



**City of Coral Gables
Planning and Zoning Staff Report**

Property: **Ponce Park Residences**
3000 Ponce de Leon Blvd, 216 & 224 Catalonia, 203 University Dr, and 225 Malaga

Applicant: RC Acquisitions, LLC and P&J Enterprise Holdings, LLC

Application: Abandonment and Vacation of a Street, Abandonment and Vacation of an Alley, Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment, Development Agreement, Receipt of Transfer of Development Rights (TDRs), Conditional Use Review for Mixed-Use Site Plan, and Tentative Plat

Public Hearing: Planning and Zoning Board / Local Planning Agency

Date & Time: **August 11, 2021; 6:00 – 9:00 p.m.**

Location: City Commission Chambers, City Hall, 405 Biltmore Way, Coral Gables, Florida 33134

1. APPLICATION REQUEST

The request is for consideration of the following for the project known as “Ponce Park Residences:”



REQUEST	TYPE OF REQUEST	STAFF RECOMMENDATION	COMMENTS
A	Abandonment and Vacation of a public street	Denial	
B	Abandonment and Vacation of a public alley	Approval	
C	Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment	Denial	
D	Development Agreement	Denial	Renegotiate terms between parties
E	Receipt of Transfer of Development Rights (TDRs)	Denial	Reduce requested TDRs to exclude public street vacation and apply for private parcels only
F	Conditional Use Review for Mixed-Use Site Plan	Denial	Revise site plan to comply with maximum allowed height and square feet
G	Tentative Plat	Deferral	Revise proposed plat to remove public street vacation

2. APPLICATION SUMMARY

The subject site is on the corner of University Drive and Ponce de Leon Boulevard, within walking distance of Ponce Circle Park. RC Acquisitions, LLC and P&J Enterprise Holdings, Inc. (referred to as “Applicants”) submitted for the review of a proposed redevelopment with a mixed-use building - including the

Applicants' proposed vacations of a public street and an alley - to be located fronting Ponce de Leon Boulevard, Catalonia, University Drive, and Malaga.

On February 10, 2021, the application was presented by the Applicant to the Planning & Zoning Board at their regularly scheduled virtual meeting. Before the public commented on the proposed project, the Board requested that the item be continued to March in order to allow sufficient time for the public to comment on the proposal. However, the Applicant decided to postpone in order to refine the proposal further and later submitted an amended Statement of Use (Attachment A) that altered the proposed site plan to 161 total units (from 171 units) and reduced the requested Transfer of Development Rights (TDRs) to 30,000 sqft (from 40,000 sqft). A revised site plan has not been submitted.



The mixed-use project referred to as Ponce Park Residences is located on the east-half of Block 29, in the Crafts Section approximately 56,095 square feet (1.287 acres) in size, including the public street and alley that the Applicants are proposing to vacate. The project now proposes 161 residential units, ground floor commercial uses of approximately 18,107 square feet, and a parking structure with 265 parking spaces. The proposed building height is 16-stories at 179 feet to the top of the habitable space and 202'-0" to the top of the architectural elements.

1. Project Site is approximately 1.287 acres (56,095 square feet), including the street and alley
2. Building Height is 16-stories at 179' to the top of roof; 202'-0" to top of architecture
3. Total proposed site FAR 4.03 (226,332 sf. including 30,000 sf. of TDRs), or FAR 5.66 (private parcels)
4. 161 residential units
5. 18,107 square feet (8.46% of total square footage) of ground-floor commercial uses
6. 265 parking spaces
7. 17,879 square feet (31.87% of total site area) of on-site Open Space

The Applicants have submitted an application (referred to as the "Application") for review of the following: Vacation and Abandonment of a segment of University Drive and of an Alley; Change of Land Use from Commercial Low-Rise Intensity to Commercial High-Rise Intensity; Development Agreement; Transfer of Development Rights (TDRs) as a receiving site utilizing 30,000 sq. ft. of TDRs made available pursuant to a Dispute Resolution Agreement; Tentative Plat; and Conditional Use Review for a Mixed-Use project

referred to as Ponce Park Residences. The Amended Statement of Use submitted by the Applicants is provided as Attachment A, and the complete application from the February 10, 2021, Planning & Zoning Board meeting is provided as Attachment B.

The requests require three public hearings, including review and recommendation by the Planning and Zoning Board, and 1st and 2nd Reading before the City Commission. The Ordinances and Resolutions under consideration include the following:

1. *An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, approving the vacation of a public street pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-211, "Abandonment and Vacations" and City Code Chapter 62, Article 8, "Vacation, Abandonment and Closure of Streets, Easements and Alleys by Private Owners and the City; Application Process," providing for the vacation of that portion of University Drive north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and east of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way which is approximately 13,145 square feet in area abutting Block 29, Crafts Section (3000 Ponce de Leon Blvd, 216 & 224 Catalonia, 203 University Dr, and 225 Malaga), Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. (LEGAL DESCRIPTION ON FILE)*
2. *An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, approving the vacation of a public alleyway pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-211, "Abandonment and Vacations" and City Code Chapter 62, Article 8, "Vacation, Abandonment and Closure of Streets, Easements and Alleys by Private Owners and the City; Application Process," providing for the vacation of the twenty (20) foot wide alley which is approximately one hundred and fifty-five (155) feet in length lying between Lots 12 thru 18 and Lots 11 and 19 in Block 29, Crafts Section (3000 Ponce de Leon Blvd, 216 & 224 Catalonia, 203 University Dr, and 225 Malaga), Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. (LEGAL DESCRIPTION ON FILE)*
3. *An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida amending the Future Land Use Map of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-213, "Comprehensive Plan Text and Map Amendments," and Small Scale amendment procedures (ss. 163.3187, Florida Statutes), from "Commercial Low-Rise Intensity" to "Commercial High-Rise Intensity" for Lots 8 through 21, less the West ½ of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way (3000 Ponce de Leon Blvd, 216 & 224 Catalonia, 203 University Dr, and 225 Malaga), Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. (LEGAL DESCRIPTION ON FILE) (LPA review)*
4. *An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving a Development Agreement pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-217, "Development Agreements," for a proposed mixed-use development referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" related to the construction of a project consisting of a mix of uses including commercial and residential, on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West ½ of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce*

de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; (3000 Ponce de Leon Blvd, 216 & 224 Catalonia, 203 University Dr, and 225 Malaga), Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date. (LEGAL DESCRIPTION ON FILE)

5. *A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving receipt of Transfer of Development Rights (TDRs) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-204.6, "Review and approval of use of TDRs on receiver sites," for the receipt and use of TDRs for a Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West ½ of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; (3000 Ponce de Leon Blvd, 216 & 224 Catalonia, 203 University Dr, and 225 Malaga), Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. (LEGAL DESCRIPTION ON FILE)*
6. *A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses," for a proposed Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West ½ of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; (3000 Ponce de Leon Blvd, 216 & 224 Catalonia, 203 University Dr, and 225 Malaga), Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. (LEGAL DESCRIPTION ON FILE)*
7. *A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the Tentative Plat entitled "Ponce Park Residences" pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-210, "Platting/Subdivision," being a re-plat of 56,095 square feet (1.287 acres) into two (2) tracts of land on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West ½ of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; (3000 Ponce de Leon Blvd, 216 & 224 Catalonia, 203 University Dr, and 225 Malaga), Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. (LEGAL DESCRIPTION ON FILE)*


Additionally, the Applicants are also requesting an amendment to the historic City Plan, to be reviewed by the Historic Preservation Board and consideration by the City Commission per Zoning Code Section 8-104.D.

Project Location


The subject property occupies the east-half of Block 29 within the Crafts Section and is bounded by Catalonia Avenue (north), Ponce de Leon Boulevard (east) and the intersection of University Drive and Malaga Avenue (south). The property is legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West ½ of lot 8, Block 29, "Coral Gables Crafts Section," (3000 Ponce de Leon Blvd, 216 and 224 Catalonia Ave, 203

University Dr, and 225 Malaga Ave) together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way Coral Gables, Florida; as shown in the following location map and aerial:

Block, Lot and Section Location Map



Aerial



Site Data and Surrounding Uses

The following tables provide the subject property’s designations and surrounding land uses:



Existing Property Designations

Comprehensive Plan Map designation	Commercial Low-Rise Intensity
Zoning Map designation	MX-1 (formerly Commercial)
Coral Gables Redevelopment Infill District	Yes

Surrounding Land Uses

LOCATION	EXISTING LAND USES	CP DESIGNATIONS	ZONING DESIGNATIONS
North	Office building: 1 First Bank	Commercial Mid-Rise Intensity; Commercial Low-Rise Intensity	MX-2; MX-1
South	Coral Gables District Court	Commercial High-Rise Intensity; Commercial Low-Rise Intensity	MX-3; MX-1
East	The Plaza (under construction)	Commercial High-Rise Intensity	MX-3
West	Office Building	Commercial Low-Rise Intensity	MX-1

The property's existing land use and zoning designations, as illustrated in the following maps:



3. APPLICANTS' PROPOSAL

The Applicants are requesting multiple requests that require Planning & Zoning Board and Historic Preservation Board recommendations and City Commission approval.

A. Vacation and Abandonment of a Segment of University Drive

The Applicants are requesting the vacation and abandonment of 13,145 square feet of the University Drive segment that abuts the private properties. The segment is proposed to be incorporated into the private property to enlarge the total building site area which would increase both the amount of square feet (FAR) and allowed density for the proposed development.


This request also requires an Amendment to the historic City Plan, which is reviewed by the Historic Preservation Board and approval by the City Commission per the prior Zoning Code Section 8-104.D. This section of the code was recently amended during the Zoning Code Update process to also require the Planning & Zoning Board to review proposed amendments to the historic City Plan. However, the Ponce Park Residences received Board of Architects approval prior to the Zoning Code Update changes, and is therefore required to follow the prior public process of only Historic Preservation Board review.



existing street network



proposed street network



City Code Chapter 62, Article VIII, “Vacation, Abandonment and Closure of Streets, Easements and Alleys by Private Owners and the City; Application Process” requires that the Public Works Department shall review all applications for the vacation of a public right-of-way in accordance with criteria set forth in City Code Sections 62-259 and 62-262.

Zoning Code, Article 14, ‘Process”, Section 14-211.3, “Standards for review” provides the standards for review for the proposed vacations, abandonment or closure of public streets and alleyways.

Findings of Fact – Vacation of University Drive

The standards provided in Zoning Code Section 14-211.3, “Standards for review” and the Applicants’ response to each standard is as follows:

“The Zoning Code specifies that applications for the abandonment and vacation of city streets, alleys, special purpose easements and other non-fee interests which the City may have in real property may be approved provided that it is demonstrated that:

STANDARD FOR REVIEW	APPLICANTS’ RESPONSE
The non-fee property interest sought to be abandoned does not provide a benefit to the public health, safety, welfare, or	Proposed vacation will make the intersection safer and more pedestrian-friendly, as well as increase the amount of landscaped open space at the Applicants’ expense.

STANDARD FOR REVIEW	APPLICANTS' RESPONSE
convenience, in that it is not being used by the City for any of its intended purposes.	There is no plan or program that anticipates the use of the portion of University Drive to remain vehicular.
The Comprehensive Plan, special purpose plan, or capital improvement program does not anticipate its use	The vacation of University Drive does not frustrate any Comprehensive Plan, special purpose plan, or capital improvement program of the City.
Provides some benefit to the public health, safety, welfare, or convenience, but the overall benefit anticipated to result from the abandonment outweighs the specific benefit derived from the non-fee property interest, in that the vacation or abandonment will not frustrate any comprehensive plan, special purpose plan, or capital improvement program of the City.	The vacation and abandonment of the portion of University Drive will not interfere with any planning effort of the City that is presently underway but not yet completed.
The vacation or abandonment will not interfere with any planning effort of the City that is underway at the time of the application but is not yet completed.	The vacation will increase the amount of urban open space and improve pedestrian and vehicular safety. The Applicants will also maintain the open space and will provide any necessary mitigation beyond the mitigation provided for in the development agreement. The alley will be replaced with a mid-block paseo, which will improve pedestrian movement and safety.

Consistency Evaluation of the Comprehensive Plan (CP) Goals, Objectives and Policies

This section provides those CP Goals, Objectives and Policies applicable to the Application to vacate the public street of University Drive and the determination of consistency:

REF. NO.	COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOAL, OBJECTIVE AND POLICY	STAFF REVIEW
1.	Objective FLU-1.13. The City shall enforce the recently adopted Zoning Code which creates, preserves and maintains scenic vistas in keeping with the classic traditions as embodied in the original city plan.	Does not Comply.
2.	Objective DES-1.1. Preserve and promote high quality, creative design and site planning that is compatible with the City’s architectural heritage, surrounding development, public spaces and open spaces.	Does not Comply.
3.	Policy DES-1.1.1. Promote and support George Merrick’s vision consistent with the established historic and cultural fabric of the City.	Does not Comply.
4.	Policy DES-1.1.5. Promote the development of property that achieves unified civic design and proper relationship between the uses of land both within zoning districts and surrounding districts, by regulating, limiting and determining the location, height, density, bulk and massing, access to light and air, area of yards, open space, vegetation and use of buildings, signs and other structures.	Does not comply.
5.	Objective MOB-1.1. Provide solutions to mitigate and reduce the impacts of vehicular traffic on the environment, and residential streets in particular with emphasis on alternatives to the automobile including walking, bicycling, public transit and vehicle pooling.	Complies
6.	Policy MOB-1.1.4. Support incentives that promote walking, bicycling and public transit and those that improve pedestrian and bicycle access to/and between local destinations such as public facilities, governmental facilities, schools, parks, open space, employment centers, downtown, commercial centers, high concentrations of residential, private/public schools, University of Miami and multimodal transit centers/stations.	Complies
7.	Goal HIS-3. Continue the preservation and protection of the historical and cultural resources within the City of Coral Gables.	Does not comply.
8.	Objective HIS-3.1. Continue the enhancement of the City’s protective provisions established pursuant to the Zoning Code, other applicable City Codes and regulations and other Miami-Dade County, State and National provisions.	Does not comply.
9.	Policy HIS-4.1.2. The City should, when making any decision establishing any policy or undertaking, funding or licensing of any activity, affirmatively consider and take into account the effect and impact of such decision, policy or undertaking on any building, archaeological site, landscape, place, object, or property which is an historic resource, such as buildings, structures, archaeological sites, landscapes, places, objects, and properties now or hereafter; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Designated or eligible for designation by the Historic Preservation Board of the City. • Listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. • Included or eligible for inclusion in any City survey of historic properties. 	Does not comply.

REF. NO.	COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOAL, OBJECTIVE AND POLICY	STAFF REVIEW
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Included or eligible for inclusion in the Florida Master Site File established by the Bureau of Historic Preservation, Division of Historical Resources, Department of State, State of Florida. 	

Staff Comments: Staff’s determination is that this application is “not consistent” with all the CP Goals, Objectives and Policies that are identified. The proposed vacation of the portion of University Drive does not preserve and is not compatible with the City’s architectural heritage as it relates to the significant and unique Crafts Section plat. The removal of the significant curve at the northwest corner of Ponce de Leon and Malaga significantly alters the historic block structure and configuration of the Crafts Section.

The use of the development rights of the current right-of-way will result in additional bulk to the proposed abutting building. The additional building bulk does not achieve the proper relationship between building massing and open space as it will result in a higher effective FAR (private parcels only) for the current property.

B. Vacation of an Alley

In addition to the proposed vacation and abandonment of University Drive, the Applicants are also proposing to vacate the existing alley that bisects the property.

City Code Chapter 62, Article VIII, “Vacation, Abandonment and Closure of Streets, Easements and Alleys by Private Owners and the City; Application Process” requires that the Public Works Department shall review all applications for the vacation of a public right-of-way in accordance with criteria set forth in City Code Sections 62-259 and 62-262.

Zoning Code, Article 14, ‘Process”, Section 14-211.3., “Standards for review” provides the standards for review for the proposed vacations, abandonment or closure of public streets and alleyways.

Findings of Fact – Alley Vacation

The standards provided in Zoning Code Section 14-211.3, “Standards for review” and the Applicants’ response to each standard is as follows:

“The Zoning Code specifies that applications for the abandonment and vacation of city streets, alleys, special purpose easements and other non-fee interests which the City may have in real property may be approved provided that it is demonstrated that:

STANDARD FOR REVIEW	APPLICANTS’ RESPONSE
The non-fee property interest sought to be abandoned does not provide a benefit to the public health, safety, welfare, or convenience, in that it is not being	The existing alley is not being used the City for any of its intended purposes The Applicants will work closely with its architect and City staff to ensure that all needs are met by the proposed driveway to the garage, loading area, and pedestrian paseo to replace the form and function of the existing alley.

STANDARD FOR REVIEW	APPLICANTS' RESPONSE
used by the City for any of its intended purposes.	
The Comprehensive Plan, special purpose plan, or capital improvement program does not anticipate its use	There is no plan or program that anticipates the use of the alleyway.
Provides some benefit to the public health, safety, welfare, or convenience, but the overall benefit anticipated to result from the abandonment outweighs the specific benefit derived from the non-fee property interest, in that the vacation or abandonment will not frustrate any comprehensive plan, special purpose plan, or capital improvement program of the City.	The alley will be replaced with a mid-block paseo, which will improve pedestrian movement and safety. The trash, loading, and other aspects of the alley will be internalized within the confines of the building. Moreover, the vacation of the alley will not frustrate any comprehensive plan.
The vacation or abandonment will not interfere with any planning effort of the City that is underway at the time of the application but is not yet completed.	The vacation and abandonment of the alley will not interfere with any planning effort of the City that is presently underway but not yet completed.
The vacation or abandonment will provide a material public benefit in terms of promoting the desired development and improves the City's long-term fiscal condition and the Applicants provide beneficial mitigation in the form of a proffered mitigation plan which mitigates the loss of real property, the increase in the intensity of use and/or impacts on the public health, safety and welfare including increased parking and traffic."	The requested alley vacation will provide a material public benefit to the City by improving pedestrian safety. The alley will be replaced with a mid-block paseo, which will improve pedestrian movement and safety.

Consistency Evaluation of the Comprehensive Plan (CP) Goals, Objectives and Policies

This section provides those CP Goals, Objectives and Policies applicable to the Application to vacate the alley and the determination of consistency:



REF. NO.	COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOAL, OBJECTIVE AND POLICY	STAFF REVIEW
1.	Objective FLU-1.1. Preserve Coral Gables as a “placemaker” where the balance of existing and future uses is maintained to achieve a high quality living environment by encouraging compatible land uses, restoring and protecting the natural environment, and providing facilities and services which meet or exceed the minimum Level of Service (LOS) standards and meet the social and economic needs of the community through the Comprehensive Plan and Future Land Use Classifications and Map (see FLU-1: Future Land Use Map).	Complies
2.	Objective FLU-1.2. Efforts shall continue to be made to control blighting influences, and redevelopment shall continue to be encouraged in areas experiencing deterioration.	Complies
3.	Objective DES-1.1. Preserve and promote high quality, creative design and site planning that is compatible with the City’s architectural heritage, surrounding development, public spaces and open spaces.	Complies
4.	Objective MOB-1.1. Provide solutions to mitigate and reduce the impacts of vehicular traffic on the environment, and residential streets in particular with emphasis on alternatives to the automobile including walking, bicycling, public transit and vehicle pooling.	Complies
5.	Policy MOB-1.1.2. Encourage land use decisions that encourage infill, redevelopment and reuse of vacant or underutilized parcels that support walking, bicycling and public transit use.	Complies

Staff Comments: Staff’s determination that this application is “consistent” with the CP Goals, Objectives and Policies that are identified is based upon compliance with conditions of approval recommended by Staff, and proffered by the Applicants.

C. Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment

The subject site is currently designated as Commercial Low-Rise Intensity on the Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map. The Applicants are proposing to change the land use to **Commercial High-Rise Intensity**, with an ability to secure an additional 3 stories and have a maximum height of 190.5 feet with Mediterranean Bonus Level 2.

A comparison of the property’s existing Future Land Use Map designations and the Applicants’ requested designation is shown on the following maps:



Findings of Fact- Land Use Map Amendment

Zoning Code Section 14-213.6 provides review standards for Comprehensive Plan amendments:

Standard	Staff Evaluation
1. Whether it specifically advances any objective or policy of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan.	The Comprehensive Plan map amendment from Commercial Low-Rise Intensity to Commercial High-Rise Intensity does not directly advance any objective or policy of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan. This requested change only facilitates a taller building and does not effectively advance the objectives and policies in multiple Comprehensive Plan elements that encourage mixed use development and urban housing options near downtown that reduce the need to drive, such as Policy FLU-1.9.1; Objective HOU-1.5; Policy HOU-1.5.2; Policy HOU-1.5.8; Policy MOB-1.1.1; and Policy GRN-1.3.6.
2. Whether it is internally consistent with Comprehensive Land Use Plan.	The proposed mixed-use redevelopment is consistent with the Commercial High-Rise land use, as it allows for mixed-use development. However, the inclusion of public open spaces as proposed is directly consistent with the Mixed-Use land use as it supports an assortment of uses.
3. Its effect on the level of service of public infrastructure.	The proposed map amendment will support enhanced multi-modal activity along Ponce de Leon Boulevard if developed as a mixed-use building. However, Commercial Low-Rise land use does not solidify the opportunity to reduce traffic in the area by encouraging residents to work where they live, and walk, bike, or use transit.

4. Its effect on environmental resources.	The proposed amendment promotes urban infill redevelopment on a currently underutilized land with deteriorating buildings. No significant environmental resources will be impacted.
5. Its effect on the availability of housing that is affordable to people who live or work in the City of Coral Gables.	The proposed amendment will provide additional multi-family housing opportunities near downtown with access to frequent transit service, biking distance to multiple destination, and pedestrian access to dining, shopping, and employment opportunities. The project contributes to the provision of additional housing options for people who live, work, and study in the City.
6. Any other effect that the City determines is relevant to the City Commission’s decision on the application.	Related to this application, the Applicants are also requesting a vacation of a portion of University Drive and a vacation of the alley that bisects the property. If these vacations and the proposed map amendments are to approved, the proposed land use would also be applied to the vacated alley and portion of University Drive.

Staff comments:

The request to change the land use from Commercial Low-Rise to High-Rise is a significant increase of allowed height for the subject property. The existing maximum building height is 77 feet. The Applicants are proposing to change the land use for a maximum building height of 190.5 feet. The increase of maximum building height is not compatible with the abutting low-rise land uses to the west. No transition to the abutting low-rise properties is proposed at this time. Such an increase cannot be recommended by Staff at this time.

D. Development Agreement

The Applicants have submitted a Development Agreement, provided as Attachment D. The contents of the Development Agreement have been developed according to the requirements of Section 14-217.7 of the Zoning Code.

Section 14-217.6 of the Zoning Code, “Standards for review” provides the standards for review for the proposed Development Agreements, as follows:

Standard for Review	Staff Finding
In reaching a decision as to whether or not the development agreement should be approved, approved with changes, approved with conditions, or disapproved, the City Commission and the Planning and Zoning Board shall	The Development Agreement is a tool that will be used to guarantee and enforce the operations, maintenance, and public amenities of the proposed Ponce Park Residences. While the proposed public benefits provided in the agreement ensure the completion of the proposed pedestrian improvements to encourage a more walkable environment, the current Development Agreement does not offer

Standard for Review	Staff Finding
determine whether the development agreement is consistent with and furthers the goals, policies and objectives of the Comprehensive Plan.	substantial public benefit in exchange for the vacation of public lands. The Development Agreement should be updated according an amended proposed project that removes the requests to vacate the public street; change the land use; and updated Mixed-Use Site Plan.

Staff comments:

The Development Agreement states the public benefits proposed by the Applicants include:

1. Park design and construction costs. \$2,000,000.00.
2. Contribution for parking elimination. \$336,000.
3. Sidewalk improvement in the vicinity of the project.
4. Offsite contributions for Ponce Circle Pak. \$1,000,000.00.

However, the proposed Development Agreement assumes approval of all other requests which Staff recommends denial for several of those requests. Thus, Staff recommends denial of the proposed Development Agreement.

E. Transfer of Development Rights (TDRs)

The Applicants are requesting the receipt of 30,000 square feet of TDRs. Although not a receiver site, on August 17, 2019, by Resolution No. 2019-252, the City Commission approved the Applicants to file an application, pursuant to a Dispute Resolution Agreement between the City and Mundomed S.A. and South High Cliff Corporation. As a result of this Dispute Resolution Agreement, specific TDRs were created to preserve some environmentally-sensitive lands which TDRs may be transferred and utilized not only within the boundaries of designated receiving areas (CBD and North Ponce Mixed-Use Corridor), but also in Commercial and Industrial zoned areas.

The City’s Comprehensive Plan Table FLU-2. Commercial Land Uses states that “up to an additional 25% F.A.R. may be granted for properties qualifying as receiving sites for Transfer of Development Rights (TDRs).”

The Historic Preservation Board will also review the request to receive Transfer of Development Rights (TDRs) as required by the Zoning Code because the subject site is within 500 feet of a local historic landmark.

Findings of Fact – Transfer of Development Rights (TDRs)

Sections 14-204.5 and 14-204.6 of the Zoning Code establish the requirements for the use of TDRs on receiver sites. Those provisions state that the Planning and Zoning Board and City Commission may recommend conditions of approval that are necessary to ensure compliance with the criteria and standards as specified in the Zoning Code.

Below is the review and approval process of use of TDR's on receiver sites as set out in Zoning Code Section 14-204.6, as follows:

- A. *"An application to transfer development rights to a receiver site shall be reviewed subject to all of the following":*
1. *"In conformance with any applicable conditions of approval pursuant to the Certificate of TDRs."*
 2. *"Board of Architects review and approval subject to Section 5-100, Design Review Standards."*
 3. *"If the receiving site is within five hundred (500) feet of a local historic landmark, Historic Preservation Board review and approval is required to determine if the proposal shall not adversely affect the historic, architectural, or aesthetic character of the property".*
 4. *"Planning and Zoning Board review and recommendation and City Commission review to determine if the application satisfies all of the following":*
 - a. *"Applicable site plan review requirements per Section 14-202, General Development Review Procedures and conditional use review requirements per Section 14-203, Conditional Uses".*
 - b. *"The extent to which the application is consistent with the Zoning Code and City Code otherwise applicable to the subject property or properties, including but not limited to density, bulk, size, area and use, and the reasons why such departures are determined to be in the public interest".*
 - c. *"The physical design of the proposed site plan and the manner in which the design makes use of adequate provisions for public services, provides adequate control over vehicular traffic, provides for and protects designated common open areas, and furthers the amenities of light and air, recreation and visual enjoyment".*
 - d. *"The conformity of the proposal with the Goals, Objectives and Policies of the City's Comprehensive Plan".*
 5. *Notice of hearings provided in accordance with the provisions of Article 15 of these regulations.*

Staff Comments: The proposed mixed-use building does not comply with the Zoning Code's requirements nor the floor area ratio (FAR) as outlined in the Comprehensive Plan, as the building exceeds the maximum FAR within the private parcels. The utilization of **30,000 sq. ft.** of TDRs in this project to include a public street is not compatible with the district. The proposed building is not within a designated receiving area, as it is outside of the Central Business District (CBD). While these specific TDRs can be considered, the effect to the neighborhood should be carefully reviewed with scrutiny. After studying the area and possible impact of including the public street of University Drive in the receiving site, Staff determined the effect of the increase of FAR from the proposed TDRs is not compatible with the neighborhood. Any requested TDRs should exclude the vacation of the public street and apply to the private parcels only.

F. Mixed Use Site Plan

The Applicants are requesting Conditional Use Review for the proposed mixed-use site plan. The Applicants are authorized to use the Mixed-Use District (MXD) regulations of the former 2007 Zoning Code, as the building design already received Board of Architects approval prior to the adoption of the Zoning Code Update. The Zoning Code Update has updated standards, such as stepbacks, mixes of uses, setbacks, and other regulations. The former MXD process that existed in the 2007 Zoning Code is voluntary and property owners who choose to develop under these regulations are required to undergo Site Plan review in accordance with the Conditional Use process pursuant to the requirements established in Zoning Code Article 14, Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses."

Site Plan Information:

Type	Allowed/Required	Proposed
Area existing lot	20,000 sq. ft.	39,948 sq. ft. (east and west parcels)
Proposed alley vacation		3,002 sq. ft.
Proposed ROW vacation		13,145 sq. ft.
Total area		56,095 sq. ft. (1.29 acres)
FAR	3.0, or 3.5 with Med Bonus Level 2	196,333 sq. ft.
TDRs	25% maximum increase	30,000 square feet (13% increase) (21% increase on private parcels only)
Total FAR	4.375 (3.5 + TDRs)	4.03 FAR (226,332 sq. ft.) 5.66 FAR (private parcels only)
Building height	Existing land use: Commercial Low-Rise Intensity 50 ft., 77 feet (Med Bonus Level 2) Proposed land use: Commercial High-Rise Intensity 150 ft., 190.5 feet (Med Bonus Level 2)	179 feet to top of the roof 202 feet to the top of ornamental structure
Number of stories	After change of land use to Commercial High-Rise Intensity: 16 floors/190.5 feet	16 stories
Proposed Uses:		
<i>Residential</i>	Density: 125 units/acre 115 units (private parcels only) 161 units (incl. alley and ROW vacations)	Density: 125 units/acre (178 units/acre on private parcels only) 161 units
<i>Ground Floor Commercial</i>	18,107 sq. ft. (8%)	18,107 sq. ft. (8%)
Parking		
<i>Residential Units</i>		
1BR, 76 units @1/unit	76 spaces	
2BR, 59 units @1.75/unit	103.25 spaces	
3BR, 26 units @2.25/unit	58.5 spaces	
<i>Retail @ 1 space/300</i>	60 spaces (18,107 sq. ft./300)	
Total Parking	185 per shared parking analysis	265 spaces
Open Space at ground level	5,609 sq. ft. (10%)	18,468 sq. ft. (32%) on-site (incl. alley and

Type	Allowed/Required of the site area	Proposed ROW vacations) 0 sq. ft. (private parcels only)
------	-----------------------------------	---

Setbacks*	Permitted/Required	Permitted/Required
Primary street frontages Ponce de Leon Blvd	10 ft.	0 feet (Building setback reductions per Mixed Use and Med Bonus)
Side street (North) (Catalonia Avenue)	15 ft.	0 feet (Building setback reductions per Mixed Use and Med Bonus)
Side street (South) (Malaga Avenue)	15 ft.	0 feet (Building setback reductions per Mixed Use and Med Bonus)
Rear (Westside)	10 ft.	0 feet (Building setback reductions per Mixed Use and Med Bonus)

* Setback reductions may be awarded for MXD projects subject to providing vertical building setbacks, a minimum of 10 ft. at maximum height of 45 ft. on all facades.

Findings of Fact – Mixed-Use Site Plan

Conditional Use Review Criteria

Planning Staff’s review of the criteria set out in Section 14-203.8, “Standards for Review” is as follows:

STANDARD	STAFF EVALUATION
1. The proposed conditional use is consistent with and furthers the goals, objectives and policies of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan and furthers the purposes of these regulations and other City ordinances and actions designed to implement the Plan.	Yes. The Application for Mixed Use Site Plan review is “consistent” with the CP’s Goals, Objectives and Policies with the recommended conditions of approval and site plan provisions incorporated by the Applicant which address the City objectives for encouraging mix of uses within the city’s urban areas bounded by Bird Road, LeJeune Road, U.S. 1 and Ponce de Leon Boulevard. The geographic area encompasses a large area that is served by numerous residential, commercial, retail and office uses. The area is served by the Coral Gables Trolley and regional Miami-Dade Metrorail at Douglas Station.
2. The available use to which the property may be put is appropriate to the property that is subject to the proposed conditional use and compatible with existing and planned uses in the area.	No. The subject property is located south of downtown with some existing and approved mixed-use buildings. Therefore the proposed residential uses on the formerly commercial site is compatible with other properties in the area. However, the proposed project assumes a change of land use from Commercial Low-Rise Intensity to High-Rise Intensity and vacation of a public street. These additional requests result in a large mixed-used building that is not compatible with the size, mass, height, and bulk of other buildings in the area.
3. The proposed conditional use does not conflict with the needs	No. The subject property is requesting to construct a building larger than what is allowed within their private parcels. While

STANDARD	STAFF EVALUATION
and character of the neighborhood and the City.	the application includes a request to vacate the abutting street and utilize the possible Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of the street, the building itself will visually exceed the allowed size of the subject property and neighboring properties. This increase of building bulk, mass, height, and size conflicts with the character of the neighborhood and the city.
4. The proposed conditional use will not adversely or unreasonably affect the use of other property in the area.	No. The use of possible development square feet of the proposed street vacation has the potential to set a precedent for future development to propose similar requests that may adversely affect the use of other properties.
5. The proposed use is compatible with the nature, condition and development of adjacent uses, buildings and structures and will not adversely affect the adjacent uses, buildings or structures	No. The request to construct a larger building than what is allowed within the private parcels is not compatible with the allowed development of adjacent buildings and structures. While the application includes a request to vacate the abutting street and utilize the possible Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of the street, the building itself will visually exceed the allowed size of the subject property and adjacent properties. This increase of building bulk, mass, height, and size may adversely affect the adjacent buildings or structures.
6. The parcel proposed for development is adequate in size and shape to accommodate all development features.	Yes. The subject property is larger than the minimum 20,000 square foot size for a mixed-use project within an approved MXD and MXOD.
7. The nature of the proposed development is not detrimental to the health, safety and general welfare of the community.	Yes. Commercial and mixed-use properties surround the project site, and the proposed project is consistent with the stated goals and objectives for mixed use redevelopment. The redevelopment of this property as a mixed use project fulfills the objective of the City to attract residential developments to downtown and to create an urban environment designed for people.
8. The design of the proposed driveways, circulation patterns and parking is well defined to promote vehicular and pedestrian circulation.	Yes. All vehicular parking for the project is located within the confines of the building to be accessed from Catalonia, and service access and areas are enclosed to be accessed from Malaga. Arcades and a pedestrian paseo are provided to encourage and facilitate pedestrian circulation through and around the project site and surrounding district. Staff's recommended conditions of approval include the requirement for a level and continuous sidewalk through these driveways to prioritize pedestrian circulation.
9. The proposed conditional use satisfies the concurrency standards of Section 14-218 and will not adversely burden public facilities, including the traffic-carrying capacities of streets, in an unreasonable or	Yes. The proposed project was reviewed by the Zoning Division and meets concurrency and does not adversely burden public facilities. Furthermore, a Traffic Impact Study was done by Kimley Horn & Associates with the Public Works Department and is attached.

STANDARD	STAFF EVALUATION
disproportionate manner.	Additionally, certain conditions of approval are recommended to ensure the project meets required infrastructure.

Traffic Study

The subject site is within the Gables Redevelopment Infill District (GRID). The City’s GRID allows development within its boundaries to move forward regardless of a roadway’s level of service (LOS). The City does, however, require all developments within the GRID that increase intensity/density to complete a Traffic Impact Study (TIS). A TIS dated November 2020 prepared by Kimley Horn & Associates for the Public Works Department is provided in Attachment D. The study demonstrates that there will not be significant impacts to the surrounding roadways with the proposed development.

Concurrency Management

This project has been reviewed for compliance with the City’s Concurrency Management program. The Concurrency Impact Statement (CIS) for the project indicates that there is adequate infrastructure available to support the project.

Public School Concurrency Review

Pursuant to the Educational Element of the City’s Comprehensive Plan, Section 14-218.4 of the Zoning Code, and State of Florida growth management statute requirements, public school concurrency review is required prior to final Board of Architects review for all applications for development approval in order to identify and address the impacts of new residential development on the levels of service for public school facilities. Adequate school capacity must be available. If capacity is not available, the developer, school district and affected local government must work together to find a way to provide capacity before the development can proceed. A letter issued by the Miami-Dade County Public School Board dated September 3, 2020 states the proposed project had been reviewed and that the required Level of Service (LOS) standard had been met. A copy of that letter is provided as part of Attachment A.

Art in Public Places Program

The Applicant is required to satisfy the City’s Art in Public Places program by either providing public art on site or providing a contribution to the Art in Public Places Fund. The Applicant proposes to provide contribution to the Art in Public Places Fund in compliance with Zoning Code regulations. A portion of the contribution will be used by the City to provide art at Ponce Circle Park.

Off-site improvements and Undergrounding of Overhead Utilities.

The provisions in Zoning Code for Mixed-Use Districts require that all utilities shall be installed underground pursuant to the direction of the Public Works Department. In accordance with that requirement, all utilities within the public right-of-way adjoining the project site will be installed underground. To assist in a cohesive undergrounding of all utilities, in furtherance of satisfying Zoning Code Article 2 “Zoning Districts,” and Article 14, “Conditional Uses,” Section 14-203, “Standards for review,” the Applicant is required to underground all existing overhead utilities.

G. Tentative Plat

Related to the two separate right-of-way vacation requests, the Applicants are proposing a re-plat of the private property to reflect the abandoned segment of University Drive and the vacated alley. The proposed tentative plat contains two tracks. Tract “A” will become the building site for the Project, and Tract “B” will become the site of the urban park, formerly City right-of-way.

Findings of Fact - Tentative Plat Review

The procedure for reviewing and recommending a tentative plat is contained in Sections 14-210.1 through 14-210.4 of the Zoning Code. The Planning and Zoning Board provides a recommendation on tentative plats to the City Commission. The final plat is prepared from the tentative plat, with a final review and approval in resolution form by the City Commission. Administrative review and approval of the final plat by the Miami-Dade County Subdivision Department is required prior to the City Commission hearing. The tentative plat is provided in the submitted Application (see Attachment A).

City Staff Review

This tentative plat was submitted for review to the Development Review Committee (DRC) and distributed to City Departments as required in Zoning Code Section 14-210.2. The Zoning Code requires review and comments be provided by the Public Works Department, which have been sent to the Applicants. Further review is required by the Public Works Department and Miami-Dade County prior to final plat consideration by the City Commission.

Consistency Evaluation of the Comprehensive Plan (CP) Goals, Objectives and Policies

This section provides those Comprehensive Plan Goals, Objectives and Policies applicable to the proposed re-plat and the determination of consistency:

REF. NO.	COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOAL, OBJECTIVE AND POLICY	STAFF REVIEW
1.	Goal FLU-1. Protect, strengthen, and enhance the City of Coral Gables as a vibrant community ensuring that its neighborhoods, business opportunities, shopping, employment centers, cultural activities, historic value, desirable housing, open spaces, and natural resources make the City a very desirable place to work, live and play.	Complies
2.	Objective FLU-1.1. Preserve Coral Gables as a “placemaker” where the balance of existing and future uses is maintained to achieve a high quality living environment by encouraging compatible land uses, restoring and protecting the natural environment, and providing facilities and services which meet or exceed the minimum Level of Service (LOS) standards and meet the social and economic needs of the community through the Comprehensive Plan and Future Land Use Classifications and Map (see FLU-1: Future Land Use Map).	Complies
3.	Objective FLU-1.2. Efforts shall continue to be made to control blighting influences, and redevelopment shall continue to be encouraged in areas experiencing	Complies

REF. NO.	COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOAL, OBJECTIVE AND POLICY	STAFF REVIEW
	deterioration.	
4.	Policy DES-1.1.5. Promote the development of property that achieves unified civic design and proper relationship between the uses of land both within zoning districts and surrounding districts, by regulating, limiting and determining the location, height, density, bulk and massing, access to light and air, area of yards, open space, vegetation and use of buildings, signs and other structures.	Does not comply.
5.	Policy FLU-1.9.1. Encourage balanced mixed use development in the central business district and adjoining commercial areas to promote pedestrian activity and provide for specific commitments to design excellence and long term economic and cultural vitality.	Complies
6.	Policy FLU-1.11.1. Maintain and enforce effective development and maintenance regulations through site plan review, code enforcement, and design review boards and committees.	Complies
7.	Goal DES-1. Maintain the City as a livable city, attractive in its setting and dynamic in its urban character.	Complies
8.	Objective DES-1.1. Preserve and promote high quality, creative design and site planning that is compatible with the City's architectural heritage, surrounding development, public spaces and open spaces.	Does not Comply.
9.	Policy DES-1.2.1. Continue the award of development bonuses and/or other incentives to promote Coral Gables Mediterranean design character providing for but not limited to the following: creative use of architecture to promote public realm improvements and pedestrian amenities; provide a visual linkage between contemporary architecture and the existing and new architectural fabric; encourage landmark opportunities; and creation of public open spaces.	Complies
10.	Objective HOU-1.5. Support the infill of housing in association with mixed use development.	Complies
11.	Policy HOU-1.5.2. Encourage residential mixed use as a means of increasing housing supply within the Downtown/Central Business District/Mixed Use Development Overlay Area, thereby promoting increase in commercial and retail activity, increased use of transit, reduction of auto dependency, in association with minimizing visual and physical impacts of nearby lower density areas.	Complies
12.	Objective MOB-1.1. Provide solutions to mitigate and reduce the impacts of vehicular traffic on the environment, and residential streets in particular with emphasis on alternatives to the automobile including walking, bicycling, public transit and vehicle pooling.	Complies
13.	Policy MOB-1.1.1. Promote mixed use development to provide housing and commercial services near employment centers, thereby reducing the need to drive.	Complies
14.	Policy MOB-1.1.2. Encourage land use decisions that encourage infill, redevelopment and reuse of vacant or underutilized parcels that support walking, bicycling and public transit use.	Complies
15.	Policy MOB-1.1.3. Locate higher density development along transit corridors and near multimodal stations.	Complies

REF. NO.	COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOAL, OBJECTIVE AND POLICY	STAFF REVIEW
16.	Policy MOB-1.1.5. Improve amenities within public spaces, streets, alleys and parks to include the following improvements: seating; art; architectural elements (at street level); lighting; bicycle parking; street trees; improved pedestrian crossing with bulb-outs, small curb radii, on-street parking along sidewalks, pedestrian paths and bicycle paths to encourage walking and cycling with the intent of enhancing the feeling of safety.	Complies
17.	Policy MOB-1.1.8. Protect residential areas from parking impacts of nearby nonresidential uses and businesses and discourage parking facilities that intrude, impact and increase traffic into adjacent residential areas.	Complies
18.	Policy MOB-2.7.1. The City shall, via the review of development projects and city transportation improvement projects, conserve and protect the character and livability of all residential neighborhoods by preventing the intrusion of through vehicles on local and collector streets. The City shall discourage through traffic in neighborhoods and may incorporate traffic management and calming measures including, but not limited to, signage, landscape design, traffic calming devices and roadway design.	Complies
19.	Policy MOB-2.8.1. The City shall continue implementation and further strengthen the City’s existing land development regulations requiring the placement of landscaping within rights-of-way to complete the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Promote expansion of the City’s existing tree canopy. •Provide screening of potentially objectionable uses. •Serve as visual and sound buffers. •Provide a comfortable environment for pedestrian walking (walkability) and other activities. •Improve the visual attractiveness of the urban and residential areas (neighborhoods). 	Complies

Staff Comments: Staff’s determination that the re-plat as currently proposed with the new plat of the proposed vacation of University Drive is not consistent with the CP Goals, Objectives and Policies. The creation of the fee-simple tract comprised of the proposed University Drive vacation is not consistent with the many goals of the Comprehensive Plan to promote development that achieves proper relationship between the uses of land and surrounding areas. The proposed re-plat is also not consistent with the importance of historic preservation in Coral Gables in terms of the historic grid as designed by founder George Merrick. The re-plat may be revised to not create a fee-simple tract nor utilize the development rights of the public street, which will better meet the policies of the City’s Comprehensive Plan.

4. REVIEW TIMELINE AND PUBLIC NOTIFICATION AND COMMENTS

City Review Timeline

The submitted applications have undergone the following City reviews:

TYPE OF REVIEW	DATE
Development Review Committee	07.31.20
Board of Architects (Preliminary Design and Mediterranean Architecture)	11.09.20
Planning and Zoning Board	02.10.21
Historic Preservation Board	02.17.21
Planning and Zoning Board	08.11.21
City Commission (1 st reading)	TBD
City Commission (2nd reading)	TBD


STAFF REVIEW				
Department	DRC 07.31.20	Board of Architects 11.19.20	Staff Meeting 01.08.21	Comments Provided?
Historical Resources and Cultural Arts	x		x	Yes
Parking	x		x	Yes
Public Service	x		x	Yes
Concurrency	x		x	Yes
Police	x			Yes
Fire	x			Yes
Public Works	x		x	Yes
Zoning	x		x	Yes
Board of Architects	x	x		Yes
Planning	x		x	Yes
Building	x		x	
Economic Development	x		x	Yes

Public Notification and Comments

The Applicant held the mandatory neighborhood meeting on November 24, 2020 with notification to all property owners within 1,500 of the property. A summary of the meeting and attendance list is provided as Attachment C.

The Zoning Code requires that a mailed notification be provided to all property owners within 1,500 feet of the property. The notification was sent on January 28, 2021. The notice indicates the following: applications filed; public hearing dates/time/location; where the application files can be reviewed and provides for an opportunity to submit comments. Approximately 835 notices were mailed. A copy of the legal advertisement and courtesy notice are provided as Attachment E. A map of the notice radius is provided below.

Mailed Notification Radius Map



The following has been completed to solicit input and provide notice of the Application:

Public Notice

TYPE	DATE
Applicant neighborhood meeting	11.24.20
Courtesy notification for February PZB	01.28.21
Sign posting of property for February PZB	01.29.21
Legal advertisement for February PZB	01.29.21
Posted Staff report on City web page for February PZB	02.05.21
Mailed notification for August PZB	07.28.21
Sign posting of property for August PZB	07.30.21
Legal advertisement for August PZB	07.30.21
Posted Staff report on City web page for August PZB	08.06.21

5. Staff Recommendation.

The Planning Division based upon the complete Findings of Fact contained within this Report recommends the following:

1. *An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, approving the vacation of a public street pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-211, "Abandonment and Vacations" and City Code Chapter 62, Article 8, "Vacation, Abandonment and Closure of Streets, Easements and Alleys by Private Owners and the City; Application Process," providing for the vacation of that portion of University Drive north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and east of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard*

right-of-way which is approximately 13,145 square feet in area abutting Block 29, Crafts Section (3000 Ponce de Leon Blvd, 216 & 224 Catalonia, 203 University Dr, and 225 Malaga), Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. (LEGAL DESCRIPTION ON FILE)

Staff recommends **Denial**. Proposed enhancements to the public spaces may be proposed with a revised Mixed-Use Site Plan request and may be included in the development agreement.

- 2. An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, approving the vacation of a public alleyway pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-211, "Abandonment and Vacations" and City Code Chapter 62, Article 8, "Vacation, Abandonment and Closure of Streets, Easements and Alleys by Private Owners and the City; Application Process," providing for the vacation of the twenty (20) foot wide alley which is approximately one hundred and fifty-five (155) feet in length lying between Lots 12 thru 18 and Lots 11 and 19 in Block 29, Crafts Section (3000 Ponce de Leon Blvd, 216 & 224 Catalonia, 203 University Dr, and 225 Malaga), Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. (LEGAL DESCRIPTION ON FILE)*

Staff recommends **Approval**.

- 3. An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida amending the Future Land Use Map of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-213, "Comprehensive Plan Text and Map Amendments," and Small Scale amendment procedures (ss. 163.3187, Florida Statutes), from "Commercial Low-Rise Intensity" to "Commercial High-Rise Intensity" for Lots 8 through 21, less the West ½ of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way (3000 Ponce de Leon Blvd, 216 & 224 Catalonia, 203 University Dr, and 225 Malaga), Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. (LEGAL DESCRIPTION ON FILE) (LPA review)*

Staff recommends **Denial** of change to Commercial High-Rise Intensity.

- 4. An Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving a Development Agreement pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-217, "Development Agreements," for a proposed mixed-use development referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" related to the construction of a project consisting of a mix of uses including commercial and residential, on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West ½ of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; (3000 Ponce de Leon Blvd, 216 & 224 Catalonia, 203 University Dr, and 225 Malaga), Coral Gables, Florida; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date. (LEGAL DESCRIPTION ON FILE)*

Staff recommends **Denial** on current draft and recommends renegotiations between the parties.

5. *A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving receipt of Transfer of Development Rights (TDRs) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-204.6, "Review and approval of use of TDRs on receiver sites," for the receipt and use of TDRs for a Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West ½ of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; (3000 Ponce de Leon Blvd, 216 & 224 Catalonia, 203 University Dr, and 225 Malaga), Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. (LEGAL DESCRIPTION ON FILE)*

Staff recommends **Denial** until proposed site plan is revised to not include the proposed public street vacation.

6. *A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving Mixed-Use Site Plan and Conditional Use review pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process" Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses," for a proposed Mixed-Use project referred to as "Ponce Park Residences" on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West ½ of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; (3000 Ponce de Leon Blvd, 216 & 224 Catalonia, 203 University Dr, and 225 Malaga), Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. (LEGAL DESCRIPTION ON FILE)*

Staff recommends **Denial** until revised site plan is submitted and reviewed.

7. *A Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving the Tentative Plat entitled "Ponce Park Residences" pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-210, "Platting/Subdivision," being a re-plat of 56,095 square feet (1.287 acres) into two (2) tracts of land on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 21, less the West ½ of lot 8, Block 29, Crafts Section, together with that portion of the 20-foot platted alley lying east of Lots 11 and 19, of said Block 29, together with that portion of University Drive that runs north of the Malaga Avenue right-of-way and west of the Ponce de Leon Boulevard right-of-way; (3000 Ponce de Leon Blvd, 216 & 224 Catalonia, 203 University Dr, and 225 Malaga), Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and providing for an effective date. (LEGAL DESCRIPTION ON FILE)*

Staff recommends **Deferral** for the Applicant to withdraw proposed public street vacation and remove fee-simple Tract from Tentative Plat.

ATTACHMENTS

- A. Applicants' Amended Statement of Use.
- B. Applicants' submittal package.
- C. 02.10.21 Planning & Zoning Board Meeting Minutes.
- D. Development Agreement.

- E. Neighborhood Meeting invitation and summary.
- F. Traffic Impact Analysis.
- G. Notice mailed to all property owners within 1,500 feet and legal ad.
- H. PowerPoint Presentation.
- I. Public Comments.

Please visit the City's webpage at www.coralgables.com to view all Application materials, notices, applicable public comments, minutes, etc. The complete Application and all background information also is on file and available for examination during business hours at the Planning and Zoning Division, 427 Biltmore Way, Suite 201, Coral Gables, Florida, 33134.

Respectfully submitted,



Ramon Trias, Ph.D., AIA, AICP, LEED AP
Assistant Director of Development Services for Planning
City of Coral Gables, Florida