CETY OF CORAL CAMEES  IDAM JA PANNING AGENCY (17A)  PLANNING AGEN ZORNOS BOARD MEETING  SERVICE MANNING AGENCY (17A)  Board Marbers Present  Board Connection  City Sulf and Consolution  Board Marbers Present  Board Marbers Present physically to the palpication to proceed to the City  Board Marbers Present  Board Marbers Presen		Page 1		Page 2
H.COLM FLANNISH AGENCY (LPV) WEINESDAYD RECEMBER 8, 2021, COMMENCING AT 602 PM. Bount Merchies Precent. Bobert Reberts Robert Rebert Lisi Remetins Robert Rebert Lisi Remetins Wijner Chapt Withers Seven members. Four Members of the Board shall constitute a option in my motion. If only four Board with Constitute and poplicant may request a deptinent of an execution of any motion. If only four Board with matter is continued due to a lack of quorum. Health Chapterson or Secretary of the Board Mumbers  12 matter is continued due to a lack of quorum. He Chapterson or Secretary of the Board may set a Special Meeting to consider such matter.  12 matter is continued due to a lack of quorum. He Chapterson or Secretary of the Board may set a Special Meeting to consider such matter.  13 the Chapterson or Secretary of the Board matter.  14 the Chapterson or Secretary of the Board matter.  15 In the event that four votes are not obtained, an applicant may request a continuance or allow the application to proceed to the City He Chapterson or Secretary of the Board matter.  14 The State Chapter of the Grant Member of the Chapter of the Chapt		CITY OF CORAL GABLES	1	THEREUPON:
WERNATH TRANSCRIPT NOTE OF THE PROPERTY PROCESSING AND THE PROPERTY PROCESSING CHARMS TO THE PROPERTY PROCESSING THE PROPERTY PRO			2	
Boal Members Peaces. Bib Alexensect. Carbrann Robert Behar Bib Alexensect. Carbrann Robert Services Director Carbon Management Services Director Robert Servi		VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT	3	
seven members. Four Members of the Board shall bill Robor Wings (1997 Wilders)  Very Virol Wilders  Very Virol Wilders  Alex Bacelo  Gry Staff and Commitments  Cry Staff and Commitment  Cry Staff and Commitments  Cry Staff and Commitment			4	
Lius Resulati Wyen's Chip Vidices Wyen's Chip Vidices Weny There Arks Baselan Arks Baselan Arks Baselan Arks Baselan Cicy Suff and Committee Barnen Trian, Planning Director Ramen Trian, Planning Director Alo Participating Ramen Trian, Planning Director Ramen Trian, Planning Director Alo Participating Ramen Trian, Planning Director Ramen Trian, Planning Director Alo Participating Ramen Trian, Planning Director Ramen Trian, Planning Carlo director and Director Ramen Trian, Planning Carlo director and Director Ramen Trian, Planning Carlo director Ramen Trian			5	-
Vanny Tune Cladiad Milo Alex Buselo City Staff and Crosslatins Surminy Cahrera, Development Services Director All Memorator, Administrative Noteans, Doral Secretary Architecture, Posterophered Services Director All Memorator, Administrative Noteans, Doral Secretary Architecture, Posterophered Services Director All Memorator, Administrative Noteans, Doral Secretary Architecture, Posterophered Services Director All Memorator, Administrative Noteans, Doral Secretary Architecture, Posterophered Services Director All Memorator, Administrative Noteans, Doral Secretary Architecture, Posterophered Services Director All Memorator, Posterophered Services Director All Memorator Services Director All Memorator, Posterophered Services Director Architecture, Posterophered Services Director Architecture, Posterophered Services Director All Memorator, Posterophered Services Director Architecture, Posterophered Services Director All Memorator Services Director Architecture, Posterophered Services Director Architecture, Posterophered Services Director All Memorator Services Director Architecture, Posterophered Services Director Architecture, Posterophered Services Director All Memorator Services Director Architecture, Posterophered Services Director Archit		Luis Revuelta	6	
Alea Buscilo  City Staff and Consultants Sarany Cabora, Development Services Director  Bill Mementer, Administrative Assistant, Board Secretary Bill Mementer, Administrative Assistant, Board Secretary Brill Mementer, Administrative Board Assistant Brill Mementer, Br		Venny Torre		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Summy Cadera. Development Services Director Ramon Tiss, Flammer Development Development Services Discource State Programs Person Programs Person Programs Part Part Part Part Part Part Part Part			8	
Salamp Chèrea, Development Services Discotor Ramon Titas, Plaming Director Cinig Coller, Special Counsel Fed Coxesyo, Parls & Recreation Director  Language Carello Carrie, Coller, Special Counsel Fed Coxesyo, Parls & Recreation Director  Language Carello Sarta Courney Balgori			9	
Ramon Tins, Pluming Dector  Ill Memores, Administrative Assisant, Board Secretary  Arcel Relia, Principal Plumer  Caig Coller, Special Couner  Caig Coller, Special Couner  Ford Concysto, Park & Recreation Director  Ako Participating:  Enique Bernal  Angia Carrillo  Region Bernal		Suramy Cabrera, Development Services Director	10	
Jamiler Carcia, City Planner Carde Collect, Special Planner Carge Collect, Special Counset First Clossicy, Parks & Recention Director		Ramon Trias, Planning Director Jill Menendez, Administrative Assistant, Board Secretary	11	
Conig Collet. Special Counsel Feet Consexyo, Paks & Recreation Director  Also Participating  Enrique Bernal Angela Carrillo Saria Countrey Baigeri Maria Chisena Particia Leberman Particia Lebe		Jennifer Garcia, City Planner	12	
Aso Participating:  Ensigne Bernal Angala Curtilo Sarta Courtage Bigneri Bigneti Lyall Sant Kawalerski Debra Register Joe Jiman Register Ji		Craig Coller, Special Counsel		
Also Participating:  Enrique Bend Angela Carrillo Accordingly, any individual wishing to provide sowra testimony shall be present physically in the City Commission Chambers. However, the Planning & Zoning Board has established the  Page 4  1 ability for the public to provide comments, 2 which will be non-sworn and without evidentiary 3 value, virtually. Accordingly, only 3 MS. BUCELO: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  Venny Torre?  Venny Torre?  Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure, any Angela Carrillo		Fred Couceyro, Parks & Recreation Director		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Enrique Bernal Angala Carrillo Saria Courtney Balgorni Maris Cibenan Particia Licherman Haris Cibenan Particia Licherman Haris Cibena Particia Licherman Haris Cibena Particia Licherman Haris Cibena Particia Licherman Haris Cibena Particia Licherman Haris Commission without recommendation. MR. BUCELO: Pursuant to Resolution Number 2021-118, the City of Coral Gables has returned to traditional in person meetings. Accordingly, any individual wishing to provide sworm testimony shall be present physically in the City Commission Chambers. However, the Planning & Zoning Board has established the City Corny Tore?  Page 4  1 ability for the public to provide comments,		Also Participating:		-
August Common Marias Cheman Patricia Liebermum Ingial Lyall Sue Kawalerski Debra Register Velsy Borg Gordon Sakoloff Sheryl Cold (Via Zoom) Javier Banos (Via Zoom) Javier Banos (Via Zoom) Arabir Cartor Store City Staff, Boards, Debra Register  Page 3  Page 4  Am. BUCELO: Pursuant to Resolution Number 2021-118, the City of Coral Gables has returned to traditional in person meetings. Accordingly, any individual wishing to provide sworm testimony, shall be present physically in the City Commission Chambers. However, the Planning & Zoning Board has established the  Page 3  Page 4  MR. BUCELO: Here.  Page 4  Amr. BUCELO: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  Venny Torre?  MR. TORRE: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. TORRE: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. TORRE: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Ebil Aizenstar?  THE SECRETARY: Ebil Aizen				· ·
Marias Chisena Particia Liberman Ingril Liyal Sue Kanalerski Sue Kanalerski Sue Kanalerski Disch Kanalerski Disch Kanalerski Disch Mene Gordon Sokoloff Sheyl Coldi (Via Zoom) Maria Cruz (Via Zoom) Alviar Banos (Via Zoom) Carter Sux (Via Zoom) Carter Sux (Via Zoom) Carter Sux (Via Zoom) Alviar Banos (Via Zoom) Carter Sux (Via Zoo				
Ingrid Lyall She Kwalerski Debra Register Debra Register Vicky Berg Joe Immere Gordon Scholoff Shery (Gold (Via Zoom) Loarer Sox (Via Zoom) Carter Sox (Via Zoom) Karelia Martinez Carbonell (Via Zoom)  Page 3  Page 4  Page 3  Page 4  I ability for the public to provide comments, which will be non-sworn and without evidentiary in this format may appear and provide those in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom.  Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure, any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gabbes Ordinance Number 2006-11, centre special comments before City Staff, Boards, Committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and for provide proses of the provide in the City Commission of the requires Board Members to disclose all ex parte communication, conversation, conversation, converspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication in person meetings. 22 2021-118, the City Coral Gables has returned to traditional in person meetings. Accordingly, any individual wishing to provide sworm testimony shall be present physically in the City Commission Chambers. However, the City Commission Chambers. However, the Planning & Zoning Board has established the  Page 4  MR. BUCELO: Here.  Page 4  MR. BUCELO: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  Venny Torre?  Newny Torre?  HE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WTHERS: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WTHERS: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  Notice Regarding Ex Parte Communications, please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board, which requires Board  Members to disclose — can everybody please  silence their phones? Thank you — which requires Board Members to disclose all ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication is defined as any contact, communication or other written or verbal communication co		Marisa Chisena		
Deben Register Deben Register Deben Register De finenz Gordon Sokoloff Sheryl Gold (Viz Zoom) Maria Cruc (Via Zoom) Maria Cruc (Via Zoom) Javier Banos (Via Zoom)  Page 3  Page 4  Deage 3  Page 4  MR. BUCELO: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  Venny Torre?  MR. TORRE: Here.  Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure, any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the must register with the City Clerk prior to longuistic sor presentations before City Staff, Boards,  The SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?  Committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and for the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and for provide proof of registration shall prohibit for communication is defined as any contact, communication in defined as any contact, communication in that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public communication that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the public abourd.  MR. BEHAR: Here.  24 Here.  25 December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is 26 Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting 27 THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? 28 And a member of the pussi-judicial Board 29 THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? 20 THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? 21 And a member of the pussi-judicial Board		Ingrid Lyall		
Visky Berg Joe Immer Gordon Sokoloff Sheryl Gold (Via Zoom) Maria Chru (Via Zoom) Maria Chru (Via Zoom) Maria Chru (Via Zoom) Maria Chru (Via Zoom) Accordingly, any individual wishing to provide sworn testimony shall be present physically in the City Commission Chambers. However, the Planning & Zoning Board has established the  Page 3  Page 4  1 ability for the public to provide comments, which will be non-sworn and without evidentiary a value, virtually. Accordingly, only in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom.  Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure, any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gabbes Ordinance Number 2006-11, must register with the City Clerk prior to page in lobbying activities or committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and for the City of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is Link BEHAR: Here. Link BEHAR: Here. Link BEHAR: Here. Link BethaR: Here Lity Corministion Link BethaPrized and a member of the quasi-judicial Board. Link BethaR: Here. Link BethaR: Here Lity Corministion Link BethaPrized and a member of the public				
Gordon Sokoloff Sheyt Gold (Via Zoom) Maria Cruz (Via Zoom) Alvier Banos (Via Zoom) Carter Sox (Via Zoom)  Page 3  Page 4  1 ability for the public to provide comments, which will be non-sworn and without evidentiary value, virtually. Accordingly, only value, virtually. Accordingly only value. V		Vicky Berg		•
Maria Coux (Via Zoom) Part Stance (Via Zoom) Carter Sox (Via Zoom)  Page 3  Page 4  1 ability for the public to provide comments, 2 which will be non-sworn and without evidentiary 3 value, virtually. Accordingly, only 4 individuals who wish to provide public comment 5 in this format may appear and provide those 6 comments via Zoom. 6 MR. TORRE: Here. 7 Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure, any 8 person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the 9 City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11, 10 must register with the City Clerk prior to 11 engaging in lobbying activities or 12 presentations before City Staff, Boards, 13 Committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy 14 of the Ordinance is available in the Office of 15 the City Clerk. Failure to register and 16 provide proof of registration shall prohibit 17 your ability to present to this Board. 20 of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is 21 Giol. 22 Jill, if you would please call the roll. 23 THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? 24 MR. BEHAR: Here. 23 sworn testimony shall be present the City Commission Chambers. However, the Planning & Zoning Board has established the 24 the City Commission Chambers. However, the Planning & Zoning Board has established the 25 mentions & Soning Board has established the 26 the City Clerk prior to 4 mr. BECRETARY: Claudia Miro? 27 THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? 28 THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? 29 Change There. 20 The Carlonal Miro? 30 MR. BEHAR: Here. 30 MR. BEHAR: Here. 31 MR. BUCLEIO: Here. 31 MR. BUCLEIO: Here. 32 THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? 34 MR. BEHAR: Here. 35 MR. BUCLEIO: Here. 36 MR. BUCLEIO: Here. 36 MR. BUCLEIO: Here. 37 MR. BUCLEIO: Here. 38 MR. BEHAR: Here. 30 MR. BEHAR: Here. 30 MR. BEHAR: Here. 31 MR. BUCLEIO: Here. 31 MR. BUCLEIO: Here. 32 MR. BEHAR: Here. 31 MR. BUCLEIO: Here. 31 MR. BUCLEIO: Here. 32 MR. BEHAR: Here. 31 MR. BUCLEIO: Here. 32 MR. BUCLEIO: Here. 34 MR. BEHAR: Here. 35 MR. BUCLEIO: Here. 36 MR. BUCLEIO: Here. 36 MR. B		Gordon Sokoloff		-
Davier Bamos (Via Zoom)   24   the City Commission Chambers. However, the Rurelia Martinez Carbonell (Via Zoom)   25   Planning & Zoning Board has established the				
Page 3  Page 4  1 ability for the public to provide comments, which will be non-sworn and without evidentiary value, virtually. Accordingly, only in this format may appear and provide tobe comments via Zoom.  Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure, any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the clip of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11, presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit pour ability to present to this Board. As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is Jil, if you would please call the roll. Jil if you would please call the roll.		Javier Banos (Via Zoom)		
Page 3  ability for the public to provide comments, which will be non-sworn and without evidentiary value, virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure, any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11, must register with the City Clerk prior to cengaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and for provide proof of registration shall prohibit pour ability to present to this Board.  As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is Lobyist pursuant is Lobyist pursuant to the RMR. WITHERS: Here. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Here. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here. Notice Regarding Ex Parte Communications, please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board, which requires Board Members to disclose — can everybody please silence their phones? Thank you — which requires Board Members to disclose all ex parte communications and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?  And a member of the quasi-judicial Board regarding matters to be heard by the Board.				-
ability for the public to provide comments, which will be non-sworn and without evidentiary value, virtually. Accordingly, only alue, virtually. Accordingly, only in this format may appear and provide those in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom. Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure, any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11, must register with the City Clerk prior to cengaging in lobbying activities or committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit provide proof of registration shall prohibit A Schair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting Of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is Jil, if you would please call the roll. Jil, and R. BUCELO: Here. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Here. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  Wenny Torre?  MR. TORRE: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  HE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  HE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS: Here.  HE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS:  Here.  HE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS:  Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  HE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS:  Here.  HE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS:  Here.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS:  Here.  HE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS:  Here.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS:  Here.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS:  Here.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  HE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?				I making to Bonne Bonne into controllored and
which will be non-sworn and without evidentiary value, virtually. Accordingly, only  walue, virtually. Accordingly, only  midividuals who wish to provide public comment  in this format may appear and provide those  comments via Zoom.  Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure, any  person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the  City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11,  must register with the City Clerk prior to  engaging in lobbying activities or  committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy  of the Ordinance is available in the Office of  the City Clerk. Failure to register and  for the City Clerk. Failure to register and  As Chair, I now officially call the City of  Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting  of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is  Jill, if you would please call the roll.  Mr. BEHAR: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  Venny Torre?  MR. TORRE: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  Notice Regarding Ex Parte Communications, please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board, which requires Board  Members to disclose — can everybody please silence their phones? Thank you — which requires Board Members to disclose all ex parte communications and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication is defined as any contact, communication that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board  MR. BEHAR: Here.		Page 3		Page 4
value, virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom.  Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure, any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11, must register with the City Clerk prior to cengaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit provide proof of registration shall prohibit As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is Jill, if you would please call the roll. Jill, if you would please call the your and a member of the quasi-judicial Board Jill, you would	1	ability for the public to provide comments,	1	MR. BUCELO: Here.
individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom.  Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure, any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11, must register with the City Clerk prior to cengaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit provide proof of registration shall prohibit As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is Jill, if you would please call the roll. Jill, if you attended the search of the Card of the Card of the Quasi-judicial Board Are Chair, I now officially call the City. Jill, if you would please call the roll. Jill	2	which will be non-sworn and without evidentiary	2	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
in this format may appear and provide those comments via Zoom.  Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure, any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11, must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit provide proof of registration shall prohibit As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is Jill, if you would please call the roll. Jill, and Disclosure,	3	value, virtually. Accordingly, only	3	MS. MIRO: Here.
comments via Zoom.  Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure, any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11, must register with the City Clerk prior to presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit provide proof of registration shall prohibit As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting City Clerk. Failure to redict the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting City Clerk. Failure to redict the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting City Clerk. Failure to redict the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting City Clerk. The time is Communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board MR. BEHAR: Here.	4	individuals who wish to provide public comment	4	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure, any person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11, must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit provide proof of registration shall prohibit As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  AMR. BEHAR: Here.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  AND. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  AND. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  AND. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?  AND. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  AND. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers.  AND. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers.  The SECRE	5	in this format may appear and provide those	5	Venny Torre?
person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the  City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11,  must register with the City Clerk prior to  engaging in lobbying activities or  presentations before City Staff, Boards,  Committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy  of the Ordinance is available in the Office of  the City Clerk. Failure to register and  provide proof of registration shall prohibit  provide proof of registration shall prohibit  As Chair, I now officially call the City of  Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting  Dill, if you would please call the roll.  Jill, if you would please call the roll.  MR. WITHERS: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  DAIT CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  DAIT CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  Notice Regarding Ex Parte Communications, please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial Board regarding matters to be heard by the Board.	6	comments via Zoom.	6	MR. TORRE: Here.
City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11, must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit provide proof of registration shall prohibit As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is Jill, if you would please call the roll.  THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.   CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTATE.  Notice Regarding Ex varies Communications, plan	7	Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure, any	7	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
must register with the City Clerk prior to engaging in lobbying activities or presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit provide proof of registration shall prohibit As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is Jill, if you would please call the roll. Jill, if you would please call the roll.  THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?  Motice Regarding Ex Parte Communications, Notice Regarding Ex Parte Communications, Notice Regarding Ex Parte Communications, Photoce Regarding Ex Parte Communications, please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board, which requires Board which requires Board which requires Board whether to disclose — can everybody please silence their phones? Thank you — which requires Board Members to disclose all ex parte communications and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board regarding matters to be heard by the Board.	8	person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the	8	MR. WITHERS: Here.
11 engaging in lobbying activities or 12 presentations before City Staff, Boards, 13 Committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy 14 of the Ordinance is available in the Office of 15 the City Clerk. Failure to register and 16 provide proof of registration shall prohibit 17 your ability to present to this Board. 18 As Chair, I now officially call the City of 19 Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting 20 of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is 21 6:02. 22 Jill, if you would please call the roll. 23 THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? 24 MR. BEHAR: Here. 21 Notice Regarding Ex Parte Communications, please that this Board is a quasi-judicial board, which requires Board is a quasi-judicial board requires Board is a quasi-judicial board is a quasi-judicial board.  Nembers to disclose can everybody please silence their phones? Thank you which requires Board Members to disclose can everybody please the city of silence their phones? Thank you which requires Board Members to disclose can everybody please the observed board is a quasi-judicial board that takes place outside of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board regarding matters to be heard by the Board.	9	City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11,	9	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit provide proof of registration shall prohibit As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is  field:  presentations before City Staff, Boards, please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board, which requires Board Members to disclose can everybody please silence their phones? Thank you which requires Board Members to disclose all ex parte communications and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?  As Chair, I now officially call the roll.  As Chair, I now officially call the City of public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board regarding matters to be heard by the Board.	10	must register with the City Clerk prior to	10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.
presentations before City Staff, Boards, Committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit provide proof of registration shall prohibit As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is  field:  presentations before City Staff, Boards, please be advised that this Board is a quasi-judicial board, which requires Board Members to disclose can everybody please silence their phones? Thank you which requires Board Members to disclose all ex parte communications and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?  As Chair, I now officially call the roll.  As Chair, I now officially call the City of public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board regarding matters to be heard by the Board.	11	engaging in lobbying activities or	11	Notice Regarding Ex Parte Communications,
Committees, and/or the City Commission. A copy of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit provide proof of registration shall prohibit As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is Jill, if you would please call the roll. Jill, if you would please call the roll.  THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?  Members to disclose can everybody please silence their phones? Thank you which requires Board Members to disclose all ex parte communications and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board memoranding matters to be heard by the Board.	12		12	
of the Ordinance is available in the Office of the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit provide proof of registration shall prohibit pour ability to present to this Board.  As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is  if the City Clerk. Failure to register and communications and site visits. An exparte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the like you would please call the roll. like SECRETARY: Robert Behar?  All Members to disclose — can everybody please silence their phones? Thank you — which requires Board Members to disclose all exparte communications and site visits. An exparte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board	13	•	13	-
the City Clerk. Failure to register and provide proof of registration shall prohibit  requires Board Members to disclose all ex parte communications and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is  requires Board Members to disclose all ex parte communications and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board mr. BEHAR: Here.  Reparted As Chair, I now officially call the City of the provide proof of registration shall prohibit to requires Board Members to disclose all ex parte communications and site visits. An ex parte communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board regarding matters to be heard by the Board.	14		14	
provide proof of registration shall prohibit  your ability to present to this Board.  As Chair, I now officially call the City of  Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting  of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is  line is  line if you would please call the roll.  Jill, if you would please call the roll.  THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?  MR. BEHAR: Here.  16  17  communications and site visits. An ex parte  communication is defined as any contact,  communication, conversation, correspondence,  memorandum or other written or verbal  communication that takes place outside of the  public hearing between a member of the public  and a member of the quasi-judicial Board  regarding matters to be heard by the Board.	15		15	* * *
your ability to present to this Board.  17 communications and site visits. An ex parte 18 As Chair, I now officially call the City of 18 communication is defined as any contact, 19 Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting 20 of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is 21 6:02. 22 Jill, if you would please call the roll. 23 THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? 24 MR. BEHAR: Here. 25 defined as any contact, 26 communication is defined as any contact, 27 communication, conversation, correspondence, 28 memorandum or other written or verbal 29 communication that takes place outside of the 20 public hearing between a member of the public 21 and a member of the quasi-judicial Board 22 regarding matters to be heard by the Board.	16		16	
As Chair, I now officially call the City of Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting Of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is Officially communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the Jill, if you would please call the roll. Jill, if you would please call the roll. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?  As Chair, I now officially call the City of communication is defined as any contact, communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board MR. BEHAR: Here.	17		1	
Coral Gables Planning and Zoning Board Meeting of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is 20 memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the 21 december 8th, 2021 to order. The time is 22 communication that takes place outside of the 23 Jill, if you would please call the roll. 24 mr. BEHAR: Here. 25 december 8th, 2021 to order. The time is 26 memorandum or other written or verbal communication, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication, conversation, conversation, correspondence, memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board regarding matters to be heard by the Board.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
of December 8th, 2021 to order. The time is 20 memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board MR. BEHAR: Here.  20 memorandum or other written or verbal communication that takes place outside of the public hearing between a member of the public and a member of the quasi-judicial Board regarding matters to be heard by the Board.			1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21 communication that takes place outside of the 22 Jill, if you would please call the roll. 23 THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? 24 MR. BEHAR: Here. 21 communication that takes place outside of the 22 public hearing between a member of the public 23 and a member of the quasi-judicial Board 24 regarding matters to be heard by the Board.			l .	_
Jill, if you would please call the roll.  22 public hearing between a member of the public  23 THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?  24 MR. BEHAR: Here.  22 public hearing between a member of the public  23 and a member of the quasi-judicial Board  24 regarding matters to be heard by the Board.			l .	
THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? 23 and a member of the quasi-judicial Board mR. BEHAR: Here. 24 regarding matters to be heard by the Board.			1	_
MR. BEHAR: Here. 24 regarding matters to be heard by the Board.				
			l .	
1 anyone made any contact with a Board				• •
		THE SECRETARY. THEY DUCTO.		if anyone made any contact with a board

	Page 5		Page 6
1	Member regarding an issue before the Board, the	1	the official records of your name and address
2	Board Member must state, on the record, the	2	will be correct. With the exception of
3	existence of the ex parte communication and the	3	attorneys, all persons physically in the City
4	party who originated the communication. Also,	4	Commission Chambers who will be speaking on an
5	if a Board Member conducted a site visit	5	agenda item tonight before us, please rise to
6	specifically related to the case before the	6	be sworn in.
7	Board, the Board Member must also disclose such	7	(Thereupon, the participants were sworn.)
8	visit. In either case, the Board Member must	8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
9	state, on the record, whether the ex parte	9	And please make sure that you have
10	communication and/or site visit will affect the	10	registered with Jill, if you are going to
11	Board Member's ability to impartially consider	11	speak, because she will be calling the speakers
12	the evidence to be presented regarding the	12	tonight.
13	matter. The Board Member should also state	13	Zoom platform participants, I will ask any
14	that his or her decision will be based on	14	person wishing to speak on tonight's agenda
15	substantial competent evidence and testimony	15	items to please open their chat and send a
16	presented on the record today.	16	direct message to Jill Menendez, stating what
17	Does any Board Member have such	17	item you would like to speak before the Board
18	communication and/or site visit to disclose at	18	on, and include your full name. On your Zoom,
19	this time?	19	you should have your full name. Jill will call
20	MR. BEHAR: No.	20	you when it's your turn. Depending on the
21	MR. BUCELO: No.	21	number of speakers, I'd ask you to be concise,
22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No?	22	in the interest of time.
23	Regarding the swearing in, everyone who	23	Phone platform participants, after the Zoom
24	speaks this evening must complete the roster on	24	platform participants are done, I will ask
25	the podium. We ask that you print clearly, so	25	phone participants to continue on tonight's
			1 1
	Page 7		D 0
	5		Page 8
1	agenda items. I will also ask you to be	1	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
1 2		1 2	
	agenda items. I will also ask you to be		THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
2	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to	2	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes.
2	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of	2 3	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
2 3 4	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and	2 3 4	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes.
2 3 4 5	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn	2 3 4 5	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
2 3 4 5 6	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn to state how they feel and what their opinion	2 3 4 5 6	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn to state how they feel and what their opinion is.	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn to state how they feel and what their opinion is.  We have gone ahead and received 23 e-mails.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn to state how they feel and what their opinion is.  We have gone ahead and received 23 e-mails.  Jill, is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn to state how they feel and what their opinion is.  We have gone ahead and received 23 e-mails.  Jill, is that correct?  THE SECRETARY: Yes, correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn to state how they feel and what their opinion is.  We have gone ahead and received 23 e-mails.  Jill, is that correct?  THE SECRETARY: Yes, correct.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what I'd like to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we will use tonight,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn to state how they feel and what their opinion is.  We have gone ahead and received 23 e-mails.  Jill, is that correct?  THE SECRETARY: Yes, correct.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what I'd like to do is, Jill, I would like to enter all 23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we will use tonight, we'll first have the identification of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn to state how they feel and what their opinion is.  We have gone ahead and received 23 e-mails.  Jill, is that correct?  THE SECRETARY: Yes, correct.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what I'd like to do is, Jill, I would like to enter all 23 e-mails into the record at this time, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we will use tonight, we'll first have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller. The presentation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn to state how they feel and what their opinion is.  We have gone ahead and received 23 e-mails.  Jill, is that correct?  THE SECRETARY: Yes, correct.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what I'd like to do is, Jill, I would like to enter all 23 e-mails into the record at this time, please.  First I'd like to go ahead and look at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we will use tonight, we'll first have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller. The presentation will then be by Staff. And, then, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn to state how they feel and what their opinion is.  We have gone ahead and received 23 e-mails.  Jill, is that correct?  THE SECRETARY: Yes, correct.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what I'd like to do is, Jill, I would like to enter all 23 e-mails into the record at this time, please.  First I'd like to go ahead and look at the minutes of October 13, 2021. Would anybody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we will use tonight, we'll first have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller. The presentation will then be by Staff. And, then, the presentation by an applicant or agent, if there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn to state how they feel and what their opinion is.  We have gone ahead and received 23 e-mails.  Jill, is that correct?  THE SECRETARY: Yes, correct.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what I'd like to do is, Jill, I would like to enter all 23 e-mails into the record at this time, please.  First I'd like to go ahead and look at the minutes of October 13, 2021. Would anybody like to make a motion to approve?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we will use tonight, we'll first have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller. The presentation will then be by Staff. And, then, the presentation by an applicant or agent, if there is one for that particular item. I will then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn to state how they feel and what their opinion is.  We have gone ahead and received 23 e-mails.  Jill, is that correct?  THE SECRETARY: Yes, correct.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what I'd like to do is, Jill, I would like to enter all 23 e-mails into the record at this time, please.  First I'd like to go ahead and look at the minutes of October 13, 2021. Would anybody like to make a motion to approve?  MR. BEHAR: I make a motion for approval.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we will use tonight, we'll first have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller. The presentation will then be by Staff. And, then, the presentation by an applicant or agent, if there is one for that particular item. I will then open the public comment, first in chamber, then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn to state how they feel and what their opinion is.  We have gone ahead and received 23 e-mails.  Jill, is that correct?  THE SECRETARY: Yes, correct.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what I'd like to do is, Jill, I would like to enter all 23 e-mails into the record at this time, please.  First I'd like to go ahead and look at the minutes of October 13, 2021. Would anybody like to make a motion to approve?  MR. BEHAR: I make a motion for approval.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert moved.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we will use tonight, we'll first have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller. The presentation will then be by Staff. And, then, the presentation by an applicant or agent, if there is one for that particular item. I will then open the public comment, first in chamber, then to Zoom platform, and then the phone line
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn to state how they feel and what their opinion is.  We have gone ahead and received 23 e-mails.  Jill, is that correct?  THE SECRETARY: Yes, correct.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what I'd like to do is, Jill, I would like to enter all 23 e-mails into the record at this time, please.  First I'd like to go ahead and look at the minutes of October 13, 2021. Would anybody like to make a motion to approve?  MR. BEHAR: I make a motion for approval.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert moved.  MS. MIRO: Second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we will use tonight, we'll first have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller. The presentation will then be by Staff. And, then, the presentation by an applicant or agent, if there is one for that particular item. I will then open the public comment, first in chamber, then to Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, I will close the public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn to state how they feel and what their opinion is.  We have gone ahead and received 23 e-mails.  Jill, is that correct?  THE SECRETARY: Yes, correct.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what I'd like to do is, Jill, I would like to enter all 23 e-mails into the record at this time, please.  First I'd like to go ahead and look at the minutes of October 13, 2021. Would anybody like to make a motion to approve?  MR. BEHAR: I make a motion for approval.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert moved.  MS. MIRO: Second.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we will use tonight, we'll first have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller. The presentation will then be by Staff. And, then, the presentation by an applicant or agent, if there is one for that particular item. I will then open the public comment, first in chamber, then to Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, I will close the public comment, we will have a Board discussion, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn to state how they feel and what their opinion is.  We have gone ahead and received 23 e-mails.  Jill, is that correct?  THE SECRETARY: Yes, correct.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what I'd like to do is, Jill, I would like to enter all 23 e-mails into the record at this time, please.  First I'd like to go ahead and look at the minutes of October 13, 2021. Would anybody like to make a motion to approve?  MR. BEHAR: I make a motion for approval.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert moved.  MS. MIRO: Second.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second.  MR. BUCELO: Second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we will use tonight, we'll first have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller. The presentation will then be by Staff. And, then, the presentation by an applicant or agent, if there is one for that particular item. I will then open the public comment, first in chamber, then to Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, I will close the public comment, we will have a Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, a second of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn to state how they feel and what their opinion is.  We have gone ahead and received 23 e-mails.  Jill, is that correct?  THE SECRETARY: Yes, correct.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what I'd like to do is, Jill, I would like to enter all 23 e-mails into the record at this time, please.  First I'd like to go ahead and look at the minutes of October 13, 2021. Would anybody like to make a motion to approve?  MR. BEHAR: I make a motion for approval.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert moved.  MS. MIRO: Second.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second.  MR. BUCELO: Second.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any discussion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we will use tonight, we'll first have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller. The presentation will then be by Staff. And, then, the presentation by an applicant or agent, if there is one for that particular item. I will then open the public comment, first in chamber, then to Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, I will close the public comment, we will have a Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, a second of the motion, if necessary, and then the Board's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	agenda items. I will also ask you to be concise for time. I will limit each speaker to three minutes, because there is quite a bit of speakers and people that are here tonight and I'd like to make sure everybody has their turn to state how they feel and what their opinion is.  We have gone ahead and received 23 e-mails.  Jill, is that correct?  THE SECRETARY: Yes, correct.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what I'd like to do is, Jill, I would like to enter all 23 e-mails into the record at this time, please.  First I'd like to go ahead and look at the minutes of October 13, 2021. Would anybody like to make a motion to approve?  MR. BEHAR: I make a motion for approval.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert moved.  MS. MIRO: Second.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second.  MR. BUCELO: Second.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any discussion?  Jill, call the roll, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. The procedure that we will use tonight, we'll first have the identification of the agenda item by Mr. Coller. The presentation will then be by Staff. And, then, the presentation by an applicant or agent, if there is one for that particular item. I will then open the public comment, first in chamber, then to Zoom platform, and then the phone line platform. Afterwards, I will close the public comment, we will have a Board discussion, a motion, further discussion, a second of the motion, if necessary, and then the Board's final comments, before the vote. Thank you.

	Page 9		Page 10
1	get in before-hand Item E-8, Mr. Trias?	1	clarifications to the Recreation and Open Space
2	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, that's my	2	Element, Capital Improvements Elements, and all
3	recommendation. It should be a routine item,	3	corresponding map series; providing for a
4	but a very good one. It's the open space	4	repealer provision, providing for a
5	amendments to the Comp Plan. If I could have	5	severability clause and providing an effective
6	the PowerPoint.	6	date.
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If it's okay with	7	Item E-8, public hearing.
8	everybody, I'm going to go ahead with	8	MR. TRIAS: May I have the PowerPoint,
9	MR. BEHAR: I'm okay with that, if we make	9	which is only two slides, by the way?
10	it quickly, yes.	10	We're here for a routine amendment to the
11	MR. TRIAS: I think you can make it	11	Comp Plan that has been the result of over two
12	quickly, because Fred has done a fantastic job	12	years of very, very good work by a consultant
13	in the last two and half, three years.	13	and by the staff of Recreation and Open Space.
14	MR. COLLER: Okay. I think we should read	14	There are two things that are being amended,
15	into the record Item E-8. It's E-8, right?	15	the text, and you can see a summary of the
16	MR. TRIAS: E-8, yes sir.	16	changes, such as the level of service, the
17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Coller, would you	17	facilities, the different district and regional
18	please?	18	parks, some technical changes to the names,
19	MR. COLLER: Okay. Item E-8, an Ordinance	19	nomenclature, that Fred could explain in great
20	of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida	20	detail, and the other amendment is the map.
21	granting approval of proposed amendments to the	21	The map, we're still working on it, but the
22	text and maps of the City of Coral Gables	22	map basically shows you the level of service,
23	Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to expedited state	23	how you have neighborhood parks within a
24	review procedures and Zoning Code Article 14,	24	minute's walk, generally, in most of the City.
25	"Process," to provide updates and	25	MR. COUCEYRO: The amendment is one of
	Page 11		_ 10
	rage ii		Page 12
1	the City's strategic plans was to have that	1	Page 12 Before we do that, I'd like to open it.
1 2		1 2	
	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family		Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this
2	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that	2	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or
2	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family	2 3 4 5	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?
2 3 4	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more	2 3 4	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?
2 3 4 5	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our	2 3 4 5	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more parks to make sure, because, in some cases, the radius was over a waterway. There's no way	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and close it to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more parks to make sure, because, in some cases, the radius was over a waterway. There's no way that someone, unless you had a canoe or kayak,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and close it to public comment and open it for Board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more parks to make sure, because, in some cases, the radius was over a waterway. There's no way that someone, unless you had a canoe or kayak, to get to that park. So we had to look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and close it to public comment and open it for Board discussion. Robert?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more parks to make sure, because, in some cases, the radius was over a waterway. There's no way that someone, unless you had a canoe or kayak, to get to that park. So we had to look at changing those maps. And the rest of it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and close it to public comment and open it for Board discussion. Robert?  MR. BEHAR: I will start. Thank you, Fred.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more parks to make sure, because, in some cases, the radius was over a waterway. There's no way that someone, unless you had a canoe or kayak, to get to that park. So we had to look at changing those maps. And the rest of it MR. TRIAS: Yeah. So it's a plan document.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and close it to public comment and open it for Board discussion. Robert?  MR. BEHAR: I will start. Thank you, Fred. And looking at the map, what this map shows me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more parks to make sure, because, in some cases, the radius was over a waterway. There's no way that someone, unless you had a canoe or kayak, to get to that park. So we had to look at changing those maps. And the rest of it  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. So it's a plan document. It's not the existing conditions, it's what the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and close it to public comment and open it for Board discussion. Robert?  MR. BEHAR: I will start. Thank you, Fred. And looking at the map, what this map shows me particularly is that we need more green space.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more parks to make sure, because, in some cases, the radius was over a waterway. There's no way that someone, unless you had a canoe or kayak, to get to that park. So we had to look at changing those maps. And the rest of it  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. So it's a plan document. It's not the existing conditions, it's what the City is trying to do. And the time line of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and close it to public comment and open it for Board discussion. Robert?  MR. BEHAR: I will start. Thank you, Fred. And looking at the map, what this map shows me particularly is that we need more green space.  Whether they're small parks or large parks, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more parks to make sure, because, in some cases, the radius was over a waterway. There's no way that someone, unless you had a canoe or kayak, to get to that park. So we had to look at changing those maps. And the rest of it  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. So it's a plan document.  It's not the existing conditions, it's what the  City is trying to do. And the time line of review is, today Planning and Zoning is looking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and close it to public comment and open it for Board discussion. Robert?  MR. BEHAR: I will start. Thank you, Fred. And looking at the map, what this map shows me particularly is that we need more green space.  Whether they're small parks or large parks, we need more space walking distance from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more parks to make sure, because, in some cases, the radius was over a waterway. There's no way that someone, unless you had a canoe or kayak, to get to that park. So we had to look at changing those maps. And the rest of it  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. So it's a plan document. It's not the existing conditions, it's what the City is trying to do. And the time line of review is, today Planning and Zoning is looking at it, the City Commission will do the First	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and close it to public comment and open it for Board discussion. Robert?  MR. BEHAR: I will start. Thank you, Fred. And looking at the map, what this map shows me particularly is that we need more green space.  Whether they're small parks or large parks, we need more space walking distance from the residents, and we've got to do whatever it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more parks to make sure, because, in some cases, the radius was over a waterway. There's no way that someone, unless you had a canoe or kayak, to get to that park. So we had to look at changing those maps. And the rest of it  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. So it's a plan document. It's not the existing conditions, it's what the City is trying to do. And the time line of review is, today Planning and Zoning is looking at it, the City Commission will do the First Reading, date to be announced, then the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and close it to public comment and open it for Board discussion. Robert?  MR. BEHAR: I will start. Thank you, Fred. And looking at the map, what this map shows me particularly is that we need more green space.  Whether they're small parks or large parks, we need more space walking distance from the residents, and we've got to do whatever it takes, from the City, to promote to get more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more parks to make sure, because, in some cases, the radius was over a waterway. There's no way that someone, unless you had a canoe or kayak, to get to that park. So we had to look at changing those maps. And the rest of it  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. So it's a plan document. It's not the existing conditions, it's what the City is trying to do. And the time line of review is, today Planning and Zoning is looking at it, the City Commission will do the First Reading, date to be announced, then the Department of Economic Opportunity of the State	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and close it to public comment and open it for Board discussion. Robert?  MR. BEHAR: I will start. Thank you, Fred. And looking at the map, what this map shows me particularly is that we need more green space.  Whether they're small parks or large parks, we need more space walking distance from the residents, and we've got to do whatever it takes, from the City, to promote to get more green space.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more parks to make sure, because, in some cases, the radius was over a waterway. There's no way that someone, unless you had a canoe or kayak, to get to that park. So we had to look at changing those maps. And the rest of it  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. So it's a plan document. It's not the existing conditions, it's what the City is trying to do. And the time line of review is, today Planning and Zoning is looking at it, the City Commission will do the First Reading, date to be announced, then the Department of Economic Opportunity of the State has to review it, and then there's the Second	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and close it to public comment and open it for Board discussion. Robert?  MR. BEHAR: I will start. Thank you, Fred. And looking at the map, what this map shows me particularly is that we need more green space.  Whether they're small parks or large parks, we need more space walking distance from the residents, and we've got to do whatever it takes, from the City, to promote to get more green space.  I think you did a wonderful job. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more parks to make sure, because, in some cases, the radius was over a waterway. There's no way that someone, unless you had a canoe or kayak, to get to that park. So we had to look at changing those maps. And the rest of it  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. So it's a plan document. It's not the existing conditions, it's what the City is trying to do. And the time line of review is, today Planning and Zoning is looking at it, the City Commission will do the First Reading, date to be announced, then the Department of Economic Opportunity of the State has to review it, and then there's the Second Reading of the Commission.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and close it to public comment and open it for Board discussion. Robert?  MR. BEHAR: I will start. Thank you, Fred. And looking at the map, what this map shows me particularly is that we need more green space.  Whether they're small parks or large parks, we need more space walking distance from the residents, and we've got to do whatever it takes, from the City, to promote to get more green space.  I think you did a wonderful job. I don't have any comments. If anything else? If not,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more parks to make sure, because, in some cases, the radius was over a waterway. There's no way that someone, unless you had a canoe or kayak, to get to that park. So we had to look at changing those maps. And the rest of it  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. So it's a plan document. It's not the existing conditions, it's what the City is trying to do. And the time line of review is, today Planning and Zoning is looking at it, the City Commission will do the First Reading, date to be announced, then the Department of Economic Opportunity of the State has to review it, and then there's the Second Reading of the Commission.  So Staff found the amendments consistent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and close it to public comment and open it for Board discussion. Robert?  MR. BEHAR: I will start. Thank you, Fred. And looking at the map, what this map shows me particularly is that we need more green space.  Whether they're small parks or large parks, we need more space walking distance from the residents, and we've got to do whatever it takes, from the City, to promote to get more green space.  I think you did a wonderful job. I don't have any comments. If anything else? If not, I'll make a motion for approval.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more parks to make sure, because, in some cases, the radius was over a waterway. There's no way that someone, unless you had a canoe or kayak, to get to that park. So we had to look at changing those maps. And the rest of it—  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. So it's a plan document.  It's not the existing conditions, it's what the  City is trying to do. And the time line of review is, today Planning and Zoning is looking at it, the City Commission will do the First Reading, date to be announced, then the  Department of Economic Opportunity of the State has to review it, and then there's the Second Reading of the Commission.  So Staff found the amendments consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and recommended	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and close it to public comment and open it for Board discussion. Robert?  MR. BEHAR: I will start. Thank you, Fred. And looking at the map, what this map shows me particularly is that we need more green space.  Whether they're small parks or large parks, we need more space walking distance from the residents, and we've got to do whatever it takes, from the City, to promote to get more green space.  I think you did a wonderful job. I don't have any comments. If anything else? If not, I'll make a motion for approval.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody else have any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more parks to make sure, because, in some cases, the radius was over a waterway. There's no way that someone, unless you had a canoe or kayak, to get to that park. So we had to look at changing those maps. And the rest of it  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. So it's a plan document. It's not the existing conditions, it's what the City is trying to do. And the time line of review is, today Planning and Zoning is looking at it, the City Commission will do the First Reading, date to be announced, then the Department of Economic Opportunity of the State has to review it, and then there's the Second Reading of the Commission.  So Staff found the amendments consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and recommended approval for both, the text and the map.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and close it to public comment and open it for Board discussion. Robert?  MR. BEHAR: I will start. Thank you, Fred. And looking at the map, what this map shows me particularly is that we need more green space.  Whether they're small parks or large parks, we need more space walking distance from the residents, and we've got to do whatever it takes, from the City, to promote to get more green space.  I think you did a wonderful job. I don't have any comments. If anything else? If not, I'll make a motion for approval.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody else have any comments from the Board?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more parks to make sure, because, in some cases, the radius was over a waterway. There's no way that someone, unless you had a canoe or kayak, to get to that park. So we had to look at changing those maps. And the rest of it  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. So it's a plan document. It's not the existing conditions, it's what the City is trying to do. And the time line of review is, today Planning and Zoning is looking at it, the City Commission will do the First Reading, date to be announced, then the Department of Economic Opportunity of the State has to review it, and then there's the Second Reading of the Commission.  So Staff found the amendments consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and recommended approval for both, the text and the map.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Somebody's cell phone.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and close it to public comment and open it for Board discussion. Robert?  MR. BEHAR: I will start. Thank you, Fred. And looking at the map, what this map shows me particularly is that we need more green space.  Whether they're small parks or large parks, we need more space walking distance from the residents, and we've got to do whatever it takes, from the City, to promote to get more green space.  I think you did a wonderful job. I don't have any comments. If anything else? If not, I'll make a motion for approval.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody else have any comments from the Board?  Just one question, this is all supposed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the City's strategic plans was to have that ten-minute walk to a park within a neighborhood, but to not have to have a family have to cross a major roadway. So what that did is, that kind of cut off some of our radiuses, so it means we have to find some more parks to make sure, because, in some cases, the radius was over a waterway. There's no way that someone, unless you had a canoe or kayak, to get to that park. So we had to look at changing those maps. And the rest of it  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. So it's a plan document. It's not the existing conditions, it's what the City is trying to do. And the time line of review is, today Planning and Zoning is looking at it, the City Commission will do the First Reading, date to be announced, then the Department of Economic Opportunity of the State has to review it, and then there's the Second Reading of the Commission.  So Staff found the amendments consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and recommended approval for both, the text and the map.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Before we do that, I'd like to open it.  Are there any speakers for this item here tonight? Anybody that wishes to speak for this item? Jill, do we have anybody on the Zoom or phone line?  THE SECRETARY: No?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and close it to public comment and open it for Board discussion. Robert?  MR. BEHAR: I will start. Thank you, Fred. And looking at the map, what this map shows me particularly is that we need more green space.  Whether they're small parks or large parks, we need more space walking distance from the residents, and we've got to do whatever it takes, from the City, to promote to get more green space.  I think you did a wonderful job. I don't have any comments. If anything else? If not, I'll make a motion for approval.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody else have any comments from the Board?

	Page 13		Page 14
1	recall?	1	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
2	MR. COUCEYRO: Well, that's part of the	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
3	the strategic plan. Through the Master Plan,	3	Thank you very much.
4	one of the strategies and the goals is to have	4	Since we have a 6:15 time certain, it is
5	linkages and access to the parks.	5	6:12. We finished a little bit quicker than I
6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay.	6	anticipated. Craig, do we need to hold off
7	MR. COUCEYRO: It's not sidewalks, per se,	7	until 6:15 or can we start with the first item?
8	throughout the City, but it's linkages to the	8	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I would recommend
9	parks.	9	you wait until 6:15, given the fact that that's
10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Thank you.	10	the way it was advertised, and I don't think we
11	We have a motion. Is there a second?	11	have time to really do any other items.
12	MR. TORRE: Second.	12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah, we don't have
13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconded. Any	13	time.
14	discussion?	14	MR. TRIAS: One minute, Craig.
15	Jill, call the roll, please.	15	MR. COLLER: I would wait.
16	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?	16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Thank you. We
17	MS. MIRO: Yes.	17	are going to take a two-minute break, please,
18	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	18	everybody, and I apologize. Thank you. Thanks
19	MR. TORRE: Yes.	19	for your patience.
20	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	20	(Short recess taken.)
21	MR. WITHERS: Yes.	21	MR. BEHAR: Mr. Chairman, while we wait,
22	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	22	I
23	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Silence, please, in
24	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?	24	the Chamber. Thank you.
25	MR. BUCELO: Yes.	25	MR. BEHAR: Just for the record, I
			·
	Page 15		Page 16
1	definitely have to be out of here by nine	1	date.
2	o'clock sharp. So I don't know if we're going	2	Item E-1, public hearing.
3	to be able to	3	
4		3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Do we need
-	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, I'm hoping we	4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Do we need to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, I'm hoping we do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine		·
		4 5 6	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?
5	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote,	4 5 6 7	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on
5 6 7 8	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote, as required. Thank you.	4 5 6 7 8	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?  MR. COLLER: I don't have  MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, those are not
5 6 7 8 9	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote, as required. Thank you.  All right. If everybody would please take	4 5 6 7 8	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?  MR. COLLER: I don't have  MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, those are not related.
5 6 7 8 9	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote, as required. Thank you.  All right. If everybody would please take their seat. It's 6:15. We would like to get	4 5 6 7 8 9	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?  MR. COLLER: I don't have MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, those are not related.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They are not related
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote, as required. Thank you.  All right. If everybody would please take their seat. It's 6:15. We would like to get the item going. If everybody would take their	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?  MR. COLLER: I don't have  MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, those are not related.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They are not related to E-1?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote, as required. Thank you.  All right. If everybody would please take their seat. It's 6:15. We would like to get the item going. If everybody would take their seats.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?  MR. COLLER: I don't have  MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, those are not related.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They are not related to E-1?  MR. TRIAS: They are related to themselves,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote, as required. Thank you.  All right. If everybody would please take their seat. It's 6:15. We would like to get the item going. If everybody would take their seats.  At this time, we will start with Agenda	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?  MR. COLLER: I don't have  MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, those are not related.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They are not related to E-1?  MR. TRIAS: They are related to themselves, but not to E-1.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote, as required. Thank you.  All right. If everybody would please take their seat. It's 6:15. We would like to get the item going. If everybody would take their seats.  At this time, we will start with Agenda Item E-1. Mr. Coller.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?  MR. COLLER: I don't have MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, those are not related.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They are not related to E-1?  MR. TRIAS: They are related to themselves, but not to E-1.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. So I misread
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote, as required. Thank you.  All right. If everybody would please take their seat. It's 6:15. We would like to get the item going. If everybody would take their seats.  At this time, we will start with Agenda Item E-1. Mr. Coller.  MR. COLLER: Item E-1, an Ordinance of the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?  MR. COLLER: I don't have MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, those are not related.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They are not related to E-1?  MR. TRIAS: They are related to themselves, but not to E-1.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. So I misread that. Thank you very much. Go ahead, please.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote, as required. Thank you.  All right. If everybody would please take their seat. It's 6:15. We would like to get the item going. If everybody would take their seats.  At this time, we will start with Agenda Item E-1. Mr. Coller.  MR. COLLER: Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?  MR. COLLER: I don't have MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, those are not related.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They are not related to E-1?  MR. TRIAS: They are related to themselves, but not to E-1.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. So I misread that. Thank you very much. Go ahead, please. MS. CABRERA: Good evening. I'm Suramy
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote, as required. Thank you.  All right. If everybody would please take their seat. It's 6:15. We would like to get the item going. If everybody would take their seats.  At this time, we will start with Agenda Item E-1. Mr. Coller.  MR. COLLER: Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for text amendments to the City of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?  MR. COLLER: I don't have MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, those are not related.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They are not related to E-1?  MR. TRIAS: They are related to themselves, but not to E-1.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. So I misread that. Thank you very much. Go ahead, please.  MS. CABRERA: Good evening. I'm Suramy Cabrera, Development Services Director. And I
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote, as required. Thank you.  All right. If everybody would please take their seat. It's 6:15. We would like to get the item going. If everybody would take their seats.  At this time, we will start with Agenda Item E-1. Mr. Coller.  MR. COLLER: Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 4,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?  MR. COLLER: I don't have MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, those are not related.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They are not related to E-1?  MR. TRIAS: They are related to themselves, but not to E-1.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. So I misread that. Thank you very much. Go ahead, please.  MS. CABRERA: Good evening. I'm Suramy Cabrera, Development Services Director. And I think this is the first time I'm in front of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote, as required. Thank you.  All right. If everybody would please take their seat. It's 6:15. We would like to get the item going. If everybody would take their seats.  At this time, we will start with Agenda Item E-1. Mr. Coller.  MR. COLLER: Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 4, "Zoning Districts," Section 2-100, "Residential	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?  MR. COLLER: I don't have MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, those are not related.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They are not related to E-1?  MR. TRIAS: They are related to themselves, but not to E-1.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. So I misread that. Thank you very much. Go ahead, please.  MS. CABRERA: Good evening. I'm Suramy Cabrera, Development Services Director. And I think this is the first time I'm in front of this Board.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote, as required. Thank you.  All right. If everybody would please take their seat. It's 6:15. We would like to get the item going. If everybody would take their seats.  At this time, we will start with Agenda Item E-1. Mr. Coller.  MR. COLLER: Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 4, "Zoning Districts," Section 2-100, "Residential Districts" and Article 5, "Architecture,"	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?  MR. COLLER: I don't have MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, those are not related.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They are not related to E-1?  MR. TRIAS: They are related to themselves, but not to E-1.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. So I misread that. Thank you very much. Go ahead, please. MS. CABRERA: Good evening. I'm Suramy Cabrera, Development Services Director. And I think this is the first time I'm in front of this Board.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Welcome.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote, as required. Thank you.  All right. If everybody would please take their seat. It's 6:15. We would like to get the item going. If everybody would take their seats.  At this time, we will start with Agenda Item E-1. Mr. Coller.  MR. COLLER: Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 4, "Zoning Districts," Section 2-100, "Residential Districts" and Article 5, "Architecture," Section 5-200, "Mediterranean Standards;" to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?  MR. COLLER: I don't have MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, those are not related.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They are not related to E-1?  MR. TRIAS: They are related to themselves, but not to E-1.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. So I misread that. Thank you very much. Go ahead, please.  MS. CABRERA: Good evening. I'm Suramy Cabrera, Development Services Director. And I think this is the first time I'm in front of this Board.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Welcome. MR. CABRERA: So I'm here today to talk
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote, as required. Thank you.  All right. If everybody would please take their seat. It's 6:15. We would like to get the item going. If everybody would take their seats.  At this time, we will start with Agenda Item E-1. Mr. Coller.  MR. COLLER: Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 4, "Zoning Districts," Section 2-100, "Residential Districts" and Article 5, "Architecture," Section 5-200, "Mediterranean Standards;" to remove the Mediterranean Bonus of height for	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?  MR. COLLER: I don't have MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, those are not related.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They are not related to E-1?  MR. TRIAS: They are related to themselves, but not to E-1.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. So I misread that. Thank you very much. Go ahead, please.  MS. CABRERA: Good evening. I'm Suramy Cabrera, Development Services Director. And I think this is the first time I'm in front of this Board.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Welcome.  MR. CABRERA: So I'm here today to talk about something very, very specific, and I'm
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote, as required. Thank you.  All right. If everybody would please take their seat. It's 6:15. We would like to get the item going. If everybody would take their seats.  At this time, we will start with Agenda Item E-1. Mr. Coller.  MR. COLLER: Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 4, "Zoning Districts," Section 2-100, "Residential Districts" and Article 5, "Architecture," Section 5-200, "Mediterranean Standards;" to remove the Mediterranean Bonus of height for Multi-Family 4 (MF4) properties south of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?  MR. COLLER: I don't have MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, those are not related.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They are not related to E-1?  MR. TRIAS: They are related to themselves, but not to E-1.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. So I misread that. Thank you very much. Go ahead, please.  MS. CABRERA: Good evening. I'm Suramy Cabrera, Development Services Director. And I think this is the first time I'm in front of this Board.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Welcome.  MR. CABRERA: So I'm here today to talk about something very, very specific, and I'm sure everybody here behind me is for the same,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote, as required. Thank you.  All right. If everybody would please take their seat. It's 6:15. We would like to get the item going. If everybody would take their seats.  At this time, we will start with Agenda Item E-1. Mr. Coller.  MR. COLLER: Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 4, "Zoning Districts," Section 2-100, "Residential Districts" and Article 5, "Architecture," Section 5-200, "Mediterranean Standards;" to remove the Mediterranean Bonus of height for Multi-Family 4 (MF4) properties south of Biltmore Way; providing for severability,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?  MR. COLLER: I don't have MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, those are not related.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They are not related to E-1?  MR. TRIAS: They are related to themselves, but not to E-1.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. So I misread that. Thank you very much. Go ahead, please.  MS. CABRERA: Good evening. I'm Suramy Cabrera, Development Services Director. And I think this is the first time I'm in front of this Board.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Welcome.  MR. CABRERA: So I'm here today to talk about something very, very specific, and I'm sure everybody here behind me is for the same, exact reason, which is, as we went through the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	do, and I will ask, when the time comes at nine o'clock, if any Board Members would like to extend, and at that time, we will take a vote, as required. Thank you.  All right. If everybody would please take their seat. It's 6:15. We would like to get the item going. If everybody would take their seats.  At this time, we will start with Agenda Item E-1. Mr. Coller.  MR. COLLER: Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 4, "Zoning Districts," Section 2-100, "Residential Districts" and Article 5, "Architecture," Section 5-200, "Mediterranean Standards;" to remove the Mediterranean Bonus of height for Multi-Family 4 (MF4) properties south of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to read Items E-2 and E-3, since they're related, at the same time or do we wait on that?  MR. COLLER: I don't have MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, those are not related.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They are not related to E-1?  MR. TRIAS: They are related to themselves, but not to E-1.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. So I misread that. Thank you very much. Go ahead, please.  MS. CABRERA: Good evening. I'm Suramy Cabrera, Development Services Director. And I think this is the first time I'm in front of this Board.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Welcome.  MR. CABRERA: So I'm here today to talk about something very, very specific, and I'm sure everybody here behind me is for the same,

	Page 17		Page 18
1	properties that were basically re-zoned, and in	1	are south of Biltmore Way, so that their height
2	that, there was an issue that certain	2	would basically remain at the 150 feet that
3	properties picked up some additional height, of	3	they had prior to the 2021 Zoning Code change,
4	going from 150 feet to 190.5 feet, which was	4	and that's what's in front of you today.
5	not the intention of that Code amendment.	5	That's really the crux of this entire thing.
6	So what I wanted to show here on the map	6	I don't know if you have any questions for
7	is, the old Zoning Code is on your left, the	7	me. It's pretty much as simple as that.
8	new Code is on your right, and you can see in	8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert.
9	this Code that the properties and the	9	Venny?
10	properties in question are the ones there that	10	MR. TORRE: I want to clarify. The height
11	are in that black dashed line. What happened	11	is given by the Comp Plan or by the Zoning?
12	is that the properties that are north of	12	MS. CABRERA: So the height for the
13	Biltmore Way were Zoned MF2, and they had a	13	properties south of Biltmore Way is through the
14	height limit of 190.5 feet. The properties	14	Site Specifics in the Zoning Code. All of
15	south of Biltmore Way were MFSA, and they had a	15	these properties are High Density, Multi-Family
16	height limit, through a Site Specific, of 150	16	High Density; however, the MFSA ones were not
17	feet. When the Code changed, these all became	17	allowed to get the additional 40 feet through
18	MF4, which is the map you see on the right, and	18	Med Bonus. So those properties were limited to
19	MF4 allows up to 190.5 feet.	19	150.
20	So this was not intentional. It was	20	The ones north of Biltmore Way, as they
21	brought up to us through the resident input,	21	were MF2, were limited to 150, but could get
22	and after Staff looking at it, reviewing it	22	the Med Bonus and take them to the 190.5.
23	with the City Attorneys, we felt that it was	23	MR. TORRE: The Comp Plan is what allows
24	appropriate to come back and remove the	24	the 190?
25	additional height from the MF4 properties that	25	MS. CABRERA: The Comp Plan allows the 190,
	Page 19		Page 20
1	with the Med Bonus; 150, without the Med Bonus.	1	clear that we would undertake corrections that
2	MR. BEHAR: I've got a question. Do we	2	would not open the City up to Bert Harris
3	know how many transactions took place between	3	issues, and we feel that this does not open up
4	the date that that went into effect to today?	4	the City to a Bert Harris.
5	My concern is, and I'm going to quote	5	MR. BEHAR: Because I read, you know, one
6		-	111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
_	Commissioner Anderson, that once you give	6	publication that mentioned the Commissioner and
7	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then	7	that's her quote, that it could potentially
8	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then there's a possibility for a lawsuit. I'm not	7 8	that's her quote, that it could potentially open up, and that really is what gave me some
8 9	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then there's a possibility for a lawsuit. I'm not in favor of going to the 190. What I'm	7 8 9	that's her quote, that it could potentially open up, and that really is what gave me some concerns.
8 9 10	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then there's a possibility for a lawsuit. I'm not in favor of going to the 190. What I'm concerned is that potentially we could be in a	7 8 9	that's her quote, that it could potentially open up, and that really is what gave me some concerns.  MS. CABRERA: Correct.
8 9 10 11	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then there's a possibility for a lawsuit. I'm not in favor of going to the 190. What I'm concerned is that potentially we could be in a litigation, because we did this whether it	7 8 9 10	that's her quote, that it could potentially open up, and that really is what gave me some concerns.  MS. CABRERA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: You may want to sit here,
8 9 10 11 12	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then there's a possibility for a lawsuit. I'm not in favor of going to the 190. What I'm concerned is that potentially we could be in a litigation, because we did this whether it was a mistake, intentional, whatever, we're	7 8 9 10 11 12	that's her quote, that it could potentially open up, and that really is what gave me some concerns.  MS. CABRERA: Correct.  MR. BEHAR: You may want to sit here, Craig, since nobody is here.
8 9 10 11 12 13	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then there's a possibility for a lawsuit. I'm not in favor of going to the 190. What I'm concerned is that potentially we could be in a litigation, because we did this whether it was a mistake, intentional, whatever, we're here how do we protect ourselves?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that's her quote, that it could potentially open up, and that really is what gave me some concerns.  MS. CABRERA: Correct.  MR. BEHAR: You may want to sit here, Craig, since nobody is here.  MR. COLLER: Yeah. Why don't I — oh,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then there's a possibility for a lawsuit. I'm not in favor of going to the 190. What I'm concerned is that potentially we could be in a litigation, because we did this whether it was a mistake, intentional, whatever, we're here how do we protect ourselves?  Because I know I read 23 letters, and I	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that's her quote, that it could potentially open up, and that really is what gave me some concerns.  MS. CABRERA: Correct.  MR. BEHAR: You may want to sit here, Craig, since nobody is here.  MR. COLLER: Yeah. Why don't I — oh, there it goes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then there's a possibility for a lawsuit. I'm not in favor of going to the 190. What I'm concerned is that potentially we could be in a litigation, because we did this whether it was a mistake, intentional, whatever, we're here how do we protect ourselves?  Because I know I read 23 letters, and I read one where the Bert Harris property rights	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that's her quote, that it could potentially open up, and that really is what gave me some concerns.  MS. CABRERA: Correct.  MR. BEHAR: You may want to sit here, Craig, since nobody is here.  MR. COLLER: Yeah. Why don't I — oh, there it goes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Now we can hear you.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then there's a possibility for a lawsuit. I'm not in favor of going to the 190. What I'm concerned is that potentially we could be in a litigation, because we did this whether it was a mistake, intentional, whatever, we're here how do we protect ourselves?  Because I know I read 23 letters, and I read one where the Bert Harris property rights is mentioned. What I don't want to do is do	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that's her quote, that it could potentially open up, and that really is what gave me some concerns.  MS. CABRERA: Correct.  MR. BEHAR: You may want to sit here, Craig, since nobody is here.  MR. COLLER: Yeah. Why don't I oh, there it goes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Now we can hear you. MR. COLLER: Okay. When I turn it off, you
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then there's a possibility for a lawsuit. I'm not in favor of going to the 190. What I'm concerned is that potentially we could be in a litigation, because we did this whether it was a mistake, intentional, whatever, we're here how do we protect ourselves?  Because I know I read 23 letters, and I read one where the Bert Harris property rights is mentioned. What I don't want to do is do something that could potentially put us in a	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that's her quote, that it could potentially open up, and that really is what gave me some concerns.  MS. CABRERA: Correct.  MR. BEHAR: You may want to sit here, Craig, since nobody is here.  MR. COLLER: Yeah. Why don't I oh, there it goes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Now we can hear you.  MR. COLLER: Okay. When I turn it off, you can hear me. When I turn it on, you can't.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then there's a possibility for a lawsuit. I'm not in favor of going to the 190. What I'm concerned is that potentially we could be in a litigation, because we did this whether it was a mistake, intentional, whatever, we're here how do we protect ourselves?  Because I know I read 23 letters, and I read one where the Bert Harris property rights is mentioned. What I don't want to do is do something that could potentially put us in a predicament	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that's her quote, that it could potentially open up, and that really is what gave me some concerns.  MS. CABRERA: Correct.  MR. BEHAR: You may want to sit here, Craig, since nobody is here.  MR. COLLER: Yeah. Why don't I — oh, there it goes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Now we can hear you.  MR. COLLER: Okay. When I turn it off, you can hear me. When I turn it on, you can't. Makes a lot of sense.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then there's a possibility for a lawsuit. I'm not in favor of going to the 190. What I'm concerned is that potentially we could be in a litigation, because we did this whether it was a mistake, intentional, whatever, we're here how do we protect ourselves?  Because I know I read 23 letters, and I read one where the Bert Harris property rights is mentioned. What I don't want to do is do something that could potentially put us in a predicament  MS. CABRERA: I understand. And the City	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that's her quote, that it could potentially open up, and that really is what gave me some concerns.  MS. CABRERA: Correct.  MR. BEHAR: You may want to sit here, Craig, since nobody is here.  MR. COLLER: Yeah. Why don't I — oh, there it goes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Now we can hear you.  MR. COLLER: Okay. When I turn it off, you can hear me. When I turn it on, you can't.  Makes a lot of sense.  So the City retained an appraiser, who
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then there's a possibility for a lawsuit. I'm not in favor of going to the 190. What I'm concerned is that potentially we could be in a litigation, because we did this whether it was a mistake, intentional, whatever, we're here how do we protect ourselves?  Because I know I read 23 letters, and I read one where the Bert Harris property rights is mentioned. What I don't want to do is do something that could potentially put us in a predicament  MS. CABRERA: I understand. And the City did look at that, and our attorneys looked at	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that's her quote, that it could potentially open up, and that really is what gave me some concerns.  MS. CABRERA: Correct.  MR. BEHAR: You may want to sit here, Craig, since nobody is here.  MR. COLLER: Yeah. Why don't I oh, there it goes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Now we can hear you.  MR. COLLER: Okay. When I turn it off, you can hear me. When I turn it on, you can't.  Makes a lot of sense.  So the City retained an appraiser, who evaluated this, and the actual development
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then there's a possibility for a lawsuit. I'm not in favor of going to the 190. What I'm concerned is that potentially we could be in a litigation, because we did this whether it was a mistake, intentional, whatever, we're here how do we protect ourselves?  Because I know I read 23 letters, and I read one where the Bert Harris property rights is mentioned. What I don't want to do is do something that could potentially put us in a predicament  MS. CABRERA: I understand. And the City did look at that, and our attorneys looked at it, and we feel that it does not expose the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that's her quote, that it could potentially open up, and that really is what gave me some concerns.  MS. CABRERA: Correct.  MR. BEHAR: You may want to sit here, Craig, since nobody is here.  MR. COLLER: Yeah. Why don't I oh, there it goes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Now we can hear you.  MR. COLLER: Okay. When I turn it off, you can hear me. When I turn it on, you can't.  Makes a lot of sense.  So the City retained an appraiser, who evaluated this, and the actual development envelope is such that it's the appraiser's
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then there's a possibility for a lawsuit. I'm not in favor of going to the 190. What I'm concerned is that potentially we could be in a litigation, because we did this whether it was a mistake, intentional, whatever, we're here how do we protect ourselves?  Because I know I read 23 letters, and I read one where the Bert Harris property rights is mentioned. What I don't want to do is do something that could potentially put us in a predicament  MS. CABRERA: I understand. And the City did look at that, and our attorneys looked at it, and we feel that it does not expose the City to the Bert Harris.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that's her quote, that it could potentially open up, and that really is what gave me some concerns.  MS. CABRERA: Correct.  MR. BEHAR: You may want to sit here, Craig, since nobody is here.  MR. COLLER: Yeah. Why don't I oh, there it goes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Now we can hear you.  MR. COLLER: Okay. When I turn it off, you can hear me. When I turn it on, you can't.  Makes a lot of sense.  So the City retained an appraiser, who evaluated this, and the actual development envelope is such that it's the appraiser's feeling that the actual developable area
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then there's a possibility for a lawsuit. I'm not in favor of going to the 190. What I'm concerned is that potentially we could be in a litigation, because we did this whether it was a mistake, intentional, whatever, we're here how do we protect ourselves?  Because I know I read 23 letters, and I read one where the Bert Harris property rights is mentioned. What I don't want to do is do something that could potentially put us in a predicament  MS. CABRERA: I understand. And the City did look at that, and our attorneys looked at it, and we feel that it does not expose the City to the Bert Harris.  MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry, what?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that's her quote, that it could potentially open up, and that really is what gave me some concerns.  MS. CABRERA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: You may want to sit here, Craig, since nobody is here. MR. COLLER: Yeah. Why don't I oh, there it goes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Now we can hear you. MR. COLLER: Okay. When I turn it off, you can hear me. When I turn it on, you can't. Makes a lot of sense. So the City retained an appraiser, who evaluated this, and the actual development envelope is such that it's the appraiser's feeling that the actual developable area would is sufficient and doesn't it
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then there's a possibility for a lawsuit. I'm not in favor of going to the 190. What I'm concerned is that potentially we could be in a litigation, because we did this whether it was a mistake, intentional, whatever, we're here how do we protect ourselves?  Because I know I read 23 letters, and I read one where the Bert Harris property rights is mentioned. What I don't want to do is do something that could potentially put us in a predicament  MS. CABRERA: I understand. And the City did look at that, and our attorneys looked at it, and we feel that it does not expose the City to the Bert Harris.  MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry, what?  MS. CABRERA: It does not. The	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that's her quote, that it could potentially open up, and that really is what gave me some concerns.  MS. CABRERA: Correct.  MR. BEHAR: You may want to sit here, Craig, since nobody is here.  MR. COLLER: Yeah. Why don't I — oh, there it goes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Now we can hear you.  MR. COLLER: Okay. When I turn it off, you can hear me. When I turn it on, you can't.  Makes a lot of sense.  So the City retained an appraiser, who evaluated this, and the actual development envelope is such that it's the appraiser's feeling that the actual developable area would — is sufficient and doesn't — it doesn't mean that somebody can't sue us for
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	somebody more FAR and more buildable area, then there's a possibility for a lawsuit. I'm not in favor of going to the 190. What I'm concerned is that potentially we could be in a litigation, because we did this whether it was a mistake, intentional, whatever, we're here how do we protect ourselves?  Because I know I read 23 letters, and I read one where the Bert Harris property rights is mentioned. What I don't want to do is do something that could potentially put us in a predicament  MS. CABRERA: I understand. And the City did look at that, and our attorneys looked at it, and we feel that it does not expose the City to the Bert Harris.  MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry, what?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that's her quote, that it could potentially open up, and that really is what gave me some concerns.  MS. CABRERA: Correct. MR. BEHAR: You may want to sit here, Craig, since nobody is here. MR. COLLER: Yeah. Why don't I oh, there it goes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Now we can hear you. MR. COLLER: Okay. When I turn it off, you can hear me. When I turn it on, you can't. Makes a lot of sense. So the City retained an appraiser, who evaluated this, and the actual development envelope is such that it's the appraiser's feeling that the actual developable area would is sufficient and doesn't it

	Page 21		Page 22
1	at any time but we feel pretty strongly that	1	affect if it goes back to 150 then?
2	we're on solid ground, as far as they being	2	MS. CABRERA: It's exactly the way it would
3	able to get the value that they appropriately	3	have been for height prior to the 2021 Code
4	would get and would not be they would not	4	change.
5	have a good claim for Bert Harris.	5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But now there's
6	MS. CABRERA: Yeah. And I actually have a	6	discussion within the City to change or to
7	slide, and it was a handout that we provided.	7	update the Mediterranean Bonuses and how you
8	Could we go back to the presentation?	8	come about to get it.
9	MR. BEHAR: And Suramy, before you go	9	MS. CABRERA: And that was a separate
10	there, and this is only pertaining we're	10	discussion and there was a Blue Ribbon
11	discussing the height, we're not you know, I	11	Committee and I think that has already been put
12	believe there was an increase of .5 of the FAR.	12	to rest, but that doesn't affect what we're
13	MS. CABRERA: Correct.	13	doing today.
14	MR. BEHAR: The FAR is not being removed?	14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Has that been put to
15	It stays?	15	rest?
16	MS. CABRERA: No. Correct.	16	MR. BEHAR: I don't know what define
17	MR. BEHAR: Just from 190.5, bring it back	17	"rest" to me.
18	to 150?	18	MS. CABRERA: There was a vote.
19	MS. CABRERA: Correct.	19	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, that is one of
20	MR. TORRE: So you're still going the	20	the items tonight.
21	2.5 is still going to be	21	MR. TORRE: What I'm understanding I
22	MS. CABRERA: The 2.5 is still there.	22	apologize let me explain something. All you
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And how does this all	23	could really sell is FAR. Height doesn't have
24	come about with the discussion that's been	24	the value, it's in the FAR. You're giving the
25	had for Mediterranean Bonuses, how will it	25	2.5. You're not taking away that right. You
25	nad for Mediterranean Bondses, now will it		
	Page 23		Page 24
1	really cannot get a lot of benefits, because	1	we're going back to how it was.
2	the graphics just don't let you to get more	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.
3	than to this.	3	MR. BUCELO: And what was the height, I
4	MS. CABRERA: That's exactly	4	guess, limit, on north of Biltmore Way prior to
5	MR. TORRE: You run out of space.	5	the Zoning change?
6	MS. CABRERA: It's more of an academic	6	MS. CABRERA: 190.5.
7	conversation.	7	MR. BUCELO: 190.5.
8	MR. TORRE: Right. Right. You're not	8	MS. CABRERA: With the Mediterranean Bonus,
9	taking away their rights to put the 2.5.	9	and that's what it is in the MF4.
10	You're saying, okay, you're going to have no	10	MR. BUCELO: Prior to
11	more room, because this structure doesn't let	11	MS. CABRERA: Prior to the 2021 change, it
12	you get any further. So I think that's the	12	was MF2, which is this map that you see here,
13	basis for the stance that you're taking.	13	and you could see there, it's the brown, and
14	MS. CABRERA: Correct. I actually had a	14	that was 150 by the Comp Plan and allowed you
		15	to go to 190.5, if you were to meet the Med 2
15	resident that sent me a property that I believe	1 -5	20 82 20 27 212, 22 7 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 2
15 16	resident that sent me a property that I believe is for sale and they showed the development	16	Bonus.
16	is for sale and they showed the development	16	Bonus.
16 17	is for sale and they showed the development potential and they capped out at 90 feet,	16 17	Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip?
16 17 18	is for sale and they showed the development potential and they capped out at 90 feet, because they got to the 2.5 FAR.	16 17 18	Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip? MR. WITHERS No, I'm good.
16 17 18 19	is for sale and they showed the development potential and they capped out at 90 feet, because they got to the 2.5 FAR.  MR. TORRE: Right. If you do the math, you	16 17 18 19	Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip? MR. WITHERS No, I'm good. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. At this time,
16 17 18 19 20	is for sale and they showed the development potential and they capped out at 90 feet, because they got to the 2.5 FAR.  MR. TORRE: Right. If you do the math, you run out of space.	16 17 18 19 20	Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip? MR. WITHERS No, I'm good. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. At this time, I'd like to go ahead and open it up for public
16 17 18 19 20 21	is for sale and they showed the development potential and they capped out at 90 feet, because they got to the 2.5 FAR.  MR. TORRE: Right. If you do the math, you run out of space.  MS. CABRERA: So there was no way for them	16 17 18 19 20 21	Bonus.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip?  MR. WITHERS No, I'm good.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. At this time, I'd like to go ahead and open it up for public  comment. Jill, how many speakers do we have in
16 17 18 19 20 21	is for sale and they showed the development potential and they capped out at 90 feet, because they got to the 2.5 FAR.  MR. TORRE: Right. If you do the math, you run out of space.  MS. CABRERA: So there was no way for them to actually take advantage of going from 150 to	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip? MR. WITHERS No, I'm good. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. At this time, I'd like to go ahead and open it up for public comment. Jill, how many speakers do we have in Chambers?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is for sale and they showed the development potential and they capped out at 90 feet, because they got to the 2.5 FAR.  MR. TORRE: Right. If you do the math, you run out of space.  MS. CABRERA: So there was no way for them to actually take advantage of going from 150 to 190, but the perception is that this should	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Bonus. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip? MR. WITHERS No, I'm good. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. At this time, I'd like to go ahead and open it up for public comment. Jill, how many speakers do we have in Chambers? THE SECRETARY: 14 and three on Zoom.

	Page 25		Page 26
1	does have a timer. And I ask everybody to be	1	historic value of the City. And, you know,
2	concise with your comments.	2	volunteering for various committees, we try to
3	Yes, sir, Robert.	3	preserve the peace and quiet that we have in
4	MR. BEHAR: I believe, and maybe we take a	4	the Biltmore section.
5	pause, but I believe that every or the	5	I am coming here respectfully to ask you to
6	majority of these speakers are	6	re-consider what this Board did back prior
7	MR. TORRE: Represented by a letter?	7	to the February 9th vote by the Commission. As
8	MR. BEHAR: Yeah.	8	part of that 40-year Rezoning plan, for the
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Are what, I'm sorry?	9	first time in the history of the City, this
10	MR. TORRE: He's suggesting that the	10	Board recommended granting Mediterranean Bonus
11	letters are going to be duplicated by the	11	in a Residential area.
12	MR. BEHAR: A majority of them. I mean, we	12	This had never happened before. It's a
13	could ask. I just don't want to get the	13	momentous point in the history of the City,
14	letters that are on the record and the	14	because we know that when the Mediterranean
15	speakers.	15	Bonus is granted, with it comes density and
16	MR. COLLER: Well, if people are here to	16	intensity, in addition to height, and it's
17	speak, they may have submitted letters, but we	17	really we, the residents of this beautiful
18	need to allow them to speak.	18	section, see it as kind of like honey for the
19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I agree. Go ahead and	19	bears. It's a message to the developers to
20	call the first speaker, please.	20	come and start making massive buildings, okay.
21	THE SECRETARY: Enrique Bernal.	21	I have worked with the City Manager and
22	MR. BERNAL: Good evening, Members of the	22	with Commissioner Anderson for several months,
23	Board. I am Enrique Bernal. I live at 718	23	without making any public comments, trying to
24	Valencia Avenue, Biltmore Park Condominium, and	24	find a way to resolve this egregious change
25		25	that was made, okay. The change was made
25	we moved to Coral Gables for the beauty and the		
	Page 27		Page 28
1	without any direct notice to any of the	1	THE SECRETARY: Your time is up.
2	residents. You know, the City publishes in the	2	MR. BERNAL: She said MF2 was 190.5.
3	Miami Business Daily or whatever. We don't	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If you would please
4	read those things. And the point is, you know,	4	wrap it up. Continue, please, but
5	I am very proud to live in a city where the	5	MR. BERNAL: Okay. So what I'm trying to
6	City Manager and at least one of the	6	say is, look, the four blocks were equally
7	Commissioners, you know, recognizes that a	7	injured by the changes from MF2 and MFSA to
8	mistake was made. There are very few cities in	8	MF4. This concept that, let's correct the
9	which that would happen.	9	height, is a pernicious concept, in the sense
10	But I think that the question is, the	10	that the additional FAR and the additional
11	definition of the mistake and the corrective	11	density is the result of the additional height.
12	action for the mistake, I respectfully differ	12	They're not independent. They're only there to
12 13	action for the mistake, I respectfully differ from Ms. Cabrera's definition to you. I think	12 13	They're not independent. They're only there to allow you to build the three extra floors.
13	from Ms. Cabrera's definition to you. I think	13	allow you to build the three extra floors.
13 14	from Ms. Cabrera's definition to you. I think it's very misleading and I would like to be	13 14	allow you to build the three extra floors.  To try to reverse this thing only for
13 14 15	from Ms. Cabrera's definition to you. I think it's very misleading and I would like to be given access to the chart that she showed,	13 14 15	allow you to build the three extra floors.  To try to reverse this thing only for height doesn't make any sense.
13 14 15 16	from Ms. Cabrera's definition to you. I think it's very misleading and I would like to be given access to the chart that she showed, okay. The reality is that the there are	13 14 15 16	allow you to build the three extra floors.  To try to reverse this thing only for height doesn't make any sense.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.
13 14 15 16 17	from Ms. Cabrera's definition to you. I think it's very misleading and I would like to be given access to the chart that she showed, okay. The reality is that the there are eight blocks of the City involved, and I may be	13 14 15 16 17	allow you to build the three extra floors.  To try to reverse this thing only for height doesn't make any sense.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.  MR. BERNAL: I ask you that you please
13 14 15 16 17 18	from Ms. Cabrera's definition to you. I think it's very misleading and I would like to be given access to the chart that she showed, okay. The reality is that the there are eight blocks of the City involved, and I may be wrong, but I have spent like seven months	13 14 15 16 17 18	allow you to build the three extra floors.  To try to reverse this thing only for height doesn't make any sense.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.  MR. BERNAL: I ask you that you please recommend to the City that they take back the
13 14 15 16 17 18	from Ms. Cabrera's definition to you. I think it's very misleading and I would like to be given access to the chart that she showed, okay. The reality is that the there are eight blocks of the City involved, and I may be wrong, but I have spent like seven months studying this thing and I have looked at all of	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	allow you to build the three extra floors.  To try to reverse this thing only for height doesn't make any sense.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.  MR. BERNAL: I ask you that you please recommend to the City that they take back the entire change.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	from Ms. Cabrera's definition to you. I think it's very misleading and I would like to be given access to the chart that she showed, okay. The reality is that the there are eight blocks of the City involved, and I may be wrong, but I have spent like seven months studying this thing and I have looked at all of the rights that every owner has, based on the	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	allow you to build the three extra floors.  To try to reverse this thing only for height doesn't make any sense.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.  MR. BERNAL: I ask you that you please recommend to the City that they take back the entire change.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from Ms. Cabrera's definition to you. I think it's very misleading and I would like to be given access to the chart that she showed, okay. The reality is that the there are eight blocks of the City involved, and I may be wrong, but I have spent like seven months studying this thing and I have looked at all of the rights that every owner has, based on the size of the property and everything else.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	allow you to build the three extra floors.  To try to reverse this thing only for height doesn't make any sense.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.  MR. BERNAL: I ask you that you please recommend to the City that they take back the entire change.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.  MR. BEHAR: Can I ask Ms. Cabrera a
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from Ms. Cabrera's definition to you. I think it's very misleading and I would like to be given access to the chart that she showed, okay. The reality is that the there are eight blocks of the City involved, and I may be wrong, but I have spent like seven months studying this thing and I have looked at all of the rights that every owner has, based on the size of the property and everything else.  The two blocks, 1 and 2, that are north of	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	allow you to build the three extra floors.  To try to reverse this thing only for height doesn't make any sense.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.  MR. BERNAL: I ask you that you please recommend to the City that they take back the entire change.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.  MR. BEHAR: Can I ask Ms. Cabrera a question, because I want to clear this right
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from Ms. Cabrera's definition to you. I think it's very misleading and I would like to be given access to the chart that she showed, okay. The reality is that the there are eight blocks of the City involved, and I may be wrong, but I have spent like seven months studying this thing and I have looked at all of the rights that every owner has, based on the size of the property and everything else.  The two blocks, 1 and 2, that are north of Biltmore Way, between Biltmore Way and Coral	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	allow you to build the three extra floors.  To try to reverse this thing only for height doesn't make any sense.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.  MR. BERNAL: I ask you that you please recommend to the City that they take back the entire change.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.  MR. BEHAR: Can I ask Ms. Cabrera a question, because I want to clear this right now, once and for all?

	Page 29		Page 30
1	that the two blocks to the north, it was the	1	President of the Junior League of Miami.
2	same way since 2007, that has not been altered,	2	The Junior League of Miami is an all
3	correct?	3	women's organization with the vision to empower
4	MS. CABRERA: Yes.	4	and mentor Miami's women, children and families
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sir	5	to conquer tomorrow's challenges and build a
6	MR. BEHAR: You're done, please.	6	united community.
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.	7	The Junior League of Miami has always had a
8	MR. BEHAR: That has not been changed?	8	strong connection to the City of Coral Gables.
9	MS. CABRERA: No.	9	We're 600 members large. Many of our members
10	MR. BEHAR: Okay. Thank you.	10	have served in elected and unelected City
11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Next speaker, please.	11	offices, including Jeannette Slesnick and
12	THE SECRETARY: Angela Carrillo.	12	Rhonda Anderson.
13	MS. CARRILLO: I've asked a couple of	13	Many of us have ties to the City of Coral
14	people to come and speak with me as residents	14	Gables, not only as residents and a place of
15	of Coral Gables.	15	employment, but it's the home of our historic
16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Could you start with	16	headquarters, HQ, as we call it, located on 713
17	your name and address, please?	17	Biltmore Way. The Junior League of Miami
18	MS. CARRILLO: Yes. My name is Angela	18	purchased HQ on December 28, 1995 to serve as
19	Carrillo. I'm the President of the Junior	19	its permanent headquarters. Extensive
20	League of Miami. Our address is 713 Biltmore	20	renovations were required to bring the building
21	Way.	21	up to compliance and to the current Building
22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	22	Code and fire safety requirements.
	•	23	We were also fortunate enough to locate and
23	MS. CARRILLO: Good evening, Planning and	24	preserve some key historic features of the
24 25	Zoning Board. Thank you for the opportunity to	25	building. Our HQ has won the City Beautiful
45	speak tonight. My name is Angela, and I'm the		
	Page 31		
	rage 31		Page 32
1	Award for historic preservation after our	1	Page 32 want to be included and treated like the rest
1 2		1 2	
	Award for historic preservation after our		want to be included and treated like the rest
2	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked	2	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.
2	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As	2 3	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood. We were recently told that a developer went
2 3 4	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and	2 3 4	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments
2 3 4 5	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.	2 3 4 5	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore
2 3 4 5 6	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.  HQ is emblematic of Coral Gables. It's the	2 3 4 5 6	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore Way. As you know, this is currently a small
2 3 4 5 6 7	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.  HQ is emblematic of Coral Gables. It's the window into the vision of George Merrick and the preservation of what makes the City Beautiful a beautiful place to live and work.	2 3 4 5 6 7	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore Way. As you know, this is currently a small apartment, with a walled courtyard and a pool
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.  HQ is emblematic of Coral Gables. It's the window into the vision of George Merrick and the preservation of what makes the City Beautiful a beautiful place to live and work.  Our Venetian palace HQ building was designed by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore Way. As you know, this is currently a small apartment, with a walled courtyard and a pool next door to the property. We have grave concerns about the possibility of a building on a lot so close to our historic building, let
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.  HQ is emblematic of Coral Gables. It's the window into the vision of George Merrick and the preservation of what makes the City Beautiful a beautiful place to live and work.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore Way. As you know, this is currently a small apartment, with a walled courtyard and a pool next door to the property. We have grave concerns about the possibility of a building on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.  HQ is emblematic of Coral Gables. It's the window into the vision of George Merrick and the preservation of what makes the City Beautiful a beautiful place to live and work.  Our Venetian palace HQ building was designed by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore Way. As you know, this is currently a small apartment, with a walled courtyard and a pool next door to the property. We have grave concerns about the possibility of a building on a lot so close to our historic building, let alone a building as closely and dense as the new Zoning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.  HQ is emblematic of Coral Gables. It's the window into the vision of George Merrick and the preservation of what makes the City Beautiful a beautiful place to live and work. Our Venetian palace HQ building was designed by famed architect George Fink and was built in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore Way. As you know, this is currently a small apartment, with a walled courtyard and a pool next door to the property. We have grave concerns about the possibility of a building on a lot so close to our historic building, let alone a building as closely and dense as the new Zoning.  Last week we heard that we would be omitted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.  HQ is emblematic of Coral Gables. It's the window into the vision of George Merrick and the preservation of what makes the City Beautiful a beautiful place to live and work. Our Venetian palace HQ building was designed by famed architect George Fink and was built in the same year as the City of Coral Gables, 1925.  It was the first Commercial building	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore Way. As you know, this is currently a small apartment, with a walled courtyard and a pool next door to the property. We have grave concerns about the possibility of a building on a lot so close to our historic building, let alone a building as closely and dense as the new Zoning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.  HQ is emblematic of Coral Gables. It's the window into the vision of George Merrick and the preservation of what makes the City Beautiful a beautiful place to live and work. Our Venetian palace HQ building was designed by famed architect George Fink and was built in the same year as the City of Coral Gables, 1925.  It was the first Commercial building constructed in Coral Gables, and the only	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore Way. As you know, this is currently a small apartment, with a walled courtyard and a pool next door to the property. We have grave concerns about the possibility of a building on a lot so close to our historic building, let alone a building as closely and dense as the new Zoning.  Last week we heard that we would be omitted from the proposed amendment. It's become painfully clear to JLM that this has been an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.  HQ is emblematic of Coral Gables. It's the window into the vision of George Merrick and the preservation of what makes the City Beautiful a beautiful place to live and work. Our Venetian palace HQ building was designed by famed architect George Fink and was built in the same year as the City of Coral Gables, 1925.  It was the first Commercial building	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore Way. As you know, this is currently a small apartment, with a walled courtyard and a pool next door to the property. We have grave concerns about the possibility of a building on a lot so close to our historic building, let alone a building as closely and dense as the new Zoning.  Last week we heard that we would be omitted from the proposed amendment. It's become
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.  HQ is emblematic of Coral Gables. It's the window into the vision of George Merrick and the preservation of what makes the City Beautiful a beautiful place to live and work. Our Venetian palace HQ building was designed by famed architect George Fink and was built in the same year as the City of Coral Gables, 1925.  It was the first Commercial building constructed in Coral Gables, and the only	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore Way. As you know, this is currently a small apartment, with a walled courtyard and a pool next door to the property. We have grave concerns about the possibility of a building on a lot so close to our historic building, let alone a building as closely and dense as the new Zoning.  Last week we heard that we would be omitted from the proposed amendment. It's become painfully clear to JLM that this has been an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.  HQ is emblematic of Coral Gables. It's the window into the vision of George Merrick and the preservation of what makes the City Beautiful a beautiful place to live and work. Our Venetian palace HQ building was designed by famed architect George Fink and was built in the same year as the City of Coral Gables, 1925.  It was the first Commercial building constructed in Coral Gables, and the only remaining Commercial building of this style	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore Way. As you know, this is currently a small apartment, with a walled courtyard and a pool next door to the property. We have grave concerns about the possibility of a building on a lot so close to our historic building, let alone a building as closely and dense as the new Zoning.  Last week we heard that we would be omitted from the proposed amendment. It's become painfully clear to JLM that this has been an intentional omission, and to say that we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.  HQ is emblematic of Coral Gables. It's the window into the vision of George Merrick and the preservation of what makes the City Beautiful a beautiful place to live and work. Our Venetian palace HQ building was designed by famed architect George Fink and was built in the same year as the City of Coral Gables, 1925.  It was the first Commercial building constructed in Coral Gables, and the only remaining Commercial building of this style west of City Hall. It deserves the protection	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore Way. As you know, this is currently a small apartment, with a walled courtyard and a pool next door to the property. We have grave concerns about the possibility of a building on a lot so close to our historic building, let alone a building as closely and dense as the new Zoning.  Last week we heard that we would be omitted from the proposed amendment. It's become painfully clear to JLM that this has been an intentional omission, and to say that we're disappointed is an understatement. We're not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.  HQ is emblematic of Coral Gables. It's the window into the vision of George Merrick and the preservation of what makes the City Beautiful a beautiful place to live and work. Our Venetian palace HQ building was designed by famed architect George Fink and was built in the same year as the City of Coral Gables, 1925.  It was the first Commercial building constructed in Coral Gables, and the only remaining Commercial building of this style west of City Hall. It deserves the protection by the City that prides itself on historic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore Way. As you know, this is currently a small apartment, with a walled courtyard and a pool next door to the property. We have grave concerns about the possibility of a building on a lot so close to our historic building, let alone a building as closely and dense as the new Zoning.  Last week we heard that we would be omitted from the proposed amendment. It's become painfully clear to JLM that this has been an intentional omission, and to say that we're disappointed is an understatement. We're not anti-development, but our organization and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.  HQ is emblematic of Coral Gables. It's the window into the vision of George Merrick and the preservation of what makes the City Beautiful a beautiful place to live and work. Our Venetian palace HQ building was designed by famed architect George Fink and was built in the same year as the City of Coral Gables, 1925.  It was the first Commercial building constructed in Coral Gables, and the only remaining Commercial building of this style west of City Hall. It deserves the protection by the City that prides itself on historic preservation and beautification.  I, speak tonight on behalf of our membership to urge the Planning and Zoning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore Way. As you know, this is currently a small apartment, with a walled courtyard and a pool next door to the property. We have grave concerns about the possibility of a building on a lot so close to our historic building, let alone a building as closely and dense as the new Zoning.  Last week we heard that we would be omitted from the proposed amendment. It's become painfully clear to JLM that this has been an intentional omission, and to say that we're disappointed is an understatement. We're not anti-development, but our organization and members have spent millions of dollars to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.  HQ is emblematic of Coral Gables. It's the window into the vision of George Merrick and the preservation of what makes the City Beautiful a beautiful place to live and work. Our Venetian palace HQ building was designed by famed architect George Fink and was built in the same year as the City of Coral Gables, 1925.  It was the first Commercial building constructed in Coral Gables, and the only remaining Commercial building of this style west of City Hall. It deserves the protection by the City that prides itself on historic preservation and beautification.  I, speak tonight on behalf of our membership to urge the Planning and Zoning Board recommend and support our position that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore Way. As you know, this is currently a small apartment, with a walled courtyard and a pool next door to the property. We have grave concerns about the possibility of a building on a lot so close to our historic building, let alone a building as closely and dense as the new Zoning.  Last week we heard that we would be omitted from the proposed amendment. It's become painfully clear to JLM that this has been an intentional omission, and to say that we're disappointed is an understatement. We're not anti-development, but our organization and members have spent millions of dollars to preserve this building and have serious concerns over the massive Zoning. Our beloved HQ should not be a victim of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.  HQ is emblematic of Coral Gables. It's the window into the vision of George Merrick and the preservation of what makes the City Beautiful a beautiful place to live and work. Our Venetian palace HQ building was designed by famed architect George Fink and was built in the same year as the City of Coral Gables, 1925.  It was the first Commercial building constructed in Coral Gables, and the only remaining Commercial building of this style west of City Hall. It deserves the protection by the City that prides itself on historic preservation and beautification.  I, speak tonight on behalf of our membership to urge the Planning and Zoning Board recommend and support our position that the City Commission not omit the 700 Biltmore	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore Way. As you know, this is currently a small apartment, with a walled courtyard and a pool next door to the property. We have grave concerns about the possibility of a building on a lot so close to our historic building, let alone a building as closely and dense as the new Zoning.  Last week we heard that we would be omitted from the proposed amendment. It's become painfully clear to JLM that this has been an intentional omission, and to say that we're disappointed is an understatement. We're not anti-development, but our organization and members have spent millions of dollars to preserve this building and have serious concerns over the massive Zoning. Our beloved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.  HQ is emblematic of Coral Gables. It's the window into the vision of George Merrick and the preservation of what makes the City Beautiful a beautiful place to live and work. Our Venetian palace HQ building was designed by famed architect George Fink and was built in the same year as the City of Coral Gables, 1925.  It was the first Commercial building constructed in Coral Gables, and the only remaining Commercial building of this style west of City Hall. It deserves the protection by the City that prides itself on historic preservation and beautification.  I, speak tonight on behalf of our membership to urge the Planning and Zoning Board recommend and support our position that the City Commission not omit the 700 Biltmore Way block, the north side of Biltmore Way, from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore Way. As you know, this is currently a small apartment, with a walled courtyard and a pool next door to the property. We have grave concerns about the possibility of a building on a lot so close to our historic building, let alone a building as closely and dense as the new Zoning.  Last week we heard that we would be omitted from the proposed amendment. It's become painfully clear to JLM that this has been an intentional omission, and to say that we're disappointed is an understatement. We're not anti-development, but our organization and members have spent millions of dollars to preserve this building and have serious concerns over the massive Zoning. Our beloved HQ should not be a victim of the overdevelopment that has plagued other parts of the County.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Award for historic preservation after our members both paid for and painstakingly worked directly on and oversaw the restoration. As you can imagine, HQ is very important to us and holds a very special place in our hearts.  HQ is emblematic of Coral Gables. It's the window into the vision of George Merrick and the preservation of what makes the City Beautiful a beautiful place to live and work. Our Venetian palace HQ building was designed by famed architect George Fink and was built in the same year as the City of Coral Gables, 1925.  It was the first Commercial building constructed in Coral Gables, and the only remaining Commercial building of this style west of City Hall. It deserves the protection by the City that prides itself on historic preservation and beautification.  I, speak tonight on behalf of our membership to urge the Planning and Zoning Board recommend and support our position that the City Commission not omit the 700 Biltmore	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	want to be included and treated like the rest of our neighborhood.  We were recently told that a developer went under contract for the garden apartments located directly adjacent to us at 719 Biltmore Way. As you know, this is currently a small apartment, with a walled courtyard and a pool next door to the property. We have grave concerns about the possibility of a building on a lot so close to our historic building, let alone a building as closely and dense as the new Zoning.  Last week we heard that we would be omitted from the proposed amendment. It's become painfully clear to JLM that this has been an intentional omission, and to say that we're disappointed is an understatement. We're not anti-development, but our organization and members have spent millions of dollars to preserve this building and have serious concerns over the massive Zoning. Our beloved HQ should not be a victim of the overdevelopment that has plagued other parts of

	Page 33		Page 34
1	Planning and Zoning Board include our block in	1	Marisa Chisena. I am a resident at 721
2	the amendment and remove the Mediterranean	2	Biltmore Way. I'm also an owner at 625
3	Bonus.	3	Biltmore Way.
4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Thank you	4	I moved to Miami about 20 years ago from
5	very much.	5	New York, and we chose my husband and I
6	Next speaker, please.	6	chose Coral Gables because it's the City
7	THE SECRETARY: Ava Habif.	7	Beautiful, because of the green spaces, and,
8	Sarita Courtney Baigorri.	8	specifically, we chose Biltmore Way, where we
9	MS. BAIGORRI: Good evening. My name is	9	resided for 20 years, specifically for the
10	Sarita Courtney Baigorri, and I stand here	10	beauty, the feeling of being in a city, but not
11	today as a resident of Coral Gables. I live in	11	in a Downtown area like on the other side of
12	a 1926 George Merrick home. I have a business	12	LeJeune.
13	in Downtown Coral Gables. And I am currently,	13	I've also been in real estate for the last
14	this year, the President of the Junior League	14	18 years, so I understand development, I
15	of Miami Foundation, and I'm in addition to	15	understand construction, I understand that a
16	what Angela Carrillo said, I'm here to support	16	city cannot stay in the past, it has a future,
17	the Junior League of Miami's position to not	17	but when I look at that little building, yellow
18	to lower the Mediterranean Bonus and the height	18	building, and we see what could possibly
19	restrictions on the 700 Block of Biltmore Way,	19	grow right next to my building there's now a
20	to protect our Junior League Headquarters and	20	two-story building. That yellow building you
21	to protect our Yullion League Headquarters and to protect our Coral Gables old Spanish	21	see there, it looks like probably 12 stories.
22		22	From two stories, it's quite a difference, from
	historic homes and buildings. Thank you.	23	what you see, and that could be just the
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Marisa Chisena.	24	beginning of something that is normal from here
24 25		25	on.
45	MS. CHISENA: Good evening. My name is		
	Page 35		Page 36
1	So I also wonder what's going to happen to	1	and Coral Way was not allowed to build over 150
2	I know this doesn't necessarily apply to	2	square feet, am I correct?
3	this building, but this new continuous	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'll ask you to just
4	development is displacing people. Biltmore Way	4	direct the comments and then we'll address the
5	and all of that section has a lot of elderly	5	comments later.
6	people. Where are they going to go, if they	6	MS. CHISENA: Okay. So what I would like
7	are displaced? There's no affordable places	7	to ask is, reverse the Zoning changes in the
8	for them. And that's a worry, in my opinion.	8	Biltmore Section that were approved in February
9	I also wonder if the City has done a	9	in 2021, and restore the original density, FAR,
10	traffic study and an infrastructure study and a	10	height, et cetera, remove the Mediterranean
11	hydraulic study, because we do have problems	11	Bonus for all of the properties in the Biltmore
12	with water in our garages. The City only said,	12	Section, and eliminate the MF4 Zoning District
13	it's a problem of each building. The buildings	13	and re-establish the MFSA and MF2 Zoning
14	are not built properly. However, I'm sure	14	District in the Biltmore Section.
15	everybody here knows, that when you build a new	15	I would also like to ask for a Sunshine
16	building, you're going to go on with a	16	meeting for everyone on the Biltmore section,
17	foundation and you're going to displace water,	17	because that has never occurred, and things
18	and where is the water going to go, to the	18	have happened without the residents in the
19	building next to it. So that's the big concern	19	Biltmore Section knowing. I'll ask for
20	of mine and everybody else in the neighborhood.	20	transparency.
21	So I would like to clarify, and maybe I'm	21	I thank you very much for your time.
22	wrong, but maybe you can verify, before	22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for your
23	February, I believe, without the Mediterranean	23	time for coming.
24	Bonus, which was established in February, so	24	Before we continue, I'd like to acknowledge
25	before February, the north side of Biltmore Way	25	that Mr. Revuelta has kindly joined us. Thank

	Page 37		Page 38
1	you for coming. If we could note that, for the	1	I will face once the construction has started.
2	record, please.	2	So every time I get a notice from the City,
3	MR. REVUELTA: My apologies for being late.	3	that has a block of things, that I can't read,
4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please,	4	I don't know one block from the next. So I
5	Jill.	5	don't know where the actual building is going
6	THE SECRETARY: Patricia Lieberman.	6	to be, and what the restriction's are going to
7	MS. LIEBERMAN: I moved to Miami in 1961	7	be. So I just wish that, when you send out
8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Start with your name	8	information, there's a map here that was you
9	and address, please. Thank you.	9	know, it told you where everything was, but I
10	MS. LIEBERMAN: Patricia Lieberman, 420	10	have no idea where it is.
11	Biltmore Way, Apartment 420 and we rented a	11	So when you're considering the height and
12	home on Castania and Le Jeune, and I asked for	12	what you're going to put there, I mean, I know
13	a variance to have a chain link fence put up,	13	it's important to have a park, et cetera, but
14	so my children could play in the yard and not	14	also to take into consideration your neighbors
15	cross the street. I was refused. And since I	15	that are across the street on both sides.
16	was only that I had to put up a concrete	16	Thank you.
17	fence. So I was refused, and I was not going	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.
18	to pay for a concrete fence on a house that I	18	Jill, would you do me a favor and just make
19	was only renting.	19	sure Ms. Lieberman, before leaving, has the
20	And it seems that since then anything that	20	phone number for the Planning and Zoning
21	anybody wants seems to get passed, and I live	21	Department, so if you do have any questions,
22	on the corner of Cardena and Biltmore Way and	22	you can call that number and they can clarify
23	Valencia, and there's a lot of discussion back	23	any information you may need. Thank you.
		24	THE SECRETARY: Ingrid.
24 25	and forth I never have gotten a true answer to the lots across the street, which	25	MS. LYALL: Good evening. My name is
25	answer to the fots across the street, which		
	Page 39		Page 40
1	Page 39  Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise.	1	Page 40 record, your concern, and if you could please
1 2		1 2	
	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise.		record, your concern, and if you could please
2	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way,	2	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.
2	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in	2 3	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will.
2 3 4	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded	2 3 4	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
2 3 4 5	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded for the entire section. Thank you.	2 3 4 5	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  MS. KAWALERSKI: But I did want that noted,
2 3 4 5 6	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded for the entire section. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.	2 3 4 5 6	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  MS. KAWALERSKI: But I did want that noted, because this comes right from the City, by the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded for the entire section. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. MS. SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski.	2 3 4 5 6 7	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  MS. KAWALERSKI: But I did want that noted, because this comes right from the City, by the way, okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded for the entire section. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. MS. SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Chair and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  MS. KAWALERSKI: But I did want that noted, because this comes right from the City, by the way, okay.  So, as the President of the Coral Gables
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded for the entire section. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. MS. SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Chair and Board. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I reside at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  MS. KAWALERSKI: But I did want that noted, because this comes right from the City, by the way, okay.  So, as the President of the Coral Gables  Neighbors Association, I do want to read into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded for the entire section. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. MS. SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Chair and Board. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I reside at 6830 Grecian Street, and I'm here in two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  MS. KAWALERSKI: But I did want that noted, because this comes right from the City, by the way, okay.  So, as the President of the Coral Gables  Neighbors Association, I do want to read into the record what you have already received,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded for the entire section. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. MS. SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Chair and Board. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I reside at 6830 Grecian Street, and I'm here in two capacities, one as a resident, and one as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  MS. KAWALERSKI: But I did want that noted, because this comes right from the City, by the way, okay.  So, as the President of the Coral Gables  Neighbors Association, I do want to read into the record what you have already received, hopefully, via e-mail yesterday.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded for the entire section. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.  MS. SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Chair and Board. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I reside at 6830 Grecian Street, and I'm here in two capacities, one as a resident, and one as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  MS. KAWALERSKI: But I did want that noted, because this comes right from the City, by the way, okay.  So, as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, I do want to read into the record what you have already received, hopefully, via e-mail yesterday.  Please accept this letter in support of a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded for the entire section. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. MS. SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Chair and Board. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I reside at 6830 Grecian Street, and I'm here in two capacities, one as a resident, and one as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  MS. KAWALERSKI: But I did want that noted, because this comes right from the City, by the way, okay.  So, as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, I do want to read into the record what you have already received, hopefully, via e-mail yesterday.  Please accept this letter in support of a complete reversal of the Zoning changes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded for the entire section. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.  MS. SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Chair and Board. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I reside at 6830 Grecian Street, and I'm here in two capacities, one as a resident, and one as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association.  I do want to put on the record, and I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  MS. KAWALERSKI: But I did want that noted, because this comes right from the City, by the way, okay.  So, as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, I do want to read into the record what you have already received, hopefully, via e-mail yesterday.  Please accept this letter in support of a complete reversal of the Zoning changes approved by the City Commission in February
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded for the entire section. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.  MS. SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Chair and Board. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I reside at 6830 Grecian Street, and I'm here in two capacities, one as a resident, and one as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors  Association.  I do want to put on the record, and I don't know if this is an ethical concern, if it's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  MS. KAWALERSKI: But I did want that noted, because this comes right from the City, by the way, okay.  So, as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, I do want to read into the record what you have already received, hopefully, via e-mail yesterday.  Please accept this letter in support of a complete reversal of the Zoning changes approved by the City Commission in February 2021 for the entire Biltmore Section of Coral
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded for the entire section. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.  MS. SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Chair and Board. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I reside at 6830 Grecian Street, and I'm here in two capacities, one as a resident, and one as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association.  I do want to put on the record, and I don't know if this is an ethical concern, if it's a conflict of interest, but there are two sitting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  MS. KAWALERSKI: But I did want that noted, because this comes right from the City, by the way, okay.  So, as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, I do want to read into the record what you have already received, hopefully, via e-mail yesterday.  Please accept this letter in support of a complete reversal of the Zoning changes approved by the City Commission in February 2021 for the entire Biltmore Section of Coral Gables, which includes Biltmore Way, Coral Way,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded for the entire section. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. MS. SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Chair and Board. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I reside at 6830 Grecian Street, and I'm here in two capacities, one as a resident, and one as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association.  I do want to put on the record, and I don't know if this is an ethical concern, if it's a conflict of interest, but there are two sitting Members on the Board, Mr. Behar and Mr. Torre,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  MS. KAWALERSKI: But I did want that noted, because this comes right from the City, by the way, okay.  So, as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, I do want to read into the record what you have already received, hopefully, via e-mail yesterday.  Please accept this letter in support of a complete reversal of the Zoning changes approved by the City Commission in February 2021 for the entire Biltmore Section of Coral Gables, which includes Biltmore Way, Coral Way, Valencia Avenue and all adjacent streets
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded for the entire section. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.  MS. SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Chair and Board. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I reside at 6830 Grecian Street, and I'm here in two capacities, one as a resident, and one as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association.  I do want to put on the record, and I don't know if this is an ethical concern, if it's a conflict of interest, but there are two sitting Members on the Board, Mr. Behar and Mr. Torre, who also were on elite panels for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  MS. KAWALERSKI: But I did want that noted, because this comes right from the City, by the way, okay.  So, as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, I do want to read into the record what you have already received, hopefully, via e-mail yesterday.  Please accept this letter in support of a complete reversal of the Zoning changes approved by the City Commission in February 2021 for the entire Biltmore Section of Coral Gables, which includes Biltmore Way, Coral Way, Valencia Avenue and all adjacent streets affected by the Zoning changes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded for the entire section. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.  MS. SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Chair and Board. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I reside at 6830 Grecian Street, and I'm here in two capacities, one as a resident, and one as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association.  I do want to put on the record, and I don't know if this is an ethical concern, if it's a conflict of interest, but there are two sitting Members on the Board, Mr. Behar and Mr. Torre, who also were on elite panels for the consultant that rewrote the Zoning Code. So I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  MS. KAWALERSKI: But I did want that noted, because this comes right from the City, by the way, okay.  So, as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, I do want to read into the record what you have already received, hopefully, via e-mail yesterday.  Please accept this letter in support of a complete reversal of the Zoning changes approved by the City Commission in February 2021 for the entire Biltmore Section of Coral Gables, which includes Biltmore Way, Coral Way, Valencia Avenue and all adjacent streets affected by the Zoning changes.  The Coral Gables Neighbors Association
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded for the entire section. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.  MS. SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Chair and Board. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I reside at 6830 Grecian Street, and I'm here in two capacities, one as a resident, and one as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association.  I do want to put on the record, and I don't know if this is an ethical concern, if it's a conflict of interest, but there are two sitting Members on the Board, Mr. Behar and Mr. Torre, who also were on elite panels for the consultant that rewrote the Zoning Code. So I don't know if that's a conflict of interest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. KAWALERSKI: But I did want that noted, because this comes right from the City, by the way, okay. So, as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, I do want to read into the record what you have already received, hopefully, via e-mail yesterday. Please accept this letter in support of a complete reversal of the Zoning changes approved by the City Commission in February 2021 for the entire Biltmore Section of Coral Gables, which includes Biltmore Way, Coral Way, Valencia Avenue and all adjacent streets affected by the Zoning changes.  The Coral Gables Neighbors Association stands with the Biltmore Condominiums Coalition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded for the entire section. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.  MS. SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Chair and Board. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I reside at 6830 Grecian Street, and I'm here in two capacities, one as a resident, and one as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association.  I do want to put on the record, and I don't know if this is an ethical concern, if it's a conflict of interest, but there are two sitting Members on the Board, Mr. Behar and Mr. Torre, who also were on elite panels for the consultant that rewrote the Zoning Code. So I don't know if that's a conflict of interest with you sitting on the Board.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. KAWALERSKI: But I did want that noted, because this comes right from the City, by the way, okay. So, as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, I do want to read into the record what you have already received, hopefully, via e-mail yesterday. Please accept this letter in support of a complete reversal of the Zoning changes approved by the City Commission in February 2021 for the entire Biltmore Section of Coral Gables, which includes Biltmore Way, Coral Way, Valencia Avenue and all adjacent streets affected by the Zoning changes. The Coral Gables Neighbors Association stands with the Biltmore Condominiums Coalition on this issue. The Biltmore Condominiums
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Ingrid Lyall. I'll be very brief and concise. I'm only here I live at 700 Biltmore Way, and I'm just here to ask that the change in Zoning for the Biltmore Section be rescinded for the entire section. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.  MS. SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening, Chair and Board. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I reside at 6830 Grecian Street, and I'm here in two capacities, one as a resident, and one as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association.  I do want to put on the record, and I don't know if this is an ethical concern, if it's a conflict of interest, but there are two sitting Members on the Board, Mr. Behar and Mr. Torre, who also were on elite panels for the consultant that rewrote the Zoning Code. So I don't know if that's a conflict of interest with you sitting on the Board.  MR. BEHAR: That is not true. I did not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	record, your concern, and if you could please move on.  MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I will. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. KAWALERSKI: But I did want that noted, because this comes right from the City, by the way, okay. So, as the President of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, I do want to read into the record what you have already received, hopefully, via e-mail yesterday. Please accept this letter in support of a complete reversal of the Zoning changes approved by the City Commission in February 2021 for the entire Biltmore Section of Coral Gables, which includes Biltmore Way, Coral Way, Valencia Avenue and all adjacent streets affected by the Zoning changes.  The Coral Gables Neighbors Association stands with the Biltmore Condominiums Coalition on this issue. The Biltmore Condominiums

	Page 41		Page 42
1	Director Ramon Trias, admitted during the	1	it. Thank you.
2	Sunshine Meeting on this issue that the City	2	THE SECRETARY: Debra Register.
3	made a mistake when it falsely represented to	3	Ana Perez will be the next speaker.
4	the Commission that the up-zoning would not	4	MS. REGISTER: Hello. My name is Debra
5	increase building heights or density. Those	5	Register. I reside at 1240 Placetas Avenue.
6	false statements were relied upon by the	6	I'm coming before the Chair and the Board to
7	Commission to be true and accurate before a	7	request that you reverse the Zoning change
8	vote to approve the new Zoning Code.	8	completely. I can understand how this will
9	Anything less than a complete reversal of	9	affect the residents in this area. This Board
10	the February Zoning changes and a reversion to	10	and part of the Commission the prior Board
11	the Zoning previously in place for the entire	11	and part of the Commission, approved the Paseo
12	Biltmore Section is unacceptable.	12	Development. That has affected our Residential
13	The CGNA expects the City to take this	13	area by traffic, noise. And any additional
14	action posthaste.	14	density and traffic will adversely affect this
15	With that said, we also expect this	15	area.
16	Planning and Zoning Board to consider the	16	So, please, reverse this decision, that was
17	complete reversal, not just a half measure, not	17	based on inaccurate information, completely and
18	just half of a mistake to be reversed, but the	18	not partially. Thank you for your
19	entire mistake to be reversed and in place	19	consideration.
20	prior to the Zoning change in February. Thank	20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for coming.
21	you.	21	Next speaker, please.
22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Please.	22	THE SECRETARY: Ana Perez.
23	Please, stop. Please hold your clapping.	23	Vicky Berg.
24	We're just trying to conduct a meeting and get	24	MS. BERG: Good evening. My name is Vicky
25	everybody to be able to speak. I do appreciate	25	Berg. I live at 600 Biltmore Way, Unit 620. I
		25	Berg. 1 live at 000 Billinote way, Ollit 020. 1
	D 12		
	Page 43		Page 44
1	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago,	1	Page 44 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.
1 2		1 2	
	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago,		CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.
2	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff.
2	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived	2 3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff. MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon
2 3 4	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff. MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I
2 3 4 5	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff. MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the
2 3 4 5	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how frustrating it is living here, because traffic	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff. MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the meetings that were, you know, during the height
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how frustrating it is living here, because traffic is awful, parking is a nightmare, and yet we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff. MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the meetings that were, you know, during the height of the pandemic, where the Plater-Zyberg
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how frustrating it is living here, because traffic is awful, parking is a nightmare, and yet we keep building.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff. MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the meetings that were, you know, during the height of the pandemic, where the Plater-Zyberg rewrite was being discussed, and the majority
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how frustrating it is living here, because traffic is awful, parking is a nightmare, and yet we keep building.  I think we have forgotten our vision or the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff. MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the meetings that were, you know, during the height of the pandemic, where the Plater-Zyberg rewrite was being discussed, and the majority of residents did not know that much about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how frustrating it is living here, because traffic is awful, parking is a nightmare, and yet we keep building.  I think we have forgotten our vision or the vision of Merrick. We're not Manhattan. We're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff. MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the meetings that were, you know, during the height of the pandemic, where the Plater-Zyberg rewrite was being discussed, and the majority of residents did not know that much about it. I had personally asked, on more than one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how frustrating it is living here, because traffic is awful, parking is a nightmare, and yet we keep building.  I think we have forgotten our vision or the vision of Merrick. We're not Manhattan. We're not Brickell. We are a small community, Coral	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff. MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the meetings that were, you know, during the height of the pandemic, where the Plater-Zyberg rewrite was being discussed, and the majority of residents did not know that much about it. I had personally asked, on more than one occasion, for a glossary, basically, a layman
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and — actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how frustrating it is living here, because traffic is awful, parking is a nightmare, and yet we keep building.  I think we have forgotten our vision or the vision of Merrick. We're not Manhattan. We're not Brickell. We are a small community, Coral Gables, the City of trees. It's a beautiful	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff. MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the meetings that were, you know, during the height of the pandemic, where the Plater-Zyberg rewrite was being discussed, and the majority of residents did not know that much about it. I had personally asked, on more than one occasion, for a glossary, basically, a layman version, so that the public could understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how frustrating it is living here, because traffic is awful, parking is a nightmare, and yet we keep building.  I think we have forgotten our vision or the vision of Merrick. We're not Manhattan. We're not Brickell. We are a small community, Coral Gables, the City of trees. It's a beautiful city, low crime, a place where you can feel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff.  MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the meetings that were, you know, during the height of the pandemic, where the Plater-Zyberg rewrite was being discussed, and the majority of residents did not know that much about it. I had personally asked, on more than one occasion, for a glossary, basically, a layman version, so that the public could understand what that Code Re-Write was all about. We were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and — actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how frustrating it is living here, because traffic is awful, parking is a nightmare, and yet we keep building.  I think we have forgotten our vision or the vision of Merrick. We're not Manhattan. We're not Brickell. We are a small community, Coral Gables, the City of trees. It's a beautiful city, low crime, a place where you can feel secure, where you can enjoy walking through the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff.  MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the meetings that were, you know, during the height of the pandemic, where the Plater-Zyberg rewrite was being discussed, and the majority of residents did not know that much about it. I had personally asked, on more than one occasion, for a glossary, basically, a layman version, so that the public could understand what that Code Re-Write was all about. We were given an abridged edition, but not a layman's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how frustrating it is living here, because traffic is awful, parking is a nightmare, and yet we keep building.  I think we have forgotten our vision or the vision of Merrick. We're not Manhattan. We're not Brickell. We are a small community, Coral Gables, the City of trees. It's a beautiful city, low crime, a place where you can feel secure, where you can enjoy walking through the trees and all of that, yet it's becoming a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff.  MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the meetings that were, you know, during the height of the pandemic, where the Plater-Zyberg rewrite was being discussed, and the majority of residents did not know that much about it. I had personally asked, on more than one occasion, for a glossary, basically, a layman version, so that the public could understand what that Code Re-Write was all about. We were given an abridged edition, but not a layman's edition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and — actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how frustrating it is living here, because traffic is awful, parking is a nightmare, and yet we keep building.  I think we have forgotten our vision or the vision of Merrick. We're not Manhattan. We're not Brickell. We are a small community, Coral Gables, the City of trees. It's a beautiful city, low crime, a place where you can feel secure, where you can enjoy walking through the trees and all of that, yet it's becoming a jungle of buildings. So I ask you today to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff.  MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the meetings that were, you know, during the height of the pandemic, where the Plater-Zyberg rewrite was being discussed, and the majority of residents did not know that much about it. I had personally asked, on more than one occasion, for a glossary, basically, a layman version, so that the public could understand what that Code Re-Write was all about. We were given an abridged edition, but not a layman's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and — actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how frustrating it is living here, because traffic is awful, parking is a nightmare, and yet we keep building.  I think we have forgotten our vision or the vision of Merrick. We're not Manhattan. We're not Brickell. We are a small community, Coral Gables, the City of trees. It's a beautiful city, low crime, a place where you can feel secure, where you can enjoy walking through the trees and all of that, yet it's becoming a jungle of buildings. So I ask you today to please do not allow more footage or more height	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff. MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the meetings that were, you know, during the height of the pandemic, where the Plater-Zyberg rewrite was being discussed, and the majority of residents did not know that much about it. I had personally asked, on more than one occasion, for a glossary, basically, a layman version, so that the public could understand what that Code Re-Write was all about. We were given an abridged edition, but not a layman's edition.  A lot of people were blindsided. Lo and behold, this is the one ball that slipped past
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and — actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how frustrating it is living here, because traffic is awful, parking is a nightmare, and yet we keep building.  I think we have forgotten our vision or the vision of Merrick. We're not Manhattan. We're not Brickell. We are a small community, Coral Gables, the City of trees. It's a beautiful city, low crime, a place where you can feel secure, where you can enjoy walking through the trees and all of that, yet it's becoming a jungle of buildings. So I ask you today to please do not allow more footage or more height in buildings, not only here in Biltmore, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff. MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the meetings that were, you know, during the height of the pandemic, where the Plater-Zyberg rewrite was being discussed, and the majority of residents did not know that much about it. I had personally asked, on more than one occasion, for a glossary, basically, a layman version, so that the public could understand what that Code Re-Write was all about. We were given an abridged edition, but not a layman's edition.  A lot of people were blindsided. Lo and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and — actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how frustrating it is living here, because traffic is awful, parking is a nightmare, and yet we keep building.  I think we have forgotten our vision or the vision of Merrick. We're not Manhattan. We're not Brickell. We are a small community, Coral Gables, the City of trees. It's a beautiful city, low crime, a place where you can feel secure, where you can enjoy walking through the trees and all of that, yet it's becoming a jungle of buildings. So I ask you today to please do not allow more footage or more height in buildings, not only here in Biltmore, but the whole District of Coral Gables is just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff. MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the meetings that were, you know, during the height of the pandemic, where the Plater-Zyberg rewrite was being discussed, and the majority of residents did not know that much about it. I had personally asked, on more than one occasion, for a glossary, basically, a layman version, so that the public could understand what that Code Re-Write was all about. We were given an abridged edition, but not a layman's edition.  A lot of people were blindsided. Lo and behold, this is the one ball that slipped past
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and — actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how frustrating it is living here, because traffic is awful, parking is a nightmare, and yet we keep building.  I think we have forgotten our vision or the vision of Merrick. We're not Manhattan. We're not Brickell. We are a small community, Coral Gables, the City of trees. It's a beautiful city, low crime, a place where you can feel secure, where you can enjoy walking through the trees and all of that, yet it's becoming a jungle of buildings. So I ask you today to please do not allow more footage or more height in buildings, not only here in Biltmore, but the whole District of Coral Gables is just awful. It's just awful.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff.  MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the meetings that were, you know, during the height of the pandemic, where the Plater-Zyberg rewrite was being discussed, and the majority of residents did not know that much about it. I had personally asked, on more than one occasion, for a glossary, basically, a layman version, so that the public could understand what that Code Re-Write was all about. We were given an abridged edition, but not a layman's edition.  A lot of people were blindsided. Lo and behold, this is the one ball that slipped past the goalie. This was a mistake. And it's one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and — actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how frustrating it is living here, because traffic is awful, parking is a nightmare, and yet we keep building.  I think we have forgotten our vision or the vision of Merrick. We're not Manhattan. We're not Brickell. We are a small community, Coral Gables, the City of trees. It's a beautiful city, low crime, a place where you can feel secure, where you can enjoy walking through the trees and all of that, yet it's becoming a jungle of buildings. So I ask you today to please do not allow more footage or more height in buildings, not only here in Biltmore, but the whole District of Coral Gables is just awful. It's just awful.  So I hope that by moving here, for my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff.  MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the meetings that were, you know, during the height of the pandemic, where the Plater-Zyberg rewrite was being discussed, and the majority of residents did not know that much about it. I had personally asked, on more than one occasion, for a glossary, basically, a layman version, so that the public could understand what that Code Re-Write was all about. We were given an abridged edition, but not a layman's edition.  A lot of people were blindsided. Lo and behold, this is the one ball that slipped past the goalie. This was a mistake. And it's one example, one in a row, that the City actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and — actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how frustrating it is living here, because traffic is awful, parking is a nightmare, and yet we keep building.  I think we have forgotten our vision or the vision of Merrick. We're not Manhattan. We're not Brickell. We are a small community, Coral Gables, the City of trees. It's a beautiful city, low crime, a place where you can feel secure, where you can enjoy walking through the trees and all of that, yet it's becoming a jungle of buildings. So I ask you today to please do not allow more footage or more height in buildings, not only here in Biltmore, but the whole District of Coral Gables is just awful. It's just awful.  So I hope that by moving here, for my retirement, I can enjoy my retirement. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff.  MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the meetings that were, you know, during the height of the pandemic, where the Plater-Zyberg rewrite was being discussed, and the majority of residents did not know that much about it. I had personally asked, on more than one occasion, for a glossary, basically, a layman version, so that the public could understand what that Code Re-Write was all about. We were given an abridged edition, but not a layman's edition.  A lot of people were blindsided. Lo and behold, this is the one ball that slipped past the goalie. This was a mistake. And it's one example, one in a row, that the City actually came out and admitted it was a mistake, clearly. I was at the Sunshine Meeting with Rhonda Anderson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	moved here to Coral Gables two years ago, and — actually, I moved back to Coral Gables two years ago. I left Florida in '86. I lived in California 34 years.  When I came back, coming here, and I grew up here, it's been almost a culture shock to see how it's changed; more importantly, how frustrating it is living here, because traffic is awful, parking is a nightmare, and yet we keep building.  I think we have forgotten our vision or the vision of Merrick. We're not Manhattan. We're not Brickell. We are a small community, Coral Gables, the City of trees. It's a beautiful city, low crime, a place where you can feel secure, where you can enjoy walking through the trees and all of that, yet it's becoming a jungle of buildings. So I ask you today to please do not allow more footage or more height in buildings, not only here in Biltmore, but the whole District of Coral Gables is just awful. It's just awful.  So I hope that by moving here, for my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. THE SECRETARY: Gordon Sokoloff.  MR. SOKOLOFF: Good evening. Gordon Sokoloff, 225 Alesio Avenue, Coral Gables. I really appreciate hearing the sentiments of everyone here. I don't have much new to say, other that I was at the meetings the meetings that were, you know, during the height of the pandemic, where the Plater-Zyberg rewrite was being discussed, and the majority of residents did not know that much about it. I had personally asked, on more than one occasion, for a glossary, basically, a layman version, so that the public could understand what that Code Re-Write was all about. We were given an abridged edition, but not a layman's edition.  A lot of people were blindsided. Lo and behold, this is the one ball that slipped past the goalie. This was a mistake. And it's one example, one in a row, that the City actually came out and admitted it was a mistake, clearly. I was at the Sunshine Meeting with

	Page 45		Page 46
1	that meeting was very poorly advertised, people	1	THE SECRETARY: Ms. Gold, can you try to
2	didn't know about it. Imagine, this room only	2	open your mike please?
3	had four people in it to discuss the issue and	3	MS. GOLD: It's open. Are you going to
4	you can see how important it really is.	4	open the camera?
5	So, at that meeting, the admission was	5	THE SECRETARY: One second. We're working
6	made, so the only proper, right thing to do is	6	on that with the IT Department. We can hear
7	for the City to rescind the bad mistake they	7	you, but we don't have vision.
8	made, in its entirety, not partially. Thank	8	MS. GOLD: Mr. Chairman, do you want me to
9	you.	9	just speak with the audio only, even though I'm
10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.	10	prepared to speak with the video, as well?
11	THE SECRETARY: Ana Perez, one more time.	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'm going to go ahead
12	So that's all of the speakers for this	12	and ask you just to speak with the audio only,
13	item.	13	and if we do get it resolved in the meantime,
14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: In Chambers.	14	then we will have the honor of seeing you at
15	THE SECRETARY: In the Chambers, yes.	15	the same time.
16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And how many do we	16	MS. GOLD: Thank you. I did point out the
17	have on Zoom?	17	fact that the video or the camera was not on,
18	THE SECRETARY: We have three.	18	and I pointed that out at
19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you call the	19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Could I ask you to
20	first individual, by their full name, please?	20	start by your full name and address, please?
21	THE SECRETARY: I have Sheryl Gold. One	21	MS. GOLD: Certainly.
22	second until I unmute you, please.	22	Good evening. I'm Sheryl Gold. I live at
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is she there?	23	721 Biltmore Way. I'm here to give sworn
24	THE SECRETARY: Just one second.	24	testimony, as an affected. Although I am a
25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Thank you.	25	founding
	Page 47		Page 48
1	_	1	
1 2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.	1 2	please.
	_		
2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait. MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person	2	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if
2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to,	2	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait. MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could	2 3 4	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait. MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared	2 3 4 5	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared away.	2 3 4 5 6	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.  MS. CRUZ: Where is the icon?
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we cannot, then we	2 3 4 5 6 7	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.  MS. CRUZ: Where is the icon?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, Ms. Cruz.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we cannot, then we cannot swear her in, if we don't have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.  MS. CRUZ: Where is the icon? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, Ms. Cruz. MS. CRUZ: Good evening. My name is Maria
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we cannot, then we cannot swear her in, if we don't have the video.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.  MS. CRUZ: Where is the icon?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, Ms. Cruz.  MS. CRUZ: Good evening. My name is Maria  Cruz, 1447 Miller Road. I have lived in Coral
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we cannot, then we cannot swear her in, if we don't have the video.  MR. COLLER: But we did I'm wondering if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.  MS. CRUZ: Where is the icon?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, Ms. Cruz.  MS. CRUZ: Good evening. My name is Maria  Cruz, 1447 Miller Road. I have lived in Coral  Gables since 1976, same house, same location,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we cannot, then we cannot swear her in, if we don't have the video.  MR. COLLER: But we did — I'm wondering if it would be worth passing and going to the next	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.  MS. CRUZ: Where is the icon?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, Ms. Cruz.  MS. CRUZ: Good evening. My name is Maria  Cruz, 1447 Miller Road. I have lived in Coral  Gables since 1976, same house, same location, same family.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we cannot, then we cannot swear her in, if we don't have the video.  MR. COLLER: But we did I'm wondering if it would be worth passing and going to the next person and coming back to her and see if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, are you okay	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.  MS. CRUZ: Where is the icon?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, Ms. Cruz.  MS. CRUZ: Good evening. My name is Maria Cruz, 1447 Miller Road. I have lived in Coral Gables since 1976, same house, same location, same family.  I'm here to support the Biltmore residents,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we cannot, then we cannot swear her in, if we don't have the video.  MR. COLLER: But we did — I'm wondering if it would be worth passing and going to the next person and coming back to her and see if we can get the video squared away.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.  MS. CRUZ: Where is the icon?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, Ms. Cruz.  MS. CRUZ: Good evening. My name is Maria  Cruz, 1447 Miller Road. I have lived in Coral  Gables since 1976, same house, same location, same family.  I'm here to support the Biltmore residents, but I have some comments, and I know that some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we cannot, then we cannot swear her in, if we don't have the video.  MR. COLLER: But we did I'm wondering if it would be worth passing and going to the next person and coming back to her and see if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, are you okay with that? Let's go ahead and do that.  MS. GOLD: Yeah. Sure. That's fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.  MS. CRUZ: Where is the icon?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, Ms. Cruz.  MS. CRUZ: Good evening. My name is Maria  Cruz, 1447 Miller Road. I have lived in Coral  Gables since 1976, same house, same location, same family.  I'm here to support the Biltmore residents, but I have some comments, and I know that some people may not want to hear it, but we do have the records, and, yes, there are several of your members, your members sitting on your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we cannot, then we cannot swear her in, if we don't have the video.  MR. COLLER: But we did — I'm wondering if it would be worth passing and going to the next person and coming back to her and see if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, are you okay with that? Let's go ahead and do that.  MS. GOLD: Yeah. Sure. That's fine.  Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.  MS. CRUZ: Where is the icon?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, Ms. Cruz.  MS. CRUZ: Good evening. My name is Maria Cruz, 1447 Miller Road. I have lived in Coral Gables since 1976, same house, same location, same family.  I'm here to support the Biltmore residents, but I have some comments, and I know that some people may not want to hear it, but we do have the records, and, yes, there are several of your members, your members sitting on your Board today, that participated in the meetings,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we cannot, then we cannot swear her in, if we don't have the video.  MR. COLLER: But we did I'm wondering if it would be worth passing and going to the next person and coming back to her and see if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, are you okay with that? Let's go ahead and do that.  MS. GOLD: Yeah. Sure. That's fine.  Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That will give us a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.  MS. CRUZ: Where is the icon?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, Ms. Cruz.  MS. CRUZ: Good evening. My name is Maria  Cruz, 1447 Miller Road. I have lived in Coral  Gables since 1976, same house, same location, same family.  I'm here to support the Biltmore residents, but I have some comments, and I know that some people may not want to hear it, but we do have the records, and, yes, there are several of your members, your members sitting on your Board today, that participated in the meetings, supposedly the Staff meetings, with lobbyists
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we cannot, then we cannot swear her in, if we don't have the video.  MR. COLLER: But we did I'm wondering if it would be worth passing and going to the next person and coming back to her and see if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, are you okay with that? Let's go ahead and do that.  MS. GOLD: Yeah. Sure. That's fine.  Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That will give us a chance. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.  MS. CRUZ: Where is the icon?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, Ms. Cruz.  MS. CRUZ: Good evening. My name is Maria  Cruz, 1447 Miller Road. I have lived in Coral  Gables since 1976, same house, same location, same family.  I'm here to support the Biltmore residents, but I have some comments, and I know that some people may not want to hear it, but we do have the records, and, yes, there are several of your members, your members sitting on your Board today, that participated in the meetings, supposedly the Staff meetings, with lobbyists and architects, that were held in the process
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we cannot, then we cannot swear her in, if we don't have the video.  MR. COLLER: But we did I'm wondering if it would be worth passing and going to the next person and coming back to her and see if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, are you okay with that? Let's go ahead and do that.  MS. GOLD: Yeah. Sure. That's fine.  Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That will give us a chance. Thank you.  MS. GOLD: That's why I thought that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.  MS. CRUZ: Where is the icon?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, Ms. Cruz.  MS. CRUZ: Good evening. My name is Maria  Cruz, 1447 Miller Road. I have lived in Coral  Gables since 1976, same house, same location, same family.  I'm here to support the Biltmore residents, but I have some comments, and I know that some people may not want to hear it, but we do have the records, and, yes, there are several of your members, your members sitting on your Board today, that participated in the meetings, supposedly the Staff meetings, with lobbyists and architects, that were held in the process for the Zoning Re-Write.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we cannot, then we cannot swear her in, if we don't have the video.  MR. COLLER: But we did — I'm wondering if it would be worth passing and going to the next person and coming back to her and see if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, are you okay with that? Let's go ahead and do that.  MS. GOLD: Yeah. Sure. That's fine.  Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That will give us a chance. Thank you.  MS. GOLD: That's why I thought that the video was so important.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.  MS. CRUZ: Where is the icon?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, Ms. Cruz.  MS. CRUZ: Good evening. My name is Maria  Cruz, 1447 Miller Road. I have lived in Coral  Gables since 1976, same house, same location, same family.  I'm here to support the Biltmore residents, but I have some comments, and I know that some people may not want to hear it, but we do have the records, and, yes, there are several of your members, your members sitting on your Board today, that participated in the meetings, supposedly the Staff meetings, with lobbyists and architects, that were held in the process for the Zoning Re-Write.  You also have on your Board, one of your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we cannot, then we cannot swear her in, if we don't have the video.  MR. COLLER: But we did I'm wondering if it would be worth passing and going to the next person and coming back to her and see if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, are you okay with that? Let's go ahead and do that.  MS. GOLD: Yeah. Sure. That's fine.  Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That will give us a chance. Thank you.  MS. GOLD: That's why I thought that the video was so important.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.  MS. CRUZ: Where is the icon?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, Ms. Cruz.  MS. CRUZ: Good evening. My name is Maria  Cruz, 1447 Miller Road. I have lived in Coral  Gables since 1976, same house, same location, same family.  I'm here to support the Biltmore residents, but I have some comments, and I know that some people may not want to hear it, but we do have the records, and, yes, there are several of your members, your members sitting on your Board today, that participated in the meetings, supposedly the Staff meetings, with lobbyists and architects, that were held in the process for the Zoning Re-Write.  You also have on your Board, one of your Members just recently, less than three months
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we cannot, then we cannot swear her in, if we don't have the video.  MR. COLLER: But we did I'm wondering if it would be worth passing and going to the next person and coming back to her and see if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, are you okay with that? Let's go ahead and do that.  MS. GOLD: Yeah. Sure. That's fine.  Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That will give us a chance. Thank you.  MS. GOLD: That's why I thought that the video was so important.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. I didn't know that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.  MS. CRUZ: Where is the icon?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, Ms. Cruz.  MS. CRUZ: Good evening. My name is Maria  Cruz, 1447 Miller Road. I have lived in Coral  Gables since 1976, same house, same location, same family.  I'm here to support the Biltmore residents, but I have some comments, and I know that some people may not want to hear it, but we do have the records, and, yes, there are several of your members, your members sitting on your Board today, that participated in the meetings, supposedly the Staff meetings, with lobbyists and architects, that were held in the process for the Zoning Re-Write.  You also have on your Board, one of your Members just recently, less than three months ago, bought a property in the affected zone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we cannot, then we cannot swear her in, if we don't have the video.  MR. COLLER: But we did I'm wondering if it would be worth passing and going to the next person and coming back to her and see if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, are you okay with that? Let's go ahead and do that.  MS. GOLD: Yeah. Sure. That's fine.  Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That will give us a chance. Thank you.  MS. GOLD: That's why I thought that the video was so important.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. I didn't know that.  Next speaker, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.  MS. CRUZ: Where is the icon?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, Ms. Cruz.  MS. CRUZ: Good evening. My name is Maria  Cruz, 1447 Miller Road. I have lived in Coral  Gables since 1976, same house, same location, same family.  I'm here to support the Biltmore residents, but I have some comments, and I know that some people may not want to hear it, but we do have the records, and, yes, there are several of your members, your members sitting on your Board today, that participated in the meetings, supposedly the Staff meetings, with lobbyists and architects, that were held in the process for the Zoning Re-Write.  You also have on your Board, one of your Members just recently, less than three months ago, bought a property in the affected zone.  And you also have one at least one that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, wait.  MR. COLLER: I believe this was the person that we gave a special accommodation to, because she could not appear. So we could swear her in, if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we cannot, then we cannot swear her in, if we don't have the video.  MR. COLLER: But we did I'm wondering if it would be worth passing and going to the next person and coming back to her and see if we can get the video squared away.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Gold, are you okay with that? Let's go ahead and do that.  MS. GOLD: Yeah. Sure. That's fine.  Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That will give us a chance. Thank you.  MS. GOLD: That's why I thought that the video was so important.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. I didn't know that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	please.  MS. CABRERA: There we go. Okay. So if you are on Zoom and you want to speak, please raise your hand with the icon to raise your hand. Otherwise we can't find you.  MS. CRUZ: Where is the icon?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, Ms. Cruz.  MS. CRUZ: Good evening. My name is Maria  Cruz, 1447 Miller Road. I have lived in Coral  Gables since 1976, same house, same location, same family.  I'm here to support the Biltmore residents, but I have some comments, and I know that some people may not want to hear it, but we do have the records, and, yes, there are several of your members, your members sitting on your Board today, that participated in the meetings, supposedly the Staff meetings, with lobbyists and architects, that were held in the process for the Zoning Re-Write.  You also have on your Board, one of your Members just recently, less than three months ago, bought a property in the affected zone.

	Page 49		Page 50
1	of the developers that has been contacting the	1	does not mean anything, when you're exposing
2	owners in that area to sign contracts and be	2	the property owners. So the choice is, do we
3	ready to jump.	3	protect the City, which, by the way, is all of
4	I see a problem with that. People that	4	us, and anything that the City pays out is from
5	were involved with the Zoning Re-Write have a	5	our pocketbook, or do we protect the residents
6	heads up before the regular people. That is	6	that were hurt, the residents that are going to
7	not right. One of the persons that obviously,	7	suffer, because the City made a mistake?
8	because it was posted in a blog, in some it	8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Cruz, I'm going to
9	was Insider, one of the persons has even	9	ask you if I would please wrap up. You're past
10	contracted an attorney that was also involved	10	the three minutes. Thank you.
11	in the Zoning Re-Write. How does that sound?	11	MS. CRUZ: I'm going to wrap up very
12	Obviously we do have conflicts of interest.	12	shortly. Yeah, but the three minutes included
13	When you make a mistake, and I'm a retired	13	the time that I was not unmuted, okay.
14	educator, I have never heard of anyone	14	I think I believe that in order to be
15	accepting just fixing partially a mistake. I'm	15	fair and just, the City needs to request to
16	sure that if you all go back to your years in	16	change everything back to what it was before
17	school, when you made a mistake, you were told	17	the Zoning Re-Write. Process was not followed,
18	to correct the mistake, not to choose what you	18	residents were not informed that they would be
19	wanted to correct. A mistake is a mistake, in	19	affected. Enough is enough. And you have it
20	any book, in any dictionary, and mistakes need	20	in your purview to say it and to get it done
21	to be corrected.	21	and please make sure that those people that we
22	As to the issue of maybe exposing the City	22	have identified that have interest in this
23	to lawsuits, this City is known for being	23	issue to not be voting tonight. Thank you.
24	exposed. This City has more lawsuits than	24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, Ms. Cruz.
25	anybody else that I can think of. Exposure	25	THE SECRETARY: Javier Banos.
	Page 51		Page 52
1	Page 51  MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do	1	Page 52 to live in a neighbor that is going to have
1 2	_	1 2	
	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do		to live in a neighbor that is going to have
2	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed	2	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have
2	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was	2	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all
2 3 4	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask	2 3 4	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts
2 3 4 5	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for	2 3 4 5	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not
2 3 4 5 6	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for the record?	2 3 4 5 6	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not be conducive will not be in the best
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for the record?  MR. BANOS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. My name is Javier Banos. I live at 1801 Casilla Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not be conducive — will not be in the best position to have larger buildings.  So before we move ahead and allow these changes in some future Re-Write, we're hoping
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for the record?  MR. BANOS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. My name is Javier Banos. I live at 1801  Casilla Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. Once again, I want to reiterate, I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not be conducive will not be in the best position to have larger buildings.  So before we move ahead and allow these changes in some future Re-Write, we're hoping that you reverse the complete changes. I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for the record? MR. BANOS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. My name is Javier Banos. I live at 1801 Casilla Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. Once again, I want to reiterate, I would like Ms. Gold to be allowed to speak, because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not be conducive will not be in the best position to have larger buildings.  So before we move ahead and allow these changes in some future Re-Write, we're hoping that you reverse the complete changes. I think a study as to the water seepage that's here,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for the record? MR. BANOS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. My name is Javier Banos. I live at 1801 Casilla Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. Once again, I want to reiterate, I would like Ms. Gold to be allowed to speak, because this is an issue in which she has been a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not be conducive will not be in the best position to have larger buildings.  So before we move ahead and allow these changes in some future Re-Write, we're hoping that you reverse the complete changes. I think a study as to the water seepage that's here, the conditions of these properties, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for the record? MR. BANOS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. My name is Javier Banos. I live at 1801 Casilla Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. Once again, I want to reiterate, I would like Ms. Gold to be allowed to speak, because this is an issue in which she has been a significant advocate and that is one of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not be conducive will not be in the best position to have larger buildings.  So before we move ahead and allow these changes in some future Re-Write, we're hoping that you reverse the complete changes. I think a study as to the water seepage that's here, the conditions of these properties, the problems that the David Williams and other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for the record?  MR. BANOS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. My name is Javier Banos. I live at 1801 Casilla Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. Once again, I want to reiterate, I would like Ms. Gold to be allowed to speak, because this is an issue in which she has been a significant advocate and that is one of the persons that championed and made this item	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not be conducive will not be in the best position to have larger buildings.  So before we move ahead and allow these changes in some future Re-Write, we're hoping that you reverse the complete changes. I think a study as to the water seepage that's here, the conditions of these properties, the problems that the David Williams and other buildings have had over the years, that should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for the record?  MR. BANOS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. My name is Javier Banos. I live at 1801 Casilla Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. Once again, I want to reiterate, I would like Ms. Gold to be allowed to speak, because this is an issue in which she has been a significant advocate and that is one of the persons that championed and made this item being up here possible today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not be conducive will not be in the best position to have larger buildings.  So before we move ahead and allow these changes in some future Re-Write, we're hoping that you reverse the complete changes. I think a study as to the water seepage that's here, the conditions of these properties, the problems that the David Williams and other buildings have had over the years, that should be put forward, before this mistake is allowed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for the record?  MR. BANOS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. My name is Javier Banos. I live at 1801 Casilla Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. Once again, I want to reiterate, I would like Ms. Gold to be allowed to speak, because this is an issue in which she has been a significant advocate and that is one of the persons that championed and made this item being up here possible today. Okay. I want to support the Junior League	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not be conducive will not be in the best position to have larger buildings.  So before we move ahead and allow these changes in some future Re-Write, we're hoping that you reverse the complete changes. I think a study as to the water seepage that's here, the conditions of these properties, the problems that the David Williams and other buildings have had over the years, that should be put forward, before this mistake is allowed to continue. I think we should allow this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for the record? MR. BANOS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. My name is Javier Banos. I live at 1801 Casilla Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. Once again, I want to reiterate, I would like Ms. Gold to be allowed to speak, because this is an issue in which she has been a significant advocate and that is one of the persons that championed and made this item being up here possible today. Okay. I want to support the Junior League of Miami, I want to support Sheryl Gold, I want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not be conducive will not be in the best position to have larger buildings.  So before we move ahead and allow these changes in some future Re-Write, we're hoping that you reverse the complete changes. I think a study as to the water seepage that's here, the conditions of these properties, the problems that the David Williams and other buildings have had over the years, that should be put forward, before this mistake is allowed to continue. I think we should allow this matter to be put to rest and reversed, and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for the record?  MR. BANOS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. My name is Javier Banos. I live at 1801 Casilla Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. Once again, I want to reiterate, I would like Ms. Gold to be allowed to speak, because this is an issue in which she has been a significant advocate and that is one of the persons that championed and made this item being up here possible today.  Okay. I want to support the Junior League of Miami, I want to support Sheryl Gold, I want to support the neighbors in the Biltmore	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not be conducive will not be in the best position to have larger buildings.  So before we move ahead and allow these changes in some future Re-Write, we're hoping that you reverse the complete changes. I think a study as to the water seepage that's here, the conditions of these properties, the problems that the David Williams and other buildings have had over the years, that should be put forward, before this mistake is allowed to continue. I think we should allow this matter to be put to rest and reversed, and that we if some changes need to be done, then it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for the record?  MR. BANOS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. My name is Javier Banos. I live at 1801 Casilla Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. Once again, I want to reiterate, I would like Ms. Gold to be allowed to speak, because this is an issue in which she has been a significant advocate and that is one of the persons that championed and made this item being up here possible today.  Okay. I want to support the Junior League of Miami, I want to support Sheryl Gold, I want to support the neighbors in the Biltmore Section and say that we have a complete	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not be conducive will not be in the best position to have larger buildings.  So before we move ahead and allow these changes in some future Re-Write, we're hoping that you reverse the complete changes. I think a study as to the water seepage that's here, the conditions of these properties, the problems that the David Williams and other buildings have had over the years, that should be put forward, before this mistake is allowed to continue. I think we should allow this matter to be put to rest and reversed, and that we if some changes need to be done, then it should be re-examined wholeheartedly. Thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for the record?  MR. BANOS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. My name is Javier Banos. I live at 1801 Casilla Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. Once again, I want to reiterate, I would like Ms. Gold to be allowed to speak, because this is an issue in which she has been a significant advocate and that is one of the persons that championed and made this item being up here possible today.  Okay. I want to support the Junior League of Miami, I want to support Sheryl Gold, I want to support the neighbors in the Biltmore Section and say that we have a complete reversal of as it pertains to this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not be conducive will not be in the best position to have larger buildings.  So before we move ahead and allow these changes in some future Re-Write, we're hoping that you reverse the complete changes. I think a study as to the water seepage that's here, the conditions of these properties, the problems that the David Williams and other buildings have had over the years, that should be put forward, before this mistake is allowed to continue. I think we should allow this matter to be put to rest and reversed, and that we if some changes need to be done, then it should be re-examined wholeheartedly. Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for the record?  MR. BANOS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. My name is Javier Banos. I live at 1801 Casilla Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. Once again, I want to reiterate, I would like Ms. Gold to be allowed to speak, because this is an issue in which she has been a significant advocate and that is one of the persons that championed and made this item being up here possible today.  Okay. I want to support the Junior League of Miami, I want to support Sheryl Gold, I want to support the neighbors in the Biltmore Section and say that we have a complete reversal of as it pertains to this particular section, of those Zoning changes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not be conducive will not be in the best position to have larger buildings.  So before we move ahead and allow these changes in some future Re-Write, we're hoping that you reverse the complete changes. I think a study as to the water seepage that's here, the conditions of these properties, the problems that the David Williams and other buildings have had over the years, that should be put forward, before this mistake is allowed to continue. I think we should allow this matter to be put to rest and reversed, and that we if some changes need to be done, then it should be re-examined wholeheartedly. Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for the record?  MR. BANOS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. My name is Javier Banos. I live at 1801 Casilla Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. Once again, I want to reiterate, I would like Ms. Gold to be allowed to speak, because this is an issue in which she has been a significant advocate and that is one of the persons that championed and made this item being up here possible today.  Okay. I want to support the Junior League of Miami, I want to support Sheryl Gold, I want to support the neighbors in the Biltmore Section and say that we have a complete reversal of as it pertains to this particular section, of those Zoning changes, because it prevents them from having the full	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not be conducive will not be in the best position to have larger buildings.  So before we move ahead and allow these changes in some future Re-Write, we're hoping that you reverse the complete changes. I think a study as to the water seepage that's here, the conditions of these properties, the problems that the David Williams and other buildings have had over the years, that should be put forward, before this mistake is allowed to continue. I think we should allow this matter to be put to rest and reversed, and that we if some changes need to be done, then it should be re-examined wholeheartedly. Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: We've had a few more people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for the record?  MR. BANOS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. My name is Javier Banos. I live at 1801 Casilla Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. Once again, I want to reiterate, I would like Ms. Gold to be allowed to speak, because this is an issue in which she has been a significant advocate and that is one of the persons that championed and made this item being up here possible today.  Okay. I want to support the Junior League of Miami, I want to support Sheryl Gold, I want to support the neighbors in the Biltmore Section and say that we have a complete reversal of as it pertains to this particular section, of those Zoning changes, because it prevents them from having the full enjoyment of their property in the way they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not be conducive will not be in the best position to have larger buildings.  So before we move ahead and allow these changes in some future Re-Write, we're hoping that you reverse the complete changes. I think a study as to the water seepage that's here, the conditions of these properties, the problems that the David Williams and other buildings have had over the years, that should be put forward, before this mistake is allowed to continue. I think we should allow this matter to be put to rest and reversed, and that we if some changes need to be done, then it should be re-examined wholeheartedly. Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.  THE SECRETARY: We've had a few more people raising their hands and wishing to speak.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for the record?  MR. BANOS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. My name is Javier Banos. I live at 1801 Casilla Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. Once again, I want to reiterate, I would like Ms. Gold to be allowed to speak, because this is an issue in which she has been a significant advocate and that is one of the persons that championed and made this item being up here possible today.  Okay. I want to support the Junior League of Miami, I want to support Sheryl Gold, I want to support the neighbors in the Biltmore Section and say that we have a complete reversal of as it pertains to this particular section, of those Zoning changes, because it prevents them from having the full enjoyment of their property in the way they envisioned it. It creates a significant burden	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not be conducive will not be in the best position to have larger buildings.  So before we move ahead and allow these changes in some future Re-Write, we're hoping that you reverse the complete changes. I think a study as to the water seepage that's here, the conditions of these properties, the problems that the David Williams and other buildings have had over the years, that should be put forward, before this mistake is allowed to continue. I think we should allow this matter to be put to rest and reversed, and that we if some changes need to be done, then it should be re-examined wholeheartedly. Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.  THE SECRETARY: We've had a few more people raising their hands and wishing to speak.  Carter Saw.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BANOS: Good evening, everyone. I do want to make sure that Sheryl Gold be allowed to speak. She was CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Banos, could I ask you to please state your name and address, for the record?  MR. BANOS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. My name is Javier Banos. I live at 1801 Casilla Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. Once again, I want to reiterate, I would like Ms. Gold to be allowed to speak, because this is an issue in which she has been a significant advocate and that is one of the persons that championed and made this item being up here possible today.  Okay. I want to support the Junior League of Miami, I want to support Sheryl Gold, I want to support the neighbors in the Biltmore Section and say that we have a complete reversal of as it pertains to this particular section, of those Zoning changes, because it prevents them from having the full enjoyment of their property in the way they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to live in a neighbor that is going to have high-rises far bigger than the ones they have today, and this area is know for having all sorts of water intrusion issues and all sorts of other problems that structurally would not be conducive will not be in the best position to have larger buildings.  So before we move ahead and allow these changes in some future Re-Write, we're hoping that you reverse the complete changes. I think a study as to the water seepage that's here, the conditions of these properties, the problems that the David Williams and other buildings have had over the years, that should be put forward, before this mistake is allowed to continue. I think we should allow this matter to be put to rest and reversed, and that we if some changes need to be done, then it should be re-examined wholeheartedly. Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.  THE SECRETARY: We've had a few more people raising their hands and wishing to speak.

1	Page 53		Page 54
_	Carter Sox. I'm at 1407 El Rado Street. I	1	I'm also a Junior League of Miami member,
2	just want to add my voice to this cause. I	2	and I know we've had our official
3	know a lot of people talk on development issues	3	representatives there, but I absolutely support
4	in Coral Gables as long time residents, and,	4	the Junior League of Miami, and that's also a
5	you know, they voice the opinion that they	5	historic building, and there are other historic
6	don't necessarily like the changes that are	6	buildings in this area, and that has to be
7	coming to Coral Gables. I want to voice my	7	taken into consideration.
8	opinion as a newer resident and the mother of a	8	I'm from Virginia, where historic buildings
9	young family. It's not that I'm against change	9	go a lot further back than in Miami, and it's
10	in Coral Gables, and it's not that I'm against	10	beautiful and it's a really nice part of the
11	development and restaurants and industry and a	11	community, and if Miami doesn't respect its
12	great economy in Coral Gables, but there has to	12	history and the historic buildings that are
13	be a plan, and this has to be done	13	here, it's going to lose its character, and
14	strategically, and I actually have spoken	14	it's going to lose its charm, and that's really
15	before about the increase in the height	15	what makes Coral Gables special.
16	allowances I spoke about this one in	16	And, finally, even though I don't live in
17	particular and it's just slightly	17	the Biltmore Section, I do just want to point
18	unfathomable to me that we would just continue	18	out that that area is such a thoroughfare. I'm
19	to move forward with what is obviously a	19	in the North Gables. I probably drive through
20	mistake, and that the residents of this area,	20	that area 90 percent of the time of where I'm
21	the residents who are the constituents that	21	going. So if I'm going to Downtown Gables, I
22	you, as Commissioners, owe your support to,	22	drive through that area. I go to the library,
23	would just be sort of written off, because a	23	I go Downtown, I go to the War Memorial, all
24	mistake was made. So I think that's very	24	driving through that area. If that is suddenly
25	important.	25	high-rises, with tons of parking issues and
	Page 55		Page 56
1	lots of traffic, that's going to create a huge	1	Thank you very much for fixing the camera
2	problem, because that is the thoroughfare	2	for me. It's a little hazy. You are out of
3	between North Gables and all of the area around	3	focus, but I'll just get started.
4	the Granada Golf Course and Downtown Gables,	4	
			Good evening.
5	and that has to be taken into consideration, as	5	Good evening.  MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear
5 6	well.	5 6	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.
	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this		MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.  (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)
6	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this was obviously a mistake. One side of this	6 7 8	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.
6 7 8 9	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this was obviously a mistake. One side of this cannot be punished because of this mistake that	6 7 8 9	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.  (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)  MS. GOLD: I do.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. If
6 7 8 9	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this was obviously a mistake. One side of this cannot be punished because of this mistake that was made. I'm also an attorney. I don't think	6 7 8 9	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.  (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)  MS. GOLD: I do.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address,
6 7 8 9 10	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this was obviously a mistake. One side of this cannot be punished because of this mistake that was made. I'm also an attorney. I don't think you make decisions based on potential	6 7 8 9 10	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.  (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)  MS. GOLD: I do.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.
6 7 8 9 10 11	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this was obviously a mistake. One side of this cannot be punished because of this mistake that was made. I'm also an attorney. I don't think you make decisions based on potential liability. You make the right decision and	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.  (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)  MS. GOLD: I do.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. GOLD: Sheryl Gold, 721 Biltmore Way.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this was obviously a mistake. One side of this cannot be punished because of this mistake that was made. I'm also an attorney. I don't think you make decisions based on potential liability. You make the right decision and then you have a legal strategy afterwards to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.  (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)  MS. GOLD: I do.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. GOLD: Sheryl Gold, 721 Biltmore Way. I'm here to give sworn testimony as an affected
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this was obviously a mistake. One side of this cannot be punished because of this mistake that was made. I'm also an attorney. I don't think you make decisions based on potential liability. You make the right decision and then you have a legal strategy afterwards to back up your decision. So I don't think that's	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.  (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)  MS. GOLD: I do.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. GOLD: Sheryl Gold, 721 Biltmore Way. I'm here to give sworn testimony as an affected resident. Although I am a founding member of
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this was obviously a mistake. One side of this cannot be punished because of this mistake that was made. I'm also an attorney. I don't think you make decisions based on potential liability. You make the right decision and then you have a legal strategy afterwards to back up your decision. So I don't think that's a valid reason to not fix this mistake. And I	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.  (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)  MS. GOLD: I do.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. GOLD: Sheryl Gold, 721 Biltmore Way.  I'm here to give sworn testimony as an affected resident. Although I am a founding member of the Biltmore Condominiums Coalition, I am
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this was obviously a mistake. One side of this cannot be punished because of this mistake that was made. I'm also an attorney. I don't think you make decisions based on potential liability. You make the right decision and then you have a legal strategy afterwards to back up your decision. So I don't think that's a valid reason to not fix this mistake. And I support all of the residents, I support the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.  (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)  MS. GOLD: I do.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. GOLD: Sheryl Gold, 721 Biltmore Way.  I'm here to give sworn testimony as an affected resident. Although I am a founding member of the Biltmore Condominiums Coalition, I am representing myself as an individual tonight.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this was obviously a mistake. One side of this cannot be punished because of this mistake that was made. I'm also an attorney. I don't think you make decisions based on potential liability. You make the right decision and then you have a legal strategy afterwards to back up your decision. So I don't think that's a valid reason to not fix this mistake. And I support all of the residents, I support the Junior League, and I support myself and my	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.  (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)  MS. GOLD: I do.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. GOLD: Sheryl Gold, 721 Biltmore Way. I'm here to give sworn testimony as an affected resident. Although I am a founding member of the Biltmore Condominiums Coalition, I am representing myself as an individual tonight.  My opposition to the up-zoning of the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this was obviously a mistake. One side of this cannot be punished because of this mistake that was made. I'm also an attorney. I don't think you make decisions based on potential liability. You make the right decision and then you have a legal strategy afterwards to back up your decision. So I don't think that's a valid reason to not fix this mistake. And I support all of the residents, I support the Junior League, and I support myself and my family, who use this area regularly. Thank you	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.  (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)  MS. GOLD: I do.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. GOLD: Sheryl Gold, 721 Biltmore Way. I'm here to give sworn testimony as an affected resident. Although I am a founding member of the Biltmore Condominiums Coalition, I am representing myself as an individual tonight.  My opposition to the up-zoning of the Biltmore Section is based on my personal
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this was obviously a mistake. One side of this cannot be punished because of this mistake that was made. I'm also an attorney. I don't think you make decisions based on potential liability. You make the right decision and then you have a legal strategy afterwards to back up your decision. So I don't think that's a valid reason to not fix this mistake. And I support all of the residents, I support the Junior League, and I support myself and my family, who use this area regularly. Thank you very much.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.  (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)  MS. GOLD: I do.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. GOLD: Sheryl Gold, 721 Biltmore Way.  I'm here to give sworn testimony as an affected resident. Although I am a founding member of the Biltmore Condominiums Coalition, I am representing myself as an individual tonight.  My opposition to the up-zoning of the Biltmore Section is based on my personal knowledge of the issues and my commitment to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this was obviously a mistake. One side of this cannot be punished because of this mistake that was made. I'm also an attorney. I don't think you make decisions based on potential liability. You make the right decision and then you have a legal strategy afterwards to back up your decision. So I don't think that's a valid reason to not fix this mistake. And I support all of the residents, I support the Junior League, and I support myself and my family, who use this area regularly. Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.  (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)  MS. GOLD: I do.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. GOLD: Sheryl Gold, 721 Biltmore Way.  I'm here to give sworn testimony as an affected resident. Although I am a founding member of the Biltmore Condominiums Coalition, I am representing myself as an individual tonight.  My opposition to the up-zoning of the Biltmore Section is based on my personal knowledge of the issues and my commitment to preserving the residential character of our
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this was obviously a mistake. One side of this cannot be punished because of this mistake that was made. I'm also an attorney. I don't think you make decisions based on potential liability. You make the right decision and then you have a legal strategy afterwards to back up your decision. So I don't think that's a valid reason to not fix this mistake. And I support all of the residents, I support the Junior League, and I support myself and my family, who use this area regularly. Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  Jill, now that we have the camera up, can	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.  (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)  MS. GOLD: I do.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. GOLD: Sheryl Gold, 721 Biltmore Way.  I'm here to give sworn testimony as an affected resident. Although I am a founding member of the Biltmore Condominiums Coalition, I am representing myself as an individual tonight.  My opposition to the up-zoning of the Biltmore Section is based on my personal knowledge of the issues and my commitment to preserving the residential character of our neighborhood. I attended five Commission
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this was obviously a mistake. One side of this cannot be punished because of this mistake that was made. I'm also an attorney. I don't think you make decisions based on potential liability. You make the right decision and then you have a legal strategy afterwards to back up your decision. So I don't think that's a valid reason to not fix this mistake. And I support all of the residents, I support the Junior League, and I support myself and my family, who use this area regularly. Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  Jill, now that we have the camera up, can we call Ms. Gold back?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.  (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)  MS. GOLD: I do.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. GOLD: Sheryl Gold, 721 Biltmore Way. I'm here to give sworn testimony as an affected resident. Although I am a founding member of the Biltmore Condominiums Coalition, I am representing myself as an individual tonight.  My opposition to the up-zoning of the Biltmore Section is based on my personal knowledge of the issues and my commitment to preserving the residential character of our neighborhood. I attended five Commission Sessions on the Zoning Code Re-Write, and I
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this was obviously a mistake. One side of this cannot be punished because of this mistake that was made. I'm also an attorney. I don't think you make decisions based on potential liability. You make the right decision and then you have a legal strategy afterwards to back up your decision. So I don't think that's a valid reason to not fix this mistake. And I support all of the residents, I support the Junior League, and I support myself and my family, who use this area regularly. Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  Jill, now that we have the camera up, can we call Ms. Gold back?  THE SECRETARY: Ms. Gold, can you unmute	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.  (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)  MS. GOLD: I do.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. GOLD: Sheryl Gold, 721 Biltmore Way.  I'm here to give sworn testimony as an affected resident. Although I am a founding member of the Biltmore Condominiums Coalition, I am representing myself as an individual tonight.  My opposition to the up-zoning of the Biltmore Section is based on my personal knowledge of the issues and my commitment to preserving the residential character of our neighborhood. I attended five Commission Sessions on the Zoning Code Re-Write, and I never heard a single reference to the Biltmore
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this was obviously a mistake. One side of this cannot be punished because of this mistake that was made. I'm also an attorney. I don't think you make decisions based on potential liability. You make the right decision and then you have a legal strategy afterwards to back up your decision. So I don't think that's a valid reason to not fix this mistake. And I support all of the residents, I support the Junior League, and I support myself and my family, who use this area regularly. Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  Jill, now that we have the camera up, can we call Ms. Gold back?  THE SECRETARY: Ms. Gold, can you unmute yourself, please?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.  (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)  MS. GOLD: I do.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. GOLD: Sheryl Gold, 721 Biltmore Way.  I'm here to give sworn testimony as an affected resident. Although I am a founding member of the Biltmore Condominiums Coalition, I am representing myself as an individual tonight.  My opposition to the up-zoning of the Biltmore Section is based on my personal knowledge of the issues and my commitment to preserving the residential character of our neighborhood. I attended five Commission Sessions on the Zoning Code Re-Write, and I never heard a single reference to the Biltmore Section. What I did hear was the City's
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	well.  So I'll just wrap up, I don't think this was obviously a mistake. One side of this cannot be punished because of this mistake that was made. I'm also an attorney. I don't think you make decisions based on potential liability. You make the right decision and then you have a legal strategy afterwards to back up your decision. So I don't think that's a valid reason to not fix this mistake. And I support all of the residents, I support the Junior League, and I support myself and my family, who use this area regularly. Thank you very much.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.  Jill, now that we have the camera up, can we call Ms. Gold back?  THE SECRETARY: Ms. Gold, can you unmute	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. COLLER: Wait. We're going to swear the witness in.  (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)  MS. GOLD: I do.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. GOLD: Sheryl Gold, 721 Biltmore Way.  I'm here to give sworn testimony as an affected resident. Although I am a founding member of the Biltmore Condominiums Coalition, I am representing myself as an individual tonight.  My opposition to the up-zoning of the Biltmore Section is based on my personal knowledge of the issues and my commitment to preserving the residential character of our neighborhood. I attended five Commission Sessions on the Zoning Code Re-Write, and I never heard a single reference to the Biltmore

	Page 57		Page 58
1	the objective of the Zoning Code Re-Write was	1	physical conditions and hear residents'
2	to incentivize small projects and prevent the	2	concerns about the adverse impact of the Zoning
3	larger developments possible under the old	3	Code changes, increased density, intensity,
4	Code. She obviously misspoke, since the total	4	taller and larger buildings, more traffic
5	opposite has happened in the Biltmore Section.	5	congestion, less green space, loss of specimen
6	I am the resident, in February, following	6	trees, all resulting in a loss of quality of
7	approval of the new Code, that first discovered	7	life and property values. Also, we raised
8	the up-zoning and its harmful consequences.	8	concerns about the destabilizing forces of
9	When I asked Ramon Trias for a before and after	9	demolitions and construction on adjacent
10	chart and maps, to date the vast majority of	10	buildings in an area already challanged by
11	affected residents still have no knowledge of	11	excessive flooding and underlying hydrological
12	the original Zoning Code changes or the real	12	conditions.
13	reason for the selective and inequitable remedy	13	I support the reversal of all Zoning Code
14	being proposed today.	14	changes in the Biltmore Section, the
15	The Staff's explanation for why only half	15	re-establishment of the MFSA and MF2 District,
16	of the Biltmore District will realize removal	16	with the reinstatement of the original height,
17	of the Med Bonus height increase is because	17	FAR, density and setbacks and the removal of
18	those properties are in the former MFSA	18	the Med Bonus for the entire district.
19	District. Why not those in the former MF2?	19	Overdevelopment is radically changing
20	The simple unvarnished truth, a developer or	20	forever the character and historical legacy of
21	developers want to demolish and re-develop	21	the City Beautiful. I do not want Commercial
22	properties on the north side of Biltmore Way	22	size buildings in our Residential neighborhood.
23	and Coral Way. I organized a site tour in May	23	Wise and responsible policy making depends upon
24	for Mayor Lago and Commissioners Fors and	24	the engagement of the people. I urge you to
25	Anderson, so they could observe the existing	25	reject the proposed amendment, that will
	Page 59		
	1 4 3 6 6 7		Page 60
1	benefit developers, at the expense of	1	Page 60 at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment
1 2		1 2	
	benefit developers, at the expense of		at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment
2	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.	2	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two
2	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.	2 3	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.
2 3 4	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?	2 3 4	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the
2 3 4 5	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.  Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell.	2 3 4 5	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the
2 3 4 5 6	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell. MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the setting of a building is part of its
2 3 4 5 6 7	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell.  MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Thank you. Can you hear me?	2 3 4 5 6 7	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the setting of a building is part of its significance. Vibrations and mechanical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell.  MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Thank you. Can you hear me?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, ma'am. If you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the setting of a building is part of its significance. Vibrations and mechanical actions can disturb the settings and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell.  MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Thank you.  Can you hear me?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the setting of a building is part of its significance. Vibrations and mechanical actions can disturb the settings and foundations of historic sites and structures,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell.  MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Thank you. Can you hear me?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. CARBONELL: This is Karelia Martinez	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the setting of a building is part of its significance. Vibrations and mechanical actions can disturb the settings and foundations of historic sites and structures, especially when larger buildings are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell.  MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Thank you.  Can you hear me?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. CARBONELL: This is Karelia Martinez  Carbonell. I'm at 532 Altara Avenue. I am	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the setting of a building is part of its significance. Vibrations and mechanical actions can disturb the settings and foundations of historic sites and structures, especially when larger buildings are constructed next to much smaller ones.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell.  MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Thank you.  Can you hear me?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. CARBONELL: This is Karelia Martinez  Carbonell. I'm at 532 Altara Avenue. I am  President of the Historic Preservation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the setting of a building is part of its significance. Vibrations and mechanical actions can disturb the settings and foundations of historic sites and structures, especially when larger buildings are constructed next to much smaller ones.  Additional building height and massing in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell.  MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Thank you.  Can you hear me?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. CARBONELL: This is Karelia Martinez  Carbonell. I'm at 532 Altara Avenue. I am  President of the Historic Preservation  Association of Coral Gables, and I'd like to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the setting of a building is part of its significance. Vibrations and mechanical actions can disturb the settings and foundations of historic sites and structures, especially when larger buildings are constructed next to much smaller ones.  Additional building height and massing in the area will further distort and compromise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell.  MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Thank you.  Can you hear me?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. CARBONELL: This is Karelia Martinez  Carbonell. I'm at 532 Altara Avenue. I am  President of the Historic Preservation  Association of Coral Gables, and I'd like to read a letter that was submitted on behalf of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the setting of a building is part of its significance. Vibrations and mechanical actions can disturb the settings and foundations of historic sites and structures, especially when larger buildings are constructed next to much smaller ones.  Additional building height and massing in the area will further distort and compromise historic Coral Way and the historic Granada
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell.  MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Thank you.  Can you hear me?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. CARBONELL: This is Karelia Martinez  Carbonell. I'm at 532 Altara Avenue. I am  President of the Historic Preservation  Association of Coral Gables, and I'd like to read a letter that was submitted on behalf of our organization. Also, for the record, I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the setting of a building is part of its significance. Vibrations and mechanical actions can disturb the settings and foundations of historic sites and structures, especially when larger buildings are constructed next to much smaller ones.  Additional building height and massing in the area will further distort and compromise historic Coral Way and the historic Granada golf course, so the proposed text amendment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell.  MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Thank you.  Can you hear me?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. CARBONELL: This is Karelia Martinez  Carbonell. I'm at 532 Altara Avenue. I am  President of the Historic Preservation  Association of Coral Gables, and I'd like to read a letter that was submitted on behalf of our organization. Also, for the record, I was past Foundation President of the Junior League	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the setting of a building is part of its significance. Vibrations and mechanical actions can disturb the settings and foundations of historic sites and structures, especially when larger buildings are constructed next to much smaller ones.  Additional building height and massing in the area will further distort and compromise historic Coral Way and the historic Granada golf course, so the proposed text amendment should include restoration of the previous
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell.  MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Thank you.  Can you hear me?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. CARBONELL: This is Karelia Martinez  Carbonell. I'm at 532 Altara Avenue. I am  President of the Historic Preservation  Association of Coral Gables, and I'd like to read a letter that was submitted on behalf of our organization. Also, for the record, I was past Foundation President of the Junior League of Miami.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the setting of a building is part of its significance. Vibrations and mechanical actions can disturb the settings and foundations of historic sites and structures, especially when larger buildings are constructed next to much smaller ones.  Additional building height and massing in the area will further distort and compromise historic Coral Way and the historic Granada golf course, so the proposed text amendment should include restoration of the previous density, height and FAR restrictions on all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell.  MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Thank you.  Can you hear me?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. CARBONELL: This is Karelia Martinez  Carbonell. I'm at 532 Altara Avenue. I am  President of the Historic Preservation  Association of Coral Gables, and I'd like to read a letter that was submitted on behalf of our organization. Also, for the record, I was past Foundation President of the Junior League of Miami.  Please accept this letter, which was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the setting of a building is part of its significance. Vibrations and mechanical actions can disturb the settings and foundations of historic sites and structures, especially when larger buildings are constructed next to much smaller ones.  Additional building height and massing in the area will further distort and compromise historic Coral Way and the historic Granada golf course, so the proposed text amendment should include restoration of the previous density, height and FAR restrictions on all blocks that were erroneously up-zoned.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell.  MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Thank you.  Can you hear me?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. CARBONELL: This is Karelia Martinez  Carbonell. I'm at 532 Altara Avenue. I am  President of the Historic Preservation  Association of Coral Gables, and I'd like to read a letter that was submitted on behalf of our organization. Also, for the record, I was past Foundation President of the Junior League of Miami.  Please accept this letter, which was submitted on behalf of the Historic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the setting of a building is part of its significance. Vibrations and mechanical actions can disturb the settings and foundations of historic sites and structures, especially when larger buildings are constructed next to much smaller ones.  Additional building height and massing in the area will further distort and compromise historic Coral Way and the historic Granada golf course, so the proposed text amendment should include restoration of the previous density, height and FAR restrictions on all blocks that were erroneously up-zoned.  The Historic Preservation Association of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell.  MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Thank you.  Can you hear me?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. CARBONELL: This is Karelia Martinez  Carbonell. I'm at 532 Altara Avenue. I am  President of the Historic Preservation  Association of Coral Gables, and I'd like to read a letter that was submitted on behalf of our organization. Also, for the record, I was past Foundation President of the Junior League of Miami.  Please accept this letter, which was submitted on behalf of the Historic Preservation Association, in opposition to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the setting of a building is part of its significance. Vibrations and mechanical actions can disturb the settings and foundations of historic sites and structures, especially when larger buildings are constructed next to much smaller ones.  Additional building height and massing in the area will further distort and compromise historic Coral Way and the historic Granada golf course, so the proposed text amendment should include restoration of the previous density, height and FAR restrictions on all blocks that were erroneously up-zoned.  The Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables promotes the understanding and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell.  MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Thank you.  Can you hear me?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. CARBONELL: This is Karelia Martinez  Carbonell. I'm at 532 Altara Avenue. I am  President of the Historic Preservation  Association of Coral Gables, and I'd like to read a letter that was submitted on behalf of our organization. Also, for the record, I was past Foundation President of the Junior League of Miami.  Please accept this letter, which was submitted on behalf of the Historic  Preservation Association, in opposition to the proposal to re-zone only part of the Biltmore	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the setting of a building is part of its significance. Vibrations and mechanical actions can disturb the settings and foundations of historic sites and structures, especially when larger buildings are constructed next to much smaller ones.  Additional building height and massing in the area will further distort and compromise historic Coral Way and the historic Granada golf course, so the proposed text amendment should include restoration of the previous density, height and FAR restrictions on all blocks that were erroneously up-zoned.  The Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables promotes the understanding and importance of historic resources and their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell.  MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Thank you.  Can you hear me?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. CARBONELL: This is Karelia Martinez  Carbonell. I'm at 532 Altara Avenue. I am  President of the Historic Preservation  Association of Coral Gables, and I'd like to read a letter that was submitted on behalf of our organization. Also, for the record, I was past Foundation President of the Junior League of Miami.  Please accept this letter, which was submitted on behalf of the Historic  Preservation Association, in opposition to the proposal to re-zone only part of the Biltmore Section that was recently up-zoned. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the setting of a building is part of its significance. Vibrations and mechanical actions can disturb the settings and foundations of historic sites and structures, especially when larger buildings are constructed next to much smaller ones.  Additional building height and massing in the area will further distort and compromise historic Coral Way and the historic Granada golf course, so the proposed text amendment should include restoration of the previous density, height and FAR restrictions on all blocks that were erroneously up-zoned.  The Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables promotes the understanding and importance of historic resources and their preservation in Coral Gables, and we ask that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	benefit developers, at the expense of residents. Thank you.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am. Who do we have next, Jill?  THE SECRETARY: I have Ms. Carbonell.  MS. CARBONELL: Yes, I'm here. Thank you.  Can you hear me?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, ma'am. If you could start with your name and address, please.  MS. CARBONELL: This is Karelia Martinez  Carbonell. I'm at 532 Altara Avenue. I am  President of the Historic Preservation  Association of Coral Gables, and I'd like to read a letter that was submitted on behalf of our organization. Also, for the record, I was past Foundation President of the Junior League of Miami.  Please accept this letter, which was submitted on behalf of the Historic  Preservation Association, in opposition to the proposal to re-zone only part of the Biltmore Section that was recently up-zoned. The up-zoning impacts the view-shed of historically	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	at 713 Biltmore Way, and the garden apartment complex at 719 Biltmore Way, to name just two examples.  The Association recognizes that the Secretary of the Interior has noted that the setting of a building is part of its significance. Vibrations and mechanical actions can disturb the settings and foundations of historic sites and structures, especially when larger buildings are constructed next to much smaller ones.  Additional building height and massing in the area will further distort and compromise historic Coral Way and the historic Granada golf course, so the proposed text amendment should include restoration of the previous density, height and FAR restrictions on all blocks that were erroneously up-zoned.  The Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables promotes the understanding and importance of historic resources and their preservation in Coral Gables, and we ask that your Board please decide on correcting this

	Page 61		Page 62
1	Thank you for letting me speak.	1	And, I guess, Ramon, how did we get to
2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.	2	where we are? I mean, how did all of this
3	THE SECRETARY: The last speaker on Zoom is	3	happen? How did all of this unfold? I just
4	Julie. Julie?	4	would like chronologically what happened.
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is she there? I'm	5	MS. CABRERA: Is it working? No, I'm just
6	sorry?	6	short. I didn't wear the heels today.
7	THE SECRETARY: She's still connected and	7	MR. WITHERS: There you go.
8	has her hand raised.	8	MS. CABRERA: So the idea was to make our
9	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: That was a mistake.	9	Code simpler and to bring some consistency
10	THE SECRETARY: You do no wish to speak?	10	between different areas, and to bring the
11	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No, ma'am. Thank you.	11	Zoning Code and the Comp Plan to be more in
12	THE SECRETARY: Okay. Thank you.	12	line with each other. And in doing that,
13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	13	there's a very specific section of the MFSA
		14	that did not allow the Med Bonus, and when we
14	Do we have anybody by phone platform?  THE SECRETARY: No.	15	went from MFSA, for these properties that were
15		16	capped at 150 feet through the Site Specifics,
16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We do not?	17	when they were re-zoned to MF4, the MF4 allowed
17	Okay. At this time, I will go ahead and	18	the 190.5, and, therefore, they picked up the
18	close the meeting the floor for this item	19	190.5. That's your 40 and a half feet.
19	and open it up for discussion among the Board	20	MR. WITHERS: So through all of this time,
20	Members.	21	do we normally publicize public meetings and
21	Chip?	22	hearings on these Zoning issues when we have
22	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Thank you.	23	the Committee meetings and the Zoning meetings?
23	I have two questions. First of all, I	24	Do you notify the whole area? How do you
24	thank everyone for being here. I appreciate	25	notify
25	you coming out.		
	Page 63		Page 64
1	MS. CABRERA: Do we have the notice	1	way back to square one or are there certain
2	you're talking about what we're doing today or	2	things you want to leave in, in the plan, as it
3	when it was done in February?	3	is?
4	MR. WITHER: Well, give me the process.	4	MS. CABRERA: So we're here today only to
5	During the process.	5	address the item that Commissioner Anderson has
6	MS. CABRERA: Okay. So there were 25		address the item that commissioner macroon has
		6	
7	-	6 7	sponsored, which is to correct the additional
7 8	public meetings on this item MR. WITHERS: On the overall.		sponsored, which is to correct the additional 40 feet of height for these properties, when
	public meetings on this item	7	sponsored, which is to correct the additional 40 feet of height for these properties, when they went from 150 to 190.5. The FAR is not
8	public meetings on this item MR. WITHERS: On the overall. MS. CABRERA: On the overall.	7 8	sponsored, which is to correct the additional 40 feet of height for these properties, when they went from 150 to 190.5. The FAR is not being changed. And that's what she's
8 9	public meetings on this item MR. WITHERS: On the overall.	7 8 9	sponsored, which is to correct the additional 40 feet of height for these properties, when they went from 150 to 190.5. The FAR is not being changed. And that's what she's sponsoring, simply because she does not want to
8 9 10	public meetings on this item MR. WITHERS: On the overall. MS. CABRERA: On the overall. MR. WITHERS: How many on this area, do you	7 8 9	sponsored, which is to correct the additional 40 feet of height for these properties, when they went from 150 to 190.5. The FAR is not being changed. And that's what she's
8 9 10 11	public meetings on this item MR. WITHERS: On the overall. MS. CABRERA: On the overall. MR. WITHERS: How many on this area, do you know?	7 8 9 10 11	sponsored, which is to correct the additional 40 feet of height for these properties, when they went from 150 to 190.5. The FAR is not being changed. And that's what she's sponsoring, simply because she does not want to open the City to potential lawsuits, and the City Attorney's recommendation is that the
8 9 10 11 12	public meetings on this item MR. WITHERS: On the overall. MS. CABRERA: On the overall. MR. WITHERS: How many on this area, do you know? MS. CABRERA: I don't know if there were specific to this. I think there was	7 8 9 10 11	sponsored, which is to correct the additional 40 feet of height for these properties, when they went from 150 to 190.5. The FAR is not being changed. And that's what she's sponsoring, simply because she does not want to open the City to potential lawsuits, and the City Attorney's recommendation is that the change in the height does not, but the FAR
8 9 10 11 12 13	public meetings on this item MR. WITHERS: On the overall. MS. CABRERA: On the overall. MR. WITHERS: How many on this area, do you know? MS. CABRERA: I don't know if there were specific to this. I think there was something some discussions that we found.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sponsored, which is to correct the additional 40 feet of height for these properties, when they went from 150 to 190.5. The FAR is not being changed. And that's what she's sponsoring, simply because she does not want to open the City to potential lawsuits, and the City Attorney's recommendation is that the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	public meetings on this item MR. WITHERS: On the overall. MS. CABRERA: On the overall. MR. WITHERS: How many on this area, do you know? MS. CABRERA: I don't know if there were specific to this. I think there was something some discussions that we found. MR. WITHERS: Because some people have said	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sponsored, which is to correct the additional 40 feet of height for these properties, when they went from 150 to 190.5. The FAR is not being changed. And that's what she's sponsoring, simply because she does not want to open the City to potential lawsuits, and the City Attorney's recommendation is that the change in the height does not, but the FAR should be left as is.  MR. WITHERS: So what are the other items
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	public meetings on this item MR. WITHERS: On the overall. MS. CABRERA: On the overall. MR. WITHERS: How many on this area, do you know? MS. CABRERA: I don't know if there were specific to this. I think there was something some discussions that we found. MR. WITHERS: Because some people have said they knew nothing about it, they weren't	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sponsored, which is to correct the additional 40 feet of height for these properties, when they went from 150 to 190.5. The FAR is not being changed. And that's what she's sponsoring, simply because she does not want to open the City to potential lawsuits, and the City Attorney's recommendation is that the change in the height does not, but the FAR should be left as is.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	public meetings on this item MR. WITHERS: On the overall. MS. CABRERA: On the overall. MR. WITHERS: How many on this area, do you know? MS. CABRERA: I don't know if there were specific to this. I think there was something some discussions that we found. MR. WITHERS: Because some people have said	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sponsored, which is to correct the additional 40 feet of height for these properties, when they went from 150 to 190.5. The FAR is not being changed. And that's what she's sponsoring, simply because she does not want to open the City to potential lawsuits, and the City Attorney's recommendation is that the change in the height does not, but the FAR should be left as is.  MR. WITHERS: So what are the other items that you're not removing that were put in? FAR
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	public meetings on this item MR. WITHERS: On the overall. MS. CABRERA: On the overall. MR. WITHERS: How many on this area, do you know? MS. CABRERA: I don't know if there were specific to this. I think there was something some discussions that we found. MR. WITHERS: Because some people have said they knew nothing about it, they weren't informed. I'm just trying to figure out where	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sponsored, which is to correct the additional 40 feet of height for these properties, when they went from 150 to 190.5. The FAR is not being changed. And that's what she's sponsoring, simply because she does not want to open the City to potential lawsuits, and the City Attorney's recommendation is that the change in the height does not, but the FAR should be left as is.  MR. WITHERS: So what are the other items that you're not removing that were put in?  FAR  MR. BEHAR: That's it, FAR.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	public meetings on this item MR. WITHERS: On the overall. MS. CABRERA: On the overall. MR. WITHERS: How many on this area, do you know? MS. CABRERA: I don't know if there were specific to this. I think there was something some discussions that we found. MR. WITHERS: Because some people have said they knew nothing about it, they weren't informed. I'm just trying to figure out where MR. TRIAS: There was one specific	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sponsored, which is to correct the additional 40 feet of height for these properties, when they went from 150 to 190.5. The FAR is not being changed. And that's what she's sponsoring, simply because she does not want to open the City to potential lawsuits, and the City Attorney's recommendation is that the change in the height does not, but the FAR should be left as is.  MR. WITHERS: So what are the other items that you're not removing that were put in?  FAR  MR. BEHAR: That's it, FAR.  MS. CABRERA: Yeah.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	public meetings on this item MR. WITHERS: On the overall. MS. CABRERA: On the overall. MR. WITHERS: How many on this area, do you know? MS. CABRERA: I don't know if there were specific to this. I think there was something some discussions that we found. MR. WITHERS: Because some people have said they knew nothing about it, they weren't informed. I'm just trying to figure out where	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sponsored, which is to correct the additional 40 feet of height for these properties, when they went from 150 to 190.5. The FAR is not being changed. And that's what she's sponsoring, simply because she does not want to open the City to potential lawsuits, and the City Attorney's recommendation is that the change in the height does not, but the FAR should be left as is.  MR. WITHERS: So what are the other items that you're not removing that were put in?  FAR  MR. BEHAR: That's it, FAR.  MS. CABRERA: Yeah.  MR. WITHERS: That's it, no?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	public meetings on this item MR. WITHERS: On the overall. MS. CABRERA: On the overall. MR. WITHERS: How many on this area, do you know? MS. CABRERA: I don't know if there were specific to this. I think there was something some discussions that we found. MR. WITHERS: Because some people have said they knew nothing about it, they weren't informed. I'm just trying to figure out where MR. TRIAS: There was one specific Commission Workshop on the MR. WITHERS: There was one Commission	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sponsored, which is to correct the additional 40 feet of height for these properties, when they went from 150 to 190.5. The FAR is not being changed. And that's what she's sponsoring, simply because she does not want to open the City to potential lawsuits, and the City Attorney's recommendation is that the change in the height does not, but the FAR should be left as is.  MR. WITHERS: So what are the other items that you're not removing that were put in?  FAR  MR. BEHAR: That's it, FAR.  MS. CABRERA: Yeah.  MR. WITHERS: That's it, no?  MR. BEHAR: That's it. Density
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	public meetings on this item MR. WITHERS: On the overall. MS. CABRERA: On the overall. MR. WITHERS: How many on this area, do you know? MS. CABRERA: I don't know if there were specific to this. I think there was something some discussions that we found. MR. WITHERS: Because some people have said they knew nothing about it, they weren't informed. I'm just trying to figure out where MR. TRIAS: There was one specific Commission Workshop on the MR. WITHERS: There was one Commission on this area, okay.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sponsored, which is to correct the additional 40 feet of height for these properties, when they went from 150 to 190.5. The FAR is not being changed. And that's what she's sponsoring, simply because she does not want to open the City to potential lawsuits, and the City Attorney's recommendation is that the change in the height does not, but the FAR should be left as is.  MR. WITHERS: So what are the other items that you're not removing that were put in?  FAR  MR. BEHAR: That's it, FAR.  MS. CABRERA: Yeah.  MR. WITHERS: That's it, no?  MR. BEHAR: That's it. Density  MR. BEHAR: That's it. Density  MR. WITHERS: Density.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	public meetings on this item MR. WITHERS: On the overall. MS. CABRERA: On the overall. MR. WITHERS: How many on this area, do you know? MS. CABRERA: I don't know if there were specific to this. I think there was something some discussions that we found. MR. WITHERS: Because some people have said they knew nothing about it, they weren't informed. I'm just trying to figure out where MR. TRIAS: There was one specific Commission Workshop on the MR. WITHERS: There was one Commission on this area, okay. We also heard, I think, a couple folks say	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sponsored, which is to correct the additional 40 feet of height for these properties, when they went from 150 to 190.5. The FAR is not being changed. And that's what she's sponsoring, simply because she does not want to open the City to potential lawsuits, and the City Attorney's recommendation is that the change in the height does not, but the FAR should be left as is.  MR. WITHERS: So what are the other items that you're not removing that were put in?  FAR  MR. BEHAR: That's it, FAR.  MS. CABRERA: Yeah.  MR. WITHERS: That's it, no?  MR. BEHAR: That's it. Density  MR. WITHERS: Density.  MR. BEHAR: Just FAR.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	public meetings on this item MR. WITHERS: On the overall. MS. CABRERA: On the overall. MR. WITHERS: How many on this area, do you know? MS. CABRERA: I don't know if there were specific to this. I think there was something some discussions that we found. MR. WITHERS: Because some people have said they knew nothing about it, they weren't informed. I'm just trying to figure out where MR. TRIAS: There was one specific Commission Workshop on the MR. WITHERS: There was one Commission on this area, okay.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sponsored, which is to correct the additional 40 feet of height for these properties, when they went from 150 to 190.5. The FAR is not being changed. And that's what she's sponsoring, simply because she does not want to open the City to potential lawsuits, and the City Attorney's recommendation is that the change in the height does not, but the FAR should be left as is.  MR. WITHERS: So what are the other items that you're not removing that were put in?  FAR  MR. BEHAR: That's it, FAR.  MS. CABRERA: Yeah.  MR. WITHERS: That's it, no?  MR. BEHAR: That's it. Density  MR. BEHAR: That's it. Density  MR. WITHERS: Density.

	Page 65		Page 66
1	MS. CABRERA: Correct.	1	understand that there's been questions on
2	So what they thought was, if they do the	2	whether that area was 190.5. The documents
3	Med Bonus so they don't just get the 2.5 FAR	3	that are with the State, which is our
4	outright.	4	Comprehensive Plan, clearly state 150 without
5	MR. WITHERS: Right.	5	Med Bonus. If you do the Med Bonus, you could
6	MS. CABRERA: They have to do the Med Bonus	6	get to 190.5. So that has always been there.
7	to get that additional FAR. So, before, they	7	MR. WITHERS: So has there ever been any
8	would do the Med Bonus, no additional FAR.	8	discussion on encouraging people not to take
9	Now, if they do the Med Bonus, that's when they	9	advance of that or let them use Transfer of
10	get the additional FAR.	10	Development Rights to recoup some of the
11	MR. WITHERS: I understand.	11	potential costs they might have lost some of
12	And then the last question is, the Junior	12	the profits they might have lost in the
13	League's historic property there, someone said	13	development?
14	it wasn't included. Earlier they said it	14	MS. CABRERA: No, we're not discussing TDRs
15	wasn't part of the	15	in this.
16	MS. CABRERA: So what I heard was that	16	MR. BEHAR: And Chip, that area is not
17	they don't feel that the north side of Biltmore	17	you know, not a transferable area. Remember,
18	Way should be allowed to go to the 190.5 with	18	we only have certain areas in the City
19	Med Bonus. However, that's not up for	19	MR. WITHERS: Right.
20	consideration, because that section always had	20	MR. BEHAR: and that's not one of them.
21	the 190.5.	21	So it would not
22	MR. WITHERS: So that's very difficult to	22	MR. WITHERS: Could it be?
23	rescind at this point, anyway.	23	MR. BEHAR: You would have to change,
24	MS. CABRERA: There is no appetite, my	24	including, I believe, the Comp Plan to do that.
25	understanding, to rescind that. And I	25	So, I mean, Ramon may be better to answer that,
	Page 67		Page 68
1	Mr. Trias, but that gets complicated to do	1	that's done, it has no be really looked at,
2	that.	2	because you don't want to just flood the market
3	MS. CABRERA: What you're proposing is that	3	and really drop the price of TDRs and then make
4	we would keep FAR at 2.0 and that they would	4	them worthless. So it's a completely different
5	have to use TDRs to get to the 2.5?	5	discussion, honestly. I'm not sure we're
6	MR. WITHERS: Well, I mean, if they agree	6	prepared for that today. I'm not.
7	not to develop what they have the right to	7	MR. WITHERS: You know, the City has been
8	develop, then they have the ability to sell	8	with the Transfer of Development rights for the
9	MS. CABRERA: Oh, to sell the TDRs.	9	property down south, they've come up with some
10	MR. BEHAR: To sell the FAR, what you're	10	pretty novel ideas. You know, just a thought.
11	suggesting to do?	11	Okay. That's all I have. Thank you very much.
12	MR. WITHERS: Yes.	12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
13	MS. CABRERA: Is that area in the TDR	13	Venny?
14	MR. WITHERS: No, it's not, but I'm just	14	MR. TORRE: I say that I welcome and
15	saying, is that	15	appreciate the amount of area the people out
16	MS. CABRERA: Can it be put on there?	16	of the area that know what's happened. I think
17	That's a whole separate discussion.	17	there's a little bit of an alarm ringing beyond
18	MR. WITHERS: I mean, I hear that	18	what's really happening, I mean, specific to
19	litigation is one of the issues here, and	19	this project. I think there's a bigger alarm,
20	litigation is usually the result of someone	20	and I get it.
21	losing compensation, and if this is a way to	21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny, could I ask you
22	compensate someone, then we win and they win.	22	to speak into the mike?
23	That's my only	23	MR. TORRE: Can you hear me better?
24	MS. CABRERA: Yeah, and the properties in	24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ا م	MR. TORRE: So this alarm has to do with a
25	the sections that are available for TDR and how	25	WIK. TOKKE. 50 tills alarin has to do with a

Page 70 Page 69 1 1 lot more, and it's very much understood, I you really look at what's there, and I've done 2 think people are here for a lot of reasons and 2 the math, when I was trying to figure this out, 3 3 not just specific to this. As it relates to there's a parcel about 12,000 square feet. 4 4 this project, I think a lot of it has been Done by math, the real facts, it can be built 5 blown up a little bit without really diving 5 to a maximum of about 30,000 feet. 6 6 into the facts and the details of the bigger If you took 30,000 feet and divided it into 7 7 issues, and I think that happens a lot lately condominiums, and you only put -- you would 8 8 never do this, but you put 3,000 square foot and I'd prefer that we really deal with the 9 9 condos, that would give you ten floors. You're specifics. 10 10 On the south side, there's an error. I not going to get, probably, 3,000 square foot 11 11 mean, there's a possible error, which I think condos. You're probably going to get twice of 12 12 is honest. It's not an error that was done that per floor -- the point being, the chances 13 with malice. I think we all feel, probably 13 of you getting anything taller than eight or 14 14 there's a correction that makes that whole. nine stories is very much -- is not going to 15 15 What happens in the north side is a fear of happen. 16 building on a property that's adjacent to the 16 You're allowed, besides the point of the 17 17 small historic building. The other bonus, 150 feet. So you're fighting what 18 buildings -- and I'm not trying to patronize, 18 happens over 150. What I'm trying to tell you 19 I'm trying to be helpful. I'm trying to really 19 is, the chances of anybody getting to 150 is 20 paint what's really the truth. There's a lot 20 remote, at best. So you're fighting a real 21 21 of condos. Those condos aren't going to go battle, that you would have to have this skinny 22 down, because there's a very small chance that 22 little pencil, this skinny, little, tiny, 23 23 the condos will be all bought and re-developed. little pencil to try to get higher than ten, 24 Around the north side, there really is one 24 eleven floors. 25 property that's in fear of development. But if 25 So, there's a fear, I get it, but I think Page 71 Page 72 1 1 And, again, the facts that I'm hearing is that the rights of the people there already exist 2 and I just don't know that you have a lot you 2 the Code allowed for 190, and what I've been 3 3 can do about it. So the fear here is of hearing is, bring it back to the original Code. 4 4 So what is being said here is -re-development of the site. I just don't know 5 5 that we have any choice of anything we can do. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Excuse me. 6 6 Rezoning the north is not going to help the MR. TORRE: -- the Code then would revert 7 7 reconstruction or the re-development of that to the 190, on the north side, just by the fact 8 8 lot. And I apologize, but that's what I think that that's what existed. 9 9 is the math. So I think there's a fear of MS. CABRERA: With Mediterranean Bonus. 10 10 developing there, but it's not going to be MR. TORRE: With Mediterranean Bonus. 11 11 So I hear the call, but it's not solving guarded by taking and capping at 150. I don't 12 12 think that happens. anything. For, One, because the Code already 13 And to say that there's a difference in the 13 exists, and, Two, I think that nobody's going 14 14 shaking of the ground because of 150 and 160 to get to 170 or 180 or 190 on a lot that's 15 15 only 12,000 square feet. It's just not going feet, once you get to eight, nine stories, 16 you're shaking the ground, right. You're 16 to ever happen. Parking would not allow it to 17 shaking the ground at nine stories, you're 17 happen, a bunch of other reasons would not 18 18 shaking the ground at six stories. I don't allow it. 19 think there's a difference in shaking the 19 So I get the point, and I get, this is a 20 20 ground at ten stories or at twelve stories. bigger problem. On the issue of the south side 21 It's irrelevant once you start becoming a 21 of Biltmore Road, I think there's a logic why 22 high-rise or a taller building. 22 you guys are doing only the height, and I'm 23 23 So I fear there's a little bit of actually in favor of rescinding the height 24 24 discussions here that really go beyond the real issue, and that's fine. I think we're talking 25 25 fear, which is really developing on that site. about what I think everybody probably agrees is

Page 73 Page 74 1 a good thing. If there's an error, let's 1 MR. COLLER: Well, the item that's before 2 correct it. Those are my points. 2 you is the south side. Now, if you were to 3 3 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, Venny. rescind -- if you wanted to go back to the old 4 4 Claudia? Code, the position of the Department, I 5 MS. MIRO: So, a little earlier, when you 5 believe, is, MF2 permitted the 190.5, and there 6 6 first spoke, somebody had asked the question seems to be some dispute about whether that's 7 7 about Bert Harris, and you said we were not -true, but I believe that that is the case. 8 the City was not legally facing any Bert Harris 8 So if you went back to MF2, you get the 9 now. Then you also said that the Commissioner 9 190.5. What's before you is the south side. 10 10 had filed this motion this way because of legal However, if you want to make a recommendation, 11 11 concerns. So is there a risk of being sued or that you approve this, however, you believe 12 is there not? 12 that the Commission could consider reversing 13 MS. CABRERA: There's always a risk of 13 the MF4 and go back to MF2, you could put that 14 14 being sued; however -- you can't stop people as part of your motion, but what's before you 15 15 from suing us, and I believe there was an is -- the specific item itself is the southern 16 16 attorney that spoke on Zoom that said, but you property. 17 17 have a plan, and that's exactly what it is, we MR. BUCELO: Are there any legal 18 18 have a plan. If we get a Bert Harris, we feel ramifications to going back to MF2, if that 19 19 very strongly that we could show that they did were to happen? 20 not lose development rights from going from 190 20 MR. COLLER: Well, the legal ramifications 2.1 2.1 to 150. are, MF2 allows 190.5, so I don't think that 22 22 accomplishes anything. MS. MIRO: I guess the other question I 23 23 have is, what the residents are asking us for MS. CABRERA: Yeah, I was going to say, the 24 is to rescind the entire thing. We can do that 24 MF2 allowed 190.5 with Med Bonus. The MF4 --25 25 the MF2 in the old Code. The MF4 in the new as a Board, correct? Mr. Attorney --Page 75 Page 76 1 Code allows 190.5 with Med Bonus. 1 was made, right. So I think that if we want to 2 MS. MIRO: Right, and that's what's before 2 maintain the trust of the residents, the next 3 3 us, but rescinding the whole thing would change time that we come up and say, "Hey, we're just 4 the FAR, which is not being proposed because of 4 doing this and it's just to clean this up, it's 5 a legal ramification? Is that correct? 5 a technicality that we're fixing," that they 6 6 can be able to put their full faith behind that MS. CABRERA: Yes. That's my understanding. 7 7 MS. MIRO: The other thing is, I've been and not find themselves months later saying, 8 8 hearing from everyone else, I think that, just "Wait a minute. That wasn't what happened," 9 9 for my perspective -- and, again, I still say and now you're going to fix part of it, and the 10 10 I'm very much a freshman on this Board, to other part, we're not going to fix, because 11 understand very well FAR, TDR and everything 11 we're afraid that they're going to come after 12 else, but I do understand that when you make a 12 us legally, but that's why we all have 13 13 mistake, that you correct the mistake. I attorneys. 14 14 understand the explanations that have been I think that, in the real world, when you 15 15 given for FAR and how, you know, it's also for make a mistake, you suffer the consequences, 16 the heights, that it doesn't -- just fixes the 16 you face them, and, you know, like the resident 17 part that has been changed, I understand all of 17 on El Rado said, you come up with a strategy 18 that, but I also understand, when I hear the 18 and you face that. So I'm kind of leaning in 19 residents say, "Hey, we didn't know that -- you 19 that direction, just trying to understand, you 20 20 know, we were not notified that our properties know, what it is that -- I get it. I hear both 21 were going to be up-zoned," I understand that 21 sides. I understand them. I just think that, 22 they were cut out of the process, and I also 22 in order for us and -- this City is very much 23 23 understand that the intention, right, in need to hone in that public trust. I heard 24 24 originally, back in February, was to just my colleague, Venny Torre, talking about the 25 update or refresh the Code, but that a mistake 25 fear. I think that's a very real fear. It's

	Page 77		Page 78
1	not a fear that's frivolous. It's a fear of,	1	talk to somebody, who's not concerned about the
2	hey, we see what's going on around us, we see	2	growth that our City is seeing and how we're
3	the things that have passed, and for some	3	getting into it, whether it was a mistake or
4	reason, have been erected, and I think that we	4	whether it happened at this Board or that Board
5	need to restore that public trust, and, you	5	or at the Commission level. We need to really
6	know, I would say, do the right thing and start	6	start moving in that direction of, how do we,
7	from scratch.	7	you know, move in baby steps or make sure, if
8	If the intent was to spruce it up and make	8	we make a mistake, that we correct it and we
9	it right, well, let's go back to that and start	9	don't lose that public trust. Those are my
10	from scratch again, and come with me, we'll	10	comments. Thank you.
11	face it. Those are the consequences. I very	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Please
12	much respect Commissioner Anderson for what	12	hold back on your applause. Thank you.
13	she's trying to do, but, at the same time, I	13	Luis?
14	think that the residents are once again coming	14	MR. REVUELTA: If you don't mind, leave me
15	to us and that they are asking, listen to us,	15	for last, since I was late.
16	and we need to do that.	16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Say that again,
17	The other thing, somebody else mentioned	17	please.
18	that, for that Workshop, it was poorly	18	MR. BUCELO: He wants to be left for last.
19	advertised. I didn't hear about it myself and	19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: He would like to be
20	I live in the Gables, you know, and I'm	20	left for last.
21	interested in the issue. So I do think we need	21	Okay. Alex.
22	to do a better job, as a city, to get the word	22	MR. BUCELO: Yes. And I want to address
23	out, so that we don't have four people in a	23	one of the speakers I think it was on Zoom
24	room this size, that we have 400, if necessary,	24	that mentioned one of the Board Members
25	because I haven't met one person, every time I	25	lives in the Biltmore Section, and that's
	. , ,		
	Page 79		Page 80
1	myself. I just happen to buy a unit in	1	Meeting, and the point is, there were 25
2	Biltmore Way, 625 Biltmore Way, roughly around	2	meetings and some of them were regular
3	three months ago. So I'm very familiar with	3	Commission Meetings, some were Special
4	this area.	4	Workshops, some were Zoom meetings that we had,
5	I would like to hone in on Mrs. Miro's	5	too, because of the Pandemic. So there was a
6	comment as to notice, transparency. I think	6	variety of things. We can research it and give
7	that's the big issue here. One of the	7	it to you in detail, but there were multiple
8	questions I have for the City is, what notice	8	MR. BUCELO: I mean, we keep on hearing
9	was given before the February Zoning change, if	9	from the residents that that's pretty much
10	any? What transpired in that end?	10	one the main issues here, that they're left off
11	MS. CABRERA: Jennifer, Ramon, do you	11	in the dark and that's something that has to be
12	have I know we've shown it in a few slides,	12	addressed, and definitely addressed moving
13	but	13	forward, so these concerns don't continue
14	MR. TRIAS: So the notice, I don't recall	14	happening.
15	exactly, but it's just the typical notice.	15	But pretty much my colleagues asked most of
16	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Speak a little louder,	16	the questions I had in mind, so thank you.
17	please.	17	MS. MIRO: I'm sorry, I had one more
18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Excuse me, ma'am.	18	comment, if I may, Mr. Chair. Also, one of the
19	He's directing his comment	19	questions I did have was, I know Patricia
20	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Sir.	20	Lieberman, she spoke and she said about maps,
21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Oh, I do apologize	21	that the maps that she received were not as
22	about that.	22	detailed, you know, as the ones that we
23	MR. TRIAS: I heard you. Thank you, sir.	23	received or the ones that we saw on the slide
24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sir.	24	show.
25	MR. TRIAS: Yes, it was a Commission	25	So my question is, why is it that when we

Page 81 Page 82 1 send out notices to the residents, what kinds 1 eleven sheet that describes the meeting and 2 of maps are they getting, that they have no 2 what's going to happen in the meeting. That's 3 3 idea that they're looking at their own the way it's done. We could do it differently, 4 4 neighborhood and they don't know where they're if you think. 5 standing? So why can't we provide the same 5 MS. MIRO: Yeah, that was my question, 6 6 maps that are provided to this Board? I'm just because that's wonderful for all of us, who 7 7 wondering, because I didn't get to see what are -- you know, we can work a computer and 8 8 we're savvy -- tech savvy, but some of the she's talking about, but she's raising that 9 9 maybe older residents, who don't know how to concern. 10 10 MS. CABRERA: I heard her say that, too. navigate our already difficult website to 11 11 I'm not sure exactly what map she got, but I've navigate, may have an issue. So can we include 12 been using the same maps from the beginning, 12 them in the future or if somebody requests 13 which are these, and then, for the new maps, we 13 them, do we mail them out? 14 14 have a software, Gridics, where you could go in MS. CABRERA: Absolutely. If somebody 15 15 there and use your address and it will show all requests them, we would absolutely. 16 16 of the Zoning information for your property and MS. MIRO: I know my colleague, Mr. 17 17 we just printed out that map. It's available Aizenstat, provided her the number for Planning 18 18 online. and Zoning, but --19 19 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ma'am, if you can, MR. TRIAS: Ma'am, if I can clarify. The 20 notice does not have any of the maps that are 20 please let her speak. Thank you. Go ahead, 2.1 21 included in the presentation. However, all of Claudia. 22 those maps are in the website, and you can 22 MS. MIRO: So, yeah, so I was just thinking 23 23 click on them and see them, if you want to. So if there was any way that we could be more 24 if you follow the information, you can find it. 24 transparent and say, "Hey, you know, here's the 25 The notice is just an eight and a half by 25 notice and this is the area that's being Page 83 Page 84 1 1 affected," so that our residents can, I think, know that I have no interest. 2 2 Number One, be more involved. I think, if you I'm not going to -- listen, I agree with my 3 3 get something with a map on it, with a big colleague, Mr. Torre, as far as the height. As 4 4 circle, and, you know, "X" is your property, much we would like to say that it's possible, 5 5 you have an idea of, hey, this is affecting me, it will be impossible. I would acknowledge, 6 6 I better get out there or I have questions. and this is going to surprise those two 7 7 That's all. Thank you. speakers, if a mistake was made, I think we 8 8 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. need to correct the mistake, okay. If a 9 9 Robert. property on the north side, that have lived for 10 MR. BEHAR: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 10 the past 14 years zoned one way, we cannot go 11 11 First, I do want to take this opportunity back and do anything about that. That is the 12 12 to address those two speakers that went out way it was done, and we cannot go modify or 13 13 and -- I want to clear the record -- went out change that north side of Biltmore Way. 14 14 and tried to smear my name and the efforts that The properties that we're talking about, 15 15 I've put into this community, that I've done the two blocks that we're talking about today, 16 for the last 25 years. 16 if we need to go back and get them back to the 17 I was not part of the firm, anywhere near 17 150, I'm in favor of doing that. Unlike what 18 that firm, doing the Rezoning. I was, as a 18 other people are saying, the correcting needs 19 concerned resident, that I've lived in the City 19 to be done. I'm in favor of making a motion to 20 20 of Coral Gables for approximately 30 years, go -- to recommend approval as the way Staff 21 giving my opinion on items that I thought were 21 has proposed it to us. There is a reason why 22 22 important. I am not a property owner, I don't the FAR is not part of that, just the height, 23 23 have any property along this area. I don't and I will make a motion, after my colleagues 24 24 have, as an architect, any project. So I want speak, in favor of approving the Staff 25 to make sure that those two speakers clearly 25 recommendation.

	Page 85		Page 86
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to go	1	affect the structural integrity of it, but, you
2	ahead I understand. If it's okay, I'd like	2	know, building right up, you know, close to a
3	to go ahead and have that motion made after	3	property line, next to another tall building or
4	MR. BEHAR: After.	4	another building, is very common in the
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Are you	5	Downtown areas or in any other City. I'm a
6	done, Robert?	6	structural engineer so that's
7	MR. BEHAR: Yes, I am.	7	MR. TRIAS: I don't know if it's designated
8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	8	historic. It probably is. It probably is, and
9	Luis?	9	if it is, it is protected, as far as the
10	MR. REVUELTA: I am in agreement with what	10	building itself.
11	Mr. Torre and Mr. Behar said. I am in	11	MR. REVUELTA: And I'm in agreement with
12	agreement that the mistake has to be corrected.	12	what Venny said, that 150 or 190, it's
13	I'm in agreement that we cannot be fooling with	13	irrelevant. Actually, if the building could
14	FAR, because that issue is going to be a big,	14	have a smaller footprint and be thinner, it
15	big expensive mess for the City.	15	would be actually better for air space around
16	As an architect, and I apologize for being	16	the old historic building.
17	late, but how is the Fink building going to be	17	Regarding the south side, I am in agreement
18	protected? Or does it get affected at all by	18	with what Robert said. I do have a concern how
19	whatever recommendation we make here tonight?	19	height slowly continues to creep into lower
20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon.	20	height areas. So as much as I'm not 100
21	MS. CABRERA: I don't see that it's	21	percent familiar with the consequence, I think
22	affected. It's a historic structure. It will	22	if something can be done to manage that height,
23	go through Historic. There's no effect.	23	as it grows south into the lower height areas,
24	I understand that there were some comments	24	I would be in favor to second the motion or
25	about how a building right next to it might	25	vote in favor of that.
	Page 87		Page 88
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Thank you.	1	notification, which is required by the City,
2	MS. CABRERA: I just want to say something,	2	has been followed, is my understanding. Am I
3	because there's a lot of people listening, that	3	correct?
4	probably usually are not listening. Even if	4	MS. CABRERA: Correct. I believe we hand
5	that building had not been designated historic,	5	delivered some notices, correct?
6	if someone comes in with a demolition plan for	6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The notices are how
7	any building in the City, we send it to	7	many feet do you have to deliver notices, for
8	Historic. And if Historic feels that it should	8	example?
9	not be demolished, they will moved forward with	9	MS. CABRERA: A thousand? Is it a
10	a designation.	10	thousand? A thousand.
11	So there is no way to demolish a building	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So it's a thousand
12	in this City without getting Historic to review	12	feet. So if the City is hearing the concerns
13	it first.	13	from the residents that the notices are not
14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood.	14	sent out correctly or the notices are not sent
15	What I see before me and before this Board	15	out far enough or wide enough as to what they
16	is the south side of Biltmore Way. That's what	16	encompass, then that's an issue that the City
17	we're here to talk about. The fact that was	17	needs to look at, but that is not before us
18	made about the process and the notification, if	18	tonight. I'm not saying I don't disagree
19	there is an issue with the process of notifying	19	that it should be further, but that's not
20	residents, then that's separate and that's	20	before us tonight.
	something that should be looked at. That	21	A lot has been said about the north side of
21	-	22	Biltmore Way. While that can be looked at, I
21 22	should be looked at by Staff, by all	44	Bitanore way. Willie that can be rooked ut, I
	should be looked at by Staff, by all recommendations and all bodies of authority	23	think that's also not before us tonight, even
22			
22 23	recommendations and all bodies of authority	23	think that's also not before us tonight, even

	Page 89		Page 90
1	part of the Zoning Code to be looked at, but I	1	and the motion is to approve Staff
2	think we need to look at what's before us here.	2	recommendation.
3	My colleague, Venny, actually spoke very	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And, Luis, you had a
4	eloquently, and he stated the facts, as they	4	second?
5	are. There are a lot of residents here that	5	MR. REVUELTA: I seconded it.
6	are concerned, and I think, myself, as the	6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. We
7	Chairman, and I think the entire Board here,	7	have a second. Any discussion among the Board?
8	welcomes your voice, all of your concerns and	8	MR. WITHERS: Can we, after we vote on this
9	it's something that the City should look at. I	9	motion, go back and address the north side and
10	am also in favor of, if a mistake was made,	10	have a little bit of discussion about that?
11	then it needs to be corrected, and obviously a	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Craig, how does that
12	mistake was made, because the City has said	12	work, if it's not noticed and we have a
13	this was a mistake.	13	discussion based on the north side?
14	I do not think that it was a mistake made	14	
15	by malice. I do not think it was a mistake	15	MR. COLLER: Well, I think, as part of this
16	made with intention. That is my feeling. I		motion, if you have a recommendation first
17	cannot speak for the rest of my Board Members,	16	of all, all that's been advertised is the south
18	but I can speak for myself.	17	side. So there would have to be a completely
19	At this point, Robert made a motion.	18	new item to address the north side. So you
20	Robert, would you like to go ahead and repeat	19	can't really address the north side in this
21	that, please?	20	item. But as a recommending body, which you
22	MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion that we	21	are, right, if you want to add to your vote
23	rescind the additional height, as stipulated in	22	in support of this, if you want to say, "Well,
24	the Staff recommendation, that we go back to	23	we think we should look at the north side," if
25	the 150, as it was prior to February of 2021,	24	you want to do that, as part of the motion, you
	are reco, as a was prior to rectainly or 2021,	25	can.
	Page 91		Page 92
			1490 72
1	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go	1	that we do it legally and correctly and
1 2		1 2	
	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go		that we do it legally and correctly and
2	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part	2	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move
2	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in	2	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a
2 3 4	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.	2 3 4	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a
2 3 4 5	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass	2 3 4 5	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion
2 3 4 5 6	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass unanimously, because everybody feels we need to	2 3 4 5 6	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion  MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, it doesn't have
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass unanimously, because everybody feels we need to right a wrong, and everybody's expressed that,	2 3 4 5 6 7	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion  MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, it doesn't have to be, but it will be part of this item.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass unanimously, because everybody feels we need to right a wrong, and everybody's expressed that, but there's two of us, and maybe three of us,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion  MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, it doesn't have to be, but it will be part of this item.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass unanimously, because everybody feels we need to right a wrong, and everybody's expressed that, but there's two of us, and maybe three of us, including me, and I don't know how you others	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion  MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, it doesn't have to be, but it will be part of this item.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. COLLER: If you want to do it as a two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass unanimously, because everybody feels we need to right a wrong, and everybody's expressed that, but there's two of us, and maybe three of us, including me, and I don't know how you others feel, that there is, you know, just a general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion  MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, it doesn't have to be, but it will be part of this item.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. COLLER: If you want to do it as a two vote, first on the approval and second on what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass unanimously, because everybody feels we need to right a wrong, and everybody's expressed that, but there's two of us, and maybe three of us, including me, and I don't know how you others feel, that there is, you know, just a general feeling in the City that things are getting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion  MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, it doesn't have to be, but it will be part of this item.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. COLLER: If you want to do it as a two vote, first on the approval and second on what you want the recommendation to read, you can do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass unanimously, because everybody feels we need to right a wrong, and everybody's expressed that, but there's two of us, and maybe three of us, including me, and I don't know how you others feel, that there is, you know, just a general feeling in the City that things are getting built really high and really fast and people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion  MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, it doesn't have to be, but it will be part of this item.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. COLLER: If you want to do it as a two vote, first on the approval and second on what you want the recommendation to read, you can do it that way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass unanimously, because everybody feels we need to right a wrong, and everybody's expressed that, but there's two of us, and maybe three of us, including me, and I don't know how you others feel, that there is, you know, just a general feeling in the City that things are getting built really high and really fast and people are really getting upset about it and there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion  MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, it doesn't have to be, but it will be part of this item.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. COLLER: If you want to do it as a two vote, first on the approval and second on what you want the recommendation to read, you can do it that way.  MR. WITHERS: I just think that I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass unanimously, because everybody feels we need to right a wrong, and everybody's expressed that, but there's two of us, and maybe three of us, including me, and I don't know how you others feel, that there is, you know, just a general feeling in the City that things are getting built really high and really fast and people are really getting upset about it and there's been a whole wind of change in the last couple	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion  MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, it doesn't have to be, but it will be part of this item.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. COLLER: If you want to do it as a two vote, first on the approval and second on what you want the recommendation to read, you can do it that way.  MR. WITHERS: I just think that I would like the Commission to know how I feel and a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass unanimously, because everybody feels we need to right a wrong, and everybody's expressed that, but there's two of us, and maybe three of us, including me, and I don't know how you others feel, that there is, you know, just a general feeling in the City that things are getting built really high and really fast and people are really getting upset about it and there's been a whole wind of change in the last couple of years on that, and if we don't take this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion  MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, it doesn't have to be, but it will be part of this item.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. COLLER: If you want to do it as a two vote, first on the approval and second on what you want the recommendation to read, you can do it that way.  MR. WITHERS: I just think that I would like the Commission to know how I feel and a couple of the Board Members feel about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass unanimously, because everybody feels we need to right a wrong, and everybody's expressed that, but there's two of us, and maybe three of us, including me, and I don't know how you others feel, that there is, you know, just a general feeling in the City that things are getting built really high and really fast and people are really getting upset about it and there's been a whole wind of change in the last couple of years on that, and if we don't take this opportunity to express our concern, you know, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion —  MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, it doesn't have to be, but it will be part of this item.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. COLLER: If you want to do it as a two vote, first on the approval and second on what you want the recommendation to read, you can do it that way.  MR. WITHERS: I just think that I would like the Commission to know how I feel and a couple of the Board Members feel about the north side of this property, and I don't want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass unanimously, because everybody feels we need to right a wrong, and everybody's expressed that, but there's two of us, and maybe three of us, including me, and I don't know how you others feel, that there is, you know, just a general feeling in the City that things are getting built really high and really fast and people are really getting upset about it and there's been a whole wind of change in the last couple of years on that, and if we don't take this opportunity to express our concern, you know, I have a concern that we look at this area, north	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion  MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, it doesn't have to be, but it will be part of this item.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. COLLER: If you want to do it as a two vote, first on the approval and second on what you want the recommendation to read, you can do it that way.  MR. WITHERS: I just think that I would like the Commission to know how I feel and a couple of the Board Members feel about the north side of this property, and I don't want to speak for Claudia, but I don't know how you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass unanimously, because everybody feels we need to right a wrong, and everybody's expressed that, but there's two of us, and maybe three of us, including me, and I don't know how you others feel, that there is, you know, just a general feeling in the City that things are getting built really high and really fast and people are really getting upset about it and there's been a whole wind of change in the last couple of years on that, and if we don't take this opportunity to express our concern, you know, I have a concern that we look at this area, north of Biltmore Way, and say, look, what can we do?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion  MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, it doesn't have to be, but it will be part of this item.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. COLLER: If you want to do it as a two vote, first on the approval and second on what you want the recommendation to read, you can do it that way.  MR. WITHERS: I just think that I would like the Commission to know how I feel and a couple of the Board Members feel about the north side of this property, and I don't want to speak for Claudia, but I don't know how you all I kind of heard you saying that, when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass unanimously, because everybody feels we need to right a wrong, and everybody's expressed that, but there's two of us, and maybe three of us, including me, and I don't know how you others feel, that there is, you know, just a general feeling in the City that things are getting built really high and really fast and people are really getting upset about it and there's been a whole wind of change in the last couple of years on that, and if we don't take this opportunity to express our concern, you know, I have a concern that we look at this area, north of Biltmore Way, and say, look, what can we do? You know, how do we get that down to the 150?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion  MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, it doesn't have to be, but it will be part of this item.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. COLLER: If you want to do it as a two vote, first on the approval and second on what you want the recommendation to read, you can do it that way.  MR. WITHERS: I just think that I would like the Commission to know how I feel and a couple of the Board Members feel about the north side of this property, and I don't want to speak for Claudia, but I don't know how you all I kind of heard you saying that, when you all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass unanimously, because everybody feels we need to right a wrong, and everybody's expressed that, but there's two of us, and maybe three of us, including me, and I don't know how you others feel, that there is, you know, just a general feeling in the City that things are getting built really high and really fast and people are really getting upset about it and there's been a whole wind of change in the last couple of years on that, and if we don't take this opportunity to express our concern, you know, I have a concern that we look at this area, north of Biltmore Way, and say, look, what can we do? You know, how do we get that down to the 150? You know, what are the options out there on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion  MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, it doesn't have to be, but it will be part of this item.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. COLLER: If you want to do it as a two vote, first on the approval and second on what you want the recommendation to read, you can do it that way.  MR. WITHERS: I just think that I would like the Commission to know how I feel and a couple of the Board Members feel about the north side of this property, and I don't want to speak for Claudia, but I don't know how you all I kind of heard you saying that, when you all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass unanimously, because everybody feels we need to right a wrong, and everybody's expressed that, but there's two of us, and maybe three of us, including me, and I don't know how you others feel, that there is, you know, just a general feeling in the City that things are getting built really high and really fast and people are really getting upset about it and there's been a whole wind of change in the last couple of years on that, and if we don't take this opportunity to express our concern, you know, I have a concern that we look at this area, north of Biltmore Way, and say, look, what can we do? You know, how do we get that down to the 150? You know, what are the options out there on the table to do that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion  MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, it doesn't have to be, but it will be part of this item.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. COLLER: If you want to do it as a two vote, first on the approval and second on what you want the recommendation to read, you can do it that way.  MR. WITHERS: I just think that I would like the Commission to know how I feel and a couple of the Board Members feel about the north side of this property, and I don't want to speak for Claudia, but I don't know how you all I kind of heard you saying that, when you all  MS. MIRO: I can speak for Claudia, and I'm on the same page with you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass unanimously, because everybody feels we need to right a wrong, and everybody's expressed that, but there's two of us, and maybe three of us, including me, and I don't know how you others feel, that there is, you know, just a general feeling in the City that things are getting built really high and really fast and people are really getting upset about it and there's been a whole wind of change in the last couple of years on that, and if we don't take this opportunity to express our concern, you know, I have a concern that we look at this area, north of Biltmore Way, and say, look, what can we do? You know, how do we get that down to the 150? You know, what are the options out there on the table to do that?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, let me ask you a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion  MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, it doesn't have to be, but it will be part of this item.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. COLLER: If you want to do it as a two vote, first on the approval and second on what you want the recommendation to read, you can do it that way.  MR. WITHERS: I just think that I would like the Commission to know how I feel and a couple of the Board Members feel about the north side of this property, and I don't want to speak for Claudia, but I don't know how you all I kind of heard you saying that, when you all  MS. MIRO: I can speak for Claudia, and I'm on the same page with you.  MS. CABRERA: May I say something?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BEHAR: I agree with Chip. Maybe we go after. I'm willing to do that, but not as part of this motion, because this is to what's in front of us.  MR. WITHERS: This motion is going to pass unanimously, because everybody feels we need to right a wrong, and everybody's expressed that, but there's two of us, and maybe three of us, including me, and I don't know how you others feel, that there is, you know, just a general feeling in the City that things are getting built really high and really fast and people are really getting upset about it and there's been a whole wind of change in the last couple of years on that, and if we don't take this opportunity to express our concern, you know, I have a concern that we look at this area, north of Biltmore Way, and say, look, what can we do? You know, how do we get that down to the 150? You know, what are the options out there on the table to do that?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, let me ask you a question. Would you like to suggest that that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that we do it legally and correctly and sufficiently, as far as the City can move forward. I mean, I don't know. I thought a separate discussion item, but if it has to be a part of this motion  MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, it doesn't have to be, but it will be part of this item.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. COLLER: If you want to do it as a two vote, first on the approval and second on what you want the recommendation to read, you can do it that way.  MR. WITHERS: I just think that I would like the Commission to know how I feel and a couple of the Board Members feel about the north side of this property, and I don't want to speak for Claudia, but I don't know how you all I kind of heard you saying that, when you all  MS. MIRO: I can speak for Claudia, and I'm on the same page with you.  MS. CABRERA: May I say something?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, please.

	Page 93		Page 94
1	developments and the height and the size of the	1	because there's a fear to get to that point,
2	developments, but everything every project	2	but and I go back to the figures, the
3	that I have heard a complain about, not one of	3	numbers, the math. 190 for Commercial all day
4	those was built under this Code.	4	long is an issue we talk about. 2.0 to 2.5,
5	MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry, not one, what?	5	when you're trying to get to that
6	MS. CABRERA: Not one of them was built	6	MR. WITHERS: Hey, look, five years ago,
7	under this Code. Those were projects that were	7	nobody had the wildest dream that the
8	built under a Code that was in existence before	8	monstrosity where the Deel Ford parking lot
9	Ramon Trias was with the City, before I was	9	used to be on US-1 would be as big as it is.
10	with the City.	10	MR. BEHAR: But, Chip, you know
11	MR. TORRE: Can I also say something,	11	something
12	because I think it's being missed? Maybe some	12	MS. CABRERA: I understand.
13	folks don't quite understand. The Commercial	13	MR. WITHERS: No, no, no. Wait.
14	Code is different from the Residential Code.	14	MR. BEHAR: You know something, when that
15	MF2 is not like Commercial. But you've heard	15	project came to this Board, it was voted down
16	all here, Commercial gets you to 4.5, 4.85, all	16	six to zero. It was never this Board
17	of these numbers, right, up there, which 190 in	17	MR. WITHERS: I know. I'm not saying
18	the height really gets into it. This is about	18	no, no this Board has held the line, I can
19	2.0 to 2.5. To get to 190, with a 2.0, is a	19	tell you.
20	different ball game. So, therefore, these	20	MR. BEHAR: never approved that project.
21	Residential buildings just don't creep up to	21	MR. WITHERS: But I'm saying, once it gets
22	that level and it's a different game.	22	past this Board, changes are made, and I don't
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sir, the floor is	23	think anybody would have ever thought that
24	closed.	24	you know, and I live on Hardee Road and I never
25	MR. TORRE: I'm just making that point,	25	thought that the Holiday Inn would have a
	Page 95		Page 96
1	you know, you don't know the nightmare that	1	residents. It's just difficult for me to sit
2	causes the neighbors right now.	2	here and I don't feel I need to protect my
3	MR. BEHAR: And, again, that project was	3	Staff, because if I'm protecting them, it's
4	not approved by this Board.	4	because they're doing something wrong, but I do
5	MR. WITHERS: I know it wasn't. That's not	5	feel that I need to speak up for them, when I
6	my point. All I'm saying is, we can say, let's	6	feel that they're being blamed for things that
7	retract this, but what do we do to kind of keep	7	had nothing to do with them.
8	the ball rolling, because I really think that	8	MR. WITHERS: Time out. In all due
9	we need to	9	respect, none of these buildings were built to
10	MS. CABRERA: I just have to make a	10	Code.
11	comment. I have to, because I'm the	11	MS. CABRERA: And none of them were built
12	Development Services Director, and I hear a lot	12	by Staff.
13	of complaints and I know that Ramon has taken a	13	MR. WITHERS: None of them were built to
14	beating lately, but the David Williams was	14	Code. You might say it was an old Code, but
15	probably before we were even born. It had	15	they blew through that Code. The building on
16	nothing to do with the Staff that's here today.	16	US-1 blew through the Code.
17	It has nothing to do with the Code that's in	17	MS. CABRERA: I agree with you.
18	front of you today.	18	MR. WITHERS: Alhambra blew through that Code.
19	The projects on US-1 were not built under	19	MS. CABRERA: I agree with you.
20	the Code that you're looking at now. It was	20	MR. WITHERS: The Ponce de Leon building
21	not under a Code that Ramon Trias had his hand	21	blew through that Code. So to say that the
22	on. And I just feel like I understand that	22	Code is the Bible, we blow through the Bible.
23	there's a frustration of overdevelopment. I	23	We blow through the Code.
24	remember when this happened a few years ago,	24	So, yes, it wasn't under your watch and it
25	and, you know, the City made changes, the	25	wasn't your Code, but it wasn't under the Code

2 befo 3 M 4 follo 5 with 6 proje 7 M 8 this 9 C 10 actu 11 poss 12 poin 13 and 14 that 15 M 16 C 17 wha 18 mak 19 go. 20 M 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Cod 24 mak 25 It's p   1 MS. 2 motion	ore, because they didn't respect the Code ore.  IS. CABRERA: I understand, and that was ow the process and the process did not end a Staff. Staff cannot approve those ects to be built.  IR. WITHERS: I didn't mean to get into discussion. I apologize, Mr. Chair.  IHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I know. I was ally going to make a suggestion. Maybe, sibly, we could have a Workshop at some at to look at that or invite people to come so forth. That may be the better venue for discussion.  IS. CABRERA: Yeah.  IHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because I think tever recommendation that we're going to be now, I don't know how far that's going to one.  IR. TORRE: I want to make a point, and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	because they just can't understand what the heck is going on, and I sometimes have to, but that's the Code that helps us. It's the very same Code that's given us protections that we've made Coral Gables with. So it's a two-sided thing here, but it's a very difficult thing to work with.  MR. WITHERS: So your point is?  MR. TORRE: The point is, in deference to them, this happens because it's trying to put this through the Code changes was a difficult thing. Trying to explain it was a difficult thing. Trying to understand it, it was a difficult thing. And something got past.  MS. CABRERA: Yes, and the implications of the changes.
2 befo 3 M 4 follo 5 with 6 proje 7 M 8 this 9 C 10 actu 11 poss 12 poin 13 and 14 that 15 M 16 C 17 wha 18 mak 19 go. 20 M 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Code 24 mak 25 It's p   1 MS. 2 motion	ore.  IS. CABRERA: I understand, and that was ow the process and the process did not end is Staff. Staff cannot approve those ects to be built.  IR. WITHERS: I didn't mean to get into discussion. I apologize, Mr. Chair. HAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I know. I was ally going to make a suggestion. Maybe, sibly, we could have a Workshop at some at to look at that or invite people to come so forth. That may be the better venue for discussion.  IS. CABRERA: Yeah. HAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because I think tever recommendation that we're going to the now, I don't know how far that's going to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that's the Code that helps us. It's the very same Code that's given us protections that we've made Coral Gables with. So it's a two-sided thing here, but it's a very difficult thing to work with.  MR. WITHERS: So your point is?  MR. TORRE: The point is, in deference to them, this happens because it's trying to put this through the Code changes was a difficult thing. Trying to explain it was a difficult thing. Trying to understand it, it was a difficult thing. And something got past.  MS. CABRERA: Yes, and the implications of the changes.
4 follo 5 with 6 project 7 M 8 this 9 C 10 actual 11 poss 12 poin 13 and 14 that 15 M 16 C 17 what 18 mak 19 go. 20 M 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Cod 24 mak 25 It's poin 1 MS. 2 motion	ow the process and the process did not end a Staff. Staff cannot approve those ects to be built.  IR. WITHERS: I didn't mean to get into discussion. I apologize, Mr. Chair.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I know. I was ally going to make a suggestion. Maybe, sibly, we could have a Workshop at some at to look at that or invite people to come so forth. That may be the better venue for discussion.  IS. CABRERA: Yeah.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because I think the tever recommendation that we're going to be now, I don't know how far that's going to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that's the Code that helps us. It's the very same Code that's given us protections that we've made Coral Gables with. So it's a two-sided thing here, but it's a very difficult thing to work with.  MR. WITHERS: So your point is?  MR. TORRE: The point is, in deference to them, this happens because it's trying to put this through the Code changes was a difficult thing. Trying to explain it was a difficult thing. Trying to understand it, it was a difficult thing. And something got past.  MS. CABRERA: Yes, and the implications of the changes.
5 with 6 project 7 M 8 this 9 C 10 actual 11 poss 12 poin 13 and 14 that 15 M 16 C 17 wha 18 mak 19 go. 20 M 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Cod 24 mak 25 It's p	a Staff. Staff cannot approve those ects to be built.  IR. WITHERS: I didn't mean to get into discussion. I apologize, Mr. Chair.  HAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I know. I was ally going to make a suggestion. Maybe, sibly, we could have a Workshop at some at to look at that or invite people to come so forth. That may be the better venue for discussion.  IS. CABRERA: Yeah.  HAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because I think tever recommendation that we're going to be now, I don't know how far that's going to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	same Code that's given us protections that we've made Coral Gables with. So it's a two-sided thing here, but it's a very difficult thing to work with.  MR. WITHERS: So your point is?  MR. TORRE: The point is, in deference to them, this happens because it's trying to put this through the Code changes was a difficult thing. Trying to explain it was a difficult thing. Trying to understand it, it was a difficult thing. And something got past.  MS. CABRERA: Yes, and the implications of the changes.
5 with 6 project 7 M 8 this 9 C 10 actual 11 poss 12 poin 13 and 14 that 15 M 16 C 17 wha 18 mak 19 go. 20 M 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Cod 24 mak 25 It's p	a Staff. Staff cannot approve those ects to be built.  IR. WITHERS: I didn't mean to get into discussion. I apologize, Mr. Chair.  HAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I know. I was ally going to make a suggestion. Maybe, sibly, we could have a Workshop at some at to look at that or invite people to come so forth. That may be the better venue for discussion.  IS. CABRERA: Yeah.  HAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because I think tever recommendation that we're going to be now, I don't know how far that's going to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we've made Coral Gables with. So it's a two-sided thing here, but it's a very difficult thing to work with.  MR. WITHERS: So your point is?  MR. TORRE: The point is, in deference to them, this happens because it's trying to put this through the Code changes was a difficult thing. Trying to explain it was a difficult thing. Trying to understand it, it was a difficult thing. And something got past.  MS. CABRERA: Yes, and the implications of the changes.
6 project 7 M 8 this section 9 C 10 actual 11 poss 12 poin 13 and section 14 that 15 M 16 C 17 what 18 mak 19 go. 20 M 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Code 24 mak 25 It's p  1 MS. 2 motion	ects to be built.  IR. WITHERS: I didn't mean to get into discussion. I apologize, Mr. Chair.  PHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I know. I was ally going to make a suggestion. Maybe, sibly, we could have a Workshop at some at to look at that or invite people to come so forth. That may be the better venue for discussion.  IS. CABRERA: Yeah.  PHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because I think tever recommendation that we're going to be now, I don't know how far that's going to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	thing to work with.  MR. WITHERS: So your point is?  MR. TORRE: The point is, in deference to them, this happens because it's trying to put this through the Code changes was a difficult thing. Trying to explain it was a difficult thing. Trying to understand it, it was a difficult thing. And something got past.  MS. CABRERA: Yes, and the implications of the changes.
7 Ms. 8 this 6 9 C 10 actual 11 poss 12 poin 13 and 1 14 that 15 M 16 C 17 what 18 mak 19 go. 20 M 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Code 24 mak 25 It's p  1 MS. 2 motion	IR. WITHERS: I didn't mean to get into discussion. I apologize, Mr. Chair. HAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I know. I was ally going to make a suggestion. Maybe, sibly, we could have a Workshop at some at to look at that or invite people to come so forth. That may be the better venue for discussion.  IS. CABRERA: Yeah. HAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because I think tever recommendation that we're going to be now, I don't know how far that's going to	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	thing to work with.  MR. WITHERS: So your point is?  MR. TORRE: The point is, in deference to them, this happens because it's trying to put this through the Code changes was a difficult thing. Trying to explain it was a difficult thing. Trying to understand it, it was a difficult thing. And something got past.  MS. CABRERA: Yes, and the implications of the changes.
9 C. 10 actual 11 poss 12 poin 13 and 14 that 15 M 16 C. 17 wha 18 mak 19 go. 20 M 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Cod 24 mak 25 It's p	HAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I know. I was ally going to make a suggestion. Maybe, sibly, we could have a Workshop at some at to look at that or invite people to come so forth. That may be the better venue for discussion.  IS. CABRERA: Yeah.  HAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because I think tever recommendation that we're going to be now, I don't know how far that's going to	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. TORRE: The point is, in deference to them, this happens because it's trying to put this through the Code changes was a difficult thing. Trying to explain it was a difficult thing. Trying to understand it, it was a difficult thing. And something got past.  MS. CABRERA: Yes, and the implications of the changes.
10 actual 11 poss 12 poin 13 and 14 that 15 M 16 C 17 wha 18 mak 19 go. 20 M 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Cod 24 mak 25 It's p	ally going to make a suggestion. Maybe, sibly, we could have a Workshop at some at to look at that or invite people to come so forth. That may be the better venue for discussion.  IS. CABRERA: Yeah.  HAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because I think tever recommendation that we're going to be now, I don't know how far that's going to	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	them, this happens because it's trying to put this through the Code changes was a difficult thing. Trying to explain it was a difficult thing. Trying to understand it, it was a difficult thing. And something got past.  MS. CABRERA: Yes, and the implications of the changes.
11 poss 12 poin 13 and 1 14 that 15 M 16 C 17 wha 18 mak 19 go. 20 M 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Cod 24 mak 25 It's p  1 MS. 2 motion	sibly, we could have a Workshop at some at to look at that or invite people to come so forth. That may be the better venue for discussion.  IS. CABRERA: Yeah. HAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because I think tever recommendation that we're going to be now, I don't know how far that's going to	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	put this through the Code changes was a difficult thing. Trying to explain it was a difficult thing. Trying to understand it, it was a difficult thing. And something got past.  MS. CABRERA: Yes, and the implications of the changes.
12 poin 13 and 14 that 15 M 16 C 17 wha 18 mak 19 go. 20 M 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Code 24 mak 25 It's p  1 MS. 2 motion	at to look at that or invite people to come so forth. That may be the better venue for discussion.  IS. CABRERA: Yeah.  PHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because I think tever recommendation that we're going to be now, I don't know how far that's going to	12 13 14 15 16 17	difficult thing. Trying to explain it was a difficult thing. Trying to understand it, it was a difficult thing. And something got past.  MS. CABRERA: Yes, and the implications of the changes.
13 and 1 14 that 15 M 16 C 17 wha 18 mak 19 go. 20 M 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Cod 24 mak 25 It's p	so forth. That may be the better venue for discussion.  IS. CABRERA: Yeah.  HAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because I think tever recommendation that we're going to be now, I don't know how far that's going to	13 14 15 16 17	difficult thing. Trying to understand it, it was a difficult thing. And something got past.  MS. CABRERA: Yes, and the implications of the changes.
13 and a 14 that 15 M 16 C 17 wha 18 mak 19 go. 20 M 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Cod 24 mak 25 It's p	so forth. That may be the better venue for discussion.  IS. CABRERA: Yeah.  HAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because I think tever recommendation that we're going to be now, I don't know how far that's going to	14 15 16 17	was a difficult thing. And something got past.  MS. CABRERA: Yes, and the implications of the changes.
15 M 16 C 17 wha 18 mak 19 go. 20 M 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Cod 24 mak 25 It's p	IS. CABRERA: Yeah. HAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because I think tever recommendation that we're going to te now, I don't know how far that's going to	15 16 17	MS. CABRERA: Yes, and the implications of the changes.
16 C. 17 wha 18 mak 19 go. 20 M. 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Cod. 24 mak 25 It's p. 1 MS. 2 motion	PHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Because I think stever recommendation that we're going to be now, I don't know how far that's going to	16 17	the changes.
17 wha 18 mak 19 go. 20 M 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Cod 24 mak 25 It's p	tever recommendation that we're going to the now, I don't know how far that's going to	17	
18 mak 19 go. 20 M 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Cod 24 mak 25 It's p	e now, I don't know how far that's going to		
18 mak 19 go. 20 M 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Cod 24 mak 25 It's p	e now, I don't know how far that's going to	18	MR. BEHAR: We can fix whatever mistake was
19 go. 20 M 21 we'v 22 is als 23 Cod 24 mak 25 It's p		-	made.
21 we'v 22 is als 23 Cod 24 mak 25 It's p	ID TODDE: I want to make a point and	19	MR. TORRE: It's a very complex thing, to
22 is als 23 Code 24 mak 25 It's p	IK. TOKKE. I want to make a point, and	20	even figure out what to change, and, then, when
22 is als 23 Code 24 mak 25 It's p	ve said it before, what is helping us here	21	you try to make the changes, look, something
23 Cod 24 mak 25 It's p 1 MS. 2 motion	so hurting us. This is a very difficult	22	got by.
<ul> <li>24 mak</li> <li>25 It's p</li> <li>1 MS.</li> <li>2 motion</li> </ul>	e. It's hard to understand it, when you	23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. We have a
25 It's p  1 MS. 2 motion	te the changes, it's hard to even explain it.	24	motion that was made. We have a second. Go
2 motion	part of the reason people just come here,	25	ahead, Claudia.
2 motion			
2 motion	Page 99		Page 100
	. MIRO: I'm still leaning towards the	1	back and do those north side.
3 iust to	n of adding the north side to this motion,	2	At least, in my motion, I cannot do that.
Jaseto	tie it in together. I don't want this	3	MS. MIRO: I'm confused, because what I
4 to pass	S	4	would like clarification on is, when Chip
5 CH.	AIRMAN AIZENSTAT: As a recommendation?	5	brought it up, you said, I'm open to that, and
6 MS.	. MIRO: As a recommendation that we	6	now you're saying, I can't do that?
7 bring i	it up as an item in the future to discuss	7	MR. BEHAR: No, I'm open as a separate
8 it, like	what Chip had said earlier.	8	item, not part of this motion. I was very
9 MR	. BEHAR: But I think that's a discussion	9	clear. And I agree with him, we should look at
10 item, a	and we could make you know, but it	10	that, the City should look at it, but it's
11 cannot	t be tied this item.	11	going to be a process that's going to take
12 MS.	. MIRO: Why not?	12	time. This is not something that we have the
13 MR	BEHAR: Because we cannot go and say	13	authority to guide tonight and say, we're going
14 we're g	going to change the north side of that.	14	to change the north side. We cannot do that.
15 CH	AIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me clarify that.	15	MS. MIRO: So what was your proposition,
16 We ha	we a motion. We have a second. We can	16	Chip?
17 vote or	n it, and if it doesn't pass unless	17	MR. WITHERS: That we express concern to
18 you'd l	like to go ahead and amend it.	18	the Commission that they should take a hard
19 MR	. BEHAR: As much as I would agree to do	19	look at the north side.
20 it, exac	ctly what Chip said, we cannot today go	20	MS. MIRO: So that's all that it would be,
21 and sa		21	would be an expression of
22 tonight	y we're going to change the north side	22	MR. BEHAR: We can make a recommendation to
23 Staff b	y we're going to change the north side t. We cannot. What we can do is what	23	do it, but we cannot be part of
24 two blo		24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let me ask a question,
25 inches	t. We cannot. What we can do is what		on month in the first to 1711. Let the ask a question,

	Page 101		Page 102
1	open to amending that we also express to the	1	side can be developed?
2	Commission that they look at the north side for	2	MR. BEHAR: Who knows, at this point, Luis.
3	the future?	3	MS. CABRERA: One or two, I think, is what
4	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	4	I'm hearing.
5	MR. REVUELTA: And I would keep the second.	5	MR. REVUELTA: But there
6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you second that	6	MR. BEHAR: But it's there. But it's
7	amendment to the motion?	7	there.
8	MR. REVUELTA: At the end of the day, the	8	MR. BUCELO: It's only one.
9	City Attorney said that we could add a comment	9	MR. REVUELTA: It's only one property, so
10	to it. I think Robert is correct. There's	10	I'm in favor
11	nothing we can do, other than just, as Chip is	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So you're seconding
12	saying, let's just continue to raise the flag	12	the amendment, correct?
13	or keep the flag up, so we can	13	MR. REVUELTA: Yeah.
14	MS. MIRO: That's fine. I just don't want	14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion, we
15	to do nothing with it. That's fine.	15	have a second, with the added comment.
16	Absolutely.	16	Jill, call the roll, please.
17	MS. CABRERA: Because if you add it here,	17	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
18	you may not get either one. So it's better to	18	MR. TORRE: Yes.
19	get, you know, a bird in hand and then	19	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
20	recommend the other one.	20	MR. WITHERS: Yeah.
21	MS. MIRO: Absolutely.	21	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If I may, are you okay	22	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
23	with the amendment that was just made?	23	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
24	MR. REVUELTA: I am okay. I have one	24	MR. BUCELO: Yes.
25	question. How many properties on the north	25	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
23	question. How many properties on the north	23	THE SECRETARY. Claudia Willo:
	Page 103		Page 104
1	MS. MIRO: Yes.	1	approval of proposed amendments to the text of
2	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	2	the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan,
3	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	3	pursuant to expedited state review procedures
4	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	4	and Zoning Code Article 14, "process," Section
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. Thank you.	5	14-213, "Comprehensive Plan Text and Map
6	The next item on the agenda, E-2 and E-3	6	Amendments," to provide for a Property Rights
7	go ahead.	7	Element with Goals, Objectives, and Policies;
8	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, just for	8	providing for a repealer provision, providing
9	practical reasons, if we can deal with E-7	9	for a severability clause, and providing for an
10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and	10	effective date.
11	take a five-minute recess, because there's	11	Item E-7, public hearing.
12	people that are leaving the Chambers. That	12	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, the State of
13	will give them an opportunity, and then we will	13	Florida has mandated that every municipality
14	go ahead and continue.	14	adopt a property rights element in the Comp
15	(Short recess taken.)	15	Plan. That is what we have here today. This
16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. Let's	16	has to be adopted prior to processing any other
17	reconvene, please. Everybody is here. Thank	17	Comp Plan amendments. So that's why do it's
18	you.	18	important to adopt it tonight.
19	Ramon went ahead and notified me that Item	19	The text is based on the 1000 Friends of
20	E-7 should be done next.	20	Florida model element. It does two things. It
21	MR. COLLER: Okay. I'll read it in.	21	talks about respecting property rights. It
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's read it in and	22	also talks about transparency and public
22			
	then	23	access, which I think is something that we do
22		23 24	access, which I think is something that we do quite well in this City. Staff recommends

	Page 105		Page 106
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there anybody that	1	MR. REVUELTA: No.
2	would like to speak on this item?	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Call the roll,
3	Jill, do we have anybody on Zoom?	3	please.
4	THE SECRETARY: No, no one on Zoom.	4	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what about the	5	MR. TORRE: Yes.
6	phone platform?	6	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
7	THE SECRETARY: No.	7	MR. WITHERS: Yeah.
8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: At this time, I'll go	8	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
9	ahead and close it for public comment and open	9	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
10	it for Board discussion. Robert.	10	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
11	MR. BEHAR: This is way overdue. This is	11	MR. BUCELO: Yes.
12	something that, in my opinion, will benefit the	12	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
13	homeowners. I'll make a motion to approve it.	13	MS. MIRO: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny.	14	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
15	MR. TORRE: I'm going to second it, but I	15	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
16	have a follow-up question that will take me one	16	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
17	minute, just to educate me. So, second.	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. We	18	MR. TORRE: So my question is, it doesn't
19	have a second. Any discussion? Chip.	19	pertain to you, but maybe you can help me and
20	MR. WITHERS: No, I'm good.	20	maybe our attorney can help me. In our
21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Claudia?	21	Historic Preservation Board, many, many times
22	MS. MIRO: I'm good, as well.	22	we were told they would come up, "Oh, my
23	MR. BUCELO: Same.	23	little house is worth this, if you knock it
	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The same?	24	down," dah, dah, dah, and economic factors were
24 25	Luis?	25	not to be taken into consideration. Does this
25	Luis?		
	Page 107		Page 108
1	law affect those kinds of decisions, where you	1	MR. COLLER: Sure.
2	basically have a value of a property at a	2	E-2, an Ordinance of the City Commission of
3	million dollars, I can't knock the house down?	3	Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of
4	You know, is that something that would play	4	proposed amendments to the text of the City of
5	into this sort of all?	5	Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to
6	MR. COLLER: I don't believe so. I think	6	expedited state review procedures and Zoning
7	this basically is a general statement of what	7	Code Article 14, to allow an additional height
8	the law of takings is, in the State of Florida,	8	bonus for limiting density for qualifying
9	and probably under the U.S. Constitution, and	9	Planned Area Developments within the Central
10	the Legislature wanted it reiterated here. I	10	Business District; providing for a repealer
11	don't think it added anything new to the law of	11	provision, providing for a severability clause,
12	takings or vested rights, but, rather, than	12	and providing an effective date.
13	expression of what the existing law was and the	13	E-3, an Ordinance of the City Commission of
14	Legislature wanted it to be in the	14	Coral Gables, Florida, providing for text
15	Comprehensive Plans.	15	amendments to the City of Coral Gables Official
16	MR. TORRE: Thank you.	16	Zoning Code, Article 2, "Zoning Districts"
17	MS. COLLER: Can somebody take this and	17	Section 2-500 "Planned Area Developments," to
18	argue? Yes. Like I said before in the Bert	18	create the "Height Bonus to PAD Minimum
19	Harris, anybody could sue anybody for anything.	19	Development Standards in the Central Business
20	MR. TORRE: Thank you for that.	20	District" to award additional height and limit
21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	21	of density for qualifying properties; providing
22	Let's continue with our agenda items. So	22	for severability, repealer, codification, and
23	E-2 and E-3 are related.	23	an effective date.
24	Craig, you'll go ahead and read those both	24	Items E-2 and E-3, public hearing.
25	into the record at the same time?	25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
		1	

	Page 109		Page 110
1	Mr. Trias.	1	your density and
2	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, this item was	2	MR. TRIAS: There are multiple ways to do
3	brought by the Commission. The Commission	3	this, certainly, and the current request is to
4	requested that we draft an ordinance that	4	allow height to allow for flexibility in the
5	allowed extra height beyond the 190, in the	5	design of the building.
6	Downtown area.	6	-
7		7	Now, that requires a Zoning change. That also requires a Comprehensive Plan change. As
	MR. WITHERS: Why?		
8	MR. TRIAS: To limit density to 100 units	8	you know, and as was explained in the prior
9	per acre. Again, this is a Commission idea.	9	item today, the Comprehensive Plan is the one
10	Sometimes I'm given too much credit for things,	10	that limits the 190 through the Med Bonus. So
11	okay. I'm just here to explain the logic. The	11	you have to change both, Zoning and the Comp
12	logic is that, at this point, as you know, you	12	Plan. That's why we have two Ordinances.
13	well know, the Downtown density is unlimited.	13	Now, we have here I don't know if people
14	So you can do as many units as you want.	14	would like to speak later, but we have a
15	What is being proposed here is that if the	15	person, who is working on a project that would
16	project is a PAD, meaning it's over one acre,	16	be affected or could take advantage,
17	and in addition to that, limits density to 100	17	rather, of these provisions and maybe that
18	units per acre, then it could be 205 feet tall,	18	person could explain that in some detail. But,
19	as opposed to 190.5. That's the concept.	19	as you can see, the area would be in the
20	MR. BEHAR: Okay. Just to be clear, if you	20	Downtown, as long as the project doesn't face
21	want to do that if you want to limit just	21	Miracle Mile, Le Jeune Road, Douglas Road,
22	to understand, maybe you could explain it a	22	Ponce de Leon Boulevard or Alhambra Circle,
23	little bit more if you want to limit the	23	meaning it's kind of like toward the center,
24	density, why do you have to increase height?	24	it's not at the edges, it needs to get Med
25	Why can't you just, with you have today, limit	25	Bonus Level 2, yes, and it also provides an
	Page 111		Page 112
1	Page 111 additional five percent open space. So those	1	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to
1 2		1 2	
	additional five percent open space. So those		MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based
2	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the	2	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this,
2	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.	2 3	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based
2 3 4	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.	2 3 4	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having
2 3 4 5	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand	2 3 4 5	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any
2 3 4 5 6	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if	2 3 4 5 6	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do — on a site,
2 3 4 5 6 7	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do — on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density?  MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density?  MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do — on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it.  MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density?  MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it.  MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it.  MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density?  MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it.  MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do — on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it.  MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct.  MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density?  MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it.  MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it.  MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct.  MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density?  MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it.  MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do — on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it.  MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct.  MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density?  MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it.  MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density?  MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it.  MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct.  MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this.  MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density?  MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it.  MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density?  MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it.  MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct.  MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this.  MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more flexibility with the building design.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density?  MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it.  MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density?  MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it.  MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct.  MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this.  MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more flexibility with the building design.  MR. BEHAR: But don't you have, in the CBD,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density?  MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it.  MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density?  MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that this gives you an incentive program.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do — on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it.  MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct.  MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this.  MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more flexibility with the building design.  MR. BEHAR: But don't you have, in the CBD, 190 feet six inches today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density?  MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it.  MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density?  MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that this gives you an incentive program.  MR. WITHERS: Well, no, but reduce density	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do — on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it.  MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct.  MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this.  MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more flexibility with the building design.  MR. BEHAR: But don't you have, in the CBD, 190 feet six inches today?  MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density?  MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it.  MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density?  MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that this gives you an incentive program.  MR. WITHERS: Well, no, but reduce density now. Forget about the incentive, just reduce density.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it.  MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct.  MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this.  MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more flexibility with the building design.  MR. BEHAR: But don't you have, in the CBD, 190 feet six inches today?  MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir.  MR. BEHAR: So let's say I was to do, you know, I guess, a 16, 17, 18 building I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density?  MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it.  MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density?  MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that this gives you an incentive program.  MR. WITHERS: Well, no, but reduce density now. Forget about the incentive, just reduce density.  MR. BEHAR: The simpler you could do it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it.  MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct.  MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this.  MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more flexibility with the building design.  MR. BEHAR: But don't you have, in the CBD, 190 feet six inches today?  MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir.  MR. BEHAR: So let's say I was to do, you know, I guess, a 16, 17, 18 building I don't know. I'm trying to see why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density?  MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it.  MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density?  MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that this gives you an incentive program.  MR. WITHERS: Well, no, but reduce density now. Forget about the incentive, just reduce density.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it.  MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct.  MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this.  MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more flexibility with the building design.  MR. BEHAR: But don't you have, in the CBD, 190 feet six inches today?  MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir.  MR. BEHAR: So let's say I was to do, you know, I guess, a 16, 17, 18 building I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density?  MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it.  MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density?  MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that this gives you an incentive program.  MR. WITHERS: Well, no, but reduce density now. Forget about the incentive, just reduce density.  MR. BEHAR: The simpler you could do it —because in the CBD, there's no density maximum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do — on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it.  MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct.  MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this.  MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more flexibility with the building design.  MR. BEHAR: But don't you have, in the CBD, 190 feet six inches today?  MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir.  MR. BEHAR: So let's say I was to do, you know, I guess, a 16, 17, 18 building — I don't know. I'm trying to see why —  MR. TRIAS: I think you're leading towards
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	additional five percent open space. So those are the rules, and the goal is to enhance the aesthetics of future buildings.  Yes, sir.  MR. WITHERS: So I'm trying to understand the rationale, and like my colleague said, if we're trying to reduce density, why don't we just reduce density?  MR. TRIAS: Certainly that's another way to do it.  MR. WITHERS: No, I'm asking you. I mean, you're a planner. I mean, if you want to reduce density, do you do it by raising the building or do you do it by reducing density?  MR. TRIAS: You do it by reducing density.  MR. WITHERS: Okay.  MR. TRIAS: But the issue is that this gives you an incentive program.  MR. WITHERS: Well, no, but reduce density now. Forget about the incentive, just reduce density.  MR. BEHAR: The simpler you could do it because in the CBD, there's no density maximum now, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. BEHAR: I guess, I'm trying to understand and understand why we would do this, is to make maybe bigger units, you know, based on the FAR. You could do that without having anything, you know, imposed on this, making any changes, right? If I want to do on a site, I want to limit to "X" amount of units, you know, a hundred, I don't have to have this in place, I could do it.  MR. TRIAS: Yes. You could limit your project to a hundred units. That's correct.  MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm trying to understand the reasoning, the purpose behind this.  MR. TRIAS: The purpose is to have more flexibility with the building design.  MR. BEHAR: But don't you have, in the CBD, 190 feet six inches today?  MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir.  MR. BEHAR: So let's say I was to do, you know, I guess, a 16, 17, 18 building I don't know. I'm trying to see why  MR. TRIAS: I think you're leading towards an answer. It's the 18 stories that could be

	Page 113		Page 114
1	MR. BEHAR: But you could still do 18	1	in the Code, I guess.
2	stories in 190 feet.	2	MR. BEHAR: Maybe. Maybe there's one site
3	MR. TRIAS: You could.	3	that we're looking at
4	MR. BEHAR: There's no reason why you	4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Why don't we do
5	couldn't. I mean, I'm trying to understand, so	5	something, Ramon, are you done with your
6	I could make, you know you know, and, then,	6	presentation?
7	the question, this would only apply for a PAD,	7	MR. TRIAS: I am.
8	a PAD, correct?	8	MR. WITHERS: I have one more question of
9	MR. TRIAS: Yes.	9	Ramon. So talk to us about the architecture
10	MR. BEHAR: Is there any specific you	10	element on top of the 205 feet, what does that
11	mentioned there's a specific project that this	11	do? It stays the same?
12	will take advantage of.	12	MR. BEHAR: 25 feet
13	MR. TRIAS: I'm familiar with one project	13	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, that would stay the same,
14	and the representative is here and maybe he	14	yes, sir.
15	could explain it.	15	MR. REVUELTA: 30 feet or 24 percent.
16	MR. BEHAR: Not right now.	16	MR. WITHERS: What is it?
17	MR. TRIAS: Yeah.	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 30 feet, 24 percent.
18	MR. BEHAR: Would this be considered	18	MR. BUCELO: It's based on a percentage.
19	would this be considered like spot zoning, if	19	MR. TRIAS: Yes. In the Downtown, there's
20	we do this?	20	a percentage, so it could be substantial, the
21	MR. TRIAS: No. It's a voluntary process,	21	height, yeah, but it's not habitable. So it's
22	the PAD, so it's not any different than any	22	just decorative.
23	other PAD. It's just that it has some	23	MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but it's still height.
24	additional benefits.	24	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes, of course.
25	MR. REVUELTA: It's just an overall change	25	Thank you very much.
	With the voled in the just an overall entange		main you very maen.
	Page 115		Page 116
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What I'd like to do at	1	Anderson have sponsored it, and I'm happy to
2	this point is open it up for public comment.	2	explain the reasoning behind it.
3	Jill, do we have any individuals here that	3	If you are unfamiliar with the project,
4	would like to speak?	4	this is a project that Armando excuse me,
5	Please step up.	5	Mr. Codina, has openly discussed in public.
6	MR. JIMENEZ: I didn't sign up. It's a	6	He's discussed it with the Chamber of Commerce.
7	good thing I don't like an audience.	7	He's discussed it in any number of meetings.
8	Good evening, Joe Jimenez, Executive Vice	8	So he's made no secret of the fact that he
9	President and General Counsel for Codina	9	eventually wants to live in this building.
10	Partners, 2020 Salzedo, Fifth Floor.	10	This building is designed to be something
11	MR. REVUELTA: Executive counsel for, whom?	11	that we don't believe Coral Gables, or, for
12	MR. JIMENEZ: Codina Partners. Excuse me,	12	that matter, Miami-Dade County has ever seen,
13	I'm sorry. I have actually been in trial for	13	and, yes, Mr. Behar, it is strictly for large
14	the last two weeks. I'm a little	14	units. As I've heard at least three of you
15	overcaffeinated. If I call any of you, Your	15	say, you are architects, and as it has been
16	Honor, I apologize.	16	explained to me by our architects and others,
17	MS. MIRO: Tell me your last name again,	17	is that when you have a unit the size of the
18	I'm sorry.	18	ones that we're proposing so, for example,
19	MR. JIMENEZ: Jimenez, with a "J."	19	in the Sofia, which is located at 2000 Salzedo
20	Because the project correctly was mentioned	20	Street, where I was the first resident I
21	by Mr. Trias and we are mentioned in the Staff	21	don't live there anymore, but I was the first
22	report, we've made no secret of our involvement	22	resident there, the average unit was 950 square
23	in this Ordinance. The City Code requires an	23	feet. The smallest unit in this building would
24	application of this kind to find a sponsor on	24	be 2,000. The largest unit would be in the
25	the Commission. The Mayor and Commissioner	25	neighborhood of 12,000.

Page 117

Page 118

The geometry of the ceiling height, just the vastness of the area, require it a little bit higher. At 18 stories, which by a City Attorney opinion, we would be allowed to apply for, without this Ordinance, by the way, without it, with a 15-foot minimum on the ground floor, plus ten feet clear on the remaining stories, we can't do it at a height of 190.5.

The idea was to address the concerns of residents that say development is impacting Downtown, whether by traffic, by any number of measures. Impact is measured, on any residential development, by doors, by units. That's how you charge fire impact fees, that's how you charge police impact fees, park impact fees, school impact, any number of things, door

The FAR doesn't increase here. As you were talking about in the last item, and I do realize that -- I'm sorry that people didn't stick around. I had no problem discussing this in front of anybody. We are not building anything larger, floor area wise, than we could. By our calculations, being experienced

developers and builders, having built something eight blocks away, by our math, we could do, under the current Code, with the normal process, coming to this Board, the Med Bonuses and everything out, if we were to build another Sofia, we can do in the neighborhood of 310 to 315 units.

We are voluntarily taking advantage of a bonus, to get this different type of product, that is not available, and voluntarily limiting ourselves from an unlimited density, to 100 units per acre. We're actually going to come in at much less than that. I think our number right now is 160. Our acreage is about 1.74 acres.

Mr. Withers, to answer your question, as you discussed in the last item, I have no interest in getting into a fight with the City if all of a sudden you decide to lower my density. I'd have no choice, because I'd lose a lot of property rights, but if I'm doing it voluntarily, to take advantage of a 14 and a half foot bonus, I think that solves both of our problems. I think it's something that I can offer up. It's an idea that we offered up.

## Page 119

Page 120

We found two members of the City Commission willing to bring it up for discussion, willing to refer it to this Board. Hopefully this Board can see the wisdom of it.

We have a type of product that we need this envelope to build. If we can't, okay, well, then we go back to the drawing board and we do something else. We just need, because Mr. Codina wants a specific kind of product, that requires a specific kind of building height — and I'm not going to sit here and be disingenuous with a Planning Board, obviously it has to make financial sense, too, and to do that, I need to be able to put a certain amount of square footage into this.

If we go down to 16 feet -- or, excuse me, 190 and a half feet, and I get the same FAR, but I still want 10-foot ceilings, I don't lose a floor or two, I lose three. And you can see by -- because there's no half measure. I can't go to 190.7. So it's a voluntary program. You're not forcing me to take advantage of it. You're not forcing anybody else to take advantage of it.

To answer your question about spot zoning,

because I do happen to be an attorney and I'm happy to address a legal issue, the Publix site that's been discussed to be developed time and time again, can absolutely take advantage of this on the Salzedo side. Any number of assemblages or anything else that can go up—the Salzedo site that we built could have taken advantage of it. So this is, by no means, directed to us. We just don't—we are giving a less abstract version of this law, to show you what we have in mind to do with it.

The only way to take advantage of this, the only way this becomes an issue, because I agree with your point, your point and your point. On the last one, I know that site. A former landlord of mine owns the site next to the Junior League. It's 12,000 square feet. You're not getting above eight stories on that site and I agree with you.

The only way this becomes an issue is if you lower the density. Otherwise, the law just stays the same. And this reduction goes even below the limit placed outside the CBD, which is 125. So it's a drastic reduction from what you have inside the CBD today, to even lower

	Page 121		Page 122
1		1	
1	than you would have outside the CBD. So that's	1	MR. TRIAS: No. No. No.
2	why we believe in the wisdom of this	2	MR. JIMENEZ: This is not about my site,
3	MR. BEHAR: But Mr. Jimenez, what you're	3	which is my point to Mr. Behar.
4	asking basically is increasing every floor by a	4	MR. TRIAS: The request is not about this
5	foot, right?	5	site. The request is more general. The
6	MR. JIMENEZ: It depends what you would	6	request, when described in terms of 18 stories,
7	build to, but, yeah, I would say	7	is beyond what it says here only, because it
8	MR. BEHAR: You can go to 18 stories. You	8	also sometimes would require amending some Site
9	know you're not going to increase the parking.	9	Specifics. So I just want to make it very
10 11	You would increase your habitable floors. By	10	clear, so we're completely transparent.
12	the way MR. JIMENEZ: The height.	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Just to understand, in
13	MR. BEHAR: The height. So you're not	12	that area, what's the highest stories of a
14	really you're still going to be 18 stories.	13	building?
15	What you're going to do is try to give those	14	MR. TRIAS: 16 stories and 190 feet.
16	apartment levels additional height.	15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's currently,
17	MR. JIMENEZ: Exactly.	16	though?
18	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, just to clarify,	17	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, that is typically the
19	in most areas, we have a 16-story Site Specific	18	Site Specifics.
20	maximum. So that is what the Code that Chip	19	MR. JIMENEZ: With one exception, and I
21	was talking about had for a long time. So to	20	think the City Attorney has an opinion, this
22	do 18 stories, there has to be that additional	21	has nothing to do with my project. The City
23	change of the Site Specific. I just want to	22	Attorney's Office long ago opined that with a
24	make that very clear, so it's in the record.	23	PAD, the flexibility allowed by the Code in a
25	MR. JIMENEZ: This isn't about my site.	24	PAD, that's in the Commission discretion, to
23	Michigan Indiana in the sacting site.	25	extend the maximums, and the City Attorney's
	Page 123		D 104
	1490 123		Page 124
1	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on	1	this is what we should be talking about, these
1 2		1 2	
	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on		this is what we should be talking about, these
2	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where	2	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming
2	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up	2 3	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot
2 3 4	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean	2 3 4	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking
2 3 4 5	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend,	2 3 4 5	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.
2 3 4 5 6	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in	2 3 4 5 6	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.  The discussion here goes into the density,
2 3 4 5 6 7	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning	2 3 4 5 6 7	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.  The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.  The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.  The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.  The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense.  You're trying to do a good project and all of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.  The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense.  You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.  The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense.  You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.  The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense.  You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.  The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense.  You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm not breaking confidence, because he talks about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.  The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense.  You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first thing they tell you, at the top of the list is,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm not breaking confidence, because he talks about it all of the time, because he's so proud of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.  The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense.  You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first thing they tell you, at the top of the list is, maximize your density in Downtown, bring in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm not breaking confidence, because he talks about it all of the time, because he's so proud of the building, he will not live in a condo that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.  The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense.  You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first thing they tell you, at the top of the list is, maximize your density in Downtown, bring in residents. This policy is flawed and terrible.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm not breaking confidence, because he talks about it all of the time, because he's so proud of the building, he will not live in a condo that he developed, but he'll live in a rental that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.  The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense.  You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first thing they tell you, at the top of the list is, maximize your density in Downtown, bring in residents. This policy is flawed and terrible.  So I apologize for saying that, and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm not breaking confidence, because he talks about it all of the time, because he's so proud of the building, he will not live in a condo that he developed, but he'll live in a rental that he developed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.  The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense.  You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first thing they tell you, at the top of the list is, maximize your density in Downtown, bring in residents. This policy is flawed and terrible.  So I apologize for saying that, and I understand that there's some people thinking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm not breaking confidence, because he talks about it all of the time, because he's so proud of the building, he will not live in a condo that he developed, but he'll live in a rental that he developed. MR. TORRE: Okay. It's good to know that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.  The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense.  You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first thing they tell you, at the top of the list is, maximize your density in Downtown, bring in residents. This policy is flawed and terrible.  So I apologize for saying that, and I understand that there's some people thinking that way, bringing down traffic. You want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm not breaking confidence, because he talks about it all of the time, because he's so proud of the building, he will not live in a condo that he developed, but he'll live in a rental that he developed. MR. TORRE: Okay. It's good to know that. MR. JIMENEZ: Rental, and long term, at that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.  The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense.  You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first thing they tell you, at the top of the list is, maximize your density in Downtown, bring in residents. This policy is flawed and terrible.  So I apologize for saying that, and I understand that there's some people thinking that way, bringing down traffic. You want people walking, you want people using their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm not breaking confidence, because he talks about it all of the time, because he's so proud of the building, he will not live in a condo that he developed, but he'll live in a rental that he developed. MR. TORRE: Okay. It's good to know that. MR. JIMENEZ: Rental, and long term, at that. MR. TORRE: So first point is, I appreciate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.  The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense.  You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first thing they tell you, at the top of the list is, maximize your density in Downtown, bring in residents. This policy is flawed and terrible.  So I apologize for saying that, and I understand that there's some people thinking that way, bringing down traffic. You want people walking, you want people using their bike, you want people less driving. If you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	opinion, that I know the City Attorney has on his desk, it went from ten to twelve. Where there was a maximum of twelve, they took it up two. That's why I'm saying 18. I didn't mean to be disingenuous and I agree with my friend, Ramon, it's not at all, but we could go in MR. TRIAS: The only reason I'm mentioning this is for transparency, so everybody understands what the issues are. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny. MR. TORRE: Yeah. This is a for sale product? MR. JIMENEZ: No, sir. This would be a rental product. Armando anything I say, I'm not breaking confidence, because he talks about it all of the time, because he's so proud of the building, he will not live in a condo that he developed, but he'll live in a rental that he developed.  MR. TORRE: Okay. It's good to know that. MR. JIMENEZ: Rental, and long term, at that. MR. TORRE: So first point is, I appreciate your firm, the work you do, the work you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this is what we should be talking about, these great big projects, investments that are coming into the City, but I also believe there's a lot of other things that we need to be talking about.  The discussion here goes into the density, and I'm going to flat out tell you, this is a huge mistake. Not your project, not what you're trying to do, in the general sense.  You're trying to do a good project and all of that. The lower density, as a measure of planning policy, in Downtown, is a total fallacy. When you spend \$150,000 in a retail strategy mission, blah, blah, blah, the first thing they tell you, at the top of the list is, maximize your density in Downtown, bring in residents. This policy is flawed and terrible.  So I apologize for saying that, and I understand that there's some people thinking that way, bringing down traffic. You want people walking, you want people using their bike, you want people less driving. If you look at the urban academics, they'll tell you

	Page 125		Page 126
1	don't know how you're going to rent 12,000	1	Residential units, you have to take them away
2	square feet or 6,000 square feet or 3,000	2	from the Commercial units.
3	square feet, but that's great, if you can do	3	MR. JIMENEZ: I don't think that's true,
4	it.	4	because, in my line of work, it's rentable
5	MR. JIMENEZ: Armando openly says, if he's	5	square footage. How many doors I have is
6	wrong about the market, he'll have the biggest	6	irrelevant
7	house in Coral Gables.	7	MR. TORRE: Right. But all that counts is
8	MR. TORRE: But a measure of give and take,	8	FAR.
9	normally what you would do is, you're	9	MR. JIMENEZ: Excuse me?
10	increasing your size, you'd have to lower it	10	MR. TORRE: FAR
11	someplace else. You have to give something	11	MR. TRIAS: For transparency sake, again,
12	else.	12	because you have submitted a project, it is
13	So what I first asked Mr. Trias is, if	13	4.375. They were taking advantage of
14	they're doing this, is the FAR dropping? No.	14	MR. BEHAR: 4.375
15	So basically you're dropping the density, but	15	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. That is the request.
16	you're increasing the Commercial, so nothing's	16	MR. BEHAR: You're brining TDRs
17	changed size-wise. The project is not going to	17	MR. JIMENEZ: As we did on the Sofia.
18	be diminished by this at all. It's still	18	MR. TORRE: Here's a point, for Claudia and
19	300,000 square feet.	19	others that were the FAR in a Mixed-Use goes
20	MR. JIMENEZ: No. No. My point at the	20	to 4.375. So the Residential units
21	beginning is	21	MR. BEHAR, no, it's 3.0, 3.5. With Med
22	MR. TORRE: But the impact to our City is	22	Bonuses. You're allowed to bring it up .875,
23	mostly about the FAR, and if you're dropping	23	which would put it at 4.375.
24	the density, but you're increasing the	24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we need to
25	Commercial it's a balance. If you put 175	25	consider, we're not talking about this project
	Page 127		Page 128
			5
1	here. I want to be clear on that.	1	and the great around the perimeter, what people
1 2	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair.	1 2	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the
	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should		and the great around the perimeter, what people
2	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items	2	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the
2	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment.	2 3	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the
2 3 4	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items	2 3 4	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.
2 3 4 5	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment.	2 3 4 5	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.  MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So
2 3 4 5 6	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it.	2 3 4 5 6	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.  MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.  MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back.  These small buildings exist. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.  MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back.  These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.  MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back.  These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.  MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back.  These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.  MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back.  These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.  MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back.  These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.  MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back.  These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was, okay, so the density to me is not where we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.  MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back.  These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred feet of pretty much boring.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was, okay, so the density to me is not where we should be trying to incentivize. It's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.  MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back.  These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred feet of pretty much boring.  Then, the point is, what do you on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was, okay, so the density to me is not where we should be trying to incentivize. It's the opposite. I'm not in favor of that. So this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.  MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back.  These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred feet of pretty much boring.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was, okay, so the density to me is not where we should be trying to incentivize. It's the opposite. I'm not in favor of that. So this is about what we can we do to give that extra	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.  MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back.  These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred feet of pretty much boring.  Then, the point is, what do you on the ground floor to make it an unbelievable experience passing by? What happens to that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was, okay, so the density to me is not where we should be trying to incentivize. It's the opposite. I'm not in favor of that. So this is about what we can we do to give that extra height. Is there a place for that height?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.  MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back.  These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred feet of pretty much boring.  Then, the point is, what do you on the ground floor to make it an unbelievable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was, okay, so the density to me is not where we should be trying to incentivize. It's the opposite. I'm not in favor of that. So this is about what we can we do to give that extra	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.  MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back.  These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred feet of pretty much boring.  Then, the point is, what do you on the ground floor to make it an unbelievable experience passing by? What happens to that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was, okay, so the density to me is not where we should be trying to incentivize. It's the opposite. I'm not in favor of that. So this is about what we can we do to give that extra height. Is there a place for that height? In my view, it's in the public realm and the benefits to the public realm, that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.  MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back.  These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred feet of pretty much boring.  Then, the point is, what do you on the ground floor to make it an unbelievable experience passing by? What happens to that bottom 30 feet? That's where the value is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was, okay, so the density to me is not where we should be trying to incentivize. It's the opposite. I'm not in favor of that. So this is about what we can we do to give that extra height. Is there a place for that height? In my view, it's in the public realm and the benefits you want to do a great job on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.  MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back.  These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred feet of pretty much boring.  Then, the point is, what do you on the ground floor to make it an unbelievable experience passing by? What happens to that bottom 30 feet? That's where the value is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think we all should be clear. We are here for the two agenda items and you are here for your public comment. MR. BEHAR: That's it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we thank you for it. MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome, sir. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jimenez was here just for illustration and then that's it. Now, that is not the request. He has submitted a project, separate from this, that meets the current Code and he would like to see if this is approved, and then he will modify it. MR. TORRE: So what I was thinking was, okay, so the density to me is not where we should be trying to incentivize. It's the opposite. I'm not in favor of that. So this is about what we can we do to give that extra height. Is there a place for that height? In my view, it's in the public realm and the benefits to the public realm, that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and the great around the perimeter, what people touch and feel, maybe then we'll give you the height. I don't think density is where the trade should be.  MR. TRIAS: The proposal is to add five percent open space.  MR. TORRE: Excuse me, let me finish. So you have this huge building. You have these walls so let me go back.  These small buildings exist. The building the street has a bunch of different people you pass by, you all know of these great things that exist in the City. You're knocking it down to put this one building. Now you have a whole wall of vaults and electric room and entrances. Now you're walking by a hundred feet of pretty much boring.  Then, the point is, what do you on the ground floor to make it an unbelievable experience passing by? What happens to that bottom 30 feet? That's where the value is.  And if you do something amazing, get the height.

	Page 129		Page 130
1	MR. TORRE: That's where a bonus should	1	
1		1	to change the conversation. Open spaces are
2	lie. Density removal, no.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Claudia.	2	great. Pocket parks are great. But public
3		3	realm is more than that.
4	MS. MIRO: That makes perfect sense to me.	4	MR. BEHAR: But, Venny, it's not
5	MR. BEHAR: I agree a hundred percent. We	5	necessarily. If you have a building that's
6	should not be looking at removing density. We	6	going to be 205 feet high, you need more of a
7	want density. And I agree, maybe it's not five	7	setback because of the back of sidewalk and
8	percent, maybe it's a little bit more, but if	8	where the building starts.
9	you create some benefit spaces that will	9	MR. TORRE: So you see all of the buildings
10	benefit the public, I'm in favor of the	10	on Le Jeune that never get occupied. They're
11	additional, whatever, fifteen feet, it's not a	11	empty. They're vacant. It happens all of the
12	problem, because I think you will get it	12	time. These spaces become, basically, ghost
13	doesn't matter, your project or any project in	13	towns. It's not activated. They're not made
14	the Downtown area, it will be a much better	14	to be activated.
15	project.	15	MR. BEHAR: But look at the Sofia, with the
16	In reality, whether you're looking at 190	16	restaurant that they have, okay.
17	or 204, it doesn't matter. At that point, it	17	MR. TORRE: That's what we want.
18	really doesn't to me, in my opinion, doesn't	18	MR. BEHAR: Okay. But what happens in a
19	matter. I think Venny is correct. We should	19	building and that portion of the building is
20	concentrate more of what the public will	20	not 200 feet. If you create
21	benefit from, and if it's an additional five,	21	MR. TORRE: So I'm going to create another
22	ten percent open space, I think that's what we	22	one
23	would you know, I would prefer to have.	23	MR. BEHAR: a public space there, that
24	MR. TORRE: I know that that's all we talk	24	they will benefit.
25	about, and I'm going to start hopefully trying	25	MR. TORRE: Four sides, right the
	Daga 121		
	Page 131		Page 132
1	building has four sides. You activate one	1	Page 132 moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.
1 2		1 2	
	building has four sides. You activate one		moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.
2	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a	2	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is,
2	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to	2 3	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we
2 3 4	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's	2 3 4	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200
2 3 4 5	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything	2 3 4 5	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.
2 3 4 5 6	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.	2 3 4 5 6	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.
2 3 4 5 6 7	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.  To do all of these condo offices and condo	2 3 4 5 6 7	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.  MR. BUCELO: I agree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.  To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.  MR. BUCELO: I agree.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.  To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.  MR. BUCELO: I agree.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis.  MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.  To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.  MR. BUCELO: I agree.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis.  MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.  To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.  MR. BUCELO: I agree.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis.  MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.  To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.  MR. BUCELO: I agree.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis.  MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.  To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.  MR. BUCELO: I agree.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis.  MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.  To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.  MR. BUCELO: I agree.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis.  MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing.  And I keep asking myself about the magic of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.  To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.  MR. BUCELO: I agree.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis.  MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing.  And I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.  To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help  Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets  Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of the sort, should be active, should be really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.  MR. BUCELO: I agree.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis.  MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing.  And I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205, reversed engineered to some sort of a project,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.  To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help  Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets  Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of the sort, should be active, should be really active, should be looked at for what kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.  MR. BUCELO: I agree.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis.  MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing.  And I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205, reversed engineered to some sort of a project, and I do agree, given the fact that we get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.  To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of the sort, should be active, should be really active, should be looked at for what kind of activation, should we really explore it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.  MR. BUCELO: I agree.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis.  MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing.  And I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205, reversed engineered to some sort of a project, and I do agree, given the fact that we get involved in luxury condos, that the higher
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.  To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of the sort, should be active, should be really activation, should we really explore it, because otherwise we're losing our Downtown.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.  MR. BUCELO: I agree.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis.  MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing.  And I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205, reversed engineered to some sort of a project, and I do agree, given the fact that we get involved in luxury condos, that the higher ceilings are a matter of quality in any city.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.  To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of the sort, should be active, should be really active, should be looked at for what kind of activation, should we really explore it, because otherwise we're losing our Downtown. We've got Miracle Mile and what? And then the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.  MR. BUCELO: I agree.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis.  MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing.  And I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205, reversed engineered to some sort of a project, and I do agree, given the fact that we get involved in luxury condos, that the higher ceilings are a matter of quality in any city.  So I think there's got to be a way that you can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.  To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help  Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets  Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of the sort, should be active, should be really active, should be looked at for what kind of activation, should we really explore it, because otherwise we're losing our Downtown.  We've got Miracle Mile and what? And then the other street doesn't get anything, and the next	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.  MR. BUCELO: I agree.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis.  MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing.  And I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205, reversed engineered to some sort of a project, and I do agree, given the fact that we get involved in luxury condos, that the higher ceilings are a matter of quality in any city.  So I think there's got to be a way that you can incentivize a higher ceiling product, which I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.  To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help  Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets  Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of the sort, should be active, should be really active, should be looked at for what kind of activation, should we really explore it, because otherwise we're losing our Downtown.  We've got Miracle Mile and what? And then the other street doesn't get anything, and the next street doesn't get anything. The next thing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.  MR. BUCELO: I agree.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis.  MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing.  And I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205, reversed engineered to some sort of a project, and I do agree, given the fact that we get involved in luxury condos, that the higher ceilings are a matter of quality in any city.  So I think there's got to be a way that you can incentivize a higher ceiling product, which I think I wish them all the best in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.  To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of the sort, should be active, should be really active, should be looked at for what kind of activation, should we really explore it, because otherwise we're losing our Downtown. We've got Miracle Mile and what? And then the other street doesn't get anything, and the next street doesn't get anything. The next thing you know, we have nothing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.  MR. BUCELO: I agree.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis.  MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing.  And I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205, reversed engineered to some sort of a project, and I do agree, given the fact that we get involved in luxury condos, that the higher ceilings are a matter of quality in any city.  So I think there's got to be a way that you can incentivize a higher ceiling product, which I think I wish them all the best in the success of that, but I believe this is going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	building has four sides. You activate one side. The three other sides are dead. So, a good example, the building I'm not trying to pick on your building. Your building's fantastic. I'm not trying to put anything negative. I'm trying to be general.  To do all of these condo offices and condo things, that never get turned on, is a dead space. You put it's the entire line of condo offices and meeting rooms for a condo that will never be used. Put something active, make it retail. So, again, you have to look at what's really being proposed and say, does it really activate does it really help  Valencia, does it help Almeria? Two streets  Downtown, middle of Downtown, or any street of the sort, should be active, should be really active, should be looked at for what kind of activation, should we really explore it, because otherwise we're losing our Downtown.  We've got Miracle Mile and what? And then the other street doesn't get anything, and the next street doesn't get anything. The next thing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	moving towards, it's to revitalize that area.  MR. TORRE: Well, but then the point is, down below, on that ground floor, is what we should be focusing on, and not the 190 or 200 feet.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Alex.  MR. BUCELO: I agree.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis.  MR. REVUELTA: I actually don't have a problem with the lower density. And I understand what everybody is saying, but I think people will continue to come to Florida and Coral Gables, like there is no end to this thing.  And I keep asking myself about the magic of the 205, you know, the problems with the 205, reversed engineered to some sort of a project, and I do agree, given the fact that we get involved in luxury condos, that the higher ceilings are a matter of quality in any city.  So I think there's got to be a way that you can incentivize a higher ceiling product, which I think I wish them all the best in the

_	Page 133		Page 134
1	whatever it's worth, in my crystal ball, but I	1	be some reasoning. I mean, there's got to be a
2	think the issue that I struggle with is that	2	certain area of precedence, that you're not
3	every time that we recommend something and the	3	basically going above.
4	law is passed, it creates a precedent and it	4	So I'm struggling with this. I don't mind
5	creates an ability for somebody else to say,	5	the higher ceilings. I don't mind the lower
6	oh, he got that, I'd like to get 208, I'd like	6	and it's like Mr. Jimenez was saying, this is
7	to get 210. You know, where does it stop?	7	an option. You're not obligating anybody to
8	And I don't have a problem with height.	8	take advantage of this. And I think that no
9	I've always argued high and thin is better than	9	matter what we do, we're never going to
10	low and wide. I think the problem with the	10	disincentivize the private sector of developers
11	project in the Circle is not the height, it's	11	of just bringing density. There's nothing we
12	the massiveness of that project, just like the	12	can do to stop people coming to South Florida.
13	project on Dixie Highway. The height is not	13	So while you guys think about it and talk more,
14	the issue. The issue is massiveness, and,	14	I'll think about it.
15	frankly, the traffic and density.	15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Luis, could you speak	16	Chip.
17	into the microphone please? Thank you.	17	MR. WITHERS: Well, first of all, I echo
18	MR. REVUELTA: I'm sorry.	18	Venny, on Armando is a gentleman and the type
19	So I don't have a problem giving the extra	19	of developer I think Coral Gables likes to
20	height, as long as it's within some sort of	20	have.
21	realm, and I agree I think what you said,	21	Your mention of walking down 100 feet and
22	190, 205 you can't look at a building and	22	seeing water, you know, flush outs and service
23	say, hey, that's 205 feet and six inches. I	23	areas and louvered doors with red lights behind
24	can't do that. Maybe because I'm old and	24	them really caught my attention, and I guess
25	decrepit, you know, there's there's got to	25	the point is, you know, Coral Gables is it a
	detept, you know, there's - there's got to		
	Page 135		Page 136
1	luxury Downtown destination area?	1	through variance, if they wanted to?
2	I mean, like Venny said, I mean, I think of	2	MR. TRIAS: I don't think so, because it's
3	the Chocolate Fashion right there, and I think	3	a Comp Plan change. So you cannot go beyond
4	of the Lebanese Restaurant right down the	4	the Comp Plan, no.
5	street and I think of Sykes Printing and I	5	MR. WITHERS: I see.
			With Williams, Tisee.
6	think of all of the little mop and pop shops.	6	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also
6 7	think of all of the little mop and pop shops. You know, what's it going to do to the	6 7	
	1 1 1		MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also
7	You know, what's it going to do to the	7	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also say that in the update that the Zoning Code did
7	You know, what's it going to do to the character of that street if you come in and you	7 8	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also say that in the update that the Zoning Code did back in February, many very good things were
7 8 9	You know, what's it going to do to the character of that street if you come in and you just throw 100 feet of concrete, you know,	7 8 9	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also say that in the update that the Zoning Code did back in February, many very good things were done about the transparency at the ground level, the storefront requirements, and, for example, in Le Jeune, there's a ten-foot
7 8 9 10	You know, what's it going to do to the character of that street if you come in and you just throw 100 feet of concrete, you know, facing north what would it be, Almeria? Is that the northern border of this project?  MR. TRIAS: Yes. Valencia.	7 8 9 10	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also say that in the update that the Zoning Code did back in February, many very good things were done about the transparency at the ground level, the storefront requirements, and, for
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You know, what's it going to do to the character of that street if you come in and you just throw 100 feet of concrete, you know, facing north — what would it be, Almeria? Is that the northern border of this project?	7 8 9 10 11	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also say that in the update that the Zoning Code did back in February, many very good things were done about the transparency at the ground level, the storefront requirements, and, for example, in Le Jeune, there's a ten-foot
7 8 9 10 11 12	You know, what's it going to do to the character of that street if you come in and you just throw 100 feet of concrete, you know, facing north what would it be, Almeria? Is that the northern border of this project?  MR. TRIAS: Yes. Valencia.  MR. WITHERS: Valencia?  MR. BEHAR: We're not looking at a project,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also say that in the update that the Zoning Code did back in February, many very good things were done about the transparency at the ground level, the storefront requirements, and, for example, in Le Jeune, there's a ten-foot mandatory setback for buildings to enhance the sidewalks. So there were many things that were done in the Code that you all worked on that I
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You know, what's it going to do to the character of that street if you come in and you just throw 100 feet of concrete, you know, facing north what would it be, Almeria? Is that the northern border of this project?  MR. TRIAS: Yes. Valencia.  MR. WITHERS: Valencia?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also say that in the update that the Zoning Code did back in February, many very good things were done about the transparency at the ground level, the storefront requirements, and, for example, in Le Jeune, there's a ten-foot mandatory setback for buildings to enhance the sidewalks. So there were many things that were done in the Code that you all worked on that I think go towards Mr. Torre's concern about
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You know, what's it going to do to the character of that street if you come in and you just throw 100 feet of concrete, you know, facing north what would it be, Almeria? Is that the northern border of this project?  MR. TRIAS: Yes. Valencia.  MR. WITHERS: Valencia?  MR. BEHAR: We're not looking at a project, remember.  MR. WITHERS: No, I know, but I'm just	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also say that in the update that the Zoning Code did back in February, many very good things were done about the transparency at the ground level, the storefront requirements, and, for example, in Le Jeune, there's a ten-foot mandatory setback for buildings to enhance the sidewalks. So there were many things that were done in the Code that you all worked on that I think go towards Mr. Torre's concern about having an active ground level. So all of those
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	You know, what's it going to do to the character of that street if you come in and you just throw 100 feet of concrete, you know, facing north what would it be, Almeria? Is that the northern border of this project?  MR. TRIAS: Yes. Valencia.  MR. WITHERS: Valencia?  MR. BEHAR: We're not looking at a project, remember.  MR. WITHERS: No, I know, but I'm just saying, I'm envisioning that part of town.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also say that in the update that the Zoning Code did back in February, many very good things were done about the transparency at the ground level, the storefront requirements, and, for example, in Le Jeune, there's a ten-foot mandatory setback for buildings to enhance the sidewalks. So there were many things that were done in the Code that you all worked on that I think go towards Mr. Torre's concern about having an active ground level. So all of those things apply. All of those things would't go
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You know, what's it going to do to the character of that street if you come in and you just throw 100 feet of concrete, you know, facing north what would it be, Almeria? Is that the northern border of this project?  MR. TRIAS: Yes. Valencia.  MR. WITHERS: Valencia?  MR. BEHAR: We're not looking at a project, remember.  MR. WITHERS: No, I know, but I'm just saying, I'm envisioning that part of town.  Now, I know what you're going to say. So I	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also say that in the update that the Zoning Code did back in February, many very good things were done about the transparency at the ground level, the storefront requirements, and, for example, in Le Jeune, there's a ten-foot mandatory setback for buildings to enhance the sidewalks. So there were many things that were done in the Code that you all worked on that I think go towards Mr. Torre's concern about having an active ground level. So all of those things apply. All of those things would't go away because of the extra height.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You know, what's it going to do to the character of that street if you come in and you just throw 100 feet of concrete, you know, facing north what would it be, Almeria? Is that the northern border of this project?  MR. TRIAS: Yes. Valencia.  MR. WITHERS: Valencia?  MR. BEHAR: We're not looking at a project, remember.  MR. WITHERS: No, I know, but I'm just saying, I'm envisioning that part of town.  Now, I know what you're going to say. So I guess my question is, when we pass something	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also say that in the update that the Zoning Code did back in February, many very good things were done about the transparency at the ground level, the storefront requirements, and, for example, in Le Jeune, there's a ten-foot mandatory setback for buildings to enhance the sidewalks. So there were many things that were done in the Code that you all worked on that I think go towards Mr. Torre's concern about having an active ground level. So all of those things apply. All of those things would't go
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	You know, what's it going to do to the character of that street if you come in and you just throw 100 feet of concrete, you know, facing north what would it be, Almeria? Is that the northern border of this project?  MR. TRIAS: Yes. Valencia.  MR. WITHERS: Valencia?  MR. BEHAR: We're not looking at a project, remember.  MR. WITHERS: No, I know, but I'm just saying, I'm envisioning that part of town.  Now, I know what you're going to say. So I guess my question is, when we pass something like this, and the Commission has the ability	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also say that in the update that the Zoning Code did back in February, many very good things were done about the transparency at the ground level, the storefront requirements, and, for example, in Le Jeune, there's a ten-foot mandatory setback for buildings to enhance the sidewalks. So there were many things that were done in the Code that you all worked on that I think go towards Mr. Torre's concern about having an active ground level. So all of those things apply. All of those things would't go away because of the extra height.  MR. WITHERS: I don't have a problem with the extra height in that part of town, you
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You know, what's it going to do to the character of that street if you come in and you just throw 100 feet of concrete, you know, facing north what would it be, Almeria? Is that the northern border of this project?  MR. TRIAS: Yes. Valencia.  MR. WITHERS: Valencia?  MR. BEHAR: We're not looking at a project, remember.  MR. WITHERS: No, I know, but I'm just saying, I'm envisioning that part of town.  Now, I know what you're going to say. So I guess my question is, when we pass something like this, and the Commission has the ability to horse trade on a PAD, they don't have to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also say that in the update that the Zoning Code did back in February, many very good things were done about the transparency at the ground level, the storefront requirements, and, for example, in Le Jeune, there's a ten-foot mandatory setback for buildings to enhance the sidewalks. So there were many things that were done in the Code that you all worked on that I think go towards Mr. Torre's concern about having an active ground level. So all of those things apply. All of those things would't go away because of the extra height.  MR. WITHERS: I don't have a problem with the extra height in that part of town, you know, in the Central Business District. I have
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You know, what's it going to do to the character of that street if you come in and you just throw 100 feet of concrete, you know, facing north what would it be, Almeria? Is that the northern border of this project?  MR. TRIAS: Yes. Valencia.  MR. WITHERS: Valencia?  MR. BEHAR: We're not looking at a project, remember.  MR. WITHERS: No, I know, but I'm just saying, I'm envisioning that part of town.  Now, I know what you're going to say. So I guess my question is, when we pass something like this, and the Commission has the ability to horse trade on a PAD, they don't have to give the 205 feet, is that correct?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also say that in the update that the Zoning Code did back in February, many very good things were done about the transparency at the ground level, the storefront requirements, and, for example, in Le Jeune, there's a ten-foot mandatory setback for buildings to enhance the sidewalks. So there were many things that were done in the Code that you all worked on that I think go towards Mr. Torre's concern about having an active ground level. So all of those things apply. All of those things would't go away because of the extra height.  MR. WITHERS: I don't have a problem with the extra height in that part of town, you know, in the Central Business District. I have height issues in other parts of town, but not
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	You know, what's it going to do to the character of that street if you come in and you just throw 100 feet of concrete, you know, facing north what would it be, Almeria? Is that the northern border of this project?  MR. TRIAS: Yes. Valencia.  MR. WITHERS: Valencia?  MR. BEHAR: We're not looking at a project, remember.  MR. WITHERS: No, I know, but I'm just saying, I'm envisioning that part of town.  Now, I know what you're going to say. So I guess my question is, when we pass something like this, and the Commission has the ability to horse trade on a PAD, they don't have to give the 205 feet, is that correct?  MR. TRIAS: They don't have to approve it,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also say that in the update that the Zoning Code did back in February, many very good things were done about the transparency at the ground level, the storefront requirements, and, for example, in Le Jeune, there's a ten-foot mandatory setback for buildings to enhance the sidewalks. So there were many things that were done in the Code that you all worked on that I think go towards Mr. Torre's concern about having an active ground level. So all of those things apply. All of those things would't go away because of the extra height.  MR. WITHERS: I don't have a problem with the extra height in that part of town, you know, in the Central Business District. I have height issues in other parts of town, but not in Downtown.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	You know, what's it going to do to the character of that street if you come in and you just throw 100 feet of concrete, you know, facing north what would it be, Almeria? Is that the northern border of this project?  MR. TRIAS: Yes. Valencia.  MR. WITHERS: Valencia?  MR. BEHAR: We're not looking at a project, remember.  MR. WITHERS: No, I know, but I'm just saying, I'm envisioning that part of town.  Now, I know what you're going to say. So I guess my question is, when we pass something like this, and the Commission has the ability to horse trade on a PAD, they don't have to give the 205 feet, is that correct?  MR. TRIAS: They don't have to approve it, no, certainly. They could approve less, yeah.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also say that in the update that the Zoning Code did back in February, many very good things were done about the transparency at the ground level, the storefront requirements, and, for example, in Le Jeune, there's a ten-foot mandatory setback for buildings to enhance the sidewalks. So there were many things that were done in the Code that you all worked on that I think go towards Mr. Torre's concern about having an active ground level. So all of those things apply. All of those things would't go away because of the extra height.  MR. WITHERS: I don't have a problem with the extra height in that part of town, you know, in the Central Business District. I have height issues in other parts of town, but not in Downtown.  MR. BEHAR: And let me answer your question
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	You know, what's it going to do to the character of that street if you come in and you just throw 100 feet of concrete, you know, facing north what would it be, Almeria? Is that the northern border of this project?  MR. TRIAS: Yes. Valencia.  MR. WITHERS: Valencia?  MR. BEHAR: We're not looking at a project, remember.  MR. WITHERS: No, I know, but I'm just saying, I'm envisioning that part of town.  Now, I know what you're going to say. So I guess my question is, when we pass something like this, and the Commission has the ability to horse trade on a PAD, they don't have to give the 205 feet, is that correct?  MR. TRIAS: They don't have to approve it,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TRIAS: Now, Mr. Withers, I would also say that in the update that the Zoning Code did back in February, many very good things were done about the transparency at the ground level, the storefront requirements, and, for example, in Le Jeune, there's a ten-foot mandatory setback for buildings to enhance the sidewalks. So there were many things that were done in the Code that you all worked on that I think go towards Mr. Torre's concern about having an active ground level. So all of those things apply. All of those things would't go away because of the extra height.  MR. WITHERS: I don't have a problem with the extra height in that part of town, you know, in the Central Business District. I have height issues in other parts of town, but not in Downtown.

	Page 137		Page 138
1	of the properties have alleys in the back of	1	I think there has to be a pronounced
2	it, correct?	2	acknowledgment that we need to focus on the
3	MR. TRIAS: Yes. That's typical.	3	quality of what happens on the ground in the
4	MR. BEHAR: So if you are not you don't	4	Downtown, constant. It's my opinion. I
5	have to put those service area on the street.	5	think I don't have a problem with 203 feet,
6	You could put it on the alley. And you could	6	I don't, for any. I just don't think that the
7	activate the whole street without a problem.	7	trade, in my view, should be for lower density.
8	So to say that you're going to have a hundred	8	It should be for other trades. Give it to me
9	feet of a solid wall, I don't think that's	9	on the ground, I want to expand those
10	correct.	10	storefronts, I want to have liveliness, I want
11	MR. TRIAS: But that's not allowed.	11	to have cafes, as much as I can, but I don't
12	MR. BEHAR: First, it's not allowed. Now,	12	think the trade should be for lower density.
13	what the one additional setback or open space	13	MR. BEHAR: And I agree with you. I don't
14	could do, Venny, is like, if you have a	14	think we should give up any density, no, for
15	property that goes from Almeria to the other	15	that. I rather give them something else to
16	block, you could create yourself an internal	16	allow
17	drive and you could put all of those		
18	services	17 18	MR. TORRE: So I'm in favor of up to 203 feet. I just want to give you a different
19	MR. TORRE: I think you missed what I said,		
20	and I'm not suggesting there wouldn't be cut	19	trade.
21	drives and access for trucks and all of that	20	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, the current proposal that
22	stuff. That's fine. It has to happen. That	21	deals with your issue says that extra five
23	happens in the Regions building, where the back	22	percent open space. Now, that could be
24	of the police has, that you enter this alley	23	changed. That could be ten percent, that could
25	and nobody even knows that they're there.	24	be something
		25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But I think what Venny
	Page 139		Page 140
1	is saying, he's talking about something else,	1	MR. REVUELTA: Aren't some of the things
2	other than open space.	2	that we're talking about things that have to be
3	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes. I understand. All	3	controlled by the architectural review board?
4	I'm saying is that this is the only thing that	4	MR. BEHAR: The Board of Architects
5	we have right now related to that	5	MR. REVUELTA: You know, mechanical spaces
6	MR. TORRE: There's a very good book by	6	and this and that and the other, these are
7	Victor Dover about streetscapes and what	7	things that are to be controlled at the level
8	happens on the ground, and you've read the	8	of the architectural review board.
9	book. That's what I'm talking about. You	9	MR. TRIAS: And they are. They are
10	know the book. You know what I'm talking	10	currently. Anytime we have a Mixed-use
11	about. You have certain things, certain	11	project, first it goes to the Board of
12	criteria, that the experts will tell you should	12	Architects, then it comes to you. You get a
13	be happening on the ground floor.	13	chance to deal with the issues. In fact, the
14	MR. BEHAR: You know, something that we are	14	Fire Department is very sensitive to the
15	required to do, that I think takes away from	15	different locations and so on. So you can
	that is the manningment to mut areadon. Von	16	really see that there's an effort to do the
16	that, is the requirement to put arcades. You		
16 17	know, that we're going away from that now.	17	things you're talking about. The question is,
		17 18	things you're talking about. The question is, how do we Codify it? How do we make the Code
17	know, that we're going away from that now.		
17 18	know, that we're going away from that now.  MR. TRIAS: It's an option. It's not a	18	how do we Codify it? How do we make the Code
17 18 19	know, that we're going away from that now.  MR. TRIAS: It's an option. It's not a requirement.	18 19	how do we Codify it? How do we make the Code stronger?
17 18 19 20	know, that we're going away from that now.  MR. TRIAS: It's an option. It's not a requirement.  MR. BEHAR: Because the arcade, in a	18 19 20	how do we Codify it? How do we make the Code stronger?  MR. BEHAR: The point, I think, is, we I
17 18 19 20 21	know, that we're going away from that now.  MR. TRIAS: It's an option. It's not a requirement.  MR. BEHAR: Because the arcade, in a building like this, will be bad.	18 19 20 21	how do we Codify it? How do we make the Code stronger?  MR. BEHAR: The point, I think, is, we I mean, I'm not in favor of reducing like
17 18 19 20 21 22	know, that we're going away from that now.  MR. TRIAS: It's an option. It's not a requirement.  MR. BEHAR: Because the arcade, in a building like this, will be bad.  MR. TRIAS: Furthermore, in the Code update	18 19 20 21 22	how do we Codify it? How do we make the Code stronger?  MR. BEHAR: The point, I think, is, we I mean, I'm not in favor of reducing like Venny is saying, reducing density for the
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	know, that we're going away from that now.  MR. TRIAS: It's an option. It's not a requirement.  MR. BEHAR: Because the arcade, in a building like this, will be bad.  MR. TRIAS: Furthermore, in the Code update that you worked on, arcades were eliminated	18 19 20 21 22 23	how do we Codify it? How do we make the Code stronger?  MR. BEHAR: The point, I think, is, we I mean, I'm not in favor of reducing like Venny is saying, reducing density for the height. Let's put it somewhere else, you know.

	Page 141		Page 142
_			
1	MR. TORRE: I think we have two or three	1	the other? I'm struggling with how to Codify
2	people that haven't spoken. I want to make	2	that, despite the fact that I'm totally in
3	sure that we don't hog the space.	3	agreement with what you're saying. There is a
4	MR. REVUELTA: I agree with everything that	4	challange in how do you incentivize that I
5	Venny said. The problem is that, how do you	5	don't feel the same concern about the density,
6	incentivize somebody to put a use a business	6	because I think this just happened to be a
7	that activates a City and is not controlled by	7	developer that said, hey, I don't want to have
8	the market? I think it's out of our control.	8	a lot of density, I want to have high ceilings,
9	MR. TORRE: We have incentives now that are	9	and I want to go at it, but I think most of
10	Mediterranean, that are subjective to	10	what's going to happen is that people are going
11	Mediterranean, that's a good thing for the	11	try not to curtail themselves, and I don't
12	City. We have to say, it's a good thing for	12	know how many people are going to take
13	the City to incentivize great streetscapes.	13	advantage of this, by the way. You know, for
14	Just like we have the Mediterranean Bonus as a	14	fourteen and a half feet, to cut down the
15	great incentive to keep the fabric of the City,	15	density this much, I'm struggling, because, I
16	it's a great incentive that the downstairs of	16	mean, 118 stories my understanding is 180
17	all of these buildings are top notch. It's the	17	feet. It's not ten feet clear. You need to
18	exact same way.	18	add about eight inches or nine inches of slab
19	MR. REVUELTA: I'm in agreement with you,	19	and you need to have a decent retail space, and
20	but then that needs to be Codified. In my mind	20	then you have parking, et cetera, et cetera, so
21	I'm saying, okay, if I'm writing this Code, if	21	to me everything that you said is right and it
22	I'm going to give somebody another fourteen and	22	goes back to what Chip was saying, you know, we
23	a half feet, what am I going to tell them to	23	should have other discussion items or Workshops
24	have a successful business and quality	24	in which we address like the north side of
25	materials and a loggia and this and that and	25	Biltmore Way and things like this.
	5 142		
			Daga 144
	Page 143		Page 144
1	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on	1	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more
2	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.	2	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable
2	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't	2 3	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to
2 3 4	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused. MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it	2 3 4	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable — MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point —
2 3 4 5	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more	2 3 4 5	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price
2 3 4 5 6	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more	2 3 4 5 6	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a
2 3 4 5 6 7	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the biggest issues in the real estate market is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable — MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point — MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a 900 square foot apartment, but they're still
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the biggest issues in the real estate market is affordability. The smaller units are more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable — MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point — MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a 900 square foot apartment, but they're still one bedroom.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the biggest issues in the real estate market is affordability. The smaller units are more affordable, and the further and further we get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a 900 square foot apartment, but they're still one bedroom. MR. REVUELTA: I'm taking your lead on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the biggest issues in the real estate market is affordability. The smaller units are more affordable, and the further and further we get into this decade, whatever, it's just becoming	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable — MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point — MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a 900 square foot apartment, but they're still one bedroom.  MR. REVUELTA: I'm taking your lead on this. If there is an incentive that a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the biggest issues in the real estate market is affordability. The smaller units are more affordable, and the further and further we get into this decade, whatever, it's just becoming harder and harder. I mean, the smaller units	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable — MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point — MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a 900 square foot apartment, but they're still one bedroom.  MR. REVUELTA: I'm taking your lead on this. If there is an incentive that a developer wants to do X, Y, and Z, there might
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the biggest issues in the real estate market is affordability. The smaller units are more affordable, and the further and further we get into this decade, whatever, it's just becoming harder and harder. I mean, the smaller units are the affordable units, and you're talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable — MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point — MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a 900 square foot apartment, but they're still one bedroom.  MR. REVUELTA: I'm taking your lead on this. If there is an incentive that a developer wants to do X, Y, and Z, there might be a fund in which that developer will put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the biggest issues in the real estate market is affordability. The smaller units are more affordable, and the further and further we get into this decade, whatever, it's just becoming harder and harder. I mean, the smaller units are the affordable units, and you're talking about, we're leaving people out of the market.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable — MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point — MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a 900 square foot apartment, but they're still one bedroom.  MR. REVUELTA: I'm taking your lead on this. If there is an incentive that a developer wants to do X, Y, and Z, there might be a fund in which that developer will put money for affordable housing. It's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the biggest issues in the real estate market is affordability. The smaller units are more affordable, and the further and further we get into this decade, whatever, it's just becoming harder and harder. I mean, the smaller units are the affordable units, and you're talking about, we're leaving people out of the market. We need to provide for affordability. Well, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a 900 square foot apartment, but they're still one bedroom.  MR. REVUELTA: I'm taking your lead on this. If there is an incentive that a developer wants to do X, Y, and Z, there might be a fund in which that developer will put money for affordable housing. It's not inventing this, that already exists, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the biggest issues in the real estate market is affordability. The smaller units are more affordable, and the further and further we get into this decade, whatever, it's just becoming harder and harder. I mean, the smaller units are the affordable units, and you're talking about, we're leaving people out of the market. We need to provide for affordability. Well, we need to have more units.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable — MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point — MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a 900 square foot apartment, but they're still one bedroom.  MR. REVUELTA: I'm taking your lead on this. If there is an incentive that a developer wants to do X, Y, and Z, there might be a fund in which that developer will put money for affordable housing. It's not inventing this, that already exists, right? Add it somewhere else in the City.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the biggest issues in the real estate market is affordability. The smaller units are more affordable, and the further and further we get into this decade, whatever, it's just becoming harder and harder. I mean, the smaller units are the affordable units, and you're talking about, we're leaving people out of the market. We need to provide for affordability. Well, we need to have more units.  MR. REVUELTA: You are absolutely right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable — MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point — MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a 900 square foot apartment, but they're still one bedroom.  MR. REVUELTA: I'm taking your lead on this. If there is an incentive that a developer wants to do X, Y, and Z, there might be a fund in which that developer will put money for affordable housing. It's not inventing this, that already exists, right? Add it somewhere else in the City.  I mean, that's an incentive that's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the biggest issues in the real estate market is affordability. The smaller units are more affordable, and the further and further we get into this decade, whatever, it's just becoming harder and harder. I mean, the smaller units are the affordable units, and you're talking about, we're leaving people out of the market. We need to provide for affordability. Well, we need to have more units.  MR. REVUELTA: You are absolutely right. What happens, though, on this luxury product,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable — MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point — MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a 900 square foot apartment, but they're still one bedroom.  MR. REVUELTA: I'm taking your lead on this. If there is an incentive that a developer wants to do X, Y, and Z, there might be a fund in which that developer will put money for affordable housing. It's not inventing this, that already exists, right? Add it somewhere else in the City. I mean, that's an incentive that's a win-win situation, right. So, I mean, I'm just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the biggest issues in the real estate market is affordability. The smaller units are more affordable, and the further and further we get into this decade, whatever, it's just becoming harder and harder. I mean, the smaller units are the affordable units, and you're talking about, we're leaving people out of the market. We need to provide for affordability. Well, we need to have more units.  MR. REVUELTA: You are absolutely right. What happens, though, on this luxury product, whether it's rental or condo, that the moment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable — MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point — MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a 900 square foot apartment, but they're still one bedroom.  MR. REVUELTA: I'm taking your lead on this. If there is an incentive that a developer wants to do X, Y, and Z, there might be a fund in which that developer will put money for affordable housing. It's not inventing this, that already exists, right? Add it somewhere else in the City.  I mean, that's an incentive that's a win-win situation, right. So, I mean, I'm just basically following your lead on that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the biggest issues in the real estate market is affordability. The smaller units are more affordable, and the further and further we get into this decade, whatever, it's just becoming harder and harder. I mean, the smaller units are the affordable units, and you're talking about, we're leaving people out of the market. We need to provide for affordability. Well, we need to have more units.  MR. REVUELTA: You are absolutely right. What happens, though, on this luxury product, whether it's rental or condo, that the moment you have affordable housing, with a luxury	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable — MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point — MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a 900 square foot apartment, but they're still one bedroom.  MR. REVUELTA: I'm taking your lead on this. If there is an incentive that a developer wants to do X, Y, and Z, there might be a fund in which that developer will put money for affordable housing. It's not inventing this, that already exists, right? Add it somewhere else in the City.  I mean, that's an incentive that's a win-win situation, right. So, I mean, I'm just basically following your lead on that. MR. BEHAR: Well, I'm going to tell you, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the biggest issues in the real estate market is affordability. The smaller units are more affordable, and the further and further we get into this decade, whatever, it's just becoming harder and harder. I mean, the smaller units are the affordable units, and you're talking about, we're leaving people out of the market. We need to provide for affordability. Well, we need to have more units.  MR. REVUELTA: You are absolutely right. What happens, though, on this luxury product, whether it's rental or condo, that the moment you have affordable housing, with a luxury product	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable — MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point — MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a 900 square foot apartment, but they're still one bedroom.  MR. REVUELTA: I'm taking your lead on this. If there is an incentive that a developer wants to do X, Y, and Z, there might be a fund in which that developer will put money for affordable housing. It's not inventing this, that already exists, right? Add it somewhere else in the City. I mean, that's an incentive that's a win-win situation, right. So, I mean, I'm just basically following your lead on that. MR. BEHAR: Well, I'm going to tell you, I think the additional height, I don't have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the biggest issues in the real estate market is affordability. The smaller units are more affordable, and the further and further we get into this decade, whatever, it's just becoming harder and harder. I mean, the smaller units are the affordable units, and you're talking about, we're leaving people out of the market. We need to provide for affordability. Well, we need to have more units.  MR. REVUELTA: You are absolutely right. What happens, though, on this luxury product, whether it's rental or condo, that the moment you have affordable housing, with a luxury product MR. TORRE: No. No. I didn't say that. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable — MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point — MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a 900 square foot apartment, but they're still one bedroom.  MR. REVUELTA: I'm taking your lead on this. If there is an incentive that a developer wants to do X, Y, and Z, there might be a fund in which that developer will put money for affordable housing. It's not inventing this, that already exists, right? Add it somewhere else in the City.  I mean, that's an incentive that's a win-win situation, right. So, I mean, I'm just basically following your lead on that.  MR. BEHAR: Well, I'm going to tell you, I think the additional height, I don't have a problem. I think it could be better. But I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the biggest issues in the real estate market is affordability. The smaller units are more affordable, and the further and further we get into this decade, whatever, it's just becoming harder and harder. I mean, the smaller units are the affordable units, and you're talking about, we're leaving people out of the market. We need to provide for affordability. Well, we need to have more units.  MR. REVUELTA: You are absolutely right. What happens, though, on this luxury product, whether it's rental or condo, that the moment you have affordable housing, with a luxury product  MR. TORRE: No. No. I didn't say that. I never said the word.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable — MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point — MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a 900 square foot apartment, but they're still one bedroom.  MR. REVUELTA: I'm taking your lead on this. If there is an incentive that a developer wants to do X, Y, and Z, there might be a fund in which that developer will put money for affordable housing. It's not inventing this, that already exists, right? Add it somewhere else in the City. I mean, that's an incentive that's a win-win situation, right. So, I mean, I'm just basically following your lead on that.  MR. BEHAR: Well, I'm going to tell you, I think the additional height, I don't have a problem. I think it could be better. But I have a problem reducing — as an incentive,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the biggest issues in the real estate market is affordability. The smaller units are more affordable, and the further and further we get into this decade, whatever, it's just becoming harder and harder. I mean, the smaller units are the affordable units, and you're talking about, we're leaving people out of the market. We need to provide for affordability. Well, we need to have more units.  MR. REVUELTA: You are absolutely right. What happens, though, on this luxury product, whether it's rental or condo, that the moment you have affordable housing, with a luxury product  MR. TORRE: No. No. I didn't say that. I never said the word.  MR. REVUELTA: You were not suggesting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable — MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point — MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a 900 square foot apartment, but they're still one bedroom.  MR. REVUELTA: I'm taking your lead on this. If there is an incentive that a developer wants to do X, Y, and Z, there might be a fund in which that developer will put money for affordable housing. It's not inventing this, that already exists, right? Add it somewhere else in the City. I mean, that's an incentive that's a win-win situation, right. So, I mean, I'm just basically following your lead on that. MR. BEHAR: Well, I'm going to tell you, I think the additional height, I don't have a problem. I think it could be better. But I have a problem reducing — as an incentive, reducing the units, because what's going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I'm not so sure how we can blend the two on an item like this, and maybe I'm confused.  MR. TORRE: Last point, which I didn't price point, right, you get a bigger unit, it gets to be a bigger price point, more expensive. More expensive for sale, more expensive for rent, they're bigger. One of the biggest issues in the real estate market is affordability. The smaller units are more affordable, and the further and further we get into this decade, whatever, it's just becoming harder and harder. I mean, the smaller units are the affordable units, and you're talking about, we're leaving people out of the market. We need to provide for affordability. Well, we need to have more units.  MR. REVUELTA: You are absolutely right. What happens, though, on this luxury product, whether it's rental or condo, that the moment you have affordable housing, with a luxury product  MR. TORRE: No. No. I didn't say that. I never said the word.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TORRE: No. No. I said, more affordable units. I didn't say affordable — MR. REVUELTA: Well, I'll tell you what, to your point — MR. TORRE: No, I started saying, the price point is tied to the square foot. If I have a 600 square foot apartment, it's cheaper than a 900 square foot apartment, but they're still one bedroom.  MR. REVUELTA: I'm taking your lead on this. If there is an incentive that a developer wants to do X, Y, and Z, there might be a fund in which that developer will put money for affordable housing. It's not inventing this, that already exists, right? Add it somewhere else in the City. I mean, that's an incentive that's a win-win situation, right. So, I mean, I'm just basically following your lead on that.  MR. BEHAR: Well, I'm going to tell you, I think the additional height, I don't have a problem. I think it could be better. But I have a problem reducing — as an incentive,

	Page 145		Page 146
1	you know, Luis, is to do bigger units today.	1	MR. BUCELO: Can they subsidize?
2	MR. REVUELTA: Today.	2	MR. TORRE: Well, then you're saying, then
3	MR. BEHAR: Today. I don't know what's	3	don't make it a requirement for active and let
4	going to happen five, ten years from now, then	4	them have dead downstairs and the
5	we're going to be a hundred unlimited units	5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. No. I'm not
6	MR. REVUELTA: We're going to be New York	6	saying the
7	and Hong Kong. We're going to have drawers in	7	MR. TORRE: No. No. I'm just saying, is
8	buildings. That's what's going to happen.	8	the the betterment of the community and the
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But isn't the ground	9	betterment of the Downtown revolves, in my
10	floor going to be dictated by economics, in	10	view, about active Downtowns. It revolves
11	general?	11	around 24-hour Downtowns.
12	MR. REVUELTA: Of course.	12	
13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You could drive	13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: A hundred percent.
14	whatever you want to go into the ground floor	14	MR. TORRE: So that, in a general sense, is
15			a better solution overall, everybody wins, the
	to make it visible for people to walk by,	15	developer wins, the community wins, everybody
16	cafes, whatever it is, but those landlords are	16	wins, when there's a more lively downstairs, if
17 18	going to have to get "X" amount of dollars per	17 18	everybody is doing it. If nobody's doing it,
	square foot to survive.		then, you know, nobody is going to do it,
19	MR. TORRE: Aren't they getting extra	19	because it doesn't make sense. Retail is the
20	height?	20	hardest thing to do. I'm not doing it.
21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And to thrive.	21	MR. REVUELTA: I think if you I don't
22	MR. TORRE: That's the give.	22	have a problem with the density, because I
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But even if they're	23	don't think too many people are going to take
24	getting extra height, how do they support	24	advantage of this. I can't think of how many
25	downstairs?	25	clients of mine are going to take advantage of
	Page 147		5 140
	1496 117		Page 148
1		1	
1 2	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.	1 2	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much
	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.		MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't
2	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area,	2	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being
2 3	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does. But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not	2	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of
2 3 4	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a	2 3 4	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being
2 3 4 5	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to	2 3 4 5	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the
2 3 4 5 6	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win	2 3 4 5 6	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch
2 3 4 5 6 7	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here,	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said.  MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right?  MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said.  MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right?  MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said.  MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right?  MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct.  MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said.  MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right?  MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct.  MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said.  MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right?  MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct.  MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice.  MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said.  MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building.  MR. REVUELTA: I mean, the City of Miami	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right?  MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct.  MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice.  MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you want to be when you grow up? You either have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said.  MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building.  MR. REVUELTA: I mean, the City of Miami struggled with that after Miami 21, which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is — right?  MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct.  MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice.  MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you want to be when you grow up? You either have to have some goals and some ideas or you just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said.  MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building.  MR. REVUELTA: I mean, the City of Miami struggled with that after Miami 21, which is another big discussion, but are we not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right?  MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct.  MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice.  MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you want to be when you grow up? You either have to have some goals and some ideas or you just let people just do whatever they want. So,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said.  MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building.  MR. REVUELTA: I mean, the City of Miami struggled with that after Miami 21, which is another big discussion, but are we not addressing that in the architectural Code and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right?  MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct.  MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice.  MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you want to be when you grow up? You either have to have some goals and some ideas or you just let people just do whatever they want. So, this, to me, is something we should be striving
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said.  MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building.  MR. REVUELTA: I mean, the City of Miami struggled with that after Miami 21, which is another big discussion, but are we not addressing that in the architectural Code and with the review board?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right?  MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct.  MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice.  MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you want to be when you grow up? You either have to have some goals and some ideas or you just let people just do whatever they want. So, this, to me, is something we should be striving for.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said.  MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building.  MR. REVUELTA: I mean, the City of Miami struggled with that after Miami 21, which is another big discussion, but are we not addressing that in the architectural Code and with the review board?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right?  MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct.  MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice.  MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you want to be when you grow up? You either have to have some goals and some ideas or you just let people just do whatever they want. So, this, to me, is something we should be striving for.  The second thing and I'm sorry, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said.  MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building.  MR. REVUELTA: I mean, the City of Miami struggled with that after Miami 21, which is another big discussion, but are we not addressing that in the architectural Code and with the review board?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  MR. REVUELTA: I mean, that is the first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is — right?  MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct.  MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice.  MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you want to be when you grow up? You either have to have some goals and some ideas or you just let people just do whatever they want. So, this, to me, is something we should be striving for.  The second thing — and I'm sorry, I'm taking up all of the time —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that lower density, even the ones that do high end, like Ugo Colombo does.  But I think, a greater open area, landscaped green area percentage, if we're not comfortable with five, let's just pick a number, and I think a certain contribution to an affordable housing fund, it's a win-win situation, for what we're trying to say here, and I'm in agreement with whatever everybody else has said.  MR. TORRE: I say that you can have a fifteen, twenty foot belt of green around a building in Downtown and have dead walls and you haven't gained anything. A bunch of people will sit there, but it's still a dead building.  MR. REVUELTA: I mean, the City of Miami struggled with that after Miami 21, which is another big discussion, but are we not addressing that in the architectural Code and with the review board?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  MR. REVUELTA: I mean, that is the first place that that needs to be caught and not be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TRIAS: I think we get so much negativity all of the time, which doesn't reflect the quality of the work that is being done in this City, frankly. I mean, many of you deal with those projects. You do top notch Mixed-Use projects, that are very active at the ground level, and you, especially some of you know how difficult it is sometimes to get through the Board of Architects, how difficult it is right?  MR. BEHAR: Absolutely. You're absolutely correct.  MR. TRIAS: And at the end, the projects are nice.  MR. TORRE: All I'm saying is, what do you want to be when you grow up? You either have to have some goals and some ideas or you just let people just do whatever they want. So, this, to me, is something we should be striving for.  The second thing and I'm sorry, I'm taking up all of the time CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead.

	Page 149		Page 150
1	We're not talking about the outside. We're	1	housing fund.
2	talking about the Central Business corridor.	2	MR. TRIAS: Are you comfortable with a
3	That's why I believe it should be that.	3	percentage, either ten percent or
4	MR. TRIAS: We're not talking about US-1,	4	MR. REVUELTA: I hate that. You know, it's
5	for example.	5	like, I'm not comfortable with 205. Why is it
6	MR. TORRE: No. We're talking about the	6	not 200? Why is it not 210?
7	CBD, two or three streets.	7	Every time I read a Code that has a number
8	MR. COLLER: You may want to think about	8	like this, I'm saying, you know, who ended up
9	extending the meeting, in light of where we are	9	doing this thing?
10	in our agenda.	10	MR. BEHAR: Luis, you, as an architect, I
11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's see what time we	11	think if you do a quick analysis, you're going
12	have. We have 8:51. Are we going to make a	12	to see why the additional fifteen feet or
13	motion on E-2 first? Anybody want to make a	13	whatever.
14	motion?	14	MR. REVUELTA: No. No. No. I understand
15	Anybody want to make a motion to extend the	15	where he's coming from, but it's a rhetorical
16	time?	16	question that I was asking. He asked me, what
17	MR. REVUELTA: Go Alex. I'm waiting for	17	percentage? They're recommending five. I'm
18	you. I would make a motion to approve, with	18	saying, if I, out of my belly button, recommend
19	the condition that the Commission requires or	19	six, eight, what am I doing? I don't know if I
20	requests more green area	20	can
21	MR. TRIAS: Would you make a suggestion?	21	MR. TRIAS: The reason I'm saying this is
22	MR. REVUELTA: or greater setbacks	22	that we already have the Board of Architects
23	either a greater setback or a combination of a	23	that deals with the quality of the spaces. The
24	greater setback, an open area and a	24	Zoning Code is not that tool. It's a big,
25	contribution to be determined to an affordable	25	rough, tool that gives you five percent, ten
23	controduct to be determined to an anordable		
	Page 151		Page 152
			S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
1	percent.	1	MR. TORRE: Second.
1 2	percent.  MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the	1 2	
	•		MR. TORRE: Second.
2	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the	2	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call
2	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?	2 3	MR. TORRE: Second.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill.
2 3 4	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the	2 3 4	MR. TORRE: Second.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill.  THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
2 3 4 5	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is	2 3 4 5	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes.
2 3 4 5	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is sponsoring this.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is sponsoring this.  MR. REVUELTA: So the five percent did not come from a study that the Planning Department did, it's a Commissioner recommending five	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is sponsoring this.  MR. REVUELTA: So the five percent did not come from a study that the Planning Department did, it's a Commissioner recommending five  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. It was reviewed by Staff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is sponsoring this.  MR. REVUELTA: So the five percent did not come from a study that the Planning Department did, it's a Commissioner recommending five	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is sponsoring this.  MR. REVUELTA: So the five percent did not come from a study that the Planning Department did, it's a Commissioner recommending five  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. It was reviewed by Staff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is sponsoring this.  MR. REVUELTA: So the five percent did not come from a study that the Planning Department did, it's a Commissioner recommending five  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. It was reviewed by Staff and we looked at some comparables, and we felt that it was reasonable. Although, frankly, ten percent was within range of something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is sponsoring this.  MR. REVUELTA: So the five percent did not come from a study that the Planning Department did, it's a Commissioner recommending five  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. It was reviewed by Staff and we looked at some comparables, and we felt that it was reasonable. Although, frankly, ten percent was within range of something  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, one second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is sponsoring this.  MR. REVUELTA: So the five percent did not come from a study that the Planning Department did, it's a Commissioner recommending five  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. It was reviewed by Staff and we looked at some comparables, and we felt that it was reasonable. Although, frankly, ten percent was within range of something  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, one second. Before we continue, we are supposed to adjourn	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is sponsoring this.  MR. REVUELTA: So the five percent did not come from a study that the Planning Department did, it's a Commissioner recommending five  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. It was reviewed by Staff and we looked at some comparables, and we felt that it was reasonable. Although, frankly, ten percent was within range of something  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, one second. Before we continue, we are supposed to adjourn at 9:00. Is there anybody that wants to make a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is sponsoring this.  MR. REVUELTA: So the five percent did not come from a study that the Planning Department did, it's a Commissioner recommending five  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. It was reviewed by Staff and we looked at some comparables, and we felt that it was reasonable. Although, frankly, ten percent was within range of something  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, one second. Before we continue, we are supposed to adjourn at 9:00. Is there anybody that wants to make a motion for E-2 and E-3 at some point or not?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is sponsoring this.  MR. REVUELTA: So the five percent did not come from a study that the Planning Department did, it's a Commissioner recommending five  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. It was reviewed by Staff and we looked at some comparables, and we felt that it was reasonable. Although, frankly, ten percent was within range of something  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, one second. Before we continue, we are supposed to adjourn at 9:00. Is there anybody that wants to make a motion for E-2 and E-3 at some point or not?  MR. REVUELTA: Who is in charge of those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. So we're on E-2. What was your motion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is sponsoring this.  MR. REVUELTA: So the five percent did not come from a study that the Planning Department did, it's a Commissioner recommending five  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. It was reviewed by Staff and we looked at some comparables, and we felt that it was reasonable. Although, frankly, ten percent was within range of something  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, one second. Before we continue, we are supposed to adjourn at 9:00. Is there anybody that wants to make a motion for E-2 and E-3 at some point or not?  MR. REVUELTA: Who is in charge of those motions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. So we're on E-2. What was your motion? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I was trying to answer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is sponsoring this.  MR. REVUELTA: So the five percent did not come from a study that the Planning Department did, it's a Commissioner recommending five  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. It was reviewed by Staff and we looked at some comparables, and we felt that it was reasonable. Although, frankly, ten percent was within range of something  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, one second. Before we continue, we are supposed to adjourn at 9:00. Is there anybody that wants to make a motion for E-2 and E-3 at some point or not?  MR. REVUELTA: Who is in charge of those motions?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody want to make a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. So we're on E-2. What was your motion? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I was trying to answer Ramon's question before making the motion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is sponsoring this.  MR. REVUELTA: So the five percent did not come from a study that the Planning Department did, it's a Commissioner recommending five  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. It was reviewed by Staff and we looked at some comparables, and we felt that it was reasonable. Although, frankly, ten percent was within range of something  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, one second. Before we continue, we are supposed to adjourn at 9:00. Is there anybody that wants to make a motion for E-2 and E-3 at some point or not?  MR. REVUELTA: Who is in charge of those motions?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody want to make a motion to extend ten minutes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. So we're on E-2. What was your motion? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I was trying to answer Ramon's question before making the motion. What is the current percentage required?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is sponsoring this.  MR. REVUELTA: So the five percent did not come from a study that the Planning Department did, it's a Commissioner recommending five  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. It was reviewed by Staff and we looked at some comparables, and we felt that it was reasonable. Although, frankly, ten percent was within range of something  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, one second. Before we continue, we are supposed to adjourn at 9:00. Is there anybody that wants to make a motion for E-2 and E-3 at some point or not?  MR. REVUELTA: Who is in charge of those motions?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody want to make a motion to extend ten minutes?  MS. MIRO: I'll make a motion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. So we're on E-2. What was your motion? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I was trying to answer Ramon's question before making the motion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is sponsoring this.  MR. REVUELTA: So the five percent did not come from a study that the Planning Department did, it's a Commissioner recommending five  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. It was reviewed by Staff and we looked at some comparables, and we felt that it was reasonable. Although, frankly, ten percent was within range of something  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, one second. Before we continue, we are supposed to adjourn at 9:00. Is there anybody that wants to make a motion for E-2 and E-3 at some point or not?  MR. REVUELTA: Who is in charge of those motions?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody want to make a motion to extend ten minutes?  MS. MIRO: I'll make a motion.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. So we're on E-2. What was your motion? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I was trying to answer Ramon's question before making the motion. What is the current percentage required? MR. TRIAS: Well, for the PAD, it's twenty percent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is sponsoring this.  MR. REVUELTA: So the five percent did not come from a study that the Planning Department did, it's a Commissioner recommending five  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. It was reviewed by Staff and we looked at some comparables, and we felt that it was reasonable. Although, frankly, ten percent was within range of something  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, one second. Before we continue, we are supposed to adjourn at 9:00. Is there anybody that wants to make a motion for E-2 and E-3 at some point or not?  MR. REVUELTA: Who is in charge of those motions?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody want to make a motion to extend ten minutes?  MS. MIRO: I'll make a motion.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by Claudia to extend ten minutes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. So we're on E-2. What was your motion? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I was trying to answer Ramon's question before making the motion. What is the current percentage required? MR. TRIAS: Well, for the PAD, it's twenty percent. MR. REVUELTA: The proposal is five percent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. REVUELTA: How did you come up with the five percent?  MR. TRIAS: The five percent was the recommendation from the Commissioner that is sponsoring this.  MR. REVUELTA: So the five percent did not come from a study that the Planning Department did, it's a Commissioner recommending five  MR. TRIAS: Yeah. It was reviewed by Staff and we looked at some comparables, and we felt that it was reasonable. Although, frankly, ten percent was within range of something  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, one second. Before we continue, we are supposed to adjourn at 9:00. Is there anybody that wants to make a motion for E-2 and E-3 at some point or not?  MR. REVUELTA: Who is in charge of those motions?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody want to make a motion to extend ten minutes?  MS. MIRO: I'll make a motion.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. TORRE: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Venny seconds. Call the roll, please, Jill. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar? MR. BEHAR: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo? MR. BUCELO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro? MS. MIRO: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta? MR. REVUELTA: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers? MR. WITHERS: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat? CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. So we're on E-2. What was your motion? MR. REVUELTA: Well, I was trying to answer Ramon's question before making the motion. What is the current percentage required? MR. TRIAS: Well, for the PAD, it's twenty percent.

	Page 153		Page 154
1	MR. TRIAS: Yes, at a minimum. That's the	1	on that?
2	baseline, yeah.	2	MR. WITHERS: We saw the Site Plan on this.
3	MR. REVUELTA: Any thoughts on that, in	3	So they're vacating the alley, if you remember.
4	terms of percentages?	4	MR. JIMENEZ: No.
5	MR. WITHERS: Look, I mean, this whole	5	MR. WITHERS: No? That's a different one?
6	discussion, let's call it what it is, it's	6	Okay. Sorry about that.
7	about a luxury Downtown condominium or not	7	MR. BEHAR: But, look, you're absolutely
8	condominium, a rental unit in our Downtown area	8	right, and I'm finished, because you're going
9	that wants another fifteen feet. Whether we	9	in the right direction.
10	say there's a specific project this item is all	10	MR. WITHERS: No. So all I'm saying is,
11	about, we all know that that's what this item	11	maybe we say, come back with an idea of what we
12	is all about. So what we do when developers	12	can do to enhance the Downtown streetscape. I
13	come to us with, you know, their plans that we	13	mean, you guys are the architects. You're the
14	don't like? We sometimes go back to them and	14	smart ones.
15	say, come back you heard what we have to	15	MR. BEHAR: What is the you know, what
16	say, come back to us with a plan or an idea	16	is the most benefit we could get out of a
17	that we would like to see you do on your	17	we're going to have the market is going to
18	streetscape or something like that.	18	drive what type of use of unit. You want to
19	I don't know, by just saying, go to 205 and	19	do in the Downtown, you want to have
20	a half feet, and we're going to keep your unit	20	businesses that instead of paying you \$45 a
21	density under a hundred, is that really going	21	foot is going to be able to pay you a hundred
22	to give us the building and the look we want?	22	dollars a foot. A restaurant will be able to
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, if you're	23	do that. An office will not be able to do
24	talking about, it's all about a developer,	24	that, on the ground level.
25	don't we ask for a Site Plan to review, based	25	So you are going to have, by control by the
			20 / 00 20 80 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
	D 1FF		
	Page 155		Page 156
1	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else	1	Page 156 years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center,
1 2		1 2	
	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else		years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center,
2	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to	2	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have
2	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city,	2 3	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900.
2 3 4	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word,	2 3 4	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units.
2 3 4 5	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and	2 3 4 5	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional
2 3 4 5 6	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with	2 3 4 5 6	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better
2 3 4 5 6 7	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not?	2 3 4 5 6 7	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit.  So what I would do, I would put the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit.  So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit.  So what I would do, I would put the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit.  So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it — whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit.  So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height.  MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit.  So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height.  MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality.  So I think I don't know your answer, to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit.  So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height.  MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit the public, there's things that we can do in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it — whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality.  So I think — I don't know your answer, to answer your question, Luis, whether it's an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit.  So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height.  MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit the public, there's things that we can do in recommending to the Commission right now, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it—whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality.  So I think — I don't know your answer, to answer your question, Luis, whether it's an additional five percent or an additional ten	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit.  So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height.  MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit the public, there's things that we can do in recommending to the Commission right now, for creating better public spaces, which is what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality.  So I think I don't know your answer, to answer your question, Luis, whether it's an additional five percent or an additional ten percent, but I think that I would not put it on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit.  So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height.  MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit the public, there's things that we can do in recommending to the Commission right now, for creating better public spaces, which is what Venny started talking about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it — whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality.  So I think — I don't know your answer, to answer your question, Luis, whether it's an additional five percent or an additional ten percent, but I think that I would not put it on density. Let the market drive that, whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit.  So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height.  MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit the public, there's things that we can do in recommending to the Commission right now, for creating better public spaces, which is what Venny started talking about.  MR. TORRE: I think we have a discussion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it — whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality.  So I think — I don't know your answer, to answer your question, Luis, whether it's an additional five percent or an additional ten percent, but I think that I would not put it on density. Let the market drive that, whether they want to do big units or small units. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit.  So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height.  MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit the public, there's things that we can do in recommending to the Commission right now, for creating better public spaces, which is what Venny started talking about.  MR. TORRE: I think we have a discussion that goes around certain things that deal with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it — whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality.  So I think — I don't know your answer, to answer your question, Luis, whether it's an additional five percent or an additional ten percent, but I think that I would not put it on density. Let the market drive that, whether they want to do big units or small units. I want more units. I've been in favor always of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit.  So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height.  MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit the public, there's things that we can do in recommending to the Commission right now, for creating better public spaces, which is what Venny started talking about.  MR. TORRE: I think we have a discussion that goes around certain things that deal with the ground floor or the public realm. Call it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it—whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality.  So I think — I don't know your answer, to answer your question, Luis, whether it's an additional five percent or an additional ten percent, but I think that I would not put it on density. Let the market drive that, whether they want to do big units or small units. I want more units. I've been in favor always of bringing, you know, more Residential to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit.  So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height.  MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit the public, there's things that we can do in recommending to the Commission right now, for creating better public spaces, which is what Venny started talking about.  MR. TORRE: I think we have a discussion that goes around certain things that deal with the ground floor or the public realm. Call it the public realm, and that could be many
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality.  So I think I don't know your answer, to answer your question, Luis, whether it's an additional five percent or an additional ten percent, but I think that I would not put it on density. Let the market drive that, whether they want to do big units or small units. I want more units. I've been in favor always of bringing, you know, more Residential to the Downtown.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit.  So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height.  MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit the public, there's things that we can do in recommending to the Commission right now, for creating better public spaces, which is what Venny started talking about.  MR. TORRE: I think we have a discussion that goes around certain things that deal with the ground floor or the public realm. Call it the public realm, and that could be many different things. I don't know that we need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Board of Architects, great spaces. How else you're going to be able to get more benefit to the public? In every major well-planned city, you do have more, for lack of a better word, public spaces, that the public benefits, and Mr. Trias, as a City Planner, do you agree with that or not?  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  MR. BEHAR: Okay. So do we benefit? I am a hundred percent in favor of the additional fifteen feet, not only for this project, for any project, because it's going to make it—whether the units' an average of 2,000 or an average of a thousand, is going to be a better unit, better quality.  So I think — I don't know your answer, to answer your question, Luis, whether it's an additional five percent or an additional ten percent, but I think that I would not put it on density. Let the market drive that, whether they want to do big units or small units. I want more units. I've been in favor always of bringing, you know, more Residential to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	years ago, seven years ago, Columbus Center, which is in the CBD, basically, and you have 200 units. They're an average of like 900. But you know what, you want good quality units. It would benefit it if we had the additional height. It would have been a much better project. Because instead of having nine foot four, you had ten-foot ceilings. It's a better unit.  So what I would do, I would put the condition that you have, perhaps, a little bit more public space, open space, in return for the height.  MR. REVUELTA: I agree with that. And that's where I was going. I think, to benefit the public, there's things that we can do in recommending to the Commission right now, for creating better public spaces, which is what Venny started talking about.  MR. TORRE: I think we have a discussion that goes around certain things that deal with the ground floor or the public realm. Call it the public realm, and that could be many

	Page 157		Page 158
1	goes. I think that doesn't in other words,	1	with you on is that we're not reducing density,
2	we don't have to say specifically it's going to	2	right? I don't know, maybe you and I have the
3	be ten percent. There should be something	3	one and Luis kind of expressed that so far.
4	given for the height, and I'm not sure what	4	I haven't heard the other Members.
5	that is, that makes sense and is discussed	5	How else we've got to give them a
6	further than today.	6	direction. How do we then
7	So the response today should be, as a	7	MR. TORRE: But why wouldn't the expert
8	measure of public policy, this Board, maybe not	8	tell us what we think the City should benefit
9	you, but I do not agree density should be	9	from, that they should be giving away or what
10	incentivized to be dropped, and, therefore,	10	is the goal that we should be striving for?
11	giving the bonus for this purpose is not	11	Maybe it is green space, but there may be other
12	approved, recommending that additional height	12	benefits.
13	would be granted under other options, that we	13	MR. TRIAS: I think
14	should look at and agree to.	14	MR. BEHAR: And not necessarily green
15	MR. BEHAR: But you have to quantify that.	15	
16		16	space.  MR. TORRE: Could there be better
17	MR. TORRE: I'm denying the cause. Come back and we can figure out what that benefit	17	architecture? I don't know. I'm just saying,
18		18	
	should be. We're not approving this project.		there's a lot of things
19	MR. BEHAR: But we're approving an	19	MR. REVUELTA: The typical things are open
20	amendment to the Comp Plan?	20	space, setbacks, are for public spaces, and
21	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. The main issue	21	affordable housing. I mean, those are things
22	MR. TORRE: So you want to say what bonuses	22	that always, in different municipalities, that
23	are tied to it, specifically, right now, and	23	keep popping up.
24	that means green space only?	24	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, if I could just
25	MR. BEHAR: Certainly, but what I agree	25	summarize.
	Page 159		Page 160
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ramon, go ahead,	1	there's things we can quantify, as to what may
2	please.	2	be a better solution, let's suppose, not 50
3	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, if I could summarize.	3	feet of dead space should ever be had. I'm
4	From my point of view, the big deal is that for	4	making up some. It could be that certain
5	a long time 190.5 has been this magic number.	5	things are blocked from happening.
6	So we're saying, no more, now we have a little	6	MR. TRIAS: And I think that Coral Gables
7	bit more. So that's why this is significant.	7	
0		'	has always relied on the very good work of the
8	And the question is, how is that worth it	8	has always relied on the very good work of the Board of Architects to be able to finish those
9	And the question is, how is that worth it to the community? Well, if you have better		
	•	8	Board of Architects to be able to finish those
9	to the community? Well, if you have better	8 9	Board of Architects to be able to finish those details. Other cities have very prescriptive
9 10	to the community? Well, if you have better quality design at the ground level, right,	8 9 10	Board of Architects to be able to finish those details. Other cities have very prescriptive guidelines. I mean, some of you probably have
9 10 11	to the community? Well, if you have better quality design at the ground level, right, that's what I understand, which includes public	8 9 10 11	Board of Architects to be able to finish those details. Other cities have very prescriptive guidelines. I mean, some of you probably have worked with them, and they're not necessarily
9 10 11 12	to the community? Well, if you have better quality design at the ground level, right, that's what I understand, which includes public space and the uses next to the public spaces.	8 9 10 11 12	Board of Architects to be able to finish those details. Other cities have very prescriptive guidelines. I mean, some of you probably have worked with them, and they're not necessarily any good. So you don't want to go that way,
9 10 11 12 13	to the community? Well, if you have better quality design at the ground level, right, that's what I understand, which includes public space and the uses next to the public spaces. Is that it? We can probably draft some	8 9 10 11 12 13	Board of Architects to be able to finish those details. Other cities have very prescriptive guidelines. I mean, some of you probably have worked with them, and they're not necessarily any good. So you don't want to go that way, either. So you have to be able to get that
9 10 11 12 13	to the community? Well, if you have better quality design at the ground level, right, that's what I understand, which includes public space and the uses next to the public spaces.  Is that it? We can probably draft some language that is appropriate for a Zoning Code	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Board of Architects to be able to finish those details. Other cities have very prescriptive guidelines. I mean, some of you probably have worked with them, and they're not necessarily any good. So you don't want to go that way, either. So you have to be able to get that balance.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to the community? Well, if you have better quality design at the ground level, right, that's what I understand, which includes public space and the uses next to the public spaces. Is that it? We can probably draft some language that is appropriate for a Zoning Code if you agree with that concept and forward it	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Board of Architects to be able to finish those details. Other cities have very prescriptive guidelines. I mean, some of you probably have worked with them, and they're not necessarily any good. So you don't want to go that way, either. So you have to be able to get that balance.  So I think I understand your point. I
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to the community? Well, if you have better quality design at the ground level, right, that's what I understand, which includes public space and the uses next to the public spaces. Is that it? We can probably draft some language that is appropriate for a Zoning Code if you agree with that concept and forward it to the Commission.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Board of Architects to be able to finish those details. Other cities have very prescriptive guidelines. I mean, some of you probably have worked with them, and they're not necessarily any good. So you don't want to go that way, either. So you have to be able to get that balance.  So I think I understand your point. I don't think we can draft the language right
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to the community? Well, if you have better quality design at the ground level, right, that's what I understand, which includes public space and the uses next to the public spaces. Is that it? We can probably draft some language that is appropriate for a Zoning Code if you agree with that concept and forward it to the Commission.  MR. TORRE: But let's say, you, in the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Board of Architects to be able to finish those details. Other cities have very prescriptive guidelines. I mean, some of you probably have worked with them, and they're not necessarily any good. So you don't want to go that way, either. So you have to be able to get that balance.  So I think I understand your point. I don't think we can draft the language right now, at nine o'clock, but if you want to make a
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to the community? Well, if you have better quality design at the ground level, right, that's what I understand, which includes public space and the uses next to the public spaces. Is that it? We can probably draft some language that is appropriate for a Zoning Code if you agree with that concept and forward it to the Commission.  MR. TORRE: But let's say, you, in the Design District, did something similar, where	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Board of Architects to be able to finish those details. Other cities have very prescriptive guidelines. I mean, some of you probably have worked with them, and they're not necessarily any good. So you don't want to go that way, either. So you have to be able to get that balance.  So I think I understand your point. I don't think we can draft the language right now, at nine o'clock, but if you want to make a motion and
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to the community? Well, if you have better quality design at the ground level, right, that's what I understand, which includes public space and the uses next to the public spaces. Is that it? We can probably draft some language that is appropriate for a Zoning Code if you agree with that concept and forward it to the Commission.  MR. TORRE: But let's say, you, in the Design District, did something similar, where the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Board of Architects to be able to finish those details. Other cities have very prescriptive guidelines. I mean, some of you probably have worked with them, and they're not necessarily any good. So you don't want to go that way, either. So you have to be able to get that balance.  So I think I understand your point. I don't think we can draft the language right now, at nine o'clock, but if you want to make a motion and  MR. COLLER: May I make a suggestion for a
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to the community? Well, if you have better quality design at the ground level, right, that's what I understand, which includes public space and the uses next to the public spaces. Is that it? We can probably draft some language that is appropriate for a Zoning Code if you agree with that concept and forward it to the Commission.  MR. TORRE: But let's say, you, in the Design District, did something similar, where the MR. TRIAS: Yes, we did.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Board of Architects to be able to finish those details. Other cities have very prescriptive guidelines. I mean, some of you probably have worked with them, and they're not necessarily any good. So you don't want to go that way, either. So you have to be able to get that balance.  So I think I understand your point. I don't think we can draft the language right now, at nine o'clock, but if you want to make a motion and  MR. COLLER: May I make a suggestion for a motion?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to the community? Well, if you have better quality design at the ground level, right, that's what I understand, which includes public space and the uses next to the public spaces. Is that it? We can probably draft some language that is appropriate for a Zoning Code if you agree with that concept and forward it to the Commission.  MR. TORRE: But let's say, you, in the Design District, did something similar, where the  MR. TRIAS: Yes, we did.  MR. TORRE: the ground floor cannot have	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Board of Architects to be able to finish those details. Other cities have very prescriptive guidelines. I mean, some of you probably have worked with them, and they're not necessarily any good. So you don't want to go that way, either. So you have to be able to get that balance.  So I think I understand your point. I don't think we can draft the language right now, at nine o'clock, but if you want to make a motion and  MR. COLLER: May I make a suggestion for a motion?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to the community? Well, if you have better quality design at the ground level, right, that's what I understand, which includes public space and the uses next to the public spaces. Is that it? We can probably draft some language that is appropriate for a Zoning Code if you agree with that concept and forward it to the Commission.  MR. TORRE: But let's say, you, in the Design District, did something similar, where the  MR. TRIAS: Yes, we did.  MR. TORRE: the ground floor cannot have this, the ground floor cannot have that, the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Board of Architects to be able to finish those details. Other cities have very prescriptive guidelines. I mean, some of you probably have worked with them, and they're not necessarily any good. So you don't want to go that way, either. So you have to be able to get that balance.  So I think I understand your point. I don't think we can draft the language right now, at nine o'clock, but if you want to make a motion and  MR. COLLER: May I make a suggestion for a motion?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please.  MR. COLLER: That you would approve it on a

	Page 161		Page 162
1	additional height. Those factors being,	1	first.
2	activating the first floor, you know, all of	2	MR. COLLER: Okay.
3	the other things that we've talked about, and	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You have a motion?
4	then you approve it on that basis, specifically	4	MR. REVUELTA: I'll move to defer.
5	saying that you do not believe that density is	5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: E-2. You have a
6	the appropriate, I guess, give back.	6	second to defer on E-2.
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Incentive.	7	Any discussion? No?
8	MR. COLLER: Incentive, right. So that	8	Call the roll, please.
9	would be one way to get to some sort of motion	9	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
10	to indicate to the Commission how you feel.	10	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The other option may	11	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
12	be to – Ramon to come back with what has been	12	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
13	discussed and be more definitive.	13	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
14	MR. COLLER: A Motion to Defer is always in	14	MR. BUCELO: Yes.
15	order.	15	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
16	******	16	MS. MIRO: Yes.
17	MR. TRIAS: Yes, that's also an option.  MR. TORRE: I guess what I'm trying to	17	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
		18	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
18	do	19	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
19	MR. BUCELO: I'll move to defer.	20	MR. TORRE: Yes.
20	MS. MIRO: I'll second it.	21	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
21	MR. WITHERS: I'll second it.	22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a Motion to	23	MR. WITHERS: Mr. Chair, we know, when the
23	Defer. We have a second.	24	discussion was brought by the Mayor and a
24	MR. COLLER: Is this on both items?	25	Commissioner, is there a time frame we can try
25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, let's do E-2		
	Page 163		Page 164
1	to encourage Staff to	1	Call the roll, please.
2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: At the next meeting.	2	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
3	MR. WITHERS: Okay. Next meeting?	3	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
4	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes. Certainly.	4	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
5	MR. BEHAR: And listen, it's going to be	5	MR. BUCELO: Yes.
6	very simple. I mean, I don't see this being a	6	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
7	very elaborate modification. I think that	7	MS. MIRO: Yes.
8	and I think the message is, this is going to go	8	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
9	in the direction that you're going to get the	9	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
10	additional height.	10	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
11	MR. TRIAS: I mean, I think I can forward	11	MS. MIRO: Yes.
12	your comments very easily to the sponsors and	12	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
13	they can understand	13	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
14	MR. BEHAR: And more so, I am confident	14	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
15	that the project that potentially could benefit	15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
16	from it is going to provide everything that we	16	We have right now 9:05. If we are going to
17	want to ask for. The quality is going to be	17	take
18	there. So I don't foresee, you know	18	MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, E-5 could be
19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: On Item E-3, is there	19	disposed of pretty quickly if the Board is
20	a motion, also?	20	interested.
21	MR. BUCELO: I'll move to defer.	21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we can dispose of
22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Defer.	22	it in five minutes.
23	MR. BEHAR: I'll second it.	23	MR. COLLER: I think we can.
24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. Any	24	MR. TRIAS: Which one?
25	discussion? No?	25	MR. COLLER: E-5.

	Page 165		Page 166
1	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, E-5 is a very	1	on the Metrorail area and some concerns. So
2	straight-forward request.	2	this simply says that the City has the ability
3	MR. COLLER: Let me read it, if we're going	3	to regulate more strictly, which we already
4	to do it.	4	have, but just to make it very clear, in order
5	MR. TRIAS: Yes, please.	5	to avoid any inconsistencies, in the County,
6	MR. COLLER: May I?	6	for example, rezoning or recommending rezoning.
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: In four minutes, yes.	7	MR. BEHAR: Mr. Trias, a question for you.
8	MR. BEHAR: Do it quickly.	8	MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir.
9	MR. COLLER: An Ordinance of the City	9	MR. BEHAR: And this is as a result of the
10	Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing	10	two projects or three projects that are going
11	for a text amendment to the City of Coral	11	up in the Douglas Station.
12	Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article	12	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, which are in Miami.
13	1, "General Provisions," Section 1-109,	13	MR. BEHAR: And the land is owned by
14	"Construction Rules," to clearly state where	14	Miami-Dade County.
15	the provisions of this Zoning Code vary from	15	MR. TRIAS: Exactly.
16	the applicable requirements of any law,	16	MR. BEHAR: So we're thinking that
17	statute, rule, regulation, ordinance, or code,	17	potentially we have one station that is owned
18	the most restrictive or that imposing the	18	by Miami-Dade County along Ponce de Leon, in
19	higher standard shall govern, including within	19	front of the University of Miami. Is this
20	the Miami-Dade County Rapid Transit Zone;	20	something that we
21	providing for severability, repealer,	21	MR. COLLER: It's more than that. It's
22	codification, and an effective date.	22	more than that. The County has outlined
23	Item E-5, public hearing.	23	corridors where they may want to eliminate
24	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, this item was a	24	minimum density requirements, higher minimum
25	result of some planning work done by the County	25	density requirements. So it's not just the
	Daga 167		
	Page 167		Page 168
1	stations.	1	Page 168 the City allowed them our right-of-way and they
1 2		1 2	
	stations.		the City allowed them our right-of-way and they
2	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.	2	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking?
2	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.	2 3	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking.
2 3 4	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes. Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?	2 3 4	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking. MR. BEHAR: But they could change their
2 3 4 5	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.	2 3 4 5	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking. MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.  MS. MIRO: Second.  MR. COLLER: You can do it by voice vote.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor to	2 3 4 5 6	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking. MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like they've done. MR. TRIAS: We just want to make sure that that remains.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.  MS. MIRO: Second.  MR. COLLER: You can do it by voice vote.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor to extend for five minutes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking. MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like they've done. MR. TRIAS: We just want to make sure that that remains. MR. WITHERS: No. I'm wondering what's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.  MS. MIRO: Second.  MR. COLLER: You can do it by voice vote.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor to extend for five minutes  (All of the Members voted aye.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking. MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like they've done. MR. TRIAS: We just want to make sure that that remains. MR. WITHERS: No. I'm wondering what's behind it I mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.  MS. MIRO: Second.  MR. COLLER: You can do it by voice vote.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor to extend for five minutes  (All of the Members voted aye.)  MR. TRIAS: So we had a meeting with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking. MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like they've done. MR. TRIAS: We just want to make sure that that remains. MR. WITHERS: No. I'm wondering what's behind it I mean MR. TRIAS: What's behind this is that they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.  MS. MIRO: Second.  MR. COLLER: You can do it by voice vote.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor to extend for five minutes  (All of the Members voted aye.)  MR. TRIAS: So we had a meeting with the Planning Director for the County and we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking. MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like they've done. MR. TRIAS: We just want to make sure that that remains. MR. WITHERS: No. I'm wondering what's behind it I mean MR. TRIAS: What's behind this is that they have a map that had sixty units per acre
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.  MS. MIRO: Second.  MR. COLLER: You can do it by voice vote.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor to extend for five minutes  (All of the Members voted aye.)  MR. TRIAS: So we had a meeting with the Planning Director for the County and we explained the different things that are already	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking. MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like they've done. MR. TRIAS: We just want to make sure that that remains. MR. WITHERS: No. I'm wondering what's behind it I mean MR. TRIAS: What's behind this is that they have a map that had sixty units per acre ideally in areas that are Single-Family and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.  MS. MIRO: Second.  MR. COLLER: You can do it by voice vote.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor to extend for five minutes —  (All of the Members voted aye.)  MR. TRIAS: So we had a meeting with the Planning Director for the County and we explained the different things that are already in the Code, in the Zoning Code and the Comp	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking. MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like they've done. MR. TRIAS: We just want to make sure that that remains. MR. WITHERS: No. I'm wondering what's behind it I mean MR. TRIAS: What's behind this is that they have a map that had sixty units per acre ideally in areas that are Single-Family and Multi-Family along Ponce de Leon, for example.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.  MS. MIRO: Second.  MR. COLLER: You can do it by voice vote.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor to extend for five minutes  (All of the Members voted aye.)  MR. TRIAS: So we had a meeting with the Planning Director for the County and we explained the different things that are already in the Code, in the Zoning Code and the Comp Plan, and they were very happy with what exists	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking. MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like they've done. MR. TRIAS: We just want to make sure that that remains. MR. WITHERS: No. I'm wondering what's behind it I mean MR. TRIAS: What's behind this is that they have a map that had sixty units per acre ideally in areas that are Single-Family and Multi-Family along Ponce de Leon, for example. MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.  MS. MIRO: Second.  MR. COLLER: You can do it by voice vote.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor to extend for five minutes  (All of the Members voted aye.)  MR. TRIAS: So we had a meeting with the Planning Director for the County and we explained the different things that are already in the Code, in the Zoning Code and the Comp Plan, and they were very happy with what exists in Coral Gables. So there was no objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking. MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like they've done. MR. TRIAS: We just want to make sure that that remains. MR. WITHERS: No. I'm wondering what's behind it I mean MR. TRIAS: What's behind this is that they have a map that had sixty units per acre ideally in areas that are Single-Family and Multi-Family along Ponce de Leon, for example. MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple, but MR. TRIAS: For example.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.  MS. MIRO: Second.  MR. COLLER: You can do it by voice vote.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor to extend for five minutes  (All of the Members voted aye.)  MR. TRIAS: So we had a meeting with the Planning Director for the County and we explained the different things that are already in the Code, in the Zoning Code and the Comp Plan, and they were very happy with what exists in Coral Gables. So there was no objection to any of that. This is simply to make sure that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking. MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like they've done. MR. TRIAS: We just want to make sure that that remains. MR. WITHERS: No. I'm wondering what's behind it I mean MR. TRIAS: What's behind this is that they have a map that had sixty units per acre ideally in areas that are Single-Family and Multi-Family along Ponce de Leon, for example. MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple, but MR. TRIAS: For example. MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.  MS. MIRO: Second.  MR. COLLER: You can do it by voice vote.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor to extend for five minutes —  (All of the Members voted aye.)  MR. TRIAS: So we had a meeting with the Planning Director for the County and we explained the different things that are already in the Code, in the Zoning Code and the Comp Plan, and they were very happy with what exists in Coral Gables. So there was no objection to any of that. This is simply to make sure that we can maintain the status quo and be able to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking. MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like they've done. MR. TRIAS: We just want to make sure that that remains. MR. WITHERS: No. I'm wondering what's behind it I mean MR. TRIAS: What's behind this is that they have a map that had sixty units per acre ideally in areas that are Single-Family and Multi-Family along Ponce de Leon, for example. MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple, but MR. TRIAS: For example. MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple. MR. COLLER: It basically states what we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.  MS. MIRO: Second.  MR. COLLER: You can do it by voice vote.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor to extend for five minutes —  (All of the Members voted aye.)  MR. TRIAS: So we had a meeting with the Planning Director for the County and we explained the different things that are already in the Code, in the Zoning Code and the Comp Plan, and they were very happy with what exists in Coral Gables. So there was no objection to any of that. This is simply to make sure that we can maintain the status quo and be able to regulate properly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking.  MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like they've done.  MR. TRIAS: We just want to make sure that that remains.  MR. WITHERS: No. I'm wondering what's behind it I mean  MR. TRIAS: What's behind this is that they have a map that had sixty units per acre ideally in areas that are Single-Family and Multi-Family along Ponce de Leon, for example.  MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple, but  MR. TRIAS: For example.  MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple.  MR. COLLER: It basically states what we believe the law to be, that the City can always
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.  MS. MIRO: Second.  MR. COLLER: You can do it by voice vote.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor to extend for five minutes  (All of the Members voted aye.)  MR. TRIAS: So we had a meeting with the Planning Director for the County and we explained the different things that are already in the Code, in the Zoning Code and the Comp Plan, and they were very happy with what exists in Coral Gables. So there was no objection to any of that. This is simply to make sure that we can maintain the status quo and be able to regulate properly.  MR. WITHERS: But don't we control the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking. MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like they've done. MR. TRIAS: We just want to make sure that that remains. MR. WITHERS: No. I'm wondering what's behind it I mean MR. TRIAS: What's behind this is that they have a map that had sixty units per acre ideally in areas that are Single-Family and Multi-Family along Ponce de Leon, for example. MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple, but MR. TRIAS: For example. MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple. MR. COLLER: It basically states what we believe the law to be, that the City can always impose a higher standard of Zoning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.  MS. MIRO: Second.  MR. COLLER: You can do it by voice vote.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor to extend for five minutes  (All of the Members voted aye.)  MR. TRIAS: So we had a meeting with the Planning Director for the County and we explained the different things that are already in the Code, in the Zoning Code and the Comp Plan, and they were very happy with what exists in Coral Gables. So there was no objection to any of that. This is simply to make sure that we can maintain the status quo and be able to regulate properly.  MR. WITHERS: But don't we control the right-of-way along the Metrorail?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking. MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like they've done. MR. TRIAS: We just want to make sure that that remains. MR. WITHERS: No. I'm wondering what's behind it I mean MR. TRIAS: What's behind this is that they have a map that had sixty units per acre ideally in areas that are Single-Family and Multi-Family along Ponce de Leon, for example. MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple, but MR. TRIAS: For example. MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple. MR. COLLER: It basically states what we believe the law to be, that the City can always impose a higher standard of Zoning. MR. TRIAS: However, what I described was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.  MS. MIRO: Second.  MR. COLLER: You can do it by voice vote.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor to extend for five minutes  (All of the Members voted aye.)  MR. TRIAS: So we had a meeting with the Planning Director for the County and we explained the different things that are already in the Code, in the Zoning Code and the Comp Plan, and they were very happy with what exists in Coral Gables. So there was no objection to any of that. This is simply to make sure that we can maintain the status quo and be able to regulate properly.  MR. WITHERS: But don't we control the right-of-way along the Metrorail?  MR. TRIAS: Not all of it, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking.  MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like they've done.  MR. TRIAS: We just want to make sure that that remains.  MR. WITHERS: No. I'm wondering what's behind it I mean  MR. TRIAS: What's behind this is that they have a map that had sixty units per acre ideally in areas that are Single-Family and Multi-Family along Ponce de Leon, for example.  MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple, but  MR. TRIAS: For example.  MR. COLLER: It basically states what we believe the law to be, that the City can always impose a higher standard of Zoning.  MR. TRIAS: However, what I described was not a final plan or anything.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.  MS. MIRO: Second.  MR. COLLER: You can do it by voice vote.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor to extend for five minutes —  (All of the Members voted aye.)  MR. TRIAS: So we had a meeting with the Planning Director for the County and we explained the different things that are already in the Code, in the Zoning Code and the Comp Plan, and they were very happy with what exists in Coral Gables. So there was no objection to any of that. This is simply to make sure that we can maintain the status quo and be able to regulate properly.  MR. WITHERS: But don't we control the right-of-way along the Metrorail?  MR. TRIAS: Not all of it, no.  MR. WITHERS: But, I mean, in Coral Gables,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking.  MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like they've done.  MR. TRIAS: We just want to make sure that that remains.  MR. WITHERS: No. I'm wondering what's behind it I mean  MR. TRIAS: What's behind this is that they have a map that had sixty units per acre ideally in areas that are Single-Family and Multi-Family along Ponce de Leon, for example.  MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple, but  MR. TRIAS: For example.  MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple.  MR. COLLER: It basically states what we believe the law to be, that the City can always impose a higher standard of Zoning.  MR. TRIAS: However, what I described was not a final plan or anything.  MR. WITHERS: I understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.  MS. MIRO: Second.  MR. COLLER: You can do it by voice vote.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor to extend for five minutes  (All of the Members voted aye.)  MR. TRIAS: So we had a meeting with the Planning Director for the County and we explained the different things that are already in the Code, in the Zoning Code and the Comp Plan, and they were very happy with what exists in Coral Gables. So there was no objection to any of that. This is simply to make sure that we can maintain the status quo and be able to regulate properly.  MR. WITHERS: But don't we control the right-of-way along the Metrorail?  MR. TRIAS: Not all of it, no.  MR. WITHERS: But, I mean, in Coral Gables, we do, though, don't we? Wasn't that part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking.  MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like they've done.  MR. TRIAS: We just want to make sure that that remains.  MR. WITHERS: No. I'm wondering what's behind it I mean  MR. TRIAS: What's behind this is that they have a map that had sixty units per acre ideally in areas that are Single-Family and Multi-Family along Ponce de Leon, for example.  MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple, but  MR. TRIAS: For example.  MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple.  MR. COLLER: It basically states what we believe the law to be, that the City can always impose a higher standard of Zoning.  MR. TRIAS: However, what I described was not a final plan or anything.  MR. WITHERS: I understand.  MR. BEHAR: And legally can we really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	stations.  MR. TRIAS: Yes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two minutes.  Is there a motion to extend for five minutes?  MR. BUCELO: I'll move.  MS. MIRO: Second.  MR. COLLER: You can do it by voice vote.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody in favor to extend for five minutes —  (All of the Members voted aye.)  MR. TRIAS: So we had a meeting with the Planning Director for the County and we explained the different things that are already in the Code, in the Zoning Code and the Comp Plan, and they were very happy with what exists in Coral Gables. So there was no objection to any of that. This is simply to make sure that we can maintain the status quo and be able to regulate properly.  MR. WITHERS: But don't we control the right-of-way along the Metrorail?  MR. TRIAS: Not all of it, no.  MR. WITHERS: But, I mean, in Coral Gables,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the City allowed them our right-of-way and they agreed not to develop it, except for parking? I'm just asking.  MR. BEHAR: But they could change their mind, Chip. They could change their mind, like they've done.  MR. TRIAS: We just want to make sure that that remains.  MR. WITHERS: No. I'm wondering what's behind it I mean  MR. TRIAS: What's behind this is that they have a map that had sixty units per acre ideally in areas that are Single-Family and Multi-Family along Ponce de Leon, for example.  MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple, but  MR. TRIAS: For example.  MR. BEHAR: It's not that simple.  MR. COLLER: It basically states what we believe the law to be, that the City can always impose a higher standard of Zoning.  MR. TRIAS: However, what I described was not a final plan or anything.  MR. WITHERS: I understand.

	Page 169		Page 170
1	when it's their property?	1	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
2	MR. TRIAS: It was not only for their	2	MR. BUCELO: Yes.
3	property. It was beyond their property.	3	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
4	MR. WITHERS: Outside their property.	4	MS. MIRO: Yes.
5	MR. COLLER: It was transit corridors,	5	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
6	swath of land, not necessarily owned by the	6	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
7	County.	7	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
8	MR. TORRE: Motion to approve.	8	MR. TORRE: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Before we do that, is	9	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
10	there anybody from the public that would like	10	MR. WITHERS: No.
11	to speak? Nobody in the Chambers. Anybody on	11	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
12	Zoom or phone platform, Jill?	12	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
13	THE SECRETARY: No.	13	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Having none, I'll go	14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No.
15	ahead and close it for the floor.	15	So it passes.
16	Is there a motion?	16	Is there a what's the feeling of the
17	MR. TORRE: I will make it.	17	Board? If we're going to go into another item,
18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Which is?	18	we have to extend further.
19	MR. TORRE: To approve.	19	MR. BEHAR: What items do we have pending?
20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: As written?	20	MR. TRIAS: We have home occupation and Med
21	MR. TORRE: As written.	21	Bonus, and the map.
22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a second?	22	MR. BEHAR: And the Med Bonus is one that
23	MR. BUCELO: I'll second.	23	is going to take a little bit of time.
24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any discussion?	24	MR. TRIAS: So how about this, why don't we
25	Call the roll, please.	25	do E-9, which is simply updating the Mixed-Use
	Page 171		
	rage 171		Page 172
1	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's	1	Page 172  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to
1 2		1 2	
	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's		CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to
2	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?
2	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.
2 3 4	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the
2 3 4 5	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the	2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.
2 3 4 5 6	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have  MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the agenda that was	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.  THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have  MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the agenda that was  MR. TRIAS: What was not on the agenda?	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.  THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?  MR. BUCELO: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have  MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the agenda that was  MR. TRIAS: What was not on the agenda?  MR. BEHAR: E-9.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.  THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?  MR. BUCELO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have  MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the agenda that was  MR. TRIAS: What was not on the agenda?  MR. BEHAR: E-9.  MR. TRIAS: It was not?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.  THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?  MR. BUCELO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have  MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the agenda that was  MR. TRIAS: What was not on the agenda?  MR. BEHAR: E-9.  MR. TRIAS: It was not?  MR. BEHAR: No. It was I found it, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.  THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?  MR. BUCELO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  MR. REVUELTA: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have  MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the agenda that was  MR. TRIAS: What was not on the agenda?  MR. BEHAR: E-9.  MR. TRIAS: It was not?  MR. BEHAR: No. It was I found it, but it's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.  THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?  MR. BUCELO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have  MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the agenda that was  MR. TRIAS: What was not on the agenda?  MR. BEHAR: E-9.  MR. TRIAS: It was not?  MR. BEHAR: No. It was I found it, but it's not  MR. TRIAS: You're right. It was not in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.  THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?  MR. BUCELO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  MR. REVUELTA: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have  MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the agenda that was  MR. TRIAS: What was not on the agenda?  MR. BEHAR: E-9.  MR. TRIAS: It was not?  MR. BEHAR: No. It was I found it, but it's not  MR. TRIAS: You're right. It was not in the first agenda, and in the first draft, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.  THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?  MR. BUCELO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  MR. REVUELTA: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?  MR. TORRE: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have  MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the agenda that was  MR. TRIAS: What was not on the agenda?  MR. BEHAR: E-9.  MR. TRIAS: It was not?  MR. BEHAR: No. It was I found it, but it's not  MR. TRIAS: You're right. It was not in the first agenda, and in the first draft, yes.  MR. BEHAR: I don't know how many people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.  THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?  MR. BUCELO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  MR. REVUELTA: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?  MR. TORRE: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have  MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the agenda that was  MR. TRIAS: What was not on the agenda?  MR. BEHAR: E-9.  MR. TRIAS: It was not?  MR. BEHAR: No. It was I found it, but it's not  MR. TRIAS: You're right. It was not in the first agenda, and in the first draft, yes.  MR. BEHAR: I don't know how many people knew about it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.  THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?  MR. BUCELO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  MR. REVUELTA: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?  MR. TORRE: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have  MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the agenda that was  MR. TRIAS: What was not on the agenda?  MR. BEHAR: E-9.  MR. TRIAS: It was not?  MR. BEHAR: No. It was I found it, but it's not  MR. TRIAS: You're right. It was not in the first agenda, and in the first draft, yes.  MR. BEHAR: I don't know how many people knew about it.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.  THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?  MR. BUCELO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  MR. REVUELTA: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?  MR. TORRE: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have  MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the agenda that was  MR. TRIAS: What was not on the agenda?  MR. BEHAR: E-9.  MR. TRIAS: It was not?  MR. BEHAR: No. It was I found it, but it's not  MR. TRIAS: You're right. It was not in the first agenda, and in the first draft, yes.  MR. BEHAR: I don't know how many people knew about it.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we want to continue, we need to make a motion to continue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.  THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?  MR. BUCELO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  MR. REVUELTA: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?  MR. TORRE: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No, because I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have  MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the agenda that was  MR. TRIAS: What was not on the agenda?  MR. BEHAR: E-9.  MR. TRIAS: It was not?  MR. BEHAR: No. It was I found it, but it's not  MR. TRIAS: You're right. It was not in the first agenda, and in the first draft, yes.  MR. BEHAR: I don't know how many people knew about it.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we want to continue, we need to make a motion to continue. Is there anybody that wants to make that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.  THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?  MR. BUCELO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  MR. REVUELTA: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?  MR. TORRE: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No, because I don't feel that we should rush items, but it passes,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have  MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the agenda that was  MR. TRIAS: What was not on the agenda?  MR. BEHAR: E-9.  MR. TRIAS: It was not?  MR. BEHAR: No. It was I found it, but it's not  MR. TRIAS: You're right. It was not in the first agenda, and in the first draft, yes.  MR. BEHAR: I don't know how many people knew about it.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we want to continue, we need to make a motion to continue. Is there anybody that wants to make that motion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.  THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?  MR. BUCELO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  MR. REVUELTA: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?  MR. TORRE: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No, because I don't feel that we should rush items, but it passes, so we're going to extend for ten minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have  MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the agenda that was  MR. TRIAS: What was not on the agenda?  MR. BEHAR: E-9.  MR. TRIAS: It was not?  MR. BEHAR: No. It was I found it, but it's not  MR. TRIAS: You're right. It was not in the first agenda, and in the first draft, yes.  MR. BEHAR: I don't know how many people knew about it.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we want to continue, we need to make a motion to continue. Is there anybody that wants to make that motion?  MR. REVUELTA: I'll make a motion to extend	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.  THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?  MR. BUCELO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  MR. REVUELTA: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?  MR. TORRE: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No, because I don't feel that we should rush items, but it passes, so we're going to extend for ten minutes.  Would you like to read, Craig, 9?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have  MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the agenda that was  MR. TRIAS: What was not on the agenda?  MR. BEHAR: E-9.  MR. TRIAS: It was not?  MR. BEHAR: No. It was I found it, but it's not  MR. TRIAS: You're right. It was not in the first agenda, and in the first draft, yes.  MR. BEHAR: I don't know how many people knew about it.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we want to continue, we need to make a motion to continue. Is there anybody that wants to make that motion?  MR. REVUELTA: I'll make a motion to extend it another 10 minutes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.  THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?  MR. BUCELO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  MR. REVUELTA: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?  MR. TORRE: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No, because I don't feel that we should rush items, but it passes, so we're going to extend for ten minutes.  Would you like to read, Craig, 9?  MR. COLLER: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have  MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the agenda that was  MR. TRIAS: What was not on the agenda?  MR. BEHAR: E-9.  MR. TRIAS: It was not?  MR. BEHAR: No. It was I found it, but it's not  MR. TRIAS: You're right. It was not in the first agenda, and in the first draft, yes.  MR. BEHAR: I don't know how many people knew about it.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we want to continue, we need to make a motion to continue. Is there anybody that wants to make that motion?  MR. REVUELTA: I'll make a motion to extend it another 10 minutes.  MR. BUCELO: I'll second it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.  THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?  MR. BUCELO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  MR. REVUELTA: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?  MR. TORRE: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No, because I don't feel that we should rush items, but it passes, so we're going to extend for ten minutes.  Would you like to read, Craig, 9?  MR. COLLER: Sure.  An Ordinance of the City Commission of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Map. It's just paperwork, basically. It's just making sure that the Comp Plan has an updated map that deals with the districts that we have  MR. BEHAR: By the way, this was not on the agenda that was  MR. TRIAS: What was not on the agenda?  MR. BEHAR: E-9.  MR. TRIAS: It was not?  MR. BEHAR: No. It was I found it, but it's not  MR. TRIAS: You're right. It was not in the first agenda, and in the first draft, yes.  MR. BEHAR: I don't know how many people knew about it.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If we want to continue, we need to make a motion to continue. Is there anybody that wants to make that motion?  MR. REVUELTA: I'll make a motion to extend it another 10 minutes.  MR. BUCELO: I'll second it.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion and a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For a motion to continue for how long, please, I apologize?  MR. REVUELTA: I said, 10 minutes.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: 10 minute? Call the roll, please.  THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?  MR. BUCELO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?  MS. MIRO: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?  MR. REVUELTA: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?  MR. TORRE: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?  MR. WITHERS: Yes.  THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No, because I don't feel that we should rush items, but it passes, so we're going to extend for ten minutes.  Would you like to read, Craig, 9?  MR. COLLER: Sure.  An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval for

	Page 173		Page 174
1	Comprehensive Plan, pursuant to the expedited	1	anything to Ramon? No?
2	state review procedures and Zoning Code	2	Would anybody would like to make a motion?
3	"Process," Section 14-213, "Comprehensive Plan	3	MR. REVUELTA: I said, none.
4	Text and Map Amendments," to update the	4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would anybody like to
5	Mixed-Use Overlay District Map to be consistent	5	make a motion?
6	with the Future Land Use Map; providing for a	6	MR. REVUELTA: I'll make a motion to
7	repealer provision, providing for a	7	approve.
8	severability clause and providing for an	8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'm sorry, motion to
9	effective date.	9	approve, Luis?
10	Item E-9, public hearing.	10	Is there a second?
11	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, this is just	11	MS. MIRO: I'll second.
12	simply an update of the map with the new	12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. Any
13	terminology, like the Industrial District is no	13	discussion?
14	longer there now, and that's all it is.	14	Call the roll, please.
15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there anybody from	15	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
16	is that your presentation, Ramon?	16	MS. MIRO: Yes.
17	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, that's it. That's it.	17	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there anybody from	18	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
19	Zoom or phone platform, as there's nobody here	19	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
20	in the Chambers?	20	MS. MIRO: Yes.
21	THE SECRETARY: No.	21	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No?	22	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
23	THE SECRETARY: No.	23	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'll go ahead and	24	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
25	close for public comment. Any questions or	25	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
23	close for public confinent. Any questions of	23	THE SECRETARY. AICA BUCCIO:
	Page 175		Page 176
1	MR. BUCELO: Yes.	1	Home Office consistent with the requirements of
2	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	2	Chapter 2021-202, Laws of Florida, providing
3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.	3	for severability, repealer, codification and an
4	MR. BEHAR: So the only one really left	4	effective date.
5	over that is simple is home office.	5	Item E-6, public hearing.
6	MR. TRIAS: Home office, yeah, we can do	6	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, the items listed
7	it, if you want, in a minute, or not, depending	7	are consistent with what the State law says and
8	on whatever	8	we're just trying to bring our Code up to speed
9	MR. BEHAR: I think I know that E-4 is	9	on that.
10	going to be a long one.	10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there any changes
11	MR. TRIAS: Home office, we're also just	11	that have been done?
12	following the State requirements trying to	12	MR. TRIAS: Nothing meaningful, just the
13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, let's go ahead	13	same
14	and read it. We have a short period, since we	14	MR. COLLER: But I want to make you aware
15	extended.	15	that the State law made a substantial
16	MR. BEHAR: Five minutes.	16	liberalization of home office, to allow a lot
17	MR. COLLER: Which one is home office, E what?	17	more than what any cities had previously done
18	THE SECRETARY: E-6.	18	and it was a preemption of local rule. So
10	MD COLLED, Oh E 6 Okay Thanks	19	we're forced to do it, because the State has
19	MR. COLLER: Oh, E-6. Okay. Thanks.	1	
	E-6, an Ordinance of the City Commission of	20	preempted us.
19	E-6, an Ordinance of the City Commission of	20 21	MR. TORRE: Is this a State mandate of
19 20	•		
19 20 21	E-6, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text	21	MR. TORRE: Is this a State mandate of
19 20 21 22	E-6, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing for a text amendment to the City of Coral Gables Official	21 22	MR. TORRE: Is this a State mandate of sort?

	Page 177		Page 178
1	MR. BEHAR: And we're limiting it to no	1	against it. I think it's going to destroy our
2	more than 25 percent, right?	2	neighborhoods.
3	MR. TRIAS: Right, 25 percent, two staff	3	MS. MIRO: Could we add something on top of
4	people, no signage.	4	this that kind of
5	MR. WITHERS: I'm totally against this.	5	MR. TRIAS: The place to oppose this is
6	I'm totally against this.	6	Tallahassee, unfortunately.
7	MR. TRIAS: I don't disagree.	7	MR. TORRE: No, but here is my question.
8	MR. WITHERS: I mean, I'm so much against	8	This is
9	this. If Venny has an office and he has a wife	9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What if we vote no?
10	and kids working there, they're really not	10	MR. TRIAS: You can vote, no, that's fine.
11	staff, but they're employees, you know. He	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what happens?
12	brings in a couple of assistants. Next thing	12	MR. TRIAS: I mean, if we have nothing in
13	you know, FedEx is delivering plans, to his	13	the Code, then
14	office, GC comes with his pickup truck and	14	MR. COLLER: Well, the City will have to
15	wants to go over the plans and they park there,	15	there are some restrictions. They can only
16	and then the plumber comes and parks his truck	16	park in actually specific parking spaces. You
17	there. The next thing you know, UPS pulls up	17	can't just park all over the swale. I'm not
18	and delivers other stuff.	18	justifying it. I think it was a bad law.
19	If someone decides they want to start doing	19	MR. WITHERS: We don't eve n enforce
20	an Amazon business out of their house and 50	20	students parking in front of people's front
21	Amazon trucks start dropping off packages at	21	yards at the University of Miami. You think
22	their house. So, I mean, that's why I'm	22	we're going to enforce this parking?
23	against it.	23	MR. COLLER: It's a bad law. It's a bad
24	MR. TRIAS: And I think	24	law. It's a preemption of local rules.
25	MR. WITHERS: So, I mean, that's why I'm	25	MR. BEHAR: Chip, I agree with you a
	Page 179		Page 180
1	thousand percent.	1	MR. BEHAR: Yes. I don't want a
2	MR. COLLER: You can recommend denial and	2	construction office next to me.
3	that's what will go to the Commission.	3	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
4	MR. WITHERS: I'll move to deny the motion.	4	MR. BUCELO: Yes.
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to	5	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
6	deny. Is there a second?	6	MS. MIRO: Yes.
7	MR. BEHAR: I'll second it.	7	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. Any	8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
9	discussion?	9	Is there a motion to
10	Call the roll, please.	10	MR. BEHAR: Motion to adjourn.
11	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to
12	MR. TORRE: Yeses and nos.	12	adjourn. Is there a second to adjourn?
13	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	13	MR. BUCELO: Second.
	WIR. REVOEETTE. 103.		I I
14	MR. WITHERS: I didn't mean to be so	14	MR. COLLER: As part of that motion, you're
14 15			MR. COLLER: As part of that motion, you're deferring
	MR. WITHERS: I didn't mean to be so	14	•
15	MR. WITHERS: I didn't mean to be so radioactive on this thing.	14 15	deferring
15 16	MR. WITHERS: I didn't mean to be so radioactive on this thing.  MR. BEHAR: But you're right.	14 15 16	deferring MR. BEHAR: Motion to Defer E-4 to the
15 16 17	MR. WITHERS: I didn't mean to be so radioactive on this thing.  MR. BEHAR: But you're right.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Hold on. I'm calling	14 15 16 17	deferring  MR. BEHAR: Motion to Defer E-4 to the following meeting.
15 16 17 18	MR. WITHERS: I didn't mean to be so radioactive on this thing.  MR. BEHAR: But you're right.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Hold on. I'm calling the roll. So, yes, to deny, Luis?	14 15 16 17 18	deferring  MR. BEHAR: Motion to Defer E-4 to the following meeting.  MR. BUCELO: Second.
15 16 17 18 19	MR. WITHERS: I didn't mean to be so radioactive on this thing.  MR. BEHAR: But you're right.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Hold on. I'm calling the roll. So, yes, to deny, Luis?  MR. REVUELTA: I'm voting, yes, to deny,	14 15 16 17 18 19	deferring MR. BEHAR: Motion to Defer E-4 to the following meeting. MR. BUCELO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. All in favor say aye. (All Members voted aye.)
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WITHERS: I didn't mean to be so radioactive on this thing.  MR. BEHAR: But you're right.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Hold on. I'm calling the roll. So, yes, to deny, Luis?  MR. REVUELTA: I'm voting, yes, to deny, agreeing with Chip and Robert.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	deferring MR. BEHAR: Motion to Defer E-4 to the following meeting. MR. BUCELO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. All in favor say aye.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WITHERS: I didn't mean to be so radioactive on this thing.  MR. BEHAR: But you're right.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Hold on. I'm calling the roll. So, yes, to deny, Luis?  MR. REVUELTA: I'm voting, yes, to deny, agreeing with Chip and Robert.  THE SECRETARY: Okay. Venny Torre?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	deferring MR. BEHAR: Motion to Defer E-4 to the following meeting. MR. BUCELO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. All in favor say aye. (All Members voted aye.)
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WITHERS: I didn't mean to be so radioactive on this thing.  MR. BEHAR: But you're right.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Hold on. I'm calling the roll. So, yes, to deny, Luis?  MR. REVUELTA: I'm voting, yes, to deny, agreeing with Chip and Robert.  THE SECRETARY: Okay. Venny Torre?  MR. TORRE: I agree, yes.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	deferring MR. BEHAR: Motion to Defer E-4 to the following meeting. MR. BUCELO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. All in favor say aye. (All Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WITHERS: I didn't mean to be so radioactive on this thing.  MR. BEHAR: But you're right.  CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Hold on. I'm calling the roll. So, yes, to deny, Luis?  MR. REVUELTA: I'm voting, yes, to deny, agreeing with Chip and Robert.  THE SECRETARY: Okay. Venny Torre?  MR. TORRE: I agree, yes.  THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	deferring MR. BEHAR: Motion to Defer E-4 to the following meeting. MR. BUCELO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. All in favor say aye. (All Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to adjourn by Robert. Second by Alex. All in

	Page 181	
1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	STATE OF FLORIDA:	
4	SS.	
5	COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:	
6		
7		
8		
9	I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary	
10	Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby	
11 12	certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and	
13	that the transcript is a true and complete record of my	
14	stenographic notes.	
15	stenographic notes.	
16	DATED this 14th day of December, 2021.	
17		
18		
19	SIGNATURE ON FILE	
20		
	NIEVES SANCHEZ	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		