```
Also, what I'd like to go ahead and do, is
1
2
        I'd like to move the agenda, for F-1 to go
3
        first, which is a discussion item, and we have
        a presentation on that, and then we'll go ahead
4
        and take on Item E-3. If anybody on the Board
5
6
        has an objection to that, please let me know.
        No? Thank you.
7
            Mr. Coller, would you read -- I guess, do
8
        we need to read F-1 into the record?
9
            MR. COLLER: We really don't, because it's
10
        not a title to an item, so it's not really
11
12
        necessary to read it.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
13
             Jennifer.
14
15
            MR. COLLER: It's pretty short, though.
        I'd be happy to read it, if you'd like.
16
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Actually, why don't
17
18
        you read it, just so we have it on the record.
            MR. COLLER: Sure.
19
            F-1, Presentation and discussion of
20
        enhanced Mediterranean Design requirements.
21
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
22
            MS. GARCIA: Jennifer Garcia, Planning
23
24
        Official.
            I have a quick PowerPoint. This was
25
1
```

included in your packet for today. The one included in your packet, the wording was much longer --CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Jennifer, if I may. MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I do apologize. Before you start --MS. GARCIA: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: -- we all got a letter from Holland & Knight regarding the first agenda item, that was deferred, which is E-1 and E-2. I just want to make sure it's entered into the record. THE SECRETARY: Yes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Continue, please. MS. GARCIA: Okay. So Staff has been working with residents and with -- oh, good, just in time -- Members of the Commission on ways to improve the Mediterranean Bonus. There's been some complaints about some buildings receiving and being granted Med Bonus, that may not meet the eye of your average person as being Mediterranean style.

So the intent of this is really to strengthen

those criteria, in our Zoning Code, to hopefully come up with a better result.

So just a little bit of history, for those who are not familiar, and just for the public, so that they're more familiar, the Mediterranean Bonus Ordinance was adopted back in the mid '80s. It's been amended several times. Some of those amendments were more significant than others. It was most recently amended a couple of years ago, actually, to require Mediterranean style only, instead of the "other styles," as well as provide an optional conceptual review.

And part of that came from a committee, the Blue Ribbon Committee, that several members of -- I think, of the Board here, participated in that, and the accomplishments and a lot of the discussion that happened at the Committee were already incorporated into the Med Bonus or into the Zoning Code, the conceptual design by the Board of Architects, the Mediterranean style only being a requirement, but all of the discussion also included the emphasis on the ground floor, on the ground level, and how to improve that experience for the pedestrian, how

to improve the experience along the ground level, and, you know, make that more of a requirement of the Med Bonus.

So, in summary, this can be summarized in five points. The first part of the proposed changes is to strengthen the intent and purpose of the Med Bonus, as well as requiring conceptual review for any Mediterranean Bonus application. You can find that in the strike through and underline you have on your packet, on Page 2; also removing repetitive criteria.

So, in the Zoning Code update, there were several criteria that were found to be really effective to make street facing buildings, and those were copied and pasted into the underlying Zoning. So, right now, they're already required, as part of the underlying Zoning. So those are being removed, because, right now, they're just repetitive; as well as relocating certain criteria to the more appropriate parts of the Zoning Code. That would include porte cocheres, driveways on certain streets. So that's being relocated from the Zoning -- I'm sorry, from the Med Bonus, into the more appropriate parts of the

Zoning Code, that deal with those types of provisions.

And, then, lastly -- not lastly, but fourth, to incorporate provisions from our Mediterranean Village PAD Architectural Standards that made The Plaza. Those standards are found in Appendix C of our Zoning Code, and they have to do with more detail, a lot to do with proportions and massing. And, then, lastly, expand the precedence, for example, buildings that architects can use as they're developing their Mediterranean style buildings.

So, we met with the Board of Architects a few times, and they wholeheartedly desired to have a higher quality Mediterranean design, and they proposed to have these improvements to certain criteria, to effect — to have a better effective review of their design, because the Med Bonus is granted by the Board of Architects, it's not granted by this Board, it's not granted by the City Commission, but the Board of Architects. So it's really important that the Board of Architects understands the criteria and they can see what's more effective in their review. So,

again, improving the criteria and the review is going to give them teeth and improve their review for a higher quality of design.

So we are kind of towards the end of our discussion and feedback. Again, we've been meeting with the Board of Architects since last October, the City Commission a couple of times, for just their feedback and general update. You have the packet from last time, the introduction, and, also, these -- pretty much the same changes that you have today, and here we are, kind of at the tail end of that discussion and feedback. Today's meeting is not about giving a recommendation, but to get your feedback, to incorporate into this evolving document, to come back for your recommendation for the adoption process.

So just to recap the current standard that we have in our Code right now, so Med Bonus grants height, as you know. So there's -- in our chart of our Zoning Code, we have, as of right, which is highlighted in green, and there's two levels of bonus. There's Level 1 and Level 2. Level 1 usually grants one story -- I think, always grants one story, not

(sic) depending on the Zoning or the Land Use, but Level 2 grants one additional story above that, or two stories, if you're a higher intensity or density. And for the FAR, you also get a .2 for Level 1 Med Bonus, and then additional .3, for a total of .5 FAR, for the multi-family, and as well as for the Mixed-Use Districts.

So this is Table 1. Table 1 is -- this is the current requirements, okay. Table 1, you're required to comply with all of the requirements, and that will give you, again, one story or .2 FAR. Table 2 is more of the a la carte. So if you are a multi-family zoning, you fulfill six of the twelve requirements, and if you're a mixed-use, you fulfill eight of the twelve qualifications of the standards, and that will give you an additional one story, additional two stories or three, depending on the intensity and density, as well as .3 FAR. And, then, Table 3, right now, is different options, that if you're meeting Level 1 or -and Level 2, you can pick and choose these optional developments.

So, currently, we have eight example

buildings or precedents that includes the Biltmore, it includes the Douglas Entrance, elementary school. I'm sure you're very familiar with all of these buildings.

So, in summary, this is five points.

Again, to strengthen the intent and the purpose and the review process, as well as removing those repetitive criteria, relocating the criteria that should be more appropriately part of our Zoning Code, incorporating a lot of the provisions from Appendix C, which is the Mediterranean Village PAD Architectural Standards, and then expanding the list of precedence for example buildings.

And, then, just to illustrate what this means, so during the Zoning Code update, a lot of those provisions, again, like I said, were moved from -- from the Med Bonus -- not moved; copied from the Med Bonus, because they were very good standards, that dealt with public realm and how a building approaches the street, and they're according to the underlying Zoning. And, then, looking through the criteria we have now in our Zoning Code, there are some things, like porte cochere, other things, that should

be located in different parts of the Code.

And, then, this is some pages from the Mediterranean Village Form Based Code, that developed The Plaza. Even though The Plaza is a very different form and massing, the architecture has been claimed as very, very good. So we're taking some of those proportions, massing, vertical hierarchy, fenestration or rhythm of columns, and we're putting those ideas, those traditional architecture ideas, rule of thumb, into this new criteria.

So tonight we're going to discuss all of these sections. So we're going to go through the precedence first. That's more of a visual preference -- sorry, a more visual presentation, and then we'll go through the prerequisites table, and then Bonus Level 1 and Level 2.

So, currently, we have eight buildings. Right now, we're proposing that the San Sebastian Apartments be removed. I guess there were some concerns that the architecture wasn't as high quality as the other examples, and they're replacing that with, I think, eleven or

ten other examples. So we're showing, the Freedom Tower, if you're familiar with that, in Downtown Miami. All of these are buildings that were designed by refined architects. You recognize names like Schultze & Weaver, Fink, Phineas Paist.

So we're showing Freedom Tower, La Palma, with regard -- La Palm, that's here in Coral Gables, Miami Senior High, Miami Beach City Hall, the U.S. Post and Courthouse in Downtown, and The Breakers Hotel up in Palm Beach, and also some local examples, that were not done by more familiar founding architects, as well. There's the three-story building on Ponce, that's at 2312 Ponce de Leon. There's also Comber Hall, which is part of the Church of the Little Flower, the original 1920s structure, and also the Hotel Plaza St. Michelle, which is also the Zucca Restaurant. And there's also some precedence that we're proposing, that are outside of our local South Florida, which includes the Santa Barbara City Hall, and the Vineta Hotel in Palm Beach.

So we can go through these. If you look at the strike through and underline that starts on

Page -- I'm sorry, the sentence that starts "Prerequisites," and it starts on Page 5 of your strike through and underline document that you have, so the first one is, again, repetitive. It was moved to Article II during the Zoning Code update. The same with the second one, which is about architectural relief elements, and being replaced with language about precedence, about how to use elements and massing using precedence as example.

Let's see, architectural elements is also being removed, because it's already -- I'm sorry, this one's being moved to the end of the Med Bonus, as you cannot count some of the elements of a building beyond the maximum height. So that, right now, is already allowed. We're just moving it out of the Med Bonus, because it's not really a criteria. It's more of an allowed to do, if you're a Mediterranean building, and replacing that with building proportions, again, which is drafted from the Mediterranean Village PAD. It's in Appendix C.

We have also bicycle storage, because that's already in our Zoning Code, and

replacing it with emphasis of architectural elements, which, again, was drafted from the Mediterranean Village PAD. We're removing building facades, which is also already located in Article II of our Zoning Code, and replacing it with back of house utilities.

I'm not going to go through every single one of these, but you can follow along, you can see what the comments are -- the current requirements, the comments, and the proposed. I know there's people that need to leave.

So, basically, what this means, is that an architect would have to follow the prerequisites compliance. They would have -- what I just went through, and also the architectural precedence, and that allows them to enter into -- allows them to qualify for a Med Bonus.

This first table that I just went through is not giving a bonus for meeting these now twelve requirements. They're just prerequisites to be able to apply for a Med Bonus. That's shown here in the gray. (Unintelliqible.)

So, the proposed Level 1, a lot of them are

```
staying, they're just adding different language, again, mostly from the Mediterranean Village PAD, some other elements that the Board of Architects felt that we needed to have more teeth in, as far as ground floor design, rear access, sustainability, open space, that's being replaced for some of the requirements that here that are already in our Zoning Code.
```

And, then, once they fulfill what they fulfill, again, six of the twelve for multi-family and eight of the twelve for mixed-use, then they're allowed to finally get one level of Med Bonus, that's one extra floor and .2 FAR. So, then, Level 2, which used to be the Optional Table 3, now has four requirements to meet, building lot coverage, resources for the Mediterranean style, which I think is an idea that the Board had, and design elements and also Zoning District requirements.

So, once they fulfill, again, from the bottom, the prerequisites, the first table I showed, the architectural precedence, those buildings that I showed, as well, it will meet Level 1, as far as six of the twelve or eight of the twelve, the checklist, and then they

meet these four, then they can meet the highest Level 2 bonus.

That's it. So I have Judy Carty here, the BOA Chair. I also have Ralph. I think he needs to leave pretty soon. So I don't know if you want to open it up for discussion or if you all have any questions for Judy or if you want some comments or --

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, if the Board is okay, I'd like to hear from the people that are here, from Judy and so forth, their comments.

Please, come up, Judy. Have you -- well, we don't need to swear in.

MS. CARTY: Yeah. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you just state your name --

MS. CARTY: Sure. Judy Carty, 920 Medina Avenue.

So the Board went through this in pretty extensive detail, and I think we got a hundred percent support for what is being presented at this time. So we're pretty comfortable with it. We went back and forth on the examples, and I don't know how you all feel about those, but we felt it was okay to include examples

outside of Coral Gables, because I think there is some good Mediterranean architecture that's outside of this area. There was some discussion on that, you know, whether it should really be kept or not, but I think it's valuable, and that was the consensus, at the end of the day.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.

MS. CARTY: And if you have any questions on anything or concerns -- I mean, Robert is very familiar with all of these requirements. I don't know if you saw anything in there that you are concerned with.

MR. BEHAR: No. No. I think you're right, and I think it was a good idea to bring other examples, not just limit it, because, you know, we're -- in the City, we're limited to just a few buildings --

MS. CARTY: Exactly.

MR. BEHAR:  $\mbox{--}$  and this opens up to a little bit more. So I think that was a very good idea.

MS. CARTY: Yeah. I think it will help. I mean, from us reviewing it, you know, everybody used the same building, with the same, you

know --

MR. BEHAR: Especially when you do a mixed-use project.

MS. CARTY: Exactly.

 $\label{eq:mr.behar} \mbox{MR. BEHAR:} \quad \mbox{You really need a little bit} \\ \mbox{more diversity.}$ 

MS. CARTY: Yeah.

MR. BEHAR: And I think this does. I thought -- I think there was -- previous, a while back, there was even a couple more examples.

MS. CARTY: There were, and we paired it down. So, I mean, I don't know that anybody will be opposed to increasing it, if there are additional suggestions.

MR. BEHAR: Because there was the National Bank of Boston in Argentina, in Buenos Aires, that was an example, that I thought would be a really good example to have, as well --

MS. CARTY: Yeah. I mean, we didn't go outside of the country, but that would be the next.

MR. BEHAR: -- you know.

MS. GARCIA: Yeah. I think we discussed limiting it to these particular ones, and then

```
maybe having a greater list within the best
1
                                                                            MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. It's not
2
        practices manual, that's not really identified
                                                                2
                                                                       mandatory.
        in the Code itself, but in the materials.
3
                                                                3
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Wasn't it mandatory
            MR. BEHAR: But the good thing is, you have
                                                                       before?
4
5
        these, but you could also bring some of the
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: No.
6
        example to the Board, and they'll be evaluated
                                                                            MR. WITHERS: No.
        based on -- but I thought it was very good.
7
                                                                            MS. GARCIA: Uh-uh, optional.
            MS. CARTY: Yeah. Yeah.
                                                                            MS. CARTY: It's a brand new concept, no
8
            MR. BEHAR: I think that Staff has done a
9
                                                                9
                                                                       pun intended.
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: Because that came out of
10
        great job and you.
            MS. CARTY: Staff has done a great job. I
                                                               111
11
                                                                            MS. GARCIA: Blue Ribbon.
12
        mean, we just reviewed it.
                                                               12
            MR. SALMAN: I'm curious, did you -- I
                                                               13
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: -- the Blue Ribbon Committee.
13
        don't see Vizcaya in there, and I think that's
                                                               14
                                                                            MS. GARCIA: Right.
14
15
        a beautiful Mediterranean --
                                                               15
                                                                            MR. WITHERS: So someone -- can someone be
                                                                       denied a bonus if they meet the criteria
16
            MS. CARTY: Yeah, that's an interesting
                                                               116
        one. That's true.
                                                               17
                                                                       legally?
17
18
            MR. SALMAN: I've been to the Santa Barbara
                                                               18
                                                                            MS. CARTY: Can they be denied a bonus --
        City Hall, and it's fabulous.
                                                               19
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Yes.
19
20
            MS. CARTY: Yeah.
                                                               20
                                                                            MS. CARTY: -- if they meet the criteria?
21
            MR. SALMAN: It's a little more Baroque in
                                                               21
                                                                            MR. WITHERS: So if you're supposed to say,
        its concept and a little more arts and crafts
                                                               22
                                                                       okay, I like -- don't use bike racks, because I
22
                                                               23
        in its detail, but it is generally on point
                                                                       know you moved them into the -- out of it --
23
24
        with regards to style.
                                                               24
                                                                            MS. GARCIA: Well, they're currently in our
                                                               25
            MS. CARTY: But, yeah, Vizcaya is a good
25
                                                                       Code, anyway.
                                                          25
                                                                                                                         27
1
        one. You know, that never came up.
                                                                            MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Okay. You have to
            MR. SALMAN: It's one of those obvious
2
                                                                2
                                                                       paint the windows pink, and you get this, and
                                                                       the people say, we're painting the windows pink
3
        ones.
                                                                       to meet this criteria, can you deny them the
            MS. CARTY: Yeah, one of those obvious that
 4
                                                                       bonus?
5
        we overlooked.
            MR. SALMAN: So I thought maybe there was
                                                                            MS. CARTY: Well, I would respond saying, I
 6
        some reason, but --
                                                                       don't think the criteria is as specific as
7
                                                                       paint the building pink.
8
            MS. CARTY: No. No.
9
            MR. SALMAN: Okay. That's my two cents.
                                                                            MR. WITHERS: Well, I know. Okay. That's
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Do we --
                                                                       a bad example.
10
                                                               111
                                                                            MS. CARTY: No. No. No, No, but it's
            MR. WITHERS: Can I ask one more question?
11
                                                                       important, right, because like previously --
12
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
                                                               12
                                                               13
                                                                            MR. WITHERS: What are the criteria?
13
            MR. WITHERS: So the conceptual review is
        now mandatory? Is that what the deal is --
                                                                            MS. CARTY: Like bicycle racks was a very
                                                               14
14
15
            MS. GARCIA: As proposed, yes. Right now
                                                               15
                                                                       definitive criteria, right, but there's a
        it's optional. I think Judy can expand on the
                                                               16
                                                                       series of criteria that is a design review
16
        success of that, really.
                                                               17
                                                                       criteria.
17
18
            MR. WITHERS: So, in the process --
                                                               18
                                                                            MR. WITHERS: Got it. Okay.
19
            MR. BEHAR: I think it's mandatory.
                                                               19
                                                                            MS. CARTY: So you wouldn't definitively be
            MS. GARCIA: I'm sorry?
                                                                       able to say, well, hey, I matched it, unless
20
                                                               20
                                                               21
21
            MR. BEHAR: Isn't it mandatory?
                                                                       you copied a building exactly. Then, you know,
            MS. GARCIA: The way it's proposed right
                                                               22
                                                                       you might be able to say, hey, you have the
22
                                                               23
                                                                       Biltmore and here I'm doing an exact replica of
23
            MR. WITHERS: We want to make it mandatory
                                                               24
                                                                       the Biltmore, but, then, that wouldn't be
24
                                                               25
                                                                       allowed, because, in the Zoning Code, it has to
25
        now.
```

```
be an original --
                                                                        architects, and at the end of the day,
1
                                                                1
2
            MR. WITHERS: So let's say they do an exact
                                                                2
                                                                        proportion and massing and appropriateness, all
                                                                        of those are sort of fundamental core
3
        replica of the Biltmore, one of the examples of
                                                                3
        the Biltmore and they do an archway exactly how
                                                                        architectural tenets, that I think either work
4
5
        they're supposed to do it, can they be denied a
                                                                5
                                                                        or don't.
        Mediterranean Bonus?
6
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So, I assume, on the
            MS. CARTY: Well, they could, because you
                                                                        architectural plans, to meet the bonus, you
        have to do an original building in the City of
                                                                        have to give examples, and on those examples, I
8
                                                                8
        Coral Gables. It's a different Zoning
                                                                        assume, within the plan, you put up -- if
9
                                                                9
                                                                        you've got to meet six examples, you put up the
10
        criteria.
                                                               10
            MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry, you have to do,
                                                               11
                                                                        six examples that you are meeting to qualify
11
12
                                                               12
                                                                        for Mediterranean Bonus.
                                                               13
                                                                            MS. CARTY: Yes.
            MS. CARTY: You have to do an original
13
14
                                                               14
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if the architect on
                                                               15
15
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You can't copy.
                                                                        the project follows those steps, and then the
16
            MS. CARTY: You cannot exactly copy --
                                                               116
                                                                        Board of Architects says, we don't agree with
            MS. GARCIA: Yeah. When you comply, you
                                                                        you, so the plan is -- it's arbitrary?
                                                               17
17
18
        have to sign an affidavit saying it's an
                                                               18
                                                                            MS. CARTY: No, but remember -- but we're
        original --
                                                               19
                                                                        mixing conceptual review with specific building
19
20
            MS. CARTY: The short answer to you is,
                                                               20
                                                                        precedence, right, because the precedence are a
21
        yes, I think you could be denied.
                                                               21
                                                                        high level sort of imagery precedent of scale
            MR. BEHAR: Yes.
                                                               22
                                                                        and details and things like that. Nobody is
22
                                                               23
                                                                        going to take those buildings exactly or copy
            MR. WITHERS: So the Board of Architects --
23
24
        and that original review, is that when that
                                                               24
                                                                        exactly any of the details.
                                                               25
        subjectivity, because that's what it is to me,
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But they're going to
25
                                                          29
                                                                                                                          31
        I don't know if you would call it subjectivity,
1
                                                                1
                                                                        give examples.
2
        is that when that subjectivity takes place?
                                                                2
                                                                            MS. CARTY: They give examples for the
            OMS. CARTY: Yeah. I mean --
3
                                                                3
                                                                        general direction that they were intending, and
            MR. WITHERS: So the reason I ask that --
                                                                        let me tell you, people give examples, like --
4
        let me finish real quick -- so when it gets to
                                                                        you know, if the example is white, they'll come
5
                                                                5
6
        us eventually, to approve this Mediterranean
                                                                        in with a building that's black and they'll
                                                                        say, "Oh, we used this as an example. It's
        Bonus, we might not ever see it, because the
7
        architectural board feels that it has met their
                                                                        total --"
8
9
        subjectivity test? Is that a fair thing --
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And please understand,
                                                                        I'm not coming in from an architect
            MS. CARTY: Yeah, that's true. But, you
10
                                                               111
                                                                        perspective. I'm coming from a layman's
        know, that happens now.
11
12
            MR. WITHERS: I get it. I get it.
                                                               12
                                                                        perspective --
            MS. CARTY: Yeah, but it would still
                                                               13
                                                                            MS. CARTY: I understand.
13
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: -- that would be
14
                                                               14
        happen.
15
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, can I ask
                                                               15
                                                                        looking at or another Board that would be
        something real quick?
                                                               16
                                                                        looking at if it's Mediterranean.
16
                                                               17
            MR. WITHERS: Yeah.
17
                                                                            You know, for me, I've always felt, the
18
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So, then, doesn't it
                                                               18
                                                                        buck stops with the Board of Architects. It's
19
        become arbitrary, meaning --
                                                               19
                                                                        really up to the Board of Architects, the
            MS. CARTY: No, because I think good design
                                                               20
                                                                        professionals, the right people, to determine
20
                                                               21
21
        is something that you need to be trained for.
                                                                        if a project qualifies for the Mediterranean
        Everybody says, you know, oh, it's so
                                                               22
                                                                        Bonus. Once it comes to the Planning and
22
        subjective and arbitrary. I do not think that
                                                               23
                                                                        Zoning Board, there's other criteria that has
23
        design is either subjective or arbitrary,
                                                               24
                                                                        to be met, and so forth, to get that bonus,
24
                                                               25
        because you can have a very diverse group of
                                                                        but, for me, when a project comes in here with
25
```

```
a Mediterranean Bonus request, I'm taking into consideration that it has already gone through the Board of Architects and the Board of Architects has gone ahead and said, yes, you meet it. Those are the professionals.
```

1 2

MS. GARCIA: Yeah, and just to clarify, the Board of Architects is the exclusive in granting the bonus. It's not this Board, and it's not the Commission.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. I understand that. And, please, I'm not trying to take anything away. We have some great architects on this Board. I really mean that. But I do feel that it is the Board of Architects' decision to grant that. My only concern is, in a way, I feel, if -- I feel, if an architect goes ahead and gives the examples to what he's applying on the plan, so he lists six example that he details on the plan, and then the Board of Architects says, "No, you don't meet it," to me, that kind of becomes arbitrary.

MR. WITHERS: Let me take it a step further. Let's say you approve it, and it comes to our Board. Do we have the ability to not approve it?

MS. CARTY: I don't know.

 $\mbox{MR. BEHAR:}\ \mbox{No, we don't.}\ \mbox{We don't look at architecture.}$ 

MS. GARCIA: Right.

MR. WITHERS: No. No. But the award -because I think -- listen, I think it's
phenomenal that you're putting the criteria of
aesthetics into this Ordinance, whereas before
it was more just elements of Mediterranean
architecture that you could get bonuses for. I
see that's one of the big shifts, and maybe I'm
misreading it.

MS. CARTY: No. I think that's true. There's more direction to --

MR. WITHERS: There's more thought into the aesthetics, as opposed to just the criteria, which I salute you guys for that, because we have buildings that have Mediterranean Bonuses based on elements of Mediterranean architecture, without the aesthetics of the Mediterranean architecture. I don't know if that makes sense.

 $\label{eq:ms.carty:ms.carty:ms.cart} \begin{tabular}{ll} MS. CARTY: & The Blue Ribbon Committee was, \\ I think, strong on doing just that. \\ \end{tabular}$ 

MR. WITHERS: Okay. And that makes me very

happy, because I really think that's, for so many years, been a hit on this -- this Ordinance.

MS. CARTY: Yeah.

MR. WITHERS: Okay. So saying that, if the Board of Architects, which are all trained individuals, they say the criteria aesthetically matches or meets the building somewhere in the world and they're happy with it, and it comes to us to actually put the stamp on it, can we then say, no, we don't approve the Mediterranean?

MS. GARCIA: No. It's not on your -MR. WITHERS: Okay. So why is it coming

MR. WITHERS: Okay. So why is it coming to us after that?

MS. GARCIA: Because it's part of the process. So, usually, these buildings require a Conditional Use process, and that starts at DRC, Board of Architects preliminary approval, which a part of that is the Med Bonus, because no one is going to develop a building without getting the Med Bonus and maximizing their development potential.

It comes to you guys as a Conditional Use request. It goes to them as a design request.

So, once they're granted that, they get preliminary approval, then they come to you guys, for a different criteria, in our Zoning Code review.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, if I may, so does that mean if they come to us and they request, hypothetically, two additional floors, because of the Mediterranean Bonus -- let's say, as of right, they can build "X" amount of feet. Let's just call it, as an example, 60 feet. And they now get two additional floors, because they've met their bonus. So, just out of an example, they can now go to 80 feet. I've seen discussion on this Board, where the Board -- the Planning and Zoning Board has not agreed to give the additional floor height or the additional floor or density, but from what I'm listing to you say, the way I'm interpreting it is, we don't have the right to look at the Mediterranean Bonus.

Then, if that's the case, then they would be entitled to those additional two stories. I'm trying to think outside of the box.

 $\mbox{MR. WITHERS: That's right. That's my point.}$ 

```
MS. GARCIA: Yeah. I mean, then you've
1
2
        answered that.
3
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You make a great
        point.
4
5
            MR. COLLER: But Mr. Chairman, because it
6
        is a Conditional Use, there's a consideration
        of compatibility. So there's other criteria
        that relate to a building, besides merely the
8
        design; it's the impact of the building to the
9
        environment, and you look at, for example,
10
        maybe a street is constrained and it's not wide
11
12
        enough and people already can't get out of the
        street, and they're testifying as to what their
13
14
        current experiences are. That's something that
15
        the Board can consider in looking at, for
16
        example, the traffic impacts.
            MR. WITHERS: But that's not a
17
18
        Mediterranean element.
19
            MR. COLLER: Exactly. The question was, do
20
        they get it as of a matter of right, because
21
        they've already met the criteria, as determined
        by the Board of Architects? And I said,
22
        because it's a Conditional Use, there's other
23
24
        criteria that this Board judges a project on,
        and it's not solely the design.
25
                                                          37
1
            MR. WITHERS: Okay. So how is that
2
        defensible, if I'm using a concurrency issue
3
        like traffic to deny --
            MR. COLLER: Well, I think it's defensible
4
        if you have testimony that -- first of all,
5
6
        traffic is always very difficult, because case
        law says that the -- for somebody to say, well,
7
8
```

like traffic to deny -
MR. COLLER: Well, I think it's defensible if you have testimony that -- first of all, traffic is always very difficult, because case law says that the -- for somebody to say, well, this is a big impact and there's going to be a lot of traffic, well, that's probably not going to be sufficient enough, but if -- first of all, if you have a competing traffic analysis, that one traffic expert says it's going to be "X" generation and another traffic expert says it's going to be "Y" generation, then that's substantial competent evidence.

In addition, besides that, a case that I had, not with the City, but the people were -- it dealt with, I think, a child care that they were expanding and putting on a private street, and the testimony from the residents was that, I can't get out of my house now. So their observations aren't, well, this is going to cause a lot of traffic. They're arguing, well, this is the situation I have now, because I observe this. This is an observation. It's

subject to lay testimony.

MR. WITHERS: So one last question -- well, I have more, but I have one -- so, right now, the Board of Architects is appointed by the City Manager?

MS. GARCIA: Yes.

MR. WITHERS: A hundred percent, right?

MS. GARCIA: Yeah. And then one is presented by the Board as a whole.

MR. WITHERS: So, I mean, I'm just thinking, if you roll it back a couple of levels, that give an incredible amount -- this Ordinance allowing architects to do -- and I'm not saying I'm against it, I'm just pointing out some of the notes I've made, it gives the City Manager an incredible amount of power to appoint the direction of the City, as far as development goes, I think, because he or she is appointing individuals on that Board, that are going to be making decisions about the landscape and the future of the City.

MS. GARCIA: Right. But all of those appointments go to the City Commission. So all of those appointments go to the City Commission for their final approval.

MR. WITHERS: I mean, I understand, but -sue

MS. CARTY: I understand what you're
saying, but I will tell you, prior to the Blue

MR. WITHERS: Sorry?

Ribbon Committee --

MS. CARTY: Prior to the Blue Ribbon Committee, there was a lot more flexibility in awarding Med Bonus.

MR. WITHERS: Okay.

MS. CARTY: Okay. And after that Committee and the latest change that happened in 2022, where it was very specifically, the Mediterranean Bonus had to be Coral Gables Mediterranean in appearance, things have changed, and we do not approve unless that happens. So it is the Code --

MR. WITHERS: Okay.

MS. CARTY: -- right, that is ruling, not really us, as architects, and I can say that definitively, because I saw things change after that.

But back to the conceptual review, though, to a point that was being made here, the conceptual review is great, because I think one of the complaints is that a project has to be

```
so cooked, when it comes to us, that it's very expensive to make changes, and really unfair to developers, right, and so I think it's great, that that's been a been a very helpful addition to the process, to get us on the right track.
```

However, I don't know if conceptual reviews are noticed to the public. So, for instance, anybody who has a comment on putting an entry, you know, on the left side of the building or the right side of the building, because of the environment, that we, as the Board of Architects, may or may not know, is not something -- feedback that we would get in that meeting, right. The public comes and talks to us if they have an issue with design, but because the conceptual design is not noticed to the public, sometimes it cooks itself more through us than we would maybe want, until we hear from, you know, people who live on that street, as an example.

MS. KAWALERSKI: You know, we have an item on the agenda coming up regarding hangtags basically, notification. Isn't part of that Resolution being brought by the Commissioner, that the residents have to be noticed upon

conceptual review?

MS. GARCIA: No. That's a separate conversation. So she's thinking about doing mail notice for whenever the Board of Architects is considering to do -- I'm sorry, whenever there's a Med Bonus request, to consider mailing notice within a certain amount of feet of the property, because since the Med Bonus is being granted by the Board of Architects, to really get height and significant square footage, that since that decision is being made and determined by them, that they should -- the community should receive mailed notice, but not for conceptual design.

The conceptual design, there's no determination made. They're just getting feedback from the Board at that point.

MS. KAWALERSKI: Maybe I misread the proposed Resolution. I thought it was, at the conceptual design process, the neighborhood has to be notified.

MR. PARDO: No.

MS. KAWALERSKI: No?

MR. PARDO: Uh-uh.

```
MS. KAWALERSKI: Well, maybe that's something we should consider when this comes up.
```

MR. WITHERS: Yeah.

MS. CARTY: Yeah, I think that is a consideration that should be considered by you all.

MS. KAWALERSKI: And Judy, when you approve Med Bonus, you consider the compatibility of the neighborhood, correct?

MS. CARTY: We do. We do, and we have more so, but I think that the compatibility of the neighborhood is something that comes, then, to you all, right, as the next step.

MS. KAWALERSKI: I think the Blue Ribbon Committee brought that up, when you --

MR. SALMAN: No.

(Simultaneous speaking.)

MR. COLLER: We need everybody to speak into the mic. He doesn't have a mic.

MR. PARDO: I have no mic.

MR. COLLER: That's terrible. We're going to fix that.

MS. KAWALERSKI: One question I have, how did bicycle storage get to be a criteria?

MS. CARTY: I think we took that out, right? Or did we? Yeah, we took it out. That's one of these modifications. I never understood that, either, but, you know --

MS. KAWALERSKI: Okay. Thank you.

MR. COLLER: Does this work?

MR. PARDO: We can just share.

So to answer Chip's question specifically, the charge of the Board of Architects is exactly what the charge of the Board of Architects is, which is the style, the proportions, the quality of the design.

Thank you. Is this on?

So the relief to any party is not the Planning Board. The relief to any party of any decision of the Board of Architects is the City Commission. They can appeal straight to the City Commission. Any response, any awarding of bonuses, any approval, any denial, is approved — is directly appealed to the City Commission. Always has been.

MS. GARCIA: Are you referring to the Med Bonus or the Board of Architects appeals?

MR. PARDO: No. What I'm saying is, the style of the architecture, the awarding of the

```
bonuses, is based upon the style of the
                                                                        more pure, when it comes to analyzing
1
                                                                1
2
        architecture.
                                                                2
                                                                        architecture, because with all due respect to
3
            MS. GARCIA: Yes.
                                                                3
                                                                        the landscape architect or the lawyer, et
            MR. PARDO: In other words, all of that is
                                                                        cetera, they're not architects.
 4
5
        appeallable to the Commission.
                                                                            So I just wanted to put that on the record
                                                                        for now, and I do have comments, but I'd
 6
            MS. GARCIA: Well, after the Special Master
        process.
                                                                        like --
7
            MR. PARDO: I'm sorry?
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I just wanted to ask
8
                                                                8
            MS. GARCIA: After the Special Master
                                                                        you a question, if I may. My understanding is,
9
                                                                9
        process, right. Because to appeal the Board of
                                                                        when a big project comes into play, it's the
10
        Architects, you go to the Special Master, which
                                                               11
                                                                        full panel of the Board of Architects that's
11
12
        then goes --
                                                               12
                                                                        reviewing it, not sub panels and so forth.
                                                               13
                                                                            MR. PARDO: Oh, no.
            MR. PARDO: The buck stops at the
13
14
        Commission.
                                                               14
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Which then adds to
                                                               15
15
            MS. GARCIA: Yes. Yes. Right. Right.
                                                                        what you're saying --
                                                                            MR. PARDO: And Mr. Chairman, that always
16
            MR. PARDO: So there is a Special Master
                                                               116
        now, which didn't exist before, but the whole
                                                               17
                                                                        existed.
17
18
        point is that, the charge of the Board of
                                                               18
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay.
        Architects is exactly that. As far as the
                                                               19
                                                                            MR. PARDO: The first time I sat on the
19
                                                                        Board of Architects must have been around 1982
20
        selection of the architects that sit on the
                                                               20
21
        Board -- and, Judy, you do a wonderful job, and
                                                               21
                                                                        or something, and, at that time, we would have
        I've told you that before --
                                                               22
                                                                        what was called the full Board, and it was the
22
                                                               23
            MS. CARTY: Thank you.
                                                                        entire Board.
23
24
            MR. PARDO: -- and the key is that, those
                                                               24
                                                                            MS. CARTY: Yeah, it's the entire Board.
        qualified architects, back in the day, were
                                                               25
                                                                            MR. PARDO: And the City Architect was
25
                                                          45
                                                                                                                          47
        recommended by the City Architect, and the City
                                                                        included to observe and listen, but he would
1
                                                                1
2
        Architect came up with a list and actually took
                                                                2
                                                                        not vote on those particular projects.
3
        it to the Planning and Zoning Director, back
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So, then, a project
        then. And, then, every once in a while, they
                                                                        gets approved on a majority basis of the full
        would go to the City Manager -- back then, it
5
                                                                        panel?
6
        was Jack Eads -- and then they would say, you
                                                                            MS. CARTY: Yeah, on a majority basis.
        know, this is the person. They meet the
                                                                        There's a motion, a second, and then the vote
7
        qualifications. And then there was a process.
                                                                        has to be majority.
8
9
             So just to be clear, at a certain point, it
                                                                            MR. PARDO: That's correct.
        then became under the control of the City
10
                                                                            And, then, the qualifications of the
        Manager. And, then, all of those people, then,
                                                               111
                                                                        architects, they have to have a certain amount
11
        would be recommended by the City Manager, and,
12
                                                               12
                                                                        of experience, you know, years of experience --
        obviously, at the end, again, the buck stops
                                                               13
                                                                            MS. CARTY: Well, it's 10 years as a
13
        with the Commission. It would be approved by
                                                                        registered architect, with their office or home
14
                                                               14
15
        the Commission. I just wanted to clarify the
                                                               15
                                                                        in the City of Coral Gables.
        process, so there's no misunderstanding,
                                                               16
                                                                            MR. PARDO: Exactly. So you want to be
16
        because this is the Planning Board, this is not
                                                               17
                                                                        involved --
17
18
        the Board of Architects.
                                                                            MS. CARTY: There's not many people, and we
            So the Board of Architects has a lot of
19
                                                               119
                                                                        have to beg everybody, because we give up a
        power, and, also, people have said, well, the
                                                               20
                                                                        huge amount of time.
20
                                                               21
21
        Board of Architects is only architects. That's
                                                                            MR. PARDO: Right.
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think there was also
        exactly right. In other places, say, Miami
                                                               22
22
        Beach, they have a Design Review Board, that
                                                               23
                                                                        discussion about bringing people that were not
23
        has a lawyer, it has a landscape architect,
                                                               24
                                                                        necessarily residents or had their
24
        whatever. I think that our process is much
                                                               25
                                                                        businesses --
25
```

```
MS. CARTY: Well, I think that's a horrible
                                                                        question.
1
2
        idea.
                                                                            MS. CARTY: Yeah. I would almost even make
            MR. PARDO: That is one of the worse ideas.
3
                                                                3
                                                                        it that you had to live there. And, I mean,
                                                                        right now, you know, a majority of us live in
        I agree. And the reason --
 4
5
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I don't want to go on
                                                                5
                                                                        the North Gables, right, and that's kind of the
 6
        that, but there was discussion on that, right?
                                                                        core, right.
            MR. PARDO: Yes. There's always been
                                                                            MS. GARCIA: So we had a person that wanted
        discussion about that.
                                                                       to speak. I kept the goal, and I was
8
                                                                8
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. I just didn't
                                                                9
                                                                        wondering --
9
        know if that got implemented.
                                                               10
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What I'd like to do
10
            MR. PARDO: Right. No, it did not get
                                                               111
                                                                        is, then, Judy, if you don't mind, we'll call
11
12
        implement, but I would like to let you know
                                                               12
                                                                        up the next individual, since we're on a time
        that the reason that's it's either the
                                                               13
                                                                       constraint with him.
13
14
        residence or your practice, is because if you
                                                               14
                                                                            MS. CARTY: Yeah.
                                                               15
15
        have your practice here, you're touched by
                                                                            MR. PORTUONDO: I agree with everything
16
        Coral Gables. If you have your home here,
                                                               116
                                                                       Judy said.
        you're touched by Coral Gables. You
                                                               17
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Before you say that,
17
18
        understand. You're there. If you live in any
                                                               18
                                                                       can you state your name and address for the
19
        other part of Dade County, let's say, Miami
                                                               19
                                                                        record, please?
20
        Beach, it's not the same. And that's why it's
                                                                            MR. PORTUONDO: Rafael Portuondo,
                                                               21
21
        been clear and consistent, as far as the
                                                                        Portuondo-Perotti Architects.
        qualifications for the architect.
                                                               22
                                                                            So, before starting, there's a couple of
22
                                                               23
             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But let me look at it
                                                                       people that I want to thank and congratulate.
23
24
        from another side. You just showed me eight
                                                               24
                                                                       Felix, you've done a great job. Phillip Villa
                                                               25
25
        pictures or ten pictures of properties that the
                                                                       Victoria (phonetic), which is no longer with
                                                                                                                          51
        Board of Architects feel is Mediterranean,
1
                                                                1
                                                                        us, has been a big part of this whole, you
2
        which are great examples. And some of those
                                                                2
                                                                       know, restructuring of the Code and
                                                                       Mediterranean Bonus. I've been working with
3
        are very good architects, but those architects
        aren't from the City of Coral Gables.
                                                                       Rhonda. I think she's done a fabulous job, in
4
            So I quess what you're saying is, somebody
                                                                        terms of putting this forward.
5
6
        like that would add no value to the aspect of
                                                                            A couple of things that I think are
        the design and the quality of life within the
                                                                       critical, which is why I think that context,
7
        City?
                                                                       historical precedent, historical details,
8
9
            MS. CARTY: No. But remember --
                                                                       proportion analyses, proportion drawings -- not
                                                                       all architects were classically trained. So
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I may be going on
10
                                                               111
                                                                       the reason why you see all of these beautiful
11
        a tangent --
                                                               12
                                                                       buildings in the 1920s and 1930s is, the
12
            MS. CARTY: No. No. But 90 percent of
                                                               13
                                                                        majority of those architects went to Beaux-Arts
13
        what we do is not big buildings, right. It's
        neighborhoods. It's houses. It's additions.
                                                               14
                                                                       Schools and they were taught classical
14
15
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand.
                                                               15
                                                                        architecture.
                                                               16
            MS. CARTY: It's new. And to me, even --
                                                                            One of the biggest problems that I see,
16
                                                               17
                                                                       which is why this has worked out to be a great
17
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The houses, I agree.
18
        I'm saying, when it's a --
                                                               18
                                                                        solution to that, is that I, for the longest
19
            MS. CARTY: You need to walk the
                                                               119
                                                                       time, felt that the developer was designing the
                                                                       buildings and the architects had to figure out
        neighborhood with your dog, you know, for you
                                                               20
20
                                                               21
21
        to really understand the scale of what is
                                                                       how to get the developer's project approved.
        valuable to us as residents. So, I mean, for
                                                               22
                                                                            By doing this, the architect is responsible
22
                                                               23
        me, to make it outside, would be a really big
                                                                       to tell the developer, "If you want to get the
23
24
        mistake.
                                                               24
                                                                       project approved, we've got to do these
                                                               25
25
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'm just asking the
                                                                        things." It's also going to force the
```

architect -- when you look at proportion drawings, you're looking at proportioning a cornice, proportioning a balcony. And it's huge tool for the Board of Architects, because what's going to happen is -- and you see this all of the time -- you see a ten-foot balcony with a twelve-inch bracket, right, and that is a dead giveaway, because if they're showing a historic balcony, like the Biltmore, and their job, as architects, is to prove to the Board of Architects that what they're saying is true, and if they can't make the argument, they're not going to get approved.

And so what's happening is that, it's going to force the architects to step up their game, in order to do a classical building. And classical buildings means a lot of different things, like -- and this is going to sound odd, but there's Art Deco buildings in Coral Gables, there's Venetian buildings in Coral Gables. There are Japanese buildings in Coral Gables. And so classism has a lot of -- there's Neoclassical buildings.

We did a building on Sunset, for the Bacardis, where Fiola's is, and the owner

wanted something more Parisian, right. So we had to go through all of the Mediterranean Bonuses and all of those types of things.

But I think that the most important thing that you can get out of this is that, a lot of people have spent a lot of time -- and I've been in the audience, where Judy is looking at a building and going -- the difficulty with the contemporary buildings is that the floor to floor is like ten feet, and there's not enough space between the top of the sliding doors and the balcony to do any sort of bracket, any sort of architectural feature that's proportionally correct.

It's going to force the architects to say to themselves, well, that's not going to work, because I can't pitch something that doesn't work. They're going to have to go back to the developer, back to themselves, and they're going to have to figure out a way of doing it right, and I think that if we hold us architects to a higher level, we're going to get the 1920 buildings, we're going to get a beautiful Art Deco building, we're going to get a beautiful Neoclassical building, a beautiful

Mediterranean building, a Santa Barbara style building, which is cleaner lines and stuff. And I think that by holding to a higher standard, those things will happen easier.

There's a moment in time where architects, as a whole, have to look at themselves and say, we need to pick up our game, in order to get these things approved. And I think that the switch, in the pre-review, whatever you want to call it, I don't think that's necessarily --something you address to the neighbors and stuff, at this point, for the first review, because it's hard enough to get something going -- I think that the first review should be, am I on the right track? Are we doing something that in the future could get approved?

Everyone's going to complain about something, and I think that there's time for the neighbors to get involved. I'd rather be told, by the Board of Architects, listen, fix this, do this, you know, study the proportions of the base, study the proportions of the shaft, study the proportions at the top, look at the column spacing, and look at it as an

aesthetic.

And, then, as it goes further down the road, and it's meaningful for the neighbors to get involved -- I think there's a point in time where, if it's going to be shutdown, it's going to go shutdown, and so I think we have to give, at least, the developers, the architects, a chance to get something going, before the world comes to town, right. And so that's just my personal opinion.

But in looking at this whole thing as a directive, we present a lot to the ARCOM Board in Palm Beach, and the Miami Beach Board, and I think that the Coral Gables Board is probably the best one. The difficulty that they have is that not all of the projects that are Mediterranean, are classically inspired, and I think that if you start to get architects that are more developer oriented, sometimes I feel that they're defending the client, and I think the switch is, the architect inspires the client. And I think that that's where it's going to start to shift, and I think that this program is set to make that shift, and I think that's an important shift.

```
1
            So my daughter has her reveal tonight,
2
3
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for taking
        the time.
4
5
            MR. PORTUONDO: But congratulations to you
 6
        all. Judy, you're awesome, and so I think
        we're on the right track here.
7
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for taking
8
        the time to come.
9
            MR. WITHERS: Good luck.
10
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Do we have anybody
11
12
        else that would like to speak tonight?
            MS. GARCIA: I don't think so.
13
14
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you like to
15
        speak?
16
            MS. LONGO: I just want to tell you that --
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you state your
17
18
        name and address for the record, please?
            MS. LONGO: Maria Cristina Longo, and live
19
20
        at 16 Phoenetia Avenue.
21
            And throughout the years, I have been
        involved, because I have invested, with my
22
        family, in the urban core, which is the
23
24
        challenging part, because you have all of these
        big buildings, and -- so I just want to tell
25
        you that I think this is fantastic, and that it
1
2
        was done the right way.
3
            I think that the fact that Board of
        Architects participated and gave feedback -- I
4
        went to those workshops only as a listener. I
5
6
        didn't -- you know, whenever I could, I
        probably said something, but I was there to
7
        listen, and I'm telling you that they were very
8
9
        excited about this opportunity, because they
        are the ultimate group of people, that When
10
        something passes and people are upset, they
11
        say, "How did the Board of Architects pass
12
        that?"
13
14
```

didn't -- you know, whenever I could, I probably said something, but I was there to listen, and I'm telling you that they were very excited about this opportunity, because they are the ultimate group of people, that When something passes and people are upset, they say, "How did the Board of Architects pass that?"

So they -- I think that this is fantastic. I think that, as a resident, many times, unfortunately, the projects that are proposed, are not compatible, and the design and review standards that the Board of Architects has to follow in the Code, compatibility includes height, massing, and volume. And I think, as a resident, if I know from the beginning -- look, I just want to tell you about something exceptional that just happened.

The Allen Morris project that you rejected -- no, the Board of Architects

rejected -- I'm sorry I'm confused -- they redesigned with a traditional architecture, and, then, neighbors, including me, again, because I own the property near it, I participated and collaborated with the developer, and the collaboration resulted in a fantastic building. The first luxury condo building that is -- I think it's beautiful, that will be built in our Ponce de Leon, which I'm calling it the Luxury District, because it will be our first luxury condo. We don't have any, and this is the first one, and it came out of the collaboration. So the sooner that we know, the better, as a resident.

So thank you anyways for your work, and I know that you take a lot of hours to be here, and thank you for everything that you do.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.

Jill, do we have anybody on Zoom?

THE SECRETARY: No one's indicated that they want to speak, so --

MR. SALMAN: I like the proposed changes. I was part of the Blue Ribbon Committee. I'm

glad something came out of it that was positive, and I thank the Board of Architects for their efforts on this.

With regards to notification at the conceptual level, I'm of two minds on that. One is that, you do want to get some sort of information and comments from the people who live immediately around, because some things are not necessarily obvious, like traffic flows in the morning and coordinating orientation so that one doesn't have the front door meeting exactly the other front door, unless that's some sort of a design element that you want to project in the project, and those kinds of things don't necessarily come up until after that design has developed, and is really in the preliminary review stage.

So that I would think that notification, if it were to be done -- I don't think it should be done, because you're at the squeeze level, and if you've been classically trained, it's the point of the design where you're submitting just a little massing study, sketch, of the project, that you want to get reviewed and approved, so that you know that you're heading

in the right direction. It's too early for really any kind of public comment. And the Board of Architects is not a place for public comment with regards to the design, and I don't think that that's allowed during the Board of Architects, but information that could be gathered there, usually you want to really help a developer, at the conceptual stage, saying, hey maybe you want to start meeting with some of your neighbors, because this is going to happen for large projects. Nobody's going to go for conceptual review on a bathroom addition on the side of their house. That's not what this is intended for.

This is for projects that are going to be for multi-story, multi-use kinds of projects, and I think that the issue here is one of public notice, not necessarily public comment, especially at the Board of Architects level, where it's not necessarily the place for that. But, certainly, the developer should be communicating with the immediate neighbors with regards to the development of a project that will impact them.

So that's what I think needs to happen with

regards to notification; not necessarily as a requirement for conceptual review, but that perhaps the conceptual review is followed up with some sort of a public information, before it goes into preliminary, so that you force a meeting with at least the immediate neighbors within, let's say, 200 feet, and that has to be recorded before you to go to preliminary. That would probably be the more ideal way to glean that information and get that input into the project, and that way, we don't get situations where they say, "Well, I never knew about it." "Well, yeah, you did," and so -- and it's important. We live in a polite society, not necessarily on a national level, but certainly as we have written a Code in the City of Coral Gables, it's all about being polite and being aware of your neighbors and how you're going to impact them and how they're going to impact you, and that's what the rules here are all about.

So I applauded the need for a conceptual review. I think that some sort of a public requirement for communication with the immediate neighbors, in a short radius, should

occur, before they go back in for preliminary, so that the immediate people, who need to know about it, start knowing about it early enough. And it's not a big requirement. Most of the big developments are now going through public meetings early in the design process anyway. This will codify that for these size projects, and perhaps, from a notification point, that's what we should be doing, not necessarily tied to a public meeting for public notification to the conceptual review, but making sure that a public meeting is held prior to submittal for preliminary review for these types of projects.

MS. GARCIA: So, ideally, the process would be, conceptual review with a  $\operatorname{--}$ 

MR. SALMAN: Is the Board of Architects and everybody -- and we're all just architects playing -- and we can share -- and, really, outside comments are going to be distracting to the creation of the architecture at that stage.

MS. GARCIA: Right. So, ideally, it could be conceptual design, and then the DRC, the Development Review Committee.

MR. SALMAN: Correct.

MS. GARCIA: That requires notification.

MR. SALMAN: Exactly.

MS. GARCIA: And, then, at that point, they have their -- for a large property, they have their neighborhood meeting.

MR. SALMAN: But isn't the DRC occurring after preliminary review or is it prior?

MS. GARCIA: Before.

MR. SALMAN: It's always before?

MS. GARCIA: Yes.

MR. SALMAN: Okay. Well, then, that answers that question. So that just needs to make sure that that happens. That's it.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think it does.

MS. GARCIA: It happens now, yes.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, it's part of the process.

MR. SALMAN: Good.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.

Chip, did you want to --

MR. WITHERS: Yeah. I just had a question about how this ties into a Planned Area Development. Can I have an architectural criteria element that gives me a bonus on one part of the PAD, that will allow me to add additional density or FAR on another part of a

```
PAD?
                                                                        commercial buildings at that time were
1
                                                                 1
2
            MS. GARCIA: I mean, it's all a Site Plan.
                                                                 2
                                                                        brutalistic architecture, very brutal, and
3
        So if they're using the FAR from one side of
                                                                 3
                                                                        people were kind of getting sick and tired of
        it, to another side, as long as they're within
                                                                        that, because it evolved going to glass boxes,
4
        that capped height, they can do that. You can
                                                                 5
                                                                        and some of them still stand, and the glass is
5
6
        move the FAR amongst your Site Plan.
                                                                        now discolored, disfigured, and they're not --
            MR. WITHERS: Right. So they could? I
                                                                        they're not anything that you would really want
        could --
                                                                        to say, this is our best.
8
                                                                 8
            MS. GARCIA: And this wouldn't change what
                                                                             I watched part of -- a recording of part of
9
                                                                 9
                                                                        the hearing yesterday, with my friend, Richard
10
        you can do now.
            MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry?
                                                               111
                                                                        Heisenbottle, who is a preservationist, that
11
12
            MS. GARCIA: What's proposed today is not
                                                               12
                                                                        his reputation is absolutely remarkable, and
                                                               13
                                                                        one thing is that, when you're at a scale, the
13
        going --
14
            MR. WITHERS: It's not going to change. So
                                                               14
                                                                        smaller scale -- and this has been addressed at
                                                               15
15
        that's still in play.
                                                                        this level and at other levels, but when you
16
            MS. GARCIA: Right.
                                                               116
                                                                        have large buildings, large massing, it becomes
            MR. WITHERS: So if I want to go higher on
                                                                        very difficult to be able to put that
                                                               17
17
18
        one part of the PAD and I want to add FAR, if I
                                                               18
                                                                        gingerbread on there.
        have that element somewhere else on that Site
                                                               19
                                                                             Now, you mentioned, and you're Staff, so I
19
20
        Plan, I can --
                                                               20
                                                                        quess you would be considered the substantial
21
            MS. GARCIA: You can do that, as long as
                                                               21
                                                                        and competent evidence, and some of this
        you're within your capped FAR height, yes.
                                                               22
                                                                        massing, some of the bigger buildings, like The
22
                                                               23
            MR. WITHERS: That's it.
                                                                        Plaza, you refer to it as it's got good
23
24
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, Sue.
                                                               24
                                                                        architecture. I think maybe what you meant to
                                                               25
            MS. KAWALERSKI: I have no comments, but I
                                                                        say was, it had good architectural elements in
25
                                                                                                                          67
        do like the added examples, and the San
1
                                                                 1
                                                                        it, you know, good detailing.
2
        Sebastian being eliminated. I think that's a
                                                                 2
                                                                            MS. GARCIA: Right.
                                                                             MR. PARDO: But the massing is just so
        good idea. That was not exactly a stellar
3
        example, but the rest of them are. Thank you.
                                                                        enormous, so out of scale, it dwarfs
4
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Felix.
                                                                        everything, and I could take, on one side, my
5
            MR. PARDO: Well, these are my notes. I
                                                                        hat off to the developer, for putting in a lot
6
        was fortunate enough to Chair, with these two
                                                                        of money into these details, but when you see
7
        gentlemen, on the Blue Ribbon Committee, and
                                                                        the massing, it's -- you can't compare it to
8
9
        many of these have been incorporated into this.
                                                                        the massing of other large buildings, that have
            I have a bigger question, if I may. I
                                                                        that kind of detailing. So the use of the
10
11
                                                               111
                                                                        bonus was instituted, in the first place, to be
        think that, representing the Board, I actually
        came before the Planning Board, and some of the
                                                                        able to offset that cost of making something
12
                                                               12
        Board Members, present company excluded, were
                                                               13
13
                                                                        that was going to cost more.
        not kind of -- you know, they were kind of
                                                                             So when there was a Sunshine Meeting,
14
                                                               14
15
        dismissive on the word compatibility,
                                                               15
                                                                        and -- I spoke at that meeting about the
        especially with neighborhoods.
                                                               16
                                                                        problem with bonuses for Mediterranean, when
16
            What I see with PADs that Chip mentioned is
                                                               17
                                                                        they didn't exist. Then, I gave, as the
17
18
        the eradication of components of the
                                                               18
                                                                        example, the Shoma Building that received
19
        single-family home neighborhoods, the
                                                               119
                                                                        Mediterranean bonuses. It was not a bona fide
                                                                        Mediterranean building.
        encroachment into those areas, and these PADs
                                                               20
20
21
        become basically a way to eliminate components
                                                               21
                                                                             So the Blue Ribbon Committee was created.
        of affordable housing in this City. That, for
                                                               22
                                                                        We labored for a long time. The style was the
22
        me, is very worrisome.
                                                               23
23
                                                                        only component that was implemented. And then
             When the bonuses were first talked about,
                                                               24
                                                                        the Committee was unceremoniously disbanded,
24
```

specifically, by one of the previous

68

we were doing a building, and most of the

(unintelligible).

1 2

Now, the compatibility with the neighborhood, the preservation of the vision components of historic buildings has been ignored. After this whole thing with the Blue Ribbon Committee, and there was more implementation of these elements for Med Bonus and Med design, it's fallen off the wayside again. It's only been two years. My notes are dated 10/1/21. It's just -- you know, I think that we should really look into ourselves and say, you know, these bonuses, which are discretionary, maybe they should just be eliminated and the Board of Architects simply provide good design thought for the approvals.

I just think that these bonuses, we're not getting the rate of return that was originally implemented back in the '80s, and it's just gotten to the point where, I think, that it's a mistake to, you know, continue thinking that this is going to solve all of these problems. And a lot of it has to do with the height of the buildings, a lot of it has to do with the detailing, and a lot of it has to do with putting that last unit, that last square foot,

that last everything we could stick in there for a rate of return.

So, me, personally, if the bonuses, which are discretionary, evaporate tomorrow, I won't miss it

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Robert.

MR. BEHAR: Once again, I want to commend Staff for the great job, including the Board of Architects, for all of the effort that they've put in to make this, and I was part of that Blue Ribbon Panel, which we -- a lot was accomplished there.

When it comes to the notification at the conceptual, I kind of agree somewhat with what Javier said and what Portuondo also said. I don't think that it should be -- I think the architect should come to the Board and get the initial feedback.

I'm going to tell you what we just did -and I was at the Board last week.
Unfortunately, Judy wasn't there. But before
we went to that Board for conceptual, we met
with the neighborhood, six, seven times. So
took it upon ourselves before -- to interact

with the neighbors before going in, and we heard -- and their concerns made us change the project significantly, okay.

But we went to the Board, and we got some feedback, which I thought was very positive, before having to go through the formal submittal. I think we all have learned that it is going to be advantageous to get the neighborhood involved, the immediate neighbors, before going too far into the process.

The Plaza, I agree with you, Felix. A very well detailed building, very expensive building, but it's a very massive project, and when you look at each of those buildings, there's -- it's very massive.

And I want to address one of the speakers on the Allen Morris. It was the Chairman and myself who denied that project the very first time, on two occasions, and I denied it -- and Mr. Morris has never forgiven me for denying the project, because it was not compatible. It was 190 feet, and we said that's not compatible there, and we sent it back. And I won't forget, because there was the attorney, who spoke for three hours that night, and it was

right before -- you know, ten to 9:00, and he says, well, make a decision. Absolutely not. So we sent it back. And I'm glad they came back with a much more toned down building, as far as the massing of it.

I wasn't here the last time they came, but I understand it was like substantially less than the original. So, you know, the Chairman and myself were -- I don't know, Chip, were you on the Board at that time? Yes.

MR. PARDO: I spoke from the public to this Board.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You did. You did.
MR. PARDO: And I let them have it.
MR. BEHAR: You know, I just want to clear
that, that we had to do with sending that

project back.
 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.

As far as the Board of Architects, with the conceptual meeting, I agree with the rest of the comments, as far as, it is a meeting that is to present an idea to get feedback. I don't think -- to me, it's a technical meeting, in reality, and I don't think that by having the public at that meeting to give input is

constructive.

From what I'm seeing now, projects that are coming, are starting to get ahead, by meeting with the neighbors early, which is a good thing. In the past, I had not seen that. I had seen more, you know, we've done our two meetings that were required, we had eight people show up, and we've done it. But now I think the developers and the representatives of the developers are saying that they need to interact with the public.

The public is within the DRC review? They are notified?

MS. GARCIA: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So the process is already there, and I'm good with that, and that process is actually before the Board of Architects. So there is notification.

Regarding the Mediterranean Bonuses, you know, respectfully, I disagree with my colleague, Felix. Everybody is entitled to their points, and he brings up good reasons, but I disagree. I think the elements that are there or that are available bring an added benefit to the City and to the design. And I'm

not talking as an architect. I'm talking as a lay person. And it is up to the P&Z Board and the Commission whether they agree to grant those bonuses and so forth, if I'm not mistaken. And, actually, Mr. Portuondo, Rafael, is the one who actually made a good point on the conceptual and on the bonuses and so forth and how to do that.

A concern that I have would be, if somebody decides to -- for the Mediterranean bonuses, if somebody decides to bring a blueprint of the Biltmore, lay it down in front of the architect and say, "You've got to design it like this," that, to me, is an issue, because all of the buildings will start to kind of look the same, and I wouldn't like to see that. I would like for the architects to have individual style. You know, I would like the fact that you have to implement and meet certain criteria, whether it's six or it's eight, but I think -- you know, there's different architects that have different styles, and I feel very comfortable with the Board of Architects making a decision as to whether they should grant the Mediterranean bonuses or not. I mean, that's

the place to do it. I don't think it's up to the Planning and Zoning Board to tell them it does or it doesn't. That's just my way of looking at it.

You've gotten the feedback. Is there something more that you need from us, that you're looking for from us?

MS. GARCIA: No, I don't think so. So I think, from here on out, we're going to take this feedback input where we can, and come back to you at the next meeting for a recommendation from this Board before going to the Commission.

MR. PARDO: Mr. Chairman, can I just say one little thing?

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please.

MR. PARDO: It has nothing to do with -- it's actually out of our purview.

Judy, I know that I get a lot -- I meet a lot of people asking me, "How could the Board of Architects have approved this," and now I'm talking about single-family homes, that look like they're out of West Kendall or something, or Doral. I'm sorry, but just the detailing of a typical 1950s home has more purity to it than some of the -- pardon me -- junk that has gone

up recently.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{MR}}.$  WITHERS: The square boxes with the flat roofs.

MR. PARDO: Square boxes with the overflows coffers coming out of them like --

MS. CARTY: I couldn't agree with you more. I mean, I think a lot got through during COVID.

MR. PARDO: Okay. I got it.

MS. CARTY: You know, that's one explanation. Another is, the Special Master has approved a series of things that we had rejected four or five times, in the more --

MR. PARDO: I never thought of COVID.

MS. CARTY: I don't know. Honestly, it makes the rest of us -- you know --

MR. PARDO: You wince, too?

MS. CARTY: Yes, horribly so, and, you know, you drive -- it's hard to drive through the City. You think, my God, did we really approve that? No, it's not possible. But stuff does get by us, I don't know, but we feel the same way. I know all of us feel the same way.

 $\label{eq:weighted} \mbox{We do our best.} \quad \mbox{That's all I can tell you.}$   $\mbox{We do our best.}$ 

```
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Judy, on behalf of the
                                                                        maybe having the requirement also after -- I
1
2
        Planning and Zoning Board, you know, I want to
                                                                2
                                                                        think, 48 hours after the meeting is held, that
        thank you for all of your dedication and your
                                                                        they would be required to go back and see if
3
                                                                3
        work, and please relay that to all of the
                                                                        there's any door hangers that are still laying
                                                                4
4
5
        architects on the Board, because it doesn't go
                                                                5
                                                                        there on the door, and removing those, so that
                                                                        it's not notifying that a person is not present
 6
7
            MS. CARTY: Thank you.
                                                                        in the house.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We do appreciate it.
                                                                            MR. PARDO: Like newspapers. I picked them
8
                                                                8
9
                                                                9
                                                                        up --
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I mean, it kind of
10
            MS. CARTY: Thank you.
                                                               10
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Are we good with this
                                                               11
                                                                        sounds like someone that's campaigning and puts
11
12
                                                               12
                                                                        all of those door hangers on the door.
                                                                            MR. PARDO: But it's only for notification,
            MS. GARCIA: I think so.
                                                               13
13
14
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Should we go
                                                               14
                                                                        so --
                                                               15
15
        ahead and read --
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.
16
            MR. COLLER: Yes.
                                                               116
                                                                            MS. GARCIA: Yes. It's only for
            Going back to the only item on the agenda
                                                               17
                                                                        neighborhood meetings, it's not public
17
18
        that requires an action from the Board. Item
                                                               18
                                                                        hearings.
        E-3, an Ordinance of the City Commission
                                                               19
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip.
19
20
        providing for text amendments to the City of
                                                               20
                                                                            MR. WITHERS: I mean, I don't like it, but,
21
        Coral Gables Official Zoning Code Article 15,
                                                               21
                                                                        you know, if that gets the message across. But
        "Notices," Section 15-102, "Notice," to require
                                                               22
                                                                        I'm more concerned when a developer will just
22
                                                               23
        door hangers as an additional notification for
                                                                        blast an entire building, without putting a
23
24
        required public information meetings for
                                                               24
                                                                        name on it, and just says, resident, and most
                                                               25
25
        multi-family and mixed-use projects, providing
                                                                        people throw that out anyway. I think that's
                                                                                                                          79
        for repealer provision, severability clause,
1
                                                                1
                                                                        totally ineffective.
2
        codification, and providing for an effective
                                                                2
                                                                            MS. GARCIA: Yeah.
3
                                                                            MR. WITHERS: I would rather see names put
        date.
 4
            Item E-3, public hearing.
                                                                        on it, and, you know, addresses, but if that --
            MS. GARCIA: Jennifer Garcia, Planning
                                                                5
                                                                        I just think -- I don't know. I mean, it's
5
6
        Official.
                                                                        just -- I don't think it's going to work, but
            So, as you're aware, before you go to the
                                                                        hopefully it does. I mean, when I see a door
7
        Planning and Zoning Board, and sometimes,
                                                                        hanger, I either think it's someone that wants
8
9
        before you go to the Board of Architects,
                                                                        to trim my trees or deliver me pizza, so --
        depending on the size of the property, you are
                                                               10
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I agree. I agree.
10
        required to have a neighborhood meeting.
                                                               111
                                                                            MR. WITHERS: -- you know.
11
            Now, neighborhood meetings is usually
                                                               12
                                                                            MR. PARDO: I just got a door hanger today
12
                                                               13
                                                                        from the City, from Parks and Recreation --
13
        notified by mail, to invite them to a
        neighborhood meeting sponsored and hosted by a
                                                               14
                                                                            MS. GARCIA: Parks and Rec?
14
15
        developer or, you know, an applicant, right.
                                                               15
                                                                            MR. COLLER: Could you speak into the mic?
        This proposed text amendment is to increase the
                                                               16
                                                                            MR. PARDO: I'm sorry, yes.
16
                                                               17
        ways -- types of notification, by adding in a
                                                                            I just got a door hanger today from the
17
18
        requirement that, in addition to the mailed
                                                               18
                                                                        City, because they're closing off Riviera,
19
        notice, you would now be required to have a
                                                               19
                                                                        between the Youth Center and the Library, for
        door hanger, within a certain amount of feet, a
                                                               20
                                                                        the literacy event, the festival, on Saturday.
20
                                                               21
21
        thousand feet or 200 feet, depending on the
                                                                        So they're letting people know. And you
                                                               22
        property.
                                                                        definitely will get it and open it -- or,
22
                                                               23
             We discussed this yesterday at the City
                                                                        rather, read it, because it's hanging there.
23
24
        Commission Meeting. There was some feedback I
                                                               24
                                                                            But like Chip said, you know, I'm not one
```

got from the Commission and from Chief Hudak,

25

25

for the door hangers. I think, you know, when