. REVUELTA: No, I'm not suggesting six feet. I'm asking if there is in the Code something. MR. BEHAR: There used to be something. I remember, years ago, something, you know, limiting the minimum you could do, for that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	We're not here to brainstorm today, brainstorm about many things that we can do in the Code. We can do many things in the Code. We spent three years working on that, and we were able to implement some things, and at the same time, we also were not able to do many other things. So I don't think it's a good use of our time right now, but if you want to have a structural way to deal with those ideas, we could do that, certainly. MR. REVUELTA: I was just asking a question. MR. BEHAR: So we did we had a motion. I suggested I recommended a friendly amendment to that motion of going up to the 205 feet CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The way it's written. MR. BEHAR: The way it's written, limiting it only to 18 stories, and not as the maker of the motion, but as the second, I welcome any other input into it.

	Page 57		Page 58
1	gotten an agreement from the person whose made	1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I just want to be
2	the motion to the 205?	2	clear on that.
3	MR. BEHAR: Not yet.	3	MR. BEHAR: On Level II Med Bonus.
4	MR. REVUELTA: Let me tell you, I'll	4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: On Level II, okay.
5	withdraw my motion. Let me make it easier,	5	MR. TRIAS: Yes.
6	I'll withdraw the motion, okay.	6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. We have a
7	MR. COLLER: Okay. So now we have no	7	motion by Robert. Anybody want to make a
8	motion on the floor at this time.	8	second?
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have no motion.	9	MR. TORRE: I'm going to say that we won,
10	MR. BEHAR: So I'm going to make a motion	10	the discussion was had. We had an hour of this
11	to approve with the 205 feet 6 inches, capping	11	discussion. These things matter. These
12	it at 18 stories, and with all of the Staff	12	discussions do go a long way, and I believe
13	recommendation, and I'll welcome if there's any	13	people listen, so I believe that we win by
14	friendly amendment that wants to be put in.	14	doing this. And if it doesn't carry forward, I
15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me ask you a	15	still think there's a lot to be gained from
16	question, Robert. Can this height increase	16	what we discussed here. So I'll leave it as
17	with bonuses of any type or can the 18 stories	17	is, but I think we did discuss what I believe
18	increase with any bonuses of any type?	18	was important and I'll leave it at that.
19	MR. TRIAS: The Mediterranean Level II	19	MR. BEHAR: Are you seconding
20	would be required. That's one of the	20	MR. TORRE: I'm not making a motion I
21	requirements. So it cannot be increased beyond	21	mean, I'm not seconding it.
22	that, but you do have to meet the requirements.	22	MR. WITHERS: So what is the motion, 205.5
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But you have to meet	23	feet, with 18 stories, that's basically
24	those requirements?	24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 205.6, if I'm not
25	MR. TRIAS: Yes.	25	mistaken
	Mic Harb. 165.		
	Page 59		Page 60
1	Page 59 MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6	1	Page 60 to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a
1 2	_	1 2	
	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6		to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a
2	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new	2	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's
2	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about	2 3	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described.
2 3 4	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in	2 3 4	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what
2 3 4 5	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that	2 3 4 5	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that MR. TRIAS: That's separate in the Code,	2 3 4 5 6	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess. MR. COLLER: Well, first, as you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that MR. TRIAS: That's separate in the Code, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess. MR. COLLER: Well, first, as you know, we're not dealing with a specific project here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that MR. TRIAS: That's separate in the Code, yes. MR. COLLER: So, at this point, we have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess. MR. COLLER: Well, first, as you know, we're not dealing with a specific project here. So this is being written it's difficult,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that MR. TRIAS: That's separate in the Code, yes. MR. COLLER: So, at this point, we have a motion, but we don't have a second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess. MR. COLLER: Well, first, as you know, we're not dealing with a specific project here. So this is being written it's difficult, with the term excellent, because the problem with the Zoning Code is, there needs to be certain concrete terms. Compatibility is an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that MR. TRIAS: That's separate in the Code, yes. MR. COLLER: So, at this point, we have a motion, but we don't have a second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That is correct. MR. WITHERS: I will second the motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip second the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess. MR. COLLER: Well, first, as you know, we're not dealing with a specific project here. So this is being written it's difficult, with the term excellent, because the problem with the Zoning Code is, there needs to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that MR. TRIAS: That's separate in the Code, yes. MR. COLLER: So, at this point, we have a motion, but we don't have a second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That is correct. MR. WITHERS: I will second the motion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess. MR. COLLER: Well, first, as you know, we're not dealing with a specific project here. So this is being written it's difficult, with the term excellent, because the problem with the Zoning Code is, there needs to be certain concrete terms. Compatibility is an acceptable term, actually, in Zoning, but to say, Excellent, is just beyond having a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that MR. TRIAS: That's separate in the Code, yes. MR. COLLER: So, at this point, we have a motion, but we don't have a second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That is correct. MR. WITHERS: I will second the motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip second the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess. MR. COLLER: Well, first, as you know, we're not dealing with a specific project here. So this is being written it's difficult, with the term excellent, because the problem with the Zoning Code is, there needs to be certain concrete terms. Compatibility is an acceptable term, actually, in Zoning, but to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that MR. TRIAS: That's separate in the Code, yes. MR. COLLER: So, at this point, we have a motion, but we don't have a second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That is correct. MR. WITHERS: I will second the motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip second the motion. Any discussion? MS. MIRO: I just wanted to ask, whatever happened with the ground floor recommendations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess. MR. COLLER: Well, first, as you know, we're not dealing with a specific project here. So this is being written it's difficult, with the term excellent, because the problem with the Zoning Code is, there needs to be certain concrete terms. Compatibility is an acceptable term, actually, in Zoning, but to say, Excellent, is just beyond having a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that MR. TRIAS: That's separate in the Code, yes. MR. COLLER: So, at this point, we have a motion, but we don't have a second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That is correct. MR. WITHERS: I will second the motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip second the motion. Any discussion? MS. MIRO: I just wanted to ask, whatever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess. MR. COLLER: Well, first, as you know, we're not dealing with a specific project here. So this is being written it's difficult, with the term excellent, because the problem with the Zoning Code is, there needs to be certain concrete terms. Compatibility is an acceptable term, actually, in Zoning, but to say, Excellent, is just beyond having a definition to it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that MR. TRIAS: That's separate in the Code, yes. MR. COLLER: So, at this point, we have a motion, but we don't have a second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That is correct. MR. WITHERS: I will second the motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip second the motion. Any discussion? MS. MIRO: I just wanted to ask, whatever happened with the ground floor recommendations about the streetscape that we were discussing? Is that out the window or do we still want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess. MR. COLLER: Well, first, as you know, we're not dealing with a specific project here. So this is being written it's difficult, with the term excellent, because the problem with the Zoning Code is, there needs to be certain concrete terms. Compatibility is an acceptable term, actually, in Zoning, but to say, Excellent, is just beyond having a definition to it MR. BEHAR: Look, let's not kid ourselves.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that MR. TRIAS: That's separate in the Code, yes. MR. COLLER: So, at this point, we have a motion, but we don't have a second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That is correct. MR. WITHERS: I will second the motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip second the motion. Any discussion? MS. MIRO: I just wanted to ask, whatever happened with the ground floor recommendations about the streetscape that we were discussing? Is that out the window or do we still want to and I know we asked the City Attorney if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess. MR. COLLER: Well, first, as you know, we're not dealing with a specific project here. So this is being written it's difficult, with the term excellent, because the problem with the Zoning Code is, there needs to be certain concrete terms. Compatibility is an acceptable term, actually, in Zoning, but to say, Excellent, is just beyond having a definition to it MR. BEHAR: Look, let's not kid ourselves. This project is coming next week. This is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that MR. TRIAS: That's separate in the Code, yes. MR. COLLER: So, at this point, we have a motion, but we don't have a second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That is correct. MR. WITHERS: I will second the motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip second the motion. Any discussion? MS. MIRO: I just wanted to ask, whatever happened with the ground floor recommendations about the streetscape that we were discussing? Is that out the window or do we still want to and I know we asked the City Attorney if there was a way that we can do that. I guess	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess. MR. COLLER: Well, first, as you know, we're not dealing with a specific project here. So this is being written it's difficult, with the term excellent, because the problem with the Zoning Code is, there needs to be certain concrete terms. Compatibility is an acceptable term, actually, in Zoning, but to say, Excellent, is just beyond having a definition to it MR. BEHAR: Look, let's not kid ourselves. This project is coming next week. This is specifically written for that project. That's it. MR. COLLER: Yes, but it does apply, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that MR. TRIAS: That's separate in the Code, yes. MR. COLLER: So, at this point, we have a motion, but we don't have a second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That is correct. MR. WITHERS: I will second the motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip second the motion. Any discussion? MS. MIRO: I just wanted to ask, whatever happened with the ground floor recommendations about the streetscape that we were discussing? Is that out the window or do we still want to and I know we asked the City Attorney if there was a way that we can do that. I guess I'm just not sure, if we say maximum what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess. MR. COLLER: Well, first, as you know, we're not dealing with a specific project here. So this is being written it's difficult, with the term excellent, because the problem with the Zoning Code is, there needs to be certain concrete terms. Compatibility is an acceptable term, actually, in Zoning, but to say, Excellent, is just beyond having a definition to it MR. BEHAR: Look, let's not kid ourselves. This project is coming next week. This is specifically written for that project. That's it. MR. COLLER: Yes, but it does apply, and the point I'm making is, it's no secret that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that MR. TRIAS: That's separate in the Code, yes. MR. COLLER: So, at this point, we have a motion, but we don't have a second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That is correct. MR. WITHERS: I will second the motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip second the motion. Any discussion? MS. MIRO: I just wanted to ask, whatever happened with the ground floor recommendations about the streetscape that we were discussing? Is that out the window or do we still want to and I know we asked the City Attorney if there was a way that we can do that. I guess I'm just not sure, if we say maximum what was the word that you used, Venny?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess. MR. COLLER: Well, first, as you know, we're not dealing with a specific project here. So this is being written it's difficult, with the term excellent, because the problem with the Zoning Code is, there needs to be certain concrete terms. Compatibility is an acceptable term, actually, in Zoning, but to say, Excellent, is just beyond having a definition to it MR. BEHAR: Look, let's not kid ourselves. This project is coming next week. This is specifically written for that project. That's it. MR. COLLER: Yes, but it does apply, and the point I'm making is, it's no secret that this applies to any project within this area.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that MR. TRIAS: That's separate in the Code, yes. MR. COLLER: So, at this point, we have a motion, but we don't have a second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That is correct. MR. WITHERS: I will second the motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip second the motion. Any discussion? MS. MIRO: I just wanted to ask, whatever happened with the ground floor recommendations about the streetscape that we were discussing? Is that out the window or do we still want to and I know we asked the City Attorney if there was a way that we can do that. I guess I'm just not sure, if we say maximum what was the word that you used, Venny? MR. TORRE: Excellent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess. MR. COLLER: Well, first, as you know, we're not dealing with a specific project here. So this is being written it's difficult, with the term excellent, because the problem with the Zoning Code is, there needs to be certain concrete terms. Compatibility is an acceptable term, actually, in Zoning, but to say, Excellent, is just beyond having a definition to it MR. BEHAR: Look, let's not kid ourselves. This project is coming next week. This is specifically written for that project. That's it. MR. COLLER: Yes, but it does apply, and the point I'm making is, it's no secret that this applies to any project within this area. There may never be another project.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that MR. TRIAS: That's separate in the Code, yes. MR. COLLER: So, at this point, we have a motion, but we don't have a second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That is correct. MR. WITHERS: I will second the motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip second the motion. Any discussion? MS. MIRO: I just wanted to ask, whatever happened with the ground floor recommendations about the streetscape that we were discussing? Is that out the window or do we still want to and I know we asked the City Attorney if there was a way that we can do that. I guess I'm just not sure, if we say maximum what was the word that you used, Venny?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess. MR. COLLER: Well, first, as you know, we're not dealing with a specific project here. So this is being written it's difficult, with the term excellent, because the problem with the Zoning Code is, there needs to be certain concrete terms. Compatibility is an acceptable term, actually, in Zoning, but to say, Excellent, is just beyond having a definition to it MR. BEHAR: Look, let's not kid ourselves. This project is coming next week. This is specifically written for that project. That's it. MR. COLLER: Yes, but it does apply, and the point I'm making is, it's no secret that this applies to any project within this area. There may never be another project. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion and a second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that MR. TRIAS: That's separate in the Code, yes. MR. COLLER: So, at this point, we have a motion, but we don't have a second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That is correct. MR. WITHERS: I will second the motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip second the motion. Any discussion? MS. MIRO: I just wanted to ask, whatever happened with the ground floor recommendations about the streetscape that we were discussing? Is that out the window or do we still want to and I know we asked the City Attorney if there was a way that we can do that. I guess I'm just not sure, if we say maximum what was the word that you used, Venny? MR. TORRE: Excellent. MS. MIRO: Excellent architectural streetscape	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess. MR. COLLER: Well, first, as you know, we're not dealing with a specific project here. So this is being written it's difficult, with the term excellent, because the problem with the Zoning Code is, there needs to be certain concrete terms. Compatibility is an acceptable term, actually, in Zoning, but to say, Excellent, is just beyond having a definition to it MR. BEHAR: Look, let's not kid ourselves. This project is coming next week. This is specifically written for that project. That's it. MR. COLLER: Yes, but it does apply, and the point I'm making is, it's no secret that this applies to any project within this area. There may never be another project. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion and a second. MS. MIRO: I was just going to say, I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, 205.5 I mean, 6 inches, and 18 stories is the new MR. WITHERS: We haven't even talked about Mezzanines or amenity floors or anything in that MR. TRIAS: That's separate in the Code, yes. MR. COLLER: So, at this point, we have a motion, but we don't have a second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That is correct. MR. WITHERS: I will second the motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip second the motion. Any discussion? MS. MIRO: I just wanted to ask, whatever happened with the ground floor recommendations about the streetscape that we were discussing? Is that out the window or do we still want to and I know we asked the City Attorney if there was a way that we can do that. I guess I'm just not sure, if we say maximum what was the word that you used, Venny? MR. TORRE: Excellent. MS. MIRO: Excellent architectural	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to describe it, but we're trying to achieve a better streetscape. I'm not sure how that's described. MR. WITHERS: And we'll see next week what it looks like, I guess. MR. COLLER: Well, first, as you know, we're not dealing with a specific project here. So this is being written it's difficult, with the term excellent, because the problem with the Zoning Code is, there needs to be certain concrete terms. Compatibility is an acceptable term, actually, in Zoning, but to say, Excellent, is just beyond having a definition to it MR. BEHAR: Look, let's not kid ourselves. This project is coming next week. This is specifically written for that project. That's it. MR. COLLER: Yes, but it does apply, and the point I'm making is, it's no secret that this applies to any project within this area. There may never be another project. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion and a second.

	Page 61		Page 62
1	but I don't know what the wording is,	1	have that.
2	Mr. Attorney, for some kind of streetscape	2	We can have some aspirational language,
3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You'd have to ask the	3	like Mr. Torre was talking about, in terms of,
4	gentleman	4	you know, we'll try to come up with a more
5	MR. BEHAR: I said, on the record, I	5	compatible design, that incorporates the
6	welcome any friendly amendment to that motion.	6	sidewalk and the private areas, et cetera. We
7	MS. MIRO: I'm just not sure what the	7	can say all that and we can come up with some
8	wording is. I'd be happy to make that friendly	8	language, but that is being done right now. I
9	amendment suggest it.	9	mean, I've been working here for ten years
10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a way to word	10	trying to make this City as beautiful as I can,
11	it, what Claudia	11	and I get very frustrated by the lack of
12	MR. COLLER: I'll yield to the Planning	12	appreciation that goes on for the process.
13	Director.	13	There's a lot of people that work very hard to
14	MR. TRIAS: I don't know why they ask the	14	do all of that. I mean, every time we have
15	attorney to come up with the language, as they	15	this discussion, it appears that, oh, anybody's
16	don't practice this line of work. What happens	16	ever thought about that, like, oh, you know, a
17	is, like I said, we have two ways of doing	17	sidewalk, how do you we spend hours working
18	this. We can have very prescriptive language,	18	on those things.
19	meaning every sidewalk will have a bulb out,	19	Now, is there a way to have a Code that
20	every "X" number of feet to plant a shade tree	20	explains that better, possibly, but we will
21	that is at least this size, et cetera, et	21	need to think about it a little bit and we can
22	cetera. We can have something like that, and	22	come up with some language, maybe for a future
23	we do have some of that language already in the	23	meeting, but right now, to add a couple of
24	Landscape Code, et cetera. There's some	24	words, that are aspirational, I don't know if
25	minimum standards and so on, materials. We can	25	that's going to accomplish what we're looking
23	minimum standards and so on, materials. We can		
	Page 63		
	1490 03		Page 64
1	for.	1	Page 64 looked at.
1 2		1 2	
	for.		looked at.
2	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one	2	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project
2	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use,	2 3	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make
2 3 4	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity	2 3 4	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan
2 3 4 5	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity to evaluate them, specifically what they have	2 3 4 5	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan that comes before us or that project that comes
2 3 4 5 6	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity to evaluate them, specifically what they have designed for the first floor, you will be able	2 3 4 5 6	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan that comes before us or that project that comes before us.
2 3 4 5 6 7	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity to evaluate them, specifically what they have designed for the first floor, you will be able to look at that and make a judgment whether you	2 3 4 5 6 7	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan that comes before us or that project that comes before us. MR. TRIAS: Absolutely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity to evaluate them, specifically what they have designed for the first floor, you will be able to look at that and make a judgment whether you feel that, in fact, the PAD has accomplished	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan that comes before us or that project that comes before us. MR. TRIAS: Absolutely. MR. BEHAR: All PADs have to come through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity to evaluate them, specifically what they have designed for the first floor, you will be able to look at that and make a judgment whether you feel that, in fact, the PAD has accomplished MR. TRIAS: And you're not the first ones	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan that comes before us or that project that comes before us. MR. TRIAS: Absolutely. MR. BEHAR: All PADs have to come through here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity to evaluate them, specifically what they have designed for the first floor, you will be able to look at that and make a judgment whether you feel that, in fact, the PAD has accomplished MR. TRIAS: And you're not the first ones to look at it. I mean, because when things are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan that comes before us or that project that comes before us. MR. TRIAS: Absolutely. MR. BEHAR: All PADs have to come through here. MR. TRIAS: And that's the way it's done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity to evaluate them, specifically what they have designed for the first floor, you will be able to look at that and make a judgment whether you feel that, in fact, the PAD has accomplished MR. TRIAS: And you're not the first ones to look at it. I mean, because when things are said like this, it appears that everything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan that comes before us or that project that comes before us. MR. TRIAS: Absolutely. MR. BEHAR: All PADs have to come through here. MR. TRIAS: And that's the way it's done typically. We could come up with a more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity to evaluate them, specifically what they have designed for the first floor, you will be able to look at that and make a judgment whether you feel that, in fact, the PAD has accomplished MR. TRIAS: And you're not the first ones to look at it. I mean, because when things are said like this, it appears that everything comes to you for the first time. No. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan that comes before us or that project that comes before us. MR. TRIAS: Absolutely. MR. BEHAR: All PADs have to come through here. MR. TRIAS: And that's the way it's done typically. We could come up with a more prescriptive process, but I'm not sure that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity to evaluate them, specifically what they have designed for the first floor, you will be able to look at that and make a judgment whether you feel that, in fact, the PAD has accomplished MR. TRIAS: And you're not the first ones to look at it. I mean, because when things are said like this, it appears that everything comes to you for the first time. No. No. There's DRC. There's months I mean,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan that comes before us or that project that comes before us. MR. TRIAS: Absolutely. MR. BEHAR: All PADs have to come through here. MR. TRIAS: And that's the way it's done typically. We could come up with a more prescriptive process, but I'm not sure that's going to result in better projects.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity to evaluate them, specifically what they have designed for the first floor, you will be able to look at that and make a judgment whether you feel that, in fact, the PAD has accomplished MR. TRIAS: And you're not the first ones to look at it. I mean, because when things are said like this, it appears that everything comes to you for the first time. No. No. There's DRC. There's months I mean, Mr. Behar knows how many months it takes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan that comes before us or that project that comes before us. MR. TRIAS: Absolutely. MR. BEHAR: All PADs have to come through here. MR. TRIAS: And that's the way it's done typically. We could come up with a more prescriptive process, but I'm not sure that's going to result in better projects. MR. BEHAR: No. And to Luis' point, when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity to evaluate them, specifically what they have designed for the first floor, you will be able to look at that and make a judgment whether you feel that, in fact, the PAD has accomplished MR. TRIAS: And you're not the first ones to look at it. I mean, because when things are said like this, it appears that everything comes to you for the first time. No. No. There's DRC. There's months I mean, Mr. Behar knows how many months it takes sometimes to deal with these issues. All of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan that comes before us or that project that comes before us. MR. TRIAS: Absolutely. MR. BEHAR: All PADs have to come through here. MR. TRIAS: And that's the way it's done typically. We could come up with a more prescriptive process, but I'm not sure that's going to result in better projects. MR. BEHAR: No. And to Luis' point, when you start doing that, you start, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity to evaluate them, specifically what they have designed for the first floor, you will be able to look at that and make a judgment whether you feel that, in fact, the PAD has accomplished MR. TRIAS: And you're not the first ones to look at it. I mean, because when things are said like this, it appears that everything comes to you for the first time. No. No. There's DRC. There's months I mean, Mr. Behar knows how many months it takes sometimes to deal with these issues. All of that is done prior to you being able to take a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan that comes before us or that project that comes before us. MR. TRIAS: Absolutely. MR. BEHAR: All PADs have to come through here. MR. TRIAS: And that's the way it's done typically. We could come up with a more prescriptive process, but I'm not sure that's going to result in better projects. MR. BEHAR: No. And to Luis' point, when you start doing that, you start, you know, making the project more MS. MIRO: I understand. MR. TORRE: I just came up with a word. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity to evaluate them, specifically what they have designed for the first floor, you will be able to look at that and make a judgment whether you feel that, in fact, the PAD has accomplished MR. TRIAS: And you're not the first ones to look at it. I mean, because when things are said like this, it appears that everything comes to you for the first time. No. No. There's DRC. There's months I mean, Mr. Behar knows how many months it takes sometimes to deal with these issues. All of that is done prior to you being able to take a look at it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan that comes before us or that project that comes before us. MR. TRIAS: Absolutely. MR. BEHAR: All PADs have to come through here. MR. TRIAS: And that's the way it's done typically. We could come up with a more prescriptive process, but I'm not sure that's going to result in better projects. MR. BEHAR: No. And to Luis' point, when you start doing that, you start, you know, making the project more MS. MIRO: I understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity to evaluate them, specifically what they have designed for the first floor, you will be able to look at that and make a judgment whether you feel that, in fact, the PAD has accomplished MR. TRIAS: And you're not the first ones to look at it. I mean, because when things are said like this, it appears that everything comes to you for the first time. No. No. There's DRC. There's months I mean, Mr. Behar knows how many months it takes sometimes to deal with these issues. All of that is done prior to you being able to take a look at it. So I think that's part of the process	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan that comes before us or that project that comes before us. MR. TRIAS: Absolutely. MR. BEHAR: All PADs have to come through here. MR. TRIAS: And that's the way it's done typically. We could come up with a more prescriptive process, but I'm not sure that's going to result in better projects. MR. BEHAR: No. And to Luis' point, when you start doing that, you start, you know, making the project more MS. MIRO: I understand. MR. TORRE: I just came up with a word. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity to evaluate them, specifically what they have designed for the first floor, you will be able to look at that and make a judgment whether you feel that, in fact, the PAD has accomplished MR. TRIAS: And you're not the first ones to look at it. I mean, because when things are said like this, it appears that everything comes to you for the first time. No. No. There's DRC. There's months I mean, Mr. Behar knows how many months it takes sometimes to deal with these issues. All of that is done prior to you being able to take a look at it. So I think that's part of the process already, but certainly we can come up with some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan that comes before us or that project that comes before us. MR. TRIAS: Absolutely. MR. BEHAR: All PADs have to come through here. MR. TRIAS: And that's the way it's done typically. We could come up with a more prescriptive process, but I'm not sure that's going to result in better projects. MR. BEHAR: No. And to Luis' point, when you start doing that, you start, you know, making the project more MS. MIRO: I understand. MR. TORRE: I just came up with a word. I mean, I know what you guys have said. Focuses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity to evaluate them, specifically what they have designed for the first floor, you will be able to look at that and make a judgment whether you feel that, in fact, the PAD has accomplished MR. TRIAS: And you're not the first ones to look at it. I mean, because when things are said like this, it appears that everything comes to you for the first time. No. No. There's DRC. There's months I mean, Mr. Behar knows how many months it takes sometimes to deal with these issues. All of that is done prior to you being able to take a look at it. So I think that's part of the process already, but certainly we can come up with some additional language if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan that comes before us or that project that comes before us. MR. TRIAS: Absolutely. MR. BEHAR: All PADs have to come through here. MR. TRIAS: And that's the way it's done typically. We could come up with a more prescriptive process, but I'm not sure that's going to result in better projects. MR. BEHAR: No. And to Luis' point, when you start doing that, you start, you know, making the project more — MS. MIRO: I understand. MR. TORRE: I just came up with a word. I mean, I know what you guys have said. Focuses on the improvement of the public realm or provides more focus. And I'm reading what the PAD is supposed to do already. The PAD, by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity to evaluate them, specifically what they have designed for the first floor, you will be able to look at that and make a judgment whether you feel that, in fact, the PAD has accomplished MR. TRIAS: And you're not the first ones to look at it. I mean, because when things are said like this, it appears that everything comes to you for the first time. No. No. There's DRC. There's months I mean, Mr. Behar knows how many months it takes sometimes to deal with these issues. All of that is done prior to you being able to take a look at it. So I think that's part of the process already, but certainly we can come up with some additional language if you MR. BEHAR: Claudia, I'm not sure that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan that comes before us or that project that comes before us. MR. TRIAS: Absolutely. MR. BEHAR: All PADs have to come through here. MR. TRIAS: And that's the way it's done typically. We could come up with a more prescriptive process, but I'm not sure that's going to result in better projects. MR. BEHAR: No. And to Luis' point, when you start doing that, you start, you know, making the project more — MS. MIRO: I understand. MR. TORRE: I just came up with a word. I mean, I know what you guys have said. Focuses on the improvement of the public realm or provides more focus. And I'm reading what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for. MR. COLLER: So I just want to make one comment. Because a PAD is a Conditional Use, and when you get PADs, you get the opportunity to evaluate them, specifically what they have designed for the first floor, you will be able to look at that and make a judgment whether you feel that, in fact, the PAD has accomplished MR. TRIAS: And you're not the first ones to look at it. I mean, because when things are said like this, it appears that everything comes to you for the first time. No. No. There's DRC. There's months I mean, Mr. Behar knows how many months it takes sometimes to deal with these issues. All of that is done prior to you being able to take a look at it. So I think that's part of the process already, but certainly we can come up with some additional language if you MR. BEHAR: Claudia, I'm not sure that we really have a tool, a mechanism, to do that. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	looked at. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, also, a project would have to come before us, so we can make some recommendations based on that Site Plan that comes before us or that project that comes before us. MR. TRIAS: Absolutely. MR. BEHAR: All PADs have to come through here. MR. TRIAS: And that's the way it's done typically. We could come up with a more prescriptive process, but I'm not sure that's going to result in better projects. MR. BEHAR: No. And to Luis' point, when you start doing that, you start, you know, making the project more — MS. MIRO: I understand. MR. TORRE: I just came up with a word. I mean, I know what you guys have said. Focuses on the improvement of the public realm or provides more focus. And I'm reading what the PAD is supposed to do already. The PAD, by

	Page 65		Page 66
1	surrounding the area. So that's kind of doing	1	vigilant and that we're bringing these things
2	some of it.	2	to the surface. I also echo the sentiment of
3	But in terms of focusing on the improvement	3	maybe adding that one liner. I think it would
4	of the immediate public realm, I'm not sure,	4	make me feel better, that we're trying to make
5	can a sentence of aspiration	5	sure that we're in deed keeping and making the
6	MR. TRIAS: I think an amendment of the	6	City beautiful.
7	Code that describes the PAD intent, that's a	7	MR. TRIAS: I think that's the easiest and
8	very good place to do it, to explain it.	8	most effective way, to edit the intent language
9	MR. TORRE: All I'm trying to do is bring	9	in the Code. I think that's a very good idea.
10	focus to this issue. Again, we live with these	10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So, Mr. Behar, would
11	pedestals, we live with these garages, and they	11	you add that?
12	get built and the little buildings come down	12	MR. BEHAR: I'm going to withdraw my
13	and we lose the fabric of the City, and all I'm	13	motion whatever the Board feels, I'm okay
14	saying is, let's do that, but with an intent	14	with it.
15	and not lose it completely. Let's focus on	15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And
16	what happens as you walk down these streets.	16	
17	MR. TRIAS: I think, a recommendation to		MR. WITHERS: Yes, I'll accept it.
18	enhance the intent of the language that deals	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You'll accept it. Any
19	with the intent of the PAD and focuses toward	18	other discussion? No?
20	public space may be a way to do this.	19	Call the roll, please.
21	MS. MIRO: I understand the comments that	20	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
22	you made, Mr. Trias, and also my colleagues,	21	MR. TORRE: Yes.
23	Mr. Behar, and I will echo what Mr. Torre said,	22	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
24	that I appreciate the fact that we're having	23	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
25	these conversations and that we're being	24	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
	and the conversations and that were coming	25	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
	Page 67		D 60
	5		Page 68
1	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?	1	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is,
1 2		1 2	
	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?		MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is,
2	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes.	2	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in
2	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	2 3	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code.
2 3 4	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	2 3 4	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly.
2 3 4 5	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	2 3 4 5	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means.
2 3 4 5 6	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So your suggestion is
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: We need a motion for E-2.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So your suggestion is to parallel it or not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: We need a motion for E-2. MR. TRIAS: We have the second	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So your suggestion is to parallel it or not? MR. COLLER: Yes, but they probably will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: We need a motion for E-2. MR. TRIAS: We have the second CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have to do E-2.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So your suggestion is to parallel it or not? MR. COLLER: Yes, but they probably will not be able to act on your – that language on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: We need a motion for E-2. MR. TRIAS: We have the second CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have to do E-2. MR. COLLER: And I might suggest a similar	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So your suggestion is to parallel it or not? MR. COLLER: Yes, but they probably will not be able to act on your — that language on this item, because of the narrow scope of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: We need a motion for E-2. MR. TRIAS: We have the second CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have to do E-2. MR. COLLER: And I might suggest a similar amendment, I think, is the intent for E-2, as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So your suggestion is to parallel it or not? MR. COLLER: Yes, but they probably will not be able to act on your — that language on this item, because of the narrow scope of this item, but it gives to the City Commission, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: We need a motion for E-2. MR. TRIAS: We have the second CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have to do E-2. MR. COLLER: And I might suggest a similar amendment, I think, is the intent for E-2, as well, or do we need them for E-2?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So your suggestion is to parallel it or not? MR. COLLER: Yes, but they probably will not be able to act on your — that language on this item, because of the narrow scope of this item, but it gives to the City Commission, that consider an amendment to all PADs with regard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: We need a motion for E-2. MR. TRIAS: We have the second CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have to do E-2. MR. COLLER: And I might suggest a similar amendment, I think, is the intent for E-2, as well, or do we need them for E-2? MR. TRIAS: E-2 is really where we will do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So your suggestion is to parallel it or not? MR. COLLER: Yes, but they probably will not be able to act on your — that language on this item, because of the narrow scope of this item, but it gives to the City Commission, that consider an amendment to all PADs with regard to the focus on the first floor —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: We need a motion for E-2. MR. TRIAS: We have the second CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have to do E-2. MR. COLLER: And I might suggest a similar amendment, I think, is the intent for E-2, as well, or do we need them for E-2? MR. TRIAS: E-2 is really where we will do the amendments, because of the Zoning Code, as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So your suggestion is to parallel it or not? MR. COLLER: Yes, but they probably will not be able to act on your — that language on this item, because of the narrow scope of this item, but it gives to the City Commission, that consider an amendment to all PADs with regard to the focus on the first floor — MR. TORRE: Public realm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: We need a motion for E-2. MR. TRIAS: We have the second CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have to do E-2. MR. COLLER: And I might suggest a similar amendment, I think, is the intent for E-2, as well, or do we need them for E-2? MR. TRIAS: E-2 is really where we will do the amendments, because of the Zoning Code, as far as the aspirational language, I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So your suggestion is to parallel it or not? MR. COLLER: Yes, but they probably will not be able to act on your — that language on this item, because of the narrow scope of this item, but it gives to the City Commission, that consider an amendment to all PADs with regard to the focus on the first floor — MR. TORRE: Public realm. MR. COLLER: — public realm focus.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: We need a motion for E-2. MR. TRIAS: We have the second CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have to do E-2. MR. COLLER: And I might suggest a similar amendment, I think, is the intent for E-2, as well, or do we need them for E-2? MR. TRIAS: E-2 is really where we will do the amendments, because of the Zoning Code, as far as the aspirational language, I think that's a more appropriate place.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So your suggestion is to parallel it or not? MR. COLLER: Yes, but they probably will not be able to act on your — that language on this item, because of the narrow scope of this item, but it gives to the City Commission, that consider an amendment to all PADs with regard to the focus on the first floor — MR. TORRE: Public realm. MR. COLLER: — public realm focus. MR. BEHAR: I like that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: We need a motion for E-2. MR. TRIAS: We have the second CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have to do E-2. MR. COLLER: And I might suggest a similar amendment, I think, is the intent for E-2, as well, or do we need them for E-2? MR. TRIAS: E-2 is really where we will do the amendments, because of the Zoning Code, as far as the aspirational language, I think that's a more appropriate place. MR. COLLER: Well, I'm not sure that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So your suggestion is to parallel it or not? MR. COLLER: Yes, but they probably will not be able to act on your — that language on this item, because of the narrow scope of this item, but it gives to the City Commission, that consider an amendment to all PADs with regard to the focus on the first floor — MR. TORRE: Public realm. MR. COLLER: — public realm focus. MR. BEHAR: I like that. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, we could come back with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: We need a motion for E-2. MR. TRIAS: We have the second CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have to do E-2. MR. COLLER: And I might suggest a similar amendment, I think, is the intent for E-2, as well, or do we need them for E-2? MR. TRIAS: E-2 is really where we will do the amendments, because of the Zoning Code, as far as the aspirational language, I think that's a more appropriate place. MR. COLLER: Well, I'm not sure that the language in E-2 is the title is expansive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So your suggestion is to parallel it or not? MR. COLLER: Yes, but they probably will not be able to act on your — that language on this item, because of the narrow scope of this item, but it gives to the City Commission, that consider an amendment to all PADs with regard to the focus on the first floor — MR. TORRE: Public realm. MR. COLLER: — public realm focus. MR. BEHAR: I like that. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, we could come back with some language that deals with the Zoning intent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: We need a motion for E-2. MR. TRIAS: We have the second CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have to do E-2. MR. COLLER: And I might suggest a similar amendment, I think, is the intent for E-2, as well, or do we need them for E-2? MR. TRIAS: E-2 is really where we will do the amendments, because of the Zoning Code, as far as the aspirational language, I think that's a more appropriate place. MR. COLLER: Well, I'm not sure that the language in E-2 is the title is expansive enough that it would apply to all PADs. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So your suggestion is to parallel it or not? MR. COLLER: Yes, but they probably will not be able to act on your — that language on this item, because of the narrow scope of this item, but it gives to the City Commission, that consider an amendment to all PADs with regard to the focus on the first floor — MR. TORRE: Public realm. MR. COLLER: — public realm focus. MR. BEHAR: I like that. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, we could come back with some language that deals with the Zoning intent of the PAD that addresses some of those issues.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: We need a motion for E-2. MR. TRIAS: We have the second CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have to do E-2. MR. COLLER: And I might suggest a similar amendment, I think, is the intent for E-2, as well, or do we need them for E-2? MR. TRIAS: E-2 is really where we will do the amendments, because of the Zoning Code, as far as the aspirational language, I think that's a more appropriate place. MR. COLLER: Well, I'm not sure that the language in E-2 is the title is expansive enough that it would apply to all PADs. It's something we would have to look at. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So your suggestion is to parallel it or not? MR. COLLER: Yes, but they probably will not be able to act on your — that language on this item, because of the narrow scope of this item, but it gives to the City Commission, that consider an amendment to all PADs with regard to the focus on the first floor — MR. TORRE: Public realm. MR. COLLER: — public realm focus. MR. BEHAR: I like that. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, we could come back with some language that deals with the Zoning intent of the PAD that addresses some of those issues. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So how do we resolve,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: We need a motion for E-2. MR. TRIAS: We have the second CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have to do E-2. MR. COLLER: And I might suggest a similar amendment, I think, is the intent for E-2, as well, or do we need them for E-2? MR. TRIAS: E-2 is really where we will do the amendments, because of the Zoning Code, as far as the aspirational language, I think that's a more appropriate place. MR. COLLER: Well, I'm not sure that the language in E-2 is the title is expansive enough that it would apply to all PADs. It's something we would have to look at. I think the important thing is, what the Board is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So your suggestion is to parallel it or not? MR. COLLER: Yes, but they probably will not be able to act on your — that language on this item, because of the narrow scope of this item, but it gives to the City Commission, that consider an amendment to all PADs with regard to the focus on the first floor — MR. TORRE: Public realm. MR. COLLER: — public realm focus. MR. BEHAR: I like that. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, we could come back with some language that deals with the Zoning intent of the PAD that addresses some of those issues. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So how do we resolve, then, E-2?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: We need a motion for E-2. MR. TRIAS: We have the second CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have to do E-2. MR. COLLER: And I might suggest a similar amendment, I think, is the intent for E-2, as well, or do we need them for E-2? MR. TRIAS: E-2 is really where we will do the amendments, because of the Zoning Code, as far as the aspirational language, I think that's a more appropriate place. MR. COLLER: Well, I'm not sure that the language in E-2 is the title is expansive enough that it would apply to all PADs. It's something we would have to look at. I think the important thing is, what the Board is saying is some general language, with regard to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So your suggestion is to parallel it or not? MR. COLLER: Yes, but they probably will not be able to act on your — that language on this item, because of the narrow scope of this item, but it gives to the City Commission, that consider an amendment to all PADs with regard to the focus on the first floor — MR. TORRE: Public realm. MR. COLLER: — public realm focus. MR. BEHAR: I like that. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, we could come back with some language that deals with the Zoning intent of the PAD that addresses some of those issues. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So how do we resolve, then, E-2? MR. COLLER: E-2, I think, is the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. THE SECRETARY: We need a motion for E-2. MR. TRIAS: We have the second CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have to do E-2. MR. COLLER: And I might suggest a similar amendment, I think, is the intent for E-2, as well, or do we need them for E-2? MR. TRIAS: E-2 is really where we will do the amendments, because of the Zoning Code, as far as the aspirational language, I think that's a more appropriate place. MR. COLLER: Well, I'm not sure that the language in E-2 is the title is expansive enough that it would apply to all PADs. It's something we would have to look at. I think the important thing is, what the Board is saying is some general language, with regard to what we've discussed, to be included in all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which my thinking is, that means an amendment to the PAD language in the Zoning Code. MR. COLLER: Exactly. MR. TRIAS: That's what that means. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So your suggestion is to parallel it or not? MR. COLLER: Yes, but they probably will not be able to act on your — that language on this item, because of the narrow scope of this item, but it gives to the City Commission, that consider an amendment to all PADs with regard to the focus on the first floor — MR. TORRE: Public realm. MR. COLLER: — public realm focus. MR. BEHAR: I like that. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, we could come back with some language that deals with the Zoning intent of the PAD that addresses some of those issues. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So how do we resolve, then, E-2? MR. COLLER: E-2, I think, is the same amendments, right —

	Page 69		Page 70
1	requested feet, with the recommendation of	1	is E-3.
2	consideration of the focus on the public realm	2	MR. COLLER: Okay. Item E-3, an Ordinance
3	in the general PAD Ordinance.	3	of the City of Commission of Coral Gables,
4	MR. TRIAS: Yeah.	4	Florida, providing for text amendments to the
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion?	5	City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code,
6	MS. MIRO: I'll make a motion.	6	Article 5, "Architecture," Section 5-200,
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. Is	7	"Mediterranean Standards," to limit the
8	there a second?	8	Mediterranean Bonus program to Coral Gables
9	MR. TORRE: I'll second it.	9	Mediterranean Architectural Style and expand
10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny second it. Any	10	the Board of Architects review process to
11	discussion?	11	include an optional conceptual review;
12	Call the roll, please.	12	providing for severability, repealer,
13	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	13	codification, and for an effective date.
14	MR. WITHERS: Yes.	14	Item E-3, public hearing.
15	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	15	MR. TRIAS: So, Mr. Chairman, there are two
16	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	16	changes. One deals with the word, Coral Gables
17	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?	17	Mediterranean Style, which remains, and "Other
18	MS. MIRO: Yes.	18	Styles," is eliminated in certain areas. So
19	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	19	it's a more targeted Ordinance towards Coral
20	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	20	Gables Mediterranean style.
21	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	21	The second important aspect of this is the
22	MR. TORRE: Yes.	22	creation of an optional conceptual review
23	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	23	process, meaning somebody could go to the Board
24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.	24	and have a more informal discussion and
25	The next item, which is the New Business,	25	hopefully benefit from that, without having to
	Page 71		Page 72
1	get the project approved or denied.	1	MR. TORRE: This is applying to a Level I
2	Those are the two changes. After	2	bonus. The Level II bonus already has
3	significant discussion, I think the conclusion	3	Mediterranean requirements. Is that factual?
4	was that these were appropriate and that they	4	I think the biggest change will be a Level I,
5	enhance the Ordinance. Staff recommends	5	which before didn't have such a strict
6	approval.	6	Mediterranean requirement.
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Do we have any	7	Right. So we're getting to the FAR
8	before we proceed, do we have any speakers for	8	increases to 3.2 with this level, and it gets
9	this item, Jill?	9	to 3.5 when you get to Level II; is that
10	THE SECRETARY: Not on Zoom.	10	correct?
11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not on Zoom.	11	MR. TRIAS: That's correct, yes.
12	Anybody here that would like to speak on	12	MR. TORRE: Okay. And the big buildings,
13	this item? No?	13	which have caused the majority of the issues
14	At this time, I'll go ahead and close the	14	that I think are causing this to come forth,
15	floor, and open it up for discussion.	15	are the ones that hit Level V I'm sorry,
16	MR. TORRE: I do have a few questions,	16	Level II, not Level V am I going to the
17	first for Staff, just to clarify.	17	right place?
17 18	first for Staff, just to clarify. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sure.	18	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes. And I think there
17	first for Staff, just to clarify. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sure. MR. TORRE: My understanding is that	18 19	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes. And I think there was only one Level I in recent memory.
17 18 19 20	first for Staff, just to clarify. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sure. MR. TORRE: My understanding is that Section 5-100 and beyond has two level of	18	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes. And I think there was only one Level I in recent memory. MR. TORRE: Right. So when was the last
17 18 19 20 21	first for Staff, just to clarify. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sure. MR. TORRE: My understanding is that Section 5-100 and beyond has two level of bonus, which basically this is what it's	18 19 20 21	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes. And I think there was only one Level I in recent memory. MR. TORRE: Right. So when was the last time a Level I was proposed?
17 18 19 20 21 22	first for Staff, just to clarify. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sure. MR. TORRE: My understanding is that Section 5-100 and beyond has two level of bonus, which basically this is what it's getting to, the meat of the bonuses. One is	18 19 20 21 22	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes. And I think there was only one Level I in recent memory. MR. TORRE: Right. So when was the last time a Level I was proposed? MR. TRIAS: I think, when Robert Behar
17 18 19 20 21	first for Staff, just to clarify. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sure. MR. TORRE: My understanding is that Section 5-100 and beyond has two level of bonus, which basically this is what it's	18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes. And I think there was only one Level I in recent memory. MR. TORRE: Right. So when was the last time a Level I was proposed? MR. TRIAS: I think, when Robert Behar worked on that when was that, five years ago
17 18 19 20 21 22	first for Staff, just to clarify. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sure. MR. TORRE: My understanding is that Section 5-100 and beyond has two level of bonus, which basically this is what it's getting to, the meat of the bonuses. One is	18 19 20 21 22	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes. And I think there was only one Level I in recent memory. MR. TORRE: Right. So when was the last time a Level I was proposed? MR. TRIAS: I think, when Robert Behar

	Page 73		Page 74
1	MR. BEHAR: And we didn't go to the	1	got two buildings, The Plaza, which
2	whole	2	incorporates all of the Med bonuses, and
3	MR. TORRE: Right. You know, again, we're	3	it's in recent years, that's one of the most
4	trying to fix it through a method that deals	4	talked about buildings, and across the street,
5	with something that barely ever happens, and I	5	you have a building that was done the old
6	just don't quite understand where we're headed.	6	Regions Bank building. Today, that building,
7	MR. BEHAR: Sure. Well, I'm going to tell	7	which I personally as an architect, I'm sure
8	you where, and I participated in the Blue	8	my colleague will agree, is a good building.
9	Ribbon Commission, and, to me, the more that	9	It gives a lot of good public benefits along
10	we the more meetings that took place, the	10	Ponce de Leon, but yet it is not in the spirit
11	more discussion, the more I think this is a bad	11	of what this is intended to be.
12	thing, okay?	12	So I think that going in this direction, to
13	MR. WITHERS: It's a, what?	13	me, it's not the right thing to do. I am
14	MR. BEHAR: It's not a good thing to do, to	14	totally against it. And the same thing I
15	limit this. This, to me, is not	15	expressed during those Blue Ribbon Committee
16	professionally, I don't think this is going	16	meetings, I say it again, this is going to
17	to talking about prescribed, you know,	17	make you know, it's going to be more of a
18	architecture, this is going to lead to	18	Boca. When you go up to Boca Raton and you see
19	specifically that every building should be	19	all of the architecture trying to do the same
20	and I'm sure that Mr. Trias and I will	20	thing, this is what is in my professional
21	disagree, and that's okay, that's a good you	21	opinion, what this is intended to do and will
22	know, as long as we're respectful of each	22	do. I'm not in favor of this.
23	other, it's a good thing I don't think this	23	MR. REVUELTA: Are you in favor of
24	is good.	24	MR. BEHAR: Keeping what is there today.
25	I'm going to give you an example. You've	25	MR. REVUELTA: No. No. I understand. But
	This going to give you air example. You've		With the Vollettin To. To. Tunderstand. But
	Page 75		
	rage /J		Page 76
1	the other part of the item is going for a	1	Page 76 building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II,
1 2		1 2	
	the other part of the item is going for a		building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II,
2	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review.	2	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public
2	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know,	2 3	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit incorporated the public benefit,
2 3 4	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a	2 3 4	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more
2 3 4 5	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional.	2 3 4 5	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you
2 3 4 5 6	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional. MR. TRIAS: It's optional, yes.	2 3 4 5 6	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you know, as The Plaza, at 190 feet, you put a tile
2 3 4 5 6 7	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional. MR. TRIAS: It's optional, yes. MR. BEHAR: You know, is it a good thing	2 3 4 5 6 7	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you know, as The Plaza, at 190 feet, you put a tile roof, and that's is that a good thing for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional. MR. TRIAS: It's optional, yes. MR. BEHAR: You know, is it a good thing that you go to the Board, to say, look, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit — incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you know, as The Plaza, at 190 feet, you put a tile roof, and that's — is that a good thing for the public? No, it's not, in my opinion, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional. MR. TRIAS: It's optional, yes. MR. BEHAR: You know, is it a good thing that you go to the Board, to say, look, I'm going to bring this forward, give me your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you know, as The Plaza, at 190 feet, you put a tile roof, and that's is that a good thing for the public? No, it's not, in my opinion, and you would probably have to agree with that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional. MR. TRIAS: It's optional, yes. MR. BEHAR: You know, is it a good thing that you go to the Board, to say, look, I'm going to bring this forward, give me your feedback unofficially, so I don't have to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you know, as The Plaza, at 190 feet, you put a tile roof, and that's is that a good thing for the public? No, it's not, in my opinion, and you would probably have to agree with that. I think there's more important components,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional. MR. TRIAS: It's optional, yes. MR. BEHAR: You know, is it a good thing that you go to the Board, to say, look, I'm going to bring this forward, give me your feedback unofficially, so I don't have to do the whole long presentation, come back and have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you know, as The Plaza, at 190 feet, you put a tile roof, and that's is that a good thing for the public? No, it's not, in my opinion, and you would probably have to agree with that. I think there's more important components, elements that are more important than having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional. MR. TRIAS: It's optional, yes. MR. BEHAR: You know, is it a good thing that you go to the Board, to say, look, I'm going to bring this forward, give me your feedback unofficially, so I don't have to do the whole long presentation, come back and have problems. I don't have a problem with that. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit — incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you know, as The Plaza, at 190 feet, you put a tile roof, and that's — is that a good thing for the public? No, it's not, in my opinion, and you would probably have to agree with that. I think there's more important components, elements that are more important than having the building — and I'm not taking away from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional. MR. TRIAS: It's optional, yes. MR. BEHAR: You know, is it a good thing that you go to the Board, to say, look, I'm going to bring this forward, give me your feedback unofficially, so I don't have to do the whole long presentation, come back and have problems. I don't have a problem with that. I think that could be a positive, you know, tool	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit — incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you know, as The Plaza, at 190 feet, you put a tile roof, and that's — is that a good thing for the public? No, it's not, in my opinion, and you would probably have to agree with that. I think there's more important components, elements that are more important than having the building — and I'm not taking away from that building. That building is, you know, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional. MR. TRIAS: It's optional, yes. MR. BEHAR: You know, is it a good thing that you go to the Board, to say, look, I'm going to bring this forward, give me your feedback unofficially, so I don't have to do the whole long presentation, come back and have problems. I don't have a problem with that. I think that could be a positive, you know, tool to do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you know, as The Plaza, at 190 feet, you put a tile roof, and that's is that a good thing for the public? No, it's not, in my opinion, and you would probably have to agree with that. I think there's more important components, elements that are more important than having the building and I'm not taking away from that building. That building is, you know, a very good project, I'm sure. I don't agree
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional. MR. TRIAS: It's optional, yes. MR. BEHAR: You know, is it a good thing that you go to the Board, to say, look, I'm going to bring this forward, give me your feedback unofficially, so I don't have to do the whole long presentation, come back and have problems. I don't have a problem with that. I think that could be a positive, you know, tool to do. I'm just opposed to the Med strictly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you know, as The Plaza, at 190 feet, you put a tile roof, and that's is that a good thing for the public? No, it's not, in my opinion, and you would probably have to agree with that. I think there's more important components, elements that are more important than having the building and I'm not taking away from that building. That building is, you know, a very good project, I'm sure. I don't agree with it, but, you know, it's a very good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional. MR. TRIAS: It's optional, yes. MR. BEHAR: You know, is it a good thing that you go to the Board, to say, look, I'm going to bring this forward, give me your feedback unofficially, so I don't have to do the whole long presentation, come back and have problems. I don't have a problem with that. I think that could be a positive, you know, tool to do. I'm just opposed to the Med strictly Mediterranean looking style buildings.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you know, as The Plaza, at 190 feet, you put a tile roof, and that's is that a good thing for the public? No, it's not, in my opinion, and you would probably have to agree with that. I think there's more important components, elements that are more important than having the building and I'm not taking away from that building. That building is, you know, a very good project, I'm sure. I don't agree with it, but, you know, it's a very good project, it does a lot. You know, that's my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional. MR. TRIAS: It's optional, yes. MR. BEHAR: You know, is it a good thing that you go to the Board, to say, look, I'm going to bring this forward, give me your feedback unofficially, so I don't have to do the whole long presentation, come back and have problems. I don't have a problem with that. I think that could be a positive, you know, tool to do. I'm just opposed to the Med strictly Mediterranean looking style buildings. MR. REVUELTA: You're opposing to taking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit — incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you know, as The Plaza, at 190 feet, you put a tile roof, and that's — is that a good thing for the public? No, it's not, in my opinion, and you would probably have to agree with that. I think there's more important components, elements that are more important than having the building — and I'm not taking away from that building. That building is, you know, a very good project, I'm sure. I don't agree with it, but, you know, it's a very good project, it does a lot. You know, that's my opinion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional. MR. TRIAS: It's optional, yes. MR. BEHAR: You know, is it a good thing that you go to the Board, to say, look, I'm going to bring this forward, give me your feedback unofficially, so I don't have to do the whole long presentation, come back and have problems. I don't have a problem with that. I think that could be a positive, you know, tool to do. I'm just opposed to the Med strictly Mediterranean looking style buildings. MR. REVUELTA: You're opposing to taking out, "Other styles" from Level I.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you know, as The Plaza, at 190 feet, you put a tile roof, and that's is that a good thing for the public? No, it's not, in my opinion, and you would probably have to agree with that. I think there's more important components, elements that are more important than having the building and I'm not taking away from that building. That building is, you know, a very good project, I'm sure. I don't agree with it, but, you know, it's a very good project, it does a lot. You know, that's my opinion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Claudia?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional. MR. TRIAS: It's optional, yes. MR. BEHAR: You know, is it a good thing that you go to the Board, to say, look, I'm going to bring this forward, give me your feedback unofficially, so I don't have to do the whole long presentation, come back and have problems. I don't have a problem with that. I think that could be a positive, you know, tool to do. I'm just opposed to the Med strictly Mediterranean looking style buildings. MR. REVUELTA: You're opposing to taking out, "Other styles" from Level I. MR. TRIAS: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you know, as The Plaza, at 190 feet, you put a tile roof, and that's is that a good thing for the public? No, it's not, in my opinion, and you would probably have to agree with that. I think there's more important components, elements that are more important than having the building and I'm not taking away from that building. That building is, you know, a very good project, I'm sure. I don't agree with it, but, you know, it's a very good project, it does a lot. You know, that's my opinion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Claudia? MS. MIRO: I feel the same.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional. MR. TRIAS: It's optional, yes. MR. BEHAR: You know, is it a good thing that you go to the Board, to say, look, I'm going to bring this forward, give me your feedback unofficially, so I don't have to do the whole long presentation, come back and have problems. I don't have a problem with that. I think that could be a positive, you know, tool to do. I'm just opposed to the Med strictly Mediterranean looking style buildings. MR. REVUELTA: You're opposing to taking out, "Other styles" from Level I. MR. TRIAS: Correct. MR. REVUELTA: If I can understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you know, as The Plaza, at 190 feet, you put a tile roof, and that's is that a good thing for the public? No, it's not, in my opinion, and you would probably have to agree with that. I think there's more important components, elements that are more important than having the building and I'm not taking away from that building. That building is, you know, a very good project, I'm sure. I don't agree with it, but, you know, it's a very good project, it does a lot. You know, that's my opinion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Claudia? MS. MIRO: I feel the same. MR. TORRE: No, I just want to point out a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional. MR. TRIAS: It's optional, yes. MR. BEHAR: You know, is it a good thing that you go to the Board, to say, look, I'm going to bring this forward, give me your feedback unofficially, so I don't have to do the whole long presentation, come back and have problems. I don't have a problem with that. I think that could be a positive, you know, tool to do. I'm just opposed to the Med strictly Mediterranean looking style buildings. MR. REVUELTA: You're opposing to taking out, "Other styles" from Level I. MR. TRIAS: Correct. MR. REVUELTA: If I can understand MR. REVUELTA: I'm opposed to changing what we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you know, as The Plaza, at 190 feet, you put a tile roof, and that's is that a good thing for the public? No, it's not, in my opinion, and you would probably have to agree with that. I think there's more important components, elements that are more important than having the building and I'm not taking away from that building. That building is, you know, a very good project, I'm sure. I don't agree with it, but, you know, it's a very good project, it does a lot. You know, that's my opinion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Claudia? MS. MIRO: I feel the same. MR. TORRE: No, I just want to point out a couple of things. So, I mean, we're trying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional. MR. TRIAS: It's optional, yes. MR. BEHAR: You know, is it a good thing that you go to the Board, to say, look, I'm going to bring this forward, give me your feedback unofficially, so I don't have to do the whole long presentation, come back and have problems. I don't have a problem with that. I think that could be a positive, you know, tool to do. I'm just opposed to the Med strictly Mediterranean looking style buildings. MR. REVUELTA: You're opposing to taking out, "Other styles" from Level I. MR. TRIAS: Correct. MR. REVUELTA: If I can understand MR. BEHAR: I'm opposed to changing what we have. I think it's worked, okay. You have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you know, as The Plaza, at 190 feet, you put a tile roof, and that's is that a good thing for the public? No, it's not, in my opinion, and you would probably have to agree with that. I think there's more important components, elements that are more important than having the building and I'm not taking away from that building. That building is, you know, a very good project, I'm sure. I don't agree with it, but, you know, it's a very good project, it does a lot. You know, that's my opinion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Claudia? MS. MIRO: I feel the same. MR. TORRE: No, I just want to point out a couple of things. So, I mean, we're trying to we've been talking a lot about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the other part of the item is going for a preliminary voluntary review. MR. BEHAR: That's an option. You know, you know what, that's okay, because it's not a mandatory, it's optional. MR. TRIAS: It's optional, yes. MR. BEHAR: You know, is it a good thing that you go to the Board, to say, look, I'm going to bring this forward, give me your feedback unofficially, so I don't have to do the whole long presentation, come back and have problems. I don't have a problem with that. I think that could be a positive, you know, tool to do. I'm just opposed to the Med strictly Mediterranean looking style buildings. MR. REVUELTA: You're opposing to taking out, "Other styles" from Level I. MR. TRIAS: Correct. MR. REVUELTA: If I can understand MR. BEHAR: I'm opposed to changing what we have. I think it's worked, okay. You have a Board of Architects that's going to give you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	building, on Ponce de Leon, did get a Level II, because I'm sure it did a lot of the public benefit — incorporated the public benefit, that, at the end of the day, are more beneficial. Whether you put a tile roof, you know, as The Plaza, at 190 feet, you put a tile roof, and that's — is that a good thing for the public? No, it's not, in my opinion, and you would probably have to agree with that. I think there's more important components, elements that are more important than having the building — and I'm not taking away from that building. That building is, you know, a very good project, I'm sure. I don't agree with it, but, you know, it's a very good project, it does a lot. You know, that's my opinion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Claudia? MS. MIRO: I feel the same. MR. TORRE: No, I just want to point out a couple of things. So, I mean, we're trying to — we've been talking a lot about the Mediterranean bonus, and I just made a point

Page 77 Page 78 1 of the angst are buildings that are way beyond 1 the content and so on. 2 2 3.5 and beyond, so the ones that are not What happened in the 1920s is that there 3 3 falling into today's discussion. They're were very good books, and I have some of them 4 4 beyond the discussion. in my office and at home, that dealt 5 And further to that, what makes a 5 specifically with the architectural styles. 6 6 Mediterranean building, as is trying to be For example, I have one that talks about 7 7 defined by the Code, there's a building, which northern Italian architectural details, there's 8 8 another one that talks about lesser known I happen to like a lot, currently being 9 finished, it's called Villa Valencia. I love 9 architecture of Spain, no masterpieces, but 10 10 it. It's selling for a lot of money. That lesser known. It's really funny how they're 11 11 building did get some bonuses. That building very specialized. And those books are picture 12 12 is not Mediterranean. It has really nice books from the 1920s, multiple books. 13 architecture. It doesn't have arches, it 13 Everybody had them in their offices. 14 14 doesn't have anything that's not -- it's kind And those were the practices. They'd look 15 15 of modern, actually. Look at the reigns. at the books, they'd say, "Oh, I'm going to do 16 16 a Mediterranean building today, so I'm going to So I think there's more to say about 17 17 learn from that book." architecture here than trying to define things 18 18 from the specific that we've been trying to When I was -- many, many years ago, I 19 19 worked in Palm Beach briefly, and somebody, an make it happen. 20 MR. TRIAS: If I could, just give me a 20 architect, showed me the Dutch South African 21 21 minute. In terms of best practices of the book that was done by the architect that 22 1920s and in terms of the beautiful 22 designed the South African Village. So that's 23 23 Mediterranean architecture that we like. I've how that happened. They'd look at the book and 24 written on that topic multiple times. The last 24 they'd learn from it and they did a great job. 25 25 time was my Ph.D. dissertation that went over Those were the practices, the best practices of Page 79 Page 80 1 the 1920s, which, if we follow them, we 1 "Here's the Biltmore. Here's a plan of the 2 probably could do better architecture. It 2 Biltmore or here's a picture of the Biltmore. 3 3 doesn't have to be Mediterranean only. It Every building within the City should look like 4 could be many things. But we don't follow 4 the Biltmore." To me, I'd have a problem with 5 5 them. We simply refuse to learn from the good that, and that's just my opinion. I have not 6 6 example, for whatever reason, and you know I'm asked anybody here. 7 7 right, and I'm trying to rescue those things. MR. TRIAS: If you allow me, the Biltmore is a modern building. The Biltmore is a steel 8 8 Now, the good news is that, today, all of 9 9 those books from the '20s, all of those structure building, okay. It's a modern 10 10 magazines, are PDFs. They're available online. building. In the 1920s, some people would do a 11 It's much easier to find that information today 11 glass facade, such as Ludwig Mies van der Rohe, 12 than it was 10, 15 -- than it was when I was a 12 tried to come up with those ideas, at the very 13 13 same time, with the same structure. So the student. There is no excuse. 14 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, let me ask a 14 aesthetics is a choice. It could be one or 15 question, if I may. In the 1920s, how you 15 another. 16 built a building and how you designed a 16 All I'm saying is, I know why they did a 17 building was different than the components that 17 good job in the '20s, because they had best 18 are available today to design or build a 18 practices that were based on research, research 19 building. So shouldn't an architect be able to 19 of design, of features, of details. I know 20 20 follow or look at what is available today to be that. It's not a secret. If fact, the books 21 able to design it differently, if he's able to 21 are in the library at the University of Miami 22 get those bonuses? 22 and also the Coral Gables library. If we did 23 MR. TRIAS: Well, I mean --23 that, if we had the educational process or the 24 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: To me -- to me, for 24 continuing education or whatever, I think we 25 25 example, if you take the Biltmore and you say, could raise the quality of the architecture.

	Page 81		Page 82
1	And it could be many things. It doesn't have	1	what it boils down to.
2	to be necessarily Mediterranean.	2	MR. WITHERS: So, Mr. Chairman
3	Right now we're not doing any of that. My	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please.
4	message is that we need to start doing that,	4	MR. WITHERS: So when does a standard
5	instead of trying to think that the Zoning Code	5	become a style, because if you look at the 550
6	is going to solve all of our problems through	6	building, those have architectural standards,
7	micromanagement, which was my take with some of	7	but they certainly don't have Mediterranean
8	the discussion that took place in the	8	style.
9	Committee. To believe that that's going to	9	MR. TRIAS: Right.
10	work its way into quality is just not a good	10	MR. WITHERS: So what makes a balcony go
11	approach.	11	from a standard to a style?
12	MR. TORRE: But to say that a brand,	12	MR. TRIAS: From a Zoning point of view
13	because we can call it the brand of the Coral	13	MR. WITHERS: Yeah, from what we're talking
14		14	about.
	Gables, which is Mediterranean, I would prefer		
15 16	to say that the brand of Coral Gables is high	15 16	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, the way we have it in the
17	quality architecture or high quality design.	17	Zoning Code, which these are the eight
18	It's a much better aspirational goal for us to have. Again, a building that's not properly	18	buildings that you need to learn from and then
19			apply similar elements and so on. That's the
20	scaled can be Mediterranean, but if the windows	19	way the Zoning Code
	are too wide, and not tall enough, you know	20	MR. WITHERS: But then you never get out of
21 22	they're not going to look Mediterranean, and	21	your box.
23	those things are just good design practices.		MR. TRIAS: Absolutely, that's the
24	If it goes down to us being rigorous and	23	limitation of that. So that's really a policy
25	the Board of Architects doing their job and	24	choice.
25	just being, you know, on top of it, but that's	25	MR. WITHERS: Correct.
	Daga 02		
	Page 83		Page 84
1	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it.	1	
1 2		1 2	Page 84 police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy
	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it.		police and fire station building, which a
2	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right.	2	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy
2	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different	2 3	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from
2 3 4	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has	2 3 4	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any
2 3 4 5	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better,	2 3 4 5	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be
2 3 4 5 6	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better, sure, but I think that The Plaza certainly complies. Mr. Behar, I don't think anybody	2 3 4 5 6	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be saved. It's wanted.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better, sure, but I think that The Plaza certainly	2 3 4 5 6 7	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be saved. It's wanted. MR. TRIAS: Some people, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better, sure, but I think that The Plaza certainly complies. Mr. Behar, I don't think anybody would object to the fact that it complies. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be saved. It's wanted. MR. TRIAS: Some people, yes. MR. TORRE: But I'm just saying, in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better, sure, but I think that The Plaza certainly complies. Mr. Behar, I don't think anybody would object to the fact that it complies. And the question is whether the Regions Bank would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be saved. It's wanted. MR. TRIAS: Some people, yes. MR. TORRE: But I'm just saying, in the fabric of this City, there's a brutalist
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better, sure, but I think that The Plaza certainly complies. Mr. Behar, I don't think anybody would object to the fact that it complies. And the question is whether the Regions Bank would comply with the changes that we're making.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be saved. It's wanted. MR. TRIAS: Some people, yes. MR. TORRE: But I'm just saying, in the fabric of this City, there's a brutalist building that's lauded for being there. So we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better, sure, but I think that The Plaza certainly complies. Mr. Behar, I don't think anybody would object to the fact that it complies. And the question is whether the Regions Bank would comply with the changes that we're making. MR. BEHAR: Or the other project, the Villa	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be saved. It's wanted. MR. TRIAS: Some people, yes. MR. TORRE: But I'm just saying, in the fabric of this City, there's a brutalist building that's lauded for being there. So we have different things that happen across the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better, sure, but I think that The Plaza certainly complies. Mr. Behar, I don't think anybody would object to the fact that it complies. And the question is whether the Regions Bank would comply with the changes that we're making. MR. BEHAR: Or the other project, the Villa Valencia one.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be saved. It's wanted. MR. TRIAS: Some people, yes. MR. TORRE: But I'm just saying, in the fabric of this City, there's a brutalist building that's lauded for being there. So we have different things that happen across the board that are good and they say it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better, sure, but I think that The Plaza certainly complies. Mr. Behar, I don't think anybody would object to the fact that it complies. And the question is whether the Regions Bank would comply with the changes that we're making. MR. BEHAR: Or the other project, the Villa Valencia one. MR. TRIAS: Or Villa Valencia.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be saved. It's wanted. MR. TRIAS: Some people, yes. MR. TORRE: But I'm just saying, in the fabric of this City, there's a brutalist building that's lauded for being there. So we have different things that happen across the board that are good and they say it. MR. BEHAR: I think that diversity is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better, sure, but I think that The Plaza certainly complies. Mr. Behar, I don't think anybody would object to the fact that it complies. And the question is whether the Regions Bank would comply with the changes that we're making. MR. BEHAR: Or the other project, the Villa Valencia one. MR. TRIAS: Or Villa Valencia. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be saved. It's wanted. MR. TRIAS: Some people, yes. MR. TORRE: But I'm just saying, in the fabric of this City, there's a brutalist building that's lauded for being there. So we have different things that happen across the board that are good and they say it. MR. BEHAR: I think that diversity is always good.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better, sure, but I think that The Plaza certainly complies. Mr. Behar, I don't think anybody would object to the fact that it complies. And the question is whether the Regions Bank would comply with the changes that we're making. MR. BEHAR: Or the other project, the Villa Valencia one. MR. TRIAS: Or Villa Valencia. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I MR. TRIAS: I think that's the discussion, the narrow discussion we're having.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be saved. It's wanted. MR. TRIAS: Some people, yes. MR. TORRE: But I'm just saying, in the fabric of this City, there's a brutalist building that's lauded for being there. So we have different things that happen across the board that are good and they say it. MR. BEHAR: I think that diversity is always good. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. Like I said, this is a very narrow discussion. This was an issue,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better, sure, but I think that The Plaza certainly complies. Mr. Behar, I don't think anybody would object to the fact that it complies. And the question is whether the Regions Bank would comply with the changes that we're making. MR. BEHAR: Or the other project, the Villa Valencia one. MR. TRIAS: Or Villa Valencia. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I MR. TRIAS: I think that's the discussion, the narrow discussion we're having. MR. WITHERS: No. I mean, you know, my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be saved. It's wanted. MR. TRIAS: Some people, yes. MR. TORRE: But I'm just saying, in the fabric of this City, there's a brutalist building that's lauded for being there. So we have different things that happen across the board that are good and they say it. MR. BEHAR: I think that diversity is always good. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. Like I said, this is a very narrow discussion. This was an issue, again, identified by the Commission. They set
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better, sure, but I think that The Plaza certainly complies. Mr. Behar, I don't think anybody would object to the fact that it complies. And the question is whether the Regions Bank would comply with the changes that we're making. MR. BEHAR: Or the other project, the Villa Valencia one. MR. TRIAS: Or Villa Valencia. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I MR. TRIAS: I think that's the discussion, the narrow discussion we're having. MR. WITHERS: No. I mean, you know, my point is that, you know, I hear what Venny is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be saved. It's wanted. MR. TRIAS: Some people, yes. MR. TORRE: But I'm just saying, in the fabric of this City, there's a brutalist building that's lauded for being there. So we have different things that happen across the board that are good and they say it. MR. BEHAR: I think that diversity is always good. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. Like I said, this is a very narrow discussion. This was an issue, again, identified by the Commission. They set up a Committee, and the Committee discussed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better, sure, but I think that The Plaza certainly complies. Mr. Behar, I don't think anybody would object to the fact that it complies. And the question is whether the Regions Bank would comply with the changes that we're making. MR. BEHAR: Or the other project, the Villa Valencia one. MR. TRIAS: Or Villa Valencia. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I MR. TRIAS: I think that's the discussion, the narrow discussion we're having. MR. WITHERS: No. I mean, you know, my point is that, you know, I hear what Venny is saying, the standards is really what we should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be saved. It's wanted. MR. TRIAS: Some people, yes. MR. TORRE: But I'm just saying, in the fabric of this City, there's a brutalist building that's lauded for being there. So we have different things that happen across the board that are good and they say it. MR. BEHAR: I think that diversity is always good. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. Like I said, this is a very narrow discussion. This was an issue, again, identified by the Commission. They set up a Committee, and the Committee discussed this multiple nights. At the end, we believed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better, sure, but I think that The Plaza certainly complies. Mr. Behar, I don't think anybody would object to the fact that it complies. And the question is whether the Regions Bank would comply with the changes that we're making. MR. BEHAR: Or the other project, the Villa Valencia one. MR. TRIAS: Or Villa Valencia. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I MR. TRIAS: I think that's the discussion, the narrow discussion we're having. MR. WITHERS: No. I mean, you know, my point is that, you know, I hear what Venny is saying, the standards is really what we should at least in my mind focus on a little bit more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be saved. It's wanted. MR. TRIAS: Some people, yes. MR. TORRE: But I'm just saying, in the fabric of this City, there's a brutalist building that's lauded for being there. So we have different things that happen across the board that are good and they say it. MR. BEHAR: I think that diversity is always good. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. Like I said, this is a very narrow discussion. This was an issue, again, identified by the Commission. They set up a Committee, and the Committee discussed this multiple nights. At the end, we believed that some small changes, very small, from our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better, sure, but I think that The Plaza certainly complies. Mr. Behar, I don't think anybody would object to the fact that it complies. And the question is whether the Regions Bank would comply with the changes that we're making. MR. BEHAR: Or the other project, the Villa Valencia one. MR. TRIAS: Or Villa Valencia. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I MR. TRIAS: I think that's the discussion, the narrow discussion we're having. MR. WITHERS: No. I mean, you know, my point is that, you know, I hear what Venny is saying, the standards is really what we should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be saved. It's wanted. MR. TRIAS: Some people, yes. MR. TORRE: But I'm just saying, in the fabric of this City, there's a brutalist building that's lauded for being there. So we have different things that happen across the board that are good and they say it. MR. BEHAR: I think that diversity is always good. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. Like I said, this is a very narrow discussion. This was an issue, again, identified by the Commission. They set up a Committee, and the Committee discussed this multiple nights. At the end, we believed that some small changes, very small, from our point of view, were better than some bigger
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better, sure, but I think that The Plaza certainly complies. Mr. Behar, I don't think anybody would object to the fact that it complies. And the question is whether the Regions Bank would comply with the changes that we're making. MR. BEHAR: Or the other project, the Villa Valencia one. MR. TRIAS: Or Villa Valencia. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I MR. TRIAS: I think that's the discussion, the narrow discussion we're having. MR. WITHERS: No. I mean, you know, my point is that, you know, I hear what Venny is saying, the standards is really what we should at least in my mind focus on a little bit more than the actual style. I mean, I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be saved. It's wanted. MR. TRIAS: Some people, yes. MR. TORRE: But I'm just saying, in the fabric of this City, there's a brutalist building that's lauded for being there. So we have different things that happen across the board that are good and they say it. MR. BEHAR: I think that diversity is always good. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. Like I said, this is a very narrow discussion. This was an issue, again, identified by the Commission. They set up a Committee, and the Committee discussed this multiple nights. At the end, we believed that some small changes, very small, from our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better, sure, but I think that The Plaza certainly complies. Mr. Behar, I don't think anybody would object to the fact that it complies. And the question is whether the Regions Bank would comply with the changes that we're making. MR. BEHAR: Or the other project, the Villa Valencia one. MR. TRIAS: Or Villa Valencia. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I MR. TRIAS: I think that's the discussion, the narrow discussion we're having. MR. WITHERS: No. I mean, you know, my point is that, you know, I hear what Venny is saying, the standards is really what we should at least in my mind focus on a little bit more than the actual style. I mean, I don't know. MR. TRIAS: Could be.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be saved. It's wanted. MR. TRIAS: Some people, yes. MR. TORRE: But I'm just saying, in the fabric of this City, there's a brutalist building that's lauded for being there. So we have different things that happen across the board that are good and they say it. MR. BEHAR: I think that diversity is always good. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. Like I said, this is a very narrow discussion. This was an issue, again, identified by the Commission. They set up a Committee, and the Committee discussed this multiple nights. At the end, we believed that some small changes, very small, from our point of view, were better than some bigger re-writes. So that's what was before you. If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TRIAS: You could do it or not do it. MR. WITHERS: Right. MR. TRIAS: Now, the City, at different points, has decided to do that, and it has worked fairly effectively. We can do better, sure, but I think that The Plaza certainly complies. Mr. Behar, I don't think anybody would object to the fact that it complies. And the question is whether the Regions Bank would comply with the changes that we're making. MR. BEHAR: Or the other project, the Villa Valencia one. MR. TRIAS: Or Villa Valencia. MR. BEHAR: I mean, I MR. TRIAS: I think that's the discussion, the narrow discussion we're having. MR. WITHERS: No. I mean, you know, my point is that, you know, I hear what Venny is saying, the standards is really what we should at least in my mind focus on a little bit more than the actual style. I mean, I don't know. MR. TRIAS: Could be. MR. TORRE: To prove the point a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	police and fire station building, which a brutalist building, which people were happy that it was saved, and that's a building from the '70s that completely goes against any Mediterranean style, and it's lauded to be saved. It's wanted. MR. TRIAS: Some people, yes. MR. TORRE: But I'm just saying, in the fabric of this City, there's a brutalist building that's lauded for being there. So we have different things that happen across the board that are good and they say it. MR. BEHAR: I think that diversity is always good. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. Like I said, this is a very narrow discussion. This was an issue, again, identified by the Commission. They set up a Committee, and the Committee discussed this multiple nights. At the end, we believed that some small changes, very small, from our point of view, were better than some bigger re-writes. So that's what was before you. If you agree with it, fine. If you don't, then

other styles, isn't that a big change? MR. TRIAS: Yes. For Level I, certainly, I MS. MIRO: I wanted to say that I also lear think that will be a change, yes. MR. BEHAR: It's a big change. MR. BEHAR: It's a big change. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. MR. TRIAS: Yeah. No, it is a change, and, changes, I do believe that's the case. MS. MIRO: I wanted to say that I also lear towards the high quality, rather than marrying ourselves to one particular style. I think that limits us too much. And like my colleagues were saying, it's a big change.	
2 MR. TRIAS: Yes. For Level I, certainly, I 2 MS. MIRO: I wanted to say that I also lear 3 think that will be a change, yes. 3 towards the high quality, rather than marrying 4 MR. BEHAR: It's a big change. 4 ourselves to one particular style. I think 5 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. 5 that limits us too much. And like my	
think that will be a change, yes. MR. BEHAR: It's a big change. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. Towards the high quality, rather than marrying ourselves to one particular style. I think that limits us too much. And like my	ı
4 MR. BEHAR: It's a big change. 4 ourselves to one particular style. I think 5 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. 5 that limits us too much. And like my	
5 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. 5 that limits us too much. And like my	
I V IVIN. LINION. LUGIL INV. II NA CHAUPE, AUC. I D COHEAGUES Were Saving it's a big change	
7 again, the prevailing view was, the 7 MR. TRIAS: Yeah, and I think what I wou	ıld
8 Mediterranean style language needs to be 8 say is that, from practical issue of reviewing	iid
9 targeted towards Mediterranean. That's where 9 projects, it's easier if it's more targeted	
the discussion took place, and, of course, 10 towards Mediterranean than if you open it up.	
TO DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY	
12 MD WITHEROUND N. N. L. J.	. 0
14 de la la Milla de la Caracteria de la	mments?
15 There stended and are immediated and There	
16 might not be Mediterroneen	to
17 MD TDIAS: And we have the LEED	
19 requirement for buildings and so on	
10 MD WITHERS. Vools	
20 MP TPIAS: Absolutely, All of this is	ıl
21 policy Again it a choice that we make and	
poincy. Again, it's a choice that we make, and 21 MR. TRIAS: The optional conceptual revi	ew,
22 okay. 23 certainly, but I think that the Med Bonus 23 MB REHAR: Yeah but I don't think it is a	
24 program has served the City fairly well, and 24 program has served the City fairly well, and 24 and idea to get rid of all of the other.	
24 good idea to get rid of all of the other 25 that we could make it better through some 26 CHAIDMAN AZZENSTAT: But if the lot	
25 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But if that's t	he
Page 87	age 88
case, then shouldn't it come back written a 1 THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	
2 different way? 2 MR. BEHAR: Yes.	
3 MR. COLLER: Well, Mr. Chairman, actually, 3 THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?	
4 the way it's written, you could bifurcate it, 4 MS. MIRO: Yes.	
5 because the way the Ordinance is written, 5 THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	
6 there's one section relating to the conceptual 6 MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	
7 submission. 7 THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	
8 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. 8 MR. TORRE: Yes.	
9 MR. COLLER: And the balance of the 9 THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	
10 Ordinance is related to the Mediterranean style 10 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.	
for Level I. So I think you could make a 11 We can move on now to E-4. Mr. Co	ller.
recommendation, if that's the desire of the 12 MR. COLLER: Item E-4, an Ordinar	
Board, that you recommend approval of the 13 City Commission of Coral Gables, Florid	
14 conceptual, but recommend denial of the 14 providing for a text amendment to the C	
15 limitation on the Mediterranean style. 15 Coral Gables Official Zoning Code amer	,
16 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that your motion, 16 Article 14, "Process", Section 14-200,	
17 Robert? 17 "Procedures", Section 14-202, "General	
18 MR. BEHAR: Yes, that's my motion. 18 Development Review Procedures", Sect	
19 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a second? 19 14-202.9, "Certificate of Use", to provide	
20 MR. TORRE: I'll second it. 20 City Manager or designee with authority	
21 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Any 21 deny, suspend, or revoke a certificate of	
22 discussion? No? 22 under specific circumstances; providing	
23 Call the roll, please. 23 severability, repealer, codification, and a	
24 THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? 24 effective date.	
25 MR. WITHERS: Yes. 25 Item E-4, public hearing.	

	Page 89		Page 90
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias.	1	the Code already makes provision for?
2	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, this is to allow	2	MR. TRIAS: Well
3	for a process to deny, suspend or revoke a	3	MR. BEHAR: When I read, revoke, you know,
4	Certificate of Use by the City Manager. The	4	that seems to me that you know, I just don't
5	process is spelled out in great detail, but	5	want to have a capricious, you know, from the
6	it's basically something that ostensibly will	6	City Manager or designee to say, we're going to
7	be used rarely, if needed.	7	revoke something
8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you go through it	8	MR. TRIAS: Well, there are five reasons.
9	a little bit and just give us some examples of	9	I mean, it's not capricious. I mean, if you
10	why you'd want to use this, even if it's rare?	10	look at it, it's the applicant provided false
11	MR. TRIAS: Well, if the applicant provides	11	or misleading information. Okay, that's One.
12	false information. If they said they're going	12	There's a failure to comply with the terms and
13	to do something and then something else shows	13	conditions of the Certificate of Use, Number
14	up.	14	Two, okay. Number Three, there's a violation
15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And that's not already	15	of the regulations of the Code. Number Four,
16	written in the Code?	16	the premises is allowed to be utilized for
17	MR. TRIAS: It doesn't not in the	17	illegal activity. I mean, it's not open-ended.
18	Certificate of Use process. I mean, this	18	MR. BEHAR: But don't we have already set
19	allows for a very efficient way to deal with	19	rules that the City could go back could go
20	issues that otherwise you would have Code	20	out and revoke a Certificate of Use? I would
21	Enforcement and it would be a little bit more	21	find it I'm sure that we have the mechanism
22		22	today.
	complicated. That's basically or if there's	23	MR. TRIAS: As you can imagine, this is not
23	some criminal activity going on, those kinds of	24	something that came from the Planning Staff. I
24 25	things.	25	think the City Attorney initiated this and
25	MR. BEHAR: But isn't that something that		
	Page 91		Page 92
1	maybe he can explain this further.	1	know, if they're in violation, they got to be
2	MR. COLLER: Well, I think this provides an	2	revoked, suspend, whatever. I agree with that
3	expedited process, and I believe the concern is	3	a hundred percent. I just are we putting a
4	that the process that exists for Zoning Code	4	burden on those users that you know, how do
5	Enforcement didn't allow where there are	5	we prove that they're not in compliance?
6	exigent circumstances, where action needed to	6	MR. WITHERS: I mean, and I think the
7	be taken immediately, and I believe there's	7	bigger question is, are we more concerned about
8	actually a process for an expedited appeal	8	people coming into compliance or are we more
9	and	9	concerned with beating them over the head with
10	MR. TRIAS: Yes.	10	something? I mean, isn't the whole Code
11	MR. COLLER: and it actually gives the	11	Enforcement appeal process, ten days to
		1 1 0	
12	applicant two choices. They can go with an	12	correct I mean, what happens to that process
12 13	applicant two choices. They can go with an expedited appeal, so it can be reviewed	13	correct I mean, what happens to that process now? Is that no longer honored?
13	expedited appeal, so it can be reviewed	13	now? Is that no longer honored?
13 14	expedited appeal, so it can be reviewed immediately, whether the action that's taken is	13 14	now? Is that no longer honored? The thing that bothers me the most, the
13 14 15	expedited appeal, so it can be reviewed immediately, whether the action that's taken is appropriate, or it can go through the normal	13 14 15	now? Is that no longer honored? The thing that bothers me the most, the most important thing to me is the thing about,
13 14 15 16	expedited appeal, so it can be reviewed immediately, whether the action that's taken is appropriate, or it can go through the normal procedure for appeal. So there's a recognition	13 14 15 16	now? Is that no longer honored? The thing that bothers me the most, the most important thing to me is the thing about, "Or activity not permitted under the Zoning
13 14 15 16 17	expedited appeal, so it can be reviewed immediately, whether the action that's taken is appropriate, or it can go through the normal procedure for appeal. So there's a recognition that this is done when there's a situation that	13 14 15 16 17	now? Is that no longer honored? The thing that bothers me the most, the most important thing to me is the thing about, "Or activity not permitted under the Zoning Code of Coral Gables," not criminal activity,
13 14 15 16 17 18	expedited appeal, so it can be reviewed immediately, whether the action that's taken is appropriate, or it can go through the normal procedure for appeal. So there's a recognition that this is done when there's a situation that requires a certain immediate action and there's	13 14 15 16 17 18	now? Is that no longer honored? The thing that bothers me the most, the most important thing to me is the thing about, "Or activity not permitted under the Zoning Code of Coral Gables," not criminal activity, but under the Zoning Code of Coral Gables.
13 14 15 16 17 18	expedited appeal, so it can be reviewed immediately, whether the action that's taken is appropriate, or it can go through the normal procedure for appeal. So there's a recognition that this is done when there's a situation that requires a certain immediate action and there's also a need to understandably protect the	13 14 15 16 17 18	now? Is that no longer honored? The thing that bothers me the most, the most important thing to me is the thing about, "Or activity not permitted under the Zoning Code of Coral Gables," not criminal activity, but under the Zoning Code of Coral Gables. So, you know, I remember there used to
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	expedited appeal, so it can be reviewed immediately, whether the action that's taken is appropriate, or it can go through the normal procedure for appeal. So there's a recognition that this is done when there's a situation that requires a certain immediate action and there's also a need to understandably protect the property owner, by giving them an expedited	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	now? Is that no longer honored? The thing that bothers me the most, the most important thing to me is the thing about, "Or activity not permitted under the Zoning Code of Coral Gables," not criminal activity, but under the Zoning Code of Coral Gables. So, you know, I remember there used to be a tuxedo shop where your favorite building
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	expedited appeal, so it can be reviewed immediately, whether the action that's taken is appropriate, or it can go through the normal procedure for appeal. So there's a recognition that this is done when there's a situation that requires a certain immediate action and there's also a need to understandably protect the property owner, by giving them an expedited ability to have it reviewed.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	now? Is that no longer honored? The thing that bothers me the most, the most important thing to me is the thing about, "Or activity not permitted under the Zoning Code of Coral Gables," not criminal activity, but under the Zoning Code of Coral Gables. So, you know, I remember — there used to be a tuxedo shop where your favorite building is there on the Circle there, and every
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	expedited appeal, so it can be reviewed immediately, whether the action that's taken is appropriate, or it can go through the normal procedure for appeal. So there's a recognition that this is done when there's a situation that requires a certain immediate action and there's also a need to understandably protect the property owner, by giving them an expedited ability to have it reviewed. MR. BEHAR: Mr. Attorney, if the user is in	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	now? Is that no longer honored? The thing that bothers me the most, the most important thing to me is the thing about, "Or activity not permitted under the Zoning Code of Coral Gables," not criminal activity, but under the Zoning Code of Coral Gables. So, you know, I remember — there used to be a tuxedo shop where your favorite building is there on the Circle there, and every graduation, they would put a big banner up that
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	expedited appeal, so it can be reviewed immediately, whether the action that's taken is appropriate, or it can go through the normal procedure for appeal. So there's a recognition that this is done when there's a situation that requires a certain immediate action and there's also a need to understandably protect the property owner, by giving them an expedited ability to have it reviewed. MR. BEHAR: Mr. Attorney, if the user is in compliance, and, you know, there's an	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	now? Is that no longer honored? The thing that bothers me the most, the most important thing to me is the thing about, "Or activity not permitted under the Zoning Code of Coral Gables," not criminal activity, but under the Zoning Code of Coral Gables. So, you know, I remember there used to be a tuxedo shop where your favorite building is there on the Circle there, and every graduation, they would put a big banner up that said, "Rent your tuxedos here for prom." Well,

	Page 93		Page 94
1	process, the ten days, it was taken down and	1	this expedited process for review or they want
2	everything.	2	to go through the traditional process.
3	So I guess my concern is, if we have a	3	MR. WITHERS: So it's a notice of, we're
4	process for Code Enforcement, and it's going in	4	going to withdraw your certificate, it's not an
5	front of the Code Enforcement Board and	5	immediate withdrawal of your Certificate of
6	explaining what your issue is, is that now no	6	Use?
7	longer is that taken away from the citizen?	7	MR. COLLER: No, I don't think that that
8	MR. TRIAS: Well, it's an additional	8	what this says is that
9	process that may result in that.	9	MR. BEHAR: And that's my fear.
10	MR. WITHERS: But, I mean, is that taken	10	MS. MIRO: Exactly.
11	away? Does the citizen no longer have the	11	MR. WITHERS: That's my whole point.
12	right to appeal?	12	MR. COLLER: Oh, no, and I want to make it
13	MR. TRIAS: No, the citizen has a right to	13	very clear. I want to make it very clear.
14	an appeal. I mean, we have two types of	14	What it says is, under Section C, "The City
15	appeals, as the City Attorney explained.	15	Manager or designee may deny, suspend or revoke
16	MR. COLLER: So you have two avenues. It	16	a Certificate of Use for good cause, including
17	may be advantageous for a property user, who is	17	but not limited to one of the following
18	found to be not in compliance, to do this	18	reasons," and there are five reasons that
19	expedited appeal process, so it gets heard	19	qualify, that
20	essentially immediately.	20	MR. REVUELTA: I think the key word is
21	The appeal process there's another	21	"suspend."
22	appeal process, which is significantly more	22	MR. COLLER: Mr. Trias mentioned.
23	time, which is also in the hands of the	23	Further, there's two options upon the denial,
24	property owner. So the property owner really	24	under these circumstances, as I said, either
25	has a choice of whether they want to utilize	25	the traditional
23	has a choice of whealer they want to diffe		the triuditional
	Page 95		
	rage 75		Page 96
1	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you	1	Page 96 You know, it's a policy issue of whether
1 2		1 2	
	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you		You know, it's a policy issue of whether
2	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you	2	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority
2	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I	2 3	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how
2 3 4	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here	2 3 4	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that.
2 3 4 5	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're	2 3 4 5	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the
2 3 4 5 6	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're in violation and you have to prove that you're	2 3 4 5 6	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the example, along Ponce de Leon there used to be
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're in violation and you have to prove that you're not or are you you know	2 3 4 5 6 7	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the example, along Ponce de Leon there used to be these massage places. Obviously, those were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're in violation and you have to prove that you're not or are you you know MR. COLLER: Well, in the case if the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the example, along Ponce de Leon there used to be these massage places. Obviously, those were not they were illegal, you know. Those have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're in violation and you have to prove that you're not or are you you know MR. COLLER: Well, in the case if the City Manager determines to revoke let's say, in a particular case the City Manager determines to revoke your Certificate of Use,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the example, along Ponce de Leon there used to be these massage places. Obviously, those were not — they were illegal, you know. Those have to go. I agree. And they should be a case,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're in violation and you have to prove that you're not or are you you know MR. COLLER: Well, in the case if the City Manager determines to revoke let's say, in a particular case the City Manager	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the example, along Ponce de Leon there used to be these massage places. Obviously, those were not they were illegal, you know. Those have to go. I agree. And they should be a case, but I think that today that we have the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're in violation and you have to prove that you're not or are you you know MR. COLLER: Well, in the case if the City Manager determines to revoke let's say, in a particular case the City Manager determines to revoke your Certificate of Use,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the example, along Ponce de Leon there used to be these massage places. Obviously, those were not — they were illegal, you know. Those have to go. I agree. And they should be a case, but I think that today that we have the necessary tool to do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're in violation and you have to prove that you're not or are you you know MR. COLLER: Well, in the case if the City Manager determines to revoke let's say, in a particular case the City Manager determines to revoke your Certificate of Use, because you provided false or misleading information. Let's take that as an example. MR. WITHERS: That's a no brainer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the example, along Ponce de Leon there used to be these massage places. Obviously, those were not — they were illegal, you know. Those have to go. I agree. And they should be a case, but I think that today that we have the necessary tool to do that. MR. TORRE: That's what I wanted to ask.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're in violation and you have to prove that you're not or are you you know MR. COLLER: Well, in the case if the City Manager determines to revoke let's say, in a particular case the City Manager determines to revoke your Certificate of Use, because you provided false or misleading information. Let's take that as an example.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the example, along Ponce de Leon there used to be these massage places. Obviously, those were not — they were illegal, you know. Those have to go. I agree. And they should be a case, but I think that today that we have the necessary tool to do that. MR. TORRE: That's what I wanted to ask. So if you're selling CBD or vaping or alcohol
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're in violation and you have to prove that you're not or are you you know MR. COLLER: Well, in the case if the City Manager determines to revoke let's say, in a particular case the City Manager determines to revoke your Certificate of Use, because you provided false or misleading information. Let's take that as an example. MR. WITHERS: That's a no brainer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the example, along Ponce de Leon there used to be these massage places. Obviously, those were not they were illegal, you know. Those have to go. I agree. And they should be a case, but I think that today that we have the necessary tool to do that. MR. TORRE: That's what I wanted to ask. So if you're selling CBD or vaping or alcohol near a school, what are the ramifications
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're in violation and you have to prove that you're not or are you you know MR. COLLER: Well, in the case if the City Manager determines to revoke let's say, in a particular case the City Manager determines to revoke your Certificate of Use, because you provided false or misleading information. Let's take that as an example. MR. WITHERS: That's a no brainer. MR. COLLER: No, but all of these have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the example, along Ponce de Leon there used to be these massage places. Obviously, those were not — they were illegal, you know. Those have to go. I agree. And they should be a case, but I think that today that we have the necessary tool to do that. MR. TORRE: That's what I wanted to ask. So if you're selling CBD or vaping or alcohol near a school, what are the ramifications currently if this doesn't apply? Code Compliance comes and says — MR. TRIAS: Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're in violation and you have to prove that you're not or are you you know MR. COLLER: Well, in the case if the City Manager determines to revoke let's say, in a particular case the City Manager determines to revoke your Certificate of Use, because you provided false or misleading information. Let's take that as an example. MR. WITHERS: That's a no brainer. MR. COLLER: No, but all of these have specific things. There's a failure to comply	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the example, along Ponce de Leon there used to be these massage places. Obviously, those were not — they were illegal, you know. Those have to go. I agree. And they should be a case, but I think that today that we have the necessary tool to do that. MR. TORRE: That's what I wanted to ask. So if you're selling CBD or vaping or alcohol near a school, what are the ramifications currently if this doesn't apply? Code Compliance comes and says —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're in violation and you have to prove that you're not or are you you know MR. COLLER: Well, in the case if the City Manager determines to revoke let's say, in a particular case the City Manager determines to revoke your Certificate of Use, because you provided false or misleading information. Let's take that as an example. MR. WITHERS: That's a no brainer. MR. COLLER: No, but all of these have specific things. There's a failure to comply with the conditions of the Certificate of Use.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the example, along Ponce de Leon there used to be these massage places. Obviously, those were not they were illegal, you know. Those have to go. I agree. And they should be a case, but I think that today that we have the necessary tool to do that. MR. TORRE: That's what I wanted to ask. So if you're selling CBD or vaping or alcohol near a school, what are the ramifications currently if this doesn't apply? Code Compliance comes and says MR. TRIAS: Yeah. MR. TORRE: what, and gives you a fine? What can they do?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're in violation and you have to prove that you're not or are you you know MR. COLLER: Well, in the case if the City Manager determines to revoke let's say, in a particular case the City Manager determines to revoke your Certificate of Use, because you provided false or misleading information. Let's take that as an example. MR. WITHERS: That's a no brainer. MR. COLLER: No, but all of these have specific things. There's a failure to comply with the conditions of the Certificate of Use. If you're appealing it, then, as an appellant,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the example, along Ponce de Leon there used to be these massage places. Obviously, those were not they were illegal, you know. Those have to go. I agree. And they should be a case, but I think that today that we have the necessary tool to do that. MR. TORRE: That's what I wanted to ask. So if you're selling CBD or vaping or alcohol near a school, what are the ramifications currently if this doesn't apply? Code Compliance comes and says MR. TRIAS: Yeah. MR. TORRE: what, and gives you a fine?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're in violation and you have to prove that you're not or are you you know MR. COLLER: Well, in the case if the City Manager determines to revoke let's say, in a particular case the City Manager determines to revoke your Certificate of Use, because you provided false or misleading information. Let's take that as an example. MR. WITHERS: That's a no brainer. MR. COLLER: No, but all of these have specific things. There's a failure to comply with the conditions of the Certificate of Use. If you're appealing it, then, as an appellant, it's your burden to say why the City Manager	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the example, along Ponce de Leon there used to be these massage places. Obviously, those were not they were illegal, you know. Those have to go. I agree. And they should be a case, but I think that today that we have the necessary tool to do that. MR. TORRE: That's what I wanted to ask. So if you're selling CBD or vaping or alcohol near a school, what are the ramifications currently if this doesn't apply? Code Compliance comes and says MR. TRIAS: Yeah. MR. TORRE: what, and gives you a fine? What can they do?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're in violation and you have to prove that you're not or are you you know MR. COLLER: Well, in the case if the City Manager determines to revoke let's say, in a particular case the City Manager determines to revoke your Certificate of Use, because you provided false or misleading information. Let's take that as an example. MR. WITHERS: That's a no brainer. MR. COLLER: No, but all of these have specific things. There's a failure to comply with the conditions of the Certificate of Use. If you're appealing it, then, as an appellant, it's your burden to say why the City Manager was wrong in his determination. As an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the example, along Ponce de Leon there used to be these massage places. Obviously, those were not — they were illegal, you know. Those have to go. I agree. And they should be a case, but I think that today that we have the necessary tool to do that. MR. TORRE: That's what I wanted to ask. So if you're selling CBD or vaping or alcohol near a school, what are the ramifications currently if this doesn't apply? Code Compliance comes and says — MR. TRIAS: Yeah. MR. TORRE: — what, and gives you a fine? What can they do? MR. TRIAS: Not a fine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're in violation and you have to prove that you're not or are you you know MR. COLLER: Well, in the case if the City Manager determines to revoke let's say, in a particular case the City Manager determines to revoke your Certificate of Use, because you provided false or misleading information. Let's take that as an example. MR. WITHERS: That's a no brainer. MR. COLLER: No, but all of these have specific things. There's a failure to comply with the conditions of the Certificate of Use. If you're appealing it, then, as an appellant, it's your burden to say why the City Manager was wrong in his determination. As an appellant, it becomes your burden. This is not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the example, along Ponce de Leon there used to be these massage places. Obviously, those were not — they were illegal, you know. Those have to go. I agree. And they should be a case, but I think that today that we have the necessary tool to do that. MR. TORRE: That's what I wanted to ask. So if you're selling CBD or vaping or alcohol near a school, what are the ramifications currently if this doesn't apply? Code Compliance comes and says — MR. TRIAS: Yeah. MR. TORRE: — what, and gives you a fine? What can they do? MR. TRIAS: Not a fine. MR. TORRE: What will they do?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're in violation and you have to prove that you're not or are you you know MR. COLLER: Well, in the case if the City Manager determines to revoke let's say, in a particular case the City Manager determines to revoke your Certificate of Use, because you provided false or misleading information. Let's take that as an example. MR. WITHERS: That's a no brainer. MR. COLLER: No, but all of these have specific things. There's a failure to comply with the conditions of the Certificate of Use. If you're appealing it, then, as an appellant, it's your burden to say why the City Manager was wrong in his determination. As an appellant, it becomes your burden. This is not a criminal case, where there are certain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the example, along Ponce de Leon there used to be these massage places. Obviously, those were not — they were illegal, you know. Those have to go. I agree. And they should be a case, but I think that today that we have the necessary tool to do that. MR. TORRE: That's what I wanted to ask. So if you're selling CBD or vaping or alcohol near a school, what are the ramifications currently if this doesn't apply? Code Compliance comes and says — MR. TRIAS: Yeah. MR. TORRE: — what, and gives you a fine? What can they do? MR. TRIAS: Not a fine. MR. TORRE: What will they do? MR. TRIAS: It depends on the violation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WITHERS: But are you guilty and you have to prove yourself innocent or are you innocent and have to prove yourself guilty? I mean, what's the cart before the horse here are you assumed that you're innocent and you're in violation and you have to prove that you're not or are you you know MR. COLLER: Well, in the case if the City Manager determines to revoke let's say, in a particular case the City Manager determines to revoke your Certificate of Use, because you provided false or misleading information. Let's take that as an example. MR. WITHERS: That's a no brainer. MR. COLLER: No, but all of these have specific things. There's a failure to comply with the conditions of the Certificate of Use. If you're appealing it, then, as an appellant, it's your burden to say why the City Manager was wrong in his determination. As an appellant, it becomes your burden. This is not a criminal case, where there are certain presumptions of innocence that are attached to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	You know, it's a policy issue of whether the City Manager should be given this authority and it's really up to the Board to decide how you feel about that. MR. BEHAR: Look, and I'm going to use the example, along Ponce de Leon there used to be these massage places. Obviously, those were not they were illegal, you know. Those have to go. I agree. And they should be a case, but I think that today that we have the necessary tool to do that. MR. TORRE: That's what I wanted to ask. So if you're selling CBD or vaping or alcohol near a school, what are the ramifications currently if this doesn't apply? Code Compliance comes and says MR. TRIAS: Yeah. MR. TORRE: what, and gives you a fine? What can they do? MR. TRIAS: Not a fine. MR. TORRE: What will they do? MR. TRIAS: It depends on the violation. MR. TORRE: Let's says it's a strong

	Page 97		Page 98
1	Enforcement, and speaking to the Board, and	1	give obviously, if it's criminal activity or
2	then the Board decides	2	something like that, but you know, Number
3	MR. BEHAR: So this would immediately give	3	Two, there is a failure to comply with the
4	the Manager or designee the right to revoke	4	terms or conditions of the Certificate of Use,
5	that Certificate of Use?	5	I think that's something that's very vague and
6	MR. TRIAS: Yes. That's what it says.	6	that's something that can be, you know,
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Before we continue,	7	interpreted differently by the City. The City
8	just, Jill, do we have any speakers on this?	8	can say, "Well, the terms" I mean, I've even
9	THE SECRETARY: No, we don't.	9	heard of applicants for a Certificate of Use
10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. I'm going to go	10	having responses from our cities and they've
11	ahead and close the public comment. Go ahead,	11	been citing Wikipedia as a reason to deny a
12	please.	12	Certificate of Use, which, for me, is just I
13	MS. MIRO: I just wanted to say that, just	13	can't believe that would even happen.
14	by reading it, at face value, I'm not really in	14	So if it takes a long time to get a
15	favor of this item, just because I know that it	15	Certificate of Use, well, then, maybe it should
16	is such a difficult and drawn out process to	16	take a long time to lose it, as well, and let
17	get a Certificate of Use in the first place.	17	it go through the process. I just don't want
18	And, then, all of a sudden, we're going to give	18	to be putting an undue burden, I agree you
19	the authority to revoke it, and I think that	19	know, I understand if theres I think there's
20	places an undue burden on the person who holds	20	a process already in place, and I understand
21	the Certificate of Use, because, yeah, they may	21	that in some cases it takes longer, but then
		22	that's what just has to happen, so that we
22	get a speedy appeal, but maybe they're not	23	don't unnecessarily burden these Certificate of
23	ready to appeal that. Isn't there another	24	Use holders and make sure that they're being
24 25	process already in place, that allows them to	25	that everybody is going through the process on
4 5	remedy whatever the offense is, if any of these		
	Page 99		Page 100
1	both sides. If it takes long, it takes long.	1	the City Manager can expedite a process to deal
2	MR. BEHAR: And Claudia, I agree with you,	2	with properties that fit within these
3	to an extent, but if you do have an	3	categories. If the Board feels that the
4	establishment that is doing illegal things, how	4	categories need to be narrower, then that is,
5	do we secure that that could be revoked	5	of course, an option to you, or you could
6	immediately, not go through a process?	6	choose to recommend denial.
7	MS. MIRO: Well, aren't there other legal	7	MS. MIRO: I'm looking at it, I'm sorry,
8	remedies, like the police, for instance? If	8	from the perspective of the greatest good,
9	you're selling drugs out of you know, out an	9	where can we do the greatest good. Now, you
10	establishment, there has to be another remedy,	10	said yourself, when we started this item, that
	another avenue, to attack it. It doesn't have	11	this is going to be a rare occasion. So I'm
11	to be instructed from the one multime their Contificate	12	looking to protect the Certificate of Use
11	to be just, you know, pulling their Certificate		
	of Use.	13	holder, that maybe there was some kind of
12	of Use. MR. BEHAR: But I mean, and I'm trying	13 14	
12 13	of Use. MR. BEHAR: But I mean, and I'm trying to because I agree with you in many aspects,	14 15	holder, that maybe there was some kind of misinformation or misunderstanding or something that could easily be corrected. I don't think
12 13 14	of Use. MR. BEHAR: But I mean, and I'm trying to because I agree with you in many aspects, I'm trying to look at this as, okay, if	14 15 16	holder, that maybe there was some kind of misinformation or misunderstanding or something that could easily be corrected. I don't think that their Certificate of Use should be pulled.
12 13 14 15	of Use. MR. BEHAR: But I mean, and I'm trying to because I agree with you in many aspects,	14 15	holder, that maybe there was some kind of misinformation or misunderstanding or something that could easily be corrected. I don't think
12 13 14 15 16 17	of Use. MR. BEHAR: But I mean, and I'm trying to because I agree with you in many aspects, I'm trying to look at this as, okay, if somebody is conducting an illegal business, that by the time the police goes, by the time,	14 15 16 17 18	holder, that maybe there was some kind of misinformation or misunderstanding or something that could easily be corrected. I don't think that their Certificate of Use should be pulled. And so if this is going to be something that's going to happen every once in a blue
12 13 14 15 16 17	of Use. MR. BEHAR: But I mean, and I'm trying to because I agree with you in many aspects, I'm trying to look at this as, okay, if somebody is conducting an illegal business, that by the time the police goes, by the time, you know, we get Code Enforcement, you're going	14 15 16 17 18	holder, that maybe there was some kind of misinformation or misunderstanding or something that could easily be corrected. I don't think that their Certificate of Use should be pulled. And so if this is going to be something that's going to happen every once in a blue moon, and, you know, it's going to be an issue
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of Use. MR. BEHAR: But I mean, and I'm trying to because I agree with you in many aspects, I'm trying to look at this as, okay, if somebody is conducting an illegal business, that by the time the police goes, by the time, you know, we get Code Enforcement, you're going to be selling or doing something illegal, that	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	holder, that maybe there was some kind of misinformation or misunderstanding or something that could easily be corrected. I don't think that their Certificate of Use should be pulled. And so if this is going to be something that's going to happen every once in a blue moon, and, you know, it's going to be an issue every once in a while, then I'm not — you
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of Use. MR. BEHAR: But I mean, and I'm trying to because I agree with you in many aspects, I'm trying to look at this as, okay, if somebody is conducting an illegal business, that by the time the police goes, by the time, you know, we get Code Enforcement, you're going to be selling or doing something illegal, that how do we is there again, Mr. Attorney,	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	holder, that maybe there was some kind of misinformation or misunderstanding or something that could easily be corrected. I don't think that their Certificate of Use should be pulled. And so if this is going to be something that's going to happen every once in a blue moon, and, you know, it's going to be an issue every once in a while, then I'm not you know, I'm not leaning towards being in favor of
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of Use. MR. BEHAR: But I mean, and I'm trying to because I agree with you in many aspects, I'm trying to look at this as, okay, if somebody is conducting an illegal business, that by the time the police goes, by the time, you know, we get Code Enforcement, you're going to be selling or doing something illegal, that how do we is there again, Mr. Attorney, do we have the mechanism today to prevent that	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	holder, that maybe there was some kind of misinformation or misunderstanding or something that could easily be corrected. I don't think that their Certificate of Use should be pulled. And so if this is going to be something that's going to happen every once in a blue moon, and, you know, it's going to be an issue every once in a while, then I'm not — you know, I'm not leaning towards being in favor of this item.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of Use. MR. BEHAR: But I mean, and I'm trying to because I agree with you in many aspects, I'm trying to look at this as, okay, if somebody is conducting an illegal business, that by the time the police goes, by the time, you know, we get Code Enforcement, you're going to be selling or doing something illegal, that how do we is there again, Mr. Attorney, do we have the mechanism today to prevent that or no, and that's just the reason for this?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	holder, that maybe there was some kind of misinformation or misunderstanding or something that could easily be corrected. I don't think that their Certificate of Use should be pulled. And so if this is going to be something that's going to happen every once in a blue moon, and, you know, it's going to be an issue every once in a while, then I'm not — you know, I'm not leaning towards being in favor of this item. MR. TORRE: Two things, you mentioned
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of Use. MR. BEHAR: But I mean, and I'm trying to because I agree with you in many aspects, I'm trying to look at this as, okay, if somebody is conducting an illegal business, that by the time the police goes, by the time, you know, we get Code Enforcement, you're going to be selling or doing something illegal, that how do we is there again, Mr. Attorney, do we have the mechanism today to prevent that or no, and that's just the reason for this? MR. COLLER: I believe that the purpose of	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	holder, that maybe there was some kind of misinformation or misunderstanding or something that could easily be corrected. I don't think that their Certificate of Use should be pulled. And so if this is going to be something that's going to happen every once in a blue moon, and, you know, it's going to be an issue every once in a while, then I'm not you know, I'm not leaning towards being in favor of this item. MR. TORRE: Two things, you mentioned something limiting this. It begins by saying,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of Use. MR. BEHAR: But I mean, and I'm trying to because I agree with you in many aspects, I'm trying to look at this as, okay, if somebody is conducting an illegal business, that by the time the police goes, by the time, you know, we get Code Enforcement, you're going to be selling or doing something illegal, that how do we is there again, Mr. Attorney, do we have the mechanism today to prevent that or no, and that's just the reason for this?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	holder, that maybe there was some kind of misinformation or misunderstanding or something that could easily be corrected. I don't think that their Certificate of Use should be pulled. And so if this is going to be something that's going to happen every once in a blue moon, and, you know, it's going to be an issue every once in a while, then I'm not — you know, I'm not leaning towards being in favor of this item. MR. TORRE: Two things, you mentioned

	Page 101		Page 102
1	so that completely opens it up.	1	case basis.
2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I have that question,	2	MR. WITHERS: By one person, the City
3	also.	3	Manager?
4	MR. TORRE: So that's out the window, but	4	MR. COLLER: The City Manager makes the
5	can there be a grace period, so that,	5	well, he's been given the authority here to
6	basically, any discussions had within thirty	6	determine whether it's misleading information.
7	days must be I don't know, something to give	7	If that information was relied upon by the
8	that buffer, so that you're not automatically	8	Commission in the approval and it's material,
9	on the street the next day, you have some	9	then, yes, he would have that authority to do
10	I'm just wondering how	10	that, and, then, there's, of course, the
11	MS. MIRO: And I think that when you're in	11	authority to appeal and the appeal would be,
12	business, 30 days is not a very long time.	12	well, wait, I didn't what I did was not
13	MR. WITHERS: So when does the clock start	13	misleading. So that's the purpose for the
14	on misinformation? Is it during the review	14	expedited appeal.
15	with Planning and Zoning? Does it start with	15	But, again, this is a policy decision of
16	the Commission voting on it? When does the	16	this Board. If this Board feels uncomfortable
17	misinformation because I'll give you an	17	with this, that's why you all are here.
18	example. If someone says, we're not going to	18	MR. WITHERS: So let's say it was
19	do this with this building, we're not going to	19	determined to be misleading. Now you have a
20	have student housing in here, and it turns out	20	condominium or an apartment with 300 people in
21	that it is student housing and it was presented	21	it and they no longer have a Certificate of
22	as not being student housing, is that a	22	Use. What happens? I'm not just talking about
23	violation? Is that misleading information?	23	a restaurant that can't flip burgers tomorrow,
24	MR. COLLER: You know, it's something	24	but you have a large building that houses 300
25	that's going to have to be judged on a case by	25	people. What's the remedy for that?
23	that's going to have to be judged on a case by	23	people. What's the remedy for that:
	Page 103		Page 104
1	MR. COLLER: Well, the remedy is for the		
	WIK. COLLER. Well, the felledy is for the	1	for food and liquor. That's one of the big
2	condominium if it's a Certificate of Use,	1 2	for food and liquor. That's one of the big things for our City, that you can't sell
2	condominium if it's a Certificate of Use,		
	condominium – if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the	2	things for our City, that you can't sell
3	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager.	2 3	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot.
3 4	condominium if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed	2 3 4	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City
3 4 5	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to	2 3 4 5	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I
3 4 5 6	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to that right now is not. So you're automatically	2 3 4 5 6	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I guarantee you that much.
3 4 5 6 7	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to that right now is not. So you're automatically on the street until you go back through the	2 3 4 5 6 7	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I guarantee you that much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah.
3 4 5 6 7 8	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to that right now is not. So you're automatically on the street until you go back through the process, and I'm just —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I guarantee you that much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah. MR. TRIAS: So if you feel that this is
3 4 5 6 7 8	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to that right now is not. So you're automatically on the street until you go back through the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I guarantee you that much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah. MR. TRIAS: So if you feel that this is wrong, then vote against it
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to that right now is not. So you're automatically on the street until you go back through the process, and I'm just — MR. COLLER: Yeah, there's no provision for a stay. The Board could, of course, recommend	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I guarantee you that much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah. MR. TRIAS: So if you feel that this is wrong, then vote against it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or would we want to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to that right now is not. So you're automatically on the street until you go back through the process, and I'm just — MR. COLLER: Yeah, there's no provision for a stay. The Board could, of course, recommend that there should be a stay pending the appeal.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I guarantee you that much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah. MR. TRIAS: So if you feel that this is wrong, then vote against it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or would we want to ask them to come back with a more defined
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to that right now is not. So you're automatically on the street until you go back through the process, and I'm just — MR. COLLER: Yeah, there's no provision for a stay. The Board could, of course, recommend that there should be a stay pending the appeal. MS. MIRO: Again, I just wanted to say that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I guarantee you that much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah. MR. TRIAS: So if you feel that this is wrong, then vote against it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or would we want to ask them to come back with a more defined MS. MIRO: I think we already have a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to that right now is not. So you're automatically on the street until you go back through the process, and I'm just — MR. COLLER: Yeah, there's no provision for a stay. The Board could, of course, recommend that there should be a stay pending the appeal. MS. MIRO: Again, I just wanted to say that I'm not here defending the criminal, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I guarantee you that much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah. MR. TRIAS: So if you feel that this is wrong, then vote against it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or would we want to ask them to come back with a more defined MS. MIRO: I think we already have a process in place, and, you know and, again, since they said it was something that it was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to that right now is not. So you're automatically on the street until you go back through the process, and I'm just — MR. COLLER: Yeah, there's no provision for a stay. The Board could, of course, recommend that there should be a stay pending the appeal. MS. MIRO: Again, I just wanted to say that I'm not here defending the criminal, I'm defending the businesses and the buildings, as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I guarantee you that much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah. MR. TRIAS: So if you feel that this is wrong, then vote against it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or would we want to ask them to come back with a more defined MS. MIRO: I think we already have a process in place, and, you know and, again,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to that right now is not. So you're automatically on the street until you go back through the process, and I'm just — MR. COLLER: Yeah, there's no provision for a stay. The Board could, of course, recommend that there should be a stay pending the appeal. MS. MIRO: Again, I just wanted to say that I'm not here defending the criminal, I'm defending the businesses and the buildings, as Chip pointed out, you know. I just don't think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I guarantee you that much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah. MR. TRIAS: So if you feel that this is wrong, then vote against it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or would we want to ask them to come back with a more defined MS. MIRO: I think we already have a process in place, and, you know and, again, since they said it was something that it was every once in a while, I don't see why we need
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to that right now is not. So you're automatically on the street until you go back through the process, and I'm just — MR. COLLER: Yeah, there's no provision for a stay. The Board could, of course, recommend that there should be a stay pending the appeal. MS. MIRO: Again, I just wanted to say that I'm not here defending the criminal, I'm defending the businesses and the buildings, as Chip pointed out, you know. I just don't think that the City Manager should — again, you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I guarantee you that much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah. MR. TRIAS: So if you feel that this is wrong, then vote against it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or would we want to ask them to come back with a more defined MS. MIRO: I think we already have a process in place, and, you know and, again, since they said it was something that it was every once in a while, I don't see why we need to make a change for something that's every once in awhile, why they even brought to us.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to that right now is not. So you're automatically on the street until you go back through the process, and I'm just — MR. COLLER: Yeah, there's no provision for a stay. The Board could, of course, recommend that there should be a stay pending the appeal. MS. MIRO: Again, I just wanted to say that I'm not here defending the criminal, I'm defending the businesses and the buildings, as Chip pointed out, you know. I just don't think that the City Manager should — again, you're saying the intent is for this to be few and far	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I guarantee you that much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah. MR. TRIAS: So if you feel that this is wrong, then vote against it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or would we want to ask them to come back with a more defined MS. MIRO: I think we already have a process in place, and, you know and, again, since they said it was something that it was every once in a while, I don't see why we need to make a change for something that's every once in awhile, why they even brought to us. If it's something that's happening all of the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to that right now is not. So you're automatically on the street until you go back through the process, and I'm just — MR. COLLER: Yeah, there's no provision for a stay. The Board could, of course, recommend that there should be a stay pending the appeal. MS. MIRO: Again, I just wanted to say that I'm not here defending the criminal, I'm defending the businesses and the buildings, as Chip pointed out, you know. I just don't think that the City Manager should — again, you're saying the intent is for this to be few and far between, but he could very well say, "Well,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I guarantee you that much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah. MR. TRIAS: So if you feel that this is wrong, then vote against it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or would we want to ask them to come back with a more defined MS. MIRO: I think we already have a process in place, and, you know and, again, since they said it was something that it was every once in a while, I don't see why we need to make a change for something that's every once in awhile, why they even brought to us. If it's something that's happening all of the time, and it's an issue, and it's a problem,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to that right now is not. So you're automatically on the street until you go back through the process, and I'm just — MR. COLLER: Yeah, there's no provision for a stay. The Board could, of course, recommend that there should be a stay pending the appeal. MS. MIRO: Again, I just wanted to say that I'm not here defending the criminal, I'm defending the businesses and the buildings, as Chip pointed out, you know. I just don't think that the City Manager should — again, you're saying the intent is for this to be few and far between, but he could very well say, "Well, let's pull certificates here, here, here, here,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I guarantee you that much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah. MR. TRIAS: So if you feel that this is wrong, then vote against it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or would we want to ask them to come back with a more defined MS. MIRO: I think we already have a process in place, and, you know and, again, since they said it was something that it was every once in a while, I don't see why we need to make a change for something that's every once in awhile, why they even brought to us. If it's something that's happening all of the time, and it's an issue, and it's a problem, then I can see why this would be an item in our
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to that right now is not. So you're automatically on the street until you go back through the process, and I'm just — MR. COLLER: Yeah, there's no provision for a stay. The Board could, of course, recommend that there should be a stay pending the appeal. MS. MIRO: Again, I just wanted to say that I'm not here defending the criminal, I'm defending the businesses and the buildings, as Chip pointed out, you know. I just don't think that the City Manager should — again, you're saying the intent is for this to be few and far between, but he could very well say, "Well, let's pull certificates here, here, here, and here," and what happens then? Well, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I guarantee you that much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah. MR. TRIAS: So if you feel that this is wrong, then vote against it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or would we want to ask them to come back with a more defined MS. MIRO: I think we already have a process in place, and, you know and, again, since they said it was something that it was every once in a while, I don't see why we need to make a change for something that's every once in awhile, why they even brought to us. If it's something that's happening all of the time, and it's an issue, and it's a problem, then I can see why this would be an item in our agenda, but if it's something that's so few and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to that right now is not. So you're automatically on the street until you go back through the process, and I'm just — MR. COLLER: Yeah, there's no provision for a stay. The Board could, of course, recommend that there should be a stay pending the appeal. MS. MIRO: Again, I just wanted to say that I'm not here defending the criminal, I'm defending the businesses and the buildings, as Chip pointed out, you know. I just don't think that the City Manager should — again, you're saying the intent is for this to be few and far between, but he could very well say, "Well, let's pull certificates here, here, here, and here," and what happens then? Well, it's legal, and I don't know, I'm just not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I guarantee you that much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah. MR. TRIAS: So if you feel that this is wrong, then vote against it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or would we want to ask them to come back with a more defined MS. MIRO: I think we already have a process in place, and, you know and, again, since they said it was something that it was every once in a while, I don't see why we need to make a change for something that's every once in awhile, why they even brought to us. If it's something that's happening all of the time, and it's an issue, and it's a problem, then I can see why this would be an item in our agenda, but if it's something that's so few and far between, and there's already a process in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to that right now is not. So you're automatically on the street until you go back through the process, and I'm just — MR. COLLER: Yeah, there's no provision for a stay. The Board could, of course, recommend that there should be a stay pending the appeal. MS. MIRO: Again, I just wanted to say that I'm not here defending the criminal, I'm defending the businesses and the buildings, as Chip pointed out, you know. I just don't think that the City Manager should — again, you're saying the intent is for this to be few and far between, but he could very well say, "Well, let's pull certificates here, here, here, and here," and what happens then? Well, it's legal, and I don't know, I'm just not comfortable with this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I guarantee you that much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah. MR. TRIAS: So if you feel that this is wrong, then vote against it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or would we want to ask them to come back with a more defined MS. MIRO: I think we already have a process in place, and, you know and, again, since they said it was something that it was every once in a while, I don't see why we need to make a change for something that's every once in awhile, why they even brought to us. If it's something that's happening all of the time, and it's an issue, and it's a problem, then I can see why this would be an item in our agenda, but if it's something that's so few and far between, and there's already a process in place, and it opens the door to pulling
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	condominium — if it's a Certificate of Use, the remedy is to appeal the decision of the City Manager. MR. TORRE: But can the action be stayed while that is happening? Because the answer to that right now is not. So you're automatically on the street until you go back through the process, and I'm just — MR. COLLER: Yeah, there's no provision for a stay. The Board could, of course, recommend that there should be a stay pending the appeal. MS. MIRO: Again, I just wanted to say that I'm not here defending the criminal, I'm defending the businesses and the buildings, as Chip pointed out, you know. I just don't think that the City Manager should — again, you're saying the intent is for this to be few and far between, but he could very well say, "Well, let's pull certificates here, here, here, and here," and what happens then? Well, it's legal, and I don't know, I'm just not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	things for our City, that you can't sell liquor that's always been a gray spot. MR. WITHERS: Every valet guy in this City has violated their Certificate of Use, I guarantee you that much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah. MR. TRIAS: So if you feel that this is wrong, then vote against it CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or would we want to ask them to come back with a more defined MS. MIRO: I think we already have a process in place, and, you know and, again, since they said it was something that it was every once in a while, I don't see why we need to make a change for something that's every once in awhile, why they even brought to us. If it's something that's happening all of the time, and it's an issue, and it's a problem, then I can see why this would be an item in our agenda, but if it's something that's so few and far between, and there's already a process in

	Page 105		Page 106
1	that.	1	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, and I think that's the
2	MR. TORRE: What is the current	2	tool. And I don't think there's any intention
3	ramification under the Code for folks that are	3	of being arbitrary about this. I think there's
4	breaking the rules? What is the process?	4	a real need to, in some extreme cases, to do
5	MR. TRIAS: Well, I mean, I am familiar	5	something.
6	with a couple of cases in which there is no	6	MR. BEHAR: Yeah, but let me tell you, I'm
7	Certificate of Use and the business is	7	not it depends who is making those
8	operating. So, I mean, we're talking about	8	determinations. If we go back, I don't know,
9	dealing with things that are black and white.	9	for lack of a number, seven, ten years ago, and
10	I mean, I don't think that the subtleties and	10	the City Manager that we had at the time
11	so on really apply to this, or at least that's	11	capriciously said, "You know what, I'm going to
12	the way I understand the intent. There's a	12	revoke that," we're putting a burden on that
13	process to it	13	user to prove himself, you know, if he's not in
14	MR. TORRE: But here's my question, so Code	14	violation, okay, and that's my problem with
15	Compliance gets involved, and I don't know if	15	this.
16	this is true or false, their process takes	16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But I also think
17	three months of back and forth, with the \$100 a	17	what I'm hearing is that it's actually the City
18	day, and nothing happens for three months.	18	Attorney that's coming with this, that needs a
19	You've given nothing to the City Attorney I	19	better tool; is that not correct?
20	mean, to the City Manager to take action on,	20	MR. COLLER: I don't know, actually.
21	matters just drag out. If that's the case,	21	Maybe Ramon, do you know where is this
22	then you need to have a tool, and I'm not sure	22	coming from the City Attorney, the City
23	that's true or false. But what are the	23	Manager? It's probably a combination of the
24	problems that just drag on and drag on? If	24	two.
25	they do, then they have to have something.	25	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, this was drafted by the
	Page 107		Page 108
1	City Attorney's Office.	1	with your tables and chairs and be violating.
2	MR. COLLER: It was drafted by the City	2	I just don't think there's any standard on the
3	Attorney's Office. Actually, I was involved	3	violation, and it gives someone the right to
4	somewhat in the draft. You know, I don't	4	just
5	know	5	MS. MIRO: The power. It gives them the
6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The origin.	6	power, and that's what I'm uncomfortable with,
7	MR. COLLER: - if it was generated by the	7	giving it to one person.
0	City Attorney or the City Manager, but the City	8	
8		"	MR. WITHERS: And, listen, I'm a law and
9	Attorney is very comfortable with the legality	9	MR. WITHERS: And, listen, I'm a law and order guy. I'm a purely law and order guy, but
	Attorney is very comfortable with the legality of the Ordinance.		
9		9	order guy. I'm a purely law and order guy, but
9 10	of the Ordinance.	9 10	order guy. I'm a purely law and order guy, but I think individuals have to
9 10 11	of the Ordinance. MR. BEHAR: And if we could give the City	9 10 11	order guy. I'm a purely law and order guy, but I think individuals have to MR. TRIAS: If you're not comfortable with
9 10 11 12	of the Ordinance. MR. BEHAR: And if we could give the City Attorney or, you know, whoever, more tools,	9 10 11 12	order guy. I'm a purely law and order guy, but I think individuals have to MR. TRIAS: If you're not comfortable with it, then vote against it. I mean
9 10 11 12 13	of the Ordinance. MR. BEHAR: And if we could give the City Attorney or, you know, whoever, more tools, there's a true violation, I'm all for it, you	9 10 11 12 13	order guy. I'm a purely law and order guy, but I think individuals have to MR. TRIAS: If you're not comfortable with it, then vote against it. I mean MR. TORRE: Can we defer and let the City
9 10 11 12 13	of the Ordinance. MR. BEHAR: And if we could give the City Attorney or, you know, whoever, more tools, there's a true violation, I'm all for it, you know. And I'm going to use the example of that	9 10 11 12 13 14	order guy. I'm a purely law and order guy, but I think individuals have to MR. TRIAS: If you're not comfortable with it, then vote against it. I mean MR. TORRE: Can we defer and let the City Attorney tell us where they're having
9 10 11 12 13 14	of the Ordinance. MR. BEHAR: And if we could give the City Attorney or, you know, whoever, more tools, there's a true violation, I'm all for it, you know. And I'm going to use the example of that massage parlor	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	order guy. I'm a purely law and order guy, but I think individuals have to MR. TRIAS: If you're not comfortable with it, then vote against it. I mean MR. TORRE: Can we defer and let the City Attorney tell us where they're having difficulty, why this is a needed I mean, I
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of the Ordinance. MR. BEHAR: And if we could give the City Attorney or, you know, whoever, more tools, there's a true violation, I'm all for it, you know. And I'm going to use the example of that massage parlor MR. WITHERS: What's the level of the sin?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	order guy. I'm a purely law and order guy, but I think individuals have to MR. TRIAS: If you're not comfortable with it, then vote against it. I mean MR. TORRE: Can we defer and let the City Attorney tell us where they're having difficulty, why this is a needed I mean, I don't want to take something that they
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the Ordinance. MR. BEHAR: And if we could give the City Attorney or, you know, whoever, more tools, there's a true violation, I'm all for it, you know. And I'm going to use the example of that massage parlor MR. WITHERS: What's the level of the sin? What's the level of the violation? I mean,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	order guy. I'm a purely law and order guy, but I think individuals have to MR. TRIAS: If you're not comfortable with it, then vote against it. I mean MR. TORRE: Can we defer and let the City Attorney tell us where they're having difficulty, why this is a needed I mean, I don't want to take something that they really
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the Ordinance. MR. BEHAR: And if we could give the City Attorney or, you know, whoever, more tools, there's a true violation, I'm all for it, you know. And I'm going to use the example of that massage parlor MR. WITHERS: What's the level of the sin? What's the level of the violation? I mean, there's certainly a difference between a parking ticket and running a red light. So where is the level of where is that point,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	order guy. I'm a purely law and order guy, but I think individuals have to MR. TRIAS: If you're not comfortable with it, then vote against it. I mean MR. TORRE: Can we defer and let the City Attorney tell us where they're having difficulty, why this is a needed I mean, I don't want to take something that they really MR. COLLER: I think you would be better
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of the Ordinance. MR. BEHAR: And if we could give the City Attorney or, you know, whoever, more tools, there's a true violation, I'm all for it, you know. And I'm going to use the example of that massage parlor MR. WITHERS: What's the level of the sin? What's the level of the violation? I mean, there's certainly a difference between a parking ticket and running a red light. So	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	order guy. I'm a purely law and order guy, but I think individuals have to MR. TRIAS: If you're not comfortable with it, then vote against it. I mean MR. TORRE: Can we defer and let the City Attorney tell us where they're having difficulty, why this is a needed I mean, I don't want to take something that they really MR. COLLER: I think you would be better off making a recommendation and indicating that
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of the Ordinance. MR. BEHAR: And if we could give the City Attorney or, you know, whoever, more tools, there's a true violation, I'm all for it, you know. And I'm going to use the example of that massage parlor MR. WITHERS: What's the level of the sin? What's the level of the violation? I mean, there's certainly a difference between a parking ticket and running a red light. So where is the level of where is that point,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	order guy. I'm a purely law and order guy, but I think individuals have to MR. TRIAS: If you're not comfortable with it, then vote against it. I mean MR. TORRE: Can we defer and let the City Attorney tell us where they're having difficulty, why this is a needed I mean, I don't want to take something that they really MR. COLLER: I think you would be better off making a recommendation and indicating that as part of your comments.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of the Ordinance. MR. BEHAR: And if we could give the City Attorney or, you know, whoever, more tools, there's a true violation, I'm all for it, you know. And I'm going to use the example of that massage parlor MR. WITHERS: What's the level of the sin? What's the level of the violation? I mean, there's certainly a difference between a parking ticket and running a red light. So where is the level of where is that point, where you violated, now I'm going to revoke	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	order guy. I'm a purely law and order guy, but I think individuals have to MR. TRIAS: If you're not comfortable with it, then vote against it. I mean MR. TORRE: Can we defer and let the City Attorney tell us where they're having difficulty, why this is a needed I mean, I don't want to take something that they really MR. COLLER: I think you would be better off making a recommendation and indicating that as part of your comments. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: In other words, making
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of the Ordinance. MR. BEHAR: And if we could give the City Attorney or, you know, whoever, more tools, there's a true violation, I'm all for it, you know. And I'm going to use the example of that massage parlor MR. WITHERS: What's the level of the sin? What's the level of the violation? I mean, there's certainly a difference between a parking ticket and running a red light. So where is the level of where is that point, where you violated, now I'm going to revoke MS. MIRO: When does it become egregious?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	order guy. I'm a purely law and order guy, but I think individuals have to MR. TRIAS: If you're not comfortable with it, then vote against it. I mean MR. TORRE: Can we defer and let the City Attorney tell us where they're having difficulty, why this is a needed I mean, I don't want to take something that they really MR. COLLER: I think you would be better off making a recommendation and indicating that as part of your comments. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: In other words, making a recommendation of denial, with that as part
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of the Ordinance. MR. BEHAR: And if we could give the City Attorney or, you know, whoever, more tools, there's a true violation, I'm all for it, you know. And I'm going to use the example of that massage parlor MR. WITHERS: What's the level of the sin? What's the level of the violation? I mean, there's certainly a difference between a parking ticket and running a red light. So where is the level of where is that point, where you violated, now I'm going to revoke MS. MIRO: When does it become egregious? MR. WITHERS: There's no standard as to	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	order guy. I'm a purely law and order guy, but I think individuals have to MR. TRIAS: If you're not comfortable with it, then vote against it. I mean MR. TORRE: Can we defer and let the City Attorney tell us where they're having difficulty, why this is a needed I mean, I don't want to take something that they really MR. COLLER: I think you would be better off making a recommendation and indicating that as part of your comments. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: In other words, making a recommendation of denial, with that as part of the comments?

	Page 109		Page 110
1	you know, whatever it is that you	1	suffice.
2	expressed you expressed, as far as why this	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion.
3	is needed and why the alternate process is not	3	MR. TORRE: I want to make an amendment. I
4	available or you're concerned because it	4	defer to the City Attorney. I think they know
5	doesn't indicate the level of how serious it is	5	what they're talking about. If there's a need,
6	or you can just say nothing and just do a	6	I'd like to hear from that particular person
7	straight denial.	7	before we deny. So I would say to deny, but
8	MS. MIRO: I'm in favor of that version.	8	tell us why you need it and we would
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So would you like to	9	re-consider.
10	make a motion?	10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But wouldn't that be a
11	MS. MIRO: Sure. I'll make a motion to	11	deferral as opposed to
12	deny.	12	MR. TORRE: Or maybe it could be a
13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With any	13	deferral.
14	recommendations?	14	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, you have a
15	MS. MIRO: No, no recommendations, just	15	motion.
16	deny. I mean, our discussion is on the record	16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion and
17	as to why.	17	we Venny wants to make an amendment. Do you
18	MR. REVUELTA: But I think that we need to	18	accept his amendment or not?
19	make a recommendation.	19	MS. MIRO: No.
20	MR. TRIAS: You don't need to make a	20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we don't
21	recommendation, in the sense that the minutes	21	(Simultaneous speaking.)
22	are included. So if you want to do that,	22	MR. REVUELTA: Mr. Chair, can I say
23	that's fine. If you want to make a	23	something?
24	recommendation, that's fine, too.	24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
25	MS. MIRO: I think the minutes will	25	MR. REVUELTA: To his point, there's a full
			1 /
	Page 111		Page 112
1	spectrum why can't a recommendation be that,	1	well, specifically for this, this, this and
1 2	spectrum why can't a recommendation be that, Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting	1 2	well, specifically for this, this, this and this, because if we're not going to be able to
	-		-
2	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if	2	this, because if we're not going to be able to
2	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office,	2 3	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket,
2 3 4	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if	2 3 4	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with
2 3 4 5	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a	2 3 4 5	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like
2 3 4 5 6	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a list of the illegal uses, so we can give the	2 3 4 5 6	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like for the City Attorney's Office to be specific.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a list of the illegal uses, so we can give the right to the City to shut something down, if	2 3 4 5 6 7	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like for the City Attorney's Office to be specific. I mean, there are things that come to my mind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a list of the illegal uses, so we can give the right to the City to shut something down, if these are illegal uses, but there is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like for the City Attorney's Office to be specific. I mean, there are things that come to my mind right now that should be totally illegal. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a list of the illegal uses, so we can give the right to the City to shut something down, if these are illegal uses, but there is a consensus that there's illegal uses and then,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like for the City Attorney's Office to be specific. I mean, there are things that come to my mind right now that should be totally illegal. I don't think we need any help on that. You make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a list of the illegal uses, so we can give the right to the City to shut something down, if these are illegal uses, but there is a consensus that there's illegal uses and then, you know, at that point, maybe the City Manager	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like for the City Attorney's Office to be specific. I mean, there are things that come to my mind right now that should be totally illegal. I don't think we need any help on that. You make a list of things that are absolutely illegal,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a list of the illegal uses, so we can give the right to the City to shut something down, if these are illegal uses, but there is a consensus that there's illegal uses and then, you know, at that point, maybe the City Manager has the right to implement force, based on this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like for the City Attorney's Office to be specific. I mean, there are things that come to my mind right now that should be totally illegal. I don't think we need any help on that. You make a list of things that are absolutely illegal, and then, at that point, the City Manager can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a list of the illegal uses, so we can give the right to the City to shut something down, if these are illegal uses, but there is a consensus that there's illegal uses and then, you know, at that point, maybe the City Manager has the right to implement force, based on this illegal uses? Anything else has to go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like for the City Attorney's Office to be specific. I mean, there are things that come to my mind right now that should be totally illegal. I don't think we need any help on that. You make a list of things that are absolutely illegal, and then, at that point, the City Manager can say, you know, this is absolutely illegal, shut
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a list of the illegal uses, so we can give the right to the City to shut something down, if these are illegal uses, but there is a consensus that there's illegal uses and then, you know, at that point, maybe the City Manager has the right to implement force, based on this illegal uses? Anything else has to go through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like for the City Attorney's Office to be specific. I mean, there are things that come to my mind right now that should be totally illegal. I don't think we need any help on that. You make a list of things that are absolutely illegal, and then, at that point, the City Manager can say, you know, this is absolutely illegal, shut it down. Everything else has to go through a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a list of the illegal uses, so we can give the right to the City to shut something down, if these are illegal uses, but there is a consensus that there's illegal uses and then, you know, at that point, maybe the City Manager has the right to implement force, based on this illegal uses? Anything else has to go through MS. MIRO: But you're saying specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like for the City Attorney's Office to be specific. I mean, there are things that come to my mind right now that should be totally illegal. I don't think we need any help on that. You make a list of things that are absolutely illegal, and then, at that point, the City Manager can say, you know, this is absolutely illegal, shut it down. Everything else has to go through a normal process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a list of the illegal uses, so we can give the right to the City to shut something down, if these are illegal uses, but there is a consensus that there's illegal uses and then, you know, at that point, maybe the City Manager has the right to implement force, based on this illegal uses? Anything else has to go through MS. MIRO: But you're saying specific illegal uses, like you want to define them,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like for the City Attorney's Office to be specific. I mean, there are things that come to my mind right now that should be totally illegal. I don't think we need any help on that. You make a list of things that are absolutely illegal, and then, at that point, the City Manager can say, you know, this is absolutely illegal, shut it down. Everything else has to go through a normal process. MR. COLLER: I just wanted to read to you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a list of the illegal uses, so we can give the right to the City to shut something down, if these are illegal uses, but there is a consensus that there's illegal uses and then, you know, at that point, maybe the City Manager has the right to implement force, based on this illegal uses? Anything else has to go through MS. MIRO: But you're saying specific illegal uses, like you want to define them, because like I said, Number Two, failure to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like for the City Attorney's Office to be specific. I mean, there are things that come to my mind right now that should be totally illegal. I don't think we need any help on that. You make a list of things that are absolutely illegal, and then, at that point, the City Manager can say, you know, this is absolutely illegal, shut it down. Everything else has to go through a normal process. MR. COLLER: I just wanted to read to you one of the items. I don't know if this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a list of the illegal uses, so we can give the right to the City to shut something down, if these are illegal uses, but there is a consensus that there's illegal uses and then, you know, at that point, maybe the City Manager has the right to implement force, based on this illegal uses? Anything else has to go through MS. MIRO: But you're saying specific illegal uses, like you want to define them, because like I said, Number Two, failure to comply with the terms or conditions, those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like for the City Attorney's Office to be specific. I mean, there are things that come to my mind right now that should be totally illegal. I don't think we need any help on that. You make a list of things that are absolutely illegal, and then, at that point, the City Manager can say, you know, this is absolutely illegal, shut it down. Everything else has to go through a normal process. MR. COLLER: I just wanted to read to you one of the items. I don't know if this satisfies the Board, 'cause maybe your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a list of the illegal uses, so we can give the right to the City to shut something down, if these are illegal uses, but there is a consensus that there's illegal uses and then, you know, at that point, maybe the City Manager has the right to implement force, based on this illegal uses? Anything else has to go through MS. MIRO: But you're saying specific illegal uses, like you want to define them, because like I said, Number Two, failure to comply with the terms or conditions, those terms have to be looked at, those terms can be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like for the City Attorney's Office to be specific. I mean, there are things that come to my mind right now that should be totally illegal. I don't think we need any help on that. You make a list of things that are absolutely illegal, and then, at that point, the City Manager can say, you know, this is absolutely illegal, shut it down. Everything else has to go through a normal process. MR. COLLER: I just wanted to read to you one of the items. I don't know if this satisfies the Board, 'cause maybe your recommendation is limited to Item Number 4, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a list of the illegal uses, so we can give the right to the City to shut something down, if these are illegal uses, but there is a consensus that there's illegal uses and then, you know, at that point, maybe the City Manager has the right to implement force, based on this illegal uses? Anything else has to go through MS. MIRO: But you're saying specific illegal uses, like you want to define them, because like I said, Number Two, failure to comply with the terms or conditions, those terms have to be looked at, those terms can be argued over, those conditions can be argued	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like for the City Attorney's Office to be specific. I mean, there are things that come to my mind right now that should be totally illegal. I don't think we need any help on that. You make a list of things that are absolutely illegal, and then, at that point, the City Manager can say, you know, this is absolutely illegal, shut it down. Everything else has to go through a normal process. MR. COLLER: I just wanted to read to you one of the items. I don't know if this satisfies the Board, 'cause maybe your recommendation is limited to Item Number 4, but Item Number 4 says, "The premises allowed to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a list of the illegal uses, so we can give the right to the City to shut something down, if these are illegal uses, but there is a consensus that there's illegal uses and then, you know, at that point, maybe the City Manager has the right to implement force, based on this illegal uses? Anything else has to go through MS. MIRO: But you're saying specific illegal uses, like you want to define them, because like I said, Number Two, failure to comply with the terms or conditions, those terms have to be looked at, those terms can be argued over, those conditions can be argued over.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like for the City Attorney's Office to be specific. I mean, there are things that come to my mind right now that should be totally illegal. I don't think we need any help on that. You make a list of things that are absolutely illegal, and then, at that point, the City Manager can say, you know, this is absolutely illegal, shut it down. Everything else has to go through a normal process. MR. COLLER: I just wanted to read to you one of the items. I don't know if this satisfies the Board, 'cause maybe your recommendation is limited to Item Number 4, but Item Number 4 says, "The premises allowed to be utilized for illegal, criminal activity or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a list of the illegal uses, so we can give the right to the City to shut something down, if these are illegal uses, but there is a consensus that there's illegal uses and then, you know, at that point, maybe the City Manager has the right to implement force, based on this illegal uses? Anything else has to go through MS. MIRO: But you're saying specific illegal uses, like you want to define them, because like I said, Number Two, failure to comply with the terms or conditions, those terms have to be looked at, those terms can be argued over, those conditions can be argued over. MR. REVUELTA: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like for the City Attorney's Office to be specific. I mean, there are things that come to my mind right now that should be totally illegal. I don't think we need any help on that. You make a list of things that are absolutely illegal, and then, at that point, the City Manager can say, you know, this is absolutely illegal, shut it down. Everything else has to go through a normal process. MR. COLLER: I just wanted to read to you one of the items. I don't know if this satisfies the Board, 'cause maybe your recommendation is limited to Item Number 4, but Item Number 4 says, "The premises allowed to be utilized for illegal, criminal activity or activity not permitted under the Zoning Code or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a list of the illegal uses, so we can give the right to the City to shut something down, if these are illegal uses, but there is a consensus that there's illegal uses and then, you know, at that point, maybe the City Manager has the right to implement force, based on this illegal uses? Anything else has to go through MS. MIRO: But you're saying specific illegal uses, like you want to define them, because like I said, Number Two, failure to comply with the terms or conditions, those terms have to be looked at, those terms can be argued over, those conditions can be argued over. MR. REVUELTA: Correct. MS. MIRO: That's what I'm saying you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like for the City Attorney's Office to be specific. I mean, there are things that come to my mind right now that should be totally illegal. I don't think we need any help on that. You make a list of things that are absolutely illegal, and then, at that point, the City Manager can say, you know, this is absolutely illegal, shut it down. Everything else has to go through a normal process. MR. COLLER: I just wanted to read to you one of the items. I don't know if this satisfies the Board, 'cause maybe your recommendation is limited to Item Number 4, but Item Number 4 says, "The premises allowed to be utilized for illegal, criminal activity or activity not permitted under the Zoning Code or City Code," I don't know if that's sufficient
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Number One, we feel uncomfortable with putting so much power in the City Manager's Office, forgetting who the City Manager is, but that if there is illegal uses going on, then the City should be able to say, forget it, shut it down. So why can't our recommendation be, provide a list of the illegal uses, so we can give the right to the City to shut something down, if these are illegal uses, but there is a consensus that there's illegal uses and then, you know, at that point, maybe the City Manager has the right to implement force, based on this illegal uses? Anything else has to go through MS. MIRO: But you're saying specific illegal uses, like you want to define them, because like I said, Number Two, failure to comply with the terms or conditions, those terms have to be looked at, those terms can be argued over, those conditions can be argued over. MR. REVUELTA: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this, because if we're not going to be able to tell, if it's going to be such a broad blanket, and then, what they're going to come back with are also more generalized terms, then we're not doing anything. MR. REVUELTA: No, I thought you would like for the City Attorney's Office to be specific. I mean, there are things that come to my mind right now that should be totally illegal. I don't think we need any help on that. You make a list of things that are absolutely illegal, and then, at that point, the City Manager can say, you know, this is absolutely illegal, shut it down. Everything else has to go through a normal process. MR. COLLER: I just wanted to read to you one of the items. I don't know if this satisfies the Board, 'cause maybe your recommendation is limited to Item Number 4, but Item Number 4 says, "The premises allowed to be utilized for illegal, criminal activity or activity not permitted under the Zoning Code or

	Page 113		Page 114
1	MR. TORRE: Here's where I'm lost, okay.	1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion.
2	There's a Noise Ordinance that applies in	2	MS. MIRO: I just wanted to put on the
3	certain places of the City. I know a place,	3	record that I'm all for giving the City more
4	that sits next to another place, and the noise	4	tools to be able to do their job, but I would
5	is very objectionable to one party, totally a	5	have felt more comfortable if they had a
6	problem. The police gets called. Finally the	6	laundry list of, hey, this is an issue. But
7	Chief comes by and says, "You either be quiet	7	you're coming to me and you're telling me this
8	or I'm going to shut you down." Under what	8	is a once in a blue moon, and, then, you
9	terms can he shut them down? It's Zoning. All	9	know you should have had that information to
10	he can do is say, you're not following the	10	be ready to answer those questions as to why,
11	Zoning Code, I'm going to shut you down.	11	you know, we're doing this, so that we don't
12	What does that mean? Until I know what	12	have to ask the City Attorney why she's, you
13	that means, I can't tell you I'm going to go	13	know, asking for this.
14	beyond that and give more power or less power.	14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. Is
15	What does that mean?	15	a there a second?
16	MR. TRIAS: You may recall the noise	16	MR. WITHERS: I'll second it.
17	discussion. We had a scientist here playing	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip seconds. Any
18	noise and explaining, this is noise, et cetera.	18	further discussion?
19	You can endlessly micromanage. I think that,	19	MR. WITHERS: Oh, one last thing, I think
20	at the end of the day, you know, my only advice	20	it's a good idea what Venny said, though, of
21	to you is that we need a document that is	21	the City Attorney coming and saying what was
22	practical and can be used. We cannot list	22	the impetus to move this forward, is there an
23	it's impossible to list all of the possible	23	issue out there that we're not aware of. I
24	uses. That's just not realistic.	24	mean, I don't know how the rest of you feel.
25	MR. BEHAR: You can't.	25	MR. COLLER: A motion to defer is always in
			·
	- 115		
	Page 115		Page 116
1	order, that's the choice of the Board.	1	Page 116 Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2,
1 2		1 2	
	order, that's the choice of the Board.		Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2,
2	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a	2	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to
2	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny.	2 3	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City
2 3 4	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah.	2 3 4	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability,
2 3 4 5	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny.	2 3 4 5	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date.
2 3 4 5 6	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion? Call the roll, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, currently the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, currently the Board of Architects has at least seven members
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, currently the Board of Architects has at least seven members and they all need to be residents or have their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, currently the Board of Architects has at least seven members and they all need to be residents or have their place of business in the City of Coral Gables.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, currently the Board of Architects has at least seven members and they all need to be residents or have their place of business in the City of Coral Gables. This amendment says that no less than five of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, currently the Board of Architects has at least seven members and they all need to be residents or have their place of business in the City of Coral Gables. This amendment says that no less than five of the members will have to be residents or have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, currently the Board of Architects has at least seven members and they all need to be residents or have their place of business in the City of Coral Gables. This amendment says that no less than five of the members will have to be residents or have their principal place of business in the City,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, currently the Board of Architects has at least seven members and they all need to be residents or have their place of business in the City of Coral Gables. This amendment says that no less than five of the members will have to be residents or have their principal place of business in the City, and the idea is that that may open up the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, currently the Board of Architects has at least seven members and they all need to be residents or have their place of business in the City of Coral Gables. This amendment says that no less than five of the members will have to be residents or have their principal place of business in the City, and the idea is that that may open up the membership more widely than right now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, currently the Board of Architects has at least seven members and they all need to be residents or have their place of business in the City of Coral Gables. This amendment says that no less than five of the members will have to be residents or have their principal place of business in the City, and the idea is that that may open up the membership more widely than right now. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So two would be able
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, currently the Board of Architects has at least seven members and they all need to be residents or have their place of business in the City of Coral Gables. This amendment says that no less than five of the members will have to be residents or have their principal place of business in the City, and the idea is that that may open up the membership more widely than right now. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So two would be able to be from the outside.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, currently the Board of Architects has at least seven members and they all need to be residents or have their place of business in the City of Coral Gables. This amendment says that no less than five of the members will have to be residents or have their principal place of business in the City, and the idea is that that may open up the membership more widely than right now. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So two would be able to be from the outside. MR. TRIAS: Two or more, because we could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, currently the Board of Architects has at least seven members and they all need to be residents or have their place of business in the City of Coral Gables. This amendment says that no less than five of the members will have to be residents or have their principal place of business in the City, and the idea is that that may open up the membership more widely than right now. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So two would be able to be from the outside. MR. TRIAS: Two or more, because we could add more.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. Next is E-5. Let's get moving.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, currently the Board of Architects has at least seven members and they all need to be residents or have their place of business in the City of Coral Gables. This amendment says that no less than five of the members will have to be residents or have their principal place of business in the City, and the idea is that that may open up the membership more widely than right now. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So two would be able to be from the outside. MR. TRIAS: Two or more, because we could add more. MR. BEHAR: I think this is a very good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. Next is E-5. Let's get moving. MR. COLLER: Item E-5, an Ordinance of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, currently the Board of Architects has at least seven members and they all need to be residents or have their place of business in the City of Coral Gables. This amendment says that no less than five of the members will have to be residents or have their principal place of business in the City, and the idea is that that may open up the membership more widely than right now. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So two would be able to be from the outside. MR. TRIAS: Two or more, because we could add more. MR. BEHAR: I think this is a very good idea. I think that it gives us the ability to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. Next is E-5. Let's get moving. MR. COLLER: Item E-5, an Ordinance of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, currently the Board of Architects has at least seven members and they all need to be residents or have their place of business in the City of Coral Gables. This amendment says that no less than five of the members will have to be residents or have their principal place of business in the City, and the idea is that that may open up the membership more widely than right now. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So two would be able to be from the outside. MR. TRIAS: Two or more, because we could add more. MR. BEHAR: I think this is a very good idea. I think that it gives us the ability to bring other architects to the Board, that may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. Next is E-5. Let's get moving. MR. COLLER: Item E-5, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, currently the Board of Architects has at least seven members and they all need to be residents or have their place of business in the City of Coral Gables. This amendment says that no less than five of the members will have to be residents or have their principal place of business in the City, and the idea is that that may open up the membership more widely than right now. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So two would be able to be from the outside. MR. TRIAS: Two or more, because we could add more. MR. BEHAR: I think this is a very good idea. I think that it gives us the ability to bring other architects to the Board, that may not be residing or practicing in Coral Gables.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	order, that's the choice of the Board. MR. BEHAR: There's a motion to deny and a second. MR. COLLER: Right now there's a motion to deny. MR. WITHERS: Yeah. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion? Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. Next is E-5. Let's get moving. MR. COLLER: Item E-5, an Ordinance of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Article 14, "Process," Section 14-103.2, "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal," to allow certain percentage of the Board of Architects members to not be residents or have their principal place of business in the City of Coral Gables; providing for severability, repealer, codification, and an effective date. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, currently the Board of Architects has at least seven members and they all need to be residents or have their place of business in the City of Coral Gables. This amendment says that no less than five of the members will have to be residents or have their principal place of business in the City, and the idea is that that may open up the membership more widely than right now. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So two would be able to be from the outside. MR. TRIAS: Two or more, because we could add more. MR. BEHAR: I think this is a very good idea. I think that it gives us the ability to bring other architects to the Board, that may

-	Page 117		Page 118
-			
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Do we have any	1	the City Manager. That's the process.
2	speakers?	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood.
3	THE SECRETARY: No.	3	MR. BEHAR: If you close the public
4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No speakers? I'll	4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I already did.
5	close it for public comment.	5	MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion to approve
6	Chip.	6	it.
7	MR. WITHERS: I'm going to defer it to the	7	MR. WITHERS: Second.
8	distinguished colleagues to my right that deal	8	MS. MIRO: I didn't get a chance to say
9	with this day to day, because I have really no	9	anything.
10	opinion on this, because I don't know what the	10	MR. TORRE: So we want to remove the
11	advantages or disadvantages are. I understand	11	provision of the City Manager being allowed
12	the advantage, that bringing in outside views	12	MR. BEHAR: That's the way it is today.
13	is good. I understand that we might need more	13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by
14	physical bodies. That's good.	14	Robert. Who made the second?
15	MR. BEHAR: And, Chip, I think that's	15	Venny made the second.
16	probably one of the reasons, to be able to	16	MR. BEHAR: I'm going to accept
17	bring more, you know, opportunities, that not	17	MR. REVUELTA: I don't know. Wait. Is
18	to just limit because you have the same	18	this going to be open for discussion?
19	Board Member year after year to serve, because	19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. Claudia.
20	there's not a bigger pool.	20	MS. MIRO: Okay.
21	MR. TRIAS: And the members are appointed	21	MR. REVUELTA: Go ahead.
22	by the City Manager, by the way.	22	Venny seconded it.
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Say that again,	23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Claudia, you wanted
24	please.	24	to
25	MR. TRIAS: The members are appointed by	25	MS. MIRO: Oh, yeah, I just wanted to say
			Page 120
1	something. So I hear what you're saying about,	1	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to
1 2	something. So I hear what you're saying about, you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I	1 2	
	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I		MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to
2		2	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables.
2	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the	2 3	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe.
2 3 4	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think	2 3 4	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly
2 3 4 5	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live	2 3 4 5	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier
2 3 4 5 6	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live in Coral Gables because there's certain things	2 3 4 5 6	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier to find people. Anybody who wants to serve on
2 3 4 5 6 7	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live in Coral Gables because there's certain things about the characteristics of Coral Gables,	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier to find people. Anybody who wants to serve on the Board should be commended, because,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live in Coral Gables because there's certain things about the characteristics of Coral Gables, whether it be, you know, the architecture or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier to find people. Anybody who wants to serve on the Board should be commended, because, frankly, it's every week, it's several hours a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live in Coral Gables because there's certain things about the characteristics of Coral Gables, whether it be, you know, the architecture or the quality of life that we enjoy, and so I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier to find people. Anybody who wants to serve on the Board should be commended, because, frankly, it's every week, it's several hours a week and so on and so on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live in Coral Gables because there's certain things about the characteristics of Coral Gables, whether it be, you know, the architecture or the quality of life that we enjoy, and so I tend to lean towards the side that I would like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier to find people. Anybody who wants to serve on the Board should be commended, because, frankly, it's every week, it's several hours a week and so on and so on. MR. BEHAR: I did it for ten years and it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live in Coral Gables because there's certain things about the characteristics of Coral Gables, whether it be, you know, the architecture or the quality of life that we enjoy, and so I tend to lean towards the side that I would like people who sit on the Board of Architecture to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier to find people. Anybody who wants to serve on the Board should be commended, because, frankly, it's every week, it's several hours a week and so on and so on. MR. BEHAR: I did it for ten years and it's very difficult, you know, and takes a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live in Coral Gables because there's certain things about the characteristics of Coral Gables, whether it be, you know, the architecture or the quality of life that we enjoy, and so I tend to lean towards the side that I would like people who sit on the Board of Architecture to have that kind of affinity, but at the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier to find people. Anybody who wants to serve on the Board should be commended, because, frankly, it's every week, it's several hours a week and so on and so on. MR. BEHAR: I did it for ten years and it's very difficult, you know, and takes a lot of time, and I think this just gives the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live in Coral Gables because there's certain things about the characteristics of Coral Gables, whether it be, you know, the architecture or the quality of life that we enjoy, and so I tend to lean towards the side that I would like people who sit on the Board of Architecture to have that kind of affinity, but at the same time, I also see that limited real estate in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier to find people. Anybody who wants to serve on the Board should be commended, because, frankly, it's every week, it's several hours a week and so on and so on. MR. BEHAR: I did it for ten years and it's very difficult, you know, and takes a lot of time, and I think this just gives the opportunity to have a bigger pool.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live in Coral Gables because there's certain things about the characteristics of Coral Gables, whether it be, you know, the architecture or the quality of life that we enjoy, and so I tend to lean towards the side that I would like people who sit on the Board of Architecture to have that kind of affinity, but at the same time, I also see that limited real estate in Coral Gables can affect that, and I'm also —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier to find people. Anybody who wants to serve on the Board should be commended, because, frankly, it's every week, it's several hours a week and so on and so on. MR. BEHAR: I did it for ten years and it's very difficult, you know, and takes a lot of time, and I think this just gives the opportunity to have a bigger pool. MR. REVUELTA: Is there a maximum right now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live in Coral Gables because there's certain things about the characteristics of Coral Gables, whether it be, you know, the architecture or the quality of life that we enjoy, and so I tend to lean towards the side that I would like people who sit on the Board of Architecture to have that kind of affinity, but at the same time, I also see that limited real estate in Coral Gables can affect that, and I'm also — I'm open to some outside talent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier to find people. Anybody who wants to serve on the Board should be commended, because, frankly, it's every week, it's several hours a week and so on and so on. MR. BEHAR: I did it for ten years and it's very difficult, you know, and takes a lot of time, and I think this just gives the opportunity to have a bigger pool. MR. REVUELTA: Is there a maximum right now of outside architects that can serve?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live in Coral Gables because there's certain things about the characteristics of Coral Gables, whether it be, you know, the architecture or the quality of life that we enjoy, and so I tend to lean towards the side that I would like people who sit on the Board of Architecture to have that kind of affinity, but at the same time, I also see that limited real estate in Coral Gables can affect that, and I'm also—I'm open to some outside talent. MR. BEHAR: Claudia, and I'm going to use	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier to find people. Anybody who wants to serve on the Board should be commended, because, frankly, it's every week, it's several hours a week and so on and so on. MR. BEHAR: I did it for ten years and it's very difficult, you know, and takes a lot of time, and I think this just gives the opportunity to have a bigger pool. MR. REVUELTA: Is there a maximum right now of outside architects that can serve? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's zero right now,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live in Coral Gables because there's certain things about the characteristics of Coral Gables, whether it be, you know, the architecture or the quality of life that we enjoy, and so I tend to lean towards the side that I would like people who sit on the Board of Architecture to have that kind of affinity, but at the same time, I also see that limited real estate in Coral Gables can affect that, and I'm also — I'm open to some outside talent. MR. BEHAR: Claudia, and I'm going to use the example, you know, and this is — Mr. Trias	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier to find people. Anybody who wants to serve on the Board should be commended, because, frankly, it's every week, it's several hours a week and so on and so on. MR. BEHAR: I did it for ten years and it's very difficult, you know, and takes a lot of time, and I think this just gives the opportunity to have a bigger pool. MR. REVUELTA: Is there a maximum right now of outside architects that can serve? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's zero right now, so the idea is to have two out of the seven
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live in Coral Gables because there's certain things about the characteristics of Coral Gables, whether it be, you know, the architecture or the quality of life that we enjoy, and so I tend to lean towards the side that I would like people who sit on the Board of Architecture to have that kind of affinity, but at the same time, I also see that limited real estate in Coral Gables can affect that, and I'm also — I'm open to some outside talent. MR. BEHAR: Claudia, and I'm going to use the example, you know, and this is — Mr. Trias will probably agree with me, if you have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier to find people. Anybody who wants to serve on the Board should be commended, because, frankly, it's every week, it's several hours a week and so on and so on. MR. BEHAR: I did it for ten years and it's very difficult, you know, and takes a lot of time, and I think this just gives the opportunity to have a bigger pool. MR. REVUELTA: Is there a maximum right now of outside architects that can serve? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's zero right now, so the idea is to have two out of the seven minimum.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live in Coral Gables because there's certain things about the characteristics of Coral Gables, whether it be, you know, the architecture or the quality of life that we enjoy, and so I tend to lean towards the side that I would like people who sit on the Board of Architecture to have that kind of affinity, but at the same time, I also see that limited real estate in Coral Gables can affect that, and I'm also — I'm open to some outside talent. MR. BEHAR: Claudia, and I'm going to use the example, you know, and this is — Mr. Trias will probably agree with me, if you have a Robert Stern, you know, a very distinguished	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier to find people. Anybody who wants to serve on the Board should be commended, because, frankly, it's every week, it's several hours a week and so on and so on. MR. BEHAR: I did it for ten years and it's very difficult, you know, and takes a lot of time, and I think this just gives the opportunity to have a bigger pool. MR. REVUELTA: Is there a maximum right now of outside architects that can serve? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's zero right now, so the idea is to have two out of the seven minimum. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, two or more, if needed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live in Coral Gables because there's certain things about the characteristics of Coral Gables, whether it be, you know, the architecture or the quality of life that we enjoy, and so I tend to lean towards the side that I would like people who sit on the Board of Architecture to have that kind of affinity, but at the same time, I also see that limited real estate in Coral Gables can affect that, and I'm also — I'm open to some outside talent. MR. BEHAR: Claudia, and I'm going to use the example, you know, and this is — Mr. Trias will probably agree with me, if you have a Robert Stern, you know, a very distinguished architect, who would want to serve on the Board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier to find people. Anybody who wants to serve on the Board should be commended, because, frankly, it's every week, it's several hours a week and so on and so on. MR. BEHAR: I did it for ten years and it's very difficult, you know, and takes a lot of time, and I think this just gives the opportunity to have a bigger pool. MR. REVUELTA: Is there a maximum right now of outside architects that can serve? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's zero right now, so the idea is to have two out of the seven minimum. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, two or more, if needed. MR. REVUELTA: I think there should be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live in Coral Gables because there's certain things about the characteristics of Coral Gables, whether it be, you know, the architecture or the quality of life that we enjoy, and so I tend to lean towards the side that I would like people who sit on the Board of Architecture to have that kind of affinity, but at the same time, I also see that limited real estate in Coral Gables can affect that, and I'm also — I'm open to some outside talent. MR. BEHAR: Claudia, and I'm going to use the example, you know, and this is — Mr. Trias will probably agree with me, if you have a Robert Stern, you know, a very distinguished architect, who would want to serve on the Board today, and he does, you know, not reside, he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier to find people. Anybody who wants to serve on the Board should be commended, because, frankly, it's every week, it's several hours a week and so on and so on. MR. BEHAR: I did it for ten years and it's very difficult, you know, and takes a lot of time, and I think this just gives the opportunity to have a bigger pool. MR. REVUELTA: Is there a maximum right now of outside architects that can serve? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's zero right now, so the idea is to have two out of the seven minimum. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, two or more, if needed. MR. REVUELTA: I think there should be a cap, because at some point, if you don't put a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live in Coral Gables because there's certain things about the characteristics of Coral Gables, whether it be, you know, the architecture or the quality of life that we enjoy, and so I tend to lean towards the side that I would like people who sit on the Board of Architecture to have that kind of affinity, but at the same time, I also see that limited real estate in Coral Gables can affect that, and I'm also — I'm open to some outside talent. MR. BEHAR: Claudia, and I'm going to use the example, you know, and this is — Mr. Trias will probably agree with me, if you have a Robert Stern, you know, a very distinguished architect, who would want to serve on the Board today, and he does, you know, not reside, he wouldn't be able to do it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier to find people. Anybody who wants to serve on the Board should be commended, because, frankly, it's every week, it's several hours a week and so on and so on. MR. BEHAR: I did it for ten years and it's very difficult, you know, and takes a lot of time, and I think this just gives the opportunity to have a bigger pool. MR. REVUELTA: Is there a maximum right now of outside architects that can serve? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's zero right now, so the idea is to have two out of the seven minimum. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, two or more, if needed. MR. REVUELTA: I think there should be a cap, because at some point, if you don't put a cap, there could be seven architects from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you know, opening up the pool to a larger, I guess, talent of architects, right, but at the same time, I also wanted to say that I think that those of us who live in Coral Gables live in Coral Gables because there's certain things about the characteristics of Coral Gables, whether it be, you know, the architecture or the quality of life that we enjoy, and so I tend to lean towards the side that I would like people who sit on the Board of Architecture to have that kind of affinity, but at the same time, I also see that limited real estate in Coral Gables can affect that, and I'm also I'm open to some outside talent. MR. BEHAR: Claudia, and I'm going to use the example, you know, and this is Mr. Trias will probably agree with me, if you have a Robert Stern, you know, a very distinguished architect, who would want to serve on the Board today, and he does, you know, not reside, he wouldn't be able to do it. MS. MIRO: We're missing out. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TRIAS: Maybe Robert Stern wants to move part-time to Coral Gables. MR. BEHAR: Maybe. MR. TRIAS: Maybe. No, but certainly that's an option that I think makes it easier to find people. Anybody who wants to serve on the Board should be commended, because, frankly, it's every week, it's several hours a week and so on and so on. MR. BEHAR: I did it for ten years and it's very difficult, you know, and takes a lot of time, and I think this just gives the opportunity to have a bigger pool. MR. REVUELTA: Is there a maximum right now of outside architects that can serve? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's zero right now, so the idea is to have two out of the seven minimum. MR. TRIAS: Yeah, two or more, if needed. MR. REVUELTA: I think there should be a cap, because at some point, if you don't put a cap, there could be seven architects from somewhere else.

	Page 121		Page 122
1	MS. MIRO: Right, but he's saying, what if	1	MR. WITHERS: So is there going to be
2	we have a hundred architects and only five are	2	restrictions on the three? Does it have to be
3	from here.	3	one of the three?
4	MR. REVUELTA: Then there is an automatic	4	MR. TRIAS: I don't think so, at this
5	cap.	5	point.
6	MR. TRIAS: Right.	6	MR. WITHERS: So it could be, two of the
7	MR. REVUELTA: Five have to be from here	7	three can be out of town?
8	and two	8	MR. TRIAS: Possibly, foreigners from other
9	MR. TRIAS: You could have a hundred	9	countries. Who knows. Maybe Robert Stern
10	members, yes, that is true. So we don't have	10	comes from New York over the weekend.
11	that, and the idea is that right now I think we	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion?
12	have eight. I think that's the number. I	12	We have a motion and a second. No?
13	mean, it's never been more than that. So we	13	MR. BEHAR: I made a motion.
14	could change that if	14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. We have a
15	MR. BEHAR: To fifty percent.	15	motion and a second.
16	MR. REVUELTA: I could see 50 percent	16	
17	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. It's just that it was		MR. REVUELTA: Is your motion saying that
18	easier to say, five, so we can count, as	17	at least a certain percentage of the Board
19	opposed to fifty percent, because, oh, two of	18	needs to be residents or we're leaving it up
20	them resigned, so now it's not 50 percent	19	to
21	anymore, so	20	MR. TORRE: It says, "Five minimum."
22	MR. WITHERS: The Board of Architects has,	21	MS. MIRO: But then you guys just said 50
23	what, a three person hearing and then a full	22	percent.
24	Board hearing? Is that how it works?	23	MR. REVUELTA: Sometimes it's seven and
25	MR. TRIAS: Yes.	24	sometimes it's eight. There will never be a
	THE THE E. TES.	25	case that there's ten members.
	Page 123		Page 124
1	I'm in agreement with Robert, that it	1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
2	shouldn't be fifty percent, but I think a	2	MR. BEHAR: Then, for the record, I want to
3	percentage, between 25 and 30	3	nominate Mr. Revuelta to serve on the Board of
4	MR. BEHAR: Listen	4	Architects.
5	MR. TRIAS: When I bring something to you,	5	MR. REVUELTA: What? There are no
6	it's from a point of view of making it easy to	6	vacancies.
7	implement. That's why it's written that way.	7	MR. TRIAS: What a great idea that is,
8	MR. BEHAR: I will go ahead for	8	Robert.
9	clarification, make a motion for approval with	9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Let's go on to
10	a condition as presented to us.	10	the next item. The next item is E-6. Mr.
11	MR. TORRE: Second.	11	Coller.
12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second.	12	MR. COLLER: Item E-6, an Ordinance of the
13	Okay. Any other discussion? No?	13	City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida
14	Call the roll.	14	providing for a text amendment to Article 14,
15	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?	15	"Process," Section 14-214 "Protection of
16	MS. MIRO: Yes.	16	Landowners' Rights; Relief from Inordinate
17	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	17	Burdens" of the City of Coral Gables Official
18	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	18	Zoning Code to clarify procedures to resolve
19	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	19	disputes and provide relief from the
20	MR. TORRE: Yes.	20	application of the Zoning Code, including the
	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	21	granting of variances; providing for
21		22	severability, repealer, codification, and an
21 22	MR. WITHERS: Yes.		• •
	MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	23	effective date.
22		23 24	effective date. Item E-6, public hearing.
22 23	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?		

	Page 125		Page 126
1	can?	1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis seconded it. Any
2	MR. TRIAS: You can. I mean	2	discussion? No?
3	MR. COLLER: This is really more of a	3	Call the roll, please.
4	housekeeping measure, just a slight	4	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
5	modification in how we handle claims under Bert	5	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
6	Harris, inordinate burdens, and it's really	6	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
7	clarification that you have the authority to	7	MR. TORRE: Yes.
8	grant variances in order to provide relief. I	8	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
9	don't think it's materially different than how	9	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
10	it's being done now, but we received a decision	10	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
11	from the Court, where we felt that it would be	11	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
12	good to fine-tune this. So that's what's being	12	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
13	done.	13	MS. MIRO: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any speakers on this,	14	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
15	Jill?	15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
16	THE SECRETARY: No.	16	And the last item on the agenda, E-7.
17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: At this point, I'll go	17	MR. COLLER: Item E-7, an Ordinance of the
18	ahead and close it for public comment.	18	City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida
19	Anybody on the Board have any questions or	19	providing for a text amendment to the City of
20	comments or does anybody want to make a motion?	20	Coral Gables Official Zoning Code by amending
21	Anybody want to make a motion?	21	Article 10, "Parking," Section 10-110, "Amount
22	MR. TORRE: I'll make a motion.	22	of required parking," and Article 16,
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion from	23	"Definitions," to clarify parking requirements
24	Venny. Second?	24	related to single-family building alterations
25	MR. REVUELTA: Second.	25	and to update the definition of a single-family
23	WIK. NE VOLETY. Second.		and to apode the definition of a single family
	Page 127		Page 128
1	residence regarding the use of covered open air		
	residence regarding the use of covered open an	1	doesn't have to be enclosed. You know, it
2	walkways and location of porte-coches and	1 2	doesn't have to be enclosed. You know, it could be just a covered walkway.
2			
	walkways and location of porte-coches and	2	could be just a covered walkway.
3	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer,	2 3	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that does
3 4	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date.	2 3 4	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that does that have to be a continuous attachment? For
3 4 5	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor changes that relate to single-family houses.	2 3 4 5	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever
3 4 5 6	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor	2 3 4 5 6	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever that is at one height and then a bottom part,
3 4 5 6 7	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor changes that relate to single-family houses. As you know, in the Code update, we didn't deal with single-family houses, so this is something	2 3 4 5 6 7	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that — does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever that is at one height and then a bottom part, for design purposes, that's a little bit lower, where they overlap? MR. TRIAS: There's some open space — I
3 4 5 6 7 8	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor changes that relate to single-family houses. As you know, in the Code update, we didn't deal with single-family houses, so this is something a little bit separate. One of them is, we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever that is at one height and then a bottom part, for design purposes, that's a little bit lower, where they overlap? MR. TRIAS: There's some open space I think that would be fine.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor changes that relate to single-family houses. As you know, in the Code update, we didn't deal with single-family houses, so this is something a little bit separate. One of them is, we're just simply saying, single-family residences or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever that is at one height and then a bottom part, for design purposes, that's a little bit lower, where they overlap? MR. TRIAS: There's some open space I think that would be fine. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You think that would
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor changes that relate to single-family houses. As you know, in the Code update, we didn't deal with single-family houses, so this is something a little bit separate. One of them is, we're just simply saying, single-family residences or duplex, so it's obvious. Another one is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever that is at one height and then a bottom part, for design purposes, that's a little bit lower, where they overlap? MR. TRIAS: There's some open space I think that would be fine. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You think that would be fine or would it
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor changes that relate to single-family houses. As you know, in the Code update, we didn't deal with single-family houses, so this is something a little bit separate. One of them is, we're just simply saying, single-family residences or duplex, so it's obvious. Another one is that the carport has to be attached to the house.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever that is at one height and then a bottom part, for design purposes, that's a little bit lower, where they overlap? MR. TRIAS: There's some open space I think that would be fine. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You think that would be fine or would it MR. TRIAS: I mean, if it's architecturally
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor changes that relate to single-family houses. As you know, in the Code update, we didn't deal with single-family houses, so this is something a little bit separate. One of them is, we're just simply saying, single-family residences or duplex, so it's obvious. Another one is that the carport has to be attached to the house. Another one is that the porte cochere has to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever that is at one height and then a bottom part, for design purposes, that's a little bit lower, where they overlap? MR. TRIAS: There's some open space I think that would be fine. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You think that would be fine or would it MR. TRIAS: I mean, if it's architecturally appropriate. Obviously, I mean, unless I see a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor changes that relate to single-family houses. As you know, in the Code update, we didn't deal with single-family houses, so this is something a little bit separate. One of them is, we're just simply saying, single-family residences or duplex, so it's obvious. Another one is that the carport has to be attached to the house. Another one is that the porte cochere has to be in the front of the building. And, finally,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever that is at one height and then a bottom part, for design purposes, that's a little bit lower, where they overlap? MR. TRIAS: There's some open space I think that would be fine. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You think that would be fine or would it MR. TRIAS: I mean, if it's architecturally appropriate. Obviously, I mean, unless I see a design, I really can't tell, but the idea is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor changes that relate to single-family houses. As you know, in the Code update, we didn't deal with single-family houses, so this is something a little bit separate. One of them is, we're just simply saying, single-family residences or duplex, so it's obvious. Another one is that the carport has to be attached to the house. Another one is that the porte cochere has to be in the front of the building. And, finally, that the connection of different areas of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever that is at one height and then a bottom part, for design purposes, that's a little bit lower, where they overlap? MR. TRIAS: There's some open space I think that would be fine. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You think that would be fine or would it MR. TRIAS: I mean, if it's architecturally appropriate. Obviously, I mean, unless I see a design, I really can't tell, but the idea is that right now every square foot of the house
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor changes that relate to single-family houses. As you know, in the Code update, we didn't deal with single-family houses, so this is something a little bit separate. One of them is, we're just simply saying, single-family residences or duplex, so it's obvious. Another one is that the carport has to be attached to the house. Another one is that the porte cochere has to be in the front of the building. And, finally, that the connection of different areas of the house could be a walkway, as opposed to an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever that is at one height and then a bottom part, for design purposes, that's a little bit lower, where they overlap? MR. TRIAS: There's some open space I think that would be fine. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You think that would be fine or would it MR. TRIAS: I mean, if it's architecturally appropriate. Obviously, I mean, unless I see a design, I really can't tell, but the idea is that right now every square foot of the house has to be enclosed. So, sometimes, if you,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor changes that relate to single-family houses. As you know, in the Code update, we didn't deal with single-family houses, so this is something a little bit separate. One of them is, we're just simply saying, single-family residences or duplex, so it's obvious. Another one is that the carport has to be attached to the house. Another one is that the porte cochere has to be in the front of the building. And, finally, that the connection of different areas of the house could be a walkway, as opposed to an enclosed air-conditioned space well, it's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever that is at one height and then a bottom part, for design purposes, that's a little bit lower, where they overlap? MR. TRIAS: There's some open space I think that would be fine. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You think that would be fine or would it MR. TRIAS: I mean, if it's architecturally appropriate. Obviously, I mean, unless I see a design, I really can't tell, but the idea is that right now every square foot of the house has to be enclosed. So, sometimes, if you, let's say, have a big lot and want to have a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor changes that relate to single-family houses. As you know, in the Code update, we didn't deal with single-family houses, so this is something a little bit separate. One of them is, we're just simply saying, single-family residences or duplex, so it's obvious. Another one is that the carport has to be attached to the house. Another one is that the porte cochere has to be in the front of the building. And, finally, that the connection of different areas of the house could be a walkway, as opposed to an enclosed air-conditioned space — well, it's a roofed walkway.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that — does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever that is at one height and then a bottom part, for design purposes, that's a little bit lower, where they overlap? MR. TRIAS: There's some open space — I think that would be fine. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You think that would be fine or would it — MR. TRIAS: I mean, if it's architecturally appropriate. Obviously, I mean, unless I see a design, I really can't tell, but the idea is that right now every square foot of the house has to be enclosed. So, sometimes, if you, let's say, have a big lot and want to have a separate pavilion and so on, so that doesn't
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor changes that relate to single-family houses. As you know, in the Code update, we didn't deal with single-family houses, so this is something a little bit separate. One of them is, we're just simply saying, single-family residences or duplex, so it's obvious. Another one is that the carport has to be attached to the house. Another one is that the porte cochere has to be in the front of the building. And, finally, that the connection of different areas of the house could be a walkway, as opposed to an enclosed air-conditioned space well, it's a roofed walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What was the last one,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that — does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever that is at one height and then a bottom part, for design purposes, that's a little bit lower, where they overlap? MR. TRIAS: There's some open space — I think that would be fine. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You think that would be fine or would it — MR. TRIAS: I mean, if it's architecturally appropriate. Obviously, I mean, unless I see a design, I really can't tell, but the idea is that right now every square foot of the house has to be enclosed. So, sometimes, if you, let's say, have a big lot and want to have a separate pavilion and so on, so that doesn't count as part of your house. It's a technical
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor changes that relate to single-family houses. As you know, in the Code update, we didn't deal with single-family houses, so this is something a little bit separate. One of them is, we're just simply saying, single-family residences or duplex, so it's obvious. Another one is that the carport has to be attached to the house. Another one is that the porte cochere has to be in the front of the building. And, finally, that the connection of different areas of the house could be a walkway, as opposed to an enclosed air-conditioned space — well, it's a roofed walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What was the last one, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever that is at one height and then a bottom part, for design purposes, that's a little bit lower, where they overlap? MR. TRIAS: There's some open space I think that would be fine. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You think that would be fine or would it MR. TRIAS: I mean, if it's architecturally appropriate. Obviously, I mean, unless I see a design, I really can't tell, but the idea is that right now every square foot of the house has to be enclosed. So, sometimes, if you, let's say, have a big lot and want to have a separate pavilion and so on, so that doesn't count as part of your house. It's a technical thing. So we're saying, you know, as long as
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor changes that relate to single-family houses. As you know, in the Code update, we didn't deal with single-family houses, so this is something a little bit separate. One of them is, we're just simply saying, single-family residences or duplex, so it's obvious. Another one is that the carport has to be attached to the house. Another one is that the porte cochere has to be in the front of the building. And, finally, that the connection of different areas of the house could be a walkway, as opposed to an enclosed air-conditioned space well, it's a roofed walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What was the last one, please? MR. TRIAS: Sometimes you may have a house	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever that is at one height and then a bottom part, for design purposes, that's a little bit lower, where they overlap? MR. TRIAS: There's some open space I think that would be fine. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You think that would be fine or would it MR. TRIAS: I mean, if it's architecturally appropriate. Obviously, I mean, unless I see a design, I really can't tell, but the idea is that right now every square foot of the house has to be enclosed. So, sometimes, if you, let's say, have a big lot and want to have a separate pavilion and so on, so that doesn't count as part of your house. It's a technical thing. So we're saying, you know, as long as you're connected, you don't have to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor changes that relate to single-family houses. As you know, in the Code update, we didn't deal with single-family houses, so this is something a little bit separate. One of them is, we're just simply saying, single-family residences or duplex, so it's obvious. Another one is that the carport has to be attached to the house. Another one is that the porte cochere has to be in the front of the building. And, finally, that the connection of different areas of the house could be a walkway, as opposed to an enclosed air-conditioned space well, it's a roofed walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What was the last one, please? MR. TRIAS: Sometimes you may have a house that has two sections, and those sections are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever that is at one height and then a bottom part, for design purposes, that's a little bit lower, where they overlap? MR. TRIAS: There's some open space I think that would be fine. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You think that would be fine or would it MR. TRIAS: I mean, if it's architecturally appropriate. Obviously, I mean, unless I see a design, I really can't tell, but the idea is that right now every square foot of the house has to be enclosed. So, sometimes, if you, let's say, have a big lot and want to have a separate pavilion and so on, so that doesn't count as part of your house. It's a technical thing. So we're saying, you know, as long as you're connected, you don't have to air-condition that connection.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor changes that relate to single-family houses. As you know, in the Code update, we didn't deal with single-family houses, so this is something a little bit separate. One of them is, we're just simply saying, single-family residences or duplex, so it's obvious. Another one is that the carport has to be attached to the house. Another one is that the porte cochere has to be in the front of the building. And, finally, that the connection of different areas of the house could be a walkway, as opposed to an enclosed air-conditioned space — well, it's a roofed walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What was the last one, please? MR. TRIAS: Sometimes you may have a house that has two sections, and those sections are connected, and we're saying specifically that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that — does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever that is at one height and then a bottom part, for design purposes, that's a little bit lower, where they overlap? MR. TRIAS: There's some open space — I think that would be fine. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You think that would be fine or would it — MR. TRIAS: I mean, if it's architecturally appropriate. Obviously, I mean, unless I see a design, I really can't tell, but the idea is that right now every square foot of the house has to be enclosed. So, sometimes, if you, let's say, have a big lot and want to have a separate pavilion and so on, so that doesn't count as part of your house. It's a technical thing. So we're saying, you know, as long as you're connected, you don't have to air-condition that connection. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because, correct me if
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	walkways and location of porte-coches and carports; providing for severability, repealer, codification and an effective date. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, some minor changes that relate to single-family houses. As you know, in the Code update, we didn't deal with single-family houses, so this is something a little bit separate. One of them is, we're just simply saying, single-family residences or duplex, so it's obvious. Another one is that the carport has to be attached to the house. Another one is that the porte cochere has to be in the front of the building. And, finally, that the connection of different areas of the house could be a walkway, as opposed to an enclosed air-conditioned space well, it's a roofed walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What was the last one, please? MR. TRIAS: Sometimes you may have a house that has two sections, and those sections are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	could be just a covered walkway. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And does that does that have to be a continuous attachment? For example, what happens if you have a cantilever that is at one height and then a bottom part, for design purposes, that's a little bit lower, where they overlap? MR. TRIAS: There's some open space I think that would be fine. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You think that would be fine or would it MR. TRIAS: I mean, if it's architecturally appropriate. Obviously, I mean, unless I see a design, I really can't tell, but the idea is that right now every square foot of the house has to be enclosed. So, sometimes, if you, let's say, have a big lot and want to have a separate pavilion and so on, so that doesn't count as part of your house. It's a technical thing. So we're saying, you know, as long as you're connected, you don't have to air-condition that connection.

	Page 129		Page 130
1	percentage of your home to be, let's say, a	1	that we have, obviously.
2	storage room or auxiliary and you didn't have	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay.
3	to connect that; is that correct?	3	MR. WITHERS: So is the carport on the side
4	MR. TRIAS: That still remains. I don't	4	of the home that goes through and goes into a
5	think that's what we're talking about here.	5	parking structure behind it, is that considered
6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay.	6	a carport or a porte cochere?
7	MR. TRIAS: What we're talking about here	7	MR. TRIAS: That's a carport. A porte
8	is that	8	cochere has to be in the front.
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But now somebody could	9	MR. WITHERS: So, on the side, you can have
10	make a bigger square footage, as long as they	10	a carport and you can drive through it, you
11	connect it and it doesn't have to be	11	just can't have a porte cochere that you can
12	air-conditioned?	12	drive through on the side?
13	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. Yeah.	13	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes. Right. What was
14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So it basically allows	14	happening is that sometimes people would do a
15	for a more liberal design.	15	detached porte cochere and say, "Well, you
16	MR. TRIAS: If you're doing a courtyard,	16	know, the porte cochere doesn't say that it has
17	for example, and that courtyard has a	17	to be attached."
18	colonnade, and you have, let's say, two pods	18	MR. WITHERS: Yeah, I understand. But what
19	attached to it, that would be okay. Right now	19	I'm saying is, instead of calling it a porte
20	it's not okay.	20	cochere, why not just call it a carport?
21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Does it matter whether	21	MR. TRIAS: No, a carport is fine and that's
22	it's on the front exposure, does it matter if	22	MR. WITHERS: I know. That's what I'm
23	it's on the back, could be anywhere on the	23	saying, if I want to have a porte cochere in
24	•	24	the back of my house, why don't I just call it
25	property? MR. TRIAS: Yes, within the review process	25	a carport?
23	Mic 17th b. Tes, walling the review process		
	Page 131		Daga 122
			Page 132
1	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in	1	Call the roll, please.
1 2		1 2	
	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in		Call the roll, please.
2	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There	2	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
2	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go.	2 3	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes.
2 3 4	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by	2 3 4	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
2 3 4 5	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location.	2 3 4 5	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes.
2 3 4 5	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location. MR. WITHERS: I don't know	2 3 4 5 6	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location. MR. WITHERS: I don't know MR. TRIAS: The main issue is that, for	2 3 4 5 6 7	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location. MR. WITHERS: I don't know MR. TRIAS: The main issue is that, for whatever reason, some architects were saying,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location. MR. WITHERS: I don't know MR. TRIAS: The main issue is that, for whatever reason, some architects were saying, well, I don't have to attach it. It could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location. MR. WITHERS: I don't know MR. TRIAS: The main issue is that, for whatever reason, some architects were saying, well, I don't have to attach it. It could be and that's where it creates some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location. MR. WITHERS: I don't know MR. TRIAS: The main issue is that, for whatever reason, some architects were saying, well, I don't have to attach it. It could be and that's where it creates some problems.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location. MR. WITHERS: I don't know MR. TRIAS: The main issue is that, for whatever reason, some architects were saying, well, I don't have to attach it. It could be and that's where it creates some problems. MR. WITHERS: I understand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location. MR. WITHERS: I don't know MR. TRIAS: The main issue is that, for whatever reason, some architects were saying, well, I don't have to attach it. It could be and that's where it creates some problems. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TORRE: Is there more discussion or can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location. MR. WITHERS: I don't know MR. TRIAS: The main issue is that, for whatever reason, some architects were saying, well, I don't have to attach it. It could be and that's where it creates some problems. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TORRE: Is there more discussion or can I make a motion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. I want to thank everybody for coming
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location. MR. WITHERS: I don't know MR. TRIAS: The main issue is that, for whatever reason, some architects were saying, well, I don't have to attach it. It could be and that's where it creates some problems. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TORRE: Is there more discussion or can I make a motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody for discussion on this item from the public? THE SECRETARY: No, not on Zoom.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. I want to thank everybody for coming tonight. It's an extra meeting. And I'm sure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location. MR. WITHERS: I don't know MR. TRIAS: The main issue is that, for whatever reason, some architects were saying, well, I don't have to attach it. It could be and that's where it creates some problems. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TORRE: Is there more discussion or can I make a motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody for discussion on this item from the public?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. I want to thank everybody for coming tonight. It's an extra meeting. And I'm sure the City appreciates it as much
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location. MR. WITHERS: I don't know MR. TRIAS: The main issue is that, for whatever reason, some architects were saying, well, I don't have to attach it. It could be and that's where it creates some problems. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TORRE: Is there more discussion or can I make a motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody for discussion on this item from the public? THE SECRETARY: No, not on Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No? I'll go ahead and close the floor to the public.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. I want to thank everybody for coming tonight. It's an extra meeting. And I'm sure the City appreciates it as much MR. TRIAS: Don't forget, we're doing it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location. MR. WITHERS: I don't know MR. TRIAS: The main issue is that, for whatever reason, some architects were saying, well, I don't have to attach it. It could be and that's where it creates some problems. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TORRE: Is there more discussion or can I make a motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody for discussion on this item from the public? THE SECRETARY: No, not on Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No? I'll go ahead and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. I want to thank everybody for coming tonight. It's an extra meeting. And I'm sure the City appreciates it as much MR. TRIAS: Don't forget, we're doing it again next Wednesday.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location. MR. WITHERS: I don't know MR. TRIAS: The main issue is that, for whatever reason, some architects were saying, well, I don't have to attach it. It could be and that's where it creates some problems. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TORRE: Is there more discussion or can I make a motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody for discussion on this item from the public? THE SECRETARY: No, not on Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No? I'll go ahead and close the floor to the public.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. I want to thank everybody for coming tonight. It's an extra meeting. And I'm sure the City appreciates it as much MR. TRIAS: Don't forget, we're doing it again next Wednesday. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location. MR. WITHERS: I don't know MR. TRIAS: The main issue is that, for whatever reason, some architects were saying, well, I don't have to attach it. It could be and that's where it creates some problems. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TORRE: Is there more discussion or can I make a motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody for discussion on this item from the public? THE SECRETARY: No, not on Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No? I'll go ahead and close the floor to the public. MR. TORRE: I would like to make a motion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. I want to thank everybody for coming tonight. It's an extra meeting. And I'm sure the City appreciates it as much— MR. TRIAS: Don't forget, we're doing it again next Wednesday. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: Motion to adjourn.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location. MR. WITHERS: I don't know MR. TRIAS: The main issue is that, for whatever reason, some architects were saying, well, I don't have to attach it. It could be and that's where it creates some problems. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TORRE: Is there more discussion or can I make a motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody for discussion on this item from the public? THE SECRETARY: No, not on Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No? I'll go ahead and close the floor to the public. MR. TORRE: I would like to make a motion to approve this item.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. I want to thank everybody for coming tonight. It's an extra meeting. And I'm sure the City appreciates it as much MR. TRIAS: Don't forget, we're doing it again next Wednesday. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: Motion to adjourn. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Motion to adjourn. Is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location. MR. WITHERS: I don't know MR. TRIAS: The main issue is that, for whatever reason, some architects were saying, well, I don't have to attach it. It could be and that's where it creates some problems. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TORRE: Is there more discussion or can I make a motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody for discussion on this item from the public? THE SECRETARY: No, not on Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No? I'll go ahead and close the floor to the public. MR. TORRE: I would like to make a motion to approve this item. MS. MIRO: Second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. I want to thank everybody for coming tonight. It's an extra meeting. And I'm sure the City appreciates it as much MR. TRIAS: Don't forget, we're doing it again next Wednesday. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: Motion to adjourn. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Motion to adjourn. Is there a second? MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All in favor say aye.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. And if it were to be in the front, it would be a porte cochere. There you go. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Definition by location. MR. WITHERS: I don't know MR. TRIAS: The main issue is that, for whatever reason, some architects were saying, well, I don't have to attach it. It could be and that's where it creates some problems. MR. WITHERS: I understand. MR. TORRE: Is there more discussion or can I make a motion? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody for discussion on this item from the public? THE SECRETARY: No, not on Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No? I'll go ahead and close the floor to the public. MR. TORRE: I would like to make a motion to approve this item. MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. I want to thank everybody for coming tonight. It's an extra meeting. And I'm sure the City appreciates it as much MR. TRIAS: Don't forget, we're doing it again next Wednesday. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. BEHAR: Motion to adjourn. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Motion to adjourn. Is there a second? MR. TORRE: Second.

Page 133	Page 134
1 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.	1 CERTIFICATE
2 (Thereupon, the meeting concluded at 8:15	2
3 p.m.)	3 STATE OF FLORIDA:
4	4 SS.
5	5 COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:
6	6
7	7
8	8
9	9 I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary
10	Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby
11	11 certify that I was authorized to and did
12	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
13	that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
14	14 stenographic notes. 15
15	16 DATED this 9th day of March, 2022.
16	16 DATED this 9th day of March, 2022.
17	18
18	19 SIGNATURE ON FILE
19	20
20	NIEVES SANCHEZ
21	21
22	22
23	23
24	24
25	25
23	