CITY OF CORAL GABLES 1 405 BILTMORE WAY CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA 33134 2 3 Δ 5 6 MEETING OF THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD 7 Thursday June 12th, 2025 8 4:00 p.m. 9 City Hall, Commission Chambers 10 11 12 13 Commission Members In Attendance: 14 MICHAEL MAXWELL 15 CESAR GARCIA-PONS 16 MICHELLE CUERVO-DUNAJ 17 XAVIER DURANA 18 PEGGY ROLANDO 19 DONA SPAIN 20 MARLIN EBBERT 21 22 CITY ATTORNEY: 23 STEPHANIE THROCKMORTON 2.4 CLIFF FRIEDMAN 25 1 (Excerpt of meeting:) 2 THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. We're going to open the public hearing, but I'd like everyone to know 3 4 that our City Manager and Assistant City Manager are 4 here with us today, and we are very honored to have 5 5 them back. So thank you for coming and being a part.6 6 7 Okay. Let's see, what have we got today. We 8 have no designations today. The Board items are 9 Historic Preservation Board Review of the Window 10 Replacement Proposal for the City Hall complex, item10 number 24-8422. 11

12 MR. FRIEDMAN: Good afternoon, Board. My name is12 Cliff Friedman, Assistant City Attorney. I am filling 13 14 in temporarily for Ms. Throckmorton until she's able14 to make it to the meeting today. 15 15

16 The item regarding the City Hall windows here is 16 before you at the City Commission's request. 17 Thev ar₹ looking for review and feedback on the windows. It '38 18 19 not necessary to take a vote on this item either, but9 20 staff is here to make a presentation. 20 21 Do you want the images shown or do you want to 21

22 speak? Can you put up the PowerPoint, please? 2.2 23 MR. IGLESIAS: Good afternoon. It's a pleasure 23 to be here today with all of you. This is a 2.4 2.4 presentation on the windows for City Hall. I know 2.5 25

that the Board has had a prior presentation. I just wanted to get into some of the issues, some of the time that we spent originally on the windows, and some of the problems that we have with the existing windows.

1

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

8

0

11

2

The mock up is downstairs. We couldn't bring it up because it weighed 550 pounds plus the frame. The windows at City Hall is something that we worked on for about a year, and the issue was to try to get a window as closely matching to the existing windows. We looked at wood windows; they would be too large, similar to the ones that are used on the Biltmore. Much heavier frame. We looked at aluminum, and then we finally decided to go with Hope windows because the windows are structural steel. And the reason we went with structural steel was -- at a very high cost, those windows are between three and four million dollars plus installation. And the reason that we went to those windows is because we could try to match the sections as much as possible with wood and then have structural loading, means the pressure, positive negative pressures of the hurricane.

We have, of course, the impact criteria, as we all know, and then we also have water infiltration. Water infiltration is a key issue. Some of the

problems that we're having with the building right now -- I took a look at some areas, additional areas that were exposed, and the structure looks in pretty good shape. The problems that we have all seem to be along the perimeter, which we knew we had because there's so much water infiltration that we have a problem with the stairs and we have a problem around some of the perimeter beams.

Overall, the structure looks in good shape. It's got some issues here and there where the additional cover on the reinforcement was not correct due to construction errors. Very minor. We've got concrete that's almost 100 years old, which means the concrete is really not protecting the steel.

It goes through, concrete, goes through a carbonation process where the alkalinity is reduced in the concrete to a point that it doesn't protect steel like new concrete does. And so those are things that we're looking to actually address; there's even products that can enhance that.

So where is our biggest issue is the actual windows and the water infiltration that we have along the perimeter of the structure. We also have, of course, structural loading. These windows are not very strong. They really can't take hurricane

loading. I know the building's been here for 400 1 2 years, but it's just a probability, right? I was just discussing that there is in Katrina, Athena has a 3 3 beautiful colonial home that was there for 120 years,4 4 5 and gone after Katrina. So it's a probabilistic 5 6 issue right, and how lucky we feel.

7

8

9

So we spent about a year looking at different 7 8 Windows, getting, detailing the actual structural 9 sections of these windows, and started working with Hope to get the mock up that you all saw below. Tried 10 11 to bring it up, but too heavy, didn't fit in the 11 12 elevator and too heavy to bring up the stairs. 12

So I'm here to answer whatever questions that youl3 13 14 all have. And also, one important thing as far as the assessment of the existing windows are concerned, the5 15 existing windows can be repaired. I can tell you, 16 16 it's wood, structural material, it can be repaired. 17 17 18 But they don't have structural loading, they don't 18 19 have impact resistance, and they don't have water 19 infiltration resistance. 20 20

21 We actually put shutters up on this building. 21 22 Those shutters are really for water intrusion in ver2/2 Category One hurricanes and things like this, because3 23 we're actually anchoring to limestone, and there are24 2.4 no structural values for anchors in limestone. So w25 25

1 put them up the best we can, but to expect those 1 2 shutters to really work, they really don't because 2 there are no values for that. 3 3 4 MS. SPAIN: How are these anchored? How are you 4 planning on anchoring the new windows? 5 6 MR. IGLESIAS: These would be anchored similar to 6 7 what we did on your house. We want to get into the -7 8 MS. SPAIN: I knew he was going to bring my house 8 9 up. I walked right into that. Yes, you were a big 9 10 help on my house. 10 MR. IGLESIAS: Yes, and we've gotten some 11 11 12 experience in terra cotta block. So we're going to 12 have to fill in terra cotta block, high strength 13 13 14 grout. We're going to reinforce as much as we can. 14 Also, going to certainly work the building from the 15 15 16 inside. We want to make sure that we protect the 16 limestone lining. If we touch that, it's going to be7 17 unless you're Michelangelo, it's going to be a problem 18 19 to actually replace that. So we're going to try to 19 20 work from the interior as much as possible and 20 21 reinforce the actual frame opening. And so doing 21 22 something similar, similar techniques that we've 2.2 23 looked at for a number of years, working on historic23 2.4 homes. We've done that in a number of homes. 2.4 So we've gone to this window. We really did not 25 25

look at a cost when we did this window. We wanted match these windows as close as possible. If we would have been looking for cost, we would not be using Hope, and we would not be using this custom window. And again, we went with Hope to use a structural steel window to try to make those moldings as much, as small as possible, meet the structural loading, meet the impact criteria and try to keep the building as dry as possible. And if we see some of the areas, the areas that you see on the stairs, that window on the side, just continuously, so it's hurting the building.

However, as you go inside, the structure looks quite good. Very repairable. I've seen much worse This building is in good shape from a historical perspective. Do we need repairs? Yes. I mean, and especially in the perimeter where we've had leaks as far as the windows are concerned. But this was a building built by a very good architect under architect supervision, and you can tell from the construction. So we're dealing with a good structure that if we protect it, we're going to have a building for quite some time. So I'm here to answer any question on the building or anything else.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Manager. That's really, that's very heartening to hear, and we're glad

8

to know that the building is in good structural condition. One of the, just to very quickly --

MR. IGLESIAS: It does have a few issues. It does have some issues, and those issues we can certainly correct.

THE CHAIRMAN: I'm 74 years old and this building is 100, and I've got issues too. And just like all older things, you know, we do change a little bit. Just to bring you up to date, for the time that you've been out, the Board has looked at the window and we had a discussion. And Mr. Gomez, I'm not sure if you were at that discussion, and one of the things that we had recommended back to the City administration was to look at preserving the wooden windows and restoring them, and then placing the impact glass window behind them. Because the issue that was brought to us at the time was sealing the building.

And of course, you know, with the historic doors as well, we'll have the same -- with the same issue. So we highly encourage that as, to look very, very seriously at it, because this is one of the very few buildings that has that. And we realize that yes, they can be damaged, but they can also be repaired, but that the ceiling and the structural integrity would be from behind that. We don't open the windows

Γ	9	11
1	anyway. So, you know, it's like we think that we 1	and the impact would be from behind.
2	could, you know, look at something like that. 2	And I don't think it's necessary to restore all
3	MR. IGLESIAS: It's not opening the windows, and 3	the windows at the same time, you know, just do it
4	I understand that we want to try to put some type of 4	little by little. Put something in the budget that
5	Plexiglass or something behind it. That is and I 5	you take care of, you know, ten windows at a time, and
6	understand that that was. The problem with this type6	then you do it. We're not in that big of a rush.
7	of window, it just leaks and leaks and leaks, and you7	MR. IGLESIAS: Speaker B: We are going to do a
8	really cannot control it.	building. Our plan is to, is to potentially move out
9	THE CHAIRMAN: Of course we can. We would seal 9	of City Hall next year and get the job done. It's
10	the window. I mean, I've restored lots of buildings10	about 25 to 30 million dollars.
11	MR. IGLESIAS: But if you put a Plexiglas behind,11	MS. SPAIN: I think it's the wrong thing to do.
12	certainly you're not, you know, and you're putting 12	MR. IGLESIAS: We just want to, we are looking at
13	Plexiglass on the actual frame, how that's going to 13	a budget of 25 to 30 million dollars. We are looking
14	function, we don't really know because we don't know14	
		at part of the Menorca garage. The plan in the
15	how the window is anchored properly. Is the window 15	Menorca garage was to move the Commission, City
16	anchored properly? It's an old wood frame.	Manager, City Attorney and City Clerk to the bottom of
17	The window itself, it was done in an era where wel7	that, of the garage.
18	didn't have air conditioning. It was not the 18	We did some work, some work, temporary work for
19	gasketing is not there. The window is not there. 19	the passport office. The Tax Collector decided to
20	It's small little panes. It just, it's a window that 0	rent that space because it was already done for them.
21	is very difficult to do. That kind of a solution is2h	And so we only have space now for the City Commission,
22	difficult solution. 22	and we'll be moving to a building on Ponce. The idea
23	MS. SPAIN: I have a question. 23	is to look at mechanical, electrical, plumbing,
24	MR. IGLESIAS: And not really, you know, not 24	everything, upgrade the entire building, restore the
25	really from a structural perspective 25	entire building and even look at this space and see.
Γ	10	12
1	MS. SPAIN: I understand what you're saying. 1	Because originally the Commission was on that side,
2	When we did the Merrick house, those were the origina2	right?
3	windows, and the people that did the restoration took 3	MS. SPAIN: Yes, there's photographs of that.
4	the windows out, restored them off site, and then 4	Interesting.
5	brought them and anchored them in properly. So that' 5	MR. IGLESIAS: Correct, and you showed me those,
6	what would have to be done with this building. And I6	to me.
7	wasn't thinking about Plexiglas, necessarily, behind 7	MS. SPAIN: It works better acoustically to have
8	it. I was thinking about during a storm, that the 8	it over there.
9	impact, whatever the City does I mean, it's scary,9	MR. IGLESIAS: So that's the idea, is to come in
10	the panels that go up on City Hall now. I mean, I 10	and get City Hall, give a major upgrade.
11	remember, my office has been in just about every 11	MS. SPAIN: I get it, and I agree with everything
12	office because I had so many jobs when I was working12	you're doing except the windows. I think it's a real
13	here. But they would get up and walk along the 13	pity to have this building even, even Hope, which are
14	ledges, and so I'm not 14	amazing windows. I just think someone should cost it
15	MR. IGLESIAS: It takes three days, it takes 15	out and see what it would take to keep these windows,
16	three days to do that.	because there's nothing like original windows in a
17	MS. SPAIN: I know, and I'm not saying that. I 17	historic building.
17		MR. IGLESIAS: The cost of windows are three to
18	was asking whether it was possible to do the hurricante	
	was asking whether it was possible to do the hurricante shutters or the hurricane panel, or whatever it is 19	four million.
18		four million. MS. SPAIN: I get it.
18 19 20	shutters or the hurricane panel, or whatever it is 19 you're going to put up, behind the historic windows 20	MS. SPAIN: I get it.
18 19 20 21	shutters or the hurricane panel, or whatever it is 19 you're going to put up, behind the historic windows 20 once they get anchored. I mean, would that be 21	MS. SPAIN: I get it. MR. IGLESIAS: We did not go to a cheap solution.
18 19 20 21 22	shutters or the hurricane panel, or whatever it is 19 you're going to put up, behind the historic windows 20 once they get anchored. I mean, would that be 21 possible? Because then you're just inside, and then22	MS. SPAIN: I get it. MR. IGLESIAS: We did not go to a cheap solution. MS. SPAIN: I understand.
18 19 20 21 22 23	shutters or the hurricane panel, or whatever it is 19 you're going to put up, behind the historic windows 20 once they get anchored. I mean, would that be 21 possible? Because then you're just inside, and then22 you could have operable windows during, when it isn'23	MS. SPAIN: I get it. MR. IGLESIAS: We did not go to a cheap solution. MS. SPAIN: I understand. MR. IGLESIAS: We wanted to have the best
18 19 20 21 22	shutters or the hurricane panel, or whatever it is 19 you're going to put up, behind the historic windows 20 once they get anchored. I mean, would that be 21 possible? Because then you're just inside, and then22	MS. SPAIN: I get it. MR. IGLESIAS: We did not go to a cheap solution. MS. SPAIN: I understand.

building. We worked for over a year, did actual "as 1 1 2 built" of the windows, tried to match those sections 2 as close as possible, and used the strongest window, 3 3 stronger material, which is steel, substantially 4 4 5 stronger than aluminum, to actually keep those 5 6 sections as small as possible. 6 MS. SPAIN: This building isn't going anywhere. 7 7 8 MR. IGLESIAS: I just want to say that we --8 MS. SPAIN: I get it, and I know you're not 9 9 10 scrimping. 10 11 MR. IGLESIAS: -- spared no expense on this. 11 MS. SPAIN: I know you well enough to know that, 12 12 that you'll do a quality job when you do that, but 13 I1B 14 telling you, it's the wrong thing to do on this 14 building. I know you and I have disagreed on a lot bf 15 things over the years. 16 16 MR. IGLESIAS: We're still friends. 17 17 18 MS. SPAIN: I know. It's amazing. But you 18 19 really, you should at least talk to someone that can19 do that and just see what they say about restoring 20 20 these windows. I don't think anyone has done that. 21 21 22 MR. IGLESIAS: No, I don't think there's an 22 issue. I can tell you that from a structural 23 23 perspective, it's wood. I deal with wood. They can24 2.4 be restored. The windows are -- it's tough on those25 25 14 1 windows because we require from our knowledge of 1 2 hurricanes now, right, and knowing what a hurricane 2 can do what the structural loading is. I mean the 3 3 4 wind loading on the windows, which we didn't know back then, the impact, the water intrusion. I mean if you5 5 6 see a water intrusion test on the window, it's not 6 7 like putting a hose on there. 8 MS. SPAIN: I mean, this building isn't going 8 9 anywhere. I mean you could knock out all the windows9 and the building itself isn't going anywhere. 10 10 MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I will say, we have a 1928 11 11 12 building. It was done, it's a fantastic building, b112 done with old technology. This is terra cotta block13 13 14 It's unreinforced walls. We don't need to add 14 internal pressure to the building. What happens in 15 15 16 the building sometimes, they're barely hanging on, ah6 the envelope is breached. And now you've got suction7 17 loads pulling it out and almost like a balloon effect8 18 19 inside. So now you have pulling and pushing and 19 20 that's where things come apart. 20 21 And so from that perspective, the building will 21 22 have a much higher ability to sustain a load. Once 22 23 you breach the opening, what happens is the wind get23 in, creates a balloon effect, and then as the wind 2.4 2.4

goes around the building, it creates a suction effect5

25

So now you've got it pulling and pushing, and then things are barely hanging on and then they don't.

So from that perspective, the wall, even though the building, I mean the form work and everything else, I can see the building is great, except of course for those perimeter areas where you see that the water has affected the building. But they're terra cotta walls. They're unreinforced terra cotta walls. There's no way we would do anything like that now.

It wasn't, it's not that it was done, it was done based on a very good building, based on technology of 1928, right. And so from a -- the more you upgrade a building, the better statistically the building has for surviving. If you upgrade a building, let's say -- I'm going to use categories, even though it's not what we use in engineering, but everybody understands it better. We don't use categories in engineering, that's really for, Category 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 is used for planning, right? When you know it's a Category 5, you know, hell is breaking loose. If they're Category 1, then you know it's going to be a tree event, basically.

But as you go up that ladder, then statistically there's less and less events that can affect building.

16

So going from Category 1 to Category 2, you are now moving to a series of events that statistically are, from a statistical probability, are less likely to happen. So as you upgrade a building, we may not make the current code, but then we have a building that has a higher propensity to survive because we've statistically moved it up a level, right? And if you have a building that's Category 1 and you can get it to Category 2, now you got a little measure of safety. And maybe a Category 2, maybe a Category 3, and so you're giving yourself more higher probability of survival.

So when you upgrade some of these older buildings, you can't get to the current code; it's not possible. But if we up the survival, if we up the capacity from those kind of events, then we up the survival rate of the actual building because we are reducing the probability of a lower storm, which has more propensity to happen, and increasing the survival of the building.

Hurricanes are just, are still casting, events, they are totally random, and you can go 100 years or you can go five years. It's that way. So that's what we're looking at, and that's what we did, all that work. We spent a year looking at this.

17 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Garcia-Pons, you had a 2 question? MR. GARCIA-PONS: Mr. Manager, thank you for 3 coming out. So we had a presentation by staff 4 5 probably last year, I forget what month it was. In 6 December, so very recently. And I don't -- we had a 6 pretty good discussion there, and we made some 7 8 recommendations to the City staff and the 9 administration, which is different at the time. But 9 we ended up the conversation, and I don't think 10 11 anything has changed since that particular meeting 12 that we've seen, but we asked for an assessment of the existing windows. Because perhaps we don't need to 13 13 14 replace all of the windows and we can figure something

out where we may need to replace a few with the 15 15 windows that you're proposing, and maybe there's some6 16 that we do not. And that can represent a different 17 17 18 aspect of historic preservation. 18

19 Before you answer, though, I think the interest 19 for us, I think of the Preservation Board, right, We20 20 21 have our charge and you have your charge, which is 21 22 much more vast than our charge. Our charge is to ta22 about the care of this particular landmark. And the23 23 care isn't just the way that it looks, it's also, maxe 2.4 sure that it lasts a long time, and all the things 25 25

1 that we need to take -- We look at it in both ways of1 2 how does it look and how is it going to function? HOŵ can we best protect it for the long run? So we have 3 3 4 the same thoughts on how to protect this building, but 5 maybe a different way to do it.

6 Part of the request for the assessment was, maybe 6 7 there's a way to protect some windows to showcase the7 8 technology of 1928, because it has been 100 years. Т8 9 is a beautiful building. These are beautiful windows9 10 And we may be able to salvage windows that are in good condition that can then tell the story of how this 11 11 12 building was built in 1928, how these windows have 12 lasted for 100 years, how it was done before air 13 13 14 conditioning, how all of those things are true, and 14 protect the building for the future. 15 15

16 And I think the assessment was something that we 16 17 all seem to agree on, that would help us maybe get to7 that solution. But if the assessment isn't done, 18 18 19 you're saying it's all or nothing, and I don't -- this 20 wav. 20

21 MR. IGLESIAS: No, I'm not saying it's all or 21 22 nothing. I think the word assessment is interesting22 23 because I can tell you that, what assessment are we 23 going to do? Can they be repaired? Can they be put24 2.4 back? Yes, they can. I can tell you that. 25 25

Wood is a structural material. We can take care of it. That's not the issue. I don't think, honestly, I don't think we need an assessment, and that's why I came here, because I can tell you, yes, can we replace, can we restore the windows? Yes, yes, we can do that. I've looked at the windows; the vast majority of them, if not all can be restored. It's wood. It's a structural product.

I mean, so from an assessment perspective, you know, what are we looking at? Are we looking at can these windows, can we restore the windows? The answer is yes. The question is, should we restore the windows or should we go to a much stronger product? And that's the key, that's the key. So what, the assessment, what is that going to prove?

MR. GARCIA-PONS: I got it.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

18

MR. IGLESIAS: It's going to tell you, it's going to tell you exactly what I'm going to tell you.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: But I think it wasn't quite stated that way at the last presentation.

MR. IGLESIAS: I know it wasn't, that's why I'm here now.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: I appreciate it.

MR. IGLESIAS: That's what I'm here now.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: An assessment, what might help

20

us make some decisions is the second part of my comment was, do we need to replace all the windows? Or an assessment might tell us, maybe some of the windows make sense to do the steel, and some of the windows that are maybe closer, that are more tactile, that can be more part of the story that's being told, can replace with, replacing the wood, fixing it and the Plexiglas. I think we can do some sort of a hybrid that can help tell the story of this building without just replacing everything.

MR. IGLESIAS: I understand. We don't need an assessment for that. We can decide --

MR. GARCIA-PONS: And that's fine.

MR. IGLESIAS: We can decide which windows we want to repair, which windows we want to restore. Now you have a building that's going to have some windows that are vulnerable, some windows that are not.

THE CHAIRMAN: No, none of the building, well, what we have talked about --

MR. GARCIA-PONS: To protect it differently.

THE CHAIRMAN: What was completely -- that we would seal the building, okay. And that the windows would be restored. We would put hurricane protection glass behind it. It would not be Plexiglas. It would be anchored the same way that the multimillion-dollar

21 windows are going to be anchored. And we could do it1 1 2 a different way, and it would be as a single pane behind those, which is what we talked about. And you3 3 know, you would see the existing windows and you would 4 5 have your hurricane protection behind it. 5 6 Would it leak and be water resistant? Well, if 6 we have a good contractor, no, but so will seal them 7 7 8 just the way that we would. And also if you look at 8 9 that, I think you'll find it's a much less expensive,9 you know, opportunity than redoing the entire thing 10 10 11 out of these very, very expensive windows that do not 12 match what we have. 12 MR. IGLESIAS: Putting a shutter behind a window 13 13 14 14 THE CHAIRMAN: It's not a shutter, it's a 15 15 16 hurricane window. 16 MR. IGLESIAS: Okay. 17 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly like what you're proposing18 18 to put in, except, it's just a sheet of glass. It's19 19 in a separate frame, okay? It's not any different. 20 20 21 It provides the same sealing and the same --21 22 MR. IGLESIAS: So you're talking about providing 22 a storefront behind the window. Because basically if 23 it's going to be hurricane, if it's going to be 2.4 2.4 hurricane resistant, then it's got to be a storefron 25 25 22 1 A storefront doesn't fit behind the window, I mean 1 2 you -- it just doesn't. A code approved storefront 2 does not fit behind the window because you need the 3 3 4 aluminum sections, the aluminum mullions. And so it's very difficult to do and very difficult to actually 5 5 6 anchor. We would have to go to some type of different 7 system that, a shutter type system or something like 7 8 that. To put a window behind the window, there's not8 9 enough, there's not enough room for that. And we 0 10 would have to, of course, remove everything and do the same thing that we did in your house. 11 11 12 MS. SPAIN: Only behind. 12 MR. IGLESIAS: Yeah, right, and reinforce the 13 13 frame. It's even hard to anchor because terra cottal4 14 it's terra cotta. And when you try to drill, you 15 15 16 can't even, it doesn't even accept an anchor. It 16 doesn't. It doesn't. There's no values for 17 17 18 terra cotta. 18 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Manager, you have to anchor 19 20 the windows that you're proposing to put in the same20 21 way. You have to make a concrete fill all around the1 22 windows, so it doesn't matter, it's the same thing. 22 23 So we're not talking about doing anything different 12/3 We're talking about doing the exact same thing that 24 2.4 you're speaking of, and putting this window there, 25 2.5

okay? And we can put it in whatever frame that can fit the space, and we can put back the other historic windows in front of it.

I mean, we, at our previous meeting, you know, even Mr. Torre was talking about, hey, that's really what we should be doing, and so we would highly encourage you to look at that. And we also think it would save our taxpayers a little bit of money.

MR. IGLESIAS: I think that that would be certainly -- I know Mr. Torre was looking at that. I've been working on the NOAs and windows since Hurricane Andrew, and I've looked at window testing. I've looked at structural testing, looked at impact testing. I've looked at water intrusion testing. And so it's not just simply just putting something on.

If we're going to get something to work, it's got to be done properly. It's also behind the window, which means the leakage still occurs. Because you can't, if you've ever seen a window test, then, I mean, when you have pressure and you have water, it just gushes right in, and it's very difficult.

Even modern windows have, one of the big issues that we're trying to do now in the Code is, how do we increase the water infiltration, excuse me, reduce the water infiltration of current windows. I was working

with University of Florida on a study of that. So water intrusion is a huge issue in the envelope, huge issue. It's a huge issue for this building because we have limestone that we're going to, that we need to correct, and we need to try to historically correct and minimize Infiltration on the limestone.

MS. SPAIN: I don't think that shutter or whatever it is that we're talking about behind the window has to be within the frame of the window. I mean, I think you're clever enough to figure it out. Peter, I have faith in you as an engineer, and I think it's an interesting problem for you to try to tackle. Before you spend \$3 million and restore them, just look into it. Just look into it.

MR. IGLESIAS: You can't -- we can look, and the reason I'm here is because all this talk happened last time, and there was nobody technical to discuss it with, okay? And I've been involved in this NOA, in this window business. I was part of the High Rise Committee after Andrew, looking at the NOAs, the water infiltration. Water infiltration is a huge issue, huge issue.

And if you put something behind, and you're talking about something behind the actual window, these older windows, from a leakage perspective, it's

23

25 27 very difficult to actually control. They've done a tell you that the window cost is what I was dealing 1 1 2 decent job. I mean, it's been 100 years and we have 2 with. 3 some structural damage, but, you know it's -- And I MS. EBBERT: So a lot? A lot of windows, right? 3 understand what the Board, and I understand what the 4 MR. IGLESIAS: Three to four million dollars. 4 5 Board is looking at. 5 MS. EBBERT: No, no, I'm not, I'm asking how many 6 There is two issues here; one is preserving the windows are in this building that need to be replaced. 6 existing windows, and one is helping the building MR. IGLESIAS: We were looking at the entire 7 7 8 survive. And those two things, we have to, and you replacement of all the windows. I don't remember. 8 9 think it was 60. Please don't quote me. know, I know, with all due respect, I know, I 9 understand. So I'm here because the last time that 10 MS. EBBERT: No, I won't. I won't. 10 MR. IGLESIAS: I haven't looked at, I've been 11 somebody met, that person met with the Board, it 11 12 wasn't a technical person that could answer some of 12 looking more at the technical aspect of it than the these questions as to why we did it and why we're actual construction of it --13 13 MS. EBBERT: Tell me what --14 looking at it. And so you know, and I do, and I do 14 understand that there was this assessment required. 15 MR. IGLESIAS: -- as of the last two weeks. 15 16 And I'm here to say it's, you know, I can tell you MS. EBBERT: How would it affect your insurance 16 that we can, we can certainly restore the windows. costs? I mean, I'm sure there's insurance that you 17 17 18 However, is that something that we want to do or are18 know, whether you have new windows or keep the old we going to go with a very, and it's expensive. 19 19 windows. We did not spare cost and try to use a cheaper MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I can tell you because I do 20 20 window here. And as you know, with Hope windows, I 21 get involved in our insurance costs. And the way the 21 22 believe they're the ones they used in Miami High, they insurance is calculated for our area, is there are, used at the University of Miami in the old excuse me, wind studies for different parts of the 23 23 2.4 architectural school, right, which were replaced. state. The state is broken up into, instead of you 2.4 Those original windows that were replaced. Why did 25 can do a wind tunnel study on a specific building or 25 26 28 1 they replace the windows in the architectural school?1 you can do a wind tunnel study on areas. And so our 2 Because they didn't work. I'm sorry? area, there is a wind tunnel study that was actually 2 3 MS. SPAIN: The architecture school was done; it looks at the statistical issues in our 3 4 originally steel windows, though. And so it was -particular area. 4 MR. IGLESIAS: Yes, but they are new windows. 5 And then historical buildings are always more 5 6 They didn't keep the existing windows. They put new 6 expensive than a new building. Our police building 7 windows in because to protect the building, water would pay a lot less than an historical building 7 8 infiltration --8 because the chances of damage in a historical building 9 MS. SPAIN: Part of that when I was here, so. are much, are much more. So yes, it will affect --9 10 They also used Hope in that project in the elementaryO Well, anytime you enhance the building, you're school. Was it the elementary school that we were reducing the cost of insurance. But it's done, when 11 11 12 working with? Yeah. 12 you have a policy as large as ours, because we have MR. IGLESIAS: Coral Gables High School, let's 13 quite a number of buildings, then it's actually done 13 14 not bring that one up. 14 much more of a sophisticated way than simply just MS. SPAIN: I'm not in any way saying anything 15 going to an insurance company and getting insurance. 15 16 bad about them. 16 We actually look at, and that's submitted to me, and I 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Ms. Ebbert? 17 do look at it. And the state is actually divided into MS. EBBERT: Mr. Iglesias, what's the total 18 18 quadrants, small, and each area has a wind tunnel study done, and those wind studies are what generate 19 number of windows in the building? 19 20 MR. IGLESIAS: I don't remember. I just got back20 our cost. THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Ms. Rolando? 21 a week and a half ago. I don't remember. 21 22 MS. EBBERT: A range, I mean, a range. 2.2 MS. ROLANDO: Yes, typically steel rusts, unless 23 MR. IGLESIAS: I'm working with Ernesto Pino, and23 it's stainless steel. What kind of steel is being we will have all that information. I can tell you 24 used on these windows and what are the maintenance 2.4 that the windows -- gosh, I don't remember. But I c25 25 protocols?

		21
1	29 MR. IGLESIAS: Well, we will use very durable 1	MR. IGLESIAS: Yes, as you know.
2	finishes on them. For instance, Miami High are steel2	MS. ROLANDO: I grew up here.
3	windows. The University of Miami School of 3	MR. IGLESIAS: There is a lot of water. So you
4	Architecture, I'm not sure, those were there for quite	can't simply take something and say, I'm going to make
5	a number of years. And there is maintenance, but I 5	this work. You can't take a Model T Ford and have it
6	think it's something that we can provide.	go 200 miles an hour; it's not going to happen. No
7	It's a very high end finish, and it's going to 7	matter how good an engineer you are, you're not going
8	last for many years, so I don't see that as an issue.8	to, you're not going to do that, and It's a similar
9	It's a way of also, from an historical point of view,9	situation.
10	using the smallest sections possible.	MS. ROLANDO: So you're saying it cannot be done?
11	MR. GARCIA-PONS: If painted and kept painted, it11	MR. IGLESIAS: I am saying that the water
12	could be protected but the problem is, they don't 12	intrusion issue, the structural issue and the impact
13	maintain the paint well.	issue, that's not the window.
14	MS. ROLANDO: We have had maintenance issues with14	MS. ROLANDO: Aren't you saying it's making it
15	landmarks. A question for you; I've always perceived5	more difficult to find a solution or are you saying
16	of engineers as very creative, problem-solving kind bf	there's no solution?
17	people. That's their job. So are you saying there's7	MR. IGLESIAS: No, that window, from a structural
18	no way that a solution can be found to preserve the 18	loading perspective, impact perspective and water
19	existing windows plus make them less likely for water9	intrusion perspective, you have to live with what
20	intrusion?	you've got.
21	MR. IGLESIAS: Those windows, not only does it 21	As I mentioned, you have a Model T, and you can't
22	have water intrusion, they also have structural 22	make a model T go 200 miles an hour. You live with
23	loading, which means a wind loading that pushes the 23	the Model T.
24	building and sucks out of the building. And they algo	MS. ROLANDO: But we've got Bugattis.
25	have impact resistance issues. I mean, there's no 25	MR. IGLESIAS: It's a new Bugatti.
1	30	32
1	impact resistance.	MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying
2	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2</pre>	MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up
2 3	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3</pre>	MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm
2 3 4	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would</pre>	MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said,
2 3 4 5	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5</pre>	MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it 6</pre>	MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it 6 would not be aesthetic. 7</pre>	MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water intrusion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it 6 would not be aesthetic. 7 MS. ROLANDO: It would not. 8</pre>	MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water intrusion. MR. IGLESIAS: It's an interesting, what Mr. Paul
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it 6 would not be aesthetic. 7 MS. ROLANDO: It would not. 8 MR. IGLESIAS: In the front it would not be 9</pre>	MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water intrusion. MR. IGLESIAS: It's an interesting, what Mr. Paul says.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it 6 would not be aesthetic. 7 MS. ROLANDO: It would not. 8 MR. IGLESIAS: In the front it would not be 9 aesthetically the right thing to do, I think from a 10</pre>	MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water intrusion. MR. IGLESIAS: It's an interesting, what Mr. Paul says. MS. ROLANDO: You're not quite convincing me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it 6 would not be aesthetic. 7 MS. ROLANDO: It would not. 8 MR. IGLESIAS: In the front it would not be aesthetically the right thing to do, I think from a 10 historical building, because we're affecting the 11</pre>	 MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water intrusion. MR. IGLESIAS: It's an interesting, what Mr. Paul says. MS. ROLANDO: You're not quite convincing me. MR. IGLESIAS: Well, it's very difficult to say,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it 6 would not be aesthetic. 7 MS. ROLANDO: It would not. 8 MR. IGLESIAS: In the front it would not be aesthetically the right thing to do, I think from a 10 historical building, because we're affecting the 11 aesthetics. Just the reason that we use shutters 12</pre>	 MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water intrusion. MR. IGLESIAS: It's an interesting, what Mr. Paul says. MS. ROLANDO: You're not quite convincing me. MR. IGLESIAS: Well, it's very difficult to say, I'm going to enhance this part of the building and not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it 6 would not be aesthetic. 7 MS. ROLANDO: It would not. 8 MR. IGLESIAS: In the front it would not be aesthetically the right thing to do, I think from a 10 historical building, because we're affecting the 11 aesthetics. Just the reason that we use shutters 12 there, is just to try to lower the water intrusion. 13</pre>	 MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water intrusion. MR. IGLESIAS: It's an interesting, what Mr. Paul says. MS. ROLANDO: You're not quite convincing me. MR. IGLESIAS: Well, it's very difficult to say, I'm going to enhance this part of the building and not enhance this part of the building. You either enhance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it 6 would not be aesthetic. 7 MS. ROLANDO: It would not. 8 MR. IGLESIAS: In the front it would not be aesthetically the right thing to do, I think from a 10 historical building, because we're affecting the 11 aesthetics. Just the reason that we use shutters 12 there, is just to try to lower the water intrusion. 13 We have to put something in the back and then, but 14</pre>	 MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water intrusion. MR. IGLESIAS: It's an interesting, what Mr. Paul says. MS. ROLANDO: You're not quite convincing me. MR. IGLESIAS: Well, it's very difficult to say, I'm going to enhance this part of the building. You either enhance the building or you don't enhance the building. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it 6 would not be aesthetic. 7 MS. ROLANDO: It would not. 8 MR. IGLESIAS: In the front it would not be aesthetically the right thing to do, I think from a 10 historical building, because we're affecting the 11 aesthetics. Just the reason that we use shutters 12 there, is just to try to lower the water intrusion. 13 We have to put something in the back and then, but 14 then again you have the window in the front. You 15</pre>	 MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water intrusion. MR. IGLESIAS: It's an interesting, what Mr. Paul says. MS. ROLANDO: You're not quite convincing me. MR. IGLESIAS: Well, it's very difficult to say, I'm going to enhance this part of the building and not enhance this part of the building. I mean, I understand what Mr. Pons is saying, however,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it 6 would not be aesthetic. 7 MS. ROLANDO: It would not. 8 MR. IGLESIAS: In the front it would not be aesthetically the right thing to do, I think from a 10 historical building, because we're affecting the 11 aesthetics. Just the reason that we use shutters 12 there, is just to try to lower the water intrusion. 13 We have to put something in the back and then, but 14 then again you have the window in the front. You 15 can't 16</pre>	 MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water intrusion. MR. IGLESIAS: It's an interesting, what Mr. Paul says. MS. ROLANDO: You're not quite convincing me. MR. IGLESIAS: Well, it's very difficult to say, I'm going to enhance this part of the building and not enhance this part of the building. You either enhance the building or you don't enhance the building. I mean, I understand what Mr. Pons is saying, however, it's, you enhance the building or you don't enhance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it 6 would not be aesthetic. 7 MS. ROLANDO: It would not. 8 MR. IGLESIAS: In the front it would not be aesthetically the right thing to do, I think from a 10 historical building, because we're affecting the 11 aesthetics. Just the reason that we use shutters 12 there, is just to try to lower the water intrusion. 13 We have to put something in the back and then, but 14 then again you have the window in the front. You 15 can't 16 MS. ROLANDO: But are you saying it cannot be 17</pre>	 MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water intrusion. MR. IGLESIAS: It's an interesting, what Mr. Paul says. MS. ROLANDO: You're not quite convincing me. MR. IGLESIAS: Well, it's very difficult to say, I'm going to enhance this part of the building and not enhance this part of the building. I mean, I understand what Mr. Pons is saying, however, it's, you enhance the building or you don't enhance the building. You either enhance the building. You don't enhance the building. You don't enhance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it 6 would not be aesthetic. 7 MS. ROLANDO: It would not. 8 MR. IGLESIAS: In the front it would not be aesthetically the right thing to do, I think from a 10 historical building, because we're affecting the 11 aesthetics. Just the reason that we use shutters 12 there, is just to try to lower the water intrusion. 13 We have to put something in the back and then, but 14 then again you have the window in the front. You 15 can't 16 MS. ROLANDO: But are you saying it cannot be 17 done or you're saying that it's preferable or you 18</pre>	MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water intrusion. MR. IGLESIAS: It's an interesting, what Mr. Paul says. MS. ROLANDO: You're not quite convincing me. MR. IGLESIAS: Well, it's very difficult to say, I'm going to enhance this part of the building and not enhance this part of the building. I mean, I understand what Mr. Pons is saying, however, it's, you enhance the building or you don't enhance the building. You don't because you don't know where the failure is going to occur, you don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it would not be aesthetic. 7 MS. ROLANDO: It would not. 8 MR. IGLESIAS: In the front it would not be aesthetically the right thing to do, I think from a 10 historical building, because we're affecting the 11 aesthetics. Just the reason that we use shutters 12 there, is just to try to lower the water intrusion. 13 We have to put something in the back and then, but 14 then again you have the window in the front. You 15 can't 16 MS. ROLANDO: But are you saying it cannot be 17 done or you're saying that it's preferable or you 18 would prefer that it not be? 19</pre>	MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water intrusion. MR. IGLESIAS: It's an interesting, what Mr. Paul says. MS. ROLANDO: You're not quite convincing me. MR. IGLESIAS: Well, it's very difficult to say, I'm going to enhance this part of the building and not enhance this part of the building. You either enhance the building or you don't enhance the building. I mean, I understand what Mr. Pons is saying, however, it's, you enhance the building or you don't enhance the building. You don't because you don't know where the failure is going to occur, you don't know where the issues are going to be. And to say, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it would not be aesthetic. 7 MS. ROLANDO: It would not. 8 MR. IGLESIAS: In the front it would not be aesthetically the right thing to do, I think from a 10 historical building, because we're affecting the 11 aesthetics. Just the reason that we use shutters 12 there, is just to try to lower the water intrusion. 13 We have to put something in the back and then, but 14 then again you have the window in the front. You 15 can't 16 MS. ROLANDO: But are you saying it cannot be 17 done or you're saying that it's preferable or you 18 would prefer that it not be? 19 MR. IGLESIAS: No, I just think that this type of20</pre>	 MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water intrusion. MR. IGLESIAS: It's an interesting, what Mr. Paul says. MS. ROLANDO: You're not quite convincing me. MR. IGLESIAS: Well, it's very difficult to say, I'm going to enhance this part of the building. I mean, I understand what Mr. Pons is saying, however, it's, you enhance the building or you don't enhance the building. You don't know where the failure is going to occur, you don't know where the issues are going to be. And to say, I'm going to breach this opening and not breach that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it would not be aesthetic. 7 MS. ROLANDO: It would not. 8 MR. IGLESIAS: In the front it would not be aesthetically the right thing to do, I think from a 10 historical building, because we're affecting the 11 aesthetics. Just the reason that we use shutters 12 there, is just to try to lower the water intrusion. 13 We have to put something in the back and then, but 14 then again you have the window in the front. You 15 can't 16 MS. ROLANDO: But are you saying it cannot be 17 done or you're saying that it's preferable or you 18 would prefer that it not be? 19</pre>	MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water intrusion. MR. IGLESIAS: It's an interesting, what Mr. Paul says. MS. ROLANDO: You're not quite convincing me. MR. IGLESIAS: Well, it's very difficult to say, I'm going to enhance this part of the building and not enhance this part of the building. You either enhance the building or you don't enhance the building. I mean, I understand what Mr. Pons is saying, however, it's, you enhance the building or you don't enhance the building. You don't because you don't know where the failure is going to occur, you don't know where the issues are going to be. And to say, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it would not be aesthetic. 7 MS. ROLANDO: It would not. 8 MR. IGLESIAS: In the front it would not be aesthetically the right thing to do, I think from a 10 historical building, because we're affecting the 11 aesthetics. Just the reason that we use shutters 12 there, is just to try to lower the water intrusion. 13 We have to put something in the back and then, but 14 then again you have the window in the front. You 15 can't 16 MS. ROLANDO: But are you saying it cannot be 17 done or you're saying that it's preferable or you 18 would prefer that it not be? 19 MR. IGLESIAS: No, I just think that this type of20 window, after doing one of the biggest issues tha 21</pre>	 MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water intrusion. MR. IGLESIAS: It's an interesting, what Mr. Paul says. MS. ROLANDO: You're not quite convincing me. MR. IGLESIAS: Well, it's very difficult to say, I'm going to enhance this part of the building. I mean, I understand what Mr. Pons is saying, however, it's, you enhance the building or you don't enhance the building. You either enhance the building. You don't enhance the building. You don't know where the failure is going to occur, you don't know where the issues are going to be. And to say, I'm going to breach this opening and not breach that opening, it may be even worse because the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it 6 would not be aesthetic. 7 MS. ROLANDO: It would not. 8 MR. IGLESIAS: In the front it would not be aesthetically the right thing to do, I think from a 10 historical building, because we're affecting the 11 aesthetics. Just the reason that we use shutters 12 there, is just to try to lower the water intrusion. 13 We have to put something in the back and then, but 14 then again you have the window in the front. You 15 can't 16 MS. ROLANDO: But are you saying it cannot be 17 done or you're saying that it's preferable or you 18 would prefer that it not be? 19 MR. IGLESIAS: No, I just think that this type of20 window, after doing one of the biggest issues tha21 we have is water intrusion in buildings in South 22</pre>	 MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water intrusion. MR. IGLESIAS: It's an interesting, what Mr. Paul says. MS. ROLANDO: You're not quite convincing me. MR. IGLESIAS: Well, it's very difficult to say, I'm going to enhance this part of the building and not enhance this part of the building. I mean, I understand what Mr. Pons is saying, however, it's, you enhance the building or you don't enhance the building. You enhance the building. You don't know where the failure is going to occur, you don't know where the issues are going to be. And to say, I'm going to breach this opening and not breach that opening, it may be even worse because the MR. GARCIA-PONS: Even the word "enhanced,"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>impact resistance. 1 MS. ROLANDO: Yeah, I have impact. If we had the 2 existing windows and then had a structure either in 3 front of them or behind them, you're saying that would not work? 5 MR. IGLESIAS: I think in front, it would be, it would not be aesthetic. 7 MS. ROLANDO: It would not. 8 MR. IGLESIAS: In the front it would not be aesthetically the right thing to do, I think from a 10 historical building, because we're affecting the 11 aesthetics. Just the reason that we use shutters 12 there, is just to try to lower the water intrusion. 13 We have to put something in the back and then, but 14 then again you have the window in the front. You can't 16 MS. ROLANDO: But are you saying it cannot be 17 done or you're saying that it's preferable or you would prefer that it not be? 19 MR. IGLESIAS: No, I just think that this type of 20 window, after doing one of the biggest issues tha 21 Florida. We're in a subtropical climate, there is a23</pre>	 MS. ROLANDO: No, I'm, I know what you're saying is that it's more expedient to do, and we'd end up with a better envelope, building envelope. What I'm struggling with is how we accomplish, as Cesar said, both preserving our historical integrity of these windows and still finding a solution to the water intrusion. MR. IGLESIAS: It's an interesting, what Mr. Paul says. MS. ROLANDO: You're not quite convincing me. MR. IGLESIAS: Well, it's very difficult to say, I'm going to enhance this part of the building and not enhance this part of the building. I mean, I understand what Mr. Pons is saying, however, it's, you enhance the building or you don't enhance the building. You don't because you don't know where the failure is going to occur, you don't know where the issues are going to be. And to say, I'm going to breach this opening and not breach that opening, it may be even worse because the MR. GARCIA-PONS: Even the word "enhanced,"

33 100 percent, but even the word enhance is loaded, and1 1 2 I appreciate. It's almost like, we can't be sure of 2 3 what's going to happen, so let's protect as best as we possibly can. 4 5 I don't remember, sorry, for -- What the charge 5 6 is for this Board today is a recommendation. I just 6 want to make sure we keep in mind what we're being 7 7 8 asked to do. 8 9 MR. IGLESIAS: Correct. Correct, because the 9 10 Commission has certain ideas but I'm not going to go10 11 to the Commission without coming to the Board and get1 12 the Board's recommendations. 12 MS. SPAIN: But we do have to vote. 13 13 MR. GARCIA-PONS: No, we don't have to vote. I 14 14 think it's a recommendation. It's almost like we did5 15 16 last time, just last time we recommended for report.16 And the answer, well, not a good idea or not 17 17 18 necessary. 18 My question, sorry, I'm jumping in. My last 19 19 question is, I took a look at the letter by the 20 20 21 architect Ferguson, Glasgow, Schuster and Soto, and 21 22 think part of the conversation we had last time was 22 the second option, which was we're talking about now23 23 2.4 non-impact window protection, but really letter B, 2.4 which is interior storm windows. And it had pros an205 25 34 1 cons. And I think part of the request for the 1 2 assessment wasn't just, can we fix stuff. We were 2 under the impression that some windows could not be 3 3 4 fixed. And that was one aspect of it was, we may need 5 to do the new windows in some locations because they 5 6 were unsalvageable. 7 The second part was, can we do, is there a hybrid 7 8 system where we can actually take a look at what the 8 9 impact of that solution was going to be? And it

0 10 wasn't just believing you, that it's wood, we could 200 anything in the 21st Century, we can fix it. But it11 11 12 was the conversation that you're hearing many of us 12 talk about is, that other solution that we kind of 13 13 14 like, understanding that we'd have to take the window4 out. It would have to be sent off site. It would 15 15 16 have to be completely repaired. We would then have 16 17 then reinsert it with either the new window, the old17 window that's fixed, and or the new particular piece18 18 19 I believe, engineering wise it can be done and 19 20 there will be trade offs either way. But what we 20 21 don't have is the other part of it, which is can we,21 22 you know, what would the task be in order to take the2 23 windows out, repair them and then protect them with 23 another piece? And I know, we just really want to 2.4 2.4 2.5 25 know.

MR. IGLESIAS: Okay. Well, I can tell you that that would be a half measure, okay? Realistically, because you still have the same structural system, it doesn't work. I mean, we have a little hook in the bottom, it's called a hurricane bar. I was the building official and I had kind of an interesting laugh on that. Because it's, you're again, you're trying to get a Model T, and trying to get it to go 200 miles an hour. You will never get it to go to 200 miles an hour.

35

36

MR. GARCIA-PONS: We would have to reframe. When we take out the windows and replace them, we're going to have to fix the frame, right, 100 percent no matter what we do. So whether we put it back in with the new one with the fixed frame or the old window with the new piece, it's the same construction, structural work that needs to be done to the windows. I understand completely.

MS. SPAIN: And fill seals.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: That all has to be done no matter what. It's just, what do we put in its place? MR. IGLESIAS: I think the decision really is, do we want to keep the existing windows with the negatives of the windows? Really, that's what it is. I mean, look, you can't put a structural curtain wall

behind. It doesn't fit. You can't anchor it. Ideally, what would work would be, if we could reinforce the frames from behind and put some type of shutter outside. But then, but we've got an envelope that we can't touch, so we have a lot of constraints. If we touch that keystone, any structural issue that the building has, we're going to repair from the inside. We have to. Even though we have to, we may have to touch the walls, and we have to touch the floor, because if we touch that keystone, we'll never repair it correctly.

So and that's one of the key issues that we're working on is, is making sure that we try to preserve the exterior envelope, which is so beautiful and really very difficult. So how do you, if I have an old window, what can I do? Well, I can put a shutter outside, right? I can put a shutter outside.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: But Peter, that's not what we're asking you for.

MR. IGLESIAS: It's not, it's not, it's not. It's not something that we simply can't do. So we're trying. I can tell you that those half measures --

MR. GARCIA-PONS: You're making an argument for something that we're not asking for.

MR. IGLESIAS: Exactly. Exactly. I'm telling

you what works. I'm telling you what works, and I 1 2 know, I know everybody, you know, I mean, the only 2 thing I can do is tell you my years of experience 3 3 since Hurricane Andrew and this; it's very difficult,4 4 5 it's very difficult to do that. 5 6 THE CHAIRMAN: We're not asking -- I mean, we all 6

37

9

38

understand that. Everybody on this Board absolutely 7 7 8 concurs with what needs to be done, okay? And so no 8 9 one is questioning that, okay? There's not a question. So let's just take that off the table, 10 10 11 okay? 11

12 The matter is, we're in pre design right now. 12 We're not in full architectural design. We're in the3 13 14 cheapest mode of looking at alternative solutions to14 something that's highly, that's already been said, 15 15 16 hey, this is what we want. Without having to go 16 through a process, we can look at this now, and we can 17 18 take different approaches to it and come back. 18

19 I mean, I'm renovating a condo down here. I 19 mean, a major building, it's 17 floors. I mean, we 20 20 21 look at that every day about how we can not only keep1 22 the cost down, but how we can do exactly what it is 22 that we need to do. We have doors to deal with. We23 23 2.4 have the same issue with the doors, okay? So how do24 we translate this? 25 25

1 We've suggested as a Board, and Mr. Gomez was 1 2 there, to put a hurricane impact flat window behind 2 this, and then reinstall the windows in front of them3 3 4 There is no water leakage. There is no pressure, you4 5 know, pressure wave differential or anything because 5 6 we have a hurricane protection behind it. But we've 6 7 saved the existing windows that are 100 years old, 8 that have served us. And so that's all we're talking8 9 about.

10 We're looking at, rather than make up our mind 10 11 right now and say, this is what we're going to do, w⊉1 12 need to look at alternatives. The Code of which this2 building is before is the National Register of 13 13 14 Historic Places and the Secretary of the Interior 14 standard, which is the code that we operate under as15 15 16 well. It says don't replace the windows if you can 16 help it. So we need to know that that's the only 17 17 18 solution of which we architects and contractors know18 19 that it's not.

20 We have alternative solutions, Peter. Let's lock20 21 at those before we take a decision. That's what we'21e asking you to do. Don't close your mind. Open it up2 22 23 And you always have an open mind. You're a great 23 structural engineer; we know that and we trust you. 24 2.4 25 So trust us back to help you come up with the best 2.5

solution for our City. That's all we're asking.

MS. SPAIN: I have another question and I apologize. This is my last thing I'll talk about. Ιt sounds like you have marching orders from the City Commission, I don't know whether you do or not. Are you the only person on staff or your office that has talked to individual commissioners about this problem? Has the Preservation Office been involved with speaking to the City Commission?

MR. IGLESIAS: We've had, We've worked with historical.

MS. SPAIN: I'm asking whether the city commissioners individually has talked to the Preservation Office. Because if they're only getting your view on this, which is an absolute valid view, but if they don't understand that there's another viewpoint from the Preservation Board or whatever, where, I mean, we're asked even not to vote on this I worked here long enough to know that the Commission Sometimes operates without all of the information that they need to make an informed decision.

MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I have not kept --MS. SPAIN: And that's all we're asking.

MR. IGLESIAS: I have not kept anything from the City Commission.

MS. SPAIN: I know you have. I'm not saying that, Peter. I'm asking --

MR. IGLESIAS: We can restore the windows. We can restore the windows, do as much as we can to, to enhance the water infiltration, structural loading. You can't do impact; you just cannot do it. You just can't. Like I said, you can't make a Model T go 200 miles an hour, but we can try to work, work on that.

MS. SPAIN: Has the Preservation Officer spoken individually to the City Commission about the windows? Do you -- I mean you may not have been here. So I mean, you just started back, so you're not aware of whether she did or not.

MR. IGLESIAS: But I do think they are aware of the Board's view on keeping the windows. Working a window from the inside, we can try to do some things there. It's certainly not going to be, give us the same protection, water infiltration, et cetera, et cetera that a new, that a proper, a structurally new window under today's technology, under today's testing is going to do. When you put something behind it, we can't, I can tell you we cannot put a store, we cannot put a storefront; it just doesn't fit. An impact resistant storefront, it just does not fit. THE CHAIRMAN: Peter, don't say that. You need

39

	41	
1	to keep an open mind.	1
2	MR. IGLESIAS: I've seen	2
3	THE CHAIRMAN: And that's what we're asking, keep	<u>2</u> 3
4	an open mind.	4
5	MR. IGLESIAS: I've seen thousands, I don't know	5
6	how many hundreds of NOAs, hundreds.	6
7	THE CHAIRMAN: I restore buildings all the time.	7
8	I can tell you it, I mean, I can tell you, it	8
9	absolutely can be done. And I can also tell you that	ıt9
10	restoring the windows and putting a storefront, as y	7DQ
11	said, behind these, will absolutely be a lot less	11
12	expensive than those windows and it will do the very	-
13	same thing. Okay, excuse me. Ms. Dunaj?	13
14	MS DUNAJ: Sorry, I just wanted to point out that	t14
15	the December letter from Ferguson is very well state	
16	And it actually sets forth all the pros and cons and	
17	specifically to the issue of placing an interior typ	
18	of window protection. It also notes that this would	
19		19
20		20
21		21
22	1 5	22
23		23
24		24
25	been set forth in this detailed letter on the pros a	ෘතිති
[42	
1	42 cons. But possibly, there's some additional researc	ch1
2		
2 3	cons. But possibly, there's some additional researc that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you.	e 2 3
2 3 4	cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is	e 2 3 s 4
2 3 4 5	cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have	e 2 3 s 4 e 5
2 3 4 5 6	cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y	e 2 3 s 4 e 5 yo&
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y still have a cavity. You have dual windows. There</pre>	e 2 3 s 4 e 5 yo6a i3s
2 4 5 7 8	<pre>cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y still have a cavity. You have dual windows. There a number of issues in putting something permanent in</pre>	e 2 3 s 4 e 5 yo6a i3s n 8
2 4 5 7 8 9	<pre>cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y still have a cavity. You have dual windows. There a number of issues in putting something permanent in the back.</pre>	e 2 3 5 4 e 5 706a 135 n 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y still have a cavity. You have dual windows. There a number of issues in putting something permanent in the back. Mrs. Spain said something about putting a shutter</pre>	2 3 5 4 5 7 0 6 1 3 8 1 8 9 7 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y still have a cavity. You have dual windows. There a number of issues in putting something permanent in the back. Mrs. Spain said something about putting a shutter that we take out and put back in, that would allevia</pre>	2 3 5 4 5 706 1 3 5 9 7 10 9 7 10 2 1 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y still have a cavity. You have dual windows. There a number of issues in putting something permanent in the back. Mrs. Spain said something about putting a shutter that we take out and put back in, that would allevia some of those issues. However, you still have the</pre>	2 3 5 4 5 7 7 0 6 1 3 7 7 1 7 8 9 7 10 8 12 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y still have a cavity. You have dual windows. There a number of issues in putting something permanent in the back. Mrs. Spain said something about putting a shutter that we take out and put back in, that would allevia some of those issues. However, you still have the water infiltration. We just have so much water in</pre>	2 3 5 4 5 5 7 0 6 1 3 7 10 12 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y still have a cavity. You have dual windows. There a number of issues in putting something permanent in the back. Mrs. Spain said something about putting a shutter that we take out and put back in, that would allevia some of those issues. However, you still have the water infiltration. We just have so much water in South Florida and we get summer storms that are 40,</pre>	e 2 3 s 4 e 5 yofa iz 9 r10 alle 12 13 14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y still have a cavity. You have dual windows. There a number of issues in putting something permanent in the back. Mrs. Spain said something about putting a shutter that we take out and put back in, that would allevia some of those issues. However, you still have the water infiltration. We just have so much water in South Florida and we get summer storms that are 40, 50, 50 miles an hour, and it's hard, it's hard to ke</pre>	2 3 5 4 5 5 7 0 6 1 3 7 1 8 9 7 10 12 12 13 14 2 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y still have a cavity. You have dual windows. There a number of issues in putting something permanent in the back. Mrs. Spain said something about putting a shutter that we take out and put back in, that would allevia some of those issues. However, you still have the water infiltration. We just have so much water in South Florida and we get summer storms that are 40, 50, 50 miles an hour, and it's hard, it's hard to ke the water out if you've seen a water infiltration te</pre>	2 3 4 5 4 5 7 0 6 1 3 9 7 10 12 12 13 14 14 5 6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y still have a cavity. You have dual windows. There a number of issues in putting something permanent in the back. Mrs. Spain said something about putting a shutter that we take out and put back in, that would allevia some of those issues. However, you still have the water infiltration. We just have so much water in South Florida and we get summer storms that are 40, 50, 50 miles an hour, and it's hard, it's hard to ke the water out if you've seen a water infiltration te at that limit.</pre>	2 3 4 5 4 5 7 7 6 1 3 7 10 12 13 14 14 2 2 5 6 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y still have a cavity. You have dual windows. There a number of issues in putting something permanent in the back. Mrs. Spain said something about putting a shutter that we take out and put back in, that would allevia some of those issues. However, you still have the water infiltration. We just have so much water in South Florida and we get summer storms that are 40, 50, 50 miles an hour, and it's hard, it's hard to ke the water out if you've seen a water infiltration te at that limit. As a matter of fact, most windows during a</pre>	2 3 5 4 5 7 0 6 1 3 5 7 1 8 12 13 14 14 2 2 5 6 17 18
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y still have a cavity. You have dual windows. There a number of issues in putting something permanent in the back. Mrs. Spain said something about putting a shutter that we take out and put back in, that would allevia some of those issues. However, you still have the water infiltration. We just have so much water in South Florida and we get summer storms that are 40, 50, 50 miles an hour, and it's hard, it's hard to ke the water out if you've seen a water infiltration te at that limit. As a matter of fact, most windows during a hurricane, even current windows, all bets are off;</pre>	2 3 5 4 5 7 0 6 1 3 7 1 1 2 1 2 1 3 1 4 2 2 5 6 6 1 7 1 8 1 9 1 2 1 2 1 3 1 4 1 2 5 1 2 5 7 0 6 1 3 7 9 7 1 6 1 3 7 1 9 1 1 9 1 1 9 1 1 9 1 1 9 1 1 9 1 1 9 1 1 9 1 1 9 1 1 1 1 1 9 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y still have a cavity. You have dual windows. There a number of issues in putting something permanent in the back. Mrs. Spain said something about putting a shutter that we take out and put back in, that would allevia some of those issues. However, you still have the water infiltration. We just have so much water in South Florida and we get summer storms that are 40, 50, 50 miles an hour, and it's hard, it's hard to ke the water out if you've seen a water infiltration te at that limit. As a matter of fact, most windows during a hurricane, even current windows, all bets are off; you're going to have water intrusion, period.</pre>	2 3 5 4 5 5 7 0 6 1 3 7 1 0 1 3 1 2 1 3 1 4 2 5 1 7 1 8 1 7 1 8 1 7 20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y still have a cavity. You have dual windows. There a number of issues in putting something permanent in the back. Mrs. Spain said something about putting a shutter that we take out and put back in, that would allevia some of those issues. However, you still have the water infiltration. We just have so much water in South Florida and we get summer storms that are 40, 50, 50 miles an hour, and it's hard, it's hard to ke the water out if you've seen a water infiltration te at that limit. As a matter of fact, most windows during a hurricane, even current windows, all bets are off; you're going to have water intrusion, period. Windows, current modern windows are not waterproof f</pre>	2 3 5 4 5 7 0 6 1 7 1 1 2 1 3 1 4 2 5 6 6 7 1 7 1 8 1 7 1 8 1 9 7 10 12 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 13 14 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y still have a cavity. You have dual windows. There a number of issues in putting something permanent in the back. Mrs. Spain said something about putting a shutter that we take out and put back in, that would allevia some of those issues. However, you still have the water infiltration. We just have so much water in South Florida and we get summer storms that are 40, 50, 50 miles an hour, and it's hard, it's hard to ke the water out if you've seen a water infiltration te at that limit. As a matter of fact, most windows during a hurricane, even current windows, all bets are off; you're going to have water intrusion, period. Windows, current modern windows are not waterproof f a hurricane. There's no it's understandable that</pre>	2 3 5 4 5 7 0 6 1 3 7 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 2 1 2 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y still have a cavity. You have dual windows. There a number of issues in putting something permanent in the back. Mrs. Spain said something about putting a shutter that we take out and put back in, that would allevia some of those issues. However, you still have the water infiltration. We just have so much water in South Florida and we get summer storms that are 40, 50, 50 miles an hour, and it's hard, it's hard to ke the water out if you've seen a water infiltration to at that limit. As a matter of fact, most windows during a hurricane, even current windows, all bets are off; you're going to have water intrusion, period. Windows, current modern windows are not waterproof f a hurricane. There's no it's understandable that that they will leak. But to have these older windows</pre>	2 3 5 4 5 7 0 6 7 1 7 1 8 1 7 1 8 20 1 7 1 8 20 1 7 1 8 20 1 7 20 1 8 20 1 8 22 20 1 8 20 1 1 1 1 1 8 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>cons. But possibly, there's some additional researce that you could do to address the issues that we have raised and that might be helpful. Thank you. MR. IGLESIAS: I think what we put in the back is something that, yes, that is correct, you still have the cavity, you still have the water infiltration, y still have a cavity. You have dual windows. There a number of issues in putting something permanent in the back. Mrs. Spain said something about putting a shutter that we take out and put back in, that would allevia some of those issues. However, you still have the water infiltration. We just have so much water in South Florida and we get summer storms that are 40, 50, 50 miles an hour, and it's hard, it's hard to ke the water out if you've seen a water infiltration te at that limit. As a matter of fact, most windows during a hurricane, even current windows, all bets are off; you're going to have water intrusion, period. Windows, current modern windows are not waterproof fa a hurricane. There's no it's understandable that that they will leak. But to have these older window they, you know, most of these windows are going to</pre>	2 3 5 4 5 7 0 6 1 3 7 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 2 1 2 1

When you have a summer storm, you have driving rain, that is, water gets in everywhere. And if you've seen a water infiltration test that just -- a water infiltration is for a summer storm at 50 miles an hour. A summer storm, it just gushes right in. Sliding glass doors, sliding glass doors, not hurricane rated. They're rated for a summer storm.

43

44

The water intrusion is a huge, is a huge issue in South Florida. It's hard to keep the water out. I've been dealing with that for many, many, many years. I've been involved in some research project with the University of Florida that I was involved with. We came to the conclusion that it's just so expensive that the current rating kind of remains. So to expect that these windows are going to provide that kind of hurricane protection, excuse me, water infiltration protection, yes, that will be in the back. The window is subject to water intrusion. The back is subject to water intrusion. It's very difficult to put a frame in there. We have to bring it out and create some type of subframe to the, to the mainstream. So it would be sticking out the back. I mean, so but it's --

And then of course the window is still subject to damage. The window is subject to structural damage,

impact damage, and certainly you've got water intrusion because the window, there's not enough gasketing. And if you look at one of the windows, if you look at the window that we have down there on the first floor, there's a tremendous amount of gasketing. There's a tremendous amount of structural sealant used and things like this to try to keep, to try to keep that water infiltration.

THE CHAIRMAN: We're there, Peter. I mean, you know, we understand that. And what Ms. Dunaj said, what Ms. Spain and with Mr. Garcia-Pons, I mean, we're all there. I mean, we understand that the wood windows don't prevent, you know, water intrusion. We understand that. We know that, and we know that they won't. You're perfectly correct, we don't need to beat that horse anymore. But we also know that if we put hurricane protection behind them, that that would alleviate the problem and it would solve the problem that you're seeking.

Now, here's the other part of the problem that we haven't addressed; we have the same problem with the doors, and the doors are historic, too. So we need to look at alternative solutions that are going to allow us to do this without removing the things that make the building the most historic. Look, we haven't gone

1to design yet. You and I, I mean, we haven't, okay, 12we're in assessment still. We don't have one23architectural plan. The only thing that we have right4now is a very expensive window mock up that nobody on45this Board seems to think that meets the criteria that6we need. We have several alternatives.6

45

46

7 Let's go through a process. Rather than take 7 8 this decision and say, now we're going to make that 8 9 decision, let's do it the way we would normally do it9 in a building. Let's go through the architecture, 10 10 11 come up with some alternatives that we can test and 11 12 look at and validate. 12

I'm not for spending \$30 million on restoring the13 13 14 building. I'd really like to spend a lot less, and 14 think we can. So let's focus on those, and focus on15 15 16 how we solve the whole problem. We're here to help.16 We're here to help the City do what the City says it17 17 18 does to everybody, which is preserve and maintain the8 19 historical character, which has made Coral Gables. 19 We're with you. We want what you want. It's 20 20 21 just that we think that the solution with the windows1 22 is not the appropriate one to take unilaterally and 22 uniformly. We're trying to suggest something else 23 23 that would go along with that. We're not saying no 26 2.4 that window permanently and positively. We're saying5 25

1 let's look and see what we can do to restore the 1 2 windows, continue to have the envelope that you need,2 that we need as a City, but we also have to look at 3 3 4 doors and other things. So let's look at this a 4 5 little more comprehensively, please. That's 5 6 everything that this Board has asked you and will 6 7 continue to ask you. 7 8 Mr. Durana? 8 9 MR. DURANA: I've got a question. I saw the 0 10 letter says the wood windows by Luxbaum, they didn't10 meet the profiles; is that accurate? Like Historic 11 11 12 looked at it and said they couldn't match the same 12 window profiles of the muntins? 13 13 14 MR. IGLESIAS: We try to match as closely as 14 15 15 possible. 16 MR. DURANA: But did you guys see a wood one? 16 17 Because I mean, that's, to me, I mean, I think the 17 18 biggest battle here is the wood. If you can get an 18 19 impact window. 19 MR. IGLESIAS: No, it would be -- okay, we looked20 20 21 at wood and we looked at aluminum, and what we try t21 2.2 do, and the sections start getting too big. For 2.2 23 instance, it's like the window that the Biltmore is 23 2.4 using, so it's a much, it's a larger window. 2.4 MR. DURANA: Yeah, the frames. 2.5 25

MR. IGLESIAS: The frames you need larger sections. The glass would actually be smaller. So the reason we went to steel and this expense --MR. DURANA: Is a thinner frame.

MR. IGLESIAS: -- is to minimize as much as possible and try to match the windows as much as possible.

MR. DURANA: But I mean, it may be worth a shot to look, to show them the wood product, yeah.

MR. IGLESIAS: We looked at, we spent a year and we looked at extensively wood, aluminum, and we thought that those, we didn't want to bring that to the Historical Board because we thought that it was just too big a change and that's why we went to Hope.

MR. DURANA: And what would it cost to do -because you can get like a one time NOA approval, right, for a product if you create something?

MR. IGLESIAS: Yes.

MR. DURANA: What would it take to build, rebuild a window, like an exact replica but make sure that it's impact?

MR. IGLESIAS: That's not the problem. The problem is -- the problem is it just won't work. The impact loads are about 1.1 kip, about 1,100 pounds. You can't make that window, you can't make a window of

wood that looks like that, work. It doesn't work. I mean we could, I'm not going to do an impact test to fail. It's going to fail.

And so from a structural loading -- when you do an NOA, you just don't do an NOA, you calculate that to make sure your structural loading is correct. You design for impacts about 1,100 pounds of load and then you look at your gasketing and make -- So before you actually go to the expensive testing, you have all this design.

You design the window, and then you test the window to make sure it works. But that would be a waste of time because it just doesn't work. Those structural sections in wood, I mean, I've gone through this, you know, so many times, you just can't do it.

MR. DURANA: And there's no, like, window company that would want to partner with us to do something where maybe they embed steel and then you cover it with wood?

MR. IGLESIAS: We looked at that. We looked at wood. We looked at embedded steel, embedded aluminum. We looked at all aluminum window, and so --

MR. DURANA: And clad the steel windows with wood, there's no fabricator that will do some sort of? I don't know, I'm just trying to think of anything.

47

MR. IGLESIAS: The problem is that you've got the 1 2 structural loading, which is --MR. DURANA: I mean, my thing is this, I think a 3 3 window company, if you could produce an impact window4 4 5 that looks like a historic window, like, those windows 6 will sell. MR. IGLESIAS: That would be fantastic but you 7 7 8 can't do it, okay? I can't put 1,000 pounds on a 8 9 toothpick. You can't. 9 MR. DURANA: I mean, it depends what it's with. 10 10 11 MR. IGLESIAS: No. 11 MR. DURANA: If you put a steel rod inside and 12 12 then you cut it with wood, I mean, it might be a 13 13 14 little bit thicker. 14 MR. IGLESIAS: Well, that's very common. A lot 15 15 of windows have steel reinforcement. A lot of wood 16 16 windows have steel reinforcement to keep the, to keep7 17 18 it in wood and make it -- A lot of windows have 18 19 aluminum reinforcement, right. You even put steel 19 reinforcement inside aluminum windows, right. So a 2.0 20 lot of mullions you've probably built have steel 21 21 22 reinforcement inside, right? Right? And so those 22 options have all been looked at, and you just have 23 23 limits. So in order to get close to these limits, 2.4 2.4 we've had to go to steel. So we spent a long time, 25 25 50 1 long time. 1 2 If you look at some of the windows that you, I'm 2 sure you've installed in some of your projects, you 3 3 4 saw that the wood reinforced with either aluminum 4 5 section and sometimes steel sections, you put in 5 6 mullions, you put steel reinforcement. That's all 6 7 done because of impact and structural loading, right.7 8 And also, if the window bends too much, then your 9 gasketing doesn't work and it leaks, and it leaks even 10 more. So all those requirements are done through a 10 11 design phase. And then when you test, you want to 11 12 make sure. Sometimes you, you know, sometimes you 12 have issues. 13 13 14 MR. DURANA: Then I think, then I think you go 14 back to the Commission, and you say, look, this is the 15 16 option. Historic wants to restore the wood windows .16 17 These are the cons; they can possibly leak, the 17 18 maintenance, and all these issues. And then they cans 19 either, they make the decision. I mean, I understand9 20 you're going to be remodeling the whole inside of the0 21 building, a storm comes, it's going to cause damage,21 it's going to cause money. I get it. I mean, I think 22 23 everyone on this Board understands that. I think 23 2.4 their preference is wood, original, restore the 24 original wood windows. I mean, I think present it t25 25

the Commission and let them make a decision. I think, you know, if they want to go with the steel, I mean, that's their choice.

MR. IGLESIAS: But I don't want, I want to give the Commission the options. But I wanted to come to the Board first because I just don't want to do an assessment that's not necessary.

MR. DURANA: I'm not saying, I know, I don't think we need to do an assessment.

MR. IGLESIAS: Because it's a matter of --

MR. DURANA: If it's up to me, I would say restore the wood windows the way they are. Do not bother with something behind it. Do not bother. It's not going to work, it's going to create more problems. Just restore them, and we run the risk that when a storm comes, we can cause a lot of damage or go with the steel. I don't think there is any middle ground there.

MR. IGLESIAS: And those are probably, those are realistically, probably, the options we have.

MR. DURANA: Yeah, I think that's, those are the two options.

MR. IGLESIAS: Because we've looked at all those. You know, if we would have found the window like that in wood, that works.

MR. DURANA: Of course.

MR. IGLESIAS: But then we have to defy the laws of physics, it's just not going to work.

MR. DURANA: I get it.

MR. IGLESIAS: It's just not going to work.

MR. DURANA: I get it. That's fine. I just think, to me there's two options. I wouldn't do some sort of a mock middle in between; you're going to cause more problems. And the humidity thing, it rots the window from the inside, then you're going to have more issues. I think I would either restore them, make them look really nice and know that you run the possibility that a storm comes and can cause a lot of damage, but I don't know.

MR. IGLESIAS: I mean, I think Mrs. Spain knows about the rot from the inside of windows. Happened to your windows, right?

MR. DURANA: But I definitely want this building to be restored. I mean, looking up there, you can see water damage on the crown molding. I mean, this building needs --

THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, originally, we had a blue ceiling.

MR. DURANA: I would love to see the building restored. I would prefer for it to be restored in a

51

53 more historic way than the Building Department over 1 1 2 there. That one is a little kind of modern inside. 2 MR. IGLESIAS: That was not an historical 3 3 building. 4 4 5 MR. DURANA: No, no, I know, that's a different 5 6 building. I'm saying in here, obviously, I would 6 7 prefer that we restore --7 8 MR. IGLESIAS: That was not deemed a historical 8 9 building. 9 MR. DURANA: I know, I know. 10 10 11 MR. IGLESIAS: That was just --11 12 MR. DURANA: I know. I know. I know. 12 MR. IGLESIAS: We're just trying to create a 13 13 14 one-stop shop there. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Peter, thank you. I think we're 15 15 going to, you've made an excellent presentation. 16 16 Thank you very much. We're all glad you're back. 17 17 18 MR. IGLESIAS: Thank you very much. 18 19 THE CHAIRMAN: And you know, you're always very 19 thorough. And what we'd like to do, is we'd like to20 20 make a recommendation to the Board, I mean to the 21 21 22 Commission and to you, and Mr. Garcia-Pons would like2 to put that into the record. 23 23 MR. GARCIA-PONS: Thanks, Mr. Maxwell. So I 2.4 2.4 think, I'm listing as priorities, and I think there'25 25 54 1 three priorities. And number one is unanimous; 1 2 protect the building to last another hundred years, 2 right, that should be number one on the list. And that 3 4 Commission is going to make that decision based on 4 whatever the input they get. And we all agree that no 5 matter what the answer is, that is the most important6 6 7 thing. 8 Number two, I think the consensus of the Board is 8 9 to try to keep the existing 100 year-old windows that9 10 are an important character defining element of this 10 11 historic landmark. And three, have the City's 11 12 consulting architect explore the hurricane protection2 that solves the, in the best way, that complies with13 13 14 numbers one and two, to protect the building and to 14 try to maintain the existing windows as an important15 15

character of the building. Mr. Maxwell, if you wanted to add to that? 17 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Yeah, we would, and to add to 18 18 19 that, it would be, let's have the architect give us 19 some options with that. I mean, I understand that 20 20 21 you're hiring Mr. Heisenbottle to prepare plans for 21 22 this. I mean, I've never bought windows before. I 22 23 had an architectural plan. So I would like to, you 23 know, add to Mr. Garcia-Pons that we have the 2.4 2.4 25 architect come up with some alternatives for that for 25

16

us, and that we can price them and look at them from exactly the points that are there.

Mr. Manager, no one is trying to do anything but exactly what it is that you want to do, so please let us look at this and make these recommendations.

MR. IGLESIAS: Yes, we are looking at the historical architect now, the only thing that I'm thinking about is speeding up some of the work, sort of go into a two-phase work. Look at programming, schematic design along with the assessment of the building to try to get into design development as much as we can and get this building, really -- This is an incredible building. I mean, it's just fantastic.

We're trying to save as much of it as we can, believe me. It's been a pleasure for me to address this Board today. And everything that you all say, will be taken to the Commission. And I thank you all very, very much.

THE CHAIRMAN: And thank you, Mr. Manager. We appreciate you being here. And we're going to take a vote on our, on our motion here. And we recognize that Mayor Lago has joined us, and so we're appreciative that he has come into the, to the conversation as well. Thank you, Mr. Manager, and welcome back.

MR. IGLESIAS: Thank you very much. Thank you. THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. All right, we have a motion that Mr --

MR. GARCIA-PONS: So it's not a motion, it's a recommendation.

THE CHAIRMAN: It's a recommendation, excuse me. MS. THROCKMARTIN: So for ease of the

Commission's digestion of your recommendation, it may be helpful -- and I took notes on what you said, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So if that's your motion to make that the official recommendation of this Board, those three priorities, you could state that as a motion and a second, if you'd like.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: I would, but can you read them back as to what you wrote?

16

MS. THROCKMARTIN: I understood them and I can go back to the transcript as needed, but that there are three priorities. The first one is to protect the building, to have it last an additional 100 years; that is the most important priority of this board. The second one is to try to keep the existing windows. And the third one is to work with the City's architectural consultant to explore other options to maintain the existing windows in order to achieve that first goal.

56

		I F	
1	57 MR. GARCIA-PONS: And I would check the record	1	59 THE CLERK: Ms. Ebbert?
2	because I think I would like the second one to make	2	MS. EBBERT: Yes.
3	sure that it states, as an important character	3	THE CLERK: Mr. Maxwell?
4	defining element of this historic landmark.	4	THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.
5	MS. THROCKMARTIN: If that's your motion, I	5	THE CLERK: Mr. Garcia-Pons?
6	understand that motion.	6	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yes.
7	MS. SPAIN: I'll second that, if that was a	7	THE CLERK: And Ms. Spain?
			-
8	motion.	8	MS. SPAIN: Yes.
9	THE CHAIRMAN: We have a motion. We have a	9	THE CHAIRMAN: All right. Well, thank you,
10	recommendation by Mr. Garcia-Pons, a second by	10	ladies and gentlemen. And Mr. Manager, Mr. Gomez,
11	Mrs. Spain.	11	thank you. We sincerely appreciate your coming to us
12	MR. DURANA: I just want to like, understand the	12	And we sincerely appreciate your desire and your
13	logic behind like, what, what exactly. So you're	13	openness to working with the Board, as you always
14	saying, protect the building?	14	have, so thank you very much.
15	MR. GARCIA-PONS: At all costs.	15	MR. IGLESIAS: Thank you very much. Thank you.
16	MR. DURANA: That's, that's when they're making	16	THE CHAIRMAN: We appreciate it. All right.
17	their decision, that should be priority one?	17	Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to pass
18	THE CHAIRMAN: Exactly.	18	MS. EBBERT: Could I just add one point of
19	MR. DURANA: And then priority two would be,	19	interest? Coral Gables City Hall was added to the
20	maintain the original windows. And then priority	20	National Register of Historic Places on July 24th,
21	three would be, if there's a way to somehow protect		1974, so it's been 51 years.
22	them a little bit better when a storm comes, like	22	THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. All right. I need to
23	either a shutter or a windscreen or something.	23	
	-		pass the gavel. Ladies and gentlemen, I have anothe
24	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Is to have the architect that		meeting that I have to go to, unfortunately. So our
25	being hired, the professional, to explore ways to	25	vice chair is not here, so I'm passing it to our
=	5.0	i E	
	58		60
1	accomplish priorities one and number two.	1	previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons.
2		1 2	
	accomplish priorities one and number two.		previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons.
2	accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving	2	previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely
2 3	accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're	2 3	previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help.
2 3 4	accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window.	2 3 4 5	previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will
2 3 4 5	accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's	2 3 4 5	previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute breat
2 3 4 5 6	accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's the thing, that's the reason I put it in that order	2 3 4 5 , 6	previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute breat MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please. Okay, yeah, let's take
2 3 4 5 6 7	accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's the thing, that's the reason I put it in that order is we all want the same thing.	2 3 4 5 , 6 7	<pre>previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute break MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please. Okay, yeah, let's take a five-minute break. We'll be back in five minutes,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's the thing, that's the reason I put it in that order is we all want the same thing. MR. DURANA: All right.	2 3 4 5 , 6 7 8	<pre>previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute breat MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please. Okay, yeah, let's take a five-minute break. We'll be back in five minutes, not 20 minutes.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's the thing, that's the reason I put it in that order is we all want the same thing. MR. DURANA: All right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We just want it possibly in a	2 3 4 5 , 6 7 8 9	<pre>previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute break MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please. Okay, yeah, let's take a five-minute break. We'll be back in five minutes, not 20 minutes. MS. KAUTZ: I also would indulge you all to allow</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's the thing, that's the reason I put it in that order is we all want the same thing. MR. DURANA: All right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We just want it possibly in a different way. MR. DURANA: Maybe in the, yeah, okay.	2 3 4 5 , 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute break MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please. Okay, yeah, let's take a five-minute break. We'll be back in five minutes, not 20 minutes. MS. KAUTZ: I also would indulge you all to allow me to shift the agenda to allow these poor folks who</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's the thing, that's the reason I put it in that order is we all want the same thing. MR. DURANA: All right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We just want it possibly in a different way. MR. DURANA: Maybe in the, yeah, okay. MS. SPAIN: I think number one, though is about	2 3 4 5 , 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute break MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please. Okay, yeah, let's take a five-minute break. We'll be back in five minutes, not 20 minutes. MS. KAUTZ: I also would indulge you all to allow me to shift the agenda to allow these poor folks who are here with children to be MR. GARCIA-PONS: What item is that?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's the thing, that's the reason I put it in that order is we all want the same thing. MR. DURANA: All right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We just want it possibly in a different way. MR. DURANA: Maybe in the, yeah, okay. MS. SPAIN: I think number one, though is about having this building last for another 100 years,</pre>	2 3 4 5 , 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute break MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please. Okay, yeah, let's take a five-minute break. We'll be back in five minutes, not 20 minutes. MS. KAUTZ: I also would indulge you all to allow me to shift the agenda to allow these poor folks who are here with children to be MR. GARCIA-PONS: What item is that? MS. KAUTZ: It's the last one on the agenda to</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's the thing, that's the reason I put it in that order is we all want the same thing. MR. DURANA: All right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We just want it possibly in a different way. MR. DURANA: Maybe in the, yeah, okay. MS. SPAIN: I think number one, though is about having this building last for another 100 years, period, just that.</pre>	2 3 4 5 , 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute brea MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please. Okay, yeah, let's take a five-minute break. We'll be back in five minutes, not 20 minutes. MS. KAUTZ: I also would indulge you all to allow me to shift the agenda to allow these poor folks who are here with children to be MR. GARCIA-PONS: What item is that? MS. KAUTZ: It's the last one on the agenda to move first.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's the thing, that's the reason I put it in that order is we all want the same thing. MR. DURANA: All right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We just want it possibly in a different way. MR. DURANA: Maybe in the, yeah, okay. MS. SPAIN: I think number one, though is about having this building last for another 100 years, period, just that. OTHE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So do we need to call the</pre>	2 3 4 5 , 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute breat MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please. Okay, yeah, let's take a five-minute break. We'll be back in five minutes, not 20 minutes. MS. KAUTZ: I also would indulge you all to allow me to shift the agenda to allow these poor folks who are here with children to be MR. GARCIA-PONS: What item is that? MS. KAUTZ: It's the last one on the agenda to move first. MS. THROCKMARTIN: Mr. Vice Chair, before we take</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's the thing, that's the reason I put it in that order is we all want the same thing. MR. DURANA: All right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We just want it possibly in a different way. MR. DURANA: Maybe in the, yeah, okay. MS. SPAIN: I think number one, though is about having this building last for another 100 years, period, just that. OTHE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So do we need to call the roll?</pre>	2 3 4 5 , 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute break MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please. Okay, yeah, let's take a five-minute break. We'll be back in five minutes, not 20 minutes. MS. KAUTZ: I also would indulge you all to allow me to shift the agenda to allow these poor folks who are here with children to be MR. GARCIA-PONS: What item is that? MS. KAUTZ: It's the last one on the agenda to move first. MS. THROCKMARTIN: Mr. Vice Chair, before we take our brief recess, I understand that there were a few</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's the thing, that's the reason I put it in that order is we all want the same thing. MR. DURANA: All right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We just want it possibly in a different way. MR. DURANA: Maybe in the, yeah, okay. MS. SPAIN: I think number one, though is about having this building last for another 100 years, period, just that. OTHE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So do we need to call the roll? MS. THROCKMARTIN: If you're ready, Mr. Chair, compared to the the the set of the the set of the the terms of terms of</pre>	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 fl7	<pre>previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute brea MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please. Okay, yeah, let's take a five-minute break. We'll be back in five minutes, not 20 minutes. MS. KAUTZ: I also would indulge you all to allow me to shift the agenda to allow these poor folks who are here with children to be MR. GARCIA-PONS: What item is that? MS. KAUTZ: It's the last one on the agenda to move first. MS. THROCKMARTIN: Mr. Vice Chair, before we take our brief recess, I understand that there were a few members of the public who wish to speak on the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's the thing, that's the reason I put it in that order is we all want the same thing. MR. DURANA: All right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We just want it possibly in a different way. MR. DURANA: Maybe in the, yeah, okay. MS. SPAIN: I think number one, though is about having this building last for another 100 years, period, just that. OTHE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So do we need to call the roll?</pre>	2 3 4 5 , 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute breat MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please. Okay, yeah, let's take a five-minute break. We'll be back in five minutes, not 20 minutes. MS. KAUTZ: I also would indulge you all to allow me to shift the agenda to allow these poor folks who are here with children to be MR. GARCIA-PONS: What item is that? MS. KAUTZ: It's the last one on the agenda to move first. MS. THROCKMARTIN: Mr. Vice Chair, before we take our brief recess, I understand that there were a few members of the public who wish to speak on the previous item.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's the thing, that's the reason I put it in that order is we all want the same thing. MR. DURANA: All right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We just want it possibly in a different way. MR. DURANA: Maybe in the, yeah, okay. MS. SPAIN: I think number one, though is about having this building last for another 100 years, period, just that. OTHE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So do we need to call the roll? MS. THROCKMARTIN: If you're ready, Mr. Chair, compared to the the the set of the the set of the the the test of test of</pre>	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 fl7 18 19	<pre>previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute brea MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please. Okay, yeah, let's take a five-minute break. We'll be back in five minutes, not 20 minutes. MS. KAUTZ: I also would indulge you all to allow me to shift the agenda to allow these poor folks who are here with children to be MR. GARCIA-PONS: What item is that? MS. KAUTZ: It's the last one on the agenda to move first. MS. THROCKMARTIN: Mr. Vice Chair, before we take our brief recess, I understand that there were a few members of the public who wish to speak on the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's the thing, that's the reason I put it in that order is we all want the same thing. MR. DURANA: All right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We just want it possibly in a different way. MR. DURANA: Maybe in the, yeah, okay. MS. SPAIN: I think number one, though is about having this building last for another 100 years, period, just that. OTHE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So do we need to call the roll? MS. THROCKMARTIN: If you're ready, Mr. Chair, of course, if discussion is done, please.</pre>	2 3 4 5 , 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 :15 16 fl7 18	<pre>previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute brea MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please. Okay, yeah, let's take a five-minute break. We'll be back in five minutes, not 20 minutes. MS. KAUTZ: I also would indulge you all to allow me to shift the agenda to allow these poor folks who are here with children to be MR. GARCIA-PONS: What item is that? MS. KAUTZ: It's the last one on the agenda to move first. MS. THROCKMARTIN: Mr. Vice Chair, before we take our brief recess, I understand that there were a few members of the public who wish to speak on the previous item. It's my understanding that public comment is not</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's the thing, that's the reason I put it in that order is we all want the same thing. MR. DURANA: All right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We just want it possibly in a different way. MR. DURANA: Maybe in the, yeah, okay. MS. SPAIN: I think number one, though is about having this building last for another 100 years, period, just that. OTHE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So do we need to call the roll? MS. THROCKMARTIN: If you're ready, Mr. Chair, co course, if discussion is done, please. THE CHAIRMAN: Would you please call the roll?</pre>	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 fl7 18 19	<pre>previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute brea MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please. Okay, yeah, let's take a five-minute break. We'll be back in five minutes, not 20 minutes. MS. KAUTZ: I also would indulge you all to allow me to shift the agenda to allow these poor folks who are here with children to be MR. GARCIA-PONS: What item is that? MS. KAUTZ: It's the last one on the agenda to move first. MS. THROCKMARTIN: Mr. Vice Chair, before we take our brief recess, I understand that there were a few members of the public who wish to speak on the previous item. It's my understanding that public comment is not</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's the thing, that's the reason I put it in that order is we all want the same thing. MR. DURANA: All right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We just want it possibly in a different way. MR. DURANA: Maybe in the, yeah, okay. MS. SPAIN: I think number one, though is about having this building last for another 100 years, period, just that. OTHE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So do we need to call the roll? MS. THROCKMARTIN: If you're ready, Mr. Chair, c course, if discussion is done, please. THE CHAIRMAN: Would you please call the roll? THE CLERK: Mr. Durana?</pre>	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 fl7 18 19 20	<pre>previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute brea MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please. Okay, yeah, let's take a five-minute break. We'll be back in five minutes, not 20 minutes. MS. KAUTZ: I also would indulge you all to allow me to shift the agenda to allow these poor folks who are here with children to be MR. GARCIA-PONS: What item is that? MS. KAUTZ: It's the last one on the agenda to move first. MS. THROCKMARTIN: Mr. Vice Chair, before we take our brief recess, I understand that there were a few members of the public who wish to speak on the previous item. It's my understanding that public comment is not required. This was non-binding review of this Board</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's the thing, that's the reason I put it in that order is we all want the same thing. MR. DURANA: All right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We just want it possibly in a different way. MR. DURANA: Maybe in the, yeah, okay. MS. SPAIN: I think number one, though is about having this building last for another 100 years, period, just that. OTHE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So do we need to call the roll? MS. THROCKMARTIN: If you're ready, Mr. Chair, of course, if discussion is done, please. THE CHAIRMAN: Would you please call the roll? THE CLERK: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes.</pre>	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 fl7 18 19 20 21	<pre>previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute brea MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please. Okay, yeah, let's take a five-minute break. We'll be back in five minutes, not 20 minutes. MS. KAUTZ: I also would indulge you all to allow me to shift the agenda to allow these poor folks who are here with children to be MR. GARCIA-PONS: What item is that? MS. KAUTZ: It's the last one on the agenda to move first. MS. THROCKMARTIN: Mr. Vice Chair, before we take our brief recess, I understand that there were a few members of the public who wish to speak on the previous item. It's my understanding that public comment is not required. This was non-binding review of this Board But to the extent the Board would like to hear from</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>accomplish priorities one and number two. MR. DURANA: I just think if you're giving priority number one, protect the envelope, they're going to go with the steel window. MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's not true. And that's the thing, that's the reason I put it in that order is we all want the same thing. MR. DURANA: All right. MR. GARCIA-PONS: We just want it possibly in a different way. MR. DURANA: Maybe in the, yeah, okay. MS. SPAIN: I think number one, though is about having this building last for another 100 years, period, just that. OTHE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So do we need to call the roll? MS. THROCKMARTIN: If you're ready, Mr. Chair, c course, if discussion is done, please. THE CHAIRMAN: Would you please call the roll? THE CLERK: Mr. Durana? MR. DURANA: Yes. THE CLERK: Ms. Dunaj?</pre>	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 fl7 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>previous chair, Mr. Garcia-Pons. So thank you all very much. We sincerely appreciate all of your assistance and help. MS. KAUTZ: Mr. Garcia-Pons, if you guys will indulge me for a two-second break, a two-minute breat MR. GARCIA-PONS: Please. Okay, yeah, let's take a five-minute break. We'll be back in five minutes, not 20 minutes. MS. KAUTZ: I also would indulge you all to allow me to shift the agenda to allow these poor folks who are here with children to be MR. GARCIA-PONS: What item is that? MS. KAUTZ: It's the last one on the agenda to move first. MS. THROCKMARTIN: Mr. Vice Chair, before we take our brief recess, I understand that there were a few members of the public who wish to speak on the previous item. It's my understanding that public comment is not required. This was non-binding review of this Board But to the extent the Board would like to hear from that comment, there are people who have asked to</pre>

	61	63
1	(Break taken and then the meeting continued.) 1	
2	MR. GARCIA-PONS: So before we take the next 2	recognized and iconic buildings in Coral Gables.
3	item, there was a couple of pieces of input, public 3	On top of this, City Hall is one of the few
4	input that we received regarding the previous item. 4	buildings in the City that retains its original
5	The first one was an email from Ms. Vicky Ceduda 5	fenestration.
6	(phonetic) that was in support of a complete 6	The Secretary of the Interior notes, "As
7	assessment of the original windows. I want to read 7	one of the few parts of a building serving as both
8	that into the record. And then there was also a 8	an interior and exterior feature, windows are nearly
9	letter from the Historic Preservation Association of 9	always an important part of the historic character
10	Coral Gables. Ms. Carbonell is here and I would like0	of a building. In most buildings, windows also
11	for her to read it into the record, please before well	comprise a considerable amount of the historic
12	get on to the next item.	fabric of the wall plane, and thus are deserving of
13	MS. CARBONELL: Thank you, Mr. Garcia-Pons for 13	special consideration in a rehabilitation project.
14	allowing me to read my letter into the record. I $knb4$	Respectfully submitted, Historic Preservation
15	the vote has, or the recommendation has been taken, 15	Association of Coral Gables.
16	but I know somebody mentioned Vinnie Torre. And 16	Thank you for allowing me to read it into the
17	Vinnie Torre is 100 percent behind the restoration of 7	record.
18	the original windows, and this has been going on for18	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Thank you, Ms. Carbonell.
19	many years, so I just wanted to say that. 19	MS. CARBONELL: Thank you.
20	On behalf of the Historic Preservation 20	MR. GARCIA-PONS: Okay, we're going to move on.
21	Association of Coral Gables, please accept this letter	(End of the excerpt of the meeting.)
22	in support for the original windows at City Hall to 22	
23	restored and preserved. The windows are original an233	
24	have withstood every storm since the 1920s, including4	
25	Andrew and Wilma, which devastated the area. 25	
	62	64
1	This recommendation is consistent with the 1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	Secretary of the Interior standards and 2	I, Avonne White, a Notary Public and Reporter for
3		the State of Florida, do hereby certify that the foregoing
4	Historic, and the current and former Coral Gables 4	is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings as
5	Historic Preservation Officers. City Hall, if there 5	
6	is no record that the windows were not replaced, then	
7	they are presumed to be original. 7	
8	The fact that they are wooden, likely indicates 8	
9		relative, nor employee, nor attorney, nor counsel of any
10	should be restored and preserved. Missing windows 10	
11	could be constructed to be sympathetic to match the 11	
12	original. 12	
13	City Hall is one of the most significant 13	
14	structures in the city and occupies one of the most 14	
15	visible and traversed locations at Biltmore and 15	-
16	LeJeune. It should also be remembered that this was16	
17	the last major structure in the City which George 17	
18	Merrick was involved with. 18	
19	MS. CARBONELL: It is a star in our downtown 19	
20	area. City Hall was designed by premier architects,20	
21	Paist and Steward, and is one of the few buildings 21	
22	included in the City's official Mediterranean 22	
23	handbook. 23	
24	Furthermore, this is one of the few buildings in 24	
_		
25	the City that is both locally and nationally 25	