

Proposal Evaluation Form
RFQ 2025-005 Architectural Consulting Services
Totals Composite
Form Active

Selection Criteria \ Proposers	Maximum Criteria Points per Evaluator	Total Maximum Sub-Criteria Points	Alleguez Architecture, Inc.	Bermello Ajamil & Partners, Inc.	Burton Hersh Architects, P.A.	CPH Consulting, LLC.	Ferguson, Glasgow, Schuster, Soto	Gurri Matute, P.A.	M.C. Harry & Associates, Inc.	R.E. Chisholm Architects, Inc.	R.J. Heisenbottle Architects, P.A.	W.J. Architects
			TOTAL:	TOTAL:	TOTAL:	TOTAL:	TOTAL:	TOTAL:	TOTAL:	TOTAL:	TOTAL:	TOTAL:
Experience & Qualifications	40	200										
Proposer's qualifications, including, but not limited to: company history and description, the number of years in business, size, number of employees, office location where work is to be performed, licenses/certifications, credentials, capabilities and capacity to effectively meet the City's needs, relevant experience, and proven track record of providing the scope of services as identified in this solicitation to public sector agencies.		75	65.0	72.0	61.0	59.0	73.0	74.0	70.0	72.0	72.0	66.0
Proposer's familiarity with permitting agencies and permitting procedures, especially in Miami-Dade County.		25	24.0	25.0	24.0	18.0	24.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	24.0	22.0
Proposer's expertise and experience in working with other disciplines, including coordination with other design professionals and sub-consultants.		25	24.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	24.0	24.0	23.0	23.0	24.0	24.0
Provide secure Financial strength rating from Dunn & Bradstreet or financial statements for each of the last two (2) complete fiscal years. Such statements should include, at a minimum, balance sheets (statements of financial position) and statements of profit and loss (statement of net income).		25	25.0	25.0	25.0	23.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	9.0	25.0	25.0
Qualifications and experience of all proposed key personnel (including sub-consultants)		50	48.0	50.0	42.0	43.0	48.0	44.0	44.0	44.0	43.0	43.0
Experience & Qualifications Total			186.0	197.0	177.0	168.0	194.0	190.0	185.0	171.0	188.0	180.0
Project Understanding, Proposed Approach, Methodology	35	175										
Proposer's overall detailed approach and methodology to perform the services solicited herein. Understanding of the RFQ scope and requirements, strategies for assuring assigned work is completed on time, strategies for assuring assigned work is completed on time, innovation interaction and communication with the community, City staff, and multiple stakeholders.		50	45.0	50.0	44.0	45.0	48.0	50.0	47.0	47.0	46.0	43.0
Recent, current, and projected workload for the Proposer and key personnel and how the potential contract will fit into the Proposer's workload.		25	23.0	24.0	20.0	21.0	23.0	25.0	22.0	21.0	25.0	23.0
Proposer's demonstrated ability to positively and innovatively move a project from the conceptual stage into a clearly defined project that may be designed and constructed, while minimizing the impact on the community.		25	20.0	25.0	20.0	19.0	22.0	24.0	20.0	19.0	22.0	21.0
Proposer's demonstrated ability to provide schedule control, cost control and quality control for the services specified herein. Proposer's experience with similar projects completed on-time and within budget.		25	23.0	25.0	20.0	23.0	23.0	24.0	24.0	24.0	25.0	24.0
Proposer's ability to deliver similar projects having significant community and business involvement.		25	19.0	25.0	24.0	20.0	24.0	23.0	23.0	22.0	24.0	23.0
Proposer's ability to work with other consultants designated by the City.		25	24.0	25.0	24.0	24.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	24.0	25.0	21.0
Project Understanding, Proposed Approach, Methodology Total			154.0	174.0	152.0	152.0	165.0	171.0	161.0	157.0	167.0	155.0
Past Performance and References	15	75										
Provide a minimum of three (3) references, but no more than five (5), for which Proposer has satisfactorily performed similar scope of services in the last five (5) years.		15	15.0	14.0	14.0	13.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	13.0	14.0	14.0
Provide detailed information on five (5) of the Proposer's most recent and relevant projects similar in scope and nature to the services described in the solicitation utilizing Standard Form SF330, Part I – Section F		20	19.0	20.0	15.0	16.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	20.0	19.0
All contracts which the Proposer has performed (past and present) for the City of Coral Gables.		10	10.0	10.0	5.0	4.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.0	3.0
List with contact information of public sector clients, if any, that have discontinued use of Proposer's services within the past two (2) years and indicate the reasons for the same.		15	14.0	15.0	14.0	14.0	15.0	15.0	12.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Please identify each incident within the last five (5) years where (a) a civil, criminal, administrative, other similar proceeding was filed or is pending, if such proceeding arises from or is a dispute concerning the Proposer's rights, remedies or duties under a contract for the same or similar type services to be provided under this RFQ (See Schedule D of Attachment A).		15	14.0	15.0	12.0	10.0	15.0	15.0	11.0	12.0	15.0	12.0
Past Performance and References Total			72.0	74.0	60.0	57.0	73.0	73.0	65.0	69.0	73.0	63.0
Agreement Exceptions	5	25										
Review exceptions made by the proposer to the conditions listed in the agreement for the services.		25	25.0	25.0	19.0	24.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	24.0	25.0
Agreement Exceptions Total			25.0	25.0	19.0	24.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	24.0	25.0
Points shall be awarded to the Proposer for volume of work awarded by the City in the last three (3) years	5	25	15.0	15.0	23.0	25.0	0.0	15.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
Total Points	100	500	452.0	485.0	431.0	426.0	457.0	474.0	461.0	447.0	477.0	448.0
Ranking			6	1	9	10	5	3	4	8	2	7